Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.scanner

1999-05 - 1999-06

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 1999-05-01 through 1999-06-30

Source text: rec.radio.scanner.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 6,161

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 1

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. FS: (M) "PDR" Paging Rcvr's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jg@thegrid.net
Message-ID: <7gdkp1$rf4$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120228

MOTOROLA (PDR's) PAGING DATA RECEIVERS.
"P.D.R."

UHF 450/460 MHZ band - (Bravo pager/Xtal Rcvr's.)

These are pretty neat.

Small black box with Paging rcvr' circuitry inside.
Basically it's a UHF Bravo Pager Receiver board that "snaps-on" to a bigger
logic board.
Each PDR holds 4 CAPcodes/Addresses.

BNC connector.
Outputs TTL/RS232 from 16 pin Maxtrac style connector.
Only requires a 9V battery to operate.

Very cool for your custom paging control projects.
Or for interfacing with Serial/TCP/IP.
Use it to decode POCSAG/Pages, control LED sign Boards, machinery, etc...

Get details here:
http://www.mot.com/MIMS/MSPG/Products/OEM/paging_data_rcvr/

Get Tech Specs here:
http://www.mot.com/MIMS/MSPG/Products/OEM/paging_data_rcvr/specs.html

***This deal include the following:
1 PDR complete in housing w/ UHF rcvr.
1 PDR complete with no Housing w/UHF rcvr.
1 Programming/Interface cable.
All neccesary software/development tools & documentation.
+ more...

Asking $175 for all + shipping.
No COD - Pre-Pay only.

Located in Bay Area, Ca.

Very Unique Motorola Item & a serious Techie toy!

Email: jg@thegrid.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <brianburke@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7gdp5e$uo3$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article
<BB71ED20C376FE0D.FA0A2EB95587122B.EE879D51AF9AEFA7@library-proxy.airnews.net
>, "BungHole" <bung@hole.org> wrote:

> : > As far as changing the rules...I see no rules that need changing....
>
> I think Joe is another one of those old farts who can't be taught new =
> tricks.

I wonder if he has ignored every rules change since he took his last exam?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <brianburke@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7gdp74uoc1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

```
In article <199904302126.XAA04434@mail.replay.com>,
Anonymous <nobody@replay.com> wrote:
> marsgal42@hotmail.com wrote:
> : > Isn't "vodka" the russian word for "water".
>
> : Nyet. "Water" is "voda".
>
> : "Vodka" is the diminutive of "voda" - in effect, "little water".
>
> If I lived in Russia I'd rather be drinking vodka than water.
>
In Russia, both are toxic.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
```

4. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <brianburke@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7gdq5bvjb1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <372A295F.F1FC1D6@hotmail.com>,
Oscar <captorbs@hotmail.com> wrote:
> I dont agree with all the rules but I do follow them.Why? Because they
> ARE THE RULES!!!
> -------
> The whining is not about following the rules, it's about changing the
> rules!!! Most of the complainers about doing away with Morse Code for
> licensing seem to be older hams, and old people have problems with
> change whether it be the ARS or some other endeavor. That's why when you
> visit nursing homes, the furniture, table settings, etc. are not changed
> very often, change disturbs these poor folks' equilibrium.
>
> Perhaps we should change the name of this hobby to the Amateur Radio
> Skilled Nursing Service. :-0.
>

There is currently legislation where friends and family can call and rat out old folks that shouldn't hold a drivers license due to lost vision, hearing, motor skills, etc. The State takes the anonymous tip and retests the suspects.

Maybe we could get that kind of rule going in the amateur service so we don't have to wait for the actuarial tables plus 10 years for changes.

73,
Brian/N0iMD

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5. Hide Your Scanners, Guns, and Money: America Is Being Run By A Communist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <372B2C5A.8BD120F2@hotbot.com>
Source record: 120232
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I appologize about that formating of the previous post, something went wrong with it, so I am reposting it hoping it works properly this time. This is the article about Clinton's
communist past that came out just yesterday. After you read this, youll understand why
Clinton is going after guns, scanners, etc.
-- -- -- --
Current law allows for the reproduction of articles for educational purposes.
By Joel A. Ruth
ï¿½ 1999 WorldNetDaily.com

Psssst!! Don't tell anyone. ...

Future U.S. President paid homage to Lenin's Mummy during Christmas. ...
Youthful Associations account for present predilections. ...
Better start arming yourselves and hiding your weapons. ...

It was five days before Christmas, 1969. A time of year when Americans are busy converging on family, celebrating Chanukah, offering prayers of thanksgiving, out

caroling, trimming trees, or, as Christians, preparing to rejoice in the birth of their Savior.
But while most Americans were wrapping gifts and worshipping before God, the future
President of the United States was standing in silent tribute and awe before the mummy
of Vladimir Lenin. How did this happen? On December 19, 1969, Bill Clinton had boarded an Aeroflot flight from London for the USSR to Moscow, the center of world
atheism and the capital of the Soviet-Marxist state.

William Jefferson Clinton's pilgrimage to the Soviet Union was the climax of a busy fall
semester as a "Rhodes Scholar" at Oxford. It should perhaps be mentioned to those

impressed by such presumed status that Rhodes Scholarships are granted to individuals
passing ideological muster whose sentiments during the interview process are reflective
of acceptable left-wing views to the selection committees, and not because of good
grades.

Once in England, Clinton lived up the expectations of his promoters and joined the

British Peace Council, which was established by the British Communist Party. Quickly,
Clinton helped the council organize other expatriate Americans and draft-dodgers for
anti-war demonstrations on October 15, 1969 and again on November 16, 1969 in front of
the U.S. Embassy in London. The British press, at that time, reported that the protestors
chanted, "Ho, Ho, Ho," and "Ho-Chi Minh, Viet Cong is gonna win."

Shortly after that, Clinton joined with other pro-communist and anti-American protestors
on a trip to Norway to demonstrate in opposition to that Nation's desire to join NATO.

Activities as Clinton's were not at all out of character for Oxford students during that
period and it has been estimated that even today, upwards of 60 percent of all Oxford
professors hold moderate to extreme Socialist views. For such, the University had long
been the home recruiting ground of the international communist movement. Its successes
were exemplified by their recruitment of some of Bill Clinton's fellow alumni, notably the
traitors Kim Philby and Donald McClean.

While Bill Clinton has never explained who paid for his trip to Moscow, he was
accompanied by a friend and fellow Oxford student, Czech Jan Kopold. They were to

attend a meeting of the War Moratorium Committee to be held January 2, 1970. Upon

arrival, Clinton did not check into a youth hostel, but rather stayed at the Hotel National,
the most exclusive and expensive one in Moscow of that time -- a ritzy place usually
reserved for foreign ambassadors and high-level Communist Party apparatchiks.

In today's terms, that trip would probably cost several thousand dollars. Clinton only
had his tiny $275 Rhodes stipend to live on and never held a job. Thus, it is easy to
believe that this tab and the arrangements could only have handled by the KGB, which
during that epoch limited no expenses in its attempts to recruit promising American
students in Europe.

Clinton has also never accounted for the 11 days spent in Moscow before the actual
meeting of the War Moratorium and has never revealed who paid his expenses or what

he did or who he met with during that time. However, for a tourist visiting Red Square in Moscow, a trip to Lenin's Tomb is usually on the itinerary. For a visiting leftist, such a visit is as requisite as a Moslem's visit to the Kabah while in Mecca. Standing before the mummy of the High Priest of Communism and Atheism on Christmas Day, for a communist, had long represented a symbolic, ritual act of contempt and abnegation of all the religious, spiritual, and ethical values which most Americans cherish.

Even more telling was Clinton's January 4 return trip from Moscow on another Aeroflot jet. The flight terminated in Prague, then the capital of the Czechoslovakian Soviet Socialist Republic (CSSR). There, Clinton was a guest of Jan Kopold's father, Bedrich Kopold and Jan's maternal grandmother Maria Svermova, who was the original founder of the Czech Communist Party in the 1930s. During his visit, she took a liking to young Bill; they walked and talked. Svermova's deceased husband was the original editor of Rude Pravo, the Czech Communist Party paper before the War.

After the Soviet-backed Communist coup of Czechoslovakia in February 1948 had disposed of Democratic President Jan Masaryk by tossing him out a window of the Foreign Ministry, Rudolf Saltzman, a.k.a. Slansky, became the new president. Karel Svab, Maria's brother, was appointed Commissar of Secret Police and was responsible for the subsequent murders, tortures, and deportations to Russian gulags of several hundred thousand Christians, democracy advocates, anti-Communists, and even religious Jews who had survived the Holocaust. Under the Saltzman/Slansky regime (1949-1952), Maria Svermova was Secretary of the Communist Party and the Central Committee, the supreme ruling body. While the majority of Jews in pre-war Czechoslavakia were anti-Communist, the Party itself was dominated by a clique of apostate Jews who had long since abandoned the principles of their religion to embrace the False God of Marxism.

The entire Kopold clan was a significant part of the ruling Communist party elite and was responsible for those murders and deportations either by the act or as formulators of policy. In fact, 11 out of 12 Politburo leaders of the Czech Communist Party were

apostate Jews who had taken refuge in Moscow just prior to the German occupation of
the Czech rump state in 1939. It was easy for the Communists to seize control in 1948
without popular resistance because the Germans had confiscated all private weapons
during the War.

In 1948, Saltzman/Slansky smuggled guns and explosives to Palestine to arm the Zionist
organizations, the Haganah, the Stern Gang, and the Irgun to enable them to keep killing
British officials until Britain became fed up and pulled out. In 1949 these gangs

organized the ethnic cleansing of over one million Palestinian Arabs from their lands
which were then confiscated and made an integral part of the nascent State of Israel.
President Saltzman/Slansky in turn paid with his life for assisting the Zionists. Accused
of harboring Jewish nationalist sentiments, which were in opposition to Marxist
internationalism, Stalin sent him to the gallows in 1952.

Years later, when Clinton was President, he again flew to Moscow, this time on Air Force
One, to meet Boris Yeltsin. Then, on his return flight he had the plane stop in Prague,
where, besides playing the saxophone -- important stuff -- he went to visit the parents of
his Oxford friend Jan Kopold. By then, Maria Svermova had died of old age. As for Jan
Kopold, he had been killed earlier in an "accidental fall" in Turkey in 1970, becoming
perhaps the first of a long string of former Clinton friends and associates to meet an
untimely end. Interestingly, Clinton told the news media people accompanying him on
the Prague pitstop that the Kopolds were "old friends he had long admired." Nothing
appeared in the press, however, about Clinton's admiration for and association with this
family once such an intimate part of the ruling echelon of the murderous criminal Soviet
puppet government that had enslaved Czechoslovakia.

After Clinton's short-lived tenure at Oxford -- he never finished his second semester there
-- he remained a member of The Mobilization Committee, a Communist-front
organization dedicated to undermining the strength of the United States and opposing
American initiatives against the communist world movement, for another three years.

Clinton's youthful affiliations explain many of his recent actions. Why, for example, the International Socialists were able to hold their annual conclave in February 1995, as guests of Papa-Marx Aristide at Haiti's National Palace in Port-au-Prince after Clinton restored the volatile voodoo priest to power. This explains why I stood in dismay

observing a huge banner in front of the Palace proclaiming that event, while non-comprehending U.S. soldiers stood guard outside protecting it and the delegates inside, those very persons sworn to destroy everything most Americans revere.

This explains why Communist Chinese agents could obtain sensitive positions within the Federal Goverment without having to obtain requisite security background checks. This explains how they could steal all our nuclear secrets -- and God knows what else -- and walk away with impunity, without a peep or protestation from the White House.

This explains why we are backing the Kosovo Liberation Army -- a terrorist gang of drug-financed Marxist thugs -- and why we are attempting to bomb into submission the socialistic but nationalistic nation which opposes them.

Yes, this explains a lot of things about Bill Clinton. Clinton has been a left-wing sympathizer all his life and has appointed openly pro-Communists to his administration, has promoted one Socialist measure after another in the U.S. Congress, has implemented policies calculated to subvert and weaken both the moral and physical defenses of the United States while doing everything possible to wreck the predominant cultural heritage of this nation.

More recently, Clinton is attempting to exploit the shooting tragedy in Colorado to further his party's goal and insidious agenda of incrementally disarming all Americans and so potentially leaving them vulnerable to a maximalist New World Order government of a more insidious type then that which terrorized, murdered, and enslaved Czechoslovakia.

Rather than be cowed into submission with guilt by Clinton's recent anti-gun initiative -- cynical and insincere as it is -- playing upon the raw emotions of the events out West, I suggest Americans react en masse by doing the contrary! Buy weapons, stockpile ammunition -- secure them against small children of course -- enroll in a gun safety course, practice at the range, and learn how to handle weapons responsibly. Above all, be

prepared!

When the U.S. Congress can no longer protect us from the likes of Bill Clinton, his
cohorts, and the type of people he so much admired in Prague. When the U.S. military is
finally dissolved or becomes a tool of domestic oppression -- there will only remain WE
THE PEOPLE to fall back upon ourselves to preserve the rights and freedoms won
through blood and sacrifice by the Founding Fathers.

Will we have the courage to do so when the time comes? That's the question which
remains. Enough is enough! In a free society, free citizens have always owned arms,
while a disarmed citizenry consists of subjects and slaves! Perhaps, in a pre-emptive
move, it's time for millions and millions of angry Americans to converge upon
Washington and raise our voices in unison to Bill Clinton and shout: "NO, NO, NO, NOT
HO, HO, HO."

Joel Ruth is a historian who has lived, traveled, or worked in 50 countries and frequently
writes about national and international affairs. He was in Czechoslovakia in August 1968
during the Soviet Invasion. He participated in anti-Soviet student demonstrations, was
fired upon, and assisted in the theft, sabotage, and destruction of Soviet military
equipment. Tagged "an enemy of the State," he was ordered by Soviet security personnel
to leave the country or face immediate arrest. Reach him by email at
JRuth10249@aol.com.

6. Re: FS: Ramsey Speech Descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Susan Orshan <ssorshan@aol.com>
Message-ID: <372B2E81.8564AF95@aol.com>
Source record: 120233

This is not a comment on this particular item for sale, but a general question.

Our local PD recently started using speech inversion. These decoders (as well as software) seem to be readily available.

I assume that they are entirely legal. After all, it's a simple modulation, not much different from any other conversion that goes on inside a scanner.

But, technology notwithstanding, does anyone know of any federal or local (New Jersey) laws that would prevent use of a speech inversion descrambler?

Thanks

7. Do MDTs share freqs with voice?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Orshan <sdorshan@aol.com>
Message-ID: <372B3120.2394738@aol.com>
Source record: 120234

Do MDTs operate on their own frequencies, or do they work over the voice freq?

Thanks

8. FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <372B3258.7115685@ibm.net>
Source record: 120235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

```
To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the scanner newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
Picking A Scanner/Receiver
Scanner Glossary
Scanner Model FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
FCC Frequency Databases
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Co-ax Cable
Receiver Range
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargeable Battery Tips
Radio Discussion Lists
Radio Modifications
Radio Shack On-line Manuals
Uniden On-line Manuals
AOR On-line Manuals
Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
Used Scanner Price Guide
Radio Monitoring Software
Receivers Covering Military Aero
*Computer Control
*Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
My Web Page
Courtesy

*=Newly added/modified topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm

Happy reading!
```

Strong signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

9. Re: Hide Your Scanners, Guns, and Money: America Is Being Run By A Communist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <tac2@usa.net>
Message-ID: <372B34F9.85B494A6@usa.net>
Source record: 120236
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Tracey wrote:

> ..... This is the article about Clinton's communist past .....
> (blathering babble)

But the REAL questions are:

Were they using an EDACS or SMARTZONE system for communication, and what TALKGROUPS were heard at the time?

10. Re: Need frequencies from all over USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@star.net
Message-ID: <372B60B9.457C@star.net>
Source record: 120237

Anonymous wrote:
>
> allscan@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> : I am trying to put together a web site with as many frequencies for each state
> : as possible. If you have any you would like me to post send them to me and
> : include the state, county, and city or town you live in.
>
> This isn't really a useful thing to do. There are much more complete
> lists of frequencies already on the web.

Would you like to site the web sites Sir or Madam?

11. Re: FS: Ramsey Speech Descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill McCrory <wmccrory@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <372B3D97.6F69@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Source record: 120238

Susan Orshan wrote:

> But, technology notwithstanding, does anyone know of any federal or
> local (New Jersey) laws that would prevent use of a speech inversion
> descrambler?

Susan,

The federal law is Title 18, US Code, Section 2510(16). This section provides that a radio communication is "readily accessible to the general public," and therefore is not protected from unauthorized interception, unless the communication falls within one of five categories. 18 USCS 2510(16)(A) provides that "scrambled" or "encrypted" radio communications are not "readily accessible" and therefore are protected. Speech inversion is considered "scrambled" and is therefore protected. The key point is that by adding speech inversion, the police are stating their intent and making an effort to protect the communication. As a side note, digitizing the signal for spectrum efficiency is not protected, however encrypting the signal (again, an act intended to protect it) is protected.

Hope this helps.

12. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dickman <mdickman@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <372B3D60.979@alaska.net>
Source record: 120239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Andy wrote:
>
> Keith Lodahl <lodahlkh@internetwis.com> wrote in message
> 372A70E8.94CFBD98@internetwis.com...
> >If it is intended to be a "twit filter" then it is failing. Just listen on
> the
> >low bands and tell me of the professionalism shown by all operators found
> there.
> >The Conqueror wrote:
> >
> > I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing
> >requirements
> >To weed out the serious from the lazy and stupid.
>
> >> Lets talk bottom line...CW is a twit filter. It maintains a certain
> >> level of operator competentancy.
> >> It may indeed be an outdated means of "seperating the men from the
> >> boys" but until a suitable replacement can be implemented,
> >> CW is it....JUST DO IT !!!
> >> Practice, practice practice !!!
> >> Hugh Duff, VA3TO Toronto
> >>
> Well well then the STUPID have taken over the bands already with the CW test
> STILL in effect. A simple thing such as the PHOENETIC ALPHABET is destroyed
> all the time by operators who have GREAT CW skill. The only way for the
> phoenetic alphabet to work is when ALL use it correctly. The stupid have
> taken over and can't even use this SIMPLE thing correctly.
> We should change the test right now and make all of those old timers take a
> RELEVANT operating and proficiency test. Man that would clean a lot of the
> deadwood.Guys who can copy 30WPM CW but can't string a dipole. No wonder you
> are scared of losing the cw req most of you would LOSE your license if
> asked to retest.
> Operating knowledge will allways be better than operating 1 mode.
> Andrew
> VE1VVV

I would like to know how CW maintains this "level of operator
competentancy"? While "we" are debating CW, the Internet, cable modems,
sat. phones, cell phones are passing us in technology.

*** average temperature 6 below ***
sunrise 10:48 am sunset 2:44 pm
giving 3 hours 56 minutes of possible sunshine

Mike (KD4LLA)

For the people who like peace and quiet: a phoneless cord <grin>!

The longer Bill Clinton stays in office, the more we pay.

Our justice system pays because he has opened the door for millions of us to play games with the notion of telling "the whole truth" under oath. Our military personnel pay because they have to carry out their duties while grappling with questions regarding the motives of their commander in chief. Our national intellect pays because, with his myriad verbal contortions and willful deceptions, the president has become little more than a walking, talking insult to our intelligence. Finally, the taxpayers pay because, in his efforts to keep "looking presidential" and "doing the work of the people," he is creating more new programs and growing the government.

Haven't we paid enough already?

See my web page at http://www.alaska.net/~mdickman/Index.htm

13. Re: FS: Ramsey Speech Descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <77HW2.4210$_s5.1005480@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 120240

Yes, it is against the law to receive a scrambled transmission no matter how it is scrambled. These devices are not illegal to sell because they have legitimate uses. For example you could put a scrambler/descrambler on your telephone to encrypt communications between you and another party with the same equipment. Many states have their own laws regarding interception of scrambled communications, but there is a federal law that prohibits it as well. You are correct that frequency inversion is simple to monitor. I wouldn't spend money on a special decoder however when you can download software for free over the Internet that will use your sound card to do the same thing.

Susan Orshan <ssorshan@aol.com> wrote in message news:372B2E81.8564AF95@aol.com...
> This is not a comment on this particular item for sale, but a general
> question.
>
> Our local PD recently started using speech inversion. These decoders (as
> well as software) seem to be readily available.
>
> I assume that they are entirely legal. After all, it's a simple
> modulation, not much different from any other conversion that goes on
> inside a scanner.
>
> But, technology notwithstanding, does anyone know of any federal or
> local (New Jersey) laws that would prevent use of a speech inversion
> descrambler?
>
> Thanks
>

14. Re: Icom marine "Secret" frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <k9HW2.21356$95.764478@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 120241

http://members.xoom.com/houstonscan/marineus.htm this is one of many sites
that list the marine frequencies.

Ray <nitecapt@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:372c13ca.12855160@news.optonline.net...
> Can someone advise what the frequencies are for Icom Marine radios F1,
> F2 etc etc frequencies? I can't seem to fine them anywhere.
> Thanks
> Ray

15. Re: Western Pennsylvania Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@star.net
Message-ID: <372B6879.5B79@star.net>
Source record: 120242

Gene,

Happy to help. Butler County operates on a county wide dispatch system. All 9-1-1 calls are dispatched via the county dispatch center.

The area of North Washington does not have it's own police department, therefore the PA State Police answer all calls in that area. The county only dispatches the fire department.

Butler County primary dispatch freqs:

PD 154.815 - primary
154.980 - ch. 2

Fire 33.82 - primary
33.88 - ch. 2

Medical 155.220 - primary
155.340 - national

PSP out of Butler Barricks 154.665 - base
158.910 - cars

There are also several web site available for W. PA.:

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/Pennsylvania/butler.html#LOCAL

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/1060/butlco.htm

Give these a shot and you will right on the money.

I reside there, I am familiar with what they work on.

Welcome to Butler County - Clean Air, NO Immision Inspection, Low Taxes, Friendly People.

Pappy

Gene Kelley wrote:
>
> I am traveling to a small town in western Pennsylvania called North
> Washington, just outside of Butler.
>
> Has anybody got a list of freqs from that area other than what is
> found in Police Call ?
>
> Any help would be greatly appreciated!
>
> GK

> kelley@ix.netcom.com.

16. Re: FS: Ramsey Speech Descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Orshan <sdorshan@aol.com>
Message-ID: <372B40F5.E47FB95D@aol.com>
Source record: 120243

John Habbinga wrote:

> Yes, it is against the law to receive a scrambled transmission no matter how
> it is scrambled.

Thanks for the quick replies.

Scott (Not Susan - I forgot to change the posting identity before I posted before.)

17. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <372B42F0.3F13A7B6@hotbot.com>
Source record: 120244
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Any dish will help you pull in frequencies at 400mhz and above!
The larger the dish, the greater the gain!

info searcher wrote:

> Your own "SETI" radiotelescope.....plans:
>
> http://popularmechanics.com/popmech/sci/9606STSPM.html
>
> > I have a yupi 9000 scanner and a friend of mine is offering to give me a
> > dish that is on their house that they dont need. All they know is that
> it
> > used to work and its primestar. Does anyone know if I could use this
> dish
> > to my advantage? Any thoughts, Ideas, would be great. Thanks...
>
>
>
>
>

18. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <372B442B.A6A4CFAE@hotbot.com>
Source record: 120245
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Wait a minute! This is true only if you use the electronics of the dish.
The best method is to simply use the dish as an antenna, and do not use the feedhorn, or the decoder box.
The dish can be used along with any small antenna placed at the focal point of the dish so that the dish feeds the signal to the smaller antenna that is connected to your scanner.
REMEMBER! Keep your cable lengths to a minimum since unless you're using very high dollar hard cable, the higher the frequency, the more loss youll have out of the cable no matter what antenna you are using.

Eric J. Enos wrote:

> On Wed, 28 Apr 1999 23:34:21 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I have a yupi 9000 scanner and a friend of mine is offering to give me a
> >dish that is on their house that they dont need. All they know is that it
> >used to work and its primestar. Does anyone know if I could use this dish
> >to my advantage? Any thoughts, Ideas, would be great. Thanks...
> >
> >
> >
>
> According to Monitoring Times this month (the article is on their web
> page in the Ask Bob section):
>
> Q. Can I hook up my scanner to my satellite dish? (David Pemberton,
> E-mail)
>
> A. Yes, but you will only hear the 4 or 12 GHz TV satellites as you
> tune through the 950-1450 MHz range since that's what is coming down
> the coax after it's been down-converted at the dish from the original
> C or Ku band satellite frequencies. And you would need to put a DC
> voltage-blocking device between your scanner and the coax splitter to
> remove control voltages on the coax provided by the TVRO receiver,
> which would have to remain on.

19. Re: Does anyone have any California Mdt frequencys?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <372b42e2@NTNEWS.cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 120246
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

So what are they??

Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:372AB2E1.EE4A5314@ix.netcom.com...
> Yes! The two I have checked out are the OCFA & HB PD, both in the clear.
>
> Don
> --
> -
> CAKE001 - CASF024 - CA6CYF - KHN3161
> Location: N33d42m W117d59m UTC -8hrs
> Grid Square: DM13AQ
> Scanners: Air-8,AR2001,AR900,BC50,
> BC101,BC142,BC20/20,BC2500,
> BC9000,FRG9600,HP100,HX1000,
> HX1500,Pro26,Pro2004/5/6,
> Pro2045,Pro2046,R10FM,R532,
> R7000,Scout 40,SX200N.
> Software: Deltacomm v3.9, Probe v3.0,
> ScanCat Gold-SE, ScanStar
> Shortwave: DC777,DX160,DX394,ICF2010,
> R70, R71A, R1000.
> Antennas: 7 Random wires, 6 Discones,
> 5 Ground planes, 3 Mag-mts,
> 3 Coax loops, LA-1, Scanner
> Beam,X-dipole,2 LVHF pipes.

20. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <372B44A7.7C5FBF87@hotbot.com>
Source record: 120247
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert, you're so funny!

andy wrote:

> Robert wrote:
> >
> > I have a yupi 9000 scanner and a friend of mine is offering to give me a
> > dish that is on their house that they dont need. All they know is that it
> > used to work and its primestar. Does anyone know if I could use this dish
> > to my advantage? Any thoughts, Ideas, would be great. Thanks...
>
> If it is Primestar, you need to contact Primestar about their
> equipment. Primestart leases their equipment and when the lease is
> terminated, it becomes the property of the company.

21. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <372B44D7.3DAECD47@hotbot.com>
Source record: 120248
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Woops! Andy wrote that so, ANDY! YOUR SO FULL--ah Funny! HA HA HA!

andy wrote:

> Robert wrote:
> >
> > I have a yupi 9000 scanner and a friend of mine is offering to give me a
> > dish that is on their house that they dont need. All they know is that it
> > used to work and its primestar. Does anyone know if I could use this dish
> > to my advantage? Any thoughts, Ideas, would be great. Thanks...
>
> If it is Primestar, you need to contact Primestar about their
> equipment. Primestart leases their equipment and when the lease is
> terminated, it becomes the property of the company.

22. bc895xlt tips or tricks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Firestang <beano@iname.com>
Message-ID: <7gfgp8$l91$1@news.campuscwix.net>
Source record: 120249

Does antone one know any tips or tricks for the bc895xlt. I just purchased one any thing would be helpful. mrc.@iname.com

23. Re: Do MDTs share freqs with voice?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <372B4ED6.FBE6F4BC@mich.com>
Source record: 120250

Either way, but if there's expected to be a lot of MDT traffic, usually they
put it on a separate system/channel.

Scott Orshan wrote:

> Do MDTs operate on their own frequencies, or do they work over the voice
> freq?
>
> Thanks

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

24. Re: Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rkunert@facstaff.wisc.edu
Message-ID: <rkunert-0105991353590001@t-51-209-75.dialup.wisc.edu>
Source record: 120251

In article <ASPV2.143$sN5.5672@nntp1>, "Rob Lang" <robertlang@nac.net> wrote:

>What's the model number? There was an absolute furor going on a bit back
>about the GigaRange because the 900MHz handset side of the conversation
>could be scanned, despite Panasonic's advertising claims. Maybe they fixed
>it?

Consumer Reports tested one of the Gigarange phones in their March 1999 issue. They didn't get any better range out of it than a 900 MHz DSS phone. And they said they had no problem picking it up with a scanner.

I emailed Panasonic and got what appears to be a canned reply. What they describe doesn't sound to *me* like Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum. My understanding is that in true DSSS the sound is digitized and the transmission frequency is based on the value of each sample. Maybe someone who's a little more up on the technology could comment.

The FCC approval for this phone does describe the handset as a digital spread spectrum device, so that's what Panasonic represented it as to them.

Here's the reply from Panasonic:

While our KX-TGxxx Gigarange units provides substantially more security than our previous models and protects against accidental eavesdropping by other conventional home products, no system is totally secure and eventually can be compromised. For that reason, virtually all manufacturers recommend that highly confidential information, such as PIN numbers, credit card numbers, and Social Security numbers should never be entered or spoken into a cordless phone.
While security is one element of cordless phone design, it is not the only one, and we believe Gigarange telephones provide users with unparalleled range and sound clarity.
KX-TG Series operate using a Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum method; what that means is that the frequency changes all the time. The GigaRange models also use a digital PN coding generator to select one of four channel blocks. For example, when the unit is using PN code 1, the handset transmitter is spread through the following frequencies: 909.640,911.080, 912.520, 913.960, 915.400, 916.840, 918.280 and 919.720 MHz. If you use the "Channel" button on the handset, you select a different PN code which then spreads the transmitted output through a different group of block frequencies.These units operating frequency is between 909.640 MHz ~ 920.800 MHz and between 2481.080 MHz ~ 2481.440 MHz. Conventional cordless telephones use a defined set of frequencies, one channel for transmitting and another one for receiving. With GigaRange, transmit and receive frequency bands are different and occur simultaneously. By combining

frequencies, and transmitting via direct sequence Spread Spectrum Technology (SST), the Gigarange will out-perform any cordless phone available to the consumer. Gigarange transmissions take place where the frequency range is clean, and clear of any obtrusive interference.

--
Richard Kunert (rkunert@facstaff.wisc.edu)

25. Re: newbie question about NJ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: llpix@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990501153344.16545.00000724@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 120252

From John haggerty- Public Info officer for the NJState Police and District Atty office - yes you may listen /have all the scanners in your car as long as you do not
1 Talk to anyone on them (have a two way that you are interfereing with transmissions)
2 Use the info you hear to commit a crime or flee from a crime .
Alex

26. Re: 4 sale aor 8000 and optoelectronics scout model 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: itom2038@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990501154407.18706.00001482@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 120253

Price?

27. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7gfqa9$9is$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120254
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Primestar has been know to abandon dishes when a contract
is terminated--the cost of sending a technican out to remove a dish
in a rural area often greatly exceeds the value of the
receiver/downconverter.

Given the small dimensions of the dish; it's only practical use is in the
microwave region---well above the tuning range of any scanner on today's
market.

Peter

>> > I have a yupi 9000 scanner and a friend of mine is offering to give me
a
>> > dish that is on their house that they dont need. All they know is
that it
>> > used to work and its primestar. Does anyone know if I could use this
dish
>> > to my advantage? Any thoughts, Ideas, would be great. Thanks...
>>
>> If it is Primestar, you need to contact Primestar about their
>> equipment. Primestart leases their equipment and when the lease is
>> terminated, it becomes the property of the company.
>

28. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <372B6CE8.D3766026@netdoor.com>
Source record: 120255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
<<snip the other stuff>>

> The treaty itself has provisions specifying exactly how certain sections
> can be ignored. Japan has taken advantage of those provisions.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

Is this a none catch-22? Will Robin make it to the Bat Cave to plug the enviorenmentally (hard word) friendly Bat Car back in the Bat Power Plug? Will Bat Man lose his Bat Cajohnes (I'm southern, we don't have this word in our dickchunairy) with out Bat Power? Will Cat Woman jump Robin's Craftsman air wrench handle protruding from his Bat pants as he bends over? Will it hurt?

Stay tuned as the Japs fail to ignore Robynz Craftsman Tool Set as, just as they failed to ignore the clause in the treaty : o) My daddy usta shoot'em you know! Yep. They allways forget treateez (nother one) Why do you know what language we would be speaking if the Neo-Japaneese Japanese had been just a little adventouristic (that a word?) and STOLE America from the Indians instead of us? We'd be Japaneese speaking non-Americans living in a clause! Clauset, if it is small one "o)

Butch KF5DE

29. Re: Can a scanner (2006 w/OS456) get too hot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <19990501175740.07263.00001101@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 120256

>I have a couple 2006's new in the box and several used returned units
>

I know, this is probable a silly question.
But, ya wanna sell one?

Greg

30. Re: Can a scanner (2006 w/OS456) get too hot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <372b7cd2.81531223@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120257

On 1 May 1999 21:57:40 GMT, ghs521@aol.comiamnot (GHS521) wrote:

>>I have a couple 2006's new in the box and several used returned units
>>
>
>I know, this is probable a silly question.
>But, ya wanna sell one?
>
>Greg

New or used?

With or without built in speech inversion and/or data port?

Plain or computer controlled (OS456 lite or HB232) ?

Choices, choices... LCM

31. Re: Hide Your Scanners, Guns, and Money: America Is Being Run By A Communist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chip <chip@macconnect.com>
Message-ID: <010519991659308289%chip@macconnect.com>
Source record: 120258
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

And were they flying in BLACK helicopters, driving black cars, vans,
and or SUV's in the company of black dogs. Using Astro Saber's or
XTS3000's and reading all the files on your hard drive via "cookies"
and planting Y2k bugs while monitoring what you view on your DSS/cable
system while you eat breakfast cereal laced with microchip GPS tracking
devices!!! Well!!!!!

Nothing better than good old home grown American PARANOIA!!!!!!

In article <372B34F9.85B494A6@usa.net>, Jack <tac2@usa.net> wrote:

> Tracey wrote:
>
> > ..... This is the article about Clinton's communist past .....
> > (blathering babble)
>
> But the REAL questions are:
>
> Were they using an EDACS or SMARTZONE system for communication, and what
> TALKGROUPS were heard at the time?

32. Re: Help! finding 800mhz downconverter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: E Meyers <hcs777@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <01be9425$5a59fbe0$95ff00cc@default>
Source record: 120259

Here's one at Durham Radio:
http://www.durhamradio.com/scn1.htm

Here's the manufacture's site:
http://www.ramseyelectronics.com/cgi-bin/SoftCart.exe/scstore/Hframeset.htm?
E+scstore

33. QRP Transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Conqueror <joetheripper@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <372b88a7.0@news.gulliver.fr>
Source record: 120260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi,

I was wondering if there was any way for me to homebrew or make from a kit a
2 meter QRP <1W transceiver with only 2 or 3 frequencies and PL support.

-Dan K3DRQ

34. Re: Just ordered my monitenna from Scanners Unlimited.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.119535ddedc6d403989763@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120261

There is no substitute. The Grove imitation is nothing more than just that. You did the right thing. You will be happy with both the antenna's performance and with Scanners Unlimited's service as well. I just ordered another Monitenna from them as well as a Optocom. If you want a good tip on installation of that antenna email me as I had gotten some pretty awesome results from putting it up a special way. Enjoy

35. Alinco DJX10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Abell <dabell@aloha.net>
Message-ID: <372B5711.CA@aloha.net>
Source record: 120262

Anyone used this one? I would like feedback on hf performance, scanning ability, speed, etc.

Thanks,

Dave

36. what do you people in central new jersey listen to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: james13372@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990501195037.27950.00000645@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 120263

Just wondering.... Other than police, fire, ems, what do people listen to in the central nj area?

Anyone have any frecquencies to something unusual in this area (specifically monmouth county area?)

37. anyone have frecquency to ARBY's in Manville, new jersey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: james13372@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990501195303.27950.00000646@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 120264

anyone have frecquency to ARBY's in Manville, new jersey?

38. Re: A Control Freak Runs America!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buffalo Bob <BuffaloBobNOSPAM@microsoft.net>
Message-ID: <7gg51aifo1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120265
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes Herr klinton is a freighting man. Let us pray that after his term of office is up he leaves and doesn't pull a coup to stay in power. I don't know why so many American's give klinton a free pass. If you or I were accused of rape we would be destroyed both publicly and financially. With klinton, he walks away Scott free.
Let Freedom Ring, God Bless America my brothers and sisters.

Tracey wrote in message <372B2806.75BBCB3D@hotbot.com>...
Check out this article on Clinton's commie past. Then you'll know why he wants to take everything away from you and place all power in the hands of the Executive Branch.

Clinton's frightening communist past

World net daily
May 1, 1999 Ruth

FRIDAY APRIL 30 1999

Clinton's Czech-communist connection

-------- By Joel A. Ruth © 1999

WorldNetDaily.com Psssst!! Don't tell anyone. ... Future U.S. President paid homage to Lenin's Mummy
during Christmas. ... Youthful Associations account for present predilections. ... Better start arming
yourselves and hiding your weapons. ... It was five days before Christmas, 1969. A time of year when
Americans are busy converging on family, celebrating Chanukah, offering prayers of thanksgiving, out
caroling, trimming trees, or, as Christians, preparing to rejoice in the birth of their Savior. But while most
Americans were wrapping gifts and worshipping before God, the future President of the United States
was standing in silent tribute and awe before the mummy of Vladimir Lenin. How did this happen?

On
December 19, 1969, Bill Clinton had boarded an Aeroflot flight from London for the USSR to Moscow,
the center of world atheism and the capital of the Soviet-Marxist state. William Jefferson Clinton's
pilgrimage to the Soviet Union was the climax of a busy fall semester as a "Rhodes Scholar" at Oxford. It
should perhaps be mentioned to those impressed by such presumed status that Rhodes Scholarships are
granted to individuals passing ideological muster whose sentiments during the interview

process are
reflective of acceptable left-wing views to the selection committees, and not because of good grades.
Once in England, Clinton lived up the expectations of his promoters and joined the British Peace Council,
which was established by the British Communist Party. Quickly, Clinton helped the council organize other
expatriate Americans and draft-dodgers for anti-war demonstrations on October 15, 1969 and again on
November 16, 1969 in front of the U.S. Embassy in London.

The British press, at that time, reported that
the protestors chanted, "Ho, Ho, Ho," and "Ho-Chi Minh, Viet Cong is gonna win." Shortly after that,
Clinton joined with other pro-communist and anti-American protestors on a trip to Norway to demonstrate in opposition to that Nation's desire to join NATO. Activities as Clinton's were not at all out
of character for Oxford students during that period and it has been estimated that even today, upwards of
60 percent of all Oxford professors hold moderate to extreme Socialist views. For such, the University
had long been the home recruiting ground of the international communist movement. Its successes were
exemplified by their recruitment of some of Bill Clinton's fellow alumni, notably the traitors Kim Philby and
Donald McClean.

While Bill Clinton has never explained who paid for his trip to Moscow, he was
accompanied by a friend and fellow Oxford student, Czech Jan Kopold. They were to attend a meeting of
the War Moratorium Committee to be held January 2, 1970. Upon arrival, Clinton did not check into a
youth hostel, but rather stayed at the Hotel National, the most exclusive and expensive one in Moscow of
that time -- a ritzy place usually reserved for foreign ambassadors and high-level Communist Party
apparatchiks. In today's terms, that trip would probably cost several thousand dollars. Clinton only had his
tiny $275 Rhodes stipend to live on and never held a job. Thus, it is easy to believe that this tab and the
arrangements could only have handled by the KGB, which during that epoch limited no expenses in its
attempts to recruit promising American students in Europe. Clinton has also never accounted for the 11
days spent in Moscow before the actual meeting of the War Moratorium and has never revealed who paid
his expenses or what he did or who he met with during that time. However, for a tourist visiting Red
Square in Moscow, a trip to Lenin's Tomb is usually on the itinerary.

For a visiting leftist, such a visit is as
requisite as a Moslem's visit to the Kabah while in Mecca. Standing before the mummy of the

High Priest
of Communism and Atheism on Christmas Day, for a communist, had long represented a symbolic, ritual
act of contempt and abnegation of all the religious, spiritual, and ethical values which most Americans
cherish. Even more telling was Clinton's January 4 return trip from Moscow on another Aeroflot jet. The
flight terminated in Prague, then the capital of the Czechoslovakian Soviet Socialist Republic (CSSR).
There, Clinton was a guest of Jan Kopold's father, Bedrich Kopold and Jan's maternal grandmother
Maria Svermova, who was the original founder of the Czech Communist Party in the 1930s. During his
visit, she took a liking to young Bill; they walked and talked. Svermova's deceased husband was the
original editor of Rude Pravo, the Czech Communist Party paper before the War. After the Soviet-backed Communist coup of Czechoslovakia in February 1948 had disposed of Democratic President Jan Masaryk by tossing him out a window of the Foreign Ministry, Rudolf Saltzman, a.k.a.
Slansky, became the new president. Karel Svab, Maria's brother, was appointed Commissar of Secret
Police and was responsible for the subsequent murders, tortures, and deportations to Russian gulags of
several hundred thousand Christians, democracy advocates, anti-Communists, and even religious Jews
who had survived the Holocaust.

Under the Saltzman/Slansky regime (1949-1952), Maria Svermova was
Secretary of the Communist Party and the Central Committee, the supreme ruling body. While the
majority of Jews in pre-war Czechoslavakia were anti-Communist, the Party itself was dominated by a
clique of apostate Jews who had long since abandoned the principles of their religion to embrace the False
God of Marxism. The entire Kopold clan was a significant part of the ruling Communist party elite and
was responsible for those murders and deportations either by the act or as formulators of policy. In fact,
11 out of 12 Politburo leaders of the Czech Communist Party were apostate Jews who had taken refuge
in Moscow just prior to the German occupation of the Czech rump state in 1939. It was easy for the
Communists to seize control in 1948 without popular resistance because the Germans had confiscated all
private weapons during the War. In 1948, Saltzman/Slansky smuggled guns and explosives to Palestine to
arm the Zionist organizations, the Haganah, the Stern Gang, and the Irgun to enable them to keep killing
British officials until Britain became fed up and pulled out. In 1949 these gangs organized the ethnic
cleansing of over one million Palestinian Arabs from their lands which were then

confiscated and made an
integral part of the nascent State of Israel. President Saltzman/Slansky in turn paid with his life for assisting
the Zionists.

Accused of harboring Jewish nationalist sentiments, which were in opposition to Marxist internationalism, Stalin sent him to the gallows in 1952. Years later, when Clinton was President, he again
flew to Moscow, this time on Air Force One, to meet Boris Yeltsin. Then, on his return flight he had the
plane stop in Prague, where, besides playing the saxophone -- important stuff -- he went to visit the
parents of his Oxford friend Jan Kopold. By then, Maria Svermova had died of old age. As for Jan
Kopold, he had been killed earlier in an "accidental fall" in Turkey in 1970, becoming perhaps the first of a
long string of former Clinton friends and associates to meet an untimely end. Interestingly, Clinton told the
news media people accompanying him on the Prague pitstop that the Kopolds were "old friends he had
long admired." Nothing appeared in the press, however, about Clinton's admiration for and association
with this family once such an intimate part of the ruling echelon of the murderous criminal Soviet puppet
government that had enslaved Czechoslovakia.

After Clinton's short-lived tenure at Oxford -- he never
finished his second semester there -- he remained a member of The Mobilization Committee, a Communist-front organization dedicated to undermining the strength of the United States and opposing
American initiatives against the communist world movement, for another three years. Clinton's youthful
affiliations explain many of his recent actions. Why, for example, the International Socialists were able to
hold their annual conclave in February 1995, as guests of Papa-Marx Aristide at Haiti's National Palace in
Port-au-Prince after Clinton restored the volatile voodoo priest to power. This explains why I stood in
dismay observing a huge banner in front of the Palace proclaiming that event, while non-comprehending
U.S. soldiers stood guard outside protecting it and the delegates inside, those very persons sworn to
destroy everything most Americans revere. This explains why Communist Chinese agents could obtain
sensitive positions within the Federal Goverment without having to obtain requisite security background
checks. This explains how they could steal all our nuclear secrets -- and God knows what else -- and
walk away with impunity, without a peep or protestation from the White House. This explains why we are
backing the Kosovo Liberation Army -- a terrorist gang of drug-financed Marxist thugs -- and why we

are attempting to bomb into submission the socialistic but nationalistic nation which opposes them. Yes,
this explains a lot of things about Bill Clinton. Clinton has been a left-wing sympathizer all his life and has
appointed openly pro-Communists to his administration, has promoted one Socialist measure after another
in the U.S. Congress, has implemented policies calculated to subvert and weaken both the moral and
physical defenses of the United States while doing everything possible to wreck the predominant cultural
heritage of this nation. More recently, Clinton is attempting to exploit the shooting tragedy in Colorado to
further his party's goal and insidious agenda of incrementally disarming all Americans and so potentially
leaving them vulnerable to a maximalist New World Order government of a more insidious type then that
which terrorized, murdered, and enslaved Czechoslovakia. Rather than be cowed into submission with
guilt by Clinton's recent anti-gun initiative -- cynical and insincere as it is -- playing upon the raw emotions
of the events out West, I suggest Americans react en masse by doing the contrary! Buy weapons,
stockpile ammunition -- secure them against small children of course -- enroll in a gun safety course,
practice at the range, and learn how to handle weapons responsibly. Above all, be prepared! When the
U.S. Congress can no longer protect us from the likes of Bill Clinton, his cohorts, and the type of people
he so much admired in Prague.

When the U.S. military is finally dissolved or becomes a tool of domestic
oppression -- there will only remain WE THE PEOPLE to fall back upon ourselves to preserve the rights
and freedoms won through blood and sacrifice by the Founding Fathers. Will we have the courage to do
so when the time comes? That's the question which remains. Enough is enough! In a free society, free
citizens have always owned arms, while a disarmed citizenry consists of subjects and slaves! Perhaps, in a
pre-emptive move, it's time for millions and millions of angry Americans to converge upon Washington and
raise our voices in unison to Bill Clinton and shout: "NO, NO, NO, NOT YOU HO, HO, HO."
---

39. radar zapper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeremy <fireman13@samnet.net>
Message-ID: <VPMW2.1410$Ny1.9189@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 120266

i know they are probably illegal, but i am looking for anyone who has a
"radar zapper".
(it makes radar detectors activate)
if anyone has one, and is interested selling it, i would be interested to
buy
(i fried my last one)
thanks
fireman13@samnet.net

40. Re: Hide Your Scanners, Guns, and Money: America Is Being Run By A Communist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <radiodj@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <372bb041@grouper.exis.net>
Source record: 120267
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Chip <chip@macconnect.com> writes: > And were they flying in BLACK helicopters, driving black cars, vans,
> and or SUV's in the company of black dogs. Using Astro Saber's or
> XTS3000's and reading all the files on your hard drive via "cookies"
> and planting Y2k bugs while monitoring what you view on your DSS/cable
> system while you eat breakfast cereal laced with microchip GPS tracking
> devices!!! Well!!!!!
>
> Nothing better than good old home grown American PARANOIA!!!!!!
>
> In article <372B34F9.85B494A6@usa.net>, Jack <tac2@usa.net> wrote:
>
> > Tracey wrote:
> >
> > > ..... This is the article about Clinton's communist past .....
> > > (blathering babble)
> >
> > But the REAL questions are:
> >
> > Were they using an EDACS or SMARTZONE system for communication, and what
> > TALKGROUPS were heard at the time?

Here's something you might be curious to know:

Every time you enter the name of a prominant government
official onto the net...a super-computer at the National
Security Agency documents the time, location & message.
It's then evaluated for security threat. Most are
ignored as general messages...but a few are passed onto
the FBI for investigation. I'm totally serious.

41. Re: Why Is Government Trying To Ban Technology?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: BungHole <bung@hole.org>
Message-ID: <DFBB1864C81700C7.F7E30264276CDBB3.BBAAFA778818410E@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120268
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Because instead of moving with the times, they want to outlaw the public moving forward while their technology stays stagnate.
This way, no one has to spend any money or make any more effort.
This is in reverse of the way it should be, being that the government moves ahead, then the public
catches up.
Instead, it's all out opression to keep from having to do anything.

42. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Capt'n Butler <charlestonrhett@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <372BBA91.22A0@yahoo.com>
Source record: 120269

Vic Martinez wrote:
>
> Any one out there have 'em? I Just moved to within a half a block of
> one. Any info appreciated.

OK, I'll bite.

Why would anyone want to listen to "Do you want fries with that Big Mac.
That'll be $4.25. Please drive around."???

RB

43. WTB: PRO-60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina McBride & John Miller <mcmiller@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <372BC08F.B20B3A35@alaska.net>
Source record: 120270

I am looking for a nice PRO-60 scanner. Advise on
condition, accs and price.

Tx

John Miller

44. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <7ggi5f$q27$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 120271

Tune in one night and find out. Our local used to close at midnight so 10 to closing was the best. It gets a bit slow and they start to have "private" conversations with each other. At times they got an "R" rating.

Capt'n Butler wrote in message <372BBA91.22A0@yahoo.com>...
>Vic Martinez wrote:
>>
>> Any one out there have 'em? I Just moved to within a half a block of
>> one. Any info appreciated.
>
>OK, I'll bite.
>
>Why would anyone want to listen to "Do you want fries with that Big Mac.
>That'll be $4.25. Please drive around."???
>
>RB

45. Phoenix, AZ Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: lcinaz@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <372BCDB6.ED62713A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120272

Anyone have radio unit designators for Phoenix, MCSO, Mesa, etc. (east valley cities including scottsdale).

Appreciate any help.

Thanks

46. CSP Trunked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin <kahlk@kci.net>
Message-ID: <7ggkgd$h9u$1@news1.rmi.net>
Source record: 120273

Does anybody Know if the Colorado State Patrol is trunked, does anybody have the Colorado State Patrol Trunked Freq? I would greatly appreciate it!!! If anybody needs anything for around NE Colorado, let me know. I can kick ya down with freqs.

47. Re: CSP Trunked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Singer" <deltacom@bwn.net>
Message-ID: <372BDE64.76070D60@bwn.net>
Source record: 120274

Kevin,
No, they are not trunked.
155.580 CSP 1
154.770 CSP 2
154.905 CSP 3
These are for N/E Colo.
Gerry

Kevin wrote:
>
> Does anybody Know if the Colorado State Patrol is trunked, does anybody have
> the Colorado State Patrol Trunked Freq? I would greatly appreciate it!!!
> If anybody needs anything for around NE Colorado, let me know. I can kick
> ya down with freqs.

--

Gerry Singer KC0CAT
Regional Director W.A.R.N. Weather Awareness Resource Network
Loc:40 09 38N 103 12 50W Grid Square DN80jd N/E Colorado
Pro 2042 W/Optocom 535 MX7000 Pro67 Pro2004 DX390 W/MFJ 784
R5000 W/MFJ VersaTunerII Azden PCS2000 HTX-242 Alinco DJ191
Icom H16 and U16 Yaesu VX 5R President Lincoln Grant XL
.....and lots of antennas!
Scanner,Weather and Ham Radio web site
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/5137
---

48. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <Tac2@usa.net>
Message-ID: <372BE9DC.305E6DEA@usa.net>
Source record: 120275

This only pays off IF you have Tx ability.

K patterson wrote:

> Tune in one night and find out. Our local used to close at midnight so 10 to
> closing was the best. It gets a bit slow and they start to have "private"
> conversations with each other. At times they got an "R" rating.
>
> Capt'n Butler wrote in message <372BBA91.22A0@yahoo.com>...
> >Vic Martinez wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >OK, I'll bite.
> >
> >Why would anyone want to listen to "Do you want fries with that Big Mac.
> >That'll be $4.25. Please drive around."???
> >
> >RB

49. Re: Just ordered my monitenna from Scanners Unlimited.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <IASW2.304$_x.63887@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 120276

barry <bumologist@pipeline.com> wrote in message ...
> They are EXACTLY THE SAME antenna!There is no difference between the
> "original" and the "copy".I've had them in my hands,side by side.The only
> thing wrong with this antenna is it's pretty flimsy,and won't last very
> long outside in bad weather unless mods are done to it and it's coated
> with a uerathane spray.

Really? I have had mine up at least 8 years, Puget Sound area. Put it up as
is out of the box and it still works great. May look flimsy but has survived
the wind and weather here just fine.

Glenn

50. Re: Where is POCFLEX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <743X2.1076$97.748@stones>
Source record: 120087

Pete Ritter <cpritter@SPAMSUXhome.com> wrote in message
news:372CB7A2.8A0E8004@SPAMSUXhome.com...
> The author (?) of POCFLEX has removed it from his site. Is it available
> anywhere else? Would someone email it to me?
>
> Thanks
> --
>
you can get winflex the nest one from this site

http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk

eavsdropper

51. Re: Need frequencies from all over USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7gig2i$9vm$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 120088

www.perconcorp.com

they do all the frequency databases for the FCC.
(Searchable online by radius search state, agency, etc.)
<Pappy@star.net> wrote in message news:372B60B9.457C@star.net...
> Anonymous wrote:
> >
> > allscan@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> > : I am trying to put together a web site with as many frequencies for
each state
> > : as possible. If you have any you would like me to post send them to
me and
> > : include the state, county, and city or town you live in.
> >
> > This isn't really a useful thing to do. There are much more complete
> > lists of frequencies already on the web.
>
> Would you like to site the web sites Sir or Madam?

52. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bratcher@pdq.net
Message-ID: <3730c5ab.30263301@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 120089
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 1 May 1999 17:02:06 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com>
wrote:

>Primestar has been know to abandon dishes when a contract
>is terminated--the cost of sending a technican out to remove a dish
>in a rural area often greatly exceeds the value of the
>receiver/downconverter.
>
>Given the small dimensions of the dish; it's only practical use is in the
>microwave region---well above the tuning range of any scanner on today's
>market.
>
>Peter

They even abandon the reciever. Still have it in my home.

I switched from Primestar to my 16' C/KU Big Dish. The Primestar dish
is set on SBS-6 now (KU) for newsfeeds...
--
Are you a fan of Annette Funicello? Subscribe to a mailing list about her.
http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/annette

Robert M. Bratcher Jr.
bratcher@pdq.net
Record collector, 8mm, super 8, 16 and 35mm film collector.
Looking for prerecorded reel to reel tape albums.
I like old radio's too.
Collins, Hallicrafters, National & Hammarlund are my Favorites!

53. Re: How does a cellphone work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: munsch@ibm.net
Message-ID: <372cc6c3@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 120090

The earlier posts may have covered the technical side of system operation, but in direct answer to your question about repeaters and autopatches: You see those poles or towers, or just about anything else scattered all over the countryside with the triangular antenna mounts on them? Well, at the base of those poles, there is a whole building full of repeaters, 222 channels, I think, and just as many autopatches. No, 222 sounds too high. However many cell channels there are divided by six. Then start all over with 900 MHz PCS, then 1800 Mhz PCS, then, whatever they call the 1900 MHz stuff. All the latter are fully digital, of course. But each channel has a "repeater" equivalent and an autopatch equivalent. Some of the interconnection is trunked by microwave to a central office.
Crazy George

--
Crazy George

54. Help required with broken Realistic PRO-50 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "L.Mitchell" <L.Mitchell@currantbun.com>
Message-ID: <372cc6da.0@news1>
Source record: 120091

Does anyone know the solution to the following faults on a PRO-50:

1. LCD display goes dim and eventually dissapears after a few minutes of use,
2. The scanner still recieves when it is like this, but after another few minutes it goes complety dead wiping the stored frequencys in the process.

I thought that the .047F 5.5V capacitor (C246) was faulty as it provides the power to store the memory so it was replaced with a similar capacitor rated at 3.5V, (I was unable to find a 5.5V one) This has not fixed the fault. (Batteries which are in use are fresh ones)

Does anyone out there know anything about these faults any information would be useful.

Thanks

55. Re: AMTRAK Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mm505@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <372cc8b8.526029265@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120092
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

>>From what i understand, Amtrack uses the frequencies of what ever Rail
>>Road's track's they are using. Thus if they are on Conrail teackage,
>>then they wil use Conrail frequencies, etc.
>

I've ridden Amtrack before and the first thing I did was ask the
conductor about their radio's (the Crescent they were using GE's) and
what frequencies they were on. The conductor flipped his radio over
and showed me a sticker with all the freqs, let me copy them down and
then told me "Happy Scanning!"

The bottom line is, just ask and you'll probably be rewarded!

/\/\|k.e

www.mindspring.com/~mm505
Metro Atlanta Trunking Systems

56. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Grunberg <dangrunberg@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <7gilubkup1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <cx7V2.193$X75.499030@news.abs.net>,
"Karina Wright" <karina@cafekarina.org> wrote:
> CommQuart wrote in message <7g0billcb1@autumn.news.rcn.net>...
>
> >Most of the "NO CODE" whinning I object to comes from those who something
> >for absolutely nothing; no code, no theory. That class of license already
> >exists on 27 MHz.
>
> I am on my way towards getting my no-code license because, I'm afraid,
> that's as far as I will ever progress. I have tried for years to lear
> Morse, but some glitch in my hearing or noggin or something will not let me
> get the knack of it.

Nonsese, you're just not approaching the code properly and with enough
determination. If you ever sang a song (not necessarily in tune) you CAN
learn the code.

My dad went up to Montreal to enlist in 1939. The Canadian Army put all its
volunteers through aptitude tests to determine what their specialized
training would be. My father, who was an amateur pianist and a drummer
from the West Indies were the only two chosen from a large group of
soldiers to be trained as PROFESSIONAL telegraphers. My point is that
you must have a sense of rythm to learn Morse. My other point is that few
if any people have neveer sung a song or listened to some kind of music.
Everyone has some rhythmic sense. Here's an example of what I mean:

All sorts of people have learned enough Morse code to pass code tests;
it's only a matter of method, practice and patience. As I recall, once you
had a receiver, it was only a matter of memorizing the code patterns from a
printed chart and spending twenty minutes per night, four nights a week
listening to W1AW's code practice sessions at 5 and 7.5 words per minute,
to learn enough code to pass a code test before an FCC examiner (this was
the 1950s).

Today, there are freeware/shareware programs with settable code speeds
starting as slow as you'd like them to. The only thing standing between
anyone who can read English, Braille or whatever, and reading code at
5 words per minute is determination and an investment of time. You may
resent having to learn code, but if you want to learn the code, you'll learn
the code. Any body (in less politically correct times we used to say
"Any body's little sister") can learn the code. It just ain't that hard.

Here is the subset of INTERNATIONAL MORSE CODE that were
commonly used in ham radio, when I learned them in the early 1950s.
Please keep in mind that _ and . are only convenient abbreviations.

- always should be thought of as dah

. should be thought of as di, except at the end of a character

. should be thought of as dit, at the end of a character

For example: A = didah N = dahdit B = dahdididit

A . _ N _ .

B _ . . . O _ _ _

C _ . _ . P . _ _ .

D _ . . Q _ _ . _

E . R . _ .

F . . _ . S . . .

G _ _ . T _

H . . . . U . . _

I . . V . . . _

J . _ _ _ W . _ _

K _ . _ X _ . . _

L . _ . . Y _ . _ _

M _ _ Z - - . .

1 . _ _ _ _ 6 _ . . . .

2 . . _ _ _ 7 _ _ . . .

3 . . . _ _ 8 _ _ _ . .

4 . . . . _ 9 _ _ _ _ .

5 . . . . . 0 _ _ _ _ _

Note: <decimal point> is sent as the letter R

<period> . _ . _ . _

<comma> _ _ . . _ _

<hyphen> _ . . . _

<wait> . _ . . .

<error> . . . . . .

? . . _ _ . .

/ _ . . _ .

<end of transmission> . _ . _ .

++

Keep in mind that a dah is three times as long as a dit.

The time between successive elements (i.e. dits or dahs) of the
same character is equal to one dit's time. As I remember it (this
one you'd better check), the time between two successive characters
equal to three dits' time.

It'll go easier study if the characters are memorized in mnemonic
groups, according to their sounds.

All dits all dahs
======== ========

E . T _
I . . M _ _
S . . . O _ _ _ (oh)
H . . . .
5 . . . . . 0 _ _ _ _ _ (zero

<error> . . . . . .

Opposites
============================

A . _ N _ .
W . _ _ G _ _ .
J . _ _ _ (no opposite)
1 . _ _ _ _ 9 _ _ _ _ .

U . . _ D _ . .
2 . . _ _ _ 8 _ _ _ . .

V . . . _ B _ . . .
3 . . . _ _ 7 _ _ . . .

4 . . . . _ 6 _ . . . .

R . _ . K _ . _
P . _ _ . X _ . . _

/ _ . . _ . (no opposite)

._._. EOT (no opposite)

L . _ . . F . . _ .

E . T _
I . . M _ _
S . . . O _ _ _ (oh)
H . . . . (no opposite)
5 . . . . . 0 _ _ _ _ _ (zero)

<error> . . . . . (no opposite)

<period> . _ . _ . _ (no opposite)

? . . _ _ . . , _ _ . . _ _ (comma)

close but not quite the same
==========

C _ . _ . Y _ . _ _

Q _ _ . _ Z _ _ . .

<wait> . _ . . . <end of transmission> . _ . _ .

Here's an example of what I mean about rythm:
Q, which stands for Queen, sounds like the Wedding March,
"Here Comes the Bride" Dah Dah Di Dah

>
> When I was little (30-something years ago), I used to be glued to my
> step-dad's shortwave, sending postcards (I must have spent a forturne
> sending cards around the world).... I desperately wanted to be part of that
> world, I had a telegraph key to practice with, I specifically tuned into the
> morse broadcasts so I could try to develop an ear for it...to no avail...it
> just wouldn't happen. I dropped away from shortwave for years and have only
> recently become aware that I now have an entry(albeit a limited one) into
> the world of my youth.
>
> I have nothing but admiration for those who can pick up morse code. I wish
> I could.
>
> ttfn/Karina
>
>

--
Daniel A. Grunberg Kensington, Maryland USA

home page: www.nyx.net/~dgrunber

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

57. Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Jacobson <davidj@mocknews.com>
Message-ID: <372CCD40.F3C@mocknews.com>
Source record: 120094

Do you listen to scanners a lot? Regularly? A journalist for a national magazine wants to interview you about the fascination of combing the air waves and listening in. Can be anonymous if you want. Call David 415-386-2125 or contact him at newsfeatures@yahoo.com
Thank you.

58. Re: Hide Your Scanners, Guns, and Money: America Is Being Run By A Communist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens01@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <372cd132.10809603@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 120095
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Whats the problem?Only those who have an 'ulterior' motive would have
a problem with this.....

On 1 May 1999 21:54:09 -0500, Dan <radiodj@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Chip <chip@macconnect.com> writes: > And were they flying in BLACK helicopters, driving
black cars, vans,
>> and or SUV's in the company of black dogs. Using Astro Saber's or
>> XTS3000's and reading all the files on your hard drive via "cookies"
>> and planting Y2k bugs while monitoring what you view on your DSS/cable
>> system while you eat breakfast cereal laced with microchip GPS tracking
>> devices!!! Well!!!!!
>>
>> Nothing better than good old home grown American PARANOIA!!!!!!
>>
>> In article <372B34F9.85B494A6@usa.net>, Jack <tac2@usa.net> wrote:
>>
>> > Tracey wrote:
>> >
>> > > ..... This is the article about Clinton's communist past .....
>> > > (blathering babble)
>> >
>> > But the REAL questions are:
>> >
>> > Were they using an EDACS or SMARTZONE system for communication, and what
>> > TALKGROUPS were heard at the time?
>
>
> Here's something you might be curious to know:
>
> Every time you enter the name of a prominant government
> official onto the net...a super-computer at the National
> Security Agency documents the time, location & message.
> It's then evaluated for security threat. Most are
> ignored as general messages...but a few are passed onto
> the FBI for investigation. I'm totally serious.

59. Dx-394 $20 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: quake99@beer.com
Message-ID: <372cd307.12703652@news.igs.net>
Source record: 120096

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=98852433

Shortwave reciever base station
covers 150khz - 29.999mhz am,usb,lsb,cw1,cw2

starting bid at $20

60. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Glass <qestek@qestek.com>
Message-ID: <vZ4X2.66$g3.170117120@news.frii.net>
Source record: 120097

No, the drug war has not been won, but we won't know it after they pry our scanners from our trembling fingers.

JTWard01 <jtward01@aol.com.nospam> wrote in message news:19990502170121.19638.00001395@ng-cc1.aol.com...
> >From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
> >Date: 05-02-1999 13:01 EST
> >
> >What happened to Bill Cheek? Is he still in the slammer?
> >
> Bill and Cindy were arrested, booked and released. They never spent any
> time in jail, other than the length of time it took to process the paperwork.
> The last I heard from him they were going to have to travel to New York for a
> preliminary court appearance and to meet with the U.S. Public Defenders Office
> attorneys in New York.
> Just remember folks, this is your tax money at work, both prosecuting him,
> and defending him. Frankly, I can think of better ways the government could
> spend my money than by harrassing somebody like Bill. I mean, I haven't heard
> that the drug problem has been cured, have you?
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA
> "If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

61. Re: Alinco DJX10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Sargent <ke8wo@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <372CDC44.3C47C9C0@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120098

I've had one for several months and over-all like it. It has a lot of capability in a small package. Lots of features all reasonable to use. You will find a better scanner and a better HF receiver but the DJx10 performs well in all areas. If I could improve a couple of areas I would decrease current used to allow the batteries to last longer, I would improve selectivity ... it's a little broad ... and I would reduce it's tendency to overload. None of these drawbacks are major. Overall a good little radio.

David Abell wrote:
>
> Anyone used this one? I would like feedback on hf performance, scanning
> ability, speed, etc.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Dave

62. Re: CSP Trunked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Glass <qestek@qestek.com>
Message-ID: <s05X2.67$g3.170541056@news.frii.net>
Source record: 120099

I think this site has either the complete CSP frequency guide or a link to
it
http://www.frii.com/~rmedic/

Kevin <kahlk@kci.net> wrote in message news:7ggkgd$h9u$1@news1.rmi.net...
> Does anybody Know if the Colorado State Patrol is trunked, does anybody
have
> the Colorado State Patrol Trunked Freq? I would greatly appreciate it!!!
> If anybody needs anything for around NE Colorado, let me know. I can kick
> ya down with freqs.
>
>

63. FS:Bearcat 3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan <catdoc@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <372CD910.A7AC8AB9@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 120100

I have a brand new in the box Uniden Bearcat BC3000xlt hand held scanner for sale.It has frequency coverage from 25mhz to 1300mhz ,,This scanner wholesales right now for $339.00.I will sell mine for $225.00 plus the shipping.This scanner has full factory warranty.
Thanx
Alan

64. FS: Bearcat BC235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan <catdoc@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <372CDBD6.7CAB6B78@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 120101

I have a brand new in the box Uinden Bearcat BC235xlt handheld Trunk Tracker scanner for sale.This scanner receives the new trunk Tracking systems.The scanner comes with 2 ni-cad batteries and factory warranty.I want $175.00 plus the shipping cost for the scanner.
Thanx
Alan

65. Re: AMTRAK Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Olson <jaolson@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <372CE155.B29CE002@earthlink.net>
Source record: 120102
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

can you post them???

505 wrote:

> >>From what i understand, Amtrack uses the frequencies of what ever Rail
> >>Road's track's they are using. Thus if they are on Conrail teackage,
> >>then they wil use Conrail frequencies, etc.
> >
>
> I've ridden Amtrack before and the first thing I did was ask the
> conductor about their radio's (the Crescent they were using GE's) and
> what frequencies they were on. The conductor flipped his radio over
> and showed me a sticker with all the freqs, let me copy them down and
> then told me "Happy Scanning!"
>
> The bottom line is, just ask and you'll probably be rewarded!
>
> /\/\|k.e
>
> www.mindspring.com/~mm505
> Metro Atlanta Trunking Systems

66. Re: Just ordered my monitenna from Scanners Unlimited.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.119699dd62cbbef998976d@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120103

Geez, I am surprised. Been there, done that and I much preferred the Monitenna. I wonder how you had it set up?

67. Q: Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephan Walther-Larsen <aor8200@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <b_6X2.688$hn2.1177@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 120104
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Please could anybody help me with information regarding Packet Radio
decoding software that will work via a soundcard on my laptop. I have
several scanners an the only one that will decode is my Winradio
(DigitalSuite). I need to run the software on a laptop and do not have a
modem/tnc
TIA

--
Best regards/Hilsen

Stephan Walther-Larsen
Nuuk
Greenland

removethisswl@ki.gl

remember to remove "removethis"
from my emailadress.

68. Re: AMTRAK Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wildthing <wild1413@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <ejnDdVQl#GA.226@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 120105
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

Amtrack has its own website which lists all the frequencies listed and even
catagorizes them by routes throughout the country. Very open and honest,
don't even have to look for them they post them for the world to see.

Jon Olson wrote in message <372CE155.B29CE002@earthlink.net>...
>can you post them???
>
>505 wrote:
>
>> >>From what i understand, Amtrack uses the frequencies of what ever Rail
>> >>Road's track's they are using. Thus if they are on Conrail teackage,
>> >>then they wil use Conrail frequencies, etc.
>> >
>>
>> I've ridden Amtrack before and the first thing I did was ask the
>> conductor about their radio's (the Crescent they were using GE's) and
>> what frequencies they were on. The conductor flipped his radio over
>> and showed me a sticker with all the freqs, let me copy them down and
>> then told me "Happy Scanning!"
>>
>> The bottom line is, just ask and you'll probably be rewarded!
>>
>> /\/\|k.e
>>
>> www.mindspring.com/~mm505
>> Metro Atlanta Trunking Systems
>
>
>
>

69. Re: AMTRAK Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wildthing <wild1413@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <efXiJXQl#GA.140@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 120106
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

Oh by the way I forgot to include the link in the message below: Try this
for the AMTRAK web page with frequencies, print it out and you will have a
nice notebook full of them.

Here is the link: http://www.trainweb.com/radio/freq.htm

Happy listening ! ! !

wildthing wrote in message ...
>Amtrack has its own website which lists all the frequencies listed and even
>catagorizes them by routes throughout the country. Very open and honest,
>don't even have to look for them they post them for the world to see.
>
>Jon Olson wrote in message <372CE155.B29CE002@earthlink.net>...
>>can you post them???
>>
>>505 wrote:
>>
>>> >>From what i understand, Amtrack uses the frequencies of what ever Rail
>>> >>Road's track's they are using. Thus if they are on Conrail teackage,
>>> >>then they wil use Conrail frequencies, etc.
>>> >
>>>
>>> I've ridden Amtrack before and the first thing I did was ask the
>>> conductor about their radio's (the Crescent they were using GE's) and
>>> what frequencies they were on. The conductor flipped his radio over
>>> and showed me a sticker with all the freqs, let me copy them down and
>>> then told me "Happy Scanning!"
>>>
>>> The bottom line is, just ask and you'll probably be rewarded!
>>>
>>> /\/\|k.e
>>>
>>> www.mindspring.com/~mm505
>>> Metro Atlanta Trunking Systems
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

70. Re: digital television

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <372d26de@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 120107

Paul Cuni <pcuni@cris.com> wrote in message
news:372cee26.33199708@news.earthlink.net...
> What frequency does it transmit on anyway?

Same channels as regular analog TV. Less bandwidth needed, all digital. Most big cities have already had the DTV assignments made, some stay on VHF, and others have or will be moving to UHF. Our NBC affiliate here presently is broadcasting in both formats. You'll need one of those big bucks receivers to see anything, though.

71. Re: AMTRAK Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <372D0E6A.1CE9@ameritech.net>
Source record: 120108
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

Jon Olson wrote:
>
> can you post them???
>
> 505 wrote:
>
> > >>From what i understand, Amtrack uses the frequencies of what ever Rail
> > >>Road's track's they are using. Thus if they are on Conrail teackage,
> > >>then they wil use Conrail frequencies, etc.
> > >
> >
> > I've ridden Amtrack before and the first thing I did was ask the
> > conductor about their radio's (the Crescent they were using GE's) and
> > what frequencies they were on. The conductor flipped his radio over
> > and showed me a sticker with all the freqs, let me copy them down and
> > then told me "Happy Scanning!"
> >
> > The bottom line is, just ask and you'll probably be rewarded!
> >
> > /\/\|k.e
> >
> > www.mindspring.com/~mm505
> > Metro Atlanta Trunking Systems
WELL I AIN'T AWARE OF ALL OF THEM BUT ONE OF THEM IS 160.8000 MHZ NFM.
THERE ARE ALSO A FEW HOTBOXEX ON THAT FREQUENCY IN THE CLEVELAND AREA.
--
MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
CELEBRATE IT!!!!

72. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <372D1167.3C71@sir.com>
Source record: 120109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

> If you'd ever known Morse code, you'd still know Morse code.

Not always true. I knew morse code, and don't remember any of it now
since I never used it after learning it. The same is true for many Hams
I know.

73. SWL Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: asperges@innotts.co.uk
Message-ID: <3737c2b7.6838353@news.innotts.co.uk>
Source record: 120110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

May Update - Amateur Radio Pages:

http://www.innotts.co.uk/~asperges/

International Amateur Radio Pages:

This month:

* Time for a Shake-Up in the UK;
* Listen to Radio on Internet
*Amateur Radio News read to you in Real Audio

AND AS ALWAYS:

* Wide range of subject matters;
* Updated news, comment and debate;
* Real Audio on many pages;
* Listeners / Scanner Enthusiast Section
* Links and feedback.
* Ideal for experts of beginners;

Text and Real Audio and video!
As always: everyone welcome.

73 de Jeremy G4NJH

---

74. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <372D1073.14B2@sir.com>
Source record: 120111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Gene Floyd wrote:
> >
> > Is this besides the fact that knowledge of CW is required by international treaty for
> > access to certain frequencies? Or are you suggesting that the government simply ignore
> > the treaties and make it's own rules?
>

Why don't Handicaped people have to pass a code test, yet get HF
licenses?

75. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <372D13DB.4B42@sir.com>
Source record: 120112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> So, tell me. How many subjects or material did you cover in
> school that you felt were something you would never use and only forget?

Just about 97% of them.

> You may consider learning the code a waste of time (yours) BUT, if it
> is required to obtain the license you still need it, like it or not.

Obviously. No one here made an argument about code not being a
requirement. If you were paying attention, people here are suggesting
that it not be made a requirement any longer.

> You may never parallel park a car in your lifetime (in 35 years of driving
> I never have), soooo, should it be eliminated from the driving test?

No, that would similar to horizontal and vertical polarization of
antennas on the Ham exam. But there should not be a test of how to
attach skis to the roof of your car, if you want to have a correct
analogy.

> Most of your frivilous analogies fail to hold any water.

Because you don't understand what is being said, and translate the
analogies incorrectly.

76. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <372D147D.3256@sir.com>
Source record: 120113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> those who choose to follow the rules.I dont agree with all the rules
> but I do follow them.Why? Because they ARE THE RULES!!!

Blacks loved that answer back in the slave days, Women loved that answer back in the days when they were not allowed to vote, Hams loved that answer back in the 90's when code was a requirement to use HF.

77. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <372D1505.1174@sir.com>
Source record: 120114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe wrote:
>
> I have worked and studied hard in the past and maybe I do tend to
> relax more than I used to,but I can afford it and that is one of the
> reasons I worked hard.
> As far as changing the rules...I see no rules that need changing....
> When will you crybabies realize that no one is forcing you to become
> an Amateur Operator!!!If you dont like it the way it IS,LEAVE!!!

In other words, If you are Black and don't like having to sit on the
back of a bus, get off and walk. People like you didn't see any reason
to change the slaves laws either or to let women vote. People like
you...

78. Re: AMTRAK Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <372D1516.E6A@ameritech.net>
Source record: 120115
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

BIGmeanMAMERjammer wrote:
>
> Jon Olson wrote:
> >
> > can you post them???
> >
> > 505 wrote:
> >
> > > >From what i understand, Amtrack uses the frequencies of what ever Rail
> > > >Road's track's they are using. Thus if they are on Conrail teackage,
> > > >then they wil use Conrail frequencies, etc.
> > > >
> > >
> > > I've ridden Amtrack before and the first thing I did was ask the
> > > conductor about their radio's (the Crescent they were using GE's) and
> > > what frequencies they were on. The conductor flipped his radio over
> > > and showed me a sticker with all the freqs, let me copy them down and
> > > then told me "Happy Scanning!"
> > >
> > > The bottom line is, just ask and you'll probably be rewarded!
> > >
> > > /\/\|k.e
> > >
> > > www.mindspring.com/~mm505
> > > Metro Atlanta Trunking Systems
> WELL I AIN'T AWARE OF ALL OF THEM BUT ONE OF THEM IS 160.8000 MHZ NFM.
> THERE ARE ALSO A FEW HOTBOXES ON THAT FREQUENCY IN THE CLEVELAND AREA.
> --
> MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
> CELEBRATE IT!!!!

--
MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
CELEBRATE IT!!!!

79. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <372D16DD.A39@sir.com>
Source record: 120116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> So you pick and choose which laws to obey, eh Bill? You couldn't have
> set your cruise control at the legal speed and been an example, of
> course. Better to stick with the Lemming pack.

When they pass a law that says you have to jump off a cliff, I suppose
you will go along with that too.

A legal speed limit is only a meaningless number pulled out of a hat by
some polititian. When they can't get enough people to speed over that
number, they continue to lower that limit until they can generate the
wanted revenue. Police cars always HIDE out of site to catch speeders
because if they were visable, people would not speed past them and they
could not fine them. This is not a matter of saftey, or the police
would not hide, but be visable so that people would see them and not
speed. Can't make much money that way on tickets.

80. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <372D17AA.23FD@sir.com>
Source record: 120117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> BungHole wrote:
> >
> > I have 500 things which require just 10-15 minutes a day already. ;)
> >
> > : >> If you have 10-15 minutes a day,you have the time to learn CW.
>
> So we're to believe that number 501 would be the straw that
> took down the camel?

No, why stop there, why not find 600 more skills that you never intend to use and learn them. People take the time to learn what they NEED to know or WANT to know. Why learn Russian if you never intend to go to Russia or ever speak Russian?

81. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <372D18D3.3727@sir.com>
Source record: 120118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

JJ wrote:
>
> BungHole wrote:
> >
> > These guys just don't get it do they?
> > Hello!
> > No Speaka de english?
> > Do you comprende?Understand!
> > Unlike you, we don't have all the time in the world to learn some useless
> > outdated crap which we won't ever use for the sake of a stupid little hobby.
> > We hear you always bitching about losing HAMs but you are unwilling to make
> > the changes to get more HAMs, so either shut up or learn to bend.
> >
>
> Then you just don't really want to be a ham.

You don't understand. Perhaps he wants to be a Ham that can talk on HF
frequencies and never use Morse Code. There are a lot of us like that
that are licensed hams now.

If someone doesn't want to use packet, but wants to just use Morse Code,
does that mean THAT person really doesn't want to be a ham too?

82. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <372D198C.5637@sir.com>
Source record: 120119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> >What if you wanted to play the flute, but a law required that you learn
> >how to play the harp before you were allowed to play the flute?
> >
> >What if you didn't like the harp and only wanted to play the flute, and
> >other flute players called you lazy for not wanting to waste time
> >learning an instrument you had no intention of ever playing?
> >
> >Some people want to get into the hobby of radio to talk on the radio.
> >They have no desire to use morse code. How silly it would be to waste
> >the time to learn morse code, only to forget it once one has the license
> >to go ahead and use voice.
> >
> >Only people who intend to USE morse code should learn it.

83. Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Carrigan <nickc@lightmail.com>
Message-ID: <7gj87o$l48$1@goliath.lightrealm.com>
Source record: 120120

What's the best (overall) trunk-tracking mobile?

-Nick

84. FS:Realistic Pro 2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robin <n9rna@genevaonline.com>
Message-ID: <vJ9X2.986$Tr3.555335@homer.alpha.net>
Source record: 120121

```
Realistic Pro 2006 Scanner
Full 800 Mhz. coverage.
400 channels
excellent working condition
looks good yet too
$300 + 15 shipping and insurance USPS

interested email only please
```

85. Re: Need info on Montgomery County TX radio systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <372D293B.3957@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120122

Robert Barker wrote:
>
> I have a friend looking for info on Montgomery County TX radio systems.
> specifically their trunked radio system.
>
> Please reply to me or to her at:
> cameron516@yahoo.com

Check out the following URL:

http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/tx.html

86. FRS in Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay MacNEIL <jmacneil@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <372D309E.55BC@direct.ca>
Source record: 120123

Just a little note to all Canadian radio hobbiests.
Industry Canada is considering allowing USA Family Radio service in
Canada.
See Industry Canada web page.

http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/SSG/sf01740e.html for details.

I hope we follow suite with the US, as I know that US FRS equipment
is being sold or used here in Canada illegally.

87. New B.C. WARS freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay MacNEIL <jmacneil@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <372D3A4C.20D@direct.ca>
Source record: 120124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio,scanner

Has anyone in the greater Vancouver BC area been listening to the new
B.C. Wide Area Radio system tests on 866.xxx mhz?

Ericsson and BMS Communications is installing the new 800.mhz system,
with one transmitter/receiveral already atop of the Scotia Bank tower at
Georgia and Seymour St.
The following freqs have been monitored:
866.0875
866.1375
866.3375
866.3875
866.5875 --- data stream
866.8375
866.8875
867.0875
867.1375
The new system is to be up and running by June99?
Has anyone heard any voice tests? or are we doomed with total digital
EDACS on these
freqs that are to be used by the police/fire & ambulance services.
please post reply to news group or email me

jmacneil@direct.ca

88. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ao111@freenet.carleton.ca
Message-ID: <amckie-ya02408000R0205991223110001@news.ncf.carleton.ca>
Source record: 120125

In article <MPG.11976490cd19d6fd989770@news.earthlink.net>,
dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman) wrote:

> NO WAY. I'll take the Optocom every time. OK, so you need a computer, big
> deal.

Um, that would preclude most people's definition of "mobile," would it not?

-A

89. Re: Is Your Health At Risk? Re: Are Cell phone hazardous to health?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Expert <expert@friko.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <372BDDB6.240B@friko.onet.pl>
Source record: 120126
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular-phone-tech,alt.cellular.motorola,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
> Cell phones are very hazardous to your financial health.
ISO stamndard on a potential cell phone hazards to health is under way.

90. Re: Hide Your Scanners, Guns, and Money: America Is Being Run By A Communist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: BungHole <bung@hole.org>
Message-ID: <A791ABB447E803F5.E9455167C879EB75.04929F0CDD977BB6@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 120137
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
: Every time you enter the name of a prominant government
: official onto the net...a super-computer at the National
: Security Agency documents the time, location & message.
: It's then evaluated for security threat. Most are
: ignored as general messages...but a few are passed onto
: the FBI for investigation. I'm totally serious.

This is true, I can also verify this.
```

91. Re: Philadelphia to get new 800 trunked system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: w6jrf@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990502022628.20739.00001201@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 120138

I wonder what the city council would say if they were to read about how a malfunctioning RV television antenna pre-amp was able to screw up Sacramento CA 42 million dollar system?

92. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <372BF7D9.2DC1@cats-net.com>
Source record: 120139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark Morgan wrote:

>
> Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
> Unsolictied email will be published forwared
> or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.
>
> sending unsolitcied Email will be
> deemed aceptance of these terms

I'm happy to see that you've cleaned up the mistake-filled,
incomprehensible signature line, Mark. This new mistake-filled version
is much easier to understand.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

93. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7ggt4p$io0@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 120140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hrafnkell Eiriksson <hkelle@rhi.hi.is> wrote:
>Well all the "lazy and stupid" go use the Internet today for satisfying
>their need for playing with technical stuff and communicating with
>people.

Using an untold number of billions of dollars worth of other people's equipment, along with millions of miles of phone lines.

>Those who are not "lazy and stupid" go through learning all
>the electronics and regulations that you have to learn to get a ham licence.

True. And we use only our own equipment (which many of us have built ourselves).

Jeff KH6O

94. RE: Harrisburg PA Frequencies - Penna. Scanner Frequencies List]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <372BF8A4.C078ECE0@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 120141

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/Pennsylvania/index.html

Must be a UNIX server. It required me to use an upper case "P" in
Pennsylvania

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/Pennsylvania/index.html

aln wrote:

> Check out this web page for a complete list of penna. scanner
> frequencies....
>
> http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/pennsylvania/index.html

--
Regards, Mark - N3XL
n3xl@fast.net

95. RE: Harrisburg PA Frequencies - Penna. Scanner Frequencies List]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <372BF95B.EB012818@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 120142

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/Pennsylvania/index.html

96. Looking for 155.550/155.490/155.445MHz Xtals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary_Jacek@trap.bc.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <L3TW2.79$Gr4.520647@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 120143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking for

155.550/155.490/155.445 MHz P77 10.7 IF Regency Type

Crystals.

I have a few Xtals for trade at:

http://www3.bc.sympatico.ca/Gary_Jacek/Tradxtal.html

Please remove "trap." from my email address if you have

one of these you'd like to part with.

97. Need Tampa area MDT freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: dolphinride@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7gh26l$e87$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Any help appreciated.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

98. Re: Harrisburg PA Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: martyrip <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <372BFEE3.390D@ptd.net>
Source record: 120145

```
--
@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
\___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE FREQUENCY PLAN

CHANNEL MOBILE TX MOBILE RX PL TONE DISPLAY USE
01 154.755 154.755 186.2 PSP MOB. MOBILE/MOBILE
02 154.950 155.580 186.2 CH.A CH.A
03 155.910 155.670 186.2 CH.B CH.B
04 155.850 155.505 186.2 CH.C CH.C
05 158.910 154.665 186.2 CH.D CH.D
06 154.905 154.695 186.2 CH.E CH.E
07 154.830 154.920 186.2 CH.F CH.F
08 155.445 155.445 186.2 CH.G CH.G
09 155.475 155.475 C.Sq NEPF National Emerg.
10 154.755 159.210 186.2 RPTR1 Car Repeater
11 159.210 154.755 123.0 RPTR2 Base Repeater
12 155.460 155.460 186.2 TAC-1 Tactical 1
13 151.490 151.490 186.2 TAC-2 Tactical 2
14 154.950 154.950 186.2 MON A Ch.A Talk Around
15 155.910 155.910 186.2 MON B Ch.B Talk Around
16 155.850 155.850 186.2 MON C Ch.C Talk Around
17 158.910 158.910 186.2 MON D Ch.D Talk Around
18 154.905 154.905 186.2 MON E Ch.E Talk Around
19 154.830 154.830 186.2 MON F Ch.F Talk Around
20
21 156.015 158.760 151.4 ADMIN-1 With Phone
22 156.015 158.760 123.0 ADMIN-2 Without Phone
23 156.195 159.045 179.9 RPT 1 3 Turnpike Rpt.ODD*
24 156.225 159.075 179.9 RPT 2 4 Turnpike Rpt.EVEN*
25 159.000 159.000 C.SQ. PTCSMX Turnpike Sx.
26 158.835 158.835 C.Sq. PEMA Emerg. Management
155.580 155.790 186.2 CH.A Old CH.A
156.150 154.695 186.2 Ch.E Old CH.E
155.790 155.790 123.0 TAC-3 Tactical 3 Not Confirmed
156.150 156.150 123.0 TAC EAST Tactical East
154.905 154.905 123.0 TAC WEST Tactical West
159.030 159.030 123.0 TAC DES Tactical Des**
453.075 458.075 123.0 New Portables?W. PA (2/13/98)
Channels 27 - 32 Contain LOCAL AND/OR Other State VHF agency Frequencies.
Use VARIES by LOCATION.
```

* Tone on TX ONLY
** If, DES scrambling present, NO PL presnt, PL & DES are not compatible.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE
COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION
STATION CHANNEL ASSIGNMENT

LOCATION CHANNEL COUNTY
Troop A
A1 Greensburg (HQ) 154.920B/154.830M F Wesmoreland Co.
A2 Ebensburg 154.665B/158.910M D Cambria Co.
A3 Indiana 154.695B/154.905M E Indiana Co.
A4 Kiski Valley 155.580B/154.950M A Wesmoreland Co.
A6 Somerset 155.580B/154.950M A Somerset Co.

Troop B
B1 Washington (HQ) 154.665B/158.910M D Washington Co.
B2 Belle Vernon 154.695B/154.905M E Fayette Co.
B3 Findlay 155.670B/155.910M B Alleghney Co.
B4 Uniontown 155.670B/155.910M B Fayette Co.
B5 Waynesburg 155.505B/155.850M C Greene Co.
B6 Pittsburgh Barracks CLOSED 8/96) Alleghney Co.

Troop C
C1 Punxsutawney (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Jefferson Co.
C2 Shippensville 155.670B/155.910M B Clarion Co.
C3 Clearfield 155.670B/155.910M B Clearfield Co
C4 DuBois 155.505B/155.850M C Clearfield Co.
C5 Kane 155.670B/155.910M B McKean Co.
C6 Ridgeway 154.920B/154.830M F Elk Co.
C7 Tionesta 155.670B/155.910M B Forest Co.
C? Mt. Jewell 155.670B/155.910M B McKean Co.

Troop D
D1 Butler (HQ) 154.665B/158.910M D Butler Co.
D2 Kittanning 155.505B/155.850M C Armstrong Co.
D3 Mercer 154.695B/154.905M E Mercer Co.
D4 Beaver 155.505B/155.850M C Beaver Co.
D6 New Castle 155.505B/155.850M C Lawrence Co.

Troop E
E1 Erie (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Erie Co.
E2 Corry 154.920B/154.830M F Erie Co.
E3 Seneca 155.580B/154.950M A Venange Co.
E4 Girard 154.665B/158.910M D Erie Co.
E5 Meadville 155.670B/155.910M B Crawford Co.
E6 Warren 155.580B/154.950M A Warren Co.

Troop F
F1 Montoursville(HQ) 154.665B/158.910M D Lycoming Co.
F2 Coudersport 155.580B/154.950M A Potter Co.
F3 Emporium 154.665B/158.910M D Cameron Co.
F4 Lamar 155.580B/154.950M A Clinton Co.

F5 Mansfield 155.670B/155.910M B Tioga Co.
F6 Milton 155.580B/154.950M A Union Co.
F7 Selinsgrove 155.580B/154.950M A Snyder Co.
F8 Stonington 155.580B/154.950M A Northumbererland Co.

Troop G
G1 Holidaysburg (HQ) 154.695R/154.905M E Blair Co. (Rpt.)
G2 Bedford 155.505B/155.850M C Bedford Co.
G3 Hutningdon 154.665B/158.910M D Huntingdon Co.
G4 Lewistown 154.920B/154.830M F Mifflin Co.
G5 McConnellsburg 155.505B/155.850M C Fulton Co.
G7 Rockview 155.505B/155.850M C Center Co.
G8 Phillipsburg 155.505B/155.850M C Clearfield Co.
G? Milesburg 154.665B/158.910M D Center Co.

Troop H
H1 Harrisburg (HQ) 155.670B/155.910M B Dauphin Co.
H2 Carlisle 154.665R/158.910M D Cumberland Co. (Rpt.)
H3 Chambersburg 155.670B/155.910M B Frankin Co.
H4 Lykens 155.580B/154.950M A Dauphin Co.
H5 Newport 155.445B/155.445M G Perry Co.
H6 Gettysburg 155.580B/154.950M A Adams Co.
H7 York 155.505B/155.850M C York Co.
H? Hershey (Academy) 155.670B/155.910M B Dauphin Co.

Troop J
J1 Lancaster (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Lancaster Co.
J2 Avondale 155.670B/155.910M B Chester Co.
J3 Embreeville 155.445B/155.445M G Chester Co.
J5 Eprhata 155.670B/155.910M B Lancaster Co.Temporarily located
155.580B/154.950M A Lancaster HQ

Troop K
K1 Philadelphia (HQ) 155.670B/155.910M B Philadelphia Co.
K2 Media 155.505B/155.850M C Delaware Co.
K3 Skippack 155.670B/155.910M B Montgomery Co.

Troop L
L1 Reading (HQ) 154.695R/154.905M E* Berks Co. (Rpt.)
L2 Jonestown 155.505B/155.850M C Lebanon Co.
L3 Frackville 155.505B/155.850M C Schuylkill Co.
L4 Hamburg 154.695R/154.905M E Berks Co. (Rpt.)
L7 Schuylkill Haven 155.505B/155.850M C Schuylkill Co.

Troop M
M1 Bethlehem (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Northampton Co.
M2 Dublin 155.505B/155.850M C Bucks Co.
M3 Trevose 155.580B/154.950M A Bucks Co.
M5 Fogelsville 155.670B/155.910M B Lehigh Co.
M6 Belfast 155.580B/154.950M A Northampton Co.

Troop N
N1 Hazleton (HQ) 155.670B/155.910M B Luzerne Co.
N2 Bloomsburg 155.670B/155.910M B Columbia Co.
N3 Fern Ridge 155.670B/155.910M B Monrose Co.
N4 Lehighton 155.505B/155.850M C Carbon Co.
N6 Swiftwater 155.670B/155.910M B Monror Co.

Troop P
P1 Wyoming (HQ) 155.505B/155.850M C Luzerne Co.
P2 Dushore 155.505B/155.850M C Sullivan Co.
P4 Shickshinny 155.505B/155.850M C Luzerne Co.
P5 Towanda 155.505B/155.850M C Brandford Co.
P6 Tunkhannock 155.505B/155.850M C Wyoming Co.

Troop R
R1 Dunmore (HQ) 155.445B/155.445M G Lackawanna Co.
R3 Honesdale 155.505B/155.850M C Wayne Co.
R4 Blooming Grove 155.580B/154.950M A Pike Co.
R5 Gibson 155.580B/154.950M A Susquehanna Co.

Note: Rpt. denotes that the channel is operated in REPEATER mode versus the normal full duplex.

Troop S - Interstate Patrols
Eliminated 5/17/97
All Interstate/Highway patrols are now operated by the barracks in the area.

Troop T (See Turnpike)

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE TEN CODE LIST
10-1 SIGNAL WEAK 10-26 ESTIMATED ARRIVAL TIME
10-2 SIGNAL GOOD 10-27 LICENSE/PERMIT INFORMATION
10-3 STOP TRNSMITTING 10-28 OWNERSHIP INFORMATION
10-4 AFFIRMATIVE (OK) 10-29 RECORDS CHECK
10-5 RELAY TO_____ 10-30 DANGER/CAUTION
10-6 BUSY 10-31 PICK-UP
10-7 OUT OF SERVICE AT HOME 10-32 ____UNITS REQUESTE
10-8 IN SERVICE 10-34 TIME CHECK
10-9 REPEAT YOUR MESSAGE 10-37 INTOXICATED PERSON
10-10 NEGATIVE 10-40 ADVISE IF______AVAILABLE FOR CALL
10-11 ____ON DUTY 10-42 DOES NOT CONFORM TO REGULATIONS
10-12 STAND-BY 10-43 ADVISE OF ROAD/WEATHER CONDITIONS
10-13 EXISTING CONDITIONS 10-44 ACKNOWLEDGE
10-14 MESSAGE/INFORMATION 10-45 VEHICLE ACCIDENT
10-15 MESSAGE DELIVERED 10-46 DETAINING SUBJECT
10-16 REPLY TO MWSSAGE 10-47 NEED AMBULANCE
10-17 EN ROUTE *10-71 IBM SERVICE REQUESTED
10-18 URGENT *10-72 ELECTRICAL SERVICE REQUESTER
10-19 IN CONTACT *10-73 PLUMBING SERVICE REQUESTER
10-20 LOCATION *10-74 RESERVATIONS REQUESTED
10-21 TELEPHONE______IMMEDIATELY 10-88 HAVE PACKAGE FOR_______
10-22 DISREGARD 10-89 ____IS CALLING YOU

10-23 ARRIVED AT SCENE 10-97 RADIO CHECK
10-24 ASSIGNMENT SIGNAL 33 HELP ME QUICK
10-25 RETURN TO_____
10-99 EMERGENCY AT THIS STATION-ALL UNITS COPY-INTERFERING STATIONS STAND-BY
* NOT USE BY NON-TURNPIKE UNITS

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE STATUTE CODES
601 DRIVING WITHOUT LICENSE 3731 DRUNK DRIVING
2501 CRIMINAL HOMICIDE 3732 HOMICIDE BY VEHICLE
2502 MURDER 3925 RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY
2701 SIMPLE ASSAULT 3926 THEFT OF SERVICES
2702 AGGRAVATED ASSAULT 4101 FORGERY
2705 RECKLESSLY ENDANGERING 4105 BAD CHECKS
2706 TERRORISTIC THREATS 4106 FORGED CREDIT CARDS
2709 HARASSMENT 4304 ENDANGERING WELFARE OF CHILDREN
2901 KIDNAPPING 4905 FILING FALSE POLICE REPORT
3121 RAPE 4912 IMPERSONATING A POLICE OFFICER
3122 STATUTORY RAPE 5104 RESISTING ARREST
3125 CORRUPTION OF MINORS 5121 ESCAPE FROM CUSTODY
3126 INDECENT ASSAULT 5501 RIOT
3127 INDECENT EXPOSURE 5503 DISORDERLY CONDUCT
3301 ARSON 5504 HARASSMENT BY COMMUNICATIONS
3304 CRIMINAL MISCHIEF 5505 PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
3306 OPENING FIRE HYDRANTS 5506 LOITERING OR PROWLING AT NIGHT
3502 BURGLARY 5513 LIIEGAL GAMBLING
3503 CRIMINAL TRESPASS 5902 PROSTITUTION
3701 ROBBERY 6308 UNDERAGE DRINKING
3714 RECKLESS DRIVING 6501 LITTERING
(STATUTE CODES SELDOM USED)

Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission
The PA. TURNPIKE is divided into five districts stating at the Ohio line
eastward to the New Jersey line. This area encompasses Dist. 1 through 4.
The Northeast Extension of the turnpike is District 5. Each district is
served by an alternating frequency as listed below.

159.045/156.195 (TX PL 179.9)(RX on tone) Dist. 1,3 & 5
159.075/156.225 (TX PL 179.9)(RX on tone) Dist. 2 & 4
159.000 PL C.SQ Mobile to Mobile, Radar, Aircraft
154.755 Mobile to Mobile, Radar, Aircraft
155.460 PL186.2 PSP Tac 1
151.490 PL186.2 PSP Tac 2
453.150/458.150 C.SQ Tunnels
453.300/458.300 C.SQ Tunnels

District 1 159.045 District 4 159.075
Exit 1 Gateway (Ohio Line) 1.3mp Exit 23 Downingtown 312.0mp
Exit 2 Beaver Valley 12.8mp Exit 24 Valley Forge 326.0mp
Exit 3 Perry Highway 28.4mp Exit 25 Norristown (NE Ext.) 333.1mp
Exit 4 Butler Vaalley 39.1mp Exit 25aMid-Couty (I-476)
Exit 5 Allegheny Valley 47.5mp Exit 26 Fort Washington 339.5mp

Exit 6 Pittsburgh 56.5mp Exit 27 Willow Grove 342.9mp
Exit 7 Irwin 67.4mp Exit 28 Philadelphia 351.3mp
Exit 8 New Stanton 75.5mp Exit 29 Delaware Valley 357.7mp
Exit 9 Donegal 90.7mp Exit 30 Bridge (New Jersey) 359.0mp

District 2 159.075 District 5 159.045
Exit 10 Somerset 109.9mp Exit 31 Lansdale A10.3mp
Exit 11 Bedford 145.5mp Exit 32 Quakertown A23.9mp
Exit 12 Breezewood 162.9mp Exit 33 Lehigh Valley A37.2mp
Exit 13 Fort Littleton 179.5mp Exit 34 Mahoning Valley A55.7mp
Exit 14 Willow Hill 188.6mp Exit 35 Pocono A74.7mp
Exit 36 Wilkes-Barre A85.3mp
District 3 159.045 Exit 37 Wyomimg Valley A94.6mp
Exit 15 Blue Mountain 201.3mp Exit 38 Scranton (Terminus) A110.3mp
Exit 16 Carlisle 226.3mp
Exit 17 Gettysburg Pike 236.1mp
Exit 18 Harrisburg West 242.0mp
Exit 19 Harrisburg East 247.4mp
Exit 20 Lebanon/Lancaster 266.4mp
Exit 21 Reading/Lancaster 285.5mp
Exit 22 Morantown 298.0mp

PA. TURNPIKE UNITS
150-series are Ambulances 200-series are tow trucks
300-series are State Police 2000-series are highway maintenace

Police & Maint/Work Crews use same channels on.
PSP Troop T handles patrols on the turnpike. ALL Troop T dispatch
is done from Harrisburg. System is linked via microwave.

Pennsylvania Attorney General's Office

154.950 (F-1) -Drug Enforcement Mobile-to Mobile
155.445R/XXX.XXX (F-2) -Drug Enforcement Dispatch
155.490 (F-3) -Drug Enforcement Regional (CLEAN)
156.090 (F-4) -Drug Enforcement Special Operations
460.200/465.200 179.9 Department of Justice North Repeaters (F1)
460.475/465.475 179.9 Department of Justice South Repeaters (F2)

Use depends on if one of the channels has been assigned to local agencies.
Western PA is primarily 460.475

Pennsylvania State Police Liquor Control Board Detail>

158.7600R/156.0150 151.4 F-1 (Phone Patch Capable)
158.7600R/156.0150 123.0 F-2 (No Phone Patch)
453.1375R/458.1375 Harrisburg
45.3000 Simplex Portables (Old System)

Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency (PEMA)

PEMA uses 5 channels:
453.525/458.525 Main Repeater
453.350/458.350 Mobile Repeater
453.525 Simplex
453.350 Simplex
158.835 VHF
45.300 (Old System)
PEMA uses DIFFERING CTCSS (PL) tones for various counties main
repeater as follows:

Allegheny Co. 210.7
Armstrong Co. 103.5
Carbon Co. 136.5
Clearfield Co. 179.9
Fayette Co. 118.8
Indiana Co. 136.5
Lawrence Co. 156.7
Washington Co. 156.7
Schuylkill Co. 210.7 rpt. tx 179.9 Input
Somerset Co. 136.5

PL is NOT used on 453.350 the mobile repeater or simplex.

169.425 PEMA Rain Gauges
169.500 PEMA Rain Gauges
169.525 PEMA Rain Gauges
170.275 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.025 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.085 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.125 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.850 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.875 PEMA Rain Gauges
412.625 PEMA Rain Gauges

Rain Gauges are scattered throughout the state, and transmit
data on the above
frequency back to the local EMA office. At that point it's
collected on a
computer using IFLOWS software, and relayed to PEMA HQ in HBG via
Ku band VSAT
satellite system.

PEMA also utilizes the Ku band satellite system known as SEVAN
to send
messages to local EMA offices regarding events around the state,
and weather
information from the NOAA Weather Wire.

SEVAN also provides for a voice channel to all EMA agencies,
and a video
channel from PEMA HQ.

Pennsylvania State Capitol Police

453.300R/458.300 PL192.8 Ch.1
453.100R/458.100 PL192.8 Ch.2

Pennsylvania State Senate Secuity
453.550R/458.550 PL156.7

Pennsylvania State Prisons
All use PL203.5
45.160 Statewide
453.300R/458.300 Mahanoy Twp. Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.300R/458.300 Somerset, Somerset Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Bellefonte, Centre Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Camp Hill, Cumberland Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Dallas, Luzerne Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Graterford Montgomery Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Pittsburgh-Western Pennitentary
453.450R/458.450 Camp Hill, Cumblerland Co. Pa.
453.450R/458.450 Cresson Cambria Co. Pa.
453.500R/458.500 Somerset, Somerset Co. Pa.
453.600R/458.600 Bellefonte, Centre Co. Pa.
453.625R/458.625 Graterford Montgomery Co. Pa.
453.675R/458.675 Mahanoy Twp. Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.725R/458.725 Albion Erie Co. Pa.
453.825R/458.825 Cambridge Springs Womens Prison Crawford Co.
453.825R/458.825 Coal Shamokin Northumberland Co. Pa.
453.825R/458.825 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.875R/458.875 Camp Hill, Cumblerland Co. Pa.
453.875R/458.875 Coal Shamokin Northumberland Co. Pa.
453.925R/458.925 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa. (temporary)
453.975R/458.975 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa. (temporary)

PA. DEPARTMENT OF ENIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP) and
PA. DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR)
151.175 SIMPLEX F-1 PL103.5
151.295 SIMPLEX F-2 PL103.5
151.385 SIMPLEX F-3 PL103.5
151.400 SIMPLEX F-4 PL103.5
151.160 SIMPLEX F-5 PL103.5
151.445 SIMPLEX F-6 PL103.5
151.460 SIMPLEX F-?
159.285 SIMPLEX F-7 PL103.5 "COMPACT" (Air-Air)
159.330 SIMPLEX F-8 PL103.5
151.175/159.225 F-9 Dist. 1,2,7,9,10,11
151.295/159.360 F-10 Dist. 6,13,12,15,20
151.385/159.420 F-11 Dist. 4,16,17,18,19
151.400/159.345 F-12 Dist. 3,5,8,14
172.275 ?????
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR) RADIO IDENTIFIERS
DIST. IDENTIFIER BASE DIST. IDENTIFIER BASE

1 ALPHA FAYETTEVILLE 11 LIMA SCRANTON
2 BRAVO MCCONNELLSBURG 12 MIKE SOUTH WILLIAMSPORT
3 CHARLIE BLAIN 13 NOVEMBER EMPORIUM
4 DELTA LIGONIER 14 PAPA WARREN
5 ECHO HUNTINGDON 15 ROMEO COUDERSPORT
6 FOXTROT EBENSBURG 16 SIERRA WELLSBORO
7 GOLF MIFFINBURG 17 TANGO BUCKTOWN
8 HOTEL CLARION 18 VICTOR CRESSONA
9 JULIETTE CLEARFIELD 19 WHISKEY STROUDSBURG
10 KILO RENOVO 20 XRAY BLOOMSBURG

DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR) FOREST FIRE READYNESS CODES:
Code-1 Forest Fire danger threat low
Code-2 Forest Fire danger threat moderate
Code-3 Forest Fire danger threat high

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP)
Mobile Relay Frequency Data Mach 1, 1987
TX.FREQ RX.FREQ TX.PL RX.PL CALL SIGN SITE COUNTY
151.175R/159.255 103.5/141.3 KWI669 BIG FLAT CUMBERLAND
151.175R/159.255 114.8/114.8 KVN571 RATTLESNAKE CENTRE
151.175R/159.255 114.8/103.5 KDY285 ROCKTON CLEARFIELD
151.175R/159.255 114.8/127.3 KQN935 TAMARACK CLINTON
151.175R/159.255 114.8/156.7 KQN936 RAINSARES CLINTON
151.175R/159.255 156.7/88.5 KFZ745 ELK MT. SUSQUEHANNA
151.175R/159.255 156.7/173.8 KUO922 FREELAND LUZERNE
151.175R/159.255 103.5/110.9 KLS512 TUSCARORA FULTON
151.175R/159.255 103.5/136.5 KWI698 KINTON KNOB BEDFORD
151.175R/159.255 114.8/192.8 KZF969 BEAVER SNYER

151.295R/159.360 141.3/141.3 KVN570 BLUE KNOB BEDFORD
151.295R/159.360 141.3/156.7 KFO902 LOWER YODER CAMBRIA
151.295R/159.360 114.3/94.8 KVN642 HEMINGWAY LYCOMING
151.295R/159.360 173.8/173.8 KTI717 BOOT JACK ELK
151.295R/159.360 173.8/192.8 KUJ546 BROOKS CAMERON
151.295R/159.360 173.8/136.5 KUJ548 PROSPECT McKEAN
151.295R/159.360 114.8/110.9 KNDV312 SOLAR MT.
151.295R/159.360 173.8/88.5 KVN641 TAMARACK CLINTON
151.295R/159.360 114.8/103.5 KDX454 FREELAND LUZERNE
151.295R/159.360 114.8/114.8 KDX455 NORTH MT. SULLIVAN
151.295R/159.360 114.8/127.3 KDX453 BOYERS NORHTUMBERLAND
151.295R/159.360 141.3/186.2 WQD459 PINE CLEARFIELD

151.385R/159.420 127.3/103.5 KQO251 BEAR CAVE WESTMORELAND
151.385R/159.420 127.3/127.3 KQO253 PONDFIELD FAYETTE
151.385R/159.420 127.3/156.7 KQO252 NEGRO MT. SUMERSET
151.385R/159.420 88.5/110.9 KVV850 HEMINGWAY LYCOMING
151.385R/159.420 103.5/88.5 KLU444 WELSH HILL LANCASTER
151.385R/159.420 103.5/94.8 KQL752 FLINT HILL LEHIGH
151.385R/159.420 103.5/173.8 KFX266 ELLENDALE DAUPHIN

151.385R/159.420 103.5/114.8 KNHM323 BEAR'S HEAD SCHUYLKILL
151.385R/159.420 103.5/156.7 KDX447 HIGH KNOB PINE
151.385R/159.420 103.5/103.5 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX (AP on=9 LD access=9? off=X
Telephone Line=XXX-XXX-XXXX)
151.385R/159.420 103.5/103.5 KFI584 POHOPOCO MONROE (AP on=9 LD access=9 off=X Telephone
Line=717-646=5851)
151.385R/159.420 88.5/88.5 WNBJ427 QUARRY TIOGA
151.385R/159.420 88.5/100.0 KNDV312 CEDAR MT. TIOGA
151.385R/159.420 88.5/136.5 KZF970 KELLOGG BRADFORD
151.385R/159.420 103.5/186.2 KNBT576 WEXFORD ALLEGHENY
151.385R/159.420 127.3/141.3 WQD460 BALD KNOB SOMERSET
151.385R/159.420 103.5/192.8 WQD458 PHILADELPHIA PHILADELPHIA
151.385R/159.420 103.5/186.2 WHN497 BLACK EDDY BUCKS

151.400R/159.345 156.7/156.7 KQU276 BIG KNOB PERRY
151.400R/159.345 156.7/192.8 KTU287 LITTLE FLAT CENTER
151.400R/159.345 156.7/94.8 KTU258 JACKS MT. HUNTINGTON
151.400R/159.345 103.5/103.5 KSQ442 FRYBURG CLARION
151.400R/159.345 103.5/114.8 KWV591 GIBBS HILL McKEAN
151.400R/159.345 103.5/127.3 KSZ840 TOWNVILLE CRAWFORD
151.400R/159.345 103.5/156.7 KXA325 NEW CASTLE LAWRENCE
151.400R/159.345 103.5/136.5 WQD462 WATERFORD ERIE
151.400R/159.345 103.5/173.8 WQD461 PENN VIEW INDIANA
151.400R/159.345 103.5/141.3 WNHA827 ERIE ERIE
Also State Parks and some Fish Comm. units use DEP & DCNR Freqs.

Pennsylvania Fish Commission
45.040 PL 136.5 F-1 Admin
44.960 PL 136.5 F-2 Simplex
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5 F-3
44.700 PL 136.5 F-?
45.440 PL 136.5 F-?
45.000 PL 136.5 F-?
42.600 PL 136.5 F-10
Also use Game Comm. Freqs.
Also see DEP & DCNR some Fish Comm. Units use DCNR VHF Freqs.

On All Repeater outputs PL are 136.5 Fish Commission uses DIFFERING input
CTCSS (PL) tones for various area F-3 repeater
as follows:
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/141.3 KNIS318 MOUNT PENN BERKS (AP on=2206* off=# Telephone
Line=610-XXX-XXXX)
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/136.5 WNJB200 BOWMANS HILL BUCKS
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/186.2 KNIH869 PETERS MOUNTAIN DAUPHIN
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/167.9 KNIS319 CLARKS KNOB FRANKLIN
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/141.3 WNKE680 KITTANNING JEFFERSON
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/218.1 WNBV865 HOLT WOOD LANCASTER
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/156.7 WNKE680 LITTLE GAP LEHIGH
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/173.8 KNIH867 PENOBSCOT MOUNTAIN LUZERNE
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/192.8 WNAG965 SHAFFERS PATH LYCOMING
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/???.? WNAG966 HIGH KNOB PIKE

Northwest Region.
Box 349 (1281 Otter Street), Franklin, PA 16323; 814-437-5774.
F?? Butler F?? Lawrence
F?? Clarion F?? Mercer
F?? Crawford F?? Venango
F?? Erie F?? Warren
F?? Forest

Southwestern Region
RD 2, Box 39 (Lake Somerset), Somerset, PA 15501; 814-445-8974.
F27 Supervisor F36 Armstrong
F28 Asst. Supervisor F37 Indiana
F29 Reserved F38 Westmoreland
F30 Beaver F39 Fayette
F31 Washington F40 Cambria
F32 Greene F41 Somerset
F33 Allegheny, South F42 Three Rivers
F34 Allegheny, North
F35 Allegheny, East

Northcentral Region.
Box 187 (Fishing Creek Road), Lamar, PA 16848; 717-726-6056.
F?? Cameron F?? McKean
F?? Centre F?? Northumberland (W.ofRt.147)
F?? Clearfield F?? Potter
F?? Clinton F?? Snyder
F?? Elk F?? Tioga
F?? Jefferson F?? Union
F?? Lycoming

Southcentral Region.
1704 Pine Road, Newville, PA 17241; 717-486-7087.
F56 Supervisor F63 Juniata / Mifflin
F57 Asst. Supervisor F64 Perry
F58 Reserved F65 Cumberland
F59 Blair F66 Adams
F60 Bedford F67 York
F61 Huntingdon F85 Daulphin
F62 Franklin / Fulton F86 Lebanon

Northeast Region.
Box 88 (Main Road), Sweet Valley, PA 18656; 717-477-5717.
F77 Luzerne F?? Montour
F78 Carbon F?? Wyoming
F?? Bradford F?? Lackawanna
F?? Sullivan F?? Susquehanna
F?? Columbia F?? Wayne
F?? Northumberland (E. of Rt.147) F?? Pike
F?? Monroe

Southeast Region.
Box 8 (Brubaker Valley Road), Elm, PA 17521; 717-626-0228.
F87 Lancaster F97 Montgomery South

F89 Schuylkill F?? Northampton
F90 Berks West F?? Delaware
F91 Chester F?? Bucks
F92 Lehigh F?? Philadelphia
F96 Berks East & Montgomery North

Pennsylvania Game Commission

44.640 PL 141.3 F-1 Northeast District Dallas
44.840 PL 141.3 F-2 Common Channel
44.880 PL 141.3 F-3 Mob. to Mob.
44.920 PL 141.3 F-4 Southcentral District Huntington
44.760 PL 141.3 F-5 Southeast District Reading
45.000 PL 141.3 F-6 Southwest District Ligonier
44.680 PL 141.3 F-? Northcentral District Avis
44.720 PL 141.3 F-? Mob. to Mob.
44.800 PL 141.3 F-? Northwest District Frankin
151.370/159.360 Old link freq

100-serice Northwest Region P.O. Box 31, Franklin 16323 Venango Co. Pa
1-800-533-6764 Howard Harshaw, Director
44.800 PL 141.3 F-? Northwest District Frankin
Counties Served
1XX Butler 1XX Jefferson
1XX Clarion 1XX Lawrence
1XX Crawford 1XX Mercer
1XX Erie 1XX Venango
1XX Forest 1XX Warren

200-serice Southwest Region P.O. Box A, Ligonier 15658 Westmoreland Co. Pa.
1-800-243-8519 Harry Richards, Director
45.000 PL 141.3 F-6 Southwest District Ligonier
Counties Served
215 Allegheny 226 Indiana
216 Allegheny 227 Indiana
217 Armstrong 228 Somerset North
218 Armstrong 229 Somerset Central
219 Beaver 230 Somerset South
220 Cambria North 231 Washington
221 Cambria South 232 Washington
222 Fayette 233 Westmoreland
223 Fayette 234 Westmoreland
224 Greene 235 Westmoreland
225 Greene

300-serice Northcentral Region Avis,P.O. Box 5038, Jersey Shore 17740 Clinton Co. Pa.
1-800-422-7551 Henry Stankewich, Director
44.680 PL 141.3 F-? Northcentral District Avis
Counties Served
3XX Cameron 326 Elk
317? Centre 3XX Lycoming

318? Centre 3XX McKean
319? Centre 3XX Potter
3XX Clearfield 3XX Tioga
3XX Clinton 340 Union

400-serice Southcentral Region P.O. Box 537,Huntingdon 16652 Huntington Co. Pa.
1-800-422-7554 Willis Sneath, Director
44.920 PL 141.3 F-4 Southcentral District Huntington
Counties Served
414 Adams 425 Franklin
415 Adams 426? Fulton
416 Adams 427 XXXXX
417 Bedford 428? Huntingdon
418 XXXXXX 429 XXXXX
419? Blair 430 Juniata
420 XXXXXX 431 XXXXX
421 XXXXXX 4?? Mifflin
422 Cumberland West 4?? Perry
423 Cumberland East 434 XXXXX
424 Franklin 435 Snyder

500-serice Northeast Region P.O. Box 220, Dallas 18612 Luzerne Co. Pa.
1-800-228-0789 Barry Warner, Director
44.640 PL 141.3 F-1 Northeast District Dallas
Counties Served
5XX Bradford 530 Northumberland
5XX Carbon 5XX Pike
520 Columbia 5XX Sullivan
5XX Lackawanna 536? Susquehanna
5XX Luzerne 538? Susquehanna
527 Monroe 5XX Wayne
528 Monroe 5XX Wyoming
529 Montour

600-serice Southeast Region RD 2, Box 2584, Reading 19605 Berks Co.
1-800-228-0791 Barry Moore, Director 610-926-3136
44.760 PL 141.3 F-5 Southeast District Reading
Counties Served
614 Berks Northwest 626 Lancaster South
615 Berks Northeast 627 Lebanon
616 Berks South 628 Lehigh
617 Bucks North 629 Montgomery North
618 Bucks South 630 Montgomery & Phila.
619 Chester North 631 Northampton
620 Chester South 632 Schuylkill West
621 Dauphin North 633 Schuylkill East
622 Dauphin South 634 York North
623 Delaware 635 York Southwest
624 Lancaster North 636 York Southeast
625 Lancaster Central
? Are Not Confirmed

Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)

PennDOT used an old half-duplex (base/mobile) system similar to PSP, but
recently acquired several new frequencies and seems to be using simplex on
them. The list below represents all frequencies assigned to PennDOT.

47.040
47.060
47.080
47.140
47.160
47.180
47.200
47.280
47.300
47.380

Listed below is the new system plan.
47.040B/47.200M F-B6? Dist. 5=Berks 192.8hz
47.040 Simplex F-? Mobile-Mobile Dist. 5=Schuylkill-127.3hz, Berks-192.8hz
47.160 Pocono East Mt PocOno
47.180 Lehigh Garaga
47.200 Simplex F-B5 Mobile-Mobile Dist. 5=167.9hz
47.300 Bucks Montgomery
Listed below is the old system plan.

47.300B/47.380M 146.2 F-1 Dist. 1,3,6,7,9,11
47.040B/47.200M 136.5 F-? Dist. 2,5,12
47.060B/47.080M 156.7 F-? Dist. 4,8,10
47.280 Simplex 167.9 F-2 Eastern Dist.3,4,5,6,7 & 8
47.140 Simplex 167.9 F-2 Western Dist.1,2,9,10,11 & 12
47.160 Simplex xxx.x F-? Dist. 1
47.180 Simplex 127.3 F-?

Listed is the old system district / Pl Tone chart.
Dist.1=
Dist. 2=
Dist. 3=136.5hz
Dist. 4=156.7hz
Dist. 5=146.2hz
Dist. 6=127.3hz
Dist. 7=127.3hz
Dist. 8=156.7hz
Dist. 9=
Dist. 10=
Dist. 11=
Dist. 12=

151.010 Simplex 110.9 PennDOT Motor Carrier Enforcement
151.100 ???
159.195 ???

Links:
452.4500+ 127.3hz Mill Hall (Rainsares Mtn.), Clinton Co.
453.0625+ 127.3hz Jim Thorpe, Carbon Co.
453.6125+ 127.3hz Pottsville (2nd. Mtn.), Schuylkill Co.-Repeats 47.200 136.5hz, 167.9hz
453.9625+ 156.7hz Carlisle, Cumberland Co.

PennDOT Districts

1 - Crawford, Erie, Forest, Mercer, Venago, Warren
2 - Cameron, Centre, Clearfield, Clinton, Elk, Juniata, Potter, Mckean,Mifflin
3 - Bradford, Columbia, Lycoming, Montour, Northumberland, Snyder,
Sullivan,Tioga, Union
4 - Lackawanna, Luzerne, Susquehanna, Pike, Wayne, Wyoming
5 - Berks, Carbon, Lehigh, Monroe, Northampton, Schuylkill
6 - Bucks, Chester, Deleware, Montgomery
7 - Philadelphia
8 - Adams, Cumberland, Dauphin, Franklin, Lancaster, Lebanon, Perry, York
9 - Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Fulton, Huntingdon, Somerset
10 - Armstrong, Butler, Clarion, Indiana, Jefferson
11 - Allegheny, Beaver, Lawrence
12 - Fayette, Greene, Washington, Westmoreland

PennDOT Codes:
Code 4=OK

State Hospitals
453.150/458.150 Hamburg State Center - Hamburg
453.225/458.225 Mayview State Hospital - Bridgeville
453.375/458.375 Wernersville State Hospital - Wernersville
453.575/458.575 Mayview State Hospital - Bridgeville
453.375/458.375 Western Center - Canonsburg

Send addition or changes
To martyrip@ptdprolog.net
OR

99. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: martyrip <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <372C0002.707F@ptd.net>
Source record: 120146

```
--
@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
\___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

SUBJECT: FAST FOOD PT1 UPDATE 8/17/97
Frequency Restaurant or Gas Station City Callsign
Customer/Clerk
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
30.8400/154.5700 ARBY'S ROAST BEEF LAURELDALE N FIFTH
110.9 /100.0
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
30.840/154.5700 BURGER KING RESTRANT TAMAQUA RT. 309 & CEDAR ST
???.?/103.5
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
33.1600/154.5150 WENDY'S OLD FASHONED SAINT CLAIR RT61 & TUNNEL RD.
PL 156.7/162.2 218.1 IN STORE COMMS. clerk to clerk PL
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
33.1600/154.5150 WENDY'S OLD FASHONED LAURELDALE 5th St Hwy
PL 156.7/162.2 218.1 IN STORE COMMS. clerk to clerk PL
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
33.4000/154.5400 LONG JOHN SILVERS CRESSONA RT183 & 61 WPBY493
PL 82.5/ 88.5
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
33.4000/154.5400 TACO BELL SCHUYLKILL HAVEN RT 61
PL 82.5/88.5
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
33.4000/154.5400 KENTUCKY FRIED CHICKEN POTTSVILLE RT 61 & 209
PL82.5/88.5
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
33.4000/154.5400 WENDY'S OLD FASHIONED HAMBURG RT 61
PL 82.5/88.5
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
35.0200/154.6000 MCDONALD'S OUTSIDE STORE FRACKVILLE SCHUYLKILL MILL
PL 88.5/ 82.5 123.0 IN STORE COMMS.cerk to clerk PL WPFV573
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
35.0200/154.6000/ MCDONALD'S OF HOMETOWN HOMETOWN RT309 & 54
PL114.8/107.2 141.3 IN STORE COMMS clerk to clerk PL
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
35.0200/154.6000 MCDONALD'S RESTRANT PINE GROVE RT443 & 81
PL 107.2/141.3 OLD FREQ.
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
35.0200/154.6000 MCDONALD'S RESTRANT SINKING SPRING 310 PENN AVE
PL 114.8/107.2 RT 422 & 724
```

154.5700/170.2450 MCDONLDS RESTAURANT LAUELDALE 5TH ST HWY &
PL???.?/???.? ELIZABET AVE

154.5700/170.2450 MCDONLDS RESTAURANT WYOMISSING VF OUTLET & 8TH.
PL???.?/???.?

464.9625/469.9625 TACO BELL LAUELDALE 5TH ST
PL151.4/162.2

469.0125/464.0125 ARBY'S ROAST BEEF HOMETOWN RT309 & 54
PL 94.8/94.8

469.0125/464.0125 BURGER KING RESTRANT SHENANDOAH RT 924
PL 77.0/ 77.0 79.7 IN STORE COMMS clerk to clerk PL

469.0125/464.0125 MCDONALD'S RESTRANT SAINT CLAIR RT61 & TUNNEL RD.
PL 77.0/ 77.0

469.0125/464.0125 McDONALD'S RESTAURANT SCH HAVEN RT61 & MANHEM RD.
PL 94.8 & 146.2/94.8 & 146.2

469.0125/464.0125 BURGER KING RESTAURANT LAURELDALE 5TH ST HWY &
PL 94.8/ 94.8 BELLEVUE

464.2125/469.2125 SHEETZ GAS STATION LAURELDALE 5TH ST HWY
PL 94.8/94.8 BELLEVUE

921.4625/904.4625 BURGER KING RESTAURANT WYOMISSING BERKSHIRE RD.
W.FM 3M

921.7000/904.7000 BURGER KING RESTAURANT SCH HAVEN RT183 & OLD MILL RD.
W.FM 3M

921.7000/904.7000 McDONALD'S RESTAURANT LEEPORT RT 61 & ORCHARD RD.
W.FM 3M

921.9375/904.9375 MCDONALD'S RESTRANT PINE GROVE RT443 & 81
W.FM 3M

921.9375/904.9375 BURGER KING RESTAURANT LEEPORT RT 61 & APPLE RD.
W.FM 3M

921.9375/904.9375 BURGER KING RESTAURANT POTTSVILLE MARKET ST.
W.FM 3M

What you hear through the speaker is pretty routine, but it's a fun catch anyway, and sometimes you get a surprise. Bob Grove can't resist turning on the scanner when he passes a fast-food chain.

FAST FOOD SMORGASBORD
By Bob Grove

"Welcome to McDonald's. May I take your order?" How many thousands of times every day is this friendly greeting parroted across the country? And how many scanner enthusiasts tune in 154.600 and 35.02 MHz as they drive past interchanges on our nation's highway system?

But the big arches are not the only architecture to house friendly fast-food frequencies. Just about every chain with a drive-by kiosk has two-way intercommunications with its customers, using frequencies in low band, high band, UHF, and even 900 MHz.

While the belt-worn transceivers are low power, the fixed repeater can usually be heard for a half mile or more. A local McDonald's restaurant uses a Panasonic system known as the "Order Taker," a likely name, indeed. A nearby Hardees' system is made by H.M Electronics. While the radios are usually sub-audibly tone encoded to avoid interference (see Table 4); some cases of intentional interference have led to substantial fines and suspension of FCC licenses when hams have abused their radio privileges.

Some unintentional interferences have proved amusing. A church reportedly installed a wireless public address system on the same frequency as an adjoining fast-food restaurant. As the minister raised his eyes skyward and requested divine guidance, his parishioners heard the electronic reply: "Cheeseburger, all the way, hold the mayo!"

Clerks frequently switch to internal intercom without realizing that, while the customers can't hear their comments, stalwart scanning enthusiasts can. Common fare includes discussions of dates, working conditions, other employees and their employers, and remarks about customers. When the scanner listener happens to be the customer, he reaps a particular delight in catching the employee off guard by responding to what was suposedly a private remark.

A short time ago we learned of one resourceful and highly unlawful prank pulled by a ham with a crossband-capable transceiver. Poised between two prominent fastfood restaurants, he tuned in the customer frequencies from one restaurant and retransmitted it to the competitive restaurants' order takers. Wendy's order takers were understandably miffed when they were getting orders for Big Macs, while McDonald's clerks were scratching their heads figuring out how to serve up a Frosty! It may seem like harmless fun, but don't do it!

This month MT takes a look at the vast, largely unrecognized spectrum shared by these harbingers of hamburgers. We owe a special debt of gratitude to Bob Eisner for sharing the largest and most-up-to-date list of fast food frequencies ever published.

The list was last updated in JULY 1997.

TABLE 1
FREQUENCIES TO CHECK FOR FAST FOOD RESTAURANTS & GAS STATIONS

VHF-low:

30.7600 30.8000 30.8400 30.8800 30.9200 30.9600 31.0000
31.0400 31.1600 31.2000 31.2400 33.1400 33.1600 33.4000
35.0200 35.0400 35.0600 35.0800 35.1000 35.1200 35.1400
35.1800 35.7000 35.7200 35.8800 35.9000 35.9200 35.9400
35.9600 35.9800 42.9600 42.9800 43.0000 49.8300 49.8450
49.8600 49.8750 49.8900

VHF-high:

151.6250 151.6550 151.6850 151.7150 151.7450 151.7750 151.8050
151.8350 151.8650 151.8950 151.9250 151.9550 154.5150 154.5400
154.5700 154.6000 169.4450 169.5050 170.2450 170.3050 171.0450
171.1050 171.8450 171.9050

UHF:

457.5125 457.5250 457.5375 457.5500 457.5625 457.5750 457.5875
457.6000 457.6125 467.7375 467.7500 467.7625 467.7750 467.7875
467.8000 467.8125 467.8375 467.8500 467.8625 467.8750 467.8875
467.9000 467.9125 467.9250 460.6625 460.6875 460.7125 460.7375
460.7625 460.7875 460.8125 460.8375 460.8625 460.8875 460.9125
460.9375 460.9625 460.9875 462.7625 462.7875 462.8125 462.8375
462.8625 462.8875 462.9125 461.0000 464.0125 464.2125 464.9625
thru 462.1875 with 12.5 kHz step
463.2000 thru 464.9875 with 12.5 kHz step
465.6625 465.6875 465.7125 465.7375 465.7625 465.7875 465.8125
465.8375 465.8625 465.8875 465.9125 465.9375 465.9625 465.9875
469.0125 469.2125 469.9625
466.0000 thru 467.1875 with 12.5 kHz step
468.2000 thru 469.9875 with 12.5 kHz step

These are all of the confirmed 900 Mhz drive thru freuencies:
903.2000 903.2625 903.2875 903.5000 903.7250 903.7375 903.9625
904.4500 904.7000 904.9375 904.9675 920.2000 920.2625 920.2875
920.5000 920.7250 920.7375 920.9625 921.4500 921.7000 921.9375
921.9625

900 Mhz FREQUENCIES TO CHECK FOR FAST FOOD RESTAURANTS

ISM Band 902 - 928 Mhz (3M and GM Style headsets)

920.0000 - 922.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Speaker)
903.0000 - 905.0000 with 12.5Khz step WIDE FM (Headset)

TABLE 2
FAST FOOD & STATION STATION FREQUENCY PAIRING
BY COMPANY

Restaurant Customer (R) Clerk (I)

Arbys + 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
457.5500 467.7750

460.8875 465.8875
461.0375 466.0375
920.7250 WFM 903.7250 WFM

Bakers Dozen Donuts 457.5750 467.9750
(Canada)
Bess Eaton Donut 457.5375 467.7625

Bobs Big Boy 30.8400 154.5700
457.6000 467.8250

Bobs Burger Express 469.0125 464.0125
469.0375 464.0375
469.0625 464.0625
469.0875 464.0875
469.1125 464.1125
469.1375 464.1375
469.1625 464.1625
469.1875 464.1875
469.2125 464.2125
469.2375 464.2375
469.2625 464.2625
469.2875 464.2875
469.3125 464.3125
469.3375 464.3375
469.3625 464.3625
469.3875 464.3875

Boston Market 33.1600 154.5150
33.4000 154.5400

Braums 31.0000 170.3050
* 457.6000 467.7500
461.0875 466.0875
461.5375 466.5375
462.1625 467.1625

Burger King 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
33.4000 154.5400
457.5500 467.7750
457.5625 467.7875
457.5750 467.8000
457.6000 467.8250
460.8875 465.8875
461.2875 466.2875
461.5375 466.5375
+ 469.0125 464.0125
920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM
921.4500 WFM 904.4500 WFM
+ 921.9375 WFM 904.9375 WFM

Burgerville 30.8400 154.5700

Carls Jr 30.8400 154.5700
* 457.5375 468.3875
457.5500 467.7750
461.0875 466.0875

Chick Fil A 31.0000 170.3050

Coffee Time(Canada) 920.7375 WFM UNKNOWN

Coulters BBQ 31.0000 170.3050

Country Style(Canada) 457.5750 467.8000

Dairy Queen + 30.8400 154.5700
460.8875 465.8875
461.0875 466.0875
461.5375 466.5375
462.1625 467.1625
920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM

Dairy Queen(Canada) 920.2625 WFM UNKNOWN

Dunkin Donuts 30.8400 154.5700
33.1600 154.5150
33.4000 154.5400
* 82.5000? 114.8000?
* 100.0000? 127.3000?
+ 457.5500 467.7750
920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM

El Mexicano 464.9625 469.9625

El Pollo Loco 457.5500 467.7750

Foster Freeze 31.0000 170.3050

GD Ritzys 35.1000 UNKNOWN

Hardees 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
35.0200 154.6000
457.5375 467.7625
460.8875 465.8875
461.0875 466.0875
461.1125 466.1125
462.1625 467.1625
469.0125 464.0125

Hot Dog Etc 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
33.1400 151.8950

Jack in the Box 33.4000 154.5400
35.0200 154.6000

457.5750 467.8000
469.0250 464.0250

James Coney Island 463.9875 468.9875

Kenny Rogers Roasters 469.0125 464.0125
Chicken 920.2875 WFM 903.2875 WFM

Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
33.1400 151.8950
33.1600 154.5150
+ 33.4000 154.5400
35.0200 154.6000
* 457.5375 468.3875
457.5875 467.8125
457.6000 467.8250
460.8875 465.8875
461.0875 466.0875
462.1625 467.1625
* 462.7625 467.8875
464.0875 469.0875

Long John Silvers 33.1600 154.5150
+ 33.4000 154.5400

McDonalds(Canada) 30.5800 154.4900
30.8400 151.6700
30.8400 154.1450

McDonalds 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
33.1400 151.8950
* 33.1400 170.3050
33.4000 154.5400
* 33.4000 154.5700
* 35.0200 151.8950
* 35.0200 154.4900
+ 35.0200 154.6000
151.7150 169.4450
151.7450 UNKNOWN
151.7750 171.9050
151.8650 UNKNOWN
+ 154.5700 170.2450
154.6000 171.1050
* 457.5375 461.0875
457.5500 467.7750
457.6000 467.8250
460.8875 465.8875
461.0375 466.0375
461.0875 466.0875
461.3125 466.3125

462.1625 467.1625
463.2875 468.2875
464.5125 469.5125
+ 469.0125 464.0125
469.1125 464.1125
469.1375 464.1375
469.1625 464.1625
469.1875 464.1875
469.3125 464.3125
469.3375 464.3375
469.3875 464.3875
920.2000 WFM 903.2625 WFM
920.2625 WFM 903.2625 WFM
920.5000 WFM 903.5000 WFM
920.9625 WFM 903.9625 WFM
921.7000 WFM 904.7000 WFM
921.9675 WFM 904.9675 WFM

Mrs Winners Drive-up 30.8400 154.5700

Popeyes 33.4000 154.5400
469.0125 464.0125

Rallys 30.8400 154.5700
33.1600 154.5150
* 457.5375 468.3875
461.0875 466.0875
* 461.5375 462.1625
469.0125 464.0125

Roy Rogers 30.8400 154.5700
33.1600 154.5150
33.4000 154.5400
457.5375 467.7625
469.0125 464.0125
469.9250 464.9250

Sheetz Gas Station NONE 49.8300
469.0125 464.0125
469.2125 464.2125

Sonic 33.1600 154.5150

Taco Bell(Canada) 30.4000 UNKNOWN

Taco Bell 30.8400 154.5700
31.0000 170.3050
33.1600 154.5150
33.4000 154.5400
* 457.5375 468.3875
457.5500 467.7750
460.8875 465.8875
461.0375 466.0375

461.0875 466.0875
461.5375 466.5375
464.9625 469.9625
469.0125 464.0125
469.2125 464.2125
+ 469.9625 464.9625

Taco Bueno 30.8400 154.5700
461.3125 466.3125

Taco Cabana 33.4000 154.5400

TCBY 457.5500 467.7750

Tim Hortons Donuts 30.5800 UNKNOWN
(Canada) 457.5750 467.9750

Wendys 31.0000 171.3050
+ 33.1600 154.5150
+ 33.4000 154.5400
35.0200 154.6000
49.8300 49.8900
457.5125 467.7375
457.5357 467.7625
457.5375 468.3875
457.6125 467.8375
460.8875 465.8875
461.0875 466.0875
461.8125 466.8125
462.1625 467.1625
464.9875 469.9875
469.0125 464.0125
469.2125 464.2125

Whataburger 30.8400 154.5700
* 457.5250 467.8250
457.5500 467.7750
* 457.6000 467.7500
* 457.6125 467.7500
469.0125 464.0125
469.0375 464.0375
469.0625 464.0625
469.0875 464.0875
469.1125 464.1125
469.1375 464.1375
469.1625 464.1625
469.1875 464.1875
469.2125 464.2125
469.2375 464.2375
469.2625 464.2625
469.2875 464.2875
469.3125 464.3125

469.3375 464.3375
469.3625 464.3625
469.3875 464.3875

White Castle 457.6000 467.8250
461.8125 466.8125

Weinerschnitzel 33.1600 154.5150

TABLE 3
FAST FOOD & GAS STATION FREQUENCY PAIRING
BY FREQUENCY

Customer --- Clerk Restaurant Chains

NONE --- 49.8300 Sheetz (Gas Station)
30.4000 --- UNKNOWN Taco Bell(Canada)
30.5800 --- UNKNOWN Tim Hortons Donuts(Canada)
30.5800 --- 154.4900 McDonalds(Canada main freq)
30.8400 --- 151.6700 McDonalds(Canada aux. freq)
30.8400 --- 154.1450 McDonalds(Canada aux. freq)
30.8400 --- 154.5700 + ARBYS, Bobs Big Boy, Burger King,
Burgerville, Carls Jr, Dairy Queen,
Dunkin Donuts, Hardees, Hot Dog Etc,
Kentucky Fried Chicken, Mrs Winners
Drive-up, McDonalds, Rallys, Roy
Rogers, Taco Bell, Taco Bueno,
Whataburger
31.0000 --- 170.3050 Arbys, Braums, Burger King, Chick Fil
A, Coulters BBQ, Foster Freeze,
Hardees, Hot Dog Etc, Kentucky Fried
Chicken, McDonalds, Taco Bell,
Wendys
33.1400 --- 151.8950 Hot Dog Etc, Kentucky Fried Chicken,
McDonalds
33.1400 --- 170.3050 * McDonalds
33.1600 --- 154.5150 + Boston Market, Dunkin Donuts,
Kentucky Fried Chicken, Long John
Silvers, Rallys, Roy Rogers, Sonic, Taco
Bell, WENDYS, Wienerschnitzel
33.4000 --- 154.5400 + Boston Market, Burger King, Dunkin
Donuts, Jack in the Box, KENTUCKY FRIED
Chicken, LONG JOHN SILVERS,
McDonalds, Popeyes, Roy Rogers, Taco
Bell, Taco Cabana, WENDYS
33.4000 --- 154.5700 * McDonalds
35.0200 --- 151.8950 * McDonalds
35.0200 --- 154.4900 * McDonalds
35.0200 --- 154.6000 + Hardees, Jack in the Box, Kentucky
Fried Chicken, McDONALDS
35.1000 --- UNKNOWN G.D. Ritzys

49.8300 --- 49.8900 Wendys
151.7150 --- 169.4450 McDonalds
151.7450 --- UNKNOWN McDonalds (Most likely paired with
169.505, 171.045, or 171.845)
151.7750 --- 171.9050 McDonalds
151.8650 --- UNKNOWN McDonalds (Most likely paired with
169.505, 171.045, or 171.845)
154.5700 --- 170.2450 + McDONALDS
154.6000 --- 171.1050 McDonalds
457.5125 --- 467.7375 Wendys
457.5250 --- 467.7500 PROBABLE FREQUENCY none found
yet
457.5250 --- 467.8250 * Whataburger
457.5375 --- 461.0875 * McDonalds
457.5375 --- 467.7625 Bess Eaton Donuts, Hardees, Roy
Rogers, Wendys
457.5375 --- 468.3875 * Carls Jr, Kentucky Fried Chicken,
Rallys, Taco Bell, Wendys
457.5500 --- 467.7750 Arbys, Burger King, Carls Jr, Dunkin
Donuts, El Pollo Loco, McDonalds,
Taco Bell, TCBY, Whataburger
457.5625 --- 467.7875 Burger King
457.5750 --- 467.8000 Country Style(Canada), Jack in the Box,
Burger King
457.5750 --- 467.9750 Bakers Dozen Donuts(Canada), Tim
Hortons Donuts(Canada)
457.5875 --- 467.8125 Kentucky Fried Chicken
457.6000 --- 467.7500 * Braums, Whataburger
457.6000 --- 467.8250 Arbys, Bobs Big Boy, Burger King,
Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds,
White Castle
457.6125 --- 467.7500 * Whataburger
457.6125 --- 467.8375 Wendys
460.8875 --- 465.8875 Burger King, Carls Jr, Dairy Queen,
Hardees, Kentucky Fried Chicken,
McDonalds, Taco Bell, Wendys
461.0375 --- 466.0375 Arbys, McDonalds, Taco Bell
461.0875 --- 466.0875 Braums, Carls Jr, Dairy Queen, Hardees,
Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds,
Rallys, Taco Bell, Wendys
461.1125 --- 466.1125 Hardees
461.2875 --- 466.2875 Burger King
461.3125 --- 466.3125 McDonalds, Taco Bueno
461.5375 --- 462.1625 * Rallys
461.5375 --- 466.5375 Braums, Dairy Queen, Burger King,
Taco Bell
461.8125 --- 466.8125 Wendys, White Castle
462.1625 --- 467.1625 Braums, Diary Queen, Hardees,
Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonalds,
Wendys

462.7625 --- 467.8875 * Kentucky Fried Chicken
463.2875 --- 468.2875 McDonalds
463.9875 --- 468.9875 James Coney Island
464.0875 --- 469.0875 Kentucky Fried Chicken
464.5125 --- 469.5125 McDonalds, Wendys
464.9625 --- 469.9625 El Mexicano, Taco Bell
464.9875 --- 469.9875 Wendys
469.0125 --- 464.0125 + Bobs Burger Express,BURGER KING,
Hardees, Kenny Rogers Roasters Chicken,
McDONALDS, Popeyes, Rallys,
Roy Rogers, Sheetz(Gas Station),
Taco Bell, Wendys
469.0250 --- 464.0250 Jack in the Box
469.0375 --- 464.0375 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
469.0625 --- 464.0625 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
469.0875 --- 464.0875 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
469.1125 --- 464.1125 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds,
Whataburger
469.1375 --- 464.1375 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds,
Whataburger
469.1625 --- 464.1625 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds,
Whataburger
469.1875 --- 464.1875 Bobs Burger Express, McDonalds,
Whataburger
469.2125 --- 464.2125 Bobs Burger Express, Taco Bell,
Wendys, Whataburger
469.2375 --- 464.2375 Bobs Burger Express, Whataburger
469.2625 --- 464.2625 Bobs Burger
469.9625 --- 464.9625 + TACO BELL
920.2000 --- 903.2000 Mc Donalds
920.2625 --- 903.2625 Burger King, Dairy Queen,
Dunlin Donuts, Mc Donalds
920.2875 --- 903.2875 Kenny Rogers
920.7375 --- 903.7375 Coffe Time
920.5000 --- 903.5000 McDonalds
920.9625 --- 903.9625 Mc Donalds
921.9375 --- 904.9375 + BURGER KING
* Odd frequencies pairing

In the US there are three brands of Wireless headsets for Drive
Thru Restaurants.

Panasonic - Operates in the UHF band (450 - 470 Mhz range)
HM Electronics - Operates in the VHF-low/VHF-High Mhz range
3M - Operates in the ISM band (902 - 928 Mhz Wide FM)

Any idea on who manufactures that headsets that you've seen?

(CTCSS) PL Tones used

Customer Clerk
77.0 77.0 +P

```
77.0 79.7 +P
82.5 88.5 +HM
77.0 162.2
88.5 123.0
94.8 94.8 +P
100.0 131.8 +HM
162.2
107.2 141.3 +HM
110.9 +HM
114.8 107.2
114.8 127.3
146.2 94.8 +P
156.7 162.2 +HM
162.2 151.4 +P
162.2 173.8
```

100. swindon/reading freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: TT <tct@clara.net>
Message-ID: <OpUW2.2558$wi4.1165@nnrp2.clara.net>
Source record: 120147

Hi
Im trying to listen to the following:
Police
Doctors
Ambulance
Hospitals
Reading
Microlights

I have the police for swindon and know most of the national freqs from uk scanning directory but need local if somebody could give them to me?
Thanks
TCT
tct@clara.net

101. need motorola talkabout freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990502050034.07675.00001043@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 120148

Anyone have the motorola "Talkabout" radio frequencies?

Thanks in avance

102. I am looking for a KENWOOD RZ-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John A L Fisher <driftwood@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <01be94c6$106766c0$d0f1abc3@default>
Source record: 120149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am in England(Southampton) and want a RZ-1 for my car . I am willing to pay £140. pleae call 01489 583318 or mail driftwood@lineone.net

103. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Capt'n Butler <charlestonrhett@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <372C389E.425C@yahoo.com>
Source record: 120150

K patterson wrote:
>

> closing was the best. It gets a bit slow and they start to have "private"
> conversations with each other. At times they got an "R" rating.

Fair enough.

RB

104. Re: Looking for 155.550/155.490/155.445MHz Xtals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <dOWW2.5445$5A1.670@news2.atl>
Source record: 120151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Try Radio Shack's Unlimited catolog. They run about $4.99. A friend was restoring an old crystal radio, and found those three xtals in it. In my area, 155.550 is Sheriff's Office, 155.445 is County MRD, and I can't remember what 155.490 is, but it is in the public safety group.

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

Gary_Jacek@trap.bc.sympatico.ca wrote in message ...
>I am looking for
>
>155.550/155.490/155.445 MHz P77 10.7 IF Regency Type
>
>Crystals.
>
>I have a few Xtals for trade at:
>
>http://www3.bc.sympatico.ca/Gary_Jacek/Tradxtal.html
>
>Please remove "trap." from my email address if you have
>
>one of these you'd like to part with.
>

105. Re: Can a scanner (2006 w/OS456) get too hot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <19990502074943.29939.00001144@ng06.aol.com>
Source record: 120152

```
>New or used?
>
> With or without built in speech inversion and/or data port?
>
>Plain or computer controlled (OS456 lite or HB232 ) ?
>

New,Plain

Greg
```

106. Re: Just ordered my monitenna from Scanners Unlimited.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9kz@indy.net
Message-ID: <7ghhio$p2t$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120153

Interesting! I bought the Grove version and it fell apart within a month.
Bill K9KZ

> >
> They are EXACTLY THE SAME antenna!There is no difference between the
> "original" and the "copy".I've had them in my hands,side by side.The only
> thing wrong with this antenna is it's pretty flimsy,and won't last very
> long outside in bad weather unless mods are done to it and it's coated
> with a uerathane spray.
> Barry
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

107. Re: Hide Your Scanners, Guns, and Money: America Is Being Run By A Communist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <YhXW2.37$cs2.366@news.flash.net>
Source record: 120154
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <372bb041@grouper.exis.net>, radiodj@hotmail.com says...

> Here's something you might be curious to know:
>
> Every time you enter the name of a prominant government
> official onto the net...a super-computer at the National
> Security Agency documents the time, location & message.
> It's then evaluated for security threat. Most are
> ignored as general messages...but a few are passed onto
> the FBI for investigation. I'm totally serious.

And what's your source for this information?
--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
----

108. Re: Hide Your Scanners, Guns, and Money: America Is Being Run By A Communist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <fiXW2.38$cs2.366@news.flash.net>
Source record: 120155
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article
<A791ABB447E803F5.E9455167C879EB75.04929F0CDD977BB6@library-proxy.airnews.net>,
bung@hole.org says...
>
>: Every time you enter the name of a prominant government
>: official onto the net...a super-computer at the National
>: Security Agency documents the time, location & message.
>: It's then evaluated for security threat. Most are
>: ignored as general messages...but a few are passed onto
>: the FBI for investigation. I'm totally serious.
>
>This is true, I can also verify this.
>

Please do.
--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
----

109. Re: 4 sale aor 8000 and optoelectronics scout model 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@star.net
Message-ID: <372C7561.DA3@star.net>
Source record: 120156

ITOM2038 wrote:
>
> Price?

Doesn't that just jurk you when someone wants to sell something but doesn't give the price.....It's a guessing game.....

110. Re: Which is best: AOR 8000 or 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <925636711.570277@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 120157

Michael Malloy wrote in message <7g9qoi$lno@newsops.execpc.com>...
>I would like to get a hand held scannner that can reaction tune with a
>Scout. Although the 8200 is the newer model it seems to have gotten mixed
>reviews. Seeking opinions.

Go to: www.strongsignals.net and check out the reviews by Rich Wells.

Chris
n8cop@qsl.net

111. FS: OSLITE for RS PRO 2005/6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Tom V." <TVAC@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <372C7635.699A@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Optoelectronics OS456 Lite computer interface for radio shack pro 2005/6 scanner. New in box with board and hardware in unopened packages, also comes with two software diskettes. Asking $50 plus shipping.

112. Re: Just ordered my monitenna from Scanners Unlimited.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.119623cefe03c423989765@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120159

The Grove version is just a cheap imitation and not only does not perform as well but is a piece of shit..... sorry:(

113. Re: Just ordered my monitenna from Scanners Unlimited.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.119624538ede1de7989766@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120160

BULLSHIT BARRY..... you need a new pair of glasses and ears if you really believe this. Sorry to come off so strongly but this is just total garbage if you really mean what you are saying. One look and you know they are not the same, not to mention the performance levels. I had one on an antenna at the West Coast with all of the storms over the years for 10 years, 40ft up guyed and it hasn't budged and inch. I still maintain you can spend what you will and not beat it. Forget that Grove piece of shit.

114. Re: Just ordered my monitenna from Scanners Unlimited.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.119624a26dca0d03989767@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120161

I wouldn't worry about urethane spraying it. After 10 years of exposure to the salt air of the West Coast, mine never lost a beat (or part) like the other poster said.

115. Re: Why Is Government Trying To Ban Technology?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <372C7D83.7B45@ameritech.net>
Source record: 120162
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Tracey wrote:
>
> Have you noticed how many different items the Clinton administration and other
> government agencies have tried to ban over the past few years? And, they
> continue to find new items while they work to ban all catagories of older
> technologies.
>
> Frederico Pena', a wildeyed, hairy legged, liberal kicked off the process a few
> years ago when he and Bill Clinton worked together to formulate a ban on Radar
> Detectors in commerical vehicles. Soon after that, FORMER? Dumbocrat Eddy Tausin
> of Louisiana
> began to put together legislation that would add many more frequencies to the
> "Banned" list to prohibit scanner owners from listening.
>
> Like any good Communist Leader, Clinton has continually sought new legislation
> that would ban more and more guns. But, what motivates Comrade Clinton to
> trample the US Constitution in the muck and mire of his pig pen? Is it a heart
> felt desire to make America so safe, that no one is ever hurt again? Or, is it
> that strong desire held by many Utopians/Socialists/Globalists/Communists to
> control the people and ignore the law so that they can formulate a "new" AmeriKa?
>
> There is a price that one has to accept if he wishes to live in a free country.
> We must realize that with real freedom all around, a few members of our great
> country will misuse their freedoms and harm others. Now, does that mean then
> that "Big DaDa" in the White House should instantly respond and try to remove
> everyones freedoms because a few people have misused their rights? No! If we
> were to allow Comrade Clinton to do that, would any of us have a single freedom
> left???
> So, for some reason, the Communist in the White House has decided to micro-manage
> everything going on in America today. He must sit all day watching CNN (The
> Clinton News Network) waiting for something to happen so that he can rush before
> the media
> cameras and proclaim that "This must stop! And, I have proposed new legislation
> that will...".
> The Federal Government was not designed to micro manage America. It was designed
> to manage the military, our borders, and to ensure that the US Constitution is
> not trampled under foot by anyone, including the president.

116. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <372C7E7A.7009@ameritech.net>
Source record: 120163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

UkRaCk wrote:
>
> Dont know if these are any good, I D/Loaded them over a year ago.
> Roger
>
> FAST FOOD FREQUENCIES
>
> RESTAURANT CUSTOMER (R) CLERK (I) LOCATION
>
> Arby's 30.8400 154.5700 Nationwide
>
> Bess Eaton Donut 457.5375 467.7625 Rhode Island
>
> Big Boy 30.8400 154.5700 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 457.6000 467.8250 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> Burger King 30.8400 154.5700 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 31.0000 170.3050 UNKNOWN GA area
>
> 457.5500 467.7750 Baltimore, MD area
>
> 457.5625 467.7875 Nationwide
>
> 457.5750 467.8000 UNKNOWN area
>
> 457.6000 467.8250 UNKNOWN area
>
> 460.8875 465.8875 Nationwide
>
> 461.5375 UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OH area
>
> Burgerville 30.8400 154.5700 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> Dairy Queen 30.8400 154.5700 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 460.8875 465.8875 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> G.D. Ritzy's 35.1000 UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OH area
>
> Hardee's 30.8400 154.5700 Nationwide
>

> 31.0000 170.3050 UNKNOWN NC area
>
> 457.5375 467.7625 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 460.8875 465.8875 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 461.0875 466.0875 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 461.1125 466.1125 Aurora, IL area
>
> Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.8400 154.5700 Occoquan, VA area
>
> 31.0000 170.3050 UNKNOWN MN area
>
> 33.1400 151.8950 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 457.5875 467.8125 Vienna, VA area
>
> 457.6000 467.8250 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 460.8875 465.8875 Washington, DC area
>
> 462.7625 467.8875 Washington, DC area
>
> McDonald's CANADA 30.8400 151.6700 main freq.
> Canada
>
> 30.8400 154.1450 aux. freq. Canada
>
> McDonald's U.S.A. 30.8400 154.5700 San Diego, CA
> area
>
> 31.0000 170.3050 UNKNOWN OH/NC area
>
> 33.1400 151.8950 Nationwide
>
> 33.1400 170.3050 Southfield, MI area
>
> 33.4000 154.5700 UNKNOWN area **
>
> 35.0200 151.8950 UNKNOWN area **
>
> 35.0200 154.4900 Decatur, IN area
>
> 35.0200 154.6000 Nationwide
>
> 151.7150 169.4450 Washington, DC area
>
> 151.7450 UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OH area
>

> 151.7750 171.9050 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 154.5700 170.2450 Nationwide
>
> 154.6000 171.1050 Nationwide
>
> 155.0000 UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 457.5375 461.0875 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 457.5500 467.7750 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 457.6000 467.8250 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 460.8875 465.8875 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 461.0375 466.0375 UNKNOWN OK/CA area
>
> 461.0875 466.0875 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 462.1625 467.1625 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 463.2875 468.2875 UNKNOWN NY area
>
> 464.5125 UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OH area
>
> Rally's 457.5375 468.3875 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 461.0875 466.0875 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 461.5375 462.1625 Holland OH area
>
> Roy Rogers 457.5375 467.7625 Washington, DC area
>
> Taco Bell 30.8400 154.5700 Washington, DC area
>
> 460.8875 465.8875 Nationwide
>
> 461.0875 466.0875 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 461.5375 UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 464.9625 469.9625 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> Wendy's 33.4000 154.5400 Rockville, MD
>
> 49.8300 49.8900 UNKNOWN area **
>
> 457.5125 467.7375 UNKNOWN OH area
>

> 457.5375 467.7625 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 457.6125 467.8375 Washington, DC area
>
> 460.8875 465.8875 Nationwide
>
> 461.0875 466.0875 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 461.8125 UNKNOWN UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 464.3750 UNKNOWN Headquarters
>
> 464.5125 UNKNOWN Columbus, OH area
>
> White Castle 457.6000 467.8250 UNKNOWN OH area
>
> 461.8125 UNKNOWN Columbus, OH area
>
> FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING
>
> CUSTOMER CLERK RESTAURANT CHAINS
>
> 30.8400 --- 151.6700 McDonald's(Canada main freq)
>
> 30.8400 --- 154.1450 McDonald's(Canada aux. freq)
>
> 30.8400 --- 154.5700 Arby's, Big Boy, Burger King, Burgerville,
>
> Dairy Queen, Hardee's, Kentucky Fried Chicken,
>
> McDonald's, Taco Bell
>
> 31.0000 --- 170.3050 Burger King, Hardee's, Kentucky Fried Chicken,
>
> McDonald's
>
> 33.1400 --- 151.8950 Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonald's
>
> 33.1400 --- 170.3050 McDonald's
>
> 33.4000 --- 154.5400 Wendy's
>
> 33.4000 --- 154.5700 McDonald's
>
> 35.0200 --- 151.8950 McDonald's
>
> 35.0200 --- 154.4900 McDonald's
>
> 35.0200 --- 154.6000 McDonald's
>

> 35.1000 --- UNKNOWN G.D. Ritzy's
>
> 49.8300 --- 49.8900 Wendy's
>
> 151.7150 --- 169.4450 McDonald's
>
> 151.7450 --- UNKNOWN McDonald's (Most likely paired with 169.505,
>
> 171.045, or 171.845)
>
> 151.7750 --- 171.9050 McDonald's
>
> 154.5700 --- 170.2450 McDonald's
>
> 154.6000 --- 171.1050 McDonald's
>
> 155.0000 --- UNKNOWN McDonald's
>
> 457.5125 --- 467.7375 Wendy's
>
> 457.5250 --- 467.7500 PROBABLE FREQUENCY none found yet
>
> 457.5375 --- 461.0875 McDonald's
>
> 457.5375 --- 467.7625 Bess Eaton Donuts, Hardee's, Roy Rogers, Wendy's
>
> 457.5375 --- 468.3875 Rally's
>
> 457.5500 --- 467.7750 Burger King, McDonald's
>
> 457.5625 --- 467.7875 Burger King
>
> 457.5750 --- 467.8000 Burger King
>
> 457.5875 --- 467.8125 Kentucky Fried Chicken
>
> 457.6000 --- 467.8250 Big Boy, Burger King, Kentucky Fried Chicken,
>
> McDonald's, White Castle
>
> 457.6125 --- 467.8375 Wendy's
>
> 460.8875 --- 465.8875 Burger King, Dairy Queen, Hardee's,
>
> Kentucky Fried Chicken, McDonald's, Taco Bell,
>
> Wendy's
>
> 461.0375 --- 466.0375 McDonalds's
>

> 461.0875 --- 466.0875 Hardee's, McDonald's, Rally's, Taco Bell, Wendy's
>
> 461.1125 --- 466.1125 Hardee's
>
> 461.5375 --- UNKNOWN Burger King, Taco Bell
>
> 461.5375 --- 462.1625 Rally's
>
> 461.8125 --- UNKNOWN Wendy's, White Castle
>
> 462.1625 --- 467.1625 McDonald's
>
> 462.7625 --- 467.8875 Kentucky Fried Chicken
>
> 463.2875 --- 468.2875 McDonalds's
>
> 464.3750 --- UNKNOWN Wendy's HQ
>
> 464.5125 --- UNKNOWN McDonald's, Wendy's
>
> 464.9625 --- 469.9625 Taco Bell
>
> 468.3875 --- UNKNOWN Rally's
>
> FAST FOOD FREQUENCY PAIRING
>
> OTHER POSSIBLE FAST FOOD FREQUENCIES
>
> 33.1200 35.0400 42.9800 43.0400 151.4900 151.6250
>
> 158.4000 169.5050 171.0450 171.8450 451.8000 464.5000
>
> 464.5500
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> I 462.7375 467.8500 I
>
> I ***462.7625 467.8625 I
>
> I 462.7875 467.8750 I
>
> I 462.8125 ***467.8875 I--- most likely paired in
>
> I 462.8375 467.9000 I some way.
>
> I 462.8625 467.9125 I
>
> I 462.8875 467.9250 I
>

> I 462.9125 467.9375 I
>
> ------------------------------------
>
> * Only one side given, highly probably frequency pairing however
>
> unconfirmed.
>
> ** Reported frequency pairing however unconfirmed.
>
> *** Already confirmed frequencies, just shown to determine a pattern.
>
> -Arbys 31.0000 457.550
>
> -Burger King 30.8400 31.0000 170.3050 457.5625
>
> 154.5700 457.6000 467.8250 467.7875
>
> 461.2875 466.2875
>
> -Carl Karcher Ent. 30.8400 806.0000 817.5875 819.2375
>
> (Carl's Jr.) 154.5700 816.1375 817.6375 819.3375
>
> 457.5375 816.2375 817.7375 819.5875
>
> 460.8875 816.3375 818.1375 819.6375
>
> 461.0875 816.5875 818.2375 819.7375
>
> 465.8875 816.6375 818.3375 820.1375
>
> 466.0875 816.7375 818.5875 820.2375
>
> 468.3875 817.1375 818.6375 820.3375
>
> 806.0000 817.2375 818.7375 820.5875
>
> 806.0000 817.3375 819.1375 820.6375
>
> 820.7375
>
> -Dairy Queen 30.840 154.57
>
> -Frosters Freeze 31.000 170.305
>
> -Hardees 30.840 154.570 35.020 154.600
>
> -Jack In The Box 33.400 154.540 469.025
>

> -Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.840 31.000 33.400 154.570
>
> 170.305 457.5875 457.600 460.8875
>
> 462.750 465.8875 461.0875 462.7625
>
> 467.8125 467.8875 806.000
>
> -McDonalds 30.840 154.570 154.700
>
> 35.020 154.600 169.445
>
> 33.140 151.895 165.600
>
> 31.000 151.715 151.775
>
> 467.775 154.060 457.550
>
> Wireless Mic Freqs. 170.245 170.305 171.105 171.905
>
> -Taco Bell 30.8400 31.0000 33.4000 154.5150
>
> 154.5400 154.5700 466.0875 466.5375
>
> 457.5375 457.5500 460.8875 461.0375
>
> 461.0875 461.5375 468.3875 469.9625
>
> 464.9625 466.0375
>
> -Wendys 31.0000 170.3050 457.5375 468.3875
>
> 460.8875 461.0875 465.8875 466.0875
>
> Company Customer Freq. Clerk Freq.
>
> Arby's 30.84 154.57
>
> Burger King 31.00 170.305
>
> 457.55 467.775
>
> 457.5625 467.7875
>
> 457.575 467.800
>
> 457.600 467.825
>
> 460.8875 465.8875
>

> Hardee's 30.84 154.570
>
> 31.00 170.305
>
> 461.1125 466.1125
>
> Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.840 154.570
>
> 31.00 170.305
>
> 457.5875 467.8125
>
> 460.8875 465.8875
>
> 462.7625 467.8875
>
> McDonald's 30.84 154.580
>
> 31.00 170.305
>
> 33.14 151.895
>
> 33.14 170.305
>
> 33.40 154.570
>
> 35.02 154.490
>
> 35.02 154.600
>
> 154.570 170.245
>
> 154.600 171.105
>
> 457.550 467.775
>
> 461.0375 466.0375
>
> 463.2875 468.2875
>
> 464.5125 469.5125
>
> Roy Rogers 457.5375 467.7625
>
> Taco Bell 30.840 154.570
>
> 460.8875 465.8875
>
> Wendy's 49.830 49.890
>

> 457.6125 467.8375
>
> 460.8875 465.8875
>
> 461.8125 466.8125
>
> 464.5125 469.5125

117. Re: How does a cellphone work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <372C7F1F.47A6@ameritech.net>
Source record: 120164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

That is alot, just to make a phone call. Ain;'t nothing like them land
line calls. Plain and simple

mayermh wrote:
>
> When you turn your cellphone on, it immediately scans for the strongest of
> several handshaking signals it can hear, assuming you are in an area where
> there is cellular service. Your cellphone is listening in case someone
> tries to call you. These handshaking signals are coming from base
> transmitters which are transmitting digital "handshaking" information about
> current usage of the system (in addition to the phone conversations
> themselves on all the other frequencies). Once the cellphone "locks on"
> (happens very quickly after you turn it on), it then is ready to use (either
> to call or to get called)..
>
> You then dial a number and push "send". The cellphone transmitter "turns
> on" and sends that phone number on a frequency determined by your cellphone,
> via the info it's receiving on the handshaking frequency (talking is on a
> different frequency than listening). Your cellphone's ID number (not the
> phone number) is also sent, as a way to verify that you are a paying
> customer, and of course for billing and security purposes. The tower
> computer gets that information and actually dials the phone number for you
> into the public switched phone network. In that sense, the cell tower and
> your phone are simply a two-way radio system that has autopatched itself
> into the regular phone network.
>
> When you go out of range, your cellphone is told by the tower (on the same
> frequency your handset is listening to the conversation on), to hop to
> another frequency in a prescribed group of frequencies at another tower (you
> have just hopped to another cell), closer to you. The tower and your
> handset both monitor the received signal strength and if it gets below a
> certain threshold, the hop to a stronger signal is automaticalliy made for
> you.
>
> When someone calls your cellphone, a polling signal with an ID unique to
> your cellphone's phone number is sent out on all the handshaking frequencies
> within a given area until your cellphone picks it up and starts to ring.
> Similar to how a pager works. When you hit "Send", your transmitter turns
> on and you can now talk back to the tower that "found you", thus the person
> who called you is already on the line by now. Cell hopping is the same.
> This all assumes that your cellphone is activated or turned on.
>
> It's much more complex than that when you open up all the theory and

> computer logic behind it, but essentially your cellphone is constantly
> listening for instructions on what frequencies to hop to, and what it is to
> do when you dial and hang up.
>
> I may have missed a point here and there, but that's the gist of it.
>
> Mike
>
> >If anyone can tell me how a cellphone works (how can a cellphone access the
> >regular phone system without a repeater and autopatch), I would apperciate
> >it.
> >
> >Please reply to chip448@yahoo.com
> >
> >
> >

--
MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
CELEBRATE IT!!!!

118. Re: need motorola talkabout freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <eBmRwnLI#GA.277@nih2naaf.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 120165

emoney250@aol.com (Emoney250) asked:
> Anyone have the motorola "Talkabout" radio frequencies?

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on ftp://oak.oakland.edu
as /pub/hamradio/docs/misc/scanner.faf

FRS - Family Radio Service [95.627]

Ch Freq Ch Freq

1 462.5625 8 467.5625
2 462.5875 9 467.5875
3 462.6125 10 467.6125
4 462.6375 11 467.6375
5 462.6625 12 467.6625
6 462.6875 13 467.6875
7 462.7125 14 467.7125

The FRS was authorized on 06 June 96. It uses FM with a peak
deviation of +/- 2.5 kHz. Maximum power is 0.5w ERP.
Channels 1 - 7 are shared with GMRS.

119. Need 11.155 MHz. I.F. Crystal for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: TLNixon@revealed.net
Message-ID: <372c73df.275517443@news.revealed.net>
Source record: 120166

Anyone seen any of these anywhere? I kind of hate to have
International or JAN Crystal make one, for what they will charge.

Converting a Crystal Controlled RCA (one of my favorite scanners) from
low side injection (10.245) to high side injection (11.155) to get rid
of some local interference.

Thanks-Terry

WB0VQP

120. FS:Pro 2006/OS-456/ScanStar/Laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Carter <jcarter64@home.com>
Message-ID: <372C8219.2FBE7EEA@home.com>
Source record: 120167

This is the second setup that I am auctioning off on ebay.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=98231018

121. Site Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Bate <david.bate@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <UxUW2.47$GZ5.167@news21.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 120168
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The Durham Region Radio group site has been updated with new info and new format...

http://www3.sympatico.ca/david.bate/drrg/

--

David Bate [ICQ 9344489]
Bowmanville, Ontario
http://www3.sympatico.ca/david.bate

122. Re: AMTRAK Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <372C8694.6578@ameritech.net>
Source record: 120169
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

Irv Smith2 wrote:
>
> Amtrak mainly uses 160.920 for the North East corridor.
>
> >Anyone know what frequencies are used when they direct a train to a
> >particular track ?
> >
From what i understand, Amtrack uses the frequencies of what ever Rail
Road's track's they are using. Thus if they are on Conrail teackage,
then they wil use Conrail frequencies, etc.
--
MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
CELEBRATE IT!!!!

123. Re: AMTRAK Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <372C8713.67F0@ameritech.net>
Source record: 120170
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

BIGmeanMAMERjammer wrote:
>
> Irv Smith2 wrote:
> >
> > Amtrak mainly uses 160.920 for the North East corridor.
> >
> > >Anyone know what frequencies are used when they direct a train to a
> > >particular track ?
> > >
> From what i understand, Amtrack uses the frequencies of what ever Rail
> Road's track's they are using. Thus if they are on Conrail teackage,
> then they wil use Conrail frequencies, etc.

Here, in the Cleveland Ohio area, there are Amtrak trains that uses the
Conrail drawbridge in the downtown/flats. Thus they have to make
contact with the bridge on the main Conrail frequency.
> --
> MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
> CELEBRATE IT!!!!

--
MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
CELEBRATE IT!!!!

124. Re: Looking for 155.550/155.490/155.445MHz Xtals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Reeder <reeder@NOSPAMuswest.net>
Message-ID: <372C8A5A.E83D657F@NOSPAMuswest.net>
Source record: 120171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm always on the lookout for old scanners at estate and garage sales. When ever I do find them I get crystals at Radio Shack . Just make sure you tell them the brand and model of scanner, because it DOES make a difference. Also most Radio Shack stores in my area have a list of the local frequencies to help order the correct ones..

Bob

Asmodean wrote:
>
> Try Radio Shack's Unlimited catolog. They run about $4.99. A friend was
> restoring an old crystal radio, and found those three xtals in it. In my
> area, 155.550 is Sheriff's Office, 155.445 is County MRD, and I can't
> remember what 155.490 is, but it is in the public safety group.
>
> --
>
> Asmo
> KF4YTR
> Charter Member of NO MA'AM
>
> Gary_Jacek@trap.bc.sympatico.ca wrote in message ...
> >I am looking for
> >
> >155.550/155.490/155.445 MHz P77 10.7 IF Regency Type
> >
> >Crystals.
> >
> >I have a few Xtals for trade at:
> >
> >http://www3.bc.sympatico.ca/Gary_Jacek/Tradxtal.html
> >
> >Please remove "trap." from my email address if you have
> >
> >one of these you'd like to part with.
> >

--
To reply remove NOSPAM from address

125. PCR1000 control codes ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Colin Campbell <colin@campbell84.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7gi2ta$a5g$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 120172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi and thanks for reading this
I've written an experimental interface program for the ICOM PCR1000 and need some gaps filled in on the control code side. If you know what LD842? or H8xx does, or if you have more commands than the ones on David Anderson's list (linked from my page) please e-mail them. Full source code and experimenters program are at http://www.campbell84.freeserve.co.uk
Colin Campbell GM1LUZ

126. Re: need motorola talkabout freq - frs&gmrsfreq's.txt (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <372cba94.858939@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 120173

i hope this helps
gig.On 2 May 1999 09:00:34 GMT, emoney250@aol.com (Emoney250) wrote:

>Anyone have the motorola "Talkabout" radio frequencies?
>
>
>Thanks in avance

127. Re: Just ordered my monitenna from Scanners Unlimited.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <372cbcc1.1415549@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 120174

i did not like mine very much, so isettled for a diamond discone D130J
i like it much better.On Sat, 01 May 1999 21:09:26 GMT,
vf500@canada.com wrote:

>I spent two hours reading archived messages about the "best"
>antenna and settled on the monitenna - it seemed to be unanimous.
>
>I ordered mine from Scanners Unlimited http://www.best.com/~scanner/
>for $54.
>
>I was going to get it from
>http://www.starkelectronic.com/cmuhf.htm#scaner for $31 but their
>shipping cost was $23.87 via UPS and to me that didn't seem right.
>
>I heard of other companies charging very low prices but then
>overcharging on shipping to make up the difference. I do NOT know if
>that is the case but there were many positive comments written about
>Scanners Unlimited so I went with them.
>
>Grove http://www.grove-ent.net also sells their own version of the
>monitenna, the scantenna, for $50 and their shipping cost is normal
>too, not $24 as stark's but I wanted the original monitenna.
>
>The gentleman at Scanners Unlimited was very nice on the phone and
>quite helpful.
>
>Just thought I would help out a bit in the great antenna debates.
>
>PS Everyones antenna comes with 50 ft coax, RG6 I think.
>
>
>

128. Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <372C9309.372B5EAD@home.com>
Source record: 120175

What happened to Bill Cheek? Is he still in the slammer?

129. Pro-43 on eBay-2 days left!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Webmaster <sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <02051999111349891 3%sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Source record: 120176
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Pro-43 on eBay:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=96746913

130. Re: AMTRAK Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctill@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <7gi5g0$es9$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120177
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

>From what i understand, Amtrack uses the frequencies of what ever Rail
>Road's track's they are using. Thus if they are on Conrail teackage,
>then they wil use Conrail frequencies, etc.

This is correct. However, Amtrak itself owns the NEC (Northeast Corridor)
from Washington to Boston, except fof a segment just north of New York
owned by a commuter agency. Amtrak owns a few other segments across
the country.

Around Raleigh, Amtrak uses the Norfolk Southern road channel, 160.950
(the old Southern Railway road channel) westbound toward Greensboro
and eastbound toward Selma. Amtrak uses one of the CSX road channels,
161.100 (the old SAL road channel) southbound toward Hamlet. The CSX
line through Fayetteville, Selma, Wilson, and Rocky Mount -- used by several
Amtrak trains -- has a different channel.

131. Re: Philadelphia to get new 800 trunked system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Mulligan <dmul2@excite.com>
Message-ID: <372C9F5B.ABF63D6F@excite.com>
Source record: 120178

I would like to read about this. Where is it? (The story)

W6JRF wrote:
>
> I wonder what the city council would say if they were to read about how a
> malfunctioning RV television antenna pre-amp was able to screw up Sacramento
> CA 42 million dollar system?

--
PGP 0x12209D33
http://www.metacrawler.com

132. List of Motorola Talkabout(FRS) freqrencies:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Justin_Carson <JCarson@zyan.com>
Message-ID: <372C914F.C5FDCE8F@zyan.com>
Source record: 120179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Emoney250 wrote:
>
> Anyone have the motorola "Talkabout" radio frequencies?
>
> Thanks in advance.

FRS is an unlicensed service, and there are almost no restrictions on
the
content of FRS communications. The FRS frequencies are:

Channel No..........(MHz) 14 channels @ .5 Watt

1.................. 462.5625
2.................. 462.5875
3.................. 462.6125
4.................. 462.6375
5.................. 462.6625
6.................. 462.6875
7.................. 462.7125

8.................. 467.5625
9.................. 467.5875
10................. 467.6125
11................. 467.6375
12................. 467.6625
13................. 467.6875
14................. 467.7125

http://www.accesscom.com/~dave6592/frs.html

133. For Sale- 400 channel handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Flybar <molinari@theriver.com>
Message-ID: <w62X2.23693$95.871042@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 120180

Hi,
I have a 400 channel handheld scanner for sale, including charger..if you want to get in on the bidding, go here.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=95922211

Thanks,

David

134. DX-394 sw $20 ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: quake99@beer.com
Message-ID: <372cb090.3879507@news.pipcom.com>
Source record: 120181

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=98852433

135. the General Mobile Radio Service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Justin_Carson <JCarson@zyan.com>
Message-ID: <372C95BB.4A9A9D0C@zyan.com>
Source record: 120182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What is the General Mobile Radio Service?

The General Mobile Radio Service ("GMRS") is a personal radio service available to an individual (one man or one woman). It is a two-way voice communication service to facilitate the activities of the individual's immediate family members. Expect a communications range of five to twenty-five miles. You cannot make a telephone call with a GMRS unit.

Do I need a license?

Yes. Before any station transmits on any channel authorized in the GMRS from any point within or over the territorial limits of any area where radio services are regulated by the FCC, the responsible party must obtain a license for a GMRS system.

An individual 18 years of age or older, who is not a representative of a foreign government, is eligible to apply for a GMRS system license. Application for a GMRS system license is made on FCC Form 574.

A booklet entitled Instructions for Completion of FCC Form 574 is helpful
in preparing the application. There is a filing fee. For information on fees see our Fee Information page.

http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/csinfo/feeinfo.html

Which GMRS channels may I use?

There are twenty-three GMRS channels. None of the GMRS channels are assigned for the exclusive use of any system. License applicants and licensees must cooperate in the selection and use of the channels in order to make the most effective use of them and to reduce the possibility of interference.

Any mobile station or small base station in a GMRS system operating in the simplex mode may transmit voice type emissions with no more than 5 watts ERP on the following 462 MHz channels:

462.5625,
462.5875,
462.6125,
462.6375,
462.6625,
462.6875 and
462.7125 MHz. These channels are shared with the Family Radio Service.

Any mobile station in a GMRS system may transmit on the 467.675 MHz channel to communicate through a mobile relay station transmitting on the 462.675 MHz channel.

The communications must be for the purpose of soliciting or rendering assistance to a traveler, or for communicating in an emergency pertaining to the immediate safety of life or the immediate protection of property.

Each GMRS system license assigns one or two of eight possible channels or
channel pairs (one 462 MHz channel and one 467 MHz channel spaced 5 MHz apart), as requested by the applicant.

Applicants for GMRS system licenses are advised to investigate or monitor
in order to determine the best available channel(s) before making their selection. Each applicant must select the channel(s) or channel pair(s)for
the stations in the proposed system from the following list:

For a base station, mobile relay station, fixed station or mobile station:
462.550,
462.575,
462.600,
462.625,
462.650,
462.675,
462.700 and
462.725 MHz.

For a mobile station, control station or fixed station in a duplex system:
467.550,
467.575,
467.600,
467.625,
467.650,
467.675,
467.700 and
467.725 MHz.

Every GMRS system station operator must cooperate in sharing the assigned
channel with station operators in other GMRS systems by monitoring the channel before initiating transmissions, waiting until communications in progress are completed before initiating transmissions, engaging in only permissible communications and limiting transmissions to the minimum practical transmission time.

http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/prs/genmbl.html

http://www.provide.net/~prsg/ Personal Radio Steering Group

http://www.dougweb.com/gmrs.html GMRS web magazine

us.misc.family-radio newsgroup now permits discussion about GMRS.

136. WINFLEX and BAYCOM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@SPAMSUXhome.com>
Message-ID: <372CB701.BF53D93D@SPAMSUXhome.com>
Source record: 120183

Is anyone using WINFLEX with the Baycom BP-2M? What mode do you use
with the Baycom?

--

Pete Ritter | "He's not such a bad
cpritter@SPAMSUXhome.com | bloke really, but he is
To reply, please remove "SPAMSUX" | a bit of a cynic."

137. Where is POCFLEX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@SPAMSUXhome.com>
Message-ID: <372CB7A2.8A0E8004@SPAMSUXhome.com>
Source record: 120184

The author (?) of POCFLEX has removed it from his site. Is it available anywhere else? Would someone email it to me?

Thanks
--

Pete Ritter | "He's not such a bad
cpritter@SPAMSUXhome.com | bloke really, but he is
To reply, please remove "SPAMSUX" | a bit of a cynic."

138. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <Tac2@usa.net>
Message-ID: <372CBC85.B7FA13AA@usa.net>
Source record: 120185

What, are you trying to start a flame fest?

Jim Wayda wrote:

> What happened to Bill Cheek? Is he still in the slammer?

139. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990502170121.19638.00001395@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 120186

>From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
>Date: 05-02-1999 13:01 EST
>
>What happened to Bill Cheek? Is he still in the slammer?
>
Bill and Cindy were arrested, booked and released. They never spent any
time in jail, other than the length of time it took to process the paperwork.
The last I heard from him they were going to have to travel to New York for a
preliminary court appearance and to meet with the U.S. Public Defenders Office
attorneys in New York.
Just remember folks, this is your tax money at work, both prosecuting him,
and defending him. Frankly, I can think of better ways the government could
spend my money than by harrassing somebody like Bill. I mean, I haven't heard
that the drug problem has been cured, have you?

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

140. Re: does somewone have a list of english frequenties???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <d_ds23@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7gg2ie$192$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 120277

```
i need,
Frequencies from britain!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Dave.

mayermh heeft geschreven in bericht <7gdopg$j77@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>...
>
>What do you mean, "english frequencies?"
>
>Frequencies written in English?
>Frequencies from English speaking countries?
>Frequencies from Britain?
>
>
```

141. Hoka Code 3 Gold F.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdepaepe <mdepaepe@cwix.com>
Message-ID: <7gNW2.119$Kg3.10470@news.cwix.com>
Source record: 120278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hoka's code 3 Gold digital decoder,all manuals ect.
Decodes HF/VHF/UHF signals.
Decodes everything from morse code to Super Pogsag.
Details can be seen at Http://www.scancat.com
This is the full blown version,originally sold for $597
$400 shipped

142. Re: Alinco DJX10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990501215007.17265.00000913@ng153.aol.com>
Source record: 120279

David,

Check the review on www.strongsignals.net

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

143. Re: Icom marine "Secret" frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: nitecapt@optonline.net
Message-ID: <372bb259.138552@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 120280

You are correct, but these sites don't contain the frequencies that
the Icom radios access as F1, F2 etc etc.

On Sat, 1 May 1999 12:48:56 -0500, "John Habbinga"
<habbinga@hub.ofthe.net> wrote:

>http://members.xoom.com/houstonscan/marineus.htm this is one of many sites
>that list the marine frequencies.
>
>Ray <nitecapt@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:372c13ca.12855160@news.optonline.net...
>> Can someone advise what the frequencies are for Icom Marine radios F1,
>> F2 etc etc frequencies? I can't seem to fine them anywhere.
>> Thanks
>> Ray
>

144. Re: what do you people in central new jersey listen to?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990501232836.19634.00001129@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 120281

>Just wondering.... Other than police, fire, ems, what do people listen to in
>the central nj area?
>

We listen to cows, growing grass, and fading car paint. Summa us listen to our wives c'plainin' 'bout there aint bein' enough money to feed the 12 little crumb-snatchin' kids we got and the house listing a bit to the right 'cause wunna the tires is goin' low. I kinda like listnen to the sound of our TV set. Aint got no workin' pitcher tube, but since all I listen to is minor league baseball games outta Trenton any way, (if my CBin' neighbor aint BSin' on the darned thang 'cause he messes up my TV speaker sound bein' that we aint got no cable TV and he gots some kinda amplifier I guess). I can just listen to the play-by-play and pitcher the game in my head. Good thing I got me that scanner...since here in central Jersey, there aint be much to set your ears on to be listnen to.

145. Re: Do MDTs share freqs with voice?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990501233754.19634.00001133@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 120282

>Do MDTs operate on their own frequencies, or do they work over the voice
>freq?
>
>Thanks
>
>
>
>

This would mostly depend on the monitary constraints of any given department or agency. Some departments have dedicated voice and data (MDTs) channels, others don't. Radio channels can be shared with both voice and data. In my area, it seems most of the voice transmissions on a departments only channel are to discuss why the MDTs are not working, and patrol officers asking for verbal confimation of what was transmitted to them via the MDT.

Tom KB2FIK
Tbone107@aol.com
NJ State PBA Local #16
FOP Lodge #111

146. CTP Descrambler Company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9kz@indy.net
Message-ID: <7ggk88$39b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120283

Does anyone know if CTP is a reputable company?

Bill K9KZ

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

147. Re: Just ordered my monitenna from Scanners Unlimited.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bumologist@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <MPG.1195c198282ec5ba9896e0@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 120284

In article <MPG.119535ddedc6d403989763@news.earthlink.net>,
dcisive@earthlink.net says...
> There is no substitute. The Grove imitation is nothing more than just
> that. You did the right thing. You will be happy with both the antenna's
> performance and with Scanners Unlimited's service as well. I just ordered
> another Monitenna from them as well as a Optocom. If you want a good tip
> on installation of that antenna email me as I had gotten some pretty
> awesome results from putting it up a special way. Enjoy
>
>
They are EXACTLY THE SAME antenna!There is no difference between the
"original" and the "copy".I've had them in my hands,side by side.The only
thing wrong with this antenna is it's pretty flimsy,and won't last very
long outside in bad weather unless mods are done to it and it's coated
with a uerathane spray.
Barry

148. Harrisburg PA Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <ka2emz@cybercomm.net>
Message-ID: <372BEC9C.E55AFDC@cybercomm.net>
Source record: 120285

Hi. Sometime in June I am driving from New Jersey to Harrisburg,
Pennsylvania on business....besides the PA tpke frequency of 159.075,
what others will I find active? Also, PD and Fire out there- are the
listings in police call the only known frequencies?
Please email me- ka2emz@cybercomm.net
Bill

149. Re: AOR 900 Battery Pack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: w6jrf@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990502022343.20739.00001200@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 120286

Hi There; can't help you with any mods but I have a Sanyo Battery pack in my AOR900 and it works fine. The part number is Sanyo CADNICA Model 5N-600AA, it is a 6volt, 600 mah battery pack. I went into Net Find for Sanyo Batteries and came up with several sources, one of which washttp://www.electritek.com/Catalog/Batteries/BattSanStd.html. I found a local dealer here in Sacramento California that carried them in stock. The company name is Cell Energy Incorporated in Sac # (916) 484-7974 or outside 1-800-321-0714. If you call them, tell them Bob, W6JRF recommended them, I need to buy some new BIG batteries for my motorhome and I could use all the help I can get <G>

150. Scout Modification.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stephan Walther-Larsen <swl@ki.gl>
Message-ID: <m1qX2.782$8H2.740@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 119937
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all.
Please help me with this. I have been surfing for these but don't seem to find any.

I will have a good friend (who is better at soldering than me) to do some nessesaruy mods to my scout 40 for better protection from overloading.

I know they are out there - but if You know a mod please mail me (and this group).

TIA

Stephan
swl@ki.gl

151. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <372E3433.202A@sir.com>
Source record: 119938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

> >> If you'd ever known Morse code, you'd still know Morse code.
> >
> >Not always true. I knew morse code, and don't remember any of it now
> >since I never used it after learning it. The same is true for many Hams
> >I know.

152. Re: Hide Your Scanners, Guns, and Money: America Is Being Run By A Communist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <372e379c.1878762@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 119939
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

wwholzXYZ@home.com (WWH) wrote:

:OK, how about the police search your house anytime they like.
:Shouldn't be a problem if you've nothing to hide, right?
:
:Holzhueter

Far better to walk into a school with a scanner than a gun.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

153. Electra BC220

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Witte <kwitte@dmci.net>
Message-ID: <372e3d43@news-out2.newsnerds.com>
Source record: 119940

Can anyone help with where the battery backup leads attach to the maincircuit board on a BC220?

154. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <372e456c$0$2479@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 119941

Please tell me how the BC 235XLT is superior to the Pro-90. They are the same thing. True, the 235 comes with an extra battery pack and charger, but from all of the accounts I have read, the Pro-90 is the same thing, but with fixes to some bugs that were in the older 235, as I believe was mentioned in Monitoring Times.
Radio911 <radio911@aol.com> wrote in message news:19990503200310.02506.00001880@ng27.aol.com...
> Hi! I have the RS PRO-2066 and am very happy with it. It is small enough to
> mount in-dash (in place of a radio) or I mounted my radio on the center console
> between the two front seats.
> It has great audio and easy enough to program-although not a good idea while
> driving! E-mail with specific questions and I'll get back to you. I also have
> the BC 235XLT handheld radio-superior to the RS PRO-90.
> For an excellent web site of product comparisons-www.strongsignals.net.
>
> >Subject: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?
> >From: "Nick Carrigan" <nickc@lightmail.com>
> >Date: 5/2/99 9:19 PM Pacific Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <7gj87o$l48$1@goliath.lightrealm.com>
> >
> >
> >What's the best (overall) trunk-tracking mobile?
>
>
> See my web site: http://expage.com/page/Radio911
> Laine S. Herman - Radio911@aol.com

155. Re: Scout Modification.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: PapaS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7glhcv$rt8@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 119942
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hello there all
I have a AOR2700 with CAT 232 interface to control via computer
I was playing around with it for a week or so and it work fine ,but
now i start having some problems.
When it hoket up to the computer it's scans very-very slow (i use
radio manager for software),but the software works fine and show
normal scanning operation,with no problem.
I try lot different settings but still no success,i thing i mestup
the bandplan when i try to upload some stuff.
Can anyone tell me what maybe happen or give me some advise ,and or
can someone give me the US bandplan SO I CAN TRY OUT ???(I DON'T HAVE
A BACKUP stupit me ehh)
Thank you very much in advance
Lukas

>

156. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: BungHole <bung@hole.org>
Message-ID: <A7F66CFEECEF15B3.BE39DE8F475EF3CA.A396DC733AB0A5C5@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

: 5. Does anyone really believe that CW is the real cause
: behind the decline of Amateur Radio?

The decline of Amateur Radio is due to largely the internet making HAM obsolete except for nostalgia sake (though
alot of HAM's who need to feel more important than they are, would argue).
The secondary reason that HAM has declined is due to a alot of old, narrow minded, biggoted farts who think
they are special and OWN the entire 160-80m range.
Fortunately, these people are old, smoke alot and have alot of ailments (probably from years of being exposed
to high wattage RF) so they will all be dead in a few years anyhow.
There are those who carry on the old fart disfunctional attitudes but they are fortunately (and for HAM's sake) outnumbered
by a majority of new free thinkers who not only want change but see the need for change before HAM becomes nothing
but a cute memory, joked about by grandkids while they are playing video games and sufing the net.

157. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: BungHole <bung@hole.org>
Message-ID: <3777E0152241B22C.31F5B3D209667A7C.E63B114584CF74FD@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Hehe, suddenly this moron is an expert on the subject of you and how your remember :)

: No, you simply memorized the Morse Code. CW is the same
: as riding a bicycle. Once learned, it is not easily forgotten.

158. PRO2048 discriminator found

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren Mulligan <djmulligan@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <372E4935.788764C1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119945
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

While I was getting annoyed with having my BC895 do such a stupid job
such as decoding a trunking stream, I decided to do something and find
the discriminator for my obslete PRO2048. Well, after working for a
while, I found it. The chip is directly above the (c) in the Uniden logo
on the board. (IC8) The chip says:
3043A
6132B
JRC
The pin with the output is pin 7, which is on the side with the dot on
the board and is the farthest from the dot. You can use the metal square
on the ground of the earphone as your ground.
--
PGP 0x12209D33
http://www.metacrawler.com

159. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7glihj$p2e$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 119946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Yep. Maybe a moron, but got my Amateur Extra (by studying)
and First Telephone.

Loser. At least I don't have to go through life being a "Bunghole".

BungHole wrote in message
<3777E0152241B22C.31F5B3D209667A7C.E63B114584CF74FD@library-proxy.airnews.ne
t>...
Hehe, suddenly this moron is an expert on the subject of you and how your
remember :)

: No, you simply memorized the Morse Code. CW is the same
: as riding a bicycle. Once learned, it is not easily forgotten.

160. Re: Motorola Rib Box and Cables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10250-372E4CEE-39@newsd-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119947

Try rec.radio.swap

161. Newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jorge Morales <Sycho_Graphics@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OOrR5Cdl#GA.315@cpmsnbbsa05>
Source record: 119948

I would like to get a scanner and was wondering what would be a good choice for a beginner. I live in Miami, Fl and I think most depts. use trunked systems but I am not sure.

Thanks

162. Icom IC-R2 Scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: frle@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <frle-ya023180000305991911320001@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119949
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,aus.radio.scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Could someone who owns an Icom IC-R2 scanner please tell me how you access specific frequencies directly on this unit? Do you have to sequentially dial-it up withing a specific band, or is there a more direct method. Either way, do you consider this an inconvienance?

163. Re: wtb:manual pro-2036

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Jaggers <sjaggers@mis.net>
Message-ID: <0OsX2.200$co2.30968@news2.randori.com>
Source record: 119950

Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:9%lX2.600$G4.2392@news1.iquest.net...
> im looking for a good copy of the operatingg manual for the
> radio shack pro-2036 scanner
> thanks
> monte k9dzd@iquest.net
>
>

Go to http://www.radioshack.com/Support/ and select from online manuals (no
charge).
You can download or faxback.

Steve

164. Re: Icom IC-R2 Scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1198094d82e51214989772@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119951
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,aus.radio.scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

You can either dial it in with the tuning dial in VFO mode, or memorize the specific frequencies in a bank. Once you have worked with this little gem for a while, it is much like driving a Ferrari. It may not have automatic transmission, but is very high performance and more of a manual type of product. Well worth it as it hears what virtually no other scanner can due to it's superior sensitivity. The highest level of performance in an antenna would either be the Radio Shack 20-006 telescopic with a center coil (using a SMA to BNC adapter) or my new favorite for wide band listening from 100-1000mhz is the Watson 881.
Enjoy

165. BigBrotherInside: Chipping Away at Our Privacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Saunders <PSaunders@unsite.com>
Message-ID: <372E47E8.D3ABB815@unsite.com>
Source record: 119952
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Chipping Away at Our Privacy

Two recent events-one overt, one not-illustrate
just how easy it is to lose your PC privacy.

You've probably heard about Intel's plan to embed an
individual "processor serial number" (PSN) in each machine
running on a Pentium III (PIII) chip. T

The 96-bit ID can identify the user's PC to any software that knows how
to request it. Of course, there are legitimate and useful
purposes for this kind of identification:

Resource-tracking within an enterprise is one example.
Indeed, some workstation manufacturers already feature
similar functions. And while some apps use serial numbers for licensing,
other software uses hardware-based "dongles" ("keys" that attach to
a parallel or serial port) for the same purpose.

to continue, click here:

http://www.winmag.com/library/1999/0501/ana0008.htm

166. Re: Subject: BCT245XLT <------ Is this scanner Base or Mobile? and anyone have link for Specs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Netranger <netranger@netcarrier.com>
Message-ID: <925788976.615.88@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119953

K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net> wrote in message
news:7gl8tajlv1@remarQ.com...
> Today I recieved an answer from Uniden as to the release of the 245.
> The reply was brief, but to the point.
>
> "Dear Sir, That unit is not in stores at this time. It is schedule for
> release in Late June to early July.
>
> Thank you
> Uniden Customer Assistance"
>
>
>
>
>

167. Re: 5/3/99 New versions of mtrunker and etrunker available!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Have a GREAT DAY <brechtdFU@NOSPAMSHITоanet.com>
Message-ID: <372e7142.0@news.oanet.com>
Source record: 119954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes, Thankyou Neal and Lindsay,
Thankyou for all the effort you put into Etrunker

Art Becker wrote in message <7gl39s$un3$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>...
>Hi Lindsay,
>
>Thanks for all the effort you put into TRUNKER.
>
>I use it with a PRO-2006 to decode the control channel, and a BC-895 to do
>the actual listening. The two radios share pins on COM1.
>
>I've been able to identify a dozen local trunking systems that don't appear
>on any frequency/trunking list.
>
>The only new feature I could think of to put on the want list for future
>releases would be to identify the CW ID automatically.
>
>Thanks again.
>
> << Art >> WA9BOJ
>
>
>

168. BCT 7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Singer" <deltacom@bwn.net>
Message-ID: <372E7604.C6557C3@bwn.net>
Source record: 119955

Greetings,
I'm looking for information on programing the BCT 7 and it's
capabilities. I recently bought one, but it did not have the manual with
it. I have tried unsuccessfully to download it off the Uniden site.
Thanks for any help.
Gerry

Gerry Singer KC0CAT
Regional Director W.A.R.N. Weather Awareness Resource Network
Loc:40 09 38N 103 12 50W Grid Square DN80jd N/E Colorado
Pro 2042 W/Optocom 535 MX7000 Pro67 Pro2004 DX390 W/MFJ 784
R5000 W/MFJ VersaTunerII Azden PCS2000 HTX-242 Alinco DJ191
Icom H16 and U16 Yaesu VX 5R President Lincoln Grant XL
.....and lots of antennas!
Scanner,Weather and Ham Radio web site
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/5137
---

169. Re: Re- Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <372E8708.1239@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119956
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

pnw100 wrote:
>
> Most of the MacDonalds in my area have gone to trunked radio systems, so you
> should probably try searching the 860 range.
>
> stilllooken wrote in message ...
> >I put in all the frequencies and went driving around Hollywood area to
> >listen in.
> >All I heard were the orders being given and taken. could these be the only
> >mobile communications being used at these places?
> >Is it better in the burbs?
> >

Trunked radio system for McDonalds??? Not likely.

There are three brands of headset radios that are currently in
use at various Fast Food Restaurants.

HM Electronics Operates in VHF-low/VHF-Hi band
Panasonic Operates in the UHF Band
3M Electronics Operates in the ISM Band (902-928)

The most common frequency pairs you should check are:

30.8400 --- 154.5700
31.0000 --- 170.3050
33.1600 --- 154.5100
33.4000 --- 154.5400
35.0200 --- 154.6000 *
154.5700 --- 170.2450 *
154.6000 --- 171.1050 *
457.5375 --- 468.3875
457.5500 --- 467.7750 *
457.6000 --- 467.8250
461.0375 --- 466.0375
460.8875 --- 465.8875
461.0875 --- 466.0875
469.0125 --- 464.0125 *

If they're using the "3M" headsets then you should search
from 920-922 Mhz Wide FM for the Speaker frequency and from
903-905 Mhz Wide FM for the Headset frequency.

Have a look at the following URL for a complete list:

http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/fastfood.txt

170. Looking for St. Louis, MO frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ebernabe@earthlinkREMOVE.net
Message-ID: <372e14c4.54731265@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119957

If anyone has a list, please post it. I am new to scanning and would appreciate it greatly.

Thanks in advance.

http://www.bernabe.net/
ebernabe@bernabe-int.com

171. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372da4f7.4245076@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 30 Apr 1999 09:24:52 -0400, "Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com>
wrote:

>Why can't you get it through your head the difference between
>forced to learn and tested on knowledge and being forced
>to learn a skill.

And never let them forget you can miss some of the knowledge
or pick and choose around a lot of whatever you don't like but there
is no way around code, and that is they way they want it
>
>Opps, sorry, do you think the skill is more important than the
>knowledge?

Mike you have hit it on the head they do think it more
important some of them even think it is more important than things the
Constitution
>
>Mike Yetsko
>
>
>Dave Heil wrote in message <37293597.677C@cats-net.com>...
>>Sir wrote:
>>>
>>> > > In other words, "I shouldn't have to just because I don't
>>> > > want to".
>>>
>>> Better yet, "I shouldn't have to take the time to learn something I
>will
>>> never use."
>>>
>>> Let's force everyone to learn how to play the harp before they are
>>> allowed to drive a car. Those who do not like harp music we will
>call
>>> lazy.
>>
>>
>>Let's force everyone to learn math skills before graduating from high
>>schools. Those who do not like math, we will call "dropouts".
>>
>>Dave 5H3US, K8MN
>>--
>>For 5H3US photos, go to
>>http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

>
>
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

172. Re: Primestar satellite dish with scanner question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <nobody@home.here>
Message-ID: <372DA234.CA35EFB3@home.here>
Source record: 119988
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CommQuart wrote:
> Primestar has been know to abandon dishes when a contract
> is terminated--the cost of sending a technican out to remove a dish
> in a rural area often greatly exceeds the value of the
> receiver/downconverter.
>
Especially since P* has been bought out by Direct TV. Rumour hints P*
customers will be offered conversion to DSS (new dish & receiver) and
then P* reception will be turned off.

173. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lyle Koehler <lyle@mlecmn.net>
Message-ID: <372DAA41.9B35E4ED@mlecmn.net>
Source record: 119989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Mark,

Forgive me if you've already tried this route, but it *is* possible for the volunteer examiner team to administer adaptive code tests for people with disabilities. I'm not sure if there are hard and fast guidelines for how "adaptive" the test can be. I believe that the examiners at the Handi-Ham radio camp in Malibu this winter sent the letters one at a time, allowing a certain number of seconds for the examinee to provide a response. (I was on the VE team but didn't take part in the code exams.) If I remember correctly, the time allowed was on the order of ten or fifteen seconds, and the exam was passed successfully with 25 consecutive correct answers; equivalent to one minute of solid copy if the exam had been given at 5 wpm.

We will be providing classroom code instruction and VE testing at the Minnesota Handi-Ham camp this August. If you have a chance to attend, it can be a really great experience. Details are available on the Handi-Ham page at http://www.mtn.org/handiham/
--
Lyle, K0LR

http://www.computerpro.com/~lyle

174. Re: Middlesex Cty NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Kaye <dkaye68@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <372DAA62.EF828626@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119990

Northstar is paged out by REMCS in Newark on 155.295. After they are in the air they communicate on the NJSP trunked system with a ID of 000-13.

Dave

Robert Dubenezic wrote:

> NorthSTAR is on the NJSP System. If you have a trunktracker scanner and
> it's programmed properly it will show up as 000-13.
>
> NJ Transit Police is 160.830MHz
>
> --
> Robert Dubenezic

175. Salt Lake City Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: q2angus@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gkdjb$1oo$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 119991

Hi, I have recently purchased a scanner and I would like to know if anyone has information on frequencies in Salt Lake City, Ut.

Please e-mail me if you do.

Thanks!

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

176. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <7gkan3dmk1@208.207.71.148>
Source record: 119992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

BD wrote:
>
> I think that he was obviously assuming that you would realize he wasn't
> refering to ALL handicaps.. You *can* get a waiver (directly from your doc
> or with a note from your doc. not sure which..) to avoid having to know ANY
> code if you're physically unable to dit-dot for whatever reason(s).

Sorry, that's incorrect. One MUST pass 5wpm to recieve ANY
HF license as the international regulations (the ITU treaty)
now stand. The code 'waivers' only apply to speeds above
5wpm.

177. Re: FS-SHINWA SR-001 & PHILLIPS DC-777

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990503101134.16667.00001864@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 119993

Repost

178. Re: FS - Unblocked scanner w/spectum display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990503101151.16667.00001865@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 119994

Repost

179. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372dac90.6190188@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 03 May 1999 08:53:05 -0500, Lyle Koehler <lyle@mlecmn.net>
wrote:

>Hi Mark,
>
>Forgive me if you've already tried this route, but it *is* possible for
>the volunteer examiner team to administer adaptive code tests for people

It is possible and I have tried to dicuss with the nearer VE's
my doctor has also tried. while a VE here and there is willing to
discus the idea geting a VE recepptive to the all rediculous level of
accomation needed is very hard to manage when you simply don't look
disabled. I can walk through the door and you will not see a thing
wrong with me. It is a proble, a common for those that suffer from
dyslexia, aphasia ADD and other pychomotor skil problems, which rae
some of the least understood disablities. The fact they are treated by
PHD typemostly with the wiaver system based on MD only does not help
matters at all.

>with disabilities. I'm not sure if there are hard and fast guidelines
>for how "adaptive" the test can be. I believe that the examiners at the

indeed the lack of really good guidelines is one of the
problems I expect if I threw enough of a fit I mange to the sort of
accomdation that ADA would mandate, Indeed the ADA mandates would in
my case make a complete farce of the idea of Code testing, since they
rpovide for allowing the full use of the adaptive tech of one's
choice. In my case it could be argued the machine I am using right
now. Indeed were I ever to USE Code it would whople machine based

>Handi-Ham radio camp in Malibu this winter sent the letters one at a
>time, allowing a certain number of seconds for the examinee to provide a
>response. (I was on the VE team but didn't take part in the code exams.)
>If I remember correctly, the time allowed was on the order of ten or
>fifteen seconds, and the exam was passed successfully with 25
>consecutive correct answers; equivalent to one minute of solid copy if
>the exam had been given at 5 wpm.
>
>We will be providing classroom code instruction and VE testing at the
>Minnesota Handi-Ham camp this August. If you have a chance to attend, it
>can be a really great experience. Details are available on the Handi-Ham
>page at http://www.mtn.org/handiham/
>--
>Lyle, K0LR
>

>http://www.computerpro.com/~lyle
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

180. FS-unblocked pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990503101503.16667.00001869@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 119996

Excellent condition with manual, $230 shipped within lower 48 States. This scanner has only had the cell mod, other mods have not been done to it.

181. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990503103943.17977.00001595@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 119997

Yes, the BC 895 is probably a better all around Trunk Tracking scanner than the 2066 and it CAN be a mobile (direct connection to vehicle's 12VDC just like 2066), but it is a bit large.

At about the same size as the 9000XLT and that series of cabinets, it might present some space problems for smaller vehicles.

I find the 2066 a not-so-bad scanner for the price and it is the right size. It will be your choice as to whether the large size of the 895 is worth the larger capacity, much larger buttons and improved performance.

182. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7gkcarfqh1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark Morgan wrote in message <372dac90.6190188@nntp.one-eleven.net>...
>On Mon, 03 May 1999 08:53:05 -0500, Lyle Koehler <lyle@mlecmn.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Forgive me if you've already tried this route, but it *is* possible
for
>>the volunteer examiner team to administer adaptive code tests for
people
>
> It is possible and I have tried to dicuss with the nearer VE's
>my doctor has also tried. while a VE here and there is willing to
>discus the idea geting a VE recepptive to the all rediculous level of
>accomation needed is very hard to manage when you simply don't look
>disabled. I can walk through the door and you will not see a thing
>wrong with me. It is a proble, a common for those that suffer from
>dyslexia, aphasia ADD and other pychomotor skil problems, which rae
>some of the least understood disablities. The fact they are treated by
>PHD typemostly with the wiaver system based on MD only does not help
>matters at all.
>
>>with disabilities. I'm not sure if there are hard and fast guidelines
>>for how "adaptive" the test can be. I believe that the examiners at
the
>
> indeed the lack of really good guidelines is one of the
>problems I expect if I threw enough of a fit I mange to the sort of
>accomdation that ADA would mandate, Indeed the ADA mandates would in
>my case make a complete farce of the idea of Code testing, since they
>rpovide for allowing the full use of the adaptive tech of one's
>choice. In my case it could be argued the machine I am using right
>now. Indeed were I ever to USE Code it would whople machine based
>
>Mark Morgan
>kb9rqz.

Good post Mark. That's exactly one of the problems. The FCC suddenly
allowed MDs to make a judgement call on this with no guidelines. And
couple that with the fact that some experts claim that significant
portions
of the population has SOME degree of dyslexia. In most people it's as
simple as transposing two numbers. I never considered myself as
dyslexic, but I had one MD tell me I was because I constantly type 'teh'

instead of 'the'. So at what point does something that isn't even a minor
inconvienence become a disability? Not to knowledge, but when
applied to a program that 'test skill levels'?

It all comes back to CW, is it important or not? If it is, then it shouldn't
be waivered. Period. If it isn't, then what's the point of the test? At the
current time, the FCC has decided that 5wpm is important. And I strongly
suspect that very soon that will change. Whether it changes before
that 5wpm becomes the new defining point for all HAM privlidges is
the question.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

183. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <372DB8AD.DB1CC2E6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

BD wrote:
> You *can* get a waiver (directly from your doc
> or with a note from your doc. not sure which..) to avoid having to know ANY
> code if you're physically unable to dit-dot for whatever reason(s).

I an not aware of waivers existing for the 5wpm requirement.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

184. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <372DB945.301E7927@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Young wrote:
> How will relaxing licensing
> requirements help achieve the self-education objective?

Eliminating outdated requirements will leave more time for learning
relevant subjects.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

185. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <372DBA15.61F3132E@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lyle Koehler wrote:
> If I remember correctly, the time allowed was on the order of ten or
> fifteen seconds, and the exam was passed successfully with 25
> consecutive correct answers; equivalent to one minute of solid copy if
> the exam had been given at 5 wpm.

That's interesting, Lyle, and certainly meets the ITU/IARU treaty
requirements. What does the FCC say about it?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

186. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11976490cd19d6fd989770@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120002

NO WAY. I'll take the Optocom every time. OK, so you need a computer, big deal.

187. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <372DBB89.273A3609@IEEE.org>
Source record: 120003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Sorry, that's incorrect. One MUST pass 5wpm to recieve ANY
> HF license as the international regulations (the ITU treaty)
> now stand. The code 'waivers' only apply to speeds above
> 5wpm.

Dick, what do you think about Lyle's posting regarding accomodations
for handicapped potential hams?

>> If I remember correctly, the time allowed was on the order of ten or
>> fifteen seconds (for each character) and the exam was passed successfully
>> with 25 consecutive correct answers; equivalent to one minute of solid
>> copy if the exam had been given at 5 wpm. Lyle, K0LR
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

188. Re: Wanted: Vt. freq & codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <AajX2.8105$8m5.15110@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 120004

Ron Smith wrote in message <6rgX2.19$wn.14@ns1.megalink.net>...
>I'm looking for frequencies and 10 codes for Vermont, mostly around
>Burlington area. TIA

I have frequencies on the NF2G Scannist Pages - Vermont Section:
http://nf2g.com/scannist/vt_index.html

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

189. Pro 28

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Green <egreen@nucleus.com>
Message-ID: <372dc3f2@news.nucleus.com>
Source record: 120005

Does anyone know where I can get any mods for a RadioShack Pro 28

Thanks
Ed Green VE6EG

190. Re: Which antenna is the best for scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <372dee22.1265571@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 120006

TRY a diamond d130J IT WORKS WELL.
gig,On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 09:37:59 +0200, "Olivier Baltus"
<obaltus@crisp.nl> wrote:

>Hi,
>
>As above, I was wondering wich antenna was the best for scanning...
>
>
>Thanks,
>
>
>Olivier Baltus
>
>

191. KS/MO Column 05-99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <andyb@qni.com>
Message-ID: <372DC55E.B9DA0796@qni.com>
Source record: 120007

KS/MO Column 05-99

The following ranting and raving is entirely my personal opinion.

Bill Cheek is the man most responsible for furthering the scanning hobby, if you ask me. He realized the potential of the PRO-200X series of Radio Shack scanners, and developed a whole barrel full of modifications for them, as well as a very powerful scanner/computer interface. Bill writes a monthly column in Monitoring Times magazine, and publishes his own newsletter, which showcases his ideas. He obviously has a criminal mind. (Huh?)
Last month or so, the Feds arrested Bill for selling a simple "data slicer" which is used to turn data from the airwaves into something a computer can understand. The slicer is used with the Trunker program and MDT decoding software, but it has many amateur radio applications as well. Why Bill was singled out is beyond me, since there are countless other commercial sources for the same thing. It could be that "they" don't like the idea that "we" can decode digital data streams with about $25 of parts from Radio Shack, and picked on Mr. Cheek because all of "us" would hear about it (which we did) and back off.
Here is what puzzles me. Selling a data slicer isn't like selling a fully automatic weapon to a kid. I mean, it isn't the kind of thing that draws attention to itself. If I sold one to you on the street corner for $25 bucks, there isn't going to be a concerned citizen calling the cops on 911 about it. Soï¿½this kind of "bust" had to be researched by the Feds. There may have been a Motorola representative, or the head of Communications for a law enforcement agency, contact the Feds about scanner listeners being able to listen to their trunked system or MDTs using a slicer, but as far as I know, THERE IS NOTHING ILLEGAL ABOUT THAT!
However they became aware of it, it still remains that they had to scrounge around until they found a reason to set the sting into operation. (I can hear the music nowï¿½the same music used when Barney Fife strides authoritatively to the patrol car on the Andy Griffith show.) Why would they get so worked up about something like that? I wonder if the Feds were unaware of the many digital modes of transmissions that could be monitored, realized that they often use those modes, and felt that it MUST be illegal to listen to them. The conversation may have gone like this. "They can monitor our WHAT? You mean they can see what is on our MDTs? That isn't legalï¿½is it? You're kidding! No wayï¿½well, they SHOULDN'T be able to do that! That's secret stuff! Whadya mean all the scanner nuts out there do it?!?! We gotta stop that! I don't careï¿½figure out some way to put and end to it!"
I'm in law enforcement myself. If someone is breaking a law they need to get caught and punished. Period. I don't have much sympathy for

criminals. On the other hand, if someone is doing something that is legal, even though I may not like the idea of them doing it, I will stand up for their right to do so. There are times when I am at work when it would be nice if our radio were 100% secure. Some stuff just simply doesn't need to be broadcast to the public. BUTï¿½that ain't the case, so I defend your (and my) right to listen in whether it is analog or digital.
Bill Cheek must now defend himself against the Federal Government. They will spend as many of our dollars as they need to screw Bill into the ground. Like all of us, the entire population of the country doesn't fund him. I don't have piles of money to give to the "Bill Cheek Defense Fund," unlike many folks who gave to the "Bill Clinton Defense Fund" a few years back. I do have a few bucks here and there that are earmarked for the hobby, so I plan on buying what I can from Bill Cheek. What happens to Bill Cheek will trickle down and effect you, too.

There! I got that off my chest, so we can now get on with business. Sorry to get so long winded, but things like this frost my buns like standing in a 4-foot snow cone. Believe it or not, some of my fellow dispatchers think I'm opinionated, and try to avoid bringing up politics and social issues in conversation. The phrase they use is, "Don't get him started!"

Before we get going, I have a request. If you have any storm spotter/severe weather/Civil Defense/Emergency Management frequencies, please send them in and I'll sort them out and get them in the June Column. There will be plenty of severe weather to come, and they might be handy to have!

1. KCK/BPU talkgroup code for Emergency Management for Wyandotte County.
2. Lees Summit info.
3. Weird fed freq intercept
4. Topeka talkgroup update
5. KCMO talkgroups, etc.

---

1. 17008

(That had to be the shortest section in the column ever!) By the way, if any of you have a question about the KCK/BPU system, let me know. One of the guys is quite familiar with it and can probably answer any question you may have.

---

2. Lees Summit Fire is still on 453.900. Craig says they have a some fireground stuff, too, but isn't sure what the freqs are. LS dispatches for Lake Lotawanna and Lone Jack now, which is a recent change. This is what I had listed for them a few years back.

LEES SUMMIT FD Yellow 1 453.900
LEES SUMMIT FD Yellow 3 453.525

LEES SUMMIT FD Yellow 5 453.575
LEES SUMMIT FD Yellow 7&8 460.350

That may help a bit. Craig says the PD used to be on 159.090 until they moved into their new facility. (Might be a good spot for a tour someday soon!) Now they dispatch PD on 453.0625. He says that when they say "Go to B" they stay on the same frequency. Might be a change of PL tones instead of frequency according to Craig. LS public works is still on 155.940.
I'll insert a portion of the March 99 Column that had some info on the LS system. Here it is:
"4 channel NarrowBand LTR UHF trunked radio system so the TX'd audio will sound a little lower than the normal UHF stations you hear. They use Motorola M/P1225-LS radios (that's Mobiles and Portables.) the freqs are as follows:"
453.0625
453.1625
460.3375
463.0125 (yes folks that is a legit "PP' freq)
"I have heard that they have 8 talk groups on this system. They also have 453.1500, but it is not yet on the air...there is however a unid TX on that freq that has some data bursts and a cw id on it. Anyone who can id this mystery TX? send it to me. I caught the id this morning but I was driving and did not realize it was on that radio..... so I did not pay attention to it to copy it...."
Thanks to Dr. Sm0ke for that insert, and to Craig for his info.
---
3. Bob, the Airwave Aficionado, (I'm running out of clever ways to describe Bob) had this to say about some weird traffic on a Fed frequency.
"Last evening I picked up some odd comms on 168.600. It sounded like a couple of cars of travelers passing through the area on I-70. The speakers were women and they were apparently communicating on HT's. It was mostly stuff about restaurants, lodging, etc.--typical travel stuff."
"This frequency is the U.S. Forest Service's "TAC 3" and these may have been Forest Service personnel traveling through the area. I've never heard anything on the channel in this part of the country before. On the other hand, it may have been 'bootleggers' using illegal gear."
---
4. Don had something to add to the great list of Topeka talkgroup codes in a recent column.

"The following TG's use codes already confirmed elsewhere:"
FBI 3 Security 1 (1872?)
KDEM 2
USD450
DOR Announce
FBI Announce

KHP 4 should be 272, not 176
"The following holes appear in the code matrix:"
16
656
1872
1936
3344
4880
6128
6192
"That should leave only 2 holes. But then there's no Rural Fire Common
like I would expect, nor any Alcohol Beverage Control but they may be
under Dept of Revenue. I wish someone could come up with a list for
Wichita that complete!"
That sounds like a challenge to the Wichita folks if I ever heard one!
Thanks, Don.
---
5. This is my list of talkgroups for the KCMO Edacs system. Some of my
notations indicate that I'm not sure just who it is. If you can set me
straight on them, please do!

0121 ACO?
0244 ACO?
0362 ACO?
0637 Airport terminal Bus
0621 Airport PD
0232 CC ?
0361 Correction Center
0532 Detectives
0441 FD ?
0400 FD ?
0421 FD Tac 2a
0422 FD Tac 2b
0431 FD Tac 3a
0451 FD Tac 5a
0461 FD tac 6A
04b1 FD Tac 8
04b2 FD tac 8B
04a1 FD
0411 Fire Disp Linked 453.050
0417 FIRE Mut Aid 154.280
0227 Golf course
0141 Inspectors
0375 Inspectors
0373 Inspectors
0372 Inspectors
0620 KCI ?
0635 KCI ?
0632 KCI ?

0631 KCI ?
0634 KCI ?
0636 KCI ?
0651 KCI maint
0242 Kemper ? food guys
0333 Kemper/Bartle bldg maint?
0331 Kemper/Bartle?
0341 Kemper/Bartle?
0499 M E R S
0247 Maint? Welding
0412 Marshall 1
0557 Narcotic K-9
0511 PD Center zone
0513 PD East zone
0512 PD Metro zone
052f PD MO Sheriffs Net 155.73
052d PD Mut Aid 155.475
0515 PD North zone
076c PD Patch
076d PD Patch
076e PD Patch
0516 PD South zone
1d0e Phone Patch?
052c Platte County
0117 PW (water dept?)
0132 PW ? clean out to Deffnbaw
0114 PW ?
0113 PW ?
0112 PW ?
0116 PW ?
0351 PW ?
0363 PW ?
0256 PW ?
0152 PW ?
0214 PW ?
0217 PW -BS BASE_ ?
0243 PW channel 4
0212 PW Lawn maint
0334 PW Maint
0213 PW Mowing
0211 PW Mowing
0241 PW not channel 4
0115 PW streets ?
0231 PW Tree trimmers
05a1 radio ?
05ab radio ?
05a4 radio ?
05a2 radio ?
0517 TAC
0111 Traffic Light Crew

056a Training Channel
0552 Unk PD group
0510 Unk PD Group
0522 Unk PD Group
076f Unk PD group
0311 Water ?
0245 Zoo (not ch 1)

This is my list of radio Ids for KCMO. Some are guesses, some are probably wrong. Let me know of corrections. A "P" is a pumper, an "R" is a rescue unit, a "T" is a truck (aerial or ladder truck.) My notations aren't standardized either. One day I'll get around to putting them in better shape.

278d #121
007a #122
007b #123
04c9 #1232
0082 #130
2794 #132
2797 #132
0084 #132
0090 #144
0095 #149
007d #152
27c7 #182
00d0 #208
00d6 #214
00de #222
27f1 #225
00e8 #232
27f9 #233
00e9 #233
00f2 #242
00f5 #242
00f3 #243
00f4 #244
011c #284
282c #284
0136 #310
0139 #311
2892 #322
2853 #323
2856 #325
014f #335
0150 #336
0177 #375
0179 #377
28aa #410
019b #411
019c #412

01a5 #421
01ad #429
2834 #467
28f0 #481
0248 #584
0280 #690 helicopter
02b2 #690 helicopter
0346 #831
32b1 #901
27ce #x90
3549 ? #41
2344 ?
3607 ?-31
32e8 ?-32
0c8a 107
007c 124
3b0a 15114 PD Center zone
3b11 15121 PD Center zone
3b3a 15162 0511 PD zone
27b5 153
3fff 16383 PD Patch
33aa 226 ?
00e7 231
010f 271
016d 314
0142 322
0145 325
014d 333
286b 358
28f1 481
01e1 481
01e2 482
0220 544
0118 580
030e 782
35ad amb 41
332e c-102
0c20 c-104
0c23 c-107
0d2b Car 102
3330 Car 104
0081 Car 129 PD Center zone
00b4 Car 180 PD Center zone
00ba Car 186 PD Center zone
00e0 Car 224 PD Metro zone
00ea Car 234 PD Metro zone
00ef Car 239 PD Metro zone
3bd6 Console Fire Disp
171c Corrections unit
1710 Corrections unit

172e Corrections unit
173b Corrections unit
3bc6 Dispatch
3bcf Dispatch Center
3bc9 Dispatch East
3bcd Dispatch Metro
3bc5 Dispatch North
3bc8 Dispatch
3bc7 Dispatch
3bcb Dispatch
3bcc Dispatch
3bce Dispatch
3bd4 Dispatch
3bd3 Dispatch
3bd2 Dispatch
3bd5 Dispatch
dbc9 Dispatch
35e9 FD Portable
3332 FD Unit portable?
34c3 FD Unit portable?
3407 FD Unit portable?
341f FD
04a1 FD
32f3 FD
365b FD/EMS unit ?
339e FD/EMS unit ?
2ba0 Helicopter ?
0375 Inspectors?
2e49 K-9 Drug dog squad ?
1682 Kemper/Bartle?
1683 Kemper/Bartle?
3651 Marshall ?
33a6 Marshall ?
33ac Marshall ?
33ab Marshall unit
277f Officer 111 PD zone
279d Officer 141 PD Center zone
27a5 Officer 141
27cc Officer 188 PD Center zone
27e5 Officer 213 PD zone
27f0 Officer 224 PD Metro zone
27f3 Officer 227 PD Metro zone
27ff Officer 239 PD Metro zone
2801 Officer 241 PD Metro zone
2803 Officer 243 PD Metro zone
282d Officer 285 PD Metro zone
0bc2 P-10
0cb3 P-10
0bcb P-19
32db P-19

0dcf P-23
0bd1 P-25
32e5 P-29
0bd6 P-30 ?
0bd8 P-32
0bd9 P-33
0bdd P-37
0bbc P-4
341e P-42
0be2 P-42
0be5 P-45
0bc0 P-8
32d0 P-8
33fc P-8
0bc1 P-9
006f PD 111
0089 PD 139
27bf PD 175
285a PD 330
00dd PD Metro zone
033b PD Traffic 818 ?
0340 PD Traffic unit
006e PD Unit 110
0017 PD Unit 113
0073 PD Unit 115
0074 PD Unit 116
2780 PD Unit 12
279f PD Unit 123
2791 PD Unit 129
0083 PD Unit 131?
008e PD Unit 142
008c PD Unit 150 ?
279e PD unit 151
007e PD unit 151
181b PD unit 171?
181d PD Unit 173
00b8 PD unit 184 ?
27fb PD Unit 233?
00f0 PD Unit 240?
2805 PD Unit 241?
282e PD Unit 286
282f PD Unit 286?
2848 PD unit 311
00d4 PD Unit 312
2849 PD Unit 315
2874 PD Unit 322
288f PD Unit 323 ?
2855 PD Unit 325?
014c PD Unit 331A ?
0158 PD Unit 342 ?

2869 PD Unit 342
0157 PD Unit 343
2811 PD Unit 357
017a PD Unit 378
28a1 PD Unit 401
28ab PD unit 411
01a6 PD Unit 422
01a9 PD Unit 425
3b18 PD Unit 544
2935 PD Unit 549
032d PD unit 813
2a40 PD Unit 816
0345 PD Unit 837
2a56 PD Unit 838
2a8b PD Unit 891
2aa7 PD unit 916
0085 PD Unit center zone
00df PD Unit Metro Zone
0198 PD Unit North 408
2875 PD Unit
013a PD unit
0144 PD Unit
2894 PD Unit
0070 PD Unit
17e6 PD Unit
01a7 PD Unit
01cd PD Unit
02d1 Phone patch ?
1d0e Phone Patch?
0214 PU 532
0be0 Pumper 40
0c1e R-1
0d53 R-11
0d54 R-12
0d67 R-31
0e39 R-41
0d4f R-7
35f1 R-9
0cbd R-9
279c Supervisor 140
0e1b T-11
352d t-13 portable
0e1d T-13
34c9 T-13
0c96 T-13
0e12 T-2
34bf T-3 portable ?
0e13 T-3
0e1c T-4 ?
0e16 T-6

1130 Traffic light guy
2a91 Traffic Unit
2a9f Traffic Unit
2da1 Training Dispatch ???
0661 Training Unit ?
064a Training Unit ?
064b Training Unit ?
3c44 Translator?
0bc9 truck 17
0c22 truck 6
3b01 Unit 915
1ef6 Zoo guy

No more for this month.

192. aor 8000 and scout 40 sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: mke65@home.com
Message-ID: <372dc862.2733782@news>
Source record: 120008

thanks for the interest but these items have been sold. keith

193. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: pushjeep@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gkkml$8ao$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120009

Throw away those Multiple CB Licenses you don't need them to Play on the
Childrens Band any more.

In article <371E677A.55B6BEB4@usa.net>,
jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> you are simply JEALOUS since you have NO FCC LICENSE, let alone multiple such
> as myself!
>
> Zombie Wolf wrote:
>
> > Yeh ! He had to send away for them ! (And the other ones came in his
> > crackerjacks box !)
> >
> > allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote in message
> > news:371BCD24.24026885@INVALID.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> > >
> > > > Hardly true, I have passed SEVERAL FCC license tests and acquired MORE
> > FCC
> > > > licenses that attest to my technical abilities than could be counted on
> > one
> > > > hand!
> > >
> > > Or just one finger.
> > >
> > > Can ya guess which finger I had in mind?
> > >
> > > You friggin loon.
> > >
>
> --
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

194. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: pushjeep@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gkm41$9go$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Macaroon is a cookie made from bird shit.

In article <7gb1fbenc2@news.cyberhighway.net>,
me@me.com wrote:
> who is macaroon?
>
> In article <37305099.318064171@enews.newsguy.com>, you@are.retarded
> says...
> >
> >Please explain how zero is more than anything, retard.
> >
> >
> >Loony Tune jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >
> >>I've posted more than YOU!
> >>
> >>Macaroon wrote:
> >>>....and you've NEVER posted a relevant message to scanning.
> >>
> >>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> >>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
> >>
> >
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

195. Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: pushjeep@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gkm7l$9r8$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120011

In article <19990415195836.22916.00001127@ng-fz1.aol.com>,
scanshack@aol.communicate (ScanShack) wrote:
> >From: Thomas Rowan <trowan@bellatlantic.net>
>
> >Well , who is Bill Cheek ??
>
> Bill Cheek is one of the pioneers of scanner technology, modifications,
> improvements, etc. He is well documented and published. Unlike most of us,
> including me, on this newsgroup he is one who knows, does and documents.
> Before this newsgroup became a flame fest and an opinion based message sharing
> forum he, and others like him actually shared information about the scanning
> hobby. Now it is hard to do that due to the number of "know it alls", who
lack
> Bill Cheek's type of knowledge. Not to say that no useful information is
> contained in this forum, it's just that you have to weed through all the other
> crap. Bill Cheek was around, giving good advise, long ago and I hope he will
> be around a lot longer.
>
> Visit The SCAN SHACK
> A FREE scanner information web page.
> Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
> virtual down conversion info & more at:
>
> http://members.aol.com/scanshack
>
> Happy Scanning !

I always thought he was Butt Cheek's brother.....
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

196. Re: New Cell Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: davem@groucho.cs.ubc.ca
Message-ID: <7gklt3$7hi@groucho.cs.ubc.ca>
Source record: 120012

Jim Muehlberg <james.muehlberg@cwix.com> writes:

>I work for US West Wireless. Unless your PCS phone is in Analog Roam,
>you will never ever ever be able to listen to your phone calls. The
>CDMA signalling scheme, although not really encrypted, is impossible to
>receive due to very strict and precise timing required for code
>synchronization. Also, it is a spread spectrum signal.

Well, you can't use an off-the-shelf FM scanner to listen to it.
However, it's far from "impossible" to receive. Consider that:

- The GPS system uses a spread spectrum signal too, with all of the
satellites transmitting on the same frequency. In addition, the
satellites are moving at substantial speed in orbit, causing Doppler
shifts that complicate the reception of the signals - something that
isn't a problem for CDMA phones because the relative speeds are so
much smaller. Also, the GPS signals are quite weak at the earth's
surface. Despite all that, GPS receivers containing 12 channels of
spread spectrum receiver can be had for about $100

- Any CDMA cell phone is a good receiver of exactly the signals
transmitted in the CDMA cellular system - it would be useless as a
phone if it were not. The only thing that makes a particular cellphone
"listen" to one particular conversation will ignoring all the others
that are transmitted on the same frequency at the same time is that
there is software in the phone programming the hardware to do that.
If someone changes the programming of a phone, *any* CDMA phone, they
could listen to any signal they are in range of.

If digital encryption of the transmitted data was used, then just being
able to receive the signals wouldn't do you any good - you'd also need
the encryption keys to listen to a particular phone call. I believe
that GSM works this way. But unencrypted digal data isn't secure
because it's digital - it's just somewhat more work to receive.

Dave

197. FS Pro90 $150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edmund Prevost <Protech@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <372DDFD4.1FC1@concentric.net>
Source record: 120013

Pro90, 300ch, 10 banks. Excellent in box. $150.00.

--
Edmund A. Prevost, LPI
Protech Investigations, LLC
New Orleans, Louisiana
1-800-752-6756
FAX 504-454-3133
Protech@Concentric.Net

198. wtb:manual pro-2036

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <9%IX2.600$G4.2392@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 120014

im looking for a good copy of the operatingg manual for the
radio shack pro-2036 scanner
thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net

199. interesting frequencies in gloucestern county

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Eckert <DADECK@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7gkr2t$3ilu$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 120015
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone in Gloucester County,New Jersey listen to any frequencies of any interest besides emergency personnel if so please reply to me. Thanks

200. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lyle Koehler <lyle@mlecmn.net>
Message-ID: <372DF09B.F63A1458@mlecmn.net>
Source record: 120016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Lyle Koehler wrote:
> > If I remember correctly, the time allowed was on the order of ten or
> > fifteen seconds, and the exam was passed successfully with 25
> > consecutive correct answers; equivalent to one minute of solid copy if
> > the exam had been given at 5 wpm.
>
> That's interesting, Lyle, and certainly meets the ITU/IARU treaty
> requirements. What does the FCC say about it?
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

There has probably been a more detailed clarification from the FCC, but the only thing I can find in the Part 97 rules is a statement that:

"The administering VEs must accommodate an examinee whose physical disabilities require a special examination procedure. The administering VEs may require a physician's certification indicating the nature of the disability before determining which, if any, special procedures must be used."

It's interesting that the VEs *must* accommodate those with disabilities, and *may* require a physician's certification.

Here are some relevant paragraphs from the ARRL VE manual regarding Morse testing:

"Pausing the examination message, where warranted, may be a successful accommodative procedure to overcome candidates' ability to write or respond quickly. The pauses may be included after each sentence or phrase, after each word or even after each character, to allow the examinee the time to write, absorb and/or interpret what was sent. The decision as to the level of pausing warranted is determined by the VE team in conjunction with input from the candidate or the candidate's Doctor's Statement. The level of accommodation should be the least necessary to overcome the candidate's handicap."

In a later paragraph: "For a character-by-character examination, a grade of 70% or more correct characters can be considered passing".

Unfortunately, then comes the kicker, in Mark's case: "If the candidate doesn't have a readily identifiable handicap/disability or if the candidate doesn't provide a Doctor's Statement describing the handicap/disability he/she suffers from, you are not to offer the more

flexible accommodations in administering the Morse code examination."

In many situations, that puts the VE team between a rock and a hard place, because the VEs are generally not qualified to assess "hidden" disabilities like dyslexia, stroke after-effects, etc. In the case of the Handi-Ham camps, the staff and instructors (including at least one MD) who have been working with the students all week can advise the VE team as to the level of accommodation that might be needed. It's a lot tougher at a VE session where people simply walk in off the street.

Lyle, K0LR

201. Re: wtb:manual pro-2036

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jerr1919 <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <372DEF44.544C@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 120017

You can get a new one from radio shack for a small fee....jerr1919
>
> im looking for a good copy of the operatingg manual for the
> radio shack pro-2036 scanner
> thanks
> monte k9dzd@iquest.net

202. Re: Dx-394 $20 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Byron Hellewell <byron.hellewell@trw.com>
Message-ID: <7gkqvo$m2t$1@cronkite.sp.trw.com>
Source record: 120018

I wonder if this ad is from "Dave Munroe", Keene, Ontario, Canada
That stiffed me on a trade for a DX-394 radio
His id is hidden and recently issued.

Byron/WB7ACJ

Iron-Foot wrote in message <372cd307.12703652@news.igs.net>...
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=98852433
>
>Shortwave reciever base station
>covers 150khz - 29.999mhz am,usb,lsb,cw1,cw2
>
>starting bid at $20
>

203. FA> Scanner $30

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: quake99@beer.com
Message-ID: <372df562.7284555@news.pipcom.com>
Source record: 120019

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=98852433
Dx-394 base station

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=99198922
Hand-Held Scanner @ $30.00

204. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7gktqm$4mj$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lyle Koehler wrote in message <372DF09B.F63A1458@mlecmn.net>...
>
>Here are some relevant paragraphs from the ARRL VE manual regarding
>Morse testing:
>
>"Pausing the examination message, where warranted, may be a successful
>accommodative procedure to overcome candidates' ability to write or
>respond quickly. The pauses may be included after each sentence or
>phrase, after each word or even after each character, to allow the
>examinee the time to write, absorb and/or interpret what was sent. The
>decision as to the level of pausing warranted is determined by the VE
>team in conjunction with input from the candidate or the candidate's
>Doctor's Statement. The level of accommodation should be the least
>necessary to overcome the candidate's handicap."
>
>In a later paragraph: "For a character-by-character examination, a
grade
>of 70% or more correct characters can be considered passing".

If this were for anything other than the 5wpm basic test, I think this
would
be grounds for throwning out the whole test. I mean, you could claim 25
WPM here by pausing 15 seconds between each character.

But since someone with a handicap waiver only has to take the 5wpm
test anyway, and the 5wpm test, in my mind, is nothing but
memorization and a 'mental look up', it's not really that much different
than from what a non-handicapped ham would take. But still not the
same. Just not that different.

I'm kind of touchy with handicapped declarations. I had a family member
who was handicapped. And he REFUSED to get handicapped plates
for his car because he said 'it doesn't mean anything anyway'. He had
polio back in the 50s. He could have legally gotten an exemption to
hunt
from his car. As it was, he 'violated' the law in that he didn't
usually move
the required distance from his vehicle, instead he threw a mat over his
hood and lay on it. When he got a deer and help wasn't arround, he'd
put a noose on it's neck and drag it.

Very few things piss me off more than some '*' parking in an handicap
zone without a sticker, or like the guy in the Z-28 (with standard
shift)

in my hometown who I've seen swing into the handicapped spot at the market, throw a placard on the dash, and then hop out in his sweats and running gear and jog into the store.

Anyway, a handicap declaration should mean something. As it is, it's BS and in my mind was used strictly as a wedge to keep the no-code issue under control for a short while longer.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

205. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <372E0223.3F57@cats-net.com>
Source record: 120021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark Morgan wrote:

> The
> Burden involved in learning code clearly increased in today world. The
> need for doing as declined. these are simple facts (the debate comes
> when you try and say how much)

The debate is now whether you can verify this increased burden and the decline in necessity. You invented your simple "facts".

> No we are not equal, because you are being allowed to use your
> modes on HF and I am not, thereforeyou have been rights beyond those I
> have, therefore we don't have equal rights.

> BTW while I do think that Morse Coded Cw is as anet thing bad
> for the ARS. I don't seek to impose that position on those that
> disagree. You as close to a first thought suggest baning other
> peopples Modes. Another Common systom of the ProCoders wanting to
> control others. You want me to spend my time as you like, but I don't
> seek to impose my views on you. I merely ask, nay I demand the same
> rights in Public airwaves that you have

Forsooth, Mark! You already have the same rights. The opportunity to pass the same exams I passed exists for you. You get no guarantee of success. Your demand only makes you look silly.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN

--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

206. programming tk250e kenwood????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabrice Coppens <guanaco@euronet.be>
Message-ID: <7gl06k$8v5@news3.euro.net>
Source record: 120022

Hello...

I'm searching how to program a tk250e radio from kenwood

thank's

207. Re: Help with Electra BC220 squelch control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Netranger <netranger@netcarrier.com>
Message-ID: <925762699.733.45@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120023

According to the Schematic it is R81

Po3ps <po3ps@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990503005904.07839.00001457@ng-cr1.aol.com...
>
> Does anyone know what VR on the circuit board controls the
> squelch range on an old Electra Bearcat 220?
> Thanks ....
> Bill

208. FS: AOR 3000 All Mode 100KHz-2GHz Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Magill <magill@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7gl07l$25c@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120024

Early AOR3000 all mode (WFM,NFM,SSB,CW,AM) programmable scanner receiver.
PRE-BLOCKING product. Original owner. New condition.
Covers 100KHz to over 2GHz with NO HOLES!!!! NO BLOCKING!!!!
Instruction manual included. Original price 995.00. This is not a low-end,
cheap scanner --- used as lab measuring instrument for receiver design
engineering.

No REASONALBLE offer refused. Contact Bob/N6MTU at email:
magill@ix.netcom.com

73s --- Bob.

209. Re: Middlesex Cty NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990503162257.02348.00001557@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 120025

Is Middlesex county on a n 800mhz Motorola trunked System?

Mike KC2CYE

210. Re: Help with Electra BC220 squelch control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: po3ps@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990503162837.11389.00001634@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 120026

Mr Netranger...
Thanks a bunch, appreciate the response....
Bill.....

211. Re: Scanner Computer Progs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <372DF99B.85596495@ibm.net>
Source record: 120027

Do a search for Wintone for the dtmf decoder. YOu might try here.

http://www.muenster.de/%7Ewelp/sb.htm

Rabidfool wrote:
>
> Anyone have anygood links to computer software I could use with my Pro-90. I am
> particulalry looking for something that has DTMF decoding capability
>
> -rabidfool@aol.com

212. Motorola Rib Box and Cables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lt. Brian L. McGlothin" <bmcgloth@treco.net>
Message-ID: <0TnX2.15$T11.1666@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 120028

I am looking for a Motorola Rib Box and some cables for programming. Anyone have any on the market?

213. HELP - PRO2050 T/T Info-Expert ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <rUnX2.4554$Up1.69682@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 120029

Just go the New CANADIAN R/S catalog today.
Sale price $329CND ($215USD approx)
High end freq range listed at 806-956 (no gaps!!!) but no 220mhz range..
What's the CELL range anyway? or will unit do "IMAGE freqs" ??
Plse ur comments on this unit and any additional info. thanks

214. Re: Middlesex Cty NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shaun Whitman <lstrain@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uHpkOial#GA.315@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 120030

Hi,
In Middlesex, NJ Transit's Raritan Valley Line uses a frequency of 161.235.
Also in Middlesex, Conrail uses its North Jersey Terminal frequency which is
160.860.
For a COMPLETE listing of NJ railroad frequencies visit my website, Central
Jersey Rails. Not only will you find frequencies, but you will find lots of
other stuff related to NJ railroading.

http://jerseyrails.railfan.net

Enjoy,
Shaun Whitman
shaun@railfan.net
Central Jersey Rails
http://JerseyRails.Railfan.net

billy wrote in message <372CFE8E.CB3A398E@home.com>...
>Hello:
>
> Can somebody please help me with some Middlesex Cty NJ
>Frequencies.
>
>I need the following:
>
>Highland Park Police
>NJ Transit, Northeast Corridor
>Kellys Cab South River New Jersey..
>Northstar dispatch frequency
>
>Please e-mail if you know these, it will be very much appreciated
>
>Thanks
>All the best
>Bill

215. Re: 5/3/99 New versions of mtrunker and etrunker available!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art Becker <artbecker@pipeline.com>
Message-ID: <7gl39s$un3$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 120031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi Lindsay,

Thanks for all the effort you put into TRUNKER.

I use it with a PRO-2006 to decode the control channel, and a BC-895 to do the actual listening. The two radios share pins on COM1.

I've been able to identify a dozen local trunking systems that don't appear on any frequency/trunking list.

The only new feature I could think of to put on the want list for future releases would be to identify the CW ID automatically.

Thanks again.

<< Art >> WA9BOJ

216. Re: Dx-394 $20 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <372f0fb9.33075272@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120032

On Mon, 3 May 1999 12:46:53 -0600, "Byron Hellewell"
<byron.hellewell@trw.com> wrote:

>I wonder if this ad is from "Dave Munroe", Keene, Ontario, Canada
>That stiffed me on a trade for a DX-394 radio
>His id is hidden and recently issued.

Do a Search of the ID. You AND the person in question are Both
notified by e-mail of that persons particulars, name address phone#.
They also notify the other party the name of the person requesting.

Found a deadbeat thataway in January. Got my goods too!

Good searching... LCM

217. Re: I want your support 8824

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: George White <deeply@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7gl48k$7i8$0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 120033

zgnjvn@hotmail.com wrote:

> Hello Everybody!
> I saw somebody posted a message few days ago.
> It said that is a newsgroup service which has a lot of
> uncensored pictures, softwares and mpeg files.
> So, I joined that newsgroup.
> But, I discovered that there is also a referral program.
> If you joined under my userid, you and I have half month service free. So, please join it under my user name called SMART.
> The newsgroup service provider web site is as follows:
> http://www.cit-news.com
> Thank you very much
>
> olvzizmfmozhqhtrvzzgsvzowetiolq

Why would we join a pay newsgroup service when there are plenty of free ones on the net? Spammers make me sick. Die! Die! Die! Die! Die!

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet
--Central Control STS-11

218. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7gl58dbac1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

1. How many new hams will join the ranks when the
code is abolished?

2. How many new hams are mandated to "SAVE"
amateur radio from oblivion??

3. What happened to all of the new hams licensed since
the VHF no-code license was introduced?

4. What "AWARD" did the amateur service receive from
congress or the FCC in recognition of the influx
of new hams from the new no-code licenses?

5. Does anyone really believe that CW is the real cause
behind the decline of Amateur Radio?

219. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7gl5jq$e2c$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 120035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

No, you simply memorized the Morse Code. CW is the same
as riding a bicycle. Once learned, it is not easily forgotten.

Sir wrote in message <372D1167.3C71@sir.com>...
>> If you'd ever known Morse code, you'd still know Morse code.
>
>Not always true. I knew morse code, and don't remember any of it now
>since I never used it after learning it. The same is true for many Hams
>I know.

220. Subject: BCT245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <7gl8tajlv1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 120036

Today I recieved an answer from Uniden as to the release of the 245.
The reply was brief, but to the point.

"Dear Sir, That unit is not in stores at this time. It is schedule for
release in Late June to early July.

Thank you
Uniden Customer Assistance"

221. Re: Toronto Police Dept. 42 Div

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lance Tryon <lanceman@shaw.wave.ca>
Message-ID: <DU7X2.6108$Ss1.722668@news1.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 120037
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Got it! Thanks for the replies

Lance Tryon wrote in message ...
>Does anyone know if the 42 div. of the Toronto Police dept. recently
changed
>freqs? The lastest one I have is 862.687 but I can't seem to get anything
on
>it anymore. Please email me if you have info.
>
>Lance Tryon
>lanceman@shaw.wave.ca
>
>

222. Re: How does a cellphone work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkmn01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990502222406.13138.00002606@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 120038

The CTIA , Mr. Wheeler and Mr. Tauzin would like for you to belive they operate in some magical part of the radio spectrum that is totally private if it weren't for the "electronic stalking devices" that Bob Grove sold. In truth they are wonderful little RADIOS that you can make phone calls on.

223. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkmn01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990502223204.13138.00002614@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 120039

>Why would anyone want to listen to "Do you want fries with that Big Mac.
>That'll be $4.25. Please drive around."???

No it is what is said after the order, "Hey this fat bastard wants a big mac and fries" "spit on his damned burger". Or the "hey check out the rack on this chick coming around the drive through." You get the point.

224. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372d0a14.164057002@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 120040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 02 May 1999 06:59:37 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>I'm happy to see that you've cleaned up the mistake-filled,
>incomprehensible signature line, Mark. This new mistake-filled version
>is much easier to understand.

gee you could nto understand the old one saying basicly stay
on the net and out of My email box? Gee I wish you ProCoders would
answer the question of just how it is you manage to understand thruogh
staitc stuff with occaional mistakes, in real time, but can't mange at
leasure on the net
>
>Dave 5H3US, K8MN
>--
>For 5H3US photos, go to
>http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

225. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372d0ab1.164213975@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 120041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 1 May 1999 12:04:30 -0400, "Carl R. Stevenson"
<wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:

>-
>
>Dave,
>
>I realize that, due to your employment, you are living overseas.
>Have you ever driven in New Jersey? (recently?) I live in eastern

Indeed I have been ticked in Chicago myself for NOT speeding
and thereby being a hazard to traffic by traveling at the what was the
posted speed limit 55MPH

>PA and have to visit some of my employer's facilities in New Jersey
>on occasion. I can assure you that, at least on NJ expressways, if
>you don't "keep up with the flow" you're in real danger of being
>rear-ended and present a hazard to both yourself and others.
>
>IMHO, from my personal experince there, Bill's statement implies
>that he lacks a death wish :-) (... as well as having the sense to
>realize that "Laws which have no basis in reality breed contempt
>for those laws.")
>
>- --
>Carl - wa6vse
>wa6vse@fast.net
>http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse
>NCI #1052
>Join No Code International!
>Hams for the 21st century.
>Support the modernization of ham radio and help
>to assure its survival into the 21st century!
>http://www.nocode.org
>
>-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
>Version: PGP for Personal Privacy 5.5.3
>
>iQA/AwUBNysmCJe+N6+q84HiEQJ8OACg0ysuOp5BMddxYyjEKU7Dcqkt+rAAnRVZ
>UZUIGiaXSVQgRqBr4j6sZmt7
>=1HCu
>-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

226. windows scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Keyes <tkeyes@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <o48X2.14096$Yq5.145157771@news2.pompano.net>
Source record: 120042

Has anyone had any experience with scanner that runs off of a windows system, such as the ICOM one? If so, are they any good. How do they compare to handhelds or base stations. Are any of them modifiable?

Thanks in advance

tkeyes

227. Re: Help required with broken Realistic PRO-50 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: XXXmatt223@mailbag.com
Message-ID: <7gj1om$sm7$1@grandprime.binc.net>
Source record: 120043

"L.Mitchell" <L.Mitchell@currantbun.com> wrote:

>Does anyone know the solution to the following faults on a PRO-50:

>1. LCD display goes dim and eventually dissapears after a few minutes of
>use,
>2. The scanner still recieves when it is like this, but after another few
>minutes it goes complety dead wiping the stored frequencys in the process.

>I thought that the .047F 5.5V capacitor (C246) was faulty as it provides the
>power to store the memory so it was replaced with a similar capacitor rated
>at 3.5V, (I was unable to find a 5.5V one) This has not fixed the fault.
>(Batteries which are in use are fresh ones)

>Does anyone out there know anything about these faults any information would
>be useful.

Your problem isn't with memory back-up. You're losing your primary
power, albeit slowly. You don't indicate if you're running off
batteries or not. Knowing that would be helpfull in speculating.

Also, the caps used for memory back-up are of a very specialized type,
and shouldn't be replaced with other types. I suggest you reinstall
the original cap.

Mark

triple X is spam control

228. Scanner Computer Progs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990502224712.24147.00001368@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 120044

Anyone have anygood links to computer software I could use with my Pro-90. I am particulalry looking for something that has DTMF decoding capability

-rabidfool@aol.com

229. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: a@b.net
Message-ID: <372d017c.2171336@news.istep.com>
Source record: 120045

On Sun, 02 May 1999 15:10:08 -0700, David Jacobson
<davidj@mocknews.com> wrote:

>Do you listen to scanners a lot? Regularly? A journalist for a national
>magazine wants to interview you about the fascination of combing the air
>waves and listening in. Can be anonymous if you want. Call David
>415-386-2125 or contact him at newsfeatures@yahoo.com
>Thank you.

Yeah. Right.
"I tuned in the Martians on my Bearcat"
or
"Scanner Hobbyist finds secret frequency used by God"
Special to the National Enquirer.
by Herbery Hooey
etc. etc. etc.

Who are you?
What is your real name?
What national magazine?
How much are you paying, or are we supposed to just donate our time?
And lastly, Why the hell would anyone intelligent enough to use a
scanner or communications receiver be stupid enough to trust the
media, most of which do not posess ten milligrams of journalistic
integrity?

M L Shannon
A writer and published author who has been slandered, lied to, lied
about, and misquoted every single time I have said anything to the
media.

www.FusionSites.com
Ignore the a@b anti-spam address.

230. Re: Setup A Defense Fund for Cheeks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <372d160f.920594@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 120046

Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:

:Someone should set up a defense fund for Bill Cheeks. Mr. Cheeks has
:done alot to advance the hobby of scanning such as writing books that
:explain how to hack scanners.

Why do you feel that Bill Cheeks has not done a lot to advance the
hobby of scanning?

:His predicament is very unfortunate, and everyone in this newsgroup
:should assist him.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

231. FS: AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: k4tjk@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990502233547.18438.00001496@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 120047

```
AOR AR8200
Unblocked US model
Mint with box and manual
Less than 2 months old
$500 shipped

Thanks Bryan
```

232. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <37301806.44044682@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120048

On Mon, 03 May 1999 02:00:18 GMT, a@b.net wrote:

>On Sun, 02 May 1999 15:10:08 -0700, David Jacobson
><davidj@mocknews.com> wrote:
>
>>Do you listen to scanners a lot? Regularly? A journalist for a national
>>magazine wants to interview you about the fascination of combing the air
>>waves and listening in. Can be anonymous if you want. Call David
>>415-386-2125 or contact him at newsfeatures@yahoo.com
>>Thank you.
>
>Yeah. Right.
>"I tuned in the Martians on my Bearcat"
>or
>"Scanner Hobbyist finds secret frequency used by God"
>Special to the National Enquirer.
>by Herbery Hooey
>etc. etc. etc.
>
>Who are you?
>What is your real name?
>What national magazine?
>How much are you paying, or are we supposed to just donate our time?
>And lastly, Why the hell would anyone intelligent enough to use a
>scanner or communications receiver be stupid enough to trust the
>media, most of which do not posess ten milligrams of journalistic
>integrity?
>
Just another lazy uninspired lying sonofabitch who wants evryone else
to do his work for him.

Yer Name Clinton per chance?

Stuff it... LCM

233. Re: Can a scanner (2006 w/OS456) get too hot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <3731187f.44165001@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 120049

On 2 May 1999 11:49:43 GMT, ghs521@aol.comiamnot (GHS521) wrote:

>>New or used?
>>
>> With or without built in speech inversion and/or data port?
>>
>>Plain or computer controlled (OS456 lite or HB232) ?
>>
>
>New,Plain
>
>Greg

$415.00 UPS ground.

good Signals... LCM

234. Re: FS: OSLITE for RS PRO 2005/6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: wookie@wookies.net
Message-ID: <372f1750.17071868@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120050

I'll take it as long as it works
wookie@wookies.net

On Sun, 02 May 1999 11:58:45 -0400, "Tom V."
<TVAC@postoffice.worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Optoelectronics OS456 Lite computer interface for radio shack pro 2005/6
>scanner. New in box with board and hardware in unopened packages, also
>comes with two software diskettes. Asking $50 plus shipping.
>

235. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372d1c39.168702518@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 120051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 02 May 1999 21:56:51 -0500, Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Gene Floyd wrote:
>> >
>> > Is this besides the fact that knowledge of CW is required by international treaty for
>> > access to certain frequencies? Or are you suggesting that the government simply ignore
>> > the treaties and make it's own rules?
>>
>
>Why don't Handicaped people have to pass a code test, yet get HF
>licenses?

One we damm well do

Two why don't we have to pass 13 wpm and get general if pass
5wpm at some point, becuase the FCC clearly has ruled that Code above
5wpm is not vital for the ARS. All NoCoders are trying to do is get
those ruling more consistantly applied

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

236. Re: Middlesex Cty NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <rdubenezic@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gj75c$15a$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120052

NorthSTAR is on the NJSP System. If you have a trunktracker scanner and it's programmed properly it will show up as 000-13.

NJ Transit Police is 160.830MHz

--
Robert Dubenezic

237. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <372D2168.36C0@cats-net.com>
Source record: 120053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark Morgan wrote:
>
> On Sun, 02 May 1999 06:59:37 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I'm happy to see that you've cleaned up the mistake-filled,
> >incomprehensible signature line, Mark. This new mistake-filled version
> >is much easier to understand.
>
> gee you could nto understand the old one saying basicly stay
> on the net and out of My email box? Gee I wish you ProCoders would
> answer the question of just how it is you manage to understand thruogh
> staitc stuff with occaional mistakes, in real time, but can't mange at
> leasure on the net

Mark,

Maybe you've hit upon the answer. If I could only read your stuff
through some static, the text would be spelled perfectly!

Are you accessing the internet via an 80m RTTY link? That thunderstorm
activity must be fearsome with all of those static crashes.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN

--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

238. Need info on Montgomery County TX radio systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Barker <rbarker@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Wi9X2.1633$qz1.162513@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 120054

I have a friend looking for info on Montgomery County TX radio systems.
specifically their trunked radio system.

Please reply to me or to her at:
cameron516@yahoo.com

239. Morris County NJ MDT Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gj7o5$3hi$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 120055

looking for morris county NJ MDT freq's

--
Robert Dubenezic

240. pro2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: joe michener <mich@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <372D205E.F7D6417A@magicnet.net>
Source record: 120056

for sale 300.00 or best offer 2005 moded out. in excellent
condition...de joe mich@magicnet.net

241. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <372D220B.2F5A@cats-net.com>
Source record: 120057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark Morgan wrote:

> Indeed I have been ticked in Chicago myself for NOT speeding
> and thereby being a hazard to traffic by traveling at the what was the
> posted speed limit 55MPH

I've gotten ticked in Chicago myself, Mark but not enough to break the
speed limit.

If you received and paid a fine on a ticket issued for doing the legal
posted speed, you are a championship boob.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

242. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <372D2289.7359@cats-net.com>
Source record: 120058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sir wrote:
>
> > So you pick and choose which laws to obey, eh Bill? You couldn't have
> > set your cruise control at the legal speed and been an example, of
> > course. Better to stick with the Lemming pack.
>
> When they pass a law that says you have to jump off a cliff, I suppose
> you will go along with that too.
>
> A legal speed limit is only a meaningless number pulled out of a hat by
> some polititian. When they can't get enough people to speed over that
> number, they continue to lower that limit until they can generate the
> wanted revenue. Police cars always HIDE out of site to catch speeders
> because if they were visable, people would not speed past them and they
> could not fine them. This is not a matter of saftey, or the police
> would not hide, but be visable so that people would see them and not
> speed. Can't make much money that way on tickets.

This is an easy reply: You don't have any idea what you're talking
about. Posted speeds are not simply "pulled out of a hat".

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

243. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372d24c3.170888869@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 120059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 03 May 1999 04:11:55 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>Mark Morgan wrote:
>
>> Indeed I have been ticked in Chicago myself for NOT speeding
>> and thereby being a hazard to traffic by traveling at the what was the
>> posted speed limit 55MPH
>
>I've gotten ticked in Chicago myself, Mark but not enough to break the
>speed limit.
>
>If you received and paid a fine on a ticket issued for doing the legal
>posted speed, you are a championship boob.

Are you realy unable to read Dave? is that the problem The
ticket said I was a hazard to traffic, that that I was traveling a
legal speed. Two I never mentioned paying fine did I? I did not pay a
fine

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

244. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372d25bc.171137376@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 120060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 03 May 1999 04:14:01 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>This is an easy reply: You don't have any idea what you're talking
>about. Posted speeds are not simply "pulled out of a hat".

No they are not but the Laws that back them do contian data
pulled form the air or perhaps less savory locations
>
>Dave 5H3US, K8MN
>--
>For 5H3US photos, go to
>http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

245. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372d2618.171229679@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 120061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 2 May 1999 23:16:41 -0500, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:

>I think that he was obviously assuming that you would realize he wasn't
>refering to ALL handicaps.. You *can* get a waiver (directly from your doc
>or with a note from your doc. not sure which..) to avoid having to know ANY
>code if you're physically unable to dit-dot for whatever reason(s).

No you can not do so. That is simply not the turth or I'd have
an HF license. The Waivers only exist after you have put throught the
5wpm test in some fashion (including some fashions that make them the
whole thing rather farsical. but you have to take and pass 5wpm first

There is NO waiver of any kind that, that allows anyone past a
code with No Knowledge of Morse Code at all

>If it were up to me, they'd be treated like anyone else.. Have a licensed
>control operator with priveladges (however that's spelled.. Zzz...) for the
>bands you're not licensed for if you're unable to pass the tests...

IOW say Mother may or sit in the back of the Bus. Tell me did
you say to theblacks of Montgomery AL back in the 60's
>
>Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote in message
>news:372d1c39.168702518@nntp.one-eleven.net...
>> On Sun, 02 May 1999 21:56:51 -0500, Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
>>
>> >W6RCecilA wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Gene Floyd wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > Is this besides the fact that knowledge of CW is required by
>international treaty for
>> >> > access to certain frequencies? Or are you suggesting that the
>government simply ignore
>> >> > the treaties and make it's own rules?
>> >>
>> >
>> >Why don't Handicaped people have to pass a code test, yet get HF
>> >licenses?
>>
>> One we damm well do
>>
>> Two why don't we have to pass 13 wpm and get general if pass
>> 5wpm at some point, becuase the FCC clearly has ruled that Code above
>> 5wpm is not vital for the ARS. All NoCoders are trying to do is get

>> those ruling more consistantly applied
>>
>> Mark Morgan
>> kb9rqz.
>>
>> Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
>> Unsolictied email will be published forwared
>> or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.
>>
>> sending unsolitcied Email will be
>> deemed aceptance of these terms
>
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

246. Re: Need info on Montgomery County TX radio systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <372D2B8A.F5CCEC15@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 120062

Which Montgomery County? Pennsylvania? Maryland? or ???

247. Re: Need info on Montgomery County TX radio systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <372D2C0B.6D8BAA26@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 120063

OOOPs, sorry, I didn't see the "TX" in the subject and message...Sorry.

248. Help with Electra BC220 squelch control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: po3ps@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990503005904.07839.00001457@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 120064

Does anyone know what VR on the circuit board controls the
squelch range on an old Electra Bearcat 220?
Thanks ....
Bill

249. Re: Philadelphia to get new 800 trunked system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: w6jrf@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990503012841.10941.00001717@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 120065

Hello Darren; This information (surprisingly) was not published in a public document but was in the July 1996 copy of the Sacramento Regional Radio Communications System newsletter. Another interesting item was a qoute in this newsletter regarding the noise on the system. The author stated that "while it is true that the new simulcast system will never be as quiet as the old single site repeater configuration, Deputy Sheriffs have already recognized that they now have radio coverage in areas where the old system provided none. Trade offs are involved anytime a new system is designed and deployed" Imagine that, only 42 million dollars and you have to put up with "trade offs"!

250. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372d3613.175321160@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 120066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 2 May 1999 23:54:04 -0500, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:

>That's not AT ALL comparable...

In your opion it is not. You wether you know it or not are
claiming several things.

One that by right of Morse Skill you have the right to public
specturm that I am denied basicaly because of how I was born. It is
exactly the same as Montergy with but one difference. there are fewer
disabled and they have fewer things in common than blacks did. each of
us

Two that I lack the same rights given in the Constitution to
petion for redress of gievances.

>It'd be more akin to the gays asking for special priveledges (special laws
>to protect them that we wouldn't 'enjoy'), etc... I fully support everyone

Indeed I don't see it that way. to be fair to you I'll mention
the facts that as some people on the NG have made an issue of I am
Bisexaul and a memeber of nochristain religon

>having EQUAL *rights*.. You may not be able to make use of 'em, sure.. Like

Then support ending the preferencail treatment of marired
coupleby the IRS since you favor equal rights or is it the right of
gay marige that you favor, oneor the other is required to be equal
after all?

>me having the right to get a masters at some university and not having the
>smarts to actually accomplish it.. But should I get a special retard-waivor?
>"I think not..."

But you would get help if it would help you. You would not be
denied admision to technical school simply beacuse you you can't walk
to class And you claim to favor equal rights. well why is it then
that you oppose My efforts to invoke the ADA , the law of the land in
the service of my views
>
>Heard some handicapped guy on the radio the other day complaining about how
>the gov cuts back on his handouts if he works for a living at all...
>"Huh?"...

Indeed that is part of the sillines that the disabled do face.
some indeed could work some, but not a lot, if you work at all it is
more than your funding that gets cut often your health care and

theirfore your abilty to live that gets cut. so you dare not try to
work in many cases if you have such benifits. Given the work ethic
driven nature of many americans I have to question both the fiarness
and the sanity (from a production standpoint)
>
>Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote in message
>news:372d2618.171229679@nntp.one-eleven.net...
>> On Sun, 2 May 1999 23:16:41 -0500, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> >If it were up to me, they'd be treated like anyone else.. Have a licensed
>> >control operator with priveladges (however that's spelled.. Zzz...) for
>the
>> >bands you're not licensed for if you're unable to pass the tests...
>>
>> IOW say Mother may or sit in the back of the Bus. Tell me did
>> you say to theblacks of Montgomery AL back in the 60's
>
>
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

251. Re: Wanted Repair Tip:::: Bearcat 300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: w6jrf@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990503014855.10941.00001718@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 120067

Gary; C98, which was a 22uf/16vdc cap in the early 300's, replaced wit a 47 uf/25vdc in the later ones. This cap is in series with the primary of a small switching xfmr on the RF circuit board. Uniden can supply a schematic for the unit. Call 1-800-297-1023. They charge a flat fee of $49.00 to repair the unit and I obtained the capacitor from Radio Shack for 69 cents! Good Luck. Bob, W6JRF

252. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990503014913.07807.00001644@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 120068

>From: "Nick Carrigan" <nickc@lightmail.com>
>Date: 05-02-1999 23:19 EST
>
>
>What's the best (overall) trunk-tracking mobile?
>
>-Nick

Gee, Nick, that's a tough one. Your choices are the RadioShack Pro-2066, the RadioShack Pro-2066 and the RadioShack Pro-2066. Get the picture? There is only one trunktracking mobile on the market.
Now, if by mobile you mean portable, as in a handheld, then you really have two choices.
The Uniden 235XLT and RadioShack Pro-90 are identical except for the name. The other choice is the RadioShack Pro-91, which has 150 channels instead of 300 like the 235XLT/Pro-90.
All of the trunktrackers are made by Uniden, including those sold at RadioShack.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

253. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372d46ca.179600968@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 120069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 3 May 1999 01:10:18 -0500, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:

>Because that's SPECIAL rights.. Not EQUAL rights... You should be able to do

That is your opinion. It is not mine

>anything that you can do.. Not necessarily anything you WANT to do... As

But I can not and you want to keep that way. I can operate on
HF as well as any code tested ham that does not care to use code.
Nothing in the ARS today mean I must know code in order to function
same as you on HF. Indeed I can even Use Morse Code if condictions are
right. i have done that using this very machine.

>in.. If you can get a 'walking' job without having to walk, why should I? If

But why do I need to walk if the job requires me to sit. It is
the same with Code tests. i am required to have known code at some
monent I am not required to keep this skill in order to use RTTY. I
and no othermember of the ARS has to be able to use code in order to
funtion in the ARS. I amnot required to make even one Morse Code QSO,
and yet it is vital that learn Code. My answer to that is Bullshit.
Yes thouse are the rules TODAY but this is americaia and outdated
rules can be changed, if people work for it

>you can get a driving-license without being fully functional, why should I?

You can get a Drivers licesne without being fully functional.
Indeed you can pass the tests for a driving license without any help
and still not be fully functional. You can and should be able to get
one if you handle handle the vechile safely and efectively and can
understand the laws. I don't have to be able to walk into to do that

>Think of it this way.. What if, for some strange reason, nearly everyone
>became billionaires tomorrow thru their own hard work?..

What is your point?

>Sounds silly, but then the welfare-state would raise the minimum-living
>standards from middle-class to first-class, wouldn't they?...
>Like why should anyone be ENTITLED to live the life of the average person?

How entitled to simply live? How entitled to simply exist in
peace and without harassment. the curent system of dealing is IMO
screwed up defending against some of what it does is like trying to
defend the 1st amedment based on Snuff kiddy porn

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

254. Re: FRS in Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwilkers@netcom.com
Message-ID: <jwilkersFB5Avn.B0p@netcom.com>
Source record: 120070

Jay MacNEIL <jmacneil@direct.ca> writes:

>Just a little note to all Canadian radio hobbiests.
>Industry Canada is considering allowing USA Family Radio service in
>Canada.
>See Industry Canada web page.
>
>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/SSG/sf01740e.html for details.

>I hope we follow suite with the US, as I know that US FRS equipment
>is being sold or used here in Canada illegally.

I also have the same information. It looks like Canadians will have the
benefit of FRS soon. You'll love it! Not the greatest communications
range in the world but you will find it is very sufficient for a great
many needs.
--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger
Supporting two-way radio for the family of the modern era. See my website
for more info on the Family Radio Service (FRS)

255. WTB: Descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9kz@indy.net
Message-ID: <7gjlqbeou1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120071

Anyone know a reliable place to buy a descrambler? Is it legal to buy them?

Bill K9KZ

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

256. Re: Why Is Government Trying To Ban Technology?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John L. Wilkerson Jr." <jwilkers@netcom.com>
Message-ID: <372D7B7A.67C0@netcom.com>
Source record: 120072
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Tracey wrote:
>
> Have you noticed how many different items the Clinton administration and other
> government agencies have tried to ban over the past few years? And, they
> continue to find new items while they work to ban all catagories of older
> technologies.
>

While one that hates political-related discussions.. I gotta agree here.

I have noticed that any technology that could even remotely be
considered a "threat" to "them" is legislated away.... "Them" are both
IMHO the government AND Big Business. The businesses whine to the
Gov't, and the gov't acts by passing or proposing a mass of
legislation. The consumer loses.

While a strong supporter of PROPER government regulation, I just cannot
see the sense of OVERregulation or legislation.

If this trend continues I fear for the consumer and country as a whole
as new technology is slowed down because of perceived "threats". Not
Good.

--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com

http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger
Supporting Family Two Way Radio Communications.. See website above.
Family safety and security is a MUST in the modern world!

257. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9kz@indy.net
Message-ID: <7gjoudgvt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 120073

John,

I have been in a similar situation. What it comes down to is that we pay for the prosecutor's witnesses and other expenses. If we have any money left we can try to defend ourselves. We pay for the government to hire expensive witnesses that we can't even begin to afford. They can charge us with anything without a shred of evidence and we will pay the bill. They don't have to prove a thing even though our Prosecutor is a convicted felon. They can base their case totally on lies and have absolute immunity from perjury. Even if they can't convict us they can make us wish we were dead. This is freedom? They have bankrupt my family and I. If you think I'm exaggerating, I hope you don't find out the hard way!!! It just so happened that earlier in the year I was charged, my wife and had testified against our corrupt Child Protective Services at the State Capitol in Indy. We weren't even allowed to have many of our witnesses testify on our behalf. The State was allowed all of their witnesses (about 50) and they still couldn't convict me. Bill K9KZ

> Just remember folks, this is your tax money at work, both prosecuting
him,
> and defending him. Frankly, I can think of better ways the government could
> spend my money than by harrassing somebody like Bill. I mean, I haven't heard
> that the drug problem has been cured, have you?
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA
> "If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

258. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <372D6009.51AC1C8D@mich.com>
Source record: 120074

Define what you mean by "mobile" scanner.

The BC-895 performs much better than the RS PRO-2066, and it has more capabilities, but it's also takes up a larger amount of space.

Nick Carrigan wrote:

> What's the best (overall) trunk-tracking mobile?
>
> -Nick

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

259. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <7gjo0u$c6d$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 120075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Part of the FCC charter for the ARS is to encourage self-education.
Quite frankly, I don't see CW as such a big hurdle. 5 wpm is slow. It's
easy. If you can't put forth the effort to learn this much, what effort
will you be putting into the hobby? How will relaxing licensing
requirements help achieve the self-education objective?

Are you just looking for equal rights? Or are you looking for someone to
talk to in your digital modes? Outlawing data modes from HF would help
achieve this end by forcing all packets, for example, to 50 MHz and up.
Now we're all equal, and you didn't have to learn a single new thing.

Michael.

Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote in message
news:372d46ca.179600968@nntp.one-eleven.net...
> But I can not and you want to keep that way. I can operate on
> HF as well as any code tested ham that does not care to use code.
> Nothing in the ARS today mean I must know code in order to function
> same as you on HF. Indeed I can even Use Morse Code if condictions are
> right. i have done that using this very machine.

260. Re: digital television

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <372D66B1.4E291C7A@netxn.com>
Source record: 120076

Depends on the channel ...

Mike

Paul Cuni wrote:
>
> What frequency does it transmit on anyway?

261. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372d6c0e.189142128@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 120077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 3 May 1999 03:50:12 -0500, "Michael Young" <nobody@all.org>
wrote:

>Part of the FCC charter for the ARS is to encourage self-education.
>Quite frankly, I don't see CW as such a big hurdle. 5 wpm is slow. It's

I am gald you don't see it as a hurdle but I tried for years
to mange it back in the 70's and had no luck with it.

>easy. If you can't put forth the effort to learn this much, what effort

To say You found it easy is one thing. But please (you seem
new around here so you are getting a beter than some of the regular
ProCoders) Don't make claims about how easy it is for others. Frankly
it is insulting for you to claim it is easy for me when I have spent
years on the porhjectand not manged 5wpm. Surely 5 years is enough.

>will you be putting into the hobby? How will relaxing licensing
>requirements help achieve the self-education objective?

How does the current one serve it? Indeed I don't call
learning Morse Code educational anymore than I call learning the Art
of Foil Fencing educational. They both are skills which depend largely
on apttiude rather than entirely on wil or drive. But lets be big and
look at somebody else. A typical person. has fair time learning cw If
he worked a job that left him the same hours everyday. Indeed to use a
friend, with a new tech license. He work 2 jobs He never works the
hours two weeks in a row Yet he manges more on air time than I do. The
Burden involved in learning code clearly increased in today world. The
need for doing as declined. these are simple facts (the debate comes
when you try and say how much)

>
>Are you just looking for equal rights? Or are you looking for someone to

Fine answer and typical of the ProCode positition.

>talk to in your digital modes? Outlawing data modes from HF would help
>achieve this end by forcing all packets, for example, to 50 MHz and up.
>Now we're all equal, and you didn't have to learn a single new thing.

No we are not equal, because you are being allowed to use your
modes on HF and I am not, thereforeyou have been rights beyond those I
have, therefore we don't have equal rights.

BTW while I do think that Morse Coded Cw is as anet thing bad
for the ARS. I don't seek to impose that position on those that

disagree. You as close to a first thought suggest baning other
peopples Modes. Another Common systom of the ProCoders wanting to
control others. You want me to spend my time as you like, but I don't
seek to impose my views on you. I merely ask, nay I demand the same
rights in Public airwaves that you have
>
>Michael.
>
>Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote in message
>news:372d46ca.179600968@nntp.one-eleven.net...
>> But I can not and you want to keep that way. I can operate on
>> HF as well as any code tested ham that does not care to use code.
>> Nothing in the ARS today mean I must know code in order to function
>> same as you on HF. Indeed I can even Use Morse Code if condictions are
>> right. i have done that using this very machine.
>
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

262. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <925724757.862.69@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I dated a girl once who explained her fear of driving. People honk at her, and gesture angrily when they pass her. She honestly believed it was a male chauvinistic thing: woman driver and all that. The short end of that story is that she's a horrible driver. Nobody knew where she was going, or what she would do next. She least of all. Her reaction to the ticket would have been similar to yours: she would overlook what is painfully obvious to everyone else, and claim gender discrimination (or whatever) for her ills.

Mark, from all I've read about and from you, I believe you are a hazard to yourself and everyone around you. Life is passing you by, and you are aware enough of this, even if you notice nothing else. The world will not slow down for you, no matter how loudly you yell for it stop. And you are tired of it.

I sympathize with you, but cannot empathize. You see, I believe that there is no such thing as CANNOT, only WILL NOT. To be sure, in 39 years of trying, there have been times when I had to settle for rationalizing failure. Special recognition in spite of failure, or worse, changing the rules after the fact, acknowledges only abject defeat.

Why are HF privileges so important to you? Seeing as how the rules are what they are, with at least a remote possibility that it's this way for good reason, why don't you find something more suited for yourself? Not all vocations or hobbies are for everyone. As has been pointed out to you elsewhere, the largest part of the amateur allocation is above 50 MHz. Learn a mere 10% of what others need to know, and still have 90% of the pie! How much more fair can things be?

Michael.

Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote in message
news:372d24c3.170888869@nntp.one-eleven.net...
> On Mon, 03 May 1999 04:11:55 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Mark Morgan wrote:
> >
> >> Indeed I have been ticked in Chicago myself for NOT
speeding
> >> and thereby being a hazard to traffic by traveling at the what was
the
> >> posted speed limit 55MPH
> >
> >I've gotten ticked in Chicago myself, Mark but not enough to break

the
> >speed limit.
> >
> >If you received and paid a fine on a ticket issued for doing the
legal
> >posted speed, you are a championship boob.
>
> Are you realy unable to read Dave? is that the problem The
> ticket said I was a hazard to traffic, that that I was traveling a
> legal speed. Two I never mentioned paying fine did I? I did not pay a
> fine
>
>
> Mark Morgan
> kb9rqz.
>
> Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
> Unsolictied email will be published forwared
> or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.
>
> sending unsolitcied Email will be
> deemed aceptance of these terms

263. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <925725124.118.96@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 120079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote in message
news:372d25bc.171137376@nntp.one-eleven.net...
> >This is an easy reply: You don't have any idea what you're talking
> >about. Posted speeds are not simply "pulled out of a hat".
>
> No they are not but the Laws that back them do contian data
> pulled form the air or perhaps less savory locations

Yes, in the fine tradition of all engineering.

The safest speed is zero. Your chances of survival diminish from there.
Everything else is a compromise.

Michael.

264. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372d7266.190767002@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 120080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 3 May 1999 04:45:49 -0500, "Michael Young" <nobody@all.org>
wrote:

>I dated a girl once who explained her fear of driving. People honk at
>her, and gesture angrily when they pass her. She honestly believed it
>was a male chauvinistic thing: woman driver and all that. The short end
>of that story is that she's a horrible driver. Nobody knew where she was
>going, or what she would do next. She least of all. Her reaction to the
>ticket would have been similar to yours: she would overlook what is
>painfully obvious to everyone else, and claim gender discrimination (or
>whatever) for her ills.

really then just what is obivous I have never claimed the
current was designed to discrimate against me it certainly does, but
merely by neglete not intent

>
>Mark, from all I've read about and from you, I believe you are a hazard
>to yourself and everyone around you. Life is passing you by, and you are
>aware enough of this, even if you notice nothing else. The world will
>not slow down for you, no matter how loudly you yell for it stop. And
>you are tired of it.

Where the hell do you get off telling me that life is passing
me by. You don't know me you arrogant ass. wake smell the changes you
bview of the ASRS as code tested is dieing around you.
>
>I sympathize with you, but cannot empathize. You see, I believe that

Aagin five years of trying and fial is not good enough for you

>there is no such thing as CANNOT, only WILL NOT. To be sure, in 39 years

Starndard ProCode line. well even if Code were easy , then
does that fact make code testing right? NO

>of trying, there have been times when I had to settle for rationalizing
>failure. Special recognition in spite of failure, or worse, changing the
>rules after the fact, acknowledges only abject defeat.

The how should bad rules be changed? If the people that run
into them do nothing, then the system continues, doing more harm to
more people. I find it appalling that you don't seem to consider that
you might be wrong. I am certianly able to do so. I have done so in
this forum and elsewhere On this Issue frankly I can't see anything
form the ProCode side that gives me anything to worry about even if I

am wrong about how my psotiioons are formed. have you even stopped to consider the possibility of error in your biews on the subject?

>
>Why are HF privileges so important to you? Seeing as how the rules are

How important do you think they are to me? And where do you get off even asking? Indeed that is one of the porblems with the reasons given fro code testing. The SoCalled weeder factor, by what right does the ARS ask that question? By nonthing I can read in Part 97 the constitution of the Us and ITU teraty I question wether or not the government has the right to even inquire

>what they are, with at least a remote possibility that it's this way for

One I don't see even the remote reason you refer to. Indeed I have read the hisory of the requirment and am convinced that it has at best outlived it use in the ARS I am not convinced it was ever all that proper

Two I don't think the rules will stay as they are. I have read stuff from just before the Tech decision and a lot of people were saying nothing like that would happen either

>good reason, why don't you find something more suited for yourself? Not
>all vocations or hobbies are for everyone. As has been pointed out to

Now you want to throw off the bands I do have. gee If I care about the ARS as I am supposed to right and don't express my veiws and fight for then I'm frankly I am bad person but maybe in your eyes a good ham. If I pursue what I belive is right then I am a bad ham but a good person by the standards I live by or I can leave the hoby alltogether.

Gee which of those would reconend?

>you elsewhere, the largest part of the amateur allocation is above 50
>MHz. Learn a mere 10% of what others need to know, and still have 90% of
>the pie! How much more fair can things be?

As the Balcks in the South had have the bus women ahd and so on.

Do you really need to ask how much more fair things can be? I'll answer, by having people like you stopp telling other how to spend their and using the Government to back it up. If you think Morse Code is so then promote your mode. If you feel it is endanger of dying Hell i don't like the mode but I'll support governement grants to help the cuase of not letting it die. What I will not endure quietly is, is to suffer what I feel is wrong. To do so is in my view at least inhuman and un even antiamerican.To ask me to do so is well ..do i need to tell you.
>
>Michael.

>
>
>Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote in message
>news:372d24c3.170888869@nntp.one-eleven.net...
>> On Mon, 03 May 1999 04:11:55 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Mark Morgan wrote:
>> >
>> >> Indeed I have been ticked in Chicago myself for NOT
>speeding
>> >> and thereby being a hazard to traffic by traveling at the what was
>the
>> >> posted speed limit 55MPH
>> >
>> >I've gotten ticked in Chicago myself, Mark but not enough to break
>the
>> >speed limit.
>> >
>> >If you received and paid a fine on a ticket issued for doing the
>legal
>> >posted speed, you are a championship boob.
>>
>> Are you realy unable to read Dave? is that the problem The
>> ticket said I was a hazard to traffic, that that I was traveling a
>> legal speed. Two I never mentioned paying fine did I? I did not pay a
>> fine
>>
>>
>> Mark Morgan
>> kb9rqz.
>>
>> Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
>> Unsolictied email will be published forwared
>> or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.
>>
>> sending unsolitcied Email will be
>> deemed aceptance of these terms
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

265. Re: what to monitor above 1.2 GHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@SPAMSUXhome.com>
Message-ID: <372D878C.56B07EF1@SPAMSUXhome.com>
Source record: 120081

X wrote:
>
> i recently aquired a scanner that goes to the 2 GHz area. my question is
> what is there to listen to up there, and is there a list of freqs anyone
> can share with me? So. Cal. area
>
> 73
>
> ----------
> [Image]

I've for years enjoyed DXing microwave ovens. During auroral inversions
I've picked them up from as far away as the third house up the street.

Pete Ritter | "He's not such a bad
cpritter@SPAMSUXhome.com | bloke really, but he is
To reply, please remove "SPAMSUX" | a bit of a cynic."

266. Wanted: Vt. freq & codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Smith <ronalds@megalink.net>
Message-ID: <6rgX2.19$wn.14@ns1.megalink.net>
Source record: 120082

I'm looking for frequencies and 10 codes for Vermont, mostly around Burlington area. TIA

267. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7gk4m3$7nh$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Jim wrote in message <7gckrfngh1@brokaw.wa.com>...
>
>If you'd ever known Morse code, you'd still know Morse code. I didn't
>know they had HF privledges for the Tech ticket in 1981. What bands
>were you operating on back then ? Doin' a little bootleggin' are we ?

If you knew anything about HAM radio from back then, you'd remember
that the tech license was essentially a General without the voice. (A
WONDERFUL example of incentive licensing!) It included HF CW
privlidges on 80, 40, 15, and 10 back in 1981. Check the former
call, KA5MJQ.

Personally I operated some 40 but mostly 15. I probably did less
than 10 contacts on 80 in my life, and none on 10 till maybe 1987. In
fact, I don't think I was ever even ON 10 until I bought a RS 10M rig
and ran it SSB first, and jacked around CW a few times, but nothing
serious.

I used a Swan 500CX which I still have. I have the DC chopper
module for it (but only did a little bit of mobile work. Thing drew
40 amps when you tuned!) and the deluxe 117v AC supply with
speaker and a second 117v brick with the rubber mounting feet
for auto use with the chopper bolted to the end in place of the
cable with the Jones connector. I also have the 'semi-break in'
module which is just a VOX module turned to the limit, and the
seconday VFO. And contrary to what know-nothings say, the
Swan 500CX DOESN'T DRIFT! It's just that with a single VFO
if you adjust frequency for tones you end up walking up or down
the band. Once the rig warms up it's pretty darn stabile, and
one HELL of a lot more sensitive than my friends Kenwood
TS-820 was.

Most of my 15M work was loaded to the gutters on my apartment
building. I ran RG-58 though the wall where the cable came through,
then tied the center conductor to a screw I drilled to the down spout.
Then I 'pulled the pin' that held the thing into these 'comb hooks' and
put electrical tape around it, and put it back, effectively insulated.
I
drilled and put a small screw at the juction of the tubes to the roof.
It loaded ok, but incredible RFI.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

268. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7gk5at$880$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

-----Original Message-----
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Subject: Re: Another nice thing about CW.

OK, Mr Carrol,

> Oh, I'll bet! Never had a model 80 VFO attached either,
>right? Doesn't even have the name Siltronics on the panel
>either, does it???

The Swan VFO is the 509. If you want proof, I'll take a photo
tonight and post.

>In fact, it has NEVER seen anything but a straight key.
>> Period. In the entire time I've owned it since 1981. (I even have
>> a mobile chopper for it.) One of these days I'll get that fire back
>> to operate CW again. But I'll do it because I WANT to, not because
>> I have to.
>
> A "mobile chopper", huh? Tell us, whats the purpose of that
>thingy, huh? Would you happen to know the REAL term for it,
>note just the CB/Freebie term?
>
> You are hereby exposed as just one more CB/Freebander troll
>cruising RRAP. Every indication shows it clearly, to anyone
>who has been paying attention.
>
>Don't bother playing again.

Boy are you a small minded no-nothing!! For YOUR information
the mobile DC-Chopper was a small module that 'chopped' the DC
to apply to the Swan 117CX power supply module so that the
chopped DC would work through the transformer. The Swan power
supplies came in two versions, the 117CX (which was a 117v AC
transformer) and a 220 volt verison (I forget the number). To work
mobile, the 'chopper module' was bolted to the end of the power
supply 'brick'. Normally the AC power cord had a 'jones' plug on
the end. Do you even KNOW what a 'jones plug' is? The chopper
module bolted on and mated to the jones plug, and fed the chopped
12v DC to a low voltage winding on the transformer, allowing the rig
to operate DC, but it did draw just over 40 amps when you put the rig
into tune mode.

YOU don't know squat about HAM radio, or at least about the older
rigs. So take your spouting, Mr Carrol, and YOU go back to CB,

or the appliance operator HAM areas. You'll fit right in at 14.313,
or at 27.185.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ (formerly KA5MJQ)

269. Re: Why Is Government Trying To Ban Technology?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.on.ca
Message-ID: <372da591.4136993@news.cgocable.net>
Source record: 120085
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just look at it this way, first they make it so that any decoding by
us scanner users is illegal and then you will find packet and stuff
like that will become illegal then morse code will be illegal then
most of the amateur freqs will be useless and then the US government
will have all kinds of new freqs to put on the auction block.

On Sat, 1 May 1999 20:48:27 -0500, "BungHole" <bung@hole.org> wrote:

>Because instead of moving with the times, they want to outlaw the public moving forward
>while their technology stays stagnate.
>This way, no one has to spend any money or make any more effort.
>This is in reverse of the way it should be, being that the government moves ahead, then
the public
>catches up.
>Instead, it's all out opression to keep from having to do anything.

270. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372da3de.3963733@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 120086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 29 Apr 1999 21:12:57 -0700, "Timothy Hynde"
<ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>Sir wrote in message <3728F9EC.6BAF@sir.com>...
>>> > In other words, "I shouldn't have to just because I don't
>>> > want to".
>>
>>Better yet, "I shouldn't have to take the time to learn something I will
>>never use."
>
>
>Your right, I shouldn't have had to answer questions about RTTY, Packet,
>SSTV, VHF, UHF, Voice modes, modulation techniques, questions about 20m,
>15m, 10m, questions about EME or any of these things since all I wanted to
>do was operate my homebrew 40m cw rig on 7040KHz.

But you did have to answer those questions at least not of of
them. It lie to say you did. You could have choosen to skip that stuff
and then pass everything else I think or if not all of that then most
of that list can avoided if wish or if you needed to. You don't have
to score 100% on the written 70 will do even a little les if i recall
how the rounding is done on that

>
>Why was I subjected to this unessesary testing, I have no intentions of
>doing any of those things, It just wasn't fair that I had to answer
>questions on things I'm never going to use. Sheeesh....

The resaon you were asked and really opught to learn a little
about them, Like should enough about CW reconzie it when I hear it is
that you have to share the bands with these modes and knwoing a little
about them is needed to do that. But I don't need to know how to read
a CW to know if I am interfering with it or things about it's
bandwidth etc.
>
>Tim ka8ddz
>
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared

or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

271. WTB: Motorola UHF Micor Mobile Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ve7fet@nospam.psychonet.net
Message-ID: <372cec4d.3559382@news.rct1.bc.wave.home.com>
Source record: 120127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Hi I am looking for a service manual for a Motorola UHF Micor Mobile.
The Motorola part number is 68-81015E70-H.

Please email ve7fet@NOSPAM.psychonet.net (removing the NOSPAM) with
price and condition.

Thanks,

Lee

272. MDT Freqs in DFW Area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@SPAMSUXhome.com>
Message-ID: <372CEE35.BCAB3E16@SPAMSUXhome.com>
Source record: 120128

Anyone know of MDT freqs in DFW area?
--

Pete Ritter | "He's not such a bad
cpritter@SPAMSUXhome.com | bloke really, but he is
To reply, please remove "SPAMSUX" | a bit of a cynic."

273. digital television

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: pcuni@cris.com
Message-ID: <372cee26.33199708@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 120129

What frequency does it transmit on anyway?

274. Re: Hide Your Scanners, Guns, and Money: America Is Being Run By A Communist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: wwholzXYZ@home.com
Message-ID: <372cfa53.43962970@news>
Source record: 120130
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

OK, how about the police search your house anytime they like.
Shouldn't be a problem if you've nothing to hide, right?

Holzhueter

275. Middlesex Cty NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: billy <billhoward1@home.com>
Message-ID: <372CFE8E.CB3A398E@home.com>
Source record: 120131

Hello:

Can somebody please help me with some Middlesex Cty NJ
Frequencies.

I need the following:

Highland Park Police
NJ Transit, Northeast Corridor
Kellys Cab South River New Jersey..
Northstar dispatch frequency

Please e-mail if you know these, it will be very much appreciated

Thanks
All the best
Bill

276. Toronto Police Dept. 42 Div

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lance Tryon <lanceman@shaw.wave.ca>
Message-ID: <Xr7X2.5945$Ss1.709786@news1.rdc1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 120132
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know if the 42 div. of the Toronto Police dept. recently changed freqs? The lastest one I have is 862.687 but I can't seem to get anything on it anymore. Please email me if you have info.

Lance Tryon
lanceman@shaw.wave.ca

277. Looking For Optolinx Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: norths4273@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990502220848.15926.00001323@ng20.aol.com>
Source record: 120133

If anyone has an Optolinx manual or a copy of one, ill reimburse you.
thankx,chris
please email me
Norths4273@aol.com

ps , looking for software also

278. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkmn01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990502221441.13138.00002593@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 120134

>A journalist for a national
>magazine wants to interview you about the fascination of combing the air
>waves and listening in.

I have a pretty good idea where this is going. When was the last time you saw scanner users treated fairly in the media? I see "Electronic Stalkers" and "High Tech Eavesdroppers" headlines and general hatchet job in the works. Don't trust the media when it comes to scanners and such. Hell, don't ever trust them for that matter.

279. Re: AMTRAK Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfbobby@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990502222148.02349.00001216@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 120135

Credit should be given here to Steve Grande who runs the TrainWeb site out of the station at Fullerton, CA. The WebSite is www.trainweb.com. It is a must site for anyone traveling on AMTRAK. If he doesn't have the info you need, his many links will lead you to the answer.

Steves Travelogues of every Amtrak run list the frequencies for all onboard communication as you go along, as well as the freqs for the trackside automated monitors...you always know the number of axels on your train as well as speed and hotbox condition. It makes for a fascinating ride.

Most AMTRAK freqs are between 160 and 162 MHZ .

280. Wanted Repair Tip:::: Bearcat 300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb2rev@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990502222153.07838.00001449@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 120136

I need to find out witch cap i need to replace to bring the display back {very dim} thanks Garry Bearcat 300

281. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7gmsb5$5be$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 119837

In article <19990503233941.24949.00003421@ng-fi1.aol.com>,
dkmn01@aol.com (Dkmn01) wrote:
> It seems like everytime I start thinking about going and getting my no-code
> license I start hearing this damned bickering and hear the way the no-code
guys
> get treated by the "CW annointed ones" on some of the local repeaters I kind
of
> back off. I wonder how some of these guys would treat a person when you went
> to take the test? I just don't understand why some of these guys want to make
> people who are interested in the radio hobby so unwelcome just because they
> don't want to lean code. I would think that being a good operator and being
> active in the local group would be much more important than learning some
code.
>
>

Yes it is a shame that some members of the Amateur service act like they
are superior just because they can copy CW. This is yet another example of
the failed incentive licensing program shoved down our throats by the ARRL
and the FCC back in 1968. I for one do not feel obligated to downgrade a
"lower" class licensee. In fact I actually am willing, and DO HELP those
that ask. Maybe if some of you "superior Extra's" would do the same we could
get decent operators into the service like the writer above. Think about
it.

Dan/W4NTI 38yrs Extra class.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

282. FA: Pro-2037------>eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <kd4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <372EEC2E.7DDE@webdeals.net>
Source record: 119838
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro-2037 up for auction on eBay
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=99564643

283. Re: Alinco DJX10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: cowgoose@aol.comedian
Message-ID: <19990504092554.22975.00002136@ng139.aol.com>
Source record: 119839

While you could spend more & get a better radio, There is nothing in the price range with the overall performance/features of the X10. 1200 alpha-numeric channels, 0.1 - 2,000Mhz, scope , computer programmable, thin, lighted keys, ect.....

284. home brew battery pack!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: pharoe99 <boidman32@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <7gn04s$8m7$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 119840

hello to all. i was wondering if anyone out there had any information on how to build an all purpose battery pack for scanners or ham radios? i find that when i am out in the field my battery dies sooner than i would like.any one with information on how to build an external battery source please feel free to contact me via my e-mail i would be greatful for your help thanks my email address is boidman32@netscape.net

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

285. Re: Hide Your Scanners, Guns, and Money: America Is Being Run By A Communist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7gmte7$59c$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119841
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Woah, don't do that in some cities! I don't know how it is currently,
but back in the late 70's timeframe, Philly would arrest you for
carrying
a portable scanner. They even hassled Radio Shack for selling anything
portable that could receive police.

Mike Yetsko

Barry O'Grady wrote in message
<372e379c.1878762@genenews.acay.com.au>...
>wwholzXYZ@home.com (WWH) wrote:
>
>:OK, how about the police search your house anytime they like.
>:Shouldn't be a problem if you've nothing to hide, right?
>:
>:Holzhueter
>
>Far better to walk into a school with a scanner than a gun.
>
>Barry
>==========
>Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
>

286. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7gmtj1$5de$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

OK< then lets force mandatory retesting of CW every couple years. Don't
pass? Downgrade!

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

CommQuart wrote in message <7gl5jq$e2c$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>...
>No, you simply memorized the Morse Code. CW is the same
>as riding a bicycle. Once learned, it is not easily forgotten.
>
>Sir wrote in message <372D1167.3C71@sir.com>...
>>> If you'd ever known Morse code, you'd still know Morse code.
>>
>>Not always true. I knew morse code, and don't remember any of it now
>>since I never used it after learning it. The same is true for many
Hams
>>I know.
>
>

287. FS:Pre-ban Bearcat 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgshaw@csc.umd.edu
Message-ID: <7gmtn6obd3@hecate.umd.edu>
Source record: 119843

Pre-ban Bearcat 200 xlt, 200 chan hand held scanner, factory cut, all 800
fx, mint condition, batt, charger, ant, case. $300.00 & shipping.
john

--

288. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7gmtab$569$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119844

If you went to some clubs, you might get a reception that just
reinforces
all these negative perceptions.

But if you came to the club I belong to, you'd be treated quite
politely, and
helped along the way. And even a little social pressure applied to
join (but very subtly and very politely) and become active. And then
once there, the little social tits would be applied to try to get you
active in HF and to learn the code, like having you sit down and play
HF with a control operator at the club, or on one of the field day
events. Once you're hooked, well...

But you would never be treated rudely.

I don't think you would get an officially rude reception at 99% of the
clubs out there. (There are assholes everywhere, it's a fact of life.)
And I don't think that more than 90% of the clubs out there would
even tolerate someone in their membership being rude to you for this.
Just remember though that HAM radio clubs are made up of cross-
sections of society, idiots and all.

Don't judge HAM radio by just looking at the conflict that is going on,
with both sides being loud and standing out. Judge it by what happens
when you actually TRY it, when you GO to a club, and actually SEE
what happens. Then if you run into idiots, F*** EM! And go to another
club.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

Dkmn01 wrote in message
<19990503233941.24949.00003421@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
>It seems like everytime I start thinking about going and getting my
no-code
>license I start hearing this damned bickering and hear the way the
no-code guys
>get treated by the "CW annointed ones" on some of the local repeaters I
kind of
>back off. I wonder how some of these guys would treat a person when
you went
>to take the test? I just don't understand why some of these guys want
to make
>people who are interested in the radio hobby so unwelcome just because
they
>don't want to lean code. I would think that being a good operator and

being
>active in the local group would be much more important than learning
some code.
>

289. Re: Electra BC220

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David A. Lovell" <electra@tcon.net>
Message-ID: <372f17a6@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 119845

Karl Witte <kwitte@dmci.net> wrote in message
news:372e3d43@news-out2.newsnerds.com...
> Can anyone help with where the battery backup leads attach to the
> maincircuit board on a BC220?
>
>
>
>
Hi Karl:
3 Volt battery memory backup leads on the BC-220 Scanner attach to any main board ground (Black Wire, negative, -) and the
anode of D203, a 4148 diode located near pins 2 & 3 on IC-201(MM5785 Cops RAM) on the feature (3 x 5 inch) board
(Signal VA, Red Wire, Positive, +).

Hope this helps.

Best Regards,

Dave Lovell
Electra Corporation - Makers of TIGER SCAN - from the originators of Scanning Monitors
www.electracorp.com
electra@tcon.net

290. Re: Subject: BCT245XLT <------ Is this scanner Base or Mobile? and anyone have link for Specs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wb4dyu@arrl.net
Message-ID: <wb4dyu-0405991006060001@ppp-27.mia-tc-3.netrox.net>
Source record: 119846

In article <925788976.615.88@news.remarQ.com>, "Netranger"
<netranger@netcarrier.com> wrote:

> K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net> wrote in message
> news:7gl8tajlv1@remarQ.com...
> > Today I recieved an answer from Uniden as to the release of the 245.
> > The reply was brief, but to the point.
> >
> > "Dear Sir, That unit is not in stores at this time. It is schedule for
> > release in Late June to early July.
> >
> > Thank you
> > Uniden Customer Assistance"
> >
Uniden advised me that it will be available in MAy.

--
Pleae REPLY via E-MAIL

291. Re: BC 245XLT release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: alan@netrox.net
Message-ID: <alan-0405991010130001@ppp-27.mia-tc-3.netrox.net>
Source record: 119847

In article <7g5pr1$23q@chronicle.concentric.net>, "CitySlicker"
<mannod@concentric.net> wrote:

> Check out www.uniden.com for info on this unit as well as the rest of the
> line.
>
> HairMan2000 wrote in message <372405BC.16F9F684@pacifier.com>...
> :What features is this scannerr supposed to have?
> :I sure wish there was a mobile TrunkkTracker scanner
> :with a remote face car easy mounting in a car.
> :Is there such a scanner out there?
> :
> :
> :
> :Frank Bals wrote:
> :
> :> I've heard conflicting dates as to when this new TrunkTracker will be
> :> released for sale. I can't wait. Anyone got the REAL story?
> :
> :
> :

Uniden Cservice advised me, via email, that the unit will be a vailable in
May 99. They sent me a nice color brochure with virtually No tech specs.

--
Pleae REPLY via E-MAIL

292. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oscar <captorbs@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <372F044D.5329643C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 119848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

CW is the same as riding a bicycle. Once learned, it is not easily
forgotten.

Not quite. A language not used is easily forgotten. And according to the
threads here CW is just another language.

293. FS-Unblocked Bearcat 200XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990504103859.13857.00000240@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 119849

In the box, in excellent condition, with the carrying case, charger, rechargeable battery pack, manual, and antenna. Unit works great.

Asking $250 shipped to lower 48 States.

294. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Sailer <msailer@msailer.rhic.bnl.gov>
Message-ID: <372F0A38.B02CD8DD@msailer.rhic.bnl.gov>
Source record: 119850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CommQuart wrote:

> 1. How many new hams will join the ranks when the
> code is abolished?

Probably not too many. The Internet has more of an attraction.
You can communicate any where world wide, on a whim.
No Technical experience required... sad to say.

> 2. How many new hams are mandated to "SAVE"
> amateur radio from oblivion??

No number of people will save it. From the looks of things, IMHO,
The bands above 2M will probably be sold off to the highest bidder.
It's a matter of $$$ and demand. We don't put any $$$ into the
Gov'ts coffers.

> 3. What happened to all of the new hams licensed since
> the VHF no-code license was introduced?

They got licenced, got snubbed by the HF snobs and went to the
internet. No license needed there and you can communicate in
any form to anyone, with virtually no restrictions.

> 4. What "AWARD" did the amateur service receive from
> congress or the FCC in recognition of the influx
> of new hams from the new no-code licenses?

AWARD??? We are a service, not really to be classifiied as
as hobby. There are services we are actually supposed to provide
to keep the FREE frequencies we use. We don't get awards.

> 5. Does anyone really believe that CW is the real cause
> behind the decline of Amateur Radio?

It's part of the cause. The primary reason is the Internet. Again,
free communication to anyone worldwide with no restrictions and no
technical requirements needed.

Think about this, if all the rules would allow such a senerio......
You can probably get your DXCC in about an hour with AOL Instant
Messenger.
Send your QSL cards to each other via Email and Email them
to the ARRL. Have them checked and back to you all in the same day with
your Certificate.
No expensive Equipment, no putting up antennas, no tests,
state-of-the-art graphics with

sound..... hey I can even talk to and see the other person in realtime video. Can't so that
with SSTV. Certainly faster the 1200 baud packet, on a keyboard to keyboard QSO.

I did some thing similiar to this in the 80's with packet. I accumulated enough replies to a
World-Wide message, that it amounted to a DXCC. Took about a week.

Tell me where is the attraction, to people with limited technical knowledge or
no interest in radio communications?

Just my opinion.

Mark
N2JTW

--

Mark Sailer
BNL- RHIC/RCF
516-344-7448

295. The best mobile scanning antenna???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: chucklp@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gn5ms$e59$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 119851

OK, here's a chance to share your knowledge:

1. What is the best mobile roof mount scanning antenna?

Maximum height is limited to about 4-5' above the roof line. The vehicle (1999 FreightLiner SportChassis 4 door) has a large flat metal roof. My plan was to mount through the roof while I have the installer up there for the cell phone, TV, and CB antennas.

2. What coaxial cable should be used?

The scanning receiver will be a Bearcat 895XLT with BNC and I am interested in performances in the trunked frequencies (860 Mhz) as well as local law enforcement in the 155 Mhz area. If given a choice, would probably optimize for 155 as the trunked systems are usually designed for good portable coverage and should work to a rusty coathanger within their service areas.

These questions have probably been asked before but I haven't been following this NG and DejaNews didn't turn up much (the key words are used too often).

TIA

--
Chuck <-- Toy delivery system for green parrot
Fulltiming in KOTR with FreightLiner

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

296. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <VV+s4QArKvL3EwOn@virgin.net>
Source record: 119852
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

```
In article <372EBD8D.B90B0806@netxn.com>, Michael Derryberry
<mderryberry@netxn.com> writes
>FRS is Family Radio Service
>
>Mike
>
>
>Keith Bennett wrote:
>>
>> In article <372E792E.7841@ameritech.net>, BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbroo
>> kltnkid@ameritech.net> writes
>
> ....snip....
>
>> Are us uninitiated going to be told what an FRS radio is?
>>
Errrmmmm....I see, so what's the Family Radio Service then?

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
```

297. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gn23ncmi1@pale-rider.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In a previous article, commquart@erols.com (CommQuart) says:

>So, tell me. How many subjects or material did you cover in
>school that you felt were something you would never use and only forget?
>You may consider learning the code a waste of time (yours) BUT, if it
>is required to obtain the license you still need it, like it or not.
>You may never parallel park a car in your lifetime (in 35 years of driving
>I never have), soooo, should it be eliminated from the driving test?
>
>Most of your frivilous analogies fail to hold any water.
>
>Pete

As a matter of fact, Ohio does NOT have the parallel parking
section on their driving test anymore ! They got rid of it and made the
manoverability part a little harder.

So if your analogy where really valid...=-)

--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

298. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gn25l$ht5$1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In a previous article, bung@hole.org (BungHole) says:

>: So, tell me. How many subjects or material did you cover in
>: school that you felt were something you would never use and only =
>forget?
>
>All of them to be exact, except for basics.
>
>: You may never parallel park a car in your lifetime (in 35 years of =
>driving
>: I never have), soooo, should it be eliminated from the driving test?
>
>Yes :)
>
>
I agree, just like Ohio got rid of it =-)
--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

299. Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gn45hlao1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

As I see it, it comes down to this...

While it is true that there are a few (and I mean a FEW) who would like Ham Radio dumbed down into just another version of CB. But this is, by far, nothing less than a tiny minority of the people on the no-code side of the debate. Likewise, now every pro-coder is an old Fuddy Duddy who is stuck in the 50's.

The real problem, that only a few are addressing (like the editors of PopComm), is how this plays to the people who are looking to get into Ham Radio.

First of all, anyone who has a problem with the code, or would rather skip it, is simply pelted with insults. I, for one, was called "lazy" because I was for skipping code altogether. I guess having dyslexia makes me lazy. In order to get a waiver from the code, I would have to spend big money doing to doctors for the paperwork.

Or, I could go no-code. While I am not interested in VHF, I am also uninterested in hearing about how I would not be a "RealHam (TM)" because I am a no-code tech.

Gee, with all of this going on, you wonder why more kids are not getting into Ham Radio ? Unless you fit into some kind of cookie-cutter definition of "RealHam (TM)", you get dumped on coming or going.

SWLing looks a lot better as an alternative all the time. It's rewards, while at times a bit more modest, tends to come at a much more reasonable price.

There was a time when SWL's where the best group for Hams to recruit out of, but that time has come and gone. Ham radio now needs to ask why so many SWL's are voting with their feet.

The civil war in Ham Radio is only acting to turn many people away. I for one do not look for strife, insults, abuse, judgment and childish behavior in a hobby, I look for fun. Myself and others are willing to put forth the effort. But there is a distinct difference between meeting requirements and running a gauntlet of frat-house like initiations.

Yeah, I could pass every technical part of the tests, I could easily learn the proper operating rules. But is it worth it to enter a hobby where anyone who dares disagree with "RealHams (TM)" is lazy, a crybaby, a spoiled cyberkid, etc. ?

Ham Radio has only one place to look for the source of it's problems. It's called a mirror. People are voting with their feet, my friends. I am the last person in the world that wants to see Ham Radio die, but the choice is not mine-

It's all up to the hobby.

When AM came along, many where opposed.

When SuperHet. receivers came along, many where opposed.

When SSB came along, many fought it.

Change is always coming, why fight it ?

The issue is bigger than CW. The real issue is what kind of hobby Ham Radio has become, or rather, what it has degenerated into. While SWLing has it's share of silly moments, it has not degenerated into the sort of malicious, snotty behavior that now appears (from the outside at least) to be slowly permeating Ham radio.

Perhaps it's because SWL's listen ?

--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete traditions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

300. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gn4gfgoo1@pale-rider.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In a previous article, bung@hole.org (BungHole) says:

>I have 500 things which require just 10-15 minutes a day already. ;)
>
>: >> If you have 10-15 minutes a day,you have the time to learn CW.
>

That's 10-15 min. a day, if you don't have dyslexia =-)

--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

301. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gn521npp1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In a previous article, K8MN@cats-net.com (Dave Heil) says:

>Xref: alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu rec.radio.amateur.misc:178591 rec.radio.amateur.policy:94941
rec.radio.amateur.space:16450 rec.radio.scanner:159295 rec.radio.shortwave:152689
>
>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On Fri, 30 Apr 1999 13:28:06 GMT, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Joe wrote:
>> >> I dont agree with all the rules
>> >> but I do follow them.Why? Because they ARE THE RULES!!!
>> >
>> >But outdated rules have no reason to exist. Are you too lazy
>> >to try to change the rules?
>>
>> I just drove home at speeds of 75 mph keeping up with
>> the traffic flow on a highway with a posted limit of 55.
>> Laws which have no basis in reality breed contempt
>> for those laws.
>
>So you pick and choose which laws to obey, eh Bill? You couldn't have
>set your cruise control at the legal speed and been an example, of
>course. Better to stick with the Lemming pack. You wouldn't want to be
>late for your date with that cliff. I'll save my contempt for the
>scofflaws.
>
>Dave 5H3US, K8MN
>--
>For 5H3US photos, go to
>http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/
>

It's safer to go with the flow of traffic than to drive 15 miles
slower. Here, following a rule just for the sake of following rules is not
as safe as breaking it.

--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

302. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gn60lplk1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In a previous article, commquart@erols.com (CommQuart) says:

>
>1. How many new hams will join the ranks when the
>code is abolished?

Hard to say. The hole that has been dug is awful deep. A lot of
people where turned off some time ago, but I think a good number (in the
long term) is possible.

>
>2. How many new hams are mandated to "SAVE"
>amateur radio from oblivion??

Good question. I will have to think about that one.

>
>3. What happened to all of the new hams licensed since
>the VHF no-code license was introduced?

Perhaps they got dumped on for being second class no-code techs by
the "RealHams (TM)" ? I think the deepening of the war going on now acted
to chocke out some of the possible growth.

>
>4. What "AWARD" did the amateur service receive from
>congress or the FCC in recognition of the influx
>of new hams from the new no-code licenses?

I think it bought time for Ham Radio. Without that influx, I think
MORE bands would have been lost.

>
>5. Does anyone really believe that CW is the real cause
>behind the decline of Amateur Radio?
>
CW alone ? No, not at all. The attitudes reflected by the CW debate
? Yes !

--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

303. Re: home brew battery pack!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: E Meyers <hcs777@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <01be964c$98fc3920$eeff00cc@default>
Source record: 119859

I use an old burglar alarm battery. It last a long time. Great
during power outages, too.

E Meyers

here's a supplier if you can't find one...

http://www.bestbattery.com/specifications.html

304. NC SC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: nmetsy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990504124153.16666.00002224@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 119860

This list was created for North & South Carolina Fire/EMS Provider.
Subscribers
to this list can post breaking news information regarding all fire & ems calls,
Future Training dates, New EMS Protocols, Idea's, Problems, Ect.

You can join this list by going to the following web page:

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/NC_SCbreakingnews

305. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <willee@interconnect.net>
Message-ID: <7gn8jq$h4n$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Trent, It sounds like you are very happy as a SWL. Why do you want to become
a HAM if it is:
1. Impossable for you to learn code.
2. Too expensive to get a CW waver.
3. Too much greif and insults from other hams.
4. Full of other people who are Fuddy Duddy's.

Man.... why dont you stay a SWL and forget Ham radio?
Or do you think it would all change if someone would just GIVE you a
license.

William Lee N5WRX
Trent Phillips wrote in message <7gn45hlao1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>...
>
>
> As I see it, it comes down to this...
>
>
>
> While it is true that there are a few (and I mean a FEW) who would
>like Ham Radio dumbed down into just another version of CB. But this is, by
>far, nothing less than a tiny minority of the people on the no-code side of
>the debate. Likewise, now every pro-coder is an old Fuddy Duddy who is
>stuck in the 50's.
>
> The real problem, that only a few are addressing (like the editors
>of PopComm), is how this plays to the people who are looking to get into
>Ham Radio.
>
> First of all, anyone who has a problem with the code, or would
>rather skip it, is simply pelted with insults. I, for one, was called
>"lazy" because I was for skipping code altogether. I guess having dyslexia
>makes me lazy. In order to get a waiver from the code, I would have to
>spend big money doing to doctors for the paperwork.
>
> Or, I could go no-code. While I am not interested in VHF, I am also
>uninterested in hearing about how I would not be a "RealHam (TM)" because I
>am a no-code tech.
>
> Gee, with all of this going on, you wonder why more kids are not
>getting into Ham Radio ? Unless you fit into some kind of cookie-cutter
>definition of "RealHam (TM)", you get dumped on coming or going.
>
> SWLing looks a lot better as an alternative all the time. It's

>rewards, while at times a bit more modest, tends to come at a much more
>reasonable price.
>
> There was a time when SWL's where the best group for Hams to recruit
>out of, but that time has come and gone. Ham radio now needs to ask why so
>many SWL's are voting with their feet.
>
> The civil war in Ham Radio is only acting to turn many people away.
>I for one do not look for strife, insults, abuse, judgment and childish
>behavior in a hobby, I look for fun. Myself and others are willing to put
>forth the effort. But there is a distinct difference between meeting
>requirements and running a gauntlet of frat-house like initiations.
>
> Yeah, I could pass every technical part of the tests, I could
>easily learn the proper operating rules. But is it worth it to enter a
>hobby where anyone who dares disagree with "RealHams (TM)" is lazy, a
>crybaby, a spoiled cyberkid, etc. ?
>
> Ham Radio has only one place to look for the source of it's
>problems. It's called a mirror. People are voting with their feet, my
>friends. I am the last person in the world that wants to see Ham Radio die,
>but the choice is not mine-
>
> It's all up to the hobby.
>
> When AM came along, many where opposed.
>
> When SuperHet. receivers came along, many where opposed.
>
> When SSB came along, many fought it.
>
> Change is always coming, why fight it ?
>
> The issue is bigger than CW. The real issue is what kind of hobby
>Ham Radio has become, or rather, what it has degenerated into. While SWLing
>has it's share of silly moments, it has not degenerated into the sort of
>malicious, snotty behavior that now appears (from the outside at least) to
>be slowly permeating Ham radio.
>
> Perhaps it's because SWL's listen ?
>
>
>--
>"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
> itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
> and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
>----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

306. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7gn8uh$t8q$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 119862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Greetings Trent

You get an A+ for reasoned, well-thought out replies in my
book.

Personally, I have never had a problem with the no-code
license, or the elimination of the CW requirements from the
ham exams. What is a complete turnoff, in my opinion,
is the all-to-prevelant attitude that CW is dead and obsolete,
and that some folks feel they "deserve" an amateur license
with no effort on their part.

Regards

Peter, K1ZJH
"The Radio Connection" Popular Communications Magazine

Trent Phillips wrote in message <7gn45hlao1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>...
>
>
> As I see it, it comes down to this...
>
>
>
> While it is true that there are a few (and I mean a FEW) who would
>like Ham Radio dumbed down into just another version of CB. But this is, by
>far, nothing less than a tiny minority of the people on the no-code side of
>the debate. Likewise, now every pro-coder is an old Fuddy Duddy who is
>stuck in the 50's.
>
> The real problem, that only a few are addressing (like the editors
>of PopComm), is how this plays to the people who are looking to get into
>Ham Radio.
>
> First of all, anyone who has a problem with the code, or would
>rather skip it, is simply pelted with insults. I, for one, was called
>"lazy" because I was for skipping code altogetherLIVES !-------------

307. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7gn99n$1s3$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 119863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Greetings Trent

3. Most of the no-coders in this area got disgusted enough
with repeater operation to go and upgrade on there own.
A rather surprising observation.

4. Would like to believe this, but I am not convinced that
strength lies just in numbers.

5. A lot of the problem is brought on the attitudes of those
positioning for the elimination of the code--arguments such
claiming that "code is obsolete, or not used" is only going
to alienate CW operators only further. Some have been some
reasoned points made (such as yours), but others seem to
reflect a lot of hostility towards hams just because they use
CW in this modern age.

Best 73

Pete

Trent Phillips wrote in message <7gn60lplk1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>...
>
>>>3. What happened to all of the new hams licensed since
>>the VHF no-code license was introduced?
>
> Perhaps they got dumped on for being second class no-code techs by
>the "RealHams (TM)" ? I think the deepening of the war going on now acted
>to chocke out some of the possible growth.
>
>>
>>4. What "AWARD" did the amateur service receive from
>>congress or the FCC in recognition of the influx
>>of new hams from the new no-code licenses?
>
> I think it bought time for Ham Radio. Without that influx, I think
>MORE bands would have been lost.
>
>>
>>5. Does anyone really believe that CW is the real cause
>>behind the decline of Amateur Radio?
>>
> CW alone ? No, not at all. The attitudes reflected by the CW debate
>? Yes !
>

>--
>"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
> itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
> and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
>----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

308. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: CommQuart <commquart@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7gn9ir$35r$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 119864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Athough I don't fully agree with Trent's stance in total,
my hat is off to him for giving honest and well reasoned
responses to the issues on hand. My feeling is Trent is
a chap open to both sides of the issue, and I would be
willing to hear his side of the story.

Peter

William Lee wrote in message <7gn8jq$h4n$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>...
>Trent, It sounds like you are very happy as a SWL. Why do you want to
become
>a HAM if it is:
>1. Impossable for you to learn code.
>2. Too expensive to get a CW waver.
>3. Too much greif and insults from other hams.
>4. Full of other people who are Fuddy Duddy's.
>
>Man.... why dont you stay a SWL and forget Ham radio?
>Or do you think it would all change if someone would just GIVE you a
>license.
>
>William Lee N5WRX
>Trent Phillips wrote in message <7gn45hlao1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>...
>>
>>
>> As I see it, it comes down to this...
>>
>>
>>
>> While it is true that there are a few (and I mean a FEW) who would
>>like Ham Radio dumbed down into just another version of CB. But this is,
by
>>far, nothing less than a tiny minority of the people on the no-code side
of
>>the debate. Likewise, now every pro-coder is an old Fuddy Duddy who is
>>stuck in the 50's.
>>
>> The real problem, that only a few are addressing (like the editors
>>of PopComm), is how this plays to the people who are looking to get into
>>Ham Radio.
>>
>> First of all, anyone who has a problem with the code, or would
>>rather skip it, is simply pelted with insults. I, for one, was called
>>"lazy" because I was for skipping code altogether. I guess having dyslexia

>>makes me lazy. In order to get a waiver from the code, I would have to
>>spend big money doing to doctors for the paperwork.
>>
>> Or, I could go no-code. While I am not interested in VHF, I am also
>>uninterested in hearing about how I would not be a "RealHam (TM)" because
I
>>am a no-code tech.
>>
>> Gee, with all of this going on, you wonder why more kids are not
>>getting into Ham Radio ? Unless you fit into some kind of cookie-cutter
>>definition of "RealHam (TM)", you get dumped on coming or going.
>>
>> SWLing looks a lot better as an alternative all the time. It's
>>rewards, while at times a bit more modest, tends to come at a much more
>>reasonable price.
>>
>> There was a time when SWL's where the best group for Hams to recruit
>>out of, but that time has come and gone. Ham radio now needs to ask why so
>>many SWL's are voting with their feet.
>>
>> The civil war in Ham Radio is only acting to turn many people away.
>>I for one do not look for strife, insults, abuse, judgment and childish
>>behavior in a hobby, I look for fun. Myself and others are willing to put
>>forth the effort. But there is a distinct difference between meeting
>>requirements and running a gauntlet of frat-house like initiations.
>>
>> Yeah, I could pass every technical part of the tests, I could
>>easily learn the proper operating rules. But is it worth it to enter a
>>hobby where anyone who dares disagree with "RealHams (TM)" is lazy, a
>>crybaby, a spoiled cyberkid, etc. ?
>>
>> Ham Radio has only one place to look for the source of it's
>>problems. It's called a mirror. People are voting with their feet, my
>>friends. I am the last person in the world that wants to see Ham Radio
die,
>>but the choice is not mine-
>>
>> It's all up to the hobby.
>>
>> When AM came along, many where opposed.
>>
>> When SuperHet. receivers came along, many where opposed.
>>
>> When SSB came along, many fought it.
>>
>> Change is always coming, why fight it ?
>>
>> The issue is bigger than CW. The real issue is what kind of hobby
>>Ham Radio has become, or rather, what it has degenerated into. While
SWLing

>>has it's share of silly moments, it has not degenerated into the sort of
>>malicious, snotty behavior that now appears (from the outside at least) to
>>be slowly permeating Ham radio.
>>
>> Perhaps it's because SWL's listen ?
>>
>>
>>--
>>"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
>> itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
>> and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
>>----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------
>
>

309. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Kaye <dkaye68@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <372F2D11.16A2A803@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119865

How could the BC 235XLT be superior to the PRO-90 when they are the SAME radio with the exception of the spare battery and charger that come with the 235XLT? Just curious why you make that statement?

Dave Kaye

Radio911 wrote:

> Hi! I have the RS PRO-2066 and am very happy with it. It is small enough to
> mount in-dash (in place of a radio) or I mounted my radio on the center console
> between the two front seats.
> It has great audio and easy enough to program-although not a good idea while
> driving! E-mail with specific questions and I'll get back to you. I also have
> the BC 235XLT handheld radio-superior to the RS PRO-90.
> For an excellent web site of product comparisons-www.strongsignals.net.
>
> >Subject: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?
> >From: "Nick Carrigan" <nickc@lightmail.com>
> >Date: 5/2/99 9:19 PM Pacific Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <7gj87o$l48$1@goliath.lightrealm.com>
> >
> >
> >What's the best (overall) trunk-tracking mobile?
>
> See my web site: http://expage.com/page/Radio911
> Laine S. Herman - Radio911@aol.com

310. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Choco Boco <tumbs@meow.com>
Message-ID: <7gnal7$30d$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119866

LEADENWAH wrote in message <19990503103943.17977.00001595@ng-fb1.aol.com>...
>Yes, the BC 895 is probably a better all around Trunk Tracking scanner than
the
>2066 and it CAN be a mobile (direct connection to vehicle's 12VDC just
like
>2066), but it is a bit large.
>
>At about the same size as the 9000XLT and that series of cabinets, it might
>present some space problems for smaller vehicles.

I have found the 895 to be the perfect mobile scanner. I have an 895 mounted in my car and use my 235 as a true portable for when I am out of the car. With some creative placement, the 895 can be mounted in any car. I have seen them mounted in cars as small as a Geo Metro 2 door and as large as a Chevy Suburban. I have a Chrysler Concorde and it is attached the side of a gear shift housing near the floor. Loss of leg room and space is minimal.

Just my two cents.

Choco

311. Re: S0NY SCANNERS WHO SELLS "UM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mtiernan <mtiernan@bbn.com>
Message-ID: <372F3919.19431B08@bbn.com>
Source record: 119867
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've got the SC1PC and I don't like it at ALL!

They've REALLY screwed up this product.

I'd suggest finding a different one. This ain't worth it.

312. Re: Subject: BCT245XLT <------ Is this scanner Base or Mobile? and anyone have link for Specs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <925842180.460.48@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119868

Must depend on who responds to the mail. Hope your info is right.

AL wrote in message ...
>In article <925788976.615.88@news.remarQ.com>, "Netranger"
><netranger@netcarrier.com> wrote:
>
>> K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net> wrote in message
>> news:7gl8tajlv1@remarQ.com...
>> > Today I recieved an answer from Uniden as to the release of the 245.
>> > The reply was brief, but to the point.
>> >
>> > "Dear Sir, That unit is not in stores at this time. It is schedule for
>> > release in Late June to early July.
>> >
>> > Thank you
>> > Uniden Customer Assistance"
>> >
>Uniden advised me that it will be available in MAy.
>
>--
>Pleae REPLY via E-MAIL

313. Re: home brew battery pack!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gndun$4bc$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119869

E Meyers wrote in message <01be964c$98fc3920$eeff00cc@default>...
> I use an old burglar alarm battery. It last a long time. Great
>during power outages, too.
>
>E Meyers

Even better is to go out and get a nice new one with a floating point charger. The charger will keep the battery peaked until the power goes out (as happens quite often during the late summer months here in Phoenix) and then power the scanner for about 12 hours thereafter. Most outages last less than 2 hours, so you don't miss a beat. My battery runs 2 scanners, an 895 and a 2026 and will last 8-10 hours on a single charge.

My setup cost all of $30.00 to buy and set up in seconds. Well worth it.

-Phil

314. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karina Wright <karina@cafekarina.org>
Message-ID: <bbHX2.229$3y3.401456@news.abs.net>
Source record: 119870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Heil wrote in message <372D2289.7359@cats-net.com>...
>Sir wrote:
>> A legal speed limit is only a meaningless number pulled out of a hat by
>> some polititian. When they can't get enough people to speed over that

>
>This is an easy reply: You don't have any idea what you're talking
>about. Posted speeds are not simply "pulled out of a hat".

Well, actually, no, they are not "pulled out of a hat", however they were (and are) to some extent politically motivated. The speed limit was originally dropped to 55 back in the 70s in response to the oil/gas crisis of the time. Eventually more oil was miraculously discovered, but neither the speed limit nor the gas prices ever recovered (and wasn't I thrilled to have this all happen just when I got my driver's license).

The problem is is that roads were engineered for optimization to specific speeds and it was/is almost natural to drive at those speeds (if you have a road engineered to be comfortable at 45, you tend to drive around that limit, if it was engineered to 70, you tend to drive closer to that. Wow, miracle of miracles...revenue!!! Now, I'm not saying it was solely the ticket money that prompted the reamining at 55, they tried to claim it was safer (despite not having any valid third-party studies to back it up). Eventually, the politicians have given way to the people on this one, so the limits are starting to come back up.

315. Re: Alinco DJX10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: dshatzer1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990504143612.10947.00002286@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 119871

I had one for 2 months and wouldn't have another.

316. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gnedt$4hh$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119872

David Kaye wrote in message <372F2D11.16A2A803@worldnet.att.net>...
>How could the BC 235XLT be superior to the PRO-90 when they are the SAME radio with
>the exception of the spare battery and charger that come with the 235XLT? Just
>curious why you make that statement?

Because you can get all of the above for less money from a Uniden distributor than you can from RS. That makes it a superior value. Look at it this way: Which car would you buy, a base model with no-frills or options, or a fully loaded model for the same price? Wouldn't you then say the fully loaded model is superior to the base one?

The PRO 90 is a nice radio, but by the time you add the extra battery and charger, you've spent considerably more than you would have on the Uniden version.

I like Radio Shack for the radios that you CAN'T get anywhere else, like the PRO91 and the 2066. Uniden doesn't make their own versions of these.

-Phil

317. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: treynolds@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gnik6$q8b$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 119873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

I second that. A well thought out statement on the code-no code issue. I operate almost 100% CW on the HF bands and on sattelites but I am more that a little disappointed with all the name calling and foul language used by both sides of this issue.

On another note, PLEASE don't cross post this discussion to the rec.radio.amateur.space group.

73s
Tom

In article <7gn9ir$35r$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>,
"CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com> wrote:
> Athough I don't fully agree with Trent's stance in total,
> my hat is off to him for giving honest and well reasoned
> responses to the issues on hand. My feeling is Trent is
> a chap open to both sides of the issue, and I would be
> willing to hear his side of the story.
>
> Peter
>
> William Lee wrote in message <7gn8jq$h4n$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>...
> >Trent, It sounds like you are very happy as a SWL. Why do you want to
> become
> >a HAM if it is:
> >1. Impossable for you to learn code.
> >2. Too expensive to get a CW waver.
> >3. Too much greif and insults from other hams.
> >4. Full of other people who are Fuddy Duddy's.
> >
> >Man.... why dont you stay a SWL and forget Ham radio?
> >Or do you think it would all change if someone would just GIVE you a
> >license.
> >
> >William Lee N5WRX
> >Trent Phillips wrote in message <7gn45hlao1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>...
> >>
> >>
> >> As I see it, it comes down to this...
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> While it is true that there are a few (and I mean a FEW) who would
> >>like Ham Radio dumbed down into just another version of CB. But this is,

> by
> >>far, nothing less than a tiny minority of the people on the no-code side
> of
> >>the debate. Likewise, now every pro-coder is an old Fuddy Duddy who is
> >>stuck in the 50's.
> >>
> >> The real problem, that only a few are addressing (like the editors
> >>of PopComm), is how this plays to the people who are looking to get into
> >>Ham Radio.
> >>
> >> First of all, anyone who has a problem with the code, or would
> >>rather skip it, is simply pelted with insults. I, for one, was called
> >>"lazy" because I was for skipping code altogether. I guess having dyslexia
> >>makes me lazy. In order to get a waiver from the code, I would have to
> >>spend big money doing to doctors for the paperwork.
> >>
> >> Or, I could go no-code. While I am not interested in VHF, I am also
> >>uninterested in hearing about how I would not be a "RealHam (TM)" because
> I
> >>am a no-code tech.
> >>
> >> Gee, with all of this going on, you wonder why more kids are not
> >>getting into Ham Radio ? Unless you fit into some kind of cookie-cutter
> >>definition of "RealHam (TM)", you get dumped on coming or going.
> >>
> >> SWLing looks a lot better as an alternative all the time. It's
> >>rewards, while at times a bit more modest, tends to come at a much more
> >>reasonable price.
> >>
> >> There was a time when SWL's where the best group for Hams to recruit
> >>out of, but that time has come and gone. Ham radio now needs to ask why so
> >>many SWL's are voting with their feet.
> >>
> >> The civil war in Ham Radio is only acting to turn many people away.
> >>I for one do not look for strife, insults, abuse, judgment and childish
> >>behavior in a hobby, I look for fun. Myself and others are willing to put
> >>forth the effort. But there is a distinct difference between meeting
> >>requirements and running a gauntlet of frat-house like initiations.
> >>
> >> Yeah, I could pass every technical part of the tests, I could
> >>easily learn the proper operating rules. But is it worth it to enter a
> >>hobby where anyone who dares disagree with "RealHams (TM)" is lazy, a
> >>crybaby, a spoiled cyberkid, etc. ?
> >>
> >> Ham Radio has only one place to look for the source of it's
> >>problems. It's called a mirror. People are voting with their feet, my
> >>friends. I am the last person in the world that wants to see Ham Radio
> die,
> >>but the choice is not mine-
> >>

> >> It's all up to the hobby.
> >>
> >> When AM came along, many where opposed.
> >>
> >> When SuperHet. receivers came along, many where opposed.
> >>
> >> When SSB came along, many fought it.
> >>
> >> Change is always coming, why fight it ?
> >>
> >> The issue is bigger than CW. The real issue is what kind of hobby
> >>Ham Radio has become, or rather, what it has degenerated into. While
> SWLing
> >>has it's share of silly moments, it has not degenerated into the sort of
> >>malicious, snotty behavior that now appears (from the outside at least) to
> >>be slowly permeating Ham radio.
> >>
> >> Perhaps it's because SWL's listen ?
> >>
> >>
> >>--
> >>"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
> >> itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
> >> and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
> >>----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------
> >
>

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

318. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: charles1@netcom.com
Message-ID: <charles1FB82tq.83w@netcom.com>
Source record: 119874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7gn8jq$h4n$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>,
William Lee <willee@interconnect.net> wrote:
>Trent, It sounds like you are very happy as a SWL. Why do you want to become
>a HAM if it is:
>1. Impossable for you to learn code.
>2. Too expensive to get a CW waver.
>3. Too much greif and insults from other hams.
>4. Full of other people who are Fuddy Duddy's.
>
>Man.... why dont you stay a SWL and forget Ham radio?
>Or do you think it would all change if someone would just GIVE you a license.
>William Lee N5WRX

I wasted my time learning CW and getting a general lisense. HF is
overwhelming used by fuddy-duddies who use it to talked about health
problems. Or re-re-re-hash tales of WWII. What a bore.

The fellow is smart to stay away till the CW requirement is dropped.
Yes, things will change when HF priviledges are GIVEN away, thank god.

KB0WOB

319. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <ZMHX2.914$x61.145751@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 119875

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> Because you can get all of the above for less money from a Uniden
> distributor than you can from RS. That makes it a superior value. Look at
it
> this way: Which car would you buy, a base model with no-frills or options,
> or a fully loaded model for the same price? Wouldn't you then say the
fully
> loaded model is superior to the base one?

Except that the Pro-90 goes on sale often and is a better value when you can order a spare battery for $19.99. And no, I wouldn't buy a fully loaded car for the same price if I had to send it hundreds or thousands of miles away to get it fixed when the regular model can be fixed at almost any dealership anywhere I go.

> The PRO 90 is a nice radio, but by the time you add the extra battery and
> charger, you've spent considerably more than you would have on the Uniden
> version.

Regular price of a Pro-90 is $299.99, but has been on sale numerous times for $199.99. A spare battery can be ordered for it for $19.99.

> I like Radio Shack for the radios that you CAN'T get anywhere else, like
the
> PRO91 and the 2066. Uniden doesn't make their own versions of these.

I personally prefer the Pro-91 for lots of reasons I don't feel like typing about right now.

> -Phil

John

320. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Kaye <dkaye68@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <372F4C12.36E2A55E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119876

I apologize if you took my reply the wrong way. The way you stated your opinion of the PRO-90 led me to believe it was the radio's PERFORMANCE that was inferior. Thanks for setting the record straight. BTW, I was able to get a CRX-120 charger and battery for my PRO-90(which brings it up tp BC235XLT specs) for a total of $31 shipped direct from Uniden! It is a good unit. I am happy with it so far.

Dave Kaye

Phil Smalley wrote:

> David Kaye wrote in message <372F2D11.16A2A803@worldnet.att.net>...
> >How could the BC 235XLT be superior to the PRO-90 when they are the SAME
> radio with
> >the exception of the spare battery and charger that come with the 235XLT?
> Just
> >curious why you make that statement?
>
> Because you can get all of the above for less money from a Uniden
> distributor than you can from RS. That makes it a superior value. Look at it
> this way: Which car would you buy, a base model with no-frills or options,
> or a fully loaded model for the same price? Wouldn't you then say the fully
> loaded model is superior to the base one?
>
> The PRO 90 is a nice radio, but by the time you add the extra battery and
> charger, you've spent considerably more than you would have on the Uniden
> version.
>
> I like Radio Shack for the radios that you CAN'T get anywhere else, like the
> PRO91 and the 2066. Uniden doesn't make their own versions of these.
>
> -Phil

321. Re: RELM WHS150... Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <#NTqTXml#GA.309@nih2naae.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 119877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jon Olson wrote:
> ... need the CTCSS codes.

KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>"@qsl.net> wrote:
...
> 17 - 123 { omited 118.8; subsequent nbrs are off by one
...
> 34 - 218.1 { should have been 225.7
...
> 38 - 250.3 { duplicate
> 39 - 69.4 { invalid

Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com> provided the correct Amateur Radio list (minus #38 = 250.3), however it should be noted that there are four 'standards' for naming CTCSS tones:

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on ftp://oak.oakland.edu
as /pub/hamradio/docs/misc/scanner.faf

CTCSS (PL) Frequencies

Freq (Hz)	Designator EIA	Ham	Freq (Hz)	Designator EIA	Ham	Freq (Hz)	Designator EIA	Ham
67.0	L1	01	110.9	2Z	15	179.9	6B	29
71.9	L2	02	114.8	2A	16	186.2	7Z	30
74.4	WA	03	118.8	2B	17	192.8	7A	31
77.0	L3	04	123.0	3Z	18	203.5	M1	32
79.7	SP	05	127.3	3A	19	206.5	8Z	
82.5	L4	06	131.8	3B	20	210.7	M2	33
85.4	YA	07	136.5	4Z	21	218.1	M3	34
88.5	L4A	08	141.3	4A	22	225.7	M4	35
91.5	ZZ	09	146.2	4B	23	229.1	9Z	
94.8	L5	10	151.4	5Z	24	233.6	M5	36
97.4	ZB	11	156.7	5A	25	241.8	M6	37
100.0	1Z	12	162.2	5B	26	250.3	M7	38
103.5	1A	13	167.9	6Z	27	254.1	OZ	
107.2	1B	14	173.8	6A	28			

Motorola differs from EIA with: XZ (L1), XA (L2), XB (L3), YZ (L4), YB (L4A), ZA (L5). ICOMM does not use 97.4 and uses one less than the amateur numbers above that (i.e. ICOM #25 = 162.2).

322. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@star.net
Message-ID: <372F7D3A.7DB2@star.net>
Source record: 119878

David,

As you know there are millions of us who listen to our scanners daily.
Why would we "give" the media any information without first signing a
contract? The media surly hasen't been at all nice or coperative to the
scanners enthusiasts of America.

You will have to make your request much more attractive. I for one will
not place a call to any number that requires me paying the bill to give
you information.

David, read the replies to your post in rec.radio.scanner........

David Jacobson wrote:
>
> Do you listen to scanners a lot? Regularly? A journalist for a national
> magazine wants to interview you about the fascination of combing the air waves and
listening in. Can be anonymous if you want. Call David
> 415-386-2125 or contact him at newsfeatures@yahoo.com
> Thank you.

323. Military, etc. NC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <372F7F8C.4D89@sgi.net>
Source record: 119879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have searched every where I could think of. I am unable to locate any web sites for frequencies for the NC Coastal Communities or Military bases.

Any ideas?

Brunswick County and south to the state border.....

Military bases - Military Aircraft ie: Camp Lejeune Marine base.....

324. Re: AMTRAK Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Mahar <rmahar01@snet.net>
Message-ID: <372F8229.6951@snet.net>
Source record: 119880
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

Amtrak, at least here in New England, uses many frequencies between
160 and 162 mhz. Search this range, and you will get the ones in use.

325. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepsi <pepsi@cola.org>
Message-ID: <0FCD49CF08BD3478.5DC7B30FDF659361.DFFF2EA985EFE311@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

: What is a complete turnoff, in my opinion, is the all-to-prevelant attitude that CW is dead and obsolete,
: and that some folks feel they "deserve" an amateur license with no effort on their part.

There is no honor and glory of being a HAM anymore, it is just now a hobby for nostalgia sake.
Therefore we all deserve to be HAMS as there is nothing to gain from using HAM anymore and there
is nothing special about HAM's anymore except inheriting a bunch of narrow minded old farts for new friends/enemies.

326. FS PRO-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: carljan <carljan@voyager.net>
Message-ID: <7gnl41$b34@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 119882

great scanner no longer avalable new.Check it out :
http://egi-ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=99734568

327. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <372F5D2E.61D3@cats-net.com>
Source record: 119883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

charles copeland wrote:
>
> In article <7gn8jq$h4n$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>,
> William Lee <willee@interconnect.net> wrote:
> >Trent, It sounds like you are very happy as a SWL. Why do you want to become
> >a HAM if it is:
> >1. Impossable for you to learn code.
> >2. Too expensive to get a CW waver.
> >3. Too much greif and insults from other hams.
> >4. Full of other people who are Fuddy Duddy's.
> >
> >Man.... why dont you stay a SWL and forget Ham radio?
> >Or do you think it would all change if someone would just GIVE you a license.
> >William Lee N5WRX
>
> I wasted my time learning CW and getting a general lisense. HF is
> overwhelming used by fuddy-duddies who use it to talked about health
> problems. Or re-re-re-hash tales of WWII. What a bore.

We could certainly use more sharp fellows like you, Charles. What do
you like to discuss--computer games? music videos? navel piercings?
How do you propose we limit the content of conversations? You must have
several proposals for accomplishing that.

> The fellow is smart to stay away till the CW requirement is dropped.
> Yes, things will change when HF priviledges are GIVEN away, thank god.

By the way, you'll have to suffer a few decades of my crowd re-hashing
Vietnam War tales and we've only begun our future of health problems.
Hope you can hang on for the fun to begin.

Dave Heil 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

328. Scanner For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Esposito <rjespo@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <6cJX2.14$s%5.1027@news2.voicenet.com>
Source record: 119884

```
BC9000XLT base scanner in excellent condition, 9 months old
- 500 ch w/alphanumeric display
- CTCSS tone board installed
- covers 29 to 932 mhz (excluding 800 cellular)
- in original carton with manual

$300.00

Bob
```

329. Motorola PageBoy Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve P <speterson@ntsource.com>
Message-ID: <_fJX2.1348$Fq2.5983@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 119885

I am looking for a schematic for a Motorola PageBoy. Ideas? Please!

330. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mcinnis@babe01.austin.ibm.com
Message-ID: <7gno57$1b4i$1@ausnews.austin.ibm.com>
Source record: 119886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7gn8uh$t8q$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com> writes:
|> ...
|> Personally, I have never had a problem with the no-code
|> license, or the elimination of the CW requirements from the
|> ham exams. What is a complete turnoff, in my opinion,
|> is the all-to-prevelant attitude that CW is dead and obsolete,
|> and that some folks feel they "deserve" an amateur license
|> with no effort on their part.

Personally, I have never had a problem with people wanting to
use code because they like it or because of certain advantages in
some situations. What is a complete turnoff, in my opinion,
is the all too prevelant attitude that CW operators are better than
non-CW operators, even when working voice,
and that some folks feel they "deserve" extra priviliges because
they have a skill that is largely irrelevant to ability to use
HF frequencies and serve the public interest well.

|>
|> Regards
|>
|> Peter, K1ZJH
|> "The Radio Connection" Popular Communications Magazine
|>
|> Trent Phillips wrote in message <7gn45hlao1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>...
|> >
|> >
|> > As I see it, it comes down to this...
|> >
|> >
|> >
|> > While it is true that there are a few (and I mean a FEW) who would
|> >like Ham Radio dumbed down into just another version of CB. But this is, by
|> >far, nothing less than a tiny minority of the people on the no-code side of
|> >the debate. Likewise, now every pro-coder is an old Fuddy Duddy who is
|> >stuck in the 50's.
|> >
|> > The real problem, that only a few are addressing (like the editors
|> >of PopComm), is how this plays to the people who are looking to get into
|> >Ham Radio.
|> >
|> > First of all, anyone who has a problem with the code, or would
|> >rather skip it, is simply pelted with insults. I, for one, was called
|> >"lazy" because I was for skipping code altogetherLIVES !-------------

| >
| >

331. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gnpn1$8ab$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119887

>David Jacobson wrote:
>>
>> Do you listen to scanners a lot? Regularly? A journalist for a national
>> magazine wants to interview you about the fascination of combing the air
waves and listening in. Can be anonymous if you want. Call David
>> 415-386-2125 or contact him at newsfeatures@yahoo.com
>> Thank you.

David,

Why not set up a mailing list at onelist for this? Then those who wish to
submit their stories and share with others can do so for free in a
semi-private forum. I'd be glad to participate...

-Phil

332. discriminatorm for Icom IC-R2 has it been found?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: asnow <snowball@operamail.com>
Message-ID: <372F6FC6.EAD000D1@operamail.com>
Source record: 119888

Has anyone found information on the location for a discriminator tap on the Icom IC-R2?
I would appreciate any info, I'd like to hook mine up to some decoding software for a change.

Thanks

333. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edmund Prevost <Protech@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <372F71A1.5B79@concentric.net>
Source record: 119889

The best is the Pro 90.
--
Edmund A. Prevost, LPI
Protech Investigations, LLC
New Orleans, Louisiana
1-800-752-6756
FAX 504-454-3133
Protech@Concentric.Net

334. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edmund Prevost <Protech@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <372F71CE.152A@concentric.net>
Source record: 119890

FS Pro 90, mint condition in box. $150.00.

--
Edmund A. Prevost, LPI
Protech Investigations, LLC
New Orleans, Louisiana
1-800-752-6756
FAX 504-454-3133
Protech@Concentric.Net

335. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: charles1@netcom.com
Message-ID: <charles1FB8B7r.73o@netcom.com>
Source record: 119891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <372F5D2E.61D3@cats-net.com>, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com> wrote:
>charles copeland wrote:
>>
>> In article <7gn8jq$h4n$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>,
>> William Lee <willee@interconnect.net> wrote:
>>>Trent, It sounds like you are very happy as a SWL. Why do you want to become
>>>a HAM if it is:
>>>1. Impossable for you to learn code.
>>>2. Too expensive to get a CW waver.
>>>3. Too much greif and insults from other hams.
>>>4. Full of other people who are Fuddy Duddy's.
>>>
>>>Man.... why dont you stay a SWL and forget Ham radio?
>>>Or do you think it would all change if someone would just GIVE you a license.
>> >William Lee N5WRX
>>
>> I wasted my time learning CW and getting a general lisense. HF is
>> overwhelming used by fuddy-duddies who use it to talked about health
>> problems. Or re-re-re-hash tales of WWII. What a bore.
>
>We could certainly use more sharp fellows like you, Charles. What do
>you like to discuss--computer games? music videos? navel piercings?
>How do you propose we limit the content of conversations? You must have
>several proposals for accomplishing that.

Trouble the old hams ran out of things to talk about 20 years ago.
New blood is needed badly.

>> The fellow is smart to stay away till the CW requirement is dropped.
>> Yes, things will change when HF priviledges are GIVEN away, thank god.
>
>By the way, you'll have to suffer a few decades of my crowd re-hashing
>Vietnam War tales and we've only begun our future of health problems.
>Hope you can hang on for the fun to begin.
>Dave Heil 5H3US, K8MN

Once the CW requirement is dropped, you old hams will have to
fight for bandwidth to discuss bowel problems. Won't be long ...

336. FYI: Emergency Frequencies due to Recent Storms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gnrtq$dp7$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119892

The FCC has asked that all amateur operators stay clear of
3900 khz and 7285 khz +/- 3khz until 7-May-1999 21:00 CST.

I have heard plenty of the traffic on 7285 LSB. Nothing yet on
3900.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

337. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gnsb2$93e$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119893

Edmund Prevost wrote in message <372F71CE.152A@concentric.net>...
>FS Pro 90, mint condition in box. $150.00.

First it's the best, now you want to get rid of it???

Which is it then?

I think for mobile IN CAR use, you can't go wrong with the 2066, or if you're like me and want the best trunking base/mobile scanner you can get today, then go with the BC895.

-Phil

338. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gnsn6gtt1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Trent made quite a few good points.

I hear quite a few ham operators talking about the hobby dying. I was recently at an ARRL meeting where this was dicussed then in the next breath it was commented on what *type* of people would be allowed in if the code requirement was lowered. This is the kind of attitude that is driving people away.

I have no doubt that I could pass all the technical test and I am now working on my code. The thing is I have no real desire to do code but I am doing so simply to upgrade my license for the other priviledges.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

CommQuart wrote in message <7gn9ir$35r$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>...
>Athough I don't fully agree with Trent's stance in total,
>my hat is off to him for giving honest and well reasoned
>responses to the issues on hand. My feeling is Trent is
>a chap open to both sides of the issue, and I would be
>willing to hear his side of the story.
>
>Peter
>
>William Lee wrote in message <7gn8jq$h4n$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>...
>>Trent, It sounds like you are very happy as a SWL. Why do you want to
>become
>>a HAM if it is:
>>1. Impossable for you to learn code.
>>2. Too expensive to get a CW waver.
>>3. Too much greif and insults from other hams.
>>4. Full of other people who are Fuddy Duddy's.
>>
>>Man.... why dont you stay a SWL and forget Ham radio?
>>Or do you think it would all change if someone would just GIVE you a
>>license.
>>
>>William Lee N5WRX
>>Trent Phillips wrote in message <7gn45hlao1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>...

>>>
>>>
>>> As I see it, it comes down to this...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> While it is true that there are a few (and I mean a FEW) who would
>>>like Ham Radio dumbed down into just another version of CB. But this is,
>by
>>>far, nothing less than a tiny minority of the people on the no-code side
>of
>>>the debate. Likewise, now every pro-coder is an old Fuddy Duddy who is
>>>stuck in the 50's.
>>>
>>> The real problem, that only a few are addressing (like the editors
>>>of PopComm), is how this plays to the people who are looking to get into
>>>Ham Radio.
>>>
>>> First of all, anyone who has a problem with the code, or would
>>>rather skip it, is simply pelted with insults. I, for one, was called
>>>"lazy" because I was for skipping code altogether. I guess having
dyslexia
>>>makes me lazy. In order to get a waiver from the code, I would have to
>>>spend big money doing to doctors for the paperwork.
>>>
>>> Or, I could go no-code. While I am not interested in VHF, I am also
>>>uninterested in hearing about how I would not be a "RealHam (TM)" because
>I
>>>am a no-code tech.
>>>
>>> Gee, with all of this going on, you wonder why more kids are not
>>>getting into Ham Radio ? Unless you fit into some kind of cookie-cutter
>>>definition of "RealHam (TM)", you get dumped on coming or going.
>>>
>>> SWLing looks a lot better as an alternative all the time. It's
>>>rewards, while at times a bit more modest, tends to come at a much more
>>>reasonable price.
>>>
>>> There was a time when SWL's where the best group for Hams to recruit
>>>out of, but that time has come and gone. Ham radio now needs to ask why
so
>>>many SWL's are voting with their feet.
>>>
>>> The civil war in Ham Radio is only acting to turn many people away.
>>>I for one do not look for strife, insults, abuse, judgment and childish
>>>behavior in a hobby, I look for fun. Myself and others are willing to put
>>>forth the effort. But there is a distinct difference between meeting
>>>requirements and running a gauntlet of frat-house like initiations.
>>>
>>> Yeah, I could pass every technical part of the tests, I could

>>>easily learn the proper operating rules. But is it worth it to enter a
>>>hobby where anyone who dares disagree with "RealHams (TM)" is lazy, a
>>>crybaby, a spoiled cyberkid, etc. ?
>>>
>>> Ham Radio has only one place to look for the source of it's
>>>problems. It's called a mirror. People are voting with their feet, my
>>>friends. I am the last person in the world that wants to see Ham Radio
>die,
>>>but the choice is not mine-
>>>
>>> It's all up to the hobby.
>>>
>>> When AM came along, many where opposed.
>>>
>>> When SuperHet. receivers came along, many where opposed.
>>>
>>> When SSB came along, many fought it.
>>>
>>> Change is always coming, why fight it ?
>>>
>>> The issue is bigger than CW. The real issue is what kind of hobby
>>>Ham Radio has become, or rather, what it has degenerated into. While
>SWLing
>>>has it's share of silly moments, it has not degenerated into the sort of
>>>malicious, snotty behavior that now appears (from the outside at least)
to
>>>be slowly permeating Ham radio.
>>>
>>> Perhaps it's because SWL's listen ?
>>>
>>>
>>>--
>>>"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
>>> itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
>>> and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
>>>----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------
>>
>>
>
>

339. Chirping Noise?? Uniden BC230XLT handheld...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gnsrm$99h$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119895

The subject says it all. I've got a BC230xlt that's about 3 years old. It's been thru alot, I'd say the case is a 7 out of 10, misc. scratches, numbers worn off the front, etc. However, up until recently, this little guy ran perfectly. Now, I'm beginning to notice a chirping sound when the volume is up more than halfway and receiving a strong signal.(I generally keep it cranked up all the way when mobile so I can hear the darned thing!). If the volume is down, it doesn't do it, but I'm concerned that it will get worse.

Has anyone else experienced these symptoms on their Uniden scanners? Were you able to fix it, or should I plan on retiring this one and getting a new unit? With the 245 coming out this year (along with another new unit that I heard about recently, but can't talk about yet) I was planning on upgrading to a better unit anyway, but I had hoped my wife could use this one.

Any info is appreciated. Thanks,

-Phil

340. Need Icom R-10 Discriminator tap point

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Barker <rbarker@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <OWKX2.10$tP2.3097@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 119896

A friend needs to know where the discriminator tap is on the Icom R-10

341. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: rkunert@facstaff.wisc.edu
Message-ID: <rkunert-0405991805360001@t-58-209-191.dialup.wisc.edu>
Source record: 119897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7gn45hlao1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>,
jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu (Trent Phillips) wrote:

> As I see it, it comes down to this...

I've hesitated to get into this, but...

I got my novice when I was eleven, extra at 14 (25 years ago, which I guess makes me one of the "old farts" :). The code wasn't a big deal for me, but that's me - people have different skills, not necessarily better, just different.

I don't have any problem with eliminating the code requirement from a "we had to go through it so you do too" elitist attitude. For me it's more basic; one of the reasons ham radio exists is for emergency preparedness. I believe in an emergency situation I could hack together some sort of CW transmitter out of practically any electronic components that were on hand; tubes, transistors, TTL logic gates, whatever; it doesn't take much just to produce a carrier. I probably couldn't hack together an FM or SSB transmitter, even AM might be a stretch depending on what parts were available. I'd *like* to think that there'd be someone out there who would be able to decipher my transmission.

(In fact, that sounds like kind of a fun contest - give each contestant a random assortment of parts or some random piece of consumer gear, say a boombox, and see who can get a readable signal out of it.)

I'm not a Y2K survivalist, I don't get uptight over nuclear proliferation any more than anyone else does, I'm not expecting the world to end any time soon. Just seems to me that we're better off for having this skill in the community.

K9DK

--
Richard Kunert (rkunert@facstaff.wisc.edu)

342. Re: Motorola PageBoy Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <372F7466.99C8A6CC@ibm.net>
Source record: 119898

Which version are you looking for? There seem to be seveal ones and variations of each.

343. Re: Emergency Frequencies due to Recent Storms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: O9's <onines@jps.net>
Message-ID: <372fedba@news1.jps.net>
Source record: 119899

David, Could you tell us how the FCC got this message out? Was there a stated reason? Thanks, AC6FR
David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:7gnrtq$dp7$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net...
> The FCC has asked that all amateur operators stay clear of
> 3900 khz and 7285 khz +/- 3khz until 7-May-1999 21:00 CST.
>
> I have heard plenty of the traffic on 7285 LSB. Nothing yet on
> 3900.
>
> David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
> Baton Rouge, LA
>
> Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
> -
> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies
>
>
>

344. Re: digital television

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Saunders <PSaunders@unsite.com>
Message-ID: <372F6B81.3E0220CF@unsite.com>
Source record: 119900
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.video.cable-tv,alt.cable-tv,alt.cable-tv.re-regulate,sci.engr.television.broadcast

Paul Cuni wrote:
>
> What frequency does it transmit on anyway?

To learn about High Definition Televison and Digital Television

visit the online editions of two broadcasting & cable industrusty
magazines:

http://www.broadcastingcable.com/

http://www.multichannel.com/

Enter the phrases "High Definition Televison" and "Digital Television"
in the search boxes to read past articles about HDTV & DTV.

HDTV operates on UHF channels, for example:

A TV station will broadcast it's analog signal VHF channel 7
with it's HDTV signal broadcasting on UHF channel 53.

To "scan HDTV" you will need a rooftop VHF/UHF TV antenna mounted
on the roof of your house, and a HDTV compatible TV set.

Now, could somebody please explain how digital tv from the cable tv
company works.

345. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: m4bz@garlic.SPAMNO.com
Message-ID: <372f894c.35213731@news.garlic.com>
Source record: 119901
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

Must be some cross postings. Try alt.radio.family for more
information.

Basically it's a UHF "CB" for local communications. No license
required. Radios available at many locations, including Wal Mart, most
electronics stores, etc.

On Tue, 4 May 1999 14:14:19 +0100, Keith Bennett
<trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote:

>Errrmmmm....I see, so what's the Family Radio Service then?

346. NYC Scanner/Ham Net now on Real Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: volunteer14 <pandr@microdsi.net>
Message-ID: <7go2t6$b7u$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 119902

FYI-
For those who are interested in the NYC metro area on amateur radio and scanner info check out http://www.voiceoftheweb.com/LIMA_TABLE_01.htm

and click "Real Audio" in the table of contents. Lots more ham/scanner nets coming soon on real audio.

347. Re: Ebay Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: volunteer14 <pandr@microdsi.net>
Message-ID: <7go33i$q2n$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 119903

THEN USE YOUR HALF ASSED LOCAL PAPER CLASSIFIED SECTION.
Ebay is fantastic if you dont like it - don't use it

348. Re: Just ordered my monitenna from Scanners Unlimited.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11993f56c8c50547989774@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119904

The Grove Scantenna is a piece of %#@& where as the Monitenna is as you say basically bulletproof. The best method of installation of this antenna is on a non-metallic mast (such as Schedule 80 PVC) and place a guy wire at the very top and guy it securely from there. If done like this it isn't coming down unless you take it down.

349. Re: Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11993fd7614650ec989775@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119905

If you can afford it, try the Sony SW-100, as it is the size of a pack of playing cards, and the performance is basically similar to what you can expect from a $1000.00 desktop (particularly with headphones as it has a tiny speaker). It will cost you $350.00 though. Otherwise cut yourself a 5ft wire for listening to shortwave on the R2.

350. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1199403464cb983c989776@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119906

Oops, hehe. I forgot they said portable. Oh well, I guess I'll stick to my laptop and get REAL performance.

351. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <7go5jufbf1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 119907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

charles copeland <charles1@netcom.com> wrote in message
news:charles1FB82tq.83w@netcom.com...
> I wasted my time learning CW and getting a general lisense. HF is
> overwhelming used by fuddy-duddies who use it to talked about health
> problems. Or re-re-re-hash tales of WWII. What a bore.
>
> The fellow is smart to stay away till the CW requirement is dropped.
> Yes, things will change when HF priviledges are GIVEN away, thank god.

You think that's something? You should see the re-re-hash of
code-no-code in the internet newsgroups.

Do what I do, Charles, keep turning the knob, and don't key the mike
until you have something to say!

Michael.

352. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepsi <pepsi@cola.org>
Message-ID: <AC2A1ED1ACAD6453.5FB0AEF98A3326C1.D164E8BCDFB433C2@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
: : We could certainly use more sharp fellows like you, Charles. What do
: you like to discuss--computer games? music videos? navel piercings?
: How do you propose we limit the content of conversations? You must have
: several proposals for accomplishing that.
```

We could the HF bands to laugh about how you old guys are all dying off from health problems, that's what we could contribute. :)

353. Bearcat 860 FS--$100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary B <GARBRE@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <372f6bb8@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 119909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Brand new in box Bearcat BC 860 XLT scanner.
100 Memory channels
800 mHz coverage
Turbo scan
Aircraft coverage
2 months old, used once, want some new toys...

Price from Uniden is $142 for a "refurbished" model
Buy mine for $100 + $5 to ship USPS 3-day priority

Gary B
KB3CGQ

354. Re: Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Vecchiola <jimkr2t@vramp.net>
Message-ID: <372FAF07.151914AB@vramp.net>
Source record: 119910

R-2 is sensitive enough to listen to major SW broadcasters, but NOT with
the stock antenna. You need to use a longer whip or telescoping whip
antenna. If you plan to listen to SW while on the road, why not
purchase a true portable SW rcvr? I speak from experience :)
KR2T

wcollins@mindspring.com wrote:
>
> Hi everyone,
>
> Is the Icom R2 good enough to listen to the major world band broadcast
> stations like BBC, Deutsche Welle, etc., especially with the stock antenna? I
> need an ultra portable receiver to listen to world band stations while on the
> road. Thanks in advance.
>
> Bill
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

355. Re: hand-held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11995a3e6a1f59d2989779@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119911

My favorite is the Icom IC-R2. Unless you want to spend over $400.00 I would have to say it has the top performance. I certainly wouldn't buy a Uniden or Radio Shack scanner at these price points.

356. Bearcat 860 FS--$100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary B <GARBRE@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <372f6c65@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 119912

Brand new in box Bearcat BC 860 XLT scanner.
100 Memory channels
800 mHz coverage
Turbo scan
Aircraft coverage
2 months old, used once, want some new toys...

Price from Uniden is $142 for a "refurbished" model
Buy mine for $100 + $5 to ship USPS 3-day priority

Gary B
KB3CGQ

357. Wanted: Grove ANT-8 telescopic whip antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gocdf$5p6$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119913

Looking for the older model with a white ring just above the BNC connector, not the newer one with spring coil. This whip extends to 46" fully. I think they originally sold for around $15 to $20. Performed great on the low band (30 to 50 Mhz). I had one years ago and sold it as a package with another handheld scanner.

358. Wanted: Imperial County, Calif. radio info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randall Buxton <rbuxton@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7gocf3$ioo@dfw-ixnews11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119914
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone have station/unit numbering info for Imperial County Fire, Sheriff, CHP, and whatever else is in that godforsaken county????

359. BEWARE OF ICOM PCR1000 PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Len <myra@theuminas.com>
Message-ID: <7goc9b$86r$1@antiochus.ultra.net>
Source record: 119915

I recently purchased an ICOM PCR1000 (IC PCR1000) and was shocked to find out that there was no documentation on the serial port command interface. After several emails from ICOM on the subject, it is apparent that ICOM is not going to make the details of the command set for this radio available except under license and then only in certain specific cases.

While there appears to be information on the net with regard to this interface, it's probably not complete and certainly not supported or well documented, preventing me from using this radio.

The bottom line is, if you plan to do anything with this radio that is creative, better to find something else to buy. I note that all of ICOM's competitors DO release the command codes, and I'm replacing the PCR1000 with a unit from AOR.

My advice is to avoid the hassle and avoid ICOM.

The software that comes with the ICOM PCR1000 is very basic and quite cumbersome. It could easily be replaced and the functionality of the radio enhanced with Delphi or Visual Basic, but.....

73,
Len

360. Re: Wanted: Grove ANT-8 telescopic whip antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.1199671da5abe1c498977a@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119916

The Radio Shack 20-006 has always been a better performer on the 30-50mhz range. For 10 bucks it would be a better bet anyway.

361. Re: Wanted: Imperial County, Calif. radio info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <372FBE2E.4170@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119917
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Randall Buxton wrote:
>
> Anyone have station/unit numbering info for Imperial County Fire, Sheriff,
> CHP, and whatever else is in that godforsaken county????

Check out the following URL:

http://www.SoCal911.com/

362. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <372FC075.4717@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119918
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <372EBD8D.B90B0806@netxn.com>, Michael Derryberry
> <mderryberry@netxn.com> writes
> >FRS is Family Radio Service
> >
> >Mike
> >
> >
> >Keith Bennett wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <372E792E.7841@ameritech.net>, BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbroo
> >> kltnkid@ameritech.net> writes
> >
> > ....snip....
> >
> >> Are us uninitiated going to be told what an FRS radio is?
> >>
> Errrmmmm....I see, so what's the Family Radio Service then?
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
WELL MR. TROJAN HORSE, FRS IS ALMOST LIKE CB RADIO EXCEPT WHERE CB RADIO
OPERATES IN THE SHORTWAVE RADIO RANGE OF AROUND 26.995 MEGACYLES TO
27.405 MEGACYCLES IN A.M AND SIDEBAND MODES, FRS OPERATES IN THE UHF
RANGE AROUND 467 MEGACYLES IN NARROW FM MODE.
--
MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
CELEBRATE IT!!!!

363. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <372FC09B.4F95@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119919
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <372EBD8D.B90B0806@netxn.com>, Michael Derryberry
> <mderryberry@netxn.com> writes
> >FRS is Family Radio Service
> >
> >Mike
> >
> >
> >Keith Bennett wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <372E792E.7841@ameritech.net>, BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbroo
> >> kltnkid@ameritech.net> writes
> >>
> > ....snip....
> >
> >> Are us uninitiated going to be told what an FRS radio is?
> >>
> Errrmmmm....I see, so what's the Family Radio Service then?
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
ALSO FRS DOES NOT HAVE THE RANGE OF CB RADIO BUT IS CLEARER DUE TO THE
FACT THAT IT IS IN NNFM
--
MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
CELEBRATE IT!!!!

364. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Waldron <bogart@nationwide.net>
Message-ID: <372FCB7B.5E3AE052@nationwide.net>
Source record: 119920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Boring.
YAWN.....zzzzzZZZZZ.....

Oops, I'm sorry...were you trying to say something?
YAWN....zzzzZZZZZzzzzz.....

JJ spake thusly, from his anal orifice:

> That's just it, most new hams have nothing useful to say.

365. Standard C156a 2m HT FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary B <GARBRE@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <372f78e1@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 119921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
2 meter HT---100 memories
Alphanumeric channel namind
4 "AA" alkaline battery pack
Rubber ducky, owners manual, belt clip
Cosmetic condition is 7 out of 10
Operational condition is perfect
Chugs out approx 1.5 watts with the AA pack but capable of
5 watts if you buy the ni-cad pack
Extended RX--strong backlight
For more info, go here: http://www.aade.com/hampedia/standard/standard.htm

Shipped for $95

Gary B
KB3CGQ
```

366. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@geocites.kb9rqz.com
Message-ID: <372f2d89.104758042@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 4 May 1999 12:57:02 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com>
wrote:

>Greetings Trent
>
>You get an A+ for reasoned, well-thought out replies in my
>book.
>
>Personally, I have never had a problem with the no-code
>license, or the elimination of the CW requirements from the
>ham exams. What is a complete turnoff, in my opinion,
>is the all-to-prevelant attitude that CW is dead and obsolete,
>and that some folks feel they "deserve" an amateur license
>with no effort on their part.

Nobody is advocating a license for no effort, but advocting a
license where the effort makes sense, but CW IS obsolete (thorugh it's
deathn is only being predicted by ProCoders) so is SSB, and FM. Indded
all ham are pretty much obsolete in hobby that once lead in technical
areas, that is not a good sign. and no ending the testing of CW will
not change that overnight if at all, but ending the stranglehold of
the most obsolete of our modes has to aid us in moving forward
>
>Regards
>
>Peter, K1ZJH
>"The Radio Connection" Popular Communications Magazine
>
>
Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

367. Re: Official Trunker Homepage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@ktb.net>
Message-ID: <372F747A.2DD5EB74@ktb.net>
Source record: 119923

Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> Is there an official trunker home page, or is the one on
> http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/digital.htm it?
>
> The reason that I ask is because the source code for trunker (I assume it
> should be trunker.c or something similar) is missing from the latest
> download version. I saw etrunk.c in the archive, but I don't think that's
> it.
>
> Thanks,
> -Phil

If you download the new version Mtrk37g.zip it is included in the zip.
It is in the trsource folder. Trunker, Dumper and Etrunk source files
are all in this folder.

368. Re: Wanted: MDTMON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@ktb.net>
Message-ID: <372FD6B6.1397FD69@ktb.net>
Source record: 119924

Piero Sassu wrote:
>
> This program requires a "hamcomm" interface. Can anyone give me
> information on what this interface is and how to acquire one?
>
> Thanks, Piero
> n3fvg@worldlynx.net

The "hamcomm" interface converts audio data from your scanner into
rs-232 data for your software. Most are built into the hood of a serial
cable. Other names for it are "data slicer" and "2-level decoder". One
site that sells them (there are many) is:
http://www.pervisell.com
They are also easy to build. There are many sites. Try a search. On
my page:
http://www.ktb.net/~gmitch/decoders.htm
If you click the Mobitex link there is an example.

369. Re: What is the BC-245XLT supposed to have??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: litemike@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7glf1tvss1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 119958

In article <3727303B.4F3C95BB@pacifier.com>,
puck <puck@pacifier.com> wrote:
> What is the BC-245XLT supposed to have that other
> models don't have?
>
Anyone know when the latest release date on the 245 is?

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

370. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990503200310.02506.00001880@ng27.aol.com>
Source record: 119959

Hi! I have the RS PRO-2066 and am very happy with it. It is small enough to mount in-dash (in place of a radio) or I mounted my radio on the center console between the two front seats.
It has great audio and easy enough to program-although not a good idea while driving! E-mail with specific questions and I'll get back to you. I also have the BC 235XLT handheld radio-superior to the RS PRO-90.
For an excellent web site of product comparisons-www.strongsignals.net.

>Subject: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?
>From: "Nick Carrigan" <nickc@lightmail.com>
>Date: 5/2/99 9:19 PM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <7gj87o$l48$1@goliath.lightrealm.com>
>
>
>What's the best (overall) trunk-tracking mobile?

See my web site: http://expage.com/page/Radio911
Laine S. Herman - Radio911@aol.com

371. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <7glekr$6q9$1@east42.supernews.com>
Source record: 119960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7gl58dbac1@autumn.news.rcn.net>, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com> wrote:
>
>1. How many new hams will join the ranks when the
>code is abolished?

Probably a lot.

>2. How many new hams are mandated to "SAVE"
>amateur radio from oblivion??

>3. What happened to all of the new hams licensed since
>the VHF no-code license was introduced?

They came in by the boat loads. Just look at which class has the most hams.
Look at the class that brings in the most new hams.

>4. What "AWARD" did the amateur service receive from
>congress or the FCC in recognition of the influx
>of new hams from the new no-code licenses?

Lots of hams donating time and resources to emergency communications.

>5. Does anyone really believe that CW is the real cause
>behind the decline of Amateur Radio?

I think it's the biggest cause *today*. Testing for CW isn't needed today
IMHO. I'd rather that people have to learn more theory instead.
Those who WANT to use CW on HF should have to take a CW test maybe.

73,
Tom
(who took his General tests last April 27th and passed the written but failed
the code, so it's back to code practice for a little while longer.)

Remove the "P" from the below address (spam blocker)

Tom Randall Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10
tprandall@idsi.net Member: AAVSO Solar Division

My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html

372. Would Like To Talk To Canadian Hobbyists

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: MiKE <Jerryharker@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01be95bf$05bfca60$11796420@default>
Source record: 119961

Hello,
If anyone is from Canada here and has shopped Radio Shack lately, please e-mail me at Jerryharker@prodigy.net I have a few questions and am hoping you might be able to help me. Thank you Mike

373. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7glias$b2v@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 119962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Each and every follow-up to this topic is being cross-posted on
rec.radio.swap. Please eliminate rrs from your fol-ups, for:

Please accept this reminder of the following item which
appears in the rec.radio.swap FAQ/charter:

"PLEASE DO NOT POST DISCUSSION ARTICLES TO THIS GROUP. If you really must
post, please do so to the appropriate discussion group. Use email whenever
possible, especially if you feel someone has committed a breach of
etiquette."

(The full FAQ is posted by myself on the 15th of each month.)

For a smoother-running newsgroup, please abide by the charter.

Jeff KH6O (rec.radio.amateur working-group)

374. New PL tones for NYPD 20 PCT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tullyziggy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990503220255.05264.00001921@ng33.aol.com>
Source record: 119963

Does anyone know what they are?

375. Power of the TINYTENNA!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: n0vfp@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990503221515.25083.00001568@ng31.aol.com>
Source record: 119964

I had a oppurtunity to show off the Power of the TinyTenna!

I was a another Ham's place this last weekend, we were out side playing with my Sangean ATS 909 and the TinyTenna. We thought we would see if the TinyTenna would "overload". We put the aligator clip on a 80ft guy wire holding up one of the guy's towers. What we saw then, we could not believe it! There WAS NO OVERLOAD to the Radio! Remember, this is connected to a system of Guy wires!

I have witnesses to this.

http://www.erols.com/imageinn/dwm/

LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4
Athens, Alabama
ATS 909, DX302, DX390 - Ramdom wire antenna
ICOM 706 for 2m and 6m FM and SSB - Mobile Station
WEB PAGES:
http://members.aol.com/N0VFP
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP

376. Re: Power of the TINYTENNA!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dana <c5966@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <01be95d6$f8088340$e30c8ad1@einstein>
Source record: 119965

Okay, and how much is the TinyTenna company paying you to say this?...
;^)

Dana

N0VFP <n0vfp@aol.com> wrote in article
<19990503221515.25083.00001568@ng31.aol.com>...
> I had a oppurtunity to show off the Power of the TinyTenna!
>
> I was a another Ham's place this last weekend, we were out side playing
with my
> Sangean ATS 909 and the TinyTenna. We thought we would see if the
TinyTenna
> would "overload". We put the aligator clip on a 80ft guy wire holding up
one
> of the guy's towers. What we saw then, we could not believe it! There
WAS NO
> OVERLOAD to the Radio! Remember, this is connected to a system of Guy
wires!
>
>
> I have witnesses to this.
>
> http://www.erols.com/imageinn/dwm/
>
>
>
>
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4
> Athens, Alabama
> ATS 909, DX302, DX390 - Ramdom wire antenna
> ICOM 706 for 2m and 6m FM and SSB - Mobile Station
> WEB PAGES:
> http://members.aol.com/N0VFP
> http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
>

377. Re: Icom IC-R2 Scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <vetX2.328$xy1.1024@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 119966
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,aus.radio.scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Frank:

First you toggle to the proper band, pressing the BAND button, then you coarse-tune the frequency, (numbers to the left of the decimal point), by holding down the FUNCTION button, and turning the VFO knob. Then you release the FUNCTION button, and fine-tune the frequency, (numbers to the right of the decimal point), by turning the VFO knob. It may sound awkward at first, but with a little practice, it's quite fast!

Bill Crocker

Frank4d <frle@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:frle-ya023180000305991911320001@news.earthlink.net...
> Could someone who owns an Icom IC-R2 scanner please tell me how you access
> specific frequencies directly on this unit? Do you have to sequentially
> dial-it up withing a specific band, or is there a more direct method.
> Either way, do you consider this an inconvienance?
>

378. Re: Power of the TINYTENNA!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990503232343.01564.00000405@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 119967

i wouldnt buy that junk ever. I can homebrew one just like it for cheaper..
good luck.... NICE COMMERCIAL

379. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkmn01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990503233941.24949.00003421@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 119968

It seems like everytime I start thinking about going and getting my no-code license I start hearing this damned bickering and hear the way the no-code guys get treated by the "CW annointed ones" on some of the local repeaters I kind of back off. I wonder how some of these guys would treat a person when you went to take the test? I just don't understand why some of these guys want to make people who are interested in the radio hobby so unwelcome just because they don't want to lean code. I would think that being a good operator and being active in the local group would be much more important than learning some code.

380. RELM WHS150... Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Olson <jaolson@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <372E76C8.3D50867@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119969
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

I can't find my programming guide for my HT and need the CTCSS codes.
Any help will be appreciated.

Jon.

381. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <372E792E.7841@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119970
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

JMURRAY407 wrote:
>
> I just returned from Disney world last week, and Every !!! channel was busy.
> Loads of people walking around with FRS radios. I even talked to a disney
> employee in the [park wearing one.
I did not knnow that they would let you use them there.
--
MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
CELEBRATE IT!!!!

382. Re: Looking for 155.550/155.490/155.445MHz Xtals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary_Jacek@trap.bc.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <jkvX2.6$rW3.62902@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 119971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio Shack Unlimited is no longer available in Canada.
I actually found an RS store with the RSU catalogs on
display. When I pointed them out to the salespeople,
they were hustled out of sight.

Any other ideas?

On 1999-05-02 reeder@NOSPAMuswest.net said:
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
>I'm always on the lookout for old scanners at estate and garage
>sales. When ever I do find them I get crystals at Radio Shack .
>Just make sure you tell them the brand and model of scanner,
>because it DOES make a difference. Also most Radio Shack stores in
>my area have a list of the local frequencies to help order the
>correct ones..
>Bob
>Asmodean wrote:
>> Try Radio Shack's Unlimited catolog. They run about $4.99. A
>>friend was restoring an old crystal radio, and found those three
>>xtals in it. In my area, 155.550 is Sheriff's Office, 155.445 is
>>County MRD, and I can't remember what 155.490 is, but it is in
>the public safety group. >
>> --
>> Asmo
>> KF4YTR
>> Charter Member of NO MA'AM
>> Gary_Jacek@trap.bc.sympatico.ca wrote in message ...
>> >I am looking for
>> >
>> >155.550/155.490/155.445 MHz P77 10.7 IF Regency Type
>> >
>> >Crystals.
>> >
>> >I have a few Xtals for trade at:
>> >
>> >http://www3.bc.sympatico.ca/Gary_Jacek/Tradxtal.html
>> >
>> >Please remove "trap." from my email address if you have
>> >
>> >one of these you'd like to part with.
>> >
>--
>To reply remove NOSPAM from address

383. Re: RELM WHS150... Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>"@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <372E85CA.7EDCDB05@qsl.net>
Source record: 119972
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

Jon Olson wrote:
>
> I can't find my programming guide for my HT and need the CTCSS codes.
> Any help will be appreciated.
>
> Jon.

Jon
The tones are

00 - no tone 20 - 136.5
01 - 67 21 - 141.3
02 - 71.9 22 - 146.2
03 - 74.4 23 - 151.4
04 - 77 24 - 156.7
05 - 79.7 25 - 162.2
06 - 82.5 26 - 167.9
07 - 85.4 27 - 173.8
08 - 88.5 28 - 179.9
09 - 91.5 29 - 186.2
10 - 94.8 30 - 192.8
11 - 97.4 31 - 203.5
12 - 100 32 - 210.7
13 - 103.5 33 - 218.1
14 - 107.2 34 - 218.1
15 - 110.9 35 - 233.6
16 - 114.8 36 - 241.8
17 - 123 37 - 250.3
18 - 127.3 38 - 250.3
19 - 131.8 39 - 69.4

If you need anything else just email me!
--
To reply remove the extra @

"73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
<'}}}>< <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}>< <'}}}><
E-Mail me at: kb9tmp<AT>@qsl.net
Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
Church URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/
---

384. Pro-2004 on Ebay 1 day left!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Music4sale@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4704-372E9DFF-74@newsd-123.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119973
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Less than a day left on my Realistic Pro-2004 300 channels. Go take a look!!
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=96898627

Thank you

385. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <wcw@asu.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <372EA947.2B5C1A98@asu.uswest.net>
Source record: 119974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

What the hell does this have to do with amateur radio? Digression is one thing, but this is way over the top, ranting politivcal spam.

Mike Dickman wrote:
>

>
> *** average temperature 6 below ***
> sunrise 10:48 am sunset 2:44 pm
> giving 3 hours 56 minutes of possible sunshine
>
>
> Mike (KD4LLA)
>
> For the people who like peace and quiet: a phoneless cord <grin>!
>
> The longer Bill Clinton stays in office, the more we pay.
>
> Our justice system pays because he has opened the door for millions of
> us to play games with the notion of telling "the whole truth" under
> oath. Our military personnel pay because they have to carry out their
> duties while grappling with questions regarding the motives of their
> commander in chief. Our national intellect pays because, with his
> myriad verbal contortions and willful deceptions, the president has
> become little more than a walking, talking insult to our intelligence.
> Finally, the taxpayers pay because, in his efforts to keep "looking
> presidential" and "doing the work of the people," he is creating more
> new programs and growing the government.
>
> Haven't we paid enough already?
>
> See my web page at http://www.alaska.net/~mdickman/Index.htm

--
Will White, KD7BFX

to reply, please click this link mailto:wcw@asu.uswest.net

386. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <pQ9zJkBnrqL3Ew5w@virgin.net>
Source record: 119975
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

In article <372E792E.7841@ameritech.net>, BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbroo
kltnkid@ameritech.net> writes
>JMURRAY407 wrote:
>>
>> I just returned from Disney world last week, and Every !!! channel was busy.
>> Loads of people walking around with FRS radios. I even talked to a disney
>> employee in the [park wearing one.
>I did not knnow that they would let you use them there.
Are us uninitiated going to be told what an FRS radio is?

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/

387. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372eb64c.74228378@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 3 May 1999 17:41:46 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com>
wrote:

>
>1. How many new hams will join the ranks when the
>code is abolished?

Few for that reason, but it will be easier to keep the new
ones we get, and we will start the process of closing the widening gap
betwen the VHF and HF ham world
>
>2. How many new hams are mandated to "SAVE"
>amateur radio from oblivion??

That is not the job of the new hams. As to how many well that
depends on how they are treated. If people would treat all new hams
better then fewer will needed.

>
>3. What happened to all of the new hams licensed since
>the VHF no-code license was introduced?

Some of them are here, many of them were dirven off by the
elitism and BS of the HF hams
>
>4. What "AWARD" did the amateur service receive from
>congress or the FCC in recognition of the influx
>of new hams from the new no-code licenses?

None of of course, but then we are not suppoed to expect
compensation for being hams and saving ham radio, that is part of the
price in our license (to be a bit free with the part 97 wording)

>
>5. Does anyone really believe that CW is the real cause
>behind the decline of Amateur Radio?

Sole cause No, a major one yes
>
>
>
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

388. FS-Unblocked Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990504050149.19120.00002112@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 119977

Very clean, no scratches, nicks or dents. Comes with original manual.
Will ship within the lower 48 States for $230!

389. Re: RELM WHS150... Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <372EBC50.993212C7@netxn.com>
Source record: 119978
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

Here is what I have ... hope this helps ...

Mike Derryberry

Code	CTCSS Tone	Code	CTCSS Tone	Code	CTCSS Tone
00	No Tone	13	103.5	26	162.2
01	67.0 hz	14	107.2	27	167.9
02	71.9	15	110.9	28	173.8
03	74.4	16	114.8	29	179.9
04	77.0	17	118.8	30	186.2
05	79.7	18	123.0	31	192.8
06	82.5	19	127.3	32	203.5
07	85.4	20	131.8	33	210.7
08	88.5	21	136.5	34	218.1
09	91.5	22	141.3	35	225.7
10	94.8	23	146.2	36	233.6
11	97.4	24	151.4	37	241.8
12	100.0	25	156.7		

Jon Olson wrote:
>
> I can't find my programming guide for my HT and need the CTCSS codes.
> Any help will be appreciated.
>
> Jon.

390. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <372EBD8D.B90B0806@netxn.com>
Source record: 119979
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

FRS is Family Radio Service

Mike

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <372E792E.7841@ameritech.net>, BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbroo
> kltnkid@ameritech.net> writes

....snip....

> Are us uninitiated going to be told what an FRS radio is?
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/

391. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372ec5fe.78247031@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 03 May 1999 20:08:03 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>Mark Morgan wrote:
>
>> The
>> Burden involved in learning code clearly increased in today world. The
>> need for doing as declined. these are simple facts (the debate comes
>> when you try and say how much)
>
>The debate is now whether you can verify this increased burden and the
>decline in necessity. You invented your simple "facts".

You dsipute that Code is less nessary today than when it was
the on;ly mode in extence? Gee I admit I thank that was pretty plain
do really disute it. You also deny that world operates at a different
and fastre pace leaving most people less therefore Morse Code is more
of a burden, Gee are you sure you get out at all?

>
>> No we are not equal, because you are being allowed to use your
>> modes on HF and I am not, thereforeyou have been rights beyond those I
>> have, therefore we don't have equal rights.
>
>> BTW while I do think that Morse Coded Cw is as anet thing bad
>> for the ARS. I don't seek to impose that position on those that
>> disagree. You as close to a first thought suggest baning other
>> peopples Modes. Another Common systom of the ProCoders wanting to
>> control others. You want me to spend my time as you like, but I don't
>> seek to impose my views on you. I merely ask, nay I demand the same
>> rights in Public airwaves that you have
>
>Forsooth, Mark! You already have the same rights. The opportunity to
>pass the same exams I passed exists for you. You get no guarantee of
>success. Your demand only makes you look silly.

To you of course it does. but then you refuse to admit you ursurp thmy
rights to that airspace IT is mine by right, and rescrition made on my
access must by be needed, Th at burdern is on you to prove that Morse
Code is needed, not on me to prove it is not. I may look silly to you,
but your claim that you have right to have your mode exaglted ablive
all others makes you look like well a thief, a bigot, and indeed to me
down right unamerican even antiamerican. It is all a mater of point of
view

>
>Dave 5H3US, K8MN
>
>--
>For 5H3US photos, go to
>http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

392. Icom PCR-100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <kd4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <372EC735.F79@webdeals.net>
Source record: 119981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Could someone point me in the direction of some good web pages covering the Icom PCR-100? I have already read the "Strong Signal" review, and would like to see some resources other than an opinion. looking for tips....hints.....mods......new software.....something besides the info on the box and in the manual.

Thanks!
Dan

393. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372ec9bd.79206738@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 3 May 1999 15:35:22 -0400, "Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote:

>
>Anyway, a handicap declaration should mean something. As it is, it's
>BS and in my mind was used strictly as a wedge to keep the no-code
>issue under control for a short while longer.

IF you are right on this point (and I suspect that you are) It
does point out the level of effort and the period of time that these
still deiedn by some parties issues have been festering in the ARS,
and the imporper means used in the past and the present to aviod realy
dealing with the issue
>
>Mike Yetsko
>N1DVJ
>
>
>
>
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

394. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <372eca79.79394447@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 03 May 1999 13:53:15 -0500, Lyle Koehler <lyle@mlecmn.net>
wrote:

>In a later paragraph: "For a character-by-character examination, a grade
>of 70% or more correct characters can be considered passing".
>
>Unfortunately, then comes the kicker, in Mark's case: "If the candidate
>doesn't have a readily identifiable handicap/disability or if the
>candidate doesn't provide a Doctor's Statement describing the
>handicap/disability he/she suffers from, you are not to offer the more
>flexible accommodations in administering the Morse code examination."

In my case it is worse than than you care to draw, since the
degree to which I am affect changes GREATLY as the long time reader
hear can attest, In my the problem is subject to ready identifation by
a Doctor, but the level of accomdation is not clear even to a doctor.

>
>In many situations, that puts the VE team between a rock and a hard
>place, because the VEs are generally not qualified to assess "hidden"
>disabilities like dyslexia, stroke after-effects, etc. In the case of
>the Handi-Ham camps, the staff and instructors (including at least one
>MD) who have been working with the students all week can advise the VE
>team as to the level of accommodation that might be needed. It's a lot
>tougher at a VE session where people simply walk in off the street.
>
>Lyle, K0LR

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

395. WTB: pro-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <sxAX2.642$G4.2656@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 119984

```
im looking for a radio shack pro-2045
thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net
```

396. Re: Q: Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: peter@g1mil.u-net.com
Message-ID: <372ed4ef.534102@news.u-net.com>
Source record: 119985
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Stephan Walther-Larsen" <aor8200@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Please could anybody help me with information regarding Packet Radio
>decoding software that will work via a soundcard on my laptop. I have
>several scanners an the only one that will decode is my Winradio
>(DigitalSuite). I need to run the software on a laptop and do not have a
>modem/tnc
>TIA
>
>--
>Best regards/Hilsen
>-------------------------------------
>Stephan Walther-Larsen
>Nuuk
>Greenland

There is plenty of soundcard software at:

http://www.muenster.de/~welp/sb.htm

I'm not sure if that includes packet, but it's worth a try.

Regards,

Peter.

397. Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wcollins@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <7gmq2f$38s$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 119986

Hi everyone,

Is the Icom R2 good enough to listen to the major world band broadcast stations like BBC, Deutsche Welle, etc., especially with the stock antenna? I need an ultra portable receiver to listen to world band stations while on the road. Thanks in advance.

Bill

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

398. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepsi <pepsi@cola.org>
Message-ID: <E3236EC7676DBFCD.A2FEAC8D2DB3A30B.D02CBB96DE4BBC7D@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

: I though Pepsi only rotted teeth, but I see it can rot a brain as well. Or
: maybe your teeth are your brain?

No, CW did that for you years ago, HAMboy.

399. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepsi <pepsi@cola.org>
Message-ID: <08F67211579AA5E2.BA013A1B3A1CC641.E74F0E3F509EADC6@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

```
: What has happened with code is a reflection of todays society instant
: gratification culture mixed with a dumbed down educational system.

I take it you are either an old fart or a disfunction torch bearer for old farts.
Welcome to "today's" society.
Hey, don't blame me for you or your relative having to live in the great depression, you
did it to
yourselves.
```

400. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gploc$nd0$1@pale-rider.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In a previous article, pepsi@cola.org (Pepsi) says:

>Nah, just update the tests to reflect current technology instead of =
>forcing us to learn
>useless stuff like CW and vacuum tubes.
>
>: I've made the suggestion before, and I'll repeat it again -- for those
>: concerned that removing the CW requirement will turn ham radio into
>: another CB circus, the solution is to retain the CW tests at each code
>: speed, but offer a corresponding optional written test that can be
>: taken instead.
>
>

I understand how you feel, but I think the idea is a more than
reasonable compromise.

--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

401. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gpjvq$pd8$1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In a previous article, commquart@erols.com (CommQuart) says:

>Athough I don't fully agree with Trent's stance in total,
>my hat is off to him for giving honest and well reasoned
>responses to the issues on hand. My feeling is Trent is
>a chap open to both sides of the issue, and I would be
>willing to hear his side of the story.
>
>Peter

I just don't think that CW should be the decisive factor in issuing
a ticket. Which is more important, 13+ WPM CW or knowing more theory and
practice ? I lean towards getting rid of CW tests, but I could see a 5 WPM
across the board CW test.

But the worst danger is when a young person checks out Ham Radio,
perhaps has an opinion, and gets slandered and insulted. This is what is
turning the kids off.
--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

402. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gpk8g$pr1$1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In a previous article, jeffj@scott.net (Jeff Johns) says:

>W6RCecilA writes:
>
>> And nobody is advocating that you not be allowed to do just that, Richard.
>> Nobody is talking about eliminating CW - just about eliminating Morse
>> code testing. Do you think that CW is such an undesirable mode that nobody
>> would continue to use it after the requirement is dropped?
>
>I can't help but wonder what hams debated about 50 years ago? The internet
>has allowed us to exchange ideas and thoughts in an almost instananeous
>manner, sometimes I also wonder if this is good or bad :-/
>
>73 Jeff W4JEF
>
>
> *--------- Jeff Johns W4JEF - AMSAT# 32615 - QRP-L# 1857 ----------*
> |jeffj@scott.net w4jef@amsat.org | Reserve Patrol Captain |
> | Satellite: Mir R0MIR-1, AO-27 | Jefferson County Sheriff's Dept|
> |200LX+BayPac+FT50=Portable Packet| QTH Birmingham, AL USA |
> *---*
>

I think 50 years ago was SSB vs. AM.

--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

403. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gpke1$q85$1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

IMPORTANT CORRECTION !!!!

I wrote "..now every pro-code...is a Fuddy Duddy...".

I meant "..NOT every pro-code...is a Fuddy Duddy...".

This, of corse, the spell checker did not catch. Sorry for the typo
=-)

--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

404. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gpl37$re1$1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In a previous article, dogbert@mindless.com (Woofle) says:

>On 4 May 1999 15:36:17 GMT, jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu (Trent
>Phillips) wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> As I see it, it comes down to this...
>>
>>
>>
>> While it is true that there are a few (and I mean a FEW) who would
>>like Ham Radio dumbed down into just another version of CB. But this is, by
>>far, nothing less than a tiny minority of the people on the no-code side of
>>the debate. Likewise, now every pro-coder is an old Fuddy Duddy who is
^^^

This is a typo...should be "not".

>>stuck in the 50's.
>
>A sensible take on things I'd say.
>
>I've made the suggestion before, and I'll repeat it again -- for those
>concerned that removing the CW requirement will turn ham radio into
>another CB circus, the solution is to retain the CW tests at each code
>speed, but offer a corresponding optional written test that can be
>taken instead. The questions on this test should focus on good
>operating practices, technical knowledge from the next licence grade,
>emergency and net operating procedures instead of just FCC rules and
>regulations. I know the FCC doesn't regulate some of these areas, so
>perhaps HAMS would write these tests with an eye to what they want
>all hams to know. :)

I agree, that would be a great idea. Just about solves the whole
"CB" problem.

>
>>
>> The real problem, that only a few are addressing (like the editors
>>of PopComm), is how this plays to the people who are looking to get into
>>Ham Radio.
>>
>Yup.
>

>> First of all, anyone who has a problem with the code, or would
>>rather skip it, is simply pelted with insults. I, for one, was called
>>"lazy" because I was for skipping code altogether. I guess having dyslexia
>>makes me lazy. In order to get a waiver from the code, I would have to
>>spend big money doing to doctors for the paperwork.
>>
>You seem to have overcome your dyslexia in this forum perfectly well.

If I remember to, I use a spell checker. But also, it was a LOT of
work to learn how to type, write or even tie my shoes when I was a kid.

Imagine, "Use your right hand to...Your Left hand to..." when
"Left" and "Right" are almost alien concepts ! As a kid, it took a lot of
work.

Oh well, guess a minor (there are so many people with so much
bigger problems) CNS flaw makes me lazy =-)

>
>> Or, I could go no-code. While I am not interested in VHF, I am also
>>uninterested in hearing about how I would not be a "RealHam (TM)" because I
>>am a no-code tech.
>
>I suspect that even if the code requirement were abolished tomorrow,
>this attitude would persist for many years to come.
>
>CW is almost an aesthetic pleasure for some, who can't imagine that
>there might be those who would not thrill to this rather amazing form
>of communication. And of course, hard-core DXers and QRP'ers will
>remain around forever. CW may never die, regardless of technology.

My dad (WB8ETH, silent key) could go over 20 WPM. While I thought
it was cool, I just am not able. These things happen. I think CW has a
place, just not the same place it did 30 years ago.

I see your point quite well.
>
>> Gee, with all of this going on, you wonder why more kids are not
>>getting into Ham Radio ? Unless you fit into some kind of cookie-cutter
>>definition of "RealHam (TM)", you get dumped on coming or going.
>>
>> SWLing looks a lot better as an alternative all the time. It's
>>rewards, while at times a bit more modest, tends to come at a much more
>>reasonable price.
>>
>> There was a time when SWL's where the best group for Hams to recruit
>>out of, but that time has come and gone. Ham radio now needs to ask why so
>>many SWL's are voting with their feet.
>>
>
>I started with SWL, got interested in ham radio, and got a licence
>quite some time ago. Now, I barely ever get on the air, but still

>come back to shortwave listening over and over.

There is an article in an old issue of Ham Radio Horizons that I
read. It was about a group of top flight Hams who hid a secret. Turns out
they where trying to out do each other in working the world bands on one
tube gennys =-). I wonder how many hams might be closet SWL's (ie: Wayne
Green types who might swing their VFO over to 25 Metres once in a while,
but too afraid to admit it) are out there.

>
>> The civil war in Ham Radio is only acting to turn many people away.
>>I for one do not look for strife, insults, abuse, judgment and childish
>>behavior in a hobby, I look for fun. Myself and others are willing to put
>>forth the effort. But there is a distinct difference between meeting
>>requirements and running a gauntlet of frat-house like initiations.
>>
>> Yeah, I could pass every technical part of the tests, I could
>>easily learn the proper operating rules. But is it worth it to enter a
>>hobby where anyone who dares disagree with "RealHams (TM)" is lazy, a
>>crybaby, a spoiled cyberkid, etc. ?
>>
>> Ham Radio has only one place to look for the source of it's
>>problems. It's called a mirror. People are voting with their feet, my
>>friends. I am the last person in the world that wants to see Ham Radio die,
>>but the choice is not mine-
>>
>> It's all up to the hobby.
>>
>> When AM came along, many where opposed.
>>
>> When SuperHet. receivers came along, many where opposed.
>>
>> When SSB came along, many fought it.
>>
>> Change is always coming, why fight it ?
>>
>> The issue is bigger than CW. The real issue is what kind of hobby
>>Ham Radio has become, or rather, what it has degenerated into. While SWLing
>>has it's share of silly moments, it has not degenerated into the sort of
>>malicious, snotty behavior that now appears (from the outside at least) to
>>be slowly permeating Ham radio.
>>
>> Perhaps it's because SWL's listen ?
>>
>>
>>--
>>"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
>> itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
>> and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
>>----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------
>

>
>
--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

405. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gplmj$59$1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In a previous article, pepsi@cola.org (Pepsi) says:

>: Just seems to me that we're better off for having this skill in
>: the community.
>
>That's the point.
>It's HAM is no longer a skill, its now just a nostalgic hobby, just
>like playing video games is.
>There is nothing useful about HAM now nor life saving nor nothing worth
>getting "community" attention for.
>HAM's importance is now in the minds of old men, no longer in reality.
>
>

Ham radio still has the distinction of being the best way to get
comms going in case of natural disasters and other emergencies. No question
about it. The military is the only group of people who can beat them, but
the hams are a much mroe practical tool for the job.

--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

406. Re: Well I See M. Alexander is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990505111849.01553.00001553@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 119695

>From: frankw@soltec.net

>Can anyone identify this malexder? I have no idea who he is or what his agenda might be, but I, the Frank Wells referenced below, deny the bs listed below.

Hi Frankie!
Of course this little charade only proves what I confirmed long ago: You're a flaming nut case!

You're also a stinking, lowlife liar. You're a coward who thinks hiding behind grabmail.com, my-dejanews.com, hotmail etc. accounts will conceal your identity, so you can snipe at people without exposing yourself. Well, fool, it don't work that way.

Anyone who cares to do a search on some of the aliases you have used in several newsgroups where you carry on your skulking, cowardly antics will easily see what the orignation news proxy is. Here are ones that I gathered in only a couple of minutes from your beech@grabmail.com and beech185@my-dejanews.com rantings and ravings:
207.71.218.89 pm1-39.sba1.avtel.net
207.71.222.152 pm8-2.sba1.avtel.net
207.71.218.137 pm2-37.sba1.avtel.net
207.71.222.96 pm6-46.sba1.avtel.net
207.71.222.71 pm6-21.sba1.avtel.net
204.96.225.93 soltec.net
204.96.225.88 soltec.net

AvTel.Net is the parent of Silicon Beach (www.silcom.com) in Santa Barbara, CA, where you now live. Soltec.Net, where you are posting through today, is in Campaign-Urbana, Ill. is where you used to live. When you think you're really being tricky, you use your SB account to access your Soltec account. Funny, for a guy who works as a computer analyst, you're not too competent.

Now you mentally crippled whacko run the hell along or I'll complain to your ISPs (again). You've caused enough trouble here as well as in alt.mountain-bike, misc.survivalism, and a bunch of other newsgroups.

407. Re: digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: phoenix@uhrad.com
Message-ID: <7gpqln$o78$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 119696

In article <X_XX2.438$gz2.19556@newshog.newsread.com>,
"clausen" <mclausen@portup.com> wrote:
> I recently wrote here to ask about the bc895xlt and if it was a good buy for
> a newbie.
> Well I just found out that the Phoenix/Mesa police/fire are going to a 800
> digital trunked system. Does anyone have any clue where scanner manufactures
> stand on digital scanners. Is there any hope in the near future for us here
> in digital land???!!!!!!!!

Most digital trunked systems are also encrypted, thus making them quite
private. Here in Cleveland our trunked system was one of the first digital
systems in the country. I don't think Motorola is going to release the
encrytption algorithym for there systems since that would go against their
customers wish of privacy.

Joe Molter
N8IDA
ARES/RACES Emergency Coordinator
Cuyahoga County

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

408. Re: what to monitor above 1.2 GHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bmm1@news.utk.edu
Message-ID: <7gpnueomj1@gaia.ns.utk.edu>
Source record: 119697

960.00 - 1215.00 aviation navigation services
1000 - 2000 Microwave "L" band
1000 - 1020 proposed LEOSTAR data downlinks w/ 950-980 uplinks
1030.00 navaid beacon
1090.00 navaid beacon - "squaks" altitude and ID from planes to ground control
1215.000 - 1240.000 Global positioning system satellites - spread spectrum - all on same channel
1240.000 - 1300.00 23cm ameteur
1265.000 oscar atv uplink
1260.00 - 1270.00 repeater outputs
1270.000 oscar L band P3-D downlink
1300.00 end 23cm ameteur - PRO-2006 upper limit
1400.00 -1427.00 Reserved passive radio astronomy band
1420.40575 Hydrogen natural frequency - start primary SETI frequency to 1660 Hydroxyl natural frequency
1427.00 End radio astronomy band
1500 -1560 inmarsat
1525.000 - 1559.00 satellite downlinks
1530.000 INMARSAT
1537.0 INMARSAT
1544.500 NOAA K, L & M
1575.400 Ocean Topography Experiment (Topex/Poseidon)
1610-1626.5 Mobile satellite service allocation - downlinks
1621.35 -1626.5 Motorola IRIDIUM satellite phone downlinks - TDMA (time division multiple access)
1626.000 - 1660.500 Mobile satellite service allocation - uplinks
1660 hydroxyl natural frequency
1698.000 NOAA K, L & M
1702.500 NOAA K, L & M
1704.000 Systeme Probatoire d'Observation de la Terre-4 (SPOT-4)
1707.000 NOAA K, L & M
1700.000 - 4200.000 S-band - high power TV satellite downlinks (not in north america)
1850.000 - 1990.000 PCS (personal communication services) band - CDMA (code division multiple access)
1850.000 - 1865.000 PCS block A mobles
1865.000 - 1880.000 PCS block B mobles
1880.000 - 1895.000 PCS block C mobles
1895.000 - 1900.000 PCS block D mobles
1900.000 - 1905.000 PCS block E mobles
1905.000 - 1910.000 PCS block F mobles
1910.000 - 1930.000 PCS UNLISCENSED DEVICE BLOCK
1930.000 - 1945.000 PCS block A bases
1945.000 - 1960.000 PCS block B bases
1960.000 - 1975.000 PCS block C bases

1975.000 - 1980.000 PCS block D bases
1980.000 - 1985.000 PCS block E bases
1985.000 - 1990.000 PCS block F bases
1990 - 2110 studio to transmitter links

409. Frank Wells, You Fool!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990505113301.01566.00001514@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 119698

Frankie,
When you're not here obsessing on me, you're over in several other newsgroups bothering other people. Take alt.mountain-bike, for instance. You claim to physically handicapped, and unable to ride a bike, but that doesn't stop you from making jerk of yourself, does it?

Here's a little something that I picked up for laughs. One of the people you attacked posted one of your e-mails to the group. Notice "Frank Wells" <beech@grabmail.com> in the From: line. Idiot, slipped up and forgot to hide his name. Notice the Also notice the ISP in your response goes back to Silicon Beach.

You're too stupid to be sneaky. Slimeballs like you also leave a grease slick behind, no matter where they go.

Re: Mountain Biker Mental Patient Confesses
Author: beech <beech@grabmail.com>
Date: 1999/01/20
Forum: alt.mountain-bike
Posted on: 1999/01/20
Message-ID: <783p62$e20$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Newsgroups: alt.mountain-bike
Organization: Deja News - The Leader in Internet Discussion
References: <36a49f45.1693075@news.pacbell.net>
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 20 05:22:17 1999 GMT
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x1.dejanews.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.71.222.152
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.5 [en] (Win95; U)

fewer headers author posting history

Mike,
There's something you don't understand here in your delusionary state. I'm not a mountain biker. Can't be. I'm physically disabled.

I've been assigned here by a group that surveys newsgroups looking for people, who by the evidence of their postings, need psychiatric help. I've been assigned to cajole, bully or harass (Now, there's a word you won't be able to resist playing with in a Subject line) you into getting help for your condition. You must know, given your training and even if you were the basest Skinnerian or rat runner, that you are ill. You are alone, friendless, unloved with only your obsessions giving you the barest illusion of a real life. Is that really the life you want?

You could have a crisis, a real psychotic break, at any time. If that comes without a support system, you are only weeks or, at best, months

from the terrors of the mentally ill on the street. Don't delay, use
your health insurance to initiate some treatment while you still have it.

In article <36a49f45.1693075@news.pacbell.net>,
mjvande@pacbell.net wrote:
> X-Mailer: MIME-tools 3.204 (ME 3.204)
> From: "Frank Wells" <beech@grabmail.com>
> To: mjvande@pacbell.net
> Date: Mon, 18 Jan 1999 17:35:11 +0800
>
> Mike, own up to your illness. Obsessive Compulsive Disorder is
> a mental illness. And, is no longer something to be shamed about.
> It is easily treatable, too. Get help from a professional clinician.
>
> I promise you, you'll feel a thousand times better about life and
> yourself.
>
> former sufferer
>
>

410. Re: AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gpocvirg1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119699

How could it be a unblocked US model? All US model are supposed to be blocked. Hmmm,

411. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marv Luse <mwluse@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <373067A4.22072D60@dimensional.com>
Source record: 119700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Pepsi wrote:

> : I though Pepsi only rotted teeth, but I see it can rot a brain as
> well. Or
> : maybe your teeth are your brain?
>
> No, CW did that for you years ago, HAMboy.

That's right, bub, I'm HAMboy, sidekick of Electromagnetic Man, and we
can kick your butt. :-)

Marv
AB0IO

412. fs/sportcat sc150b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net>
Message-ID: <BIZX2.183$7K5.219@news.flash.net>
Source record: 119701

have real clean working perfect 100ch hh bearcat sportcat will ship for $65.00....add for c.o. d. if u want it shipped that way...i think it costs$6.00 john

413. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gporgspa1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119702

a@b.net wrote in message <372fecb2.116822921@news.istep.com>...
>You are just asking for trouble. OK for yourself, but what about the rest of the
>people who love listening to their radios? How about a little
>consideration for them?
>If this were a 'legitimate' request (should such a thing be possible
>for the media) then the name of the publication would have been given
>in the original post.
>What this will probably end up being is a sensationalist bullshit
>article about how 'Kids with scanners are threatening National
>Security'.

Yes, and they'll publish a story like that with or without our input. Better to be heard on the issue than to silently watch the aftermath, wouldn't you say?

It's exactly this kind of attitude that makes people nervous around us scanner types. For some reason scanner listening and conspiracy theories seem to go hand in hand, and it's all due to all us scanner folks hiding our hobby from the rest of the world. People would rather make random assumptions like the above than investigate the issue and gather all the facts. This is pure ignorance, to be blunt.

People are always afraid of what they don't understand. Therefore, public education is the best weapon we can use against those who want to see this hobby gone. Once people understand what scanning is all about, I think we'll see a change for the better.

-Phil

414. Re: hand-held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Palmer <davepalmer@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <37306B38.606847CA@earthlink.com>
Source record: 119703

Of this list below, what are folks' personal favorites, and why? What radios would you avoid?

I'm about to replace a well-used Yupi MVT-7100. I'd like a handheld wideband receiver with PC-setup capability, and the best (that can be expected for a wideband) rejection of intermod. My urban area constantly ties up my 7100 with interference.

I've heard the AR8000 is good in this department, and the features look interesting. But I don't know about the other units on the market, and I don't want to miss out on something terrific.

Thanks,

Dave

Rich Wells wrote:

> Those on today's market include:
> Alinco DJ-X10
> DJ-X5
> AOR AR16
> 3000A
> 5000+3
> AR7000B
> AR8000
> AR8200
> Camnis HSC-150
> HSC-190
> HSC-200
> Commtel COM510
> iCOM IC-PCR100
> IC-PCR1000
> IC-R10
> IC-R100
> IC-R2
> IC-R8500
> Stabo XR-100
> XR-2000
> Standard AX400B
> Trident TR-2000
> TR-4000
> TRX-100XLT
> Welz WS2000
> WiNRADiO WR-1000i/e
> WR-1500i/e

> WR-3100i/e
> Yupiteru MVT-7100
> MVT-7200
> MVT-9000
>
> > and where can it be had?
>
> Try here: http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/dealers.htm
>
> Strong Signals,
> Rich Wells N2MCA
> http://www.strongsignals.net/

415. Re: Which tape recorders work with the OS456?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37307047$0$2484@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 119704

Be sure to get the attentuated cord for it, otherwise you'll be taping even when no one's on the air.
GHS521 <ghs521@aol.comiamnot> wrote in message news:19990504204123.17153.00002609@ng01.aol.com...
> > or do I use the tape out jack on the 2006
> >instead?
>
> Yes, I use the tape out jack with a RS voice activated recorder. Works like a
> champ.
> Greg

416. Re: BEWARE OF ICOM PCR1000 PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7gpso0$h38$2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 119705

In article <7goc9b$86r$1@antiochus.ultra.net>, myra@theuminas.com
says...
>
>I recently purchased an ICOM PCR1000 (IC PCR1000) and was shocked to
find
>out that there was no documentation on the serial port command
interface.
>After several emails from ICOM on the subject, it is apparent that ICOM
is
>not going to make the details of the command set for this radio
available
>except under license and then only in certain specific cases.
>
>While there appears to be information on the net with regard to this
>interface, it's probably not complete and certainly not supported or
well
>documented, preventing me from using this radio.
>
>The bottom line is, if you plan to do anything with this radio that is
>creative, better to find something else to buy. I note that all of
ICOM's
>competitors DO release the command codes, and I'm replacing the PCR1000
with
>a unit from AOR.
>
>My advice is to avoid the hassle and avoid ICOM.
>
>The software that comes with the ICOM PCR1000 is very basic and quite
>cumbersome. It could easily be replaced and the functionality of the
radio
>enhanced with Delphi or Visual Basic, but.....
>
>73,
>Len
>
>
While it is sad that Icom treats the commands for the PCR1000 like nuke
launch codes, all is not lost. There are many sources for the codes.
Even I have written 2 programs to run my PCR1000 from DOS (or in the
case of my pre-MSDSO Tandy TRS80, model 100 a tataly Rom/Ram based
antique) and I am not a programmer.
There are several good free after market programs and at least 2 very
good comercial programs.
In short, if you need a radio that covers from 100KHz(mine goes down to

at least 60KHz because I can receive WWVB time code with a poor antenna)
to 1300MHz, and that can be driven by a wide variety of computers, then
the PCR1000 could be ideal for you. The 50KHz BW is great for NOAA APT
wxsat imaging.
The add in DSP is creat, but more usefull for SWLing than scanning.

417. Re: what to monitor above 1.2 GHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7gpt08$h38$3@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 119706

In article <7gpnueomj1@gaia.ns.utk.edu>, bmm1@news.utk.edu says...
Thanks for the frequency list.
While neither of my scanners goes above 1.3G, I do have an old
mil-surplus (no numbers on it) that covers from 940MHz to 2500MHz.
Your common microwave oven radiates big time on around 2400. I can hear
them fro several blocks(at least), now I have several somethings elses
to try for. My wife could never see why I wanted a receiver that only
got "microwave ovens".
Thanks again

418. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepsi <pepsi@cola.org>
Message-ID: <EA762F13985855F8.085B361E4813EB17.FBD530F3CD5470E9@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
: That's right, bub, I'm HAMboy, sidekick of Electromagnetic Man, and we
: can kick your butt. :-)

Lay off the microwaves dude :)
```

419. Re: Wanted: MDTMON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gpu77$1dl$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119708

Victor wrote in message ...
>If anyone knows the whereabouts of the site that contained mdtmon or
>actually has the program, would you please respond to this post.
>Regards,
>Victor
>vaxiotis@home.com
>

http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk/software.htm has the latest version.

-Phil

420. Scanner For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Esposito <rjespo@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <lc%X2.116$s%5.5826@news2.voicenet.com>
Source record: 119709
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
BC9000XLT base scanner in excellent condition, 9-months old
- 500 ch with alphanumeric display
- CTCSS tone board installed
- covers 29 to 932 mhz
- in original carton with manuals

$300.00

Bob
rjespo@voicenet.com
```

421. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gpv2t$1k3$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119710
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
<7gptamqtt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>The following comes from the Tandy Support site:
>
>
>
>"Modifying or tampering with the scanner's internal components can
>invalidate the scanner's warranty and void your FCC authorization to
>operate it. If your scanner is not operating as it should, take it to
>your local RadioShack store for assistance."
>
>(LB/tc 4/9/98)
>
>
>What is the legal basis for the FCC to authorize operating a scanner? Has
the
>FCC ever voided such authorization for an individual person?
>
>
Sure they can, just the same as if you illegally modify Ham or CB equipment.
The reason for Tandy's statement is simply for C.Y.A. purposes, so that if
someone does tamper with their scanner and then uses it illegally, the
individual is responsible, not Tandy.

-Phil

422. FS Relm MS200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: sskadden@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <373082BE.5AA1@pacbell.net>
Source record: 119711

Hello.I have a Relm MS200 mobile scanner for sale.As new,in the box with
all docs,cables,PC program disc.etc.
Price is $125.00 +shipping.If interested,e-mail.Thanks.
Shane,Ke6jz.

423. eBay auction: Bearcat 200xlt scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: twentyover@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990505135930.20645.00002609@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 119712

Item # 97497317 ends today at 21:20 pacific time.

Greg

424. Newbe Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: sppolak@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990505141117.07835.00002424@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 119713

Just got a Yaesu communications receiver that goes from 60mhz thru 905
continuous no blocks. What interesting frequencies can I monitor besides
aircraft, police, repeaters, cellular, and marine? It slowly scans continuously
or scans 10 memory banks 1 at a time.
Its a nice piece of equiment but it does not have the speed of a scanner.
Any suggestions on frequencies? please email

425. Official Trunker Homepage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gq1qn$2kf$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119714

Is there an official trunker home page, or is the one on
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/digital.htm it?

The reason that I ask is because the source code for trunker (I assume it
should be trunker.c or something similar) is missing from the latest
download version. I saw etrunk.c in the archive, but I don't think that's
it.

Thanks,
-Phil

426. Re: Official Trunker Homepage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gq20t$2km$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119715

Phil Smalley wrote in message <7gq1qn$2kf$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>Is there an official trunker home page, or is the one on
>http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/digital.htm it?
>
>The reason that I ask is because the source code for trunker (I assume it
>should be trunker.c or something similar) is missing from the latest
>download version. I saw etrunk.c in the archive, but I don't think that's
>it.
>
>Thanks,
>-Phil

You know what? I took a second look and it is indeed etrunk.c - my bad...

Still would like the URL to the official site. Thanks again.

-Phil

427. Re: discriminatorm for Icom IC-R2 has it been found?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobsmyth@dial.pipex.com
Message-ID: <37328a57.2911730@news.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 119716

On Wed, 05 May 1999 02:04:23 +0200, Ingra-Beton@t-online.de (Vlaski Goran) wrote:

>asnow schrieb:
>>
>> Has anyone found information on the location for a discriminator tap on
>> the Icom IC-R2?
>> I would appreciate any info, I'd like to hook mine up to some decoding
>> software for a change.
>
>The NFM disc is on the connector J1 pin 16, (WFMS) , do not
>vorget to use a 0.1 microfarad Condensator.

Goran is it ever going to be possible to computer control this scanner or is it out of the question.

P.S. thanks for the great programming software on your site.

Regards,

Bob Smyth

428. Re: BEWARE OF ICOM PCR1000 PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Colin Campbell <colin@campbell84.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7gq2pdok1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 119717

Len if you go to my web page you'll get links to everything you need to know about the PCR1000 (except what the commands LD842? and the H8xx series does - if anyone can fill the gaps it would be appreciated) especially check out Pete Mahy's program

http://www.campbell84.freeserve.co.uk

Len <myra@theuminas.com> wrote in message
news:7goc9b$86r$1@antiochus.ultra.net...
>I recently purchased an ICOM PCR1000 (IC PCR1000) and was shocked to find
>out that there was no documentation on the serial port command interface.
>After several emails from ICOM on the subject, it is apparent that ICOM is
>not going to make the details of the command set for this radio available
>except under license and then only in certain specific cases.
>
>While there appears to be information on the net with regard to this
>interface, it's probably not complete and certainly not supported or well
>documented, preventing me from using this radio.
>
>The bottom line is, if you plan to do anything with this radio that is
>creative, better to find something else to buy. I note that all of ICOM's
>competitors DO release the command codes, and I'm replacing the PCR1000
with
>a unit from AOR.
>
>My advice is to avoid the hassle and avoid ICOM.
>
>The software that comes with the ICOM PCR1000 is very basic and quite
>cumbersome. It could easily be replaced and the functionality of the radio
>enhanced with Delphi or Visual Basic, but.....
>
>73,
>Len
>
>

429. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: a@b.net
Message-ID: <373086e2.156300477@news.istep.com>
Source record: 119718

>
>
>Yes, and they'll publish a story like that with or without our input. Better
>to be heard on the issue than to silently watch the aftermath, wouldn't you
>say?

Nor really. The media is not to be trusted, especially in technical
areas that they usually don't understand.

And, there are a few people here and on other NG's of which the same
can be said. Like the jerk that posted MDT transmissions on a web
site. If some of these people talk to the press, then the press can
say that "experienced scanner users said..." and like that. Why help
them at all. If they want to write bullshit articles, why do anything
that they can use as 'research' and 'informed sources'.

What with all the posts here, it is obvious that many people share
their knowledge and experience with anyone who wants to log on. No big
secrets here. No one hiding anything.

As to people being afraid of what they don't understand, this is human
nature. People have to *want* to learn to overcome this. If they care
enough to do so. But they aren't likely to learn anything factual from
the media.

Now, as to writing this article. If this author can not put together
an excellent article based upon nothing more than the information
posted here, they aren't much of a writer. I could do this without
much difficulty. And produce a very good article.

>
>It's exactly this kind of attitude that makes people nervous around us
>scanner types. For some reason scanner listening and conspiracy theories
>seem to go hand in hand, and it's all due to all us scanner folks hiding our
>hobby from the rest of the world. People would rather make random
>assumptions like the above than investigate the issue and gather all the
>facts. This is pure ignorance, to be blunt.
>
>People are always afraid of what they don't understand. Therefore, public
>education is the best weapon we can use against those who want to see this
>hobby gone. Once people understand what scanning is all about, I think we'll
>see a change for the better.
>
>-Phil
>
>

430. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <i8GxyKAX7GM3EwDh@virgin.net>
Source record: 119719
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

In article <372f894c.35213731@news.garlic.com>, Mark
<m4bz@garlic.SPAMNO.com> writes
>Must be some cross postings. Try alt.radio.family for more
>information.
>
>Basically it's a UHF "CB" for local communications. No license
>required. Radios available at many locations, including Wal Mart, most
>electronics stores, etc.
>
>On Tue, 4 May 1999 14:14:19 +0100, Keith Bennett
><trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Errrmmmm....I see, so what's the Family Radio Service then?
>
Well this is good news but we don't have any Walmart stores here yet but
maybe will have some soon! Also not sure whether FRS is available here
either! But thanks for the information.

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/

431. Storm Tracker Freq. for MS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jancieboys@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gqa2e$7ci$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119720

Does anyone know or know where to find the freqs that storm trackers in MS use? Thx.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

432. Re: BEWARE OF ICOM PCR1000 PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdf@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gqa61$7hq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119721

"Len" <myra@theuminas.com> wrote:

> I recently purchased an ICOM PCR1000 (IC PCR1000) and was shocked to find
> out that there was no documentation on the serial port command interface.

Len, I can only assume that you have been living in a cave for the last year
or so. Even a cursory check on the net *before* you paid for the receiver
would have revealed the "shocking" truth.

> After several emails from ICOM on the subject, it is apparent that ICOM is
> not going to make the details of the command set for this radio available
> except under license and then only in certain specific cases.

It's a conspiracy, Len.

> While there appears to be information on the net with regard to this
> interface, it's probably not complete and certainly not supported or well
> documented, preventing me from using this radio.

It was more than adequate for me to use my IC-PCR1000 with Linux after
a few hours of opening the box.

> The bottom line is, if you plan to do anything with this radio that is
> creative, better to find something else to buy. I note that all of ICOM's
> competitors DO release the command codes, and I'm replacing the PCR1000 with
> a unit from AOR.

Len, most rational people would have done some checking before buying.

> My advice is to avoid the hassle and avoid ICOM.

My advice is to avoid the FUD and not listen to Len.

> The software that comes with the ICOM PCR1000 is very basic and quite
> cumbersome. It could easily be replaced and the functionality of the radio
> enhanced with Delphi or Visual Basic, but.....

... the command sequences are well known at this point, and would take
a talented programmer nary a day or so to get things going, but I guess
Len just doesn't have it in him. A pity.

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

433. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <3730A262.372A@cats-net.com>
Source record: 119722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark Morgan wrote:
>
> On Wed, 05 May 1999 09:41:00 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Mark Morgan wrote:
> >>
> >> On Mon, 03 May 1999 20:08:03 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Mark Morgan wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> The
> >> >> Burden involved in learning code clearly increased in today world. The
> >> >> need for doing as declined. these are simple facts (the debate comes
> >> >> when you try and say how much)
> >> >
> >> >The debate is now whether you can verify this increased burden and the
> >> >decline in necessity. You invented your simple "facts".
> >>
> >> You dsipute that Code is less nessary today than when it was
> >> the on;ly mode in extence? Gee I admit I thank that was pretty plain
> >> do really disute it. You also deny that world operates at a different
> >> and fastre pace leaving most people less therefore Morse Code is more
> >> of a burden, Gee are you sure you get out at all?
> >
> >Again, Mark, you lack reading comprehension. I made no claim regarding
>
> No you lack mthe reading comprhension. since Iam refering to the days
> when CW was the only mode, I am right in saying it less important now
> than then. That is a fact

Mark, In order for me to comprehend it, you have to write it. You
didn't write what you are now saying. You tacked it on later.

> I wioll not bother with the rest of the drivel of a bigot that thinks
> the spanish speakers should bann from the ARS in america

Again you've managed to say something which I never stated. Reading and
writing are going to be far bigger challenges to you than morse testing
since you'll likely need those skills daily.

You seem to think I dislike a certain group of people. That's not the
case. It is YOU I don't care for. You're THE classic whiner looking

for a hand out. You aren't looking for equality of opportunity. You're looking for a special case to be made for you.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

434. Re: BEWARE OF ICOM PCR1000 PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdf@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gqbb2$8h2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119723

"Colin Campbell" <colin@campbell84.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

> Len if you go to my web page you'll get links to everything you need to know
> about the PCR1000 (except what the commands LD842? and the H8xx series
> does - if anyone can fill the gaps it would be appreciated) especially check
> out Pete Mahy's program

The LD84[0248ACE]? and LD86[024]? queries appear to be direct access to the ADC's that monitor various signal levels in the receiver. Off the top of my head, LD842? queries the level of SMAD [signal strength], LD844? asks for CTAD [centering]. I suspect that LD846? asked for L1AD [first LO PLL lock voltage], but haven't been able to prove this. LD84A? appears to be a copy of LD842?, but is probably the scope S-meter level. The others are unknown and always show up as a "00". You can make educated guesses by perusing the service manual for the receiver.

Note that these are probably "raw" measurements. The SMAD level, for example, is almost certainly mangled by the receiver internally to the semi-precise signal strength reported by one of the "I" commands.

I am aware of what the H8xx command does, and would be glad to describe it here, but alas, this was discovered by another party -- and that glory is his to claim, not mine.

The last known file that documents the command set has some minor errors, omissions, and could be clarified in places. I haven't a clue who maintains it though, so I don't know who should receive send updates/fixes.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

435. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <925935342.448.42@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mickey McInnis <mcinnis@babe01.austin.ibm.com> wrote in message
news:7gno57$1b4i$1@ausnews.austin.ibm.com...
> What is a complete turnoff, in my opinion,
> is the all too prevelant attitude that CW operators are better than
> non-CW operators, even when working voice,
> and that some folks feel they "deserve" extra priviliges because
> they have a skill that is largely irrelevant to ability to use
> HF frequencies and serve the public interest well.

Are you so wrapped up in yourself that you worry what your CW speed says about you? For heaven's sake, grow a skin, learn the code, pass the test, and get on with your life! You're not going to change "the attitude" with whining. I might dare a guess that it's the whining that's objectionable, not so much the actual thought of changing the CW requirements.

To tell the truth, I'm pleased to find a lid bigger than I. I feel I might be able to help him, as others have helped me.

So, who's preconceived notion is stopping you from getting your ticket?

Michael.

436. scanner freq range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millennium <tct@clara.net>
Message-ID: <LL1Y2.6100$l71.188425@nnrp3.clara.net>
Source record: 119725

Hi,
Im using a yupi mvt-9000.(100khz-2039mhz)
Is there a scanner that goes higher (im sure it may be a stupid question)?
what is it
whats it cost
whats it cover
Please and thank you.
Thor

Email
tct@clara.net

437. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Klingman <karl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3730AAAB.3A3404EF@mindspring.com>
Source record: 119726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

That's why we have CB radio.

Sir wrote:

> What if you wanted to play the flute, but a law required that you learn
> how to play the harp before you were allowed to play the flute?
>
> What if you didn't like the harp and only wanted to play the flute, and
> other flute players called you lazy for not wanting to waste time
> learning an instrument you had no intention of ever playing?
>
> Some people want to get into the hobby of radio to talk on the radio.
> They have no desire to use morse code. How silly it would be to waste
> the time to learn morse code, only to forget it once one has the license
> to go ahead and use voice.
>
> Only people who intend to USE morse code should learn it.

438. BC-350 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dbbadge@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990505162756.18134.00002555@ng23.aol.com>
Source record: 119727

I have aBC-350 like new , mobile or base unit for sale- great litlle radio. All original parts and acc. Pls email me with offer, I need the money Best offer gets it !

439. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdf@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gqcuf$a35$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119728

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

> Yes, and they'll publish a story like that with or without our input. Better
> to be heard on the issue than to silently watch the aftermath, wouldn't you
> say?

"I'm going to shoot you dead. Please help me select the bullet."

Sorry; only a fool would co-operate with the enemy.

> It's exactly this kind of attitude that makes people nervous around us
> scanner types. For some reason scanner listening and conspiracy theories
> seem to go hand in hand, and it's all due to all us scanner folks hiding our
> hobby from the rest of the world. People would rather make random
> assumptions like the above than investigate the issue and gather all the
> facts. This is pure ignorance, to be blunt.

The media has no interest in "investigation" or "gathering facts". They
exist to create audiences for their advertisers. Period. Hence, we can
expect that geeks with scanners will be considered the Spawn of Satan,
yet another fear for the masses.

> People are always afraid of what they don't understand. Therefore, public
> education is the best weapon we can use against those who want to see this
> hobby gone. Once people understand what scanning is all about, I think we'll
> see a change for the better.

Noble sentiment, but history shows otherwise.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

440. Re: Motorola Rib Box and Cables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: earl@airwolf.oit.gatech.edu
Message-ID: <7gqb5r$7ks@catapult.gatech.edu>
Source record: 119729

"Lt. Brian L. McGlothin" <bmcgloth@treco.net> writes:

>I am looking for a Motorola Rib Box and some cables for programming. Anyone
>have any on the market?

Try www.polarisradio.com for good clones or
http://accesssecure.mot.com/Accesspoint/cgi-bin2/SoftCart.exe/Accesspoint/Search/index.html?L+test+hham2883+915500318 for the real thing.

--
Earl Barfield -- Operations Department / Information Technology
Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta Georgia, 30332
Internet: Earl.Barfield@oit.gatech.edu earl@prism.gatech.edu
earl@fantasy.gatech.edu earl@oit.gatech.edu

441. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <3730DABA.F55@sgi.net>
Source record: 119730

Guys,

One has to understand the media process. They can take the truth and change it to suit there our means. After 30 yrs. law enforcement I have been the subject of several news items with the investigations that I have been involved in. The media changed the facts of the investigation to suit there own means of more than one occossion.

The news media is interested in selling news and nothing else. They will do what ever it take to accomplish that goal.

Now, back to scanning.....

442. Re: BEWARE OF ICOM PCR1000 PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7gqcp0$2g2$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 119731

<big snip<
It was more than adequate for me to use my IC-PCR1000 with Linux after
>a few hours of opening the box.
<smaller snip<
Is your Linux code on the web for download?
I have just got RedHat 5.2 up and would like it to do something usefull.

443. Re: The best mobile scanning antenna???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc4art@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7gqfqs$cp4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119732

If 155MHz band is what you are mainly looking for, use a standard commercial antenna for that band. I am doing the same thing for 45.32. It is going to be about 18 inches if you are building one yourself.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

444. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7gqcv4$2g2$2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 119733
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7gptamqtt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>,
joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com says...
>
>The following comes from the Tandy Support site:
>
>
>
>"Modifying or tampering with the scanner's internal components can
>invalidate the scanner's warranty and void your FCC authorization to
>operate it. If your scanner is not operating as it should, take it to
>your local RadioShack store for assistance."
>
>(LB/tc 4/9/98)
>
>
>What is the legal basis for the FCC to authorize operating a scanner?
Has the
>FCC ever voided such authorization for an individual person?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>--
>Joseph Henry
>Environmental Studies
>2001 Wisconsin Avenue
>Washington, DC 20007
>
>------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
Taking a strong right turn...on a related issue, Kevin Metchnik
(spelling?) is such a coputer whiz that the feds are afraid to even
let him use a telephone. He is in a maximum security prison and bared
from accessing any computer. I guess if you are "bad" enough they can
dream up special treatments.

445. Re: scanner freq range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7gqdat$2g2$3@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 119734

In article <LL1Y2.6100$l71.188425@nnrp3.clara.net>, tct@clara.net
says...
>
>Hi,
>Im using a yupi mvt-9000.(100khz-2039mhz)
>Is there a scanner that goes higher (im sure it may be a stupid
question)?
>what is it
>whats it cost
>whats it cover
>Please and thank you.
>Thor
>
>Email
>tct@clara.net
>
>
>
>
Unless you have specific needs for 1300+, there just is not a lot up
there.
There was a post covering some of the uses, but they are really few and
not too easy to find.
I have a non scanning radio that tunes from below 1000MHz to 2500MHz
and the only "common" signal is all the microwave ovens in the area.
Yes there are some point to point private telcos, STL and the like, but
you have to be nearly between the transmiter and receiver to get
anything. I keep this beast because, amoung other weird uses, I have a
block downconverter that converts C-band TVRO 3.7-4.8G) amd I can
receive satelite TV. Of course we watch less than and hour of TV per
typical week in our household, but if we ever feel the need, I can
recieve all those unscrambled TV signals. All the garbage ads for
mirical knives, waxes and all the preachers a man could ever need.

446. Re: BEWARE OF ICOM PCR1000 PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdf@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gqht6eop1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119735

Why Bother? wrote:

> Is your Linux code on the web for download?

No, not on the web. But I could mail you a copy -- its GNU and all. However, it isn't what you would call a "polished" piece of software. No toolbars. No animated icons. No dancing paperclips. Its a bare bones command line thing that was designed mainly for protocol exploration (it exercises all known functionality) and simple receiving (I spend most of my time dwelling on a frequency, or trunk-tracking, than scanning huge lists of channels). More interesting than extending this to scanning and the like is the process of designing a higher level, "client/server" protocol [patterned after "X"] that can interact with the receiver in a generic way.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

447. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7gqftbeoe2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 119736
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

In article <i8GxyKAX7GM3EwDh@virgin.net>, trojanhorse@virgin.net says...
>
snip
>Well this is good news but we don't have any Walmart stores here yet
but
>maybe will have some soon! Also not sure whether FRS is available here
>either! But thanks for the information.
>
snip
Try the omnipresent RadioShack.
Or most ham stores and a lot of construction, farm suplly even hardware
stores carry them.

448. Re: Can a OS456 be used w/ a 2006 for trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: duncan@g8knf.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <372c539d.3517286@news.globalnet.co.uk>
Source record: 119737

On Thu, 29 Apr 1999 00:26:00 GMT, dwarren@canada.com wrote:

>If a person wants to try trunktracking with a 2006, can it be done
>with a OS456 or does only the CE-232 allow it?
>
>If yes for the 456, what are the details for performing the task?
>
>Thanks

Yes it works. I have an opto456 + pro2006 and it works very well. The
combination also supports Trunk software.

Duncan
London E17

449. Re: Which tape recorders work with the OS456?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7gqg3r$d0j$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 119738

Ok, I see that a bit of advice is needed here, before you blow something up! DO NOT, I repeat DO NOT attempt to hook the tape recorder`s Pause jack directly to the jack on the 456, or you will definitely get SMOKE. The tape recorder`s Pause jack is directly in the power line to the tape recorder, and there is about 100 MA flowing thru that line. When you plug the Opto 456 directly into that line, that 100 MA is gonna be apllied to the Opto. You have to rig a relay to do it (5 volt reed realy does a real nice job). Neither side of the jack on the recorder can be connected to ground, at either end, since this will short the 100 MA tape current directly to ground (CRACKLE!)

<dwarren@canada.com> wrote in message
news:3737474f.416500653@news.sprint.ca...
> I see that the tape jack on the 456 is quite small. Are there only
> special tape recorders that have this type of jack? I imagine that
> they are expensive too?
>
> It is only labelled "pause" or do I use the tape out jack on the 2006
> instead?

450. Wanted PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: carljan <carljan@voyager.net>
Message-ID: <7gqga3$q9g@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 119739

I would like to buy a reasonably priced PRO-2006.Mod or no mod
either way.Manual would be nice but not necessary.
e-mail carljan@voyager.net

451. Re: Emergency Frequencies due to Recent Storms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gqh3a$h12$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119740

I subscribe to the ARRL list-server. I don't know if it was sent to
all list subscribers or members only. See http://www.arrl.org/ for info.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

O9's wrote in message <372fedba@news1.jps.net>...
>David, Could you tell us how the FCC got this message out? Was there a
>stated reason? Thanks, AC6FR
>David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:7gnrtq$dp7$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net...
>> The FCC has asked that all amateur operators stay clear of
>> 3900 khz and 7285 khz +/- 3khz until 7-May-1999 21:00 CST.
>>
>> I have heard plenty of the traffic on 7285 LSB. Nothing yet on
>> 3900.
>>
>> David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
>> Baton Rouge, LA
>>
>> Baton Rouge Area Scanning
>> Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
>> -
>> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
>> Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies
>>
>>
>>
>
>

452. FS: AOR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: joegizjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990505191356.04533.00002954@ng26.aol.com>
Source record: 119741

For Sale,

AOR8200 - Full Coverage, used only 1 month
Batteries,
Antenna,
Wrist Strap,
Manual,
PC Interface Cable ($100.00 Value)

Asking $550 + Shipping

This radio is clean without a scratch ....

joegizjr@aol.com

453. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wolfman <da_wolfie1NO@SPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3730d72f@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 119742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

Whew, talk about crossposted...
Anyway, JMURRAY, they DO allow FRS radios in every theme park that I've run into... The bigger ones WILL be crowded. The easiest solution is to spring for a couple more bucks and get radios with CTCSS. The easy way to think of CTCSS is... umm, well... each of your (up to) 14 channels can have up to 38 "subchannels"... just set each of your radios to the same CTCSS code and they'll only hear calls from other radios set to the same channel AND code.
HTH.
BTW, RadioShack has 4 or 5 different models of FRS, and at least a couple of them have the CTCSS feature. HAND

BIGmeanMAMERjammer wrote in message <372E792E.7841@ameritech.net>...
>JMURRAY407 wrote:
>>
>> I just returned from Disney world last week, and Every !!! channel was busy.
>> Loads of people walking around with FRS radios. I even talked to a disney
>> employee in the [park wearing one.
>I did not knnow that they would let you use them there.
>--
>MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
>CELEBRATE IT!!!!

454. WTB: Icom 7000 or 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: richcom@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3733d554.95330621@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119743

```
WTB: Icom 7000 or 7100 Model
Reply email with price and condition.
Rich
```

455. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3730DA1B.7780@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119744
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <372f894c.35213731@news.garlic.com>, Mark
> <m4bz@garlic.SPAMNO.com> writes
> >Must be some cross postings. Try alt.radio.family for more
> >information.
> >
> >Basically it's a UHF "CB" for local communications. No license
> >required. Radios available at many locations, including Wal Mart, most
> >electronics stores, etc.
> >
> >On Tue, 4 May 1999 14:14:19 +0100, Keith Bennett
> ><trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>Errrmmmm....I see, so what's the Family Radio Service then?
> >
> Well this is good news but we don't have any Walmart stores here yet but
> maybe will have some soon! Also not sure whether FRS is available here
> either! But thanks for the information.
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
TRY RADIO SHACK DUDE. ALSO FRS RADIOS ARE SMALLER THAN CB RADIOS.
SO,ME EVEN COME WITH SPEAKER/MIC CONNECTIONS. I HAVE NEVER SEEN ANY
MOBILE/BASE ONES; JUST HANDHELDS.
--
MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
CELEBRATE IT!!!!

456. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3730E3A8.75A5@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119745
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,soc.penpals

joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> In article <7gpv2t$1k3$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>,
> "Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
> > <7gptamqtt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> > >The following comes from the Tandy Support site:
> > >
> > >"Modifying or tampering with the scanner's internal components can
> > >invalidate the scanner's warranty and void your FCC authorization to
> > >operate it.
> > >
> > >What is the legal basis for the FCC to authorize operating a scanner? Has
> > the FCC ever voided such authorization for an individual person?
> > >
> > >
> > Sure they can, just the same as if you illegally modify Ham or CB equipment.
>
> Slightly different, in that the concern for ham/CB equipment is about
> transmitters/transceivers. The notice above is for a receiver only.
>
> > The reason for Tandy's statement is simply for C.Y.A. purposes, so that if
> > someone does tamper with their scanner and then uses it illegally, the
> > individual is responsible, not Tandy.
> >
> > -Phil
>
> I'm sure liability avoidance is exactly the reason for the Tandy statement.
> But I would still like to know if the FCC authorizes the use of a receiver,
> and can withdraw that authorization in an individual case.
>
> --
> Joseph Henry
> Environmental Studies
> 2001 Wisconsin Avenue NW
> Washington, DC 20007
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
That is there to plactate those cellular creeps
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

457. Re: scanner freq range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3730E440.67D8@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

```
Millennium wrote:
>
> Hi,
> Im using a yupi mvt-9000.(100khz-2039mhz)
> Is there a scanner that goes higher (im sure it may be a stupid question)?
> what is it
> whats it cost
> whats it cover
> Please and thank you.
> Thor
>
> Email
> tct@clara.net
I think that maybe ICOM or AOR scanners might go higher. If not then
you might need a Satellite Dissh
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY
```

458. Re: Storm Tracker Freq. for MS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3730E67D.5A62@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

jancieboys@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> Does anyone know or know where to find the freqs that storm trackers in MS
> use? Thx.
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
Try the following link. It might prove useful to do a little more
digging on the net. Also if the ARRL has a website. Ask them. I am
sure that they should know
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

459. Re: Storm Tracker Freq. for MS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3730E68C.1B7D@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

jancieboys@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> Does anyone know or know where to find the freqs that storm trackers in MS
> use? Thx.
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
Try the following link. It might prove useful to do a little more
digging on the net. Also if the ARRL has a website. Ask them. I am
sure that they should know.
http://search.yahoo.com/bin/search?tag=tt.ameritech.sb&keyword=++&trace=a&look=ameritech&s
earch=SKYWARN+&p=SKYWARN+&a=amtec&q=&searchText=&qt=&query=&MT=&SearchE=yahoo
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

460. Re: BEWARE OF ICOM PCR1000 PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Len <myra@theuminas.com>
Message-ID: <7gqok1$ni9$1@antiochus.ultra.net>
Source record: 119749

Well, not exactly a cave, but perhaps I do have more money than brains. I never expected in this day and age that ICOM would take a position like that - you know - you buy a name brand because you expect certain things and you shouldn't have to check the net to find out the bad stuff.

To those who made constructive comments and offered codes and assistance, THANKS!

To anyone who might consider the purchase of this radio, I would still recommend looking elsewhere. Product documentation and support (great as this might be) should not be the responsibility of the web.

/Len

<mdf@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message news:7gqa61$7hq$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> "Len" <myra@theuminas.com> wrote:
>
> > I recently purchased an ICOM PCR1000 (IC PCR1000) and was shocked to find
> > out that there was no documentation on the serial port command interface.
>
> Len, I can only assume that you have been living in a cave for the last year
> or so. Even a cursory check on the net *before* you paid for the receiver
> would have revealed the "shocking" truth.
>
> > After several emails from ICOM on the subject, it is apparent that ICOM is
> > not going to make the details of the command set for this radio available
> > except under license and then only in certain specific cases.
>
> It's a conspiracy, Len.
>
> > While there appears to be information on the net with regard to this
> > interface, it's probably not complete and certainly not supported or well
> > documented, preventing me from using this radio.
>
> It was more than adequate for me to use my IC-PCR1000 with Linux after
> a few hours of opening the box.
>
> > The bottom line is, if you plan to do anything with this radio that is
> > creative, better to find something else to buy. I note that all of

ICOM's
> > competitors DO release the command codes, and I'm replacing the PCR1000
with
> > a unit from AOR.
>
> Len, most rational people would have done some checking before buying.
>
> > My advice is to avoid the hassle and avoid ICOM.
>
> My advice is to avoid the FUD and not listen to Len.
>
> > The software that comes with the ICOM PCR1000 is very basic and quite
> > cumbersome. It could easily be replaced and the functionality of the
radio
> > enhanced with Delphi or Visual Basic, but.....
>
> ... the command sequences are well known at this point, and would take
> a talented programmer nary a day or so to get things going, but I guess
> Len just doesn't have it in him. A pity.
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

461. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3730E7DD.54B8@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Pepsi wrote:
> >
> > : : We could certainly use more sharp fellows like you, Charles. What do
> > : you like to discuss--computer games? music videos? navel piercings?
> > : How do you propose we limit the content of conversations? You must have
> > : several proposals for accomplishing that.
> >
> > We could the HF bands to laugh about how you old guys are all dying off from health
> > problems, that's what we could contribute. :)
>
> We could the HF bands? Mr. "Could contribute" won't you shake hands
> with Mr. "If". "If" is a mighty big word for one who hasn't obtained
> the license. If we had some meat, we could make sandwhichs (if we had
> some bread).
>
> Dave 5H3US, K8MN
>
> --
> For 5H3US photos, go to
> http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/
ALL YOU REALLY NEED TO KNOW ABOUT CW IS SOS. That is just a rule
imposed on newcomers to the ham raio ranks, that says if I had to do it
so should you. If somebody wants to learn it then by all means feel
free but do not impose it on everybody
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

462. Re: Where are Scanner french site???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3730E879.5C61@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119751

Kapou wrote:
>
> Quelqu'un rO©╬pond :
>
> Et bien bon courage dans la recherche de sites franO©╬ais sur les
> scanners, leur utilisation dans ce pays O©╬tant depuis 1994 interdite et
> (trO©╬s) sO©╬vO©╬rement punie par la loi...
> Il existe nO©╬anmoins des sites sur les scanners en franO©╬ais, au Canada.
>
> Quelqu'un a O©╬crit :
>
> > Is there anyone who know where can i find some sites in french about
> > scanners?
> > Thank you.
> > bwagret@nordnet.fr
USE THE FOLLOWING LINK FOR CANADIAN FREQUENCIES, DUDE:
http://search.yahoo.com/search?p=CANADA+%26+RADIO+%26+SCANNING
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

463. Re: digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3730E9BE.6A38@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,soc.penpals

phoenix@uhrad.com wrote:
>
> In article <X_XX2.438$gz2.19556@newshog.newsread.com>,
> "clausen" <mclausen@portup.com> wrote:
> > I recently wrote here to ask about the bc895xlt and if it was a good buy for
> > a newbie.
> > Well I just found out that the Phoenix/Mesa police/fire are going to a 800
> > digital trunked system. Does anyone have any clue where scanner manufactures
> > stand on digital scanners. Is there any hope in the near future for us here
> > in digital land???!!!!!!!!
>
> Most digital trunked systems are also encrypted, thus making them quite
> private. Here in Cleveland our trunked system was one of the first digital
> systems in the country. I don't think Motorola is going to release the
> encrytption algorithym for there systems since that would go against their
> customers wish of privacy.
>
> Joe Molter
> N8IDA
> ARES/RACES Emergency Coordinator
> Cuyahoga County
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
Simply cause a system is trunked does not mean that it is encrpyted.
That maybe the case in some systems but not all. Digital is anothe form
of modulation just like AM and FM
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

464. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%h6Y2.1361$U83.27541@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 119753
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
news:7gptamqtt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> The following comes from the Tandy Support site:
>
>
>
> "Modifying or tampering with the scanner's internal components can
> invalidate the scanner's warranty and void your FCC authorization to
> operate it. If your scanner is not operating as it should, take it to
> your local RadioShack store for assistance."
>
> (LB/tc 4/9/98)
>
>
> What is the legal basis for the FCC to authorize operating a scanner? Has
the
> FCC ever voided such authorization for an individual person?
>
Well, here's WHY that caution is in there...

TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION

CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents

Subpart A--General

Sec. 15.21 Information to user.

The users manual or instruction manual for an intentional or
unintentional radiator shall caution the user that changes or
modifications not expressly approved by the party responsible for
compliance could void the user's authority to operate the equipment.

And the primary rules, which, you will note, state that OPERATION of any
device required to be certified, etc, under the Part 15 rules (And scanning
receivers specifically must be certified) is prohibited without a seperate
individual license to do so, in subsection c, if you void the certification
by modifying it.

TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION

CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents

Subpart A--General

Sec. 15.1 Scope of this part.

(a) This part sets out the regulations under which an intentional, unintentional, or incidental radiator may be operated without an individual license. It also contains the technical specifications, administrative requirements and other conditions relating to the marketing of part 15 devices.
(b) The operation of an intentional or unintentional radiator that is not in accordance with the regulations in this part must be licensed pursuant to the provisions of section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, unless otherwise exempted from the licensing requirements elsewhere in this chapter.
(c) Unless specifically exempted, the operation or marketing of an intentional or unintentional radiator that is not in compliance with the administrative and technical provisions in this part, including prior Commission authorization or verification, as appropriate, is prohibited under section 302 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and subpart I of part 2 of this chapter. The equipment authorization and verification procedures are detailed in subpart J of part 2 of this chapter.

465. Re: AR-8000 Flat Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: volunteer14 <pandr@microdsi.net>
Message-ID: <925958412.010.33@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119754

Check with optoelectronics, I remember when I bought my optolinx I wanted a backup ribbon cable just for that reason. I remember though it wasnt cheap l- like $35.
Jim Finney wrote in message <3727AD86.977A1B48@worldnet.att.net>...
>
>Hello,
>
>I have lost my flat ribbon cable that connects the AR-8000 to the
>Optolinx unit. Anyone know of a source for a replacement cable?
>
>Thanks,
>
>Jim.....
>--
>
>Jim Finney
>==============================
>jpfin@worldnet.att.net
>
>

466. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack C Empson Jr <empson@acsu.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <3731021D.1B1E6B11@acsu.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 119755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

That's ok we can argue all we want about keeping the code test, but something needs to be done to bring people into amateur radio not keep them out. Soon enough all the brass pounders will be gone and then the FCC can sell of the rest of the ham spectrum. How soon we have forgotten about 220 MHz.

****
* Jack Empson Instructional Support Technician *
* State University of New York @ Buffalo *
* 10 Norton Buffalo, New York 14260 *
* Voice 716.645.3518 Fax 716.645.3198 *
****

186000 mps it's not just a good idea, it's the law!

467. Re: Official Trunker Homepage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neal Fildes <n.r.fildes@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gr11g$es8$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119756

this is the closest thing there is to an official page.
most other business is run through the trunker list or the trunkerbeta
private list.

if you don't find the source code, please let lblanton know. it should be
mixed into the same archive.

nrf

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7gq20t$2km$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com...
>
> Phil Smalley wrote in message
<7gq1qn$2kf$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
> >Is there an official trunker home page, or is the one on
> >http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/digital.htm it?
> >
> >The reason that I ask is because the source code for trunker (I assume it
> >should be trunker.c or something similar) is missing from the latest
> >download version. I saw etrunk.c in the archive, but I don't think that's
> >it.
> >
> >Thanks,
> >-Phil
>
>
> You know what? I took a second look and it is indeed etrunk.c - my bad...
>
> Still would like the URL to the official site. Thanks again.
>
> -Phil
>
>

468. Acars Airmaster Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pat McGrath <patricio@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <925962309.067.76@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119757

Where can the AirMaster Acars decoder be obtained for the best price?

469. Scanning Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Kickert <steve_kickert@usinternet.com>
Message-ID: <37311ac1@news1.usinternet.com>
Source record: 119758

I just bought Scancat Gold SE for windows and I was wondering if anyone else out there is using it and what do they think of it?
I am controlling a AOR8000 with it.

Also, is anyone using any other scanning software that they find they like?

Steve

470. Re: Storm Tracker Freq. for MS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <mannod@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <7gqv8u$c6v@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 119759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

You might try this site. http://www.skywarn.org/

BIGmeanMAMERjammer wrote in message <3730E68C.1B7D@ameritech.net>...
:jancieboys@my-dejanews.com wrote:
:>
:> Does anyone know or know where to find the freqs that storm trackers in
MS
:> use? Thx.
:>
:> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
:> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
:Try the following link. It might prove useful to do a little more
:digging on the net. Also if the ARRL has a website. Ask them. I am
:sure that they should know.
:http://search.yahoo.com/bin/search?tag=tt.ameritech.sb&keyword=++&trace=a&l
ook=ameritech&search=SKYWARN+&p=SKYWARN+&a=amtec&q=&searchText=&qt=&query=&M
T=&SearchE=yahoo
:--
:I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

471. Re: BEWARE OF ICOM PCR1000 PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <kd4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <373108FE.4AC4@webdeals.net>
Source record: 119760

Pepsi wrote:
>
> All the commands worth knowing are compiled and are publicly available along
> with the schematics and ICOM tuning program.
> If you want to make some of your own programs, there are tons of info out there for >
programming
> the PCR1K.
> Don't talk down the PCR1000 just because ICOM is a bunch of greedy assholes.

I wasn't following the thread...and since no one quotes the original
message anymore I'm not sure what the original comment was but it sounds
like someone was bitching about the software for the Icom PCR-1000 and
100. Just to let you know....Scancat gold now supports *Both* the 1000
and 100. If you don't like the Icom program then try the free demo of
Scancat.

BTW...I just got my PCR-100. I bought it off this newsgroup and love it.
I have owned more scanners/receivers than most people have seen and the
PCR-100 is by far the best.
Even over a AR-8000 or AR-2500! I can't wait to take it portable with
the laptop. The spectrum scope is the best feature of all...I will never
be able to live without it!
I can't wait to get my hands on its big brother...the PCR-1000.

--
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
KD4LYP's ham radio and scanner page!
East Tennessee info.

472. Re: Who owns a VX-5R HT Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: *****reinaga@cnmnetwork.com*****
Message-ID: <3730d0b5.4112754@news.cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 119761
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You need to change the band to wide-fm in order to hear tv stations.
hope this helps

On Tue, 20 Apr 1999 16:25:23 -0700 (PDT), jedi_force@webtv.net wrote:

>Can any one tell me if they have any problems hearing in the 470-510
>mhz? When I get into these freqs, I hear the station but also I hear
>alot of distortion and a lot of like zapping sound. It's not the antenna
>cause I use the same antenna on my Pro 2005 and my scanner sounds good
>but my VX-5R sounds like crap! Also is there a mod so I can restore the
>900mhz freqs? I did the transmitt mod. Works great!
>

473. Re: Yupiteru:Bandercom:Javiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: *****reinaga@cnmnetwork.com*****
Message-ID: <3730d1d9.4405134@news.cnmnetwork.com>
Source record: 119762

I didnt order from bandercom but i did order from Javiation. I ordered via 2 day air dhl. Package was sent on a friday and I received the package on monday. Couldnt complain. Package was not tampered with and it was labeled "Radio".

When I order again it will be from Javiation.

On Thu, 29 Apr 1999 10:09:40 -0500, John Smith <something@spam.net> wrote:

>I just received my MVT-9000m3 from Bandercom. It took about 8 days via
>USPS. It wasn't opened and there was no indication on the labelling as to
>what was inside.
>
>Lee Rothman wrote:
>
>> according to Bandercom, generally the shipments that they have sent by
>> UPS have not been opened by customs.
>

474. charging BC120XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <PDEREKH@Megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <01be969d$d1783320$4872d6d8@ns1.megsinet.net>
Source record: 119787

Can anyone give a better idea of how the charging works on the Bearcat scanners with plugging it into the wall, or car adapter. Does the car adapter also charge the battery? Do I have to unplug the scanner from any power source once the battery is fully charged? What hapens if I am away from the car or not at home and the battery dies?

If you could let me know the answers to these questions, that weould be great!

Paul Hoffmann
Aurora, IL

475. FS-Mobile SW w/am-fm auto reverse cassette

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990504224252.15924.00002152@ng20.aol.com>
Source record: 119788

This is the Phillips DC-777 and is as new condition. It comes complete with all original hardware, manual and box. The unit has never been mounted anywhere.
The receiver is a standard size in-dash radio unit.

It features a auto-reverse cassette player with 20 memory stations, digital frequency select, direct band access, and scan. It even has full stereo controls such as balance, treble, fade, and bass. This is truely a beautiful unit.

Asking $450 shipped to lower 48 States.

476. Re: BEWARE OF ICOM PCR1000 PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <5MOX2.457$xy1.1297@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 119789

Len:

This situation with ICOM and the PCR-1000 series has been known for some time. As a result, several users have put in a lot of hard work into compiling a documented command list. I have such a list, that I could email you, if you'd like? I can't take any credit for it, but it is public information, so I'd be glad to email it to you. There are also several third-party programs that have been developed for the PCR series, so it's not impossible. What type of functionality are you trying to implement?

Bill Crocker

Len <myra@theuminas.com> wrote in message
news:7goc9b$86r$1@antiochus.ultra.net...
> I recently purchased an ICOM PCR1000 (IC PCR1000) and was shocked to find
> out that there was no documentation on the serial port command interface.
> After several emails from ICOM on the subject, it is apparent that ICOM is
> not going to make the details of the command set for this radio available
> except under license and then only in certain specific cases.
>
> While there appears to be information on the net with regard to this
> interface, it's probably not complete and certainly not supported or well
> documented, preventing me from using this radio.
>
> The bottom line is, if you plan to do anything with this radio that is
> creative, better to find something else to buy. I note that all of ICOM's
> competitors DO release the command codes, and I'm replacing the PCR1000
with
> a unit from AOR.
>
> My advice is to avoid the hassle and avoid ICOM.
>
> The software that comes with the ICOM PCR1000 is very basic and quite
> cumbersome. It could easily be replaced and the functionality of the
radio
> enhanced with Delphi or Visual Basic, but.....
>
> 73,
> Len
>
>

477. Re: hand-held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <FNOX2.458$xy1.1151@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 119790

ICOM R2, R10, Alinco DJ-X10T, come to mind!

Bill Crocker

Tim Bryant <trbryant@flash.net> wrote in message
news:01be969a$3d188660$6d461ed1@flash.net.flash.net...
> I keep hearing of a hand-held scanner with continuous coverage from 550
khz
> through 1.3 gig. It's supose to cover the a.m. broadcast band, short
> wave, f.m. broadcast band, tv audio, and all the scanner frequencies. Who
> make such a radio and where can it be had?
>

478. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Johns <jeffj@scott.net>
Message-ID: <199904042239.PNR03922@scott.net>
Source record: 119791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA writes:

> And nobody is advocating that you not be allowed to do just that, Richard.
> Nobody is talking about eliminating CW - just about eliminating Morse
> code testing. Do you think that CW is such an undesirable mode that nobody
> would continue to use it after the requirement is dropped?

I can't help but wonder what hams debated about 50 years ago? The internet
has allowed us to exchange ideas and thoughts in an almost instananeous
manner, sometimes I also wonder if this is good or bad :-/

73 Jeff W4JEF

--------- Jeff Johns W4JEF - AMSAT# 32615 - QRP-L# 1857 ----------
jeffj@scott.net w4jef@amsat.org	Reserve Patrol Captain
Satellite: Mir R0MIR-1, AO-27	Jefferson County Sheriff's Dept
200LX+BayPac+FT50=Portable Packet	QTH Birmingham, AL USA
---

479. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jj@no.net>
Message-ID: <372FB5E3.2750@no.net>
Source record: 119792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Young wrote:
>
> charles copeland <charles1@netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:charles1FB82tq.83w@netcom.com...
> > I wasted my time learning CW and getting a general lisense. HF is
> > overwhelming used by fuddy-duddies who use it to talked about health
> > problems. Or re-re-re-hash tales of WWII. What a bore.
> >
> > The fellow is smart to stay away till the CW requirement is dropped.
> > Yes, things will change when HF priviledges are GIVEN away, thank god.
>
> You think that's something? You should see the re-re-hash of
> code-no-code in the internet newsgroups.
>
> Do what I do, Charles, keep turning the knob, and don't key the mike
> until you have something to say!
>
> Michael.

That's just it, most new hams have nothing useful to say.

480. OS535 / Pro-2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <2xPX2.23$c%.4641@nntp1>
Source record: 119793

Does anyone know of a facility in the Northern NJ area qualified to install
an OPTO OS535 card in my RS PRO-2042?

Thanks

--
Paul
NJ

481. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dogbert@mindless.com
Message-ID: <372fbd19.186983537@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 4 May 1999 15:36:17 GMT, jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu (Trent Phillips) wrote:

>
>
> As I see it, it comes down to this...
>
>
>
> While it is true that there are a few (and I mean a FEW) who would
>like Ham Radio dumbed down into just another version of CB. But this is, by
>far, nothing less than a tiny minority of the people on the no-code side of
>the debate. Likewise, now every pro-coder is an old Fuddy Duddy who is
>stuck in the 50's.

A sensible take on things I'd say.

I've made the suggestion before, and I'll repeat it again -- for those concerned that removing the CW requirement will turn ham radio into another CB circus, the solution is to retain the CW tests at each code speed, but offer a corresponding optional written test that can be taken instead. The questions on this test should focus on good operating practices, technical knowledge from the next licence grade, emergency and net operating procedures instead of just FCC rules and regulations. I know the FCC doesn't regulate some of these areas, so perhaps HAMS would write these tests with an eye to what they want all hams to know. :)

>
> The real problem, that only a few are addressing (like the editors
>of PopComm), is how this plays to the people who are looking to get into
>Ham Radio.
>
Yup.

> First of all, anyone who has a problem with the code, or would
>rather skip it, is simply pelted with insults. I, for one, was called
>"lazy" because I was for skipping code altogether. I guess having dyslexia
>makes me lazy. In order to get a waiver from the code, I would have to
>spend big money doing to doctors for the paperwork.
>
You seem to have overcome your dyslexia in this forum perfectly well.

> Or, I could go no-code. While I am not interested in VHF, I am also
>uninterested in hearing about how I would not be a "RealHam (TM)" because I

>am a no-code tech.

I suspect that even if the code requirement were abolished tomorrow,
this attitude would persist for many years to come.

CW is almost an aesthetic pleasure for some, who can't imagine that
there might be those who would not thrill to this rather amazing form
of communication. And of course, hard-core DXers and QRP'ers will
remain around forever. CW may never die, regardless of technology.

> Gee, with all of this going on, you wonder why more kids are not
>getting into Ham Radio ? Unless you fit into some kind of cookie-cutter
>definition of "RealHam (TM)", you get dumped on coming or going.
>
> SWLing looks a lot better as an alternative all the time. It's
>rewards, while at times a bit more modest, tends to come at a much more
>reasonable price.
>
> There was a time when SWL's where the best group for Hams to recruit
>out of, but that time has come and gone. Ham radio now needs to ask why so
>many SWL's are voting with their feet.
>

I started with SWL, got interested in ham radio, and got a licence
quite some time ago. Now, I barely ever get on the air, but still
come back to shortwave listening over and over.

> The civil war in Ham Radio is only acting to turn many people away.
>I for one do not look for strife, insults, abuse, judgment and childish
>behavior in a hobby, I look for fun. Myself and others are willing to put
>forth the effort. But there is a distinct difference between meeting
>requirements and running a gauntlet of frat-house like initiations.
>
> Yeah, I could pass every technical part of the tests, I could
>easily learn the proper operating rules. But is it worth it to enter a
>hobby where anyone who dares disagree with "RealHams (TM)" is lazy, a
>crybaby, a spoiled cyberkid, etc. ?
>
> Ham Radio has only one place to look for the source of it's
>problems. It's called a mirror. People are voting with their feet, my
>friends. I am the last person in the world that wants to see Ham Radio die,
>but the choice is not mine-
>
> It's all up to the hobby.
>
> When AM came along, many where opposed.
>
> When SuperHet. receivers came along, many where opposed.
>
> When SSB came along, many fought it.
>
> Change is always coming, why fight it ?

>
> The issue is bigger than CW. The real issue is what kind of hobby
>Ham Radio has become, or rather, what it has degenerated into. While SWLing
>has it's share of silly moments, it has not degenerated into the sort of
>malicious, snotty behavior that now appears (from the outside at least) to
>be slowly permeating Ham radio.
>
> Perhaps it's because SWL's listen ?
>
>
>--
>"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
> itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
> and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
>----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

482. Re: BEWARE OF ICOM PCR1000 PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990505003441.01553.00001290@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 119795

Len where have you been. there is some great software out there and COMPLETE information on eveything you need for the PCR1000. It will stand next to anyother desktop you can send me esp with the DSP installed>

483. modification on Emerson ats-803a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pape <the_Pape@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7gonol$ra1$1@cti15.citenet.net>
Source record: 119796

Does anyone now how to modifie world band receiver Emerson ats-803a
to get more then 150 khz to 29.9 mhz and 87.5 to 108 mhz ?

484. 978 area code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Loch_Moigh@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28570-372FE528-164@newsd-121.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119797

Looking for freq's for Leominster/Fitchburg tactical and detective if any. Also, is C-4 using any 800mhz freq's yet?

485. Re: BC 245XLT release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Loch_Moigh@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28572-372FE6B2-67@newsd-121.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119798

I asked my local dealer today when I was picking up ScannerMasters pocket guide and he said late June/July.

486. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepsi <pepsi@cola.org>
Message-ID: <4C26F16801B0B583.62AE288F9E1B24AB.45CF53B571B0C241@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
: That's just it, most new hams have nothing useful to say.

And Talking about hating "Niggers" and talking about your bowel cancer,
and talking about CW when your hands are rotting off from arthritis is useful?
Get real hypocrite.
```

487. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepsi <pepsi@cola.org>
Message-ID: <214F4B22F87EE1F2.49C38BEDD846D966.029D74D6F37B2B21@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
: Just seems to me that we're better off for having this skill in
: the community.

That's the point.
It's HAM is no longer a skill, its now just a nostalgic hobby, just
like playing video games is.
There is nothing useful about HAM now nor life saving nor nothing worth
getting "community" attention for.
HAM's importance is now in the minds of old men, no longer in reality.
```

488. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepsi <pepsi@cola.org>
Message-ID: <6ED01EA2028AE8F6.94FD318250F9574C.CBF5E1BBB5F8A35B@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Nah, just update the tests to reflect current technology instead of forcing us to learn useless stuff like CW and vacuum tubes.

: I've made the suggestion before, and I'll repeat it again -- for those
: concerned that removing the CW requirement will turn ham radio into
: another CB circus, the solution is to retain the CW tests at each code
: speed, but offer a corresponding optional written test that can be
: taken instead.

489. Re: BEWARE OF ICOM PCR1000 PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepsi <pepsi@cola.org>
Message-ID: <C790252E9E063683.3B9C4BAAACC85F9D.F4943E0335C2256A@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119802

All the commands worth knowing are compiled and are publicly available along
with the schematics and ICOM tuning program.
If you want to make some of your own programs, there are tons of info out there for
programming
the PCR1K.
Don't talk down the PCR1000 just because ICOM is a bunch of greedy assholes.

490. Re: Emergency Frequencies due to Recent Storms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <JqSX2.834$Xs1.124539@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 119803

> SB QST @ ARL $ARLB029
> ARLB029 COMMUNICATIONS EMERGENCY DECLARED
>
> ZCZC AG29
> QST de W1AW
> ARRL Bulletin 29 ARLB029
> From ARRL Headquarters
> Newington CT May 4, 1999
> To all radio amateurs
>
> SB QST ARL ARLB029
> ARLB029 COMMUNICATIONS EMERGENCY DECLARED
>
> UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF SECTION 97.401 OF THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
> COMMISSION'S RULES AND REGULATIONS, (47 C.F.R. PART 97) A
> COMMUNICATIONS EMERGENCY IS DECLARED TO EXIST IN THE OKLAHOMA AREA
> DUE TO THE VIOLENT TORNADOES AND EXPECTED SEVERE WEATHER, REQUIRING
> THE PROTECTION OF AMATEUR EMERGENCY COMMUNICATION FREQUENCIES.
> AMATEURS ARE REQUIRED TO REFRAIN FROM USING 3900 KHZ FROM NOW UNTIL
> MAY 7 AT 2100 CENTRAL STANDARD TIME. ALSO, AMATEURS ARE REQUIRED TO
> REFRAIN FROM USING 7285 KHZ FOR THE SAME TIME FRAME. BOTH
> FREQUENCIES ARE PROTECTED PLUS OR MINUS 3 KHZ UNLESS AMATEURS ARE
> TAKING PART IN THE HANDLING OF EMERGENCY TRAFFIC. THIS ORDER IS
> EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY. ARLAN K. VAN DOORN DEPUTY CHIEF COMPLIANCE
> AND INFORMATION BUREAU FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON,
> DC.
> NNNN
> /EX
>

491. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: a@b.net
Message-ID: <372fecb2.116822921@news.istep.com>
Source record: 119804

On Tue, 4 May 1999 14:44:07 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Why not set up a mailing list at onelist for this? Then those who wish to
>submit their stories and share with others can do so for free in a
>semi-private forum. I'd be glad to participate...
>
>-Phil
>
>You are just asking for trouble. OK for yourself, but what about the rest of the
people who love listening to their radios? How about a little
consideration for them?
If this were a 'legitimate' request (should such a thing be possible
for the media) then the name of the publication would have been given
in the original post.
What this will probably end up being is a sensationalist bullshit
article about how 'Kids with scanners are threatening National
Security'.

492. Re: Hide Your Scanners, Guns, and Money: America Is Being Run By A Communist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <37300C57.6F14E7A0@uswest.net>
Source record: 119805
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This sounds a little too much like a conspiracy theory to me.
Do you guys have any idea how much computer time this would take up?

Even the current Cray II (rev2.19) cannot keep up with the amount
of network traffic, let alone do a keyword search on every document
passing through it......

Besides, with the availability of strong encryption for the masses
(PGP),
it may become infeasible for the government to even begin trying this.

Hawke

BungHole wrote:

> : Every time you enter the name of a prominant government
> : official onto the net...a super-computer at the National
> : Security Agency documents the time, location & message.
> : It's then evaluated for security threat. Most are
> : ignored as general messages...but a few are passed onto
> : the FBI for investigation. I'm totally serious.
>
> This is true, I can also verify this.

493. Re: MayCom AR108

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <MA5SdOA2ABM3EwUk@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 119806
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7fuifbcet1@newsource.ihug.co.nz>, Mike Jackson
<mikej@ihug.co.nz> writes

>Has anyone used one of these very good looking airband scanners? Is the
>sensitivity as good as quoted? Find details at

The AR-108 is an excellent little Airband receiver in terms of receiver
performance. Like many of the "micro" receivers around now (IC-R2,
COM510 etc.) their performance is excellent.

It is a perhaps little awkward to drive but like many sets once you in
the swing of things no real problem.

The downside of the set though is the "delay" which can be varied but
results in the set stopping on a channel for the delay period regardless
of the length of the transmission.

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

494. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <3730122C.6658@cats-net.com>
Source record: 119807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark Morgan wrote:
>
> On Mon, 03 May 1999 20:08:03 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Mark Morgan wrote:
> >
> >> The
> >> Burden involved in learning code clearly increased in today world. The
> >> need for doing as declined. these are simple facts (the debate comes
> >> when you try and say how much)
> >
> >The debate is now whether you can verify this increased burden and the
> >decline in necessity. You invented your simple "facts".
>
> You dsipute that Code is less nessary today than when it was
> the on;ly mode in extence? Gee I admit I thank that was pretty plain
> do really disute it. You also deny that world operates at a different
> and fastre pace leaving most people less therefore Morse Code is more
> of a burden, Gee are you sure you get out at all?

Again, Mark, you lack reading comprehension. I made no claim regarding
morse testing when CW was the only mode. Morse testing was required
when I was first licensed in '63 and it was required when I passed the
Extra exam in '77. You've asked if I deny that the world operates at a
faster pace than it did years ago. Yes, I deny that times passes any
more quickly than it did eons ago. My perception of it might be
different. Your days are as full or as empty as you wish them to be.
Asking a guy who travels the globe for a living if he gets out at all is
pea-brained.

> >
> >> No we are not equal, because you are being allowed to use your
> >> modes on HF and I am not, thereforeyou have been rights beyond those I
> >> have, therefore we don't have equal rights.
> >
> >> BTW while I do think that Morse Coded Cw is as anet thing bad
> >> for the ARS. I don't seek to impose that position on those that
> >> disagree. You as close to a first thought suggest baning other
> >> peopples Modes. Another Common systom of the ProCoders wanting to
> >> control others. You want me to spend my time as you like, but I don't
> >> seek to impose my views on you. I merely ask, nay I demand the same
> >> rights in Public airwaves that you have
> >

> >Forsooth, Mark! You already have the same rights. The opportunity to
> >pass the same exams I passed exists for you. You get no guarantee of
> >success. Your demand only makes you look silly.
>
> To you of course it does. but then you refuse to admit you ursurp thmy
> rights to that airspace IT is mine by right, and rescrition made on my
> access must by be needed, Th at burdern is on you to prove that Morse
> Code is needed, not on me to prove it is not. I may look silly to you,
> but your claim that you have right to have your mode exaglted ablive
> all others makes you look like well a thief, a bigot, and indeed to me
> down right unamerican even antiamerican. It is all a mater of point of
> view

It's a mother of a point of view, alright. You seem to claim rights not addressed by the Constitution. You have no birthright to an amateur license at all. No one does. You have no HF access because you haven't passed the exam. I have them because I passed the exam. At various points in time during my nearly 36 years on the air I've held only partial HF access when I held Novice, General and Advanced Class tickets. Now, as an Extra, I have all the FCC permits. Did I know somebody or bribe somebody? Nope. I passed the exams.

You are right about your looking silly to me. Yes, I refuse to admit usurping "your" airspace. Your ridiculous claims that I'm a thief or bigot or calling into question my patriotism make you look more pea-brained than the "do you get out at all?" query.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

495. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <3730148D.114A@cats-net.com>
Source record: 119808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Pepsi wrote:
>
> : : We could certainly use more sharp fellows like you, Charles. What do
> : you like to discuss--computer games? music videos? navel piercings?
> : How do you propose we limit the content of conversations? You must have
> : several proposals for accomplishing that.
>
> We could the HF bands to laugh about how you old guys are all dying off from health
> problems, that's what we could contribute. :)

We could the HF bands? Mr. "Could contribute" won't you shake hands
with Mr. "If". "If" is a mighty big word for one who hasn't obtained
the license. If we had some meat, we could make sandwhichs (if we had
some bread).

Dave 5H3US, K8MN

--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

496. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <37301506.A70@cats-net.com>
Source record: 119809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Pepsi wrote:
>
> : Just seems to me that we're better off for having this skill in
> : the community.
>
> That's the point.
> It's HAM is no longer a skill, its now just a nostalgic hobby, just
> like playing video games is.
> There is nothing useful about HAM now nor life saving nor nothing worth
> getting "community" attention for.
> HAM's importance is now in the minds of old men, no longer in reality.

...and, Mr. Troll-of-the-week, how would you know?

I guess it's back to baiting the Zen-Buddist newsgroup, huh?

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

497. Any AR5000 news?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: (unknown)
Message-ID: <3733150d.503109363@NEWS>
Source record: 119810

Well, need to upgrade my shack.

The AR5000 looks good, but it has been around for quite a long time.
Will they announce a new gear, day after I placed my order?

Thanks, if anybody knows about their product policy,
keep us informed?

greetZ

nom.

498. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <373017a1.164695410@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 5 May 1999 02:17:18 -0500, "Pepsi" <pepsi@cola.org> wrote:

>: Just seems to me that we're better off for having this skill in
>: the community.
>
>That's the point.
>It's HAM is no longer a skill, its now just a nostalgic hobby, just
>like playing video games is.
>There is nothing useful about HAM now nor life saving nor nothing worth
>getting "community" attention for.
>HAM's importance is now in the minds of old men, no longer in reality.

Bullshit. I suspect that lives were saved by Ham radio within
the last 48 hours here in the US but it was not based on Code skill. I
know that hams were ready to do the same here in Illinois
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

499. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: lovas@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gpamq$993$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 119812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

What has happened with code is a reflection of todays society instant gratification culture mixed with a dumbed down educational system. I agree that in commercial communications code may be obsolete but one of the most important duties of a ham radio operator is to help and sometimes be the only emergency communications means. Under heavy interference by other services or even in low power, code still remains an effective means of communications. I cannot see the problem in learning code except that of a little extra effort. Maybe 13 WPM should be the maximun requirement for the upper licenses. It is true that hams are no more in the forefront of technological developments in radio communications but we still remain as a viable reserve of trained radio operators with knowledge in the radio communications field well beyond that of the laymen.Nonetheless, if the requisites for licensure continue to be dumbed down to a ridiculous level, we will just as well merge with the already chaotic 11 meter band which is our best example of what happens when some controls are not present. Some insist that ham radio has always been elitist because of its requirements.In reality ham radio has been blessed with people from all walks of life and all the socioeconomic levels. The common factor has been a real interest in learning the minimun technical and communication skills and putting up that little extra effort to just do it.

Guido KP4FAR

> Personally, I have never had a problem with the no-code
> license, or the elimination of the CW requirements from the
> ham exams. What is a complete turnoff, in my opinion,
> is the all-to-prevelant attitude that CW is dead and obsolete,
> and that some folks feel they "deserve" an amateur license
> with no effort on their part.
>
> Regards
>
> Peter, K1ZJH
> "The Radio Connection" Popular Communications Magazine
>
> Trent Phillips wrote in message <7gn45hlao1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>...
> >
> >
> > As I see it, it comes down to this...
> >
> >
> >
> > While it is true that there are a few (and I mean a FEW) who would
> >like Ham Radio dumbed down into just another version of CB. But this is, by
> >far, nothing less than a tiny minority of the people on the no-code side of

> >the debate. Likewise, now every pro-coder is an old Fuddy Duddy who is
> >stuck in the 50's.
> >
> > The real problem, that only a few are addressing (like the editors
> >of PopComm), is how this plays to the people who are looking to get into
> >Ham Radio.
> >
> > First of all, anyone who has a problem with the code, or would
> >rather skip it, is simply pelted with insults. I, for one, was called
> >"lazy" because I was for skipping code altogetherLIVES !-------------
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

500. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: lpdavies@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <7gp7cf$9km@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 119813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Come on, guys! I rember how
Carl and Jerry used CW when
their car went into the ole
ravine, and the following
month when they lost their
prop, they sent spark-plug
CW off'n the Evinrude, and
the following month they
used a reflective belt-
buckle... and...and... don't
youse 'member? Sheesh!

GL
--
Paul W2SYF/4 Ft Lauderdale
"Heisenberg may have slept here... "
Leslie Paul Davies
lpdavies@bc.seflin.org

501. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <373022e3.167577497@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 05 May 1999 09:41:00 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>Mark Morgan wrote:
>>
>> On Mon, 03 May 1999 20:08:03 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Mark Morgan wrote:
>> >
>> >> The
>> >> Burden involved in learning code clearly increased in today world. The
>> >> need for doing as declined. these are simple facts (the debate comes
>> >> when you try and say how much)
>> >
>> >The debate is now whether you can verify this increased burden and the
>> >decline in necessity. You invented your simple "facts".
>>
>> You dsipute that Code is less nessary today than when it was
>> the on;ly mode in extence? Gee I admit I thank that was pretty plain
>> do really disute it. You also deny that world operates at a different
>> and fastre pace leaving most people less therefore Morse Code is more
>> of a burden, Gee are you sure you get out at all?
>
>Again, Mark, you lack reading comprehension. I made no claim regarding

No you lack mthe reading comprhension. since Iam refering to the days
when CW was the only mode, I am right in saying it less important now
than then. That is a fact

I wioll not bother with the rest of the drivel of a bigot that thinks
the spanish speakers should bann from the ARS in america
Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

502. Re: Where are Scanner french site???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kapou <kapou@cybercable.fr>
Message-ID: <373027A5.7CC6D4E9@cybercable.fr>
Source record: 119815

Quelqu'un rï¿½pond :

Et bien bon courage dans la recherche de sites franï¿½ais sur les
scanners, leur utilisation dans ce pays ï¿½tant depuis 1994 interdite et
(trï¿½s) sï¿½vï¿½rement punie par la loi...
Il existe nï¿½anmoins des sites sur les scanners en franï¿½ais, au Canada.

Quelqu'un a ï¿½crit :

> Is there anyone who know where can i find some sites in french about
> scanners?
> Thank you.
> bwagret@nordnet.fr

503. Re: Hide Your Scanners, Guns, and Money: America Is Being Run By A Communist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: yarpfaff@erols.com
Message-ID: <373031c1.585736@news.erols.com>
Source record: 119816

On Wed, 05 May 1999 02:16:07 -0700, hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
wrote:

>This sounds a little too much like a conspiracy theory to me.
>Do you guys have any idea how much computer time this would take up?
>
>Even the current Cray II (rev2.19) cannot keep up with the amount
>of network traffic, let alone do a keyword search on every document
>passing through it......
>
Ever hear of parallel processing?
To reply to this message, replace "yar" with "ray"

504. Wanted: MDTMON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor <vaxiotis@home.com>
Message-ID: <U6XX2.26$G71.27405@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 119817

If anyone knows the whereabouts of the site that contained mdtmon or
actually has the program, would you please respond to this post.
Regards,
Victor
vaxiotis@home.com

505. New Scanner Site Update!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mat <m@mail.com.au>
Message-ID: <BsXX2.25495$MB3.36665@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 119818

Try

www.ozemail.com.au/~mkpowell

New Digital Section!
Regards

506. Re: Well I See M. Alexander is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: frankw@soltec.net
Message-ID: <7gpk9t$i4c$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 119819

Can anyone identify this malexder? I have no idea who he is or what his agenda might be, but I, the Frank Wells referenced below, deny the bs listed below.

In article <19990410084828.28894.00001548@ng-cg1.aol.com>,
malexder@aol.com (MAlexder) wrote:
> >From: beech@grabmail.com
>
> And you Frank Wells are still a skulking lowlife coward trying to hide behind
> various names to harrass me after I had your butt kicked off your ISP. Looks
> like I'll take of this one too (as well as let the folks at NCSA know that
> you're still up to your usual antics).
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

507. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7gpgt1$e09$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

REALLY thoughtful and insightful post Mr. Lee.

EXACTLY the attitude that keeps this debate going.

William Lee wrote in message <7gn8jq$h4n$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
>Man.... why dont you stay a SWL and forget Ham radio?

508. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7gph44$e5m$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You saved me from responding directly!

This is ridiculous.

Both sides are spouting bullshit now, and trying to get the issue to
black
and white, on and off, left and right. Neither side seems to grasp the
truth of the other side, and that 99% of the people are not at the
extremes.

CW is useful.

CW is neat.

CW is fun.

CW is NOT a lid filter.

CW should NOT be a gating factor.

CW should NOT be required.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

Mark Waldron wrote in message <372FCB7B.5E3AE052@nationwide.net>...
>Boring.
>*YAWN*.....zzzzzZZZZZ.....
>
>Oops, I'm sorry...were you trying to say something?
>*YAWN*....zzzzZZZZZzzzzz.....
>
>
>
>JJ spake thusly, from his anal orifice:
>
>> That's just it, most new hams have nothing useful to say.
>

509. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7gphsjequ1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Exactly!!

But how much CW was useful or used?

This arguement is 100% for the elimination of CW as a requirement. If
it's strictly a question of providing trained operators for emergencies
(a VERY valid arguement) then CW should GO IMMEDIATELY. Numbers
of properly trained operators is NOT provided by blocking out otherwise
desirable people with an artificial gating factor.

And I know, CW skills teach proper traffic handling techiques. That I
will agree with. CW operators DO know how to 'share' a spot in the
spectrum, and CW traffic handlers SHOULD have the techniques
and operator awareness to operate in a congested traffic situation.
But that's BULLSHIT to apply it to traffic handlers today. Not when
packet is much more efficient in an emergency. Or voice when
used properly. The days of a CW operator holed up being a link to
the outside world disappeared a long time ago. (Unless the Russian
and Chinese missles all fire on Jan 1, 2000.)

And (I'm ready for another flame war here!) todays traffic operators
are a farce. OK, 99.999% of the operations are a farce. I hear ZERO,
ZILCH, NADA traffic handling on simplex. They squat on repeaters
to run their traffic nets, and have little or no training in simplex
where
they need to develope skills and most importantly operational attitudes.
If an emergency really hit, and the pool of local repeaters was reduced,
(heck, even it they didn't loose a single repeater) those that 'stayed
up'
would probably be immediatly restricted to emergency service. So
what are the traffic people going to do with their flood of non-critical
health and welfare messages? Panic and break down, that's what!
They're spoiled by the low turnouts and light load on their repeater
traffic nets, and the discipline would crumble in a heavy traffic load
on simplex.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

Mark Morgan wrote in message <373017a1.164695410@nntp.one-eleven.net>...
>On Wed, 5 May 1999 02:17:18 -0500, "Pepsi" <pepsi@cola.org> wrote:
>
>>: Just seems to me that we're better off for having this skill in
>>: the community.
>>

>>That's the point.
>>It's HAM is no longer a skill, its now just a nostalgic hobby, just
>>like playing video games is.
>>There is nothing useful about HAM now nor life saving nor nothing
worth
>>getting "community" attention for.
>>HAM's importance is now in the minds of old men, no longer in reality.
>
> Bullshit. I suspect that lives were saved by Ham radio within
>the last 48 hours here in the US but it was not based on Code skill. I
>know that hams were ready to do the same here in Illinois
>>
>
>Mark Morgan
>kb9rqz.
>
>Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
>Unsolictied email will be published forwared
>or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.
>
>sending unsolitcied Email will be
>deemed aceptance of these terms

510. digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: clausen <mclausen@portup.com>
Message-ID: <X_XX2.438$gz2.19556@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 119823

I recently wrote here to ask about the bc895xlt and if it was a good buy for a newbie.
Well I just found out that the Phoenix/Mesa police/fire are going to a 800 digital trunked system. Does anyone have any clue where scanner manufactures stand on digital scanners. Is there any hope in the near future for us here in digital land???!!!!!!!!

511. Re: hand-held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37304C1E.70E6B1C5@ibm.net>
Source record: 119824

Tim Bryant wrote:
> I keep hearing of a hand-held scanner with continuous coverage from
> 550 khz through 1.3 gig. It's supose to cover the a.m. broadcast
> band, short wave, f.m. broadcast band, tv audio, and all the scanner
> frequencies. Who make such a radio

Those on today's market include:
Alinco DJ-X10
DJ-X5
AOR AR16
3000A
5000+3
AR7000B
AR8000
AR8200
Camnis HSC-150
HSC-190
HSC-200
Commtel COM510
iCOM IC-PCR100
IC-PCR1000
IC-R10
IC-R100
IC-R2
IC-R8500
Stabo XR-100
XR-2000
Standard AX400B
Trident TR-2000
TR-4000
TRX-100XLT
Welz WS2000
WiNRADiO WR-1000i/e
WR-1500i/e
WR-3100i/e
Yupiteru MVT-7100
MVT-7200
MVT-9000

> and where can it be had?

Try here: http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/dealers.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA

http://www.strongsignals.net/

512. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gpiev$ms0$1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In a previous article, pepsi@cola.org (Pepsi) says:

>: What is a complete turnoff, in my opinion, is the all-to-prevelant =
>attitude that CW is dead and obsolete,
>: and that some folks feel they "deserve" an amateur license with no =
>effort on their part.
>
>There is no honor and glory of being a HAM anymore, it is just now a =
>hobby for nostalgia sake.
>Therefore we all deserve to be HAMS as there is nothing to gain from =
>using HAM anymore and there
>is nothing special about HAM's anymore except inheriting a bunch of =
>narrow minded old farts for new friends/enemies.
>
>
>

To be fair...

When I look at what Ham Radio has done in the past, and what Ham
radio could do in the future, I find that Ham Radio has so much potential.
I, for one, am the last person who wants to see it die.

--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

513. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Ruther <michael.ruther@alliedsignal.com>
Message-ID: <37304E34.8AF2C745@alliedsignal.com>
Source record: 119826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Michael Young wrote:
> > How will relaxing licensing
> > requirements help achieve the self-education objective?
>
> Eliminating outdated requirements will leave more time for learning
> relevant subjects.

like learning to built a stable VFO? har harmike aa7qa

> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

514. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7gpi6c$f3i$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Now wait a minute, tubes can be justified. Much more easily than CW.
(Can you tell I own an old Swan?) Tubes are still the only practical
way
to get into the mega-power arena easily. Solid state amps over 100W
are just too fragile....

I'm not saying everyone should know how to design tube projects, but
they should at least have a basic understanding of tube theory. Then if
they need to do anyting with a tube, they look it up in a book. (I may
get flamed for that, but hey, I still look EVERYTHING up when I design
something. My old TI TTL Data Book is falling apart from use!)

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

Pepsi wrote in message
<6ED01EA2028AE8F6.94FD318250F9574C.CBF5E1BBB5F8A35B@library-proxy.airnew
s.net>...
Nah, just update the tests to reflect current technology instead of
forcing us to learn
useless stuff like CW and vacuum tubes.

: I've made the suggestion before, and I'll repeat it again -- for those
: concerned that removing the CW requirement will turn ham radio into
: another CB circus, the solution is to retain the CW tests at each code
: speed, but offer a corresponding optional written test that can be
: taken instead.

515. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marv Luse <mwluse@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <37304FB2.5C951EC8@dimensional.com>
Source record: 119828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Pepsi wrote:

> : Just seems to me that we're better off for having this skill in
> : the community.
>
> That's the point.
> It's HAM is no longer a skill, its now just a nostalgic hobby, just
> like playing video games is.
> There is nothing useful about HAM now nor life saving nor nothing worth
> getting "community" attention for.
> HAM's importance is now in the minds of old men, no longer in reality.

I though Pepsi only rotted teeth, but I see it can rot a brain as well. Or maybe your teeth are your brain?

Marv
AB0IO

516. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gpj8h$o4r$1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In a previous article, commquart@erols.com (CommQuart) says:

>Greetings Trent
>
>You get an A+ for reasoned, well-thought out replies in my
>book.
>
>Personally, I have never had a problem with the no-code
>license, or the elimination of the CW requirements from the
>ham exams. What is a complete turnoff, in my opinion,
>is the all-to-prevelant attitude that CW is dead and obsolete,
>and that some folks feel they "deserve" an amateur license
>with no effort on their part.

I do not think CW is without it's applications. It is obsolete in
the sense that it is not nearly as important as it once was, it is by far
not the only mode on the block. But it is not nearly so obsolete as to be
without a number of applications where it does the job just fine.

I would even go so far as to say that there should be at least
some bands reserved for CW !

Where I differ is where I see CW's place.

For example, to get a higher liscence, your code has to be faster.
Code speed is just not important enough anymore to be a deciding factor in
giving a higher level of liscence.

Thanks for taking a moment to remove the personalism that is the
biggest threat to Ham Radio today.

>Regards
>
>Peter, K1ZJH
>"The Radio Connection" Popular Communications Magazine
>
--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

517. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gpjkpius1@pale-rider.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In a previous article, willee@interconnect.net (William Lee) says:

>Trent, It sounds like you are very happy as a SWL. Why do you want to become
>a HAM if it is:
>1. Impossable for you to learn code.
>2. Too expensive to get a CW waver.
>3. Too much greif and insults from other hams.
>4. Full of other people who are Fuddy Duddy's.

Well, I wanted to experiment with some ideas I had for HF.

>
>Man.... why dont you stay a SWL and forget Ham radio?

Forget it ? Who was it that brought this deabte into the SWL
newsgroup anyways ?

>Or do you think it would all change if someone would just GIVE you a
>license.

This is a personal argument. When a person cannot debate the issue
at hand, or rather, is unable to, they start to sling mud.

I know more than enough about electronics, I studied on my own.
Noone gave me what I know, I had to go out there and learn it. I guess if I
don't see things the same way, I am lazy.

A late friend of mine told me that when the other side of a debate
slings mud, you have won.

Thanks =-)
--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

518. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <mannod@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <7gpk5u$pg2@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 119831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Is there a reason for this thread to be cross-posted to a scanner NG.
Someone explain the relevance.
Trent Phillips wrote in message <7gpj8h$o4r$1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>...
:
:In a previous article, commquart@erols.com (CommQuart) says:
:
:>Greetings Trent
:>
:>You get an A+ for reasoned, well-thought out replies in my
:>book.
:>
:>Personally, I have never had a problem with the no-code
:>license, or the elimination of the CW requirements from the
:>ham exams. What is a complete turnoff, in my opinion,
:>is the all-to-prevelant attitude that CW is dead and obsolete,
:>and that some folks feel they "deserve" an amateur license
:>with no effort on their part.
:
: I do not think CW is without it's applications. It is obsolete in
:the sense that it is not nearly as important as it once was, it is by far
:not the only mode on the block. But it is not nearly so obsolete as to be
:without a number of applications where it does the job just fine.
:
: I would even go so far as to say that there should be at least
:_some_ bands reserved for CW !
:
: Where I differ is where I see CW's place.
:
: For example, to get a higher liscence, your code has to be faster.
:Code speed is just not important enough anymore to be a deciding factor in
:giving a higher level of liscence.
:
: Thanks for taking a moment to remove the personalism that is the
:biggest threat to Ham Radio today.
:
:>Regards
:>
:>Peter, K1ZJH
:>"The Radio Connection" Popular Communications Magazine
:>
:--
:"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
: itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
```

: and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
:----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

519. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <37305790.9D354B34@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Michael Ruther wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Eliminating outdated requirements will leave more time for learning
> > relevant subjects.
>
> like learning to built a stable VFO? har harmike aa7qa

What's wrong with that? I built one two weeks ago for
my QRP rig.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca
```

520. Re: modification on Emerson ats-803a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <7gpleg$j4n$2@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 119833

Pape (the_Pape@hotmail.com) wrote:
: Does anyone now how to modifie world band receiver Emerson ats-803a
: to get more then 150 khz to 29.9 mhz and 87.5 to 108 mhz ?

Unless they used the exact same model #, I strongly doubt that your radio
is a Emerson.
Most likely, your radio is actually a Sangean.
BTW, if your unit covers 150 Khz-29.9 Mhz, then your radio is already full
coverage.
Mods seem unlikely(at least for coverage anyway)-but other mods do
exist for the 803.

521. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepsi <pepsi@cola.org>
Message-ID: <2BC94DBCEEE8887C.BCFC32B2F480349A.61658A7DC8803525@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey, I was doing good for only two hours of sleep. :)

: We could the HF bands? Mr. "Could contribute" won't you shake hands
: with Mr. "If". "If" is a mighty big word for one who hasn't obtained
: the license. If we had some meat, we could make sandwhichs (if we had
: some bread).

522. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepsi <pepsi@cola.org>
Message-ID: <FC86D2D9A5400070.206302C9C04F7E92.69C2D1F813CABC12@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

: Bullshit. I suspect that lives were saved by Ham radio within
: the last 48 hours here in the US but it was not based on Code skill. I
: know that hams were ready to do the same here in Illinois

What a joke.
I would feel more comfortable looking for someone with a Cel Phone than a HAM.
Oh yeah, just look for the real ugly looking fat guy with 500 radio's hanging off him and a morse key between his butt cheeks, typical HAM.
In this modern day, A HAM is like a security guard, nothing but a policeman wannabee.

523. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepsi <pepsi@cola.org>
Message-ID: <A21B7A1A7EAF39E0.F39F87DEAF298DC7.799D981C0289E4AF@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

: When I look at what Ham Radio has done in the past, and what Ham
: radio could do in the future, I find that Ham Radio has so much potential.
: I, for one, am the last person who wants to see it die.

But HAM is doing nothing now except a bunch of old farts talking about "the good ole days" and inviting each other to visit their web pages.
There is nothing being done worth doing on HAM anymore and a bunch of people who are happy with the death of HAM as long as no one works THEIR frequencies.

524. Re: discriminatorm for Icom IC-R2 has it been found?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ingra-Beton@t-online.de
Message-ID: <372F8B07.129D8EE0@t-online.de>
Source record: 119925

asnow schrieb:
>
> Has anyone found information on the location for a discriminator tap on
> the Icom IC-R2?
> I would appreciate any info, I'd like to hook mine up to some decoding
> software for a change.

The NFM disc is on the connector J1 pin 16, (WFMS) , do not
vorget to use a 0.1 microfarad Condensator.

--

Goran Vlaski (Ingra-Beton@t-online.de)
http://vlaski.virtualave.net/
http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/
---

525. Re: Which tape recorders work with the OS456?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Knut Otterbeck <otterbeck@c2i.net>
Message-ID: <7go274tt1@romeo.dax.net>
Source record: 119926

I think the tape jack only functions as an on/off signal intended for
the tape-motor to be turned on/off. It either brakes the loop/current
that goes thru it (recorder off) or it connects the loop (recorder on)

A purpose-built recorder has a connection with a similar tape jack.

If you dont have a purpose-made recorder ,just use two wires out
of the OS456-jack to connect to the negative power cord of the
recorder (only if the recorder uses 12Volts or less)

Please arrest me if I am wrong ,but I think that is how it works.

Knut Otterbeck
Oslo - Norway

<dwarren@canada.com> wrote in message
news:3737474f.416500653@news.sprint.ca...
> I see that the tape jack on the 456 is quite small. Are there only
> special tape recorders that have this type of jack? I imagine that
> they are expensive too?
>
> It is only labelled "pause" or do I use the tape out jack on the 2006
> instead?

526. Re: Looking for 155.550/155.490/155.445MHz Xtals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: joegizjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990504203349.09224.00002272@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 119927

155.490 is the main channel for th Bayonne Police in NJ

Joe

527. Ham Radio & Electronics Resources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <7go73nbpq1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>
Source record: 119928

Hello All,

This is Mike, KQ6MU.
Please check out my Ham Radio & Electronic Resources Page.
It has info on Satellites, Satellite Tracking, Yaesu,
FT-847, FT-50R, HF/VHF/UHF, and tons of useful Resource Links.
The URL:

http://kq6mu.8m.com

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

528. Re: Can a scanner (2006 w/OS456) get too hot?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <19990504203529.17153.00002605@ng01.aol.com>
Source record: 119929

```
>>New,Plain
>>
>
>$415.00 UPS ground.
>

Ouch, no thanks.
Greg
```

529. Re: Which tape recorders work with the OS456?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <19990504204123.17153.00002609@ng01.aol.com>
Source record: 119930

> or do I use the tape out jack on the 2006
>instead?

Yes, I use the tape out jack with a RS voice activated recorder. Works like a champ.
Greg

530. Re: Power of the TINYTENNA!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David R. Ward" <wa1rd@home.com>
Message-ID: <uPMX2.2453$612.1325@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 119931

Doing more harm than good Old Man.

531. Re: windows scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David R. Ward" <wa1rd@home.com>
Message-ID: <AVMX2.2455$612.1329@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 119932

I tried the short wave version and found its selectivity and sensitivity to be very good, excellent actually. It is very easy to use and you can select the interface that works best for you. It is not modifiable. Use Javiation.COM to order the unblocked European version. But beware of the obvious - be prepared to DEDICATE a computer to this cause.

532. FS-Unblocked pro-2004/NOT AT EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990504211957.13856.00000501@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 119933

IN EXCELLENT CONDITION, WITH THE ORIGINAL MANUAL AND BOX.

NO DENTS, SCRATCHES OR PROBLEMS.

$230 SHIPPED LOWE 48!

533. Re: Needed: Strong filter for obscene neighbor with CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@SPAMSUXhome.com>
Message-ID: <372FA04C.358A1228@SPAMSUXhome.com>
Source record: 119934
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

One thing you SHOULD NOT do is to push a pin thru his coax so that it shorts the shield to the center conductor! Remember that you SHOULD NOT do this because it may set you up for a lawsuit and it probably would be illegal. Also, it may smoke his transmitter. You positively SHOULD NOT do this!

Pete Ritter | "He's not such a bad
cpritter@SPAMSUXhome.com | bloke really, but he is
To reply, please remove "SPAMSUX" | a bit of a cynic."

534. hand-held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Bryant <trbryant@flash.net>
Message-ID: <01be969a$3d188660$6d461ed1@flash.net.flash.net>
Source record: 119935

I keep hearing of a hand-held scanner with continuous coverage from 550 khz through 1.3 gig. It's supose to cover the a.m. broadcast band, short wave, f.m. broadcast band, tv audio, and all the scanner frequencies. Who make such a radio and where can it be had?

535. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <372FA898.FD35C61A@IEEE.org>
Source record: 119936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Richard Kunert wrote:
> I believe in an emergency situation I could hack together some sort of CW
> transmitter out of practically any electronic components that were on
> hand; ...

And nobody is advocating that you not be allowed to do just that, Richard.
Nobody is talking about eliminating CW - just about eliminating Morse
code testing. Do you think that CW is such an undesirable mode that nobody
would continue to use it after the requirement is dropped?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

536. scanner book(s)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: clausen <mclausen@portup.com>
Message-ID: <F5nY2.156$Hi3.7249@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 119587

I recently got an issue of Popular Communications and saw ads for a million things I never knew were used for scanning. Is there a good book(s) that deal with the fundamentals of scanning, PC software, mods etc. If there is such a book what and where can I get it?

Thanks,
Matt

537. Re: 235 Trunktracker Volume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7gsu84$2jh@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119588

In <7gsr3u$cmn@chronicle.concentric.net> "CitySlicker"
<mannod@concentric.net> writes:
>
>The volume seems low on this scanner even with the volume knob turned
all
>the way up. Is this common for this unit? Is there a fix?
>
>
>
this is the most common complaint about
this model...the only one.

you have to either get an auxiliary
speaker to hook up or get a hearing
aid.

or speaker ear-phones.

whatever works.

amplified speakers best . have a set
in office and a set in auto when I
take them with me....

bill conduit
etc.

538. Re: Bearcat BC60XLT - How do I scan a range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edmund Prevost <Protech@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <3732031B.2422@concentric.net>
Source record: 119589

King wrote:
>
> I recently purchased a Bearcat BC60XLT scanner at a yard sale and I'd like
> to know how to scan a range of frequencies on it.
>
> Here is the location of a picture of the scanner:
> http://www.serv.net/~king/bc60xlt.html
>
> I figured out how to set each of the 10 channels: go to that channel, enter
> the frequency and press 'E'. But how do I scan a range of frequencies, for
> example everything between 148 and 174 MHz?
>
> I'd appreciate any ideas. Thanks in advance.
>
> Dan
> king@serv.net
Check to see if this scanner is also made by radio shack because i
believe all the rs scanners have manuals posted somewhere on the web.
--
Edmund A. Prevost, LPI
Protech Investigations, LLC
New Orleans, Louisiana
1-800-752-6756
FAX 504-454-3133
Protech@Concentric.Net

539. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <926024857.018.72@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Mike,

I must agree with you, although I don't agree with your predicted outcome. I don't know why CW is required. It just is. I think I understand why CW has its own sub-bands. It doesn't matter, though; it just IS. We think we know the why of band allocations, and power restrictions. It doesn't matter; they just ARE.

I don't know if learning CW makes you a better ham. I don't know if the rationale for the rule is simply to make sure you can read and follow a rule. I don't care; it just is.

Learn the code; don't learn the code. I don't care. It's not my rule, and I'm not the enforcer. Even if there is no other reason: you read the rule; you complied with the rule; you passed the test. See how simple?

Turn a new leaf; make life easy for yourself. Fat, dumb, and happy are the watch words for today.

Michael.

Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com> wrote in message
news:7gssl6$9og$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >For that matter, on HF, how can you tell which CW station is
> interfering with
> >you, if you can't read its call?
> >
> >Is it possible that there are other legitimate NEEDS for Morse Code
yet
> to be
> >found?
>
> I'm sorry, but this is REALLY getting lame. If the pro-coders depend
on
> arguements like this, then HAM radio is not only going to go no-cw,
but
> we'll loose the CW subbands as well.
>
> Mike Yetsko
> N1DVJ
>
>
>
>
>

>

540. Re: 235 Trunktracker Volume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <37320A3B.CB537927@fnal.gov>
Source record: 119591

CitySlicker wrote:

> The volume seems low on this scanner even with the volume knob turned all
> the way up. Is this common for this unit? Is there a fix?

Yes, it is working as designed. A trick I learned here which works in the
car w/ a cassette deck is to connect it via an adaptor that looks like a
cassette, but has a wire coming out of it with a stereo jack that plugs into
the earphone jack. You can then play it as loud as your stereo goes.

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov
BC100XLT
BC235XLT
PRO-2035
PRO-90

541. Re: external battery voltage!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gt2rd$7ec$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119592

pharoe99 wrote in message <7gs5jdqjr1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>hello to all!! i was wondering if anyone could answer a question for me???
i
>would like to power my hand held scanner with external battery power but do
>not know what voltage would be safe to run the scanner without doing any
>damage to it..ive heard some people say they use a 12v battery others use
>alarm battery and some have even built there own!!!!! is it safe to use a
>12-15v battery to power a hand held scanner that has a 9v power supply to
>power it up from the wall????any other mods or suggestions would really
help
>me out, thanks please send reply to my e-mail address,
boidman32@netscape.net

I use a 12V sealed lead-acid alarm battery to power my 895xlt and 2026 scanners which are designed to run on 12VDC and 13.8VDC respectively. In your situation, I would look for the same thing only in a 9VDC package (I'm sure there are some out there). You'll also want to make sure the battery puts out at least the same amount of amperage as the wall plug, or else your scanner will run for about 5-10 minutes and then quit. The battery I purchased puts out about 600MA. I'm guessing (read it again, GUESSING, so check the specs of your scanner and be sure) that you'll need about 300-500MA for your scanner.

Here in Phoenix we have a chain of stores called Batteries Plus, which is where I went for mine. The staff there was very knowledgeable and recommended a perfect setup for my radios, which included a special floating point charger, designed to keep the battery peaked so that it can be left plugged in at all times without overcharging the battery. You will want to get something like this as well. The whole setup ran me about $30.

And, to those who like to make wild assumptions on the group, no I don't work for them, and I'm not being paid to write this. I'm just a very satisfied customer, who gladly recommends these guys to others.

-Phil

542. Re: Official Trunker Homepage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gt411$7r0$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119593

Robert Dubenezic wrote in message
<7gr8cq$pc8$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>...
>www.trunktracker.com
>

Thanks for the reply, but that's not it. That's the official Uniden
Trunktracker page. I already knew about that one.

-Phil

543. Re: Official Trunker Homepage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gt441$7up$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119594

Neal Fildes wrote in message <7gr11g$es8$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
>this is the closest thing there is to an official page.
>most other business is run through the trunker list or the trunkerbeta
>private list.
>
>if you don't find the source code, please let lblanton know. it should be
>mixed into the same archive.
>
>nrf

Thanks Neal, I did find the source code I was looking for.

How does one subscribe to the trunker list? Is it at onelist, or someplace
else?

Thanks again,
-Phil

544. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don or Darla Arnold <DArnold@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <37321809.2096AFA7@datasync.com>
Source record: 119595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

WELL SAID
don
kb5fhx

Keith Lodahl wrote:

> Don W6JL wrote:
>
> > Marv Luse wrote:
>
> SNIP
>
> >
> >
> > If you're not wired for it, there are many other modes to use. That is one of the
> > beauties of ham radio.
> >
> > 73,
> > Don, W6JL
>
> That is the point. There are many modes that don't use CW. Many that are interesting and
> satisfying that don't need knowledge of CW to use. The hobby is diverse and growing more
> so all the time. Yet there is truly only one test for suitability to belong to the club:
> knowledge of code.
>
> I have the skill to pass the practical test (and have with the training software) through
> Advanced, yet I hold only a Tech ticket because of the code. I am still trying but I
> haven't found my method for learning code yet. I memorized the tapes, and have tried a
> couple of different computer tutor programs, but so far I have not been able to master
CW.
> I will get it eventually, but it is not coming easy. I resent comments from other hams
> about being an "appliance user" or the comments on this list about code being a "moron
> filter." We all have assets in different areas. Learning the code is just plain a bitch
> for me, and is for others.
>
> I see Techs filling key spots in many clubs, taking on important jobs in weather nets,
> coordinating public service activities and the like. Some of these folks will upgrade,
and
> some will not. The code will be an obstacle for some, the practical test will be an
> obstacle for some, and some are content with what they can do with their current ticket.
> None deserve to be looked down upon because of lack of code speed.
>
> --

> Keith Lodahl
> Mayville, Wisconsin

--
OUR WEB PAGE UPDATED 17 April 99
http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Valley/6430 Darla and Don's Website
http://hattiesburg.net/columns/darnold.shtml My online column

DARLA (dawn) ICQ# 7341931
Don (weathernut) ICQ# 14664294

Right now we are on the threshold of whatever comes next!!!
MAYBE!!

545. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <37321F90.83E8CB6D@uswest.net>
Source record: 119596
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Its called part 15.

Modifying a scanner can have serious side effects (such as producing unwanted spurious emissions strong enough to interfere with vital service (in case you don't believe this, I have encountered some problems like this with a neighbor who had a modified scanner planting a spur right on the police band input frequency for their 450 mhs machines here).

Hawke

joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> The following comes from the Tandy Support site:
>
> "Modifying or tampering with the scanner's internal components can
> invalidate the scanner's warranty and void your FCC authorization to
> operate it. If your scanner is not operating as it should, take it to
> your local RadioShack store for assistance."
>
> (LB/tc 4/9/98)
>
> What is the legal basis for the FCC to authorize operating a scanner? Has the
> FCC ever voided such authorization for an individual person?
>
> --
> Joseph Henry
> Environmental Studies
> 2001 Wisconsin Avenue
> Washington, DC 20007
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

546. Re: Hide Your Scanners, Guns, and Money: America Is Being Run By A Communist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <37321EEF.B339C265@uswest.net>
Source record: 119597

Yes I have.
However, it is still not cost effective to be blowing 7.5 million a piece on a bunch of crays.. Even I know that most admin types in government are not smart enough to know how to do this on cheaper (and more cost effective) systems....

Hawke

Ray Pfaff wrote:

> On Wed, 05 May 1999 02:16:07 -0700, hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
> wrote:
>
> >This sounds a little too much like a conspiracy theory to me.
> >Do you guys have any idea how much computer time this would take up?
> >
> >Even the current Cray II (rev2.19) cannot keep up with the amount
> >of network traffic, let alone do a keyword search on every document
> >passing through it......
> >
> Ever hear of parallel processing?
> To reply to this message, replace "yar" with "ray"

547. Re: fs/sportcat sc150b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: moa1213@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990506190038.22402.00000207@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 119598

Im interested in your scanner, do you still have it?

548. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37322004.1B4B@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119599
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,soc.penpals

```
Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <3730E3A8.75A5@ameritech.net>, BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbroo
> kltnkid@ameritech.net> writes
> >I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY
> Here, borrow a fire extinguisher!
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
BUT THE LADIES DO NOT LIKE IT WHEN MY FIRE IS OUT
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY
```

549. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art Becker <artbecker@pipeline.com>
Message-ID: <7gt7vdhcv1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119600

>> I've both e-mailed this "reporter," <<

Now do you really want to take a chance that some hack will totally distort what any of us say, just in order to "prove" the point he or she already has in mind? They can distort the facts quite well without our help, and my experience has been that explaining anything even remotely technical to a person who has already made up their mind not to learn anything technical, is another waste of time. Good luck with the judge, too. This might even be a police or prosecutor sting, for all we know, even though they aren't supposed to pose as journalists.

Remember the story in Newsday, where the feds made a big deal out of the suspect having several satellite dishes in his back yard? From 18 inches to several feet? Plus a tower? A reporter wrote that.

And remember how the suspect was accused of intercepting MDT traffic?

Now, you tell me how ANY satellite dish has anything to do with that, or how having a tower makes a person a criminal, or how all the rest of us with 18" satellite dishes that receive DirecTV are criminals. Yet, to read that article, written by a reproter, you'd sure think that something illegal was going on. They don't know the details, and they don't care about the details. They either care about putting x number of words on a page to meet a quota, or getting some fancy headline. Never mind how many innocent people get burned. That's not their problem.

Taking a mess and trying to make it better, especially by using truth and technical expertise, only makes it worse. The news types want splashy headlines. Do you watch Bill Kurtis on A&E? Everything he says sounds like the breathless truth, yet when you analyze it, it is nothing more than common, ordinary facts, usually twisted to "prove" something. Think the Jerry Springer show doesn't use this same forumla? Thing your upbeat local giggling insipid local TV news teams don't use this formula?

About the only sources I even come close to respecting are NEWSWEEK and TIME, as they do seem to research their articles better. Being a weekly, they have the luxury of time. The daily papers and TV stations are always under dealine, so they have a limited amount of time for the truth. And they learn early on not to worry much about that.
And the average person will be more likely to believe what they read in the paper, or see on TV, than what you ro I would say in person.

Forget about this so-called journalist.

<< Art >>

550. FS: Motorola KVL Up Gades for T3011 & T3014 To DX Ver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tech 55 <ST1A55@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <edCJC4Bm#GA.256@cpmsnbbsa05>
Source record: 119601
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Motorola KVL Up Grades for T3011 & T3014 AX,BX or CX

Send me your Motorola Key Variable Loader (KVL)
and I will upgrade it to DX Version to work on the latest radios
such as Astros and MTSX 2000.

$150.00 Parts Labor And Shipping

For more Info and pictures visit: http://www.channel21.com/radio/tech55/

E-mail At: ST1A55@msn.com
Thanks !!! Rich

551. Re: Why so many AOR AR82000 scanners for sale?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.119bd7256891b4be98977e@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119602

OK, I'll bottom line if for you. After having owned one myself, and I have been a hobbyist in this and the shortwave area for 35 years, put simply, I feel that it is a GREAT CONCEPT but nothing more. It definitely falls short in the actual performance area. I consider sensitivity the real acid test for a scanner and it doesn't do it well. I feel that the switches are cheap and have a chintzy feel, not that of quality like that of the Icom IC-R2 and the Yupiteru product, all of which run rings around the 8200. I suppose more and more folks that went out there and were dazzled by the utter technology showcased by the 8200 are not disenchanted with the actual performance. I too have gone down this road.

552. Re: ? on Connecticut State PD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: MacDaddy <Miteel@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7gtducsdh1@news.ycc.yale.edu>
Source record: 119603

I too are curious about the new system they're getting. Any clues
anyone????????
Rocky178 <rocky178@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990505203827.07264.00002918@ng-ck1.aol.com...
> Can anyone tell me if they are using their 800 system in conventional
mode? I
> will be traveling RT. 95 all the way from exit 2 to exit 97. I am curious
if
> they are using 800 conventional yet?
>
> Thanks
> Mike KC2CYE

553. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: J W Schermerhorn <skemi@capital.net>
Message-ID: <37326EF2.7FB6@capital.net>
Source record: 119604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

5/6/99

Except that novices have no phone privileges on the amateur HF bands
that most would want to use.

Don or Darla Arnold wrote:
>
> WELL SAID
> don
> kb5fhx
> >
> > > Marv Luse wrote:

> > > If you're not wired for it, there are many other modes to use. That is one of the
> > > beauties of ham radio.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Don, W6JL

554. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Louis Albert <lalbert@uakron.edu>
Message-ID: <3732443B.5A1E5034@uakron.edu>
Source record: 119605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I can not learn the code because I did not read or write. For some reason I can talk. I would love to have some HF Facilities.
http://www.ifip.com/aphasia.htm

Lou WA8PHD
http://ifip.com/wa8phd.htm
http://www.ifip.com/

555. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <37324AF3.1445@sir.com>
Source record: 119606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Trent Phillips wrote:
>
> As I see it, it comes down to this...
>
> While it is true that there are a few (and I mean a FEW) who would
> like Ham Radio dumbed down into just another version of CB.

If eliminating a Morse Code requirement would turn Ham radio into
another version of CB radio, it would have already happened on all bands
6 meters and above. The truth is, the parts of the Ham bands that sound
like CB are in the code required HF sections. CB is in the HF bands.
HF and AM radio attracts a certain kind of person, Morse Code has
nothing to do with it.

556. Re: Where to "point" my new monitenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.119bf7a271e779d598977f@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119607

You can try rotating it on live signals if you wish, but I already did this and it made no real difference. Just mount it and forget it. It sounds like you did it the right way also.

557. BC6700A Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ivan <clitbone@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37324D7A.DF4A4EEC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 119608

I have a Bearcat BC700A, and I am trying to find information on any
possible mods.
Any help is appreciated.
Ivan

558. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <37324DEA.4ADD@sir.com>
Source record: 119609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Pepsi wrote:
>
> : Just seems to me that we're better off for having this skill in
> : the community.
>
> That's the point.
> It's HAM is no longer a skill, its now just a nostalgic hobby, just
> like playing video games is.
> There is nothing useful about HAM now nor life saving nor nothing worth
> getting "community" attention for.

Actually Ham radio has always assisted in giving communications to
national disaster areas such as Earthquake, Flood, or Tornado disasters.
However, Morse Code is never used in these instances, mostly voice on
the 2 meter band.

559. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karina Wright <karina@cafekarina.org>
Message-ID: <CNsY2.343$3y3.602299@news.abs.net>
Source record: 119610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CW wrote in message
<01be9823$51141320$9a2079a5@spry941360spry08.sprynet.com>...
>
>-- Then what you are saying is that your ability to learn code is based on
>having to take a test. Eliminate the test and you will no longer be able to
>learn it? Public school has obviously taken a toll on your mind if you
>think the whole point of learning is to take a test.

No, I don't think I said that at all. I said that my mind does not have the trick of learning morse code. Never could get it as a kid...although I've been having a little more luck lately what with the advent of really patient computer software. The test was the impetus for attempting to learn it because at that point in my life, there were no options...you had to know code to be a ham and I desperately wanted to be a ham.

560. HELP! New to HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Captain Zero <cptzero@soltec.net>
Message-ID: <373257E5.C3495CD6@soltec.net>
Source record: 119611

I'm going to start in HAM radio and scanner listening. But I don't know which is the best for a beginner and I have no idea about atennas or the neccesary equipment to get started. Please e-mail me at cptzero@soltec.net with names and resources. Is Radio Shack a good place?

561. pro-60 question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Gross <jtg3592@ksu.edu>
Message-ID: <37325A08.464A97FC@ksu.edu>
Source record: 119612

Hello,

I was just wondering about something the other day. I bought a Pro-60 handheld scanner from Radio Shack 2 or 3 years ago when they were closing out for 179.99. I love it to death and use it a lot for military aircraft listening. I noticed that RS doesn't make the handhelds with milair capability anymore. I'm not sure if they have any base scanners with milair. When I bought it, the salesman tried to get us to buy the 5 year warranty that covers everything. It was another $50 but when he said he'd add another year to the warranty (now 6 years) I went ahead and bought the scanner with the extra warranty. Not a thing is wrong with my Pro-60, thank goodness, but I was just wondering what RS would do if something did. When I bought it the manager said if something goes wrong that they can't fix, they have to upgrade your scanner and it will include at least the capabilities of the previous one, if not better. What would RS do since they don't make handheld's with milair. I already have a base scanner, so I sure wouldn't want another. And I use the Pro-60 mainly for milair... This kinda makes me wonder. I sure wouldn't want to settle for less and I don't think anyone else would. Of course, if that happened, I wouldn't mind a 3000XLT (I think that's the nice handheld that bearcat makes, right?) but I doubt they could do anything for me. Would I just be out of luck? Anyone have any experience with something like this? I wouldn't be a happy camper..... Just wondering.

Jeff
jtg3592@ksu.edu

562. Re: Where to "point" my new monitenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.119c0612e7d90895989780@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119613

Based on the results I had that is insanity. I lived on the West Coast over the Coast Range of mountains 2 blocks from the Pacific Ocean. It was the highest performing antenna I tried over several years and that includes several expensive discones, both of the Diamond Stick preamped antennas and others as well. So without a doubt it is the best out there as far as I am concerned. The 50 dollar cost is a real bonus as well. I think it RULES.

563. Re: Official Trunker Homepage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neal Fildes <n.r.fildes@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gtms6$p7g$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119614

trunker@onelist.com

nrf

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7gt441$7up$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com...
>
> Neal Fildes wrote in message <7gr11g$es8$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>...
> >this is the closest thing there is to an official page.
> >most other business is run through the trunker list or the trunkerbeta
> >private list.
> >
> >if you don't find the source code, please let lblanton know. it should be
> >mixed into the same archive.
> >
> >nrf
>
> Thanks Neal, I did find the source code I was looking for.
>
> How does one subscribe to the trunker list? Is it at onelist, or someplace
> else?
>
> Thanks again,
> -Phil
>
>
>
>

564. Wichita KS freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: GasmanT <gasmant@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <37326336.FC46A07@swbell.net>
Source record: 119615

Anybody in Wichita KS have the freqs for McConnell, Police etc.? Cannot find them anywhere. I'll put a page together on one of these freesites for people to get what they need for this area.

any help would be appreciated!

mailto:gasmant@swbell.net

565. Re: NJ Transit frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gtnhr$9u1$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119616

NJ Transit Police

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/home.htm

566. Re: Where to "point" my new monitenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <44290F5F5B336954.10AB49BA48252927.FE8A50B16C9DA409@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119617

The Monitenna is an omnidirectional vertical antenna, not a beam.
Thus, no pointing needed as it won't make a difference anyhow. :)

567. FS: Motorola Maxtrac 300 800Mhz Conv

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tech 55 <ST1A55@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uF9tn7Em#GA.315@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 119618
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
1 Motorola Maxtrac 300 800Mhz 16Ch Conv w/Scan $150.00 + Shipping
2 Motorola Maxtrac 300 800Mhz 16Ch Conv w/o Scan $100.00 + Shipping

For more Info and pictures visit: http://www.channel21.com/radio/tech55/

E-mail At: ST1A55@msn.com
Thanks !!! Rich
```

568. mir station?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millennium <tct@clara.net>
Message-ID: <CzaY2.6389$l71.201539@nnrp3.clara.net>
Source record: 119637

Hi,
Im in wiltshire/south west UK, using handheld Yupi mvt-9000 with 20-006 telescopic/standard duckie

When is the best time to listen for Mir?
What freq/band will the be on?

Thanks
tct@clara.net

569. Bearcat BC60XLT - How do I scan a range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: King <king@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7grdf5$g8r$0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 119638

I recently purchased a Bearcat BC60XLT scanner at a yard sale and I'd like to know how to scan a range of frequencies on it.

Here is the location of a picture of the scanner:
http://www.serv.net/~king/bc60xlt.html

I figured out how to set each of the 10 channels: go to that channel, enter the frequency and press 'E'. But how do I scan a range of frequencies, for example everything between 148 and 174 MHz?

I'd appreciate any ideas. Thanks in advance.

Dan
king@serv.net

570. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <7grdt5mle2@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 119639
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote:
: In article <%h6Y2.1361$U83.27541@news.san.rr.com>,
: "Skip Sanders" <skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:
: >
: > <joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
: > news:7gptamqtt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
: > > The following comes from the Tandy Support site:
: > >
: > >
: > >
: > > "Modifying or tampering with the scanner's internal components can
: > > invalidate the scanner's warranty and void your FCC authorization to
: > > operate it. If your scanner is not operating as it should, take it to
: > > your local RadioShack store for assistance."
: > >
: > > (LB/tc 4/9/98)
: > >
: > >
: > > What is the legal basis for the FCC to authorize operating a scanner? Has
: > the
: > > FCC ever voided such authorization for an individual person?
: > >
: > Well, here's WHY that caution is in there...
: >
: > --------------
: > TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
: >
: > CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
: >
: > PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
: >
: > Subpart A--General
: >
: > Sec. 15.21 Information to user.
: >
: > The users manual or instruction manual for an intentional or
: > unintentional radiator shall caution the user that changes or
: > modifications not expressly approved by the party responsible for
: > compliance could void the user's authority to operate the equipment.
: >
: > --------------
: >
: > And the primary rules, which, you will note, state that OPERATION of any

: > device required to be certified, etc, under the Part 15 rules (And scanning
: > receivers specifically must be certified) is prohibited without a seperate
: > individual license to do so, in subsection c, if you void the certification
: > by modifying it.
: > ------------
: > TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
: >
: > CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
: >
: > PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
: >
: > Subpart A--General
: >
: > Sec. 15.1 Scope of this part.
: >
: > (a) This part sets out the regulations under which an intentional,
: > unintentional, or incidental radiator may be operated without an
: > individual license. It also contains the technical specifications,
: > administrative requirements and other conditions relating to the
: > marketing of part 15 devices.
: > (b) The operation of an intentional or unintentional radiator that
: > is not in accordance with the regulations in this part must be licensed
: > pursuant to the provisions of section 301 of the Communications Act of
: > 1934, as amended, unless otherwise exempted from the licensing
: > requirements elsewhere in this chapter.
: > (c) Unless specifically exempted, the operation or marketing of an
: > intentional or unintentional radiator that is not in compliance with the
: > administrative and technical provisions in this part, including prior
: > Commission authorization or verification, as appropriate, is prohibited
: > under section 302 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and
: > subpart I of part 2 of this chapter. The equipment authorization and
: > verification procedures are detailed in subpart J of part 2 of this
: > chapter.
: >
: >

: So a scanner is a "radiator", even if not a transmitter. Thanks for
: posting these sections.

: --
: Joseph Henry
: Environmental Studies
: 2001 Wisconsin Avenue
: Washington, DC 20007

: ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
: http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

All of the above is true-but I think I see a big hole in the above...
Hams are allowed to use non-type accepted gear(due to the rules allowing
hams to BUILD receivers AND transmitters).

So it would appear that if a person wanted to be totally "clean", all they have to do is get a ham license(not as difficult as you might think), and then a modified scanner would be ok(at least as far as type acceptance goes).
A CMT mod might still be questionable under the latest act of congress however.

571. FS Icom IC-R8500 Scanning Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <37313BA2.5DE8@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Only 4 months old and hardly used. This is a super wide band all mode communications receiver. Covers 0.1 to 2000 MHz. 1000 multifunction tunable memories. Please go to the the link to see more about it. I payed over $1519+tax from AES. I want $1180 for it.
http://www.martin-lynch.co.uk/productguide/ic8500.htm
73 Chris VE3NGW/W4 Florida

572. Re: Wanted: MDTMON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: slapsho7@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <9QcY2.3309$4S.2155486@WReNphoon3>
Source record: 119641

The link is alive and well. try it again!!!

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

573. Re: Bearcat BC60XLT - How do I scan a range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990506061242.19527.00000007@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 119642

>From: "King" <king@serv.net>
>Date: 05-06-1999 01:39 EST
>
>how do I scan a range of frequencies, for
>example everything between 148 and 174 >MHz?

Sorry, but you don't, at least not with that scanner. What you want to do
is "search" a particular frequency range and your scanner doesn't have an
automatic search function. The radio is a very basic one, without any advanced
features, including a search function.
In the future look for radios with a LIMIT key. The LIMIT key is used to
set the upper and lower search limits, i.e. 148 to 174 MHz.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

574. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charley Harp <charp@htonline.com>
Message-ID: <925986551.563.58@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119643
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Actually, rather than being exempt from type acceptance rules, hams are
authorized to issue type acceptance certification, for operation on amateur
frequencies, for equipment they construct.

Take your choice -- violate the rules by operating uncertified equipment, or
by issuing false certification.

Charley, N8MQL Charley_Harp@alum.calberkeley.org
---

marc_k <marc_k@walrus.com> wrote in message
news:7grdt5mle2@alice.walrus.com...
<<< snip >>>
> All of the above is true-but I think I see a big hole in the above...
> Hams are allowed to use non-type accepted gear(due to the rules allowing
> hams to BUILD receivers AND transmitters).
> So it would appear that if a person wanted to be totally "clean", all they
> have to do is get a ham license(not as difficult as you might think), and
> then a modified scanner would be ok(at least as far as type acceptance
> goes).

575. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7grqel$kso@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119644

I
>
>David Jacobson wrote:
>>
>> Do you listen to scanners a lot? Regularly? A journalist for a
national
>> magazine wants to interview you about the fascination of combing the
air waves and listening in. Can be anonymous if you want. Call David
>> 415-386-2125 or contact him at newsfeatures@yahoo.com
>> Thank you.

to the wimp who is too scared to
dial a phone number and spend
.10 per minute to see what this
fellah has in mind.

Plenny services who give head
at .10 per minute...

it would have been better if
the David-alleged-alleged reporter
would have included an 800 number
to do his interviews by.
that would have shown some class.

i'll call him fellahs, i'm just
on the .05 in Calif plan...per
minute to SF

bill conduit
etc.

576. FS: AOR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: joegizjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990506063613.27799.00000005@ng28.aol.com>
Source record: 119645

For Sale,

AOR8200 - Full Coverage, used only 1 month
Batteries,
Antenna,
Wrist Strap,
Manual,
PC Interface Cable ($100.00 Value)

Asking $550 + Shipping

This radio is clean without a scratch ....

joegizjr@aol.com

577. Scanner Article Reporter Look-Seer...You lazy bunch of nothingness

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7grrpo$5b5@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119646

Gee...he gave you a phone number to call

Gee...had you called it you would have discovered
a few things.

Gee...I called it and found out that he uses a
answering machine or device or whatever he has
on his mind.

Gee...he wants to talk with you and he asks you
leave your phone number and he will call you back.

Gee...what a bunch of "ignorant" sissies you guys
still tak stink.

Gee...Oh you clowns will love this one...the guy
is so screwed up he even asks that you call him
on his

1-877-442-2122 Number.

It's TOLL FREE.
His normal number: 1-415=442-2122 is the
San Francisco/Marin County areas....

What have you got to lose except your ignorance!

What have you to gain except your being wrong?

Give a call and quit bitching.

Find out what he's after and if he pays for
info you give to him. Quit letting your mama
do it for you.

bill conduit
etc.

578. Re: NJ Transit frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shaun Whitman <lstrain@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#i4Z746l#GA.174@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 119647

What is it used for?

Shaun Whitman
shaun@railfan.net
Central Jersey Rails
http://JerseyRails.Railfan.net

George Webber wrote in message <37312FF6.3E0FC379@worldnet.att.net>...
>Robert Dubenezic wrote:
>> I am looking for the NJ Transit 800MHz frequency.
>
>Here is what I have:
>856.3875
>857.3875
>858.3875
>859.3875
>860.3875

579. Re: battery voltage!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: E Meyers <hcs777@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <01be97b2$12d6ea40$fbff00cc@default>
Source record: 119648

Use a battery with same amount of voltage as required in specs of
scanner. Check your manual for voltage requirements. My BCT7
uses 12 volt (positive center) lighter adapter in car, 12volt "walwart"
(positive center) so I use an old 12volt burglar alarm battery as backup
that I recharge regularly. My R/S DX390 requires a 6volt 300m/amp
"walwart" (negative center) on the connector. So, BY ALL MEANS,
check the polarity on the pin plug and polarity requirements on your
"radio" before connecting it up to an external battery source. You may
be thinking about amperage, not voltage. A minimum amount of amperage
(usually 300m/amps) is required. More ample amperage is not a problem.
.......E Meyers......

580. mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: birdfan1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990506075547.02313.00000041@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 119649

Does anyone know of any mods for the Bearcat BC350a and BC120XLT.

Any help would be of use.

Thanks

581. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karina Wright <karina@cafekarina.org>
Message-ID: <DOfY2.302$3y3.549261@news.abs.net>
Source record: 119650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

charles copeland wrote in message ...

>Once the CW requirement is dropped, you old hams will have to
>fight for bandwidth to discuss bowel problems. Won't be long ...

I am one of the ones who has way lots of trouble catching on to Morse Code and as a result may never progress beyond Tech No-Code. However, I don't want to see the CW requirement go away, because

a) It will still always be a goal for me. I've always wanted to know a secret language shared by only a few people. Too many books and movies in my wasted youth, I guess; and

b) I don't see how Ham Radio will benefit having an influx of people with your attitude and manners (not, mind you, that there aren't a number of the Code people who can use a refresher course in manners).

582. external battery voltage!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: pharoe99 <boidman32@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <7gs5jdqjr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119651

hello to all!! i was wondering if anyone could answer a question for me??? i would like to power my hand held scanner with external battery power but do not know what voltage would be safe to run the scanner without doing any damage to it..ive heard some people say they use a 12v battery others use alarm battery and some have even built there own!!!!! is it safe to use a 12-15v battery to power a hand held scanner that has a 9v power supply to power it up from the wall????any other mods or suggestions would really help me out, thanks please send reply to my e-mail address, boidman32@netscape.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

583. FA Motorola PX-300S VHF Packset

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: abbylind@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990506090926.05021.00000022@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 119652

Ebay item # 99557433

Thanks!

584. FA Motorola MT1000 16 ch UHF DTMF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: abbylind@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990506091040.05021.00000023@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 119653

Ebay item # 98815859

Thanks!

585. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marv Luse <mwluse@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <373198C1.3FCB22E6@dimensional.com>
Source record: 119654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Jack C Empson Jr wrote:

> That's ok we can argue all we want about keeping the code test, but something
> needs to be done to bring people into amateur radio not keep them out. Soon enough
> all the brass pounders will be gone and then the FCC can sell of the rest of the
> ham spectrum. How soon we have forgotten about 220 MHz.
>
> **
> * Jack Empson Instructional Support Technician *
> * State University of New York @ Buffalo *
> * 10 Norton Buffalo, New York 14260 *
> * Voice 716.645.3518 Fax 716.645.3198 *
> **
>
> 186000 mps it's not just a good idea, it's the law!

Jack,

Brass pounders will always be around, and in large numbers too. As for new blood in Amateur Radio, there is plenty of that too. Where did the notion that Amateur Radio is dying come from? At my extra class exam (recent) there were about 5 youngsters aged about 10 taking the 5 WPM code test. Come to think of it, there were kids testing at every one of the test sessions I attended.

I think we need to worry more about the F layer falling.

73,

Marv
AB0IO

586. Re: Bearcat BC60XLT - How do I scan a range of frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: enosej@tp.net
Message-ID: <3731977f.1011090@news.tp.net>
Source record: 119655

On 6 May 1999 06:39:33 GMT, "King" <king@serv.net> wrote:

>I recently purchased a Bearcat BC60XLT scanner at a yard sale and I'd like
>to know how to scan a range of frequencies on it.
>
>Here is the location of a picture of the scanner:
>http://www.serv.net/~king/bc60xlt.html
>
>I figured out how to set each of the 10 channels: go to that channel, enter
>the frequency and press 'E'. But how do I scan a range of frequencies, for
>example everything between 148 and 174 MHz?
>
>I'd appreciate any ideas. Thanks in advance.
>
>Dan
>king@serv.net

That scanner can't do that... If you'd found the upgraded version that
Uniden put out, the 60XLT-1, that one can search frequencies and also
has 30 channels. I have one of those and it works pretty well.

587. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990506092711.28995.00000063@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 119656

All,

I've both e-mailed this "reporter," and called and left my name and phone number. So far, nada. I'd be interested in hearing from anyone he does contact to find out for which magazine he's writing.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

588. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Grunberg <dangrunberg@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <7gs915ttk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <372D18D3.3727@sir.com>,
Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > BungHole wrote:
> > >
> > > These guys just don't get it do they?
> > > Hello!
> > > No Speaka de english?
> > > Do you comprende?Understand!
> > > Unlike you, we don't have all the time in the world to learn some useless
> > > outdated crap which we won't ever use for the sake of a stupid little
hobby.
> > > We hear you always bitching about losing HAMs but you are unwilling to
make
> > > the changes to get more HAMs, so either shut up or learn to bend.
> > >
> >
> > Then you just don't really want to be a ham.
>
> You don't understand. Perhaps he wants to be a Ham that can talk on HF
> frequencies and never use Morse Code. There are a lot of us like that
> that are licensed hams now.
>
> If someone doesn't want to use packet, but wants to just use Morse Code,
> does that mean THAT person really doesn't want to be a ham too?
>

Here's something else to be considered. Repeater stations, both amateur and commercial, identify themselves in Morse Code. How can you know you're using the right repeater, if you can't identify it because you can't read the repeater's slow Morse ID?

For that matter, on HF, how can you tell which CW station is interfering with you, if you can't read its call?

Is it possible that there are other legitimate NEEDS for Morse Code yet to be found?

--

Daniel A. Grunberg Kensington, Maryland USA

home page: www.nyx.net/~dgrunber

-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

589. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H. Adam Stevens" <alien@jump.net>
Message-ID: <3731A839.B4DB2140@jump.net>
Source record: 119658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Chicken Little is an old tale. No one learns. Seems folks are always the same.
Thank you for an independent observation.
I suspect the same small fraction of 13 year olds are still learning code. Like many things that require a little work up front, CW is worth it.
73
H.
de wa5igw

Marv Luse wrote:

> snip--

> Brass pounders will always be around, and in large numbers too. As for new blood in
> Amateur Radio, there is plenty of that too. Where did the notion that Amateur Radio
> is dying come from? At my extra class exam (recent) there were about 5 youngsters
> aged about 10 taking the 5 WPM code test. Come to think of it, there were kids
> testing at every one of the test sessions I attended.
>
> I think we need to worry more about the F layer falling.
>
> 73,
>
> Marv
> AB0IO

590. Re: Looking for 155.550/155.490/155.445MHz Xtals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wolfman <da_wolfie1NO@SPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3731a9f7@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 119659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

How close are you to the border? Get to your nearest stateside Shack and order them.. have them sent to the store and stop back in 10-14 days.

Gary_Jacek@trap.bc.sympatico.ca wrote in message ...
>Radio Shack Unlimited is no longer available in Canada.
>I actually found an RS store with the RSU catalogs on
>display. When I pointed them out to the salespeople,
>they were hustled out of sight.
>
>Any other ideas?

591. PCR1000 Problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: CILIFF <cliffn@balista.com>
Message-ID: <37319E4A.30B5@balista.com>
Source record: 119660

New out of the box... PCR-1000. No SSB or CW Audio on
Componet Screen and Communications Receiver Screen.... But
Works fine on the Radio Screen !

Am I doing something wrong?

Cliff
--
"Senior Citizen (still) in the learning mode"!

592. Discriminator for winradio 1500i

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Olivier Baltus <obaltus@crisp.nl>
Message-ID: <kr0sg7.5dg.ln@henson.crisp.nl>
Source record: 119661

Hi,

Does some know how to connect a discriminator on the Winradio 1500i

Thanks

Olivier Baltus

593. Why so many AOR AR82000 scanners for sale?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malloy@earth.execpc.com
Message-ID: <7gsapv$okg@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 119662

I have noticed quite a few AOR AR82000 scanners being offered for sale and most are just a few months old. Is there some problem with this unit that people are getting rid of them so soon? I have been thinking about buying one but now I am not so sure.

594. Pro-90 Question/Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: davewor@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gsebn$38s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119663
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I recently decided to get a Pro-90 for the trunk tracking capabilities. One of the systems that I want to listen to is a MotorolaType I system, and I have the Fleet Map info for it. The problem is, the directions do a poor job of telling you exactly how to program the fleet map in. They don't tell you where to start from, and I have tried everything that I could think of. They tell you to "Select the bank where you want to store the preset fleet map by pressing a number key". Great, so I have tried pressing the bank (0 in my case) from scanning mode, truntracking mode, manual mode, everything I could think of. When I went to steps 2 and 3, data doesn't do anything, and then the up and down arrows also don't respond as it says they should. Maybe the answer that I am looking for is completely simple, and I somehow managed to miss it, I don't know, but I would appreciate any help that anyone could offer me.

-Dave

P.S. I apologize if this message shows up twice, I am at work, so I had to use Dejanews to post.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

595. Re: Wanted: MDTMON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3731B44B.2B3A16C2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 119664

This program requires a "hamcomm" interface. Can anyone give me
information on what this interface is and how to acquire one?

Thanks, Piero
n3fvg@worldlynx.net

596. Re: Wanted: MDTMON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3731B490.BC7154F8@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 119665

This program requires a "hamcomm" interface. Can anyone give me
information on what this interface is and how to acquire one?

Thanks, Piero
n3fvg@worldlynx.net

P.S. Please e-mail me directly if possible....Thanks

597. Re: digital television

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Tait <tait@primeline.net>
Message-ID: <3731BA92.C537BC50@primeline.net>
Source record: 119666
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.video.cable-tv,alt.cable-tv,alt.cable-tv.re-regulate,sci.engr.television.broadcast

```
Paul Saunders wrote:
>
> Paul Cuni wrote:
> >
> > What frequency does it transmit on anyway?
>
> To learn about High Definition Televison and Digital Television
>
> visit the online editions of two broadcasting & cable industrusty
> magazines:
>
> http://www.broadcastingcable.com/
>
> http://www.multichannel.com/
>
> Enter the phrases "High Definition Televison" and "Digital Television"
> in the search boxes to read past articles about HDTV & DTV.
>
> HDTV operates on UHF channels, for example:
>
> A TV station will broadcast it's analog signal VHF channel 7
> with it's HDTV signal broadcasting on UHF channel 53.
>
> To "scan HDTV" you will need a rooftop VHF/UHF TV antenna mounted
> on the roof of your house, and a HDTV compatible TV set.
>
> Now, could somebody please explain how digital tv from the cable tv
> company works.

By using an HDTV convertor with your digital cable box.

--
Gary Tait,VE3VBF Homepage: http://www.primeline.net/~tait
*** Do not Email back me newsgroup responses, just post them. ****
Email sent, if replied, will be replied with the provided address,
as is, if it comes back undeilvered,it will be deleted.
```

598. Re: Why so many AOR AR82000 scanners for sale?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Sterling <rick@rickster.org>
Message-ID: <3731BAAD.380206C1@trickster.org>
Source record: 119667

Michael Malloy wrote:

> I have noticed quite a few AOR AR82000 scanners being offered for sale and
> most are just a few months old. Is there some problem with this unit that
> people are getting rid of them so soon? I have been thinking about buying
> one but now I am not so sure.

I see only 3 in the last week or so ... (quite a few???)

Reasons for selling your $550 radio soon after buying it ... ;-)

1. You couldn't really afford it in the first place and need the money. (or
wife leaves you)
2. You're new to the scanning scene ,saw all the good stuff about the 8200
bought it and don't know how to use it and got quickly bored.
3. You're a more seasoned scannist and decide that you really need the $1600
desk top unit after all.
4. you bought it to listen to NATO war planes on HF and discover it can'r be
done on a rubber ducky.
5. You saw a promising report on a competitive unit and 'JUST HAVE TO HAVE IT'
instead.

apply liberal smileys ;-)

Rick

599. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <3731BE8A.11A@cats-net.com>
Source record: 119668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Mark Morgan wrote:
>
> On Wed, 05 May 1999 19:56:18 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >> I wioll not bother with the rest of the drivel of a bigot that thinks
> >> the spanish speakers should bann from the ARS in america
> >
> >Again you've managed to say something which I never stated. Reading and
> >writing are going to be far bigger challenges to you than morse testing
> >since you'll likely need those skills daily.
>
> No you did say it when I asked wether spanish americans should
> be barred for the air you answered YES

You have never asked if "spanish americans" should be barred "for the
air" or anything similar. "F" in reading comprehension. Go back and
read your query and my response five hundred times.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/
```

600. Scan-L renewal ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7gsdn2$hh6$1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 119669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm getting messages on the scan-L list about the need to renew or confirm subscriptions to it. However I never received any notification from the list mamager(s). Can anybody help me with this...the list is "closed" and I don't want to get bumped off it.

Pls email reply. Tnx

harry
marnells@snowcrest.net

601. Re: hand-held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3731BE41.2F67F09@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 119670

Rich Wells wrote:
> Those on today's market include:
> Alinco DJ-X10
> DJ-X5
> AOR AR16
> AR8000
> AR8200
> Camnis HSC-150
> HSC-190
> HSC-200
> Commtel COM510
> iCOM IC-R10
> IC-R2
> Stabo XR-100
> XR-2000
> Standard AX400B
> Trident TR-2000
> TR-4000
> TRX-100XLT
> Welz WS2000
> Yupiteru MVT-7100
> MVT-7200
> MVT-9000

I have amended the above to omit the AR3000A, AR5000+3, AR7000B, IC-PCR100, IC-PCR1000, IC-R10, IC-R8500 and all the WiNRADiO receivers since the original poster was asking about handheld models.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

602. Re: Why so many AOR AR82000 scanners for sale?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <BjjY2.1934$%x.1439@wards>
Source record: 119671

Rick Sterling <rick@rickster.org> wrote in message
news:3731BAAD.380206C1@trickster.org...
> Michael Malloy wrote:
>
> > I have noticed quite a few AOR AR82000 scanners being offered for sale
and
> > most are just a few months old. Is there some problem with this unit
that
> > people are getting rid of them so soon? I have been thinking about
buying
> > one but now I am not so sure.
>
good point I have noticed quite a few in magazines/groups/shops etc it does
seem a little worrying ?

eavsdropper

603. Re: Pro-90 Question/Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dana Vibberts <vibberts.1@osu.edu>
Message-ID: <3731D2A5.1C7CA17@osu.edu>
Source record: 119672
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Dave,
If you haven't already done so, you have to hold the "trunk" key down until it beeps. Both bank and trunk will then be flashing, and you can proceed.
Let me know how you come out.
Dane

604. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <XhUsVSAfIbM3Ew0$@virgin.net>
Source record: 119673
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,soc.penpals

In article <3730E3A8.75A5@ameritech.net>, BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbroo
kltnkid@ameritech.net> writes
>I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY
Here, borrow a fire extinguisher!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/

605. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <willee@interconnect.net>
Message-ID: <7gslji$2pl$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well Mike..... What should I have said?
He said he enjoyed SWL more than ham radio. Should I beg him to give up what
he says he likes and convert to ham radio? I dont think we need to beg
people to become hams..... or do we?

William Lee N5WRX

Mike Yetsko wrote in message <7gpgt1$e09$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>REALLY thoughtful and insightful post Mr. Lee.
>
>EXACTLY the attitude that keeps this debate going.
>
>
>William Lee wrote in message <7gn8jq$h4n$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>...
>>
>>Man.... why dont you stay a SWL and forget Ham radio?
>
>
>
>

606. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <willee@interconnect.net>
Message-ID: <7gslo9$2n6$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Trent Phillips wrote in message <7gpjkpius1@pale-rider.INS.CWRU.Edu>...
>
>In a previous article, willee@interconnect.net (William Lee) says:
>
>>Trent, It sounds like you are very happy as a SWL. Why do you want to
become
>>a HAM if it is:
>>1. Impossable for you to learn code.
>>2. Too expensive to get a CW waver.
>>3. Too much greif and insults from other hams.
>>4. Full of other people who are Fuddy Duddy's.
>
> Well, I wanted to experiment with some ideas I had for HF.
>
>
>>
>>Man.... why dont you stay a SWL and forget Ham radio?
>
> Forget it ? Who was it that brought this deabte into the SWL
>newsgroup anyways ?
>
>>Or do you think it would all change if someone would just GIVE you a
>>license.
>
> This is a personal argument. When a person cannot debate the issue
>at hand, or rather, is unable to, they start to sling mud.
>
> I know more than enough about electronics, I studied on my own.
>Noone gave me what I know, I had to go out there and learn it. I guess if I
>don't see things the same way, I am lazy.
>
> A late friend of mine told me that when the other side of a debate
>slings mud, you have won.

OK Trent..... I slung the mud so you win.
What is your new Ham call?

William Lee N5WRX

607. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <URpsJVAoKbM3Ew2V@virgin.net>
Source record: 119676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.misc,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

In article <3730E7DD.54B8@ameritech.net>, BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbroo
kltnkid@ameritech.net> writes
>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>> Pepsi wrote:
>> >
>> > : : We could certainly use more sharp fellows like you, Charles. What do
>> > : you like to discuss--computer games? music videos? navel piercings?
>> > : How do you propose we limit the content of conversations? You must have
>> > : several proposals for accomplishing that.
>> >
>> > We could the HF bands to laugh about how you old guys are all dying off from
>health
>> > problems, that's what we could contribute. :)
>>
>> We could the HF bands? Mr. "Could contribute" won't you shake hands
>> with Mr. "If". "If" is a mighty big word for one who hasn't obtained
>> the license. If we had some meat, we could make sandwhichs (if we had
>> some bread).
>>
>> Dave 5H3US, K8MN
>>
>> --
>> For 5H3US photos, go to
>> http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/
>ALL YOU REALLY NEED TO KNOW ABOUT CW IS SOS. That is just a rule
>imposed on newcomers to the ham raio ranks, that says if I had to do it
>so should you. If somebody wants to learn it then by all means feel
>free but do not impose it on everybody
Is it true that for profanity content the amateur wavebands have got CB
radio beaten hands down?

I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/

608. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don W6JL <W6JL@ixpres.com>
Message-ID: <3731DFA9.12D7@ixpres.com>
Source record: 119677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Marv Luse wrote:

> Brass pounders will always be around, and in large numbers too. As for new blood in
> Amateur Radio, there is plenty of that too. Where did the notion that Amateur Radio
> is dying come from? At my extra class exam (recent) there were about 5 youngsters
> aged about 10 taking the 5 WPM code test. Come to think of it, there were kids
> testing at every one of the test sessions I attended.
>
> I think we need to worry more about the F layer falling.
>
> 73,
>
> Marv
> AB0IO

Marv OM,

I agree with you. I don't see ham radio 'dying' at all. I operate in excess of 12 hours a week on the air, basically all CW. Over half of that is mobile CW. The CW bands have plenty of ragchewing going on all the time. I know, I am one of them. And I spend almost all my time on only one band: 40M. A while ago I worked a 16 year old in Phoenix who was running homebrew TUBE-TYPE gear he had made himself, from old handbook articles. Said he just wanted to play around with tubes is all. Have worked several newer hams, some in their teens, who started with codeless techs, then discovered (some to their total amazement) that CW is an excellent ragchewing mode, and works very well. Some are excellent CW ops, know procedure, know how to listen, with less than 2 years experience in ham radio. They try to spread their enthusiasm to some of their non-CW friends, often with no luck. So they go their own ways.
The message here? You are either interested or you are not. Nothing new going on here. CW will continue to be used by the many who find it enjoyable, that is, can attain reasonable skill at it. Apparently many non-cw ops think we are all WORKING to use it, writing stuff down, using straight keys, egad! Hey, it is just another language guys, if you are proficient, and is effortless to use. If you're not wired for it, there are many other modes to use. That is one of the beauties of ham radio.

73,
Don, W6JL

609. 235 Trunktracker Volume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <mannod@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <7gsr3u$cmn@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 119678

The volume seems low on this scanner even with the volume knob turned all the way up. Is this common for this unit? Is there a fix?

610. FS-Unblocked pro-2004 in the box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990506153955.19529.00000234@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 119679

In excellent condition with the manual and box. Modified for full 800 coverage

$215 shipped within the lower 48.

611. Re: NJ Transit frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: George Webber <georgewebber@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3731F02D.D0798913@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119680

Last time I listened (a few years ago) these frequencies were
used for transmissions between the NJT base and the buses on
their routes advising them of traffic tie-ups and breakdowns.

Shaun Whitman wrote:
> What is it used for?

> George Webber wrote:
> >Robert Dubenezic wrote:
> >> I am looking for the NJ Transit 800MHz frequency.
> >
> >Here is what I have:
> >856.3875
> >857.3875
> >858.3875
> >859.3875
> >860.3875

612. ARC8200 software for AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: GB <email@email.com>
Message-ID: <7gsrn1$8qv$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 119681
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

```
Check it out http://arc.mypage.org
```

613. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7gssl6$9og$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Daniel Grunberg wrote in message <7gs915ttk1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>Here's something else to be considered. Repeater stations, both
amateur and
>commercial, identify themselves in Morse Code. How can you know you're
using
>the right repeater, if you can't identify it because you can't read the
>repeater's slow Morse ID?
>
>For that matter, on HF, how can you tell which CW station is
interfering with
>you, if you can't read its call?
>
>Is it possible that there are other legitimate NEEDS for Morse Code yet
to be
>found?
>
>Daniel A. Grunberg Kensington, Maryland USA

I'm sorry, but this is REALLY getting lame. If the pro-coders depend on arguements like this, then HAM radio is not only going to go no-cw, but we'll loose the CW subbands as well.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

614. PRO 34 and PRO 2026 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ken Haydu ,Southport, NC" <darla@bcinet.net>
Message-ID: <7gssgb$fq6$0@208.133.29.187>
Source record: 119683

Extra nice PRO 34 with box, manual, packing, nicads, charger. Date code is
5A0. $150 and shipping This is a 200 channel handheld

Nice PRO 2026 with AC adapter, manual , antenna. Date code is 9A2. Model is
20-148. $130 and shipping. This is a small desktop model, 100 channel.
I have photos that I can email.

--
Ken Haydu
3007 Robert Ruark Drive
Southport, NC 28461
910-457-5630

615. Re: Military, etc. NC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: khenne3572@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990506160549.26533.00000100@ng34.aol.com>
Source record: 119684

which military bases are u looking for

616. Re: PCR1000 Problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Ironhead <ironhead@cityweb.de>
Message-ID: <4LmY2.538$dk5.40741@news2.cityweb.de>
Source record: 119685

Hi Cliff !

The problem is if you are in CW or SSB mode the Scope must be turned off.
Otherwise you can't here anything.

Have fun
Michael

617. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Lodahl <lodahlkh@internetwis.com>
Message-ID: <3731F9C5.824E1D25@internetwis.com>
Source record: 119686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Don W6JL wrote:

> Marv Luse wrote:

SNIP

>
>
> If you're not wired for it, there are many other modes to use. That is one of the
> beauties of ham radio.
>
> 73,
> Don, W6JL

That is the point. There are many modes that don't use CW. Many that are interesting and satisfying that don't need knowledge of CW to use. The hobby is diverse and growing more so all the time. Yet there is truly only one test for suitability to belong to the club: knowledge of code.

I have the skill to pass the practical test (and have with the training software) through Advanced, yet I hold only a Tech ticket because of the code. I am still trying but I haven't found my method for learning code yet. I memorized the tapes, and have tried a couple of different computer tutor programs, but so far I have not been able to master CW. I will get it eventually, but it is not coming easy. I resent comments from other hams about being an "appliance user" or the comments on this list about code being a "moron filter." We all have assets in different areas. Learning the code is just plain a bitch for me, and is for others.

I see Techs filling key spots in many clubs, taking on important jobs in weather nets, coordinating public service activities and the like. Some of these folks will upgrade, and some will not. The code will be an obstacle for some, the practical test will be an obstacle for some, and some are content with what they can do with their current ticket. None deserve to be looked down upon because of lack of code speed.

--
Keith Lodahl
Mayville, Wisconsin

618. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: noname333@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gqm6qiic1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119763

In article <3730DABA.F55@sgi.net>,
Pappy@sgi.net wrote:
> Guys,
>
> One has to understand the media process. They can take the truth and
> change it to suit there our means. After 30 yrs. law enforcement I have
> been the subject of several news items with the investigations that I
> have been involved in. The media changed the facts of the investigation
> to suit there own means of more than one occossion.

And this isn't something the cops do?? Sounds like the pot calling the kettle
black.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

619. Re: Well I See M. Alexander is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: joegizjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990505200130.02503.00002838@ng27.aol.com>
Source record: 119764

I agree, Ive dealt with the shit head before and I am on to him .....

We got him covered ....

620. ? on Connecticut State PD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990505203827.07264.00002918@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 119765

Can anyone tell me if they are using their 800 system in conventional mode? I will be traveling RT. 95 all the way from exit 2 to exit 97. I am curious if they are using 800 conventional yet?

Thanks
Mike KC2CYE

621. Re: Well I See M. Alexander is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990505210229.24947.00004313@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 119766

```
,>I agree, Ive dealt with the shit head before and I am on to him .....
>
>We got him covered ........
```

```
What's this "we" stuff Joe Ghiz Bag? You couldn't find your johnson in the dark
if your life depended on it. Run along... the big dogs are in the house.
```

622. FS: AOR8200 Full Coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: joegizjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990505214022.02506.00002703@ng27.aol.com>
Source record: 119767

For Sale,

AOR8200 - Full Coverage, used only 1 month
Batteries,
Antenna,
Wrist Strap,
Manual,
PC Interface Cable ($100.00 Value)

Asking $550 + Shipping

This radio is clean without a scratch ....

joegizjr@aol.com

623. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37310574.3506642@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 119768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

konstans@one-eleven.net (Mark Morgan) wrote:

:On Sun, 02 May 1999 06:59:37 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
:wrote:
:
:>I'm happy to see that you've cleaned up the mistake-filled,
:>incomprehensible signature line, Mark. This new mistake-filled version
:>is much easier to understand.
:
: gee you could nto understand the old one saying basicly stay
:on the net and out of My email box? Gee I wish you ProCoders would
:answer the question of just how it is you manage to understand thruogh
:staitc stuff with occaional mistakes, in real time, but can't mange at
:leasure on the net

I still don't mange to understand thruogh staitc stuff with occaional
mistakes.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

624. Wanted: MDTMON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Axiotis <victor.axiotis@gte.net>
Message-ID: <Fn7Y2.358$pq1.23457@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 119769

Would anyone knowing the whereabouts of this software kindly respond to this post. The link to the page that contained it has has gone 404.
Thanks
vaxiotis@home.com

625. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <3730fb4e.222988886@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 5 May 1999 10:00:13 -0500, "Pepsi" <pepsi@cola.org> wrote:

>: When I look at what Ham Radio has done in the past, and what Ham
>: radio could do in the future, I find that Ham Radio has so much =
>potential.
>: I, for one, am the last person who wants to see it die.
>
>But HAM is doing nothing now except a bunch of old farts talking about =
>"the good ole days"

No it is not. it certainly has a lot of those tyopes runing
around
>and inviting each other to visit their web pages.
>There is nothing being done worth doing on HAM anymore and a bunch of =
>people who are happy
>with the death of HAM as long as no one works THEIR frequencies.

There are such people sure, but not everybody
>
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

626. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <3730fab9.222840044@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 05 May 1999 19:56:18 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>
>> I wioll not bother with the rest of the drivel of a bigot that thinks
>> the spanish speakers should bann from the ARS in america
>
>Again you've managed to say something which I never stated. Reading and
>writing are going to be far bigger challenges to you than morse testing
>since you'll likely need those skills daily.

No you did say it when I asked wether spanish americans should
be barred for the air you answered YES

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

627. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <3730fc59.223256286@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 5 May 1999 09:42:16 -0400, "Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote:

>And I know, CW skills teach proper traffic handling techiques. That I
>will agree with. CW operators DO know how to 'share' a spot in the
>spectrum, and CW traffic handlers SHOULD have the techniques
>and operator awareness to operate in a congested traffic situation.
>But that's BULLSHIT to apply it to traffic handlers today. Not when
>packet is much more efficient in an emergency. Or voice when
>used properly. The days of a CW operator holed up being a link to
>the outside world disappeared a long time ago. (Unless the Russian
>and Chinese missles all fire on Jan 1, 2000.)

In that unlike event I'll use my radio any way I can remmeber
the emrgency provisions of part 97

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

628. Re: Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rosso@apk.net
Message-ID: <37310ed9.21148046@news.apk.net>
Source record: 119773

On 26 Apr 1999 03:14:15 GMT, tbrutis1@aol.com (Tbrutis1) wrote:

> We even listen to the phones thar are supposed to be
>"scanner proof"
>Maybe there are some real scanner proof phones around. If there are, I haven't
>heard any.

Welll duh, it doesn't take a frigin genius to figure this one out! You
can't hear what you can receive!

>It's said it's illegal to listen to these & cell phone calls. Will someone
>explain how they propose to enforce this unenforceable law? Knock on everyone's
>door & see if they have a scanner that can listen to these calls?
>I'm willing to listen......
>73's,
>
>Tom
>tbrutis1@aol.com
>THE BINGHAMTON METS # 1 FAN HAS SPOKEN

Hmmm, lets see, you just admitted to a crime and were kind enough to
leave a email address, one phone call from your local authorites to
AOL oughta do it.

David J. Rosso - http://apk.net/~rosso

"Metabolife Independent Distributor"
AIM: David1732 - or - Davidrosso

629. Re: Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: rosso@apk.net
Message-ID: <37311115.21721105@news.apk.net>
Source record: 119774

On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 18:22:07 -0500 (CDT), t1000@webtv.net (Vic Martinez) wrote:

>Any one out there have 'em? I Just moved to within a half a block of
>one. Any info appreciated.
>
Give these a shot...

Burger King Drive Thru's 469.212
Burger King Drive Thru's 464.212
McDonalds Drive Thru 35.020

David J. Rosso - http://apk.net/~rosso

"Metabolife Independent Distributor"
AIM: David1732 - or - Davidrosso

630. FS-Unblocked Bearcat 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: gg210301@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990506002354.16672.00002644@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 119775

As new with the manual, rechargeable pack (works well), antenna, as new leather carrying case, and box.

Unit has been modified for full 800 coverage. Asking $225 shipped lower 481

631. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <373120d8.232600688@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Wed, 05 May 1999 22:44:45 -0400, Jack C Empson Jr
<empson@acsu.buffalo.edu> wrote:

>That's ok we can argue all we want about keeping the code test, but something
>needs to be done to bring people into amateur radio not keep them out. Soon enough
>all the brass pounders will be gone and then the FCC can sell of the rest of the
>ham spectrum. How soon we have forgotten about 220 MHz.

I hope you will endose my adding tot hese sentiments, the idea
of not only bring ing people in but treating them so they want to
stay. I think the number of new is just barely enough ifwe got them to
stay, but more would nice
>
>**
>* Jack Empson Instructional Support Technician *
>* State University of New York @ Buffalo *
>* 10 Norton Buffalo, New York 14260 *
>* Voice 716.645.3518 Fax 716.645.3198 *
>**
>
>186000 mps it's not just a good idea, it's the law!
>
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

632. Re: Official Trunker Homepage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gr8cq$pc8$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119777

www.trunktracker.com

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/home.htm

633. Re: The best mobile scanning antenna???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gr8oeqmg1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119778

A good antenna for a roof mount if you want to drill a 3/4" hole is a MaxRad MHB-5800 High Gain / High Band. it has a long antenna about 40" and is good for high band/low band and 800MHz.

A good cable is RG58 A/U or Belden 9913. You can get both items at a good 2-Way Radio dealer, preferably one that does Police Vehicles.

Good Luck!!!!!!!!!

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/home.htm

634. NJ Transit frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gr8vf$rg6$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119779

I am looking for the NJ Transit 800MHz frequency.

Thanks

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/home.htm

635. FS: Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robin <n9rna@genevaonline.com>
Message-ID: <vH9Y2.90$84.28096@homer.alpha.net>
Source record: 119780

```
Pro 2006
Full 800 Mhz.
Good Condition
$300 + Shipping

Interested e-mail only
```

636. battery voltage!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: pharoe99 <boidman32@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <7grc32$4pq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119781

how much battery power can be used safetly in rs scanners....i want to use external battery to power my scanner up but was wondering how much voltage i could use coming from an external battery!

------------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

637. Re: newbie question about NJ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gr9ig$a2$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119782

the above post is correct

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/home.htm

638. Re: newbie question about NJ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7granq$49s$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119783

N.J.S.A. 2C-33-21

Interception of Police, Fire, or Emergency Medical Communications, With Criminal Intent.

* Any person who intercepts any message or transmission made on or over any police, fire, emergency medical communications system, or any person who is the recipient of information so intercepted, and who uses the information obtained thereby to facilitate commission of or the attempt to commit a crime or a violation of any law of this state, or uses the same in a manner which interferes with the discharge of police operations, shall be guilty of a crime of the fourth degree.

N.J.S.A. 2C-33-22

Possession of Radio Capable of Receiving Police, Fire, Emergency Medical Communications While in the Commission of a Crime

* Any person who, while in the course of committing or attempting to commit a crime, including the immediate flight therefrom, possesses or controls a radio capable of receiving any message or transmission made on or over any police, fire, or emergency medical communications system, shall be guilty of a crime in the fourth degree

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/home.htm

639. Re: newbie question about NJ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7graqq$4i5$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119784

Ron is wrong

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/home.htm

640. Re: NJ Transit frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: George Webber <georgewebber@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37312FF6.3E0FC379@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119785

Robert Dubenezic wrote:
> I am looking for the NJ Transit 800MHz frequency.

Here is what I have:
856.3875
857.3875
858.3875
859.3875
860.3875

641. Re: South Toms River Frequencies + Trunks (if any) (New Jersey)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7grbeh$6o6$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119786

you might want to purchase a Police Call Book

http://www.policecall.com/

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/home.htm

642. #1 Portable Indoor Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: TKD <rt12@montrose.net>
Message-ID: <01be981f$7ba3e7e0$46c385cc@default>
Source record: 119353
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

The Promax Scanner Antenna will outperform most
Outdoor antennas including some Discone Beam Type
and it is totally Portable and can go behind furniture or
be rolled up and put away after each use!
see
http://flashdistributor
for picture
Order on line on secure server!

643. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Art Becker <artbecker@pipeline.com>
Message-ID: <7h0dda$db0$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119437

>> The mag's editors basically want to zero in on the "sexy stuff," you know, listening to cellphones and such. How many people do it, and why?<<

Yeah, they are looking for the kind of stuff that puts us ALL in the worst light possible.

Wanna bet they take whatever you said and either ignore it or twist it out of context?

<< Art >>

644. $ for MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: john richardson <hrothgar@lightspeed.net>
Message-ID: <oSOY2.7667$YB4.372446@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 119438

Willing to pay whatever it takes to buy whatever it takes to receive MDT.

hrothgar@lightspeed.net

645. Re: Your favorite wideband reciever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.119d66898a3d8154989782@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119439

The Icom is widely available for only $219.95....It is clearly a best buy situation. It has a bit of intermod in the 450mhz range. It's sensitivity is unreal in the 30-50, 450-520, and 850-867mhz ranges... The audio is incredible and of course the size will make you fall in love with it. You need to get your hands on one and see why it is one of todays best sellers. For what it's worth, I have tried just about every BNC and SMA antenna out there and have found the best overall performance, especially from 100-1000mhz is the Watson 881 with a SMA to BNC adapter.

646. Kosovo Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1 <a@netgear.baynetwork.com>
Message-ID: <7h0j2q$5ea$1@jumbo.demos.su>
Source record: 119440
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Please send me Kosovo war frequencies

647. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3733CFF7.D8A74E7E@mindspring.com>
Source record: 119441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Don W6JL wrote:
>
> I myself cannot see where using "tapes" and "computers" is an
> effective learning method
> for learning to use the code conversationally, as we do in ham radio.
> First of all, it
> is boring, listening to basically boring content being sent. As you
> said, you eventually
> memorized the tapes.
>

Some software (e.g., Morse Tutor Gold) is pretty effective, in that it sends typical, but random, QSOs. Of course that may be boring (many qsos are!), but it is better than the old 5 element groups.

Phil

648. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3733DC6D.C24CCE1E@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119442

Figures it would come down to cell fones & cordless. JTW got it right! The usual liberal "dumb it down" stuff. Did you tell him to contact Tauzin about how he was "protecting" cell fone users from folks like us with those nasty scanners?? Or how Bob Grove got attacked/ambushed during that Senate hearing? No he's not interested in that or our hobby, just some titillating I didn't know they could do that stuff, probably featuring some kind of geek/nerd with a scanner. Ya'll should have seen that a comin' down the coax!

Don

Don
--
-
CAKE001 - CASF024 - CA6CYF - KHN3161
Location: N33d42m W117d59m UTC -8hrs
Grid Square: DM13AQ
Scanners: Air-8,AR2001,AR900,BC50,
BC101,BC142,BC20/20,BC2500,
BC9000,FRG9600,HP100,HX1000,
HX1500,Pro26,Pro2004/5/6,
Pro2045,Pro2046,R10FM,R532,
R7000,Scout 40,SX200N.
Software: Deltacomm v3.9, Probe v3.0,
ScanCat Gold-SE, ScanStar
Shortwave: DC777,DX160,DX394,ICF2010,
R70, R71A, R1000.
Antennas: 7 Random wires, 6 Discones,
5 Ground planes, 3 Mag-mts,
3 Coax loops, LA-1, Scanner
Beam,X-dipole,2 LVHF pipes.

649. Re: Wanted: MDTMON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3733DF99.9B8B378F@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119443

Doesn't the program zip file include a .gif of the circuit? I believe the POCSAG program & others include gif's of the slicer circuits. The 2 level Hamcomm slicer/converters are cheap & easy to build. This month's Monitoring Times has an article by Bill Cheek for a 4 level slicer for MDT. He also wrote several articles in MT on 2 level slicers.

Don
--
-
CAKE001 - CASF024 - CA6CYF - KHN3161
Location: N33d42m W117d59m UTC -8hrs
Grid Square: DM13AQ
Scanners: Air-8,AR2001,AR900,BC50,
BC101,BC142,BC20/20,BC2500,
BC9000,FRG9600,HP100,HX1000,
HX1500,Pro26,Pro2004/5/6,
Pro2045,Pro2046,R10FM,R532,
R7000,Scout 40,SX200N.
Software: Deltacomm v3.9, Probe v3.0,
ScanCat Gold-SE, ScanStar
Shortwave: DC777,DX160,DX394,ICF2010,
R70, R71A, R1000.
Antennas: 7 Random wires, 6 Discones,
5 Ground planes, 3 Mag-mts,
3 Coax loops, LA-1, Scanner
Beam,X-dipole,2 LVHF pipes.

650. Re: MDT source code is legal to have

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3733C290.FF22170D@uswest.net>
Source record: 119444

It is if you triple encode it and then use a nonstandard encryption on top of that.

Secret codes are harder to break than you think, and the governments happen to be the easiest to break.

join www.distributed.net and join the largest distributed computer on the planet.

Hawke

Zeke wrote:

> >1997.
> This is exactly the MISINFORMATION that the NSA wants you to believe.
>
> PGP is NO problem for them to decode.
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
> -------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

651. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3733C358.33FFB16F@uswest.net>
Source record: 119445

So you think..

Forget not the mistakes of history, or you WILL be doomed to repeat them.

Take a good look in Germany between 1927 - 1933. similar things were happening there and suddenly a lot of people not only lost their freedoms, but pretty soon afterward, they started losing their lives.

Government is already too large for us to manage.

Hawke

Fred wrote:

> kew@teleport.cem (Keith) wrote:
>
> >On Fri, 07 May 1999 10:45:58 GMT, no@mail (Fred) wrote:
> >
> >>ps@adnc.com (Peter W. Singer) wrote:
> >>
> >>>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
> >>
> >>
> >>Old news (as you can see by the date in the URL). Nobody cares about him
> >>anymore.
> >
> >
> >You don't care about your freedom if you think the arrest of Bill Cheek doesn't
> >effect all of us.
>
> Sorry to burst your bubble, but Cheek's case doesn't mean dick and it won't
> affect anyone else except his wife.

652. Re: modification on Emerson ats-803a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wolfman <da_wolfie1NO@SPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3737253d@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 119446

And how is Sangean? I have a RadioShack shortwave receiver that I'm told is made by this outfit... is it reasonably good stuff?

marc_k wrote in message <7gpleg$j4n$2@alice.walrus.com>...
>Pape (the_Pape@hotmail.com) wrote:
>: Does anyone now how to modifie world band receiver Emerson ats-803a
>: to get more then 150 khz to 29.9 mhz and 87.5 to 108 mhz ?
>
>Unless they used the exact same model #, I strongly doubt that your radio
>is a Emerson.
>Most likely, your radio is actually a Sangean.
>BTW, if your unit covers 150 Khz-29.9 Mhz, then your radio is already full
>coverage.
>Mods seem unlikely(at least for coverage anyway)-but other mods do
>exist for the 803.

653. Re: Where to "point" my new monitenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990507145705.10097.00000543@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 119487

The manufacturer used to suggest that if it was mounted (halfway up???) a metal mast some directionality might be experienced. The antenna would then be positioned (rotated on the axis of the mast) so that if was in-between your desired "target" and the support pole. Naturally, with a PVC mast you won't get this effect. Makes sense, but the effect won't be very dramatic anyway. As others have said, it's essentially an omni design.

Some of you are missing the point though - discones are't "gain" antennas. Any antenna offering any gain in a particular portion of the spectrum will out perform it. Or, in this case, one that offers gain in multiple bands. The monitenna and similar scantenna are both great general use scanner antennas. If one really tuned all across the spectrum, you'd find spots where the discone did better. But I agree, for public safety they are great. They even work pretty well for VHF and UHF aero, too.

On the other hand, unless heavily reinforced, neither will hold up to the kind of weather we get in Chicago. My diamond discone has not only survived lightening strikes, wind storms, blizzards and hail, but intentional vanadalism as well. (Built like a rock!) I've been through 3 scantenna's in 6 years. (My discone is 7 years old). The scantenna I have now I reinforced all the snap joints using heavy cable ties and fiberglass tape. Happy Scanning - Ted

654. Re: RadiosOnLine Gets A New Look

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gvcusbej1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119488

Av8tor wrote in message ...
>Thank you to all who have been visiting my RadiosOnLine website.
>
>The format has changed, for the better I hope.
>
>No More FORMS! Easier to read format, Easier to navagate.
>
>I hope (with your input) to make it more of an E'zine type format.

It looks good, but you have a bit of a problem at resolutions less than 1024x768: The text runs over into the side border. Being a web developer by trade, I've seen this happen alot.

It's easily fixed though. Insert a column into your table that's about 5% wide between the menu column and the content column. Then set the menu column to a percentage instead of a fixed pixel width, say 25% (you'll want to experiment from here.) Then set the content colum width to 70% (or whatever 100 - the menu column width + spacer column width turns out to be.) The page should then be viewable at any resolution, and have some pleasing looking white space seperating the menu and content sections.

Still, overall it looks great, a lot better looking than the average scanner site usually looks! Good job!

-Phil

655. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don W6JL <W6JL@ixpres.com>
Message-ID: <373339B4.579F@ixpres.com>
Source record: 119489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

>
> I have the skill to pass the practical test (and have with the training software) through
> Advanced, yet I hold only a Tech ticket because of the code. I am still trying but I
> haven't found my method for learning code yet. I memorized the tapes, and have tried a
> couple of different computer tutor programs, but so far I have not been able to master CW.
> I will get it eventually, but it is not coming easy.
>
> --
> Keith Lodahl
> Mayville, Wisconsin

Hi Keith OM,

Thanks for the thoughtful response.
In WWII, the military taught Morse code to literally thousands of people from all kinds
of backgrounds and abilities. Many of them were not doing it voluntarily, either!
I myself cannot see where using "tapes" and "computers" is an effective learning method
for learning to use the code conversationally, as we do in ham radio. First of all, it
is boring, listening to basically boring content being sent. As you said, you eventually
memorized the tapes. That should be a clue that that is not the way to learn the
code. Why not listen to something interesting instead?
To become comfortable with CW, you have to 'USE IT' for some purpose. Listening to real-live
conversations on the air is what made CW take off quickly for me. Fortunately, in those days
there was no distracting temptation to somehow 'use a computer' (a distinct advantage, from
what I can see). Second of all, it does nothing to teach you how to listen to REAL
WORLD signals off the air, while at the same time, you are internalizing what is being said.
This is what worked for me. It has been music to my ears ever since, and is definitely my
preferred mode for ragchewing on the air, compared to using voice. When you are otherwise
just wasting time (ex: while driving), sound out everything you see

written: street signs,
license plates, etc etc. Make the sounds of CW very familiar to
yourself, so that
you associate those sounds immediately with the letters and numbers and
punctuation.
This all requires zero investment in $$, and can be done anywhere,
anytime. Lastly,
listen ON THE AIR to real CW QSO's, as much as you can, to all kinds of
fists, in various
conditions of in the clear to buried in the QRN/QRM. Watch out! You
might suddenly
become hooked; that is a risk you take. If that happens, you will
suddenly see yourself
on the other side of the perennial code argument, and can't for the life
of you figure
out what all the fuss is about; it has happened to many others, HI.
Lastly, like anything else, CW is not fun for EVERYONE. Some people
just do not take to it.
But that is a very small group indeed, I think. Especially at the
moderate speeds required.
After all, 5 WPM you can practically look up real time. 13 WPM actually
requires that you
know and can respond almost immediately to the characters. This does
not seem to much
to ask, for a large number of us. I believe it is an essential part of
one's education
to become a ham and to use HF (the 'real' ham radio to me).
You can do it.

73 and GL,
Don, W6JL

656. Re: MDT source code is legal to have

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdf@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gvhe3$sl0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119490

Zeke@redneck.net (Zeke) wrote:

> Having the source code, schematics, and parts in your possession is
> strong evidence of intent to manufacture the device. This may be
> enough to prosecute.

If the crime is to manufacture, mere intent is insufficient. One must produce evidence that the manufacture took place and that the accused was the manufacturer.

But Zeke surely knows this because ...

> PGP is NO problem for [NSA] to decode.

... Zeke is a FUDster, and like all FUDsters, Zeke is full of shit.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

657. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdf@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gvi16$t72$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119491

jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

> I've both e-mailed this "reporter," [...]

Run his name through Altavista or Google; a number of hits seem to prove the guy exists and is a freelance journalist who covers the interaction between technology and culture. [Or so said a few articles I found, which mentioned he lives in San Franciso -- and the phone number he gave is at an address in San Franciso.]

The problem, of course, isn't this particular individual: he looks like a decent writer. But what will happen to his story once it enters the "media system".

> "If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

Heh.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

658. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don W6JL <W6JL@ixpres.com>
Message-ID: <37333EFE.5D71@ixpres.com>
Source record: 119492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> Keith Lodahl
> Mayville, Wisconsin

Keith,

I forgot one other important point in my post. DON'T WRITE STUFF DOWN. Copy it in your head; that is how you will be using it for ham radio ragchewing. Writing it down is an (unnecessary and limiting) habit that you don't want to get into if you can avoid it. I sometimes run into ops on the air who ask me (as I am working mobile CW) "how do you write it down?". Egad.

73,
Don, W6JL

659. Bypassing ECPA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: wb5agz@dc.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <7gvf47$e9c$1@lotus.onenet.net>
Source record: 119493

What is to stop somebody from ordering a scanner with full
coverage from an electronics outlet in Canada?

660. New NYPD Frequencies???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <MJSCommunications@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7gvf7u$3sm$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 119494
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Recently I got back into some local scanning, but things aren't what they were just a few months ago. Can anyone advise the LATEST NYPD Frequencies?? Just when I thought I had em all, they went and changed em! Thanks in advance for the help.

Gary

661. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Lewis <aa4pb@erols.com>
Message-ID: <37334534.E5098F1B@erols.com>
Source record: 119495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

When I was in the military they used to pound 5-letter code groups into your head 8 hours a day, 5 days a week for months on end. At that rate, you could copy in your sleep. After passing a military test at 35 wpm I nearly flunked 13 WPM in front of the FCC. The FCC wouldn't send 5 letter groups, they wouldn't let me use headphones, and they made me write it down with a pen and paper, no mill (typewriter). Took some on the air time to normal conversation.

So maybe we need a Ham Radio Boot Camp to force people to learn the code. Lets see.... we also need a typing test in case the new ham wants to use a keyboard. Two actually, one for the computer keyboard and one for teletype machines (you never know when one of those mechanical monsters will be only communications available). Almost forgot, a speech test in case he wants to work SSB or FM. :-)

Or.. how about we just give a written test on basic theory, regulations, and accepted operating practice?

662. Re: Bypassing ECPA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gvgfs$et0$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119496

Martin McCormick wrote in message <7gvf47$e9c$1@lotus.onenet.net>...
>
> What is to stop somebody from ordering a scanner with full
>coverage from an electronics outlet in Canada?

Other than numerous postings to usenet advertising the fact to the feds,
nothing.

-Phil

663. How do you REMEMBER all these Scanner Commands?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: no.spam@for.me
Message-ID: <FB28D6BF66B1D8A2.8ADC3BB0B6D412C3.EA72D8AD57C8B086@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119497
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm just curious how you guys REMEMBER all these scanner commands?

I own several different brands and depending upon my destination, I might pick up the Icom, Yupi, Welz, Trident, Uniden or Pro26. ALL OF WHICH HAVE DIFFERENT COMMAND SETS!

The commands are very consistent amongst brand names (all Uniden and RS work alike), but once I get accustomed to the Uniden, I forget how to search with my Welz, or Trident. ARGH!

Here's an example:

Uniden search: manual, freq#, LIMIT, freq#, LIMIT, search
Trident search: func/S.Prog, bank, freq#, freq#, mode, step

Icom R2 and my Welz are EVEN WORSE since they have limited keys to work with. So my question is: How can you possibly remember all this stuff?

... "Taping scanner manuals to my forehead"

664. Re: #1 Portable Indoor Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <pclY2.203$ej3.6218@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 119498
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

What is a Discone Beam?

Should I feel comfortable buying something from a company that uses Discone Beam Type antennas as a standard?

What other "Outdoor antennas" does Promax outperform? The Helix Halfwave Vertical Attenuator?
The Uda-Yagi Groundplane? The 3/4 inch Beverage Antenna? A 3/16th wave dipole? A 14 element Rotatable Sloper Array? What are we looking at here?

TKD <rt12@montrose.net> wrote in message news:01be981f$7ba3e7e0$46c385cc@default...
> The Promax Scanner Antenna will outperform most
> Outdoor antennas including some Discone Beam Type
> and it is totally Portable and can go behind furniture or
> be rolled up and put away after each use!
> see
> http://flash in the pan distributor
> for picture
> Order on line on secure server!

665. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7gvdq8$jkj@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119499

In <3733c40f.1328136@enews.newsguy.com> no@mail (Fred) writes:
>
>ps@adnc.com (Peter W. Singer) wrote:
>
>>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
>
>
>Old news (as you can see by the date in the URL). Nobody cares about
him
>anymore.

Ass-wipe, speak for thy self.

bill conduit
etc.

666. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7gvdvp$755@sjx-ixn1.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119500

In <nkAY2.2056$97.1585@stones> "EAVSDROPPER"
<ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> writes:
>
>
>
One reason he may not have
gotten back to you as fast
is because HE also has placed
ads in various magazines and
newspapers for people to dialogue
with.

remember: you can reamin anonymous.

bill conduit
etc.

667. Digital movement repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <373352f7$0$2480@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 119501
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What I don't understand is why we all wait for the commercial world to create the first digital scanner. Uniden says they have no interest in it, and I expect that many of the other companies here may also be intimidated by Federal posturing and Cellular special interest groups. Aren't many of us on this group employed in technical fields, with various professional specialties directly related to communications? Much like the Open-Source movement that propels Linux, we should have a cooperative movement working towards foiling digital sytems that threaten our hobby. After all, how many people can it possibly take to design a scanner, such as the Trunktracker when it was new? I'm sure that many of us have the same (or more) degree of professional experience and competence. And even if it's distantly related to the field you are in, why not try? For instance, I, with a degree in English, am able to teach myself various programming and computer related skills so that I can compete and succeed in my field, Internet gaming production. There are people out there that have been innovative enough to create programs like Trunker, POCSAC, Etrunk, etc., and the hardware interfaces to go with it like the HamComm. So what's the problem? The commercial world has let us down.... Let's form a Digital movement, make it public, open-source, and available. If manufacuters here won't make the equipment, send the research overseas, where apparently they still believe in making what people want (and have a right) to buy.

668. FBI on Low Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Barker <rbarker@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <OXIY2.352$tP2.58944@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 119502

A few days ago they did a new story talking about finding the body of famed
Athiest Madely Murray Ohare near San Antonio TX.
They are still looking for her body (if she is dead -they still do not know)
But they showed FBI agents talking on handheld radios.

The radios they were using had distinctive very long rubber floppy antennas
on them. It looked most definetely to be low band.
Or something lower than 150 Mhz. Does anyone have any info on what
frequencies they might be using and it if is analog or digital.

669. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <kd4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <37335D61.869@webdeals.net>
Source record: 119503

> On Fri, 07 May 1999 10:45:58 GMT, no@mail (Fred) wrote:
>
> >ps@adnc.com (Peter W. Singer) wrote:
> >
> >>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
> >
> >
> >Old news (as you can see by the date in the URL). Nobody cares about him
> >anymore.

Keith wrote:
> You don't care about your freedom if you think the arrest of Bill Cheek doesn't
> effect all of us.
>
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith

Like he said...old news...who cares...life goes on.

--
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
KD4LYP's ham radio and scanner page!
East Tennessee info.

670. Programmable PL Tones???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: puck <puck@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <37335FD8.B9CF609B@pacifier.com>
Source record: 119504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Can PL tones (Ones that aren't part of the standard set)
be programmed into to VHF transceiver somehow??

671. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990507175129.07332.00000608@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 119505

All,

I spent more than an hour today (his dime) talking to the reporter. He's working on an article for "Details," magazine. I've heard the name, but I'm not familiar with it.
The mag's editors basically want to zero in on the "sexy stuff," you know, listening to cellphones and such. How many people do it, and why?
Basically, I told him that only folks who didn't develop fully emotionally and have little or no social life of their own listen to cellphones and cordless phones.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

672. Re: NJ Transit frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: aa2as@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990507175908.09778.00000492@ng18.aol.com>
Source record: 119506

..and the Newark subway system

673. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill@aol.com
Message-ID: <37366614.5245369@news2.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 119507

You tell em bill!

I didn't know you strayed from your "video" newsgroups.

On 7 May 1999 19:10:00 GMT, myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

>In <3733c40f.1328136@enews.newsguy.com> no@mail (Fred) writes:
>>
>>ps@adnc.com (Peter W. Singer) wrote:
>>
>>>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
>>
>>
>>Old news (as you can see by the date in the URL). Nobody cares about
>him
>>anymore.
>
> Ass-wipe, speak for thy self.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>
>

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

674. Re: FBI on Low Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill@aol.com
Message-ID: <3737666e.5336089@news2.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 119508

163.XXX encrypted analog.

On Fri, 07 May 1999 21:30:22 GMT, "Robert Barker"
<rbarker@austin.rr.com> wrote:

>A few days ago they did a new story talking about finding the body of famed
>Athiest Madely Murray Ohare near San Antonio TX.
>They are still looking for her body (if she is dead -they still do not know)
>But they showed FBI agents talking on handheld radios.
>
>The radios they were using had distinctive very long rubber floppy antennas
>on them. It looked most definetely to be low band.
>Or something lower than 150 Mhz. Does anyone have any info on what
>frequencies they might be using and it if is analog or digital.
>
>
>

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

675. Re: Digital movement repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gvpkkhov1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119509
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Glenn Highcove wrote in message
<373352f7$0$2480@news.sanjose1.level3.net>...
>What I don't understand is why we all wait for the commercial world to
>create the first digital scanner. Uniden says they have no interest in it,
>and I expect that many of the other companies here may also be intimidated
>by Federal posturing and Cellular special interest groups.

You have to understand this industry. It's highly competitive and companies like Uniden, GRE and others treat their new projects and related information like government secrets. Just because they *said* they have no interest in such a project doesn't mean they aren't looking into it. They just don't what the competition to know what they're up to. Generally, any question like this evokes a canned "no comment" type of response.

>Aren't many of
>us on this group employed in technical fields, with various professional
>specialties directly related to communications? Much like the Open-Source
>movement that propels Linux, we should have a cooperative movement working
>towards foiling digital sytems that threaten our hobby. After all, how
many
>people can it possibly take to design a scanner, such as the Trunktracker
>when it was new? I'm sure that many of us have the same (or more) degree
of
>professional experience and competence. And even if it's distantly related
>to the field you are in, why not try? For instance, I, with a degree in
>English, am able to teach myself various programming and computer related
>skills so that I can compete and succeed in my field, Internet gaming
>production. There are people out there that have been innovative enough to
>create programs like Trunker, POCSAC, Etrunk, etc., and the hardware
>interfaces to go with it like the HamComm. So what's the problem?

Well generically speaking, the problem is that the companies who market digital equipment won't make any money from such a thing, so they'll use their legal departments to sue the life out of anyone who tries. Specifically speaking, so far the only way to take the decoded digital data and convert it to voice is to use a special vocoder board which requires a $125,000 licensing fee to the patent holder just to see a schematic. This leads me to ask "So, who's gonna pass the hat around?"

>The commercial world has let us down....Let's form a Digital movement, make
it
>public, open-source, and available. If manufacuters here won't make the
>equipment, send the research overseas, where apparently they still believe
>in making what people want (and have a right) to buy.

Oh but they do! Anyone with enough money can go out and buy a new digital ASTRO saber and the programming equipment/software from any local Motorola dealer.

Seriously, companies are notorious for denying active projects to throw off the competition. I wouldn't count them out just yet.

-Phil

676. Re: Help me !about the protocol of scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Wood <timfy@timfy.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7gvqap$ns1$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 119510
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.periphs.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just cos we know what we are talking about doesn't mean we should take the
piss out of people that are (obviously) new to newsgroups. I think that a
simple "sorry this is RADIO scanning" would suffice.

We were all newbies once....

(And no, my sense of humour glands are in full working order before you ask)

adam wrote in message ...
>Help me Spock, my Microtek E6 will not pick up
> Police Band VHF even when I set it for 600 DPI optical
> resolution. Is there an upgrade to Adobe PhotoShop
> to fix this? :-)
>
>There be radio frequency scanner newsgroups
> and there be computer image scanners. Watch
> those cross posts!.
>
>--
> Adam Zilinskas
> SolutionsIQ
> aez at msn.com
>
>Barry O'Grady <barryo@fastlink.com.au> wrote in message
>news:3732b941.1883638@genenews.acay.com.au...
>> "Seednet" <randy@ms13.url.com.tw> wrote:
>>
>> :My boss has a idea to design the box which can copy the paper from the
>> :scanner.
>>
>> Unless the paper is being transmitted via radio it can't be done. A
>> scanner can only recieve radio waves.
>>
>> :But,i have not knew any about the protocol from the scanner to the
>parallel
>> :port and scsi .
>>
>> Scanners don't use parallel or scsi ports.
>>
>> :Can you help me, or just tell me where can i find these resoures about
>them?
>> :mail to randy@ms13.url.com.tw and talk it to me,please!
>> :whis god
>>

>> You have some strange ideas about scanners. I suggest you talk to someone
>> who owns one.
>>
>> Barry
>> ==========
>> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
>> Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
>
>

677. Re: Wanted: MDTMON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd4ykv2@fuse.net
Message-ID: <37345f80.76851774@nntp.fuse.net>
Source record: 119511

>Piero Sassu wrote:
>>
>> This program requires a "hamcomm" interface. Can anyone give me
>> information on what this interface is and how to acquire one?

MFJ, a company that makes Amateur radio accessories makes a nice one.
The model number is MFJ-1213.
Amateur Electronic Supply sells them for $39.99 plus shipping.

1-800-558-0411
www.aesham.com

Randy True
kd4ykv2@fuse.net
KOI Tri-State Scanner Page
http://home.fuse.net/rwtrue00
Amateur Call KD4YKV

678. Re: How do you REMEMBER all these Scanner Commands?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Max Imo <REMOVE@CAPS.net>
Message-ID: <I7KY2.464$oq5.2724@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 119512
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You might try a shortcut card with the most used commands taped to the back
of the radio.
LOL

Dave Smith <no.spam@for.me> wrote in message
news:FB28D6BF66B1D8A2.8ADC3BB0B6D412C3.EA72D8AD57C8B086@library-proxy.airnew
s.net...
> I'm just curious how you guys REMEMBER all these scanner commands?
>
> I own several different brands and depending upon my destination, I
> might pick up the Icom, Yupi, Welz, Trident, Uniden or Pro26. ALL OF
> WHICH HAVE DIFFERENT COMMAND SETS!
>
> The commands are very consistent amongst brand names (all Uniden and
> RS work alike), but once I get accustomed to the Uniden, I forget how
> to search with my Welz, or Trident. ARGH!
>
> Here's an example:
>
> Uniden search: manual, freq#, LIMIT, freq#, LIMIT, search
> Trident search: func/S.Prog, bank, freq#, freq#, mode, step
>
> Icom R2 and my Welz are EVEN WORSE since they have limited keys to
> work with. So my question is: How can you possibly remember all this
> stuff?
>
>
>
> ... "Taping scanner manuals to my forehead"

679. Re: ? on Connecticut State PD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: cdgordon@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990507185944.25113.00000984@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 119513

CSP will be installing a Motorola digital Astro system starting in the near future with completion by summer of 2000. The contract calls for 98% coverage 98% of the time. The troops closer to NY are supposed to go online first as that is where the most pressure for the frequencies has come from. The state has almost run out of the extention they got from the FCC for construction.

cg

680. Re: Help me !about the protocol of scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jim <jim@bigriff.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7gvs76pbv6@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 119514
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.periphs.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You appear to have the wrong scanner group try alt.comp.periphs.scanner and
good luck
jim

Seednet wrote in message <7g2c4r$f4q$1@news.seed.net.tw>...
>My boss has a idea to design the box which can copy the paper from the
>scanner.
>But,i have not knew any about the protocol from the scanner to the parallel
>port and scsi .
>Can you help me, or just tell me where can i find these resoures about
them?
>mail to randy@ms13.url.com.tw and talk it to me,please!
>whis god
>
>

681. Re: Correct URL for eavsdroppers site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jim <jim@bigriff.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7gvs78pbv8@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 119515
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

EAVSDROPPER wrote in message ...
>
>Also apologies for the delayed response to many e-mails sent to me, there
>was a password cock-up by me and I have been very hectic aswell, i think i
>have sent back most of the 50 mails waiting for me this evening...phew.
>Please also note that due to less bandwidth avaliable on this site (about
>100MB a day) i will only have hard to find or rarer type of decoding
>software avaliable direct from my server , all others are linked to theri
>home sites.
>
>look forward to seeing you there, and thanks for all the e-mails of support
>!
>
>--
>EAVSDROPPERS SCANNER SITE FOR THE ENTHUSIAST
>HTTP://WWW.EAVSDROPPER.DABSOL.CO.UK
>
>
>
In lower case of course!!
jim

682. Re: Programmable PL Tones???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fastielle Empetienne <roqmit@ruschmal.net>
Message-ID: <7gv60j$2lp$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 119516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The answer is yes and no. What transceiver? On receive? On transmit? On
both? Your question is too vague.

puck wrote in message <37335FD8.B9CF609B@pacifier.com>...
>Can PL tones (Ones that aren't part of the standard set)
>be programmed into to VHF transceiver somehow??
>

683. Re: Programmable PL Tones???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: puck <puck@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <373374B7.D91D25EF@pacifier.com>
Source record: 119517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On an FT-8100R Dual-Band Tranceiver. On both transmit
and Receive.

Fastielle Empetienne wrote:

> The answer is yes and no. What transceiver? On receive? On transmit? On
> both? Your question is too vague.
>
> puck wrote in message <37335FD8.B9CF609B@pacifier.com>...
> >Can PL tones (Ones that aren't part of the standard set)
> >be programmed into to VHF transceiver somehow??
> >

684. Good frequency page?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokebowl@hempseed.com
Message-ID: <373377ce.2976502@news.flash.net>
Source record: 119518

I am looking for more frequencies for different types of law enforcement besides my local town and state police trunks, wether it be trunks or not i need to know what freqs are for like dea, us marshalls etc...

IRC Channel
#MCSE on the ICQ network...
(irc.icq.com)
for the discussion of MCSE related topics

685. GOOD INDOOR SCANNER antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokebowl@hempseed.com
Message-ID: <37337817.3049439@news.flash.net>
Source record: 119519

I am trying to find out what I should use to make, or what type if one made is the best antenna for recieveing in a condo?

IRC Channel
#MCSE on the ICQ network...
(irc.icq.com)
for the discussion of MCSE related topics

686. PRO-75 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: GasmanT <gasmant@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <37337B0D.97E5C815@swbell.net>
Source record: 119520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

How come I have never seen this model posted on any site? Does it suck?

Also I have enough freqs for Wichita Kansas to get a site up and
running. Will keep you updated.

Thanks for all who responded!!

GasmanT

687. Re: New NYPD Frequencies???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: s_c_h_u_s_t_e_r_@panix.com
Message-ID: <7gvtkdcsq1@news.panix.com>
Source record: 119521
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7gvf7u$3sm$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>,
Gary <MJSCommunications@erols.com> wrote:
>Recently I got back into some local scanning, but things aren't what they
>were just a few months ago. Can anyone advise the LATEST NYPD Frequencies??
>Just when I thought I had em all, they went and changed em! Thanks in
>advance for the help.

http://www.n2nov.net

688. Re: FBI on Low Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7gvr9s$pt2@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119522

In <3737666e.5336089@news2.newsfeeds.com> Bill@aol.com (Bill) writes:
>

>>But they showed FBI agents talking on handheld radios.
>>
>>The radios they were using had distinctive very long rubber floppy
antennas
>>on them. It looked most definetely to be low band.
>>Or something lower than 150 Mhz. Does anyone have any info on
what
>>frequencies they might be using and it if is analog or digital.
>>
>

Get yourself a Reporter Badge and
go to the FBI and talk to someone
in communications and tell them you
are doing a story on how their radios
work.

bill conduit
etc.

689. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7gvrm0$424@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119523

In <37366614.5245369@news2.newsfeeds.com> Bill@aol.com (Bill) writes:
>
>You tell em bill!
>
>I didn't know you strayed from your "video" newsgroups.
>
>
>
>On 7 May 1999 19:10:00 GMT, myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>
>>In <3733c40f.1328136@enews.newsguy.com> no@mail (Fred) writes:
>>>
>>>ps@adnc.com (Peter W. Singer) wrote:
>>>
>>>>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
>>>
>>>
>>>Old news (as you can see by the date in the URL). Nobody cares
about
>>him
>>>anymore.
>>
>> Ass-wipe, speak for thy self.
>>
>> bill conduit
>> etc.
>>

Just came to visit to see what was
going on in the world of scanners.

Then I saw it.

Then I just wondered if it was
truth or fiction.

Then I will access that www site
to find out more once I find what
book or newspaper or binder or
mag piece I wrote it to....

Video NG is fun. But you cannot
just exist for one group's flames.
Got to spread it around. Even swimming'
NG is flaming me lately because I'm using
the "M" word: masturbation.

seems people are really pissed off at me
because I tell it like it is when swimmers
want to know if it's a good thing to masturbate
just before a meet...but they really came after
me when I said: "ok girls, how do you handle it
prior to a meet?"

the thought that females do it too was just
too much for most to handle. Did they ever
come out of the depths of stupidity to answer
for the girls.

you see, no females took it on and left it up
to the guys to come to their rescue....

i'm thinking of going over to alt.atheist and
do battle with a Catholic priest who thinks
GOD reads his entries....

bill conduit
ain't the net fun.

690. Re: GOOD INDOOR SCANNER antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <37337d1f.29610736@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119524

On Fri, 07 May 1999 23:32:47 GMT, smokebowl@hempseed.com (smokebowl)
wrote:

>
>I am trying to find out what I should use to make, or what type if one
>made is the best antenna for recieveing in a condo?
>
>IRC Channel
>#MCSE on the ICQ network...
>(irc.icq.com)
>for the discussion of MCSE related topics

Start here:

http://pw2.netcom.com/~kimeyer/index.html

Good Signals... LCM

691. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: DISCO DUCK <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37338411.68@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,uk.misc,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <3730E7DD.54B8@ameritech.net>, BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbroo
> kltnkid@ameritech.net> writes
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >>
> >> Pepsi wrote:
> >> >
> >> > : : We could certainly use more sharp fellows like you, Charles. What do
> >> > : you like to discuss--computer games? music videos? navel piercings?
> >> > : How do you propose we limit the content of conversations? You must have
> >> > : several proposals for accomplishing that.
> >> >
> >> > We could the HF bands to laugh about how you old guys are all dying off from
> >health
> >> > problems, that's what we could contribute. :)
> >>
> >> We could the HF bands? Mr. "Could contribute" won't you shake hands
> >> with Mr. "If". "If" is a mighty big word for one who hasn't obtained
> >> the license. If we had some meat, we could make sandwhichs (if we had
> >> some bread).
> >>
> >> Dave 5H3US, K8MN
> >>
> >> --
> >> For 5H3US photos, go to
> >> http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/
> >ALL YOU REALLY NEED TO KNOW ABOUT CW IS SOS. That is just a rule
> >imposed on newcomers to the ham raio ranks, that says if I had to do it
> >so should you. If somebody wants to learn it then by all means feel
> >free but do not impose it on everybody
> Is it true that for profanity content the amateur wavebands have got CB
> radio beaten hands down?
WELL I DO NOT KNOW ABOUTTHAT, BUT I HAVE HEARD SOME " RATHER INTERESTING
CONVERSATIONS " ON THE AMATEUR BANDS
>
> I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net

> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/

--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

692. Re: Alinco DJX10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Sargent <ke8wo@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37338550.73C99812@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119526

I tend to agree that for the money, it is a good all-round radio. Lots of features and good performance. It has short-comings to be sure and that's why I'm keeping my Pro2006 and Sony 2010. But when I'm not sure what I want to listen to or where I'll be listening to it, I typically grab the Alinco.

DShatzer1 wrote:
>
> I had one for 2 months and wouldn't have another.

693. Re: Programmable PL Tones???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Festielle Empetienne <fawlmon@robolir.net>
Message-ID: <7gvbls$3cs$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 119527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

A good choice of dual banders. Yes, the manual explains how to do it. For transmit, however, I believe you need the optonal board TS-22? But that is the way they are advertised. I'm confused why you ask this. It sounds like you have the radio with no manual and have never seen their advertisements. The programming of all functions into memory is quite straightforward.

puck wrote in message <373374B7.D91D25EF@pacifier.com>...
>On an FT-8100R Dual-Band Tranceiver. On both transmit
>and Receive.
>
>
>
>
>Fastielle Empetienne wrote:
>
>> The answer is yes and no. What transceiver? On receive? On transmit?
On
>> both? Your question is too vague.
>>
>> puck wrote in message <37335FD8.B9CF609B@pacifier.com>...
>> >Can PL tones (Ones that aren't part of the standard set)
>> >be programmed into to VHF transceiver somehow??
>> >
>
>
>

694. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oscar <captorbs@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37338BA9.364B2744@hotmail.com>
Source record: 119528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CW wrote: Public school has obviously taken a toll on your mind if you think the whole point of learning is to take a test.
> CW

Learning comes *after* taking the entrance test. The entire medical school & law school curricula focus is to pass a national board exam; contractor & real estate licensure, and ham radio guidebooks are geared to passing a test. If you want to learn a subject, well..., that comes afterward with further reading and experience. Them's the facts, that's human nature.

BTW, for all of you who pine about the "good old days" and things aren't like they were X years ago. What was your input? Where were you, on the moon? Did you just standby and let things happen? Oh well....

695. FS pro-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: carljan <carljan@voyager.net>
Message-ID: <7h02f1$mou@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 119529

pro-2035 excellent condition check it out:
http://cqi.ebay.com/aw-cqi/eBayISAPI.dll?VeiwItem&item=99734568

696. Re: CTP Descrambler Company

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dad#1 <deadeye@javanet.com>
Message-ID: <37339D25.C46E3B9B@javanet.com>
Source record: 119530

I bought a kit from them. It arrived quickly and performed
very well.

k9kz@indy.net wrote:
>
> Does anyone know if CTP is a reputable company?
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

697. Whats "Motorola's X-Pand Voice Compression"???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dad#1 <deadeye@javanet.com>
Message-ID: <3733A3E8.5CDBCD59@javanet.com>
Source record: 119531

Is this inversion or what???

698. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <3733ABDE.6C4BC79E@INVALID.com>
Source record: 119532

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> In <37366614.5245369@news2.newsfeeds.com> Bill@aol.com (Bill) writes:
> >
> >You tell em bill!
> >
> >I didn't know you strayed from your "video" newsgroups.
> >
> >
> >
> >On 7 May 1999 19:10:00 GMT, myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
> >
> >>In <3733c40f.1328136@enews.newsguy.com> no@mail (Fred) writes:
> >>>
> >>>ps@adnc.com (Peter W. Singer) wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>>>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
> >>>
> seems people are really pissed off at me
> because I tell it like it is when swimmers
> want to know if it's a good thing to masturbate
> just before a meet...but they really came after
> me when I said: "ok girls, how do you handle it
> prior to a meet?"
>
> the thought that females do it too was just
> too much for most to handle. Did they ever
> come out of the depths of stupidity to answer
> for the girls.
>
> you see, no females took it on and left it up
> to the guys to come to their rescue....
>
> i'm thinking of going over to alt.atheist and
> do battle with a Catholic priest who thinks
> GOD reads his entries....
>
> bill conduit
> ain't the net fun.

hehe......That's reallly funny Bill. Good post. off-topic, but a good
post nonetheless.

699. Re: digital scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Philip J. Smith" <pjsmith@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <926133273.927.4@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119533

BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:3730E9BE.6A38@ameritech.net...
> Simply cause a system is trunked does not mean that it is encrpyted.
> That maybe the case in some systems but not all. Digital is anothe form
> of modulation just like AM and FM

True... Will County, in NE Illinois, was supposedly switching to an "all new digitally-encrypted radio system" in 1998, according to police who, at the time, were still using the antiquated 39 MHz simplex system. I assumed my days of listening to Will Co. were numbered.

When the new system went live, yes, it was a "digital" Ericsson EDACS system, but lo and behold, no *voice* encryption is being used. It's not all that hard to track either, since there is predominantly only police traffic on the system. But the extra four "beeps" are certainly annoying, and if you want to follow a conversation as it alternates through the trunk channels, you have to roll through the channels by hand with the Channel dial.

Phil

700. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <3733AC94.56D4D44F@INVALID.com>
Source record: 119534

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> What?
>
> yes....
>
> he talked to me for about an hour or so.
>
> wants info about things related to scanning
> from the laws against it to how people pass
> ass-gass while listening to their favorite
> past-time.
>
> he's doing his story. names to be anonymous
> like "Bill" or "Sam" or "Twinkle-tit" etc.
>
> he will give you newspapers he's worked at
> if you want to check him out and mag's he
> is presently doing this for or worked with
> before.

I dunno Bill. Newspaper journalists are well know for their use of scanners. It's not like they need any technical help. Are you sure he's smart & friendly? I'm skeptical about what he's going to write.

701. Re: Your favorite wideband reciever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Palmer <palmer@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <3733AE8F.74F33A24@pobox.com>
Source record: 119535

Lee Rothman wrote:

> Well, if you do decide to give up your 7100 or an 8000 or 8200, say
> goodbye to that legendary sensitivity as they "don't have it". I have had
> both radios and since gotten rid of them. I now have a IC-R2 from Icom.
> It is even a tad more sensitive than your 7100 and now I hear things that
> weren't even there with the others. Something to consider.

With that sensitivity, did you lose any selectivity? My sensitivity improved with the external antenna I put on my car, but so did the reception of every other signal floating through the air. My 7100 was already almost overrun with interference; with a "better" antenna it was wiped out. Does the IC-R2 fare well at screening out the unwanted signals?

What else do you like about the Icom? I'm gathering as many opinions as I can. $500 is a lot of money on my salary, so I shop carefully.

Thanks,

Dave

702. Re: Wanted: MDTMON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <926116840.694558@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 119536

I read somewhere that you can use a discriminator output on a scanner with a patch cord to the line input of your sound card.

Digitaal

Piero Sassu wrote in message <3731B44B.2B3A16C2@worldlynx.net>...
>This program requires a "hamcomm" interface. Can anyone give me
>information on what this interface is and how to acquire one?
>
>Thanks, Piero
>n3fvg@worldlynx.net

703. austin freqs/kc5dvr home page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc5dvr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990507012809.12588.00000428@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 119537

the broken links have been fixed on the kc5dvr home page. please let me know
if you find further dead/broken links. some of the text is out-of-date, but
freqs should be good. thanks, chris
http://members.aol.com/kc5dvr/index.htm

704. Etrunk 3.7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Panman & January Riley <jarmep@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3732821E.241BB239@earthlink.net>
Source record: 119538

Has anyone Downloaded and used the new etrunk 3.7. I am trying to get it setup and having trouble. Do I just replace my old etrunk.exe file in the dir for the different services. Also what are the new features of etrunk 3.7.

Second question, how do I get rid of the constant interference on the data channel. I always end up get an almost digital sound and cell phone conversation bleed through. It is especially bad during rush hour.

Thanks,

Mike

705. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ao111@freenet.carleton.ca
Message-ID: <amckie-ya02408000R0705990154420001@news.ncf.carleton.ca>
Source record: 119539

In article <7gt7vdhcv1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Art Becker"
<artbecker@pipeline.com> wrote:

> Now do you really want to take a chance that some hack will totally distort
> what any of us say, just in order to "prove" the point he or she already has
> in mind? They can distort the facts quite well without our help, and my
> experience has been that explaining anything even remotely technical to a

I understand what you're saying; it's a commonly held belief. The thing
that you have to realize is that while reporters DO screw things up all the
time, it's because they're asked to be experts on two or three completely
new subjects EVERY DAY. Magazine reporters have the luxury of taking time
to learn a lot about something before they write; most reporters work on
daily deadlines. Regardless of deadline, just about all reporters ASK FOR
HELP in understanding things. Even when they do get help, sometimes they
STILL screw up. Are you trying to tell me you've never misunderstood
anything anyone ever told you? Some are worse than others. At least this
guy tried to reach someone instead of making stuff up by himself!

There is one type of reporter that is much worse at this than any other:
"tabloid" reporters who work for publications that make money off of not
even caring whether they get it right or not. And while many posters on
this subject have been quick to label ALL reporters as being of this ilk, a
great number of you have also suggested that the reporter who asked for
help here should be paying for your help. What a contradiction! Serious
reporters for serious publications DON'T PAY SOURCES.

Rather than just ignoring this guy because you don't trust him, you've gone
and made scanner enthusiasts look like a bunch of paranoid freaks! How's
THAT going to sound in tomorrow's paper?

-Alasdair

706. Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: psNOSPAM@adnc.com
Message-ID: <373283c9.4756172@news.adnc.com>
Source record: 119540

http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM

707. FS: Saber Programming Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: EG <penstar@vsta.com>
Message-ID: <3732887d@news.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 119541

Have an extra after-market Saber Programming Cable. $40.00 + 3.50 shipping
with US. Guaranteed to work. Mail for info.

-Edward-
penstar@vsta.com

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

708. Re: Monitoring railroad activity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfbobby@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990507023736.01977.00000382@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 119542

www.trainweb.com/radio

709. Re: Monitoring railroad activity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfbobby@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990507024013.01977.00000385@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 119543

www.trainweb.com/radio for info,,,scan 160 - 163 mhz

710. Re: RadiosOnLine Gets A New Look

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: sfbobby@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990507025120.01977.00000386@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 119544

This looks like an interesting site...but the garish neon electric colors gave me a headache just trying to read the first page. The e-bay editorial was worse. Sorry, I would love to support the pages.

711. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jl110@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7gtq6i$ed8$1@pale-rider.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 119545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In a previous article, willee@interconnect.net (William Lee) says:

>
>Trent Phillips wrote in message <7gpjkpius1@pale-rider.INS.CWRU.Edu>...
>>
>>In a previous article, willee@interconnect.net (William Lee) says:
>>
>>>Trent, It sounds like you are very happy as a SWL. Why do you want to
EDITED
>
>
>OK Trent....... I slung the mud so you win.

Thank you for being so big about things...=-)

--
"You see ? You have no respect for excessive authority or obsolete trad-
itions. You're dangerous and depraved, and you ought to be taken outside
and shot !" Dr. Dobbs to Yossarian from "Catch-22" by Joseph Heller
----------jl110@cleveland.freenet.edu------YOSSARIAN LIVES !-------------

712. Re: MDT source code is legal to have

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zeke@redneck.net
Message-ID: <373296ee.8103049@news2.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 119546

On Thu, 06 May 1999 22:17:02 GMT, kew@-NOSPAM?teleport.cem (Keith)
wrote:

>The 9th Circuit court of the United States today affirmed that source code
>for software is free speech. This means that the possession of Source Code
>that decodes electronic transmissions is legal to possess and produce. As long
>as that source code is not taken from the original source produced by the
>manufacturer and that it only "emulates" the MDT it is legal. You can find out
>more about emulation software as not being an infringement of copyrighted and
>patented hardware and software at http://www.findlaw.com and the decision in
>the Breinstein vs UNITED STATES et al is located at http://www.eff.org.
>
>This does not mean that it is legal to intercept those transmissions, but it is
>legal to have the source code, schematics and parts in your possession. This
>post is not legal advice. For legal advice please speak to a lawyer.

Having the source code, schematics, and parts in your possession is
strong evidence of intent to manufacture the device. This may be
enough to prosecute.
>
>Best Regards,
>
>Keith
>---
>http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/linux
>www.teleport.com/~kew/commodore www.teleport.com/~kew/pgp
>---
> If all the personal computers in the world 260 million were put
>to work on a single PGP-encrypted message, it would still take
>an estimated 12 million times the age of the universe, on average,
>to break a single message.
>--William Crowell, Deputy Director, National Security Agency, March 20,
>1997.
This is exactly the MISINFORMATION that the NSA wants you to believe.

PGP is NO problem for them to decode.

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

713. Re: hand-held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: DRWHO10@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13503-37329D10-126@newsd-253.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119547

well DUH you just answered your own question
yes they do !!!!!!!!!!!!!

714. Police Italy Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ALE <p.i.mancini@omniway.sm>
Message-ID: <01be9869$f2d843c0$2541b7c2@p.i.mancini.omniway.sm>
Source record: 119548

```
Police Italy Frequence ????
```

715. Australian Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: AdrianM <jmueller@loom.net.au>
Message-ID: <01be9864$2e12fb20$3e8722cb@jmueller>
Source record: 119549

Hey guys, could someone giove me a hand and direct me as to where i may be able to find frequencies for the Sydney area in New South Wales Australia (you know, the Olympic City??). i'm specifically looking for WebSites....
thanks a lot, much appreciated
Adrian

716. Re: Help me !about the protocol of scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3732b941.1883638@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 119550
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.periphs.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Seednet" <randy@ms13.url.com.tw> wrote:

:My boss has a idea to design the box which can copy the paper from the
:scanner.

Unless the paper is being transmitted via radio it can't be done. A
scanner can only recieve radio waves.

:But,i have not knew any about the protocol from the scanner to the parallel
:port and scsi .

Scanners don't use parallel or scsi ports.

:Can you help me, or just tell me where can i find these resoures about them?
:mail to randy@ms13.url.com.tw and talk it to me,please!
:whis god

You have some strange ideas about scanners. I suggest you talk to someone
who owns one.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

717. Re: Etrunk 3.7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neal Fildes <n.r.fildes@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7gudqu$6ca$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119551

yes, put the executable where you can run it.
the new features are the same ones as motorola trunker - the zip file comes with the
moto docs as well. so you need to read both the moto doc which has underlines for new features
then the etrunker document that tells how that version differs from the moto version.

some new features include decoding of emergency calls, the use of up/down arrows to
auto-hold any call, and fixes for i-calls.

nrf

Michael Panman & January Riley <jarmep@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3732821E.241BB239@earthlink.net...
> Has anyone Downloaded and used the new etrunk 3.7. I am trying to get
> it setup and having trouble. Do I just replace my old etrunk.exe file in
> the dir for the different services. Also what are the new features of
> etrunk 3.7.
>
> Second question, how do I get rid of the constant interference on the
> data channel. I always end up get an almost digital sound and cell phone
> conversation bleed through. It is especially bad during rush hour.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Mike
>
>

718. Re: Australian Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3732CA51.3203@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119552

AdrianM wrote:
>
> Hey guys, could someone giove me a hand and direct me as to where i may be
> able to find frequencies for the Sydney area in New South Wales Australia
> (you know, the Olympic City??). i'm specifically looking for WebSites....
> thanks a lot, much appreciated
> Adrian

Check out the following URL:

http://www-personal.usyd.edu.au/~jswillia/scanpage.html

719. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@mail
Message-ID: <3733c40f.1328136@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 119553

ps@adnc.com (Peter W. Singer) wrote:

>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM

Old news (as you can see by the date in the URL). Nobody cares about him anymore.

720. Re: HELP! New to HAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Daniel J. Velez" <dvelez@citizen.infi.net>
Message-ID: <7guigt$vd5$1@nw001t.infi.net>
Source record: 119554

Where do you live? You really should go to a local amateur radio club meeting and get hooked up with a few of the members - they'll show you different parts of the hobby and you'll likely find someone who might have some loaner equipment to get you going.

Dan

Captain Zero wrote in message <373257E5.C3495CD6@soltec.net>...
>I'm going to start in HAM radio and scanner listening. But I don't know
>which is the best for a beginner and I have no idea about atennas or the
>neccesary equipment to get started. Please e-mail me at
>cptzero@soltec.net with names and resources. Is Radio Shack a good
>place?
>

721. Re: Why so many AOR AR82000 scanners for sale?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <biAY2.2055$97.1505@stones>
Source record: 119555

Lee Rothman <dcisive@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.119bd7256891b4be98977e@news.earthlink.net...
> OK, I'll bottom line if for you. After having owned one myself, and I
> have been a hobbyist in this and the shortwave area for 35 years, put
> simply, I feel that it is a GREAT CONCEPT but nothing more. It definitely
> falls short in the actual performance area. I consider sensitivity the
> real acid test for a scanner and it doesn't do it well. I feel that the
> switches are cheap and have a chintzy feel, not that of quality like that
> of the Icom IC-R2 and the Yupiteru product, all of which run rings around
> the 8200. I suppose more and more folks that went out there and were
> dazzled by the utter technology showcased by the 8200 are not
> disenchanted with the actual performance. I too have gone down this road.
>

I hope this is not the case with the ar5000 as I am about to consider
shelling out around 1300 pounds for a +3 version, although i will be testing
it in shop seriously before i buy do you know of ay "ghosts" this may have ?

eavsdropper

722. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <nkAY2.2056$97.1585@stones>
Source record: 119556

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:7gtk1e$mua@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com...
>
>
> What?
>
> yes....
>
> he talked to me for about an hour or so.
>
> wants info about things related to scanning
> from the laws against it to how people pass
> ass-gass while listening to their favorite
> past-time.
>
> he's doing his story. names to be anonymous
> like "Bill" or "Sam" or "Twinkle-tit" etc.
>
> he will give you newspapers he's worked at
> if you want to check him out and mag's he
> is presently doing this for or worked with
> before.
>
> he just wants dialogue for which the scanner
> will be used or not seeing as what the
> Congesss is going to limit use of scanners
> and now Telling UNIDEN they cannot make radios
> to listen to digital...
>
> he just wants your views on these matters and how your
> listening pleasure will be changed once these new
> restrictions are placed, when passed by Congress,
> on the public right to know.
>
> he's wanting to speak with people who do it
> for the fun of it.
>
> he's interested in why people find cellular
> listening interesting or boring. Those that
> can still be listened to...
>
> give the guy his whizz...
>
> be paranoic and stay that way. Pick his

> nose too.
>
> he actually called me...kid you knot.
>
> so....he may be calling you next.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>
>

BEWARE of journo's they may end up writing a total slagging off of scanner users and scanners. this hobby has had enough bad press and it does NOT need any more !!

be careful

eavsdropper

723. Best Scanner For $200 - $300?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <7gujvd$6ju$1@news.indy.net>
Source record: 119557

I would appreciate opinions as to what's the most scanner for under $300 used or new. I am only familiar with the BC9000xlt and BC3000xlt. What would you buy and why? Doesn't matter whether it's base or handheld. I like my Pro 2027 but it has no frequency lockouts for searching. Thanks!!!

Bill K9KZ

724. WTB: Scanner w/ aircraft band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dr. Ty Young" <tyoung@unt.edu>
Message-ID: <7gunv9$41q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119558
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking to buy a scanner for occasional use. I'd like it to have aircraft band capability as well, unless there's a way to program a scanner to receive aircraft band transmissions (this may be impossible; I don't know.) If you have a scanner you're getting rid of, or know of a good recommendation, pls let me know. Thanks! (Pls REPLY to me with E-mail; I don't check this newsgroup all that often.)

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

725. Good Guy Alert !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <926060530.836454@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 119559
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Too many times we see the negative postings about somebody who did somebody wrong on these groups. This is not one of those posts.

I recently completed a deal with Richard M. Cash, from whom I had purchased an AOR 8000 receiver. He communicated with me frequently and the 8000 was promptly shipped to me (safely packaged). I received the unit a few days later and it arrived in the very good condition he had described it was in.

Its nice to know there are still many honest people out here to deal with.

Sincerely,

Chris M.
n8cop@migate.net

726. Pro-91 moddable ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: bikerforchrist@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3732df74.36280304@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 119560

Is the Pro-91 moddable ?

727. Re: Official Trunker Homepage?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <ED061F5D5C92AB5A.02BE66BD21EC9B3D.5577118E084990C0@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119561

There is no "official" trunker homepage....

But, the closest thing to it is the Digital Signals homepage at
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/digital.htm

I am in touch with the developers of trunker and etrunk on a constant
basis, and function as the focal point for the latest distribution
releases and information.

The source code is included as part of the download. Unzip the
archives and the source will be included as seperate archives.

Have fun!
-Lb

Lindsay Blanton
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/

On Wed, 5 May 1999 11:14:54 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Is there an official trunker home page, or is the one on
>http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/digital.htm it?
>
>The reason that I ask is because the source code for trunker (I assume it
>should be trunker.c or something similar) is missing from the latest
>download version. I saw etrunk.c in the archive, but I don't think that's
>it.
>
>Thanks,
>-Phil
>

728. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jpos <jpos@mobilemark.com>
Message-ID: <6XBY2.14542$wE1.8262@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net>
Source record: 119562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I just finished giving my seven year old his code lesson. He's learning the code to earn a award in his Tiger Cub Scout group. He is a seven year old that came to me to learn the code, and has no interest in radio, I would think that anyone who is interested in radio should stop crying about it and donate the 15 minutes a night for 2 weeks, and learn it.

No one complains about having to learn how to use windows before they can surf the net....

Jerry N9DKQ
not a Brass Pounder.....

Marv Luse wrote in message <373198C1.3FCB22E6@dimensional.com>...
>Jack C Empson Jr wrote:
>
>> That's ok we can argue all we want about keeping the code test, but something
>> needs to be done to bring people into amateur radio not keep them out. Soon enough
>> all the brass pounders will be gone and then the FCC can sell of the rest of the
>> ham spectrum. How soon we have forgotten about 220 MHz.
>>
>> **********
>> * Jack Empson Instructional Support Technician *
>> * State University of New York @ Buffalo *
>> * 10 Norton Buffalo, New York 14260 *
>> * Voice 716.645.3518 Fax 716.645.3198 *
>> **********
>>
>> 186000 mps it's not just a good idea, it's the law!
>
>Jack,
>
>Brass pounders will always be around, and in large numbers too. As for new blood in
>Amateur Radio, there is plenty of that too. Where did the notion that Amateur Radio
>is dying come from? At my extra class exam (recent) there were about 5 youngsters
>aged about 10 taking the 5 WPM code test. Come to think of it, there were kids
>testing at every one of the test sessions I attended.

>
>I think we need to worry more about the F layer falling.
>
>73,
>
>Marv
>AB0IO
>

729. Re: Your favorite wideband reciever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.119ca0554de2223989781@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119563

Well, if you do decide to give up your 7100 or an 8000 or 8200, say goodbye to that legendary sensitivity as they "don't have it". I have had both radios and since gotten rid of them. I now have a IC-R2 from Icom. It is even a tad more sensitive than your 7100 and now I hear things that weren't even there with the others. Something to consider.

730. Updated! Pro-Turbo New Software for the CE/HB 232 interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.on.ca
Message-ID: <3737f8c0.5960697@news.cgocable.net>
Source record: 119564

VERSION 4.0.46

You may want to look at this program before the F.B.I. decides that
there is something wrong with it and makes us pull it off the market!

It's now HERE!!
Pro-Turbo
Now has support for the 25,600 channel memory MOD.

Now includes 2 groups of 4 user definable meters! Now you can have
that center tune meter that you have always wanted.

Now includes recording so that when you go out you can hear
everything that went on while you were gone, you can play this back
and listen only to those frequencies that you want to listen to.

You can record loops of 20 minutes length so that you have
that last 40 minutes of recording, you can do an immediate record of
what is happening right away. You can also record 24hrs a day if you
want and it can be selective, you can record just the channels that
you to record without locking out all the frequencies that you don't
want to record.

True 32bit Windows Software for the CE/HB 232 interface for
the Radio Shack Pro-2004, 2005, 2006 scanners. It does everything the
DOS program does, and more. The program uses very little resources,
so your system is still usable under Windows, in fact the author of
the program runs 2 copies of the program on his system with no problem
at all. Some of the most used scripts are now actually part of the
program, log a block of channels, search and store, auto-program lock
a block of channels. If you have added more memory to your scanner,
such as the 6,400 channels mod you can switch between the 16 groups
right from within the program with very little support circuitry.
Also with a few more components you can have an on screen
S-meter, you can even control the volume of the scanner if you have
it connected to your Sound blaster compatible sound card.

So if you would like to have a look, you can get more information
on the program at http://www.iaw.on.ca/~jabba/pro-turbo.htm

VERSION 4.0.46

731. Re: New PL tones for NYPD 20 PCT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil_a_steen@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gv0u9ckj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119565

Another good catch. I have tried to figure it out but in vain (it's futile with a Saber). Anyone out there know what the knew tones are for NYPD Division Four?

In article <19990503220255.05264.00001921@ng33.aol.com>,
tullyziggy@aol.com (Tullyziggy) wrote:
> Does anyone know what they are?
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

732. Re: #1 Portable Indoor Antenna!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jubal@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gv175$cv3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119566
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

What's the actual frequency range? I might like to use it on shortwave
(5-30mHz) and 1900mHz (cell phone)as well as scanner to 900mHz.

http://www.flashdistributor.com worked for me.

Russ

In article <01be981f$7ba3e7e0$46c385cc@default>,
"TKD" <rt12@montrose.net> wrote:
> The Promax Scanner Antenna will outperform most
> Outdoor antennas including some Discone Beam Type
> and it is totally Portable and can go behind furniture or
> be rolled up and put away after each use!
> see
> http://flashdistributor
> for picture
> Order on line on secure server!
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

733. Re: Help me !about the protocol of scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J.A. McCulloch" <xxxxx@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <3733023F.55F8@concentric.net>
Source record: 119567
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
> "Seednet" <randy@ms13.url.com.tw> wrote:
>
> :My boss has a idea to design the box which can copy the paper from the
> :scanner.
>
> Unless the paper is being transmitted via radio it can't be done. A
> scanner can only recieve radio waves.
>
> :But,i have not knew any about the protocol from the scanner to the parallel
> :port and scsi .
>
> Scanners don't use parallel or scsi ports.
>
> :Can you help me, or just tell me where can i find these resoures about them?
> :mail to randy@ms13.url.com.tw and talk it to me,please!
> :whis god
>
> You have some strange ideas about scanners. I suggest you talk to someone
> who owns one.
>
> Barry
> ==========
> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

How about someone who knows that the word "scanner" has more than one
meaning? Someone who has a bit of tolerance for a non-english (well,
non-"awsee") speaking/writing person who picked some wrong groups, not
understanding the relationship implied by 'radio'?

Guess all that blood rushing to your head ... eh, mate? <G>

G'day!

As to e-mailing 'replies' PLONK - come back to the group and READ them!

734. 821MHZ music

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: bustor2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990507110530.20934.00000886@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 119568

As a new scanner hobbyist, I'm wondering where continuous music comes
from in the area of 800 MHZ. Is that like "Muzak" that they play in stores?

:paul

735. AOR3000/ICOMR1/PRO2037/PRO2006 ALL 4 SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990507111231.18653.00000421@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 119569

AOR3000 450.00 WITH MOUNTING BRACKET AC/DC ADAPT FULL COVER

ICOM R1 200.00 100 CH HANDIE FULL COVERAGE WITH MANUAL WALL AND CIGARETTE
ADAPT

PRO2037 90.00 200 CH BASE 30-960 COVERAGE

PRO 2006 HAS BEEN SOLD

PREPAYMENT FOR ANY SHIPPING US POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY
PICKUPS WELCOME

PRICES AND TERMS ARE FIRM

THANKS IN ADVANCE

736. Re: Pro-60 antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: lyndx <ml488740@nassaulibrary.org>
Message-ID: <7gv0aotu1@mail.nassaulibrary.org>
Source record: 119570

I use the RS Discone with the low band whip added. It does better on the lo and air bands and about the same on hi and UHF. The antenna is in the attic of my garage - about 1 story high. I am in a large metro area and don't have a problem with overload with this antenna.

I have also connected my TV antenna which has a rotor and used it for the FM band.

737. Re: Repost: MDT source code is legal to have

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <eTDY2.10201$YU1.17344@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 119571

Keith wrote in message <373718e8.6502642@news.teleport.com>...
>The 9th Circuit court of the United States today affirmed that source code
>for software is free speech. This means that the possession of Source Code
>that decodes electronic transmissions is legal to possess and produce. As
long

This is fine as a defense against COPYRIGHT prosecution, but won't be helpful at all if the FCC or DOJ are after you for illegally intercepting MDT communications (if in fact such interception is illegal).

Also, the 9th Circuit gets overturned by the Supreme Court fairly often, so I wouldn't put too much faith in their decision. The 9th Circuit does not seem to show enough deference to "expert" agencies of government when ruling on regulatory issues to satisfy the current Supreme Court's policy.

73 de Dave, NF2G
A.S.C.J.
Alpha Phi Sigma

738. battery voltage for rs scanner!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: pharoe99 <boidman32@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <7gv5l5$h6e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119572

hello !! i have a question maybe someone can help me with??? i am looking for an external battery suply for my radio (rs scanner/pro-60)i bought a battery pack for it when i got the radio ,,,the battery is 7.2v 600mah. my question is ? can i use a race car battery pack that is 7.2v 1700mah to power the radio from the dc jack that is a 9v jack??? hope you can help send reply to boidman32@netscape.net

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

739. Re: Help me !about the protocol of scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: adam <aez@msn.com-ick-ptui-spammer>
Message-ID: <e9dq2dKm#GA.335@cpmsnbbsa03>
Source record: 119573
Cross-posted to: alt.comp.periphs.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Help me Spock, my Microtek E6 will not pick up
Police Band VHF even when I set it for 600 DPI optical
resolution. Is there an upgrade to Adobe PhotoShop
to fix this? :-)

There be radio frequency scanner newsgroups
and there be computer image scanners. Watch
those cross posts!.

--
Adam Zilinskas
SolutionsIQ
aez at msn.com

Barry O'Grady <barryo@fastlink.com.au> wrote in message
news:3732b941.1883638@genenews.acay.com.au...
> "Seednet" <randy@ms13.url.com.tw> wrote:
>
> :My boss has a idea to design the box which can copy the paper from the
> :scanner.
>
> Unless the paper is being transmitted via radio it can't be done. A
> scanner can only recieve radio waves.
>
> :But,i have not knew any about the protocol from the scanner to the
parallel
> :port and scsi .
>
> Scanners don't use parallel or scsi ports.
>
> :Can you help me, or just tell me where can i find these resoures about
them?
> :mail to randy@ms13.url.com.tw and talk it to me,please!
> :whis god
>
> You have some strange ideas about scanners. I suggest you talk to someone
> who owns one.
>
> Barry
> ==========
> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

740. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <3733179f.361331040@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 06 May 1999 14:30:04 GMT, Daniel Grunberg
<dangrunberg@netzero.net> wrote:

>
>Here's something else to be considered. Repeater stations, both amateur and
>commercial, identify themselves in Morse Code. How can you know you're using
>the right repeater, if you can't identify it because you can't read the
>repeater's slow Morse ID?

Your repaters use CWid most of them that Iuse, use voice ID, how can
you tell simple the same way pilots tend to use navaid by their
frequency
>
>For that matter, on HF, how can you tell which CW station is interfering with
>you, if you can't read its call?

Why do I need to be able to do that, anymore than I need to be able to
pull the id of an interferringin digtal staion out by ear. If it is
in you rway just qsy
>
>Is it possible that there are other legitimate NEEDS for Morse Code yet to be
>found?

It is possible, but then ProCoders don't even seem to be looking
>
>--
>
>Daniel A. Grunberg Kensington, Maryland USA
>
>home page: www.nyx.net/~dgrunber
>
>-----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

741. Re: New PL tones for NYPD 20 PCT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: s_c_h_u_s_t_e_r_@panix.com
Message-ID: <7gv60b$qr8$1@news.panix.com>
Source record: 119575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <19990503220255.05264.00001921@ng33.aol.com>,
Tullyziggy <tullyziggy@aol.com> wrote:
>Does anyone know what they are?

http://www.n2nov.net/nypd_ems.htm

742. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <37332561.364853814@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 06 May 1999 16:08:42 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>Mark Morgan wrote:
>>
>> On Wed, 05 May 1999 19:56:18 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >> I wioll not bother with the rest of the drivel of a bigot that thinks
>> >> the spanish speakers should bann from the ARS in america
>> >
>> >Again you've managed to say something which I never stated. Reading and
>> >writing are going to be far bigger challenges to you than morse testing
>> >since you'll likely need those skills daily.
>>
>> No you did say it when I asked wether spanish americans should
>> be barred for the air you answered YES
>
>You have never asked if "spanish americans" should be barred "for the
>air" or anything similar. "F" in reading comprehension. Go back and
>read your query and my response five hundred times.

Yesd I did ask you I gues could read that and answered yes. BTW that
was in another thread not this one Dave. I do read and remember what
you write
>
>Dave 5H3US, K8MN
>--
>For 5H3US photos, go to
>http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

743. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <373326be.365202952@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 06 May 1999 21:20:26 -0500, Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:

>Pepsi wrote:
>>
>> : Just seems to me that we're better off for having this skill in
>> : the community.
>>
>> That's the point.
>> It's HAM is no longer a skill, its now just a nostalgic hobby, just
>> like playing video games is.
>> There is nothing useful about HAM now nor life saving nor nothing worth
>> getting "community" attention for.
>
>Actually Ham radio has always assisted in giving communications to
>national disaster areas such as Earthquake, Flood, or Tornado disasters.
>However, Morse Code is never used in these instances, mostly voice on
>the 2 meter band.

To correct you slightly it is never used expcet by the choice of the
hams involved and some traffic is moved into and out of an affected
off 2M and some of that is done in Done but it does not have to be

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

744. Re: Repost: MDT source code is legal to have

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <37382523.2509196@news.erols.com>
Source record: 119578

On Fri, 7 May 1999 11:45:31 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

>Keith wrote in message <373718e8.6502642@news.teleport.com>...
>>The 9th Circuit court of the United States today affirmed that source code
>>for software is free speech. This means that the possession of Source Code
>>that decodes electronic transmissions is legal to possess and produce. As
>long
>
>This is fine as a defense against COPYRIGHT prosecution, but won't be
>helpful at all if the FCC or DOJ are after you for illegally intercepting
>MDT communications (if in fact such interception is illegal).

Too true. It's not illegal to have pipe caps, threaded pipe, or
gunpowder. You could be a handyman who also likes to do Civil War
re-enactments. But heaven help you if you're fingered for a pipe bomb
incident.

Oh well. At least we've established that it's not a problem if you
just want to know how MDT works.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

745. Re: pro-60 question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <qSFY2.6720$YB4.315176@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 119579

They normally will replace it according to its original retail value (not sale price or closeout price). Since the Pro-60 was the top of the line handheld, I would expect they would replace it with the current top of the line, which I believe is the Pro-90. Unless you have been hacking away at the radio, RadioShack should be able to fix any problem you have with the radio. If they return the radio and say that it can't be fixed, then ask to have it sent to a different repair center and attach a note about it to the repair center manager. The repair techs at radioshack get paid by the job. If it looks like a job will take too long they will just say the item couldn't be repaired and move to the next (easier) job. They will often do this on items covered by warranty because they know that the customer will get a replacement.

746. Re: RadiosOnLine Gets A New Look

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <tXFY2.6739$YB4.315426@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 119580

RadioShack Online gets a new look? Looks the same as it has for a long time. In a newsrelease to the investment community RadioShack says that they RadioShack.com website will be set up for ecommerce. Evidently they will be selling online and will offer regular RadioShack merchandise and all the special order stuff.

Follow this link to read what I am talking about.
http://www.tandy.com/press/Internet_Html_Pages/footer.asp?URL=RS-1999-015.ht
m

SFbobby <sfbobby@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990507025120.01977.00000386@ng-fr1.aol.com...
> This looks like an interesting site...but the garish neon electric colors
gave
> me a headache just trying to read the first page. The e-bay editorial was
> worse. Sorry, I would love to support the pages.

747. FS: GE<MOTOROLA @ DAYTON HAMVENTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: WA2AUL <wa2aul@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <SSFY2.10215$YU1.17203@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 119581

Hi everybody I'm going to have some of the following equipment at the Dayton Hamvention
Motorola MSF5000 UHF repeater,Motorola Centracom II consoles Ge MasterII Base Repeaters
Motorola Maratrac 110 watt 42-50 MHZ 2ways.GE mastr II UHF-Vhf-Lowband,Syntor X GE Deltas ,GE S-990 New control heads GE S-550 New Much More Site 3406-3407 Hope to see you there!!

748. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <37332c4a.366623155@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 6 May 1999 23:04:04 -0400, "Karina Wright"
<karina@cafekarina.org> wrote:

>
>CW wrote in message
><01be9823$51141320$9a2079a5@spry941360spry08.sprynet.com>...
>>
>>-- Then what you are saying is that your ability to learn code is based on
>>having to take a test. Eliminate the test and you will no longer be able to
>>learn it? Public school has obviously taken a toll on your mind if you
>>think the whole point of learning is to take a test.
>
>
>No, I don't think I said that at all. I said that my mind does not have the
>trick of learning morse code. Never could get it as a kid...although I've
>been having a little more luck lately what with the advent of really patient
>computer software. The test was the impetus for attempting to learn it
>because at that point in my life, there were no options...you had to know
>code to be a ham and I desperately wanted to be a ham.

Indeed I faced that and spent five years trying and failing I now hold
a license but Nothing about code was worth the 20 years I lost out on
Ham radio, But IF you are saying that can't learn Code without having
to do so then I call it prettty sad. I have learned many things
without needing to do so for a test. I have failed to learn thing even
with a test, things other than Morse Code.
>
>
>
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

749. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: M Weiss <mweiss@XSPAMjavanet.com>
Message-ID: <7gvbtpejd1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 119583
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bill wrote in message <3731bf7f.813583@news.uswest.net>...
>On Wed, 05 May 1999 16:58:01 GMT, joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>>What is the legal basis for the FCC to authorize operating a scanner? Has
the
>>FCC ever voided such authorization for an individual person?
>
>Just the same as any other lowlife shiteating fed-cop. If the FCC ever
>knocks on your door and says that they want to inspect your radio
>receivers, give them 5 seconds to clear the gate and let your dog
>loose on them....they are no better than vermin that will infest every
>little space that you let them get a hold on.
>Tell um to fuck off and die!
>
>
>
>
>
>+++
>The only good bureaucrat is one with a pistol at his head.
>Put it in his hand and it's good-by to the Bill of Rights.
>----Henry Louis Mencken
>+++

Spoken like a gentleman!

And now, how's THIS for news on the FCC front:
My web site, which is intended as an educational tool for those interested in FM broadcasting, has come under fire from the FCC. One of their field chiefs out of Boston is hot on the trail searching for my whereabouts right now. This, after 7 hits on the web site from gatekeeper.fcc.gov.

I read about Bill Cheek, arrested for alledgedly offering plans for MDT demodulators on the net. Now once again our freedom of speech on the web is being attacked by the FCC.

Mark
The "Peg-legged" Bass Pig

^ ^
_o^O-/ \
(oo)_ ?)
_/)
_

Free Speech on the Web Under Attack! Details at:
http://members.tripod.com/~AMN92
To reply, remove XSPAM from address.

750. Re: AOR3000/ICOMR1/PRO2037/PRO2006 ALL 4 SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Price <career1@home.com>
Message-ID: <373331A1.B1545D9A@home.com>
Source record: 119584

What is the condition of the AOR3000? Do you smoke? Do you have the
manual?
Alan
W1HYV

CWest69521 wrote:
>
> AOR3000 450.00 WITH MOUNTING BRACKET AC/DC ADAPT FULL COVER
>
> ICOM R1 200.00 100 CH HANDIE FULL COVERAGE WITH MANUAL WALL AND CIGARETTE
> ADAPT
>
> PRO2037 90.00 200 CH BASE 30-960 COVERAGE
>
> PRO 2006 HAS BEEN SOLD
>
> PREPAYMENT FOR ANY SHIPPING US POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY
> PICKUPS WELCOME
>
> PRICES AND TERMS ARE FIRM
>
> THANKS IN ADVANCE

751. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@mail
Message-ID: <37342844.6756003@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 119585

kew@teleport.cem (Keith) wrote:

>On Fri, 07 May 1999 10:45:58 GMT, no@mail (Fred) wrote:
>
>>ps@adnc.com (Peter W. Singer) wrote:
>>
>>>>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
>>
>>
>>Old news (as you can see by the date in the URL). Nobody cares about him
>>anymore.
>
>
>You don't care about your freedom if you think the arrest of Bill Cheek doesn't
>effect all of us.

Sorry to burst your bubble, but Cheek's case doesn't mean dick and it won't
affect anyone else except his wife.

752. Re: Some thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <926103111.032.5@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

There's no point in debating without facts. Relevant recent bulletins
are attached at the end of this message.

Michael.

Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote in message
news:373326be.365202952@nntp.one-eleven.net...
> >Actually Ham radio has always assisted in giving communications to
> >national disaster areas such as Earthquake, Flood, or Tornado
disasters.
> >However, Morse Code is never used in these instances, mostly voice on
> >the 2 meter band.
>
> To correct you slightly it is never used expcet by the choice of the
> hams involved and some traffic is moved into and out of an affected
> off 2M and some of that is done in Done but it does not have to be

==========

SB QST @ ARL $ARLB030
ARLB030 Operators still needed in Oklahoma tornado recovery

ZCZC AG30
QST de W1AW
ARRL Bulletin 30 ARLB030
>From ARRL Headquarters
Newington CT May 6, 1999
To all radio amateurs

SB QST ARL ARLB030
ARLB030 Operators still needed in Oklahoma tornado recovery

The Salvation Army and the American Red Cross have put out calls for
additional Amateur Radio assistance in the wake of Monday's
devastating tornadoes in Oklahoma. Oklahoma Section Emergency
Coordinator Bennett Basore, W5ZTN, has been running emergency nets
and radio amateurs have been handling ''tons of health and welfare
traffic.''

Oklahoma Section Manager Charlie Calhoun, K5TTT, reports the
Salvation Army has requested amateur operators starting May 7 and
probably for the rest of the week. ''They need hams to act as
shadows and transport units in addition to manning the canteens,''
Calhoun said. ''They are expecting to send out 40 canteens into the
field tomorrow.''

Calhoun said much of the activity is going through the Edmond, Oklahoma, 147.135 MHz repeater, which can be difficult to access with hand-held transceivers. He said mobile transceivers and external antennas and power sources would be a better choice.

He said volunteers should plan to stay at least four hours in the field. No vehicles are allowed in the field, and officials are shuttling hams in and out. Calhoun said it appeared that Amateur Radio communication would be required at least through Saturday. Volunteers should check in with the net control on the 147.135 repeater upon arriving in Oklahoma City.

Hams from the Tulsa area are planning to leave today for the Oklahoma City area to assist.

Ken Runyon, KC5PNO, reports that The Red Cross is requesting at least 50 amateur operators to be available all day Friday, May 7, to begin damage assessment. ''Hams will start working with damage assessment teams at 7:30 AM and will be moving from home to home through the disaster area,'' he said. Operators will need good footwear, a two-meter radio, and batteries to last at least 10 hours. Volunteers should contact the Red Cross, 405 232-7121.

OK Public Information Coordinator Thomas Webb, WA9AFM, reports he's monitored health-and-welfare traffic on both 2 meters and 75 meters coordinating Salvation Army canteen support.

''Based on the excellent warning, most of the victims appear to have left the disaster area prior to the strike and were in contact with friends or family or were in shelters with adequate communications,'' he said.

For more tornado information and photos, visit http://www.hamsnet.net/kc5trr/oklahomadisaster.htm

In the Wichita, Kansas, area, Kansas Section Manager Orlan Cook, W0OYH, reports emergency net operations continue on HF. WB0OFS is a net control for the Central States Traffic Net. The town of Haysville was hardest hit during the Monday storms, which left five people dead in Kansas.

With January's unusual tornadoes still fresh in their minds, Amateur Radio operators in Tennessee were ready to respond promptly when severe weather hit the Volunteer State May 5. Some counties activated emergency nets just in case. Hams in Montgomery County were asked to have mobile units ready to go to specific areas to check on flooding. In addition, the Red Cross asked hams there to stand by to assist. One operator was deployed to the local emergency operations center and another to the Red Cross.
NNNN
/EX

==========
SB QST @ ARL $ARLB029

ARLB029 COMMUNICATIONS EMERGENCY DECLARED

ZCZC AG29
QST de W1AW
ARRL Bulletin 29 ARLB029
>From ARRL Headquarters
Newington CT May 4, 1999
To all radio amateurs

SB QST ARL ARLB029
ARLB029 COMMUNICATIONS EMERGENCY DECLARED

UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF SECTION 97.401 OF THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION'S RULES AND REGULATIONS, (47 C.F.R. PART 97) A COMMUNICATIONS EMERGENCY IS DECLARED TO EXIST IN THE OKLAHOMA AREA DUE TO THE VIOLENT TORNADOES AND EXPECTED SEVERE WEATHER, REQUIRING THE PROTECTION OF AMATEUR EMERGENCY COMMUNICATION FREQUENCIES. AMATEURS ARE REQUIRED TO REFRAIN FROM USING 3900 KHZ FROM NOW UNTIL MAY 7 AT 2100 CENTRAL STANDARD TIME. ALSO, AMATEURS ARE REQUIRED TO REFRAIN FROM USING 7285 KHZ FOR THE SAME TIME FRAME. BOTH FREQUENCIES ARE PROTECTED PLUS OR MINUS 3 KHZ UNLESS AMATEURS ARE TAKING PART IN THE HANDLING OF EMERGENCY TRAFFIC. THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY. ARLAN K. VAN DOORN DEPUTY CHIEF COMPLIANCE AND INFORMATION BUREAU FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC.
NNNN
/EX

753. Freq Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990506204251.08509.00000257@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 119619

to get a complete list of freqs in your area goto
http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procsubm?\0\FCC\City\SPECTRUM
\SPECTRUM

754. Re: PCR1000 Problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfulton <rfulton@bbw.tds.net>
Message-ID: <01be9827$8d9a51c0$770a01cf@default>
Source record: 119620

you need to turn off your bandscope

ClLIFF <cliffn@balista.com> wrote in article <37319E4A.30B5@balista.com>...
> New out of the box... PCR-1000. No SSB or CW Audio on
> Componet Screen and Communications Receiver Screen.... But
> Works fine on the Radio Screen !
>
> Am I doing something wrong?
>
> Cliff
> --
> "Senior Citizen (still) in the learning mode"!
>

755. Re: Some (shallow) thoughts on the CW debate...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: CW <cmagers@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <01be9823$51141320$9a2079a5@spry941360spry08.sprynet.com>
Source record: 119621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

-- Then what you are saying is that your ability to learn code is based on having to take a test. Eliminate the test and you will no longer be able to learn it? Public school has obviously taken a toll on your mind if you think the whole point of learning is to take a test.
CW
KC7NOD

Karina Wright <karina@cafekarina.org> wrote in article <DOfY2.302$3y3.549261@news.abs.net>...

>Once the CW requirement is dropped, you old hams will have to
>fight for bandwidth to discuss bowel problems. Won't be long ...

I am one of the ones who has way lots of trouble catching on to Morse Code and as a result may never progress beyond Tech No-Code. However, I don't want to see the CW requirement go away, because

a) It will still always be a goal for me. I've always wanted to know a secret language shared by only a few people. Too many books and movies in my wasted youth, I guess; and

756. FS-Radio Shack Pro-51 - PRO51.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: cliffwhoX@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <9B85010E36C010C5.9EFCDB333685ABF4.3BD43D8121F9857B@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119622
Cross-posted to: dfw.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This is one of the newer models and is not modifiable. Asking
$105.00.

remove X to reply

757. RadiosOnLine Gets A New Look

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <umrY2.944$ss1.1198@news.flash.net>
Source record: 119623

Thank you to all who have been visiting my RadiosOnLine website.

The format has changed, for the better I hope.

No More FORMS! Easier to read format, Easier to navagate.

I hope (with your input) to make it more of an E'zine type format.

Many CURRENT frequency lists for Scanners and HF listeners alike

Editorials on Cell Phones and Please read the Editorial on eBay(tm).

Check it out at http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

Thanks,
George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios
--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
----

758. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7gtfa4$1v5@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119624

In <7gt7vdhcv1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net> "Art Becker"
<artbecker@pipeline.com> writes:
>
>>> I've both e-mailed this "reporter," <<
>>paper, or see on TV, than what you ro I would say in person.
>

>Forget about this so-called journalist.
>
> << Art >>
>
>
What a putz-futt:

are you for real...your paranoia is blinding
thee.

why not accuse him of killing the
pope.

you really are a classic case of
"weird, yet clings to life" itis.

call the guy...speak with him or when
he calls back. pick his nose as you
give us what's in yours for fodder to
blink over.

bill conduit
etc.

759. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: juker8161@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7gtkla$6eq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7gktqm$4mj$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote:
>
> Lyle Koehler wrote in message <372DF09B.F63A1458@mlecmn.net>...
> >
> >Here are some relevant paragraphs from the ARRL VE manual regarding
> >Morse testing:
> >
> >"Pausing the examination message, where warranted, may be a successful
> >accommodative procedure to overcome candidates' ability to write or
> >respond quickly. The pauses may be included after each sentence or
> >phrase, after each word or even after each character, to allow the
> >examinee the time to write, absorb and/or interpret what was sent. The
> >decision as to the level of pausing warranted is determined by the VE
> >team in conjunction with input from the candidate or the candidate's
> >Doctor's Statement. The level of accommodation should be the least
> >necessary to overcome the candidate's handicap."
> >
> >In a later paragraph: "For a character-by-character examination, a
> grade
> >of 70% or more correct characters can be considered passing".
>
> If this were for anything other than the 5wpm basic test, I think this
> would
> be grounds for throwning out the whole test. I mean, you could claim 25
> WPM here by pausing 15 seconds between each character.
>
> But since someone with a handicap waiver only has to take the 5wpm
> test anyway, and the 5wpm test, in my mind, is nothing but
> memorization and a 'mental look up', it's not really that much different
than from what a non-handicapped ham would take. But still not the
same. Just not that different.

Different disabilities= Different abilities
>
> I'm kind of touchy with handicapped declarations. I had a family member
> who was handicapped. And he REFUSED to get handicapped plates
> for his car because he said 'it doesn't mean anything anyway'. He had
> polio back in the 50s. He could have legally gotten an exemption to
> hunt
> from his car. As it was, he 'violated' the law in that he didn't
> usually move
> the required distance from his vehicle, instead he threw a mat over his

> hood and lay on it. When he got a deer and help wasn't arround, he'd
> put a noose on it's neck and drag it.
>
> Very few things piss me off more than some '*' parking in an handicap
> zone without a sticker, or like the guy in the Z-28 (with standard
> shift)
> in my hometown who I've seen swing into the handicapped spot at the
> market, throw a placard on the dash, and then hop out in his sweats
> and running gear and jog into the store.
>
> Anyway, a handicap declaration should mean something. As it is, it's
> BS and in my mind was used strictly as a wedge to keep the no-code
> issue under control for a short while longer.
>
> Mike Yetsko
> N1DVJ
>
>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

760. Monitoring railroad activity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ruffinscan@aol.comdontdoit
Message-ID: <19990506215158.10286.00000364@ng138.aol.com>
Source record: 119626

Does anyone have any experience monitoring the railroad scene with scanners.
If so email me the details, website related etc.

Thanks

761. Help with BC200XLT-PLEASE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kelly38157NOSPAMS@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <293B6F7289296202.B8653C0865D3724A.B3946EC2FEA00858@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I performed the cell mod on my 200xlt and I'm having a problem. I do have totally unblocked 800 megahertz coverage, but i'm not picking up any cell transmissions. I still get images above 900 mhz, but nothing between 870-896 other than TV stations and some business radio transmissions. Please help me.

762. The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7gtk1e$mua@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119628

What?

yes....

he talked to me for about an hour or so.

wants info about things related to scanning
from the laws against it to how people pass
ass-gass while listening to their favorite
past-time.

he's doing his story. names to be anonymous
like "Bill" or "Sam" or "Twinkle-tit" etc.

he will give you newspapers he's worked at
if you want to check him out and mag's he
is presently doing this for or worked with
before.

he just wants dialogue for which the scanner
will be used or not seeing as what the
Congesss is going to limit use of scanners
and now Telling UNIDEN they cannot make radios
to listen to digital...

he just wants your views on these matters and how your
listening pleasure will be changed once these new
restrictions are placed, when passed by Congress,
on the public right to know.

he's wanting to speak with people who do it
for the fun of it.

he's interested in why people find cellular
listening interesting or boring. Those that
can still be listened to...

give the guy his whizz...

be paranoic and stay that way. Pick his
nose too.

he actually called me...kid you knot.

so....he may be calling you next.

bill conduit
etc.

763. Re: Where to "point" my new monitenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7gtk76$qja@sjx-ixn1.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119629

In <MPG.119bf7a271e779d598977f@news.earthlink.net>
dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman) writes:
>
>You can try rotating it on live signals if you wish, but I already did

>this and it made no real difference. Just mount it and forget it. It
>sounds like you did it the right way also.
>

does this mean it's a good
antennae or what?

some people have said they
are dissatisfied with it...
it's not as strong pull as
advertised.

works best in plain states
and not so well in hilly
areas? Is this true?

what's it good for?

bill conduit
etc.

764. Remote Control tools for scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: diale.nospam@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <37325bf2.4100104@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 119630

Does anyone have any information about remote-controling a scanner via the Internet? I am looking for binaries that would allow a serial port to be redirected out a TCP socket. Anyone aware of such a product?

Thanks

765. Site updated a bit. (scanner + ham radio + metal detecting)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <kd4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <373265CD.656C@webdeals.net>
Source record: 119631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I added a few things to my site...I got some new toys and love to show
them off.
Icom PCR-100
Pro-2037
Pro-70
And I got back into the metal detecting hobby with a White's Eagle
Spectrum.

I also added a FCC band plan page and a feedback page.

Wear it out!

--
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
KD4LYP's ham radio and scanner page!
East Tennessee info.

766. Re: Why so many AOR AR82000 scanners for sale?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Palmer <davepalmer@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <373265C4.B8D5EE27@earthlink.com>
Source record: 119632

Lee Rothman wrote:

> It definitely falls short in the actual performance area. I consider
> sensitivity the
> real acid test for a scanner and it doesn't do it well. I feel that the
> switches are cheap and have a chintzy feel, not that of quality like that
> of the Icom IC-R2 and the Yupiteru product, all of which run rings around
> the 8200.

I'm about to replace a well-used Yupiteru MVT-7100. It's been especially prone to interference; all the reviews I read when I bought it mentioned this shortcoming, but still rated it highly. How does the Icom IC-R2 compare to the AR8200 and/or the 7100?

Five years ago, when I bought my 7100, the Icom R1 was in the same market and all the postings I read said to stay away from it. How does the R2 "run circles around" the AOR?

Thanks,

Dave

767. Your favorite wideband reciever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Palmer <davepalmer@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <373267C8.DC1D8966@earthlink.com>
Source record: 119633

I'm about to replace a well-worn Yupiteru MVT-7100. What would you recommend?

I've heard good things about the AOR AR8000 and 8200; I would like a PC-compatible unit. Being in an urban area, the more resistance to intermod the better. I don't know anything about the Icom line, or any others for that matter, and I want to be sure I'm not ignoring a terrific scanner.

Thanks,

Dave Palmer

768. Re: Storm Tracker Freq. for MS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: rjrems@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990507003053.24915.00000398@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 119634

>Subject: Re: Storm Tracker Freq. for MS
>From: "CitySlicker" <mannod@concentric.net>
>Date: 5/5/99 10:37 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <7gqv8u$c6v@journal.concentric.net>
>
>You might try this site. http://www.skywarn.org/
>
>BIGmeanMAMERjammer wrote in message <3730E68C.1B7D@ameritech.net>...
>:jancieboys@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>:>
>:> Does anyone know or know where to find the freqs that storm trackers in
>MS
>:> use? Thx.
>:>
>:> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>:> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>:Try the following link. It might prove useful to do a little more
>:digging on the net. Also if the ARRL has a website. Ask them. I am
>:sure that they should know.
>:http://search.yahoo.com/bin/search?tag=tt.ameritech.sb&keyword=++&trace=a&l
>ook=ameritech&search=SKYWARN+&p=SKYWARN+&a=amtec&q=&searchText=&qt=&query=&M
>T=&SearchE=yahoo
>:--
>:I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
I know alot of the storm chasers are hams so I would first look for them on
area repeaters and then simplex, esp calling freqs.
rj

769. Re: pro-60 question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <37327867.90F7FAA8@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 119635

Hello Jeff,

I had bought a PRO-2035 a few years ago which I hadn't taken out of the box until about a couple of weeks before the 1 year warranty expired. The CPU was whacked. My local Radio Shack sent it in for repair and about two weeks later I was informed they couldn't repair the scanner. I was given a PRO-2042 (better scanner) in exchange since the PRO-2035 wasn't made anymore. Just f.y.i.

Piero

770. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
Message-ID: <37327A8E.17AC6502@hotbot.com>
Source record: 119636
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What a bunch of pure Bull Shit!
The new Top FCC guy got on his HF radio and screamed at
some guys on 3.853 LSB one night not long ago!
He's really a strange one!

joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> The following comes from the Tandy Support site:
>
> "Modifying or tampering with the scanner's internal components can
> invalidate the scanner's warranty and void your FCC authorization to
> operate it. If your scanner is not operating as it should, take it to
> your local RadioShack store for assistance."
>
> (LB/tc 4/9/98)
>
> What is the legal basis for the FCC to authorize operating a scanner? Has the
> FCC ever voided such authorization for an individual person?
>
> --
> Joseph Henry
> Environmental Studies
> 2001 Wisconsin Avenue
> Washington, DC 20007
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

771. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: PAUL D _ JULES GREVY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3734C145.3576@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119337
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

David S. Maddison wrote:
>
> I was thinking of getting a Grove Scanner Beam (importing from USA). Are
> there any Australian users of this product? It would help in locking on to
> various incoming aircraft from far away, for example. The antenna offers
> up to 9dB gain.
>
> David Maddison
Well as with any beam antenna, it will increase the signals coming into
your scanner into which direction the beam is pointed but remember this
one too. Anything that increases your gain on a desired frequency will
also increase your chance of intermodulation/interference too. if you
live in a densely populated area; you might want to get some notch
filters designed to stop the intermod from coming into your scanner.
Disclaimer: I am not an electronic technician. I only this this 'casue
I love to read those radio scanning magazines.
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

772. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: LESIUREsuitLARRY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3734C21C.49B5@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penplaas,soc.culture.usa,soc.culture.canada,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

Art Becker wrote:
>
> >> The mag's editors basically want to zero in on the "sexy stuff," you
> know, listening to cellphones and such. How many people do it, and why?<<
>
> Yeah, they are looking for the kind of stuff that puts us ALL in the worst
> light possible.
>
> Wanna bet they take whatever you said and either ignore it or twist it out
> of context?
>
> << Art >>
OH, YEAH. THIS TABLOID MEDIA THAT WE ALL LIVE WITH NOW-A-DAYS. MORE
CONCERNED WITH PROFIT THEN ETICS OR MORALES OR THE TRUTH
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

773. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: LESIUREsuitLARRY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3734C350.7820@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Don wrote:
>
> Figures it would come down to cell fones & cordless. JTW got it right! The usual
> liberal "dumb it down" stuff. Did you tell him to contact Tauzin about how he
> was "protecting" cell fone users from folks like us with those nasty scanners??
> Or how Bob Grove got attacked/ambushed during that Senate hearing? No he's not
> interested in that or our hobby, just some titillating I didn't know they could
> do that stuff, probably featuring some kind of geek/nerd with a scanner. Ya'll
> should have seen that a comin' down the coax!
>
> Don
>
> Don
> --
> -
> CAKE001 - CASF024 - CA6CYF - KHN3161
> Location: N33d42m W117d59m UTC -8hrs
> Grid Square: DM13AQ
> Scanners: Air-8,AR2001,AR900,BC50,
> BC101,BC142,BC20/20,BC2500,
> BC9000,FRG9600,HP100,HX1000,
> HX1500,Pro26,Pro2004/5/6,
> Pro2045,Pro2046,R10FM,R532,
> R7000,Scout 40,SX200N.
> Software: Deltacomm v3.9, Probe v3.0,
> ScanCat Gold-SE, ScanStar
> Shortwave: DC777,DX160,DX394,ICF2010,
> R70, R71A, R1000.
> Antennas: 7 Random wires, 6 Discones,
> 5 Ground planes, 3 Mag-mts,
> 3 Coax loops, LA-1, Scanner
> Beam,X-dipole,2 LVHF pipes.
Maybe this is the media version of the old ticket quota. How many
sensational stories can we gt this month
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

774. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: LESIUREsuitLARRY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3734C3D7.4397@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanning,soc.culture.usa

John Habbinga wrote:
>
> I wish that someone could do a respectable story about radio scanning. This
> hobby is about so much more than intercepting phone calls. The public in
> large already has less than positive views on radio scanning. A story that
> just 'focuses on the 'sexy stuff'" will just further reinforce untrue
> stereotypes about the hobby.
>
> JTWard01 <jtward01@aol.com.nospam> wrote in message
> news:19990507175129.07332.00000608@ng-cs1.aol.com...
> >
> > All,
> >
> > I spent more than an hour today (his dime) talking to the reporter.
> He's
> > working on an article for "Details," magazine. I've heard the name, but
> I'm not
> > familiar with it.
> > The mag's editors basically want to zero in on the "sexy stuff," you
> know,
> > listening to cellphones and such. How many people do it, and why?
> > Basically, I told him that only folks who didn't develop fully
> emotionally
> > and have little or no social life of their own listen to cellphones and
> > cordless phones.
> >
> > John T. Ward
> > Brandon, Florida
> > USA
> > "If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."
Ther is more capitalism involved in media these days then truth.
Sensationalism sells, not the truth. It would be nice if they did a
good respectable story on scanners
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

775. Noisy pager tower! Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990508191042.14317.00000912@ng22.aol.com>
Source record: 119341

I live close to a pager/cellular repeater tower, and the splash and noise/images from this thing is abysmal and annoying. When I first got into the scanning hobby a few years ago, it was not so bad, adn I bought some filters which helped greatly, for awhile. But it seems lately like it's getting louder, longer, and more powerful. Just the last three or four months or so. One of my neighbors is also a scanner and shortwave enthusiast, and has noticed that he's now getting noise on his HF gear and TV. I just started noticing it on my TV as well. He said that one of the guys who used to maintain that tower told him (sounds like high school now, doesn't it?) that they were always running too much power, and they were going to be upping even more... As I said before, it has not always been this way in our neighborhood, just lately. Whom do I call to ask about looking into this tower, and if they are running too much power, etc.? Of course, I am not looking for actual local numbers from a worldwide newsgroup (!), but where can I start? Local utilies? FCC? Sometimes even the computer gets the tell-tale bleeps'blurps and so on from pager intermod. And any smaller, less-well-shielded AM/FM radios in the house are useless at peak cellphone/pager usage hours, which is most of the day. Any direction to lead me would be greatly appreciated!
Mike

776. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: LESIUREsuitLARRY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3734C417.547C@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Reg Edwards wrote:
>
> Don wrote...
> > People talk too much about morse code.
> ====================================
> de de de dah de dah
de de de, dah dah dah, de de de
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

777. Re: HELP!!!!!! PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerald Payton <gpayton@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3734D082.647F1A32@ibm.net>
Source record: 119343
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

I think that the Commander of Tinker AFB would like to know about this individual,
as well as, the Postal Inspectors!
==========

Adam wrote:

> Ewwwwwww Busted!!!!
>
> -Adam
>
> Rat Killer wrote:
>
> > wookie <wookie@wookies.net> wrote in message
> > news:37383e9b.2102001@news.earthlink.net...
> > > I'm a victim of the Oklahoma tornado disaster
> > > I used to live in Del City, if you've seen the news its flat
> > > I lost everything -house-cars- and all my ham/scanner gear
> > > My call sign is N2WZT my wife's is KC7GHZ
> > > Our listings are not correct because we move so much with the
> > > Airforce
> > > If you have any ham/scanner gear you don't use or need
> > > I sure could use some
> > > The only address I have is a PO box if you want to ship anything
> > > Mark J. Walkowicz
> > > PO Box 45725
> > > Tinker Air Force Base O.K. 73145
> > > God Bless and THANK YOU
> > > Wookie1@earthlink.net
> >
> > I have a strong hunch that whoever this really is, he's a RAT BASTARD that's
> > scamming everyone. Here's the data on the callsigns he listed. Pay close
> > attention to the spelling of his "wife's" last name and location:
> >
> > N2WZT
> > MARK J WALKOWICZ
> > PO BOX 1242
> > ROME NY 13442 USA
> >
> > KC7GHZ
> > TERRI L WALDOWICZ
> > 5560 ORCHARD LN
> > LAS VEGAS NV 89110 USA
> >
> > Check them out yourself at http://www.qrz.org.

> >
> > What'sa matter Mark? Couldn't find a "wife" ham that had *exactly* the same
> > last name?
> >
> > GOD BLESS you too Mark, you rat bastard! Maybe when you're in HELL you can
> > get on the Internet and cry for a new ICOM rig.

778. Re: MDT source code is legal to have

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <ebhsqUbm#GA.305@nih2naae.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 119344

kew@-NOSPAM?teleport.cem (Keith) wrote:
> The 9th Circuit court of the United States today affirmed that source code
> for software is free speech. This means that the possession of Source Code
> that decodes electronic transmissions is legal to possess and produce.

Before anyone decides to act on this assumption, it might be a good idea
to learn what the case was and what the court actually wrote. In Bernstein v.
USDOJ the question was the constitutionality of the export licensing
regulations as applied to crypto source code. The argument was that source
code is the proper and prefered means of expressing crypto algorithms.

| [6] In light of these considerations, we conclude that encryption software,
| in its source code form and as employed by those in the field of
| cryptography, must be viewed as expressive for First Amendment purposes,
| and thus is entitled to the protections of the prior restraint doctrine.
| [....] We emphasize the narrowness of our First Amendment holding. We do
| not hold that all software is expressive. Much of it surely is not. ....

The only way this could be construed as applying to MDT monitoring is
by asserting that MDT _encoding_ is actually _encryption_. If this were the
case, then you'd end up arguing that MDT transmissions are banned from
monitoring under the 'accessible to the public' clause in the ECPA.
If you're going to try to wield the law like a weapon, be careful you
don't shoot yourself in the foot.
/* barry /&

Usenet is the electronic proof of Sturgeon's Law.

779. New NYPD Radio plan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: david torres <slingshot01@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37350F16.2A1E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119345

New N.Y.P.D. Radio Plan & Frequencies
By David M Torres, New York City

the following is updated radio frequencie information for the
new york city police dept. they are in the process of re organizeing their
complet radio network which is now in phase 2 of a 4 part phase they
expect it to be completted within 2 years
phase 1 was the re organization of all city wide and special units
phase 2 is the re organization of all division radios
phase 3 will be the frequency expansion and narrowing the band
phase 4 with be the purches of new radios and fadeing out the
motorola saber's the new radio i have bin told is water
prof, bullet prof, weather prof and shock prof from droping
the parts are cheper than motorola
as for the new york city fire department E.M.S. units they are also
re organizeing their radio system from 800 trunk back to a conventional system
they will be useing motorola ASTRO saber's with both EMS & Police frequencies

if anyone knows of any new frequencies such as tac channels from A to E
or what new Tac channels the NYPD division radio have do leet me know i am
completly lost on this subject

i would also like to point ot the phase 2 is not fully completed yet its
a very slow process and it dose take time but it is on its way so the changes
can take place at a blink of your eye

as always happy listening and keep your ears on.....

Phase 2 NYPD Manhattan Radio Plan

ZONE FREQ PL-TONE REMARKS

---
z -1 476.5625 100.0 1-5-7 pcts
z -2 476.4375 110.9 6-9 pcts
z -3 476.3375 123.0 10-13 pcts
z -4 476.5875 136.5 ms-17-mn pcts
z -5 476.3875 151.4 19-23 pcts
z -6 476.3125 167.9 20-cpp-24 pcts
z -7 476.6375 186.2 25-28-32 pcts
z -8 476.3625 100.0 26-30 pcts
z -9 476.8875 110.9 33-34 pcts
tac ? ? ? tac

PBMS 471.0875 100.0 manhattan south task force
PBMN 471.0625 110.9 manhattan north task force
CW-1 470.6875 100.0 City wide Central
CW-2 470.7125 110.9 City wide Central

Phase 2 NYPD Bronx Radio Plan

z -10 476.5375 123.0 40-41 pcts
z -11 476.8375 136.5 42-44 pcts
z -12 476.9125 151.4 43-45 pcts
z -13 476.4875 167.9 46-48 pcts
z -14 476.9625 186.2 47-49 pcts
z -15 476.6625 100.0 50-52 pcts
tac ? ? tac
PBBX 471.0375 123.0 bronx task force
CW-1
CW-2

Phase 2 NYPD Brooklyn Radio Plan

z -16 476.4625 110.9 60-61 pcts
z -17 476.5125 123.0 62-68 pcts
z -18 476.9375 136.5 63-69 pcts
z -19 476.8625 151.4 66-70 pcts
z -20 477.0125 167.9 67-71 pcts
z -21 476.4125 186.2 72-78 pcts
z -22 476.9875 100.0 73-75 pcts
z -23 476.7375 110.9 77-79 pcts
z -24 476.7875 123.0 81-83 pcts
z -25 476.7625 136.5 84-88 pcts
z -26 476.6875 151.4 90-94 pcts
tac ? ? tac
PBBKS 470.9875 136.5 brooklyn south task force
PBBKN 470.9625 151.4 brooklyn north task force
CW-1
CW-2

Phase 2 NYPD Queens Radio Plan
z -27 477.1375 167.9 100-101 pcts
z -28 477.0875 186.2 102-106 pcts
z -29 477.0375 100.0 103-107 pcts
z -30 477.1125 110.9 105-113 pcts
z -31 476.7125 123.0 104-112 pcts
z -32 476.6125 136.5 108-114 pcts
z -33w 477.0625 151.4 109 pcts
z -34 476.8125 167.9 110-105 pcts
tac ? ? tac
PBQS 470.9375 167.9 queens south task force
PBQN 470.9125 186.2 queens north task force
CW-1
CW-2

Phase 2 NYPD Staten Island Radio Plan

z -35s 482.5875 186.2 121-122-123 pcts
z -35n 482.8875 186.2 121-122-123 pcts
tac ? ? tac
PBSIN 482.8625 100.0 staten island north task force
PBSIS 482.5625 100.0 staten island south task force
si cw-1 482.6625 100.0 city wide-1 link for staten island
si cw-2 482.6375 100.0 city wide-2 link for staten island

Phase 2 NYPD City Wide Special Units Radio Plan

470.8375 pl-136.5 SOD / ESU / H-Way / Harber & other units
471.1375 pl-186.2 DVP-XL Special Command Units & personal
470.6375 pl-136.5 DVP-XL Intell / VIP escort protection units
470.7375 pl-186.2 DVP-XL CW Det - 1 / major case
470.7875 pl-100.0 DVP-XL CW Det - 2 / major case / narc
470.7625 pl-151.4 DVP-XL OCCB - 1 major case narc / joint task force
471.0125 pl-167.9 DVP-XL OCCB - 2 major case narc / auto crime task force
482.6875 pl-167.9 City Wide IO ? hummmm
470.6625 pl-167.9 SPIN / Street Crime units
470.8125 pl-123.0 CW TFC OPS / H-Way / ESU
470.8625 pl-151.4 State Parol Units
470.8875 pl-123.0 unknown use ?

New NYPD TAC Channels

485.4375 tac - f widely used
473.6875 tac - g widely used
473.7125 tac - h widely used
485.4125 tac - i widely used
465.1125 tac - j narc
465.1875 tac - k narc
465.2375 tac - l narc
465.3125 tac - m narc
465.4625 tac - n narc
465.4875 tac - o narc
460.1125 tac - p ?
460.1875 tac - q ?
460.2375 tac - r ?
460.3125 tac - s ?
460.4625 tac - t ?
460.4875 tac - u ?

NOTE: we have bin informd that their are new tac channels A to E in the 482 or 485 mhz area if anyone out their knows them please send me a copy

Conventional Frequencies for New York City E.M.S.

478.0125 pl - 85.4 city wide ems
483.3625 pl - 85.4 manhattan south ems
483.4875 pl - 85.4 manhattan central ems
483.2375 pl - 85.4 manhattan north ems
477.8735 pl - 85.4 bronx south ems

478.2125 pl - 85.4 bronx north ems
477.9125 pl - 85.4 queens ems
477.8625 pl - 85.4 brooklyn ems
484.2375 pl - 85.4 brooklyn ems
478.2625 pl - 85.4 brooklyn / si ems
484.4875 pl - 85.4 brooklyn / si ems

as a reminder all new NYPD radio zones are not in use
but many of the new zones are so you have to realy keep an ear
on them and wait for the changes
we will be keeping you all up to date on any and all changes

all replys should be sent to me VIA E-Mail david9626@juno.com tnx again

780. Re: Why so many AOR AR82000 scanners for sale? VR500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.119ea131f3719b8d989785@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119346

Right On James. I agree with you basically entirely, except perhaps with the 8000, which I also got rid of. I love the R2 I have and due to the fact I just got a Optocom, I didn't get the MVT-9000, but I nearly did. Those are the two top handheld scanners out there PERIOD.

781. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H. Adam Stevens" <alien@jump.net>
Message-ID: <3734FAA8.38775A64@jump.net>
Source record: 119347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

dadidadah dit dididit dah didididit dit dadidadah dadidit
dadadah
WA5IGW

Don wrote:

> People talk too much about morse code.

782. mailto:abuse@home.net Re: c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com (24.0.49.240)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Delgato <FDelgato@elexp.com>
Message-ID: <3734E61F.2A940B5F@elexp.com>
Source record: 119348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

John Mackey is now using a cable modem

Go to dejanews, http://www.dejanews.com
enter John Mackey' NNTP posting host number, 24.0.49.240
in the search box, click the find icon.

Now go to Sam Spade, http://www.samspade.org enter the same nntp
number to get more information about John Mackey from Portland, Oregon.

Let's go!

Official name: c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com

Addresses: 24.0.49.240

Whois for c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com

.com is the global domain of USA & International Commercial

(Whois queries for .com domains can be performed at
http://rs.internic.net/cgi-bin/whois)

whois -h whois.internic.net home.com

Registrant:
Home Network (HOME-DOM)
425 Broadway
Redwood City, CA 94063
US

Domain Name: HOME.COM

Administrative Contact, Technical Contact, Zone Contact:
Varsanyi, Ami (AV1662) mailto:abuse@HOME.NET
650-569-5333 (FAX) 650-482-4062
Billing Contact:
Du, Trung (TD2157) trung@CORP.HOME.NET
650-569-5437 (FAX) 650-569-5100

Record last updated on 28-Jul-98.
Record created on 16-Dec-93.
Database last updated on 8-May-99 12:36:00 EDT.

Domain servers in listed order:

NS1.HOME.NET 24.0.0.27
NS2.HOME.NET 24.2.0.27

The InterNIC Registration Services database traceroute to 24.0.49.240
(24.0.49.240) from 206.117.161.81, 30 hops max, 40 byte packets
contains ONLY
non-military and non-US Government Domains and contacts.
Other associated whois servers:
American Registry for Internet Numbers - whois.arin.net
European IP Address Allocations - whois.ripe.net
Asia Pacific IP Address Allocations - whois.apnic.net
US Military - whois.nic.mil
US Government - whois.nic.gov

Traceroute 24.0.49.240

This end is where samspade.org lives

1 206.117.161.1 (206.117.161.1) 2.483 ms 1.679 ms
2 isi-acg.ln.net (130.152.136.1) 4.101 ms 2.199 ms
3 s4-1-1.lsajca1-cr3.bbnplanet.net (4.24.40.13) 3.451 ms 2.954 ms
4 p4-1.lsajca1-nbr1.bbnplanet.net (4.24.4.17) 4.390 ms 3.055 ms
5 p2-0.lsajca1-nbr2.bbnplanet.net (4.24.4.22) 3.191 ms 3.528 ms
6 p2-1.sanjose1-nbr1.bbnplanet.net (4.0.1.17) 10.873 ms 11.294 ms
7 p1-0-0.sanjose1-br2.bbnplanet.net (4.0.1.82) 12.005 ms 11.559 ms
8 bb1.mae-w-sj.home.net (198.32.136.142) 13.831 ms 13.388 ms
9 172.16.2.130 (172.16.2.130) 129.192 ms 60.095 ms
10 r1-fe0-0-100bt.rdc1.wa.home.net (24.0.2.2) 83.682 ms 90.136 ms
11 10.0.220.62 (10.0.220.62) 81.733 ms 89.201 ms
12 c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com (24.0.49.240) 113.055 ms 87.933 ms

This end is where the people you're tracerouting to live

mailto:abuse@home.net

Re: c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com (24.0.49.240)

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

783. mailto:abuse@home.net Re: c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com (24.0.49.240)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Delgato <FDelgato@elexp.com>
Message-ID: <3734E62D.DF846814@elexp.com>
Source record: 119349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

John Mackey is now using a cable modem,

Go to dejanews, http://www.dejanews.com
enter John Mackey' NNTP posting host number (24.0.49.240)
in the search box, click the find icon.

Now go to Sam Spade, http://www.samspade.org enter the same nntp
number to get more information about John Mackey from Portland, Oregon.

Let's go!

Official name: c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com

Addresses: 24.0.49.240

Whois for c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com

.com is the global domain of USA & International Commercial

(Whois queries for .com domains can be performed at
http://rs.internic.net/cgi-bin/whois)

whois -h whois.internic.net home.com

Registrant:
Home Network (HOME-DOM)
425 Broadway
Redwood City, CA 94063
US

Domain Name: HOME.COM

Administrative Contact, Technical Contact, Zone Contact:
Varsanyi, Ami (AV1662) mailto:abuse@HOME.NET
650-569-5333 (FAX) 650-482-4062
Billing Contact:
Du, Trung (TD2157) trung@CORP.HOME.NET
650-569-5437 (FAX) 650-569-5100

Record last updated on 28-Jul-98.
Record created on 16-Dec-93.
Database last updated on 8-May-99 12:36:00 EDT.

Domain servers in listed order:

NS1.HOME.NET 24.0.0.27
NS2.HOME.NET 24.2.0.27

The InterNIC Registration Services database traceroute to 24.0.49.240
(24.0.49.240) from 206.117.161.81, 30 hops max, 40 byte packets
contains ONLY
non-military and non-US Government Domains and contacts.
Other associated whois servers:
American Registry for Internet Numbers - whois.arin.net
European IP Address Allocations - whois.ripe.net
Asia Pacific IP Address Allocations - whois.apnic.net
US Military - whois.nic.mil
US Government - whois.nic.gov

Traceroute 24.0.49.240

This end is where samspade.org lives

1 206.117.161.1 (206.117.161.1) 2.483 ms 1.679 ms
2 isi-acg.ln.net (130.152.136.1) 4.101 ms 2.199 ms
3 s4-1-1.lsajca1-cr3.bbnplanet.net (4.24.40.13) 3.451 ms 2.954 ms
4 p4-1.lsajca1-nbr1.bbnplanet.net (4.24.4.17) 4.390 ms 3.055 ms
5 p2-0.lsajca1-nbr2.bbnplanet.net (4.24.4.22) 3.191 ms 3.528 ms
6 p2-1.sanjose1-nbr1.bbnplanet.net (4.0.1.17) 10.873 ms 11.294 ms
7 p1-0-0.sanjose1-br2.bbnplanet.net (4.0.1.82) 12.005 ms 11.559 ms
8 bb1.mae-w-sj.home.net (198.32.136.142) 13.831 ms 13.388 ms
9 172.16.2.130 (172.16.2.130) 129.192 ms 60.095 ms
10 r1-fe0-0-100bt.rdc1.wa.home.net (24.0.2.2) 83.682 ms 90.136 ms
11 10.0.220.62 (10.0.220.62) 81.733 ms 89.201 ms
12 c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com (24.0.49.240) 113.055 ms 87.933 ms

This end is where the people you're tracerouting to live.

mailto:abuse@home.net

Re: c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com (24.0.49.240)

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

784. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <tL9Z2.1295$2w4.67854@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 119350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Read what he said Bill.

Not that Bill Cheek couldn't talk.

Not that anyone is depriving him of free speech.

Read it again Bill.

Bill Cheek could say anything he wants.

"bastion of free speach" has nothing to do with a saying stuff in a public forum and having it used against you in court.

Some believe Bill Cheek is in this spot because of too much free speech.

Don't see Opto in court. Didn't see Opto free speeching about MDT on their web site. Did read about Opto sending disk 5 times to undercover cops with interface. Sometimes egos take us too far.

Right Bill?

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:7h2kvf$g5k@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com...
> In
> <EC37A69034A29B98.1577A012088DB5C3.2E2A145679A6BBAB@library-proxy.airne
> s.net> "Spike" <spike@nospam.org> writes:
> >
> >Bill is probably being quiet from councel of his lawyer(s).
> >Anything he says online could be used against him now and lawyers
> >know that even explanations and status to well being could even
> backfire
> >and be used against him.
> >
>
> and i always thought this
> was a bastion of free speach
> guaranteed by the US Constitution
> wherever it is hiding.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

785. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: O9's <onines@jps.net>
Message-ID: <37357be3@news1.jps.net>
Source record: 119351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The name of the game is "filter". Just filter out the fools and off topic
posters; the newsgroup becomes about scanners again. Steve AC6FR
puck <puck@pacifier.com> wrote in message
news:37349905.E88105E5@pacifier.com...
> I agree. Conduit KNOCK IT OFF!
>
>
>
> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> > Bill Conduit, PLEASE stop trying to cause problems on
> > this NG.
> >
> > BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
> >
> > > In <3733c40f.1328136@enews.newsguy.com> no@mail (Fred) writes:
> > > >
> > > >ps@adnc.com (Peter W. Singer) wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >Old news (as you can see by the date in the URL). Nobody cares about
> > > him
> > > > >anymore.
> > > >
> > > Ass-wipe, speak for thy self.
> > >
> > > bill conduit
> > > etc.
> > >
> >
> > --
> > ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> > http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>
>

786. Re: Acars Airmaster Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Corecom <adesousa@unicom-alaska.com>
Message-ID: <926233146.447.61@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119352

WACARS .7 is free, will even plot positions, optional airmaster mode, its allover the web.

787. FS:Pro26/2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pat Healy <pjhealy@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3734518F.6992881F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For sale:

1. Radio Shack Pro 26 hand held scanner. 25mhz to 1300mhz coverage (not cellular capable as far as I know)
200 channel, auto search, AM/NFM/WFM mode select. 2A5 date code on scanner.
With 4 Panasonic Ni-Cad batteries and Radio Shack charger. Has box,owners manual,antenna and belt clip.
Very good condition $ 150.00

2. Radio Shack Pro 2045 scanner with optional CTCSS board installed. Covers standard frequencies,800 mhz
and 225-400 mhz military aircraft. Features Weather Alert,Auto search,rotary tuner among other stuff.
Has box,owners manual,antenna and 12 volt AC adapter. Very good condition $150.00

E-mail me pjhealy@worldnet.att.net if interested.

788. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oscar <captorbs@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37345C53.23942922@hotmail.com>
Source record: 119388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Reg Edwards wrote:
>
> Don wrote...
> > People talk too much about morse code.
> ====================================
> de de de dah de dah
========================================
Perhaps dididiDahdiDah???
```

789. British Military Communications website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Ryan <jtids@link11.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7h1ljf$339$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 119389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ZCZC
P081531Z MAY 99

For all those with an interest in British military communications, check out the new British Military Communications Homepage at

www.link11.freeserve.co.uk

Covers everything from Link-11 (aka TADIL-A or Alligator) and Link-16 (aka TADIL-J, JTIDS or Jamboree) to Link-14, Piccolo, VFT, UKADGE, UKMACCS, Satellite and submarine comms, crypto, ECM and much more...

Send, post or exchange information, anonymously if you prefer, and find out how the British military communicate, and help us keep you up-to-date. Failing that, just browse and enjoy, and you might learn something that will either help or encourage you to listen into this fascinating subject, and get even more out of that scanner or HF receiver.

And if you really do get hooked, subscribe to our Newsletter filled with a variety to +ACI-interesting+ACI- pieces of information. If it's British, military and uses a radio, then this is a place to go.

Good listening.

NNNN

790. Re: HELP!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990508120719.09676.00000713@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 119390

>I have a strong hunch that whoever this really is, he's a RAT BASTARD that's
>scamming everyone.

You maybe right, but with only one letter difference in the wife's name
that's hardly conclusive. It could very easily be a typo.
What makes me wonder is how quickly he's become concerned about this. If
I lost my house, car and everything else I think it would be awhile before I
started worrying about replacing my radios. I think I'd have more pressing
problems.
On the other hand, since he's using a P.O. box at Tinker, then likely he
really is in the Air Force, and if this a scam he could get into some really
deep sh*t, since he's using that P.O. box on the base.
It would be interesting to call the base locater service at Tinker and see
if he really is stationed there, then talk to the chaplain's office and find
out if he did lose everything in the storm.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

791. Re: Morris County NJ MDT Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: IMR <IMR@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7h1np3$119m$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119391

Try 155.745

Ian

Robert Dubenezic wrote in message
<7gj7o5$3hi$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>...
>looking for morris county NJ MDT freq's
>
>--
>Robert Dubenezic
>
>

792. Re: British Military Communications website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <kpZY2.1675$xy1.2205@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 119392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Great post Chris!

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

Chris Ryan <jtids@link11.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:7h1ljf$339$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk...
> ZCZC
> P081531Z MAY 99
>
> For all those with an interest in British military communications, check
out
> the new British Military Communications Homepage at
>
> www.link11.freeserve.co.uk
[clipped]

793. Re: radio shack 2042 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3734696B.E43B0BB4@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 119393

2U wrote:
>
> can this scanner be modified to hear cellular and if so where can i get the
> info to do it thanks.....

There is no "easy" cell mod for this scanner. A company in the UK called Javiation, (www.javiation.com) I believe, used to carry a replacement CPU board you can install to replace your existing CPU to receive full cell. They also sold a replacement CPU itself, but that should only be tackled by someone VERY proficient in CPU replacement. If the web address I gave you is incorrect, try a search on "Javiation" and you should find it. Jonathan, (the owner) is very helpful and honest. I have dealt with him and know several others who have been very satisfied.

Piero

794. Icom IC-R2 range question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: cliffwhoX@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <E365F9B2DF03BC2B.0AF7C4D9ED253728.66FF3E9B54B0F3E4@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119394

On the cellular blocked version, what portion is blocked out? Is it
the whole 800mhz or just 870-896?

795. Re: Best Scanner For $200 - $300?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3734737A.8606E21C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119395

Bill,

For me the choice would come down to, the BC895XLT, Alinco's DJX10T, or Icom's R2.

The BC895XLT basically covers 30-956 MHz (excludes cellular and 512-800) and its real plus is its trunk tracking capability. Price is around $200-225.

The R2 is a HH and real small with coverage that includes the HF portion and goes upto 1300 MHz but does not have trunk tracking capability. prioe is around $225.

The DJX10T has everything the R2 has and goes upto 2 GHz but like the R2 it too does not have trunk tracking capability. Price is around $350.

Add a consideration of performance to the features and it can become a difficult decision. Good luck.

Bill wrote:
>
> I would appreciate opinions as to what's the most scanner for under $300
> used or new. I am only familiar with the BC9000xlt and BC3000xlt. What would
> you buy and why? Doesn't matter whether it's base or handheld. I like my Pro
> 2027 but it has no frequency lockouts for searching. Thanks!!!
>
> Bill K9KZ

796. Icom IC-R2 discriminator tap? I'm getting closer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: asnow <snowball@operamail.com>
Message-ID: <37347453.63DC8B0C@operamail.com>
Source record: 119396

I am looking at the IC-R2 schematic now, and I find connector J1 is part of the Logic Unit. I must say that I am not an electronics major, but I have taken a few courses in college.

Where is J1 though inside the receiver? I'm not sure if it is on the upper or lower PC board. Is J1 the conector between the top and bottom board?

Once I do find J1 will it have a mark to designate pin 1 on it (like an IC)?

What is an "NFM disc"? Am I conecting to this disk or direct to pin 16?

The 0.1 microfarad Condensator mentioned below..... where do I install that? I am thinking that I will need a sub-miniture audio jack attached to the case, and I will attach pin 16 from J1 to one side of the jack, and bring the other side of the jack to ground. Does the 0.1 microfarad Condensator get placed between pin 16 and the jack, or the jack and ground?

Finally, where has anyone found to install the jack for the discriminator? This receiver is pretty tiny. The 2 locations I can see are right below the selection knob on the right side of the receiver, or perhaps on the right or left side right between the battery pack, and the upper PC board. If there are more suitable places please let me know.

Thanks for any help. I'd really like to install this mod and any detailed advice / instructions I can get would be great.

>The NFM disc is on the connector J1 pin 16, (WFMS) , do not
>vorget to use a 0.1 microfarad Condensator.

797. Re: Icom IC-R2 range question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <tx_Y2.1685$xy1.2226@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 119397

Cliff:

It leaves off at; 869.9875, and starts up again at; 890.0000 MHz.

Bill Crocker

Cliff-remove X to reply <cliffwhoX@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:E365F9B2DF03BC2B.0AF7C4D9ED253728.66FF3E9B54B0F3E4@library-proxy.airnew
s.net...
> On the cellular blocked version, what portion is blocked out? Is it
> the whole 800mhz or just 870-896?

798. PCR-1000 MCH files?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <JF_Y2.1686$xy1.2204@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 119398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Anyone have any Icom PCR-1000 *.MCH frequecny database files they're willing
to share?
I'm looking for some in the Michigan area, as well as general interest,
i.e.; short-wave, FEMA, etc.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

799. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37347C4F.575DEA4C@usa.net>
Source record: 119399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Conduit, PLEASE stop trying to cause problems on
this NG.

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> In <3733c40f.1328136@enews.newsguy.com> no@mail (Fred) writes:
> >
> >ps@adnc.com (Peter W. Singer) wrote:
> >
> >>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
> >
> >
> >Old news (as you can see by the date in the URL). Nobody cares about
> him
> >anymore.
>
> Ass-wipe, speak for thy self.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>

--
----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

800. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37347CD5.839E0097@usa.net>
Source record: 119400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

How sadly true that Mr. Cheek's actions have put him in the position that people say "no one cares about him anymore".

Fred wrote:

> ps@adnc.com (Peter W. Singer) wrote:
>
> >http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
>
> Old news (as you can see by the date in the URL). Nobody cares about him
> anymore.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

801. The PERFECT defense for Bill Cheek!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37347E61.D53953A4@usa.net>
Source record: 119401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Perhaps Mr. Cheek got busted again by law enforcement???

Regardless, I have spoken with friends of mine who are attorneys combined
with my own professional clinical knowledge in matters of mental health
and
I believe I have found the perfect defense for Bill Cheek in his currect
legal
problems of his breaking federal laws.

Bill should plead "Not guilty by reason of Insanity".

Seriously, this would get him out of the trouble!!!! His behavior both
online &
offline could CERTAINLY be used to support such a claim.

With my dual profession in both RF Electronics & Mental health Treatment,
I would be willing
to appear as an expert witness to help Bill with this claim.

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> who's able to tell what happened to
> the Cheek-guy?
>
> his e=mail address comes back
> "unworking"
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

802. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37347F37.37CDCE8F@usa.net>
Source record: 119402

Hello Eric Oyen.

I am a little surprised, you are the last person who I thought would compare Bill Cheek's
actions to what happened in Germany in the Nazi years.

But you are right, he acted as a dictator, denied people basic rights & tried to control until he was finally brought down.

Very good Eric!

hawke wrote:

> So you think..
>
> Forget not the mistakes of history, or you WILL be doomed to repeat them.
>
> Take a good look in Germany between 1927 - 1933. similar things were happening
> there and suddenly a lot of people not only lost their freedoms, but pretty soon
> afterward, they started losing their lives.

snip

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

803. Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: LoLoS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7h1ur0$t03@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 119403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello there

I just get the AOR8200 and i was wandering if the CAT 232 interface get
full controlof the scanner,and what is the best software to control this
scanner (Free,or cheep).
Any of you aor8200 user can you please help me???
Thanks for any reply's,and happy scanning
LoLoS

804. T&C Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ditchdigger <sbrown@netonecom.net>
Message-ID: <01be9980$7506dc00$22a78ecf@scott-brown>
Source record: 119404

http://tcelectronics.locallink.net/index.html

805. mdt monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tim@aol.com
Message-ID: <Eo%Y2.1203$xg.87@firefly>
Source record: 119405

mdt.poopie.net for experimental purposes only

806. RD-LAP Decoder wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas <thomas@asan.com>
Message-ID: <373483C0.645BD461@asan.com>
Source record: 119406

Does anyone know where I can find an RD-LAP decoder?
Feel free to e-mail or post a copy...

Thanks!
Tom
thomas@asan.com

807. Re: Why so many AOR AR82000 scanners for sale?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Sterling <rick@rickster.org>
Message-ID: <373487BD.8B23A40C@rickster.org>
Source record: 119407

Lee Rothman wrote:

> <snip>. I consider sensitivity the
> real acid test for a scanner and it doesn't do it well. <snip>

I'll take selectivity over sensitivity in my neck of the woods. Sensitivity is a two-edged sword ... I live in the midst of pager towers, industrial repeaters and 50 yards from a police and fire transmitter. Sensitivity I do NOT need. ;-)

Rick

808. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <EC37A69034A29B98.1577A012088DB5C3.2E2A145679A6BBAB@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Bill is probably being quiet from councel of his lawyer(s).
Anything he says online could be used against him now and lawyers
know that even explanations and status to well being could even backfire
and be used against him.

809. Ni Cad batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990508150628.08502.00000875@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 119409

I heard Ni Cad batteries were best for portable scanners. who makes em and what size are they available in?

810. FOR SALE AR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: JB <trayvou@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <7h2317$ng6$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 119410

For sale AR3000A 100Khz 2036Mhz all mode mode no gap.
With soft and cable RS232.Under garanted 1 year.

910$!

trayvou@wanadoo.fr

811. Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bommeltje <bommeltje@tref.nl>
Message-ID: <7h230b$m4sl$1@reader2.wxs.nl>
Source record: 119411

I'm looking for a frequency database for Windows?
The ones I found are either small / simple or MS-Dos.

Peter
E-mail: bommeltje@tref.nl

Come and visit Peter's Scanner Page
(also in english) http://leden.tref.nl/bommeltje/

812. Re: Icom IC-R2 range question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: cliffwhoX@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <B02805834C3EA3FD.04B8515C862BD75F.FF493B1570E2CBC3@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119412

Thanks Bill.

On Sat, 08 May 1999 17:31:05 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
wrote:

>Cliff:
>
>It leaves off at; 869.9875, and starts up again at; 890.0000 MHz.
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>
>Cliff-remove X to reply <cliffwhoX@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:E365F9B2DF03BC2B.0AF7C4D9ED253728.66FF3E9B54B0F3E4@library-proxy.airnew
>s.net...
>> On the cellular blocked version, what portion is blocked out? Is it
>> the whole 800mhz or just 870-896?
>

813. Bnc connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tab11 <tab11@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3734A202.1F80A313@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119413

Looking to replace the bnc connector connected internally to the radio, went to radio shack and picked one up but it looks kinda cheap and I don't want to put it in my radio. Anyone know of a quality bnc connector?...Thanks

814. Re: mdt monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <37349532.6BF731A5@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 119414

Tim Johnson wrote:
>
> mdt.poopie.net for experimental purposes only

Is this supposed to be www.mdt.poopie.net? If so, it doesn't work. Can
you supply a correct address?

815. Re: HELP!!!!!! PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: JPGRIFF <jpgriff@nospamworldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7h25k5$7aa$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119415
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

seems to me, victims of last weeks disaster would have more things to worry
about besides replacing their radio toys.

Rat Killer wrote in message <7h1icf$2ol$1@remarQ.com>...
>wookie <wookie@wookies.net> wrote in message
>news:37383e9b.2102001@news.earthlink.net...
>> I'm a victim of the Oklahoma tornado disaster
>> I used to live in Del City, if you've seen the news its flat
>> I lost everything -house-cars- and all my ham/scanner gear
>> My call sign is N2WZT my wife's is KC7GHZ
>> Our listings are not correct because we move so much with the
>> Airforce
>> If you have any ham/scanner gear you don't use or need
>> I sure could use some
>> The only address I have is a PO box if you want to ship anything
>> Mark J. Walkowicz
>> PO Box 45725
>> Tinker Air Force Base O.K. 73145
>> God Bless and THANK YOU
>> Wookie1@earthlink.net
>
>I have a strong hunch that whoever this really is, he's a RAT BASTARD
that's
>scamming everyone. Here's the data on the callsigns he listed. Pay close
>attention to the spelling of his "wife's" last name and location:
>
>N2WZT
> MARK J WALKOWICZ
> PO BOX 1242
> ROME NY 13442 USA
>
>KC7GHZ
> TERRI L WALDOWICZ
> 5560 ORCHARD LN
> LAS VEGAS NV 89110 USA
>
>Check them out yourself at http://www.qrz.org.
>
>What'sa matter Mark? Couldn't find a "wife" ham that had *exactly* the
same
>last name?
>
>GOD BLESS you too Mark, you rat bastard! Maybe when you're in HELL you can

>get on the Internet and cry for a new ICOM rig.
>
>

816. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: puck <puck@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <37349905.E88105E5@pacifier.com>
Source record: 119416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I agree. Conduit KNOCK IT OFF!

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Bill Conduit, PLEASE stop trying to cause problems on
> this NG.
>
> BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
> > In <3733c40f.1328136@enews.newsguy.com> no@mail (Fred) writes:
> > >
> > >ps@adnc.com (Peter W. Singer) wrote:
> > >
> > >>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
> > >
> > >
> > >Old news (as you can see by the date in the URL). Nobody cares about
> > him
> > >anymore.
> >
> > Ass-wipe, speak for thy self.
> >
> > bill conduit
> > etc.
> >
>
> --
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

817. WANTED: RS PRO-2026 - Model # 200-0148A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <37349AC6.5079F6EC@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 119417
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

Wanted to buy for mobile use, a Radio Shack PRO-2026, must be model number 200-0148. Wanted in good condition with mobile mounting bracket, etc. Please e-mail me with specifics and asking price.

Thank you,
Piero - N3FVG
n3fvg@worldlynx.net

818. WANTED: RS PRO-2026 - Model # 200-0148A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <37349B2E.68C80999@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 119418
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

Wanted to buy for mobile use, a Radio Shack PRO-2026, must be model number 200-0148A. Wanted in good condition with mobile mounting bracket, etc. Please e-mail me with specifics and asking price.

Thank you,
Piero - N3FVG
n3fvg@worldlynx.net

819. Re: HELP!!!!!! PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adam <adam26@nol.net>
Message-ID: <37349C24.4F5CD6CE@nol.net>
Source record: 119419
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Ewwwwwww Busted!!!!

-Adam

Rat Killer wrote:

> wookie <wookie@wookies.net> wrote in message
> news:37383e9b.2102001@news.earthlink.net...
> > I'm a victim of the Oklahoma tornado disaster
> > I used to live in Del City, if you've seen the news its flat
> > I lost everything -house-cars- and all my ham/scanner gear
> > My call sign is N2WZT my wife's is KC7GHZ
> > Our listings are not correct because we move so much with the
> > Airforce
> > If you have any ham/scanner gear you don't use or need
> > I sure could use some
> > The only address I have is a PO box if you want to ship anything
> > Mark J. Walkowicz
> > PO Box 45725
> > Tinker Air Force Base O.K. 73145
> > God Bless and THANK YOU
> > Wookie1@earthlink.net
>
> I have a strong hunch that whoever this really is, he's a RAT BASTARD that's
> scamming everyone. Here's the data on the callsigns he listed. Pay close
> attention to the spelling of his "wife's" last name and location:
>
> N2WZT
> MARK J WALKOWICZ
> PO BOX 1242
> ROME NY 13442 USA
>
> KC7GHZ
> TERRI L WALDOWICZ
> 5560 ORCHARD LN
> LAS VEGAS NV 89110 USA
>
> Check them out yourself at http://www.qrz.org.
>
> What'sa matter Mark? Couldn't find a "wife" ham that had *exactly* the same
> last name?
>
> GOD BLESS you too Mark, you rat bastard! Maybe when you're in HELL you can
> get on the Internet and cry for a new ICOM rig.

820. Re: mdt monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokebowl@hempseed.com
Message-ID: <37349ac9.1970306@news.flash.net>
Source record: 119420

On Sat, 08 May 1999 15:49:06 -0400, Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
wrote:

>Tim Johnson wrote:
>>
>> mdt.poopie.net for experimental purposes only
>
>Is this supposed to be www.mdt.poopie.net? If so, it doesn't work. Can
>you supply a correct address?
He posted it right just you added www infront of it, -the www and it
will work..

IRC Channel
#MCSE on the ICQ network...
(irc.icq.com)
for the discussion of MCSE related topics

821. FS-Unblocked Bearcat 200 XLT in the box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990508162112.09667.00000849@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 119421

All in near mint condition. With leather carrying case, rechargeable battery pack, recharger/adaptor, and original box. Has been modified for full 800 coverage.

Will ship for $205 within California, or $5 more elsewhere within USA.

822. New NYPD Radio Plan U.S.A.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: david torres <slingshot01@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3734CF99.6E11@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119422

From: david m torres <david9626@juno.com>
Received: (from david9626@juno.com)
by m4.boston.juno.com (queuemail) id D95AS3VL; Sat, 08 May 1999 15:02:11 EDT
Return-path: david9626@juno.com
To: Repairman3@aol.com, vince9501@juno.com, gmr130@juno.com,
kae9797@ibm.net,MBarley752@aol.com, men-in-black@juno.com,
learn820@hotmail.com,fwisowaty@juno.com
Cc: david9626@juno.com
Date: Sat, 08 May 1999 15:02:11 EDT
Subject: New N.Y.P.D. Frequencies
Message-ID: <19990508.150236.7695.1.david9626@juno.com>
X-Status: Forwarded
X-Mailer: Juno 1.49
X-Juno-Line-Breaks: 0,2,4,6-7,9-10,12,14,16,18-19,21,23,25-26,28,30-32,34,36-38,40-43,45-4
6,48-49,51,53,55,57,59,61,63,65,67,69,71,73,75,77-80,82,84,86,88,90,92,94,96-99,101-102,10
4,106,108,110,112,114,116,118,120,122,124,126,128,130-133,135,137,139,141,1

the following is updated radio frequencie information for the
new york city police dept. they are in the process of re organizeing their
complet radio network which is now in phase 2 of a 4 part phase they
expect it to be completted within 2 years
phase 1 was the re organization of all city wide and special units
phase 2 is the re organization of all division radios
phase 3 will be the frequency expansion and narrowing the band
phase 4 with be the purches of new radios and fadeing out the
motorola saber's the new radio i have bin told is water
prof, bullet prof, weather prof and shock prof from droping
the parts are cheper than motorola
as for the new york city fire department E.M.S. units they are also
re organizeing their radio system from 800 trunk back to a conventional system
they will be useing motorola ASTRO saber's with both EMS & Police frequencies

if anyone knows of any new frequencies such as tac channels from A to E
or what new Tac channels the NYPD division radio have do leet me know i am
completly lost on this subject

i would also like to point ot the phase 2 is not fully completed yet its
a very slow process and it dose take time but it is on its way so the changes
can take place at a blink of your eye

as always happy listening and keep your ears on.................

Phase 2 NYPD Manhattan Radio Plan

```
ZONE FREQ PL-TONE REMARKS
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
---------------------------
z -1 476.5625 100.0 1-5-7 pcts
z -2 476.4375 110.9 6-9 pcts
z -3 476.3375 123.0 10-13 pcts
z -4 476.5875 136.5 ms-17-mn pcts
z -5 476.3875 151.4 19-23 pcts
z -6 476.3125 167.9 20-cpp-24 pcts
z -7 476.6375 186.2 25-28-32 pcts
z -8 476.3625 100.0 26-30 pcts
z -9 476.8875 110.9 33-34 pcts
tac ? ? ? tac
PBMS 471.0875 100.0 manhattan south task force
PBMN 471.0625 110.9 manhattan north task force
CW-1 470.6875 100.0 City wide Central
CW-2 470.7125 110.9 City wide Central

Phase 2 NYPD Bronx Radio Plan

z -10 476.5375 123.0 40-41 pcts
z -11 476.8375 136.5 42-44 pcts
z -12 476.9125 151.4 43-45 pcts
z -13 476.4875 167.9 46-48 pcts
z -14 476.9625 186.2 47-49 pcts
z -15 476.6625 100.0 50-52 pcts
tac ? ? tac
PBBX 471.0375 123.0 bronx task force
CW-1
CW-2

Phase 2 NYPD Brooklyn Radio Plan

z -16 476.4625 110.9 60-61 pcts
z -17 476.5125 123.0 62-68 pcts
z -18 476.9375 136.5 63-69 pcts
z -19 476.8625 151.4 66-70 pcts
z -20 477.0125 167.9 67-71 pcts
z -21 476.4125 186.2 72-78 pcts
z -22 476.9875 100.0 73-75 pcts
z -23 476.7375 110.9 77-79 pcts
z -24 476.7875 123.0 81-83 pcts
z -25 476.7625 136.5 84-88 pcts
z -26 476.6875 151.4 90-94 pcts
tac ? ? tac
PBBKS 470.9875 136.5 brooklyn south task force
PBBKN 470.9625 151.4 brooklyn north task force
CW-1
CW-2

Phase 2 NYPD Queens Radio Plan
z -27 477.1375 167.9 100-101 pcts
z -28 477.0875 186.2 102-106 pcts
```

z -29 477.0375 100.0 103-107 pcts
z -30 477.1125 110.9 105-113 pcts
z -31 476.7125 123.0 104-112 pcts
z -32 476.6125 136.5 108-114 pcts
z -33w 477.0625 151.4 109 pcts
z -34 476.8125 167.9 110-105 pcts
tac ? ? tac
PBQS 470.9375 167.9 queens south task force
PBQN 470.9125 186.2 queens north task force
CW-1
CW-2

Phase 2 NYPD Staten Island Radio Plan

z -35s 482.5875 186.2 121-122-123 pcts
z -35n 482.8875 186.2 121-122-123 pcts
tac ? ? tac
PBSIN 482.8625 100.0 staten island north task force
PBSIS 482.5625 100.0 staten island south task force
si cw-1 482.6625 100.0 city wide-1 link for staten island
si cw-2 482.6375 100.0 city wide-2 link for staten island

Phase 2 NYPD City Wide Special Units Radio Plan

470.8375 pl-136.5 SOD / ESU / H-Way / Harber & other units
471.1375 pl-186.2 DVP-XL Special Command Units & personal
470.6375 pl-136.5 DVP-XL Intell / VIP escort protection units
470.7375 pl-186.2 DVP-XL CW Det - 1 / major case
470.7875 pl-100.0 DVP-XL CW Det - 2 / major case / narc
470.7625 pl-151.4 DVP-XL OCCB - 1 major case narc / joint task force
471.0125 pl-167.9 DVP-XL OCCB - 2 major case narc / auto crime task force
482.6875 pl-167.9 City Wide IO ? hummmm
470.6625 pl-167.9 SPIN / Street Crime units
470.8125 pl-123.0 CW TFC OPS / H-Way / ESU
470.8625 pl-151.4 State Parol Units
470.8875 pl-123.0 unknown use ?

New NYPD TAC Channels

485.4375 tac - f widely used
473.6875 tac - g widely used
473.7125 tac - h widely used
485.4125 tac - i widely used
465.1125 tac - j narc
465.1875 tac - k narc
465.2375 tac - l narc
465.3125 tac - m narc
465.4625 tac - n narc
465.4875 tac - o narc
460.1125 tac - p ?
460.1875 tac - q ?
460.2375 tac - r ?

460.3125 tac - s ?
460.4625 tac - t ?
460.4875 tac - u ?

NOTE: we have bin informd that their are new tac channels A to E in the 482 or 485 mhz area if anyone out their knows them please send me a copy

Conventional Frequencies for New York City E.M.S.

478.0125 pl - 85.4 city wide ems
483.3625 pl - 85.4 manhattan south ems
483.4875 pl - 85.4 manhattan central ems
483.2375 pl - 85.4 manhattan north ems
477.8735 pl - 85.4 bronx south ems
478.2125 pl - 85.4 bronx north ems
477.9125 pl - 85.4 queens ems
477.8625 pl - 85.4 brooklyn ems
484.2375 pl - 85.4 brooklyn ems
478.2625 pl - 85.4 brooklyn / si ems
484.4875 pl - 85.4 brooklyn / si ems

as a reminder all new NYPD radio zones are not in use
but many of the new zones are so you have to realy keep an ear
on them and wait for the changes
we will be keeping you all up to date on any and all changes

all replys should be sent to me VIA E-Mail david9626@juno.com tnx again
_____ Get secure free e-mail that you don't need Web access to use from Juno, the world's second largest online service. Download your free software at http://www.juno.com/getit.b.html.

823. Re: New NYPD Radio Plan U.S.A.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <2G1Z2.218$kJ1.23117@nntp1>
Source record: 119423

david torres wrote in message <3734CF99.6E11@worldnet.att.net>...

> phase 4 with be the purches of new radios and fadeing out
the
> motorola saber's the new radio i have bin
told is water
> prof, bullet prof, weather prof and shock
prof from droping
> the parts are cheper than motorola

Bullet proof??!! I wanna Kevlar case for my scanner!

Rob Lang
KC2EMO

824. Re: mdt monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Delgato <FDelgato@elexp.com>
Message-ID: <37348AD4.FA0EDCE8@elexp.com>
Source record: 119424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The Cheeks' arrest, Newsday reported, was "a related case." Neither the Cheeks nor their lawyers, Howard Frank and Lynn Ball, wanted to talk last week about the charges. "I don't think I have any comment," Frank says.

"The case is brand new to me, and I just don't know enough yet to make an
intelligent comment. It would just be stupid to say something now when I don't know what's going on."

But scanner buffs said the arrest sent a chill through their community -- at least in the United States.

"There were a number of individuals who were running Web pages to help disseminate that software that could decode pagers or MDT," says Blanton.

"What's happened is that now that folks have realized that Bill has been arrested for this, everybody got scared and pulled the information.

There's still one individual in the U.K., who, of course, is not subject to U.S. laws, who has posted these applications on his Web page.

But anyone in the U.S. who goes to his page [www.kmed70.free serve. co.uk/ kmed70/software.htm]and downloads is probably doing something illegal."

http://mdt.poopie.net/

825. Help me with my BC 855 Pleez!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobbo <bobbo@s0fthome.net>
Message-ID: <01bc5bf4$df219880$5236c0d8@idtzrgrk>
Source record: 119425

I have a Bearcat 855 xlt scanner, and I have done the 2 mods (cell coverage and 50 extra channels, easy as hell) but I'm having trouble with the discriminator. In Bill Cheek's guide, it says it should be pin 11 on IC4. No IC4, no pin 11. The only IC I have that has 11 or more pins is IC 701. It is labeled NPC 926K PLL2002A1. There is audio on it, at pin 14, but it is extremely low. Doesn't even register on my computer even with the rec. volume all the way up. The other audio I have found is coming off a resistor labeled TP3. It is fairly loud, and the volume control doesn't work on it, so maybe this could be it? But what a weird place for a discriminator output? Is there a way to test if it really is the discriminator output? It works for decoding pagers, and it worked for awhile to decode MDT's, but now it doesn't. Also, what is the correct frequency step in the 851-880 range? I think my scanner is off. And one more thing. Most pagers receive on frequencies with 4 places after the dot. IE 931.6125 But I can only put in three. 931.612
Are all scanners like this? Or can some tune even better? Thanks in advance for any help I may receive. Bobbo

826. Re: New NYPD Radio Plan U.S.A.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: enosej@tp.net
Message-ID: <3734a8e7.8234134@news.tp.net>
Source record: 119426

On Sat, 8 May 1999 17:07:51 -0400, "Rob Lang" <robertlang@nac.net>
wrote:

>
>david torres wrote in message <3734CF99.6E11@worldnet.att.net>...
>
>> phase 4 with be the purches of new radios and fadeing out
>the
>> motorola saber's the new radio i have bin
>told is water
>> prof, bullet prof, weather prof and shock
>prof from droping
>> the parts are cheper than motorola
>
>
>Bullet proof??!! I wanna Kevlar case for my scanner!
>
>Rob Lang
>KC2EMO
>

After reading that post, I imagined a commerical... Somebody is
shooting someone and they get shot in the hip, and fall to the ground,
the bad guy runs off and the good guy stand up, and pulls out his
scanner and a slug drops to the ground, "Never leave home without your
TrunkTracker!"

Almost like those Seinfeld ones he does for American Express with
Superman.

827. Re: Where to "point" my new monitenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.119e555d5c211179989783@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119427

Again (and I promise for the last time) the Monitenna is not to be confused with either the construction or performance of the "Scantenna". Quite simply the Monitenna "Blows It Away". All I can say is I have also owned a Diamond Discone as well as a Icom Discone at no small cost I might add. The discones did an admirable job overall, but the bottom line is that while living in a Coastal town 2 blocks from the ocean and blocked from most signals by the Coastal Range of mountains, I was able to pick up clear listenable signals from Hayward, San Leandro, San Jose, Oakland and San Francisco with the Monitenna. Not so with the discones. I guess I am a performance freak and for me the bottom line is that last bit of performance over something else is worth any and all the dollars necessary to achieve it. Surprisingly enough, the Monitenna was less than half of the cost of the others and still blew it away. All this and an antenna that neither rusted, bent or was in any way effected by West Coast life near the ocean with all of the salt air and high winds and storms that it is noted for. I am also a former Chicagoan and still believe that indeed side by side the Monitenna still rules for all "General Scanner Coverage". This would basically mean across the band from 27-1000mhz....Anyone here on this newsgroup can go and spend their hard earned money on whatever they like (and believe me if you can name it I have likely tried it). I am only trying to save some folks the time, money and trouble here. I believe this is in the true spirit of a hobbyist in this area.

828. Re: Going to buy a handheld scanner, suggestions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.119e5693ec1a3b1989784@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119428

I admit that I am fussy and not afraid to spend the $$$ if necessary. So with that in mind I feel that the best are in the following order. (1) Bandercom's modified Yupiteru MVT-9000m2.4 for around $550.00 delivered from Finland, (2) The Icom IC-R2 for about $219.95 available at most dealers here in the U.S. These units have the finest audio, sensitivity, build quality and reliability. There are those that will argue, but from the perspective of having been there and done that, this is strongly how I feel, and with this you certainly can't go wrong. Personally I would not choose to own a Uniden Bearcat or Radio Shack product when you could have one of these mentioned. If your price range is right in between then my overall favorite would have to be the Relm HS-200 which is now widely available for at or under $200.00

829. Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: LoLoS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7h2bth$it0@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 119429

Hello there

I just get the AOR8200 and i was wandering if the CAT 232 interface get
full controlof the scanner,and what is the best software to control this

scanner (Free,or cheep).
Any of you aor8200 user can you please help me???
Thanks for any reply's,and happy scanning
LoLoS

830. Re: mdt monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <PL2Z2.2722$%x.2171@wards>
Source record: 119430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> There's still one individual in the U.K., who, of course, is not
> subject to U.S. laws, who has posted these applications on his Web page.
>
> But anyone in the U.S. who goes to his page [www.kmed70.free serve.
> co.uk/ kmed70/software.htm]and downloads is probably doing something
> illegal."
>
actually it is at
http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk

eavsdropper

831. Re: Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <HP2Z2.2724$%x.1891@wards>
Source record: 119431

LoLoS <m1956@execpc.com> wrote in message
news:7h2bth$it0@newsops.execpc.com...
> Hello there
>
> I just get the AOR8200 and i was wandering if the CAT 232 interface get
> full controlof the scanner,and what is the best software to control this
>
> scanner (Free,or cheep).
> Any of you aor8200 user can you please help me???
> Thanks for any reply's,and happy scanning
> LoLoS
>
> AOR do a free control programme of rhte ar8200 you can get it here !

> http://www.demon.co.uk/aor/software.htm
eavsdropper
>
>

832. Re: The PERFECT defense for Bill Cheek!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7h2e35bkm2@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 119432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37347E61.D53953A4@usa.net...
> Perhaps Mr. Cheek got busted again by law enforcement???
>
> Regardless, I have spoken with friends of mine who are attorneys combined
> with my own professional clinical knowledge in matters of mental health
>
>
Yeh, since you are a nut case, Looney - Tune, I can`t think of anybody more
"Qualified"! Now show us your license to practice psychiatry, and tell us
how much that one cost to buy ....

POST THE PROOF. Wacky Mackey !

833. Re: Help me !about the protocol of scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmcdonld@thezone.net
Message-ID: <7h2dbk$376$1@nova.thezone.net>
Source record: 119433
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hmm rec.RADIO.scanner might give you a clue

What a cross post!
alt.comp.periphs.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,rec..radio..scanner

73, VO1CSM, Chris McDonald

834. Re: Policescanner Site in Sweden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: PAUL D _ JULES GREVY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3734BF83.173C@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119434
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner

FAM GrO©╣ndevik wrote:
>
> Christer
> http://w1.332.telia.com/~u33206204/hemsida.htm
There once was a site, I guess it was similar to this one; except in
Australia. Does anybbody know the address?
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

835. Re: Why so many AOR AR82000 scanners for sale? VR500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: james coburn <confused@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <7h2em3$i40$1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 119435

I will tell you why... The aor 8200 is a peice of $500.00 + SHIT!
That's why. I went down and bought one. I got home and sat down with it and my Aor 8000 my Yupiteru MVT9000 and My $200.00 Icom R2.

I went through and tuned the same frequency on each radio and connected them to the same antenna (G5RV and Diamond discone in this case) To make a long story short the AOR8200 fell short. IMHO If you bring a radio to market with a bunch of cool features it should at least have a receiver as capable as the older model (if there is one). Not so with the 8200. Lots of Wizbang and NO receiver equals a radio that I returned on the same day I bought it. I will stick with my MVT9000 AOR8000 and ICR2 for now.

On the lighter side Have any of you seen the Standard VR-500?
This looks to be a very promising radio. The sensitivity does look a little weak though.
James

836. 800 mhz MDT Reception Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: joepwalker@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22608-3734B68B-64@newsd-164.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119436

Regarding the use of an MDT Software decoder program....a couple of questions:

(1) Locally, the agency I wish to monitor is on an 800 Mhz Trunked system. Is the MDT data channel assigned to a specific trunked channel? In other words, if I am able to locate police dispatch say on "Channel 4037" would I also be able to locate MDT traffic on a specific "channel" on the trunk?

(2) I hear two types of data when monitoring the assigned freqencies for that agency. The first is a constant data stream while the other resembles a "packet burst" similar to what u might hear on 2 meter packet. Is this "packet burst" the MDT signal when data is sent or received at the MDT? If so....

(3) What is the constant data stream I'm hearing? Some sort of diagnostic on the trunked system?

(4) Finally...any suggestions on how to isolate or locate the data channel on the trunking system or is it going to be a matter or sitting and listening until a signal is sent?

Thanks in advance

837. For Sale 200 ch Base Scanner Pro 2036 Look!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3733e355.823796@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119447

THis is a pro 20 36 scanner 200 ch base even has 200 Mhz band very
rare scanner has VFO knob also to tune in top of the line model
PRO-2036 200-Channel Home Scanner
(200-0412) Specifications Faxback Doc. #
31829

Sensitivity
AM: 20 dB (S + N/N) at 60% modulation
108 to 136.975 MHz.....3
micro V
225 to 399.9875 MHz.....1.3
micro V
FM: 20 dB (S + N/N) at 3 kHz deviation
29 to 54 MHz.....0.6
micro V
137 to 174 MHz.....0.7
micro V
216 to 224.9875 MHz.....0.5
micro V
400 to 512 MHz.....0.5
micro V
806 to 956 MHz.....0.7
micro V

Price is 150.00 plus shipping firm from USA cal...

838. For Sale 2039 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3736e534.1303381@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119448

selling a good cond 200 ch base radio shack police scanner
comes with box and manual real clean and good working scanner
price is 110.00 plus shipping from cal .. UPS firm price and no trades
please

839. FT RS Scanner Service Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pro2022@altavista.net
Message-ID: <QkMY2.192$r95.469876@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 119449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Looking for copies of the Pro2022 Service Manual, catalog
number 20-127 and Pro27 Service Manual, catalog number 20-108.
That's the old 2-channel crystal-controlled Pro27, *NOT* the
20-channel programmable with the same name.

I have Service Manuals for Pro2006/2032/43.

Email if you want to trade.

840. FS-Bearcat 200 XLT full 800 in the box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990507212656.13171.00000626@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 119450

In excellent condition, in the original box. The rechargeable pack recharges fine. Also comes with the leather carrying case. $210 shipped to lower 48!

841. Re: GOOD INDOOR SCANNER antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokebowl@hempseed.com
Message-ID: <373395dd.10672133@news.flash.net>
Source record: 119451

On Fri, 07 May 1999 23:55:13 GMT, not@home.now (Curious George) wrote:

>On Fri, 07 May 1999 23:32:47 GMT, smokebowl@hempseed.com (smokebowl)
>wrote:
>
>>
>>I am trying to find out what I should use to make, or what type if one
>>made is the best antenna for recieveing in a condo?
>>
>>IRC Channel
>>#MCSE on the ICQ network...
>>(irc.icq.com)
>>for the discussion of MCSE related topics
>
>Start here:
>
>http://pw2.netcom.com/~kimeyer/index.html
>
>Good Signals... LCM
thanks will do

IRC Channel
#MCSE on the ICQ network...
(irc.icq.com)
for the discussion of MCSE related topics

842. Re: Digital movement repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: a@b.net
Message-ID: <37338cff.32427328@news.istep.com>
Source record: 119452
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 7 May 1999 13:44:21 -0700, "Glenn Highcove"
<highcove@hotmail.com> wrote:

>What I don't understand is why we all wait for the commercial world to
>create the first digital scanner. Uniden says they have no interest in it,
>and I expect that many of the other companies here may also be intimidated
>by Federal posturing and Cellular special interest groups. Aren't many of
>us on this group employed in technical fields, with various professional
>specialties directly related to communications? Much like the Open-Source
>movement that propels Linux, we should have a cooperative movement working
>towards foiling digital sytems that threaten our hobby. After all, how many
>people can it possibly take to design a scanner, such as the Trunktracker
>when it was new? I'm sure that many of us have the same (or more) degree of
>professional experience and competence. And even if it's distantly related
>to the field you are in, why not try? For instance, I, with a degree in
>English, am able to teach myself various programming and computer related
>skills so that I can compete and succeed in my field, Internet gaming
>production. There are people out there that have been innovative enough to
>create programs like Trunker, POCSAC, Etrunk, etc., and the hardware
>interfaces to go with it like the HamComm. So what's the problem? The
>commercial world has let us down.... Let's form a Digital movement, make it
>public, open-source, and available. If manufacuters here won't make the
>equipment, send the research overseas, where apparently they still believe
>in making what people want (and have a right) to buy.
>
>
How does one get a degree in anything without knowing where the
carriage return key is?

843. Re: ? on Connecticut State PD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990507220226.08501.00000695@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 119453

Very Good. I hope it works out well with them. It sure isn't going to sound like their VHF system. Get ready for Non Portable Use and some noise.

73
Mike KC2CYE

844. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <3733a04c.4565859@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 119454

On 7 May 1999 23:06:40 GMT, myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

>In <37366614.5245369@news2.newsfeeds.com> Bill@aol.com (Bill) writes:
>>
>>You tell em bill!
>>
>>I didn't know you strayed from your "video" newsgroups.
>>
>>
>>
>>On 7 May 1999 19:10:00 GMT, myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>>
>>>In <3733c40f.1328136@enews.newsguy.com> no@mail (Fred) writes:
>>>>
>>>>ps@adnc.com (Peter W. Singer) wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Old news (as you can see by the date in the URL). Nobody cares
>about
>>>him
>>>>anymore.
>>>
>>> Ass-wipe, speak for thy self.
>>>
>>> bill conduit
>>> etc.
>>>
>
> Just came to visit to see what was
> going on in the world of scanners.
>
> Then I saw it.
>
> Then I just wondered if it was
> truth or fiction.
>
> Then I will access that www site
> to find out more once I find what
> book or newspaper or binder or
> mag piece I wrote it to....
>
> Video NG is fun. But you cannot

> just exist for one group's flames.
> Got to spread it around. Even swimming'
> NG is flaming me lately because I'm using
> the "M" word: masturbation.
>
> seems people are really pissed off at me
> because I tell it like it is when swimmers
> want to know if it's a good thing to masturbate
> just before a meet...but they really came after
> me when I said: "ok girls, how do you handle it
> prior to a meet?"
>
> the thought that females do it too was just
> too much for most to handle. Did they ever
> come out of the depths of stupidity to answer
> for the girls.
>
> you see, no females took it on and left it up
> to the guys to come to their rescue....
>
> i'm thinking of going over to alt.atheist and
> do battle with a Catholic priest who thinks
> GOD reads his entries....
>
> bill conduit
> ain't the net fun.
>
>

Give 'em hell, Bill. Catholic priests are fair game in my book.

--

George "Hollywood" Vetterle
geovet@thevision.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

845. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <bjmcpher@usit.net>
Message-ID: <3733AFFD.A98FA753@usit.net>
Source record: 119455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

People talk too much about morse code.

846. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <3733B687.2B19@cats-net.com>
Source record: 119456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

juker8161@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Different disabilities= Different abilities

Very touchy-feely, very politically correct and very "Nineties"....but
not necessarily true.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

847. fs: pro 2046 $110.00 shipped with manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: hose92@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990508003113.00339.00000766@ng140.aol.com>
Source record: 119457

```
fs: pro 2046 $110.00 shipped with manual
please send phone number
address and how u will pay.
unit is in finnnnnnne shape new look.
```

848. FS Saber 1 uhf 12ch (was$240)w/2batt REDUCED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: xeon <xeon@ultinet.net>
Message-ID: <3733C036.20EE318@ultinet.net>
Source record: 119458

This is what i have

Saber 1 uhf 12ch
6D core, H/pwr, non secure
Model # H44SAN7139CN
comes w/ 1 batt.motorola used (not so good)
plus 1 brand-new batt motorola (7.2v @ 1800 ma)
& ant. the shape of the radio is in
good shape I'll give it a 8.

Note*

Asking $240. $225 + you paid for S+H
* Prepaid (Postal) Money Order*

****Need to read radio for band spread?****
Don't konw band spread!

I'm not going to paid someone $40 or $30 so i can read
the radio. If I'm not going to kept it.

Then i used my scanner to see what were the
CH. And this is what i found.

Ch. 1 447.285
Ch. 2 449.925
Ch. 3 460.000
Ch. 4 460.500
Ch. 5 449.125
Ch. 6 447.125
Ch. 7 --- Blank
Ch. 8 --- Blank
Ch. 9 --- Blank
Ch. 10 --- Blank
Ch. 11 --- Blank
Ch. 12 --- Blank

Save $40 or $30.

And this is why I'm selling it!
1) I got it with a trade!
2) It cost to much for me to keep this radio.
I would need a charger , software , cable,
rib box and get this a 386 computer. Ha

You can e-mail me

Xeon@ultinet.net

849. Dallas Digital Decoding - Freq's? SW? Interface?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pete Ritter <cpritter@SPAMSUXhome.com>
Message-ID: <3733C207.1BD66D55@SPAMSUXhome.com>
Source record: 119459

Anyone in the Dallas area successfully decoding digital modes? What interface are you using and what software and what frequencies?

Thanks!

--

Pete Ritter | "He's not such a bad
cpritter@SPAMSUXhome.com | bloke really, but he is
To reply, please remove "SPAMSUX" | a bit of a cynic."

850. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: maddog@io.com
Message-ID: <3733c1c7.19882653@news.io.com>
Source record: 119460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 07 May 1999 12:29:02 -0700, Don W6JL <W6JL@ixpres.com> wrote:
> I forgot one other important point in my post. DON'T WRITE STUFF
>DOWN. Copy it in your head; that is how you will be using it
>for ham radio ragchewing. Writing it down is an (unnecessary and
>limiting) habit that you don't want to get into if you can
>avoid it. I sometimes run into ops on the air who ask me
>(as I am working mobile CW) "how do you write it down?". Egad.

Hi Don!

Hmmm... I've heard this admonishment before, and I really don't understand it. I've been operating almost exclusively CW for over 30 years, and I've always written it down. It feels natural to me. It goes in my ears, it comes out my hand. It's not particularly legible above 30 wpm, mind you, but I can refer back to my notes in a ragchew and remind myself of what was said. (I can't recall a 10 minute monologue, but I can if it's written in front of me). Later on (2 or 3 qsos late), I can jot down a note or two in the log if I want. My wife can look over my shoulder if she wants. When visitors come over, they can see that the process actually works... morse in, words out. Above 35 wpm or so, I'll put the pencil down and copy entirely in my head, but if somebody throws a long unfamiliar and non-phonetic word out there at 45 wpm, I'll probably miss it, and I've found that's usually the case for people that "only copy in their head", even at slower speeds. And speaking of slower speeds, people that copy only in their heads have difficulty with copying 10 wpm qsos... I think you forget what was sent at the beginning of the word at that speed... hi.

Besides the practicalities, I think it's easier and faster to learn code while writing it down. As you decipher a letter aurally, you write it down, see it with your eyes, and it connects you with the part of your brain that already knows how to read and write.

Hey, different strokes for different folks, but I think it's a lot easier and more practical to learn code while writing it down, and make the transition to head-only copy later on if you need or want to. Am I the only one in hamdom that thinks so?

73,
monty N5FC

851. S B E OPTISCAN manual F S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: wbelsito@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990508014233.24369.00000824@ng122.aol.com>
Source record: 119461

have you got one of those S B E optiscan scanners ?

i can make a clear copy of the manual for $ 15 , post paid .

WALT

852. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h0jer$7td@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119462

In <3733AC94.56D4D44F@INVALID.com> allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
writes:
>
>

>I dunno Bill. Newspaper journalists are well know for their use of
>scanners. It's not like they need any technical help. Are you sure
>he's smart & friendly? I'm skeptical about what he's going to write.
>

the phone call does not cost you, it's
800 kind

feel him out.

check his references...he gladly gives them

he does not require you to give your
real name...

...or say you are the idiot from Mackeral
and be quoted in context.

he has ads in newspapers also in the
Bay Area...plus NG's....

i know he's fascinated about cell listening
and seems to be his bent...but i just told
him that with the new phones you cannot do
it and you have to have analog phones to
be able to listen in

plus he wants to know how people are
reacting to Congress making devices that
listen to digital police radio illegal
even before they are manufactured.

That's a new one in the annals of "big
government-Orwellian esque taken to the
ultimate extreme.

bill conduit
etc.

he works out of San Francisco.

853. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h0jit$2t8@sjx-ixn1.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119463

In <3733ABDE.6C4BC79E@INVALID.com> allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
writes:

>> In <37366614.5245369@news2.newsfeeds.com> Bill@aol.com (Bill)
writes:
>> >
>> >You tell em bill!
>> >
>> >I didn't know you strayed from your "video" newsgroups.

>> bill conduit
>> ain't the net fun.
>
>hehe......That's reallly funny Bill. Good post. off-topic, but a
good
>post nonetheless.
>

i thought I e-mailed this to you.

hmmmm.
bill

854. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h0jqs$f2m@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119464

In <7gvrm0$424@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) writes:
>
>In <37366614.5245369@news2.newsfeeds.com> Bill@aol.com (Bill) writes:
>>
>>You tell em bill!
>>
>>I didn't know you strayed from your "video" newsgroups.
>>
>>

that WWW site in the San Diego Weekly
is expired. They have not a search
page...so how can one find out more
about Bill's plight. I cannot go
back that far scrolling the entries.

who's able to tell what happened to
the Cheek-guy?

his e=mail address comes back
"unworking"

bill conduit
etc.

855. MDT NYPD Freq wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas <thomas@asan.com>
Message-ID: <3733DEF3.8D697687@asan.com>
Source record: 119465

Does anyone know what frequencies NYPD MDTs use in New York City?

Thanks for the info!

Tom

856. Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: PapaS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7h0s0m$k61@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 119466

> Hello there

I just get the AOR8200 and i was wandering if the CAT 232 interface get
full controlof the scanner,and what is the best software to control this
scanner (Free,or cheep)
Any of you aor8200 user can you please help me???
Thanks for any reply's,and happy scanning
LoLoS

857. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <egTY2.4268$Xs1.768818@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 119467

I wish that someone could do a respectable story about radio scanning. This hobby is about so much more than intercepting phone calls. The public in large already has less than positive views on radio scanning. A story that just 'focuses on the 'sexy stuff'" will just further reinforce untrue stereotypes about the hobby.

JTWard01 <jtward01@aol.com.nospam> wrote in message news:19990507175129.07332.00000608@ng-cs1.aol.com...
>
> All,
>
> I spent more than an hour today (his dime) talking to the reporter. He's
> working on an article for "Details," magazine. I've heard the name, but I'm not
> familiar with it.
> The mag's editors basically want to zero in on the "sexy stuff," you know,
> listening to cellphones and such. How many people do it, and why?
> Basically, I told him that only folks who didn't develop fully emotionally
> and have little or no social life of their own listen to cellphones and
> cordless phones.
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA
> "If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

858. Re: Alinco DJX10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <uFTY2.2439$%x.1979@wards>
Source record: 119468

Gary Sargent <ke8wo@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:37338550.73C99812@worldnet.att.net...
> I tend to agree that for the money, it is a good all-round radio. Lots
> of features and good performance. It has short-comings to be sure and
> that's why I'm keeping my Pro2006 and Sony 2010. But when I'm not sure
> what I want to listen to or where I'll be listening to it, I typically
> grab the Alinco.
>
> DShatzer1 wrote:
> >
> > I had one for 2 months and wouldn't have another.

gets overload that other scanners cannot hear :-)

worst i ever had

eavsdropper

859. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <G4fgq.Regp@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <01be997b$352077e0$c4f6abc3@default>
Source record: 119469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Don wrote...
> People talk too much about morse code.
==========
de de de dah de dah

860. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h12lc$75g@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119470

In <3733DC6D.C24CCE1E@ix.netcom.com> Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
writes:
>
>
>Or how Bob Grove got attacked/ambushed during that Senate hearing? No
he's not
>interested in that or our hobby, just some titillating I didn't know
they could
>do that stuff, probably featuring some kind of geek/nerd with a
scanner. Ya'll
>should have seen that a comin' down the coax!
>
>Don
>
gee, your scanner is attached to your
anal-button, no

he's talked with Grove and knows about
the Senate hearings and the skam against
Grove at those hearings...

...check your facts first
then pass ass-gas.

bill conduit
etc.

861. Re: PRO-75 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <KeVY2.2304$692.123@news2.atl>
Source record: 119471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The Pro-75 is a new scanner recently introduced by Radio Shack. It is based on the the Pro-70 design, but with 200 channels instead of 50. It is also a little faster than the Pro-70.

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

GasmanT wrote in message <37337B0D.97E5C815@swbell.net>...
>How come I have never seen this model posted on any site? Does it suck?
>
>Also I have enough freqs for Wichita Kansas to get a site up and
>running. Will keep you updated.
>
>Thanks for all who responded!!
>
>GasmanT
>

862. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <n5wrx@mindspring.nospam.com>
Message-ID: <7h199s$4m5$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Only those that have never bothered to learn it.

Don wrote in message <3733AFFD.A98FA753@usit.net>...
>People talk too much about morse code.

863. F.S. Bearcat 300 [50 channel]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb2rev@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990508080921.06925.00001114@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 119473

Bearcat 300 base scanner 50 channel works and looks very nice comes with manual and antenna $60.00

864. F.S. Bearcat 350 [50 channel]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb2rev@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990508081312.06925.00001115@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 119474

Bearcat 350 base scanner 50 channel works and looks very nice comes with antanna. [no manual] $ 55.00

865. Re: How do you REMEMBER all these Scanner Commands?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990508081601.19861.00000395@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 119475

>I'm just curious how you guys REMEMBER all these scanner commands? I own several different brands and depending upon my destination, I might pick up the Icom, Yupi, Welz, Trident, Uniden or Pro26. ALL OF WHICH HAVE DIFFERENT COMMAND SETS!
>
>The commands are very consistent amongst brand names (all Uniden and RS work alike), but once I get accustomed to the Uniden, I forget how to search with my Welz, or Trident. ARGH!

Yeah, it's a hassle isn't it! Using different command sets is one of the ways manufacturers avoid patent infrigment problems with other manufacturers. But it sure complicates things. The RS scanners made by GRE (2004, 2005, 2006, 2042...) are also different than the Uniden. If you use the features a lot you'll eventually remember. I still keep my manuals handy for the more complex rigs. Previous post suggests an index card w/shortcuts - also a good idea. Get it plastic laminated for portables.

>Icom R2 and my Welz are EVEN WORSE since they have limited keys to work with. So my question is: How can you possibly remember all this stuff?

Disagree here, these sets use the tuning knob to scroll through a menu of features, and then select among the choices. In a sense, the commands are already memorized. I find this extremely handy and I wish this feature was incorporated into other radios (desktop, etc). But it's all a matter of individual taste and ergonomics. I'd have to agree with Bill Cheeck - from an ergonomic standpoint - the 2004 was the best base scanner ever designed.

866. Only INFORMATION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabio <roancona@tin.it>
Message-ID: <7h1907onv4@nslave1.tin.it>
Source record: 119476

Hi to all!
I invite you to see this NEW CB, OM, SWL Web Site, available on:

http://users.iol.it/cq.fabio/

Many TNX.
73 de Fabio.

---

867. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37343735.6079583@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 119477
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Asmodean" <asmo@third.age> wrote:

:Local pawn/radio shop. He gets them new, and does the mod for you if you
:want it. He may be getting them at wholesale and doing a smaller markup.
:I'll have to ask him.

The mod where you plug a link in to increase the frequency range?

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

868. HELP!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: wookie@wookies.net
Message-ID: <373a3f2c.2247386@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119478

I'm a victim of the Oklahoma tornado disaster
I used to live in Del City, if you've seen the news its flat
I lost everything -house-cars- and all my ham/scanner gear
My call sign is N2WZT my wife's is KC7GHZ
Our listings are not correct because we move so much with the
Airforce
If you have any ham/scanner gear you don't use or need
I sure could use some
The only address I have is a PO box if you want to ship anything
Mark J. Walkowicz
PO Box 45725
Tinker Air Force Base O.K. 73145
God Bless and THANK YOU
Wookie1@earthlink.net

869. scanner radio web site link swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990508101820.02797.00000815@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 119479

If you are interested in swaping Scanner Radio web site links, please email us with your sites title and url. You can check out our Service Manual, Signal Meter, Speech Inversion Descrambler site at
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

870. Re: HELP!!!!!! PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rat Killer <ratkiller@ratsanonymous.org>
Message-ID: <7h1icf$2ol$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 119480
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

wookie <wookie@wookies.net> wrote in message
news:37383e9b.2102001@news.earthlink.net...
> I'm a victim of the Oklahoma tornado disaster
> I used to live in Del City, if you've seen the news its flat
> I lost everything -house-cars- and all my ham/scanner gear
> My call sign is N2WZT my wife's is KC7GHZ
> Our listings are not correct because we move so much with the
> Airforce
> If you have any ham/scanner gear you don't use or need
> I sure could use some
> The only address I have is a PO box if you want to ship anything
> Mark J. Walkowicz
> PO Box 45725
> Tinker Air Force Base O.K. 73145
> God Bless and THANK YOU
> Wookie1@earthlink.net

I have a strong hunch that whoever this really is, he's a RAT BASTARD that's scamming everyone. Here's the data on the callsigns he listed. Pay close attention to the spelling of his "wife's" last name and location:

N2WZT
MARK J WALKOWICZ
PO BOX 1242
ROME NY 13442 USA

KC7GHZ
TERRI L WALDOWICZ
5560 ORCHARD LN
LAS VEGAS NV 89110 USA

Check them out yourself at http://www.qrz.org.

What'sa matter Mark? Couldn't find a "wife" ham that had *exactly* the same last name?

GOD BLESS you too Mark, you rat bastard! Maybe when you're in HELL you can get on the Internet and cry for a new ICOM rig.

871. Going to buy a handheld scanner, suggestions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gio <giossena@iol.it>
Message-ID: <7h1gdb$a42$1@hermes.iol.it>
Source record: 119481

Hi, I'd like to buy a handheld scanner, can you suggest me a very good one?

Thanks

Gio

872. Going to buy a handheld scanner, suggestions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gio <giossena@iol.it>
Message-ID: <7h1hd3$bt9$1@hermes.iol.it>
Source record: 119482

Hi, I'd like to buy a handheld scanner, can you suggest me a very good one?

Thanks

Gio

873. Where download AR8200 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Quelqu'un <nono@nordnet.fr>
Message-ID: <7h1icv$s5v$1@news.nordnet.fr>
Source record: 119483

On AOR site, i can't download AOR8200 software.Is there an other site where
i can do?
Thank you.
bwagret@nordnet.fr

874. radio shack 2042 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: 2U <j@idns.net>
Message-ID: <PaYY2.704$dd1.5583220@elnws01.ce.mediaone.net>
Source record: 119484

can this scanner be modified to hear cellular and if so where can i get the info to do it thanks.....

875. Re: HELP!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rat Killer <hq@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <926175176.424.80@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119485

wookie <wookie@wookies.net> wrote in message
news:373a3f2c.2247386@news.earthlink.net...
> I'm a victim of the Oklahoma tornado disaster
> I used to live in Del City, if you've seen the news its flat
> I lost everything -house-cars- and all my ham/scanner gear
> My call sign is N2WZT my wife's is KC7GHZ
> Our listings are not correct because we move so much with the
> Airforce
> If you have any ham/scanner gear you don't use or need
> I sure could use some
> The only address I have is a PO box if you want to ship anything
> Mark J. Walkowicz
> PO Box 45725
> Tinker Air Force Base O.K. 73145
> God Bless and THANK YOU
> Wookie1@earthlink.net

I have a strong hunch that whoever this really is, he's a RAT BASTARD that's scamming everyone. Here's the data on the callsigns he listed. Pay close attention to the spelling of his "wife's" last name and location:

N2WZT
MARK J WALKOWICZ
PO BOX 1242
ROME NY 13442 USA

KC7GHZ
TERRI L WALDOWICZ
5560 ORCHARD LN
LAS VEGAS NV 89110 USA

Check them out yourself at http://www.qrz.org.

What'sa matter Mark? Couldn't find a "wife" ham that had *exactly* the same last name?

GOD BLESS you too Mark, you rat bastard! Maybe when you're in HELL you can get on the Internet and cry for a new ICOM rig.

876. WINRADIO INFO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: sn <hamoperator@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7h1j3l$d9e$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119486

Looking for mods for the "winradio", any good sites out there ?

877. Re: Bnc connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <tepZ2.1842$xy1.2958@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 119237

Pomona, and Amphenol, are both reputable manufactures, that even meet
military specs. These are available through electronic supply houses.

Bill Crocker

tab11 <tab11@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3734A202.1F80A313@worldnet.att.net...
> Looking to replace the bnc connector connected internally to the radio,
> went to radio shack and picked one up but it looks kinda cheap and I
> don't want to put it in my radio. Anyone know of a quality bnc
> connector?...Thanks
>
>
>

878. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <373628A7.213F@sir.com>
Source record: 119238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> I just finished giving my seven year old his code lesson. He's learning the
> code to earn a award in his Tiger Cub Scout group. He is a seven year old
> that came to me to learn the code, and has no interest in radio,

Well why not FORCE him to learn about radio before he can use morse
code? It is ok for him to want to learn Morse Code and not anything
about radio, but not the other way around?!

I would
> think that anyone who is interested in radio should stop crying about it and
> donate the 15 minutes a night for 2 weeks, and learn it.

If your son doesn't have to learn or like radio to enjoy Morse Code,
then people who want to use or like radio do not have to learn Morse
Code.

> No one complains about having to learn how to use windows before they can
> surf the net....

Of course not, no one complains about having to learn how to use a ham
radio before surfing the repeaters either, but what if you made them
learn Russian before they were allowed to use the internet? Then you
would get the same complaints.

879. Re: Digital movement repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <SPpZ2.5907$%h1.317@news3.atl>
Source record: 119239
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ah, the wonders of word wrap....actually, I catch myself typing looong paragraphs too....once I get into a train of thought, I seldom remember to stop and hit return.

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

a@b.net wrote in message <37338cff.32427328@news.istep.com>...

>How does one get a degree in anything without knowing where the
>carriage return key is?

880. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <926299016.154.42@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Mark Morgan <konstans@one-eleven.net> wrote in message
news:3735e1d0.16533754@nntp.one-eleven.net...
> You simply don't know what is like to suffer form any
> disaility, for that you can be thankful, but without it you can no
> more understand my world than I can understand what you see in code,
> to pick an example

Mark,

I don't float so well, but managed to pass my scuba tests. OW certification requires a 10 minute survival float. I get the sense you're perhaps familiar with the frustration of struggling, while others lounge around on their portly seat pads, idly chit-chatting. Imagine doing this where life and safety is easily compromised, as opposed to just struggling with uncooperative ears. I wonder if you know what it's like to be at the limit of physical endurance, with the pool edge -- and safety -- far, far out of reach. At the end of the ten minutes, I was exhausted to where I needed help getting out of the pool. I passed the test, but only proved that I would perish in fresh water without a flotation device. I found out later I am a mere six pounds negatively buoyant. That's quite a lot, though, and according to my OW instructor, I am about as dense as they come. Most people are positively buoyant in fresh water.

What does this mean when I mostly dive in calm tropical seas, always with at least a shorty on for flotation? Why should I need to demonstrate that I can survive in fresh water, alone, without help, for a mere ten minutes? Why should a not-so-sprightly-anymore, middle-age man need to demonstrate a skill of dubious use? Why did my perfect written test scores not exempt me from this portion of the test?

Conversely, what expectation should I have of being exempt from any one of the requirements, useful in my mind or not?

Michael.

881. MX 3000 lockout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich <k1etp@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <37363520.115AF360@sprynet.com>
Source record: 119241

Does anyone know how to lockout channels on a Regency MX 3000? Picked one up at a flea market and there is no L/O on the keyboard but according to the old ads it does lock out channels.

882. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <37363938.123C@sir.com>
Source record: 119242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Rich Mulvey wrote:
>
> On Fri, 7 May 1999 08:21:11 -0500, jpos <jpos@mobilemark.com> wrote:
> >No one complains about having to learn how to use windows before they can
> >surf the net....
> >
>
> Considering that I was "surfing the net" before it was even
> *possible* with MS-Windows, you might want to choose a different
> analogy. Sigh.

The best analogy would be having to learn Morse Code in order to use the internet, as Morse Code has as much to do with knowing how to operate and work the internet as it does with knowing how to operate and work a Ham radio.

883. Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <search@cyberportal.net>
Message-ID: <7h5dku$485$1@news.monad.net>
Source record: 119243

[No readable article body recovered]

884. Status Codes for Ambulance Patients

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Drut <search@cyberportal.net>
Message-ID: <7h5eha$4ov$1@news.monad.net>
Source record: 119244

Emergency ambulance EMTs are using "new" codes to describe patient status.
2-alpha, 3-bravo, 5-delta, etc.

Is there a complete posting of these codes somewhere on the net?

Thanks.

885. Re: airline books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <nrrZ2.294$kJ1.31223@nntp1>
Source record: 119245

Charlie Brookhart wrote in message <7h4vdq$n90$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>...
>Are there any airline books that show pictures, type of planes, and list
>details of all the planes? I've heard of one book that has this
>information, but all I know is that the title starts with Aircraft.
>
>Charlie

Airliners Worldwide : Over 100 Current Airliners Described and Illustrated
in Color
by Tom Singfield
List Price: $19.95
Paperback - 144 pages (September 1997)
Voyageur Press; ISBN:

The Vital Guide to Commercial Aircraft and Airliners : Including a Guide to
Airlines and Their Colour Schemes
by Robert Hewson (Editor)
List Price: $14.95
Paperback - 128 pages (November 1994)
Voyageur Press; ISBN: 1853105384

Both available at amazon.com

-also-

Airport Airplanes
cat. no. 1350D $14.95 at Historic Aviation 800-225-5575

===

Jane's Aircraft Recognition Guide

Modern Commercial Aircraft by Endres, Green, Swanborough & Mowinski

some sources:
http://airliners.viamall.com/
http://www.airwaysmag.com/store/shop_intro_f.tpl

Good Hunting!

Rob Lang
KC2EMO

886. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <926304825.973.29@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I have great news for you! You CAN become a ham without learning Morse code. Looking at FCC Part 97, you can qualify for a Technician's license with only a written procedure and absolutely minimal theory test. No Morse code test!

It seems your wish has been granted, and quite some time ago at that. Run on over to your nearest VEC testing facility. There's no need to stop to thank me.

Michael.

Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote in message news:373628A7.213F@sir.com...
> If your son doesn't have to learn or like radio to enjoy Morse Code,
> then people who want to use or like radio do not have to learn Morse
> Code.

887. Re: Going to buy a handheld scanner, suggestions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7h5iq0$9gk$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119247

uniden bc235xlt

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/home.htm

888. Re: business radio service frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan K." <danka2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7h5jjudcd1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119248

thanks very much
Bill Crocker wrote in message ...
>Dan:
>
>I saved this info thinking someone else might want it someday! Credit to
>the originator is in the header:
>
>
>
>T.J. Harrell III thharrel@cs.nmsu.edu http://www.cs.nmsu.edu/~thharrel/
>_____
>
>Itinerant Frequencies
> Business Special Industrial
> 27.490 43.040
> 35.040 151.505
> 151.625 158.400
> 464.500/469.500 451.800/456.800
> 464.550/469.550
> 853.4875/808.4875
> 936.6375/897.6375
> 936.6625/897.6625
>
>Itinerant frequencies are licensed for use at unspecified locations
>for varying amounts of time. Licensees may use full power bases,
>repeaters, and mobiles; however, there are restrictions on the height
>of the base antenna.
>_____
>
>Low-Power Frequencies (Business band)(2 watts)
> VHF UHF
> 27.510 457.525
> 27.530 457.550
> 30.840 457.575
> 33.120 * 457.550
> 33.140 457.575
> 33.400 457.600
> 35.020 467.750
> 42.980 467.775
> 154.570 467.800
> 154.600 467.825
> 467.850
> * 1/2 watt 467.875
> 467.900

> 467.925
>
>Low-power business-band frequencies are licensed for radio use within a
>certain area. All stations are licensed as mobile, with usual maximum
>power at 2 watts. If the station is functioning as a base, the antenna
>must be within 25 feet of the control point.
>_____
>
>Dot/Star Frequencies
>
> 151.625 Red Dot (itinerant)
> 151.955 Purple Dot
> 154.570 Blue Dot (lp)
> 154.600 Green Dot (lp)
> 462.575 White Dot (GMRS)
> 462.625 Black Dot (GMRS)
> 462.675 Orange Dot (GMRS)
> 464.500 Brown Dot (itinerant)
> 464.550 Yellow Dot (itinerant)
> 467.7625 J Dot (lp)
> 467.8125 K Dot (lp)
> 467.850 Silver Star (lp)
> 467.875 Gold Star (lp)
> 467.900 Red Star (lp)
> 467.925 Blue Star (lp)
>
>These are probably the most used (and abused) business band frequencies in
>the spectrum. The equipment is cheap and readily available at a variety
>of places. Equipment users are supposed to be licensed, but most people
>don't bother. Everybody from McDonalds to police surveillance has been
> reported using these frequencies.
>
>
>Dan K. <danka2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:7h55fm$b9a$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
>> Hi,
>>
>> I would like to know what frequency is the brown , J, K, Blue-star,
>> gold-star, red-star, and silver-star UHF business freqencies are. I
>> currently know what the yellow UHF business freq. is.
>>
>>
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Dan
>>
>>
>
>

889. Re: Best trunk-tracking mobile scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7h5k46$fm4$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119249

I think the Pro-90 is over priced. I saw it in a NJ Radio Shack for $399.99. I got a BC235XLT for 199.99 + S/H which came to $220.00 with next day freight from www.advdig.com.

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/home.htm

890. Re: Morris County NJ MDT Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7h5kfihcq1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119250

how can you tell.....there seems to be data or something being transmitted

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/home.htm

891. FA: Pro-26 25-1300 MHz Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <tAsZ2.1825$Jh3.262010@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 119251

I am selling a RadioShack Pro-26 radio scanner on an Amazon.com auction.
There is a very low starting bid and there is not a reserve price.

Link to the auction is:
http://auctions.amazon.com/exec/varzea/ts/auction-glance/Y03X0892618X5677220

John Habbinga - KC5ZRQ

892. Re: business radio service frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-0905992302360001@pm62-29.magicnet.net>
Source record: 119252

In article <DcpZ2.1841$xy1.2983@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>, "Bill Crocker"
<bcrocker@home.com> wrote:

> Dot/Star Frequencies
>
> 151.625 Red Dot (itinerant)
> 151.955 Purple Dot
> 154.570 Blue Dot (lp)
> 154.600 Green Dot (lp)
> 462.575 White Dot (GMRS)
> 462.625 Black Dot (GMRS)
> 462.675 Orange Dot (GMRS)
> 464.500 Brown Dot (itinerant)
> 464.550 Yellow Dot (itinerant)
> 467.7625 J Dot (lp)
> 467.8125 K Dot (lp)
> 467.850 Silver Star (lp)
> 467.875 Gold Star (lp)
> 467.900 Red Star (lp)
> 467.925 Blue Star (lp)
>
> These are probably the most used (and abused) business band frequencies in
> the spectrum. The equipment is cheap and readily available at a variety
> of places. Equipment users are supposed to be licensed, but most people
> don't bother. Everybody from McDonalds to police surveillance has been
> reported using these frequencies.

What does the "lp" mean after some of the frequencies? Does it mean "low
power" or a maximum of 2 watts?

893. Re: FBI on Low Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-0905992306260001@pm62-29.magicnet.net>
Source record: 119253

In article <3737666e.5336089@news2.newsfeeds.com>, Bill@aol.com (Bill) wrote:

> 163.XXX encrypted analog.

See, they "can" encrypt analog cell phones. The industry is just too lazy
I guess.

894. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean Craft <w4ihk@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7h5n7v$5fd$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Sir wrote in message <37363938.123C@sir.com>...
>Rich Mulvey wrote:
>>
>> On Fri, 7 May 1999 08:21:11 -0500, jpos <jpos@mobilemark.com> wrote:
>> >No one complains about having to learn how to use windows before they
can
>> >surf the net....
>> >
>>
>> Considering that I was "surfing the net" before it was even
>> *possible* with MS-Windows, you might want to choose a different
>> analogy. Sigh.
>
>The best analogy would be having to learn Morse Code in order to use the
>internet, as Morse Code has as much to do with knowing how to operate
>and work the internet as it does with knowing how to operate and work a
>Ham radio.

True enough; however, it has everything to do with the es-prit de corps of ham radio. Knowing that one has gone through, and survived, the identical trials and tribulations of his or her counterparts, builds a unique bond of dedication and purpose. The instant gratification crowd of today has no appreciation for that bond.

Dean Craft -- W4IHK

895. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <yMtZ2.208$Rf6.52440@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 119255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Sorry Bill, didn't know this was the religious.right.republican newsgroup. I was posting about scanners and replying to your free "speach" remarks. Didn't know we were talking Republican folklore. Be more than happy to discuss it in E-mail or alt.republican.we.have.no.real.issues. The reports seem to say Bill Cheek WAS an easy target. Has nothing to do with the liberals, Democrats or Bill Clinton. Has to do with Bill selling not one but 5 interfaces and included the means to decode MDT. He all but declares himself guilty in his disclaimer on his WWW page. The SS didn't pick somebody easy, Cheek made it easy for the SS. Opto isn't in jail.

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote ...
> You miss the main point. Has nothing to do
> with too much free speech. Has to do with
> the bastards who concoct the stories that
> get passed on to the assholes who do the
> prosecuting and then when they arrest them
> they invent ways to make it impossible to
> defend one's self due to logistics and
> their paranoia and of course, their ineptitude.
>
> why go after Cheek for that which they have no
> idea of when Clinton is selling this country
> out down the tubes because he's just that kind
> of Pres.
>
> giving secrets to China under the guise of
> "friendship" or allowing the Chinese to come
> to USA and then steal secrets of atomic
> coding because Mr. Bill wanted to be "friends"
>
> this is reason to impeach the guy. he has
> put the USA in danger and from what we can
> read he's not to shaken up about it.
>
> Adn all the time the CIA and FBI were giving
> warning signals to his staff.
>
> But they can go after Cheek because he's
> "easy" ...
>
> I wonder who turned Bill in. OR invented
> a story that made them "suspicious" and
> instead of investigating they just went

> into the files and closed their eyes and
> "believed what they heard"
>
> Clinton's policies allowed Chinese spies
> to steal Atomic material as easy as just
> walking in and walking out with them. He
> allowed what info he got to be "churned"
> into butter to make the Chinese feel more
> at home: butter makes things slippery and
> easier to pull through the holes.
>
> bill

896. PL tones on5 nationwide police frequencies (800 MHz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Jones <KJones@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <7h5p39eru1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119256
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is there a standard PL tone for the 5 800 MHz police intercoordination frequencies? I know that at least 2 agencies here use 156.7 Hz. Is this the same everywhere?

--
Ken Jones
KJones@sprintmail.com

897. Re: Lee County, TX (800 MHz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3736740C.52CB@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119257
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ken Jones wrote:
>
> Anyone know the system ID for the 800 MHz system in Lee county tx?
>
> --
> Ken Jones
> KJones@sprintmail.com

Check out the following URLs:

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/tx/lee.txt
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/tx.html#Lee
http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/tx.html

898. Re: New B.C. WARS freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nettech <ve7tmq@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37367D51.526F2CA1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 119258

According to the Vancouver media this new system is to be completely digital, furthermore the media said that the type of digital encoding that will be used on this new system is not in use anywhere else except Toronto, hence there is not enough of a market to produce a scanner that can receive it. This apparently is being done to ensure that you and I will not be able to listen in.

Incidentally this won't only affect the Vancouver area, I have read that this new system is to provide communications amongst all police departments in BC, right through the interior, in other words we're all screwed !!

Hope this helps.

Jay MacNEIL wrote:

> Has anyone in the greater Vancouver BC area been listening to the new
> B.C. Wide Area Radio system tests on 866.xxx mhz?
>
> Ericsson and BMS Communications is installing the new 800.mhz system,
> with one transmitter/receiveral already atop of the Scotia Bank tower at
> Georgia and Seymour St.
> The following freqs have been monitored:
> 866.0875
> 866.1375
> 866.3375
> 866.3875
> 866.5875 --- data stream
> 866.8375
> 866.8875
> 867.0875
> 867.1375
> The new system is to be up and running by June99?
> Has anyone heard any voice tests? or are we doomed with total digital
> EDACS on these
> freqs that are to be used by the police/fire & ambulance services.
> please post reply to news group or email me
>
> jmacneil@direct.ca

899. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kh2d@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7h5vi6$4es$1@brokaw.wa.com>
Source record: 119259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:

>The best analogy would be having to learn Morse Code in order to use the
>internet, as Morse Code has as much to do with knowing how to operate
>and work the internet as it does with knowing how to operate and work a
>Ham radio.

Now that sounds like a plan, a Morse code test to get on the internet
would keep some of you no-code peckerwoods out of the DX newsgroup
and about a half dozen other ones this 'discussion' doesn't belong in.

Is it REALLY necessary to post this crap to every ham newsgroup there
is ? Some of us have already learned Morse code, we aren't looking for
any good reasons not to....

73, Jim KH2D

900. Re: Going to buy a handheld scanner, suggestions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gio <giossena@iol.it>
Message-ID: <7h4i9m$9qc$1@hermes.iol.it>
Source record: 119260

Thank you very much, but, what do you mean by:
>Bandercom's modified Yupiteru MVT-9000m2.4 ?

I found the MVT-9000 very interesting... Is it a recent model or a new model is coming?

Hope to hear you soon, thanks again,

Gio

Lee Rothman ha scritto nel messaggio ...
>I admit that I am fussy and not afraid to spend the $$$ if necessary. So
>with that in mind I feel that the best are in the following order. (1)
>Bandercom's modified Yupiteru MVT-9000m2.4 for around $550.00 delivered
>from Finland, (2) The Icom IC-R2 for about $219.95 available at most
>dealers here in the U.S. These units have the finest audio, sensitivity,
>build quality and reliability. There are those that will argue, but from
>the perspective of having been there and done that, this is strongly how
>I feel, and with this you certainly can't go wrong. Personally I would
>not choose to own a Uniden Bearcat or Radio Shack product when you could
>have one of these mentioned. If your price range is right in between then
>my overall favorite would have to be the Relm HS-200 which is now widely
>available for at or under $200.00
>

901. Re: WANTED: RS PRO-2026 - Model # 200-0148A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <6xeZ2.4493$N_1.169@news1.atl>
Source record: 119287
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

Hmmm...now why in the world would you want a model 20-148A, instead of, say,
a 20-148B? ;-)

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

Piero Sassu wrote in message <37349B2E.68C80999@worldlynx.net>...
>Wanted to buy for mobile use, a Radio Shack PRO-2026, must be model
>number 200-0148A. Wanted in good condition with mobile mounting bracket,
>etc. Please e-mail me with specifics and asking price.
>
>Thank you,
>Piero - N3FVG
>n3fvg@worldlynx.net

902. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <EyeZ2.4496$N_1.213@news1.atl>
Source record: 119288
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pretty much....let's just say, most of his customers aren't very bright, and
therefore he works his "magic" for them.

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

Barry O'Grady wrote in message <37343735.6079583@genenews.acay.com.au>...
>"Asmodean" <asmo@third.age> wrote:
>
>:Local pawn/radio shop. He gets them new, and does the mod for you if you
>:want it. He may be getting them at wholesale and doing a smaller markup.
>:I'll have to ask him.
>
>The mod where you plug a link in to increase the frequency range?
>
>Barry
>==========
>Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
>Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

903. MultiMode 2.1.1 Released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: csmolinski@erols.com
Message-ID: <csmolinski-ya02408000R0905990800430001@news.erols.com>
Source record: 119289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm pleased to announce the release of version 2.1.1 of MultiMode, a decoding program for amateur radio operators and radio hobbyists.

MultiMode is a shareware application which allows your Macintosh to decode and transmit several different modes of non-voice communication often heard on shortwave and ham radio. It is the only program of its kind for the Macintosh,rivaling commercial packages for the PC platform costing hundreds of dollars. It is ideal for amateur radio operators, shortwave radio listeners, and other radio enthusiasts.

MultiMode allows you to decode and display morse code, RTTY, FAX, SSTV, ACARS, and many other modes on your Mac, without any extra hardware. MultiMode now also allows you to transmit in most of the modes of operation.

MultiMode currently supports the following modes:

Morse Code (CW)
RTTY (Baudot)
ASCII
SITOR-A
SITOR-B / FEC / NAVTEX
SSTV (Slow Scan TV)
WEATHER / SATELLITE FAX
ACARS (Aircraft Communications)
PSK31
DTMF, CCIR, EIA Tone Modes
Hellschreiber
Audio Spectrum Display to aid in properly tuning in stations

MultiMode is shareware. MultiMode may be downloaded from the following URL:
http://www.blackcatsystems.com/software/multimode.html

73's
Chris
N3JLY

904. Re: HELP!!!!!! PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <MZeZ2.4540$N_1.291@news1.atl>
Source record: 119290
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Don't forget to tell the real N2WZT and KC7GHZ.

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

Gerald Payton wrote in message <3734D082.647F1A32@ibm.net>...
>I think that the Commander of Tinker AFB would like to know about this
individual,
>as well as, the Postal Inspectors!
>===
>

905. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <373578ef.517339034@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 08 May 1999 03:59:03 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>juker8161@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
>> Different disabilities= Different abilities
>
>Very touchy-feely, very politically correct and very "Nineties"....but
>not necessarily true.

But sure happens to be true be in this case, not that you'd know
>
>Dave 5H3US, K8MN
>--
>For 5H3US photos, go to
>http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

906. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <gTeZ2.4529$N_1.353@news1.atl>
Source record: 119292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Really? Wow, I have to try that with the wife one day..... ;-)

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote in message <7h3f02$au8@dfw-

> butter makes things slippery and
> easier to pull through the holes.
>
> bill

907. Re: FS Relm MS200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: wb6nyc@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990509081309.20936.00001867@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 119293

Hi if you are still selling that scanner Im interested. Let me know at my
normal e-mail address:
rsanford@pipeline.com
Thanks in advance

908. FS: Opto Scout (40), Icom R10 & Optolinx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <37358981.D4A24AA6@bv.net>
Source record: 119294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcguyver.vcf

I have the following for sale, all in perfect working order and unblocked(R10)

Opto Scout Model 40 w. R10 reaction tune cable, box, manual, case & charger - $350

Icom IC-R10 Unblocked & Optolinx, both with all accessories and boxes - $575

Will sell whole package for $800 shipped.

e-mail pager:
mailto:4076390119.6308194@pagenet.net

Regular e-mail
mailto:mcguyver@bv.net

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

909. WANTED: RS PRO-2026 - Model # 200-0148A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <37359473.8A167F1@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 119295
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

Wanted to buy for mobile use, a Radio Shack PRO-2026, must be model number 200-0148A. Wanted in good condition with mobile mounting bracket, etc. Please e-mail me with specifics and asking price.

Thank you,
Piero - N3FVG
n3fvg@worldlynx.net

910. Re: Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: UkRaCk <r.willett@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <7h4455cgr1@nclient1-gui.server.virgin.net>
Source record: 119296

Why not make your own with Excel or other S/Sheet or D/Base prog, its quite easy, saves a lot of searching the net for one.
Rgds. Roger

911. Re: Apartment Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerald Payton <gpayton@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37359BFC.4AF16760@ibm.net>
Source record: 119297

You didn't say if it was upper or floor unit. I had a friend that had an upstairs unit once and had an opening into the attic. I live at a downstairs unit and only have a small patio outside. Antennas are forbidden here too. I bought a tripod antenna holder from Radio Shack and put a directional yagi antenna on it, just sitting on the patio floor.

However, the electrical noise here his horrible! It make my SWL listening almost impossible.
Jerry
N5GPC
==========

Bruce Brower wrote:

> Much to my displeasure, I am being forced to move to and apartment.
> Picking up all the local stuff on my handheld's will be no problem, but
> I have a couple of base units I use just for the feds, Mil-Air, and
> general aviation monitoring. Any ideas as what type of antenna I could
> use would be greatly appreciated as mounting my present antenna's on the
> roof of the apartment is definitely out of the question. Thanks in
> advance.
>
> Happy Monitoring,
> Bruce
> --
> **********
> Bruce Brower
> Deerfield Beach, FL.
> Pro-2035, Pro-2045
> MVT 7100, Pro-26, BC235XLT
> Drake SW2, Tun-5 Mini Tuner
> Opto Micro Counter
> AOL-BBrower104@aol.com
> ICQ#27900945
>
> **********

912. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1blueguitar@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7h4a9letj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119298

In article <372CCD40.F3C@mocknews.com>,
David Jacobson <davidj@mocknews.com> wrote:
> Do you listen to scanners a lot? Regularly? A journalist for a national
> magazine wants to interview you about the fascination of combing the air
> waves and listening in. Can be anonymous if you want. Call David
> 415-386-2125 or contact him at newsfeatures@yahoo.com
> Thank you.
>

Why would you want us to knowingly and willingly violate the law? It is illegal to discuss anything that you hear with anyone else. The exception would be if that person was present at the time and also heard the transmission. Being a journalist you should be well aware of this.

As far as remaining "anonymous" that is a joke. It is not that difficult to figure out where and who someone is. Especially the government.

Considering the hurry up and pass this bill attitude that Congress has towards HR514 (which IMO is a senseless bill to begin with) an article as you're proposing would be nothing more than another nail in the coffin of the scanning hobby. Congress has no interest whatsoever in what scanner enthusiasts have to say. (For details read "Congress Strikes Again" pg.8 May 99 PopComm) They would run with an article like this and use it to reinforce their position.

BTW - what's with all the cross posting?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

913. The new Electra Corp Tiger Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990509112100.16596.00001243@ng14.aol.com>
Source record: 119299

I just received my new Electra Corporation Tiger Scan.

I really like the ease of programming/operation, the feel, the size and weight, the introductory price $59.95 + shipping/handling and the performance of this new little radio.

In this world of thousand channel/super complicated radios the Tiger Scan is a breath of fresh air if all you want to do is easily program in a couple of your favorite channels to listen to.

I look forward to seeing future products from Electra Corporation and I hope the Tiger Scan is a successful re-entry venture for them in todays scanner/radio monitor market place.

For my full review check out my site listed below.

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

914. Lookin' For Links (in all the right places...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bshort@speedchoice.com
Message-ID: <MPG.119fb9cd76471cc598974c@news.speedchoice.com>
Source record: 119300

Lookin' For Links (in all the right places...)

Please add your links to our collection:
http://www.qex.net/links/links.htm

Thanks, Brian

PS: We had disabled execution of the perl scripts
in the CGI for this and all other CGI on the
server due to abuse.
--
mailto:k7on@arrl.net
--

915. Re: Australian Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: LESIUREsuitLARRY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3735A923.24A3@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio,scanner

AdrianM wrote:
>
> Hey guys, could someone giove me a hand and direct me as to where i may be
> able to find frequencies for the Sydney area in New South Wales Australia
> (you know, the Olympic City??). i'm specifically looking for WebSites....
> thanks a lot, much appreciated
> Adrian
Yo Adrian, you can probably start off with trying to post in
aus.radio.scanner They might be able to help you there. Just a
suggestion
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

916. Re: Australian Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: LESIUREsuitLARRY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3735A931.4560@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

AdrianM wrote:
>
> Hey guys, could someone giove me a hand and direct me as to where i may be
> able to find frequencies for the Sydney area in New South Wales Australia
> (you know, the Olympic City??). i'm specifically looking for WebSites....
> thanks a lot, much appreciated
> Adrian
Yo Adrian, you can probably start off with trying to post in
aus.radio.scanner They might be able to help you there. Just a
suggestion
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

917. Re: Monitoring railroad activity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: LESIUREsuitLARRY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3735A9B1.18AB@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119303

SFbobby wrote:
>
>http:// www.trainweb.com/radio

--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

918. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: LESIUREsuitLARRY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3735AB26.59F0@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119304
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

```
marc_k wrote:
>
> joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> : In article <%h6Y2.1361$U83.27541@news.san.rr.com>,
> : "Skip Sanders" <skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:
> : >
> : > <joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
> : > news:7gptam$qtt$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
> : > > The following comes from the Tandy Support site:
> : > >
> : > >
> : > >
> : > > "Modifying or tampering with the scanner's internal components can
> : > > invalidate the scanner's warranty and void your FCC authorization to
> : > > operate it. If your scanner is not operating as it should, take it to
> : > > your local RadioShack store for assistance."
> : > >
> : > > (LB/tc 4/9/98)
> : > >
> : > >
> : > > What is the legal basis for the FCC to authorize operating a scanner? Has
> : > the
> : > > FCC ever voided such authorization for an individual person?
> : > >
> : > Well, here's WHY that caution is in there...
> : >
> : > --------------
> : > TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
> : >
> : > CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> : >
> : > PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
> : >
> : > Subpart A--General
> : >
> : > Sec. 15.21 Information to user.
> : >
> : > The users manual or instruction manual for an intentional or
> : > unintentional radiator shall caution the user that changes or
> : > modifications not expressly approved by the party responsible for
> : > compliance could void the user's authority to operate the equipment.
> : >
> : > --------------
```

> : >
> : > And the primary rules, which, you will note, state that OPERATION of any
> : > device required to be certified, etc, under the Part 15 rules (And scanning
> : > receivers specifically must be certified) is prohibited without a seperate
> : > individual license to do so, in subsection c, if you void the certification
> : > by modifying it.
> : > ------------
> : > TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
> : >
> : > CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> : >
> : > PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
> : >
> : > Subpart A--General
> : >
> : > Sec. 15.1 Scope of this part.
> : >
> : > (a) This part sets out the regulations under which an intentional,
> : > unintentional, or incidental radiator may be operated without an
> : > individual license. It also contains the technical specifications,
> : > administrative requirements and other conditions relating to the
> : > marketing of part 15 devices.
> : > (b) The operation of an intentional or unintentional radiator that
> : > is not in accordance with the regulations in this part must be licensed
> : > pursuant to the provisions of section 301 of the Communications Act of
> : > 1934, as amended, unless otherwise exempted from the licensing
> : > requirements elsewhere in this chapter.
> : > (c) Unless specifically exempted, the operation or marketing of an
> : > intentional or unintentional radiator that is not in compliance with the
> : > administrative and technical provisions in this part, including prior
> : > Commission authorization or verification, as appropriate, is prohibited
> : > under section 302 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and
> : > subpart I of part 2 of this chapter. The equipment authorization and
> : > verification procedures are detailed in subpart J of part 2 of this
> : > chapter.
> : >
> : >
>
> : So a scanner is a "radiator", even if not a transmitter. Thanks for
> : posting these sections.
>
> : --
> : Joseph Henry
> : Environmental Studies
> : 2001 Wisconsin Avenue
> : Washington, DC 20007
>
> : -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> : http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

> All of the above is true-but I think I see a big hole in the above...
> Hams are allowed to use non-type accepted gear(due to the rules allowing
> hams to BUILD receivers AND transmitters).
> So it would appear that if a person wanted to be totally "clean", all they
> have to do is get a ham license(not as difficult as you might think), and
> then a modified scanner would be ok(at least as far as type acceptance
> goes).
> A CMT mod might still be questionable under the latest act of congress
> however.
So if it is a radiator, do I need to put radiator fluid in it?
--
I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

919. Re: Digital movement repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: PAUL_D_JULES_ GREVY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3735AF8C.7E7A@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119305
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> Glenn Highcove wrote in message
> <373352f7$0$2480@news.sanjose1.level3.net>...
> >What I don't understand is why we all wait for the commercial world to
> >create the first digital scanner. Uniden says they have no interest in it,
> >and I expect that many of the other companies here may also be intimidated
> >by Federal posturing and Cellular special interest groups.
>
> You have to understand this industry. It's highly competitive and companies
> like Uniden, GRE and others treat their new projects and related information
> like government secrets. Just because they *said* they have no interest in
> such a project doesn't mean they aren't looking into it. They just don't
> what the competition to know what they're up to. Generally, any question
> like this evokes a canned "no comment" type of response.
>
> >Aren't many of
> >us on this group employed in technical fields, with various professional
> >specialties directly related to communications? Much like the Open-Source
> >movement that propels Linux, we should have a cooperative movement working
> >towards foiling digital sytems that threaten our hobby. After all, how
> many
> >people can it possibly take to design a scanner, such as the Trunktracker
> >when it was new? I'm sure that many of us have the same (or more) degree
> of
> >professional experience and competence. And even if it's distantly related
> >to the field you are in, why not try? For instance, I, with a degree in
> >English, am able to teach myself various programming and computer related
> >skills so that I can compete and succeed in my field, Internet gaming
> >production. There are people out there that have been innovative enough to
> >create programs like Trunker, POCSAC, Etrunk, etc., and the hardware
> >interfaces to go with it like the HamComm. So what's the problem?
>
> Well generically speaking, the problem is that the companies who market
> digital equipment won't make any money from such a thing, so they'll use
> their legal departments to sue the life out of anyone who tries.
> Specifically speaking, so far the only way to take the decoded digital data
> and convert it to voice is to use a special vocoder board which requires a
> $125,000 licensing fee to the patent holder just to see a schematic. This
> leads me to ask "So, who's gonna pass the hat around?"
>
> >The commercial world has let us down....Let's form a Digital movement, make
> it

> >public, open-source, and available. If manufacuters here won't make the
> >equipment, send the research overseas, where apparently they still believe
> >in making what people want (and have a right) to buy.
>
> Oh but they do! Anyone with enough money can go out and buy a new digital
> ASTRO saber and the programming equipment/software from any local Motorola
> dealer.
>
> Seriously, companies are notorious for denying active projects to throw off
> the competition. I wouldn't count them out just yet.
>
> -Phil
Well that would be a better answer then just " We aren't interested in
making a digital scanner "
--
DO YOU WANT SOME CHEESE WITH THAT WINE? WELL DO
YOU, PUNK?

920. Re: HELP!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Who <not@nowere.com>
Message-ID: <926265530.615.44@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119306

strange to loose the radio's but not the computers. umm.....
wookie wrote in message <373a3f2c.2247386@news.earthlink.net>...
>I'm a victim of the Oklahoma tornado disaster
>I used to live in Del City, if you've seen the news its flat
>I lost everything -house-cars- and all my ham/scanner gear
>My call sign is N2WZT my wife's is KC7GHZ
>Our listings are not correct because we move so much with the
>Airforce
>If you have any ham/scanner gear you don't use or need
>I sure could use some
>The only address I have is a PO box if you want to ship anything
>Mark J. Walkowicz
>PO Box 45725
>Tinker Air Force Base O.K. 73145
>God Bless and THANK YOU
>Wookie1@earthlink.net
>
>

921. Re: Re- Mc Donald's frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: PAUL_D_JULES_ GREVY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3735B251.7507@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119307
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Robert H. Eisner wrote:
>
> pnw100 wrote:
> >
> > Most of the MacDonalds in my area have gone to trunked radio systems, so you
> > should probably try searching the 860 range.
> >
> > stilllooken wrote in message ...
> > >I put in all the frequencies and went driving around Hollywood area to
> > >listen in.
> > >All I heard were the orders being given and taken. could these be the only
> > >mobile communications being used at these places?
> > >Is it better in the burbs?
> > >
>
> Trunked radio system for McDonalds??? Not likely.
>
> There are three brands of headset radios that are currently in
> use at various Fast Food Restaurants.
>
> HM Electronics Operates in VHF-low/VHF-Hi band
> Panasonic Operates in the UHF Band
> 3M Electronics Operates in the ISM Band (902-928)
>
> The most common frequency pairs you should check are:
>
> 30.8400 --- 154.5700
> 31.0000 --- 170.3050
> 33.1600 --- 154.5100
> 33.4000 --- 154.5400
> 35.0200 --- 154.6000 *
> 154.5700 --- 170.2450 *
> 154.6000 --- 171.1050 *
> 457.5375 --- 468.3875
> 457.5500 --- 467.7750 *
> 457.6000 --- 467.8250
> 461.0375 --- 466.0375
> 460.8875 --- 465.8875
> 461.0875 --- 466.0875
> 469.0125 --- 464.0125 *
>
> If they're using the "3M" headsets then you should search
> from 920-922 Mhz Wide FM for the Speaker frequency and from

> 903-905 Mhz Wide FM for the Headset frequency.
>
> Have a look at the following URL for a complete list:
>
> http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/fastfood.txt
So do the bus boys have different talk groups then the cashiers?
--
DO YOU WANT SOME CHEESE WITH THAT WINE? WELL DO
YOU, PUNK?

922. Re: BigBrotherInside: Chipping Away at Our Privacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: PAUL_D_JULES_ GREVY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3735B347.6CD3@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119308
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Paul Saunders wrote:
>
> Chipping Away at Our Privacy
>
> Two recent events-one overt, one not-illustrate
> just how easy it is to lose your PC privacy.
>
> You've probably heard about Intel's plan to embed an
> individual "processor serial number" (PSN) in each machine
> running on a Pentium III (PIII) chip. T
>
> The 96-bit ID can identify the user's PC to any software that knows how
> to request it. Of course, there are legitimate and useful
> purposes for this kind of identification:
>
> Resource-tracking within an enterprise is one example.
> Indeed, some workstation manufacturers already feature
> similar functions. And while some apps use serial numbers for licensing,
> other software uses hardware-based "dongles" ("keys" that attach to
> a parallel or serial port) for the same purpose.
>
> to continue, click here:
>
> http://www.winmag.com/library/1999/0501/ana0008.htm
Big brother? What about Big Sister? Also what about Big
Corporation/Big Boss. Did you know that bosses can monitor PC activity?
--
DO YOU WANT SOME CHEESE WITH THAT WINE? WELL DO
YOU, PUNK?

923. Re: Why Is Government Trying To Ban Technology?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: PAUL_D_JULES_ GREVY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3735B3B4.63E5@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penapls

John L. Wilkerson Jr. wrote:
>
> Tracey wrote:
> >
> > Have you noticed how many different items the Clinton administration and other
> > government agencies have tried to ban over the past few years? And, they
> > continue to find new items while they work to ban all catagories of older
> > technologies.
> >
>
> While one that hates political-related discussions.. I gotta agree here.
>
> I have noticed that any technology that could even remotely be
> considered a "threat" to "them" is legislated away.... "Them" are both
> IMHO the government AND Big Business. The businesses whine to the
> Gov't, and the gov't acts by passing or proposing a mass of
> legislation. The consumer loses.
>
> While a strong supporter of PROPER government regulation, I just cannot
> see the sense of OVERregulation or legislation.
>
> If this trend continues I fear for the consumer and country as a whole
> as new technology is slowed down because of perceived "threats". Not
> Good.
>
> --
> John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com
>
> http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
> Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger
> Supporting Family Two Way Radio Communications.. See website above.
> Family safety and security is a MUST in the modern world!
Well we all know that the reason that they do this is cause Big Boss
pays Big Goverment to do that
--
DO YOU WANT SOME CHEESE WITH THAT WINE? WELL DO
YOU, PUNK?

924. Re: Why Is Government Trying To Ban Technology?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: PAUL_D_JULES_ GREVY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3735B3E0.51BE@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119310
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Len G. Carpenter wrote:
>
> Just look at it this way, first they make it so that any decoding by
> us scanner users is illegal and then you will find packet and stuff
> like that will become illegal then morse code will be illegal then
> most of the amateur freqs will be useless and then the US government
> will have all kinds of new freqs to put on the auction block.
It is the old saying: " Money talks... "{
>
> On Sat, 1 May 1999 20:48:27 -0500, "BungHole" <bung@hole.org> wrote:
>
> >Because instead of moving with the times, they want to outlaw the public moving forward
> >while their technology stays stagnate.
> >This way, no one has to spend any money or make any more effort.
> >This is in reverse of the way it should be, being that the government moves ahead, then
the public
> >catches up.
> >Instead, it's all out opression to keep from having to do anything.

--
DO YOU WANT SOME CHEESE WITH THAT WINE? WELL DO
YOU, PUNK?

925. FS-Pro51 Radio Scanner - PRO51.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: cliffwhoX@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <2D4635BF042F5623.8F5FD3A30AF42CB0.CD3FA24DEA325787@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119311
Cross-posted to: dfw.forsale,tx.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Radio Shack Pro51 scanner. This is one of the newer models that cannot
be modified. Includes original box and manual. Asking $100.00.

remove X to reply

926. FS: Yupiteru MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7h4dgckso1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119312

Mint condition. About 4 months old. Not a scratch on it! Includes original box, manual, antenna, and ni-cads. Full coverage .500 to 1300 Mhz all modes including SSB.

Price is $295 plus shipping

927. F.S. Bearcat 350 [50 channel] $ 55.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb2rev@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990509123809.14315.00000992@ng22.aol.com>
Source record: 119313

$55 for a bearcat 350. works and looks good ant and power coed $ 5.00 extra
for shipping

928. Re: Acars Airmaster Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: yarpfaff@erols.com
Message-ID: <3735c3d3.930732@news.erols.com>
Source record: 119314

On Sat, 8 May 1999 22:59:22 -0800, "Corecom"
<adesousa@unicom-alaska.com> wrote:

>WACARS .7 is free, will even plot positions, optional airmaster mode, its
>allover the web.
>
I didn't catch the original message, but I own a AEA ACARS decoder. I
tried WACARS, but couldn't get it to decode anything.
To reply to this message, replace "yar" with "ray"

929. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: donald@NOSPAM.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <3735c5c7.4071999@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 119315
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 08 May 1999 18:57:10 -0400, PAUL D _ JULES GREVY
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> claims to have created this little gem:

>David S. Maddison wrote:
>>
>> I was thinking of getting a Grove Scanner Beam (importing from USA). Are
>> there any Australian users of this product? It would help in locking on to
>> various incoming aircraft from far away, for example. The antenna offers
>> up to 9dB gain.
>>
>> David Maddison
>Well as with any beam antenna, it will increase the signals coming into
>your scanner into which direction the beam is pointed but remember this
>one too. Anything that increases your gain on a desired frequency will
>also increase your chance of intermodulation/interference too. if you
>live in a densely populated area; you might want to get some notch
>filters designed to stop the intermod from coming into your scanner.

Also think of a beam acting like a reflector on a torch bulb. Adding the
reflector increases the amount of light going forward AT THE COST of reducing
the light going backwards.

A similar thing happens with a beam - it can be an advantage, but it can also be
a disadvantage.

Whist it enhances the forward reception, the reception in other directions is
reduced, sometimes to the point of non reception at all. This is highly
desirable IF you want to listen to one particular station and can 'point' the
beam at that station, but a beam is not a good 'general purpose' scanner aerial.
You will miss some of the action if you 'scan/search' with a beam.

OK, If you live out in the country and the nearest town is to the west, then
pointing the beam at the town might pay dividends. I say might. Often it might
be best to point the beam at the high hills around you. Radio repeaters,
cellphones, local & national broadcast & tv transmitters etc are always located
on the highet points in the area in which they serve. That usually means high
hills. Give it a try when you get the beam.

Whatever - happy scanning.
--
Donald Gray
Putting ODCOMBE on the Global Village Map
http://www.odcombe.demon.co.uk/

(Please replace the 'NOSPAM' with 'odcombe' in my email address)
(IE: donald@odcombe.demon.co.uk - Thanks)

930. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7h4l89$8p8$1@207.18.224.143>
Source record: 119316
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 07 May 1999 00:30:54 -0500, Tracey <tracey12@hotbot.com>
wrote:

>What a bunch of pure Bull Shit!
>The new Top FCC guy got on his HF radio and screamed at
>some guys on 3.853 LSB one night not long ago!
>He's really a strange one!
>
He is not the "new top fcc guy", although he is tasked with HAM
enforcement.

931. Re: Motorola KVL Up Gades for T3011 & T3014 To DX Ver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie <Eddie@dopeshow.net>
Message-ID: <7h4krq$pg3$1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 119317
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hmmmm, cloning copyrighted EEPROMS's (and SELLING them too ?!?!),
what a dumbass ! (say hello to that twit posting received MDT
traffic on his website for us, and the Cheeks to !).....

I suspect the Moto IPP division will be notifying the US Marshalls,
USSS, and they're going to be busting your door down pretty soon...
And for what ? A lousy $150 ? IDIOT !!

Hmm, unless you're just selling a bunch of "genuine" Moto upgrade
kits ? Well, too late now...your now a big red blip on Motorola's
copyright protection/intellectual property protection radar....
and at the very least, they're going to be looking into this to
see if your legit.

Tech 55 <ST1A55@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:uPsE2nem#GA.310@cpmsnbbsa05...
> Motorola KVL Up Grades for T3011 & T3014 AX,BX or CX
>
> Send me your Motorola Key Variable Loader (KVL)
> and I will upgrade it to DX Version to work on the latest radios
> such as Astros and MTSX 2000.
>
> $150.00 Parts Labor And Shipping
>
>
> For more Info and pictures visit: http://www.channel21.com/radio/tech55/
>
> E-mail At: ST1A55@msn.com
> Thanks !!! Rich
>
>

932. Re: A Control Freak Runs America!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael1998@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8935-3735D186-48@newsd-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119318

Fuck Clinton!

933. WTB : PRO-64 or PRO-60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina McBride & John Miller <mcmiller@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <3735D794.BAA24658@alaska.net>
Source record: 119319

I need either above scanner to use while in the
UK and Ireland to monitor FD's.

Please advise on condition, accessories, ans of
course.....price

Thanks to all the great folks here on the Net !!

John Miller

934. Re: Whats "Motorola's X-Pand Voice Compression"???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7h4lsu$8p8$2@207.18.224.143>
Source record: 119320

On Fri, 07 May 1999 22:39:36 -0400, Dad#1 <deadeye@javanet.com> wrote:

>Is this inversion or what???

Nope, just compandering.

935. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <3735E1D8.4204@cats-net.com>
Source record: 119321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

```
Mark Morgan wrote:
>
> On Sat, 08 May 1999 03:59:03 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
> wrote:
>
> >juker8161@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> >
> >> Different disabilities= Different abilities
> >
> >Very touchy-feely, very politically correct and very "Nineties"....but
> >not necessarily true.
>
> But sure happens to be true be in this case, not that you'd know

Mark,

Get a good book on logic. Read it. Get back to me when you think you
understand it.

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/
```

936. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <3735e1d0.16533754@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 09 May 1999 19:28:24 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
wrote:

>Mark Morgan wrote:
>>
>> On Sat, 08 May 1999 03:59:03 +0000, Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >juker8161@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>> >
>> >> Different disabilities= Different abilities
>> >
>> >Very touchy-feely, very politically correct and very "Nineties"....but
>> >not necessarily true.
>>
>> But sure happens to be true be in this case, not that you'd know
>
>Mark,
>
>Get a good book on logic. Read it. Get back to me when you think you
>understand it.

I have read and understood several books on logic. also rhetoric. You
posted rhetoric not logic. Your simple statment that something is not
logical proof that is or is not true, neither did I post logic. You
simply advanced a claim one that hapens to be both false and
insulting.

It is a simple that most people with disabilities tend to
devlope other abilities, often to level far beyond those of "normal"
people. Why? Because we have to. just to survive in many cases. this
does not compensate in any manner just allows us to survive sometimes
it does give one or more of us a niche in the world

You simply don't know what is like to suffer form any
disaility, for that you can be thankful, but without it you can no
more understand my world than I can understand what you see in code,
to pick an example
Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

937. WTB Radio Shack catalogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepper Hastings <phastings@beckett.com>
Message-ID: <3735E4FA.C8136FC4@beckett.com>
Source record: 119323

Anyone have a run of RS catalogs from the 1990s (or any year, for that matter). Let me know what you have. Single copies OK, too.

938. Re: Good frequency page?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher Roy <scanner@together.net>
Message-ID: <3735EEA9.EB1C4F68@together.net>
Source record: 119324

try http://www.perconcorp.com/resource/resource.htm#search
Chris

smokebowl wrote:

> I am looking for more frequencies for different types of law
> enforcement besides my local town and state police trunks, wether it
> be trunks or not i need to know what freqs are for like dea, us
> marshalls etc...
>
> IRC Channel
> #MCSE on the ICQ network...
> (irc.icq.com)
> for the discussion of MCSE related topics

939. Re: A Control Freak Runs America!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <delZ2.2975$%x.2419@wards>
Source record: 119325

Michael Brown <Michael1998@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8935-3735D186-48@newsd-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Fuck Clinton!
>

Silly person, control freak politicians and corporates runthe WORLD not just
USA !.

Watch em !!

eavsdropper

940. New Egypt Speedway in New Jersey - FREQ'S needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrfish1@newsguy.com
Message-ID: <103199B664CDCFF4.62F1666F282501CA.48E0E81725B16F82@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119326

Does anyone have the frequencies for this track?
I know there is one that the pit steward uses to talk to each of the dirvers.
But there are also 2 other freq's. Anyone have more info on this?

Been there....downloaded that. Cable ya know!
http://home.adelphia.net/~mrfish/

941. Re: Motorola KVL Up Gades for T3011 & T3014 To DX Ver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Rich <srich@home.com>
Message-ID: <hsnZ2.1512$vP2.1044@news.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 119327
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I dont think there is anything wrong with the upgrade deal . The kit to do
it from Big M is only $25 nso and takes 2 minutes to change. It is
available right out of the parts dept.
Eddie wrote in message <7h4krq$pg3$1@news.monmouth.com>...
>Hmmmm, cloning copyrighted EEPROMS's (and SELLING them too ?!?!),
>what a dumbass ! (say hello to that twit posting received MDT
>traffic on his website for us, and the Cheeks to !).....
>
>I suspect the Moto IPP division will be notifying the US Marshalls,
>USSS, and they're going to be busting your door down pretty soon...
>And for what ? A lousy $150 ? IDIOT !!
>
>Hmm, unless you're just selling a bunch of "genuine" Moto upgrade
>kits ? Well, too late now...your now a big red blip on Motorola's
>copyright protection/intellectual property protection radar....
>and at the very least, they're going to be looking into this to
>see if your legit.
>
>
>
>Tech 55 <ST1A55@email.msn.com> wrote in message
>news:uPsE2nem#GA.310@cpmsnbbsa05...
>> Motorola KVL Up Grades for T3011 & T3014 AX,BX or CX
>>
>> Send me your Motorola Key Variable Loader (KVL)
>> and I will upgrade it to DX Version to work on the latest radios
>> such as Astros and MTSX 2000.
>>
>> $150.00 Parts Labor And Shipping
>>
>>
>> For more Info and pictures visit: http://www.channel21.com/radio/tech55/
>>
>> E-mail At: ST1A55@msn.com
>> Thanks !!! Rich
>>
>>
>
>

942. airline books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7h4vdq$n90$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 119328

Are there any airline books that show pictures, type of planes, and list details of all the planes? I've heard of one book that has this information, but all I know is that the title starts with Aircraft.

Charlie

943. Re: Frequency Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <01be9a68$102b44e0$84685ed1@oemcomputer>
Source record: 119329

I'm using the database program in MS WORKS that came with my WIN 95 - it seems very versatile and easy to use, and I've entered several thousand entries so far with no problems.

--
Dennis
djmr26@bestweb.net
Putnam Co NY

UkRaCk <r.willett@virgin.net> wrote in article
<7h4455cgr1@nclient1-gui.server.virgin.net>...
> Why not make your own with Excel or other S/Sheet or D/Base prog, its quite
> easy, saves a lot of searching the net for one.
> Rgds. Roger
>
>
>

944. Re: Noisy pager tower! Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <01be9a68$6fcc7860$84685ed1@oemcomputer>
Source record: 119330

I would think the FCC is the place to go - their main charge now is interference complaints, a far as I know.

--
Dennis
djmr26@bestweb.net
Putnam Co NY

Gdfthr768 <gdfthr768@aol.com> wrote in article <19990508191042.14317.00000912@ng22.aol.com>...
> I live close to a pager/cellular repeater tower, and the splash and
> noise/images from this thing is abysmal and annoying. When I first got into
> the scanning hobby a few years ago, it was not so bad, adn I bought some
> filters which helped greatly, for awhile. But it seems lately like it's getting
> louder, longer, and more powerful. Just the last three or four months or so.
> One of my neighbors is also a scanner and shortwave enthusiast, and has noticed
> that he's now getting noise on his HF gear and TV. I just started noticing it
> on my TV as well. He said that one of the guys who used to maintain that tower
> told him (sounds like high school now, doesn't it?) that they were always
> running too much power, and they were going to be upping even more... As I said
> before, it has not always been this way in our neighborhood, just lately.
> Whom do I call to ask about looking into this tower, and if they are running
> too much power, etc.? Of course, I am not looking for actual local numbers
> from a worldwide newsgroup (!), but where can I start? Local utilies? FCC?
> Sometimes even the computer gets the tell-tale bleeps'blurps and so on from
> pager intermod. And any smaller, less-well-shielded AM/FM radios in the house
> are useless at peak cellphone/pager usage hours, which is most of the day.
> Any direction to lead me would be greatly appreciated!
> Mike
>

945. FS-Pro 51 Radio Scanner - PRO51.jpg (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: cliffwhoX@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6104F434301F8297.F37E9ED0215739EA.9DE8864AE942ED6C@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119331
Cross-posted to: dfw.forsale,tx.forsale,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Radio Shack Pro 51 scanner. This is one of the newer models that
cannot be modified. Includes original box and manual. Asking $100.00.

remove X to reply

946. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Yes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harley <Harley@rollingdice.com>
Message-ID: <3735F771.72E6C99C@rollingdice.com>
Source record: 119332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

From the December 8, 1997 issue of Wireless Week

'Pagergate' Feeds Concern

By Monica Alleven

Law enforcement and privacy-minded businesses may think twice about sending sensitive information over pagers after "Operation Pagergate" led to the guilty pleas of three men in New York last month.

"The unauthorized interception of alphanumeric pager messages is a criminal invasion of privacy comparable to wiretapping someone else's telephone," said Daniel Fetterman, assistant U.S. attorney for the Second District of New York.

Breaking News Network Inc. and its owners, Steven Gessman and Robert Gessman, and the general manager, Vinnie Martin, pleaded guilty Nov. 20 (1997) in Manhattan federal court to charges related to intercepting alphanumeric pager messages intended for the New York Police Department and Fire Department of New York.

BNN, which advertises its news-gathering and dissemination services on its Web site, is still in business. However, Martin said last week he could not comment on the case until the defendants'March 3 sentencing.

Prior to the NYPD investigation, code-named Operation Pagergate, New York police sent some messages via pager because they were considered too sensitive for police radios. The messages included the locations of high-level government officials and crime witnesses, the arrests and suspensions of police employees and police mobilization points.

BNN, apparently with the help of defendant Jeffrey Moss, could intercept those and other messages. The company pleaded guilty to illegally manufacturing, assembling and possessing software and cloned pagers, and the three men pleaded guilty to intercepting alphanumeric pager messages sent between members of the NYPD and FDNY.

The company faces a maximum fine of $500,000, while the individuals each face a maximum penalty of six months in prison and a $5,000 fine on each of two counts.

According to the original complaint, Moss, a former BNN dispatcher whose case is pending, told authorities he cloned three pagers that intercepted police, fire and emergency services messages.

Pagers may be cloned by duplicating the capcode from the original pager into another unit, so the clone is capable of receiving the same messages.

Moss demonstrated for detectives how he used a scanner, computer, upgraded version of "Message Tracker" software and a Motorola Inc. kit to intercept pages, the complaint said.

Authorities in New York said they believed the case was a first. Alphanumeric pager messages are protected under the Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986.

In announcing the charges, U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York Mary Jo White said the incident should serve as a wake-up call for public, business and law enforcement agencies who use pagers. "No governmental agency or business is immune from this illegal monitoring," she said.

Industry sources say most pager users remain unaffected. "It's not an issue for 99 percent of the people," said Robert Hoggarth, senior vice president of paging and narrowband at the Personal Communications Industry Association. He added, "I really don't care if you overhear my ... conversation about picking up milk for my wife or what time a meeting is going to take place."

http://www.wirelessweek.com/News/Dec97/six128.htm

947. Re: mdt monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harley <Harley@rollingdice.com>
Message-ID: <3735F7A0.BE947004@rollingdice.com>
Source record: 119333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Frank Delgato wrote:
>
> The Cheeks' arrest, Newsday reported, was "a related case." Neither the
> Cheeks nor their lawyers, Howard Frank and Lynn Ball, wanted to talk
> last week about the charges. "I don't think I have any comment," Frank
> says.
>
> "The case is brand new to me, and I just don't know enough yet to
> make an intelligent comment. It would just be stupid to say something
> now when I don't know what's going on."
>
> But scanner buffs said the arrest sent a chill through their community
> -- at least in the United States.
>
> "There were a number of individuals who were running Web pages to help
> disseminate that software that could decode PAGERS or MDT," says
> Blanton.
>
> "What's happened is that now that folks have realized that Bill has been
> arrested for this, everybody got scared and pulled the information.
>
> There's still one individual in the U.K., who, of course, is not
> subject to U.S. laws, who has posted these applications on his Web page.
>
> But anyone in the U.S. who goes to his page

http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk

> and downloads is probably doing something illegal."

From the December 8, 1997 issue of Wireless Week

'Pagergate' Feeds Concern

By Monica Alleven

Law enforcement and privacy-minded businesses may think twice about
sending sensitive information over pagers after "Operation Pagergate"
led to the guilty pleas of three men in New York last month.

"The unauthorized interception of alphanumeric pager messages is a
criminal invasion of privacy comparable to wiretapping someone else's
telephone," said Daniel Fetterman, assistant U.S. attorney for the
Second District of New York.

Breaking News Network Inc. and its owners, Steven Gessman and Robert Gessman, and the general manager, Vinnie Martin, pleaded guilty Nov. 20 (1997) in Manhattan federal court to charges related to intercepting alphanumeric pager messages intended for the New York Police Department and Fire Department of New York.

BNN, which advertises its news-gathering and dissemination services on its Web site, is still in business. However, Martin said last week he could not comment on the case until the defendants'March 3 sentencing.

Prior to the NYPD investigation, code-named Operation Pagergate, New York police sent some messages via pager because they were considered too sensitive for police radios. The messages included the locations of high-level government officials and crime witnesses, the arrests and suspensions of police employees and police mobilization points.

BNN, apparently with the help of defendant Jeffrey Moss, could intercept those and other messages. The company pleaded guilty to illegally manufacturing, assembling and possessing software and cloned pagers, and the three men pleaded guilty to intercepting alphanumeric pager messages sent between members of the NYPD and FDNY.

The company faces a maximum fine of $500,000, while the individuals each face a maximum penalty of six months in prison and a $5,000 fine on each of two counts.

According to the original complaint, Moss, a former BNN dispatcher whose case is pending, told authorities he cloned three pagers that intercepted police, fire and emergency services messages.

Pagers may be cloned by duplicating the capcode from the original pager into another unit, so the clone is capable of receiving the same messages.

Moss demonstrated for detectives how he used a scanner, computer, upgraded version of "Message Tracker" software and a Motorola Inc. kit to intercept pages, the complaint said.

Authorities in New York said they believed the case was a first. Alphanumeric pager messages are protected under the Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986.

In announcing the charges, U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York Mary Jo White said the incident should serve as a wake-up call for public, business and law enforcement agencies who use pagers. "No governmental agency or business is immune from this illegal monitoring," she said.

Industry sources say most pager users remain unaffected. "It's not an issue for 99 percent of the people," said Robert Hoggarth, senior vice president of paging and narrowband at the Personal Communications Industry Association. He added, "I really don't care if you overhear

my ... conversation about picking up milk for my wife or what time a meeting is going to take place."

http://www.wirelessweek.com/News/Dec97/six128.htm

948. Who was looking for Regency Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: hwardle@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990509190749.10285.00001544@ng138.aol.com>
Source record: 119334

Someone had been looking for a Regency 10 channel crystal type scanner,
and I have one. The model number is ACT-E10 H/L/U. Let me know.
.............................Thanks.........
....

949. business radio service frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan K." <danka2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7h55fm$b9a$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119335

Hi,

I would like to know what frequency is the brown , J, K, Blue-star, gold-star, red-star, and silver-star UHF business freqencies are. I currently know what the yellow UHF business freq. is.

Thanks,

Dan

950. Re: business radio service frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <DcpZ2.1841$xy1.2983@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 119336

Dan:

I saved this info thinking someone else might want it someday! Credit to
the originator is in the header:

T.J. Harrell III thharrel@cs.nmsu.edu http://www.cs.nmsu.edu/~thharrel/

Itinerant Frequencies
Business Special Industrial
27.490 43.040
35.040 151.505
151.625 158.400
464.500/469.500 451.800/456.800
464.550/469.550
853.4875/808.4875
936.6375/897.6375
936.6625/897.6625

Itinerant frequencies are licensed for use at unspecified locations
for varying amounts of time. Licensees may use full power bases,
repeaters, and mobiles; however, there are restrictions on the height
of the base antenna.

Low-Power Frequencies (Business band)(2 watts)
VHF UHF
27.510 457.525
27.530 457.550
30.840 457.575
33.120 * 457.550
33.140 457.575
33.400 457.600
35.020 467.750
42.980 467.775
154.570 467.800
154.600 467.825
467.850
* 1/2 watt 467.875
467.900
467.925

Low-power business-band frequencies are licensed for radio use within a
certain area. All stations are licensed as mobile, with usual maximum
power at 2 watts. If the station is functioning as a base, the antenna
must be within 25 feet of the control point.

Dot/Star Frequencies

151.625 Red Dot (itinerant)
151.955 Purple Dot
154.570 Blue Dot (lp)
154.600 Green Dot (lp)
462.575 White Dot (GMRS)
462.625 Black Dot (GMRS)
462.675 Orange Dot (GMRS)
464.500 Brown Dot (itinerant)
464.550 Yellow Dot (itinerant)
467.7625 J Dot (lp)
467.8125 K Dot (lp)
467.850 Silver Star (lp)
467.875 Gold Star (lp)
467.900 Red Star (lp)
467.925 Blue Star (lp)

These are probably the most used (and abused) business band frequencies in the spectrum. The equipment is cheap and readily available at a variety of places. Equipment users are supposed to be licensed, but most people don't bother. Everybody from McDonalds to police surveillance has been reported using these frequencies.

Dan K. <danka2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:7h55fm$b9a$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
> Hi,
>
> I would like to know what frequency is the brown , J, K, Blue-star,
> gold-star, red-star, and silver-star UHF business freqencies are. I
> currently know what the yellow UHF business freq. is.
>
>
>
> Thanks,
>
> Dan
>
>

951. For Sale Pro 2036 Scanner can get Cell LOOK!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <37354c97.2134411@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119354

http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/base.html#pro2036
go to this site and look on how to make this 200 ch base scanner get
all the channels.. price is 150.00 plus shipping cost via UPS ground
from so cal this scanner has a VFO also very nice base scanner very
good cond email me if you want it thanks

952. Re: Icom IC-R2 discriminator tap? I'm getting closer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ingra-Beton@t-online.de
Message-ID: <3734CF6A.3AB62BE0@t-online.de>
Source record: 119355

asnow schrieb:
> Where is J1 though inside the receiver? I'm not sure if it is on the
> upper or lower PC board. Is J1 the conector between the top and bottom
> board?

Yes, you can follow the line and tap it on some other place also,
where it is more place to do it.

> Once I do find J1 will it have a mark to designate pin 1 on it (like an
> IC)?

Pin 39,40 and 19 are GND, you will easy find the pin 1.

> What is an "NFM disc"? Am I conecting to this disk or direct to pin 16?

Pin 16 is the NFM discriminator output, it is marked in the schematic
as WFMS.

> The 0.1 microfarad Condensator mentioned below..... where do I install
> that? I am thinking that I will need a sub-miniture audio jack attached
> to the case, and I will attach pin 16 from J1 to one side of the jack,
> and bring the other side of the jack to ground. Does the 0.1 microfarad
> Condensator get placed between pin 16 and the jack, or the jack and
> ground?

The 0.1 Condensator is used to protect any short on the disc line.

You can take the line also directly, the 0.1 is for your protection.

If you take a look at the Pin16 line, everywere it goes it is
tapped by a 0.1 condensator (C11,C66,C74), so should you do also.

The 0.1 should be between the Pin16 and the jack you intend to mount.

> Finally, where has anyone found to install the jack for the
> discriminator? This receiver is pretty tiny. The 2 locations I can see
> are right below the selection knob on the right side of the receiver, or
> perhaps on the right or left side right between the battery pack, and
> the upper PC board. If there are more suitable places please let me
> know.

I have done this on my IC-Q7 and am getting 100% decoding rate
on POCSAG, FLEX, ... any baud (tested up to 4800), it is almost
the same unit, the quality is far better then my AR8000 (with
discriminator), FT50 (with discriminator) and other radios ...

On the IC-R2 i thing the jack is a 4 pole 3.5mm jack, only 3 pol
are used, so you may use the 4 pole on the socket ...

--

Goran Vlaski (Ingra-Beton@t-online.de)
http://vlaski.virtualave.net/
http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/
----

953. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h2kli$j7v@dfw-ixnews11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <37347C4F.575DEA4C@usa.net> jmackey@usa.net writes:
>
>Bill Conduit, PLEASE stop trying to cause problems on
>this NG.
>
>

Or what?

bill conduit

954. Re: The PERFECT defense for Bill Cheek!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h2krt$d2d@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

In <37347E61.D53953A4@usa.net> jmackey@usa.net writes:
>

>Regardless, I have spoken with friends of mine who are attorneys
combined
>with my own professional clinical knowledge in matters of mental
health
>and
>I believe I have found the perfect defense for Bill Cheek in his
currect
>legal
>problems of his breaking federal laws.
>

The idiot froths
and nothing ensues

you have answers....
dream on in your stupor

you are a mental health problem
which festers between every one
who wants to pass=ass-gas...
it is you that they pass.

or what?

bill conduit
etc.

955. Re: MDT 4 Win

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990508203331.13178.00000992@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 119358

I am looknig for a program that can decode DTMF sounds. I heard of aprogram called something like WinTone that would allow connection through the sound card. I have Win3.1 so it's hard finding a program. Can You Help?

-thanks
-rabidfool@aol.com

-rabidfool@aol.com

956. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h2l2d$6be@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <37349905.E88105E5@pacifier.com> puck <puck@pacifier.com> writes:
>
>I agree. Conduit KNOCK IT OFF!
>

or what?

make my day.

bend over and enjoy your
brain-full and allow your
contribution to society
be smelled by all...roses
are in bloom up your
anal cavity....but stinted.

bill conduit
etc.

957. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h2kvf$g5k@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

In
<EC37A69034A29B98.1577A012088DB5C3.2E2A145679A6BBAB@library-proxy.airne
s.net> "Spike" <spike@nospam.org> writes:
>
>Bill is probably being quiet from councel of his lawyer(s).
>Anything he says online could be used against him now and lawyers
>know that even explanations and status to well being could even
backfire
>and be used against him.
>

and i always thought this
was a bastion of free speach
guaranteed by the US Constitution
wherever it is hiding.

bill conduit
etc.

958. Re: Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barny <barny@net.de>
Message-ID: <7h2lkg$l0h$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 119361

The free software from AOR is free because it simply s*cks. I've never seen such terrible software.

Better stuff is 'Radio Manager' and 'ARC8200'.

Specially ARC8200 has a very nice user interface.

Links are found at :

http://www.acars.net/ar8200/start.htm

> >
> > AOR do a free control programme of rhte ar8200 you can get it here !
>
>
> > http://www.demon.co.uk/aor/software.htm
> eavsdropper
> >
> >
>
>

959. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim@zebra.net
Message-ID: <3734e46c.573102@news2.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 119362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Wake up and get a grip on reality. This country is losing its freedom fast. The fouth amendment (unresonable search and seizure) was successfully attaked and almost killed in the 70's, the second amendment is under attack, and the first amendment rights of free speech are waning.

America is no longer the land of the free and the home of the brave. There are other countries that have a lower crime rate, more civil liberties, and a higher standard of living than here in the US.

We're losing it friends. Thank our lovely Democrats and Republicans, who are merging into one mentality, and that's "Party first, country second."

Vote Libertarian.

On 9 May 1999 00:30:39 GMT, myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

>In
><EC37A69034A29B98.1577A012088DB5C3.2E2A145679A6BBAB@library-proxy.airne
>s.net> "Spike" <spike@nospam.org> writes:
>>
>>Bill is probably being quiet from councel of his lawyer(s).
>>Anything he says online could be used against him now and lawyers
>>know that even explanations and status to well being could even
>backfire
>>and be used against him.
>>
>
> and i always thought this
> was a bastion of free speach
> guaranteed by the US Constitution
> wherever it is hiding.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

960. Help with Bearcat BC760 XLT w/tone board installed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam@bra.net
Message-ID: <3735e5dd.942512@news2.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 119363

I have an older (opened up) bearcat BC760XLT that has worked flawlessly for several years. About a year and a half ago I put the unit in storage. Last week I took it out and when powered up I get an orange light on the display screen, but nothing else.

Could the microprocessor need resetting? If so, how do I do that. It worked well just before I put it in storage. The two AA batteries seemed to be fine, and I replaced them whiled powered up, but to no avail.

Please post a reply as the email is bogus to prevent spam.

Sam

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

961. FT PRO 2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990508214841.02305.00001695@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 119364

New bar. used 2050 complete looking for a handheld scanner as in pro-39 or pro 43 please email me thanks...

remove nospam to email

962. move on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwolf@aol.com
Message-ID: <016Z2.1214$xg.20@firefly>
Source record: 119365

damn, why not just rename the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner.billcheek move on

963. Re: move on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h2rn7$34a@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119366

In <016Z2.1214$xg.20@firefly> jwolf@aol.com (james wolf) writes:
>
>damn, why not just rename the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner.billcheek
move on
>
because snot-wipe,
what's happening to him
affects us all...no
matter who you pretend
you are.

Cheek gave his all
and it was aplenty
what did you give
that surpasses your mediocrity?

Cheek was never medicore.
he was Bill Cheek.

the guy fought in Nam and this
is his reward....

bill conduit
etc.

964. Database for PCR-1000 MCH files?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <py6Z2.1742$xy1.2758@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 119367

Has anyone written a database program to manipulate the MCH data files for their PCR-1000?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

965. Re: Why so many AOR AR82000 scanners for sale? VR500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: malloy@execpc.com
Message-ID: <7h2vl1$nan@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 119368

I was the original poster. Want to sell me that AOR 8000?
I want a decent radio that will reaction tune my Scout.

Mike

On Sat, 8 May 1999 15:42:10 -0700, "james coburn"
<confused@primenet.com> wrote:

>I will tell you why... The aor 8200 is a peice of $500.00 + SHIT!
>That's why. I went down and bought one. I got home and sat down with it and
>my Aor 8000 my Yupiteru MVT9000 and My $200.00 Icom R2.
>
>I went through and tuned the same frequency on each radio and connected them
>to the same antenna (G5RV and Diamond discone in this case) To make a long
>story short the AOR8200 fell short. IMHO If you bring a radio to market with
>a bunch of cool features it should at least have a receiver as capable as
>the older model (if there is one). Not so with the 8200. Lots of Wizbang and
>NO receiver equals a radio that I returned on the same day I bought it. I
>will stick with my MVT9000 AOR8000 and ICR2 for now.
>
>On the lighter side Have any of you seen the Standard VR-500?
>This looks to be a very promising radio. The sensitivity does look a little
>weak though.
>James
>
>

966. Apartment Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce Brower <bbrower@Bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3734CF07.6C146888@Bellsouth.net>
Source record: 119369

Much to my displeasure, I am being forced to move to and apartment. Picking up all the local stuff on my handheld's will be no problem, but I have a couple of base units I use just for the feds, Mil-Air, and general aviation monitoring. Any ideas as what type of antenna I could use would be greatly appreciated as mounting my present antenna's on the roof of the apartment is definitely out of the question. Thanks in advance.

Happy Monitoring,
Bruce
--
****
Bruce Brower
Deerfield Beach, FL.
Pro-2035, Pro-2045
MVT 7100, Pro-26, BC235XLT
Drake SW2, Tun-5 Mini Tuner
Opto Micro Counter
AOL-BBrower104@aol.com
ICQ#27900945

****

967. Re: The PERFECT defense for Bill Cheek!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: a@b.net
Message-ID: <37350408.34684051@news.istep.com>
Source record: 119370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

>
>Bill should plead "Not guilty by reason of Insanity".
>
The fact that Cheek is an asshole does not mean he is crazy of which
the same can not be said about you.

968. FS Pro-29

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: W8EMS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19966-37350C74-109@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119371

Pro-29, made by Uniden. 60 Channel, covers 800 Mhz (blocked - don't know if it can be modified)
Very good condition. $100 + shipping obo.

Please e-mail replies. Thanks

.....
Jim Wardrip E-mail: W8EMS@webtv.net
.....
Serving the community for 13 years in EMS / Volunteer Fire Service!

969. Re: Monitoring railroad activity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Palmer <trainboy@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <37351792.49252877@pobox.com>
Source record: 119372

The AAR (American Association of Railroads) has identified all the RR radio frequencies into channels 02-97. They start at 159.810 and go to 161.565, mostly in 15-mhz steps (03 is 159.930, 04 is 160.050, 05 is 160.185, 06 is 160.215, ...230, .245, .260, .275....)

Railroad radios usually tune by these channels, and if you ever get an employee timetable they're usually listed there.

970. mdt monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwolf@aol.com
Message-ID: <P79Z2.1234$xg.66@firefly>
Source record: 119373

I hear mdt.poopie.net is going to provide links to mdt monitor on a regular basis. :) scanning ain't dead it's just being refined so get off the eulogies guys

971. Re: Bypassing ECPA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-0905990043220001@pm51-25.magicnet.net>
Source record: 119374

In article <7gvf47$e9c$1@lotus.onenet.net>, wb5agz@dc.cis.okstate.edu
(Martin McCormick) wrote:

> What is to stop somebody from ordering a scanner with full
> coverage from an electronics outlet in Canada?

The dealer may see the US address and not sell it to you.

972. Re: Apartment Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: mwin <mwin1010@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7h2igt$ed1$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 119375

If i was you. I would find a better apartment. i have a few on my apartment roof.
Bruce Brower wrote in message <3734CF07.6C146888@Bellsouth.net>...
>Much to my displeasure, I am being forced to move to and apartment.
>Picking up all the local stuff on my handheld's will be no problem, but
>I have a couple of base units I use just for the feds, Mil-Air, and
>general aviation monitoring. Any ideas as what type of antenna I could
>use would be greatly appreciated as mounting my present antenna's on the
>roof of the apartment is definitely out of the question. Thanks in
>advance.
>
>Happy Monitoring,
>Bruce
>--
>*****************************
>Bruce Brower
>Deerfield Beach, FL.
>Pro-2035, Pro-2045
>MVT 7100, Pro-26, BC235XLT
>Drake SW2, Tun-5 Mini Tuner
>Opto Micro Counter
>AOL-BBrower104@aol.com
>ICQ#27900945
>
>*****************************

973. Re: Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9aaZ2.2788$%x.2118@wards>
Source record: 119376

Barny <barny@net.de> wrote in message news:7h2lkg$l0h$1@news.casema.net...
> The free software from AOR is free because it simply s*cks. I've never
seen
> such terrible software.
>
> Better stuff is 'Radio Manager' and 'ARC8200'.
>
> Specially ARC8200 has a very nice user interface.
>
> Links are found at :
>
> http://www.acars.net/ar8200/start.htm
>
>
> > >One thing i have heard about most of the control programmes though is
that they feed memories from the computer to the scanner hance making
scanning very slow indeed whereas the AOR software does not and speeds it
up.

"only what i heard" have not tried it myself though, but I do know that
ARC's software is nice though.

eavsdropper
> > > AOR do a free control programme of rhte ar8200 you can get it here !
> >
> >
> > > http://www.demon.co.uk/aor/software.htm
> > eavsdropper
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

974. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pape <the_Pape@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7h3dr0$2u6$1@cti15.citenet.net>
Source record: 119377
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

PAUL D _ JULES GREVY wrote in message <3734C145.3576@ameritech.net>...
>David S. Maddison wrote:
>>
>> I was thinking of getting a Grove Scanner Beam (importing from USA). Are
>> there any Australian users of this product? It would help in locking on
to
>> various incoming aircraft from far away, for example. The antenna offers
>> up to 9dB gain.
>>
>> David Maddison
>Well as with any beam antenna, it will increase the signals coming into
>your scanner into which direction the beam is pointed but remember this
>one too. Anything that increases your gain on a desired frequency will
>also increase your chance of intermodulation/interference too. if you
>live in a densely populated area; you might want to get some notch
>filters designed to stop the intermod from coming into your scanner.
>Disclaimer: I am not an electronic technician. I only this this 'casue
>I love to read those radio scanning magazines.
>--
>I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

Bean is cool for finding emission location but weel stop you for reciving
all
your channal at the same power ""the back beam" if you got one emisson on
west and one other
on ouest you have to you have to droop one of 2" so you find emission whit
your omnidirectionnal
and use the beam.....
and if you got a beam go on ham www and get some software to control it :)

975. Re: F S non - working UNIMETRECS scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jenny <jenny795@als.net>
Message-ID: <3734ce3e@news.gj.net>
Source record: 119378

Glenn wrote in message ...
>Hey, I have one too, Unimetrics Digiscan-8, non-working.

I have a Digiscan 8 that DOES work but I need the LED display. I would give
$5 for the non working ones if the LED works.

976. FS: Motorola KVL Up Gades for T3011 & T3014 To DX Ver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tech 55 <ST1A55@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uPsE2nem#GA.310@cpmsnbbsa05>
Source record: 119379
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Motorola KVL Up Grades for T3011 & T3014 AX,BX or CX

Send me your Motorola Key Variable Loader (KVL)
and I will upgrade it to DX Version to work on the latest radios
such as Astros and MTSX 2000.

$150.00 Parts Labor And Shipping

For more Info and pictures visit: http://www.channel21.com/radio/tech55/

E-mail At: ST1A55@msn.com
Thanks !!! Rich

977. Re: The PERFECT defense for Bill Cheek!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h3edp$afk@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

In <37350408.34684051@news.istep.com> a@b.net writes:
>
>
>>
>>Bill should plead "Not guilty by reason of Insanity".
>>
>The fact that Cheek is an asshole does not mean he is crazy of which
>the same can not be said about you.

one who cannot be
faced one-on-one in
circumstances as this
are to be congratulated
for their consistency:
proof that your mama had
you born in a vat from that
brown crap oozing out of her
twat....stink-m

i guess that's why you
are lively with the word
ass-hole: mother's love-nest.

as for Cheek: well, he has a
history. what about you?
after your plop hit to sop
and ended up on your mother's
tit...talk about stink....
she really must be a popular
misnomer.

bill conduit
etc.

978. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h3f02$au8@dfw-ixnews11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

In <tL9Z2.1295$2w4.67854@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
>
>Read what he said Bill.
>
>Not that Bill Cheek couldn't talk.
>
>Not that anyone is depriving him of free speech.
>
>Read it again Bill.
>
>Bill Cheek could say anything he wants.
>
>"bastion of free speach" has nothing to do with a saying stuff in a
public
>forum and having it used against you in court.
>
>Some believe Bill Cheek is in this spot because of too much free
speech.
>
>Don't see Opto in court. Didn't see Opto free speeching about MDT on
their
>web site. Did read about Opto sending disk 5 times to undercover cops
with
>interface. Sometimes egos take us too far.
>
>Right Bill?
>

You miss the main point. Has nothing to do
with too much free speech. Has to do with
the bastards who concoct the stories that
get passed on to the assholes who do the
prosecuting and then when they arrest them
they invent ways to make it impossible to
defend one's self due to logistics and
their paranoia and of course, their ineptitude.

why go after Cheek for that which they have no
idea of when Clinton is selling this country
out down the tubes because he's just that kind
of Pres.

giving secrets to China under the guise of "friendship" or allowing the Chinese to come to USA and then steal secrets of atomic coding because Mr. Bill wanted to be "friends"

this is reason to impeach the guy. he has put the USA in danger and from what we can read he's not to shaken up about it.

Adn all the time the CIA and FBI were giving warning signals to his staff.

But they can go after Cheek because he's "easy" ...

I wonder who turned Bill in. OR invented a story that made them "suspicious" and instead of investigating they just went into the files and closed their eyes and "believed what they heard"

Clinton's policies allowed Chinese spies to steal Atomic material as easy as just walking in and walking out with them. He allowed what info he got to be "churned" into butter to make the Chinese feel more at home: butter makes things slippery and easier to pull through the holes.

bill

979. PLease visit my homepage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duez Samuel <mcleod1455@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37354145.A8E2D142@hotmail.com>
Source record: 119382

you can find hundreds of links about scanning
at http://www.fortunecity.com/meltingpot/salash/186

980. HELP a newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Alex M." <alnpd@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7h3hls$hk6$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119383

I am relatively new to scanning outside of just listening to local stations and would like to learn more about the hobby. I have a BC 890XLT Moded with ctcss tone board. Any thoughts on this scanner? Also any web sites or other areas which would help me expand my reference base would be appreciated!
Alex M.

981. Re: New B.C. WARS freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nettech <tquaite@dowco.com>
Message-ID: <3735515A.E1BF2007@dowco.com>
Source record: 119384

According to the Vancouver media this new system is to be completely digital, furthermore the media said that the type of digital encoding that will be used on this new system is not in use anywhere else except Toronto, hence there is not enough of a market to produce a scanner that can receive it. This apparently is being done to ensure that you and I will not be able to listen in.

Incidentally this won't only affect the Vancouver area, I have read that this new system is to provide communications amongst all police departments in BC, right through the interior, in other words we're all screwed !!

Jay MacNEIL wrote:

> Has anyone in the greater Vancouver BC area been listening to the new
> B.C. Wide Area Radio system tests on 866.xxx mhz?
>
> Ericsson and BMS Communications is installing the new 800.mhz system,
> with one transmitter/receiveral already atop of the Scotia Bank tower at
> Georgia and Seymour St.
> The following freqs have been monitored:
> 866.0875
> 866.1375
> 866.3375
> 866.3875
> 866.5875 --- data stream
> 866.8375
> 866.8875
> 867.0875
> 867.1375
> The new system is to be up and running by June99?
> Has anyone heard any voice tests? or are we doomed with total digital
> EDACS on these
> freqs that are to be used by the police/fire & ambulance services.
> please post reply to news group or email me
>
> jmacneil@direct.ca

982. Re: New NYPD Frequencies???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <MJSCommunications@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7h3lluiid1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 119385
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for the quick reply. I did notice that in Queens, I didn't hear anything on some of the frequencies listed on this page, and I was wondering if there were other changes made AFTER this list came out. Any info is appreciated and thanks for posting this link.

Gary

Mike "NO UCE" S. <s_c_h_u_s_t_e_r_@panix.com> wrote in message news:7gvtkdcsq1@news.panix.com...
> In article <7gvf7u$3sm$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>,
> Gary <MJSCommunications@erols.com> wrote:
> >Recently I got back into some local scanning, but things aren't what they
> >were just a few months ago. Can anyone advise the LATEST NYPD Frequencies??
> >Just when I thought I had em all, they went and changed em! Thanks in
> >advance for the help.
>
> http://www.n2nov.net
>

983. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <37355F08.C7FA6D42@uswest.net>
Source record: 119386

Who?
Methinks you have the wrong person...

Since you have it so ingrained in your head as to who you think I am, lets clear the air a bit.
I am not who you *think* I am. And now, we continue with our scanner related discussions.

Hawke

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Hello Eric Oyen.
>

984. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: m c beutler <mbeutler@powerweb.net>
Message-ID: <37376E59.CF64F8AB@powerweb.net>
Source record: 119137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Barry O'Grady wrote:

> "Christopher Cox" <cobox@netexp.net> wrote:
>
> :
> :Hey Joe,
> :
> :Written a good FFT PSK algorithm lately? No? Yes? How about all you other
> :'old timers'? Why not? Too difficult? Hey, it copies when you cannot even
> :make out the signal, and with very little bandwidth.
>
> I built my own RTTY demodulator and used it with an M100 telex machine.
> I can remember times when the signal disapeared into the noise and yet
> the telex machine continued printing correctly. it also used very
> little bandwidth.
>
> :Food for thought.
>

yes, isnt it though? the point, i hope, is that hams have always been the
ones to lead the way, not weigh the anchor.....
--
-
Regards,
mark beutler
-

985. Antenna Help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce Brower <bbrower@Bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <37373424.D8D2F84@Bellsouth.net>
Source record: 119138

Greetings all,
Does anyone have the formula or know the spec.'s for cutting the radials on a RS 20-176 to optimize it for mil-air reception? Any help or suggestions will be greatly appreciated.

Happy Monitoring,
Bruce
--
****
Bruce Brower
Deerfield Beach, FL.
Pro-2035, Pro-2045
MVT 7100, Pro-26, BC235XLT
Drake SW2, Tun-5 Mini Tuner
Opto Micro Counter
AOL-BBrower104@aol.com
ICQ#27900945

****

986. BC235 Trunktracker F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7h7s3bgih1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119139
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Uniden BC235XLT Trunktracker for sale, unit is in excellent cosmetic and operational condition. Has had the discriminator tap installed. In original box with all accessories. $125.00 and I will pay shipping.

Mike Dockery
Parker, CO
303-840-0737

987. Fort Bend ISD Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tturner2@sprynet.com
Message-ID: <37376f16.5911570@news.sprynet.com>
Source record: 119140

I'm looking for the frequencies used by the Fort Bend ISD police.
Fort Bend county, Texas. If you have these, please pass along.

THANKS!

988. IC-281H Data

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37377470.4042B0CC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 119141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I own an IC-281H 2 meter RM rig that has two ports on the back. One is labeled SP and the other is labeled Data. I was just wondering if the data port is the discriminator output? If so, can I use my 4-level FSK decoder to view packet?

Thanks for the help

989. Wanted: manual for BC700A (Uniden)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron <RonAkers@usa.net>
Message-ID: <37378125.502D6E10@usa.net>
Source record: 119142

TIA
Ron
Mailto:RonAkers@usa.net

990. FS: Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: TailGator <Kf4anc@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <373781F3.BAC63885@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Radio Shack Pro-2050 Trunk Tracker w/original accessories and box. $200

Also brand new Azden PCS-7000H 2-meter Fm - backlit mic, etc. $200

Icom T-21A handy-talkie - 2-meter, extended receive, Icom speaker mic,
$150

All reasonable offers considered. Have good refs, as I have sold to
numerous folks on this group.

John
KF4ANC

--

TailGator ~~~~~~~~,~~~~~~~~,~~^^-----

"Lache pas la patate, mes amis"

'KF4ANC'

991. Re: How do you REMEMBER all these Scanner Commands?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marcus R. Matos" <xraydrx@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PFLZ2.10522$8m5.19234@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 119144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I LOVE YOU DUDE!
That complaint gave me the info I needed to figure out this dumb scanner a friend gave me.

Thanks!

Dave Smith wrote in message ...
>I'm just curious how you guys REMEMBER all these scanner commands?
>
>I own several different brands and depending upon my destination, I
>might pick up the Icom, Yupi, Welz, Trident, Uniden or Pro26. ALL OF
>WHICH HAVE DIFFERENT COMMAND SETS!
>
>The commands are very consistent amongst brand names (all Uniden and
>RS work alike), but once I get accustomed to the Uniden, I forget how
>to search with my Welz, or Trident. ARGH!
>
>Here's an example:
>
>Uniden search: manual, freq#, LIMIT, freq#, LIMIT, search
>Trident search: func/S.Prog, bank, freq#, freq#, mode, step
>
>Icom R2 and my Welz are EVEN WORSE since they have limited keys to
>work with. So my question is: How can you possibly remember all this
>stuff?
>
>
>
>... "Taping scanner manuals to my forehead"

992. BC245XLT LIST ICOM R2 YAESU VX5 YAESU FT100 YAESU VX1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: james coburn <confused@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <7h82k7$oq9$1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 119145
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/BC245XLT
Is the list devoted to the new BC245XLT trunktracker.

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/Ft90
Is a new list devoted to the new Micro micro mobile radio from Yaesu the
FT-90.

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/ft2600
Is a new List devoted to the new Mystery radio from Yaesu the FT-2600.

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/YAESUVX5
Has over 522users so far. Come join us if you are interested in the VX5 a
super cool little radio!!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/YaesuVX1
Has about 101 users If you have a VX1 this is the place to be!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/icomr2
Is the nerve center of everything that has to do with the ICOM R2.
If you have a R2 Come on and join us we need you !!! This is a great radio
with a great following of over 209 users on this mail list!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/FT100
So Far we only have 238 users! Not to bad. If you are even thinking about
one of these units come join us. Join Us and get the MOD!!
we may all learn somthing!!!

993. Re: airline books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7h8305$me5$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 119146

I checked amazon.com and borders and neither site has that version of the book. Amazon shows that the 97/98 version is out of print. When was the newest edition of "International Directory of Civil Aircraft" published?

Charlie

994. Re: BC235 Trunktracker F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7h83uqmsc1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119147
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radio has been spoken for!

995. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <82B5857EFFB38CA0.A7EFBFD597971A12.9E7B75CB7865FBD9@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> yes, isnt it though? the point, i hope, is that hams have always been the
> ones to lead the way, not weigh the anchor.....

Hahaha, funny.
The way I see it, old HAMs are the anchor.

996. Re: Noisy pager tower! Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <37379D4E.14321F97@INVALID.com>
Source record: 119149

Jake Brodsky wrote:

> Here are two things to consider:
>
> (1) Get an attenuator and see if that helps. For every dB of
> attenuation you insert, you should get twice the reduction in
> InterModulation Distortion (IMD) products.
>
> (2) Try using a directional antenna.
>
> The first item will work if the problem is simply on of IMD. Scanners
> are designed with frequency coverage (broadbandedness) and sensitivity
> first. Dynamic range (immunity to IMD) is usually a secondary concern.
>
> If the attenuator doesn't provide a two for one improvement, then the
> noise may be coming from the outside. That's when you should call
> your local FCC field office. However, I'll warn you, the first thing
> the FCC checks is the complaining person's installation. It's a well
> known fact among investigators that the majority of interference
> complaints from the public are problems with the receiver, not the
> transmitter.
>
> A second possibility is that some hardware is corroding somewhere the
> tower or a radio receiver somewhere is rectifying the paging signals.
> In this case, the IMD is a real, radiated signal --not a product in
> your radio. Finding something like that can be a serious technical
> headache. IF the problem is on the tower itself you may be able to
> get the site manager to correct it. In many cases, however, the
> problem is often a neighbor with an antenna amplifier which is
> distorting and re-radiating the signals. If that's the case, you'll
> have a terrible time finding the culprit. Many if not most of these
> cases go unresolved because damned few people have the diplomacy,
> technical ability, and authority to track these cases down.
>
> I wish I could be more upbeat about all this, but frankly, you're not
> going to get much help from the FCC unless radio and TV stations are
> really getting stepped on. And the complaint would have to come from
> virtually everyone living there to get their attention.
>
> Good Luck (you're going to need it).
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

Hard to get happy after that. But it sure was an informative post, got the ring of authenticity to it. Thanks.

997. Re: Fort Bend ISD Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <waNZ2.1437$dJ3.38304@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 119150

Fort Bend County
Motorola Trunked Type II
Analog
Frequencies: 866.0125, 866.3125, 866.5125, 866.7625, 867.0125, 867.2375,
867.5125, 867.7625, 868.0125, 868.2625, 868.5375, 868.825

You will of course need a trunktracker scanner to monitor this system. I
don't know the talkgroup codes for the school district.

<tturner2@sprynet.com> wrote in message
news:37376f16.5911570@news.sprynet.com...
> I'm looking for the frequencies used by the Fort Bend ISD police.
> Fort Bend county, Texas. If you have these, please pass along.
>
> THANKS!

998. FS: Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robin <n9rna@genevaonline.com>
Message-ID: <tPNZ2.322$m3.142148@homer.alpha.net>
Source record: 119151

```
Pro 2006
Full 800 Mhz.
Good Condition
$300 + 12 shipping

interested email only
```

999. Re: business radio service frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan K." <danka2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7h89m0$5ku$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119152

It means 2 watts max.
zeno@magicnet.net wrote in message ...
>In article <DcpZ2.1841$xy1.2983@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>, "Bill Crocker"
><bcrocker@home.com> wrote:
>
>
>> Dot/Star Frequencies
>>
>> 151.625 Red Dot (itinerant)
>> 151.955 Purple Dot
>> 154.570 Blue Dot (lp)
>> 154.600 Green Dot (lp)
>> 462.575 White Dot (GMRS)
>> 462.625 Black Dot (GMRS)
>> 462.675 Orange Dot (GMRS)
>> 464.500 Brown Dot (itinerant)
>> 464.550 Yellow Dot (itinerant)
>> 467.7625 J Dot (lp)
>> 467.8125 K Dot (lp)
>> 467.850 Silver Star (lp)
>> 467.875 Gold Star (lp)
>> 467.900 Red Star (lp)
>> 467.925 Blue Star (lp)
>>
>> These are probably the most used (and abused) business band frequencies
in
>> the spectrum. The equipment is cheap and readily available at a variety
>> of places. Equipment users are supposed to be licensed, but most people
>> don't bother. Everybody from McDonalds to police surveillance has been
>> reported using these frequencies.
>
>What does the "lp" mean after some of the frequencies? Does it mean "low
>power" or a maximum of 2 watts?

1000. Bearcat 860 FS/FT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary B <GARBRE@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <37376cb7@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 119153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

New in box -used once
100 channel Turbo scanner
Box, manual, antenna, adapter
see it @ www.uniden.com
Their price is $142 for a REFURBISHED model
mine is new in the box for $85 + $5 for USPS 3-day priority

OR if you've got something in the way of Ham gear to trade, let me know
(I'd really like some 6m stuff)

Gary B
KB3CGQ

1001. Question on a PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Dernbach <stalker@lvcm.com>
Message-ID: <926402095.437.86@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119154

I have a Pro-2006 scanner with a OPTO456 Lite board installed, and all has been working GREAT until recently. When I turn the unit on sometimes it will work just fine (In normal & opto control), but sometimes it is just locked up from the getgo. NOW when I turn it on the display will stay BLANK with only the backlight working....when I access it from the opto board it works fine, just no longer a display when not using the opto. When the unit locked up before, a simple process of un-plugging the unit from power, and turning it on fixed the problem, but no longer.

ANY and ALL help would be greatly appreciated, as I use this radio regularly!

Thanks,

Scott

1002. CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl Dahlquist <karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com>
Message-ID: <7h8il6hkf1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119155

Anyone seen one on the highway yet? I read an article they are going to test them for possible service use when the Crown Vic production stops in the early 2000's.

BTW...top speed 147.

Karl Dahlquist
karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com

1003. Re: Motorola KVL Up Gades for T3011 & T3014 To DX Ver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tech 55 <ST1A55@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OHdvPa1m#GA.239@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 119156
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This an up-grade Kit from Motorola they are genuine Parts. Left over kits
that where not used only a few left

Eddie wrote in message <7h4krq$pg3$1@news.monmouth.com>...
>Hmmmm, cloning copyrighted EEPROMS's (and SELLING them too ?!?!),
>what a dumbass ! (say hello to that twit posting received MDT
>traffic on his website for us, and the Cheeks to !).....
>
>I suspect the Moto IPP division will be notifying the US Marshalls,
>USSS, and they're going to be busting your door down pretty soon...
>And for what ? A lousy $150 ? IDIOT !!
>
>Hmm, unless you're just selling a bunch of "genuine" Moto upgrade
>kits ? Well, too late now...your now a big red blip on Motorola's
>copyright protection/intellectual property protection radar....
>and at the very least, they're going to be looking into this to
>see if your legit.
>
>
>
>Tech 55 <ST1A55@email.msn.com> wrote in message
>news:uPsE2nem#GA.310@cpmsnbbsa05...
>> Motorola KVL Up Grades for T3011 & T3014 AX,BX or CX
>>
>> Send me your Motorola Key Variable Loader (KVL)
>> and I will upgrade it to DX Version to work on the latest radios
>> such as Astros and MTSX 2000.
>>
>> $150.00 Parts Labor And Shipping
>>
>>
>> For more Info and pictures visit: http://www.channel21.com/radio/tech55/
>>
>> E-mail At: ST1A55@msn.com
>> Thanks !!! Rich
>>
>>
>
>

1004. Re: Motorola KVL Up Gades for T3011 & T3014 To DX Ver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tech 55 <ST1A55@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#EH50b1m#GA.245@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 119157
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This an up-grade Kit from Motorola they are genuine Parts. Left over kits
that where not used only a few left

1005. Re: PL tones on5 nationwide police frequencies (800 MHz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIOVOICE@SeaTac.net
Message-ID: <7h6gs3tmp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119187
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7h5p39eru1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Ken Jones" <KJones@sprintmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> Is there a standard PL tone for the 5 800 MHz police intercoordination
> frequencies?

YES.

> I know that at least 2 agencies here use 156.7 Hz.
> Is this the same everywhere?

YES, 156.7 Hz. ON ALL FIVE, NATIONWIDE.

STEVE/N7JLF

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1006. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray <cougar@net2000.com.au>
Message-ID: <EkAZ2.321$B94.2319@nswpull.telstra.net>
Source record: 119188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Learning morse code is like having to learn to program in binary before you
can turn your computer on or learning to ride a horse before you can get
your driving license just so you can relate to horse power.

Bring back the dark ages too!

Ray
Jim <kh2d@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
news:7h5vi6$4es$1@brokaw.wa.com...
> Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
>
> >The best analogy would be having to learn Morse Code in order to use the
> >internet, as Morse Code has as much to do with knowing how to operate
> >and work the internet as it does with knowing how to operate and work a
> >Ham radio.
>
> Now that sounds like a plan, a Morse code test to get on the internet
> would keep some of you no-code peckerwoods out of the DX newsgroup
> and about a half dozen other ones this 'discussion' doesn't belong in.
>
> Is it REALLY necessary to post this crap to every ham newsgroup there
> is ? Some of us have already learned Morse code, we aren't looking for
> any good reasons not to....
>
> 73, Jim KH2D
>
>

1007. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <3736cfee.77500702@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Fri, 7 May 1999 08:21:11 -0500, "jpos" <jpos@mobilemark.com> wrote:

>I just finished giving my seven year old his code lesson. He's learning the
>code to earn a award in his Tiger Cub Scout group. He is a seven year old
>that came to me to learn the code, and has no interest in radio, I would
>think that anyone who is interested in radio should stop crying about it and
>donate the 15 minutes a night for 2 weeks, and learn it.

If only it were that simple. You and the otehr ProCoders can't accept
the fact it aint so. Haven't you read QST lately
>
>No one complains about having to learn how to use windows before they can
>surf the net....

You have to learn window before using the Net. Gee did not know that.
Indeed I know plenty of Net users that don't do windows. but then if
it were true that you did it is not likely that we would hear much
from them.

But My My the Federal governement requires knowledge of windows in
order to surf the net. I never knew that Thanks for telling me
>
>Jerry N9DKQ
>not a Brass Pounder.....

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

1008. Re: Death of Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3736cde8.3463364@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 119190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Christopher Cox" <cobox@netexp.net> wrote:

:
:Hey Joe,
:
:Written a good FFT PSK algorithm lately? No? Yes? How about all you other
:'old timers'? Why not? Too difficult? Hey, it copies when you cannot even
:make out the signal, and with very little bandwidth.

I built my own RTTY demodulator and used it with an M100 telex machine.
I can remember times when the signal disapeared into the noise and yet
the telex machine continued printing correctly. it also used very
little bandwidth.

:Food for thought.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

1009. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: konstans@one-eleven.net
Message-ID: <3736d0fa.77769205@nntp.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 119191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 9 May 1999 23:23:53 -0400, "Dean Craft" <w4ihk@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>True enough; however, it has everything to do with the es-prit de corps of
>ham radio. Knowing that one has gone through, and survived, the identical
>trials and tribulations of his or her counterparts, builds a unique bond of
>dedication and purpose. The instant gratification crowd of today has no
>appreciation for that bond.

That is saying that it is a hazing ritual. If more of you wanted to be
honest and call it that and defend it on that bais I'd have more
resopect, I'd alos be on HF becuase the FCC would acted even sooner to
end but..

It is also incorrect and inaccurate to say that the trails of all
people learning Code are indentical, as can be claerly seen by reading
the claims of the ProCoders on the ease of learning code
>
>Dean Craft -- W4IHK
>
>
>

Mark Morgan
kb9rqz.

Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
Unsolictied email will be published forwared
or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.

sending unsolitcied Email will be
deemed aceptance of these terms

1010. Re: Australian Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3736d53a.5338052@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 119192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Please set your newsreader to send plain text.

"Pirate" <pirate@primus.com.au> wrote:

:This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
:
:------=_NextPart_000_00AD_01BE9AEE.8A0DB280
:Content-Type: text/plain;
: charset="koi8-r"
:Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
:
:Do you have to use large text with bold on?

Text sent useing a properly configured news reader does not have
attributes. The problem is at your end.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

1011. My new Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jerr1919 <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3736D9C7.6716@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 119193

I just received my new R2 from Bandercom. I would appreciate if somebody would tell me in easy to understand terms how to search between 2 freq. I have not been able to do it, Icom doesn't tell you in a easy way. I feel VERY DUMB and STUPID.HELP HELP....Regards Jerr1919

1012. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: lf686@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990510093736.10362.00000395@ng61.aol.com>
Source record: 119194

>Has to do with Bill selling not one but
>5 interfaces and included the means to decode MDT. He all but declares
>himself guilty in his disclaimer on his WWW page.

But Glen, even if he was selling the interface bundled with MDT software, and he wasn't, what law was broken. Can anybody show me a WRITTEN law prohibiting intercepting MDT transmissions. There is a very specific law against intercepting cell phones and pagers but I have not seen any applied to MDT systems.

Seems it would be kind of hard to prosecute for violation of a law that doesn't exist. Of course our government may not be bright enough to realize that.

Larry

1013. Re: mdt monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7HCZ2.3035$97.2606@stones>
Source record: 119195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>
> In announcing the charges, U.S. Attorney for the Southern District
> of New York Mary Jo White said the incident should serve as a wake-up
> call for public, business and law enforcement agencies who use pagers.
> "No governmental agency or business is immune from this illegal
> monitoring," she said.
>
Do they mean in the same way that the NSA eavsdrop on over 1000 phone calls
at any one time in the UK illegally using the Echelon syste, and also monior
citizens phone calls in America using the same system so as to bypass US law
on domestic surviellance ?.

Full of shi** !

Keep monitoring them as they are ALWAYS doing it to you :-)

eavsdropper

1014. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <gPCZ2.48$tc.7268@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 119196

LF686 <lf686@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990510093736.10362.00000395@ng61.aol.com...
> >Has to do with Bill selling not one but
> >5 interfaces and included the means to decode MDT. He all but declares
> >himself guilty in his disclaimer on his WWW page.
>
> But Glen, even if he was selling the interface bundled with MDT software,
and
> he wasn't, what law was broken.

One of the news article I read said he did send the software on floppy with the data slicer which I believe is what bit Bill. As far as what laws were broken, I don't know exactly what angle they are using. Bill had a disclaimer on his WWW page, what was his concern? I do know that Bill Cheek is not the only one selling data slicers so the question also remains why was Cheek singled out? Could it be he was the only one bragging in public that his slicer could do it? Could it be Bill was easily led around (5 times) by the government and showed off his knowledge skills to the wrong group? We probably will never know.

> Can anybody show me a WRITTEN law prohibiting
> intercepting MDT transmissions. There is a very specific law against
> intercepting cell phones and pagers but I have not seen any applied to MDT
> systems.

It will be interesting to see what plays out from this whole thing.

> Seems it would be kind of hard to prosecute for violation of a law that
doesn't
> exist. Of course our government may not be bright enough to realize that.

The bad news is an old cliche. We the people are the government and I have no defense when you say that we the people are not bright enough.

1015. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <OYCZ2.60$tc.8179@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 119197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> ...
>
> up to this point no one has given
> the real reason...i thought i'd
> just do what I did and make my
> post get some "factual reaction"
> as to what the issues are.

Sorry, I took it as typical right wing Republican ramblings. How you could expect cries of foul toward Clinton to "get some "factual reaction" as to what the issues are" is beyond me. I doubt Clinton knows Cheek or what MDT is.

> Now I know. Thank you.

I don't know what you now know but you are welcome.

> Now, was what Bill Did illegal?
> before they made the law
> or afterwrds...?

Don't know. Wish we could have Cheek tell us. He had the disclaimer on his WWW page. Wonder if he sent the discalimer with the software he supplied the SS agents.

> and if so, how does it affect
> the security of the usa or citizens
> of the usa?

We all are going to die some day.

> what is the issue about when he gets
> arrested...especially by an Eastern
> Court of the Federal Body

He was an indirect party to another alledged crime, the fool in NJ posting MDT communications on the WWW. That is what brought Cheek to the attention of the East Coast boys.

> you see, you never reported what was
> the issue from my first request.

I am not a reporter Bill. Did you not read the different media communications about Cheek? maybe you should start there. Posting religious right Republican plank speeches won't cause anyone to report about Cheek.

1016. Re: Going to buy a handheld scanner, suggestions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11a0a885fe2ae960989789@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119198

You can get a link to the Bandercom site at www.strongsignals.net under the "dealers" heading. Mika is their technician and does some wonderful mods on this model, which I feel is the best overall handheld out there.

1017. Re: Noisy pager tower! Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3739fb2e.4859923@news.erols.com>
Source record: 119199

On 8 May 1999 23:10:42 GMT, gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:

>I live close to a pager/cellular repeater tower, and the splash and
>noise/images from this thing is abysmal and annoying. When I first got into
>the scanning hobby a few years ago, it was not so bad, adn I bought some
>filters which helped greatly, for awhile. But it seems lately like it's getting
>louder, longer, and more powerful. Just the last three or four months or so.
>One of my neighbors is also a scanner and shortwave enthusiast, and has noticed
>that he's now getting noise on his HF gear and TV. I just started noticing it
>on my TV as well. He said that one of the guys who used to maintain that tower
>told him (sounds like high school now, doesn't it?) that they were always
>running too much power, and they were going to be upping even more... As I said
>before, it has not always been this way in our neighborhood, just lately.
>Whom do I call to ask about looking into this tower, and if they are running
>too much power, etc.? Of course, I am not looking for actual local numbers
>from a worldwide newsgroup (!), but where can I start? Local utilies? FCC?
>Sometimes even the computer gets the tell-tale bleeps'blurps and so on from
>pager intermod. And any smaller, less-well-shielded AM/FM radios in the house
>are useless at peak cellphone/pager usage hours, which is most of the day.
>Any direction to lead me would be greatly appreciated!

Here are two things to consider:

(1) Get an attenuator and see if that helps. For every dB of
attenuation you insert, you should get twice the reduction in
InterModulation Distortion (IMD) products.

(2) Try using a directional antenna.

The first item will work if the problem is simply on of IMD. Scanners
are designed with frequency coverage (broadbandedness) and sensitivity
first. Dynamic range (immunity to IMD) is usually a secondary concern.

If the attenuator doesn't provide a two for one improvement, then the
noise may be coming from the outside. That's when you should call
your local FCC field office. However, I'll warn you, the first thing
the FCC checks is the complaining person's installation. It's a well
known fact among investigators that the majority of interference
complaints from the public are problems with the receiver, not the
transmitter.

A second possibility is that some hardware is corroding somewhere the
tower or a radio receiver somewhere is rectifying the paging signals.
In this case, the IMD is a real, radiated signal --not a product in
your radio. Finding something like that can be a serious technical
headache. IF the problem is on the tower itself you may be able to

get the site manager to correct it. In many cases, however, the problem is often a neighbor with an antenna amplifier which is distorting and re-radiating the signals. If that's the case, you'll have a terrible time finding the culprit. Many if not most of these cases go unresolved because damned few people have the diplomacy, technical ability, and authority to track these cases down.

I wish I could be more upbeat about all this, but frankly, you're not going to get much help from the FCC unless radio and TV stations are really getting stepped on. And the complaint would have to come from virtually everyone living there to get their attention.

Good Luck (you're going to need it).

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1018. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike not Mic <w5old@sonetcom.com>
Message-ID: <01be9a38$29e0d880$360231d1@default>
Source record: 119200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I've been looking for some CW wav. files in this string of re.re.re.re so I
can upgrade. I guess talk is cheap or where the neck are my Elmers.
--
Howdy! I'm "Mike not Mic"!
You are in contact with Tornado Alley
I'm a Storm Spotter here in Lawton Oklahoma.
My call is W5OLD and all my radios smell like smoke!
Send all traffic to w5old@sonetcom.com

Phil Wheeler <w7ox@mindspring.com> wrote in article
<37368CC0.C4FF41D6@mindspring.com>...
: But Don did say he was operating mobile CW; best *not* write it down,
: methinks!
:
: I'm a bit in between; write down name, qth, rst, etc. -- but usually
: head-copy the rest.
:
: Phil
:
: Monty Northrup wrote:
: >
: > On Fri, 07 May 1999 12:29:02 -0700, Don W6JL <W6JL@ixpres.com> wrote:
: > > I forgot one other important point in my post. DON'T WRITE STUFF
: > >DOWN. Copy it in your head; that is how you will be using it
: > >for ham radio ragchewing. Writing it down is an (unnecessary and
: > >limiting) habit that you don't want to get into if you can
: > >avoid it. I sometimes run into ops on the air who ask me
: > >(as I am working mobile CW) "how do you write it down?". Egad.
: >
: > Hi Don!
: >
: > Hmmm... I've heard this admonishment before, and I really don't
: > understand it. I've been operating almost exclusively CW for over 30
: > years, and I've always written it down. It feels natural to me. It
: > goes in my ears, it comes out my hand. It's not particularly legible
: > above 30 wpm, mind you, but I can refer back to my notes in a ragchew
: > and remind myself of what was said. (I can't recall a 10 minute
: > monologue, but I can if it's written in front of me). Later on (2 or
: > 3 qsos late), I can jot down a note or two in the log if I want. My
: > wife can look over my shoulder if she wants. When visitors come over,
: > they can see that the process actually works... morse in, words out.
: > Above 35 wpm or so, I'll put the pencil down and copy entirely in my
: > head, but if somebody throws a long unfamiliar and non-phonetic word

: > out there at 45 wpm, I'll probably miss it, and I've found that's
: > usually the case for people that "only copy in their head", even at
: > slower speeds. And speaking of slower speeds, people that copy only
: > in their heads have difficulty with copying 10 wpm qsos... I think you
: > forget what was sent at the beginning of the word at that speed... hi.
: >
: > Besides the practicalities, I think it's easier and faster to learn
: > code while writing it down. As you decipher a letter aurally, you
: > write it down, see it with your eyes, and it connects you with the
: > part of your brain that already knows how to read and write.
: >
: > Hey, different strokes for different folks, but I think it's a lot
: > easier and more practical to learn code while writing it down, and
: > make the transition to head-only copy later on if you need or want to.
: > Am I the only one in hamdom that thinks so?
: >
: > 73,
: > monty N5FC
:

1019. AOR 8200 INTERFACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: PaPaS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7h74rb$rbp@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 119201

Hello there all
I just get the AOR-8200 (And i love it !!!)and i am looking to by a computer interface
for this baby,but i don't know what is the best interface for this very

fine radio.
Any of you AOR-8200 user's have and if so what kind is it ????
Thank you very much for any feedback
I relay need some expert's advice for this one !!
thanks again
PaPaS

1020. FS r/s pro-2004 as is:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ddubsky@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990510140036.10230.00001972@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 119202

hi name hr is denny.we live in oxnard,ca. i have a r/s pro-2004 for sale; AS IS;
it only works on some freqs. key pad works. but when you enter some freqs. some receive ok and some don't come up the same? it my a cold sod. jionts? i donot have the knowledge to fix it! my lossyour gain. i will consider any offersor trades. would like to get $100.00 as is and i WILL ship to you, unless you live in so ca. give me a call 805 488 7622 or e-mail me ddubsky@aol.com

thanks and good scanning
denny.....

1021. Regency M 400 Battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: khe1049@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990510144631.21077.00001949@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 119203

I,m looking for a place to obtain a memory battery for an old Regency m 400 or information on something that will replace it.

1022. ? on AR3000A computer based scanning programs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Your Name <JGPOUCHAK@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7h79ngqhk1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119204

Greetings,

I am just getting back into using my AOR AR3000A scanner. The last version of ScanCat software I had was for a DOS based computer and I would appreciate input as to the best Win 95/98 current software that will I/F to this scanner nowadays. Note the computer will probably be the primary I/F use to the radio.

Thanks In Advance!

johngpouchak@worldnet.att.net

1023. Lentini Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: cliffwhoX@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <443D25B8DD63FBA8.DABF1F66ABC17018.137B5AA1BEF42456@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119205

Are these people reliable? Do they deliver quickly?

remove X to reply

1024. Check it out!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charger <deanntx@tyler.net>
Message-ID: <373747D2.BDAD1484@tyler.net>
Source record: 119206

Come by and check out the message board and see if there is something
that
you need or add what you have for sale. It is one of the best for-sale
message
boards on the internet.

There is tons of stuff already in there that may fit your fancy.

Come on by and check it out at.

CB City SwapShop Message Board

CB City
12806 FM 14
Tyler, TX 75706
903-526-7838

We are located at Exit 562 on I-20 in Texas, between Shreveport
Louisiana &
Dallas Tx.

Dean

1025. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1blueguitar@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7h7drggnt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119207

In article <7gtk1e$mua@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>,
myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>
>
> What?
>
> yes....
>
> he talked to me for about an hour or so.
>
> wants info about things related to scanning...

(snipped to conserve bandwidth)

Considering this guy writes parody I don't see how an article wrote by
him could be beneficial to the scanning hobby...

Search Results
1 listings match your query.

1. Name: David Jacobson
E-Mail: davidj@mocknews.com
Organization: parody news network

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1026. Re: Lentini Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <37372E1E.1F4E54B7@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 119208

Cliff-remove X to reply wrote:
>
> Are these people reliable? Do they deliver quickly?
>
> ***remove X to reply***

I personally have never actually purchased anything from Lentini, but when I called they were very helpful. Several local hams I am personally friends with have purchased from Lentini and were very satisfied.

Piero - N3FVG

1027. Source wanted for DVR 2-2 Power Connector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: lreynolds@cyberportal.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11a0f8a9acc173c9989680@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 119209

I've just acquired a pair of DVR 2-2s.

One lacks the power cord and connector. Kantronics
can't help.

Can anyone suggest a source of supply?

Thanks,

Lee.

1028. Source of DVR 2-2 Power Connectors needed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: lreynolds@cyberportal.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11a0f8cbb559b7b7989681@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 119210

I've just acquired a pair of DVR 2-2s.

One lacks the power cord and connector. Kantronics
can't help.

Can anyone suggest a source of supply?

Thanks,

Lee.

1029. Source of DVR 2-2 Power Connectors needed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: lreynolds@cyberportal.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11a0f8e91c18004b989682@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 119211

I've just acquired a pair of DVR 2-2s.

One lacks the power cord and connector. Kantronics
can't help.

Can anyone suggest a source of supply?

Thanks,

Lee.

1030. FS: 2-VHF GP-300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jng2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990510151955.25251.00000352@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 119212

I have for sale 2 VHF GP-300's. They are 8 channel radios w/scan. I have 2 batteries with each. Also buyer will get choice of charger fast or slow. Also have speaker mike for each. They are a 9 out of 10

$275 each including accessories
Will entertain offers

Jeff
JNG2000@AOL.COM

1031. FS: 2-VHF GP-300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jng2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990510152009.25251.00000355@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 119213

I have for sale 2 VHF GP-300's. They are 8 channel radios w/scan. I have 2 batteries with each. Also buyer will get choice of charger fast or slow. Also have speaker mike for each. They are a 9 out of 10

$275 each including accessories
Will entertain offers

Jeff
JNG2000@AOL.COM

1032. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Fearon <john@peach.negia.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.SOL.3.95.990510153605.8784A-100000@peach.negia.net>
Source record: 119214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Well, I would like to let you know that I have a handycap. I never got any free rides from anyone. I got my license in the 1950's when people had to draw diagrams and know the answers to the questions and send and recieve code. I couldn't draw diagrams, so I had to explain what they looked like. Noone gave me anything and that is the way I prefere it. That way, I don't have to pay anything back later. John Fearon w4wkp, Loganville, Ga.

On Mon, 3 May 1999, BD wrote:

1033. FS: UNIDEN MR-8100 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jng2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990510153848.25251.00000376@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 119215

I have for sale 1 Uniden MR-8100 scanner. It is a scanner that is computer programable. It has 10 banks, 100 channel, full cellular. Includes all cables, external speaker, and mounting brackets. Also will include copys of the software, includes instruction manual. E-mail me if you have any questions.

$275 each including accessories
Will entertain offers

Jeff
JNG2000@AOL.COM

1034. FS: UNIDEN MR-8100 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jng2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990510153847.25251.00000375@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 119216

I have for sale 1 Uniden MR-8100 scanner. It is a scanner that is computer programable. It has 10 banks, 100 channel, full cellular. Includes all cables, external speaker, and mounting brackets. Also will include copys of the software, includes instruction manual. E-mail me if you have any questions.

$275 each including accessories
Will entertain offers

Jeff
JNG2000@AOL.COM

1035. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Moss <enzo@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37373E84.1776@ibm.net>
Source record: 119217

Glenn wrote:
> One of the news article I read said he did send the software on floppy with
> the data slicer which I believe is what bit Bill. As far as what laws were
> broken, I don't know exactly what angle they are using. Bill had a
> disclaimer on his WWW page, what was his concern? I do know that Bill Cheek
> is not the only one selling data slicers so the question also remains why
> was Cheek singled out? Could it be he was the only one bragging in public
> that his slicer could do it? Could it be Bill was easily led around (5
> times) by the government and showed off his knowledge skills to the wrong
> group? We probably will never know.

Hi Glenn,

I can't add anything to the above story. But I do know for instance
there are many companies that sell illegal Cable and Satellite TV
descramblers - but they'll never comment on theft-of-services and
illegal use of their devices to potential customers. In fact if you tell
them, "Yeah, I wanna watch the Tyson fight for nuthin', man", they'll
probably tell you to get lost!

1036. Re: Digital movement repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <5iHZ2.881$U02.84@news3.atl>
Source record: 119218
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey, I may try that one day... ;-)

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

a@b.net wrote in message <3736608e.4725423@news.istep.com>...
>I have a laser focused on the right edge of the screen. When text appears
>the reflected beam is interrupted which rings a bell and plays a wave file,
>"Hey, hit return, dummy". Doesn't worl all of the time though.
>

1037. Re: mir station?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <926368837.361.45@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119219

In article <CzaY2.6389$l71.201539@nnrp3.clara.net>, "Millennium" <tct@clara.net> wrote:
>
>Hi,
>Im in wiltshire/south west UK, using handheld Yupi mvt-9000 with 20-006
>telescopic/standard duckie
>
>When is the best time to listen for Mir?
>What freq/band will the be on?
>
>Thanks
>tct@clara.net

You need to get a sat tracking program and the orbital data.

Here's where:

Tracking software:
http://www.dransom.com/

Orbital data:
http://celestrak.com/NORAD/elements/index.html

Or go here on the web for live Mir track:
http://liftoff.msfc.nasa.gov/temp/mir_loc.html

Tom

>
>
>
>
>
>

Remove the "P" from the below address (spam blocker)

Tom Randall Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10
tprandall@idsi.net Member: AAVSO Solar Division

My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html

1038. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <2E2301B7DDECD2E2.997B89E89AC8D5DC.E72A2224D0EFC60C@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119220

Have you noticed the very odd coincidence that the exact day Bill Cheek
was arrested, "MDT Monitor" software web page was removed with
no comment by the author or anything?
He just dissapeared.
Hmmmm!

1039. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Arnie Macy <kt4st@csam.net>
Message-ID: <6SHZ2.7999$Fw3.2441565@news1.mia>
Source record: 119221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Michael Young wrote in message <926314830.032.100@news.remarQ.com>...

" ... I thought it odd that I can easily sidestroke a half hour without rest, but come close to full exhaustion treading water for ten minutes. I also didn't understand the breast stroke until I tried it in salt water. It's as easy as they say it is, if you carry enough body fat. As I said, a 2mm shorty supplies what nature gave the rest of us.

For the group's info:

What you said makes perfect sense. The breast and sidestoke are "survival" swimming strokes. The stroke itself contains at least a fifty percent rest at the glide. This is what enables the swimmer to travel very long distances without tiring. The idea with these strokes is to generate as much glide as possible. Treading, OTOH, is a one hundred percent work stroke. I'll take the former over the later any day. (:-]

Regards,

Arnie -
KT4ST

"What Hath God Wrought?"

1040. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <EB8C549E6B148095.9E4D36B40657F4D4.30615AE48929BE9D@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119222

Watch out for reporters.
They will come over to your house, pet your dog, bounce your children
on their knee and eat your food but then turn on you and report exactly the opposite
of what you wanted or even said.
Reporters give the public what they want to hear, not the truth.

1041. Sorry 'bout that! (DVR 2-2 messages)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: lreynolds@cyberportal.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11a115c79d3d2b0a989688@news.giganews.com>
Source record: 119223

Newsmailer wsn't picking the groups correctly! (Tho' I specified 'em)

I've issued cancels on the messages.

Lee

1042. Re: Lentini Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Stroud <stroudt@netexas.net>
Message-ID: <8D397AC1AC808806.344CF1F295A9416B.9106318BC8D56E18@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119224

I have personally purchased several radios and Optoelectronics equipment
from Lentini.

Everything was perfect.

--
Tim Stroud
District Technology Coordinator
Leonard ISD
903.587.2318

Cliff-remove X to reply <cliffwhoX@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:443D25B8DD63FBA8.DABF1F66ABC17018.137B5AA1BEF42456@library-proxy.airnew
s.net...
> Are these people reliable? Do they deliver quickly?
>
> ***remove X to reply***

1043. Re: airline books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <37375593.9CA3CD09@postnet.com>
Source record: 119225

Charlie Brookhart wrote:

> Are there any airline books that show pictures, type of planes, and list
> details of all the planes? I've heard of one book that has this
> information, but all I know is that the title starts with Aircraft.

Try <<The International Directory Of Civil Aircraft>> by Gerald
Frawley. The 1999-2000 edition is just out so don't get an older one. It is
published every 2 years - alternates with a similar one on Military Aircraft
- and is available at Borders and likely via Amazon as well.

--
Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - 38ï¿½34'30"N, 90ï¿½25'40"W
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone
ICQ: UIN# 5313018

1044. Re: Looking for 155.550/155.490/155.445MHz Xtals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jammin5107@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7h7npgobs1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Try http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1045. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990510175943.11198.00000192@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 119227

You know, guys, it's no fun to sit hear everyday and hear your profession trashed constantly. Yes, I'm a reporter. And I'm also a scanner user and a shortwave listener.
I'd imagine there are some plumbers here. And I'd guess that a few of you regularly pad your bills and overcharge customers. But not all of you do (I hope).
And I'm sure that not all the auto mechanics that own scanners replace parts that their customers' cars really don't need. But I suppose a few do.
And I bet that not even all lawyers are crooked (although that may be hard to prove).
The point is, there are good journalists and bad reporters, just like there is good and bad in every profession.
The big problem is that reporters are asked to be instant experts on different subjects every day. There's no way that a mistake won't slip in now and then.
Yes, I admit. Some reporters tailor their stories to fit a preconceived idea. But those guys never really make it, 'cause sooner or later they'll overlook a really good angle just 'cause they were too focused on how they thought the story should go.
Remember, Watergate started as a story on a third rate burglary. It would have been real easy to write up the burglarly arrests and go home. Only by checking into the suspects' backgrounds and discovering a link to the CIA did the whole thing unravel.
I don't know what kind of story this guy will write. But I do know that if he screws it up it won't be because it wasn't given the right kind of information.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1046. Re: airline books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Palmer <palmer@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <37375479.2A58F88F@pobox.com>
Source record: 119228

If you find one particular plane you're curious about, write down the registration (N-number) near the tail. Then log onto www.landings.com, go to "Search," and type it in.

You'll get the make, model and version (i.e., 737-200), engine type, and who owns it.

Dave

1047. Re: How do you REMEMBER all these Scanner Commands?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens01@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <37375744.1408375@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 119229
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Who said they remembered all the 'commands'?I make cards with the most used commands and tape or otherwise keep them with the different radios.I have an FT-2400 (2 mtr Amateur Transceiver)which I bought in 93 and still have to 'refresh' my memory as to some of the less used commands.Have fun!!!

On Fri, 07 May 1999 20:12:57 GMT, no.spam@for.me (Dave Smith) wrote:

>I'm just curious how you guys REMEMBER all these scanner commands?
>
>I own several different brands and depending upon my destination, I
>might pick up the Icom, Yupi, Welz, Trident, Uniden or Pro26. ALL OF
>WHICH HAVE DIFFERENT COMMAND SETS!
>
>The commands are very consistent amongst brand names (all Uniden and
>RS work alike), but once I get accustomed to the Uniden, I forget how
>to search with my Welz, or Trident. ARGH!
>
>Here's an example:
>
>Uniden search: manual, freq#, LIMIT, freq#, LIMIT, search
>Trident search: func/S.Prog, bank, freq#, freq#, mode, step
>
>Icom R2 and my Welz are EVEN WORSE since they have limited keys to
>work with. So my question is: How can you possibly remember all this
>stuff?
>
>
>
>... "Taping scanner manuals to my forehead"

1048. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Ryding <zionluth@southwind.net>
Message-ID: <37375A1A.B46AA4@southwind.net>
Source record: 119230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

My understanding of why we still have morse code as a requirement is simply because of international agreements. In order to work DX, the ITU still requires a code proficiency. What speed varies with the country issuing the license, so the FCC could easily reduce the speed, but there would still be the minimum requirement.

I consider myself an "appliance operator" and haven't used code for a long time. I am one of those that would like to see it dropped as well. We are not preserving a tradition, trying to keep anyone out, or making ourselves an exclusive group. Amateur radio should advance the radio art, have a trained pool of operators for emergencies, and improve international relations. These can be done without CW, but until the ITU changes its policy, the FCC has no choice.

Rev. John Ryding
WW0H

1049. Re: battery voltage for rs scanner!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8mli@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990510182134.09877.00000354@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 119231

YES, just make sure of the polarity.

1050. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h7n2k$f9a@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119232

In <19990510093736.10362.00000395@ng61.aol.com> lf686@aol.com (LF686) writes:
>
>>Has to do with Bill selling not one but
>>5 interfaces and included the means to decode MDT. He all but declares
>>himself guilty in his disclaimer on his WWW page.
>

that brings up why he was arrested.

who lodged the complaint? when a complaint
is lodged and filed and then served,
is not it then revealed what law was
broken?

if no law exists which Bill allegedgly broke
then what is he being hassled with
to make him out to be guilty?

if no law exists then whoever complained
to the authorities convinced them that
some law had been broken.

I would think that Bill would e-mail one
or two of us he trusts and allow us to know
what the charges were exactly.

And an eastern Fed court beginning
the process is harassment too. Why did they
not file the brief in San Diego Federal Court?

who is pulling who's strings on this
one?

bill conduit
etc.

1051. Re: My new Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimtown54@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990510184049.24392.00000279@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 119233

jerr, I to also own an R2. I find it very difficult to understand the owners manual. Try this site www.strongsignals.net The go to his rewviews page and look up his review on the R2. You'll find he does a better job in explaining how to use the R2, than Icom does. Hope this hepls you out. Jim Isakson

1052. Re: Lentini Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990510184513.12501.00000223@ng17.aol.com>
Source record: 119234

>
>Are these people reliable? Do they deliver quickly?
>

Yes, I was very satisfied with them.

1053. Re: Lentini Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11a1115b4f884e6998978b@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119235

Delivery is great, just don't ask a lot of questions as typically "they don't know".

1054. Re: does anyone know yrac@aol.com ? please call KF4MMQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: nyceguy@NOSPAMjps.net
Message-ID: <3737689c.110988232@news.jps.net>
Source record: 119236

On 10 May 1999 03:20:22 GMT, boyle407@aol.com (Boyle 407) wrote:

>desperately trying to locaite YRAC@aol.com ? please help me find him.
>
>kf4mmq@aol.com (Jim Boyle, Garner NC)

I see you have AOL also.,... Did you check his member profile? Have
you tried sending mail to him.. IF it bounces within AOL domain, it
may be a closed account.

Kevin

1055. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil <not@home.com>
Message-ID: <LMkZ2.9037$116.14551@news2.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 119261
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Is that dBa or dBd ? :-)

If you want to concentrate on signals from a narrow field of direction say
10degrees or less then it probably would be useful, otherwise you had better
have a good rotator and tolerant neighbours - Oh and a strong roof otherwise
you might suffer from the helicopter effect ;-P

Phil

PAUL D _ JULES GREVY wrote in message <3734C145.3576@ameritech.net>...
>David S. Maddison wrote:
>>
>> I was thinking of getting a Grove Scanner Beam (importing from USA). Are
>> there any Australian users of this product? It would help in locking on
to
>> various incoming aircraft from far away, for example. The antenna offers
>> up to 9dB gain.
>>
>> David Maddison
>Well as with any beam antenna, it will increase the signals coming into
>your scanner into which direction the beam is pointed but remember this
>one too. Anything that increases your gain on a desired frequency will
>also increase your chance of intermodulation/interference too. if you
>live in a densely populated area; you might want to get some notch
>filters designed to stop the intermod from coming into your scanner.
>Disclaimer: I am not an electronic technician. I only this this 'casue
>I love to read those radio scanning magazines.
>--
>I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

1056. Mobile Mount Idea-Pro-90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kt4ht@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990509212258.01969.00001363@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 119262

For those who want to use a Pro-90 mobile, try this:

Rubber Queen cell Phone Holder (No. 5144), when adjusted a bit deeper than off the shelf, fits the Pro-90 fits like a glove. I got mine at Walgreens Drugs.

I cut the holder about 3 inches down on the back, then mounted it using adhesive foam mounting squares to a pedestal mount. Looks professional and works great.

Mike

1057. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h5dqe$stp@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In <926299016.154.42@news.remarQ.com> "Michael Young" <nobody@all.org>
writes:

>
>Mark,
>
>I don't float so well, but managed to pass my scuba tests. OW
>certification requires a 10 minute survival float. I wonder if you
know what it's
>like to be at the limit of physical endurance, with the pool edge --
and
>safety -- far, far out of reach. At the end of the ten minutes, I was
>exhausted to where I needed help getting out of the pool.

I don't know if you should have been passed.
I've asked people to leave swimming classes because
they could not float at all. Their bodies just
sunk. Upon showing them how to do a basic float with
sculling, they went under every time. on back and on
stomach and on side.

If they don't pass the float test they cannot go on.
The extreme non-bouyancy of their bodies impossible
to overcome in the water. Like dead weights.

I remember in Scuba classes one woman who could not
stay afloat...just sunk every time
she was told the rules state she has to FLOAT. or
seek another sport to learn.

or move to a salt-water environment to help.

maybe.

hard decisions, but if no able to float, then
it's hard to teach. One guy over in rec.
sport.swimming says that he cannot float and
learned how to swim. Can be done. But he
also gets tired out more.

you are pulling dead weight...that's why.

your weight is working against you.

If i was asked to be your Scuba partner, I'd
defer. I'd be afraid of your problem underneath

overcoming you and taking me down with you when you see me and grab me from behind and will not let go...i'd get out of it, but at what cost. to me or you.

i'd think that swimming would be your non-participating sport in more than 3 feet of water. Or high salt content.

bill conduit
etc.

1058. Re: HELP!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: wookie@wookies.net
Message-ID: <373740af.5212188@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119264

Oh you so very smart people are going to feel like heels!
1. As for the name being messed up, if me and my wife
don't up date our listing, than stands to reason that she hasn't
fixed her misspelled name either duh
2. As for the tinker commander, I work for Awacs not the tinker
commander which is ACC command under the LG in the 552 CRS my duty
phone is (405) 734-2322 My title is Staff Sargent Walkowicz in the
Com/Nav/Ew shop
I don't know my commanders phone no. right now but here is the base
operator (405) 732-7321
Ask for the component repair squadron commander no.
But don't bother calling mon it's a down day we have it off K nuff
said
3. As for time I have been working my ass off trying to get anything
I can find
in the rubble and move it across town into storage. I work
anywhere from 8am to 11pm non stop
I am very sore and tired but would still like to scan when I can to
help relieve the stress of 8 to 11 EVERY DAY after the tornado (that's
a week tomorrow)
4. As for god blessing me HE DID!! My wife,3yr and me came out of it
with no injuries
5. As for a rat bastard send me your phone no. and I'll speak to you
privately
but it will be collect seeing I don't have the money (the reason in
the first place)
6. Call the postal office I have my po box at and ask who owns it
with a real address of 3373 Del Aire place
Del City OK 73115
Do what u must, BUT I'm for real
come on down ,I show u first hand what 311mph winds can do and even
let you meet my commander if he is not busy!!!!
If this isn't good enough I'll scan our tickets in and post them too
May god STILL bless you
wookie

this is my friends computer who's house i'm staying at for now

On Sun, 9 May 1999 11:58:55 -0400, "Who" <not@nowere.com> wrote:

>strange to loose the radio's but not the computers. umm.....
>wookie wrote in message <373a3f2c.2247386@news.earthlink.net>...
>>I'm a victim of the Oklahoma tornado disaster
>>I used to live in Del City, if you've seen the news its flat
>>I lost everything -house-cars- and all my ham/scanner gear

>>My call sign is N2WZT my wife's is KC7GHZ
>>Our listings are not correct because we move so much with the
>>Airforce
>>If you have any ham/scanner gear you don't use or need
>>I sure could use some
>>The only address I have is a PO box if you want to ship anything
>>Mark J. Walkowicz
>>PO Box 45725
>>Tinker Air Force Base O.K. 73145
>>God Bless and THANK YOU
>>Wookie1@earthlink.net
>>
>>
>
>

1059. does anyone know yrac@aol.com ? please call KF4MMQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: boyle407@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990509232022.28643.00001484@ng33.aol.com>
Source record: 119265

desperately trying to locaite YRAC@aol.com ? please help me find him.

kf4mmq@aol.com (Jim Boyle, Garner NC)

1060. Unblocked Bearcat 200XLT package - $190

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990509233724.09677.00001428@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 119266

Complete in the box with leather case and rechargeable pack. 1st $190 will take it!

1061. Re: Australian Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pirate <pirate@primus.com.au>
Message-ID: <926309149.510462@diddley.primus.com.au>
Source record: 119267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Do you have to use large text with bold on?

LESIUREsuitLARRY <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:3735A931.4560@ameritech.net...
> AdrianM wrote:
> >
> > Hey guys, could someone giove me a hand and direct me as to where i may be
> > able to find frequencies for the Sydney area in New South Wales Australia
> > (you know, the Olympic City??). i'm specifically looking for WebSites....
> > thanks a lot, much appreciated
> > Adrian
> Yo Adrian, you can probably start off with trying to post in
> aus.radio.scanner They might be able to help you there. Just a
> suggestion
> --
> I AM A HUNK OF BURNING LOVE. OH BABY

1062. FT PRO 2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990510003546.07885.00000070@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 119268

Looking for a pro 39 or 43 .... to trade for my new Pro 2050 perfect shape just dec that I dont want a base i need a handheld...

remove nospam to email

1063. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dogbert@mindless.com
Message-ID: <373661ad.18190002@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 18:22:42 -0400, "The Conqueror"
<joetheripper@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing requirements
>if the military doesn't use it anymore and telegraphs are not that common. I
>think because they stopped it for the marines, there's no point in using it
>in ham radio either. And if you want to say it is for security, you are not
>allowed to use codes when intended to obscure meaning.
>
>-Dan K3DRQ
>
>k3drq@qsl.net
>
>

Now, LOOK. Haven't you watched the movie Independence Day?
It was Morse Code that saved the world! :-)

>
>

1064. Lee County, TX (800 MHz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Jones <KJones@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <7h5p5gevq1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119270
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know the system ID for the 800 MHz system in Lee county tx?

--
Ken Jones
KJones@sprintmail.com

1065. Re: Digital movement repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: a@b.net
Message-ID: <3736608e.4725423@news.istep.com>
Source record: 119271
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 9 May 1999 20:35:38 -0400, "Asmodean" <asmo@third.age> wrote:

>Ah, the wonders of word wrap....actually, I catch myself typing looong
>paragraphs too....once I get into a train of thought, I seldom remember to
>stop and hit return.
>
>--
>
>Asmo
>KF4YTR
>Charter Member of NO MA'AM
>
>
>a@b.net wrote in message <37338cff.32427328@news.istep.com>...
>
>>How does one get a degree in anything without knowing where the
>>carriage return key is?
>
>I have a laser focused on the right edge of the screen. When text appears the reflected beam is interrupted which rings a bell and plays a wave file, "Hey, hit return, dummy". Doesn't worl all of the time though.

1066. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <926314830.032.100@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Your students deserve better than you showed them. I thought it odd that I can easily sidestroke a half hour without rest, but come close to full exhaustion treading water for ten minutes. I also didn't understand the breast stroke until I tried it in salt water. It's as easy as they say it is, if you carry enough body fat. As I said, a 2mm shorty supplies what nature gave the rest of us.

I think a swimming instructor -- you are the instructor, right? -- who won't teach that much perhaps shouldn't be teaching. Which agency issued your credentials?

I passed the "float" test by treading water for the full period; that's not fun for an old fart. I also dive recreationally in the tropics only, wearing at least a shorty. I've since learned that half-full lungs are enough for neutral buoyancy in salt water; the shorty is a safety measure. Near home, for training dives, 3mm full suits are required even in the hottest part of summer. As I said, Dingleberry, I am 6 lbs negative in fresh water. A 3mm full suit makes me 12 lbs too positive.

Don't worry about being my partner. You pack far more baggage than I. And, yes, I passed the test legitimately, without special consideration.

Michael.

----- Original Message -----
From: BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,
rec.radio.scanner
Sent: Sunday, May 09, 1999 8:46 PM
Subject: Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing
about CW.)

> In <926299016.154.42@news.remarQ.com> "Michael Young" <nobody@all.org>
> writes:
>
> >
> >Mark,
> >
> >I don't float so well, but managed to pass my scuba tests. OW
> >certification requires a 10 minute survival float. I wonder if you
> know what it's
> >like to be at the limit of physical endurance, with the pool edge --
> and
> >safety -- far, far out of reach. At the end of the ten minutes, I was

> >exhausted to where I needed help getting out of the pool.
>
> I don't know if you should have been passed.
> I've asked people to leave swimming classes because
> they could not float at all. Their bodies just
> sunk. Upon showing them how to do a basic float with
> sculling, they went under every time. on back and on
> stomach and on side.
>
> If they don't pass the float test they cannot go on.
> The extreme non-bouyancy of their bodies impossible
> to overcome in the water. Like dead weights.
>
> I remember in Scuba classes one woman who could not
> stay afloat...just sunk every time
> she was told the rules state she has to FLOAT. or
> seek another sport to learn.
>
> or move to a salt-water environment to help.
>
> maybe.
>
> hard decisions, but if no able to float, then
> it's hard to teach. One guy over in rec.
> sport.swimming says that he cannot float and
> learned how to swim. Can be done. But he
> also gets tired out more.
>
> you are pulling dead weight...that's why.
>
> your weight is working against you.
>
> If i was asked to be your Scuba partner, I'd
> defer. I'd be afraid of your problem underneath
> overcoming you and taking me down with you when
> you see me and grab me from behind and will not
> let go...i'd get out of it, but at what cost.
> to me or you.
>
> i'd think that swimming would be your non-
> participating sport in more than 3 feet of
> water. Or high salt content.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

1067. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <926314894.160.99@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I think you're making a strong argument for maintaining the status quo.

Michael.

Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote in message news:37363938.123C@sir.com...
> Rich Mulvey wrote:
> >
> > On Fri, 7 May 1999 08:21:11 -0500, jpos <jpos@mobilemark.com> wrote:
> > >No one complains about having to learn how to use windows before
they can
> > >surf the net....
> > >
> >
> > Considering that I was "surfing the net" before it was even
> > *possible* with MS-Windows, you might want to choose a different
> > analogy. Sigh.
>
> The best analogy would be having to learn Morse Code in order to use
the
> internet, as Morse Code has as much to do with knowing how to operate
> and work the internet as it does with knowing how to operate and work
a
> Ham radio.

1068. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h5te0$5jj@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

In <yMtZ2.208$Rf6.52440@news.uswest.net> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
writes:
>
>
>Sorry Bill, didn't know this was the religious.right.republican
newsgroup. I
>was posting about scanners and replying to your free "speach" remarks.
>Didn't know we were talking Republican folklore. Be more than happy to
>discuss it in E-mail or alt.republican.we.have.no.real.issues. The
reports
>seem to say Bill Cheek WAS an easy target. Has nothing to do with the
>liberals, Democrats or Bill Clinton. Has to do with Bill selling not
one but
>5 interfaces and included the means to decode MDT. He all but declares
>himself guilty in his disclaimer on his WWW page. The SS didn't pick
>somebody easy, Cheek made it easy for the SS. Opto isn't in jail.
>
>

up to this point no one has given
the real reason...i thought i'd
just do what I did and make my
post get some "factual reaction"
as to what the issues are.

Now I know. Thank you.

Now, was what Bill Did illegal?
before they made the law
or afterwrds...?

and if so, how does it affect
the security of the usa or citizens
of the usa?

what is the issue about when he gets
arrested...especially by an Eastern
Court of the Federal Body

bill conduit
etc.

you see, you never reported what was
the issue from my first request.

1069. Re: radio shack 2042 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: carljan <carljan@voyager.net>
Message-ID: <7h5tev$gjf@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 119275

2U <j@idns.net> wrote in message
news:PaYY2.704$dd1.5583220@elnws01.ce.mediaone.net...
> can this scanner be modified to hear cellular and if so where can i get
the
> info to do it thanks.....
>
> To modify this scanner you need to add an optoelectronics OS535 computer
interface and scan star plus V5.56 or better go to their web page.73 Carl
kg9cl

1070. AOR-8200 INTERFACE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: PaPaS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7h5ue2$3es@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 119276

Hello there all
I just get the AOR-8200 (And i love it !!!)and i am looking to by a
computer interface
for this baby,but i don't know what is the best interface for this very

fine radio.
Any of you AOR-8200 user's have and if so what kind is it ????
Thank you very much for any feedback
I relay need some expert's advice for this one !!
thanks again
PaPaS

1071. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <237E5C43639F5801.892CBF8401B4FB61.D13431B6BEAC2883@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

> Now, was what Bill Did illegal?
> before they made the law
> or afterwrds...?

By the sound of it, the lawkeepers are making things up as they go, which is nothing new. If a lawperson (policeman, etc.) sees something they think should be wrong, they will accuse a person
of it, maybe even give them a ticket for it or arrest them and if a law doesn't exist to support the policeman,
then many times a presiding judge will make a law on the spot to support a police officer's claims as long as they
are reasonable and are not too complex or shady.
I've seen this alot esp when it comes to disturbing the peace and public indecency acts which aren't specificly
covered by law but can be considered improper actions to some.

1072. Pro-46 and Light Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: lvennard@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7h63gi$lf9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119278

might sound wierd, but i want to have my scanner's light on ALL of the time. Is there a mod for this? I have read that this scanner is similar to the BC200xlt and saw that this same mod i am looking for is availible for that scanner. Is this what I am looking for?

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1073. Re: Australian Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott Doorey <doorey@tac.com.au>
Message-ID: <926321158.664190@slave.tac.com.au>
Source record: 119279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio,scanner

http://www.aca.gov.au/database/radcomm/index.htm

Scott Doorey
doorey@tac.com.au
ICQ#26364741

1074. Re: MX 3000 lockout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <37368B6A.8A615A43@netxn.com>
Source record: 119280

While the scanner is in the scan mode, just punch in the number of the channel you want to lock out. Do the same to add the channel back to the scan sequence.

Enjoy ... they are great little scanners ... I have 3 ...

Mike Derryberry

Rich wrote:
>
> Does anyone know how to lockout channels on a Regency MX 3000? Picked
> one up at a flea market and there is no L/O on the keyboard but
> according to the old ads it does lock out channels.

1075. Need info on Icom R8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: pat@no-spamrwing.com
Message-ID: <7h61f9$h55$1@sparky.wolfe.net>
Source record: 119281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Question:

I have been thinking about getting a good wide-band receiver for
SWL-ing on both HF and also on VHF/UHF, but I find comparing specs
on various products out there confusing, conflicting and incomplete.

Has anybody had any experience with the unit, compared to other
receivers out there, both HF and wideband? Specifically, how does
it do in the noise dept? I have heard widebanders are pretty dismal
in the HF range, so it would be nice to find out its strong weak points
before I get off almost a couple of kilobucks.

Sensitity specs are difficult to interpret - some receivers that boast
very low microvolt sensitivity do terrible (too much noise - hiss and
environmental) to grab weak signals, especially in a location that
is less than great to begin with.

If its a dog, what are good units out there to consider?

Thanks,
Pat M/HW

--
#include <std.disclaimer.h> Pat Myrto (pat at rwing dot ORG) Seattle WA
What the Second Amendment right to keep and bear arms really is:
Our Freedom Insurance. Don't let the Statists or 'Those Who Know Best'
elitists succeed in continuing attempts at diluting or nullifying it with
claims that 'people' really means 'state', or that "You don't need it".

1076. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <37368CC0.C4FF41D6@mindspring.com>
Source record: 119282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

But Don did say he was operating mobile CW; best *not* write it down, methinks!

I'm a bit in between; write down name, qth, rst, etc. -- but usually head-copy the rest.

Phil

Monty Northrup wrote:
>
> On Fri, 07 May 1999 12:29:02 -0700, Don W6JL <W6JL@ixpres.com> wrote:
> > I forgot one other important point in my post. DON'T WRITE STUFF
> >DOWN. Copy it in your head; that is how you will be using it
> >for ham radio ragchewing. Writing it down is an (unnecessary and
> >limiting) habit that you don't want to get into if you can
> >avoid it. I sometimes run into ops on the air who ask me
> >(as I am working mobile CW) "how do you write it down?". Egad.
>
> Hi Don!
>
> Hmmm... I've heard this admonishment before, and I really don't
> understand it. I've been operating almost exclusively CW for over 30
> years, and I've always written it down. It feels natural to me. It
> goes in my ears, it comes out my hand. It's not particularly legible
> above 30 wpm, mind you, but I can refer back to my notes in a ragchew
> and remind myself of what was said. (I can't recall a 10 minute
> monologue, but I can if it's written in front of me). Later on (2 or
> 3 qsos late), I can jot down a note or two in the log if I want. My
> wife can look over my shoulder if she wants. When visitors come over,
> they can see that the process actually works... morse in, words out.
> Above 35 wpm or so, I'll put the pencil down and copy entirely in my
> head, but if somebody throws a long unfamiliar and non-phonetic word
> out there at 45 wpm, I'll probably miss it, and I've found that's
> usually the case for people that "only copy in their head", even at
> slower speeds. And speaking of slower speeds, people that copy only
> in their heads have difficulty with copying 10 wpm qsos... I think you
> forget what was sent at the beginning of the word at that speed... hi.
>
> Besides the practicalities, I think it's easier and faster to learn
> code while writing it down. As you decipher a letter aurally, you
> write it down, see it with your eyes, and it connects you with the
> part of your brain that already knows how to read and write.
>

> Hey, different strokes for different folks, but I think it's a lot
> easier and more practical to learn code while writing it down, and
> make the transition to head-only copy later on if you need or want to.
> Am I the only one in hamdom that thinks so?
>
> 73,
> monty N5FC

1077. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Wheeler <w7ox@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <37368DC2.58299E14@mindspring.com>
Source record: 119283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Monty Northrup wrote:
>
> Above 35 wpm or so, I'll put the pencil down and copy entirely in my
> head,

Not up to those speeds, alas; above 35 wpm or so I tune in a slower station.

> but if somebody throws a long unfamiliar and non-phonetic word
> out there at 45 wpm, I'll probably miss it, and I've found that's
> usually the case for people that "only copy in their head", even at
> slower speeds.

Agree!

> And speaking of slower speeds, people that copy only
> in their heads have difficulty with copying 10 wpm qsos.

And with that: Too slow and you cannot copy words, only letters; then I must write it all down.

Phil

1078. Need help with a broken Pro-2030

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Fuller <fee@global2000.net>
Message-ID: <K6wZ2.1638$LP2.31231@news6.ispnews.com>
Source record: 119284

My Pro-2030 died on me several months ago, and this week I took it out of storage and started tinkering, and I appeared to make progress.. I'm hoping that by some off chance someone has seen something like this before, or would know where to turn (please don't laugh too hard at my "testing" methods) Okay, on with the details..
One day the scanner just stopped working correctly (it honestly had nothing to do with trying to mod anything at the time)
The audio was drastically quieter and the squelch appears to be always open.. I can hit the scan button and it will cycle through fine one freq at a time, but it stops on every freq. All keypad functions appear to be working properly. Thinking all was lost, I do what I always do in a situation like this and took a fork and started dragging over the board hoping for that miracle "POP!" that would return it to it's normal self.. :). This is where my hope peaked again... I can now get it to scan by shorting out different points on the board, and I can make the audio louder with the same method at different points.. However, when I get it to scan this way, it doesn't stop on active freqs, and the audio doesn't seem exactly right (it sounds more muddled than I remember). Well, that's all I can think of.. if anyone might be able to help with more information, just email me and I'll do my best. If anyone might be able to fix it themselves, let me know.. I wouldn't object to spending a few bucks to get it working again.
Thanks for your time,
Matt

1079. FS: Radio Gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: oldgear@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990510050555.11199.00000082@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 119285

I have the following Radio, and electronic equipment for sale. Will consider trades for Electronic or computer EQ. All working last time tested unless noted. Shipping is extra.
Thanks

Tempo-1: All Tube Ham Transceiver with Power Supply. Covers 80-10 meters. Looks good and works well. $200

Pearce Simpson: Guardian 23- All tube CB Transceiver/with mobile power cord and mounting bracket. Looks and works Good. $100

Channel Master: Tube Stereo Receiver (Model 6612) and matching amp (Model 6601) Looks good and plays on FM only. AM not working. $125

Non Tube Items:

Marantz Model 2220B: 25 year old Stereophonic Receiver, with gyro-touch tuning, blue dial and optional real wood case. This unit is in excellent condition, both in looks and operation I would rate a 9.7. Also have original manual, in excellent condition. $200

AR Inc: Stereo Amp, All solid state. $100

Traynor: Group Two B- Audio Amp Head. High/ Low misc. in puts. Master volume, volume, bass, treble and tone shaper controls. All solid state $125

Swan 14X DC Power Supply Module: No way to test but was told it was working OK. Asis$50.

Siltronix Model 90-6 VFO: Excellent condition with three position crystal switch $75.

PRO 2003 Scanner: Radio Shack 50 channel(30-512 Mhz.) Digital Scanner with FM broadcast band included. Have two, one is working the other is a complete non working unit. $150 for both.

Bearcat 250 Scanner: Have two. One working and one for parts. $75 for both.

Kenwood MC 50 Mic: Works OK and comes complete with cable and input jack. $50

1080. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7h68hvptp1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 119286

Well, it wasn`t too long ago that he was convinced that I was Bill Cheek !
Looney - Tune lives in his own little fantasy world, and is never swayed by
the facts ! Isn`t that right, Wacky Mackey ?

hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net> wrote in message
news:37355F08.C7FA6D42@uswest.net...
> Who?
> Methinks you have the wrong person...
>
> Since you have it so ingrained in your head as to who you think I am, lets
clear the
> air a bit.
> I am not who you *think* I am. And now, we continue with our scanner
related
> discussions.
>
> Hawke
>
> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> > Hello Eric Oyen.
> >
>

1081. Re: Lentini Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: scottct1@home.com
Message-ID: <3738416c.73751833@news.ntplx.net>
Source record: 119087

On Mon, 10 May 1999 18:41:45 GMT, cliffwhoX@hotmail.com (Cliff-remove X to reply) wrote:

>Are these people reliable? Do they deliver quickly?

These guys are less then 3 miles from me in Newington so I have never had anything delivered I just went there and picked things up. They are very good and I would recomend them.

I bet a lot of folks who work at the ARRL get their stuff from them too as they are only about a mile from the ARRL Headquarters.

Scott

1082. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7h9fj5$186$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119088

JTWard wrote:
> > You know, guys, it's no fun to sit hear everyday and hear your
profession
> >trashed constantly. Yes, I'm a reporter.

To which Spike wrote in message ...
>Then you are very aware and don't mind me telling the public the truth that
>you reporters
>twist words and load them to set the public on the opinion path that you
>choose instead
>of what is right, wrong, legal, illegal, fact or fiction.

This is ridiculous. This is just like saying that because a few people with scanners make nuisances of themselves at incidents by "playing cop" that all people who own a scanner are the same breed of idiot. Don't you see how stupid that sounds? I agree with JT. Everyone should be judged on his/her own merits and not solely upon their profession.

And to think, this all started because an actual reporter posted a simple question in a take-it-or-leave-it manner. What, you don't think he's reading this forum now? I'd bet that he is, and when he sees how cynical, stereotypical and suspicious people have been in here, you can bet that'll be the focus of his story. Congratulations guys. Once again, we've taken an opportunity to educate the public (you know, the people who vote) and flushed it right down the ol' crapper.

What if the only people who contact him are folks like Emilio Goldstein? Then who's to blame if the guy writes a lousy story? Not him....

Something to think about.
-Phil

1083. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990511110634.11512.00000467@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 119089

>On 10 May 1999 21:59:43 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:
>>
>> You know, guys, it's no fun to sit hear everyday and hear your profession
>>trashed constantly. Yes, I'm a reporter.

>From: a@b.net
>Date: 05-10-1999 20:15 EST
>
>For whom?

Currently, I write for Popular Communications. In the past I've written
for Monitoring Times, Shutterbug, The Washington Post, The St. Petersburg
Times, several New York Times Co. community newspapers and other, smaller
papers, as I was working my way up.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1084. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <wnYZ2.77$k42.4874@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 119090

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message

> I would think that Bill would e-mail one
> or two of us he trusts and allow us to know
> what the charges were exactly.

Yes, makes one wonder doesn't it? He might be embarassed? Ashamed? What? It has to be something big to keep Cheeks ego in check.

> And an eastern Fed court beginning
> the process is harassment too. Why did they
> not file the brief in San Diego Federal Court?

Could it be that the chain of events started with a certain MORON posting MDT interceptions on his WWW page? This certain MORON then cries ignorance and points the finger at the stuff he got from Commtronics. Police call police call on Cheek and the rest is history. So far all this has followed a logical sequence considering the personalities involved.

1085. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <4711696AB7AE4E55.60201DDE1E8AE7BD.786A77DFFC6EF981@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119091

Well damn, why didn't you say you work for Popular Communications?
My Appologies as I buy your mag bi monthly and don't see any tripe at all in there.
Again, I'm sorry for getting pissed.
Hope you can understand my sensitivity.

> Currently, I write for Popular Communications. In the past I've written
> for Monitoring Times, Shutterbug, The Washington Post, The St. Petersburg
> Times, several New York Times Co. community newspapers and other, smaller
> papers, as I was working my way up.

1086. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <1C83C9BEEF2A33E9.1B289FE74268DB92.86EF4CE7F0BD5116@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hehe :)
Both of you sound like idiots :)

> Retard - shut your pie hole or start posting something related to this NG !!!!
> You have NEVER, NEVER posted an on topic message. You have been challenged to
> produce relevant posts via dejanews in the past and have NEVER, NEVER been
> able to.
> Take your medicine you FOOL!

1087. Re: Yupiteru MVT 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: alan-m@clear.net.nz
Message-ID: <37385ec7.41023092@news.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 119093

On Tue, 11 May 1999 12:59:16 +0100, "EAVSDROPPER"
<ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote:

>They seem OK, I had one quite a while ago and now my mum has it :-)
>Nothing special just an ok all round little radio, I also have the
>discriminator output for it now just ask if you want it
>
>eavsdropper
>
Thanks for your comments, what is the "discriminator output"?

Alan

1088. Wanted TE Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Len Leach <wa1hjr@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37384426.E0E420CD@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.oldtime

Friend of mine is looking for manuals & schematics for the following
test equipment.
Please send information and price to:
tebo3@juno.com
Thanks

tektronix 2235
tektronix 576

fluke 8800A
fluke 8810A

hp 204C
hp 8011A
hp 5315A
hp 6236B

1089. Re: Your favorite wideband reciever

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Theodore Freeman <TEDFREE267@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7h9oo6$4ci0$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119095

you are dead right lee,i own an 8200,and believe me,the sensitivity is not as good as my rs 90 for which i paid only an 150 dollars for,and not 1/3 as complex, ted
Lee Rothman wrote in message ...
>Well, if you do decide to give up your 7100 or an 8000 or 8200, say
>goodbye to that legendary sensitivity as they "don't have it". I have had
>both radios and since gotten rid of them. I now have a IC-R2 from Icom.
>It is even a tad more sensitive than your 7100 and now I hear things that
>weren't even there with the others. Something to consider.
>

1090. Ham Radio Resources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <373868db.2670592@news.hughes.net>
Source record: 119096

Hello All,

I have a Web Page that has info on:

Satellites, Satellite Tracking, HF, VHF/UHF, Yaesu, FT-847,
FT-50R, MY HF Rig Reviews, plus tons of useful Ham Radio
and misc Electroincs Links!!!

Please check it out:

http://kq6mu.8m.com

WebMasters: Please add me to your links section. In return, I will add
you to my links section.

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU
DM04 Palmdale, CA

1091. Film crew in R.I. - need their frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@ibm.net.com
Message-ID: <37386ae8.15061792@news1.ibm.net>
Source record: 119097

We have a film crew here in my town filming a Jim Carey movie.

They all have radio's but I have been unable to locate their frequencies.

Anyone have any idea?

Thanks....Ric

1092. Re: Apartment Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dixon <mdixon@mpinet.net>
Message-ID: <37386BF6.89354C79@mpinet.net>
Source record: 119098

I was in a second floor apartment for several years and had great luck using 1 1/2 inch PVC pipe set into Christmas tree stands. I had a RS discone set into one and a 4 element 2 meter quad complete with rotor set into another. I just moved them in and out of the sliding glass door to the balcony as needed (it did clutter up the living room just a bit but I didn't have much company then). I also had a small tree just outside the balcony, so I rigged an L shaped section of PVC and put a Cellular Communications military air band antenna onto one leg and a tee fitting onto the other leg with a foot or so of PVC out each end of the tee. Then I spray painted it all dark brown and used some steel strap to hang the contraption from the top of the balcony with the antenna holding leg straight up outside the balcony and behind the tree.

Mike

--
****
* Mike Dixon / KE4FSX
* Homepage--> http://members.xoom.com/ke4fsx/
* Central Florida Listeners Group
* CFLG Page--> http://www.swl.net/cflg/
****

-->>>>>>>>>> The information went data way -->>>>>>>>>>>

Bruce Brower wrote:
>
> Much to my displeasure, I am being forced to move to and apartment.
> Picking up all the local stuff on my handheld's will be no problem, but
> I have a couple of base units I use just for the feds, Mil-Air, and
> general aviation monitoring. Any ideas as what type of antenna I could
> use would be greatly appreciated as mounting my present antenna's on the
> roof of the apartment is definitely out of the question. Thanks in
> advance.
>
> Happy Monitoring,
> Bruce

1093. Re: Apartment Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: DRWHO10@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22465-37386726-35@newsd-253.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119099

about 2 years ago i started wondering about all the frequencys covered
by tv antannes so i hooked up my perents old tv ant. I got great
coverage . also try an in door antenna let me know what happens ?????

1094. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: pstanos93@aol.com
Message-ID: <37385f91.14954160@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 119100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hehe :) *SMACK*

"Spike" <spike@eyeball.org> wrote:

>Hehe :)
>Both of you sound like idiots :)
>
>> Retard - shut your pie hole or start posting something related to this NG !!!!
>> You have NEVER, NEVER posted an on topic message. You have been challenged to
>> produce relevant posts via dejanews in the past and have NEVER, NEVER been
>> able to.
>> Take your medicine you FOOL!
>

1095. Re: Lentini Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990511140750.04901.00000964@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 119101

i have ordered from them and they are a good Co. I did not like a guy there whom i dealt with on the phone dont know who he was but very snotty.. As soon as i got a differnt person I had no problems and would order from them again..

remove nospam to email

1096. Re: QRP Transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <37386dfe.899760@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 1 May 1999 18:45:15 -0400, "The Conqueror"
<joetheripper@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Hi,
>
>I was wondering if there was any way for me to homebrew or make from a kit a
>2 meter QRP <1W transceiver with only 2 or 3 frequencies and PL support.
>
>-Dan K3DRQ

Yes - check out:

http://home.earthlink.net/~af4k/mega/megakits.htm

for sources of kits.

1097. Re: 235 Trunktracker Volume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: DRWHO10@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22466-373870AE-14@newsd-253.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119103

the volume on my bc 235 is fine it is loud . at half volume i can hear it in a 12 'by 12' room with a tv going ??????

1098. Re: Film crew in R.I. - need their frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "'nuff said..." <Thunder@localbar.com>
Message-ID: <37387A74.A596BFF0@localbar.com>
Source record: 119104

"Mr. NoSpam" wrote:

> We have a film crew here in my town filming a Jim Carey movie.
>
> They all have radio's but I have been unable to locate their
> frequencies.
>
> Anyone have any idea?
>
> Thanks....Ric

Try http://www.perconcorp.com/

BullDoze...

1099. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghg@pier.ecn.purdue.edu
Message-ID: <7h9vc3$q1$1@mozo.cc.purdue.edu>
Source record: 119105

In article <4711696AB7AE4E55.60201DDE1E8AE7BD.786A77DFFC6EF981@library-proxy.airnews.net>,
Spike <spike@eyeball.org> wrote:
>Well damn, why didn't you say you work for Popular Communications?
>My Appologies as I buy your mag bi monthly and don't see any tripe at =
>all in there.
>Again, I'm sorry for getting pissed.
>Hope you can understand my sensitivity.
>
>> Currently, I write for Popular Communications. In the past I've =
>written
>> for Monitoring Times, Shutterbug, The Washington Post, The St. =
>Petersburg
>> Times, several New York Times Co. community newspapers and other, =
>smaller
>> papers, as I was working my way up.
>
>

The current newsstand "Monitoring Times" has the schematic for
Bill Cheek's dataslicer and an article by him...
--ghg

1100. Re: Need help with a broken Pro-2030

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: hnj <d@nm.net>
Message-ID: <37388800.0@news.wcc.net>
Source record: 119106

Maybe you should try running a pitchfork over the board, just be careful not to fall on it.

1101. GPS?? Specifically, the DeLorme Tripmate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7ha34sges1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119107

I have a DeLorme Tripmate. Overall, pleased.

I just recently realized why it sometimes takes a long time to 'power up' (it may be trying to load some kind of atlas') and that the batteries are crucial.

In that regard, I see that DeLorme sells, for $40, a kit to power the think off of either the mini-din connector on a laptop for a keyboard, or directly from the car lighter socket.

It APPEARS that the kits just apply power to the RI pin on the DB-9, then in the unit itself you have a small shorting plug from the center pin of the battery connector to the (+) pin. I'm assuming you can apply +5v only to this pin.

Does anyone have one of this kits they can look at? Have I hit it fairly accurately?

I'd by the DeLorme kit, but the $40 kit includes the direct lighter adapter I have no interest in at all. So if I can make up a little DB-9 M-F feedthrough and tap in to pin 9 for the RI from a keyboard plug, I can save myself some money!

Mike Yetsko

1102. Re: ICOM 8500 dead -- diagnosis?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <xB0_2.406$kJ1.42323@nntp1>
Source record: 119108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Should not this rig still be under warranty? Jeez, that stinks! I have heard some upsetting stories about ICOM service, but I can't verify them.

I'm not going to ask the obvious question about the squelch knob... <g>

Paul
NJ

Eric F. Richards <efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu> wrote in message news:3737bea5.4190054@news...
> Greetings, all...
>
> I have a three month old ICOM 8500 that decided to die on me. The
> symptom is pretty simple -- the frequency display flashes when it
> is turned on. There's no sound whatsoever, but you can "tune" in
> the sense that you can change the displayed frequency at the
> appropriate steps, you can change modes, filters, various settings,
> even reset the CPU, but it just sits there, flashing away, a very
> expensive paperweight.
>
> I'm hoping someone has run across this and it is something simple
> like reseating a connector... I'd rather not be without it for 6
> weeks while it goes off to ICOM.
>
> Can anyone shed light on this?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Eric, KB0YDN
> --
> Eric F. Richards
> efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
> "The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> - Dilbert

1103. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990511164508.22598.00000771@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 119109

>The current newsstand "Monitoring Times" has the schematic for
>Bill Cheek's dataslicer and an article by him

Yeah, ain't it neat? Damn the politicians, and full speed ahead!

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1104. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990511164604.22598.00000773@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 119110

>I buy your mag bi monthly

And just why aren't you buying it every month? I've got a family to feed, ya know. ;-)

JW

1105. Re: HELP!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ha4sr$8n5$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119111

wookie wrote in message <373740af.5212188@news.earthlink.net>...
>Oh you so very smart people are going to feel like heels!
<snip>

About all I can suggest for you is to check the local pawn shops and thrift stores when you get a chance (you never know, I found a perfectly working 16 channel base scanner in a thrift store once for $4). I seriously doubt, in this skeptical world that we live in, that anyone will send you anything for free. There are tons of ripoff artists out there, and the internet is their playground. You can't blame people for thinking this was a scam. Even I am a bit skeptical still. I would think someone in your situation would be less concerned with their "toys" and more concerned with the necessities of life. I know I would be.

Best of luck to you, regardless.

-Phil

1106. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ha5h4$lf4@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119112

In <7h9fj5$186$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com> "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@yahoo.com> writes:
>

>
>What if the only people who contact him are folks like Emilio
Goldstein?
>Then who's to blame if the guy writes a lousy story? Not him....
>
>Something to think about.
>-Phil
>

but what was said applies....
look at what happened with interviews
I've been participant to...

you have to consider the alternatives
when thinking about this post and where
it led. The other guy was and is right.

there is "this possibility" any reporter
will do what don't seem plausible when you
see it in print.

so, as the reporter from the present says,
you can report anonymously, just be truthful
with him.

you are making a mountain out of a
zit-pile.

bill conduit
etc.

1107. Weak Signals on 243 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: wb5agz@dc.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <7ha6cdekg1@lotus.onenet.net>
Source record: 119113

I can hear very weak signals on many frequencies between 243
and 244 MHZ. I am receiving them through a diskcone antenna so the
signals aren't much above the noise level, but a few things strike me
as interesting.

They never really vary much in strength so they may be from
military satellites. There is no Doppler shift or fading but they do
not seem to increase with atmospheric ducting when other signals do
get better.

Most of the signals appear to be data channels of some kind
but one or two seem to have voice on them. They are just too weak to
demodulate. For all I know, the voice may be a harmonic from
something else. There is also another similar spectrum of frequencies
near 248 mhz with a number of really wobbly sounding carriers and some
that sweep back and forth such that if one listens to them with a BFO,
they sound like a fire siren.

What are these? Can anybody say without being killed?:-)

Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
OSU Center for Computing and Information Services Data Communications Group

1108. PRO-60 found !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina McBride & John Miller <mcmiller@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <3738A0BF.B3C38285@alaska.net>
Source record: 119114

> thanks to all who responded

73

John

1109. OS456 vs. CE-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nolimit3o3 <nolimit3o3@home.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.3.96.990511152932.624E-100000@C202805-A.whtrdg1.co.home.com>
Source record: 119115

Would like pro's and cons on these interfaces.. i have 2 2006's
and want to keep one stock for a backup/collectors radio and mod the hell
out of the other hehe.. basicly all im left with is deciding which
interface to use.. any sugestions? I would like to know what the pro's
and con's are between the two though :)

NoLiMiT3o3

1110. Re: Film crew in R.I. - need their frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jammin5107@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7habav$oq7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119116

In article <37386ae8.15061792@news1.ibm.net>,
nospam@ibm.net.com (Mr. NoSpam) wrote:
> We have a film crew here in my town filming a Jim Carey movie.
>
> They all have radio's but I have been unable to locate their
> frequencies.
>
> Anyone have any idea?
>
> Thanks....Ric
>

Here's a few that might work.
152.870,.900 .930 .960 .990 173.225 173.275 173.325 173.375

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1111. Re: Using 2 soundcards on the same PC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhy7702@bullshit.grace.rit.edu
Message-ID: <373853b2.487775684@news.rit.edu>
Source record: 119117

On Tue, 11 May 1999 15:30:57 +0200, Kapou <kapou@cybercable.fr> wrote:

>Hi everyone.
>
>Well, here is my problem... I'd like to install 2 soundcards on the same
>PC (under Win98) to perform a good digital modes reception. The first
>one may work with Chromasound, to perform a DSP filtering of the weak
>signals, and the second one should be used with a digital mode decoding
>software.
>I didn't get any success when I tried to install both a Vibra16
>(creativelabs), and a PCI64 clone from Ensoniq. Well, these cards are
>pnp, the system accepts to install them, but after there is no way (of
>my known) to use the two cards. If one accepts to work, the other one
>gets conflicts.
>
>Can anyone help me to do this, please ?
>

Disable pnp and configure each manually. Make sure the resources do
not overlap. I use am awe64g and a telesound3d. After a little
setup, the give no problems.

1112. pro9200 mods??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Brown <Al.Brown@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <7ha8nbdae1@mendelevium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 119118

Does anyone know of any Mods for a realistic Pro 9200 scanner Code 9A4
Uniden
board inside
Thanks Alan

1113. CAMP SMITH IN WESTCHESTER CO, NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: watnny@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990511182850.25381.00001119@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 119119

Anyone have any freqs being used by the military at this site ???

Mike

1114. BC 200 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: steve376@aol.com23232323
Message-ID: <19990511183454.10214.00000619@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 119120

I would like to remove the timer on the back light so it is just on or off.
Thanks for any help.
steve

1115. Re: Using 2 soundcards on the same PC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cathy De Viney <cdeviney@ipa.net>
Message-ID: <7hab65poq1@news.ipa.net>
Source record: 119121

Sorry...no help here. I tried to install a PCI SoundTrack Digital 4DWave-NX (Hoontech) for digital output of music to a mini-disc recorder and my original equipment AWE64 Value (ISA)...they did not co-habitate well. I just removed the AWE64 and the Hoontech card is fine...but midi files are not loud enough.

If you find out the secret to success with this two card endeavor, please let me know.

CATHY

Kapou wrote in message <37383111.7255876A@cybercable.fr>...
>Hi everyone.
>
>Well, here is my problem... I'd like to install 2 soundcards on the same
>PC (under Win98) to perform a good digital modes reception. The first
>one may work with Chromasound, to perform a DSP filtering of the weak
>signals, and the second one should be used with a digital mode decoding
>software.
>I didn't get any success when I tried to install both a Vibra16
>(creativelabs), and a PCI64 clone from Ensoniq. Well, these cards are
>pnp, the system accepts to install them, but after there is no way (of
>my known) to use the two cards. If one accepts to work, the other one
>gets conflicts.
>
>Can anyone help me to do this, please ?
>

1116. Pro-25 image freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nation_aliste@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3738b6b0.3027702@news.concepta.com>
Source record: 119122

someone knows the image range for cell on the 25?
thanks

1117. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <633E2B117A9698F3.F198825AEB1E2EF2.81242D9B44B4D9D5@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119123

No, I mean every month.
Sorry, had my mind on something else.

> And just why aren't you buying it every month? I've got a family to feed, ya
> know. ;-)
>
> JW

1118. Re: OS456 vs. CE-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990511193658.26583.00000680@ng66.aol.com>
Source record: 119124

I went with the CE-232 because it actually programs the scanner with freqs. I believe the OS456 lets you scan files in the computer but not load them into the scanners memory. SInce I travel I like to take the freqs with me. Also, I can use the CE-232 to auto program my handhelds. With the Pro Turbo software the CE-232 is a dream. I do not and have never owned a OS456 though. However I have 3 2006's with ce-232's. The PT software allows you to record in a bunch of different ways. Like instant record, or loop record so you always have the last 40 minutes of whats happening, or record only the channels you want or all channels at once. THere are also meeters which can be configured for whatever you wish (with some additional circuit mods). I have the center tune meter and s-meter hooked up. I could go on and on but it would be easier for you to find the Pro Turbo site to find out what all it can do. From all Ive read and what I like to do I would recommend the CE-232 and Pro Turbo software. Ira Cooper

1119. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3738CC9D.CF02D257@netxn.com>
Source record: 119125

You only buy it bi-monthly ???? Why ??? Pop Comm is a monthly
publication. Why not just subscribe ??? It's cheaper that way. I always
subscribe for 2 years at a time, that way I never miss an issue.

Buy it monthly so you miss anything good ... just a sugguestion ...

Mike Derryberry

Spike wrote:
>
> Well damn, why didn't you say you work for Popular Communications?
> My Appologies as I buy your mag bi monthly and don't see any tripe at all in there.
> Again, I'm sorry for getting pissed.
> Hope you can understand my sensitivity.
>
> > Currently, I write for Popular Communications. In the past I've written

1120. BC895XLT Interface Cables For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Netranger <netranger@netcarrier.com>
Message-ID: <926470341.597.54@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119126
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

$17.00 for a Remote Cable UP TO 12' in length
Add $1.00 for Each additional Foot.
Price Includes Shipping Within Cont. USA

netranger@netcarrier.com

1121. FS: Yupiteru MVT-7100 $275 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7hajd9$p0m$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119127

I've decided to drop the price on the Yupiteru MVT-7100. Absolutely mint!
Looks at works like new! Only 4 months old. No need to import, I live in the
U.S.

Price is $275.00 shipped in the U.S.

1122. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Nx5_2.518$hd2.42071@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 119128

> >The current newsstand "Monitoring Times" has the schematic for
> >Bill Cheek's dataslicer and an article by him
>
> Yeah, ain't it neat? Damn the politicians, and full speed ahead!
>

Does it mention decoding MDT or does Bob Grove offer to send you it on
floppy?

1123. Need to receive 148.6375 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: STEVEN L UMBACH <N9ROU@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7har2r$3jlu$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 119129

Hi I have a friend who needs a scanner that can receive exactly 148.6375
for data transmission monitoring. My Pro-2044 can not do it . It has 4 digit
decimal on the display but when I try to program in 148.6375 it rounds it to
148.6380. Does anyone know of a scanner (current or past production) that
can receive exactly 148.6375 that is reasonably priced - less than $200 ??
Thanks for any help.
---- Steve

1124. Optocom and Palm Pilot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3738F88F.CE3ABAE3@hotmail.com>
Source record: 119130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am considering buying a Palm Pilot to use in conjunction with my Optocom. I was hoping to get some feedback from some of you that may have used it. How much can you control the radio from the Palm Pilot? I looked on the web for the Palm Pilot software, but I yielded no results. Any information is appreciated.

Thanks for the help!

1125. Re: GPS?? Specifically, the DeLorme Tripmate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-1105992259120001@chi-pm4-1a-9.theramp.net>
Source record: 119131

In article <7ha34sges1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Mike Yetsko"
<joe@user.com> wrote:

> I have a DeLorme Tripmate. Overall, pleased.
>
> I just recently realized why it sometimes takes a long time to
> 'power up' (it may be trying to load some kind of atlas') and
> that the batteries are crucial.
>
> In that regard, I see that DeLorme sells, for $40, a kit to power
> the think off of either the mini-din connector on a laptop for a
> keyboard, or directly from the car lighter socket.
>
> It APPEARS that the kits just apply power to the RI pin on the DB-9,
> then in the unit itself you have a small shorting plug from the center
> pin of the battery connector to the (+) pin. I'm assuming you can
> apply +5v only to this pin.
>
> Does anyone have one of this kits they can look at? Have I hit it
> fairly accurately?
>
> I'd by the DeLorme kit, but the $40 kit includes the direct lighter
> adapter I have no interest in at all. So if I can make up a little
> DB-9 M-F feedthrough and tap in to pin 9 for the RI from a
> keyboard plug, I can save myself some money!
>
> Mike Yetsko

The reason it takes time to get going is that it takes a minute or so to
identify and track the various satellites. There are 24 or so birds, and
up to 12 can be seen at any time. 3 or more are needed to get a 3-D fix,
and it usually takes a couple minutes.

While the Trip Mate does not have it's own display (it relies on the
computer and software) different units with displays will show the status
as it goes.

Within the software see if there isn't a GPS Status option that allows you
to view the acquisition of the various satellites. It really has nothing
to do with the power connector.

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**********************g3mtnw**********************************

1126. Re: airline books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@postnet.com>
Message-ID: <373900B3.EFEEA56D@postnet.com>
Source record: 119132

Charlie Brookhart wrote:

> I checked amazon.com and borders and neither site has that version of the
> book. Amazon shows that the 97/98 version is out of print. When was the
> newest edition of "International Directory of Civil Aircraft" published?
>
> Charlie

My copy (purchased on Monday in St. Louis) shows a 1999 copyright (as by "Aerospace Publications Pty, Ltd") with an ISBN of 1 875671 42 0. Have Borders do a search on the ISBN. The identical titles with a minor difference in subtitles often get lost in computer listings. Best bet would be to go to the local Borders and double check the cover before you buy. I KNOW Borders has it as that is where I bought mine. The average Borders employee is a bit of a waste of time. Counter cultural appearance seems to be regarded as more of an employment asset than diligence, intelligence, the ability to listen to and understand customer inquiriess or other good work habits. Naturally, books about things like airplanes, radios, real history (as opposed to conspiracy laden trash) are viewed with disdain and disfavor as compared to "serious fiction" and Gen-X fads. Good luck!

--
Milepost 15 - just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's Kirkwood Hill!
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - 38ï¿½34'30"N, 90ï¿½25'40"W
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000: Discone
ICQ: UIN# 5313018

1127. Re: OS456 vs. CE-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-1105992328380001@chi-pm4-1a-9.theramp.net>
Source record: 119133

In article
<Pine.LNX.3.96.990511152932.624E-100000@C202805-A.whtrdg1.co.home.com>,
nolimit3o3 <nolimit3o3@home.com> wrote:

> Would like pro's and cons on these interfaces.. i have 2 2006's
> and want to keep one stock for a backup/collectors radio and mod the hell
> out of the other hehe.. basicly all im left with is deciding which
> interface to use.. any sugestions? I would like to know what the pro's
> and con's are between the two though :)
>
> NoLiMiT3o3

I don't have a CE232, but have heard good things about it. I did not
however relish the amount of work involved in installing it. I therefore
bought an OS-456 (and later an OptoCom). The OS-456 went in in about 15
minutes, with no soldering. The only tools needed was a phillips
screwdriver and a pliers.
The OS-456 does not support loading of freqs like the CE-232, but when not
connected to the computer it operates like any other PRO-2006.

I later got an OptoCom. It used the same software I had been using on my
OS-456 (PROBE) but I needed a gender changer since one radio has a male,
the other a female DB-9. Alternatly I could have used the supplied cable,
but it was easier to use the gender changer in my situation.

As for software I have prefered PROBE since I first tried it. It works
great, scans fast, and produces great logs. It is easy to set up, and as
you learn the program the features get better (there are so many that you
need to play with them to figure them out).

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**************************g3mtnw*********************************

1128. FS Pro 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <jdvogel@ne.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <373904D1.5BF3FAD4@ne.uswest.net>
Source record: 119134

Absolutely flawless without a scratch. Comes with a leather case, high capacity rechargeble batteries, radio shack extendable antenna and original antenna, and charger. Also comes with original box and manual. Beep and 800 mHz mod done. Asking $235.00.

Thanks

1129. Re: battery voltage!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: clarkm@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <clarkm-1105992338180001@eagle.martin.home>
Source record: 119135

In article <01be97b2$12d6ea40$fbff00cc@default>, "E Meyers"
<hcs777@gs.verio.net> wrote:

>Use a battery with same amount of voltage as required in specs of
>scanner. Check your manual for voltage requirements. My BCT7
>uses 12 volt (positive center) lighter adapter in car, 12volt "walwart"
>(positive center) so I use an old 12volt burglar alarm battery as backup
>that I recharge regularly. My R/S DX390 requires a 6volt 300m/amp
>"walwart" (negative center) on the connector. So, BY ALL MEANS,
>check the polarity on the pin plug and polarity requirements on your
>"radio" before connecting it up to an external battery source. You may
>be thinking about amperage, not voltage. A minimum amount of amperage
>(usually 300m/amps) is required. More ample amperage is not a problem.
>.......E Meyers......

Your kind of saying this but I wanted to clarify it. Look at the voltage
of the wall wart that came with the scanner as opposed to the battery
voltage the scanner uses. My Bearcat uses a 5V battery pack but the power
supply is 12 volt so I would use a 12V battery if I were to do it. I have
a car cable too which pretty much covers supplying power to my scanner.

--
Clark Martin
Redwood City, CA, USA
Macintosh / Internet Consulting
cmartin@pacbell.net

"I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

1130. Re: Where to "point" my new monitenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: clarkm@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <clarkm-1105992346140001@eagle.martin.home>
Source record: 119136

vf500@canada.com wrote:

> I just installed my monitenna on a 8' piece of PVC up on my roof.
>
> Where I live (in a rural area, farmland) there is no one behind us
> (south) but the main city is in front or north of us with a bit of
> development to either side (east/west).
>
> Is the monitenna mainly a omni-directional antenna or is there a front
> on it?
>
> Right now I have the "forks" pointing in the east to west direction.
> Would it be better to point the forks in the north to south direction
> (ie turn the antenna 90 degrees)?
>
> Our roof is quite steep so I don't want to experiment with it by
> trying it in all directions so I thought I would ask here instead of
> risking my life up there 5 or 6 times.

I've got a Discone so I can't say but I thought I'd comment on your mast. I bought some PVC pipe and put it on top of my carport roof till I needed it. It was there for maybe a month. When I brought it down, it was quite brittle. When I used the cutter on it it tended to shatter. Up on the roof the pipe will get a LOT of UV radiation which is probably what is making it brittle. I haven't tried it as an antenna mast so I can't really say if it's going to snap but I'd be concerned.

--
Clark Martin
Redwood City, CA, USA
Macintosh / Internet Consulting
cmartin@pacbell.net

"I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

1131. For Sale 2036 Base Scanner get Cell!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <373787cc.2042555@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119158

http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/base.html#pro2036
go to this site and look on how to make this 200 ch base scanner get
all the channels.. price is 150.00 plus shipping cost via UPS ground
from so cal this scanner has a VFO also very nice base scanner very
good cond email me if you want it thanks

1132. Re: Good Source for Crystals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h7tpp$qi9@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119159

In <37377589.19327557@news.teleport.com> kew@-NOSPAM?teleport.cem (Keith) writes:
>
>Anyone know a good source for crystals? The only one I have found is JAN and
>they want 24.99 a pop.
>
>Best Regards,
>
>Keith

try scanner's unlimited in San Carlos in Calif
on Laurel Street...seek information's help for
phone number

he may still have a supply...used to have
enormous

ever thimk of Radio Shack
you should
they have em for
special orders...last
time I bought crystals cost me
7bucks each at RS

bill conduit
etc.

1133. Re: Kosovo Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <I6LZ2.691$A7.1300@news.flash.net>
Source record: 119160
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7h0j2q$5ea$1@jumbo.demos.su>, a@netgear.baynetwork.com says...
>
>Please send me Kosovo war frequencies
>
>

Check out http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-- Lost of HF, VHF and UHF war freqs being used in Kosovo.

George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios
--

_____
Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
_____

1134. Re: Good Source for Crystals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jammin5107@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7h84iq$1mg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119161

In article <7h7tpp$qi9@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>,
myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
> In <37377589.19327557@news.teleport.com> kew@-NOSPAM?teleport.cem
> (Keith) writes:
> >
> >Anyone know a good source for crystals? The only one I have found is
> JAN and
> >they want 24.99 a pop.
> >
> >Best Regards,
> >
> >Keith
>
> try scanner's unlimited in San Carlos in Calif
> on Laurel Street...seek information's help for
> phone number
>
> he may still have a supply...used to have
> enormous
>
> ever thimk of Radio Shack
> you should
> they have em for
> special orders...last
> time I bought crystals cost me
> 7bucks each at RS
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
> This ones from Leroy NY they have thousands,about 4 bucks!
http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1135. Re: Kosovo Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: paul@tpdesign.net
Message-ID: <37378c80.8907006@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 119162
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 11 May 1999 00:47:13 GMT, av8tor@flash.net (Av8tor) wrote:

>
>Check out http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-- Lost of HF, VHF and UHF war
>freqs being used in Kosovo.
>
>George
>www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

George,

Your HOT frequenciy of 11300 has nothing to do with Kosovo
that is an ATC frequency for air traffic, Cairo,Khartoum etc
for civil a/c traveling down over africa.....

Paul..
--
Paul Jones, paul@tpdesign.net
Monitoring the World from Birmingham, England.
Radio's AR7030/AR8000/PCR-1000

1136. Re: Wanted: manual for BC700A (Uniden)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkmn01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990510221434.20935.00002811@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 119163

Just go to the Uniden website. I think they have all the manuals on-line.
www.uniden.com

1137. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: a@b.net
Message-ID: <37378492.61072274@news.istep.com>
Source record: 119164

On 10 May 1999 21:59:43 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:

>
> You know, guys, it's no fun to sit hear everyday and hear your profession
>trashed constantly. Yes, I'm a reporter.
For whom?

1138. Re: Alinco DJX10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: paulwho100@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990510225652.08233.00002006@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 119165

WHY? What's Wrong with the ALINCO?

1139. Indoor Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: paulwho100@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990510225816.08233.00002007@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 119166

Does anyone know of a good indoor antenna. I have a Alinco DJ-X10 and live in an apartment.

1140. NOW YOU CAN LISTENTO A UNITED EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: SUGAR PAPA <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3737ADB4.1221@ameritech.net>
Source record: 119167

http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios/kosovo1.htm
--
TURN ME ON AND I'LL TURN YOU ON, BABY!!!! OOOHH!!!!

1141. Re: Noisy pager tower! Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990511003402.10242.00000426@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 119168

>
>Hard to get happy after that. But it sure was an informative post, got the
>ring of
>authenticity to it. Thanks.
>

Yes, I agree!
I have two cascaded intermod filters inline with my scanner, and they worked very well for the last few years. But again, lately they haven't made any difference any more.
One nice thing is two other nieghbors in the community are amateur ops, and they have also been griping about this problem lately. So the three of us are polling the rest of the neighborhood, and getting some data compiled for use with the FCC, Altel, Motorola, and some of the other companies leasing the tower.
No anger here, mind you, but it's got to be cleaned up.
I'll keep trying! Thanks again!
Mike

1142. Re: Apartment Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3737bcb3.5976809@news>
Source record: 119169

On Sun, 09 May 1999 03:57:01 GMT, Bruce Brower <bbrower@Bellsouth.net>
wrote:

>Much to my displeasure, I am being forced to move to and apartment.

Damn that bitch all to hell! Here's hoping she doesn't get any
alimony!! ;-)

>Picking up all the local stuff on my handheld's will be no problem, but
>I have a couple of base units I use just for the feds, Mil-Air, and
>general aviation monitoring. Any ideas as what type of antenna I could
>use would be greatly appreciated as mounting my present antenna's on the
>roof of the apartment is definitely out of the question. Thanks in
>advance.

Since it sounds like you have not yet made your move, choose your new
apartment carefully. Get a unit on the highest floor possible, and put
your antennas out on the patio. I used to live in a 3rd floor
apartment, and the patio had a concrete floor and wooden
posts/railings. You can mount the verticals next to the wooden posts
and they're practically undetectable; you could even put a dish out
there, cover it with a tarp, and to the casual observer it looks like
a table with a tablecloth over the top.

1143. Decoder for Packet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pro2032@altavista.net
Message-ID: <hFPZ2.171$jJ4.162040@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 119170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Are there any good shareware/freeware programs for
decoding packet radio?

Where can I find them?

1144. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: pvboy33@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990511024819.06460.00000473@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 119171

karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com wrote:

>Anyone seen one on the highway yet? I read an article they are going to
>test them for possible service use when the Crown Vic production stops in
>the early 2000's.
>

I saw one code three on n/b I-5 in Sacramento about a week ago... Did a
double-take when I saw it... Kinda looks like a Crown-Vic from the front...
PVBoy33@aol.com - Official Member : Vast Right-Wing Conspiracy

1145. ICOM 8500 dead -- diagnosis?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
Message-ID: <3737bea5.4190054@news>
Source record: 119172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Greetings, all...

I have a three month old ICOM 8500 that decided to die on me. The symptom is pretty simple -- the frequency display flashes when it is turned on. There's no sound whatsoever, but you can "tune" in the sense that you can change the displayed frequency at the appropriate steps, you can change modes, filters, various settings, even reset the CPU, but it just sits there, flashing away, a very expensive paperweight.

I'm hoping someone has run across this and it is something simple like reseating a connector... I'd rather not be without it for 6 weeks while it goes off to ICOM.

Can anyone shed light on this?

Thanks,

Eric, KB0YDN
--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

1146. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <FA6377A0AEFAF316.8DE653FF7B9D5879.AED2C1BD8AA4452B@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119173

Then you are very aware and don't mind me telling the public the truth that you reporters twist words and load them to set the public on the opinion path that you choose instead of what is right, wrong, legal, illegal, fact or fiction.

> > You know, guys, it's no fun to sit hear everyday and hear your profession
> >trashed constantly. Yes, I'm a reporter.

1147. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7h8pj7$45m@dfw-ixnews11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119174

In
<FA6377A0AEFAF316.8DE653FF7B9D5879.AED2C1BD8AA4452B@library-proxy.airne
s.net> "Spike" <spike@eyeball.org> writes:
>
>Then you are very aware and don't mind me telling the public the truth
=
>that you reporters
>twist words and load them to set the public on the opinion path that
you =
>choose instead
>of what is right, wrong, legal, illegal, fact or fiction.
>
>> > You know, guys, it's no fun to sit hear everyday and hear your =
>profession
>> >trashed constantly. Yes, I'm a reporter.
>
>
to the unknown reporter:

are you wearing your brown-bag over face?

what spike says has merit. I've been interviewed
for both tv/radio and print media.

They always seem to have an angle to throw out to
readers or viewers that sets it apart.

No matter how you relate it it comes out sounding
cut-up, puzzled into different parts and given
emphasis on what does not really be that important
but seeing as how you said it it must be important.

then they miss the important stuff.

for newspaper: three or four times.
always some lapse of facts or what
was really never said or really
never meant to say. But it's
there.

for tv...three times: they take a mistake
sentence you did not make right and then
make it right for their purposes when they
edit it.

and this was for a book I wrote. It came
out no way as for what it was intended for.

so what spike has to say holds much volume.

or the reporter who comes from newspaper to
interview you and has no idea what
questions to ask you and you help her
with that and then she uses facts from
another story done some years ago to
be filler because she did not know what
to ask and how to present it.

but then there was a news reporter for the
San Fran Chronicle who did an interview of
me last year and it came out pretty much
as I related it. Just that instead of giving
me a few paragraphs as promised he gives me a
line-quote.

but it was good as said. He summed it up
correctly as to what point I made about the cops.

cops liked it too.

it's hard to know what a reporter has in mind as
the end-goal, because they deal with so many variables
every day they change so often based on the results
of what is gotten from working on it for a week.

bill conduit
etc.

1148. Taking files dowm !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jwschn@ntr.net>
Message-ID: <3737FE5C.26E058DE@ntr.net>
Source record: 119175

biG shout,
If you need any of these files you better get them now. I'm having to
take it doWn
http://users.ntr.net/~jwschn/decode.htm
I know it ain't much but some of them are causing soMe NASTY
signals...sooo bYeBye__sOOn

1149. Re: My new Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <37380BB6.D1B17EB8@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 119176

Jimtown54 wrote:
> jerr, I to also own an R2. I find it very difficult to understand the owners
> manual. Try this site www.strongsignals.net The go to his rewviews page and
> look up his review on the R2. You'll find he does a better job in explaining
> how to use the R2, than Icom does. Hope this hepls you out.

Thanks for the reference Jim! But the article you refer to is a
review and not intended to educate one on how to run their radio!
;-)

Perhaps someday I might get around to writing an Owner's Guide
for it as it seems lots of owners do have problems with this
radio's Owwer's Manual. And I understand this as I pointed out
in my R2 review that this is THE worst manual I have seem from
iCOM. And even I had a tough time following the illogical "flow"
of the manual (and I own 7 iCOM models!).

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1150. Re: Status Codes for Ambulance Patients

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill carty <bcarty1@csi.com>
Message-ID: <3737D3A2.64400EF0@csi.com>
Source record: 119177

it may be something local. Each ambulance service, and each paramedic service use codes that have become local practices. A good case in point is Columbus fire uses a code one signal to denote a DOA, or death at a fire scene, while the red cross uses I have a code 4 at my scene which means I have four dead victims, and uses the phrase I am on channel one when they can't talk on the radio with out others nearby hearing what is said. All of these codes are specific to our area, and are not used else where. You might talk with your local radio shcak siometimes (not always) they have a list of codes and signals used by EMA, EMTs, and others in the area they serve.
regards,
Bill C.
Joe Drut wrote:

> Emergency ambulance EMTs are using "new" codes to
> describe patient status.2-alpha, 3-bravo, 5-delta, etc. Is
> there a complete posting of these codes somewhere on the
> net? Thanks.

1151. Yupiteru MVT 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: alan-m@clear.net.nz
Message-ID: <37380e34.20393685@news.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 119178

I am thinking of buying one of these scanners and would like to hear from any users what they think of them. I currently own a MVT 7100 so if anyone can give me a comparion between the 8000 and the 7100 that would be appreciated.

Either post replies here of email me direct at alan-m@clear.net.nz

Many thanks in advance for any contributions.

1152. Re: Decoder for Packet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <LVUZ2.3410$%x.2883@wards>
Source record: 119179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<Pro2032@altavista.net> wrote in message
news:hFPZ2.171$jJ4.162040@news.bctel.net...
> Are there any good shareware/freeware programs for
> decoding packet radio?
>
> Where can I find them?
>
Yes, use this one:
http://www.forthnet.gr/sv2agw/start.htm

it is excellent for decoding and it transmits as well just a sound card
needed for monitoring and an hamcomm interface for transmit

eavsdropper

1153. Re: Yupiteru MVT 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <K%UZ2.3424$%x.2867@wards>
Source record: 119180

Alan McGrath <alan-m@clear.net.nz> wrote in message
news:37380e34.20393685@news.ihug.co.nz...
> I am thinking of buying one of these scanners and would like to hear
> from any users what they think of them. I currently own a MVT 7100
> so if anyone can give me a comparion between the 8000 and the 7100
> that would be appreciated.
>
> Either post replies here of email me direct at alan-m@clear.net.nz
>
> Many thanks in advance for any contributions.

They seem OK, I had one quite a while ago and now my mum has it :-)
Nothing special just an ok all round little radio, I also have the
discriminator output for it now just ask if you want it

eavsdropper

1154. ICOM R 1 AND PRO2037 SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990511084723.05021.00001723@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 119181

SOLD BOTH OF THEM

THANKS

1155. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@mental.midget
Message-ID: <373b167b.44844305@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 119182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Retard - shut your pie hole or start posting something related to this NG !!!!

You have NEVER, NEVER posted an on topic message. You have been challenged to produce relevant posts via dejanews in the past and have NEVER, NEVER been able to.

Take your medicine you FOOL!

On Sat, 08 May 1999 17:58:13 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Bill Conduit, PLEASE stop trying to cause problems on
>this NG.
>
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
>> In <3733c40f.1328136@enews.newsguy.com> no@mail (Fred) writes:
>> >
>> >ps@adnc.com (Peter W. Singer) wrote:
>> >
>> >>http://www.sdreader.com/ed/city/arch/C041599.STM
>> >
>> >
>> >Old news (as you can see by the date in the URL). Nobody cares about
>> him
>> >anymore.
>>
>> Ass-wipe, speak for thy self.
>>
>> bill conduit
>> etc.
>>

1156. AOR3000 (NOT THE 3000A) 4 SALE 450.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990511085218.05021.00001726@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 119183

AOR3000 FOR SALE WITH MOUNTING BRACKET
AC/DC ADAPT

450.00 US DOLLARS

PICKUP IN NORTHERN NEW JERSEY
PRICE IS FIRM
OR WILL SHIP
PREPAYMENT OF A US POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY
TERMS ARE FIRM

THANKS
FOR READING

1157. Re: Radio Shack/New Jersey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dillon J Rice <riced@pgocm5.ksc.nasa.gov>
Message-ID: <37382B7A.9E52C6DA@pgocm5.ksc.nasa.gov>
Source record: 119184
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

As a former Radio Shack employee, I can tell you that as far as rebates and offers go all Shack stores will be the same with the exception of Cellular Phones. Each Cellular carrier in a different city will offer a different promo but as far as scanners go the prices and deals should be the same.

If a Radio Shack in your area does not have a particular scanner in stock, its because the store manager did not bother to order it. If you know you want a particular model, you can have it sent directly to you Via a service called NMQ.

As a tip, if you're looking for a deal sometimes it pays to visit the smaller low-volume stores that might not move clearance merchandise as quickly as a mall store. Usually, discontinued merchandise will drop in price about 10% a month.

Brian Smith wrote:

> In article <34A8CE62.323EE2BF@online.no>, Knut Otterbeck <otterbec@online.no> wrote:
> >As a foreigner I would like to ask a few questions on
> >"Rat Shack" in New Jersey (going there late january '98) :
> >
> >- Does all the big Malls have a Radio Shack store?
> >
>
> Not all malls, but a lot of them do. Most towns of any decent size have a
> Radio Shack. Sometimes they're in malls, sometimes they're not. When you get
> to where you're going, get a copy of the telephone directory and look up Radio
> Shack. In the "yellow pages" they'll probably be listed under
> "elecronics-retail" or something similar. If there's one near you, they'll be
> in the phone book. Or better yet, if you're staying at a hotel, just ask the
> front desk. They probably know where the closest one is. Everyone has to
> shop at Radio Shack at one time or another.
>
> >- Are special offers only valid in certain stores, or
> > does the whole chain of stores have the same offers
> > at any given time ?
> >
>
> Both. Sometimes you can stumble on a really good deal on something that one
> store has that others don't, but for the most part everything they advertise
> at a certain price is for everywhere.
>
> >- Can one store offer same item (at same price) as any
> > other Radio Shack simply by back-ordering this from a
> > main-supply?
> >

>
> Probably.
>
> >BTW: What is the biggest Radio Shack store in N.J., and
> >where is it situated? Do the bigger stores typically have
> >more offers/rebates and a wider number of products?
> >
>
> The difference between a "large" Radio Shack and a small Radio Shack isn't
> that great. For something like a scanner, the size of the store probably
> won't have any bearing upon what they carry or have in stock.
>
> >FYI: I want to buy a PRO-2042/2045 with an Opto. OS535 PC
> >interface. Anything I should be aware of ? And will these
> >items still be in stock this coming spring?
> >
> >
>
> The clerk at the Radio Shack probably has no idea what an Opto OS535 PC
> interface is. There shouldn't be any problem finding the most expensive
> scanner Radio Shack makes in stock somewhere in New Jersey. Good Luck, and
> happy hunting.

1158. Using 2 soundcards on the same PC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kapou <kapou@cybercable.fr>
Message-ID: <37383111.7255876A@cybercable.fr>
Source record: 119185

Hi everyone.

Well, here is my problem... I'd like to install 2 soundcards on the same PC (under Win98) to perform a good digital modes reception. The first one may work with Chromasound, to perform a DSP filtering of the weak signals, and the second one should be used with a digital mode decoding software.
I didn't get any success when I tried to install both a Vibra16 (creativelabs), and a PCI64 clone from Ensoniq. Well, these cards are pnp, the system accepts to install them, but after there is no way (of my known) to use the two cards. If one accepts to work, the other one gets conflicts.

Can anyone help me to do this, please ?

1159. Re: Question on a PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <7h9d1p$815$2@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 119186

Scott Dernbach (stalker@lvcm.com) wrote:
: I have a Pro-2006 scanner with a OPTO456 Lite board installed, and all has
: been working GREAT until recently. When I turn the unit on sometimes it will
: work just fine (In normal & opto control), but sometimes it is just locked
: up from the getgo. NOW when I turn it on the display will stay BLANK with
: only the backlight working....when I access it from the opto board it works
: fine, just no longer a display when not using the opto. When the unit locked
: up before, a simple process of un-plugging the unit from power, and turning
: it on fixed the problem, but no longer.

: ANY and ALL help would be greatly appreciated, as I use this radio
: regularly!

: Thanks,

: Scott

Prehaps one of the connections between the opto board and the scanner is
either loose or oxidised.
Try unpluging the connectors and plugging back together again....I suspect
your problem will be solved.

1160. Re: Uniden 200XLT problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: f22rapier1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990512155858.14716.00000206@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 118987

>
>My Bearcat 200XLT's battery slowly discharged to the point where it
>doesnt work. Once I hook up the ac adapter it
>works and is functional. The problem is although my frequencies were
>all remembered, thank gawd, every frequency
>has the l/o or lockout enabled. Is there a secret code where I could
>unlock all my channels?
>Thanks.

Press and hold the L/O button for about 3 seconds until it beeps. this will
clear the lockout for all channels

1161. Re: Weak Signals on 243 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7hcmsp$171$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 118988

snip
>The warblers are probably on the 25kc transponders - I'm not sure what
>purpose they serve.
They are to allow the operators of the small handheld radio to find
the bird. I can not remember the model number for the tr but they are
nifty. An smallish wire mesh (foladable) dish is the antenna.

1162. Batteryt Voltage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7hcnb6$171$2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 118989

Ther have been some confussed people wondering about the voltage
their radio needs.
I am unaware of any comercial radio that has internal regulators to
step, asay, +12V down to whatever.
Count the number of cells that your battery "pack" holds.
My Pro34 holds a total of 6.
So... 6X1.5=9.0V
My RF2200 (yea it is not a scanner but it is a radio!)uses 4 "D" cells
for a voltage of 4X1.4=6V
This is why nicads are not alway a good choice.
6X1.25=7.5V
4X1.25=5V
And this is for freshly charged batteries.
My Pro34 is nearly deaf on nicads.
But you still must be VER CAREFULL about either connecting power to the
battery terminals or to an external power jack.
My Icom gear has the shell negative/ground and the tip positive/hot,
and some of my radioshack gear has the sleave hot/positive with the
tip being negative/ground.
And while a lot of radios have a "protection" diode [that robs you of
another 0.7V), not all radios are so equiped and radios sure can stink
when fried.

1163. Re: Weak Signals on 243 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: corbin@ampere.physics.ucla.edu
Message-ID: <7hcnrb$2d5$1@carroll.library.ucla.edu>
Source record: 118990

That what I suspected, though a dedicated beacon sort of feels like
a waste of bandwidth if you could home in on - say a RTTY signal instead...

On 12 May 1999 20:04:41 GMT, HighWaysinHiding <we@bulldog.org> wrote:
>snip
>>The warblers are probably on the 25kc transponders - I'm not sure what
>>purpose they serve.
> They are to allow the operators of the small handheld radio to find
>the bird. I can not remember the model number for the tr but they are
>nifty. An smallish wire mesh (foladable) dish is the antenna.
>

1164. Re: Weak Signals on 243 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wb5agz@dc.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <7hcntj$fv3$1@lotus.onenet.net>
Source record: 118991

Thanks for the information. I suspected that they might be geosynchronous satellites, but I wasn't sure. As for wide-band carriers at 250 MHZ, I don't recall hearing anything. I live in a neighborhood of mostly single-family houses. There is an amazing amount of crud audible from slight cable TV leakage, the harmonics of the local oscillators in FM radios and televisions as well as other unidentified signals which I believe to be EMI from the clocks in nearby personal computers, etc. It is quite possible that the wide-band signals exist, but were missed due to the other stuff which is purely local.

One time, I thought there was something exciting going on. I heard a strong signal which seemed to be coming from a moving automobile. I thought it might be a eaves-dropping device of some kind because I could hear music and thought I heard voices. The frequency was around 230 MHZ. After listening off and on for a day or so, I realized that I was listening to the second harmonic of the local oscillator in my neighbor's car radio. The neighbor was listening to a local FM station at 105.5. The oscillator was at 116.2 which doubles to 232.4. The music I heard was just a bit of secondary modulation caused probably by an AFC circuit in the car radio which tried to pull the oscillator exactly on center, nothing any more exciting than that.

Another time, I picked up a strong wide-band signal in the 280 MHZ range. This signal swept back and forth a few KHZ at a rate of a couple of cycles per second. I could hear sound on this carrier and I realized that the sounds were coming from a group of guys laughing and talking outside of a car which was near our house. The audio was not good like might come from a good microphone, but rather basy and strange as if it was being picked up by something sensitive to vibrations such as a motion sensor.

I think that what I was listening to was some sort of car alarm or related security device that sends a signal back to the owner's receiver. The sweeping carrier was probably no more than the effect of a blinking LED on a very voltage-sensitive oscillator. I truly don't know. I am just guessing, but the frequencies between 215 and 420 MHZ can hold some exciting listening at times. You do have to be patient, however. There are lots of MHZ with absolutely nothing that an ordinary person can make heads or tails of, and then something will come along that really grabs one's attention for a while.

Martin McCormick

1165. Freqs Needed - Scottsdale PD AZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <wmccoy@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3739E31D.13ABF8BA@mindspring.com>
Source record: 118992

Could someone help me. I'm
trying to get the Scottsdale, AZ
PD frequencies.

Thanks in advance,

Bill in Tempe, AZ

1166. Re: OS456 vs. CE-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Knut Otterbeck <otterbeck@c2i.net>
Message-ID: <7hcokkmfe1@romeo.dax.net>
Source record: 118993

Excellent report there ,Wiggie!
I printed it all out for nice-to-know and reference matters :)

Regards,
Knut Otterbeck

Wiggie <wjm2207@kcinter.net> wrote in message
news:373913f0.1967209@enews.newsguy.com...
> On Tue, 11 May 1999 21:32:49 GMT, nolimit3o3 <nolimit3o3@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> > Would like pro's and cons on these interfaces.. i have 2 2006's
> >and want to keep one stock for a backup/collectors radio and mod the hell
> >out of the other hehe.. basicly all im left with is deciding which
> >interface to use.. any sugestions? I would like to know what the pro's
> >and con's are between the two though :)
> >
> >NoLiMiT3o3
>
> I have posted several pros n' cons of both interfaces. Here is a
> repeat of some of my ramblings. There may be some others that you can
> look up on www.deja.com.

>snip<

> Wiggie

1167. Re: Batteryt Voltage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edmund Prevost <Protech@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <3739EAA5.6DA1@concentric.net>
Source record: 118994

HighWaysinHiding wrote:
>
> Ther have been some confussed people wondering about the voltage
> their radio needs.
> I am unaware of any comercial radio that has internal regulators to
> step, asay, +12V down to whatever.
> Count the number of cells that your battery "pack" holds.
> My Pro34 holds a total of 6.
> So... 6X1.5=9.0V
> My RF2200 (yea it is not a scanner but it is a radio!)uses 4 "D" cells
> for a voltage of 4X1.4=6V
> This is why nicads are not alway a good choice.
> 6X1.25=7.5V
> 4X1.25=5V
> And this is for freshly charged batteries.
> My Pro34 is nearly deaf on nicads.
> But you still must be VER CAREFULL about either connecting power to the
> battery terminals or to an external power jack.
> My Icom gear has the shell negative/ground and the tip positive/hot,
> and some of my radioshack gear has the sleave hot/positive with the
> tip being negative/ground.
> And while a lot of radios have a "protection" diode [that robs you of
> another 0.7V), not all radios are so equiped and radios sure can stink
> when fried.

My AR8000 steps 12vdc down to 5Vdc(4@1.25), my bc2500 steps it down to
6.25v, and if i felt like getting out my pro 90, well i'm sure it would
to. Aux power adapters and internal operating voltages are almost always
different.
--
Edmund A. Prevost, LPI
Protech Investigations, LLC
New Orleans, Louisiana
1-800-752-6756
FAX 504-454-3133
Protech@Concentric.Net

1168. Re: PL tones on5 nationwide police frequencies (800 MHz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: billf@vicksburg.org
Message-ID: <926542840.270.40@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118995
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It's a nationwide PL Code.

In article <7h5p39eru1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, KJones@sprintmail.com says...
>
>
>
>Is there a standard PL tone for the 5 800 MHz police intercoordination
>frequencies? I know that at least 2 agencies here use 156.7 Hz. Is this
>the same everywhere?
>
>
>--
>Ken Jones
>KJones@sprintmail.com
>
>
>
>

1169. hotel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Silverman <scubaguy@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7hcpvi$o1v$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118996

I need hotel frequencies. I will be staying at a quality inn in GA.

Thanks in advance

1170. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Xiccarph <portw002@tc.umn.edu>
Message-ID: <3739ABAC.24DF6635@tc.umn.edu>
Source record: 118997
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just another example of criminalization by govt decree of something otherwise legal being labelled as illegal via semantics. Back in the "old days", any idiot in the radio/electronic hobby would know a "radiator" meant it transmitted RF, now all Big Brother needs to do is call something whatever would conveniently put it under a Big Brother controlled rule. This opens the door to Big Brother dictating how we can configure our PC's as they often have Part 15 stickers. If scanners can be controlled just by sticking them into Part 15, just think of all the appliances and devices out there that bear Part 15 compliance stickers the Feds can now tell us how to use. Pretty much gives the gov't the legal right to "unauthorize" just about any techy thing we use as individuals.
MIke

Skip Sanders wrote:

>
> >
> > What is the legal basis for the FCC to authorize operating a scanner? Has
> > the
> > > FCC ever voided such authorization for an individual person?
> > >
> > Well, here's WHY that caution is in there...
> >
> > --------------
> > TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
> >
> > CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> >
> > PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents

snipped....

1171. Re: Optocom and Palm Pilot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck D'Antonio <c_dantonio@harvard.edu>
Message-ID: <3739E79C.FF8B5E31@harvard.edu>
Source record: 118998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

SiD wrote:
>
> I am considering buying a Palm Pilot to use in conjunction with my
> Optocom. I was hoping to get some feedback from some of you that may
> have used it. How much can you control the radio from the Palm Pilot? I
> looked on the web for the Palm Pilot software, but I yielded no results.
> Any information is appreciated.
>

I have used a beta version of the software both with my Palm III and with POSE (the emulator software used for testing and debugging Palm software). I found it to work well the few times that I used it. I haven't used it all that much because it is bare bones software -- fairly equivalent to the optocom.exe program that comes with the radio.

It does have its own scanning engine, with skip and lockout functions (as I recall). It doesn't support any frequency database on the Palm or alpha-tagging. In January I spent some time with the source code trying to build something for that functionality, but various other commitments led me to set aside my efforts.

I don't know that I'd buy a Palm just for use with the Opto. I'm happy to have the software since I have both already, but it's not a full-featured commercial package (nor is it meant to). Hopefully other developers will pick up on the base of functionality that's available in source code form from Optoelectronics and come up with some slick stuff for the Palm, but it's not there yet. Better yet, I hope I'll have a chance to return to my project with it.

I've heard that WinCE software is under development for the Opto. If you're looking to buy a handheld solely for scanning -- it pains me to say it, since I love my Palm -- but that may end up being the way to go. WinCE devices, with their subset of Win32, are likely to get scaled down versions of Windows scanning software.

Chuck

--
Chuck D'Antonio
Programmer/Analyst
FAS Administrative Computing
Harvard University

1172. Peoria, AZ. PD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: HoochMonger <hooch.monger@usa.net>
Message-ID: <926548092.257.87@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118999
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm trying to reprogram my scanner. Can anyone pass along whatever frequencies you have for the Peoria, AZ. Police? Thanks!!

1173. Sam's photofacts (FS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990512184103.10234.00002416@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 119000

I have the following Sam's CB Radio Photofacts for sale...
Books cover radio schematics & aligning procedures
for different CB radios, as well as parts lists for each radio
(Volumes, 5, 11, 13, 19, 25)
Books are in good shape, I would rate them a high 7.
Books are used and have wear on the covers.
Inside pages are in excellent shape and all attached.
I have the radios each volume covers listed in a file
and can E-mail the lists directly to you if requested.
I would list them here, but would rather save the space
for others.
I am asking $7.00 each or all for $30.00

Prices are firm & includes shipping in the USA
I can ship COD, you pay shipping & COD charges.

If interested in all or part of these books
contact TRAMD300@aol.com

Thank you...

1174. Camp Shelby Range, Miss Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas Marcotte <marcotte@iamerica.net>
Message-ID: <3739C2B9.7729@iamerica.net>
Source record: 119001

Can someone in Miss furnish low band FM freqs for the A-10's which shoot up the Camp Shelby range in Mississippi?

I hear these guys on 138.425 and 139.625 all the time, and then they switch to FM.

Any ideas on FM freqs for this range?

73 Tom

1175. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7hd1ag$hk0$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 119002

What "Activity" was that ? The only thing I have seen on this group for over a year was a few automated postings. The "nut cake" who is probably behind all this just can`t let go , that`s all. Bill left the group a long time ago !

Ron <badserviceSPAM@home.com> wrote in message news:Vwa_2.3915$LL3.190308@news2.rdc1.on.home.com...
> Sounds like the guys behind his arrest frequent this group and may also have
> gotten tired of his crap.
>

1176. Freqs Needed, Virginia Beach Area, Bush Gardens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990512192900.14000.00001084@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 119003

I am taking a trip thursday morining to V.Beach and Bush Gardens. Any freqs would be helpfull, as all of my freq books are for the New England area. Please e-mail to rabidfool@aol.com asap, as I am leaving at 5 in the am tomorrow morning.

-Thanks to anyone who can help
-rabidfool@aol.com
-rabidfool@aol.com

1177. Re: Please Read.. Ham Radio on IRC Chasing away hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: George White <deeply@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7hd42osta0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 119004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Rick Todd wrote:

> You wont find that problem in #cqdx on the othernet servers. Come on over some
> time for good chat and real time dx spots.
>
> James Keller wrote:
>
> > Hello Everyone.
> > I think everyone should take note of Don K Muth.
> > Don has founded on #undernet on Irc the channel #hamradio.
> > During a visit there using the nickname mrguard (irc doenst
> > require callsigns or anything), Don numerous times tried to
> > force me into using my callsign as my nick.
> > I told Don that my callsign is N8KGU and that i perfer not to use it on irc.
> > Some of the channels I know I dont want the others being able to reach me
> > via callsign servers.
> > Don asked if at Dayton I would use mrguard on the radio, I answered that
> > I am free to use N8KGU or KC8LAM, both are issued to me.
> > Don then proceeded to harass me and eventually kick me off of this
> > saying that it is his channel.
> > In a discussion under a different nick, Don admitted that he can
> > do as he feels and that he is free to chase anyone away from his channel.
> > plus:
> > <AH6KA> he came with nick and said he wudnt use his call and gave me static
> > so i moderated then he tried to use
> > both calls
> > <othercall> you gave him static
> > <othercall> he stated what both calls were.
> > <AH6KA> i shoulld have just kicked his ass
> >
> > Is this how decent we want ambassadors of our hobby to be to hams and
> > to those interested in our hobby?
> > Several attempts were to tell Don that the hobby has enough problems on
> > 40 meters as well as losing folks to irc and the internet.
> >
> > Does everyone accept the fact that folks like Don can deem the channel private
> > and alienate everyone from the hobby?
> > My suggestion to Don was to form his own channel, maybe #ah6ka.
> >
> > I would suggest that everyone visit Undernet on IRC and join #hamradio
> > feel free to meet other hams, use any handle you want.
> >
> > You can Email Don at: Email: ah6ka@gte.net

> >
> > Please dont let the hobby get ruined again.
> >
> > Thanks Again
> > James
> > N8KGU

If I wanted to be a real pain in the kiester, I could hook my irc network into dalnet and make his life hell.
That's only if I want to be a pain in the kiester. I figure it this way...as long as he don't harrass me, I won't
have to drop his client every time he logs on. :)

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet

1178. FS:Bearcat BC12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: swldd@proaxis.com
Message-ID: <373A1289.787E@proaxis.com>
Source record: 119005

For sale; Bearcat BC12 scanner (uses xtals) $25 plus shipping,
if you are interested please e-mail me direct. Thanks---Doug

1179. Re: Please Read.. Ham Radio on IRC Chasing away hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <CCE1FAAA77B2D602.87FB783728CED2CB.A03D9920CA034C88@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If #hamradio was started and ran by someone who insists that you use your callsign then sorry,
you either need to abide by his rules or leave the channel.
It is his channel you know, he can do whatever he wants in HIS channel.
I agree though, I sure the hell don't want people on the internet knowing who I am.
If I posted my callsign here, then someone could easily look me up, find my home # and address.
I don't want a bunch of internet freaks knowing who I am or where I live as the internet has proven
itself extremely dangerous with some of the kooks out there.

1180. Re: Please tell me about any AM/FM/Aircrabt bands radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary B <GARBRE@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <3739e009@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 119007

Carlos,

You may want to look at a Bearcat BC-350a. It's probably one of the most affordable and full-functioned scanners that you will find. It has good air band reception, but doesn't cover 800 mhz.
No direct-entry, but for < $100 at wall mart and probably half that used, it should do the job quite well.

73,

Gary

John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net> wrote in message news:Tmj_2.4158$qQ4.89376@news2.giganews.com...
> If you want to be able to have squelch capabilities you will need a scanner.
> RadioShack sells a little hand held radio with the airband on it. However,
> when there is not an active transmission (which is about 95% of the time)
> you will have to listen to an open squelch. Fortunately since aircraft use
> the AM mode instead of FM mode the noise from an open squelch isn't quite as
> annoying as the loud static of open squelch FM. If you are going to listen
> to the radio alot I would still recommend a scanner.
>
> <carlosl@marte.cinv.iteso.mx> wrote in message
> news:7hc8hqafe1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > Hi !
> >
> > I want to receive ONLY the aircraft band but don't want
> > to invest in a scanner.
> >
> > Could somebody tell me about any type of AM/FM/Aircraft
> > radio in the market ?
> >
> > Thank you very much.
> >
> > Carlos
> >
> >
> > --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> > ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
>
>

1181. Help Bearcat Thin Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Levent <levent@netlabs.net>
Message-ID: <373A29CB.C823DEA5@netlabs.net>
Source record: 119008

Hi All,

I need to repair this oldie. I need some help for the open this any idea
?

Thanks
Levent

1182. FS: BC950xlt w/CTCSS Tone Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Kerrn <takerrn@ilstu.edu>
Message-ID: <373A3005.B40C171E@ilstu.edu>
Source record: 119009

Bearcat BC950XLT Mobile/Base Scanner. 12 Bands including 800mhz, 100 channel scanning, illuminated LCD display/controls, fully programmable. This unit includes CTCSS tone (private line) board installed. Shipped in original box and packing material. Includes AC and DC connectors, manual, and antenna. Nice size for mobile mounting (6.25" wide by 7.5" deep by 1.7" high).

$100.00 shipped to continental U.S. - Payment method certified check, money order, postal money order.

--
Ted Kerrn
mailto:takerrn@ilstu.edu
http://www.ilstu.edu/~takerrn

1183. Incident Paging & Radio Groups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <373A3326.D9C73D08@intsvc.com>
Source record: 119010
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you are an avid scanner listener and want to recieve pages to your personal alphanumeric pager or two way radio then check out the following page: http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

Over 40 groups listed that cover areas in the US and Canada, if you know of a group not listed, email me with the information and I will add them.

--
Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com> ICQ# 19943355
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
ICP509 - Dispatcher & National Coordinator

Listing of incident notification groups throughout the country
http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont/

*** The views expressed here are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated.***

1184. Incident Paging & Radio Groups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <373A3235.D265139F@intsvc.com>
Source record: 119011
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you are an avid scanner listener and want to recieve pages to your personal alphanumeric pager or two way radio then check out the following page: http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

Over 40 groups listed that cover areas in the US and Canada, if you know of a group not listed, email me with the information and I will add them.

--
Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com> ICQ# 19943355
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
ICP509 - Dispatcher & National Coordinator

Listing of incident notification groups throughout the country
http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont/

*** The views expressed here are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated.***

1185. FS PRO-2005 unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: dbh1@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <7hdbup$5fo$1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 119012

Receive over 196,000 channels. Police, Fire, Aircraft, Military, FBI, Secret Service, CIA, Etc. Many mods avaiable for this unit. You just have to find them, the most versitle scanner ever made!! Comes with original antenna and manual, both mint. No scratches or dings, bright display. On a scale of 1-10, 9+ $250.00 buyer to pay S&H, MO or cashiers check.
Any questions, e-mail me..dbh1@mindspring.com

1186. Re: Peoria, AZ. PD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <373A352D.4B939E88@intsvc.com>
Source record: 119013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ch1 453.650 Dispatch & main ops
Ch3 460.600 Tactical and car to car
Ch5 460.350 " "
Ch6 453.675 " "

HoochMonger wrote:

> I'm trying to reprogram my scanner. Can anyone pass along whatever
> frequencies you have for the Peoria, AZ. Police? Thanks!!

--
Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com> ICQ# 19943355
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
ICP509 - Dispatcher & National Coordinator

Listing of incident notification groups throughout the country
http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont/

*** The views expressed here are my own and not that of ICP unless
otherwise stated.***

1187. Re: Using 2 soundcards on the same PC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <373A384C.7C752581@dnai.com>
Source record: 119014
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: boscoj.vcf

You have to setup two different hardware profiles, go into System and follow
the directions on saving your existing profile and making a new one

Kapou wrote:

> Hi everyone.
>
> Well, here is my problem... I'd like to install 2 soundcards on the same
> PC (under Win98) to perform a good digital modes reception. The first
> one may work with Chromasound, to perform a DSP filtering of the weak
> signals, and the second one should be used with a digital mode decoding
> software.
> I didn't get any success when I tried to install both a Vibra16
> (creativelabs), and a PCI64 clone from Ensoniq. Well, these cards are
> pnp, the system accepts to install them, but after there is no way (of
> my known) to use the two cards. If one accepts to work, the other one
> gets conflicts.
>
> Can anyone help me to do this, please ?

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

1188. Re: Weak Signals on 243 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Larson <ricklarson@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <926563849.526.96@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 119015

snipped>
> Man... amen and amen...
snipped>

Has anyone looked up the authorized use for 243.0 MHZ.
Unless I'm totally wrong it is used for emergency transmissions
related to Military - Air communications.
These transmissions would be AM mode.
The frequency 121.5 AM also has an Air emergency use.
243.0 was purposely chosen to be the second harmonic of 121.5

Happy Scanning

Rick

1189. Schematic for Tennelec MS-2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Stowell <kstowell@bentonrea.com>
Message-ID: <car_2.4075$LP2.88463@news6.ispnews.com>
Source record: 119016

Greetings

I am looking for a schematic for my Tennelec MS-2. I will pay for copies, original prints and service manuals, whatever it takes, I am interested. Also interested in other units working or not.

Thanks A lot!!

Ken Stowell
kstowell@bentonrea.com

P.O. Box 70
Mabton, WA 98935

1190. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <7gr_2.118$IZ.15532@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 119017

Odd that that nut cake has been real quiet compared to his normal 30 posts a day. Nut cake always posted, he didn't need Cheek to lead him on like a trained monkey. Now all of a sudden Cheek is busted nutcase quit posting. Lost access? New McDonalds opening? Embarrassed he got caught lying about the number of licenses he has? All of the above?

Yep, Bill was a man of his word about one thing. He quit the group on his own and stayed away. Nut cake said many times he would quit posting if Bill did. The rest is history and nut cake's version of history.

Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com> wrote in message
news:7hd1ag$hk0$1@garnet.mint.net...
> What "Activity" was that ? The only thing I have seen on this group for
over
> a year was a few automated postings. The "nut cake" who is probably behind
> all this just can`t let go , that`s all. Bill left the group a long time
ago
> !
>
> Ron <badserviceSPAM@home.com> wrote in message
> news:Vwa_2.3915$LL3.190308@news2.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > Sounds like the guys behind his arrest frequent this group and may also
> have
> > gotten tired of his crap.
> >
>
>
>

1191. Cell & Cordless frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Udo Schmiedl <udo@u.washington.edu>
Message-ID: <7hdg94kqa1@nntp3.u.washington.edu>
Source record: 119018

I have an old (1-2 years) Radio Shack handheld scanner...
Does anyone know of any cell phone or cordless phone frequencies that
wouldn't be blocked? I would appreciate it if someone replies with anything
other than a flame because cell listening is illegal.

1192. Re: Florida freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <373A4C8D.4F6A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119019
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dave wrote:
>
> I am leaving for southern florida in 1 week. I need some help getting
> a web page that list the freqs for broward, dade and plam beach
> counties. I realize that most of these counties are trunked. So I need
> the trunking info and conventional info for all the public safety
> depts in these areas.
>
> You can email me at N6RHL@mho.net
>
> Thanks, Dave..

Check out the following URLs:

http://junior.apk.net/~mikef/ohsflpag.html

http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/fl.html#Broward
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/fl/broward.htm

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/fl/dade.htm

http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/fl.html

1193. Re: Film crew in R.I. - need their frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <373A4D94.6531@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119020

Mr. NoSpam wrote:
>
> We have a film crew here in my town filming a Jim Carey movie.
>
> They all have radio's but I have been unable to locate their
> frequencies.
>
> Anyone have any idea?
>
> Thanks....Ric

I would start by searching the following frequencies first:

152.870 152.900 152.930 152.960 152.990 153.020
173.225 173.275 173.325 173.375

Bob

1194. Where can I get the CU8232 made by AOR in the US?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Speedbaron <speedbaronGETMEOUT@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vjs_2.23017$ny.1533476@typhoon-sf.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 119021

Where can I get the CU8232 made by AOR in the US?

1195. BC 235xlt Battery Mod.????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Satan <Satan@river.styx>
Message-ID: <6ts_2.12371$_m5.2134334@newsread1-mx.centuryinter.net>
Source record: 119022
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know of a Mod. to stop the scanner from shutting down when the battery gets low????I would like to let the battery go completely dead because after awhile the batteries get memory effect and they don't last as long as before.I know of other scanners that the Mod. can be performed on but I don't have information on this scanner.Can anyone help????------Thanks in advance----!!!!!!

1196. Re: FS: BC950xlt w/CTCSS Tone Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <373A642A.718A586A@netxn.com>
Source record: 119023

How old is this unit ??? What type of antenna connector does it use ???
BNC or Motorola ??? Does it use AA batteries for memory back-up ???

Thanks ...

Mike Derryberry

Ted Kerrn wrote:
>
> Bearcat BC950XLT Mobile/Base Scanner. 12 Bands including 800mhz, 100
> channel scanning, illuminated LCD display/controls, fully
> programmable. This unit includes CTCSS tone (private line) board
> installed. Shipped in original box and packing material. Includes AC
> and DC connectors, manual, and antenna. Nice size for mobile mounting
> (6.25" wide by 7.5" deep by 1.7" high).
>
> $100.00 shipped to continental U.S. - Payment method certified check,
> money order, postal money order.
>
>
>
>
> --
> Ted Kerrn
> mailto:takerrn@ilstu.edu
> http://www.ilstu.edu/~takerrn
>

1197. Re: Good Source for Crystals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Pekarek <ericpekarek@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7heko8$ks2$2@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119024

if you want scanner crystals try Rip off shack if they don't work they take
them back at no questions asked
jammin5107@my-dejanews.com wrote in message <7h84iq$1mg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <7h7tpp$qi9@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>,
> myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>> In <37377589.19327557@news.teleport.com> kew@-NOSPAM?teleport.cem
>> (Keith) writes:
>> >
>> >Anyone know a good source for crystals? The only one I have found is
>> JAN and
>> >they want 24.99 a pop.
>> >
>> >Best Regards,
>> >
>> >Keith
>>
>> try scanner's unlimited in San Carlos in Calif
>> on Laurel Street...seek information's help for
>> phone number
>>
>> he may still have a supply...used to have
>> enormous
>>
>> ever thimk of Radio Shack
>> you should
>> they have em for
>> special orders...last
>> time I bought crystals cost me
>> 7bucks each at RS
>>
>> bill conduit
>> etc.
>> This ones from Leroy NY they have thousands,about 4 bucks!
> http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/
>
>
>--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
>---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1198. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Pekarek <ericpekarek@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7heko7$ks2$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119025

no plans for the Riverside area roomer has it there is no resale value
PVBoy33 wrote in message <19990511024819.06460.00000473@ng-fa1.aol.com>...
>karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com wrote:
>
>
>
>>Anyone seen one on the highway yet? I read an article they are going to
>>test them for possible service use when the Crown Vic production stops in
>>the early 2000's.
>>
>
>I saw one code three on n/b I-5 in Sacramento about a week ago... Did a
>double-take when I saw it... Kinda looks like a Crown-Vic from the front...
>PVBoy33@aol.com - Official Member : Vast Right-Wing Conspiracy

1199. Re: Motorola Gm300 Lab Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Attila <attila@hun.com>
Message-ID: <373A5162.41E0@hun.com>
Source record: 119026

You are asking someone to conspire with you to commit a crime under federal law in the U.S. and international law outside the U.S. law. The Big M owns the copywrite on the software your requesting and pirating it is illegal under both criminal and civil law. Do you really want to involve someone esle in such a scheme?

In addition, any lab software is STOLEN from the Big M. It is not supposed to be available outside of there development labs.

Max-Com Radio Systems wrote:
>
> I have had a major glitch with my vhf gm300 and i am in desperate need of
> the lab software that will enable me to blank the radio to start a fresh.
> anyone can help me please !!!!!
>
> email me on maxcom@eisa.net.au

1200. FS:Uniden Bearcat BC235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan <catdoc@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3738CC1A.DFB09170@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 119037

I have a brand new in the Box Uniden Bearcat BC235xlt Handheld Trunk
Tracker Scanner.
The scanner has a full factory warranty .
It comes with two ni-cad rechargeable batteries and charger.
I want $150.00 plus the shipping cost..

1201. Re: Weak Signals on 243 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: corbin@ampere.physics.ucla.edu
Message-ID: <7hair7$79p$1@carroll.library.ucla.edu>
Source record: 119038

The data signals in the 243-244 region are from the narrowband (5kc)
transponders on some of the military's geosync birds (FLTSATCOM and UFO).
On the FLTSATCOM platforms, these are refered to as AFSATCOM channels...

The warblers are probably on the 25kc transponders - I'm not sure what
purpose they serve.

On a related topic --- has anyone else noted rather strong, wideband
data signals and/or associated carriers in the 250-300 MHz range?

On 11 May 1999 21:10:37 GMT, Martin McCormick <wb5agz@dc.cis.okstate.edu> wrote:
>
> I can hear very weak signals on many frequencies between 243
>and 244 MHZ. I am receiving them through a diskcone antenna so the
>signals aren't much above the noise level, but a few things strike me
>as interesting.
>
> They never really vary much in strength so they may be from
>military satellites. There is no Doppler shift or fading but they do
>not seem to increase with atmospheric ducting when other signals do
>get better.
>
> Most of the signals appear to be data channels of some kind
>but one or two seem to have voice on them. They are just too weak to
>demodulate. For all I know, the voice may be a harmonic from
>something else. There is also another similar spectrum of frequencies
>near 248 mhz with a number of really wobbly sounding carriers and some
>that sweep back and forth such that if one listens to them with a BFO,
>they sound like a fire siren.
>
> What are these? Can anybody say without being killed?:-)
>
>Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
>OSU Center for Computing and Information Services Data Communications Group

1202. Re: OS456 vs. CE-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoLiMiT3o3 <nolimit3o3@home.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.3.96.990511184719.813B-100000@C202805-A.whtrdg1.co.home.com>
Source record: 119039

Hmm.. ok, yeah i would like to take it in the car every now and
then, but i also like the idea of having all those hook ups in the back
without having to cut up the chassis.. hmm.. this could take some
consideration hehe.. thanks for the info :)

NoLiMiT3o3

1203. Re: GPS?? Specifically, the DeLorme Tripmate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bheaton@rmi.net
Message-ID: <3738d411.83845121@news.rmi.net>
Source record: 119040

Do a quick net search for "Tripmate" AND "APRS". There are several pages that show how to do this and even how to tie the output line to the input line to get the ASTRAL string in for auto initialization.

BDH

On Tue, 11 May 1999 16:14:42 -0400, "Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote:

>I have a DeLorme Tripmate. Overall, pleased.
>
>I just recently realized why it sometimes takes a long time to
>'power up' (it may be trying to load some kind of atlas') and
>that the batteries are crucial.
>
>In that regard, I see that DeLorme sells, for $40, a kit to power
>the think off of either the mini-din connector on a laptop for a
>keyboard, or directly from the car lighter socket.
>
>It APPEARS that the kits just apply power to the RI pin on the DB-9,
>then in the unit itself you have a small shorting plug from the center
>pin of the battery connector to the (+) pin. I'm assuming you can
>apply +5v only to this pin.
>
>Does anyone have one of this kits they can look at? Have I hit it
>fairly accurately?
>
>I'd by the DeLorme kit, but the $40 kit includes the direct lighter
>adapter I have no interest in at all. So if I can make up a little
>DB-9 M-F feedthrough and tap in to pin 9 for the RI from a
>keyboard plug, I can save myself some money!
>
>Mike Yetsko
>
>
>

1204. Re: OS456 vs. CE-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.on.ca
Message-ID: <3739d823.3554816@news.cgocable.net>
Source record: 119041

Very well said about the program and interface, one thing he should know is that this is not a plug and play type of thing, you have to build the CE-232 and install it yourself or have it built and installed, great interface and with the Pro-Turbo software, it makes it even better.
You can find Pro-Turbo at
http://www.iaw.on.ca/~jabba/pro-turbo.htm

On 11 May 1999 23:36:58 GMT, pro43@aol.com (Pro43) wrote:

>I went with the CE-232 because it actually programs the scanner with freqs. I
>believe the OS456 lets you scan files in the computer but not load them into
>the scanners memory. SInce I travel I like to take the freqs with me. Also, I
>can use the CE-232 to auto program my handhelds. With the Pro Turbo software
>the CE-232 is a dream. I do not and have never owned a OS456 though. However I
>have 3 2006's with ce-232's. The PT software allows you to record in a bunch of
>different ways. Like instant record, or loop record so you always have the last
>40 minutes of whats happening, or record only the channels you want or all
>channels at once. THere are also meeters which can be configured for whatever
>you wish (with some additional circuit mods). I have the center tune meter and
>s-meter hooked up. I could go on and on but it would be easier for you to find
>the Pro Turbo site to find out what all it can do. From all Ive read and what I
>like to do I would recommend the CE-232 and Pro Turbo software. Ira Cooper

1205. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkmn01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990511221036.20935.00003467@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 119042

Just re-upped last week. Good mag. Good writers and lots of useful information. Look foreward to getting it every month.

"Dkmn01, all those flames are gonna burn you up kid." "Nah, keeps me warm."

1206. Re: My new Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkmn01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990511221410.20935.00003471@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 119043

How about the manual that came with the AOR 100XLT. Man, talk about confusing.
You were better off just figuring it out for yourself.

"Dkmn01, all those flames are gonna burn you up kid." "Nah, keeps me warm."

1207. Re: FS: Yupiteru MVT-7100 $275 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990511222739.04899.00001399@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 119044

i can get it for that price new..

remove nospam to email

1208. Re: My new Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd Remington <xusmc@psln.com>
Message-ID: <01be9c24$12c049e0$6b7663ce@default>
Source record: 119045

Take a look at the "ONELIST" group on the R-2 also...

jerr1919 <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com> wrote in article
<3736D9C7.6716@tampabay.rr.com>...
> I just received my new R2 from Bandercom. I would appreciate if somebody
> would tell me in easy to understand terms how to search between 2 freq.
> I have not been able to do it, Icom doesn't tell you in a easy way.
> I feel VERY DUMB and STUPID.HELP HELP....Regards Jerr1919
>

1209. Re: The PERFECT defense for Bill Cheek!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kryppy@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <373cefdb.83583587@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 119046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 08 May 1999 18:07:03 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Perhaps Mr. Cheek got busted again by law enforcement???
>
>Regardless, I have spoken with friends of mine who are attorneys combined
>with my own professional clinical knowledge in matters of mental health
>and
>I believe I have found the perfect defense for Bill Cheek in his currect
>legal
>problems of his breaking federal laws.
>
>Bill should plead "Not guilty by reason of Insanity".
>
>Seriously, this would get him out of the trouble!!!! His behavior both
>online &
>offline could CERTAINLY be used to support such a claim.
>
>With my dual profession in both RF Electronics & Mental health Treatment,
>I would be willing
>to appear as an expert witness to help Bill with this claim.

Wow, I should read the newsgroup more OFTEN!

1210. Re: Need to receive 148.6375 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990511235224.10287.00001836@ng24.aol.com>
Source record: 119047

Steve,

When you program in a freq. such as that with a scanner with only four digits to the right of the decimal the display will round the number off, but the radio will actually be tuned to the freq. you entered. At least, that's the case with most of them. Go ahead and try the radio as it is. Chances are it will work just fine.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1211. Re: Need to receive 148.6375 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: dvdobrock@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990511235258.06919.00002870@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 119048

Isn't that close enough-----thats well within the bandwidth!!!!!

1212. Please Read.. Ham Radio on IRC Chasing away hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrguard@iname.com
Message-ID: <7hau7hrii1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello Everyone.
I think everyone should take note of Don K Muth.
Don has founded on #undernet on Irc the channel #hamradio.
During a visit there using the nickname mrguard (irc doenst
require callsigns or anything), Don numerous times tried to
force me into using my callsign as my nick.
I told Don that my callsign is N8KGU and that i perfer not to use it on irc.
Some of the channels I know I dont want the others being able to reach me
via callsign servers.
Don asked if at Dayton I would use mrguard on the radio, I answered that
I am free to use N8KGU or KC8LAM, both are issued to me.
Don then proceeded to harass me and eventually kick me off of this
saying that it is his channel.
In a discussion under a different nick, Don admitted that he can
do as he feels and that he is free to chase anyone away from his channel.
plus:
<AH6KA> he came with nick and said he wudnt use his call and gave me static
so i moderated then he tried to use
both calls
<othercall> you gave him static
<othercall> he stated what both calls were.
<AH6KA> i shoulld have just kicked his ass

Is this how decent we want ambassadors of our hobby to be to hams and
to those interested in our hobby?
Several attempts were to tell Don that the hobby has enough problems on
40 meters as well as losing folks to irc and the internet.

Does everyone accept the fact that folks like Don can deem the channel private
and alienate everyone from the hobby?
My suggestion to Don was to form his own channel, maybe #ah6ka.

I would suggest that everyone visit Undernet on IRC and join #hamradio
feel free to meet other hams, use any handle you want.

You can Email Don at: Email: ah6ka@gte.net

Please dont let the hobby get ruined again.

Thanks Again
James
N8KGU

1213. Re: Please Read.. Ham Radio on IRC Chasing away hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrguard@iname.com
Message-ID: <7hb0b0$ht8$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In dal.net on IRC (thats the Dalnet network)
I find this:
Topic set for #amateur_radio is: This is a restricted channel. If I didn't ask
you to join it then you are not welcome in it, no
matter who you are. Why is it that way? None of your business,(but you can
thank the lying, theiving mormons on
xmission.com for it happening)! (KE6YZZ)

Ke6YZZ who is now N2GOD. Email him at: n2god@angelfire.com

Do we need folks like this controlling our hobby and lifestyle?
Please lets have jerks like this create their own channel and leave
our hobby alone.

In article <7hau7hrii1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, mrguard@iname.com
says...
>
>Hello Everyone.
>I think everyone should take note of Don K Muth.
>Don has founded on #undernet on Irc the channel #hamradio.
>During a visit there using the nickname mrguard (irc doenst
>require callsigns or anything), Don numerous times tried to
>force me into using my callsign as my nick.
>I told Don that my callsign is N8KGU and that i perfer not to use it on irc.
>Some of the channels I know I dont want the others being able to reach me
>via callsign servers.
>Don asked if at Dayton I would use mrguard on the radio, I answered that
>I am free to use N8KGU or KC8LAM, both are issued to me.
>Don then proceeded to harass me and eventually kick me off of this
>saying that it is his channel.
>In a discussion under a different nick, Don admitted that he can
>do as he feels and that he is free to chase anyone away from his channel.
>plus:
><AH6KA> he came with nick and said he wudnt use his call and gave me static
>so i moderated then he tried to use
> both calls
><othercall> you gave him static
><othercall> he stated what both calls were.
><AH6KA> i shoulld have just kicked his ass
>
>Is this how decent we want ambassadors of our hobby to be to hams and
>to those interested in our hobby?
>Several attempts were to tell Don that the hobby has enough problems on
>40 meters as well as losing folks to irc and the internet.

>
>Does everyone accept the fact that folks like Don can deem the channel
private
>and alienate everyone from the hobby?
>My suggestion to Don was to form his own channel, maybe #ah6ka.
>
>I would suggest that everyone visit Undernet on IRC and join #hamradio
>feel free to meet other hams, use any handle you want.
>
>You can Email Don at: Email: ah6ka@gte.net
>
>Please dont let the hobby get ruined again.
>
>Thanks Again
>James
>N8KGU
>
>

1214. Re: OS456 vs. CE-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: wjm2207@kcinter.net
Message-ID: <373913f0.1967209@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 119051

On Tue, 11 May 1999 21:32:49 GMT, nolimit3o3 <nolimit3o3@home.com>
wrote:

>
> Would like pro's and cons on these interfaces.. i have 2 2006's
>and want to keep one stock for a backup/collectors radio and mod the hell
>out of the other hehe.. basicly all im left with is deciding which
>interface to use.. any sugestions? I would like to know what the pro's
>and con's are between the two though :)
>
>NoLiMiT3o3

I have posted several pros n' cons of both interfaces. Here is a
repeat of some of my ramblings. There may be some others that you can
look up on www.deja.com.

Wiggie

To try to answer your question MAlexder, the CE-232 does in no
way allow a blocked scanner to receive additional frequencies. The
CE-232 is a button pushing interface that also has data aquisition. It
is capable on two-way interfacing with the Pro-2004/5/6 models and
one-way interfacing (autoprogramming like the PROgramit interface)
with several other scanners. Since this interface is mainly used with
the Pro-2004/5/6 models, then it is usually the case that where the
CE-232 is installed, then that scanner has the capabilites of
receiving cellular frequencies mainly because the hacker/hobbyist has
taken the time to do the appropriate mods to the scanner to enable it
to scan the blocked frequencies. The CE-232 (for programming and
scanning purposes) can only do what a human being can do when they are
pushing the buttons on the front of the scanner. If the scanner is
blocked then the user trying to program a cellular frequency is going
to get the same error msg on the LCD as if the CE-232 tries to program
it.
The CE-232 is a two-way interface because it also has data
aquisition. The CE-232 basically sits and waits while the scanner
chugs through the frequencies preprogrammed into the scanner. It waits
and listens until the scanner becomes active, the it captures the
datastream that is being sent from the scanner's CPU to the LCD
drivers. It sends this data to the computer where it allows the
software to know what frequency the scanner is now residing on. Since
the pathway between the CPU and the LCD display drivers existed on the
Pro-2004/5/6, then these were capable of having a two-way interface.
The Pro-2035/2042 has a newer design and the LCD display drivers are
incorporated into the CPU. This didn't leave the "tried-n-true" method

of data aquisition that was possible with the Pro-2004/5/6 models.

****NOTE**** This is no longer true though...through quite a bit of experimentation and some extra circuitry involving a PIC chip, the Pro-2035/2042 can be used with the CE-232 for a complete two-way interfacing just the same way that it is with the Pro-2004/5/6 scanners. The first prototype, we captured the data from the LCD drivers and converted it to the form of data that was evident between the CPU and the LCD display drivers in the Pro-2004/5/6's. This was a major bite in the posterior..but a learning experience. It became evident that capturing the data sent to the BPF switch and the PLL circuitry was much easier and dealt with a lot less wiring. Now the CE-232 doesn't know the difference between it being hooked up to a Pro-2004 or a Pro-2042. Is it worth taking the time to retrofit a Pro-2035/2042 so it works with a CE-232 interface? ...NO. Is this option going to be available as a commercial interest? ...NO. Is the information going to be out there for the hardcore hobbyists? ...NO. Why not?, because there are other avenues and better ways from getting from point A to point B.

The CE-232 was a marvel of its time, but there are other ways in which to doing things which are quicker and more efficient. I searched the internet until the wee hours deciding on the best option in which to control the scanner. I found that the best way was to directly tune the scanner via software on the computer. The CE-232 did not do that, but the OS535 did. The OS535 controls the Pro-2035/2042 model of scanner. There are pros and cons of each type of interface, but I intend to touch on most aspects.

TUNING METHODS AND DATA AQUISITION
Both the CE-232 and the OS535 are two-way interfaces when used with the appropriate scanners that they were designed for. The CE-232 uses a "button-pushing" method to allow the computer to control the scanner and it obtains data such as frequency and AM/FM/WFM from the scanner by tapping into the datastream between the scanner's CPU and the LCD display driver. The OS535 directly tunes the PLL circuitry and the BPF's. It aquires data such data as signal strength, scan stop (detecting a signal), DTMF and CTCSS tones, etc.

AMOUNT OF CHANNELS
The CE-232 uses the frequencies stored in the scanner's memory. If you have 400 channels with the scanner, that is what you have during computer control. If you have 6,400 or a turbo-whopper 25,600 channels, then that is what you have under computer control. The software is the limiting factor for the OS535 computerized setup. A popular program called Probe allows up to 99,000 channels in each file and I do believe that it allows 4,000 files! Need more channels, just kick out the code in QBasic, VisualBasic, C++, etc.

SCRIPTING
One advantage of the CE-232 is scripting...but is it really the interface? No, its just the software. The OS535 is very capable of

scripting just the same, its all in the software. It depends on the situation, but take for instance that you have some obscure frequency that you monitor on a regular basis. It doesn't become active very often, but when it does, another 20 frequencies become quite active. If the "flag" frequency is active, you want to be able to devote all of the scanning to these 20 frequencies for a certain amount of dwell time or until the channels no longer are active. This can easily be scripted with either the CE-232 or the OS535. The problem with the CE-232 is that it has to download these new frequencies to the scanners memory banks or has to switch to a bank that the frequencies are preprogrammed into and shut off all of the other banks. Since the OS535 controls the PLL circuitry, the software can switch over and have these new frequencies ready to go while you are still listening to the transmission on the "flag" frequency.

AUTO-PROGRAMMING
The CE-232 allows the programming of 400 channels, 6,400 channels or even 25,600 channels. It can do up to 999 channels at a time though with current software. I don't know if there is a easy way to switch between memory blocks easily while programming, but it would be an add-on feature. The OS535 bypasses the scanner's CPU and memory chips and is not capable of programming the frequencies into the scanner itself for operation without the computer interface. There is the PROgramit interface which allows you to do that for a resonable price. The PROgramit interface comes in several flavors...serial or parallel port designs. You can piece it together on perf-board or order a prefab PCB. Costs are from approx. $15 - $40. You can opt for external or internal versions if you want to use one with more than one scanner. I have placed several PROgramits in scanners that have the OS535 boards in them already. I like using the serial version of the PROgramit and just tap off of the DB9 of the OS535. You use the same serial cable of the OS535. Just shut down control of Probe, ScanCat, etc. and fire up the software for Probe. I have a external version of PROgramit for programming my Pro-43s and my BC3000xlt. The circuitry of the PROgramit is easy to add to control the memory blocks of a 25,600 channel Turbo-Whopper Pro-2006 so you can program many of them at once without flipping DIP switches.

TRUNK-TRACKING and OTHER FREQUENCY HAND-OFF TRACKING
The CE-232, OS535 and PROgramit all are capable of doing just that. It pretty much is in the software. There are several free and commercial programs for trunktracking for the OS535. There are a few programs that I have seen for the PROgramit and I have heard about a program or two that incorporates the CE-232. As for speed...the OS535 is by far the fastest. Then it is the PROgramit and the CE-232. The latter two tend to take a bit too long to switch over to the new channel to get the complete transmission efficiently.

COSTS AND INSTALLATION
The CE-232 runs around $150 for the kit or $200 for a built and tested interface. You can also put one together by yourself. The

Motorola uPU sometimes is a tad hard to find though. The OS535 is going for $180 now. It comes built and tested. There are also other features of the OS535 such as CTCSS and DTMF decoding and s-meter circuitry. While you can add circuits to do this with the CE-232, it just adds to the price and what you have to install. The OS535 is fairly easy to install. You have to nibble out the little bit in the back of the scanner and make two solder connections and plug in some wiring harnesses. The installation of the CE-232 is described as fairly extensive. The PROgramit isn't too bad and varies a tad with each scanner. I like the serial version which runs about $40 for the parts and prefab PCB. There are some other modules that have evolved with the PROgramit also. One allows for the operation of the scanner with a TV remote control. One that will later be available is a RF module that allows programming the scanner some distance from the computer. You wouldn't have to take the scanner out of the vehicle to have a couple of hundred frequencies downloaded.

VERSIONS OF THE OS535
There are three versions of the OS535. What really differentiates the difference is the programming in the CPU.

(Version 1) Cell-Blocked, Code Enabled. This allowed a FCC Approved or Cellular Technichian to enter a code via software which enabled the CPU to tune the cellular bands. This was the commonly sold version until there were some legal issues. This version sometimes can be picked up though, but not in the CONUS.

(Version 2) Cell-Blocked, Not Code Enabled. The OS535 cannot tune cell frequencies and no code can be entered via software to undo this blocking.

(Version 3) Cell-Enabled. Available to FCC approved or cellular technicians. Has the capabilites of tuning all of the cellular bands.

AVAILABILITY OF DEVELOPER'S GUIDES
What you need to start programming for the CE-232 and OS535 are both available. The CE-232 information used to be downloadable from Bill Cheek's Hertzian Intercept BBS but the BBS is no longer readily available. It may be available from his website, but I don't think it is up and running at the moment. You might have to e-mail him (bcheek@cts.com)and see about the availability of it. For programming the software for the OS535, you can get info from Optoelectronics or Steve Hancock's site at http://home.ptd.net/~pro2006/index.html. You might have to e-mail Steve to see where his CI-V protocol info is hidden at. For programming the PROgramit on your own, you will have to look through the IC datasheets and figure it out for yourself. Information on PROgramit can be found at http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj/. John Montalbano has some nice software that will do about everything that most hobbyists would desire.

USER DEFINED SWITCHES!!!
Another debated item that has come up is user defined switches. The OS535 already has a tape control switch, but there can be more added to it. The OS535 is an addressed RS-232 module that allows it and 15 other (for a total of 16) devices to be daisy chained off of it. These of course have to be addressable also to make sure you aren't gonna have some 'strange' commands going down the pipe. Weeder Technologies has a simple kit with an addressed PIC chip that has 12 digital I/O's coming out of it. Stick 15 of them into various projects and you can have 180 user defined switches just ready to go when you need them. Stick on the X-10 home automation module and have every light come on in your house when the tones are sounded for the local fire department...this works like a charm when you are a member of the volunteer fire department. Do you need some other A/D interfaces or projects to go along with this? Why don't you point your browser to http://members.aol.com/ncdcat/index2.html. There are other places to pick up the kits, plans, etc. but these are two that I have used off and on in addition to my own little designs.

RECORDING
While I don't use a tape recorder for unsupervised monitoring, I do use VOX recording through my soundcard. Now while I have been using RecAll, there are a few other programs out there that also have some neat features that will get the job done. Intercept Technologies made a enhancement for the CE-232 called the Vader board. This allowed you to monitor while you were there or away from you shack and record all picked up transmissions. The nice part about it was that it allowed selective playback by channel, frequency, groupings of similar freqs, time of day or duration. Well, in this present day and age things have changed quite a bit. Why don't you let the computer flex some of its muscle and let it handle all of the work. Since your computer program has all of the channel, frequency, duration, ctcss and other bits of information on all of the transmissions that it incounters, then it is very easy to do a dynamic-data-exchange with a program controlling your soundcard. You can easily sort the recorded transmissions however that you wish very much the way you can sort your received e-mails in Netscape or Explorer by sender, date, subject, etc. The .wav file that you create is dependent on the amount that you have available on a hard drive. Hard drives are cheap and when you are sampling at 5.5kHz, you will get a lot more recorded than the VADER board was capable...I think it could only record 1 hour's worth of continuous audio.

WANNA START WORKING ON YOUR OWN INTERFACE?
Go to http://www.boondog.com/ and you can make your own to decode info sent from the scanner's CPU to the PLL circuitry. Get the PLL IC info's and then do the math and the programming. It would be a nice winter project.

Wiggie

1215. Re: The Reporter Guy...He Called Me! About Scanners! Oh Jes...he did!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <3A9C26F9730F012B.4D4A6C08E42C7760.2B4AE54E3F183664@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119052

There is another mag that is released every two weeks.
I buy four mags at once and got PC confused with CQ mag.
Either way, I buy all of them as fast as they are released.

1216. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: robinson <rmcrusoe@gte.net>
Message-ID: <dZ8_2.2670$Yy4.70242@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 119053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

That's a great way to practice, FWIW

Robinson in San Benito TX
A nice little town in the Beautiful Lower Rio Grande Valley
By the Border By the Sea

Meet a Redneck!
See the Crusoe Quintuplets!
Build your own Web Page!
Get your Ham License!

For locksmithing, Radio, Programming, Nautical, Redneck Philosophy, Partying
in the Valley, and other stuff go to:
http://crusoe.org

Arnie Macy wrote in message ...
>Hey Dan and Tony,
>
>You both are right. I was sending using a straight key to a guy
>(handicapped) who was using a reader. He complimented me on my sending,
>saying "My reader usually can't read a straight key too well"
>
>Regards,
>
>Arnie -
>KT4ST
>
>Tony - GeezerGlide wrote in message
><37219ef4.952865953@client.news.psi.net>...
>>On Fri, 23 Apr 1999 15:21:30 GMT, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-dejanews.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>In article <01be8d7b$8508e8c0$da60b6cd@cbx-nt>,
>>> "Christopher Cox" <cobox@netexp.net> wrote:
>>>> Oh, its you again, long time!
>>>>
>>>> I was wondering if the FCC auctioned the frequencies you wanted or not,
>its
>>>> been so long.
>>>>
>>>> Anyhow, no-coders can read CW just fine, isn't technology wonderful.
Now

>>>> please stop posting flame bait. It is obvious what you are trying to
do.
>>>>
>>>> Regards from the thinking part of the Country.
>>>>
>>>> Christopher Cox
>>>>
>>>> radiate <Hate@spam.org> wrote in article
>>>> <7fouog$47m$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>...
>>>> >
>>>> > You can send messages to your ham friends and the no-code cry-baby
>>>> whiners
>>>> > won't know you're talking about them.
>>>> >
>>>> > 73, Have a nice day!
>>>> >
>>>>
>>> You know how to tell if a guy is using a "code reader" ??
>>> Send to him on a bug. Works everytime.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>Or a hand pump. Real brass pounding is a lost art.
>>
>>Tony
>>
>
>

1217. Re: Yupiteru MVT-7100 $275 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wenmal Supheshclien <ontomp@rillmix.net>
Message-ID: <7haeh2$p1$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 119054

They are $265 from Javiation now brand new and fully opened.

Frank Bals wrote in message <7hajd9$p0m$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>...
>I've decided to drop the price on the Yupiteru MVT-7100. Absolutely mint!
>Looks at works like new! Only 4 months old. No need to import, I live in
the
>U.S.
>
>Price is $275.00 shipped in the U.S.
>
>

1218. Re: BC 200 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: DRWHO10@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28628-37392638-86@newsd-252.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119055

it can not be
done

1219. Re: Monitoring railroad activity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: clarkm@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <clarkm-1205990045400001@eagle.martin.home>
Source record: 119056

In article <19990506215158.10286.00000364@ng138.aol.com>,
ruffinscan@aol.comdontdoit (RuffinScan) wrote:

> Does anyone have any experience monitoring the railroad scene
with scanners.
>If so email me the details, website related etc.

I bought my scanner primarily for listening to the railroads.

Most of Railroad traffic is in the 160 to 162 MHz band. You can use your scanner's search function to look for traffic there. If you are in the western US check out Altamont Press (www.altamontpress.com, I think). They publish timetables for the western US. They include info about mileage, routes, siding, interchanges, frequencies, detectors and a bunch of other info. They are well worth the price.

Detectors are automated equipment placed at intervals along the track. They sense various conditions (they don't all report the same info) including dragging equipment, equipment hanging off the cars (like a shifted load on a flat car), hotboxes, temperature and axle count. It reports this on the road frequency (at low power so only a train nearby can hear it). Normally it reports the mile post (location along the track) and axle count. If it's multiple track it will report which track. They usually only report defects if any are detected. If you set up to watch the tracks near one you get a warning when a train is coming and you know how long the train is. In some locations where there is a lot of trackage you can move around to where the trains are coming based on detector reports.

There is also 457.9375, the End of Train Device (EOTD) or FRED. It transmits periodically from the rear of the train to the head end, reporting brake line pressure. It transmits telemetry so the only use for it is to know that a train is close (the transmitter is low power).

I have the rubber duckie that came with the scanner, a Radio Shack telescoping whip, car roof mount and discone antenna on top of the house. The rubber duckie is usually fine when outside watching trains. The car antenna works a lot better on the road than trying to use the rubber duckie inside a car. I just installed the discone but it already is making a difference. I live on the San Francisco peninsula (25 miles south of SF). With the discone I can clearly pick up UP across the bay, a detector about 6 miles away and BNSF up in Richmond which is about 40-50 miles away. Without it I couldn't get any of these.

At night I like to listen to the local railroads and hear what's going on. With the discone I get pretty much continuous traffic.

While railfanning the scanner gives me warning about trains coming and in some cases why a train isn't coming (waiting for a local to clear the main).

One trick I learned my first time out is that you have to keep current. I was railfanning at Point Pinole where a road ends right at the BNSF right of way and from there it looks down on the SP (UP) ROW. I had the scanner setup with all the frequencies listed in the Altamont Press Timetable for that stretch of BNSF and SP track. When a BNSF engine movement came through and I didn't catch it on the detector I wondered why. There were a couple of other railfans there with scanners and they told me that the track between Richmond and Stockton had been split, radio wise, and the stretch we were on had a new frequency (which I promptly entered). It turned out there was a new timetable out that showed it. I now have that one and need to get a newer one which came out recently (I think).

--
Clark Martin
Redwood City, CA, USA
Macintosh / Internet Consulting
cmartin@pacbell.net

"I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

1220. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron <badserviceSPAM@home.com>
Message-ID: <Vwa_2.3915$LL3.190308@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 119057

Sounds like the guys behind his arrest frequent this group and may also have gotten tired of his crap.

I find it hard to believe that his activity on this group did not have some role to play in the whole thing.

JTWard01 wrote in message <19990502170121.19638.00001395@ng-cc1.aol.com>...
>>From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
>>Date: 05-02-1999 13:01 EST
>>
>>What happened to Bill Cheek? Is he still in the slammer?
>>
> Bill and Cindy were arrested, booked and released. They never spent
any
>time in jail, other than the length of time it took to process the
paperwork.
>The last I heard from him they were going to have to travel to New York for
a
>preliminary court appearance and to meet with the U.S. Public Defenders
Office
>attorneys in New York.
> Just remember folks, this is your tax money at work, both prosecuting
him,
>and defending him. Frankly, I can think of better ways the government
could
>spend my money than by harrassing somebody like Bill. I mean, I haven't
heard
>that the drug problem has been cured, have you?
>
>John T. Ward
>Brandon, Florida
>USA
>"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1221. Re: Lentini Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <J2b_2.1358$t_3.234403@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 119058

cliffwhoX@hotmail.com (Cliff-remove
> X to reply) wrote:
>
> >Are these people reliable? Do they deliver quickly?

I don't know. I ordered a radio from them Wednesday May 5th and am waiting for it. Hopefully it will come today. For comparison I ordered a different radio from www.TechAmerica.com on Sunday and it arrived today. Now I live in Lubbock, TX and Lentini is in CT and Tech America is in Fort Worth, TX (a Tandy Company) so this certainly could explain the difference in delivery times. I don't have anything bad to say about Lentini and I'll follow up on this thread when I do receive the radio I ordered from Lentini.

John Habbinga - KC5ZRQ

1222. Pro-26 Virtual Down Conversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <Sdb_2.3431$qQ4.67021@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 119059

I have heard about a Pro-26 virtual down conversion modification that moves the 800 MHz band down to the 400 MHz band. Can anyone point me to a website that mentions this?

Thanks
John Habbinga - KC5ZRQ

1223. Need Programming Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioplay@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22624-373945BF-1@newsd-242.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 119060

I live in the SF bay area and need someone to program my scanner and
make a list of freqs. for me. To be used mainly for emergencies.
Have a pro26 and really don't know how to use it. I already tried radio
shack and got no help.
Please help.
Thanks!

1224. Re: Yupiteru MVT 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <itc_2.3662$97.3044@stones>
Source record: 119061

Alan McGrath <alan-m@clear.net.nz> wrote in message
news:37385ec7.41023092@news.ihug.co.nz...
> On Tue, 11 May 1999 12:59:16 +0100, "EAVSDROPPER"
> <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >They seem OK, I had one quite a while ago and now my mum has it :-)
> >Nothing special just an ok all round little radio, I also have the
> >discriminator output for it now just ask if you want it
> >
> >eavsdropper
> >
> Thanks for your comments, what is the "discriminator output"?
>
> Alan
>

The discriminator output is the point where you take the audio directly from a chip inside the scanner thus bypassing the audio filtering stages of the radio and in turn giving you a much "crisper" or "less rouded" signal with which to decode data transmissions with. Most data trqansmissions cannot be decoded without this modification.

It is a good modification to make if you are "into" scanners but DO NOT try it unless you are confident of your experience. Find somebody who has good soldering skills and a reasonable knowledge of radio layouts

eavsdropper

1225. Re: Film crew in R.I. - need their frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Kvc_2.3663$97.3089@stones>
Source record: 119062

Mr. NoSpam <nospam@ibm.net.com> wrote in message
news:37386ae8.15061792@news1.ibm.net...
> We have a film crew here in my town filming a Jim Carey movie.
>
> They all have radio's but I have been unable to locate their
> frequencies.
>
> Anyone have any idea?
>
> Thanks....Ric

Simple buy a optoelectronics mini-scout and that will tell you what they are transmitting on and even instantly tune a ar8000/ar8200 scanner (and others) into that frequency. Every "serious" scanner enthusiast should not be without one

eavsdropper

1226. Re: wtb:manual pro-2036

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: ah549@chebucto.ns.ca
Message-ID: <jpd_2.423$li1.217475@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 119063

Try the Radio Shack web page.

>You can get a new one from radio shack for a small fee....jerr1919
>>
>> im looking for a good copy of the operatingg manual for the
>> radio shack pro-2036 scanner
>> thanks
>> monte k9dzd@iquest.net

1227. MSDOS controll prg for PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7hbqc2nqv2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 119064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio,amateur.space

There have been several threads in all three of these NGs on the
PCR1000. I just found, and tried a set of simple MS-DOS based control
programs for the Icom PCR1000. While there are various good windows
contol programsm a simple MS-DOS program can free up your "real" PC
for serious work (ie NOAA APT reception).
The URL is http://www.bigbear.net/users/rransom/pcr.htm.
Happy hunting

1228. Re: Status Codes for Ambulance Patients

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Snyder <kdsnyder7@juno.com>
Message-ID: <L4e_2.591$ii5.2879@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 119065

Joe Drut wrote in message <7h5eha$4ov$1@news.monad.net>...
Emergency ambulance EMTs are using "new" codes to describe patient
status.
2-alpha, 3-bravo, 5-delta, etc.

Is there a complete posting of these codes somewhere on the net?

Thanks.

Joe,

Sounds like they are using the Advanced Medical Priority Dispatch
System, developed by Jeff Clawson M.D. in Utah.

1=abd pain
6=diff breathing
10=chest pain
17=falls
26=specific illness
29=vehicle accidents

They go up to 32. In the 911 center where I work, these are the top 6
used. (I am at home, I have these memorized :)

For our center (these are subject to local medical protocol):

alpha=basic life support ambulance-no lights/siren
bravo=BLS-red lights/siren
charlie=BLS-red lights/siren; Advanced Life Support-no lights/siren
delta=BLS/ALS red lights/siren
omega=referral to poison control center (not used on radio)

I dont know if there is a list, but check www.medicalpriority.com

Kevin D. Snyder
FF/EMT-P/EMD

1229. Re: My new Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3739730A.6432E1@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 119066

Dkmn01 wrote:
> How about the manual that came with the AOR 100XLT. Man, talk about confusing.
> You were better off just figuring it out for yourself.

Exactly, which is why MANY of us bought Bernstein's Guide To The AR1000!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1230. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7hbrlu$t3s@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119067

In <Vwa_2.3915$LL3.190308@news2.rdc1.on.home.com> "Ron"
<badserviceSPAM@home.com> writes:
>
>Sounds like the guys behind his arrest frequent this group and may
also have
>gotten tired of his crap.
>
>I find it hard to believe that his activity on this group did not have
some
>role to play in the whole thing.
>

what putrid orifice were
you born out of?

that is not what government
is about...punishing
those that contribute.

no one really has a handle
on the reason for his
arrest. What law exactly
was broken that is on the
books and what is the
punishment?

why Bill and not the manufacturer?

bill conduit
etc.

1231. Re: OS456 vs. CE-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990512090701.26741.00000861@ng33.aol.com>
Source record: 119068

Under User defined switches Wiggie forgot to mention that the CE-232 has 4 built in and an additional circuit can be added for up to (i Believe 64). These are in the form of 4066 chips. This allows the SW to tell switches to do there thing. In all a good comparison. Hats off to you Wiggie. Ira COoper

1232. AOR 1500 HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: slove47@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990512094103.10168.00000901@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 119069

Does anyone know a source for some simplified operating instructions for the AOR 1500?I saw an article in one of the scanner magizines a few years back pertaining to the subject but no longer have access to it.Thanks

1233. Uniden 200XLT problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <spamless@spamless.gov>
Message-ID: <37398B56.CE8BDDAB@spamless.gov>
Source record: 119070

My Bearcat 200XLT's battery slowly discharged to the point where it
doesnt work. Once I hook up the ac adapter it
works and is functional. The problem is although my frequencies were
all remembered, thank gawd, every frequency
has the l/o or lockout enabled. Is there a secret code where I could
unlock all my channels?
Thanks.

--

reply to: l-i-s-k-a-y at t-i-v-o-l-i dot c-o-m <###

1234. Re: AOR 1500 HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: dogrodo1@rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <37398b87.180417749@news-server>
Source record: 119071

I have a AOR 1500. Is there anything specific that you need help with?
I have the manual. The 1500 is a pain in the neck to program and
operate but it is a nice radio.

On 12 May 1999 13:41:03 GMT, slove47@aol.com (SLOVE47) wrote:

>Does anyone know a source for some simplified operating instructions for the
>AOR 1500?I saw an article in one of the scanner magizines a few years back
>pertaining to the subject but no longer have access to it.Thanks

1235. Re: Wichita KS freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Davis <kend@NOSPAMfrontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <7hc3sa$22oo$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 119072

Here's a web site for "Scan Wichita" with Wichita frequencies. Let me know
if you need anything else.

GasmanT <gasmant@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:37326336.FC46A07@swbell.net...
> Anybody in Wichita KS have the freqs for McConnell, Police etc.? Cannot
> find them anywhere. I'll put a page together on one of these freesites
> for people to get what they need for this area.
>
> any help would be appreciated!
>
> mailto:gasmant@swbell.net
>

1236. Re: ICOM 8500 dead -- diagnosis?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <qCg_2.465$x11.1938@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 119073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

In rec.radio.amateur.equipment Paul <pwolsko@nac.net> wrote:
> Should not this rig still be under warranty? Jeez, that stinks! I have
> heard some upsetting stories about ICOM service, but I can't verify them.

Well, in general I like ICOM's equipment because of its build quality;
this is the first one I've had problems with.

To answer your questions, yes, it is under warranty but I was hoping to
not have to go that route and be without it for a while.

> I'm not going to ask the obvious question about the squelch knob... <g>

:-)

The diagnosis is a PLL that is failing to lock. I'm dealing with the
problem via normal channels.

Thanks to all who sent me email on the subject!

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

1237. Please tell me about any AM/FM/Aircrabt bands radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: carlosl@marte.cinv.iteso.mx
Message-ID: <7hc8hqafe1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 119074

Hi !

I want to receive ONLY the aircraft band but don't want
to invest in a scanner.

Could somebody tell me about any type of AM/FM/Aircraft
radio in the market ?

Thank you very much.

Carlos

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1238. Re: Uniden 200XLT problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7hc81s$2b3$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 119075

Paul wrote in message <37398B56.CE8BDDAB@spamless.gov>...
>My Bearcat 200XLT's battery slowly discharged to the point where it
>doesnt work. Once I hook up the ac adapter it
>works and is functional. The problem is although my frequencies were
>all remembered, thank gawd, every frequency
>has the l/o or lockout enabled. Is there a secret code where I could
>unlock all my channels?
>Thanks.
>
Try holding down the lockout button for a few seconds. That's a pretty much
industry standard way to unlock all channels, but I don't know for sure if
the 200xlt works that way. Worth trying anyhow.

-Phil

1239. Re: Please Read.. Ham Radio on IRC Chasing away hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: George White <deeply@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7hcbe9$7ea$0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 119076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

James Keller wrote:

> In dal.net on IRC (thats the Dalnet network)
> I find this:
> Topic set for #amateur_radio is: This is a restricted channel. If I didn't ask
> you to join it then you are not welcome in it, no
> matter who you are. Why is it that way? None of your business,(but you can
> thank the lying, theiving mormons on
> xmission.com for it happening)! (KE6YZZ)
>
> Ke6YZZ who is now N2GOD. Email him at: n2god@angelfire.com
>
> Do we need folks like this controlling our hobby and lifestyle?
> Please lets have jerks like this create their own channel and leave
> our hobby alone.
>
> In article <7hau7hrii1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, mrguard@iname.com
> says...
> >
> >Hello Everyone.
> >I think everyone should take note of Don K Muth.
> >Don has founded on #undernet on Irc the channel #hamradio.
> >During a visit there using the nickname mrguard (irc doenst
> >require callsigns or anything), Don numerous times tried to
> >force me into using my callsign as my nick.
> >I told Don that my callsign is N8KGU and that i perfer not to use it on irc.
> >Some of the channels I know I dont want the others being able to reach me
> >via callsign servers.
> >Don asked if at Dayton I would use mrguard on the radio, I answered that
> >I am free to use N8KGU or KC8LAM, both are issued to me.
> >Don then proceeded to harass me and eventually kick me off of this
> >saying that it is his channel.
> >In a discussion under a different nick, Don admitted that he can
> >do as he feels and that he is free to chase anyone away from his channel.
> >plus:
> ><AH6KA> he came with nick and said he wudnt use his call and gave me static
> >so i moderated then he tried to use
> > both calls
> ><othercall> you gave him static
> ><othercall> he stated what both calls were.
> ><AH6KA> i shoulld have just kicked his ass
> >
> >Is this how decent we want ambassadors of our hobby to be to hams and

> >to those interested in our hobby?
> >Several attempts were to tell Don that the hobby has enough problems on
> >40 meters as well as losing folks to irc and the internet.
> >
> >Does everyone accept the fact that folks like Don can deem the channel
> private
> >and alienate everyone from the hobby?
> >My suggestion to Don was to form his own channel, maybe #ah6ka.
> >
> >I would suggest that everyone visit Undernet on IRC and join #hamradio
> >feel free to meet other hams, use any handle you want.
> >
> >You can Email Don at: Email: ah6ka@gte.net
> >
> >Please dont let the hobby get ruined again.
> >
> >Thanks Again
> >James
> >N8KGU
> >
> >

You can try #hamradio on EFNet: seems like a quiet channel.
I'm not a ham and I haven't been harrassed there....
irc.idle.net and irc.lagged.org are 2 good EFNet servers.

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet

1240. Satellite Info & Satellite Tracking Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <3739b4c5.1050049@news.hughes.net>
Source record: 119077

Hello All,

I have a web page that has info on Satellites & Satellite Tracking, as well as Yaesu, FT-847, FT-50R, HF/VHF/UHF, HF Rig Reviews,
Tons of useful Ham Radio Resource Links, plus many other useful resources.
Please check it out:

http://kq6mu.8m.com

Webmasters:

Please add me to your links section. In return, I will add you to my links section.

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU
DM04 Palmdale, CA

1241. BC895 info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990512130927.10686.00000846@ng68.aol.com>
Source record: 119078

I am curious about the BC895xlt. How it performs, trunking features, etc. Is the VFO helpful?
Is this unit restorable/modifiable? (Not necessarily for cellular, just wondering)
Mike

1242. Yaesu FT-50R Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <3739b602.1367082@news.hughes.net>
Source record: 119079

Hello All,

I have a web page that has info on the Yaesu FT-50R.
Includes:

Features, Technical Specifications, Options for this HT, plus
soon-to-be Mods & my review of this HT.

Also:

Satellites & Satellite Tracking, as well as Yaesu, FT-847, HF/VHF/UHF,
HF Rig Reviews, Tons of useful Ham Radio Resource Links, plus many
other useful resources.Please check it out:

http://kq6mu.8m.com

Webmasters:

Please add me to your links section. In return, I will add you to my
links section.

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU
DM04 Palmdale, CA

1243. Re: Please Read.. Ham Radio on IRC Chasing away hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Todd <rwtodd@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <3F1A9F02BE28E17A.6F49751746B45747.BF9BB22A205FBE54@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 119080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You wont find that problem in #cqdx on the othernet servers. Come on over some time for good chat and real time dx spots.

James Keller wrote:

> Hello Everyone.
> I think everyone should take note of Don K Muth.
> Don has founded on #undernet on Irc the channel #hamradio.
> During a visit there using the nickname mrguard (irc doenst
> require callsigns or anything), Don numerous times tried to
> force me into using my callsign as my nick.
> I told Don that my callsign is N8KGU and that i perfer not to use it on irc.
> Some of the channels I know I dont want the others being able to reach me
> via callsign servers.
> Don asked if at Dayton I would use mrguard on the radio, I answered that
> I am free to use N8KGU or KC8LAM, both are issued to me.
> Don then proceeded to harass me and eventually kick me off of this
> saying that it is his channel.
> In a discussion under a different nick, Don admitted that he can
> do as he feels and that he is free to chase anyone away from his channel.
> plus:
> <AH6KA> he came with nick and said he wudnt use his call and gave me static
> so i moderated then he tried to use
> both calls
> <othercall> you gave him static
> <othercall> he stated what both calls were.
> <AH6KA> i shoulld have just kicked his ass
>
> Is this how decent we want ambassadors of our hobby to be to hams and
> to those interested in our hobby?
> Several attempts were to tell Don that the hobby has enough problems on
> 40 meters as well as losing folks to irc and the internet.
>
> Does everyone accept the fact that folks like Don can deem the channel private
> and alienate everyone from the hobby?
> My suggestion to Don was to form his own channel, maybe #ah6ka.
>
> I would suggest that everyone visit Undernet on IRC and join #hamradio
> feel free to meet other hams, use any handle you want.
>
> You can Email Don at: Email: ah6ka@gte.net
>
> Please dont let the hobby get ruined again.
>

> Thanks Again
> James
> N8KGU

1244. Re: Please Read.. Ham Radio on IRC Chasing away hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3739BB27.533DABA9@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 119081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

What is this doing on rec.radio.swap? What does it have to do with the sale or purchase of radio equipment?

1245. Re: FS: Yupiteru MVT-7100 $275 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3739BCD3.2571E812@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 119082

Javiation has them brand new for $265. Yes, it does cost a little for shipping, but not much more then $10 or $15. And before the whining starts about "import/export", I have never had any problems in my dealings with Javiation...Jonathan is GREAT!

Piero

1246. Re: Lentini Communications?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <8fj_2.4145$qQ4.89368@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 119083

I wanted those interested to know that I received the radio that I ordered from Lentini today. I would consider their service good and the merchandise was of good quality. I would have no reservations about doing business with them in the future.

John Habbinga

John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net> wrote in message news:J2b_2.1358$t_3.234403@news1.giganews.com...
> cliffwhoX@hotmail.com (Cliff-remove
> > X to reply) wrote:
> >
> > >Are these people reliable? Do they deliver quickly?
>
> I don't know. I ordered a radio from them Wednesday May 5th and am waiting
> for it. Hopefully it will come today. For comparison I ordered a different
> radio from www.TechAmerica.com on Sunday and it arrived today. Now I live
> in Lubbock, TX and Lentini is in CT and Tech America is in Fort Worth, TX (a
> Tandy Company) so this certainly could explain the difference in delivery
> times. I don't have anything bad to say about Lentini and I'll follow up on
> this thread when I do receive the radio I ordered from Lentini.
>
> John Habbinga - KC5ZRQ

1247. Re: Please tell me about any AM/FM/Aircrabt bands radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <Tmj_2.4158$qQ4.89376@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 119084

If you want to be able to have squelch capabilities you will need a scanner. RadioShack sells a little hand held radio with the airband on it. However, when there is not an active transmission (which is about 95% of the time) you will have to listen to an open squelch. Fortunately since aircraft use the AM mode instead of FM mode the noise from an open squelch isn't quite as annoying as the loud static of open squelch FM. If you are going to listen to the radio alot I would still recommend a scanner.

<carlosl@marte.cinv.iteso.mx> wrote in message
news:7hc8hqafe1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Hi !
>
> I want to receive ONLY the aircraft band but don't want
> to invest in a scanner.
>
> Could somebody tell me about any type of AM/FM/Aircraft
> radio in the market ?
>
> Thank you very much.
>
> Carlos
>
>
> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1248. Re: Please Read.. Ham Radio on IRC Chasing away hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrguard@iname.com
Message-ID: <7hcg5s$307$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 119085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

That is an an idea..
but still do we want folks like this ruining our hobby:
What I received in email today:
You must have me mistaken for someone who cares about this whining.

And no, I don't control the hobby, I just control MY IRC channels. Dalnet channels that are
registered ARE considered by Dalnet to be OWNED by their founders who are sovereign in the
government of their channels, so long as there is no violation of the rules of Dalnet, which
plainly decree I may ban anyone from my channels for any reason or without any reason at all and
any Dalnet IRC-op can easily tell you this is 100% true.

End of discussion.

By the way, your solicitation of others to help you harass me may be a violation of the law I cite
at the bottom of my bio in my QRZ listing, which I strongly advise you to heed. Harassing a
political campaign worker is a federal felony. You are now notified to NEVER bother me again and
to not incite others to bother me. Having notified you of that, there will be no way that you
could deny you are harassing me if you EVER bother me or cause others to bother me again. So just
remember all that you stand to lose if you go to prison.

What this all means is this: LEAVE ME ALONE!

A timestamped copy of this one-time notification to you has been saved as evidence for both your
internet provider and for law enforcement authorities.

Jonathan DeFabritis

This Gentleman decides to threaten everyone..
By not providing a copy, I have no idea what he is talking about
laws.. I didnt use QRZ..

Maybe some letters to www.dalnet.com should do.

Whatta guy eh?

In article <7hcbe9$7ea$0@199.201.191.2>, deeply@serv.net says...
>
>James Keller wrote:
>
>> In dal.net on IRC (thats the Dalnet network)
>> I find this:
>> Topic set for #amateur_radio is: This is a restricted channel. If I didn't
ask
>> you to join it then you are not welcome in it, no
>> matter who you are. Why is it that way? None of your business,(but you can
>> thank the lying, theiving mormons on
>> xmission.com for it happening)! (KE6YZZ)
>>
>> Ke6YZZ who is now N2GOD. Email him at: n2god@angelfire.com
>>
>> Do we need folks like this controlling our hobby and lifestyle?
>> Please lets have jerks like this create their own channel and leave
>> our hobby alone.
>>
>> In article <7hau7hrii1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, mrguard@iname.com
>> says...
>> >
>> >Hello Everyone.
>> >I think everyone should take note of Don K Muth.
>> >Don has founded on #undernet on Irc the channel #hamradio.
>> >During a visit there using the nickname mrguard (irc doenst
>> >require callsigns or anything), Don numerous times tried to
>> >force me into using my callsign as my nick.
>> >I told Don that my callsign is N8KGU and that i perfer not to use it on
irc.
>> >Some of the channels I know I dont want the others being able to reach me
>> >via callsign servers.
>> >Don asked if at Dayton I would use mrguard on the radio, I answered that
>> >I am free to use N8KGU or KC8LAM, both are issued to me.
>> >Don then proceeded to harass me and eventually kick me off of this
>> >saying that it is his channel.
>> >In a discussion under a different nick, Don admitted that he can
>> >do as he feels and that he is free to chase anyone away from his channel.
>> >plus:
>> ><AH6KA> he came with nick and said he wudnt use his call and gave me
static
>> >so i moderated then he tried to use
>> > both calls
>> ><othercall> you gave him static
>> ><othercall> he stated what both calls were.
>> ><AH6KA> i shoulld have just kicked his ass
>> >
>> >Is this how decent we want ambassadors of our hobby to be to hams and
>> >to those interested in our hobby?
>> >Several attempts were to tell Don that the hobby has enough problems on
>> >40 meters as well as losing folks to irc and the internet.

>> >
>> >Does everyone accept the fact that folks like Don can deem the channel
>> private
>> >and alienate everyone from the hobby?
>> >My suggestion to Don was to form his own channel, maybe #ah6ka.
>> >
>> >I would suggest that everyone visit Undernet on IRC and join #hamradio
>> >feel free to meet other hams, use any handle you want.
>> >
>> >You can Email Don at: Email: ah6ka@gte.net
>> >
>> >Please dont let the hobby get ruined again.
>> >
>> >Thanks Again
>> >James
>> >N8KGU
>> >
>> >
>
>You can try #hamradio on EFNet: seems like a quiet channel.
>I'm not a ham and I haven't been harrassed there....
>irc.idle.net and irc.lagged.org are 2 good EFNet servers.
>
>--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet
>
>
>

1249. Re: 4 sale aor 8000 and optoelectronics scout model 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "S. Taylor" <trance@insystech.com>
Message-ID: <3739C8D1.C362A656@insystech.com>
Source record: 119086

No kidding. Just thankful that we don't play the guessing game like
that everywhere...

Pappy@star.net wrote:

> ITOM2038 wrote:
> >
> > Price?
>
> Doesn't that just jurk you when someone wants to sell something but
> doesn't give the price.............It's a guessing game.......

1250. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <373B7A70.744E@sir.com>
Source record: 118837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> Now, LOOK. Haven't you watched the movie Independence Day?
> It was Morse Code that saved the world! :-)

Yes, in science fiction movies you can show that Morse Code is very
important and usefull. But what if I make a movie in which Morse Code
causes the destruction of our entire universe?

1251. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <fQK_2.13$8I1.5399@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 118838

I remember a trip from DC to Seattle. SeaTac Airport was fogged in so they dump us into Boeing Field up a bit north. When we popped out of the fog we were SO LOW over Georgetown north of the runway it made you gasp. We had to have been on instruments coming down. Well, the RF and crap coming up from Seattle Metro proper would flat wipe out comms if a scanner/receiver could interfere. Just a thought.....

John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net> wrote in message news:gCK_2.387$6f.5016@news2.giganews.com...
> Read paragraph (b)
> There is an exeption that says that the rule doesn't apply to:
> (1) Portable voice recorders;
> (2) Hearing aids;
> (3) Heart pacemakers;
> (4) Electric shavers; or
> (5) Any other portable electronic device that the operator of the
> aircraft has determined will not cause interference with the navigation
> or communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.
>
> So it seems to me that if the operator of the aircraft had determined that a
> radio scanner will not interfere with navigation or communication equipment,
> then it will be okay to use it.
>
> John Habbinga
>
> tree <xcommace@usa.net> wrote in message news:373B637F.9F1AB641@usa.net...
> > Its true that people do listen to their radios while in flight but read
> > 91.21 of the FAA rules.
> > http://www4.law.cornell.edu/cfr/14p91.htm#start
> > It is clearly forbidden on commercial aircraft. Even the pilot cannot
> > authorize it (even though they sometimes do).
> > Obviously you know nothing about the technical side of radio or you
> > would understand how your scanner can actually block the aircraft's vhf
> > NAV equipment.
> > Chances of running into a mountain are remote because the NAV equipment
> > would indicate an error or loss of signal so the pilot would be alerted.
> >
>
>

1252. Re: BC895 info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <h1L_2.557$kJ1.56991@nntp1>
Source record: 118839

BC895XLT is regarded as an excellent radio, and a superb value. No cell mods.

I liked the radio enough to buy two, made the second one "the living room scanner".

Rob Lang
KC2EMO

Gdfthr768 wrote in message <19990512130927.10686.00000846@ng68.aol.com>...
>I am curious about the BC895xlt. How it performs, trunking features, etc. Is
>the VFO helpful?
>Is this unit restorable/modifiable? (Not necessarily for cellular, just
>wondering)
>Mike

1253. Marine Frequencies Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn/Cheryl <magnum@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <373B8034.4B9111@frontiernet.net>
Source record: 118840

Can anyone help with a list of marine frequencies? All I currently have is channel 16. Thanks for your help......Glenn.

1254. Optoelectronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tesla <r314159@mtco.com>
Message-ID: <tqL_2.10$L_6.4032@iagnews.iagnet.net>
Source record: 118841

Hello, Everybody I own a Optocom and a Explor. Has Anybody have any Tecnical info on these or any mods.? Optoelectronics states that internal circuitry needs to be installed on the Optocom and there is a place for another DTMF ic cant remember the ic number.
the specs say You can have two of these ics working together, Could this be the Hardware.?
Also the Optocom uses a Winbond W78E54 With Flash EEPROM 16k. There is a Security code (bit) That can protect the memory from being changed.:)
Anybody have any other info. Thanks

1255. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <373B8878.1CDE@sir.com>
Source record: 118842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Greg Baker wrote:
>
> What about Tech Plus license holders?

What about it? Code test required.

>
> Sir wrote in message <373B7360.5E20@sir.com>...
> >Michael Young wrote:
> >>
> >> I have great news for you! You CAN become a ham without learning Morse
> >> code. Looking at FCC Part 97, you can qualify for a Technician's license
> >> with only a written procedure and absolutely minimal theory test. No
> >> Morse code test!
> >>
> >> It seems your wish has been granted, and quite some time ago at that.
> >
> >The technician license does NOT allow you to use ANY of the Ham bands
> >below 6 meters. Thus you DO have to pass a Morse Code test if you want
> >to be a HAM without restrictions. A technician license is like a
> >fishing license that only allows you to fish in a small fraction of
> >small lakes. Only you don't have to know Morse Code for the fishing
> >license with no restricions on lakes.

1256. Re: Marine Frequencies Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <373B8A9D.4341@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118843

Glenn/Cheryl wrote:
>
> Can anyone help with a list of marine frequencies? All I currently have
> is channel 16. Thanks for your help......Glenn.

Check out the following URL:

ftp://oak.oakland.edu/pub/hamradio/docs/misc/scanner.faf

1257. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kh2d@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7hg1r4el1@brokaw.wa.com>
Source record: 118844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:

>There are going to be a lot of posts to newsgroups that don't happen to
>have subject matter that is of personal interest to you. Lots of YOUR
>posts will not have any interest to lots of other people too.

You appear to be so engrossed in your efforts to convince the rest
of the world that you are incapable of attaining anything in life that
requires effort that you seem to have totally missed the point.

The reason we have thousands of newsgroups is because the world is
filled with millions of people with different interests.

Although your inability to learn Morse code seems to be the current
focus in YOUR life, the rest of us don't necessarily view your lack
of motivation as an earthshaking event.

REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY was created with the sole intent
of giving you a forum in which to air your views. Please feel free to
use it to your hearts content.

Posting your Morse code dribble in multiple newsgroups is not going
to gain you any support. Many unmotivated whiners before you have
proven this point.

Some people have things they want in life because they are willing to
expend the effort required to obtain those things, whatever that effort
may be. It is obvious to us that you are not one of those people.

What apparently is not obvious to you is that the rest of us don't care.

73, Jim KH2D

1258. Re: BC 235xlt Battery Mod.????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <373B904B.90DE832F@dnai.com>
Source record: 118845
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: boscoj.vcf

Thats a neat idea, possibly shutting off the scanner but leaving on a backlight or something.
I have done similar things to batteries for laptops cell phones and other devices like my Makita drill which has had the same nicads for about 5 years. Tricky part would be not letting it sit shorted out for long, that can damage nicads beyond repair.

I dunno what voltage it is but I use 6 1157 tail lights wired in series to discharge my rc car batts and a peltier device to discharge cell batts

Satan wrote:

> Does anyone know of a Mod. to stop the scanner from shutting down when the
> battery gets low????I would like to let the battery go completely dead
> because after awhile the batteries get memory effect and they don't last as
> long as before.I know of other scanners that the Mod. can be performed on
> but I don't have information on this scanner.Can anyone help????------Thanks
> in advance----!!!!!!

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

1259. Re: PRO-62 Worth $100 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ultmann Secheiste <okamisn@robont.net>
Message-ID: <7hfeet$e0h$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 118846

Like Mike says, jump on it. It's near top of the line in the 97 RS Cat.
Cheap for a triple conversion unit.
Do yourself a big favor and go buy a RS 20-006 extendable antenna for it for
$9.99 and you will love it. I hear dispatchers that the stock rubber ducky
didn't even pick up. Be sure to collapse the section just above the coil in
the middle of the antenna. Extend it only for the 30 to 54 Mhz range.

Raz Barlow wrote in message <7hfoij$ig1$1@ins8.netins.net>...
>I am thinking of buying my neighbors Radio Shack pro-62 handheld scanner.
>Is it worth the $100.00 he is asking for it ? Is there any flaws in this
>model that anyone knows of ?
>Thanks A Million !
>Raz
>
>
>

1260. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Brown <kb0pyo@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <373B945E.43E4B406@rconnect.com>
Source record: 118847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I will try one last time. THIS BELONGS ON THE POLICY GROUP
NOT THE REST OF THE HAM GROUPS PLEASE TAKE IT WHERE IT
BELONGS!!!!!!!

Sir wrote:
>
> > Now, LOOK. Haven't you watched the movie Independence Day?
> > It was Morse Code that saved the world! :-)
>
> Yes, in science fiction movies you can show that Morse Code is very
> important and usefull. But what if I make a movie in which Morse Code
> causes the destruction of our entire universe?

1261. Re: Homebrew Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <373B95A1.5F3E6694@dnai.com>
Source record: 118848
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: boscoj.vcf

yeah just goto radio shack and get one of those plug in ones that uses your houses wiring and get a few adapters and plug it in . . . mine works great . . .
14.95

Raz Barlow wrote:

> I am thinking of buying a pro-62 handheld scanner and I want to run an
> external antenna off of it. But I am cheap, does anyone have a cheap
> homebrew scanner antenna that they would want to show me how to build ? I
> live in a house , so it can be an outside antenna but I would like it to be
> as low budget as possible . I have a roll of cable t.v. coax waiting to be
> used for something. Can I make an antenna out of this cable somehow ?
> Thanks A Million !
> Raz

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

1262. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <373B9819.6776@sir.com>
Source record: 118849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> What apparently is not obvious to you is that the rest of us don't care.

You all contribute to the thread, so you DO all care, else I would be
the only one and there would be no thread. I did not start the thread,
and it appears to be the most popular topic here right now. Even YOU
can not resist reading and replying to this.

1263. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <373B9839.662A@sir.com>
Source record: 118850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mark Brown wrote:
>
> I will try one last time.

I hope that's a promise.

1264. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <926652739.087.96@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Good! You now know the choices available. Choose one.

Michael.

Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote in message news:373B7360.5E20@sir.com...
> Michael Young wrote:
> >
> > I have great news for you! You CAN become a ham without learning
Morse
> > code. Looking at FCC Part 97, you can qualify for a Technician's
license
> > with only a written procedure and absolutely minimal theory test. No
> > Morse code test!
> >
> > It seems your wish has been granted, and quite some time ago at
that.
>
> The technician license does NOT allow you to use ANY of the Ham bands
> below 6 meters. Thus you DO have to pass a Morse Code test if you
want
> to be a HAM without restrictions. A technician license is like a
> fishing license that only allows you to fish in a small fraction of
> small lakes. Only you don't have to know Morse Code for the fishing
> license with no restricions on lakes.

1265. Re: Marine Frequencies Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <373B9859.18682117@gnc.net>
Source record: 118852

Here's another source:

http://www.navcen.uscg.mil/marcomms/vhf.htm

I particularly liked this website as it shows the use for
each of the channels.

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Glenn/Cheryl wrote:

> Can anyone help with a list of marine frequencies? All I currently have
> is channel 16. Thanks for your help......Glenn.

1266. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <926652998.698.27@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote in message news:373B7940.23EE@sir.com...
> Ham radio has changed. Packet, Satellite, R/C, television, and so
much
> more had nothing to do with what you said above.

Yep. So what's the problem doing this above 50 MHz?

Michael.

1267. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <373B9D4F.5767A2DC@uswest.net>
Source record: 118854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Read below...

Curtis CCR wrote:

> radiosigs@aol.com
>
> Are you serious? "Even unfair rules" are OK?"

Airlines have the right to refuse service for nearly any reason.

>
>
> What else should they ban? Laptop computers? Car keys?

Laptops, cd players, radio recievers and other rf producing electronic devices
not pertanent to the operation of an aircraft are already on the list of "banned devices"
via the Flight Aviation Rules and general policy of most airlines.

>
>
> BTW - I'm a pilot and I have no knowledge of any Federal Aviation
> Regulation the prohibits passengers from carrying tranceievers on board
> aircraft. There are rules about *using* portable electronic devices
> (FAR 91.21).

Look a little closer at that regulation. Part of the RCPA of 1996 included provisions related to the use of specified electronic equipment on board any commercial aircraft flying within or over any territory owned or controlled by the US Government.

This vary same arguement was held in here and on rec.radio.scanner some 11 months ago.

>
>
> I usually have a pretty flexible schedule when I travel. If I was
> denied passage through security because I was , I would have gone back
> to the airline and made a stink - even if it meant missing my flight.
> Unless there was an FAA directive forbiding HTs in carry-on luggage, I
> wouldn't have made every manager involved very busy.

Carrying them is ok, using them aboard aircraft is not.

>
>

> Yes - this is stupid. I would have made waves.
>
> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1268. need repair Realistic pro 2040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jacobo Bertran Lopez-Ibor <jbertranm@seorl.org>
Message-ID: <373B3F9A.34CB3DDE@seorl.org>
Source record: 118855

My pro 2040 scanner broke some weaks ago and I was wondering where I can take it to repair it. I live in Spain so I canï¿½t send it to USA because overthere Tandy does not accept my scanner to be fixed. I have tried in Tandy of UK but they have not replied me. Do you know any place inside Europe ? I donï¿½t care how much money It cost to repair it.
Thank you
Jacobo
E mail : jbertranm@seorl.org

1269. Motorola Gm300 Lab Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Max-Com Radio Systems <maxcom@eisa.net.au>
Message-ID: <7hp23p$173$1@news.eisa.net.au>
Source record: 118856

I have had a software glitch with my vhf gm300 radio and it seems to have gone dead on me. Does anyone have or knows someone that has the motorola lab software for gm300 so i can blank the logic board and start again - email me on maxcom@eisa.net.au

1270. FS:Bearcat BC950XLT w/CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Kerrn <takerrn@ilstu.edu>
Message-ID: <373B0044.20D04256@ilstu.edu>
Source record: 118887

Bearcat BC950XLT Mobile/Base Scanner. 12 Bands including 800mhz, 100 channel scanning, illuminated LCD display/controls, fully programmable. This unit
includes CTCSS tone (private line) board installed. Shipped in original box and packing material. Includes AC and DC connectors, manual, and antenna. Nice
size for mobile mounting (6.25" wide by 7.5" deep by 1.7" high).

$100.00 shipped to continental U.S. - Payment method certified check, money order, postal money order.

--
Ted Kerrn
mailto:takerrn@ilstu.edu

1271. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Kevin M. Krone" <kb2dga@together.net>
Message-ID: <373B037F.AE6@together.net>
Source record: 118888

Karl Dahlquist wrote:
>
> Anyone seen one on the highway yet? I read an article they are going to
> test them for possible service use when the Crown Vic production stops in
> the early 2000's.
>
> BTW...top speed 147.
>
> Karl Dahlquist
> karldahlquist@vistagroupusa.com
These are now being considered in Montreal for the Montreal Urban
Community Police Department. From talking with officers there, their
primary use will be field patrol and prisoner transport. They do not
plan on using these vehicles for pursuits. Why, I dont know.

Kevin

1272. Re: Please Read.. Ham Radio on IRC Chasing away hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: gws@nitetech.com
Message-ID: <7hf18a$q6k$2@usenet46.supernews.com>
Source record: 118889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If he founded the channel then he sets the rules, Dont like it move on.
You have not rights to use IRC. It this childish BS thats killing the hobby.

In article <7hb0b0$ht8$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, mrguard@iname.com says...
>
>In dal.net on IRC (thats the Dalnet network)
>I find this:
>Topic set for #amateur_radio is: This is a restricted channel. If I didn't ask
>you to join it then you are not welcome in it, no
> matter who you are. Why is it that way? None of your business,(but you can
>thank the lying, theiving mormons on
> xmission.com for it happening)! (KE6YZZ)
>
>Ke6YZZ who is now N2GOD. Email him at: n2god@angelfire.com
>
>
>
>Do we need folks like this controlling our hobby and lifestyle?
>Please lets have jerks like this create their own channel and leave
>our hobby alone.
>
>
>In article <7hau7hrii1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, mrguard@iname.com
>says...
>>
>>Hello Everyone.
>>I think everyone should take note of Don K Muth.
>>Don has founded on #undernet on Irc the channel #hamradio.
>>During a visit there using the nickname mrguard (irc doenst
>>require callsigns or anything), Don numerous times tried to
>>force me into using my callsign as my nick.
>>I told Don that my callsign is N8KGU and that i perfer not to use it on irc.
>>Some of the channels I know I dont want the others being able to reach me
>>via callsign servers.
>>Don asked if at Dayton I would use mrguard on the radio, I answered that
>>I am free to use N8KGU or KC8LAM, both are issued to me.
>>Don then proceeded to harass me and eventually kick me off of this
>>saying that it is his channel.
>>In a discussion under a different nick, Don admitted that he can
>>do as he feels and that he is free to chase anyone away from his channel.
>>plus:
>><AH6KA> he came with nick and said he wudnt use his call and gave me static
>>so i moderated then he tried to use

>> both calls
>><othercall> you gave him static
>><othercall> he stated what both calls were.
>><AH6KA> i shoulld have just kicked his ass
>>
>>Is this how decent we want ambassadors of our hobby to be to hams and
>>to those interested in our hobby?
>>Several attempts were to tell Don that the hobby has enough problems on
>>40 meters as well as losing folks to irc and the internet.
>>
>>Does everyone accept the fact that folks like Don can deem the channel
>private
>>and alienate everyone from the hobby?
>>My suggestion to Don was to form his own channel, maybe #ah6ka.
>>
>>I would suggest that everyone visit Undernet on IRC and join #hamradio
>>feel free to meet other hams, use any handle you want.
>>
>>You can Email Don at: Email: ah6ka@gte.net
>>
>>Please dont let the hobby get ruined again.
>>
>>Thanks Again
>>James
>>N8KGU
>>
>>
>
>

1273. Re: FBI on Low Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7hf1ef$3ea$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 118890

JQNB1 wrote in message <19990513001127.19855.00002517@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
>The FBI are now using 1.0-5.0GHz fully digital and encrypted two ways now I
>dont know what you may have witness. I know this for a fact having an agent
in
>the family.

Your family member is living proof that most FBI agents know little more
then which gadget in the car their radio is.

They are using frequencies in the 162-174 mhz range with some residing in
the 418-419 mhz range for interagency comm's with DEA, Customs, etc. They
are analog DES encrypted radios and it is company policy that they use
encryption for all comm's (although this is sometimes forgotten/ignored).
Whats more, the key changes just about once every two weeks because these
genius agents, these crack investigators, these famous detectives... lose a
radio twice a month. Unreal....

I have a friend who is a TECHNICIAN for them who actually knows more than
her own shoe size who provided this information.

But, hey, thanks for playing.

-Phil

1274. Re: Regency MX5000 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: levys@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <373b06ce.597509@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118891

Hi, Joe:

I used to own a MX-5000 that had a very similar problem on NFM in the VHF-hi range. The problem would come and go and would sound like the signal was slightly off frequency, muddy sounding, as you described.

I was told that this radio, along with the similar MX-7000 and AOR2002's all suffered from the same intermittent problem that was described to me as "mechanical drift."

Your best bet for a diagnosis is to contact Gerry Oliver at G&G Communications on Long Island, NY. He is very well known and respected in the filed of repairing old scanners. Here is his URL: http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/

73's

-Larry

On 13 May 1999 02:15:06 GMT, n9jcq@aol.com (N9JCQ) wrote:

>I have an MX5000 thats sound muddy on the NFM setting. The police segement
>here in Chicago on 155 MHZ sound awful... Anyone know who can still repair an
>MX5000??
>Joe

http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Bluffs/3283/index.html

1275. Re: BC 235xlt Battery Mod.????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <373B0C6E.40FF0214@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 118892
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Satan wrote:
> Does anyone know of a Mod. to stop the scanner from shutting down when the
> battery gets low????I would like to let the battery go completely dead

I wouldn't recommend that. Allowing a rechargeable to be just blindly
discharged below 1 Volt/cell runs the risk of permanently damaging the
cell. Only batteries hooked up to "state-of-the-art" analyzers should
be discharged below this level.

Do yourself a favor and buy a battery charger with a conditioner. Rig
up some clips so that it can work with your battery pack.

You might also think about replacing the NiCd pack with a NiMH version
so that you don't have to worry as much about "memory effect"/voltage
depression and you'll get more energy out of NimH (but fewer cycles).

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1276. Narco HT-830

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David R. Ward" <wa1rd@home.com>
Message-ID: <MME_2.7584$612.4641@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 118893

FS: Narco Avionics NT-830 handheld NAV/COM transceiver. 720 COM channels from 118.000 to 135.975 MHz and a 200 channel NAV receiver covering 108 to 117.95 MHz. BNC antenna, nominal 2 watts out. 10 memories, direct entry keypad. 2 NiCad battery packs, 1 quick charge hand stand charger, 1 wall charger and an excellent manual. This unit works very well and is very rugged. It has been on many airplane flights. Nothing broken but I list the condition as "good." $250 shipped lower 48.

1277. Re: Cell & Cordless frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: martyrip <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <373B120E.6918@ptd.net>
Source record: 118894

Cell listening is illegal don"t listen.

@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

CORDLESS PHONE FREQS

In the US and Canada they use the following:

PRE-1984 CORDLESS FREQUENCIES POST-1995 CORDLESS FREQUENCIES

Channel ## Base TX Rmte TX Channel ## Base TX Rmte TX
========== ======= ======= ========== ======= =======
Channel 01A 49.9300 01.6950 Channel 01 43.7200 48.7600
Channel 06A 49.8300 01.7050 Channel 02 43.7400 48.8400
Channel 13A 49.8600 01.7650 Channel 03 43.8200 48.8600
Channel 19A 49.8750 01.7950 Channel 04 43.8400 48.9200
Channel 25A 49.8900 01.8250 Channel 05 43.9200 49.0200
Channel 27A 49.8450 01.7350 Channel 06 43.9600 49.0800
Channel 07 44.1200 49.1000
POST-1984 CORDLESS FREQUENCIES Channel 08 44.1600 49.1600
Channel 09 44.1800 49.2000
Channel ## Base TX Rmte TX Channel 10 44.2000 49.2400
========== ======= ======= Channel 11 44.3200 49.2800
Channel 01 46.6100 49.6700 Channel 12 44.3600 49.3600
Channel 02 46.6300 49.8450 Channel 13 44.4000 49.4000
Channel 03 46.6700 49.8600 Channel 14 44.4600 49.4600
Channel 04 46.7100 49.7700 Channel 15 44.4800 49.5000
Channel 05 46.7300 49.8750 Channel 16 46.6100 49.6700
Channel 06 46.7700 49.8300 Channel 17 46.6300 49.8450
Channel 07 46.8300 49.8900 Channel 18 46.6700 49.8600
Channel 08 46.8700 49.9300 Channel 19 46.7100 49.7700
Channel 09 46.9300 49.9900 Channel 20 46.7300 49.8750
Channel 10 46.9700 49.9700 Channel 21 46.7700 49.8300
Channel 22 46.8300 49.8900
Note: Post-1995 cordless channels Channel 23 46.8700 49.9300
16-25 are congruent with Channel 24 46.9300 49.9900
Post-1984 channels 1-10. Channel 25 46.9700 49.9700
==
900 MHZ BAND FREQUENCIES

Many of today's 900 MHz cordless telephones offer digital encryption
or spread spectrum technology. Either of these systems will render
the reception of the conversation by an ordinary scanner impossible.

Taken From Panasonic KX-T9000 60 Channel Model

Base: 902.100 - 903.870 Base frequencies
Handset: 926.100 - 927.870 Handset frequencies

(30 kHz Spacing)

CH	BASE TX	HAND TX	CH	BASE TX	HAND TX	CH	BASE TX	HAND TX
01	902.100	926.100	21	902.700	926.700	41	903.300	927.300
02	902.130	926.130	22	902.730	926.730	42	903.330	927.330
03	902.160	926.160	23	902.760	926.760	43	903.360	927.360
04	902.190	926.190	24	902.790	926.790	44	903.390	927.390
05	902.220	926.220	25	902.820	926.820	45	903.420	927.420
06	902.250	926.250	26	902.850	926.850	46	903.450	927.450
07	902.280	926.280	27	902.880	926.880	47	903.480	927.480
08	902.310	926.310	28	902.910	926.910	48	903.510	927.510
09	902.340	926.340	29	902.940	926.940	49	903.540	927.540
10	902.370	926.370	30	902.970	926.970	50	903.570	927.570
11	902.400	926.400	31	903.000	927.000	51	903.600	927.600
12	902.430	926.430	32	903.030	927.030	52	903.630	927.630
13	902.460	926.460	33	903.060	927.060	53	903.660	927.660
14	902.490	926.490	34	903.090	927.090	54	903.690	927.690
15	902.520	926.520	35	903.120	927.120	55	903.720	927.720
16	902.550	926.550	36	903.150	927.150	56	903.750	927.750
17	902.580	926.580	37	903.180	927.180	57	903.780	927.780
18	902.610	926.610	38	903.210	927.210	58	903.810	927.810
19	902.640	926.640	39	903.240	927.240	59	903.840	927.840
20	902.670	926.670	40	903.270	927.270	60	903.870	927.870

For the single frequency model Panasonic phones, the color of the unit
often determines which of the above channels is used by the phone. The
following chart lists these allocations.

Color of Phone	CH	BASE	HANDSET
Blue	01	902.100	926.100
Hot Pink	02	902.130	926.130
Banana	03	902.160	926.160
Cerise	04	902.190	926.190
White	05	902.220	926.220
Off White	06	902.250	926.250
Gray	07	902.280	926.280
Fuschia	08	902.310	926.310
Shocking Pink	09	902.340	926.340
Chartreuse	10	902.370	926.370
Neon Purple	11	902.400	926.400
Black	59	903.870	927.870

(Taken From GE 2-9925 (30 Channels)
Base 902.125 - 903.985 Base frequencies (60Khz spacing)
Handset 926.125 - 927.985 Handset frequencies

```
CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET CH BASE HANDSET
-- ------- ------- -- ------- ------- -- ----- -------
01 902.125 926.125 11 902.725 926.725 21 903.325 927.325
02 902.185 926.185 12 902.785 926.785 22 903.385 927.385
03 902.245 926.245 13 902.845 926.845 23 903.445 927.445
04 902.305 926.305 14 902.905 926.905 24 903.505 927.505
05 902.365 926.365 15 902.965 926.965 25 903.565 927.565
06 902.425 926.425 16 903.025 927.025 26 903.625 927.625
07 902.485 926.485 17 903.085 927.085 27 903.685 927.685
08 902.545 926.545 18 903.145 927.145 28 903.745 927.745
09 902.605 926.605 19 903.205 927.205 29 903.805 927.805
10 902.665 926.665 20 903.265 927.265 30 903.865 927.865
31 903.925 927.925 32 903.985 927.985
```

(Taken From V-Tech Tropez DX900 20 Channel Model)

Base: 905.600 - 907.500 Base frequencies
Handset: 925.500 - 927.400 Handset frequencies

(100 kHz Spacing)

```
CH BASE TX HAND TX CH BASE TX HAND TX CH BASE TX HAND TX
== ======= ======= == ======= ======= == ======= =======
01 905.600 925.500 08 906.300 926.200 15 907.000 926.900
02 905.700 925.600 09 906.400 926.300 16 907.100 927.000
03 905.800 925.700 10 906.500 926.400 17 907.200 927.100
04 905.900 925.800 11 906.600 926.500 18 907.300 927.200
05 906.000 925.900 12 906.700 926.600 19 907.400 927.300
06 906.100 926.000 13 906.800 926.700 20 907.500 927.400
07 906.200 926.100 14 906.900 926.800
```

OTHER 900 MHZ CORDLESS PHONES

AT&T Model 9120 - Base: 902.000-905.000 Handset: 925.000-928.000
Otron Corp. Model CP-1000 - Base: 902.100-903.900 Handset: 926.100-927.900
Samsung Model SP-R912 - Base: 903.000 Handset: 927.000

==========

In the UK they use the following:

```
Channel Pairs Base Unit TX Mhz Handset Tx Mhz
1 A 1.642 47.45625
4 B 1.702 47.49375
2 A 1.662 47.46875
5 B 1.772 47.50625
3 A 1.682 47.48125
6 B 1.742 47.51875
4 A 1.702 47.49375
7 B 1.762 47.53125
5 A 1.722 47.50625
```

8 B 1.782 47.54375

==========

In Australia they use the following:

10 Channel Cordless models in Australia

Base Handset

30.075 39.775
30.100 39.800
30.125 39.825
30.150 39.850
30.175 39.875
30.200 39.900
30.225 39.925
30.250 39.950
30.275 39.975
30.300 40.000

5 Channel Cordless Phone models Australia

Base Handset

1.725 40.025
1.740 40.075
1.755 40.125
1.770 40.175
1.785 40.225

==========

In New Zealand they use the following:

Channel Base Unit Transmit (MHz) Portable Unit Transmit (MHz)

CT1-1 30.075 39.775
CT1-2 30.100 39.800
CT1-3 30.125 39.825
CT1-4 30.150 39.850
CT1-5 30.175 39.875
CT1-6 30.200 39.900
CT1-7 30.225 39.925
CT1-8 30.250 39.950
CT1-9 30.275 39.975
CT1-10 30.300 40.000
CT1-11A 30.325 or 1.725 40.025
CT1-12 30.350 40.050
CT1-13B 30.375 or 1.740 40.075
CT1-14 30.400 40.100
CT1-15C 30.425 or 1.755 40.125
CT1-16 30.450 40.150
CT1-17D 30.475 or 1.770 40.175

CT1-18 30.500 40.200
CT1-19E 30.525 or 1.785 40.225
CT1-20 30.550 40.250
CT1-21 30.575 40.275
CT1-22 30.600 40.300 or 72.800
CT1-23 30.625 40.325 or 72.825
CT1-24 30.650 40.350 or 72.850
CT1-25 30.675 40.375 or 72.875
CT1-26 30.700 40.400 or 72.900
CT1-27 30.725 40.425 or 72.925
CT1-28 30.750 40.450 or 72.950
CT1-29 30.775 40.475 or 72.975

The 800 Mhz cordless phones in New Zealand operate on:

864.15 865.15 866.15 867.15
864.25 865.25 866.25 867.25
864.35 865.35 866.35 867.35
864.45 865.45 866.45 867.45
864.55 865.55 866.55 867.55
864.65 865.65 866.65 867.65
864.75 865.75 866.75 867.75
864.85 865.85 866.85 867.85
864.95 865.95 866.95 867.95
865.05 866.05 867.05 868.05

==========

Channel allocations in Germany:

Band 1 40 channels (old gear)
Base Handset Base Handset Base Handset
914.0125 959.0125 914.9875 959.9875 914.9375 959.9375
914.0875 959.0875 914.0375 959.0375 914.0625 959.0625
914.1625 959.1625 914.1125 959.1125 914.1375 959.1375
914.2375 959.2375 914.1875 959.1875 914.2125 959.2125
914.3125 959.3125 914.2625 959.2625 914.2875 959.2875
914.3875 959.3875 914.3375 959.3375 914.3625 959.3625
914.4625 959.4625 914.4125 959.4125 914.4375 959.4375
914.5375 959.5375 914.4875 959.4875 914.5125 959.5125
914.6125 959.6125 914.5625 959.5625 914.5875 959.5875
914.6875 959.6875 914.6375 959.6375 914.6625 959.6625
914.7625 959.7625 914.7125 959.7125 914.7375 959.7375
914.8375 959.8375 914.7875 959.7875 914.8125 959.8125
914.9125 959.9125 914.8625 959.8625 914.8875 959.8875
914.9625 959.9625
Band 2 80 channels (new gear)
Base Handset Base Handset Base Handset
885.0125 930.0125 885.0375 930.0375 885.0625 930.0625
885.0875 930.0875 885.1125 930.1125 885.1375 930.1375
885.1625 930.1625 885.1875 930.1875 885.2125 930.2125
885.2375 930.2375 885.2625 930.2625 885.2875 930.2875

885.3125 930.3125 885.3375 930.3375 885.3625 930.3625
885.3875 930.3875 885.4125 930.4125 885.4375 930.4375
885.4625 930.4625 885.4875 930.4875 885.5125 930.5125
885.5375 930.5375 885.5625 930.5625 885.5875 930.5875
885.6125 930.6125 885.6375 930.6375 885.6625 930.6625
885.6875 930.6875 885.7125 930.7125 885.7375 930.7375
885.7625 930.7625 885.7875 930.7875 885.8125 930.8125
885.8375 930.8375 885.8625 930.8625 885.8875 930.8875
885.9125 930.9125 885.9375 930.9375 885.9625 930.9625
885.9875 930.9875 886.0125 931.0125 886.0375 931.0375
886.0625 931.0625 886.0875 931.0875 886.1125 931.1125
886.1375 931.1375 886.1625 931.1625 886.1875 931.1875
886.2125 931.2125 886.2375 931.2375 886.2625 931.2625
886.2875 931.2875 886.3125 931.3125 886.3375 931.3375
886.3625 931.3625 886.3875 931.3875 886.4125 931.4125
886.4375 931.4375 886.4625 931.4625 886.4875 931.4875
886.5125 931.5125 886.5375 931.5375 886.5625 931.5625
886.5875 931.5875 886.6125 931.6125 886.6375 931.6375
886.6625 931.6625 886.6875 931.6875 886.7125 931.7125
886.7375 931.7375 886.7625 931.7625 886.7875 931.7875
886.8125 931.8125 886.8375 931.8375 886.8625 931.8625
886.8875 931.8875 886.9125 931.9125 886.9375 931.9375
886.9625 931.9625 886.9875 931.9875

But most of the 900 MHz channels may be digital and/or encrypted, so
you couldn't hear them anyway.

Cellular Telephone

Chs Freqs (base) System Use
991-1023 869.040 - 870.000 A - RCC voice
1-312 870.030 - 879.360 A - RCC voice
313-333 879.390 - 879.990 A - RCC data
334-354 880.020 - 880.620 B - Telco data
355-666 880.650 - 889.980 B - Telco voice
667-716 890.010 - 891.480 A - RCC voice
717-799 891.510 - 893.970 B - Telco voice

Transmissions are FM (some systems are adding digital). |
Channels are 30 kHz apart. Mobiles transmit 45 MHz below the
base (824.040-848.970) and are usually repeated by the base.
Channels 991-1023 are also identified as Chs 800-832.

Cellular Phone Band A (Channel 1 is Data) RCC

Cell-A

TOWER Phone
879.690DATA
Channel 1 (316) 879.480 834.480
Channel 2 (295) 878.850- 833.850
Channel 3 (274) 878.220- 833.220

Channel 4 (253) 877.590- 832.590
Channel 5 (232) 876.960- 831.960
Channel 6 (211) 876.330- 831.330
Channel 7 (190) 875.700- 830.700
Channel 8 (169) 875.070- 830.070
Channel 9 (148) 874.440- 829.440
Channel 10 (127) 873.810- 828.810
Channel 11 (106) 873.180 828.180
Channel 12 (85) 872.550 827.550
Channel 13 (64) 871.920 826.920
Channel 14 (43) 871.290 826.290
Channel 15 (22) 870.660 825.660
Channel 16 (1) 870.030 825.030
Channel 17 (673) 890.190- 845.190
Channel 18 (694) 890.820DATA 845.820
Channel 19 (715) 891.450 846.450
Channel 20 (812) 869.400 824.400

Cell-B SHARP MTN. POTTSVILLE, SCH. CO.KNKN719 4/12/99
6 1.5 MILES WEST OF SR-61 & 0.3 MILES SOUTH OF POTTSVILLE

TOWER Phone
Channel 1 (317) 879.510Data 834.510
Channel 2 (296) 878.880P 833.880
Channel 3 (275) 878.250P 833.250
Channel 4 (254) 877.620P 832.620
Channel 5 (233) 876.990P 831.990
Channel 6 (212) 876.360P 831.360
Channel 7 (191) 875.730P 830.730
Channel 8 (170) 875.100P 830.100
Channel 9 (149) 874.470P 829.470
Channel 10 (128) 873.840P 828.840
Channel 11 (107) 873.210P 828.210
Channel 12 (86) 872.580P 827.580
Channel 13 (65) 871.950 826.950
Channel 14 (44) 871.320 826.320
Channel 15 (23) 870.690 825.690
Channel 16 (2) 870.060 825.060
Channel 17 (674) 890.220 845.220
Channel 18 (695) 890.850 845.850
Channel 19 (716) 891.480Data 846.480
Channel 20 (813) 869.430 824.430

Cell-C

TOWER Phone
Channel 1 (318) 879.540 834.540
Channel 2 (297) 878.910 833.910
Channel 3 (276) 878.280 833.280
Channel 4 (255) 877.650 832.650
Channel 5 (234) 877.020 832.020

Channel 6 (213) 876.390 831.390
Channel 7 (192) 875.760 830.760
Channel 8 (171) 875.130 830.130
Channel 9 (150) 874.500 829.500
Channel 10 (129) 873.870 828.870
Channel 11 (108) 873.240 828.240
Channel 12 (87) 872.610 827.610
Channel 13 (66) 871.980 826.980
Channel 14 (45) 871.350 826.350
Channel 15 (24) 870.720 825.720
Channel 16 (3) 870.090 825.090
Channel 17 (675) 890.250 845.250
Channel 18 (696) 890.880 845.880
Channel 19 (814) 869.460 825.460

Cell-D

TOWER Phone
Channel 1 (319) 879.570 834.570
Channel 2 (298) 878.940 833.940
Channel 3 (277) 878.310 833.310
Channel 4 (256) 877.680 832.680
Channel 5 (235) 877.050 832.050
Channel 6 (214) 876.420 831.420
Channel 7 (193) 875.790 830.790
Channel 8 (172) 875.160 830.160
Channel 9 (151) 874.530 829.530
Channel 10 (130) 873.900 828.900
Channel 11 (109) 873.270 828.270
Channel 12 (88) 872.640 827.640
Channel 13 (67) 872.010 827.010
Channel 14 (46) 871.380 826.380
Channel 15 (25) 870.750 825.750
Channel 16 (4) 870.120 825.120
Channel 17 (676) 890.280 845.280
Channel 18 (697) 890.910 845.910
Channel 19 (815) 869.490 825.490

Cell-E TAMAQUA SCH. CO. KNKN719 4/12/99

TOWER Phone
Channel 1 (320) 879.600 Data 834.600
Channel 2 (299) 878.970- 833.970
Channel 3 (278) 878.340- 833.340
Channel 4 (257) 877.710- 832.710
Channel 5 (236) 877.080- 832.080
Channel 6 (215) 876.450- 831.450
Channel 7 (194) 875.820- 830.820
Channel 8 (173) 875.190- 830.190
Channel 9 (152) 874.560- 829.560
Channel 10 (131) 873.930- 828.930

Channel 11 (110) 873.300- 828.300
Channel 12 (89) 872.670- 827.670
Channel 13 (68) 872.040 827.040
Channel 14 (47) 871.410- 826.410
Channel 15 (26) 870.780- 825.780
Channel 16 (5) 870.150- 825.150
Channel 17 (668) 890.040 845.040
Channel 18 (677) 890.310- 845.310
Channel 19 (698) 890.940 DATA 845.940
Channel 20 (816) 869.520 824.520

Cell-F

TOWER Phone
Channel 1 (321) 879.630 834.630
Channel 2 (300) 879.000 834.000
Channel 3 (279) 878.370 833.370
Channel 4 (258) 877.740 832.740
Channel 5 (237) 877.110 832.110
Channel 6 (216) 876.480 831.480
Channel 7 (195) 875.850 830.850
Channel 8 (174) 875.220 830.220
Channel 9 (153) 874.590 829.590
Channel 10 (132) 873.960 828.960
Channel 11 (111) 873.330 828.330
Channel 12 (90) 872.700 827.700
Channel 13 (69) 872.070 827.070
Channel 14 (48) 871.440 826.440
Channel 15 (27) 870.810 825.810
Channel 16 (6) 870.180 825.180
Channel 17 (667) 890.010 845.010
Channel 18 (678) 890.340 845.340
Channel 19 (699) 890.970 845.970
Channel 20 (817) 869.550 824.550

Cell-G SECOND MTN. SCH. HAVEN SCH. CO KNKN719

---4/12/99
TOWER Phone
Channel 1 (322) 879.660 834.660
Channel 2 (301) 879.030P 834.030
Channel 3 (280) 878.400P 833.400
Channel 4 (259) 877.770P 832.770
Channel 5 (238) 877.140P 832.140
Channel 6 (217) 876.510P 831.510
Channel 7 (196) 875.880P 830.880
Channel 8 (175) 875.250P 830.250
Channel 9 (154) 874.620P 829.620
Channel 10 (133) 873.990P 828.990
Channel 11 (112) 873.360P 828.360
Channel 12 (91) 872.730P 827.730

Channel 13 (70) 872.100P 827.100
Channel 14 (49) 871.470P 826.470
Channel 15 (28) 870.840P 825.840
Channel 16 (7) 870.210 825.210
Channel 17 (679) 890.370PData 845.370
Channel 18 (700) 891.000P 846.000
Channel 19 (818) 869.580 824.580

Cell-H HAMBURG,PA BERKS CO. 4/13/99 879.96DATA

TOWER Phone
Channel 1 (323) 879.690 834.690
Channel 2 (302) 879.060 834.060
Channel 3 (281) 878.430 833.430
Channel 4 (260) 877.800 832.800
Channel 5 (239) 877.170 832.170
Channel 6 (218) 876.540 831.540
Channel 7 (197) 875.910 830.910
Channel 8 (176) 875.280 830.280
Channel 9 (155) 874.650 829.650
Channel 10 (134) 874.020 829.020
Channel 11 (113) 873.390 828.390
Channel 12 (92) 872.760 827.760
Channel 13 (71) 872.130 827.130
Channel 14 (50) 871.500 826.500
Channel 15 (29) 870.870 825.870
Channel 16 (8) 870.240 825.240
Channel 17 (680) 890.400 845.400
Channel 18 (701) 891.030 846.030
Channel 19 (819) 869.610 824.610

Cell-I GORDON SCH. CO KNKN719 4/12/99

TOWER Phone
Channel 1 (324) 879.720DATA 834.720-GORDON
Channel 2 (303) 879.090- 834.090
Channel 3 (282) 878.460- 833.460
Channel 4 (261) 877.830- 832.830
Channel 5 (240) 877.200- 832.200
Channel 6 (219) 876.570- 831.570
Channel 7 (198) 875.940- 830.940
Channel 8 (177) 875.310- 830.310
Channel 9 (156) 874.680- 829.680
Channel 10 (135) 874.050- 829.050
Channel 11 (114) 873.420- 828.420
Channel 12 (93) 872.790- 827.790
Channel 13 (72) 872.160- 827.160
Channel 14 (51) 871.530- 826.530
Channel 15 (30) 870.900- 825.900
Channel 16 (9) 870.270- 825.270
Channel 17 (681) 890.430- 845.430

Channel 18 (702) 891.060-DATA 846.060
Channel 19 (820) 869.640- 824.640

Cell-J

TOWER Phone
Channel 1 (325) 879.750DATA 834.750
Channel 2 (304) 879.120 834.120
Channel 3 (283) 878.490 833.490
Channel 4 (262) 877.860 832.860
Channel 5 (241) 877.230 832.230
Channel 6 (220) 876.600 831.600
Channel 7 (199) 875.970 830.970
Channel 8 (178) 875.340 830.340
Channel 9 (157) 874.710 829.710
Channel 10 (136) 874.080 829.080
Channel 11 (115) 873.450 828.450
Channel 12 (94) 872.820 827.820
Channel 13 (73) 872.190 827.190
Channel 14 (52) 871.560 826.560
Channel 15 (31) 870.930 825.930
Channel 16 (10) 870.300 825.300
Channel 17 (682) 890.460 845.460
Channel 18 (703) 891.090 846.090
Channel 19 (821) 869.670 824.670
Channel 20 (800) 869.040 824.040

Cell-K

TOWER Phone
Channel 1 (326) 879.780-DATA 834.780
Channel 2 (305) 879.150- 834.150
Channel 3 (284) 878.520- 833.520
Channel 4 (263) 877.890- 832.890
Channel 5 (242) 877.260- 832.260
Channel 6 (221) 876.630- 831.630
Channel 7 (200) 876.000- 831.000
Channel 8 (179) 875.370- 830.370
Channel 9 (158) 874.740- 829.740
Channel 10 (137) 874.110- 829.110
Channel 11 (116) 873.480- 828.480
Channel 12 (95) 872.850- 827.850
Channel 13 (74) 872.220- 827.220
Channel 14 (53) 871.590- 826.590
Channel 15 (32) 870.960 825.960
Channel 16 (11) 870.330 825.330
Channel 17 (683) 890.490 845.490
Channel 18 (704) 891.120 846.120
Channel 19 (822) 869.700 824.700
Channel 20 (801) 869.070 824.070

Second Mtn. KNKN719 879.900 4-15-99
Cell-L Keffers Mtn. Joliett Sch. Co. knkn719 4-16-99

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (327) 879.810 834.810
Channel 2 (306) 879.180 834.180
Channel 3 (285) 878.550 833.550
Channel 4 (264) 877.920 832.920
Channel 5 (243) 877.290 832.290
Channel 6 (222) 876.660 831.660
Channel 7 (201) 876.030 831.030
Channel 8 (180) 875.400 830.400
Channel 9 (159) 874.770 829.770
Channel 10 (138) 874.140P 829.140
Channel 11 (117) 873.510P 828.510
Channel 12 (96) 872.880P 827.880
Channel 13 (75) 872.250 827.250
Channel 14 (54) 871.620 826.620
Channel 15 (33) 870.990 825.990
Channel 16 (12) 870.360 825.360
Channel 17 (684) 890.520 845.520
Channel 18 (705) 891.150 846.150
Channel 19 (823) 869.730 824.730
Channel 20 (802) 869.100 824.100

Cell-M

TOWER Phone
Channel 1 (328) 879.840 834.840
Channel 2 (307) 879.210 834.210
Channel 3 (286) 878.580 833.580
Channel 4 (265) 877.950 832.950
Channel 5 (244) 877.320 832.320
Channel 6 (223) 876.690 831.690
Channel 7 (202) 876.060 831.060
Channel 8 (181) 875.430 830.430
Channel 9 (160) 874.800 829.800
Channel 10 (139) 874.170 829.170
Channel 11 (118) 873.540 828.540
Channel 12 (97) 872.910 827.910
Channel 13 (76) 872.280 827.280
Channel 14 (55) 871.650 826.650
Channel 15 (34) 871.020 826.020
Channel 16 (13) 870.390 825.390
Channel 17 (685) 890.550 845.550
Channel 18 (706) 891.180 846.180
Channel 19 (824) 869.760 824.760
Channel 20 (803) 869.130 824.130

Cell-N SECOND MTN. SCH. HAVEN SCH. CO KNKN719
---4/12/99
TOWER Phone

Channel 1 (329) 879.870 834.870
Channel 2 (308) 879.240P 834.240
Channel 3 (287) 878.610P 833.610
Channel 4 (266) 877.980P 832.980
Channel 5 (245) 877.350P 832.350
Channel 6 (224) 876.720P 831.720
Channel 7 (203) 876.090P 831.090
Channel 8 (182) 875.460P 830.460
Channel 9 (161) 874.830P 829.830
Channel 10 (140) 874.200P 829.200
Channel 11 (119) 873.570P 828.570
Channel 12 (98) 872.940 827.940
Channel 13 (77) 872.310 827.310
Channel 14 (56) 871.680 826.680
Channel 15 (35) 871.050 826.050
Channel 16 (14) 870.420 825.420
Channel 17 (686) 890.580 845.580
Channel 18 (707) 891.210Data 846.210
Channel 19 (825) 869.790 824.790
Channel 20 (804) 869.160 824.160

Cell-O

TOWER Phone
Channel 18 (330) 879.810 834.810
Channel 17 (309) 879.270 834.270
Channel 16 (288) 878.640 833.640
Channel 15 (267) 878.010 833.010
Channel 14 (246) 877.380 832.380
Channel 13 (225) 876.750 831.750
Channel 12 (204) 876.120 831.120
Channel 11 (183) 875.490 830.490
Channel 10 (162) 874.860 829.860
Channel 9 (141) 874.230 829.230
Channel 8 (120) 873.600 828.600
Channel 7 (99) 872.970 827.970
Channel 6 (78) 872.340 827.340
Channel 5 (57) 871.710 826.710
Channel 4 (36) 871.080 826.080
Channel 3 (15) 870.450 825.450
Channel 19 (687) 890.610 845.610
Channel 20 (708) 891.240 846.240
Channel 2 (826) 869.820 824.820
Channel 1 (805) 869.190 824.190

Cell-P Sharp Mt. POTTSVILLE, SCH. CO.KNKN719 4/12/99
6 1.5 MILES WEST OF SR-61 & 0.3 MILES SOUTH OF POTTSVILLE

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (331) 879.930DATA 834.930
Channel 2 (310) 879.300x 834.300

Channel 3 (289) 878.670x 833.670
Channel 4 (268) 878.040- 833.040
Channel 5 (247) 877.410- 832.410
Channel 6 (226) 876.780- 831.780
Channel 7 (205) 876.150x 831.150
Channel 8 (184) 875.520- 830.520
Channel 9 (163) 874.890- 829.890
Channel 10 (142) 874.260- 829.260
Channel 11 (121) 873.630- 828.630
Channel 12 (100) 873.000x 828.000
Channel 13 (79) 872.370- 827.370
Channel 14 (58) 871.740- 826.740
Channel 15 (37) 871.110 826.110
Channel 16 (16) 870.480 825.480
Channel 17 (688) 890.640 845.640
Channel 18 (709) 891.270- 846.270Data
Channel 19 (827) 869.850 824.850
Channel 20 (806) 869.220 824.220

Cell-Q

TOWER Phone
Channel 1 (332) 879.960 834.960
Channel 2 (311) 879.330 834.330
Channel 3 (290) 878.700 833.700
Channel 4 (269) 878.070 833.070
Channel 5 (248) 877.440 832.440
Channel 6 (227) 876.810 831.810
Channel 7 (206) 876.180 831.180
Channel 8 (185) 875.550 830.550
Channel 9 (164) 874.920 829.920
Channel 10 (143) 874.290 829.290
Channel 11 (122) 873.660 828.660
Channel 12 (101) 873.030 828.030
Channel 13 (80) 872.400 827.400
Channel 14 (59) 871.770 826.770
Channel 15 (38) 871.140 826.140
Channel 16 (17) 870.510 825.510
Channel 17 (689) 890.670 845.670
Channel 18 (710) 891.300 846.300
Channel 19 (828) 869.880 824.880
Channel 20 (807) 869.250 824.250
Sharp Mtn. KNKN719 879.720 4/15/99
Cell-R PARK PLACE 3.1 MILES NE OF MAHANOY CITY SCHUYLKILL
---2/06/99---
TOWER Phone
879.690 DATA
Channel 1 (333) 879.990 834.990
Channel 2 (312) 879.360 834.360
Channel 3 (291) 878.730 833.730

Channel 4 (270) 878.100 833.100
Channel 5 (249) 877.470 832.470
Channel 6 (228) 876.840 831.840
Channel 7 (207) 876.210 831.210
Channel 8 (186) 875.580 830.580
Channel 9 (165) 874.950- 829.950
Channel 10 (144) 874.320- 829.320
Channel 11 (123) 873.690- 828.690
Channel 12 (102) 873.060- 828.060
Channel 13 (81) 872.430- 827.430
Channel 14 (60) 871.800- 826.800
Channel 15 (39) 871.170- 826.170
Channel 16 (18) 870.540- 825.540
Channel 17 (669) 890.070- 845.070
Channel 18 (690) 890.700- 845.700
Channel 19 (711) 891.330-DATA 846.330
Channel 20 (829) 869.910 824.910
Channel 21 (808) 869.280 824.280

Cell-S Pine Grove Sch. Co. KNKN719 4/12/99

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (313) 879.390 834.390
Channel 2 (292) 878.760- 833.760
Channel 3 (271) 878.130- 833.130
Channel 4 (250) 877.500- 832.500
Channel 5 (229) 876.870- 831.870
Channel 6 (208) 876.240- 831.240
Channel 7 (187) 875.610- 830.610
Channel 8 (166) 874.980- 829.980
Channel 9 (145) 874.350- 829.350
Channel 10 (124) 873.720- 828.720
Channel 11 (103) 873.090- 828.090
Channel 12 (82) 872.460- 827.460
Channel 13 (61) 871.830- 826.830
Channel 14 (40) 871.200- 826.200
Channel 15 (19) 870.570- 825.570
Channel 16 (670) 890.100- 845.100
Channel 17 (691) 890.730 845.730
Channel 18 (712) 891.360 846.360
Channel 19 (830) 869.940 824.940
Channel 20 (809) 869.310 824.310

Cell-T GORDON SCH. CO KNKN719 4-12-99

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (314) 879.420 834.420
Channel 2 (293) 878.790 833.790
Channel 3 (272) 878.160- 833.160
Channel 4 (251) 877.530- 832.530
Channel 5 (230) 876.900- 831.900

Channel 6 (209) 876.270- 831.270
Channel 7 (188) 875.640- 830.640
Channel 8 (167) 875.010- 830.010
Channel 9 (146) 874.380- 829.380
Channel 10 (125) 873.750- 828.750
Channel 11 (104) 873.120- 828.120
Channel 12 (83) 872.490- 827.490
Channel 13 (62) 871.860- 826.860
Channel 14 (41) 871.230- 826.230
Channel 15 (20) 870.600- 825.600
Channel 16 (671) 890.130- 845.130
Channel 17 (692) 890.760 845.760
Channel 18 (713) 891.390 846.390
Channel 19 (831) 869.970 824.970
Channel 20 (810) 869.340 824.340

Cell-U SECOND MTN. SCH. HAVEN SCH. CO KNKN719 4/12/99

TOWER Phone
Channel 1 (315) 879.450 834.450
Channel 2 (294) 878.820P 833.820
Channel 3 (273) 878.190P 833.190
Channel 4 (252) 877.560P 832.560
Channel 5 (231) 876.930P 831.930
Channel 6 (210) 876.300P 831.300
Channel 7 (189) 875.670P 830.670
Channel 8 (168) 875.040P 830.040
Channel 9 (147) 874.410P 829.410
Channel 10 (126) 873.780P 828.780
Channel 11 (105) 873.150P 828.150
Channel 12 (84) 872.520P 827.520
Channel 13 (63) 871.890P 826.890
Channel 14 (42) 871.260P 826.260
Channel 15 (21) 870.630P 825.630
Channel 16 (672) 890.160P 845.160
Channel 17 (693) 890.790P 845.790
Channel 18 (714) 891.420Data 846.420
Channel 19 (832) 870.000 825.000
Channel 20 (811) 869.370P 824.370

Cellular Phone Band B (Channel 1 is Data) TELCO

Cell-A

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (334) 880.020 835.020
Channel 2 (355) 880.650 835.650
Channel 3 (376) 881.280 836.280
Channel 4 (397) 881.910 836.910
Channel 5 (418) 882.540 837.540
Channel 6 (439) 883.170 838.170

Channel 7 (460) 883.800 838.800
Channel 8 (481) 884.430 839.430
Channel 9 (502) 885.060 840.060
Channel 10 (523) 885.690 840.690
Channel 11 (544) 886.320 841.320
Channel 12 (565) 886.950 841.950
Channel 13 (586) 887.580 842.580
Channel 14 (607) 888.210 843.210
Channel 15 (628) 888.840 843.840
Channel 16 (649) 889.470 844.470
Channel 17 (717) 891.510 846.510
Channel 18 (738) 891.140 846.140
Channel 19 (759) 892.770 847.770
Channel 20 (780) 893.400 848.400

Cell-B KEFFERS MTN. TREMONT TWP. SCH. CO. KNKN760
----------4/12/99
TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (335) 880.050DATA 835.050
Channel 2 (356) 880.680 835.680
Channel 3 (377) 881.310 836.310
Channel 4 (398) 881.940 836.940
Channel 5 (419) 882.570 837.570
Channel 6 (440) 883.200 838.200
Channel 7 (461) 883.830 838.830
Channel 8 (482) 884.460 839.460
Channel 9 (503) 885.090 840.090
Channel 10 (524) 885.720 840.720
Channel 11 (545) 886.350x 841.350
Channel 12 (566) 886.980 841.980
Channel 13 (587) 887.610 842.610
Channel 14 (608) 888.240 843.240
Channel 15 (629) 888.870 843.870
Channel 16 (650) 889.500 844.500
Channel 17 (718) 891.540 846.540
Channel 18 (739) 892.170 847.170
Channel 19 (760) 892.800 847.800
Channel 20 (781) 893.430 848.430

Cell-C

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (336) 880.080 835.080
Channel 2 (357) 880.710 835.710
Channel 3 (378) 881.340 836.340
Channel 4 (399) 881.970 836.970
Channel 5 (420) 882.600 837.600
Channel 6 (441) 883.230 838.230
Channel 7 (462) 883.860 838.860
Channel 8 (483) 884.490 839.490
Channel 9 (504) 885.120 840.120

Channel 10 (525) 885.750 840.750
Channel 11 (546) 886.380 841.380
Channel 12 (567) 887.010 842.010
Channel 13 (588) 887.640 842.640
Channel 14 (609) 888.270 843.270
Channel 15 (630) 888.900 843.900
Channel 16 (651) 889.530 844.530
Channel 17 (719) 891.570 846.570
Channel 18 (740) 892.200 847.200
Channel 19 (761) 892.830 847.830
Channel 20 (782) 893.460 848.460

Cell-D BURMA RD. BLYTHE TWP. SCH. CO. KNKN760
----------2/06/99----------
TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (337) 880.110DATA 835.110
Channel 2 (358) 880.740 835.740
Channel 3 (379) 881.370 836.370
Channel 4 (400) 882.000 837.000
Channel 5 (421) 882.630 837.630
Channel 6 (442) 883.260 838.260
Channel 7 (463) 883.890 838.890
Channel 8 (484) 884.520 839.520
Channel 9 (505) 885.150 840.150
Channel 10 (526) 885.780 840.780
Channel 11 (547) 886.410 841.410
Channel 12 (568) 887.040 842.040
Channel 13 (589) 887.670 842.670
Channel 14 (610) 888.300 843.300
Channel 15 (631) 888.930 843.930
Channel 16 (652) 889.560 844.560
Channel 17 (720) 891.600 846.600
Channel 18 (741) 892.230 847.230
Channel 19 (762) 892.860 847.860
Channel 20 (783 893.490 848.490

Cell-E

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (338) 880.140 835.140
Channel 2 (359) 880.770 835.770
Channel 3 (380) 881.400 836.400
Channel 4 (401) 882.030 837.030
Channel 5 (422) 882.660 837.660
Channel 6 (443) 883.290 838.290
Channel 7 (464) 883.920 838.920
Channel 8 (485) 884.550 839.550
Channel 9 (506) 885.180 840.180
Channel 10 (527) 885.810 840.810
Channel 11 (548) 886.440 841.440
Channel 12 (569) 887.070 842.070

Channel 13 (590) 887.700 842.700
Channel 14 (611) 888.330 843.330
Channel 15 (632) 888.960 843.960
Channel 16 (653) 889.590 844.590
Channel 17 (721) 891.630 846.630
Channel 18 (742) 892.260 847.260
Channel 19 (763) 892.890 847.890
Channel 20 (784) 893.520 848.520

Cell-F

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (339) 880.170 835.170
Channel 2 (360) 880.800 835.800
Channel 3 (381) 881.430 836.430
Channel 4 (402) 882.060 837.060
Channel 5 (423) 882.690 837.690
Channel 6 (444) 883.320 838.320
Channel 7 (465) 883.950 838.950
ChanneL 8 (486) 884.580 839.580
Channel 9 (507) 885.210 840.210
Channel 10 (528) 885.840 840.840
Channel 11 (549) 886.470 841.470
Channel 12 (570) 887.100 842.100
Channel 13 (591) 887.730 842.730
Channel 14 (612) 888.360 843.360
Channel 15 (633) 888.990 843.990
Channel 16 (654) 889.620 844.620
Channel 17 (722) 891.660 846.660
Channel 18 (743) 892.290 847.290
Channel 19 (764) 892.920 847.920
Channel 20 (785) 893.550 848.550

Deer Lake, Sch Co. 880.530 Data 4-11-99
Cell-G PINE GROVE, SCH CO. KNKN760 880.290 Data 4/12/99

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (340) 880.200 835.200
Channel 2 (361) 880.830 835.830
Channel 3 (382) 881.460 836.460
Channel 4 (403) 882.090 837.090
Channel 5 (424) 882.720 837.720
Channel 6 (445) 883.350 838.350
Channel 7 (466) 883.980- 838.980
Channel 8 (487) 884.610- 839.610
Channel 9 (508) 885.240- 840.240
Channel 10 (529) 885.870 840.870
Channel 11 (550) 886.500- 841.500
Channel 12 (571) 887.130 842.130
Channel 13 (592) 887.760 842.760
Channel 14 (613) 888.390 843.390

Channel 15 (634) 889.020 844.020
Channel 16 (655) 889.650 844.650
Channel 17 (723) 891.540 846.540
Channel 18 (744) 892.170 847.170
Channel 19 (765) 892.800 847.800
Channel 20 (786) 893.430 848.430

Cell-H

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (341) 880.230 835.230
Channel 2 (362) 880.860 835.860
Channel 3 (383) 881.490 836.490
Channel 4 (404) 882.120 837.120
Channel 5 (425) 882.750 837.750
Channel 6 (446) 883.380 838.380
Channel 7 (467) 884.010 839.010
Channel 8 (488) 884.640 839.640
Channel 9 (509) 885.270 840.270
Channel 10 (530) 885.900 840.900
Channel 11 (551) 886.530 841.530
Channel 12 (572) 887.160 842.160
Channel 13 (593) 887.790 842.790
Channel 14 (614) 888.420 843.420
Channel 15 (635) 889.050 844.050
Channel 16 (656) 889.680 844.680
Channel 17 (724) 891.570 846.570
Channel 18 (745) 892.200 847.200
Channel 19 (766) 892.830 847.830
Channel 20 (787) 893.460 848.460

Cell-I GIRARDVILLE, SCH. CO. KNKN760 4/12/99

TOWER PHONE
880.170DATA GIRARDVILLE
Channel 1 (342) 880.260 835.260
Channel 2 (363) 880.890 835.890
Channel 3 (384) 881.520 836.520
Channel 4 (405) 882.150- 837.150
Channel 5 (426) 882.780 837.780
Channel 6 (447) 883.410 838.410
Channel 7 (468) 884.040 839.040
Channel 8 (489) 884.670 839.670
Channel 9 (510) 885.300 840.300
Channel 10 (531) 885.930 840.930
Channel 11 (552) 886.560 841.560
Channel 12 (573) 887.190 842.190
Channel 13 (594) 887.820 842.820
Channel 14 (615) 888.450 843.450
Channel 15 (636) 889.080 844.080
Channel 16 (657) 889.710 844.710

Channel 17 (728) 891.600 846.600
Channel 18 (746) 892.230 847.230
Channel 19 (767) 892.860 847.860
Channel 20 (788) 893.490 848.490

Cell-J SECOND MTN. SCH. HAVEN, SCH. CO 4/12/99

TOWER PHONE
880.230DATA
Channel 1 (343) 880.290 835.290
Channel 2 (364) 880.920 835.920
Channel 3 (385) 881.550 836.550
Channel 4 (406) 882.180 837.180
Channel 5 (427) 882.810- 837.810
Channel 6 (448) 883.440- 838.440
Channel 7 (469) 884.070- 839.070
Channel 8 (490) 884.700- 839.700
Channel 9 (511) 885.330- 840.330
Channel 10 (532) 885.960- 840.960
Channel 11 (553) 886.590- 841.590
Channel 12 (574) 887.220- 842.220
Channel 13 (595) 887.850- 842.850
Channel 14 (616) 888.480- 843.480
Channel 15 (637) 889.110- 844.110
Channel 16 (658) 889.740- 844.740
Channel 17 (726) 891.630- 846.780
Channel 18 (747) 892.260- 847.410
Channel 19 892.890 847.890
Channel 20 893.520 848.520

Cell-K

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (344) 880.320 835.320
Channel 2 (365) 880.950 835.950
Channel 3 (386) 881.580 836.580
Channel 4 (407) 882.210 837.210
Channel 5 (428) 882.840 837.840
Channel 6 (449) 883.470 838.470
Channel 7 (470) 884.100 839.100
Channel 8 (491) 884.730 839.730
Channel 9 (512) 885.360 840.360
Channel 10 (533) 885.990 840.990
Channel 11 (554) 886.620 841.620
Channel 12 (575) 887.250 842.250
Channel 13 (596) 887.880 842.880
Channel 14 (617) 888.510 843.510
Channel 15 (638) 889.140 844.140
Channel 16 (659) 889.770 844.770
Channel 17 (727) 891.660 846.660
Channel 18 (748) 892.290 847.290

Channel 19 (769) 892.920 847.920
Channel 20 (790) 893.550 848.550

Cell-L Park Pl

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (345) 880.350 835.350
Channel 2 (366) 880.980 835.980
Channel 3 (387) 881.610 836.610
Channel 4 (408) 882.240 837.240
Channel 5 (429) 882.870 837.870
Channel 6 (450) 883.500 838.500
Channel 7 (471) 884.130 839.130
Channel 8 (492) 884.760- 839.760
Channel 9 (513) 885.390 840.390
Channel 10 (534) 886.020- 841.020
Channel 11 (555) 886.650 841.650
Channel 12 (576) 887.280 842.280
Channel 13 (597) 887.910 842.910
Channel 14 (618) 888.540 843.540
Channel 15 (639) 889.170 844.170
Channel 16 (660) 889.800 844.800
Channel 17 (728) 891.690 846.690
Channel 18 (749) 892.320 847.320
Channel 19 (770) 892.950 847.950
Channel 20 (791) 893.580 848.580

Cell-M

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (346) 880.380DATA 835.380
Channel 2 (367) 881.010 836.010
Channel 3 (388) 881.640 836.640
Channel 4 (409) 882.270 837.270
Channel 5 (430) 882.900 837.900
Channel 6 (451) 883.530 838.530
Channel 7 (472) 884.160 839.160
Channel 8 (493) 884.790 839.790
Channel 9 (514) 885.420 840.420
Channel 10 (535) 886.050 841.050
Channel 11 (556) 886.680 841.680
Channel 12 (577) 887.310 842.310
Channel 13 (598) 887.940 842.940
Channel 14 (619) 888.570 843.570
Channel 15 (640) 889.200 844.200
Channel 16 (661) 889.830 844.830
Channel 17 (729) 891.720 846.720
Channel 18 (750) 892.350 847.350
Channel 19 (771) 892.980 847.980
Channel 20 (792) 893.610 848.610

Cell-N Mount Pleasant Rt.901 & Rt.81 4/12/99

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (347) 880.410 835.410
Channel 2 (368) 881.040 836.040
Channel 3 (389) 881.670 836.670
Channel 4 (410) 882.300 837.300
Channel 5 (431) 882.930 837.930
Channel 6 (452) 883.560 838.560
Channel 7 (473) 884.190 839.190
Channel 8 (494) 884.820 839.820
Channel 9 (515) 885.450 840.450
Channel 10 (536) 886.080 841.080
Channel 11 (557) 886.710 841.710
Channel 12 (578) 887.340 842.340
Channel 13 (599) 887.970 842.970
Channel 14 (620) 888.600 843.600
Channel 15 (641) 889.230 844.230
Channel 16 (662) 889.860 844.860
Channel 17 (730) 891.750 846.750
Channel 18 (751) 892.380 847.380
Channel 19 (772) 893.010 848.010
Channel 20 (793 893.640 848.640

Cell-O

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (348) 880.440 835.440
Channel 2 (369) 881.070 836.070
Channel 3 (390) 881.700 836.700
Channel 4 (411) 882.330 837.330
Channel 5 (432) 882.960 837.960
Channel 6 (453) 883.590 838.590
Channel 7 (474) 884.220 839.220
Channel 8 (495) 884.850 839.850
Channel 9 (516) 885.480 840.480
Channel 10 (537) 886.110 841.110
Channel 11 (558) 886.740 841.740
Channel 12 (579) 887.370 842.370
Channel 13 (600) 888.000 843.000
Channel 14 (621) 888.630 843.630
Channel 15 (642) 889.260 844.260
Channel 16 (663) 889.890 844.890
Channel 17 (731) 891.780 846.780
Channel 18 (752) 892.410 847.410
Channel 19 (773) 893.040 848.040
Channel 20 (794) 893.670 848.670

Cell-P

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (349) 880.470 835.470

Channel 2 (370) 881.100 836.100
Channel 3 (391) 881.730 836.730
Channel 4 (412) 882.360 837.360
Channel 5 (433) 882.990 837.990
Channel 6 (454) 883.620 838.620
Channel 7 (475) 884.250 839.250
Channel 8 (496) 884.880 839.880
Channel 9 (517) 885.510 840.510
Channel 10 (538) 886.140 841.140
Channel 11 (559) 886.770 841.770
Channel 12 (580) 887.400 842.400
Channel 13 (601) 888.030 843.030
Channel 14 (622) 888.660 843.660
Channel 15 (643) 889.290 844.290
Channel 16 (664) 889.920 844.920
Channel 17 (732) 891.810 846.810
Channel 18 (753) 892.440 847.440
Channel 19 (774) 893.070 848.070
Channel 20 (795) 893.700 848.700

Cell-Q

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (350) 880.500 835.500
Channel 2 (371) 881.130 836.130
Channel 3 (392) 881.760 836.760
Channel 4 (413) 882.390 837.390
Channel 5 (434) 883.020 838.020
Channel 6 (455) 883.650 838.650
Channel 7 (476) 884.280 839.280
Channel 8 (497) 884.910 839.910
Channel 9 (518) 885.540 840.540
Channel 10 (539) 886.170 841.170
Channel 11 (560) 886.800 841.800
Channel 12 (581) 887.430 842.430
Channel 13 (602) 888.060 843.060
Channel 14 (623) 888.690 843.690
Channel 15 (644) 889.320 844.320
Channel 16 (665) 889.950 844.950
Channel 17 (733) 891.840 846.840
Channel 18 (754) 892.470 847.470
Channel 19 (775) 893.100 848.100
Channel 20 (796) 893.730 848.730

Cell-R

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (351) 880.530 835.530
Channel 2 (372) 881.160 836.160
Channel 3 (393) 881.790 836.790
Channel 4 (414) 882.420 837.420

Channel 5 (435) 883.050 838.050
Channel 6 (456) 883.680 838.680
Channel 7 (477) 884.310 839.310
Channel 8 (498) 884.940 839.940
Channel 9 (519) 885.570 840.570
Channel 10 (540) 886.200 841.200
Channel 11 (561) 886.830 841.830
Channel 12 (582) 887.460 842.460
Channel 13 (603) 888.090 843.090
Channel 14 (624) 888.720 843.720
Channel 15 (645) 889.350 844.350
Channel 16 (666) 889.980 844.980
Channel 17 (734) 891.870 846.870
Channel 18 (755) 892.500 847.500
Channel 19 (776) 893.130 848.130
Channel 20 (797) 893.760 848.760

Cell-S Keffers Mtn. Tremont Twp. knkn760 4/12/99

TOWER PHONE
880.050
Channel 1 (352) 880.560 835.560
Channel 2 (373) 881.190 836.190
Channel 3 (394) 881.820 836.820
Channel 4 (415) 882.450 837.450
Channel 5 (436) 883.080- 838.080
Channel 6 (457) 883.710 838.710
Channel 7 (478) 884.340 839.340
Channel 8 (499) 884.970 839.970
Channel 9 (520) 885.600 840.600
Channel 10 (541) 886.230 841.230
Channel 11 (562) 886.860 841.860
Channel 12 (583) 887.490 842.490
Channel 13 (604) 888.120 843.120
Channel 14 (625) 888.750 843.750
Channel 15 (646) 889.380 844.380
Channel 16 (735) 892.900 847.900
Channel 17 (756) 892.530 847.530
Channel 18 (777) 893.160 848.160
Channel 19 (798) 893.790 848.790

Cell-T TAMAQUA, SCH. CO 4/12/99

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (353) 880.590DATA 835.590
Channel 2 (374) 881.220 836.220
Channel 3 (395) 881.850 836.850
Channel 4 (416) 882.480 837.480
Channel 5 (437) 883.110 838.110
Channel 6 (458) 883.740 838.740
Channel 7 (479) 884.370 839.370

Channel 8 (500) 885.000 840.000
Channel 9 (521) 885.630 840.630
Channel 10 (542) 886.260 841.260
Channel 11 (563) 886.890 841.890
Channel 12 (584) 887.520 842.520
Channel 13 (605) 888.150 843.150
Channel 14 (626) 888.780 843.780
Channel 15 (647) 889.410 844.410
Channel 16 (736) 891.930 846.930
Channel 17 (757) 892.560 847.560
Channel 18 (778) 893.190 848.190
Channel 19 (799) 893.820 848.820

Cell-U

TOWER PHONE
Channel 1 (354) 880.620 835.620
Channel 2 (375) 881.250 836.250
Channel 3 (396) 881.880 836.880
Channel 4 (417) 882.510 837.510
Channel 5 (438) 883.140 838.140
Channel 6 (459) 883.770 838.770
Channel 7 (480) 884.400 839.400
Channel 8 (501) 885.030 840.030
Channel 9 (522) 885.660 840.660
Channel 10 (543) 886.290 841.290
Channel 11 (564) 886.920 841.920
Channel 12 (585) 887.550 842.550
Channel 13 (606) 888.180 843.180
Channel 14 (627) 888.810 843.810
Channel 15 (648) 889.440 844.440
Channel 16 (737) 891.960 846.960
Channel 17 (758) 892.590 847.590
Channel 18 (779) 893.850 848.850

1278. Icom radio fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: aa186@freenet.akron.oh.us
Message-ID: <FBopn1.Euo@freenet.akron.oh.us>
Source record: 118895

Icom W2A for sale:

Dual band HT with icom rubber duck and battery pack.
Good clean shape.

TX/RX mods.

Make me an offer.

email me directly at aa186@acorn.net

thanks
Michael
--
2

1279. Re: FS:Bearcat BC950XLT w/CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990513151041.00810.00000065@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 118896

is that the older model that can get cellular?

if so consider it sold.. if you still have it..

john

remove nospam to email

1280. Propagation statistics in real time !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabio <cq.fabio@iol.it>
Message-ID: <7hf6s0$q10$2@hermes.iol.it>
Source record: 118897

Hi to all
In my site I've insert a page withe a little statistic (on-line and resault
in real time), about the propagation level in oll the continents,
If you have time, you can see it and contribute to this statistic.
Thank you : http://users.iol.it/cq.fabio/

1281. FS: Icom R10, Scout 40 package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <373B3068.3859B9D4@bv.net>
Source record: 118898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcguyver.vcf

I have for sale an Icom (unblocked R10) with Scout 40 reation tuner / freq counter. I have all cables, power supplies, instructions and software. I'll sell the whole package for $750 shipped CONUS

Photos upon request

ALSO: I have one VHF GP68, 20 channel with rapid charger, good battery, speaker mic, ear/mic, manual and antenna (AS well as all boxes and instructions) I will sell combo for $400

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

1282. pro 36 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: AB7RS <tglenn@imt.net>
Message-ID: <373B338F.38E6@imt.net>
Source record: 118899

Just traded a tranciever for 3 scanners,a BC210XL,a BC210,and a
PRO-36.Are their ANY mods(especially cell)for these? Thanks.Tracy

1283. help - mobile phone conversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alf <perez.alfonso.am@bhp.com.au>
Message-ID: <7hfdjl$dfc17@atbhp.corpmel.bhp.com.au>
Source record: 118900

I would appreciate if anyone has informartion regarding celular phone conversions and modifications, particularly Motorola MicroTac 7500 and Nokia 101.

We want to develop an alarm system for industrial remote equipment monitoring using the celular phone as a transmitter for analog data. the monitoring celurar phone needs to be on scan mode to be able to pick up and feed various transmitting mobile phones located on the different equipment.

Any information or references to similar projects would be very much appreciated indeed.

Regards,
Alf

1284. F.S. uniden bc235xlt trunktracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9iif <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <373B424E.F5D215AF@owc.net>
Source record: 118901

I have for sale a uniden bc235xlt trunk tracker 300 channel handheld
scanner.
comes with 2 rechargable battries,box charger,excellent
condition......$150.00 plus shipping.
thanks jack

1285. Re: FBI on Low Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <373B42C8.EB541C07@mich.com>
Source record: 118902

Riiiight!

JQNB1 wrote:

> The FBI are now using 1.0-5.0GHz fully digital and encrypted two ways now I
> dont know what you may have witness. I know this for a fact having an agent in
> the family.
> Jeremy

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

1286. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <373b4595.20963389@206.184.139.132>
Source record: 118903
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote:

>In article <%h6Y2.1361$U83.27541@news.san.rr.com>,
> "Skip Sanders" <skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>> <joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
>> news:7gptamqtt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com...
>> > The following comes from the Tandy Support site:
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > "Modifying or tampering with the scanner's internal components can
>> > invalidate the scanner's warranty and void your FCC authorization to
>> > operate it. If your scanner is not operating as it should, take it to
>> > your local RadioShack store for assistance."
>> >
>> > (LB/tc 4/9/98)
>> >
>> >
>> > What is the legal basis for the FCC to authorize operating a scanner? Has
>> the
>> > FCC ever voided such authorization for an individual person?
>> >
>> Well, here's WHY that caution is in there...
>>
>> --------------
>> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
>>
>> CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
>>
>> PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
>>
>> Subpart A--General
>>
>> Sec. 15.21 Information to user.
>>
>> The users manual or instruction manual for an intentional or
>> unintentional radiator shall caution the user that changes or
>> modifications not expressly approved by the party responsible for
>> compliance could void the user's authority to operate the equipment.
>>
>> --------------
>>

>> And the primary rules, which, you will note, state that OPERATION of any
>> device required to be certified, etc, under the Part 15 rules (And scanning
>> receivers specifically must be certified) is prohibited without a seperate
>> individual license to do so, in subsection c, if you void the certification
>> by modifying it.
>> ------------
>> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
>>
>> CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
>>
>> PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
>>
>> Subpart A--General
>>
>> Sec. 15.1 Scope of this part.
>>
>> (a) This part sets out the regulations under which an intentional,
>> unintentional, or incidental radiator may be operated without an
>> individual license. It also contains the technical specifications,
>> administrative requirements and other conditions relating to the
>> marketing of part 15 devices.
>> (b) The operation of an intentional or unintentional radiator that
>> is not in accordance with the regulations in this part must be licensed
>> pursuant to the provisions of section 301 of the Communications Act of
>> 1934, as amended, unless otherwise exempted from the licensing
>> requirements elsewhere in this chapter.
>> (c) Unless specifically exempted, the operation or marketing of an
>> intentional or unintentional radiator that is not in compliance with the
>> administrative and technical provisions in this part, including prior
>> Commission authorization or verification, as appropriate, is prohibited
>> under section 302 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and
>> subpart I of part 2 of this chapter. The equipment authorization and
>> verification procedures are detailed in subpart J of part 2 of this
>> chapter.
>>
>>
>
> So a scanner is a "radiator", even if not a transmitter. Thanks for
> posting these sections.

Joseph,

ANY superhetrodyne radio receiver (and all scanners are, as well as
televisions and 99% of all other receivers) is a "radiator" in that is
has an RF oscillator section that makes an RF signal. Same goes for CD
players, computers and basically anything that has a microprocessor in
it.

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com

Daly City, CA

1287. Re: BC 235xlt Battery Mod.????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <373B44F7.E2BD0608@fnal.gov>
Source record: 118904

Satan wrote:

> I would like to let the battery go completely dead
> because after awhile the batteries get memory effect and they don't last as
> long

I've had my BC235 since they came out (about 2 years ago is it?) and I have the same 2 battery packs and I usually charge one (or the other) everyday and I have no memory problems with them. I think the critical thing is to charge them consistently every time so I use a timer. I run the battery until it won't power the scanner any more, then charge it for 15 hours on the timer.

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov
BC100, BC235, Pro90, Pro2038

1288. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <373b46a0.21229969@206.184.139.132>
Source record: 118905
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Xiccarph <portw002@tc.umn.edu> wrote:

>Just another example of criminalization by govt decree of something otherwise
>legal being labelled as illegal via semantics. Back in the "old days", any
>idiot in the radio/electronic hobby would know a "radiator" meant it
>transmitted RF, now all Big Brother needs to do is call something whatever
>would conveniently put it under a Big Brother controlled rule. This opens the
>door to Big Brother dictating how we can configure our PC's as they often have
>Part 15 stickers. If scanners can be controlled just by sticking them into
>Part 15, just think of all the appliances and devices out there that bear Part
>15 compliance stickers the Feds can now tell us how to use. Pretty much gives
>the gov't the legal right to "unauthorize" just about any techy thing we use as
>individuals.
>MIke

Mike,

If the courts interpret Part 15 as you described then yes, the FCC
does have that authority over anything that has an oscillator in it
(including any device with a microprocessor).

With the bunch of yo-yos we have at the FCC these days, I would not
put it past them. An understanding of the Constitution seems to be way
beyond their grasp: witness the "Gore Tax". When was the Constitution
rewritten to let an agency levy a tax?

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com
Daly City, CA

1289. Re: OS456 vs. CE-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoLiMiT3o3 <nolimit3o3@home.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.3.96.990513155331.262A-100000@C202805-A.whtrdg1.co.home.com>
Source record: 118906

On Wed, 12 May 1999, Knut Otterbeck wrote:

> Excellent report there ,Wiggie!
> I printed it all out for nice-to-know and reference matters :)
>

Agree'd, thanks Wiggie! Was very informative and thanks to everyone else
too its given me alot more info than i expected to get back :)

NoLiMiT3o3

1290. Re: Cell & Cordless frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1305991705510001@pm64-26.magicnet.net>
Source record: 118907

In article <373AD9A3.67193A7@prodigy.net>, "Danny R. Barnes"
<danny315@prodigy.net> wrote:

>
> PCS Listening Guide

> PCS Phones
>
> 1.85 GHz - 1.99 GHz

To list the PCS CDMA frequencies is just silly. No one can listen to CDMA.
It is called a listening guide" after all. The info is fine, but should
not be part of a "listening guide" since no one can listen in any way.

1291. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <373b495f.21933012@206.184.139.132>
Source record: 118908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

>
>Sorry Bill, didn't know this was the religious.right.republican newsgroup. I
>was posting about scanners and replying to your free "speach" remarks.
>Didn't know we were talking Republican folklore. Be more than happy to
>discuss it in E-mail or alt.republican.we.have.no.real.issues. The reports
>seem to say Bill Cheek WAS an easy target. Has nothing to do with the
>liberals, Democrats or Bill Clinton. Has to do with Bill selling not one but
>5 interfaces and included the means to decode MDT. He all but declares
>himself guilty in his disclaimer on his WWW page. The SS didn't pick
>somebody easy, Cheek made it easy for the SS. Opto isn't in jail.

Glenn,

Your use of the term "SS" for the Secret Service is far more
appropriate than you probably even realize.

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com
Daly City, CA

1292. Re: Bill Cheek's Arrest Story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bowenb@best.com
Message-ID: <373b49f8.22086428@206.184.139.132>
Source record: 118909

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

>
>LF686 <lf686@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:19990510093736.10362.00000395@ng61.aol.com...
>> >Has to do with Bill selling not one but
>> >5 interfaces and included the means to decode MDT. He all but declares
>> >himself guilty in his disclaimer on his WWW page.
>>
>> But Glen, even if he was selling the interface bundled with MDT software,
>and
>> he wasn't, what law was broken.
>
>One of the news article I read said he did send the software on floppy with
>the data slicer which I believe is what bit Bill. As far as what laws were
>broken, I don't know exactly what angle they are using. Bill had a
>disclaimer on his WWW page, what was his concern? I do know that Bill Cheek
>is not the only one selling data slicers so the question also remains why
>was Cheek singled out? Could it be he was the only one bragging in public
>that his slicer could do it? Could it be Bill was easily led around (5
>times) by the government and showed off his knowledge skills to the wrong
>group? We probably will never know.

Sounds like the SS did the same trick to Bill that the lovely BATF did
to Randy Weaver - this kinda crap used to be called "entrapment"
before our court system totally lost their balls regarding limitations
on the government's power. At least the SS didn't murder Bill's wife
and son like the ATF did to Weaver.

Regards,
Bill Bowen
bowenb@best.com
Daly City, CA

1293. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <n7I_2.5751$U83.125014@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 118910
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Actually, Part 15 covers damn near EVERYTHING. Including, for instance, electric shavers, because the motors can cause interference (sparking, you know), and the FCC did once, I'm told, prohibit sale of some shaver that was badly designed and radiated a lot of hash to AM radios.

William H. Bowen <bowenb@best.com> wrote in message news:373b46a0.21229969@206.184.139.132...
> Xiccarph <portw002@tc.umn.edu> wrote:
>
> >Just another example of criminalization by govt decree of something otherwise
> >legal being labelled as illegal via semantics. Back in the "old days", any
> >idiot in the radio/electronic hobby would know a "radiator" meant it
> >transmitted RF, now all Big Brother needs to do is call something whatever
> >would conveniently put it under a Big Brother controlled rule. This opens the
> >door to Big Brother dictating how we can configure our PC's as they often have
> >Part 15 stickers. If scanners can be controlled just by sticking them into
> >Part 15, just think of all the appliances and devices out there that bear Part
> >15 compliance stickers the Feds can now tell us how to use. Pretty much gives
> >the gov't the legal right to "unauthorize" just about any techy thing we use as
> >individuals.
> >MIke
>
> Mike,
>
> If the courts interpret Part 15 as you described then yes, the FCC
> does have that authority over anything that has an oscillator in it
> (including any device with a microprocessor).
>
> With the bunch of yo-yos we have at the FCC these days, I would not
> put it past them. An understanding of the Constitution seems to be way
> beyond their grasp: witness the "Gore Tax". When was the Constitution
> rewritten to let an agency levy a tax?
>
> Regards,

> Bill Bowen
> bowenb@best.com
> Daly City, CA

1294. Re: mailto:abuse@home.net Re: c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com (24.0.49.240)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: mao2 <mao2@prvnet.com>
Message-ID: <373B4FA7.DE1F887F@prvnet.com>
Source record: 118911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Hey John How is the cable modem performing?

Frank Delgato wrote:

> John Mackey is now using a cable modem
>
> Go to dejanews, http://www.dejanews.com
> enter John Mackey' NNTP posting host number, 24.0.49.240
> in the search box, click the find icon.
>
> Now go to Sam Spade, http://www.samspade.org enter the same nntp
> number to get more information about John Mackey from Portland, Oregon.
> ----
>
> Let's go!
>
> Official name: c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com
>
> Addresses: 24.0.49.240
>
> Whois for c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com
>
> .com is the global domain of USA & International Commercial
>
> (Whois queries for .com domains can be performed at
> http://rs.internic.net/cgi-bin/whois)
>
> whois -h whois.internic.net home.com
>
> Registrant:
> Home Network (HOME-DOM)
> 425 Broadway
> Redwood City, CA 94063
> US
>
> Domain Name: HOME.COM
>
> Administrative Contact, Technical Contact, Zone Contact:
> Varsanyi, Ami (AV1662) mailto:abuse@HOME.NET
> 650-569-5333 (FAX) 650-482-4062
> Billing Contact:
> Du, Trung (TD2157) trung@CORP.HOME.NET
> 650-569-5437 (FAX) 650-569-5100

>
> Record last updated on 28-Jul-98.
> Record created on 16-Dec-93.
> Database last updated on 8-May-99 12:36:00 EDT.
>
> Domain servers in listed order:
>
> NS1.HOME.NET 24.0.0.27
> NS2.HOME.NET 24.2.0.27
>
> The InterNIC Registration Services database traceroute to 24.0.49.240
> (24.0.49.240) from 206.117.161.81, 30 hops max, 40 byte packets
> contains ONLY
> non-military and non-US Government Domains and contacts.
> Other associated whois servers:
> American Registry for Internet Numbers - whois.arin.net
> European IP Address Allocations - whois.ripe.net
> Asia Pacific IP Address Allocations - whois.apnic.net
> US Military - whois.nic.mil
> US Government - whois.nic.gov
>
> Traceroute 24.0.49.240
>
> This end is where samspade.org lives
>
> 1 206.117.161.1 (206.117.161.1) 2.483 ms 1.679 ms
> 2 isi-acg.ln.net (130.152.136.1) 4.101 ms 2.199 ms
> 3 s4-1-1.lsajca1-cr3.bbnplanet.net (4.24.40.13) 3.451 ms 2.954 ms
> 4 p4-1.lsajca1-nbr1.bbnplanet.net (4.24.4.17) 4.390 ms 3.055 ms
> 5 p2-0.lsajca1-nbr2.bbnplanet.net (4.24.4.22) 3.191 ms 3.528 ms
> 6 p2-1.sanjose1-nbr1.bbnplanet.net (4.0.1.17) 10.873 ms 11.294 ms
> 7 p1-0-0.sanjose1-br2.bbnplanet.net (4.0.1.82) 12.005 ms 11.559 ms
> 8 bb1.mae-w-sj.home.net (198.32.136.142) 13.831 ms 13.388 ms
> 9 172.16.2.130 (172.16.2.130) 129.192 ms 60.095 ms
> 10 r1-fe0-0-100bt.rdc1.wa.home.net (24.0.2.2) 83.682 ms 90.136 ms
> 11 10.0.220.62 (10.0.220.62) 81.733 ms 89.201 ms
> 12 c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com (24.0.49.240) 113.055 ms 87.933 ms
>
> This end is where the people you're tracerouting to live
>
> mailto:abuse@home.net
>
> Re: c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com (24.0.49.240)
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1295. Re: 821MHZ music

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwilson@ripco.com
Message-ID: <7hfj73grp1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 118912

Where are you located? Downtown Chicago gives static at 821.

Jon

Bustor2000 (bustor2000@aol.com) wrote:
: As a new scanner hobbyist, I'm wondering where continuous music comes
: from in the area of 800 MHZ. Is that like "Muzak" that they play in stores?

: :paul

--

1296. Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: viper <viper@csolution.com>
Message-ID: <7hfjii$4c9@news.dx.net>
Source record: 118913

I have a Uniden BC 80XLT with the little rubber antenna that come with it. It seems to pick up great in the 400 and 800 Mhz range. But transmissions in the 150 Mhz range sound disorganized (not really so much static but everything is warped). Am currently in the market for a new scanner and the first thing people say is to replace the rubber antenna that comes with the scanner. So does anyone have a suggestion for a antenna for a portable handheld scanner????

Jeff Palmer

1297. BC - 245xlt - will it allow you to name a channel (alpha?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Green <hsnguy@aol.co>, <>
Message-ID: <8DC5C0761hsnguy@news.gv2.com>
Source record: 118914

I would like to be able to name a channel - my EDACS system here is quite large

is this possible?

1298. Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7hfl7o$ab9$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 118915

This subject comes up from time to time, and that is the use of a scanner on board an aircraft. I've heard reports from people saying "Go right ahead, I did and it was fun" all the way to "Your scanner could interfere with the instrumentation causing you to run into a mountain and kill everyone! Don't do it!!!" and all ranges in between.

Well, yesterday on a short business trip to L.A. I decided to see for myself. I brought my scanner on board the aircraft and fired it up as a sat down in my seat, which was right behind the cockpit. My partner had his scanner with him also, and the flight attendant asked him what it was. He told her, she said "Oh.. OK" and left us alone about it.

I started out on the ground control frequency and followed right along until about Palm springs where the crew switched frequencies and I missed where they went. But it was great. Mostly I just heard our plane, but sometimes we'd be close enough to the ground station to pick them up as well. There were no adverse affects, our plane landed right on time at LAX with no problems at all.

So all those stories about planes possibly falling out of the sky or going way off course because of a scanner are nothing more than wive's tales. In fact, a laptop computer puts out more RF interference than my little scanner ever could, and no flight has ever gone off course due to that!

I can't wait to travel again - hopefully I'll be able to follow the whole flight next time. For reference, the aircraft was a 737-400 and the airline was Southwest. So take that scanner with you and enjoy!

--
-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

1299. Re: BC - 245xlt - will it allow you to name a channel (alpha?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990513190225.00982.00000295@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 118916

>Subject: BC - 245xlt - will it allow you to name a channel (alpha?)
>

No, the 245XLT will not have alpha tags. The 785XLT mobile will have alpha tags.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1300. Re: New RealAudio Fire Scanner Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: oper911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990513190601.17096.00000193@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 118917

Tried the link several times...tells me its not on "this server" NOT FOUND?

Any suggestions?

Thanks
Oper911

1301. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990513190613.08829.00000507@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 118918

actually its ok because its only a RX device if you were transmitting then its a whole other story. Hell i use my HT 2meter in my glider and see nothing wrong with my instruments. but there is a lot more computer stuff aboard a big boy

remove nospam to email

1302. Re: Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <373B5E7A.EEB71580@gnc.net>
Source record: 118919

Rich Wells has a good webpage on this...

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/antenna.htm

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

viper wrote:

> I have a Uniden BC 80XLT with the little rubber antenna that come with it.
> It seems to pick up great in the 400 and 800 Mhz range. But transmissions
> in the 150 Mhz range sound disorganized (not really so much static but
> everything is warped). Am currently in the market for a new scanner and the
> first thing people say is to replace the rubber antenna that comes with the
> scanner. So does anyone have a suggestion for a antenna for a portable
> handheld scanner????
>
> Jeff Palmer

1303. Re: BC - 245xlt - will it allow you to name a channel (alpha?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Green <hsnguy@aol.co>, <>
Message-ID: <8DC5C95AEhsnguy@news.gv2.com>
Source record: 118920

When will the 785 be out?

JTWard01 <jtward01@aol.com.nospam> wrote in
<19990513190225.00982.00000295@ng-cc1.aol.com>:

>>Subject: BC - 245xlt - will it allow you to name a channel (alpha?)
>>
>
>No, the 245XLT will not have alpha tags. The 785XLT mobile will have
alpha
>tags.
>
>John T. Ward
>Brandon, Florida
>USA
>"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."
>

1304. Re: FBI on Low Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: marcus.akesson@no_spam_please.home.se
Message-ID: <373d5cce.488546002@wingate>
Source record: 118921

On 13 May 1999 04:11:27 GMT, jqnb1@aol.com (JQNB1) wrote:

>The FBI are now using 1.0-5.0GHz fully digital and encrypted two ways now I
>dont know what you may have witness. I know this for a fact having an agent in
>the family.

Wow, a full 4 GHz of bandwidth! Very likely....

/Marcus

1305. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Pemble <pemble@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7hfmtelhi1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118922

Yes, this has come up from time to time. There is no FAA regulation against scanners on board aircraft. Individual airlines may impose their own restrictions. Delta Airlines (which I fly all the time and use a scanner on) allows VHF scanner operations on board only when the aircraft is above 10,000 feet (when they say "you can now use approved electronic devices").

Some airlines strictly forbid it. One way to find out is by looking in the seat pocket flight magazine that every airline has. In the back there will be a definition of "approved electronic devices".

When this was brought up about a year ago, one guy reported that he asked the pilot which frequencies he used and why he wanted to know and the pilot told him.

I tried that several times -- some of them would tell me, others refused to know anything about anything and seemed surprised Delta would allow radio scanners.

So, I just keep a low profile, scanner in the flight bag and headphones so it looks like I'm listening to a CD -- and I have a copy of the approved electronic devices page I tore out of a magazine, just in case.

Have fun.

Rick

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote in message news:7hfl7o$ab9$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com...
> This subject comes up from time to time, and that is the use of a scanner on
> board an aircraft. I've heard reports from people saying "Go right ahead, I
> did and it was fun" all the way to "Your scanner could interfere with the
> instrumentation causing you to run into a mountain and kill everyone! Don't
> do it!!!" and all ranges in between.
>
> Well, yesterday on a short business trip to L.A. I decided to see for
> myself. I brought my scanner on board the aircraft and fired it up as a sat
> down in my seat, which was right behind the cockpit. My partner had his
> scanner with him also, and the flight attendant asked him what it was. He
> told her, she said "Oh.. OK" and left us alone about it.
>
> I started out on the ground control frequency and followed right along until

> about Palm springs where the crew switched frequencies and I missed where
> they went. But it was great. Mostly I just heard our plane, but sometimes
> we'd be close enough to the ground station to pick them up as well. There
> were no adverse affects, our plane landed right on time at LAX with no
> problems at all.
>
> So all those stories about planes possibly falling out of the sky or going
> way off course because of a scanner are nothing more than wive's tales. In
> fact, a laptop computer puts out more RF interference than my little
scanner
> ever could, and no flight has ever gone off course due to that!
>
> I can't wait to travel again - hopefully I'll be able to follow the whole
> flight next time. For reference, the aircraft was a 737-400 and the
airline
> was Southwest. So take that scanner with you and enjoy!
>
> --
> -Phil
>
> -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
> -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>
>

1306. BRIDGEPORT BLUEFISH?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdkerkes <jdkerkes@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7hfnf1rmu1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118923

Just wondering if anyone knows the frequencies used during the Bluefish games?
They can be security or maintaince or any others that are used.

1307. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tree <xcommace@usa.net>
Message-ID: <373B637F.9F1AB641@usa.net>
Source record: 118924

Its true that people do listen to their radios while in flight but read
91.21 of the FAA rules.
http://www4.law.cornell.edu/cfr/14p91.htm#start
It is clearly forbidden on commercial aircraft. Even the pilot cannot
authorize it (even though they sometimes do).
Obviously you know nothing about the technical side of radio or you
would understand how your scanner can actually block the aircraft's vhf
NAV equipment.
Chances of running into a mountain are remote because the NAV equipment
would indicate an error or loss of signal so the pilot would be alerted.

1308. Re: Unblocked Bearcat 200XLT package - $190

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Blackburn <rb170473@cosd.fedex.com>
Message-ID: <373B5F51.BBF8327B@cosd.fedex.com>
Source record: 118925

Has this sold? How would you handle payment? Do you have a business
which can accept credit cards? I'm in Colorado; any chance you are too?

KA4993GG wrote:
>
> Complete in the box with leather case and rechargeable pack. 1st $190 will
> take it!

1309. PRO-62 Worth $100 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raz Barlow <razbarlow@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7hfoij$ig1$1@ins8.netins.net>
Source record: 118926

I am thinking of buying my neighbors Radio Shack pro-62 handheld scanner.
Is it worth the $100.00 he is asking for it ? Is there any flaws in this
model that anyone knows of ?
Thanks A Million !
Raz

1310. Homebrew Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raz Barlow <razbarlow@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7hforn$gh5$1@ins8.netins.net>
Source record: 118927

I am thinking of buying a pro-62 handheld scanner and I want to run an external antenna off of it. But I am cheap, does anyone have a cheap homebrew scanner antenna that they would want to show me how to build ? I live in a house , so it can be an outside antenna but I would like it to be as low budget as possible . I have a roll of cable t.v. coax waiting to be used for something. Can I make an antenna out of this cable somehow ?
Thanks A Million !
Raz

1311. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Pemble <pemble@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7hfooa$o87$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118928

I dug up some old posts and thought I'd recopy this:

For the text of the FAA regulation governing personal electronic devices
(PEDs) aboard US airliners (FAR 91.21), plus the official policy of several
airlines, download
ftp://oak.oakland.edu/pub/hamradio/docs/misc/scan.airline
(also available as Airlne.txt in Lib 2 of CompuServe's Hamnet Forum).

---

cheers

Rick

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7hfl7o$ab9$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com...
> This subject comes up from time to time, and that is the use of a scanner
on
> board an aircraft. I've heard reports from people saying "Go right ahead,
I
> did and it was fun" all the way to "Your scanner could interfere with the
> instrumentation causing you to run into a mountain and kill everyone!
Don't
> do it!!!" and all ranges in between.
>
> Well, yesterday on a short business trip to L.A. I decided to see for
> myself. I brought my scanner on board the aircraft and fired it up as a
sat
> down in my seat, which was right behind the cockpit. My partner had his
> scanner with him also, and the flight attendant asked him what it was. He
> told her, she said "Oh.. OK" and left us alone about it.
>
> I started out on the ground control frequency and followed right along
until
> about Palm springs where the crew switched frequencies and I missed where
> they went. But it was great. Mostly I just heard our plane, but sometimes
> we'd be close enough to the ground station to pick them up as well. There
> were no adverse affects, our plane landed right on time at LAX with no
> problems at all.
>
> So all those stories about planes possibly falling out of the sky or going
> way off course because of a scanner are nothing more than wive's tales. In
> fact, a laptop computer puts out more RF interference than my little
scanner

> ever could, and no flight has ever gone off course due to that!
>
> I can't wait to travel again - hopefully I'll be able to follow the whole
> flight next time. For reference, the aircraft was a 737-400 and the
airline
> was Southwest. So take that scanner with you and enjoy!
>
> --
> -Phil
>
> -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
> -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>
>

1312. Re: FS:Bearcat BC950XLT w/CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <373B71F2.B3F98E06@netxn.com>
Source record: 118929

It should ... I have one about that age that gets cellular ...

Mike

JCM1168 wrote:
>
> is that the older model that can get cellular?
>
> if so consider it sold.. if you still have it..
>
> john
>
> remove nospam to email

1313. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <373B7360.5E20@sir.com>
Source record: 118930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Michael Young wrote:
>
> I have great news for you! You CAN become a ham without learning Morse
> code. Looking at FCC Part 97, you can qualify for a Technician's license
> with only a written procedure and absolutely minimal theory test. No
> Morse code test!
>
> It seems your wish has been granted, and quite some time ago at that.

The technician license does NOT allow you to use ANY of the Ham bands
below 6 meters. Thus you DO have to pass a Morse Code test if you want
to be a HAM without restrictions. A technician license is like a
fishing license that only allows you to fish in a small fraction of
small lakes. Only you don't have to know Morse Code for the fishing
license with no restricions on lakes.

1314. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3rK_2.7$8I1.1162@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 118931

So Phil's intricate experiment is all for naught. One safe flight doesn't negate the law? I am sure his radio has the same spurious IF signal as every scanner ever built. All that hard work and scientific effort for nothing? Darn.

tree <xcommace@usa.net> wrote in message news:373B637F.9F1AB641@usa.net...
> Its true that people do listen to their radios while in flight but read
> 91.21 of the FAA rules.
> http://www4.law.cornell.edu/cfr/14p91.htm#start
> It is clearly forbidden on commercial aircraft. Even the pilot cannot
> authorize it (even though they sometimes do).
> Obviously you know nothing about the technical side of radio or you
> would understand how your scanner can actually block the aircraft's vhf
> NAV equipment.
> Chances of running into a mountain are remote because the NAV equipment
> would indicate an error or loss of signal so the pilot would be alerted.
>

1315. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <373B74EC.988@sir.com>
Source record: 118932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Jim wrote:
>
> Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
>
> >The best analogy would be having to learn Morse Code in order to use the
> >internet, as Morse Code has as much to do with knowing how to operate
> >and work the internet as it does with knowing how to operate and work a
> >Ham radio.
>
> Now that sounds like a plan, a Morse code test to get on the internet
> would keep some of you no-code peckerwoods out of the DX newsgroup
> and about a half dozen other ones this 'discussion' doesn't belong in.
>
> Is it REALLY necessary to post this crap to every ham newsgroup there
> is ? Some of us have already learned Morse code, we aren't looking for
> any good reasons not to....

Well if they would replace the Morse Code with Russian and make you learn Russian with a test to keep your HF priviledges, I'm sure you would be posting some comments on how you don't understand why you need to learn Russian if you only plan to use English. And then the Hams that allready KNOW Russian would tell YOU to shut up and stop complaining and posting as they aren't looking for any good reasons not to have to learn Russian.

There are going to be a lot of posts to newsgroups that don't happen to have subject matter that is of personal interest to you. Lots of YOUR posts will not have any interest to lots of other people too.

Read the threads of the subjects you find interesting and skip over the ones you do not. Seems like a lot of wasted time to reply to threads that don't interest you.

1316. Re: PRO-62 Worth $100 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <373B759C.4951515C@netxn.com>
Source record: 118933

The Pro-62 is a great scanner in my opinion. I've had mine for several years and I love it. Great sensitivity and triple conversion. For $100 I would jump at that ...

Just my opinion ...

Mike Derryberry

Raz Barlow wrote:
>
> I am thinking of buying my neighbors Radio Shack pro-62 handheld scanner.
> Is it worth the $100.00 he is asking for it ? Is there any flaws in this
> model that anyone knows of ?
> Thanks A Million !
> Raz

1317. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <gCK_2.387$6f.5016@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 118934

Read paragraph (b)
There is an exeption that says that the rule doesn't apply to:
(1) Portable voice recorders;
(2) Hearing aids;
(3) Heart pacemakers;
(4) Electric shavers; or
(5) Any other portable electronic device that the operator of the
aircraft has determined will not cause interference with the navigation
or communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.

So it seems to me that if the operator of the aircraft had determined that a
radio scanner will not interfere with navigation or communication equipment,
then it will be okay to use it.

John Habbinga

tree <xcommace@usa.net> wrote in message news:373B637F.9F1AB641@usa.net...
> Its true that people do listen to their radios while in flight but read
> 91.21 of the FAA rules.
> http://www4.law.cornell.edu/cfr/14p91.htm#start
> It is clearly forbidden on commercial aircraft. Even the pilot cannot
> authorize it (even though they sometimes do).
> Obviously you know nothing about the technical side of radio or you
> would understand how your scanner can actually block the aircraft's vhf
> NAV equipment.
> Chances of running into a mountain are remote because the NAV equipment
> would indicate an error or loss of signal so the pilot would be alerted.
>

1318. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Baker <bakergsj@maranatha.net>
Message-ID: <FMK_2.5629$LP2.104993@news6.ispnews.com>
Source record: 118935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

What about Tech Plus license holders?

Sir wrote in message <373B7360.5E20@sir.com>...
>Michael Young wrote:
>>
>> I have great news for you! You CAN become a ham without learning Morse
>> code. Looking at FCC Part 97, you can qualify for a Technician's license
>> with only a written procedure and absolutely minimal theory test. No
>> Morse code test!
>>
>> It seems your wish has been granted, and quite some time ago at that.
>
>The technician license does NOT allow you to use ANY of the Ham bands
>below 6 meters. Thus you DO have to pass a Morse Code test if you want
>to be a HAM without restrictions. A technician license is like a
>fishing license that only allows you to fish in a small fraction of
>small lakes. Only you don't have to know Morse Code for the fishing
>license with no restricions on lakes.

1319. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <373B7940.23EE@sir.com>
Source record: 118936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Dean Craft wrote:
>
> Sir wrote in message <37363938.123C@sir.com>...
> >Rich Mulvey wrote:
> >>
> >> On Fri, 7 May 1999 08:21:11 -0500, jpos <jpos@mobilemark.com> wrote:
> >> >No one complains about having to learn how to use windows before they
> can
> >> >surf the net....
> >> >
> >>
> >> Considering that I was "surfing the net" before it was even
> >> *possible* with MS-Windows, you might want to choose a different
> >> analogy. Sigh.
> >>
> >
> >The best analogy would be having to learn Morse Code in order to use the
> >internet, as Morse Code has as much to do with knowing how to operate
> >and work the internet as it does with knowing how to operate and work a
> >Ham radio.
>
> True enough; however, it has everything to do with the es-prit de corps of
> ham radio.

Ham radio has changed. Packet, Satellite, R/C, television, and so much
more had nothing to do with what you said above. Perhaps all wood
workers should be required to be able to use old hand made tools of the
1800s before they are allowed to use modern power tools for the same
reason you gave.

Knowing that one has gone through, and survived, the identical
> trials and tribulations of his or her counterparts, builds a unique bond of
> dedication and purpose.

If I want to wash my clothes, I would find no bond of dedication or
purpose by using a washboard. I would prefer to use a washing machine
and dryer, and would not appreciate being forced to perform a test using
the washboard before I am given the PRIVILEDGE of being allowed to use a
washing machine. Would you? Or are you one of those lazy people that
think they should be allowed to use Washing Machines without ANY sort of
a test? How would we be able to keep CB'rs from using washing machines?

The instant gratification crowd of today has no
> appreciation for that bond.

Better sell your car and walk to work, don't use that Microwave oven either as that is for instant gratification and convienience too. Get out those two sticks, rub them together, and cook your food the hard way.

Using Morse Code was at one time an instant gratification and convienient thing too over writing and sending a letter pony express. Did people despise Morse Code back then because IT was more convienient and gave instant gratification?

All inventions give us convienience and instant gratification. That is a GOOD thing. Civilization finds better more efficient ways of doing things. Wanting to find an easier and better way of doing things is intelligent. Finding ways to make things more difficult is idiotic and stupid.

1320. PRO-2045 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: artie@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <7hdact$dl7@world5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118937

Excellent cond. Triple conversion.
Second to top of line model.
Fully boxed as was when new.
E-Mail me for a photo reply.
artie@bellatlantic.net

1321. Re: Batteryt Voltage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: NinjaLex <ninjalex@spamless.home.com>
Message-ID: <373A3574.43B00806@spamless.home.com>
Source record: 118938

Don't forget voltage is only additive in series configured batteries. If they are configured in parallel, only the current output will increase.

Alex

HighWaysinHiding wrote:
>
> Ther have been some confussed people wondering about the voltage
> their radio needs.
> I am unaware of any comercial radio that has internal regulators to
> step, asay, +12V down to whatever.
> Count the number of cells that your battery "pack" holds.
> My Pro34 holds a total of 6.
> So... 6X1.5=9.0V
> My RF2200 (yea it is not a scanner but it is a radio!)uses 4 "D" cells
> for a voltage of 4X1.4=6V
> This is why nicads are not alway a good choice.
> 6X1.25=7.5V
> 4X1.25=5V
> And this is for freshly charged batteries.
> My Pro34 is nearly deaf on nicads.
> But you still must be VER CAREFULL about either connecting power to the
> battery terminals or to an external power jack.
> My Icom gear has the shell negative/ground and the tip positive/hot,
> and some of my radioshack gear has the sleave hot/positive with the
> tip being negative/ground.
> And while a lot of radios have a "protection" diode [that robs you of
> another 0.7V), not all radios are so equiped and radios sure can stink
> when fried.

1322. For Sale: Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcjones <dcjones@widomaker.com>
Message-ID: <01be9ce6$9fe8d540$25b960d1@default>
Source record: 118939
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT TrunkTracker Scanner For Sale
3 Months Old
2 Battery Packs
Battery Charger
AC Adapter
All Manuals
In Original Box
$180 Negotiable
E-Mail: dcjones@widomaker.com
```

1323. Regency MX5000 Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jcq@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990512221506.09634.00001310@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 118940

I have an MX5000 thats sound muddy on the NFM setting. The police segement here in Chicago on 155 MHZ sound awful... Anyone know who can still repair an MX5000??
Joe

1324. Bearcat BC100XL Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jcq@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990512221632.09634.00001311@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 118941

I need to know what polarity the center pin is on the BC100xl, is it positive
or negative
Joe

1325. Satellites and SSTV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bonedwarf@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <37413da6.37294135@news.3web.net>
Source record: 118942

I have a Pro-67. WAY cool scanner, but I have a question.

I see all this stuff about weather satellites, SSTV etc... What I was wondering is do I stand a chance of picking anything like this up? (See below for freq. range) I can pick up LOTS of digital crap, but have NO clue what it is. (Aside from the pager ones.)

The scanner covers

29-54
108-174
406-512
800-1000 (minus cell)

Any interesting info would be appreciated. I`ve found the usual stuff (except the local police are digital only which SUCKS) but i`m curious as to whether i`m maybe missing something really interesting.

Thanks

--
Something catchy should go here

1326. Key Research 90 Module

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clark Rennie <cerennieNoSpam@gte.net>
Message-ID: <373A136F.7312@gte.net>
Source record: 118943

I have acquired a Pro 2004 with a Key Research 90 Module already installed. I have no operating manual but if I remember correctly it will automatically store found frequencies in a search mode.

Does anyone have any info as how to operate this feature. It was a fairly popular modification several years ago.

Thanks,

Clark Rennie

1327. FS: PRO 52 VHF Hi/Lo (8 crystals)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: E Meyers <hcs777@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <01be9cec$a366f0c0$c9ff00cc@default>
Source record: 118944

```
For Sale:
R/S Pro 52 VHF Hi/Lo, holds (8) crystals, ant.
crimped-needs replacing, volume
control "scratchy", radio works fine
range 30-50mhz, 148-178mhz
has crystals for local area.....
120 volts receiver, no manual
great for receiving designated freqs.

...........BEST OFFER..............

Eric Meyers
Louisiana
hcs777@gs.verio.net (remove 777 to respond)
```

1328. FA: PRO-90 Trunktracker scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8ppz73@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990512230841.17294.00001335@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 118945

On Ebay.

You know it, you love it. Now bid on it!!!

Item #103223737
Grego, N8PPZ
Watching from "THE SPOT" at Wright Patterson Air Force Base
"Official SPOT of the new millinium"

1329. Florida freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: N6RHL@mho.net
Message-ID: <373a45f3.73933864@news.mho.net>
Source record: 118946
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am leaving for southern florida in 1 week. I need some help getting a web page that list the freqs for broward, dade and plam beach counties. I realize that most of these counties are trunked. So I need the trunking info and conventional info for all the public safety depts in these areas.

You can email me at N6RHL@mho.net

Thanks, Dave..

1330. Re: Freqs Needed, Virginia Beach Area, Bush Gardens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990512233245.14152.00001030@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 118947

you dont know how much your site helped. If you reply to this mail dont expect a respnose till monday though (the trip). Is your site listed at yahoo?
-rabidfool@aol.com

1331. Re: FBI on Low Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jqnb1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990513001127.19855.00002517@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 118948

The FBI are now using 1.0-5.0GHz fully digital and encrypted two ways now I dont know what you may have witness. I know this for a fact having an agent in the family.
Jeremy

1332. BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990513002356.08516.00001166@ng19.aol.com>
Source record: 118949

Is the BC760xlt capable of being opened up to have more channels in memory? It has the stock 100 channel scan, but I am wondering if it can be upped by another 25 or 50 channels...
Thanks-
Mike

1333. Re: BC 235xlt Battery Mod.????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: NinjaLex <ninjalex@spamless.home.com>
Message-ID: <373A5C6B.799B3BCE@spamless.home.com>
Source record: 118950
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't know one way or the other about a mod. However, if you want to "erase" the nicad's memory wait till it gets low, then use a 1 ohm resistor to load the battery and let it sit for a day. Works like a champ on my laptop batteries.

Alex

Satan wrote:
>
> Does anyone know of a Mod. to stop the scanner from shutting down when the
> battery gets low????I would like to let the battery go completely dead
> because after awhile the batteries get memory effect and they don't last as
> long as before.I know of other scanners that the Mod. can be performed on
> but I don't have information on this scanner.Can anyone help????------Thanks
> in advance----!!!!!!

1334. Re: Please Read.. Ham Radio on IRC Chasing away hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven KB9HGI <kb9hgi@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <373A1983.6B4CB73@qsl.net>
Source record: 118951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Those guys on there are bone heads and a bunch of smart ass's They have
to be some of those guys I here on 80 meters. hi hi

James Keller wrote:
>
> Hello Everyone.
> I think everyone should take note of Don K Muth.
> Don has founded on #undernet on Irc the channel #hamradio.
> During a visit there using the nickname mrguard (irc doenst
> require callsigns or anything), Don numerous times tried to
> force me into using my callsign as my nick.
> I told Don that my callsign is N8KGU and that i perfer not to use it on irc.
> Some of the channels I know I dont want the others being able to reach me
> via callsign servers.
> Don asked if at Dayton I would use mrguard on the radio, I answered that
> I am free to use N8KGU or KC8LAM, both are issued to me.
> Don then proceeded to harass me and eventually kick me off of this
> saying that it is his channel.
> In a discussion under a different nick, Don admitted that he can
> do as he feels and that he is free to chase anyone away from his channel.
> plus:
> <AH6KA> he came with nick and said he wudnt use his call and gave me static
> so i moderated then he tried to use
> both calls
> <othercall> you gave him static
> <othercall> he stated what both calls were.
> <AH6KA> i shoulld have just kicked his ass
>
> Is this how decent we want ambassadors of our hobby to be to hams and
> to those interested in our hobby?
> Several attempts were to tell Don that the hobby has enough problems on
> 40 meters as well as losing folks to irc and the internet.
>
> Does everyone accept the fact that folks like Don can deem the channel private
> and alienate everyone from the hobby?
> My suggestion to Don was to form his own channel, maybe #ah6ka.
>
> I would suggest that everyone visit Undernet on IRC and join #hamradio
> feel free to meet other hams, use any handle you want.
>
> You can Email Don at: Email: ah6ka@gte.net
>
> Please dont let the hobby get ruined again.

>
> Thanks Again
> James
> N8KGU

1335. Re: New NYPD Radio plan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dyemiola@infoave.et
Message-ID: <7hdnlqckq1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 118952

In article <37350F16.2A1E@worldnet.att.net>,
david torres <slingshot01@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> New N.Y.P.D. Radio Plan &
Frequencies
> By David M Torres, New York
City
>
>
>
> new york city police dept.
> re organizeing their radio system from 800 trunk
back to a conventional system
> they will be useing motorola ASTRO saber's with

<Major Snip>

Why is NYC abandoning their 800 MHz trunked system?

1336. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <373A6407.3E6C5256@usa.net>
Source record: 118953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Occurances would indicate you are correct.

Ron wrote:

> Sounds like the guys behind his arrest frequent this group and may also have
> gotten tired of his crap.
>
> I find it hard to believe that his activity on this group did not have some
> role to play in the whole thing.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1337. Re: Bearcat BC100XL Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjenk5749@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990513013041.18920.00001184@ng29.aol.com>
Source record: 118954

Center tip positive.

1338. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <373A656F.1056EA5D@usa.net>
Source record: 118955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

How SAD & PATHETIC that you can find only "ONE THING" as an
SINGLE & ONLY example where Bill Cheek was a "man of his word"!!!!!

Glenn wrote:

> Yep, Bill was a man of his word about one thing. He quit the group on his

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

1339. Re: Weak Signals on 243 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <373A6827.AF8FCF85@mich.com>
Source record: 118956

Sounds like you're hearing AFSATCOM (GIANT STAR), but their transponders
are in the 244MHz spectrum.

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

1340. Re: Film crew in R.I. - need their frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <_Lt_2.3971$%x.3240@wards>
Source record: 118957

Robert H. Eisner <rheisner@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:373A4D94.6531@worldnet.att.net...
> Mr. NoSpam wrote:
> >
> > We have a film crew here in my town filming a Jim Carey movie.
> >
> > They all have radio's but I have been unable to locate their
> > frequencies.
> >
> > Anyone have any idea?
> >
> > Thanks....Ric
>
> I would start by searching the following frequencies first:
>
> 152.870 152.900 152.930 152.960 152.990 153.020
> 173.225 173.275 173.325 173.375
>
> Bob

Yeah listen around these frequencies and keep your ears open for an ass
talking or someone shouting "alrighty then"

:-)

eavsdropper

1341. Re: Weak Signals on 243 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: corbin@ampere.physics.ucla.edu
Message-ID: <7hdrkm$h7p$1@carroll.library.ucla.edu>
Source record: 118958

Uhhh... did you happen to read the original post in the thread??? <grin>

It dealt with signals in the 243-244 MHz range, not specifically with
243.0 MHz

On Wed, 12 May 1999 21:53:16 -0500, Rick Larson <ricklarson@cableone.net> wrote:
>snipped>
>> Man... amen and amen...
>snipped>
>
>Has anyone looked up the authorized use for 243.0 MHZ.
>Unless I'm totally wrong it is used for emergency transmissions
>related to Military - Air communications.
>These transmissions would be AM mode.
>The frequency 121.5 AM also has an Air emergency use.
>243.0 was purposely chosen to be the second harmonic of 121.5
>
>Happy Scanning
>
>Rick
>
>
>

1342. Re: Weak Signals on 243 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: corbin@ampere.physics.ucla.edu
Message-ID: <7hds3d$h7p$2@carroll.library.ucla.edu>
Source record: 118959

AFSATCOM freqs actually inhabit the 243.8-244.3 MHz and 248.0-249.4 MHz
ranges. 243 was a ballpark figure 8*)

On Thu, 13 May 1999 01:50:32 -0400, Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com> wrote:
> Sounds like you're hearing AFSATCOM (GIANT STAR), but their transponders
>are in the 244MHz spectrum.

1343. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7he4hg$4mg@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

In <373A656F.1056EA5D@usa.net> jmackey@usa.net writes:
>
>How SAD & PATHETIC that you can find only "ONE THING" as an
>SINGLE & ONLY example where Bill Cheek was a "man of his word"!!!!!
>
>

hey stunt-dick

you have no height when
it comes to the-cheek-guy.

you have only your abyss
of rot which is within you

you lack anything valuable
that this NG can consume

you consume volumes of
self-made vomit-burgers

you are full of vomit
as your mother gave you to
the world as it slid down
the back of her leg when
she was on a high/low
thinking of what you
would look like as you
hit the vomit-laden floor.

she just let you lay there.

vomit needs no pick-up. It
is best left in the gutter
where maggots feed upon.

what a legacy you have as
herstory...

bill conduit
etc.

1344. Old Bearcat 220 modifications.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buggs <w.rosing@hccnet.nl>
Message-ID: <373AA659.BEA0E21F@hccnet.nl>
Source record: 118961
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello.

A friend gave me a very old Bearcat 220 (1979), i think one of the
first computerscanners made.

Is it possible to modify it from 30-31mhz low-band to 30-31mhz
high-band for recieving portable phones?

Maybe someone's experienced with this oldie?

--
Greetz
Wim

1345. FS: IC Q7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: alf sauve <alf.sauve@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7heb56$ca7$2@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118962

ICOM Dual Band micro HT with wide band scanning.
Excellent condition. w/ pair of nicads, and earpiece adapater cable.
$155.

W4ADS
Atlanta, GA

1346. DIGITAL SCANNING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: KWendelken@bigfoot.com
Message-ID: <7heedu$2t9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118963

I have heard rumors that Uniden is being prohibited from manufacturing a scanner capable of decoding digital signals. Is this true? Is it more likely that someone will make software for the PC to decode ASTRO and such?

TIA

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1347. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7hec5nmbc1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 118964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:373A656F.1056EA5D@usa.net...
> How SAD & PATHETIC that you can find only "ONE THING" as an
> SINGLE & ONLY example where Bill Cheek was a "man of his word"!!!!!
>
You know something, Wacky Mackey ? You remind me of a drowning man grasping at straws. You would twist any fact, tell any lie, stoop to any level, in order to find "justification" for your insane behaviour. Your constant ranting proves nothing , except that you are obsessed.
Seriously deranged, the Looney - Tune flaps on.....

1348. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@are.retarded
Message-ID: <373aaf49.80469055@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 118965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

johnboy, you might want to wipe that little string of drool off your chin.

Once again, as predicted, you post 100% non-scanning spew. Always have,
always will. You're incapable of doing otherwise.

The retarded jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>How SAD & PATHETIC that you can find only "ONE THING" as an
>SINGLE & ONLY example where Bill Cheek was a "man of his word"!!!!!
>
>
>Glenn wrote:
>
>> Yep, Bill was a man of his word about one thing. He quit the group on his
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

1349. FS: BC9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Esposito <rjespo@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <2mz_2.64$B4.7888@news3.voicenet.com>
Source record: 118966
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
Bearcat BC9000 with CTCSS Tone Board
- 500 channels
- Mint Condition
- Alphanumeric Display

$300.00

Bob
rjespo@voicenet.com
```

1350. Re: Please tell me about any AM/FM/Aircrabt bands radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <373AC747.744C84E1@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 118967

carlosl@marte.cinv.iteso.mx wrote:
> I want to receive ONLY the aircraft band but don't want
> to invest in a scanner.
>
> Could somebody tell me about any type of AM/FM/Aircraft
> radio in the market ?

For about $100, there is the new Maycom AR-108.
Icom and Yaesu also make airband receivers but
these are a bit pricey.

And you could always consider a portable analog
receiver available from Radio Shack or other
such shortwave radio dealers.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1351. Re: Key Research 90 Module

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990513084808.13213.00000030@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 118968

I used to have a search and store module. I believe I kept a copy of the manual when I sold it. DO you have access to a FAX? Let me know hte number and I will try to dig out my copy and send it to you. Ira Cooper

1352. Motorola Gm300 Lab Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Max-Com Radio Systems <maxcom@eisa.net.au>
Message-ID: <7hei4v$dr3$1@news.eisa.net.au>
Source record: 118969

I have had a major glitch with my vhf gm300 and i am in desperate need of the lab software that will enable me to blank the radio to start a fresh. anyone can help me please !!!!!

email me on maxcom@eisa.net.au

1353. Re: Australian Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: M Wright <mwrighty@senet.com.au>
Message-ID: <373acd64.0@kastagir.senet.com.au>
Source record: 118970

As far as I know you should look around 440Mhz, will be controlled
primarily by ham operators..but dont quote me!!
AdrianM wrote in message <01be9864$2e12fb20$3e8722cb@jmueller>...
>Hey guys, could someone giove me a hand and direct me as to where i may be
>able to find frequencies for the Sydney area in New South Wales Australia
>(you know, the Olympic City??). i'm specifically looking for WebSites....
>thanks a lot, much appreciated
>Adrian

1354. Re: FS: BC950xlt w/CTCSS Tone Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Kerrn <takerrn@ilstu.edu>
Message-ID: <373ACE7B.7D396D04@ilstu.edu>
Source record: 118971

I believe the unit is about 8 years old. The antenna connector is
Motorola. It uses 2 AA batteries for backup.

Michael Derryberry wrote:

> How old is this unit ??? What type of antenna connector does it use ???
> BNC or Motorola ??? Does it use AA batteries for memory back-up ???
>
> Thanks ...
>
> Mike Derryberry
>
> Ted Kerrn wrote:
> >
> > Bearcat BC950XLT Mobile/Base Scanner. 12 Bands including 800mhz, 100
> > channel scanning, illuminated LCD display/controls, fully
> > programmable. This unit includes CTCSS tone (private line) board
> > installed. Shipped in original box and packing material. Includes AC
> > and DC connectors, manual, and antenna. Nice size for mobile mounting
> > (6.25" wide by 7.5" deep by 1.7" high).
> >
> > $100.00 shipped to continental U.S. - Payment method certified check,
> > money order, postal money order.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Ted Kerrn
> > mailto:takerrn@ilstu.edu
> > http://www.ilstu.edu/~takerrn
> >

--
Ted Kerrn
mailto:takerrn@ilstu.edu
mailto:kerrnth@isphost.state.il.us
http://www.ilstu.edu/~takerrn

1355. Re: DIGITAL SCANNING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <373ed0ab.1153390@news.erols.com>
Source record: 118972

On Thu, 13 May 1999 11:52:30 GMT, KWendelken@bigfoot.com wrote:

>I have heard rumors that Uniden is being prohibited from manufacturing a
>scanner capable of decoding digital signals. Is this true? Is it more
>likely that someone will make software for the PC to decode ASTRO and
>such?

I haven't heard anything like that. In any case, decoding digital
signals is not a "one size fits all" sort of thing.

I'd look for a scanner with I and Q baseband outputs, and a VCO
steering line which can be enabled or disabled. The rest I'd try
doing with a sound card and some software.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1356. Re: PRO-2045 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jerr1919 <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <373AD482.4B68@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 118973

artie wrote:
>
> Excellent cond. Triple conversion.
> Second to top of line model.
> Fully boxed as was when new.
> E-Mail me for a photo reply.
> artie@bellatlantic.net

My goodness, How can you expect to sell it without a price???????????

1357. Ocean City Md

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Danko <ajd1@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <373AD4F1.7EAB1AF9@psu.edu>
Source record: 118974

We are going to Ocean City for vacation. Does anyone have frequencies for Ocean City and other local beaches. Also Deleware Beaches. Thank you in advance.

1358. Re: New NYPD Radio plan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: lawman <lawman684nospam@csi.com>
Message-ID: <eQatASUn#GA.124@ntdwwaaw.compuserve.com>
Source record: 118975

Dan Yemiola N8FIU wrote in message >
>Why is NYC abandoning their 800 MHz trunked system?

Trunked systems do not work in the big city, (Tall buildings)

1359. Re: Ocean City Md

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <373ADAF5.289D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118976

Andy Danko wrote:
>
> We are going to Ocean City for vacation. Does anyone have frequencies
> for Ocean City and other local beaches. Also Deleware Beaches. Thank you
> in advance.

Ocean City uses Ericsson EDACS

Check out the following URLs for Ocean City

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/md.htm
http://www.ocvfc.com/fireems.html
http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/Maryland/worcester.html

Check out the following URL for Deleware

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/Delaware/sussex.html

1360. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <373ae73f.1571010@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 118977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not (Pursuit10851) wrote:

:On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 19:07:55 +1000, "Brad Granger"
:<bgranger@one.net.au> wrote:
:
:
:>I don't know what the big attraction with cellular frequencies is, Barry,
:>but in about 2 years you forget about them, you'll need a scanner that can
:>also decode CDMA. So, between CDMA and GSM, there won't be any phone call
:>for you to eavesdrop on!
:>
:>Brad
:>
:Then I guess AirTouch will have to transfer my unlimited night and
:weekend calling plan to digital, since their ad said "Free Forever"
:when I started service in 1997. Right now there aren't any carriers in
:my area offering unlimited night and weekend calling plans on digital.

In Australia the analogue mobile phone system is to be turned off on
1/1/2000 in spite of the fact that it is providing excellent service
and has many happy customers. It seems to be a political decision
which the government is commited to although it may be regretting
it by now.

It's far more effective than telling people they must not listen.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

1361. Re: PRO-2045 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990513104744.05070.00000147@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 118978

UH Price?

remove nospam to email

1362. Re: BC 235xlt Battery Mod.????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7het7pdsd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118979
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <6ts_2.12371$_m5.2134334@newsread1-mx.centuryinter.net>,
"Satan" <Satan@river.styx> wrote:
> Does anyone know of a Mod. to stop the scanner from shutting down when
> the battery gets low????

I seldom use the wall wart/charger that came with the scanner...I use a
Maha MH-C777a Universal Conditioner/Charger with all my 4.8-to-12VDC
battery packs.

The Maha charger will condition and properly charge your packs...and I
also use a NiMH pack made by The Nicad Lady for the BC-235.

There's no guessing with this charger...no timing...no
over-charging...no destructive heat damage to your cells, either.

>>...let the battery go completely dead...

NOT recommended - there are "discharge voltage" voltages recommended for
NiMH and NiCad cells...which, of course, the Maha charger takes into
consideration for you!

Check out the Maha site - as well as Cadex Electronics' site - for some
excellent backgroung reference materials:

http://www.cadex.com

http://www.maha-comm.com

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1363. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <373cf701.3390101@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118980

On 13 May 1999 04:23:56 GMT, gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:

>Is the BC760xlt capable of being opened up to have more channels in memory? It
>has the stock 100 channel scan, but I am wondering if it can be upped by
>another 25 or 50 channels...
>Thanks-
>Mike

Adding a switch and a couple wires will double the channels to 200.
You access only one set of 100 at a time but it helps.

Also 800mhz can be opened on SOME of the earlier sets, (mine won't)

==========

BC-760XLT BC-950XLT BC-590XLT (BC-600XLT (Uniden) R-1600 (Regency)

can double the memory from 100-ch to 200-ch

article in Monitoring Times, Experimenter's Work Shop, Page 82, June
1997,

1. Find the static RAM memory chip on the solder side of the board
known as IC-13.
Locate Pin 19. It and Pin 20 aregrounded together. Pin 19 is
Memory Address A10,
and there is no good reason to ground a Memory Address. Unless you
want less memory
than possible. Why Uniden did that is beyond me...Uniden pulls a
lot of stunts like that.

2. Remove the solder from Pins 19 & 20. Gently lift Pin 19 up and
off the trace while
the solder joint is melted. Leave Pin 20 grounded (resolder it).
Pin 19 must float
above the board and not touch anything. Be careful to not break
Pin 19 from the IC.

3. Solder one end of a 100-k resistor to the free-floating Pin 19

4. Solder the other end of the 100-k resistor to a nearby ground
spot.

5. Solder on end of a 10-k resistor to the joint at Pin 19 and the
100-k resistor.

6. Solder a wire from the free end of the 10-k resistor to one lug of
a SPST switch.

7. Solder a wire from the other lug of the SPST switch to a source of +5vdc in the
scanner. There is such a spot about 1/3 inch to the right of Pin 24 of IC13. Use a
voltmeter to verify the source of +5vdc. Pin 24 is sourced to +5v but I wouldn't
solder directly there, if I were you.

8. Voile! You're done. Well, you need to mount the switch somewhere first.

OPERATION

Each position of the switch offers 100 channels. 100 x 2 = 200. Thus you will have 2 Blocks of 100-ch each, and otherwise, the scanner and its programming will be completely "normal". If you are careful, the original programming should be preserved, thereby
indicating the switch position for "Home" Block. The other switch position will be for the "New" Block.

If you lost memory and aren't sure, the switch position that turns off +5v to Pin 19 is the original Block.

++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++

If you have the tone board installed, You can use the extra switch for the preamp (unless you have that too)

Good Signals... LCM

1364. Re: Internet Thief "Dave Munroe" aka camper of Keene, Ontario, Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nickyp29@aol.com
Message-ID: <373cf103.12670413@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 118981

You are a spammer. This crap does NOT belong in this group. Get ready to say goodby to your supernews and remarQ accounts.

egk@hotmail.com wrote:

>Message-ID: <373ee9da.4949793@news.supernews.com>
>References: <3739d79a.37374623@news.supernews.com>
>X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@remarQ.com
>
>>Just a public service announcement for anyone who's been scammed by one
>>"Dave Munroe" of Keene, Ontario Canada.

1365. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <jZC_2.35$Ln1.8478@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 118982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

How SAD & PATHETIC that Bill beats you out by one. Nobody can find a single example of you keeping your word looney.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:373A656F.1056EA5D@usa.net...
> How SAD & PATHETIC that you can find only "ONE THING" as an
> SINGLE & ONLY example where Bill Cheek was a "man of his word"!!!!!
>
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > Yep, Bill was a man of his word about one thing. He quit the group on his
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

1366. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Lippert <dkf435@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7heu1m$2fn$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118983

There is a way to have a second bank of memories by adding some switches to
the memory chip address lines. This mod went around about five years ago.
you can only scan one block of channels at a time however.

Gdfthr768 wrote in message <19990513002356.08516.00001166@ng19.aol.com>...
>Is the BC760xlt capable of being opened up to have more channels in memory?
It
>has the stock 100 channel scan, but I am wondering if it can be upped by
>another 25 or 50 channels...
>Thanks-
>Mike

1367. Re: Weak Signals on 243 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7heukq$k2v$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 118984

snip<
>That what I suspected, though a dedicated beacon sort of feels like
>a waste of bandwidth if you could home in on - say a RTTY signal instead...
>
snip
The beacons are always there. Data chanels might go inactive once in a while,
and the system was intended to be fail safe. Even 19 year old, non tech
grunts can be trained to use the system in an hour or so.

1368. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990513124236.13730.00000063@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 118985

Thanks so much! I appreciate the info, Curious George, very helpful of you.
Mike

1369. Re: FBI on Low Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <373b0019$0$2482@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 118986

That may be true where you are, but it is not universal. Here in Los Angeles, there are plenty of FBI transmissions in the VHF-high range, with and without DES. Please don't assume that just because your family member's radio happens to be in this range, that it should follow that the entire FBI also utilizes that freq range. Also, I question how much radio expertise any law enforcement agent might have about their own equipment; there are plenty of cases of public safety members not realizing very simple principles of radio operation, such as the fact that CTCSS only filters out signals on the user side, and does not prevent those without CTCSS filtering from hearing the signal (off-topic).

JQNB1 <jqnb1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990513001127.19855.00002517@ng-cd1.aol.com...
> The FBI are now using 1.0-5.0GHz fully digital and encrypted two ways now
I
> dont know what you may have witness. I know this for a fact having an
agent in
> the family.
> Jeremy

1370. For Sale PRo 2022 Base Scanner FULL **800** MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <373bffd5.4928606@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 119027

selling a hard to find pro 2022 base radio shack scanner on EBAY
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=103204546
price is Right check it out and give it a Bid thanks

1371. Yaesu Frg-965 or 9600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: dennisj <dennisj@f1.net.au>
Message-ID: <373A1617.F33987EA@f1.net.au>
Source record: 119028

Interface to PC
has anyone done it or have a circuit?

1372. FS: RS2005 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: joegizjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990512202606.16401.00002356@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 119029

For Sale;

RS2005 Scanner - Full Coverage - Mint Condition
Antenna
Manual
No Box

$325 Shipped with Insurance

Joe
joegizjr@aol.com

1373. Re: Freqs Needed, Virginia Beach Area, Bush Gardens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Van Reynolds <vanr1@home.com>
Message-ID: <l6p_2.4224$5i7.1774@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 119030

Rabidfool <rabidfool@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990512192900.14000.00001084@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> I am taking a trip thursday morining to V.Beach and Bush Gardens. Any
freqs
> would be helpfull, as all of my freq books are for the New England area.
Please
> e-mail to rabidfool@aol.com asap, as I am leaving at 5 in the am tomorrow
> morning.
>
> -Thanks to anyone who can help
> -rabidfool@aol.com

Check my page at http://members.home.net/vanr1/ Virginia Beach and
surrounding cities are listed as well as a page on the Anheuser Busch
Properties.

Hope this helps,
Van

1374. Re: Film crew in R.I. - need their frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7hd6jj$r37@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 119031

In <Kvc_2.3663$97.3089@stones> "EAVSDROPPER"
<ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> writes:
>
>
>Mr. NoSpam <nospam@ibm.net.com> wrote in message
>news:37386ae8.15061792@news1.ibm.net...
>> We have a film crew here in my town filming a Jim Carey movie.
>>

it is a common 170 seach
that may find them....they
seem to use these mostly...

try searching this 170 to 180
and "Hmmmmmmmmmm"

bill conduit

1375. Re: FS: Yupiteru MVT-7100 $275 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990512204008.29317.00004471@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 119032

DAM RIGHT!!!

remove nospam to email

1376. Re: Please tell me about any AM/FM/Aircrabt bands radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990512210438.11075.00001204@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 119033

The Maycom 108 is an airband only handheld scanner that sells new for a little over $100. A much better choice than an analog multiband radio.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1377. Re: Camp Shelby Range, Miss Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990512210602.11075.00001206@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 119034

If you're interested in military monitoring then you should join join MilCom, the e-mail listserver
dedicated to military monitoring.

To join:

Send an e-mail message to: majordomo@qth.net
In the subject put: milcom
In the text area put: subscribe milcom

That's all there is to it. You should start receiving messages within a few hours.
To post a message to the list members address it to milcom@qth.net
We have members all over the country, in Europe and Japan.
For help finding frequencies in your area just post a message stating your location
and requesting frequency help and you should get some answers.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1378. Re: Weak Signals on 243 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: corbin@ampere.physics.ucla.edu
Message-ID: <7hd8qq$9hv$1@carroll.library.ucla.edu>
Source record: 119035

Man... amen and amen...

Try searching for electromagnetic exotica in an apartment 8*? I wound
up using a carefully cut dipole for directional identification, and
my body as an attenuator for isolation --- unfortunately the dipole
lost out in a meeting with my desk, facilitated by a rather large
earthquake 8*(Moved on to other things later...

The stuff I'm hearing - well, it doesn't match the pattern of anything
I've heard before... when it's up, you'll hear something that sounds
almost like trunking data (doesn't demodulate well in SSB - not fsk?)
on one or two freqs and unmodulated(?) carriers on maybe a dozen or so
others. The freqs are generally in the 250-300 MHz range. Everything
generally fades in as a group and out as a group, with an duration that
almost certainly excludes LEO (though probably too short to be classic
high-elliptical). There's a suggestion of at least two band-plans,
but not enough data to verify at present.

Without DF'ing capability, I can't exclude the possibility of EMI from
one (or more) neighbors - but there's nothing to implicate them either -
given the nature of the obviously modulated component it'd have to be
from something digital - a modem, cable device, interactive whiz-toy
or something...

Back to looking for odd correlations 8*)

On 12 May 1999 20:22:11 GMT, Martin McCormick <wb5agz@dc.cis.okstate.edu> wrote:
>
> Thanks for the information. I suspected that they might be
>geosynchronous satellites, but I wasn't sure. As for wide-band
>carriers at 250 MHZ, I don't recall hearing anything. I live in a
>neighborhood of mostly single-family houses. There is an amazing
>amount of crud audible from slight cable TV leakage, the harmonics of
>the local oscillators in FM radios and televisions as well as other
>unidentified signals which I believe to be EMI from the clocks in
>nearby personal computers, etc. It is quite possible that the
>wide-band signals exist, but were missed due to the other stuff which
>is purely local.
>
> One time, I thought there was something exciting going on. I
>heard a strong signal which seemed to be coming from a moving
>automobile. I thought it might be a eaves-dropping device of some
>kind because I could hear music and thought I heard voices. The
>frequency was around 230 MHZ. After listening off and on for a day or
>so, I realized that I was listening to the second harmonic of the
>local oscillator in my neighbor's car radio. The neighbor was

>listening to a local FM station at 105.5. The oscillator was at 116.2
>which doubles to 232.4. The music I heard was just a bit of secondary
>modulation caused probably by an AFC circuit in the car radio which
>tried to pull the oscillator exactly on center, nothing any more
>exciting than that.
>
> Another time, I picked up a strong wide-band signal in the 280
>MHZ range. This signal swept back and forth a few KHZ at a rate of a
>couple of cycles per second. I could hear sound on this carrier and I
>realized that the sounds were coming from a group of guys laughing and
>talking outside of a car which was near our house. The audio was not
>good like might come from a good microphone, but rather basy and
>strange as if it was being picked up by something sensitive to
>vibrations such as a motion sensor.
>
> I think that what I was listening to was some sort of car
>alarm or related security device that sends a signal back to the
>owner's receiver. The sweeping carrier was probably no more than the
>effect of a blinking LED on a very voltage-sensitive oscillator. I
>truly don't know. I am just guessing, but the frequencies between 215
>and 420 MHZ can hold some exciting listening at times. You do have to
>be patient, however. There are lots of MHZ with absolutely nothing
>that an ordinary person can make heads or tails of, and then something
>will come along that really grabs one's attention for a while.
>
>Martin McCormick

1379. Re: MDT source code is legal to have

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: hh357@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990512212754.05083.00001587@ngol03.aol.com>
Source record: 119036

In article <7gvhe3$sl0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, mdf@my-dejanews.com writes:

>
>Zeke@redneck.net (Zeke) wrote:
>
>> Having the source code, schematics, and parts in your possession is
>> strong evidence of intent to manufacture the device. This may be
>> enough to prosecute.
>
>If the crime is to manufacture, mere intent is insufficient. One must
>produce evidence that the manufacture took place and that the accused was
>the manufacturer.

This is not true for guns. For example, just having the guts, the schematics,
and an unmachined steel tube for a Sten machine gun is considered to be a
felony.
Same with other guns, eg M2 machine gun parts, for an M1 Carbine - even if you
don't own an M1.

So why would you expect the feds to treat scanners diferently?

| The price of freedom is eternal vigilance. |

1380. Re: Where to "point" my new monitenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11a69c4574aafe4a989790@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118737

If you would have bought "Schedule 80" thickness, which is what you should be using, you could have run it over with the car and it wouldn't have even phased it. I still feel strongly that the Schedule 80 PVC makes the best overall mast material, especially if you are not planning on exceeding a 10ft length. By the way, the Monitenna is essentially "omnidirectional" and needs no special aiming.

1381. Re: Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11a69ba7e188963098978f@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118738

While the Radio Shack 20-006 telescopic/center coiled antenna rules for coverage, especially in the low vhf, if everything above that is most important, say from 100-1000mhz, then there is no question in my mind (after having the opportunity to try every model Comet, Diamond and Premier makes) that the Watson 881 "SuperGainer" rubberized whip is the best performer. It will cost you about $35.00, but if nothing but the best will do, that is it.

1382. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H. Adam Stevens" <alien@jump.net>
Message-ID: <373CF365.E85813F9@jump.net>
Source record: 118739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

time to dig up the pre-ban vibroplex

BD wrote:

> Not too up on the humor-thing, eh?
>
> Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote in message news:373B7A70.744E@sir.com...
> > > Now, LOOK. Haven't you watched the movie Independence Day?
> > > It was Morse Code that saved the world! :-)
> >
> > Yes, in science fiction movies you can show that Morse Code is very
> > important and usefull. But what if I make a movie in which Morse Code
> > causes the destruction of our entire universe?

1383. Pres. Clinton in San Diego?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Julio Wantanabe <JulioW@wgate.com>
Message-ID: <373CD9C7.F99D1EBB@wgate.com>
Source record: 118740
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I heard a rumor that Bill Clinton will be visiting San Diego,
California on Sunday May 16. Can anyone confirm this?

If this is true, what frequencies will be active with
Clinton-related radio traffic?

Thanks. Julio

WorldGate: Internet TV over Cable

http://www.wgate.com/main/how.html

1384. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H. Adam Stevens" <alien@jump.net>
Message-ID: <373CF839.5C780DD8@jump.net>
Source record: 118741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I use my amateur hand held radio in my plane and have never seen any interference, but I understand the commercial carrier's point of view - no control; They have no idea what's coming on board. The law gives them discretion, except for analog cell phones. The FCC forbids the use of analog cell phones when any aircraft is "not touching the ground". There is no such proscription for digital modes, however.
When you take the flying bus, look around; How many people don't turn off their computers? What amazes me is how often I see people faking having their seat belts fastened. More than anything else it's the passengers who make me worry in an airliner.
H.
WA5IGW
I prefer flying alone.
Call CQ on 146.52 while at 10,000 feet. Try it, you'll like it.

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Spike wrote in message ...
> >Damned right it is legal.
>
> Yes, this is true. It is at the pilots/airlines discretion.
>
> >Your radio can easily gum with their electronics.
> And your laptop is even worse ;-)
>
> >You get on a plane and show your scanner to me and I'll
> >hold you down and rip the batteries out.
>
> Now, THAT'S mature.... Hope you keep your attorney's number handy. Here's to
> hoping we meet and you do just that - I could always use some extra spending
> money, and so could my attorney.
>
> >Your not gonna cause me to die with your stupid hobby.
> Agreed. About the only way I could kill someone with my scanner would be to
> beat them over the head with it. Probably would do more damage to the
> scanner than to them, anyhow.
>
> Jeez..... what will we hear next? Wait, I've got it, some guy will cause a
> nuclear missile to launch because he went on a tour with his scanner. Yeah,
> that's it.....
>
> -Phil

1385. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gl6%2.6972$U83.137376@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 118742

GollyGee <Me@biz.com> wrote in message
news:373ccc2d.202076005@news.altopia.com...
> On Fri, 14 May 1999 07:07:53 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
> <skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:
> >Note that for a commercial carrier aircraft, the pilot is NOT the
'operator'
> >for purposes of determining whether a device can be used, the AIRLINE is.
> >They could, if they choose, delegate that authority to the Pilot, but
it's
> >not his to decide.
>
> Incorrect. The FAR reference is 91.3;
>
> "The pilot in command of an aircraft is directly responsible
> for, and is the final authority as to the operation of that aircraft."
>
> Therefore the Captain (pilot in command) has final say so
> regarding any matter on board the aircraft. If the Company's (the
> operator) policy if in violation of FARs or is a safety issue in the
> captains opinion, the captain does not have to obey it.
>

Sorry, WRONG. Here is the FAR applicable, again:

Sec. 91.21 Portable electronic devices.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, no person may
operate, nor may any operator or pilot in command of an aircraft allow the
operation of, any portable electronic device on any of the following U.S.-
registered civil aircraft:
(1) Aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier operating
certificate
or an operating certificate; or
(2) Any other aircraft while it is operated under IFR.
(b) Paragraph (a) of this section does not apply to--
(1) Portable voice recorders;
(2) Hearing aids;
(3) Heart pacemakers;
(4) Electric shavers; or
(5) Any other portable electronic device that the operator of the
aircraft
has determined will not cause interference with the navigation or
communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.
(c) In the case of an aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier
operating certificate or an operating certificate, the determination

required
by paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall be made by that operator of the

aircraft on which the particular device is to be used. In the case of
other
aircraft, the determination may be made by the pilot in command or other
operator of the aircraft.

Effective Date Note: At 54 FR 34291, August 18, 1989, Sec. 91.21 was
revised effective August 18, 1990, as set out above.

Take note, please, of section c), above. It specifically states that the
determination is made by the PIC ONLY if it's not a commercial carrier. The
'operator', in this sense, is the airline, not the pilot.

Note also that section a) specifically statest that the PIC may NOT permit
operation, except as this FAR sets out the rules.

1386. The Scan Shack "BLAST FROM THE PAST"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990514094204.29560.00000510@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 118787

The Scan Shacks "BLAST FROM THE PAST"
feature has been updated as of 5/14/99. Come check it out along with
the other scanner related stuff found there.
Its all FREE !!!

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

1387. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7hh92mrim1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118788

Actually, it IS against the law. Scanners radiate from the LO, and
can cause interference.

However, it all falls back to the FAR and the 'Pilot in Command'.
Usually
on any commercial flight they will INSIST (and if you don' t comply
there
can be civil and criminal penalties) that ALL electronic devices are
turned off durring takeoff, ascent, approach, and landing. Most
airlines
will all electronic devices to be operated ONCE flight altitude has been
achieved. However however again, most airlines still prohibit some
devices. Cell phones are out but out. Laptops are usually ok, but
a lot of airlines will prohibit any external devices attached to the
laptop,
like CDs and Mice. It really depends on the airline.

If the pilot didn't say turn off electronic devices, then have fun. But
if they
do, and you get caught, expect a probably confiscation of the unit, and
probably a LOT more.

Will the pilot know a scanner is on board? Well, set up two scanners
sometime in your house. Set one on a single frequency like a weather
station, and then let the other one scan. Depending on the frequencies
you picked, you may get pop - pop - pop on the scanner. You may not.
Just imagine the friend you'll have in the pilot if you start doing that
to
his radio gear in the cockpit.

Mike Yetsko

JCM1168 wrote in message
<19990513190613.08829.00000507@ng-ch1.aol.com>...
>actually its ok because its only a RX device if you were transmitting
then its
>a whole other story. Hell i use my HT 2meter in my glider and see
nothing wrong
>with my instruments. but there is a lot more computer stuff aboard a
big boy
>
>
>remove nospam to email

1388. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7hh988rmq1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118789

John Habbinga wrote in message ...
>Read paragraph (b)
>There is an exeption that says that the rule doesn't apply to:
> (1) Portable voice recorders;
> (2) Hearing aids;
> (3) Heart pacemakers;
> (4) Electric shavers; or
> (5) Any other portable electronic device that the operator of the
>aircraft has determined will not cause interference with the navigation
>or communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.
>
>So it seems to me that if the operator of the aircraft had determined
that a
>radio scanner will not interfere with navigation or communication
equipment,
>then it will be okay to use it.
>
>John Habbinga

THAT scanner in THAT configuration would have to be tested on THAT aircraft under a fairly rigorous set of conditions. It's NOT just an 'ok go ahead'.

You CANNOT get a blanket 'go ahead' to do something as assine as expect to be able to operate a scanner on a commercial aircraft. HOWEVER, pilots have been known to allow it, on an INDIVIDUAL BASIS.

Mike

1389. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <T6W_2.6827$U83.130511@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 118790

Jim Strand <chiefjim@unix.nospam.asb.com> wrote in message
news:373e0474.3647386@news2.new-york.net...
> On Thu, 13 May 1999 19:23:40 -0400, "Rick Pemble"
> <pemble@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >Yes, this has come up from time to time. There is no FAA regulation
against
> >scanners on board aircraft. Individual airlines may impose their own
> >restrictions. Delta Airlines (which I fly all the time and use a scanner
> >on) allows VHF scanner operations on board only when the aircraft is
above
> >10,000 feet (when they say "you can now use approved electronic
devices").
> >
> >Some airlines strictly forbid it. One way to find out is by looking in
the
> >seat pocket flight magazine that every airline has. In the back there
will
> >be a definition of "approved electronic devices".
> >
> >When this was brought up about a year ago, one guy reported that he asked
> >the pilot which frequencies he used and why he wanted to know and the
pilot
> >told him.
> >
> >I tried that several times -- some of them would tell me, others refused
to
> >know anything about anything and seemed surprised Delta would allow radio
> >scanners.
> >
> >So, I just keep a low profile, scanner in the flight bag and headphones
so
> >it looks like I'm listening to a CD -- and I have a copy of the approved
> >electronic devices page I tore out of a magazine, just in case.
> >
> >Have fun.
> >
> >Rick
> You run a higher chance of rejection based upon ignorance of the crew.
>
> Suggest anyone desiring to use a scanner aboard an airline use their
> computer to create a minor data sheet. Let this explain the device is
> a scanning radio receiver and not a transmitter. This way you can
> have the flight attendent present it to the pilot for approval without

> her editing the meaning along the way.

Note that for a commercial carrier aircraft, the pilot is NOT the 'operator'
for purposes of determining whether a device can be used, the AIRLINE is.
They could, if they choose, delegate that authority to the Pilot, but it's
not his to decide.

1390. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmweber@goodnet.com
Message-ID: <373e2ee3.4639783@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 118791

On Fri, 14 May 1999 11:13:35 GMT, chiefjim@unix.nospam.asb.com (Jim Strand) wrote:

>On Thu, 13 May 1999 19:23:40 -0400, "Rick Pemble" ><pemble@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>Yes, this has come up from time to time. There is no FAA regulation against >>scanners on board aircraft. Individual airlines may impose their own >>restrictions. Delta Airlines (which I fly all the time and use a scanner >>on) allows VHF scanner operations on board only when the aircraft is above >>10,000 feet (when they say "you can now use approved electronic devices").
>>

>You run a higher chance of rejection based upon ignorance of the crew.
>
Probably a not so mild understatement. I actually was denied permission to use GPS on an International Carrier. I asked to speak to the captain about it, and they said he was too busy. What was especially unpleasant was the GPS in question was actually TSO'd, and specifically approved for aircraft use by the FAA. Cabin staff had no idea what it meant to have a TSO.

The regulatory agency in the country that was home to this carrier automatically accepts a TSO from the FAA as being legal for operation in aircraft.

1391. New AOR SCHEDULE software V1.3.1 (recording to disk software) available for FREE download... not a demo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: AOR UK LTD <info@aor.co.uk>
Message-ID: <2SVnNLAxWCP3EALs@aor.co.uk>
Source record: 118792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

AOR are pleased to announce a new FREE download software package for the PC Windows based platforms.

AOR Schedule: New recording software tool to enable tuning & recording of the AOR AR5000/+3, AR3000A, AR3030 & AR7030/PLUS receivers with audio being saved to a computers hard drive. Up to 10 independent recording sessions are possible over multiple frequencies.

Schedule is now available from the AOR UK web site:
<http://www.demon.co.uk/aor>

To download directly, use the URL
<http://www.demon.co.uk/aor/software/schedule_v1.3.1.zip>

This software is expected to shortly appear on the two other international AOR web sites:

<http://www.aorja.com>
<http://www.aorusa.com>

++

Also updated recently, AOR AR8200 toolkit: Software full featured package for the AR8200 receiver with memory upload, download, search bank / memory bank editing, labelling, re-sizing, recording, bandscope and more. Now up to version number 1.16.3

++

Other free full package, AOR ARD-2 display tool: Software logging of ACARS and NAVTEX transmissions with scroll back buffer and report generator.

++

Work in progress includes, SDU5500: A support package is also under development for the SDU5500 spectrum display unit. Currently this is in beta-test but will soon be placed on the internet in its final form.

++

In addition to the FREE download packages, several other demos are available to download for commercial packages, these include:

Searchlight: Windows support for the AR3000/3000A receivers.

Spectrum-Master: Windows support for the AR5000/+3 receiver with data-base.

Data-Master: Windows support for the AR7030/PLUS & AR3030 short wave receivers with data-base and other utilities.

PC-Manager for Windows: Support for the AR8000 & AR2700 receivers. Upload / download, editing, bandplan, recording etc. (DOS version for the AR8000 also available to order).

Concerto: Windows support for the AR3030 receiver.

++

Many operating manuals are also available as free downloads from the UK web site in PDF format - Adobe Acrobat Reader required, available free from <http://www.adobe.com/acrobat>
--
AOR UK LTD, 4E East Mill, Bridgefoot, Belper,
Derbys DE56 2UA, England
Tel: 01773 880788 Int'l: +44 1773 880788
Fax: 01773 880780 Int'l: +44 1773 880780
e-mail: info@aor.co.uk WEB: http://www.demon.co.uk/aor

1392. kpg-8 of kpg22....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabrice Coppens <guanaco@euronet.be>
Message-ID: <7hhcvs$5r4@news3.euro.net>
Source record: 118793

hello...

I'm searching the plans to make the interface KPG-8 of KPG-22 from kenwood.

Thank's in advance...

1393. Re: Related Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990514105326.25670.00000343@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 118794

Try 157.050, 157.100, 156.800, 157.150 and 381.800.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1394. Re: differences between bearcat 8500xlt and 9000xlt???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990514105506.25670.00000344@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 118795

Well, the 9000XLT actually receives things, while the 8500XLT was pretty much deaf.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1395. Mod: SONY PC Control Wave Hawk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jlbowman <jlbowman@netdirect.net>
Message-ID: <ICX_2.3507$fc1.10347012@news.netdirect.net>
Source record: 118796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Any mods for this scanner known?? (SONY PC Control Wave Hawk)
Nifty set-up with SONY notebook, serial interface, and scanning software.

Appreciate any hints on where to look for mods, upgrades, etc....

1396. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7hhhn6$1bt$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 118797

Mike Yetsko wrote in message <7hh988rmq1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>THAT scanner in THAT configuration would have to be tested on THAT
>aircraft under a fairly rigorous set of conditions. It's NOT just an 'ok
>go ahead'.
>
>You CANNOT get a blanket 'go ahead' to do something as assine as expect
>to be able to operate a scanner on a commercial aircraft. HOWEVER,
>pilots have been known to allow it, on an INDIVIDUAL BASIS.
>
>Mike

This is exactly what I was talking about. Regardless of the airlines policy, there is just no way a portable scanner is going to hose up an aircraft. The very idea is absurd.

However, FAA reg's do require that passengers comply with ALL instructions given by the flight crew. So the moral is, if the cabin crew asks you to turn it off, then bite your tongue and do so, withouut raising a stink.

-Phil

1397. Re: Antenna Help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7hhirdrqg1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 118798

In article <37373424.D8D2F84@Bellsouth.net>, bbrower@Bellsouth.net
says...
>
>Greetings all,
> Does anyone have the formula or know the spec.'s for cutting
the
>radials on a RS 20-176 to optimize it for mil-air reception? Any help
or
>suggestions will be greatly appreciated.
>
>Happy Monitoring,
>Bruce
>--
>*****************************
>Bruce Brower
>Deerfield Beach, FL.
>Pro-2035, Pro-2045
>MVT 7100, Pro-26, BC235XLT
>Drake SW2, Tun-5 Mini Tuner
>Opto Micro Counter
>AOL-BBrower104@aol.com
>ICQ#27900945
>
>*****************************
If that is there diskcone, you do not really need to cut anything.
I have used one of their diskcones for over 10years and it works
great for civil and mil air comms. I havew even received some of the
milsats, but a homemade log-perodic does a much better job. I have even
used it to receive NOAA APT wxsat images, although it does have a dead
zone starting at about 85 degrees.

1398. Re: Using 2 soundcards on the same PC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7hhj7frqg2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 118799

In article <37383111.7255876A@cybercable.fr>, kapou@cybercable.fr
says...
>
>Hi everyone.
>
>Well, here is my problem... I'd like to install 2 soundcards on the
same
>PC (under Win98) to perform a good digital modes reception. The first
>one may work with Chromasound, to perform a DSP filtering of the weak
>signals, and the second one should be used with a digital mode decoding
>software.
>I didn't get any success when I tried to install both a Vibra16
>(creativelabs), and a PCI64 clone from Ensoniq. Well, these cards are
>pnp, the system accepts to install them, but after there is no way (of
>my known) to use the two cards. If one accepts to work, the other one
>gets conflicts.
>
>Can anyone help me to do this, please ?
>
As onother guy sadi, disable PnP.
First in the bios, say no to the PnP OD, then sfter the pc boots, go to
control panel, device manager, system resources, PnP , and click disable
the NVRAM/??? box. This piece of junk ("my" work machine does not
support PnP...old 80486.so I can not tell you exactly what the /??? is).
There may be several PnP items. Look at each one, and only disable the
NVRAM update box. That will lock the bio/os from reasigninn any
IRQ/DMA. I used a WSS (genuine Micro$oft card) with a real SoundBlaster
and the only "problem" that I had was with the midi ports. The cards
used different opl chips and caused the midi to sound rather wierd.
But for wav functions, there was no conflict.
Good luck!

1399. What Freqs doea motorola use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt <Matt@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7hhk9o$1qpi$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 118800

Hi, I'm new to scanning. What frequencies does Motorola use for there basic hand-held two way radios.

Thanks,
Matt

1400. FS: BC200xlt w/ full 800mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: phase@grove.ufl.edu
Message-ID: <7hhl9k$1p7c$1@spnode25.nerdc.ufl.edu>
Source record: 118801

I am moving to Japan, and need to get rid of some extra stuff.

I am willing to part with my Bearcat 200xlt, with battery
and charger, in excellent codition.

It is cell modified, and can recieve multitudes of 800mhz
frequencies very effectively.

I will sell it COD, for $100.

e-mail:
phase@grove.ufl.edu

1401. Re: What Freqs doea motorola use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990514132610.04873.00000392@ng19.aol.com>
Source record: 118802

Matt,

Frequencies are assigned by the type of user and by agency, not by the brand of radio. Police, for example, have certain portions of the radio bands for their use. Aircraft have other sections, and boats another. The same goes for shortwave, CB, business users, utilities, railroads and pretty much anybody else who uses two-way radios. They all have certain parts of the radio bands reserved for their use, sometimes with a secondary usage also allowed.
Within those bands are individual frequencies. Frequencies are assigned by department. The police department in one town likely won't have the same frequencies as the police in the next town over. This helps prevent interference from one agency to another.
A large police department may have a mix of radios, some GE, some Motorola, some E.F. Johnson and others, all operating on the same frequencies.
Stop by RadioShack and pick up a copy of PoliceCall Plus. Not only will this book give you the active frequencies in your area, the first few chapters will give you a pretty good overview of two-way communications in general, and scanning specifically.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1402. Re: PRO-62 Worth $100 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <373C62A8.F55B9229@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 118803

I was lucky enough to buy my PRO-62 for $90.00 brand new in the box at a Radio Shack clearance sale. It is a great scanner and I think worth $100 used...go for it!

Piero - N3FVG

1403. FS Ar 8000 & Pro 43a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Edward E. Cox" <Edward@cox.org>
Message-ID: <7hhnbqffp1@hyperion.nitco.com>
Source record: 118804

Both include cell phone coverage.

Pro43
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=104186997

Ar8000a
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=104182973

No Reserve on these auctions!!!

Thanks
Ed

1404. ?WINRADIO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike <mboyes@theramp.net>
Message-ID: <373C656A.27DCB2A9@theramp.net>
Source record: 118805

AM looking for a new radio and was wondering if anyone is using the win radio or opto or other type can give me some pros and cons?? Have a trunk tracker handheld so dot need the portability. So figured this would give the more radio for the dollar.

--
Best wishes Mike 1549119

1405. Re: Please Read.. Ham Radio on IRC Chasing away hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmcdonld@thezone.net
Message-ID: <7hhqe3$377$1@nova.thezone.net>
Source record: 118806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

IRC channels are controled by who ever owns them(created them) and has ops. They can so what ever they want. IRC unlike most forms of communication has almost no laws or rules.

1406. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <373C7370.ED2AD4AE@mich.com>
Source record: 118807

Phil Smalley wrote:

> This subject comes up from time to time, and that is the use of a scanner on
> board an aircraft. I've heard reports from people saying "Go right ahead, I
> did and it was fun" all the way to "Your scanner could interfere with the
> instrumentation causing you to run into a mountain and kill everyone! Don't
> do it!!!" and all ranges in between.

And your post merely fits into the "Go right ahead, I did, it was fun, and the
plane didn't crash, therefore it is perfectly safe to play with your scanner in
an aircraft!" category.

> There
> were no adverse affects, our plane landed right on time at LAX with no
> problems at all.

Oh damn, I didn't know you were the Flight Engineer! Sorry, I take back
those thoughts
I had about you being an ignorant jackass!

> So all those stories about planes possibly falling out of the sky or going
> way off course because of a scanner are nothing more than wive's tales. In
> fact, a laptop computer puts out more RF interference than my little scanner
> ever could, and no flight has ever gone off course due to that!

Wow, in addition to being a Flight Engineer, you're also an EMI/EMC & NAVAID
specialist
with the National Transportation Safety Board!

Not everyone might be smart enough to read between the lines & understand
that you're an expert on this subject, Phil. Some --as I initially did-- might
think that you're just a scanner geek talking out your ass & being quite
ignorant & reckless with your statements! So just for the record, why don't you
share your Curriculum Vitae with us in this thread?

Tim
--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

1407. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <973BD25C3D2C3581.D110547DDD90AACD.103D66091F7EAEEA@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 118808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If I had too much time on my hands, it sure wouldn't go to waste learning how to poke at a damned
keyer, learning how to communicate the hard way like some hard up for entertainment idiot like you
code freaks.
You people need to get a life.
You have waaay too much time on your hands as you give in to follious things as in keying when there
is a whole world starving and suffering out there.
Get out once in a while and realize there is much more than your stupid code and HAM radio's.

1408. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <BA779EB0D02B0E40.420DECA6F1ABCD98.B3699CB357193F89@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 118809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Damned right it is legal.
Your radio can easily gum with their electronics.
You get on a plane and show your scanner to me and I'll
hold you down and rip the batteries out.
Your not gonna cause me to die with your stupid hobby.

1409. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7hhtrl$5ef$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 118810

Tim Tyler wrote in message <373C7370.ED2AD4AE@mich.com>...
>Phil Smalley wrote:
>
>> This subject comes up from time to time, and that is the use of a scanner
on
>> board an aircraft. I've heard reports from people saying "Go right ahead,
I
>> did and it was fun" all the way to "Your scanner could interfere with the
>> instrumentation causing you to run into a mountain and kill everyone!
Don't
>> do it!!!" and all ranges in between.
>
> And your post merely fits into the "Go right ahead, I did, it was fun, and
the
>plane didn't crash, therefore it is perfectly safe to play with your
scanner in
>an aircraft!" category.
>
>> There
>> were no adverse affects, our plane landed right on time at LAX with no
>> problems at all.
>
> Oh damn, I didn't know you were the Flight Engineer! Sorry, I take
back
>those thoughts
>I had about you being an ignorant jackass!
>
>> So all those stories about planes possibly falling out of the sky or
going
>> way off course because of a scanner are nothing more than wive's tales.
In
>> fact, a laptop computer puts out more RF interference than my little
scanner
>> ever could, and no flight has ever gone off course due to that!
>
> Wow, in addition to being a Flight Engineer, you're also an EMI/EMC &
NAVAID
>specialist
>with the National Transportation Safety Board!
>
> Not everyone might be smart enough to read between the lines &
understand
>that you're an expert on this subject, Phil. Some --as I initially did--
might

>think that you're just a scanner geek talking out your ass & being quite
>ignorant & reckless with your statements! So just for the record, why
don't you
>share your Curriculum Vitae with us in this thread?
>
>
> Tim

Aww, for the love of.....

Look, while I appreciate the sarcasm and wit (I've been known to zing a few myself from time to time) the record speaks for itself. The flight crew saw my scanner and did not care. I used it and nothing happened. Period! What else do you want from me?

Tell me something Tim. What if I really *was* NTSB certified as you stated above? Would that suddenly change your mind? Somehow I really doubt it...

No Tim, if you want to pick fights with someone, I'm afraid I won't be much fun for you. Sorry to disappoint. But I still stand by my own personal findings, with one little addendum for some not-so-savvy readers: You should check with the airline and flight crew before you attempt to bring your scanner into the cabin.

There Tim, is that better?

Have a great day :-)

-Phil

1410. Motorola Talkabout SLK 280s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <373C87CD.52D2@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118811

I have two top of the Motorola line of FRS radios. 14channels, 38pl tones, 2 mile range. They come with Nickel Metal Hydride rechargable batteries and chargers and a copy of the manual. These radios are in like new condition. $190 for everything shipped. For more info check out the link.
http://www.mot.com/LMPS/RPG/NA/portables/talkabout/talkabout_280_color.html

1411. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael <MKlevickis@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <373C8B91.7F5B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Spike wrote:
>follious things as in keying ...
>stupid code and HAM radio's.

Calm yourself, Spike. You need not become so emotional about another's hobby. Then again, you might constructively use YOUR time to learn how to write and spell and construct proper sentences. The plural of radio is not "radio's"; and what, pray tell, does your word "follious" mean? In a way, I will agree with your position. If you cannot even use simple English, how COULD you learn code?

Michael K.

1412. BC Radio Freqs For San Francisco Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: pi3p1415@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990514165725.00982.00000823@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 118813

Is there a web site that gives the freqs and format used by the radio stations in the San Francisco area?

Thanks
J

1413. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7hi49p$7iv$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 118814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Spike wrote in message ...
>Damned right it is legal.

Yes, this is true. It is at the pilots/airlines discretion.

>Your radio can easily gum with their electronics.
And your laptop is even worse ;-)

>You get on a plane and show your scanner to me and I'll
>hold you down and rip the batteries out.

Now, THAT'S mature.... Hope you keep your attorney's number handy. Here's to hoping we meet and you do just that - I could always use some extra spending money, and so could my attorney.

>Your not gonna cause me to die with your stupid hobby.
Agreed. About the only way I could kill someone with my scanner would be to beat them over the head with it. Probably would do more damage to the scanner than to them, anyhow.

Jeez..... what will we hear next? Wait, I've got it, some guy will cause a nuclear missile to launch because he went on a tour with his scanner. Yeah, that's it.....

-Phil

1414. for sale uniden bc235xlt...$135.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9iif <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <373C94B3.711395FF@owc.net>
Source record: 118815

```
300 channel handheld scanner bc235xlt.excellent
condition,box,manuals.....$135.00 shipped
73 jack
```

1415. BC 200 XLT Scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <jason@nospam.gulftel.com>
Message-ID: <926718636.527.66@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118816
Cross-posted to:
alt.firefighters,alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Uniden BC200XLT scanner for sale. $210 shipped.
Bought radio new in box from fellow ham for $280 due to the collectivity of the radio. It is modified to receive the full 800 MHz band. Only used 3 times. Unfortunately I discarded the box a few moths back however, I do have the instructions, charger, case,and antenna for it. This has been in a non-smoking home and has been taken care of VERY well. If interested, email me at
bsfire@gulftel.com

Jason Bishop

KE4KZY

1416. Rhode Island State Police.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990514175126.24415.00000257@ng39.aol.com>
Source record: 118817

Are they still on their 154.935 and 154.905 Simplex or did they move?

Mike KC2CYE

1417. Re: Icom PCR-100?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Kh1%2.2530$xy1.5824@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 118818
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dan:

I had one... and like everything else I've had, and sold, I wished I would have kept it! It's a super radio for the money. Currently, I'm using a PCR-1000, but I like the software with the PCR-100 better!

Bill Crocker

Dan <kd4lyp@webdeals.net> wrote in message news:373BAF4E.7106@webdeals.net...
> Alright folks. I know there are others out there who own one of
> these....right?
>
> I'm looking for other info, results, comments and ideas on the PCR-100.
> Please email me with your findings.
>
> I have started a page on this receiver/scanner and need all the info
> that I can find. I want to have the most updated page for this unit.
> After all there is only one other page with test results out there and I
> have read it several times.
>
> I have links to other software that will control the PCR-100.
>
> http://www.webdeals.net/pcr100.htm
>
>
> --
> http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
> KD4LYP's ham radio and scanner page.
> Icom PCR-100 info!
> East Tennessee info.

1418. Re: Homebrew Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Cheung <cheung@netbistro.com>
Message-ID: <01be9e59$3a6f4040$0100007f@LocalHost>
Source record: 118819

You can make a cheap antenna using the inside wire of your tv coax. Depending on the frequencies you intend to monitor, strip off 1/4 wavelength of the wire braid and pull it down back against the insulator (eg. 300 divided by 136 MHz = 2.21 metres or 7.24 feet. 1/4 of this is 1 foot 9 inches). Then what you have is a 1/4 wave vertical dipole antenna. Hang the wire vertically from a post or run it up inside a piece of pvc pipe. Attach the other end with a proper BNC or whatever connector your scannner requires. Total cost=$0!! You can experiment with different lengths but if you are not transmitting then you shouldn't have too many problems. The 75 ohm impedance of the tv cable shouldn't make any difference either vs. 50 ohms for radio coax. For sure it will outperform the rubber duck antenna or telescopic antenna on your handheld. I also do some scanning using a twin lead j-pole antenna that I built for next to nothing. Plans are available elsewhere on the 'net.

Good luck!

Bill

1419. Re: BC 200 XLT Scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <373CA4D3.4981311F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 118820
Cross-posted to:
alt.firefighters,alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The same scanner in similar condition is for sale on several of the
newsgroups for $100 to $150 shipped.

Jason wrote:
>
> I have a Uniden BC200XLT scanner for sale. $210 shipped.
> Bought radio new in box from fellow ham for $280 due to the collectivity of
> the radio. It is modified to receive the full 800 MHz band. Only used 3
> times. Unfortunately I discarded the box a few moths back however, I do have
> the instructions, charger, case,and antenna for it. This has been in a
> non-smoking home and has been taken care of VERY well. If interested, email
> me at
> bsfire@gulftel.com
>
> Jason Bishop
>
> KE4KZY

1420. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam.tonypo1@nospam.home.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11a66e02da315fb19896ec@news>
Source record: 118821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <373B8878.1CDE@sir.com>, sir@sir.com says...
> Greg Baker wrote:
> >
> > What about Tech Plus license holders?
>
> What about it? Code test required.

5WPM isn't impossible. And there are currently efforts to make the
maximum 12WPM. Kind of frosts me when I think about it but I'm glad I got
my 20WPM over and done with.

Tony

--
== Tony Pelliccio, KD1S formerly KD1NR
== Trustee WE1RD

1421. Re: ICOM 8500 dead -- diagnosis?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: ROBERT DI <myradio@home.com>
Message-ID: <373CA456.CF2C1914@home.com>
Source record: 118822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

How is this radio anyway when it was working!! How is it on all Bands.
Sorry your rec is out for repair!! Hopfully they will get it back to
you soon. Thanks
Eric F. Richards wrote:
>
> Greetings, all...
>
> I have a three month old ICOM 8500 that decided to die on me. The
> symptom is pretty simple -- the frequency display flashes when it
> is turned on. There's no sound whatsoever, but you can "tune" in
> the sense that you can change the displayed frequency at the
> appropriate steps, you can change modes, filters, various settings,
> even reset the CPU, but it just sits there, flashing away, a very
> expensive paperweight.
>
> I'm hoping someone has run across this and it is something simple
> like reseating a connector... I'd rather not be without it for 6
> weeks while it goes off to ICOM.
>
> Can anyone shed light on this?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Eric, KB0YDN
> --
> Eric F. Richards
> efricha@alumni.cs.colorado.edu
> "The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> - Dilbert

1422. Novist Needs Help With Software Decoding Questions??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <fV1%2.3870$6f.75788@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 118823
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just got the hamcom interface and wanted to know if someone could point me in the correct direction as to where I can find frequency's for any type of data that can be decoded with this product. Such as rtty ascii cw and wefax or any others. I am located in the ca Los Angeles area so maybe someone would have a freq. or two. Any help is greatly appreciated...

Thanks...

Robert

1423. Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Silverman <scubaguy@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7hia11vio1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118824

What will you hear if the flight crew allows you to use your scanner while on board?

1424. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: wildthing <wild1413@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OVJv77In#GA.256@cpmsnbbsa05>
Source record: 118825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

It is EXACTLY this MENTALITY of NOT UNDERSTANDING which creates the WHOLE
Y2K PANIC and EXPLOITATION TODAY!

Spike wrote in message ...
Damned right it is legal.
Your radio can easily gum with their electronics.
You get on a plane and show your scanner to me and I'll
hold you down and rip the batteries out.
Your not gonna cause me to die with your stupid hobby.

1425. FS: Bearcat BC 100 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: macco@adams.net
Message-ID: <3740b35b.18747442@news.adams.net>
Source record: 118826

Bearcat BC100XLT Scanner for sale. 100 channel scanner. Battery works
but will need replaced. Freq range I believe is 29Mhz-512Mhz. This
scanner has 10 banks of 10 channels each that are programable.
Instant weather channel and great leather like carrying case.

$75.00 plus $4.30 Priority mail shipping.

email me directly at msteink@adams.net

1426. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <373CC1D5.4E63@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118827
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

```
Jeff Smith wrote:
>
> In article <jbe_2.1350$Ok.313@news1.atl>,
> "Asmodean" <asmo@third.age> wrote:
> > This excerpt, written by Ken Reiss, was taken from the Scan Tech section of
> > the May '99 issue of Popular Communications:
> >
> > ...There are a couple of related, but different, modes that also take place
> > in the E-layer....
>
> Thanks for the info!
>
> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
WHAT WITH ONLY 6 VOLTS, YOU CAN MAKE A SIGNAL GO 50 + MILES?
COME ON NOW!
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!
```

1427. Motorola MaxTrac and MTX 900 questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Maxwell <maxwellw@comcat.com>
Message-ID: <926731275.834.35@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118828
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all!

I picked up a MaxTrac 900Mhz Mobile and a MTX 900 portable at the Trenton Computer Festival a couple of weeks ago. They both had 940.125 as their talkaround frequency (the rest of the channel(s) were trunked). I didn't have a battery for the MTX, so i hooked it up to a power supply and it talked to the mobile. I finally got a new battery and a charger for the MTX. The radio powers on and transmits so that my scanner can hear it. The scanner also picks up the mobile on the same frequency, yet the two don't talk to each other anymore. Is there any way that I could have changed the PL without knowing it? If so, how can I change it back?

Derek Maxwell
maxwellw@comcat.com

1428. Re: Homebrew Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <B26355AEAD99A8D2.5C5C63E653B89C60.6724D2F6BD9E1B56@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 118829

The coolest Antenna you can make out of Coax is to simply run the inner coax conductor to ground
and the outer to your antenna input.
The inner conductor is like a magnet to RF with the shield trapping as much RF as it can before the rest goes
to ground. ;)

1429. BRIDGEPORT BLUEFISH??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdkerkes <jdkerkes@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7hij4k$2is$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118830
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just wondering if anyone knows the frequencies used for the baseball games at
Harbor Yard in Bridgeport Connecticut?
Thanks-Jkerkes@worldnet.att.net

1430. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <373CD296.A57920DA@mich.com>
Source record: 118831

Jim Strand wrote:

> You run a higher chance of rejection based upon ignorance of the crew.
>
> Suggest anyone desiring to use a scanner aboard an airline use their
> computer to create a minor data sheet. Let this explain the device is
> a scanning radio receiver and not a transmitter. This way you can
> have the flight attendent present it to the pilot for approval without
> her editing the meaning along the way.

And in terms of the definition of 'transmitter' as relating to the concerns of commercial aircraft, a scanning radio receiver can very well be a 'transmitter.' To wit: items like CD players and notebook computers.

Jim Strand is guilty of everything he worries that the aircrew might be (ignorance & 'editing' the meaning of scanner)!

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

1431. Trunk Tracker ? What does it mean ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raz Barlow <razbarlow@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7hindf$4ie$1@ins8.netins.net>
Source record: 118832

As you all know , I am testing out a PRO-62 . A buddy of mine has a PRO 90 , is there any difference ? He says it has trunk tracking , what is that ? And is it worth it ?
Thanks,
Raz

1432. Re: Trunk Tracker ? What does it mean ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <373CE43A.804CB8DB@gnc.net>
Source record: 118833

This link will help explain how trunking scanners
differ from conventional scanners.

http://www.trunkscanner.com/

If you are in an area that has trunked radio systems,
you'll want a trunktracker, otherwise you won't be
able to follow the conversations as they hop channels.

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Raz Barlow wrote:

> As you all know , I am testing out a PRO-62 . A buddy of mine has a PRO 90 ,
> is there any difference ? He says it has trunk tracking , what is that ? And
> is it worth it ?
> Thanks,
> Raz

1433. Re: Calif freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <373CE4B5.2DB@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118834

four wrote:
>
> Is there a Calif freq page anywhere?
>
> Chow
> --
> Posted via Talkway - http://www.talkway.com
> Exchange ideas on practically anything (tm).

Check out the following URLs:

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/links.html#CA
http://www.panix.com/~clay/scanning/frequencies/states/ca/index.cgi

http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/ca.html
http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/ca.html

1434. Scanner Freq.s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raz Barlow <razbarlow@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7hipba$jq6$1@ins8.netins.net>
Source record: 118835

I once had a link to a scanner page and now I can't find it. It was a page for scanners that was actually a search page. You typed in the name and it gave you the freq. or viceversa.
Does anyone recall such a page ?
Thanks,
Raz

1435. Re: ?WINRADIO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11a69a9f5ab93d0598978e@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118836

I had a WR-1500e and was sorely disappointed in it's actual performance. I now own a Optocom and LOVE IT. I couldn't recommend it highly enough. I suppose the thing that surprised me most about it was it's ease of setup and sensitivity. I wasn't expecting it to be so sensitive and yet have no intermod problems which are prevalent around where I live. I think the Optocom is a "must have" for any scanner enthusiast. Besides, the planned upgrades are astounding and the company support is great (and here in the U.S I might add)...

1436. FS: RS2005 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: joegizjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990513202808.02101.00000340@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 118857

For Sale;

RS2005 Scanner - Full Coverage - Mint Condition
Antenna
Manual
No Box

$325 Shipped with Insurance

Joe
joegizjr@aol.com

1437. Re: BC - 245xlt - will it allow you to name a channel (alpha?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990513203019.18359.00000298@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 118858

>When will the 785 be out?
>

Supposedly by Christmas, they just won't say what year!

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1438. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: llklodt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990513203414.00811.00000306@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 118859

I was on a flight once and the pilot came on the intercom when we were getting ready to take off and said that if you wanted to listen to in flight coms, tune your selector to #9. So I got a pair of headphones from the flight attendent and listened to the plane. It was fun. This was a United flight from Cedar Rapids, IA to Chicago.

1439. Re: help - mobile phone conversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990513204524.07439.00000368@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 118860

>Subject: help - mobile phone conversion
>From: "Alf" <perez.alfonso.am@bhp.com.au>
>Date: 5/13/99 3:33 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <7hfdjl$dfc17@atbhp.corpmel.bhp.com.au>
>
>I would appreciate if anyone has informartion regarding celular phone
>conversions and modifications, particularly Motorola MicroTac 7500
>and Nokia 101.
>
>We want to develop an alarm system for industrial remote equipment
>monitoring using the celular phone as a transmitter for analog data.
>the monitoring celurar phone needs to be on scan mode to be able to pick up
>and feed various transmitting mobile phones located on the different
>equipment.
>
>Any information or references to similar projects would be very much
>appreciated indeed.
>
>Regards,
>Alf

Leaving aside licensing and type acceptance problems (read that as impossibilities....), how are you planning to get the receiver or transmitter to work as a simplex unit. Neither one will slide far enough to do this without component changes or horrendous effects on power out put or sensitivity. The cell R/T split is about 50 Mhz.....

1440. Re: hotel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jammin5107@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7hfvfn$8h0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118861

In article <7hcpvi$o1v$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
"Ted Silverman" <scubaguy@mindspring.com> wrote:
> I need hotel frequencies. I will be staying at a quality inn in GA.
>
> Thanks in advance
>
>

461.425 Airport/Savannah
154.570 Gateway/Savannah

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1441. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990513211142.07439.00000385@ng07.aol.com>
Source record: 118862

>Subject: Re: Grove Scanner Beam

>David S. Maddison wrote:
>>
>> I was thinking of getting a Grove Scanner Beam (importing from USA). Are
>> there any Australian users of this product? It would help in locking on to
>> various incoming aircraft from far away, for example. The antenna offers
>> up to 9dB gain.
>>
>> David Maddison

Nowhere near 9 db gain on the 100-135 Mhz band. Maybe a 1-3db gain there.
It is practically omnidirectional under 70 MHZ.
I have used it and a small TV antenna turned on it's side and they are
essentailly identical in performance (the Grove antenna is a bit sturdier than
a cheap $19 Tv antenna though). The 9 db gain is near 800 Mhz, from the little
UHF yagi on the end. For lower freqs you have (at best) a dipole with a
compromise reflector.
This might help you if the planes are all one direction, without rotation,
because the front-to-back rejection on most freqs won't be anything like what a
narrow band yagi of even 3 elements would exhibit. The front-to-side rejection
is lower.
In practice I found it worked as an average omni antenna with a little
extra gain from 100-800 Mhz in the direction it is pointed.

Neutrodyne

1442. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <uzL_2.2422$xy1.4931@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 118863

Reads like it's ok to me!

&#65533; 91.21 Portable electronic devices.
(a) Except as provided in paragraph
(b) of this section, no person may oper-ate,
nor may any operator or pilot in
command of an aircraft allow the oper-ation
of, any portable electronic device
on any of the following U.S.-registered
civil aircraft:
(1) Aircraft operated by a holder of
an air carrier operating certificate or
an operating certificate; or
(2) Any other aircraft while it is op-erated
under IFR.
(b) Paragraph (a) of this section does
not apply to-
(1) Portable voice recorders;
(2) Hearing aids;
(3) Heart pacemakers;
(4) Electric shavers; or
(5) Any other portable electronic de-vice
that the operator of the aircraft
has determined will not cause inter-ference
with the navigation or commu-nication
system of the aircraft on
which it is to be used.
(c) In the case of an aircraft operated
by a holder of an air carrier operating
certificate or an operating certificate,
the determination required by para-graph
(b)(5) of this section shall be
made by that operator of the aircraft
on which the particular device is to be
used. In the case of other aircraft, the
determination may be made by the
pilot in command or other operator of
the aircraft.

Bill Crocker

tree <xcommace@usa.net> wrote in message news:373B637F.9F1AB641@usa.net...
> Its true that people do listen to their radios while in flight but read
> 91.21 of the FAA rules.

> http://www4.law.cornell.edu/cfr/14p91.htm#start
> It is clearly forbidden on commercial aircraft. Even the pilot cannot
> authorize it (even though they sometimes do).
> Obviously you know nothing about the technical side of radio or you
> would understand how your scanner can actually block the aircraft's vhf
> NAV equipment.
> Chances of running into a mountain are remote because the NAV equipment
> would indicate an error or loss of signal so the pilot would be alerted.
>

1443. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <3740998c.2832791@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 118864

On 14 May 1999 01:11:42 GMT, neutrodyne@aol.com (Neutrodyne) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Grove Scanner Beam
>
>>David S. Maddison wrote:
>>>
>>> I was thinking of getting a Grove Scanner Beam (importing from USA). Are
>>> there any Australian users of this product? It would help in locking on to
>>> various incoming aircraft from far away, for example. The antenna offers
>>> up to 9dB gain.
>>>
>>> David Maddison
>
> Nowhere near 9 db gain on the 100-135 Mhz band. Maybe a 1-3db gain there.
>It is practically omnidirectional under 70 MHZ.
> I have used it and a small TV antenna turned on it's side and they are
>essentailly identical in performance (the Grove antenna is a bit sturdier than
>a cheap $19 Tv antenna though). The 9 db gain is near 800 Mhz, from the little
>UHF yagi on the end. For lower freqs you have (at best) a dipole with a
>compromise reflector.
> This might help you if the planes are all one direction, without rotation,
>because the front-to-back rejection on most freqs won't be anything like what a
>narrow band yagi of even 3 elements would exhibit. The front-to-side rejection
>is lower.
> In practice I found it worked as an average omni antenna with a little
>extra gain from 100-800 Mhz in the direction it is pointed.
>
>Neutrodyne
>
I've been using this antenna for several years. The above information
is pretty close to my experience.

I got mine pointed in the general direction of Oakland Airport and San
Francisco Airport. I'm about 50 miles distant. Have tried serveral
other antennas, but this one worked for me.

See my web site: http://extra.newsguy.com/~mike9str

1444. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Johns <jeffj@scott.net>
Message-ID: <199904132228.PNR00533@scott.net>
Source record: 118865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Sir writes:

> What about it? Code test required.

Yup! I'm a + and will soon be a General<g>. CW is really addictive and it's amazing what you notice once you learrn it. Just the other day I was watching a AAMCO Transmission commercial on TV the other day. Their motto is "Double A, beep beep, M, C, O", it subconciously dawned on me that the "beep beep" is Morse for the M <g>. It's also nice to finally be able to know what the repeaters say when they ID.... it's not always just the callsign :) Anyways, CW may not be for eveybody, I guess that's why we have different license classes. There is a place for all of us in ths hobby!

73 Jeff W4JEF

```
*--------- Jeff Johns W4JEF - AMSAT# 32615 - QRP-L# 1857 ----------*
|jeffj@scott.net w4jef@amsat.org | Reserve Patrol Captain |
| Satellite: Mir R0MIR-1, AO-27 | Jefferson County Sheriff's Dept|
|200LX+BayPac+FT50=Portable Packet| QTH Birmingham, AL USA |
*-----------------------------------------------------------------*
```


```
*--------- Jeff Johns W4JEF - AMSAT# 32615 - QRP-L# 1857 ----------*
|jeffj@scott.net w4jef@amsat.org | Reserve Patrol Captain |
| Satellite: Mir R0MIR-1, AO-27 | Jefferson County Sheriff's Dept|
|200LX+BayPac+FT50=Portable Packet| QTH Birmingham, AL USA |
*-----------------------------------------------------------------*
```

1445. Re: Good Source for Crystals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990514001810.23715.00000304@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 118866

Strongly suggest G&G Communications at the web site address in the previous message.

The fellow is Gerry Oliver and he's a straight up guy who sells both the 10.7 and 10.8 scanner crystals.

He also does scanner repairs when I last checked.

1446. Re: FBI on Low Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990514003055.23715.00000309@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 118867

Could the news story have used " file footage ", stock video which is played when real footage of the scene is not available ?

TV news frequently tosses in anything which looks good during the voice over.

As such coverage goes, it could even have been security guards using CB handhelds.

1447. Re: Can a OS456 be used w/ a 2006 for trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990514003437.23715.00000311@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 118868

Which software do you recommend for monitoring Trunked systems with the
OS456/2006 set up ?

1448. Need web info on BC245 xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990514014804.18185.00000449@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 118869

I am looking for on-line info on the BC 245 that eveyone is talking about. Last time I checked www.uniden.com, there was no mention of this product.....

1449. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <ulDIULdn#GA.342@ntawwabp.compuserve.com>
Source record: 118870

"Rick Pemble" <pemble@earthlink.net> wrote:
> There is no FAA regulation against scanners on board aircraft.

tree <xcommace@usa.net> wrote:
> ... read 91.21 of the FAA rules. ...
> It is clearly forbidden on commercial aircraft.

The FAA established a rule (FAR 91.21) barring the use of Personal Electronic Devices on board commercial aircraft, then specified a number of exceptions. In particular, airlines may allow PEDs which they have determined do not pose a hazard. Most airlines have sharply restricted or banned scanners, but Delta is a significant exception. On Delta you may use your scanner at cruising altitude, but not during climb or descent or on the ground.

For the text of FAR 91.21 and the stated policies (albeit three years old) of a number of airlines, download
ftp://oak.oakland.edu/pub/hamradio/docs/misc/scan.airline
-or - CompuServe Hamnet Lib 2 AIRLNE.TXT

Rich Wells' "New Scanner Users FAQ" has an good plain language description of FAR 91.21 and its implications.

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote ...
> So all those stories about planes possibly falling out of the sky or going
> way off course because of a scanner are nothing more than wive's tales.

As to the actual risks, ASRS (the NASA Aviation Safety Reporting System) has received a significant number of incident reports from pilots and controllers. You might want to read the May 1994 issue of "Callback", the monthly safety bulletin from ASRS, for some very specific descriptions of inflight incidents with PED interference.

1450. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jeffrey M. Swiger" <N8NOE@Ameritech.Net>
Message-ID: <373BC867.AE48253A@Ameritech.Net>
Source record: 118871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You all got TOO MUCH DAMN TIME TO DO NOTHING!!!... Quit your Bitchin' .... If you spent all the time learning, You'd have nothing to bitch about.. Glad your here and NOT on the radio....73

radiate wrote:

> You can send messages to your ham friends and the no-code cry-baby whiners
> won't know you're talking about them.
>
> 73, Have a nice day!

--
" What A LONG Strange Trip It's Been! "
Jeffrey M. Swiger
*** N8NOE ***

1451. Wanted: AOR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: methodman905@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7hgn56osf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118872
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Wanted: AOR8000

Wanted: AOR8000 Handheld scanner perferly UK model
or aor8000a where it has full coverage(no gaps) and cell is
unblocked in mint condition.

Email me at methodman905@hotmail.com

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1452. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: robinson <rmcrusoe@gte.net>
Message-ID: <fvQ_2.194$Ts5.17803@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 118873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

They should be able to prevent anybody they like from boarding for any reason they like. It is their plane, and their business. They can runn off ALL their customers if they like, and fold up, or they can let anybody at all board with anything allowed by the law, and behaving in any manner at all that is not specifically illegal. Or they can strike a middle road somewhwere in there. Thhat's called free enterprise... market economy... supply/demand... and giving the customer what he wants, or losing his business.

I used to always carry my TS-50S in my briefcase. The one time they refused to allow it to pass, (The by-the-numbers instructions they got were to have me turn it on, and naturally I could not, without a source of 13.8VDC) they busted both the lamps for the LCD panel, and I also had to do a full reset. The next time, I was given the option of opening it up and I happened to have my swiss army knife in my pocket, so I did, and showed them there was not room inside for even a single stick of dynamite. After that, I never had a problem.

I have never flown with an HT, and do not even own one. But I bet working your way up the chain of command, you would have eventually found someone who can count past ten without removing his shoes, showed him your license, informed him that you know your responsibilities and obligations under the CFR (quoting chapter and verse sounds impressive to some people) you would have been allowed to board with your HT. This would have helped the next poor ham who had to deal with those mental midgets.

If you try everything and get no satisfaction, when given the choice, don't fly that airline, and inform them of it. Fill out one of the complaint cards that most airlines have, and some distribute, usually in the airline's in-flight rag. I have always got a response when I have sent one in.

When you have a prob with a particular airline, and they simply won't be reasonable no matter what, other hams should be informed. A letter to QST and posts here would together inform probably half of all licensed hams, and would represent a substantial amount of lost revenue to the ucooperative airline.

Robinson in San Benito TX
A nice little town in the Beautiful Lower Rio Grande Valley
By the Border By the Sea

Meet a Redneck!
See the Crusoe Quintuplets!
Build your own Web Page!

Get your Ham License!

For locksmithing, Radio, Programming, Nautical, Redneck Philosophy, Partying
in the Valley, and other stuff go to:
http://crusoe.org
---

hawke wrote in message <373B9D4F.5767A2DC@uswest.net>...
>Read below...
>
>Curtis CCR wrote:
>
>> radiosigs@aol.com
>>
>> Are you serious? "Even unfair rules" are OK?"
>
>Airlines have the right to refuse service for nearly any reason.
>
>>
>>
>> What else should they ban? Laptop computers? Car keys?
>
>Laptops, cd players, radio recievers and other rf producing electronic
>devices
>not pertanent to the operation of an aircraft are already on the list of
>"banned devices"
>via the Flight Aviation Rules and general policy of most airlines.
>
>>
>>
>> BTW - I'm a pilot and I have no knowledge of any Federal Aviation
>> Regulation the prohibits passengers from carrying tranceievers on board
>> aircraft. There are rules about *using* portable electronic devices
>> (FAR 91.21).
>
>Look a little closer at that regulation. Part of the RCPA of 1996 included
>provisions related to the use of specified electronic equipment on board
>any commercial aircraft flying within or over any territory owned
>or controlled by the US Government.
>
>This vary same arguement was held in here and on rec.radio.scanner
>some 11 months ago.
>
>>
>>
>> I usually have a pretty flexible schedule when I travel. If I was
>> denied passage through security because I was , I would have gone back
>> to the airline and made a stink - even if it meant missing my flight.
>> Unless there was an FAA directive forbiding HTs in carry-on luggage, I
>> wouldn't have made every manager involved very busy.
>

>Carrying them is ok, using them aboard aircraft is not.
>
>>
>>
>> Yes - this is stupid. I would have made waves.
>>
>> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
>> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
>

1453. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <373BE4F1.A522515A@uswest.net>
Source record: 118874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

hmm.
looks like some good points all around here...

robinson wrote:

> They should be able to prevent anybody they like from boarding for any
> reason they like. It is their plane, and their business. They can runn off
> ALL their customers if they like, and fold up, or they can let anybody at
> all board with anything allowed by the law, and behaving in any manner at
> all that is not specifically illegal. Or they can strike a middle road
> somewhwere in there. Thhat's called free enterprise... market economy...
> supply/demand... and giving the customer what he wants, or losing his
> business.

they do have that right, most certainly, within reason 9the law does provide
for
a certain amount of protection based on "special circumstances")

>
>
> I used to always carry my TS-50S in my briefcase. The one time they refused
> to allow it to pass, (The by-the-numbers instructions they got were to have
> me turn it on, and naturally I could not, without a source of 13.8VDC) they
> busted both the lamps for the LCD panel, and I also had to do a full reset.
> The next time, I was given the option of opening it up and I happened to
> have my swiss army knife in my pocket, so I did, and showed them there was
> not room inside for even a single stick of dynamite. After that, I never had
> a problem.

Am I understanding this? they actually damaged your equipment?
At this point, shouldn't some financial responsibility have been made
on their part?

>
>
> I have never flown with an HT, and do not even own one. But I bet working
> your way up the chain of command, you would have eventually found someone
> who can count past ten without removing his shoes, showed him your license,
> informed him that you know your responsibilities and obligations under the
> CFR (quoting chapter and verse sounds impressive to some people) you would
> have been allowed to board with your HT. This would have helped the next
> poor ham who had to deal with those mental midgets.

Funny thing is, the more incompitent someone is, the higher in the chain of
command

he appears to be. I, too have discovered this in my travels.

>
>
> If you try everything and get no satisfaction, when given the choice, don't
> fly that airline, and inform them of it. Fill out one of the complaint
> cards that most airlines have, and some distribute, usually in the airline's
> in-flight rag. I have always got a response when I have sent one in.

sounds like a good plan.....

>
>
> When you have a prob with a particular airline, and they simply won't be
> reasonable no matter what, other hams should be informed. A letter to QST
> and posts here would together inform probably half of all licensed hams, and
> would represent a substantial amount of lost revenue to the ucooperative
> airline.

QST huh?
The internet is also a good place as well (such as in here, if you don't mind
some of the mental midgets in here flaming you).

1454. Re: Wanted: AOR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990514044958.16301.00000410@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 118875

Check http://www.bander.com for the best prices on the AR8000.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1455. Icom PCR-100?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <kd4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <373BAF4E.7106@webdeals.net>
Source record: 118876
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Alright folks. I know there are others out there who own one of these....right?

I'm looking for other info, results, comments and ideas on the PCR-100. Please email me with your findings.

I have started a page on this receiver/scanner and need all the info that I can find. I want to have the most updated page for this unit. After all there is only one other page with test results out there and I have read it several times.

I have links to other software that will control the PCR-100.

http://www.webdeals.net/pcr100.htm

--
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
KD4LYP's ham radio and scanner page.
Icom PCR-100 info!
East Tennessee info.

1456. Looking for Wildland Firefighter Nat. Freq guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: webmedics@aol.comspambloc
Message-ID: <19990514051113.08836.00000586@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 118877

Like the title says I'm looking for a publication called the "Wildland Firefighters National Frequency Guide" It was displayed during a Communications Unit Leader courses in the Pacific Northwest. I've tried NIFC, The Government Printing Office (GPO), NWCG, GSA, and most of the search engines, and trade publications.

Basically, this was a bound version of the notes and xerox copies of each others channel assignments. It would have the name of the forest, region or public lands associated. IT is much more than a scanner guide, as it has Rx, Tx and PL (CTCSS, CG, DPL) information for authorized users.

Any leads, tips or hints would be very much appreciated.
The difference between Genius and Stupidity is Genius has its limits. Albert Einstein

1457. Re: Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <373BFEAC.362CD152@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 118878

viper wrote:
> So does anyone have a suggestion for a antenna for a portable
> handheld scanner????

Handheld Antenna Review:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/rev_hant.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1458. Re: Need web info on BC245 xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <373BFFC0.70B25B0F@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 118879

Emoney250 wrote:
> I am looking for on-line info on the BC 245 that eveyone is talking about.
> Last time I checked www.uniden.com, there was no mention of this
> product.....

Try ADS's BC245XLT page:

http://www.advanced-digital.com/bc245.html

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1459. Re: hotel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Silverman <scubaguy@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7hh1k8$2il$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118880

Thanks. I forgot to state that I will be staying in Valdosta GA.

jammin5107@my-dejanews.com wrote in message <7hfvfn$8h0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <7hcpvi$o1v$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
> "Ted Silverman" <scubaguy@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> I need hotel frequencies. I will be staying at a quality inn in GA.
>>
>> Thanks in advance
>>
>>
>
> 461.425 Airport/Savannah
> 154.570 Gateway/Savannah
>
>
>--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
>---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1460. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gerry Wheeler <gwheeler@micros.com>
Message-ID: <7hh7i2$3gg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118881

In article <7hfl7o$ab9$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>,
"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote:
> I started out on the ground control frequency and followed right
along until
> about Palm springs where the crew switched frequencies and I missed
where
> they went.

Sounds great! I haven't been able to find the flight crew talking, but
I leave my scanner in search mode around 154 and 155 MHz and listen to
the police and emergency services below.

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1461. differences between bearcat 8500xlt and 9000xlt???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrmike2u <mrmike2u@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <9CU_2.22$YS2.1484743@elnws01.ce.mediaone.net>
Source record: 118882

im new to scanning and was wondering if someone could explain the differences between these models also what the are capable of doing thanks in advance mike which would be a better buy........

1462. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Allan Kaplan <akaplan@fallschurch.esys.com>
Message-ID: <373C1D9E.580AAC9E@fallschurch.esys.com>
Source record: 118883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Jeff,
You are a contemporary example of a ham who put in an honest effort at CW instead of shying away from the work, and then found out how much satisfaction the mode affords! Good luck on your upgrade; CW operation is the best way to upgrade your code proficiency. Enjoy your hobby!
73 de W1AEL

1463. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <373c1dac.1000592@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 118884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 May 1999 14:52:53 GMT, barryo@fastlink.com.au (Barry O'Grady) wrote:

>In Australia the analogue mobile phone system is to be turned off on
>1/1/2000 in spite of the fact that it is providing excellent service
>and has many happy customers. It seems to be a political decision
>which the government is commited to although it may be regretting
>it by now.
>
>It's far more effective than telling people they must not listen.
>
>Barry
>==========
>Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
>Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

I agree wholeheartedly! In fact, I use my cell at night to entertain scanner listeners (easier for people to follow a conversation at night when usage is low). I have another friend who is into scanning as much as I am and who also has unlimited nights and weekends on his analog phone. We entertain scanner listeners almost every night. If we want privacy we use our landline phones.

I think the best show we put on was on December 20 of last year when I was having a 3-way conversation with him and his cousin. We were listening to a pursuit on my scanner and the pursuit exited the freeway close to my place. I went down the street on my bike with phone and scanner in hand and let my friends and anyone else who may have been listening hear the pursuit go right by me. Yes, I stayed well out of the way and off the street.

Spamblock in effect. Reply in newsgroups.

1464. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7hh8a2$qs7$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

People underestimate the power here. The pilot in command can pretty much do any damn thing he wants to. He can be held accountable later, but he is pretty much totally in control. I've had pilots tell me I couldn't
use my HT. I've had other pilots ask me to just tell the flight attendant to
let him know when I'm useing it. And in a two cases, I've even had a pilot let me transmit, as long as he knew when it was going to be done, and in both cases it was only while in level flight. In all cases pilots
wanted the HT totally off during approach.

Back about 1975 I was denied boarding a Delta flight. It was a 'weapon' issue. But the pilot for the flight happened to be there (this was a 2am
flight out of Atlanta and at the time security was at each individual skyway)
and he stopped to see the commotion. He said 'let him board' and I did.

Mike Yetsko

1465. Related Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7hh8ie$lc1$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 118886

Does anyone know the freqs used by the Coast Guard
helecopters in southern Lake Michigan???

1466. Chicago Northwest Suburbs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom <tring@mailhost.chi.ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <373DB2EF.E7A5F9E2@mailhost.chi.ameritech.net>
Source record: 118687

Does anyone know of site where I can get active freg's for police in
Northwest Suburbs of Chicago?
Thanks.

1467. Re: Freqs Needed - Scottsdale PD AZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <373D9933.F0FAAF49@intsvc.com>
Source record: 118688

There are a ton of them since they are on a trunked radio system.They opperate (for now) on the Maricopa County Trunked Radio System utilizing the Thompson Peak repeater. You can order a nice book of frequencies and other useful information at: http://www.azstarnet.com/~danr/

Bill wrote:

> Could someone help me. I'm
> trying to get the Scottsdale, AZ
> PD frequencies.
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Bill in Tempe, AZ

--
Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com> ICQ# 19943355
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
ICP509 - Dispatcher & National Coordinator

Listing of incident notification groups throughout the country
http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont/

*** The views expressed here are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated.***

1468. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990515120539.21434.00001224@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 118689

Yes line of sight if you are on top of the trade center.

remove nospam to email

1469. Re: E-Trax & OptoCOM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11a748e3c629de2f989794@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118690

I saw a demo of the E-Trax software at Scanners Unlimited in San Carlos California on a business trip a couple of weeks ago. It convinced me to get a Optocom and I am real glad I did. I am not on a trunking system here yet but will be next year. You may want to contact them on their impressions of that software. In the coming months Windows software will become available for ALL TRUNKING SYSTEMS in one program..... so have a bit of patience and we will all be in good shape soon. You can reach Scanners Unlimited at (650) 573-1624

1470. Re: ?WINRADIO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11a748176dddc0af989793@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118691

Well, the rumor that is starting to surface is that windows software, capable of running ALL TRUNKING SYSTEMS is on the way in the next couple of months. Frankly I don't have a need for the EDACS just yet so don't miss it, but the unit comes with what I consider GREAT software out of the box. The TrakkStar software is so intuitive that I am already set up for my local area listening and haven't read the manual once. Now that is what I call USER FRIENDLY.....I haven't gotten it to crash and it does pretty much everything you could ask for. I like the fact that I can import the PerCon info and just "dump" a large bank from the selected disk onto the program in the form of a file that can be immediately used for scanning.

1471. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Smith <double_hat_trick@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7hk9p4$9pj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118692
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <373D158C.17C1@ameritech.net>,
theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net wrote:

> THE RADIO SHACK FRS - 106 MODEL TAKES 4 DOUBLE A BATTERIES.
> 1.5 VOLTS * 4 = 6.0 VOLTS

I was using a Motorola Talkabout, which uses 3 AA cells.

-Jeff

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1472. Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7hk60f$b96@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 118693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

Last changed: March 20, 1999.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Introduction to Scanning
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTES: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

This introduction is intended for people new to the
scanning hobby and is oriented to scanning in the USA.
High level overviews of the following topics are presented:

Why Scanning?
Is Scanning Legal?
What Scanner Should I Buy?
What is a CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature?
How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?
Scanner Antennas
Coaxial Cable Feedlines
Where Can I Buy A Scanner?
Modifying Your Scanner
Scanner Repair Shops
Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?
Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work
How Can I Use Equipment to Uncover New Frequencies?
Scanner Clubs and Mailing Lists

Why Scanning?

Every day and night, scanner hobbyists are entertained by
what they overhear on their radios. Police cars, fire
engines, ambulances, airplanes, armored cars, trains,

taxis, and buses are all equipped with radios and you can listen to them. You can monitor the local sheriff and fire departments to hear about events "as they happen," before the news reporters hear about them. Hostage dramas, bank robberies, car crashes, chemical spills, neighbor and domestic disputes, tornado sightings are all fair game. In a single afternoon, you can hear a high speed police chase, Drug Enforcement agents on a sting operation, and undercover FBI agents as they stakeout a suspect.

How about listening to a presidential candidate discuss strategy with his advisor from a 415 MHz radiophone in Air Force 1, or a team of G-men protect him while transmitting in the 167 MHz range?

Baby monitor intercoms are actually transmitters and you can hear them between 49.67 and 49.99 MHz.

Stay ahead of road conditions by listening to highway road crews, snow plows, and traffic helicopter pilots. Many midwesterners monitor the state police and and county sheriff to learn of approaching tornados long before warnings are broadcast on TV and commercial radio. Take your scanner to sporting events and listen to race car drivers, football coaches, etc., in the 151, 154, and 468 MHz ranges.

Monitor the everyday hustle and bustle of businesses, from cable TV repair crews tracking down pirate descrambler boxes, to security guards at your nuclear power plant or mall security guards chasing a shoplifter.

Is Scanning Legal?

In the United States, scanning from your home or at work is perfectly legal in most situations. The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 made it illegal to listen to mobile phones, common carrier paging, and a few other types of communication. Public law 103-414, signed Oct. 25, 1994, amended the law (Title 18 USC, Part I, chapter 119, sections 25110 and 2511) and outlaws listening to cordless phones, too, but many scanners cover these frequencies.

It is illegal for companies to sell or import into the USA recently manufactured scanners which cover or can be easily modified to cover the cellular phone frequencies, but sales by private individuals will still be allowed.

The rules define "readily altered by the user" as "clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor and/or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip

using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer."

Speaking of privacy, federal law also requires you to keep what you hear to yourself and not use the information you hear on your scanner for personal gain.

Be aware that several states have laws pertaining to scanning while in your car. Indiana restricts some portable scanners. A few states have enacted their own laws against listening to cordless phones. You can find out about these restrictions in a paperback book, Listeners Lawbook, compiled by Frank Terranella, Esq. available for $9.95 + shipping from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902.

What Scanner Should I Buy?

Radio Shack and Uniden (maker of Bearcat, Regency, and Cobra brands) offer a wide choice of scanners. Radio Shack scanners are actually manufactured by both GRE (General Research Electronics) and by Uniden. Personally, I don't recommend Trident nor many of the AOR brand scanners, although the AR8000 and AR3000 have good reputations and the AR5000 works well.

Programmable (synthesized) units have replaced crystal controlled models as they don't require crystals and usually have a keypad that permits you to store frequencies into channels. Programmables are now so cheap it doesn't make sense to buy a crystal unit as your main scanner unless you get it for under $45 or so.

You can get a battery operated hand held scanner, a bigger "base" scanner which is powered from an AC outlet, or a mobile scanner which connects to your auto's electrical system. There are tradeoffs -- base and mobile scanners have larger displays and almost always provide more audio than portables, and some portables are more prone to interference when connected to outdoor antennas than base models. But when severe weather knocks out the power in your home, there's nothing like having a battery operated scanner to monitor the power utility and police frequencies!

Make sure your first scanner has a "search" feature, which allows it to search all the frequencies between two frequency limits of your choosing. Beware of low cost programmables which can't search. Some of the newer models, like the Uniden SportCat and Radio Shack PRO-2046, allow searching between limits, but the limits are factory programmed and cannot be altered.

Get a model which covers the 800 MHz band. Usage of the 800 - 950 MHz band is growing fast and you will miss out on the action unless your scanner covers this band.

If you're not sure whether you'll like scanning, don't want to spend much money, a 50 channel scanner will do. In general, the more channels and banks, the better.

Most of the action takes place on frequencies between 30 and 1000 MHz, so don't be misled by scanner models boasting coverage from 3 to 2000 MHz. There's currently not much to monitor in the 1000 - 2000 MHz range.

If you are interested in receiving short wave, that is, signals in the 3 - 30 MHz range, it's best to get a short wave radio specifically designed for that purpose. Although some scanners receive the short wave band, their performance in that range is often second rate. The $2000 Icom R8500 and AOR AR5000 models are exceptions.

Deluxe scanners can be controlled and/or downloaded by a personal computer, a feature which appeals to a small but growing number of scanner owners.

Almost all low and mid-priced scanners are prone to receiving images -- receiving the same signal erroneously on two or more frequencies. With a few exceptions, images are unwelcome due to the interference they cause, e.g., hearing aircraft transmissions while the scanner is tuned to the local police frequency. Premium quality scanners use "up conversion" circuitry, a scheme which greatly reduces, but does not eliminate, image reception.

Currently, the more popular scanners include the discontinued Radio Shack PRO-2006 base/mobiles, the Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT (a/k/a BC205XLT), BC220XLT, BC230XLT, and Radio Shack PRO-43 and PRO-60 portables. A number of owners report problems with the Icom R1 portable and the older Uniden/Bearcat 8500XLT base and 2500XLT portable. The Uniden BC9000XLT base and BC3000XLT portable work very well and are my current favorites.

Looking for value? At $180 discount price, the 100 channel Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT base is a good value and available at most Wal-Mart stores. The RELM HS200 portable performs very well is an excellent value at its $250 street price.

Considered by many as the best scanner ever made, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2006 was replaced by the 1000 channel PRO-2035, which was replaced by the PRO-2042. The portable Radio Shack PRO-64 and PRO-60 are good performers. All five models employ up conversion and are made for Radio Shack by GRE (General Research Electronics).

CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature

Upscale scanners can be optioned with a subaudible tone decoding squelch circuit. There are two types: CTCSS (Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System) and DCS (Digital Coded Squelch). In simple terms, this feature lets your scanner ignore signals you don't want to hear.

Most land mobile two way radios, except trunked systems, transmit either CTCSS or DCS signals subaudibly along with the voice information. U.S. military field radios employ wider deviation and a 150 Hz CTCSS tone, not supported by most scanners or CTCSS decoders.

There are over 40 different CTCSS codes and you can program a specific CTCSS or DCS code into each memory channel. Using a CTCSS or DCS decoder permits your scanner to open the squelch only if the transmission has a code which matches the code you program.

CTCSS and DCS decoding offers several advantages:

1. Ignore unwanted signals on shared frequencies: It is common for several users to share the same frequency, e.g., my county sheriff uses the same frequency as Chicago Police, located about 60 miles away. I want to hear my sheriff's transmissions but don't want the scanner to stop on or listen to Chicago Police transmissions. This is especially a problem when radio propagation is good, e.g., during a "band opening," when reception range is temporarily increased.

2. Combat intermodulation interference: Helps keep out intermod and image interference from strong pagers and other signals which are not transmitted with a matching code.

3. Ignore scrambled transmissions: Many federal law enforcement agencies (FBI, Secret Service, etc) and some local police agencies employ digital voice scrambling, which sounds like white noise and is annoying to hear. The CTCSS or DCS code is sent only when the station is transmitting unscrambled, or "in the clear." You can program federal frequencies along with the proper code into your decoder-equipped scanner so you won't have to listen to the scrambled mess and only hear their transmissions when they are unscrambled.

CTCSS also goes by various tradenames: Motorola's PL (Private Line), GE's Channel Guard, and RCA's Quiet Channel. Motorola uses the tradename DPL (Digital Private Line) for its digital coded squelch.

How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?

There are a few ways to determine the CTCSS or DCS code transmitted by a station:

1. Use an outboard CTCSS/DCS reader which must be attached to the discriminator output circuitry inside the scanner. The reader has an LCD or LED display which indicates the code when a station transmits. I use a CSI model CD-1 Communications Decoder Unit, an an excellent reader which sells new for about $200. (Connect Systems Inc., Ventura, CA, 800-545-1349, www.connectsystems.com).
2. Many of the ScannerMaster guides, as well as scanner club newsletters, print the CTCSS codes used by transmitting stations.
3. On some scanners equipped with the CTCSS squelch, you can program into memory the frequency of interest. Then you "tune" slowly through all the different CTCSS and/or DCS codes until the squelch opens and you hear the dispatcher talking. This is a slow process and the station has to keep transmitting during it.
4. A slow, brute force method is to program the same frequency but a different CTCSS code into several channels and see where your scanner stops.
5. In some cases, I've actually examined the walkie-talkies I want to monitor and found the CTCSS code printed on a label on or inside the radio.

Scanner Antennas

All scanners come with a built in antenna, permitting reception up to about 20 miles or so.

Portable scanners are supplied with a helical (spring shaped) antenna, covered by rubber. The rubberized antennas furnished with current models are too stiff and place stress on the antenna jack. Older scanners to be supplied with more flexible antennas. I prefer these alternative antennas for use with portable scanners:

1. The Icom FA-1433B dual band flex antenna, a thin and very flexible rubber antenna, is slightly longer than a stock heliflex but provides better performance.
2. The Austin Condor is a 12" long rubberized antenna available for about $30. While considerably longer than the stock antenna and the FA-1443B, the Condor is very flexible and provides VHF-high band reception superior to both. It is not designed to cover the VHF-low band, but will allow reception there at least as good as shorter antennas. The Austin Condor is available from Grove Enterprises.
3. The "Thin-Stick" is an 18 inch telescoping antenna for

extended range. It is made in USA by Smiley Antenna Co., Inc. and is designed to cover both the 2 meter and 220 MHz bands, but its variable length means it can be adjusted for optimum performance on other bands. What makes the Thin-Stick different from an ordinary telescoping antenna is the spring between the antenna and the connector portions. The spring absorbs most of the impact if the antenna collides with an object. The street price is about $17 and it is available from Amateur Electronic Supply, Milwaukee and other retailers.

Outdoor antennas, like the Channel Master 5094A (available from Amateur Electronic Supply) or Antenna Specialists AV-801 (recently discontinued), can extend reliable reception to 50 miles or more, depending on terrain. If you do use an outdoor antenna, be sure to disconnect and ground it during storms and when not in use to avoid a lightning hazard. Discone and ground plane type antennas can be more prone to cause scanner damage due to static charge buildup because they are not at "DC ground."

Coaxial Cable Feedlines

If your antenna installation requires more than 50 feet of feedline, use RG213/U or high quality RG8/U coaxial cable. RG213/U, e.g., Belden type 8267, has a non-contaminating jacket and will last longer. Each has an outer diameter of about 13/32". Similarly sized Belden 9913 cable and clones have lower attenuation but are difficult to bend, require special connectors, and can accumulate moisture inside because they are hollow.

If you must use a small diameter cable for long runs, use RG6/U. Avoid RG58/U (7/32" OD) due to its losses at high frequencies. RG8/X (1/4" OD) is suitable for short patch cords.

Where Can I Buy A Scanner?

Almost every community has at least one Radio Shack store, and you can find scanners there. Be sure it is on sale, as Radio Shack list prices are high and their scanners are offered at reduced prices by mail order discounters.

Discount chain stores like Wal-Mart, Best Buy, K-Mart, Service Merchandise, and Circuit City sell scanners, but carry just a few models. Department stores, like Sears Roebuck and Montgomery Wards, sometimes offer low end scanners, although at high prices.

The best buys on new scanners are from reputable mail order radio dealers, for example:

- Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200. Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155. Web page is http://www.grove-ent.com

- National Electronics (formerly National Tower Company), PO Box 15417, Shawnee Mission, KS 66215. Telephone (800)762-5049, (913)888-8864. Web page is http://www.sound.net/~ne/

- The Ham Station, 220 N. Fulton Ave., Evansville, IN 47719-0522. Telephone (800)729-4373, (812)422-0231.

- Scanner World (Albany, NY). Telephone (518)436-9606.

- Amateur Electronics Supply (Milwaukee, WI). Telephone (800)558-0411. Web page is http://www.aesham.com

Beware of inflated "shipping and handling" charges and be sure to include these when comparison shopping.

Used scanners may be found at hamfests, flea markets, garage sales, or listed in the classified advertisement section of your newspaper.

Modifying Your Scanner

The term "mod" is often used as shorthand for "modification." If you are handy with a soldering iron, you may be interested in modifying ("modding") your scanner to add features or enhance its performance. Be warned this usually voids your warranty and current models are difficult to service due to the small, delicate surface mount components. By federal regulation, new scanner models cannot be easily modified to tune the cellular phone bands.

The term "mod" has been narrowly used by some people to mean a change which permits a scanner to receive cellular phone frequencies. This definition is far too restrictive as there are several ways one could modify a scanner, e.g., changes to improve audio quality, adding an S-meter, expanding the number of channels, changing the earphone jack to accept stereo headphones, etc.

Modification article files can be copied from several ftp sites including the /pub/hamradio/mods directory at:

garfield.catt.ncsu.edu
oak.oakland.edu (IP address 141.210.10.117)

Modification articles posted on Usenet seem to have a life of their own. Frequently, they are plagiarized from the Internet and compiled by book, CDROM, and magazine publishers, sometimes reworded, then sold. Hobbyists then

copy the modifications from the books, CDROMs, and magazines back onto the Internet or BBSs (bulletin board systems)!

Grove Enterprises and other companies advertise a warranted, modification for fee service.

For information on "virtual downconverter" modifications, see Steve Donnell's article in January 1995 RCMA Scanner Journal or February 1996 Monitoring Times. Reprints of articles from Monitoring Times are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from:

Grove Enterprises,
7540 Highway 64 West,
Brasstown,
NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200.
Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155.

I don't get any part of this reprint fee.

Scanner Repair Shops

Is your scanner broken? Aside from sending the scanner back to the manufacturer for repair, here is one company which repairs scanners:

- G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) - (www.iinc.com/ggcomm or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com) This family owned company repairs scanners and pagers and stocks parts for several older models. G & G cannot usually repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. G & G sells and sometimes buys old scanners and parts, too. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482.

Repair tips for Bearcat and Radio Shack scanners are discussed in two other FAQ articles.

Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?

To avoid chaos, the FCC licenses two-way radio users and assigns them specific frequencies. Groups of frequencies are allocated to specific types of users, so you won't usually find fire departments using the same frequencies as taxi drivers, for example.

Scanner enthusiasts can obtain frequency information from several sources, including books, government microfiche records, or other listeners.

Books: The most convenient source of fire, police, and local government frequencies is Gene Hughes' Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins

Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores. The newest editions contain abbreviated business listings, too, although callsigns and other details are listed only for local government and public safety licensees.

I also recommend the book, Monitor America, published by SMB Publishing (now known as Scanner Master Publishing), and available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. A 1100 page 3rd edition is now available. Monitor America contains several pages of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, federal government, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. It contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Uniden has published several regional directories using the "Betty Bearcat" name, although there are much better directories available from Scanner Master (Newton Highlands, MA, tel. (508)655-6300) for some regions.

The most readily available source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Commercial Magazines: Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times (tel. 828-837-9200, www.grove.net) and the sensationalistic Popular Communications, (tel. 516-681-2922). National Scanning (NatScan) is a national scanner magazine published bimonthly and is affiliated with Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club. Early issues disappointed experienced scanner hobbyists, but it now has a good column on scanner modifications and has greatly improved its coverage of east coast frequencies since merging with NESN (North East Scanner News). The best scanner frequency

lists are often found in club publications, not commercial magazines, and are discussed later.

Government Records: Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The high cost of buying government records limits their appeal to hardcore enthusiasts. You can write for a catalog of FCC Master Frequency Database items to the NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100. The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for $29 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. The Spring 1995 edition works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments.

Grove enterprises sells FCC license information on CDROM for $100. In the 1995 edition, fields are different than PerCon's Spectrum CDROM but the data appears to be of the same vintage. The licensee name and licensee city are used instead of the DBA (doing business as) name and transmitter city which makes query results confusing.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work

When you try listening to a frequency for the first time, you'll want to know who you're hearing.

Although FCC rules require radio systems to identify their operations with their assigned call letters either automatically or verbally, most ignore the regulation. This often makes it difficult to know who is transmitting. Moreover, many radios are now being placed in service illegally, without first obtaining the required FCC license.

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and try to determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

You can examine the FCC license on premise. I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the mall security office or company guard shack. You can examine the labels on radio equipment. Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret. Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement, however.

How Can I Use Equipment
to Uncover New Frequencies?

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. A few models, like the ICOM R7000/R7100, and R1, and older Bearcat 250 and Regency K500, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the

scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy, a Radio Shack CTR-82 will do. It's best to use a shielded, attenuating cable to feed the scanner audio into the recorder rather than relying on the recorder's internal microphone.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

Are There Any Scanner Clubs or Mailing List?

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than any pile of magazines.

Peter Laws administers an electronic mail list read by over 700 hobbyists devoted to scanners and scanning. It's called "scan-l" and for more information, read:

http://www.wildstar.net/~plaws/scan/scan-l.txt

Clay Irving <clay@panix.com> administers a scanner electronic mailing list, called "scanning." To subscribe, send an Email message to: majordomo@lists.panix.com

In the body of the Email message, put: subscribe scanning

All Ohio Scanner Club

A smaller club is the All Ohio Scanner Club. Its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio and the Northeastern states, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of good product reviews and scanning tips.

Annual dues are $18.50 and more information is available from:

Dave Marshall, Managing Editor
All Ohio Scanner Club,
50 Villa Road,
Springfield, OH 45503.

Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) is the foremost club for scanner radio hobbyists in the northern Illinois area. CARMA was formed from the Chicago chapter of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), and we have been meeting since the early 1980s.

Many CARMA members are active in ham radio and GMRS, but the club is devoted to scanning and scanners.

Meetings are held on Saturdays, many at area restaurants, about 6 times a year. Although lunch starts at noon, the meetings begin officially at 1 PM and often run until about 4 PM. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for free. Meetings consist of a quick review of club business, sometimes a special presentation, and a "round table" discussion/question & answer session. Large quantities of information and frequency lists are often distributed in the form of free handouts. Members often sell radio equipment at the meetings, too.

In addition to regular meetings, there are two CARMA picnic/field days during which members meet at a park, erect antennas, eat, and operate scanners from battery power. CARMA members take organized tours of various communications facilities in the area.

Club meeting dates and times are published in the CARMA newsletter, published 6 times a year. You can obtain an electronic subscription to the CARMA Newsletter. For more info, see:

http://www.TheRamp.net/shabec/carma.htm

The club's mailing address is:

CARMA
P.O. Box 2681
Glenview, IL 60025

All 1999 meetings (except August) will be held on Saturdays at Phillies Pizza, 6300S. Kingery Highway, located in the shopping center at the corner Rt. 83 and 53rd St. in Willowbrook, IL,

The 1999 meeting schedule is: April 3, June 5 (picnic at Argonne National Labs), August 7, October 2, and December 4.

The CARMA Picnic will be June 5th, 1999 at the Argonne
National Labs Picnic Pavilion, Cass Avenue, south of I-55
in or around Darien or Lemont. The picnic will start
whenever someone arrives. The regular meeting will be in
the Pavilion at 1:00 PM. If the weather is totally
frightful we also have a room inside the Laboratory
building available for us.

The Picnic is BYOE (Bring your own everything) If you want
to eat or drink, bring it with you, as well as your BBQ or
six pack.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1473. How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7hk60l$b97@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 118694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed March 20, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

HOW DO YOU FIND THESE SCANNER FREQUENCIES?

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly
"Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine,
published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this
article are his own.]

I am often asked, "How do you find these frequencies?"
This article answers the question in various sections:

Books
Magazines
Government Records
Web Sites
Federal Radio Stations
Radio Clubs
Do Your Own Sleuthing
What Makes Station Identification Difficult?
Examine the FCC License on Premise
Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment
Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band
Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage
How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example
Summary
Addresses

Books

The most convenient source of fire and police frequencies
is the Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional
volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and

larger book stores for under $13. Police Call Plus is basically a computer printout of FCC license information in the fire, police, local government, and conservation services in two lists: by licensee name within state, and by frequency. A few pages of local airport and nonsensitive federal government frequencies are included. Selected business frequencies are listed, too, but without callsigns and other details.

I highly recommend Richard Barnett's book, Monitor America, published by Scanner Master Corp. A 3rd edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. It is crammed full of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, national park, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. The information was compiled mainly from members of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now defunt. Monitor America contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call Plus, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Scanner Master also publishes regional frequency guides for Illinois, Florida, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and other states.

Aeronautical frequencies are covered in the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written by Bob Coburn, W1JJO. Although most of the information is about civilian aviation, Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP. The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides (P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053). The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency Directory and frequency guides for several New England states. Some of these books are available through Radio Shack, too.

The longstanding source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range. Since the US government no longer offers frequency information for its own stations, and has never published sensitive frequencies, most of the information in Kneitel's book has been collected from listeners over the years. It

is certainly not complete, nor 100% accurate, but is a good book for this difficult to obtain information.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Magazines

Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times and the sensationalistic Popular Communications magazines.

Government Records

Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

These lists contain license information for the industrial (e.g. Illinois Armored Car, Pinkerton's Security, Joe's Towing, etc.), highway maintenance, commercial broadcast, aviation, common carrier, and maritime services, as well as for police and fire. For more info call NTIS at 703-487-4630.

Back in "the good old days," Grove Enterprises sold copies of some FCC microfiche files, and this was much cheaper than buying directly from NTIS. Grove no longer sells microfiche, but sells information on CDROM for $49 instead.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on both floppy disks and CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100.

The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $30 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. However, Public Safety license information contained in the Winter 1996 Spectrum doesn't appear as up to date as in the 1997 Police Call Plus.

Spectrum works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments. One can try restricted sample searches of the Spectrum CD for free via PerCon's web page at

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm .

Companies have appeared which are "plugged into" the FCC licensing system and they sell computer time allowing on-line file access. They also sell paper copies of FCC information. Washington Radio Reports is one example. A monthly publication, it lists license applications made to the FCC. A few members of my scanner club subscribe and share the information with me.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Web Sites

If you have access to the World Wide Web, you can visit dozens of sites with local frequency lists. You can look up civilian aero frequencies for specific airports at: http://www.airnav.com/airports/.

Federal Radio Stations - Not Licensed by FCC

Since federal government radio stations are not licensed by the FCC, they are not listed in FCC microfiche. In 1981, a group of 60 radio hobbyists split a $1300 fee, and obtained 80 microfiche cards of 'sanitized' information about federal government radio stations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). (See "The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away", by Richard Prelinger, in Monitoring Times, July 1982.)

Only 12 of the 21 information fields for each station were furnished. Fields like "Remarks", which indicate the exact usage of a channel (e.g. "Sky Marshall's Net"), and "Bureau", indicating agency subdivision (e.g. TAC within the USAF), were withheld. These 80 pages of microfiche were sold by Grove Enterprises for $25, but are no longer available from that source. Private entrepreneurs have been known to ask $125 or more for a set! In a step backward, the US Government insists it will no longer release this type of information - it is now 'classified'. (See "AFIO and the FOIA", by Bob Grove, in Monitoring Times, September 1982.)

For a reason unknown to this author, the government released a 1984 vintage set of frequencies allocated to the FAA. Perhaps this was a mistake, because the information is marked 'unclassified', but all fields are furnished, including some which indicate security related usage. Grove sold this set of 33 microfiche cards for about $13.

Two way radio equipment formerly used by the armed forces often appears for sale at hamfests. Less often, Secret Service and FBI radios appear there from time to time, too. When you see such equipment, look over the radio for a frequency label and write down the frequencies stamped on the crystals or channel elements. Make note of any service or property tags which specify the agency or military base which used the equipment.

Radio Clubs

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than a pile of magazines.

Since the early 1960s, I had been an amateur radio operator, and belonged to amateur radio clubs, but I never realized there were any scanner clubs! In 1983, I joined the world's largest scanner club, the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now out of business. There are several regional scanner clubs, spun off from the former RCMA, which hold regular meetings.

Another club which prints sensitive federal frequencies is the All Ohio Scanner Club. I enjoy its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, which is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of product reviews and scanning tips.

More information is available on the WWW page http://aosc.rpmdp.com and from:

All Ohio Scanner Club
50 Villa Road
Springfield, OH 45503

Do Your Own Sleuthing

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio,

or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

What Makes Station Identification Difficult?

In most instances, FCC rules require radio users to identify their operations with FCC assigned call letters. Police and fire departments, especially those with trained radio dispatchers, seem particularly conscientious about station identification. Like commercial broadcasters, many of these stations identify on the hour and the half hour.

Some repeater stations have Morse code identification circuits which transmit call letters on a periodic basis, insuring compliance with FCC rules.

On the other hand, over 75% the industrial radio stations monitored within the last year ignore the FCC regulation, making it difficult for a listener to identify a station. Some stations may operate for years using the nondescriptive "base to mobile 2" or "Joe to base" protocol. One rung up the hierarchy are stations that identify using something like "Acme base to 107", giving the listener a clue for his log. If call letters are given, they are often rendered unintelligible by operators who fail to enunciate. The failure to identify is more likely due to sloppiness, rather than any attempt to hide station identity.

While not regulated by the FCC, federal government radio stations vary in the extent to which they identify their transmissions. Some federal stations do not have call letters. A nearby paging transmitter periodically transmits a voice recording announcing, "This is the Army Joliet Ammunition Plant." What more could a listener ask for?

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy. I use two modified Radio Shack CTR-75 recorders, a discontinued model.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at

the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

If you don't have a VOX recorder, consider a device like the NiteLogger II tape recorder controller, made by Benjamin Michael Industries. It connects simply between scanner and recorder and contains sound activation circuitry to trigger the recorder.

The following examples illustrate techniques I've used to derive new frequency information.

Examine the FCC License on Premise

I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the Bell Labs security office and the guard shack at Waste Management's Greene Valley Landfill in Naperville.

Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment

Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find Dymo or P-Touch tape labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret.

- At the annual Glenview Naval Air Station open house, I examined a military manpack radio being used by dispensary paramedics. The radio's tuning dial was set at 34.15 MHz.

- The Illinois Army National Guard displayed two armored personnel carriers at the local county fair, each equipped with VHF-FM and HF-SSB transceivers.

In addition to a tuning control (VFO), the VHF-FM radio had a set of channel select pushbuttons, much like those in a car radio. I asked a guardsman a few questions about the radio, and he demonstrated the channel preset feature. A panel above the channel pushbuttons was labeled with the frequencies: 32.055, 34.45, 35.35, 40.55, and 40.60 MHz.

- An Army National Guard UH1 helicopter was displayed at the Marseilles armory "open house". The public was

permitted to climb aboard, and observe the instrumentation and radio gear. A channel plate on the instrument cluster listed over a dozen frequencies.

Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement. In New Jersey, a radio technician/hobbyist called to service a transmitter in a county building, noticed a new unattended repeater installation in the same room. Being curious about what frequency this repeater was on, he opened the access door to copy the frequencies from the radio's crystals. It turns out that this radio belonged to the US Secret Service, and opening the access door could have activated a "tamper alarm"!

The tech was skating on thin ice. He had nobody's permission to tamper with that equipment.

Another source of frequency information is as close as your nearest Radio Shack store. Some Radio Shack stores make a local frequency list available to assist their scanner customers. Be sure to ask. Stores located in shopping malls almost always know the mall security frequencies. I often check the frequencies programmed into the floor model scanners, too.

Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band

You can often determine a transmitter's frequency band by the size and type of antenna used.

The Police Call Plus book contains sketches of antennas. Antenna catalogs are crammed full with antenna pictures and specifications and they are usually free. Contact Antenna Specialists (216-349-8400), Cushcraft (603-627-7877), Tessco (800-472-7373), Maxrad, Larsen Electronics (800-426-1656), and other companies in the land mobile equipment business.

Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. Some models, including the Radio Shack PRO-2035, ICOM R7000/R7100, Bearcat 2500XLT, and Bearcat 250, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and

store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, another spectrum analyzer connected to a receiver IF stage, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example

While scanning the industrial frequencies in the 150 MHz range, a van driver was overheard communicating with "base" while driving around my town. The stations involved never used FCC call signs -- this would have made life a lot easier for me, and legal for them! (one may use FCC license microfiche, described earlier, to identify stations using call letters.) Transmissions were short and infrequent, so it was decided to tape record all transmissions on this frequency for several days to determine the station's identity.

During daylight hours, a modified Regency K500 scanner was left tuned to the target frequency, connected to a cheap tape recorder through a home built interface. Using a carrier operated relay, the tape interface supplied power to the recorder only during radio transmissions, so a day's worth of traffic could be compressed into a 45 minute tape.

Each day, the tape was played back and notes on names, locations, and activities mentioned during the day's transmissions were taken. The van driver appeared to be making daily stops at a local bank and two shopping malls. A Walgreen's store seemed to be the only stop at one mall. A few times, "base" ordered the van "back to the Training Center." There were frequent references to "guests checking out", "dropping a guest off", and "instructor[s] missing a class". At times, "base" spoke with "security", who must have been using a walkie-talkie as his signals weren't strong enough to hear.

Was this a hotel? Calls to the three local hotels revealed that none provides shuttle bus service to the shopping malls. A call to the Walgreen's, inquiring about bus service to the store, drew another blank. During my shopping trips, I began to pay closer attention to vans with antennas driving through the parking lots.

I was leaving the mall one day, when a week's effort paid off. A maroon and white van, equipped with a VHF-Hi

antenna, was dropping shoppers off at Walgreen's. A sign
on the van's door read:

XYZ Central Training Center (XYZ is a pseudonym for the
actual licensee name.)
Lisle, Illinois

I watched the driver pick up a microphone, and listened to
him on my portable scanner checking back with his "base".

All the pieces fit: the "guests", the "classes", the
"instructors". Mystery solved; I had been monitoring the
customer training center for a large computer manufacturer.
The training center has hotel rooms and dining facilities
to accommodate students from out of state. As a
convenience, shuttle van service is provided to local
shopping malls.

Summary

Through books, government records, and radio clubs, scanner
listeners can make use of frequency information compiled by
others. Two-way radio users often fail to identify their
transmissions properly, making it more difficult for
listeners to know who they are monitoring. By examining
radio equipment labeling, and monitoring and taping
transmissions, scanner enthusiasts can unearth new
information.

Addresses

Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902.
tel (828)837-9200
order line (800)438-8155

Official Scanner Guides
P.O. Box 525-NS
Londonderry, NH 03053.
tel (603)432-2615
order line (800)351-7226

Scan America
430 Garner Drive,
Suffield, OH 44260-1557

Scanner Master,
PO Box 428
Newton Highlands, MA 02161.
telephone 1-800-722-6701.

--
==========

Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1474. Buying a Used Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7hk60q$b98@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 118695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed January 5, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

BUYING A USED SCANNER RADIO

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article discusses the purchase of used scanner radios
and includes these topics:

1. Motivation for buying a used scanner
2. A used scanner may be a broken scanner - where to get
it fixed
3. Evolution of the scanner
4. Obtaining crystals
5. Keyboard programmable scanners

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

Anybody with enough money can buy a brand new scanner, but
you can save lots of money if you get a good deal on a used
scanner. Hamfests are probably the best place to find used
radios, but you must be familiar with the equipment.
Hamfests are repleat with older radios you won't see in
today's catalogs.

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. I purchase
most of my receivers at hamfests or horsetrade with other

radio hobbyists.

A Used Scanner May be a Broken Scanner

Getting a bargain is not without some risk. I have had sellers look me square in the eye and tell me their radio worked fine -- when it really didn't.

Buying a used portable scanner is riskier than buying a mobile or base model. Portable scanners are subject to more physical abuse and many have been dropped. If a radio has been dropped, the laws of probability dictate that the first point of impact was probably a corner, so be sure to examine each corner for evidence of trauma.

Scanners used in mobile service are subject to vibration, dust, and temperature extremes. This shouldn't dissuade you from buying a used mobile scanner, but be aware of possible complications.

You should have some recourse if the radio you buy turns out to be defective. For hints on fixing older Bearcat base scanners, see "Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine.

If you can't fix the radio yourself, you can pay to have the manufacturer or a service clinic repair it for you. Radio Shack repairs scanners, and Uniden can repair newer Bearcat models but often refuses to service older models, claiming they are "unrepairable" and carving the letter "U" on the cabinet.

G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts and crystals for several older models. They usually don't repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation, (317)326-4419, is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 251 N. 300 W. Greenfield, IN 46140-8496.

Evolution of the Scanner

It helps to understand some scanner history before shopping for a used scanner. You will likely see radios from many vintages at a hamfest, and should avoid buying early units unless you are a scanner collector.

One of the earliest ancestors to the scanner was the converter. Manufactured by Tompkins (Tuneaverter), Petersen, Bearcat (Lil Tiger), Midland, and others, converters were made to operate in conjunction with AM radios. Then came wide band monitor receivers, in both tunable and crystal control models, like the Radio Shack PRO-2B. Truthfully, converters and tuneable FM receivers are interesting but don't work well by today's standards.

While tuneable receivers were in vogue, solid state technologies supplanted tubes. Better performing, narrow band crystal controlled units, like the Sonar FR-105, followed. These units did not scan, rather channel selection was accomplished using a simple rotary switch. Sonar even made a 24 channel unit, model FR-2513, in which crystals were held in a rotary "turret."

The earliest scanners, like the 1968 vintage Bearcat BCH, BCL, and BCU models, did not provide individual channel lockout capability. Electra didn't use the term "scanner" and instead called these innovative radios "business receivers." Other models, like the SBE Sentinel, employed a "Channel 1 Bypass" switch so a user could lockout channel 1.

The first scanners came in single band models, followed by multiband models. As two-way radio users started to populate the 450 - 470 MHz band, consumers were forced to pay a premium for UHF scanner coverage. Some multiband scanners, like the Electra's Bearcat III, required an optional circuit board for each band.

There were scanner mutations, designs which never evolved -- odd combinations of AM broadcast receivers with VHF-FM receive capability, like the flamboyant turquoise Sonar Sentry FR-103 portables, Electra's Jolly Roger, and GE's Surveyor series (see US patent 4,011,515, granted 3/8/77). Lafayette Radio Electronics offered a few CB transceivers with VHF receiver capability. These poor performers didn't interest consumers of that era and you should avoid these models unless you are a scanner collector.

The first programmable (crystal-less) scanners were difficult to program. Users had to look up frequencies in a code book and tediously program the information into the scanner in binary form. Some models, like the Tennelec MCP-1 (see US patent 3,961,261, granted 6/1/76), Bearcat BC-101 (see US patent 4,179,662, granted 8/4/78), and Radio Shack COMP 100, resembled Altair or PDP-8 computers, with a row of 16 or so toggle switches. Instead of toggle switches, the Regency WHAMO-10 was programmed by breaking teeth from metal combs. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. The GRE-manufactured SBE Optiscan (and its Sears clone) required poking or covering a series of holes in

plastic cards which were then inserted into a slot on the front panel.

Keyboard Programmable Scanners

Both Bearcat and Regency, as well as Radio Shack offer some good models. I would avoid the Bearcat 100, and older scanners made by AOR, JIL, Fox, Tennelec, and Robyn.

Scanner features often differ not only by model but by manufacturer. For instance, most Radio Shack and Bearcat programmables allow enable/disable of the delay function on a per-channel basis. Older Regency units permit the delay to be enabled/disabled only globally, that is, for all the channels at one time.

All the older Radio Shack scanners were made by General Research Electronics (GRE). Uniden began making scanner models for Radio Shack in the late 1980s, and now supplies about half the Radio Shack scanners. The older GRE-made models scan a bit slowly and have a higher level of synthesizer noise. Most have too much hysteresis in the operation of the squelch control, but this can be fixed completely by replacing one resistor. Good, detailed shop manuals are available for Radio Shack units for $5 - $12.

In the name of cost cutting, some models have done away with the concept of a "channel bank", i.e. the ability to select/deselect a group of channels at a time. The bank concept was a good one. It may be inconvenient to operate a 30 channel scanner without banks (e.g. Regency MX3000, HX1000) if you operate the way many scanner hobbyists do.

If you want to buy an American-made scanner, you will have to buy an old model. Until the mid 1980s, rivals Regency and Electra (Bearcat) built most of the scanners in their Indiana and Puerto Rican factories. Uniden, a large Japanese electronics company, purchased the scanner product lines of both competitors and moved production to Asia.

My favorite VHF/UHF receivers are the the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2005 and PRO-2006, and the ICOM R7000, R7100, and R8500. The ICOM models are more "communications receiver" than a conventional scanner.

For portable use, I prefer Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT and older Radio Shack PRO-43.

Obtaining Crystals

If you do purchase a crystal controlled scanner or monitor receiver, you will probably want to buy more crystals to

cover local frequencies.

Scanner crystals may be ordered from your local Radio Shack store or from one of the companies below. Be sure to specify the operating frequency you want and the brand and model of scanner.

Some companies may ask you to send a schematic of the scanner or require more detailed information, like series or parallel resonance, load capacitance, etc.

Electra Corporation stocks crystals for the Bearcat scanners:

Electra Corporation
11915 E. Washington St.
Cumberland, IN 46229
Phone 317-894-3229

Crystals for Bearcat and other models are available from:

American Crystal Co.
1623 Central Ave.
Kansas City, KS 66102
tel. 913-342-5493

Bomar Crystal Company
201 Blackford Ave.
Middlesex, NJ 08846
tel. 908-356-7787

Cal Crystal Lab Inc.
1142 N. Gilbert St.
Anaheim, CA 92801
tel. 714-991-1580

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

G & G Communications
9247 Glenwood Drive
LeRoy, NY 14482.
tel. 716-768-8151

Jan Crystals
Box #-6017
Fort Myers, FL 33911
tel. 941-936-2397

Monitor Crystal Svc
124 W Walnut St Watseka, IL 60970
tel. 815-432-5296

Short product summaries for over 70 scanner models appear in
a separate article, entitled "Scanner Radio Review Briefs."
For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1475. Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7hk610$b99@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 118696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: February 27, 1999.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1993 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

The original Bearcat scanner line was manufactured by
Electra Company, a division of Masco Corp of Indiana. In
the mid 1980s, Uniden, a Japanese company, bought out the
Bearcat scanner line and Uniden's first Bearcat scanner was
the BC800XLT model.

Most of the models discussed in this article are
base/mobile units made by Electra during the 1970s and
1980s although some of the capacitor failures occur in the
Uniden BC800XLT, too.

Electra stamped all of its scanners with a manufacturing
date code on the rear of the cabinet. The code is comprised
of a single character (C = Cumberland, Indiana, P = Puerto
Rico), followed by four digits denoting year and week the
radio was built. For example, "P8422" denotes the radio was
made in the Puerto Rico factory during the 22nd week of
1984.

One way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine the
4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most

recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation sells crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea) for some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. Electra Corporation is located at 11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229. Phone 317-894-3229.

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. To order a user manual for an Electra/Bearcat scanner from Uniden, call (800)235-3874 extension 2553. You can also download an electronic copy of the user manual for recent Bearcat models from the Uniden web site:

http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/support.htm

Some schematic diagrams may be obtained from Uniden's parts department, (800)297-1023. Uniden currently charges a flat rate of $54 for scanner repairs. They will repair and return "out of warranty" items without an estimate unless the repair charges exceed the flat rate charges.

The phone number for repair is (800)297-1023, too. I recommend you call repair before sending the broken scanner. Items for repair via UPS (include receipt if still under warranty) are usually sent to:

Uniden America Corp.
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
P.O. Box 95002
Fort Worth, TX 76155

If Uniden doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.hwsams.com/sams_model_new.html

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

Common Construction

Most of the classic, metal-cased Bearcat base/mobile models were built using two printed circuit boards:

1. a "radio board" containing the synthesizer, RF, IF, and audio stages, and

2. a "feature board" containing the microprocessor controller and memory circuitry which gave each model its own personality.

Although there are various vintage radios boards, they all have similar circuitry.

Bad Solder Joints Common

Before addressing specific symptoms, circuit boards in the malfunctioning scanner should be inspected for poor solder joints.

The Electra/Bearcat BC350, BC300, BC250, BC220, BC20/20, BC211, BC210, and BC210XL models were hand assembled, and every one I've serviced had several connections that were either soldered poorly, or not soldered at all.

Resoldering joints on the ribbon cable connecting the RF and keyboard logic circuit boards in a BC250 attenuated the microprocessor/synthesizer hash noise noticeably.

A Bearcat 20/20 was experiencing periodic loss of memory on some, but not all channels. When the problem occurred, the frequencies on some channels would be completely changed. On other channels, the frequency would still be intact, but the channel would be locked out, and the delay toggled from "on" to "off". Some channels were not affected.

The 2 "AA" memory backup batteries, and their holder, tested good. Much time was spent tracing logic, heating and cooling components, and making voltage measurements.

One of the secondary leads from the power transformer was connected to the main circuit board through a hole drilled through foil traces on both the top and bottom sides of the board. A close examination revealed that this lead had been soldered only on the top of the board - the bottom side had never been soldered.

Soldering the lead on both sides of the board solved the memory loss problem.

Matt Roberds fixed a BC220 which experienced memory loss if power was removed by resoldering the positive wire to 2-AA battery holder.

As built, the BC220 logic board is grounded only through its mounting screws. In the BC220, Matt recommends adding real wire ground jumpers from the main board to the feature (logic) board to fix grounding problems.

Symptom: Blank Display

The BC300 scanner, and several other Bearcat models, employ a switching type power supply stage to generate plus and minus voltages in excess of 20 volts DC. When this switcher fails to function, the display goes blank, but the squelch control appears to work, and white noise can be heard in the speaker.

In almost a dozen of the BC300 and BC800XLT scanners I've fixed, C98, a capacitor in series with the primary of the switching transformer failed, causing the output of the supply to drop below the level needed to power the display. The switching transformer is mounted on the RF circuit board, and is much, much smaller than the main power transformer, which is usually fastened to the metal chassis. See March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine for BC800XLT capacitor locations and repair information.

The 22uF/16V capacitor used for C98 in early BC300s was marginal, and was replaced with a 47uF/25V capacitor in later units.

Jim Craig replaced a 22uF/35V C98 capacitor to repair his BC210XW.

Paul Grohe replaced a failed C98 with a low ESR (equivalent series resistance), high frequency, switching regulator grade capacitor, e.g. a Panasonic HF series capacitor. He also recommends adding a 0.1 ceramic capacitor in parallel with C98.

I replaced the 22 uF capacitor in the switching power supply stage of a BC210XL which caused the same symptom. Other capacitors in the switcher stage have failed. C114, a 4.7 uF/35V tantalum capacitor failed in at least one BC250, causing the display to blank.

A more sinister problem affects the switcher in earlier models. The switching supply stage in the BC250 and original BC210 is driven by a clock signal derived from a custom Exar NC57902 divider integrated circuit (designated IC6 in the BC250 scanner). I've seen this divider IC fail in several BC250s, causing a blank display (except for a decimal point in the BC250's rightmost digit). This custom IC is no longer available from Uniden.

Failure of IC9, the 9 volt NJM78M09A regulator in a BC800XLT is another cause of a blank display.

Symptom: Invalid Frequency Displayed

A common Bearcat 250 malady is manifested by an invalid frequency displayed on the readout. This condition is temporarily "cured" by unplugging the AC line cord from the wall, then replugging it. This condition is symptomatic of

a power supply problem in which Q204, a Texas Instruments TIP-29 located on the feature circuit board, fails.

A Philips ECG291 will work as a substitute for the TIP-29. Don't try a Radio Shack substitute, it hasn't worked. See Martin Toomajian's article, "Bearcat 250 Erratic Display Cure", in January 1987 Monitoring Times.

A similar problem in the Bearcat 20/20 was discussed previously in the section on bad solder joints.

Matt Roberds repaired the Montgomery Wards version of a BC220 and contributed these insights:

"I did add some heat-sinks, but you have to be careful what you do with the TIP29 on the logic board. I added a long, flat heatsink to it and immediately created a whine in the audio. I didn't use an insulator, as this was a temporary first-try. As far as I can tell, the heatsink was radiating noise from the logic board into the front end on the radio board, which ended up being just below the heatsink. Bypassing the TIP29 (a voltage regulator) with disc ceramics didn't work; using a smaller heatsink and an insulator fixed the problem."

"There is also a 220 ohm 1/4 watt resistor on the logic board. It feeds the TIP29 from the main +16v supply. It looked a little crispy. I pulled one end and measured the current through it and it was about 30-35 mA. This works out to right at 0.2-0.25 watt dissipation. I didn't have a higher-wattage resistor, so I substituted 2 470-ohm resistors in parallel."

Symptom: Squelch Won't Eliminate White Noise

Most Uniden/Bearcat base/mobile scanners feature an AUTO squelch position, actuated by rotating the squelch knob fully counter clockwise. The BC350 used a separate pushbutton switch for this purpose. These scanners use a flimsy potentiometer (designated R81 in BC300s) internally mounted on the RF circuit board, to set the level of signal required to open the squelch when in the AUTO position. This pot also has an effect on the squelch action in the non-AUTO mode, and determines at which point the squelch knob must be positioned in order to silence the radio.

Although the potentiometer is adjusted at the factory, changes in component values due to aging often necessitate readjustment of this internal pot. Misadjustment of this pot has been the cause of "no squelch" complaints in two BC300s and a BC250 I fixed.

Another squelch failure is due to a blown transistor that acts as the electronic switch in the squelch circuit. I replaced this transistor in only one BC300, so I don't know if this is a common problem.

Symptom: Scanner Completely Dead

In Bearcat scanners using an internal power supply (e.g., BC350, BC250, etc.), the main power transformer is connected directly to the AC line. Since the on/off switch is on the secondary side of the transformer, current flows in the primary as long as the AC line cord is plugged into an active AC outlet. These transformers contain an internal circuit breaker, not visible without unwinding (destroying) the transformer. The internal breaker is known to fail prematurely in a batch of Bearcat power transformers.

If your scanner is completely dead, check the primary of this transformer for an open circuit condition.

Symptom: Keyboard Bounce

After much use, the Chromerics keyboards in Bearcat scanners start to wear out. The first sign of trouble is usually keyboard bounce on the most frequently used key, e.g., the MANUAL key. Replacement keyboards are usually available from UNIDEN, but replacement requires dexterity, as one must take care not to tear the flat, flexible strip connecting the keyboard to the logic board.

Symptom: Keyboard Completely Unresponsive

The keyboard matrix is "scanned" by the microprocessor. Another problem is when none of the keys seems to function; the receiver just keeps scanning in spite of key depressions. I found this condition in a BC210XL scanner owned by a heavy smoker. Perhaps nicotine smoke was to blame, as the resistance between two input port pins on the microprocessor was down to about 1000 ohms, fooling the microprocessor into believing that a key was stuck in the "down" position. Scraping the circuit board between the two pins with an X-Acto knife fixed the problem.

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable
Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to

switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail.

BC9000XLT: Loss of Sensitivity

John Ward has fixed two BC9000XLTs which suffered from "low sensitivity." He fixed the solder joint where the antenna jack connects to the circuit board. In both cases the tab from the board was on the opposite side of the jack from where the little blob of solder applied at the factory was located.

It was an intermittent problem that depended upon the position of the antenna cable - if the weight of the cable placed tension on the jack in one direction contact was made and the radio worked fine. If the cable placed tension on the jack in the opposite direction contact was lost and so was reception. The gap was barely noticeable.

Other Problems

Complaints of low audio output and occasional microphonics in three Uniden/Bearcat 800XLTs were caused by a bad 47 ufd electrolytic capacitor in series between the external speaker jack and audio amplifier.

Andy Domonkos reports he often uses a tape recorder connected to a Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. RL-1, the carrier activated relay inside the BC890XLT wore out. Andy found the identical relay sold at Radio Shack (part #275-232) and says the BC9000XLT uses the same relay, too.

Replacement Bulbs for BC760XLT

The Uniden BC760XLT's SCAN, MAN, PRI, and HOLD buttons are illuminated by "grain of wheat" type incandescent bulbs. Gary Saffer reports that the bulbs and buttons are located on a small printed circuit board which sits behind the radio's face plate. Gary unsoldered the burned out bulbs in his radio and replaced them with 12 volt Radio Shack bulbs (#272-1092c). They should last a long time when powered by the 8 VDC supplied by the BC760XLT.

Open Resistor in BC140

Robert Casey fixed his deaf, 16 channel Bearcat BC140 scanner. A 10 ohm resistor had opened that fed a circuit that generates 22V for the varactor diode tuner circuits. Without the 22V, there was no tuning of the local oscillator and front end, and no reception. What he did was compare voltages to a second working BC140.

Sources for Replacement NiCd Battery Packs

Replacement NiCd battery packs and inserts for the BC100XLT, BC200XLT, BC2500XLT, BC3000XLT, and other scanners are available from Uniden. I've purchased them at lower cost from:

1. Mr. NiCd - E. H. Yost and Company, 2211-D Parview Rd., Middleton, WI 53562. tel. (608)831-3443. email ehyost@midplains.net

2. Battery-Tech Central, 2818 Southland Street SW, Cedar Rapids, IA 52404-4141. tel. (800)267-3087 or (319)364-0855. email rlassoc@inav.net

Batteries Plus has stores across they country and some have rebuilt Uniden NiCd packs for under $20.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1476. FAQ: Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7hk616$b9a@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 118697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.info

Last changed: February 17, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Add a Plectron or Motorola Alert
Desktop Monitor Receiver
to Your Scanner Collection

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance
squad members came to rely on their crystal controlled,
transistor Plectron and Motorola desktop monitor receivers.
They have now been replaced by battery operated portable
pagers, and the desktop models are often available at
hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough
condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better
suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola crystal controlled receivers are
excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies --
a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400
channel programmable scanner. They are desktop models
which can be powered from 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper
mobile cord. The audio quality of a Plectron P1, Plectron
700 series, and Motorola Alert Monitor is far better than
any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity, image
rejection, and intermod immunity is outstanding when
aligned properly.

Models and Frequency Coverage

The Plectron and Motorola Alert monitors are single band
receivers: VHF-low, VHF-high, or UHF. No single Plectron
or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz

band, so there were versions optimized for each portion of the VHF-low band. There were different versions to cover low and high "splits" in the VHF-high band, too. For example, low band R8000s come in 30 - 35, 35 - 39, 39 - 49, and 49 - 54 MHz versions. There are two VHF high band versions of the 700 and R8000: 148 - 158 and 158 - 175 MHz.

VHF-low band Motorola Alert monitors come in two splits: 30 - 42 and 42 - 54 MHz.

Although UHF versions of the 700 series were made, they are somewhat rare and coverage is limited to 470 MHz and below.

Plectron made several models with dozens of different options. Both the original P1 and later 700 series are suitable for restoration, although I prefer the newer 700 models. The 8000 series were even newer and very desireable, but they are difficult to find at hamfests. The Plectron 500 "economy" series is less desirable.

The P1 series have black cabinets with a blue-green and silver color scheme used on the front panel. They were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch), Patrol (carrier squelch only), and Sentry (tone only) models. The Sentry models lack a carrier squelch and therefore are of little use to hobbyists except for scavenging parts. Model number and frequency information appears on a gummed label on the rear panel:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol Sentry

_____
25-54 R19 R15 R17
148-174 R20 R16 R18
450-470 R23 R21 R22

Table 1. Plectron P1 models

The R700 series have black cabinets with brown front panels and are slightly smaller than the P1 receivers. R700s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
25-54 R719 R715
148-174 R720 R716
450-470 R723 R721

Table 2. Plectron 700 models

The R8000 series are much smaller than the P1 and R700 and are housed in metal cabinets of various colors. The R8000s use the same MC3357 IF/detector/squelch IC used in crystal

scanners, but their crystals are not interchangeable with ordinary scanners. R8000s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

30-54 R8190 R8150
148-174 R8200 R8160

Table 3. Plectron 8000 models

The Plectron FM Receiver/Recorder is a collector's item. It is essentially a 700 series Chief receiver with a built in cassette tape recorder. The recorder is carrier activated and can tape transmissions while the receiver is unattended. A connector on the rear panel allows for all kinds of remote control possibilities.

The tape recorder inside the Receiver/Recorder employs two rubber belts. The Projector Recorder Belt Company makes replacement belts which work fine, though they may not be the original equipment:

1. PRB SCA8.6 (218 mm)
2. PRB SCQ 2.5 (64 mm)

I use two Plectron P1s and four R700s in my living room, seven R700s down the basement, and several Plectrons and Alert Monitors stored in reserve. The basement Plectrons are turned on automatically when someone walks downstairs. Power to them is controlled by a modified Radio Shack Safe House infrared motion detector, originally sold as an intrusion alarm.

When a rescue squad member from Missouri advertised a number of Plectrons on USENET, I bought them -- all 20+ of them. I cleaned, fixed, and restored each one. After recrystalling them on local frequencies, I distributed them to members of my scanner club who were glad to get them.

Crystals

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency.

The best source for crystals is:

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

You need not bother with calculating the crystal fundamental frequency. Merely supply International with the exact receiver model number, printed on the rear label, and the frequency you want to monitor. They will perform the necessary calculations.

Crystals for Plectrons

The Plectron P1 series contain two crystals. The second local oscillator crystal is 11.155 MHz regardless of band. On VHF high band (148 - 175 MHz), the P1 series employ this formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) + 10.7) / 4

or

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) - 10.7) / 4

On VHF low band (25 - 54 MHz), the P1 series employ this formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) + 10.7)

or

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) - 10.7)

There are two crystals (Y1, Y2) used in the Plectron R700 series, one for each local oscillator. The crystal formulas are:

RF Range (MHz) Y1 (MHz) Y2 (MHz)
_____
25-29 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
29-32.6 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
32.5-35 (f-10.7)/2 10.245
35-41 (f-10.7)/2 11.155
41-47 (f-10.7)/2 11.155 (use 10.245 MHz
for Y2 on 44.62 MHz)
47-54 (f-10.7)/3 11.155
148-158 (f-10.7)/9 11.155
158-175 (f-10.7)/9 10.245
450-470 (f-10.7)/18 11.155

The economy Plectron 500 series use this scheme for the first local oscillator crystal:

RF Range (MHz) Crystal (MHz)

---

25 - 35 (f+10.7)
35 - 54 (f-10.7)
148 - 174 (f-10.7)/4

Most Plectron 500s use an 11.155 MHz second local oscillator crystal. However, a 10.245 MHz crystal is used instead for receivers in these ranges: 33.4 - 33.78, 44.62, and 158.78 - 158.82 MHz.

Power Cords

AC power cords for both types of receivers use unique connectors and are scarce. I published the pinout for both Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor receivers in June 1998 Monitoring Times magazine.

You can buy the proper replacement power cords but it's much cheaper to drill a hole on the rear panel and solder on a permanent a power cord. Make sure you use a grommet in the hole to prevent the metal chassis from chafing the line cord.

Many Plectrons and Alert Monitors were equipped with an internal NiCd battery pack, intended to power the receiver if the AC power fails. It's unusual to find a used monitor receiver with the NiCd pack still capable of holding a charge. More often, the batteries have died and the series charging resistor has overheated and burned. Be sure to remove the dead batteries as they often leak.

Squelch and Minimum Volume Setting

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Both Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers are designed purposely to have a minimum volume setting which is still audible. The intent was to prevent firemen from turning the volume down completely, forgetting it was down, then missing an important call.

This "feature" was usually implemented by having a fixed value resistor in series between one end of the volume control and ground. In the R15X5, R14X5, R15X5, and R16X5, a 47 ohm resistor, designated R624, was inserted in series with the violet wire leading from the volume control.

You could decrease the lowest volume setting by decreasing the value of this fixed resistor. Alternatively, you could replace the resistor with a jumper wire to completely

silence the receiver at minimum setting of the volume control.

Tone Alert Feature

I have not used the Plectron tone alerting features so I cannot address that except to say that the special coils and capacitors for the dual tone decoders vary depending on the tone frequencies and are somewhat difficult to obtain.

Repair and Replacement Parts

Repair service and replacement parts for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Weber Electronics
PO Box 212
5138 Laurel Ln.
Broad Run, VA 20137
telephone (540) 347-7760
email: weber@citizen.infi.net

You can also obtain Plectron repairs and parts from:

Northeast Communications
4 Oak Ridge Rd
Newfoundland NJ 07435
201-697-8804

Alert Monitor parts are available from Motorola. Phone (800)972-3226.

Plectron SM-series Scanning Receivers

Plectron also sold an SM series of scanners, manufactured in Japan by the same folks who made Craig (division of Pioneer) scanners. The SM series are consumer-grade models and are included in this article for the sake of completeness. They are crystal controlled radios and feature priority scan and a separate trimmer capacitor for each channel to net the crystals on frequency.

Band Frequency Limits

VHF-low 30 - 50
VHF-high 150 - 174
UHF 450 - 470 MHz

Table 4. Plectron SM Model Band Limits

Model UHF VHF-high VHF-low

SM-301 X X X
SM-302 X X

SM-303 X X
SM-304 X X

Table 5. Plectron SM Models

The crystals used in the SM series are quite different from
the crystals used in the conventional single channel
Plectron monitors. Here are the crystal formulas:

VHF low band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = receive freq + 10.7 MHz

VHF high band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz)/3

UHF band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz) /
10

Other crystal specifications for the SM series are:

CR 25/U holder
3rd overtone
20 pF load capacitance
parallel resonant
max series resistance 40 ohm
max drive 2 mW

Plectron Alternatives

Too bad Plectron is out of business. If you wish to
purchase a new receiver with "Plectron-like" signaling
capabilities, contact Reach Electronics:

Reach Electronics
1311 West Pacific
Lexington, NE 68850
(308) 324-6661
(800) 445-0007
FAX: (308) 324-4985

Reach sells tone & voice pagers, Alert monitors, and
ENCODERS. They also do contract manufacturing.

I currently have no Plectrons or Motorola Alert Monitors
for sale. I'm hoarding them. :-)

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1477. Repair Tips for Radio Shack Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7hk61n$b9d@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 118698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: January 5, 1999.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1997 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

Radio Shack has been selling scanners and monitor receivers
under the Realistic and Radio Shack labels for over 30
years. Most of them were manufactured by General Research
Electronics of Tokyo, though many newer models are
manufactured by Uniden.

The date of manufacture is usually printed on a gummed
label on the scanner as well as the cardboard box. A code
of 12A5, means the scanner was made in the 12th month of
1975, 1985, or 1995, for example.

There's another way to date Radio Shack scanners
manufactured by Uniden. The first digit of the long serial
number is usually the last digit of the year of
manufacture.

Another way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine
the 4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most
recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. Service manuals for most Radio Shack scanners sold through mid-1997 may be ordered through your local Radio Shack store.

If Radio Shack doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.hwsams.com/sams_model_new.html

Parts lists for recent and many older models are available on line at: http://support.tandy.com. Parts may be ordered through Tandy National Parts, telephone (800)442-2425 or (817)870-5600, or through your local Radio Shack store

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

GRE-Built Base Models: Dim Backlight

The backlight in many base models, including the PRO-2022, PRO-2004, and PRO-2005/6 is implemented by an electroluminescent panel, which grows dim with use.

The EL panel used in the PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 is designated EL-501, and is identified by Radio Shack part #10800050. A new EL panel can be ordered through your Tandy National parts (see above) or local Radio Shack store and sent to your home. Radio Shack no longer sells replacement EL panels for the PRO-2004.

Replacing the backlight is an operation which requires care and patience. Purchase a service manual, and study the exploded parts diagrams as you read the following steps.

Top and bottom cabinet pieces must be removed, then the speaker unplugged from the main board. The front panel assembly must be removed from the chassis by removing 4 flat head screws, 2 on each side. You need not disconnect all the wiring harnesses, though you might have to unplug one so it won't get in the way of a hot soldering iron.

Unsolder the bare ground wire soldered to a large shield on the back of the front panel, located near the back of the squelch control. Two ground braids must be temporarily disconnected by removing the screws which fasten them to the chassis. After removing the volume and squelch knobs, you must remove 8 small screws which fasten the plastic front panel to the diplay board.

The old EL panel is fastened by a bead of glue and two foil type leads soldered to the display board. It's easier to cut the two leads from the old EL panel before unsoldering. A sharp knife should be used cut away the glue. The old EL panel can then be slid gently out from behind the LCD display.

The new EL panel can be installed by reversing the process, though I found that it is not necessary to glue the new panel. The two foil leads must be soldered using a minimum of heat. Reassemble the pieces in reverse order of disassembly.

GRE-Built Portables: Worn Out Keypads

The keypad on late model GRE-made Radio Shack portables, e.g., PRO-39 and PRO-43, can become unreliable after prolonged use. The best repair is to replace the rubber keypad instead of trying to restore contacts using chemicals. A replacement rubber keypad may be ordered inexpensively through Tandy National Parts or your local Radio Shack Store.

PRO-43: Loss of Audio

Early PRO-43s were built with a 200 mW speaker (mfr's part #EAS-3P123A). The voice coils in some of these speakers have opened, resulting in lack of audio output, though audio is still available from the earphone jack. A more capable 500 mW speaker is available through Tandy as replacement (EAS-3P127).

PRO-43: Freezup

If you attempt to lock out all 20 channels in a memory bank, then scan only that bank, your PRO-43 will freeze up and be placed into a catatonic state. Use the procedure documented in the PRO-43 user manual to fully reset the scanner. This will "break the trance," but all the memory channels will be cleared.

PRO-2004: Dead

If your PRO-2004 is completely dead, check R235, a 1 ohm, 1 watt resistor mounted vertically on the main board.

PRO-7A: Channels 1 - 4 or 5 - 8 Dead

If the first or last 4 channels in your 8 channel PRO-7A crystal scanner are not working and the lamps for those channels won't light, replace IC4 or IC5 (type 7400A).

--

==========

Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1478. Improve the Squelch in Your Monitor Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7hk61h$b9c@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 118699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

last changed June 11, 1998

IMPROVE THE SQUELCH IN YOUR MONITOR RECEIVER

Copyright 1988 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: The first version of this article appeared in the RCMA Newsletter, December 1988. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The squelch control in some FM monitor receivers is difficult to use because of too much hysteresis. The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language defines hysteresis as the:

o "Failure of a property changed by an external agent to return to its original value when the cause of the change is removed."

It's like having play in a car's steering wheel, or backlash in a gear set. Too much hysteresis in a squelch circuit forces one to keep the squelch at a tighter setting, missing weaker signals when scanning or searching. The squelch hysteresis problem was first addressed in a 1984 article about the Radio Shack PRO-24 crystal controlled scanner.

Why do engineers design these circuits with any hysteresis? Basically, hysteresis prevents weak signals from "popping" the squelch open and closed rapidly, which would be very annoying to the listener. A squelch circuit with hysteresis requires a stronger signal to open the squelch than it does to keep it open.

Models cursed with too much hysteresis include the Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT and 760XLT, Radio Shack PRO-2003, PRO-2004, and others. Don't despair, the fix is simple for most radios. I've successfully reduced the squelch hysteresis by replacing a single resistor.

Hysteresis is usually implemented by providing feedback from the squelch gate input to output, through a resistor. The higher the resistor value, the less feedback there is, and less hysteresis. My modification involves replacing the "stock" resistor with a higher value resistor, as identified in the table below.

This technique does not apply to some of the newer Uniden models, because the squelch hysteresis is partially determined by firmware inside the processor IC.

This article cannot provide step-by-step instructions for each radio. I always encourage radio hobbyists to purchase the service manuals for their equipment. The most useful sections of the manual are the schematics and printed circuit diagrams. Good manuals will also describe the theory of operation and alignment procedures, and contain a complete parts list.

If your radio has too much hysteresis, and is not listed in the table, study the service manual. Motorola MC3357, MC3359, and MC3361 integrated circuits, which contain IF and squelch circuitry, are popular in scanners. In these receivers, look for the hysteresis resistor to be connected to these ICs.

Notes

1. The author cannot furnish modification information for other radios.

2. Don't attempt this, or any other internal modification, unless you are skilled in electronic servicing.

3. Make sure your receiver is disconnected from any power source before opening the cabinet.

4. If space permits, you can replace the stock resistor with a higher value variable resistor ("trimpot") and adjust to suit.

Table 1. Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers

Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers		
Receiver Model No.	Res-istor Value	Stock Comments
Radio Shack PRO-24	R35	33K replace with 220K. Located between pins 12 and 14

	of MC3357 (IC1)
Radio Shack PRO-2002	R197 47K try 220K.
Radio Shack PRO-2004	R148 47K remove R148
	or replace with 220K.
	On linear board,
	between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC2)
Radio Shack PRO-2006	R152 33K try 100K or higher.
Radio Shack PRO-2022	R91 33K replace 33K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	on IC-1 MC3361
Radio Shack PRO-2035	100K replace 100K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC-2)
Electra/Bearcat 220	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 20/20	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 250	R66 2.2M may be ok as is
Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT	R58 22K replace with 6.8K
	or other value.
	(select value for
	the particular radio.)
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT	R91 860K replace with 2.2M.
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Plectron P1	R81 180K may be ok as is
Plectron 700	R96 180K replace with 560K
_____	_____

References

1. "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

2. "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

3. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

4. US patent 4,947,456, "Scanning Radio Receiver," granted August 7, 1990 to Noel D. Atkinson, William B. Ahlemeyer, and Ben F. McCormick, inventors. Assigned to Uniden America Corporation.

5. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 Scanner --
How Does It Compare with the PRO-2006?," by Bob
Parnass AJ9S, Monitoring Times, January 1995.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1479. FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7hk61s$b9e@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 118700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed February 1, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article contains product review summaries for more than
70 old and new scanner radios and monitor receivers.

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. This article
describes a few of the FM receivers in my collection, and
other models I've tested. It is not meant to be complete.

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

I have published full length reviews and modifications of
these scanner models in Monitoring Times magazine:

Mar 1986 - Uniden/Bearcat BC800XLT
Mar 1987 - Radio Shack PRO-2004

Oct 1990 - Radio Shack PRO-2006
Jan 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2035 vs. Radio Shack PRO-2006
Feb 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-62 Portable
Mar 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Apr 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT Portable
May 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT
Jun 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT & Radio Shack PRO-2036
Jul 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2037
Aug 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT
Sep 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable
Oct 1995 - AOR AR-2700 Portable
Nov 1995 - selected AOR AR-8000 measurements
Dec 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2040
Jan 1996 - Plectron & Motorola Alert Monitors
Feb 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2042
Mar 1996 - Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners
May 1996 - Compendium of Scanner IFs
Apr 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT, BC230XLT Portable
Jun 1996 - modifications & tips for the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Jul 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT-10 mobile
Aug 1996 - BMI Nitelogger II tape recorder activator
Sep 1996 - PRO-2022 modifications
PRO-2042 baseband audio tap
Oct 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2046 mobile
Nov 1996 - Build a wooden mobile radio mount
Dec 1996 - AOR AR5000
Jan 1997 - ICOM R8500
Feb 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-2045
Mar 1997 - ICOM IC-R10
Apr 1997 - RELM HS-200 portable
May 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual downconversion mod.
Jun 1997 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1970s
Jul 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC235XLT portable Trunktracker
Aug 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-64 portable
Sep 1997 - Stridsberg Engineering receiver multicoupler,
G/Wiz board for EDACS trunked scanning
Oct 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-67
Nov 1997 - Sporty's JD-100 Air Scan
Dec 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT Trunktracker
Jan 1998 - Test Equipment Bargains
Feb 1998 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1980s and Beyond
Mar 1998 - RELM MS-200 mobile
Apr 1998 - RCA RP-6150 portable
May 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-2050 base Trunktracker
Jun 1998 - Opto DC442 CTCSS/DCS/DTMF display
Jul 1998 - Stub and Par Electronics intermod rejection filters
Aug 1998 - Sony SC1PC portable
Sep 1998 - Orange Book of Scanner Repair
Tone Out Testing (2-tone generators)
Monitoring ACSB (amplitude compandored side band)

Oct 1998 - AOR AR8200 portable
Nov 1998 - Alinco DJ-X10T portable
Dec 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-91 portable Trunktracker
Jan 1999 - AOR AR7000
Feb 1999 - Radio Shack PRO-2066 mobile Trunktracker
Mar 1999 - ICOM RS-8500 software for controlling the IC-R8500

Note: Reprints of Monitoring Times articles are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902, tel. (800)438-8155. I don't receive any part of this reprint fee.

ALINCO

DJ-X10T: 1998 vintage. Rugged construction - metal back. Multimode frequency coverage 0.1 to 2000 MHz (minus cellular bands). 20 selectable step sizes from 0.05 to 500 kHz. Knob atop the radio clicks when rotated and serves as a VFO knob, channel selector, and menu navigation control. Several battery pack options for NiCd and alkaline battery cases. Furnished with an EBP-37N 4.8 V, 700 mAH NiCd pack and a sturdy 11 hour drop in charging stand. Loaded with firmware features and complicated to use. Two VFOs and 1200 channels in 30 banks of 40 channels each. Memory banks are cryptically designated, though you can scan combinations of banks. Each memory channel can be programmed with the frequency, an 8 character label, attenuator, a skip (lockout) flag, and mode (WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW, AUTO). Very slow 6 ch/sec scan rate. Rescan delay is about 1 second and cannot be disabled or changed. Auto Memory Write stores up to 40 frequencies but does not check for dupes. 20 linkable search banks with labels. Up to 50 frequencies per search bank can be locked out. Digital clock with on/off timer. Battery saver can be disabled. Ability to scan or search for signals above a selectable threshold or channels with a specific mode. Band scope 7 or 40 steps wide. In short, 30 - 1000 MHz sensitivity is good, few birdies and little intermod. WFM broadcast reception very good. The DJ-X10T squelch can be set to one of 10 levels. We had to keep the squelch set to SQ3 or higher, otherwise it opens at inappropriate times, especially when the battery saver is active. The DJ-X10T instruction manual does not specify the IF (intermediate frequency) scheme. Filters inside the DJ-X10T imply 0.455, 10.7, and 45 MHz IFs. Our tests indicate a first IF of 736.25 or 275.45 MHz, depending on the frequency to which the DJ-X10T is tuned. Our DJ-X10T hears images of cellular phone signals clearly in the 1419.9 - 1444.9 MHz range, 550.9 MHz above their actual frequency. For a full review, including technical test results, see Monitoring Times, November 1998.

AOR

AR2700: 1995 vintage. 500 channel portable covering 500 kHz to 1300 MHz. Lots of good features, e.g., 10 linkable search banks, lighted keypad, per-channel attenuator, S-meter, battery meter, optional voice recorder and speech inversion descrambler. Global rescan and pause delays, but no auto store, data skip, nor battery saver. Internally generated noise results in broad birdies on AR2700 tested. Insensitive carrier operated (vs. noise operated) squelch stays closed on signals unless they are moderately strong, causing scanner to skip transmissions of interest. IFs are 287.55/749.25, 58.05, 10.7/0.455 MHz. See "The AOR AR-2700 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October and November 1995.

AR5000: 1996 vintage. approx. 30 kHz - 2600 MHz coverage super receiver. Good sensitivity throughout. AM, SSB, CW, FM detectors. Frequency readout to 1 Hz. More features than any other radio. DTMF reader and RS-232 interface included. Multiple settings for IF and AF selectivity, attenuator, AGC, deemphasis, etc. DB9 RS232C connector. Negatives include low contrast LCD display and difficult user interface. NFM squelch closes slowly, causing noise burst at end of each NFM transmission. Electromechanical relays click at various frequency boundaries, including 400 MHz, producing a clickety-clack noise -- annoying while scanning banks of mixed band frequencies. Great radio, otherwise. See "AOR's AR5000 All-in-One Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

AR8200: 1998 vintage. S/N 550004 tested. Portable, high end "super" scanner covers 0.1 - 2040 MHz (minus cellular in USA version). Not a scanner for beginners. Many of the settings are made through the use of menus. Lots of features. 4 AA batteries, steel belt clip, plug-in AM antenna. 1,000 conventional channels in 20 banks, 10 temporary channels, one priority channel. Each memory channel may be programmed with frequency, label, mode, step, step-adjust, offset, attenuator enable, noise limiter, AFC, and write protect. Multi bank scanning. You can enable a 0 - 9 second rescan delay with each scan group, but not per channel. 40 pairs of search limits with labels. Offset capability for monitoring repeater input frequency. LCD display easy to read. Display and keypad backlit using green LEDs. The band scope. Shortwave reception stone deaf on shortwave when fitted with the supplied antenna or a 19" whip. Seriously overloaded on shortwave by a 132 foot long dipole unless we use the built-in attenuator. Supplied "dorsal fin" antenna for AM BCB. Scans slowly (14 channels/s). Requires more current. Audio quality is

slightly above other portable scanners. Computer port. One slot for a single plug-in option: voice inversion descrambler, CTCSS search, 256 frequency tone eliminator, voice recorder and playback, or extended (slow) memory. See AOR AR8200 review by Bob Parnass,in Monitoring Times, October 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

ICOM

IC-R10: late 1996 vintage (serial number 01048 tested). Shirt pocket size multimode is powered by 4 AA penlight cells. Covers 0.5 to 1300 MHz (minus cellular). NFM, WFM, USB, LSB, AM, and CW detectors included. IF bandwidths are fixed. No 30 kHz bandwidth for satellite or FM military monitoring. Battery saver circuit, with selectable duty cycle. Single VFO and 1000 memory channels. There are 900 memory channels divided into 18 banks of 50 channels each. Another bank of 100 channels used to hold unique frequencies found during an auto store operation. 20 pairs of search limits. Up to 100 frequencies may be locked out during a search and stored in a final bank of 100 channels which is cleared before subsequent auto store searches. Each channel can be programmed with the detector mode (e.g., AM, USB), a tuning step size, an attenuator enable flag, and an 8 character label. CI-V computer interface requires extra cost hardware. 7 segment S-meter. Noise blanker. Good sensitivity. Lighted keypad and display, but keystroke sequences are cumbersome.

Good radio hardware in a handy sized package, but scanning performance unimpressive. Very slow memory scanning at only 6 channels/second. Searches at about 16 steps/second, with little or no worthwhile improvement using the "Signal Navigator" feature in the R10 I tested. Rescan delay cannot be disabled. Can only scan one memory bank or all banks, not a combination of banks. No squelch for SSB or CW modes. See "ICOM IC-R10 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1997, for review which includes quantitative test results.

R-7000: 1986 vintage. At about $1000, this was once the top VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Memory can be expanded to 198 channels by adding simple switch to pin 19 of memory IC8. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB allows reception of new amplitude compandored sideband (ACSB) stations. S-meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new

frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control interface for tape recorder. Noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Searches and scans slowly but can be sped up to about 12 cps by adding a resistor. Too big for permanent mobile use, but too nice to leave alone in the car. If you don't want to spend $1000, get a Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 instead.

R-7100: 1992 vintage. At about $1300, this is the Cadillac of VHF/UHF receivers. 900 channel, multi mode continuous coverage from 25-2000 MHz. The radio RF/IF/AF portions of the R7100 are similar to the older R7000, but the R7100 is constructed using surface mount parts. Both the R7000 and R7100 are much more immune to intermod than the Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT and the portable PRO-43. The firmware in the R7100 is much more sophisticated. 9 banks of 100 channels. Further, you can associate each channel with 1 of 10 groups. Many possible ways to scan. 10 pairs of search limits. Search and store ("memory write") scan mode lets you store 100 frequencies instead of the 20 in R7000. Another R7100 improvement is that you can program up to 100 channels for the R7100 to skip while searching. R7100 has 2 VFOs ("windows"). Both the R7000 and R7100 scan slowly compared with PRO-2004 and PRO-2006, and that's a drawback. Both the R7100 and R7000 employ a noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Scanning a mixture of VHF and UHF frequencies serenades the ICOM user with a very annoying clickety-clack, clickety-clack! For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit. This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3 on the schematic.

R8500: 1996 vintage. 100 kHz - 2000 MHz coverage. 20 banks of 40 channels although bank sizes can be changed. 100 Auto store and 100 Skip memories but that can be increased by reallocating channels from the conventional scan banks. Fixed and programmable step sizes. Relays clickey-clack while scanning across band boundaries. Triple conversion, First IF is 48.8, 778.7, or 266.7 MHz, depending on band. Second and third IFs are 10.7 and 0.455 MHz. Weak to moderate cellular images starting near 1106 MHz. Rugged construction. External power supply. DB25 RS232C connector. Audio peak filter. Ok scanning and great searching performance. See "ICOM R8500 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, January 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

UNIDEN/BEARCAT AND ELECTRA/BEARCAT

Bearcat III (Electra): 1976 vintage. 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Requires optional front-end circuit board for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands, but only 2 boards can be installed within the radio at the same time. Two crystal filters. No aircraft band coverage nor rescan delay. Front mount speaker sounds good. Strong local oscillator radiation often causes interference with other scanners in the same house.

Bearcat IV (Electra): 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Newer version of Bearcat III but contains front-end circuitry for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. Better selectivity than Bearcat III or 12 due to having three instead of two 10.8 MHz IF filters. No aircraft band coverage. Front mount speaker sounds good. No rescan delay.

Bearcat 5 (Electra): 1979 vintage. Eight channel economy base model covering VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. No track tuning. Black plastic cabinet.

Bearcat 6 (Electra): Six channel VHF-low and VHF-high bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 8 (Electra): Eight channel VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 12 (Electra): 1979 vintage. One of the last decent crystal controlled scanners. 10 channels. Variable scan speed up to 20 ch/sec. Single delay on/off switch. Front mount speaker sounds good. Manual contains schematic. Single conversion, 10.8 MHz IF. Selectivity is poorer than programmable models, like the 300, allowing adjacent channel interference. Covers VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands but no aircraft band coverage. Crystal positions must be arranged by band.

Bearcat 15 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Actually made for Electra by competitor GRE (General Research Electronics). The only Bearcat crystal controlled base/mobile scanner offering 118 - 136 MHz AM aircraft band. 10 channels.

BC101 (Electra): 1975 vintage. First Bearcat synthesized unit. 16 channels, no priority. Frequency programmed in binary by setting toggle switches on front panel after looking up code in code book. No frequency readout. Uses custom IC for CPU, now discontinued, so factory authorized service is no longer available. I have four of these units. DC operation requires optional mobile power supply.

BC100 (Electra): First programmable portable scanner. Be prepared for at least one repair in the first year. Early units, with threaded antenna connector, have high frequency

of repair, particularly LCD readout, keyboard, and battery holder. No battery backup. Poor case design in early units caused battery to disconnect from radio, resetting microprocessor and clearing memories. No priority channel or aircraft band. Some people swear by the BC100, others swear at them.

BC100XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Very good 100 channel portable with 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Generous coverage of conventional bands, including commercial aircraft, but no 800 MHz. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on 550 mAH NiCd battery pack.

BC200XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Also sold as BC205XLT through Scanner World. Very good 200 channel version of BC100XLT portable scanner but includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on NiCd battery pack.

BC210 (Electra): 1979 vintage. First Bearcat scanner with a keyboard and numeric display. LED readout. See US patents 4,092,594, granted 5/30/78 and 4,114,103, granted 9/12/78 for further insight.

BC220 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 20 channels. Reasonable number of features but 20 channels doesn't seem enough. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC220XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Very good 200 portable scanner which is a smaller successor to the BC200XLT. Includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Service Search, including WX. Up to 10 frequencies can be locked out during conventional search, 20 frequencies from Service Search. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs, with images 21.6 MHz away. 4 cell BP-120 internal NiCd battery pack. Marketed as BC230XLT with two BP-120 battery packs and CRX-120 dual charger. See "The BC220XLT/BC230XLT Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1996.

BC20/20 (Electra): 1981 vintage. Similar to BC220 but with 40 channels and different CPU circuitry. A maximum of 20 channels can be scanned at one time. Reasonable number of features. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC230XLT (Uniden): See BC220XLT.

BC235XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Portable with 300 channels in 10 banks. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Very fast scan and search rates. Scans 64 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Service search. Data skip. Triple conversion, 254.4, 10.85, 0.45 MHz IFs. Excellent image rejection and intermod immunity. Fairly good audio. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, July 1997 or an on-line version at http://www.grove-ent.com for a review which includes quantitative test results.

BC250 (Electra): 1976 vintage. 50 channel model, rich in features, but lacks aircraft band and 144-146 MHz. Search and Store feature extremely useful for finding federal frequencies. Clock. High frequency of repair. Power transistors not heat sinked adequately, causing heat damage to surrounding components and circuit board. Failure of Q204 on the feature board known to cause odd display readings. Digital circuitry very sensitive to glitches caused by static and AC line spikes. Avoid 1978 or earlier vintage units. All BC250s use custom ICs (e.g., IC6, a divider chip, mfd. by Exar), which are now discontinued, so factory service is no longer available from Uniden.

BC260 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Super heavy duty metal cabinetry and lit controls, aimed at mobile use for firemen, police, etc. Few frills, only 16 channels, no aircraft, but generous coverage of federal bands omitted in the older Bearcat scanners. Good sensitivity. Lots of audio. Good internal construction. Backlit keyboard allows operation in the dark, but the keyboards on some units require high pressure to operate. Brightness control for display and keyboard, but multiplexor circuitry for vacuum fluorescent display produces audible whine which may be annoying in a quiet room. Backlighting may fail in some units due to poor contact on connector used to fasten light panel to front circuit board. 9 volt regulator transistor Q28 (TIP29) may fail, causing blank display while leaving audio intact. Method of connecting an external speaker is awkward.

BC300 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 50 channel top of the line scanner. Service Search feature contains 11 ROM banks of preprogrammed channels See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. Switching power supply failure noted in early units due to insufficient capacitance - component value was changed in newer units. Schematics show at least 100

components changed between earliest and later units. Preset squelch pot, mounted internally on circuit board, misadjusted in new units - adjustment usually required after burn-in period. Good sensitivity. Built in clock. I leave it on 24 hours a day. This is a favorite. See US patent 4,409,688, granted 11/11/83 for further insight.

BC350 (Electra): 1981 vintage. 50 channels in 5 banks. Includes aircraft. Used to be Bearcat's top of the line, overpriced scanner but never very popular. Dual use keyboard and display allowed 8 text characters to be associated with each channel, a feature clumsily implemented, and awkward to use. Units plagued with various hardware problems including bad memory ICs and short life power transformers. Firmware bugs without cures. The BC300 is a much better scanner than the BC350, and at a lower price.

BC760XLT (Uniden): Also sold as BC950XLT through Scanner World. Small, mobile scanner supplied with mounting bracket and wall wart AC power supply. Some of the keys are backlit. 100 channels in 5 banks. Service search. Earlier version has Motorola type antenna jack and AA battery backup. Later version has BNC antenna jack and internal lithium battery. Can be fitted with optional CTCSS decoder. Hyper sensitive and easily overloaded by strong signals, especially when used with outdoor antenna in base applications. Conventional 10.8 MHz IF prone to images. Television audio heard in some places.

BC800XLT (Uniden): 1985 vintage. 40 channels in two banks. Covers 806-912 MHz, as well as of vhf, uhf, and aircraft bands. Receives 10 meter fm and all of 6 meters, as well as federal portions of vhf and uhf bands. Fewer birdies on vhf-lo band than other scanners. Scans and searches very fast. Clean, robust audio output. Extremely sensitive, but very prone to overload by strong signals when connected to outdoor antenna. Too much play (hysteresis) in squelch adjustment - can be improved by changing one resistor. Positive terminal in memory backup battery holder installed backwards in early units, allowing memory loss when scanner unplugged from AC outlet. Tunes in increments of 12.5 KHz on 800 MHz band, whereas cellular telephones are on 30 KHz channels. See "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

BC860XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. 100 channel table top unit. Can lock out 10 channels during search. Good performer except for images. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC860XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, May 1995.

BC890XLT (Uniden): Same as Radio Shack PRO-2036. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Scans and searches fast. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IF -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

BC895XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Base with 300 channels in 10 banks. Covers military air band, but other frequency coverage is limited compared with the BC9000XLT. Predetermined AM and NFM modes not selectable. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. Weather alert siren. Built-in CTCSS decoder and tone finder. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Test unit scans 85 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Triple conversion, 380.8 or 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz IFs. 800 MHz images in commercial and military air bands attenuated over 35 dB. For technical test results and a review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1997.

BC9000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. High quality base using up-conversion. 500 channels in twenty banks. Up to 250 channels can be programmed with alpha labels. Tuning knob. Selectable AM, NFM, WFM modes. Optional CTCSS decoder. Very fast scan and search. Can lock out 50 channels during search. Delay and attenuator independently programmable for each channel. Effective Auto Store feature stores unique frequencies. Good RF section, but not as robust as PRO-2004/5/6. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, 0.455 MHz. One urban user, located within a couple of blocks of several high power transmitters, reports severe desense problems. For a full review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1995. For tips and modification information, see my column in June 1996 Monitoring Times. See US patents 4,947,456, granted 8/7/90, 5,163,161, granted 11/10/92 and 5,212,817, granted 5/18/93 for further insights into fast scanning and searching implementation.

BC2500XLT (Uniden): 400 channel portable with tuning knob. Plagued by intermod and images. Early units drained batteries quickly even while turned off.

BC3000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Excellent 400 channel portable with 20 banks. Up conversion. Very fast scan,

search, and intelligent Auto Store. Mode, attenuator, and delay settings programmable for each channel. 50 frequencies can be locked out during search. Larger speaker than PRO-26 produces better, crisper audio. NiCd pack provides about 5 hours between charges. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1995 and "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

REGENCY

TMR series: First generation crystal scanners. Come in all varieties of band coverage. Models with both UHF and VHF bands must use separate antennas for each band (disadvantage in mobile installations, but can be overcome by connecting two front ends via a capacitor). Not sensitive enough to cover the entire 30 - 50 MHz range in a single model. There three versions of VHF-low band coverage depending on the part of the 30 - 50 MHz band covered (LL, LM. and LH). TMR scanners use unsocketed incandescent bulbs for channel indicators which require periodic replacement. Easy to crystal: Radio Shack crystals work well. TMRs usually $2 and up at hamfests, often in poor condition. Don't pay more than $50, even if mint. Front ends must be tuned for selected portions within the bands for best sensitivity. Wide IF selectivity troublesome in urban/suburban areas. Primitive digital scanning circuitry may become confused at times. but power off/on restores sanity. Replace aging capacitors in the scanning circuits. You can find a TMR8H eight channel high band unit most often.

TME series: The base versions of the TMR models. Both the TME and TMR models share many common circuits but the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common TME model is the TME8 H/LM eight channel dual band scanner in a metal cabinet with wood coloring.

ACTR series: Replaced the Regency TMR units. By the time Regency made the ACTR units, they no longer offered different models for different parts of the 30 - 50 MHz band. Look for the later ACT series, e.g., ACTR-106 (10 channel tri band) or ACTR20/6 (20 channel tri band), used light emitting diodes for channel indicators instead of incandescent bulbs. Available in 1 to 20 channel models - most are multi band receivers. Somewhat wide selectivity. Not all that bad a deal if cheap.

ACTE series: The base versions of the ACTR series. The ACTE and ACTR circuitry is similar, although the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common ACTE model is the ACTE8 H/L eight channel dual band

scanner in a black metal cabinet.

WHAMO-10: Regency's first synthesized scanner. Appearance more like a crystal scanner, with a single LED per channel. User has to break off teeth on a metal 'comb' for each channel according to a code book. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. External frequency control unit DFS-5K optional. UHF VCO reference oscillator drifts on some units. Soldered sheet metal shields around some circuitry make access to some components difficult for servicing. Comb sockets prone to bad connections after moderate use. Not recommended due to maintenance difficulties.

K500: Nice wood-like cabinet. 40 channel model with every feature Regency could dream of in one scanner, except aircraft band. Idle tone bypass feature for mobile phone stations works about 50% of the time. Weather alert feature. Service Search in several banks. Search and Store facility not implemented as well as BC250, but better than none. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Can be programmed out of band. Reasonable performance, but sensitivity could be better. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

K100: Bare bones version of the K500. 10 channels, no priority feature. Same wood-like cabinet and reasonable performance as K500. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

M400: 30 channel replacement for K500. Service Search, but no aircraft. Easily programmable out of band. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Backlit keyboard good for night viewing and mobile use but generates RFI into nearby SW receivers. A favorite.

MX3000: 30 channel replacement for M400, but basic features only. Nice lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers. Easily programmable out of band, but no aircraft. All 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Good first scanner.

M100: 10 channel unit. Same as MX3000 except different color and fewer channels. Nicely lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers.

HX1000: Good, fairly rugged, 30 channel handheld synthesized unit. Generous out of band coverage but no AM aircraft coverage. Built by Azden. Very sensitive on UHF, but annoying audio hiss leaks through speaker when squelched. Belt clip chintzy, but can be directly replaced with better clip from Kenwood TR2600A. Like the MX3000, all 30 channels

are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Low discount price makes this best choice for programmable portable.

HX650/H604: 6 channel crystal portable. Likely made by Sanyo. Same as Fannon and Bearcat Thin Scan units, (except that Bearcat has 10.8 MHz IF frequency, and is harder to get crystals for), but scans faster. Small size and common crystals (available at Radio Shack), make this 1st choice for bare bones portable scanner.

Z60: base/mobile sporting 60 channels, but 10 of them are for FM broadcast only. Built in alarm clock, priority, and memory which requires no battery backup. Covers 30 - 50, 88 -108, 118-136, 144-174, 440-512 MHz. Notice that it's not spec'd to cover some important federal bands: 136 - 144 and 406 - 440.

RCA

RP-6150: 1997 vintage. No frills, good performing portable. 200 channels in 10 banks. No military aircraft frequency coverage. Triple conversion and a flexible battery arrangement. Lacks duplicate channel detection, search skip, and empty channel lockout features of newer designs. Our sample isn't super sensitive at 470 - 512 MHz and has some intermod around the VHF railroad channels but is generally more resistant to image problems than the BC230XLT. For technical test results and a review, see "The RCA RP-6150 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1998.

RELM

HS200: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel portable. 10 banks. Built-in CTCSS and DCS decoder. Lighted keypad. Duplicate channel detection. 100 frequencies can be locked out from search. Very good audio and strong front end. Excellent internal shielding. Global rescan delay. Covers CB. Fixed modes and step sizes. IFs are 280.2 and 0.45 MHz, and perhaps one in between. See "The RELM HS-200 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

MS200: 1998 vintage. Made in USA. 200 channel mobile with alpha labels. CTCSS and DCS decoding squelch. Programmable via PC using supplied software. Low contrast, LCD display. Keypad backlit for night programming. Rear panel tape audio jack. Test unit had lots of intermod on VHF-high band. IFs are 280 and 0.45 MHz. See "The RELM MS-200 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1998, for a review

which includes quantitative test results.

RADIO SHACK

PRO-24: 1983 vintage. Only 4 channels in this crystal controlled portable. Covers the three basic bands, but no aircraft. Easy to obtain batteries and crystals. Characteristic Radio Shack squelch problem, fixable by changing one resistor. All-plastic case larger than Bearcat Thin Scan and clones. See "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

PRO-26: 1995 vintage. (Not to be confused with a simple 1985 crystal controlled portable bearing the same model number.) Very good portable made by for Radio Shack by Uniden. 200 channels, very fast scan/search. Good display. Covers all TV channels, but spectrum adjacent to cellular phone band is censored which prevents monitoring parts of some trunked systems (e.g., IL State Police district 4). Up to 50 frequencies can be skipped during search. Limited image rejection causes 929 MHz paging interference in 170 MHz range. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, and 5.5/0.455 MHz. Audio suffers from small speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

PRO-30: 16 channel programmable portable with aircraft band. Good frequency coverage. Extra controls on top allow control of SCAN, MANUAL, and PRIORITY functions while worn on belt. Good belt clip. Low audio output. Plastic case prone to break at BNC antenna connector under severe use, vs. metal frame in Regency HX1000. High price, no discounts or sales yet. I had 6 or 7 PRO30s, having to return them several times during the 1 year warranty, although other owners have had little or no trouble. Troubles included oscillation in IF stage, no UHF band reception, case broken around base of antenna connector, etc.

PRO-34: Portable scanner with 200 channels and 800 MHz coverage. Ten "monitor" channels. Operates from AA cells. Slow scanning, low audio output, and chintzy plastic case detract from an otherwise good performance. No decent leather case available from Radio Shack. If you need a portable with 800 MHz, get a Bearcat 200XLT. If you can't get a 200XLT, get a PRO-34.

PRO-43: Very good portable made by GRE. First Radio Shack portable with up conversion, and 222 MHz ham and 225 - 400 MHz military air band coverage. 200 channels, single search range. Small, convenient size. Muffled audio. Speakers in early units known to fail and more powerful speakers were

used in later units. 800 MHz band coverage fully restorable in early units by removing diode. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. IF frequencies are specified at 608.005 - 611.2 MHz, 48.5 MHz, and 455 kHz.

PRO-52: 8 channel VHF-Lo/Hi base unit. No UHF band or provision for mobile operation. Good little scanner despite limited frequency coverage and Spartan lack of frills. Crisp squelch action and good audio, helped by a front mounted, vertical speaker.

PRO-60: 1995 vintage. Very good portable made by GRE. 200 channels, single search range. Similar features and same IFs as the PRO-43 it replaced but the PRO-60 has wider frequency coverage and crisper audio. Covers 75 MHz and commercial FM broadcast bands. Improved Direct search can be stepped manually in single steps. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Railfans take note -- the PRO-60 and PRO-43 are subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. Draws 100 mA while scanning. See "The Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, September 1995.

PRO-62: 1994 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Similar to PRO-43 but no military air band, different upper frequency limit, and slightly different IFs. Selectable AM/NFM modes. Better intermod immunity than PRO-43. See "The Radio Shack PRO-62," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1995.

PRO-64: 1997 vintage. Good portable 400 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-62 and has many more features. Selectable AM/NFM modes but fixed step sizes. 10 search banks which can be cascaded. Auto store saves unique frequencies found during a search. Frequencies can be loaded using a computer but optional interface kit not yet available. Can recharge Radio Shack's optional, monolithic NiCd pack, but not AA cells within the scanner. IFs are 257.5, 21.4, and 0.455 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-64," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-67: 1997 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-51 and has better image rejection and super fast scanning. Service Search lacks police frequencies. Fixed AM/NFM modes and step sizes. Can lock out up to 20 frequencies during a search. Can recharge AA cells within the scanner. Frequencies adjacent to the cellular phone bands censored so our PRO-67 will not receive 868.9625 or 823.9625 MHz. Intermediate Frequencies are 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-67,"

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-91: 1998 vintage. Portable made by Uniden for Radio Shack. 150 channels in 5 banks. Can selectively follow conversations in 800 MHz Motorola trunked radio systems. Censors 823.975 - 823.95 and 894.0125 - 896.1 MHz covered by the BC235XLT. Powered by four individual AA alkaline or NiCd batteries. Power save feature. Program key. One priority channel/bank. One search bank. Direct search. Service search omits many police freqs (inc. 460 - 460.5 MHz). While connected to an outdoor antenna, our PRO-91 experiences intermodulation interference in the 161 MHz range from a paging transmitter on 158.1 MHz. IFs near 380.7, 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. See PRO-91 review by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

PRO-2001: Early, single bank 16 channel programmable. Reasonable coverage of the 3 traditional bands, minus aircraft band. LED digital display as well as an LED per channel. Mechanical lockout switch for each channel. Delay is either on or off for all channels at a time. High synthesizer noise level. Troublesome plated through holes on digital board in some units renders radio virtually unfixable. Could never get mine to work more than a few days in a row; always another bad connection. Some owners have no trouble.

PRO-2003: Radio Shack's 1986 top of line. 50 channels + 10 FM commercial broadcast band channels. Includes aircraft. Good frequency coverage and functionality, but at a high price. Poor human engineering: difficult to read keyboard makes the PRO-2003 hard to operate unless in a well lit room. Keyboard label coloring improved on newer units. Rather slow scan rate and high price. Although there are provisions for 12VDC operation, the cabinet shape and lack of mounting bracket makes mobile operation impractical. Scan rate only 8 channels/sec vs. 15/sec in Regency and Bearcat. Causes RFI: Plastic case permits scanner to radiate signals into nearby receivers.

PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner for 1987. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan.

Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

PRO-2005: 1989 vintage. Essentially a size reduced PRO-2004 with surface mount components and 400 channels. Some people think the small knobs, smaller display, and plastic cabinet are a setback from the 2004. The smaller size, real rubber keyboard, and vertical front panel make it easer to use mobile, although the keyboard is not backlit. More sensitive than the PRO-2004 but 800 MHz signals leak through into the commercial aero band. Highly recommended. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2005 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, June 1989, and RCMA Journal, August 1989.

PRO-2006: 1990 vintage. Essentially the same as PRO-2005 but scans faster. Probably the best scanner made to date. Highly recommended. See "The Realistic PRO-2006," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1990.

PRO-2021: Base/mobile scanner. 200 channels in 10 banks, LCD display and raised rubber keys. Lots of memory but scans too slowly and lacks 800 MHz. Ten "monitor" channels. Radio Shack seemed to have an overstock of 2021s as they were on sale for such a long time. Close out price dipped to about $200, which made it a nice scanner for beginners.

PRO-2022: Base/mobile scanner. Like the PRO-2021 but includes the 800 MHz band. Somewhat prone to intermod in the 460 MHz range. Cellular images throughout the 847.6 - 869 MHz range. See September 1996 Monitoring Times for modifications.

PRO-2035: 1995 vintage wide coverage base made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Poor dynamic range. 10 linkable search banks. Dumb Auto Store feature stores the same frequencies over and over. Wastes time scanning empty channels. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2035 - How Does It Compare With the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, January 1995.

PRO-2036: Same as Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Scans and searches fast. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The

Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2037: 1994 vintage. Triple conversion all plastic base scanner made by GRE. Home version of the PRO-62 portable but with more intermod. 200 channels in 10 banks, one search bank, selectable AM and FM. Uses up conversion circuitry but inadequate front end filtering still allows images, though not as bad as in 10.7 or 10.8 MHz IF circuits. Crisp, respectable audio given the top mounted speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2037," by Bob Parnass, in July 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2040: late 1995 vintage 100 channel base made by Uniden. Fair performance, but minimal image rejection. Local oscillator harmonics account for spurious responses, e.g., reception of cellular telephone calls in the 460 MHz UHF-high band. Scans over 40 channels/sec. Can lock out up to 50 channels from search. "Soft" on/off power switching via keypad. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2040," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1995.

PRO-2042: 1996 version of the wide coverage PRO-2035 base with improved firmware and amber display. Made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. NFM selectivity is still a problem but improved over PRO-2035. 10 linkable search banks. Smart Auto Store feature stores the unique frequencies. Can lock out up to 200 frequencies from search. Query facility indicates if and in what channel a frequency is programmed. Scan skips over empty channels automatically. Can sort memories by frequency within a given bank. IFs are 609 - 612, 58.05, and 10.7/0.455 MHz. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Overall, a top grade scanner and second only to Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2042," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1996.

PRO-2045: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel base/mobile made for Radio Shack by Uniden. Tuning/channel selector knob. 10 banks, selectable attenuator, and rescan delay. CTCSS-capable with optional board. Great Auto Store. Weather alert. Scans and searches fast. Can lock out 50 frequencies during search. Step sizes fixed but AM and NFM modes are selectable. IFs are 380.7, 10.7, and 0.455 MHz. Images on VHF-high band despite high 1st IF. Some intermod on review unit. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2045," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2046: 1996 vintage 100 channel mobile made by Uniden with fairly good performance. Descendent of the BC760XLT but no CTCSS option available. Ten banks of ten channels.

Scans memory at about 34 channels/sec. Service search, direct search, and band search. Preset search limits by band and user cannot program the search limits. Image rejection is minimal, as one would expect from a scanner with IFs of 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. 10 Monitor memory channels. Can lock out up to 20 channels from a search. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2046," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2050: 1998 vintage 300 channel Motorola trunk system tracking base made by Uniden. Tight front end. Excellent performance. Chassis-less, plastic construction. Squelch and volume knobs too close and Manual key is hard to find. IFs are 380.7 (approx.), 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. AM and NFM modes are factory set and not selectable. 300 channels in 10 banks and a 2 second rescan delay may be programmed on a per channel basis. Query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Our PRO-2050 scans at 78 channels/sec., skipping over empty channels. 10 priority channels. Up to 50 frequencies may be locked out from limit search. A single pair of limits may be programmed for a limit search. No Direct key or direct search facility. Factory preprogrammed frequencies for police, fire/emergency, commercial air, public service, and weather, but not marine. See May 1998 Monitoring Times for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SONY

SC1PC: 1998 vintage. Good RF and audio performance. Covers 25 - 1300 MHz, minus cellular phone. Selectable step size and mode. Computer control and downloading with software and PC cable provided. Powered by ordinary AA batteries. Provided CDROM includes both the scanner control program and the multi million record PerCon FCC license database. 10 banks ("pages" in SONY parlance) of 30 channels each. Per-channel 2 second rescan delay. Unlike the ICOM IC-R10, you can scan any combination of memory banks. You can lock out ("skip") memory channels from scanning. The frequency stored in a skipped channel will also be skipped during searches. One priority channel checked every 5 seconds. SONY's limit search is restricted to one pair of search limits, though computer control expands this to 20 linkable pairs. Band search lets you search through 17 bands programmed at the factory. Service search. A cache, termed Intelligent Memory, stores the last 10 unique frequencies that were active for longer than 5 seconds each. IFs (in MHz) are 600 (below 370 MHz), 232.5 (above 370 MHz), 45.0, and 0.455. See "The SONY SC1PC," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1998, for a review which includes

quantitative test results.

SPORTYS

JD-100: 1997 vintage. Limited 20 channel scanner which covers civil and military air bands only. Good audio. Large display shows frequency only out to 2 digits. Slow scanning and odd keystroke sequences. No key press confirmation beep. Cannot lock out channels from memory scan. Powered by AA batteries. Battery saver circuit. See "Sportys JD-100 Air Scan," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, November 1997.

CRAIG (division of PIONEER)

4530: Japanese 10 channel crystal controlled 3 band unit. Also available under Plectron name but in different cabinet. No aircraft band. Deluxe features like priority, trimmer capacitors for netting each channel, front panel speaker, and rugged metal cabinet make this unit a winner. Channel lockout slide switches have finite life. Replacing burned out incandescent channel lamps not fun. Grab a 4530 if you find one in good condition.

SONAR

Sonar made several crystal controlled scanners and channelized monitor receivers which shared the same RF circuitry. Each radio was housed in the same size metal case and worked on both 120 VAC and 12 VDC. The models differed in the number of channels, the band coverage, and whether individual channels could be locked out.

Although they used a 10.7 MHz first IF, Sonar radios require parallel resonant crystals different from the common series resonant crystals used in Regency and Radio Shack scanners. Some Radio Shack crystals will work in Sonar units, but more often they oscillate a few kHz off frequency and are unsuitable unless changes are made to the oscillator circuitry. Therefore, beginners should avoid these radios. Typical Sonar squelch has long time constant causing a long noise burst at the end of each transmission.

FR-104, FR-105: 6 channel monitor receivers covering 25 - 50 MHz or 150 - 175 MHz. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning. Early models are identified by an 11 pin connector on the rear and they could be used with an optional NiCd battery pack and charger which bolted to the rear panel. Later models used Cinch Jones connectors with flat contacts. Optional tone decoder board.

FR-2512, FR-2513: 24 channel versions of the FR-104 and FR-105 monitor receivers. Manual channel selection, i.e., no

scanning.

FR-2514, FR-2515: 8 channel scanners. Channel 1 priority. Recommend you avoid these models as there is no way to lockout a channel from the scan sequence.

FR-2526, FR-2526, FR-2528: 10 channel scanners covering various combinations of 3 bands. Each channel could be locked out. Channel 1 priority.

Sonar #chan- VHF VHF UHF lock-
Model nels low high scans? outs?

FR104 6 X no
FR105 6 X no
FR2512 24 X no
FR2513 24 X no
FR2514 8 X yes no
FR2515 8 X yes no
FR2516 10 X yes yes
FR2517 10 X yes yes
FR2525 10 X yes yes
FR2526 10 X X yes yes
FR2527 10 X X yes yes
FR2528 10 X X yes yes

Table 1. Summary of Sonar base/mobile monitor and scanner receivers

TENNELEC

Manufactured the first synthesized scanners. See US patents 3,961,261 and 3,962,644, granted 6/1/76 and 6/8/76 respectively. Company went out of business several years ago. Schematics and parts difficult to obtain. Radios reputed to be poor performers. Got my MS-2 and MCP-1 basket cases for free and sometimes regret taking them. Not worth fixing unless you have access to DTL/RTL chips and circuit diagrams.

Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their transistor Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by portable pagers, and are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola Alert crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel

programmable scanner. These radios are fixed channel units and do not scan. They can be powered form 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord.

The audio quality on a Plectron P1 or 700 series is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity and intermod immunity is outstanding if aligned properly. Better image rejection than most scanners (see article by Bob Parnass in Monitoring Times, January 1996 for comparison). The Plectron 500 series is less desirable. The Motorola Alert monitors are pretty good, although the audio lacks bass.

No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, and there were versions optimized for each portion of the band. There were different "split" models to cover segments of VHF-hi band, too. Although UHF versions were made, they are somewhat rare.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency. Too, the P1 and R700 require different crystals.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Repair service for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Woodson Electronics
Plectron Place
P.O. Box 960
Imboden, Arkansas 72434

telephone 1-(501)869-7985

Some replacement parts are currently available from Federal Signal in University Park, IL, phone (800)524-3021. For more information, see "Add a Crystal Receiver to Your Arsenal," by Bob Parnass, January 1996 Monitoring Times.

Custom Color Map & Report
of Transmitter Sites Near You

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state,

and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $4.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central

time. For a brochure, send SASE.

How to Obtain Copies of Patents

Printed copies of US patents may be purchased for $3 each, but you must know the patent number. Credit card orders are taken over the telephone by the Assistant Commissioner for Patents at (703)305-4350. Alternatively, you can mail a check or money order, made payable to "The Assistant Commissioner for Patents" to

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

For more information about the US Patent and Trademark Office, call their automated information message system toll-free at (800)786-9199.

There are various patent servers on the world wide web, including IBM's server:

http://patent.womplex.ibm.com

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1480. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7hk61b$b9b@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 118701
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 1999 - NORTHERN ILLINOIS
last updated May 10, 1999 |

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass,
AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail:
parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D.
Wilson, Tom Harker, and ARRL for providing some of the
information.

MAY 1999

May 1 (Sat), Cedarburg, WI - Ozaukee Radio Club Swapfest,
Info: Joe Holly, AA9HR, 1702 Holly Ln., Grafton, WI 53024,
414-377-2137

May 2 (Sun), Sandwich, IL - Kishwaukee Amateur Radio Club
Hamfest, Sandwich Fairgrounds. Admission $?. Ends at 2 PM.
Talk-in 146.73 MHz. Info: Bob Yurs W9ICU, phone 815-895-
3219, email: w9icu@tbcnet.com. (Nice mid-sized hamfest out
in country. Lots of tailgating. Few commercial exhibitors.
Always a good time. Fairly good restroom facilities - AJ9S).

May 14, 15, 16 (Fri - Sun) - Dayton, OH. Dayton HamVention.
See http://www.hamvention.org

May 15 (Sat), Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer show (see below).
Dupage County Fairgrounds.

May 16 (Sun), Orland Park, IL Giant Computer Show & Sale
(see below). orland Park Civic Center.

JUNE 1999

Jun 6 (Sun), Princeton, IL - Starved Rock RC. Bureau County |
Fairgrounds. Exit 56 from I-80. Admission $6 at gate. |
Talk-in 146.955, -600, PL103.5 Hz. info: Frank Carraro,
KF9NZ, PO Box 198, Leonore, IL 61332/ 815-856-3773.
http://www.qsl.net/w9mks/ E-mail: w9mks@qsl.net. (Usually an
excellent hamfest. Unlimited space outdoor flea market,
some indoor space, though few big name commercial vendors.
Crude restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

Jun 13 (Sun), Wheaton, IL - Dupage County Fairgrounds. Six
Meter Club of Chicago. Gates open 7 AM, buildings 8 AM.
Tickets $6 at the gate. No booze. Recorded InfoLine: 312-

442-4961. VE testing 9 - 11 AM only. Call InfoLine to preregister for testing. info: Joseph Gutwein, WA9RIJ, 7109 Blackburn Ave., Downers Grove, IL 60516-3925. tel. 630-963-4922.

Jun 13 (Sun), Orland Park, IL Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). orland Park Civic Center.

JULY 1999

Jul 11 (Sun), Peotone, IL. Kankakee Area Radio Society. Will County fairgrounds. Admission $5 at the gate. Take I-57 to Peotone Exit 327 (east). 146.94 MHz (-600) talk-in. info: Don Kerouac, K9NR, 6311 East Flora St., Kankakee, IL 60901. tel. 815-939-7548, E-mail: k9nr@juno.com. http://www.geocities.com/capecanaveral/hangar/5711 For Reserved Tables and Advanced Tickets, send check (payable to KARS) and S.A.S.E. to: CHIP MOORE, K9IOC, 289 S. Euclid Ave. Bradley, IL 60915, E-Mail: chipm@megsinet.net or to BILL DESALVO, W9OQW, 403 Birch Dr., Shorewood, IL 60431. 815-744-5099.

Jul 18 (Sun), Sugar Grove, IL. Fox River Radio League. Waubonsee Community College. For tables, tickets, and information: contact N9UZC James E. Von Olnhausen, n9uzc@amsat.org, (630)-879-3042, FRRL, Box 673, Batavia, IL 60510-0673. http://www.frrl.org/hamfest.html (good, small to midsized hamfest. Easy parking. Modern restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

AUGUST 1999

Aug 7 (Sat) - Quincy, IL. Western Illinois Amateur Radio Club Ham Radio and Computer SWAPFEST 1999. 8:00AM to 2:00PM. The Eagles Alps Grounds (3737 North 5th Street) 4.5 miles north of downtown Quincy with easy access from US Highway 24. Admission $3.00 at the Gate ($2.50 in advance) per person, under 12 - Free. ARRL VEC testing for all license classes at 12:30PM. Indoor Flea Market space available for $5.00 which includes one 5' table. Outdoor tailgating space - Free. Talk-in on 147.63/147.03 MHz. Plenty of convenient parking available. Lunch will be served on the grounds. Children's playground area. For additional information contact N9JF, jfunk@adams.net

Aug 8 (Sun), Peotone, IL - Hamfesters Radio Club. Will County fairgrounds. info: Dave Brasel, NF9N, 6933 West 110th St., Worth, IL 60482. E-mail: nf9n@aol.com. tel. 708-448-0580 (Usually an excellent hamfest - AJ9S).

Aug 14 (Sat), Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer show (see below). Dupage County Fairgrounds.

Aug 29 (Sun), Woodstock, IL. Tri-County Radio Group. McHenry County fairgrounds. Talk-in: 146.52 Simplex. info: Bob Grosse, N9KXG, PO Box 3107, Skokie, IL 60077-6107 E-mail: tcrg@hotmail.com, http://quality-enterprises.com/tcrg/. Tickets: Advance With Double Stub $4.00 - At Gate $5.00 Single Stub - $6.00 With Double Stub . Exhibit Building: 8:00 A.M. - 3:00 P.M. Table Rental: $14.00 For 8' Table. Flea Market: 6:00 A.M. - 3:00 P.M. No Additional Charge For Flea Market Space Info (708) 944-0500. Fax (847) 658-1678. (fairly good, small to mid sized ham-fest - AJ9S).

SEPTEMBER 1999

Sept 25, 26 (Sat & Sun), Grayslake, IL Chicago FM Club. Lake County fairgrounds. info: Mike Brost, WA9FTS, 5127 North Monterey Ave., Norridge, IL 60656-3245. tel. 708-457-0966 (the biggest and best hamfest in northern IL - AJ9S)

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer expo. The event is held on designated Saturdays from 9:30 AM to 3:00 PM. This show features computers, supplies, games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors, diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables, expansion boards, services, etc. Lake County Fairgrounds, Route 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Route 45, in Main Exhibit Hall Building #1=9720,000 sq. feet. For a show map, exhibitor info, and discount coupon, visit the web site at http://www.ccxpo.com.

--
==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass

1481. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Smith <double_hat_trick@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7hka72$9uk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118702
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Rik Rasmussen" <rik@vnet.net> wrote:
> NASA has been doing it for 40 years.

> Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net> wrote in message
> news:373D5BD9.321EBDBF@uswest.net...
> > Ham radio operators do it all the time on HT's and a 6 volt power
> pack running only 100 Miliwatts!. Its easy. The concept is called
> LINE OF SIGHT!
> Hawke

So what, I'm supposed to just quit having fun, because I'm doing
something that's been done before?

Lighten up...

-Jeff

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1482. Re: Chicago Northwest Suburbs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jammin5107@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7hkcjlbfv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118703

In article <373DB2EF.E7A5F9E2@mailhost.chi.ameritech.net>,
tring@ameritech.net wrote:
>
> Does anyone know of site where I can get active freg's for police in
> Northwest Suburbs of Chicago?
> Thanks.
>

Try this one www.911scanner8m.com/
Also use a search engine and follow the links.
Scanner sites are everywhere.

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1483. Re: Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <dUh%2.292$w42.459@news.flash.net>
Source record: 118704

Correct me if I'm wrong, but didn't they stop using the 415 phones a couple years ago??? I use to hear AF1 &2 on that freq for years, then it quite. I asked the question about a year ago on this newsgroup as to the status of 415.700MHz and was told by many people that it no longer was used. Just thought that if that is true (after all I haven't hear anything on it for years) you may want to update your information.

For the newest and most current HF and Scanner If check out RadiosOnLine the best E-Zine for Radio Nuts.....
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios
--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

1484. Propagation statistic in real time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabio <cq.fabio@iol.it>
Message-ID: <7hkck4$m00$1@hermes.iol.it>
Source record: 118705

Hi to all
For first, I want to thank all the friends that they have contributed to my propagation statistic on-line.
Please every time (when you want). go again on my home page, for to give again our contribute, in this mode, the propagation statistic, is always update !
Thank you again to all !!!
Fabio URL: http://users.iol.it/cq.fabio/

1485. Re: Motorola Gm300 Lab Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: duncan@g8knf.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <373dbe99.24499298@news.globalnet.co.uk>
Source record: 118706

On Thu, 13 May 1999 21:53:51 +0930, "Max-Com Radio Systems"
<maxcom@eisa.net.au> wrote:

>I have had a major glitch with my vhf gm300 and i am in desperate need of
>the lab software that will enable me to blank the radio to start a fresh.
>anyone can help me please !!!!!
>
>email me on maxcom@eisa.net.au

You should be able to clone from a saved codeplug or another radio
without needing lab software. That will "reset parameters".

Duncan
E17

UK

1486. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: zenith #1 <peitsch@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <7hkgkm$2po@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 118707

Why not contact the respective airlines and find out wht their policy really is. You might be surprised as I was. I was involved in the fracus about 9 months ago about scanners in the air and I found out that most of the comments here and back then are just people shooting from the hip with out any actual knowledge of the airline policy. I found that Delta and United said nothing except to not use them on take off and landings.
Butch :p

JCM1168 wrote in message <19990514232404.00810.00001090@ng-cg1.aol.com>...
>Tim you are a rude jerk and as everyone can see your opinon doesnt count for
>squat!!! Some one is just trying to get some feedback and stating what he did
>thats it you have to come out and bite peoples heads off.. JERK

1487. Re: help - mobile phone conversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: duncan@g8knf.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <373dc26b.25476941@news.globalnet.co.uk>
Source record: 118708

On Thu, 13 May 1999 16:33:49 -0400, "Alf"
<perez.alfonso.am@bhp.com.au> wrote:

>I would appreciate if anyone has informartion regarding celular phone
>conversions and modifications, particularly Motorola MicroTac 7500
>and Nokia 101.
>
>We want to develop an alarm system for industrial remote equipment
>monitoring using the celular phone as a transmitter for analog data.
>the monitoring celurar phone needs to be on scan mode to be able to pick up
>and feed various transmitting mobile phones located on the different
>equipment.

A handset such as the microtac would have a very low range between
itself and whatever base was used.

You would do better finding type-approved UHF telemetry transmitter &
receiver strips because they offer products "off-the-shelf", meet
approvals, use recognised protocols and usually much better range.

Duncan
UK

Comms Engineer for last 25 years.......

1488. Re: Please Read.. Ham Radio on IRC Chasing away hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <MJSCommunications@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7hkij4qms1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 118709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Gimme a break! Who cares? Start your own chat!

--
Remember:
When you're having a bad day and
everyone seems against you, just remember
that it takes 42 muscles to frown and only
3 to pull the trigger on a decent sniper
rifle. Have a nice day!!

Rick Todd <rwtodd@airmail.net> wrote in message
news:3F1A9F02BE28E17A.6F49751746B45747.BF9BB22A205FBE54@library-proxy.airnew
s.net...
> You wont find that problem in #cqdx on the othernet servers. Come on over
some
> time for good chat and real time dx spots.
>
> James Keller wrote:
>
> > Hello Everyone.
> > I think everyone should take note of Don K Muth.
> > Don has founded on #undernet on Irc the channel #hamradio.
> > During a visit there using the nickname mrguard (irc doenst
> > require callsigns or anything), Don numerous times tried to
> > force me into using my callsign as my nick.
> > I told Don that my callsign is N8KGU and that i perfer not to use it on
irc.
> > Some of the channels I know I dont want the others being able to reach
me
> > via callsign servers.
> > Don asked if at Dayton I would use mrguard on the radio, I answered that
> > I am free to use N8KGU or KC8LAM, both are issued to me.
> > Don then proceeded to harass me and eventually kick me off of this
> > saying that it is his channel.
> > In a discussion under a different nick, Don admitted that he can
> > do as he feels and that he is free to chase anyone away from his
channel.
> > plus:
> > <AH6KA> he came with nick and said he wudnt use his call and gave me
static
> > so i moderated then he tried to use
> > both calls
> > <othercall> you gave him static
> > <othercall> he stated what both calls were.

> > <AH6KA> i shoulld have just kicked his ass
> >
> > Is this how decent we want ambassadors of our hobby to be to hams and
> > to those interested in our hobby?
> > Several attempts were to tell Don that the hobby has enough problems on
> > 40 meters as well as losing folks to irc and the internet.
> >
> > Does everyone accept the fact that folks like Don can deem the channel
private
> > and alienate everyone from the hobby?
> > My suggestion to Don was to form his own channel, maybe #ah6ka.
> >
> > I would suggest that everyone visit Undernet on IRC and join #hamradio
> > feel free to meet other hams, use any handle you want.
> >
> > You can Email Don at: Email: ah6ka@gte.net
> >
> > Please dont let the hobby get ruined again.
> >
> > Thanks Again
> > James
> > N8KGU
>

1489. Aurora, CO freqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <don4012@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <373DDD2B.B4E729A6@uswest.net>
Source record: 118710

Looking for fire & police freqs. for Aurora, CO. Think they are both on 800mhz band, but not sure. I just moved out here so any help would be appreciated... pls email me back @ don4012@uswest.net

1490. DC cable/plug for Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: hanrahan@phoenixat.com
Message-ID: <373dded2.97625430@news.kua.net>
Source record: 118711

I'm using my Pro-2006 in my truck and have been using a DC cable/cigarette lighter plug which looks identical to the DC plug presently marketed by RS as270-1534E. My problem is that while the diameter of the plug appears correct, the barrel of the plug extends out about 3/8" to far when inserted into the DC jack on the 2006...and occasionally slips out as a consequence.
I've been advised by Tandy (via e-mail) that the recommended plug for the 2006 is #270-1534B (note the "B" suffix rather than the "E")..."which is sold at all Radio Shack stores". I've advised Tandy that I've checked with a corporately-owned RS and that the "E" plug is what is being currently sold. I've asked Tandy to provide me with further information on the "B" plug (i.e., availability, differences from the "E" version, if any, etc.).
Does anyone on the list have anything on this? As a mobile 2006 user, do I have to live with a slightly mis-matched plug? Thanks...

Bill Hanrahan, KA1KF
Kissimmee, FL
hanrahan@kua.net

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

1491. Re: Looking for Wildland Firefighter Nat. Freq guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dyemiola@infoave.et
Message-ID: <7hkngkmhf5@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 118712

In article <19990514051113.08836.00000586@ng-ch1.aol.com>,
webmedics@aol.comspambloc (WeBmedics) wrote:
>Like the title says I'm looking for a publication called the
"Wildland
>Firefighters National Frequency Guide" It was displayed
during a Communications
>Unit Leader courses in the Pacific Northwest. I've tried
NIFC, The Government
>Printing Office (GPO), NWCG, GSA, and most of the search
engines, and trade
>publications.
>
>Basically, this was a bound version of the notes and xerox
copies of each
>others channel assignments. It would have the name of the
forest, region or
>public lands associated. IT is much more than a scanner
guide, as it has Rx, Tx
>and PL (CTCSS, CG, DPL) information for authorized users.
>
>Any leads, tips or hints would be very much appreciated.
>The difference between Genius and Stupidity is Genius has
its limits. Albert
>Einstein
Try the US Forest Service's "Boise Intragency Fire Cache"
equipment manager located at the USFS Office in Boise, Idaho.
It's near the Airport.

USFS is a division of the US Department of Agriculture. USFS
has a web site but I can't think of its URL right now.

Dan Yemiola
SPAM blocking in effect,to reply remember that I am in
(N)orth America, not (et)hiopia!

1492. Re: New RealAudio Fire Scanner Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: oper911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990515171228.04828.00000944@ng138.aol.com>
Source record: 118713

Yep....copied it directly from memo to web, also tried it on netscape. Still no luck. Maybe I wasnt meant to listen......Thanks
Oper911

1493. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: nowhere@guess.com
Message-ID: <373fe2dd.273430097@news.altopia.com>
Source record: 118714

Hey GollyGee and Skip Sanders what qualifies either of you as experts
on the subject. Just wondering.

On Fri, 14 May 1999 21:26:57 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
<skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:

>
>GollyGee <Me@biz.com> wrote in message
>news:373ccc2d.202076005@news.altopia.com...
>> On Fri, 14 May 1999 07:07:53 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
>> <skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:
>> >Note that for a commercial carrier aircraft, the pilot is NOT the
>'operator'
>> >for purposes of determining whether a device can be used, the AIRLINE is.
>> >They could, if they choose, delegate that authority to the Pilot, but
>it's
>> >not his to decide.
>>
>> Incorrect. The FAR reference is 91.3;
>>
>> "The pilot in command of an aircraft is directly responsible
>> for, and is the final authority as to the operation of that aircraft."
>>
>> Therefore the Captain (pilot in command) has final say so
>> regarding any matter on board the aircraft. If the Company's (the
>> operator) policy if in violation of FARs or is a safety issue in the
>> captains opinion, the captain does not have to obey it.
>>
>
>Sorry, WRONG. Here is the FAR applicable, again:
>
>Sec. 91.21 Portable electronic devices.
>
> (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, no person may
> operate, nor may any operator or pilot in command of an aircraft allow the
> operation of, any portable electronic device on any of the following U.S.-
> registered civil aircraft:
> (1) Aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier operating
>certificate
> or an operating certificate; or
> (2) Any other aircraft while it is operated under IFR.
> (b) Paragraph (a) of this section does not apply to--
> (1) Portable voice recorders;
> (2) Hearing aids;
> (3) Heart pacemakers;

> (4) Electric shavers; or
> (5) Any other portable electronic device that the operator of the
>aircraft
> has determined will not cause interference with the navigation or
> communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.
> (c) In the case of an aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier
> operating certificate or an operating certificate, the determination
>required
> by paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall be made by that operator of the
>
> aircraft on which the particular device is to be used. In the case of
>other
> aircraft, the determination may be made by the pilot in command or other
> operator of the aircraft.
>
> Effective Date Note: At 54 FR 34291, August 18, 1989, Sec. 91.21 was
> revised effective August 18, 1990, as set out above.
>
>------
>Take note, please, of section c), above. It specifically states that the
>determination is made by the PIC ONLY if it's not a commercial carrier. The
>'operator', in this sense, is the airline, not the pilot.
>
>Note also that section a) specifically statest that the PIC may NOT permit
>operation, except as this FAR sets out the rules.
>
>
>

1494. Re: Ebay: I have seen it all now.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: zenith #1 <peitsch@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <7hkobv$rdj@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 118715

Possibly a tad high.

Keith wrote in message <373ee128.2480757@news.teleport.com>...
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=101973891
>
>820.00 for RS Pro 2006 with a few extras.
>
>Best Regards,
>
>Keith
>--
>http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/linux
>www.teleport.com/~kew/commodore www.teleport.com/~kew/pgp
>www.teleport.com/~kew/ham_swl_radio/
>--
> If all the personal computers in the world 260 million were put
>to work on a single PGP-encrypted message, it would still take
>an estimated 12 million times the age of the universe, on average,
>to break a single message.
>--William Crowell, Deputy Director, National Security Agency, March 20,
>1997.

1495. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@ofyourbiz.com
Message-ID: <3740e3bb.273652067@news.altopia.com>
Source record: 118716

On Fri, 14 May 1999 21:26:57 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
<skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:

>
>GollyGee <Me@biz.com> wrote in message
>news:373ccc2d.202076005@news.altopia.com...
>> On Fri, 14 May 1999 07:07:53 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
>> <skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:
>> >Note that for a commercial carrier aircraft, the pilot is NOT the
>'operator'
>> >for purposes of determining whether a device can be used, the AIRLINE is.
>> >They could, if they choose, delegate that authority to the Pilot, but
>it's
>> >not his to decide.
>>
>> Incorrect. The FAR reference is 91.3;
>>
>> "The pilot in command of an aircraft is directly responsible
>> for, and is the final authority as to the operation of that aircraft."
>>
>> Therefore the Captain (pilot in command) has final say so
>> regarding any matter on board the aircraft. If the Company's (the
>> operator) policy if in violation of FARs or is a safety issue in the
>> captains opinion, the captain does not have to obey it.
>>
>
>Sorry, WRONG. Here is the FAR applicable, again:
>
>Sec. 91.21 Portable electronic devices.
>
> (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, no person may
> operate, nor may any operator or pilot in command of an aircraft allow the
> operation of, any portable electronic device on any of the following U.S.-
> registered civil aircraft:
> (1) Aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier operating
>certificate
> or an operating certificate; or
> (2) Any other aircraft while it is operated under IFR.
> (b) Paragraph (a) of this section does not apply to--
> (1) Portable voice recorders;
> (2) Hearing aids;
> (3) Heart pacemakers;
> (4) Electric shavers; or
> (5) Any other portable electronic device that the operator of the

>aircraft
> has determined will not cause interference with the navigation or
> communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.
> (c) In the case of an aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier
> operating certificate or an operating certificate, the determination
>required
> by paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall be made by that operator of the
>
> aircraft on which the particular device is to be used. In the case of
>other
> aircraft, the determination may be made by the pilot in command or other
> operator of the aircraft.
>
> Effective Date Note: At 54 FR 34291, August 18, 1989, Sec. 91.21 was
> revised effective August 18, 1990, as set out above.
>
>------
>Take note, please, of section c), above. It specifically states that the
>determination is made by the PIC ONLY if it's not a commercial carrier. The
>'operator', in this sense, is the airline, not the pilot.
>
>Note also that section a) specifically statest that the PIC may NOT permit
>operation, except as this FAR sets out the rules.
>
>
>
I refuse to get in a flame war over this. Your understanding of the
FARs are slightly flawed. You might consider a ground school class in
commercial operations to better enlighten you. Or contact a Flight
Standards District Office (FSDO) and speak to an air carrier
specialist.

1496. For Sale or Trade: Motorola MaxTrac 900

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Maxwell <maxwellw@comcat.com>
Message-ID: <7hkphl$jc9$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 118717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello All! As you may have seen from my previous post, I recently obtained a Motorola MaxTrac 900Mhz Radio. It is the high power model with 8 Systems and 7 Sub Fleets. It includes scan and phone patch buttons. The radio powers up and transmits great on its one conventional frequency (940.125). I have no use for this due to my upcoming GMRS repeater. I also have a Motorola MTX 900 on the same Conventional channel which works fine, but the two do not talk to each other. The MTX will not come with a battery. The MaxTrac is in excellent condition and includes a hand-mike and power cable but no mounting bracket. I would like to trade the MaxTrac for a comparable UHF Conventional Unit. I purchased the MaxTrac for $150 and two MTXs for $40 total. One does not work, but will be included for parts. If you have something to trade for the MTX, please offer. If you have a non UHF radio that you would consider trading, I might take it. Make me an offer! Thanks to all!

Derek Maxwell
maxwellw@comcat.com

1497. Re: Homebrew Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7hktcpebe1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 118718

This is Earth calling ....

Spike <spike@eyeball.org> wrote in message
news:B26355AEAD99A8D2.5C5C63E653B89C60.6724D2F6BD9E1B56@library-proxy.airnew
s.net...
The coolest Antenna you can make out of Coax is to simply run the inner coax
conductor to ground
and the outer to your antenna input.
The inner conductor is like a magnet to RF with the shield trapping as much
RF as it can before the rest goes
to ground. ;)

1498. Re: FS:Realistic Pro 2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eddie Runner <eddie@installer.com>
Message-ID: <373DFA5A.CC7B573C@installer.com>
Source record: 118719

do you still have it? what is your phone #? mine is 713-528-3888

Robin wrote:
>
> Realistic Pro 2006 Scanner
> Full 800 Mhz. coverage.
> 400 channels
> excellent working condition
> looks good yet too
> $300 + 15 shipping and insurance USPS
>
> interested email only please

--
==========
Eddie Runner eddie@installer.com
River Oaks Car Stereo
http://www.installer.com/rocs.html
==========

1499. Re: Why so many AOR AR82000 scanners for sale?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christopher J Killgore <ckillgore@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <373E00CB.5C665B02@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118720

The 8200 tries to do all things in a small package. Unfortunenatly, it does do some things as well as others. The people who are selling complain about the things it doesn't do especially well. I have had mine for about six months now and it does what I want it to quite well. I monitor VHF 115mhz to 164 mhz and UHF airbands AM-FM. it does pretty good and is bothered by pagers and NOAA weather stations less than the Yupi 7100 and a couple of Unidens I had. Also, I no longer carry a note book or electronic organizer around with all of my programmed channels. The information is all in the radio by being able to label the channels and banks with a text descriptive. And selectivity is good, so I can live with a bit less sensitivity.

Chris

Michael Malloy wrote:

> I have noticed quite a few AOR AR82000 scanners being offered for sale and
> most are just a few months old. Is there some problem with this unit that
> people are getting rid of them so soon? I have been thinking about buying
> one but now I am not so sure.

1500. Re: Ebay: I have seen it all now.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <373E07EE.9C3B3CD4@gnc.net>
Source record: 118721

Maybe not. Follow the links on the Ebay ad to price the "few" extras.
I'd hate to add up how much I've got invested in this hobby! :-o

Robert

zenith #1 wrote:

> Possibly a tad high.
>
> Keith wrote in message <373ee128.2480757@news.teleport.com>...
> >http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=101973891
> >
> >820.00 for RS Pro 2006 with a few extras.
> >
> >Best Regards,
> >
> >Keith

1501. Re: Chicago Northwest Suburbs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-1505991918540001@k56-ip-242.theramp.net>
Source record: 118722

In article <373DB2EF.E7A5F9E2@mailhost.chi.ameritech.net>,
tring@ameritech.net wrote:

> Does anyone know of site where I can get active freg's for police in
> Northwest Suburbs of Chicago?
> Thanks.

http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**************************g3mtnw**********************************

1502. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZLo%2.7595$U83.143434@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 118723

Possibly the ability to read english? Well regulatory english, anyway.
It's not so very hard to read, you can learn to do it to. The regulation
flat out STATES that the PIC can't approve it, only the 'operator' can. The
operator is the airline. They can, and some do, delegate that authority, to
the pilots.

PakRat <nowhere@guess.com> wrote in message
news:373fe2dd.273430097@news.altopia.com...
> Hey GollyGee and Skip Sanders what qualifies either of you as experts
> on the subject. Just wondering.
>
>
>
> On Fri, 14 May 1999 21:26:57 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
> <skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >GollyGee <Me@biz.com> wrote in message
> >news:373ccc2d.202076005@news.altopia.com...
> >> On Fri, 14 May 1999 07:07:53 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
> >> <skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:
> >> >Note that for a commercial carrier aircraft, the pilot is NOT the
> >'operator'
> >> >for purposes of determining whether a device can be used, the AIRLINE
is.
> >> >They could, if they choose, delegate that authority to the Pilot, but
> >it's
> >> >not his to decide.
> >>
> >> Incorrect. The FAR reference is 91.3;
> >>
> >> "The pilot in command of an aircraft is directly responsible
> >> for, and is the final authority as to the operation of that aircraft."
> >>
> >> Therefore the Captain (pilot in command) has final say so
> >> regarding any matter on board the aircraft. If the Company's (the
> >> operator) policy if in violation of FARs or is a safety issue in the
> >> captains opinion, the captain does not have to obey it.
> >>
> >
> >Sorry, WRONG. Here is the FAR applicable, again:
> >
> >Sec. 91.21 Portable electronic devices.
> >

> > (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, no person
may
> > operate, nor may any operator or pilot in command of an aircraft allow
the
> > operation of, any portable electronic device on any of the following
U.S.-
> > registered civil aircraft:
> > (1) Aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier operating
> >certificate
> > or an operating certificate; or
> > (2) Any other aircraft while it is operated under IFR.
> > (b) Paragraph (a) of this section does not apply to--
> > (1) Portable voice recorders;
> > (2) Hearing aids;
> > (3) Heart pacemakers;
> > (4) Electric shavers; or
> > (5) Any other portable electronic device that the operator of the
> >aircraft
> > has determined will not cause interference with the navigation or
> > communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.
> > (c) In the case of an aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier
> > operating certificate or an operating certificate, the determination
> >required
> > by paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall be made by that operator of
the
> >
> > aircraft on which the particular device is to be used. In the case of
> >other
> > aircraft, the determination may be made by the pilot in command or
other
> > operator of the aircraft.
> >
> > Effective Date Note: At 54 FR 34291, August 18, 1989, Sec. 91.21 was
> > revised effective August 18, 1990, as set out above.
> >
> >------
> >Take note, please, of section c), above. It specifically states that the
> >determination is made by the PIC ONLY if it's not a commercial carrier.
The
> >'operator', in this sense, is the airline, not the pilot.
> >
> >Note also that section a) specifically statest that the PIC may NOT
permit
> >operation, except as this FAR sets out the rules.
> >
> >
> >
>

1503. question, Pro-55

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Millie King <millie_56@iname.com>
Message-ID: <373E1D67.59515979@iname.com>
Source record: 118724

I got a Pro-55 scanner today, but I do not know how to program it.
Can someone help me?

1504. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oscar <oscarrben@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <373E2046.24A137F6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 118725

I've been reading the threads so here's my question: If scanners, radios can/may interfere with navigation equipment then isn't it unsafe to buy a handheld nav/comm radio like the ICOM A-21 or A22 to use in light planes?!?!

1505. REQ: New Mexico Indian Casino Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: merlet@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <dFp%2.7712$me.3386865@WReNphoon4>
Source record: 118726

There are bunches of casinos in NM. Does anyone have the freq. for the one
in northern NM?

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

1506. Crystal Scanner Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark A. Kuehl" <mark@kuehl.com>
Message-ID: <7hla2c$a8j@world6.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 118727
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just picked up a Bearcat IV(Electra) at a Flea Market for a buck and it works great...I've got a couple Radio Shack crystal based scanners and decided it is time to have some questions answered...hope someone can help.

1. Are crystals interchangable between the two brands of scanners?

2. There are switches for each crystal on the units (U and L for Radioshack, U, L and UT? on the Bearcat...what are these for?

3. Where can you buy crystals. These would make great special purpose units.

Appreciate any help...

1507. Re: Homebrew Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raz Barlow <razbarlow@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7hlatu$3d3$1@ins8.netins.net>
Source record: 118728

Brad,
I have saved up enough money and bought a coathanger from a consignment
shop. Could you tell me how to make this elaborate antenna ?
Thanks,
Raz

Brad Granger wrote in message <373d6ac7.0@pink.one.net.au>...
>Pick a frequency range of interest, say, 165MHz. Use the formula 234/f MHz
=
>l (ft) so 234/165 = 1.4 ft which is about 17 1/2 inches.
>
>Take the coax, trim off about 18" of jacket, fold the braid back over the
>body of the coax and bingo, you have a coax dipole.
>
>OK, the braid will be a bit short, so just fiddle with it til you get the
>length but it works, cover it with pvc pipe, heatshrink or whatever and
>stick it in the air. It will also work as a 3/4 wavelength antenna at UHF.
>
>Now if you better performance than that, it will cost you. At least the
>price of a coathanger.
>
>Brad VK2QQ
>
>
>Raz Barlow wrote in message <7hforn$gh5$1@ins8.netins.net>...
>>I am thinking of buying a pro-62 handheld scanner and I want to run an
>>external antenna off of it. But I am cheap, does anyone have a cheap
>>homebrew scanner antenna that they would want to show me how to build ? I
>>live in a house , so it can be an outside antenna but I would like it to
be
>>as low budget as possible . I have a roll of cable t.v. coax waiting to be
>>used for something. Can I make an antenna out of this cable somehow ?
>>Thanks A Million !
>>Raz
>>
>>
>>
>
>

1508. FOR SALE : AOR 2700

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerfreq@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7517-373E2CA2-43@newsd-143.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118729

AOR AR2700 HANDHELD SCANNER.
500 CHANNELS. EXCELLENT CONDITION.

COMES WITH:
OWNERS MANUAL, BELT CLIP, NEW NICADS, CHARGER / POWER SUPPLY, NEW
PROTECTIVE CASE.
FREE SHIPPING TO THE LOWER 48.
$180.00

1509. FOR SALE: AOR 900

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerfreq@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7515-373E2D6B-129@newsd-143.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118730

AOR AR900 HANDHELD SCANNER.
100 CHANNELS. EXCELLENT CONDITION.

CHARGER / POWER SUPPLY, BATTERY PACK INCLUDED.
SORRY, NO MANUAL.
FREE SHIPPING TO THE LOWER 48.
$160.00

1510. Re: Crystal Scanner Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: aa949@gpfn.sk.ca
Message-ID: <8wq%2.8076$4S.5975295@WReNphoon3>
Source record: 118731
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In regards to buying crystals you have to buy them off the
net try alt.radio.swap newsgroup or any of the radio related
mail order sites.

Bill McMinn
http://www.angelfire.com/sc/ScannerNet

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

1511. FS: IC-R10, Scout & MOto GP68 VHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <373E3513.CFC7F13B@bv.net>
Source record: 118732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcguyver.vcf

I have for sale an Icom (unblocked R10) with Scout 40 reation tuner / freq counter. I have all cables, power supplies, instructions and software. I'll sell

the whole package for $700 shipped CONUS

Photos upon request

ALSO: I have one VHF GP68, 20 channel with rapid charger, good battery, speaker mic, ear/mic, manual and antenna (AS well as all boxes and instructions) I will sell combo for $400

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

1512. Re: FS:Realistic Pro 2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Phipps <sphipps@clandjop.com>
Message-ID: <7hlbgt$1f5m@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 118733

Where are you getting your info?? The following is a quote from the
Javaition UK homepage:

"There are no more :-(All stocks of the COM205 have been sold - the only
chance of obtaining one now is on the used market"

SP

JCM1168 wrote in message <19990515205126.00819.00001610@ng-cg1.aol.com>...
>You people are out of your minds you can buy a new one from Javaition in
the UK
>for 265...
>
>
>remove nospam to email

1513. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <I3r%2.1788$5e2.42226@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 118734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

After many readings of Mackeys posts, I look around. Yep, on my bookshelf are more than two books written by Bill Cheek. I bought them because they were worth the price. Learned much from them. Thanks, Bill, you made me smarter and happier.

Mackeys posts? Never learned a thing except that mackey appears to be jealous of Cheek. And an idiot. Did Mackey ever contribute anything useful? No.

What a fucking moron this Mackey is. He needs to get a life.

TR

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <373A6407.3E6C5256@usa.net>...
>Occurances would indicate you are correct.
>
>
>Ron wrote:
>
>> Sounds like the guys behind his arrest frequent this group and may also have
>> gotten tired of his crap.
>>
>> I find it hard to believe that his activity on this group did not have some
>> role to play in the whole thing.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

1514. Re: Why so many AOR AR82000 scanners for sale?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11a7f902fd56d553989795@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118735

While the selectivity of "most" scanners today is at the very least acceptable, it is indeed the sensitivity or the "ability to hear" that I consider paramount in a scanner. The AR8200 which I recently sold did indeed lack the necessary sensitivity to make it useful. The IC-R2 on the other hand was very capable of reception of many ranges (especially the 118-136 and 150-163mhz ranges)which the 8200 didn't even know was there. to me this in not an annoyance but simply a reason to get rid of a piece of equipment that is just "not doing the job". I have had many emails from others on this newsgroup that have found very much the same results. I recently picked up a Optocom and have found it to be yet another step up in virtually all areas of performance, both in selectivity and sensitivity. I never heard so much activity in the aero and railroad operations frequencies. Oh well, I suppose it is just another one of those live and learn situations.

1515. Re: Any Australian Scanner Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <373E6337.11FA@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118736

Laureen Baker wrote:
>
> Hi
>
> If anyone has any Australian scanning frequencies if you could please
> send them to me,
>
> Jezz

Check out the following URL:

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/links.html#AUST

1516. Calif freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: four <lexicon@free.net>
Message-ID: <cX3%2.3783$xa.36331@c01read02-admin.service.talkway.com>
Source record: 118743

Is there a Calif freq page anywhere?

Chow
--
Posted via Talkway - http://www.talkway.com
Exchange ideas on practically anything (tm).

1517. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Smith <double_hat_trick@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7hilg8$5km$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118744
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <373CC1D5.4E63@ameritech.net>,
theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net wrote:

> WHAT WITH ONLY 6 VOLTS, YOU CAN MAKE A SIGNAL GO 50 + MILES?
> COME ON NOW!

Uh, actually, it was 4.5 volts...

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1518. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmweber@goodnet.com
Message-ID: <373fcb9f.39483205@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 118745

O
>will all electronic devices to be operated ONCE flight altitude has been
>achieved. However however again, most airlines still prohibit some
>devices. Cell phones are out but out. Laptops are usually ok, but
>a lot of airlines will prohibit any external devices attached to the
>laptop,
>like CDs and Mice. It really depends on the airline.
>
>If the pilot didn't say turn off electronic devices, then have fun. But
>if they
>do, and you get caught, expect a probably confiscation of the unit, and
>probably a LOT more.
>
>Will the pilot know a scanner is on board? Well, set up two scanners
>sometime in your house. Set one on a single frequency like a weather
>station, and then let the other one scan. Depending on the frequencies
>you picked, you may get pop - pop - pop on the scanner. You may not.
>Just imagine the friend you'll have in the pilot if you start doing that
>to
>his radio gear in the cockpit.
Two comments, generally LO output is low, at most a milliwatt. Keep
in mind that most scanners have a 1st IF well in the VHF range and up
convert on HF and low VHF.

On Aircraft there are several things to worry about.
VHF Nav and VHF Com, both are situated between about 110 and 140Mhz,
well below the LO frequency. GPS is about 1500Mhz, and Satcoms are
much higher, so the LO is well below them.

The reality is most scanners do not have LO's in the frequency ranges
that are likely to be troublesome.

Cell phones present a different problem. with them it isn't the
frequency, it is the raw power involved. An analog mobile puts more
than 1000 times the power of an LO in a scanner. It's a brute force
problem.

1519. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me@biz.com
Message-ID: <373ccc2d.202076005@news.altopia.com>
Source record: 118746

On Fri, 14 May 1999 07:07:53 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
<skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:

>
>Jim Strand <chiefjim@unix.nospam.asb.com> wrote in message
>news:373e0474.3647386@news2.new-york.net...
>> On Thu, 13 May 1999 19:23:40 -0400, "Rick Pemble"
>> <pemble@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Yes, this has come up from time to time. There is no FAA regulation
>against
>> >scanners on board aircraft. Individual airlines may impose their own
>> >restrictions. Delta Airlines (which I fly all the time and use a scanner
>> >on) allows VHF scanner operations on board only when the aircraft is
>above
>> >10,000 feet (when they say "you can now use approved electronic
>devices").
>> >
>> >Some airlines strictly forbid it. One way to find out is by looking in
>the
>> >seat pocket flight magazine that every airline has. In the back there
>will
>> >be a definition of "approved electronic devices".
>> >
>> >When this was brought up about a year ago, one guy reported that he asked
>> >the pilot which frequencies he used and why he wanted to know and the
>pilot
>> >told him.
>> >
>> >I tried that several times -- some of them would tell me, others refused
>to
>> >know anything about anything and seemed surprised Delta would allow radio
>> >scanners.
>> >
>> >So, I just keep a low profile, scanner in the flight bag and headphones
>so
>> >it looks like I'm listening to a CD -- and I have a copy of the approved
>> >electronic devices page I tore out of a magazine, just in case.
>> >
>> >Have fun.
>> >
>> >Rick
>> You run a higher chance of rejection based upon ignorance of the crew.
>>

>> Suggest anyone desiring to use a scanner aboard an airline use their
>> computer to create a minor data sheet. Let this explain the device is
>> a scanning radio receiver and not a transmitter. This way you can
>> have the flight attendent present it to the pilot for approval without
>> her editing the meaning along the way.
>
>Note that for a commercial carrier aircraft, the pilot is NOT the 'operator'
>for purposes of determining whether a device can be used, the AIRLINE is.
>They could, if they choose, delegate that authority to the Pilot, but it's
>not his to decide.

Incorrect. The FAR reference is 91.3;

"The pilot in command of an aircraft is directly responsible
for, and is the final authority as to the operation of that aircraft."

Therefore the Captain (pilot in command) has final say so
regarding any matter on board the aircraft. If the Company's (the
operator) policy if in violation of FARs or is a safety issue in the
captains opinion, the captain does not have to obey it.

1520. Re: Ocean City Md

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <lm4%2.903$yv6.1530@news.flash.net>
Source record: 118747

In article <373AD4F1.7EAB1AF9@psu.edu>, ajd1@psu.edu says...
>
>We are going to Ocean City for vacation. Does anyone have frequencies
>for Ocean City and other local beaches. Also Deleware Beaches. Thank you
>in advance.
>

I just added a section for Ocean City Freqs on my website. We have a beach house there and am there everyweekend. (actually I'm writing this post from Ocean City, MD!)

I just added a new scanner column to my RadiosOnLine E-Zine. The artical is about scanning the Ocean City area.

Check it out http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

Thanks,
George
RadiosOnLine
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

1521. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me@biz.com
Message-ID: <373dd10c.203323212@news.altopia.com>
Source record: 118748

On Fri, 14 May 1999 14:25:05 GMT, jmweber@goodnet.com (James Matthew Weber) wrote:

>On Fri, 14 May 1999 11:13:35 GMT, chiefjim@unix.nospam.asb.com (Jim
>Strand) wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 13 May 1999 19:23:40 -0400, "Rick Pemble"
>><pemble@earthlink.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Yes, this has come up from time to time. There is no FAA regulation against
>>>scanners on board aircraft. Individual airlines may impose their own
>>>restrictions. Delta Airlines (which I fly all the time and use a scanner
>>>on) allows VHF scanner operations on board only when the aircraft is above
>>>10,000 feet (when they say "you can now use approved electronic devices").
>>>
>
>>You run a higher chance of rejection based upon ignorance of the crew.
>>
>Probably a not so mild understatement. I actually was denied
>permission to use GPS on an International Carrier. I asked to speak to
>the captain about it, and they said he was too busy. What was
>especially unpleasant was the GPS in question was actually TSO'd, and
>specifically approved for aircraft use by the FAA. Cabin staff had no
>idea what it meant to have a TSO.
>
>The regulatory agency in the country that was home to this carrier
>automatically accepts a TSO from the FAA as being legal for operation
>in aircraft.
>

There are no portable GPS's that are TSO'd that I'm aware of.
What's the manufacturer and model number of your GPS?

1522. Re: Marine Frequencies Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <Po4%2.905$yv6.1530@news.flash.net>
Source record: 118749

In article <373B8034.4B9111@frontiernet.net>, magnum@frontiernet.net says...
>
>Can anyone help with a list of marine frequencies? All I currently have
>is channel 16. Thanks for your help......Glenn.
>

I have a list of Marine freqs including what they are used for on the
RadiosOnLine E-Zine website http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios.

I just updated the Scanner Column artical which talks about scanning the Ocean
City area. The US Coast Guard Freqs are listed there and they are the same for
the entire U.S.

Take a look
Thanks
George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

1523. Re: BC Radio Freqs For San Francisco Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7hikkb$br8@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118750

In <19990514165725.00982.00000823@ng-cc1.aol.com> pi3p1415@aol.com
(PI3P1415) writes:
>
>Is there a web site that gives the freqs and format used by the radio
stations
>in the San Francisco area?
>
>Thanks
>J
there is a book
for this collection
at most
scanner shops

bill conduit
etc.

1524. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: moonman <moonman@telcomplus.net>
Message-ID: <373E2A9D.584B8A76@telcomplus.net>
Source record: 118751

it can be upgraded to 1600 channels with a little work

David Lippert wrote:

> There is a way to have a second bank of memories by adding some switches to
> the memory chip address lines. This mod went around about five years ago.
> you can only scan one block of channels at a time however.
>
> Gdfthr768 wrote in message <19990513002356.08516.00001166@ng19.aol.com>...
> >Is the BC760xlt capable of being opened up to have more channels in memory?
> It
> >has the stock 100 channel scan, but I am wondering if it can be upped by
> >another 25 or 50 channels...
> >Thanks-
> >Mike

1525. BC895 Line Recording question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kt4ht@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990514224542.19243.00000807@ng122.aol.com>
Source record: 118752

In trying to record from my BC895XLT, I've noticed that the Line Out level is variable based upon volume. This means that the speaker volume is on while recording. Is there any way to silence the unit while recording?

(Using the Ex. Spr. jack to record with even the slightest volume over drives the recorder.)

Thanks,

Mike

1526. Where to fix BC 800XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kkoller@earthlink.NOSPAM.net
Message-ID: <7hijj4$2go$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118753

I have an old Bearcat 800XLT that broke, the volume knob broke off the cicuit board. Is there anywhere in Southern California where I can get it fixed?

Ken Koller
kkoller@earthlink.net
EMT, American Medical Response, Los Angeles, CA
http://westarm.bc.ca/Ken_Koller/fireline.html

Your blood and guts, my bread and butter

1527. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <7hiosv$h72@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 118754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael (MKlevickis@worldnet.att.net) wrote:
: Spike wrote:
: >follious things as in keying ...
: >stupid code and HAM radio's.

: Calm yourself, Spike. You need not become so emotional about another's
: hobby. Then again, you might constructively use YOUR time to learn how
: to write and spell and construct proper sentences. The plural of radio
: is not "radio's"; and what, pray tell, does your word "follious" mean?
: In a way, I will agree with your position. If you cannot even use simple
: English, how COULD you learn code?

: Michael K.

Abbreviations?
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

1528. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990514232404.00810.00001090@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 118755

Tim you are a rude jerk and as everyone can see your opinon doesnt count for squat!!! Some one is just trying to get some feedback and stating what he did thats it you have to come out and bite peoples heads off.. JERK

1529. FRS radios any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ejdenni@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990514234946.13051.00000653@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 118756

Are the new FRS radios any good? How far do they really
reach? The advertised two miles seems too good to be true
for these little radios. Do they work between moving vehicles?
Are models with privacy codes worth the extra cost? How
long do batteries normally last?
Any comments on your experience would be appreciated.

Ed

1530. Re: Scanner Freq.s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <373CF314.95AF3D8B@gnc.net>
Source record: 118757

http://www.perconcorp.com/datafinder/index.html
http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/index.html
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Raz Barlow wrote:

> I once had a link to a scanner page and now I can't find it. It was a page
> for scanners that was actually a search page. You typed in the name and it
> gave you the freq. or viceversa.
> Does anyone recall such a page ?
> Thanks,
> Raz

1531. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: George White <deeply@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7hitv8$45l$0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 118758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

wildthing wrote:

> It is EXACTLY this MENTALITY of NOT UNDERSTANDING which creates the WHOLE
> Y2K PANIC and EXPLOITATION TODAY!
>
> Spike wrote in message ...
> Damned right it is legal.
> Your radio can easily gum with their electronics.

Are you an electronic technician that can verify that his specific radio can
do so?

> You get on a plane and show your scanner to me and I'll
> hold you down and rip the batteries out.
>

Then I'd laugh as your head got ripped off.
Why would he show his scanner to you anyway?

> Your not gonna cause me to die with your stupid hobby.

If the hobby is so "stupid" why are you in a scanner newsgroup?
I've seen stupid people in my time, but Spike, you win first prize.
You should learn about scanners and whatnot before you open your mouth.
I'd bet if you were stranded on top of a mountain in -40 degree weather
and needed help and the only communication possible was a ham radio
I'd bet dollars to doughnuts you'd have a different view of things.
Think before you post Spike, it tends to save bandwidth.

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet

1532. IC-R2 Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard W Cowgill <lakers34@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <01be9e23$3a6fc500$57f4d9cf@default>
Source record: 118759

Can anyone tell me how to set a priority channel when using this unit in the "memory scan" mode? I like the radio a great deal but can't seem to figure this one out...

thnx,
Rich

1533. Re: FBI on Low Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc4art@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7hj12mdnk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118760

Tell me about it. I am a dispatcher and also a ham. It is sometimes impossable to explain to the officers and some other dispatchers just how these little black boxes they carry on there belt work.

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1534. Re: FS: PRO 52 VHF Hi/Lo (8 crystals)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc4art@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7hj15udpl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118761

what freqs is it on right now?

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1535. mdt.poopie.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ychung@uiuc.edu
Message-ID: <o87%2.1495$xg.84@firefly>
Source record: 118762

This site is weird.

1536. Visit the " techno freebie site" ..no ads , no banners, no nada...!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: a_alpha1@i.am
Message-ID: <373d0062.38915264@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 118763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,microsoft.public.windowsce

Are you looking for programs like winflex4 ...DSP Blaster.....SBFFT
..and other hard to find programs ......??
How about specialized software like special software to decode
the datastream of cordless telephones...?? (or cellfones)
Want the the latest upgraded version of xmit_id , the kool
software for transmitter waveform capture....?? (repeater
jammer ID, garage door opener, countermeasures ops...)

Do you need a supply of completely free IC chips...??

Would you like to have collections of circuit building
plans and information.....???

Do you need a great inexpensive 12volt car power
supply for your handheld computer...??

Find all of this and much more at the
Moxina Mind Spring " techno freebies site "...!!
It is a non comercial, private site hosted by
TIgEas LAiR for the technical hobbyist .

visit
http://home.pacbell.net/kaliber/Index2.htm
>The abyss
or
i.am/a_alpha1
hey.to/a_alpha1
Fast.to/a_alpha1
>main page >The abyss

Please reload any pages that you might have visited before...
be sure and check the " special site selections " area
for interesting and useful links too.

Thanks for visiting the techno freebies site ...please feel
free to email us with suggestions for additions or
additional resources that you think that others might
like to know about.

1537. Re: mdt.poopie.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: ychung@uiuc.edu
Message-ID: <cC7%2.1496$xg.84@firefly>
Source record: 118764

cool, if you click in the first badges star you get mdtsetup. weird

1538. Pro-43 w/cell mods For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: diekast@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990515013927.21436.00001176@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 118765

It is not illegal to own, but is illegal to use on cellular frequencies. Excellent condition with everything working as new. Includes extra battery, quick charger, and long car cord. You pay what I did: $250 shipped and insured.

Thanks!

1539. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <373D158C.17C1@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118766
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
Jeff Smith wrote:
>
> In article <373CC1D5.4E63@ameritech.net>,
> theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net wrote:
>
> > WHAT WITH ONLY 6 VOLTS, YOU CAN MAKE A SIGNAL GO 50 + MILES?
> > COME ON NOW!
>
> Uh, actually, it was 4.5 volts...
>
> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
THE RADIO SHACK FRS - 106 MODEL TAKES 4 DOUBLE A BATTERIES.
1.5 VOLTS * 4 = 6.0 VOLTS
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!
```

1540. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990515025038.25678.00000675@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 118767

> it can be upgraded to 1600 channels with a little work
>

Yikes! That's a bit much for me, thanks though! I don't really know what I'd do with 1600 channels in memory... :-)
I did the modification posted and it works very well indeed. But I find now that while it is scanning, in either "100 ch." group, I can hear an intermittent chuffing/popping sound as each channel clears across the scan. It's not loud or annoying (yet!) but perhaps someone can give me some ideas about fixing this, or if it can be fixed at all. Would it help to use some different resistors than those suggested, or adding some capacitance across something?
Mike

1541. Re: Visit the " techno freebie site" ..no ads , no banners, no nada...!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anton Wesselink <starplus@xs4all.nl>
Message-ID: <373D1F56.C45BED91@xs4all.nl>
Source record: 118768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,microsoft.public.windowsce

NO NADA look good .....much software and freebees
Anton

a_alpha1 schreef:

> Are you looking for programs like winflex4 ...DSP Blaster.....SBFFT
> ..and other hard to find programs ......??
> How about specialized software like special software to decode
> the datastream of cordless telephones...?? (or cellfones)
> Want the the latest upgraded version of xmit_id , the kool
> software for transmitter waveform capture....?? (repeater
> jammer ID, garage door opener, countermeasures ops...)
>
> Do you need a supply of completely free IC chips...??
>
> Would you like to have collections of circuit building
> plans and information.....???
>
> Do you need a great inexpensive 12volt car power
> supply for your handheld computer...??
>
> Find all of this and much more at the
> Moxina Mind Spring " techno freebies site "...!!
> It is a non comercial, private site hosted by
> TIgEas LAiR for the technical hobbyist .
>
> visit
> http://home.pacbell.net/kaliber/Index2.htm
> >The abyss
> or
> i.am/a_alpha1
> hey.to/a_alpha1
> Fast.to/a_alpha1
> >main page >The abyss
>
> Please reload any pages that you might have visited before...
> be sure and check the " special site selections " area
> for interesting and useful links too.
>
> Thanks for visiting the techno freebies site ...please feel
> free to email us with suggestions for additions or
> additional resources that you think that others might
> like to know about.

1542. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <373d48b3.4549258@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 118769
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

:WELL MR. TROJAN HORSE, FRS IS ALMOST LIKE CB RADIO EXCEPT WHERE CB RADIO
:OPERATES IN THE SHORTWAVE RADIO RANGE OF AROUND 26.995 MEGACYLES TO
:27.405 MEGACYCLES IN A.M AND SIDEBAND MODES, FRS OPERATES IN THE UHF
:RANGE AROUND 467 MEGACYLES IN NARROW FM MODE.
:--
:MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
:CELEBRATE IT!!!!

HELLO? Could you turn the volume down please?

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

1543. Have you see it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Duez Samuel <mcleod1455@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <373D4791.A24A0504@hotmail.com>
Source record: 118770

you can find hundreds of links about scanning
at http://www.fortunecity.com/meltingpot/salash/186

1544. Re: BC 200 XLT Scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <373D5B6D.872D8B3A@uswest.net>
Source record: 118771
Cross-posted to:
alt.firefighters,alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Funny,
I sold mine recently on e-bay (didn't give any details as to frequency range,
etc)
and I recieved $195 for it!

Cell phone capable scanners are going for a little more these days.

Hawke

Piero Sassu wrote:

> The same scanner in similar condition is for sale on several of the
> newsgroups for $100 to $150 shipped.
>
> Jason wrote:
> >
> > I have a Uniden BC200XLT scanner for sale. $210 shipped.
> > Bought radio new in box from fellow ham for $280 due to the collectivity of
> > the radio. It is modified to receive the full 800 MHz band. Only used 3
> > times. Unfortunately I discarded the box a few moths back however, I do have
> > the instructions, charger, case,and antenna for it. This has been in a
> > non-smoking home and has been taken care of VERY well. If interested, email
> > me at
> > bsfire@gulftel.com
> >
> > Jason Bishop
> >
> > KE4KZY

1545. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <373D5A7F.AC4BB251@uswest.net>
Source record: 118772

Afraid not.
A scanning reciever does use an rf MICER and also uses a 107 MHz IF.

Guess what, if it isn't adequately shieldled and you happen to be scanning
either 10 MHz or 22 MHz above the vhf AIRCom bands, you can create
an enormous amount of interference (consider that the aircraft is essentially
a faraday cage). So, while you are trying to listen to the world outside
the aircraft, you can be creating a hazzard to the aircraft (and yes, the FAA
Will prosecute on that basis alone).

Basically, the point is moot. The FAA has made it clear per the FAR's
that radio equipment of any kind not garmane to the operation of the aircraft
is prohibited from use onboard said commercial flights.

Hawke

Phil Smalley wrote:

>
> This is exactly what I was talking about. Regardless of the airlines policy,
> there is just no way a portable scanner is going to hose up an aircraft. The
> very idea is absurd.
>
> However, FAA reg's do require that passengers comply with ALL instructions
> given by the flight crew. So the moral is, if the cabin crew asks you to
> turn it off, then bite your tongue and do so, withouut raising a stink.
>
> -Phil

1546. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <373D5BD9.321EBDBF@uswest.net>
Source record: 118773
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

Ham radio operators do it all the time on HT's and a 6 volt power pack running
only
100 Miliwatts!. Its easy. The concept is called LINE OF SIGHT!

Hawke

RADIO MAN wrote:

> WHAT WITH ONLY 6 VOLTS, YOU CAN MAKE A SIGNAL GO 50 + MILES?
> COME ON NOW!
> --
> RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1547. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Granger <bgranger@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <373d67b9.0@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 118774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

>Agreed. About the only way I could kill someone with my scanner would be to
>beat them over the head with it. Probably would do more damage to the
>scanner than to them, anyhow.

Are you so sure about that? Have you checked to see if the local oscillators radiate rubbish through the unshielded palstic case when you are tuning about? Do you know what frequencies the LO is on when you are tuning to your favourite station? Do you know if your seat assignment and therefore your scanner antenna is near a window closest to the aircraft VHF Com antennas?

Chances are very very slim that you will interfere with nav receivers, sure, but how would you know? (don't get smart. I'm an Avionics Tech and my scanner gets used as test equipment every day) I am also surprised that more of these devices don't interfere with the miniscule GPS signals.

I was asked to turn my scanner off last time I was flying from Orlando to San Francisco, which was a pity, I was having a ball listening to Alabama Police, broadcast AM and FM and hams whilst flying back. They do have that right, it is backed up by the FAR's (Federal Aviation Regulations) and they can have the biggest, burliest cop waiting for you at the gate if you refuse.

Of course, you just be a whole lot more subtle about it. throw the radio along with your keys and small change into the little wooden tray at the xray and let them scan it (pun eh?). They will think it is a mobile phone and cause you no more grief. I know this works, it works for me, in the USA and here in Australia.

When you are wandering the airport listening, don't draw attention to yourself, play it smart and leave the radio in a pocket or on your hip, listen with a pair of walkman headphones, no-one will know the difference. On my last trip I was carrying both scanner and 2m HT. Just have a jacket with big pockets.

Brad VK2QQ

1548. Strange Signals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: birdfan1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990515082448.00822.00001280@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 118775

When I am listening to frequencies like 460.800 a a very strong but very quiet station comes in, but right in the middle of saying he shifts to 463.075, then he (of whoever he is talking to) shows up on 468.100 and keeps moving around. Does anyone know what this is?

Birdfan1

1549. Re: Homebrew Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Granger <bgranger@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <373d6ac7.0@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 118776

Pick a frequency range of interest, say, 165MHz. Use the formula 234/f MHz =
l (ft) so 234/165 = 1.4 ft which is about 17 1/2 inches.

Take the coax, trim off about 18" of jacket, fold the braid back over the
body of the coax and bingo, you have a coax dipole.

OK, the braid will be a bit short, so just fiddle with it til you get the
length but it works, cover it with pvc pipe, heatshrink or whatever and
stick it in the air. It will also work as a 3/4 wavelength antenna at UHF.

Now if you better performance than that, it will cost you. At least the
price of a coathanger.

Brad VK2QQ

Raz Barlow wrote in message <7hforn$gh5$1@ins8.netins.net>...
>I am thinking of buying a pro-62 handheld scanner and I want to run an
>external antenna off of it. But I am cheap, does anyone have a cheap
>homebrew scanner antenna that they would want to show me how to build ? I
>live in a house , so it can be an outside antenna but I would like it to be
>as low budget as possible . I have a roll of cable t.v. coax waiting to be
>used for something. Can I make an antenna out of this cable somehow ?
>Thanks A Million !
>Raz
>
>
>

1550. Re: BC895 Line Recording question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <#Tugc0sn#GA.338@nih2naaa.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 118777

kt4ht@aol.com (Kt4ht) wrote:
> In trying to record from my BC895XLT, I've noticed that the Line Out level
> is variable based upon volume. This means that the speaker volume is on
> while recording. Is there any way to silence the unit while recording?

The simple solution is to insert an unconnected (dummy) plug into the
external speaker jack.

> (Using the Ex. Spr. jack to record with even the slightest volume
> over drives the recorder.)

The better approach is to add an attenuator in-line with the cable from
the external speaker jack to the recorder "mic" input. Radio Shack sells a
40db attenuator/adapter (#264-300) for $3.49. It accepts an RCA plug and fits
an 1/8" jack on the recorder, so you may need an additional adapter to match
whatever cord/jack/plug you have. R.S. was also supposed to sell an attenuator
cable with 1/8" plug & jack, but I've never been able to find it in the
catalog.

1551. PRO-62 over PRO-90 Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raz Barlow <razbarlow@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7hjtgelec1@ins8.netins.net>
Source record: 118778

I am now debating on getting a used 90 over the 62. I live out in the
boonies about 32 miles from a decent size town with alot of law enforcement.
I also live about 15 miles from the nearest cell tower .
Am I really going to get another $100 worth of use out of a 90 over the 62 ?
Or will the 62 get anything I am wanting to hear ?
Thanks Guys for all you help the past week or so !
Raz

1552. Mods for a PRO-62 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raz Barlow <razbarlow@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7hjths$jf5$1@ins8.netins.net>
Source record: 118779

Is there any freq. mods for this unit ? Or any mods at all ?
Thanks,
Raz

1553. Re: ?WINRADIO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <5mf%2.2573$xy1.6304@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 118780

Lee:

Have they done anything to improve the software? Although I haven't tried
it myself, I keep hearing it's antiquated DOS based, and seriously limited.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

Lee Rothman <dcisive@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.11a69a9f5ab93d0598978e@news.earthlink.net...
> I had a WR-1500e and was sorely disappointed in it's actual performance.
> I now own a Optocom and LOVE IT. I couldn't recommend it highly enough. I
> suppose the thing that surprised me most about it was it's ease of setup
> and sensitivity. I wasn't expecting it to be so sensitive and yet have no
> intermod problems which are prevalent around where I live. I think the
> Optocom is a "must have" for any scanner enthusiast. Besides, the planned
> upgrades are astounding and the company support is great (and here in the
> U.S I might add)...
>

1554. E-Trax & OptoCOM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <cyber357@nwol.net>
Message-ID: <7hjvv6$679$1@hornet.fibr.net>
Source record: 118781

Anyone using an OptoCOM with E-Trax software
to trunk track an EDACS system please contact
me by email.

cyber357@nwol.net

1555. For Sale: BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcjones <dcjones@widomaker.com>
Message-ID: <01be9ede$899934c0$acb360d1@default>
Source record: 118782

Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT Tracker Scanner For Sale
3 Months Old
2 Battery Packs
Battery Charger
AC Adapter
All Manuals
In Original Box
$180 Negotiable

1556. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rik Rasmussen <rik@vnet.net>
Message-ID: <sag%2.314$JF1.39449@ralph.vnet.net>
Source record: 118783
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

NASA has been doing it for 40 years.

--
Rik Rasmussen
editor
Two Way Radio Directory at:
http://dmoz.org/Business/Business_Services/Two_Way_Radio/
Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net> wrote in message
news:373D5BD9.321EBDBF@uswest.net...
> Ham radio operators do it all the time on HT's and a 6 volt power pack
running
> only
> 100 Miliwatts!. Its easy. The concept is called LINE OF SIGHT!
>
> Hawke
>
> RADIO MAN wrote:
>
>
> > WHAT WITH ONLY 6 VOLTS, YOU CAN MAKE A SIGNAL GO 50 + MILES?
> > COME ON NOW!
> > --
> > RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> > FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!
>

1557. FS: Uniden BC895XLT, Perfect Cond.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "news.cd.mediaone.net" <langetec@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <06g%2.2025$Nj1.26955889@ronws01.ce.mediaone.net>
Source record: 118784

Like new, only 10 months old. Original box, manuals. $165 Shipped.

--
Replies to langetec@nospam.net please
replace nospam.net with mediaone.net

1558. Re: ?WINRADIO?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Gmg%2.2582$xy1.6325@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 118785

(I'm referring to the software that comes with the Optocom, not the WiNRADiO)

Bill Crocker

Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message news:5mf%2.2573$xy1.6304@news.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Lee:
>
> Have they done anything to improve the software? Although I haven't tried
> it myself, I keep hearing it's antiquated DOS based, and seriously limited.
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> Lee Rothman <dcisive@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.11a69a9f5ab93d0598978e@news.earthlink.net...
> > I had a WR-1500e and was sorely disappointed in it's actual performance.
> > I now own a Optocom and LOVE IT.

1559. FS: New Bird wattmeter model 4304A $350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: pwserctr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990515113850.02102.00000984@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 118786

Band new still in the box, Bird wattmeter model 4304A $350. Original price $500. Allows readings from 25-1000 MHz on five power range settings at 5, 15, 50, 150, and 500 watts. A picture can be seen on our web site at

http://www.pwservice.com/parts.htm
http://www.pwservice.com

1560. Re: BC6700A Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: noobody@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990516153726.13212.00001426@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 118587

>From: Ivan <clitbone@hotmail.com>

>I have a Bearcat BC700A, and I am trying to find information on any
>possible mods.

If it's the right date code try here.

http://members.aol.com/noobody/scan4.htm

1561. Mod illustrations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: noobody@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990516153935.13212.00001428@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 118588

Modifications with illustrations.....

http://members.aol.com/noobody/scan4.htm

1562. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@ofyourbiz.com
Message-ID: <37431eab.354289043@news.altopia.com>
Source record: 118589

On Sat, 15 May 1999 18:32:54 -0700, Oscar <oscarrben@worldnet.att.net>
wrote:

>I've been reading the threads so here's my question: If scanners, radios
>can/may interfere with navigation equipment then isn't it unsafe to buy
>a handheld nav/comm radio like the ICOM A-21 or A22 to use in light
>planes?!?!

No, unless you use the radio during an IFR (instrument) flight. Most
pilots like myself buy a hand held for emergency backup during
instrument flight and we usually have a portable GPS for back-up nav.
Electrical system failures do happen from time to time.
The other widespread use is for sport aviation (homebuilts,
ultralights,etc). Another wide spread use is in vintage aircraft such
as the Piper Cub which has no electrical system.

1563. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@ofyourbiz.com
Message-ID: <3744211b.354912825@news.altopia.com>
Source record: 118590

PakRat,
I'm not an expert, everyone makes errors, but I deal with the
FARs on a regular basis. I've been an airline and a corporate pilot
for almost 30 years. I am a Captain. I do know the regs that apply to
air carrier operations.

On Sat, 15 May 1999 21:13:56 GMT, nowhere@guess.com (PakRat) wrote:

>Hey GollyGee and Skip Sanders what qualifies either of you as experts
>on the subject. Just wondering.
>
>
>
>On Fri, 14 May 1999 21:26:57 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
><skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>GollyGee <Me@biz.com> wrote in message
>>news:373ccc2d.202076005@news.altopia.com...
>>> On Fri, 14 May 1999 07:07:53 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
>>> <skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>> >Note that for a commercial carrier aircraft, the pilot is NOT the
>>'operator'
>>> >for purposes of determining whether a device can be used, the AIRLINE is.
>>> >They could, if they choose, delegate that authority to the Pilot, but
>>it's
>>> >not his to decide.
>>>
>>> Incorrect. The FAR reference is 91.3;
>>>
>>> "The pilot in command of an aircraft is directly responsible
>>> for, and is the final authority as to the operation of that aircraft."
>>>
>>> Therefore the Captain (pilot in command) has final say so
>>> regarding any matter on board the aircraft. If the Company's (the
>>> operator) policy if in violation of FARs or is a safety issue in the
>>> captains opinion, the captain does not have to obey it.
>>>
>>
>>Sorry, WRONG. Here is the FAR applicable, again:
>>
>>Sec. 91.21 Portable electronic devices.
>>
>> (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, no person may
>> operate, nor may any operator or pilot in command of an aircraft allow the

>> operation of, any portable electronic device on any of the following U.S.-
>> registered civil aircraft:
>> (1) Aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier operating
>>certificate
>> or an operating certificate; or
>> (2) Any other aircraft while it is operated under IFR.
>> (b) Paragraph (a) of this section does not apply to--
>> (1) Portable voice recorders;
>> (2) Hearing aids;
>> (3) Heart pacemakers;
>> (4) Electric shavers; or
>> (5) Any other portable electronic device that the operator of the
>>aircraft
>> has determined will not cause interference with the navigation or
>> communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.
>> (c) In the case of an aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier
>> operating certificate or an operating certificate, the determination
>>required
>> by paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall be made by that operator of the
>>
>> aircraft on which the particular device is to be used. In the case of
>>other
>> aircraft, the determination may be made by the pilot in command or other
>> operator of the aircraft.
>>
>> Effective Date Note: At 54 FR 34291, August 18, 1989, Sec. 91.21 was
>> revised effective August 18, 1990, as set out above.
>>
>>------
>>Take note, please, of section c), above. It specifically states that the
>>determination is made by the PIC ONLY if it's not a commercial carrier. The
>>'operator', in this sense, is the airline, not the pilot.
>>
>>Note also that section a) specifically statest that the PIC may NOT permit
>>operation, except as this FAR sets out the rules.
>>
>>
>>

1564. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: None@ofyourbiz.com
Message-ID: <374524ec.355889545@news.altopia.com>
Source record: 118591

And since your so good at reading english, what does part121 and part
135 of the regs say about the captains authority?

On Sat, 15 May 1999 17:59:45 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
<skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:

>Possibly the ability to read english? Well regulatory english, anyway.
>It's not so very hard to read, you can learn to do it to. The regulation
>flat out STATES that the PIC can't approve it, only the 'operator' can. The
>operator is the airline. They can, and some do, delegate that authority, to
>the pilots.
>-----------------
>PakRat <nowhere@guess.com> wrote in message
>news:373fe2dd.273430097@news.altopia.com...
>> Hey GollyGee and Skip Sanders what qualifies either of you as experts
>> on the subject. Just wondering.
>>
>>
>>
>> On Fri, 14 May 1999 21:26:57 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
>> <skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >GollyGee <Me@biz.com> wrote in message
>> >news:373ccc2d.202076005@news.altopia.com...
>> >> On Fri, 14 May 1999 07:07:53 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
>> >> <skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:
>> >> >Note that for a commercial carrier aircraft, the pilot is NOT the
>> >'operator'
>> >> >for purposes of determining whether a device can be used, the AIRLINE
>is.
>> >> >They could, if they choose, delegate that authority to the Pilot, but
>> >it's
>> >> >not his to decide.
>> >>
>> >> Incorrect. The FAR reference is 91.3;
>> >>
>> >> "The pilot in command of an aircraft is directly responsible
>> >> for, and is the final authority as to the operation of that aircraft."
>> >>
>> >> Therefore the Captain (pilot in command) has final say so
>> >> regarding any matter on board the aircraft. If the Company's (the
>> >> operator) policy if in violation of FARs or is a safety issue in the
>> >> captains opinion, the captain does not have to obey it.
>> >>

>> >
>> >Sorry, WRONG. Here is the FAR applicable, again:
>> >
>> >Sec. 91.21 Portable electronic devices.
>> >
>> > (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, no person
>may
>> > operate, nor may any operator or pilot in command of an aircraft allow
>the
>> > operation of, any portable electronic device on any of the following
>U.S.-
>> > registered civil aircraft:
>> > (1) Aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier operating
>> >certificate
>> > or an operating certificate; or
>> > (2) Any other aircraft while it is operated under IFR.
>> > (b) Paragraph (a) of this section does not apply to--
>> > (1) Portable voice recorders;
>> > (2) Hearing aids;
>> > (3) Heart pacemakers;
>> > (4) Electric shavers; or
>> > (5) Any other portable electronic device that the operator of the
>> >aircraft
>> > has determined will not cause interference with the navigation or
>> > communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.
>> > (c) In the case of an aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier
>> > operating certificate or an operating certificate, the determination
>> >required
>> > by paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall be made by that operator of
>the
>> >
>> > aircraft on which the particular device is to be used. In the case of
>> >other
>> > aircraft, the determination may be made by the pilot in command or
>other
>> > operator of the aircraft.
>> >
>> > Effective Date Note: At 54 FR 34291, August 18, 1989, Sec. 91.21 was
>> > revised effective August 18, 1990, as set out above.
>> >
>> >------
>> >Take note, please, of section c), above. It specifically states that the
>> >determination is made by the PIC ONLY if it's not a commercial carrier.
>The
>> >'operator', in this sense, is the airline, not the pilot.
>> >
>> >Note also that section a) specifically statest that the PIC may NOT
>permit
>> >operation, except as this FAR sets out the rules.
>> >

>> >
>> >
>>

1565. MDT in Massachusetts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: spamtrap@bleeding-head.com
Message-ID: <373ef2ba.22320624@news.crocker.com>
Source record: 118592

So, anyone know where and what frequencies MDT may be in use
in Massachusetts? I'd prefer any responses regarding western MA, but
anywhere will do (southern VT and northern CT would be fine, too).

1566. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: al@boltons.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <373f2a0c.40053508@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 118593
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

With 6V and a few components I can build a low power (QRP) amateur
radio transmitter which can send my signal via a good aerial (antenna)
THOUSANDS of miles around the world!

It's commonplace.

Want to know more? Buy some books on ham radio or ask me.

Regards,
Al Bolton
OK8VSQ/G4VSQ
Caversham, UK

On Fri, 14 May 1999 20:37:41 -0400, RADIO MAN
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Jeff Smith wrote:
>>
>> In article <jbe_2.1350$Ok.313@news1.atl>,
>> "Asmodean" <asmo@third.age> wrote:
>> > This excerpt, written by Ken Reiss, was taken from the Scan Tech section of
>> > the May '99 issue of Popular Communications:
>> >
>> > ...There are a couple of related, but different, modes that also take place
>> > in the E-layer....
>>
>> Thanks for the info!
>>
>> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
>> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
>WHAT WITH ONLY 6 VOLTS, YOU CAN MAKE A SIGNAL GO 50 + MILES?
>COME ON NOW!
>--
>RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
>FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1567. Re: BC895 info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <7hnbn2$c1s$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 118594

I also have a 895. Works great,with a discriminator tap i decode acars,weather sats and it receives voice transmissions well to.

1568. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Franck E." <moumoug@cybercom.fr>
Message-ID: <7hneiagqn1@jaydee.iway.fr>
Source record: 118595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

James Rosenthal a ï¿½crit dans le message <7hiosv$h72@nntp.seflin.org>...

1569. Re: Cell & Cordless frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: bigb7 <bigb7@ahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7hnfqb$c9p$1@news.inquo.net>
Source record: 118596

Try http://members.tripod.com/states098/ham/cell.htm

BigB7
http://members.tripod.com/bigb7/freq.htm

Udo Schmiedl wrote in message <7hdg94kqa1@nntp3.u.washington.edu>...
>I have an old (1-2 years) Radio Shack handheld scanner...
>Does anyone know of any cell phone or cordless phone frequencies that
>wouldn't be blocked? I would appreciate it if someone replies with anything
>other than a flame because cell listening is illegal.
>
>

1570. Re: BC895 Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <eepivi#n#GA.120@nih2naab.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 118597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill O'Brien <bdobrien@swbell.net>
> Where could someone find technical details on the serial protocol and
> programming commands for a BC 895XLT??

The interface is a 5-pin PCB header (.025" square pins on 0.1" centers).
The mating socket is standard (TechAmerica's Cat# 910-1471 is 25 cents,
plus 15 cents for 3 contacts), but a segment cut out of a DIP socket will
work just as well. The pinout is symmetric, with pins 1&5 (the outer ones)
carrying data in (to the radio), 2&4 are data out (from the radio), and
pin 3 is ground.

The cable connections are:

BC895 DB9 DB25
1 <= to radio 3 2
2 from radio => 2 3
3 <= ground => 5 7
(TR-CD-DSR) 4-1-6 20-8-6 *
(RTS-CTS) 7-8 4-5 *

* If you will be using a standard communication program, add the two sets
of jumpers in the RS-232 connector to simulate the normal control signals.

The comm protcol is half-duplex, 9600 baud, 8/N/1. Commands to the radio
should be terminated with a C/R only.

BC895 Computer Control Commands

"o" =blank, report status; =N, turn on; =O, turn off

AC Clear Clears all memory and resets the radio.
ARo Record status of LINE for AUX OUT
CC CTCSS reports CTCSS Decode status
CDo CTCSS CTCSS search function. Reports 'xx' when found
CS CTCSS reports CTCSS tone number; CSxx set CTCSS tone
CTo CTCSS CTCSS function
DLo Delay status of delay function
(reports DLN in trunk mode, but controls OK)
DSo Data status of data skip function
IC le List selects scan list 'l', entry 'e'
responds with group ID 'nnnnnn'; KEY00 to resume scan
IDo I.D. reports trunk ID at start/end
format: ID s nnnnnn s=S/E n=I.D.
IL ID Lock reports locked out trunk IDs; ILR nnnnnn lock out ID
(list ends w/ "END") ILD nnnnnn cancel L/O
IS Bank reports current scan lists; ISa..a select lists 'a'

KEYnn Keyboard simulates keypress; see listing
LL LimLow report lower search limit; LLfffffffff set limit.
LOo LockOut current channel lockout status
LU LimUp report upper search limit; LUfffffffff set limit.
MA Channel report status of current channel. Non-trunk stopped only
MAccc set channel number and report. Halts scanning.
report: Cccc Fffffffff To Do Lo Ao Ro Nnn
To trunked Do delay Lo lock out
Ao (attenuate) Ro record Nnn CTCSS number
MD: Status reports MDnn - see listing
MUo Mute mute audio MU report mode; MU? report condition
MUN Mute; MUF Force un-mute; MUA normal squelch
PC b Priority reports priority channel for bank b.
PC bnnn set priority channel for bank (force manual)
PMccc Channel reports status of memory channel ccc. (see MAccc)
PMccc ffffffff program channel with freq. (halt scan)
PRo Priority status of PRIORITY scan function.
QUo Squelch reports +/- as squelch opens/closes
RIo Priority reports PST/PRT at start/end of priority reception
RF Freq. current non-memory frequency RFfffffffff sets frequency
RM Mode reports modulation mode, AM, NFM, (WFM)
SB Banks reports scan bank letter(s) SBb..b selects banks 'b'
SG S-meter reports S-meter reading and freq (Snnn Fffffffff)
S-meter range S000-S255 (S014-S026 ?)
SQ Squelch reports '-' when closed, '+' when open
SS Skip reports "search skip" freqs SSfffffffff set skip freq
(list ends w/ "END")
ST Step reports step size ST00nnn00 set step size
000=default, 050=5 kHz, 125=12.5 kHz, 250=25 kHz
WI (unknown): reports Wnnn Fffffffff

KEYnnh Keyboard "h" =blank, press key; =H, hold key

00 SCAN
01 MANUAL
02 n numeric key (n = 0-9)
03 "." (decimal on numeric keypad)
04 "E" (Enter on numeric keypad)
05h PRIORITY (same as PR)
06h L/O (same as LO)
07h HOLD (up arrow)
08h LIMIT (down arrow)
09h SRC
10 WX
11 DATA
12 DELAY (same as DL)
13h TRUNK
14 DIM
15 STEP (see also ST)
16 AUX (Line out)
17 ALRT/RMT (deactivate only)

18 SEND
19 AUTO.
20h CTCSS (same as CT)
21 b bank key (b = A-J) (after a KEY13 or KEY13H)
22 FREQ/CHAN
23 LOCK

Mode Status reported by MD command (as MDnn)

00 Scanning
01 Manual
02 Limit search
03 Limit search Hold
04 Weather scan
05 Weather scan Hold
06 Program trunking frequency (select trunk ?)
07 Trunk Search ("ID search")
08 Trunk Hold ("ID search Hold")
09 Trunk Scan ("ID scan")
10 Trunk Manual ("ID manual")
11 ID Lockout review
12 search for trunk control channel
13 Program CTCSS
14 Weather Alert
15 "Frequency Send"
16 Auto Store
17 Non-Memory frequency (after RF command - "Rotary tuned")

1571. Re: Florida freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: bigb7 <bigb7@ahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7hngb7cff1@news.inquo.net>
Source record: 118598

Try http://members.tripod.com/states098/florida

BigB
http://members.tripod.com/bigb7/freq.htm

Dave wrote in message <373a45f3.73933864@news.mho.net>...
>I am leaving for southern florida in 1 week. I need some help getting
>a web page that list the freqs for broward, dade and plam beach
>counties. I realize that most of these counties are trunked. So I need
>the trunking info and conventional info for all the public safety
>depts in these areas.
>
>You can email me at N6RHL@mho.net
>
>Thanks, Dave..

1572. Re: BC 200 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: not <not@nowere.com>
Message-ID: <926894025.619.44@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118599

I dont remeber excatly how it is done but I remeber a switch made into the side of the unit was required and was connected near the big proccessor chip on the front pannel. Also just a jumper from one point to the next would allow the light to come on when the sq. open up.

Steve 376 wrote in message <19990511183454.10214.00000619@ng-fc1.aol.com>...
>I would like to remove the timer on the back light so it is just on or off.
>Thanks for any help.
>steve

1573. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "just.do.it" <AT>
Message-ID: <7hnj27$9uu$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Cutting here -n- there from all the threads:

> What if you wanted to play the flute, but a law required that you learn
> how to play the harp before you were allowed to play the flute?
>
> What if you didn't like the harp and only wanted to play the flute, and
> other flute players called you lazy for not wanting to waste time
> learning an instrument you had no intention of ever playing?
>
> They have no desire to use morse code. How silly it would be to waste
> the time to learn morse code, only to forget it once one has the license
> to go ahead and use voice.
>
> Only people who intend to USE morse code should learn it.

90% of the automobile drivers out there don't intend to use anything
they *learned* to get their drivers license, why not drop that
requirement too? Just let 'em all drive, drop the roadtest
requirement!

>That's ok we can argue all we want about keeping the code test, but something
>needs to be done to bring people into amateur radio not keep them out.

Ever stop to think that maybe the government intended to keep some
people out? Why not drop the code requirment for commercial broadcast
while we're at it?

>I suspect the same small fraction of 13 year olds are still learning code. Like many
>things that require a little work up front, CW is worth it.
>73

And worth some pride too... ever heard of the rite of passage. Why
not do *something* you can take pride in instead of changing the rules
so you don't have to apply hardly any effort?

> If you're not wired for it, there are many other modes to use. That is one of the
> beauties of ham radio.

Absolutly!!! The whole spectrum above 50 mhz is wide open for those
who have no interest in CW. You knew it before you got into radio...
So what's the problem? (but be forwarned... you'll hear alot of CW
there too. Moonbounce, contesting, etc.)

>The key to keeping code from dying is those of you who are proficient MUST take
>those of us willing to learn under your wing and pass it on to us....

Seems all the *old Timers* around here left me to my own devices...
Wonder *how* I did it..... hmmmmm

>If you efforts to find someone in Ft. Worth fail, Why dont you and your
>buddies get on the 2 meter radio and teach each other?

5 wpm is NO CODE. It's like an open book test. If you *try* to learn
the code with *any* effort at all, you *will* pass the 5 WPM test.
From there you'll meet hundreds of others in the same boat on 40 & 80
mtrs.
Plenty of practice to hone your skills. I had a problem getting over

10 wpm myself once licensed as a P, but got on the air with a friend
of mine for an hour a nite over the period of a week, pushing
ourselves to the limit, and passed General that weekend. *USE IT* once
you get it.

> I have the skill to pass the practical test (and have with the training software) through
> Advanced, yet I hold only a Tech ticket because of the code. I am still trying but I
> haven't found my method for learning code yet. I memorized the tapes, and have tried a
> couple of different computer tutor programs, but so far I have not been able to master
CW.
> I will get it eventually, but it is not coming easy.

Sounds about normal to me...
if you study... it will come......

>If your son doesn't have to learn or like radio to enjoy Morse Code,
>then people who want to use or like radio do not have to learn Morse
>Code.

Did you're mama ever say "who ever said life was fair?" Just 'cause
you want to learn gun handling doesn't mean you have to kill someone.
I don't have a son, but I have to pay school tax.... WHAAAAA!

Hope I hit a few sore neves here. Amateur radio isn't the only thing
in life that will require you to learn something proficiently to do
(unlike my spelling to post to the group).

Take a look back at all the things that got lax on the rules in the
past and see what happened to them. Do you really want amateur radio
to go that route?

The hardest part about learning the code is to just put away all
the excuses you have as to why you < can't / don't have time for /
shouldn't have to / are just to darn lazy to > learn the code *and do
it.*

Next thing you know, after they start packaging up an extra class
radio amateur operators license in all the HF radios (sound familiar?)
They'll be whining that the FCC should abolish CW off the airwaves
'cause they can't handle not being able to understand what is going on
on the bottom half of the band.

I might could stomach letting a small portion of the prime DX bands go for the slow coders (P's),and leave the rest of the licese structure alone. But I hope at least that they leave the extra class alone so that *some* of us can keep a little pride in something that we *worked for* instead of crying about it 'till we got it for next to nothing.

If anyone out there is interested in *learning* CW and going to EXTRA in 6 months, e-mail me. All it requires is about 4 hours a week of *dedication*... You have to *want to do it* to do it.

The others.... FLAME AWAY, got my asbestos boxers on.

Breaker Breaker x.19x.xxx.xxx, catch me on the bottom 150 khz of the band good buddy, c'mon back!
||||||||||||||||BLEEEEEEEEEEEEP||||||||||||||||||

Richard Whiten/WB2OTK

NOT!

1574. 154.8300 no longer HotShot LAPD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken <Kencaz@att.net>
Message-ID: <373F50CC.62BEB0EE@att.net>
Source record: 118601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have noticed for the past 2 day's that the LAPD HotShot Freq 154.8300 is not active. This is the main dispatch for all calls to Divisions and is normally very active. I also noticed that the Air/K9 Tac 484.7125 is more active HotShot calls. Anyone know if this frequency is being phased out or if it is just transmitter problems.

thanks

--
---->>>KEN<<<----

http://home.att.net/~kencaz/

1575. Pro 2043 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: budinboat@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12958-373F4B72-63@newsd-241.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118602

I have a pro2043 that seems to not want to scan all of its programed freq.that i put in.they are not locked out if you go through them manualy.Is there a way to clear the memory in this model.

1576. GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: bill topolski <billt@mail.snet.net>
Message-ID: <373F52DC.F6AAF5B7@mail.snet.net>
Source record: 118603

I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. the company I work for just install it in
all
of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it. any body have any
suggestions?
is it possible to jam?
help big brother is watching

1577. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <373F5F41.2DDF@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118604
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <373d48b3.4549258@genenews.acay.com.au>, Barry O'Grady
> <barryo@fastlink.com.au> writes
> >BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >
> >:WELL MR. TROJAN HORSE, FRS IS ALMOST LIKE CB RADIO EXCEPT WHERE CB RADIO
> >:OPERATES IN THE SHORTWAVE RADIO RANGE OF AROUND 26.995 MEGACYLES TO
> >:27.405 MEGACYCLES IN A.M AND SIDEBAND MODES, FRS OPERATES IN THE UHF
> >:RANGE AROUND 467 MEGACYLES IN NARROW FM MODE.
> >:--
> >:MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
> >:CELEBRATE IT!!!!
> >
> >HELLO? Could you turn the volume down please?
>
> ALWAYS PUMP UP THE VOLUME!!
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
Right on, dude
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1578. Re: UK Scanner Frequencies Site - Police Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <373F6041.619E@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118605
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,tnn.radio.life

RADIO MAN wrote:
>
> Dave... wrote:
> >
> > I've updated the list of UK Police frequencies they now cover
> > 146.0125MHz to 146.6000MHz.
> >
> > I hope to complete this list sometime today to cover 146.0125MHz to
> > 148.0000MHz :)
> >
> > Dave...
> >
> > http://www.users.globalnet.co.uk/~rotty/index.htm
> Right smak dab in the middle of the 2 meter band, here in the
> States. It is interesting as to how different countries have different
> frequencies for different types of communications. You wouldfigure that
> with a global economy, countries would change the frequencies so that
> all of them with use the same ones for the same services.
> --
> RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1579. Re: FRS radios any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1605991943300001@pm62-05.magicnet.net>
Source record: 118606

In article <19990514234946.13051.00000653@ng-fh1.aol.com>, ejdenni@aol.com (Ejdenni) wrote:

> Are the new FRS radios any good? How far do they really
> reach? The advertised two miles seems too good to be true
> for these little radios. Do they work between moving vehicles?
> Are models with privacy codes worth the extra cost? How
> long do batteries normally last?
> Any comments on your experience would be appreciated.
>
> Ed

Average range in a typeical suburban area is usualy around 3/4 of a mile. The 2 miles is when there are no obstructions inbetween. They are line of sight radios. They do not skip like 27 Mhz CB can sometimes.

1580. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1605991946390001@pm62-05.magicnet.net>
Source record: 118607
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

In article <373D5BD9.321EBDBF@uswest.net>, Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net> wrote:

> Ham radio operators do it all the time on HT's and a 6 volt power pack running
> only
> 100 Miliwatts!. Its easy. The concept is called LINE OF SIGHT!
>
> Hawke
>
> RADIO MAN wrote:
>
>
> > WHAT WITH ONLY 6 VOLTS, YOU CAN MAKE A SIGNAL GO 50 + MILES?
> > COME ON NOW!
> > --
> > RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> > FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

True. With LOS coms on UHF, the key is antenna height and the amount of
obstacles between.

1581. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Magic 92 <Magic92@magic92.com>
Message-ID: <373F4CFA.4987AC80@magic92.com>
Source record: 118608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

bill topolski wrote:
>
> I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. The company I work for just
> install it in all of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it.
> Does anybody have any suggestions?
> Is it possible to jam?
> Help, big brother is watching

I went to a search engine, typed "gps" in the search box and found
this:
---

http://www.utexas.edu/depts/grg/gcraft/notes/gps/gps.html

GPS Satellite Signals

The SVs transmit two microwave carrier signals. The L1 frequency
(1575.42 MHz) carries the navigation message and the SPS code signals.

The L2 frequency (1227.60 MHz) is used to measure the ionospheric
delay by PPS equipped receivers.

Three binary codes shift the L1 and/or L2 carrier phase.
The C/A Code (Coarse Acquisition) modulates the L1 carrier phase.
The C/A code is a repeating 1 MHz Pseudo Random Noise (PRN) Code.
This noise-like code modulates the L1 carrier signal, "spreading"
the spectrum over a 1 MHz bandwidth.

The C/A code repeats every 1023 bits (one millisecond). There is a
different C/A code PRN for each SV. GPS satellites are often identified
by their PRN number, the unique identifier for each pseudo-random-noise
code. The C/A code that modulates the L1 carrier is the basis for the
civil SPS.

The P-Code (Precise) modulates both the L1 and L2 carrier phases.
The P-Code is a very long (seven days) 10 MHz PRN code.
In the Anti-Spoofing (AS) mode of operation, the P-Code is encrypted
into the Y-Code.

The encrypted Y-Code requires a classified AS Module for each receiver
channel and is for use only by authorized users with cryptographic keys.
The P (Y)-Code is the basis for the PPS.

The Navigation Message also modulates the L1-C/A code signal.
The Navigation Message is a 50 Hz signal consisting of data bits
that describe the GPS satellite orbits, clock corrections, and other

system parameters.

GPS is funded by and controlled by the U. S. Department of Defense
(DOD).
While there are many thousands of civil users of GPS world-wide, the
system was designed for and is operated by the U. S. military.

If you still want to "jam" GPS, maybe the US Department of Defense
could answer your questions, just click here:

---> http://www.defenselink.mil/ <---

1582. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: CDT <xctx@onr.com>
Message-ID: <01bea006$4281bfc0$7d32c8cf@ct>
Source record: 118609
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

Jill,

Get (or borrow) a tuneable ultrasonic receiver. They are available from
Bat Conservation International and are used to listen to
Bat ultrasonic echo-location sounds. The receiver from BCI
will tune from about 15 KHz to 100 KHz. If the neighbor
is blasting ultrasonic crap then the BCI will easily pick it
up.

georgeandbarbarabush@yahoo.com wrote in article
<7hnmm1$f0t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> I was hoping somebody could help me with a little dilemma I am involved
> with. My aunt is currently feuding with her next-door neighbor -- the
> neighbor is trying to run my aunt off her land so he can buy it (the
> Hatfields and the McCoys are still around, hehe).
>
> At first he used his step-grandchildren to harass her, but now I'm
> afraid he's gone high tech. He is aiming some sort of high frequency
> noise at my aunt's house, which nobody can quite hear but everyone can
> feel. I thought she might have gone batty before I went over there
> today and felt it myself. My ears immediately felt stopped up
> and "tight" like I was going up in an airplane. It also made my skin
> crawl, and my aunt's nose quit running. The animals were going crazy --
> the dog was hiding and her cows went away from her house to another
> pasture. My aunt also claims it kills wasps and when she tries to
> record the sound or listen to it on her "bionic ear" it shuts the thing
> off.
>
> --snip---
> Has anyone ever had anything like this happen? We suspect that it
> might be one of those "game repellent" devices that emit a high
> frequency sound to drive off deer or other wild animals. Or, it could
> be some sort of odd electromagnetic field. This just started a month
>
>
>

1583. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: justmrzor@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11287-373F735A-33@newsd-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118610

apparently this thing is more powerful than a ultra sonic bug repeller
to make your skin crawl and the house shake
i don't know of anything that could do that
my guess that it is putin out 10,000 watts or more to do something like
that

1584. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: justmrzor@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11286-373F75E5-59@newsd-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118611

what part of the country are u in maybe i could help u

1585. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: justmrzor@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11285-373F7571-93@newsd-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118612

i dout that u could reflect it back to the source u would have to have
something
with same power seems like the govt
would have something like that if i were u i would call the army or who
ever

1586. Re: Pro 2043 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: justmrzor@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11286-373F764D-60@newsd-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118613

does it scan any freqs??????
if it doesn't u might have the squelch on

1587. Re: Cell & Cordless frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mayermh <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7hnupg$5ob@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118614

In general, the answer to your question is that it is likely that your 1-2 year old radio is not programmed by the manufacturer to pick up the celluar frequencies. As of April 1994 the FCC essentially banned the sale of cellular-capable scanners for sale or manufacture in the US.

All that having been said, you can sometimes, depending on the brand, receive "images" of cellphone calls on your scanner. I.e., cell conversations can show up above or below the cellular band, by double the IF frequency of the scanner, offset from the frequency of the cellphone call you are hearing. It's an electronic "fluke", kinda like looking in the mirror and seeing the distance between your nose and the reflected nose appearing twice as far away as the mirror glass really is. Weird analogy buy it fits.

Mike

bigb7 wrote in message <7hnfqb$c9p$1@news.inquo.net>...
>Try http://members.tripod.com/states098/ham/cell.htm
>
>BigB7
>http://members.tripod.com/bigb7/freq.htm
>
>Udo Schmiedl wrote in message <7hdg94kqa1@nntp3.u.washington.edu>...
>>I have an old (1-2 years) Radio Shack handheld scanner...
>>Does anyone know of any cell phone or cordless phone frequencies that
>>wouldn't be blocked? I would appreciate it if someone replies with
anything
>>other than a flame because cell listening is illegal.
>>
>>
>
>

1588. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark <gmt@dircon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <373f7fe3@newsread3.dircon.co.uk>
Source record: 118615
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

Hmmmmmm. a bit of topic for lasers newsgroup but never mind. Well I have seen similar posts in the dim and distand past but I'll humour this one:

1) An oscilliscope coupled to an ultrasonic transducer will reveal if there are ultrasonic waves being emitted.

2) Ultrasonic fields will NOT affect head implants to the very best of my knowledge.

3) Ultrasonic waves will not cause a house to tremble, you would use infrasound for that - very low frequency noise - a bit like when a lorry rumbles past the house and the pink dolphin wobbles off the mantelpiece again only to need re-fixing with denture glue.

4) Are you claiming the ultraound can turn off your aunts' bionic ear only or also tape recorders.

5) If the sound is ultrasonic, how do you suppose you can hear it?

6) Looking on the bright side, she will save money on handkerchiefs and wasp killers. Unless she runs a wasp farm in which case this will be a serious inconvenience.

7) ultrasonic waves tend to be easily stopped by solid objects and even VERY powerful transducers would not penetrate brick walls terribly well - unless the transducer was very near.

8) What makes you think the source of this phenomena is indeed her next door neighbour? Could it be caused by other sources?

Do you know anything about the technical capabilities of the next-door neighbour? Is he likely to be the sort who manufactures weaponry like this? If it's any good over distances, if he considers marketing it, he may get substantially more for the intellectual property rights than he will make from acquiring your Aunts house.

It is of course faintly possible that your fist premise was indeed correct and that she has indeed gone batty. It may be contagious which would account for the apparent defect with the brain implanted gentleman and your good self.

Have fun :-)

Mark

1589. Re: Who repairs AR8000s?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mayermh <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7hnvpp$6bd@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118616

You might consider going over the contacts with a pencil eraser (red or green tip), then clean with a Q-tip and rubbing alchohol. Then hit the black conductive rubber pads that touch the metal traces, with alchol as well.

If it's intermittent dropping of key contact, that's probably going to fix it.

Mike

William Milliron wrote in message <7hleae$1ur@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>...
>My AR8000 is acting up. Some of the keys do not seem to function. I opened it up
>to see if there was crud on the contacts but there did not seem to be a visible problem.
>
>Who services AR8000s these days? EEB was in my area but they are no more.
>
>Any suggestions ould be appreciated.

1590. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <373F8276.7C8D@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118617
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Jeff Smith wrote:
>
> > True. With LOS coms on UHF, the key is antenna height and the amount
> of
> > obstacles between.
>
> So, do you think I can do 100 miles?
>
> --
> -Jeff
>
> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
Well, when you to get this far with 6 volts, then write an article for
Popular Communications, and Monitoring Times. Do not forget to tell us
about it on Usenet/WWW
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1591. f.s. 3 scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: JP <ishyguy@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <FyL%2.999$u3.433197568@momma.bigmomma.com>
Source record: 118618

--
JP ishyguy@idirect.com

forsale: one radio shack pro66 50 ch pocket scanner with 800Mhz (no cell)
excellent condition, with rubberduck antenna, box and manual
50.00 US includes shipping

one radio shack pro 2033 10 channel base scanner 137-174
and 406-512
excellent condition, with box and manual 30.00 US
includes shipping

one radio shack pro 38 10 channel pocket scanner 29-54
and 136-174 and 406-512 great condition, with rubberduck antenna 30.00
US

if you want all of them then 100.00US includes shipping by priority post
if interested will request a international US money order in advance.

thanks JOE
Toronto, Canada

1592. Re: Looking for Frequency list for Houston

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: CeeJay <schatten21@pdq.net>
Message-ID: <F1M%2.3595$O3.121261@news12.ispnews.com>
Source record: 118619

Check out this link.
http://hometown.aol.com/jdhtex/houstonscan/index.html

stevec wrote in message <3740d9fc.1485162@news.hal-pc.org>...
>
> Is there a web site where I can get a list for Houston, Sugar Land
>area? thanx.

1593. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <Witch**Dr@usa0.0no0bulk0email0.net>
Message-ID: <373F9131.1C72@usa0.0no0bulk0email0.net>
Source record: 118620

bill topolski wrote:
>
> I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. the company I work for just install it in
> allof the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it. any body have any
> suggestions?

Yeah, cover the GPS antenna with foil. That should do the trick.

> is it possible to jam?

Everything is possible if you have the $$$$$$$. Just look out for HARM
Missiles headed your way.

1594. Re: Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank <centerpointnospam@email.com>
Message-ID: <7ho49k$i89$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118621

Who sells the Watson antenna?

Thanks

Lee Rothman <dcisive@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.11a69ba7e188963098978f@news.earthlink.net...
> While the Radio Shack 20-006 telescopic/center coiled antenna rules for
> coverage, especially in the low vhf, if everything above that is most
> important, say from 100-1000mhz, then there is no question in my mind
> (after having the opportunity to try every model Comet, Diamond and
> Premier makes) that the Watson 881 "SuperGainer" rubberized whip is the
> best performer. It will cost you about $35.00, but if nothing but the
> best will do, that is it.
>

1595. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M. Stanton" <mstanton@centuryinter.net>
Message-ID: <ZTM%2.12631$_m5.2243163@newsread1-mx.centuryinter.net>
Source record: 118622
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

George, it's OK...you don't have to run anymore...We will no longer serve pinto beans and rice at the mental institution on Thursdays...

1596. FS: Realistic Pro-41 10 Channel Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tech 55 <ST1A55@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eoykX1$n#GA.52@cpmsnbbsa05>
Source record: 118623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Realistic Pro-41 10 Channel Scanner

Asking $50.00 Or Best Offer

For Picture And More Info Visit: http://www.channel21.com/radio/tech55/

E-mail At: ST1A55@msn.com
Thanks!! Rich

1597. Re: Where to "point" my new monitenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freqout@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10375-373FB889-9@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118624

i put a wooden dowell inside the PVC for strength...(we get a lot of wind)
im not quite sure, but i think a metal mast affects the preformance so i use PVC as well.

1598. info on VR-500? micro scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Freqout@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10371-373FBB41-56@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118625

anyone have any info on this new rig, such as when, where, specs etc.
all i know is 1140 channels - a yeasu/standard blend.
sounds exciting, supposedly modeled after the VX-5 a micro rig with a
keypad and alphas?
oh joy! at last!

1599. F.S. PRO-60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Whitmore <jwhitmo1@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <y7L%2.13091$YU1.24089@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 118626

I have for sale a r.s. pro 60 scanner...in excellent condx with parerwork
and box.....will ship for $149.
Thanks
Ron
jwhitmo1@twcny.rr.com

1600. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "just.do.it" <AT>
Message-ID: <7hov09jgh1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Timothy Hynde" <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Jim Shaffer, Jr. wrote in message <37237fe5.169403227@news.csrlink.net>...
>>On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 18:35:09 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com>
>wrote:
>>
>>>Another winner! Errr, "Whinner"! If cw is soooo archiac,
>>>why are there thousands of hams who use CW as their
>>>primary operating mode? You might be surprised to learn
>>>that many amateurs enjoy using cw.
>>
>>But nobody is proposing stopping them. They're only proposing that people
>who
>>have no intention of using it shouldn't have to learn it.

>But where does it end? The no code tech license asks questions about
>telecommand for radio control airplanes, the ionoshpere, how to use a
>voltmeter, signal generator, SWR meter whadda ya gotta learn all that for
>all I wanna do is yak on the 2m repeater with my appliance operator friends?
>Why should we require such knowlege when the applicant has no intention of
>using it?

>Tim ka8ddz

But they want 1500 w out on prime dx hf bands.... NOT the repeaters.
How Long Will It Be Before An
Extra Class Radio Amateur License
Is Boxed Up With ThatNew HF Rig?
(sound hauntingly familiar?)

Breaker Breaker x.19x.xxx.xxx, c'mon back.
||||||||||||BLEEEEEEEEEEP||||||||||||||

1601. Voice Activated Recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: viper <viper@csolution.com>
Message-ID: <7hpbmu$nem@news.dx.net>
Source record: 118628

Hello,
I have been trying to connect one of my scanners to a voice activated tape recorder. Every time I set it to record the slight buzz sound from the scanner makes it record continuously. Anyone know how to stop this???

Jeff Palmer

1602. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: robinson <rmcrusoe@gte.net>
Message-ID: <76o%2.3845$mj.100689@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 118637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

You might have a difficult time doing that to something belonging to me. Or to a few other hams I can think of. Not only would you fail in your childish and dangerous endeavor, but you would be wrong, you would have committed an assault aboard an airborne aircraft, and you would go to jail, after getting your bumps and bruises tended to.

Now, should you see someone actually TRANSMITTING or RADIATING ANY STRAY RF such as is commonly present when scanners are in use, without proper permission, you would have reason to be pissed, but there are other, safer, legal ways to stop it. Don't even THINK about grabbing something from the hands of someone you don't know. That is, above all else, very bad manners. Psychopathic idiots are sometimes humored, but never taken seriously. Do have the decency to act in a rational manner and take more practical approaches to the problem before assaulting anybody.

Robinson in San Benito TX
A nice little town in the Beautiful Lower Rio Grande Valley
By the Border By the Sea

Meet a Redneck!
See the Crusoe Quintuplets!
Build your own Web Page!
Get your Ham License!

For locksmithing, Radio, Programming, Nautical, Redneck Philosophy, Partying in the Valley, and other stuff go to:
http://crusoe.org

Spike wrote in message ...
Damned right it is legal.
Your radio can easily gum with their electronics.
You get on a plane and show your scanner to me and I'll
hold you down and rip the batteries out.
Your not gonna cause me to die with your stupid hobby.

1603. Re: FS:Realistic Pro 2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990515205126.00819.00001610@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 118638

You people are out of your minds you can buy a new one from Javaition in the UK for 265...

remove nospam to email

1604. Re: question, Pro-55

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbirdsand@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990515212304.03860.00000861@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 118639

Push manual,till you get on the channel you want to program,the enter the frequency,
then press enter.repeat for all channels

1605. Re: battery voltage!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <373e26a8.5360133@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118640

All 3 of my 'hand-held' scanners require 4 x 1.5v =6 volt
batteries.Two require 12 volt wall adapters and one requires 9v
adapter.

On Tue, 11 May 1999 23:38:18 -0700, clarkm@pacbell.net (Clark Martin)
wrote:

>In article <01be97b2$12d6ea40$fbff00cc@default>, "E Meyers"
><hcs777@gs.verio.net> wrote:
>
>>Use a battery with same amount of voltage as required in specs of
>>scanner. Check your manual for voltage requirements. My BCT7
>>uses 12 volt (positive center) lighter adapter in car, 12volt "walwart"
>>(positive center) so I use an old 12volt burglar alarm battery as backup
>>that I recharge regularly. My R/S DX390 requires a 6volt 300m/amp
>>"walwart" (negative center) on the connector. So, BY ALL MEANS,
>>check the polarity on the pin plug and polarity requirements on your
>>"radio" before connecting it up to an external battery source. You may
>>be thinking about amperage, not voltage. A minimum amount of amperage
>>(usually 300m/amps) is required. More ample amperage is not a problem.
>>.......E Meyers......
>
>Your kind of saying this but I wanted to clarify it. Look at the voltage
>of the wall wart that came with the scanner as opposed to the battery
>voltage the scanner uses. My Bearcat uses a 5V battery pack but the power
>supply is 12 volt so I would use a 12V battery if I were to do it. I have
>a car cable too which pretty much covers supplying power to my scanner.
>
>--
>Clark Martin
>Redwood City, CA, USA
>Macintosh / Internet Consulting
>cmartin@pacbell.net
>
>"I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

1606. HELP - PRO 25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: kw93@wcoil.com
Message-ID: <7hl9q9$2kp$0@208.10.1.92>
Source record: 118641

I bought a Radio Shack Pro 25 scanner at a flea market. It works great, but there
is no manual with it to help me with knowing how to program it. Can anybody send
me the programming info, or tell me where to find it?

Thanks!

1607. Pro-70 Scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Lippert <jlipper@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <373E5822.17A6@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 118642

I have forud some of these at Radio Shack for $70.00 close out. Does anyone have one? Are they a good hand held? Do you like it? All thoughts appreciated! Jon.

1608. Re: Have you see it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <373e2ee3.7468062@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118643

Not much of a site.....

On Sat, 15 May 1999 12:08:17 +0200, Duez Samuel
<mcleod1455@hotmail.com> wrote:

>you can find hundreds of links about scanning
>at http://www.fortunecity.com/meltingpot/salash/186
>
>
>

1609. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: kkoller@earthlink.NOSPAM.net
Message-ID: <7hlbonnuq1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118644

"Kevin M. Krone" <kb2dga@together.net> writes:
> Karl Dahlquist wrote:
> >
> > Anyone seen one on the highway yet? I read an article they are going to
> > test them for possible service use when the Crown Vic production stops in
> > the early 2000's.

Oddly, they're not going to be testing them down in Los Angeles at all, which I find odd. I would think one of the major tests that it would have to face would be how it would perform in city traffic, how well it fits down the shoulders, etc. The closest one is in Lebec which is along the Grapevine between Los Angeles and Bakersfield.

Ken Koller
kkoller@earthlink.net
EMT, American Medical Response, Los Angeles, CA
http://westarm.bc.ca/Ken_Koller/fireline.html

Your blood and guts, my bread and butter

1610. Re: FS:Realistic Pro 2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoLiMiT3o3 <nolimit3o3@home.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.3.96.990515211235.1906A-100000@C202805-A.whtrdg1.co.home.com>
Source record: 118645

On 16 May 1999, JCM1168 wrote:

> You people are out of your minds you can buy a new one from Javaition in the UK
> for 265...
>
>

Good luck considering all their stocks have been sold out since
April..

NoLiMiT3o3

1611. Who repairs AR8000s?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Milliron <milliron@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7hleae$1ur@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118646

My AR8000 is acting up. Some of the keys do not seem to function. I opened it up to see if there was crud on the contacts but there did not seem to be a visible problem.

Who services AR8000s these days? EEB was in my area but they are no more.

Any suggestions ould be appreciated.

1612. Re: Homebrew Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <373e3f17.52737948@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118647

On Sat, 15 May 1999 21:31:35 -0700, "Raz Barlow"
<razbarlow@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Brad,
>I have saved up enough money and bought a coathanger from a consignment
>shop. Could you tell me how to make this elaborate antenna ?
>Thanks,
>Raz
>

Other Choices:

http://pw2.netcom.com/~kimeyer/index.html

Good Signals... LCM

1613. FS:PRO-37

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: seans02@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <373e469e.263024391@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Please see item #104116395 on Ebay.. Bidding starts at $75.00 with no reserve.

Thank you

1614. EL replacement info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <373E4F48.4E46F21D@gnc.net>
Source record: 118649
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've been updating my website. One of the items of interest
is details on replacing the backlight (or EL) in a PRO-2005
or 2006 scanner. I haven't found another website that had
images of the replacement so I thought I'd start one:

http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/Backlight.html

My main scanner page is located at this link:

http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/index.html

Robert

1615. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhorvath@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <373f5803.52336838@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118650

gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:

Hi,
When you scan the new bank of 100, can you set "delay" and
"lock-out" etc, separate from the original settings on the old 100
bank?
Bob
>> it can be upgraded to 1600 channels with a little work
>>
>
>Yikes! That's a bit much for me, thanks though! I don't really know what I'd do
>with 1600 channels in memory... :-)
>I did the modification posted and it works very well indeed. But I find now
>that while it is scanning, in either "100 ch." group, I can hear an
>intermittent chuffing/popping sound as each channel clears across the scan.
>It's not loud or annoying (yet!) but perhaps someone can give me some ideas
>about fixing this, or if it can be fixed at all. Would it help to use some
>different resistors than those suggested, or adding some capacitance across
>something?
>Mike

1616. Re: FRS radios any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhorvath@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <374258b0.52509744@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118651

ejdenni@aol.com (Ejdenni) wrote:

Go to alt.radio.family. It is dedicated to FRS. You might get more
info there.
Bob
>Are the new FRS radios any good? How far do they really
>reach? The advertised two miles seems too good to be true
>for these little radios. Do they work between moving vehicles?
>Are models with privacy codes worth the extra cost? How
>long do batteries normally last?
>Any comments on your experience would be appreciated.
>
>Ed
>

1617. Re: FRS radios any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhorvath@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <37455a8c.52986748@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118652

ejdenni@aol.com (Ejdenni) wrote:

Go to alt.radio.family. It is dedicated to FRS. You might get more
info there.
Bob
>Are the new FRS radios any good? How far do they really
>reach? The advertised two miles seems too good to be true
>for these little radios. Do they work between moving vehicles?
>Are models with privacy codes worth the extra cost? How
>long do batteries normally last?
>Any comments on your experience would be appreciated.
>
>Ed
>

1618. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhorvath@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <37465ac8.53046055@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118653

gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:

Hi,
When you scan the new bank of 100, can you set "delay" and
"lock-out" etc, separate from the original settings on the old 100
bank?
Bob
>> it can be upgraded to 1600 channels with a little work
>>
>
>Yikes! That's a bit much for me, thanks though! I don't really know what I'd do
>with 1600 channels in memory... :-)
>I did the modification posted and it works very well indeed. But I find now
>that while it is scanning, in either "100 ch." group, I can hear an
>intermittent chuffing/popping sound as each channel clears across the scan.
>It's not loud or annoying (yet!) but perhaps someone can give me some ideas
>about fixing this, or if it can be fixed at all. Would it help to use some
>different resistors than those suggested, or adding some capacitance across
>something?
>Mike

1619. Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Laureen Baker <laureen@tcc-comp.com.au>
Message-ID: <926834524.475497@www>
Source record: 118654

Hi
If anyone has a list of all the Australian frequencies could you send it
to me

Thanks

1620. Any Australian Scanner Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Laureen Baker <laureen@tcc-comp.com.au>
Message-ID: <926834936.672222@www>
Source record: 118655

Hi

If anyone has any Australian scanning frequencies if you could please send them to me,

Jezz

1621. Re: Crystal Scanner Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerfreq@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5077-373E5C52-47@newsd-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118656

You can get the crystals at Radio Shack.

1622. Re: Harris Co. TX trunk freqs (which ones are right?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <CD7D26DC8C597E8D.2ADAE2595DA6A08D.E1A40EC58EFBD152@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 118657

Greg,

Go ahead and check out
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/tx/harris.htm again. I've
updated the site freqs for the Harris County Smartzone system on
5/16/99.

Unfourtnatly, this system seems to change freqs often for the sites,
so its been tough to keep up with the changes. I'll do my best to
stay on top of it....

-Lb

Lindsay Blanton
The SE Trunked Radio Information Homepage
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm
lblant1@airmail.net

On Tue, 11 May 1999 04:32:44 GMT, bretting@insync.net (Greg Bretting)
wrote:

>All,
>
>I'm running into a bit of confusion trying to get my new TrunkTracker to
>follow the traffic on the Harris Co. trunk. I've found a few different
>sources of info on the freqs, and they each offer a different answer.
>According to two sources I've found, the county has recently upgraded to
>a Motorola Type II SmartZone, and both sources were updated as of 3/99.
>The ones I'm referring to are:
>
>http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/tx/harris.htm
>http://members.xoom.com/houstonscan/trs2318.htm
>
>The problem is, the freqs listed aren't the same. I'm having better
>luck with the ones listed on the houstonscan site, but I'm still
>thinking that I might be missing something. For one thing, I would like
>to reconcile the differences between the two - the houstonscan site has
>a series of freqs between 852.xxxx and 854.xxxx that the other doesn't
>have, but the houstonscan site also has some freqs listed that are
>invalid for this system, such as xxx.7825 and are probably typos for
>xxx.7875.
>
>As I mentioned, the houstonscan freqs seem to work better and I get
>quite a bit of traffic, but conversations get cut off every time the
>trunk hops to another freq - which makes me wonder if I still have holes
>in the list. Another question is how many freqs I can fit into a trunk

>group - the documentation for the RS Pro-90 seems to imply that the
>trunking freqs have to all fit within a single 30-channel bank in order
>to track. Is this correct, or can I span multiple banks with a single
>(but large) trunk group?
>
>TIA...
>
>Greg

1623. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <373E6693.6E0F3D63@mich.com>
Source record: 118658

JCM1168 wrote:

> Tim you are a rude jerk and as everyone can see your opinon doesnt count for
> squat!!!

Wow, an anonymous AOL moron speaking on behalf of everyone! ;)

> Some one is just trying to get some feedback and stating what he did
> thats it you have to come out and bite peoples heads off.. JERK

His silly post was serious enough to warrant feedback. Your stupid, anonymous
post just warrants acknowledgement as such.

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

1624. Guide to the rec.radio Newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7hlsni$1d3@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 118659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Archive-name: radio/personal-intro

This message describes the rec.radio.amateur.*, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.info, and rec.radio.swap newsgroups, as well as their Internet mailing list counterparts and complements. It is intended to serve as a guide for the new reader on what to find where. Questions and comments may be directed to Jeffrey Herman, KH6O, (jeffreyh@hawaii.edu), or Paul Schleck, K3FU, pschleck@novia.net.

This message was last changed on 14 March, 1998.

History
=======

Way back when, before there was a Usenet, the Internet hosted a mailing list for hams, called (appropriately enough) INFO-HAMS. Ham radio discussions were held on the mailing list, and sent to the mailboxes of those who had signed up for it. When the Usenet software was created, and net news as we now know it was developed, a newsgroup was created for hams: net.ham-radio. The mailing list and the newsgroup were gatewayed together, eventually.

Over the years, as the net grew, the volume of discussion became progressively higher. First one by one, and then as part of two reorganizations, what was once one group became many. In the process, developments elsewhere on the net were reflected in the groups as they were created, most notably the change to place all of the ham radio groups in one hierarchy.

The collection of newsgroups continues to grow as more people join the net, and as more topics of discussion gain volume, I expect to see more groups be created as well. This follows what is happening on the rest of the net.

Nearly all of the radio newsgroups have corresponding mailing lists, the notable exception being rec.radio.swap. There are also a few mailing lists that don't have newsgroups.

The Current Groups
==================

It's important to post messages to the group that's appropriate for them, and not to the groups that aren't. The whole idea of having different newsgroups is so that folks who aren't interested in, say, homebrewing, don't have to wade through messages about homebrewing on the way to read about Field Day. Posting appropriately is just good etiquette.

The rec.radio.amateur.misc group is the catchall. It is what rec.ham-radio was renamed to during the first major reorganization. Any message that's not more appropriate in one of the other groups belongs here, from contesting to DX to ragchewing on VHF to information on becoming a ham.

The group rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc is for discussions related to (surprise!) digital amateur radio. This doesn't have to be the common two-meter AX.25 variety of packet radio, either; some of the most knowledgeable folks in radio digital communications can be found here, and anything in the general area is welcome. The name was changed to emphasize this, and to encourage discussion not only of other text-based digital modes, such as AMTOR, RTTY, and Clover, but things like digital voice and video as well. The former group, rec.radio.amateur.packet, was removed on September 21st, 1993. It is obsolete, and you should use .digital.misc instead (or the appropriate new mailing list, mentioned below). The group has .misc as part of the name to allow further specialization if the users wish it, such as .digital.tcp-ip.

The swap group is rec.radio.swap. This recognizes a fact that became evident shortly after the original group was formed: Hams don't just swap ham radio gear, and other folks besides hams swap ham equipment. If you have radio equipment, or test gear, or computer stuff that hams would be interested in, here's the place. Equipment wanted postings belong here too. Discussions about the equipment generally don't; if you wish to discuss a particular posting with the buyer, email is a much better way to do it, and the other groups, especially .equipment and .homebrew, are the place for public discussions. There is now a regular posting with information on how to go about buying and selling items in rec.radio.swap; please refer to it before you post there. To answer a frequently asked question: No, there is no mailing list that goes along with this group. If you can't read Usenet news directly, you're out of luck.

The group rec.radio.amateur.policy was created as a place for all the discussions that seem to drag on interminably about the many rules, regulations, legalities, and policies that surround amateur radio, both existing and proposed. Recent changes to the Amateur Radio Rules (FCC Part 97) have finally laid to rest the Great Usenet Pizza Autopatch Debate - it's now legal to order a pizza on the autopatch, if you're not in the pizza business - as well as complaints about now-preempted local scanner laws hostile to amateurs, but plenty of discussion about what a bunch of rotten no-goodniks the local frequency coordinating body is, as well as the neverending no-code debate, may still be found here.

The group rec.radio.cb is the place for all discussion about the Citizens' Band radio service. Such discussions have been very inflammatory in rec.ham-radio in the past; please do not cross-post to both rec.radio.cb and rec.radio.amateur.* unless the topic is genuinely of interest to both hams and CBers - and very few topics are.

The rec.radio.info group is just what its name implies: it's the place where informational messages from across rec.radio.* may be found, regardless of where else they're posted. As of this writing, information posted to the group includes Cary Oler's daily solar progagation bulletins, ARRL

bulletins, the Frequently Asked Questions files for the various groups, and radio modification instructions. This group is moderated, so you cannot post to it directly; if you try, even if your message is crossposted to one of the other groups, your message will be mailed to the moderator, who is currently David Dodell, WB7TPY. The email address for submissions to the group is rec.radio.info-moderator@primenet.com. Inquires and other adminis-trivia should be directed to rec.radio.info-request@primenet.com. For more information about rec.radio.info, consult the introduction and posting guidelines that are regularly posted to that newsgroup.

The groups r.r.amateur.antenna, .boatanchors, .dx, .equipment, .homebrew, and .space are for more specialized areas of ham radio: discussions about antennas, the older tube based amateur, military, and commercial gear, commercially-made equipment, homebrewing, and amateur radio space operations. The .equipment group is not the place for buying or selling equipment; that's what rec.radio.swap is for. Similarly, the .space group is specifically about amateur radio in space, such as the OSCAR program and SAREX, the Shuttle Amateur Radio EXperiment; other groups cover other aspects of satellites and space. Homebrewing isn't about making your own alcoholic beverages at home (that's rec.crafts.brewing), but rather construction of radio and electronic equipment by the amateur experimenter. The .dx group is devoted to long distance amateur communications. Each of these subgroups has its own charter and FAQ; please refer to the archives to find these documents.

Except for rec.radio.swap and rec.radio.cb, all of these newsgroups are available by Internet electronic mail in digest format; send a mail message containing "help" on a line by itself to listserv@ucsd.edu for instructions on how to use the mail server.

All of the groups can be posted to by electronic mail, though, by using a gateway at the University of Texas at Austin. To post a message this way, change the name of the group you wish to post to by replacing all of the '.'s with '-'s - for example, rec.radio.swap becomes rec-radio-swap - and send to that name@cs.utexas.edu (rec-radio-swap@cs.utexas.edu, for example). You may crosspost by including multiple addresses as Cc: entries (but see below). This gateway's continued availability is at the pleasure of the admins at UT-Austin, and is subject to going away at any time - and especially if forgeries and other net.abuses become a problem. You have been warned.

Mailing Lists

In addition to the mailing lists that mirror the Usenet newsgroups, there also are a few that stand alone. These cover specific areas of ham radio, and discussion is focused on just those areas.

The cq-contest mailing list is for discussions of contesting in ham radio. To join, send email with the word "subscribe" on a line by itself to cq-contest-request@tgv.com.

The DX mailing list covers the finer points of DXing. This one is also joined by mailing "subscribe" on a line by itself, this time to

dx-request@unbc.edu.

There's also a VHF mailing list, for VHF operators of the weak signal persuasion. You can join this one be sending "subscribe vhf" on a line by itself to vhf-request@w6yx.stanford.edu.

The QRP-L list is devoted to building and operating gear at low-power outputs. To subscribe, send an email to listproc@lehigh.edu and only write: subscribe qrp-l Your Name.

The TopBand e-list is devoted to all areas of operation on 160m. To subscribe, send an email to majordomo@contesting.com and only write: subscribe topband and follow the instructions you'll be sent.
This site, contesting.com, also hosts a couple dozen other ham-related e-lists. Use the above address, and only write: lists to get the entire index.

The Boatanchors e-list is devoted to the older tube-based ham, military, and commercial communications gear. To subscribe, send an email to: listserv@listserv.tempe.gov and only write: subscribe boatanchors

For homebuilding transmitters and receivers using tube technology, there is the Glowbugs e-list; send an email to:
majordomo@piobaire.mines.uidaho.edu and only write:
subscribe glowbugs <your@address.here>

The VHF DX discussion list can be accessed by sending an email to: majordomo@blacksheep.org and only writing: subscribe VHF-DX-discuss.

We now have a list devoted to amateur radio history. To subscribe, send an email to: ham-radio-history-subscribe@egroups.com - leave the body of the message blank.

Qth.net hosts over 100 ham-related e-lists, including lists devoted to each state, manufacturer, mode, band - too many to state here. Send an email to majordomo@qth.net and only write: lists to get the complete index.

For one of the most complete indexes of email lists, see the excellent web site http://pw2.netcom.com/~ac6v/pageae.html

Please do NOT send subscription requests to the mailing lists themselves; that doesn't work very often, and is very annoying to those on the list. Also, please keep your electronic mail address current with any mailing lists you subscribe to, as dealing with returned email is a nuisance for the person maintaining the mailing list.

A Few Words on Crossposting
==========

Please do not crosspost messages to two or more groups unless there is genuine interest in both groups in the topic being discussed, and when you do, please include a header line of the form "Followup-To: group.name" in your article's headers (before the first blank line). This will cause followups to your

article to go to the group listed in the Followup-To: line. If you wish to have replies to go to you by email, rather than be posted, use the word "poster" instead of the name of a group. Such a line appears in the headers of this article.

One of the few examples of productive cross-posting is with the rec.radio.info newsgroup. To provide a filtered presentation of information articles, while still maintaining visibility in their home newsgroups, the moderator strongly encourages cross-posting. All information articles should be submitted to the rec.radio.info moderator so that he may simultaneously cross-post your information to the appropriate newsgroups. Most newsreaders will only present the article once, and network bandwidth is conserved since only one article is propagated. If you make regular informational postings, and have made arrangements with the moderator to post directly to the group, please cross-post as appropriate.

--
Jeffrey Herman, KH6O, rec.radio.amateur working-group
jeffreyh@hawaii.edu

1625. Re: Chicago Northwest Suburbs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike <mboyes@theramp.net>
Message-ID: <373EB237.B9CC9B0A@theramp.net>
Source record: 118660

Rich Carlson wrote:

> In article <373DB2EF.E7A5F9E2@mailhost.chi.ameritech.net>,
> tring@ameritech.net wrote:
>
> > Does anyone know of site where I can get active freg's for police in
> > Northwest Suburbs of Chicago?
> > Thanks.
>
> http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm
>
> --
> **
> * Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
> * Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
> ****************************g3mtnw*********************************

--
Best wishes Mike 1549119

The carma page is about the best for this area and has a lot of kinks to
other sites
Also a good mailing list, You should sign up..

1626. Re: FRS radios any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dianna <Dianna@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <7hmfd2$b4h$1@denws02.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 118661

I just bought 3 Motorola Talkabout 101's. They work great. I was surprised at the range. It really will go 2 miles if you are in an open area. The range does decrease a bit when have building clutter. I bought mine for $57.00 each on www.webauction.com

Ejdenni <ejdenni@aol.com> wrote in message news:19990514234946.13051.00000653@ng-fh1.aol.com...
> Are the new FRS radios any good? How far do they really
> reach? The advertised two miles seems too good to be true
> for these little radios. Do they work between moving vehicles?
> Are models with privacy codes worth the extra cost? How
> long do batteries normally last?
> Any comments on your experience would be appreciated.
>
> Ed
>

1627. Re: FRS radios any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <373ec763.15484198@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 118662

ejdenni@aol.com (Ejdenni) wrote:

:Are the new FRS radios any good? How far do they really
:reach? The advertised two miles seems too good to be true
:for these little radios. Do they work between moving vehicles?
:Are models with privacy codes worth the extra cost? How
:long do batteries normally last?
:Any comments on your experience would be appreciated.
:
:Ed

See alt.radio.family.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

1628. State of Louisiana SmartZone Trunked System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: lblant1@airmail.net
Message-ID: <55B92A898DEB7F67.60E92D7F04EB075D.754C1DBCC600C3B1@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 118663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello everyone!

In my ever widening quest to get information on the LSP Smartzone system, I'm looking for folks who can provide trunking information for this system

I am specifically looking for site frequency and talkgroup information that can be collected using the Trunker utilities. Information for the Acadiana, Monroe, and Lake Charles areas covered by this system is specifically needed. For more information on trunker, see

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/digital.htm

My Louisiana State PD Smartzone information page is located at:

http://web2.airmai.net/lblant1/trunked/la/lsp.htm

Please do stop by and take a look. Any information that can be added would be GREATLY appreciated.

Lindsay Blanton
The SE Trunked Radio Information Homepage
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked.htm
lblant1@airmail.net

1629. Opto Trakker questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Geith <jgeith@pcisys.net>
Message-ID: <926863268.446.66@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118664

I currently have a Pro 2006 with OS456 and Scan Star Deluxe. Has anyone used this combo with the Opto Trakker for EDAC's systems? Pros and cons?

It seems a bit pricey at $299. Any used Trakkers for sale?

1630. Service Manual needed for pro-7 & pro-45

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: N6RHL@mho.net
Message-ID: <373ecedc.371171449@news.mho.net>
Source record: 118665
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello, I am searching for a service manual for a realistic pro-7 and pro-45 scanners. They may be one in the same as for as manuals go.

I am willing to pay reproduction and shipping cost if you have one available that you could copy for me.

So far I haven't been able to get anywhere when calling radio shack for a copy. I am put on hold for ever, and no one has yet to come back to me.

If you can help, please forword information to my personal email account.

N6RHL@mho.net

Thanks, Dave..

1631. Speech Inversion Web Site Link Swap!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990516104041.29571.00001316@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 118666

Anyone with a Scanner related web site that is interested in swapping links with the CTP web site, please email us with your site title and url. Swapping links is a great way to increase traffic to your site.
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

1632. NEED FREQ HELP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: dbcooper@microsurfer.net
Message-ID: <373ed8af.1872838@news2.microserve.net>
Source record: 118667

My why and I have to go to a symposium and they use wireless mics in a large auditorium. what is the common freq of these mics???

1633. Re: Crystal Scanner Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <373EDCF8.4C79E17D@megsinet.net>
Source record: 118668
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

As the FAQ articles indicate, you can purchase crystals for Bearcat,
Regency, and Radio Shack scanners through your local Radio Shack.
They cost about $5 plus shipping the last time I ordered them for
a Bearcat.

In general, the Bearcat crystals are not interchangeable with crystals
for
other scanner brands.

"Mark A. Kuehl" wrote:
>
> I just picked up a Bearcat IV(Electra) at a Flea Market for a buck and it
> works great...I've got a couple Radio Shack crystal based scanners and
...

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

1634. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <373EE0BC.298E@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118669
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

```
Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
> BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> :WELL MR. TROJAN HORSE, FRS IS ALMOST LIKE CB RADIO EXCEPT WHERE CB RADIO
> :OPERATES IN THE SHORTWAVE RADIO RANGE OF AROUND 26.995 MEGACYLES TO
> :27.405 MEGACYCLES IN A.M AND SIDEBAND MODES, FRS OPERATES IN THE UHF
> :RANGE AROUND 467 MEGACYLES IN NARROW FM MODE.
> :--
> :MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
> :CELEBRATE IT!!!!
>
> HELLO? Could you turn the volume down please?
>
> Barry
> ==========
> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
VOLUME?? THIS IS A TEXT MESSAGE.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!
```

1635. Looking for Frequency list for Houston

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: sbname@aol.com
Message-ID: <3740d9fc.1485162@news.hal-pc.org>
Source record: 118670

Is there a web site where I can get a list for Houston, Sugar Land area? thanx.

1636. Re: Crystal Scanner Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Hoerz <khoerz@accessus.net>
Message-ID: <373ee11a.0@news.accessus.net>
Source record: 118671
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Crystals are not interchangeable between Bearcat and Regency type (Uniden, R/S, etc.) scanners. Different IF and filter freqs.

As for the switches, as I recall, this particular Bearcat can only do VHF High Band and UHF. At any rate, I am assuming the U is for UHF, the L for VHF and the UT is for UHF T Band, 480-512.

Hope it helps

Kurt

1637. Re: Ebay: I have seen it all now.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Hoerz <khoerz@accessus.net>
Message-ID: <373ee1d6.0@news.accessus.net>
Source record: 118672

I really want to know what those extras are. Mind you, if it is an OS456 board, while still pricey, it may not be totally out of line.

Kurt

1638. F.S. Bearcat :::350:::[not a undien] $60.oo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb2rev@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990516113021.25673.00001050@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 118673

I have a very nice bearcat 350 50 channels comes with ant and power cord no manual. works good too. $ 60.00 shipped in usa.

1639. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <373EE34A.36B4@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118674
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jeff Smith wrote:
>
> In article <373D158C.17C1@ameritech.net>,
> theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net wrote:
>
> > THE RADIO SHACK FRS - 106 MODEL TAKES 4 DOUBLE A BATTERIES.
> > 1.5 VOLTS * 4 = 6.0 VOLTS
>
> I was using a Motorola Talkabout, which uses 3 AA cells.
>
> -Jeff
>
> -=== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==-
> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
Sorry, I did not know.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1640. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <373EE396.7DB0@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118675
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,tnn.radio.life

Jeff Smith wrote:
>
> "Rik Rasmussen" <rik@vnet.net> wrote:
> > NASA has been doing it for 40 years.
>
> > Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net> wrote in message
> > news:373D5BD9.321EBDBF@uswest.net...
> > > Ham radio operators do it all the time on HT's and a 6 volt power
> > pack running only 100 Miliwatts!. Its easy. The concept is called
> > LINE OF SIGHT!
> > Hawke
>
> So what, I'm supposed to just quit having fun, because I'm doing
> something that's been done before?
>
> Lighten up...
>
> -Jeff
>
> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
AH, you seem to have a point there.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1641. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <S9AtSjABBnP3EwNG@virgin.net>
Source record: 118676
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

In article <373d48b3.4549258@genenews.acay.com.au>, Barry O'Grady
<barryo@fastlink.com.au> writes
>BIGmeanMAMERjammer <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>:WELL MR. TROJAN HORSE, FRS IS ALMOST LIKE CB RADIO EXCEPT WHERE CB RADIO
>:OPERATES IN THE SHORTWAVE RADIO RANGE OF AROUND 26.995 MEGACYLES TO
>:27.405 MEGACYCLES IN A.M AND SIDEBAND MODES, FRS OPERATES IN THE UHF
>:RANGE AROUND 467 MEGACYLES IN NARROW FM MODE.
>:--
>:MAY THIRD IS POLISH CONSTITUTION DAY. GO OUT AND
>:CELEBRATE IT!!!!
>
>HELLO? Could you turn the volume down please?

ALWAYS PUMP UP THE VOLUME!!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1642. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990516134809.13738.00001105@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 118677

Bob wrote:

>Hi,
> When you scan the new bank of 100, can you set "delay" and
>"lock-out" etc, separate from the original settings on the old 100
>bank?
>Bob

Yes sir. I had to reassure myself of this a few times, by setting up delays, lock outs and priority on channels in the old bank, then manually searching the new bank for the same channel numbers (this bank, of course, with the different freq's programmed) and the settings for the old bank did not carry over. It worked the same way when I switched the procedure around. So I may have taken some time to feel safe with it, but it's working great!
Mike

1643. Relm HS 200 For Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <7hmvnu$nb5$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a Relm HS 200 channel handheld scanner that I would like to trade for Radio Shack Pro 2032 desktop scanner. The Relm is in excellent shape, comes with manual, box, ac adapter, and stock antenna. The Relm is an excellent handheld scanner with CTCSS and DTSS tone capability built in.

Thanks,

D. Schopp

1644. i am interested to monitoring the mdt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: stef <sbproduction@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <01be9fc7$1785b720$aab6acce@pc>
Source record: 118679

help i am interested to monitoring the mdt

1645. Contest Log Book on-line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabio <cq.fabio@iol.it>
Message-ID: <7hmvcjttr2@hermes.iol.it>
Source record: 118680

If you need to publish your Contest Log Book on-line, but you have not a personal web site for to do it, try on this site:
http://users.iol.it/cq.fabio/logbook.htm

1646. FS: RS 2006 Trunking mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: JFW <lebear@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <7hn2tn$4mm$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 118681

For sale Radio Shack Pro-2066 mobie trunking scanner $150 includes
everything it came with. 6 months old.

E-mail replies to
lebear@cyberhighway.net
(I don't check the newsgroup often)

1647. Re: Pres. Clinton in Las Vegas.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Magic 92 <Magic92@magic92.com>
Message-ID: <373EFAE4.D3E6EA9B@magic92.com>
Source record: 118682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

soon the prez will be in Las Vegas.

Bud (the original) wrote:
>
> On Fri, 14 May 1999 21:19:51 -0500, Julio Wantanabe <JulioW@wgate.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I heard a rumor that Bill Clinton will be visiting San Diego,
> >California on Sunday May 16. Can anyone confirm this?
>
> True, was on the news tonight.
>
> >If this is true, what frequencies will be active with
> >Clinton-related radio traffic?
>
> SDPD uses the various Tacs and CIty Tacs for such events, and
> the Secret Service freqs may have some traffic. CHP may be
> doing traffic control, on primary, and 39.14.
>
> He won't be here long, it's only a few hours from what I heard,
> visiting the bigshot at Qualcomm.
>
> TO reply via e-mail, change the I in the address to an A.
> CA antispam laws went into effect Jan 1, 1999.
> Violators may be liable for both fines AND jail time for spamming this account.

1648. Re: Apartment Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990516150550.11462.00001354@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 118683

>Any ideas as what type of antenna I could
>use would be greatly appreciated as mounting my present antenna's on the
>roof of the apartment is definitely out of the question

Bruce, I do pretty well with the Radio Shack center-loaded whip on some of my base units. Only $10 too. One great antenna.

AL
AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

 Patrick AFB NASA-KSC Cape Canaveral Air Station

1649. Re: i am interested to monitoring the mdt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Magic 92 <Magic92@magic92.com>
Message-ID: <373EFDB6.502378C5@magic92.com>
Source record: 118684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

stef wrote:
>
> help i am interested to monitoring the mdt

go here and get a copy of mdt monitor

http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk
---

are we now "forbidden" to access the info on
mdt.poopie.net?

> 403 Forbidden

> You don't have permission to access / on this server.

> Apache/1.3.6 Server at mdt.poopie.net Port 80

http://mdt.poopie.net/

1650. J-Pole ant. made out of Coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raz Barlow <razbarlow@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7hn62b$7q2$1@ins8.netins.net>
Source record: 118685

I have gotten many responses about a homebrew antenna . I am very thankful for that . I just have a couple of questions.
1) Aftrer I strip the outside insulator off , how do I get the braid folded down over the outside? It is too interwoven is there a trick ?
2) Is it the length of the inside core or the outside braid that does the receiving ? Or both, which is more critical ?
3) When I put this inside a piece of PVC, do I run the piece of pvc the whole length of the antenna . Or do I let the part that I stripped off hang out the top ?

Thanks A Million guys !
Raz

1651. Monitoring in Sydney Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ronnie Bringle <ronniebringle@ckt.net>
Message-ID: <36F%2.333$UD6.3085@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 118686

Is it legal to own and use a scanning radio in Sydney? Are there restrictions regarding listening? Can I give a scanner radio from the USA to a friend who is returning home to Australia? What about customs regulations? Can I send a scanner by mail or UPS to a friend there?

Jerry

1652. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timothy Hynde <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7hpo5q$b0q$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>Tim,
>The fact remains that not one of those subject areas you
>identify here are separate pass/fail test elements. You can
>ignore several subject areas and still pass the test. Not so
>for CW testing which is given, graded and must be
>passed as a totally separate test element. I have said
>before that if CW was to be integrated into the overall
>test score rather than as a separate pass/fail element
>as it is now, that I'd have no problem with that.
>Indeed, when the CW test goes away, I expect that
>there will be some CW related questions added into
>the theory test just as there are questions already
>there on other modes.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

I suppose I could go for that.

1653. Headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: cw527@FreeNet.Carleton.CA
Message-ID: <7hpmq3$gh8@freenet-news.carleton.ca>
Source record: 118488

Does anyone know where (preferably in Canada) I could find
one pair of 8 ohm monophonic headphones?

Thanks.
--
Robert Cherry
cw527@freenet.carleton.ca

1654. pro 50 modification to 800 900 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "steve s." <mgrt50@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <374066D8.C24F1BB5@fuse.net>
Source record: 118489

can someone tell me how to modify a radio shack pro 50 scanner to receive 800 and 900mhz channels? e-mail me at mgrt50@fuse.net. thanks in advance..steve

1655. FS: MF10 SDU / TV Demodulator - A Rare Find!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Adrian <106471.620@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <eydWYlJo#GA.260@nih2naab.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 118490

MF10 SPECTRUM DISPLAY UNIT
A RARE FIND - COST £1800 + VAT
USES 10.7MHz IF - SUITABLE FOR IC-R7000/7100/8500 ETC.
DISPLAYS 1MHz BANDWIDTH WITH 5KHz RESOLUTION
INCLUDES BUILT-IN TV DEMODULATOR WITH TUNABLE AUDIO SUBCARRIERS
& AUDIO AMPLIFIER / SPEAKER
BUILT-IN MAINS PSU
ORIGINAL MANUFACTURER'S DATA SHEET

£300

LOCATED SOUTH WEST UK

CONTACT ADRIAN G4JBH

01288 341454 OR 106471.620@compuserve.com

1656. Phoenix - SX question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. F. Cimperman, Jr." <cimperman@tusco.net>
Message-ID: <01bea099$1ea7b3a0$85d439d1@rds>
Source record: 118491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I cam e across a Phoenix - SX mobile at a recent auction. I need to know what band it's on, as well as getting it programmed, and obtaining a speaker, mic, and power cord for it. the comp # is N5A18

Can anyone tell me anything about it?

Thanks in advance.

Dave

1657. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: swancuNOSPAM@globalnet.co.uk
Message-ID: <374269fd.38287471@news.globalnet.co.uk>
Source record: 118492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>>
>> I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. The company I work for just
>> install it in all of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it.
>> Does anybody have any suggestions?
>> Is it possible to jam?
>> Help, big brother is watching
>
Either >
Take the fuse out of the GPS receivers power supply.
Or
Disconnect the GPS's antenna.

If the trucks send the info back by radio ? Disconnect the interface
between the GPS receiver and the radio.

Personally I think you should leave it alone and get on with your work
:)

Bye for now...

Sam from Birmingham, England.

Please remove NOSPAM from my email address to reply.

1658. Re: BC895 Line Recording question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37407590$0$2479@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 118493

I've found the RS attenuating cord made with 1/8" jacks, but I can't remember the catalog # off the top of my head. Your local RS should have it. BTW, this combo works great, and let's you tape from your scanner very easily using a voice-activated recorder.

F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM> wrote in message news:#Tugc0sn#GA.338@nih2naaa.prod2.compuserve.com...
> kt4ht@aol.com (Kt4ht) wrote:
> > In trying to record from my BC895XLT, I've noticed that the Line Out level
> > is variable based upon volume. This means that the speaker volume is on
> > while recording. Is there any way to silence the unit while recording?
>
> The simple solution is to insert an unconnected (dummy) plug into the
> external speaker jack.
>
> > (Using the Ex. Spr. jack to record with even the slightest volume
> > over drives the recorder.)
>
> The better approach is to add an attenuator in-line with the cable from
> the external speaker jack to the recorder "mic" input. Radio Shack sells a
> 40db attenuator/adapter (#264-300) for $3.49. It accepts an RCA plug and fits
> an 1/8" jack on the recorder, so you may need an additional adapter to match
> whatever cord/jack/plug you have. R.S. was also supposed to sell an attenuator
> cable with 1/8" plug & jack, but I've never been able to find it in the
> catalog.

1659. BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlueHawk <blue_hawk83@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <926971266.387.78@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118494

Anyone know of a way to clear all freqs from memory without having to delete them one by one..???
the book is no good for that info..maybe there's a shortcut to doing this...

Many Thanks...

1660. Re: BC 200XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <jason@nospam.gulftel.com>
Message-ID: <926971375.477.24@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118495

50 ohms I believe

RustyHound <rustyhound@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990517010904.11225.00002290@ng-fp1.aol.com...
> What is the antenna impedance for the 200xlt?
> TIA-rv

1661. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christan <nomail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <7hptfl$q14$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 118496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I work for a trucking company that uses GPS tracking and a driver put a cover over the GPS antenna. The company found out and fire him. Take your chances.

sam <swancuNOSPAM@globalnet.co.uk> wrote in message news:374269fd.38287471@news.globalnet.co.uk...
> >>
> >> I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. The company I work for just
> >> install it in all of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it.
> >> Does anybody have any suggestions?
> >> Is it possible to jam?
> >> Help, big brother is watching
> >
> Either >
> Take the fuse out of the GPS receivers power supply.
> Or
> Disconnect the GPS's antenna.
>
> If the trucks send the info back by radio ? Disconnect the interface
> between the GPS receiver and the radio.
>
> Personally I think you should leave it alone and get on with your work
> :)
>
> Bye for now...
>
>
> Sam from Birmingham, England.
>
> Please remove NOSPAM from my email address to reply.

1662. Re: i am interested to monitoring the mdt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: aa949@gpfn.sk.ca
Message-ID: <9C%%2.8862$me.4022226@WReNphoon4>
Source record: 118497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You have to know what mdt protocol your local police use and
then you have to find mdt monitoring software that can read
the mdt protocol that used. Most setups for monitoring mdt's
use a discrimatator tap from the scanner to the sound card of
the computer.

Bill McMinn
http://www.angelfire.com/sc/ScannerNet

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

1663. Best Mobile Scanner Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raz Barlow <razbarlow@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7hq2nq$hh5$1@ins8.netins.net>
Source record: 118498

I was wondering what the best mobile scanner antenna was for $30 or less . I don't really care about how it mounts . But I know that the thru the glass antennas for CB radios SUCK ! Is it the same for scanner antennas also ?
Give me your opinions
Thanks,
Raz

1664. Re: J-Pole ant. made out of Coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Cheung <cheung@netbistro.com>
Message-ID: <01bea0af$dd4d3c60$0100007f@LocalHost>
Source record: 118499

Raz:

Strictly speaking the coax antenna is a quarter wave vertical dipole, not a j-pole. That aside, here are some tips:

Sometimes I slit the braid with an x-acto knife along one side and pull it apart to get it pulled back. Trying to undo it will dirve you mental.

Now is it the length if the interwire or the braid that gives you the correct receive ?I just didn't know if it mattered if I bunched it up or not .

The correct receive is given by the length of the inside wire. The braid acts as the opposing ground on the dipole, so should be attached to the ground connection on your scanner.

Could you give me the link on the twin lead J Pole

Here's one...there are dozens -
http://ftp.msstate.edu/pub/archives/W5YD/j-pole.txt

When running inside PVC ppe, it doesn't matter what is exposed. I usually drill a hole so that the coax can come out the side, but the bottom is fine too. I glue some proper caps on the ends to keep the water out.

Have fun!

Bill VE7WYC

1665. Re: EL replacement info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <3740b035@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 118500
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> I've been updating my website. One of the items of interest
> is details on replacing the backlight (or EL) in a PRO-2005
> or 2006 scanner. I haven't found another website that had
> images of the replacement so I thought I'd start one:
>
> http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/Backlight.html

very nice job and excellent photos. I've replaced several EL's myself and
can almost do it blindfolded now!

1666. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374096F0.49D@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118501
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> Here surely is an excellent crossposting link for me to try.
> Seems to be full of people arguing the capabilities of
> Ever Ready batteries. Though my personal preference is for a 100ah 13.8
> volt type!
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
WELL AS LONG AS THEY ARE NOT RECHARABLE BATTERY PACKS. I HATE THOSE
THINGS. I WISH THAT COMPANIES WOULD JUST STIK WITH REGULAR 1.5 VOLT
RECHARABLES
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1667. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374097FE.3768@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Jake Brodsky wrote:
>
> On Mon, 17 May 1999 14:41:26 GMT, donald@NOSPAM.demon.co.uk (Donald
> Gray) wrote:
>
> <flaming snipped>
>
> >2) 6 volts & 50 miles is nothing out of the ordinary. Easy peasy on HF and on
> >VHF with the right conditions. It is not the battery voltage that counts, it's
> >wattage and conditions.
> >
> >I was on the top of Portsdown Hill and worked (with a handheld at 7.2v battery)
> >a guy in Portsmouth.
> >
> >Ok you say that's only 1 mile at most & you would be quite correct except we
> >were working via a French repeater somewhere in Mid/south France (cant remember
> >exactly where now). The return path was over 400 miles (800 miles in all). So
> >400 miles on 7.2 volts.
>
> I've got one better: A friend of mine was standing on the beach in
> Tel-Aviv with a handheld radio and a 5/8 wave telescopic antenna was
> playing around on 146.52 MHz. Running 300 mW he was able to
> communicate directly with another guy using similar radio gear 900+ KM
> away on a beach on the island of Malta. This was direct --no
> repeaters.
>
> I wouldn't have believed this story except that while I was in
> Tel-Aviv, we used to hear repeaters and simplex operations from Cyprus
> almost every evening. Communications to Malta was also not unusual,
> though not exactly common. Atmospheric ducting over water is an
> amazing thing...
>
> 73,
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"
Yeah, especially when you start hearing Canadian Repeaters on the
souther shore of Lake Eire in Ohio.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1668. Pro 2009

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: doug@inter-pc.com
Message-ID: <37409EAD.5FDC@inter-pc.com>
Source record: 118503

I recently came across a used pro 2009, with no manual.
Could someone tell me the sequence to put the frequencies in. I keep
getting "error" when I try to do so.

Thanks, Doug
VA3PX

1669. Re: BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990517190424.01646.00000761@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 118504

There should be some sort of reset button in the battery compartment..

remove nospam to email

1670. Seattle/Bellevue Freq. Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: FRANK SCHERER <promotns@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3740A749.AA8ECB40@earthlink.net>
Source record: 118505

Dear Friends,
please help out with some useful scanning frequencies here, police etc.
I am guest in Seattle area. Have Icom IC-R1 here
Let me know the beginning and end frequency and the steps.
Thanks a million

1671. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <406F5CD4FE74BF88.587EA307E353D862.6D9AB8B7A19F547A@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 118506

> After I laid it out like above, all but one of the guys was ok with it.

They apparently were not ok with it, they just didn't want to lose their jobs over it.
You can only argue with an owner so much, say 2 seconds before one feels that their job is threatened.

1672. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <338F0C8E7FB3327B.5007F9285A4281A2.5C78C16765E54202@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 118507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Gee, just yank the fuse and say you were in a parking garage.

1673. Re: modification for bc890xlt info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: wildthing <wild1413@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#xtU8zLo#GA.254@cpmsnbbsa03>
Source record: 118508

The 890 is modifiable but only certain serial number/date codes. The 895 can only be accessed via down conversion.

mrmike2u wrote in message ...
>http://members.aol.com/noobody/scan4.htm
> and
>http://members.aol.com/scanshack/Bc890xlt.pdf
>
>at above location it shows how to mod the 890 to receive cellular is this
>info correct i thought the 890 and 895 were not able to do this with a mod
>see below
>
>
>
>BC890XLT
>
>Subject: bc890xlt-800mhz full restore
>1. remove all cabinet screws.
>2. remove 4 face plate screws and 1 bracket screw at center of main board.
>3. carefully depress the outside edges of faceplate shield and tilt down.
>4. unplug j4 and j5 and ribbon connectors j501 j502 and j503.
>5. remove faceplate and logic board.
>6. position board so microprocessor chip is on left and memory battery on
>right.
>7. locate the 3 chip resistors at chips upper right..marked 104 and
>carefully desolder.
>8. install the chip resistor at the 2 empty pads closest to memory battery.
>9. reassemble carefully in reverse order.
>10. test by entering freq. around 870 mhz.
>
>
>

1674. FS:3-element all-band scanner base antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepper Hastings <phastings@beckett.com>
Message-ID: <3740A7FD.11D313EC@beckett.com>
Source record: 118509

this is the Archer (Radio Shack) Outdoor all-band ground
plane antenna. It's shown on page 75
of the 1999 Radio Shack catalog (model #20-014). It was
discontinued sometime in January. See it at

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=105335984

1675. Re: Good Source for Crystals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsmtmn@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990517194926.26666.00002413@ng41.aol.com>
Source record: 118510

Try International Crystal Mfg.
Phone 1-405-236-3741 or 1-800-725-1426.
They will have the brand new crystal to you in less then two weeks.

1676. Re: Best Mobile Scanner Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7hqbh1cpl1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 118511

Radio Shack has carried a good all-aroung mag-mount scanner antenna for about 10 years...I'm using one of each of my 2 vehicles, and one at home. Good reception across all bands...low band VHF is better than with most other scanner antennas. Costs $29.99...their model number 20-032.

Harry Marnell, N6URU

http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

1677. Re: Seattle/Bellevue Freq. Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <C711E78890C03DC2.4E95FA2643565F51.F5A38837BFFCE106@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 118512

Yeah, if your on the hill at homestead village, you got an excellent listening height.

1678. Re: Scanners and HT's aboard aircraft - definitive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: zenith #1 <peitsch@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <7hqgra$hsa@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 118513

The pilot has the authority to say NO always anytime for any reason.
The pilot has complete control over his/her aircraft.
The pilot cannot give permission unless company policy says OK.
What else is there to argue about?

Brad Granger wrote in message <373f9522.0@pink.one.net.au>...
> Might I offer the following from the FAR's (Federal Aviation
>Regulations)
>
> Sec. 91.21 Portable electronic devices.
> (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, no person may
> operate, nor may any operator or pilot in command of an aircraft allow
the
> operation of, any portable electronic device on any of the following
U.S.-
> registered civil aircraft:
> (1) Aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier operating
>certificate
> or an operating certificate; or
> (2) Any other aircraft while it is operated under IFR.
> (b) Paragraph (a) of this section does not apply to--
> (1) Portable voice recorders; (2) Hearing aids; (3) Heart
>pacemakers;
> (4) Electric shavers; or
> (5) Any other portable electronic device that the operator of the
>aircraft
> has determined will not cause interference with the navigation or
> communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.
> (c) In the case of an aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier
> operating certificate or an operating certificate, the determination
>required
> by paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall be made by that operator of the
> aircraft on which the particular device is to be used. In the case of
>other
> aircraft, the determination may be made by the pilot in command or other
> operator of the aircraft.
> Effective Date Note: At 54 FR 34291, August 18, 1989, Sec. 91.21 was
> revised effective August 18, 1990, as set out above.
>
>
>
>In the background to these notes, mention was specifically made of
>passengers electronic receivers and the potential for interference. Notice
>that it says that the Operator and not the pilot has the discretion to

>permit use of such equipment on Air Carrier aircraft.
>
>Brad
>VK2QQ
>
>

1679. Re: J-Pole ant. made out of Coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raz Barlow <razbarlow@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7hqho7$5sh$1@ins8.netins.net>
Source record: 118514

Oops, I meant dipole .
sorry,
Raz

Raz Barlow wrote in message <7hn62b$7q2$1@ins8.netins.net>...
>I have gotten many responses about a homebrew antenna . I am very thankful
>for that . I just have a couple of questions.
>1) Aftrer I strip the outside insulator off , how do I get the braid folded
>down over the outside? It is too interwoven is there a trick ?
>2) Is it the length of the inside core or the outside braid that does the
>receiving ? Or both, which is more critical ?
>3) When I put this inside a piece of PVC, do I run the piece of pvc the
>whole length of the antenna . Or do I let the part that I stripped off hang
>out the top ?
>
>Thanks A Million guys !
>Raz
>
>
>

1680. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3740D3E6.E24@sir.com>
Source record: 118515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
just.do.it email.ro (AE) wrote:
>
> Cutting here -n- there from all the threads:
>
> > What if you wanted to play the flute, but a law required that you learn
> > how to play the harp before you were allowed to play the flute?
> >
> > What if you didn't like the harp and only wanted to play the flute, and
> > other flute players called you lazy for not wanting to waste time
> > learning an instrument you had no intention of ever playing?
> >
> > They have no desire to use morse code. How silly it would be to waste
> > the time to learn morse code, only to forget it once one has the license
> > to go ahead and use voice.
> >
> > Only people who intend to USE morse code should learn it.
>
> 90% of the automobile drivers out there don't intend to use anything
> they *learned* to get their drivers license,

Give me an example, I can't think of one thing you learn to get a
driver's license that you wouldn't need to know when you drive.

why not drop that
> requirement too? Just let 'em all drive, drop the roadtest
> requirement!

That would be like dropping the rules and technical requirements on the
ham test. Both are needed and used by everyone in Ham radio. We are
talking about Morse Code which does NOT have to be used in order to
operate Ham radio. If it was required to learn how to ride a horse to
get that driver's license, then you could argue about that, but they
don't test for stuff EVERY driver won't need to know in order to drive.

> Ever stop to think that maybe the government intended to keep some
> people out?

No, they droped the code on the tech to get people in, not out. They
would drop the code for other classes too if they could, but it is an
international requirement, so it will take a bit longer to do.

Why not drop the code requirment for commercial broadcast
> while we're at it?
```

There never was or is a code requirement for commercial broadcast.

1681. Re: Seattle/Bellevue Freq. Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <zw403.116$XR1.14900@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 118516

Seattle/King County is on a trunked system, don't know for sure about
Bellevue.

FRANK SCHERER <promotns@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3740A749.AA8ECB40@earthlink.net...
> Dear Friends,
> please help out with some useful scanning frequencies here, police etc.
> I am guest in Seattle area. Have Icom IC-R1 here
> Let me know the beginning and end frequency and the steps.
> Thanks a million
>
>

1682. downconvertor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: iski@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25842-3740CF57-15@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118517

Does anyone know where to find any info on how to build a 800 to 400 convertor

1683. Re: Who can hear us?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3740DC75.6C6B@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118518
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Asmodean wrote:
>
> Actually, that could also be due to the fact that the most popular RS FRS
> unit is the 21-1804. It is 14 channels, but to change channels you must
> open the battery compartment, take out the left-most battery, and flip 1 to
> 4 dip switches. Basically, in order to figure out what channel you are on,
> you must A) count how many dip switches are up and how many are down, B)
> remember the order they are in, and C) look up your findings on the
> incredibly hard to decipher (for the non-dip-switch literate person) label,
> which you probably forgot to put on your radio in the first place. Then you
> pray that the person who asked you the question five minutes ago didn't lose
> interest and change channels on you while you were so deeply engrossed in
> your quest for the channel number. (Yep, you guessed it, I own one of those
> darn radios)
>
> --
>
> Asmo
> KF4YTR
> Charter Member of NO MA'AM
>
> spamless@last.com wrote in message
> >Got it. That also explains the annoyance and confusion of Radio
> >Shack-unit owners when asked what channel they're on! He he.
Not with my radios, I just push a button. Got them about two years ago.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1684. Re: Who can hear us?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3740DB39.50B4@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118519
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Allen Barnett wrote:
>
> spamless@last.com wrote:
> >
> > So, these radio shack units, why can't they get more than one channel?
> > Are they just cheap toys or is there some good reason for that?
>
> Radio Shack is good at promoting what they have - they are the largest
> retail consumer radio type store in the country. Thousands of people
> see their sign everyday and they send out flyers and catalogs often.
> If you go in and buy a 30 cent fuse - they try to get your name and
> address for their junk mail file.
>
> Radio Shack was instrumental in suggesting to the FCC that FRS become
> a reality - and they were one of the first companies to setup
> production. Some of their first units were built only as a single
> channel [ch 1] unit - and that went on for a while. As Radio Shack
> continued to promote their single channel unit - other manufacturers
> were setting up production on full featured - higher powered - truly
> better units. Radio Shack has been slow - very slow - very very slow
> - to catch up.
>
> But --- they continue to promote what they have to offer. Get the
> picture?
>
> --
> Allen Barnett 23 Years in Small Business
> A B T E C - Lawrenceville, Georgia USA
> {=Commercial Sound & Video Systems=}
> (Newest Hobby - 'FRS' Radios)
> http://www.bigfoot.com/~allen_barnett
I have two Radio Shack units,and they have way more then one channel,
plus privacy codes, plus a light, plus amonitor button, and also a key
lock button.
FRS - 106
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1685. Re: Who can hear us?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3740DC04.7BF3@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118520
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

spamless@last.com wrote:
>
> Allen Barnett <allen_barnett@bigfoot.com> wrote:
> >spamless@last.com wrote:
> >>
> >> So, these radio shack units, why can't they get more than one channel?
> >> Are they just cheap toys or is there some good reason for that?
> >>
> >Radio Shack is good at promoting what they have - they are the largest
> >retail consumer radio type store in the country. Thousands of people
> >see their sign everyday and they send out flyers and catalogs often.
> >If you go in and buy a 30 cent fuse - they try to get your name and
> >address for their junk mail file.
> >
> >Radio Shack was instrumental in suggesting to the FCC that FRS become
> >a reality - and they were one of the first companies to setup
> >production. Some of their first units were built only as a single
> >channel [ch 1] unit - and that went on for a while. As Radio Shack
> >continued to promote their single channel unit - other manufacturers
> >were setting up production on full featured - higher powered - truly
> >better units. Radio Shack has been slow - very slow - very very slow
> >- to catch up.
> >
> >But --- they continue to promote what they have to offer. Get the
> >picture?
>
> Got it. That also explains the annoyance and confusion of Radio
> Shack-unit owners when asked what channel they're on! He he.
Remember this: All units are manufactured to transcieve narrow
frequency modulation so it don't matter who makes them, everybody using
onee will still hear everybody else if they all use the same prrivacy
codes. Too bad that the company that can make digital cell phhones
doesn't makes digital FRS radios, eh?
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1686. Re: The new scanning Talkabouts - questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3740DD56.40D7@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118521
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,al.radio.scanner

EchoStarr wrote:
>
> <spamless@last.com> wrote in message
> 3750f01f.138603196@news1.lig.bellsouth.net...
> >We have 2 Talkabout Plus units. Just noticed that they've come out
> >with a scanning unit. Does this scan all channels/codes?
>
> All 14 channels. The scanner doesn't need codes. Codes are only tone squelch
> frequencies which are ignored by the scanner.
C O R R E C T I O N ! ! ! ! Codes arre something that can or cannot be
ignored by a scanner. Almosst anybody that is into scanning knows that
tone/code boards are avaible as add ons if thee owner so chooses. Once
those codes/tones are programmed into the board then the scanner can all
hear the same the transmissions with the same tones/codes.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1687. Re: Headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <3740DCA6.7B1F9736@gnc.net>
Source record: 118522

Not sure if you have a Radio Shack up your way or
not but I bought the NOVA-42 model that I'm really
pleased with. They are very lightweight and don't make
your ears hot (important factor in FL!), they have about
a 12' cord, and best of all, they have a volume control/
switch assembly that clips to your shirt. A simple flip
of the switch takes the monoral signal from the scanner
and plays it in both ears. The remote volume control is
very nice everytime the Fire dept. sends out a series of
LOUD tones to alert different stations.

Robert

Robert Cherry wrote:

> Does anyone know where (preferably in Canada) I could find
> one pair of 8 ohm monophonic headphones?
>
> Thanks.
> --
> Robert Cherry
> cw527@freenet.carleton.ca

1688. FS Belden 9292 (RG-11) Coax cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13936-3740D1C9-75@newsd-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118523

200 Ft.spool Belden 9292 Duofoil CATV Coax cable.$ 30.00 + shipping.

Thanks in advance.

Dave

1689. Re: Seattle/Bellevue Freq. Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3740DD67.650C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118524

FRANK SCHERER wrote:
>
> Dear Friends,
> please help out with some useful scanning frequencies here, police etc.
> I am guest in Seattle area. Have Icom IC-R1 here
> Let me know the beginning and end frequency and the steps.
> Thanks a million

Check out the following URLs:

http://www.wolfe.net/~daydream/html/washington.html
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/wa.html
http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/wa.html

1690. WTB: PRO-2005 Owner's Manual (original)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7hqnpv$1n8$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118525

Looking for original owner's manual for PRO-2005 scanner. Thanks.

1691. Re: 46 and 900 MHz Cordless Scanning Guide Now OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3740E3E9.30E7@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Av8tor wrote:
>
> Hi All:
> As many of you know I've been trying to put together an OnLine Radio E-Zine
> (electronic magazine). I've been adding and adding every chance I get.
>
> Today I added a frequency chart for 900 MHz cordless phones. Also I added a
> short artical with tips for scanning the 46 MHz cordless phones. Included is
> a chart of all the older and new 46 MHz frequencies.
>
> Have fun and enjoy.
> George
> http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <--RadiosOnLine E-Zine
> --
> ____
> Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
> Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
> -----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
> ____
Is that such a good thing to publish, consider all thoose overpaid
underworked friends that those companies that make them phones have in
D.C.? We already know what they are paid by the corporations to
politically correctly think about us.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1692. Re: Any Australian Scanner Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3740E416.7A7D@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Brad Granger wrote:
>
> Specifics. where abouts on Australia? What services are you interested in?
>
> Brad
> VK2QQ
>
> Laureen Baker wrote in message <926834936.672222@www>...
> >Hi
> >
> > If anyone has any Australian scanning frequencies if you could please
> >send them to me,
> >
> >
> > Jezz
> >
> >
You could try:
aus.radio.scanner
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1693. Re: Trunk Tracker slips off ID's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3740E4BA.4A4D@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
> In <19990517095447.01632.00000624@ng-cg1.aol.com> kt4ht@aol.com (Kt4ht)
> writes:
> >
> >My Pro-90 and BC-895 occasionally revert back to searching ID's after
> being
> >locked on an ID when using Trunking mode. Is this because it looses
> one of the
> >Control frequencies? or perhaps that I have missed one of the control
> >frequencies in programming the bank?
> >
> >Thanks,
> >
> >Mike
> Ah, excuse me here but I think that you might have your trunk tracking scanner set to
search mode for IDs.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1694. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <926981351.780988@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 118529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Here is an easy answer:

Tell them you want to trade in the GPS for a personal Pager.
This way they can call you every hour on the hour; 24 hours a day. If you get an international pager, then they can reach you anywhere too.

Magic 92 wrote in message <373F4CFA.4987AC80@magic92.com>...
>bill topolski wrote:
>>
>> I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. The company I work for just
>> install it in all of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it.
>> Does anybody have any suggestions?
>> Is it possible to jam?
>> Help, big brother is watching
>
>I went to a search engine, typed "gps" in the search box and found
>this:
>---
>
>http://www.utexas.edu/depts/grg/gcraft/notes/gps/gps.html
>
>GPS Satellite Signals
>
>The SVs transmit two microwave carrier signals. The L1 frequency
>(1575.42 MHz) carries the navigation message and the SPS code signals.
>
>The L2 frequency (1227.60 MHz) is used to measure the ionospheric
>delay by PPS equipped receivers.
>
>Three binary codes shift the L1 and/or L2 carrier phase.
>The C/A Code (Coarse Acquisition) modulates the L1 carrier phase.
>The C/A code is a repeating 1 MHz Pseudo Random Noise (PRN) Code.
>This noise-like code modulates the L1 carrier signal, "spreading"
>the spectrum over a 1 MHz bandwidth.
>
>The C/A code repeats every 1023 bits (one millisecond). There is a
>different C/A code PRN for each SV. GPS satellites are often identified
>by their PRN number, the unique identifier for each pseudo-random-noise
>code. The C/A code that modulates the L1 carrier is the basis for the
>civil SPS.
>
>The P-Code (Precise) modulates both the L1 and L2 carrier phases.
>The P-Code is a very long (seven days) 10 MHz PRN code.
>In the Anti-Spoofing (AS) mode of operation, the P-Code is encrypted

>into the Y-Code.
>
>The encrypted Y-Code requires a classified AS Module for each receiver
>channel and is for use only by authorized users with cryptographic keys.
>The P (Y)-Code is the basis for the PPS.
>
>The Navigation Message also modulates the L1-C/A code signal.
>The Navigation Message is a 50 Hz signal consisting of data bits
>that describe the GPS satellite orbits, clock corrections, and other
>system parameters.
>
>GPS is funded by and controlled by the U. S. Department of Defense
>(DOD).
>While there are many thousands of civil users of GPS world-wide, the
>system was designed for and is operated by the U. S. military.
>-------------
>
>If you still want to "jam" GPS, maybe the US Department of Defense
>could answer your questions, just click here:
>
>---> http://www.defenselink.mil/ <---

1695. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3740E50B.7614@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Bob Gardner wrote:
>
> I think you could get a nice room in Leavenworth, Kansas, with three meals a
> day, exercise breaks, and lots of guys who want to be your best friend.
>
> Bob Gardner
>
> Magic 92 wrote:
>
> > bill topolski wrote:
> > >
> > > I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. The company I work for just
> > > install it in all of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it.
> > > Does anybody have any suggestions?
> > > Is it possible to jam?
> > > Help, big brother is watching
> >
> > I went to a search engine, typed "gps" in the search box and found
> > this:
> > ---
> >
> > http://www.utexas.edu/depts/grg/gcraft/notes/gps/gps.html
> >
> > GPS Satellite Signals
> >
> > The SVs transmit two microwave carrier signals. The L1 frequency
> > (1575.42 MHz) carries the navigation message and the SPS code signals.
> >
> > The L2 frequency (1227.60 MHz) is used to measure the ionospheric
> > delay by PPS equipped receivers.
> >
> > Three binary codes shift the L1 and/or L2 carrier phase.
> > The C/A Code (Coarse Acquisition) modulates the L1 carrier phase.
> > The C/A code is a repeating 1 MHz Pseudo Random Noise (PRN) Code.
> > This noise-like code modulates the L1 carrier signal, "spreading"
> > the spectrum over a 1 MHz bandwidth.
> >
> > The C/A code repeats every 1023 bits (one millisecond). There is a
> > different C/A code PRN for each SV. GPS satellites are often identified
> > by their PRN number, the unique identifier for each pseudo-random-noise
> > code. The C/A code that modulates the L1 carrier is the basis for the
> > civil SPS.
> >

> > The P-Code (Precise) modulates both the L1 and L2 carrier phases.
> > The P-Code is a very long (seven days) 10 MHz PRN code.
> > In the Anti-Spoofing (AS) mode of operation, the P-Code is encrypted
> > into the Y-Code.
> >
> > The encrypted Y-Code requires a classified AS Module for each receiver
> > channel and is for use only by authorized users with cryptographic keys.
> > The P (Y)-Code is the basis for the PPS.
> >
> > The Navigation Message also modulates the L1-C/A code signal.
> > The Navigation Message is a 50 Hz signal consisting of data bits
> > that describe the GPS satellite orbits, clock corrections, and other
> > system parameters.
> >
> > GPS is funded by and controlled by the U. S. Department of Defense
> > (DOD).
> > While there are many thousands of civil users of GPS world-wide, the
> > system was designed for and is operated by the U. S. military.
> > -------------
> >
> > If you still want to "jam" GPS, maybe the US Department of Defense
> > could answer your questions, just click here:
> >
> > ---> http://www.defenselink.mil/ <---
I think that he does not wan them to know how many coffee breaks he
takes.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1696. Re: Ebay: I have seen it all now.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gina McBride & John Miller <mcmiller@alaska.net>
Message-ID: <3740F8F7.3F8FC9D9@alaska.net>
Source record: 118531

If that's the case I'll "e-bay" my 2 NOS "sealed" 2006's and a free
fishing trip to Alaska for that !!!!!!!!!!!1

John Miller
Anchorage, Alaska

Kurt Hoerz wrote:

> I really want to know what those extras are. Mind you, if it is an OS456
> board, while still pricey, it may not be totally out of line.
>
> Kurt

1697. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <3740FD7A.772BE878@dnai.com>
Source record: 118532
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

I have heard of these devices as well but mostly of the high frequency type, ones that make you sick or give you a headache and have to be operated in close proximity to the subject. Having the whole house shake that sounds like some very powerful LF device . . . that is pretty scary . . .
Or perhaps it is some type of phase device that uses the houses internal wiring to setup up some kind of oscillation . . . what do you guys think ?

Dan Lauber wrote:

> I *think* I know what that is. in the AMAZING! catalog (can't find it right
> now) they sell several forms of transducers ment for the specific porpose of
> harming humans. They are probably low in quality, so that is why it dosn't
> do all the horrible things it claims to do.
>
> georgeandbarbarabush@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > I was hoping somebody could help me with a little dilemma I am involved
> > with. My aunt is currently feuding with her next-door neighbor -- the
> > neighbor is trying to run my aunt off her land so he can buy it (the
> > Hatfields and the McCoys are still around, hehe).
> >
> > At first he used his step-grandchildren to harass her, but now I'm
> > afraid he's gone high tech. He is aiming some sort of high frequency
> > noise at my aunt's house, which nobody can quite hear but everyone can
> > feel. I thought she might have gone batty before I went over there
> > today and felt it myself. My ears immediately felt stopped up
> > and "tight" like I was going up in an airplane. It also made my skin
> > crawl, and my aunt's nose quit running. The animals were going crazy --
> > the dog was hiding and her cows went away from her house to another
> > pasture. My aunt also claims it kills wasps and when she tries to
> > record the sound or listen to it on her "bionic ear" it shuts the thing
> > off.
> >
> > Her children have stayed with her and they have verified that at night
> > it shakes the whole house. She has a friend who just had a chip
> > implanted in his head to restore his hearing and when he came over and
> > visited the chip quit working right then and there, despite working
> > perfectly for weeks. His doctors in Houston and some that were flown
> > in from up North could find nothing wrong with it, except maybe that
> > some sort of interference had stopped it. Though it's hard to
> > comprehend that anyone would do such a thing to a 75-year-old woman,
> > something is going on.
> >
> > Has anyone ever had anything like this happen? We suspect that it

> > might be one of those "game repellent" devices that emit a high
> > frequency sound to drive off deer or other wild animals. Or, it could
> > be some sort of odd electromagnetic field. This just started a month
> > ago and since then she has gotten no new appliances or has not
> > altered the place in any way. Will an ultrasonic device pointed at a
> > house cause all the above symptoms? It has been reported to the local
> > sheriff very discreetly but he doesn't know about sound theory or
> > anything scientific. Is there any way to confirm that there is a high
> > frequency sound is being projected? Will an oscilloscope work? Is
> > there an easier/less costly method of going about this? What's the
> > best device to detect this sound? Is there a way to reflect the high
> > frequency noise back onto the object that is emitting it? I am a very
> > sane person and this is in now way intended as a prank or joke. Any
> > help/replies will be greatly appreciated!
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> > Jill
> >
> > --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> > ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
>
> --
> Dan Lauber
> jlauber@execpc.com
> ------------------------------
> My ideas are ï¿½ Dan Lauber unless they aren't.
> It is reccomended you take all of them with a grain of salt.
> \/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/
> What the devil hast thou with the time of the day?
> -Shakespere

1698. REQ: frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4zn <AT>
Message-ID: <7i4p04dld1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118533

Does anyone have frequencies for the U.S. Emergency Alert System?

Do they have frequencies specified to certain areas or cities, or are
they common nationwide?

Perhaps tell me what, if anything heard there.

Reply pref thru e-mail, but OK here to.
TIA... N4ZN/Mike
How Long Will It Be Before An
Extra Class Radio Amateur License
Is Boxed Up With ThatNew HF Rig?
(sound hauntingly familiar?)

Breaker Breaker x.19x.xxx.xxx, c'mon back.
||||||||||||BLEEEEEEEEEEP|||||||||||||

1699. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7hnse6$f1n@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 118537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Spike <spike@eyeball.org> wrote:
>If I had too much time on my hands, it sure wouldn't go to waste =
>learning how to poke at a damned
>keyer, learning how to communicate the hard way like some hard up for =
>entertainment idiot like you
>code freaks.
>You people need to get a life.
>You have waaay too much time on your hands as you give in to follious =
>things as in keying when there
>is a whole world starving and suffering out there.

Would operating a voice or digital mode help prevent starving and
suffering? (You sound like an NCI member! =:o)

>Get out once in a while and realize there is much more than your stupid =
>code and HAM radio's.

Hey "Spike," over 1700 children die each hour of the day from malnutrition
and disease (a UN statistic); why are *you* worrying about the code and
hams instead of doing something to help prevent all those deaths? Why
are *you* hanging out on a scanner, shortwave, or ham NG and posting to
same, instead of ending the world's suffering?

Here's something else for you to ponder: Which came first, the anti-code
post or the pro-code post?

Jeff KH6O

1700. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7hnsik$f28@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 118538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

In article <BA779EB0D02B0E40.420DECA6F1ABCD98.B3699CB357193F89@library-proxy.airnews.net>,
Spike <spike@eyeball.org> wrote:
>Damned right it is legal.
>Your radio can easily gum with their electronics.
>You get on a plane and show your scanner to me and I'll
>hold you down and rip the batteries out.
>Your not gonna cause me to die with your stupid hobby.

And what "stupid" hobby would you be referring to? You're posting to
a ham and a scanner NG; you must belong to one of those "stupid"
catagories; which one?

Jeff KH6O

1701. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7hntei$fp3@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 118539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

wildthing <wild1413@email.msn.com> wrote:
>It is EXACTLY this MENTALITY of NOT UNDERSTANDING which creates the WHOLE
>Y2K PANIC and EXPLOITATION TODAY!

The U.S. Navy ran the computer clocks ahead to 00/00/2000 on the aircraft carrier USS Constellation to test 260 systems involving the carrier's battle group; one of the more serious problems was that fleet radio messages would not automatically disperse among the 16 ships of the group. (From an article in the newspaper Honolulu Advertiser.)

Jeff KH6O

1702. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rockit40@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <373f805c.37136853@news.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 118540
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 17 May 1999 00:08:33 GMT, georgeandbarbarabush@yahoo.com
wrote:

Wow, freaky! Perhaps you can cancel it out if you could send the same
sound out, but out of phase with the output from Mr. Asshole's device.

>I was hoping somebody could help me with a little dilemma I am involved
>with. My aunt is currently feuding with her next-door neighbor -- the
>neighbor is trying to run my aunt off her land so he can buy it (the
>Hatfields and the McCoys are still around, hehe).
>
>At first he used his step-grandchildren to harass her, but now I'm
>afraid he's gone high tech. He is aiming some sort of high frequency
>noise at my aunt's house, which nobody can quite hear but everyone can
>feel. I thought she might have gone batty before I went over there
>today and felt it myself. My ears immediately felt stopped up
>and "tight" like I was going up in an airplane. It also made my skin
>crawl, and my aunt's nose quit running. The animals were going crazy --
> the dog was hiding and her cows went away from her house to another
>pasture. My aunt also claims it kills wasps and when she tries to
>record the sound or listen to it on her "bionic ear" it shuts the thing
>off.
>
>Her children have stayed with her and they have verified that at night
>it shakes the whole house. She has a friend who just had a chip
>implanted in his head to restore his hearing and when he came over and
>visited the chip quit working right then and there, despite working
>perfectly for weeks. His doctors in Houston and some that were flown
>in from up North could find nothing wrong with it, except maybe that
>some sort of interference had stopped it. Though it's hard to
>comprehend that anyone would do such a thing to a 75-year-old woman,
>something is going on.
>
>Has anyone ever had anything like this happen? We suspect that it
>might be one of those "game repellent" devices that emit a high
>frequency sound to drive off deer or other wild animals. Or, it could
>be some sort of odd electromagnetic field. This just started a month
>ago and since then she has gotten no new appliances or has not
>altered the place in any way. Will an ultrasonic device pointed at a
>house cause all the above symptoms? It has been reported to the local
>sheriff very discreetly but he doesn't know about sound theory or
>anything scientific. Is there any way to confirm that there is a high
>frequency sound is being projected? Will an oscilloscope work? Is
>there an easier/less costly method of going about this? What's the

>best device to detect this sound? Is there a way to reflect the high
>frequency noise back onto the object that is emitting it? I am a very
>sane person and this is in now way intended as a prank or joke. Any
>help/replies will be greatly appreciated!
>
>Thanks!
>
>Jill
>
>
>--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
>---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1703. Scanners and HT's aboard aircraft - definitive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Granger <bgranger@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <373f9522.0@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 118541

Might I offer the following from the FAR's (Federal Aviation Regulations)

Sec. 91.21 Portable electronic devices.
(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, no person may operate, nor may any operator or pilot in command of an aircraft allow the operation of, any portable electronic device on any of the following U.S.-registered civil aircraft:
(1) Aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier operating certificate
or an operating certificate; or
(2) Any other aircraft while it is operated under IFR.
(b) Paragraph (a) of this section does not apply to--
(1) Portable voice recorders; (2) Hearing aids; (3) Heart pacemakers;
(4) Electric shavers; or
(5) Any other portable electronic device that the operator of the aircraft
has determined will not cause interference with the navigation or communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.
(c) In the case of an aircraft operated by a holder of an air carrier operating certificate or an operating certificate, the determination required
by paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall be made by that operator of the aircraft on which the particular device is to be used. In the case of other
aircraft, the determination may be made by the pilot in command or other operator of the aircraft.
Effective Date Note: At 54 FR 34291, August 18, 1989, Sec. 91.21 was revised effective August 18, 1990, as set out above.

In the background to these notes, mention was specifically made of passengers electronic receivers and the potential for interference. Notice that it says that the Operator and not the pilot has the discretion to permit use of such equipment on Air Carrier aircraft.

Brad
VK2QQ

1704. Cape Cawd 2m Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Mather <capecodhams@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <iDM%2.4548$xa.44626@c01read02-admin.service.talkway.com>
Source record: 118542

Cape Cawd hams are fixin' for Memworial Day. Will you be joining us ?
See how long a 2m repeatuh can stay keyed down on the Cape with its
paranoid owner absent ! Hot News on the Drudgery Report !

http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Node/1269

73, Bill
--
Posted via Talkway - http://www.talkway.com
Exchange ideas on practically anything (tm).

1705. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Solarpower1watt@Juno.com
Message-ID: <yBM%2.847$UD6.3289@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 118543
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

>Well, when you to get this far with 6 volts, then write an article for
>Popular Communications, and Monitoring Times. Do not forget to tell us
>about it on Usenet/WWW

What's with you guys and this "6 volt" fixation? Small transmitters can be made to operate on 1.5 volts, or less. My Kenwood HT operates on 4.5 volts, or less, at times. I've operated my UHF repeater from 50 miles away in the 30 milliwatt positioin; that's 0.03 watts.

Ed

REPLY INFO:
==========
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "watt" from my return address.
==========

1706. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <37548613.32801949@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 118544
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

georgeandbarbarabush@yahoo.com wrote:

>I was hoping somebody could help me with a little dilemma I am involved
>with. My aunt is currently feuding with her next-door neighbor -- the
>neighbor is trying to run my aunt off her land so he can buy it (the
>Hatfields and the McCoys are still around, hehe).
>
>At first he used his step-grandchildren to harass her, but now I'm
>afraid he's gone high tech. He is aiming some sort of high frequency
>noise at my aunt's house, which nobody can quite hear but everyone can
>feel. I thought she might have gone batty before I went over there
>today and felt it myself. My ears immediately felt stopped up
>and "tight" like I was going up in an airplane. It also made my skin
>crawl, and my aunt's nose quit running. The animals were going crazy --
> the dog was hiding and her cows went away from her house to another
>pasture. My aunt also claims it kills wasps and when she tries to
>record the sound or listen to it on her "bionic ear" it shuts the thing
>off.
>
>Her children have stayed with her and they have verified that at night
>it shakes the whole house. She has a friend who just had a chip
>implanted in his head to restore his hearing and when he came over and
>visited the chip quit working right then and there, despite working
>perfectly for weeks. His doctors in Houston and some that were flown
>in from up North could find nothing wrong with it, except maybe that
>some sort of interference had stopped it. Though it's hard to
>comprehend that anyone would do such a thing to a 75-year-old woman,
>something is going on.
>
>Has anyone ever had anything like this happen? We suspect that it
>might be one of those "game repellent" devices that emit a high
>frequency sound to drive off deer or other wild animals. Or, it could
>be some sort of odd electromagnetic field. This just started a month
>ago and since then she has gotten no new appliances or has not
>altered the place in any way. Will an ultrasonic device pointed at a
>house cause all the above symptoms? It has been reported to the local
>sheriff very discreetly but he doesn't know about sound theory or
>anything scientific. Is there any way to confirm that there is a high
>frequency sound is being projected? Will an oscilloscope work? Is
>there an easier/less costly method of going about this? What's the
>best device to detect this sound? Is there a way to reflect the high
>frequency noise back onto the object that is emitting it? I am a very
>sane person and this is in now way intended as a prank or joke. Any

>help/replies will be greatly appreciated!
>
>Thanks!
>
>Jill

Tinfoil hats for everybody. Might be kind of hard putting them on the
wasps, though.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

1707. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gardner Mayo <gbmayo@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <373F9ADC.8FE6CC4B@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 118545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Thats a great Idea about throwing the radio in with a few other things on the tray so it seems like its a phone and they give you no problems about it. I wouldnt want to be responsible for me and my family denied or missing a flight over a radio. They would be realy mad!!

Brad Granger wrote:

>
>
>
>
> Of course, you just be a whole lot more subtle about it. throw the radio
> along with your keys and small change into the little wooden tray at the
> xray and let them scan it (pun eh?). They will think it is a mobile phone
> and cause you no more grief. I know this works, it works for me, in the USA
> and here in Australia.
>
> When you are wandering the airport listening, don't draw attention to
> yourself, play it smart and leave the radio in a pocket or on your hip,
> listen with a pair of walkman headphones, no-one will know the difference.
> On my last trip I was carrying both scanner and 2m HT. Just have a jacket
> with big pockets.
>
> Brad VK2QQ

1708. BC 200XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rustyhound@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990517010904.11225.00002290@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 118546

What is the antenna impedance for the 200xlt?
TIA-rv

1709. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990517011153.16301.00001454@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 118547

>Thank you very much for that info. Being unsure, that was the reason I
>never wanted to do the mod , but now I will.
>

Glad I could be of some help. It's an easy modification, just be careful of accidentally shorting other solderpins/surface-mount components when installing the resistors. It's fairly simple to overlook (for me at least!) little things like that!
Have fun, the new channel space is great to play around with!
Mike

1710. Re: BC895 info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990517011628.16301.00001457@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 118548

>I also have a 895. Works great,with a discriminator tap i decode
>acars,weather sats and it receives voice transmissions well to.
>
>

Glad to hear it. Do you find the frequency-dependent modes to be limiting in any way? I read that in the military bands between 220-400 MHz, you only have AM to use as a mode... Seems a little inflexible, as there are other gov't comms. there in FM (NFM) mode. But this was an older magazine review. Maybe Uniden changed this to freq. INdependent modes...
Mike

1711. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Lauber <jlauber@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7ho90p$ta@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 118549
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

I *think* I know what that is. in the AMAZING! catalog (can't find it right now) they sell several forms of transducers ment for the specific porpose of harming humans. They are probably low in quality, so that is why it dosn't do all the horrible things it claims to do.

georgeandbarbarabush@yahoo.com wrote:

> I was hoping somebody could help me with a little dilemma I am involved
> with. My aunt is currently feuding with her next-door neighbor -- the
> neighbor is trying to run my aunt off her land so he can buy it (the
> Hatfields and the McCoys are still around, hehe).
>
> At first he used his step-grandchildren to harass her, but now I'm
> afraid he's gone high tech. He is aiming some sort of high frequency
> noise at my aunt's house, which nobody can quite hear but everyone can
> feel. I thought she might have gone batty before I went over there
> today and felt it myself. My ears immediately felt stopped up
> and "tight" like I was going up in an airplane. It also made my skin
> crawl, and my aunt's nose quit running. The animals were going crazy --
> the dog was hiding and her cows went away from her house to another
> pasture. My aunt also claims it kills wasps and when she tries to
> record the sound or listen to it on her "bionic ear" it shuts the thing
> off.
>
> Her children have stayed with her and they have verified that at night
> it shakes the whole house. She has a friend who just had a chip
> implanted in his head to restore his hearing and when he came over and
> visited the chip quit working right then and there, despite working
> perfectly for weeks. His doctors in Houston and some that were flown
> in from up North could find nothing wrong with it, except maybe that
> some sort of interference had stopped it. Though it's hard to
> comprehend that anyone would do such a thing to a 75-year-old woman,
> something is going on.
>
> Has anyone ever had anything like this happen? We suspect that it
> might be one of those "game repellent" devices that emit a high
> frequency sound to drive off deer or other wild animals. Or, it could
> be some sort of odd electromagnetic field. This just started a month
> ago and since then she has gotten no new appliances or has not
> altered the place in any way. Will an ultrasonic device pointed at a
> house cause all the above symptoms? It has been reported to the local
> sheriff very discreetly but he doesn't know about sound theory or
> anything scientific. Is there any way to confirm that there is a high
> frequency sound is being projected? Will an oscilloscope work? Is

> there an easier/less costly method of going about this? What's the
> best device to detect this sound? Is there a way to reflect the high
> frequency noise back onto the object that is emitting it? I am a very
> sane person and this is in now way intended as a prank or joke. Any
> help/replies will be greatly appreciated!
>
> Thanks!
>
> Jill
>
> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

--
Dan Lauber
jlauber@execpc.com

My ideas are ï¿½ Dan Lauber unless they aren't.
It is reccomended you take all of them with a grain of salt.
\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/
What the devil hast thou with the time of the day?
-Shakespere

1712. Motorola Talkabout SLK 280s For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <373FB107.3F0B@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118550
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Lowest price anywhere! Half off list!
I have two top of the Motorola line of FRS radios. 14channels, 38pl
tones, 2 mile range. They come with Nickel Metal Hydride rechargable
batteries and chargers and a copy of the manual. These radios are in
new condition. $190 for everything shipped. For more info check
out the link.
http://www.mot.com/LMPS/RPG/NA/portables/talkabout/talkabout_280_color.html

1713. Re: Monitoring in Sydney Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Granger <bgranger@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <373fb212.0@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 118551

Ronnie Bringle wrote in message <36F%2.333$UD6.3085@newsfeed.slurp.net>...
>Is it legal to own and use a scanning radio in Sydney? Are there
>restrictions regarding listening? Can I give a scanner radio from the USA
to
>a friend who is returning home to Australia? What about customs
regulations?
>Can I send a scanner by mail or UPS to a friend there?
>
>Jerry

Yes it is legal.
There no real restrictions regarding listening, we are honor bound not to
listen to cellular calls, there is no blocking requirement.
Your friend will need an alternative means of power, we run on 240volts,
perhaps they can buy a dc plugpack to suit.
If you are posting it, UPS will cost a bomb, might require Customs
clearance. Make sure it is second-hand. Mark the Customs declaration as
gift. New units are dutyable.

Brad.
>

1714. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <373FB24B.38ACD6CC@mich.com>
Source record: 118552

bill topolski wrote:

> I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. the company I work for just install it in
> all
> of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it. any body have any
> suggestions?

1. Quit.
2. Obey all laws & company policies regarding your operation of their vehicle.

> help big brother is watching

And the silly, paranoid brother is driving the truck.

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

1715. Forest Fire Freqs for ARIZONA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spamless@spamstinks.com
Message-ID: <373fd738.29318343@news.ntwrld.com>
Source record: 118553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello..

Anyone happen to have a list handy of all forest freqs in Arizona
including Air Support Victor freqs, State Land, etc..

Please post them to the group. Thank You in advance!

1716. FS:Pro 2045 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjhealy999@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7hpl9n$pn8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118554

```
For Sale
Radio Shack Pro 2045 tabletop scanner. 200
channels,Auto search,weather alert,etc.
Picks up standard scanner frequencies, 800 mhz and
Military Aircraft band (225-400 mhz)
Has CTCSS tone board installed,box,manual,12 volt
adapter.

150.00 dollars

Pat
pjhealy@worldnet.att.net

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
```

1717. FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <373FECA9.AB7047D0@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 118555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the scanner newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
Picking A Scanner/Receiver
Scanner Glossary
Scanner Model FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
FCC Frequency Databases
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Co-ax Cable
Receiver Range
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargeable Battery Tips
Radio Discussion Lists
Radio Modifications
Radio Shack On-line Manuals
Uniden On-line Manuals
AOR On-line Manuals
Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
Used Scanner Price Guide
Radio Monitoring Software
Receivers Covering Military Aero
*Computer Control
*Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
*Do I Need An Amplifier?
My Web Page
Courtesy

*=Recently added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm

Happy reading!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1718. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: monjul@erols.com
Message-ID: <7hord3$3u5$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 118556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

In article <37548613.32801949@news.cyberhighway.net>,
glenq@cyberhighway.net says...

>Tinfoil hats for everybody. Might be kind of hard putting them o
>n the
>wasps, though.
>--
>glenq@cyberhighway.net

Yeah, they're pretty snobby people.

Armand

1719. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pirate <pirate@primus.com.au>
Message-ID: <926937539.216577@diddley.primus.com.au>
Source record: 118557
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

The next door neighbor could be using a 12 inch sub woofer (or larger) with
a high power amp running at low frequency.....
This can make the house tremble and could be lower enough that the human ear
will not hear it but the body will feel it. (I know this works.... my car
sound system is a perfect example)

Food for thought! get a CB radio with a really filthy power amp that has
high harmonics and parasitics and have it transmitting all the time.....that
should give the next neighbor something to think about!

Australian Pirate Radio Network
Bob Da Pirate

<georgeandbarbarabush@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7hnmm1$f0t$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I was hoping somebody could help me with a little dilemma I am involved
> with. My aunt is currently feuding with her next-door neighbor -- the
> neighbor is trying to run my aunt off her land so he can buy it (the
> Hatfields and the McCoys are still around, hehe).
>
> At first he used his step-grandchildren to harass her, but now I'm
> afraid he's gone high tech. He is aiming some sort of high frequency
> noise at my aunt's house, which nobody can quite hear but everyone can
> feel. I thought she might have gone batty before I went over there
> today and felt it myself. My ears immediately felt stopped up
> and "tight" like I was going up in an airplane. It also made my skin
> crawl, and my aunt's nose quit running. The animals were going crazy --
> the dog was hiding and her cows went away from her house to another
> pasture. My aunt also claims it kills wasps and when she tries to
> record the sound or listen to it on her "bionic ear" it shuts the thing
> off.
>
> Her children have stayed with her and they have verified that at night
> it shakes the whole house. She has a friend who just had a chip
> implanted in his head to restore his hearing and when he came over and
> visited the chip quit working right then and there, despite working
> perfectly for weeks. His doctors in Houston and some that were flown
> in from up North could find nothing wrong with it, except maybe that
> some sort of interference had stopped it. Though it's hard to
> comprehend that anyone would do such a thing to a 75-year-old woman,
> something is going on.
>
> Has anyone ever had anything like this happen? We suspect that it
> might be one of those "game repellent" devices that emit a high

> frequency sound to drive off deer or other wild animals. Or, it could
> be some sort of odd electromagnetic field. This just started a month
> ago and since then she has gotten no new appliances or has not
> altered the place in any way. Will an ultrasonic device pointed at a
> house cause all the above symptoms? It has been reported to the local
> sheriff very discreetly but he doesn't know about sound theory or
> anything scientific. Is there any way to confirm that there is a high
> frequency sound is being projected? Will an oscilloscope work? Is
> there an easier/less costly method of going about this? What's the
> best device to detect this sound? Is there a way to reflect the high
> frequency noise back onto the object that is emitting it? I am a very
> sane person and this is in now way intended as a prank or joke. Any
> help/replies will be greatly appreciated!
>
> Thanks!
>
> Jill
>
>
> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1720. Re: A OS456/2006/Probe/trunker trunking question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <373FF540.104EE37A@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 118558

firestorm@investormail.com wrote:
> How does the program Probe allow two scanners using trunker to do the
> same, does probe have a "Trunking" option that will allow tuning of
> the second scanner to the voice frequency?

PROBE has no trunking features.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

1721. modification for bc890xlt info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrmike2u <mrmike2u@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <S1T%2.78$YS2.2868965@elnws01.ce.mediaone.net>
Source record: 118559

http://members.aol.com/noobody/scan4.htm
and
http://members.aol.com/scanshack/Bc890xlt.pdf

at above location it shows how to mod the 890 to receive cellular is this
info correct i thought the 890 and 895 were not able to do this with a mod
see below

BC890XLT

Subject: bc890xlt-800mhz full restore
1. remove all cabinet screws.
2. remove 4 face plate screws and 1 bracket screw at center of main board.
3. carefully depress the outside edges of faceplate shield and tilt down.
4. unplug j4 and j5 and ribbon connectors j501 j502 and j503.
5. remove faceplate and logic board.
6. position board so microprocessor chip is on left and memory battery on
right.
7. locate the 3 chip resistors at chips upper right..marked 104 and
carefully desolder.
8. install the chip resistor at the 2 empty pads closest to memory battery.
9. reassemble carefully in reverse order.
10. test by entering freq. around 870 mhz.

1722. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: yarpfaff@erols.com
Message-ID: <37400a09.5840996@news.erols.com>
Source record: 118560

On Sun, 16 May 1999 19:21:00 -0400, bill topolski
<billt@mail.snet.net> wrote:

>I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. the company I work for just install it in
>all
>of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it. any body have any
>suggestions?
>is it possible to jam?
> help big brother is watching

Some times you just wonder if it's a troll, but I'll bite. Why would
you want to jam a receiver?
To reply to this message, replace "yar" with "ray"

1723. Re: Pro 2043 help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <nobody@home.here>
Message-ID: <3740095B.F69B5753@home.here>
Source record: 118561

Make sure the BANK that the freqs are in is turned ON. While you are scanning, press the number button (1, 2, 3, etc) of the bank to toggle it on/off.

BUD MALONE wrote:
>
> I have a pro2043 that seems to not want to scan all of its programed
> freq.that i put in.they are not locked out if you go through them
> manualy.Is there a way to clear the memory in this model.

1724. Scanner FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Zotov <unitech@dol.ru>
Message-ID: <7hp4nl$r05$1@jumbo.demos.su>
Source record: 118562

http://guestbook.net.ru/cgi-bin/book.cgi?user=unitechbook&action=show

1725. repost: FS RS 2066 TT mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: JFW <lebear@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <7hp5du$r4v$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 118563

This is a repost:

For sale Radio Shack Pro-2066 mobile trunking scanner (not 2006 as typo'd before).
6 mo. old, includes everything it came with.

E-mail:
lebear@cyberhighway.net

My apologies for the error.

1726. Trunk Tracker slips off ID's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: kt4ht@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990517095447.01632.00000624@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 118564

My Pro-90 and BC-895 occasionally revert back to searching ID's after being locked on an ID when using Trunking mode. Is this because it looses one of the Control frequencies? or perhaps that I have missed one of the control frequencies in programming the bank?

Thanks,

Mike

1727. Re: FS pro-2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: neil14662@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990517102407.11230.00002182@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 118565

do you still have it for sale ...email me how much ...lets deal
Neil14662Aaol.com

1728. wanted:Pro2035

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: neil14662@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990517102606.11230.00002183@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 118566

want to buy Pro2035 ...lets deal ...email me at Neil14662@aol.com

1729. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: donald@NOSPAM.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <373d8b3d.4838338@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 118567
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

On Fri, 14 May 1999 20:37:41 -0400, RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
claims to have created this little gem:

[]

>WHAT WITH ONLY 6 VOLTS, YOU CAN MAKE A SIGNAL GO 50 + MILES?
>COME ON NOW!
>--
>RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
>FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1) Stop friggin' shouting you disbelieving wally - Iv'e another flaming
headache!

2) 6 volts & 50 miles is nothing out of the ordinary. Easy peasy on HF and on
VHF with the right conditions. It is not the battery voltage that counts, it's
wattage and conditions.

I was on the top of Portsdown Hill and worked (with a handheld at 7.2v battery)
a guy in Portsmouth.

Ok you say that's only 1 mile at most & you would be quite correct except we
were working via a French repeater somewhere in Mid/south France (cant remember
exactly where now). The return path was over 400 miles (800 miles in all). So
400 miles on 7.2 volts.

So there! Nerrrrr!

(:--0)

G3YPL /exZL1AZC
--
Donald Gray
Putting ODCOMBE on the Global Village Map
http://www.odcombe.demon.co.uk/

(Please replace the 'NOSPAM' with 'odcombe' in my email address)
(IE: donald@odcombe.demon.co.uk - Thanks)

1730. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: ve6xtc@ecn.ab.ca
Message-ID: <37402a44.0@ecn.ab.ca>
Source record: 118568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dear folks;
I've realized what the problem with CW is. One has to
learn it. On the other hand, it's the only form of digital communication
which humans can hear and translate. Of course learning cw would be a lot
more fun if it were installed in a special brain implant. This would
stimulate the pleasure centre whenever the person got a character right.
I can imagine the following conversation between a married couple:

Wife: "Let's go to bed darling."
Husband: Not yet my love, I need to practice more cw."
Wife: "But you've been at it all day."
Husband; "Aw just five more minutes alright?"

If that was possible to do, cw would become more popular than sex and it
wouldn't have the annoying side effect of children either. Hmmm, I just
the spirit of W. C. Fields applauding and cheering. But seriously folks,
cw could be more fun if it were taught correctly. I unfortunately learned
it the wrong way so it took me so long to get it right.
By the way, have you listened to the 40M cw portion of the band
with a wide filter? It sounds like an avant-garde music piece. Perhaps
that could be a new musical form. I think I might just create my own cw
piece using oscilators to generate tones which could be listened to with
the filters in a graphic equalizer.
Oh, one more thing, why doesn't some sci. fiction writer develope
a race of beings who communicate in cw? I've never once, in all my avid
reading, come across that.
Yours,

Bruce Atchison, a starving electronic music composer. Please enquire about
receiving a list of my 14 inexpensive cassette albums.
ve6xtc@freenet.edmonton.ab.ca
73!

1731. Re: FS: RS 2006 Trunking mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37402d93.10657265@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 118569

"JFW" <lebear@cyberhighway.net> wrote:

:For sale Radio Shack Pro-2066 mobie trunking scanner $150 includes
:everything it came with. 6 months old.

What?

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

1732. Re: Monitoring in Sydney Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37402d98.10661563@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 118570

"Ronnie Bringle" <ronniebringle@ckt.net> wrote:

:Is it legal to own and use a scanning radio in Sydney? Are there
:restrictions regarding listening? Can I give a scanner radio from the USA to
:a friend who is returning home to Australia? What about customs regulations?
:Can I send a scanner by mail or UPS to a friend there?

There are almost no restrictions to using a scanner in Sydney. We have
no laws against selling or modifying a scanner to cover cellular.

:Jerry

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

1733. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: j1aguiar@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990517113046.04295.00001364@ng23.aol.com>
Source record: 118571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>Subject: Re: Another nice thing about CW.
>From: ve6xtc@ecn.ab.ca ()
>Date: 5/17/99 10:40 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <37402a44.0@ecn.ab.ca>
>
>
> Dear folks;
> I've realized what the problem with CW is. One has to
>learn it. On the other hand, it's the only form of digital communication
>which humans can hear and translate. Of course learning cw would be a lot
>more fun if it were installed in a special brain implant. This would
>stimulate the pleasure centre whenever the person got a character right.
>I can imagine the following conversation between a married couple:
>
>Wife: "Let's go to bed darling."
>Husband: Not yet my love, I need to practice more cw."
>Wife: "But you've been at it all day."
>Husband; "Aw just five more minutes alright?"
>
>If that was possible to do, cw would become more popular than sex and it
>wouldn't have the annoying side effect of children either. Hmmm, I just
>the spirit of W. C. Fields applauding and cheering. But seriously folks,
>cw could be more fun if it were taught correctly. I unfortunately learned
>it the wrong way so it took me so long to get it right.
> By the way, have you listened to the 40M cw portion of the band
>with a wide filter? It sounds like an avant-garde music piece. Perhaps
>that could be a new musical form. I think I might just create my own cw
>piece using oscilators to generate tones which could be listened to with
>the filters in a graphic equalizer.
> Oh, one more thing, why doesn't some sci. fiction writer develope
>a race of beings who communicate in cw? I've never once, in all my avid
>reading, come across that.
> Yours,
>
>Bruce Atchison, a starving electronic music composer. Please enquire about
>receiving a list of my 14 inexpensive cassette albums.
>ve6xtc@freenet.edmonton.ab.ca
>73!

There is a URL that has several music pieces that are all in CW. You would love the barn yard CW Chicken Song.

1734. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3740340E.F740DFF1@IEEE.org>
Source record: 118572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

ve6xtc@ecn.ab.ca wrote:
> I've realized what the problem with CW is. One has to
> learn it. On the other hand, it's the only form of digital communication
> which humans can hear and translate.

It is a myth that humans can hear RF without help from electronics. If electronics are needed anyway, what's wrong with a little more electronics on that single chip?

> But seriously folks, cw could be more fun if it were taught correctly.

That's like saying that chocolate ice cream could be fun if taught correctly. Not true. I simply do not like chocolate ice cream. People vary in their ideas of "fun". What's wrong with live and let live?

> Oh, one more thing, why doesn't some sci. fiction writer develope
> a race of beings who communicate in cw?

Actually during evolution, several species tried Morse code for communications but predators with higher throughputs caused their immediate extinctions. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

1735. Re: Trunk Tracker slips off ID's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7hpd1j$em9@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118573

In <19990517095447.01632.00000624@ng-cg1.aol.com> kt4ht@aol.com (Kt4ht)
writes:
>
>My Pro-90 and BC-895 occasionally revert back to searching ID's after
being
>locked on an ID when using Trunking mode. Is this because it looses
one of the
>Control frequencies? or perhaps that I have missed one of the control
>frequencies in programming the bank?
>
>Thanks,
>
>Mike

they all do it. Just allow it to refind itself
or turn it off and turn it on and usually
it corrects itself.

don't worrry about it. It's what
happens in this new tech world.
things break down...

I just turn it off and turn it on and
gee, if finds itself again.

bill conduit
etc.

1736. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <37433a47.7035410@news.erols.com>
Source record: 118574

On Sun, 16 May 1999 19:21:00 -0400, bill topolski
<billt@mail.snet.net> wrote:

>I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. the company I work for just install it in
>all
>of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it. any body have any
>suggestions?
>is it possible to jam?
> help big brother is watching

Yes it is possible. No, it's not likely you'll be able to build one yourself. It's too complex. If you did actually build one and use it, somebody from DOD or the FAA (at the very least) would probably be knocking on your door. Don't forget, aircraft use GPS too. They won't be amused if your jamming signal wipes out everything for a hundred miles in all directions.

I would look at solutions such as placing a grounded shield over the antenna. You might even see if there is a connector at the base of the antenna and disconnect the beast. You might also look at how the radio is set up to squirt the signal on the air. Perhaps recording the modem and inserting that sound again through the microphone will mislead the computers enough (figure that two data squirts come in, which will the computer system pay attention to? --probably the last one)

And yes, big brother is watching you. We're installing the same systems where I work. We're sick and tired of hearing about company dump trucks and backhoes parked at a 7-11 for four hours. Don't you think an employer deserves to know about things like that?

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1737. Re: FRS radios any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrspadea@aol.commrrs32
Message-ID: <19990517121449.20828.00001728@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 118575

I use a Motorola Talkabout 250, which I picked up for under 110$

Its easy to use and has a range of around 2 miles outside.

Battery drain is at around 20+ hours with normal use.

Some further infor can be found at alt. familyradio

or http://expage.com/page/pbfrs

cheers
||NOTICE:NO UNREQUESTED EMAIL TO BE SENT!!!|||
Violators subject to civil action by owner of this address and ISP.
///Information provided via this address is unclassified, NO classified
items.\\\
Security ID:03442-USG77
-05-17-99-

1738. Re: 154.8300 no longer HotShot LAPD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <374048eb$0$2482@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 118576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

154.83 is still the Hot Shot channel. They must have been having tech difficulties. Also, 484.7125 is a simulcast of 154.83, but cuts out the helicopter chat, and doesn't always come in strongly. For more LAPD freq info, check out my site:

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Hills/3266/

Ken <Kencaz@att.net> wrote in message news:373F50CC.62BEB0EE@att.net...
> I have noticed for the past 2 day's that the LAPD HotShot Freq 154.8300
> is not active. This is the main dispatch for all calls to Divisions and
> is normally very active. I also noticed that the Air/K9 Tac 484.7125 is
> more active HotShot calls. Anyone know if this frequency is being
> phased out or if it is just transmitter problems.
>
> thanks
>
> --
> ---->>>KEN<<<----
>
> http://home.att.net/~kencaz/
>
>

1739. OPTO CUB frequency counter for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <19990517130003.04490.00001807@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 118577

Optoelectronics Cub frequency counter for sale.
On/off switch is "flakey." Has to be turned off and on several times before it will stay on.
Includes A/C adapter, soft case, box, and manual.
$35.00 and I ship UPS ground to 48 states.
Greg

1740. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Gardner <bobmrg@halcyon.com>
Message-ID: <37404B49.3DC7F26D@halcyon.com>
Source record: 118578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I think you could get a nice room in Leavenworth, Kansas, with three meals a day, exercise breaks, and lots of guys who want to be your best friend.

Bob Gardner

Magic 92 wrote:

> bill topolski wrote:
> >
> > I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. The company I work for just
> > install it in all of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it.
> > Does anybody have any suggestions?
> > Is it possible to jam?
> > Help, big brother is watching
>
> I went to a search engine, typed "gps" in the search box and found
> this:
> ---
>
> http://www.utexas.edu/depts/grg/gcraft/notes/gps/gps.html
>
> GPS Satellite Signals
>
> The SVs transmit two microwave carrier signals. The L1 frequency
> (1575.42 MHz) carries the navigation message and the SPS code signals.
>
> The L2 frequency (1227.60 MHz) is used to measure the ionospheric
> delay by PPS equipped receivers.
>
> Three binary codes shift the L1 and/or L2 carrier phase.
> The C/A Code (Coarse Acquisition) modulates the L1 carrier phase.
> The C/A code is a repeating 1 MHz Pseudo Random Noise (PRN) Code.
> This noise-like code modulates the L1 carrier signal, "spreading"
> the spectrum over a 1 MHz bandwidth.
>
> The C/A code repeats every 1023 bits (one millisecond). There is a
> different C/A code PRN for each SV. GPS satellites are often identified
> by their PRN number, the unique identifier for each pseudo-random-noise
> code. The C/A code that modulates the L1 carrier is the basis for the
> civil SPS.
>
> The P-Code (Precise) modulates both the L1 and L2 carrier phases.
> The P-Code is a very long (seven days) 10 MHz PRN code.
> In the Anti-Spoofing (AS) mode of operation, the P-Code is encrypted

> into the Y-Code.
>
> The encrypted Y-Code requires a classified AS Module for each receiver
> channel and is for use only by authorized users with cryptographic keys.
> The P (Y)-Code is the basis for the PPS.
>
> The Navigation Message also modulates the L1-C/A code signal.
> The Navigation Message is a 50 Hz signal consisting of data bits
> that describe the GPS satellite orbits, clock corrections, and other
> system parameters.
>
> GPS is funded by and controlled by the U. S. Department of Defense
> (DOD).
> While there are many thousands of civil users of GPS world-wide, the
> system was designed for and is operated by the U. S. military.
> -------------
>
> If you still want to "jam" GPS, maybe the US Department of Defense
> could answer your questions, just click here:
>
> ---> http://www.defenselink.mil/ <---

1741. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jhaverline@aol.com
Message-ID: <37404432.2594268@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 118579

On Mon, 17 May 1999 15:59:32 GMT, frussle@erols.com (Jake Brodsky) wrote:

>And yes, big brother is watching you. We're installing the same
>systems where I work. We're sick and tired of hearing about company
>dump trucks and backhoes parked at a 7-11 for four hours. Don't you
>think an employer deserves to know about things like that?

If the employer is paying you, hes he/she has a right to know where you are and what you are doing at all times (that you are being paid).

Especially when you are told about these things up front even before you are hired. That makes it 100% your decision whether or not you want to work for such a person.

What's the big deal? You're being paid to do a job, then you get defensive because you are "being watched". If you have nothing to hide, what's the problem?

I own a small business (11 employees) and I have 2 video cameras installed that cover 90% of the shop. The cameras are on all the time, but were installed to be security cameras mainly for at night when we are closed.

At first, the guys all bitched and whined and acused me of not trusting them. I told them ahead of time they were going in and why.

After I laid it out like above, all but one of the guys was ok with it. Everyone suddenly became paranoid and wouldn't talk to each other unless they had to for work reasons. After a few months, that one guy had pretty much forgotten about it just like everyone else and they all goof off just as much as they did before (which wasn't much anyway).

1742. electra TSA scanner reports?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7hpjlp$p2b$1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 118580
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Anyone pick up the new Electra TSA scanner at Dayton? (The 2 channel + WX receiver for $69.95!).

How does it perform?

Harry Marnell, N6URU
marnells@snowcrest.net

www.snowcrest.net/marnells has N Calif & misc scanner resource links

1743. FS:Pro-64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: tfbren <tfbrenn@talarion.com>
Message-ID: <viY%2.4776$xa.46732@c01read02-admin.service.talkway.com>
Source record: 118581

MINT COND. 800MHZ CASE AND LIGHTER PLUG INCL.$100.00...TOM
--
Posted via Talkway - http://www.talkway.com
Exchange ideas on practically anything (tm).

1744. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <37495046.12666842@news.erols.com>
Source record: 118582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Mon, 17 May 1999 14:41:26 GMT, donald@NOSPAM.demon.co.uk (Donald Gray) wrote:

<flaming snipped>

>2) 6 volts & 50 miles is nothing out of the ordinary. Easy peasy on HF and on
>VHF with the right conditions. It is not the battery voltage that counts, it's
>wattage and conditions.
>
>I was on the top of Portsdown Hill and worked (with a handheld at 7.2v battery)
>a guy in Portsmouth.
>
>Ok you say that's only 1 mile at most & you would be quite correct except we
>were working via a French repeater somewhere in Mid/south France (cant remember
>exactly where now). The return path was over 400 miles (800 miles in all). So
>400 miles on 7.2 volts.

I've got one better: A friend of mine was standing on the beach in Tel-Aviv with a handheld radio and a 5/8 wave telescopic antenna was playing around on 146.52 MHz. Running 300 mW he was able to communicate directly with another guy using similar radio gear 900+ KM away on a beach on the island of Malta. This was direct --no repeaters.

I wouldn't have believed this story except that while I was in Tel-Aviv, we used to hear repeaters and simplex operations from Cyprus almost every evening. Communications to Malta was also not unusual, though not exactly common. Atmospheric ducting over water is an amazing thing...

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1745. Trade "CUSTOM RADIO CASES" for Dummy Non-Working Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Daugherty <cops@cops911.com>
Message-ID: <374055B7.C802A875@cops911.com>
Source record: 118583

I am looking to Trade Radio / Cellular Phone Cases for non working
Radios, Phones like Ericsson models, Nokia 6160 ...

http://cops911.com/pcs.htm

Thanks

Robert

--
COPS Custom Oregon Product Supplies
Robert Daugherty
1203 12th St. #E
Hood River, OR 97031
541-387-2677 Fax 541-387-2675
http://cops911.com cops@cops911.com

1746. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <UJpsPNAYMDQ3EwXz@virgin.net>
Source record: 118584
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

Here surely is an excellent crossposting link for me to try.
Seems to be full of people arguing the capabilities of
Ever Ready batteries. Though my personal preference is for a 100ah 13.8
volt type!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1747. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: billsohl@planet.net
Message-ID: <3740138a.6083820@news.planet.net>
Source record: 118585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 16 May 1999 14:29:30 GMT, just.do.it<AT>email.ro (AE) wrote:

>"Timothy Hynde" <ka8ddz@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>>Jim Shaffer, Jr. wrote in message <37237fe5.169403227@news.csrlink.net>...
>>>On Sat, 24 Apr 1999 18:35:09 -0400, "CommQuart" <commquart@erols.com>
>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>Another winner! Errr, "Whinner"! If cw is soooo archiac,
>>>>why are there thousands of hams who use CW as their
>>>>primary operating mode? You might be surprised to learn
>>>>that many amateurs enjoy using cw.
>>>
>>>But nobody is proposing stopping them. They're only proposing that people
>>>who have no intention of using it shouldn't have to learn it.
>
>>But where does it end? The no code tech license asks questions about
>>telecommand for radio control airplanes, the ionoshpere, how to use a
>>voltmeter, signal generator, SWR meter whadda ya gotta learn all that for
>>all I wanna do is yak on the 2m repeater with my appliance operator friends?
>>Why should we require such knowlege when the applicant has no intention of
>>using it? Tim ka8ddz

Tim,
The fact remains that not one of those subject areas you
identify here are separate pass/fail test elements. You can
ignore several subject areas and still pass the test. Not so
for CW testing which is given, graded and must be
passed as a totally separate test element. I have said
before that if CW was to be integrated into the overall
test score rather than as a separate pass/fail element
as it is now, that I'd have no problem with that.
Indeed, when the CW test goes away, I expect that
there will be some CW related questions added into
the theory test just as there are questions already
there on other modes.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1748. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@mail
Message-ID: <37a758d9.7882623@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 118586
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For using a search engine? Get a clue.

On Mon, 17 May 1999 10:00:57 -0700, Bob Gardner <bobmrg@halcyon.com> wrote:

>I think you could get a nice room in Leavenworth, Kansas, with three meals a
>day, exercise breaks, and lots of guys who want to be your best friend.
>
>Bob Gardner
>
>Magic 92 wrote:
>
>> bill topolski wrote:
>> >
>> > I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. The company I work for just
>> > install it in all of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it.
>> > Does anybody have any suggestions?
>> > Is it possible to jam?
>> > Help, big brother is watching
>>
>> I went to a search engine, typed "gps" in the search box and found
>> this:
>> ---
>>
>> http://www.utexas.edu/depts/grg/gcraft/notes/gps/gps.html
>>
>> GPS Satellite Signals
>>
>> The SVs transmit two microwave carrier signals. The L1 frequency
>> (1575.42 MHz) carries the navigation message and the SPS code signals.
>>
>> The L2 frequency (1227.60 MHz) is used to measure the ionospheric
>> delay by PPS equipped receivers.
>>
>> Three binary codes shift the L1 and/or L2 carrier phase.
>> The C/A Code (Coarse Acquisition) modulates the L1 carrier phase.
>> The C/A code is a repeating 1 MHz Pseudo Random Noise (PRN) Code.
>> This noise-like code modulates the L1 carrier signal, "spreading"
>> the spectrum over a 1 MHz bandwidth.
>>
>> The C/A code repeats every 1023 bits (one millisecond). There is a
>> different C/A code PRN for each SV. GPS satellites are often identified
>> by their PRN number, the unique identifier for each pseudo-random-noise
>> code. The C/A code that modulates the L1 carrier is the basis for the
>> civil SPS.

>>
>> The P-Code (Precise) modulates both the L1 and L2 carrier phases.
>> The P-Code is a very long (seven days) 10 MHz PRN code.
>> In the Anti-Spoofing (AS) mode of operation, the P-Code is encrypted
>> into the Y-Code.
>>
>> The encrypted Y-Code requires a classified AS Module for each receiver
>> channel and is for use only by authorized users with cryptographic keys.
>> The P (Y)-Code is the basis for the PPS.
>>
>> The Navigation Message also modulates the L1-C/A code signal.
>> The Navigation Message is a 50 Hz signal consisting of data bits
>> that describe the GPS satellite orbits, clock corrections, and other
>> system parameters.
>>
>> GPS is funded by and controlled by the U. S. Department of Defense
>> (DOD).
>> While there are many thousands of civil users of GPS world-wide, the
>> system was designed for and is operated by the U. S. military.
>> -------------
>>
>> If you still want to "jam" GPS, maybe the US Department of Defense
>> could answer your questions, just click here:
>>
>> ---> http://www.defenselink.mil/ <---

1749. High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: georgeandbarbarabush@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7hnmm1$f0t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118629
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

I was hoping somebody could help me with a little dilemma I am involved with. My aunt is currently feuding with her next-door neighbor -- the neighbor is trying to run my aunt off her land so he can buy it (the Hatfields and the McCoys are still around, hehe).

At first he used his step-grandchildren to harass her, but now I'm afraid he's gone high tech. He is aiming some sort of high frequency noise at my aunt's house, which nobody can quite hear but everyone can feel. I thought she might have gone batty before I went over there today and felt it myself. My ears immediately felt stopped up and "tight" like I was going up in an airplane. It also made my skin crawl, and my aunt's nose quit running. The animals were going crazy -- the dog was hiding and her cows went away from her house to another pasture. My aunt also claims it kills wasps and when she tries to record the sound or listen to it on her "bionic ear" it shuts the thing off.

Her children have stayed with her and they have verified that at night it shakes the whole house. She has a friend who just had a chip implanted in his head to restore his hearing and when he came over and visited the chip quit working right then and there, despite working perfectly for weeks. His doctors in Houston and some that were flown in from up North could find nothing wrong with it, except maybe that some sort of interference had stopped it. Though it's hard to comprehend that anyone would do such a thing to a 75-year-old woman, something is going on.

Has anyone ever had anything like this happen? We suspect that it might be one of those "game repellent" devices that emit a high frequency sound to drive off deer or other wild animals. Or, it could be some sort of odd electromagnetic field. This just started a month ago and since then she has gotten no new appliances or has not altered the place in any way. Will an ultrasonic device pointed at a house cause all the above symptoms? It has been reported to the local sheriff very discreetly but he doesn't know about sound theory or anything scientific. Is there any way to confirm that there is a high frequency sound is being projected? Will an oscilloscope work? Is there an easier/less costly method of going about this? What's the best device to detect this sound? Is there a way to reflect the high frequency noise back onto the object that is emitting it? I am a very sane person and this is in now way intended as a prank or joke. Any help/replies will be greatly appreciated!

Thanks!

Jill

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1750. FS: JRC NRD-545 DSP Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Manuel <mmaseda@gte.net>
Message-ID: <c%I%2.6958$mj.165030@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 118630

I have for sale a perfect, as new, NRD-545 DSP receiver. The radio is less than 1 year old and has the latest firmware upgrade. This is a non-blocked unit imported from England and covers 100 khz - 2 ghz. It includes the optional CHE-199 wideband converter and the CGD-197 TXCO. Includes original box and manual. $2,000 firm. I will not ship outside the U.S.

Manuel

1751. "Secret CB" volumes 26, 27 (FS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990516200613.16494.00001374@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 118631

"Secret CB" volumes 26, 27 for sale...

These books are in great shape, showing some wear on the covers,
but other wise in great shape. pages are attached and in great shape.
These volumes cover a wide variety of CB radio tune-ups,
modifications, schematics & more.
I have the info. on what each volume covers on a file I will send
apon request.

I am asking $10.00 each.
Price includes shipping in the USA

If interested
contact TRAMD300@aol.com

Thank you...

1752. FA- RADIO SHACK DSP - NO RESERVE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <75J%2.153$Yl4.1712@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 118632

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=102549599

1753. FA: PRO-90 trunktracker scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8ppz73@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990516201742.09310.00001497@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 118633

itle of item: Radio Shack PRO-90 trunktracker scanner
Seller: n8ppz73@aol.com
Starts: 05/12/99, 05:03:29 PDT
Ends: 05/19/99, 05:03:29 PDT
Price: Currently $122.50
To bid on the item, go to:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=103223737

Item Description:
Near Mint PRO-90 trunktracker. Has some small scratches on the bottom of the case, but otherwise cosmetically and electronicly fine.

This is the same as a BC-235XLT. Basic features
Tracks Motorola Type I and Type II or Hybrid 800mhz systems

300 channels, covers your usual VHF and UHF freq's as well in traditional scan mode. Also has preprogramed search for Air, Police, Fire, and Weather

a Great scanner.

Happy Bidding

Buy to prepay by Money order, with $10 shipping and insurance from Ohio

Visit eBay, the world's largest Personal Trading Community at
http://www.ebay.com

Grego, N8PPZ
Watching from "THE SPOT" at Wright Patterson Air Force Base
"Official SPOT of the new millinium"

1754. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhorvath@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <374069d7.22805548@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118634

gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:
Thank you very much for that info. Being unsure, that was the reason I
never wanted to do the mod , but now I will.

>Bob wrote:
>
>>Hi,
>> When you scan the new bank of 100, can you set "delay" and
>>"lock-out" etc, separate from the original settings on the old 100
>>bank?
>>Bob
>
>Yes sir. I had to reassure myself of this a few times, by setting up delays,
>lock outs and priority on channels in the old bank, then manually searching the
>new bank for the same channel numbers (this bank, of course, with the different
>freq's programmed) and the settings for the old bank did not carry over. It
>worked the same way when I switched the procedure around. So I may have taken
>some time to feel safe with it, but it's working great!
>Mike

1755. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Smith <double_hat_trick@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <7hnu12jil1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118635
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> True. With LOS coms on UHF, the key is antenna height and the amount
of
> obstacles between.

So, do you think I can do 100 miles?

--
-Jeff

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1756. Re: Pro-70 Scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: genxman67@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990516214141.18362.00001714@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 118636

I got a Pro-70 last year as a gift. It is an easy scanner to start with. I have used it for a year and had no problems, until my county switched to a Trunked system. So I made the upgrade to the pro-91. But if your area does not use the 800mhz band its a good deal.

1757. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990518131448.12508.00002281@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 118337

> No
>wonder the manufacturers are reluctant to make advances in this
>market...they'll be sued next!

Salient point, Laine.
Also, idiots who DO get nailed for doing something so patently wrong give all of us a bad name, and make it that much easier for b.s. anti-monitoring legislation to zip right through our hallowed halls of Congress.
I fear for the future of the hobby, precisely because of fools like this "journalist" (I use the term VERY loosely...).... How stupid can a person be?
(Of course now I have to write MY name right after that last question!) :-)
Mike

1758. Re: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl M." <romeo@tango66.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7huoi9drn2@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 118338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

What are you talking about?
What censorship is he imposing?
I think you might be slightly paranoid!!!
Carl M.
Robert Milligan <Milligar@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:7hsj4qld1@uranium.btinternet.com...
> The idea of a newsgroup is to share info and not to ipose censorship or
> ideals on what info an individual wishes to ask about or to share with
> likeminded people.
>
> This self imposed censorship is I find arrogant, annoying, immature
and
> very dangerous.
>
> Rich Wells, N2MCA wrote in message
<373FECA9.AB7047D0@strongsignals.net>...
> >To All New Scanner Users,
> > If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
> >a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
> >asked on the scanner newsgroups.
> >
> > Topics include :
> > Look Before You Post
> > Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
> > Picking A Scanner/Receiver
> > Scanner Glossary
> > Scanner Model FAQ
> > Scanner Dealers FAQ
> > Frequently Asked Frequencies
> > FCC Frequency Databases
> > CTCSS & DCS
> > What's Illegal
> > Cellular-capable Scanners
> > Trunked Radio Systems
> > Co-ax Cable
> > Receiver Range
> > Your Scanner On A Plane
> > Frequency Counters
> > Magazines & Newsletters
> > Rechargeable Battery Tips
> > Radio Discussion Lists
> > Radio Modifications
> > Radio Shack On-line Manuals

> > Uniden On-line Manuals
> > AOR On-line Manuals
> > Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
> > Used Scanner Price Guide
> > Radio Monitoring Software
> > Receivers Covering Military Aero
> > *Computer Control
> > *Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
> > *Do I Need An Amplifier?
> > My Web Page
> > Courtesy
> >
> > *=Recently added topics
> >
> > To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to
> >
> > http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm
> >
> > Happy reading!
> >
> >Strong Signals,
> >Rich Wells N2MCA
> >http://www.strongsignals.net/
>
>

1759. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4zn <AT>
Message-ID: <7i7iiu$l36$2@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 118339
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

YES.... and no. There are a few out there that transmit over ALOT of freqs, ie: somewhere between dc & daylight. Problem is, they're built for the gov. and are *quite* pricy. I know of the whereabouts of several of them in the possesion of a few of the hams I am aquainted with, but I think you need to work on *interacting* with others abit before you are given privied info that would put a piece of gear in your hands that would eneble you to come into contact with that many ppl at once, who would have no choice than to hide from you... as opposed to here where they can just ignore you.

"Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote:

>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
>sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know of
>that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
>transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks
>in advance

>Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
>LOSER

>Thanks..

>Robert

1760. 46 and 900 MHz Cordless Scanning Guide Now OnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <ko203.724$Wu1.1407@news.flash.net>
Source record: 118387

Hi All:
As many of you know I've been trying to put together an OnLine Radio E-Zine (electronic magazine). I've been adding and adding every chance I get.

Today I added a frequency chart for 900 MHz cordless phones. Also I added a short artical with tips for scanning the 46 MHz cordless phones. Included is a chart of all the older and new 46 MHz frequencies.

Have fun and enjoy.
George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <--RadiosOnLine E-Zine
--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

1761. B chanel dallas police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net>
Message-ID: <TI203.721$Wu1.1284@news.flash.net>
Source record: 118388

can anyone tell me what is the b channel police talk about in dallas,tx?also what are the fire dept. med channels ?tnx john

1762. Re: FS:Pro-64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjenk5749@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990517205420.28129.00001750@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 118389

I am interested.

email me at Zorro17490@aol.com

1763. Re: Any Australian Scanner Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Granger <bgranger@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <3740bf35.0@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 118390

Specifics. where abouts on Australia? What services are you interested in?

Brad
VK2QQ

Laureen Baker wrote in message <926834936.672222@www>...
>Hi
>
> If anyone has any Australian scanning frequencies if you could please
>send them to me,
>
>
> Jezz
>
>

1764. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.990517211844.24857Q-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 118391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

at least it keeps the cb'ers off the hf ham bands, and wish the
truck drivers would get off the 2 meter band, that's where the
fcc made a mistake, with the nocode ticket.

On 17 May 1999, J1aguiar wrote:

> Date: 17 May 1999 15:30:46 GMT
> From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> rec.radio.amateur.space, rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.shortwave
> Subject: Re: Another nice thing about CW.
>
> >Subject: Re: Another nice thing about CW.
> >From: ve6xtc@ecn.ab.ca ()
> >Date: 5/17/99 10:40 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <37402a44.0@ecn.ab.ca>
> >
> >
> > Dear folks;
> > I've realized what the problem with CW is. One has to
> >learn it. On the other hand, it's the only form of digital communication
> >which humans can hear and translate. Of course learning cw would be a lot
> >more fun if it were installed in a special brain implant. This would
> >stimulate the pleasure centre whenever the person got a character right.
> >I can imagine the following conversation between a married couple:
> >
> >Wife: "Let's go to bed darling."
> >Husband: Not yet my love, I need to practice more cw."
> >Wife: "But you've been at it all day."
> >Husband; "Aw just five more minutes alright?"
> >
> >If that was possible to do, cw would become more popular than sex and it
> >wouldn't have the annoying side effect of children either. Hmmm, I just
> >the spirit of W. C. Fields applauding and cheering. But seriously folks,
> >cw could be more fun if it were taught correctly. I unfortunately learned
> >it the wrong way so it took me so long to get it right.
> > By the way, have you listened to the 40M cw portion of the band
> >with a wide filter? It sounds like an avant-garde music piece. Perhaps
> >that could be a new musical form. I think I might just create my own cw
> >piece using oscilators to generate tones which could be listened to with
> >the filters in a graphic equalizer.
> > Oh, one more thing, why doesn't some sci. fiction writer develope
> >a race of beings who communicate in cw? I've never once, in all my avid
> >reading, come across that.

> > Yours,
> >
> >Bruce Atchison, a starving electronic music composer. Please enquire about
> >receiving a list of my 14 inexpensive cassette albums.
> >ve6xtc@freenet.edmonton.ab.ca
> >73!
>
> There is a URL that has several music pieces that are all in CW. You would love
> the barn yard CW Chicken Song.
>
>

1765. Re: Voice Activated Recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.990517211633.24857P-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 118392

use shielded cable, and bet that will stop that.

On Sun, 16 May 1999, viper wrote:

> Date: Sun, 16 May 1999 19:40:26 -0500
> From: viper <viper@csolution.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Subject: Voice Activated Recorder
>
> Hello,
> I have been trying to connect one of my scanners to a voice activated
> tape recorder. Every time I set it to record the slight buzz sound from the
> scanner makes it record continuously. Anyone know how to stop this???
>
> Jeff Palmer
>
>
>
>

1766. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.990517213405.24857R-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 118393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

i like that, from n4pge in griffin, georgia.

On 17 May 1999, J1aguiar wrote:

> Date: 17 May 1999 15:30:46 GMT
> From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> rec.radio.amateur.space, rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.shortwave
> Subject: Re: Another nice thing about CW.
>
> >Subject: Re: Another nice thing about CW.
> >From: ve6xtc@ecn.ab.ca ()
> >Date: 5/17/99 10:40 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <37402a44.0@ecn.ab.ca>
> >
> >
> > Dear folks;
> > I've realized what the problem with CW is. One has to
> >learn it. On the other hand, it's the only form of digital communication
> >which humans can hear and translate. Of course learning cw would be a lot
> >more fun if it were installed in a special brain implant. This would
> >stimulate the pleasure centre whenever the person got a character right.
> >I can imagine the following conversation between a married couple:
> >
> >Wife: "Let's go to bed darling."
> >Husband: Not yet my love, I need to practice more cw."
> >Wife: "But you've been at it all day."
> >Husband; "Aw just five more minutes alright?"
> >
> >If that was possible to do, cw would become more popular than sex and it
> >wouldn't have the annoying side effect of children either. Hmmm, I just
> >the spirit of W. C. Fields applauding and cheering. But seriously folks,
> >cw could be more fun if it were taught correctly. I unfortunately learned
> >it the wrong way so it took me so long to get it right.
> > By the way, have you listened to the 40M cw portion of the band
> >with a wide filter? It sounds like an avant-garde music piece. Perhaps
> >that could be a new musical form. I think I might just create my own cw
> >piece using oscilators to generate tones which could be listened to with
> >the filters in a graphic equalizer.
> > Oh, one more thing, why doesn't some sci. fiction writer develope
> >a race of beings who communicate in cw? I've never once, in all my avid
> >reading, come across that.
> > Yours,
> >

> >Bruce Atchison, a starving electronic music composer. Please enquire about
> >receiving a list of my 14 inexpensive cassette albums.
> >ve6xtc@freenet.edmonton.ab.ca
> >73!
>
> There is a URL that has several music pieces that are all in CW. You would love
> the barn yard CW Chicken Song.
>
>

1767. WTT: 9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990517214538.25672.00001801@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 118394

Will trade a Uniden 9000XLT with box, antenna and AC adapter for a RadioShack Pro-2045 in excellent condition. While these radios are very much alike in coverage and features, the Pro-2045 is a bit smaller and will fit under the dash of my car and the 9000XLT will not. I realize there are plenty of other, smaller radios, but few or none that cover the 225-400 military airband. In fact, the only mobile I know of with milair is the ICOM R-100, and I don't have $600 to spend right now. Handhelds are less than satisfactory.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1768. Re: Best Mobile Scanner Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: hanrahan@phoenixat.com
Message-ID: <3740c8e3.8855820@news.kua.net>
Source record: 118395

On Mon, 17 May 1999 16:42:27 -0700, "Raz Barlow"
<razbarlow@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I was wondering what the best mobile scanner antenna was for $30 or less . I
>don't really care about how it mounts . But I know that the thru the glass
>antennas for CB radios SUCK ! Is it the same for scanner antennas also ?
>Give me your opinions
>Thanks,
>Raz
>
I use the RS "through the glass" antenna with my Pro-2006 in my truck,
and I'm very pleased with it as a receiving antenna.

Bill KA1KF

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
-------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

1769. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: garretw@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990517221051.14553.00001917@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 118396

I've seen three of them at the Morgan Hill Scales, south of San Jose. All were white with the CHP logo on the doors.

1770. Question: Is 46.10 used in LA area??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jayg359@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990517223147.16582.00001974@ng61.aol.com>
Source record: 118397

Question: Is 46.10mhz used at all in the LA area, more specifically Beverly Hills??

Thanks for your help!

Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue

"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"

1771. Re: OPTO CUB frequency counter for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <19990517224601.09316.00001955@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 118398

>
>How much was it new?

$139-$149, don't remember

>
>
>GHS521 wrote:
>
>> Optoelectronics Cub frequency counter for sale.
>> On/off switch is "flakey." Has to be turned off and on several times before
>it
>> will stay on.
>> Includes A/C adapter, soft case, box, and manual.
>> $35.00 and I ship UPS ground to 48 states.
>> Greg
>
>
>
>
>
>

1772. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37423521.5FC6@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

dustcart wrote:
>
> THE Dustcart was once denied enlarged basement access.
>
> Rick i was only joking.....<VBG>
SORY TO HEAR THAT
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1773. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37423562.B3A@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mother Nature wrote:
>
> Hi from soc.penpals and let me say that all of this technical talk is
> making me hot......<g>
>
> "H. Adam Stevens" wrote:
> >
> > No, I'm saying that if you want the same license I have, pass comparable tests.
> > Is CW relevant? The Navy thinks not. It's still valid and satisfying.
> > I'm no 35 WPM Extra, just an Advanced. I took my last code test at the Dallas
> > FCC office in April of 1964, 13 WPM. The damn government already stole my First
> > Phone, I don't want it to redefine my ham license, too. Just create a new
> > ticket? Been done.
> > The service does need to evolve with technology, no one uses spark much.
> > I have no simple solution.
> > 73
> > H.
> > WA5IGW
> >
> > RADIO MAN wrote:
> >
> > > Sir wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > What apparently is not obvious to you is that the rest of us don't care.
> > > > >
> > > > You all contribute to the thread, so you DO all care, else I would be
> > > > the only one and there would be no thread. I did not start the thread,
> > > > and it appears to be the most popular topic here right now. Even YOU
> > > > can not resist reading and replying to this.
> > > The thing about Morse Code is that it seems that the OLD TIMERS are
> > > saying that we did it and you should too. That is baloney. What some
> > > cheese with that wine?
> > > --
> > > RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> > > FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!
OOOHHH!!!
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1774. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: moonman <moonman@telcomplus.net>
Message-ID: <37422E08.8D150E1@telcomplus.net>
Source record: 118401

No that is a little draw back, if you lock-out channel 1 on 0ne of the
banks they all get it,same with delay.

Craig

Bob Horvath wrote:

> gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:
>
> Hi,
> When you scan the new bank of 100, can you set "delay" and
> "lock-out" etc, separate from the original settings on the old 100
> bank?
> Bob
> >> it can be upgraded to 1600 channels with a little work
> >>
> >
> >Yikes! That's a bit much for me, thanks though! I don't really know what I'd do
> >with 1600 channels in memory... :-)
> >I did the modification posted and it works very well indeed. But I find now
> >that while it is scanning, in either "100 ch." group, I can hear an
> >intermittent chuffing/popping sound as each channel clears across the scan.
> >It's not loud or annoying (yet!) but perhaps someone can give me some ideas
> >about fixing this, or if it can be fixed at all. Would it help to use some
> >different resistors than those suggested, or adding some capacitance across
> >something?
> >Mike

1775. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: moonman <moonman@telcomplus.net>
Message-ID: <37423099.A4B21169@telcomplus.net>
Source record: 118402

Well i take some of that back ,delay is not affected however lock-out
is,also i believe that programed search banks my also be affected.

Craig

moonman wrote:

> No that is a little draw back, if you lock-out channel 1 on 0ne of the
> banks they all get it,same with delay.
>
> Craig
>
> Bob Horvath wrote:
>
> > gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:
> >
> > Hi,
> > When you scan the new bank of 100, can you set "delay" and
> > "lock-out" etc, separate from the original settings on the old 100
> > bank?
> > Bob
> > >> it can be upgraded to 1600 channels with a little work
> > >>
> > >
> > >Yikes! That's a bit much for me, thanks though! I don't really know what I'd do
> > >with 1600 channels in memory... :-)
> > >I did the modification posted and it works very well indeed. But I find now
> > >that while it is scanning, in either "100 ch." group, I can hear an
> > >intermittent chuffing/popping sound as each channel clears across the scan.
> > >It's not loud or annoying (yet!) but perhaps someone can give me some ideas
> > >about fixing this, or if it can be fixed at all. Would it help to use some
> > >different resistors than those suggested, or adding some capacitance across
> > >something?
> > >Mike

1776. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Solarpower1watt@Juno.com
Message-ID: <TX403.1788$UD6.10011@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 118403
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

>2) 6 volts & 50 miles is nothing out of the ordinary. Easy peasy on HF and on
>VHF with the right conditions. It is not the battery voltage that counts, it's
>wattage and conditions.
>
>I was on the top of Portsdown Hill and worked (with a handheld at 7.2v battery)
>a guy in Portsmouth.

I think I have an event that tops them all. Decades ago, I had an acquaintance who worked at Arecibo receiving site. He hooked up his Heathkit twoer, when the opportunity presented itself, to one of the dish feeds and received his transmission echo bounced off the moon. That's about 400,000 miles plus, round trip. I believe the Heath Twoer ran about 5 or 10 watts.

Ed

REPLY INFO:
==========
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "watt" from my return address.
==========

1777. BC220/230XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George W. White" <deeply@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7hqn4d$mb6$0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 118404
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I got a BC-220/230 XLT for Christmas.
I'm curious as to what this radio can be modded to do.
It has a date code on the bottom of OHIE

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet

1778. Re: WTT: 9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: hose92@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990517235242.02911.00001731@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 118405

i have a near mint scanner 2045 not a scrath and used less than 3 hour .i am some what interested in ur 9000.what condition is it in.

1779. Re: Trunk Tracker ? What does it mean ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3740E64C.7E4D@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Robert wrote:
>
> This link will help explain how trunking scanners
> differ from conventional scanners.
>
> http://www.trunkscanner.com/
>
> If you are in an area that has trunked radio systems,
> you'll want a trunktracker, otherwise you won't be
> able to follow the conversations as they hop channels.
>
> Robert
> http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/
>
> Raz Barlow wrote:
>
> > As you all know , I am testing out a PRO-62 . A buddy of mine has a PRO 90 ,
> > is there any difference ? He says it has trunk tracking , what is that ? And
> > is it worth it ?
> > Thanks,
> > Raz
My best advice is for you to wait until the Trunk Tracker with the
Ericcson capability comes out. From what I understand, it will scan
Motorola Trunked Systems, and Ericcsonn Systems too plus Conventional
Systems. Start making that Christmas wish list now boys & girls.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1780. Re: Marine Frequencies Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3740E6AA.6B64@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Av8tor wrote:
>
> In article <373B8034.4B9111@frontiernet.net>, magnum@frontiernet.net says...
> >
> >Can anyone help with a list of marine frequencies? All I currently have
> >is channel 16. Thanks for your help......Glenn.
> >
>
> I have a list of Marine freqs including what they are used for on the
> RadiosOnLine E-Zine website http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios.
>
> I just updated the Scanner Column artical which talks about scanning the Ocean
> City area. The US Coast Guard Freqs are listed there and they are the same for
> the entire U.S.
>
> Take a look
> Thanks
> George
> http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios
>
> --
> ____
> Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
> Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
> -----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
> ____
Look in the May (?) edition of Monitoring times, they have a new
column called service search. They cover the V.H.F. Marine band in that
particular issue.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1781. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990518001442.25674.00001709@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 118408

> Well i take some of that back ,delay is not affected however lock-out
>is,also i believe that programed search banks my also be affected.
>

Howdy Craig-
I haven't had that happen yet, and I did the mod last week. Maybe someone did something goofy to my 760 before I ever used it (it definitely was well used before I got it) but at any rate, since there is a doubt as to the lock out function being independent of the old or new banks, perhaps Bob Horvath should not perform this mod until you are satisfied with what you may end up with.
I gave Bob info based on my 760 not having any "hold over" delay or lockout problems, and I sure don't want him to do the mod and find a different result, not to his liking.
Mike

1782. 200xlt or UBC 3000xlt wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry <sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <18051999205714349 6%sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Source record: 118409
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for a 200xlt or UBC 3000xlt scanner in the SF Bay Area.
Anyone have one for sale? I'll pay cash. thanks.

1783. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jjr@inlink.com
Message-ID: <3740f589.0@news.primary.net>
Source record: 118410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7hnsik$f28@news.Hawaii.Edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
>
>In article
<BA779EB0D02B0E40.420DECA6F1ABCD98.B3699CB357193F89@library-proxy.airnews.net>,
>Spike <spike@eyeball.org> wrote:
>>Damned right it is legal.
>>Your radio can easily gum with their electronics.
>>You get on a plane and show your scanner to me and I'll
>>hold you down and rip the batteries out.
>>Your not gonna cause me to die with your stupid hobby.
>
>And what "stupid" hobby would you be referring to? You're posting to
>a ham and a scanner NG; you must belong to one of those "stupid"
>catagories; which one?
>
>Jeff KH6O
>

Scanner and HT's can interefere with flight instruments and data systems.

As a ham and a scanner user you should know that.

John Reimers

1784. New Jersey Scanner Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7hr016$gi1$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118411

N.J.S.A. 2C-33-21

Interception of Police, Fire, or Emergency Medical Communications, With Criminal Intent.

* Any person who intercepts any message or transmission made on or over any police, fire, emergency medical communications system, or any person who is the recipient of information so intercepted, and who uses the information obtained thereby to facilitate commission of or the attempt to commit a crime or a violation of any law of this state, or uses the same in a manner which interferes with the discharge of police operations, shall be guilty of a crime of the fourth degree.

N.J.S.A. 2C-33-22

Possession of Radio Capable of Receiving Police, Fire, Emergency Medical Communications While in the Commission of a Crime

* Any person who, while in the course of committing or attempting to commit a crime, including the immediate flight therefrom, possesses or controls a radio capable of receiving any message or transmission made on or over any police, fire, or emergency medical communications system, shall be guilty of a crime in the fourth degree

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/welcome.htm

1785. Re: Crystal Scanner Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990518022444.20836.00002183@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 118412

The Electra BC IV uses the slide switches at each crystal location to orient that channel for L = Low Band, H = High Band, U = UHF and U/T = UHF " TV Band ", just another portion of UHF, an old term.

The Bearcat scanners all use the 10.8 IF cyrstals. Almost all other scanners use the 10.7 crystals often called the " Regency " type. They look exactly the same, but some are marked on the case as to which type they are.

There were quite a few other brands than Regency which used the 10.7 crystal including almost every Radio Shack crystal scanner.

Be careful if ordering them from RS as the clerk may not know the difference.

I have found great prices from G&G Communicatons in NY State,but can't recall their internet address.

The Electra BC IV was one of the finest crystal scanners around. It was typical of the quality produced by Electra in Indiana before Uniden came in and started pumping out the longest line of junk interrupted only several times by a few very good models.

1786. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <927009849.506.67@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

John Reimers <jjr@inlink.com> wrote in message
news:3740f589.0@news.primary.net...

> Scanner and HT's can interefere with flight instruments and data
systems.
>
> As a ham and a scanner user you should know that.

John,

Yes, of course. There's the odd chance that your hobby device can
interfere with a crucial instrument at a critical moment. That's why
they ask you to turn off camcorders, and other portable electronic
devices during take off and landing. I comply with those requests
willingly.

I've never been denied boarding with them, however, and that is the
issue here. The argument that HT transceivers might be presented in
fight as detonation devices holds no water for me. I can just as easily
wave my car alarm control, and claim that it controls the fates of all
on board.

The original point was that these measures seem arbitrary and pointless.
I agree wholeheartedly.

Michael.

1787. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7hr35r$mvb@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

In <927009849.506.67@news.remarQ.com> "Michael Young" <nobody@all.org>
writes:
>

>
>> Scanner and HT's can interefere with flight instruments and data
>systems.
>>

went on a business flight to
a city in USA recently.

took my MR8100 with wires and
speaker and also my antennae
with extended wire to hook into
it with high antennae about two
feet high.

put it into luggage and locked
it up and upon coming to the
check-in counter at the flight
center...told the supervisor
what I had and what it looks
like inside. you know,all those
wires. and bulky 8100 and etc.

she said, "thank you for your
candidness" and marked a few
comments and said, "enjoy your
flight"

same on way back: woman told me
"thanks for telling us and
enjoy your flight"...

bill conduit
etc.

1788. FS: Bearcat BC 100 Not working right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: BHL <philoxeny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7hqgbq$36m$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 118415

This BC 100 comes on and freqs can be programmed in and it will scan them and they can be heard ok but the display is not working right. You can barely see the numbers. Cosmetically it's quite decent. All 16 channels scan but it loses the memory and puts in some odd freqs whenever unplugged. Rubber ducky ok, no box, no manual. $12 shipped. BHL philoxeny@hotmail.com

1789. Re: Who can hear us?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <0LsEfwANjRQ3EwTh@virgin.net>
Source record: 118416
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

All this waffling on about walkie-talkies is so boring!
Can you all DELETE soc.penpals from your re-posts please!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1790. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Brown <kb0pyo@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <3742378C.BB38546A@rconnect.com>
Source record: 118417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

When are you idiots going to learn some nettiquette, CROSS
POSTING TO GROUPS WHERE THIS DOESN'T BELONG IS IN BAD FORM
PLEASE CEASE & DESIST.

RADIO MAN wrote:
>
> Sir wrote:
> >
> > > What apparently is not obvious to you is that the rest of us don't care.
> >
> > You all contribute to the thread, so you DO all care, else I would be
> > the only one and there would be no thread. I did not start the thread,
> > and it appears to be the most popular topic here right now. Even YOU
> > can not resist reading and replying to this.
> The thing about Morse Code is that it seems that the OLD TIMERS are
> saying that we did it and you should too. That is baloney. What some
> cheese with that wine?
> --
> RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1791. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <YrvPnAADDRQ3EwUv@virgin.net>
Source record: 118418
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

In article <374096F0.49D@ameritech.net>, RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@am
eritech.net> writes
>Keith Bennett wrote:
>>
>> Here surely is an excellent crossposting link for me to try.
>> Seems to be full of people arguing the capabilities of
>> Ever Ready batteries. Though my personal preference is for a 100ah 13.8
>> volt type!
>>
>WELL AS LONG AS THEY ARE NOT RECHARABLE BATTERY PACKS. I HATE THOSE
>THINGS. I WISH THAT COMPANIES WOULD JUST STIK WITH REGULAR 1.5 VOLT
>RECHARABLES
Well rechargable NiCad batteries are used to force you to buy the makers
product and not a competitors.

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1792. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <4BEDFCED95F47E33.FACDF88861D91408.3420753C43746A4A@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 118419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Bringing it onboard isn't bad, just turning it on is bad.

1793. Amsterdamse politie nu op Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: PI6ALK <pi6alk@tss-telecom.nl>
Message-ID: <7hra70$eeh@news3.euro.net>
Source record: 118420

Amsterdamse Politie nu te horen op:

http://www.tss-telecom.nl/pi6alk

1794. Re: 154.8300 no longer HotShot LAPD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard W Cowgill <lakers34@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <01bea0a5$cab8a8a0$3480b3d1@default>
Source record: 118421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ken... we re-implemented tac 1 Sunday night around 2300 hours... It's up again and functioning normally (Our SMOs or Systems Monitoring Operators <techs> finally took care of it) ... There was a problem with the frequency somewhere between our center and the Mount Lee transmitter sight that began Saturday morning ...operators in the CDC would only hear a loud buzz in their ears when they pulled up the freq during the time you heard nothing. In addition to using 484.712 which we call "Air/K-9" or channel 40, when tac 1 goes down we also will kick in tac 2 - 154.770. "Hotshots" and air unit requests were made on that frequency as well during the time tac 1 was down. Hope that answers your question.

Rich Cowgill
PSR-BCC
LAPD

Ken <Kencaz@att.net> wrote in article <373F50CC.62BEB0EE@att.net>...
> I have noticed for the past 2 day's that the LAPD HotShot Freq 154.8300
> is not active. This is the main dispatch for all calls to Divisions and
> is normally very active. I also noticed that the Air/K9 Tac 484.7125 is
> more active HotShot calls. Anyone know if this frequency is being
> phased out or if it is just transmitter problems.
>
> thanks
>
> --
> ---->>>KEN<<<----
>
> http://home.att.net/~kencaz/
>
>
>

1795. Re: downconvertor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Redbone <redbone1@postoffice.swbell.net>
Message-ID: <374160B0.280840F7@postoffice.swbell.net>
Source record: 118422

http://members.aol.com/scanshack/

iski@webtv.net wrote:

> Does anyone know where to find any info on how to build a 800 to 400
> convertor

1796. Re: Best Mobile Scanner Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <jason@nospam.gulftel.com>
Message-ID: <927036255.669.58@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118423

> Radio Shack has carried a good all-aroung mag-mount scanner antenna for
> about 10 years...I'm using one of each of my 2 vehicles, and one at home.
> Good reception across all bands...low band VHF is better than with most
> other scanner antennas. Costs $29.99...their model number 20-032.
>
> Harry Marnell, N6URU

I agree. It's a great antenna. I did have the through-the-glass scanner
antenna for a few years at it worked great. There was a signal difference
between the two but not enough in my opinion to worry about

JA&#65533;ON BISHOP KE4KZY

1797. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oscar <captorb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <374175B5.BAA4792B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 118424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

I just had to enter this thread:
To equate this goofy 10 minute SCUBA test with a permanently disability
is ludicruous. Try a better example like doing your everyday routines
blindfolded or in a wheel chair, or with your dominant hand immobile for
24 hours. Then write back and tell the group how easy it was & don't
pick a nice sunny day; try it when the weather is inclement.

And what does this short, ten minute, CONTROLLED introduction to
survival have to do with Morse Code? Does one's life depend on CW? Get
real!!!!

1798. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oscar <captorb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <374177DB.D27FAAA2@hotmail.com>
Source record: 118425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<SNIPPED>
Oh, one more thing, why doesn't some sci. fiction writer develope
> a race of beings who communicate in cw? I've never once, in all my avid reading, come across that.

The number of people across the country that would see this movie would
fill only one theater, at best. <GRIN>

1799. ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990518102811.13172.00001962@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 118426

I opened my paper (San Diego Union-Tribune) this morning and there it is...page A-3: Reporter sentenced for taping cell phone. Hey, if you're going to modify your scanner to monitor cell calls (ILLEGAL, guys), don't go trying to sell the transmissions to a sleazy tabloid journalism market! It's going to "bite" you like it did this "celebrity photographer." It's costing him over $3,000.00, attorneys' fees, loss of ANY scanner for the three years probation etc. THINK, before using your radio for ANY profit making venture! It's NOT LEGAL!!! No wonder the manufacturers are reluctant to make advances in this market...they'll be sued next!
Laine S. Herman
http://expage.com/page/Radio911

1800. FS: Radio Shack scanners - PRO 2020 & PRO 2021

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990518104945.13172.00001974@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 118427

Excellent deal on two Radio Shack base/mobile units if you don't need the 800 Mhz bands! See my web page for full info. E-mail me!
Laine S. Herman
http://expage.com/page/Radio911

1801. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bhorvath@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <374381c8.3185665@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118428

gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:
Hi Guys,
I see that gdfthr actually did the mod. Craig, did you do the mod,
or did you just hear about it?
Bob
>> Well i take some of that back ,delay is not affected however lock-out
>>is,also i believe that programed search banks my also be affected.
>>
>
>Howdy Craig-
>I haven't had that happen yet, and I did the mod last week. Maybe someone did
>something goofy to my 760 before I ever used it (it definitely was well used
>before I got it) but at any rate, since there is a doubt as to the lock out
>function being independent of the old or new banks, perhaps Bob Horvath should
>not perform this mod until you are satisfied with what you may end up with.
>I gave Bob info based on my 760 not having any "hold over" delay or lockout
>problems, and I sure don't want him to do the mod and find a different result,
>not to his liking.
>Mike

1802. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rockit40@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <37418636.578465@news.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 118429
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 17 May 1999 22:41:14 -0700, Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
wrote:

>I have heard of these devices as well but mostly of the high frequency type,
>ones that make you sick or give you a headache and have to be operated in close
>proximity to the subject. Having the whole house shake that sounds like some
>very powerful LF device . . . that is pretty scary . . .
>Or perhaps it is some type of phase device that uses the houses internal wiring
>to setup up some kind of oscillation . . . what do you guys think ?
>
>
Perhaps they only think the house is shaking, since they are being
made nauseous and confused by the device?

1803. Re: Eavesdropping Journalist Sentenced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: plugnickle <plugnickle@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fEf03.11$dF3.186936320@news.bayou.com>
Source record: 118430
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Amen

Dan wrote in message <37408ecc@grouper.exis.net>...
<snip>
>I fear the tongue-waggers in the hallowed halls
>on the Potomac will use this as an example of
>why scanners should be outlawed.
>
>Hope it never happens. When your listening privileges
>are taken away, another piece of freedom dies.
>
>Don't let it happen. Think about it.

1804. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jordan Arndt <jordana@nucleus.com>
Message-ID: <374193FB.12FF@nucleus.com>
Source record: 118431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Oscar wrote:
>
> <SNIPPED>
> Oh, one more thing, why doesn't some sci. fiction writer develope
> > a race of beings who communicate in cw? I've never once, in all my avid reading, come across that.
> ----
> The number of people across the country that would see this movie would
> fill only one theater, at best. <GRIN>

I can just see it now... "Indiana Jones and the Tower Of Code"... or
maybe it should be on the "X-Files".... 73 de Jordan...

1805. Electra Tiger Scan Model TSA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7hs16uqvi1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 118432
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,alt.radio.amateur

A gentleman at Electra faxed me a spec sheet and instruction page for the TSA.

In part:

On/off control: Manual push-button

Volume control: Manual push-button. Push and hold to set desired level (in 64 steps). Toggles between volume up and volume down

Channel selection: Manual push button. CH button cyclically selects Weather, Channel One, Channel Two, and Scan Ch 1 & 2.

Audio: up to 1/2 watt thru 32 ohm speaker, or 8 ohm ext spkr jack

Warranty: 1 year parts & labor

Programming: Press/release CHAN button to get to desired channel.

And (paraphrasing it): Press CHAN button number of times to correspond to freq digits.
E.g. : For 154.205, press it ONCE for 1, then FIVE TIMES for 5, FOUR TIMES for 4, TWICE for 2...etc.

NiCD batt/charger and Carry case are $19.95 each.

The fellow told me they sold out the entire stock at Dayton, but have all parts in stock and will be assembling more radios this week.

Harry
If anyone wants more spec info, email me...(I DON'T have a unit yet...anyone out there get one?)

marnells@snowcrest.net

-----Original Message-----
From: Robert Barker <rbarker@austin.rr.com>
To: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Date: Tuesday, May 18, 1999 04:08
Subject: Re: Re: Electra Tiger Scan Model TSA

>I'm reading the literature on this Tiger Scan.. I'm confused... does this
>radio take crystals? It obviously isn't keyboard programmable?
>

1806. Need Tony Stewart IRL/Indy 500 freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracyjones@cinergy.com
Message-ID: <374186fd.509080669@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 118433

I need these frequencies:

#22 Tony Stewart

#32 Glidden/Menards car ??

#41 A.J. Foyt car ??

Thanks
Tracy

1807. ICOM R2 YAESU VX5 YAESU FT100 YAESU VX1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: james coburn <confused@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <7hs1icgnm1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 118434
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/Ft90
Is a new list devoted to the new Micro micro mobile radio from Yaesu the
FT-90.

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/ft2600
Is a new List devoted to the new Mystery radio from Yaesu the FT-2600.

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/YAESUVX5
Has over 429 users so far. Come join us if you are interested in the VX5 a
super cool little radio!!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/YaesuVX1
Has about 101 users If you have a VX1 this is the place to be!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/icomr2
Is the nerve center of everything that has to do with the ICOM R2.
If you have a R2 Come on and join us we need you !!! This is a great radio
with a great following of over 182 vsers on this mail list!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/FT100
So Far we only have 198 users! Not to bad. If you are even thinking about
one of these units come join us. Join Us and get the MOD!!
we may all learn somthing!!!

1808. Azden AZ-61 Mods Needed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: wt2@prism.gatech.edu
Message-ID: <7hs1a2$luh@acmey.gatech.edu>
Source record: 118435

Hi, the title said it all. Can anyone point me to the information needed to modify this 6 meter radio for Out-of-band use.

No want-to-be lawyers please, you have no idea where, or for what I will use this radio. So don't try and tell me that the information I want is in any way Illegal. Thanks, Bill
--
Sgt. Bill Thompson / KC4ZUB <0> wt2@prism.gatech.edu
Georgia Tech Police Dept. Atlanta GA. <0> The Olympic Village Police Dept.
Money buys things, Power makes things happen. Given a choice, always take Power.-->Roger Zelazny <0> LOOK, I AM THE DAMN NRA!

1809. Re: Forest Fire Freqs for ARIZONA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <danr@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <37419E41.9033467@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 118436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

That's quite a list. They are all printed in the Southwest Frequency Directory, Arizona Region. It is a 300+ page frequency and scanner information book just for Arizona. Includes public safety, business, federal government, aircraft, etc. Besides frequencies, it also has information like radio codes, terminology, fire station resources, response assignments, unit designators, station locations, and more. Put together by local scanner "experts".

See http://www.azstarnet.com/~danr for more info.

Dan
SWFD-Editor

Mac wrote:

> Hello..
>
> Anyone happen to have a list handy of all forest freqs in Arizona
> including Air Support Victor freqs, State Land, etc..
>
> Please post them to the group. Thank You in advance!

1810. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott2@mediaone.net
Message-ID: <gti03.6687$s%1.4797518@rmnws01.ce.mediaone.net>
Source record: 118437
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

georgeandbarbarabush@yahoo.com wrote:

>today and felt it myself. My ears immediately felt stopped up
>and "tight" like I was going up in an airplane. It also made my skin
>crawl, and my aunt's nose quit running. The animals were going crazy --
> the dog was hiding and her cows went away from her house to another
>pasture. My aunt also claims it kills wasps and when she tries to
>record the sound or listen to it on her "bionic ear" it shuts the thing
>off.

sounds like ultrasound

>Her children have stayed with her and they have verified that at night
>it shakes the whole house.

sounds like infrasound

> She has a friend who just had a chip
>implanted in his head to restore his hearing and when he came over and
>visited the chip quit working right then and there, despite working
>perfectly for weeks.

sounds like electromagnetic pulse

>Has anyone ever had anything like this happen?

US embassy in Moscow circa 1970?

> Is there any way to confirm that there is a high
>frequency sound is being projected? Will an oscilloscope work?

Connected to ultrasonic transducers. At power levels your talking
about, should be easy to detect. EM assaults (IIRC) are pulsed
microwaves 300 - 2400 MHz, at ultrasonic rep rates, and modulated at
13Hz alpha brainwave frequencies. Antenna and diode detector should
pick that up. But it sounds like your dealing with ultra & infra
sound.

Please be considerate of your neighbors if you retaliate with chemical
,biologic, or radioisotope weapons :-)

1811. FS: RS Pro-2066 trunking mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: JFW <lebear@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <7hsbgl$64p$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 118438

For sale, Radio Shack Pro-2066 trunk tracking mobile scanner. 6 months old, includes everything it came with. $150.

E-mail:
lebear@cyberhighway.net
thanks- I don't check the NG often.

1812. Re: Best Mobile Scanner Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dad#1 <deadeye@javanet.com>
Message-ID: <3741B3D2.70383AE7@javanet.com>
Source record: 118439

I love the antenna specialists scanner antenna, you see them
mounted on all the state and local police cruisers(trunk
mount) here in mass. it is really good for low vhf.

Raz Barlow wrote:
>
> I was wondering what the best mobile scanner antenna was for $30 or less . I
> don't really care about how it mounts . But I know that the thru the glass
> antennas for CB radios SUCK ! Is it the same for scanner antennas also ?
> Give me your opinions
> Thanks,
> Raz

1813. WTT: PRO-2035 For Ten-Tec 253 Tuner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Heatwole <heatwole@shell.clark.net>
Message-ID: <ayi03.29$kn1.1013@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 118440

Hello. I'm interested in trading a Radio Shack PRO-2035 base scanner for a Ten Tec 253 2KW auto-tuner. All I require is that the tuner works 100%, and is at least a 7/10 cosmetically. It also must include some type of power cord (preferably AC), and any other accessories (box, manual, etc) doesn't hurt either.

The scanner is at least a 9/10 cosmetically and it works 100%. It has very, very low use, and I've had no problems with it at all. It has 1000 memory channels, one touch weather, VFO knob, NFM/WFM/AM, RF ATT, RX from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz (minus cellular), keypad, and data skip. This scanner can even be modified so that it can receive VHF/UHF in CW/SSB in addition to FM/AM if you have a SW Receiver, but I have not modified it for anything.

The scanner comes with the indoor telescopic antenna, AC power cord, base stand, original box, original packaging, and the original manual. Please let me know if you have a Ten-Tec 253 and are willing to trade for my scanner, or if you have any questions. Thanks a lot for reading.

Nat Heatwole
heatwole@clark.net
KB3CBW

1814. +++ Any Mods Info Available ? for Alinco DJ-X10T +++

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: hiwasaki@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <1ds02m5.1qo8gp71sekuauN@1cust164.tnt3.krk1.da.uu.net>
Source record: 118441
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Any Mods Info Available ? for Alinco DJ-X10T

Thank you for reading. Hisa

1815. Re: BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <mannod@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <7hshfu$q7f@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 118442

Hold Man,2,9 down while turning on the scanner it will flash uniden (keep holding the buttons) and it give you a fresh screen.
BlueHawk wrote in message <926971266.387.78@news.remarQ.com>...
:Anyone know of a way to clear all freqs from memory without having to delete
:them one by one..???
:the book is no good for that info..maybe there's a shortcut to doing this...
:
: Many Thanks...
:
:
:

1816. Re: Modification for Radio Shack WeatherRadio Model #: 12-240 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7hsj1i$107@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 118443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Tod J. Knapp <todjk@mhtc.net> wrote:
>A new NWS transmitter went up in the area and I'm wanting to access it.
>Unfortunately, these WeatherRadio's do not cover the 162.500 Mhz freq, which
>is an "expansion freq."

The RS WX radio I had used a single crystal, and different trimmer capacitors were switched in and out while changing channels. I suggest you open the radio's case, look for one of the trimmers, and, with the channel selector selected for that trimmer, adjust it with a plastic tuning tool, until you hit that frequency.

GL, Jeff KH6O

1817. Re: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Milligan <Milligar@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <7hsj4qld1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 118444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

The idea of a newsgroup is to share info and not to ipose censorship or ideals on what info an individual wishes to ask about or to share with likeminded people.

This self imposed censorship is I find arrogant, annoying, immature and very dangerous.

Rich Wells, N2MCA wrote in message <373FECA9.AB7047D0@strongsignals.net>...
>To All New Scanner Users,
> If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
>a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
>asked on the scanner newsgroups.
>
> Topics include :
> Look Before You Post
> Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
> Picking A Scanner/Receiver
> Scanner Glossary
> Scanner Model FAQ
> Scanner Dealers FAQ
> Frequently Asked Frequencies
> FCC Frequency Databases
> CTCSS & DCS
> What's Illegal
> Cellular-capable Scanners
> Trunked Radio Systems
> Co-ax Cable
> Receiver Range
> Your Scanner On A Plane
> Frequency Counters
> Magazines & Newsletters
> Rechargeable Battery Tips
> Radio Discussion Lists
> Radio Modifications
> Radio Shack On-line Manuals
> Uniden On-line Manuals
> AOR On-line Manuals
> Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
> Used Scanner Price Guide
> Radio Monitoring Software
> Receivers Covering Military Aero
> *Computer Control
> *Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
> *Do I Need An Amplifier?

> My Web Page
> Courtesy
>
> *=Recently added topics
>
> To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm
>
> Happy reading!
>
>Strong Signals,
>Rich Wells N2MCA
>http://www.strongsignals.net/

1818. Satellites, Yaesu, HF, and Ham Radio Resources!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <3741cfcc.15641920@news.hughes.net>
Source record: 118445

Hello all,

This is Mike, KQ6MU.
I have a web page, which I just changed, that has info on:

Satellites, Satellite Tracking, HF Reviews, Yaesu Pages, FT-50R &
FT-847, Bulletin Message Board, Ham Radio Resource Links,
Tons of Real Audio stuff.... Check it out!!!

The URL:

http://kq6mu.8m.com

Webmasters:

Please add me to your links section. In return, I will add you to my
links section.

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU

DM04 Palmdale, CA

1819. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7hsjmc$1f8@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 118446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jordan Arndt <jordana@nucleus.com> wrote:
>Oscar wrote:

>>> Oh, one more thing, why doesn't some sci. fiction writer develope
>>> a race of beings who communicate in cw? I've never once, in all my avid reading, come across that.

>> The number of people across the country that would see this movie would
>> fill only one theater, at best. <GRIN>

>I can just see it now... "Indiana Jones and the Tower Of Code"... or
>maybe it should be on the "X-Files".... 73 de Jordan...

Would more folks show up if the title were say, "Indiana Jones and the Tower of SSB"? // Jeff KH6O

1820. No more Realistic brand...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1805991603290001@pm53-32.magicnet.net>
Source record: 118447

Has anyone noticed a lack of any Realistic products in the 1999 Radio Shack catalogue? I emailed the , and they confirmed the name is gone. It started in 1954 before Tandy bought radio Shack. It was good to know ya Realistic. Now we have that stupid Optimus name.

1821. Looking for any info on BC 70XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: shylow
Message-ID: <VBk03.344$wz.14377@news12.ispnews.com>
Source record: 118448

I have a BC 70XLT handheld scanner I bought used. It works great but I have no clue as to what the freq coverage is .....if any one has any info on this unit pleas post it.
I have tried uniden's site to avail, And also tried searching the web for it. It isnt listed anywhere I could find.

Thanks in advance

SHYLOW101

1822. what is the best scanner base receiver for broadcasting with a price under

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RBN <rbarquinn@nexo.es>
Message-ID: <01bea178$9aa98160$7819ebc3@pc>
Source record: 118449

Hello there!

I am planning to travel to United States this Saturday and I want to ask
you for opinions

what is the best scanner base receiver for broadcasting with a price under
$ 600?
I would like to know the coverage frequencies for air, fire and police
services in
spain.

I am waiting for your response,

Thanks,
Roberto
rbarquinn@nexo.es

1823. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741E0B5.6239@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118450
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <374096F0.49D@ameritech.net>, RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@am
> eritech.net> writes
> >Keith Bennett wrote:
> >>
> >> Here surely is an excellent crossposting link for me to try.
> >> Seems to be full of people arguing the capabilities of
> >> Ever Ready batteries. Though my personal preference is for a 100ah 13.8
> >> volt type!
> >>
> >WELL AS LONG AS THEY ARE NOT RECHARABLE BATTERY PACKS. I HATE THOSE
> >THINGS. I WISH THAT COMPANIES WOULD JUST STIK WITH REGULAR 1.5 VOLT
> >RECHARABLES
> Well rechargable NiCad batteries are used to force you to buy the makers
> product and not a competitors.
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
THAT SORT OF BLOWS CHUNKS NOW DOESN'T IT. THAT IS TECHICALLY CALLED A
MONOPOLY.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1824. Re: UK Scanner Frequencies Site - Police Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741E1AC.2E12@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118451
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio,tnn.radio.life

RADIO MAN wrote:
>
> Keith Bennett wrote:
> >
> > >:Dave... wrote:
> > >:>
> > >:> I've updated the list of UK Police frequencies they now cover
> > >:> 146.0125MHz to 146.6000MHz.
> > >:>
> > >:> I hope to complete this list sometime today to cover 146.0125MHz to
> > >:> 148.0000MHz :)
> > Great, we can all listen in together. All we need is your address and
> > the Home Office boys will pay you a visit! LOL
> >
> > --
> > From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> > Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> > trojan.horse@virgin.net
> > [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> > http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> > UIN #3457372
> > http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> > wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> > 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
> IN A DEMOCRACY, ENGLAND, ONE SHOULD OF THE RIGHT. IT IS ALAWYS NICE TO
> KNOW HOW YOUR TAX DOLLARS ARE BEING SPENT. WE WON'T WANT THE BOBBIES
> TO TAKE TO MANY DOUGHNUT & COFFEE BREAKS. I WOULD FIGURE THAT IT ALSO
> MAKES THE LAW ENFORCEMENT DEPARTMENTS, FROM ALL OVER THE WORLD, MORE
> ACCOUNTABLE.
> --
> RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1825. Re: Where to get a very low power transmitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: E Meyers <hcs777@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <01bea178$ce4db860$a3ff00cc@default>
Source record: 118452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Lynton,

Ramsey Electronics has several. Kits and fully
wired. Reasonable prices. Also has an 800-900mhz
converter for older scanners.

http://www.ramseyelectronics.com/

Eric Meyers

1826. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741E2FF.4A6@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Jake Brodsky wrote:
>
> On 18 May 1999 17:14:48 GMT, gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:
>
> >> No
> >>wonder the manufacturers are reluctant to make advances in this
> >>market...they'll be sued next!
> >
> >Salient point, Laine.
> >Also, idiots who DO get nailed for doing something so patently wrong give all
> >of us a bad name, and make it that much easier for b.s. anti-monitoring
> >legislation to zip right through our hallowed halls of Congress.
> >I fear for the future of the hobby, precisely because of fools like this
> >"journalist" (I use the term VERY loosely...).... How stupid can a person be?
>
> I honestly hope that some day in the future a real journalist will
> listen to a conversation covered by the radio secrecy act or the ECPA
> --and report on it anyway for its newsworthiness.
>
> The we'll have a First Amendment battle on the order of the famous
> "Pentagon Papers" scandal. It will go all the way to the supreme
> court and finally this ridiculous provision can be struck down.
>
> Until then, let's keep the idiots out of this...
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"
Well that may be the case but it also might go against the hobby.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1827. Re: pro 50 modification to 800 900 mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: E Meyers <hcs777@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <01bea17a04333760a3ff00cc@default>
Source record: 118454

Ramsey Electronics has a downconverter in kit form.

http://www.ramseyelectronics.com/

> can someone tell me how to modify a radio shack pro 50 scanner to
> receive 800 and 900mhz channels?
> thanks in advance..steve
>
>

1828. Re: No more Realistic brand...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741E34F.CCF@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

zeno@magicnet.net wrote:
>
> Has anyone noticed a lack of any Realistic products in the 1999 Radio
> Shack catalogue? I emailed the , and they confirmed the name is gone. It
> started in 1954 before Tandy bought radio Shack. It was good to know ya
> Realistic. Now we have that stupid Optimus name.
Everything is changing now-a-days.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1829. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741E22F.2507@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penapls,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Radio911 wrote:
>
> I opened my paper (San Diego Union-Tribune) this morning and there it is...page
> A-3: Reporter sentenced for taping cell phone. Hey, if you're going to modify
> your scanner to monitor cell calls (ILLEGAL, guys), don't go trying to sell the
> transmissions to a sleazy tabloid journalism market! It's going to "bite" you
> like it did this "celebrity photographer." It's costing him over $3,000.00,
> attorneys' fees, loss of ANY scanner for the three years probation etc. THINK,
> before using your radio for ANY profit making venture! It's NOT LEGAL!!! No
> wonder the manufacturers are reluctant to make advances in this
> market...they'll be sued next!
> Laine S. Herman
> http://expage.com/page/Radio911
I couldn't of had said it better myself if I wanted to.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1830. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741E257.367B@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penplas

Gdfthr768 wrote:
>
> > No
> >wonder the manufacturers are reluctant to make advances in this
> >market...they'll be sued next!
>
> Salient point, Laine.
> Also, idiots who DO get nailed for doing something so patently wrong give all
> of us a bad name, and make it that much easier for b.s. anti-monitoring
> legislation to zip right through our hallowed halls of Congress.
> I fear for the future of the hobby, precisely because of fools like this
> "journalist" (I use the term VERY loosely...).... How stupid can a person be?
> (Of course now I have to write MY name right after that last question!) :-)
> Mike

--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1831. Re: downconvertor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: E Meyers <hcs777@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <01bea179$9ea54aa0$a3ff00cc@default>
Source record: 118458

Ramsey Electronis has one in kit form.

http://www.ramseyelectronics.com/

E Meyers

> Does anyone know where to find any info on how to build a 800 to 400
> convertor
>
>

1832. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741E592.4AFF@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118459
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Jim Kehler wrote:
>
> Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
>
> >There are going to be a lot of posts to newsgroups that don't happen to
> >have subject matter that is of personal interest to you. Lots of YOUR
> >posts will not have any interest to lots of other people too.
>
> You appear to be so engrossed in your efforts to convince the rest
> of the world that you are incapable of attaining anything in life that
> requires effort that you seem to have totally missed the point.
>
> The reason we have thousands of newsgroups is because the world is
> filled with millions of people with different interests.
>
> Although your inability to learn Morse code seems to be the current
> focus in YOUR life, the rest of us don't necessarily view your lack
> of motivation as an earthshaking event.
>
> REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY was created with the sole intent
> of giving you a forum in which to air your views. Please feel free to
> use it to your hearts content.
>
> Posting your Morse code dribble in multiple newsgroups is not going
> to gain you any support. Many unmotivated whiners before you have
> proven this point.
>
> Some people have things they want in life because they are willing to
> expend the effort required to obtain those things, whatever that effort
> may be. It is obvious to us that you are not one of those people.
>
> What apparently is not obvious to you is that the rest of us don't care.
>
> 73, Jim KH2D
Really, there should be no requirement for Morse Code. Why they even
got rid of the 500 KILOCYCLE Morse Code Frequency for ships.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1833. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741E4E9.2371@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

robinson wrote:
>
> They should be able to prevent anybody they like from boarding for any
> reason they like. It is their plane, and their business. They can runn off
> ALL their customers if they like, and fold up, or they can let anybody at
> all board with anything allowed by the law, and behaving in any manner at
> all that is not specifically illegal. Or they can strike a middle road
> somewhwere in there. Thhat's called free enterprise... market economy...
> supply/demand... and giving the customer what he wants, or losing his
> business.
>
> I used to always carry my TS-50S in my briefcase. The one time they refused
> to allow it to pass, (The by-the-numbers instructions they got were to have
> me turn it on, and naturally I could not, without a source of 13.8VDC) they
> busted both the lamps for the LCD panel, and I also had to do a full reset.
> The next time, I was given the option of opening it up and I happened to
> have my swiss army knife in my pocket, so I did, and showed them there was
> not room inside for even a single stick of dynamite. After that, I never had
> a problem.
>
> I have never flown with an HT, and do not even own one. But I bet working
> your way up the chain of command, you would have eventually found someone
> who can count past ten without removing his shoes, showed him your license,
> informed him that you know your responsibilities and obligations under the
> CFR (quoting chapter and verse sounds impressive to some people) you would
> have been allowed to board with your HT. This would have helped the next
> poor ham who had to deal with those mental midgets.
>
> If you try everything and get no satisfaction, when given the choice, don't
> fly that airline, and inform them of it. Fill out one of the complaint
> cards that most airlines have, and some distribute, usually in the airline's
> in-flight rag. I have always got a response when I have sent one in.
>
> When you have a prob with a particular airline, and they simply won't be
> reasonable no matter what, other hams should be informed. A letter to QST
> and posts here would together inform probably half of all licensed hams, and
> would represent a substantial amount of lost revenue to the ucooperative
> airline.
>
> ---------------------------------
> Robinson in San Benito TX
> A nice little town in the Beautiful Lower Rio Grande Valley
> By the Border By the Sea

>
> Meet a Redneck!
> See the Crusoe Quintuplets!
> Build your own Web Page!
> Get your Ham License!
>
> For locksmithing, Radio, Programming, Nautical, Redneck Philosophy, Partying
> in the Valley, and other stuff go to:
> http://crusoe.org
> ---
>
> hawke wrote in message <373B9D4F.5767A2DC@uswest.net>...
> >Read below...
> >
> >Curtis CCR wrote:
> >
> >> radiosigs@aol.com
> >>
> >> Are you serious? "Even unfair rules" are OK?"
> >
> >Airlines have the right to refuse service for nearly any reason.
> >
> >>
> >>
> >> What else should they ban? Laptop computers? Car keys?
> >
> >Laptops, cd players, radio recievers and other rf producing electronic
> >devices
> >not pertanent to the operation of an aircraft are already on the list of
> >"banned devices"
> >via the Flight Aviation Rules and general policy of most airlines.
> >
> >>
> >>
> >> BTW - I'm a pilot and I have no knowledge of any Federal Aviation
> >> Regulation the prohibits passengers from carrying tranceievers on board
> >> aircraft. There are rules about *using* portable electronic devices
> >> (FAR 91.21).
> >
> >Look a little closer at that regulation. Part of the RCPA of 1996 included
> >provisions related to the use of specified electronic equipment on board
> >any commercial aircraft flying within or over any territory owned
> >or controlled by the US Government.
> >
> >This vary same arguement was held in here and on rec.radio.scanner
> >some 11 months ago.
> >
> >>
> >>
> >> I usually have a pretty flexible schedule when I travel. If I was

> >> denied passage through security because I was , I would have gone back
> >> to the airline and made a stink - even if it meant missing my flight.
> >> Unless there was an FAA directive forbiding HTs in carry-on luggage, I
> >> wouldn't have made every manager involved very busy.
> >
> >Carrying them is ok, using them aboard aircraft is not.
> >
> >>
> >>
> >> Yes - this is stupid. I would have made waves.
> >>
> >> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> >> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
> >
Well it also has to do with electronic interference. You wouldn't want
the plane to go down becasue you were busy with a QSO with somebody over
30 miles away thanks to the elevation that you were at, huh?
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1834. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741E2BC.3852@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.oenapals

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
> In <19990518131448.12508.00002281@ng-cd1.aol.com> gdfthr768@aol.com
> (Gdfthr768) writes:
> >
> >> No
> >>wonder the manufacturers are reluctant to make advances in this
> >>market...they'll be sued next!
> >
> >Salient point, Laine.
> >Also, idiots who DO get nailed for doing something so patently wrong
> give all
> >of us a bad name, and make it that much easier for b.s.
> anti-monitoring
> >legislation to zip right through our hallowed halls of Congress.
> >I fear for the future of the hobby, precisely because of fools like
> this
> >"journalist" (I use the term VERY loosely...).... How stupid can a
> person be?
> >(Of course now I have to write MY name right after that last
> question!) :-)
> >Mike
>
> yet the government can listen
> in on our conversations uninterrupted.
>
> right...one wrong don't make them right
> but it makes us wrong for doing the same
> thing.
>
> guess who reads all the child-nude mag's
>
> you guessed it: people who work for the
> agencies who arrested the people
> for "LOOKING" at images in a mag.
> but the people who work for the
> govt. can look.
>
> being arrested for LOOKING.
> being arrested for "ASKING" a whore how much
>
> go figure democracy. who defines what it is:
> of course: the government.

>
> bill conduit
> etc.
Sometimes it is big cell phone companies that pay the goverment to
define what democracy is.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1835. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Gardner <bobmrg@halcyon.com>
Message-ID: <3741E0F2.700FE768@halcyon.com>
Source record: 118462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Still gonna be in a world of hurt if he tries to jam a GPS signal.

Bob Gardner

RADIO MAN wrote:

> Bob Gardner wrote:
> >
> > I think you could get a nice room in Leavenworth, Kansas, with three meals a
> > day, exercise breaks, and lots of guys who want to be your best friend.
> >
> > Bob Gardner
> >
> > Magic 92 wrote:
> >
> > > bill topolski wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. The company I work for just
> > > > install it in all of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it.
> > > > Does anybody have any suggestions?
> > > > Is it possible to jam?
> > > > Help, big brother is watching
> > >
> > > I went to a search engine, typed "gps" in the search box and found
> > > this:
> > > ---
> > >
> > > http://www.utexas.edu/depts/grg/gcraft/notes/gps/gps.html
> > >
> > > GPS Satellite Signals
> > >
> > > The SVs transmit two microwave carrier signals. The L1 frequency
> > > (1575.42 MHz) carries the navigation message and the SPS code signals.
> > >
> > > The L2 frequency (1227.60 MHz) is used to measure the ionospheric
> > > delay by PPS equipped receivers.
> > >
> > > Three binary codes shift the L1 and/or L2 carrier phase.
> > > The C/A Code (Coarse Acquisition) modulates the L1 carrier phase.
> > > The C/A code is a repeating 1 MHz Pseudo Random Noise (PRN) Code.
> > > This noise-like code modulates the L1 carrier signal, "spreading"
> > > the spectrum over a 1 MHz bandwidth.
> > >
> > > The C/A code repeats every 1023 bits (one millisecond). There is a

> > > different C/A code PRN for each SV. GPS satellites are often identified
> > > by their PRN number, the unique identifier for each pseudo-random-noise
> > > code. The C/A code that modulates the L1 carrier is the basis for the
> > > civil SPS.
> > >
> > > The P-Code (Precise) modulates both the L1 and L2 carrier phases.
> > > The P-Code is a very long (seven days) 10 MHz PRN code.
> > > In the Anti-Spoofing (AS) mode of operation, the P-Code is encrypted
> > > into the Y-Code.
> > >
> > > The encrypted Y-Code requires a classified AS Module for each receiver
> > > channel and is for use only by authorized users with cryptographic keys.
> > > The P (Y)-Code is the basis for the PPS.
> > >
> > > The Navigation Message also modulates the L1-C/A code signal.
> > > The Navigation Message is a 50 Hz signal consisting of data bits
> > > that describe the GPS satellite orbits, clock corrections, and other
> > > system parameters.
> > >
> > > GPS is funded by and controlled by the U. S. Department of Defense
> > > (DOD).
> > > While there are many thousands of civil users of GPS world-wide, the
> > > system was designed for and is operated by the U. S. military.
> > > -------------
> > >
> > > If you still want to "jam" GPS, maybe the US Department of Defense
> > > could answer your questions, just click here:
> > >
> > > ---> http://www.defenselink.mil/ <---
> I think that he does not wan them to know how many coffee breaks he
> takes.
> --
> RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1836. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Gardner <bobmrg@halcyon.com>
Message-ID: <3741E0A6.C851D7B8@halcyon.com>
Source record: 118463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

No...for jamming GPS.

Bob Gardner

Stan wrote:

> For using a search engine? Get a clue.
>
> On Mon, 17 May 1999 10:00:57 -0700, Bob Gardner <bobmrg@halcyon.com> wrote:
>
> >I think you could get a nice room in Leavenworth, Kansas, with three meals a
> >day, exercise breaks, and lots of guys who want to be your best friend.
> >
> >Bob Gardner
> >
> >Magic 92 wrote:
> >
> >> bill topolski wrote:
> >> >
> >> > I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. The company I work for just
> >> > install it in all of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it.
> >> > Does anybody have any suggestions?
> >> > Is it possible to jam?
> >> > Help, big brother is watching
> >>
> >> I went to a search engine, typed "gps" in the search box and found
> >> this:
> >> ---
> >>
> >> http://www.utexas.edu/depts/grg/gcraft/notes/gps/gps.html
> >>
> >> GPS Satellite Signals
> >>
> >> The SVs transmit two microwave carrier signals. The L1 frequency
> >> (1575.42 MHz) carries the navigation message and the SPS code signals.
> >>
> >> The L2 frequency (1227.60 MHz) is used to measure the ionospheric
> >> delay by PPS equipped receivers.
> >>
> >> Three binary codes shift the L1 and/or L2 carrier phase.
> >> The C/A Code (Coarse Acquisition) modulates the L1 carrier phase.
> >> The C/A code is a repeating 1 MHz Pseudo Random Noise (PRN) Code.
> >> This noise-like code modulates the L1 carrier signal, "spreading"
> >> the spectrum over a 1 MHz bandwidth.

> >>
> >> The C/A code repeats every 1023 bits (one millisecond). There is a
> >> different C/A code PRN for each SV. GPS satellites are often identified
> >> by their PRN number, the unique identifier for each pseudo-random-noise
> >> code. The C/A code that modulates the L1 carrier is the basis for the
> >> civil SPS.
> >>
> >> The P-Code (Precise) modulates both the L1 and L2 carrier phases.
> >> The P-Code is a very long (seven days) 10 MHz PRN code.
> >> In the Anti-Spoofing (AS) mode of operation, the P-Code is encrypted
> >> into the Y-Code.
> >>
> >> The encrypted Y-Code requires a classified AS Module for each receiver
> >> channel and is for use only by authorized users with cryptographic keys.
> >> The P (Y)-Code is the basis for the PPS.
> >>
> >> The Navigation Message also modulates the L1-C/A code signal.
> >> The Navigation Message is a 50 Hz signal consisting of data bits
> >> that describe the GPS satellite orbits, clock corrections, and other
> >> system parameters.
> >>
> >> GPS is funded by and controlled by the U. S. Department of Defense
> >> (DOD).
> >> While there are many thousands of civil users of GPS world-wide, the
> >> system was designed for and is operated by the U. S. military.
> >> -------------
> >>
> >> If you still want to "jam" GPS, maybe the US Department of Defense
> >> could answer your questions, just click here:
> >>
> >> ---> http://www.defenselink.mil/ <---

1837. Re: Marine Frequencies Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741E41F.44D5@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Robert wrote:
>
> Here's another source:
>
> http://www.navcen.uscg.mil/marcomms/vhf.htm
>
That was very interesting.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1838. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741E5FC.43DE@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Sir wrote:
>
> > What apparently is not obvious to you is that the rest of us don't care.
>
> You all contribute to the thread, so you DO all care, else I would be
> the only one and there would be no thread. I did not start the thread,
> and it appears to be the most popular topic here right now. Even YOU
> can not resist reading and replying to this.
The thing about Morse Code is that it seems that the OLD TIMERS are
saying that we did it and you should too. That is baloney. What some
cheese with that wine?
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1839. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741EA02.4B98@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

```
Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
> inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not (Pursuit10851) wrote:
>
> :On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 19:07:55 +1000, "Brad Granger"
> :<bgranger@one.net.au> wrote:
> :
> :
> :>I don't know what the big attraction with cellular frequencies is, Barry,
> :>but in about 2 years you forget about them, you'll need a scanner that can
> :>also decode CDMA. So, between CDMA and GSM, there won't be any phone call
> :>for you to eavesdrop on!
> :>
> :>Brad
> :>
> :Then I guess AirTouch will have to transfer my unlimited night and
> :weekend calling plan to digital, since their ad said "Free Forever"
> :when I started service in 1997. Right now there aren't any carriers in
> :my area offering unlimited night and weekend calling plans on digital.
>
> In Australia the analogue mobile phone system is to be turned off on
> 1/1/2000 in spite of the fact that it is providing excellent service
> and has many happy customers. It seems to be a political decision
> which the government is commited to although it may be regretting
> it by now.
>
> It's far more effective than telling people they must not listen.
>
> Barry
> ==========
> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
Well then how will the Aussie goverment listen to possible drug deals,
eh?
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!
```

1840. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741E6C7.1D9B@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Bob Gardner wrote:
>
> Still gonna be in a world of hurt if he tries to jam a GPS signal.
>
> Bob Gardner
>
> RADIO MAN wrote:
>
> > Bob Gardner wrote:
> > >
> > > I think you could get a nice room in Leavenworth, Kansas, with three meals a
> > > day, exercise breaks, and lots of guys who want to be your best friend.
> > >
> > > Bob Gardner
> > >
> > > Magic 92 wrote:
> > >
> > > > bill topolski wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. The company I work for just
> > > > > install it in all of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it.
> > > > > Does anybody have any suggestions?
> > > > > Is it possible to jam?
> > > > > Help, big brother is watching
> > > >
> > > > I went to a search engine, typed "gps" in the search box and found
> > > > this:
> > > > ---
> > > >
> > > > http://www.utexas.edu/depts/grg/gcraft/notes/gps/gps.html
> > > >
> > > > GPS Satellite Signals
> > > >
> > > > The SVs transmit two microwave carrier signals. The L1 frequency
> > > > (1575.42 MHz) carries the navigation message and the SPS code signals.
> > > >
> > > > The L2 frequency (1227.60 MHz) is used to measure the ionospheric
> > > > delay by PPS equipped receivers.
> > > >
> > > > Three binary codes shift the L1 and/or L2 carrier phase.
> > > > The C/A Code (Coarse Acquisition) modulates the L1 carrier phase.
> > > > The C/A code is a repeating 1 MHz Pseudo Random Noise (PRN) Code.
> > > > This noise-like code modulates the L1 carrier signal, "spreading"

> > > > the spectrum over a 1 MHz bandwidth.
> > > >
> > > > The C/A code repeats every 1023 bits (one millisecond). There is a
> > > > different C/A code PRN for each SV. GPS satellites are often identified
> > > > by their PRN number, the unique identifier for each pseudo-random-noise
> > > > code. The C/A code that modulates the L1 carrier is the basis for the
> > > > civil SPS.
> > > >
> > > > The P-Code (Precise) modulates both the L1 and L2 carrier phases.
> > > > The P-Code is a very long (seven days) 10 MHz PRN code.
> > > > In the Anti-Spoofing (AS) mode of operation, the P-Code is encrypted
> > > > into the Y-Code.
> > > >
> > > > The encrypted Y-Code requires a classified AS Module for each receiver
> > > > channel and is for use only by authorized users with cryptographic keys.
> > > > The P (Y)-Code is the basis for the PPS.
> > > >
> > > > The Navigation Message also modulates the L1-C/A code signal.
> > > > The Navigation Message is a 50 Hz signal consisting of data bits
> > > > that describe the GPS satellite orbits, clock corrections, and other
> > > > system parameters.
> > > >
> > > > GPS is funded by and controlled by the U. S. Department of Defense
> > > > (DOD).
> > > > While there are many thousands of civil users of GPS world-wide, the
> > > > system was designed for and is operated by the U. S. military.
> > > > -------------
> > > >
> > > > If you still want to "jam" GPS, maybe the US Department of Defense
> > > > could answer your questions, just click here:
> > > >
> > > > ---> http://www.defenselink.mil/ <---
> > I think that he does not wan them to know how many coffee breaks he
> > takes.
> > --
> > RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> > FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!
Well it would be okey dokey if he managed to jam the signal so that the
company that he works for cannot monitor how many rest stop/coffee
breaks he makes, but it would not be okay to jamm the whole system.I am
sure that he would not want the " MEN IN BLACK " in unmarked Crown Vics,
or Caprice Classics showing up @ the front door. Right?
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1841. Re: Cell & Cordless frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741EA3E.3946@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118468

zeno@magicnet.net wrote:
>
> In article <373AD9A3.67193A7@prodigy.net>, "Danny R. Barnes"
> <danny315@prodigy.net> wrote:
>
> >
> > PCS Listening Guide
>
> > PCS Phones
> >
> > 1.85 GHz - 1.99 GHz
>
> To list the PCS CDMA frequencies is just silly. No one can listen to CDMA.
> It is called a listening guide" after all. The info is fine, but should
> not be part of a "listening guide" since no one can listen in any way.
Some one will find a wasy in the future. It is bbound to happen that is
what hackers are for
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1842. BC760XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mhpd913@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990518182612.20220.00000300@ng27.aol.com>
Source record: 118469

CAN YOU INCREASE THE SCAN SPEED ON THIS MODEL?

1843. Re: Cell & Cordless frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3741EB18.4C49@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118470

Udo Schmiedl wrote:
>
> I have an old (1-2 years) Radio Shack handheld scanner...
> Does anyone know of any cell phone or cordless phone frequencies that
> wouldn't be blocked? I would appreciate it if someone replies with anything
> other than a flame because cell listening is illegal.
Since it is illegal and it gives us all a bad reutation, why would you
want to do it? Why post the question on the Internet? You want some
unmarked sedan in your driveway?
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1844. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3741e674.952032@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118471
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

```
EME comms happen every day,but,they do require more than 5-10
watts.What was the TXmitting antenna?

On Tue, 18 May 99 03:16:22 GMT, Solarpower1watt@Juno.com (Ed) wrote:

>>2) 6 volts & 50 miles is nothing out of the ordinary. Easy peasy on HF and on
>>VHF with the right conditions. It is not the battery voltage that counts, it's
>>wattage and conditions.
>>
>>I was on the top of Portsdown Hill and worked (with a handheld at 7.2v battery)
>>a guy in Portsmouth.
>
>
>
>I think I have an event that tops them all. Decades ago, I had an
>acquaintance who worked at Arecibo receiving site. He hooked up his Heathkit
>twoer, when the opportunity presented itself, to one of the dish feeds and
>received his transmission echo bounced off the moon. That's about 400,000
>miles plus, round trip. I believe the Heath Twoer ran about 5 or 10 watts.
>
>
> Ed
>
>
> REPLY INFO:
> ============================================================
> Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
> TO REPLY: remove the "watt" from my return address.
> ============================================================
>
```

1845. Re: AR8200 or MVT9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11ab9bf4341b9d6998979b@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you can live without the PC interface (and most people can) I feel quite strongly that the MVT-9000, especially the Bandercom modified version is the better unit. More sensitivity, better build quality and better bandscope as well. I owned a 8200 and sold it for it's poor sensitivity. I now use a IC-R2 for handheld and an Optocom for base use.

1846. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: rfjim@mft.com
Message-ID: <3741ebf2.469079@news.mft.com>
Source record: 118473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I must agree that due to intl. treaty users on the hf bands are required to and should know cw. however I dispute the use of a speed test in that particular language for upgrade or access to spectrum. 5wpm satisfies the intl. treaty, we should be testing on tech. knowledge or added knowledge of the rules and regs, for upgrade purpose. It used to be that you needed to know hand signals for your drivers test. I believe they dropped that requirement in my state, but even if it was still in place, should we test how fast one can give them to upgrade our drivers license, or how fast we can recognize various shapes and colors of road signs. No of course not and speed should not be an issue in amatuer radio cw. Only a working knowledge of the code is needed and that is what should be mandated. If an individual wants to use cw to a large extent and increases their speed, so be it, but for others that use fm ssb etc. basic working knowledge is all that is necessary and all that should be required.
On Tue, 18 May 1999 08:21:29 -0500, WebMonkey <click@below.com> wrote:

>Sir wrote:
>>
>> just.do.it email.ro (AE) wrote:
>> >
>> > Cutting here -n- there from all the threads:
>> >
>> > > What if you wanted to play the flute, but a law required that you learn
>> > > how to play the harp before you were allowed to play the flute?
>> > >
>> > > What if you didn't like the harp and only wanted to play the flute, and
>> > > other flute players called you lazy for not wanting to waste time
>> > > learning an instrument you had no intention of ever playing?
>> > >
>> > > They have no desire to use morse code. How silly it would be to waste
>> > > the time to learn morse code, only to forget it once one has the license
>> > > to go ahead and use voice.
>> > >
>> > > Only people who intend to USE morse code should learn it.
>> >
>> > 90% of the automobile drivers out there don't intend to use anything
>> > they *learned* to get their drivers license,
>>
>> Give me an example, I can't think of one thing you learn to get a
>> driver's license that you wouldn't need to know when you drive.
>>

>> why not drop that
>> > requirement too? Just let 'em all drive, drop the roadtest
>> > requirement!
>>
>> That would be like dropping the rules and technical requirements on the
>> ham test. Both are needed and used by everyone in Ham radio. We are
>> talking about Morse Code which does NOT have to be used in order to
>> operate Ham radio. If it was required to learn how to ride a horse to
>> get that driver's license, then you could argue about that, but they
>> don't test for stuff EVERY driver won't need to know in order to drive.
>>
>> > Ever stop to think that maybe the government intended to keep some
>> > people out?
>>
>> No, they droped the code on the tech to get people in, not out. They
>> would drop the code for other classes too if they could, but it is an
>> international requirement, so it will take a bit longer to do.
>>
>> Why not drop the code requirment for commercial broadcast
>> > while we're at it?
>>
>> There never was or is a code requirement for commercial broadcast.
>
>on a 50mHz and above note:
>99% of the repeaters in my area use morse id 'exclusively'
>mine excluded of course =] [a pleasant mix of voice and morse]
>kinda nice to know what the repeater 'call' is.....
>--
>A.C. Curtis
>KBWLF
>53.45 and 442.45, 77.0Hz enc/dec [multi-cast repeater system]
>mailto:demoman@rollanet.org
>http://www.rollanet.org/~demoman

1847. Re: A OS456/2006/Probe/trunker trunking question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@thebigdial.com
Message-ID: <3745c727.12620703@news.idt.net>
Source record: 118474

I think you're referring to the
optelectronics "Optotrakker"
which in combo with the OS456/
Pro2006/Discriminator Out Mod/
and the included Trakkstar Software....
or upgrade to ScanStar Deluxe and
you have the ability to scan all
types of trunking systems and
non trunking systems at the same
time!!! This combo is a hair slicker than
an OptoCom alone.

1848. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <dmartin@net1inc.net>
Message-ID: <3741F33E.170EA658@net1inc.net>
Source record: 118475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Please remove the cross post to rec.radio.amateur.dx

RADIO MAN wrote:

> Sir wrote:
> >
> > > What apparently is not obvious to you is that the rest of us don't care.
> >
> > You all contribute to the thread, so you DO all care, else I would be
> > the only one and there would be no thread. I did not start the thread,
> > and it appears to be the most popular topic here right now. Even YOU
> > can not resist reading and replying to this.
> The thing about Morse Code is that it seems that the OLD TIMERS are
> saying that we did it and you should too. That is baloney. What some
> cheese with that wine?
> --
> RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1849. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H. Adam Stevens" <alien@jump.net>
Message-ID: <3742117A.B819399F@jump.net>
Source record: 118476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Actually it's often hundreds of miles using a two meter handheld in my Baron.
I've never seen any interaction with flight or navigation instruments at all.
However, if I were carrying unknowns as passengers, they turn it off!
H.
WA5IGW, N502TB

RADIO MAN wrote:

> snip-

> Well it also has to do with electronic interference. You wouldn't want
> the plane to go down becasue you were busy with a QSO with somebody over
> 30 miles away thanks to the elevation that you were at, huh?

snip

1850. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H. Adam Stevens" <alien@jump.net>
Message-ID: <37421514.80EA755A@jump.net>
Source record: 118477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Well said.
I'm thinking of one area where I use Morse outside of ham radio: flying. Navigation aids identify in Morse, but a 5 WPM proficiency suffices. Good. I like your idea.
H.
WA5IGW

j reynolds wrote:

> I must agree that due to intl. treaty users on the hf bands are
> required to and should know cw. however I dispute the use of a speed
> test in that particular language for upgrade or access to spectrum.
> 5wpm satisfies the intl. treaty, we should be testing on tech.
> knowledge or added knowledge of the rules and regs, for upgrade
> purpose. It used to be that you needed to know hand signals for your
> drivers test. I believe they dropped that requirement in my state, but
> even if it was still in place, should we test how fast one can give
> them to upgrade our drivers license, or how fast we can recognize
> various shapes and colors of road signs. No of course not and speed
> should not be an issue in amatuer radio cw. Only a working knowledge
> of the code is needed and that is what should be mandated. If an
> individual wants to use cw to a large extent and increases their
> speed, so be it, but for others that use fm ssb etc. basic working
> knowledge is all that is necessary and all that should be required.

snip--

1851. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H. Adam Stevens" <alien@jump.net>
Message-ID: <374213A4.31860CA3@jump.net>
Source record: 118478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

No, I'm saying that if you want the same license I have, pass comparable tests.
Is CW relevant? The Navy thinks not. It's still valid and satisfying.
I'm no 35 WPM Extra, just an Advanced. I took my last code test at the Dallas
FCC office in April of 1964, 13 WPM. The damn government already stole my First
Phone, I don't want it to redefine my ham license, too. Just create a new
ticket? Been done.
The service does need to evolve with technology, no one uses spark much.
I have no simple solution.
73
H.
WA5IGW

RADIO MAN wrote:

> Sir wrote:
> >
> > > What apparently is not obvious to you is that the rest of us don't care.
> >
> > You all contribute to the thread, so you DO all care, else I would be
> > the only one and there would be no thread. I did not start the thread,
> > and it appears to be the most popular topic here right now. Even YOU
> > can not resist reading and replying to this.
> The thing about Morse Code is that it seems that the OLD TIMERS are
> saying that we did it and you should too. That is baloney. What some
> cheese with that wine?
> --
> RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1852. Re: No more Realistic brand...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <809905F27581B846.2DDBF71A8C533013.8DFEA2EBDD3DE02E@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 118479

Tandy recently entered into an agreement with european Thomson,
distributor of RCA branded products in the US. RCA will replace the
Optimus line. This from The Dallas Morning News last week.

I dreamt somewhere that RCA branded a scanner or two.

zeno@magicnet.net wrote:

> Has anyone noticed a lack of any Realistic products in the 1999 Radio
> Shack catalogue? I emailed the , and they confirmed the name is gone. It
> started in 1954 before Tandy bought radio Shack. It was good to know ya
> Realistic. Now we have that stupid Optimus name.

1853. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mother Nature <m_nature@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37421E2F.E0FFF6F8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 118480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hi from soc.penpals and let me say that all of this technical talk is
making me hot......<g>

"H. Adam Stevens" wrote:
>
> No, I'm saying that if you want the same license I have, pass comparable tests.
> Is CW relevant? The Navy thinks not. It's still valid and satisfying.
> I'm no 35 WPM Extra, just an Advanced. I took my last code test at the Dallas
> FCC office in April of 1964, 13 WPM. The damn government already stole my First
> Phone, I don't want it to redefine my ham license, too. Just create a new
> ticket? Been done.
> The service does need to evolve with technology, no one uses spark much.
> I have no simple solution.
> 73
> H.
> WA5IGW
>
> RADIO MAN wrote:
>
> > Sir wrote:
> > >
> > > > What apparently is not obvious to you is that the rest of us don't care.
> > >
> > > You all contribute to the thread, so you DO all care, else I would be
> > > the only one and there would be no thread. I did not start the thread,
> > > and it appears to be the most popular topic here right now. Even YOU
> > > can not resist reading and replying to this.
> > The thing about Morse Code is that it seems that the OLD TIMERS are
> > saying that we did it and you should too. That is baloney. What some
> > cheese with that wine?
> > --
> > RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> > FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1854. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <374220DF.6640E6A5@dnai.com>
Source record: 118481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

Perhaps . . .

rockit40@hotmail.com wrote:

> On Mon, 17 May 1999 22:41:14 -0700, Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I have heard of these devices as well but mostly of the high frequency type,
> >ones that make you sick or give you a headache and have to be operated in close
> >proximity to the subject. Having the whole house shake that sounds like some
> >very powerful LF device . . . that is pretty scary . . .
> >Or perhaps it is some type of phase device that uses the houses internal wiring
> >to setup up some kind of oscillation . . . what do you guys think ?
> >
> >
> Perhaps they only think the house is shaking, since they are being
> made nauseous and confused by the device?

1855. Problematic Bearcat 300- Please Read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Rizos <jrizos@nac.net>
Message-ID: <37422247.9D3B8026@nac.net>
Source record: 118482

Hi Folks-
A friend gave me a Bearcat 300 scanner about a week ago because
it's display was dead. I'd like to use this as just a base scanner
for local activity, so I'd like to fix it. The receiver still
unsquelches
and puts out static through the speaker but I don't know if it
receives or not because I am not sure of the frequencies programmed in.
Anyone have any ideas? I'd really like to fix it.

Greg KC2EJB

1856. Re: Need Tony Stewart IRL/Indy 500 freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com>
Message-ID: <374221EC.F69826EE@dnai.com>
Source record: 118483

IRL? or lights?

tracyjones@cinergy.com wrote:

> I need these frequencies:
>
> #22 Tony Stewart 467.7625, 468.4375
>
> #32 Glidden/Menards car ?? huh??

> #41 A.J. Foyt car ??

>
> Thanks
> Tracy

1857. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: peter poke <pokey@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <927082561.788.65@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118484
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

Wrap her in tin foil and make her walk around outside.
georgeandbarbarabush@yahoo.com wrote in message
<7hnmm1$f0t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>I was hoping somebody could help me with a little dilemma I am involved
>with. My aunt is currently feuding with her next-door neighbor -- the
>neighbor is trying to run my aunt off her land so he can buy it (the
>Hatfields and the McCoys are still around, hehe).
>
>

1858. i bay icom R1 and i dont know how to operate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: stef <sbproduction@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <01bea0c2$81bd02a0$53b6acce@pc>
Source record: 118485

i bay a icom R1 scanner and i dont know how to operate can some body tell me where i can have the manuel book for the R1

1859. Michigan State Police-Flint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990517201419.11236.00002493@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 118486

does anyone know how this dpt divides up their freqs? (disbatch, LEIN, car to car etc) i know need same info for Genesee County Sheriff and Flint Twp PD thanks

1860. Where to get a very low power transmitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lynton@iname.com
Message-ID: <7hsd7mkpa1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I need a really really low power transmitter, the kind to radiate a tiny signal onto the AM or FM band, thus can be picked up from a few feet. Radio Shack sells one but does anyone here know of any others, please post some details such as URLs.

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1861. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7hs8m7$nb8@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118535

In <19990518131448.12508.00002281@ng-cd1.aol.com> gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) writes:
>
>> No
>>wonder the manufacturers are reluctant to make advances in this
>>market...they'll be sued next!
>
>Salient point, Laine.
>Also, idiots who DO get nailed for doing something so patently wrong give all
>of us a bad name, and make it that much easier for b.s. anti-monitoring
>legislation to zip right through our hallowed halls of Congress.
>I fear for the future of the hobby, precisely because of fools like this
>"journalist" (I use the term VERY loosely...).... How stupid can a person be?
>(Of course now I have to write MY name right after that last question!) :-)
>Mike

yet the government can listen
in on our conversations uninterrupted.

right...one wrong don't make them right
but it makes us wrong for doing the same
thing.

guess who reads all the child-nude mag's

you guessed it: people who work for the
agencies who arrested the people
for "LOOKING" at images in a mag.
but the people who work for the
govt. can look.

being arrested for LOOKING.
being arrested for "ASKING" a whore how much

go figure democracy. who defines what it is:
of course: the government.

bill conduit
etc.

1862. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3746a760.2630340@news.erols.com>
Source record: 118536

On 18 May 1999 17:14:48 GMT, gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:

>> No
>>wonder the manufacturers are reluctant to make advances in this
>>market...they'll be sued next!
>
>Salient point, Laine.
>Also, idiots who DO get nailed for doing something so patently wrong give all
>of us a bad name, and make it that much easier for b.s. anti-monitoring
>legislation to zip right through our hallowed halls of Congress.
>I fear for the future of the hobby, precisely because of fools like this
>"journalist" (I use the term VERY loosely...).... How stupid can a person be?

I honestly hope that some day in the future a real journalist will
listen to a conversation covered by the radio secrecy act or the ECPA
--and report on it anyway for its newsworthiness.

The we'll have a First Amendment battle on the order of the famous
"Pentagon Papers" scandal. It will go all the way to the supreme
court and finally this ridiculous provision can be struck down.

Until then, let's keep the idiots out of this...

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1863. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: s_c_h_u_s_t_e_r_@panix.com
Message-ID: <7hvi5u$72b$1@panix7.panix.com>
Source record: 118237

In article <7huh7oaov1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>,
Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com> wrote:
>This AM I downloaded all the messages from this group as usual. I read a
>post from a guy who thinks Rich Wells' web site is arrogant, blah, blah, and
>very dangerous. Right in the middle of my reply my system crashed and now
>I can't seem to get that message reloaded so I can't quote directly from it.
>If someone can forward that message to my e-mail address I would appreciate
>it.
>
>The gist of it was that this guy was offended by Rich suggesting to newbies
>not to ask certain questions of the group. His guide for new users of this
>news group is very informative and far from arrogant. Rich has assembled a
>great deal of information and displays it in a professional manner. Anyone
>with an ounce of intelligence could view his web site and understand his
>intent.
>
>Am I wrong? Is Rich Wells the new "Big Brother" using subliminal messages
>at his site to make us think just like him? Or is this complainer just
>another paranoid looking for something to bitch about?

Rich's site is a valuable resource which reflects his professionalism,
dedication to the hobby, knowledge, thoroughness, fairness, and willingness
to share without compensation. He's the type of guy that gives hobbyists a
good name.

I didn't see the alleged offending post, but frankly I don't see anything
wrong with politely directing posters of the same questions again and again
to just go have a look at the place with lots of answers. Frankly I've
never known Rich to be anything less than polite ... in fact, considering
some of the noise flowing through the net and this newsgroup, he's
probably closer to sainthood.

1864. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbirdsand@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990519195052.03859.00002064@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 118238

Keep up the great work,Rich.There isn't
a better scanner site out there.

Randy

1865. Re: No more Realistic brand...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <B0BEF975ED76EF57.A86D746633F03597.614E737DA2174727@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 118239

here's the DMN article. hope it don't break somebody's etiquette --

Radio Shack chain to add RCA to its product lines

Deal with Thomson SA will add RCA models, equipment demonstration

05/14/99

By Alan Goldstein / The Dallas Morning News

Radio Shack and Thomson SA of France, which markets its products largely under the RCA
brand, announced an alliance Thursday that the companies said may go a long way toward
explaining and demonstrating the latest entertainment products.
Customers in 7,000 Radio Shack stores nationwide will have an opportunity to "touch, learn and
experience firsthand the astonishing home entertainment capabilities" of digital television and other
technologies, said Guy Johnson, senior vice president at Thomson Consumer Electronics.
Under terms of the deal, which was expected, Radio Shack will expand its "store within a store"
concept to include RCA products. Radio Shack, which has long been known for plugs, connectors
and other accessories, in recent years has forged successful relationships similar to the Thomson
deal with Compaq Computer Corp. for personal computers and with Sprint Corp. for telephone
equipment and services. Each vendor has a separate area in the store to display its products
exclusively.
Radio Shack aims to be a convenience store for electronics products and a neighborhood source
for demystifying increasingly complex technologies. The chain estimates that 94 percent of
Americans live or work within five minutes of a Radio Shack outlet.
The Thomson agreement means Radio Shack, the core operating unit of Fort Worth-based Tandy
Corp., will phase out its longtime house brand, Optimus, in favor of RCA.
While offering a private label can mean higher profit margins and less price competition, consumers
are more brand conscious with electronic gear than they used to be, said David Goldstein, president
of Channel Marketing Corp., a Dallas-based research firm.
Moreover, he said, it will be easier to control inventory with someone else's

brand because Radio
Shack will not have to commit to a certain number of units built by a contract manufacturer.
For Thomson, which has agreed to pay to outfit the stores with a special merchandising area, the
arrangement will help expand its distribution dramatically beyond its existing retail relationships,
analysts said.
Radio Shack stores already sell RCA-brand satellite dishes and digital videodisc players. The
agreement will expand the product line to include televisions, camcorders, home theaters, audio
products and accessories.
At the announcement in New York, Leonard Roberts, chairman-elect, president and chief executive
of Tandy, said customers may see some of the larger products that would not easily fit in Radio
Shack outlets demonstrated on store computers.
Radio Shack is also planning to introduce a Web site for selling consumer electronics and
accessories later this year.
The RCA display areas are expected to debut early next year. The introduction will continue
through the end of 2000, the companies said.

bfwinstead wrote:

> Tandy recently entered into an agreement with european Thomson,
> distributor of RCA branded products in the US. RCA will replace the
> Optimus line. This from The Dallas Morning News last week.
>
> I dreamt somewhere that RCA branded a scanner or two.
>
> zeno@magicnet.net wrote:
>
> > Has anyone noticed a lack of any Realistic products in the 1999 Radio
> > Shack catalogue? I emailed the , and they confirmed the name is gone. It
> > started in 1954 before Tandy bought radio Shack. It was good to know ya
> > Realistic. Now we have that stupid Optimus name.

1866. Max Trac For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Maxwell <maxwellw@comcat.com>
Message-ID: <7hvjsk$l9p$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 118240

From: Derek Maxwell <maxwellw@comcat.com>
Subject: For Sale or Trade: Motorola MaxTrac 900
Date: Saturday, May 15, 1999 5:37 PM

Hello All! As you may have seen from my previous post, I recently obtained a Motorola MaxTrac 900Mhz Radio. It is the high power model with 8 Systems and 7 Sub Fleets. It includes scan and phone patch buttons. The radio powers up and transmits great on its one conventional frequency (940.125). I have no use for this due to my upcoming GMRS repeater. I also have a Motorola MTX 900 on the same Conventional channel which works fine, but the two do not talk to each other. The MTX will not come with a battery. The MaxTrac is in excellent condition and includes a hand-mike and power cable but no mounting bracket. I would like to trade the MaxTrac for a comparable UHF Conventional Unit. I purchased the MaxTrac for $150 and two MTXs for $40 total. One does not work, but will be included for parts. If you have something to trade for the MTX, please offer. If you have a non UHF radio that you would consider trading, I might take it. Make me an offer! Thanks to all!

Derek Maxwell
maxwellw@comcat.com

1867. Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <927140757.114870@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 118241

Is this unit cell blocked?

DD

1868. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny <dnottis@negia.net>
Message-ID: <37435AAA.201775FB@negia.net>
Source record: 118242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mother Nature wrote:
>
> Hi from soc.penpals and let me say that all of this technical talk is
> making me hot......<g>

Well then 350 KHz, AM, FM. Are you butter yet?

Danny
--
Computers are like Old Testament gods; lots of rules and no mercy. -
Joseph Campbell

1869. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ?? - just my 2 Greenlandic cent's...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: aor8200 <aor8200@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <iGJ03.1193$L55.765@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 118243

From Greenland shines a very big star in favour of RW's site and very informative work. I would trust his word a very long way. And being a rather well "educated" IT-consultant I tend to see myself as fairly objective to this whole internet-stuff :-) .

Well done Rich... Keep going and (God forbid it) should your service ever be not-free you would see me sign on as membership #0000001
:-)

Stephan
Nuuk/Greenland

David A. Lovell <electra@tcon.net> wrote in message
news:3742fcfb@news.oaktree.net...
>
> Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com> wrote in message
> news:7huh7oaov1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net...
> > This AM I downloaded all the messages from this group as usual. I read
a
> > post from a guy who thinks Rich Wells' web site is arrogant, blah, blah,
> and
> > very dangerous. Right in the middle of my reply my system crashed and
> now
> > I can't seem to get that message reloaded so I can't quote directly from
> it.
> > If someone can forward that message to my e-mail address I would
> appreciate
> > it.
> >
> > The gist of it was that this guy was offended by Rich suggesting to
> newbies
> > not to ask certain questions of the group. His guide for new users of
> this
> > news group is very informative and far from arrogant. Rich has
assembled
> a
> > great deal of information and displays it in a professional manner.
> Anyone
> > with an ounce of intelligence could view his web site and understand his
> > intent.
> >
> > Am I wrong? Is Rich Wells the new "Big Brother" using subliminal
messages
> > at his site to make us think just like him? Or is this complainer just
> > another paranoid looking for something to bitch about?

> >
> >
> Just in passing - we are very thankful for folks like Rich Wells who take
> the time and energy
> to review new scanners - our new TigerScan got some nice P.R. from Rich -
> for which he received no
> compensation - perhaps those who are really serious about the
hobby/utility
> of
> scanning will notice the effort put into his site and objectively see it
for
> what it is -
> a valuable scanning enthusiasts resource.
> Sincerely,
> Dave Lovell - RF Engineer/President
> Electra Corporation
> Makers of Tiger Scan
> www.electracorp.com
>
>
>

1870. Re: Any Maryland area scanner sites?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37436E71.194E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118244

smokebowl wrote:
>
> I am looking for maryland area scanner sites with lists of frequencies
> etc.. mainly carroll county
>
> \

Check out the following URL:

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/Maryland/carroll.html

1871. Re: A OS456/2006/Probe/trunker trunking question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-1905992118090001@56-ip-18.theramp.net>
Source record: 118245

In article <MPG.11ac892e99f6905b98979c@news.earthlink.net>,
dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman) wrote:

> Yes, all except the EDACS system. In a couple of months they are coming
> out with the software that will "do it all" however. So a little patience
> will go a long way.......

The OptoCom WILL do EDACS right now with E-Trax software by Joe Cardani.
E-Trax is available from a variety of sources, the easiest is from Grove
Enterprises.

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**********g3mtnw**********

1872. Errant telephone/voice traffic at 3.007 - 3.550 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jvpoll@dallas.net>
Message-ID: <37437063.85E345BC@dallas.net>
Source record: 118246

I stumbled - quite by accident - on telephone traffic in the HF band ..

Notably in the range of : 3.007 - 3.060 MHz and 3.500 - 3.560 MHz.

Mostly cariers are heard - with occasional 'ringing' and voice traffic
heard ...

Tracking the sources down results in a pegged S-meter on the HF
receiver when parked in the street outside a residence ...

These sources can be heard almost a mile away ..

Driving into Dallas each day from from south of McKinney - different
signals
can be heard while traversing mobile through Allen, Plano, Richardson
and
into Dallas ...

What gives????

1873. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7hvrm4fgo1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118247

I have on several occasions communicated with Rich Wells and have always
gotten courteous and well informed responses. Considering the number of
of messages he must recieve, this must be quite some effort on his part.
Pointing someone in the right direction for information should not be
considered an insult but I guess someone will always things the wrong way.
Anyway, Rich's site is *THE* definative site for imformation on scanning.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

Bryan Stethem wrote in message <7huh7oaov1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>...
>This AM I downloaded all the messages from this group as usual. I read a
>post from a guy who thinks Rich Wells' web site is arrogant, blah, blah,
and
>very dangerous. Right in the middle of my reply my system crashed and now
>I can't seem to get that message reloaded so I can't quote directly from
it.
>If someone can forward that message to my e-mail address I would appreciate
>it.
>
>The gist of it was that this guy was offended by Rich suggesting to newbies
>not to ask certain questions of the group. His guide for new users of this
>news group is very informative and far from arrogant. Rich has assembled a
>great deal of information and displays it in a professional manner. Anyone
>with an ounce of intelligence could view his web site and understand his
>intent.
>
>Am I wrong? Is Rich Wells the new "Big Brother" using subliminal messages
>at his site to make us think just like him? Or is this complainer just
>another paranoid looking for something to bitch about?
>
>

1874. Re: Errant telephone/voice traffic at 3.007 - 3.550 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37437447.6489@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118248

Hi Jim,

It's possible that you may be picking up one of the pre-1984 Cordless Phones which operated in 1.6 Mhz to 1.8 Mhz range. Some of the early Uniden and Cobra Cordless Phones generated some very strong harmonics which could be heard almost a mile away in the 3.2 Mhz - 3.6 Mhz band.

Bob

Jim wrote:
>
> I stumbled - quite by accident - on telephone traffic in the HF band ..
>
> Notably in the range of : 3.007 - 3.060 MHz and 3.500 - 3.560 MHz.
>
> Mostly cariers are heard - with occasional 'ringing' and voice traffic
> heard ...
>
> Tracking the sources down results in a pegged S-meter on the HF
> receiver when parked in the street outside a residence ...
>
> These sources can be heard almost a mile away ..
>
> Driving into Dallas each day from from south of McKinney - different
> signals
> can be heard while traversing mobile through Allen, Plano, Richardson
> and
> into Dallas ...
>
> What gives????

1875. 200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timothy Sullivan <interlake@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7hvsku$db0$2@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118249

for sale 130 or best offer eed to sell asap two batteries

1876. Looking for Bridge-Tunnel freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <radiodj@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <374376b1@grouper.exis.net>
Source record: 118250

Trying to find the frequency for the
Chesapeake Bay Bridge-Tunnel police in
Virginia. I have all the other bridges
& tunnels, most at 453.850.

The Bay Bridge-Tunnel is 17 miles long,
so I suspect they may be using VHF.

Thanx in advance.

1877. Re: Errant telephone/voice traffic at 3.007 - 3.550 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jvpoll@dallas.net>
Message-ID: <37437EA8.D66A5461@dallas.net>
Source record: 118251

Nope - not the pre-'84 phones ... know that technology well.

They used NBFM on the 'downlink' 1.7 MHz side too - and this
seems to be - believe it or not - AM. I've not been close to a
clean one to tell if I was 'slope detecting' a wide FM carrier or
whether it was AM - so don't quote me ...

Never encountered this many 'carriers' showing up in the 75/80 Meter
band back then (same receiver) from that style phone either ...

This is something new - and for something that probably isn't
supposed to radiate - the range is incredible!

I cannot overemphasize how many of these 'things' are out there
either ...

There are literally DOZENS heard on my 25 mile drive into
downtown Dallas each morning ... DOZENS!!

Signed:

- A long time - "Skybird, Skybird do not answer - message follows ..."
listner -

Jim

Robert H. Eisner wrote:

> Hi Jim,
>
> It's possible that you may be picking up one of the pre-1984 Cordless
> Phones which operated in 1.6 Mhz to 1.8 Mhz range. Some of the early
> Uniden and Cobra Cordless Phones generated some very strong harmonics
> which could be heard almost a mile away in the 3.2 Mhz - 3.6 Mhz band.
>
> Bob
>
> Jim wrote:
> >
> > I stumbled - quite by accident - on telephone traffic in the HF band ..
> >
> > Notably in the range of : 3.007 - 3.060 MHz and 3.500 - 3.560 MHz.
> >
> > Mostly cariers are heard - with occasional 'ringing' and voice traffic
> > heard ...
> >
> > Tracking the sources down results in a pegged S-meter on the HF

> > receiver when parked in the street outside a residence ...
> >
> > These sources can be heard almost a mile away ..
> >
> > Driving into Dallas each day from from south of McKinney - different
> > signals
> > can be heard while traversing mobile through Allen, Plano, Richardson
> > and
> > into Dallas ...
> >
> > What gives????

1878. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37437ED5.4BE4@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc
,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

David wrote:
>
> Please remove cross post to rec.radio.amateur.dx
>
> RADIO MAN wrote:
>
> > Mother Nature wrote:
> > >
> > > Hi from soc.penpals and let me say that all of this technical talk is
> > > making me hot......<g>
> > >
> > > "H. Adam Stevens" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > No, I'm saying that if you want the same license I have, pass comparable tests.
> > > > Is CW relevant? The Navy thinks not. It's still valid and satisfying.
> > > > I'm no 35 WPM Extra, just an Advanced. I took my last code test at the Dallas
> > > > FCC office in April of 1964, 13 WPM. The damn government already stole my First
> > > > Phone, I don't want it to redefine my ham license, too. Just create a new
> > > > ticket? Been done.
> > > > The service does need to evolve with technology, no one uses spark much.
> > > > I have no simple solution.
> > > > 73
> > > > H.
> > > > WA5IGW
> > > >
> > > > RADIO MAN wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Sir wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What apparently is not obvious to you is that the rest of us don't care.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You all contribute to the thread, so you DO all care, else I would be
> > > > > > the only one and there would be no thread. I did not start the thread,
> > > > > > and it appears to be the most popular topic here right now. Even YOU
> > > > > > can not resist reading and replying to this.
> > > > > > The thing about Morse Code is that it seems that the OLD TIMERS are
> > > > > > saying that we did it and you should too. That is baloney. What some
> > > > > > cheese with that wine?
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> > > > > > FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!
> > OOOHHH!!!

> > --
> > RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> > FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1879. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37438097.2BC9@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> Jim Wayda wrote in message <3742D147.215F6AA0@home.com>...
> >Totally impossible!!
> >
> >Keith Bennett wrote:
> >>
> >> Whilst getting some diesel fuel at the filling station yesterday I
> >> noticed this guy at another pump who was filling with petrol (gas) and
> >> was happily chatting on a cell phone at the same time.
> >> I went over to him and politely asked him to stop using the phone.
> >> He asked me why and I said that with the amount of gasoline vapour about
> >> he could probably activate an explosion! He decided to quit using the
> >> phone!!
WOW, WHAT A NEAT IDEA.
Now here is another one. If the person in the car, next to you, is
using a freaking cell phone and the windows are rolled down; roll down
your music and crank up the volume on your audio system. Now the only
problem is are they talking about a medical emergency. Would that get
you in trouble then?
> >>
>
> Just goes to show that people are mindless sheep that will believe
> anything - why else do you think con-men can steal millions from an
> unsuspecting public?
>
> If someone told me this supposed explosion theory I would have laughed in
> his face.
>
> -Phil
>
> -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
> -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1880. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37438191.5704@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <YEx03.1493$G4.5545@news1.iquest.net>, D Best
> <dbest@iquest.net> writes
> >
> >Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote in message
> >news:eNKu+VBWQnQ3EwXQ@virgin.net...
> >> In article <3742378C.BB38546A@rconnect.com>, Mark Brown
> >> <kb0pyo@rconnect.com> writes
> >> >When are you idiots going to learn some nettiquette, CROSS
> >> >POSTING TO GROUPS WHERE THIS DOESN'T BELONG IS IN BAD FORM
> >> >PLEASE CEASE & DESIST.
> >>
> >> I AM IN ENTIRE AGREEMENT WITH YOU, THIS IS REALLY BAD FORM.
> >> WE HAVE GOT TO STOP THIS CRAZY CROSSPOSTING TO REC.TELEVISION.AMATEURS
> >> ONLY WHERE WILL IT ALL END?
> >>
> >
> >It won't, so I think you might save yourselves some heartache and look at
> >the subject before you read the message -- or just ignore the thread
> >altogehter. Is that really so difficult?
> >
> Well naturally I read the subject matter and thought here is an item
> about Inspector Morse. No doubt you have heard of Inspector Morse?
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
No, but i have heard of Inspector Gadget.
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1881. Re: Marine Frequencies Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3743848B.2ED6@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <3741E41F.44D5@ameritech.net>, RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@a
> meritech.net> writes
> >Robert wrote:
> >>
> >> Here's another source:
> >>
> >> http://www.navcen.uscg.mil/marcomms/vhf.htm
> >>
> >That was very interesting.
> Yeah. Really interesting!
> Now if only I could tune into BBC-Radio 2 with my 4 valve HMV car radio!
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
4 vavle HMV car radio? What is that?
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1882. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mother Nature <m_nature@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37438215.1DB5FBEE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 118256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Danny wrote:
>
> Mother Nature wrote:
> >
> > Hi from soc.penpals and let me say that all of this technical talk is
> > making me hot......<g>
>
> Well then 350 KHz, AM, FM. Are you butter yet?
>
> Danny
> --

I'm melting as we speak.....I might just need a cold shower...oops, not
a good idea. I might get a spark and get tingly all over!!!!!!!

> Computers are like Old Testament gods; lots of rules and no mercy. -
> Joseph Campbell

1883. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374382F3.66E6@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> Morse Code is a "turd in a punch bowl". It is the reason that ham radio
> will die. It is really unfortunate.
>
> D Best wrote:
> >
> > Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote in message
> > news:eNKu+VBWQnQ3EwXQ@virgin.net...
> > > In article <3742378C.BB38546A@rconnect.com>, Mark Brown
> > > <kb0pyo@rconnect.com> writes
> > > >When are you idiots going to learn some nettiquette, CROSS
> > > >POSTING TO GROUPS WHERE THIS DOESN'T BELONG IS IN BAD FORM
> > > >PLEASE CEASE & DESIST.
> > > >
> > > I AM IN ENTIRE AGREEMENT WITH YOU, THIS IS REALLY BAD FORM.
> > > WE HAVE GOT TO STOP THIS CRAZY CROSSPOSTING TO REC.TELEVISION.AMATEURS
> > > ONLY WHERE WILL IT ALL END?
> > >
> > It won't, so I think you might save yourselves some heartache and look at
> > the subject before you read the message -- or just ignore the thread
> > altogehter. Is that really so difficult?
> >
> > >
> > > --
> > > From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> > > Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> > > trojan.horse@virgin.net
> > > [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> > > http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> > > UIN #3457372
> > > http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> > > wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> > > 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
It is all about the fact that we did it and so should you
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!
```

1884. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37438563.3DE9@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118258
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> >Jim Kehler wrote:
> >>
> >> Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >There are going to be a lot of posts to newsgroups that don't happen to
> >> >have subject matter that is of personal interest to you. Lots of YOUR
> >> >posts will not have any interest to lots of other people too.
> >>
> >> You appear to be so engrossed in your efforts to convince the rest
> >> of the world that you are incapable of attaining anything in life that
> >> requires effort that you seem to have totally missed the point.
> >>
> >> The reason we have thousands of newsgroups is because the world is
> >> filled with millions of people with different interests.
> >>
> >> Although your inability to learn Morse code seems to be the current
> >> focus in YOUR life, the rest of us don't necessarily view your lack
> >> of motivation as an earthshaking event.
> >>
> >> REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY was created with the sole intent
> >> of giving you a forum in which to air your views. Please feel free to
> >> use it to your hearts content.
> >>
> >> Posting your Morse code dribble in multiple newsgroups is not going
> >> to gain you any support. Many unmotivated whiners before you have
> >> proven this point.
> >>
> >> Some people have things they want in life because they are willing to
> >> expend the effort required to obtain those things, whatever that effort
> >> may be. It is obvious to us that you are not one of those people.
> >>
> >> What apparently is not obvious to you is that the rest of us don't care.
> Yeah. I found this really interesting. But what has all this got to do
> with Soc Penpals? I don't really care anything about radio
> communications of any sort. So why not just keep this on the boards that
> apply and take it off soc.penpals. As far as I am concerned amateur
> radio is atrocious, even worse than CB used to be here. I have never
> heard of so much crap on any wavebands before. How these people get
> operating licenses is beyond me.
>
> --

> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
From what little I understand about this is that the central/federal
goverments of each nation is the licensing agency. Go figure, eh?
Just a bunch of political hot air wind bags giving licenses to wind bags
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1885. Re: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>"@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <374382EF.D0DAC7B5@qsl.net>
Source record: 118259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

"John R. Rosenberg" wrote:
>
> "Robert Milligan" <Milligar@btinternet.com> wrote:
>
> >The idea of a newsgroup is to share info and not to ipose censorship or
> >ideals on what info an individual wishes to ask about or to share with
> >likeminded people.
> >
> > This self imposed censorship is I find arrogant, annoying,
> >immature and
> >very dangerous.
> >
> >Rich Wells, N2MCA wrote in message <373FECA9.AB7047D0@strongsignals.net>...
> >>To All New Scanner Users,
> >> If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
> >>a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
> >>asked on the scanner newsgroups.
> >>
> >> Topics include :
> [excellent topic list deleted}
>
> I didn't hear any censorship, or imposition of ideals. in Rich's
> original post. I didn't hear him say that new scannists should not post
> questions to the newsgroup. I heard him point to his web site, which is
> chock-full or information on scanning, and is, in my opinion, a great
> place for a new scannist, or somene thinking about getting into
> scanning, to find out information about the hobby, about equipment,
> tricks of the trade etc.
>
> Rich's web page is an invaluable resource to the old-timer and the
> newcomer alike. I reference it nearly every day to get the latest news
> updates. And Rich himself has been both very quick and very willing to
> reply to my infrequent e-mail questions. Certainly not arrogant or
> dangerous.
>
> You might want to check out the web site before slamming it. I thinks
> it's both well-intentioned and very effective.
>
> John Rosenberg
> KB9UJH

Very well said! To paraphrase what they say on Rush Limbaugh's show
"DITTOS JOHN"

"73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
<'}}}>< <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}>< <'}}}><
E-Mail me at: kb9tmp@qsl.net
Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
Church URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/
Wonder Valley Camp URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in2/wvc

1886. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Fisher <klfisher@compusmart.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <927176689.761.9@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118260

The StrongSignals site is in my opinion one of the best, if not the best scanner related site I have ever seen. Professionalism, I think should be his middle name. I think Rich should be deeply thanked for his contribution to our hobby. Lets just get on with it ... and spend it scanning instead !!

Just my 2 cents ..

Kevin Fisher
klfisher@compusmart.ab.ca

Piero Sassu wrote in message <3742E23E.215FB475@worldlynx.net>...
>I think Rich Wells has a great web site. He always has informative and
>interesting comments to add to the newsgroups. I guess you just can't
>make everyone happy all the time.
>
>Piero - N3FVG

1887. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <philkane>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnarpbzzynjpragrepbz.fc0alf6.pminews@nntp1.ba.best.com>
Source record: 118261
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 19 May 1999 23:47:48 +1000, Peter Brown wrote:

>> They will perform wiretaps at the exchange. That was ASIO's
>> concern before GSM was introduced. Teltra et al had to promise
>> that access was available and the system was not 'totally'
>> secure.

As it should be.

> Good theory but NOT in practice as all Mobile intercepts got
> via Canberra and back to the agency to prevent abuse by the
> agency with the warrant. This was implemented some time ago now.

> Mind you, you need a good brief to get a warrant for
> intercepting a mobile phone...

As it should be.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

1888. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <BJxpPXA7fzQ3Ew1t@virgin.net>
Source record: 118262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Really: Try a little experiment for me then. Just stand at a gas station whilst somebody is refuelling and induce just a small spark! If you have never seen a gasoline vapor explosion and the resulting skin burns then maybe you'll think again. Any transmitter is dangerous under those conditions, and a cell phone is still a transceiver with operating keys. Then again you are justly entitled to your opinion as I am and I prefer to play along the lines of common sense and public safety. No doubt you are quite happy to smoke a cigarette whilst refuelling your car!

In article <3742D147.215F6AA0@home.com>, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> writes
>Totally impossible!!
>
>Keith Bennett wrote:
>>
>> Whilst getting some diesel fuel at the filling station yesterday I
>> noticed this guy at another pump who was filling with petrol (gas) and
>> was happily chatting on a cell phone at the same time.
>> I went over to him and politely asked him to stop using the phone.
>> He asked me why and I said that with the amount of gasoline vapour about
>> he could probably activate an explosion! He decided to quit using the
>> phone!!
>>
>> --
>> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
>> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
>> trojan.horse@virgin.net
>> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
>> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
>> UIN #3457372
>> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
>> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
>> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1889. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <6pFnHaApnzQ3Ewx3@virgin.net>
Source record: 118263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Well Phil have you ever seen the results of a gasoline vapor explosion?
No of course you have not! But I have. And the resultant skin burns are
not pretty to see. Any electronic device is capable of producing short
circuits and sparks. Activating cellphone keypads may or may not induce
an electrical connection, however small, but you can bet your bottom
dollar that if there was such a fault produced then you would be the
poor schmuck standing there pumping unleaded gas into your car! We here
in the UK do not have vapor vacuums fitted to the gas pumps, so the
whole area around a busy market gas station is full of gas fumes.
All I am considering is the personal safety of my family and myself, and
if you don't like it Phil you can go and stuff yourself!

In article <7humm6$3av$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>, Phil Smalley
<ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> writes
>
>Jim Wayda wrote in message <3742D147.215F6AA0@home.com>...
>>Totally impossible!!
>>
>>Keith Bennett wrote:
>>>
>>> Whilst getting some diesel fuel at the filling station yesterday I
>>> noticed this guy at another pump who was filling with petrol (gas) and
>>> was happily chatting on a cell phone at the same time.
>>> I went over to him and politely asked him to stop using the phone.
>>> He asked me why and I said that with the amount of gasoline vapour about
>>> he could probably activate an explosion! He decided to quit using the
>>> phone!!
>>>
>
>
>Just goes to show that people are mindless sheep that will believe
>anything - why else do you think con-men can steal millions from an
>unsuspecting public?
>
>If someone told me this supposed explosion theory I would have laughed in
>his face.
>
>-Phil
>
>-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
>-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>

>

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1890. scanner that can do????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ALBERT M FURLOW <ALBEHAM@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7i2fij$pa6$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 118264

Hello outthere, I am looking for a scanner that will let me do all of the bands, and at the same time scan the weather freqs, in a back ground mode, so when the there is a alert it will open up to let me hear the warning. is there any such scanner??

albeham@prodigy.net

1891. WTB: Optocom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: JFW <lebear@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <7huib1nhq2@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 118287

lebear@cyberhighway.net

1892. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <3742D147.215F6AA0@home.com>
Source record: 118288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Totally impossible!!

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> Whilst getting some diesel fuel at the filling station yesterday I
> noticed this guy at another pump who was filling with petrol (gas) and
> was happily chatting on a cell phone at the same time.
> I went over to him and politely asked him to stop using the phone.
> He asked me why and I said that with the amount of gasoline vapour about
> he could probably activate an explosion! He decided to quit using the
> phone!!
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1893. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <3742D0BA.1FBCBBB4@home.com>
Source record: 118289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Morse Code is a "turd in a punch bowl". It is the reason that ham radio
will die. It is really unfortunate.

D Best wrote:
>
> Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote in message
> news:eNKu+VBWQnQ3EwXQ@virgin.net...
> > In article <3742378C.BB38546A@rconnect.com>, Mark Brown
> > <kb0pyo@rconnect.com> writes
> > >When are you idiots going to learn some nettiquette, CROSS
> > >POSTING TO GROUPS WHERE THIS DOESN'T BELONG IS IN BAD FORM
> > >PLEASE CEASE & DESIST.
> >
> > I AM IN ENTIRE AGREEMENT WITH YOU, THIS IS REALLY BAD FORM.
> > WE HAVE GOT TO STOP THIS CRAZY CROSSPOSTING TO REC.TELEVISION.AMATEURS
> > ONLY WHERE WILL IT ALL END?
>
> It won't, so I think you might save yourselves some heartache and look at
> the subject before you read the message -- or just ignore the thread
> altogehter. Is that really so difficult?
>
> >
> > --
> > From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> > Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> > trojan.horse@virgin.net
> > [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> > http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> > UIN #3457372
> > http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> > wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> > 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1894. Re: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnnyr@ihgp2.ih.lucent.com
Message-ID: <7huisn$208@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 118290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

"Robert Milligan" <Milligar@btinternet.com> wrote:

>The idea of a newsgroup is to share info and not to ipose censorship or
>ideals on what info an individual wishes to ask about or to share with
>likeminded people.
>
> This self imposed censorship is I find arrogant, annoying,
>immature and
>very dangerous.
>
>Rich Wells, N2MCA wrote in message <373FECA9.AB7047D0@strongsignals.net>...
>>To All New Scanner Users,
>> If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
>>a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
>>asked on the scanner newsgroups.
>>
>> Topics include :
[excellent topic list deleted}

I didn't hear any censorship, or imposition of ideals. in Rich's original post. I didn't hear him say that new scannists should not post questions to the newsgroup. I heard him point to his web site, which is chock-full or information on scanning, and is, in my opinion, a great place for a new scannist, or somene thinking about getting into scanning, to find out information about the hobby, about equipment, tricks of the trade etc.

Rich's web page is an invaluable resource to the old-timer and the newcomer alike. I reference it nearly every day to get the latest news updates. And Rich himself has been both very quick and very willing to reply to my infrequent e-mail questions. Certainly not arrogant or dangerous.

You might want to check out the web site before slamming it. I thinks it's both well-intentioned and very effective.

John Rosenberg
KB9UJH

1895. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7huk9l$53d$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Peter's right.

People seem to keep loosing sight of the fact this is RADIO RECEPTION!

Cell Phones aren't TOTALLY wireless, just wireless to the end user.
They still go through a network, and with the right software it doesn't
MATTER what the tower uses to talk to the end user.

But when the 'authorities' want to listen at an 'account', they have to
go through channels and have the right paperwork, ie warrant.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

Peter Brown wrote in message ...
>>Well then how will the Aussie goverment listen to possible drug deals,
>>eh?
>
>
>Barry it's simple. They apply for a warrant as they HAVE to by LAW and
go
>from there!!!!!
>
>

1896. Re: BC 9000XLT & Cell Phone Comms.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990519111318.00983.00003140@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 118292

>From: "Ted" <eeevvv@hotmail.com>
>Date: 05-19-1999 06:46 EST
>
>The BC9000XLT will clearly receive cell phone comms if two of the default
>settings on the front panel are changed. E-mail me at eeevvv@hotmail.com
>for instructions.

Gee, you mean like if you search the 360-400MHz range and change the default from AM to NFM, and the steps from 12.5 to 5kHz? Oh, sorry, I hope you weren't trying to sell that information like other folks have done.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1897. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: clausen <mclausen@portup.com>
Message-ID: <uuA03.36$3f1.997@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 118293

Bryan Stethem wrote in message <7huh7oaov1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>...
>This AM I downloaded all the messages from this group as usual. I read a
>post from a guy who thinks Rich Wells' web site is arrogant, blah, blah,
and
>very dangerous. Right in the middle of my reply my system crashed and now
>I can't seem to get that message reloaded so I can't quote directly from
it.
>If someone can forward that message to my e-mail address I would appreciate
>it.
>
>The gist of it was that this guy was offended by Rich suggesting to newbies
>not to ask certain questions of the group. His guide for new users of this
>news group is very informative and far from arrogant. Rich has assembled a
>great deal of information and displays it in a professional manner. Anyone
>with an ounce of intelligence could view his web site and understand his
>intent.
>
>Am I wrong? Is Rich Wells the new "Big Brother" using subliminal messages
>at his site to make us think just like him? Or is this complainer just
>another paranoid looking for something to bitch about?

I emailed Rich a question a few days ago and got a well worded, thoughtful
response. Now considering that it seems like every other posting to this
group mentions his site, he more than likely gets alot of visitors and alot
of e-mail. Since he took time to fully answer my question (and I'm sure alot
of others) yes I would agree that the "troubled" person mentioned above is
simply upset because his father didn't tell him that he loved him enough.
Rich has a great site with tons of valuable info, and and a true desire to
help others understand all the aspects of this hobby. Good job Rich.

Matt
(no funny or provocative message)

1898. Re: A OS456/2006/Probe/trunker trunking question.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11ac892e99f6905b98979c@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118294

Yes, all except the EDACS system. In a couple of months they are coming
out with the software that will "do it all" however. So a little patience
will go a long way.......

1899. Re: ICOM R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11ac89d08578550598979d@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118295

about the only thing you "CAN'T" do with the R2 is scan specific groups of banks together. It only gives you the choice of ALL or single BANK. I find I can live with this as it's superior sensitivity has brought new listening to the table. The "range scan" was a bit tough to figure out based on the manuals explanation, but now I understand so it is easy.

1900. Re: Pro-26 Virtual Down Conversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7humd7$351$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 118296

Squeeze the Weeze wrote in message <01bea1b7$a34be620$d0f3eecd@godzirra>...
>DONT DO IT..... Not just cuz of the law, but you
>could toast the chip that switches the bandpass filters
>in the front end!

It simply amazes me how many people are willing to hose a perfectly good radio just to listen to stupid cell phones. I see so many posts in here that all say basically the same thing: "I just bought a brand new (insert scanner model here) and I want to know how to modify it to receive cell phones." Yeah, OK genius, so what happens when that scanner decides to poop out when it's a month old, and the service center won't fix it because they say the cause was your wonderful modification? Even if it was a defect, there's no way to prove it now...

And suppose said scanner is now out of warranty? Do people have so much disposable income that they can afford to throw away their old scanners if they break? I don't, and my salary is higher than the average joe. I'd be really upset if I had to replace some of my scanners, because you can't just stroll into a store and buy them anymore. It would take a lot of digging and bartering to find another PRO 2026 for example, and I'd probably end up paying more than I should just to replace it.

Don't get me wrong, it's fun to open up the case and tinker around, but only AFTER the original warranty is long gone. Doing anything before that is just plain foolish IMHO, and if you really can't live without that scanner you should leave it the hell alone.

You wanna listen to cellular phones? There are plenty of websites that detail how to do just that with an old brick phone, and it works better than any scanner (or banks of scanners) ever could. Stop by any search engine you like and see for yourself.

--
-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

1901. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7humm6$3av$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 118297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Jim Wayda wrote in message <3742D147.215F6AA0@home.com>...
>Totally impossible!!
>
>Keith Bennett wrote:
>>
>> Whilst getting some diesel fuel at the filling station yesterday I
>> noticed this guy at another pump who was filling with petrol (gas) and
>> was happily chatting on a cell phone at the same time.
>> I went over to him and politely asked him to stop using the phone.
>> He asked me why and I said that with the amount of gasoline vapour about
>> he could probably activate an explosion! He decided to quit using the
>> phone!!
>>

Just goes to show that people are mindless sheep that will believe
anything - why else do you think con-men can steal millions from an
unsuspecting public?

If someone told me this supposed explosion theory I would have laughed in
his face.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

1902. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David A. Lovell" <electra@tcon.net>
Message-ID: <3742fcfb@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 118298

Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com> wrote in message
news:7huh7oaov1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net...
> This AM I downloaded all the messages from this group as usual. I read a
> post from a guy who thinks Rich Wells' web site is arrogant, blah, blah,
and
> very dangerous. Right in the middle of my reply my system crashed and
now
> I can't seem to get that message reloaded so I can't quote directly from
it.
> If someone can forward that message to my e-mail address I would
appreciate
> it.
>
> The gist of it was that this guy was offended by Rich suggesting to
newbies
> not to ask certain questions of the group. His guide for new users of
this
> news group is very informative and far from arrogant. Rich has assembled
a
> great deal of information and displays it in a professional manner.
Anyone
> with an ounce of intelligence could view his web site and understand his
> intent.
>
> Am I wrong? Is Rich Wells the new "Big Brother" using subliminal messages
> at his site to make us think just like him? Or is this complainer just
> another paranoid looking for something to bitch about?
>
>
Just in passing - we are very thankful for folks like Rich Wells who take
the time and energy
to review new scanners - our new TigerScan got some nice P.R. from Rich -
for which he received no
compensation - perhaps those who are really serious about the hobby/utility
of
scanning will notice the effort put into his site and objectively see it for
what it is -
a valuable scanning enthusiasts resource.
Sincerely,
Dave Lovell - RF Engineer/President
Electra Corporation
Makers of Tiger Scan
www.electracorp.com

1903. Re: BC 9000XLT & Cell Phone Comms.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3742E290.2C20705A@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 118299

Ted wrote:
>
> The BC9000XLT will clearly receive cell phone comms if two of the default
> settings on the front panel are changed. E-mail me at eeevvv@hotmail.com
> for instructions.
> Ted

Can you e-mail me the instructions?

Thanks,
Piero
n3fvg@worldlynx.net

1904. Re: Satellites and SSTV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7hunn0sdu1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 118300

In article <37413da6.37294135@news.3web.net>, bonedwarf@nospam.hotmail.com says...
>
>I have a Pro-67. WAY cool scanner, but I have a question.
>
>I see all this stuff about weather satellites, SSTV etc... What I was
>wondering is do I stand a chance of picking anything like this up?
>(See below for freq. range) I can pick up LOTS of digital crap, but
>have NO clue what it is. (Aside from the pager ones.)
>
>The scanner covers
>
>29-54
>108-174
>406-512
>800-1000 (minus cell)
>
>Any interesting info would be appreciated. I`ve found the usual stuff
>(except the local police are digital only which SUCKS) but i`m curious
>as to whether i`m maybe missing something really interesting.
>
>Thanks
>
>
>--
>Something catchy should go here
Here is some stuff I culled from the ham.space ng:
NOAA-9: APT 137.62 Mhz
NOAA-10: APT 137.50 Mhz
NOAA-11: APT 137.62 Mhz
NOAA-12: APT 137.50 Mhz
From: "KF4FDJ (Mike in Ft. Myers, FL)" <seven77@gate.net>

NOAA-14: 137.62 MHz
NOAA-15: 137.5 MHz
Are NOAA-9 through 11 still transmitting?
From: marsgal42@hotmail.com

Nope both are down 9 totaly and 11 is on standby.
From: Bigdad <Bigdad@rworld.com>

These signals are FM. And your bandwidth really needs to be 40 to 50KHZ,
most scanners provide 15KHz and some(Pro2004/5/6) also give you 200KHz.
The 15KHz is really too narrow, but you can sometimes get an image.
The 200KHz is too wide, and it lets in too much excess noise,

but you can get very good images with a good antenna and an overhead pass.
Do a net search for WXSat, a very good freware soundcard program to
display NOAA APT WXSAt iamges and ideed fax on the HF (SW) bands as weel
Good viewing..

1905. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3742E23E.215FB475@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 118301

I think Rich Wells has a great web site. He always has informative and interesting comments to add to the newsgroups. I guess you just can't make everyone happy all the time.

Piero - N3FVG

1906. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7hup3c$iji@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118302

In <7huh7oaov1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net> "Bryan Stethem"
<bstethem@attco.com> writes:
>
>This AM I downloaded all the messages from this group as usual. I
read a
>post from a guy who thinks Rich Wells' web site is arrogant, blah,
blah, and
>very dangerous.

OK counters: is this thread going to
pass the mark set by Bill Cheek's
postings to and fro....? do we have
a new winner here? A new name for the
idiot of mackeral to go after?

stay tuned.

who is keeping score?

bill conduit
etc.

1907. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7huovu$n0c@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118303

In <3742fcfb@news.oaktree.net> "David A. Lovell" <electra@tcon.net>
writes:
>
>
>Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com> wrote in message
>news:7huh7oaov1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net...
>> This AM I downloaded all the messages from this group as usual. I
read a
>> post from a guy who thinks Rich Wells' web site is arrogant, blah,
blah,
>and
>> very dangerous.

these people who complain must
be the current crop of
the idiot of mackeral
"school for dum-dummery
complain-complain-complain
becaue we have nothing else
to do" dept.

these must be the creme of the crop
of people who paid .29 for the
course. you take the course
while dropping your dump during
classroom time...

bill conduit
etc.

1908. New place to discuss TH-D7(A/D) and packet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: VL <iden1000@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YYB03.8832$J74.759452@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 118304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/TH-D7

1909. Re: Need Tony Stewart IRL/Indy 500 freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracy jones <tracyjones@cinergy.com>
Message-ID: <01bea217$97666410$884214ac@H1VFT4>
Source record: 118305

Jeff Doyle <boscoj@dnai.com> wrote in article
<374221EC.F69826EE@dnai.com>...
: IRL? or lights?
IRL/Indy 500.
:
:
:
: tracyjones@cinergy.com wrote:
:
: > I need these frequencies:
: >
: > #22 Tony Stewart 467.7625, 468.4375
: >
: > #32 Glidden/Menards car ?? huh??
:
: > #41 A.J. Foyt car ??
:
The #32 and #41 cars are on the official Indy 500 entry list with drivers
to be announced.
www.indy500.com

Thanks to whomever posted the #22 freqs.

Tracy

1910. Re: AOR3000/ICOMR1/PRO2037/PRO2006 ALL 4 SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990519125613.09310.00002560@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 118306

ALL ITEMS SOLD

THANKS

1911. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Choco Boco <tumbs@meow.com>
Message-ID: <7huqab$4en$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 118307

Arizona DPS (Highway Patrol) is currently testing 3 of the S70's and 2 S90's. All five are painted like the regular DPS cars and look really slick. Wow. I was chatting with one of the officers about his test unit a few weeks ago (I was at Burger King and he was in the lot.) He was telling me that they are faster and more comfortable than the Crown Vic's and Caprice's. He also said that Volvo has agreed to buy them back when the cars get a 100,000 miles on them for a pre-arranged amount.

Volvo has made a good move with that one. Besides, we all know that Volvo's are safer than the Crown Vics ever will be..

Choco

1912. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <1Q205GAnvqQ3Ewir@virgin.net>
Source record: 118308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <YEx03.1493$G4.5545@news1.iquest.net>, D Best
<dbest@iquest.net> writes
>
>Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote in message
>news:eNKu+VBWQnQ3EwXQ@virgin.net...
>> In article <3742378C.BB38546A@rconnect.com>, Mark Brown
>> <kb0pyo@rconnect.com> writes
>> >When are you idiots going to learn some nettiquette, CROSS
>> >POSTING TO GROUPS WHERE THIS DOESN'T BELONG IS IN BAD FORM
>> >PLEASE CEASE & DESIST.
>>
>> I AM IN ENTIRE AGREEMENT WITH YOU, THIS IS REALLY BAD FORM.
>> WE HAVE GOT TO STOP THIS CRAZY CROSSPOSTING TO REC.TELEVISION.AMATEURS
>> ONLY WHERE WILL IT ALL END?
>
>
>It won't, so I think you might save yourselves some heartache and look at
>the subject before you read the message -- or just ignore the thread
>altogehter. Is that really so difficult?
>
Well naturally I read the subject matter and thought here is an item
about Inspector Morse. No doubt you have heard of Inspector Morse?

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1913. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Hatfield <tedh@ccms.net>
Message-ID: <3742F523.4A945AB2@ccms.net>
Source record: 118309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

The GPS has to have a antenna, just wrap some Reynolds wrap around it.

RADIO MAN wrote:

> Bob Gardner wrote:
> >
> > Still gonna be in a world of hurt if he tries to jam a GPS signal.
> >
> > Bob Gardner
> >
> > RADIO MAN wrote:
> >
> > > Bob Gardner wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I think you could get a nice room in Leavenworth, Kansas, with three meals a
> > > > day, exercise breaks, and lots of guys who want to be your best friend.
> > > >
> > > > Bob Gardner
> > > >
> > > > Magic 92 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > bill topolski wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. The company I work for just
> > > > > > install it in all of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it.
> > > > > > Does anybody have any suggestions?
> > > > > > Is it possible to jam?
> > > > > > Help, big brother is watching
> > > > >
> > > > > I went to a search engine, typed "gps" in the search box and found
> > > > > this:
> > > > > ---
> > > > >
> > > > > http://www.utexas.edu/depts/grg/gcraft/notes/gps/gps.html
> > > > >
> > > > > GPS Satellite Signals
> > > > >
> > > > > The SVs transmit two microwave carrier signals. The L1 frequency
> > > > > (1575.42 MHz) carries the navigation message and the SPS code signals.
> > > > >
> > > > > The L2 frequency (1227.60 MHz) is used to measure the ionospheric
> > > > > delay by PPS equipped receivers.
> > > > >
> > > > > Three binary codes shift the L1 and/or L2 carrier phase.

> > > > > The C/A Code (Coarse Acquisition) modulates the L1 carrier phase.
> > > > > The C/A code is a repeating 1 MHz Pseudo Random Noise (PRN) Code.
> > > > > This noise-like code modulates the L1 carrier signal, "spreading"
> > > > > the spectrum over a 1 MHz bandwidth.
> > > > >
> > > > > The C/A code repeats every 1023 bits (one millisecond). There is a
> > > > > different C/A code PRN for each SV. GPS satellites are often identified
> > > > > by their PRN number, the unique identifier for each pseudo-random-noise
> > > > > code. The C/A code that modulates the L1 carrier is the basis for the
> > > > > civil SPS.
> > > > >
> > > > > The P-Code (Precise) modulates both the L1 and L2 carrier phases.
> > > > > The P-Code is a very long (seven days) 10 MHz PRN code.
> > > > > In the Anti-Spoofing (AS) mode of operation, the P-Code is encrypted
> > > > > into the Y-Code.
> > > > >
> > > > > The encrypted Y-Code requires a classified AS Module for each receiver
> > > > > channel and is for use only by authorized users with cryptographic keys.
> > > > > The P (Y)-Code is the basis for the PPS.
> > > > >
> > > > > The Navigation Message also modulates the L1-C/A code signal.
> > > > > The Navigation Message is a 50 Hz signal consisting of data bits
> > > > > that describe the GPS satellite orbits, clock corrections, and other
> > > > > system parameters.
> > > > >
> > > > > GPS is funded by and controlled by the U. S. Department of Defense
> > > > > (DOD).
> > > > > While there are many thousands of civil users of GPS world-wide, the
> > > > > system was designed for and is operated by the U. S. military.
> > > > > -------------
> > > > >
> > > > > If you still want to "jam" GPS, maybe the US Department of Defense
> > > > > could answer your questions, just click here:
> > > > >
> > > > > ---> http://www.defenselink.mil/ <---
> > > I think that he does not wan them to know how many coffee breaks he
> > > takes.
> > > --
> > > RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> > > FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!
> Well it would be okey dokey if he managed to jam the signal so that the
> company that he works for cannot monitor how many rest stop/coffee
> breaks he makes, but it would not be okay to jamm the whole system.I am
> sure that he would not want the " MEN IN BLACK " in unmarked Crown Vics,
> or Caprice Classics showing up @ the front door. Right?
> --
> RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1914. Re: BC 9000XLT & Cell Phone Comms.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkmn01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990519132413.21436.00003688@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 118310

Didn't we just go through this a couple of months ago? Why not just post the supposed instructions?

1915. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkmn01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990519133458.21436.00003690@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 118311

Rich has a great site and does a great sevice to the scanning community. He obviously spends alot of his own time and money on is site and I for one appreciate it. I can't think of a more informative well done scanning site on the internet. His reveiws on equipment more informative than any others I can find anywhere. Rich is a class act all around and we could use more people like him in the hobby.

"Dkmn01, all those flames are gonna burn you up kid." " Nah, keeps me warm."

1916. Yupiteru9000-4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mburke01@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7hv0mcerl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118312

i am selling an above average condition Yup 9000
W/full coverage,leather case, batteries, original
box, and revised and improved english manual.
This unit has been used less than 5 hours total
and in excellent condiction. Paid new $875. Yes I
know the price has come down a bit. Make a
realistic offer plus $6.50 S&H to
mburke01@hotmail.com. Thanks

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1917. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7hushc$57k$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 118313

Bryan Stethem wrote in message <7huh7oaov1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>...
>This AM I downloaded all the messages from this group as usual. I read a
>post from a guy who thinks Rich Wells' web site is arrogant, blah, blah,
and
>very dangerous.
>
>The gist of it was that this guy was offended by Rich suggesting to newbies
>not to ask certain questions of the group.

Well, that figures. I'm sure Rich knows as well as anyone that "there's
always one" or in the case of rec.radio.scanner, there's "one" with multiple
personalities (who has been really quiet lately, maybe he found a new forum
to harass? Let's hope...);-)

Seriously, these kind of people are to be expected. Those of us who visit
Rich's excellent site regularly know better... Best just to ignore such
spurious ramblings from the uneducated.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

1918. Thanks to Rich !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "W. Charles Alexander" <charlie@netset.com>
Message-ID: <7hut4tqec1@athena.netset.com>
Source record: 118314

I have used Rich's site a lot to get information.
I am Very pleased that he shares his hard work with all of us,
and for free yet!
so
Thank you Rich !
You are appreciated

--
==========
73 de charlie
W. Charles Alexander
KC8IKG 39.951 N 83.124 W
Monitoring the World from Columbus, Ohio USA

Kenwood TS-870; Drake R7, R8B
Antennas: 33 Ft Off-Cneter Fed Dipoles, 45 Ft Longwires
Slinky Dipole and a Dressler ARA 60 Active Antenna (All In Attic)
==========

David A. Lovell <electra@tcon.net> wrote in message
news:3742fcfb@news.oaktree.net...
>
> Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com> wrote in message
> news:7huh7oaov1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net...
> > This AM I downloaded all the messages from this group as usual. I read
a
> > post from a guy who thinks Rich Wells' web site is arrogant, blah, blah,
> and
> > very dangerous. Right in the middle of my reply my system crashed and
> now
> > I can't seem to get that message reloaded so I can't quote directly from
> it.
> > If someone can forward that message to my e-mail address I would
> appreciate
> > it.
> >
> > The gist of it was that this guy was offended by Rich suggesting to
> newbies
> > not to ask certain questions of the group. His guide for new users of
> this
> > news group is very informative and far from arrogant. Rich has
assembled
> a
> > great deal of information and displays it in a professional manner.
> Anyone

> > with an ounce of intelligence could view his web site and understand his
> > intent.
> >
> > Am I wrong? Is Rich Wells the new "Big Brother" using subliminal
messages
> > at his site to make us think just like him? Or is this complainer just
> > another paranoid looking for something to bitch about?
> >
> >
> Just in passing - we are very thankful for folks like Rich Wells who take
> the time and energy
> to review new scanners - our new TigerScan got some nice P.R. from Rich -
> for which he received no
> compensation - perhaps those who are really serious about the
hobby/utility
> of
> scanning will notice the effort put into his site and objectively see it
for
> what it is -
> a valuable scanning enthusiasts resource.
> Sincerely,
> Dave Lovell - RF Engineer/President
> Electra Corporation
> Makers of Tiger Scan
> www.electracorp.com
>
>
>

1919. Re: BC 9000XLT & Cell Phone Comms.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7huvc5$67u$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 118315

Dkmn01 wrote in message <19990519132413.21436.00003688@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
>Didn't we just go through this a couple of months ago? Why not just post
the
>supposed instructions?

JT already did. (Thanks JT!)

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

1920. Concorde

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew Wilson <Andrew@yeomanspc.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <374308A3.75D11C59@yeomanspc.freeserve.co.uk>
Source record: 118316

If anyone can follow the Concorde (on VHF) (Speedbird 003) in it's
decent into JFK could contact me and let me know.

Thanks,

Andy
Andrew@yeomanspc.freeserve.co.uk

1921. WTB.2005.or.2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jack <jack@ncia.net>
Message-ID: <37431015.4B605D03@ncia.net>
Source record: 118317

Looking to buy a pro 2005 or 2006 Scanner..Must be a good price.Thanks...Jack

1922. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: rhenry@hereintown.net
Message-ID: <374418a1.1315555@news.hereintown.net>
Source record: 118318

On Tue, 18 May 1999 17:49:07 GMT, frussle@erols.com (Jake Brodsky)
wrote:

>I honestly hope that some day in the future a real journalist will
>listen to a conversation covered by the radio secrecy act or the ECPA
>--and report on it anyway for its newsworthiness.

Real journalists? They're all dead and gone, and real journalism
along with them.

1923. Re: Problematic Bearcat 300- Please Read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Rizos <jrizos@nac.net>
Message-ID: <37431F72.CA540873@nac.net>
Source record: 118319

Hi-
Thanks SO much for the help- Ill get the cap from work tomorrow and hopefully I'll be in business. Thanks again

Greg KC2EJB

W6JRF wrote:

> Greg, C98, a either 22uf/16VDC or 47uf/25VDC capacitor in series with the
> primary of a small switching transformer on the RF board has gone bad. I had
> the same problem. 69Cents at radio shack for a new 47uf and it is back in
> service. The transformer is a very small unit unlike the main power
> transformer. I had to use a magnifying glass to find it but C98 is stamped on
> the chassis along side of this surface mount cap. Good luck. 73's Bob, W6JRF.

1924. Re: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: footafoota@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7hva5rlov1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

Mr. Milligan,

Exactly how far up your crawl did you have to place your head in order
to come up with such an idiotic statement? Sheesh, get a life.

In article <7hsj4qld1@uranium.btinternet.com>,
"Robert Milligan" <Milligar@btinternet.com> wrote:
> The idea of a newsgroup is to share info and not to ipose censorship
or
> ideals on what info an individual wishes to ask about or to share with
> likeminded people.
>
> This self imposed censorship is I find arrogant, annoying,
immature and
> very dangerous.
>
> Rich Wells, N2MCA wrote in message
<373FECA9.AB7047D0@strongsignals.net>...
> >To All New Scanner Users,
> > If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
> >a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
> >asked on the scanner newsgroups.
> >
> > Topics include :
> > Look Before You Post
> > Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
> > Picking A Scanner/Receiver
> > Scanner Glossary
> > Scanner Model FAQ
> > Scanner Dealers FAQ
> > Frequently Asked Frequencies
> > FCC Frequency Databases
> > CTCSS & DCS
> > What's Illegal
> > Cellular-capable Scanners
> > Trunked Radio Systems
> > Co-ax Cable
> > Receiver Range
> > Your Scanner On A Plane
> > Frequency Counters
> > Magazines & Newsletters
> > Rechargeable Battery Tips
> > Radio Discussion Lists
> > Radio Modifications

> > Radio Shack On-line Manuals
> > Uniden On-line Manuals
> > AOR On-line Manuals
> > Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
> > Used Scanner Price Guide
> > Radio Monitoring Software
> > Receivers Covering Military Aero
> > *Computer Control
> > *Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
> > *Do I Need An Amplifier?
> > My Web Page
> > Courtesy
> >
> > *=Recently added topics
> >
> > To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to
> >
> > http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm
> >
> > Happy reading!
> >
> >Strong Signals,
> >Rich Wells N2MCA
> >http://www.strongsignals.net/
>
>

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1925. Re: Who sells radios and stuff in kit form?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <7hv7h6$55n$3@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 118321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Lynton@iname.com on Wed, 19 May 1999 09:28:26 GMT wrote:
>I know of Tentec and Ramsey Electronics, are there others reachable by
>web site? what is their URLs?

http://www.northcountryradi.com has a couple.

>Did Heathkit stopped selling kits ?

More than 10 years ago!

sdb
--
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.

1926. Help with Old Sears scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jacy Krogh <tiny@c-zone.net>
Message-ID: <3743230e.0@news1.starnetinc.com>
Source record: 118322

I recently acquired a very old Sears Scanning Receiver. The model number is 61 3875. I am having trouble programming the unit, as the "key closure" light will not go off. It receives fine, because I can pick up Med 4, that was already programmed. Does anyone have any knowledge and can help me? Thanks.

Jacy Krogh
tiny@c-zone.net

1927. Re: Pro-37 questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd4ykv2@fuse.net
Message-ID: <37461608.166202390@nntp.fuse.net>
Source record: 118323

On 19 May 1999 05:07:11 GMT, reeveskid@aol.com (ReevesKid) wrote:

>I just got one of these and was wondering:
>
>-How close is it to the Pro-34?

Identical except it scans faster.

>-Does it have a memory expansion mod?

You can expand the memory on almost any scanner made by GRE.
I beleive the Pro 34/37's are expandeable to 3200 channels.

>-Are there any other frequency mods (other than full 800MHz)?

None that I'm aware of.
Not much more you can do to it.

Randy True
kd4ykv2@fuse.net
KOI Tri-State Scanner Page
http://home.fuse.net/rwtrue00
Amateur Call KD4YKV

1928. Re: Weak Signals on 243 MHZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: wb5agz@dc.cis.okstate.edu
Message-ID: <7hv8te$kv8$1@lotus.onenet.net>
Source record: 118324

In article <7hds3d$h7p$2@carroll.library.ucla.edu>,
Brent Corbin <corbin@ampere.physics.ucla.edu> wrote:
>AFSATCOM freqs actually inhabit the 243.8-244.3 MHz and 248.0-249.4 MHz
>ranges. 243 was a ballpark figure 8*)

Yep, that's them all right. I was the person who started this
thread and that sounds right on target. I wasn't sure exactly where
the frequencies started and ended because they are so faint that it
would be easy to miss a few. I would describe them on the diskcone as
a whisper.

Martin McCormick WB5AGZ Stillwater, OK
OSU Center for Computing and Information Services Data Communications Group

1929. Re: Any Australian Scanner Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hew Packard <bkcnospam@tpg.com.au>
Message-ID: <37432872@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 118325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Search Australian Telecomunications (or perhaps Communications) Authority
Or Austel (now superceded)

Then search "frequencies"

RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:3740E416.7A7D@ameritech.net...
> Brad Granger wrote:
> >
> > Specifics. where abouts on Australia? What services are you interested
in?
> >
> > Brad
> > VK2QQ
> >
> > Laureen Baker wrote in message <926834936.672222@www>...
> > >Hi
> > >
> > > If anyone has any Australian scanning frequencies if you could
please
> > >send them to me,
> > >
> > >
> > > Jezz
> > >
> > >
> You could try:
> aus.radio.scanner
> --
> RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1930. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <dmartin@net1inc.net>
Message-ID: <37432CE7.ABB004E8@net1inc.net>
Source record: 118326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Please remove the cross post to rec.radio.amateur.dx

"H. Adam Stevens" wrote:

> No, I'm saying that if you want the same license I have, pass comparable tests.
> Is CW relevant? The Navy thinks not. It's still valid and satisfying.
> I'm no 35 WPM Extra, just an Advanced. I took my last code test at the Dallas
> FCC office in April of 1964, 13 WPM. The damn government already stole my First
> Phone, I don't want it to redefine my ham license, too. Just create a new
> ticket? Been done.
> The service does need to evolve with technology, no one uses spark much.
> I have no simple solution.
> 73
> H.
> WA5IGW
>
> RADIO MAN wrote:
>
> > Sir wrote:
> > >
> > > > What apparently is not obvious to you is that the rest of us don't care.
> > >
> > > You all contribute to the thread, so you DO all care, else I would be
> > > the only one and there would be no thread. I did not start the thread,
> > > and it appears to be the most popular topic here right now. Even YOU
> > > can not resist reading and replying to this.
> > The thing about Morse Code is that it seems that the OLD TIMERS are
> > saying that we did it and you should too. That is baloney. What some
> > cheese with that wine?
> > --
> > RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> > FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1931. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <dmartin@net1inc.net>
Message-ID: <37432D5E.5E460A09@net1inc.net>
Source record: 118327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Please remove cross post to rec.radio.amateur.dx

RADIO MAN wrote:

> Mother Nature wrote:
> >
> > Hi from soc.penpals and let me say that all of this technical talk is
> > making me hot......<g>
> >
> > "H. Adam Stevens" wrote:
> > >
> > > No, I'm saying that if you want the same license I have, pass comparable tests.
> > > Is CW relevant? The Navy thinks not. It's still valid and satisfying.
> > > I'm no 35 WPM Extra, just an Advanced. I took my last code test at the Dallas
> > > FCC office in April of 1964, 13 WPM. The damn government already stole my First
> > > Phone, I don't want it to redefine my ham license, too. Just create a new
> > > ticket? Been done.
> > > The service does need to evolve with technology, no one uses spark much.
> > > I have no simple solution.
> > > 73
> > > H.
> > > WA5IGW
> > >
> > > RADIO MAN wrote:
> > >
> > > > Sir wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > What apparently is not obvious to you is that the rest of us don't care.
> > > > >
> > > > > You all contribute to the thread, so you DO all care, else I would be
> > > > > the only one and there would be no thread. I did not start the thread,
> > > > > and it appears to be the most popular topic here right now. Even YOU
> > > > > can not resist reading and replying to this.
> > > > The thing about Morse Code is that it seems that the OLD TIMERS are
> > > > saying that we did it and you should too. That is baloney. What some
> > > > cheese with that wine?
> > > > --
> > > > RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> > > > FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!
> OOOHHH!!!
> --
> RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

1932. FS - BC9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Esposito <rjespo@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <XrG03.104$_g1.11817@news3.voicenet.com>
Source record: 118328
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Uniden BC9000XLT Base Scanner in mint condition.
- 500 channels with alphanumeric display
- CTCSS tone board installed
- Original carton and manual

$285 shipped

-Bob

rjespo@voicenet.com

1933. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmweber@goodnet.com
Message-ID: <374632fb.5340360@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 118329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

On Wed, 19 May 1999 14:57:08 GMT, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:

>Totally impossible!!
Not impossible, but not very easy either. The average power on a hand held is limited to a few tenths of a watt. IIt takes a combination of vapor/air mixture in the explosion range (Depending upon weather conditions that varies from nearly impossible, to well within the realm of possiblity), and a source of ignition with sufficient energy (very hard with a hand held).

Cell phones are designed for several reason NOT to have make/break contacts that handle more than microwatts. (Turn on/off is actually done by software, you hit the off key, and the software will begin the sequence to put the phone into hibernation (essentially only the NV RAM, internal clock is reduced to a very low fequency, and the clock (if you have one) are left running, but that is about all.

I would be much more concerned about a vehicle mounted phone, whose power levels can be MUCH higher.
>
>Keith Bennett wrote:
>>
>> Whilst getting some diesel fuel at the filling station yesterday I
>> noticed this guy at another pump who was filling with petrol (gas) and
>> was happily chatting on a cell phone at the same time.
>> I went over to him and politely asked him to stop using the phone.
>> He asked me why and I said that with the amount of gasoline vapour about
>> he could probably activate an explosion! He decided to quit using the
>> phone!!
>>
>> --
>

1934. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: olympus@SPAMLESSjimdee.prestel.co.uk
Message-ID: <3742ff47.3603689@news.prestel.co.uk>
Source record: 118330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

On Tue, 18 May 1999 17:57:03 -0400, RADIO MAN
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Radio911 wrote:
>>
>> I opened my paper (San Diego Union-Tribune) this morning and there it is...page
>> A-3: Reporter sentenced for taping cell phone. Hey, if you're going to modify
>> your scanner to monitor cell calls (ILLEGAL, guys), don't go trying to sell the
>> transmissions to a sleazy tabloid journalism market!

He must be talking about the 'Sun'.

>> It's going to "bite" you
>> like it did this "celebrity photographer." It's costing him over $3,000.00,
>> attorneys' fees, loss of ANY scanner for the three years probation etc. THINK,
>> before using your radio for ANY profit making venture! It's NOT LEGAL!!! No
>> wonder the manufacturers are reluctant to make advances in this
>> market...they'll be sued next!

>I couldn't of had said it better myself if I wanted to.

Yes. Clearly!

--
Regards, Jim. | Gouverner, c'est choisir.
olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk |
dynastic%cwcom.net | - Duc de L'vis 1764-1830
nordland%lineone.net |
marula%zdnetmail.com |
Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371

1935. FS: JRC NRD-545 (Price reduced)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Manuel <mmaseda@gte.net>
Message-ID: <N_G03.648$kw.28209@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 118331

I have for sale a perfect, as new, NRD-545 DSP receiver. The radio is less than 1 year old and has the latest firmware upgrade. This is a non-blocked unit imported from England and covers 100 khz - 2 ghz. It includes the optional CHE-199 wideband converter and the CGD-197 TXCO. Includes original box and manual. $1,800. I will not ship outside the U.S.

Manuel

1936. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3743423D.7069@sir.com>
Source record: 118332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark Brown wrote:
>
> When are you idiots going to learn some nettiquette, CROSS
> POSTING TO GROUPS WHERE THIS DOESN'T BELONG IS IN BAD FORM
> PLEASE CEASE & DESIST.

I don't see any groups listed where the Morse Code issue would not be appropriate.

All but two are amateur radio related, and shortwave and scanner listeners have to listen to (or try to avoid) Morse Code too.

1937. Re: Pro-26 Virtual Down Conversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <wiH03.14168$5e2.295610@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 118333

This is what I always do. If it breaks and if the store sill carries the merchandise buy the merchandise again. clean up the old unit. replace the old unit inside the box of the new unit. You have a fresh receipt. You know where to go from here =)
Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote in message news:7humd7$351$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com...
>
> Squeeze the Weeze wrote in message <01bea1b7$a34be620$d0f3eecd@godzirra>...
> >DONT DO IT..... Not just cuz of the law, but you
> >could toast the chip that switches the bandpass filters
> >in the front end!
>
> It simply amazes me how many people are willing to hose a perfectly good
> radio just to listen to stupid cell phones. I see so many posts in here that
> all say basically the same thing: "I just bought a brand new (insert scanner
> model here) and I want to know how to modify it to receive cell phones."
> Yeah, OK genius, so what happens when that scanner decides to poop out when
> it's a month old, and the service center won't fix it because they say the
> cause was your wonderful modification? Even if it was a defect, there's no
> way to prove it now...
>
> And suppose said scanner is now out of warranty? Do people have so much
> disposable income that they can afford to throw away their old scanners if
> they break? I don't, and my salary is higher than the average joe. I'd be
> really upset if I had to replace some of my scanners, because you can't just
> stroll into a store and buy them anymore. It would take a lot of digging and
> bartering to find another PRO 2026 for example, and I'd probably end up
> paying more than I should just to replace it.
>
> Don't get me wrong, it's fun to open up the case and tinker around, but only
> AFTER the original warranty is long gone. Doing anything before that is just
> plain foolish IMHO, and if you really can't live without that scanner you
> should leave it the hell alone.
>
> You wanna listen to cellular phones? There are plenty of websites that
> detail how to do just that with an old brick phone, and it works better

than
> any scanner (or banks of scanners) ever could. Stop by any search engine
you
> like and see for yourself.
>
> --
> -Phil
>
> -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
> -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>
>

1938. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3743440D.7F2B@sir.com>
Source record: 118334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> Yeah. I found this really interesting. But what has all this got to do
> with Soc Penpals? I don't really care anything about radio
> communications of any sort. So why not just keep this on the boards that
> apply and take it off soc.penpals.

Let me try to explain. When people reply to a post on a newsgroup, they don't usually read what newsgroups are all listed and delete the ones they THINK are not appropriate. They just hit send, and it goes to all the newsgroups the original post was on. Blaming the person who replied to the post is silly, he did not choose the newsgroups listed.

And to delete any of the groups would be just as silly, because you are sending a reply to the post and don't know which of the groups he will be checking back to read your reply, so it makes sense to leave everything as is.

Why do people hate logic and common sense so much?

1939. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <374344B0.7EB9@sir.com>
Source record: 118335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

H. Adam Stevens wrote:
>
> Well said.
> I'm thinking of one area where I use Morse outside of ham radio: flying. Navigation
> aids identify in Morse, but a 5 WPM proficiency suffices.

Two of my friends are pilots and say this is a bunch of bullshit.
Neither of them had to learn morse code to get their pilot's license and
don't know of anything used in flying their planes that would need to
recieve morse code for any reason.

1940. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <37436F4E.7E83@sgi.net>
Source record: 118336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.oenapals

Radioman, why do you insist on so many crossposts?

It's in poor taste and really annoying to othere that have nothing to do with the subject at hand.

1941. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: dustcart <dustcart@globalnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7ht0o5$2l4$1@gxsn.com>
Source record: 118340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

THE Dustcart was once denied enlarged basement access.

Rick i was only joking.....<VBG>

1942. none

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anonymous <nobody@replay.com>
Message-ID: <199905190038.CAA06645@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 118341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

have you tried to unplug the antenna cable from the external avl antenna?
it should be astandard bnc connecter at theradi or the ext antenna site.
try that first save $$$ trying to put together a jammer.

In article <373F4CFA.4987AC80@magic92.com>, Magic 92 <Magic92@magic92.com>
wrote:

> bill topolski wrote:
> >
> > I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. The company I work for just
> > install it in all of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it.
> > Does anybody have any suggestions?
> > Is it possible to jam?
> > Help, big brother is watching
>
> I went to a search engine, typed "gps" in the search box and found
> this:
> ---
>
> http://www.utexas.edu/depts/grg/gcraft/notes/gps/gps.html
>
> GPS Satellite Signals
>
> The SVs transmit two microwave carrier signals. The L1 frequency
> (1575.42 MHz) carries the navigation message and the SPS code signals.
>
> The L2 frequency (1227.60 MHz) is used to measure the ionospheric
> delay by PPS equipped receivers.
>
> Three binary codes shift the L1 and/or L2 carrier phase.
> The C/A Code (Coarse Acquisition) modulates the L1 carrier phase.
> The C/A code is a repeating 1 MHz Pseudo Random Noise (PRN) Code.
> This noise-like code modulates the L1 carrier signal, "spreading"
> the spectrum over a 1 MHz bandwidth.
>
> The C/A code repeats every 1023 bits (one millisecond). There is a
> different C/A code PRN for each SV. GPS satellites are often identified
> by their PRN number, the unique identifier for each pseudo-random-noise
> code. The C/A code that modulates the L1 carrier is the basis for the
> civil SPS.
>
> The P-Code (Precise) modulates both the L1 and L2 carrier phases.
> The P-Code is a very long (seven days) 10 MHz PRN code.
> In the Anti-Spoofing (AS) mode of operation, the P-Code is encrypted

> into the Y-Code.
>
> The encrypted Y-Code requires a classified AS Module for each receiver
> channel and is for use only by authorized users with cryptographic keys.
> The P (Y)-Code is the basis for the PPS.
>
> The Navigation Message also modulates the L1-C/A code signal.
> The Navigation Message is a 50 Hz signal consisting of data bits
> that describe the GPS satellite orbits, clock corrections, and other
> system parameters.
>
> GPS is funded by and controlled by the U. S. Department of Defense
> (DOD).
> While there are many thousands of civil users of GPS world-wide, the
> system was designed for and is operated by the U. S. military.
> -------------
>
> If you still want to "jam" GPS, maybe the US Department of Defense
> could answer your questions, just click here:
>
> ---> http://www.defenselink.mil/ <---

1943. ICOM R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimtown54@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990518215858.24406.00002165@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 118342

Does anyone out there having problems understanding the owners manual ?. I find it to be very cunfusing. Is there a web site out there that might have easier directions, or any who has got a good grip on it. Please email me back .Thanks Jimtown

1944. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Solarpower1watt@Juno.com
Message-ID: <Eqp03.204$jb.2265@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 118343
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.family.,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

In article <3741e674.952032@news.mindspring.com>, stevens@mindspring.com (Steven) wrote:
>EME comms happen every day,but,they do require more than 5-10
>watts.What was the TXmitting antenna?

Read my post below again. It was the big dish at Arecibo in Puerto Rico.
That's the biggest dish in the world.

Ed

>>I think I have an event that tops them all. Decades ago, I had an
>>acquaintance who worked at Arecibo receiving site. He hooked up his Heathkit
>>twoer, when the opportunity presented itself, to one of the dish feeds and
>>received his transmission echo bounced off the moon. That's about 400,000
>>miles plus, round trip. I believe the Heath Twoer ran about 5 or 10 watts.

REPLY INFO:
==========
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "watt" from my return address.
==========

1945. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: moonman <moonman@telcomplus.net>
Message-ID: <37437AFC.64EB2204@telcomplus.net>
Source record: 118344

Bob, i did do the mod a couple of years ago ,and i went and checked
it again ,mine definitely locks out the same channels on different banks,
but the the delay is independent,maybe the different type c.p.u. between
the two radios is the difference? I dont know (the older ones being
celluar capable) which mine is , in any case it is a good mod and well
worth the effort.

Craig

Bob Horvath wrote:

> gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:
> Hi Guys,
> I see that gdfthr actually did the mod. Craig, did you do the mod,
> or did you just hear about it?
> Bob
> >> Well i take some of that back ,delay is not affected however lock-out
> >>is,also i believe that programed search banks my also be affected.
> >>
> >
> >Howdy Craig-
> >I haven't had that happen yet, and I did the mod last week. Maybe someone did
> >something goofy to my 760 before I ever used it (it definitely was well used
> >before I got it) but at any rate, since there is a doubt as to the lock out
> >function being independent of the old or new banks, perhaps Bob Horvath should
> >not perform this mod until you are satisfied with what you may end up with.
> >I gave Bob info based on my 760 not having any "hold over" delay or lockout
> >problems, and I sure don't want him to do the mod and find a different result,
> >not to his liking.
> >Mike

1946. Re: Problematic Bearcat 300- Please Read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: w6jrf@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990518231058.18129.00000350@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 118345

Greg, C98, a either 22uf/16VDC or 47uf/25VDC capacitor in series with the primary of a small switching transformer on the RF board has gone bad. I had the same problem. 69Cents at radio shack for a new 47uf and it is back in service. The transformer is a very small unit unlike the main power transformer. I had to use a magnifying glass to find it but C98 is stamped on the chassis along side of this surface mount cap. Good luck. 73's Bob, W6JRF.

1947. Re: 235 Trunktracker Volume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01bea1a7$a9724040$d0f3eecd@godzirra>
Source record: 118346

Find the Audio Chip in the unit. Look for a
small chip cap across the input to ground.
Removing this will give you a boost in the
high freq response so it doesn't sound as bassy.
Usually this helps. I think Parnass did this one
to the Pro43 a long time ago. Have since done
this on many different units with good results.
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

1948. Looking for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: X22 <toby@sos.net>
Message-ID: <RQq03.5804$xa.53253@c01read02-admin.service.talkway.com>
Source record: 118347

I'm trying to find a small scanner about the the size of a pager.
Does anyone know of any brand. Radio Shack years ago made a cyrstal
two channel. Is there anything out there on the market.
--
Posted via Talkway - http://www.talkway.com
Exchange ideas on practically anything (tm).

1949. Optoelectronics APS105

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <927070041.835661@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 118348

Anyone here have one, and is it effective?

DD

1950. FS REGENCY HX1500 HANDHELD W/2 DROP IN CHARGERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990519005431.13203.00002390@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 118349

HI ALL, HAVE A MINT CONDITION HX1500 HANDHELD COMPLETE WITH A
DROP IN CHARGER, NI-CADS, CASE, AND ALSO A BRAND NEW EXTRA
DROP IN CHARGER....IM ORIG. OWNER AND HAVE MANUAL......$175 I SHIP

KEVIN

1951. Pro-37 questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: reeveskid@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990519010711.08835.00003884@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 118350

I just got one of these and was wondering:

-How close is it to the Pro-34?
-Does it have a memory expansion mod?
-Are there any other frequency mods (other than full 800MHz)?
-What information is in the 3rd Bill Cheek (Ultimate Scanner) about it?

Thanks.

1952. Re: Pro-26 Virtual Down Conversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01bea1b7$a34be620$d0f3eecd@godzirra>
Source record: 118351

DONT DO IT..... Not just cuz of the law, but you
could toast the chip that switches the bandpass filters
in the front end! You'd be SH*T out of luck if you happen
to have one of those "modified with epoxy so you cant
mod it" model. The mod forces the 400 mHz filter on
when the unit is in the 800 band. This puts enormous stress
on the IC which does this, forcing the 400 mHz switching
circuit to sink extra current from the 800 mHz one, which
has to source it. These are both on the same chip and
that's the one that they gooped with the epoxy. If
that chip fails.....SOL! Current
consumption of the scanner has been noted as being
double when the unit is in either 400 or 800 bands due
to this mod. I have seen several tosties due to this
mod. To do it right requires the addition of several other
switching diodes to isolate both bandpass filters. Of
course, MANY have done this with no problem, Like
the EPA, your mileage may vary.
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

1953. Re: FRS radios any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37424ab5.1526862@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 118352

mrspadea@aol.commrrs32 (MrSpadea) wrote:

:I use a Motorola Talkabout 250, which I picked up for under 110$

What does that mean.

:Its easy to use and has a range of around 2 miles outside.
:
:Battery drain is at around 20+ hours with normal use.
:
:Some further infor can be found at alt. familyradio

Try alt.radio.family.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

1954. Re: Looking for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <37424F35.2A61DEAC@gnc.net>
Source record: 118353

Icom makes a slick little receiver/scanner. Check out
this link:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/ic-r2.htm

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

X22 wrote:

> I'm trying to find a small scanner about the the size of a pager.
> Does anyone know of any brand. Radio Shack years ago made a cyrstal
> two channel. Is there anything out there on the market.
> --
> Posted via Talkway - http://www.talkway.com
> Exchange ideas on practically anything (tm).

1955. Re: BC760xlt mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990519013955.03858.00001827@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 118354

Thanks for the reply and the info, Craig. You may be right, the cpu could be different. At any rate, mine just doesn't seem to have that function. Buggy, eh? :-)
And to Bob, Craig's right, even if this is the case when you do this mod, it's still an excellent mod. I just wanted to be sure you had the info you needed, and I thank Craig for that, too.
Have fun guys!
Mike

1956. Uniden op. manuals on line ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990519015515.16491.00001970@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 118355

There was a posting saying that operators manuals for Uniden scanners was available on line.

Does anyone know that web site ?

Will greatly appreciate your help in locating.

Geo.

1957. Re: Where to get a very low power transmitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: hharbich@NOSPAMexecpc.com
Message-ID: <7htine$2gl@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 118356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7hsd7mkpa1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Lynton@iname.com says...
> I need a really really low power transmitter, the kind to radiate a tiny
> signal onto the AM or FM band, thus can be picked up from a few feet.
> Radio Shack sells one but does anyone here know of any others, please
> post some details such as URLs.

Rip the guts out of a "Mr. Microphone" or try Amateur Electronic and
Radio Recyclers. Both have websites.

www.execpc.com/~radiorec
Try a Yahoo search for A.E.

1958. Re: Looking for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990519023939.11741.00002000@ng154.aol.com>
Source record: 118357

Electra just went back into the scanner business with the two-channel Tigerscan that's selling for $69.95. It's about the size of a pager. So far, VHF only, but a UHF version is coming soon.

Check http://www.strongsignals.net for details.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

1959. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: big_bill@bills_bogus_e-mail_address.com
Message-ID: <374260e1.1182954@news.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 118358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

On Tue, 18 May 1999 18:30:26 -0400, RADIO MAN
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Well then how will the Aussie goverment listen to possible drug deals,
>eh?

Because when any telephone service is implemented, be it GSM, CDMA,
Landline, Trunked, Sea Phone etc..., they are required by law to make
the system capable of being monitored by law enforcement agencies.
These agencies have the equipment to do so and can only use it with
the appropriate Warrant.

>--
>RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
>FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

Yes they are!

1960. Who sells radios and stuff in kit form?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lynton@iname.com
Message-ID: <7hu07q$n13$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I know of Tentec and Ramsey Electronics, are there others reachable by
web site? what is their URLs?
Did Heathkit stopped selling kits ?

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1961. Re: Uniden op. manuals on line ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <viper_c3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <itt03.1246$2B2.67228@news.inreach.com>
Source record: 118360

Leadenwah:

You might want to try this link. However, not all scanners have a online users manual available for download. Check it out anyway though.

http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/supportprod.cfm?prodcat=Bearcat%20Scanners

gizmo269

LEADENWAH <leadenwah@aol.com> wrote in message news:19990519015515.16491.00001970@ng-cn1.aol.com...
> There was a posting saying that operators manuals for Uniden scanners was
> available on line.
>
> Does anyone know that web site ?
>
> Will greatly appreciate your help in locating.
>
> Geo.

1962. Re: AR8200 or MVT9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray Colvill <rcolvill@es.co.nz>
Message-ID: <01bea1c8$cdb9f580$85814dd1@rcolvill->
Source record: 118361
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well I brought the 9000 because Bandercom said that the 8200 was
more deaf than the 9000.

After 4 months, the 9000 is all that I ever wanted.

Much better than the 7100

I do have some personal reports if any one wants a copy.

Lee Rothman <dcisive@earthlink.net> wrote in article
<MPG.11ab9bf4341b9d6998979b@news.earthlink.net>...
> If you can live without the PC interface (and most people can) I feel
> quite strongly that the MVT-9000, especially the Bandercom modified
> version is the better unit. More sensitivity, better build quality and
> better bandscope as well. I owned a 8200 and sold it for it's poor
> sensitivity. I now use a IC-R2 for handheld and an Optocom for base use.
>
>

1963. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-1905990258080001@pm53-08.magicnet.net>
Source record: 118362

In article <19990518102811.13172.00001962@ng-fk1.aol.com>,
radio911@aol.com (Radio911) wrote:

> I opened my paper (San Diego Union-Tribune) this morning and there it
is...page
> A-3: Reporter sentenced for taping cell phone. Hey, if you're going to modify
> your scanner to monitor cell calls (ILLEGAL, guys), don't go trying to
sell the
> transmissions to a sleazy tabloid journalism market! It's going to "bite" you
> like it did this "celebrity photographer." It's costing him over $3,000.00,
> attorneys' fees, loss of ANY scanner for the three years probation etc.
THINK,
> before using your radio for ANY profit making venture! It's NOT LEGAL!!! No
> wonder the manufacturers are reluctant to make advances in this
> market...they'll be sued next!
> Laine S. Herman
> http://expage.com/page/Radio911

I can listen to cell calls on my scanner, and I did not modify it in any
way. Some have older scanners that were made to pick up cell phones. Mine
even tells you in the directions how to properly monitor cell calls.

1964. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <MtpqGBBYHnQ3Ew2l@virgin.net>
Source record: 118363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

>Jim Kehler wrote:
>>
>> Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
>>
>> >There are going to be a lot of posts to newsgroups that don't happen to
>> >have subject matter that is of personal interest to you. Lots of YOUR
>> >posts will not have any interest to lots of other people too.
>>
>> You appear to be so engrossed in your efforts to convince the rest
>> of the world that you are incapable of attaining anything in life that
>> requires effort that you seem to have totally missed the point.
>>
>> The reason we have thousands of newsgroups is because the world is
>> filled with millions of people with different interests.
>>
>> Although your inability to learn Morse code seems to be the current
>> focus in YOUR life, the rest of us don't necessarily view your lack
>> of motivation as an earthshaking event.
>>
>> REC.RADIO.AMATUER.POLICY was created with the sole intent
>> of giving you a forum in which to air your views. Please feel free to
>> use it to your hearts content.
>>
>> Posting your Morse code dribble in multiple newsgroups is not going
>> to gain you any support. Many unmotivated whiners before you have
>> proven this point.
>>
>> Some people have things they want in life because they are willing to
>> expend the effort required to obtain those things, whatever that effort
>> may be. It is obvious to us that you are not one of those people.
>>
>> What apparently is not obvious to you is that the rest of us don't care.
Yeah. I found this really interesting. But what has all this got to do
with Soc Penpals? I don't really care anything about radio
communications of any sort. So why not just keep this on the boards that
apply and take it off soc.penpals. As far as I am concerned amateur
radio is atrocious, even worse than CB used to be here. I have never
heard of so much crap on any wavebands before. How these people get
operating licenses is beyond me.

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-

trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1965. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <Dtep2IBEKnQ3EwWL@virgin.net>
Source record: 118364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <3741F33E.170EA658@net1inc.net>, David <dmartin@net1inc.net>
writes
>Please remove the cross post to rec.radio.amateur.dx
>
Where is that at then?

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1966. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <V9xsC7A5AnQ3EwUt@virgin.net>
Source record: 118365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Whilst getting some diesel fuel at the filling station yesterday I
noticed this guy at another pump who was filling with petrol (gas) and
was happily chatting on a cell phone at the same time.
I went over to him and politely asked him to stop using the phone.
He asked me why and I said that with the amount of gasoline vapour about
he could probably activate an explosion! He decided to quit using the
phone!!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1967. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <V9xsC$A5BnQ3Ew09@virgin.net>
Source record: 118366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

In article <7ht0o5$2l4$1@gxsn.com>, dustcart <dustcart@globalnet.co.uk>
writes
>THE Dustcart was once denied enlarged basement access.
Didn't you ask your buddy the mayor of broxbourne for planning
permission then?

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1968. Re: Marine Frequencies Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <tcwya3A$7mQ3EwhG@virgin.net>
Source record: 118367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

In article <3741E41F.44D5@ameritech.net>, RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@a
meritech.net> writes
>Robert wrote:
>>
>> Here's another source:
>>
>> http://www.navcen.uscg.mil/marcomms/vhf.htm
>>
>That was very interesting.
Yeah. Really interesting!
Now if only I could tune into BBC-Radio 2 with my 4 valve HMV car radio!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1969. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <EdtoKMBLMnQ3EwUH@virgin.net>
Source record: 118368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <37421E2F.E0FFF6F8@hotmail.com>, Mother Nature
<m_nature@hotmail.com> writes
>Hi from soc.penpals and let me say that all of this technical talk is
>making me hot......<g>
Yeah, but rec.radio.amateur.dx is still listed.
Wern't we supposed to take this off the listings?
CQ-CQ-CQ2!!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1970. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <Bt9pGQBIOnQ3EwXF@virgin.net>
Source record: 118369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <3741F33E.170EA658@net1inc.net>, David <dmartin@net1inc.net>
writes
>Please remove the cross post to rec.radio.amateur.dx
Yeah. Can yo'all remove the crosspostings to Soc.Penpals as well please.
All this radio crap is upsetting my digestion!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1971. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <eNKu+VBWQnQ3EwXQ@virgin.net>
Source record: 118370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3742378C.BB38546A@rconnect.com>, Mark Brown
<kb0pyo@rconnect.com> writes
>When are you idiots going to learn some nettiquette, CROSS
>POSTING TO GROUPS WHERE THIS DOESN'T BELONG IS IN BAD FORM
>PLEASE CEASE & DESIST.

I AM IN ENTIRE AGREEMENT WITH YOU, THIS IS REALLY BAD FORM.
WE HAVE GOT TO STOP THIS CRAZY CROSSPOSTING TO REC.TELEVISION.AMATEURS
ONLY WHERE WILL IT ALL END?

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1972. Re: Looking for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <7htu4c$lq5$5@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 118371

Keith (kew@-NOSPAM?teleport.cem) wrote:
: On Wed, 19 May 1999 04:10:25 GMT, "X22" <toby@sos.net> wrote:

: >I'm trying to find a small scanner about the the size of a pager.
: >Does anyone know of any brand. Radio Shack years ago made a cyrstal
: >two channel. Is there anything out there on the market.

: Grove has a small Uniden-60 XLT 10 Channel Programmable for $55.95 including
: two day shipping. These are factory returns with 30 day warranty. I just
: received mine and I'm very pleased with it. It only receives from 29.0-54 MHZ,
: 137-174 MHz and 406-512 MHz so if you want to monitor 800 MHz you will not want
: this one.
: It also has a built in charger for NiCAD batteries, not included, and you can
: switch to akaline if needed. It is about 13" high and very light.

: http://www.grove-ent.com

: Look under Factory refurbished.

: HTH,

: Best Regards,

: Keith
: --
: http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/linux
: www.teleport.com/~kew/commodore www.teleport.com/~kew/pgp
: www.teleport.com/~kew/ham_swl_radio/
: --
: If all the personal computers in the world 260 million were put
: to work on a single PGP-encrypted message, it would still take
: an estimated 12 million times the age of the universe, on average,
: to break a single message.
: --William Crowell, Deputy Director, National Security Agency, March 20,
: 1997.

While that unit is a reasonable choice for some uses, it is WAY larger
than a pager.

1973. Re: Who sells radios and stuff in kit form?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phillip Tibbetts <kd1my@banet.net>
Message-ID: <37428EA3.F54ABDEB@banet.net>
Source record: 118372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

try "rainbowkits.com"

Lynton@iname.com wrote:

> I know of Tentec and Ramsey Electronics, are there others reachable by
> web site? what is their URLs?
> Did Heathkit stopped selling kits ?
>
> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1974. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: kevcat <kevcat@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <374296C6.3FEEF351@one.net.au>
Source record: 118373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

RADIO MAN wrote:
>
> Barry O'Grady wrote:
> >
> > inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not (Pursuit10851) wrote:
> >
> > :On Tue, 27 Apr 1999 19:07:55 +1000, "Brad Granger"
> > :<bgranger@one.net.au> wrote:
> > :
> > :
> > :>I don't know what the big attraction with cellular frequencies is, Barry,
> > :>but in about 2 years you forget about them, you'll need a scanner that can
> > :>also decode CDMA. So, between CDMA and GSM, there won't be any phone call
> > :>for you to eavesdrop on!
> > :>
> > :>Brad
> > :>
> > :Then I guess AirTouch will have to transfer my unlimited night and
> > :weekend calling plan to digital, since their ad said "Free Forever"
> > :when I started service in 1997. Right now there aren't any carriers in
> > :my area offering unlimited night and weekend calling plans on digital.
> >
> > In Australia the analogue mobile phone system is to be turned off on
> > 1/1/2000 in spite of the fact that it is providing excellent service
> > and has many happy customers. It seems to be a political decision
> > which the government is commited to although it may be regretting
> > it by now.
> >
> > It's far more effective than telling people they must not listen.
> >
> > Barry
> > ==========
> > Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> > Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
> Well then how will the Aussie goverment listen to possible drug deals,
> eh?

At least we can listen to the Police and not get arrested
not like in the UK

Kev

1975. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37429a5c.6134111@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 118374
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Patrick Nowlan" <patrickn@idir.net> wrote:

:Actually the 2950 and 2970's are EXPORT radios for EXPORT ONLY!

I would like to know where they could be exported to and be used legally
from 26 to 32 MHz.

:They are
:both 10 meter radios which can be converted to broadcast on 11 meters as
:well. They are illegal to use in the U.S. even on 10 meters because they
:are NOT type accepted for use in the U.S. because they are for EXPORT.

They can be used legally on 10 metres by licensed amateurs because there
is no type approval required for amateur radio. I can legally build and
use a 10 metre transmitter on FM from 29 to 29.7 MHz up to 120 watts.

:(I love mine)

They can be fun.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

1976. Re: Who sells radios and stuff in kit form?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clinton <cpeebles@_nospam_netidea.com>
Message-ID: <3742A802.5707@_nospam_netidea.com>
Source record: 118375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Lynton@iname.com wrote:
>
> I know of Tentec and Ramsey Electronics, are there others reachable by
> web site? what is their URLs?
> Did Heathkit stopped selling kits ?

Small Wonder Labs has a couple nice looking QRP rigs.
http://www.fix.net/~jparker/sml.html
--
Kill the MAI

Remove _nospam_ to reply

Clinton Peebles VE7KNL DN19ie
Salmo, B.C. Canada
E-Mail: cpeebles@netidea.com

1977. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Best <dbest@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <YEx03.1493$G4.5545@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 118376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote in message
news:eNKu+VBWQnQ3EwXQ@virgin.net...
> In article <3742378C.BB38546A@rconnect.com>, Mark Brown
> <kb0pyo@rconnect.com> writes
> >When are you idiots going to learn some nettiquette, CROSS
> >POSTING TO GROUPS WHERE THIS DOESN'T BELONG IS IN BAD FORM
> >PLEASE CEASE & DESIST.
>
> I AM IN ENTIRE AGREEMENT WITH YOU, THIS IS REALLY BAD FORM.
> WE HAVE GOT TO STOP THIS CRAZY CROSSPOSTING TO REC.TELEVISION.AMATEURS
> ONLY WHERE WILL IT ALL END?

It won't, so I think you might save yourselves some heartache and look at
the subject before you read the message -- or just ignore the thread
altogehter. Is that really so difficult?

>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

1978. BC 9000XLT & Cell Phone Comms.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted <eeevvv@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7hu86u$937$1@gandalf.ocslink.com>
Source record: 118377

The BC9000XLT will clearly receive cell phone comms if two of the default settings on the front panel are changed. E-mail me at eeevvv@hotmail.com for instructions.
Ted

1979. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Granger <bgranger@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <3742ac49.0@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 118378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

> In Australia the analogue mobile phone system is to be turned off on
>> 1/1/2000 in spite of the fact that it is providing excellent service
>> and has many happy customers. It seems to be a political decision
>> which the government is commited to although it may be regretting
>> it by now.

>Well then how will the Aussie goverment listen to possible drug deals,
>eh?

They will perform wiretaps at the exchange. That was ASIO's concern before
GSM was introduced. Teltra et al had to promise that access was available
and the system was not 'totally' secure.

1980. Re: Who sells radios and stuff in kit form?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sean Baxter <XXXsbaxter@gte.net>
Message-ID: <zby03.27$kw.4520@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 118379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

There is a new QRP kit that just came on the market. The specs are excellent with a innovative design of no wires in the radio.

It is called the K2 160-10m SSB/CW transceiver.

www.elecraft.com

Sean - K3XT

1981. Scancat Gold & PCR-100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <kd4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <3742B619.2C16@webdeals.net>
Source record: 118380
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Are there any users of Scancat gold and the Icom PCR-100. I'm really interested in using Scancat Gold but since the tria "demo" version is so limited I can't really get a good feel for the software. don't eant to spend that much money just to find out that its not worth a dang.

If you have both the PCR-100 and Scancat, please tell me your opinion on the Scancat software. Is it better than the Icom software? I'm hoping that it will let you link the banks together unlike the Icom software.

Just from what little bit I tried to use Scancat, I'm not really thrilled with it. Its so basic looking.....althought it might just run better on my laptop since its not graphic intense???

Just let me know what you think of it *if* you own both the PCR-100 and Scancat.

BTW....where is the cheepest place to purchase Scancat?

--
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
KD4LYP's ham radio and scanner page!
East Tennessee info.

1982. Re: Who sells radios and stuff in kit form?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7hufgv$sb1$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Let's see, I can recall that there's RadioKit, Vectorkit, Hamtronics, and
probably lots more. Just pick up a TechAmerica catalog and look for
kits, then contact the maker and see their whole line, not just the few
that TechAmerica carries.

Or go to Radio Shack, and look for the catalogs in the stand. They have
LOTs of kit catalogs in there, all stuff you can 'special order' though
Radio Shack. A lot of kits. But some other neat stuff, like Channel
Plus for video...

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

Lynton@iname.com wrote in message <7hu07q$n13$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>I know of Tentec and Ramsey Electronics, are there others reachable by
>web site? what is their URLs?
>Did Heathkit stopped selling kits ?
>
>
>--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
>---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

1983. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Brown <sydptu@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <PFz03.722$Yb.3293@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 118382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

>Well then how will the Aussie goverment listen to possible drug deals,
>eh?

Barry it's simple. They apply for a warrant as they HAVE to by LAW and go
from there!!!!!

1984. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Brown <sydptu@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <QFz03.723$Yb.3293@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 118383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

>They will perform wiretaps at the exchange. That was ASIO's concern before
>GSM was introduced. Teltra et al had to promise that access was available
>and the system was not 'totally' secure.

Good theory but NOT in practice as all Mobile intercepts got via Canberra
and back to the agency to prevent abuse by the agency with the warrant. This
was implemented some time ago now.

Mind you, you need a good brief to get a warrant for intercepting a mobile
phone...

1985. Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7huh7oaov1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 118384

This AM I downloaded all the messages from this group as usual. I read a post from a guy who thinks Rich Wells' web site is arrogant, blah, blah, and very dangerous. Right in the middle of my reply my system crashed and now I can't seem to get that message reloaded so I can't quote directly from it. If someone can forward that message to my e-mail address I would appreciate it.

The gist of it was that this guy was offended by Rich suggesting to newbies not to ask certain questions of the group. His guide for new users of this news group is very informative and far from arrogant. Rich has assembled a great deal of information and displays it in a professional manner. Anyone with an ounce of intelligence could view his web site and understand his intent.

Am I wrong? Is Rich Wells the new "Big Brother" using subliminal messages at his site to make us think just like him? Or is this complainer just another paranoid looking for something to bitch about?

1986. Re: ICOM R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jerr1919 <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3742C961.60C@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 118385

Jimtown54 wrote:
>
> Does anyone out there having problems understanding the owners manual ?. I find
> it to be very cunfusing. Is there a web site out there that might have easier
> directions, or any who has got a good grip on it. Please email me back .Thanks
> Jimtown

I put out a call for help too on my new R2, It is such a great radio!
I would like to get it programed in the way I have all my others, but
so far I can't seem to do it, if anybody can help-help-please..Jerr1919

1987. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stanley <so@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3742CBA9.E29334E2@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 118386
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Barry O'Grady wrote:

> :They are
> :both 10 meter radios which can be converted to broadcast on 11 meters as
> :well. They are illegal to use in the U.S. even on 10 meters because they
> :are NOT type accepted for use in the U.S. because they are for EXPORT.
>
> They can be used legally on 10 metres by licensed amateurs because there
> is no type approval required for amateur radio. I can legally build and
> use a 10 metre transmitter on FM from 29 to 29.7 MHz up to 120 watts.
>
> :(I love mine)
>
> They can be fun.
>
> Barry

Sorry but you are wrong. Commercially produced radios do have to be type excepted to use in the amateur band in the US. Only home-brew equipment does not have to be type excepted, but even home-brew equipment have to comply with FCC specs. Get yourself a copy of Part 95, it's all there.
--
Stanley

KC4DPC Beacon List Site: http://jump.to/bcn

1988. Help with Opto Scout and AR8000.....Thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dog@hothouse.com
Message-ID: <374793f1.512268009@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 118137

Hi,

I wonder if someone could help me with something stupid that I really should know.

I have an AR8000 which was modified to accept an Opto Scout for Reaction Tuning. I also have a Scout Model 40 which I was unable to find for the past year (it was lost among a load of boxes when I moved). I just recently found it again, but not the manual.

To make a long story short, I forgot how to use the Scout by itself to record freqs. and forgot how to use it for Reaction Tuning. Can someone either help me to remember these things or point me to a website or other source?

Thanks,

Dog

1989. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Loach <kloach@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <37449D58.40AA463F@mindspring.com>
Source record: 118138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Way not just do what your paid for and you should not have a problem. If your so highly skilled, quit and find a better job, I'm sure other companies would love to have you working for them.
Bob Gardner wrote:

> No...for jamming GPS.
>
> Bob Gardner
>
> Stan wrote:
>
> > For using a search engine? Get a clue.
> >
> > On Mon, 17 May 1999 10:00:57 -0700, Bob Gardner <bobmrg@halcyon.com> wrote:
> >
> > >I think you could get a nice room in Leavenworth, Kansas, with three meals a
> > >day, exercise breaks, and lots of guys who want to be your best friend.
> > >
> > >Bob Gardner
> > >
> > >Magic 92 wrote:
> > >
> > >> bill topolski wrote:
> > >> >
> > >> > I'm looking for the freqs. for gps. The company I work for just
> > >> > install it in all of the trucks and i'm looking for a way around it.
> > >> > Does anybody have any suggestions?
> > >> > Is it possible to jam?
> > >> > Help, big brother is watching
> > >>
> > >> I went to a search engine, typed "gps" in the search box and found
> > >> this:
> > >> ---
> > >>
> > >> http://www.utexas.edu/depts/grg/gcraft/notes/gps/gps.html
> > >>
> > >> GPS Satellite Signals
> > >>
> > >> The SVs transmit two microwave carrier signals. The L1 frequency
> > >> (1575.42 MHz) carries the navigation message and the SPS code signals.
> > >>
> > >> The L2 frequency (1227.60 MHz) is used to measure the ionospheric
> > >> delay by PPS equipped receivers.

> > >>
> > >> Three binary codes shift the L1 and/or L2 carrier phase.
> > >> The C/A Code (Coarse Acquisition) modulates the L1 carrier phase.
> > >> The C/A code is a repeating 1 MHz Pseudo Random Noise (PRN) Code.
> > >> This noise-like code modulates the L1 carrier signal, "spreading"
> > >> the spectrum over a 1 MHz bandwidth.
> > >>
> > >> The C/A code repeats every 1023 bits (one millisecond). There is a
> > >> different C/A code PRN for each SV. GPS satellites are often identified
> > >> by their PRN number, the unique identifier for each pseudo-random-noise
> > >> code. The C/A code that modulates the L1 carrier is the basis for the
> > >> civil SPS.
> > >>
> > >> The P-Code (Precise) modulates both the L1 and L2 carrier phases.
> > >> The P-Code is a very long (seven days) 10 MHz PRN code.
> > >> In the Anti-Spoofing (AS) mode of operation, the P-Code is encrypted
> > >> into the Y-Code.
> > >>
> > >> The encrypted Y-Code requires a classified AS Module for each receiver
> > >> channel and is for use only by authorized users with cryptographic keys.
> > >> The P (Y)-Code is the basis for the PPS.
> > >>
> > >> The Navigation Message also modulates the L1-C/A code signal.
> > >> The Navigation Message is a 50 Hz signal consisting of data bits
> > >> that describe the GPS satellite orbits, clock corrections, and other
> > >> system parameters.
> > >>
> > >> GPS is funded by and controlled by the U. S. Department of Defense
> > >> (DOD).
> > >> While there are many thousands of civil users of GPS world-wide, the
> > >> system was designed for and is operated by the U. S. military.
> > >> -------------
> > >>
> > >> If you still want to "jam" GPS, maybe the US Department of Defense
> > >> could answer your questions, just click here:
> > >>
> > >> ---> http://www.defenselink.mil/ <---

1990. Re: Who sells radios and stuff in kit form?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <7i271o$7uu$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 118139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Sylvan Butler (xsylvanjunkALLx@cyberhighway.net) on 19 May 1999 20:39:02 GMT wrote:
>Lynton@iname.com on Wed, 19 May 1999 09:28:26 GMT wrote:
>>I know of Tentec and Ramsey Electronics, are there others reachable by
>>web site? what is their URLs?

>http://www.northcountryradi.com has a couple.

Stupid fingers, that should be:

http://www.northcountryradio.com

sdb
--
Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!
Watch out for munged e-mail address.
User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.

1991. Re: Frequency Data base program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <3744A554.DA1FE9F1@gnc.net>
Source record: 118140
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Before I got into computer controlled scanning, in which the
database is part of the controller program, I used FileMaker Pro.
Still the easiest database program I've ever used. It is also the
only one that I've used that didn't require a 3 day class (like
Microsoft Access) to become proficient! It started out
as a Mac program but slowly crossed platforms over to the PC
world a few years back. I store most of my work (office forms)
and home records (vehicle maintenance, home inventory, etc.) in this
database. You can get more info at this link:

http://www.claris.com/

I have no affiliation with the company, I just believe they have an
exceptional product.

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Ben wrote:

> Hi,
>
> I'm looking for a simple freq. database program.
>
> Which programs are you using ?
>
> Ben.

1992. Re: Help with Opto Scout and AR8000.....Thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: ADL <eastsidezen@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <3744A45A.F9C5B1E8@fuse.net>
Source record: 118141

Try,http://www.optoelectronics.com/datasheetspage.htm

Dog wrote:

> Hi,
>
> I wonder if someone could help me with something stupid that I really
> should know.
>
> I have an AR8000 which was modified to accept an Opto Scout for
> Reaction Tuning. I also have a Scout Model 40 which I was unable to
> find for the past year (it was lost among a load of boxes when I
> moved). I just recently found it again, but not the manual.
>
> To make a long story short, I forgot how to use the Scout by itself to
> record freqs. and forgot how to use it for Reaction Tuning. Can
> someone either help me to remember these things or point me to a
> website or other source?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Dog

1993. Re: Icom R10 and Charger Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: s_c_h_u_s_t_e_r_@panix.com
Message-ID: <7i28dv$cn8$1@panix7.panix.com>
Source record: 118142

In article <927222346.159401@axilla.wwnet.net>,
Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:
>
> On a similar note, does the ICOM R-10 have a built in regulator that
>keeps the batteries from being overcharged?

No. It limits the charge current but does not monitor battery status or change the charging parameters according to the state of charge. It just pumps enough current through to charge 4 cells "overnight".

> And lastly, I'm planning on installing NiCads that are rated at 850 ma
>each. Has anyone tried this?

They should work fine.

1994. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7i27kt$rvu@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 118143
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

D Best <dbest@iquest.net> wrote:
>It won't, so I think you might save yourselves some heartache and look at
>the subject before you read the message -- or just ignore the thread
>altogehter. Is that really so difficult?

So are you saying that you wouldn't mind if the 200,000+ newsgroups were
lumped together as just one NG? After all, one would only need to inspect
the subject line as one waded through the 10 million posts each day,
right?

1995. Re: Yaesu VX-5R or Kenwood TH-D7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <3744c5a5@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 118144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

...
> Hi! How are you doing? I'm going to get a new HT either Yaesu VX-5R or
> Kenwood TH-D7A(since I may try to operate packet radio). Any suggestion?
> Thanks!!

I'm kinda partial to the VX5-R. I bought one a couple of weeks ago and cannot put it down. The small size and the 5 watt output were big sellers for me. I'm burnt out on packet, so that wasn't an issue. I also like the ability to program the radio as I like it using either ADMS or EVE software. It does a good job even on AM broadcast and the SW bands with a good antenna. It is am amazing little radio given its' size.

Dan

1996. Re: used scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <927246301.157.62@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118145

A while back Grove (www.grove-ent.com) had bought up a bunch of Uniden referbs and was selling them at reasonable (I thought) prices. It might be worth a look.

Jimtown54 wrote in message <19990519233219.13213.00002835@ng-fk1.aol.com>...
>Does any body out there know of a source that has resonable prices and
>selection on used scanners?. I am tired of trying and waiting and losing on
>ebay. HEEELLLPPP. JT

1997. Re: Frequency Data base program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <hi213.664$4a6.144@news1.atl>
Source record: 118146
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try Frequency Filer....it pretty much covers my needs (casual scannist).

http://members.aol.com/jgraff/homepage.htm

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

Ben wrote in message <7i244rgnt1@news.casema.net>...
>Hi,
>
>I'm looking for a simple freq. database program.
>
>Which programs are you using ?
>
>Ben.
>
>
>
>

1998. PRO-2006 OptoTrakker Mods Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Finney <jpfin@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3744B984.E3FF227B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello,

I am in the process of setting up my PRO-2006/OS456 with the OptoTrakker. All that is left is the discriminator and squelch mod that is desribed in the OptoTrakker manual. I have all the parts and am handy with a soldering iron. Will someone please tell me where to make these connections on the PRO-2006?
The discriminator mod calls for a connection to Test Point 2. Where is Test Point 2? Also, the squelch mod calls for a connection to IC3 Pin 3. Where is IC3 Pin 3?

Thanks for any help.

Jim

--

Jim Finney
==========
jpfin@worldnet.att.net

1999. Re: Downconverter mod for the SC150 ??? Nice site!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: EagleIII <jlshavlik@aol.com>
Message-ID: <7i2g64fed1@athena.netset.com>
Source record: 118148

NOW that's a scanning site!!

no shack is complete without an OKI 900 cell phone . . .

thanks
i'll try the sc150 and bct7

E3

ScanShack wrote in message <19990520190140.22551.00001615@ng26.aol.com>...
>From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
>
>>Do any of you have that mod for the sportcat 150?
>>
>>i have the magazine article of a few years back but can't
>>find the points without a schematic.
>
>Try the link below. It has a version of the VDC mod for the SC150 provided
by
>pro43@aol.com
>
>http://members.aol.com/noobody/scan4a.htm
>
>Hope this helps !
>
>
>
>Visit The SCAN SHACK
>A FREE scanner information web page.
>Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
>virtual down conversion info & more at:
>
>http://members.aol.com/scanshack
>
>Happy Scanning !

2000. FS: Pro2005 w/ 6,400 memories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jedi_force@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26819-3744BF7A-143@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118149
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm selling my Pro2005 base scanner with manual and service manual and receives cell phones and has 6,400 memories and also has a short wave mod where you can hear short wave through the scanner. Great condition and comes with a external speaker and base telescope antenna and a radio shack 20-014 base house antenna with about 50 feet of RG6 coax cable. I will sell all for $450.00 dollars not including shipping.Will not sell any thing separate. Sell only as a package.
Thanks

2001. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <Sh413.231$sb7.67494@insync>
Source record: 118150
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

They have to be type accepted for spectral purity..

Not for frequency coverage... And the RCI radios would pass for frequency coverage because out of the box they only cover the legal 10 meter ham band.

Idiot.

Toll Free
Asstrodome City

Smoking areas in restaurants are like peeing areas in swimming pools!!

Stanley wrote in message <3742CBA9.E29334E2@bigfoot.com>...
>
>
>Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
>> :They are
>> :both 10 meter radios which can be converted to broadcast on 11 meters as
>> :well. They are illegal to use in the U.S. even on 10 meters because they
>> :are NOT type accepted for use in the U.S. because they are for EXPORT.
>>
>> They can be used legally on 10 metres by licensed amateurs because there
>> is no type approval required for amateur radio. I can legally build and
>> use a 10 metre transmitter on FM from 29 to 29.7 MHz up to 120 watts.
>>
>> :(I love mine)
>>
>> They can be fun.
>>
>> Barry
>
>Sorry but you are wrong. Commercially produced radios do have to be type
>excepted to use in the amateur band in the US. Only home-brew equipment
does
>not have to be type excepted, but even home-brew equipment have to comply
>with FCC specs. Get yourself a copy of Part 95, it's all there.
>--
>Stanley
>
>KC4DPC Beacon List Site: http://jump.to/bcn
>
>
>

2002. Re: used scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Auction6@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19626-3744C711-1@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118151

I have a Uniden BC200xlt handheld scanner with the box, manual, antenna and AC adapter I'll sell for $150.00. Let me know.

2003. FS: IC-R10(Full), Scout & Optolinx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <37447B3A.313DBCA7@bv.net>
Source record: 118152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

FOR SALE, all in perfect condition with all accessories.

Icom IC-R10 Unblocked with box, manual, software, cable, NiCds, charger.
$375.00

Opto Scout (model 40) with R10 reaction tune cable, box, manual, case
and DB32 stubby antenna w/charger
$350.00

Opto Optolinx PC hub unit, with charger, cables, box and manual $75

I will sell entire package for $750. I would personally rather sell the
entire load together. Postal MO prepay ONLY or FedEx COD...Last buyer
backed out.

2004. FS: R10, Scout(40) & Optolinx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <37447BFD.93B0034C@bv.net>
Source record: 118153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

FOR SALE, all in perfect condition with all accessories.

Icom IC-R10 Unblocked with box, manual, software, cable, NiCds, charger.

$375.00

Opto Scout (model 40) with R10 reaction tune cable, box, manual, case
and DB32 stubby antenna w/charger
$350.00

Opto Optolinx PC hub unit, with charger, cables, box and manual $75

I will sell entire package for $750. I would personally rather sell the
entire load together. Postal MO prepay ONLY or FedEx COD...Last buyer
backed out.

2005. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kh2d@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7i3afnhdb1@brokaw.wa.com>
Source record: 118154
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote:

>This is all very well but it does not answer my question as to what do
>they talk about on rec.radio.amateur.dx?

Well, Keith, we used to talk about DX. But then all these Morse code
geeks and hot women from SOC.PENPALS started posting here and
looks like everybody who was interested in DX left. Haven't seen
anything DX related for quite a while.....

73, Jim KH2D

2006. 800MHz Trunked /v\ STX's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Signal Communications <signalcomm@excelonline.com>
Message-ID: <7i3vqhrgc1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 118155

Great for listening to type 1 trunked 800MHz systems, have single talk group models starting at $60.00 and full keypad and display STX's w/ batteries and charger for $250.00

Send me an email if you are interested, able to program for you on your system.

Thanks,
Jeff

--

Sginal Communications, Easton, PA 18044, (888) 364-4731, (610) 258-1909, Fax (610) 258-1371
Web: http://www.freeyellow.com/members6/signalcomm/

2007. Re: FS - BC9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Edmund Prevost <Protech@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <37445908.1F2A@concentric.net>
Source record: 118156
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bob Esposito wrote:
>
> Uniden BC9000XLT Base Scanner in mint condition.
> - 500 channels with alphanumeric display
> - CTCSS tone board installed
> - Original carton and manual
>
> $285 shipped
>
> -Bob
>
> rjespo@voicenet.com
Would u swap for an ar8000 or bc2500 both have mods. I need mobile
units. (I know this bc9000 is a base, but i believe it has 12v input.)

--
Edmund A. Prevost, LPI
Protech Investigations, LLC
New Orleans, Louisiana
1-800-752-6756
FAX 504-454-3133
Protech@Concentric.Net

2008. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <45408FE78AA15481.CD38FEB6EDF22456.ED63F102232FD53E@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 118187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> Just how important is it to you to be able to goof off, anyway?

There is a HUGE difference between employment and slavery.
When you employ someone you don't take over their soul, you pay
for them to do a job.
By law, a person gets all kinds of cool breaks and times off.
Many employees work their asses off with overtime and even lose touch
with their families and relatived just because they would rather kiss your lousy ass
than starve.
The least you can do for employees is get off their asses and let them have their free time
doing whatever the hell they want, as long as it doesn't damage your oh so precious
machinery.

2009. FS: VX-1R, HS 200, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <kb2voj@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3743bcf0.0@nntp2.borg.com>
Source record: 118188
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

VX-1R in new condition $200
Firmware A102, box and literature, spare Li battery, charger, Comet SMA-3 antenna, case, spring belt clip and original clip.

Relm HS-200 Scanner in new condition $135
All original accessories, only 2 months old.

OptoElctronics MINI counter with antenna, like new $65
Pager size frequency counter with packaging, manual, and optional antenna.

Pro 43 excellent condition $200
With box, manual, antenna, case. Date code 9A3, but has never been opened up.
Like new......only flaw: the matte finish has gotten a little shinny around the volume control...but no scratches,worn lettering, or damage.

Shipping included to lower 48 states. Money order preferred.

Thanks,

John - KB2VOJ
kb2voj@bigfoot.com

2010. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: bk43 <bk43@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7i0fgp$71p$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118189
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The other part of the argument is correct.
The radios are not "Illegal", per say.
Jeff

Stanley wrote in message <3742CBA9.E29334E2@bigfoot.com>...
>
>
>Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
>> :They are
>> :both 10 meter radios which can be converted to broadcast on 11 meters as
>> :well. They are illegal to use in the U.S. even on 10 meters because they
>> :are NOT type accepted for use in the U.S. because they are for EXPORT.
>>
>> They can be used legally on 10 metres by licensed amateurs because there
>> is no type approval required for amateur radio. I can legally build and
>> use a 10 metre transmitter on FM from 29 to 29.7 MHz up to 120 watts.
>>
>> :(I love mine)
>>
>> They can be fun.
>>
>> Barry
>
>Sorry but you are wrong. Commercially produced radios do have to be type
>excepted to use in the amateur band in the US. Only home-brew equipment
does
>not have to be type excepted, but even home-brew equipment have to comply
>with FCC specs. Get yourself a copy of Part 95, it's all there.
>--
>Stanley
>
>KC4DPC Beacon List Site: http://jump.to/bcn
>
>
>

2011. Re: Pro-26 Virtual Down Conversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3743EA28.A390DAAE@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 118190

Phil Smalley wrote:
> It simply amazes me how many people are willing to hose a perfectly good
> radio just to listen to stupid cell phones.

Well said, Phil. Most, if they are intent on "infringing" the
law, should simply buy a larger antenna and listen to the image
frequencies. They will quickly get bored without having had
hacked their radio in the process.

There is a LOT more excitement out there than cell...

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2012. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3743FA07.3955AAC0@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 118191

I take a day off to enjoy the Star Wars: The Phantom Menace
"experience" and when I return...whoa...what's this? ;-)

First, let me just add my appreciation for all the kind
words people have offered up on my behalf. Your support of
Strong Signals means a lot to me and my efforts to make it
a great resource for hobbyists of all levels. I'm pleased
to hear that people enjoy what it has to offer!

Second, I can understand how someone might misread my tone
in my FAQ and mistake it for "arrogance." I would hope that
my opening comments in that FAQ:

In light of the repetitious nature of newsgroup
activity and the abusive replies that sometimes
follow, I took it upon myself to create this "FAQ"
to help cut down on unnecessary activity and offer
assistance to those who may not know where to start
looking for reference material.

would represent my true intentions. While these newsgroups
are a great source of information and there are a number
of helpful individuals, that doesn't mean that all
experiences are good ones.

It was my hope that by posting a FAQ of helpful hints
that the beginners who often "lurk" here could gain
some useful knowledge in their quest. A place to begin
their research without having to stick their neck out
or having to deal with possible abusive replies that
might even discourage them from pursuing our hobby.

And I must say that I've had a LOT of feedback on my
FAQ and up until this point, it's all been positive.
But I have no qualms about taking the good with the
"bad". That's the risk I take sticking my neck out for
others. Something many can't or won't appreciate unless
they've taken the time and effort to do the same.

Anyone who knows or has dealt with me or my site knows
my real goals at helping to promote our hobby. And it
really is as simple as that. Nothing more, nothing less.

Again, thanks for all the kind words and happiest of
monitoring to everyone!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2013. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <NR2q5CAmW7Q3EwVC@virgin.net>
Source record: 118192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <3743440D.7F2B@sir.com>, Sir <sir@sir.com> writes
>> Yeah. I found this really interesting. But what has all this got to do
>> with Soc Penpals? I don't really care anything about radio
>> communications of any sort. So why not just keep this on the boards that
>> apply and take it off soc.penpals.
>
>
>Let me try to explain. When people reply to a post on a newsgroup, they
>don't usually read what newsgroups are all listed and delete the ones
>they THINK are not appropriate. They just hit send, and it goes to all
>the newsgroups the original post was on. Blaming the person who replied
>to the post is silly, he did not choose the newsgroups listed.
>
>And to delete any of the groups would be just as silly, because you are
>sending a reply to the post and don't know which of the groups he will
>be checking back to read your reply, so it makes sense to leave
>everything as is.
>
>Why do people hate logic and common sense so much?
I am the first to agree that many lack common sense these days, but what
happened to all those complaints we used to get from newsgroups that
your posting was 'inappropriate' to the newsgroup in question!
Let's suppose we broadcast this thread in say uk.misc or talk.bizarre,
or maybe even soc.culture.israel then they would soon let us know about
it!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2014. Rich Wells Criticized????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7i10mf$m7v$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 118193

Since I sort of started this thread, I will attempt to end it. Most of the time when I see a post I do not agree with, I simply move along to the next knowing that everyone has an opinion. It is not necessary for us all to think the same way. However, when a post such as the one I replied to, personally attacks the reputation of a person who is a MAJOR CONTRIBUTOR to this group and the hobby as a whole, I will not let it go unchallenged. Yes, I could have just ignored this post, and maybe it would have went away, but to allow a complete misrepresentation of Rich's character and intent go unanswered was just not an option. Like the old saying goes: "A lie left uncorrected turns into fact".

There has been a sufficient number of posts now, that Rich knows we value his expertise and his willingness to assist those of us known as the "knowledge impaired". I ask the group to now "just let it go". If you would like to let Rich know that you appreciate his efforts, leave a message at www.strongsignals.com. If you would like to flame me for starting this I'm at bstethem@(nospam)attco.com.

There is no controversy connected to Rich Wells as there was with Bill Cheek. I'm sure Rich would rather see this group get back to what it was started for.

Thanks,
Bryan Stethem
bstethem@(nospam)attco.com
(remove the obvious)

2015. FS: 2006 with FULL-456opto

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sputnik <sputnik@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <XJT03.127$_g1.15522@news3.voicenet.com>
Source record: 118194

Have a 2006 with a FULL 456Opto board installed comes complete with Probe 3 and 4 (i know i have 4) open to offers (stupid offers will be ignored)

2016. Re: Rich Wells Criticized????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7i125knfr1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 118195

GEEZ!!!!! I post a message asking for everyone to let this thread die a quick death and now I'm the first to reply to it!!

There is an glaring error in my post. Rich Wells' Strong Signals web site is at www.strongsignals.net. I apologize for this error. I'm just so used to typing DOT COM after everything.

2017. Re: Best Mobile Scanner Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Terry Parsons <tp04417@navix.net>
Message-ID: <37440C51.5E00226D@navix.net>
Source record: 118196

Raz Barlow wrote:

> I was wondering what the best mobile scanner antenna was for $30 or less . I
> don't really care about how it mounts . But I know that the thru the glass
> antennas for CB radios SUCK ! Is it the same for scanner antennas also ?
> Give me your opinions
> Thanks,
> Raz

You can make an excellent scanner antenna for about $4!! Simply take a chassis-mount so-239 connector (the kind with 4 holes on the corners, about $1.79), and get some scrap welding rod from a friend or someone who welds. cut a straight piece of the welding rod about 36" long, and, turning the so-239 upside down, solder the piece of rod into the inside of the so-239. then cut 4 pieces of rod 20" long, and attach them to the 4 holes in the corners of the connectors with screws, lock washers, and nuts (tightly). Bend down the 4 radials at a 40 degree angle, and voila! you have an excellent broad-band scanner antenna for very little. Atach the coax with a pl-259 connector to the so-239 connector, put it outside, and you're in business.

2018. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7i12cq$2bs$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Spike wrote in message
<45408FE78AA15481.CD38FEB6EDF22456.ED63F102232FD53E@library-proxy.airnew
s.net>...
> Just how important is it to you to be able to goof off, anyway?

There is a HUGE difference between employment and slavery.
When you employ someone you don't take over their soul, you pay
for them to do a job.
By law, a person gets all kinds of cool breaks and times off.
Many employees work their asses off with overtime and even lose touch
with their families and relatived just because they would rather kiss
your lousy ass
than starve.
The least you can do for employees is get off their asses and let them
have their free time
doing whatever the hell they want, as long as it doesn't damage your oh
so precious machinery.

Oh, I don't know, seems to me you want to take his money, what's wrong
with living up to why he wants to give it to you.

Like was said earlier, now is probably the best time to look for a job.

I worked for a while doing 'tracking' with garbage trucks. Talk about
something that could use GPS!! First off, the route was loaded into a
driver terminal via IR, then the system recorded milage as well as other
factors.

Some terminals got 'damaged' by the drivers. But a pattern quickly
emerged as to who damaged them and when. Then watching those
routes it was found some drivers had their 'own' customers...

Mike

2019. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7i12j7$2pk$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118198

Oh, I see. If they don't plan on using it in court, it's ok for them to break the law...

Mike

FireBuff66 wrote in message <19990519205223.04642.00002275@ng24.aol.com>...
>>But when the 'authorities' want to listen at an 'account', they have to
>>go through channels and have the right paperwork, ie warrant.
>
>Only if they want to use it court.
>
>//
>
>Pursuant to U.S. Code, title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, §227,
>"Any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
>is subject to a download and archival fee of $500.00 U.S."
>E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2020. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7i12t3$35o$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118199

You know, I saw the original post, and I thought that the suggestion for reading was pretty well thought out, and well intentioned, even though it was kind of 'pointy'.

A lot of newbies want quick answers, but at the same time they want the reference to read at their leisure. In that regard, the list was pretty
damn good.

I thought the posting about censorship was paranoid and reationary. The original post didn't say you couldn't post questions, it didn't even give me the impression that the simple asked the same every week questions weren't to be tolerated, but that if you wanted to look first instead of appearing foolish, here's where some answers could be found.

But still asking questions is a LOT easier than reading a book to find that one piece of datum.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

2021. Re: Novist Needs Help With Software Decoding Questions??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Theo Annes <th.annes@wxs.nl>
Message-ID: <7i16c0qde1@reader.wxs.nl>
Source record: 118200
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is Theo from Holland, do you need programms??
I'm workink whit CW, RTTY , Morse etc.
All in combination whit a'n hamcom modem, very cheep =/- fl.25,-
i have also the programms for packetradio
jest tell what you need.

Robert heeft geschreven in bericht ...
>I just got the hamcom interface and wanted to know if someone could point
>me in the correct direction as to where I can find frequency's for any type
>of data that can be decoded with this product. Such as rtty ascii cw and
>wefax or any others. I am located in the ca Los Angeles area so maybe
>someone would have a freq. or two. Any help is greatly appreciated...
>
>Thanks...
>
>Robert
>
>

2022. Cloning Kenwood TK-760

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dunsmuir Volunteer Fire Association <dunsmrfd@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <37442550.D02B267B@inreach.com>
Source record: 118201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

I am looking for info on the procedure and cable pin out for cloning a
Kenwood tk-760

2023. Cloning Kenwood TK-270

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dunsmuir Volunteer Fire Association <dunsmrfd@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <374425DC.74DD2881@inreach.com>
Source record: 118202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.firefighters

Looking for info on procedure and cable pinouts for cloning Kenwood
TK-270

2024. Re: New NYPD Frequencies???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <374454bc.4391955@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 118203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

my advice? if you are not using a frequency agile radio wait thill
the whole city is finished.On Fri, 7 May 1999 15:32:28 -0400, "Gary"
<MJSCommunications@erols.com> wrote:

>Recently I got back into some local scanning, but things aren't what they
>were just a few months ago. Can anyone advise the LATEST NYPD Frequencies??
>Just when I thought I had em all, they went and changed em! Thanks in
>advance for the help.
>
>Gary
>
>

2025. FOR SALE Motorola radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lukus <nrfd@epix.net>
Message-ID: <374431FD.938DC126@epix.net>
Source record: 118204
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Radios forsale on Ebay

MOTOROLA MAXAR VHF MOBILE 2-WAY RADIO
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=106078453

MOTOROLA MITREK VHF MOBILE 2-WAY RADIO
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=106092875

MOTOROLA BASE VHF 2-WAY RADIO
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=106101477

2026. ICOM 8500 losing sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: robertr@netcom.com
Message-ID: <robertrFC1G08.FAy@netcom.com>
Source record: 118205

I recently connected an outdoor ICOM discone to the ICOM 8500,
after previously using the ICOM with various indoor whip and amplified
antennas with no problems. Everything seemed Ok for about a week until
a heavy rain (no major lightning though, so shouldn't have had any damage).
After removing the coax to connect to another radio and then putting it back
in, signals strengths on VHF (especially FM and TV) are dramatically
reduced (stations coming in at 7 now get about a 3). I tried again with
the indoor whips and results were even worse. Ironically, the shortwave section below 30
mhz is fine, though it uses an SO-239 connection instead of N.
Anyone run into something like this with the ICOM, or am I just getting
really yucky propagation?

2027. Re: Ebay: Motorola GP63, GP69, GP68+ Service Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7i1gbl$805$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap

In article <37439277.5889559@news.bc.sympatico.ca>,
ve7fet@nospam.psychonet.net wrote:
> Motorola GP60 Series Service/Programming Manual...

Darn...I am working on a "Programming the GP-68" Web page, but I sure never considered SELLING the information to anyone.

Well, the manuals DO come with schematics.

See "Programming the Motorola(tm) GP-68" at:

http://www.clintbradford.com

and choose my Amateur radio site.

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2028. NEW SCANNERMASTER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3744653e.8617887@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 118207

Does anyone know when it will be out?
thanks gig.

2029. FS: 800 mhz scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: twentyover@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990520131119.11225.00004011@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 118208

Clean Realistic pro-39 $160.00

Good Realistic pro-43 $180.00

Clean Realistic pro-2026 $145.00

These all have full 800 and work perfectly with manuals. Prices include

shipping.

Greg

2030. Yaesu VX-5R or Kenwood TH-D7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vic <iden1000@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xIX03.14608$J74.2112060@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 118209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi! How are you doing? I'm going to get a new HT either Yaesu VX-5R or Kenwood TH-D7A(since I may try to operate packet radio). Any suggestion? Thanks!!

73,
Vic

2031. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7i1i9j$5e8$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 118210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Keith Bennett wrote in message <6pFnHaApnzQ3Ewx3@virgin.net>...
>Well Phil have you ever seen the results of a gasoline vapor explosion?
>No of course you have not!

And just how would YOU know??? Anyhow....

>But I have. And the resultant skin burns are
>not pretty to see. Any electronic device is capable of producing short
>circuits and sparks. Activating cellphone keypads may or may not induce
>an electrical connection, however small, but you can bet your bottom
>dollar that if there was such a fault produced then you would be the
>poor schmuck standing there pumping unleaded gas into your car! We here
>in the UK do not have vapor vacuums fitted to the gas pumps, so the
>whole area around a busy market gas station is full of gas fumes.
>All I am considering is the personal safety of my family and myself, and
>if you don't like it Phil you can go and stuff yourself!

Keith, there is a difference between being safe and being paranoid. Being safe would be to ask some idiot who is puffing away on a cigarette at the pump to put it out. Paranoid is what you described. There are far better chances of an explosion being set off by a backfiring car then by someone's cell phone. Or do you insist that those around you push their cars away from the pump before starting them? Don't you see how ridiculous you are being?

Or could it be that you are just one of the many weirdlings we get in here who have nothing better to do than pick fights on usenet. I've seen my share of them too. Which are you? Time will tell.

-Phil

2032. Re: NEW SCANNERMASTER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7i1li9$hrn@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118211

In <3744653e.8617887@news.i-2000.com> gig@i-2000.com (gig) writes:
>
>Does anyone know when it will be out?
> thanks gig.

Pray tell, What is a ScannerMaster?

sounds like a antennae

or some software

or something secret....

open the door please...share

bill conduit
etc.

2033. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11ae0e3e785ba67b98979f@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118212

I communicate regularly with Rich by email and have offered some of my own advice over time to add to his excellent group of folks that use and experiment with our hobby all of the time. While I don't always agree with everything I read, I am certainly interested in being aware of the experiences that others have had with equipment that I may indeed be interested in myself. I thing by far Rich's site is the best laid out and the most informative we have available to us in this radio scanning hobby. It seems there is always going to be a mentally deficient individual out there in cyberland that has something retarded to add to the muck we all see on the net daily. I just ignore them. That is the only way to get rid of them.....

2034. Re: Best Mobile Scanner Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7i1o68$d51$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 118213

In article <37440C51.5E00226D@navix.net>, tp04417@navix.net says...
>
>
>--------------7255EB447486EB3D095024A7
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>
>
>Raz Barlow wrote:
>
>> I was wondering what the best mobile scanner antenna was for $30 or less .
I
>> don't really care about how it mounts . But I know that the thru the glass
>> antennas for CB radios SUCK ! Is it the same for scanner antennas also ?
>> Give me your opinions
>> Thanks,
>> Raz
>
>You can make an excellent scanner antenna for about $4!! Simply take a
>chassis-mount so-239 connector (the kind with 4 holes on the corners, about
>$1.79), and get some scrap welding rod from a friend or someone who welds.
cut
>a straight piece of the welding rod about 36" long, and, turning the so-239
>upside down, solder the piece of rod into the inside of the so-239. then
cut 4
>pieces of rod 20" long, and attach them to the 4 holes in the corners of the
>connectors with screws, lock washers, and nuts (tightly). Bend down the 4
>radials at a 40 degree angle, and voila! you have an excellent broad-band
>scanner antenna for very little. Atach the coax with a pl-259 connector to
the
>so-239 connector, put it outside, and you're in business.
>
snip
And if you want to mount it in a fixed location, get some 1/2 EMT conduit,
with one of the couplers (for joining 2 pices of EMT). A SO259 will fit
nice and sug in one end, while the other end clamps to the EMT. Some RTV
will seal the whole thing and it will last for years. (The coax goes up
trough the conduit). I have one in service at work and it has been up for
over 15 years and still works great.

2035. Downconverter mod for the SC150 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <7i1pao$7mm@nntpa.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 118214

Do any of you have that mod for the sportcat 150?

i have the magazine article of a few years back but can't
find the points without a schematic. something like q45??
or something.
can you lay it out a little simpler?

thanks
billh

2036. Re: Yaesu VX-5R or Kenwood TH-D7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Johns <jeffj@scott.net>
Message-ID: <199904201448.PNR05852@scott.net>
Source record: 118215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Vic writes:

> Hi! How are you doing? I'm going to get a new HT either Yaesu VX-5R or
> Kenwood TH-D7A(since I may try to operate packet radio). Any suggestion?
> Thanks!!

Although I have no preference of the two radios you listed, they both will
work for packet radio, at least at speeds of 1200bps.

73 Jeff W4JEF

--------- Jeff Johns W4JEF - AMSAT# 32615 - QRP-L# 1857 ----------
jeffj@scott.net w4jef@amsat.org	Reserve Patrol Captain
Satellite: Mir R0MIR-1, AO-27	Jefferson County Sheriff's Dept
200LX+BayPac+FT50=Portable Packet	QTH Birmingham, AL USA
------------ Finger jeffj@scott.net for my PGP RSA key -----------

2037. Re: FOR SALE Motorola radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <n8_03.104$mv6.2363@sapphire.mtt.net>
Source record: 118216
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <374431FD.938DC126@epix.net>
Lukus <nrfd@epix.net> wrote:

> Radios forsale on Ebay
>
> MOTOROLA MAXAR VHF MOBILE 2-WAY RADIO
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=106078453
>
> MOTOROLA MITREK VHF MOBILE 2-WAY RADIO
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=106092875
>
> MOTOROLA BASE VHF 2-WAY RADIO
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=106101477
>
>

Shud read FA -FOR SALE RADIOS

2038. Re: Yupiteru9000-4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: shepgsd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990520162730.02910.00002692@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 118217

Used less than 5 hours? Even for an expert it would require more than that to completely test out the radio. Are you sure you want to sell it so soon?

2039. Re: New NYPD Frequencies???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <MJSCommunications@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7i1t8u$ip1$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 118218
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I do have several frequency agile radios (I like that by the way), but some are not and need to be done the hard way. Oh well. Guess we'll see how this plays out!
BTW, thanks to all who responded to me on this thread. :)
Take care and be safe

Gary

gig <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message news:374454bc.4391955@news.i-2000.com...
> my advice? if you are not using a frequency agile radio wait thill
> the whole city is finished.On Fri, 7 May 1999 15:32:28 -0400, "Gary"
> <MJSCommunications@erols.com> wrote:
>
> >Recently I got back into some local scanning, but things aren't what they
> >were just a few months ago. Can anyone advise the LATEST NYPD Frequencies??
> >Just when I thought I had em all, they went and changed em! Thanks in
> >advance for the help.
> >
> >Gary
> >
> >
>

2040. FS-AOR 8000 as new in the box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990520170406.08322.00002657@ng22.aol.com>
Source record: 118219

US model, complete in the box with no modifications.

Asking $350 shipped lower 48 States.

2041. Re: ICOM R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgarrettRRR@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <37447648.15291806@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 118220

I just bought a R-2 and so far love it!!! I too found the manual
lacking in some areas...after nosing around on the net I downloaded
Rich Wells review of this little jewel and it really helped understand
some of the key combos...
http://strongsignals.net/htm/revr2.htm

Good Luck!!!

Julian Garrett remove RRR to reply via e-mail

On 19 May 1999 01:58:58 GMT, jimtown54@aol.com (Jimtown54) wrote:

>Does anyone out there having problems understanding the owners manual ?. I find
>it to be very cunfusing. Is there a web site out there that might have easier
>directions, or any who has got a good grip on it. Please email me back .Thanks
>Jimtown

2042. Re: Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <AQ_03.7819$97.5162@stones>
Source record: 118221

Shiva Nataraja <removals1@iname.com> wrote in message
news:374398eb.7444271@news...
> On Wed, 19 May 1999 20:11:20 -0400, "Digitaal_Disrupter"
> <Superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >Is this unit cell blocked?
>
> Most definitely not. In fact, when used with the DB32 antenna, it will
> pick up a cellular phone (distance unknown) before it will pick up a
> fast food drive-thru speaker box 10 feet away.
>

took mine back for a refund today , I thought it was poo !, it will not pick up a gsm mobile phone (not mine anyway) and i tx'ed a 4watt transmitter on a 21 ft antenna 20 feet away and nothing !.

i even done a little test with the top of the range scout and the mini scout and neither picked up a small 49 mhz walkie talkie from around 15 feet away !.

not good enough for me anyway

eavsdropper

2043. Re: New NYPD Frequencies???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990520173116.11236.00004224@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 118222

Where are you looking in NYC for Frequencies? The whole city is about to change. Staten Island went 1st no Brooklyn and Queens. Any other questions please ask.

73
Mike KC2CYE

2044. Re: Wanted: Nassau County NY new PD Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990520173413.11236.00004225@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 118223

Can you send me a list of some of the regular Nassau PD freqs? I will be out there shortly and wondering if it is 800mhz or VHF.

Thanks
Mike

2045. Re: NEW SCANNERMASTER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7i1vc0$9q1$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 118224

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote in message <7i1li9$hrn@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>...
>In <3744653e.8617887@news.i-2000.com> gig@i-2000.com (gig) writes:
>>
>>Does anyone know when it will be out?
>> thanks gig.
>
> Pray tell, What is a ScannerMaster?
>
> sounds like a antennae
>
> or some software
>
> or something secret....
>
> open the door please...share
>

Actually, it's a book! There are several different regions and they cover mainly the Northeast. It's put out by the same folks who make Monitor America.

You can get more info on them at www.scannermaster.com

-Phil

2046. Realistic PRO-2025

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Tortoishell" <g.tortoishell@siratc.u-net.com>
Message-ID: <5B%03.1665$T3.4423@newsr2.u-net.net>
Source record: 118225

I've been given a PRO-2025 scanner and would appreciate a little help! It has no instructions so could somebody provide an idiot's guide on how to drive it? The audio amp (TDA 1905) is duff - does anyone know if they're still available or if a pin-for-pin equivalent exists?

GT

2047. Guide To Monitoring The Space Shuttle - RadiosOnLine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <tN%03.783$Pz5.77@news.flash.net>
Source record: 118226

Hello All:
I just finished an article on RadiosOnLine which hopefully will help
those who which to monitor the Space Shuttle on its up-coming launch.
I've included HF, VHF, and UHF frequencies used during the lunch as well
as frequencies NASA uses in day-to-day operations.

http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

Have fun
George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <---RadiosOnLine E-Zine
--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

2048. How could you go along with it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: truth2btld@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990520181343.22561.00002629@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 118227

This is not a spam. I can't find the original post to quote but this guy will know what I'm talking about.

First of all this is going back many years. The b_stards first burnt down her house to try to get rid of any evidence she might have against them in the future. Unfortunately, she survived with the lyrics they were after. They were and still are stealing from her. And if that weren't enough, they have made it virtually impossible for her to find work never mind follow the dreams she once had. They've basically blackballed her by telling some lie that nobody will talk about thereby creating a wall of confusion. Now they want to torture her as if SHE was the one who screwed up THEIR life! They've been entering her home altering documents, stealing and damaging her things. They set up their little network to stalk and harass her constantly. Then they convince themselves she's a non-human and have begun to physically torture her to get their kicks. They have raped her and she can't even report it because those guys back up one anothers story! She's basically hopeless at this point because they keep covering up all evidence and boy do they have connections! That's obviously why people that know are either afraid to talk or are just as sadistic as the emotionless, perverted b_stards that are doing this to her. They even filmed themselves violating her and pass the vid around for kicks. I think this is disgusting and If you think it's "cool" then you need a soul check! As for me, I'm doing whatever I can to help her get any proof I can. I don't know how I will do it especially knowing these are public officials and media people we're talking about that are the initiators. All I know is I can't stand around and watch an innocent person be taken advantage of and suffer needlessly at the hands of bully's. God knows just ignoring it will only make them think they can do it to other's in the future. They've got to be stopped!

Well, that's the gist of what's really happening (actually it's even worse). You shouldn't believe all the hype you heard, they're just trying to turn you against her to cover their own a_sses can't you see that? You should come clean with what you know. If your afraid then just send an anonymous letter to state & government officials asking them to put an end to it.

To everyone else who has no idea what this is about, I apologise (no spam).

Thanks for hearing me out.

2049. EDACS- What Is High Pitched Noise?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb9akp <kb9akpNoSpam@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37448947.C82AE7D1@ibm.net>
Source record: 118228

Hello all,

I have a question about EDACS....here goes.

With the up and coming 245xlt I'm trying to isolate the actual repeaters for all of the GE systems in my area. Since I don't have a data slicer, I've been doing it the crude way. What I've been doing is finding the data channels in my area and then, using the online FCC database, Percon, and so forth, I find all of the associated repeater frequencies associated with the data channel. I put them all in my scanner and scan them for a couple of days to see which ones are active.

On some of the frequencies associated with the systems I hear phone calls with a loud squealing tone over them. For instance, one particular system (an SMR) in my area is licensed 30 frequencies and of those 30 repeater frequencies, 20 of them only have these phone calls with the loud squeal and don't have any radio transmissions on them.

My question is what are these phone calls/repeaters and are they associated with the system? Am I going to need to program those into my scanner in case they become part of the SMR?

Any help appreciated,
David

2050. New Dutch Police Department added to Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: PI6ALK <pi6alk@tss-telecom.nl>
Message-ID: <7i2257$f7d@news3.euro.net>
Source record: 118229

http://ww.tss-telecom.nl/pi6alk

Now available the Amsterdam Police and the North Holland North department

2051. Re: Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <927221649.980090@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 118230

How old is the Opto scout your talking about?

DD

Shiva Nataraja wrote in message <374398eb.7444271@news>...
>On Wed, 19 May 1999 20:11:20 -0400, "Digitaal_Disrupter"
><Superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:
>
>>Is this unit cell blocked?
>
>Most definitely not. In fact, when used with the DB32 antenna, it will
>pick up a cellular phone (distance unknown) before it will pick up a
>fast food drive-thru speaker box 10 feet away.
>

2052. Re: Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <927221676.159805@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 118231

How old is it?

aor8200 wrote in message ...
>Not mine...
>
>--
>---------------------------
>Regards
>Stephan
>Nuuk - Greenland
>
>
>Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:927140757.114870@axilla.wwnet.net...
>> Is this unit cell blocked?
>>
>> DD
>>
>>
>
>

2053. Re: Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <927221743.166074@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 118232

We tried it on a PCS phone, and it did not pick it up. Even when the phone
was placed within 5 inches of the unit.

DD

Shiva Nataraja wrote in message <374398eb.7444271@news>...
>On Wed, 19 May 1999 20:11:20 -0400, "Digitaal_Disrupter"
><Superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:
>
>>Is this unit cell blocked?
>
>Most definitely not. In fact, when used with the DB32 antenna, it will
>pick up a cellular phone (distance unknown) before it will pick up a
>fast food drive-thru speaker box 10 feet away.
>

2054. Icom R10 and Charger Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <927222346.159401@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 118233

Hello,

I've acquired a used R10 that came with the Icom BC-110 Charger.
The charger is rated at 12v at 200 ma. In the manual, it shows a BC-74 (ma
rating unknown). Does anyone know what the rating of a BC-74 is?

On a similar note, does the ICOM R-10 have a built in regulator that
keeps the batteries from being overcharged?

And lastly, I'm planning on installing NiCads that are rated at 850 ma
each. Has anyone tried this?

DD

2055. Re: Downconverter mod for the SC150 ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990520190140.22551.00001615@ng26.aol.com>
Source record: 118234

From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com

>Do any of you have that mod for the sportcat 150?
>
>i have the magazine article of a few years back but can't
>find the points without a schematic.

Try the link below. It has a version of the VDC mod for the SC150 provided by
pro43@aol.com

http://members.aol.com/noobody/scan4a.htm

Hope this helps !

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

2056. Re: Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990520193407.08836.00004684@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 118235

>Subject: Optoelectronics Scout
>Path:

>From: "Digitaal_Disrupter" <Superwarbird@juno.com>

>Is this unit cell blocked?

Why would it be....? Its a freq counter....
it doesn't demodulate the signal for you to
hear anything..... it just reads the frequency
of an RF field...

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

2057. Re: Icom R10 and Charger Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37449af3$0$2481@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 118236

Based on advice from others on this NG, I bought a Radio Shack Quick Charger and some Ni-Cads for my R-10. I've been very happy with this setup, and it helps keep your unit safe from any accidents that might occur with charging them in the scanner. The quick charger does it in about 1 1/2 hours.

Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com> wrote in message news:927222346.159401@axilla.wwnet.net...
> Hello,
>
> I've acquired a used R10 that came with the Icom BC-110 Charger.
> The charger is rated at 12v at 200 ma. In the manual, it shows a BC-74 (ma
> rating unknown). Does anyone know what the rating of a BC-74 is?
>
> On a similar note, does the ICOM R-10 have a built in regulator
that
> keeps the batteries from being overcharged?
>
> And lastly, I'm planning on installing NiCads that are rated at 850
ma
> each. Has anyone tried this?
>
> DD
>
>
>

2058. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin <kev@hotkey.net.au>
Message-ID: <3742D192.1FE5E830@hotkey.net.au>
Source record: 118265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

RADIO MAN wrote:

> Well then how will the Aussie goverment listen to possible drug deals,
> eh?

They'll do what the US Government did. Make the monitoring of certain frequencies illegal, and then use and monitor them themselves. Easy.

2059. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin <kev@hotkey.net.au>
Message-ID: <3742D325.70676164@hotkey.net.au>
Source record: 118266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Peter Brown wrote:

> Good theory but NOT in practice as all Mobile intercepts got via Canberra
> and back to the agency to prevent abuse by the agency with the warrant. This
> was implemented some time ago now.

Bullshit, Peter. I listened in on one in the Brisbane Int Airport.
Canberra was never mentioned or invited. This was with the AFP, in
conjunction with ASIO.

I love it when non "involved" people sprout this stuff.

Used to be ....

2060. Any Maryland area scanner sites?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokebowl@hempseed.com
Message-ID: <374350ac.2703074@news.flash.net>
Source record: 118267

I am looking for maryland area scanner sites with lists of frequencies
etc.. mainly carroll county

\

2061. Re: Any Australian Scanner Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilfred lane <wandearah@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <QDI03.33049$MB3.51726@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 118268

NSW Police - Kew to Grafton(Mid North Coast) 468.0500

2062. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990519204510.27275.00002235@ng38.aol.com>
Source record: 118269

>From: tbirdsand@aol.com (TBIRDSAND)

>Keep up the great work,Rich.There isn't
>a better scanner site out there.
>
>Randy

Ditto..... Richs' site is the ONLY 5 star scanning related site, in my opinion, out there. For those who criticize I ask if they have the talents, knowledge, and dedication to put a site together like Rich has. And he keeps it updated, always adding new features, new scanning related news, etc. The criticizers take a few minutes to type a few words, usually ramblings or flames, on one of the newsgroups. That's about it ! Not even close to what Rich has offered the scanning community. Keep up the GREAT work Rich !
And to the criticizers, spammers and flamers .... KMA !!!

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

2063. San Diego - Poway Unified Schools - Transportation Dept.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990519205029.19899.00002640@ng06.aol.com>
Source record: 118270

If you know of the frequencies used by Poway Unified School District-Transportation Dept., I would appreciate an e-mail. Search on FCC database shows one freq. and it isn't being used (as best as I can tell). Thank you very much!

Laine S. Herman
http://expage.com/page/Radio911

2064. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <37436113.1515230@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 118271

On Wed, 19 May 1999 19:11:26 -0700, Pappy@sgi.net wrote:

>Radioman, why do you insist on so many crossposts?
>
>It's in poor taste and really annoying to othere that have nothing to do
>with the subject at hand.

My bc895xlt gets cellular, 854.300. Sure is dumb!

2065. Re: New scanner regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: firebuff66@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990519205223.04642.00002275@ng24.aol.com>
Source record: 118272

>But when the 'authorities' want to listen at an 'account', they have to
>go through channels and have the right paperwork, ie warrant.

Only if they want to use it court.

//

Pursuant to U.S. Code, title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, §227,
"Any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee of $500.00 U.S."
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2066. Turboscan problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joel Michael Sturm <jsturm@home.com>
Message-ID: <gHJ03.12080$J74.1118152@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 118273

Turboscan TS-2:

Scan button, manual button do not work.

Most number buttons do not work.

It shows only "5" and multiple "5"s on the display.

This happened suddenly.

What would be the best fix for this? (Other than a new scanner, of course.0

Thanks,

Joel Sturm

2067. Wyman Tridon DL-1 Video Receiver ...900 to 1750 mhz...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: a_alpha1@i.am
Message-ID: <37436824.11551631@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 118274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap

Wyman Research Tridon DL-1 .. 900 - 1750 UHF Video receiver ..with
matching TRIDON FM video transmitter (inop but restorable) ...
low reserve at Ebay....

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=106291412

2068. Re: Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: aor8200 <aor8200@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IWJ03.1198$L55.919@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 118275

Not mine...

--

Regards
Stephan
Nuuk - Greenland

Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com> wrote in message
news:927140757.114870@axilla.wwnet.net...
> Is this unit cell blocked?
>
> DD
>
>

2069. Wanted: Nassau County NY new PD Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jayg359@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990519220200.11232.00003737@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 118276

Hello all - Anyone know the new NCPD Air Marine UHF frequency?? I know it is operational since NCPD is no longer using the old air marine 153.74 freq. thanks!

Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue

"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"

2070. Re: downconvertor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: rquesada56@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990519225911.17082.00002735@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 118277

Try www.ramseyelectronics.com. They sell the kit or you can buy the unit already built for around $90.00. The kit is about $50.00. Ray

2071. Re: Pro-64 at Auto Races

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: rquesada56@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990519230203.17082.00002738@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 118278

I own a Pro-64 and have used it at Nascar races in Darlington and Bristol and it works great! The race program usually has the teams freq's. I have also used the Pro-64 at Ihra drag races...lots of fun. Ray

2072. used scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimtown54@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990519233219.13213.00002835@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 118279

Does any body out there know of a source that has resonable prices and selection on used scanners?. I am tired of trying and waiting and losing on ebay. HEEELLLPPP. JT

2073. Re: FS:Pro-64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tfbren <tfbrenn@talarion.com>
Message-ID: <JVL03.6368$xa.57923@c01read02-admin.service.talkway.com>
Source record: 118280

SCANNER IS SOLD...
--
Posted via Talkway - http://www.talkway.com
Exchange ideas on practically anything (tm).

2074. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jlayton@NOSPAMsd.cybernex.net
Message-ID: <3743846e.35369145@news2.new-york.net>
Source record: 118281

Ted Hatfield <tedh@ccms.net> wrote:

>I think its arrogant of Wells or anyone else to tell a Newly or an oldie
>what questions to ask or not ask

Rich's FAQ does not discourage people from asking questions. The FAQ simply points newbies to other places where they can get answers to their questions.

Look at the number of posts on this newsgroup from newbies asking questions like "How do I find the local police frequency?" or "What is the cell mod for the BC 895XLT?" The "experts" on this newsgroup get tired of answering the same newbie questions multiple times. The newbie questions are often ignored because we are tired of answering them over and over.

Rich should be thanked for pointing the newbies to places where they can find answers to their questions. Hats off to Rich for a job well done!

==========
To reply, remove "NOSPAM" from the email address.
Jason Layton
jlayton@NOSPAMsd.cybernex.net
S. Dak. Scanning Page: http://ww2.sd.cybernex.net/~jlayton/sdscan.html

2075. Ebay: Motorola GP63, GP69, GP68+ Service Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: ve7fet@nospam.psychonet.net
Message-ID: <37439277.5889559@news.bc.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 118282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Motorola GP60 Series Service/Programming Manual. Includes schematics, exploded views, and programming instructions for the GP63, GP68, GP68 Plus, and GP68-8. UHF and VHF models covered. In English. Original Motorola, brand new, latest revision (September 1997), not a photocopy. Buyer prepays with Internationally Negotiable money order (the RED one if the USPS type). PRICE INCLUDES USPS SHIPPING IN NORTH AMERICA.

Check out

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=104991784

Questions? Remove the "nospam" from the reply address.

Thanks,

Lee

2076. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <37439486.6319288@news>
Source record: 118283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 17 May 1999 19:57:41 GMT, swancuNOSPAM@globalnet.co.uk (sam)
wrote:

>Personally I think you should leave it alone and get on with your work
>:)

Or, better still, tell the boss to take his GPS and shove it and go
get another job. The economy is booming right now; it may never be
easier to change jobs.

2077. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <374394e7.6415679@news>
Source record: 118284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 17 May 1999 16:15:47 -0400, "Christan" <nomail@nomail.com>
wrote:

>I work for a trucking company that uses GPS tracking and a driver put a
>cover over the GPS antenna. The company found out and fire him. Take your
>chances.

And your chances are pretty darn slim - the telemetry from your truck
will be blatantly obvious by its absence.

Just how important is it to you to be able to goof off, anyway?

2078. Re: Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <374398eb.7444271@news>
Source record: 118285

On Wed, 19 May 1999 20:11:20 -0400, "Digitaal_Disrupter"
<Superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:

>Is this unit cell blocked?

Most definitely not. In fact, when used with the DB32 antenna, it will
pick up a cellular phone (distance unknown) before it will pick up a
fast food drive-thru speaker box 10 feet away.

2079. Re: BC 9000XLT & Cell Phone Comms.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3743A3B1.32A383C0@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 118286

JTWard01 wrote:
> Gee, you mean like if you search the 360-400MHz range and change the default
> from AM to NFM, and the steps from 12.5 to 5kHz? Oh, sorry, I hope you weren't
> trying to sell that information like other folks have done.
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA
> "If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

Thanks John for posting the instructions...Piero

2080. Re: Icom R10 and Charger Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: DRWHO10@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18013-3745AE7A-26@newsd-252.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118037

that is the one that came with it on the bc 74
i have a new icom r 10 the batterys that came with it were crap the
charge only lasted for four hours i brought 4 NI-MHDY THE POWER LASTS
LONGER oh and as long as the power is low enough in the ac charger do
not worry

2081. Re: Best Mobile Scanner Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Smith Jr <n3ued@desupernet.net>
Message-ID: <3745bc7a@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 118038

I know that this may seem a little bit late.... Sorry, however the BEST MOBILE antenna that I have used is made by Antenna Specialist and covers 25Mhz the whole way up to 1300Mhz. Forget the model number of it, however myself, my brother and my dad all have one!! Plus that doesnt include the guys in the fire company..

Looking for Lancaster County Pennsylvania Frequencies?? Try these..

http://users.desupernet.net/n3ued
http://www.angelfire.com/pa/n3ued/scanner.html
http://www.angelfire.com/pa/n3ued/amateur.html

Terry Parsons wrote in message <37440C51.5E00226D@navix.net>...

Raz Barlow wrote:

I was wondering what the best mobile scanner antenna was for $30 or less . I
don't really care about how it mounts . But I know that the thru the glass
antennas for CB radios SUCK ! Is it the same for scanner antennas also ?
Give me your opinions
Thanks,
Raz

You can make an excellent scanner antenna for about $4!! Simply take a chassis-mount so-239 connector (the kind with 4 holes on the corners, about $1.79), and get some scrap welding rod from a friend or someone who welds. cut a straight piece of the welding rod about 36" long, and, turning the so-239 upside down, solder the piece of rod into the inside of the so-239. then cut 4 pieces of rod 20" long, and attach them to the 4 holes in the corners of the connectors with screws, lock washers, and nuts (tightly). Bend down the 4 radials at a 40 degree angle, and voila! you have an excellent broad-band scanner antenna for very little. Atach the coax with a pl-259 connector to the so-239 connector, put it outside, and you're in business.

2082. Re: Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Norman F. Smith" <nfsmith@anet.sostupid.net>
Message-ID: <01bea3be$b9aa92f0$8c199bcf@random>
Source record: 118039

I also have been in contact with Panasonic, and after repeated messages, I was able to get a clear idea of what is wrong with their Gigarange phones. They are ANALOG.

Yes, they do use spread spectrum technology, but the carrier wave is modulated with an ANALOG signal. DSS my ASS.

Here are a couple of messages from Panasonic:

****
Dear Mr. Smith,

As you state, the scanner is apparently set to a "Wide FM" mode. This means that the scanner is not looking at a single specific frequency. Rather it is
scanning (monitoring) a very wide bandwidth of frequencies which may be including the low channel through the high channel assignment of the phones transmission. Under this situation, even if the transmitter is frequency hopping many times every second through its block of 8 channels, most or all
of the 8 channels may lie within the extended bandwidth of the scanner when it is set to this wide bandwidth mode. If the bandwidth of the scanner was narrowed down, it may still pick up some of the transmission but as the phone hops through the channels, those which are then outside the response bandwidth of the scanner would cause the scanner's monitored audio to be chopped.

Best regards,
Panasonic Consumer Products

Hello Mr. Smith,

Some spread spectrum units in addition to frequency hopping through various channels may also encode the audio modulation using a system of analog to digital conversion. The carrier is then modulated with digital pulses rather
than an analog signal. At the base unit the digital coding is then converted
back to analog before placed on the phone service line. In this case, even a
scanner can not adequately decipher the pulsed information which represents the audio signal. Though this is very expensive to incorporate it does provide the highest possible security currently available. Panasonic made a model KX-TCS970B standard 900 MHz spread spectrum unit which functioned this
way. These units would not get as much range as a "GigaRange" unit using

two
separate transmit bands, but the audio which is placed on the carrier is
extremely difficult to monitor as a digital to analog decoder is needed on
the receiver end.

Best regards,
Panasonic Consumer Products

****

The first message explains why their Gigarange phones can be picked up by a
scanner, and the second explains why true DSS phones cannot.

bye,

Norman

--

To e-mail me, make my address not sostupid.

Richard Kunert <rkunert@facstaff.wisc.edu> wrote in article
<rkunert-0105991353590001@t-51-209-75.dialup.wisc.edu>...
> In article <ASPV2.143$sN5.5672@nntp1>, "Rob Lang" <robertlang@nac.net>
wrote:
>
> >What's the model number? There was an absolute furor going on a bit
back
> >about the GigaRange because the 900MHz handset side of the conversation
> >could be scanned, despite Panasonic's advertising claims. Maybe they
fixed
> >it?
>
> Consumer Reports tested one of the Gigarange phones in their March 1999
> issue. They didn't get any better range out of it than a 900 MHz DSS
> phone. And they said they had no problem picking it up with a scanner.
>
> I emailed Panasonic and got what appears to be a canned reply. What they
> describe doesn't sound to *me* like Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum. My
> understanding is that in true DSSS the sound is digitized and the
> transmission frequency is based on the value of each sample. Maybe
someone
> who's a little more up on the technology could comment.
>
> The FCC approval for this phone does describe the handset as a digital
> spread spectrum device, so that's what Panasonic represented it as to
> them.
>
> Here's the reply from Panasonic:
>
> While our KX-TGxxx Gigarange units provides substantially more security
> than our previous models and protects against accidental eavesdropping
by

> other conventional home products, no system is totally secure and
> eventually can be compromised. For that reason, virtually all
> manufacturers recommend that highly confidential information, such as
PIN
> numbers, credit card numbers, and Social Security numbers should never
be
> entered or spoken into a cordless phone.
> While security is one element of cordless phone design, it is not the
only
> one, and we believe Gigarange telephones provide users with
unparalleled
> range and sound clarity.
> KX-TG Series operate using a Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum method;
what
> that means is that the frequency changes all the time. The GigaRange
> models also use a digital PN coding generator to select one of four
> channel blocks. For example, when the unit is using PN code 1, the
handset
> transmitter is spread through the following frequencies:
909.640,911.080,
> 912.520, 913.960, 915.400, 916.840, 918.280 and 919.720 MHz. If you
use
> the "Channel" button on the handset, you select a different PN code
which
> then spreads the transmitted output through a different group of block
> frequencies.These units operating frequency is between 909.640 MHz ~
> 920.800 MHz and between 2481.080 MHz ~ 2481.440 MHz. Conventional
cordless
> telephones use a defined set of frequencies, one channel for
transmitting
> and another one for receiving. With GigaRange, transmit and receive
> frequency bands are different and occur simultaneously. By combining
> frequencies, and transmitting via direct sequence Spread Spectrum
> Technology (SST), the Gigarange will out-perform any cordless phone
> available to the consumer. Gigarange transmissions take place where the
> frequency range is clean, and clear of any obtrusive interference.
>
> --
> Richard Kunert (rkunert@facstaff.wisc.edu)
>

2083. EMS: A National Moment of Silence..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Humphrey <brian.humphrey@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7i4fme$up0$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 118040
Cross-posted to: alt.emergency.services.dispatcher,alt.firefighters,alt.med.ems,alt.radio.scanner,alt.tv.emergency,misc.emerg-services,rec.radio.scanner

May 29th, 1999 will mark the second annual "National Moment of Silence" to recognize those who have made the ultimate sacrifice while proving Emergency Medical Services. For more information, see the Press Release and and information links at:

http://naemt.org/nmos/press_release.htm

...or go directly to the NMOS Home Page at:

http://naemt.org/nmos

Brian Humphrey
Firefighter/Paramedic
Public Information Officer
Los Angeles Fire Department

LAFD website: http://www.lafd.org

2084. Re: Wanted Freq in D/FW, TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: G Payton <n5gpc@home.com>
Message-ID: <3745C4B1.3B7BB393@home.com>
Source record: 118041

Try http://www.aitnav.com/ for airport information &
http://www.web2.airmail.net/lblant1/ for DFW area freqs.
==========

REDBERD95 wrote:

> I am looking for scanner frequencies and aiport frequiences in the D/FW area.
>
> Please email me directly with list or web sites.
>
> Randy
> redberd95@aol.com

2085. Re: New NYPD Frequencies???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <MJSCommunications@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7i4g7o$t8t$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 118042

I know, I have most of the proposed changes. They haven't all been
implemented yet.
Thanks for the reply though.

Gary

Rocky178 <rocky178@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990520173116.11236.00004224@ng-fp1.aol.com...
> Where are you looking in NYC for Frequencies? The whole city is about to
> change. Staten Island went 1st no Brooklyn and Queens. Any other questions
> please ask.
>
> 73
> Mike KC2CYE

2086. Re: Airport & Airtran Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3745C8D6.3719@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118043

REDBERD95 wrote:
>
> I am looking for frequencies for DFW airport and Airtran airlines. Please
> email me directly.
>
> Randy
> redberd95@aol.com

Check out the following URL for DFW airport:

http://www.airnav.com/cgi-bin/airport-info?DFW

2087. Re: BC 9000XLT & Cell Phone Comms.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990521171452.02256.00000060@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 118044

The U indicates it's a European model with full 800MHz coverage. The XLT is just something Uniden puts on to make it sound good.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

2088. Re: Where to get a very low power transmitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmcvein@tinet.ie
Message-ID: <3745c40c.453296@news1.tinet.ie>
Source record: 118045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 18 May 1999 18:57:59 GMT, Lynton@iname.com wrote:

>I need a really really low power transmitter, the kind to radiate a tiny
>signal onto the AM or FM band, thus can be picked up from a few feet.

Shoot.. I just use the fillings in my teeth, don't everyone?

J McVein

2089. New Hampshire Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Timothy M. Sanborn" <voyager7@together.net>
Message-ID: <3745FFBF.CA6BABEF@together.net>
Source record: 118046

Does anyone have the 1999 edition of New Hampshire radio scanner frequencies? If so please e-mail me. Thanks in advance!

Timothy M. Sanborn

2090. Where are the web based remote receivers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: lynton@iname.com
Message-ID: <3745de30.1231914@newshub.ccs.yorku.ca>
Source record: 118047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I know of the few given below, are there any others as most of these
are in Europe and I need some North American ones.

The Ultimate Shortwave Web Receiver http://hightech.lindman.com/
N2JEU's Web Controlled Kenwood TS-440 http://www.ralabs.com/swl440/
See a live Icom PCR-1000 in action http://www.pejla.nu/
See a live Yaesu FRG9600 in action
http://members.aol.com/FRG9600/Yaesu.html
See the original web radio, a Drake R8
http://www.chilton.com/scripts/radio/R8-receiver
See the Java Radio http://www.javaradio.com
Listen to the SRT CR-91 Shortwave receiver from Umea, Sweden
http://194.165.225.35/
StormBringer's Web Controlled Shortwave receiver
http://206.156.18.79/~strmbrgr/tuning-page.html

2091. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3745DF93.3DD@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Possums wrote:
>
> Excuse my ignorance but I have seen the abbreviation HT bandied about the
> place and I cant figure out what it is supposed to stand for .. can someone
> pls explain it to me. Thanks!
> OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <3744E382.5F1A@ameritech.net>...
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >>
> >> Contact bounce on cell phone => spark. Boom!
> >Please explain this to me; as I cannot follow
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >>
> >> In article <3742D147.215F6AA0@home.com>, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
> wrote:
> >> >Totally impossible!!
> >> >
> >> >Keith Bennett wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> Whilst getting some diesel fuel at the filling station yesterday I
> >> >> noticed this guy at another pump who was filling with petrol (gas) and
> >> >> was happily chatting on a cell phone at the same time.
> >> >> I went over to him and politely asked him to stop using the phone.
> >> >> He asked me why and I said that with the amount of gasoline vapour
> about
> >> >> he could probably activate an explosion! He decided to quit using the
> >> >> phone!!
> >> >>
> >> >> --
> >> >> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> >> >> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> >> >> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> >> >> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> >> >> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> >> >> UIN #3457372
> >> >> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> >> >> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> >> >> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
> >>
> >
> >--
> >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;

> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
It is an abbreviation for Handie-Talkie. Just another way to say
Walkie-Talkie.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2092. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3745E1EC.383C@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mike Silva wrote:
>
> Mother Nature wrote in message <37438215.1DB5FBEE@hotmail.com>...
> >
> >
> >Danny wrote:
> >>
> >> Mother Nature wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Hi from soc.penpals and let me say that all of this technical talk is
> >> > making me hot......<g>
> >>
> >> Well then 350 KHz, AM, FM. Are you butter yet?
> >>
> >> Danny
> >> --
> >
> >I'm melting as we speak.....I might just need a cold shower...oops, not
> >a good idea. I might get a spark and get tingly all over!!!!!!!
>
> Finally something good comes from the endless Morse debate!
>
> ... resonance, Kirchoff, reactance, transconductance, Thevenin, bipolar ...
> stay tuned while I get out the electronics dictionary...
>
> Mike
Don't foget Spark Gap. For those of you who do not know what DX is; it
means receiveing a radio/television signal from far away. From far away
as in you usually do not get a signal from this place. DX can also mean
how far your siganl is getting out. From everything that I read; if you
can run just 10 watts, and your siganl is getting out 300 to 400 miles
then that is better DX then somebody running 1000 watts and doing th
same thing.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2093. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3745E2A0.1CC9@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Harry wrote:
>
> > Why do people hate logic and common sense so much?
>
> That is a silly question since the answer itself is common sense. If people
> were logical then who would have babies? Betamax video recorders would
> still be in use in Europe and Jesus may not have been nailed to a tree! If
> there is a God then perhaps she is sitting up there having a damn good
> laugh at us all - I am.
That is he
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2094. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3745E2BE.4DC7@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Harry wrote:
>
> > Why do people hate logic and common sense so much?
>
> That is a silly question since the answer itself is common sense. If people
> were logical then who would have babies? Betamax video recorders would
> still be in use in Europe and Jesus may not have been nailed to a tree! If
> there is a God then perhaps she is sitting up there having a damn good
> laugh at us all - I am.
Actyually; I thought that Betamax was better then VHS
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2095. Antenna Help ! PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Raz Barlow <razbarlow@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7i4nt2hir1@ins8.netins.net>
Source record: 118052

I have made a coax Dipole and it seems to works well when I run it to my scanner with a 3 foot jumper. But when I run it down from my attic with 40-45 feet of coax , it seems to suffer alot of loss. Does anyone have any ideas or experiance? Do I need to change my antenna length because of the coax run ? If so how much shorter do I need it ? I used the formula(300 divided by the MHZ) , then stripped 1/4 of that figure .
Thanks,
Raz

2096. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3745E425.1FDF@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118053
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> I'm having problems with receiving the Isle of Wight monochrome TV
> transmitter on Channel BBC-1 from this location. Has anybody based in
> Holland any tips on the subject?
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
Since when do TV station broadcast in one colo(u)r as the name
Monochrome would imply. Heck, even Black and White is two colo(u)rs.
By the way, the best Black and White is what is know as a Twistee cone.
That is when they combine Vanilla Ice Cream with Chocolate Ice Crea.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2097. Re: Info on Dolphin Tetra anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3745EA9C.6B64@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118054
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

Woody wrote:
>
> In article <Zut_2.6565$4S.4507420@WReNphoon3>, pedwards@talk21.com
> writes
> >Just saw a Tetra radio system from Dolphin at an exhibition. It looks like
> >a stripped-down version of PSRPC (Quadrant).
> >
> >Anyone know anything about it? Will it be cheap? Secure?
> >
> >Paul Edwards
> >
> >Still dreading PSRPC and its effect on scanner residual values :-(
>
> Maybe it is - both are made by Nokia AFAIK.
>
> And its PSRCP - Publice Safety Radio Communications Project.
Is that the same as the APCO 25 project in the States?
>
> --
> Andrew W.
> Harrogate, UK
> woody@tangon.demon.co.uk
> g1uxp@gb7cym

--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2098. Re: Internet Thief "Dave Munroe" aka camper of Keene, Ontario, Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: InfoSearcher <EM@verio.net>
Message-ID: <01bea3df$6d4c3d20$a2ff00cc@default>
Source record: 118055

On ebay, he also uses "leanna2000" as a bidder.
Beware!

2099. Re: Where to get a very low power transmitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wayne Hertel <whertel@fn.net>
Message-ID: <3745EAC2.7DFE9F89@fn.net>
Source record: 118056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Back when I thought it was neat to do such things, I used a signal generator, HP-606B, and connected a mic through an audio amp to the external modulation input. With a 3-volt output into 50 ohms, that should equate to 180 milliwatts. Less voltage = less wattage. Had a range of about fifty feet as I recall. Drove some intercept operators buggy. Sure was fun though.
Wayne =8-)

2100. Re: WTT: BC 9000XLT IN LIKE NEW COND FOR PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <374615CB.6950@sgi.net>
Source record: 118057

RAMSTA wrote:
>
> The PRO 2006 must be in exc or better cond and working well.
>
> Mike/KC8CU/San Diego

How can you get better than "exc"?

2101. Re: WTT: BC 9000XLT IN LIKE NEW COND FOR PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjenk5749@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990521193215.21412.00003157@ng63.aol.com>
Source record: 118058

Virgin condition.

2102. Yupiteru repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lewis McCool <lmccool@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <7i4qtcqnl1@juliana.sprynet.com>
Source record: 118059

I have had a Yupiteru 7100 for several years now, bought from Javiation in England. Now the tuning knob only works intermittently. Some internal contact failing. Anyone have a suggestion as to where I could get it repaired and how much it might cost? I am not competent to go into the unit myself. Thanks.

Lew McCool

2103. Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Dean <idean@purdue.edu>
Message-ID: <3745F492.B5BA925@purdue.edu>
Source record: 118060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

One of the local scanner hobbist told me that Bill Cheek
was arrested for making a decoder for the Motorola MDT.

Cheek appearantly posted this on his web page which
resulted in his arrest. While this sounds strange,
did it happen?

With the Clinton administration repealing most of the
civil rights gains of the '70s, people are a little
concerned.

2104. Re: Emergency Monitoring-Why not built-in monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: EchoStarr <echo-starr@juno.com>
Message-ID: <7i4u8c$1p6u$1@newssvr01-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 118061
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
3744DF87.777F@ameritech.net...
>Kenneth Allan Mitchell wrote:
>>
>> Why not designate channel 9 with tone 9 ("99") or channel 9 with tone
>> 11 ("911") for an emergency coordination/calling channel. This
>> information would need to be diseminated so everyone, including search
>> & rescue and public safety entities, will recognize the channel and
>> possibly monitor/use the channel during such missions.

>Channel 9 is a CB channel not an FRS channel

Incorrect. There is FRS channels 1-14.

2105. Re: L.A. County Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3745FCD4.A0BF8EFB@netxn.com>
Source record: 118062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mderryberry.vcf

If I remember correctly, LA County Fire and LA County Sheriff use a tone onthe input to the repeater but no tone on the output of the repeater. LACFD uses 151.4 input only and LASO uses 186.2 input only.

Hope this helps ...

Mike Derryberry

Richard W Cowgill wrote:
>
> Can anyone tell me if the above agency uses any subaudible tones when
> transmitting on their 470mhz freqs? Trying to monitor this department in
> the metro L.A. area on an R2 is pretty tough with all the imaging this unit
> seems to pick up. I've done some tone scanning but so far no luck..
>
> thnx,
> Rich

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2106. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mother Nature <m_nature@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3745FC39.FED576DA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 118063
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Kehler wrote:
>
> Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote:
>
> >This is all very well but it does not answer my question as to what do
> >they talk about on rec.radio.amateur.dx?
>
> Well, Keith, we used to talk about DX. But then all these Morse code
> geeks and hot women from SOC.PENPALS started posting here and
> looks like everybody who was interested in DX left. Haven't seen
> anything DX related for quite a while.....
>
> 73, Jim KH2D

Party poopers......you mean they don't like us hot women from
soc.penpals?

2107. Re: Best Mobile Scanner Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <3745FEEF.80138585@netxn.com>
Source record: 118064
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mderryberry.vcf

I use the Antenna Specialist MONR series for both home and car ... Model number MONR31 for home and MONR33 for the cars ...

Hope this helps ...

Mike Derryberry

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2108. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mother Nature <m_nature@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3745FE5E.F3D61312@hotmail.com>
Source record: 118065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>
> Mike Silva wrote:
> >
> > Mother Nature wrote in message <37438215.1DB5FBEE@hotmail.com>...
> > >
> > >
> > >Danny wrote:
> > >>
> > >> Mother Nature wrote:
> > >> >
> > >> > Hi from soc.penpals and let me say that all of this technical talk is
> > >> > making me hot......<g>
> > >>
> > >> Well then 350 KHz, AM, FM. Are you butter yet?
> > >>
> > >> Danny
> > >> --
> > >
> > >I'm melting as we speak.....I might just need a cold shower...oops, not
> > >a good idea. I might get a spark and get tingly all over!!!!!!!
> >
> > Finally something good comes from the endless Morse debate!
> >
> > ... resonance, Kirchoff, reactance, transconductance, Thevenin, bipolar ...
> > stay tuned while I get out the electronics dictionary...
> >
> > Mike
> Don't foget Spark Gap. For those of you who do not know what DX is; it
> means receiveing a radio/television signal from far away.

<snip>

I try not to worry about these things as I've got cable.....<g>

2109. Re: Antenna Help ! PLEASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3745F6AD.EC06F6F6@ibm.net>
Source record: 118066

Teh antenna does not change with the length of coax. What is going on is that the coax has loss in it. Depending on the type of coax and the frequency you will stand to loose a lot. Also there is another loss inthe coax that is caused by what is called SWR (standing wave ratio). If the antenna is not resonate at the frequency and the scanner is not matched to the coax, the losses increace rapidly.

Raz Barlow wrote:
>
> I have made a coax Dipole and it seems to works well when I run it to my
> scanner with a 3 foot jumper. But when I run it down from my attic with
> 40-45 feet of coax , it seems to suffer alot of loss. Does anyone have any
> ideas or experiance? Do I need to change my antenna length because of the
> coax run ? If so how much shorter do I need it ? I used the formula(300
> divided by the MHZ) , then stripped 1/4 of that figure .
> Thanks,
> Raz

2110. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <374602C4.D69C85CA@gnc.net>
Source record: 118067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Follow this link:
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/newsflsh.htm

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Greg Dean wrote:

> One of the local scanner hobbist told me that Bill Cheek
> was arrested for making a decoder for the Motorola MDT.

2111. Re: Icom R10 and Charger Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Digitaal_Disrupter <Superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <927318998.795851@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 118068

Thanks everyone! :-)

Digitaal_Disrupter wrote in message <927222346.159401@axilla.wwnet.net>...
>Hello,
>
> I've acquired a used R10 that came with the Icom BC-110 Charger.
>The charger is rated at 12v at 200 ma. In the manual, it shows a BC-74 (ma
>rating unknown). Does anyone know what the rating of a BC-74 is?
>
> On a similar note, does the ICOM R-10 have a built in regulator that
>keeps the batteries from being overcharged?
>
> And lastly, I'm planning on installing NiCads that are rated at 850
ma
>each. Has anyone tried this?
>
>DD
>
>
>

2112. Re: used scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jose <Superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <927319489.531360@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 118069

I have a Uniden SC150. Sell it for $70 w/battery and charger. I might have the original box somewhere.
The LCD shows characters on startup, but after a minute or so works normally. Other than that the scanner works great. Even picks up some cells in the latter half of the cell band.
Reply to this newsgroup thread if your interested.
Jose

Jimtown54 wrote in message <19990519233219.13213.00002835@ng-fk1.aol.com>...
>Does any body out there know of a source that has resonable prices and
>selection on used scanners?. I am tired of trying and waiting and losing on
>ebay. HEEELLLPPP. JT

2113. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H. Adam Stevens" <alien@jump.net>
Message-ID: <37460E85.4F368A6F@jump.net>
Source record: 118070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

First of all I have a commercial pilot's license with airplane single and multiengine land and instrument ratings. I have been flying since 1967. I own and fly a Baron. No I didn't have to learn Morse to identify navigation aids, the CW is printed right on the chart or approach plate. The statement "don't know of anything used in flying their planes that would need to recieve (sic) morse code for any reason" is fascinating. What do your friends fly? Ultralights? What are their license numbers? Mine is 1774847. My Baron's call sign is N502TB. When you tune in an NDB, a VOR, or an ILS you are taught to listen to the CW identification to confirm that you have the correct station. This takes more time if you have to look at the chart or approach plate to see what the CW is rather than just hear the ID.
H.
WA5IGW

Sir wrote:

> H. Adam Stevens wrote:
> >
> > Well said.
> > I'm thinking of one area where I use Morse outside of ham radio: flying. Navigation
> > aids identify in Morse, but a 5 WPM proficiency suffices.
> >
> Two of my friends are pilots and say this is a bunch of bullshit.
> Neither of them had to learn morse code to get their pilot's license and
> don't know of anything used in flying their planes that would need to
> recieve morse code for any reason.

2114. Re: Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jose <Superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <927319666.893474@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 118071

Thanks!!! That was very informative. But is it not still RF energy being transmitted by a CDMA/PCS phone?

Digitaal_Disrupter wrote in message <927221743.166074@axilla.wwnet.net>...
>We tried it on a PCS phone, and it did not pick it up. Even when the phone
>was placed within 5 inches of the unit.
>
>DD
>
>Shiva Nataraja wrote in message <374398eb.7444271@news>...
>>On Wed, 19 May 1999 20:11:20 -0400, "Digitaal_Disrupter"
>><Superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Is this unit cell blocked?
>>
>>Most definitely not. In fact, when used with the DB32 antenna, it will
>>pick up a cellular phone (distance unknown) before it will pick up a
>>fast food drive-thru speaker box 10 feet away.
>>
>
>

2115. Icom R-1 info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Baker <gbakerx@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <37461739.0@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 118072

I was wondering what a "Like New" Icom r-1 handheld scanner might be worth?
I have original box & cig. charger & Manual.
Any help would be appreciated!
Thanks
Gary

2116. Black blob of stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oppegaard <eoppegaard@cshore.com>
Message-ID: <37461884.B34EB102@cshore.com>
Source record: 118073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: eoppegaard.vcf

I opened up my scanner because my recieving performance was not up to par. I have a Uniden Sportcat which I do actually like. When I looked at the back of the board, there was this giant blob of solid, glassy stuff where my friend had put in a wire from the BNC connector to the board because the factory wire broke. Is this any realtion to why my performance is not good? What else could be causing this? I bent the BNC connector on the top, however I still can get the antenna to fit into place without a problem.

Please Help!!!!
Thanks in advance

eoppegaard@cshore.com

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2117. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <7i578ibmd1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118074

Let's end this thread. Obviously, most of the newsgroup readers
are very pleased with Rich Wells. I'm sure that Rich has read all of
the group's responses, and will react favorably, if necessary in the
future.
Al, N2NKB

2118. Re: Where to get a very low power transmitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Charles P. Hobbs" <transit@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <3745B3A1.56C3@primenet.com>
Source record: 118075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Lynton@iname.com wrote:
>
> I need a really really low power transmitter, the kind to radiate a tiny
> signal onto the AM or FM band, thus can be picked up from a few feet.
> Radio Shack sells one but does anyone here know of any others, please
> post some details such as URLs.

You may be listening to such a "low power transmitter" as we speak. . .

I'm talking about the local oscillator in a portable radio. I used
to try the following when I was younger:

1. Get a battery powered AM radio. (The smaller and cheaper, the
better)

2. Connect a short piece of wire (no longer than about 10 feet)
somewhere on the radio. Ideally I guess it should be connected to
the local oscillator coil or some such, but I've connected it to the
main antenna coil, one of the battery terminals, etc. and it works
just as well.

3. You'll probably want a modulating signal: a quick and dirty
way to get one is to connect such a signal (e.g. the speaker output
of a record player, scanner, stereo, etc.) in series with the radio's
battery.

4. Frequency selection is done via the tuning knob. Note that the
local osc frequency is 455 kHz (or whatever the IF is) higher than
the number marked on the dial; a radio with a 530-1700 kHz tuning
range will transmit from 985 kHz to 2155 kHz.

5. Let the good times roll. The power output is not much and the
modulation quality is not the greatest, but you'll be able to hear
the signal around the house and yard, at least. . .

2119. Re: Emergency Monitoring-Why not built-in monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenneth Allan Mitchell <nokones@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <37463287.95F40906@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118076
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm fully aware that the emergency channel for the CB radio is channel
9. There's no reason why FRS channel 9 can't be designated as an
emergency calling channel like the CB radio. That way it will be
common to use channel 9 for both CB and FRS.

RADIO MAN wrote:
>
> Kenneth Allan Mitchell wrote:
> >
> > Why not designate channel 9 with tone 9 ("99") or channel 9 with tone
> > 11 ("911") for an emergency coordination/calling channel. This
> > information would need to be diseminated so everyone, including search
> > & rescue and public safety entities, will recognize the channel and
> > possibly monitor/use the channel during such missions.
> >
> > Just a thought.
> >
> > --
> > Kenneth Allan Mitchell
> > mailto:nokones@ix.netcom.com
> Channel 9 is a CB channel not an FRS channel
> --
> RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

--
Kenneth Allan Mitchell
mailto:nokones@ix.netcom.com

2120. Re: Headphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <374638FE.1EEBD424@earthlink.net>
Source record: 118077

Yes, I have a pair that I bought while at a nascar race. There are many suppliers though. Try Racing Electronics or Race Scan Communications.

Robert Cherry wrote:

> Does anyone know where (preferably in Canada) I could find
> one pair of 8 ohm monophonic headphones?
>
> Thanks.
> --
> Robert Cherry
> cw527@freenet.carleton.ca

2121. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <OnMKUAALVdR3EwfH@virgin.net>
Source record: 118078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <2Kh13.2255$hB3.268@news3.atl>, Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
writes
>It's an abbreviation for "Handie-Talkie", coined by a certain manufacturer
>of handheld radios (the manufacturer escapes my memory...Motorola?). It is
>used by Hams and radio buffs to describe walkie-talkies and other handheld
>tranceivers. I have heard some people use it to describe handheld scanners,
>which, while not exactly correct, still gets the point across.
>
S'funny but I thought that 'HT' stood for High Tension, as in HT leads!
Well I'm always wrong anyways.

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2122. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <MHmK8GAhWdR3Ew80@virgin.net>
Source record: 118079
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <375f4b9b.6604563@news.lineone.net>, Walt Davidson
<no.junk.mail@thank.you> writes
>On Fri, 21 May 1999 08:08:42 +0100, Keith Bennett
><trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote:
>
>>I'm having problems with receiving the Isle of Wight monochrome TV
>>transmitter on Channel BBC-1 from this location. Has anybody based in
>>Holland any tips on the subject?
>
>Yes. Buy a time-travel machine and use it to either:
>
>(1) take you back 10 years, or
>
>(2) take you for a very quick trip in space to a location at least 10
>light years away from Earth.
>
>Then you will start picking up the BBC-1 405-line monochrome
>transmissions from Rowridge.
Is Walt trying to take the urine out of me?

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2123. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <OXFKIKAsXdR3EwcJ@virgin.net>
Source record: 118080
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7i3afnhdb1@brokaw.wa.com>, Jim Kehler <kh2d@my-
dejanews.com> writes
>Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote:
>
>>This is all very well but it does not answer my question as to what do
>>they talk about on rec.radio.amateur.dx?
>
>Well, Keith, we used to talk about DX. But then all these Morse code
>geeks and hot women from SOC.PENPALS started posting here and
>looks like everybody who was interested in DX left. Haven't seen
>anything DX related for quite a while.....

But Jim, this is all very well, but I still don't know what DX means as
EP will not tell me!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2124. Re: For Sale Cobra 2000 Excellent Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Fanning <tom.f@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <7i6vjvblt1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 118081
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>I have received several
>reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from me.

And so you should with "20+" Watts!

--
Regards,
Tom
tom.f@btinternet.com
Sam Wolinsky <pcmedicnj@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7i3uv8$6ms$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Hi all. I have for sale 1 Cobra 2000 base station CB with the speaker.
> Radio has been modified to cover from 26.815 Mhz to 28.045 Mhz. Radio
> transmits 20+ watts, clarifier has been opened. I have received several
> reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from
me.
> I am not real technical so beyond what I have told you, I don't know
> anything else about the radio.
> I would rate this radio as an 8 out of 10. No scratches ect that I was
able
> to find. Also included is the original manual, original 1 year warranty
> certificate, copy of service manual.
> From what I have seen and heard, the 2000 is one of the top of the line
most
> sought after radios that was ever on the market in its day. After using it
> for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
> Price is $275+ shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order. References
> available upon request.
> Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this
> fine rig.
>
>
>

2125. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3744EB79.66E1@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

JCM1168 wrote:
>
> gee you must be a RF engineerski.... if i see you at a gas station I will pull
> up next to the pump and turn on my 1K watt amp and KEY DOWWWWWNNNNNNNNNNN!
>
> remove nospam to email
dROPPING THE MALL ON SOMEONE AT A GAS STATION? nOT GOOD.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2126. Re: EDACS- What Is High Pitched Noise?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3744EBF4.6B9A@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

kb9akp wrote:
>
> Hello all,
>
> I have a question about EDACS....here goes.
>
> With the up and coming 245xlt I'm trying to isolate the actual repeaters
> for all of the GE systems in my area. Since I don't have a data slicer,
> I've been doing it the crude way. What I've been doing is finding the
> data channels in my area and then, using the online FCC database,
> Percon, and so forth, I find all of the associated repeater frequencies
> associated with the data channel. I put them all in my scanner and scan
> them for a couple of days to see which ones are active.
>
> On some of the frequencies associated with the systems I hear phone
> calls with a loud squealing tone over them. For instance, one
> particular system (an SMR) in my area is licensed 30 frequencies and of
> those 30 repeater frequencies, 20 of them only have these phone calls
> with the loud squeal and don't have any radio transmissions on them.
>
> My question is what are these phone calls/repeaters and are they
> associated with the system? Am I going to need to program those into my
> scanner in case they become part of the SMR?
>
> Any help appreciated,
> David
Well for starters an EDACS trunked frequency sounds different then Big
Mo
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2127. Re: Pro-26 Virtual Down Conversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01bea349$36930f20$6a3c4ad1@godzirra>
Source record: 118089

Sez a lot about YOUR integrity.....
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

2128. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3744EC87.346F@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Spike wrote:
>
> > Just how important is it to you to be able to goof off, anyway?
>
> There is a HUGE difference between employment and slavery.
> When you employ someone you don't take over their soul, you pay
> for them to do a job.

I just wish that people got payed well. Some bosses even compalin when
the minimun wage goes up. Some times you wonder if they actually do
take over you soul
> By law, a person gets all kinds of cool breaks and times off.
> Many employees work their asses off with overtime and even lose touch
> with their families and relatived just because they would rather kiss your lousy ass
> than starve.
> The least you can do for employees is get off their asses and let them have their free
time
> doing whatever the hell they want, as long as it doesn't damage your oh so precious
machinery.

--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2129. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3744ed5e.6665739@news>
Source record: 118091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 20 May 1999 00:58:59 -0500, "Spike" <spike@eyeball.org> wrote:

>> Just how important is it to you to be able to goof off, anyway?

[MUCH ranting deleted.]

Wow, looks like I struck a nerve there. Perhaps you're one of those slouch civil servant types who feels that the world owes them 2 hour lunches, a 4-day work week, a 6-hour work day, and the freedom to misuse your boss' equipment in any way you damn well feel like? Whatever your occupation (if you even have one), it's pretty obvious from your attitude that you're not a busines owner.

>The least you can do for employees is get off their asses and let them =
>have their free time

Nobody's talking about FREE time, we're talking about time spent on the clock, using company equipment.

>doing whatever the hell they want, as long as it doesn't damage your oh =
>so precious machinery.

As an employee, you're paid to do a job. The machinery you use in the performance of that job is not yours to "do whatever the hell you want" with, whether you cause damage to it or not. If you goof off, or if you misuse your employer's equipment, the boss has the right to know about it. If you don't like it, the solution is pretty simple: find another job, or better yet go start your own company and let your employees be as unproductive as you like (as long as you can stay in business, that is).

2130. Re: Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3744f0c3.7535062@news>
Source record: 118092

On Thu, 20 May 1999 18:41:15 -0400, "Digitaal_Disrupter"
<Superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:

>We tried it on a PCS phone, and it did not pick it up. Even when the phone
>was placed within 5 inches of the unit.

If by "PCS" you mean a CDMA phone (e.g. Sprint PCS) then you won't get
a reaction from the Scout. CDMA phones are digital spread spectrum;
the Scout only works with FM signals.

2131. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <927265128.427.97@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 118093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner.uk

> I wonder if those new car features where they unlock your car from
> remote can make a station go kaboom too

Haven't you been paying attention? Everything makes gasoline vapors "go kaboom." Anything can cause a public air carrier crash. Get with it, buddy. What does it take to get through to some people?

Michael.

2132. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry <spam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bea34f$c0f9e8e0$8534d693@e0060b0eb282a>
Source record: 118094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> Why do people hate logic and common sense so much?

That is a silly question since the answer itself is common sense. If people were logical then who would have babies? Betamax video recorders would still be in use in Europe and Jesus may not have been nailed to a tree! If there is a God then perhaps she is sitting up there having a damn good laugh at us all - I am.

2133. Re: Explosive - BOOM! :-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil <not@home.com>
Message-ID: <_D613.3130$vM1.4142@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 118095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

The same reason why radio TX devices are warned away from all explosive situations. Haven't you listened to the way the emergency services abandon the use of radio or telephone gear when attending gas leaks etc???

Here try this exercise and you will find out the way a friend of mine did...

Get a HF ham licence and high powered Tx unit and a really good ATU and load up a stink pipe (sewerage ventilation pipe) you know one of those strange looking tall pipes that some people have in their back yards. Anyway load the sucker up and wire wrap if necessary to get a usable VSWR on the 180M band then tune to an appropriate section of the band and put out a few CQ's ....

In very short time you will find out that methane like Petrol is rather explosive! :-)

Phil

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <3744E382.5F1A@ameritech.net>...
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>
>> Contact bounce on cell phone => spark. Boom!
>Please explain this to me; as I cannot follow
>
>
>
>
>>
>> In article <3742D147.215F6AA0@home.com>, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
wrote:
>> >Totally impossible!!
>> >
>> >Keith Bennett wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Whilst getting some diesel fuel at the filling station yesterday I
>> >> noticed this guy at another pump who was filling with petrol (gas) and
>> >> was happily chatting on a cell phone at the same time.
>> >> I went over to him and politely asked him to stop using the phone.
>> >> He asked me why and I said that with the amount of gasoline vapour
about
>> >> he could probably activate an explosion! He decided to quit using the
>> >> phone!!
>> >>
>> >> --
>> >> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!

>> >> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
>> >> trojan.horse@virgin.net
>> >> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
>> >> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
>> >> UIN #3457372
>> >> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
>> >> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
>> >> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
>
>--
>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2134. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spike <spike@eyeball.org>
Message-ID: <A2A6FC63BE8BD613.B253871CF5CE37F5.6D4D1A7BF880701B@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 118096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> As an employee, you're paid to do a job. The machinery you use in the
> performance of that job is not yours to "do whatever the hell you
> want" with, whether you cause damage to it or not. If you goof off, or
> if you misuse your employer's equipment, the boss has the right to
> know about it. If you don't like it, the solution is pretty simple:
> find another job

This is exactly why I have my own business, so I don't have to kiss the ass of any more pricks in this world.

2135. Re: Phone Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: nfsmith@anet.net
Message-ID: <7i35cgdvm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118097

The Gigarange phones are analog. They are spread spectrum, but the carrier wave is modulated with an analog signal, and this can be picked up with a scanner set to wide FM mode.

In article <n_SV2.43$I11.1325@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
"Patrick Nowlan" <patrickn@idir.net> wrote:
> The model # is KX-TGM240-B (the B stands for black) My scout will lock on to
> the hand set but you cant hear anything. The hand set is 900mhz however NOT
> 2.4 Ghz . Buiried in the manual in the back it says "The unit operates in
> the frequency range of 909Mhz to 921Mhz AND 2400Mhz to 2485Mhz.
>
> Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net> wrote in message
> news:ASPV2.143$sN5.5672@nntp1...
> > What's the model number? There was an absolute furor going on a bit back
> > about the GigaRange because the 900MHz handset side of the conversation
> > could be scanned, despite Panasonic's advertising claims. Maybe they
> fixed
> > it?
> >
> > The phone in question was the KX-TG200-B (and probably it's speakerphone
> > variant)
> >
> > Anyone heard this particular hole was plugged?
> >
> > Rob Lang
> > KC2EMO
> >
> > Patrick Nowlan wrote in message ...
> > >I just tried to pick it up using a scout and an AOR 8000 and it didn't
> pick
> > >it up.
> > >
> > >Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net> wrote in message
> > >news:nIuV2.65$Um.5987@nntp1...
> > >>
> > >> Patrick Nowlan wrote in message ...

> > >> >My new Panisonic phone is 2.4GHZ spread spectrum. Your scanner
doesn't
> > go
> > >> >that high.
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> It doesn't have to. Your handset transmits in the 900MHz
range FM
> > >analog
> > >> and is easily scanned.
> > >>
> > >> Rob Lang
> > >> KC2EMO
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2136. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <Hj6mHHANWQR3EwvH@virgin.net>
Source record: 118098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <01bea34f$c0f9e8e0$8534d693@e0060b0eb282a>, Harry
<spam@hotmail.com> writes
>> Why do people hate logic and common sense so much?
>
>That is a silly question since the answer itself is common sense. If people
>were logical then who would have babies? Betamax video recorders would
>still be in use in Europe and Jesus may not have been nailed to a tree! If
>there is a God then perhaps she is sitting up there having a damn good
>laugh at us all - I am.
>
Well Harry I'm glad that somebody has something to laugh about!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2137. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <bywxjxAkHRR3Ewdu@virgin.net>
Source record: 118099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

In article <3744E243.94F@ameritech.net>, OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnk
id@ameritech.net> writes
>Brad Granger wrote:
>>
>> >>
>> >>Just goes to show that people are mindless sheep that will believe
>> >>anything - why else do you think con-men can steal millions from an
>> >>unsuspecting public?
>> >>
>> >>If someone told me this supposed explosion theory I would have laughed in
>> >>his face.
>> >>
>> >>-Phil
>>
>> well Phil, it can happen and it has happened. A young woman turned into a
>> fireball in Sydnay about three years ago from just this very thing. Which is
>> why there are signs up on every gas station around here notifying users to
>> not smoke or use cellphones whilst refuelling.
>>
>> Brad.
>Wow, I never heard os such a thing. Hear in Ohio, one of the suburbs
>passed a rule that states that you can only use a cell phone for an
>emergency or you will get a ticket
Whilst on the same subject a number of traffic accident fatalities have
been caused here by drivers using cell phones, including head on
crashes! Trouble is our road traffic laws are so slow to be changed that
no doubt the carnage will continue.

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2138. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <FDfmfKAbXQR3EwMF@virgin.net>
Source record: 118100
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7i27kt$rvu@news.Hawaii.Edu>, Jeffrey Herman
<jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes
>D Best <dbest@iquest.net> wrote:
>>It won't, so I think you might save yourselves some heartache and look at
>>the subject before you read the message -- or just ignore the thread
>>altogehter. Is that really so difficult?
>
>So are you saying that you wouldn't mind if the 200,000+ newsgroups were
>lumped together as just one NG? After all, one would only need to inspect
>the subject line as one waded through the 10 million posts each day,
>right?
Now THAT is an interesting proposition. Let's ask the Association of
Internet Service Providers what they think!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2139. Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <KTnlbMA6ZQR3EwNr@virgin.net>
Source record: 118101
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm having problems with receiving the Isle of Wight monochrome TV
transmitter on Channel BBC-1 from this location. Has anybody based in
Holland any tips on the subject?

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2140. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <PT8krSAWbQR3EwNO@virgin.net>
Source record: 118102
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7i28d5$sm3@news.Hawaii.Edu>, Jeffrey Herman
<jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes
>Keith Bennett <trojan.horse@virgin.net> wrote:
>>Well naturally I read the subject matter and thought here is an item
>>about Inspector Morse. No doubt you have heard of Inspector Morse?
>
>Listen to the theme song at the end of the program; you'll hear
>the violins sending M O R S E over and over (in Morse code, of course).

This is all very well but it does not answer my question as to what do
they talk about on rec.radio.amateur.dx?

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2141. L.A. County Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard W Cowgill <lakers34@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <01bea307$3b67a7a0$47d5b3d1@default>
Source record: 118103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can anyone tell me if the above agency uses any subaudible tones when transmitting on their 470mhz freqs? Trying to monitor this department in the metro L.A. area on an R2 is pretty tough with all the imaging this unit seems to pick up. I've done some tone scanning but so far no luck..

thnx,
Rich

2142. Re: used scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <37452EBC.296DC38A@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 118104

Jimtown54 wrote:
> Does any body out there know of a source that has resonable prices and
> selection on used scanners?. I am tired of trying and waiting and losing on
> ebay.

Go to my Scanner Dealers FAQ and look up all of those that are listed
as carrying used equipment:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/dealers.htm

Grove and Universal Radio usually have a decent supply of used radios
on hand.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2143. Motorola MX3000 - does anybody...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: clayton_j@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7i3f2p$k7v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118105

Hi,

Does anyone, I mean anyone (even some authorised
Motorola dealer) know anything about Motorola
MX3000 radio??
Our company has some (bought few years ago, and
I started to work here about a year ago) but
nobody knows where from, or where are all those
cables and software.
We also have some P210 and GP300 with programmers,
cables and software, but I need to program those
MX3000 - because they are useless on that old
frequency.

Please contact me by e-mail.
clayton_j@bigfoot.com

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2144. FS: Yupiteru MVT-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: CMF <bat22@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <210519990540103524%bat22@iquest.net>
Source record: 118106

Yupiteru MVT-9000 HH scanner, 531 khz to 2039 mhz (no gaps) all mode, mint condition with original box, manual and accessories less cigarette lighter adapter and nicad batteries. Sell for $295 including UPS standard ground delivery. I will accept prepayment in the form of certified funds or money order only. I will ship COD certified funds via FEDEX if we can work out something on the shipping (FEDEX COD isn't cheap)....

Michael Fry
N9LFN
Noblesville,IN.USA

2145. FS: Icom R-2 (full cov.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: CMF <bat22@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <210519990545081487%bat22@iquest.net>
Source record: 118107

Icom R-2 scanner with full 800 receive. Have all original box,manual,accessories and carry case (cost $30). Sell for $195 including UPS standard ground. I will accept payment in the form of certified funds or money order only.I will ship COD certified funds via FEDEX only if we can agree on the shipping cost (FEDEX COD isn't cheap)...

Michael Fry
N9LFN
Noblesville,IN.USA

2146. Re: Yaesu VX-5R or Kenwood TH-D7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vic <ke4qjk@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <b0b13.782$J3.31624@news15.ispnews.com>
Source record: 118108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan,

You mentioned "EVE" software ... can you provide us with more
info?
Never heard of it, is it shareware? On the web?

Vic

Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net> wrote in message
news:3744c5a5@news.oaktree.net...
:
: ...
: > Hi! How are you doing? I'm going to get a new HT either Yaesu
VX-5R or
: > Kenwood TH-D7A(since I may try to operate packet radio). Any
suggestion?
: > Thanks!!
:
: I'm kinda partial to the VX5-R. I bought one a couple of weeks
ago and
: cannot put it down. The small size and the 5 watt output were
big sellers
: for me. I'm burnt out on packet, so that wasn't an issue. I
also like the
: ability to program the radio as I like it using either ADMS or
EVE software.
: It does a good job even on AM broadcast and the SW bands with a
good
: antenna. It is am amazing little radio given its' size.
:
: Dan
:
:
:

2147. Re: Frequency Data base program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7i3en3$26b$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 118109
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am always amazed that people don`t just use Microsoft Works for this purpose. I have been using ti for years here, and it serves the purpose admirably. I have had the same databases through 4 different versions of Works, and they all still work just fine, although I am now using Works 4.5. (I started out with 2.0)

I can save the database in various formats, (DBase III is my all - time favorite). I can access this Database from Visual Basic, using the ADO, and have a workable database program that uses it in an hour. I can also use that same database in Probe, and I can even import that base into Trak Star.

If I want to create a base from my Grove CD, I can do the search, then save the file in comma - delimited text format, and import it directly into Works. I can then save the base in DBase III format. Now that is flexibility !

Ben <ben@clinton.com> wrote in message news:7i244rgnt1@news.casema.net...
> Hi,
>
> I'm looking for a simple freq. database program.
>
> Which programs are you using ?
>
> Ben.
>
>
>
>

2148. Re: used scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: foresterNOSPAM@usit.net
Message-ID: <3746407c.56776627@news.usit.net>
Source record: 118110

On 20 May 1999 03:32:19 GMT, jimtown54@aol.com (Jimtown54) wrote:

>Does any body out there know of a source that has reasonable prices and
>selection on used scanners?. I am tired of trying and waiting and losing on
>ebay. HEEELLLPPP. JT

www.uniden.com always has a bunch of refurbished scanners, with some
good deals.

Jack
--
Please remove the "NOSPAM" when replying by e-mail.

2149. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: the@man
Message-ID: <37453f56.3268163@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 118111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Spike" <spike@eyeball.org> wrote:

>> As an employee, you're paid to do a job. The machinery you use in the
>> performance of that job is not yours to "do whatever the hell you
>> want" with, whether you cause damage to it or not. If you goof off, or
>> if you misuse your employer's equipment, the boss has the right to
>> know about it. If you don't like it, the solution is pretty simple:
>> find another job
>
>This is exactly why I have my own business, so I don't have to kiss the ass of any more
>pricks in this world.

That's right. Now YOU are referred to as "the prick". Don't laugh. No
matter how good a boss you *think* you are, someone else knows you're a prick.

2150. Re: Why so many AOR AR82000 scanners for sale? VR500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Codex <codex@DONTSPAM.bogus.net>
Message-ID: <QBc13.180$An2.855@news1.online.no>
Source record: 118112

Michael Malloy <malloy@execpc.com> wrote:

> I was the original poster. Want to sell me that AOR 8000?
> I want a decent radio that will reaction tune my Scout.

> Mike

I had an AOR8000 and I sold it. I found it too clunky. Besides, adding a discriminator/Scout socket to it involved punching a hole in the chassis.

The Icom R10 has a C-IV socket built-in, and reaction-tunes my Scout just fine. And, the R10 can be mod'ed for discriminator without messing around with the chassis.

The middle ring of the C-IV socket is unused, and you can draw the baseband output there. Use a stereo jack, and you're laughing.

Not sure if this helps!

Regards

-cdx

2151. Hong Kong frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Swift <philswft@ctimail.com>
Message-ID: <7i3n7c$e46$1@news.ctimail.com>
Source record: 118113

Does anyone have any frequencies for Hong Kong. Aircraft, marine, etc
anything will do!

2152. Re: Wanted: Nassau County NY new PD Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: David W Hines <nb772458@nassaulibrary.org>
Message-ID: <374566FF.328FEEDF@nassaulibrary.org>
Source record: 118114

Try 477.2875

Jayg359 wrote:

> Hello all - Anyone know the new NCPD Air Marine UHF frequency?? I know it is
> operational since NCPD is no longer using the old air marine 153.74 freq.
> thanks!
>
> Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
> Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue
>
> "Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"

2153. computer aided dispatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: nmetsy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990521100937.09472.00001057@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 118115

looking for url's of live c.a.d. of fire or police

2154. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ROd13.9786$U83.198577@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 118116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dan <the@man> wrote in message news:37453f56.3268163@enews.newsguy.com...
> "Spike" <spike@eyeball.org> wrote:
>
> >> As an employee, you're paid to do a job. The machinery you use in the
> >> performance of that job is not yours to "do whatever the hell you
> >> want" with, whether you cause damage to it or not. If you goof off, or
> >> if you misuse your employer's equipment, the boss has the right to
> >> know about it. If you don't like it, the solution is pretty simple:
> >> find another job
> > >
> > >This is exactly why I have my own business, so I don't have to kiss the
ass of any more
> > >pricks in this world.
> >
> >
> > That's right. Now YOU are referred to as "the prick". Don't laugh. No
> > matter how good a boss you *think* you are, someone else knows you're a
prick.

He's just moved the level of 'pricks to kiss' up, as a business OWNER gets to do the smooching to government bureaucrats, or watch his busniness destroyed by them.

2155. Re: Indy 500 Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: tracy jones <tracyjones@cinergy.com>
Message-ID: <01bea397$8e2ac770$884214ac@H1VFT4>
Source record: 118117

JimGiaco <jimgiaco@aol.com> wrote in article
<19990520234559.23697.00003243@ng-ci1.aol.com>...
: Does anybody have an accurate list of frequencies for the indy 500 time
trials
: this weekend?
:

Jim,
This is what I've downloaded into my Pro 64. Tony Stewart freqs are not
correct!! If anyone has current freqs for Tony, PLEASE post them.

Tracy

2 467.7625 2 Greg Ray MENARD BANK 1
3 468.4375 2 Greg Ray MENARD
41 860.8625 11 Billy Boat AJ FOYT BANK 2
42 855.0875 11 Billy Boat AJ FOYT
43 860.9125 14 Kenny Brack AJ FOYT
44 860.8875 14 Kenny Brack AJ FOYT
81 468.2500 8 Scott Sharp KELLEY BANK 3
82 469.2250 28 Mark Dismore KELLEY
83 456.9125 28 Mark Dismore KELLEY
122 461.4625 5 Arie Lyndyk TREADWAY BANK 4
123 463.7875 5 Arie Lyndyk TREADWAY
124 462.0250 99 Sam Schmidt TREADWAY
125 461.2250 99 Sam Schmidt TREADWAY
161 464.7625 91 Buddy Lazier HEMELGARN BANK 5
162 464.6250 91 Buddy Lazier HEMELGARN
201 467.7625 22 Tony Stewart TRI STAR BANK 6
202 468.4375 22 Tony Stewart TRI STAR
241 463.5750 4 Scott Goodyr PENNZOIL BANK 7
242 467.1750 4 Scott Goodyr PENNZOIL
281 463.8125 51 Eddie Cheevr CHEEVER BANK 8
282 460.6375 51 Eddie Cheevr CHEEVER
321 464.1750 IRL Officials BANK 9
322 464.7750 IRL Officials
323 465.8125 IRL Officials
324 453.3125 IRL Officials
325 468.8250 IRL Officials
326 456.8375 IRL Officials
327 468.8250 Pace Car
328 466.1250 Pace Car
329 453.3125 Safety
361 159.3300 ABC BANK 10
362 470.5125 ESPN

363 450.3500 ESPN
364 455.7625 ESPN
365 472.3875 ESPN2
366 473.2125 ESPN2
367 473.5875 ESPN2
368 455.8375 ESPN2 Indy Radio?
369 461.4000 Firestone Tire
370 450.8375 PA
371 455.1375 PA
372 472.3875 TV
373 472.5625 TV
374 472.8875 TV
375 151.6250 USAC
376 464.5500 USAC
377 935.1375 USAC
378 935.1500 USAC
400 454.0000 MRN/PRN Radio

2156. For Sale Cobra 2000 Excellent Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam Wolinsky <pcmedicnj@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7i3uv8$6ms$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118118
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi all. I have for sale 1 Cobra 2000 base station CB with the speaker. Radio has been modified to cover from 26.815 Mhz to 28.045 Mhz. Radio transmits 20+ watts, clarifier has been opened. I have received several reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from me. I am not real technical so beyond what I have told you, I don't know anything else about the radio.
I would rate this radio as an 8 out of 10. No scratches ect that I was able to find. Also included is the original manual, original 1 year warranty certificate, copy of service manual.
From what I have seen and heard, the 2000 is one of the top of the line most sought after radios that was ever on the market in its day. After using it for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
Price is $275+ shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order. References available upon request.
Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this fine rig.

2157. Re: computer aided dispatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37457CEB.326F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118119

NMETSY wrote:
>
> looking for url's of live c.a.d. of fire or police

Check out the following URL for CHP:

http://cad.chp.ca.gov/

2158. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Silva <mjsilva@jps.net>
Message-ID: <7i4070$c83$1@its.hooked.net>
Source record: 118120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mother Nature wrote in message <37438215.1DB5FBEE@hotmail.com>...
>
>
>Danny wrote:
>>
>> Mother Nature wrote:
>> >
>> > Hi from soc.penpals and let me say that all of this technical talk is
>> > making me hot......<g>
>>
>> Well then 350 KHz, AM, FM. Are you butter yet?
>>
>> Danny
>> --
>
>I'm melting as we speak.....I might just need a cold shower...oops, not
>a good idea. I might get a spark and get tingly all over!!!!!!!

Finally something good comes from the endless Morse debate!

... resonance, Kirchoff, reactance, transconductance, Thevenin, bipolar ...
stay tuned while I get out the electronics dictionary...

Mike

2159. Re: Where to get a very low power transmitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7i41q4$596$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 118121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7hsd7mkpa1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Lynton@iname.com says...
>
>I need a really really low power transmitter, the kind to radiate a
tiny
>signal onto the AM or FM band, thus can be picked up from a few feet.
>Radio Shack sells one but does anyone here know of any others, please
>post some details such as URLs.
>
>
>--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
>---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
Check your local wall mart. They have (or at least did 3 months ago) 2
units.
The first is an FM-MPX(stereo) Tx that uses 2 "AA" cells.
The second is for automotive use and comes with a cigerette lighter
connector, with a step down regulator for 3/6 or 9 Volts.
The input on both is a stereo miniplug (3 conductor 1/8" male)
They can send a signal up to about 20' and are farily stable.

2160. Re: Yaesu VX-5R or Kenwood TH-D7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darryl Wagoner <darryl@sai.com>
Message-ID: <374591B7.94445439@sai.com>
Source record: 118122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It is a freeware package for the VX5. It seems like a good program, but

I haven't had a chance to use it much.

The URL is http://www.geol.com/vx5/

Vic wrote:

> Dan,
>
> You mentioned "EVE" software ... can you provide us with more
> info?
> Never heard of it, is it shareware? On the web?
>
> Vic
>
> Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net> wrote in message
> news:3744c5a5@news.oaktree.net...
> :
> : ...
> : > Hi! How are you doing? I'm going to get a new HT either Yaesu
> VX-5R or
> : > Kenwood TH-D7A(since I may try to operate packet radio). Any
> suggestion?
> : > Thanks!!
> :
> : I'm kinda partial to the VX5-R. I bought one a couple of weeks
> ago and
> : cannot put it down. The small size and the 5 watt output were
> big sellers
> : for me. I'm burnt out on packet, so that wasn't an issue. I
> also like the
> : ability to program the radio as I like it using either ADMS or
> EVE software.
> : It does a good job even on AM broadcast and the SW bands with a
> good
> : antenna. It is am amazing little radio given its' size.
> :
> : Dan
> :
> :
> :

2161. Wanted: Optoelectronics SCOUT 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: methodman905@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7i4bop$974$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118123
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Wanted: OPTOELECTRONICS SCOUT 40

I'm looking for use good condition
Optoelectronics SCOUT 40.

If you have this for sale email: methodman905@hotmail.com

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2162. Wanted Freq in D/FW, TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: redberd95@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990521134302.23716.00003104@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 118124

I am looking for scanner frequencies and aiport frequiences in the D/FW area.

Please email me directly with list or web sites.

Randy
redberd95@aol.com

2163. Airport & Airtran Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: redberd95@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990521134412.23716.00003105@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 118125

I am looking for frequencies for DFW airport and Airtran airlines. Please email me directly.

Randy
redberd95@aol.com

2164. Re: L.A. County Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7i46g9$3to$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 118126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Richard W Cowgill wrote in message <01bea307$3b67a7a0$47d5b3d1@default>...
>Can anyone tell me if the above agency uses any subaudible tones when
>transmitting on their 470mhz freqs? Trying to monitor this department in
>the metro L.A. area on an R2 is pretty tough with all the imaging this unit
>seems to pick up. I've done some tone scanning but so far no luck..
>

If I remember right, I don't think either L.A. county fire or sheriff uses
CTCSS tones (I know for a fact that LA County Sheriff doesn't). I'll be in
California this weekend, so I'll find out for sure and report back on Monday
if you like.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2165. Re: AR8200 or MVT9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jooe@home.nothpole.no
Message-ID: <3745a0f6.26616040@news.corp.hp.com>
Source record: 118127
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 19 May 1999 22:37:02 GMT, radioscanner@mail.dotcom.fr
(radioscanner] wrote:

>On 19 May 1999 07:22:46 GMT, "Ray Colvill" <rcolvill@es.co.nz> wrote:
>
>>Well I brought the 9000 because Bandercom said that the 8200 was
>>more deaf than the 9000.
>>
>>After 4 months, the 9000 is all that I ever wanted.
>>
>>Much better than the 7100
>>
>>I do have some personal reports if any one wants a copy.
>
>
>in first i look for the ar8200 because he is computer controlable and
>have somes usefull options (the tone eliminator in first because
>railroad companies use a 1750hz tone in the distress frequency who is
>impossible to listen with this noise)
>I know Yupiteru is very good , i have compared an 7100 to an UBC9000 ,
>7100 have better reception .
>i may buy the 2 ? no no that a joke :))
>my biggest problem is : (dont laughs please)
>
>where to buy it ?
>you are going to say me why ? because the law in my country is very
>bad , scanning is criminal :((((((
>
>then who can assure me there is not going to have problems with the
>purchase ? (there is 5years to take in jail)
>
>i have send a price request at europoint.com and universalradio.com
>
>a+

Hi

I have the same problem here in Norway

I ordered it from www.bander.com in finland.
They sent it to me in a gray bag, no toll, no problems. :))

Jo

2166. Re: Frequency Data base program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <GAh13.2237$hB3.218@news3.atl>
Source record: 118128
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That does seem to be a good setup, but remember what Ben said: He needs a *simple* database prog. Works may be simple as far as standard databases go, but there are many people out there that could get by fine with a more bare-bones type, which includes most databases designed for scannists. I mentioned before that I am trying out Frequency Filer....it needs improvement IMO, but it may be just fine for other people. I like your way of thinking though....I may start using Works or even Access myself.

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

Zombie Wolf wrote in message <7i3en3$26b$1@garnet.mint.net>...
>I am always amazed that people don`t just use Microsoft Works for this
>purpose. I have been using ti for years here, and it serves the purpose
>admirably. I have had the same databases through 4 different versions of
>Works, and they all still work just fine, although I am now using Works
4.5.
>(I started out with 2.0)
>
>I can save the database in various formats, (DBase III is my all - time
>favorite). I can access this Database from Visual Basic, using the ADO, and
>have a workable database program that uses it in an hour. I can also use
>that same database in Probe, and I can even import that base into Trak
Star.
>
>If I want to create a base from my Grove CD, I can do the search, then save
>the file in comma - delimited text format, and import it directly into
>Works. I can then save the base in DBase III format. Now that is
flexibility
>!
>
>Ben <ben@clinton.com> wrote in message news:7i244rgnt1@news.casema.net...
>> Hi,
>>
>> I'm looking for a simple freq. database program.
>>
>> Which programs are you using ?
>>
>> Ben.
>>
>>

>>
>>
>
>

2167. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <2Kh13.2255$hB3.268@news3.atl>
Source record: 118129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

It's an abbreviation for "Handie-Talkie", coined by a certain manufacturer of handheld radios (the manufacturer escapes my memory...Motorola?). It is used by Hams and radio buffs to describe walkie-talkies and other handheld tranceivers. I have heard some people use it to describe handheld scanners, which, while not exactly correct, still gets the point across.

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

Possums wrote in message <37452f09.0@news.highway1.com.au>...
>Excuse my ignorance but I have seen the abbreviation HT bandied about the
>place and I cant figure out what it is supposed to stand for .. can someone
>pls explain it to me. Thanks!

2168. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pr0tocol@Punkass.com
Message-ID: <3747ace3.5236548@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 118130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

At roughly Fri, 21 May 1999 14:37:50 -0400, "Asmodean"
<asmo@third.age> let loose the following:

>It's an abbreviation for "Handie-Talkie", coined by a certain manufacturer
>of handheld radios (the manufacturer escapes my memory...Motorola?)

Yes, afaik coined in reference to the motorola Handi-Talker UHF (and I
think VHF also) set, popular in the late 70s / early 80s (HMP had a
few HTs if I remember correctly) and the name stuck...

Authough its such an appropriate name I think its a bit of a "chicken
or egg" argument as to which came first..

Motorola still use the term HT as a generic reference to handheld sets
as do many manufacturers and hobbyists

2169. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: donrm@sr.hp.com
Message-ID: <7i4bl6$he2@canyon.sr.hp.com>
Source record: 118131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

John Reimers (jjr@inlink.com) wrote:

> Scanner and HT's can interefere with flight instruments and data systems.
> As a ham and a scanner user you should know that.

True.

This was discussed at length on a similar newsgroup about ten years ago...
one followup poster related an incident who as a passenger on a 747, said
he performed a hammerhead stall and several touch-and-goes merely by care-
ful adjustment of the controls on his handheld transceiver.

Seriously, one very popular 2M handy-talkie has its first LO radiation
falling from 122.6 to 126.6 Mhz @ -57dbm at the antenna port. Pretty
weak signal, but I still wouldn't feel comfortable riding in the same
aircraft as this radio.

Don Montgomery K6LTS
donrm@sr.hp.com

2170. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: DRWHO10@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18012-3745AB70-61@newsd-252.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 118132

to my knowlage back in the 1950s when the planes started to use more electronics in the instrumts any IF on a plane would cause the gages to go funny even a transister radio would cause IF for a plane thus the ban on electronics on planes it is still tricky what they will allow on board!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

10-7

2171. WTT: BC 9000XLT IN LIKE NEW COND FOR PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: RAMSTA <ramsta@cts.com>
Message-ID: <01bea3be$b29e8700$20c644d1@ramsta.inetworld.net>
Source record: 118133

The PRO 2006 must be in exc or better cond and working well.

Mike/KC8CU/San Diego

2172. Re: Yupiteru9000-4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Blackburn <rb170473@cosd.fedex.com>
Message-ID: <3745ABD4.F94B9E5@cosd.fedex.com>
Source record: 118134

Is it a Bandercom M2 or M3 mod?
Are you out of the USA, did you get it from a non-US source, or did
you restore it yourself?

mburke01@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> i am selling an above average condition Yup 9000
> W/full coverage,leather case, batteries, original
> box, and revised and improved english manual.
> This unit has been used less than 5 hours total
> and in excellent condiction. Paid new $875. Yes I
> know the price has come down a bit. Make a
> realistic offer plus $6.50 S&H to
> mburke01@hotmail.com. Thanks
>
> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2173. Buy. a Interface for a AOR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: brianns <brianns@post.tele.dk>
Message-ID: <7i4cs9$9mt$1@news.inet.tele.dk>
Source record: 118135

Do you have a interface for a AOR 8000 Scanner .
and you want to sell contact me at email .

2174. Re: panasonic TP 202 2.4 GHZ PHONE WORTH THE MONEY??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Norman F. Smith" <nfsmith@anet.sostupid.net>
Message-ID: <01bea3bd$ac6bb980$8c199bcf@random>
Source record: 118136

Be careful with Panasonic. They are marketing some analog phones as DSS.

Their Gigarange series does use spread spectrum, but the carrier wave is modulated with an ANALOG signal and it can be picked up with a scanner set to "Wide FM" mode. This is ASS in my book, not DSS.

I am not sure about the particular phone you mentioned, but their KX-TG210-B most certainly behaves as I described. This particular phone is at 2.4 GHz from the base to the handset, but 900MHz from the handset to the base. Many scanners are 900 MHz capable, so those signals can be picked up easily with no need for a Digital to Analog converter.

bye,
Norman

--

To e-mail me, make my address not sostupid.

gig <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in article <37274352.2685204@news.i-2000.com>...
> Anybody have any input on this phone? ever use one?
> thanks gig.
>

2175. Frequency Data base program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ben <ben@clinton.com>
Message-ID: <7i244rgnt1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 118157
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I'm looking for a simple freq. database program.

Which programs are you using ?

Ben.

2176. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Granger <bgranger@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <3744982f.0@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 118158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

>>
>>Just goes to show that people are mindless sheep that will believe
>>anything - why else do you think con-men can steal millions from an
>>unsuspecting public?
>>
>>If someone told me this supposed explosion theory I would have laughed in
>>his face.
>>
>>-Phil

well Phil, it can happen and it has happened. A young woman turned into a fireball in Sydnay about three years ago from just this very thing. Which is why there are signs up on every gas station around here notifying users to not smoke or use cellphones whilst refuelling.

Brad.

2177. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7i284g$scn@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 118159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Contact bounce on cell phone => spark. Boom!

In article <3742D147.215F6AA0@home.com>, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:
>Totally impossible!!
>
>Keith Bennett wrote:
>>
>> Whilst getting some diesel fuel at the filling station yesterday I
>> noticed this guy at another pump who was filling with petrol (gas) and
>> was happily chatting on a cell phone at the same time.
>> I went over to him and politely asked him to stop using the phone.
>> He asked me why and I said that with the amount of gasoline vapour about
>> he could probably activate an explosion! He decided to quit using the
>> phone!!
>>
>> --
>> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
>> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
>> trojan.horse@virgin.net
>> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
>> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
>> UIN #3457372
>> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
>> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
>> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2178. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7i28d5$sm3@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 118160
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith Bennett <trojan.horse@virgin.net> wrote:
>Well naturally I read the subject matter and thought here is an item
>about Inspector Morse. No doubt you have heard of Inspector Morse?

Listen to the theme song at the end of the program; you'll hear
the violins sending M O R S E over and over (in Morse code, of course).

Jeff KH6O

2179. Re: FS: BC200xlt w/ full 800mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimtown54@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990520204452.13052.00002813@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 118161

Do you still have the 200xlt for sale, if so-how much. Please reply. JT

2180. WTB: handeheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990520213716.26053.00003059@ng41.aol.com>
Source record: 118162

wanted to buy: handheld scanner w/ 800-900 Mhz coverage 50+ channels cell coverage a plus will pay $50 or more.
email me with offers

2181. Re: Frequency Data base program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmikkelsen@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <3744c469.17290412@news.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 118163
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 21 May 1999 00:58:24 +0200, "Ben" <ben@clinton.com> wrote,
with the use of a cucumber dipped in blackberry sauce:

>Hi,
>
>I'm looking for a simple freq. database program.
>
>Which programs are you using ?

Microsoft Access and Excel work quite well as database programs. In
fact, most spreadsheet programs can be used quite well as a basic
database program. I remember a shareware program called As-Easy-As
from many years ago - might be worth chasing up (if you don't have any
name-brand software).

--
Dion! -=DUH#12=- (Y1)
"If you chip your newsreader, you'll get a 10% increase in flaming."

2182. Re: Icom R10 and Charger Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990520223556.17405.00003045@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 118164

There is a small switch in the battery compartment that turns the charger on
and off.

>
> On a similar note, does the ICOM R-10 have a built in regulator that
>keeps the batteries from being overcharged?
>

Dwayne
I didn't do it!
Nobody seen me do it!
You can't prove anything!

2183. Re: scanner that can do????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjenk5749@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990520225154.19943.00003155@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 118165

You have just described the BC 178 XLT.
Though it does not have 800 Mhz coverage, it will do what you want.

2184. Signal increase? is this possible? help needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: wajit@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7i2lmt$35g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118166

I just bought a Bellsouth 900mhz Spread Spectrum Digital phone. I was wondering if there is anyway to boost up the signal so if i go walking around the block i can still get a call. I have improved my antena with a makeshift 18" 12 gauge wire which is also attached to my window in my room. This gave me about 300 extra feet for free without having to do much of anything. The model on my phone is MH9020BK. Any help would be well appreciated. Thanks in advance. Send email to dude@worldramp.net. as i might not check this forum often. Thanks

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2185. Re: New NYPD Frequencies???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: No@No.Com
Message-ID: <3744d407.2535304@news.erols.com>
Source record: 118167
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Freq's were changing again today. Should be done by June hopefully.

On Thu, 20 May 1999 17:02:30 -0400, "Gary"
<MJSCommunications@erols.com> wrote:

>I do have several frequency agile radios (I like that by the way), but some
>are not and need to be done the hard way. Oh well. Guess we'll see how
>this plays out!
>BTW, thanks to all who responded to me on this thread. :)
>Take care and be safe
>
>Gary
>
>gig <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message
>news:374454bc.4391955@news.i-2000.com...
>> my advice? if you are not using a frequency agile radio wait thill
>> the whole city is finished.On Fri, 7 May 1999 15:32:28 -0400, "Gary"
>> <MJSCommunications@erols.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Recently I got back into some local scanning, but things aren't what they
>> >were just a few months ago. Can anyone advise the LATEST NYPD
>Frequencies??
>> >Just when I thought I had em all, they went and changed em! Thanks in
>> >advance for the help.
>> >
>> >Gary
>> >
>> >
>>
>

2186. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7i2jos$78l@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 118168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3743423D.7069@sir.com>, Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
>I don't see any groups listed where the Morse Code issue would not be
>appropriate.

That's because you're not familiar with the rec.radio hierarchy; here's
a reminder:

Subject: List of the rec.radio newsgroups
Followup-To: poster
Approved: news-answers-request@mit.edu,rec.radio.info-moderator@primenet.com
Distribution: world
Expires: 01 June 1999
Summary: This article provides a list of the rec.radio newsgroups; it is
posted alternately with the longer "Guide to the Rec.radio NGs"
Keywords: radio amateur ham cb scanner shortwave netiquette
X-Last-Updated: 1998/03/29
Version: 1.1 created 98/03/05 03:50:23
Posting-Frequency: posted on the 1st of each month

Archive-name: radio/personal-brief-introduction

In an effort help ensure a poster's article reaches the appropriate
audience, below is a list of the rec.radio newsgroups. In most cases,
a group's name will explain its purpose. Cross-posting should rarely
be necessary.

rec.radio.broadcasting (devoted to the broadcast industry; moderated)
rec.radio.cb
rec.radio.info (periodic informational bulletins; moderated)
rec.radio.scanner
rec.radio.shortwave
rec.radio.swap (for buying, selling, and trading; not a discussion group)

rec.radio.amateur.antenna
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors (tube-type communications equipment)
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc (packet, pactor and other digital modes)
rec.radio.amateur.dx (long-distance amateur communications)
rec.radio.amateur.equipment (questions, comments regarding commercially-
built amateur gear; not for buying/selling)
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew (devoted to home-building ham gear)
rec.radio.amateur.policy (issues include code, restructuring, local
restrictions in conflict with FCC R&R)
rec.radio.amateur.space (satellites, meteor scatter, EME)
rec.radio.amateur.misc (any topic not covered in the above groups)

(At least two other English language radio hierarchies exist, prefixed with "uk" (United Kingdom), and "aus" (Australia), in place of "rec.")

Again, cross-posting should rarely be necessary. Many times, a long-running thread results from a single cross-posted article, and the contents of such a thread drift further and further away from being "on-topic" in the various NGs that receive each and every followup.

For more detailed information, see "Guide to the Rec.radio Newsgroups" posted on the 15th of each month.

Jeffrey Herman, KH6O, and your friendly rec.radio.amateur working-group

2187. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: robinson <rmcrusoe@gte.net>
Message-ID: <uq413.1928$kn6.46699@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 118169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

I think we are all agreed, that as per the rules, we may not transmit without permission of the pilot, on an aircraft. Posession of a radio does not imply use of it, or intent to use it. A licensed ham, by virtue of having a license, is by implication willing to follow the rules. My contention that the airline may refuse to allow an HT aboard, and that the passenger may refuse to fly that airline, and may tell anyone who will listen to do likewise, is based entirely on common sense.

I think we would do better to post those carriers who have a history of non-cooperation with traveling hams, and avoiding, where possible, those airlines, and seeking to educate them to the extent possible. This argument appears to be a dead horse, well beaten.

Robinson in San Benito TX
A nice little town in the Beautiful Lower Rio Grande Valley
By the Border By the Sea

Meet a Redneck!
See the Crusoe Quintuplets!
Build your own Web Page!
Get your Ham License!

For locksmithing, Radio, Programming, Nautical, Redneck Philosophy, Partying in the Valley, and other stuff go to:
http://crusoe.org

RADIO MAN wrote in message <3741E4E9.2371@ameritech.net>...
>robinson wrote:
>>
>> They should be able to prevent anybody they like from boarding for any
>> reason they like. It is their plane, and their business. They can runn
off
>> ALL their customers if they like, and fold up, or they can let anybody at
>> all board with anything allowed by the law, and behaving in any manner at
>> all that is not specifically illegal. Or they can strike a middle road
>> somewhwere in there. Thhat's called free enterprise... market economy...
>> supply/demand... and giving the customer what he wants, or losing his
>> business.
>>
>> I used to always carry my TS-50S in my briefcase. The one time they
refused
>> to allow it to pass, (The by-the-numbers instructions they got were to
have

>> me turn it on, and naturally I could not, without a source of 13.8VDC)
they
>> busted both the lamps for the LCD panel, and I also had to do a full
reset.
>> The next time, I was given the option of opening it up and I happened to
>> have my swiss army knife in my pocket, so I did, and showed them there
was
>> not room inside for even a single stick of dynamite. After that, I never
had
>> a problem.
>>
>> I have never flown with an HT, and do not even own one. But I bet working
>> your way up the chain of command, you would have eventually found someone
>> who can count past ten without removing his shoes, showed him your
license,
>> informed him that you know your responsibilities and obligations under
the
>> CFR (quoting chapter and verse sounds impressive to some people) you
would
>> have been allowed to board with your HT. This would have helped the next
>> poor ham who had to deal with those mental midgets.
>>
>> If you try everything and get no satisfaction, when given the choice,
don't
>> fly that airline, and inform them of it. Fill out one of the complaint
>> cards that most airlines have, and some distribute, usually in the
airline's
>> in-flight rag. I have always got a response when I have sent one in.
>>
>> When you have a prob with a particular airline, and they simply won't be
>> reasonable no matter what, other hams should be informed. A letter to QST
>> and posts here would together inform probably half of all licensed hams,
and
>> would represent a substantial amount of lost revenue to the ucooperative
>> airline.
>>
>> ---------------------------------
>> Robinson in San Benito TX
>> A nice little town in the Beautiful Lower Rio Grande Valley
>> By the Border By the Sea
>>
>> Meet a Redneck!
>> See the Crusoe Quintuplets!
>> Build your own Web Page!
>> Get your Ham License!
>>
>> For locksmithing, Radio, Programming, Nautical, Redneck Philosophy,
Partying
>> in the Valley, and other stuff go to:
>> http://crusoe.org

>> --
>>
>> hawke wrote in message <373B9D4F.5767A2DC@uswest.net>...
>> >Read below...
>> >
>> >Curtis CCR wrote:
>> >
>> >> radiosigs@aol.com
>> >>
>> >> Are you serious? "Even unfair rules" are OK?"
>> >
>> >Airlines have the right to refuse service for nearly any reason.
>> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> What else should they ban? Laptop computers? Car keys?
>> >
>> >Laptops, cd players, radio recievers and other rf producing electronic
>> >devices
>> >not pertanent to the operation of an aircraft are already on the list of
>> >"banned devices"
>> >via the Flight Aviation Rules and general policy of most airlines.
>> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> BTW - I'm a pilot and I have no knowledge of any Federal Aviation
>> >> Regulation the prohibits passengers from carrying tranceievers on
board
>> >> aircraft. There are rules about *using* portable electronic devices
>> >> (FAR 91.21).
>> >
>> >Look a little closer at that regulation. Part of the RCPA of 1996
included
>> >provisions related to the use of specified electronic equipment on board
>> >any commercial aircraft flying within or over any territory owned
>> >or controlled by the US Government.
>> >
>> >This vary same arguement was held in here and on rec.radio.scanner
>> >some 11 months ago.
>> >
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> I usually have a pretty flexible schedule when I travel. If I was
>> >> denied passage through security because I was , I would have gone back
>> >> to the airline and made a stink - even if it meant missing my flight.
>> >> Unless there was an FAA directive forbiding HTs in carry-on luggage, I
>> >> wouldn't have made every manager involved very busy.
>> >
>> >Carrying them is ok, using them aboard aircraft is not.
>> >

>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Yes - this is stupid. I would have made waves.
>> >>
>> >> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
>> >> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
>> >
>Well it also has to do with electronic interference. You wouldn't want
>the plane to go down becasue you were busy with a QSO with somebody over
>30 miles away thanks to the elevation that you were at, huh?
>--
>RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
>FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

2188. Indy 500 Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimgiaco@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990520234559.23697.00003243@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 118170

Does anybody have an accurate list of frequencies for the indy 500 time trials this weekend?

2189. Re: Emergency Monitoring-Why not built-in monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3744DF87.777F@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118171
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
Kenneth Allan Mitchell wrote:
>
> Why not designate channel 9 with tone 9 ("99") or channel 9 with tone
> 11 ("911") for an emergency coordination/calling channel. This
> information would need to be diseminated so everyone, including search
> & rescue and public safety entities, will recognize the channel and
> possibly monitor/use the channel during such missions.
>
> Just a thought.
>
> --
> Kenneth Allan Mitchell
> mailto:nokones@ix.netcom.com
Channel 9 is a CB channel not an FRS channel
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!
```

2190. Re: FRS in the new Star Wars movie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3744DFFD.2FFC@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Foobar T. Clown wrote:
>
> bigbill1234@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> >
> > Well, I just saw the new Star Wars movie this morning, and guess
> > what, they use FRS! ... Qui-Gon uses it to talk back to the ship
> > ... They look fully metallic and are pretty small, probably
> > smaller then a Cherokee 460.
>
> Hey buddy! Captain Kirk had that back in the 1960's. It wasn't quite as
> small, but he could talk from the ground to a ship in orbit, and he
> didn't have to wait for the ship to pass overhead! In fact the only
> places where it wouldn't work were all about two miles underground.
>
> Cap'n Kirk's FRS also had a cool, flip open design; *NO* external
> antenna; and it had a distinctive ringing tone that you could hear even
> when he was in the middle of a phaser duel with the Klingons. All that,
> plus, Mr. Spock knew how to modify it for more power in an emergency.
Ain't there a phone called the Star Tek phone?
--
RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

2191. Re: Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: TELCOM-GmbH.HV@t-online.de
Message-ID: <3744E07F.B01BC9B9@t-online.de>
Source record: 118173

hello,
the Scout ... and all other handheld counters...can only pick up an analog
signal with continues transmission. The short bursts of digital communication
like GSM or DECT can not be picked up.

Digitaal_Disrupter schrieb:

> We tried it on a PCS phone, and it did not pick it up. Even when the phone
> was placed within 5 inches of the unit.
>
> DD
>
> Shiva Nataraja wrote in message <374398eb.7444271@news>...
> >On Wed, 19 May 1999 20:11:20 -0400, "Digitaal_Disrupter"
> ><Superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Is this unit cell blocked?
> >
> >Most definitely not. In fact, when used with the DB32 antenna, it will
> >pick up a cellular phone (distance unknown) before it will pick up a
> >fast food drive-thru speaker box 10 feet away.
> >

2192. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3744E434.5D7B@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118174
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner.aus.radio.scanner

robinson wrote:
>
> I think we are all agreed, that as per the rules, we may not transmit
> without permission of the pilot, on an aircraft. Posession of a radio does
> not imply use of it, or intent to use it. A licensed ham, by virtue of
> having a license, is by implication willing to follow the rules. My
> contention that the airline may refuse to allow an HT aboard, and that the
> passenger may refuse to fly that airline, and may tell anyone who will
> listen to do likewise, is based entirely on common sense.
>
> I think we would do better to post those carriers who have a history of
> non-cooperation with traveling hams, and avoiding, where possible, those
> airlines, and seeking to educate them to the extent possible. This argument
> appears to be a dead horse, well beaten.
>
> ---------------------------------
> Robinson in San Benito TX
> A nice little town in the Beautiful Lower Rio Grande Valley
> By the Border By the Sea
>
> Meet a Redneck!
> See the Crusoe Quintuplets!
> Build your own Web Page!
> Get your Ham License!
>
> For locksmithing, Radio, Programming, Nautical, Redneck Philosophy, Partying
> in the Valley, and other stuff go to:
> http://crusoe.org
> ---
>
> RADIO MAN wrote in message <3741E4E9.2371@ameritech.net>...
> >robinson wrote:
> >>
> >> They should be able to prevent anybody they like from boarding for any
> >> reason they like. It is their plane, and their business. They can runn
> off
> >> ALL their customers if they like, and fold up, or they can let anybody at
> >> all board with anything allowed by the law, and behaving in any manner at
> >> all that is not specifically illegal. Or they can strike a middle road
> >> somewhwere in there. Thhat's called free enterprise... market economy...
> >> supply/demand... and giving the customer what he wants, or losing his
> >> business.
> >>

> >> I used to always carry my TS-50S in my briefcase. The one time they
> refused
> >> to allow it to pass, (The by-the-numbers instructions they got were to
> have
> >> me turn it on, and naturally I could not, without a source of 13.8VDC)
> they
> >> busted both the lamps for the LCD panel, and I also had to do a full
> reset.
> >> The next time, I was given the option of opening it up and I happened to
> >> have my swiss army knife in my pocket, so I did, and showed them there
> was
> >> not room inside for even a single stick of dynamite. After that, I never
> had
> >> a problem.
> >>
> >> I have never flown with an HT, and do not even own one. But I bet working
> >> your way up the chain of command, you would have eventually found someone
> >> who can count past ten without removing his shoes, showed him your
> license,
> >> informed him that you know your responsibilities and obligations under
> the
> >> CFR (quoting chapter and verse sounds impressive to some people) you
> would
> >> have been allowed to board with your HT. This would have helped the next
> >> poor ham who had to deal with those mental midgets.
> >>
> >> If you try everything and get no satisfaction, when given the choice,
> don't
> >> fly that airline, and inform them of it. Fill out one of the complaint
> >> cards that most airlines have, and some distribute, usually in the
> airline's
> >> in-flight rag. I have always got a response when I have sent one in.
> >>
> >> When you have a prob with a particular airline, and they simply won't be
> >> reasonable no matter what, other hams should be informed. A letter to QST
> >> and posts here would together inform probably half of all licensed hams,
> and
> >> would represent a substantial amount of lost revenue to the ucooperative
> >> airline.
> >>
> >> ---------------------------------
> >> Robinson in San Benito TX
> >> A nice little town in the Beautiful Lower Rio Grande Valley
> >> By the Border By the Sea
> >>
> >> Meet a Redneck!
> >> See the Crusoe Quintuplets!
> >> Build your own Web Page!
> >> Get your Ham License!
> >>

> >> For locksmithing, Radio, Programming, Nautical, Redneck Philosophy,
> Partying
> >> in the Valley, and other stuff go to:
> >> http://crusoe.org
> >> --
> >>
> >> hawke wrote in message <373B9D4F.5767A2DC@uswest.net>...
> >> >Read below...
> >> >
> >> >Curtis CCR wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> radiosigs@aol.com
> >> >>
> >> >> Are you serious? "Even unfair rules" are OK?"
> >> >
> >> >Airlines have the right to refuse service for nearly any reason.
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> What else should they ban? Laptop computers? Car keys?
> >> >
> >> >Laptops, cd players, radio recievers and other rf producing electronic
> >> >devices
> >> >not pertanent to the operation of an aircraft are already on the list of
> >> >"banned devices"
> >> >via the Flight Aviation Rules and general policy of most airlines.
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> BTW - I'm a pilot and I have no knowledge of any Federal Aviation
> >> >> Regulation the prohibits passengers from carrying tranceievers on
> board
> >> >> aircraft. There are rules about *using* portable electronic devices
> >> >> (FAR 91.21).
> >> >
> >> >Look a little closer at that regulation. Part of the RCPA of 1996
> included
> >> >provisions related to the use of specified electronic equipment on board
> >> >any commercial aircraft flying within or over any territory owned
> >> >or controlled by the US Government.
> >> >
> >> >This vary same arguement was held in here and on rec.radio.scanner
> >> >some 11 months ago.
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> I usually have a pretty flexible schedule when I travel. If I was
> >> >> denied passage through security because I was , I would have gone back
> >> >> to the airline and made a stink - even if it meant missing my flight.
> >> >> Unless there was an FAA directive forbiding HTs in carry-on luggage, I

> >> >> wouldn't have made every manager involved very busy.
> >> >
> >> >Carrying them is ok, using them aboard aircraft is not.
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Yes - this is stupid. I would have made waves.
> >> >>
> >> >> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> >> >> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
> >> >
> >Well it also has to do with electronic interference. You wouldn't want
> >the plane to go down becasue you were busy with a QSO with somebody over
> >30 miles away thanks to the elevation that you were at, huh?
> >--
> >RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> >FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!
One would hope that it also applies to cell phones. What about two
pagers? How come this does not affect the phones on the planes.
There are two types that I am aware of.
One type tramsits in the 400 MEgacycle band and another transmits in
the 800 band
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2193. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3744E243.94F@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Brad Granger wrote:
>
> >>
> >>Just goes to show that people are mindless sheep that will believe
> >>anything - why else do you think con-men can steal millions from an
> >>unsuspecting public?
> >>
> >>If someone told me this supposed explosion theory I would have laughed in
> >>his face.
> >>
> >>-Phil
>
> well Phil, it can happen and it has happened. A young woman turned into a
> fireball in Sydnay about three years ago from just this very thing. Which is
> why there are signs up on every gas station around here notifying users to
> not smoke or use cellphones whilst refuelling.
>
> Brad.
Wow, I never heard os such a thing. Hear in Ohio, one of the suburbs
passed a rule that states that you can only use a cell phone for an
emergency or you will get a ticket
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2194. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3744E382.5F1A@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Contact bounce on cell phone => spark. Boom!
Please explain this to me; as I cannot follow

>
> In article <3742D147.215F6AA0@home.com>, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:
> >Totally impossible!!
> >
> >Keith Bennett wrote:
> >>
> >> Whilst getting some diesel fuel at the filling station yesterday I
> >> noticed this guy at another pump who was filling with petrol (gas) and
> >> was happily chatting on a cell phone at the same time.
> >> I went over to him and politely asked him to stop using the phone.
> >> He asked me why and I said that with the amount of gasoline vapour about
> >> he could probably activate an explosion! He decided to quit using the
> >> phone!!
> >>
> >> --
> >> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> >> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> >> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> >> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> >> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> >> UIN #3457372
> >> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> >> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> >> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2195. Re: Did I miss something?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3744E2D0.1D94@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118177
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner

Rudi Mulder wrote:
>
> >> >
> >> >Stop!!! I can't take it anymore.
> >>
> >> well start filtering then Sweety
> >> or better whip them good LOL
> >>
> >> pain is close to pleasure
> >> torture can be all above :)
> >
> >I don't know Rudi, we might just find some good use for electronic
> >equipment. <g>
>
> I'm ready for all enlightenment even if its electronical :))
Well the nhere is something for you. The higher in frequency you go;
the smaller the atenaa becomes. Inverse proportion.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2196. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3744E47A.42FC@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118178
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> D Best <dbest@iquest.net> wrote:
> >It won't, so I think you might save yourselves some heartache and look at
> >the subject before you read the message -- or just ignore the thread
> >altogehter. Is that really so difficult?
>
> So are you saying that you wouldn't mind if the 200,000+ newsgroups were
> lumped together as just one NG? After all, one would only need to inspect
> the subject line as one waded through the 10 million posts each day,
> right?
Well that is true
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2197. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3744E49F.27BC@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118179
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Keith Bennett <trojan.horse@virgin.net> wrote:
> >Well naturally I read the subject matter and thought here is an item
> >about Inspector Morse. No doubt you have heard of Inspector Morse?
>
> Listen to the theme song at the end of the program; you'll hear
> the violins sending M O R S E over and over (in Morse code, of course).
>
> Jeff KH6O
What program?
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2198. Re: Question: Is 46.10 used in LA area??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerhead@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7i2s6f$790$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118180

In article <19990517223147.16582.00001974@ng61.aol.com>,
jayg359@aol.com (Jayg359) wrote:
> Question: Is 46.10mhz used at all in the LA area, more specifically
Beverly
> Hills??
>
> Thanks for your help!
>
> Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
> Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue
>
> "Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"
>
> I looked up 46.100 on percons spectrum and scannerbase and didn't come
up with any thing for Beverly Hills or the city of LA , in the county of
Los Angeles the only cities licensed for that freq are city of Glendale
and city of Pasadena, for PF or fire. That freq is also listed in LA
metro scan for "verdugo fire protection authority".Hope this
helps.......

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2199. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3744E841.4A0F@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.scanner.aus.radio.scanner

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> Really: Try a little experiment for me then. Just stand at a gas station
> whilst somebody is refuelling and induce just a small spark! If you have
> never seen a gasoline vapor explosion and the resulting skin burns then
> maybe you'll think again. Any transmitter is dangerous under those
> conditions, and a cell phone is still a transceiver with operating keys.
> Then again you are justly entitled to your opinion as I am and I prefer
> to play along the lines of common sense and public safety. No doubt you
> are quite happy to smoke a cigarette whilst refuelling your car!
>
> In article <3742D147.215F6AA0@home.com>, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
> writes
> >Totally impossible!!
> >
> >Keith Bennett wrote:
> >>
> >> Whilst getting some diesel fuel at the filling station yesterday I
> >> noticed this guy at another pump who was filling with petrol (gas) and
> >> was happily chatting on a cell phone at the same time.
> >> I went over to him and politely asked him to stop using the phone.
> >> He asked me why and I said that with the amount of gasoline vapour about
> >> he could probably activate an explosion! He decided to quit using the
> >> phone!!
> >>
> >> --
> >> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> >> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> >> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> >> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> >> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> >> UIN #3457372
> >> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> >> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> >> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372

> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
That is just as bad as running the car while refueling. I wonder what would happen if everybody had atomic powered cars. Would an explosion result in a atomic chain reaction
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2200. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3744E8A5.3239@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> Well Phil have you ever seen the results of a gasoline vapor explosion?
> No of course you have not! But I have. And the resultant skin burns are
> not pretty to see. Any electronic device is capable of producing short
> circuits and sparks. Activating cellphone keypads may or may not induce
> an electrical connection, however small, but you can bet your bottom
> dollar that if there was such a fault produced then you would be the
> poor schmuck standing there pumping unleaded gas into your car! We here
> in the UK do not have vapor vacuums fitted to the gas pumps, so the
> whole area around a busy market gas station is full of gas fumes.
> All I am considering is the personal safety of my family and myself, and
> if you don't like it Phil you can go and stuff yourself!
>
> In article <7humm6$3av$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>, Phil Smalley
> <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> writes
> >
> >Jim Wayda wrote in message <3742D147.215F6AA0@home.com>...
> >>Totally impossible!!
> >>
> >>Keith Bennett wrote:
> >>>
> >>> Whilst getting some diesel fuel at the filling station yesterday I
> >>> noticed this guy at another pump who was filling with petrol (gas) and
> >>> was happily chatting on a cell phone at the same time.
> >>> I went over to him and politely asked him to stop using the phone.
> >>> He asked me why and I said that with the amount of gasoline vapour about
> >>> he could probably activate an explosion! He decided to quit using the
> >>> phone!!
> >>>
> >
> >
> >Just goes to show that people are mindless sheep that will believe
> >anything - why else do you think con-men can steal millions from an
> >unsuspecting public?
> >
> >If someone told me this supposed explosion theory I would have laughed in
> >his face.
> >
> >-Phil
> >
> >-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
> >-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
I wonder if those new car features where they unlock your car from
remote can make a station go kaboom too
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2201. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3744E9AA.34A2@ameritech.net>
Source record: 118183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <3743440D.7F2B@sir.com>, Sir <sir@sir.com> writes
> >> Yeah. I found this really interesting. But what has all this got to do
> >> with Soc Penpals? I don't really care anything about radio
> >> communications of any sort. So why not just keep this on the boards that
> >> apply and take it off soc.penpals.
> >
> >
> >Let me try to explain. When people reply to a post on a newsgroup, they
> >don't usually read what newsgroups are all listed and delete the ones
> >they THINK are not appropriate. They just hit send, and it goes to all
> >the newsgroups the original post was on. Blaming the person who replied
> >to the post is silly, he did not choose the newsgroups listed.
> >
> >And to delete any of the groups would be just as silly, because you are
> >sending a reply to the post and don't know which of the groups he will
> >be checking back to read your reply, so it makes sense to leave
> >everything as is.
> >
> >Why do people hate logic and common sense so much?
> I am the first to agree that many lack common sense these days, but what
> happened to all those complaints we used to get from newsgroups that
> your posting was 'inappropriate' to the newsgroup in question!
> Let's suppose we broadcast this thread in say uk.misc or talk.bizarre,
> or maybe even soc.culture.israel then they would soon let us know about
> it!
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com
Don't forget soc.culture.polish
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2202. Inverter Program Problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bubba Joe Smith <bubbajoe@MailAndNews.com>
Message-ID: <eM513.110$pr.171202@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 118184

Since I have gone to windows98, the inverter program won't work. It gives
me
an error message that the WAV output device is already in use (no other
programs running). Anyone know what that is about?

thanks

Get your FREE web-based e-mail and newsgroup access at:
http://MailAndNews.com and http://MailAndNews.co.uk

Create a new mailbox, or access your existing IMAP4 or
POP3 mailbox from anywhere with just a web browser.

2203. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990521011038.21436.00004724@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 118185

gee you must be a RF engineerski.... if i see you at a gas station I will pull up next to the pump and turn on my 1K watt amp and KEY DOWWWWWNNNNNNNNNNN!

remove nospam to email

2204. Re: Frequency Data base program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael E. Wallace" <mewalla@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3744ED40.ED3A4217@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118186
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ben, I have been using a simple program for about eight years called RAC Frequency Catalog V1.1. The whole thing is less than 120K zipped. NEVER had a problem with it. I have a lot of freqs in my database and it's never crashed. It is a DOS program. I run it under Win95 just fine. Neat thing is, I can open it in dBase or MS Access. It creates a standard dbf file. The reason I do this is because the report section is kinda weak. But you can sort on various fields, create multiple databases. I really like it. Very low overhead. Not sure yet if it's Y2K. :-)

If you'd like to try it, let me know and I'll email it to you.

Michael
--
mewalla@worldnet.att.net
N3LFH

Ben wrote:

> Hi,
>
> I'm looking for a simple freq. database program.
>
> Which programs are you using ?
>
> Ben.

2205. Re: Question about WFM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <q3M13.28421$5e2.530228@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117887
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try cellular. I haven't listened but a friend of a friend has...
Ryan T. Faricy <online@faricy.net> wrote in message
news:7i81ft$g6l$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
> >My question is what is WFM used for??
>
> My guess is as good as anyone's - for audio quality. Television and
> commercial broadcast radio (88-108 MHz) uses it, as well as wireless
> microphones, I think.
>
> >When I search the 800MHZ I can hear a
> >lot of communication under wide fm but not regular fm. Are they using
> >different types of radios/??? Thanks for any help...
>
>
> In my area, I am not aware of any 800 MHz systems that use WFM. Is it
> possible that you are hearing signals other than voice, such as data?
>
> RTF
>
>

2206. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <OWM13.28636$5e2.533490@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117888
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Who told him it was illegal?

TR

Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote in message ...
I had the feeling that some goofball was going to tell him it was illegal
and not tell him the answer to his question.

2207. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <YYM13.28644$5e2.533582@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117889
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Uh, Robert, that would be illegal.

TR

Robert wrote in message ...
>Just as I had anticipated. Mostly everyone telling me that its illegal. I
>know its illegal. Did I ask to purchase one? Did I say I wanted one made
for
>me? No. I simply asked if there was one out there. Why is it so hard for
>someone to just answer the question that was asked? I give up...
>Well thanks again...
>Robert
>
>
>Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote in message
>news:37555e62.29840936@news.cyberhighway.net...
>> "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote:
>>
>> >This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it
has
>to
>> >be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am
pretty
>> >sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you
know
>of
>> >that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you
>know
>> >transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say...
>Thanks
>> >in advance
>>
>> Sure. It's called a Spark Gap transmitter, and has been illegal for
>> decades.
>>
>> >Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>> >neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my
>life
>> >LOSER
>>
>> A LOSER is what you will suddenly become if you ever generate more than
>> a few milliwatts of broadband RF noise.
>>

>> Get some education, troll.
>> --
>> glenq@cyberhighway.net
>> WB7DOW
>>
>> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
>
>

2208. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <FTM13.28621$5e2.533182@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

The only facts one needs to show that you are a loser, obsessed with Bill Cheek, are the hundreds of asinine posts you have made.

TR

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <37477664.B01B352A@usa.net>...
>Bill Conduit-
>
>Why is it that you are unable to present any FACTS to support your
>cause & have to resort to school yard type ridicule?
>
>
>> listen up you anal retard
>> given wretch by your five-
>> nosed mama.
>>
>> One nose each to smell
>> your ass each day to
>> make sure you are not
>> hers.
>
>SNIP
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2209. Re: Frequency Directories from Mobile Radio Resources?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <37479913.F69E77B9@netxn.com>
Source record: 117891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mderryberry.vcf

I'm not sure where you are, but I bought mine from Ham Radio Outlet on
Burbank.

Mike Derryberry

Brad Stevenson wrote:
>
> Is Mobile Radio Resources still in business?
> MRR is located in San Jose, California.
>
> MRR published three frequency directories for California,
> Government Radio Systems, Military Radio Systems, & Federal Radio
> Systems.
>
> The directories contained very accurate information.
>
> Where can I purchase new editions of the directories?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Brad.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2210. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <wmN13.1134$eC3.157040@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37477664.B01B352A@usa.net...
> Bill Conduit-
>
> Why is it that you (snip)
> have to resort to school yard type ridicule?

It must be obvious to every person but you John-Boy. Bill is lowering himself to your level. For most of us that is a giant drop, you should be flattered someone would take the time to stoop so low.

2211. Re: Morse code/Trying to reach Hawaii

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <jIRxPHA$5yR3Ewo6@virgin.net>
Source record: 117893
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7i76i8$52s@news.Hawaii.Edu>, Jeffrey Herman
<jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes
>Keith Bennett <trojan.horse@virgin.net> wrote:
>>Hey Jeff, what are the chances of you sending me a Hawaii'an beach
>>shirt?
>
>Email me with your size and postal address. // Jeff KH6O
They won't allow us packages here in Wormwood Scrubs. Nice to offer
though!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2212. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: commoneOH@innercite.com
Message-ID: <374732DE.EC24950F@innercite.com>
Source record: 117894
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If you just want to "heat up" the newsgroups - just ask for some MOTOROLA software! That brings 'em out every time.

Meanwhile your device isn't really available commercially, but you CAN BUILD it.
Parts shouldn't be too hard to find. Browse the net for plans for a "Spark-Gap" transmitter. Build the biggest one possible - just like the old days ----

Uh, don't forget to wear a "safety" ground strap around your ankle - connected to
a real good ground...

It should work great - remember to hold it close to the dish -

Oh yeah, be sure to pen a check to the local mortuary and make all arrangements for a hasty departure - These old 'spark gaps' had a way of weeding out the incompetent radio operators quickly..

Personally, Id rather ask for some copyrighted moto software..

~CQ

Robert wrote:

> Just as I had anticipated. Mostly everyone telling me that its illegal. I
> know its illegal. Did I ask to purchase one? Did I say I wanted one made for
> me? No. I simply asked if there was one out there. Why is it so hard for
> someone to just answer the question that was asked? I give up...
> Well thanks again...
> Robert

2213. FS: PRO-2035 Base Scanner - Mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Heatwole <heatwole@shell.clark.net>
Message-ID: <iNA13.205$I7.2747@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 117895

Hello. I have a Radio Shack PRO-2035 base scanner for sale. The scanner is at least a 9/10 cosmetically and it works 100%. It has very, very low use, and I've had no problems with it at all. It has 1000 memory channels, one touch weather, VFO knob, NFM/WFM/AM, RF ATT, RX from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz (minus cellular), keypad, and data skip. This scanner can even be modified so that it can receive VHF/UHF in CW/SSB in addition to FM/AM if you have a SW Receiver, but I have not modified it for anything.

The scanner comes with the indoor telescopic antenna, AC power cord, base stand, original box, original packaging, and the original manual. First $310 gets it (that price includes the radio, UPS ground, and insurance). Please let me know if your interested. I would trade for a Ten-Tec 253 HF auto-tuner or like model. Thanks a lot for reading.

Nat Heatwole
heatwole@clark.net
KB3CBW

2214. Re: L.A. County Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: rock'n'medic <JBThomas@mindsync.com>
Message-ID: <374754b6.0@news.sac.bfp.net>
Source record: 117896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The LACoFD does use PL/CTCSS Tones, as does LAFD. Here are frequencies and tones for both:

LACoFD:
Blue 1 470.5625 tone 151.4
Blue 2 470.4375 tone 151.4
Blue 3 470.6125 tone 151.4
Blue 4 470.5125 tone 151.4
Blue 5 470.6625 tone 151.4
Blue 6 470.4125 tone 151.4
Blue 7 470.4625 tone 151.4
Blue 8 470.5375 tone 151.4--this is the primary dispatch channel.
Blue 9 470.6375 tone 151.4
Blue 10 470.3625 tone 151.4

LAFD:
Metro L.A. Fire Dispatch
OCD-7
859.4375
192.8

Valley Fire and EMS Dispatch
OCD-8
858.4375
103.5

Backup Dispatch Channel
OCD-9
857.2375
107.2

Metro L.A. EMS Dispatch
OCD-4
857.9375
91.5

Division 1 Operations
TAC-1
860.9375
85.4

Division 2 Operations
TAC-2
859.9375
88.5

Division 3 Operations
TAC-3
858.9375
91.5

Citywide EMS Operations
EMS-10
856.2375
123.0

Fire Prevention Bureau (Arson)
FPB-5
856.9375
97.4

Administration / Service
ADMIN-6
860.4375
186.2

Command
CMD-11
860.7625
127.3

Tactical / Fireground
TAC-12
858.2375
131.8

Tactical / Fireground
TAC-13
857.4375
141.3

Tactical / Fireground
TAC-14
856.4375
146.2

Tactical / Fireground
TAC-15
859.7625
151.4

Tactical / Fireground
TAC-16
858.7625
162.2

Tactical / Fireground
TAC-17
857.7625
167.9

Tactical / Fireground
TAC-18
856.7625
173.8

Mutual Aid / Fireground (Simplex)
MAF-19
866.0125
156.7

Mutual Aid / Fireground (Simplex)
MAF-20
866.5125
156.7

Mutual Aid / Fireground (Simplex)
MAF-21
867.0125
156.7

Mutual Aid / Fireground (Simplex)
MAF-22
867.5125
156.7

Mutual Aid / Fireground (Simplex)
MAF-23
868.0125
156.7

Mutual Aid / Fireground (Simplex)
MAF-24
868.9875
156.7

-----Original Message-----
From: Richard W Cowgill <lakers34@netscape.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
Date: Friday, May 21, 1999 2:54 AM
Subject: L.A. County Fire

>Can anyone tell me if the above agency uses any subaudible tones when
>transmitting on their 470mhz freqs? Trying to monitor this department in
>the metro L.A. area on an R2 is pretty tough with all the imaging this unit
>seems to pick up. I've done some tone scanning but so far no luck..
>
>thnx,
>Rich
>

Richard W Cowgill wrote in message <01bea307$3b67a7a0$47d5b3d1@default>...
>Can anyone tell me if the above agency uses any subaudible tones when
>transmitting on their 470mhz freqs? Trying to monitor this department in
>the metro L.A. area on an R2 is pretty tough with all the imaging this unit

>seems to pick up. I've done some tone scanning but so far no luck..
>
>thnx,
>Rich
>

2215. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan T. Faricy" <online@faricy.net>
Message-ID: <7i70eu$4nt$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117937
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
>LOSER

I'm sure I and others would have answered your question up until the
attitude paragraph.

RTF

2216. Re: BC-235 Question??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990522152812.11231.00005157@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 117938

Yes, that's normal. Strange indicator, but normal! It's supposed to tell you which of the frequencies is being used at that moment. (Not to be confused with ID #'s).

>Subject: BC-235 Question??
>From: mao2 <mao2@prvnet.com>
>Date: 5/22/99 9:45 AM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3746D135.777A8549@prvnet.com>
>
>When the trunk track mode... I notice that above the
>--.--- when it hasn't locked on a transmission I see
>the larger bars above the --.--- light up but don't here
>anything.
>Is this normal or do I have something locked out?

Laine S. Herman
http://expage.com/page/Radio911

2217. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: zenith #1 <peitsch@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <7i71ae$lsn@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 117939
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Nice attitude Robert. Come back when you grow up a little. Baaad boy!

Robert wrote in message ...
>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has
to
>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
>sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know
of
>that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
>transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks
>in advance
>
>Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
>LOSER
>
>Thanks..
>
>Robert
>
>

2218. Re: IC Q7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil <philsa@claranet.fr>
Message-ID: <TaE13.3746$z4.31467@nnrp3.clara.net>
Source record: 117940

alf sauve a ?crit dans le message <7heb56$ca7$2@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>...
>ICOM Dual Band micro HT with wide band scanning.
>Excellent condition. w/ pair of nicads, and earpiece adapater cable.
>$155.
>
>W4ADS
>Atlanta, GA
>
>
>
>

2219. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerfreq@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1518-37470ACE-41@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 117941

Rich Wells is THE MAN!!!!!

2220. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <MoXg7JAFywR3EwvN@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 117942
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <dtC13.26305$5e2.486891@news2.giganews.com>, Robert
<reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> writes
>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
>sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know of
>that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
>transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks
>in advance
>
>Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
>LOSER
>
>Thanks..
>
>Robert

When you transmit you make it eveyone's business, LOSER.

Mike
>

2221. Re: Mass State Police Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990522162206.09315.00003652@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 117943

I believe that they are in the Procces of changing to an 800mhz Trunked system.

Mike

2222. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael <MKlevickis@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37471596.70EB@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117944
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert wrote:

> Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
> LOSER
>
> Thanks..
>
> Robert

Robert,

As I think it is desirable to share information and important to encourage newbies, I was about to post a detailed answer to your question. It is a matter of some technical interest. However, having read your nasty, juvenile, and petulant last paragraph, I think it is best to leave you in your ill-informed and anti-social ignorance. Do you really expect others to help you after being so gratuitously nasty?

Michael K.

2223. Re: Where is MDT Monitor for Windows?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <vPE13.7627$%x.6058@wards>
Source record: 117945

Adam S. Karp <ASK1@uno.cc.geneseo.edu> wrote in message
news:ziC13.53204$BZ6.38915909@news.optonline.net...
> I can't seem to access the new site for MDT Monitor for windows. Does
> anyone have any old copy of the program or a new link? The following is
the
> old link:
>
> http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Facility/7646/
>
> --
> -Adam
>

go here for that and MUCH more

http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk

regards

eavsdropper
>

2224. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <tQE13.7628$%x.5794@wards>
Source record: 117946
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

WHAT AN IDIOT HE MUST BE !

just what radio needs

regards
eavsdropper
>

2225. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <G6F13.26787$5e2.504279@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117947
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I am sorry if I sound nasty, juvenile, and any other things you wish to call it but I am at my wits end with people answering my post with not what I had asked but telling me that it is illegal or whatever. I just tried to make a point. Not to piss anyone off. To see what I am talking about look for my Primestar satellite question and you will see what I mean. I hope this clarifies any misunderstandings. In the future, I will try to be less hostile. Thanks

Robert

Michael <MKlevickis@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:37471596.70EB@worldnet.att.net...
> Robert wrote:
>
> > Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> > neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
> > LOSER
> >
> > Thanks..
> >
> > Robert
>
> Robert,
>
> As I think it is desirable to share information and important to
> encourage newbies, I was about to post a detailed answer to your
> question. It is a matter of some technical interest. However, having
> read your nasty, juvenile, and petulant last paragraph, I think it is
> best to leave you in your ill-informed and anti-social ignorance. Do you
> really expect others to help you after being so gratuitously nasty?
>
> Michael K.

2226. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buster <BBusterB@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <37472191.5A7E8A47@concentric.net>
Source record: 117948
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Very simple problem, Robert. Just build a hugh arc generator based on
Tesla technology (old technology) and have at it! You can be assured
that some irritated neighbour will come at you with an 9mm and shoot you dead if you don't
electrocute yourself first.
Try it, you may like it! ;)

Robert wrote:
>
> This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
> be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
> sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know of
> that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
> transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks
> in advance
>
> Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
> LOSER
>
> Thanks..
>
> Robert

--
,,,
(. .)
+-------------------------ooO-(_)-Ooo-----------------------+
| |
| Support Lawful Low Power FM Broadcasting |
| and comment to Kennard's plan of NPRM MM 99-25 |
| |
| SEE |
| ^^^ |
| The Amherst Alliance |
| http://www3.imcnet.net/Amherst/ |
| ============================== |
| also FCC LPFM Page: http://www.fcc.gov/mmb/prd/lpfm |
| |
| Written Comments must be RECEIVED BY |
| Close Of Business on Monday, AUGUST 2 |
| |
| Have patience! |
| ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^ |

+--+

2227. Re: Morse code/Trying to reach Hawaii

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7i76i8$52s@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117949
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith Bennett <trojan.horse@virgin.net> wrote:
>Hey Jeff, what are the chances of you sending me a Hawaii'an beach
>shirt?

Email me with your size and postal address. // Jeff KH6O
--
Fingerprint Classification Services, Inc.: Your own personal copy
of your fingers' inked impressions, classified utilizing the Henry
classification formula (with FBI extensions), $15 + postage.

2228. Re: How could you go along with it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7i7870$gd0$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117950

You know, I think you ought to get some treament for this. This does not have anything to do with the content of this news group, let alone reality.

Truth2bTLD <truth2btld@aol.com> wrote in message news:19990520181343.22561.00002629@ng-cj1.aol.com...
>
> This is not a spam. I can't find the original post to quote but this guy will
> know what I'm talking about.
>

2229. Re: For Sale Cobra 2000 Excellent Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB WOLF <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7i78gq$sf7$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117951
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Get off his ASS !!! We don't need you're kind of bullshit here!!

smokebowl <smokebowl@hempseed.com> wrote in message
news:3747043f.4576369@news.flash.net...
> haha.. with a name like pcmedic, you arent technical?
>
> On Sat, 22 May 1999 12:19:25 -0400, "Sam Wolinsky"
> <pcmedicnj@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Hi all. I have for sale 1 Cobra 2000 base station CB with the speaker.
> >Radio has been modified to cover from 26.815 Mhz to 28.045 Mhz. Radio
> >transmits 20+ watts, clarifier has been opened. I have received several
> >reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from
me.
> >I am not real technical so beyond what I have told you, I don't know
> >anything else about the radio.
> >I would rate this radio as an 8 out of 10. No scratches ect that I was
able
> >to find. Also included is the original manual, original 1 year warranty
> >certificate, copy of service manual.
> >From what I have seen and heard, the 2000 is one of the top of the line
most
> >sought after radios that was ever on the market in its day. After using
it
> >for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
> >Price is $275+ shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order. References
> >available upon request.
> >Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this
> >fine rig.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> \

2230. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmrubin@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <374826bb.835990@nntp.best.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117952
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
wrote:

>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
>sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know of
>that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
>transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks
>in advance
>
>Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
>LOSER
>
Why not just transmit on one emergency frequency. Then you won't need
to transmit on the other frequencies since they don't allow you to
have transmitters at Lewisberg.

2231. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37472885.311DE01@usa.net>
Source record: 117953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

It's all true.

He was arrested, much equipment was confiscated, & he was imprisoned
until he
made bail. He now faces federal charges & possible state charges.
Things look fairly
for him as he has a previous ciminal record (by his own admission) and
he wife may
always volunteer to turn to give evidence & act as a witness against him
to save herself (she
has also been implicated becasue the business was in both names).

Greg Dean wrote:

> One of the local scanner hobbist told me that Bill Cheek
> was arrested for making a decoder for the Motorola MDT.
>
> Cheek appearantly posted this on his web page which
> resulted in his arrest. While this sounds strange,
> did it happen?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2232. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <V6OGvDAgpyR3Ewtt@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 117954
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <G6F13.26787$5e2.504279@news2.giganews.com>, Robert
<reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> writes
>I am sorry if I sound nasty, juvenile, and any other things you wish to call
>it but I am at my wits end with people answering my post with not what I had
>asked but telling me that it is illegal or whatever. I just tried to make a
>point. Not to piss anyone off. To see what I am talking about look for my
>Primestar satellite question and you will see what I mean.

Can you explain this last bit?

Mike

2233. Re: San Diego - Poway Unified Schools - Transportation Dept.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Stevenson <BStevenson@zyan.com>
Message-ID: <37470FB0.2085AEB2@zyan.com>
Source record: 117955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Radio911 wrote:
>
> If you know of the frequencies used by Poway Unified School
> District-Transportation Dept., I would appreciate an e-mail.
> Search on FCC database shows one freq. and it isn't being used
> (as best as I can tell).
> Thank you very much!
>
> Laine S. Herman
> http://expage.com/page/Radio911

If school districts are government agencies, why are schools assigned
Special Emergency frequencies instead of the local government Freq's?

2234. FCC Rules: part 88?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Stevenson <BStevenson@zyan.com>
Message-ID: <37470FBF.489AB2FA@zyan.com>
Source record: 117956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Is the FCC going to replace part 90 of the rules with part 88?

If so, when will the new "88" rules take effect?

2235. Frequency Directories from Mobile Radio Resources?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Stevenson <BStevenson@zyan.com>
Message-ID: <37470FC7.ED8BF6B6@zyan.com>
Source record: 117957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Is Mobile Radio Resources still in business?
MRR is located in San Jose, California.

MRR published three frequency directories for California,
Government Radio Systems, Military Radio Systems, & Federal Radio
Systems.

The directories contained very accurate information.

Where can I purchase new editions of the directories?

Thanks.

Brad.

2236. New LIMA Net on Real Audio : 1 1/2 hours

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: volunteer14 <pandr@microdsi.net>
Message-ID: <927412315.349.30@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 117958

The Long Island Monitoring Association web site now has another net the March 3, 1998 show up on real audio www.voiceoftheweb.com . Click the real audio link in the left column. The are three sequenced streams Part 1 topics include:

The "1 mile" amateur radio band.
Military aviation frequencies.
Aerial photography frequencies.
Using the PRO-43 to detect "bugs".
Guided Missile Destroyer frequencies in HF.
Clandestine station: Nigerian radio "Voice of Democracy"
Vatican Radio
Mali's Radio Bahako
A new trunktracker site.

a rundown of parts 2 and 3 will be posted soon

2237. LIMA Net on Real Audio 1.5 hours check it out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: volunteer14 <pandr@microdsi.net>
Message-ID: <7i7be1$7oh$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 117959

The Long Island Monitoring Association web site now has another net, the
March 3, 1998 show, up on real audio www.voiceoftheweb.com .
Click the real audio link in the left column. The are three sequenced
streams.

Part 1 topics include:

The "1 mile" amateur radio band.
Military aviation frequencies.
Aerial photography frequencies.
Using the PRO-43 to detect "bugs".
Guided Missile Destroyer frequencies in HF.
Clandestine stations: Nigerian radio "Voice of Democracy"
Vatican Radio
Mali's Radio Bahako
A new trunktracker site.

a rundown of parts 2 and 3 will be posted soon

2238. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George W. White" <deeply@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7i7c2a$251$0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 117960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Shiva Nataraja wrote:

> On Thu, 20 May 1999 00:58:59 -0500, "Spike" <spike@eyeball.org> wrote:
>
> >> Just how important is it to you to be able to goof off, anyway?
>
> [MUCH ranting deleted.]
>
> Wow, looks like I struck a nerve there. Perhaps you're one of those
> slouch civil servant types who feels that the world owes them 2 hour
> lunches, a 4-day work week, a 6-hour work day, and the freedom to
> misuse your boss' equipment in any way you damn well feel like?
> Whatever your occupation (if you even have one), it's pretty obvious
> from your attitude that you're not a busines owner.
>
> >The least you can do for employees is get off their asses and let them =
> >have their free time
>
> Nobody's talking about FREE time, we're talking about time spent on
> the clock, using company equipment.
>
> >doing whatever the hell they want, as long as it doesn't damage your oh =
> >so precious machinery.
>
> As an employee, you're paid to do a job. The machinery you use in the
> performance of that job is not yours to "do whatever the hell you
> want" with, whether you cause damage to it or not. If you goof off, or
> if you misuse your employer's equipment, the boss has the right to
> know about it. If you don't like it, the solution is pretty simple:
> find another job, or better yet go start your own company and let your
> employees be as unproductive as you like (as long as you can stay in
> business, that is).

I remember when I worked as a supervisor with a security company.
On one particular site, a chemical plant, the detex clock kept "being dropped"

or "wasn't working." Well, we set up a nice sting, another supervisor and I.
12 hour portable video setup. We actually caught our security employee
slamming the detex clock onto the desk and not walking his rounds the
entire night. When asked why there were no detex records, he said the clock
didn't work when he came onshift. <Previous shift had no problems.>
Not only was he fired, his final paycheck was withheld for
misusing/abusing company equipment. As far as this GPS equipment
thread, the truck, and the GPS equipment belong to the company/employer.

Seems to me, if the GPS equipment bothers the gentleman, he should seek employment with a company that doesn't use such technology.

Replying to no one in particular,

--Deeply Shrouded & Quiet
--Central Control STS-11

2239. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: kegan30317@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990522184637.22775.00003967@ng42.aol.com>
Source record: 117961

>
>Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
>LOSER
>
>Thanks..
>
>Robert
>

Now, now Robert. You are not playing well with others. Go tell your mommy to take your keyboard away for a week while you think about what you did wrong.

2240. 123.025!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: porscheemb@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990522192753.03522.00003250@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 117962

IS THERE A PLACE WHERE I CAN BUY A HANDHELD OR BASE AVAITION RADIO?? FOR
BALOONS ETC. SPECIFICLY ON 123.025??

THANKS
ME

2241. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <BA6B7FE800044F70.E07881C602274AC2.43B0D4632D215A7E@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117963
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I had the feeling that some goofball was going to tell him it was illegal and not tell him the answer to his question.

2242. Re: Frequency Directories from Mobile Radio Resources?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7i7fir$lus@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <37470FC7.ED8BF6B6@zyan.com> Brad Stevenson <BStevenson@zyan.com>
writes:
>
>Is Mobile Radio Resources still in business?
>MRR is located in San Jose, California.
>
>MRR published three frequency directories for California,
>Government Radio Systems, Military Radio Systems, & Federal Radio
>Systems.
>
>The directories contained very accurate information.
>
>Where can I purchase new editions of the directories?
>
>Thanks.
>
>Brad.

he has an office in San Jose
he will mail
or at Scanners unlimited
in san carlos

or a scanner store in
San Mateo....

a lot of Ham stores carry
his great manuals also.

why not try to see if
Scanners Unlimited has
a www site.

bill conduit
etc.

2243. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <3747433A.A3EC9224@INVALID.com>
Source record: 117965

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>) and
> he wife may
> always volunteer to turn to give evidence & act as a witness against him
> to save herself (she
> has also been implicated becasue the business was in both names).

No indication of Cindy doing any such thing. Pure speculation on your part.

You friggin' loon.

2244. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7i7fve$dpt@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

In <37472885.311DE01@usa.net> jmackey@usa.net writes:
>
>It's all true.
>
>He was arrested, much equipment was confiscated, & he was imprisoned
>until he
>made bail. He now faces federal charges & possible state charges.
>Things look fairly
>for him as he has a previous ciminal record (by his own admission) and
>he wife may
>always volunteer to turn to give evidence & act as a witness against
him
>to save herself (she
>has also been implicated becasue the business was in both names).
>

listen up you anal retard
given wretch by your five-
nosed mama.

One nose each to smell
your ass each day to
make sure you are not
hers.

Anytime Bill Cheek find himself
in need of funds for his
defense all he has to do is come
to us in this and other NG's and
i'm first in line to send him what
I have at that time.

I am sure there will be many
hundreds or more...who will be
on that list to help Bill for
his needs. All he has to do is
ask....that's how fast I'll send
my donation for his defense.

Now you mind-less cripple....

How many of us will send you
funds if you get arrested one
day, hopefully soon?

Answer that you smelly sack
of vomit burgers.

bill will be given help because
he needs our help.

i am sure all of us will send
you a bill for all the shit you
have chunneled out on this NG and
then allow you your due.

the sound of a shit-pie rotting.

bill conduit
etc.

2245. Re: Radio Transmitters (2m/70cm bands)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374749C5.7625@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117967
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Possums wrote:
>
> I was kinda toying with the idea of getting a hand held uhf cb. Not too
> sure what I would do with it but I still kinda like the idea of having one.
> But I wanted to see what was covered by the 2m/70cm bands.
>
> I have seen some ot these in the Dick Smith catalog and they look like cool
> little gizmos.. but who uses them and what can you do with them?
>
> Any info on this subject would be much appreciated. Thanks!
Well that is great but what country(ies) does it cover?
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2246. Re: FRS Saves Country Boy in Big City

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37474A52.202@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117968
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

K5pf wrote:
>
> I had to deliver some legal documents to a real estate attorney in downtown
> Raleigh. The only address I had was "2 Hanover Place" and they said it was on
> the south end of the pedestrian mall, near the convention center.
>
> WJ4A works downtown and frequently has his FRS unit with him. I left him a
> voice mail message on his phone telling him I would be listening on Channel 8.
> As I neared the center of town, he called me from his 10th floor office, which
> happens to be in a building across the mall from 2 Hanover Place. He talked me
> into the parking garage--and a good thing he did, because the lanes going in
> and out of the garage are reversed. Drivers have to stay on the left!
>
> I went two floors below ground and we still had contact. I grabbed my papers
> and the radio and started looking for the stairs. Chuck kept up with my
> progress. When I reached the street, he directed me to the entrance of 2
> Hanover and to the escalators to the main floor. There, I found a directory
> indicating that the attorney was on the 28th floor. As I got on the elevator,
> Chuck said to call him when I was finished.
>
> I delivered the papers and was back at the elevator in about five minutes. I
> called Chuck and told him I was starting down. We finished the conversation
> while I was in the "box" dropping 28 floors REAL fast!
>
> As I stepped into the elevator lobby, there was Chuck with his FRS in hand.
> He'd walked over from his building. We had contact the entire time. Ain't UHF
> wonderful!
>
> He returned to his office as I drove out of town. We were able to maintain
> contact for quite a while. From his "perch" on the 10th floor, he could follow
> my vehicle visually for a while. We lost contact at 3.5 miles, primarily
> because I drove into the "shadow" of a building which was between us. When I
> emerged from the shadow, I was about 5 miles away and on a slight rise. Chuck
> could hear an occasional word, but it was not good enough for a conversation.
>
> We both use 500mw units.
In a car and in stell bulidings?
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2247. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37474D64.3E01@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Steven wrote:
>
> DX is ,technically,making 'contact' with a station in another Country.
>
> On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:45:00 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> >Mike Silva wrote:
> >>
> >> Mother Nature wrote in message <37438215.1DB5FBEE@hotmail.com>...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Danny wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> Mother Nature wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Hi from soc.penpals and let me say that all of this technical talk is
> >> >> > making me hot......<g>
> >> >>
> >> >> Well then 350 KHz, AM, FM. Are you butter yet?
> >> >>
> >> >> Danny
> >> >> --
> >> >
> >> >I'm melting as we speak.....I might just need a cold shower...oops, not
> >> >a good idea. I might get a spark and get tingly all over!!!!!!!
> >>
> >> Finally something good comes from the endless Morse debate!
> >>
> >> ... resonance, Kirchoff, reactance, transconductance, Thevenin, bipolar ...
> >> stay tuned while I get out the electronics dictionary...
> >>
> >> Mike
> >Don't foget Spark Gap. For those of you who do not know what DX is; it
> >means receiveing a radio/television signal from far away. From far away
> >as in you usually do not get a signal from this place. DX can also mean
> >how far your siganl is getting out. From everything that I read; if you
> >can run just 10 watts, and your siganl is getting out 300 to 400 miles
> >then that is better DX then somebody running 1000 watts and doing th
> >same thing.
> >--
> >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;

> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
No, it is a long distance contant or reception. It is all in how you
view it
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2248. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37474FA6.1655@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117970
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Barry Smith wrote:
>
> In article <3745E425.1FDF@ameritech.net>, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> <URL:mailto:theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> > Since when do TV station broadcast in one colo(u)r as the name
> > Monochrome would imply. Heck, even Black and White is two colo(u)rs.
> > By the way, the best Black and White is what is know as a Twistee cone.
> > That is when they combine Vanilla Ice Cream with Chocolate Ice Crea.
>
> If you're going to be pedantic at least check your facts first.
> Monochrome - (of, using or being) reproduction or execution in 1 colour,
> black and white, or shades of grey.
> And technically speaking, black and white, being the absorbtion or reflection
> of the whole spectrum, are not colours.
>
> Barry
> --
> Support the Acorn User RC5-64 cracking effort
> See http://www.acornusers.org/rc5/
Well then tell that to my eyes.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
```

2249. Re: Did I miss something?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37475026.1AB0@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117971
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner

Rudi Mulder wrote:
>
> >>
> >> I'm ready for all enlightenment even if its electronical :))
> >Well the nhere is something for you. The higher in frequency you go;
> >the smaller the atenaa becomes. Inverse proportion.
>
> Okey so if i start with a REALLY BIG atenna then it ought to be enuff to go
> very high right?
A very big antenna might be useful in the 150 Kilocycle to 500 Kilocycle
range, Medium Wave, or Shortwave range but not above that
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2250. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374750F0.4DAD@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117972
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mother Nature wrote:
>
> Jim Kehler wrote:
> >
> > Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote:
> >
> > >This is all very well but it does not answer my question as to what do
> > >they talk about on rec.radio.amateur.dx?
> >
> > Well, Keith, we used to talk about DX. But then all these Morse code
> > geeks and hot women from SOC.PENPALS started posting here and
> > looks like everybody who was interested in DX left. Haven't seen
> > anything DX related for quite a while.....
> >
> > 73, Jim KH2D
>
> Party poopers......you mean they don't like us hot women from
> soc.penpals?
Well I like you hot women.
...---...
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2251. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3747513C.535D@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117973
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> Keith Bennett <trojan.horse@virgin.net> wrote:
>
> >> >Well naturally I read the subject matter and thought here is an item
> >> >about Inspector Morse. No doubt you have heard of Inspector Morse?
>
> >> Listen to the theme song at the end of the program; you'll hear
> >> the violins sending M O R S E over and over (in Morse code, of course).
>
> >What program?
>
> Inspector Morse. (A&E, Tuesday evenings) // Jeff KH6O
Well what happens if you do not have cable, subscription, or satellite
Television, huh?
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
```

2252. Re: FRS Saves Country Boy in Big City

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: EchoStarr <echo-starr@juno.com>
Message-ID: <7i7kac$12tg$1@newssvr01-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 117974
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
37474A52.202@ameritech.net...
>K5pf wrote:

>> We both use 500mw units.
>In a car and in stell bulidings?
>--
>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

never would have guessed it was you RADIOMAN... and what's a stell building?

2253. Re: PC Radio Comparison (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Lippert <jlipper@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <37477ECB.200D@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 117975

Lee Rothman wrote:
>
> Well, now I have gone and done it. I assembled several friends and their
> gear and compared units on HF and VHF-UHF and here is the short results.
> The following radios were used. (1)WinRadio WR1500e, (2) Icom PCR-1000,
> (3) TenTec RX-320, (4) Optoelectronics Optocom.....A trapped dipole on
> the roof was used for HF and a Monitenna (my favorite) was used for the
> vhf-uhf..Without the benefit of actual electronic diagnostic gear on hand
> we all based the results on a 1 to 10 scale. Our benchmark for HF was the
> Drake R8B... The RX-320 was a 9, the PCR1000 was a 5 and the WinRadio a
> 3. The RX-320 had a totally quiet noise floor while the PCR-1000 was
> quite noisy and the WinRadio was nearly intolerable. We even grounded
> both the PCR-1000 and the WinRadio to a proper metal drain pipe outside
> to not much benefit. To my great surprise the Optocom totally commanded
> on the vhf-uhf arena. It actually picked up some distant railroad
> communications that the other WinRadio and PCR-1000 didn't even hear. The
> WinRadio had an annoying squelch tail I couldn't get rid of, but
> otherwise had acceptable performance. We all agreed that the WinRadio was
> a bit better in sensitivity across the board than the PCR-1000, but
> no match for the Optocom. Certainly the WinRadio beats the PCR-1000 in
> raw sensitivity and didn't seem as bothered by intermod as the Icom. The
> Optocom was virtually "Immune" to any intermod and as I checked the scan
> range of 30-1000mhz, the Optocom only picked up ONE pager image (which is
> pretty incredible here in the Salt Lake Valley) as we call it RF HELL
> here. The WinRadio was plagued by intermod in the 40-50mhz, 450-490mhz
> and 900-950mhz range. The Icom was even worse off then this and in the
> 450-490mhz range was nearly intolerable. As we have done before,
> comparing the R8B with the RX-320 was a big surprise. While one would
> expect the Drake to run all over the TenTec, "it didn't". Anything the
> Drake heard, so did the TenTec and for that matter if the TenTec was set
> to use the sideband, it's stability allowed for a dead lock on a cleaner
> signal also rivaling the sync detector used in the Drake. Believe me, I
> have always revered the Drake and will continue to do so, but one must
> consider the amazing value in the TenTec with it's greater filter choices
> and increasing selection of software available. For it's price it is a no
> brainer of a choice. For Vhf-Uhf, clearly the Optocom RULES with no real
> comparison whatsoever. It is leagues beyond the WR-1500e or the PCR-1000.
> For some, that may not mean anything so I suppose folks will do what they
> will. I just couldn't resist doing this comparison with my friends and
> it certainly did provide some surprises. Take it for what it is worth and
> just enjoy the hobby.
Lee, Great post! Love the thought that went into your test and
summary. When you read many magazine reviews it is sometimes hard to
tell if you are getting the unbias truth. Thanks for the review! Jon.

2254. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <FF01C76D55EA7F6F.E90E2FD1FDED9747.60F7E41B6E9E8049@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117976
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> > I had the feeling that some goofball was going to tell him it was illegal and not tell him the answer to his question.

After reading the guy's posts about how much of a mentally disabled jerk he is, I retract my above statement.

2255. BC235XLT Volume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7i7mtu$7sb$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117977

Can the volume on a BC235XLT be modified to be louder??

Thanks

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/welcome.htm

2256. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <374765E6.37B0@sir.com>
Source record: 117978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> Baron's call sign is N502TB. When you tune in an NDB, a VOR, or an ILS you are taught to
> listen to the CW identification to confirm that you have the correct station.

Apparently not any more. Neither of my friends ever HEARD of this BS
and they both have licenses and fly planes. Perhaps back in the 60's
things were different.

2257. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <374764EA.173C@sir.com>
Source record: 117979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> Computers are like Old Testament gods; -

They are not alike at all. One is real, one is fiction. BIG
difference.

2258. Re: E.F. Johnson Multi-Net info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <7i723kcca1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117980

My understanding is that software is available for LTR, but not Multi-Net on consumer grade scanners.

Nanoject wrote in message <19990522210114.09487.00001797@ng-fz1.aol.com>...
>Does anyone have any info on how to trunk track the E.F. Johnson Multi-Net
>800 Mhz system? Any software etc availiable? Any and all info would be
>helpful.
>Good scanning,
>Nanoject@aol.com

2259. Re: !!! READ THIS !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <metrosoft@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7i7sk5$71j$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117981

Sounds as bad as the movie i was duped into seeing!

LaLaS <starwar@spaceship.com> wrote in message
news:7i6q70$pku@newsops.execpc.com...
> I HEARD THIS !!!!!!!!
> The RADIO SHACK officials and designers they rush in the theaters to
> watch
> the STAR WAR movie.After the movie they all site on the table and they
> try to
> designer a scanner like the one those Captain's have on the movie.
> The scanner will be very small (Like Rolex watch)and they will cover
> the all
> spectrum!!!!!!!
> Also there is no duke or external antenna ,and no buttons .
> To program the scanner is very simple ,just talk to the scanner and
> automatically tune the frequency or any other function .
> Also the scanner speaks 10 different language,play CD'S and MP3
> ,unlimited
> channels and banks,
> say time and date when you ask,hear the spaceship millions miles
> away,or any
> broadcast from anywhere in the universe.
> Also they say (RS Officials)the sensitivity will be so good you can hear
> baby
> monitor IN TEXAS FROM CANADA !!!!!!!
> There is more and more futures i can't list here now
> !!!! S O R R Y N O C E L L U L A R !!!!!!
> They will be on the market sometimes on the year 2000
> So what do you thing ????
> P.S.
> They haven't set the price yet ??,but my good sources tall me about
> $289.00
> - $399.99 + tax
>
>
>

2260. Re: Emergency Monitoring-Why not built-in monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2205992340110001@pm54-32.magicnet.net>
Source record: 117982
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <375e4172.365828884@ajax.flubnutz.org>, someone@flubnutz.org
(some where) wrote:

> On Fri, 21 May 1999 21:28:55 -0700, Kenneth Allan Mitchell
> <nokones@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> >I'm fully aware that the emergency channel for the CB radio is channel
> >9. There's no reason why FRS channel 9 can't be designated as an
> >emergency calling channel like the CB radio. That way it will be
> >common to use channel 9 for both CB and FRS.
>
> Common to CB & FRS? Yeah, except for being about 425 megahertz apart
from each
> other, sheesh.

Actualy they are 440.5225 megahertz apart.

CB channel 9...27.065 Mhz
FRS channel 9...467.5875 Mhz

2261. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H. Adam Stevens" <alien@jump.net>
Message-ID: <37477A39.2453AD98@jump.net>
Source record: 117983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Right now. Right here. You don't know anyone in aviation. I flew my last IFR cross country Thursday. Damn if the Centex VOR didn't go _. _. ._ _ _._ "CWK". Then the Waco VOR went ._ _._. _ "ACT". Then the Waco ILS went .. ._ _._. "IACT". The Navaids that don't do it (CW ID) are LORAN and GPS. Pack up any sectional aeronautical chart or approach plate and the
CW is printed next to the Navaid identifier. That's the last for your troll, wankstain.
H.

Sir wrote:

> > Baron's call sign is N502TB. When you tune in an NDB, a VOR, or an ILS you are taught to
> > listen to the CW identification to confirm that you have the correct station.
>
> Apparently not any more. Neither of my friends ever HEARD of this BS
> and they both have licenses and fly planes. Perhaps back in the 60's
> things were different.

2262. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <xgL13.3621$Gm1.520141@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 117984
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Just as I had anticipated. Mostly everyone telling me that its illegal. I know its illegal. Did I ask to purchase one? Did I say I wanted one made for me? No. I simply asked if there was one out there. Why is it so hard for someone to just answer the question that was asked? I give up...
Well thanks again...
Robert

Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote in message news:37555e62.29840936@news.cyberhighway.net...
> "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote:
>
> >This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
> >be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
> >sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know of
> >that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
> >transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say...
Thanks
> >in advance
>
> Sure. It's called a Spark Gap transmitter, and has been illegal for
> decades.
>
> >Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> >neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
> >LOSER
>
> A LOSER is what you will suddenly become if you ever generate more than
> a few milliwatts of broadband RF noise.
>
> Get some education, troll.
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW
>
> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2263. Re: Question about WFM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan T. Faricy" <online@faricy.net>
Message-ID: <7i81ft$g6l$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117985
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>My question is what is WFM used for??

My guess is as good as anyone's - for audio quality. Television and commercial broadcast radio (88-108 MHz) uses it, as well as wireless microphones, I think.

>When I search the 800MHZ I can hear a
>lot of communication under wide fm but not regular fm. Are they using
>different types of radios/??? Thanks for any help...

In my area, I am not aware of any 800 MHz systems that use WFM. Is it possible that you are hearing signals other than voice, such as data?

RTF

2264. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan T. Faricy" <online@faricy.net>
Message-ID: <7i81of$h20$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117986
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Why is it so hard for omeone to just answer the question that was asked?
I give up...

Maybe it's because you insulted everyone reading this newsgroup. On top of that, you did it before anyone responded. If it wasn't an insult, it was a slimy attitude, at the very least.

2265. F/S YAESU FT470

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990521213949.16601.00000128@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 117987

For Sale
Yaesu FT470
Modified
Has large & small batteries 2 large 1 small (All 3 Are New)
12 volt adapter
Speaker mic
Leather case
Wall charger
Manual
$200.00 plus shipping
Drop in charger $50.00 plus shipping

Email if intersted
Dubbin1@aol.com

Dwayne
I didn't do it!
Nobody seen me do it!
You can't prove anything!

2266. Re: i bay icom R1 and i dont know how to operate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: slove47@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990521214733.16594.00000109@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 117988

Go to Icoms web sight and you may be able to download a manual

2267. LTR trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: arbiter@altavista.net
Message-ID: <37470ec8.7373432@news-server>
Source record: 117989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone have access to the LTR trunking protocol?
Or even source code???

Many thanks in advance
Pat

2268. Re: Indy 500 Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimgiaco@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990521223359.16493.00003266@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 117990

Thanks,

I'll update this list after my trip.

2269. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7i53th$isd@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117991
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Keith Bennett <trojan.horse@virgin.net> wrote:

>> >Well naturally I read the subject matter and thought here is an item
>> >about Inspector Morse. No doubt you have heard of Inspector Morse?

>> Listen to the theme song at the end of the program; you'll hear
>> the violins sending M O R S E over and over (in Morse code, of course).

>What program?

Inspector Morse. (A&E, Tuesday evenings) // Jeff KH6O

2270. Re: More free-rides f\the Handicapped (was: Another nice thing about CW.)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <37461467.8133269@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 117992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

On 09/03/91 I 'worked' N6OSN..John, on 10 mtr.This particular
gentleman studied AND mastered CW as part of RE-HABILITATIVE therapy
after suffering a STROKE.Thanks to WB6NOA,John acheived his goal of
overcoming the CW obstacle.

On Tue, 18 May 1999 07:14:13 -0700, Oscar <captorb@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I just had to enter this thread:
>To equate this goofy 10 minute SCUBA test with a permanently disability
>is ludicruous. Try a better example like doing your everyday routines
>blindfolded or in a wheel chair, or with your dominant hand immobile for
>24 hours. Then write back and tell the group how easy it was & don't
>pick a nice sunny day; try it when the weather is inclement.
>----
>And what does this short, ten minute, CONTROLLED introduction to
>survival have to do with Morse Code? Does one's life depend on CW? Get
>real!!!!

2271. Take a look at My webpage!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: n0vfp@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990521232100.01632.00003984@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 117993

http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP/

I have some great projects for my website. I want to start a online ordering
for SWL Books and accessories and provide online- auctions for SWL's too!

Enjoy!

LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4
Athens, Alabama
ATS 909, DX302, DX390 - TINYTENNA with Ramdom wire
ICOM 706 for 2m and 6m FM and SSB
WEB PAGES:
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.erols.com/imageinn/dwm/

2272. Re: Emergency Monitoring-Why not built-in monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: someone@flubnutz.org
Message-ID: <375e4172.365828884@ajax.flubnutz.org>
Source record: 117994
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 21 May 1999 21:28:55 -0700, Kenneth Allan Mitchell
<nokones@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>I'm fully aware that the emergency channel for the CB radio is channel
>9. There's no reason why FRS channel 9 can't be designated as an
>emergency calling channel like the CB radio. That way it will be
>common to use channel 9 for both CB and FRS.

Common to CB & FRS? Yeah, except for being about 425 megahertz apart from each
other, sheesh.

Besides, as has been pointed out GMRS stations *cannot* receive that channel.
If you want to have a channel monitored, face the fact that it will *only* be
effective if you can get small GMRS base stations -- with real antennas -- to
monitor it.

>RADIO MAN wrote:
>>
>> Kenneth Allan Mitchell wrote:
>> >
>> > Why not designate channel 9 with tone 9 ("99") or channel 9 with tone
>> > 11 ("911") for an emergency coordination/calling channel. This
>> > information would need to be diseminated so everyone, including search
>> > & rescue and public safety entities, will recognize the channel and
>> > possibly monitor/use the channel during such missions.
>> >
>> > Just a thought.
>> >
>> > --
>> > Kenneth Allan Mitchell
>> > mailto:nokones@ix.netcom.com
>> Channel 9 is a CB channel not an FRS channel
>> --
>> RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
>> FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

2273. Re: Frequency Data base program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: snorkel@bigpiss.com
Message-ID: <374655f6.2022152@news.bigpond.com>
Source record: 117995
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>I am always amazed that people don`t just use Microsoft Works for this
>purpose.

Not trying to put you down or anything, but MS Works, Office & most
programs published by MS are "bloatware".

I use ClarisWorks for everything; a TRUE integrated works package
resulting in much less fat, unlike MS Works or Office which are
basically a bunch of seperate programs thrown into one box.

Rosco.
Wobbly.
snorkel@bigpiss.com (replace piss with pond)
http://www.users.bigpond.com/snorkel
--- ICQ - 4336021 ---
4336021@piger.mirabilis.com (replace pig with pag)

2274. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <37465875.16189497@news>
Source record: 117996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 21 May 1999 01:24:53 -0500, "Spike" <spike@eyeball.org> wrote:

>This is exactly why I have my own business, so I don't have to kiss the =
>ass of any more
>pricks in this world.

Hey, great! Got any openings? I've always wondered what it would be
like to work for someone who didn't give a shit what his employees did
in exchange for their paycheck...

2275. Re: Kosovo Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rendek <tpierre@freemail.c3.hu>
Message-ID: <37465d13.0@ganymede.datanet.hu>
Source record: 117997
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,rec.radio.scanner

1 wrote in message <7h0j2q$5ea$1@jumbo.demos.su>...
>Please send me Kosovo war frequencies
>
>

Freq1(kHz) Freq2(kHz) Call Cat Category Name Company Chanell Mode Mode Comment Decoder Baudot Country CountryCode City
51,94 Kososvo Military Navy Russian Navy, ??? 50bd/85 36-50, tfc Russia RUS
51,94 Kososvo Military Navy Russian Navy, ??? 50bd/85 36-50, tfc Russia RUS
1 476,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast B92 (Beograd-Wien) AM Austria AUT Wien
2 357,50 OUA Military Navy Danish Navy, Stevns "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv oua"" marker" Danish DNK Stevns
2 436,52 GYU Military Navy Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto United Kingdom GBR Gilaltar
2 436,92 GYU Military Navy Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, idle United Kingdom GBR Gilaltar
2 474,00 PBC Military Navy Dutch Navy, Goeree Island 75bd/850 Baudot, CARB
2 655,51 ??? Military British Mil, ??? VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch idle United Kingdom GBR
2 655,91 ??? Military British Mil, ??? VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto United Kingdom GBR
2 845,00 PBB Military Dutch Navy, Den Helder 75bd/850 Baudot, CARB
2 847,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), tfc Russia RUS
2 962,00 Kososvo Military Military Freqs Actually Heard
3 081,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" NB
3 097,50 Kososvo Military Military NATO Freq NATO
3 160,00 Kososvo Military Military "Army 352nd SOG in Bosnia ""Black Hat"" and ""Promenade"""
3 178,00 Kososvo Air Air Bookshelf Net Push Unknown
3 225,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" NC
3 278,80 CSP33 Police Police Portuguese Pol, Portalegre "100bd/170/I SITOR-A, opchat in PP starts ""20033 cdptg"""
3 294,71 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), tfc Russia RUS
3 297,00 RPFNG Military Navy Portuguese Navy, Alges "75bd/850 Baudot, ""rpfosb rpfosb rpfosb de rpfng rpfng rpfng"" tape" Portugal PRT
3 300,50 FDI22 Military French Air Force, Narbonne "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv de fdi22 ar"" marker"

3 301,20 ??? Military Egyptian Air Defence, ??? "46.1bd/400/4/E ARQ-E, Idle on betas with ""zczc nka700 bky""" Egyiptom EGY
3 347,50 FDE14 Military Air French Air Force, ??? "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv de fde14 fde14 fde14 fde14 ar"" marker" France FRA
3 352,00 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? 200bd/500 FSK UNID System, sync, cont, ACF=0 Russia RUS
3 357,00 Kososvo Meteo Meteo US Navy Cuttler United States USA
3 434,90 ??? Military Royal Navy, ??? "100bd/170 Baudot, ""ryryryryvmgtcnj"" lead-in, crypto"
3 451,50 48 Military Navy Irish Navy, ??? "100bd/170/E SITOR-A, 5LGs headed ""routine""" Irland IRL
3 497,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), idle Russia RUS
3 560,00 Press News SANA RTTY Syria SYR
3 560,00 Press News SANA Syria SYR
3 764,40 PBB Military Dutch Navy, Den Helder 50bd/850 Baudot, CARB
3 814,00 FDI8 Military Air French Air Force, Nice "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv de fdi8 ar""" France FRA
3 832,00 Military MIL UNKNOWN CW FW CW-MORSE 0,0 XXX
3 840,51 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force, London "VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch ""muh 04 de mkk lololololololo pal kkilo""" United Kingdom GBR
3 840,51 MKK Military Air Royal Air Force, London "VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch ""muh 04 de mkk lololololololo pal kkilo""" United Kingdom GBR
3 840,91 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force, London VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto tfc United Kingdom GBR
3 840,91 MKK Military Air Royal Air Force, London VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto tfc United Kingdom GBR
3 861,00 ??? Military Navy Russian Navy, ??? 50bd/200 36-50, tfc Russia RUS
3 900,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" ??
3 930,00 Kososvo Air Air Air Trafic around Camp Darby & Pisa
4 044,00 ??? Military Navy Russian Navy, ??? 50bd/200 36-50, short msgs Russia RUS
4 081,50 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? 100bd/140 FSK UNID System, sync, cont, ACF=0 Russia RUS
4 113,00 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? 100bd/200 36-50, double speed version Russia RUS
4 119,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), tfc Russia RUS
4 124,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), tfc Russia RUS
4 227,00 IGJ41 Military Navy Italian Navy, Augusta "100bd/850 Baudot, CARB ""igj41 /igj42 /igj43 /idr2 /idr3 /idr8""" Italy ITA
4 228,00 Press News KLAIPEDA RADIO RTTY FC BAUDOT 50,0 LTU
4 331,00 4XZ Military Navy Israeli Navy, Haifa "CW, ""vvv de 4xz 4xz BT BT"" marker" Israel ISR Haifa
4 447,00 Military MIL CZECH USB FW 0,0 Chzech CZE
4 512,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz

pilot), tfc Russia RUS
4 542,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" NE
4 557,00 FDG Military French Air Force, Bordeaux "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv de fdg fdg fdg ar"" marker" France FRA
4 564,00 ??? Military Navy Russian Navy, ??? 50bd/250 36-50, crypto Russia RUS
4 574,00 ??? Military NATO Mil, ??? Link-11, tfc NATO
4 601,50 0A Military Navy Irish Navy, Dublin 100bd/170/E SITOR-A, Calling selcal CVVA Irland IRL Dublin
4 612,00 Kososvo Military Military METO-IFOR in Bosnia
4 636,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), tfc Russia RUS
4 711,00 ??? Military NATO Mil, ??? 75bd/850 FSK UNID System, Revs, ACF=64 lead-id, sync, cont, ACF=0 tfc NATO
4 720,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" NF
4 724,00 Kososvo Military Military US Military GHFS
4 724,00 Kososvo Air Air USAF Global HF System Primary
4 742,00 Kososvo Air Air Royal Air Force?? Miller Time
4 751,50 ??? Military Irish Forces, Dublin "100bd/170/E SITOR-A, 5LGs ends ""zendz"" to selcals XVVM, XVVP, XVVK" Irland IRL
4 757,50 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? 100bd/140 FSK UNID System, sync, cont, ACF=0 Russia RUS
4 758,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" NH
4 777,50 Kososvo Military Military NATO Freq NATO
4 813,37 ??? Military German Mil, ??? "VFT: 3ch of 192bd/140/I FEC-100, crypto ends ""%%%%%""" Germany DEU
4 818,00 ??? Military Russian Navy, ??? 50bd/250 36-50, tfc Russia RUS
4 917,00 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? 200bd/500 FSK UNID System, sync, cont, ACF=0 Russia RUS
4 921,50 FDG Military French Air Force, Bordeaux "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv de fdg fdg fdg ar"" marker"
5 049,20 CSP Police Police Portuguese Police, Lisbon "100bd/170/E SITOR-B, MSGs in PP ""fm comgerpsp lisboa"""
5 055,00 Press News PETRA Jordan JOR
5 064,00 9MB Military Malaysian Navy, Penang "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv 9mb"" marker and channel list" Malaysien MYS
5 082,80 IPR.. Police Police Italian Police, ??? "50bd/170 Baudot, MSG in II about stolen firearms ending ""bt #0056"""
5 090,50 FDG Military Air French Air Force, Bordeaux "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv de fdg fdg fdg ar"" marker" France FRA
5 121,00 RFFHCA Military French Forces, Ajaccio 192bd/400/8/E ARQ-E, idle on betas France FRA
5 145,52 ??? Military British Mil, ??? VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto United Kingdom GBR
5 145,92 ??? Military British Mil, ??? VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, idle United Kingdom GBR
5 157,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), idle Russia RUS

5 204,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), tfc Russia RUS
5 205,51 ??? Military British Mil, ??? Piccolo-12, crypto United Kingdom GBR
5 218,00 Kososvo Air Air Bookshelf Net Push Unknown
5 229,00 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT DCN 8 ch. interleaved vft on usb. United Kingdom GBR
5 237,50 ??? Military NATO Mil, ??? 2400bps PSK UNID System NATO
5 240,00 Press News TANJUG RTTY Serbia YUO
5 242,00 ??? Military Russian Navy, ??? 36bd/250 36-50, idle Russia RUS
5 245,00 Kososvo Air Military Irland IRL
5 255,50 0A Military Navy Irish Navy, Dublin 100bd/170/E SITOR-A, FISHREP Messages Irland IRL Dublin
5 257,50 FDI8 Military French Air Force, Nice "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv de fdi8 ar"" marker" France FRA
5 267,00 Kososvo Military Military NATO Freq NATO
5 268,00 ??? Military Russian Navy, ??? 36bd/250 36-50, idle Russia RUS
5 285,52 ??? Military British Mil, ??? Piccolo-12, crypto United Kingdom GBR
5 303,06 ??? Military British Mil, ??? Piccolo-12, crypto United Kingdom GBR
5 306,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), tfc Russia RUS
5 325,00 Kososvo Military Military
5 342,00 FDY Military French Air Force, Orleans "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv de fdy fdy fdy ar"" marker" France FRA
5 349,00 Kososvo Military Military NATO Training NET Ch661G
5 353,50 FAQ20 Military ARMY LYON USB FW 0,0 France FRA
5 355,00 TACTICAL Military MIL ITALY USB FW 0,0 Italy ITA
5 419,50 Military NATO Mil, ??? Link-11, tfc NATO
5 455,52 Military British Mil, ??? Piccolo-12, idle United Kingdom GBR
5 519,21 Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), idle Russia RUS
5 616,21 Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), tfc Russia RUS
5 627,00 Military Ukranian Army, ??? "50bd/200 Baudot, MSGs in RR ending ""u menq wse""" Ukraine UKR
5 691,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" ??
5 748,50 FDI8 Military French Air Force, Nice "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv de fdg ar"" marker" France FRA Nice
5 769,00 Military NATO POINT-POINT RTTY FW UNDEF 75,0 Norway NOR
5 779,00 Military German Mil, ??? "192bd/850/I FEC-100, crypto ends ""%%%%%%""" Germany DEU
5 782,50 FDI22 Military French Air Force, Aix "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv de fdi22 ar"" marker" France FRA
5 835,51 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar "VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, eng

ch ""de gyu gyu gyu lolololololo cip cip cip""" United Kingdom GBR Giraltar
5 835,91 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, tfc
ch idle United Kingdom GBR Giraltar
5 836,31 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, tfc
ch idle United Kingdom GBR Giraltar
5 836,71 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, tfc
ch idle United Kingdom GBR Giraltar
6 115,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Radio Tirana Albania ALB Tirana
6 625,50 Military Ukranian Army, ??? "50bd/500 Baudot, crypto MSGs
start ""zzzzzzzjjjccciiipppddd""" Ukraine UKR
6 628,00 Kososvo Military Military Freqs Actually Heard
6 693,00 Kososvo Military Military USN Voice Coordination Net
6 693,00 Kososvo Military Military USB
6 695,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" KF
6 697,00 Kososvo Military Military Navy High Command Voice Freqs
6 697,00 Kososvo Military Military Navy High Command Voice Freqs
6 712,00 Kososvo Military Air Air Mobile Command (AirForce)
6 712,00 Kososvo Air Military France AirForce
6 712,00 Kososvo Air Air USAF Global HF System Primary
6 721,83 ??? Military Danish Air Force, ??? "75bd/850 Baudot, TAF for
ENBR ENZV prepared by ""met office ekch""" Danish DNK
6 728,00 Kososvo Air Military NATO Awacs
6 728,00 Kososvo Air Air NATO AWACS Net
6 729,00 Kososvo Military Military Night Freq
6 734,00 Kososvo Air Military Serb Military Serbia YUO
6 738,00 Kososvo Military Military Night Freq
6 739,00 Kososvo Military Air Air Mobile Command (AirForce)
6 739,00 Kososvo Military Military US Military GHFS
6 739,00 Kososvo Air Air USAF Global HF System Primary
6 754,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" XC
6 760,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" KD
6 761,00 Kososvo Military Military Night Freq
6 761,00 Kososvo Air Air USAF Air Refueling Primary
6 762,50 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" NI
6 773,00 Kososvo Military Military
6 819,00 Kososvo Military Military METO-IFOR in Bosnia Bosnia BIH
6 822,21 ??? Military Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder
(3300Hz pilot), tfc Russia RUS
6 830,00 Press News PETRA RTTY Jordan JOR
6 830,00 Press News PETRA Jordan JOR
6 844,50 FDC Military Air French Air Force, Metz "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv de
fdc fdc fdc ar"" marker" France FRA
6 852,51 ??? Military British Mil, ??? Piccolo-12, crypto United
Kingdom GBR
6 865,00 Kososvo Air Air Bookshelf Net Push 81B
6 865,00 Kososvo Military Military USB
6 865,52 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar "VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, eng
ch ""white de gyu lo pal the quick brown..""" United Kingdom GBR Giraltar
6 865,92 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, idle

United Kingdom GBR Giraltar
6 866,32 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto United Kingdom GBR Giraltar
6 870,00 Kososvo Military Military METO-IFOR in Bosnia Bosnia BIH
6 870,50 FDG Military Air French Air Force, Bordeaux "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv
de fdg fdg fdg ar"" marker" France FRA
6 881,00 NNN0ANX Military US Navy, MARS, ??? 100bd/200 PacTOR, UNCLAS
MSGs to relatives United States USA
6 881,00 NNN0MRG Military US Navy, MARS, ??? 100bd/200 PacTOR,
Forwarding mail United States USA
6 904,00 Military MIL SPAIN USB FW 0,0 Spain ESP
6 912,00 Kososvo Air Air French AirForce
6 915,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
6 932,50 Kososvo Air Air Bookshelf Net Push 81B
6 959,00 Kososvo Air Air Air Trafic around Camp Darby & Pisa
6 972,00 Press News ROMPRESS RTTY Romania ROM
6 972,00 Press News ROMPRESS Romania ROM
6 997,00 Kososvo Air Air Trucker
7 115,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Radio Sweden Sweden SWE
7 115,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Radio Yugoslavia Serbia YUO
7 125,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Voice of Russia Russia RUS
7 130,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Radio Yugoslavia Serbia YUO
7 160,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Radio Tirana Albania ALB Tirana
7 180,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Voice of Russia Russia RUS
7 195,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Radio Bulgaria Bulgaria BGR
7 250,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Voice of Russia Russia RUS
7 520,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
7 565,00 Press News INA RTTY Iraq IRQ
7 605,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz
pilot), tfc Russia RUS
7 650,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
7 658,00 Press News TANJUG RTTY Serbia YUO
7 680,00 GXQ Military Royal Navy, London VFT DCN 8 ch. interleaved "vft
on usb. Channels running ""ryi's qbf figs test de GXQ""." United Kingdom
GBR London
7 695,00 Press News CNA RTTY Taiwan TWN
7 701,51 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force "VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng
ch ""muh 45 de mkd"" RIs RBQPZ, RBQPY" United Kingdom GBR
7 701,51 MKD Military Royal Air Force, Akrotiri "VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
eng ch ""muh 45 de mkd"" RIs RBQPZ, RBQPY"
7 701,91 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto tfc for exercise net United Kingdom GBR
7 701,91 MKD Military Royal Air Force, Akrotiri VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto tfc for exercise net
7 772,64 ??? Military British Mil, ??? VFT: 96bd/274/4/E ARQ-M4, idle on
betas United Kingdom GBR
7 801,00 Press News IRNA RTTY Iran IRN
7 806,00 Press News TANJUG RTTY Serbia YUO
7 836,00 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? 200bd/500 FSK UNID System, sync,

cont, ACF=0 Russia RUS
7 836,00 ??? Military Russian Navy, ??? 36bd/250 36-50, idle Russia
RUS
7 841,70 RFFXI Military French Forces, Bangui "96bd/400/8/E ARQ-E, 5LGs
""de rffxi""" France FRA
7 842,40 Press News MAP RTTY Morocco MAR
7 857,00 4XZ Military Israeli Navy, Haifa "CW, ""vvv de 4xz 4xz BT BT""
marker" Israel ISR Haifa
7 868,00 Press News YONHAP RTTY Korea Sth KOR
7 887,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
7 887,00 Press News XINHUA China CHN
7 916,52 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force "VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng
ch ""gyu de mkd qsy to ft065 m8y kkilo""" United Kingdom GBR
7 916,52 MKD Military Royal Air Force, Akrotiri "VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
eng ch ""gyu de mkd qsy to ft065 m8y kkilo""" United Kingdom GBR
7 916,92 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto United Kingdom GBR
7 916,92 MKD Military Royal Air Force, Akrotiri VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto United Kingdom GBR
7 919,00 Kososvo Military Military METO-IFOR in Bosnia Bosnia BIH
7 959,00 Press News IRNA RTTY Iran IRN
7 960,00 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force DCN 8 ch. interleaved vft
on USB. Only chan2 active. United Kingdom GBR
7 960,00 MKD Military Royal Air Force, Akrotiri DCN 8 ch. interleaved
vft on USB. Only chan2 active. United Kingdom GBR
7 960,77 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force ITA2 75Bd 340Hz shift
"Chan2 in VFT. ""iry's qbf figs test de MKD"" Note the use of 75 not 50bd. "
United Kingdom GBR
7 960,77 MKD Military Royal Air Force, Akrotiri ITA2 75Bd 340Hz shift
"Chan2 in VFT. ""iry's qbf figs test de MKD"" Note the use of 75 not 50bd. "
United Kingdom GBR
7 987,00 FDY Military Air French Air Force France FRA Orleans
7 996,00 Press News TANJUG RTTY Serbia YUO
8 005,21 Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot),
idle Russia RUS
8 006,00 Military Russian Navy, ??? 36bd/200 36-50, idle Russia RUS
8 020,00 Press News KCNA RTTY Korea Nth PRK
8 028,52 Military British Mil, ??? VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch
United Kingdom GBR
8 028,92 Military British Mil, ??? VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto
United Kingdom GBR
8 030,00 Press News ANSA RTTY Italy ITA
8 037,00 Military Ukranian Army, ??? "50bd/200 Baudot, crypto
starts/ends ""zzzzzz"" with opchat in RR" Ukraine UKR
8 046,00 Kososvo Air Air Bookshelf Net Push 81V
8 048,00 Kososvo Military Military USB
8 048,00 Kososvo Military Military USB
8 049,00 Press News IRNA RTTY Iran IRN
8 050,20 RFQP Military RFQP French Forces Jibuti

8 062,00 Press News ANSA RTTY Italy ITA
8 080,00 Kososvo Meteo Meteo US Navy Cuttler United States USA
8 089,51 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar "VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch ""de gyu gyu gyu lolololo cip cip cip""" United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
8 089,91 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, tfc ch idle United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
8 090,31 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, tfc ch idle United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
8 090,71 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, tfc ch idle United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
8 094,50 FDC Military French Air Force, Metz "CW, ""vvv vvv vvv de fdc fdc fdc ar"" marker" France FRA Metz
8 137,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
8 137,50 Press News AA News, Ankara RTTY Turkey TUR Ankara
8 152,00 Press News KCNA RTTY Korea Nth PRK
8 331,00 Military Navy Royal Navy United Kingdom GBR London
8 825,00 Kososvo Military Military Freqs Actually Heard
8 849,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), idle Russia RUS
8 921,00 Kososvo Military Military USB
8 968,00 Kososvo Military Air Air Mobile Command (AirForce)
8 971,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" AB
8 971,00 Kososvo Military NATO Kosovo USB NATO
8 980,00 Kososvo Air Military Awacs Magic
8 980,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" A4
8 980,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" XD
8 986,50 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" NJ
8 987,00 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force CW, TAF WX for EG-series airfields United Kingdom GBR
8 987,00 MKL Military Royal Air Force, Edinburgh CW, TAF WX for EG-series airfields
8 992,00 Kososvo Military Air Air Mobile Command (AirForce)
8 992,00 Kososvo Air Military Miliary Trafic
8 992,00 Kososvo Military Military US Military GHFS
8 992,00 Kososvo Air Air USAF Global HF System Primary
8 992,00 Kososvo Military Military USB
9 016,00 Kososvo Military Air Air Mobile Command (AirForce)
9 023,00 Kososvo Military Air Air Mobile Command (AirForce)
9 037,00 Kososvo Military Military Air Mobile Command (AirForce)
9 065,00 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT 8 ch. DCN interleaved vft on USB. United Kingdom GBR Giraltar
9 065,00 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT 8 ch. DCN interleaved vft on usb United Kingdom GBR Giraltar
9 066,96 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar CCIR342 96Bd 340Hz shift 4rc 2 chan tdm chan 5 in vft. Chan:A alphas, Chan B: betas. United Kingdom GBR Giraltar
9 067,13 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar CCIR342 96Bd 340Hz shift Chan 6 in vft. 4rc 2 chan tdm. Chan A: and B: betas. United Kingdom GBR Giraltar

9 114,00 Press News MTI RTTY Hungaria HUN
9 114,00 Press News MTI Hungaria HUN
9 118,50 Kososvo Air Air Bookshelf Net Push 82B
9 118,50 Kososvo Military Military USB
9 130,00 Kososvo Military Navy Navy CARB
9 130,00 MGJ Military Royal Navy, Faslane ITA2 75Bd 850Hz shift CARB
9 133,00 Press News ATA RTTY Albania ALB
9 147,60 GXQ Military Royal Navy, London ITA2 50 Bd 340Hz shift Chan1
(eng) in vft. Idle. United Kingdom GBR London
9 147,77 GXQ Military Royal Navy, London 75 Bd Crypto 340Hz shift chan2
in vft. Syn cont online encrypted. 7bit. United Kingdom GBR London
9 148,28 GXQ Military Royal Navy, London 75 Bd Crypto 340Hz shift chan3
in vft. Sync cont but halted. United Kingdom GBR London
9 148,45 GXQ Military Royal Navy, London 75 Bd Crypto 340Hz shift chan4
in vft. Sync cont online encrypted. 7bit. United Kingdom GBR London
9 148,96 GXQ Military Royal Navy, London CCIR342 96 Bd 340Hz shift
"chan5 in vft. 2chan tdm. Chan A: alphas. Chan B; betas1840z ""zczc ano057
251840 ch de rudb hno 000 nnnn""" United Kingdom GBR London
9 149,13 GXQ Military Royal Navy, London 96 Bd Crypto 340Hz shift Chan 6
in vft. ACF80 (5x16). One line encrypted. United Kingdom GBR London
9 149,64 GXQ Military Royal Navy, London CCIR342 96 Bd 340Hz shift Chan7
in vft. 2ch tdm. Chan A: met tfc. Chan B: United Kingdom GBR London
9 149,81 GXQ Military Royal Navy, London Channel 8 in vft. Idle on
space. United Kingdom GBR London
9 151,00 Military Russian Mil, ??? 50bd/1000 FSK UNID System, idle on
reversals after CW opchat Russia RUS
9 157,21 Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot),
tfc Russia RUS
9 190,51 Kososvo Military British Forces, ??? "VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
eng ch ""mkd de muh 45 have sync now pal""" United Kingdom GBR
9 190,51 MUH 45 Military British Forces, ??? "VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng
ch ""mkd de muh 45 have sync now pal""" United Kingdom GBR
9 191,31 Kososvo Military British Forces, ??? VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto tfc United Kingdom GBR
9 191,31 MUH 45 Military British Forces, ??? VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto tfc United Kingdom GBR
9 243,01 Military British Mil, ??? Piccolo, idle United Kingdom GBR
9 259,00 RFGW Military Milfrance, Paris 192bd/400/E FEC-100, 5LGs to
D4B, K4X, P8C, H6L France FRA Paris
9 260,00 Kososvo Air Air Bookshelf Net Push 82B
9 265,52 Military British Mil, ??? Piccolo, idle United Kingdom GBR
9 269,00 Kososvo Military Military USB
9 305,52 GXQ Military British Army, London "VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng
ch ""de gxq cfm this one going down soon""" United Kingdom GBR London
9 305,92 GXQ Military British Army, London VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto
United Kingdom GBR London
9 330,00 Press News VNA RTTY Vietnam VTN
9 330,00 Press News VNA Vietnam VTN
9 355,51 Military British Mil, ??? VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch idle

United Kingdom GBR
9 355,92 Military British Mil, ??? VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto
United Kingdom GBR
9 395,00 Press News KCNA RTTY Korea Nth PRK
9 395,00 Press News KCNA Korea Nth PRK
9 417,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
9 417,00 Press News XINHUA China CHN
9 430,00 Press News ATA Albania ALB
9 463,00 Press News PETRA RTTY Jordan JOR
9 463,00 Press News PETRA Jordan JOR
9 463,00 Press News PETRA Jordan JOR
9 491,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
9 491,00 Press News XINHUA China CHN
9 570,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Radio Bulgaria Bulgaria BGR Sofia
9 620,00 Kososvo Military Military USB
9 650,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Radio Tirana Albania ALB Tirana
9 797,00 Press News ROMPRESS RTTY Romania ROM
9 797,00 Press News ROMPRESS Romania ROM
9 925,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Radio Croatia
10 125,21 Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot),
tfc Russia RUS
10 162,50 Press News INA RTTY Iraq IRQ
10 162,50 Press News INA Iraq IRQ
10 166,21 Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot),
tfc Russia RUS
10 199,34 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force
10 199,34 MKK Military Royal Air Force, London "VFT: 7ch of 50bd/200
Baudot, ""ryiryi... test de mkk""" United Kingdom GBR London
10 225,52 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar "VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, eng
ch ""de gyu gyu gyu lolololololo cip cip cip""" United Kingdom GBR
Gibraltar
10 225,92 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, tfc
ch idle United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
10 226,32 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, tfc
ch idle United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
10 226,72 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, tfc
ch idle United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
10 234,02 Military British Mil, ??? VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch idle
United Kingdom GBR
10 234,42 Military British Mil, ??? VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, idle
United Kingdom GBR
10 234,82 Military British Mil, ??? VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, idle
United Kingdom GBR
10 235,00 Press News CNA Taiwan TWN
10 276,21 Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot),
tfc Russia RUS
10 315,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" A9
10 315,00 Kososvo Air Air NATO Naval Net
10 376,21 Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot),

tfc Russia RUS
10 415,00 Military NATO Mil, ??? Link-11, tfc NATO
10 415,00 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT 8 channel DCN interleaved vft on USB United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
10 415,60 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar ITA2 50 Bd 340Hz shift "Cahn 1 in vft (eng) idle. 1634z ""de GYU ga pal k"" ""deGYU rg that pal s 1 k"" ""de GYU lo pal and ir ont he rrr pal zub::::1634z kkk""." United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
10 416,90 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar CCIR342 96 Bd 340Hz shift Chan 5 in vft. 2 chan tdm, 4rc. Chan A and B betas. United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
10 417,60 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar CCIR342 96 Bd 340Hz shift chan7 in vft. 2chan tdm, 4rc. Chan a: idling on hbeta h beta. Chan B: idling on linefeed figshift figshift linefeed. United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
10 452,00 ??? Military Russian Navy, ??? 50bd/200 36-50, tfc Russia RUS
10 580,00 Press News KCNA RTTY Korea Nth PRK
10 580,00 Press News KCNA Korea Nth PRK
10 600,00 Press News VNA RTTY Vietnam VTN
10 600,00 Press News VNA Vietnam VTN
10 643,50 ??? Military Russian Navy, ??? 75bd/250 FSK UNID System, idle on reversals Russia RUS
10 664,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), tfc Russia RUS
10 669,00 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), tfc on LSB Russia RUS
10 701,00 ??? Military NATO Mil, ??? 2400bps PSK UNID System NATO
10 712,00 ??? Military Russian Navy, ??? 36bd/250 36-50, idle Russia RUS
10 780,00 Kososvo Military Air Air Mobile Command (AirForce)
10 855,00 GXQ Military Royal Navy, London 8 ch. DCN interleaved vft on USB. United Kingdom GBR London
10 856,28 GXQ Military Royal Navy, London ITA2 50Bd 340Hz shift "Marker ""iry's qbf figs test deGXQ"". Chan3 in vft. " United Kingdom GBR London
10 856,45 GXQ Military Royal Navy, London ITA2 50Bd 340Hz shift "Chan 4 in vft. ""iry's qbf figs test de GXQ"". " United Kingdom GBR London
10 856,96 GXQ Military Royal Navy, London ITA2 50Bd 340Hz shift "Chan 5 in vft. ""iry's qbf figs testde GXQ"" " United Kingdom GBR London
10 865,00 Kososvo Meteo Meteo US Navy Cuttler United States USA
10 936,50 ??? Military NATO Mil, ??? 2400bps PSK UNID System NATO
10 950,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ???? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), idle Russia RUS
10 960,00 Press News CNA RTTY Taiwan TWN
10 960,00 Press News CNA Taiwan TWN
10 982,05 ??? Press News XINHUA News, Beijing 75bd/1000 Baudot, NX in EE China CHN Beijing
11 017,00 RPFN Military Portuguese Navy, Lisbon "75bd/820 Baudot, ""xip rptmb rptmb de rpfn rpfn ryry... sgsg..."" tape"

11 031,52 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force
11 031,52 MKD Military Royal Air Force, Akrotiri VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch idle
11 031,92 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, crypto tfc United Kingdom GBR
11 032,32 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, crypto tfc United Kingdom GBR
11 044,50 ??? Military NATO Mil, ??? 2400bps PSK UNID System France FRA Libreville
11 080,00 Press News SANA RTTY Syria SYR
11 092,40 Press News MFA RTTY Bulgaria BGR
11 092,40 Press News MFA Bulgaria BGR
11 133,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
11 146,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), tfc Russia RUS
11 167,00 Kososvo Air Air Airlift CommandTransport
11 172,00 Press News MFA RTTY Bulgaria BGR
11 173,00 Kososvo Air Air Bookshelf Net Push 83A
11 175,00 Kososvo Air Air Air Trafic around Camp Darby & Pisa
11 175,00 Kososvo Military Military NATO Kosovo Standard Freq USB NATO
11 175,00 Kososvo Air Air USAF Global HF System Primary
11 176,00 Kososvo Military Air Air Mobile Command (AirForce)
11 228,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" AA
11 230,00 Kososvo Air Air Trafic Heard
11 239,00 Kososvo Air Air Bomber Dumbo-Bravo
11 243,00 Kososvo Air Air Gibralta FlightWach giving aviation weather for Italy Military
11 244,00 Kososvo Military Air Air Mobile Command (AirForce)
11 244,00 Kososvo Military Long EAM
11 245,00 Kososvo Air Air Air Trafic around Camp Darby & Pisa
11 246,00 Kososvo Air Air Kosove NATO
11 267,00 Kososvo Military Military Navy High Command Voice Freqs
11 267,00 Kososvo Military Military WAR Started
11 267,00 Kososvo Military Military WAR Started
11 270,50 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" NK
11 271,00 Kososvo Military Air Air Mobile Command (AirForce)
11 300,00 Kososvo Air Air Serbia Fighters
11 309,00 Kososvo Military Military Freqs Actually Heard
11 370,00 Kososvo Air Military Air Trafic Controll
11 399,10 Military NATO POINT-POINT RTTY FW LINK4 75,0 XXX
11 415,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Radio B92 USB
11 416,70 Kososvo Military Air French Forces Sarajevo Serbia YUO Sarajevo
11 423,50 SOL242 News Press Polish Press Agency Warsaw Poland POL Warsawa
11 430,00 Press News KCNA RTTY Korea Nth PRK
11 470,00 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar Piccolo VFT Two channel piccolo on USB. Idle chan (eng) +510hz, Online encrypted chan +910hz 6

tone. United Kingdom GBR
11 470,51 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar Piccolo Eng chan in vft.
Opr chat for some time with modem difficulties. United Kingdom GBR
11 476,00 Press News KCNA RTTY Korea Nth PRK
11 495,72 ??? Military British Mil, ??? Piccolo-12, idle United
Kingdom GBR
11 536,00 Press News KCNA RTTY Korea Nth PRK
11 602,50 Press News YONHAP RTTY Korea Sth KOR
11 604,00 Press News TANJUG RTTY Serbia YUO
11 606,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
11 647,00 ??? Military NATO Mil, ??? Link-11 NATO
11 680,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
11 830,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Radio Bulgaria Bulgaria BGR
12 000,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Voice of Russia Russia RUS
12 020,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Voice of Russia Russia RUS
12 110,00 YOM21 Press News ROMPRES News, Bucharest 50bd/500 Baudot, NX
about EU integration Romania ROM
12 110,00 Press News ROMPRESS RTTY Romania ROM
12 115,00 GXQ Military Royal Navy, London VFT DCN 8 chan interleaved vft
on USB. United Kingdom GBR London
12 120,50 Press News SUNA RTTY Sudan SDN
12 145,00 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force, London VFT DCN 8 chan
interleaved vft on USB. Chans idle. United Kingdom GBR London
12 145,00 MKK Military Royal Air Force, London VFT DCN 8 chan
interleaved vft on USB. Chans idle. United Kingdom GBR London
12 156,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz
pilot), tfc Russia RUS
12 175,00 Press News KCNA RTTY Korea Nth PRK
12 186,00 Press News JANA RTTY Libya LBY
12 212,50 Press News TANJUG RTTY Serbia YUO
12 228,40 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
12 305,52 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar "VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, eng
ch ""de gyu gyu gyu lolololo cip cip cip""" United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
12 305,92 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, tfc
ch idle United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
12 306,32 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, tfc
ch idle United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
12 306,72 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 4ch of Piccolo-6, tfc
ch idle United Kingdom GBR Gibraltar
12 745,50 Press News KYODO RTTY Japan JPN
12 919,30 Kososvo Military Navy Royal Navy VFT 4 chan vft on USB.
United Kingdom GBR
12 919,30 MGJ Military Royal Navy, Faslane VFT 4 chan vft on USB.
United Kingdom GBR
12 919,90 Kososvo Military Navy Royal Navy 100Bd crypto 200Hz shift Chan
1. One line encrypted. United Kingdom GBR
12 919,90 MGJ Military Royal Navy, Faslane 100Bd crypto 200Hz shift Chan
1. One line encrypted. United Kingdom GBR
12 920,41 MGJ Military Royal Navy, Faslane 75Bd crypto 85Hz shift Chan

2. Blocked. Online encrypted ACF 7bit. United Kingdom GBR
12 921,09 MGJ Military Royal Navy, Faslane ITA2 75Bd 340Hz shift Chan 3 in vft. CARB with ID MGJ. United Kingdom GBR
12 921,85 MGJ Military Royal Navy, Faslane 100Bd crypto 850Hz shift Chan 4 in vft. On line encrypted. United Kingdom GBR
13 032,00 ??? Military Russian Navy, ??? 50bd/200 36-50, tfc in short messages Russia RUS
13 131,73 ??? Military British Navy, ??? VFT: 2ch of 75bd/75 FSK UNID System, crypto United Kingdom GBR
13 132,93 ??? Military British Navy, ??? VFT: 2ch of 75bd/75 FSK UNID System, crypto United Kingdom GBR
13 200,00 Kososvo Military Air Air Mobile Command (AirForce)
13 200,00 Kososvo Air Air USAF Global HF System Primary
13 220,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), idle Russia RUS
13 292,18 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? 200bd/500 FSK UNID System, sync, cont, ACF=0 Russia RUS
13 303,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), tfc Russia RUS
13 306,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), tfc Russia RUS
13 354,00 Kososvo Military Military Freqs Actually Heard
13 384,51 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar "VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch ""de gyu u be all the fives m8y""" United Kingdom GBR
13 384,91 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto United Kingdom GBR
13 430,52 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force "VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch ""muh de mkd zub 1312z lolololololo"""
13 430,52 MKD Military Royal Air Force, Akrotiri "VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch ""muh de mkd zub 1312z lolololololo"""
13 430,92 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, crypto tfc
13 431,32 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force VFT: 3ch of Piccolo-6, crypto tfc
13 440,00 Press News TANJUG RTTY Serbia YUO
13 449,00 Military Russian Navy, ??? 81bd/500 81-81, tfc Russia RUS
13 452,50 Military NATO Mil, ??? 2400bps PSK UNID System NATO
13 560,00 Press News AA News, Ankara RTTY Turkey TUR Ankara
13 560,70 TCY Press News AA News, Ankara "50bd/1100 Baudot, ""anadolu ajansi begins transmissions in english""" Turkey TUR Ankara
13 563,00 Press News CNA RTTY Taiwan TWN
13 580,00 Press News KCNA Korea Nth PRK
13 580,51 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force, London Piccolo VFT with single idle channel on USB
13 580,51 MKK Military Royal Air Force, London Piccolo "13580.510. Eng channel idle till 0200z when ""MTS deMKK zub 0200z lo pal plse qsy to f6 f6 f6 kkkk"" 0202z"
13 780,00 Press News KCNA Korea Nth PRK
13 798,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz

pilot), tfc Russia RUS
13 820,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Radio Croatia
13 967,21 Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot),
tfc Russia RUS
14 300,00 Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot),
tfc on LSB Russia RUS
14 367,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
14 373,00 Press News INA RTTY Iraq IRQ
14 425,00 RIG58 Military Russian Navy, ??? "MCW, ""v v v rig 58 rig 58
rig 58 1/107"" marker then 5FGs, tone=900Hz" Russia RUS
14 448,00 Military Russian Navy, ??? 50bd/200 36-50, tfc Russia RUS
14 449,21 Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot),
short tfc then idle Russia RUS
14 452,00 Press News KCNA RTTY Korea Nth PRK
14 506,21 Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot),
tfc on LSB Russia RUS
14 508,00 Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot),
idle (on LSB) Russia RUS
14 510,51 Military British Mil, ??? Piccolo-12, idle United Kingdom
GBR
14 532,05 Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot),
tfc (on LSB) Russia RUS
14 535,52 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar "VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng
ch ""de gyu how do u see the f3908 kkilo""" United Kingdom GBR
14 535,92 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6,
crypto United Kingdom GBR
14 556,00 RIW Military Russian Navy, Moscow CW, 5LGs and opchat to
RGR76, RHO61 QSX 11868, 10492kHz Russia RUS
14 560,00 Press News PETRA RTTY Jordan JOR
14 568,00 Press News KCNA RTTY Korea Nth PRK
14 573,00 Press News JANA TRIPOLI ARABIC 50Bd 400Hz shift Arabic press
in ATU70
14 581,00 ??? Military Russian Navy, ??? 36bd/250 36-50, idle Russia
RUS
14 593,51 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force "Piccolo-6, ""de mts plse
to qsy to the f61 f61 f61 mate k zub 1108z"""
14 599,00 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force VFT 8 channel interleaved
DCN vft on USB. Only channel 2 active.
14 599,00 MKD Military Royal Air Force, Akrotiri VFT 8 channel
interleaved DCN vft on USB. Only channel 2 active.
14 599,70 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force ITA2 50 Bd 340Hz shift
"Chan 2 in cft. RYIs qbf figs and ""test de MKD""."
14 599,70 MKD Military Royal Air Force, Akrotiri ITA2 50 Bd 340Hz shift
"Chan 2 in cft. RYIs qbf figs and ""test de MKD""."
14 639,00 Press News KPL RTTY Laos LAO
14 639,44 XWK01A Press News KPL News, Vientiane "50bd/500 Baudot,
""ryryry... qra de xwk01a 14,640khz - k.p.l. -""" Laos LAO
14 655,00 ??? Military Russian Navy, ??? 50bd/200 36-50, tfc Russia
RUS

14 672,00 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), idle (on LSB) Russia RUS
14 699,00 YIX70 Press News INA News, Baghdad 75bd/400 Baudot, NX in Arabic Iraq IRQ
14 708,52 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force "Piccolo-6, ""de mkk rgrgrgrg m8 kkilo"""
14 708,52 MKK Military Royal Air Force, Akrotiri "Piccolo-6, ""de mkk rgrgrgrg m8 kkilo"""
14 760,00 Press News MAP Morocco MAR
14 760,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
14 764,00 Press News GNA RTTY Bahrain BHR
14 785,00 Press News MEA RTTY India IND
14 864,00 ??? Military Russian Navy, ??? 50bd/250 36-50, Short message then QRT Russia RUS
14 923,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
14 932,00 Press News APS RTTY Algeria DZA
14 932,00 Press News APS Algeria DZA
15 016,00 Kososvo Military Air Air Mobile Command (AirForce)
15 016,00 Kososvo Air Air USAF Global HF System Primary
15 050,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" NL
15 595,00 Kososvo Brodcast Brodcast Voice of Russia
15 633,00 Press News KCNA RTTY Korea Nth PRK
15 644,90 Press News MAP RTTY Morocco MAR
15 744,00 Press News VNA RTTY Vietnam VTN
15 752,70 Press News MAP RTTY Morocco MAR
15 775,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (3300Hz pilot), tfc Russia RUS
15 797,52 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar "VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch ""de gyu ok we got u ota zub 1210z k""" United Kingdom GBR
15 797,92 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto United Kingdom GBR
15 959,00 Kososvo Meteo Meteo US Navy Cuttler United States USA
16 035,00 Press News KYODO RTTY Japan JPN
16 102,21 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? MS5 12 tone vocoder (710Hz pilot), tfc on LSB Russia RUS
16 117,00 Press News PANA RTTY Senegal SEN
16 136,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
16 224,00 Press News CNA RTTY Taiwan TWN
16 227,70 RF...*** Military French Forces, ??? 96bd/400/8/E ARQ-E, MSG in FF ordering supplies France FRA
16 256,56 GFH Military Royal Air Force, Hong Kong "VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch ""de gfh rgr m8 we qsy up"""
16 256,96 GFH Military Royal Air Force, Hong Kong VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto
16 343,00 Press News TANJUG RTTY Serbia YUO
16 343,00 Press News TANJUG Serbia YUO
16 352,00 ??? Military Russian Mil, ??? 200bd/500 FSK UNID System, sync, cont, ACF=0 Russia RUS
16 424,40 Kososvo Military Military USB

16 442,40 Kososvo Air Military NATO Kosovo USB
16 442,40 Kososvo Air Air Naval Voice Coordination Hotel Tracking Net
16 444,00 Kososvo Air Military NATO Kosovo USB
16 800,00 Press News Philippine News Agency Philippines PHL
16 922,20 RBSL Military Indian Navy, Bombay "50bd/1100 Baudot, ""vth5/7/9 rbsl rbls ryryryryryryryryr sgsgsgsg""" India IND
16 951,50 6WW Military French Navy, Dakar "75bd/850 Baudot, ""vvv vvv vvv vvv de de de 6ww 6ww 6ww"" tape" France FRA
17 468,53 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar ITA2 50 Bd 340Hz shift Chan 1 (eng) in vft. Idle on mark. United Kingdom GBR
17 469,96 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar CCIR342 96Bd 340Hz shift Chan 5 in vft. 2 chan tdm, 4rc. Chan A: and B: United Kingdom GBR
17 470,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
17 470,13 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar 96 Bd crypto 340Hz shift Chan 6 in vft. ACF80. Online encrypted mode. United Kingdom GBR
17 470,64 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar CCIR342 96Bd 340Hz shift Chan 7 in vft. 2 chan tdm. 4RC. Chan A: beta #idlepattern. Chan B: linefeed figshift idle pattern. chan 8 in vft. Idle on space. United Kingdom GBR
17 470,81 GYU Military Royal Navy, Gibraltar - United Kingdom GBR
17 520,52 ??? Military British Mil, ??? Piccolo, idle United Kingdom GBR
17 967,00 Kososvo Military Air Air Mobile Command (AirForce)
17 967,00 Kososvo Military Military USB
17 996,50 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" NM
18 006,00 Kososvo Military ANDREWS
18 040,00 Press News AA News, Ankara RTTY Turkey TUR Ankara
18 190,52 ??? Military British Mil, ??? Piccolo-12, crypto United Kingdom GBR
18 220,90 Press News MAP RTTY Morocco MAR
18 255,00 Press News MEA RTTY India IND
18 264,00 Press News VNA RTTY Vietnam VTN
18 265,00 Press News MAP RTTY Morocco MAR
18 411,50 Press News MFA RTTY Indonesia IDN
18 422,02 Kososvo Military Air Royal Air Force "Piccolo-6, ""ryryryryr gec de mts qsy f3401 m8y cip cip cip"""
18 496,00 Press News MAP RTTY Morocco MAR
18 561,00 Press News IRNA RTTY Iran IRN
18 764,00 ??? Military Russian Navy, ??? 50bd/200 36-50, tfc Russia RUS
18 788,00 Press News SUNA RTTY Sudan SDN
18 872,00 Press News XINHUA RTTY China CHN
19 171,10 Press News MAP RTTY Morocco MAR
19 437,52 ??? Military British Mil, ??? VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, eng ch United Kingdom GBR
19 437,92 ??? Military British Mil, ??? VFT: 2ch of Piccolo-6, crypto United Kingdom GBR
19 462,90 Press News SUNA News, Khartoum 50bd/200 Baudot, NX in EE Sudan SDN Khartoum

19 463,00 Press News SUNA RTTY Sudan SDN Khartoum
19 936,00 ??? Military Russian Navy, ??? 36bd/250 36-50, idle Russia
RUS
19 980,00 Press News IRNA RTTY Iran IRN
20 015,00 Kososvo Meteo Meteo US Navy Cuttler United States USA
20 085,00 Press News ANSA RTTY Italy ITA
20 204,00 Press News TANJUG RTTY Serbia YUO
20 372,00 Press News ANSA RTTY Italy ITA
20 560,00 Press News JANA RTTY Libya LBY
22 892,50 Press News ANSA RTTY Italy ITA
22 955,00 Press News ANSA RTTY Italy ITA
22 955,00 Press News ANSA Italy ITA
23 214,00 Kososvo Air Air "NATO E-3 AWACS ""Magic""" ??
24 790,00 Press News ANSA RTTY Italy ITA
24 790,00 Press News ANSA Italy ITA
25 012,30 Kososvo Military NAVY FASLANE RTTY FW BAUDOT
25 012,30 MGJ Military NAVY FASLANE RTTY FW BAUDOT 75,0 G
25 271,50 Press News ANSA RTTY Italy ITA
25 271,50 Press News ANSA Italy ITA
25 271,50 Press News ANSA Italy ITA
121 375,00 Kososvo Air Air Air Traffic around Padova Military
122 100,00 Kososvo Air Air NATO Common VHF Tower/GCA/Approach Control
123 000,00 Kososvo Air Rep Tasz&#65533;r Tower (Hungary-NATO)Airport LHTA
123 100,00 Kososvo Air Air Searh and Rescue VHF Primary
123 300,00 Kososvo Air Air NATO Common VHF Low Level/GCA/Final Approach
123 300,00 Kososvo Air Rep Szolnok Tower (Hungary-Military)Airport LHSN
124 500,00 Kososvo Air Rep Kapos&#65533;jlak Tower (Hungary-Military)Airport LHKV
129 735,00 Kososvo Air Air Air Traffic around Padova Military
130 425,00 Kososvo Air Air Searh and Rescue VHF Unicom
138 700,00 Kososvo Air Air Searh and Rescue VHF Secundary
156 300,00 Kososvo Military Military Marine VHF Air/Ship
156 800,00 Kososvo Military Military Marine VHF Distress CH16
156 800,00 Kososvo Military Military Marine VHF Distress CH16
158 450,00 Police Rend&#65533;rs&#65533;g BM Hungaria HUN Budapest
160 600,00 Kososvo Military Military Marine VHF Emergency Ch00
164 075,00 Post Posta Telefon Posta Hungaria HUN Budapest
241 900,00 Kososvo Air Air Helicopter Common
243 000,00 Kososvo Air Air International Calling / Emergency Wordwide
243 400,00 Kososvo Air Air Guard /Watch
244 600,00 Kososvo Air Air Searc and Rescuse (Scene of Search)
249 875,00 Kososvo Air Air NATO Discrete Operations
252 800,00 Kososvo Air Air Searc and Rescuse (traning)
257 800,00 Kososvo Air Air NATO Common Tower
277 800,00 Kososvo Air Air US Navy Fleet Common
278 300,00 Kososvo Air Air Helicopter Common
279 400,00 Kososvo Air Air Combat Scene of Action
280 600,00 Kososvo Air Air Jamming /Strike Safety
282 100,00 Kososvo Air Air Saearc and Rescue (secundary)
282 800,00 Kososvo Air Air Saearc and Rescue (primary)

300 800,00 Kososvo Air Air NATO Common Low Level
317 500,00 Kososvo Air Air NATO Common Radar Fix
337 800,00 Kososvo Air Air Transit Control
344 000,00 Kososvo Air Air NATO Common Radar / Director
356 400,00 Kososvo Air Air Jamming /Strike Safety
362 300,00 Kososvo Air Air NATO Common Approch Control
369 100,00 Kososvo Air Air Helicopter Common
372 300,00 Kososvo Air Air NATO German AirForce
385 400,00 Kososvo Air Air NATO Common Radar/Final Approach

2276. Re: 154.8300 no longer HotShot LAPD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: nihilist2000@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <37465cc2.9198487@news.linkline.com>
Source record: 117998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What exactly is a "hotshot" call?

On 18 May 1999 09:12:01 GMT, "Richard W Cowgill"
<lakers34@netscape.net> wrote:

>Ken... we re-implemented tac 1 Sunday night around 2300 hours... It's up
>again and functioning normally (Our SMOs or Systems Monitoring Operators
><techs> finally took care of it) ... There was a problem with the frequency
>somewhere between our center and the Mount Lee transmitter sight that began
>Saturday morning ...operators in the CDC would only hear a loud buzz in
>their ears when they pulled up the freq during the time you heard nothing.
>In addition to using 484.712 which we call "Air/K-9" or channel 40, when
>tac 1 goes down we also will kick in tac 2 - 154.770. "Hotshots" and air
>unit requests were made on that frequency as well during the time tac 1 was
>down. Hope that answers your question.
>
>Rich Cowgill
>PSR-BCC
>LAPD
>
>
>Ken <Kencaz@att.net> wrote in article <373F50CC.62BEB0EE@att.net>...
>> I have noticed for the past 2 day's that the LAPD HotShot Freq 154.8300
>> is not active. This is the main dispatch for all calls to Divisions and
>> is normally very active. I also noticed that the Air/K9 Tac 484.7125 is
>> more active HotShot calls. Anyone know if this frequency is being
>> phased out or if it is just transmitter problems.
>>
>> thanks
>>
>> --
>> ---->>>KEN<<<----
>>
>> http://home.att.net/~kencaz/
>>
>>
>>
>

2277. Re: 57.8 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: trojan.horse@virgin.net
Message-ID: <7i5mmo$3nl$1@nclient3-gui.server.virgin.net>
Source record: 117999
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

In article <UJpsPNAYMDQ3EwXz@virgin.net>, trojanhorse@virgin.net says...
>
>Here surely is an excellent crossposting link for me to try.

Just processing a mailing test via another program!!

2278. Peter's Scanner Pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bommeltje <bommeltje@tref.nl>
Message-ID: <7i5rjd$bs7a$1@reader3.wxs.nl>
Source record: 118000
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,dk.teknik.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Have some time? Then take a look at
Peter's Scanner Pages on URL:

http://leden.tref.nl/bommeltje/

A lot of information about scannerlistening,
frequencies, Acars, Wintone, databases,
scannersoftware, tips, etc.
Both in dutch and english language.

Already bookmarked this page?
Then please check the url: it has changed.

Greetings from Holland, Peter van Bommel
E-mail: bommeltje@tref.nl ICQ #15915751
Scannerpage: http://leden.tref.nl/bommeltje/

2279. !!! Read This !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: LaLaS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7i5rcg$ngh@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 118001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I HEARD THIS !!!!!!!!
The RADIO SHACK officials and designers they rush in the theaters to watch the STAR WAR movie.After the movie they all site on the table and they try to designer a scanner like the one those Captain's have on the movie.
The scanner will be very small (Like Rolex watch)and they will cover the all spectrum!!!!!!!
Also there is no duke or external antenna ,and no buttons .
To program the scanner is very simple ,just talk to the scanner and automatically tune the frequency or any other function .
Also the scanner speaks 10 different language,play CD'S and MP3 ,unlimited channels and banks,
say time and date when you ask,hear the spaceship millions miles away,or any broadcast from anywhere in the universe.
Also they say (RS Officials)the sensitivity will be so good you can hear baby monitor IN TEXAS FROM CANADA !!!!!!!
There is more and more futures i can't list here now
!!!! S O R R Y N O C E L L U L A R !!!!!!
They will be on the market sometimes on the year 2000
So what do you thing ????
P.S.
They haven't set the price yet ??,but my good sources tall me about $289.00 - $399.99 + tax

2280. Re: Yupiteru repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <WpYEHiAGRoR3EwM1@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 118002

In article <7i4qtcqnl1@juliana.sprynet.com>, Lewis McCool
<lmccool@sprynet.com> writes

Hi,

>I have had a Yupiteru 7100 for several years now, bought from Javiation in
>England. Now the tuning knob only works intermittently. Some internal
>contact failing. Anyone have a suggestion as to where I could get it

If you are "handy" with a soldering Iron and happy to do a little and
not very complex disassembly the Rotary Encoder is quite easy to
replace.

>repaired and how much it might cost? I am not competent to go into the unit
>myself. Thanks.

...should have read that first :-(

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2281. New Dutch Police Department added to Internet (Correction URL)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: PI6ALK <pi6alk@tss-telecom.nl>
Message-ID: <7i6194$9ke@news3.euro.net>
Source record: 118003

http://www.tss-telecom.nl/pi6alk

2282. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: The English Patient <englishpatient@england.com>
Message-ID: <WIv13.7441$%x.5784@wards>
Source record: 118004
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Keith Bennett wrote in message ...
>In article <7i3afnhdb1@brokaw.wa.com>, Jim Kehler <kh2d@my-
>dejanews.com> writes
>>Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote:
>>
>>>This is all very well but it does not answer my question as to what do
>>>they talk about on rec.radio.amateur.dx?
>>
>>Well, Keith, we used to talk about DX. But then all these Morse code
>>geeks and hot women from SOC.PENPALS started posting here and
>>looks like everybody who was interested in DX left. Haven't seen
>>anything DX related for quite a while.....
>
>But Jim, this is all very well, but I still don't know what DX means as
>EP will not tell me!
>

I told you trojan...Dx is cleared up easily with creams
If you keep picking at it......well...it'll scar.

The English Patient
>--
>From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
>Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
>[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
>http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
>UIN #3457372
>http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
>wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
>3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2283. Re: Did I miss something?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rudi Mulder <mulder36@xs4all.nl>
Message-ID: <7i63vhkre6@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 118005
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner

>>
>> I'm ready for all enlightenment even if its electronical :))
>Well the nhere is something for you. The higher in frequency you go;
>the smaller the atenaa becomes. Inverse proportion.

Okey so if i start with a REALLY BIG atenna then it ought to be enuff to go very high right?

2284. Re: !!! Read This !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <374696ce.1130388@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You cant be serious...:>)

On Sat, 22 May 1999 15:54:30 -0500, LaLaS <m1956@execpc.com> wrote:

>I HEARD THIS !!!!!!!!
>The RADIO SHACK officials and designers they rush in the theaters to watch
>the STAR WAR movie.After the movie they all site on the table and they try to
>designer a scanner like the one those Captain's have on the movie.
>The scanner will be very small (Like Rolex watch)and they will cover the all
>spectrum!!!!!!!
>Also there is no duke or external antenna ,and no buttons .
>To program the scanner is very simple ,just talk to the scanner and
>automatically tune the frequency or any other function .
>Also the scanner speaks 10 different language,play CD'S and MP3 ,unlimited
>channels and banks,
>say time and date when you ask,hear the spaceship millions miles away,or any
>broadcast from anywhere in the universe.
>Also they say (RS Officials)the sensitivity will be so good you can hear baby
>monitor IN TEXAS FROM CANADA !!!!!!!
>There is more and more futures i can't list here now
> !!!! S O R R Y N O C E L L U L A R !!!!!!
>They will be on the market sometimes on the year 2000
>So what do you thing ????
>P.S.
>They haven't set the price yet ??,but my good sources tall me about $289.00
>- $399.99 + tax
>
>

2285. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7i6614$mgh@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 118007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <374602C4.D69C85CA@gnc.net> Robert <rc123@gnc.net> writes:
>
>Follow this link:
>http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/newsflsh.htm
>
>Robert
>http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/
>
>Greg Dean wrote:
>
>> One of the local scanner hobbist told me that Bill Cheek
>> was arrested for making a decoder for the Motorola MDT.
>

yes he was arrested.

yes he was let go on bail.

yes, he has to go to NYC
if they want him for appearance.

he's been screwed.

agree about the Clinton bit: for him
the 70's was staying out of the military.

I guess he's getting even.

bill conduit
etc.

2286. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wizard of Oz <wizardofoz1@fcmail.com>
Message-ID: <3746991c@news.st.net.au>
Source record: 118008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

That's true TH, and you damned sure don't want to be holding one of those in your hand. At least, not while the motor's running.

--

Wizard of Oz

Why do people without a watch look at their wrist when you ask them what time it is?

Why do you ask someone without a watch what time it is?

Keith Bennett wrote in message ...
>In article <2Kh13.2255$hB3.268@news3.atl>, Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
>writes
>>It's an abbreviation for "Handie-Talkie", coined by a certain manufacturer
>>of handheld radios (the manufacturer escapes my memory...Motorola?). It
is
>>used by Hams and radio buffs to describe walkie-talkies and other handheld
>>tranceivers. I have heard some people use it to describe handheld
scanners,
>>which, while not exactly correct, still gets the point across.
>>
>S'funny but I thought that 'HT' stood for High Tension, as in HT leads!
>Well I'm always wrong anyways.
>
>--
>From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
>Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
>[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
>http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
>UIN #3457372
>http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
>wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
>3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2287. Mass State Police Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: m2iceman@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990522080850.05411.00000366@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 118009

Looking for the freq's of the MSP. Anyone who has them can you send them to me

Thanks
Ice

2288. Re: 154.8300 no longer HotShot LAPD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: desdave@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990522081118.16497.00003453@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 118010

> What exactly is a "hotshot" call?
>

{~}:-l desdave@aol.com::::Got a Wife in Chino!::::desdave@aol.com l-:{~}

2289. Re: 154.8300 no longer HotShot LAPD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: desdave@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990522081400.16497.00003454@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 118011

> What exactly is a "hotshot" call?

*In Progress Calls.

*Calls that would benifit from assistance from an air unit or k9 unit.

*Pursuits

*Officer needs help

Hey guy from BCC, did I leave anything out?

{~}:-l desdave@aol.com::::Got a Wife in Chino!::::desdave@aol.com l-:{~}

2290. Morse code/Trying to reach Hawaii

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <aMH$uGAmAmR3EwPW@virgin.net>
Source record: 118012
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <7i53th$isd@news.Hawaii.Edu>, Jeffrey Herman
<jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes
>OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>> Keith Bennett <trojan.horse@virgin.net> wrote:
>
>>> >Well naturally I read the subject matter and thought here is an item
>>> >about Inspector Morse. No doubt you have heard of Inspector Morse?
>
>>> Listen to the theme song at the end of the program; you'll hear
>>> the violins sending M O R S E over and over (in Morse code, of course).
>
>>What program?
>
>Inspector Morse. (A&E, Tuesday evenings) // Jeff KH6O
Hey Jeff, what are the chances of you sending me a Hawaii'an beach
shirt?

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2291. AOR AR8200 Mailing List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: AR8200_List_Manager@ukgateway.net
Message-ID: <3746ac34.2828190@news.ukgateway.net>
Source record: 118013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The AR8200 Mailing list is for users and prospective users of
AOR's latest hand held receiver and accessories.

To subscribe to the list send an E-Mail to:

ar8200-subscribe@onelist.com

or visit

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/ar8200

410+ Subscribers

Regards,

Steve C (List Manager)

AR8200 Mailing list website
http://members.xoom.com/AR8200/

2292. Re: !!! Read This !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990522101009.02276.00000337@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 118014

>I HEARD THIS !!!!!!!!
>The RADIO SHACK officials and designers they rush in the theaters to watch
>the STAR WAR movie.After the movie they all site on the table and they try to
>designer a scanner like the one those Captain's have on the movie.
>The scanner will be very small (Like Rolex watch)and they will cover the all
>spectrum!!!!!!!
>Also there is no duke or external antenna ,and no buttons .
>To program the scanner is very simple ,just talk to the scanner and
>automatically tune the frequency or any other function .
>Also the scanner speaks 10 different language,play CD'S and MP3 ,unlimited
>channels and banks,
>say time and date when you ask,hear the spaceship millions miles away,or any
>broadcast from anywhere in the universe.
>Also they say (RS Officials)the sensitivity will be so good you can hear baby
>monitor IN TEXAS FROM CANADA !!!!!!!
>There is more and more futures i can't list here now
> !!!! S O R R Y N O C E L L U L A R !!!!!!
>They will be on the market sometimes on the year 2000
>So what do you thing ????
>P.S.
>They haven't set the price yet ??,but my good sources tall me about $289.00
>- $399.99 + tax
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Oh gawd, you found a theater that serves alcohol....

2293. The biggest scanner bust so far this year !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990522100638.09788.00003669@ng37.aol.com>
Source record: 118015

The biggest scanner bust so far this year !

See the Scanning Related News section at The Scan Shack
to view this feature. Rated PG - Parental guidance suggested.

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

2294. Pro-2042 w/Opto 535 truck tracking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jrodew0569@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990522101340.18115.00001867@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 118016

I was wondering how to set this radio up for trunk tracking? I'm not sure if this unit can do it.

Thanks in advance

Jeff

2295. Re: Frequency Data base program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmikkelsen@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <3746bc19.14082319@news.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 118017
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 22 May 1999 07:15:11 GMT, snorkel@bigpiss.com (Wobbly) wrote,
with the use of a cucumber dipped in blackberry sauce:

>Not trying to put you down or anything, but MS Works, Office & most
>programs published by MS are "bloatware".
>
>I use ClarisWorks for everything; a TRUE integrated works package
>resulting in much less fat, unlike MS Works or Office which are
>basically a bunch of seperate programs thrown into one box.

Hmmm. You misunderstand the power of Access :-)

2296. Re: !!! Read This !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: sargeno@spam.interaccess.com
Message-ID: <3746bc35.331864258@NNTP.interaccess.com>
Source record: 118018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Have any of your sources ever suggested you seek professional help?

On Sat, 22 May 1999 15:54:30 -0500, LaLaS <m1956@execpc.com> wrote:

>I HEARD THIS !!!!!!!!
>The RADIO SHACK officials and designers they rush in the theaters to watch
--------BIG SNIP--------

2297. scanner model question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan K." <danka2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7i6gg3$6h$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 118019

Does Uniden's handheld model BC60XLT-4 or BC80XLT get the AM Aircraft Band
at 108-137 MHz?

2298. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Martin <dwmartin@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <01bea460$bab21040$LocalHost@ns.idirect.com>
Source record: 118020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

HT Stands for Handi talkie.

Possums <Possums@inf.net.au> wrote in article
<37452f09.0@news.highway1.com.au>...
> Excuse my ignorance but I have seen the abbreviation HT bandied about the
> place and I cant figure out what it is supposed to stand for .. can
someone
> pls explain it to me. Thanks!
> OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <3744E382.5F1A@ameritech.net>...
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >>

2299. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: please@see.sig.for.address
Message-ID: <please-2205991137540001@adamsmith.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 118021
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

> The next door neighbor could be using a 12 inch sub woofer (or larger) with
> a high power amp running at low frequency.....
> This can make the house tremble and could be lower enough that the human ear
> will not hear it but the body will feel it. (I know this works.... my car
> sound system is a perfect example)

This is not practical. I've seen how much power it takes to physically shake a house from a distance (the walls, not just the windows) at under 5Hz, and I doubt that the neighbor would be able to purchase and conceal such a large woofer. The drivers they use for earthquake and sonic boom simulations are motor-driven baffles in a transmission line enclosure roughly the size of a garbage truck on end. An exponential horn to focus this energy would have to be HUGE. Your car stereo might create an annoying boom in the 40-60Hz range, but subwoofers this size produce no appreciable output in the infrasonic house-shaking range.

The high-frequency noise sounds like a probably explanation for the human symptoms, but not the house-shaking. Frequencies just at or above the upper limit of human hearing (above 20kHz), can be heard if you move your head quickly (turn your head). The doppler shift and phase-difference between what each ear is hearing can be percieved, even if the sound cannot be heard clearly. It is a weird sensation.

No matter what the cause, you should have it documented. If this is a serious problem, you should have it investigated by someone with the proper wide-band audio measurement equipment. With a high-quality microphone and spectrum analyzer (or just an o-scope), high dB sounds can be easily detected, and the source pin-pointed.

-Adam
ename: adamsmith
domain: mediaone.net

2300. BC-235 Question??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mao2 <mao2@prvnet.com>
Message-ID: <3746D135.777A8549@prvnet.com>
Source record: 118022

When the trunk track mode... I notice that above the
--.--- when it hasn't locked on a transmission I see
the larger bars above the --.--- light up but don't here
anything.
Is this normal or do I have something locked out?

2301. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <svA13.881$eC3.87825@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 118023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:7i6614$mgh@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com...
> In <374602C4.D69C85CA@gnc.net> Robert <rc123@gnc.net> writes:
> >
> >Follow this link:
> >http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/newsflsh.htm
> >
> >Robert
> >http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/
> >
> >Greg Dean wrote:
> >
> >> One of the local scanner hobbist told me that Bill Cheek
> >> was arrested for making a decoder for the Motorola MDT.
> >
>
> yes he was arrested.
>
> yes he was let go on bail.
>
> yes, he has to go to NYC
> if they want him for appearance.
>
> he's been screwed.
>
> agree about the Clinton bit: for him
> the 70's was staying out of the military.
>
> I guess he's getting even.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>
>

2302. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <BvA13.882$eC3.88022@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 118024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:7i6614$mgh@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com...
> In <374602C4.D69C85CA@gnc.net> Robert <rc123@gnc.net> writes:
> >
> >Follow this link:
> >http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/newsflsh.htm
> >
> >Robert
> >http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/
> >
> >Greg Dean wrote:
> >
> >> One of the local scanner hobbist told me that Bill Cheek
> >> was arrested for making a decoder for the Motorola MDT.
> >
>
> yes he was arrested.
>
> yes he was let go on bail.
>
> yes, he has to go to NYC
> if they want him for appearance.
>
> he's been screwed.
>
> agree about the Clinton bit: for him
> the 70's was staying out of the military.
>
> I guess he's getting even.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>
>

2303. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <rGA13.884$eC3.86997@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 118025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote ...
> >Greg Dean wrote:
> >
> >> One of the local scanner hobbist told me that Bill Cheek
> >> was arrested for making a decoder for the Motorola MDT.
> >
>
> yes he was arrested.
>
> yes he was let go on bail.
>
> yes, he has to go to NYC
> if they want him for appearance.
>
> he's been screwed.

How so? Do YOU know the facts? Seems we only hear rumors on this NG.

> > agree about the Clinton bit: for him
> the 70's was staying out of the military.

Yes. many opposed Vietnam. They have been proven correct. No dominos. Not Red or Dead. Ask Bobby MacNamara. Read. Try and learn beyond your brainwashing.

> I guess he's getting even.

Guess you are a Republican that can't face its party sucks worse than the Democrats.

Didn't Bill brag on his WWW page about the ability to receive MDT?
Didn't he send (5 times) software to decode MDT with the data slicers he sold to the Feds?
Why hasn't Opto been attacked by the Feds? Must have paid off Clinton, right?

can you answer real questions?

2304. For Sale Cobra 2000 Excellent Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam Wolinsky <pcmedicnj@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7i6le8qg1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118026
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi all. I have for sale 1 Cobra 2000 base station CB with the speaker. Radio has been modified to cover from 26.815 Mhz to 28.045 Mhz. Radio transmits 20+ watts, clarifier has been opened. I have received several reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from me. I am not real technical so beyond what I have told you, I don't know anything else about the radio.
I would rate this radio as an 8 out of 10. No scratches ect that I was able to find. Also included is the original manual, original 1 year warranty certificate, copy of service manual.
From what I have seen and heard, the 2000 is one of the top of the line most sought after radios that was ever on the market in its day. After using it for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
Price is $275+ shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order. References available upon request.
Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this fine rig.

2305. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Smith <baz@g4iat.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ant2216210b0nGS$@g4iat.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 118027
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3745E425.1FDF@ameritech.net>, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<URL:mailto:theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

> Since when do TV station broadcast in one colo(u)r as the name
> Monochrome would imply. Heck, even Black and White is two colo(u)rs.
> By the way, the best Black and White is what is know as a Twistee cone.
> That is when they combine Vanilla Ice Cream with Chocolate Ice Crea.

If you're going to be pedantic at least check your facts first.
Monochrome - (of, using or being) reproduction or execution in 1 colour,
black and white, or shades of grey.
And technically speaking, black and white, being the absorbtion or reflection
of the whole spectrum, are not colours.

Barry
--
Support the Acorn User RC5-64 cracking effort
See http://www.acornusers.org/rc5/

2306. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: zaremba@megsinet.net
Message-ID: <3746e64b.657166@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 118028
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:37:52 -0400, please@see.sig.for.address (Adam)
wrote:
...

>This is not practical. I've seen how much power it takes to physically
>shake a house from a distance (the walls, not just the windows) at under
>5Hz, and I doubt that the neighbor would be able to purchase and conceal
...

I give my second to Adam. He's got the right answer on this one. If
you look at the path loss equations alone for that frequency and
distance, the required power to vibrate the house infrasonically would
be colossal.

The DEA would probably break his door down without warning at three in
the morning thinking that he was growing marijuana because his
electric bill would be so large.

-Paul

2307. PC Radio Comparison (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11b08b3cff3914129897ad@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118029

Well, now I have gone and done it. I assembled several friends and their gear and compared units on HF and VHF-UHF and here is the short results. The following radios were used. (1)WinRadio WR1500e, (2) Icom PCR-1000, (3) TenTec RX-320, (4) Optoelectronics Optocom.....A trapped dipole on the roof was used for HF and a Monitenna (my favorite) was used for the vhf-uhf..Without the benefit of actual electronic diagnostic gear on hand we all based the results on a 1 to 10 scale. Our benchmark for HF was the Drake R8B... The RX-320 was a 9, the PCR1000 was a 5 and the WinRadio a 3. The RX-320 had a totally quiet noise floor while the PCR-1000 was quite noisy and the WinRadio was nearly intolerable. We even grounded both the PCR-1000 and the WinRadio to a proper metal drain pipe outside to not much benefit. To my great surprise the Optocom totally commanded on the vhf-uhf arena. It actually picked up some distant railroad communications that the other WinRadio and PCR-1000 didn't even hear. The WinRadio had an annoying squelch tail I couldn't get rid of, but otherwise had acceptable performance. We all agreed that the WinRadio was a bit better in sensitivity across the board than the PCR-1000, but no match for the Optocom. Certainly the WinRadio beats the PCR-1000 in raw sensitivity and didn't seem as bothered by intermod as the Icom. The Optocom was virtually "Immune" to any intermod and as I checked the scan range of 30-1000mhz, the Optocom only picked up ONE pager image (which is pretty incredible here in the Salt Lake Valley) as we call it RF HELL here. The WinRadio was plagued by intermod in the 40-50mhz, 450-490mhz and 900-950mhz range. The Icom was even worse off then this and in the 450-490mhz range was nearly intolerable. As we have done before, comparing the R8B with the RX-320 was a big surprise. While one would expect the Drake to run all over the TenTec, "it didn't". Anything the Drake heard, so did the TenTec and for that matter if the TenTec was set to use the sideband, it's stability allowed for a dead lock on a cleaner signal also rivaling the sync detector used in the Drake. Believe me, I have always revered the Drake and will continue to do so, but one must consider the amazing value in the TenTec with it's greater filter choices and increasing selection of software available. For it's price it is a no brainer of a choice. For Vhf-Uhf, clearly the Optocom RULES with no real comparison whatsoever. It is leagues beyond the WR-1500e or the PCR-1000. For some, that may not mean anything so I suppose folks will do what they will. I just couldn't resist doing this comparison with my friends and it certainly did provide some surprises. Take it for what it is worth and just enjoy the hobby.

2308. Re: High Frequency Noise Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: songlake <sin@songlake.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <01bea47c$8f263b60$9e1c883e@tristan>
Source record: 118030
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.lasers,rec.audio.opinion,rec.radio.scanner

thanks i havent laughed so hard for ages.......ive seen cat alarms that are on sale that produce a high feq thats not meant to be heard by humans (my old chap bought one) its meant to torment the crap out of dogs and cats ...funny thing is i can hear it faintly abit like a high pitched whilse but as for animals they still dig up his garden...daft prat ought to dig up the cat nip he planted and then they would stop.(cat nip is like canabis to humans they get stoned wallow in the plants then eat anything) ...hes also got a mole chaser that makes a thump that you can fell the vibration off if you stand over it ....

so has your neighbour got bloody great moles?

2309. Where is MDT Monitor for Windows?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Adam S. Karp" <ASK1@uno.cc.geneseo.edu>
Message-ID: <ziC13.53204$BZ6.38915909@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 118031

I can't seem to access the new site for MDT Monitor for windows. Does anyone have any old copy of the program or a new link? The following is the old link:

http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Facility/7646/

--
-Adam

2310. Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <dtC13.26305$5e2.486891@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 118032
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know of that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks in advance

Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life LOSER

Thanks..

Robert

2311. Question about WFM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <rCC13.26362$5e2.495606@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 118033
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My question is what is WFM used for?? When I search the 800MHZ I can hear a lot of communication under wide fm but not regular fm. Are they using different types of radios/??? Thanks for any help...

Robert

2312. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3746f444.8577771@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 118034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

DX is ,technically,making 'contact' with a station in another Country.

On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:45:00 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Mike Silva wrote:
>>
>> Mother Nature wrote in message <37438215.1DB5FBEE@hotmail.com>...
>> >
>> >
>> >Danny wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Mother Nature wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > Hi from soc.penpals and let me say that all of this technical talk is
>> >> > making me hot......<g>
>> >>
>> >> Well then 350 KHz, AM, FM. Are you butter yet?
>> >>
>> >> Danny
>> >> --
>> >
>> >I'm melting as we speak.....I might just need a cold shower...oops, not
>> >a good idea. I might get a spark and get tingly all over!!!!!!!
>>
>> Finally something good comes from the endless Morse debate!
>>
>> ... resonance, Kirchoff, reactance, transconductance, Thevenin, bipolar ...
>> stay tuned while I get out the electronics dictionary...
>>
>> Mike
>Don't foget Spark Gap. For those of you who do not know what DX is; it
>means receiveing a radio/television signal from far away. From far away
>as in you usually do not get a signal from this place. DX can also mean
>how far your siganl is getting out. From everything that I read; if you
>can run just 10 watts, and your siganl is getting out 300 to 400 miles
>then that is better DX then somebody running 1000 watts and doing th
>same thing.
>--
>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2313. Re: For Sale Cobra 2000 Excellent Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokebowl@hempseed.com
Message-ID: <3747043f.4576369@news.flash.net>
Source record: 118035
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

haha.. with a name like pcmedic, you arent technical?

On Sat, 22 May 1999 12:19:25 -0400, "Sam Wolinsky"
<pcmedicnj@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Hi all. I have for sale 1 Cobra 2000 base station CB with the speaker.
>Radio has been modified to cover from 26.815 Mhz to 28.045 Mhz. Radio
>transmits 20+ watts, clarifier has been opened. I have received several
>reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from me.
>I am not real technical so beyond what I have told you, I don't know
>anything else about the radio.
>I would rate this radio as an 8 out of 10. No scratches ect that I was able
>to find. Also included is the original manual, original 1 year warranty
>certificate, copy of service manual.
>From what I have seen and heard, the 2000 is one of the top of the line most
>sought after radios that was ever on the market in its day. After using it
>for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
>Price is $275+ shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order. References
>available upon request.
>Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this
>fine rig.
>
>
>
>
>

\

2314. BC3000XLT & Cell Phone Comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: fido@bow.wow
Message-ID: <37470608.544572@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 118036

The 9000 instructions also work for the 3000, as you'd expect.

Why does this work? Also, how do I know what 800MHz range frequency
I'm listening to?

2315. Re: ICOM 8500 losing sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: gfiber@news.cmc.net
Message-ID: <37461b7d.623178@news.cmc.net>
Source record: 118082

On Thu, 20 May 1999 15:50:32 GMT, robertr@netcom.com (Bob Rodriguez)
wrotf:

>I recently connected an outdoor ICOM discone to the ICOM 8500,
>after previously using the ICOM with various indoor whip and amplified
>antennas with no problems. Everything seemed Ok for about a week until
>a heavy rain (no major lightning though, so shouldn't have had any damage).
>After removing the coax to connect to another radio and then putting it back
>in, signals strengths on VHF (especially FM and TV) are dramatically
>reduced (stations coming in at 7 now get about a 3). I tried again with
>the indoor whips and results were even worse. Ironically, the shortwave section below 30
mhz is fine, though it uses an SO-239 connection instead of N.
>Anyone run into something like this with the ICOM, or am I just getting
>really yucky propagation?

I used to see the center of the N connectors splayed open where users
attempted to install a PL-259 into the N connector. This is a very
common problem on the Icom R-7000 and seen in Icom's service center
very often. Look at the N connector and make sure the center is not
opened where it would not be touching the center pin.

Gary Fiber

Washington State Resident

2316. Re: Any Australian Scanner Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: eric_fre@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7i5ao6tvb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 118083

In article <926834936.672222@www>,
"Laureen Baker" <laureen@tcc-comp.com.au> wrote:
> Hi
>
> If anyone has any Australian scanning frequencies if you could
please
> send them to me,
Look up the ACA!
I think they are www.aca.gov.au or something like that.
They have the frequency register CD on the accesable on the Web.

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2317. Re: Frequency Data base program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michelle Bittner <cicici@gate.net>
Message-ID: <01bea3e6$336dec00$1e0324cf@default>
Source record: 118084
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I use the database in works 4.0 also..setting up the database couldn't be simpler and sorting the frequencies is easy because it offers several sort options. I can sort by location, service, frequency or any other name you give your fields. If you already have works I can't imagine why anyone would invest in another database.

Asmodean <asmo@third.age> wrote in article
<GAh13.2237$hB3.218@news3.atl>...
> That does seem to be a good setup, but remember what Ben said: He needs
a
> *simple* database prog. Works may be simple as far as standard databases
> go, but there are many people out there that could get by fine with a
more
> bare-bones type, which includes most databases designed for scannists. I
> mentioned before that I am trying out Frequency Filer....it needs
> improvement IMO, but it may be just fine for other people. I like your
way
> of thinking though....I may start using Works or even Access myself.
>
> --
>
> Asmo
> KF4YTR
> Charter Member of NO MA'AM
>
>
> Zombie Wolf wrote in message <7i3en3$26b$1@garnet.mint.net>...
> >I am always amazed that people don`t just use Microsoft Works for this
> >purpose. I have been using ti for years here, and it serves the purpose
> >admirably. I have had the same databases through 4 different versions of
> >Works, and they all still work just fine, although I am now using Works
> 4.5.
> >(I started out with 2.0)
> >
> >I can save the database in various formats, (DBase III is my all - time
> >favorite). I can access this Database from Visual Basic, using the ADO,
and
> >have a workable database program that uses it in an hour. I can also use
> >that same database in Probe, and I can even import that base into Trak
> Star.
> >
> >If I want to create a base from my Grove CD, I can do the search, then
save

> >the file in comma - delimited text format, and import it directly into
> >Works. I can then save the base in DBase III format. Now that is
> flexibility
> >!
> >
> >Ben <ben@clinton.com> wrote in message
news:7i244rgnt1@news.casema.net...
> >> Hi,
> >>
> >> I'm looking for a simple freq. database program.
> >>
> >> Which programs are you using ?
> >>
> >> Ben.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>
>

2318. FS: BC895 Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: kf5oj@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <37460420.405725@news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 118085

For Sale:
Uniden BC895XLT Trunk Tracker serial number75007439. About 1
year old. No mods. Perfect working condition and near mint physical
condition. Box, Manual and serial cable adapter included for computer
control. $130 plus $5 shipping.

Clifton Turner KF5OJ
2224 B Webster St.
Alexandria, LA 71301-5541
318-442-4734
kf5oj@prodigy.net
kf5oj@juno.com

2319. Re: Frequency Data base program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dmikkelsen@rocketmail.com
Message-ID: <3746092e.4054840@news.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 118086
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 21 May 1999 07:05:38 -0400, "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com>
wrote, with the use of a cucumber dipped in blackberry sauce:

>I am always amazed that people don`t just use Microsoft Works for this
>purpose. I have been using ti for years here, and it serves the purpose
>admirably. I have had the same databases through 4 different versions of
>Works, and they all still work just fine, although I am now using Works 4.5.
>(I started out with 2.0)
>
>I can save the database in various formats, (DBase III is my all - time
>favorite). I can access this Database from Visual Basic, using the ADO, and
>have a workable database program that uses it in an hour. I can also use
>that same database in Probe, and I can even import that base into Trak Star.
>
>If I want to create a base from my Grove CD, I can do the search, then save
>the file in comma - delimited text format, and import it directly into
>Works. I can then save the base in DBase III format. Now that is flexibility
>!

Doesn't works have a limit on the number of rows ? I know Excel dies
at 65,000 or so rows, Access has a many more rows than that ... what
is the limitation on works ?

And yes, I have more than 65,000 freqs before anyone says "why would
that be a problem" :-)

--
Dion! -=DUH#12=- (Y1)
"If you chip your newsreader, you'll get a 10% increase in flaming."

2320. Re: FRS Saves Country Boy in Big City

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3748BC51.63B1@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117737
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

EchoStarr wrote:
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> 37482BC8.39A7@ameritech.net...
> >> >> We both use 500mw units.
> >> >In a car and in stell bulidings?
> >>
> >> never would have guessed it was you RADIOMAN... and what's a stell
> building?
> >STEAL
>
> Oh. I thought it was steel.
Ah what ever buddy. You know what I meant, dude.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2321. Re: Think that gun owners have it bad, Federal agents arrest Scanner Hobbyist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7iah91$t9l$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.clinton

Greg Dean <idean@purdue.edu> wrote in message
news:3748B9EC.6EB1A288@purdue.edu...
> Bill Cheek and Keith Knipschild Arrested
>
> April 2, 1999
>
> John T. Ward posted an article on USENET about the following article
> which appeared in
> the Friday, April 2 issue of NY Newsday newspaper, page A31.
>
Yes, this is undoubtedly the same John T Ward who works at Uniden, and was instrumental in getting the latest round of restrictions slapped on us .
Have you read the text of the latest Anti - Scanner Bill ? He is mentioned prominently in it. Maybe you should read that.

This is hardly news, it has been posted at least 20 times, and there are at least three web sites I can think of where you can read it as well.

2322. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA? Or is it really John Mackey selling licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7iah92$t9l$2@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

Not only that, but she is going to tell them about his MULTIPLE ANUSES !

Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:7ia2p7$98e$0@208.207.68.71...
> On Sat, 22 May 1999 21:53:19 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>
> >he wife may
> >always volunteer to turn to give evidence & act as a witness against him
> >to save herself (she
>
>
> Also, early internet reports, from many on the newsgroups, state that
> John Mackey's mother may turn to give evidence & act as a witness
> against him in the recent accusations about John Mackey falsifying
> VE test scores in exchange for money from applicants.

2323. Re: John Mackey falsifying VE test scores in exchange for money from applicants??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7iahg0tad1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

Could he be low enough to walk under a snail and not disturb a hair on his flat head ? NO sweat ! His forehead ends right where his one continuous eyebrow is, and goes straight back. Picture that. His local nickname is "Superfly"......

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message news:%p023.84$Q%5.15150@news.uswest.net...
> Found this on the net today......
>
> -----------start--------------
>
> Also, early internet reports, from many on the newsgroups, state that
> John Mackey's mother may turn to give evidence & act as a witness
> against him in the recent accusations about John Mackey falsifying
> VE test scores in exchange for money from applicants.
>
> -------------end---------------
>
> Anybody know about this?
>
> I know John has made many false claims about many things but do you
believe
> he would really take money to pass people?
>
> John has lied on the net about his license status and other things but
would
> he stoop this low?
>
> What's the story???
>
>

2324. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3748CB0A.64AB@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Lethal Lextor wrote:
>
> On Sun, 23 May 1999 13:36:25 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>
> >Barry O'Grady wrote in message <3747ea47.11930779@genenews.acay.com.au>...
> >>RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> <<plonk>>
> >He points out - correctly - that it is illegal (in the USA) "to modify your
> >scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may no longer
> >lawfully modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular frequencies.
> >Practically unenforceable, but it is the law.
>
> My bc895xlt gets cellular, 854.300. Is it illegal?
>
> >
Why would that frequency be illegal? The base side of a cell phone
frequency, in the States is between 869.0000 to 8944.0000 Megacycles.
Now, the interesting thing is that alot of the older TV sets could tune
past channel 69, well guess what folks. That means that those TV sets
couls tune in these calls even though the sets were designed to recieve
the audio frequency of the TV station even though the frequency of a TV
station signal is much wider
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2325. Re: So. Cal Disney Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3748CB56.7EF9@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117742

Syderco417 wrote:
>
> hey try http://www.socal911.com for the lastes on Amusement park freqs I Have Myself
> posted some of my favorite freqs there Icludeing Disney

--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2326. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3748CBA2.58CE@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117743
Cross-posted to: ssoc.penpalsrec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> In article <3745E425.1FDF@ameritech.net>, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> <URL:mailto:theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> > Since when do TV station broadcast in one colo(u)r as the name
> > Monochrome would imply. Heck, even Black and White is two colo(u)rs.
>
> "Monochrome" refers to the CRT having a single electron-beam gun and
> a single-color phosphor on the CRT's screen.
>
> Mono = single.
>
> Depending upon the CRT's grid voltage, the beam+phosphor can create dozens
> and dozens shades of grey.
>
> Jeff KH6O
> --
> Fingerprint Classification Services, Inc.: Your own personal copy
> of your fingers' inked impressions, classified utilizing the Henry
> classification formula (with FBI extensions), $15 + postage.

--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
```

2327. Re: New RadioShack 2m Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <PC423.34915$5e2.599837@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117744
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio,phl.scanner

They don't sell what people don't buy.

OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message

> How come the Sshack does not sell 6 meter or 33 centimeter stuff?

2328. Re: Question about WFM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3748CCA9.2A11@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117745
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.ssscanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

Ryan T. Faricy wrote:
>
> Robert wrote in message ...
> >Try cellular. I haven't listened but a friend of a friend has...
>
> As far as I know around here, cellular is Narrow FM.
>
> As Eric stated, some AM/FM radio stations have remote links, which use 900
> MHz frequencies and WFM. So that's another use of WFM I forgot to mention
> before.
As far as I know, here in the States, Wide Frequency Modulation is used
for Television and F.M. radio. Although since the the goverment
mandated that all the old tv stations sign off of the air by 2006 so
that everybody switches to High Defition TV; I do not know if they will
use WFM. I wonder if the TV industry paid Congress to lobby for this
piece of stupid legislation
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2329. POLISH FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3748CD14.29B8@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio,scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Does anybody have any frequencies for Poland?
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2330. Re: FRS Saves Country Boy in Big City

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <E3239DFF44BBBF7A.330E803AE9087246.C619E235240FD8C8@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117747
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What the heck is wrong with your news posts?
Evertime you post, everything is in big text and if we follow
it up, our posts will be in big text too?!?

2331. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <3D4DA251E274AD84.DE51226880DFB5DA.AB1742140A304B6F@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Oh really?
Hehehe, so much for the other HAM's then as they are outnumbered. :)

> Sorry to tell ya but the majority of Hams are no code techs.

2332. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <09AE7105DE2659E5.87707AECDCC11898.4AC288D8D4E83EF3@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117749

Sure we have a right to throw stones at the marchers if the marchers insult us and our uniforms and taunt us, while insisting that we learn to play their useless Xylophones if we also want to become marchers, while we have synthesizers which will entertain the audience much better. ;)

> I'm an old ham, and I'm anything but cliquish or elitist. I _do_ get
> tired of folks whining about cliquish old hams instead of becoming new
> hams and making some much-needed changes and contributions to the hobby.
> If you ain't part of the parade, you have no right to sit on the
> sidewalk and throw stones at the marchers.

2333. Re: New BC-245???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: gho <ru@ghnet.com>
Message-ID: <3748DA4F.D92BF03F@ghnet.com>
Source record: 117750

What is DPL/DCS??

James A. Schnoor wrote:

> Wouldn't it be great if the BC-780XLT has DPL/DCS?

2334. Re: So. Cal Disney Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3748F640.7FAF@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117751

retailmerch@earthlink.net wrote:
>
> Hello everyone,
>
> Anybody have the Southern Cal Disney Freq. Numbers to share. Had them
> before but lost them when moved to new city.
>
> Any help would greatly be appreciated.
>
> dbkb

Check out the following URL:

http://www.oitc.com:80/DIsney/Disneyland/DL_Freqs.html

2335. Re: Trunk Freqs Portland/Vancouver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3748F83C.133E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117752

mao wrote:
>
> Anyone have UPDATED truck freqs for the Portland/
> Vancouver area?

Check out the following URLs:

http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/or.html
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/or.html

http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/wa.html
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/wa.html

2336. Regency MR-10 Monitoradio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Dues <mdues@coax.net>
Message-ID: <37488B12.26A29808@coax.net>
Source record: 117753

I purchased a old Regency MR-10 Monitoradio at the Dayton Hamvention. If anyone has any information on this radio that they would like to share with me I would greatly appreciate it.

2337. So. Cal Disney Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: retailmerch@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3748527E.F8B6A2C9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 117787

Hello everyone,

Anybody have the Southern Cal Disney Freq. Numbers to share. Had them before but lost them when moved to new city.

Any help would greatly be appreciated.

dbkb

2338. Re: ICOM 8500 losing sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: johng@comm.mot.com
Message-ID: <johng-ya02408000R2305991402540001@nntp.cig.mot.com>
Source record: 117788

Another common problem is where people don't put the N male connector
together properly and have the center pin sticking too far out from the
shell. This also results in damage to the center of the female connector.If
the contacts break off, this can result in a small "air gap attenuator."

In article <37461b7d.623178@news.cmc.net>, gfiber@news.cmc.net wrote:
> I used to see the center of the N connectors splayed open where users
> attempted to install a PL-259 into the N connector. This is a very
> common problem on the Icom R-7000 and seen in Icom's service center
> very often. Look at the N connector and make sure the center is not
> opened where it would not be touching the center pin.
>
>
> Gary Fiber
>
> Washington State Resident

--
John Gilbert
johng@comm.mot.com

2339. RedShift Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <Jack@HighDesert.com>
Message-ID: <37485AE9.4A636B81@HighDesert.com>
Source record: 117789

Anyone out there using the RedShift Software for the CE-232?

Jack

2340. icom p2at

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kf4plp@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7i9ppdodr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117790

if anyone has the manual for the icom p2at, and could PLEASE scan it in the computer and e-mail me a copy, i wouLD GREATLY appreciate the kindness of a you! I just bought the rig, and no nothing about it...thanks...you can send it to me via my e-mail address at kf4plp@yahoo.com

thanks again!!!

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2341. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: holygoat <holygoat@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7i9nlj$mn3$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117791
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm just a lurker in this fine NG hoping to learn a bit about pirate radio, but I suspect the answer to your below querry may have something to do with insulting and irrelevent comments in your original post. Perhaps if you had just bothered to ask your question without the preemptive attitude it would have been much easier for someone to just answer it. But that's just one loser's opinion...

HG

Why is it so hard for
> someone to just answer the question that was asked? I give up...
> Well thanks again...
> Robert

2342. Calif Frequency Directories from Mobile Radio Resources.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Stevenson <BStevenson@zyan.com>
Message-ID: <3748480B.57007D41@zyan.com>
Source record: 117792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks to everybody who replied to my message.

I will contact MRR tomorrow.

Brad.

RDK wrote:
>
> You may write or call Mobile Radio Resources
>
> Their Phone number is 408-269-5814 voice and 408-269-5811 fax
> At present MRR only publishes frequency guides for california.
> They do offer a disc only for Nevada. For more info give them
> a call during business hours M-F. Good Luck
--

Ed Tobiasz wrote:
>
> Mobile Radio Resources, Bob Kelty, can be reached only by mail
> or telephone. Bob Kelty does not believe in e-mail.
>
> Robert Kelty
> Mobile Radio Resources
>
> Home: 408-269-5814
> Fax: 408-269-5811
>
> 1224 Madrona Avenue
> San Jose, CA 95125-3547
> Callsiqn: WA6GEL
>
> Regards
>
> Ed

Harry Marnell wrote:
>
> Brad -
>
> I just bought a freq disk from Mobile Radio Resources two weeks ago.
> Bob Kelty has had GREAT products for years. Includes input & output
> freqs & PL's, unit numbering systems, transmitter sites...the works.
> IMHO the best directories out for Calif police/fire/govment/EMS
> scanning.

> Don't see anything listed for Nevada on his 5/1 price schedule though.
>
> His current "Government Radio Systems" products include a number of
> regional printed directories for Calif - Golden Gate Region, Central
> Coast, North Coast, Sacto Valley, San Joaquin Valley, Southland
> (LA & Orange Cos), Inland-Border, CA State Agencies, "Golden Gate
> Industry Radio Systems," Military Radio Systems, CA Trunked Radio
> Systems
>
> On floppy in WP he has about the same stuff, plus Federal Radio
> Systems - Calif.
>
> The printed versions run between $12 - $18; on disk they vary from
> $15-$25, and up to $150 for the "Statewide 58 counties"
>
> He also sells some Antenex & Diamond antennas.
>
> NO email or web site, but FAX is 408-269-5811; he can also receive
> INCOMING FAXES ONLY at kelty@tcomeng.com
>
> (I have no connection with him...just a long-time customer/contributor).
>
> Harry Marnell, N6URU
>
> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

2343. Re: Antenna Brand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Singer" <deltacom@bwn.net>
Message-ID: <3748643F.EDC5B86A@bwn.net>
Source record: 117793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Todd,
I have 2 800 MHz yagis for sale, one is a motorola commercial grade antenna with N connector, the other is a Larsen I think, with an N connector. If you are interested in either let me know by email.
Thanks,
Gerry

Todd wrote:
>
> Hi All,
>
> I'm in the market for a 800mhz directional yagi and am deciding
> between the following brands:
>
> Larsen, Comtelco, Antenex, and Cushcraft
>
> Any recommendations as to the 'best' brand? Please post replies to
> the group, no e-mail..
>
> Thanks!!

--

Gerry Singer KC0CAT
Regional Director W.A.R.N. Weather Awareness Resource Network
Loc:40 09 38N 103 12 50W Grid Square DN80jd N/E Colorado
Pro 2042 W/Optocom 535 MX7000 Pro67 Pro2004 DX390 W/MFJ 784
R5000 W/MFJ VersaTunerII Azden PCS2000 HTX-242 Alinco DJ191
Icom H16 and U16 Yaesu VX 5R President Lincoln Grant XL
.....and lots of antennas!
Scanner,Weather and Ham Radio web site
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/5137

2344. WTB: Grove ANT-8 Telescopic Whip BNC antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7i9og4$q64$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117794

Will pay top dollar for the older ANT-8 (not the newer version with spring coil) with the BNC for handheld scanners. Thanks.

2345. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: olympus@SPAMLESSjimdee.prestel.co.uk
Message-ID: <3748440b.5264931@news.prestel.co.uk>
Source record: 117795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

On Sun, 23 May 1999 13:36:25 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

>He points out - correctly - that it is illegal (in the USA) "to modify your
>scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may no longer
>lawfully modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular frequencies.
>Practically unenforceable, but it is the law.

I don't suppose the law worries many people, any more
than it does here.

--
Regards, Jim. | Gouverner, c'est choisir.
olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk |
dynastic%cwcom.net | - Duc de L�vis 1764-1830
nordland%lineone.net |
marula%zdnetmail.com |
Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371

2346. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <374949a6.3770338@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 117796
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote:

>Just as I had anticipated. Mostly everyone telling me that its illegal. I
>know its illegal. Did I ask to purchase one? Did I say I wanted one made for
>me? No. I simply asked if there was one out there. Why is it so hard for
>someone to just answer the question that was asked? I give up...
>Well thanks again...

I answered your question, you feeble-minded twit. Sorry you didn't like
the answer I gave you.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2347. Re: Question about WFM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7i9oke$q0v$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117797
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Gawd.

WFM (Wide FM) is used by FM radio broadcasters and Television broadcasters, because it takes more bandwidth to transmit high - fidelity audio.It is much wider than NFM (NARROW FM), which is about 5 KHZ wide, since it only has to accomodate voice and Data signals.

Tomorrow, we learn how to turn on the power switch.

2348. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374868CB.F82195CF@usa.net>
Source record: 117798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Glenn, do you enjoy having an audience to make an ass of yourself?

Glenn wrote:
stupidity snpped, nothing else left

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2349. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37486934.8D226612@usa.net>
Source record: 117799

I never said it was case progress, I simply said it was a possibility that he must face.

What prompted you to mis-understand that when every one else did
understand correctly?

allsafe wrote:

> What evidence prompted you to spew that distasteful guess at the case's progress?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2350. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7i9p9j$q3g$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

You din`t quite get ALL the ignorant statements, I can still see yours !

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:3747AC16.27ABB6CC@usa.net...
> Glenn, Bill's level is already sub-basement, how could it go any lower?
>
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> ignorant statements snipped, which left NOTHING from Glenn!
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2351. Re: Voice Activated Recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerhead@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7i9sn5qcd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117801

In article <7hpbmu$nem@news.dx.net>,
"viper" <viper@csolution.com> wrote:
> Hello,
> I have been trying to connect one of my scanners to a voice
activated
> tape recorder. Every time I set it to record the slight buzz sound
from the
> scanner makes it record continuously. Anyone know how to stop this???
>
> Jeff Palmer
>
> I had the same problem. The buzz from the audio amp in your scanner is just loud enough to set the record in motion. I had a crappy set of head phones lying around with a removeable cord that has an in line volume control and an 1/8 inch plug at each end. I plug one end of the cord in to the scanner and the other in to my VOR. Set the volume on the scanner to about half way and then adust the inline volume control on the cord just low enough so that the recorder does not go off when there is no signal present. Works pretty well. I checked the Rat Shack catologue and noticed that they sell a headphone volume control cord for $5 that should do the same thing.

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2352. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7i9q7f$t66@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

In <374868CB.F82195CF@usa.net> jmackey@usa.net writes:
>
>Glenn, do you enjoy having an audience to make an ass of yourself?

say Butt-fuzz

I sent a copy of your original
post to a few who are in that
business of what they do best:
do it to people like you.

get this you ass-end of nowhere fast

if bill ever has to ask for assistance
for his legal defense fund, all he has
to do is ask. I'll be the first to get
him what I can. and i'll bet hundreds
of NGers and more will too.

get this runt end of a gnat-fecaling

try asking people to help you with
money for your defense fund.

think about it square one. Minus one.
big zero.

I don't even think your mama will
strive to do it.

how many of us will contribute to
help Bill and how many of us won't
with you. THIMK.

best you really think this over and
then just blow away. by job and by
job and by job.

bill conduit
etc.

2353. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374873D7.9484EB02@usa.net>
Source record: 117803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Yea, you're right, your message is still here too!

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> You din`t quite get ALL the ignorant statements, I can still

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2354. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37487442.A64E1464@usa.net>
Source record: 117804

J. MacKenzie wrote:

> allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
> > All things *may* come to pass. Aliens may transport Federal
> >Officials investigating this case to an orbit around Pluto. That
>
> Or Cheek might be transported to an orbit around Uranus.

I'm sure allsafe would LOVE that!!!!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2355. For Sale Cobra 2000 Excellent Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam Wolinsky <pcmedicnj@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7i9ro8$7mu$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117805
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi all. I have for sale 1 Cobra 2000 base station CB with the speaker. Radio has been modified to cover from 26.815 Mhz to 28.045 Mhz. Radio transmits 20+ watts, clarifier has been opened. I have received several reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from me. I am not real technical so beyond what I have told you, I don't know anything else about the radio.
I would rate this radio as an 8 out of 10. No scratches ect that I was able to find. Also included is the original manual, original 1 year warranty certificate, copy of service manual.
From what I have seen and heard, the 2000 is one of the top of the line most sought after radios that was ever on the market in its day. After using it for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
Price is $275+ shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order. References available upon request.
Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this fine rig.

2356. Re: Info on Dolphin Tetra anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: duncan@g8knf.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <37486f87.2532488@news.globalnet.co.uk>
Source record: 117806
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

On Fri, 21 May 1999 19:22:04 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Woody wrote:
>>
>> In article <Zut_2.6565$4S.4507420@WReNphoon3>, pedwards@talk21.com
>> writes
>> >Just saw a Tetra radio system from Dolphin at an exhibition. It looks like
>> >a stripped-down version of PSRPC (Quadrant).
>> >
>> >Anyone know anything about it? Will it be cheap? Secure?
>> >
>> >Paul Edwards
>> >
>> >Still dreading PSRPC and its effect on scanner residual values :-(
>>
>> Maybe it is - both are made by Nokia AFAIK.
>>
>> And its PSRCP - Publice Safety Radio Communications Project.

>Is that the same as the APCO 25 project in the States?

Yes. Although TETRA was planned as a european standard it is being
sold worldwide. I think Israel already have a system up and running.

Duncan
E17

2357. Re: New BC-245???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <374877FD.73735508@gnc.net>
Source record: 117807

I've had a difficult time finding sources of detailed
info on this radio. The best place for info so far
has been this link:

http://www.advdig.com/bc245.html

They also seem to have the best price on it. It is expected
to be available for shipping early July '99. I don't have any
affiliation with this company and haven't ordered anything
from them yet. I have heard (rumors) that there will be
a base version of this radio also (just about guarantee that).

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

mao wrote:

> When is it coming out and what new features????
> Also.. Is there going to be a newer BC-895XLT???

2358. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: hnlute@optcamel.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11b218e03cb6e79a989691@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117808
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <374844b1.22691983@news.ne.mediaone.net>, rockit40@hotmail.com says...
> On Sun, 23 May 1999 06:46:32 -0700, hnlute@optcamel.com (Howard N.
> >The answer is no mfr...but natural and un-natural electrical NOISE is by
> >it's nature, broadband. Have you ever used one of those cheap adjustable
> >light switches next to your TV? You'd SWEAR it was a perfect broadband
> >noise source! Since transmission of this type of signal would require a
> >rather bizarre antenna structure (!) even the CIA MIGHT have found this
> >technique interesting in certain situations, I don't know what would
> >carry the antenna if it was mobile! A Tesla coil COULD be broadband
> >enough if constructed to do that particular job...but I wouldn't want to
> >be around one. Maybe in the surplus pile at the Groom Lake facility...8-)
> >Hope this little dissertation helped.
> >friend,
> >Lute
> >--
> >
> I've heard some cool stuff about Tesla coils, how they can erase
> computer disks in over a block's radius, and other wierd stuff. Is
> that true? What else have you heard?
> -rockit40
>
>
Hi, as an electronics instructor in a local high school I've seen and operated MANY Tesla coils, some were magnificent...others, well not so good. They are a premier noise source! As far as erasing magnetic media, I've not seen that or even heard of it so I doubt it's so...nonetheless they really crank out the voltage and the EMF to match. Build one and find out!
friend,
Lute
--

Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
****
e-mail to: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
****
Optimum Camel Companies, Ltd.
Howard & Kelly Lute
402 Marina Blvd.
Suisun City, CA 94585
Tele:707-428-4787

LSF 3610
****
Our Family Web Site:
http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/camel.html
****
Lute's Pirate Radio Romp Web Page:
http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/camel2.html
****
e-mail to: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
****

2359. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <374c7f55.3832511@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117809

On Sun, 23 May 1999 13:36:25 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

>Barry O'Grady wrote in message <3747ea47.11930779@genenews.acay.com.au>...
>>RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
<<plonk>>
>He points out - correctly - that it is illegal (in the USA) "to modify your
>scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may no longer
>lawfully modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular frequencies.
>Practically unenforceable, but it is the law.

My bc895xlt gets cellular, 854.300. Is it illegal?

>

2360. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: zenith #1 <peitsch@concentric.net>
Message-ID: <7i9vf7$2vt@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 117810

No, actually it's not legal to listen to, be it actual frequency or an
image. Even if your scanner can receive cell freqs it's still not legal.

Lethal Lextor wrote in message <374c7f55.3832511@enews.newsguy.com>...
>On Sun, 23 May 1999 13:36:25 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>
>>Barry O'Grady wrote in message <3747ea47.11930779@genenews.acay.com.au>...
>>>RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
><<plonk>>
>>He points out - correctly - that it is illegal (in the USA) "to modify
your
>>scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may no longer
>>lawfully modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular
frequencies.
>>Practically unenforceable, but it is the law.
>
>My bc895xlt gets cellular, 854.300. Is it illegal?
>
>>
>

2361. Re: 154.8300 no longer HotShot LAPD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard W Cowgill <lakers34@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <01bea508$f6c0bb60$19d6b3d1@default>
Source record: 117811

Desdave...

No..you're pretty much on the money....technically, per our manual, HOTSHOTS are considered priority one calls which are given a code of "2 High or above" (only thing left is code 3 obviously) - They are indeed in progress calls (we like to keep 'em to felonies) and certainly any call posing a threat to life such as a very bad traffic collision etc. Pursuits, assistances, help calls and the like are considered "officer emergencies" but are broadcasted pretty much the same way hotshots are which I've explained below.

Priority one calls go out on area group selects, along with tac 1 and the new Air/K-9 freq. (484.7125). Group select is a term that deals with which frequencies a call will go out on depending on the division it's happening in. For example, if a priority one call is going out on Southwest Division's group select, that would mean it would also be put out on Wilshire/Pacific, Rampart/Northeast - Central/CTD, West L.A./WTD, Newton/Hollenbeck, and 77th (most of the basin!). This is done because at least one of the divisions on the above freqs touches Southwest Division which borders the 10 fwy on the north, Vernon Ave. on the south, Figueroa on the east, and La Cienega to the west. On the other hand, if a priority one, or "hotshot" call goes out on West Valley, it would only be group selected on Van Nuys, and Devonshire/VTD since only those areas touch it.

Hope this helps..

Rich Cowgill
PSR-BCC
LAPD

Desdave <desdave@aol.com> wrote in article
<19990522081400.16497.00003454@ng-cn1.aol.com>...
> > What exactly is a "hotshot" call?
>
> *In Progress Calls.
>
> *Calls that would benifit from assistance from an air unit or k9 unit.
>
> *Pursuits
>
> *Officer needs help
>
> Hey guy from BCC, did I leave anything out?
>
>
> {~}:-l desdave@aol.com::::Got a Wife in Chino!::::desdave@aol.com

```
l-:{~}
>
>
```

2362. Re: FRS Saves Country Boy in Big City

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <37488e21.3084542@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 117812
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

STEEL!!!!!!

On Sun, 23 May 1999 12:24:40 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>EchoStarr wrote:
>>
>> OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>> 37474A52.202@ameritech.net...
>> >K5pf wrote:
>>
>> >> We both use 500mw units.
>> >In a car and in stell bulidings?
>> >--
>> >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
>>
>> never would have guessed it was you RADIOMAN... and what's a stell building?
>STEAL
>--
>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2363. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA? Or is it really John Mackey selling licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7ia2p7$98e$0@208.207.68.71>
Source record: 117813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Sat, 22 May 1999 21:53:19 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>he wife may
>always volunteer to turn to give evidence & act as a witness against him
>to save herself (she

Also, early internet reports, from many on the newsgroups, state that
John Mackey's mother may turn to give evidence & act as a witness
against him in the recent accusations about John Mackey falsifying
VE test scores in exchange for money from applicants.

2364. Re: For Sale Cobra 2000 Excellent Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <37488f67.3410680@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 117814
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

How many times are you going to sell this radio?

On Sun, 23 May 1999 17:24:34 -0400, "Sam Wolinsky"
<pcmedicnj@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Hi all. I have for sale 1 Cobra 2000 base station CB with the speaker.
>Radio has been modified to cover from 26.815 Mhz to 28.045 Mhz. Radio
>transmits 20+ watts, clarifier has been opened. I have received several
>reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from me.
>I am not real technical so beyond what I have told you, I don't know
>anything else about the radio.
>I would rate this radio as an 8 out of 10. No scratches ect that I was able
>to find. Also included is the original manual, original 1 year warranty
>certificate, copy of service manual.
>From what I have seen and heard, the 2000 is one of the top of the line most
>sought after radios that was ever on the market in its day. After using it
>for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
>Price is $275+ shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order. References
>available upon request.
>Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this
>fine rig.
>
>
>
>
>

2365. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <2h023.81$Q%5.16237@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Don't worry you have the market to yourself John-Bowl.

You prove it 12 times today already.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:374868CB.F82195CF@usa.net...
> Glenn, do you enjoy having an audience to make an ass of yourself?
>
>
> Glenn wrote:
> stupidity snpped, nothing else left
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2366. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Ei023.82$Q%5.16704@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117816

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37486934.8D226612@usa.net...
> I never said it was case progress, I simply said it was a possibility that
he must face.
>
> What prompted you to mis-understand that when every one else did
> understand correctly?

I agree with allsafe and would bet that all but you and your made up
characters on this NG would also agree.

Grab your jar John and go wash a windshield.

>
> allsafe wrote:
>
> > What evidence prompted you to spew that distasteful guess at the case's
progress?
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2367. John Mackey falsifying VE test scores in exchange for money from applicants??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <%p023.84$Q%5.15150@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

Found this on the net today......

----------start----------

Also, early internet reports, from many on the newsgroups, state that
John Mackey's mother may turn to give evidence & act as a witness
against him in the recent accusations about John Mackey falsifying
VE test scores in exchange for money from applicants.

----------end----------

Anybody know about this?

I know John has made many false claims about many things but do you believe
he would really take money to pass people?

John has lied on the net about his license status and other things but would
he stoop this low?

What's the story???

2368. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <33439F61B1BED8EA.422ADE60767E99A6.B8E6AD9EF0A1FC0A@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Funny that you mention people looking down on General class as this is what the majority of HAM's are.
I never want to be anything more than General Class.
My friend is an Extra Class and he has not used Morse code in over 15 years and he thinks coders are a bunch of idiots in this modern day and age.
He doesn't look down on anyone except people who want to be HAM's but never make an effort at all.

2369. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <CA3F24D15C7257E6.483F5D66D594855E.A6799EA5DDEEB096@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No way dude!
Drop the code because it is obsolete, but not the classes!
I do think that the classes need to be redone though.
Tech classes are fine, Novice should be dropped, General
is fine but the Advances and Extra classes need more incentive (as if I were
to ever become and Advanced or Extra).
As a general class, I have no reason to me (other than the honor) to go through the
hell to become an advanced or Extra for the little freq's and extra rights offered.

2370. Re: Woodbrige Mall, NJ Frequecies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ia588ikc1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117820

Woodbridge Center 464.525

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/welcome.htm
Rocky178 <rocky178@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990523200249.11233.00005934@ng-fp1.aol.com...
> Does anybody know the freq's that the Woodbridge mall uses?
>
> Thanks
> Mike

2371. Re: Union County, NJ Frequency Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ia5ap$j2p$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117821

Westfield Vol. Res Squuad 453.025 1MR

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/welcome.htm
BFIFM790 <bfifm790@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990523032713.11361.00002053@ng-fv1.aol.com...
> Hi,
>
>
> I am new to Union County and need help in scanning to police, fire, and
EMS in
> the area. If anyone can help. Please let me know via e-mail.
>
> Thanks

2372. Re: Antenna Brand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Dubenezic <policepatch@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ia5fhjsr1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

www.maxrad.com

--

R Dubenezic

http://home.att.net/~policepatch/welcome.htm
Todd <no-email@spamsux.com> wrote in message
news:37484abe.115992773@news.ntwrld.com...
> Hi All,
>
> I'm in the market for a 800mhz directional yagi and am deciding
> between the following brands:
>
> Larsen, Comtelco, Antenex, and Cushcraft
>
>
> Any recommendations as to the 'best' brand? Please post replies to
> the group, no e-mail..
>
> Thanks!!

2373. Re: FRS Saves Country Boy in Big City

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: EchoStarr <echo-starr@juno.com>
Message-ID: <7ia7qf$2l02$1@newssvr01-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 117823
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
37482BC8.39A7@ameritech.net...
>> >> We both use 500mw units.
>> >In a car and in stell bulidings?
>>
>> never would have guessed it was you RADIOMAN... and what's a stell
building?
>STEAL

Oh. I thought it was steel.

2374. Re: FRS Saves Country Boy in Big City

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: EchoStarr <echo-starr@juno.com>
Message-ID: <7ia7s4$2isk$1@newssvr01-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 117824
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
37482BC8.39A7@ameritech.net...

>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

That spell checker would come in handy right now.

2375. Re: Antenna Brand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <1CD730DEB68FE9D8.DBE51783CC2BD488.3F549A17C0993DD9@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In case you didn't know, Fry's electronics sells Larson YAGI's.

2376. Re: For Sale Cobra 2000 Excellent Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3748B563.1C00CA05@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 117826
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I think he is going to keep advertising it until he gets the idea he is
asking way too much for a "chicken band" radio that hasn't been produced
for several years.

Steven wrote:
>
> How many times are you going to sell this radio?
>
> On Sun, 23 May 1999 17:24:34 -0400, "Sam Wolinsky"
> <pcmedicnj@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Hi all. I have for sale 1 Cobra 2000 base station CB with the speaker.
> >Radio has been modified to cover from 26.815 Mhz to 28.045 Mhz. Radio
> >transmits 20+ watts, clarifier has been opened. I have received several
> >reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from me.
> >I am not real technical so beyond what I have told you, I don't know
> >anything else about the radio.
> >I would rate this radio as an 8 out of 10. No scratches ect that I was able
> >to find. Also included is the original manual, original 1 year warranty
> >certificate, copy of service manual.
> >From what I have seen and heard, the 2000 is one of the top of the line most
> >sought after radios that was ever on the market in its day. After using it
> >for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
> >Price is $275+ shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order. References
> >available upon request.
> >Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this
> >fine rig.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

2377. Re: New BC-245???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Drake <drox@jps.net>
Message-ID: <37492675@news1.jps.net>
Source record: 117827

mao wrote in message <37483387.47213948@prvnet.com>...
>When is it coming out and what new features????
>Also.. Is there going to be a newer BC-895XLT???
>
Most recently was to be shipped in July, but rumor has it possibly not until
Sept/Oct.
Next scanner to be introduced with TrunkTracker2 is allegedly the
replacement to the old 760XLT and at one point was to be named the 780XLT.
Who knows for sure.
760XLT

2378. Re: Info on Dolphin Tetra anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3748BBD5.7D50@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117828
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

duncan@g8knf.freeserve.co.uk wrote:
>
> On Fri, 21 May 1999 19:22:04 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> >Woody wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <Zut_2.6565$4S.4507420@WReNphoon3>, pedwards@talk21.com
> >> writes
> >> >Just saw a Tetra radio system from Dolphin at an exhibition. It looks like
> >> >a stripped-down version of PSRPC (Quadrant).
> >> >
> >> >Anyone know anything about it? Will it be cheap? Secure?
> >> >
> >> >Paul Edwards
> >> >
> >> >Still dreading PSRPC and its effect on scanner residual values :-(
> >>
> >> Maybe it is - both are made by Nokia AFAIK.
> >>
> >> And its PSRCP - Publice Safety Radio Communications Project.
>
> >Is that the same as the APCO 25 project in the States?
>
> Yes. Although TETRA was planned as a european standard it is being
> sold worldwide. I think Israel already have a system up and running.
>
> Duncan
> E17
I was just curious. Since we have the Trunk Tracker, here in the
States, is there a Tetra Tracker for other parts of the world?
Although I fail to see way they put the word Dolphin in the name.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2379. Think that gun owners have it bad, Federal agents arrest Scanner Hobbyist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Dean <idean@purdue.edu>
Message-ID: <3748B9EC.6EB1A288@purdue.edu>
Source record: 117829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.clinton

Bill Cheek and Keith Knipschild Arrested

April 2, 1999

John T. Ward posted an article on USENET about the following article which appeared in
the Friday, April 2 issue of NY Newsday newspaper, page A31.

Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info on Web site

By Hugo Kugiya. STAFF WRITER

The U.S. Secret Service and Nassau County police arrested an unemployed electronics
enthusiast from Kings Park after he intentionally intercepted communication sent and
received by the mobile data terminals installed in police cruisers, federal agents said.

Keith Knipschild, a former NYNEX employee, was arrested Wednesday in his home at 29
Amapola Lane for intercepting information and posting some of it on his Web site, http://www.knip.com, agents said. Police use mobile data terminals to check for outstanding warrants, review driving records and to send emergency information they might not want to broadcast across police scanners, such as details of an ongoing surveillance or a planned
search.

An affidavit from a federal agent found that Knipschild's Web site had 93 pages of
police transmissions from March 12, which included criminal histories and warrants,
motor-vehicle checks, medical information about victims, and witness statements. Nassau
police confirmed the information was transmitted by police on that day. Investigators say
a confidential source led them to Knipschild, who could not be reached for comment yesterday.

Federal investigators said three satellite dishes, ranging in size from 18 inches to
12 feet in diameter, as well as a 40-foot radio tower were discovered on Knipschild's property. Calls to federal authorities

seeking comment were not returned yesterday. Details about the disposition of the case were not available.

Federal documents indicate Knipschild's Web site includes information of interest to electronic enthusiasts, with links to Web sites about scanners, satellites and dishes, and amateur radio.

Federal investigators said Knipschild used an illegal electronic device to intercept police communications. In a related case, the Secret Service have arrested a San Diego couple, Bill and Cindy Cheek, on a New York warrant charging they sold such illegal devices on the Internet to intercept police communications. The Secret Service said one of its undercover agents purchased a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to New York. Details were not readily available yesterday.

Mobile data terminals send and receive information by radio frequencies, which are encoded into a digital or binary format, one that is not available to the public. Furthermore, these terminals are password-protected.

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/newsflsh.htm

2380. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3748BB0F.6778@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

D Stark wrote:
>
> Barry O'Grady wrote in message <3747ea47.11930779@genenews.acay.com.au>...
> >RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >
> >:Radio911 wrote:
> >:>
> >:> I opened my paper (San Diego Union-Tribune) this morning and there it
> >:>is...page
> >
> >How do you get a San Diego Union-Tribune in Australia?
>
> >:> to modify your scanner to monitor cell calls (ILLEGAL, guys), don't
> >
> >It is not illegal to sell or own a scanner capable of receiving cellular
> >phones.
>
> You really should READ this stuff before you reply to it.
>
> He points out - correctly - that it is illegal (in the USA) "to modify your
> scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may no longer
> lawfully modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular frequencies.
> Practically unenforceable, but it is the law.
The law dates back to 1986. It is called The Electronic Communications
Privacy Act of 1986, which was passed through Congress thanks to the
Cell phone lobbiests. Hey as long as people use Cell phones to make
drug deals; I guess that it is okay, huh One radio device is frowned on
and the other isn't
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2381. Relm handheld 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Drake <drox@jps.net>
Message-ID: <37492798@news1.jps.net>
Source record: 117831

Does anyone happen to know the IC # or ID and pin out for a discriminator tap for the Relm 200? My local scanner store does but is reluctant to tell me as they want $50 to do it for me. Opened mine up but could not find any of the chips from the well known list on the PCB.

2382. Re: FRS Saves Country Boy in Big City

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3748BCBC.4402@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117832
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

EchoStarr wrote:
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> 37482BC8.39A7@ameritech.net...
>
> >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
>
> That spell checker would come in handy right now.
Wells it bes works likee this son. I ain'ts ggot no spell checker.
Although at one time; I did have a grammer checker on a 5 1/4 disk.
The bad thing is that nobody makes these with computers now-a-days.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2383. Re: New RadioShack 2m Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3748BDC7.115A@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117833
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio,phl.scanner

Dave McCormick wrote:
>
> Anyone else see the new RadioShack 2m Amateur radio that is the same body as
> the Cherokee FR-465? It's on page 23 (bottom right) of the May flier. It's
> also on the RadioShack web page:
> http://www.radioshack.com/Products/Flyers99/specials.asp
> check it out.
>
> 200mW with 2AA batteries or 2 Watts with 9VDC external supply.
>
> Interesting...you wanna bet the next one will be a 70cm version?
>
> New FRS models on page 22.
>
> Dave K9DV
How come the Sshack does not sell 6 meter or 33 centimeter stuff?

--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2384. Re: Something to think about...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3748BEFB.10CB@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117834
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

Sylvan Butler wrote:
>
> K5pf (k5pf@aol.comPaul) on 23 May 1999 03:07:00 GMT wrote:
> >2. For longer range communication, it's hard to beat the pocket cell phones
> >available today. My wife's phone slips in her purse, costs $14/month, and she
> >doesn't have to listen to any chatter between calls. The phones work anywhere
> >in the USA, and someone does all the maintenance on the equipment and antennas.
>
> They have definite advantages. But I must take strong issue with the
> "works anywhere in the USA." I go 10minute drive away from home and
> am in intermittent cell availability (even with a bag phone). I drive
> to my parents, or they here about 10 times per year. If I go via the
> highway I have cell service for 3/4 of the trip. If I go the shorter
> and more scenic route I have cell service only about 1/2 way. Almost
> nowhere that I go to hike, dirt bike, or hunt has cell service.
>
> In short, cell phones are great most of the time. But even if you
> had free cell service they wouldn't always be a viable choice.
>
> >For close car-to-car work, or with kids at the amusement park, or for finding
> >my wife in the shopping mall, FRS works great.
>
> >But it takes TWO radios. Otherwise, it will be lonely up there--even on
> >Channel One!
>
> With cell phones it still takes two ends, plus it takes a whole lot of
> stuff in the middle. Maybe it works, maybe it doesn't.
>
> sdb
>
> --
> Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!
> Watch out for munged e-mail address.
> User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
Well then maybe this would solve the problem
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2385. Re: Something to think about...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3748BF1E.41BC@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117835
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>
> Sylvan Butler wrote:
> >
> > K5pf (k5pf@aol.comPaul) on 23 May 1999 03:07:00 GMT wrote:
> > >2. For longer range communication, it's hard to beat the pocket cell phones
> > >available today. My wife's phone slips in her purse, costs $14/month, and she
> > >doesn't have to listen to any chatter between calls. The phones work anywhere
> > >in the USA, and someone does all the maintenance on the equipment and antennas.
> >
> > They have definite advantages. But I must take strong issue with the
> > "works anywhere in the USA." I go 10minute drive away from home and
> > am in intermittent cell availability (even with a bag phone). I drive
> > to my parents, or they here about 10 times per year. If I go via the
> > highway I have cell service for 3/4 of the trip. If I go the shorter
> > and more scenic route I have cell service only about 1/2 way. Almost
> > nowhere that I go to hike, dirt bike, or hunt has cell service.
> >
> > In short, cell phones are great most of the time. But even if you
> > had free cell service they wouldn't always be a viable choice.
> >
> > >For close car-to-car work, or with kids at the amusement park, or for finding
> > >my wife in the shopping mall, FRS works great.
> >
> > >But it takes TWO radios. Otherwise, it will be lonely up there--even on
> > >Channel One!
> >
> > With cell phones it still takes two ends, plus it takes a whole lot of
> > stuff in the middle. Maybe it works, maybe it doesn't.
> >
> > sdb
> >
> > --
> > Do NOT send me unsolicited commercial e-mail (UCE)!
> > Watch out for munged e-mail address.
> > User should be sylvan and host is cyberhighway.net.
> Well then maybe this would solve the problem:
http://www.satphone.net/iridint.htm
> --
> REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2386. Re: New BC-245???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <7i9qmngso1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117836

Wouldn't it be great if the BC-780XLT has DPL/DCS?

2387. Re: Morse code/Trying to reach Hawaii

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <aWGf4CAiB8R3EwOU@virgin.net>
Source record: 117837
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3750e247.4091347@news.lineone.net>, Walt Davidson
<no.junk.mail@thank.you> writes
>On Sat, 22 May 1999 08:43:34 +0100, Keith Bennett
><trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote:
>
>>Hey Jeff, what are the chances of you sending me a Hawaii'an beach
>>shirt?
>
>Probably about the same as the chances of him sending you a QSL card!
Hey, Walt baby! I know what a QSL card is! Used to send them out myself!
LOL

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2388. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <LWeb4EACR8R3EwMk@virgin.net>
Source record: 117838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <3746f444.8577771@news.mindspring.com>, Steven
<stevens@mindspring.com> writes
>DX is ,technically,making 'contact' with a station in another Country.
Okay then, let me see you make 'contact' with Leighton Buzzard station!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2389. Re: Radio Transmitters (2m/70cm bands)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pirate <pirate@primus.com>
Message-ID: <927450847.797703@diddley.primus.com.au>
Source record: 117839
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk

OBI-WAN PEROGI "the wanker" wrote in message
news:374749C5.7625@ameritech.net...
> Possums wrote:
> >
> > I was kinda toying with the idea of getting a hand held uhf cb. Not too
> > sure what I would do with it but I still kinda like the idea of having
one.
> > But I wanted to see what was covered by the 2m/70cm bands.
> >
> > I have seen some ot these in the Dick Smith catalog and they look like
cool
> > little gizmos.. but who uses them and what can you do with them?
> >
> > Any info on this subject would be much appreciated. Thanks!
> Well that is great but what country(ies) does it cover?
> --
> REMBER LUKE, OBI-WAN PEROGI WILL ALWAYS BE A WANKER;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN HE IS USING THE NEWS GROUPS. BURP

2390. Re: Radio Transmitters (2m/70cm bands)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pr0tocol@Punkass.com
Message-ID: <3748c723.735942@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 117840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

At roughly Sat, 22 May 1999 20:20:21 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> let loose the following:

>> I was kinda toying with the idea of getting a hand held uhf cb. Not too
>> sure what I would do with it but I still kinda like the idea of having one.
>> But I wanted to see what was covered by the 2m/70cm bands.
Without sounding patronising the bands (and the sets) of which you
speak are intended for radio hams, to use it you first need to pass a
test and apply for a license / callsign, the course that leads up to
this is always good fun and you get to learn a bit more about radio
communications..

>> I have seen some ot these in the Dick Smith catalog and they look like cool
>> little gizmos.. but who uses them and what can you do with them?
Radio amateurs use them for talking (usually) fairly locally (these
bands are more or less limited to LOS) however under certain
circumstances you can talk a little further afield, many of the sets
have an extended recieve with similar coverage to a scanner (vhf/uhf
two way radio etc..)

>> Any info on this subject would be much appreciated. Thanks!
I hope this helps you

2391. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: la8ak@online.no
Message-ID: <374726ee.957118759@news.online.no>
Source record: 117841
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:54:29 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Since when do TV station broadcast in one colo(u)r as the name
>Monochrome would imply. Heck, even Black and White is two colo(u)rs.
>
no, you are wrong!
Black is absense of any colour, but white is at least 3 colours

2392. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7i8j28lka2@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37472885.311DE01@usa.net...
> It's all true.
>
> He was arrested, much equipment was confiscated, & he was imprisoned
> until he
> made bail. He now faces federal charges & possible state charges.

Like a sucker fish, the Looney - Tune once again rises to the bait ....

2393. scanner permitted in Spain ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: edwin+usenet@cs.uu.nl
Message-ID: <slrn7kfkrm.3ki.edwin+usenet@magic.cs.uu.nl>
Source record: 117843

Hi,

I'm wondering if scanner listening is permitted in Spain. I would like to
bring my Yupiteru MVT-9000 this summer while visiting Spain.

Thanks for your time.

--
Edwin H. Kremer, senior systems- and network administrator. <edwin@cs.uu.nl>
Dept. of Computer Science, Utrecht University, The Netherlands [WHOIS: ehk3]
-------------------- http://www.cs.uu.nl/people/edwin/ ----------------------

2394. VEND AR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: JB <trayvou@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <7i8kc1hlo1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 117844

Vend AR3000A Tous mode sans trou .Encore sous garantie 10 mois avec soft + cable PC + emballage neuf (cause double emploi)

Prix : 5000 FF

trayvou@wanadoo.fr

2395. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@mail.blinx.de>
Message-ID: <3747DF80.94207509@mail.blinx.de>
Source record: 117845
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert wrote:

> This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
> be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
> sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know of
> that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
> transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks
> in advance

Get a (professional) measuring transmitter wich covers the range you want and
wich gives you all the types of modulation you need and add some
frequency-range-specific power-up modules to get the HF-power you need.
Have a look at Rhode&Schwarz for example.....

If you can afford the money to buy this expensive equipment:
-DON'T ASK -- JUST DO IT !!

odo

2396. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3747ea47.11930779@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 117846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penapls,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

:Radio911 wrote:
:>
:> I opened my paper (San Diego Union-Tribune) this morning and there it
:>is...page

How do you get a San Diego Union-Tribune in Australia?

:> A-3: Reporter sentenced for taping cell phone. Hey, if you're going
:> to modify your scanner to monitor cell calls (ILLEGAL, guys), don't
:> go trying to sell the transmissions to a sleazy tabloid journalism
:> market!

It is not illegal to sell or own a scanner capable of receiving cellular
phones.

:> It's going to "bite" you like it did this "celebrity photographer."
:> It's costing him over $3,000.00, attorneys' fees, loss of ANY scanner
:> for the three years probation etc. THINK, before using your radio for
:> ANY profit making venture! It's NOT LEGAL!!! No wonder the
:> manufacturers are reluctant to make advances in this market...they'll
:> be sued next!
:> Laine S. Herman
:> http://expage.com/page/Radio911
:I couldn't of had said it better myself if I wanted to.

I couldn't of had of had said had of it better either myself either.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

2397. SMR Trunked Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: birdfan1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523074749.12897.00000996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 117847

Does anyone have frequencie for SMR trunked systems in eastern massachusetts?
Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks in advance.

Birdfan1

2398. Re: Question about WFM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry <HGK@centuryinter.net>
Message-ID: <3747EF90.A592A353@centuryinter.net>
Source record: 117848
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

But Robert, isn't it illegal to listen to cellular?
just kidding :)

2399. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lindsay Vincent <lvincent@hornet.net.au>
Message-ID: <3747FE1D.860443F4@hornet.net.au>
Source record: 117849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penapls,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Barry O'Grady wrote:

> :> I opened my paper (San Diego Union-Tribune) this morning and there it
> :>is...page
>
> How do you get a San Diego Union-Tribune in Australia?

Snail mail. Perhaps you should look at the list of groups the message
was posted to. You are permitted to wipe the egg off your face now.

> :> A-3: Reporter sentenced for taping cell phone. Hey, if you're going
> :> to modify your scanner to monitor cell calls (ILLEGAL, guys), don't
> :> go trying to sell the transmissions to a sleazy tabloid journalism
> :> market!
>
> It is not illegal to sell or own a scanner capable of receiving cellular
> phones.

Nice to see you've figured out how to spell "receive"ing, Barry.
Must have been all the coaching, eh?

2400. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: hnlute@optcamel.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11b1a4887b85cb798968e@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117850
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <37471596.70EB@worldnet.att.net>, MKlevickis@worldnet.att.net
says...
> Robert wrote:
>
> > Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> > neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
> > LOSER
> >
> > Thanks..
> >
> > Robert
>
> Robert,
>
> As I think it is desirable to share information and important to
> encourage newbies, I was about to post a detailed answer to your
> question. It is a matter of some technical interest. However, having
> read your nasty, juvenile, and petulant last paragraph, I think it is
> best to leave you in your ill-informed and anti-social ignorance. Do you
> really expect others to help you after being so gratuitously nasty?
>
> Michael K.
>
Amen...
--

Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
**********************************************************
e-mail to: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
**********************************************************
Optimum Camel Companies, Ltd.
Howard & Kelly Lute
402 Marina Blvd.
Suisun City, CA 94585
Tele:707-428-4787
LSF 3610
**********************************************************
Our Family Web Site:
http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/camel.html
**********************************************************
Lute's Pirate Radio Romp Web Page:
http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/camel2.html
```

****
e-mail to: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
****

2401. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: hnlute@optcamel.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11b1a69713ac044698968f@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117851
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <xgL13.3621$Gm1.520141@news1.giganews.com>,
reinaga@cnmnetwork.com says...
> Just as I had anticipated. Mostly everyone telling me that its illegal. I
> know its illegal. Did I ask to purchase one? Did I say I wanted one made for
> me? No. I simply asked if there was one out there. Why is it so hard for
> someone to just answer the question that was asked? I give up...
> Well thanks again...
> Robert
>
>
> Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote in message
> news:37555e62.29840936@news.cyberhighway.net...
> > "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote:
> >
> > >This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has
> to
> > >be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
> > >sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know
> of
> > >that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you
> know
> > >transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say...
> Thanks
> > >in advance
> >
> > Sure. It's called a Spark Gap transmitter, and has been illegal for
> > decades.
> >
> > >Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> > >neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my
> life
> > >LOSER
> >
> > A LOSER is what you will suddenly become if you ever generate more than
> > a few milliwatts of broadband RF noise.
> >
> > Get some education, troll.
> > --
> > glenq@cyberhighway.net
> > WB7DOW
> >
> > http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

>
>
>
Robert,
The answer is no mfr...but natural and un-natural electrical NOISE is by it's nature, broadband. Have you ever used one of those cheap adjustable light switches next to your TV? You'd SWEAR it was a perfect broadband noise source! Since transmission of this type of signal would require a rather bizarre antenna structure (!) even the CIA MIGHT have found this technique interesting in certain situations, I don't know what would carry the antenna if it was mobile! A Tesla coil COULD be broadband enough if constructed to do that particular job...but I wouldn't want to be around one. Maybe in the surplus pile at the Groom Lake facility...8-)
Hope this little dissertation helped.
friend,
Lute
--

Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
****
e-mail to: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
****
Optimum Camel Companies, Ltd.
Howard & Kelly Lute
402 Marina Blvd.
Suisun City, CA 94585
Tele:707-428-4787
LSF 3610
****
Our Family Web Site:
http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/camel.html
****
Lute's Pirate Radio Romp Web Page:
http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/camel2.html
****
e-mail to: optcamel@ix.netcom.com
****

2402. Re: Emergency Monitoring-Why not built-in monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenneth Allan Mitchell <nokones@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <37480EAF.AC121FCC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117852
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The point I'm trying to say is that the channel designation/identifier should be common for emergencies like 911 on the telephone system. I know the frequency for each radio is totally different, so what. I'm not trying to say that a CB ch. 9 be able to monitor/communicate with FRS Ch. 9

For an example, if a lost hiker had an FRS radio and if Search and Rescure and/or airborne law enforcement aircraft were on the hunt for the hiker they can dial in that commom known channel/pl in their radios. Aircraft law enforcement radios are user programmable on the fly. An establish procedure for hikers or campers carrying an FRS radio channel may help facilitate the location of a lost party.

So what that a GMRS user can't, at least legally, tranmsit on the 467.XXXX FRS channel freqs. How many GMRS users do Search and Rescue? Or even want to monitor like react CB Ch. 9?. I'm think a long the lines of law enforcement and search and rescue entities to use for lost parties lost in the woods etc.

Why limit a channel designation such as FRS channel 9 as an emergency channel. The number "9" "99" or the number "9" associated with "11" usually signify's emergency in the public safety world. Channel 5, 6, etc. dosen't mean anything and would be easier to remember during a crisis.

zeno@magicnet.net wrote:
>
> In article <375e4172.365828884@ajax.flubnutz.org>, someone@flubnutz.org
> (some where) wrote:
>
> > On Fri, 21 May 1999 21:28:55 -0700, Kenneth Allan Mitchell
> > <nokones@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> >
> > >I'm fully aware that the emergency channel for the CB radio is channel
> > >9. There's no reason why FRS channel 9 can't be designated as an
> > >emergency calling channel like the CB radio. That way it will be
> > >common to use channel 9 for both CB and FRS.
> >
> > Common to CB & FRS? Yeah, except for being about 425 megahertz apart
> from each
> > other, sheesh.
>
> Actualy they are 440.5225 megahertz apart.
>

> CB channel 9...27.065 Mhz
> FRS channel 9...467.5875 Mhz

--
Kenneth Allan Mitchell
mailto:nokones@ix.netcom.com

2403. Re: Radio Transmitters (2m/70cm bands)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <37480e63.1205453@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 117853
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Are you asking about UHF CBs (450-460 MHz) or VHF/UHF
(144-p148 MHz/420-450 MHz) Amateur Radios?

On Sat, 22 May 1999 20:20:21 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Possums wrote:
>>
>> I was kinda toying with the idea of getting a hand held uhf cb. Not too
>> sure what I would do with it but I still kinda like the idea of having one.
>> But I wanted to see what was covered by the 2m/70cm bands.
>>
>> I have seen some ot these in the Dick Smith catalog and they look like cool
>> little gizmos.. but who uses them and what can you do with them?
>>
>> Any info on this subject would be much appreciated. Thanks!
>Well that is great but what country(ies) does it cover?
>--
>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2404. FS:Texas2Way 4 level interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kf5oj@prodigy.net
Message-ID: <37481523.1220213@news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 117854

For sale.
4-level interface sold by Texas2Way ($69.95 + shipping) for
data decoding with Trunker and 'other' data decoding software like
winflex, pocflex and pocsag.

It's about 4 months old.
Contact via email, please.

Want $45 postage paid.
Clifton
kf5oj@prodigy.net
kf5oj@juno.com

2405. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <374811c3.2069180@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 117855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

The term 'DX' was originated by amateur radio ops.Others use it to
mean different things.The original meaning of DX is to make contact
with a station in another country.This is required to qualify for any
of the ARRL DX awards that many Hams persue.You can call a dog a cat
if you wish...it dosnt change the fact that its still a dog....:>)

On Sat, 22 May 1999 20:35:48 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Steven wrote:
>>
>> DX is ,technically,making 'contact' with a station in another Country.
>>
>> On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:45:00 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
>> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Mike Silva wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Mother Nature wrote in message <37438215.1DB5FBEE@hotmail.com>...
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> >Danny wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Mother Nature wrote:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Hi from soc.penpals and let me say that all of this technical talk is
>> >> >> > making me hot......<g>
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Well then 350 KHz, AM, FM. Are you butter yet?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Danny
>> >> >> --
>> >> >
>> >> >I'm melting as we speak.....I might just need a cold shower...oops, not
>> >> >a good idea. I might get a spark and get tingly all over!!!!!!!
>> >>
>> >> Finally something good comes from the endless Morse debate!
>> >>
>> >> ... resonance, Kirchoff, reactance, transconductance, Thevenin, bipolar ...
>> >> stay tuned while I get out the electronics dictionary...
>> >>
>> >> Mike
>> >Don't foget Spark Gap. For those of you who do not know what DX is; it

>> >means receiveing a radio/television signal from far away. From far away
>> >as in you usually do not get a signal from this place. DX can also mean
>> >how far your siganl is getting out. From everything that I read; if you
>> >can run just 10 watts, and your siganl is getting out 300 to 400 miles
>> >then that is better DX then somebody running 1000 watts and doing th
>> >same thing.
>> >--
>> >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
>No, it is a long distance contant or reception. It is all in how you
>view it
>--
>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2406. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Manfred Berger <demo@smartmail.de>
Message-ID: <7i987v$d5s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3727A5A1.67A0@sir.com>,
Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
> BungHole wrote:
> >
> > I'm not a HAM yet but with this cliquish, elitist attitude going
around between
> > old HAM's I'm losing interest very quickly.
>
> Yes, even when morse code is not a requirement for any license, the
> attitude will still remain because of the different "classes" of
> licenses. Extra class will look down upon General class even though
> they both have privileges on the exact same bands. There is no reason
> NOW to have General, Advanced & Extra other than to promote the
cliquish
> elitist attitude you mentioned and divide Hams into different groups.
>
> If there were just ONE Ham license, you wouldn't have all this BS.
>

--
Manfred

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2407. AR8000 vs. MVT-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7i95hqjjp1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117857

I'm considering purchasing one of these scanners. I'm not concerned with computer control. Both are around the same price range. What are the advantages and disadvantages of both? Thanks.

2408. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <5_U13.1334$eC3.180132@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote ...

> Glenn, Bill's level is already sub-basement, how could it go any lower?

That is what most on this NG ask you John-Bowl, how can you get so low.

If Bill is sub-basement that would put you at the core of the earth
Toilet-Boy.

Go away LOONEY.

2409. Import frequency data from any website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: AOR Software <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <7i996asif1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 117859

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/9163/impdata.html

2410. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mayermh <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7i99ge$5l5@dfw-ixnews11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117860
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The LifeFlight helicopters around Cleveland use a radio called something like FlexCom, FlexiCom, VariCom, MultiCom, I forget exactly what it's called. Whether a brand name or just a slang term the give it, I am not sure. In any case, LifeFlight has the requirement to talk to lots of different police, fire, and EMS organizations in all different cities and counties in Ohio and surrounding states as they come within range of an accident scene or hospital or helipad and need to talk directly with the people on the ground. Therefore this radio allows them to dial up just about any frequency in the public service bands, including PL codes, except trunked public services on 800MHz. It's effectiveness must be a compromise because of the requirement to have decent impedence matching with probably a single antenna on the aircraft, given the size/weight requirements.

Anyone else heard same?

I'll defer responding directly to your last paragraph since it seems you have already been severely flamed for it, plus you did explain yourself and apologized in one of your followups.

Mike

>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has
to
>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
>sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know
of
>that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
>transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks
>in advance
>
>Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
>LOSER
>
>Thanks..
>
>Robert
>
>

2411. Re: FRS Saves Country Boy in Big City

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37482BC8.39A7@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117861
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

EchoStarr wrote:
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> 37474A52.202@ameritech.net...
> >K5pf wrote:
>
> >> We both use 500mw units.
> >In a car and in stell bulidings?
> >--
> >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
>
> never would have guessed it was you RADIOMAN... and what's a stell building?
STEAL
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2412. Re: AR8000 vs. MVT-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11b1d98b1933f4629897ae@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117862

The MVT-9000 (preferably the Bandercom modified MVT-9000m2.4) has a faster scan rate, better bandscope, better build quality and is more sensitive to weak signals then the 8200. The 8200 is stuffed with more bells and whistles but for serious scanning use the 9000 wins hands down. I have used both and I sold the 8200 for the above reasons.

2413. Re: !!! READ THIS !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <h9W13.7924$%x.6092@wards>
Source record: 117863

Mike <metrosoft@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:7i7sk5$71j$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net...
> Sounds as bad as the movie i was duped into seeing!
>
>
> LaLaS <starwar@spaceship.com> wrote in message
> news:7i6q70$pku@newsops.execpc.com...
> > I HEARD THIS !!!!!!!!
> > The RADIO SHACK officials and designers they rush in the theaters to
> > watch
> > the STAR WAR movie.After the movie they all site on the table and they
> > try to
> > designer a scanner like the one those Captain's have on the movie.
> > The scanner will be very small (Like Rolex watch)and they will cover
> > the all
> > spectrum!!!!!!!
> > Also there is no duke or external antenna ,and no buttons .
> > To program the scanner is very simple ,just talk to the scanner and
> > automatically tune the frequency or any other function .
> > Also the scanner speaks 10 different language,play CD'S and MP3
> > ,unlimited
> > channels and banks,
> > say time and date when you ask,hear the spaceship millions miles
> > away,or any
> > broadcast from anywhere in the universe.
> > Also they say (RS Officials)the sensitivity will be so good you can hear
> > baby
> > monitor IN TEXAS FROM CANADA !!!!!!!
> > There is more and more futures i can't list here now
> > !!!! S O R R Y N O C E L L U L A R !!!!!!
> > They will be on the market sometimes on the year 2000
> > So what do you thing ????
> > P.S.
> > They haven't set the price yet ??,but my good sources tall me about
> > $289.00
> > - $399.99 + tax
> >
> >
> >even if there is any truth to this statement, the scanner manafactures
need to spend MORE money and time in making scanners that will go on into
2000 + ie. tetra/gsm/etc etc and not worry about making them smaller or
flasher !!

eavsdropper
>
>

2414. Trunk Freqs Portland/Vancouver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mao <mao@prvnet.com>
Message-ID: <37482E78.FFA23DCD@prvnet.com>
Source record: 117864

Anyone have UPDATED truck freqs for the Portland/
Vancouver area?

2415. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37482EEA.64AB@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

MSteven wrote:
>
> The term 'DX' was originated by amateur radio ops.Others use it to
> mean different things.The original meaning of DX is to make contact
> with a station in another country.This is required to qualify for any
> of the ARRL DX awards that many Hams persue.You can call a dog a cat
> if you wish...it dosnt change the fact that its still a dog....:>)
>
> On Sat, 22 May 1999 20:35:48 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> >Steven wrote:
> >>
> >> DX is ,technically,making 'contact' with a station in another Country.
> >>
> >> On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:45:00 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> >> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Mike Silva wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> Mother Nature wrote in message <37438215.1DB5FBEE@hotmail.com>...
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Danny wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Mother Nature wrote:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > Hi from soc.penpals and let me say that all of this technical talk is
> >> >> >> > making me hot......<g>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Well then 350 KHz, AM, FM. Are you butter yet?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Danny
> >> >> >> --
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I'm melting as we speak.....I might just need a cold shower...oops, not
> >> >> >a good idea. I might get a spark and get tingly all over!!!!!!!
> >> >>
> >> >> Finally something good comes from the endless Morse debate!
> >> >>
> >> >> ... resonance, Kirchoff, reactance, transconductance, Thevenin, bipolar ...
> >> >> stay tuned while I get out the electronics dictionary...

> >> >>
> >> >> Mike
> >> >Don't foget Spark Gap. For those of you who do not know what DX is; it
> >> >means receiveing a radio/television signal from far away. From far away
> >> >as in you usually do not get a signal from this place. DX can also mean
> >> >how far your siganl is getting out. From everything that I read; if you
> >> >can run just 10 watts, and your siganl is getting out 300 to 400 miles
> >> >then that is better DX then somebody running 1000 watts and doing th
> >> >same thing.
> >> >--
> >> >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> >No, it is a long distance contant or reception. It is all in how you
> >view it
> >--
> >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
MEOW MEOW ROOF ROOF
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2416. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: CW <cmagers@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <01bea53b$d9e883a0$fa2079a5@spry941360spry08.sprynet.com>
Source record: 117866
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Good.
--
CW
KC7NOD

Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote in article
<xgL13.3621$Gm1.520141@news1.giganews.com>...
Just as I had anticipated. Mostly everyone telling me that its illegal. I
know its illegal. Did I ask to purchase one? Did I say I wanted one made
for
me? No. I simply asked if there was one out there. Why is it so hard for
someone to just answer the question that was asked? I give up...
Well thanks again...
Robert

2417. Re: 123.025!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David R. Ward" <wa1rd@home.com>
Message-ID: <4jW13.1461$LX2.2767@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 117867

I have for sale a great aviation handheld you might be interested in.
It is a Narco Avionics NT-830 handheld NAV/COM transceiver. 720 COM channels from 118.000 to 135.975 MHz and a 200 channel NAV receiver covering 108 to 117.95 MHz. BNC antenna, nominal 2 watts out. 10 memories, direct entry keypad. 2 NiCad battery packs, 1 quick charge hand stand charger, 1 wall charger and an excellent manual. This unit works very well and is very rugged. It has been on many airplane flights. Nothing broken but I list the condition as "good." $250 shipped lower 48.
de WA1RD

2418. Re: Question about WFM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan T. Faricy" <online@faricy.net>
Message-ID: <7i9c8fhah1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117868
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Robert wrote in message ...
>Try cellular. I haven't listened but a friend of a friend has...

As far as I know around here, cellular is Narrow FM.

As Eric stated, some AM/FM radio stations have remote links, which use 900 MHz frequencies and WFM. So that's another use of WFM I forgot to mention before.

2419. AOR 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: VooDoo
Message-ID: <7i9bqs$4c7$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 117869
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I have recently purchased an AOR 2002 scanner, but have no manual.
Can anyone help?

Thanks in advance,
Stu

2420. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <374831F5.54A47462@INVALID.com>
Source record: 117870

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> allsafe wrote:
>
> > All things *may* come to pass. Aliens may transport Federal Officials investigating
> > this case to an orbit around Pluto. That may not happen, but you can't completely
> > rule it out.
> >
> > This is a simple FACT!
>
> At least what I posted was a practical possibility. Actions involving aliens
> from"Pluto" is hardly realistic. You have only proven you can not seperate fact from
> fiction.
>

What evidence prompted you to spew that distasteful guess at the case's progress?

You friggin' loon.

2421. Hamfest Scanners Hamfest Computers Hamfest Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rychard@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <37482f24.14738828@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 117871

Hello All,

Just a reminder.
The Atlanta HamFest and Computer Show is coming soon.
visit http://www.saf.com/arc/atlfest.htm for more details
This will be the 71st Hamfest for the Atlanta Radio Club,they have
been doing this since about 1928,one of the oldest hamfest in the
Southeast.

All kinds of radio equipment can be found at this Hamfest.
Scanners(old and New),Shortwave,Amateur,Military,aircraft,Test
gear,parts,wire,cables,Tools.Audio,and Video equipment.All kinds of
computers(new,used,parts,supplies,components,
motherboards,modems,case,memory,monitors.Books about all
radio,computer,and electronic subjects.Way too much stuff
to try and list.You will have to see it to beleive it.

June 5th and 6th 9:00am-5:00pm Sat and 9:00am-3:00pm Sun.
The location is at the 116 Acre Jim Miller Park, Marietta, GA.
This is on Callaway Rd. This is very near the junction
of I-75 and the I-285 loop around Atlanta.This junction is on the
west side of the I-285 loop .Just north of this junction on I-75 is
exit 110.Take exit 110(Wendy Hill Rd.) and go West.Travel a few miles
to Austell Rd. and turn left on it.Go a short distance to Callaway and
turn right on it.A short distance on Callaway Rd will take you to the
Jim Miller Park on your right.Hope to see you and your friends there.
Tickets are just 5$
The event is being put on by volunteers from the following clubs,and
other sources also.
The Atlanta Radio Club
Gwinnett Amateur Radio Society
Kennehoochee Amateur Radio Club
North Fulton Amateur Radio League

We will offer all of the following:
Large Tail gate area
Lots of free parking
20,000 square feet Indoor Market
37,000 square feet Outside Covered Show Ring for Flea Market
RV Camping full hookup for 44 lucky Hams
Cash Vouchers and other prizes

This is also the ARRL's Georgia State Convention!!
Talk-in will be on 146.82Mhz - offset. Tis repeater is located on the
NationsBank building in downtown Atlanta and has a great coverage of
the Metro Atlanta area.

For additional information contact one of the co-chairmen:
Ben Dasher, KE4YZX, 404-869-6959, bendasher@mindspring.com
Charles Golsen, N4TZM, 404-252-3303, cgolsen@atlanta.com

Be seeing you there,
Dean, KR4IU

2422. New BC-245???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mao <mao@prvnet.com>
Message-ID: <37483387.47213948@prvnet.com>
Source record: 117872

When is it coming out and what new features????
Also.. Is there going to be a newer BC-895XLT???

2423. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <FvW13.31251$5e2.557314@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Make that 101.

TR

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <3747ACB9.5150C1BD@usa.net>...
>If I am obsesed with that person, when why is it that
>everyone else is always wanting to talk about him??
>
>When was the last time you saw ME initiate any discussion about him?
>
>
>
>tcrpe wrote:
>
>> The only facts one needs to show that you are a loser, obsessed with Bill
>> Cheek, are the hundreds of asinine posts you have made.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2424. Re: Calif Frequency Directories from Mobile Radio Resources?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7i9c0ijds1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 117874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Brad -

I just bought a freq disk from Mobile Radio Resources two weeks ago...Bob Kelty has had GREAT products for years. Includes input & output freqs & PL's, unit numbering systems, transmitter sites...the works. IMHO the best directories out for Calif police/fire/govment/EMS scanning. Don't see anything listed for Nevada on his 5/1 price schedule though.

His current "Government Radio Systems" products include a number of regional printed directories for Calif - Golden Gate Region, Central Coast, North Coast, Sacto Valley, San Joaquin Valley, Southland (LA & Orange Cos), Inland-Border, CA State Agencies, "Golden Gate Industry Radio Systems," Military Radio Systems, CA Trunked Radio Systems

On floppy in WP he has about the same stuff, plus Federal Radio Systems - Calif.

The printed versions run between $12 - $18; on disk they vary from $15-$25, and up to $150 for the "Statewide 58 counties"

He also sells some Antenex & Diamond antennas.

NO email or web site, but FAX is 408-269-5811; he can also receive INCOMING FAXES ONLY at kelty@tcomeng.com

(I have no connection with him...just a long-time customer/contributor).

Harry Marnell, N6URU

http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

2425. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <374834C5.BF87E3DA@home.com>
Source record: 117875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This is exactly correct. Code should be eliminated, and there should be
ONE
class of license. The the elitist attitude will be the death of ham
radio.
It is very unfortunate.

Manfred Berger wrote:
>
> In article <3727A5A1.67A0@sir.com>,
> Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
> > BungHole wrote:
> > >
> > > I'm not a HAM yet but with this cliquish, elitist attitude going
> around between
> > > old HAM's I'm losing interest very quickly.
> >
> > Yes, even when morse code is not a requirement for any license, the
> > attitude will still remain because of the different "classes" of
> > licenses. Extra class will look down upon General class even though
> > they both have privileges on the exact same bands. There is no reason
> > NOW to have General, Advanced & Extra other than to promote the
> cliquish
> > elitist attitude you mentioned and divide Hams into different groups.
> >
> > If there were just ONE Ham license, you wouldn't have all this BS.
> >
>
> --
> Manfred
>
> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2426. Re: Frequency Directories from Mobile Radio Resources?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <37483CF2.F3475ABD@accesscom.com>
Source record: 117876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mobile Radio Resources, Bob Kelty, can be reached only by mail or
telephone. Bob Kelty does not believe in e-mail.

Robert Kelty
Mobile Radio Resources

Home: 408-269-5814
Fax: 408-269-5811

1224 Madrona Avenue
San Jose, CA 95125-3547
Callsiqn: WA6GEL

Regards

Ed

Michael Derryberry wrote:
>
> I'm not sure where you are, but I bought mine from Ham Radio Outlet on
> Burbank.
>
> Mike Derryberry
>
> Brad Stevenson wrote:
> >
> > Is Mobile Radio Resources still in business?
> > MRR is located in San Jose, California.
> >
> > MRR published three frequency directories for California,
> > Government Radio Systems, Military Radio Systems, & Federal Radio
> > Systems.
> >
> > The directories contained very accurate information.
> >
> > Where can I purchase new editions of the directories?
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > Brad.

2427. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <98X13.15055$YU1.28792@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 117877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Barry O'Grady wrote in message <3747ea47.11930779@genenews.acay.com.au>...
>RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>:Radio911 wrote:
>:>
>:> I opened my paper (San Diego Union-Tribune) this morning and there it
>:>is...page
>
>How do you get a San Diego Union-Tribune in Australia?

>:> to modify your scanner to monitor cell calls (ILLEGAL, guys), don't
>
>It is not illegal to sell or own a scanner capable of receiving cellular
>phones.

You really should READ this stuff before you reply to it.

He points out - correctly - that it is illegal (in the USA) "to modify your scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may no longer lawfully modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular frequencies. Practically unenforceable, but it is the law.

2428. Re: Import frequency data from any website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <98X13.15056$YU1.28792@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 117878

AOR Software wrote in message <7i996asif1@news.casema.net>...
>http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/9163/impdata.html

Those of us who work hard to assemble frequency lists and format them for the Web really appreciate your efforts in creating an easy way for any idiot to pirate our data into their scanners without having to do even the simplest tasks of acquiring the information they need for themselves. NOT.

2429. Connecticut State Police Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523134931.05905.00000199@ng42.aol.com>
Source record: 117879

Can anybody tell me if the CSP are using VHF or 800Mhz?

Thanks In Advance,
Mike KC2CYE

2430. Re: Westfield, NJ PD Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523135046.05905.00000200@ng42.aol.com>
Source record: 117880

470.5375 is their freq. Unknown on the 10 codes.

Mike

2431. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <7i9fb8$334$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 117881
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

My neighbor lady has a radio similar to what this fellow wants. She must have a big linear (sp) on her CB. She hits all 40 CB channels..... a few TV channels..... my computer speakers (even when it is off)..... my daughters braces..... I am supprised there is any signal left for the truckers she tries to hustle.....

Glen Quarnstrom wrote in message
<37555e62.29840936@news.cyberhighway.net>...
>"Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote:
>
>>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has
to
>>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
>>sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know
of
>>that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you
know
>>transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say...
Thanks
>>in advance
>
>Sure. It's called a Spark Gap transmitter, and has been illegal for
>decades.
>
>>Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>>neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my
life
>>LOSER
>
>A LOSER is what you will suddenly become if you ever generate more than
>a few milliwatts of broadband RF noise.
>
>Get some education, troll.
>--
>glenq@cyberhighway.net
>WB7DOW
>
>http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2432. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: rockit40@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <374844b1.22691983@news.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 117882
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 23 May 1999 06:46:32 -0700, hnlute@optcamel.com (Howard N.
>The answer is no mfr...but natural and un-natural electrical NOISE is by
>it's nature, broadband. Have you ever used one of those cheap adjustable
>light switches next to your TV? You'd SWEAR it was a perfect broadband
>noise source! Since transmission of this type of signal would require a
>rather bizarre antenna structure (!) even the CIA MIGHT have found this
>technique interesting in certain situations, I don't know what would
>carry the antenna if it was mobile! A Tesla coil COULD be broadband
>enough if constructed to do that particular job...but I wouldn't want to
>be around one. Maybe in the surplus pile at the Groom Lake facility...8-)
>Hope this little dissertation helped.
>friend,
>Lute
>--
>
I've heard some cool stuff about Tesla coils, how they can erase
computer disks in over a block's radius, and other wierd stuff. Is
that true? What else have you heard?
-rockit40

2433. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <37484598.51F37747@home.com>
Source record: 117883
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

How big of an antenna does she have on top of that doublewide?

K patterson wrote:
>
> My neighbor lady has a radio similar to what this fellow wants. She must
> have a big linear (sp) on her CB. She hits all 40 CB channels...... a few TV
> channels....... my computer speakers (even when it is off).............
> my daughters braces...... I am supprised there is any signal left for the
> truckers she tries to hustle........
>
> Glen Quarnstrom wrote in message
> <37555e62.29840936@news.cyberhighway.net>...
> >"Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote:
> >
> >>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has
> to
> >>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
> >>sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know
> of
> >>that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you
> know
> >>transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say...
> Thanks
> >>in advance
> >
> >Sure. It's called a Spark Gap transmitter, and has been illegal for
> >decades.
> >
> >>Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> >>neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my
> life
> >>LOSER
> >
> >A LOSER is what you will suddenly become if you ever generate more than
> >a few milliwatts of broadband RF noise.
> >
> >Get some education, troll.
> >--
> >glenq@cyberhighway.net
> >WB7DOW
> >
> >http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2434. FS RS PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Clarke <bc@avlist.com>
Message-ID: <7i9hi6$i7s$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

200 channel 30-54, 108-174, 380-512, 806-960 MHz. has nicads, wall charger, looks and works great. Original owner. Was used for tracking down RFI problems - now is excess equipment. Make reasonable offer.

--
Bill Clarke W2BLC

2435. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7i9hkk$nm4@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3745E425.1FDF@ameritech.net>, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<URL:mailto:theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> Since when do TV station broadcast in one colo(u)r as the name
> Monochrome would imply. Heck, even Black and White is two colo(u)rs.

"Monochrome" refers to the CRT having a single electron-beam gun and
a single-color phosphor on the CRT's screen.

Mono = single.

Depending upon the CRT's grid voltage, the beam+phosphor can create dozens
and dozens shades of grey.

Jeff KH6O
--
Fingerprint Classification Services, Inc.: Your own personal copy
of your fingers' inked impressions, classified utilizing the Henry
classification formula (with FBI extensions), $15 + postage.

2436. Antenna Brand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: no-email@spamsux.com
Message-ID: <37484abe.115992773@news.ntwrld.com>
Source record: 117886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi All,

I'm in the market for a 800mhz directional yagi and am deciding
between the following brands:

Larsen, Comtelco, Antenex, and Cushcraft

Any recommendations as to the 'best' brand? Please post replies to
the group, no e-mail..

Thanks!!

2437. Re: Frequency Data base program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kevcat <kevcat@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <3746DE38.103B940F@one.net.au>
Source record: 117897
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wobbly wrote:
>
> >I am always amazed that people don`t just use Microsoft Works for this
> >purpose.
>
> Not trying to put you down or anything, but MS Works, Office & most
> programs published by MS are "bloatware".
>
> I use ClarisWorks for everything; a TRUE integrated works package
> resulting in much less fat, unlike MS Works or Office which are
> basically a bunch of seperate programs thrown into one box.

This is very true
but hey, a full MS Office 98 for nothing,
and I don't know anyone using ClarisWorks so can't get a copy

Kev

2438. !!! READ THIS !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: LaLaS <starwar@spaceship.com>
Message-ID: <7i6q70$pku@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 117898

I HEARD THIS !!!!!!!!
The RADIO SHACK officials and designers they rush in the theaters to
watch
the STAR WAR movie.After the movie they all site on the table and they
try to
designer a scanner like the one those Captain's have on the movie.
The scanner will be very small (Like Rolex watch)and they will cover
the all
spectrum!!!!!!!
Also there is no duke or external antenna ,and no buttons .
To program the scanner is very simple ,just talk to the scanner and
automatically tune the frequency or any other function .
Also the scanner speaks 10 different language,play CD'S and MP3
,unlimited
channels and banks,
say time and date when you ask,hear the spaceship millions miles
away,or any
broadcast from anywhere in the universe.
Also they say (RS Officials)the sensitivity will be so good you can hear
baby
monitor IN TEXAS FROM CANADA !!!!!!!
There is more and more futures i can't list here now
!!!! S O R R Y N O C E L L U L A R !!!!!!
They will be on the market sometimes on the year 2000
So what do you thing ????
P.S.
They haven't set the price yet ??,but my good sources tall me about
$289.00
- $399.99 + tax

2439. Peter's Scanner Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bommeltje <bommeltje@tref.nl>
Message-ID: <7i7aaq$4di$1@reader.wxs.nl>
Source record: 117899
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,dk.teknik.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Have some time? Then take a look at
Peter's Scanner Pages on URL:

http://leden.tref.nl/bommeltje/

A lot of information about scannerlistening,
frequencies, Acars, Wintone, databases,
scannersoftware, tips, etc.
Both in dutch and english language.

Already bookmarked this page?
Then please check the url: it has changed.

Greetings from Holland, Peter van Bommel
E-mail: bommeltje@tref.nl ICQ #15915751
Scannerpage: http://leden.tref.nl/bommeltje/

2440. Eavsdroppers new URL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <IyH13.7704$%x.6078@wards>
Source record: 117900
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Just thought I would use another URL which is easier to remember for you all
:-)

the old one will still work though !!

below are the two URL'S

--
EAVSDROPPERS SCANNER SOFTWARE SITE FOR THE ENTHUSIAST
http://listen.to/eavsdropper
OR
HTTP://WWW.EAVSDROPPER.DABSOL.CO.UK

2441. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: CW <cmagers@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <01bea4b3$5d0284e0$422079a5@spry941360spry08.sprynet.com>
Source record: 117901
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No, when a law is broken it is everyone's business. If you don't want
people getting involved with your life (police, FCC, ect) than it would be
to your advantage to stay within the law.

CW
KC7NOD

> Robert wrote:
>
> > Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> > neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my
life

2442. E.F. Johnson Multi-Net info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: nanoject@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990522210114.09487.00001797@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 117902

Does anyone have any info on how to trunk track the E.F. Johnson Multi-Net 800 Mhz system? Any software etc availiable? Any and all info would be helpful.
Good scanning,
Nanoject@aol.com

2443. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Smith <w9wi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <37479CA6.5B0B1E2D@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 117903
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:
>
> I had the feeling that some goofball was going to tell him it was illegal and not tell him the answer to his question.

Luckily, the answer to his question is no, so it isn't necessary to tell him it's seriously illegal. (not to mention that, if he starts transmitting in one of the amateur bands, one of the frequencies used by my employer, or one of the frequencies used by the Cheatham Co. Sheriff or Pleasant View FD, it rapidly becomes my business!)

Since there is no legitimate market for such a device, there are no power amp devices made that cover that much spectrum. In any case, providing effective bypassing and impedance matching over that wide a range would be expensive to impossible.

I suppose providing multiple power amps and switching between them would work. It would be very expensive, and again since there's no legitimate market (and very little illegitimate market) there's nobody making it.

73 Doug
(apparently a loser...)

2444. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Granger <bgranger@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <37475cb8.0@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 117904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

>Seriously, one very popular 2M handy-talkie has its first LO radiation
>falling from 122.6 to 126.6 Mhz @ -57dbm at the antenna port. Pretty
>weak signal, but I still wouldn't feel comfortable riding in the same
>aircraft as this radio.
>
>Don Montgomery K6LTS
>donrm@sr.hp.com

Depending where you are sitting, that's enough signal to get to the antenna of an aircraft com and open the squelch. At the very least, it would be irritating to the drivers.

I service General Aviation avionics. A signal at -65dbm from my test set is quite often strong enough to operate the aircraft nav receivers.

I know this because one of the tests I perform is a check on threshhold (nav flag) sensitivity and a comparison of the relative sensitivity of both Nav receivers.

The problem arises: Whilst we may feel that our scanners and ht's are safe, none of us has actually tested 'every' variable and could testify that 'it wasn't me' in front of the Coroner.

I use an IC R10 daily as a test receiver, have flown with it and used it in flight. BUT, the modern airliner has at least 3x 760 channel VHF coms, 2x 400 channel VHF Nav receivers, a couple of 75MHz marker receivers, a couple of 330 MHz Glideslope receivers, a couple of 200-1800khz receivers, a 9.45GHz receiver, a couple or three 4-5GHz receivers (rad alt and MLS) and perhaps a couple of 1575MHz GPs receivers.

These receivers DO interfere with each other occasionally, shortly followed by a manufacturers bulletin on how to prevent it. The manufacturers know what gear they expect to operate with and Local Oscillator frequencies are carefully chosen to prevent this.

The scanner or Ht is a wildcard. I'll bet none of you can tell me, off the top of your head, what frequency the LO is on when listening to your favourite Police frequency, for example. Remember that whilst scanning, the LO is hopping about or slewing about all over the spectrum.

You could, of course, go up the front and ask the pilot if you could use the radio, do a check on his coms and see that there's no interference, but in Departing Sydney airport, there are no fewer than 8 frequency changes required. Did you check them all?

Brad VK2QQ

2445. Western San Bernardino Co. TrunkTrak ID's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: desdave@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990522213619.26251.00003821@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 117905

WEST END COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY (W.E.C.A.)
TALK GROUP ID NUMBERS
Listing by City:

City of Chino-

Police Department- <BLUE-1> 4304 Dispatch
<BLUE-2> 4432 Back-Up
<BLUE-3> 4560 Tactical
<BLUE-4> 4688 Tactical

Fire Department- **Dispatched by Ontario Fire**

Local Government- <ORANGE-1> 2000
<ORANGE-2> 2224
<ORANGE-3> 5456
<GREEN-1> 3024
<GREEN-2> 3184
<GREEN-4> 3344
<GREEN-5> 3504
<GREEN-6> 3824

"Non-Issued" Channel's- 4816
4944
5072
5200
5328
City of Montclair-

Police Department- <MPD-1> 4240 Dispatch
<MPD-2> 4368
<MPD-3> 4624 Records Checks
<MPD-NARC1> 4752 Narcotics Channel 1
<MPD-NARC2> 4880 Narcotics Channel 2
<MPD-DETC> 5008 Detectives
<MPD-OPS> 5136 Special Operations (SWAT)
<MPD-ADMIN> 5264 Administration

Fire Department- **Dispatched by Ontario Fire**

Local Government- <PUBWORKS> 2960 Public Works
Dept.
<COM-DEV> 3120 Commercial Development
<HUMNSVS> 3600 Human Services
<ADMIN> 4080 Administration

"Non-Issued" Channels- 4496
City of Ontario-

Police Department- <OPD-1> 4208 Primary
<OPD-2> 4336 Secondary
<OPD-3> 4592 Records Checks
<OPD-4> 4464 Car-to-Car
<OPD-5> 4848
<OPD-NARC> 4720 Narcotics
<SPEC-OPS> 5104 SWAT/Narc.
<OPD-ADM1> 4048 Administration 1
<OPD-ADM2> 5232 Administration 2

City of Ontario (Cont.)-

Fire Department *- <9-FIREDISP> 1904 Fire Dispatch
<9-FIRCOM1> 2096 "Fire Command 1"
<9-FIRCOM2> 2128 "Fire Command 2"
*- "Ontario Fire" dispatches Fire/Rescue services for ALL West End Cities.
Unit Numbering as follows: 61-69/Chino FD, 131-139/Ontario FD
151-159/Montclair FD, 161-169/Upland FD, 171-179/ Rancho
Cucamonga FD.

Local Government- <ONTLGTAC> 2928 Local
Government Tactical
<EQUIP-SVS> 3088 Fleet Maintenance
<STREETS> 3248 Street Maintenance
<PARKS> 3408 Parks Dept.
<ONT-UTIL> 3568 Utilities Dept.
<TRASH> 3728 Solid Waste Dept.
<ONT-ADM> 3888 City Administrators

Ontario Airport- <OIAPD-1> 5648 Airport PD
Channel 1
<OIAPD-2> 6192 Airport PD Channel 2
<OIAPD-3> 6224 Airport PD Channel 3
<OIAPD-SUP> 5680 Airport PD Supervisors
<OIAFD-1> 6256 Airport Crash Channel 1
<OIAFD-2> 6288 Airport Crash Channel 2

"Non-Issued" Channels- 4976
City of Rancho Cucamonga-

Police Department- **Dispatched by Sheriff's Dept on SBCRS**

Fire Department- **Dispatched by Ontario Fire**

Local Government- <CITYTAC1> 4176 City Channel 1
<CITYTAC2> 2032 City Channel 2
<C-ADMIN> 3056 Administration
<C-ENGR> 3216 Engineering Dept.
<C-BLDNG> 3376 Building Dept.
<C-SVS> 3536
<C-ANIMAL> 3696 Animal Control

<C-MAINT> 3856 City Maintenence
City of Upland-

Police Department- <BLUE-1> 4272 Dispatch
<BLUE-2> 4400 Secondary
<GREY-1> 4656 Tactical
<GREY-2> 4528 Tactical

Fire Department- **Dispatched by Ontario Fire**

Local Government- <BLDINSP> 3952 Building Dept.
<STREETS> 3312 Streets Dept.
<PARKS> 3472 Parks Dept.
<SEWER> 3632 Sewer Crew
<WATER> 2992 Water Dept.

City of Upland (Cont.)-

"Non-Issued" Channels- 4784
4912
5040
5168
5296
All Cities- **These channels are used for Agency-to Agency
co-ordiation during Emergencies, etc.

Law Enforcement- <9-WSTLAW1> 1712 West End Shared
1
<9-WSTLAW2> 1744 West End Shared 2
<9-WSTLAW3> 5712 West End Shared 3
<9-SBLAW-1> 5744 County-Wide 1
<9-SBLAW-2> 5776 County-Wide 2

Fire Protection- <9-SBFIR-1> 5808 County-Wide
Fire1
<9-SBFIR-2> 5840 County-Wide Fire2

NOTE: "Non-Issued" Channels can have a variety of uses. Police of Fire
Departments could be using them for "Covert" Operations, it could be the Dog
Catcher... or quite possibly, they are just awaiting a use. The county-wide
channels are used during incidents involvong two or more separate departments
working the same situation (Chases, major fires/emergencies)

TRUNK TRACKER
LISTING BY TALK GROUP ID

1616 9-WSTCOR-1
1648 9-WSTCOR-2
1712 9-WSTLAW-1
1744 9-WSTLAW-2
1840 ONT-PS-1
1904 9-FIRDISP
1936 MFD CTYTAC-1

1968 9-FIRE-3
2000 CHNORG-1
2032 RANCHO CITYTAC-2
2064 ONT-PS-2
2096 9-FCMND-1
2128 9-FCMND-2
2160 MFD CTYTAC-2
2224 CHINO LG CHNORG-2
2256 RANCHO CTYTAC-2
2288 FIRE OFDTAC-1
2320 FIRE MFDTAC-1
2352 FIRE UFDTAC-1
2384 FIRE CFDTAC-1
2416 FIRE RFDTAC-1
2448 FIRE OFDTAC-2
2480 FIRE MFDTAC-2
2512 FIRE UFDTAC-2
2544 FIRE CFDTAC-2
2576 FIRE RFDTAC-2
2608 FIRE CHINO SAFETY
2640 FIRE MFD ?
2704 FIRE CFD PREVENTION
2736 FIRE RANCHO ADMIN
2768 FIRE OFD EOD/HAZMAT
2800 FIRE MFD EOD/HAZMAT
2832 FIRE UFD EOD/HAZMAT
2864 FIRE CFD EOD/HAZMAT
2896 FIRE RFD EOD/HAZMAT
2928 ONT LG TAC
2960 MONTCLAIR PUBLIC WORKS
2992 UPLAND WATER DEPARTMENT
3024 CHINO STREETS GREEN-1
3056 RANCHO C-ADMIN
3088 ONTARIO LG EQUIPMENT SERVICES
3120 MONTCLAIR COM-DEV
3152 BUILDING INSPECTOR(CITY ?)
3184 CHINO LG GREEN-2
3216 RANCHO C-ENGIN
3248 ONTARIO LG STREETS
3312 UPLAND LG STREETS
3344 CHINO LG GREEN-3
3376 RANCHO C-BLDNG
3408 ONTARIO PARKS
3472 UPLAND PARKS
3504 CHINO LG GREEN-4
3536 RANCHO C-CSVCS
3568 ONTARIO LG UTILITIES
3600 MONTCLAIR HUMNSVS
3632 UPLAND LG SEWER
3664 CHINO STREETS TAC-1

3696 RANCHO C-ANIMAL
3728 ONTARIO TRASH
3824 CHINO GREEN-6
3856 RANCHO C-MAINT
3888 ONTARIO LG CITY ADMIN
3952 UPLAND LG BUILDING DEPT
4016 RANCHO C-ENFPL
4048 ONTARIO PD ADMIN
4080 MONTCLAIR ADMIN
4176 RANCHO CITYTAC-1
4208 ONTARIO PD CH-1
4240 MONTCLAIR PD CH-1
4272 UPLAND PD BLUE-1
4304 CHINO PD BLUE-1
4336 ONTARIO PD CH-2
4368 MONTCLAIR PD CH-2
4400 UPLAND PD BLUE-2
4432 CHINO PD BLUE-2
4464 ONRARIO PD CH-3
4496 MONTCLAIR ?
4528 UPLAND ?
4560 CHINO PD BLUE-3
4592 ONTARIO PD CH-4
4624 MONTCLAIR PD RECORDS
4656 UPLAND ?
4688 CHINO PD BLUE-4
4720 ONTARIO PD NARCOT
4752 MONTCLAIR PD NARCOT-1
4784 UPLAND
4816 CHINO
4848 ONTARIO PD
4880 MONTCLAIR PD NARCOT-2
4912 UPLAND
4944 CHINO
4976 ONTARIO
5008 MONTCLAIR PD DETECTIVES
5040 UPLAND
5072 CHINO
5104 ONTARIO PD SPECIAL OPS
5136 MONTCLAIR PD SPECIAL OPS
5168 UPLAND
5200 CHINO
5232 ONT PD ADMIN
5264 MONTCLAIR PD ADMIN
5296 UPLAND
5328 CHINO
5392 pd?
5456 CHINO CHNORG-3
5488 RANCHO RAN-EOC
5520 RANCHO WD-CUST

5552 RANCHO WD PROD
5584 RANCHO WD-OPER
5616 RANCHO WD-ADMN
5648 ONT AIRPORT OIAPD-1
5680 OIA PD SUPERVISOR
5712 9-WESTLAW-3
5744 9-SBLAW-1
5776 9-SBLAW-2
5808 9-SBFIR-1
5840 9-SBFIR-2
5872 9-SBCOR-1
5904 9-SBCOR-2
5936 RANCHO WD-ENGR
5968 RANCHO WD-WMAIN
6000 RANCHO WD-SMAIN
6032 RANCHO WD-TRTMT
6064 RANCHO WD-INSP
6096 RANCHO WD-INDW
6128 RANCHO WD-TAC-1
6160 RANCHO WD-TAC-2
6192 ONTARIO AIRPORT PD OIA PD-2
6224 ONTARIO AIRPORT PD OIA PD-3
6256 ONTARIO AIRPORT FIRE OIA FD-1
6288 ONTARIO AIRPORT FIRE OIA FD-2

Hope this helps some of you "Berdoo-er's" out there.

Desdave

{~}:-l desdave@aol.com::::Got a Wife in Chino!::::desdave@aol.com l-:{~}

2446. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Granger <bgranger@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <37475cf3.0@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 117906

JCM1168 wrote in message <19990521011038.21436.00004724@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
>gee you must be a RF engineerski.... if i see you at a gas station I will
pull
>up next to the pump and turn on my 1K watt amp and KEY DOWWWWWNNNNNNNNNNN!

And you will be the bright flash we see on the evening news.

2447. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Granger <bgranger@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <37475def.0@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 117907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

>Whilst on the same subject a number of traffic accident fatalities have
>been caused here by drivers using cell phones, including head on
>crashes! Trouble is our road traffic laws are so slow to be changed that
>no doubt the carnage will continue.
>
>--
>From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!

Well around here there is a $190 fine if we are caught using a hand held mobile phone whilst driving. Hands free Car kits sell very well. We also have a very high percentage of mobile phone users. This year number of mobile voice calls made will exceed the fixed phone network.

Brad VK2QQ

2448. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <37555e62.29840936@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 117908
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote:

>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
>sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know of
>that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
>transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks
>in advance

Sure. It's called a Spark Gap transmitter, and has been illegal for
decades.

>Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
>LOSER

A LOSER is what you will suddenly become if you ever generate more than
a few milliwatts of broadband RF noise.

Get some education, troll.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2449. VisualRadio for WiNRadio WR1500e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: arbiter@altavista.net
Message-ID: <37485e8f.8503060@news-server>
Source record: 117909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Anyone tried the VisualRadio software with a WinRadio WR1500e?
If so, is it any good?

Pat

2450. Re: GPS-big brother is watching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dyemiola@infoave.et
Message-ID: <7i7oivsqh2@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 117910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3744ed5e.6665739@news>,
removals1@iname.com (Shiva Nataraja) wrote:

Re: GPS-big brother is watching.

Well, Duh!

Knowing your location is the whole point of GPS!

2451. How do I set freqs in a BC147XLT? Don't have manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: alstewart@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990522225546.02103.00004628@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 117911

I just bought a Uniden Bearcat BC 147XLT at a garage sale, but I don't know how to set the freqs I want to scan. Can anybody help? I have tried every button combo I can think of but nothing works. Thanks! alstewart@aol.com

2452. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374775F8.C63CAA90@usa.net>
Source record: 117912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

You really are the group FOOL, aren't you allsafe!!!!

Read what I said AGAIN!!

I never said that she did turn, I said: "...wife may always volunteer to turn to

give evidence..."

There was NO speculation on my part, I stated a simple FACT!

allsafe wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> >) and
> > he wife may
> > always volunteer to turn to give evidence & act as a witness against him
> > to save herself (she
> > has also been implicated becasue the business was in both names).
>
> No indication of Cindy doing any such thing. Pure speculation on your part.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2453. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37477664.B01B352A@usa.net>
Source record: 117913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Bill Conduit-

Why is it that you are unable to present any FACTS to support your
cause & have to resort to school yard type ridicule?

> listen up you anal retard
> given wretch by your five-
> nosed mama.
>
> One nose each to smell
> your ass each day to
> make sure you are not
> hers.

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2454. Re: 123.025!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990522233943.06675.00003329@ng155.aol.com>
Source record: 117914

Check rec.radio.swap since there are aviation portables listed there from time to time. Also, call 1-800-takeoff for Sportys Pilot Shop. They sell aviation handheld transceivers.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

2455. Re: Voice Activated Recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: porscheemb@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523000513.11357.00002238@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 117915

```
USE RecALL ON COMPUTER
```

2456. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <37477FD6.F31710E6@INVALID.com>
Source record: 117916

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> You really are the group FOOL, aren't you allsafe!!!!
>
> Read what I said AGAIN!!
>
> I never said that she did turn, I said: "...wife may always volunteer to turn to
>
> give evidence..."
>
> There was NO speculation on my part, I stated a simple FACT!

And what indication prompted you to post *that* assertion?

All things *may* come to pass. Aliens may transport Federal Officials investigating this case to an orbit around Pluto. That may not happen, but you can't completely rule it out.

This is a simple FACT!

But posting a wild assertion such as yours, without any evidence is downright mean. And you *know* it.

'Cause wild speculations make you look stupid. (And trust me: You really don't need any help in that department.)

What evidence prompted you to spew that distasteful guess at the case's progress?

You friggin' loon.

2457. TrakkStar Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Finney <jpfin@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37478F60.ABCA1A27@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello Trakkstar/OptoTrakker/OS456 Users,

I am using TrakkStar V7.33 with my PRO-2006/OS456/Opto Trakker combination. The system decodes tones OK but is having problems following trunked systems. Here are my observations:

- TrakkStar does not follow talkgroups very well. Sometimes it works, sometimes it does not work. My local trunked system is a Type 2 with 14 channels.

- When Trakkstar does find a talkgroup, it may loose the talkgroup in the middle of a transmission.

- I have a S-Meter installed on the PRO-2006. It is continuously activated when TrakkStar is scanning.

Questions:

- Can anyone recommend parameters that they have used successfully for TrakkStar?

- How do you set parameters in TrakkStar for a Hybrid system?

- What other trunking software do you recommend for my setup? I also run Probe V4.0 and it works great on conventional scanning.

Thanks for any help.....

Jim

--

Jim Finney
==========
jpfin@worldnet.att.net

2458. r7100 discriminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: oneidman@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523012402.20827.00004473@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 117918

i need location of the discriminator out put for the r7100 (oneidman@aol
.com)
thank you in advance

2459. Re: No more Realistic brand...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Keith <bkeith11@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <37479CAB.4FC@swbell.net>
Source record: 117919

zeno@magicnet.net wrote:
>
> Has anyone noticed a lack of any Realistic products in the 1999 Radio
> Shack catalogue? I emailed the , and they confirmed the name is gone. It
> started in 1954 before Tandy bought radio Shack. It was good to know ya
> Realistic. Now we have that stupid Optimus name.
I was always sort of put off by the "Realistic" label on their
equipment. It always seemed to me that compared to the REAL scanners
(Bearcat, Regency, Sonar in the old days) that the RS designation
"Realistic" meant just that--something passing for a scanner that just
did not measure up. It wasn't the real thing, just something appearing
"realistic". I will say that their later products certainly were
competitive with most other manufacturers, and they proved their
equipment was the real thing...bk

2460. Re: Question about WFM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric <user0087@excite.com>
Message-ID: <374793D7.3199E022@excite.com>
Source record: 117920
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Robert wrote:

> Try cellular. I haven't listened but a friend of a friend has...
> Ryan T. Faricy <online@faricy.net> wrote in message
> news:7i81ft$g6l$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
> > >My question is what is WFM used for??
> >
> > My guess is as good as anyone's - for audio quality. Television and
> > commercial broadcast radio (88-108 MHz) uses it, as well as wireless
> > microphones, I think.
> >
> > >When I search the 800MHZ I can hear a
> > >lot of communication under wide fm but not regular fm. Are they using
> > >different types of radios/??? Thanks for any help...
> >
> >
> > In my area, I am not aware of any 800 MHz systems that use WFM. Is it
> > possible that you are hearing signals other than voice, such as data?
> >
> > RTF
> >
> >

In my area thay use the 900Mhz Band to up-link the local AM radio station
on 951.0000 MHZ in WFM

2461. interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523023456.14039.00004041@ng13.aol.com>
Source record: 117921

whenever i listen to the local police department's dispatch on 155.07 i sometimes hear beeping noises like someone dialing a phone on speed dial. why is that?
email response is prefered

2462. interfernce

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523023637.24423.00004305@ng16.aol.com>
Source record: 117922

whenever i listen to the local police department's dispatch on 155.07 i sometimes hear beeping noises like someone dialing a phone on speed dial. why is that? i have pro 38
email response is prefered

2463. Re: Did I miss something?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mother Nature <m_nature@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3747A236.2B8A888C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 117923
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner

Rudi Mulder wrote:
>
> >>
> >> I'm ready for all enlightenment even if its electronical :))
> >Well the nhere is something for you. The higher in frequency you go;
> >the smaller the atenaa becomes. Inverse proportion.
>
> Okey so if i start with a REALLY BIG atenna then it ought to be enuff to go
> very high right?

And you might even touch bottom......<g>

2464. VENDS RECEPT / SCANNER AOR 3000 A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "A.Mar" <A.Mar@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <7i88jo$195$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 117924

a.mar@wanadoo.fr

VENDS NEUF ET GARANTI COMPLET .
RECEPT / SCANNER 3000A DE 100 KHZ 2036 mhz
mode de r ception : bls, bli, ce , fm bande troite , fm bde large .
AM / FM WFM imp dance antenne 50 homs livr complet .

PRIX FF 6500 emballage en sus RC 80 / 100 FF

Curieux s'abstenir a.mar@wanadoo.fr.

2465. Motorola Talkabout SLK 280s For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3747A593.3F51@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117925

Lowest price anywhere!
I have two top of the Motorola line of FRS radios. Features scanning of all 14channels and 38pl tones, 2 mile range. They come with Nickel Metal Hydride rechargable batteries and chargers and a copy of the manual. These radios are in like new condition. $190 for everything shipped. For more info check out the link. Thank you.
http://www.mot.com/LMPS/RPG/NA/portables/talkabout/talkabout_280_color.html

2466. Re: Frequency Directories from Mobile Radio Resources?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: RDK <rdk@a.crl.com>
Message-ID: <3747A957.1442@a.crl.com>
Source record: 117926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You may write or call Mobile Radio Resources

There Phone number is 408-269-5814 voice and 408-269-5811 fax
At present MRR only publishes frequency guides for california. They do offer a disc only for Nevada. For more info give them a call during business hours M-F. Good Luck

2467. Motorola Talkabout SLK 280s For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3747A6F3.5AAA@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117927

Lowest price anywhere!
I have two top of the Motorola line of FRS radios. Features scanning of all 14channels and 38pl tones, 2 mile range. They come with Nickel Metal Hydride rechargable batteries and chargers and a copy of the manual. These radios are in like new condition. $190 for everything shipped. For more info check out the link. Thank you.
http://www.mot.com/LMPS/RPG/NA/portables/talkabout/talkabout_280_color.html

2468. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3747AB6C.4154CF2@usa.net>
Source record: 117928

allsafe wrote:

> All things *may* come to pass. Aliens may transport Federal Officials investigating
> this case to an orbit around Pluto. That may not happen, but you can't completely
> rule it out.
>
> This is a simple FACT!

At least what I posted was a practical possibility. Actions involving aliens from"Pluto" is hardly realistic. You have only proven you can not seperate fact from fiction.

> 'Cause wild speculations make you look stupid.

YOUR speculation about alien from pluto certainly prove this!!!!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2469. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3747AC16.27ABB6CC@usa.net>
Source record: 117929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Glenn, Bill's level is already sub-basement, how could it go any lower?

Glenn wrote:

ignorant statements snipped, which left NOTHING from Glenn!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2470. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3747ACB9.5150C1BD@usa.net>
Source record: 117930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

If I am obsesed with that person, when why is it that
everyone else is always wanting to talk about him??

When was the last time you saw ME initiate any discussion about him?

tcrpe wrote:

> The only facts one needs to show that you are a loser, obsessed with Bill
> Cheek, are the hundreds of asinine posts you have made.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2471. Union County, NJ Frequency Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bfifm790@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523032713.11361.00002053@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 117931

Hi,

I am new to Union County and need help in scanning to police, fire, and EMS in the area. If anyone can help. Please let me know via e-mail.

Thanks

2472. Westfield, NJ PD Info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: bfifm790@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523032837.11361.00002054@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 117932

Hi,

I am interested in finding out the frequency plan for the Westfield PD in their radios. Also, I need their ten codes. If anyone can help, please let me know via e-mail.

Thanks

2473. Question about same frequency but different mode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <gzO13.28983$5e2.536851@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117933
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a question. If I am listening to a transmission on a freq. lets say 800.000MHZ i can hear a conversation fine but if I switch modes from FM to WFM I can hear a different conversation??!!?? Can someone explain to me how this can happen???
Thanks

Robert

2474. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: uhflink@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <uhflink-2305990110060001@dhcp9.mc01.dsl.azstarnet.com>
Source record: 117934
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <dtC13.26305$5e2.486891@news2.giganews.com>, "Robert"
<reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote:

> This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
> be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
> sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know of
> that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
> transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks
> in advance
>
> Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
> LOSER
>
> Thanks..
>
> Robert

Robert,

I have never heard of such a radio transmitter - there is no reason for
one to exist. Radio transmitters are much easier to make if they only have
to cover one band of the spectrum.

That said, there are devices that do what you wish - only they aren't
called transmitters. They are called RF signal generators, and good ones
are expensive. There are also broadband amplifiers that go up to hundreds
of watts over such a frequency range - but they aren't cheap either.

You can expect to pay three to ten thousand dollars for such a setup. Look
for Tucker or Naptech etc. on the web - these are used RF test equipment
dealers. The most common equipment is made by Hewlett Packard (generators)
and Amplifier Research (amps).

Also keep foremost in your mind that if you use such a device to interfere
with aircraft radio signals, you could kill people. So please don't do
anything so rash. And I'm not a 'loser', I'm just an ordinary person who
cares about others.

--Huffy

2475. Re: Who sells radios and stuff in kit form?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Wood <timfy@timfy.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7i8g52atf1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 117935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

http://www.howes-comms.demon.co.uk/index.htm has a range of kits, mainly aimed at beginners.

Lynton@iname.com wrote in message <7hu07q$n13$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>I know of Tentec and Ramsey Electronics, are there others reachable by
>web site? what is their URLs?
>Did Heathkit stopped selling kits ?
>
>
>--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
>---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2476. Re: Did I miss something?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rudi Mulder <mulder36@xs4all.nl>
Message-ID: <7i8ge4mlo1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 117936
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner

Mother Nature heeft geschreven in bericht <3747A236.2B8A888C@hotmail.com>...
>
>
>Rudi Mulder wrote:
>>
>> >>
>> >> I'm ready for all enlightenment even if its electronical :))
>> >Well the nhere is something for you. The higher in frequency you go;
>> >the smaller the atenaa becomes. Inverse proportion.
>>
>> Okey so if i start with a REALLY BIG atenna then it ought to be enuff to
go
>> very high right?
>
>And you might even touch bottom......<g>

good point there MN

2477. Re: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: inagaddadavida@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9510-374A1B2E-36@newsd-112.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 117588

i probably dont have any buisness answering because this is the first time i have ever read this group, however..... i have a home built T2FD antenna cut for low band and i am very happy with it. i used plastic window glass to fabricate the spredders and a 75 ohm to 300 ohm cable tv balun to match the coax to the antenna. it is a tilted (to get an omni pattern), terminated (has a resistor at the center-point), folded dipole. = T2FD. works great, wide band coverage, simple to build, relatively cheap parts. it is far superior to my commercialy made ground-plane antenna. search the web for T2FD ANTENNA, especially look at the nordic shortwave center for their info on building this antenna. i have about $20 in mine and about 2 hours work. try it, it will work.

2478. icom r7100 instructions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: roger <r_morris@efn.org>
Message-ID: <374A2514.B99C2768@efn.org>
Source record: 117589

Hi,
I have an Icom R7100 that just came out of storage, I cant find the instructions, and can't rememeber the basics. Does anybody have the basics for programming the R7100? (yeah I know, enter freq into a channel)

Roger

2479. Re: San Diego City Police Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <374A2766.2B46@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117590

bLuEgOoSe wrote:
>
> Could somebody please e-mail me their freq. data base for San Diego
> (Linda Vista). I need fire and police freq. I have a Radio Shack 2032
> scanner and I have not used it for about 18 months due to health
> problems. But now I need to re-prog the thing. Any information will be
> greatly appreciated.
>
> E-mail to bherring@san.rr.com
>
> Thanks in Advance
> Brad
> San Diego \Linda Vista

Check out the following URL:

http://www.SoCal911.com/

2480. Re: For Sale Cobra 2000 Excellent Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan - N0JKY <lotsacache@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <7id96l$m58$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117591
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Who are you Wolf, the group police?
I personally don't appreciate the language of your last post!
It's your Bull???? we don't need here, so go have another beer, and chill.

BB WOLF wrote in message <7i78gq$sf7$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>Get off his ASS !!! We don't need you're kind of bullshit here!!
>
>
>smokebowl <smokebowl@hempseed.com> wrote in message
>news:3747043f.4576369@news.flash.net...
>> haha.. with a name like pcmedic, you arent technical?
>>
>> On Sat, 22 May 1999 12:19:25 -0400, "Sam Wolinsky"
>> <pcmedicnj@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Hi all. I have for sale 1 Cobra 2000 base station CB with the speaker.
>> >Radio has been modified to cover from 26.815 Mhz to 28.045 Mhz. Radio
>> >transmits 20+ watts, clarifier has been opened. I have received several
>> >reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from
>me.
>> >I am not real technical so beyond what I have told you, I don't know
>> >anything else about the radio.
>> >I would rate this radio as an 8 out of 10. No scratches ect that I was
>able
>> >to find. Also included is the original manual, original 1 year warranty
>> >certificate, copy of service manual.
>> >From what I have seen and heard, the 2000 is one of the top of the line
>most
>> >sought after radios that was ever on the market in its day. After using
>it
>> >for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
>> >Price is $275+ shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order. References
>> >available upon request.
>> >Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this
>> >fine rig.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>> \
>

>
>

2481. Re: !!! Read This !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: bleedout@ebola.org
Message-ID: <374A355E.C754C238@ebola.org>
Source record: 117592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>
>
> LaLaS wrote in message <7i5rcg$ngh@newsops.execpc.com>...
> >I HEARD THIS !!!!!!!!
> >The RADIO SHACK officials and designers they rush in the theaters to watch
> >the STAR WAR movie.After the movie they all site on the table and they try
> to
> >designer a scanner like the one those Captain's have on the movie.
> >The scanner will be very small (Like Rolex watch)and they will cover the
> all
> >spectrum!!!!!!!
> >Also there is no duke or external antenna ,and no buttons .
> >To program the scanner is very simple ,just talk to the scanner and
> >automatically tune the frequency or any other function .
> >Also the scanner speaks 10 different language,play CD'S and MP3
> ,unlimited
> >channels and banks,
> >say time and date when you ask,hear the spaceship millions miles away,or
> any
> >broadcast from anywhere in the universe.
> >Also they say (RS Officials)the sensitivity will be so good you can hear
> baby
> >monitor IN TEXAS FROM CANADA !!!!!!!
> >There is more and more futures i can't list here now
> > !!!! S O R R Y N O C E L L U L A R !!!!!!
> >They will be on the market sometimes on the year 2000
> >So what do you thing ????
> >P.S.
> >They haven't set the price yet ??,but my good sources tall me about
> $289.00
> >- $399.99 + tax
> >
> >

I think LaLas can hear voices without the aid of any nifty space scanner.

2482. Re: FREQS FOR MAUI !!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <374A3944.68A5@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117593

Robert wrote:
>
> I would appreciate it if anyone has Freqencies for MAUI, HI !!!!!!!!!
>
> Can't find anything.....................please send any replies to:
>
> MQSABEV@earthlink.net
>
> Thanks !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Found these two URLs but I think they may be out date:

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/travel/honolulu.html
http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/localfrqs/hawaii/mauimisc.frq

The following URL indicates that the County of Maui was moving
to an E.F. Johnson MultiNet II Trunked System:

http://members.aol.com/wwhitby2/hi.html

You may want to search the following sites for additional info:

The FCC Database

http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

PerCon Frequency Database

http://www.perconcorp.com/datafinder/index.html

2483. Re: Mackey STILL causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <374A3AE1.2833E0F9@pacbell.net>
Source record: 117594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Because I have more respect for this NG & usenet as a whole to waste theprecious
> bandwidth with ALL your sniveling & lies.
>

R E A L I T Y C H E C K ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Definitive data on the posting history of Toilet Boy (aka Mackey). Edited for the more salient point(s). Compelling nonetheless. This is data from last year, we can only speculate just how much more bandwidth the friggin loon has wasted since.

SNIP --- Data From Smally ----

I have nothing else to say except that, out of curiousity, I searched the archives at Dejanews to see how much John "contributes" to the group. I found some 13000 posts in all in the scanner newsgroups since he arrived. THIRTEEN THOUSAND!!!!!!!!!!! Yet the on topic posts he has made can be counted on one hand, with room left over. Case closed, folks. John Mackey is the problem. Guilty as charged.

I have done it folks. I have accomplished what I set out to do. I have proven what the Clown Prince of Scanning is really all about: A personal, neverending vendetta against Bill Cheek.

SNIP ------ Data From Kindricks ------

Date	Mackey	Cheek	Kendrick	Bowers	Vetterle	allsafe	K4CC
JUL 98	413	386*	6	32	28	75	234
JUN 98	413	502*	0	64	45	97	95
MAY 98	22	208	0	11	8	12	12
APR 98	194	128	27	41	25	12	0
MAR 98	254	240	49	24	50	18	0
FEB 98	200	274	51	27	46	18	0
JAN 98	173	178	35	1	33	33	0
DEC 97	149	227	32	0	16	1	0
NOV 97	63	65	1	0	10	0	0
OCT 97	48	60	0	0	8	0	0
SEP 97	181	78	0	2	4	0	0
AUG 97	29	2	0	1	2	0	0
TOTALS	2139	2348	201	203	275	266	341

Consider this point. Less than two dozen of the total posts by Mackey pertained to scanners or scanning. Approximately half of the posts

made by Bill Cheek were devoted to scanners and scanning. Now go back
and look at the numbers again. You do the math.

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

2484. mailto:abuse@home.net To Report an Acceptable Use Policy Violations from John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Anderson <Tom_Anderson@newpro.net>
Message-ID: <374A21DA.CCDF1670@newpro.net>
Source record: 117595
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.athome.discussion

John Mackey is a subscriber of the @home Internet Service (Cable Modem)

@Home Networkï¿½ is located in Redwood City, California.

@Home Network
425 Broadway Street
Redwood City, CA 94063

Phone Numbers
Main Number 650.569.5000
Main Fax 650.569.5100
News Bureau 650.569.5195

To Report an Acceptable Use Policy Violation

To report an incident, please send email to mailto:abuse@home.com
including any documentation such as Usenet post or email with
complete headers, excerpt of log files, or complete URL.

The Acceptable Use Policy Management department can be reached at
650.569.5399 (voice), 650.482.4607 (fax).

http://www.home.net/about/contact.html

Let's go!

Official name: c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com

Addresses: 24.0.49.240

Whois for c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com
Registrant:
Home Network (HOME-DOM)
425 Broadway
Redwood City, CA 94063
US

Domain Name: HOME.COM

Administrative Contact, Technical Contact, Zone Contact:
Varsanyi, Ami (AV1662) mailto:abuse@HOME.NET
650-569-5333 (FAX) 650-482-4062
Billing Contact:
Du, Trung (TD2157) trung@CORP.HOME.NET

650-569-5437 (FAX) 650-569-5100

Record last updated on 28-Jul-98.
Record created on 16-Dec-93.
Database last updated on 24-May-99 08:14:43 EDT.

Domain servers in listed order:

NS1.HOME.NET 24.0.0.27
NS2.HOME.NET 24.2.0.27

Traceroute 24.0.49.240

This end is where samspade.org lives

1 206.117.161.1 (206.117.161.1) 1.372 ms 1.674 ms
2 isi-acg.ln.net (130.152.136.1) 4.033 ms 2.179 ms
3 s4-1-1.lsajca1-cr3.bbnplanet.net (4.24.40.13) 3.853 ms 2.910 ms
4 p4-1.lsajca1-nbr1.bbnplanet.net (4.24.4.17) 3.256 ms 3.542 ms
5 p2-0.lsajca1-nbr2.bbnplanet.net (4.24.4.22) 3.207 ms 3.481 ms
6 p2-1.sanjose1-nbr1.bbnplanet.net (4.0.1.17) 11.495 ms 11.947 ms
7 p1-0-0.sanjose1-br2.bbnplanet.net (4.0.1.82) 12.308 ms 10.952 ms
8 bb1.mae-w-sj.home.net (198.32.136.142) 12.260 ms 13.901 ms
9 172.16.2.130 (172.16.2.130) 199.614 ms 237.402 ms
10 r1-fe0-0-100bt.rdc1.wa.home.net (24.0.2.2) 236.488 ms 211.513 ms
11 10.0.220.62 (10.0.220.62) 226.830 ms 216.565 ms
12 c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com (24.0.49.240) 289.936 ms 242.195 ms

This end is where the John Mackey is.

go to http://www.samspade.org enter John's NNTP number "24.0.49.240"

and http://www.deja.com enter the same NNTP Number "24.0.49.240"

Does John Mackey fit the profile of a "suspicious person"?

2485. mailto:abuse@home.net To Report an Acceptable Use Policy Violations from John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Anderson <Tom_Anderson@newpro.net>
Message-ID: <374A2971.D6F5383B@newpro.net>
Source record: 117596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.swap,alt.athome.security

To Report an Acceptable Use Policy Violation

To report an incident, please send email to mailto:abuse@home.com
including any documentation such as Usenet post or email with
complete headers, excerpt of log files, or complete URL.

The Acceptable Use Policy Management department can be reached at
650.569.5399 (voice), 650.482.4607 (fax).

http://www.home.net/about/contact.html

mailto:abuse@home.com

Re: John Mackey c37904-a.potlnd1.or.home.com 24.0.49.240

2486. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: deeeda <deeeda@bigpond.com.au>
Message-ID: <1Cu23.278$PN5.2633@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 117597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

A Mobile Phone or transmitter can certainly spark an explosion around flammable fuels and gases.
Ask your nearest service station console operator.One of their biggest headaches is customers filling up while on their mobile.
Not only is it dangerous ,it is also illegal.Telstra know this but do not make much of an effort to tell the public.

2487. FS: Icom R10 & Scout combo - Make offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <3749E7C9.AB3330F7@bv.net>
Source record: 117598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcguyver.vcf

I have an unblocked R10 scanner with Icom Software, and Optoelectronics Scout model 40 (with reaction tune cable) for sale. I have had two back out of deals. Best offer plus shipping takes it

ALSO: Optolinx, complete unit with all cables and software.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2488. Re: QuickCall decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@ktb.net>
Message-ID: <3749E12F.DB564D98@ktb.net>
Source record: 117599

Michael wrote:
>
> Does anyone have an online address where I can download a program that
> will decode 2 tone sequential tones from my scanners earphone jack? Any
> help would be appriciated.
> Paracop@sprynet.com...Mike
Try my page:
http://www.ktb.net/~gmitch/decoders.htm
Click the 'lots of info' link. This site lists many different
decoders. You will have to research a little.

2489. Re: New RadioShack 2m Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <374aa7d8.7006295@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 117637
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio,phl.scanner

zeno@magicnet.net wrote:

>In article <3748BDC7.115A@ameritech.net>, theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net wrote:

>> How come the Sshack does not sell 6 meter or 33 centimeter stuff?
>
>They used to have a 220 Mhz HT that looked like their current 2 M HT. But
>they dropped it though.

No, they never had such a radio, at least in the USA.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2490. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3749a69e.6692051@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 117638

"Spunky The Waffle Iron" <spunky@nospam.org> wrote:

>Sure we have a right to throw stones at the marchers if the marchers insult
>us and our uniforms and taunt us, while insisting that we learn to play their
>useless Xylophones if we also want to become marchers, while we have synthesizers
>which will entertain the audience much better. ;)

It appears that you and people like you _deserve_ to be taunted.
Getting a ham ticket is trivially easy. Anybody who uses those excuses
not to get a ham ticket is either terminally lazy or stupid.

Notice that the name-calling here was BEGUN by you or one of your ilk,
NOT by a ham.

>> I'm an old ham, and I'm anything but cliquish or elitist. I _do_ get
>> tired of folks whining about cliquish old hams instead of becoming new
>> hams and making some much-needed changes and contributions to the hobby.
>> If you ain't part of the parade, you have no right to sit on the
>> sidewalk and throw stones at the marchers.
>

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2491. Scanner Radio web site link swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990524171923.04496.00004564@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 117639

Email us your web site title and url if you are interested in swapping Scanner Radio web site links. http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html
CTP Speech Inversion Descramblers

http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

2492. Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oppegaard <eoppegaard@cshore.com>
Message-ID: <3749C955.DE179B14@cshore.com>
Source record: 117640
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: eoppegaard.vcf

I am with the Volunteer Fire department in my area. I am looking for a cheap, maybe even homemade, antenna to work on low band (46.06mhz). I would also like to be able to hear high band frequences (458.385mhz). The antenna would have to work in my attic. Also, what kind of pre-amp would you reccomend?

Thanks in advance

Eric Oppegaard
Explorer Communications, NMVFD

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2493. Re: Radio Transmitters (2m/70cm bands)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3749c77b.748152@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 117641
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Source:Amateur Radio Encyclopedia..... Stan Gibilisco,W1GV,Editor in Cheif.
The Citizens Radio Service (Citizens Band),is a public,non-commercial radio service that is available to the general population of the US.There are 4 classes of CB radio,called Class A,Class B,Class C and Class D.Class A stations are licensed in the band 460 to 470 MHz and are allowed 60 watts input power.Class B stations are allowed 5 watts of input power in the same band....etc..etc.....
Maybe you should write a book correcting everyones mistakes.....

On Mon, 24 May 1999 12:18:50 GMT, barryo@fastlink.com.au (Barry O'Grady) wrote:

>stevens@mindspring.com (Steven) wrote:
>
>: Are you asking about UHF CBs (450-460 MHz) or VHF/UHF
>:(144-p148 MHz/420-450 MHz) Amateur Radios?
>
>UHF CB is 477.425 to 477.400 MHz. 433.05 to 434.79 MHz is available
>for unlicensed operation up to 25 mw EIRP for any purpose.
>
>Barry
>==========
>Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
>Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

2494. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <70k23.37532$5e2.649956@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

The reason they didn't respond to YOU is that YOU are a proven insincere
asshole. It had nothing to do with Cheek, but it did prove what you were.

Go back to your CB.

TR

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <3748FF3A.C5BF46A6@usa.net>...
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
>> if bill ever has to ask for assistance
>> for his legal defense fund, all he has
>> to do is ask. I'll be the first to get
>> him what I can. and i'll bet hundreds
>> of NGers and more will too.
>
>The facts of the NG "rec.radio.scanner" prove you wrong!!! I
>suggesteda legal defense be started for him & VERY FEW people
>responded. Then
>another person started a legal defense fund & AGAIN very few responded.
>BTW - If you read Bill's letters he HAS asked for contributions & has
>stated that he
>is having to resort to a public defender becasue he has no money to
>defend himself!
>
>
>> try asking people to help you with
>> money for your defense fund.
>
>I have done NOTHING that needs a defense & if I had I
>have the resources to defend myself!
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2495. Re: AOR 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Hawkes <pete@hawkesp.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7icid2hdq1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 117643
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

VooDoo <@woodcock76.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
<7i9bqs$4c7$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>I have recently purchased an AOR 2002 scanner, but have no manual.
>Can anyone help?
>
>Thanks in advance,
>Stu
>
>

2496. Re: Connecticut State Police Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: MacDaddy <Miteel@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7ickrh$6o7$1@news.ycc.yale.edu>
Source record: 117644

What about when they get their new radios? Digital?
Rocky178 <rocky178@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990524155004.11239.00005835@ng-fp1.aol.com...
> thanks

2497. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: No Spam! <NOSPAM@RSCCD.ORG>
Message-ID: <7icleu$c83$1@hades.csu.net>
Source record: 117645
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert wrote in message ...
>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has
to
>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
>sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know
of
>that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
>transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks
>in advance

>Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
>LOSER

I think you need a LART! With your attitude, who needs enemies?

(Luser Attitude Adjustment Tool, AKA Clue-by-Four across the head)

>Thanks..
>Robert
>
>

2498. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: CW <cmagers@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <01bea63b$a07d4c80$e82079a5@spry941360spry08.sprynet.com>
Source record: 117646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Think again. The code requirement is on it's way out. That is undeniable fact.

--
CW
KC7NOD

You're going to grow old,
Before we let you on without the code.

2499. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3749DFAA.F2E@sir.com>
Source record: 117647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:
>
> No way dude!
> Drop the code because it is obsolete, but not the classes!

How silly would it be if other hobbies like Painting or woodworking
would have certain special classes or groups in which some people could
only use limited paints or types of wood then other people in the hobby?

ONE CLASS of HAM LICENSE is enough!

2500. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoFixxxer <Unknown@unknown.com>
Message-ID: <Jhl23.21782$r_1.12725364@newscontent-02.sprint.ca>
Source record: 117648
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I know what you are trying to say, and was about to reply and give you some useful info, Until the last Paragraph. Looks like YOU are the LOSER this time.

Robert wrote in message ...
>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
>sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know of
>that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
>transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks
>in advance
>
>Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
>LOSER
>
>Thanks..
>
>Robert
>
>

2501. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3749E03B.48F6@sir.com>
Source record: 117649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> It's the second-most used mode.

What a silly argument!
Using a washboard is the second-most used method of washing clothes
after a washing machine, so lets require people to use washboards before
they are allowed to use a washing machine.

2502. Re: !!! Read This !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: NoFixxxer <Unknown@unknown.com>
Message-ID: <UjI23.21785$r_1.12726984@newscontent-02.sprint.ca>
Source record: 117650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hey Lala, looks like you are in Teletubby land.

Pretty funny though. Guess you can use it to spy on Tinky Winky and find out if he REALLY is gay!

LaLaS wrote in message <7i5rcg$ngh@newsops.execpc.com>...
>I HEARD THIS !!!!!!!!
>The RADIO SHACK officials and designers they rush in the theaters to watch
>the STAR WAR movie.After the movie they all site on the table and they try
to
>designer a scanner like the one those Captain's have on the movie.
>The scanner will be very small (Like Rolex watch)and they will cover the
all
>spectrum!!!!!!!
>Also there is no duke or external antenna ,and no buttons .
>To program the scanner is very simple ,just talk to the scanner and
>automatically tune the frequency or any other function .
>Also the scanner speaks 10 different language,play CD'S and MP3
,unlimited
>channels and banks,
>say time and date when you ask,hear the spaceship millions miles away,or
any
>broadcast from anywhere in the universe.
>Also they say (RS Officials)the sensitivity will be so good you can hear
baby
>monitor IN TEXAS FROM CANADA !!!!!!!
>There is more and more futures i can't list here now
> !!!! S O R R Y N O C E L L U L A R !!!!!!
>They will be on the market sometimes on the year 2000
>So what do you thing ????
>P.S.
>They haven't set the price yet ??,but my good sources tall me about
$289.00
>- $399.99 + tax
>
>

2503. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3749E222.5165@sir.com>
Source record: 117651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>
> MSteven wrote:
> >
> > On Sat, 22 May 1999 20:35:48 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> > <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Steven wrote:
> > >>
> > >> On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:45:00 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> > >> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >Mike Silva wrote:
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Mother Nature wrote in message <37438215.1DB5FBEE@hotmail.com>...
> > >> >> >
> > >> >> >Danny wrote:
> > >> >> >>
> > >> >> >> Mother Nature wrote:
> > >> >> >> >
> > >> >> >> >>
> > >> >> >--
> > >> >> >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > >> >> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> > >> >--
> > >> >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > >> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> > MEOW MEOW ROOF ROOF
> > --
> > REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

Why do people not delete all this crap before posting a reply? Why do
people have to use gay signature files?
```

2504. Re: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Norel Mitchlief <pospon@updix.net>
Message-ID: <7ic0onqtv1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117652

The Comet GP-15 would be an excellent choice but it costs $155 from AES. You could use a discone which would be okay but the GP-15 would give you much more gain, especially in the 460 Mhz range. It would be weak in the 800 Mhz region and maybe not too good in the 220 Mhz range. Look at some ANLI (Premier) or Lake tri band antennas, they are usually quite a bit cheaper than Comet or Diamond. For these two specific freqs, it would be better to have two antennas, each cut for the freq you want to listen to. For instance, a quarter wave antenna, 5 feet 1 inch would be perfect for 46 Mhz. Should be easy to make for a few bucks.

Eric Oppegaard wrote in message <3749C955.DE179B14@cshore.com>...
>I am with the Volunteer Fire department in my area. I am looking for a
>cheap, maybe even homemade, antenna to work on low band (46.06mhz). I
>would also like to be able to hear high band frequences (458.385mhz).
>The antenna would have to work in my attic. Also, what kind of pre-amp
>would you reccomend?
>
>Thanks in advance
>
>Eric Oppegaard
>Explorer Communications, NMVFD
>

2505. Re: BLAKE BOWERS LIES AGAIN!!!!! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA? Or is it really John Mackey selling licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: kurtullman@sprintmail.com
Message-ID: <7ibi3r$2uo$5@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117653
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.firefighters

In article <37492672.D4BC1B0F@gw-tech.com>, Carey Gregory
<cgregory@gw-tech.com> wrote:
>Who the hell is John Mackey? Who gives a shit?
>
If I remember right, he was a lineman for the Balitmore Colts in the
late 60s, early 70s.

>Now, you boys run along and play and quit cross-posting your little pissing
>match to misc.emerg-services.
>

Mr. M, is retired and I don't know what he did to po the boys in the
other NGs.

"People everywhere confuse what they read in the newspapers with news."
-A.J. Liebling

2506. Re: !!! READ THIS !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chad Eitle <eitle@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2CI23.54$cq1.10789@iagnews.iagnet.net>
Source record: 117654

What the hell did you just smoke???

Barry O'Grady wrote in message <374944ac.12946437@genenews.acay.com.au>...
>LaLaS <starwar@spaceship.com> wrote:
>
>:I HEARD THIS !!!!!!!!
>:The RADIO SHACK officials and designers they rush in the theaters to
>:watch
>:the STAR WAR movie.After the movie they all site on the table and they
>:try to
>:designer a scanner like the one those Captain's have on the movie.
>:The scanner will be very small (Like Rolex watch)and they will cover
>:the all
>:spectrum!!!!!!!
>:Also there is no duke or external antenna ,and no buttons .
>:To program the scanner is very simple ,just talk to the scanner and
>:automatically tune the frequency or any other function .
>:Also the scanner speaks 10 different language,play CD'S and MP3
>:,unlimited
>:channels and banks,
>:say time and date when you ask,hear the spaceship millions miles
>:away,or any
>:broadcast from anywhere in the universe.
>:Also they say (RS Officials)the sensitivity will be so good you can hear
>:baby
>:monitor IN TEXAS FROM CANADA !!!!!!!
>:There is more and more futures i can't list here now
>
>We understand. You are doing well for an illiterate.
>
>: !!!! S O R R Y N O C E L L U L A R !!!!!!
>:They will be on the market sometimes on the year 2000
>:So what do you thing?
>
>Do you thing at all?
>
>:P.S.
>:They haven't set the price yet ??,but my good sources tall me about
>:$289.00
>:- $399.99 + tax
>
>Barry
>==========
>Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.

>Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

2507. Re: New RadioShack 2m Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <vJI23.37855$5e2.660088@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117655
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio,phl.scanner

I looked at the RadioShack 2-meter handie-talkie today and it seems to be a pretty good radio. Very good sound quality, reasonable power for its size, and sturdy construction. However, at $179.99 it is priced pretty high compared with its competition. Perhaps when it goes on sale (and we all know it will) it might be a good deal. I hope they come out with a radio similar to this that is a dual band. I'd be more interested then.

2508. New mailing list for northern NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkozinnNotForSpam@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11b3b22973ed47d19896b2@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117656
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For those of you interested in scanning in the northern New Jersey area, I've created a new mailing list on Onelist. Here's the "about" message from the list:

"This list is for anyone interested in listing to police, fire and similar frequencies (scanning) in the northern New Jersey area (primarily Bergen County). Please use this list to share not only frequencies of interest, but also to serve as a notification list for "in progress" events such as working fires, chases, etc. Please do NOT use this list to discuss anything illegal, such as monitoring cell frequencies."

To sign up, click here: http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/nnj-scan

2509. Wanted: RS Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phable <tuckerfan@minneapolis.crosswinds.net>
Message-ID: <7iccmv$6o9$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117657

I am interested in purchasing a pro-2006. Mods or none. Good condition. Will pay resonable price, email with price to Snazzy_kid@softhome.net (my sons email, my wife would kill me if she knew i was getting another radio)

2510. FA: GRE Radio Scanner Amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <WPI23.37867$5e2.660372@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117658

I am auctioning off a GRE Radio Scanner Amplifier on Amazon.com. It is in excellent condition. See Amazon for a picture and description of the product. As I almost always do, the bidding starts at just $1. Now I expect it to sell for more than that of course, but there is an opportunity for someone to get a really great deal.
The link to the auction is:
http://auctions.amazon.com/exec/varzea/ts/auction-glance/Y02X6236845X1694729

Thanks
John Habbinga - KC5ZRQ

2511. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7icqnesij1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

D Stark wrote in message ...
>>But it IS just a 'regulation', not a LAW! The FCC can't make laws, only
>>Congress has that ability under the Constitution.
>
>
>FCC makes regulations because it is empowered to do so by the Legislative
>Branch (Congress) of the Federal Government, which authorizes funding for
>the Commission and passes laws that the FCC and other Executive Branch
>agencies must enforce. FCC regulations are the way most
>communication-related laws passed by Congress are carried out. Violation
of
>a REGULATION is ILLEGAL. You can be fined very heavily for violating "just
>a 'regulation'" promulgated by the FCC, with the full weight of LAW behind
>it.
>
>Like I said....

Hmmmm... how convenient for Uncle Sam. Laws have to be voted on, but the FCC can adopt new reg's at will, which when violated, hold the same or worse penalties. Nice touch.

Like JT says, if voting mattered it would be illegal.

-Phil

2512. Re: TrakkStar Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7icr11smb1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jim Finney wrote in message <37478F60.ABCA1A27@worldnet.att.net>...
>Hello Trakkstar/OptoTrakker/OS456 Users,
>
>I am using TrakkStar V7.33 with my PRO-2006/OS456/Opto Trakker
>combination. The system decodes tones OK but is having problems
>following trunked systems. Here are my observations:
>
>- TrakkStar does not follow talkgroups very well. Sometimes it works,
>sometimes it does not work. My local trunked system is a Type 2 with 14
>channels.
>
>- When Trakkstar does find a talkgroup, it may loose the talkgroup in
>the middle of a transmission.
>
>- I have a S-Meter installed on the PRO-2006. It is continuously
>activated when TrakkStar is scanning.
>
>Questions:
>
>- Can anyone recommend parameters that they have used successfully for
>TrakkStar?
>
>- How do you set parameters in TrakkStar for a Hybrid system?
>
>- What other trunking software do you recommend for my setup? I also
>run Probe V4.0 and it works great on conventional scanning.
>
>Thanks for any help.....

I've had great results using Trunker and Etrunker on a BC895xlt (soon to be
a pair of 895's). Both are freeware and should support your setup just fine!
Look at Lindsay Blantons' site:
http://web2.airmail.net/~lblant1/dfw/digital.htm for details.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2513. Re: !!! READ THIS !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7icr70sqe1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117661

Chad Eitle wrote in message <2Cl23.54$cq1.10789@iagnews.iagnet.net>...
>What the hell did you just smoke???

The same thing that Looney Tune has smoked for years.

At least this was entertaining, unlike his spew. It almost had an "Emilio"
quality to it. Almost.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2514. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Larson <ricklarson@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <7ics91$56t$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 117662
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Several months ago.
MDT equipment and decoding software
probably sold to federal undercover agents.
It looks like he bragged or boasted too much about
what could be done to decode MDT transmissions.
Rick

Mike Schriber <schriber@hotchip.com> wrote in message
news:3748e685.262559161@news-server...
> Woah! When did Bill get arrested and for what?
>
> This is news to me!
>
> Mike

2515. bearcat scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russ <12volts@nac.net>
Message-ID: <3749F68C.73D6A1FB@nac.net>
Source record: 117663

Have a bearcat 20/20 scanner no audio. changed c98 and ic-10 lm386. does anyone have any other info?

Russ

2516. Re: FRS Saves Country Boy in Big City

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3749F8F4.2BF8@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117664
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:
>
> What the heck is wrong with your news posts?
> Evertime you post, everything is in big text and if we follow
> it up, our posts will be in big text too?!?
No, they won't. AS YOU CAN SEE BY EXAMPLE
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2517. Re: Radio Transmitters (2m/70cm bands)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3749F77B.54ED@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117665
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
> stevens@mindspring.com (Steven) wrote:
>
> : Are you asking about UHF CBs (450-460 MHz) or VHF/UHF
> :(144-p148 MHz/420-450 MHz) Amateur Radios?
>
> UHF CB is 477.425 to 477.400 MHz. 433.05 to 434.79 MHz is available
> for unlicensed operation up to 25 mw EIRP for any purpose.
>
> Barry
> ==========
> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
Well here is another excellent cross-post
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2518. Re: Radio Transmitters (2m/70cm bands)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3749F7D0.67A2@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117666
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Steven wrote:
>
> Source:Amateur Radio Encyclopedia..... Stan Gibilisco,W1GV,Editor in
> Cheif.
> The Citizens Radio Service (Citizens Band),is a
> public,non-commercial radio service that is available to the general
> population of the US.There are 4 classes of CB radio,called Class
> A,Class B,Class C and Class D.Class A stations are licensed in the
> band 460 to 470 MHz and are allowed 60 watts input power.Class B
> stations are allowed 5 watts of input power in the same
> band....etc..etc.....
> Maybe you should write a book correcting everyones mistakes.....
>
> On Mon, 24 May 1999 12:18:50 GMT, barryo@fastlink.com.au (Barry
> O'Grady) wrote:
>
> >stevens@mindspring.com (Steven) wrote:
> >
> >: Are you asking about UHF CBs (450-460 MHz) or VHF/UHF
> >:(144-p148 MHz/420-450 MHz) Amateur Radios?
> >
> >UHF CB is 477.425 to 477.400 MHz. 433.05 to 434.79 MHz is available
> >for unlicensed operation up to 25 mw EIRP for any purpose.
> >
> >Barry
> >==========
> >Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> >Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
No, no. There is a Police Radio Ban in the 460 Megacycle area.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2519. FS Uniden BCT-7 800 MHZ Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phillip Tibbetts <kd1my@banet.net>
Message-ID: <3749F8E2.1A5269CB@banet.net>
Source record: 117667

I have a Uniden BearTracker BCT-7 800 MHZ Pre-Programmed scanner which is surplus to my needs. It comes with Box, Manual, AC adapter, Telescoping antenna, Windshield mount antenna, Mobile mount bracket, and Mobile power cord. All is in excellent condition electrically and physically. Will sell for $150 including shipping to CONUS.

2520. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tesla <r314159@mtco.com>
Message-ID: <CXm23.65$cq1.12030@iagnews.iagnet.net>
Source record: 117668
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
The Man Apologized already, People try to Get over it and move on.
NoFixxxer <Unknown@unknown.com> wrote in message
news:Jhl23.21782$r_1.12725364@newscontent-02.sprint.ca...
> I know what you are trying to say, and was about to reply and give you
some
> useful info, Until the last Paragraph. Looks like YOU are the LOSER this
> time.
>
> Robert wrote in message ...
> >This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has
> to
> >be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
> >sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know
> of
> >that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you
know
> >transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say...
Thanks
> >in advance
> >
> >Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> >neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my
life
> >LOSER
> >
> >Thanks..
> >
> >Robert
> >
> >
>
>
```

2521. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3749FBBE.2A1D@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Sir wrote:
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
> >
> > MSteven wrote:
> > >
> > > On Sat, 22 May 1999 20:35:48 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> > > <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Steven wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:45:00 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> > > >> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >Mike Silva wrote:
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> Mother Nature wrote in message <37438215.1DB5FBEE@hotmail.com>...
> > > >> >> >
> > > >> >> >Danny wrote:
> > > >> >> >>
> > > >> >> >> Mother Nature wrote:
> > > >> >> >> >
> > > >> >> >>
> > > >> >> >--
> > > >> >> >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > > >> >> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >>--
> > > >> >>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > > >> >>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> > > >>
> > > >> MEOW MEOW ROOF ROOF
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > > > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> > >
> > Why do people not delete all this crap before posting a reply? Why do
> > people have to use gay signature files?
> What are you telling me buddy that your sig files are straight?
> Hey Einstien.
> --
> REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
```

2522. Re: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oppegaard <eoppegaard@cshore.com>
Message-ID: <3749FDDB.E8B17C31@cshore.com>
Source record: 117670
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: eoppegaard.vcf

ok, now a dumb question, how do I make my own antenna? It would be for a base station.

Norel Mitchlief wrote:

> The Comet GP-15 would be an excellent choice but it costs $155 from AES.
> You could use a discone which would be okay but the GP-15 would give you
> much more gain, especially in the 460 Mhz range. It would be weak in the
> 800 Mhz region and maybe not too good in the 220 Mhz range. Look at some
> ANLI (Premier) or Lake tri band antennas, they are usually quite a bit
> cheaper than Comet or Diamond. For these two specific freqs, it would be
> better to have two antennas, each cut for the freq you want to listen to.
> For instance, a quarter wave antenna, 5 feet 1 inch would be perfect for 46
> Mhz. Should be easy to make for a few bucks.
>
> Eric Oppegaard wrote in message <3749C955.DE179B14@cshore.com>...
> >I am with the Volunteer Fire department in my area. I am looking for a
> >cheap, maybe even homemade, antenna to work on low band (46.06mhz). I
> >would also like to be able to hear high band frequences (458.385mhz).
> >The antenna would have to work in my attic. Also, what kind of pre-amp
> >would you reccomend?
> >
> >Thanks in advance
> >
> >Eric Oppegaard
> >Explorer Communications, NMVFD
> >

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2523. John Mackey - A definition, and a vision.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7icuf8oi1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117671
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.firefighters

Kurt Ullman wrote in message <7ibi3r$2uo$5@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>In article <37492672.D4BC1B0F@gw-tech.com>, Carey Gregory
><cgregory@gw-tech.com> wrote:
>>Who the hell is John Mackey? Who gives a shit?
>>
> If I remember right, he was a lineman for the Balitmore Colts in
the
>late 60s, early 70s.
You had to ask..... Oh well. I'd hate for someone to think that a former football star is behind this crap, so here it is:

The Definition:
That's the wrong John Mackey. This one's only claim to fame is being the top off-topic poster on usenet with thousands of off-topic messages across several different newsgroups in his short but moronic career on the internet. A dejanews search reveals all about this clown, with such famous threads as "The PERFECT defense for Bill Cheek!!!!" and "Blake Bowers CAUGHT forging & flaming AGAIN!". It is his self proclaimed mission in life, if you can imagine it, to single-handedly rid usenet of anyone who opposes his warped and twisted point of view. He will do anything to support his cause and has been caught lying, forging, cancelling messages, and creating aliases to show a false front of support. He is a living breathing self propaganda machine, and a bad one at that.

Now, our pal John will deny all or most of this, but don't be fooled. Do a dejanews search for yourself, and see the sheer number of messages this person has posted over the years. Dejanews reported back 1562 messages in rec.radio.scanner alone. By my count, John has posted about 6 or 7 on topic messages (very loosely on topic) out of that amount. The rest of them were simply insults, name calling, or sniveling denials and "prove it" posts. He thrives on replies, no matter how insulting. I think it is a subconscious need for attention that he apparently cannot receive anywhere else.

Prior to usenet, he was on a Fido echo related to scanners, but was subsequently kicked off of the echo for the same kind of behavior, which he still denies to this day. Just ask him anything, he denies all. The consummate liar's liar. To hear him tell it, he is a victim of a ruthless bunch of cyberthugs who harrass and torment him daily, but in reality he brings it all upon himself willingly. He enjoys it, of this I am convinced. The only thing more certain than his desire to disrupt is his predictable replies to any posting mentioning either himself or Bill Cheek, the subject of his apparent obsession.

John is the proverbial pot calling the kettle black. He is the person depicted in Aesops' fables, the one who only sees the small bag representing the faults of others tied in front of him and not the mammoth bag of his own faults on his back. He will take in an insult today only to use it on someone else tomorrow. He is a mirror, a virtual parrot who uses the same tactics that others use against him. He is lacking in better judgement, discretion, logic and common sense.

Sorry to post it to the non-scanner related groups, but I think it only fair that you all know the real deal about Laughing John's Flying Circus.

The Vision:

The only reason that John exists is because of the actions of others. I have in the past and still today propose a simple solution: ignore him. Once he is no longer able to disrupt the group, he will finally move on. Do not reply to him directly, and he will have no basis for his attacks. He will appear foolish and meaningless to any and all who happen to read his ramblings. Much like a small child who finds that a temper tantrum won't deliver the goods, he'll find better ways to fill his free time. No doubt he'll reply to this posting in an attempt to provoke me into a flame war, but it won't work - I would much rather discuss things related to scanning than things relating to immaturity. It is my belief that if others shared my feelings on this (and many do) that John would someday soon find that the only one listening to John Mackey is John Mackey.

And that, fellow citizens, would be music to my ears.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2524. Optocom:PalmPilot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3749F60D.B9F9B224@hotmail.com>
Source record: 117672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I just recently got an Optocom, and I wanted to buy a PalmPilot to control it for mobile operation. In the readme.txt file that comes with the PalmPilot program it states that it has not been tested on the Palm Pilot III (Only the PalmPilot Pro). I was just wondering if anyone has any problems running this program on PalmPilot III. My second question regards the operation of this program. Will it let you change the store and scan frequencies in the radio, or will it only switch between them and decode tones? They also state in the readme.txt file that they give you the source in hopes that people will modify the program to make it better. Is there any better versions out there I can get?

Thanks for the help!

2525. mods for BC235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gardner Horton <GSHorton@icx.net>
Message-ID: <374A0402.B089B5F@icx.net>
Source record: 117673

Need mod info for BC 235. Any help appreciated....

2526. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jerry G." <jerryg50@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3Nn23.7032$X5.13720@news.total.net>
Source record: 117674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Monochrome TV is refered to as a black and white broadcast. Black
is the absence of light, and the white is a high intensity of light
given off from the CRT.

The true defination of a monochrome CRT, is one with a single gun or
channel. You can have a single gun CRT with green or orange phosphor
as in the older computer monochrome screens.

--

Jerry Greenberg

Greetings...

From the land of the " Great Ice Storm Of 1998 ! "
Floods, And Who Knows What ???
See Y'a Soon... Jerry Greenberg
==========
jerryg@total.net
http://www.total.net/~jerryg
http://www.zoom-one.com
http://www.zoom-one.com/electron.htm

Just Looking At You Kid...

If It's Not Broke, Don't Fix It...!
If It Don't Make Noise, Shake It !
If It Don't Move, Smack It !
If It's Broke, Then Fix It ...!
If You Don't Know How To Fix It, Give It Out !
==========

Three Things To Not Take A Crash Course:
1) Flying
2) Driving
3) Computers
4) Marriage???
Your Computer May Be 2000 Ready... But Are You???

========== Message Separator ==========

Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:7i9hkk$nm4@news.Hawaii.Edu...
In article <3745E425.1FDF@ameritech.net>, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<URL:mailto:theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> Since when do TV station broadcast in one colo(u)r as the name

> Monochrome would imply. Heck, even Black and White is two
colo(u)rs.

"Monochrome" refers to the CRT having a single electron-beam gun and
a single-color phosphor on the CRT's screen.

Mono = single.

Depending upon the CRT's grid voltage, the beam+phosphor can create
dozens
and dozens shades of grey.

Jeff KH6O
--
Fingerprint Classification Services, Inc.: Your own personal copy
of your fingers' inked impressions, classified utilizing the Henry
classification formula (with FBI extensions), $15 + postage.

2527. IC-R2 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Finney <jpfin@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <374A0898.7015C786@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117675

Hello,

Anyone know how to open up all of 800Mhz on an Icom IC-R2?

Thanks,

Jim...

--

Jim Finney
==========
jpfin@worldnet.att.net

2528. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <374A08FB.7F92@sir.com>
Source record: 117676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>
> Sir wrote:
> >
> > OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
> > >
> > > MSteven wrote:
> > > >
> > > > On Sat, 22 May 1999 20:35:48 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> > > > <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >Steven wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >> On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:45:00 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> > > > >> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >> >Mike Silva wrote:
> > > > >> >>
> > > > >> >> Mother Nature wrote in message <37438215.1DB5FBEE@hotmail.com>...
> > > > >> >> >
> > > > >> >> >Danny wrote:
> > > > >> >> >>
> > > > >> >> >> Mother Nature wrote:
> > > > >> >> >> >>
> > > > >> >> >> >>
> > > > >> >> >>--
> > > > >> >> >>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > > > >> >> >>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> > > > >> >> >--
> > > > >> >> >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > > > >> >> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> > > > >> MEOW MEOW ROOF ROOF
> > > > >> --
> > > > >> REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > > > >> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> > > > >
> > > > --
> > > > REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > > > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
```

2529. Re: John Mackey - A definition, and a vision.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <374A0DA4.B2DC83A4@INVALID.com>
Source record: 117677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.firefighters

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Kurt Ullman wrote in message <7ibi3r$2uo$5@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >In article <37492672.D4BC1B0F@gw-tech.com>, Carey Gregory
> ><cgregory@gw-tech.com> wrote:
> >>Who the hell is John Mackey? Who gives a shit?
> >>
> > If I remember right, he was a lineman for the Balitmore Colts in
> the
> >late 60s, early 70s.
> You had to ask..... Oh well. I'd hate for someone to think that a former
> football star is behind this crap, so here it is:
>
> The Definition:
> That's the wrong John Mackey. This one's only claim to fame is being the top
> off-topic poster on usenet with thousands of off-topic messages across
> several different newsgroups in his short but moronic career on the
> internet. A dejanews search reveals all about this clown, with such famous
> threads as "The PERFECT defense for Bill Cheek!!!!" and "Blake Bowers CAUGHT
> forging & flaming AGAIN!". It is his self proclaimed mission in life, if you
> can imagine it, to single-handedly rid usenet of anyone who opposes his
> warped and twisted point of view. He will do anything to support his cause
> and has been caught lying, forging, cancelling messages, and creating
> aliases to show a false front of support. He is a living breathing self
> propaganda machine, and a bad one at that.
>
> Now, our pal John will deny all or most of this, but don't be fooled. Do a
> dejanews search for yourself, and see the sheer number of messages this
> person has posted over the years. Dejanews reported back 1562 messages in
> rec.radio.scanner alone. By my count, John has posted about 6 or 7 on topic
> messages (very loosely on topic) out of that amount. The rest of them were
> simply insults, name calling, or sniveling denials and "prove it" posts. He
> thrives on replies, no matter how insulting. I think it is a subconscious
> need for attention that he apparently cannot receive anywhere else.
>
> Prior to usenet, he was on a Fido echo related to scanners, but was
> subsequently kicked off of the echo for the same kind of behavior, which he
> still denies to this day. Just ask him anything, he denies all. The
> consummate liar's liar. To hear him tell it, he is a victim of a ruthless
> bunch of cyberthugs who harrass and torment him daily, but in reality he
> brings it all upon himself willingly. He enjoys it, of this I am convinced.
> The only thing more certain than his desire to disrupt is his predictable
> replies to any posting mentioning either himself or Bill Cheek, the subject

> of his apparent obsession.
>
> John is the proverbial pot calling the kettle black. He is the person
> depicted in Aesops' fables, the one who only sees the small bag representing
> the faults of others tied in front of him and not the mammoth bag of his own
> faults on his back. He will take in an insult today only to use it on
> someone else tomorrow. He is a mirror, a virtual parrot who uses the same
> tactics that others use against him. He is lacking in better judgement,
> discretion, logic and common sense.
>
> Sorry to post it to the non-scanner related groups, but I think it only fair
> that you all know the real deal about Laughing John's Flying Circus.
>
> The Vision:
>
> The only reason that John exists is because of the actions of others. I
> have in the past and still today propose a simple solution: ignore him. Once
> he is no longer able to disrupt the group, he will finally move on. Do not
> reply to him directly, and he will have no basis for his attacks. He will
> appear foolish and meaningless to any and all who happen to read his
> ramblings. Much like a small child who finds that a temper tantrum won't
> deliver the goods, he'll find better ways to fill his free time. No doubt
> he'll reply to this posting in an attempt to provoke me into a flame war,
> but it won't work - I would much rather discuss things related to scanning
> than things relating to immaturity. It is my belief that if others shared my
> feelings on this (and many do) that John would someday soon find that the
> only one listening to John Mackey is John Mackey.
>
> And that, fellow citizens, would be music to my ears.
>
> -Phil

Quoted in its entirety out of respect to the author.

Bravo Phil, I concur wholeheartedly.

2530. Re: TV Antenna for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <374A0570.D1F1A73@ibm.net>
Source record: 117678

You did turn the antenna 90 deg so that the elements are vertical
instead of horizontal like a normal tv antenna is mounted. There is
no positive and negative to a TV antenna. The coax it attached to
the antenna by the matching transformer ?

AFulle8083 wrote:
>
> I recently read Bill Cheek's antenna FAQ. There were plans for using a TV
> antenna for a scanner antenna. I've tried this and I'm having some problems.
> The antenna I'm using consisted of two array sets. I installed the larger set
> and had to run a jumper wire across the two wing nuts that connected to the
> other smaller antenna array (which I'm not using). I'm using 50 feet of 75 ohm
> coax. The results have been less than impressive. Knowing the less than perfect
> sensitivity of my AOR AR8200, there's been little improvement in reception. Is
> there a positive and negative connection on an antenna? Do I need to install
> the smaller antenna? Do I need to 86 the whole TV antenna idea and just buy a
> Monitenna?
> Any help and advice would surely be appreciated.
> Andy

2531. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <xlo23.43$H5.1928@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 117679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley wrote in message <7icqnesij1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>>
>>FCC makes regulations because it is empowered to do so by the Legislative
>>Branch (Congress) of the Federal Government, which authorizes funding for
>>the Commission and passes laws that the FCC and other Executive Branch
>>agencies must enforce. FCC regulations are the way most
>>communication-related laws passed by Congress are carried out. Violation
>of
>>a REGULATION is ILLEGAL. You can be fined very heavily for violating
"just
>>a 'regulation'" promulgated by the FCC, with the full weight of LAW behind
>>it.
>>
>>Like I said....
>
>
>Hmmmm... how convenient for Uncle Sam. Laws have to be voted on, but the
FCC
>can adopt new reg's at will, which when violated, hold the same or worse
>penalties. Nice touch.

Untrue. If the FCC makes a regulation that conflicts with LAW, the
regulation is invalid. Unfortunately for the "victims" of such an error,
the regulation will be enforced until/unless a Federal court prevents it.

2532. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374A162B.68BC@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Sir wrote:
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
> >
> > Sir wrote:
> > >
> > > OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
> > > >
> > > > MSteven wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > On Sat, 22 May 1999 20:35:48 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> > > > > <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >Steven wrote:
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:45:00 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> > > > > >> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> >Mike Silva wrote:
> > > > > >> >>
> > > > > >> >> Mother Nature wrote in message <37438215.1DB5FBEE@hotmail.com>...
> > > > > >> >> >
> > > > > >> >> >Danny wrote:
> > > > > >> >> >>
> > > > > >> >> >> Mother Nature wrote:
> > > > > >> >> >> >
> > > > > >> >> >> >
> > > > > >> >> >> >--
> > > > > >> >> >> >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > > > > >> >> >> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> > > > > >> >> >>
> > > > > >> >> >>--
> > > > > >> >> >>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > > > > >> >> >>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> > > > > >> >>
> > > > > >> >> MEOW MEOW ROOF ROOF
> > > > > >> >>
> > > > > >> >> --
> > > > > >> >> REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > > > > >> >> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> --
> > > > > >> REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > > > > >> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
```

--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2533. Re: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11b3c713f7d50ace9897b0@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117681

Heck. All you need is a 5ft hunk of speaker wire hooked up to a BNC connector and you are off and running. The 5ft length is about right for the low band and will work just fine up to around 500mhz.

2534. FS: Pro-2042 w/Opto 535

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: zaaap <zap105@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <374A1A16.933FD5C2@hotmail.com>
Source record: 117682

For Sale

1 - Radio Shack Pro-2042 with an Optoelectronics OS-535 board installed.

Have original manual for scanner, copy of installation and operating instructions for the OS-535.
-- includes serial cable and the Opto utility disk

*note -- this version will UNLOCK when running under computer control. I will include a copy of the unlock utility disk if requested (it can be found on the web).

Please, serious inquiries only. $550.00 + shipping. USPS only... COD's ok.

REPLY to the following email address for questions:
zaaap@ix.netcom.com

2535. Re: Whats better 2006 or PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11b3c7a0b084c5b9897b1@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117683

If you need a computer controlled unit, the Optocom is essentially the same as a 2006 and will blow the doors off of any PCR-1000 in every conceivable respect PERIOD. There isn't even any comparison to be made. Better sensitivity, better intermod performance, better audio, faster scanning. Geez, what else do you need.....

2536. Re: MDT source code is legal to have

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John C Borkowski III <jmcboots>
Message-ID: <927572025.537420764@news>
Source record: 117684

As I recall it was not for literal source code either.

I dont recall the term they used, but in effect they meant psudo-code.

John

On Sun, 09 May 1999, Keith wrote:
>On Sat, 08 May 1999 20:44:58 -0400, F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
>wrote:
>
>>kew@-NOSPAM?teleport.cem (Keith) wrote:
>>> The 9th Circuit court of the United States today affirmed that source code
>>> for software is free speech. This means that the possession of Source Code
>>> that decodes electronic transmissions is legal to possess and produce.
>>
>> Before anyone decides to act on this assumption, it might be a good idea
>>to learn what the case was and what the court actually wrote. In Bernstein v.
>>USDOJ the question was the constitutionality of the export licensing
>>regulations as applied to crypto source code. The argument was that source
>>code is the proper and prefered means of expressing crypto algorithms.
>
>
>This case clearly defined that source code is free speech.
>That is all I am saying. The government as it stands now can not
>attempt to make source code a banned form of communication.
>
>
>Best Regards,
>
>Keith
>---
>http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/linux
>www.teleport.com/~kew/commodore www.teleport.com/~kew/pgp
>---
> If all the personal computers in the world 260 million were put
>to work on a single PGP-encrypted message, it would still take
>an estimated 12 million times the age of the universe, on average,
>to break a single message.
>--William Crowell, Deputy Director, National Security Agency, March 20,
>1997.

2537. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <374a38df@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 117685

<jammin5107@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
news:7icstlsov1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> It seems eBay has removed all scanners with cell capabily.
> Me personally, could care less about cell calls.
> but I did bid on one for other reasons and was notified of the auction
> ended by eBay.The radio isn't illegal as they pretty much put it.
> It's illegal to manufacture,or listen to, that's it!
> I think eBay should be a little more in tune with the laws they
> are trying to enforce
>
> That's my two cents. Thanks

That's nothing new...they've been doing that the past few months. The trick
to keeping one listed is to NOT make mention of the frequencies the scanner
is capable of receiving or make mention of the fact that it has been
modified to receive cell.
That advice came straight from ebay when they pulled one I had listed. I
re-listed it later using their "guidelines" and had no problems.
They really don't have a clue otherwise.

Dan

2538. Re: FS RS PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: carljan <carljan@voyager.net>
Message-ID: <7id6rj$65b@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 117686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I would like to by your scanner and I offer $80 plus shipping thanks Carl
Bill Clarke <bc@avlist.com> wrote in message
news:7i9hi6$i7s$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> 200 channel 30-54, 108-174, 380-512, 806-960 MHz. has nicads, wall
charger,
> looks and works great. Original owner. Was used for tracking down RFI
> problems - now is excess equipment. Make reasonable offer.
>
> --
> Bill Clarke W2BLC
>
>
>

2539. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7ib3ht$22m@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Junky The Waffle Iron wrote:
>Drop the code

It's the second-most used mode.
You're going to grow old,
Before we let you on without the code.

Jeff "If the code doesn't fit, then you must acquit" KH6pOet =:o

--
Fingerprint Classification Services: Your own personal copy of
your fingers' inked impressions, classified utilizing the Henry
classification formula (with FBI extensions), $15 + postage.

2540. Re: !!! Read This !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlueHawk <blue_hawk83@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7ib3jo$np1$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 117688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ppl on crack should not post to the newgroups... ROFLMAO!!!!!!

LaLaS wrote in message <7i5rcg$ngh@newsops.execpc.com>...
>I HEARD THIS !!!!!!!!
>The RADIO SHACK officials and designers they rush in the theaters to watch
>the STAR WAR movie.After the movie they all site on the table and they try
to
>designer a scanner like the one those Captain's have on the movie.
>The scanner will be very small (Like Rolex watch)and they will cover the
all
>spectrum!!!!!!!
>Also there is no duke or external antenna ,and no buttons .
>To program the scanner is very simple ,just talk to the scanner and
>automatically tune the frequency or any other function .
>Also the scanner speaks 10 different language,play CD'S and MP3
,unlimited
>channels and banks,
>say time and date when you ask,hear the spaceship millions miles away,or
any
>broadcast from anywhere in the universe.
>Also they say (RS Officials)the sensitivity will be so good you can hear
baby
>monitor IN TEXAS FROM CANADA !!!!!!!
>There is more and more futures i can't list here now
> !!!! S O R R Y N O C E L L U L A R !!!!!!
>They will be on the market sometimes on the year 2000
>So what do you thing ????
>P.S.
>They haven't set the price yet ??,but my good sources tall me about
$289.00
>- $399.99 + tax
>
>
>

2541. Re: FS:Texas2Way 4 level interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: slapsho7@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <p6923.484$y6.477638@WReNphoon3>
Source record: 117689

Why are selling this interface? Is there a problem with it or have you found something better.

I'm asking because I was about to purchase one from Texas2way myself.

Does the interface work with all the current software available. Do you have the DDM or the DDMP version?

Thanks.

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

2542. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <Ob923.15201$YU1.28535@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 117690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Bud (the original) wrote in message <3748fdc0.956080@news-server>...
>On Mon, 24 May 1999 00:30:16 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>
>>Yes, the illegality of LISTENING to cell calls dates back to 1986. The
>>regulation covering individual MODIFICATION of personally owned scanners
>>went into effect in 1999. I really wish people who don't understand the
>>issue would stop spouting incorrect information.
>
>But it IS just a 'regulation', not a LAW! The FCC can't make laws, only
>Congress has that ability under the Constitution.

FCC makes regulations because it is empowered to do so by the Legislative Branch (Congress) of the Federal Government, which authorizes funding for the Commission and passes laws that the FCC and other Executive Branch agencies must enforce. FCC regulations are the way most communication-related laws passed by Congress are carried out. Violation of a REGULATION is ILLEGAL. You can be fined very heavily for violating "just a 'regulation'" promulgated by the FCC, with the full weight of LAW behind it.

Like I said....

2543. Re: Import frequency data from any website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <Ob923.15202$YU1.28535@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 117691

Shiva Nataraja wrote in message <3748e798.43235261@news>...
>On Sun, 23 May 1999 13:39:46 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>
>>Those of us who work hard to assemble frequency lists and format them for
>>the Web really appreciate your efforts in creating an easy way for any
idiot
>>to pirate our data into their scanners without having to do even the
>>simplest tasks of acquiring the information they need for themselves.
NOT.
>
>Congratulations! I think you've just cinched a nomination for the
>"most moronic statement of the year" award.

Care to explain your childish comment?

2544. aor8200 + scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bodd <perstorp@telia.com>
Message-ID: <ql923.284$Gbn.135442432@newsb.telia.net>
Source record: 117692

I cant get my scout and aor work together??
Are some setting that i may have forgot??

I have rectiontuning cable betwen and start the aor first..

Any one nowing how to do??

Then i have to ask if anyone nows if aor8200 have some problems in itself ??
My one is lokking it's self when i use searh funktion and tooggle betwen the banks with the multibutton on the side.
Is this

/Bodd

2545. Re: BLAKE BOWERS LIES AGAIN!!!!! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA? Or is it really John Mackey selling licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Carey Gregory <cgregory@gw-tech.com>
Message-ID: <37492672.D4BC1B0F@gw-tech.com>
Source record: 117693
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.firefighters

Who the hell is John Mackey? Who gives a shit?

Now, you boys run along and play and quit cross-posting your little pissing
match to misc.emerg-services.

--

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> Blake Bowers wrote:
>
> > Also, early internet reports, from many on the newsgroups, state that
> > John Mackey's mother may turn to give evidence & act as a witness
> > against him in the recent accusations about John Mackey falsifying
>
> SNIP
>
> A search of yahoo & dejanews shows NO such internet reports as you claim.
>
> That's pretty funny Blake!!!! My mother died 6 years ago!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Once again, BLAKE BOWERS, you have proven your self to be a liar!!!!!
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2546. Pro2006/Opto456 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sputnik <sputnik@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <9Z923.318$X77.38666@news2.voicenet.com>
Source record: 117694

Pro2006 with FULL Opto456 board installed

2547. FS: Misc Radio Gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: oldgear@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990524071324.25672.00004195@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 117695

I have the following Radio, and electronic equipment for sale. Will consider trades for other Electronic or computer related EQ. All working last time tested unless noted. Shipping is extra. Please reply to my email address. Thanks
EJ (KC5EPI)

All Tube Items:

Tempo-1: All Tube Ham Transceiver with Power Supply. Covers 80-10 meters. Looks good and works well. $200

Pearce Simpson: Guardian 23- All tube CB Transceiver/with mobile power cord and mounting bracket. Looks and works Good. $100

Channel Master: Tube Stereo Receiver (Model 6612) and matching amp (Model 6601) Looks good and plays on FM only. AM not working. $125

Non Tube Items:

Marantz Model 2220B: 25 year old Stereophonic Receiver, with gyro-touch tuning, blue dial and optional real wood case. This unit is in excellent condition, both in looks and operation I would rate a 9.7. Also have original manual, in excellent condition. $250

AR Inc: Stereo Amp, All solid state. $100

Traynor: Group Two B- Audio Amp Head. High/ Low misc. in puts. Master volume, volume, bass, treble and tone shaper controls. All solid state $125

Swan 14X DC Power Supply Module: No way to test but was told it was working OK. Asis$50.

Siltronix Model 90-6 VFO: Excellent condition with three position crystal switch $75.

PRO 2003 Scanner: Radio Shack 50 channel(30-512 Mhz.) Digital Scanner with FM broadcast band included. Have two, one is working the other is a complete non working unit. $150 for both.

Bearcat 250 Scanner: Have two. One working and one for parts. $75 for both.

Kenwood MC 50 Mic: Works OK and comes complete with cable and input jack. $50

2548. Re: Antenna Brand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Lands <landsb@tca.net>
Message-ID: <7ibedbbhc1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 117696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Todd:

The Larsens are well made and pretty affordable. Check them out. They may have what you need at a lower price than the others.

Barry Lands
College Station, Texas

Todd <no-email@spamsux.com> wrote in message news:37484abe.115992773@news.ntwrld.com...
> Hi All,
>
> I'm in the market for a 800mhz directional yagi and am deciding
> between the following brands:
>
> Larsen, Comtelco, Antenex, and Cushcraft
>
>
> Any recommendations as to the 'best' brand? Please post replies to
> the group, no e-mail..
>
> Thanks!!

2549. Re: Wanted: Optoelectronics SCOUT 40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Codex <codex@SPAMBUSTER.bogus.net>
Message-ID: <1bb23.285$Wh1.565@news1.online.no>
Source record: 117697
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In alt.radio.scanner methodman905@my-dejanews.com wrote:

Does anyone else think that the Scouts are overpriced for what they are?
I have one, and I've had a look inside it, and the workmanship is _dire_.

The regulator has blown twice. Perhaps newer versions are better? I bought
mine two years ago.

-cdx

> Wanted: OPTOELECTRONICS SCOUT 40

> I'm looking for use good condition
> Optoelectronics SCOUT 40.

> If you have this for sale email: methodman905@hotmail.com

> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2550. Re: Think that gun owners have it bad, Federal agents arrest Scanner Hobbyist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <willee@interconnect.net>
Message-ID: <7ibful$1io$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 117698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.clinton

I think the key issue here is that they posted the information on a public
web site.
That is the issue.

William Lee N5WRX

2551. Re: BC 9000XLT & Cell Phone Comms.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37494193.12153582@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 117699

radioscanner@mail.dotcom.fr wrote:

:On 21 May 1999 21:14:52 GMT, jtward01@aol.com.nospam (JTWard01) wrote:
:
:>
:>The U indicates it's a European model with full 800MHz coverage. The XLT is
:>just something Uniden puts on to make it sound good.
:
:ok , then if it is the same model the non"U" version can be unblocked
:or not .
:I have a UBC version , but the 800Mhz range here is used by digital
:phones .
:Maybe i am going to buy a AR8200 , i if try to sell my UBC9000 how
:mutch can i sell it ? 300$ is it a good price ?

You can't get 300$ for anything.

:(if i can sell it in my country i can sell it a mutch more than 300$,
:400$ easy)

No you can't. Nobody has 300$, 400$.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

2552. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: odo <odo@mail.blinx.de>
Message-ID: <37494457.7FC859DE@mail.blinx.de>
Source record: 117700
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert wrote:

> Just as I had anticipated. Mostly everyone telling me that its illegal. I
> know its illegal. Did I ask to purchase one? Did I say I wanted one made for
> me? No. I simply asked if there was one out there. Why is it so hard for
> someone to just answer the question that was asked? I give up...
> Well thanks again...
> Robert

There are lots of "specialists" with their tesla-coils not understanding that
you want to KNOW if there is a transmitter wich covers the RANGE of lets say a
AOR AR 8200 wide-band-scanner (150khz - 2 Ghz) and transmits only on a specific
tuneable frequency with a specific type of modulation and (at least) at the size
(and functionality) of a handy-talk.
But you have to learn too how to explain more exactly what you want.

odo

2553. Re: Radio Transmitters (2m/70cm bands)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <374942b5.12443338@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 117701
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk

stevens@mindspring.com (Steven) wrote:

: Are you asking about UHF CBs (450-460 MHz) or VHF/UHF
:(144-p148 MHz/420-450 MHz) Amateur Radios?

UHF CB is 477.425 to 477.400 MHz. 433.05 to 434.79 MHz is available
for unlicensed operation up to 25 mw EIRP for any purpose.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

2554. Re: Radio Transmitters (2m/70cm bands)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <374943c0.12710509@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 117702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Pr0tocol@Punkass.com (Pr0tocol) wrote:

:At roughly Sat, 22 May 1999 20:20:21 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
:<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> let loose the following:
:
:>> I was kinda toying with the idea of getting a hand held uhf cb. Not too
:>> sure what I would do with it but I still kinda like the idea of having one.
:>> But I wanted to see what was covered by the 2m/70cm bands.
:Without sounding patronising the bands (and the sets) of which you
:speak are intended for radio hams, to use it you frst need to pass a
:test and apply for a license / callsign, the course that leads up to
:this is always good fun and you get to learn a bit more about radio
:communications..

Usage of 433.05 to 434.79 MHz at up to 25 mw EIRP is free for any purpose
without a licence.

:>> I have seen some ot these in the Dick Smith catalog and they look like cool
:>> little gizmos.. but who uses them and what can you do with them?
:Radio amateurs use them for talking (usually) fairly locally (these
:bands are more or less limited to LOS) however under certain
:circumstances you can talk a little further afield, many of the sets
:have an extended recieve with similar coverage to a scanner (vhf/uhf
:two way radio etc..)

The 70 cm LIPD unit does not require a licence.

:>> Any info on this subject would be much appreciated. Thanks!
:I hope this helps you

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

2555. Re: !!! READ THIS !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <374944ac.12946437@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 117703

LaLaS <starwar@spaceship.com> wrote:

:I HEARD THIS !!!!!!!!
:The RADIO SHACK officials and designers they rush in the theaters to
:watch
:the STAR WAR movie.After the movie they all site on the table and they
:try to
:designer a scanner like the one those Captain's have on the movie.
:The scanner will be very small (Like Rolex watch)and they will cover
:the all
:spectrum!!!!!!!
:Also there is no duke or external antenna ,and no buttons .
:To program the scanner is very simple ,just talk to the scanner and
:automatically tune the frequency or any other function .
:Also the scanner speaks 10 different language,play CD'S and MP3
:,unlimited
:channels and banks,
:say time and date when you ask,hear the spaceship millions miles
:away,or any
:broadcast from anywhere in the universe.
:Also they say (RS Officials)the sensitivity will be so good you can hear
:baby
:monitor IN TEXAS FROM CANADA !!!!!!!
:There is more and more futures i can't list here now

We understand. You are doing well for an illiterate.

: !!!! S O R R Y N O C E L L U L A R !!!!!!
:They will be on the market sometimes on the year 2000
:So what do you thing?

Do you thing at all?

:P.S.
:They haven't set the price yet ??,but my good sources tall me about
:$289.00
:- $399.99 + tax

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

2556. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@stupid.FOOL
Message-ID: <3751427f.241148875@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>You really are the group FOOL, aren't you allsafe!!!!

What a surprise. 8 posts just recently from your retarded mouth. Not ONE of them is on topic.

You're a mental midget.

2557. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@stupid.FOOL
Message-ID: <375242c7.241220814@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Sun, 23 May 1999 03:25:34 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Bill Conduit-
>
>Why is it that you are unable to present any FACTS to support your
>cause & have to resort to school yard type ridicule?
>

Look who's talking?!!

The only habitual off-topic poster who skirts life every time HE'S asked to
produce facts!

jonnie - you need help. FOOL!!

2558. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@stupid.FOOL
Message-ID: <37534336.241331600@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Mon, 24 May 1999 07:34:59 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
>Bud (the original) wrote:
>
>>MAckey LOVES to lie, in fact it's a complusion with him. He KNOWs, from
>
>Bud, please present your proof that I have lied or be considered a liar YOURSELF!

You're the one who owes's countless people in the group proof. It goes back
years, you retarded FOOL!!!!

2559. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ibi8j$q5$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37490257.A52D6802@usa.net...
>
>
> Bud (the original) wrote:
>
> >MAckey LOVES to lie, in fact it's a complusion with him. He KNOWs, from
>
> Bud, please present your proof that I have lied or be considered a liar
YOURSELF!
>
>
Looney - Tune, please post the proof that I am Bill Cheek, or be considered
a liar.

POST THE PROOF, Wacky Mackey !

2560. Re: aor8200 + scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <jVFJYJB++US3Ewxz@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 117708

In article <ql923.284$Gbn.135442432@newsb.telia.net>, Bodd
<perstorp@telia.com> writes

>I cant get my scout and aor work together??
>Are some setting that i may have forgot??

Is your Scout set to send AOR commands or Icom CI-V ? When you power the
Scout on it will display what "mode" it is in.

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2561. FS: Pro 2041, Pro 2046

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: KIRK J AUBERTINE <AUBERTINE@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7ibk79$4lma$1@newssvr03-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 117709

I have two scanners for sale both with original boxes and manuals and
perfect working condition.

Pro 2041 400 channel computer programable. $190 shipped.
Pro 2046 100 channel mobil. $130 shipped.

2562. Re: Think that gun owners have it bad, Federal agents arrest Scanner Hobbyist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990524093957.02279.00001411@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 117710

The key issue here is that "they" did nothing. Keith Knipshield posted MDT transcripts on HIS web site. Bill Cheek had nothing to do with this. Bill's supposed crime was selling a decoder with a four level data slicer that could - combined with the right software - be used to decode MDTs.
This raises two points.
First, since when has decoding MDTs been illegal? This appears to be a new interpretation by the government.
Second, when is the seller responsible when a product is used illegally? Do they arrest the local car dealer if someone buys a car and drives drunk? No. Do they arrest the gun shop owner if someone buys a gun and robs a store? Of course not. Why, then, should Bill (or anyone) be arrested for selling a legal device when someone else uses it illegally (assuming, of course, that decoding MDTs is illegal, which it may or my not be)? Dataslicers are NOT illegal. The Optocom has a dataslicer built in. Every Truntracker scanner has a dataslicer built in to decode the control channel.
If the government's apparent position that all digital comms are protected is allowed to stand then you can kiss goodbye any chance of ever having an ASTRO, EDACS Digital or APCO 25 Digital compatible scanner.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

2563. Re: Glenn Hansen lies again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Trumpold <jtrunospammp@paulbunyan.net>
Message-ID: <7iavug$mg2$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117711
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.swap

This is no place tp post this garbage. Take it to e-mail or in person.
Enough said!

-Jason

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37490545.E2F32B86@usa.net...
>
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > Found this on the net today......
>
> > Also, early internet reports, from many on the newsgroups, state that
> > John Mackey's mother may turn to give evidence & act as a witness
> > against him in the recent accusations about John Mackey falsifying
>
> SNIP
>
> > Anybody know about this?
> >
> > I know John has made many false claims about many things but do you
believe
>
> SNIP
>
> > John has lied on the net about his license status and other things but
>
> SNIP
>
> So Glenn WHEN have I lied about ANY of the issues you mention & what proof
do
> you have that I lied???
>
>
> A search of yahoo & dejanews shows NO such internet reports as you claim.
>
> That's pretty funny!!!! My mother died 6 years ago!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> Once again you have proven your self to be a liar!!!!!
>
> Did you ever find the young gay amateurs you were looking for to practice
> you homo acts on???

>
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2564. Re: Trunk Freqs Portland/Vancouver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <Witch**Dr@usa0.0no0bulk0email0.net>
Message-ID: <37495A43.50EC@usa0.0no0bulk0email0.net>
Source record: 117712

mao wrote:
>
> Anyone have UPDATED truck freqs for the Portland/
> Vancouver area?

Ask an ye shall receive. The most updated list in exisistance:)

http://ucs.orst.edu/~varineb/PDXtrack.htm

Mirror site:

http://members.tripod.com/~WitchDr/PDXtrack.htm

2565. Re: New BC-245???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <7ib2b1mrs1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117713

Digital Private Line/Digital Coded Squelch similar to PL/CTCSS in that it is used for selective signalling.

2566. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <3749639A.891F3E1E@home.com>
Source record: 117714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Then don't become a HAM. The stupid code requirement will be the death
of HAM
radio. It is very unfortunate.

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Junky The Waffle Iron wrote:
> >Drop the code
>
> It's the second-most used mode.
> You're going to grow old,
> Before we let you on without the code.
>
> Jeff "If the code doesn't fit, then you must acquit" KH6pOet =:o
>
> --
> Fingerprint Classification Services: Your own personal copy of
> your fingers' inked impressions, classified utilizing the Henry
> classification formula (with FBI extensions), $15 + postage.

2567. Re: BLAKE BOWERS LIES AGAIN!!!!! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA? Or is it really John Mackey selling licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7ibq7q$hq9@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

In article <37490421.3EDE1FF0@usa.net>, <jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>blah blah blah

John, you began this thread (there was no "re" in your subject line); so why did you decide to cross-post it to the fire services, EMS, and amateur NGs? If you would limit your silly arguments to just your alt.fan.john.macket and .scanner NGs, you wouldn't be so disliked on these other NGs.

Jeff KH6O

--
Fingerprint Classification Services: Your own personal copy of your fingers' inked impressions, classified utilizing the Henry classification formula (with FBI extensions), $15 + postage.

2568. TRADE pro-90 for...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: n1pxa@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7ibv04$6n7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117716

Hi all I would like to trade my excellent cond.
pro-90 trunktracker handheld for a scanner with
rs-232. Failing this, I would trade for a pro-
2066 mobile trunktracker. Please email or call
will at 617-441-3393. tnx, Will.
wbartlett@earthlink.net

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2569. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7ibtdg$jpp@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3749639A.891F3E1E@home.com>, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:

>Then don't become a HAM.

Too late! I've been licensed 23 years.

>The stupid code requirement will be the death of HAM radio. It is very
>unfortunate.

Connect an antenna to your radio -- you'll hear that the HF bands are as
crowded as any time in our past. Those complaining about the code gather
here on Usenet; they really are a minority. Everyone else is operating on
the air.
73, Jeff KH6O

--
Fingerprint Classification Services: Your own personal copy of
your fingers' inked impressions, classified utilizing the Henry
classification formula (with FBI extensions), $15 + postage.

2570. Re: FS: BC895 Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mel Larsen <mland@cland.net>
Message-ID: <37497A69.6A12DDED@cland.net>
Source record: 117718

Clifton,

Being rather new to scanning (always with an eye to find something more than my puny 10 channels!), I am not that familiar with the Uniden XLT line. When you mention that your BC895XLT has a serial cable for computer control, just how do the two interface ..... computer and scanner, that is.

If not yet sold, what is your bottom dollar on the unit? Thanks for the time,

mel

Clifton Turner wrote:

> For Sale:
> Uniden BC895XLT Trunk Tracker serial number75007439. About 1
> year old. No mods. Perfect working condition and near mint physical
> condition. Box, Manual and serial cable adapter included for computer
> control. $130 plus $5 shipping.
>
> Clifton Turner KF5OJ
> 2224 B Webster St.
> Alexandria, LA 71301-5541
> 318-442-4734
> kf5oj@prodigy.net
> kf5oj@juno.com

2571. John Mackey falsifying VE test scores in exchange for money from applicants??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <xUe23.11$b27.4788@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37490545.E2F32B86@usa.net...
>
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > Found this on the net today......
>
> > Also, early internet reports, from many on the newsgroups, state that
> > John Mackey's mother may turn to give evidence & act as a witness
> > against him in the recent accusations about John Mackey falsifying
>
> SNIP

Why snip out the issue at hand John-bowl? You snipped;

"VE test scores in exchange for money from applicants".

Are you guilty? You don't deny it. You just snip it and it goes away?

How much money did you take? What were you asking for? How many people did
you pass through that should not have?

>
> > Anybody know about this?
> >
> > I know John has made many false claims about many things but do you
believe
>
> SNIP

Why did you snip out "he would really take money to pass people?"
John-bowl???
No denial just a snip.

You did it?

> > John has lied on the net about his license status and other things but
>
> SNIP

and then you snip out "but would he stoop this low?" don't you? Afraid
somebody might answer it again?
Did you stoop that low. You are know by most all on these NGs as a fraud
after you 5 Fcc license thing. Gee, you sure got quiet over that one.

> So Glenn WHEN have I lied ???

Last lie was saying you have 5 FCC licenses. want more.
You lied that you would quit posting when Bill did.
You lied that you didn't smoke a repeater.
You lied that you didn't smoke a power supply
You lied saying you weren't kicked off Fido by both Cheek and you BBS operator.
You lied saying you didn't spew obcenitites on the Puget Sound 523 repeater.
You lied about your bedpan changing job.
You lied about your degrees.
You lied about your changing your name constanttly to get through mail/news filters.
You are a living lie John-bowl, it is all you have.
You just lie about most everything.

>
>
> A search of yahoo & dejanews shows NO such internet reports as you claim.
>

It was posted on this NG and many others the day I posted my original message. Just because you are too dense to use the net tools availble don't blame me. I'll repost it again for you if you like John-Bowl.

> That's pretty funny!!!! My mother died 6 years ago!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Prove it John. Hard to imagine anyone admitting to being even related to you John-Bowl.

> Once again you have proven your self to be a liar!!!!!

Because I post a question regarding another post? You haven't denied anything so it must be true.

>
> Did you ever find the young gay amateurs you were looking for to practice
> you homo acts on???
>

This last statement shows how desperate you are John-Bowl. Go troll you gay stuff elsewhere. Do you think bring up your old forged posts take the attention off your alleged VE payoff scam?

2572. Re: Where to get a very low power transmitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7ibu5u$85b$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 117720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3745B3A1.56C3@primenet.com>, transit@primenet.com says...
>
>Lynton@iname.com wrote:
>>
>> I need a really really low power transmitter, the kind to radiate a tiny
>> signal onto the AM or FM band, thus can be picked up from a few feet.
>> Radio Shack sells one but does anyone here know of any others, please
>> post some details such as URLs.
>
>
>You may be listening to such a "low power transmitter" as we speak. . .
>
>I'm talking about the local oscillator in a portable radio. I used
>to try the following when I was younger:
>
>1. Get a battery powered AM radio. (The smaller and cheaper, the
>better)
>
>2. Connect a short piece of wire (no longer than about 10 feet)
>somewhere on the radio. Ideally I guess it should be connected to
>the local oscillator coil or some such, but I've connected it to the
>main antenna coil, one of the battery terminals, etc. and it works
>just as well.
>
>3. You'll probably want a modulating signal: a quick and dirty
>way to get one is to connect such a signal (e.g. the speaker output
>of a record player, scanner, stereo, etc.) in series with the radio's
>battery.
>
>4. Frequency selection is done via the tuning knob. Note that the
>local osc frequency is 455 kHz (or whatever the IF is) higher than
>the number marked on the dial; a radio with a 530-1700 kHz tuning
>range will transmit from 985 kHz to 2155 kHz.
>
>5. Let the good times roll. The power output is not much and the
>modulation quality is not the greatest, but you'll be able to hear
>the signal around the house and yard, at least. . .
Reminds me aof a stunt we did in high school one day.
Found a table top, tube, AM/FM radio.
tuned it 10.7MHz up from a distant "educational" low power FM station
the principal used to give us "culture"(you know the type music to which I am
refferring..am before the flame wars start...I now listen to that "crap"
instead of the "really cool" "music" that I enjoyed as an ignorant teenager)

And we ran the output from a small reet to reel (pre-cassette) into the AFC loop. Sound very good and totaly "wlaked all over" the "educational" station.
And our favorites selections were Jimi Hendrix the "Star Spangle Banner" and that everfamous clasic "GD the Pusherman" from the easy rider sound track.
We drove the old gent nuts.
I just hope that any intrepid youngsters think things out a little better than we did. We never got cuaght but it was a very close miss.

2573. FS-AOR 8000 as new in the box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: gg210301@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990524122403.03518.00004426@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 117721

Excess to my needs

US model, complete in the box, with no modifications. $310 + $5 shipping.

2574. Are mods possible for BC650XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsscanner@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <37498a60.490328480@news.quebectel.com>
Source record: 117722

Are there mods possibles for the uniden Bc650xlt?

If you have something email me at rsscanner@hotmail.com

2575. Re: Where to get a very low power transmitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lee Smith <ckvnfm@mb.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <%Xf23.44$z5.7120@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 117723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>>Lynton@iname.com wrote:
>>>
>>> I need a really really low power transmitter, the kind to radiate a tiny
>>> signal onto the AM or FM band, thus can be picked up from a few feet.
>>> Radio Shack sells one but does anyone here know of any others, please
>>> post some details such as URLs.

Hello:

The Ramsey Kits model FM-10 will do you quite nicely for a few feet , and will likely cover the house and yard very well. It is not the greatest on the face of the earth, and there are far better ones available for a little more money, but you will have fun with it.

There are also many mods available to increase the performance in audio quality, change from stereo to mono, increase RF power ....it only runs about 7 to 10 milliwatts, and other fun stuff can be found at some of the following URL's and will get you started on the reseach phase.

I have used a few of these over time to link my CD player to the boombox in the yard. I then used it for the 2 meter, then HF....on from there.

The Ramsey kit sells for about $30.

Hope it helps out, Its a fun little project.

This link has general info, and leads into the FM-10 FAQ's section.
http://www.coe.missouri.edu/~fluf/fm10/fm10.html

http://home1.gte.net/shaneh/fm10mods.htm

Lee Smith
VE4ANC
http://www.ckvn.com

2576. Re: Where to get a very low power transmitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lee Smith <ckvnfm@mb.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <0Yf23.45$z5.7120@news1.mts.net>
Source record: 117724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I just found a site that has pictures of the various Ramsey Kits. As a starting point, look at:
http://www.durhamradio.com/fm10a.htm

for a look at the complete list of kits, go to:
http://www.durhamradio.com/ramalph.htm
and scroll down to the FM series kits of various levels of sophistication.

Lee

2577. Re: excuse me it's the bc560xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsscanner@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <37498bad.490661687@news.quebectel.com>
Source record: 117725

On Mon, 24 May 1999 17:20:35 GMT, rsscanner@hotmail.com wrote:

>Are there mods possibles for the uniden Bc650xlt?
>
>If you have something email me at rsscanner@hotmail.com

2578. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <37498DF7.E53C91EE@IEEE.org>
Source record: 117726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> It's the second-most used mode.

That depends. It's the most used mode on 30m. It is the third
mose used mode in ham radio.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

2579. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <3749BB80.3470@sgi.net>
Source record: 117727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Guys, I have held my ticket (tech +) since 1974, I am now 60 yrs old and have attempted to upgrade to general four time in the past 10 yrs. Not being able to master the code, and with the influx of new hams into 2 mtrs and 440 I have all but lost interest in ham radio. The thoery has never been a problem but that darn code......

Last week I received an invitation to join the AARL with notice that they are promoting the Tech + to General via grandfathering them. Today, I joined the AARL only because they have opted to support this concept. I know that there numerous advanced and extra class people that do not support this but times must change. Just because I did something in my past, should I expect my juniors to follow my foot steps? NO. Open your eyes and make positive changes for your/our future. My concept of code is that it is and has been a declining art, if it's your choice to use code so be it. It just wasn't made for me. In that, so should I be restricted from using the HF bands? Why, when I know the thoery of radio electronics and the rules of the road.

Have a wonderful day.............

Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:
>
> No way dude!
> Drop the code because it is obsolete, but not the classes!
> I do think that the classes need to be redone though.
> Tech classes are fine, Novice should be dropped, General
> is fine but the Advances and Extra classes need more incentive (as if I were
> to ever become and Advanced or Extra).
> As a general class, I have no reason to me (other than the honor) to go through the
> hell to become an advanced or Extra for the little freq's and extra rights offered.

2580. Re: 154.8300 no longer HotShot LAPD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37498f11$0$2483@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 117728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

When they do the beep tones, and dispatch a call, usually to a specific
unit.

Roberto Aguillar <nihilist2000@my-dejanews.com> wrote in message
news:37465cc2.9198487@news.linkline.com...
>
> What exactly is a "hotshot" call?
>
>
> On 18 May 1999 09:12:01 GMT, "Richard W Cowgill"
> <lakers34@netscape.net> wrote:
>
> >Ken... we re-implemented tac 1 Sunday night around 2300 hours... It's up
> >again and functioning normally (Our SMOs or Systems Monitoring Operators
> ><techs> finally took care of it) ... There was a problem with the
frequency
> >somewhere between our center and the Mount Lee transmitter sight that
began
> >Saturday morning ...operators in the CDC would only hear a loud buzz in
> >their ears when they pulled up the freq during the time you heard
nothing.
> >In addition to using 484.712 which we call "Air/K-9" or channel 40, when
> >tac 1 goes down we also will kick in tac 2 - 154.770. "Hotshots" and air
> >unit requests were made on that frequency as well during the time tac 1
was
> >down. Hope that answers your question.
> >
> >Rich Cowgill
> >PSR-BCC
> >LAPD
> >
> >
> >Ken <Kencaz@att.net> wrote in article <373F50CC.62BEB0EE@att.net>...
> >> I have noticed for the past 2 day's that the LAPD HotShot Freq 154.8300
> >> is not active. This is the main dispatch for all calls to Divisions
and
> >> is normally very active. I also noticed that the Air/K9 Tac 484.7125
is
> >> more active HotShot calls. Anyone know if this frequency is being
> >> phased out or if it is just transmitter problems.
> >>
> >> thanks
> >>

> >> --
> >> ---->>>KEN<<<----
> >>
> >> http://home.att.net/~kencaz/
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
>

2581. freqs. formula 1 drivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred <theefred@freemail.nl>
Message-ID: <7ic69f$ionu$2@reader3.wxs.nl>
Source record: 117729
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Next week I am going to the Grand Prix de Catalunya in Barcelona and of course will I take my scanner with me.
Last year I found freqs. of Alesi and Hill during the first training.
Can somebody send me interesting frequenties that I can listen to in that weekend ?
theefred@freemail.nl

2582. Pro 51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: MMF <mcloud@web-access.net>
Message-ID: <3749a1aa.0@news.wcc.net>
Source record: 117730

I would like to buy a realistic pro 51...

2583. TV Antenna for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: afulle8083@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <19990524154543.26259.00003955@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 117731

I recently read Bill Cheek's antenna FAQ. There were plans for using a TV antenna for a scanner antenna. I've tried this and I'm having some problems. The antenna I'm using consisted of two array sets. I installed the larger set and had to run a jumper wire across the two wing nuts that connected to the other smaller antenna array (which I'm not using). I'm using 50 feet of 75 ohm coax. The results have been less than impressive. Knowing the less than perfect sensitivity of my AOR AR8200, there's been little improvement in reception. Is there a positive and negative connection on an antenna? Do I need to install the smaller antenna? Do I need to 86 the whole TV antenna idea and just buy a Monitenna?
Any help and advice would surely be appreciated.
Andy

2584. recherche information sur scanner yupiteru mvt7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1 <colette.MODESTIN@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <7icafc$rh0$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 117732

probleme d alimentation sur scanner
cherche detail schema d alimentation ou solution connu pour mise en
fonctionne du scanner et bruit dans de "battement dans haut parleur",
vitesse scanning /recherche au ralenti (moins de 30canaux ou 30 pas
par seconde) pas de souffle avec avec squelch au minimun
il faut attendre plus d un 1/4 heure avant que le scanner ne se remettre a
fonctionner normalement
attente de reponse par email
merci d avance

2585. Re: Connecticut State Police Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990524155004.11239.00005835@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 117733

thanks

2586. Re: ICOM R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9kz@indy.net
Message-ID: <7icgc7jqj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117734

> of banks together. It only gives you the choice of ALL or single
BANK.

Is this true? Doesn't sound possible they would do this.
Bill K9KZ

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2587. Re: For Sale Cobra 2000 Excellent Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3749bc5c.2512172@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 117735
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

He posted that it was sold 2-3 days ago!!!

On Sun, 23 May 1999 22:11:47 -0400, Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
wrote:

>I think he is going to keep advertising it until he gets the idea he is
>asking way too much for a "chicken band" radio that hasn't been produced
>for several years.
>
>Steven wrote:
>>
>> How many times are you going to sell this radio?
>>
>> On Sun, 23 May 1999 17:24:34 -0400, "Sam Wolinsky"
>> <pcmedicnj@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Hi all. I have for sale 1 Cobra 2000 base station CB with the speaker.
>> >Radio has been modified to cover from 26.815 Mhz to 28.045 Mhz. Radio
>> >transmits 20+ watts, clarifier has been opened. I have received several
>> >reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from me.
>> >I am not real technical so beyond what I have told you, I don't know
>> >anything else about the radio.
>> >I would rate this radio as an 8 out of 10. No scratches ect that I was able
>> >to find. Also included is the original manual, original 1 year warranty
>> >certificate, copy of service manual.
>> >From what I have seen and heard, the 2000 is one of the top of the line most
>> >sought after radios that was ever on the market in its day. After using it
>> >for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
>> >Price is $275+ shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order. References
>> >available upon request.
>> >Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this
>> >fine rig.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >

2588. Re: BC235XLT Volume

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <3749BA6B.5460BFF@fnal.gov>
Source record: 117736

Bob Dubenezic wrote:

> Can the volume on a BC235XLT be modified to be louder??
>
> Thanks
>

At home, I connect mine to an auxillary port of a boom box with a stereo cable from the earphone jack. In my car, I use a cassette adaptor that also plugs into the earphone jack. I have also used a powered speaker from RS, but that has its limits. If you're looking for a mod, that I don't know.

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov
BC100, BC235, Pro90, Pro2038

2589. REQ: Woodbrige Mall, NJ Frequecies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523200249.11233.00005934@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 117754

Does anybody know the freq's that the Woodbridge mall uses?

Thanks
Mike

2590. Re: Connecticut State Police Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523204026.11352.00002616@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 117755

still on vhf

2591. FS Pro-50

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: pstringy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523212907.09179.00005089@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 117756

20 channel. Good condition, no manual. $30 shipped.

2592. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dkmn01@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523215224.12902.00001421@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 117757

>I'm not a HAM yet but with this cliquish, elitist attitude going
>around between
>> > old HAM's I'm losing interest very quickly.

You and me both.

2593. BC 760 XLT Scanner???? HELP?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: melg@tri-countynet.net
Message-ID: <3748b731.693866@news.tri-countynet.net>
Source record: 117758
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello to all,
I have a problem with a Uniden Bearcat 760xlt scanner. The
date of mfg is OFIF. I did the mod for the 760xlt, but there was no
change in the scanners performance!? The mod was the one where
pin 20 is disconnected from the board and reconnected to pin 19. Can
anyone help? Everything seems to be working fine on the scanner, but
no extra? I check to make sure I had the right pins and I do. If
anyone can help please e-mail me:

melg@tri-countynet.net

Thanks,
Mel

2594. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <3748BCD1.3E13F5E9@home.com>
Source record: 117759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sorry to tell ya but the majority of Hams are no code techs.

Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:
>
> Funny that you mention people looking down on General class as this is what the majority
> of HAM's are.
> I never want to be anything more than General Class.
> My friend is an Extra Class and he has not used Morse code in over 15 years and he thinks
> coders are a bunch of idiots in this modern day and age.
> He doesn't look down on anyone except people who want to be HAM's but never make an
effort
> at all.

2595. JRC NRD-545 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Manuel <mmaseda@gte.net>
Message-ID: <Dc323.2964$%14.98934@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 117760

I have for sale a perfect, as new, NRD-545 DSP receiver. The radio is less than 1 year old and has the latest firmware upgrade. This is a non-blocked unit imported from England and covers 100 khz - 2 ghz. It includes the optional CHE-199 wideband converter and the CGD-197 TXCO. Includes original box and manual. $1,750. I will not ship outside the U.S.

Manuel

2596. Re: So. Cal Disney Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: syderco417@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523230832.03521.00004020@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 117761

hey try WWW.socal911.com for the lastes on Amusement park freqs I Have Myself posted some of my favorite freqs there Icludeing Disney

2597. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7iah7f$gaj@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

BungHole wrote:
> I'm not a HAM yet but with this cliquish, elitist attitude going
>around between old HAM's I'm losing interest very quickly.

Your choice of screen-name leads me to believe you won't be missed...

Jeff KH6O

--
Fingerprint Classification Services, Inc.: Your own personal copy
of your fingers' inked impressions, classified utilizing the Henry
classification formula (with FBI extensions), $15 + postage.

2598. Re: FRS Saves Country Boy in Big City

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3756bec9.33761972@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 117763
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"EchoStarr" <echo-starr@juno.com> wrote:

>
>OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>37482BC8.39A7@ameritech.net...
>
>>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
>
>That spell checker would come in handy right now.

Killfiles work better.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2599. Re: 123.025!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: porscheemb@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990523234820.18115.00002642@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 117764

```
i will think!
Thanks!
```

2600. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3755be0d.33574066@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 117765

dkmn01@aol.com (Dkmn01) wrote:

>>I'm not a HAM yet but with this cliquish, elitist attitude going
>>around between
>>> > old HAM's I'm losing interest very quickly.
>
>You and me both.

I'm an old ham, and I'm anything but cliquish or elitist. I _do_ get
tired of folks whining about cliquish old hams instead of becoming new
hams and making some much-needed changes and contributions to the hobby.
If you ain't part of the parade, you have no right to sit on the
sidewalk and throw stones at the marchers.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2601. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7iaj4c$i1q@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3748BCD1.3E13F5E9@home.com>, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:
>Sorry to tell ya but the majority of Hams are no code techs.

Not on HF.
Jeff KH6O

--
Fingerprint Classification Services, Inc.: Your own personal copy
of your fingers' inked impressions, classified utilizing the Henry
classification formula (with FBI extensions), $15 + postage.

2602. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <yF423.14346$8m5.28881@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 117767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <3748BB0F.6778@ameritech.net>...
>D Stark wrote:
>> He points out - correctly - that it is illegal (in the USA) "to modify
your
>> scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may no longer
>> lawfully modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular
frequencies.
>> Practically unenforceable, but it is the law.
>
>The law dates back to 1986. It is called The Electronic Communications
>Privacy Act of 1986, which was passed through Congress thanks to the

Yes, the illegality of LISTENING to cell calls dates back to 1986. The
regulation covering individual MODIFICATION of personally owned scanners
went into effect in 1999. I really wish people who don't understand the
issue would stop spouting incorrect information.

2603. Re: Think that gun owners have it bad, Federal agents arrest Scanner Hobbyist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990524005223.02983.00001963@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 117768

>From: "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com>
>Date: 05-23-1999 22:28 EST
>

Zombie,

As usual boy, you got it wrong.

Zombie> Yes, this is undoubtedly the same > John T Ward who works at Uniden,

I do not now, nor have I ever worked for Uniden, Tandy or any other scanner manufacturer or dealer. My entire professional connection with the hobby radio industry has been as a writer for Monitoring Times and Popular Communications magazines.

Zombie: > and was
>instrumental in getting the latest round of >restrictions slapped on us.

I assume you mean the recent FCC rules regarding scanner image rejection standards.

Zombie:> Have you read the text of the latest Anti - Scanner Bill ? He is mentioned
>prominently in it.

The latest anti-scanner bill is H.R. 514. I am not mentioned anywhere in the bill, or in the executive summary that accompanies it.
I am mentioned in the FCC ruling as supporting increased scanner image rejection standards. This is true. However, my entire involvement consisted of writing one letter in response to the FCC Notice of Proposed Rulemaking issued last spring. In that letter I said that while I supported increased image rejection standards (I'd love to have fewer FM and TV images to contend with) I did not support the proposal to encase the radios' internal circuitry in epoxy or otherwise make it inaccessable to the user, since many skilled hobbyists like to do their own repair work.

Zombie: (regarding the reposting of the Bill
> Cheek arrest story) > This is hardly news, it
> has been posted at least 20 times, and there
> are at least three web sites I can think of
> where you can read it as well.

This much, as least is correct. Why someone chose to copy the Cheek story from Rich Wells' site and post it back to the newsgroup after all this time is beyond me. I wish people would stop copying things I write and posting them wherever they want. If I'd wanted it reposted after all this time I would've

done it myself.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

2604. Re: Think that gun owners have it bad, Federal agents arrest Scanner Hobbyist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oris <oris@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3748DD55.59A0@dirtball.com>
Source record: 117769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.clinton

Greg Dean wrote:
>
> Bill Cheek and Keith Knipschild Arrested
>
> April 2, 1999
>
> John T. Ward posted an article on USENET about the following article
> which appeared in
> the Friday, April 2 issue of NY Newsday newspaper, page A31.
>
> Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info
> on Web site
>
> By Hugo Kugiya. STAFF WRITER
>
> The U.S. Secret Service and Nassau County police arrested an
> unemployed electronics
> enthusiast from Kings Park after he intentionally intercepted
> communication sent and
> received by the mobile data terminals installed in police cruisers,
> federal agents said.
>
> Keith Knipschild, a former NYNEX employee, was arrested
> Wednesday in his home at 29
> Amapola Lane for intercepting information and posting some of it on
> his Web site, http://www.knip.com, agents said. Police use
> mobile data terminals to check for outstanding warrants, review
> driving records and to send emergency information they might not want to
> broadcast across police scanners, such as details of an ongoing
> surveillance or a planned
> search.
>
> An affidavit from a federal agent found that Knipschild's Web
> site had 93 pages of
> police transmissions from March 12, which included criminal
> histories and warrants,
> motor-vehicle checks, medical information about victims, and
> witness statements. Nassau
> police confirmed the information was transmitted by police on that
> day. Investigators say
> a confidential source led them to Knipschild, who could not be

> reached for comment yesterday.
>
> Federal investigators said three satellite dishes, ranging in
> size from 18 inches to
> 12 feet in diameter, as well as a 40-foot radio tower were
> discovered on Knipschild's property. Calls to federal authorities
> seeking comment were not returned yesterday. Details about the
> disposition of the case were not available.
>
> Federal documents indicate Knipschild's Web site includes
> information of interest to
> electronic enthusiasts, with links to Web sites about scanners,
> satellites and dishes, and
> amateur radio.
>
> Federal investigators said Knipschild used an illegal
> electronic device to intercept police
> communications. In a related case, the Secret Service have arrested
> a San Diego couple, Bill and
> Cindy Cheek, on a New York warrant charging they sold such illegal
> devices on the Internet to
> intercept police communications. The Secret Service said one of its
> undercover agents purchased
> a device from the Cheeks, who shipped it to New York. Details were
> not readily available
> yesterday.
>
> Mobile data terminals send and receive information by radio
> frequencies, which are
> encoded into a digital or binary format, one that is not available
> to the public. Furthermore,
> these terminals are password-protected.
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/newsflsh.htm
If he is all this trouble why is his web site still up? How come the
feds ain't shut it down? So the guy is in trouble for posting all this
informantion on his web sight but yet the sight is still up. WHAT DOES
THAT SAY ABOUT OUR GOVERNMENT?
I don't know
73 from Oris
--

http://www.dirtball.com

97.1 Basis and purpose.
(d) Expansion of the existing reservoir within the amateur radio
SERVICE of trained operators, technicians, and electronics experts.

2605. Re: FS RS PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: four <lexicon@free.net>
Message-ID: <W8523.727$007.3379@c01read05.service.talkway.com>
Source record: 117770

On Sun, 23 May 1999 14:32:27 -0400 "Bill Clarke" <bc@avlist.com> wrote:

I'm not sure of the value, but LMK what you want for it.

> 200 channel 30-54, 108-174, 380-512, 806-960 MHz. has nicads, wall charger,
> looks and works great. Original owner. Was used for tracking down RFI
> problems - now is excess equipment. Make reasonable offer.
>
> --
> Bill Clarke W2BLC
>
>
>
--
Posted via Talkway - http://www.talkway.com
Exchange ideas on practically anything (tm).

2606. Old PRO-36

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: four <lexicon@free.net>
Message-ID: <Wb523.728$007.3322@c01read05.service.talkway.com>
Source record: 117771

I have an old PRO-36, any way to modify it to scan higher than 512mhz??

Thankz
--
Posted via Talkway - http://www.talkway.com
Exchange ideas on practically anything (tm).

2607. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3748e4d0.42522914@news>
Source record: 117772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Sun, 23 May 1999 03:25:34 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Bill Conduit-
>
>Why is it that you are unable to present any FACTS to support your
>cause & have to resort to school yard type ridicule?

Pot. Kettle. Black.

2608. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: schriber@hotchip.com
Message-ID: <3748e685.262559161@news-server>
Source record: 117773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Woah! When did Bill get arrested and for what?

This is news to me!

Mike

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Occurances would indicate you are correct.
>
>
>Ron wrote:
>
>> Sounds like the guys behind his arrest frequent this group and may also have
>> gotten tired of his crap.
>>
>> I find it hard to believe that his activity on this group did not have some
>> role to play in the whole thing.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

--

Mike Schriber
schriber@hotchip.com
http://www.hotchip.com/schriber
619-597-0078

2609. Re: Import frequency data from any website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3748e798.43235261@news>
Source record: 117774

On Sun, 23 May 1999 13:39:46 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

>Those of us who work hard to assemble frequency lists and format them for
>the Web really appreciate your efforts in creating an easy way for any idiot
>to pirate our data into their scanners without having to do even the
>simplest tasks of acquiring the information they need for themselves. NOT.

Congratulations! I think you've just cinched a nomination for the
"most moronic statement of the year" award.

2610. Any Used BC9000's out there?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990524023349.12501.00004981@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 117775

The subject line pretty much says it all. I am looking for a BC9000xlt, but trying to see if there is a good deal on a used unit. If not, no biggie, but I appreciate any response!
Mike

2611. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3748FF3A.C5BF46A6@usa.net>
Source record: 117776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> if bill ever has to ask for assistance
> for his legal defense fund, all he has
> to do is ask. I'll be the first to get
> him what I can. and i'll bet hundreds
> of NGers and more will too.

The facts of the NG "rec.radio.scanner" prove you wrong!!! I
suggesteda legal defense be started for him & VERY FEW people
responded. Then
another person started a legal defense fund & AGAIN very few responded.
BTW - If you read Bill's letters he HAS asked for contributions & has
stated that he
is having to resort to a public defender becasue he has no money to
defend himself!

> try asking people to help you with
> money for your defense fund.

I have done NOTHING that needs a defense & if I had I
have the resources to defend myself!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2612. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3748FFE9.363FD8ED@usa.net>
Source record: 117777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

How correct youare Shiva, Bill Conduit often does the pot-kettle-black routine.

How pathetic he is.

Shiva Nataraja wrote:

> On Sun, 23 May 1999 03:25:34 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> >Bill Conduit-
> SNIP
>
> Pot. Kettle. Black.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2613. Re: Question about WFM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <7iavj4$93k$3@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 117778
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ryan T. Faricy (online@faricy.net) wrote:
: Robert wrote in message ...
: >Try cellular. I haven't listened but a friend of a friend has...

: As far as I know around here, cellular is Narrow FM.

: As Eric stated, some AM/FM radio stations have remote links, which use 900
: MHz frequencies and WFM. So that's another use of WFM I forgot to mention
: before.

Some wireless microphones and auditory assistance transmitters use WFM
too.

2614. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37490257.A52D6802@usa.net>
Source record: 117779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Bud (the original) wrote:

>MAckey LOVES to lie, in fact it's a complusion with him. He KNOWs, from

Bud, please present your proof that I have lied or be considered a liar YOURSELF!

>reading the newsgroups, that all the equipment that was seized, except for
>one computer, was returned shortly after the arrest. He also knows that

I NEVER said equipment wasn't returned!!! I simply the fact that much equipment
had been seized. I clearly stated the facts! Are you trying to "color" the facts?

>there are NO State charges filed, and no evidence that there ever will be,

I NEVER said that state charges were filed, only that state charges MAY be filed.
Now you have stated (regarding the filing of state charges) "no evidence that there

ever will be", please present your proof of this statement or are you just
SPECULATING
with NO SUPPORTING facts???

>as the Federal charges will probably be dropped before any trial, due to the
>law saying you CAN listen to any Law Enforcement or Government freqs that
>aren't scrambled, and digital encoding is NOT encryption.

He wasn't arrested for "listening". He was arrested for selling equipment
specifically for monitoring law enforcement communications.

Please Bud, in the future be factual & accurate with your statements rather than
procuding your own version of the truth.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2615. BLAKE BOWERS LIES AGAIN!!!!! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA? Or is it really John Mackey selling licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37490421.3EDE1FF0@usa.net>
Source record: 117780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

Blake Bowers wrote:

> Also, early internet reports, from many on the newsgroups, state that
> John Mackey's mother may turn to give evidence & act as a witness
> against him in the recent accusations about John Mackey falsifying

SNIP

A search of yahoo & dejanews shows NO such internet reports as you claim.

That's pretty funny Blake!!!! My mother died 6 years ago!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Once again, BLAKE BOWERS, you have proven your self to be a liar!!!!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2616. Glenn Hansen lies again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37490545.E2F32B86@usa.net>
Source record: 117781
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.swap

Glenn wrote:

> Found this on the net today......

> Also, early internet reports, from many on the newsgroups, state that
> John Mackey's mother may turn to give evidence & act as a witness
> against him in the recent accusations about John Mackey falsifying

SNIP

> Anybody know about this?
>
> I know John has made many false claims about many things but do you believe

SNIP

> John has lied on the net about his license status and other things but

SNIP

So Glenn WHEN have I lied about ANY of the issues you mention & what proof do
you have that I lied???

A search of yahoo & dejanews shows NO such internet reports as you claim.

That's pretty funny!!!! My mother died 6 years ago!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Once again you have proven your self to be a liar!!!!!

Did you ever find the young gay amateurs you were looking for to practice
you homo acts on???

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2617. Re: Errant telephone/voice traffic at 3.007 - 3.550 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <7ib0q6$93k$4@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 117782

Jim (jvpoll@dallas.net) wrote:
: I stumbled - quite by accident - on telephone traffic in the HF band ..

: Notably in the range of : 3.007 - 3.060 MHz and 3.500 - 3.560 MHz.

: Mostly cariers are heard - with occasional 'ringing' and voice traffic
: heard ...

: Tracking the sources down results in a pegged S-meter on the HF
: receiver when parked in the street outside a residence ...

: These sources can be heard almost a mile away ..

: Driving into Dallas each day from from south of McKinney - different
: signals
: can be heard while traversing mobile through Allen, Plano, Richardson
: and
: into Dallas ...

: What gives????

That's easy....it's a wireless phone jack.
These are also used by at least one cable provider as well.

2618. Re: Emergency Monitoring-Why not built-in monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: someone@flubnutz.org
Message-ID: <375e0bb9.548744663@ajax.flubnutz.org>
Source record: 117783
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 23 May 1999 07:20:31 -0700, Kenneth Allan Mitchell
<nokones@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>The point I'm trying to say is that the channel designation/identifier
>should be common for emergencies like 911 on the telephone system. I
>know the frequency for each radio is totally different, so what. I'm
>not trying to say that a CB ch. 9 be able to monitor/communicate with
>FRS Ch. 9

So what? GMRS *can't* comm on that channel, that's what. I thought we'd
already established that.

>For an example, if a lost hiker had an FRS radio and if Search and
>Rescure and/or airborne law enforcement aircraft were on the hunt for
>the hiker they can dial in that commom known channel/pl in their
>radios. Aircraft law enforcement radios are user programmable on the
>fly. An establish procedure for hikers or campers carrying an FRS
>radio channel may help facilitate the location of a lost party.
>
>So what that a GMRS user can't, at least legally, tranmsit on the
>467.XXXX FRS channel freqs. How many GMRS users do Search and Rescue?

Other than the fact that you're more likely to find a GMRS station within
earshot than a "search and rescue" radio? Other than the fact that -- due to
the new surge in interest in GMRS due to the recent license reform by the FCC --
the ratio of FRS-GMRS users is changing in favor of GMRS? Other than the fact
that REACT has a network of GMRS emergency monitoring stations in place, and
might be willing to add a receiver on an interstitial channel?

>Or even want to monitor like react CB Ch. 9?. I'm think a long the
>lines of law enforcement and search and rescue entities to use for
>lost parties lost in the woods etc.

I think *you're* lost in the woods.

When you're willing to walk away from your absurd all-encompassing solution
(that writes off a major chunk of the user base), maybe you'll find your way
home.

2619. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ib1f0$84c@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

In <3748FF3A.C5BF46A6@usa.net> jmackey@usa.net writes:
>

>I have done NOTHING that needs a defense & if I had I
>have the resources to defend myself!
>
you were born and somehow
survived. that is the crime.

bill conduit
etc

and that bullshit you just
spewed out about defense fund
with bill is pure rewritten
lies...you have really a talent
for obfuscating truth.

people, really read this
fecal-lunacy's reply to
the Cheek issue: how he
was so great and now he
is the answer to the
problem with the Cheek
thing

bill conduit
etc.

>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>
it
etc.

2620. Re: Emergency Monitoring-Why not built-in monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2405990447510001@pm53-21.magicnet.net>
Source record: 117785
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <37480EAF.AC121FCC@ix.netcom.com>, nokones@ix.netcom.com wrote:

> The point I'm trying to say is that the channel designation/identifier
> should be common for emergencies like 911 on the telephone system. I
> know the frequency for each radio is totally different, so what. I'm
> not trying to say that a CB ch. 9 be able to monitor/communicate with
> FRS Ch. 9
>
> For an example, if a lost hiker had an FRS radio and if Search and
> Rescure and/or airborne law enforcement aircraft were on the hunt for
> the hiker they can dial in that commom known channel/pl in their
> radios. Aircraft law enforcement radios are user programmable on the
> fly. An establish procedure for hikers or campers carrying an FRS
> radio channel may help facilitate the location of a lost party.
>
> So what that a GMRS user can't, at least legally, tranmsit on the
> 467.XXXX FRS channel freqs. How many GMRS users do Search and Rescue?
> Or even want to monitor like react CB Ch. 9?. I'm think a long the
> lines of law enforcement and search and rescue entities to use for
> lost parties lost in the woods etc.
>
> Why limit a channel designation such as FRS channel 9 as an emergency
> channel. The number "9" "99" or the number "9" associated with "11"
> usually signify's emergency in the public safety world. Channel 5, 6,
> etc. dosen't mean anything and would be easier to remember during a
> crisis.

So you want emergencies to be monitored by a .5 watt radio with a bad antenna that can not be improved, rather than a GMRS radio that has at least 4 times the power, maybe even more, and can have a decent good antenna put on it? If you used FRS channel 9, the GMRS option with the advantages I just mentioned are out. if one monitors one of the first 7 channels, the GMRS option with the advantages I mentioned are in. Do you really want to make that type of sacrifuce just for a more cute number? I say pass on that one.

2621. Re: New RadioShack 2m Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2405990440220001@pm53-21.magicnet.net>
Source record: 117786
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio,phl.scanner

In article <3748BDC7.115A@ameritech.net>, theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net wrote:

> Dave McCormick wrote:
> >
> > Anyone else see the new RadioShack 2m Amateur radio that is the same body as
> > the Cherokee FR-465? It's on page 23 (bottom right) of the May flier. It's
> > also on the RadioShack web page:
> > http://www.radioshack.com/Products/Flyers99/specials.asp
> > check it out.
> >
> > 200mW with 2AA batteries or 2 Watts with 9VDC external supply.
> >
> > Interesting...you wanna bet the next one will be a 70cm version?
> >
> > New FRS models on page 22.
> >
> > Dave K9DV
> How come the Sshack does not sell 6 meter or 33 centimeter stuff?

They used to have a 220 Mhz HT that looked like their current 2 M HT. But
they dropped it though.

2622. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ifqmugdu1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117388

Yeah, but the REAL question here is, WHY WON`T THE ALIENS TAKE WACKY MACKEY
?

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37487442.A64E1464@usa.net...
>
>
> J. MacKenzie wrote:
>
> > allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:
> > > All things *may* come to pass. Aliens may transport Federal
> > >Officials investigating this case to an orbit around Pluto. That
> >
> > Or Cheek might be transported to an orbit around Uranus.
>
> I'm sure allsafe would LOVE that!!!!!
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2623. Re: John Mackey turns in Bill Cheek for selling decoders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <gfL23.180$6w1.50880@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Bud (the original) <thekit@san.rr.com> wrote ...
> On 26 May 1999 03:32:55 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>
> >Your admission to turning in Bill turns my stomach. You should be
> >ashamed!
>
> I wondered how long it would take John to claim credit for that.
>
> But I suspect you're gving John FAR too much credit, I suspect the
> FBI and other '3-letter' agencies have extensive files on John, and
> have great laughing bouts when he files a complaint abut anything.
>

A few years back a post forged in my name soliciting gay boys caused a very sick picture to be mailed to me. I called the FBI and low and behold they had a computer crimes division. In the course of my dealings with the FBI guess which two names came up in the conversation? John Mackey Jr. was one of them. Yes, they DO know about Mr. Mackey-Loon.

2624. Re: Help - Mobile Phone modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <374B916E.53B6@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117390
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Alf wrote:
>
> I would greatly appreciate if anyone has information on mobile phone
> modifications/programing. Particularly Nokia 101 and Motorola 7500.
> We are working on a project that requires mobile transmitters used for fault
> alarms on remote industrial equipment and would like to be able to set up
> various mobile phones as transmitters with the receiving mobile set up on
> scan mode to monitor each of the transmitted alarm signals. The analog data
> then will be fed into a computer for alarm trending.
>
> Any help tips or references would be appreciated.
>
> Regards,
>
> Alf

What advantage would a "mobile phone" have for your application ??

It would seem to me that if you are scanning in order to pick up
the transmission, that a mobile phone is not needed. Do you
want the mobile phone to actually dial a phone number when
a fault is detected ??

When you say mobile phone, do you in fact mean "Cellular Phone" ??

If not, then perhaps a Motorola R-Net data radio unit, or a
Varda alarm would better suit your purpose..

Kantronics also makes telemetery equipment based around their
packet radio controllers.

Just my $.02 :-)

Trish
--

Patricia E. Gibbons
Acting Chief Communications Technician
City of San Jose - ITD/communications
.....
My Public Key is available at:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu/pks-commands.html>
Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!

2625. Re: ASU West PD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7ig3qofeb1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 117391
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In what city/county is ASU West located?

HoochMonger wrote in message <7ifgi9$4m6$1@remarQ.com>...
>I am looking for frequencies used by the Arizona State University West
>Police. Can anyone help me? Thanks!
>
>

2626. Re: MORE ON THE MIND CONTROL CRIME IN THE CLEVELAND AREA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <7ieje2$pu0@nntpa.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 117438

In article <19990525032713.02974.00002705@ng-da1.aol.com>,
WilRobnson <wilrobnson@aol.com> wrote:
>Holy Crap...What freqs do these guys with the beams co-ord on? Gotta scan em!!
>Sheeit....Post to alt.psychobabble.conspiracy.unbluetanks.mindcont- oh forget
>it!

Probably ate some bad fast food . . .

2627. Re: 123.025!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <7iekin$2je@nntpb.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 117439

In article <19990523234820.18115.00002642@ng-ce1.aol.com>,
PorscheEMB <porscheemb@aol.com> wrote:
>i will think!
>Thanks!
>

get an alinco DJ-C1 2 meter credit card transceiver.
it'll receive 123.025 and i paid $42 at dayton hamfest (tax included)
i think they're around $69.00 from american electronics supply - cleveland?
got to use an earplug or a speaker/mic. this is a coool little radio.
it's the size of a playing card and about 1/4" thick.
if you want to transmit - well look at a type accepted radio - although
alot of these "ham" radios will convert into all sorts of bands . . .
but that's another story.

xxxx

2628. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <2nNEEsAAmtS3Ewgp@virgin.net>
Source record: 117440
Cross-posted to: alt.support.divorce,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <iPw23.9271$vM1.15364@ozemail.com.au>, fIlThY <not@home.com>
writes
>Nor do Optus or Vodaphone - Sorry just evening up the score - all digital
>telco carriers in Australia suck!
Here we go again! I am still trying to fathom out what exactly does
suck/sucks mean. Nobody will tell me!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2629. Re: Radio Transmitters (2m/70cm bands)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <FF43D45D7A9B87D6.C5A98F322C81A0DC.21068D6B3FCC7DF7@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117441
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Hmmm, I thought you Australia people were good.
Looks like you suck like the rest of the people in the world.

2630. AR3000A & AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: JB <trayvou@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <7iemfk$g2m$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 117442

Echange AR3000A Tous mode sans trou .Encore sous garantie 10 mois avec soft
+
cable PC + emballage neuf (cause double emploi) contre AR8200 avec cordon
PC.

trayvou@wanadoo.fr

2631. Any Electra TSA user reports yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7ien3jsie1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 117443
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

The $69.96 Electra TSA 2-channel + Wx scanner has benn out a couple weeks now, including at Dayton. Anybody get one? Any reports??

Harry
www.snowcrest.net/marnells

2632. Re: Need Help w/EMI From Uncooperative Neighbor's House

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob Lang <robertlang@nac.net>
Message-ID: <DBB23.23$m4.16@nntp1>
Source record: 117444
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Not having kids I have no idea what a "baby wipes heater" is (but it somehow sounds frightening) but if it is purely "electrical", like a heating coil or something, I don't know if you have any recourse. If it is "electronic" then it should fall under FCC Part 15. Enforcement is a whole 'nuther story though...

Rob Lang
KC2EMO

2633. Re: John Mackey - A definition, and a vision.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ieoh1$n1$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117445
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.firefighters

Sponge-Coated Edible Steering Column Chrome Fender Dents and Factory
Air-Conditioned Air from our Fully-Equipped Air-Conditioned Factory wrote in
message ...
>In article <7icuf8oi1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>,
>ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com mumbled...
>PKB. Keeps this OT crap outta rec.radio.amateur. There's enough petty
>bickering here already. If you'd like a reservation we may have room for
>you in 2002 or so.
No problem, I was just answering a question that someone had asked. 2002,
huh? ;-)

>--> The only reason that John exists is because of the actions of others.
I
>--> have in the past and still today propose a simple solution: ignore him.

>WOW! Excellent idea. Why don't you follow your own advice.
I am. It has been months since I replied directly to Laughing John.
Unfortunately, it isn't enough. Everyone has to do it for it to work.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2634. FS/Trade iCOM IC-255A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: DrPepper@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <374ae8bc.17726164@news.iwvisp.com>
Source record: 117446

iCOM IC-255A, Two meter FM rig. Two VFOs, scans 5 frequencies.
covers 143.800 to 148.195 mHz. Transmit power 1 watt & 25 watts.
Reciever RIT control. Manuals, schematics, notes the whole banana.
This isn't exactly a "boatanchor", but it is a very reliable older rig

I will miss her. Reason for sale/trade? Just upgraded to 440/2m.
Please make an offer. I think the value is around $185
Or what do you have to trade?

DrPepper@iwvisp.com

2635. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ieppk$rg8@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117447
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In <374A5E49.13982830@usa.net> jmackey@usa.net writes:
>
>Mr. Larson, you are very likely correct. I believe we should pray for
>that man.
>
>
Listen you putrid excuse for a human
maggot...

at least he is THE MAN

what sayeth u?

bill conduit
etc.

2636. Re: FREQS FOR MAUI !!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7iepk9$9dj@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117448

In <374a3329.21231831@news> blade1@home.com (Robert) writes:
>
>I would appreciate it if anyone has Freqencies for MAUI, HI !!!!!!!!!
>
>Can't find anything.................please send any replies to:
>
>MQSABEV@earthlink.net
>
>Thanks !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Hozit brah

u may wannah try
da kine Honolulu
first

dey may use same
ovah Maui way...

just hint brah

will look m foh
u wen i return.

shakah brah

also dey may
no be on 800 brah...

yet

Erickson radios dey be...

bill conduit
etc.

2637. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ieq2r$4db@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

>On Tue, 25 May 1999 03:39:20 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>>Wow Bill, the last time I heard that was in 2nd grade. No wait it was
>>first grade!!!
>>
>>
>>
>>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>>
>>> you were born and somehow
>>> survived. that is the crime.
>>
Width-of one hair
brain within
Mackeral's thickness
brittle and dim

that is absolutely correct:
you never got out of first
grade and you still reside
there.
thanks for the update. From
2nd grade up to 1st grade...
what a concept at work here
for this brine-swill sewer
dropping go plunk-plunk in
full sized sighted.

bill conduit
etc.

2638. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ieqd1$cn6@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

In <l1A23.1812$A67.105714@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
>
>
><jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:374A1D0F.9A5F1F4E@usa.net...
>> You have NEVER posted an on-topic message on this NG, you are here
only
>> to cause trouble!!!!
>>
>> People like YOU are only mad at me because of my involvement with
the
>Cheek bust.
>>

so what you are telling us above is
that you took part, gave info about,
did help them get bill....?

you helped them get bill arrested
is what you are now claiming...

very interesting claim to fame.

a maggot got the KING arrested

i think this should be closely
scrutinized by people who think
that they know this blurb of
coughed-up phlem....

bill conduit
etc.

HEY bill, did you hear this
about Mackey being responsible
at getting you arrested.

he admits it....

2639. Re: Anyone heard Blue Angels, Thunderbirds, Snowbirds This Year?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990525144803.15363.00000148@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 117451

Bob,

Two of the T-birds bumped wing tips on takeoff during the Patrick AFB airshow a few weeks ago. Minor damage to the plane, but apparently major damage to at least one pilot's career.

John

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

2640. Re: Anyone heard Blue Angels, Thunderbirds, Snowbirds This Year?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: martyrip <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <374AE72C.6992@ptd.net>
Source record: 117452

```
--
@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
\___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

US NAVY BLUE ANGELS FLIGHT DEMO TEAM

Not all frequencies are used at all airshows. During practice,
channel usage may not conform to actual airshow usage.

118.1000 Air to Air (Active Sep.13, 1998)
118.2000 Air to Air (Active Sep.13, 1998)
118.3500 Show Boss "Victor" (Active Sep.6-7, 1997)
121.7000 Ground (Active Sep.6-7, 1997)
121.9000 Ground Fuels Ground support (Active Sep.13, 1998)
122.3000 Show Boss
122.9250 Air to Public Address (Active Sep.6-7, 1997)
123.4000 GCA Common Airshow (Active Sep.13, 1998)
123.4500 GCA Common Airshow
134.1000
141.5600 Maintenance Charlie Operations Ground support (Active Sep.13, 1998)
142.0000 Maintenance ALPHA Ground support AM (Active Sep.13, 1998)
142.0250 Maintenance Delta Ground Operations Delta (Repeated on 142.625) (Active Sep.13,
1998)
142.2600 Maintenance (Active Sep.13, 1998)
142.2650 Maintenance Ground support (Active Sep.13, 1998)
142.6250 Maintenance Delta Ground support repeater output (Active Sep.13, 1998)
143.0000 Maintenance Bravo Ground support AM (Active Sep.13, 1998)
143.6000 Maintenance Channel 10 Ground support (Active Sep.13, 1998)
164.9000 Ground Ops, DVP Capable, Simplex (Active Sep. 26 1998)
168.9000 Ground Ops, DVP Capable, Sx.(Active Sep. 26 1998)
170.9000 Ground Ops, DVP Capable, Sx. Primary(Active Sep. 26 1998)
Operations
235.1500 Showline
235.5000 Recent use as Alternate Air to Air
238.1500 Formation Air to Air, recent use (Active Sep. 26 1998)
241.4000 Air to Air (Active Sep.13, 1998)
243.0000 Emergency/MayDay/Distress
245.9000 Air to Air (Active Sep.13, 1998)
246.4000 Solo/2-team (Active Sep.13, 1998)
250.4000 Air to Air
250.8000 Air to Air (Active Sep.13, 1998)
251.4000 Air to Air
251.6000 Flight Line Common (Active Sep.13, 1998)
251.8000 Planes 5-6 (Active Sep.13, 1998)
```

256.2500 Air to Air
263.3500 Comm 1 Lead & Fat Albert (Active Sep.13, 1998)
263.4500 Air to Air
263.5000 Air to Air Channel (Active Sep.13, 1998)
263.6500 Air to Air (Active Sep.13, 1998)
264.5500 Air to Air, recent reports
275.3500 Comm 2 Formation talkback Air to Air Sep. 26 1998
269.9000 Show Boss "uniform" (Active Sep.6-7, 1997)
302.1000 F2 Talkback (Active Sep.13, 1998)
302.1500 Air to Air F3 (Active Sep.13, 1998)
304.4000 Recent use as Air to Air Alternate Frequency (Active Sep.13, 1998)
307.7000 Blue Angels "Diamond" (Active Sep.13, 1998)
308.2600 Air to Air (Active Sep.13, 1998)
318.9000
319.8000 Air to Air
345.9000 Recent use as Alternate Air to Air Frequency Sep. 26 1998
348.6000 Ground Support (Active Sep.13, 1998)
360.4000 Comm Solos Air to Air (Active Sep.13, 1998)
362.6000 Air Show Control (Active Sep.13, 1998)
382.5000 Air to Air (Active Sep.13, 1998)
383.4000 Forward Air Traffic Controller at Practice Sites
384.4000 Air to Air / Comm One Number One (Active Sep.13, 1998)
391.1000 Air to Air (Active Sep.13, 1998)
391.9000 Air to Air (Active Sep.13, 1998)
394.4000 Air to Air
395.1000
395.5000 Air to Air (Active Sep.13, 1998)
395.9000 Air to Air (Active Sep.13, 1998)
408.4000 Rpt. Ground support
418.0500 Ground support

The old Alpha and Bravo VHF channels are probably totally out of use. It would be AM mode if present. All UHF stuff here is AM Mode. Ground crews love their new radios and use them quite a bit, about 1/2 scrambled last year. Some use at remote sights. There should be at least 3 other freqs active on the ground crews radios, so keep searching. Also check show / platform control, tower freqs and other area air traffic. I'd start searching the 225-400 Mhz military band a day or so before the show as the Angels will be up spotting the show, giving media rides, etc. Please post Blue Angels Airshow Freq Info what you find active the show.

If you don't find them on any of these start searching. Let us know what they are using this year! Happy Scanning!

Thanks to airshow/scanner fanactics all over the world!
Please send corrections and updates to:
martyrip@ptdprolog.net

Here are recieving frequencies for Various airshow teams.
Date verifies last time I heard that frequency used:

U. S. Air Force Golden Knights (Parachute Team)
032.400 (Operations)
042.350 (Primary)
123.475

Common civilian airshow tower and show control frequencies:
120.000, 123.400, 123.450, 123.750, 126.200, 126.400, 126.250, 128.250
349.400, (USAF Military towers)
340.200, (Military air tower Pensacola NAS 11/11/92)
122.745 Airshow Airboss (Show control) at Symnra, TN 9/18/93

Budlight Microjet
123.470 Confirmed Symnra, TN 9/18/93

112.680, 121.770, 122.690, 122.700, (Courtland, AL Airshow 11/05/92)
118.150 (Maxwell AFB tower,Montgomery, AL)
119.900 (BHM tower,Birmingham, AL)
124.500, 132.000 (Approach, Birmingham, AL)
377.150 (Alabama ANG tower,Birmingham, AL)

Modes on most frequencies are AM.

If you don't find them on any of these start searching. Let us know what
they are using this year! Happy Scanning!

Thanks to airshow/scanner fanactics all over the world!
Please send corrections and updates to:
martyrip@ptdprolog.net

Canadian Snowbirds

Snowbirds Frequency
Air to Air 141.850
Channel 1 275.800
2 295.600
3 310.800
4 227.600
5 243.400
6 240.500
7 378.500
8 266.300
9 294.500
10 322.800
11 245.700
12 316.500
13 344.500
14 356.600
15 236.600
16 283.900
17 363.800
18 289.400
19 245.000
20 239.800

21 278.800
22 227.650
23 266.310
24 294.700
25 321.700
26 344.400
27 356.600
28 236.800
29 282.800
30 245.500
31 239.900
32 299. 900
Emergency 381.800
Ground Crew 413.025

If you don't find them on any of these start searching. Let us know what they are using this year! Happy Scanning!

Thanks to airshow/scanner fanactics all over the world!
Please send corrections and updates to:
martyrip@ptdprolog.net

U.S. Air Force Thunderbirds

66.90
114.950
118.200 Reported Operations
120.450 Operations/coordinations
123.400 Common airshow
123.540 Airshow control
124.925 Air-to-air
138.875
140.000 Air-to-air tactical
140.400 Air-to-air tactical 5/02/98
141.850 Air-to-air/coordination (primary)5/02/98
143.100 Ground Operations
143.850 Air-to-air (primary) 5/02/98
148.000 (AM)
148.550 Maintenance
235.250 T-BIRD Control Duplex System 5/02/98
236.550 Air-to-air solos (5-6)
236.600 Air-to-air
241.400 Air-to-air solos (5-6)
250.850 Team leader command
273.500 Air-to-air F-2 solos (5-6)
283.500 Air-to-air F-3 solos (5-6)
294.700 Air-to-air
295.700 Air-to-air F-1
322.300 Air-to-air F-4
322.600 Air-to-air F-5

322.950 T-BIRD Reply to 235.250 Duplex System 5/02/98
382.900 Air-to-air F-6 tactical
394.000 Air-to-air tactical F-7
413.025 Ground support F-1 5/02/98
413.075 Ground support
413.100 Ground support F-2 5/02/98
413.150 Ground support
413.275 Ground support
413.350 Ground support
413.400 Ground support

If you don't find them on any of these start searching. Let us know what they are using this year! Happy Scanning!

Thanks to airshow/scanner fanactics all over the world!
Please send corrections and updates to:
martyrip@ptdprolog.net

2641. Yaesu FT-50R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ********* ******** <sgrimanis@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7iet7m$du2$1@newssrv.otenet.gr>
Source record: 117453

Does anyone know how can i unlock the yaesu FT-50R so that it transmits on 400-450Mhz.

2642. Re: Need Help w/EMI From Uncooperative Neighbor's House

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <375ae7e1.17091630@news.erols.com>
Source record: 117454
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 25 May 1999 15:11:24 GMT, "thx1138" <look@life.org> wrote:

>My neighbor of which we share a common wall (duplex) recently
>purchased a "baby wipes heater". I am not joking.
>This device is creating havoc with certain cable TV
>channels and the entire MW, HF, VHF & UHF radio bands. Basically
>it is a spark-gap, DC to daylight intermittent hash transmitter.

Typically, if you're getting this kind of noise, it's because the
thermostat is about to destroy itself.

>The first time I brought up the problem neither one of us knew
>what it was. My neighbor said he was going to ask me the same
>question when I approached him about it. I brought out my
>portable SW radio and showed him that the noise was very strong
>around his house. I told him to turn off the main electric
>circuit breaker. He agreed and when he did so the hash
>disappeared. Next, I told him to turn the main breaker back
>on and using my portable radio sequentially turn off & on the
>individual breakers until the problem was isolated. He agreed
>and the problem was found to be the baby wipes heater, ugh.
>Then I offered to let him try my Iso-Bar line filter to see if
>it will cut down the noise. Since I didn't go into his house
>when he tried it I don't know if he actually plugged it in or
>if he pretended. But apparently it seemed to work. I told him
>to buy one just like it and please use it. He agreed and that
>was the last I heard from him.

Big mistake. In cases like this, even though it's technically your
neighbor's obligation to fix this, the diplomatic thing to do would be
for you to supply the power line filter for free.

>A few days later the noise returned and has been going strong for
>over 2 weeks since. I have asked him again to please stop using
>the device, return it for another unit or contact the manufacturer
>for a fix. None of which received a response from him. I am at
>my nerves end not to mention my severely hampered scanning, SW &
>AM listening as well as cable TV watching.

Cable TV? You either have a leaky cable TV system or a very heavy
duty power problem.

>This device is considered an illegal transmitter in my mind. But
>most information I have been able to find to resolve this issue
>pertains to CBers and Hams causing interference. Nothing about

>what to do when a neighbors severe hash interferes with us.

Diplomacy is key here --not responsibility.

>Is there any FCC or other document that states the legalities of
>this issue so that I can give it to my neighbor in hopes of him
>realizing the consequences if he does not attempt to resolve the
>problem? I have no idea what my next step should be. Any help
>is much appreciated, thank you.

Rule number one in receiver interference cases: The FCC will not help. The FCC has been emasculated to a degree that even where the laws do exist, they don't enforce them unless the violation is so egregious that it represents a public safety hazard.

Rule number two: not only do your neighbors not care about your radios, they don't even want to know that you have them. They're scared of you and of those infernal radio thingies. They think you're an electronic stalker. You might as well brag to them that you own a handgun collection...

Rule number three: BE HELPFUL. Say things like "I couldn't help noticing the static your baby wipes heater puts out. Usually that's a sign the thermostat is about to self destruct. You know how those appliances are; remember those models of toasters which when slightly overloaded caused house fires?" Do not tell them they're responsible for anything. Do not tell them how much the hash on the air bothers you. Yes, the law says they're responsible. No they don't understand it, nor do they care. There is no RF police to enforce a damned thing and most folks know it.

Finally, if you can't get them to take action, go somewhere else. I don't mean you have to move, either. I was at wits end when I used to live in a neighborhood with covenants. So I put my ham radio gear in my car. I figured that if I'm doomed to have a lousy antenna, the least I could do was to make it mobile.

You have to remember, the rules under Part 15 of the FCC regulations are being loosened all the time. Basically, the FCC doesn't care about anything below UHF these days. Cable TV systems can leak and they don't enforce a thing. Products can radiate all over the place and the FCC hasn't got the power to do anything but go to court. Monitoring stations have been shut down from coast to coast. The broadcast AM band is a morass of poor spectrum management which sounds like purgatory every time the sun goes down.

Frankly, ever since lawyers took over the FCC the regulations got more and more surreal and enforcement went from minimal to non-existant. You won't get any help there. I wish it were different, but that's how it is.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2643. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <374b1af8@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 117455

>Better yet, screw e-crap and just list the item for sale!

I've done it both ways and had better results with eBay as far as exposure, duration, and getting my asking price. Where else but eBay would someone gladly fork out close to $400 for a hacked-up Pro-2006? I've seen it happen many, many times. Great if you're selling something there, but forget it if you're looking for as deal....people will bid way more than market value for items just for the hell of it.

2644. Re: What is DPL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: DeWat Productions <drwatkins3@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7if1s0fia1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 117456
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

DPL = Digital PL. They work the same way as CTCSS. The frequencies are three digits (as you discovered), and may or may not follow standards. I can't remember the URL, but one of the scanner sites on the net has a list of the "standard" DPL frequencies.

Brian Elias wrote in message <374A2C48.37215C3C@downcity.net>...
>Got a question...I am an EMT in Connecticut and I am programming our
>local public safety freqs into a CTCSS capable scanner. Two of them,
>next to the freq. list DPL 025 for the PL tone. The others all have
>standard PL tones. Can somebody explain what a DPL is and whether a
>BC895 is capable of decoding it? Thanks!
>
>-Brian
>

2645. Re: icom r7100 instructions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: thx1138 <look@life.org>
Message-ID: <7if1k8$sid@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 117457

roger wrote
> I have an Icom R7100 that just came out of storage, I cant find the
> instructions, and can't rememeber the basics. Does anybody have the
> basics for programming the R7100? (yeah I know, enter freq into a
> channel)

The R7100 has complicated programming routines.
Is this what you are looking for?

press the Down/Up key to choose the memory position
OR
key in memory channel#, i.e. "1" "1" "Mch"

enter the freq on the keypad or use dial if the channel is already
programmed

set desired mod if necessary, i.e. fm, fm/n, ssb...

press and hold "MW" until 3 beeps are heard to Memory Write into the
channel memory

Happy Scanning

2646. Re: Optocom:PalmPilot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7if272$dg3$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

there are no "Store and scan" frequencies in the radio. The frequencies are read from the database, and fed to the radio, one at a time. If the radio WERE in what is callled "Stand - alone mode", then you wouldn`t need the computer to run the radio. In "Stand - alone mode" the radio actually has 100 (or less) frequencies programmed in , and it runs (scans) on its own. I realize that this may be hard for a beginner to grasp. The traditional method was to program the frequencies into the radio. However, nether the Opto 456 (Lite or otherwise), nor the 535 ever deviated from this method of having the frequencies in the computer. It gives great flexibility in programming the radio, and virtually an unlimited number of frequencies. It also gives the abilty to program the settings individually for each channel, such as delay, tone detection, sound squelch, etc. It also gives the ability to change what banks the scanner scans in the wink of an eye. Or, even under program control ! (Witness the superb programming, flexibility, and intelligence of Probe 5.0).
Good luck with your Palm Pilot project, and I will be fascinated to hear if it does , indeed work, and how well. I really know little of the Palm pilot, (What OS it runs, etc) , and have been casting about for information about it, but little luck so far.

SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3749F60D.B9F9B224@hotmail.com...
> I just recently got an Optocom, and I wanted to buy a PalmPilot to
> control it for mobile operation. In the readme.txt file that comes with
> the PalmPilot program it states that it has not been tested on the Palm
> Pilot III (Only the PalmPilot Pro). I was just wondering if anyone has
> any problems running this program on PalmPilot III. My second question
> regards the operation of this program. Will it let you change the store
> and scan frequencies in the radio, or will it only switch between them
> and decode tones? They also state in the readme.txt file that they give
> you the source in hopes that people will modify the program to make it
> better. Is there any better versions out there I can get?
>
> Thanks for the help!
>
>
>

2647. Re: Any Electra TSA user reports yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: lf686@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990525170543.13214.00005085@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 117459

>The $69.96 Electra TSA 2-channel + Wx scanner has benn out a couple weeks
>now, including at Dayton. Anybody get one? Any reports??
>

Hi Harry,

I have had one for a couple weeks now and I am very impressed. Simple to program and use and very sensitive to boot. I live in the Detroit area and have not noticd any intermod. The audio is exceptionally clear and plenty loud.

I also think you will not find a company that is as genuinely interested in satisfying their customers. A phone call to Elektra is usually answered by Dave Loveel, the president ! I discussed several items on the unit I would like to see changed, they were very receptive.

Just my 2 cents worth ....

Larry Fowkes

2648. Re: LOOKING FOR SCANNER MOD MAN.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry <ismella@pig.com>
Message-ID: <374b0fa0.0@news.wcc.net>
Source record: 117460

Something smells about this one!?..?!
>
> I'm looking for the name, address and phone number of a man who does
> scanner mods in Nova Scotia, or New Foundland, or somewhere in that
> part of Canada. I think his last name was Pedafore, or something close.
> He use to always have a monthly classified ad in Popular Communications
> advertising his scanner mods. Anyone familiar with him and has his
> contact info, please post. Thanks very much!!
>
> Cindy Bradford
> --
> Posted via Talkway - http://www.talkway.com
> Exchange ideas on practically anything (tm).
>

2649. Re: Mackey STILL causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: gho <ru@ghnet.com>
Message-ID: <374B167A.34766CEC@ghnet.com>
Source record: 117461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

Look who talking about wasting bandwidth.

Mr. WireHead wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> > Because I have more respect for this NG & usenet as a whole to waste theprecious
> > bandwidth with ALL your sniveling & lies.
> >
>
> R E A L I T Y C H E C K ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Definitive data on the posting history of Toilet Boy (aka Mackey). Edited for the
> more salient point(s). Compelling nonetheless. This is data from last year, we can
> only speculate just how much more bandwidth the friggin loon has wasted since.
>
> SNIP --- Data From Smally ----
>
> I have nothing else to say except that, out of curiousity, I searched
> the archives at Dejanews to see how much John "contributes" to the
> group. I found some 13000 posts in all in the scanner newsgroups since
> he arrived. THIRTEEN THOUSAND!!!!!!!!!!! Yet the on topic posts he has
> made can be counted on one hand, with room left over. Case closed,
> folks. John Mackey is the problem. Guilty as charged.
>
> I have done it folks. I have accomplished what I set out to do. I have
> proven what the Clown Prince of Scanning is really all about: A
> personal, neverending vendetta against Bill Cheek.
>
> SNIP ------ Data From Kindricks ------
>
> Date | Mackey | Cheek | Kendrick | Bowers | Vetterle | allsafe | K4CC
> ---
> JUL 98 | 413 | 386* | 6 | 32 | 28 | 75 | 234
> JUN 98 | 413 | 502* | 0 | 64 | 45 | 97 | 95
> MAY 98 | 22 | 208 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 12
> APR 98 | 194 | 128 | 27 | 41 | 25 | 12 | 0
> MAR 98 | 254 | 240 | 49 | 24 | 50 | 18 | 0
> FEB 98 | 200 | 274 | 51 | 27 | 46 | 18 | 0
> JAN 98 | 173 | 178 | 35 | 1 | 33 | 33 | 0
> DEC 97 | 149 | 227 | 32 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0
> NOV 97 | 63 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0
> OCT 97 | 48 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0
> SEP 97 | 181 | 78 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0

> AUG 97 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0
> ---
> TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 | 201 | 203 | 275 | 266 | 341
>
> Consider this point. Less than two dozen of the total posts by Mackey
> pertained to scanners or scanning. Approximately half of the posts
> made by Bill Cheek were devoted to scanners and scanning. Now go back
> and look at the numbers again. You do the math.
> ____
> There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
> We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....
> ____
> While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:
>
> admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
> $USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
> root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
> Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
> Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
> Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
> Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
> US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
> Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
> Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
> Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
> net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

2650. India 99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jill Sawyer <Jill.Sawyer@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <7if586$44r$1@epos.tesco.net>
Source record: 117462
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

can anybody supply the frequency for police helicopter on channel 89
Kenneth Allan Mitchell wrote in message <37480EAF.AC121FCC@ix.netcom.com>...
>The point I'm trying to say is that the channel designation/identifier
>should be common for emergencies like 911 on the telephone system. I
>know the frequency for each radio is totally different, so what. I'm
>not trying to say that a CB ch. 9 be able to monitor/communicate with
>FRS Ch. 9
>
>For an example, if a lost hiker had an FRS radio and if Search and
>Rescure and/or airborne law enforcement aircraft were on the hunt for
>the hiker they can dial in that commom known channel/pl in their
>radios. Aircraft law enforcement radios are user programmable on the
>fly. An establish procedure for hikers or campers carrying an FRS
>radio channel may help facilitate the location of a lost party.
>
>So what that a GMRS user can't, at least legally, tranmsit on the
>467.XXXX FRS channel freqs. How many GMRS users do Search and Rescue?
>Or even want to monitor like react CB Ch. 9?. I'm think a long the
>lines of law enforcement and search and rescue entities to use for
>lost parties lost in the woods etc.
>
>Why limit a channel designation such as FRS channel 9 as an emergency
>channel. The number "9" "99" or the number "9" associated with "11"
>usually signify's emergency in the public safety world. Channel 5, 6,
>etc. dosen't mean anything and would be easier to remember during a
>crisis.
>
>zeno@magicnet.net wrote:
>>
>> In article <375e4172.365828884@ajax.flubnutz.org>, someone@flubnutz.org
>> (some where) wrote:
>>
>> > On Fri, 21 May 1999 21:28:55 -0700, Kenneth Allan Mitchell
>> > <nokones@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>> >
>> > >I'm fully aware that the emergency channel for the CB radio is channel
>> > >9. There's no reason why FRS channel 9 can't be designated as an
>> > >emergency calling channel like the CB radio. That way it will be
>> > >common to use channel 9 for both CB and FRS.
>> >
>> > Common to CB & FRS? Yeah, except for being about 425 megahertz apart
>> from each
>> > other, sheesh.

>>
>> Actualy they are 440.5225 megahertz apart.
>>
>> CB channel 9...27.065 Mhz
>> FRS channel 9...467.5875 Mhz
>
>--
>Kenneth Allan Mitchell
>mailto:nokones@ix.netcom.com

2651. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7if7c5$9q9$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

D Stark wrote in message ...
>>Hmmmm... how convenient for Uncle Sam. Laws have to be voted on, but the
>FCC
>>can adopt new reg's at will, which when violated, hold the same or worse
>>penalties. Nice touch.
>
>
>Untrue. If the FCC makes a regulation that conflicts with LAW, the
>regulation is invalid. Unfortunately for the "victims" of such an error,
>the regulation will be enforced until/unless a Federal court prevents it.

Right, but you're missing the point. The FCC can adopt a "rule" that there is no such law against and it becomes the same as law nonetheless, and the only people deciding what the rules are is the FCC. The FCC could very easily say that any scanner covering 800MHz would not receive type acceptance, thereby making 800MHz capable scanners illegal to sell, own and operate, without having to have a law passed by Congress. That is my point.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2652. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <LqF23.7364$Gm1.825138@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 117464

Why don't you use Amazon Auction instead of eBay? I haven't noticed them banning radio scanner sales.

Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net> wrote in message news:374b1af8@news.oaktree.net...
>
> >Better yet, screw e-crap and just list the item for sale!

2653. Help - Mobile Phone modifications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alf <perez.alfonso.am@bhp.com.au>
Message-ID: <7if83v$12t2@atbhp.corpmel.bhp.com.au>
Source record: 117465
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I would greatly appreciate if anyone has information on mobile phone
modifications/programing. Particularly Nokia 101 and Motorola 7500.
We are working on a project that requires mobile transmitters used for fault
alarms on remote industrial equipment and would like to be able to set up
various mobile phones as transmitters with the receiving mobile set up on
scan mode to monitor each of the transmitted alarm signals. The analog data
then will be fed into a computer for alarm trending.

Any help tips or references would be appreciated.

Regards,

Alf

2654. LASD MDT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7if7s9$a34$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117466

Just curious if anyone out there knows what kind of MDT system the LA County sheriff uses. I listened to the frequency while visiting California this weekend and it sounds really weird, no frame synch or bit interleave signal like MDC4800, just data bursts, almost like packet in sound. Very odd, but it might not be too tough to decode if the protocol is available.

Anyone with details reply here in the group.
Thanks,
--
-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2655. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <uwF23.450$Lc1.796@news.flash.net>
Source record: 117467

In article <374b1af8@news.oaktree.net>, n9drm@nospam.tcon.net says...
>
>
>>Better yet, screw e-crap and just list the item for sale!
>
>I've done it both ways and had better results with eBay as far as exposure,
>duration, and getting my asking price. Where else but eBay would someone
>gladly fork out close to $400 for a hacked-up Pro-2006? I've seen it happen
>many, many times. Great if you're selling something there, but forget it if
>you're looking for as deal....people will bid way more than market value for
>items just for the hell of it.

Its hard to believe what people were bidding for scanners on eBay. Back in
November I bought a PRO-34 for $50.00 in a pawnshop. It was in mint condition.
I put it up on eBay and it received nearly 40 bids, top bid was $234.00. And
the guy paid!

I still sell on eBay (not scanners) as well as Amazon. I make more on eBay
however Amazon is increasing nicely.

When eBay first started this crap about not allowing scanners I just had to
write an editorial with my $0.02 . You can find it at the RadiosOnLine E-Zine
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <--- RadiosOnLine E-Zine, a wealth of
Information!

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

2656. Re: Yaesu FT-50R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <374b4380@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 117468

********* ******** <sgrimanis@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7iet7m$du2$1@newssrv.otenet.gr...
> Does anyone know how can i unlock the yaesu FT-50R so that it transmits
on
> 400-450Mhz.

go to www.qrz.com/mods

It explains how to do it there in one of the FT-50 files. Involves taking
the radio apart, removing the keypad and removing a solder ball.
I'm only passing this info along and do not recommend transmitting on
frequencies that you are not licensed to operate on.
Dan

2657. FS: Lots of Popular Communications & other scanner magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <danr@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <374B2460.B7813D21@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 117469

For Sale:
Have a bunch of scanner magazines I'd like to sell to someone who'd like to learn up on the hobby a little, or browse through for reference. ALL were stored well and are still in great condition. Selling the following as one big package:

Popular Communications:
1992: June, Nov, Dec
1993: ALL except Apr and Oct
1994: ALL, including the 1994 Communications Buyer's Guide
1995: ALL except Feb, Jun, Oct
1996: ALL except Nov
1997: ALL except May
1998: ALL except Dec
1999: Jan, Feb, May, Jun

Monitoring Times:
1993: Jun
1994: Aug, Oct, Dec
1995: Jan, Feb, Mar, May
1996: ALL except July, Aug, Sep, Oct
1997: ALL except Jan, Feb, July
1998: ALL except July, Dec

U.S. Scanner News
1994: Sept
1996: Jun, July, Aug, Sept, Oct

RCMA Scanner Journal
1996: April, May, June

Plus many random National Communications, CQ, CQ VHF, Satelite Times, and Radio! issues.

Make a reasonable offer by email to danr@azstarnet.com . Heck, make an unreasonable offer and we'll see what happens!

Dan Rollman
KC7ANQ

2658. Wideband Receiver comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: llklodt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990525184540.01105.00000152@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 117470

Hi all! I am going to purchase a wideband receiver this week and would like some advice on what is out there. I am looking at the Alinco SSB unit, and any advice on either base or handheld units. THanks!

LARRY :-)

2659. Re: Question about WFM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan T. Faricy" <online@faricy.net>
Message-ID: <7ifai3meu1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117471
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>Tomorrow, we learn how to turn on the power switch.

If someone is less knowledgeable than are you, I would appreciate it if you wouldn't be so rude as you were here.

2660. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374B31AB.5F8A@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117472
Cross-posted to: alt.support.divorce,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <iPw23.9271$vM1.15364@ozemail.com.au>, fIlThY <not@home.com>
> writes
> >Nor do Optus or Vodaphone - Sorry just evening up the score - all digital
> >telco carriers in Australia suck!
> Here we go again! I am still trying to fathom out what exactly does
> suck/sucks mean. Nobody will tell me!

It means bad, terrible. That means that those digital phones stink, are
terrible, are bad...

>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
> UIN #3457372
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2661. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <WxG23.210$j7.1956@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 117473
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley wrote in message <7if7c5$9q9$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>
>>Untrue. If the FCC makes a regulation that conflicts with LAW, the
>>regulation is invalid. Unfortunately for the "victims" of such an error,
>>the regulation will be enforced until/unless a Federal court prevents it.
>

>Right, but you're missing the point. The FCC can adopt a "rule" that there
>is no such law against and it becomes the same as law nonetheless, and the
>only people deciding what the rules are is the FCC. The FCC could very
>easily say that any scanner covering 800MHz would not receive type
>acceptance, thereby making 800MHz capable scanners illegal to sell, own and
>operate, without having to have a law passed by Congress. That is my point.

We can debate this endlessly, and I have the advantage of having studied how the government and Constitution work. The FCC would be hard-pressed to demonstrate how an action like the above would accomplish any of the things they are empowered by Congress to do. If (and this is a very big IF) someone with the resources (meaning cash to pay lawyers) challenged the regulation effectively and was able to take the court fight far enough, eventually the regulation would be overturned because there was no valid reason for the FCC to adopt it. Executive Branch agencies are not permitted to act arbitrarily. Even Executive Orders from the President are subject to Constitutional review in the Supreme Court. It's called "Checks and Balances".

The court fight could be rendered moot if Congress stepped in, but in real life we know what the money says to them.

I think we've gone wayyy off the original statement, which was quite important and valid. The person who started this thread (and I apologize for not being able to remember who it was) made the excellent point that people who do illegal things and can't keep their mouths shut about it are endangering our hobby. Regardless of variations in laws and regulations among different countries, states, or whatever, he was absolutely correct!

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01
Alpha Phi Sigma

2662. Re: What is DPL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <XxG23.211$j7.1956@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 117474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Brian Elias wrote in message <374A2C48.37215C3C@downcity.net>...
>Got a question...I am an EMT in Connecticut and I am programming our
>local public safety freqs into a CTCSS capable scanner. Two of them,
>next to the freq. list DPL 025 for the PL tone. The others all have
>standard PL tones. Can somebody explain what a DPL is and whether a
>BC895 is capable of decoding it? Thanks!

DPL stands for "Digital Private Line" which is Motorola's trademark for DCS
or Digital Coded Squelch.

A station using DPL/DCS sends a subaudible datastream during each
transmission. A properly programmed receiver will allow its operator to
hear only transmissions with the correct coded data.

The only commercially available scanners with built-in DCS capability are
the Relm HS-200 and MS-200.

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

2663. Re: Radio Transmitters (2m/70cm bands)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <374b3670.4439358@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 117475
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Morons are easily amused.....

On Tue, 25 May 1999 22:29:04 +1000, "fIlThY" <not@home.com> wrote:

>Wakey Wakey!
>
>The whole world does not revolve around that funny country they called the
>US.....others countries have 2m and 70cm bands too.
>
>Amateur Radio Encyclopedia ---------Ha.....lol .....you really
>crack me up!
>
>Only in America!
>
><<<<<<<<<PLONK>>>>>>>>>
>
>
>
>
>Steven wrote in message <3749c77b.748152@news.mindspring.com>...
>>Source:Amateur Radio Encyclopedia..... Stan Gibilisco,W1GV,Editor in
>>Cheif.
>> The Citizens Radio Service (Citizens Band),is a
>>public,non-commercial radio service that is available to the general
>>population of the US.There are 4 classes of CB radio,called Class
>>A,Class B,Class C and Class D.Class A stations are licensed in the
>>band 460 to 470 MHz and are allowed 60 watts input power.Class B
>>stations are allowed 5 watts of input power in the same
>>band....etc..etc.....
>> Maybe you should write a book correcting everyones mistakes.....
>>
>>
>>On Mon, 24 May 1999 12:18:50 GMT, barryo@fastlink.com.au (Barry
>>O'Grady) wrote:
>>
>>>stevens@mindspring.com (Steven) wrote:
>>>
>>>: Are you asking about UHF CBs (450-460 MHz) or VHF/UHF
>>>:(144-p148 MHz/420-450 MHz) Amateur Radios?
>>>
>>>UHF CB is 477.425 to 477.400 MHz. 433.05 to 434.79 MHz is available
>>>for unlicensed operation up to 25 mw EIRP for any purpose.
>>>
>>>Barry

>>>==========
>>>Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
>>>Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
>>
>
>

2664. Re: Glenn Hansen lies again and Cheek Sux's!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Larson <ricklarson@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <7ifeklfug1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 117476
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Like Smalley and the Cheek-a-likes said just say "NO to talking about it"
HA HA
The Cheek man has been arrested by FEDS.
What part of PART DEAUX don't the cheek kissers understand.
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:374A179E.612710B0@usa.net...
> WELL SAID!!!!
>
> I have NEVER understood WHY these "cheek-a-likes" always want
> to come here to usenet & make fools of themselves in public!
>
> Jason Trumpold wrote:
>
> > This is no place tp post this garbage. Take it to e-mail or in person.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2665. Re: MORE ON THE MIND CONTROL CRIME IN THE CLEVELAND AREA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Anderson <Tom_Anderson@newpro.net>
Message-ID: <374B20F9.5ACAA42B@newpro.net>
Source record: 117477

Mr. Clem wrote:
>
> WilRobnson wrote:
> >
> > Holy Crap...What freqs do these guys with the beams co-ord on? Gotta scan em!!
> > Sheeit....Post to alt.psychobabble.conspiracy.unbluetanks.mindcont- oh forget
> > it!
>
> Forget it? I work in the Cleveland area and it scares the hell out of me
> that someone like this is running around loose. As a precaution I will
> forcefully avoid anyone who has their head wrapped in aluminum foil.

capaliwoda@aol.com (CAPaliwoda) has been posting the
"MORE ON THE MIND CONTROL CRIME IN THE CLEVELAND AREA"
conspiracy theory for several years, just check deja.com.

CAPaliwoda probably lives in the path of a Studio-to-Transmitter Link
(STL) for a radio or TV station. Which explains all those "voices"
CAPaliwoda has to listen to all day. Think of CAPaliwoda as a "target"
with a STL "aimed" at our "victim". For a picture, click on the URL
here: http://www.andrew.com/whatsnew/newproducts/images/3998L.jpg

For more information about "microwave" products From Andrew corp.
click here ---> http://www.andrew.com/products/all_products.asp

If CAPaliwoda is a "victim" of "wirless cable" , CAPaliwoda should
purchase an antenna from phillips-tech, http://www.phillips-tech.com/
and maybe that could resolve the issue.

In California, these people are known as "5150's", a reference
to section 5150 of the Welfare & Institution code.
--

CALIFORNIA WELFARE AND INSTITUTIONS CODE: SECTION 5150

5150. When any person, as a result of mental disorder, is a danger
to others, or to himself or herself, or gravely disabled, a peace
officer, member of the attending staff, as defined by regulation, of
an evaluation facility designated by the county, designated members
of a mobile crisis team provided by Section 5651.7, or other
professional person designated by the county may, upon probable
cause, take, or cause to be taken, the person into custody and place
him or her in a facility designated by the county and approved by the
State Department of Mental Health as a facility for 72-hour
treatment and evaluation.

http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/calaw.html

2666. ASU West PD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: HoochMonger <hooch.monger@usa.net>
Message-ID: <7ifgi9$4m6$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 117478
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for frequencies used by the Arizona State University West Police. Can anyone help me? Thanks!

2667. Time out for the "Big picture"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ifj3j$f4l$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117479
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Rick Larson wrote in message <7ifeklfug1@remarQ.com>...
>Like Smalley and the Cheek-a-likes said just say "NO to talking about it"
>HA HA

Really? I've never said "Don't talk about it." I've simply said "ignore Mackey and he'll go away." (I can see how you might have been confused, they sound so similar - not.)

>The Cheek man has been arrested by FEDS.
Yes he has, no one is disputing that either. The issue at hand is not Bill Cheek, but scanning in general. The governments' case against him is setting a dangerous precedent, and the impact on digital scanning in general has been catastrophic:
POCFLEX: Gone
WINFLEX: Gone
MDTMonitor: Gone
RDLAP Decoder: Never finished
ASTRO Decoder: Author vanished, hasn't been heard from since.

It's already hurt the hobby, we were really on the verge of a scanning revolution, opening up parts of the spectrum that used to be nothing but irritating noise to a scanner listener. Now, no one dares work on such things, out of fear that they will be next. You don't find this troubling? I do. Companies can now market digital encoding as encryption knowing they can use our government to squash anyone smart enough to figure it out. And that's just for starters!

You want to know why Bill got singled out by the feds? I don't think it was the decoders that did it, or even that Knipschild nimrod who posted the MDT data to his website (although that damn sure didn't help). It is because Bill knows, as well as I and any other informed citizen knows, that our government is slowly but surely eroding the freedoms we take for granted.

Scanning is just the tip of the iceberg, and while we do number in the hundreds of thousands nationwide, we are a drop in the bucket compared to the general population. That's why things like H.R. 514 can pass the senate like they did - our opinion is seldom heard and matters even less.

Bill has said it several times in books and other literature that the first thing the government does to take something away from you is limit it's use, which has already happened to scanners. How long will it be before you have to pass a background check before being able to purchase a scanner? How soon before special permits have to be issued to use a scanner? How long will it be before the law requires you to register your scanners with the government? How long before all 50 states pass laws prohibiting the use of a

scanner in your car? All these laws apply to guns today, whose owners number in the millions. Well guess what, they can (and have, in some states) happen to us, and we have no N.R.A. or any such organization to speak on our behalf. How much easier will we be for the government to curtail?

What was Bill's mistake? What was his crime? Revealing the truth, nothing more. I'm sure though, that you're OK with all of that, just as long as Bill gets his "just desserts" right? You've got no problem giving up our freedoms in return for watching Bill "get his". That's real mature....and dangerous. Someday, when you're renewing your "scanning permit" so you can listen to your half crippled analog scanner (that is missing most of the 800Mhz band and gets inspected once a year to ensure type acceptance), I hope you'll remember that you thought this was so funny back in 1999 and hang your head in shame.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2668. Re: IC-R2 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11b501de5f269a349897b4@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117480

Why bother. Just set up a scan range from 490-510 and set it to a step of 20k and you will hear all of the cellular you can stand.

2669. Re: Alinco DXJ10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11b50243550a9a009897b5@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117481

I played with one. I wasn't terribly impressed with it's sensitivity or intermod rejection. It is well made though. I still think for $400.00 you could do better. Besides I hate NiCad packs....

2670. Re: ICOM 8500 questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ifm8kbvn1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117482

Miller Liu wrote in message <374A7B95.EBB16565@tp.silkera.net>...
>I would like to ICOM R-8500 in a couple of days. Please help me to find
>the answers.
>Hope I'm clear to explain my questions:
>
>1. Is there still some background hiss even SQUELCH in ON ? Because my
>YUPITERU MVT-9000 keeps me annoying with such hiss and made
>weak-reception more difficult.

No hiss. Even with the headphones on I have not heard any unless you
turn the volume almost all the way up.

>2. How it step up/down in 15kHz ? In Taiwan, police channels are
>allocated in 15kHz
> step, but not in 15kHz-integral. That is to say, they are 150.10,
>150.25, 150.40 etc. I hope 8500 can do this. I don't want such rig
>just to recieve 150.00, 150.15, 150.30 etc in 15kHz integrals. This
>is most concerning point.

Preset Steps: 10 Hz, 50 Hz, 100 Hz, 1 kHz, 2.5 kHz, 5 kHz, 9 kHz, 10 kHz,
12.5 kHz, 20 kHz, 25 kHz, 100 kHz, 1 Mhz.

Programmable Step: 0.5 to 199.5 kHz Example: Enter '15' then press 'TS' to
set for 15 kHz.

>3. Which discone antenna you use efficiently ? Diamond D-130, Comet
>DS-15 are my only two choices. Could you tell how they receive on
>25-1300MHz and transmit on
> 144/430 band ?

I had a Radio Shack discone with the verticle element but gave it up for
their wide band ground plane. This improved the low end 50-150 Mhz
and did not affect the 800 Mhz band much.

>4. How do VSC, AFC, APF perform, especially for voice reception ?

I haven't used the VSC and AFC much, but I like the APF to cut some
of the irritating noise.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

>Thanks anyone help me with my buying decision!!!
>
>Miller in Taiwan
>

2671. Re: Time out for the "Big picture"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <xjJ23.94$6w1.32967@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117483
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:374B5FAD.8B6C87F9@usa.net...
> Then WHY do you keep wanting to talk about Cheek rather than scanning?
>

Why do you John-Bowl????

You have posted thousands of messages and only 6 or 6 are about scanning and
the rest about Cheek.

What gives John-Bowl??

2672. Re: Time out for the "Big picture"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <giJ23.91$6w1.34687@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117484
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wow. conspiracy deluxe.....

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message ...
> and in the background they allowed
> janet reno the privilege
> to allow Chinese spies to
> walk out the door with all
> our atomic secrets..

Latest reports have China stealing secrets for the last 2 decades. Try some facts rather that the same old right wing Republican rhetoric. The fact is the chinese were stealing sercrets while both "bail out and leave the navigator" Bush and Ronnie Raygun were sitting in the White House. What abvout them. At least the DOJ is doinf something now, Ronnie and George didn't.

>
> gee...bill is more important
> a security issue than allowing
> foreign agents to "just walk
> on in and help yourself' by
> janet's proxy

See above. You sounf like a litle Limbaugh windup toy.

>
> you see, Janet knew. But others
> did not. She would not allow that
> i info out to the FBI. Or other
> agencies.
>
> she wanted to please the pres
> who was courting the chinese
> on our own turf.
>
> I think it's time to Impeach the
> President and Janet Reno and
> those who allowed it to occur.

Can we go after George and Ronnie then? Are you just as ready to prosecute them?

Try dealing with some facts and not what Rush or Dredge tell you is reality.

2673. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Larson <ricklarson@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <7ifogn$4cp$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 117485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

```
Wake up everyone it was Bill Cheeks who got Bill Cheeks arrested for things
Bill Cheek did himself ..............................
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:374B5EE7.DE80328E@usa.net...
> No comment, just forget it.
>
>
> BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
> > so what you are telling us above is
> > that you took part, gave info about,
> > did help them get bill....?
> >
> > you helped them get bill arrested
> > is what you are now claiming...
>
> SNIP
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>
```

2674. QuickCall decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael <paracop@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <374B5909.802B9681@sprynet.com>
Source record: 117486

Does anyone have an online address where I can download a program that will decode 2 tone sequential tones from my scanners earphone jack? Any help would be appriciated.
Paracop@sprynet.com...Mike

2675. good antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matthew N White <mw6e@andrew.cmu.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.SOL.3.96L.990525231219.17250A-100000@unix11.andrew.cmu.edu>
Source record: 117487

Hi,
I'm kind of a scanner newbie, and want to buy a good antenna. I
live in an apartment building, and can't put anything on my roof. Also, I
looking for something for general use (many different bands). Does anyone
have anysuggestions as to what kind to buy or where to buy one?

matt

2676. police speeding ticket beating....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mbozada@aol.comnoway
Message-ID: <19990525033422.09473.00002667@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 117538

http://www.icatmall.com/beatradarticket
and
http://hometown.aol.com/mbozada/myhomepage
This is my homepage which has FREE tips (I found them online at two websites),
about speeding tickets and ALSO how to get GREAT AIRFARE deals FREE.

2677. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: schriber@hotchip.com
Message-ID: <374a5247.2557540@news-server>
Source record: 117539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

What the HELL is wrong with you people?

I know Bill Cheek and most of you people most likely do not. Why the hell would anyone want to pretend he's Bill? I think Bill has the brains to stay off a group like this right now and even if he didn't he'd use his real name.

Damn people! Find something REAL to talk about!

Mike

"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com> wrote:

>
><jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37490257.A52D6802@usa.net...
>>
>>
>> Bud (the original) wrote:
>>
>> >MAckey LOVES to lie, in fact it's a complusion with him. He KNOWs, from
>>
>> Bud, please present your proof that I have lied or be considered a liar
>YOURSELF!
>>
>>
>Looney - Tune, please post the proof that I am Bill Cheek, or be considered
>a liar.
>
>POST THE PROOF, Wacky Mackey !
>
>

--

Mike Schriber
schriber@hotchip.com
http://www.hotchip.com/schriber
619-597-0078

2678. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A57AC.1B03370E@usa.net>
Source record: 117540
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

No Blake the kids were talking about YOU when it
happened!!!

Blake Bowers wrote:

> So the allegations have been around for quite a while about you!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2679. Re: mailto:abuse@home.net To Report an Acceptable Use Policy Violations from John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A59B0.EC410A91@usa.net>
Source record: 117541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.swap,alt.athome.security

To report spamming & trouble making from TOM ANDERSON
please send e-mail the the following:

abuse@newpro.net
postmaster@newpro.net
root@newpro.net

Also feel free to contact the following as the representatives of his
ISP:

NewproNet Corporation ~ 9330 Corporate Drive, Suite 501 ~ Schertz, TX
78154-1255
(210) 651-5000 ~ Fax (210) 651-6130

Tom Anderson wrote:

> To Report an Acceptable Use Policy Violation
>
> To report an incident, please send

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2680. Re: mailto:abuse@home.net To Report an Acceptable Use Policy Violations from John Mackey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A59FC.F2024F15@usa.net>
Source record: 117542
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.athome.discussion

To report spamming & trouble making from TOM ANDERSON
please send e-mail the the following:

abuse@newpro.net
postmaster@newpro.net
root@newpro.net

Also feel free to contact the following as the representatives of his ISP:

NewproNet Corporation ~ 9330 Corporate Drive, Suite 501 ~ Schertz, TX
78154-1255
(210) 651-5000 ~ Fax (210) 651-6130

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2681. Re: Glenn hansen causing trouble again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A5AB3.EF5D6543@usa.net>
Source record: 117543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

Blake Bowers wrote:

>Lies again Johnny.

Ok Blake, what EXACTLY did I say is a lie? What is your verifiable
proof
that my statement is a lie?

> Your masters of communication technology is merely a completion
> certificiate from W5YI group. Worthless.

Wrong, Blake, My Degree is from and INTERNATIONALLY known
UNIVERSITY!!!!!! (It has NOTHING to do with W5YI)

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2682. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A5E49.13982830@usa.net>
Source record: 117544
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Mr. Larson, you are very likely correct. I believe we should pray for
that man.

Rick Larson wrote:

> Several months ago.
> MDT equipment and decoding software
> probably sold to federal undercover agents.
> It looks like he bragged or boasted too much about
> what could be done to decode MDT transmissions.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2683. Re: John Mackey - A definition, and a vision.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A5E13.E99D4A56@usa.net>
Source record: 117545
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.firefighters

Phil Smalley wrote:

> That's the wrong John Mackey. This one's only claim to fame is being the top
> off-topic poster on usenet with thousands of off-topic messages across

Oh no, I could NEVER try to hold that title. Bill Cheek won that title
severaltimes over according to information POSTED by YOU. Remember the "reality
check"
post where YOUR OWN DATA showed that Cheek posted several hundred more posts on
usenet than me!?!?!?!?!

Below is an abbreviated qoute:

Date | Mackey | Cheek |

TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 |

> rec.radio.scanner alone. By my count, John has posted about 6 or 7 on topic
> messages (very loosely on topic) out of that amount. The rest of them were
> simply insults, name calling, or sniveling denials and "prove it" posts. He

More of your LIES!! I have posted MANY valuable & on-topic posts
regardingamateur repeater frequencies, antennas, UV affects on coax, scanner
freqs in
the midwest, etc.

> Prior to usenet, he was on a Fido echo related to scanners, but was
> subsequently kicked off of the echo for the same kind of behavior, which he
> still denies to this day. Just ask him anything, he denies all. The

I have never denied this, infact I have often felt PROUD that Bill Cheek kicked
me offof the "scanradio" echo since he kicked anyone off the echo who had a
technical background
or felt was a threat to him. In fact, when Bill left the echo there was an
election for a
new moderator & I was nomonated by several, but Bill refused to allow an
election
that included me. That is how Blake was able to get the moderatorship of that
echo!

> The only reason that John exists is because of the actions of others. I
> have in the past and still today propose a simple solution: ignore him.

Then why have you chosen to respond with this lengthy post?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2684. Re: Mackey STILL causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A5EC7.353568B9@usa.net>
Source record: 117546
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Thank you for you support by proving that BILL CHEEK posted several hundred more posts than me!

Mr. WireHead wrote:

> Date | Mackey | Cheek |
> ----------
> TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 |

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2685. Re: AOR 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: vd97@dial.pipex.com
Message-ID: <374a5d8a.33185949@news.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 117547
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 25 May 1999 01:17:28 GMT, alasdair@dram.demon.co.uk (Alasdair Baxter) wrote:

>On Sun, 23 May 1999 17:53:37 +0100, "VooDoo"
><@woodcock76.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
>>I have recently purchased an AOR 2002 scanner, but have no manual.
>>Can anyone help?
>

Hi there,

If you go direct to AOR's Web Site, the entire manual can be downloaded onto your hard drive. I do believe that AOR's site can also be found through Javiations scanner site as well. (though not 100 per cent sure)

This downloadable manual is a nice feature and I have found it most useful.

You will need adobe to read the script but this is readily available just about anywhere.

This will easily solve your problem.

Regards, Nigel

2686. Atlanta (South Metro...) Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: tikiroom18@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990525042850.02266.00001844@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 117548

Does anyone have information on Frequencies available in the South Metro area
of Atlanta....including Hartsfield frequencies? Advance thanks!!!
\m/ //oo\\ \m/ "Aloha and a Happy Day!"- Cosmo \m/ //oo\\ \m/

2687. Re: Buy. a Interface for a AOR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kapou <kapou@cybercable.fr>
Message-ID: <374A62FE.1866B42A@cybercable.fr>
Source record: 117549

Well, you want to buy an interface for the AOR8000... This type of interface costs a lot of money if you buy it at any of the manufacturers who sale it (AOR, Javiation, Opto...). But it's really simple to realize!!! Look at it with any of search engines that are available on the net to get the shematics. It is just based on one IC called MAX232, and a few capacitors and resistors... Try it if you like.

2688. Re: Anyone heard Blue Angels, Thunderbirds, Snowbirds This Year?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <s0u23.20$Lc1.47@news.flash.net>
Source record: 117550

In article <amckie-ya02408000R2505990118300001@news.ncf.carleton.ca>,
ao111@freenet.carleton.ca says...
>
>
>
>Hi there, just wondering if anyone has verified any frequencies in use this
>season for the major air demo teams. There's always a huge list of past
>frequencies to check out; I'm on the look out for new updates.
>
>-A
>
>ps Are the Thunderbirds back in action after their late-April 'bump'?

I have a confirmed list as of late last year at
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios the RadiosOnLine E-Zine

No new news about the T-Birds....

George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <---RadiosOnLine E-Zine

--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

2689. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <E2BD164B326F240F.1D933C0E50648935.E040F80E2C821C6F@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117551

> It appears that you and people like you _deserve_ to be taunted.
> Getting a ham ticket is trivially easy. Anybody who uses those excuses
> not to get a ham ticket is either terminally lazy or stupid.

OOoooh, but you left off the biggest factor, we have LIVES!

2690. Re: Anyone heard Blue Angels, Thunderbirds, Snowbirds This Year?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990525054508.02265.00001832@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 117552

The Blues used 141.850 quite a bit during the MacDill AFB show a couple of weeks ago.

As for the T-birds, they're going to resume their show schedule in June. The right wingman was fired after the Patrick AFB incident and the pilot who flew that position during the 1997 & 1998 seasons has been brought back to fly the rest of this season.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

2691. ICOM 8500 questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Miller Liu <muller@tp.silkera.net>
Message-ID: <374A7B95.EBB16565@tp.silkera.net>
Source record: 117553

I would like to ICOM R-8500 in a couple of days. Please help me to find the answers.
Hope I'm clear to explain my questions:

1. Is there still some background hiss even SQUELCH in ON ? Because my YUPITERU MVT-9000 keeps me annoying with such hiss and made weak-reception more difficult.

2. How it step up/down in 15kHz ? In Taiwan, police channels are allocated in 15kHz
step, but not in 15kHz-integral. That is to say, they are 150.10, 150.25, 150.40 etc. I hope 8500 can do this. I don't want such rig just to recieve 150.00, 150.15, 150.30 etc in 15kHz integrals. This is most concerning point.

3. Which discone antenna you use efficiently ? Diamond D-130, Comet DS-15 are my only two choices. Could you tell how they receive on 25-1300MHz and transmit on
144/430 band ?

4. How do VSC, AFC, APF perform, especially for voice reception ?

Thanks anyone help me with my buying decision!!!

Miller in Taiwan

2692. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ie01t$9o3$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117554
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Watch out ! Wacky Mackey has once again FOUND his "mirror" macro !

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:374A18C5.B17B7EE9@usa.net...
>
>
> Macaroon wrote:
>
> > What a surprise. 8 posts just recently from your retarded mouth. Not
ONE of
> > them is on topic.
>
> When was the last time YOU posted ON-TOPIC??? YOU NEVER have!!!!!
>
> > You're a mental midget.
>
> You must be looking in a mirror!
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2693. Re: Atlanta (South Metro...) Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <374A8657.2C34@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117555

TikiRoom18 wrote:
>
> Does anyone have information on Frequencies available in the South Metro area
> of Atlanta....including Hartsfield frequencies? Advance thanks!!!
> \m/ //oo\\ \m/ "Aloha and a Happy Day!"- Cosmo \m/ //oo\\ \m/

Check out the following URLs:

http://www.mindspring.com/~mm505/

http://www.airnav.com/cgi-bin/airport-info?ATL

2694. bearcat 210xl info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ij069@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7ie180$p9j$1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 117556

I was given a 210xl that had only the local weather channel
programed into it and nothing else. I have no manuals for
yhis scanner and I am looking for info on how to program
more channels into it. Any help would be greatfull.

Thanks

2695. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374A8AF2.4581@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

deeeda wrote:
>
> A Mobile Phone or transmitter can certainly spark an explosion around
> flammable fuels and gases.
> Ask your nearest service station console operator.One of their biggest
> headaches is customers filling up while on their mobile.
> Not only is it dangerous ,it is also illegal.Telstra know this but do not
> make much of an effort to tell the public.
Well who would buy it if they did
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2696. Re: John Mackey - A definition, and a vision.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ljutefisk@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11b455841610a1d59896a2@news.vais.net>
Source record: 117558
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.firefighters

In article <7icuf8oi1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>,
ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com mumbled...
-->
--> Kurt Ullman wrote in message <7ibi3r$2uo$5@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
--> >In article <37492672.D4BC1B0F@gw-tech.com>, Carey Gregory
--> ><cgregory@gw-tech.com> wrote:
--> >>Who the hell is John Mackey? Who gives a shit?
--> >>
--> > If I remember right, he was a lineman for the Balitmore Colts in
--> the
--> >late 60s, early 70s.
--> You had to ask..... Oh well. I'd hate for someone to think that a former
--> football star is behind this crap, so here it is:
-->
--> The Definition:
--> That's the wrong John Mackey. This one's only claim to fame is being the top
--> off-topic poster on usenet with thousands of off-topic messages across
--> several different newsgroups in his short but moronic career on the

PKB. Keeps this OT crap outta rec.radio.amateur. There's enough petty
bickering here already. If you'd like a reservation we may have room for
you in 2002 or so.

--> internet. A dejanews search reveals all about this clown, with such famous
--> threads as "The PERFECT defense for Bill Cheek!!!!" and "Blake Bowers CAUGHT
--> forging & flaming AGAIN!". It is his self proclaimed mission in life, if you
--> can imagine it, to single-handedly rid usenet of anyone who opposes his
--> warped and twisted point of view. He will do anything to support his cause
--> and has been caught lying, forging, cancelling messages, and creating
--> aliases to show a false front of support. He is a living breathing self
--> propaganda machine, and a bad one at that.
-->
--> Now, our pal John will deny all or most of this, but don't be fooled. Do a
--> dejanews search for yourself, and see the sheer number of messages this
--> person has posted over the years. Dejanews reported back 1562 messages in
--> rec.radio.scanner alone. By my count, John has posted about 6 or 7 on topic
--> messages (very loosely on topic) out of that amount. The rest of them were
--> simply insults, name calling, or sniveling denials and "prove it" posts. He
--> thrives on replies, no matter how insulting. I think it is a subconscious
--> need for attention that he apparently cannot receive anywhere else.
-->
--> Prior to usenet, he was on a Fido echo related to scanners, but was
--> subsequently kicked off of the echo for the same kind of behavior, which he

--> still denies to this day. Just ask him anything, he denies all. The
--> consummate liar's liar. To hear him tell it, he is a victim of a ruthless
--> bunch of cyberthugs who harrass and torment him daily, but in reality he
--> brings it all upon himself willingly. He enjoys it, of this I am convinced.
--> The only thing more certain than his desire to disrupt is his predictable
--> replies to any posting mentioning either himself or Bill Cheek, the subject
--> of his apparent obsession.
-->
--> John is the proverbial pot calling the kettle black. He is the person
--> depicted in Aesops' fables, the one who only sees the small bag representing
--> the faults of others tied in front of him and not the mammoth bag of his own
--> faults on his back. He will take in an insult today only to use it on
--> someone else tomorrow. He is a mirror, a virtual parrot who uses the same
--> tactics that others use against him. He is lacking in better judgement,
--> discretion, logic and common sense.
-->
-->
--> Sorry to post it to the non-scanner related groups, but I think it only fair
--> that you all know the real deal about Laughing John's Flying Circus.
-->
--> The Vision:
-->
--> The only reason that John exists is because of the actions of others. I
--> have in the past and still today propose a simple solution: ignore him.

WOW! Excellent idea. Why don't you follow your own advice.

--> he is no longer able to disrupt the group, he will finally move on. Do not
--> reply to him directly, and he will have no basis for his attacks. He will
--> appear foolish and meaningless to any and all who happen to read his
--> ramblings. Much like a small child who finds that a temper tantrum won't
--> deliver the goods, he'll find better ways to fill his free time. No doubt
--> he'll reply to this posting in an attempt to provoke me into a flame war,
--> but it won't work - I would much rather discuss things related to scanning
--> than things relating to immaturity. It is my belief that if others shared my
--> feelings on this (and many do) that John would someday soon find that the
--> only one listening to John Mackey is John Mackey.
-->
--> And that, fellow citizens, would be music to my ears.
-->
--> -Phil
-->
--> -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
--> -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
-->
-->
-->
-->
-->
-->
-->

HTH. HAND. NRN.

--
http://www.usaplaza.com/Trybro/4.htm

2697. LOOKING FOR SCANNER MOD MAN.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerbuff <scannerbuff@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <c%v23.1125$007.3483@c01read05.service.talkway.com>
Source record: 117559

I'm looking for the name, address and phone number of a man who does scanner mods in Nova Scotia, or New Foundland, or somewhere in that part of Canada. I think his last name was Pedafore, or something close. He use to always have a monthly classified ad in Popular Communications advertising his scanner mods. Anyone familiar with him and has his contact info, please post. Thanks very much!!

Cindy Bradford
--
Posted via Talkway - http://www.talkway.com
Exchange ideas on practically anything (tm).

2698. Re: Think that gun owners have it bad, Federal agents arrest Scanner Hobbyist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@null.net
Message-ID: <374a9344.4087077@news.iu.net>
Source record: 117560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.rush-limbaugh,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.clinton

On Sun, 23 May 1999 21:31:08 -0500, Greg Dean <idean@purdue.edu>
wrote:

> The U.S. Secret Service and Nassau County police arrested an
>unemployed electronics
> enthusiast from Kings Park after he intentionally intercepted
>communication sent and
> received by the mobile data terminals installed in police cruisers,
>federal agents said.

The first sign of a police-state in the making is when
everyday police activities suddenly have to be kept
a big SECRET from the public.

-j

2699. Re: Radio Transmitters (2m/70cm bands)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: budgie <budgie@NOWHERE.cantech.net.au>
Message-ID: <374a9512.2327556@news.cantech.net.au>
Source record: 117561
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Mon, 24 May 1999 21:50:55 GMT, stevens@mindspring.com (Steven)
wrote:

>Source:Amateur Radio Encyclopedia..... Stan Gibilisco,W1GV,Editor in
>Cheif.
> The Citizens Radio Service (Citizens Band),is a
>public,non-commercial radio service that is available to the general
>population of the US.There are 4 classes of CB radio,called Class
>A,Class B,Class C and Class D.Class A stations are licensed in the
>band 460 to 470 MHz and are allowed 60 watts input power.Class B
>stations are allowed 5 watts of input power in the same
>band....etc..etc.....
> Maybe you should write a book correcting everyones mistakes.....

Well, yours was the biggie - we are all in Australia which is not
(yet) a state of the U.S. We still have our own sets of rules here.

Peter
PERTH - WESTERN AUSTRALIA
Remove the NOWHERE from reply address

2700. Re: Radio Transmitters (2m/70cm bands)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: fIlThY <not@home.com>
Message-ID: <qIw23.9262$vM1.15198@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 117562
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Wakey Wakey!

The whole world does not revolve around that funny country they called the US.....others countries have 2m and 70cm bands too.

Amateur Radio Encyclopedia ---------Ha.....lol .....you really crack me up!

Only in America!

<<<<<<<<PLONK>>>>>>>>>>

Steven wrote in message <3749c77b.748152@news.mindspring.com>...
>Source:Amateur Radio Encyclopedia..... Stan Gibilisco,W1GV,Editor in
>Cheif.
> The Citizens Radio Service (Citizens Band),is a
>public,non-commercial radio service that is available to the general
>population of the US.There are 4 classes of CB radio,called Class
>A,Class B,Class C and Class D.Class A stations are licensed in the
>band 460 to 470 MHz and are allowed 60 watts input power.Class B
>stations are allowed 5 watts of input power in the same
>band....etc..etc.....
> Maybe you should write a book correcting everyones mistakes.....
>
>
>On Mon, 24 May 1999 12:18:50 GMT, barryo@fastlink.com.au (Barry
>O'Grady) wrote:
>
>>stevens@mindspring.com (Steven) wrote:
>>
>>: Are you asking about UHF CBs (450-460 MHz) or VHF/UHF
>>:(144-p148 MHz/420-450 MHz) Amateur Radios?
>>
>>UHF CB is 477.425 to 477.400 MHz. 433.05 to 434.79 MHz is available
>>for unlicensed operation up to 25 mw EIRP for any purpose.
>>
>>Barry
>>==========
>>Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
>>Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
>

2701. Re: Radio Transmitters (2m/70cm bands)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: fIlThY <not@home.com>
Message-ID: <nMw23.9268$vM1.15357@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 117563
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Hey Peter, Right On - Yankee go home!

We should remove the NOWHERE from your e-mail address and shove it right up their.....nowhere!

Phil

budgie wrote in message <374a9512.2327556@news.cantech.net.au>...
>On Mon, 24 May 1999 21:50:55 GMT, stevens@mindspring.com (Steven)
>wrote:
>
>>Source:Amateur Radio Encyclopedia..... Stan Gibilisco,W1GV,Editor in
>>Cheif.
>> The Citizens Radio Service (Citizens Band),is a
>>public,non-commercial radio service that is available to the general
>>population of the US.There are 4 classes of CB radio,called Class
>>A,Class B,Class C and Class D.Class A stations are licensed in the
>>band 460 to 470 MHz and are allowed 60 watts input power.Class B
>>stations are allowed 5 watts of input power in the same
>>band....etc..etc.....
>> Maybe you should write a book correcting everyones mistakes.....
>
>Well, yours was the biggie - we are all in Australia which is not
>(yet) a state of the U.S. We still have our own sets of rules here.
>
>Peter
>PERTH - WESTERN AUSTRALIA
>Remove the NOWHERE from reply address

2702. Re: AOR 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: vd97@dial.pipex.com
Message-ID: <374a992f.48457434@news.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 117564
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 25 May 1999 08:26:16 GMT, vd97@dial.pipex.com (Nigel
Callaghan) wrote:

>On Tue, 25 May 1999 01:17:28 GMT, alasdair@dram.demon.co.uk (Alasdair
>Baxter) wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 23 May 1999 17:53:37 +0100, "VooDoo"
>><@woodcock76.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>>
>>>I have recently purchased an AOR 2002 scanner, but have no manual.
>>>Can anyone help?
>>
>
>
>Hi there,
>
>If you go direct to AOR's Web Site, the entire manual can be
>downloaded onto your hard drive. I do believe that AOR's site can
>also be found through Javiations scanner site as well. (though not 100
>per cent sure)
>
>This downloadable manual is a nice feature and I have found it most
>useful.
>
>You will need adobe to read the script but this is readily available
>just about anywhere.
>
>This will easily solve your problem.
>
>Regards, Nigel

Uh Oh, sorry, you're message was right next to one about the 8200 and
I got them inverted. SORRY all the text of my last message related to
the 8200 scanner and not the 2002.

Then again you should have seen what I was drinking last night!

Nigel

2703. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: fIlThY <not@home.com>
Message-ID: <jPw23.9272$vM1.15364@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 117565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

<<<<<<<<PLONK>>>>>>>>>>

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <374A8AF2.4581@ameritech.net>...
>deeeda wrote:
>>
>> A Mobile Phone or transmitter can certainly spark an explosion around
>> flammable fuels and gases.
>> Ask your nearest service station console operator.One of their biggest
>> headaches is customers filling up while on their mobile.
>> Not only is it dangerous ,it is also illegal.Telstra know this but do not
>> make much of an effort to tell the public.
>Well who would buy it if they did
>--
>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2704. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: fIlThY <not@home.com>
Message-ID: <iPw23.9271$vM1.15364@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 117566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Nor do Optus or Vodaphone - Sorry just evening up the score - all digital telco carriers in Australia suck!

deeeda wrote in message <1Cu23.278$PN5.2633@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>...
>A Mobile Phone or transmitter can certainly spark an explosion around
>flammable fuels and gases.
>Ask your nearest service station console operator.One of their biggest
>headaches is customers filling up while on their mobile.
>Not only is it dangerous ,it is also illegal.Telstra know this but do not
>make much of an effort to tell the public.
>
>

2705. Re: Frequency Counter at Air Show (Tried it?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990525085540.23708.00004779@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 117567

>Just wondering if anyone's ever tried using a frequency counter at an air show. Presumably ground-based users like security or organizers would be easiest to get this way, but what about aircraft comms? Are they too low power/distant from crowd to be picked up?

Ive tried Opto's and the Radio Shack counter at numerous shows. Most don't hit on AM mode signals. Ive had some hits out at OHare when standing right next to the aircraft at the gate and they keyed up the AM transmitter from about 15 feet away (radio shack unit). Opto many years ago built a counter (or was it an interceptor???) just for AM mode work.

They work good on NFM ground ops - the catch being these are always wide open spaces and often near urban areas and tend to have high RF back round levels / intermod problems. Less so for shows out in the middle of no where.

2706. WTT: PDA's to scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aor8200 <swl@ki.gl>
Message-ID: <nux23.278$0Q6.377@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 117568
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,dk.teknik.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I know its a long shot but:

I have 2 spare Palmpilot Pro and 1 PSION 5 PDA's for trade.
I would part with any or all of these in exchange for one of these mentioned below.

I have credentials for one former exchange and am willing to offer full personal info.

I want one of these for my "collection": in pref. order.

UBC9000XLT (The European Version)
Optocom
RS 2042 or 2045 (can't remember which ones but the basemodels)

Kind Regards
Stephan Walther-Larsen
email to aor8200@hotmail.com or swl@ki.gl
Phone +299 553111

let the flames begin - im not going to war over this... :-)

2707. Frequencies for new Austin Bergstrom airport?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: w3nj@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990525091654.05561.00000980@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 117569

Does anyone know if the frequencies for the new Austin-Bergstrom airport are the same or different from the old Mueller airport? If different, please forward list.

Thanks

w3nj@aol.com

2708. Re: TV Antenna for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990525091922.09470.00002517@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 117570

>Subject: Re: TV Antenna for Scanner
>From: "G. Singer" <deltacom@bwn.net>
>Date: 5/24/99 10:31 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <01bea65f$31e8d900$588592d8@kdaly.harvard.edu>
>
>Andy,
>Do you have the antenna vertically polarized?
>Gerry
>
>AFulle8083 <afulle8083@aol.comnospam> wrote in article
><19990524154543.26259.00003955@ng-fx1.aol.com>...
>> I recently read Bill Cheek's antenna FAQ. There were plans for using a TV
>> antenna for a scanner antenna. I've tried this and I'm having some
>problems.
>> The antenna I'm using consisted of two array sets. I installed the larger
>set
>> and had to run a jumper wire across the two wing nuts that connected to
>the
>> other smaller antenna array (which I'm not using). I'm using 50 feet of
>75 ohm
>> coax. The results have been less than impressive. Knowing the less than
>perfect
>> sensitivity of my AOR AR8200, there's been little improvement in
>reception. Is
>> there a positive and negative connection on an antenna? Do I need to
>install
>> the smaller antenna? Do I need to 86 the whole TV antenna idea and just
>buy a
>> Monitenna?
>> Any help and advice would surely be appreciated.
>> Andy

The smaller array is the UHF/800MHZ section. If you shorted the connectiopn
going to it, you have shorterd the feedline for the section you are trying to
use. They are parallel feedlines.
For success, use the whole TV antenna, rotated 90 degrees to vertical
polarization and hook it up with a balun just as you would for TV reception.
Neutrodyne

2709. Re: BLAKE BOWERS LIES AGAIN!!!!! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA? Or is it really John Mackey selling licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Farrow <homerj@midusa.net>
Message-ID: <7ie7t1$p321@news.midusa.net>
Source record: 117571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

Oh please god not again I thought these two were gone forever

Raymond L Farrow

2710. Re: MORE ON THE MIND CONTROL CRIME IN THE CLEVELAND AREA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <nobody@home.here>
Message-ID: <374A9906.C99D997F@home.here>
Source record: 117572

WilRobnson wrote:
>
> Holy Crap...What freqs do these guys with the beams co-ord on? Gotta scan em!!
> Sheeit....Post to alt.psychobabble.conspiracy.unbluetanks.mindcont- oh forget
> it!

Forget it? I work in the Cleveland area and it scares the hell out of me
that someone like this is running around loose. As a precaution I will
forcefully avoid anyone who has their head wrapped in aluminum foil.

2711. Re: police speeding ticket beating....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George W. White" <deeply@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7ie9tsaht0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 117573

MBozada wrote:

> http://www.icatmall.com/beatradarticket
> and
> http://hometown.aol.com/mbozada/myhomepage
> This is my homepage which has FREE tips (I found them online at two websites),
> about speeding tickets and ALSO how to get GREAT AIRFARE deals FREE.

Uhm, if you're speeding, you should be ticketed.
Speed limits exist for a reason.
Now, What does this have to do with scanning?
Take yourself and your spam out of this newsgroup.

--Deeply Shrouded & Quiet
--Central Control STS-11

2712. Re: New RadioShack 2m Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7ied29$u0t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117574
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio

In article <zeno-24059904402200001@pm53-21.magicnet.net>,
zeno@magicnet.net wrote:

> They used to have a 220 Mhz HT that looked like their current 2 M HT.
> But they dropped it though.

I think you're referring to the HTX-404, a 440 mHz HT.

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2713. Re: Frequencies for new Austin Bergstrom airport?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <374AAF0D.341D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117575

W3NJ wrote:
>
> Does anyone know if the frequencies for the new Austin-Bergstrom airport are
> the same or different from the old Mueller airport? If different, please
> forward list.
>
> Thanks
>
> w3nj@aol.com

Check out the following URL for Austin-Bergstrom

http://www.airnav.com/cgi-bin/airport-info?BSM

Check out the following URL for Mueller

http://www.airnav.com/cgi-bin/airport-info?AUS

2714. Need Help w/EMI From Uncooperative Neighbor's House

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: thx1138 <look@life.org>
Message-ID: <7ieeis$9jt@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 117576
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My neighbor of which we share a common wall (duplex) recently purchased a "baby wipes heater". I am not joking.
This device is creating havoc with certain cable TV channels and the entire MW, HF, VHF & UHF radio bands. Basically it is a spark-gap, DC to daylight intermittent hash transmitter.

The first time I brought up the problem neither one of us knew what it was. My neighbor said he was going to ask me the same question when I approached him about it. I brought out my portable SW radio and showed him that the noise was very strong around his house. I told him to turn off the main electric circuit breaker. He agreed and when he did so the hash disappeared. Next, I told him to turn the main breaker back on and using my portable radio sequentially turn off & on the individual breakers until the problem was isolated. He agreed and the problem was found to be the baby wipes heater, ugh. Then I offered to let him try my Iso-Bar line filter to see if it will cut down the noise. Since I didn't go into his house when he tried it I don't know if he actually plugged it in or if he pretended. But apparently it seemed to work. I told him to buy one just like it and please use it. He agreed and that was the last I heard from him.

A few days later the noise returned and has been going strong for over 2 weeks since. I have asked him again to please stop using the device, return it for another unit or contact the manufacturer for a fix. None of which received a response from him. I am at my nerves end not to mention my severely hampered scanning, SW & AM listening as well as cable TV watching.

This device is considered an illegal transmitter in my mind. But most information I have been able to find to resolve this issue pertains to CBers and Hams causing interference. Nothing about what to do when a neighbors severe hash interferes with us.
Is there any FCC or other document that states the legalities of this issue so that I can give it to my neighbor in hopes of him realizing the consequences if he does not attempt to resolve the problem? I have no idea what my next step should be. Any help is much appreciated, thank you.

Paul

2715. Re: PDA's to scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aor8200 <swl@ki.gl>
Message-ID: <ohz23.340$0Q6.213@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 117577
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,dk.teknik.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

or perhaps a IC R-2. Anyway give send mea mail with your offer :-)

Stephan Walther-larsen

Aor8200 wrote in message ...
>I know its a long shot but:
>
>I have 2 spare Palmpilot Pro and 1 PSION 5 PDA's for trade.
>I would part with any or all of these in exchange for one of these
mentioned
>below.
>
>I have credentials for one former exchange and am willing to offer full
>personal info.
>
>
>I want one of these for my "collection": in pref. order.
>
>UBC9000XLT (The European Version)
>Optocom
>RS 2042 or 2045 (can't remember which ones but the basemodels)
>
>Kind Regards
>Stephan Walther-Larsen
>email to aor8200@hotmail.com or swl@ki.gl
>Phone +299 553111
>
>let the flames begin - im not going to war over this... :-)
>
>

2716. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <B7B944D0370E8457.8CE93C7FBD2B98A5.9C819B66DBAC7538@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

OOoh, guess you two guys won't be palling around on HAM anytime soon!

> I've an idea
> fire the government
> it's your vote
> use it
> otherwise, shut up
> H.
> WA5IGW

> > Yep, we can implement that right after we institute a requirement for
> > licensing washing machine operators. How does your Kenmore get out on
> > 20m?
> > Dave 5H3US, K8MN

2717. Re: Anyone heard Blue Angels, Thunderbirds, Snowbirds This Year?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: unclebob@ripco.com
Message-ID: <7iegroafb1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 117579

JTWard01 (jtward01@aol.com.nospam) wrote:

: As for the T-birds, they're going to resume their show schedule in June. The
: right wingman was fired after the Patrick AFB incident and the pilot who flew
: that position during the 1997 & 1998 seasons has been brought back to fly the
: rest of this season.

What "incident" was that?

--
* *

Uncle Bob.............unclebob@ripco.com

2718. What is DPL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Elias <belias01@downcity.net>
Message-ID: <374A2C48.37215C3C@downcity.net>
Source record: 117580
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Got a question...I am an EMT in Connecticut and I am programming our local public safety freqs into a CTCSS capable scanner. Two of them, next to the freq. list DPL 025 for the PL tone. The others all have standard PL tones. Can somebody explain what a DPL is and whether a BC895 is capable of decoding it? Thanks!

-Brian

2719. Re: Frequency Data base program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Jones <jerry@cowboys.com>
Message-ID: <tXz23.116$Lc1.428@news.flash.net>
Source record: 117581
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anybody remember AppleWorks for the Apple II? I used the heck out of it for nearly 10 years, until my lists got too large.
Luckily, I found a piece of software that converts AppleWorks Database files into M$Works format (and transmits them to a PC). Now I'm converting the Works files into Excel.

--
DFW Scanner
www.flash.net/~jmcraig1
Pro-2006/Opto
BC-235 XLT
BC-3000 XLT
BC-3000 XLT

Ben <ben@clinton.com> wrote in message news:7i244rgnt1@news.casema.net...
> Hi,
>
> I'm looking for a simple freq. database program.
>
> Which programs are you using ?
>
> Ben.
>
>
>
>

2720. Pro--90 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Davis <kend@NOSPAMfrontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <7iehtj$29i4$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 117582

Anyone know how to set my Radio Shack Pro-90 to Wide FM mode?

Thanks in advance,

Ken Davis

2721. John Mackey caught taking VE payoffs????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <NWz23.1808$A67.105339@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:374A1C04.466D02E0@usa.net...
>
> Because I have more respect for this NG & Usenet as a whole to waste
theprecious
> bandwidth with ALL your sniveling & lies.

You are clueless to the definition of respect John-Bowl. If not you wouldn't post OVER 350 offtopic posts a month. YOU are the USENET record holder for off-topic posts.

> > Last lie was saying you have 5 FCC licenses. want more.
>
> I have NEVER said I "have 5 FCC licenses".

Ok, your "hold" 5 FCC licenses. Bottom line you again are trying to be something you aren't. You were exposed again and ran with your tail between your legs. How many licenses do you hold John-Bowl. What story/lie are you telling today? How many John-bowl??

> > You lied that you would quit posting when Bill did.
>
> I did quit!

...and the king has no clothes FOOL-John-Bowl.

> > You lied that you didn't smoke a repeater.
> > You lied that you didn't smoke a power supply
> > You lied saying you weren't kicked off Fido by both Cheek and you BBS
> > operator.
> > You lied saying you didn't spew obcenitites on the Puget Sound 523
repeater.
>
> All your statments above NEVER happened. If you want to still say they
did
> thenpresent your VERIFIABLE proof!!!!

They have been verified many times here and other places. You just cover your eyes and say you can't see them FOOL. Witnesses, E-mail you sent about the repeater and power supply and DejaNEws prove it again and again John-Bowl. Cover your eyes John-Bowl, nobody will see you.

>
> > You lied about your degrees.
> > You lied about your changing your name constanttly to get through
mail/news
> > filters.

>
> Both your above statements are wrong & lies on your part.

Yeah right. You say nothing new. Same story to everyone.

Then in desperation you finish with this;

> Glenn Hansen, you are just mad at me because I was part of a team
> who caught you trolling for young gay amateurs on the NG of
rec.radio.swap.

REALLY? Please tell me more John. I know you are homophobic but this takes it all. John-Bowl, who are the other participants? What team?

This is the best example of what John-Bowl will do to try and turn the attention away from his lies and fabrications. You started this crap 4 or 5 year ago when a forged post came up after I brought attention to one of your lies. John-Bowl, you started in on me the time but was shut when others came to my defense. Is that what pisses you off so much John-Bowl?? Nobody comes to your defense? We aren't talking your made up characters that are only on Usenet for a month until YOUR free trial ends John-Bowl. We are talking peole that have been around here for years. How come none of these people ever defend you John-Bowl?

Blow out the pilot light and turn on the oven John-Bowl. Time to go to bed. Be sure to close ALL the windows we don't want any nasty drafts.

Click!

2722. Re: Hawke makes a FOOL of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <tZz23.1809$A67.105517@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117584
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.scanner

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:374A171A.88669792@usa.net...
> Given i have had to change
> identity due to the rabid dislike so many have for me.
(snip)

You can say that again.

2723. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <I1A23.1812$A67.105714@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:374A1D0F.9A5F1F4E@usa.net...
> You have NEVER posted an on-topic message on this NG, you are here only
> to cause trouble!!!!
>
> People like YOU are only mad at me because of my involvement with the
Cheek bust.
>
>
> Macaroon wrote:
> Stupidity deleted, nothing left.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Good characterization Mackeyloon. Most everything you do is Stupidity.

BAWAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHA.

STUPID FOOL!

BAWAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHHAA

2724. Re: For Sale Pro 2036 Scanner 200ch Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <7ieisq$23e@nntpb.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 117586

In article <374ca1f3.5614707@netnews.worldnet.att.net>,
<orbitone@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>have a 200 ch pro 2036 scanner with date code of 4a4
>this scanner is able to get cell with a mod that you make to it..
>200 ch base model real nice and in good cond price is 150.00
>plus 10.00 shipping also has VFO knob on front for tunning very nice
>scanner also has ANt on the Back email me thanks

good luck on the mod, mine didn't work (same date code)
i was told some do - some don't
there is a downconverter mod that will work regardless

xxx

2725. Re: police speeding ticket beating....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <7iej61$26u@nntpb.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 117587

In article <19990525033422.09473.00002667@ng-fz1.aol.com>,
MBozada <mbozada@aol.comnoway> wrote:
>http://www.icatmall.com/beatradarticket
> and
>http://hometown.aol.com/mbozada/myhomepage
>This is my homepage which has FREE tips (I found them online at two websites),
>about speeding tickets and ALSO how to get GREAT AIRFARE deals FREE.

FREE for $6.50!!

2726. eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jammin5107@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7icstlsov1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117600

It seems eBay has removed all scanners with cell capabily.
Me personally, could care less about cell calls.
but I did bid on one for other reasons and was notified of the auction
ended by eBay.The radio isn't illegal as they pretty much put it.
It's illegal to manufacture,or listen to, that's it!
I think eBay should be a little more in tune with the laws they
are trying to enforce

That's my two cents. Thanks

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2727. FS Uniden 760XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: summitrt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990524202512.05554.00000603@ng60.aol.com>
Source record: 117601

Clean scanner, cover low band thru 800 mhz. With car cord. BNC adapter.
$125.

2728. Re: AOR 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: alasdair@dram.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <374bf92b.4005498@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 117602
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 23 May 1999 17:53:37 +0100, "VooDoo"
<@woodcock76.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>I have recently purchased an AOR 2002 scanner, but have no manual.
>Can anyone help?

What do you want to know? You could probably get a photocopy of a
Manual from AOR in Belper. The details are as follows:

A O R (U.K) Ltd
4E East Mill
Belper
Derbyshire
DE56 2UA

Phone: Belper (01773) 880788

--

Alasdair Baxter, Nottingham, UK.Tel +44 115 9705100; Fax +44 115 9423263

"It's not what you say that matters but how you say it.
It's not what you do that matters but how you do it"

2729. FS: Pro2006 and Opto456(full)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sputnik <sputnik@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <ARm23.1$HS2.46@news3.voicenet.com>
Source record: 117603

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=108642412

2730. Re: Who the hell is Mackey? (was:BLAKE BOWERS LIES AGAIN!!!!! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA? Or is it really John Mackey selling licenses?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: cgregory@gw-tech.com
Message-ID: <374af741.264853519@news.tiac.net>
Source record: 117604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

>John, you began this thread (there was no "re" in your subject line);
>so why did you decide to cross-post it to the fire services, EMS, and
>amateur NGs? If you would limit your silly arguments to just your
>alt.fan.john.macket and .scanner NGs, you wouldn't be so disliked on
>these other NGs.

Okay, I've got to ask...

Who the hell is John Mackey? Really now, if he's going to be spewing
crap all over the fire/EMS newsgroups, we ought to at least know who
he is and why he's got these alleged fans.

--
Carey Gregory

"The average dog is a nicer person than
the average person." -- A. Rooney

2731. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <KIn23.304$I7.778@news.flash.net>
Source record: 117605

In article <7icstlsov1@nnrp1.deja.com>, jammin5107@my-dejanews.com says...
>
>It seems eBay has removed all scanners with cell capabily.
> Me personally, could care less about cell calls.
>but I did bid on one for other reasons and was notified of the auction
>ended by eBay.The radio isn't illegal as they pretty much put it.
>It's illegal to manufacture,or listen to, that's it!
>I think eBay should be a little more in tune with the laws they
>are trying to enforce
>
> That's my two cents. Thanks
>

If you would like my two cents I wrote an editorial on my thoughts
regarding 1)Cell Phones, and 2) eBay's banning the sale of scanners
capable of cell phone reception.

You can read both on RadiosOnLine E-Zine (go towards the bottom for Editorials)
at http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

Plus my list of CURRENT frequenies is growing along with articles....

http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <---First Radio E-Zine which has a copy of
the United States Constitution!

George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-- Radios OnLine The E-Zine that grows!
--
____
Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
____

2732. Any Mods for Pro 2030 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: MacMac <sforrest@soltec.net>
Message-ID: <01bea662$1eb46fe0$02d194ce@default>
Source record: 117606

Is it cellular modifiable? Please feel free to email.

Thanks

2733. Great on-line discount store http://www.wirelesstechshop.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: tristone@my-dejanews.com
Message-ID: <7id3g4$16i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117607

I just found this great on-line discount store.
 http://www.wirelesstechshop.com

They sell lots of radio equipments like: 900 MHz Spread Spectrum, Base
Bearcat Scanner, Compact CB Radios, Compact Marine Radios, DataModems,
Handheld Bearcat Scanner, Handheld Marine Radios, Laptop/Palm-sized
Computers, Professional CB Radios, Radar Detectors, Home Organizers and
etc.

Great discount price, great deal.

Hurry up

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

2734. Trade 2066 TT for Uniden 205?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: kegan30317@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990524224443.19059.00000227@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 117608

I have a cell modified Uniden 205 and am looking for a mobile TrunkTracker.
Anyone interested?

2735. Whats better 2006 or PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sputnik <sputnik@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <4no23.23$Ej1.4450@news2.voicenet.com>
Source record: 117609

what would be all around better unit for scanning Pro-2006 (with all the mod's/works) or a PCR1000 ? put away the fact that the PCR1000 needs the computer to basiclly turn it on, and idle little things just looking for an overall compare for voice/data listening anyone?

2736. Re: BLAKE BOWERS LIES AGAIN!!!!! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA? Or is it really John Mackey selling licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A161E.87DCCB01@usa.net>
Source record: 117610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> John, you began this thread (there was no "re" in your subject line);

No, Jeffrey, I did not start this thread. Greg Dean started it

> so why did you decide to cross-post it to the fire services, EMS, and

I only posted the responses to Blake's trouble making posts to
thoseNG's. You should ask those who posted to the other amateur NG's why

THEY did it as I did not initiate such action.

Jeffrey, in the future please review the facts before making your
comments. I think
if you did that you would find less people on the other NG's disliking
you.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2737. Hawke makes a FOOL of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A171A.88669792@usa.net>
Source record: 117611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

Given YOUR history, ERIC OYEN, and the fact that you have had to change
your identity due to the rabid dislike so many have for you, plus that the
statement
below is an obvious joke BECAUSE MY MOTHER DIED SIX YEARS AGO,
the "report" & your statements lose ALL credibility!!!!

Hawke wrote:

> Given Mr. Mackey's past actions, I find it a rather credible report.
> However, this is just my opinion.
>
> On Sun, 23 May 1999 16:45:42 -0700, "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
> wrote:
> >Also, early internet reports, from many on the newsgroups, state that
> >John Mackey's mother may turn to give evidence & act as a witness

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2738. Re: Glenn Hansen lies again!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A179E.612710B0@usa.net>
Source record: 117612
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.swap

WELL SAID!!!!

I have NEVER understood WHY these "cheek-a-likes" always want
to come here to usenet & make fools of themselves in public!

Jason Trumpold wrote:

> This is no place tp post this garbage. Take it to e-mail or in person.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2739. Re: John Mackey falsifying VE test scores in exchange for money from applicants??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A17F7.D528954C@usa.net>
Source record: 117613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

ZW - back off of Glenn Hansen, he isn't THAT bad!

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> Could he be low enough to walk under a snail and not disturb a hair on his
> flat head ? NO sweat ! His forehead ends right where his one continuous

> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2740. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A1853.738B166C@usa.net>
Source record: 117614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I'm not saying you're Bill Cheek.

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> Looney - Tune, please post the proof that I am Bill Cheek, or be considered
> a liar.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2741. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A18C5.B17B7EE9@usa.net>
Source record: 117615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Macaroon wrote:

> What a surprise. 8 posts just recently from your retarded mouth. Not ONE of
> them is on topic.

When was the last time YOU posted ON-TOPIC??? YOU NEVER have!!!!!

> You're a mental midget.

You must be looking in a mirror!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2742. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A193F.ECCA44CD@usa.net>
Source record: 117616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Are you talking about Bill Cheek or Bill Conduit?

Macaroon wrote:
>The only habitual off-topic poster who skirts life every time HE'S asked to
>produce facts!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2743. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A19E1.32010F91@usa.net>
Source record: 117617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

The FACTS of this NG prove you to be WRONG!!!!

The other person who tried to raise money received very few responses.

I NEVER tried to raise money for Cheek, I did suggest that someone should do it & received great praise for my suggestion.

tcrpe wrote:

> The reason they didn't respond to YOU is that YOU are a proven insincere
> asshole. It had nothing to do with Cheek, but it did prove what you were.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2744. Re: TV Antenna for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G. Singer" <deltacom@bwn.net>
Message-ID: <01bea65f$31e8d900$588592d8@kdaly.harvard.edu>
Source record: 117618

Andy,
Do you have the antenna vertically polarized?
Gerry

AFulle8083 <afulle8083@aol.comnospam> wrote in article
<19990524154543.26259.00003955@ng-fx1.aol.com>...
> I recently read Bill Cheek's antenna FAQ. There were plans for using a TV
> antenna for a scanner antenna. I've tried this and I'm having some
problems.
> The antenna I'm using consisted of two array sets. I installed the larger
set
> and had to run a jumper wire across the two wing nuts that connected to
the
> other smaller antenna array (which I'm not using). I'm using 50 feet of
75 ohm
> coax. The results have been less than impressive. Knowing the less than
perfect
> sensitivity of my AOR AR8200, there's been little improvement in
reception. Is
> there a positive and negative connection on an antenna? Do I need to
install
> the smaller antenna? Do I need to 86 the whole TV antenna idea and just
buy a
> Monitenna?
> Any help and advice would surely be appreciated.
> Andy
>

2745. Glenn hansen causing trouble again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A1C04.466D02E0@usa.net>
Source record: 117619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

Glenn wrote:

> Why snip out the issue at hand

Because I have more respect for this NG & usenet as a whole to waste theprecious bandwidth with ALL your sniveling & lies.

> Are you guilty? You don't deny it. You just

Al right, I deny it. Are you happy now?

> How much money did you take?

None.

> What were you asking for?

Nothing.

> How many people did you pass through that should not have?

None.

> You did it?

No.

> Last lie was saying you have 5 FCC licenses. want more.

I have NEVER said I "have 5 FCC licenses".

> You lied that you would quit posting when Bill did.

I did quit!

> You lied that you didn't smoke a repeater.
> You lied that you didn't smoke a power supply
> You lied saying you weren't kicked off Fido by both Cheek and you BBS
> operator.
> You lied saying you didn't spew obcenitites on the Puget Sound 523 repeater.

All your statments above NEVER happened. If you want to still say they did thenpresent your VERIFIABLE proof!!!!

> You lied about your degrees.
> You lied about your changing your name constanttly to get through mail/news
> filters.

Both your above statements are wrong & lies on your part.

Glenn Hansen, you are just mad at me because I was part of a team
who caught you trolling for young gay amateurs on the NG of rec.radio.swap.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2746. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A1C9D.F533CDDF@usa.net>
Source record: 117620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Wow Bill, the last time I heard that was in 2nd grade. No wait it was
first grade!!!

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> you were born and somehow
> survived. that is the crime.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2747. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374A1D0F.9A5F1F4E@usa.net>
Source record: 117621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

You have NEVER posted an on-topic message on this NG, you are here only
to cause trouble!!!!

People like YOU are only mad at me because of my involvement with the Cheek bust.

Macaroon wrote:
Stupidity deleted, nothing left.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2748. BC300 wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: redm1video@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990525000044.03858.00004225@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 117622

Im looking to purchase a Bearcat 300 in good condition that works. Please e-mail me with info. redm1video@aol.com Thanks.

2749. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <374A27A3.FDDB1A81@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 117623

Better yet, screw e-crap and just list the item for sale!

2750. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <K8MN@cats-net.com>
Message-ID: <374A2AD4.718F@cats-net.com>
Source record: 117624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Sir wrote:
>
> > It's the second-most used mode.
>
> What a silly argument!
> Using a washboard is the second-most used method of washing clothes
> after a washing machine, so lets require people to use washboards before
> they are allowed to use a washing machine.

Yep, we can implement that right after we institute a requirement for
licensing washing machine operators. How does your Kenmore get out on
20m?

Dave 5H3US, K8MN
--
For 5H3US photos, go to
http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

2751. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H. Adam Stevens" <alien@jump.net>
Message-ID: <374A3189.413BEBDA@jump.net>
Source record: 117625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I've an idea
fire the government
it's your vote
use it
otherwise, shut up
H.
WA5IGW

Dave Heil wrote:

> Sir wrote:
> >
> > > It's the second-most used mode.
> >
> > What a silly argument!
> > Using a washboard is the second-most used method of washing clothes
> > after a washing machine, so lets require people to use washboards before
> > they are allowed to use a washing machine.
>
> Yep, we can implement that right after we institute a requirement for
> licensing washing machine operators. How does your Kenmore get out on
> 20m?
>
> Dave 5H3US, K8MN
> --
> For 5H3US photos, go to
> http://jjr.ne.mediaone.net/5H3US/

2752. FREQS FOR MAUI !!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: blade1@home.com
Message-ID: <374a3329.21231831@news>
Source record: 117626

I would appreciate it if anyone has Freqencies for MAUI, HI !!!!!!!!!

Can't find anything.....please send any replies to:

MQSABEV@earthlink.net

Thanks !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2753. Re: New RadioShack 2m Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-2505990136340001@pm54-01.magicnet.net>
Source record: 117627
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio,phl.scanner

In article <374aa7d8.7006295@news.cyberhighway.net>,
glenq@cyberhighway.net wrote:

> zeno@magicnet.net wrote:
>
> >In article <3748BDC7.115A@ameritech.net>,
theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net wrote:
>
> >> How come the Sshack does not sell 6 meter or 33 centimeter stuff?
> >
> >They used to have a 220 Mhz HT that looked like their current 2 M HT. But
> >they dropped it though.
>
> No, they never had such a radio, at least in the USA.

Oops, sorry. That was a 440 Mhz radio. It is in the 1997 catalog. HTX-404.
Looks just like their 2 Meter HT.

2754. Frequency Counter at Air Show (Tried it?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ao111@freenet.carleton.ca
Message-ID: <amckie-ya02408000R2505990116010001@news.ncf.carleton.ca>
Source record: 117628

Hi there,

Just wondering if anyone's ever tried using a frequency counter at an air show. Presumably ground-based users like security or organizers would be easiest to get this way, but what about aircraft comms? Are they too low power/distant from crowd to be picked up?

Just curious,
-A

2755. Anyone heard Blue Angels, Thunderbirds, Snowbirds This Year?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ao111@freenet.carleton.ca
Message-ID: <amckie-ya02408000R2505990118300001@news.ncf.carleton.ca>
Source record: 117629

Hi there, just wondering if anyone has verified any frequencies in use this season for the major air demo teams. There's always a huge list of past frequencies to check out; I'm on the look out for new updates.

-A

ps Are the Thunderbirds back in action after their late-April 'bump'?

2756. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray Colvill <rcolvill@es.co.nz>
Message-ID: <01bea670$90e49500$98814dd1@rcolvill->
Source record: 117630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

This has done the rounds of most US scanner groups.

It has little relevance in Australia.(or NZ)

I feel that the publicity leads me to think that it is a mischievous
posting
in the beginning.

2757. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7iddq6$h41$1@207.18.224.237>
Source record: 117631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Tue, 25 May 1999 03:39:20 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Wow Bill, the last time I heard that was in 2nd grade. No wait it was
>first grade!!!

>
>> you were born and somehow
>> survived. that is the crime.
>
So the allegations have been around for quite a while about you!

2758. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7iddvs$h41$2@207.18.224.237>
Source record: 117632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Tue, 25 May 1999 03:41:14 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>People like YOU are only mad at me because of my involvement with the Cheek bust.
>
YOUR INVOLVEMENT! Did you turn Bill in, so that you could get off on
the charges against you?

Damn! I knew you had problems, but I never figured you would narc out
Bill for selling decoders!

2759. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7ide1d$h41$3@207.18.224.237>
Source record: 117633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Tue, 25 May 1999 03:41:14 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>People like YOU are only mad at me because of my involvement with the Cheek bust.
>
YOUR INVOLVEMENT! Did you turn Bill in, so that you could get off on
the charges against you?

Damn! I knew you had problems, but I never figured you would narc out
Bill for selling decoders!

2760. Re: Glenn hansen causing trouble again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7iddks$h41$0@207.18.224.237>
Source record: 117634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Tue, 25 May 1999 03:36:47 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>> Last lie was saying you have 5 FCC licenses. want more.
>
>I have NEVER said I "have 5 FCC licenses".
>

Lies again Johnny.

>> You lied that you would quit posting when Bill did.
>
>I did quit!
>
Then why do we all see your posts?

>> You lied that you didn't smoke a repeater.
>> You lied that you didn't smoke a power supply

Lies again Johnny. Remember the message you posted on
FIDO, where you admitted to the above? I still have it, and
it is public record on Dejanews.

>> You lied about your degrees.

I can't say you lied about your degrees, but you did misrepresent.

Your masters of communication technology is merely a completion
certificiate from W5YI group. Worthless.

2761. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <65929FE198FB0798.8A8D6999C725A374.11880B593105C81D@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Guys, I have held my ticket (tech +) since 1974, I am now 60 yrs old and
> have attempted to upgrade to general four time in the past 10 yrs. Not
> being able to master the code, and with the influx of new hams into 2
> mtrs and 440 I have all but lost interest in ham radio. The thoery has
> never been a problem but that darn code......

Yes, me too.
I'm pretty brain dead and worse, I live a very busy life (well, when I'm employed that is).
I guess I don't have the time nor find it worth the time to become anything more than
a 50Mhz and up kinda guy. :)
73's pappy

2762. Re: MORE ON THE MIND CONTROL CRIME IN THE CLEVELAND AREA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990525032713.02974.00002705@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 117636

Holy Crap...What freqs do these guys with the beams co-ord on? Gotta scan em!!
Sheeit....Post to alt.psychobabble.conspiracy.unbluetanks.mindcont- oh forget
it!

2763. Re: Kill-File the Maggot of Mackey...the screw who turned Bill In to Feds...KILL-file him.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <374C6905.58BC01E0@primenet.com>
Source record: 117338

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> The Truth about Toilet Boy respectfully snipped.

Bill, you have voiced the truth in the most eloquent terms regarding the scourge of usenet (Toilet Boy). I too, have deliberately ignored the idiot mackeral for months now. His open admission to have informed on Bill Cheek shows the depth of his hatred for him. There is no act of atonement that John Mackey can perform that will vindicate him.

I stand beside you in full support of your open desire to kill file this putrid slime. I call on the denizens of the scanner news groups to do the same.

2764. FS: PRO-2035 Base - Price Reduced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Heatwole <heatwole@shell.clark.net>
Message-ID: <CDZ23.542$I7.11276@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 117339

Hello. I have a Radio Shack PRO-2035 base scanner for sale. The scanner is at least a 9/10 cosmetically and it works 100%. It has very, very low use, and I've had no problems with it at all. It has 1000 memory channels, one touch weather, VFO knob, NFM/WFM/AM, RF ATT, RX from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz (minus cellular), keypad, and data skip. This scanner can even be modified so that it can receive VHF/UHF in CW/SSB in addition to FM/AM if you have a SW Receiver, but I have not modified it for anything.

The scanner comes with the indoor telescopic antenna, AC power cord, base stand, original box, original packaging, and the original manual. First $250 gets it (that price includes the radio, UPS ground, and insurance). Please let me know if your interested. I would trade for a Ten-Tec 253 HF auto-tuner or like model. Thanks a lot for reading.

Nat Heatwole
heatwole@clark.net
KB3CBW

2765. Hallicrafter's Handheld Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Walker <kc4llw@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01bea7c8$8e7ff1c0$40e8150c@prs28655>
Source record: 117340

I have Hallicrafters Handheld receiver, Model CRX-107, 144 - 174 MHz.
Mint condition. Runs off one 9 volt battery. Anyone have ant idea how old
it is or how much it might be worth?

2766. Re: Question about WFM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ihqkt$nf6$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117341
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You know, Faricy, you are some one to talk. I have contributed to this news group for years, both up front and behind the scenes. What have You done ? Practically nothing. They could have read that basic information in any scanner publication, and in most cases, right out of the manual that CAME WITH their scanner. I am here, remarking on their laziness and unwillingness to exert the effort to even learn the most basic stuff about the hobby. You get out of a hobby what you put into it. I found that out years ago, with ham radio, where I got my license the HARD WAY, by LEARNING THE MATERIAL, instead of just memorizing the answers, like you and Mackey - Toon.
But don`t worry, next time there is a question, and someone gives the right answer, twenty of them will crawl out of the woodwork to say that it isn`t the right answer, when they don`t even have a clue. I have seen this time and time again. You know from nothing, and you get your undies all in a knot when someone points it out to you . Don`t preach to me Faricy. You aren`t high enough in the food chain.....

Ryan T. Faricy <online@faricy.net> wrote in message
news:7ifai3meu1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net...
> >Tomorrow, we learn how to turn on the power switch.
>
>
> If someone is less knowledgeable than are you, I would appreciate it if
you
> wouldn't be so rude as you were here.
>
>

2767. Re: IC-R2 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11b61c3e42e4edce9897b8@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117342

Hmmmm, I don't want my ego to run away with me. However, I must say that anything I post here is from raw experience, not opinion. I realize that there are so many items on the market and radios to boot. However, as a true electronics addict (and due to not having children, the budget to spend on the adult toys) I may have experienced the ownership of more equipment than most and am very happy to share my experiences with others. It isn't always pretty, but it is the TRUTH.....

2768. Re: Kill-File the Maggot of Mackey...the screw who turned Bill In to Feds...KILL-file him.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ihrm2$hu9@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117343

In <374C6905.58BC01E0@primenet.com> Richard Kendrick
<wa7twi@primenet.com> writes:
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
>> The Truth about Toilet Boy respectfully snipped.
>
>Bill, you have voiced the truth in the most eloquent terms regarding
>the scourge of usenet (Toilet Boy). I too, have deliberately ignored
>the idiot mackeral for months now. His open admission to have informed
>on Bill Cheek shows the depth of his hatred for him. There is no act
>of atonement that John Mackey can perform that will vindicate him.
>
>I stand beside you in full support of your open desire to kill file
>this putrid slime. I call on the denizens of the scanner news groups
>to do the same.

Exactly right.

I remember BILL always said
that the vile-one would go
to far down the road.

sorry to say it cost Bill his
freedom of commerce and well-being.

People...maybe now you see why
the maggot of mackey must
be stopped now...the only
way you can stop him is
to ignore him.

He cannot work out of a vacuum.

he needs you and me to exist.

I don't give him that luxury
any more...no time anytime and
not in mytime.

show your guts and quit the
bile-back-and-forth.

we have the collective power to
kill off the vile-bile one.

if you realize this, signify by
never answering this maggot
of the worst pile of vile
again...he must return to his
mother's womb to atone for the
sin of bashing bill.

show you count as human beings.
allow this festering pit to just
fill up his hole himself and die.

you hold the power over him.
exercise it.

bill conduit
etc.

2769. Re: John Mackey caught taking VE payoffs????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ihru7njl1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

That`s a bunch of crap, Looney - Tud. You been on here flapping for over three years by my count ! Yeah, I would say that 350 inane postings totally devoid of any kind of intellectual or radio - related content a month is a conservative estimate of your "Life`s Work". You know, listening to your stupidity pour out is like living next to the railroad tracks. pretty soon, you either don`t hear the trains any more, or you are amused by them. And you fall into the "Amusing" category, Dud - Buds. You have a definite patttern you repeat, over and over, like some kind of stupid Mantra.
First you start a thread, usually following some mention of Bill Cheek, (even if it is just one of his automated postings) and then, when the truth starts to invade your little fantasy world, you deny all, even stuff you said just two or three postings ago. You prove yourself a liar more often than anyone else on this group !
As far as the license fiasco, the only salient point is, just which crackerjax box you got the prize in.! You are like some kindergarten kid , crying and yelling, in his short pants, "I GOT MORE LOLLIPOPS THAN YOU" !
There are small variations, like creating a phony "support group" of phony postings from cobbled up - e-mail addresses, and sometimes, if a posting hits too close to home, you go into what I call "cancel" mode, where you cancel the posts, so you don`t have to read the truth. The truth really messes with your head, huh , Looney ?
When it is over, you retreat into your fantansy world again, and you don`t post for a while. you need that time to put it all back together. Yeah, we got you figured out all right.

2770. Re: Scanner/Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevens@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <374c744b.1251214@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 117345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes it is.All you need is an Amateur Transceiver and some duct
tape.Attatch the scanner to the AT with the tape.Leave the scanner
switched off and use the AT.

On Mon, 24 May 1999 12:49:50 -0400, "The ConQueror"
<joetheripper@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Is it possible to modify a scanner to transmit on amateur frequencies?
>
>Thanks
>Dan
>
>

2771. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <374c91c6@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 117346

> Its hard to believe what people were bidding for scanners on eBay. Back
in
> November I bought a PRO-34 for $50.00 in a pawnshop. It was in mint
condition.
> I put it up on eBay and it received nearly 40 bids, top bid was $234.00.
And
> the guy paid!
>
> I still sell on eBay (not scanners) as well as Amazon. I make more on
eBay
> however Amazon is increasing nicely.

Yeah, Amazon is still catching on, but I did very nicely selling a 2006 there last month. The bidding got ridiculous in the final 15 minutes and I ended up getting $430.00 for a scanner I only paid $150.00 for to begin with. It, too, was in mint shape, but I never expected to see it go for that kind of money. The guy who won the auction sent me the money order that day and said he had been looking all over for a 2006 (like this was the last one on the face of the earth). All I did to it was clean it up with some 409 cleaner, and take a couple of digital snapshots of it to put online. It's amazing what people will pay for stuff in a competitive situation like that. On the other hand, I had a 2004 (still have it) that is in nice shape that didn't even make reserve on eBay. There were 4 or 5 of them listed as the same time as mine, so the selection and poor timing on my part killed the sale. I ended up becoming attached to the scanner and it happily chugs away in my dining room.
I gotta say that I feel more comfortable on Amazon as they require a credit card and don't hassle people about what is listed.

Dan

2772. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7iht61nns1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Looney - Tud, did you really think we were going to wait until the "official' legal defense fund was established , before we would help a friend ? I sent what I could, and quite a while back. I will send more, if I get ahead far enough to see my way clear. I am not going to wait for a nut-cake like you (or anyone else, for that matter) to say it is now "officially set up", and send my money God knows where and to whom. I MOST CERTAINLY would not send anything to any "fund" set up by YOU, and that is CERTAIN. Bill has learned the truth of the old saying, that you find out who your real friends are when you are down and out.
And here is what I have to say on the matter. Bill needs your help, and he needs it NOW, not 6 months or a year down the road, when some "official defense fund" is set up. You want to help Bill ? Then send him the help. You a friend of Bill`s ? Then do what you would want Bill to do if it was YOU that was down and out. That is what being a real friend means. And maybe your God, Whoever or Whatever he is, will smile upon YOU in YOUR time of need.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:374A19E1.32010F91@usa.net...
> The FACTS of this NG prove you to be WRONG!!!!
>
> The other person who tried to raise money received very few responses.
>
> I NEVER tried to raise money for Cheek, I did suggest that someone should do
> it & received great praise for my suggestion.
>
>
> tcrpe wrote:
>
> > The reason they didn't respond to YOU is that YOU are a proven insincere
> > asshole. It had nothing to do with Cheek, but it did prove what you were.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2773. Re: For Sale Pro 2036 Scanner 200ch Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ihu17cpl1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117348

jerr1919 wrote in message <374C0112.157A@tampabay.rr.com>...
>Why would anybody want to do a mod to get cells on a scanner that gets
>all the cells you would want to hear, on 896 to 914 mhz???jerr1919

Because even images don't cover the whole spectrum. 896 to 914 covers
874.4000 to 894.2000 The cellular base band starts at 868MHz and goes up to
896MHz, so you miss a chunk off each end. All is better than most.

Of course, cell scanning is just about as exciting as watching grass grow,
but suit yourself.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2774. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ihur3$d40$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Zombie Wolf wrote in message <7iht61nns1@garnet.mint.net>...
>Looney - Tud, did you really think we were going to wait until the
>"official' legal defense fund was established , before we would help a
>friend ?

ZW: While I agree wholeheartedly about this, Bill Conduit has made an
excellent suggestion: Twit-filter John and act as though he never existed.
As long as people like us try in vain to get him to see the err of his ways,
he will still be here clogging up the group with his nonsense.

I for one, am sick of trying to educate John. Now I simply ignore him. We
all should. It will work, if we all do it together....

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2775. Re: Kill-File the Maggot of Mackey...the screw who turned Bill In to Feds...KILL-file him.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ihugi$d07$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117350

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote in message <7ihrm2$hu9@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>...
> People...maybe now you see why
> the maggot of mackey must
> be stopped now...the only
> way you can stop him is
> to ignore him.
>
> He cannot work out of a vacuum.
>
> he needs you and me to exist.

Not to mention that you just feel better about not stooping to his level.

Trust me folks, if everyone participates, it will work. I've seen many an online forum get attacked by worse than John, and it hardly made a ripple because no one responded to the bait. Once the cretin realized that no one would respond, he or she would simply vanish. I can not stress it enough: if we all do it, it will WORK! I've seen it happen again and again.

Thanks Bill, for concurring with what I've said for months. Hopefully, it hasn't fallen on deaf ears.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2776. Re: Kill-File the Maggot of Mackey...the screw who turned Bill In to Feds...KILL-file him.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <37556ff6.16617628@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 117351

Richard Kendrick <wa7twi@primenet.com> wrote:

>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
>> The Truth about Toilet Boy respectfully snipped.
>
>Bill, you have voiced the truth in the most eloquent terms regarding
>the scourge of usenet (Toilet Boy). I too, have deliberately ignored
>the idiot mackeral for months now. His open admission to have informed
>on Bill Cheek shows the depth of his hatred for him. There is no act
>of atonement that John Mackey can perform that will vindicate him.
>
>I stand beside you in full support of your open desire to kill file
>this putrid slime. I call on the denizens of the scanner news groups
>to do the same.

<PLONK!>
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

2777. ORBCOMM Satellites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Bate <david.bate@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <374C7EE8.94E833B6@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 117352
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Can someone please e-mail me the uplink and downlink frequencies and channel ID's for the ORBCOMM system. Thanks..

--
David Bate [ICQ 9344489]
Bowmanville, Ontario
http://www3.sympatico.ca/david.bate/

2778. Re: Rich Wells Criticized ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: gumballs@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1771-374C7BEB-4@newsd-151.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 117353

rich always took time to answer my questions

2779. Re: New NYPD Frequencies???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: MJS Communications <MJSCommunications@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7ii08n$f6p$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 117354
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wonderful..thanks for the heads up. Thought my radios were a bit quieter
than they should have been.

Keith <No@No.Com> wrote in message news:3744d407.2535304@news.erols.com...
> Freq's were changing again today. Should be done by June hopefully.

2780. Re: Scanner/Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ihvsq$dd0$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The ConQueror wrote in message <37499f78@news.internext.fr>...
>Is it possible to modify a scanner to transmit on amateur frequencies?
>

Not very easily. You could modify a VW Bug into a Ferrari, but would you really want to? The very fact that you ask leads me to believe you don't have the technical background to build your own FM transmitter, which is what you'd have to do. (Please don't take offense, I don't have that kind of skill either! My skill level with homebrew electronics falls somewhere between dumb and dangerous. <g>)

The thing to do is go out and get yourself a *real* Amateur HT. Most if not all of them have receive-only coverage that is the same, or in some cases better, than a scanner. Plus, you won't have to deal with the expense and hassle of building your own.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2781. Re: VisualRadio for WiNRadio WR1500e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: tacquett@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990526193135.28057.00001990@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 117356

Yes:
Having a hell of a time getting it to work on com port 2 under windows 95.
Had same trouble under 3.11
No luck so far and it has been two weeks and a new dedicater computer.
have contacted WWW/WINRADIO.COM
and the info they sent me was very sparce

2782. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <CF%23.382$j7.3058@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 117357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Barry O'Grady wrote in message <374b5b66.1055691@genenews.acay.com.au>...
>You really should READ this stuff before you reply to it.
>
>I pointed out - correctly - that it is legal (in Australia) "to modify
>your scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may lawfully
>modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular frequencies.

You got me. I'll be getting a rag to remove that egg now. :-)

73 de Dave, NF2G

2783. aor 16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: gumballs@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1765-374C7E94-82@newsd-151.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 117358

need help on programming freq. into memory thanks in advance

2784. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marc <wr2i@access1.net>
Message-ID: <374c1c12@news.access1.net>
Source record: 117359
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

HT is a Motorola acronym from the old daysstanding for "HANDIE TALKIE" All
of Motorolas' portables are referred to as an example model HT200, HT220,
HT1000 etc;
Possums wrote in message <37452f09.0@news.highway1.com.au>...
>Excuse my ignorance but I have seen the abbreviation HT bandied about the
>place and I cant figure out what it is supposed to stand for .. can someone
>pls explain it to me. Thanks!
>OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <3744E382.5F1A@ameritech.net>...
>>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>>
>>> Contact bounce on cell phone => spark. Boom!
>>Please explain this to me; as I cannot follow
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>>
>>> In article <3742D147.215F6AA0@home.com>, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
>wrote:
>>> >Totally impossible!!
>>> >
>>> >Keith Bennett wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> Whilst getting some diesel fuel at the filling station yesterday I
>>> >> noticed this guy at another pump who was filling with petrol (gas)
and
>>> >> was happily chatting on a cell phone at the same time.
>>> >> I went over to him and politely asked him to stop using the phone.
>>> >> He asked me why and I said that with the amount of gasoline vapour
>about
>>> >> he could probably activate an explosion! He decided to quit using the
>>> >> phone!!
>>> >>
>>> >> --
>>> >> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
>>> >> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
>>> >> trojan.horse@virgin.net
>>> >> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
>>> >> http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
>>> >> UIN #3457372
>>> >> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
>>> >> wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
>>> >> 3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

>>
>>--
>>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
>
>

2785. Re: Anyone heard Blue Angels, Thunderbirds, Snowbirds This Year?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Cira <dardvl@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <374C8E91.353F@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 117360

The only frequency I got for the Thunderbirds was 141.850 AM at the Patrick AFB show. Unfortunately I left my GOOD scanner at home in Ft. Lauderdale! As of now though the Thunderbirds ARE BACK FLYING AGAIN!

As far as the Blues go I got a few frequencies from the MacDill show a few weeks ago. 345.900 got lots of use so did 238.150 275.350 236.450 and on startup 170.900 NFM. Fat Albart "JATO" used 263.350
Someone said something about 141.850. I would like to know if this WAS in use and if so was it AM or NFM? Thanx! Hope this helps!
Mike C.
Ft. Lauderdale, FL

2786. Re: ICOM 8500 questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ii3im$oa0$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117361

Miller,

You can set the step rate in 0.5 kHz increments. Ex: 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0,
..., 199.5 kHz

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

Miller Liu wrote in message <374C843B.B73A8E13@tp.silkera.net>...
>Hi, David:
>
>I know 8500 can tune in variable steps as you wish.
>
>Do you mean I can tune each channels not on integrals of 15kHz, like I
>say
>150.10, 150.25, 150.40 etc. They are not integrals of 15kHz.!!
>
>Thanks your posting!
>
>Miller
>
>David Hitchner ?g?D?G
>> >2. How it step up/down in 15kHz ? In Taiwan, police channels are
>> >allocated in 15kHz
>> > step, but not in 15kHz-integral. That is to say, they are 150.10,
>> >150.25, 150.40 etc. I hope 8500 can do this. I don't want such rig
>> >just to recieve 150.00, 150.15, 150.30 etc in 15kHz integrals. This
>> >is most concerning point.
>>
>> Preset Steps: 10 Hz, 50 Hz, 100 Hz, 1 kHz, 2.5 kHz, 5 kHz, 9 kHz, 10 kHz,
>> 12.5 kHz, 20 kHz, 25 kHz, 100 kHz, 1 Mhz.
>>
>> Programmable Step: 0.5 to 199.5 kHz Example: Enter '15' then press 'TS'
to
>> set for 15 kHz.

2787. Pro-2004 500-700 mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Seabrook <Richard_Seabrook@technologist.com>
Message-ID: <374c9253@news.pacificcoast.net>
Source record: 117362

Is there a mod available to enable the 500 - 700 MHz band and below 25MHz for shortwave reception? Or perhaps point me to a really good page for finding mods. thanks.

Richard_Seabrook@hotmail.com

2788. Re: Emergency Monitoring-Why not built-in monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenneth Allan Mitchell <nokones@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <374C9EC4.A7C99B4E@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117363
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A law enforcement helicopter with DF capability would be a lot more
effective than GMRS base station. Need to look beyond a base station
in the remote areas if you want to locate the lost hikers in teh
woods. Again, hikers don't carry GMRS radios.

Tell me how a GMRS base station is going to look for lost hikers in
the woods. Maybe the base station may be able to talk to the lost
hiker so what, if the hiker is lost the hiker doesn't know where
he/she is anyways.

I'm all ears.

some where wrote:
>
> On Sun, 23 May 1999 07:20:31 -0700, Kenneth Allan Mitchell
> <nokones@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> >The point I'm trying to say is that the channel designation/identifier
> >should be common for emergencies like 911 on the telephone system. I
> >know the frequency for each radio is totally different, so what. I'm
> >not trying to say that a CB ch. 9 be able to monitor/communicate with
> >FRS Ch. 9
>
> So what? GMRS *can't* comm on that channel, that's what. I thought we'd
> already established that.
>
> >For an example, if a lost hiker had an FRS radio and if Search and
> >Rescure and/or airborne law enforcement aircraft were on the hunt for
> >the hiker they can dial in that commom known channel/pl in their
> >radios. Aircraft law enforcement radios are user programmable on the
> >fly. An establish procedure for hikers or campers carrying an FRS
> >radio channel may help facilitate the location of a lost party.
> >
> >So what that a GMRS user can't, at least legally, tranmsit on the
> >467.XXXX FRS channel freqs. How many GMRS users do Search and Rescue?
>
> Other than the fact that you're more likely to find a GMRS station within
> earshot than a "search and rescue" radio? Other than the fact that -- due to
> the new surge in interest in GMRS due to the recent license reform by the FCC --
> the ratio of FRS-GMRS users is changing in favor of GMRS? Other than the fact
> that REACT has a network of GMRS emergency monitoring stations in place, and
> *might* be willing to add a receiver on an interstitial channel?
>
> >Or even want to monitor like react CB Ch. 9?. I'm think a long the

> >lines of law enforcement and search and rescue entities to use for
> >lost parties lost in the woods etc.
>
> I think *you're* lost in the woods.
>
> When you're willing to walk away from your absurd all-encompassing solution
> (that writes off a major chunk of the user base), maybe you'll find your way
> home.

--
Kenneth Allan Mitchell
mailto:nokones@ix.netcom.com

2789. Opinions: AOR AR16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Wayne S. Sikorski" <wsikorski@snip.net>
Message-ID: <7ii81p$1ce$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 117364
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Any opinions out there on the AOR AR16. Any problems ordering from Canadian dealers. Not really interested in cell, but I want a full coverage scanner (no skips)

Also, is this a triple conversion unit?

Thanks,

Wayne

2790. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <98D11F32DBC334ED.73EB92CACC5C0056.A03B1BED95B9B35D@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penapls,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

It's not the illegality of scanner users that make it so bad, it's the idiocy of those who admit it
that will be the downfall of scanning.

2791. Re: Hallicrafter's Handheld Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ivan Ivanovich <iivanovich@home.in.nome.com>
Message-ID: <engUnj#p#GA.382@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 117366

Last ne Hallicrafters equipment I saw was in 1972. I worked for a very small two-way shop that sold hand helds made by them. Seems to me they quit the business in 1973 to 1974 time frame.

Ivan Ivanovich

John Walker wrote in message <01bea7c8$8e7ff1c0$40e8150c@prs28655>...
>I have Hallicrafters Handheld receiver, Model CRX-107, 144 - 174 MHz.
>Mint condition. Runs off one 9 volt battery. Anyone have ant idea how old
>it is or how much it might be worth?
>

2792. 3000xlt tips?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: George <sp33d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <260519991933213175%sp33d@my-deja.com>
Source record: 117367
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just got a UBC 3000xlt. Are there any hints/tips/secrets for this model? Thanks for any info!

2793. Re: Scanner/Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick <pcassidy@blueriver.net>
Message-ID: <374CA6A1.2C29@blueriver.net>
Source record: 117368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Is it possible to modify my lunchbox to transmit on Commercial
frequencies? :)
ANYTHING is possible. Sure a scanner can be modified to transmit on any
freq. you want, you just have to add a transmitter.
Same goes for my lunchbox! (and a reciever)

All in good fun. People learn more when they are laughing.
POC
N9PRY

Jonesy wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Jeff Johns <jeffj@scott.net> wrote:
> : The ConQueror writes:
>
> :> Is it possible to modify a scanner to transmit on amateur frequencies?
>
> : Nope.
>
> Well -- the correct answer is always: "It depends."
>
> One of the regional state highway patrol freqs is at 154.905 mcs.
> So, I get to hear a lot of "tweep-tweep-tweep" QRM between
> 144.200 and 144.205 mcs.
> Granted, this is not a "modification" to xmit on amateur freqs.
> Oh No, it works that-a-way right out of the box.
>
> 73
> Jonesy W3DHJ
> __
> SK
>
> --
> Marvin L. Jones jonz<AT>rmi.net
> Gunnison, Colorado
> 219 days to go until the Year 2000 -- So what!
> 585 days to go until the 3rd Millennium of the C.E.

2794. Re: Thinking about a UBC-3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: George <sp33d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <260519992010508533%sp33d@my-deja.com>
Source record: 117369

Darn, isn't there a cheaper third party maker for the 3000xlt battery
pak?

In article <19990526171838.02733.00000463@ng-ff1.aol.com>, JTWard01
<jtward01@aol.com.nospam> wrote:

> If you have to have cellular then the Euro version of the BC3000XLT is
> probably the best bet for the money, especially if you order from Bandercom,
> which seems to have the best prices. If cellular coverage isn't a big deal I
> prefer the Sony SC1 over the 3000XLT. It's more sensitive and has better
> audio
> than the Uniden, and it uses 4 AAs rather than that damned nicad pack that now
> costs $60 to replace.
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA
> "If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

2795. SF Bay Area frequency help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: George <sp33d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <260519992016339190%sp33d@my-deja.com>
Source record: 117370
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for frequencies for

San Rafael, Richmond, El Cerritto
Fire/Polic/Emergency

As well as the Richmond Oil Refinery

Thanks for any help

2796. NYC FLEET WEEK !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barbara <basia@redconnect.net>
Message-ID: <374CD683.1C11B56B@redconnect.net>
Source record: 117371
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

HEY group.

As some of new yorkers know there is a fleet week going on.
Military listening.

Any sugested freqs ?
148 - 150 might be active
and 225- 400 am .

let me know if you have any specific freqs

later

2797. Contest logbook

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fabio <cq.fabio@iol.it>
Message-ID: <7ihc9rlaf1@hermes.iol.it>
Source record: 117372

Hi to all
If you need to publish your contest logbook, try in this site:
http://users.iol.it/cq.fabio/
Is 100% free !
Here you can see our propagation statistic too.
73

2798. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Sf333.17069$pj7.103233@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

There you go again, make that 102.

TR

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <374CB2BA.8E7538FD@usa.net>...

<idiocy snipped>

2799. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Og333.17074$pj7.103255@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Make the 103.

TR

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <374CB33B.9C280328@usa.net>...

<snipped idiocy>

2800. Re: Opinions: AOR AR16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11b6712393829ffa9897ba@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117375
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Personally, I think it is the biggest (smallest) piece of shit that AOR has ever foisted on the public. Comparing it to some infinitely more competent scanners such as the IC-R2 by Icom, is like comparing a Mercedes with a Ugo. I hope that there ill conceived piece of garbage dies a very quick and painless death........

2801. Re: Opinions: Racing Elect. RE2000Alpha handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11b671e385b1b3459897bb@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117376

The RE2000A is actually one hell of a scanner. It is kind of like a Relm HS-200 on steroids. The shielding is beefier and it doesn't miss a beat in the sensitivity area. Also the intermod is significantly reduced. Overall not a bad price for that kind of performance and alpha tagging to boot.

2802. FS: RS Pro-91 Hand Held Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Monfeli <rmonfeli@dconn.com>
Message-ID: <7iijslbbm1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 117377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Used very little, in excellent condition. Comes with manual, antenna, wall adapter and 4 ni-cads. $160.00.
Ron M

2803. Re: Railroad train end car transmitters...freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7iil2coqj1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 117378

Mostly 457.9375

Harry Marnell, N6URU

http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

Toscano wrote in message <7iihk0$755@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>...
>I saw these posted maybe a year and a half ago...
>....anyone have the freqs?

2804. Re: So. Cal Disney Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Scholz <rickscholz@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <374CDAED.B184932E@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 117379

One of the best web sites with this info that I've found is at the
Disneyland Information Guide. The direct link to the scanner page is
http://members.aol.com/alweho/docs/scan.htm

This page is extremely detailed, with an inconcievable range of
frequencies ranging from operations frequencies to wireless microphones.

Have fun!

-Rick

retailmerch@earthlink.net wrote:

> Hello everyone,
>
> Anybody have the Southern Cal Disney Freq. Numbers to share. Had them
> before but lost them when moved to new city.
>
> Any help would greatly be appreciated.
>
> dbkb

2805. Re: Thinking about a UBC-3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7iiljbav1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 117380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes there is...C Crane Co will rebuild a BC3000 battery pack for 29.95, incl shipping, with high-capacity NiCad cells...see http://www.ccrane.com/scanner_accessories.asp

Replacement batteries are $39.95 at http://www.ccrane.com/bc3000xl.asp

George wrote in message <260519992010508533%sp33d@my-deja.com>...
>Darn, isn't there a cheaper third party maker for the 3000xlt battery
>pak?
>
>
>
>In article <19990526171838.02733.00000463@ng-ff1.aol.com>, JTWard01
><jtward01@aol.com.nospam> wrote:
>

2806. HELP PRO 90 RADIO SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5IDX <W5IDX@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7iimug$4g$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117381

I Have A Radio Shack Pro 90 Trunk Tracker 300 Channel Hand Held Scanner RS #
20-520 The Scanner Will Only Receive Signals From It's Immediate Proximity.
Will Not Receive Any Signals Such As Weather Or Local Police Fire Etc... But
Will Receive From A Close Handheld Transmitter & Receives Very Well If Close
By.
The BNC Connector & Antenna Are OK I Also Tried An Outside Antenna With No
Luck....
Any Help Would Be Great....

--
W5IDX@worldnet.att.net

2807. Re: John Mackey caught taking VE payoffs????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Lf233.435$Qg.40091@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Worth repeating. You have hit every point dead center. You read him like a book ZW. Well done.

Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com> wrote in message news:7ihru7njl1@garnet.mint.net...
> That`s a bunch of crap, Looney - Tud. You been on here flapping for over
> three years by my count ! Yeah, I would say that 350 inane postings totally
> devoid of any kind of intellectual or radio - related content a month is a
> conservative estimate of your "Life`s Work". You know, listening to your
> stupidity pour out is like living next to the railroad tracks. pretty soon,
> you either don`t hear the trains any more, or you are amused by them. And
> you fall into the "Amusing" category, Dud - Buds. You have a definite
> patttern you repeat, over and over, like some kind of stupid Mantra.
> First you start a thread, usually following some mention of Bill Cheek,
> (even if it is just one of his automated postings) and then, when the truth
> starts to invade your little fantasy world, you deny all, even stuff you
> said just two or three postings ago. You prove yourself a liar more often
> than anyone else on this group !
> As far as the license fiasco, the only salient point is, just which
> crackerjax box you got the prize in.! You are like some kindergarten kid ,
> crying and yelling, in his short pants, "I GOT MORE LOLLIPOPS THAN YOU" !
> There are small variations, like creating a phony "support group" of phony
> postings from cobbled up - e-mail addresses, and sometimes, if a posting
> hits too close to home, you go into what I call "cancel" mode, where you
> cancel the posts, so you don`t have to read the truth. The truth really
> messes with your head, huh , Looney ?
> When it is over, you retreat into your fantansy world again, and you don`t
> post for a while. you need that time to put it all back together. Yeah, we
> got you figured out all right.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2808. Re: Mackey makes a FOOL of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <gv533.616$Qg.58782@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Hawke <proudhawk@uswest.net> wrote in message
news:374dd950.464625012@news.uswest.net...
> hmm, something I didn't spot earlier (thanks glenn!).

No problem but now John-Bowl is once again in my dumper. I'm following the Republicans, Democrats, Libertarians, Lutherans, Episcopalians, Catholics, Jews, Muslims, Hindu, Cheek alike, Blake-alike, George-alike, Zombie-likes, conduit-likes and the rest of the followers that despise Mackey for one common reason, helping to get Cheek arrested thus causing more harm to scanning. Regardless of what Cheek did or said or anything this is going to hurt and Mackey is a wipe enough to brag about his part in it.

Just think, John-Bowl will feel like he's in the middle of a DX contest on 160 meters at high noon. Nobody can hear the Bowl anymore.

Bye John-Bowl. FLUUUUSSSSSSSHHHHHHHHHHH!

All gone!

2809. For Sale Pro 2036 Scanner 200ch Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <374ca1f3.5614707@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117392

have a 200 ch pro 2036 scanner with date code of 4a4
this scanner is able to get cell with a mod that you make to it..
200 ch base model real nice and in good cond price is 150.00
plus 10.00 shipping also has VFO knob on front for tunning very nice
scanner also has ANt on the Back email me thanks

2810. Re: Any Electra TSA user reports yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990525200054.29555.00005068@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 117393

>From: "Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net>

>The $69.96 Electra TSA 2-channel + Wx scanner has benn out a couple weeks
>now, including at Dayton. Anybody get one? Any reports??

Yep there is one at
http://members.aol.com/scanshack
and another one at
http://www.strongsignals.net

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

2811. Re: IC-R2 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990525200331.29555.00005074@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 117394

>From: Jim Finney <jpfin@worldnet.att.net>

>Hello,
>
>Anyone know how to open up all of 800Mhz on an Icom IC-R2?
>

Yea.....buy one mail order from outside the USA....

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

2812. Re: Any Mods for Pro 2030 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990525200443.29555.00005075@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 117395

>From: "MacMac" <sforrest@soltec.net>

>Is it cellular modifiable?

Yes......

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

2813. Re: Connecticut State Police Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990525201753.13193.00005171@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 117396

They will be digital yes. I am just wondering what the frequecies they are on now. I need Troops G, I F,E and D.

Thanks
Mike

2814. Re: Connecticut State Police Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: firebuff66@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990525202621.00831.00006313@ng36.aol.com>
Source record: 117397

```
Troop I is 42.48
Troop G is 42.58
```

Pursuant to U.S. Code, title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, §227,
"Any and all nonsolicited commercial E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee of $500.00 U.S."
E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms.

2815. Re: BLAKE BOWERS LIES AGAIN!!!!! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA? Or is it really John Mackey selling licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kurtullman@sprintmail.com
Message-ID: <7iffd0$mk0$3@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

In article <7ie7t1$p321@news.midusa.net>, "Farrow" <homerj@midusa.net> wrote:
>Oh please god not again I thought these two were gone forever
>

Heck these two idiots make the Bill Palmer charades on misc.writing
look positively sane by comparison. I *NEVER* thought I would say that...

"People everywhere confuse what they read in the newspapers with news."
-A.J. Liebling

2816. Re: New RadioShack 2m Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <7ifh8k$614$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 117399
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio,phl.scanner

In article <vJl23.37855$5e2.660088@news2.giganews.com>, "John Habbinga"
<habbinga@hub.ofthe.net> wrote:
>I looked at the RadioShack 2-meter handie-talkie today and it seems to be a
>pretty good radio. Very good sound quality, reasonable power for its size,
>and sturdy construction. However, at $179.99 it is priced pretty high
>compared with its competition. Perhaps when it goes on sale (and we all
>know it will) it might be a good deal. I hope they come out with a radio
>similar to this that is a dual band. I'd be more interested then.

They should have stuck with the 202, it's one of the best HTs out there. Why
mess with a good thing?

73,
Tom

Tom Randall -- trandall@idsi.net
Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10
Member: AAVSO Solar Division

My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html

2817. 200 chan scanner 4sale australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: achelsea <achelsea@connect.net.au>
Message-ID: <7ifigegao1@perki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 117400

i have a realistic pro34 200 handheld channel scanner for sale
victoria/australia.
asking price $260 with aust.wide frequency book/rechargable
batteries/
good condition
programmed for police/fire etc.

this scanner can be modified to pick up mobile/cellular phone calls
although is it legal???

2818. Alinco DXJ10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: llklodt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990525214121.01107.00000202@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 117401

Well I think I have narrowed it down to buy the Alinco DXJ10 wide band receiver. Anyone have any good or bad to say about it? Thanks for your help!

LARRY :-)

2819. Re: Time out for the "Big picture"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ifk44$enm@dfw-ixnews11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117402
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In <7ifj3j$f4l$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com> "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> writes:
>

>
>>The Cheek man has been arrested by FEDS.
>Yes he has, no one is disputing that either. The issue at hand is not
Bill
>Cheek, but scanning in general.
>
>It's already hurt the hobby, we were really on the verge of a scanning
>revolution, opening up parts of the spectrum that used to be nothing
but
>irritating noise to a scanner listener. Now, no one dares work on such
>things, out of fear that they will be next. You don't find this
troubling? I
>do.

and they arrested BILL.

and in the background they allowed
janet reno the privilege
to allow Chinese spies to
walk out the door with all
our atomic secrets..

gee...bill is more important
a security issue than allowing
foreign agents to "just walk
on in and help yourself' by
janet's proxy

you see, Janet knew. But others
did not. She would not allow that
i info out to the FBI. Or other
agencies.

she wanted to please the pres
who was courting the chinese
on our own turf.

I think it's time to Impeach the
President and Janet Reno and
those who allowed it to occur.

bill gets arrested and
we allow atomic secrets
of our whole arsenal to
be willy-nilly sent to
China with well wishes
from Bill and Hillary
in hopes the Chinese
invite them to tea.

bill conduit
etc.

2820. Re: BLAKE BOWERS LIES AGAIN!!!!! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA? Or is it really John Mackey selling licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: kkoller@earthlink.NOSPAM.net
Message-ID: <7ifjvb$gl1$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

These people need to get a life. AND STOP CROSSPOSTING TO THE EMERGENCY SERVICES GROUPS PLEASE!

2821. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374B598E.839B100B@usa.net>
Source record: 117404
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sounds like we should pray for Bill Conduit also!

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> Listen you putrid

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2822. Re: BLAKE BOWERS LIES AGAIN!!!!! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA? Or is it really John Mackey selling licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374B5929.74F4F021@usa.net>
Source record: 117405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ken-

Well said. It is sad & pathetic that these people chose to waste their
life making up these childish threads.

Ken Koller wrote:

> These people need to get a life. AND STOP CROSSPOSTING TO THE EMERGENCY SERVICES
> GROUPS PLEASE!

--
----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2823. Trade Amplifier for Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8ppz73@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990525221346.09179.00006227@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 117406

Have a MIRAGE B108C 2 meter amp, 10 in 80 out with preamp. Bought two weeks ago at Dayton Havention (used) haven';t used it because I also bought a 2 meter mobile rig. I paid $145 for it. Doesn't have a power cord though.

Looking for either $125 for it or trade for a PRO-26, or BC-3000XLT scanner.

Thanks,

Grego, N8PPZ
Grego, N8PPZ
Watching from "THE SPOT" at Wright Patterson Air Force Base
"Official SPOT of the new millinium"

2824. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <374b5b60.1049963@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 117407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penapls,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Lindsay Vincent <lvincent@hornet.net.au> wrote:

:Barry O'Grady wrote:
:
:> :> I opened my paper (San Diego Union-Tribune) this morning and there it
:> :>is...page
:>
:> How do you get a San Diego Union-Tribune in Australia?
:
:Snail mail. Perhaps you should look at the list of groups the message
:was posted to. You are permitted to wipe the egg off your face now.

Get your own egg. If you noticed that it was posted on an aus. ng
you will need it.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

2825. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <374b5b66.1055691@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 117408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

"D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

:Barry O'Grady wrote in message <3747ea47.11930779@genenews.acay.com.au>...
:>RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
:>
:>:Radio911 wrote:
:>:>
:>:> I opened my paper (San Diego Union-Tribune) this morning and there it
:>:>is...page
:>
:>How do you get a San Diego Union-Tribune in Australia?
:
:>:> to modify your scanner to monitor cell calls (ILLEGAL, guys), don't
:>
:>It is not illegal to sell or own a scanner capable of receiving cellular
:>phones.
:
:
:You really should READ this stuff before you reply to it.

I do.

:He points out - correctly - that it is illegal (in the USA) "to modify your
:scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may no longer
:lawfully modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular frequencies.
:Practically unenforceable, but it is the law.

You really should READ this stuff before you reply to it.

I pointed out - correctly - that it is legal (in Australia) "to modify
your scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may lawfully
modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular frequencies.

It's also quite legal to sell new scanners that cover cell frequencies.

The government have enacted legislation that, after 1/1/2000, will
prevent monitoring of cellular conversations very effectivly.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

2826. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374B5DB1.3BF260F3@usa.net>
Source record: 117409
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

No comment, just forget it Blake.

Blake Bowers wrote:

> YOUR INVOLVEMENT! Did you turn Bill in, so that

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2827. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374B5E99.97CCDBE6@usa.net>
Source record: 117410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Hawke wrote:

> looks like makey has made his appearance into the ham radio
> world again..

I never left, I've been in ham radio for approaching 20 years!

> Whats the matter, the rumors of your acccepting bribes for ham tickets
> getting under your skin?

NO, given my position as an OO (Official Observer) and being one of the
mostactive VE's in the US for 10 years gives me a record that speaks for
itself at the
HIGHEST level of integrity!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2828. Re: Time out for the "Big picture"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374B5FAD.8B6C87F9@usa.net>
Source record: 117411
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Then WHY do you keep wanting to talk about Cheek rather than scanning?

Phil Smalley wrote:

> >The Cheek man has been arrested by FEDS.
> Yes he has, no one is disputing that either. The issue at hand is not Bill
> Cheek, but scanning in general. The governments' case against him is

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2829. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374B5EE7.DE80328E@usa.net>
Source record: 117412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

No comment, just forget it.

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> so what you are telling us above is
> that you took part, gave info about,
> did help them get bill....?
>
> you helped them get bill arrested
> is what you are now claiming...

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2830. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374B5F47.C133800D@usa.net>
Source record: 117413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Mike-

I agree with you. I can't think of a single reason anyone would want to
pretend they are Bill.

Mike Schriber wrote:

> What the HELL is wrong with you people?
>
> I know Bill Cheek and most of you people most likely do not. Why the
> hell would anyone want to pretend he's Bill? I think Bill has

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2831. Re: John Mackey caught taking VE payoffs????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374B614E.F5AB1BC0@usa.net>
Source record: 117414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Glenn wrote:

> If not you wouldn't
> post OVER 350 offtopic posts a month. YOU are the USENET record holder for
> off-topic posts.

I do NOT "post OVER 350 offtopic posts a month" as you claim.

I also do NOT hold any such record a you state.

> Ok, your "hold" 5 FCC licenses. Bottom line you again are trying to be
> something you aren't. You were e

Wrong again! I NEVER said I "hold" 5 FCC licenses.

> They have been verified many times here and other places. You just cover
> your eyes and say you can't see them FOOL. Witnesses, E-mail you sent about

Wrong!! These issues never occurred, they are lies made up & repeatedby you &
other sickos!!! THAT is why you are unable to produce any proof!

> > Glenn Hansen, you are just mad at me because I was part of a team
> > who caught you trolling for young gay amateurs on the NG of
> rec.radio.swap.
>
> REALLY? Please tell me more John.

Would you like me to repost the message?

> attention away from his lies and fabrications. You started this crap 4 or 5
> year ago when a forged post came up after I brought attention to one of your

Another lie!!! I first had an internet account slightly over 2 years ago!
Hardly the4 or 5 you claim!!!!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2832. Re: Scanner/Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Johns <jeffj@scott.net>
Message-ID: <199904252152.PNR00658@scott.net>
Source record: 117415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The ConQueror writes:

> Is it possible to modify a scanner to transmit on amateur frequencies?

Nope.

73 Jeff W4JEF

--------- Jeff Johns W4JEF - AMSAT# 32615 - QRP-L# 1857 ----------
jeffj@scott.net w4jef@amsat.org	Reserve Patrol Captain
Satellite: Mir R0MIR-1, AO-27	Jefferson County Sheriff's Dept
200LX+BayPac+FT50=Portable Packet	QTH Birmingham, AL USA
------------ Finger jeffj@scott.net for my PGP RSA key -----------

2833. Re: good antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <374b6796.5385041@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117416

On Tue, 25 May 1999 23:15:59 -0400, Matthew N White
<mw6e@andrew.cmu.edu> wrote:

>Hi,
> I'm kind of a scanner newbie, and want to buy a good antenna. I
>live in an apartment building, and can't put anything on my roof. Also, I
>looking for something for general use (many different bands). Does anyone
>have anysuggestions as to what kind to buy or where to buy one?
>
>matt
>
try here: http://pw2.netcom.com/~kimeyer/index.html

Good Signals... LCM

2834. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7ifpkq$548$0@207.18.224.145>
Source record: 117417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Wed, 26 May 1999 02:29:01 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>No comment, just forget it Blake.
>
>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> YOUR INVOLVEMENT! Did you turn Bill in, so that
>

So you admit it? John, you really should be ashamed.

I realize that you have been obsessed with Bill, but to
turn him in for selling decoders? Sheesh!

2835. John Mackey turns in Bill Cheek for selling decoders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7ifq17$548$1@207.18.224.145>
Source record: 117418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Wed, 26 May 1999 02:29:01 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>No comment, just forget it Blake.
>
>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> YOUR INVOLVEMENT! Did you turn Bill in, so that
>
>

So, you admit that you were involved with the arrest of Bill, and knowing that you are neither a state, federal, or local law enforcment officer or member of the judicial system, and are unwilling to explain your statements.

Your admission to turning in Bill turns my stomach. You should be ashamed!

2836. Re: BLAKE BOWERS LIES AGAIN!!!!! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA? Or is it really John Mackey selling licenses?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7ifq6s$548$2@207.18.224.145>
Source record: 117419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Wed, 26 May 1999 02:09:40 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Ken-
>
>Well said. It is sad & pathetic that these people chose to waste their
>life making up these childish threads.
>
>Ken Koller wrote:
>
>> These people need to get a life. AND STOP CROSSPOSTING TO THE EMERGENCY SERVICES
>> GROUPS PLEASE!
>

But John, you are the only one crossposting them to the emergency
services newsgroups!

2837. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7ifqaq$548$3@207.18.224.145>
Source record: 117420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Tue, 25 May 1999 22:10:02 -0500, "Rick Larson"
<ricklarson@cableone.net> wrote:

>Wake up everyone it was Bill Cheeks who got Bill Cheeks arrested for things
>Bill Cheek did himself ....

But John Mackey has admitted that he turned Bill in!

2838. Re: No more Realistic brand...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01bea72b$62faf9c0$b543e0c7@godzirra>
Source record: 117421

Have you been under a rock for the last four or five
years???? Fewer and fewer were branded like that.
On many Items, they just changed the stencel.
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

2839. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: maddison@power.connexus.net.au
Message-ID: <374b7302.0@news.internex.net.au>
Source record: 117422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

In aus.radio.scanner Barry O'Grady <barryo@fastlink.com.au> wrote:

[..[

: I pointed out - correctly - that it is legal (in Australia) "to modify
: your scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may lawfully
: modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular frequencies.
:
: It's also quite legal to sell new scanners that cover cell frequencies.
:
: The government have enacted legislation that, after 1/1/2000, will
: prevent monitoring of cellular conversations very effectivly.

What legislation is this? As I understand it is already illegal to monitor
cell calls in Australia.

David Maddison

2840. FS: Pro-2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990526000230.14550.00005182@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 117423

Still had 5 months left on manufacturer's warranty!! Also comes with car adaptor. $150 firm. Please e-mail to Emoney250@aol.com

2841. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: maddison@power.connexus.net.au
Message-ID: <374b7e99.0@news.internex.net.au>
Source record: 117424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

In aus.radio.scanner David S. Maddison <maddison@power.connexus.net.au> wrote:
: In aus.radio.scanner Barry O'Grady <barryo@fastlink.com.au> wrote:

: [..[

: : I pointed out - correctly - that it is legal (in Australia) "to modify
: : your scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may lawfully
: : modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular frequencies.
: :
: : It's also quite legal to sell new scanners that cover cell frequencies.
: :
: : The government have enacted legislation that, after 1/1/2000, will
: : prevent monitoring of cellular conversations very effectivly.

: What legislation is this? As I understand it is already illegal to monitor
: cell calls in Australia.

OK, I get it. The analogue network is getting shut down....

David Maddison

2842. Michigan - Genese County Sheriff Dpt freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990526010709.18286.00000366@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 117425

does anyone know the freqs and channel assignments for this dpt.? email response is preferred

2843. Mercy Air /241 91 Freeway Accident

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: syderco417@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990526015553.12501.00006041@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 117426

Ok I am in need of some help here On 05/25/99 around 9:00 Pm serveal Units from Orange County Fire and Orange City Fire also a Mercy air unit and a CHP air unit where called out to a traffic accident on the highway 241 at the 91 freeway I was able to listen in on most of the action on the my scanner on the freq of 856.9625 Ok now that Ive set the scene now for my questions? The Corner was called out for the DOA .

#1 Dose any one know the freqs that Mercy Air uses ? I was scanning the ones listed in the Police Call book but I had no luck , the freqs where in the 155mghz band are those it or are did they change ?

#2 Dose any one know of the Freq the Mercy Air would use to Commucation with the Air unit from the Chp ? I tryed 45.300 OC air cordnation freq no luck also try 123.025 and hered nothing abount this icendent. I know for a fact that the two air units did talk to each other to set up a LZ , Al so hered "Mercy Air 4" come up on 2 Echo to talk to the ground units.

#3 Ok I know that the OCSD dispatch on 460.425 green but when the corner in calles out what channel do then use or whats there call sign "18XXX" I hered Nothing On etthier OCSD channels for this call.

Thanks In advance
Matt

2844. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: gpc01@wraith.cs.uow.edu.au
Message-ID: <7ig3fqlto1@wyrm.its.uow.edu.au>
Source record: 117427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

OK, the only way I can see a transmitter causing a fire is if the electromagnetic waves induced a voltage in some conductor nearby which had a nice complete current path except for a small airgap. The waves could possibly, if it was set up just right, cause a spark there. This, I would have thought would be very unlikely, especially since petrol pumps and other equipment in that sort of potential atmosphere has to be designed by law to specifically not have the potential to cause explosions from static sparks or otherwise. I would have considered this far less of a risk than bringing in a car with such sparking or hot components as a starter motor, a distributer, a hot engine / exhaust system!!!

Also, there are signs around saying that mobile phones are "current emitting devices", what is the go there? How can a mobile phone emit current, it only emits radio waves? It makes the people who put them up seem as if thay have no clue.

deeeda (deeeda@bigpond.com.au) wrote:
: A Mobile Phone or transmitter can certainly spark an explosion around
: flammable fuels and gases.
: Ask your nearest service station console operator.One of their biggest
: headaches is customers filling up while on their mobile.
: Not only is it dangerous ,it is also illegal.Telstra know this but do not
: make much of an effort to tell the public.

--

Man will occasionally stumble over the truth,
but most of the time he will pick himself up and continue on.

2845. Re: 123.025!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <F8BE1F5122B93565.B929BFC8ED93C5D3.5F8E37D5CDA4FF04@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117428

```
Ooooh, cool!
What kind of credit cards can you transceive?

> get an alinco DJ-C1 2 meter credit card transceiver.
```

2846. Re: Need Help w/EMI From Uncooperative Neighbor's House

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <36CB6A61D775857F.4B0CCE3211C2E3A9.CD49BAFC6A43388F@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117429
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Plug your neighbor's house into 440volts for a few minutes and see if
that doesn't take care of your neighbor's friggin baby wipes thingy (and his whole house).
Seriously, sounds like frigging baby wipes needs to be sued for generated RF trash.

2847. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ig5nl$c8m@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117430
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In <374B598E.839B100B@usa.net> jmackey@usa.net writes:
>
>Sounds like we should pray for Bill Conduit also!
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
>> Listen you putrid
>
>SNIP
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>
the ultimate insult: anything this
putrid bile-full says about anybody
on the NG....

bill conduit
what do i wash myself off with of this
stuff?

2848. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ig5r9$sjh@sjx-ixn1.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

In <374B5EE7.DE80328E@usa.net> jmackey@usa.net writes:
>
>No comment, just forget it.
>

hey bozo beek...you said it
you claimed to be
responsible for his arrest

so tell us what you did to
do it?
fess up banal-boy

bill conduit
etc.
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
>> so what you are telling us above is
>> that you took part, gave info about,
>> did help them get bill....?
>>
>> you helped them get bill arrested
>> is what you are now claiming...
>
>SNIP
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2849. Re: Time out for the "Big picture"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ig61e$djb@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117432
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In <giJ23.91$6w1.34687@news.uswest.net> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
writes:
>

>Try dealing with some facts and not what Rush or Dredge tell you is
reality.
>
I was using it as an example of
the government doing the
wrong things as far as
what national security issues are.

nothing more.

Limbaugh...gee, he's
so entertaining.

i was using the issues as
comparisons only.

just enough to make a point
of why Bill and not the chinese?

bill conduit
etc.

2850. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ig66v$q7q@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

In <7ifqaq$548$3@207.18.224.145> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers)
writes:
>
>On Tue, 25 May 1999 22:10:02 -0500, "Rick Larson"
><ricklarson@cableone.net> wrote:
>
>>Wake up everyone it was Bill Cheeks who got Bill Cheeks arrested for
things
>>Bill Cheek did himself ....
>
>But John Mackey has admitted that he turned Bill in!
>

from another source who e-mails me
it may be soon that the
government has to drop
their case against Bill.
they may have acted too fast on
info that is inaccurate. or
that no law has been broken.
bill conduit
etc.

2851. Help with monitoring MDT's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990526033750.05569.00001342@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 117434

This may be an old topic here, but I have just become interested in monitoring Mobile Data Terminals.

Could anyone point me in the right direction to find the software and instructions for this ?

Many thanks,

Geo

2852. Re: Antenna Brand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary_Jacek@trap.bc.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <EVN23.1$w33.10787@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 117435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a Larsen that works well and has survived
several northwest winters unscathed.

Visit my page and click on antennas.

http://www3.bc.sympatico.ca/Gary_Jacek/

No, I don't own any Larsen shares.

On 1999-05-23 no-email@spamsux.com(Todd) said:
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner
>Hi All,
>I'm in the market for a 800mhz directional yagi...

2853. Re: Scanner/Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Lee <n5wrx@mindspring.nospam.com>
Message-ID: <7igha6$vv3$1@nntp2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 117436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Only if your scanner has a plug somewhere on it for a microphone or a CW key.

William Lee N5WRX

The ConQueror wrote in message <37499f78@news.internext.fr>...
>Is it possible to modify a scanner to transmit on amateur frequencies?
>
>Thanks
>Dan
>
>

2854. Re: Pro--90 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <374BC94E.97F47B41@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 117437

Ken Davis wrote:
> Anyone know how to set my Radio Shack Pro-90 to Wide FM mode?

Can't be done. PRO-90 has no wide FM circuitry.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

2855. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7igkbs$j4m$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:374B5E99.97CCDBE6@usa.net...
> Hawke wrote:
>
> > looks like makey has made his appearance into the ham radio
> > world again..
>
> I never left, I've been in ham radio for approaching 20 years!
>
> > Whats the matter, the rumors of your acccepting bribes for ham tickets
> > getting under your skin?
>
> NO, given my position as an OO (Official Observer) and being one of the
> mostactive VE's in the US for 10 years gives me a record that speaks for
> itself at the
> HIGHEST level of integrity!
>
>
Then why is there an investigation going on in the matter ?

2856. Austin Bergstrom International Airport: Austin TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Barker <rbarker@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_XQ23.4320$tP2.635051@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 117489

At Austin-Berstrom International they use:

460.050 Police
460.200 Police They seem to be flipping between the two.. don't know why
856.5125 Shuttles and some administration
453.925 Old administration freq still used

118.225 Tower (254.250 military air)
121.700 Ground (263.0 military) anc Clearance Delivery
124.400 ATIS
127.875 WX ASOS
119.00 West Approach and Departure
118.80 East Approach and Departure

Email for more Austin Frequencies.. Thanks!

2857. FS: RS Pro-60 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: BHL <philoxeny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7ifpnubft1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117490

This model covers from 30 Mhz to 512 Mhz, 760 Mhz to 824 Mhz, 849 Mhz to 869 Mhz and 894 Mhz to 1000 Mhz. It has AM, NFM, and WFM modes so you can receive the FM broadcast band and sound for TV channels 2 thru 13. Not a scratch or blemish on it and it works perfectly. Comes with manual, stock rubber ducky and AC to DC power supply. $150 + $5 shipping. USPS money order please.
Contact : BHL
philoxeny@hotmail.com

2858. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@isan.idiot
Message-ID: <374bd297.1940712@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117491
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Who's praying for you, mental midget?

Off topic again and again and again and always.

You are pathetic.

On Tue, 25 May 1999 08:19:31 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Mr. Larson, you are very likely correct. I believe we should pray for
>that man.
>
>
>Rick Larson wrote:
>
>> Several months ago.
>> MDT equipment and decoding software
>> probably sold to federal undercover agents.
>> It looks like he bragged or boasted too much about
>> what could be done to decode MDT transmissions.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

2859. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@isan.idiot
Message-ID: <374cd2cd.1995240@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117492
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sounds like you should commit suicide and do everyone a favor.

Either that or post on topic, which we all know will NEVER happen.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Sounds like we should pray for Bill Conduit also!
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
>> Listen you putrid
>
>SNIP
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

2860. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@isan.idiot
Message-ID: <374dd319.2070879@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> What a surprise. 8 posts just recently from your retarded mouth. Not ONE of
>> them is on topic.
>
>When was the last time YOU posted ON-TOPIC???

You fucking retard. Not under THIS id. Get a clue.

>YOU NEVER have!!!!!

On the contrary - YOU never have. You've been challenged time and time again
to provide proof of an on-topic post of yours and you NEVER have been able to.

Why? Because you're a failure in life.

2861. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@isan.idiot
Message-ID: <374ed371.2158719@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Wrong again, loony tune. YOU are the retard here. Either start posting on
topic or be prepared to defend yourself with your online provider as the
countless complaints will begin.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Are you talking about Bill Cheek or Bill Conduit?
>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>>The only habitual off-topic poster who skirts life every time HE'S asked to
>>produce facts!
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

2862. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@isan.idiot
Message-ID: <374fd3c0.2237607@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

And when you graduate to the 3rd grade, you'll hear it again. Retard.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Wow Bill, the last time I heard that was in 2nd grade. No wait it was
>first grade!!!
>
>
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
>> you were born and somehow
>> survived. that is the crime.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

2863. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@isan.idiot
Message-ID: <3750d3e2.2271732@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>People like YOU are only mad at me because of my involvement with the Cheek bust.

Wrong. The issue is YOU and NOT Cheek.

How are you "involved" with the Cheek bust? Are you a Federal agent (Bawhawhaw!). Did you drop a dime on him or something? Did you try and set him up?

I have a problem with YOU posing your useless and off-topic drivel here on a daily basis.

It wouldn't be bad if you posted something on topic once in a blue moon, but you can't even do that.

Prove me wrong if you don't think so by showing me a message where you posted on-topic anytime over the last 6 months. Provide a dejanews link (challenge #73 about to be failed by loony tune).

2864. Re: AOR 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1xG2ZoAeF+S3Ewxa@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 117497
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <374a992f.48457434@news.dial.pipex.com>, Nigel Callaghan
<vd97@dial.pipex.com> writes

>>>On Sun, 23 May 1999 17:53:37 +0100, "VooDoo"
>>><@woodcock76.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>>>
>>>>I have recently purchased an AOR 2002 scanner, but have no manual.
>>>>Can anyone help?
>>>
>>If you go direct to AOR's Web Site, the entire manual can be
>>downloaded onto your hard drive. I do believe that AOR's site can
>>also be found through Javiations scanner site as well. (though not 100
>>per cent sure)
>>
>>This downloadable manual is a nice feature and I have found it most
>>useful.
>>
>
>
>Uh Oh, sorry, you're message was right next to one about the 8200 and
>I got them inverted. SORRY all the text of my last message related to
>the 8200 scanner and not the 2002.

You were correct though...the basic AR2001/2 manual is available on the
AOR UK web site.

http://www.demon.co.uk/aor/manuals.htm

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2865. Re: Yaesu FT-50R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <374be8ef.2198477@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 117498

"[illegible] [illegible]" <sgrimanis@yahoo.com> wrote:

:Does anyone know how can i unlock the yaesu FT-50R so that it transmits on
:400-450Mhz.

I kow how, [illegible] [illegible].

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

2866. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lindsay Vincent <lvincent@hornet.net.au>
Message-ID: <374BEEB3.56D5025@hornet.net.au>
Source record: 117499
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penapls,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

```
Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
> Lindsay Vincent <lvincent@hornet.net.au> wrote:
>
> :Barry O'Grady wrote:
> :
> :> :> I opened my paper (San Diego Union-Tribune) this morning and there it
> :> :>is...page
> :>
> :> How do you get a San Diego Union-Tribune in Australia?
> :
> :Snail mail. Perhaps you should look at the list of groups the message
> :was posted to. You are permitted to wipe the egg off your face now.
>
> Get your own egg. If you noticed that it was posted on an aus. ng
> you will need it.

Hehehehe, oh Barry... You'll notice the message was posted to rec.radio.scanner
and cross-posted to 4 other international newsgroups and aus.radio.scanner.
I can be just as pedantic as you! :)
Put some salt on the egg. It'll taste better.
```

2867. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve La Joie <lajoie@eskimo.com>
Message-ID: <374BF435.3C0A2D8@eskimo.com>
Source record: 117500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

I'm not a pilot, but I do have some knowledge of the FAA requirements.
I'm not speaking for anybody but me btw.

Any electronic item used on an airplane has to be qualified (to DO-160
requirements is one way to demonstrate to the FAA that it's qualified)
and then Certified for that model. Certification would mean ground and
possible flight testing of the equipment on that major model airplane.
Once you got your qualification test report, you can look at the
spectrum
of radio emissions and you know what systems may be susceptable and
check those for interference, but any system affected can lead to one
or the other box being uncertified.

All equipment used on board must be controlled and checked at regular
intervals by FAA certified technitions. Once in the hands of a non-
certified technition, it's become suspect - only a conformity inspection
to make sure it is of the same design and no unauthorized modifications
to the unit have been made can bring it back into certified status.

If you're not an FAA certified technition, anything you bring on board
is illegal to turn on, (and an FAA technition wouldn't turn something on
that wasn't certifed for flight, even his test equipment on board
must be flight certified) according to the FAA. But they left it up to
the pilots to enforce it.

I've seen everything from Cell phones to CD players mess up flight
deck avionics. Some things don't. A lot depends upon the equipment
being used, the airplane model, and even where you sit on the airplane.

Any equipment that is latent, that is, battery operated and running
like a cell phone waiting for a call, or a lap top with an active
clock while off, is right out.

robinson wrote:
>
> I think we are all agreed, that as per the rules, we may not transmit
> without permission of the pilot, on an aircraft. Posession of a radio does
> not imply use of it, or intent to use it. A licensed ham, by virtue of
> having a license, is by implication willing to follow the rules. My
> contention that the airline may refuse to allow an HT aboard, and that the
> passenger may refuse to fly that airline, and may tell anyone who will
> listen to do likewise, is based entirely on common sense.
>
> I think we would do better to post those carriers who have a history of
> non-cooperation with traveling hams, and avoiding, where possible, those

> airlines, and seeking to educate them to the extent possible. This argument
> appears to be a dead horse, well beaten.
>
> ---------------------------------
> Robinson in San Benito TX
> A nice little town in the Beautiful Lower Rio Grande Valley
> By the Border By the Sea
>
> Meet a Redneck!
> See the Crusoe Quintuplets!
> Build your own Web Page!
> Get your Ham License!
>
> For locksmithing, Radio, Programming, Nautical, Redneck Philosophy, Partying
> in the Valley, and other stuff go to:
> http://crusoe.org
> --
>
> RADIO MAN wrote in message <3741E4E9.2371@ameritech.net>...
> >robinson wrote:
> >>
> >> They should be able to prevent anybody they like from boarding for any
> >> reason they like. It is their plane, and their business. They can runn
> off
> >> ALL their customers if they like, and fold up, or they can let anybody at
> >> all board with anything allowed by the law, and behaving in any manner at
> >> all that is not specifically illegal. Or they can strike a middle road
> >> somewhwere in there. Thhat's called free enterprise... market economy...
> >> supply/demand... and giving the customer what he wants, or losing his
> >> business.
> >>
> >> I used to always carry my TS-50S in my briefcase. The one time they
> refused
> >> to allow it to pass, (The by-the-numbers instructions they got were to
> have
> >> me turn it on, and naturally I could not, without a source of 13.8VDC)
> they
> >> busted both the lamps for the LCD panel, and I also had to do a full
> reset.
> >> The next time, I was given the option of opening it up and I happened to
> >> have my swiss army knife in my pocket, so I did, and showed them there
> was
> >> not room inside for even a single stick of dynamite. After that, I never
> had
> >> a problem.
> >>
> >> I have never flown with an HT, and do not even own one. But I bet working
> >> your way up the chain of command, you would have eventually found someone
> >> who can count past ten without removing his shoes, showed him your
> license,

> >> informed him that you know your responsibilities and obligations under
> the
> >> CFR (quoting chapter and verse sounds impressive to some people) you
> would
> >> have been allowed to board with your HT. This would have helped the next
> >> poor ham who had to deal with those mental midgets.
> >>
> >> If you try everything and get no satisfaction, when given the choice,
> don't
> >> fly that airline, and inform them of it. Fill out one of the complaint
> >> cards that most airlines have, and some distribute, usually in the
> airline's
> >> in-flight rag. I have always got a response when I have sent one in.
> >>
> >> When you have a prob with a particular airline, and they simply won't be
> >> reasonable no matter what, other hams should be informed. A letter to QST
> >> and posts here would together inform probably half of all licensed hams,
> and
> >> would represent a substantial amount of lost revenue to the ucooperative
> >> airline.
> >>
> >> ---------------------------------
> >> Robinson in San Benito TX
> >> A nice little town in the Beautiful Lower Rio Grande Valley
> >> By the Border By the Sea
> >>
> >> Meet a Redneck!
> >> See the Crusoe Quintuplets!
> >> Build your own Web Page!
> >> Get your Ham License!
> >>
> >> For locksmithing, Radio, Programming, Nautical, Redneck Philosophy,
> Partying
> >> in the Valley, and other stuff go to:
> >> http://crusoe.org
> >> ---
> >>
> >> hawke wrote in message <373B9D4F.5767A2DC@uswest.net>...
> >> >Read below...
> >> >
> >> >Curtis CCR wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> radiosigs@aol.com
> >> >>
> >> >> Are you serious? "Even unfair rules" are OK?"
> >> >
> >> >Airlines have the right to refuse service for nearly any reason.
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>

> >> >> What else should they ban? Laptop computers? Car keys?
> >> >
> >> >Laptops, cd players, radio recievers and other rf producing electronic
> >> >devices
> >> >not pertanent to the operation of an aircraft are already on the list of
> >> >"banned devices"
> >> >via the Flight Aviation Rules and general policy of most airlines.
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> BTW - I'm a pilot and I have no knowledge of any Federal Aviation
> >> >> Regulation the prohibits passengers from carrying tranceievers on
> board
> >> >> aircraft. There are rules about *using* portable electronic devices
> >> >> (FAR 91.21).
> >> >
> >> >Look a little closer at that regulation. Part of the RCPA of 1996
> included
> >> >provisions related to the use of specified electronic equipment on board
> >> >any commercial aircraft flying within or over any territory owned
> >> >or controlled by the US Government.
> >> >
> >> >This vary same arguement was held in here and on rec.radio.scanner
> >> >some 11 months ago.
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> I usually have a pretty flexible schedule when I travel. If I was
> >> >> denied passage through security because I was , I would have gone back
> >> >> to the airline and made a stink - even if it meant missing my flight.
> >> >> Unless there was an FAA directive forbiding HTs in carry-on luggage, I
> >> >> wouldn't have made every manager involved very busy.
> >> >
> >> >Carrying them is ok, using them aboard aircraft is not.
> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Yes - this is stupid. I would have made waves.
> >> >>
> >> >> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> >> >> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---
> >> >
> >Well it also has to do with electronic interference. You wouldn't want
> >the plane to go down becasue you were busy with a QSO with somebody over
> >30 miles away thanks to the elevation that you were at, huh?
> >--
> >RADIO SCANNERS, CITIZENS' BAND RADIOS, SHORTWAVE RADIOS,
> >FAMILY RADIO SERVICE RADIOS, HAM RADIOS ARE FUN!!!!

2868. Re: For Sale Pro 2036 Scanner 200ch Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jerr1919 <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <374C0112.157A@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 117501

Why would anybody want to do a mod to get cells on a scanner that gets
all the cells you would want to hear, on 896 to 914 mhz???jerr1919
>
> In article <374ca1f3.5614707@netnews.worldnet.att.net>,
> <orbitone@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >have a 200 ch pro 2036 scanner with date code of 4a4
> >this scanner is able to get cell with a mod that you make to it..
> >200 ch base model real nice and in good cond price is 150.00
> >plus 10.00 shipping also has VFO knob on front for tunning very nice
> >scanner also has ANt on the Back email me thanks
>
> good luck on the mod, mine didn't work (same date code)
> i was told some do - some don't
> there is a downconverter mod that will work regardless
>
> xxx

2869. Re: Scanner/Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonesy <jonz@rmi.nospam.net>
Message-ID: <7igv65$t11$1@news1.rmi.net>
Source record: 117502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Jeff Johns <jeffj@scott.net> wrote:
: The ConQueror writes:

:> Is it possible to modify a scanner to transmit on amateur frequencies?

: Nope.

Well -- the correct answer is always: "It depends."

One of the regional state highway patrol freqs is at 154.905 mcs.
So, I get to hear a lot of "tweep-tweep-tweep" QRM between
144.200 and 144.205 mcs.
Granted, this is not a "modification" to xmit on amateur freqs.
Oh No, it works that-a-way right out of the box.

73
Jonesy W3DHJ

--
SK

--
Marvin L. Jones jonz<AT>rmi.net
Gunnison, Colorado
219 days to go until the Year 2000 -- So what!
585 days to go until the 3rd Millennium of the C.E.

2870. Re: Anyone heard Blue Angels, Thunderbirds, Snowbirds This Year?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: justmrzor@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7347-374BFE7C-13@newsd-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 117503

hi a few days ago i tried to subscribe to
milcom but it didn't work
could u see what u can do to subscirbe to it for me (to jt ward)

2871. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Lake <tlake@scentral.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <6p5kFLAS3+S3Ewwj@scentral.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 117504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <374A8AF2.4581@ameritech.net>, OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltn
kid@ameritech.net> writes
>deeeda wrote:
>>
>> A Mobile Phone or transmitter can certainly spark an explosion around
>> flammable fuels and gases.
>> Ask your nearest service station console operator.One of their biggest
>> headaches is customers filling up while on their mobile.
>> Not only is it dangerous ,it is also illegal.Telstra know this but do not
>> make much of an effort to tell the public.
>Well who would buy it if they did

I know this is silly, but its been nagging me for days...

In your sig, you just can't spell REMEMBER

sorry, couldn't resist!! :)))

--
---------- ----------
Trevor Lake	/\/ Deja Vu II /\/
(Majic Mushroom / Tesko & Capital)	-9-To-11-July-1999---
Tesko: October 1993 - July 1999	*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-*-
www.scentral.demon.co.uk	www.dejavu.org.uk
---------- ----------

2872. Thinking about a UBC-3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: George <sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Message-ID: <260519990740365807%sp33d@my-dejanews.com>
Source record: 117505
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm Thinking about a UBC-3000xlt. Is this the best scanner in this price range? Thanks for any info!

2873. Re: Hawke makes a FOOL of himself again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374C0A77.163A2474@usa.net>
Source record: 117506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

Hawke wrote:

> What is your fascination with Eric Oyen????
> I simply stated an opinion and out you come claiming that I am someone
> else....

Everyone knows you are Eric Oyen. You hang out in all the amateur radio &
sight imparednewsgroups just like Eric, you are both from Phoenix, & "Hawke"
was Eric's CB radio
handle. "Eric" made so much trouble & so many enemies on usenet
that you had to change your ID to "Hawke".

> I hate to say it dude, but too many people from here and
> FIDONET remember who you are and why you did what you did..

Correct, & for that reason I have won respect from many people.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2874. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374C0AC1.39BAE73F@usa.net>
Source record: 117507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Bill, can't you think & speak coherantly?

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> Width-of one hair
> brain within

other foolishness deleted.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2875. Re: Mackey still makes a FOOL of himself !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <i9U23.284$6w1.88679@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote ...

> Correct, & for that reason I have won respect from many people.

BAWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

What people? 40 people are on this NG telling you what a worthless piece of
dog dung you are and not one person steps up to your defense. WHY?

1. everyone hates John-Bowl Mackey
2. Nobody respects John-Bowl Mackey
3. All of the above

correct answer is #3

Tell again about all your FCC licenses John-Bowl.

BAWAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHA

2876. Larsen 800 Yagi - very good ant.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990526112349.13058.00004897@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 117509

A previous posting requesting suggestions on an 800MHz Yagi, a directional antenna, was answered by one user with Larsne's model.

Larsen makes a very good, solid 800 Yagi which mounts easily to most masts and even flat wall surfaces in some cases. Larsen makes professional two-way, base, mobile, handheld antennas. I have used them for years for both two-way and scanner applications.

So called " scanner " antennas are usually cheaply made which means that they go quickly to the devil in weather. An antenna does not have to be knocked down to begin serious failures. It is often gradual with corrosion and loosening as primary problems.

Larsen products are more frequently found at 2-way radio shops and dealers or at Ham supply houses and some hamfests. I have no connection with Larsen, but know the line and like the service. Antenna Specialists also makes some very good 800 Yagis, but it is a little harder to deal with them. A/S has a " hobby " line of " scanner " and CB antennas which is not exactly top quality, but their professional line of base and mobile antennas are very good.

2877. What hardware/software req. for MDT mon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990526112858.13058.00004905@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 117510

I am looking for the proper software and hardware combination for monitoring mobile data terminals. I have the PRO-2006 with OS-456 board installed and the BC 895 as well, but no software.

I presume that it is legal to do such monitoring as I don't believe that it is encrypted. I thought that I remembered something about a web site dedicated to this.

Our local PD suddenly sprouted 800MHz antennas though they are strictly on High Band still. I noticed that they now have MDT's so this was my reason for wanting to monitor.

Will appreciate any suggestions or assistance.

Geo.

2878. Re: Time out for the "Big picture"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <hEU23.303$6w1.91149@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117511
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry, I took your post as the so familiar right wing knee-jerk Clinton bashing. You know where ignorant people talk the Chinese thefts when the last 2 administrations set up the whole affair or the tired and old Clinton draft dodger crap when Slick Wily actually had a college deferment.

Though I have to agree that the Government seems to have once again stepped on their genitals, nobody has explained why Opto and others selling slicers were left untouched. I still think Cheek's ego once again got the better of him. Selling the slicer was one thing, bragging that it might be illegal but you can easily get the software on the net is another but giving the MDTware on disk to unknown people who you sold slicers to is down right ignorant beyond belief.

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:7ig61e$djb@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com...
> In <giJ23.91$6w1.34687@news.uswest.net> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
> writes:
> >
>
>
> >Try dealing with some facts and not what Rush or Dredge tell you is
> reality.
> >
> I was using it as an example of
> the government doing the
> wrong things as far as
> what national security issues are.
>
> nothing more.
>
> Limbaugh...gee, he's
> so entertaining.
>
> i was using the issues as
> comparisons only.
>
> just enough to make a point
> of why Bill and not the chinese?
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>

2879. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <G7EITCAjdBT3EwP8@virgin.net>
Source record: 117512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.support.divorce,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <7ig3fqlto1@wyrm.its.uow.edu.au>, Graham Philip Crowe
<gpc01@wraith.cs.uow.edu.au> writes
>OK, the only way I can see a transmitter causing a fire is if the
>electromagnetic waves induced a voltage in some conductor nearby which had
>a nice complete current path except for a small airgap. The waves could
>possibly, if it was set up just right, cause a spark there. This, I would
>have thought would be very unlikely, especially since petrol pumps and
>other equipment in that sort of potential atmosphere has to be designed by
>law to specifically not have the potential to cause explosions from static
>sparks or otherwise. I would have considered this far less of a risk than
>bringing in a car with such sparking or hot components as a starter motor,
>a distributer, a hot engine / exhaust system!!!
>
>Also, there are signs around saying that mobile phones are "current emitting
>devices", what is the go there? How can a mobile phone emit current, it only
>emits radio waves? It makes the people who put them up seem as if thay have
>no clue.
>
>deeeda (deeeda@bigpond.com.au) wrote:
>: A Mobile Phone or transmitter can certainly spark an explosion around
>: flammable fuels and gases.
>: Ask your nearest service station console operator.One of their biggest
>: headaches is customers filling up while on their mobile.
>: Not only is it dangerous ,it is also illegal.Telstra know this but do not
>: make much of an effort to tell the public.

Life sure must be different in Australia!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
http://albums.photopoint.com/j/AlbumList?u=24561
UIN #3457372
http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
wwp.mirabilis.com/3457372
3457372@pager.mirabilis.com

2880. Re: Any Used BC9000's out there?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990526123821.28069.00001910@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 117513

>how mutch are you ready to pay for a UBC9000 ?
>

$5? Just kidding, I guess those would be worth $400 or $500? As it stands right now, I have a good lead on a BC9000 for $225, but if you have a UBC to drop off...? :-)
Mike

2881. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@area.FOOL!
Message-ID: <374d1c70.446847@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Bill, can't you think & speak coherantly?

Once again, off topic. What a surprise.

What does "coherantly" mean, retard? Is that your self-admitted 2nd grade education shining through?

Yoo speek reel gud.

2882. Satellite info, HF/VHF, Yaesu Pages: FT-847 & FT-50R, Links and More!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <374c26ec.1052165@news.hughes.net>
Source record: 117515

Hello All,

I have a web page with info on:

Satellites, Satellite Tracking, HF/VHF, Yaesu Pages: FT-847 & FT-50R,
Radio Bulletins, My HF Rig Reviews, Tons of Resource Links, and more!

Please check it out:

http://kq6mu.8m.com

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU
DM04 Palmdale, CA USA

2883. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: sparkfel@mypants.primenet.com
Message-ID: <374c249d.81831667@news.primenet.com>
Source record: 117516

On Wed, 19 May 1999 23:15:23 +0100, Keith Bennett
<trojanhorse@virgin.net> wrote:

>Really: Try a little experiment for me then. Just stand at a gas station
>whilst somebody is refuelling and induce just a small spark! If you have
>never seen a gasoline vapor explosion and the resulting skin burns then
>maybe you'll think again. Any transmitter is dangerous under those
>conditions, and a cell phone is still a transceiver with operating keys.
>Then again you are justly entitled to your opinion as I am and I prefer
>to play along the lines of common sense and public safety. No doubt you
>are quite happy to smoke a cigarette whilst refuelling your car!
>
>

Excuse me, but I worked in the Hazardous Waste Remediation field for
many years. Have you ever bothered to check your facts? First, most
passenger vehicles and light trucks (at least in the US) manufactured
in the last 25 years have positive-pressure explosion-resistant fuel
tanks designed primarily to prevent such an occurance. And many
states now require vapor recovery systems on their fuel pumps.

Furthermore, Gasoline vapor is only explosive over a range of about
1% to 11% in air by weight and it requires at least 900 degrees
fahrenheit to ignite gasoline vapors. Cigarettes burn at about 460 to
600 degrees fahrenheit. In fact, I've seen safety demonstrations done
by fire departments where they'll fill a bucket with gasoline and then
extinguish a lit cigarette in the bucket.

I used to test the fuel value of bulk waste flammables (for cement
kilns) with an EPA test called a Flashpoint (closed cup and open cup).
This test is where you place a suspected flammable liquid in a small
vessel and heat it until it "flashes over." The amount of energy
required to do this is quite large, and the initial flashpoint is NOT
at a point where combustion can be continuous. The amount of energy
required to continue combustion is much higher than initial flash.

If random sparks could ignite gasoline easily cars and trucks would be
blowing up driving down the road. The static electricity caused by
your cars tires rotating over pavement generates several thousand
volts of potential, not to mention that when fueling a vehicle, the
gas or diesel flowing through the hose generates a heck of a static
charge.

My former boss used to run one of the largest hazardous waste
facilities in Arizona as well as a fuel depot. He is a degreed
chemist and physicist. As a ham radio operater I asked him why they

had drivers turn off transceivers in certain areas of the facility. His response was that they were not worried about stray RF inducing a spark and igniting the flammable liquids, but that we did store REACTIVE substances on site, and that those can be affected by high levels of near-field RF.

Recently, I saw a special on TV about perceived risks. Despite the fact that the average person lives about twice as long as people 100 years ago. If you ask people today, they think that life is much more dangerous now. One example the listed was that, per capita, the death rate 100 years ago from sexually transmitted diseases is THIRTY times higher than today, even with AIDS. Why do I bring this up? The point of the show was to make light of the fact that we live in a time of blatant fear mongering where people have to make up stuff to be afraid of.

Mark
KC7BXS

2884. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ihcq8$n3c@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

In <374d1c70.446847@enews.newsguy.com> you@area.FOOL! (Macaroon)
writes:
>
>jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>>Bill, can't you think & speak coherantly?
>
>Once again, off topic. What a surprise.
>
>What does "coherantly" mean, retard? Is that your self-admitted 2nd
grade
>education shining through?
>
>Yoo speek reel gud.

No, No. He graduated up to
first grade...he says
it in prior his story
mention when he admitted
to turning bill Cheek to the
feds...

He graduated up to 1st Grade...
what you see is what he gives
as such.

bill conduit
etc.

2885. CART Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dimac Direct <pritchard.terry@dimac.com>
Message-ID: <7ihcaj$hf9$1@newsin-1.starnet.net>
Source record: 117518

Does anyone know the frequencies used by the CART drivers during races? I will be attending the race in St. Louis and would like to listen in.

Thanks.

2886. Scanner freqs for Rocky Mountian NT Park needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Fay <robert.fay@unisys.com>
Message-ID: <7ihch1dmg1@ernie.rsvl.unisys.com>
Source record: 117519

Can someone provide me with the frequencies that the NPS uses and any other interesting frequencies in the Estes Park/ RMNP area.
Thanks
Bob Fay

2887. Re: Yaesu FT-50R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <tUW23.11268$pj7.64143@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117520

Try this page

http://qrz.com/mods/

Robert

Barry O'Grady <barryo@fastlink.com.au> wrote in message
news:374be8ef.2198477@genenews.acay.com.au...
> "������ ������" <sgrimanis@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> :Does anyone know how can i unlock the yaesu FT-50R so that it transmits
on
> :400-450Mhz.
>
> I kow how, ������ ������.
>
> Barry
> ==========
> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

2888. Re: Yaesu FT-50R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <t%W23.11333$pj7.63998@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117521

Subject: Yaesu FT-50R Guide V 1.1
From: childers@garlic.com (Bill Childers)

The (In)Complete Guide to the Yaesu FT-50 Version 1.1

Compiled by Bill Childers, KE6VVW

Disclaimer & Other Nonsense
The information in this document is a guide to the FT-50 ONLY. Having had more than a few radios in my amateur experience, I understand how most hams wish to learn as much about their rigs as possible. I have compiled this guide to allow other people to learn more about the radio without having to search all over for information. I do not guarantee the validity of this information, as Yaesu may change programming or hardware so that some of the techniques in this document may cease to function. I am not responsible if these instructions damage your rig in some way. All I can say is that I have performed the following procedures to my unit, and I am quite pleased with the results. Your mileage may vary, etc.

History

Version 1.0
1st introduced.

Version 1.1
Added: Game mode, Changed High Power Mod to "Internal System Alignment". In this section I also detail the squelch and S-meter adjustments. Also added info to the bug on the FTT-12 Keypad.

Introduction
The Yaesu FT-50 is perhaps the most unique VHF/UHF Handheld manufactured today. It's made to MIL-SPEC 810, for dust, splashproofness, and vibration. Out of the box, it has not only dual band 2m/440 capability, but wide FM receive and AM receive as well. In addition, it receives 76-200 MHz, 380-500 MHz, and 700-900 MHz (Cellular Blocked). It has one of the fastest scan speeds for an amateur HT. It also has the unique ability (w/ ADMS-1C software) to interface with an IBM PC running Windows and have memories and settings uploaded/downloaded. In addition, it has DCS (digital coded squelch), ARTS (auto-ranging transpond system), and Yaesu's excellent transmit and receive battery saver features. It also uses Yaesu's extremely efficent FET power module that allows for 5W+ of output power with a 9.6V battery pack. With the optional FTT-12 keypad, the unit also performs CTCSS decode,

DTMF paging, and also incorporates a Digital Voice Recorder! All of this functionality in such a small package makes it my rig of choice.

Problems (Bugs)
Unfortunately, the FT-50 is plagued by a few bugs. None of them are very serious or life-threatening, just a bit annoying. First among them is its Wide-FM receive. Apparently Yaesu engineers didn't put a wide enough filter in the unit, and some of the bandwidth of broadcast FM signals gets "chopped off". This means that the rig is near useless for listening to music on the broadcast FM band. It works marginally with voice only on the same band. My opinion on this problem: If you want to listen to music, bring a small Walkman or other transistor radio with you. The 50 does an adequate job of bringing voice signals in on broadcast FM, so it's good for listening to emergency broadcasts.
The second "bug" lies in the optional FTT-12 keypad. The manual for the radio states that you can set up a "voice mail" type of functionality that lets your radio operate unattended and record calls for you. This mode doesn't work correctly, although the built-in voice recorder works great. It seems that the unit will playback the wrong voice partition. The problem appears to be in the DTMF page-answer-back area. If you're buying the 50 and FTT-12 solely for this purpose, DON'T! It doesn't work and there's no current workaround.
The third bug has been complained about a bit. It seems that some 50s suffer from a low Tx audio problem. This is due to a factory adjustment that is set a bit on the low side. It is easily fixed. (See Factory Adjustments below).
The fourth "bug" is synergistic with the ADMS-1C software. If there is some kind of problem in downloading a file to the radio, the software aborts with an error, and the rig is left with it's memories cleared in a "limbo" state. This state is actually the European band plan, and if done properly, the rig can be modified to receive even more frequencies which will be described later. (See modification section) However, if you want to return the rig to its natural state, simply download a blank frequency file (NONAME.RDF) to the unit. All should be well.

Factory adjustments
If your rig suffers from the low Tx audio problem, here's how to fix it.

1. Remove the battery and antenna.
2. Remove the "NiCd" sticker on the heat sink. If you remove it very carefully, it's possible to re-install it later with the same stickum that's on it.
3. Look in the cavity where you removed the sticker. Proceeding from left to right, here's what you'll see
Phillips head Screw (Holds the keypad in)

Small Silver Potentiometer (VR1001 VHF Deviation
Adjustment)
Small Silver Potentiometer (VR1002 UHF Deviation
Adjustment)
Small White Potentiometer (TC1001 DONT TOUCH! CTCSS Tone
Adjustment!!!)
Phillips head Screw (Holds the keypad in)
4. Take a small Phillips head Screwdriver and turn both VR1001 and
VR1002 about 1/8 turn clockwise. This will increase the VHF and
UHF deviation and fix the low Tx audio problem. If it s not enough
Tx audio, simply turn both pots another 1/8 turn. Make sure to
turn both pots the same amount!
5. Replace the NiCd sticker, battery, and antenna.
6. You re Done!

Internal System Alignment (May not work on some radios)
NOTE: This setting appears to work on radios that have NO MEMORIES
programmed. You might want to try STEP 3 before clearing your
memories. If step 3 doesn t work, you probably need to clear your
memories. BE CAREFUL with these settings it s possible to really
mess the rig up by setting some funky squelch or something you
might want to write the default settings for your rig down.

1. Select 2m VFO frequency.
2. Turn the radio off.
3. Push LAMP, PTT, Knob, and PWR at the same time. The rig will
turn on with the squelch open, so turn the volume down!
4. The 50 will display "BAND xxxxxx" where xxxx may differ
depending on your radio and whatever mods you may have performed.
5. Turn the top knob. There are a variety of settings available.
Here is a listing of them:
 HSSQ: Squelch Hysteresis Adjustment, adjusted by the dial.
 THSQ: Squelch Preset Threshold
 TISQ: Squelch Preset Tight
 S1LV: Low-Scale S-1 Adjustment.
 S9LV: S-Meter Full Scale Adjustment.
 HIPO: Hi Transmit Power Adjustment: Should be calibrated for 5W
(Can be pushed higher with a 13.8V Supply)
 L3PO: Level 3 Power Adjustment: Should be adjusted for 2.8W.
 L2PO: Level 2 Power Adjustment: Should be adjusted for 1W.
 L1PO: Level 1 Power Adjustment: Should be adjusted for .1W.
6. Select the adjustment you wish.
7. Push in the Top knob. There will be a "s" that appears next to
the displayed number.
8. Rotate the knob to change the setting.
9. Change other settings, if desired.
10. Push the knob for more than 1 sec to save the profile.
11. Select 440 VFO frequency.
12. Repeat Steps 3-10 to adjust the UHF Settings. UHF Settings will
have a "U" in front of them.

Modifications
The FT-50 is extremely easy to modify. The first modification is performed for you at the factory but for those of you who accidently disabled it, or changed it here it is.

Extended U.S. Receive Mod (Expands receive from 144-148 & 430-450 to 76-200, 380-500, 800-999 cell blocked) NOTE: All memories will be erased.
1. Turn the transceiver off.
2. Hold down the Knob and Lamp keys while powering the unit on.
3. The unit now has U.S. Extended Receive.

MARS/CAP mod (Extended Transmit Mod: app. 144-159, 420-470) NOTE: All memories will be erased.
Don't transmit on bands that you're not licensed for!! Besides being illegal, it creates problems for people using those frequencies.
1. Remove battery & antenna
2. Remove NiCd Sticker on Rear Heat Sink
3. Loosen (Don't Remove) Philips head screws on rear that hold keypad in.
4. Use screws as thumb holds to press keypad out.
5. Remove screws.
6. Remove Keypad.
7. Under Keypad on Left Side there is a series of Solder Jumpers. They are labeled in white from 1-3. Only #1 will have solder jumpering it. Remove the solder, being careful not to damage or touch any other components. WARNING: If you're not good at desoldering, or have shaky hands, you might want to have someone else do this for you. It's REAL easy to damage the rig.
8. Reinstall Keypad. Be careful of the O-ring around the keypad. Make sure it's not twisted or damaged, as the waterproofness of the unit could be compromised.
9. Install sticker, battery and antenna.
10. Hold down Knob and Lamp keys while powering the unit on. (Extended Receive Mod as above.)
11. Completed!

Super-Hyper Awesome Mod The mother of all Mods!!! (Requires ADMS-1C software and MARS/CAP mod to be performed)
Receive: 76-999 Mhz (No displayable holes!!!)
Transmit: 120-221 Mhz, 320-499 Mhz (AGAIN, Don't transmit on frequencies where you're not licensed)
NOTE: This mod will disable ARS (automatic repeater shift) and will set 2m and 440 repeater offset to weird numbers. Make sure you enter repeater offset and +- shift manually. Repeater offset needs be set once for the rig, then it should be able to be forgotten about. It will also clear memories.
Remember above where I mentioned the ADMS-1C software having a bug? Here's where the enterprising and curious ham can take advantage

of that. This mod will install the European band plan in your radio so without the MARS/CAP mod you will lose the top portion of your 2m band.
1. Are there frequencies in your radio? Don't want to lose them? Simply get the data from your radio as it sits you can "merge" the frequencies later. Save the file.
2. Perform the MARS/CAP mod as outlined above. Get the data from the mod and name it USA.RDF.
3. Attempt to send the same data to the radio. In the middle of the transfer (around 50%), pull the adapter cable to the PC out of the radio.
4. Thanks to the bug, your radio is now in European mode! Perform the Extended Receive Mod by holding the Knob and Lamp keys down while turning the unit on.
5. Now, the Automatic Repeater offset doesn't work. There's no workaround. Note that the 2m offset has been changed to 0.00. Go to the menu by holding down the knob. Go to SHFT, Option 7. Press the knob. Turn the knob so that it reads 0.60. Press PTT.
6. Now, turn off the radio. Put it back into clone mode. Read the data back from the radio to the PC and save the file as EURO.RDF.
7. If you want to enter frequencies manually or using ADMS as normal, go ahead. Everything should work. If you saved frequencies as above, open EURO.RDF and "merge" the frequencies from your USA.RDF file into it, and save it as another name. Then send the modified EURO.RDF (your filename) file back to the radio using the "template" file EURO.RDF. You're done!
8. To return the radio back to the U.S. band plan, send the USA.RDF file back to it.
Note that I have no way of measuring the sensitivity of the frequencies or the output power of the rig outside of the ham bands. The 220 coverage seems to be very poor, however, the radio does display 220 frequencies. It won't transmit on our 220 bands, though. 800 Mhz receive is vastly improved.

Game Mode
The FT-50R includes an interesting "game" that's programmed into it. Here's how it works:
1. Power up the radio while holding down the MR key. (PWR + MR).
2. The display will show GAME on the left side, and Spd 1 on the right. You're in game mode!
3. By rotating the knob, you can change the speed of the game. Higher numbers are faster.
4. Press PTT to start the game.
5. Numbers will start to scroll across the screen from left-to-right. As they come, you are supposed to push the number on the keypad that makes a sum of ten, followed by F. Example: 6 appears, press 4, F. If 0 appears, enter 10 followed by F.
6. The game is over when the screen fills up with numbers.
7. To exit the game, simply cycle the power.

Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote in message
news:tUW23.11268$pj7.64143@news2.giganews.com...
> Try this page
>
> http://qrz.com/mods/
>
> Robert
>
> Barry O'Grady <barryo@fastlink.com.au> wrote in message
> news:374be8ef.2198477@genenews.acay.com.au...
> > "[illegible] [illegible]" <sgrimanis@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > :Does anyone know how can i unlock the yaesu FT-50R so that it
transmits
> on
> > :400-450Mhz.
> >
> > I kow how, [illegible].
> >
> > Barry
> > ==========
> > Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> > Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
>
>

2889. looking for good FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg <gpearson@technetworksx.com>
Message-ID: <927743380.681.40@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 117522

where can I get the lowdown on scanning.... what's in, what's out, good models, etc...

thanks

2890. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <374c35eb.6302359@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 117523
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 26 May 1999 02:11:20 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Sounds like we should pray for Bill Conduit also!
>

Perhaps you should pray for *yourself* John. It's not
too late to beg forgiveness for your multitude of sins.

--

George "Hollywood" Vetterle
geovet@thevision.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

2891. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <374c388c.6975553@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 117524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Wed, 26 May 1999 02:32:53 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

<snip>

>NO, given my position as an OO (Official Observer) and being one of the
>mostactive VE's in the US for 10 years gives me a record that speaks for
>itself at the
>HIGHEST level of integrity!
>

John, are you *sure* that's an "OO" and not a "00" (Double Zero)?
You've been known to lie about your "credentials" in the past.

--

George "Hollywood" Vetterle
geovet@thevision.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

2892. Re: John Mackey turns in Bill Cheek for selling decoders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <374c3d58.8203593@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 117525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Tue, 25 May 1999 22:02:46 -0700, "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
wrote:

>
>Bud (the original) <thekit@san.rr.com> wrote ...
>> On 26 May 1999 03:32:55 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:
>>
>> >Your admission to turning in Bill turns my stomach. You should be
>> >ashamed!
>>
>> I wondered how long it would take John to claim credit for that.
>>
>> But I suspect you're gving John FAR too much credit, I suspect the
>> FBI and other '3-letter' agencies have extensive files on John, and
>> have great laughing bouts when he files a complaint abut anything.
>>
>
>A few years back a post forged in my name soliciting gay boys caused a very
>sick picture to be mailed to me. I called the FBI and low and behold they
>had a computer crimes division. In the course of my dealings with the FBI
>guess which two names came up in the conversation? John Mackey Jr. was one
>of them. Yes, they DO know about Mr. Mackey-Loon.
>

Indeed, the FBI *does* have a folder on John Boy but they are not the
only Federal agency that has an interest in his activities.

--

George "Hollywood" Vetterle
geovet@thevision.net

"Badges? We ain't got no badges!
We don't need no badges! I don't
have to show you any stinking badges!"-
Gold Hat (Alfonso Bedoya), THE TREASURE
OF THE SIERRA MADRE, (1948) Warner Bros.

2893. Re: looking for good FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7ihgbd$am0$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 117526

Go here first!!!!! www.strongsignals.net

Greg wrote in message <927743380.681.40@news.remarQ.com>...
>where can I get the lowdown on scanning.... what's in, what's out, good
>models, etc...
>
>
>thanks
>
>

2894. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ihhr1$b3n@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117527
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In <374c35eb.6302359@news.thevision.net> geovet@thevision.net (George Vetterle) writes:
>
>On Wed, 26 May 1999 02:11:20 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>>Sounds like we should pray for Bill Conduit also!
>>
>
>Perhaps you should pray for *yourself* John. It's not
>too late to beg forgiveness for your multitude of sins.
>
>

especially your turning bill cheek into
the feds...you admitted it and it was
by you that you did.

people: I don't know about you, but
seeing as how this maggot of butt-futt's
ville admits to turning BILL in, I for
one will never ever give this triteness
a word again.

and i would hope that the rest of you
will see similar and leave this pile
of maggot-shit in his pile to fester
and eventually get pulled over for a
traffic violation and find that he's
not who he claims to be and off to
jail he goes...

...and then having the gall to ask NGERS
to bail him out.....

peope: he admits to turning bill in.

I for one will act upon that and never
give this vile-bilefull maggot a note
of concern or notoriety which he seeks
from us.

Enough is enough. Support Bill by
not supporting the maggot of vile-bile
anymore....

you don't need to answer this
maggot. he needs us more than
we need to answer him. without
us he would not exist.

Well, he don't exist in my files any
more and KILL FILE next stop.

come on NGers, have the balls to
stand up and be counted where it
counts the most. show support
for the MAN he caused this debacle
over and get rid of the urge to
keep him alive.

now, back to BILL.

bill conduit
etc.

2895. Re: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oppegaard <eoppegaard@cshore.com>
Message-ID: <374C4608.6FD51C16@cshore.com>
Source record: 117528
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: eoppegaard.vcf

Would these type antennas work ok in an attic?

Eric Oppegaard wrote:

> I am with the Volunteer Fire department in my area. I am looking for a
> cheap, maybe even homemade, antenna to work on low band (46.06mhz). I
> would also like to be able to hear high band frequences (458.385mhz).
> The antenna would have to work in my attic. Also, what kind of pre-amp
> would you reccomend?
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> Eric Oppegaard
> Explorer Communications, NMVFD

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2896. Re: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Oppegaard <eoppegaard@cshore.com>
Message-ID: <374C460E.C83BE40@cshore.com>
Source record: 117529
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: eoppegaard.vcf

Would these type antennas work ok in an attic?

Eric Oppegaard wrote:

> I am with the Volunteer Fire department in my area. I am looking for a
> cheap, maybe even homemade, antenna to work on low band (46.06mhz). I
> would also like to be able to hear high band frequences (458.385mhz).
> The antenna would have to work in my attic. Also, what kind of pre-amp
> would you reccomend?
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> Eric Oppegaard
> Explorer Communications, NMVFD

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2897. Re: looking for good FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7ihgjm$7u0$1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 117530

Try http://www.wildstar.net/~plaws/scan/

it has some links...mostly current stuff. Otherwise, lurk around the newsgroups. Also, go to www.onelist.com and do a search for "scanning" or "scanners"

Harry, N6URU and there are a couple scanning-related links at my webpage: www.snowcrest.net/marnells

Greg wrote in message <927743380.681.40@news.remarQ.com>...
where can I get the lowdown on scanning.... what's in, what's out, good models, etc...

thanks

2898. Re: IC-R2 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sharon & Kevin <sharkev@enter.net>
Message-ID: <374c4a65@news3.enter.net>
Source record: 117531

You're my idol,mostly ilde!!!! Thanks for your insight on the Palomar Amp(a little while ago) I bougt the PA-360 on your recommendation and am pleased with it. Yes it's there at 490 oto 510 if need be.
Lee Rothman <dcisive@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:MPG.11b501de5f269a349897b4@news.earthlink.net...
> Why bother. Just set up a scan range from 490-510 and set it to a step of
> 20k and you will hear all of the cellular you can stand.
>

2899. Kill-File the Maggot of Mackey...the screw who turned Bill In to Feds...KILL-file him.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ihinl$pa6@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117532

it says it all.

I for one will do as said.
this vermin has made mockery
of all that is right in America
and you should file with your
conscience the KILLing off of
any further doings with this
pile of bile-vile who eats with
maggots.

KILL-FILE him NOW. show the
world you don't enjoy his act
of major aggression upon the
person of BIll Cheek in
his arrest.

KILL-FILE the Bile-one.

That's John mackey at whatever
he's about...or just don't
read him.

Don't Read HIM.

a tribute to the memory of
BILL Cheek.

Stand tall and don't answer
this bile-full of any more.

Show courage and have some
guts and quit this idiot from
being important at the expense
of Bill Cheek.

You can do it. I know you can.

Amount to sumething. Kill off
the BILE-ONE....

I am.

bill conduit
etc.

2900. fs sportcat 150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net>
Message-ID: <MfY23.257$E64.518@news.flash.net>
Source record: 117533

real clean 100 ch. hh bearcat 150b...$65.00 plus $5.00 shipping
tnx john

2901. Re: Need Help w/EMI From Uncooperative Neighbor's House

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: hc@clash.at
Message-ID: <374c53ba.21065795@news.netway.at>
Source record: 117534
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 26 May 1999 01:35:05 -0500, "Spunky The Waffle Iron"
<spunky@nospam.org> wrote:

>Plug your neighbor's house into 440volts for a few minutes and see if
>that doesn't take care of your neighbor's friggin baby wipes thingy (and his whole house).
>Seriously, sounds like frigging baby wipes needs to be sued for generated RF trash.

Baby will grow and start walking around,
then this heater will be silent.
W.

2902. Re: Mercy Air /241 91 Freeway Accident

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ihl4v$9si$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117535

Syderco417 wrote in message
<19990526015553.12501.00006041@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
>#1 Dose any one know the freqs that Mercy Air uses ? I was scanning the
ones
>listed in the Police Call book but I had no luck , the freqs where in the
>155mghz band are those it or are did they change ?
>

The FCC search page shows 155.2200 as the frequency, but this is primarily for dispatches. Once the are on scene of an incident they should be on 154.2800 or one of the CLEMARS frequencies.

>#2 Dose any one know of the Freq the Mercy Air would use to Commucation
with
>the Air unit from the Chp ? I tryed 45.300 OC air cordnation freq no luck
also
>try 123.025 and hered nothing abount this icendent. I know for a fact
that
>the two air units did talk to each other to set up a LZ , Al so hered
"Mercy
>Air 4" come up on 2 Echo to talk to the ground units.
Most agencies will use 123.100 or 123.500 for helo-to-helo comm's. They might have also been on 42.34 or 39.18, but I doubt it.

>#3 Ok I know that the OCSD dispatch on 460.425 green but when the corner
in
>calles out what channel do then use or whats there call sign "18XXX" I
hered
>Nothing On etthier OCSD channels for this call.

Things like the Coroner, Animal Control, etc. have their own seperate radio frequencies besides the sheriff's department. I'd check any listings you find in Police Call with a service code of "L" - chances are one of them is for the coroner.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2903. Re: Need Help w/EMI From Uncooperative Neighbor's House

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ihmhtacj1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117536
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hacken Clasher wrote in message <374c53ba.21065795@news.netway.at>...
>On Wed, 26 May 1999 01:35:05 -0500, "Spunky The Waffle Iron"
><spunky@nospam.org> wrote:
>
>>Plug your neighbor's house into 440volts for a few minutes and see if
>>that doesn't take care of your neighbor's friggin baby wipes thingy (and
his whole house).
>>Seriously, sounds like frigging baby wipes needs to be sued for generated
RF trash.
>
>Baby will grow and start walking around,
>then this heater will be silent.
>W.

I have to agree with what a previous poster had written - get your neighbor
a line filter as a gift. Solves the problem without any hassles.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2904. Re: Thinking about a UBC-3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990526171838.02733.00000463@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 117537

If you have to have cellular then the Euro version of the BC3000XLT is probably the best bet for the money, especially if you order from Bandercom, which seems to have the best prices. If cellular coverage isn't a big deal I prefer the Sony SC1 over the 3000XLT. It's more sensitive and has better audio than the Uniden, and it uses 4 AAs rather than that damned nicad pack that now costs $60 to replace.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

2905. Re: John Mackey, Federal Agent impersonator, Toilet Bowl Brush, and informant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7ijd44scg0@207.18.224.82>
Source record: 117138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Thu, 27 May 1999 09:15:16 GMT, jmackey@parg.org wrote:
Lie #1:
I have NEVER said I "have 5 FCC licenses".

John's License Post: 4/22/99

> Thirdly, you claim to have more FCC licenses than you can count one
> one hand. Please list those.

Gladely Blake, they are a matter of public record!I have had my
Amateur
Radio Novice license (1983), my Amateur Radio Technician license
(1984), my Amateur Radio General License (1990), my Amateur Radio
Advanced license (1990), and my Amateur radio Extra class license
(1993).

All these in addition to my General Radiotelephone License.

Lie #2:
If I am obsesed with that person, when why is it that
everyone else is always wanting to talk about him??

When was the last time you saw ME initiate any discussion about him?

tcrpe wrote:

> The only facts one needs to show that you are a loser, obsessed with
Bill
> Cheek, are the hundreds of asinine posts you have made.

John's initiation of a Bill Cheek thread:

Subject: How to contact Bill Cheek??
Date: 1999/04/04
Author: jmackey <jmackey@usa.net>

Does anyone know what jail or prison Bill was taken to upon his
arrest
by the federal investigators so we may send him cards & letters? This
way, he will know we are all thinking of him!

Lie #3:
I do NOT "post OVER 350 offtopic posts a month" as you claim.

Dejanews search from 1/1/99 to present:

Messages 1-100 of about 2200 matches

2200 divided by 6 months = 366 messages per month. Do the math.

Lie #4:
> That's the wrong John Mackey. This one's only claim to fame is being
the top off-topic poster on usenet with thousands of off-topic
messages
across

Oh no, I could NEVER try to hold that title. Bill Cheek won that
title
severaltimes over according to information POSTED by YOU. Remember
the
"reality
check" post where YOUR OWN DATA showed that Cheek posted several
hundred more posts on
usenet than me!?!?!?!?!

Below is an abbreviated qoute:

Date | Mackey | Cheek |

TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 |

Current Totals for Cheek and Mackey 1999:

Mackey: About 2200 listed this year
Cheek: About 1303 listed this year

Estimated on topic content
Cheek: 60%
Mackey: 0.99%

>I NEVER made the statement as you indicate below. Following YOUR LIE
>I posted the REAL statement I made.
>
>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> You stated that you "had more FCC licenses than you could count on one
>> hand." That was a lie.
>
> Date: Mon, 19 Apr 1999
> From: jmackey@usa.net
> Organization: Deja News - The Leader in
>Internet Discussions
> Subject: Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?
>
>Hardly true, I have passed SEVERAL FCC license tests and acquired MORE FCC
>
>licenses that attest to my technical abilities than could be counted on
>one hand!
>
>

>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2906. Re: Scanner/Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will White <wcw@asu.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <374D00B7.9D7FE46C@asu.uswest.net>
Source record: 117139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.scanner

No, not if you mean a scanning *receiver.* You would have to add the entire transmitting section, which would be a project for someone highly skilled in radio design, and hardly worth the effort.

The ConQueror wrote:
>
> Is it possible to modify a scanner to transmit on amateur frequencies?
>
> Thanks
> Dan

--
Will White, KD7BFX

to reply, please click this link mailto:wcw@asu.uswest.net

2907. Re: SCA mod for Pro 60?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990527083710.09470.00003176@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 117140

One of BIll Cheeks books tells how to make an SCA decoder and how to use it in the 2006. It is possible ,if you have access to a 2006 and 60 service manuals, to figure how to hook the SCA to the Pro 60. I may have th einfo here if you cant find it or do not have access. Ira

2908. Is there a downconverter for the 235 TT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <7ijesq$5n5@nntpb.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 117141

Is there a downconverter mod for this one??
thanks

2909. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ijf66tjs1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

But it didn`t keep you from claiming, over and over that i WAS Bill Cheek,
did it Wacky Mackey ?

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:374B5F47.C133800D@usa.net...
> Mike-
>
> I agree with you. I can't think of a single reason anyone would want to
> pretend they are Bill.
>
>
> Mike Schriber wrote:
>
> > What the HELL is wrong with you people?
> >
> > I know Bill Cheek and most of you people most likely do not. Why the
> > hell would anyone want to pretend he's Bill? I think Bill has
>
> SNIP
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

2910. WANTED : mods for a pro 26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jevon Byron <jdsymons@ibl.bm>
Message-ID: <7ijfoh$7ci$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 117143

need mods for a pro 26 can't pick up cell phones.

2911. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@somtel.com>
Message-ID: <7ijh1m$tq4$1@garnet.mint.net>
Source record: 117144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Oh, I don`t want to "educate" John. You cannot educate a Racoon beyond a certain point. No , I will leave that task to those who are willing to take on the labors of Hercules.
But I WILL occasionally set him straight, especially when he is contradiciting himself.
As for Conduit, I am really not all that happy with him, either. I butted heads with him a while back. And I would STILL not advise people to send off their hard - earned money to some "official legal defense fund", Which may or may not be legitimate. Like I said, if they want to help Bill, then they should not wait until Bill goes under.
Don`t get me wrong. I like you Smalley, and I have seen you hit the nail right on the head too many times to count. I see you as a man who adheres to his principles, and does everything he can from one day to the next to see that everything is right. I have yet to see any contradiction in your speaking or your character. If you lived nearby, we would undoubtedly be friends.
But ignoring Mackey is not going to get rid of him. It is not just that Mackey thrives on the attention he gets. He has been obsessed with Cheek for over three years. Mackey enjoys negative attention, and seeks after it. Your assessment would be of a NORMAL person, which he is certainly not. What sane person would carry on a tirade after three years, even after the object of that tirade has been gone from the group for over a year ? Even the mere mention of Bill Cheek sets him off. I have seen him respond to Cheek`s AUTOMATED POSTINGS, for Gods sake !
Mackey, in his delusion, sees himself as some sort of hokey "saviour" of the group, in that he is going to keep us from talking about Bill or TO Bill. In other words, he has set himself up as some sort of "censor" on the group. I really don`t understand why the USENET authorities have chosen to ignore this fact for over three years, while the Looney interferes with both Bill Cheek`s freedom of speech, and everyone else`s.
Have a look at my other posting, where I describe Looney -Tunes "pattern of behaviour". This is typical of the obsessed, and he certainly is that. He repeats this pattern over and over, ad nauseum, like some kind of crazy robot. He cannot and will not be stopped by his fellows on the group, and it is my considered opinion that he has made a "Life`s Work" out of this stupidity. Now there is a lofty goal ! You seriously misjudge his mental state, since you are about as normal as Apple Pie, Phil, and tend to think in those terms. So do most people. But after observing him for over three years, I know what he is about.

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote in message news:7ihur3$d40$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com...
>

> Zombie Wolf wrote in message <7iht61nns1@garnet.mint.net>...
> >Looney - Tud, did you really think we were going to wait until the
> >"official' legal defense fund was established , before we would help a
> >friend ?
>
> ZW: While I agree wholeheartedly about this, Bill Conduit has made an
> excellent suggestion: Twit-filter John and act as though he never existed.
> As long as people like us try in vain to get him to see the err of his
ways,
> he will still be here clogging up the group with his nonsense.
>
> I for one, am sick of trying to educate John. Now I simply ignore him. We
> all should. It will work, if we all do it together....
>
> -Phil
>
> -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
> -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>
>
>
>

2912. Re: Frequency Data base program ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Asmodean <asmo@third.age>
Message-ID: <rsc33.3911$Qg4.267@news3.atl>
Source record: 117145
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yep, I had an Apple IIc with the Works program. It was a decent prog for its time.

--

Asmo
KF4YTR
Charter Member of NO MA'AM

Jerry Jones wrote in message ...
>Anybody remember AppleWorks for the Apple II? I used the heck out of it for
>nearly 10 years, until my lists got too large.
>Luckily, I found a piece of software that converts AppleWorks Database files
>into M$Works format (and transmits them to a PC). Now I'm converting the
>Works files into Excel.
>
>--
>DFW Scanner
>www.flash.net/~jmcraig1
>Pro-2006/Opto
>BC-235 XLT
>BC-3000 XLT
>BC-3000 XLT

2913. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7ijkl6$inb@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117146

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>YOU trying to educate ME!!! That is really FUNNY, YOU couldn't teach me
>anything!!!!

That's called a learning disability. It's especially difficult to teach you not to post off-topic articles to the amateur radio NGs. You're the one who started this thread, and for some odd reason, you included the ham groups. Why is that? Can you give a reasonable explanation?

We can teach gorillas to communicate using sign language, yet as you state above, we can't teach you anything.

Jeff KH6O

--
Air-Raid / Civil Defense Outdoor Warning Siren:
www.cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem=108545464

2914. Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: MOstrowski <M_Ostrowski@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ijle3$69q$1@ink.msen.com>
Source record: 117147
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'd like to hear from anyone who has written digital signal decoding
software using sound cards.

I'm currently searching for information relating to decoding digital signals
(CW, RTTY, AMTOR,
CLOVER, PSK31, etc) using a sound card. I've used several of such software
packages currently
available for download and I like to try my hand a writing something
similar. I have many years
experience professionally programming both DOS and Windows applications
using C/C++/MFC,
but have never had need to interface to a sound card until now. I'd like
this application to be
Windows 95/98 based but I've heard rumors that directly accessing the sound
card interface is
difficult, if not impossible, under that OS.

Any tips you can pass along that can get me started will be greatly
appreciated; also any info
on books/articles/websites/source code/libs you've found helpful.

Michael Ostrowski - KI8IK
Saline, MI

KI8IK@yahoo.com

2915. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <374D5E54.5D88CFE4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 117148
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

MOstrowski wrote:
> Any tips you can pass along that can get me started will be greatly
> appreciated; also any info
> on books/articles/websites/source code/libs you've found helpful.

Peter, of PSK31 fame, told me that the Microsoft mmsystem.dll
handles interfacing to the sound card. I haven't looked for
info on that DLL but I assume it is available from Microsoft.
I'm also interested so please post whatever sound card interfacing
info you gather.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

2916. Re: ASU West PD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Davis Coughanour <sttrooper@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7ijojg$t6d$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 117149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try 156.150 and 155.835

HoochMonger <hooch.monger@usa.net> wrote in message
news:7ifgi9$4m6$1@remarQ.com...
> I am looking for frequencies used by the Arizona State University West
> Police. Can anyone help me? Thanks!
>
>

2917. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <5417119C35ACE747.297F3196266D03AE.8A9B850D2FF2B9FE@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117150
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The problem with programs that use soundcards that decode radio info can't be built around visual basic
as VB is so damned slow.
Thus, soundcard decoder programs require the knowledge of real programmers (C++) which explains why they are so
damned buggy, due to Windows needing thousands of lines of C++ code just to initialise a stupid program (being
sarcastic but you C++ Windows programmers know exactly what I mean).

2918. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: George Privalov <george_privalov@msn.com>
Message-ID: <eBodQzFq#GA.213@cpmsnbbsa05>
Source record: 117151
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote in message
<5417119C35ACE747.297F3196266D03AE.8A9B850D2FF2B9FE@library-proxy.airnews.net>...
:The problem with programs that use soundcards that decode radio info can't be built around visual basic
:as VB is so damned slow.

Actually VB 6 is not that slow. Problem is in lack of support for
Multi-threading and callbacks that are required to fully exploit the
potential of mmsystem.dll API.

:Thus, soundcard decoder programs require the knowledge of real programmers (C++) which explains why they are so
:damned buggy, due to Windows needing thousands of lines of C++ code just to initialise a stupid program (being
:sarcastic but you C++ Windows programmers know exactly what I mean).

Well, It's not that bad. But there is function of complexity of
implementation vs. size of the project. For VB it grows steeper
but VB starts at 0 value. C++ starts at some positive number (since you
will need bunch of to put "Hello World" on the screen") but grows slower
with size of the project. To make story short: smaller projects are easier
to do at VB while large ones on C++.

George

2919. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oris <oris@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <374D73B3.42BD@dirtball.com>
Source record: 117152
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

MOstrowski wrote:
>
> I'd like to hear from anyone who has written digital signal decoding
> software using sound cards.
>
> I'm currently searching for information relating to decoding digital signals
> (CW, RTTY, AMTOR,
> CLOVER, PSK31, etc) using a sound card. I've used several of such software
> packages currently
> available for download and I like to try my hand a writing something
> similar. I have many years
> experience professionally programming both DOS and Windows applications
> using C/C++/MFC,
> but have never had need to interface to a sound card until now. I'd like
> this application to be
> Windows 95/98 based but I've heard rumors that directly accessing the sound
> card interface is
> difficult, if not impossible, under that OS.
>
> Any tips you can pass along that can get me started will be greatly
> appreciated; also any info
> on books/articles/websites/source code/libs you've found helpful.
>
> Michael Ostrowski - KI8IK
> Saline, MI
>
> KI8IK@yahoo.com
I hope you find what your looking for. I would make a sujestion to you.
I would sujest that you try to do this under Linux (i.e. Unix) first. I
think that you would have alot better response with the linux OS versus
Windows. Good luck let me know what you find out.
Thanks Oris
--

http://www.dirtball.com

97.1 Basis and purpose.
(d) Expansion of the existing reservoir within the amateur radio
SERVICE of trained operators, technicians, and electronics experts.

2920. Re: HELP PRO 90 RADIO SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike <mboyes@theramp.net>
Message-ID: <374D7655.41575C90@theramp.net>
Source record: 117153

there is a antenna antenuator switch while it its scanning press the
button labeled(ATT)
it is also the decimal point button. when you see the decimal flashing
on panel antennuator is on
If this doesn't work I think something else is wrong.

--
Best wishes Mike 1549119

2921. FS: BC 230XLT Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: sharvey@thefuture.net
Message-ID: <7ijrjt$2osf@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117154
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a like new Uniden Bearcat 230XLT. Includes scanner, 2 battery packs, wall adapter, charge base for 1 battery and vinyl zippered case. All shipped to you via USPS for $150. Also interested in a trade for a Icom R2. If interested please email me. Scott, KA7FVV

2922. Re: Mackey lies AGAIN! was: Blake lies AGAIN! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@need.help
Message-ID: <375176d7.4740985@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Thu, 27 May 1999 09:15:16 GMT, jmackey@parg.org wrote:

>Blake, you are a liar AGAIN.

And you are a liar STILL. Always have been, always will be. Predictability
is your only strong point, FOOL!!

2923. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ik04o$5ga$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Zombie Wolf wrote in message <7ijh1m$tq4$1@garnet.mint.net>...
>Oh, I don`t want to "educate" John. You cannot educate a Racoon beyond a
>certain point. No , I will leave that task to those who are willing to take
>on the labors of Hercules.

I think even they wouldn't be up to the task.

>But I WILL occasionally set him straight, especially when he is
>contradiciting himself.

Even if that's what he wants you to do?

>Don`t get me wrong. I like you Smalley, and I have seen you hit the nail
>right on the head too many times to count. I see you as a man who adheres
to
>his principles, and does everything he can from one day to the next to see
>that everything is right. I have yet to see any contradiction in your
>speaking or your character. If you lived nearby, we would undoubtedly be
>friends.
>But ignoring Mackey is not going to get rid of him. It is not just that
>Mackey thrives on the attention he gets. He has been obsessed with Cheek
for
>over three years. Mackey enjoys negative attention, and seeks after it.
Your
>assessment would be of a NORMAL person, which he is certainly not. What
sane
>person would carry on a tirade after three years, even after the object of
>that tirade has been gone from the group for over a year ? Even the mere
>mention of Bill Cheek sets him off. I have seen him respond to Cheek`s
>AUTOMATED POSTINGS, for Gods sake !

And I'm not saying he won't! But look at the way things are now. As it
stands now, John comes out saying something so ridiculous you *know* it's a
troll, dozens of people respond out of sheer indignation, and John takes his
time to respond to (and enrage) each and every one. The result is 350+ off
topic messages a month from him alone. I've seen his threads grow to over
100 messages within days!

Now imagine this. John puts out one of his "trolls" and not one soul
responds. John attaches himself to one of Bill Cheek's automated posts, and
no one stops to look. It'll drive him nuts! He's got an obsession allright,
and it is ATTENTION. Look what happened when Bill left, did he stop? Nope.
Now it's the "Cheek-A-Likes" like Blake, you and myself that he's after, at
least to hear him tell it. Bullcrap. He wants the attention, pure and
simple. If it weren't for us, he'd find someone else to justify his jackass

crusade. But if no matter what he did, no one fell for it, well what's left? Without the attention he craves, he WILL seek it elsewhere.

>Mackey, in his delusion, sees himself as some sort of hokey "saviour" of the
>group, in that he is going to keep us from talking about Bill or TO Bill. In
>other words, he has set himself up as some sort of "censor" on the group. I
>really don`t understand why the USENET authorities have chosen to ignore
>this fact for over three years, while the Looney interferes with both Bill
>Cheek`s freedom of speech, and everyone else`s.
>Have a look at my other posting, where I describe Looney -Tunes "pattern of
>behaviour". This is typical of the obsessed, and he certainly is that. He
>repeats this pattern over and over, ad nauseum, like some kind of crazy
>robot. He cannot and will not be stopped by his fellows on the group, and it
>is my considered opinion that he has made a "Life`s Work" out of this
>stupidity. Now there is a lofty goal ! You seriously misjudge his mental
>state, since you are about as normal as Apple Pie, Phil, and tend to think
>in those terms. So do most people. But after observing him for over three
>years, I know what he is about.

I know, but despite the best efforts of myself and others all I've noticed is the more we tried to point out Johns faults, the worse his behaviour became. Let's just try it for a while. I say he quits within 6 months.

That's enough from me about Looney, on with scanning!

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2924. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@need.help
Message-ID: <375779c6.5492143@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Nice try, smoke blower.

On Thu, 27 May 1999 03:15:22 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Then under WHAT id? Or are you LYING AGAIN???
>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> You fucking retard. Not under THIS id. Get a clue.
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

2925. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@need.help
Message-ID: <37557868.5142468@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>FINE!!!
>
>Give it your best. In the mean time myself & countless others will be
>complaining
>to your ISP & newsguy.com!

Go for it, unfortunately, they are already aware of you and will just laugh at your drivel. Nobody else will be complaining. YOU are the instigator, retard.

>(Remember what happened to your buddy Bill after I made his provider aware of
>his actions!!!)

Yea, nothing.

>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>>Either start posting on topic or be prepared to defend yourself with your
>>online provider as the countless complaints will begin.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

2926. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@need.help
Message-ID: <375477c1.4975407@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

The lying jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> How are you "involved" with the Cheek bust? Are you a Federal agent
>
>Those issues are really none your business.

When you clutter up this group with your off-topic delusional fantasies, it IS my business. Seek help, retard.

>> It wouldn't be bad if you posted something on topic once in a blue moon, but
>> you can't even do that.
>>
>> Prove me wrong if you don't think so by showing me a message where you posted
>> on-topic anytime over the last 6 months. Provide a dejanews link (challenge
>> #73 about to be failed by loony tune).
>
>See below for a example of a typical on-topic
>post from me.
>

Once again, you fail. You didn't read clearly. PROVIDE A DEJANEWS LINK. You have now officially failed challenge #73 as predicted. You are nothing more than a FOOL!!!

A Dejanews link is required because you are a habitual liar.

2927. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@need.help
Message-ID: <37537794.4930165@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 27 May 1999 02:49:43 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
>> ..you said it
>> you claimed to be
>> responsible for his arrest
>
>I never said I was "responsible for his arrest".

Backpeddling again. Off-topic again. Lying again. How predictable, retard.

Pretty good. You've done 16 today. That's about normal for you.

2928. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@need.help
Message-ID: <37527750.4861601@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117161
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

That's the first truth I've ever heard you spew. But it's still off-topic per usual.

Nobody could teach a mental delusional anything.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>YOU trying to educate ME!!! That is really FUNNY, YOU couldn't teach me
>anything!!!!
>
>
>Phil Smalley wrote:
>
>> I for one, am sick of trying to educate John. Now I simply
>
>SNIP
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

2929. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@need.help
Message-ID: <375678ee.5275841@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

home.com is about to boot you. Hang on for a ride, mental case.

On Thu, 27 May 1999 03:08:36 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Correct, we have YET to see an on-topic post from you EVER!!!
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> Once again, off topic. What a surprise.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

2930. Re: Any Used BC9000's out there?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: berta1s@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990527140838.02753.00000965@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Source record: 117163

do you still have scanner for sale and where are from

2931. Re: Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: XXnospamXXezra@cscg.com
Message-ID: <IUf33.1217$VE3.3352@cynws01.we.mediaone.net>
Source record: 117164

The scout only goes to 1.4 Ghz I think pcs is higher than that

In article <927221743.166074@axilla.wwnet.net>, "Digitaal_Disrupter"
<Superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:
>We tried it on a PCS phone, and it did not pick it up. Even when the phone
>was placed within 5 inches of the unit.
>
>DD
>
>Shiva Nataraja wrote in message <374398eb.7444271@news>...
>>On Wed, 19 May 1999 20:11:20 -0400, "Digitaal_Disrupter"
>><Superwarbird@juno.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Is this unit cell blocked?
>>
>>Most definitely not. In fact, when used with the DB32 antenna, it will
>>pick up a cellular phone (distance unknown) before it will pick up a
>>fast food drive-thru speaker box 10 feet away.
>>
>
>

2932. Re: SF Bay Area frequency help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ik1pt$h1j@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117165
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In <gallen-2705990111380001@coat39.ppp.lmi.net> gallen@lanminds.com
(Gary Allen) writes:
>
>Richmond will soon go to a 800 MHz trunked radio system, but for the
>moment the PD
>is at 460.30 and 460.40, and fire is on 46.06.
>
>El Cerrito is still at 460.375 for police and 154.385 for fire.

will it be motorolla or GE

when is this to happen?

they have been doing this
"we will" for five years
now...

is it real or is it a quickee?

bill conduit
etc.

2933. Re: John Mackey, Federal Agent impersonator, Toilet Bowl Brush, and informant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ik20n$kta@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

In <7ijd44scg0@207.18.224.82> bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers)
writes:
>

hey bowell bower movement

say sport...

what have we asked all to
refrain from doing...?

give it a rest so BIll
can get a high from
knowing the results.

quit jerking off with
the pestulence...please.

bill conduit
etc.

2934. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ik2bg$mm0@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

In <7ijh1m$tq4$1@garnet.mint.net> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com>
writes:

>As for Conduit, I am really not all that happy with him, either. I
butted
>heads with him a while back. And I would STILL not advise people to
send off
>their hard - earned money to some "official legal defense fund", Which
may
>or may not be legitimate. Like I said, if they want to help Bill, then
they
>should not wait until Bill goes under.

Listen up Butt-futt

It was not I who suggested what
you claim I did propose. No wonder
you butt-futted with me ago. You
cannot read well. I never advised
sending money. I suggested that we
listen to bill by not...not yet. I
would, but he asked me not to. Not
now.

IF it looks like bill will need help,
then it will happen. I am sure Bill
will keep us updated and when that
time is about to come, then we can
help him out.

get your facts right before you'
peep off implying I said when I
never said what you claim I said
when I was not saying it.

bill conduit
with an attitude

>>
>> ZW: While I agree wholeheartedly about this, Bill Conduit has made
an
>> excellent suggestion: Twit-filter John and act as though he never
existed.
>> As long as people like us try in vain to get him to see the err of
his

>ways,
>> he will still be here clogging up the group with his nonsense.
>>
>> I for one, am sick of trying to educate John. Now I simply ignore
him. We
>> all should. It will work, if we all do it together....
>>
>> -Phil
>>
>> -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
>> -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

2935. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ik2eb$mnk@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117168

In <7ijkl6$inb@news.Hawaii.Edu> jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
writes:
>
><jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>>YOU trying to educate ME!!! That is really FUNNY, YOU couldn't teach
me
>>anything!!!!
>
>That's called a learning disability. It's especially difficult to
teach
>you not to post off-topic articles to the amateur radio NGs. You're
the
>one who started this thread, and for some odd reason, you included the
ham
>groups. Why is that? Can you give a reasonable explanation?
>
>We can teach gorillas to communicate using sign language, yet as you
>state above, we can't teach you anything.
>
>Jeff KH6O
>

hey JEFF

cancel the posts to it.

help us help bill by
not helping his "snitch"
who turned him in.

quiet the urge to help
IT.

bill conduit
etc.

2936. Re: Mackey lies AGAIN! was: Blake lies AGAIN! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ik2hc$c9g@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

In <375176d7.4740985@enews.newsguy.com> you@need.help (Macaroon)
writes:
>
>On Thu, 27 May 1999 09:15:16 GMT, jmackey@parg.org wrote:
>
>>Blake, you are a liar AGAIN.
>
>And you are a liar STILL. Always have been, always will be.
Predictability
>is your only strong point, FOOL!!
>
>
Hey YOU....

say, it would be very
nice if you would get
on with the rest of us who
have an answer to this situation.

quit answering the idiot.

bill conduit
etc.

2937. Re: Mackey lies AGAIN! was: Blake lies AGAIN! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ik3i3$76m$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote in message <7ik2hc$c9g@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>...
> Hey YOU....
>
> say, it would be very
> nice if you would get
> on with the rest of us who
> have an answer to this situation.
>
> quit answering the idiot.
>

Has anyone happened to notice the little "inconsistancies" that Blake posted about John recently? John asked for proof that he was a liar, and got it in spades. I think John won't be back for a while - too busy wiping the egg off of his face. Worth a read if you haven't already.

If nothing more, it proves that John is here strictly for the attention, and Mr. Conduit is right on the money in how to deal with him.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2938. AR8200 and cell comms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: spartan261@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7ik6nu$5or$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117171

Not that I would want to because it is illegal, but is there any way to monitor cellular images on the AOR AR8200?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

2939. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: gniephaus@cityweb.de
Message-ID: <374d9258.11596094@news.cityweb.de>
Source record: 117172
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 27 May 1999 10:38:55 -0400, "MOstrowski"
<M_Ostrowski@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I'd like to hear from anyone who has written digital signal decoding
>software using sound cards.
>
>I'm currently searching for information relating to decoding digital signals
>(CW, RTTY, AMTOR,
>CLOVER, PSK31, etc) using a sound card. I've used several of such software
>packages currently
>available for download and I like to try my hand a writing something
>similar. I have many years
>experience professionally programming both DOS and Windows applications
>using C/C++/MFC,
>but have never had need to interface to a sound card until now. I'd like
>this application to be
>Windows 95/98 based but I've heard rumors that directly accessing the sound
>card interface is
>difficult, if not impossible, under that OS.
>
>Any tips you can pass along that can get me started will be greatly
>appreciated; also any info
>on books/articles/websites/source code/libs you've found helpful.
>
>Michael Ostrowski - KI8IK
>Saline, MI
>
>KI8IK@yahoo.com
>
>

Michael,
you can find at least one very usefull source code example
demonstrating sound card programming under MS Windows on creative labs
(soundblaster) ftp server:
ftp://ftp.creaf.com/pub/creative/devinfo
The file I used is
fullduplex.exe
I used this example as a starting point for my DSP programming under
Windows NT using Visual C++ 5.0.

Good luck!

Gerd Niephaus

2940. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@parg.org
Message-ID: <374D9870.6AB6659B@parg.org>
Source record: 117173

You better follow the threads back again. You will find that I DID NOT start the inclusion of the ham newsgroups on this thread.

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> It's especially difficult to teach
> not to post off-topic articles to the amateur radio NGs. You're the one
> who started this thread, and for some odd reason, you included the ham
> groups. Why is that? Can you give a reasonable explanation?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2941. Re: Railroad train end car transmitters...freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shaun Whitman <lstrain@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#UdKqUHq#GA.298@cpmsnbbsa03>
Source record: 117174

All North American railroads who use radio end of train devices use 457.9375 with the exception of Norfolk Southern. They use 161.115 for theirs. Remember, you won't hear much on these frequencies. If an active one is in the range of a couple of miles, you'll hear a beep every once in a while but that is it.

Shaun Whitman
shaun@railfan.net
Central Jersey Rails
http://JerseyRails.Railfan.net

Toscano wrote in message <7iihk0$755@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>...
>I saw these posted maybe a year and a half ago...
>....anyone have the freqs?

2942. Re: WANTED : mods for a pro 26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <374D9F5A.E497003D@gnc.net>
Source record: 117175

Of course you know this would be illegal, but...
if it weren't, follow this link:

http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/handheld.html#pro26syn

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Jevon Byron wrote:

> need mods for a pro 26 can't pick up cell phones.

2943. Re: Scanner freqs for Rocky Mountian NT Park needed.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Hawkins <hawk111@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <374D98A3.D1BC2BDC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 117176

Try this site. http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/9952/colo.htm

Robert Fay wrote:

> Can someone provide me with the frequencies that the NPS uses and any other
> interesting frequencies in the Estes Park/ RMNP area.
> Thanks
> Bob Fay

2944. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <FE58B00E94F416C3.586E3E7201AB02AB.905DE9231C67A86C@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> ONE CLASS of HAM LICENSE is enough!

Heck no it isn't.
I'm for completely dumping code but there should be at least
a division between VHF and HF classes.
BTW, did you know that if you don't know what you are doing with
ham transmitters, you can cause damage to your body?
There is a reason for the licenses, so you don't fry yourself, give yourself
brain tumors, etc.

2945. Baldwin Park, CA and El Monte , CA PD Freqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: G Marumoto <conduit@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7ik6p0$6io$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117178

Can anyone out there give me the freqs and the PL's to Baldwin Park, CA and El Monte , CA PDs. In the Polce Call guide they are listed as 506.175, 506.275 no PL and 470.125,470.9625 PL 127.3. I can receive Baldwin Park fine but the PL is incorrect. Also I can RX El Monte Ch 1 fine but Ch 2 seems to be a "birdee" and the the PL for both channels is incorrect. Any help would be appreciated

Thanks

Glenn

2946. any 8200 Guru's out there?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sputnik <sputnik@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <Dgi33.13$hq3.1295@news3.voicenet.com>
Source record: 117179

looking for some info on the 8200 data-jack can anyone help?

please email me at: sputnik@voicenet.com

2947. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7ikbn5$4tg@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117180

In article <374D9870.6AB6659B@parg.org>, <jmackey@parg.org> wrote:
>You better follow the threads back again. You will find that I DID NOT
>start the inclusion of the ham newsgroups on this thread.

Yes you did. When the above subject line appeared in the rec.radio.amateur NGs, it was your post and there was no "re:" preceding the title. With no "re:" it's indicative that that's the root article of a thread. I publicly asked you then why you felt it was necessary to bring the thread into into r.r.a. and the fire service groups; I received no reply, but I did notice that the fire service NG was dropped in your next follow-up.

The first posting of all variations of the above subject line were posted by you in r.r.a. I *know* they were the first postings because again, with no "re:" preceding the title, that's the indication that the poster (you) have posted the root article of a thread in that NG. (Newsgroup 101.)

So again I ask, why do you feel it necessary to always bring these threads into the r.r.a. NGs? Can you provide a sensible answer?

We can teach gorillas sign language, but we can't teach you not to cross-post to the r.r.a. groups. Why is that?

Jeff KH6O, and your friendly rec.radio.amateur working-group, helping the keep the r.r.a. NGs useful, informative, and enjoyable.
--
Air-Raid / Civil Defense Outdoor Warning Siren:
www.cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem=108545464

2948. Re: CART Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ikcqg$9va$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117181

Dimac Direct wrote in message <7ihcaj$hf9$1@newsin-1.starnet.net>...
>Does anyone know the frequencies used by the CART drivers during races?

Search engines are wonderful things. By typing your exact question into
Altavista I got these pages back:

http://www.inct.net/~sperkins/scanner.html
http://racelink.com/raceday/scanner/1999CHAMPCAR.HTM
http://www.gate.net/~buchler/cart_freq.htm
http://www.seventhgear.com/frequencies.htm

Damn, ain't technology cool?

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

2949. BC-855 XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Us <cyfolks@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <374DB5D0.5DCD5D76@earthlink.net>
Source record: 117182

Recently a friend gave me a BC-855 XLT. Being new at this could
someone please tell me how to delete frequiencies that are added
in error? I've tried the Uniden site and there is no online
manual available. Come on guys, help a girl out, please???
Pam

2950. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Siegfried Rambaum <siram@adore.lightlink.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.3.95.990527173538.17831B-100000@adore.lightlink.com>
Source record: 117183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 27 May 1999, Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:

> ONE CLASS of HAM LICENSE is enough!

Huh ? Bacon comes in several classes, USDA A, USDA AA, etc.
So why not ham, too ?

2951. Coax question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "feilerm@iea.com" <feilerm@iea.com>
Message-ID: <374DC1D9.D4C98038@iea.com>
Source record: 117184
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I received a good quantity of coax (over 300 feet) marked Comm\Scope network cable 5901 RG11\U type E82833 14AWG.
Is this stuff any good for use as a scanner feed line? The price was right (free, leftover from the guy who wired our hospitals monitoring system). I have heard that impedance mismatch or poor shielding can cause significant signal loss,etc... Shoud I use it or get invest in something else?
Thanks in advance everyone.

2952. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374DC12E.2BBA@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

```
Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> :Lethal Lextor wrote:
> :>
> :> On Sun, 23 May 1999 13:36:25 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
> :>
> :> >Barry O'Grady wrote in message <3747ea47.11930779@genenews.acay.com.au>...
> :> >>RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> :> <<plonk>>
> :> >He points out - correctly - that it is illegal (in the USA) "to modify your
> :> >scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may no longer
> :> >lawfully modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular frequencies.
> :> >Practically unenforceable, but it is the law.
> :>
> :> My bc895xlt gets cellular, 854.300. Is it illegal?
> :>
> :> >
> :Why would that frequency be illegal? The base side of a cell phone
> :frequency, in the States is between 869.0000 to 8944.0000 Megacycles.
>
> 8 gigs!
>
> :Now, the interesting thing is that alot of the older TV sets could tune
> :past channel 69, well guess what folks.
>
> Do you mean a lot or not a lot? That's the problem with made up words.
>
> :That means that those TV sets
> :couls tune in these calls even though the sets were designed to recieve
> :the audio frequency of the TV station even though the frequency of a TV
> :station signal is much wider
>
> Under the draconian new regs there a TV is exempt since it does not
> scan two or more channels.
>
> We have no laws against scanners with full cellular coverage.
>
> --
> :REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> :ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
>
```

> Barry
> ==========
> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
Well you see; some people consider it WIRE TAPPING!!!!
That is so stupid, becasue how can that be. Polititcains are so easily
folled when BIG CORPORATE money is involved
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2953. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374DC20F.63D8@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.hi.are.you.cute,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>
> Barry O'Grady wrote:
> >
> > OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >
> > :Lethal Lextor wrote:
> > :>
> > :> On Sun, 23 May 1999 13:36:25 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
> > :>
> > :> >Barry O'Grady wrote in message <3747ea47.11930779@genenews.acay.com.au>...
> > :> >>RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> > :> <<plonk>>
> > :> >He points out - correctly - that it is illegal (in the USA) "to modify your
> > :> >scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may no longer
> > :> >lawfully modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular frequencies.
> > :> >Practically unenforceable, but it is the law.
> > :>
> > :> My bc895xlt gets cellular, 854.300. Is it illegal?
> > :>
> > :> >
> > :Why would that frequency be illegal? The base side of a cell phone
> > :frequency, in the States is between 869.0000 to 8944.0000 Megacycles.
> >
> > 8 gigs!
> >
> > :Now, the interesting thing is that alot of the older TV sets could tune
> > :past channel 69, well guess what folks.
> >
> > Do you mean a lot or not a lot? That's the problem with made up words.
> >
> > :That means that those TV sets
> > :couls tune in these calls even though the sets were designed to recieve
> > :the audio frequency of the TV station even though the frequency of a TV
> > :station signal is much wider
> >
> > Under the draconian new regs there a TV is exempt since it does not
> > scan two or more channels.
> >
> > We have no laws against scanners with full cellular coverage.
> >
> > --
> > :REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;

> > :ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> >
> > Barry
> > ==========
> > Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> > Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
> Well you see; some people consider it WIRE TAPPING!!!!
> That is so stupid, becasue how can that be. Polititcains are so easily
> folled when BIG CORPORATE money is involved
> --
> REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
Of course the real brilliant thing is that, from what I have read, in
magazines and newsgroups, when a CELL PHONE can be converted to
SCAN CELL PHONE FREQUENCIES. Nice to know that you can use their own
equipment against them. He he
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2954. Re: And speaking of emergencies... (was Re: Something to think about...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374DC30F.5256@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117187
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

zeno@magicnet.net wrote:
>
> In article <374caa9f.1093855@genenews.acay.com.au>, barryo@fastlink.com.au
> wrote:
>
> > zeno@magicnet.net wrote:
> >
> > :In article <3749F8A2.5F08@ameritech.net>,
> theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net wrote:
> > :
> > :
> > :> Who said that the internet is not educational. I did not know that you
> > :> could bill your cell phone calls to your charge card. Yeah but you
> > :> probably need a pin number as in cash advanve.
> > :
> > :No PIN needed, just a credit card. If it is analog phone, be aware that
> > :people can hear your credit card number though. (Some people have old
> > :scanners that can pick up cell calls...they are not modified in any way.
> > :Then of course there are the modifed ones also...)
> >
> > Some people can legally buy brand new scanners with full cell coverage.
>
> True like those in Canada and Ausralia. Pitty the analog system will
> shortly be turned off in the land of OZ. I was referring to the land of
> the free where one can not listen to part of the open air radio spectrum.
That is becasue some lane brain politicain was convinced bby BIG
CORPORATE MONEY that listening to cell phones is WIRE TAPPING. Well
then were are all those wires dragging out of the cars
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2955. Re: 29.4 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374DC387.645@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117188
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner

Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
> shack23@aol.com (Shack23) wrote:
>
> :>
> :>
> :>> Today at 'high noon', I reached 513.769 miles from a #3 tin can
> :>>to another #6 tin can (with a small hole in one end). The #3 was at
> :>>Pike's Peak, the #6 at the Mojave Test Range, direct line over the
> :>>earth. Cheddar was right!
> :
> :LOL LOL LOL LOL...That was a good one...I attained a distance of 1234.5
> :miles by attaching a hemp rope between two gallon paint cans, and
> :streching it VERY tight...Who needs TALKABOUTS? Also, no need to skylift,
> :or DIRT BIKE up an ESCARPMENT to do it! ... Joey
>
> You must have had line of sight to do that since anything inbetween
> would stop the rope vibrations.
>
> Has anyone done range checks using dishes to concentrate voice directly?
>
> Barry
> ==========
> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
Well that is all well and good but did you try Moonbounce with that?
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2956. Re: And speaking of emergencies... (was Re: Something to think about...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374DC400.26E3@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117189
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
> zeno@magicnet.net wrote:
>
> :In article <3749F8A2.5F08@ameritech.net>, theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net wrote:
> :
> :
> :> Who said that the internet is not educational. I did not know that you
> :> could bill your cell phone calls to your charge card. Yeah but you
> :> probably need a pin number as in cash advanve.
> :
> :No PIN needed, just a credit card. If it is analog phone, be aware that
> :people can hear your credit card number though. (Some people have old
> :scanners that can pick up cell calls...they are not modified in any way.
> :Then of course there are the modifed ones also...)
>
> Some people can legally buy brand new scanners with full cell coverage.
>
> Barry
> ==========
> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
Yeah, those people work for the goverment. You mean that we needed a
court order to listen to those cell calls. I did not know your honor.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2957. F.S.uniden bc235xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <7ikgha$19h$1@hirame.wwa.com>
Source record: 117190

```
handheld scanner trunk tracker 300 channel 2 rechargable batteries,
box manual.....$135.00 shipped
thanks jack
```

2958. Re: Railroad train end car transmitters...freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: clayfyre61@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990527182134.13044.00005343@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 117191

I do happen to find these freqs useful in determining if a train is nearby.

2959. torn between scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "t.slothrop" <der_springer@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7ikkhpfrs1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117192

open for discussion:
i own a pro-46,60 & 2022.

46- 100 channels -no cell- great images
60- 200 channels -wide coverage -200 ch.- triple conversion
2022- 200 channels- full cell

the pro-46 is by far the most sensitive especially in the 150-170 range
(i would like to hear other opinions of price vs. sensetivity etc.)

i have become infatuated with the icom r-2 by reading postings and
surfing, particularly at the strong signals site.

what scanner/scanners should i sell to buy r-2, or is what i have good
enough?

please feel free to post an opinion on any of the above mentioned
scanners or the issueof pice vs. sensetivity

thanks,
der springer

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

2960. Re: Coax question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <374DCCC6.62A5159F@gnc.net>
Source record: 117193
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Too bad you're not my neighbor so I could convince you it is
not worth keeping! ;-) Check out a report on Rich's website:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/co-ax.htm

I'm using 50' of RG-6/U connected to a discone antenna. If I had
better cable, I'd use it, though I have no complaints about the
reception with what I've got.

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

"feilerm@iea.com" wrote:

> I received a good quantity of coax (over 300 feet) marked Comm\Scope
> network cable 5901 RG11\U type E82833 14AWG.
> Is this stuff any good for use as a scanner feed line? The price was
> right (free, leftover from the guy who wired our hospitals monitoring
> system). I have heard that impedance mismatch or poor shielding can
> cause significant signal loss,etc... Shoud I use it or get invest in
> something else?
> Thanks in advance everyone.

2961. Re: Coax question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <374DCE15.FF24F6C9@gnc.net>
Source record: 117194
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Too bad you're not my neighbor so I could convince you it is
not worth keeping! ;-) Check out a report on Rich's website:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/co-ax.htm

I'm using 50' of RG-6/U connected to a discone antenna. If I had
better cable, I'd use it, though I have no complaints about the
reception with what I've got.

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

"feilerm@iea.com" wrote:

> I received a good quantity of coax (over 300 feet) marked Comm\Scope
> network cable 5901 RG11\U type E82833 14AWG.
> Is this stuff any good for use as a scanner feed line? The price was
> right (free, leftover from the guy who wired our hospitals monitoring
> system). I have heard that impedance mismatch or poor shielding can
> cause significant signal loss,etc... Shoud I use it or get invest in
> something else?
> Thanks in advance everyone.

2962. Re: BC-855 XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dshatzer1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990527190449.24162.00007563@ng33.aol.com>
Source record: 117195

Try adding A good freq. in it's place. I dont actually think you can get all 0's in a channel. When I had an 855 I put 111.0 in place of an unwanted freq.

Sorry I cant be more help.

2963. Natural phenomena

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lord Vader <vader55@banet.net>
Message-ID: <374DE0DA.AAEFE490@banet.net>
Source record: 117196
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Whenever lightning strikes near my house, the television displays a little static. This got me to thinking, is there any specific freq.'s that lightning can be heard on a scanner. Is there any other natural phenomena that can be heard on a regular scanner, or do you need on of those exotic thousand dollar setups? Thanks in advance.

The Dark Lord of the Sith

2964. Re: ...the screw who turned Bill In to Feds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7iklhg$795$0@207.18.224.78>
Source record: 117197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Thu, 27 May 1999 11:32:42 GMT, J. MacKenzie
<jmackie1860@my-deja.com> wrote:

>In article <7ihinl$pa6@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>,
> myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>>
>>
>> it says it all.
>>
>>
>
> Wasn't the case against Bill based on public information on the
>internet?
>
But John was the one that brought it to the feds attention!

2965. Re: Any Used BC9000's out there?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <374E054F.6AD0@sgi.net>
Source record: 117198

++++ Sell it COD (cash on delivery) to be safe.

radioscanner@mail.dotcom.fr wrote:

> Why not , i plan to purchase a AR8200 , then i compare if i sell the
> UBC9000 or not
>
> in first i try to sell it in my city or in france. And then maybe on
> the net.
>
> If i decide to sell it on the net i post an announce here
> (if anyone can say me what to do to sell via the net : how to protect
> me from bad buyers , take but dont pay)
> sorry but i dont know how to say that in english
>
> bye

2966. Re: QuickCall decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Montalbano <jrmont1@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ikprt$r46$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117199

Check back issues of N&V magazine and Weeder Technologies. I will be building one in the next few weeks so that I can enable audio when I call in on 2-meters and enter a few tones. That way the scanner can monitor the local repeater all day, but only output audio when I want to call my son on the radio.

Check back in a few weeks if you don't find one and I will share with you plans for what I come up with. What do you need to control.

Michael wrote in message <374B5909.802B9681@sprynet.com>...
>Does anyone have an online address where I can download a program that
>will decode 2 tone sequential tones from my scanners earphone jack? Any
>help would be appriciated.
>Paracop@sprynet.com...Mike
>

2967. Programit V6.0.02 posted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Montalbano <jrmont1@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ikq8hsjr1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117200

Version 6.0.02 of PROgramit has been posted at http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj

PROgramit is a HW/SW modification to enable computer control of any scanner
for about $20.00

John M
KA2PYJ

2968. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark J. Fine" <fineware@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7iksor$o5o$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 117201
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

George hit the nail on the proverbial head. The problem with signal processing in real time is that you need a real-time processor. Unless your eating all of the processing time this can't be done reliably in Win9x.

The second problem is that Win9x does not let you talk to the card at the hardware level, as well as to the DMA. You would need to do that to effectively perform near real-time capture and hold processes of any sound frames you're trying to deal with. Best bet there is to write a 16-bit dll, which will allow you to do these things.

73,

[* Mark J. Fine * FineWare * 11252 Cardinal Drive *]
[* Remington, Virginia 22734-2032 * USA * 3834N 07751W *]
[* fineware@erols.com * http://www.erols.com/fineware/ *]
[* Smart R8 Control * SWBC Schedule Subscription Service *]
[* Smart Lowe Ctrl * Smart Kenwood Ctrl * Smart NRD Ctrl *]
[*SWBC Interval Signals * Smart Audio Control * TTY Reader*]

George Privalov <george_privalov@msn.com> wrote in message
news:eBodQzFq#GA.213@cpmsnbbsa05...
>
> Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote in message
> <5417119C35ACE747.297F3196266D03AE.8A9B850D2FF2B9FE@library-proxy.airnews.ne
> t>...
> :The problem with programs that use soundcards that decode radio info can't
> be built around visual basic
> :as VB is so damned slow.
>
> Actually VB 6 is not that slow. Problem is in lack of support for
> Multi-threading and callbacks that are required to fully exploit the
> potential of mmsystem.dll API.
>
>
> :Thus, soundcard decoder programs require the knowledge of real programmers
> (C++) which explains why they are so
> :damned buggy, due to Windows needing thousands of lines of C++ code just to
> initialise a stupid program (being
> :sarcastic but you C++ Windows programmers know exactly what I mean).
>
> Well, It's not that bad. But there is function of complexity of
> implementation vs. size of the project. For VB it grows steeper
> but VB starts at 0 value. C++ starts at some positive number (since you
> will need bunch of to put "Hello World" on the screen") but grows slower

> with size of the project. To make story short: smaller projects are easier
> to do at VB while large ones on C++.
>
> George
>
>
>
>

2969. Re: HELP PRO 90 RADIO SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <KHm33.26957$pj7.168647@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117202

There isn't an attenuation feature on the Pro-90. It sounds like your radio is broken or defective. It is possible that interference from a very strong local radio signal is causing the problem. This isn't very likely but it is worth investigating. You might remove the back of the radio and look to see if the BNC antenna connector is soldered to the circuit board.

mike <mboyes@theramp.net> wrote in message
news:374D7655.41575C90@theramp.net...
> there is a antenna antenuator switch while it its scanning press the
> button labeled(ATT)
> it is also the decimal point button. when you see the decimal flashing
> on panel antennuator is on
> If this doesn't work I think something else is wrong.
>
> --
> Best wishes Mike 1549119

2970. Scan*Star/PRO2042 Cell range restore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Duffield <duffield@jomax.net>
Message-ID: <7ikt4o$8jn$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 117203

I have a PRO 2042 with OS535 board installed and Scan Star program. I am trying to enter the 20 digit code to restore the cell ranges.

Where in the program is this done?

duffield@jomax.net

2971. FS: RS Pro-60 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rompiel Flenchienne <opsnol@freetum.net>
Message-ID: <7ijsq5sm1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117204

This model covers from 30 Mhz to 512 Mhz, 760 Mhz to 824 Mhz, 849 Mhz to 869 Mhz and 894 Mhz to 1000 Mhz. It has AM, NFM, and WFM modes so you can receive the FM broadcast band and sound for TV channels 2 thru 13. Not a scratch or blemish on it and it works perfectly. Comes with manual, stock rubber ducky and AC to DC power supply. $150 + $5 shipping. USPS money order please.
Contact : BHL
philoxeny@hotmail.com

2972. Re: Railroad train end car transmitters...freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-2705992147540001@chi-pm4-1a-114.theramp.net>
Source record: 117205

In article <7iihk0$755@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>, Toscano
<toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> I saw these posted maybe a year and a half ago...
> ....anyone have the freqs?

Most railroads use 457.9375. Some trains use two-way EOT's to activate
brakes from the rear end, and the locomotive transmits commands on
452.9375. Norfolk Southern uses 161.115 and regular everyday DTMF. (UHF
EOT's use a different data protocol.)

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**********g3mtnw**********

2973. Re: Emergency? How about 121.5 MHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374E0735.2491@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117206
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner

Al Gerharter wrote:
>
> Good question...
>
> > >
> > >Do we have any pilots who can comment on whether this is practical/legal/etc?
> >
> > Practical? Yes. Legal......not sure.
> >
>
> Practical YES! Legal, under the circumstances, yes. Many of the posters have been
> correct. This frequency is set aside for aircraft emergency communication.
> Many aircraft monitor this freq all the time. Airlines, military, commercial
> with several radios, all monitor when they can. Satellites monitor this as well,
> (and 8364 Khz, LF emerg. and 243.0 Mhz Military UHF emerg.) and are capable of
> roughly locating the signal. They in turn contact ARTCC (Air Route Traffic Control
> Center) to start asking about missing aircraft. The ARTCC will then contact the
> sheriff, if necessary, to go check the airport.(Most transmissions are inadvertent,
> caused by hard landings or equipt failure)
> Legal ? Under the FAR(Federal Aviation Regulations) any person may deviate from
> any regulation to the extent necessary to meet that emergency. If you have a
> problem, use it. Mountain climbing clubs have for several years carried
> ELT's(Emergency Locator Transmitters) on their climbs. 3-4 years ago this saved a
> group on the side on Mt. Hood, in Oregon. Even if they take action against you, they
> have to come get you to do it.
> I have personally monitored 121.5, detected an ELT, Broadcast on 121.5, received
> an answer from the pilot of a downed FS helicopter(fire fighting), and relayed his
> condition(well), and his position to ARTCC & USFS. No one was hurt, and they were
> back in camp for a late dinner.
>
> Al Gerharter
> CFIAMI
Isn't there also something called an E.P.I.R.B.? What frequency does
this operate on? Also what is the marine H.F. emergency frequency?
Is there a H.F. Aeronautical frequency?
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2974. Re: Scanner/Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hank <hank@ezonline.com>
Message-ID: <7il0nt$gh5$1@news3.microserve.net>
Source record: 117207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The ConQueror wrote in message <37499f78@news.internext.fr>...
>Is it possible to modify a scanner to transmit on amateur frequencies?
>
>Thanks
>Dan

Uh...not to quibble but, "scanners" are...uh...scanning RECIEVERS. I suppose it would be sorta feasable to boost the local oscilator power up somewhat, and sorta use it as a transmitter that way, but I don't know why you'd wanna do that.

Don't wanna sound snippy, but I think I misunderstood your question.

Thanks, people.

CIAO!

2975. Re: Railroad train end car transmitters...freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <374E0E55.3D26@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Rich Carlson wrote:
>
> In article <7iihk0$755@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>, Toscano
> <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> > I saw these posted maybe a year and a half ago...
> > ....anyone have the freqs?
>
> Most railroads use 457.9375. Some trains use two-way EOT's to activate
> brakes from the rear end, and the locomotive transmits commands on
> 452.9375. Norfolk Southern uses 161.115 and regular everyday DTMF. (UHF
> EOT's use a different data protocol.)
>
> --
> **
> * Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
> * Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
> **************************g3mtnw*********************************
Let's not forget those Hot Boxe Detectors
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

2976. Quick Call Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael <paracop@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <374E03BB.F40579DB@sprynet.com>
Source record: 117209

Help, I need a quick call decoder that will decode Motorola pager tones (the old voice type). I have a radio that I can get programmed to alert me when a certain fire/ems tone is paged. I cannot find this tone from the radio shop or the services so I need to get it.

Thanks,

ps: I cannot figure out how to make WinTone decode the tones.

2977. Bay County Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael <paracop@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <374E0442.EBA53940@sprynet.com>
Source record: 117210

Does anyone have a list of frequencies for Bay County Florida?

2978. Morse code over a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron & Sue Rosenbaum <Rosenbam@surfshop.net>
Message-ID: <374E129F.1D7770EF@surfshop.net>
Source record: 117211
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I live in Cambria County in Pennsylvania and have noticed morse code being sent over the ploice frequencies at night. I'm not sure if this happens over the daytime, as I'm not home. It will be sent over one frequency then over the other a few seconds later. It's clear as a bell so I'm assuming it's coming from the 911 center.Does anyone know why a 911 center would do this. I appriciate any help.

Nicholas Rosenbaum
FuzzieOne@yahoo.com

2979. Re: Morse code over a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <dSo33.350$yQ.65009@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117212
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The transmitter is probably IDing in morse. They do that.

Ron & Sue Rosenbaum <Rosenbam@surfshop.net> wrote in message
news:374E129F.1D7770EF@surfshop.net...
> I live in Cambria County in Pennsylvania and have noticed morse code
> being sent over the ploice frequencies at night. I'm not sure if this
> happens over the daytime, as I'm not home. It will be sent over one
> frequency then over the other a few seconds later. It's clear as a bell
> so I'm assuming it's coming from the 911 center.Does anyone know why a
> 911 center would do this. I appriciate any help.
>
> Nicholas Rosenbaum
> FuzzieOne@yahoo.com
>
>

2980. European scanner purchase???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: JJ <jjsmith@ipa.net>
Message-ID: <7il64g$1od$1@news.ipa.net>
Source record: 117213

I have a friend who is travelling to France, Germany, Austria, and Switzerland, and I need to know if he can buy a scanner for me while he is there and ship it back without problems from customs or anybody like that. Anyone with information... It would be greatly appreciated!

JJS

2981. Re: Natural phenomena

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Girling <girlingd@pop.sttl.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <374E2F9E.8685D4DB@pop.sttl.uswest.net>
Source record: 117214
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you're well-heeled, you can always buy a "Stormscope" -- a piece of aviation equipment that displays lightning strikes on a radar-like screen (a passive "weather radar" if you like).

Doug Girling

Lord Vader wrote:

> Whenever lightning strikes near my house, the television displays a
> little static. This got me to thinking, is there any specific freq.'s
> that lightning can be heard on a scanner. Is there any other natural
> phenomena that can be heard on a regular scanner, or do you need on of
> those exotic thousand dollar setups? Thanks in advance.
>
> The Dark Lord of the Sith

--
"N-gauge!" -- Capt. Jean-Luc Piccard

2982. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ii69e$505@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

In <7iht61nns1@garnet.mint.net> "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com>
writes:
>

>CERTAIN. Bill has learned the truth of the old saying, that you find
out
>who your real friends are when you are down and out.
>And here is what I have to say on the matter. Bill needs your help,
and he
>needs it NOW, not 6 months or a year down the road, when some
"official
>defense fund" is set up. You want to help Bill ? Then send him the
help. You
>a friend of Bill`s ? Then do what you would want Bill to do if it was
YOU
>that was down and out.

bill asked me not to send anything
now...it may not come to court.
The feds are to come out to calif
and question him...if he has to go
back to NYC or WAshington, then
yes, we should send him what
we can...to get him and his
wife there: first class.

it's what happens after the meeting
with the feds that will determine
if they figure it out and realize
they don't have much of a case....

if so, then at least we know
the part Mackeral of Swine=Brine
had in this illegal arrest.

bill conduit
etc.

2983. Re: New RadioShack 2m Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <374caaa7.1101669@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 117289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio,phl.scanner

OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

:Dave McCormick wrote:
:>
:> Anyone else see the new RadioShack 2m Amateur radio that is the same body as
:> the Cherokee FR-465? It's on page 23 (bottom right) of the May flier. It's
:> also on the RadioShack web page:
:> http://www.radioshack.com/Products/Flyers99/specials.asp
:> check it out.
:>
:> 200mW with 2AA batteries or 2 Watts with 9VDC external supply.
:>
:> Interesting...you wanna bet the next one will be a 70cm version?
:>
:> New FRS models on page 22.
:>
:> Dave K9DV
:How come the Sshack does not sell 6 meter or 33 centimeter stuff?

Meters are out of date.

:--
:REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
:ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

2984. Re: ILLEGAL use of scanner(s) - It gives a bad name to the hobby!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <374caaaf.1109898@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 117290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,triangle.radio,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

:Lethal Lextor wrote:
:>
:> On Sun, 23 May 1999 13:36:25 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
:>
:> >Barry O'Grady wrote in message <3747ea47.11930779@genenews.acay.com.au>...
:> >>RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
:> <<plonk>>
:> >He points out - correctly - that it is illegal (in the USA) "to modify your
:> >scanner to monitor cell calls". As of 1999, individuals may no longer
:> >lawfully modify their own personal scanners to monitor cellular frequencies.
:> >Practically unenforceable, but it is the law.
:>
:> My bc895xlt gets cellular, 854.300. Is it illegal?
:>
:> >
:Why would that frequency be illegal? The base side of a cell phone
:frequency, in the States is between 869.0000 to 8944.0000 Megacycles.

8 gigs!

:Now, the interesting thing is that alot of the older TV sets could tune
:past channel 69, well guess what folks.

Do you mean a lot or not a lot? That's the problem with made up words.

:That means that those TV sets
:couls tune in these calls even though the sets were designed to recieve
:the audio frequency of the TV station even though the frequency of a TV
:station signal is much wider

Under the draconian new regs there a TV is exempt since it does not
scan two or more channels.

We have no laws against scanners with full cellular coverage.

--
:REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
:ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

2985. Re: Time out for the "Big picture"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB258.65A14F57@usa.net>
Source record: 117291
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This statement makes NO SENSE, especially the "6 or 6" part!!!

Glenn wrote:

>You have posted thousands of messages and only 6 or 6 are about scanning and
>the rest about Cheek.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2986. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB2BA.8E7538FD@usa.net>
Source record: 117292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

YOU trying to educate ME!!! That is really FUNNY, YOU couldn't teach me anything!!!!

Phil Smalley wrote:

> I for one, am sick of trying to educate John. Now I simply

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2987. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB33B.9C280328@usa.net>
Source record: 117293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> I MOST
> CERTAINLY would not send anything to any "fund" set up by YOU, and that is

I never offered or attempted to set up a fund, I only suggested that SOMEONshould do it, but most were not interested in donating any money to assist him!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2988. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB40B.71B00521@usa.net>
Source record: 117294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> ..you said it
> you claimed to be
> responsible for his arrest

I never said I was "responsible for his arrest".

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2989. Re: John Mackey turns in Bill Cheek for selling decoders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB47B.3A43000@usa.net>
Source record: 117295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

George, the FBI has a file on hearly everyone!!! Especially if you have every
filed
for a firearms license or permit, as I have.

George Vetterle wrote:

> Indeed, the FBI *does* have a folder on John

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2990. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB4C9.E1A41BED@usa.net>
Source record: 117296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

No Blake, I never said or admitted that. Please stop lying
& be accurate with your facts.

Blake Bowers wrote:

> But John Mackey has admitted that he turned Bill in!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2991. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB4F2.ACA4E31A@usa.net>
Source record: 117297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

No.

Blake Bowers wrote:

> So you admit it?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2992. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB530.EE5E12DE@usa.net>
Source record: 117298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

There is no investigation!

Zombie Wolf wrote:

> Then why is there an investigation going on in the matter ?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2993. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB572.3519B8FA@usa.net>
Source record: 117299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

FINALLY, someone with SENSE & HONESTY speaks up!!!

Rick Larson wrote:

> Wake up everyone it was Bill Cheeks who got Bill Cheeks arrested for things
> Bill Cheek did himself ....

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2994. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB5E7.9D717E64@usa.net>
Source record: 117300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Bill, WHY would a "source" with knowledge or government activities
e-mail this to YOU???

You are a LIAR!

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> from another source who e-mails me
> it may be soon that the
> government has to drop
> their case against Bill.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2995. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB64C.E9EBA8DB@usa.net>
Source record: 117301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Macaroon wrote:

> How are you "involved" with the Cheek bust? Are you a Federal agent

Those issues are really none your business.

> It wouldn't be bad if you posted something on topic once in a blue moon, but
> you can't even do that.
>
> Prove me wrong if you don't think so by showing me a message where you posted
> on-topic anytime over the last 6 months. Provide a dejanews link (challenge
> #73 about to be failed by loony tune).

I DO post on topic. YOU have NEVER posted on topic on this NG!!!

See below for a example of a typical on-topic
post from me.

****

Subject: Re: Cable Question
Date: 1999/04/01
Author: jmackey <jmackey@usa.net>

Bud (the original) wrote:
Our resident electronics expert, John Mackey, has said in the past

> that after 2-3 years of exposure, the outside covering of coax will

> be too damaged to be useable.

Bud, thanks for the plug & support, but that is not exactly what I
said/Over time of exposure to UV, coax that is NOT UV resistant
(like RG-8, 8214, 9913, RG-58, etc) will become more & more
lossy resulting in permormance decreasing. RG-214 & RG-213 are
UV resistant coax. Se a qoute below from Rich Wells stating that
UV is an issue to be of concern, as I have been said in the past.

From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: Cable Question
Date: Mon, 29 Mar 1999 06:53:02 -0500
SNIP
inside the cable can degrade performance. If all connections are
properly sealed and it can stand up to UV, then you should be all
set for a while. Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2996. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB6A6.98E5F5B6@usa.net>
Source record: 117302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

I never admitted any such thing.

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> mention when he admitted
> to turning bill Cheek to the feds...

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2997. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB7A5.7AA7D0EB@usa.net>
Source record: 117303
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Any good christian does that every day as I do!

George Vetterle wrote:

> It's not too late to beg forgiveness for your multitude of sins.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2998. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB91F.DBFD40E3@usa.net>
Source record: 117304
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

How funny, we have NEVER seen an on-topic post from YOU!!!

Macaroon wrote:

> Either that or post on topic, which we all know will NEVER happen.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

2999. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB957.1F1C41CD@usa.net>
Source record: 117305
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

No, I didn't admit it.

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> especially your turning bill cheek into
> the feds...you admitted it and it was

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3000. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB747.25836FDE@usa.net>
Source record: 117306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

FINE!!!

Give it your best. In the mean time myself & countless others will be complaining
to your ISP & newsguy.com!

(Remember what happened to your buddy Bill after I made his provider aware of his actions!!!)

Macaroon wrote:

>Either start posting on topic or be prepared to defend yourself with your
>online provider as the countless complaints will begin.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3001. Re: John Mackey turns in Bill Cheek for selling decoders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB847.3FC1467B@usa.net>
Source record: 117307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Then how come nothing ever happened other than YOU getting kicked off of
YOUR provider??

I have no doubt that YOU mentioned my name to them & they hung up laughing
at your obvious attempt to cover your tracks & shed blame on someone else!

What was the other name?? GLENN HANSEN I'll bet!!!!

Glenn wrote:

> A few years back a post forged in my name soliciting gay boys caused a very
> sick picture to be mailed to me. I called the FBI and low and behold they
> had a computer crimes division. In the course of my dealings with the FBI
> guess which two names came up in the conversation? John Mackey Jr. was one

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3002. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB879.4837D679@usa.net>
Source record: 117308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Correct, we have YET to see an on-topic post from you EVER!!!

Macaroon wrote:

> Once again, off topic. What a surprise.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3003. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB8EE.885E2BFF@usa.net>
Source record: 117309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

No, I have never lied about my credentials.

"OO" stands for "Official Observer" & is a service recognized by the FCC to
assist them
with thier enforcement matters.

George Vetterle wrote:

> John, are you *sure* that's an "OO" and not a "00" (Double Zero)?
> You've been known to lie about your "credentials" in the past.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3004. Re: Kill-File the Maggot of Mackey...the screw who turned Bill In to Feds...KILL-file him.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB99C.8EBF8794@usa.net>
Source record: 117310

No, I never made any admission as you claim.

Richard Kendrick wrote:

> His open admission to have informed
> on Bill Cheek shows the depth

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3005. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CBA74.213C858E@usa.net>
Source record: 117311
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You claim to be an adult but you need to ask how to wash yourself???

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> what do i wash myself off with of this

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3006. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CBAAC.A362C6C9@usa.net>
Source record: 117312
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Very true, we have NEVER seen an on-topic post from YOU!!

Macaroon wrote:

> Off topic again and again and again and always.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3007. Re: John Mackey turns in Bill Cheek for selling decoders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CB9C9.4E973BA0@usa.net>
Source record: 117313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

I never claimed credit for that!

Bud (the original) wrote:

> I wondered how long it would take John to claim credit for that.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3008. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CBA0F.1FC2FC76@usa.net>
Source record: 117314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Then under WHAT id? Or are you LYING AGAIN???

Macaroon wrote:

> You fucking retard. Not under THIS id. Get a clue.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3009. Re: Kill-File the Maggot of Mackey...the screw who turned Bill In to Feds...KILL-file him.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CBAF0.F12D4D79@usa.net>
Source record: 117315

Did Bill Cheek die?

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> a tribute to the memory of
> BILL Cheek.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3010. Re: Cheek-a-likes STILL causing trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <374CBB33.F057F08B@usa.net>
Source record: 117316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.john.mackey

yea, YOU for qouting an ENTIRE message that has already
been seen & read SEVERAL times!

gho wrote:

> Look who talking about wasting bandwidth.
>
> Mr. WireHead wrote:

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3011. Re: Scanner/Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: lpdavies@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <7iicqi$nu4@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 117317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

: Is it possible to modify my lunchbox to transmit on Commercial

My first Benton Harbor Lunchbox
put out a nice signal on several
bands!

GL
--
Paul W2SYF/4 Ft Lauderdale
"Heisenberg may have slept here... "
Leslie Paul Davies
lpdavies@bc.seflin.org

3012. Re: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <374dba4c.18198146@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117318

On Wed, 26 May 1999 15:05:50 -0400, Eric Oppegaard
<eoppegaard@cshore.com> wrote:

>Would these type antennas work ok in an attic?
>
>Eric Oppegaard wrote:
>
>> I am with the Volunteer Fire department in my area. I am looking for a
>> cheap, maybe even homemade, antenna to work on low band (46.06mhz). I
>> would also like to be able to hear high band frequences (458.385mhz).
>> The antenna would have to work in my attic. Also, what kind of pre-amp
>> would you reccomend?
>>
>> Thanks in advance
>>
>> Eric Oppegaard
>> Explorer Communications, NMVFD

I have considerable luck using the Discone antenna in the attic.
Overmuch sometimes. I regularly recive the Rochester MN. Pd channel 2
over the local Sheriff channel3. Distance to Rochester is about 60 air
miles. I also have a OCF dipole and a 450 yagi up there. I pull the
local metro PD's on 460 from over 20 air miles with the discone, and
the OCF is great on 30-50 MHZ.

More general info here: (I gotta update this page)
http://pw2.netcom.com/~kimeyer/index.html

Good Signals... LCM

3013. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <374cbda8.3981916@news>
Source record: 117319

On Tue, 25 May 1999 23:42:45 -0400, "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com>
wrote:

>Yeah, but the REAL question here is, WHY WON`T THE ALIENS TAKE WACKY MACKEY
>?

Does anyone remember all the Cuban refugees from the early 80s? Why
won't Castro come and take those people back? ;-)

3014. Cobra 2000 for sale Price Drop excellent conditon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam Wolinsky <pcmedicnj@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7iigolgdm1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117320
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

PRICE DROP !

I have for sale 1 Cobra 2000 base station CB with the speaker.
Radio has been modified to cover from 26.815 Mhz to 28.045 Mhz. Radio transmits 20+ watts, clarifier has been opened. I have received several reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from me. I am not real technical so beyond what I have told you, I don't know anything else about the radio.
I would rate this radio as an 8 out of 10. No scratches ect that I was able to find. Also included is the original manual, original 1 year warranty certificate, copy of service manual.
From what I have seen and heard, the 2000 is one of the top of the line most sought after radios that was ever on the market in its day. After using it for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
Price is $250+ shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order. References available upon request. I am open to offers, however please do not insult my intelligence by offering $50 or $100 . Reasonable offers will be considered.
Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this fine rig.

3015. Re: Emergency Monitoring-Why not built-in monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Smith <double_hat_trick@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7iik8vme1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117321
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <374C9EC4.A7C99B4E@ix.netcom.com>,
nokones@ix.netcom.com wrote:
> Tell me how a GMRS base station is going to look for lost hikers in
> the woods. Maybe the base station may be able to talk to the lost
> hiker so what, if the hiker is lost the hiker doesn't know where
> he/she is anyways.

An ideal scenario would be if the hiker also had a GPS. The GMRS operator (or whatever) could relay exact coordinates to the authorities, who could fly right to the lost party. I would presume that most law enforcement helicopters are equipped with GPS these days, considering how affordable it is. And certainly, any serious backpacker should be.

Of course, the obvious question is, if the hiker has GPS, why are they lost in the first place. To which I say, like four-wheel-drive just gets you "more" stuck, GPS lets you get "more" lost.

-Jeff

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

3016. Railroad train end car transmitters...freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7iihk0$755@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117322

I saw these posted maybe a year and a half ago...
....anyone have the freqs?

3017. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7iihqd$iev@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

In <Og333.17074$pj7.103255@news2.giganews.com> "tcrpe"
<tcrpe@yahoo.com> writes:
>
>Make the 103.
>
>TR
>
>
>jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <374CB33B.9C280328@usa.net>...
>
><snipped idiocy>
>
>
every time you do this
you give his swine-ship
reason to continue....

quit encouraging him....

ignore him.

please

bill conduit
etc.

3018. Re: UBC-3000xlt battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <19990527010224.18292.00000747@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 117324

>
>Darn, isn't there a cheaper third party maker for the 3000xlt battery
>pak?
>

I paid $40 for a NiMH from Mr NiCad at Dayton. Uses same charger.
Greg

3019. Re: Scanner/Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <7iike9egj4@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 117325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Leslie Paul Davies (lpdavies@bc.seflin.org) wrote:
: : Is it possible to modify my lunchbox to transmit on Commercial

: My first Benton Harbor Lunchbox
: put out a nice signal on several
: bands!

At the SAME TIME TOO!

: GL
: --
: Paul W2SYF/4 Ft Lauderdale
: "Heisenberg may have slept here... "
: Leslie Paul Davies
: lpdavies@bc.seflin.org

3020. Is Bill Cheeks in the Slammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <374CD6CD.11E0661F@home.com>
Source record: 117326

Where is Bill? Did he make bail?

3021. Re: Kill-File the Maggot of Mackey...the screw who turned Bill In to Feds...KILL-file him.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7iimjoqbp1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 117327

In article <7ihugi$d07$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>,
ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com says...
>
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP wrote in message <7ihrm2$hu9@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>...
>> People...maybe now you see why
>> the maggot of mackey must
>> be stopped now...the only
>> way you can stop him is
>> to ignore him.
>>
>> He cannot work out of a vacuum.
>>
>> he needs you and me to exist.
>
>
>Not to mention that you just feel better about not stooping to his level.
>
>Trust me folks, if everyone participates, it will work. I've seen many an
>online forum get attacked by worse than John, and it hardly made a ripple
>because no one responded to the bait. Once the cretin realized that no one
>would respond, he or she would simply vanish. I can not stress it enough: if
>we all do it, it will WORK! I've seen it happen again and again.

Problem is when you discuss ignoring the fool that's the same as falling for
his baiting, he's just looking for a response of any kind to jump on!

>
>Thanks Bill, for concurring with what I've said for months. Hopefully, it
>hasn't fallen on deaf ears.
>
>-Phil
>
>-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
>-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>

It is just like the comic said "it's a shame tv isn't more intellegent (aka
this NG) you wish it had a button to push to make it smarter, there's one
labeled brightness but it don't work!"
--
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!

==========

3022. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7iioc2$jk0$0@207.18.224.238>
Source record: 117328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Thu, 27 May 1999 03:10:33 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>No, I have never lied about my credentials.
>
Liar.

You stated that you "had more FCC licenses than you could count on one
hand." That was a lie.

3023. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7iino8$qt7@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote:
>YOU trying to educate ME!!! That is really FUNNY, YOU couldn't teach me
>anything!!!!

That's called a learning disability. It's especially difficult to teach not to post off-topic articles to the amateur radio NGs. You're the one who started this thread, and for some odd reason, you included the ham groups. Why is that? Can you give a reasonable explanation?

We can teach gorillas to communicate using sign language, yet as you state above, we can't teach you anything.

Jeff KH6O

--
Air-Raid / Civil Defense Outdoor Warning Siren:
www.cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem=108545464

3024. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7iiofd$jk0$1@207.18.224.238>
Source record: 117330
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Thu, 27 May 1999 03:03:31 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

Sort of what like home.com had done to you, for all your crossposting
into the emergency services newsgroups?

How come they sigh real big when someone calls them to make a
complaint about you?

>(Remember what happened to your buddy Bill after I made his provider aware of
>his actions!!!)
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>>Either start posting on topic or be prepared to defend yourself with your
>>online provider as the countless complaints will begin.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

3025. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7iiomo$jk0$2@207.18.224.238>
Source record: 117331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Thu, 27 May 1999 02:59:19 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> How are you "involved" with the Cheek bust? Are you a Federal agent
>
>Those issues are really none your business.
>
Are you trying to insinuate that you are a federal agent?

Now, that's a hoot! John Mackey, Federal Agent, HAM, Toilet bowl
brush, and informant!

3026. Re: Time out for the "Big picture"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: a@b.net
Message-ID: <374ce95e.20124811@news.istep.com>
Source record: 117332
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.parg,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>
> bill conduit
>
A conduit is something through things flow.
In this case, it is shit.

3027. SF Bay Area frequency help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: gallen@lanminds.com
Message-ID: <gallen-2705990111380001@coat39.ppp.lmi.net>
Source record: 117333
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Richmond will soon go to a 800 MHz trunked radio system, but for the moment the PD
is at 460.30 and 460.40, and fire is on 46.06.

El Cerrito is still at 460.375 for police and 154.385 for fire.

3028. Re: Is Bill Cheeks in the Slammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990527043349.02974.00003254@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 117334

Bill and Cindy were released on their own recognizance a few hours after being arrested, fingerprinted and booked.
Federal public defenders have been appointed and they've had to travel to New York for at least one court hearing.
Rich Wells has post three public letters from Bill on his web site at http://www.strongsignals.net under the news achive. You can read all about it there.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

3029. Blake lies AGAIN! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@parg.org
Message-ID: <374D0E68.EBF6BEA2@parg.org>
Source record: 117335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Blake, you are a liar AGAIN.
I NEVER made the statement as you indicate below. Following YOUR LIE
I posted the REAL statement I made.

Blake Bowers wrote:

> You stated that you "had more FCC licenses than you could count on one
> hand." That was a lie.

Date: Mon, 19 Apr 1999
From: jmackey@usa.net
Organization: Deja News - The Leader in
Internet Discussions
Subject: Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?

Hardly true, I have passed SEVERAL FCC license tests and acquired MORE FCC

licenses that attest to my technical abilities than could be counted on
one hand!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3030. Re: Opinions: AOR AR16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <374D139F.23426BF2@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 117336
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Wayne S. Sikorski" wrote:
> Any opinions out there on the AOR AR16.

Yeah...find another radio. Read my review if you'd
like more details:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/impar16.htm

I'd suggest you look into the Icom IC-R2.

> Also, is this a triple conversion unit?

Yes.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

3031. SCA mod for Pro 60?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: lyndx <ml488740@nassaulibrary.org>
Message-ID: <7ijc99$5rr$1@mail.nassaulibrary.org>
Source record: 117337
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Since this scanner covers the commercial FM band, I was wondering if there is a way to modify it to receive SCA broadcasts?
Thanks,
lyndx

3032. Re: Any Used BC9000's out there?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: carl45365@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7ii48tlur1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117384

I have a UBC 9000XLT for sale. Brand New, used for 3or4 hours.$385.00
and you will have to buy a ac/dc power supply (available @ Radio Shack)
and you pay all shipping costs. I used one I have for another Uniden
Radio
to program the radio and the little bit I listened to it. If interested
Email me @--clh00@yahoo.com In article
<19990526123821.28069.00001910@ng-cc1.aol.com>,
gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:
> >how mutch are you ready to pay for a UBC9000 ?
> >
>
> $5? Just kidding, I guess those would be worth $400 or $500? As it
stands
> right now, I have a good lead on a BC9000 for $225, but if you have a
UBC to
> drop off...? :-)
> Mike
>

--== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

3033. Re: ICOM 8500 questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Miller Liu <muller@tp.silkera.net>
Message-ID: <374C843B.B73A8E13@tp.silkera.net>
Source record: 117385

Hi, David:

I know 8500 can tune in variable steps as you wish.

Do you mean I can tune each channels not on integrals of 15kHz, like I
say
150.10, 150.25, 150.40 etc. They are not integrals of 15kHz.!!

Thanks your posting!

Miller

David Hitchner ï¿½gï¿½Dï¿½G
> >2. How it step up/down in 15kHz ? In Taiwan, police channels are
> >allocated in 15kHz
> > step, but not in 15kHz-integral. That is to say, they are 150.10,
> >150.25, 150.40 etc. I hope 8500 can do this. I don't want such rig
> >just to recieve 150.00, 150.15, 150.30 etc in 15kHz integrals. This
> >is most concerning point.
>
> Preset Steps: 10 Hz, 50 Hz, 100 Hz, 1 kHz, 2.5 kHz, 5 kHz, 9 kHz, 10 kHz,
> 12.5 kHz, 20 kHz, 25 kHz, 100 kHz, 1 Mhz.
>
> Programmable Step: 0.5 to 199.5 kHz Example: Enter '15' then press 'TS' to
> set for 15 kHz.

3034. Opinions: Racing Elect. RE2000Alpha handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tede911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990526201422.01638.00007310@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 117386

Hi all,

Seeking opinions etc on the RE2000Alpha portable scanner

Post here and to my email please?

Thanks

Ted

tede911@aol.com

3035. MVT 7100 or DXJ10?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: llklodt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990526202816.01110.00000812@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 117387

Ok sorry to bother....I have my receiver narrowed down to the Yupp. MVT 7100 or the Alinco DXJ10. Any users of these rados out there what do you think? Thank in advance!

LARRY :-)

3036. Lime Rock Park

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: DA <mapman@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <374F5381.9E34DA7B@nh.ultranet.com>
Source record: 117088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello.

I have frequencies per Police Call '98 for the track and cars. Is anyone going that would like to share changes or updates? I'll be there on Monday for the main events.

Thanks, in advance.
David

3037. Re: Question about WFM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan T. Faricy" <online@faricy.net>
Message-ID: <7inkdj$5lg$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117089
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm only looking out for the new people. Your personal attacks don't stir me. But thank you for your opinions.

And to put my $0.02 in, I spent about a month learning my material for my Tech, and I'm spending another month for my General license. When I'm done reading a section in the book, I review the questions that are appropriate to that section. I find it difficult to memorize answers.

Zombie Wolf wrote in message <7ihqkt$nf6$1@garnet.mint.net>...
>You know, Faricy, you are some one to talk. I have contributed to this news
>group for years, both up front and behind the scenes. What have You done ?
>Practically nothing. They could have read that basic information in any
>scanner publication, and in most cases, right out of the manual that CAME
>WITH their scanner. I am here, remarking on their laziness and
unwillingness
>to exert the effort to even learn the most basic stuff about the hobby. You
>get out of a hobby what you put into it. I found that out years ago, with
>ham radio, where I got my license the HARD WAY, by LEARNING THE MATERIAL,
>instead of just memorizing the answers, like you and Mackey - Toon.
>But don`t worry, next time there is a question, and someone gives the right
>answer, twenty of them will crawl out of the woodwork to say that it isn`t
>the right answer, when they don`t even have a clue. I have seen this time
>and time again. You know from nothing, and you get your undies all in a
>knot when someone points it out to you . Don`t preach to me Faricy. You
>aren`t high enough in the food chain.....

3038. FS:Uniden Bearcat 235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <robertrp@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <PpK33.37$OF6.128@news.megsinet.net>
Source record: 117090

FOR SALE:

Uniden Bearcat 235, two batteries, charging cradle and manual. $145.00
including shipping in the USA. Thanks Robert...

robertrp@megsinet.net

3039. Re: SCA mod for Pro 60?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <AqL33.143$Np1.46318@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Take it easy on yourself Wiggie, no lives were lost or animals harmed.

wiggie <JWIGTON@kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:374f74f0.586577@news-server...
> On Fri, 28 May 1999 12:25:47 GMT, chiefjim@unix.nospam.asb.com (Jim
> Strand) wrote:
>
> >On Fri, 28 May 1999 06:43:51 GMT, JWIGTON@kc.rr.com (wiggie) wrote:
> >
> >>The Pro-60 uses NFM only and not WFM.
> >
> >Radio Shack might like to differ with you as one button on the bottom
> >row is marked: AM/FM/WFM
>
> Please accept my apologies...it looks like crow is being
> served on the menu today. I do not have a Pro-60 but have used one a
> few time a while back. I should have referenced this but I thought
> that my memory still served me well.
> I cannot find much information on the Discriminator/Detector
> IC that is used in the Pro-60. It is made by Toshiba and is used in
> the newer Sony scanners also.
> Sometimes it would be possible to tap the high side of the
> squelch pot and get the signal before it is filtered.
> Once again I must apologize for my mistake. The Pro-60 is out
> of the realm (Don't have a Relm either) of my collection. I should go
> back to the scanners that I know.
>
> Wiggie

3040. Re: HELP PRO 90 RADIO SHACK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Stark <rstark@nospam.pixi.com>
Message-ID: <374f9bf0.0@bonaparte.pixi.com>
Source record: 117092

John,
Sorry, but there IS an attenuation feature on the Pro-90. Mike correctly pointed it out below.

--
To send me email, remove the "nospam" in my email address.
~~~Come visit our webpage at http://www.pixi.com/~rstark

John Habbinga wrote in message ...
>There isn't an attenuation feature on the Pro-90. It sounds like your radio
>is broken or defective. It is possible that interference from a very strong
>local radio signal is causing the problem. This isn't very likely but it is
>worth investigating. You might remove the back of the radio and look to see
>if the BNC antenna connector is soldered to the circuit board.
>
>mike <mboyes@theramp.net> wrote in message
>news:374D7655.41575C90@theramp.net...
>> there is a antenna antenuator switch while it its scanning press the
>> button labeled(ATT)
>> it is also the decimal point button. when you see the decimal flashing
>> on panel antennuator is on
>> If this doesn't work I think something else is wrong.
>>
>> --
>> Best wishes Mike 1549119
>
>
>
~~~

3041. Re: Coax question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Pekarek <ericpekarek@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7irhku$qk8$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117093
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I too use RG11 I have a 40 foot tower and there is no difference in RG213
either there is a difference in RG8 cheap Radio Shack wire
Michael Derryberry wrote in message <374E62D9.AB0CBF7C@netxn.com>...
>I have been using RG11 for many years of happy scanning. Your scanner
>will never notice the mismatch and RG11 is very low loss ... I use 'F'
>connectors and the necessary adaptors ...
>
>
>Good luck ...
>
>Mike Derryberry
>
>
>"feilerm@iea.com" wrote:
>>
>> I received a good quantity of coax (over 300 feet) marked Comm\Scope
>> network cable 5901 RG11\U type E82833 14AWG.
>> Is this stuff any good for use as a scanner feed line? The price was
>> right (free, leftover from the guy who wired our hospitals monitoring
>> system). I have heard that impedance mismatch or poor shielding can
>> cause significant signal loss,etc... Shoud I use it or get invest in
>> something else?
>> Thanks in advance everyone.

3042. Yaesu VX-5 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: cgast@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <374dea3e.657560@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 117215

Has anyone heard of any mods for the Yaesu VX-5? I noticed about 5 solder jumper pads on the main pcb when I installed the barometer-altitude module.

3043. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@crosslink.net
Message-ID: <374deb2f.4148148@news.crosslink.net>
Source record: 117216
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 27 May 1999 10:38:55 -0400, "MOstrowski"
<M_Ostrowski@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I'd like to hear from anyone who has written digital signal decoding
>software using sound cards.
>
>I'm currently searching for information relating to decoding digital signals
>(CW, RTTY, AMTOR,
>CLOVER, PSK31, etc) using a sound card. I've used several of such software
>packages currently
>available for download and I like to try my hand a writing something
>similar. I have many years
>experience professionally programming both DOS and Windows applications
>using C/C++/MFC,
>but have never had need to interface to a sound card until now. I'd like
>this application to be
>Windows 95/98 based but I've heard rumors that directly accessing the sound
>card interface is
>difficult, if not impossible, under that OS.

Dear Mike,

The most comprehensive source that I have found (excepting purchase of
an SDK from Microsoft) is "Windows 95 Multimedia & ODBC API Bible" by
The Waite Group Press - Richard J. Simon, Tony Davis, John Eaton, and
R. Murray Goertz.
It has chapters on Waveform and Auxiliary Audio and on Multimedia File
I/O. Those two chapters are really all you need to do everything that
needs to be done for a mode like PSK31.

If you can locate a "Books-a-Million" store, they may be able to help
you - I got my copy at 50% discount - normally $54.99. CD-ROM is
included.

3044. Re: FRS in the new Star Wars movie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb6ojs@gte.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11b767269a478cd4989802@news.gte.net>
Source record: 117217
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> Cap'n Kirk's FRS also had a cool, flip open design; *NO* external
> antenna;

Actually, that flip-top doohickey WAS the antenna!

--

-- //Steve//

Steve Silverwood, KB6OJS
Fountain Valley, California
Email: kb6ojs@gte.net
Home Page: http://home1.gte.net/kb6ojs

3045. UBC Bearcat 9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: carl45365@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7il45iqcm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117218

Yes I do still have the radio. From Illinois. Email me at clh00@yahoo.com for more info.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3046. Re: Any Used BC9000's out there?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: carl45365@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7il4jvqnj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117219

In article <19990527140838.02753.00000965@ng-bd1.aol.com>,
berta1s@aol.com (BERTA1s) wrote:
> do you still have scanner for sale and where are from
> Yes I do still have the radio for sale. From Illinois. Email me at
clh00@yahoo.com for information or other questions.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3047. Re: San Diego City Police Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: robdon33@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990527232647.03525.00005562@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 117220

>http://www.SoCal911.com/

Haven't visited that site in a while...last I checked that site no longer exists.

The San Diego Police Department frequencies are sort of easy. Their frequencies are in the 856-860 MHz range with .000, .025 and/or .050 as suffixes.

May want to also try 854-856 as well, just in case you don't get all of them. I will have a full list of the SDPD frequencies shortly...if not tomorrow.

RD

3048. Unblocked Trident hand-held scanner - $50

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: gg210301@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990527235440.05559.00002016@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 117221

The auction has started at $50.

Its a brand new 1000 channel scanner from the UK. It has continuous coverage from .1-2059 MHZ in lsb/usb/am/fm/wfm modes. It has a built in computer port.

See the pictures of this beautiful unit at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=110044250

3049. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: fIlThY <not@home.com>
Message-ID: <Fcp33.573$ZI1.3220@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 117222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Graham Philip Crowe wrote in message <7ig3fqlto1@wyrm.its.uow.edu.au>...

>OK, the only way I can see a transmitter causing a fire is if the
>electromagnetic waves induced a voltage in some conductor nearby which had
>a nice complete current path except for a small airgap.

Now you are starting to think!

>The waves could
>possibly, if it was set up just right, cause a spark there. This, I would
>have thought would be very unlikely, especially since petrol pumps and
>other equipment in that sort of potential atmosphere has to be designed by
>law to specifically not have the potential to cause explosions from static
>sparks or otherwise. I would have considered this far less of a risk than
>bringing in a car with such sparking or hot components as a starter motor,
>a distributer, a hot engine / exhaust system!!!

How about you do radio theory and practice 101 - heat and extraeneous
radiation are by products of radio TX. The microwave oven is just such a
device that enhanses that which is normally considered a by-product..
.....

Oh and May I suggest that you take particular note of the voltage and
current streams in an antenna system - This will give you an understanding
about the way an antenna works and what happens in regard to efficiencies
and by products!

>
>Also, there are signs around saying that mobile phones are "current
emitting
>devices", what is the go there?

see above comments

How can a mobile phone emit current, it only
>emits radio waves?

DOh!

It makes the people who put them up seem as if thay have
>no clue.

They don't but lucky for them that those who made these regulations do! :-)

>
>deeeda (deeeda@bigpond.com.au) wrote:
>: A Mobile Phone or transmitter can certainly spark an explosion around

>: flammable fuels and gases.
>: Ask your nearest service station console operator.One of their biggest
>: headaches is customers filling up while on their mobile.
>: Not only is it dangerous ,it is also illegal.Telstra know this but do not
>: make much of an effort to tell the public.

3050. Re: Emergency? How about 121.5 MHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7il9ee$r7n@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117223
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner

Keith <-change cem to com> wrote:
>On Thu, 27 May 1999 23:02:13 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI

>>Also what is the marine H.F. emergency frequency?

>2182 KHz 24/7 by USCG

Except that's MF (USB), not HF. For HF, use 8364 kHz; it's an
international Safety of Life frequency, for both the maritime
and aeronautical services. The USCG and satellites monitor it.

Jeff KH6O (former operator at US Coast Guard Radio Honolulu)
--
Air-Raid / Civil Defense Outdoor Warning Siren:
www.cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem=108545464

3051. Re: Owners manual for BC-200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: JWIGTON@kc.rr.com
Message-ID: <374e3490.2068365@news-server>
Source record: 117224
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 26 May 1999 18:31:29 GMT, rstan14931@aol.com (RStan14931) wrote:

>Let me know if you still need a copy of the owners manual for the 200xlt...I
>have one and also a copier here at home..
>Robert Stanley...RSTAN14931@aol.com

Maybe the easiest way to do that is to go to
http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/pdf/BC200XLTom.pdf and print one
out.

Wiggie

3052. Re: Morse code over a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7il9rc$rir@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117225
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ron & Sue Rosenbaum <Rosenbam@surfshop.net> wrote:
>I live in Cambria County in Pennsylvania and have noticed morse code
>being sent over the ploice frequencies at night. I'm not sure if this
>happens over the daytime, as I'm not home. It will be sent over one
>frequency then over the other a few seconds later. It's clear as a bell
>so I'm assuming it's coming from the 911 center.Does anyone know why a
>911 center would do this. I appriciate any help.

That's the transmitters identifying themselves -- they're sending the PD's
callsign (required by law). It's done about every 30 minutes. The code ID
is built into the transmitter's controller -- it's done at the transmitter
site -- the 911 center has no control over it.

The callsign will be of the form three or four letters (beginning with
a K or W, followed by three or four numbers.

Jeff KH6O
--
Air-Raid / Civil Defense Outdoor Warning Siren:
www.cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem=108545464

3053. Re: Natural phenomena

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7ila5p$rkj@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117226
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Lord Vader <vader55@banet.net> wrote:
>Whenever lightning strikes near my house, the television displays a
>little static. This got me to thinking, is there any specific freq.'s
>that lightning can be heard on a scanner. Is there any other natural
>phenomena that can be heard on a regular scanner, or do you need on of
>those exotic thousand dollar setups? Thanks in advance.

Yes! You can evesdrop on the atmosphere if you have access to a VLF
receiver. Folks make a hobby out of listening to frequencies below
100 kHz for nature's squeals, shreeks, and whistles. Post your inquiry
to rec.radio.shortwave -- the gang on there will provide more info.

Jeff KH6O
--
Air-Raid / Civil Defense Outdoor Warning Siren:
www.cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem=108545464

3054. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <374e2dc6.6524550@news>
Source record: 117227
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 27 May 1999 18:52:05 GMT, gniephaus@cityweb.de
(Gerhard-Hermann Niephaus) wrote:

>The file I used is
> fullduplex.exe
>I used this example as a starting point for my DSP programming under
>Windows NT using Visual C++ 5.0.

Yes, but where did you go from there? Getting the samples is the easy
part. ;-)

3055. Re: SCA mod for Pro 60?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: JWIGTON@kc.rr.com
Message-ID: <374f456a.6382307@news-server>
Source record: 117228
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 27 May 1999 07:54:22 -0400, "lyndx"
<ml488740@nassaulibrary.org> wrote:

>Since this scanner covers the commercial FM band, I was wondering if there
>is a way to modify it to receive SCA broadcasts?
>Thanks,
>lyndx
>

The Pro-60 uses NFM only and not WFM. Without looking closely at the specs for the filters, I would have to say no to the mod for SCA. You need a wider filter to pass all of the needed signal for your FSK decoder chip to process. Why bother modifying a scanner for this when you can usually modify a simple FM radio for this option.

Wiggie

3056. bc200xlt mods ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jhino26916@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990528024325.28070.00002844@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 117229

i have a bc200xlt that i would like to modify... can anyone help?

3057. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hamish Moffatt <hamish@rising.com.au>
Message-ID: <7iljdqdbe2@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 117230
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org> wrote:
> The problem with programs that use soundcards that decode radio info can't be built around visual basic
> as VB is so damned slow.
> Thus, soundcard decoder programs require the knowledge of real programmers (C++) which explains why they are so
> damned buggy, due to Windows needing thousands of lines of C++ code just to initialise a stupid program (being
> sarcastic but you C++ Windows programmers know exactly what I mean).

And even then, "real time" is a bit of a joke. For example, the best resolution
and lowest timer interval you can get out of the high resolution timer is 1ms.

Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt Mobile: +61 412 011 176 hamish@rising.com.au

Rising Software Australia Pty. Ltd.
Developers of music education software including Auralia & Musition.
31 Elmhurst Road, Blackburn, Victoria Australia, 3130
Phone: +61 3 9894 4788 Fax: +61 3 9894 3362 USA Toll Free: 1-888-667-7839
Internet: http://www.rising.com.au/

3058. Re: Coax question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <374E62D9.AB0CBF7C@netxn.com>
Source record: 117231
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mderryberry.vcf

I have been using RG11 for many years of happy scanning. Your scanner will never notice the mismatch and RG11 is very low loss ... I use 'F' connectors and the necessary adaptors ...

Good luck ...

Mike Derryberry

"feilerm@iea.com" wrote:
>
> I received a good quantity of coax (over 300 feet) marked Comm\Scope
> network cable 5901 RG11\U type E82833 14AWG.
> Is this stuff any good for use as a scanner feed line? The price was
> right (free, leftover from the guy who wired our hospitals monitoring
> system). I have heard that impedance mismatch or poor shielding can
> cause significant signal loss,etc... Shoud I use it or get invest in
> something else?
> Thanks in advance everyone.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

3059. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <7ilnkh$12t$1@remarQ.com>
Source record: 117232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Oris <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
news:374D73B3.42BD@dirtball.com...
> MOstrowski wrote:
> I hope you find what your looking for. I would make a sujestion to
you.
> I would sujest that you try to do this under Linux (i.e. Unix) first.
I
> think that you would have alot better response with the linux OS
versus
> Windows. Good luck let me know what you find out.

Fah!! I'm not against Linux (I run it here myself), but the difference in available tools makes comparison less than likely.

Best advice: write the FFT and multimedia modules as OCX's using C++, and build the front end using whatever trash you like. The FFT module is very small, about 300 lines. The MM interface is similarly small, about 100 lines.

Michael.

3060. Re: Baldwin Park, CA and El Monte , CA PD Freqs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <374E6184.9F679BF3@netxn.com>
Source record: 117233
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mderryberry.vcf

I show Baldwin Park PD Ch 1 506.175 PL 131.8
Ch 2 506.275 PL 131.8

I show El Monte PD Ch 1 470.7125 PL 127.3
Ch 2 470.9625 Pl 127.3

Hope that helps ... Good Luck ...

Mike Derryberry

G Marumoto wrote:
>
> Can anyone out there give me the freqs and the PL's to Baldwin Park, CA and
> El Monte , CA PDs. In the Polce Call guide they are listed as 506.175,
> 506.275 no PL and 470.125,470.9625 PL 127.3. I can receive Baldwin Park
> fine but the PL is incorrect. Also I can RX El Monte Ch 1 fine but Ch 2
> seems to be a "birdee" and the the PL for both channels is incorrect. Any
> help would be appreciated
>
> Thanks
>
> Glenn

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

3061. Re: San Diego City Police Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <374E63F8.36188ED5@netxn.com>
Source record: 117234
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mderryberry.vcf

www.socal911.com is back better than ever ... lots of great info for San
Diego and the rest of the southland/

Mike Derryberry

ROBDON33 wrote:
>
> >http://www.SoCal911.com/
>
> Haven't visited that site in a while...last I checked that site no longer
> exists.
>
> The San Diego Police Department frequencies are sort of easy. Their
> frequencies are in the 856-860 MHz range with .000, .025 and/or .050 as
> suffixes.
>
> May want to also try 854-856 as well, just in case you don't get all of them.
> I will have a full list of the SDPD frequencies shortly...if not tomorrow.
>
> RD

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

3062. Re: SCA mod for Pro 60?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: sparkes@fast.net
Message-ID: <7ilqv3$i8$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 117235

I don't know much about SCA but my PRO-60 has a WFM mode, in addition to NFM and AM.

3063. FS: BC9000 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Esposito <rjespo@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <vSu33.73$hq3.4885@news3.voicenet.com>
Source record: 117236
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

BC9000 with CTCSS tone board installed. In mint condition
includes original carton and manual.

$280 shipped

3064. ||||| Is This The Rite Place ? |||||

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4zn <AT>
Message-ID: <7iltj3$9ua$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 117237

Is this the rite place for this question?

Does anyone have frequencies for the U.S. Emergency Alert System?

Do they have frequencies specified to certain areas or cities, or are
they common nationwide?

Perhaps tell me what, if anything heard there.

Reply pref thru e-mail, but OK here to.
TIA... N4ZN/Mike

3065. Re: Mackey lies AGAIN! was: Blake lies AGAIN! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@isa.retard
Message-ID: <3751723c.1492894@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 117238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On 27 May 1999 18:22:36 GMT, myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

>In <375176d7.4740985@enews.newsguy.com> you@need.help (Macaroon)
>writes:
>>
>>On Thu, 27 May 1999 09:15:16 GMT, jmackey@parg.org wrote:
>>
>>>Blake, you are a liar AGAIN.
>>
>>And you are a liar STILL. Always have been, always will be.
>Predictability
>>is your only strong point, FOOL!!
>>
>>
> Hey YOU....
>
> say, it would be very
> nice if you would get
> on with the rest of us who
> have an answer to this situation.

Fine way to lead by example.

I don't have an answer to MY challenge.

> quit answering the idiot.

When he dies I will.

He won't be here for a little while. He's been spanked.

3066. Re: ||||| Is This The Rite Place ? |||||

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sombersham Uspolikki <nosnol@chimapo.net>
Message-ID: <7ila11$847$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117239

Yes Mike, this is the correct place to post your question unfortunately it
is not the correct time. The last person who could answer this question
died 3 days ago. Sorry

n4zn amsat org (N4ZN) wrote in message
<7iltj3$9ua$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
>Is this the rite place for this question?
>

3067. WTB: Radio Shack catalogs, pre-1987

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pepper Hastings <phastings@beckett.com>
Message-ID: <374E8750.2D49CC17@beckett.com>
Source record: 117240

let me know if you have any to sell. thanks. (I also need
1997).

3068. Re: torn between scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jerr1919 <jerr1919@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <374EAA23.1421@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 117241

That is one of the things you run into when you get hooked on scanners.
each scanner has something different about it so thats why you end up
keeping more than one. you better keep them all if you can afford to.
I have about 25 I am ashamed to admit and I love them
all...Jerr1919

>
> open for discussion:
> i own a pro-46,60 & 2022.
>
> 46- 100 channels -no cell- great images
> 60- 200 channels -wide coverage -200 ch.- triple conversion
> 2022- 200 channels- full cell
>
> the pro-46 is by far the most sensitive especially in the 150-170 range
> (i would like to hear other opinions of price vs. sensetivity etc.)
>
> i have become infatuated with the icom r-2 by reading postings and
> surfing, particularly at the strong signals site.
>
> what scanner/scanners should i sell to buy r-2, or is what i have good
> enough?
>
> please feel free to post an opinion on any of the above mentioned
> scanners or the issueof pice vs. sensetivity
>
> thanks,
> der springer
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3069. Re: Yaesu VX-5 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenneth Grimard <kgrimard@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <374EAC78.7F67D51A@bigfoot.com>
Source record: 117242

you should subscribe to the vx5r mailing onelist.com. you can go to www.onelist.com and search for vx5r to find the mailing list. it is very active and will allow you to find out a lot about that radio

ken grimard n1dot

tcd wrote:

> Has anyone heard of any mods for the Yaesu VX-5? I noticed about 5
> solder jumper pads on the main pcb when I installed the
> barometer-altitude module.

3070. Re: Yaesu VX-5 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <374ed4a9@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 117243

tcd wrote in message <374dea3e.657560@news.mindspring.com>...
>Has anyone heard of any mods for the Yaesu VX-5? I noticed about 5
>solder jumper pads on the main pcb when I installed the
>barometer-altitude module.

You can remove the solder balls from some of the pads to open up the transmit, but the US version of the radio will not do cell. If you download and use the EVE programming software, the radio can be modified with the software to go out of band without removing the solder balls.

3071. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: pushjeep@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7imh1r$q6v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117244

In article <372C9309.372B5EAD@home.com>,
Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:
> What happened to Bill Cheek? Is he still in the slammer?
>
IN THE SLAMMER HE IS KNOWN AS BUTT CHEEK. AKA BEN DOVER.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3072. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: pushjeep@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7imhdkqhr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

IN THE SLAMMER THEY CALL HIM BUTT CHEEK ! ! AKA BEN DOVER. . . .

In article <7ijf66tjs1@garnet.mint.net>,
"Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@somtel.com> wrote:
> But it didn`t keep you from claiming, over and over that i WAS Bill
Cheek,
> did it Wacky Mackey ?
>
> <jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:374B5F47.C133800D@usa.net...
> > Mike-
> >
> > I agree with you. I can't think of a single reason anyone would
want to
> > pretend they are Bill.
> >
> >
> > Mike Schriber wrote:
> >
> > > What the HELL is wrong with you people?
> > >
> > > I know Bill Cheek and most of you people most likely do not. Why
the
> > > hell would anyone want to pretend he's Bill? I think Bill has
> >
> > SNIP
> >
> > ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network
==----------
> > http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your
Own
> >
> >
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3073. Re: Morse code over a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3755bcfa.9482905@news.erols.com>
Source record: 117246
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 28 May 1999 05:33:32 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Ron & Sue Rosenbaum <Rosenbam@surfshop.net> wrote:
>>I live in Cambria County in Pennsylvania and have noticed morse code
>>being sent over the ploice frequencies at night. I'm not sure if this
>>happens over the daytime, as I'm not home. It will be sent over one
>>frequency then over the other a few seconds later. It's clear as a bell
>>so I'm assuming it's coming from the 911 center.Does anyone know why a
>>911 center would do this. I appriciate any help.
>
>That's the transmitters identifying themselves -- they're sending the PD's
>callsign (required by law). It's done about every 30 minutes. The code ID
>is built into the transmitter's controller -- it's done at the transmitter
>site -- the 911 center has no control over it.
>
>The callsign will be of the form three or four letters (beginning with
>a K or W, followed by three or four numbers.

And I'll add that morse is commonly used for identification because
people can talk over it and neither reception of the morse or the
conversation on the air is affected.

In addition, morse helps because in case the audio is distorted, the
signal will still be identifiable. At work, we once had a very bad
case of what sounded like interference at a receiver site. The signal
was very garbled, but I was able to make out a morse identification in
the background. As soon as we looked up the call sign we knew who it
was, where they were, and what was going on (it wasn't their fault, we
were experiencing intermodulation distortion).

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3074. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: George Privalov <george_privalov@msn.com>
Message-ID: <u24elSSq#GA.372@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 117247
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hamish Moffatt wrote in message <7iljdqdbe2@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>...
>In rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc Spunky The Waffle Iron
<spunky@nospam.org> wrote:
>
>And even then, "real time" is a bit of a joke. For example, the best
resolution
>and lowest timer interval you can get out of the high resolution timer is
1ms.
>

With PerformanceCounter API and high priority levels you can get better resolution and response. Win NT will be much better choice for time-critical applications. The reason for that is Win95 memory management is designed to better utilize physical memory at the expense of response time. For sound processing NT offers more then plenty real-timeness unless you want 44Khz resolution stereo (don't need that for SWLing).

Don't know much about Linux but am very curious about it.

3075. Ham Radio Operator using police frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: scape3000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990528121427.11361.00004630@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 117248

The Orange County Register put a article about a Ham Radio dude using a police frequency during a protes in Westminster on Feb. 20
go to the www.ocregister.com go to the metro section
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
ANGER IS A GIFT.
<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

3076. Re: Ham Radio Operator using police frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: scape3000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990528123259.11358.00004742@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 117249

>www.ocregister.com

>Ham Radio dude using a police
>frequency during a protes in Westminster on Feb. 20

www.ocregister.com/community/slur028w.shtml
>metro section

3077. Re: SCA mod for Pro 60?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <0zz33.17$Uf1.8222@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117250

You mean my 60 isn't a special one with WFM?

Darn, thought I had the next best sales gimmick since cell capable.

Jim Strand <chiefjim@unix.nospam.asb.com> wrote in message
news:37508a8d.1198683@news2.new-york.net...
> On Fri, 28 May 1999 06:43:51 GMT, JWIGTON@kc.rr.com (wiggie) wrote:
>
> >The Pro-60 uses NFM only and not WFM.
>
> Radio Shack might like to differ with you as one button on the bottom
> row is marked: AM/FM/WFM
>
>
>
>
>
> **********
> delete "nospam" for e-mail reply
> **********

3078. Re: torn between scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: DRWHO10@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1215-374EC32D-30@newsd-251.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 117251

it is hard to say . i used to own 5 scanners
3 handhelds 2 mobiles total 630 channels
two i lost to a car fire one was a hand held
one hh lost to a sever battery leak destoring the board they where a pro
10 channel scanner
a pro 34 ,pro 2046 i sold my pro 26 (pre 1994)
traded my bc 200xlt,regency2000 pro 90 now i own two scanners an ICOM
R10 , BC235 both great scanners i might go for one more scanner ALNCO
XJ10 . like i said its hard to say my favorite is my r10 1000 ch.
500-130.000.000
coverage good luck e mail me

3079. Re: Natural phenomena

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7imh5d$phl@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 117252
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Doug Girling <girlingd@uswest.net> wrote:
>If you're well-heeled, you can always buy a "Stormscope" -- a piece of
>aviation equipment that displays lightning strikes on a radar-like screen
>(a passive "weather radar" if you like).

Below is an old file I've hung onto for years -- I think it originated on
the QRP email list):

Subject: Re: lightning detector

Way back when...i used to use a oscilloscope tube and had one dipole
orientated east and west connected to the horizontal deflection plates,
and one orientated north and south connected to the vertical plates. With
a little experimentation i could tell from what direction the
storm/lightning was coming from. All ya need is a scope tube like a 3BP1
or so and the circuit to power it up and a couple of dipoles.
73 Art WB9HNJ

Actually a couple of three foot diameter 50-turn loop gap antennas with
a small vertical antenna to provide a blanking signal to eliminate the
directional ambiguity (i.e. is the received signal to the north or south
of the east-west loop) will work quite well. Direction can be read from
the CRT face and relative distance can be estimated by signal strength
[sort of :)]. There is a site however, http://www.boltek.com that has
a more elegant solution. His system will interface to your PC, record
and display realtime strikes.
(Second author unknown)

You could do the above with an old TV set. I invite someone with
more experience to fill in the details. You're dealing with lethal
voltages here.

Jeff KH6O

3080. Updated 5/28/99 The Scan Shack feature: "Blast From The Past"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990528125622.01867.00000881@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 117253

Updated 5/28/99
The Scan Shack feature:
"Blast From The Past"
Original magazine postings of scanner reviews and ads if available.
This two week period showing the Pro-2030, Pro-2032, Pro-2006
and the BC2500XLT.
Check it out along with all the other cool stuff located there !!!

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

3081. Re: Railroad train end car transmitters...freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-2805991301390001@k56-ip-76.theramp.net>
Source record: 117254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <374E0E55.3D26@ameritech.net>, theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net wrote:

> Let's not forget those Hot Boxe Detectors

> Rich Carlson wrote:
> >
> > In article <7iihk0$755@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>, Toscano
> > <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> >
> > > I saw these posted maybe a year and a half ago...
> > > ....anyone have the freqs?
> >
> > Most railroads use 457.9375. Some trains use two-way EOT's to activate
> > brakes from the rear end, and the locomotive transmits commands on
> > 452.9375. Norfolk Southern uses 161.115 and regular everyday DTMF. (UHF
> > EOT's use a different data protocol.)
> >

Hot box detectors are a different animal altogether. Some transmit verbal messages on the radio, these almost always use the locally used road channel for the track involved. Talking detectors are stationary devices that transmit information on a train as it passes it. They often detect hot axles and wheels ("Hot Box"), high-wide loads, dragging equipment, or some combination of these.

Some use signals to indicate a problem. These signals obviously would need to be placed a mile or more beyond the detector to allow a long freight to be fully checked and the indication sent before the head end passes the signal. These are rare. (DRGW used to use these in CO.) Before EOT devices were the norm, caboose crews could also watch for the signal aspects for these detectors.

Some detectors send the results to a tower or CTC office. The dispatcher or operator would read the results and notify the crew of a fault. These are getting more and more rare as railroads consolidate dispatch centers and towers close. (Roundout tower on the old Milwaukee Road near Libertyville IL used to monitor the detector a few miles north at Russel on the IN/WI state line. This has since been converted to a talker).

Some of these use PL tones to allow for easier detection of faults. CR detectors use one PL tone when no fault is noted, and a different one when a fault is found. The second PL tone alerts in the CTC office, and may even trigger a recorder.

I often thought that railroads would be better served by having a specified Detector radio channel, to reduce interference to and from the already busy road channels. Perhaps a UHF channel could be allocated for this purpose, and locomotives equipped with a receiver. Of course this would be expensive and perhaps impracticle, but who knows...

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**********g3mtnw**********

3082. Re: Opinions: AOR AR16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Stavrou <pstavrou@rexroth.com>
Message-ID: <374EE8C9.F73B749C@rexroth.com>
Source record: 117255
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I bought an AR16 and while it's not the best scanner around, I really like its size and some of the features. You really need the computer interface to set it

up, but it is simple to build yourself and the commands are simple and can be inputted by hand with a simple terminal program. I have not tried an Icom R2, but I understand that the PC interface is not published and you must buy a program.

"Wayne S. Sikorski" wrote:

> Any opinions out there on the AOR AR16. Any problems ordering from Canadian
> dealers. Not really interested in cell, but I want a full coverage scanner
> (no skips)
>
> Also, is this a triple conversion unit?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Wayne

3083. FS: RS Pro-60 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: BHL <philoxeny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7im50t$ck7$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117256

This model covers from 30 Mhz to 512 Mhz, 760 Mhz to 824 Mhz, 849 Mhz to 869 Mhz and 894 Mhz to 1000 Mhz. It has AM, NFM, and WFM modes so you can receive the FM broadcast band and sound for TV channels 2 thru 13. Not a scratch or blemish on it and it works perfectly. Comes with manual, stock rubber ducky and AC to DC power supply. $150 + $5 shipping. USPS money order please.
Contact : BHL
philoxeny@hotmail.com

3084. FS: RS Pro-60 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: BHL <philoxeny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7im614cuc1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117257

This model covers from 30 Mhz to 512 Mhz, 760 Mhz to 824 Mhz, 849 Mhz to 869 Mhz and 894 Mhz to 1000 Mhz. It has AM, NFM, and WFM modes so you can receive the FM broadcast band and sound for TV channels 2 thru 13. Not a scratch or blemish on it and it works perfectly. Comes with manual, stock rubber ducky and AC to DC power supply. $150 + $5 shipping. USPS money order please.
Contact : BHL
philoxeny@hotmail.com

3085. Belgium freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: news <pjcarels@westbrabantt.net>
Message-ID: <7imqd9$3in$2@news.concepts.nl>
Source record: 117258

Zie http://home.wish.net/~pjcarels/index.html

3086. Re: Ham Radio Operator using police frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3752edf8.4896508@news.erols.com>
Source record: 117259

On 28 May 1999 16:32:59 GMT, scape3000@aol.com (Scape3000) wrote:

>www.ocregister.com/community/slur028w.shtml

Wonderful. Just the kind of publicity we all need. A scanning buff thinks he hears a familiar voice belonging to a guy who has a twin brother who also has access to his equipment. The scanning buff talks to the police and the press. The allegations fly, the evidence is very slim, and the inquisition begins.

I don't see how anyone can possibly come out of this unscathed.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3087. Trunked Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rferg755@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990528154955.13214.00006250@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 117260

Can someone please help me? I don't understand Trunked Channels a bit. I have the 28 trunked channels for Charlotte, North Carolina. And I have the ID codes, but what are those? How can I get a certain police district. My police district code is 8988, What do I do with that? Thanks a lot.

3088. Mods for RS Pro 2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net>
Message-ID: <374EF851.DD0121BF@jps.net>
Source record: 117261

Anyone know of any mods for the Radio Shack Pro 2037?
Any and all appreciated.

Thx.
David Leeper

3089. Re: Filter list (author)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7imum5$6tp@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <7imnn4$ve4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> williarsch@nandomail.com writes:
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP
>jmackey
>Macaroon
>Zombie Wolf
>George Vetterle
>Blake Bowers
>Glenn
>Phil Smalley
>
>
gee william...Thank you for
posting me first. What
a genuine compliment.
I cannot thank you enough
for the notoriety this posting
will do for me ... can i be
your friend bill?

by the way: Blake Bowers hates
my guts. How can you put him
in the same "notoriety-list"
with me. he must be rolling
over in his GRASS skirt.

or blowing in it.

William...you really make my day.
What an honor.

Gee. over in rec.sport.swimming
they call me the BUNKER GUY. what
an uplifting experience to remember
when I am out doing video and stop
and think about your pungentness
in making me NUMBER UNO.

OH you are a very special guy William.

gosh. and I thought being a good videographer
seen on tv often was my calling. to think you
put me into this nirvana-ized world of LE STINKERs
you love to hate.

What a life? What a guy you are bill?

did i tell you Bowers just sent me an E-mail
telling me to go to your address and obfuscate on
your front door-bell?

To bring this to light is so refreshing. To
be able to die and put this on my crypt.

William...who else have you saintized? It
must be a short list as you must be.

William...mahalo. Aloha. Ono Ono post brah.
you one wiki-wiki guy who is a legend in your
own mind..but to do this, wow. I am just
unable to express my appreciation for your
being so damn wunnerful. I will print this
out and send it out with all resumes I send
out or when peole ask me for referneces....

you just have no idea as to how it is to
be number One in your assessment-schtick.

Can we send this in to DAVE's TOP TEN.
I really think it belongs with the DAVE-man.

How much do i have to send you for it
autographed William? Does that include
a "phlem" sample from your brain stem

question mark.

OH William, you naughty man you. are you
sure it is ok to be so nice to you.
another question mark

william in small caps to keep the mood
up to snuff.

william...I salute you. will you be going
on the lecture circuit soon...i'll come and
be your friend on stage with you and then
we both can count the money we will share.

Oh william...william...such a sweet guy.

i will respect you in the morning william.

bill conduit
with an attitude

3090. Re: Ham Radio Operator using police frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7imu7h$8oi$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117263

I don't know if I read the same article you did.

The 'scanning buff' was a 'ham' that had previously pretty much
identified (but not absolutley) the individual responsible for some
illegal conduct on the air. And from what I read in the article,
all the ham did was notify police of the fact that a new 'event'
seemed similar to a previous event, and the police investigated
for 4 days.

The article also refered to the 'scanner buff' as a 'volunteer ham
radio monitor'. This to me implys he may have been an 'OO'.
While I don't think the OO program is very well run, and the
OO's I've had personal contact with are pretty much duds,
they do carry some official weight.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

Jake Brodsky wrote in message <3752edf8.4896508@news.erols.com>...
>On 28 May 1999 16:32:59 GMT, scape3000@aol.com (Scape3000) wrote:
>
>>www.ocregister.com/community/slur028w.shtml
>
>Wonderful. Just the kind of publicity we all need. A scanning buff
>thinks he hears a familiar voice belonging to a guy who has a twin
>brother who also has access to his equipment. The scanning buff talks
>to the police and the press. The allegations fly, the evidence is
>very slim, and the inquisition begins.
>
>I don't see how anyone can possibly come out of this unscathed.
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3091. Re: Trunked Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7imuvj$6u4@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117264

In <19990528154955.13214.00006250@ng-fk1.aol.com> rferg755@aol.com (RFerg755) writes:
>
>Can someone please help me? I don't understand Trunked Channels a bit. I have
>the 28 trunked channels for Charlotte, North Carolina. And I have the ID
>codes, but what are those? How can I get a certain police district. My police
>district code is 8988, What do I do with that? Thanks a lot.

you must take a few deep ones...

now relax.

you have to read the instructions
very carefully.
it's in segments.

you must follow each suggestion
to the T. no stopping to take
a pee...just do it and do it
right

you look at booklet and
see how to do it.

you then read just one
section of instructions...then
so it.

then you read next set of
same...next idea.

then you do it...

after you do it and you are
ready to search...

you must hit the
button that says

"trnk"

then you load the
numbers you have collected
or seen in the book and
then when through\

you hit enter.

then you hit scan and
you see them all before
you...

read the booklet three
times from page one
to page infinity.

then take it one step
at a time as to what
it says to do...

you have to do the things
over again...once
to get them in'
and once to get them
in for trunking...

then you boogie

bill conduit
etc.

trust me.

3092. Re: Filter list (author)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan B <kd4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <374EFE72.38719D0E@webdeals.net>
Source record: 117265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Does Netscape Communicator 4.51 have a filter list?
I just downloaded it and would like to filter the crap out too.

williarsch@nandomail.com wrote:

> BAYCITVIDGRP
> jmackey
> Macaroon
> Zombie Wolf
> George Vetterle
> Blake Bowers
> Glenn
> Phil Smalley
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

--
http://www.webdeals.net/kd4lyp.htm
KD4LYP's ham radio and scanner page.
Icom PCR-100 Page.

3093. Trade 2meter amp for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8ppz73@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <19990528164832.01074.00001838@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 117266

Howdy's:

Have a Mirage B-108G 2 meter amp with preamp. I never used it, bought at dayton hamvention then ended up getting a 2 meter mobile, so didn't need the amp. Needs a power cable. otherwise a 10.

Looking to trade said unit for a PRO-26, or BC-3000, or IC-R10, or PRO-60 scanner.

or will sell outright for $125

Grego
Grego, N8PPZ
Watching from "THE SPOT" at Wright Patterson Air Force Base
"Official SPOT of the new millinium"

3094. Re: bc200xlt mods ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nathan Duke <papercut@lx.net>
Message-ID: <374F0098.F7A47E63@lx.net>
Source record: 117267

You can only unlock the cellular band and extend battery life, as well as opening the volume when a spearer is plugged into it..I got one and that is all you can do to it..

JHino26916 wrote:

> i have a bc200xlt that i would like to modify... can anyone help?

3095. Re: Filter list (author)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7in00g$6kg$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

williarsch@nandomail.com wrote in message <7imnn4$ve4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>BAYCITVIDGRP
>jmackey
>Macaroon
>Zombie Wolf
>George Vetterle
>Blake Bowers
>Glenn
>Phil Smalley
>
>
Don't forget to include yourself, since this is almost always all you ever
blather on about Willy....

Oh that's right, you filtered me, so you can't read this! How silly of
me....

PLONK!

-Phil

3096. Re: Scanner/Ham Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Eckhardt <dave_eckhardt@hp.com>
Message-ID: <374EFF3F.4FE@hp.com>
Source record: 117269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeff Johns wrote:
>
> The ConQueror writes:
>
> > Is it possible to modify a scanner to transmit on amateur frequencies?
>
> Nope.
>
> 73 Jeff W4JEF
>
> *--------- Jeff Johns W4JEF - AMSAT# 32615 - QRP-L# 1857 ----------*
> |jeffj@scott.net w4jef@amsat.org | Reserve Patrol Captain |
> | Satellite: Mir R0MIR-1, AO-27 | Jefferson County Sheriff's Dept|
> |200LX+BayPac+FT50=Portable Packet| QTH Birmingham, AL USA |
> *------------ Finger jeffj@scott.net for my PGP RSA key -----------*

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

Jeff:

It's really not to difficult to accomplish what you desired in your post. The answer is, certainly, yes. You need to pull out of the scanner all the proper LOs (local oscillators), mix them in the proper order (using doubly balanced mixers), and modulate the final result with the type of modulation and information you desire. You're there! You may prefer to apply a little amplification to the result to render the "signal" a bit stronger, but that isn't too difficult, either, in these days of MMICs and hybrids. Trivial!

Dave - W6LEV

Opinions are my own and do not reflect in any way on my email provider (employer).

3097. Question: IC-2800H Mars/Cap modable?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <374F04FE.C3D6EC63@bv.net>
Source record: 117270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcguyver.vcf

Is the new Icom IC-2800H mobile unit Mars/Cap modifiable? Anyone have
any idea..

Any comments on radio so far?

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

3098. FS: Opto Scout 40 & Unblocked R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <374F05BB.4EBEABC1@bv.net>
Source record: 117271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcguyver.vcf

I have for sale an Icom R10 unblocked with all accessories including software and cables, batteries, charger, box, manual, antenna. Perfect shape

&

Optoelectronics Scout model 40 with R10 reaction tune cable, charger and case (plus small DB32 antenna) .

I would like $700 for both

ALSO: Have Opto Optolinx unit, NIB condition

Will consider partial trade for new Icom IC-2800H Mobile

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

3099. Re: Filter list (author)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <qKD33.9$Gn1.4481@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 117272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Couldn't these lists be standardized in some way?

How come BAYCITVIDGRP is near the top?

Who sorted this list?

williarsch@nandomail.com wrote:
>
> BAYCITVIDGRP
> jmackey
> Macaroon
> Zombie Wolf
> George Vetterle
> Blake Bowers
> Glenn
> Phil Smalley

3100. F.S. uniden bc235xlt.....$125.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmb <cmb@owc.net>
Message-ID: <7in1fvebm1@hirame.wwa.com>
Source record: 117273

bc 235xlt 300 channel trunk tracker,box manual 2 rechargable batteries charger......$125.00

thanks jack

3101. Re: Filter list (author)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7in3js$7dd@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <37570b1f.201824752@news-server.san.rr.com> thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the original)) writes:
>
>On Fri, 28 May 1999 18:36:20 GMT, williarsch@nandomail.com wrote:
>
>And mine is...
>
>>BAYCITVIDGRP
>>jmackey
>>Macaroon
>>williarsch
>

no one likes a
copy-cat....
come up with your own
idea....

be creative.
seek help

bill conduit
etc.

3102. Re: Filter list (author)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7in3f8$qi9@sjx-ixn1.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <qKD33.9$Gn1.4481@news.uswest.net> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
writes:
>
>Couldn't these lists be standardized in some way?
>
>How come BAYCITVIDGRP is near the top?
>
no, he has me as
his enemy number one...

gosh...what power
we have over the
reticents...dolcents
indolents...redundants

just too much to
grasp in on reading....

>Who sorted this list?
>
> williarsch@nandomail.com wrote:
>>
> > BAYCITVIDGRP
> > jmackey
> > Macaroon
> > Zombie Wolf
> > George Vetterle
> > Blake Bowers
> > Glenn
> > Phil Smalley
>
>
>

3103. Re: FS: BC9000 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: berta1s@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990528180245.23616.00001336@ng39.aol.com>
Source record: 117276

i will buy it if you still have it

3104. Re: FS: BC9000 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: berta1s@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990528180333.23616.00001337@ng39.aol.com>
Source record: 117277

i will takeit ,let me know

3105. Shinwa SR-001 unblocked wireless receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: gg210301@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990528182833.23703.00006011@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 117278

This is a unique wireless remote control receiver which covers from 25-999.9 MHZ.

See the beautiful pictures at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=110433900

3106. FS: RS Pro-60 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: BHL <philoxeny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7img7qecr1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117279

This model covers from 30 Mhz to 512 Mhz, 760 Mhz to 824 Mhz, 849 Mhz to 869 Mhz and 894 Mhz to 1000 Mhz. It has AM, NFM, and WFM modes so you can receive the FM broadcast band and sound for TV channels 2 thru 13. Not a scratch or blemish on it and it works perfectly. Comes with manual, stock rubber ducky and AC to DC power supply. $150 + $5 shipping. USPS money order please.
Contact : BHL
philoxeny@hotmail.com

3107. *MINT* AOR AR8200 on Ebay *UNBLOCKED*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jg@thegrid.net
Message-ID: <7in9u4ccn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117280

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=109802974

It's a Gov't model.
W/ All the bells & whistles...

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3108. Re: BC-855 XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobbo <bobbo@s0fthome.net>
Message-ID: <01bc6bbd$c2f41ba0$4615c0d8@idtzrgrk>
Source record: 117281

Hold down manual, limit and hold while turning on the power, it should
clear all
channels. If you find the discriminator tap, lemme know.
Bobbo

Us <cyfolks@earthlink.net> wrote in article
<374DB5D0.5DCD5D76@earthlink.net>...
> Recently a friend gave me a BC-855 XLT. Being new at this could
> someone please tell me how to delete frequiencies that are added
> in error? I've tried the Uniden site and there is no online
> manual available. Come on guys, help a girl out, please???
> Pam
>
>
>

3109. Re: SF Bay Area frequency help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: spooner@sf.znet.com
Message-ID: <374f2c07.14512279@news.znet.com>
Source record: 117282

Speaking of the Bay Area, does anyone know the frequency for Piedmont?

On Wed, 26 May 1999 20:16:33 -0700, George <sp33d@my-deja.com> wrote:

>I'm looking for frequencies for
>
>San Rafael, Richmond, El Cerritto
>Fire/Polic/Emergency
>
>As well as the Richmond Oil Refinery
>
>
>Thanks for any help

3110. Question on discriminator output setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <qkG33.30422$pj7.239184@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117283
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a question. I have found the discriminator output on my scanner and want to know if I purchased the correct connector. The connector that I have are From Radio Shack Cat. No. 274-248A. Two-conductor 1/8" Phone Jacks. Closed Circuit type. Will this part work. I just want to connect the dis tap to this part as well as ground it but when I look at it I dont know if it work work.. Can someone help??

3111. Re: Question on discriminator output setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7indhvarg1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 117284
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Robert wrote in message ...
>I have a question. I have found the discriminator output on my scanner and
>want to know if I purchased the correct connector. The connector that I
>have are From Radio Shack Cat. No. 274-248A. Two-conductor 1/8" Phone
Jacks.
>Closed Circuit type. Will this part work. I just want to connect the dis
>tap to this part as well as ground it but when I look at it I dont know if
>it work work.. Can someone help??

I used the panel mount female RCA jack on my 895 (sorry, no RS part # handy). I hated to do it, but I mustered up the courage to drill a hole in the back of the case for it, which was the hardest part. Then I took a .20 gauge (I think) insulated lead wire and soldered one end to the tap point *on the bottom of the board - much easier than the top*, then soldered the other end to the center pin connector of the RCA jack. I grounded it by using the grounding lug on the outside of the case, connected to the same screw that grounds the aux jack. That's all there was to it - pretty easy.

Another way, if you don't want to drill a hole, is to cut a RCA cable and peel back the braid about 1/4" or so. Then trim away a little plastic off of the center conductor. Solder the center conductor to your tap point, then solder a ground wire from the braid back to the chassis. Wrap the exposed braid and solder connection to ground with some electrical tape to prevent a short. Then you can dangle some of the cable out the back - most scanners have little gaps at the corners that the wire can sit in without being pinched by the case. Leave just enough out for the plug - anymore than that just says "yank on me" to any little kids and animals you may have about.

Hope that is helpful, and a last word of caution: Be very careful to tap the right point, and double check your work before firing it back up. The scanner you save may be your own.....

Good luck,
-Phil

3112. Re: Railroad train end car transmitters...freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: aor8200 <aor8200@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KRG33.730$Ss.535@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 117285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

OBI

You need to do something about you pan european OE 5 !. I would't not like
to killfile because of this and i do not need that plugin :-)

Stephan
Greenland

OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:374E0E55.3D26@ameritech.net...
> Rich Carlson wrote:
> >
> > In article <7iihk0$755@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>, Toscano
> > <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> >
> > > I saw these posted maybe a year and a half ago...
> > > ....anyone have the freqs?
> >
> > Most railroads use 457.9375. Some trains use two-way EOT's to activate
> > brakes from the rear end, and the locomotive transmits commands on
> > 452.9375. Norfolk Southern uses 161.115 and regular everyday DTMF. (UHF
> > EOT's use a different data protocol.)
> >
> > --
> > **
> > * Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
> > * Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
> > ****************************g3mtnw*********************************
> Let's not forget those Hot Boxe Detectors
> --
> REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

3113. Re: BC-855 XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Russ <12volts@nac.net>
Message-ID: <374F457E.47A55228@nac.net>
Source record: 117286

all you gotta do is press Manual then the number its in then hit 000.000
E (for enter) and thats it its out.

Russ

3114. Re: Emergency? How about 121.5 MHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <#RoB2fXq#GA.340@nih2naac.compuserve.com>
Source record: 117287

Buried in a sequence of nested quotes, somebody asked:
> Isn't there also something called an E.P.I.R.B.?
> What frequency does this operate on?

EPIRB - Emergency Position Indicating RadioBeacon (also known as an
ELT - Emergency Locator Transmitter) transmits to satelites on 406.025 MHz.

3115. Blue Angels and Thunderbirds freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Cira <dardvl@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <37501B8C.6F39@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 117038

Can anyone help me with Blue Angels and Thunderbirds frequencies in use
so far this airshow season?
So far for the Blues I have 263.350 275.350 and 345.900. Someone
mentioned 141.850 for the Blues too. Would this be AM or NFM? I know
141.850AM is used heavily by the Thunderbirds, but as of now that is the
only frequency I have for them during this season.
Thanx!
Happy Scanning
Mike
Ft. Lauderdale, FL.

3116. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: tcrpe <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <j7V33.34492$pj7.286982@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117039

Make that 104.

jmackey@parg.org wrote in message <3750128B.46CC7D@parg.org>...
>Then please re-post this message you claim to verify authenticity.
>
>
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
>> Yes you did. When the above subject line appeared in the
rec.radio.amateur
>> NGs, it was your post and there was no "re:" preceding the title. With no
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

3117. Vancouver B.C. Police /W.A.R.S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay MacNEIL <jmacneil@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <375028B0.F@direct.ca>
Source record: 117040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Just a little note to all listeners in the Vancouver
B.C. / Lower Mainland area.

It appears that the Vancouver Police have moved on to thier new
866/867 Mhz W.A.R.S frequencies and are transmitting totally
digital(Oh well)leaving their old 143-144 mhz system dead.
There was some comms being heard on 143.385mhz but it was I think
a simulcast of some sort from thier new EDAC system.
One officer made reference to switching to simplex----no more
comms were ever heard since then.
The major crime alert tone was also heard at one point on one of thier
old
frequencies too.

If anyone else hears comms on thier old freqs, please email me
or post info to newsgroup.
Thx.
jmacneil@direct.ca

3118. FS ICOM R-2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8ewq@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990529142226.28074.00003261@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 117041

I got it as a gift and I already have one. I never took the radio out of the box.
The radio also comes with a brand new Diamond srh-519 sma antenna which costs $35 and a Maha MH-C204F rapid charger for AAA or AA nicads/nmhd batterys. The cost of this plus 4 nmhd AA cells was $30. I am asking $200 for the whole package. Remember this radio is brand new and was never used. I will pay shipping, if interested please email me.

Thanks

Tony

3119. Urgent! PCR-1000 for sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: spola46983@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990529145115.19054.00002236@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 117042

```
1 year old ICOM PCR-1000 with full original
coverage.Used only 1-2 month.No box and
fake antenna.Location Canada.Best offers.

E-mail at Spola46983@AOL.com to Gene.
```

3120. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@parg.org
Message-ID: <375041CF.DE78ACE6@parg.org>
Source record: 117043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Macaroon wrote:

> On Thu, 27 May 1999 02:49:43 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >I never said I was "responsible for his arrest".
>
> Backpeddling again.

NO, just STATING THE TRUTH!!! Once again, I never said I was "responsible for his arrest".

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3121. Re: Blake lies AGAIN! was:Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@parg.org
Message-ID: <37504245.A100FD7@parg.org>
Source record: 117044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

What "inconsistancies" are those? What "proof" was posted? No one semed to
notice
any "proof" except you.

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Has anyone happened to notice the little "inconsistancies" that Blake posted
> about John recently? John asked for proof that he was a liar, and got it in

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3122. Re: John Mackey, Federal Agent impersonator, Toilet Bowl Brush, and informant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@parg.org
Message-ID: <375042AB.2DA9BD47@parg.org>
Source record: 117045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Blake, get a grip on reality. Those are "amateur radio license tests".
I have NEVER said I "have 5 FCC licenses".

Blake Bowers wrote:

> On Thu, 27 May 1999 09:15:16 GMT, jmackey@parg.org wrote:
> Lie #1:
>
>
> John's License Post: 4/22/99
>
> > Thirdly, you claim to have more FCC licenses than you can count one
> > one hand. Please list those.
>
> Gladely Blake, they are a matter of public record!I have had my
> Amateur
> Radio Novice license (1983), my Amateur Radio Technician license
> (1984), my Amateur Radio General License (1990), my Amateur Radio
> Advanced license (1990), and my Amateur radio Extra class license
> (1993).
>
> All these in addition to my General Radiotelephone License.
>
> Lie #2:
> If I am obsesed with that person, when why is it that
> everyone else is always wanting to talk about him??
>
> When was the last time you saw ME initiate any discussion about him?
>
> tcrpe wrote:
>
> > The only facts one needs to show that you are a loser, obsessed with
> Bill
> > Cheek, are the hundreds of asinine posts you have made.
>
> John's initiation of a Bill Cheek thread:
>
> Subject: How to contact Bill Cheek??
> Date: 1999/04/04
> Author: jmackey <jmackey@usa.net>
>
> Does anyone know what jail or prison Bill was taken to upon his
> arrest

> by the federal investigators so we may send him cards & letters? This
> way, he will know we are all thinking of him!
>
> Lie #3:
> I do NOT "post OVER 350 offtopic posts a month" as you claim.
>
> Dejanews search from 1/1/99 to present:
>
> Messages 1-100 of about 2200 matches
>
> 2200 divided by 6 months = 366 messages per month. Do the math.
>
> Lie #4:
> > That's the wrong John Mackey. This one's only claim to fame is being
> the top off-topic poster on usenet with thousands of off-topic
> messages
> across
>
> Oh no, I could NEVER try to hold that title. Bill Cheek won that
> title
> severaltimes over according to information POSTED by YOU. Remember
> the
> "reality
> check" post where YOUR OWN DATA showed that Cheek posted several
> hundred more posts on
> usenet than me!?!?!?!?!
>
> Below is an abbreviated qoute:
>
> Date | Mackey | Cheek |
> ----------
> TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 |
>
> Current Totals for Cheek and Mackey 1999:
>
> Mackey: About 2200 listed this year
> Cheek: About 1303 listed this year
>
> Estimated on topic content
> Cheek: 60%
> Mackey: 0.99%
>
> >I NEVER made the statement as you indicate below. Following YOUR LIE
> >I posted the REAL statement I made.
> >
> >Blake Bowers wrote:
> >
> >> You stated that you "had more FCC licenses than you could count on one
> >> hand." That was a lie.
> >

> > Date: Mon, 19 Apr 1999
> > From: jmackey@usa.net
> > Organization: Deja News - The Leader in
> >Internet Discussions
> > Subject: Re: Who is Bill Cheek ?
> >
> >Hardly true, I have passed SEVERAL FCC license tests and acquired MORE FCC
> >
> >licenses that attest to my technical abilities than could be counted on
> >one hand!
> >
> >
> >----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> >http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
> >
> >

--
----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3123. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: csmolinski@erols.com
Message-ID: <csmolinski-ya02408000R2905991539100001@news.erols.com>
Source record: 117046
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm really surprised it's such a chore to implement this stuff under Windows. Not to turn this into an OS war, but reading sampled sound (and playing sound for transmit mode) hasn't been a major problem on the Mac (for my MultiMode). Perhaps it has to do with Microsoft's implementation. I haven't done any serious Windows programming (I sometimes consider porting MultiMode over to Windows, although this discussion seems to imply that the sound I/O isn't trivial), but it's quite simple on the Mac. You decide which sound input device to use, set the sample rate, sample size, etc, and that's it. Specify how many samples you want, and your callback procedure gets called (at interrupt time) when they're ready. The sound input is buffered, so nothing gets lost if it takes a few extra milliseconds (or even seconds) before your procedure gets called again. (Perhaps because you're doing some special processing and disabled interrupts, or aren't ready, for whatever reason)

After first writing my low-level sound input routines, I haven't touched them in a year of adding new modes to MultiMode, except one time, to handle the newer G3 Macs that can only sample at 44 kHz.

Packet will more slightly more of a challenge, due to the quick turn-around times required, but it still looks quite easy.

73's
Chris
N3JLY

In article <7iopgkjbj1@autumn.news.rcn.net>, "Mark J. Fine" <fineware@erols.com> wrote:

> Will Sparks <willy@home.com> wrote in message
> > One of the nice things about Win95/NT/OS/2/Linux is that you don't have to
> > write low level code to access the sound card. The drivers take care of it,
>
> The problem with what you're saying is that the drivers are only meant for
> playback and recording in a certain format - NOT the kind of FFT processing
> that's required. This processing is also not available in the standard sound
> dll.
>
> > Decoding RTTY doesn't need real-time processing. Sure, VB isn't quite up to
> > snuff for it, but it does make a nice front end so you don't have to spend a
> > lot of time writing C++ code. Use a DLL for all the signal processing.
> > Buffers can take care of any processing lag, although decoding RTTY isn't
> > exactly "intensive".
>

> Disagree. It's a lot more complicated than you think. There's not just the
> decoding part that needs to be done here. There's also the issue of
> discriminating the desired tones from the rest of the clutter and noise that
> you're passing through there. You need FFTs to do this since zero-crossing
> techniques just don't cut it in a real signal environment.
>
> Once that filtering is done, only then can you start decoding the signal. I
> agree that some buffering is required, but you only have so much buffer space
> for windowing an accurate capture and hold function. Sooner or later if the
> processing isn't fast enough, you will have a buffer overflow and will lose
> synchronization. I've done this with single-processor 486s, Pentiums and
> Pentium II machines. They all overflow at some point when trying to do this
> with the standard interfaces to a sound card.
>
> Since we're not talking about true multi-processing operating
> systems/environments, the signal processing is too intense for the results to
> be refreshed to any Windows user interface (especially with VB). This may be
> a bad analogy, but the result is similar to getting dropouts on RealAudio. If
> you're dropping large chunks of data during the buffering process, what's the
> point.
>
> The bottom line here is that sound cards were never designed to be true signal
> processing chips like the TMS series. If people want a real decoder in their
> machines, they need a different card that's going to do the extra processing
> for them rather than rely on their main processor to do it for them.
>
> 73,
>
> [* Mark J. Fine * FineWare * 11252 Cardinal Drive *]
> [* Remington, Virginia 22734-2032 * USA * 3834N 07751W *]
> [* fineware@erols.com * http://www.erols.com/fineware/ *]
> [* Smart R8 Control * SWBC Schedule Subscription Service *]
> [* Smart Lowe Ctrl * Smart Kenwood Ctrl * Smart NRD Ctrl *]
> [*SWBC Interval Signals * Smart Audio Control * TTY Reader*]

--
Check out Black Cat Systems for radio (pirate, spy numbers) and Mac
software. http://www.blackcatsystems.com

The Numbers Racket - a CD-ROM of Spy Numbers stations, info available at
http://www.blackcatsystems.com/numbers

3124. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@parg.org
Message-ID: <375042DC.480EEE9A@parg.org>
Source record: 117047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

OK, Blake, what did they do? I haven't noticed anything!

Blake Bowers wrote:

> On Thu, 27 May 1999 03:03:31 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> Sort of what like home.com had done to you, for all your crossposting

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3125. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@parg.org
Message-ID: <37504362.538FA9E0@parg.org>
Source record: 117048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Wrong! the FACT that Bill had his internet access REVOKED due
to his repeated unacceptable actions is public
& common knowledge. (the provider was san.rr.com)

Macaroon wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> >(Remember what happened to your buddy Bill after I made his provider aware of
> >his actions!!!)
>
> Yea, nothing.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3126. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@parg.org
Message-ID: <375043B3.635E6678@parg.org>
Source record: 117049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Blake, I never said I was a federal agent. You are becoming more delusional.

Blake Bowers wrote:

> Now, that's a hoot! John Mackey, Federal Agent,

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3127. Re: ...the screw who turned Bill In to Feds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@parg.org
Message-ID: <375044F3.FEC4185C@parg.org>
Source record: 117050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Blake, are you trying to say the feds didn't know or have acces to the
internet
until I brought it to their attention??

Come on, BE REAL!

Blake Bowers wrote:

> On Thu, 27 May 1999 11:32:42 GMT, J. MacKenzie
> > Wasn't the case against Bill based on public information on the
> internet?
>
> But John was the one that brought it to the feds attention!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3128. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@parg.org
Message-ID: <375045AB.F90CC8F1@parg.org>
Source record: 117051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

OK, FOOL, here is the link you were crying about saying you wanted.
How inept you are, most people are capable of spending a few moments
operating a search engine & find the information when given the author,
subject, & date as YOU WERE GIVEN!

http://x39.deja.com/[ST_rn=ps]/getdoc.xp?AN=468402606&CONTEXT=928006939.353370173&hitnum=0

Macaroon wrote:

> Once again, you fail. You didn't read clearly. PROVIDE A DEJANEWS LINK. You
> have now officially failed challenge #73 as predicted. You are nothing more
> than a FOOL!!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3129. ICOM R-10 QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: v <FDNYE76L22@access1.net>
Message-ID: <374fd46b@news.access1.net>
Source record: 117052

Does anyone know how to scan just one bank at at time and how to link and unlink the banks?.....Thanks

3130. Re: Shot down again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: pio@parg.org
Message-ID: <3750485F.4829232D@parg.org>
Source record: 117053
Cross-posted to:
alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters,misc.emerg-services

How do you figure that Blake?

Why are you here trying to make provoking, troublemaking posts again?

Blake Bowers wrote:

> As John goes away again, tail stuck between his legs, a loud and
> boisterous cheer goes up! All hail, Mackeys gone again!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3131. PRO-34 Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <37504E25.8798664E@gnc.net>
Source record: 117054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm looking for the keyboard matrix information for a PRO-34
that is in the Service Manual (not an owners manual) . As an
example, here's a link to an image of the keyboard matrix for
a PRO-2042:

http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/2042kbrd.JPG

If you have a Service Manual for a PRO-34, I'm willing to make
a deal for the info. Contact me directly at rc123@gnc.net

Thanks,

Robert

3132. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <rhY33.964$fW3.37236@news.san.rr.com>
Source record: 117055
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Gregory L. Forster <glf@execpc.com> wrote in message
news:7iorht$j5h@newsops.execpc.com...
>
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
> > <7gptamqtt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> > >The following comes from the Tandy Support site:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >"Modifying or tampering with the scanner's internal components can
> > >invalidate the scanner's warranty and void your FCC authorization to
> > >operate it. If your scanner is not operating as it should, take it to
> > >your local RadioShack store for assistance."
> > >
> > >(LB/tc 4/9/98)
> > >
> > >
> > >What is the legal basis for the FCC to authorize operating a scanner?
Has
> > the
> > >FCC ever voided such authorization for an individual person?
> > >
> > >
> > Sure they can, just the same as if you illegally modify Ham or CB
equipment.
> > The reason for Tandy's statement is simply for C.Y.A. purposes, so that
if
> > someone does tamper with their scanner and then uses it illegally, the
> > individual is responsible, not Tandy.
> >
> > -Phil
>
> Ham and CB are transmitting devices. They can also emit RFI. Scanners
are
> receiving devices, just different frequencies the same as shortwave
radios. I'm
> surprised the FCC doesn't regulate shortwaves either, or do they now?
>

The FCC regulates EVERYTHING that can radiate interference. They've forced electric shavers off the market until modified to not radiate excessively.

Superheterodyne recievers (essentially all of them, these days) have a local oscillator or oscillators, which can radiate interference if the design is bad, and can be picked up even if it isn't for a block or more, depending on what antenna you have connected. Before the days of programmables, when scanners were pretty much 10.7 IF or 10.8 IF, with crystals, you could find out if someone in your neigborhood was listening to the local police channel by checking the LO frequencies, and hearing that 'psht psht psht' as the scanner swept by the channel, stopping whenever the police transmitted.

3133. Re: ICOM R2 Rs232 information?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: hdutch@wxs.nl
Message-ID: <37514bbe.1722606@news.wxs.nl>
Source record: 117056
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.amateur,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

"Jan Bertens" <bertens@nl.net> wrote:

>The R2 can dump data to a computer using the clone option. I'm looking for a
>good description of the contents off that dump. I already found out some
>things myself but not all field are clear.

ftp.aussie.ch/pub/scanner/prog-dos/ICR2V100.ZIP

br, harry
--
PGP Public Key Request simply send mail to
pgp.hdutch@aussie.ch / e-plus 0177-5792525

3134. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark J. Fine" <fineware@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7ipkcmjig1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 117057
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi Chris,

Long time - no type...

You're right, this could very easily turn into an OS war. Believe it or not, the Mac's (actually Motorola's) interrupt structure is a lot more efficient for these kinds of things. What you say is essentially how you would program a sound card if you were to use Window's built-in sound interface. However, Window's sound drivers are still tied back to the clunky IRQ scheme still underlying in DOS. This is part of the speed issue.

73,

[* Mark J. Fine * FineWare * 11252 Cardinal Drive *]
[* Remington, Virginia 22734-2032 * USA * 3834N 07751W *]
[* fineware@erols.com * http://www.erols.com/fineware/ *]
[* Smart R8 Control * SWBC Schedule Subscription Service *]
[* Smart Lowe Ctrl * Smart Kenwood Ctrl * Smart NRD Ctrl *]
[*SWBC Interval Signals * Smart Audio Control * TTY Reader*]

Chris Smolinski <csmolinski@erols.com> wrote in message news:csmolinski-ya02408000R2905991539100001@news.erols.com...
> I'm really surprised it's such a chore to implement this stuff under
> Windows. Not to turn this into an OS war, but reading sampled sound (and
> playing sound for transmit mode) hasn't been a major problem on the Mac
> (for my MultiMode). Perhaps it has to do with Microsoft's implementation. I
> haven't done any serious Windows programming (I sometimes consider porting
> MultiMode over to Windows, although this discussion seems to imply that the
> sound I/O isn't trivial), but it's quite simple on the Mac. You decide
> which sound input device to use, set the sample rate, sample size, etc, and
> that's it. Specify how many samples you want, and your callback procedure
> gets called (at interrupt time) when they're ready. The sound input is
> buffered, so nothing gets lost if it takes a few extra milliseconds (or
> even seconds) before your procedure gets called again. (Perhaps because
> you're doing some special processing and disabled interrupts, or aren't
> ready, for whatever reason)
>
> After first writing my low-level sound input routines, I haven't touched
> them in a year of adding new modes to MultiMode, except one time, to handle
> the newer G3 Macs that can only sample at 44 kHz.
>
> Packet will more slightly more of a challenge, due to the quick turn-around
> times required, but it still looks quite easy.
>

> 73's
> Chris
> N3JLY
>
>
> In article <7iopgkjbj1@autumn.news.rcn.net>, "Mark J. Fine"
> <fineware@erols.com> wrote:
>
> > Will Sparks <willy@home.com> wrote in message
> > > One of the nice things about Win95/NT/OS/2/Linux is that you don't have
to
> > > write low level code to access the sound card. The drivers take care of
it,
> >
> > The problem with what you're saying is that the drivers are only meant for
> > playback and recording in a certain format - NOT the kind of FFT
processing
> > that's required. This processing is also not available in the standard
sound
> > dll.
> >
> > > Decoding RTTY doesn't need real-time processing. Sure, VB isn't quite
up to
> > > snuff for it, but it does make a nice front end so you don't have to
spend a
> > > lot of time writing C++ code. Use a DLL for all the signal processing.
> > > Buffers can take care of any processing lag, although decoding RTTY
isn't
> > > exactly "intensive".
> >
> > Disagree. It's a lot more complicated than you think. There's not just
the
> > decoding part that needs to be done here. There's also the issue of
> > discriminating the desired tones from the rest of the clutter and noise
that
> > you're passing through there. You need FFTs to do this since
zero-crossing
> > techniques just don't cut it in a real signal environment.
> >
> > Once that filtering is done, only then can you start decoding the signal.
I
> > agree that some buffering is required, but you only have so much buffer
space
> > for windowing an accurate capture and hold function. Sooner or later if
the
> > processing isn't fast enough, you will have a buffer overflow and will
lose
> > synchronization. I've done this with single-processor 486s, Pentiums and
> > Pentium II machines. They all overflow at some point when trying to do
this

> > with the standard interfaces to a sound card.
> >
> > Since we're not talking about true multi-processing operating
> > systems/environments, the signal processing is too intense for the results
to
> > be refreshed to any Windows user interface (especially with VB). This may
be
> > a bad analogy, but the result is similar to getting dropouts on RealAudio.
If
> > you're dropping large chunks of data during the buffering process, what's
the
> > point.
> >
> > The bottom line here is that sound cards were never designed to be true
signal
> > processing chips like the TMS series. If people want a real decoder in
their
> > machines, they need a different card that's going to do the extra
processing
> > for them rather than rely on their main processor to do it for them.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > [* Mark J. Fine * FineWare * 11252 Cardinal Drive *]
> > [* Remington, Virginia 22734-2032 * USA * 3834N 07751W *]
> > [* fineware@erols.com * http://www.erols.com/fineware/ *]
> > [* Smart R8 Control * SWBC Schedule Subscription Service *]
> > [* Smart Lowe Ctrl * Smart Kenwood Ctrl * Smart NRD Ctrl *]
> > [*SWBC Interval Signals * Smart Audio Control * TTY Reader*]
>
> --
> Check out Black Cat Systems for radio (pirate, spy numbers) and Mac
> software. http://www.blackcatsystems.com
>
> The Numbers Racket - a CD-ROM of Spy Numbers stations, info available at
> http://www.blackcatsystems.com/numbers

3135. Re: ...the screw who turned Bill In to Feds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <3807AC7F263D5312.479E062F9332895C.D3D86BD7A523CD16@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 117058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I think you screwing Bill like you did was an attempt for you to have this channel for yourself
instead of having to share all the attention with Bill.
I think we should all killfile you as a protest in your activity against Bill.

3136. Re: ...the screw who turned Bill In to Feds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ipld3$bnq@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In
<3807AC7F263D5312.479E062F9332895C.D3D86BD7A523CD16@library-proxy.airne
s.net> "Spunky The Waffle Iron" <spunky@nospam.org> writes:
>
>I think you screwing Bill like you did was an attempt for you to have
=
>this channel for yourself
>instead of having to share all the attention with Bill.
>I think we should all killfile you as a protest in your activity
against =
>Bill.
>
we are...do likewise

please

bill conduit
etc.

3137. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <928013987.083.30@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 117060
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:375006C2.29853FB3@IEEE.org...
> Will Sparks wrote:
> >
> > One of the nice things about Win95/NT/OS/2/Linux is that you don't
have to
> > write low level code to access the sound card.
>
> DOS is conspicuous by its absence. Aren't there DOS drivers available
> for soundcards? Are there standard DOS calls available for soundcards?
> If not, could we come up with some? How is/was soundcard interfacing
> handled under DOS?

Interaction in DOS is/was at a hardware and firmware level. Thus, SB
compatibility was a significant issue in the bad-old-days. During
software installation, the setup program looks for the SB environment
strings, or queries you for the IRQ, and I/O and DMA ports. Some "game
engines" supply sound libraries, almost always supporting SB. Quality
varies. The most stable of these is Win9x. :)

Michael.

3138. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Feustel <feustel@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <375067F6.EA079E96@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117061
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This approach is not cheap, but very interesting.

Buy a TI 'c6x01 eval kit ($999 or 1450, dependeing upon whether
you buy the fixed point or floating point unit). The DSP eval card
plugs into the PCI bus and is downloaded via the PCI bus in WinNT
(I'm not sure whether it works with Win95/98 or not). Included is
a C compiler, IDE, emulator and download software. The DSP card
has line in, line out and mike in audio ports.

See TI and DNA Enterprises for details.

http://www.dnaent.com/
http://www.ti.com/sc/docs/products/dsp/index.htm

MOstrowski wrote:
>
> I'd like to hear from anyone who has written digital signal decoding
> software using sound cards.
>
> I'm currently searching for information relating to decoding digital signals
> (CW, RTTY, AMTOR,
> CLOVER, PSK31, etc) using a sound card. I've used several of such software
> packages currently
> available for download and I like to try my hand a writing something
> similar. I have many years
> experience professionally programming both DOS and Windows applications
> using C/C++/MFC,
> but have never had need to interface to a sound card until now. I'd like
> this application to be
> Windows 95/98 based but I've heard rumors that directly accessing the sound
> card interface is
> difficult, if not impossible, under that OS.
>
> Any tips you can pass along that can get me started will be greatly
> appreciated; also any info
> on books/articles/websites/source code/libs you've found helpful.
>
> Michael Ostrowski - KI8IK
> Saline, MI
>
> KI8IK@yahoo.com

--
David Feustel

Fort Wayne, Indiana
219-483-1857

Hear what NO NETWORK NEWS STATION Dares to Report:

David J. Smith on WWCR, Mon-Fri http://www.newswatchmagazine.org
5070 KHz, 7am EST
3215 KHz, 8pm EST

William Cooper on WCBQ, Mon-Thu http://www.harvest-trust.org
7415 KHz, 8-10pm EST

Alex Jones on RepublicRadio Mon-Fri http://www.infowars.com
9400 KHz, 1-2pm EST

John Roland on AFN, Mon-Fri http://www.americannewsnet.com
9370 KHz USB, 1-3pm

What? You don't have a shortwave radio?
Oh. Never Mind.

3139. mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: billy <billhoward1@home.com>
Message-ID: <37506844.31AB40F2@home.com>
Source record: 117062

Looking to buy a used mobile scanner.. Would like a uniden, but consider others.. Please e-mail

thanks
Bill

3140. Re: Ham Radio Operator using police frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: scape3000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990529184308.18933.00002022@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 117063

Some time's people do stupid things
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
ANGER IS A GIFT.
<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

3141. Ignore them all John Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: gho <ru@ghnet.com>
Message-ID: <3750704C.AF647FA3@ghnet.com>
Source record: 117064

They're only jealous of your superior knowledege of
electronics and you command of the English language.

3142. Re: Uniden BC700A Info Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990529191159.11233.00009067@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 117065

>From: "Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@gulfsouth.verio.net>

>I am looking for any websites which may have an on-line manual >>>

The BC350A is the same scanner functionally without the 800-900 MHz range. The manual for the BC350A will tell you all you need to know on the funtionality of the BC700A. Just insert the 800-900 MHz range in the specs, etc. The link for the BC350A on-line manual from Uniden is below.
http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/pdf/BC350Aom.pdf
Hope that helps.

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

3143. cell trick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990529191550.29566.00006475@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 117066

if you are 5 miles or less from a cell repeater you can hear calls between 896 and 915 mhz

3144. Re: Regency MR-10 Monitoradio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsmtmn@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990529192504.23853.00005940@ng25.aol.com>
Source record: 117067

Are you sure that is the exact model number of that radio? I tried looking it up in my Sams Photo Facts and other places, but can't find that MR-10 model listed.

Dan

3145. Re: CONNECTICUT STATE POLICE??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990529194206.13211.00006716@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 117068

Which frequencies are you listening to? All their communications are simplex on 42 megs.

Mike

3146. old Regency scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry <dillon@desupernet.net>
Message-ID: <37507d57@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 117069

Hi folks...

Picked up a yard sale "goody" today...a Regency ACT-T16K desktop scanner. 16-channel, with touchpad and of course, no manual. (Hey...whadya want for 5-bucks). No, it doesn't work...at least I don't think so. Can't figure out how to program it. There's audio (static) and it will move thru the 16-channels. A weather channel is set as priority, and I can't figure out how to get it out of priority mode. One of the touch pads says "SP SS."

Anyone have a clue as to how to set this thing up, assuming it's not dead? It also has a place for a 9-volt battery, but I'm assuming that's to keep the frequencies locked in when it's unplugged. The guy I bought it from did have the weather station audio, but I can't get it now. Any help or web site where a manual might be available greatly appreciated.

'73's

3147. FS: Realistic Pro2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <3750866F.4998DCA1@intsvc.com>
Source record: 117070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,atl.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Forsale: Realistic Pro2037 base/mobile scanner. Unit is in good condition and works fine. Comes with a RS telescoping antenna (motorola plug) and BNC connector.

Frequency Coverage:.....30-54 Mhz (in 5 kHz steps)
118.0000 - 136.9750 MHz (in 25 kHz steps)
137.0000 - 174.0000 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
380.0000 - 512.0000 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
806.0000 - 823.9875 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
849.0125 - 868.9875 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
894.0125 - 960.0000 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
Channels of Operation:..200 Channels in any band (20 channels x 10 banks) plus 10 monitor memories

Asking 120.00 plus shippng (should be about $5.00). Will have pictures in a few days if interested.

--

Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com> ICQ # 19943355
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
Listing of all incident paging groups http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

The views expressed here are my own and not that of Incident Command Page unless otherwise stated.

3148. micro CCD Camera Transmitter ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Aaron Ceraldi <ceraldi@albany.net>
Message-ID: <7iq1j8dgc1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 117071

I saw a post on here a while back, and I visited the web site, of a company
that made a micro transmitter for ccd cameras it transmited on ch-6 - ch-11
I think, and it was like the size of a quarter, anyone know of the company
and web site where it is, or a similer product, closest Iv found is

http://www.action-electronics.com/ccd.htm

makes a 2.4GHz transmitter and reciver package looks nice but kinda to large
for what I want to do.

please e-mail me any info,

Thanks,
Aaron ceraldi,
KNY2AGO
--
|--|
| Sony ES CDX-C780
| MMATS D200HC @ 1ohm
| (4) Infinity Perfect 10's
| MMATS LM2100
| Audiocontrol EQL
| Audiocontrol 3XS
| --|

3149. Odd digital signal - any ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: spamtrap@bleeding-head.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11ba7500f4795e0a989680@news.crocker.com>
Source record: 117072

A local water department is sending packet-like data bursts, and
I'd love to know what the heck they're using to do so. The signal appears
to be FSK (512 baud, 900+1500hz tones). I've never run across any other
digital signal that uses these parameters; does anyone have any idea what
this might be? My best guess is either telemetry or security, but I'm
more interested in what format this might be or if anyone's seen
equipment that generates a similar signal in use somewhere else.

3150. Re: mods for BC235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nathan Duke <papercut@lx.net>
Message-ID: <3750A055.8FD0414E@lx.net>
Source record: 117073

If I remember correctly, It modifies the same as the 200XLT.

Gardner Horton wrote:

> Need mod info for BC 235. Any help appreciated....

3151. Yupi 7100 VS BC3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <7iq7g0caf1@news.indy.net>
Source record: 117074

Anyone owned both these able to compare the two? Which is better? Or is there something better than these two for similar price? Opinions please. Thanks!

Bill K9KZ

3152. BC70 keypad problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: alkrol@MCS.COM
Message-ID: <7iq7on$1ncp$1@Venus.mcs.net>
Source record: 117075

a garage sale deal for $5 with wall wart and no battery.
I entered a few local freqs and a scan limit in the cordless range
later I turned it on and it scans but no keypad response.
and yes I moved the keypad switch a few times but no deal,
anyone run across this?

3153. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <928033779.440.53@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 117076
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It's not. You just open the device, and pass buffers in and out. The
multimedia library takes care of the rest.

Michael.

Chris Smolinski <csmolinski@erols.com> wrote in message
news:csmolinski-ya02408000R2905991539100001@news.erols.com...
> I'm really surprised it's such a chore to implement this stuff under
> Windows. Not to turn this into an OS war, but reading sampled sound
(and

3154. CARMA Meeting and Picnic June 5th

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-2905992222320001@56-ip-499.theramp.net>
Source record: 117077

The annual CARMA Picnic and regular June meeting will be on
June 5th at Argonne Woods this Saturday, June 5 1999.

The meeting will start at 1:00 PM sharp in the Shelter. Picnic activities
will start in the morning, perhaps early. Come as early as you wish, set
up shortwave or scanner radios and antennas, and bring grills, coolers and
other goodies. Should the weather be absolutly horrible, we have reserved
an inside room in the Argonne facility.

A map of the area as well as directions are on the CARMA Web site,
http://www.theramp.net/shabec/carma.htm

This is the 20th anniversary weekend of CARMA/RCMA-Chicago, and our own
John Arendt (a founding member of RCMA-Chicago) will present a
retrospective of the last 20 years of club activity.

Please make every effort to attend some portion of our day in the woods. I
will be demonstrating E-Trax, the EDACS following software for the OptoCom
receiver, as well as Probe v5.0, the operating software for OS456, OS535,
and OptoCom receivers. Other toys will be on display as well.

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**************************g3mtnw*********************************

3155. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Fanning <tom.f@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <7irui1$7eb$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 117078
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I take it this will be 1200 baud packet.

Also please Please PLEASE port your brilliant software to the PC - not
necesarily Windows

--
Regards,
Tom
tom.f@btinternet.com
Chris Smolinski <csmolinski@erols.com> wrote in message
news:csmolinski-ya02408000R2905991539100001@news.erols.com...
> I'm really surprised it's such a chore to implement this stuff under
> Windows. Not to turn this into an OS war, but reading sampled sound (and
> playing sound for transmit mode) hasn't been a major problem on the Mac
> (for my MultiMode). Perhaps it has to do with Microsoft's implementation.
I
> haven't done any serious Windows programming (I sometimes consider porting
> MultiMode over to Windows, although this discussion seems to imply that
the
> sound I/O isn't trivial), but it's quite simple on the Mac. You decide
> which sound input device to use, set the sample rate, sample size, etc,
and
> that's it. Specify how many samples you want, and your callback procedure
> gets called (at interrupt time) when they're ready. The sound input is
> buffered, so nothing gets lost if it takes a few extra milliseconds (or
> even seconds) before your procedure gets called again. (Perhaps because
> you're doing some special processing and disabled interrupts, or aren't
> ready, for whatever reason)
>
> After first writing my low-level sound input routines, I haven't touched
> them in a year of adding new modes to MultiMode, except one time, to
handle
> the newer G3 Macs that can only sample at 44 kHz.
>
> Packet will more slightly more of a challenge, due to the quick
turn-around
> times required, but it still looks quite easy.
>
> 73's
> Chris
> N3JLY
>
>

> In article <7iopgkjbj1@autumn.news.rcn.net>, "Mark J. Fine"
> <fineware@erols.com> wrote:
>
> > Will Sparks <willy@home.com> wrote in message
> > > One of the nice things about Win95/NT/OS/2/Linux is that you don't
have to
> > > write low level code to access the sound card. The drivers take care
of it,
> >
> > The problem with what you're saying is that the drivers are only meant
for
> > playback and recording in a certain format - NOT the kind of FFT
processing
> > that's required. This processing is also not available in the standard
sound
> > dll.
> >
> > > Decoding RTTY doesn't need real-time processing. Sure, VB isn't quite
up to
> > > snuff for it, but it does make a nice front end so you don't have to
spend a
> > > lot of time writing C++ code. Use a DLL for all the signal processing.
> > > Buffers can take care of any processing lag, although decoding RTTY
isn't
> > > exactly "intensive".
> >
> > Disagree. It's a lot more complicated than you think. There's not just
the
> > decoding part that needs to be done here. There's also the issue of
> > discriminating the desired tones from the rest of the clutter and noise
that
> > you're passing through there. You need FFTs to do this since
zero-crossing
> > techniques just don't cut it in a real signal environment.
> >
> > Once that filtering is done, only then can you start decoding the
signal. I
> > agree that some buffering is required, but you only have so much buffer
space
> > for windowing an accurate capture and hold function. Sooner or later if
the
> > processing isn't fast enough, you will have a buffer overflow and will
lose
> > synchronization. I've done this with single-processor 486s, Pentiums
and
> > Pentium II machines. They all overflow at some point when trying to do
this
> > with the standard interfaces to a sound card.
> >
> > Since we're not talking about true multi-processing operating

> > systems/environments, the signal processing is too intense for the
results to
> > be refreshed to any Windows user interface (especially with VB). This
may be
> > a bad analogy, but the result is similar to getting dropouts on
RealAudio. If
> > you're dropping large chunks of data during the buffering process,
what's the
> > point.
> >
> > The bottom line here is that sound cards were never designed to be true
signal
> > processing chips like the TMS series. If people want a real decoder in
their
> > machines, they need a different card that's going to do the extra
processing
> > for them rather than rely on their main processor to do it for them.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > [* Mark J. Fine * FineWare * 11252 Cardinal Drive *]
> > [* Remington, Virginia 22734-2032 * USA * 3834N 07751W *]
> > [* fineware@erols.com * http://www.erols.com/fineware/ *]
> > [* Smart R8 Control * SWBC Schedule Subscription Service *]
> > [* Smart Lowe Ctrl * Smart Kenwood Ctrl * Smart NRD Ctrl *]
> > [*SWBC Interval Signals * Smart Audio Control * TTY Reader*]
>
> --
> Check out Black Cat Systems for radio (pirate, spy numbers) and Mac
> software. http://www.blackcatsystems.com
>
> The Numbers Racket - a CD-ROM of Spy Numbers stations, info available at
> http://www.blackcatsystems.com/numbers

3156. Re: Morse code over a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jerrry niles <jerryniles@enid.com>
Message-ID: <375420e7.0@206.103.97.91>
Source record: 117079

is it the repeater because our sheriff office repeater has morse code

3157. UHF/VHF 20 Ch Scanner $75

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: mkepp@hevanet.com
Message-ID: <7inf11$fq0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117094

FOR SALE: Realistic model PRO-2036 200 channel fully programmable scanner. VHF/UHF, up through 956 Mhz except cellular phone band (unmodified). Works great! Like new. In the original box, but no manual. First $75 takes it. Located in Portland, OR. Will ship anywhere in the continental US for an additional $5. Serious inquiries only! <mkepp@hevanet.com>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3158. THANK YOU...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7inc5f$9hc@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117095

....to all who responded to my query about railroad end car transmitters.

3159. FS PRO-2005 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "csc@smartt.com" <csc@smartt.com>
Message-ID: <374F9A75.74A6@smartt.com>
Source record: 117096

For Sale

Realistic PRO-2005 scanner.

Very good condition.

Complete with manual, telescopic antenna, original box and packaging.

$280 US plus shipping included.

Please reply to csc@smartt.com if interested.

3160. Unblocked receiver w/spectra display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: gg210301@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990528204034.02113.00008068@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 117097

This is the Standard CCR-708a/AX-700 receiver with a built-in real-time spectra display. It covers from 50-905MHZ.

Please check out the beautiful pictures at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=110477468

Please also see my other items which include Brand New scanners from the UK: Shinwa SR-001, Icom R-2, Trident TR-4000. More scanners and SW receivers will be posted in the days to come.

3161. FS Realistic PRO-2005 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "csc@smartt.com" <csc@smartt.com>
Message-ID: <374F9B16.1F60@smartt.com>
Source record: 117098

For Sale

Realistic PRO-2005 scanner.

Very good condition.

Complete with manual, telescopic antenna, original box and packaging.

$280 US plus shipping.

Please reply to csc@smartt.com if interested.

3162. ICOM R2 Rs232 information?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Bertens <bertens@nl.net>
Message-ID: <7indbs$r1b$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 117099
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.amateur,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

The R2 can dump data to a computer using the clone option. I'm looking for a good description of the contents off that dump. I already found out some things myself but not all field are clear.

i want to use the dump to creata a memory /search bank editor at my PC.

Any help is welcome,

Please only post to newsgroup, my e-mail is invalid.

Jan Bertens

3163. Re: SF Bay Area frequency help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ineju$aar@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117100

In <374f2c07.14512279@news.znet.com> spooner@sf.znet.com (George
Spooner) writes:
>
>Speaking of the Bay Area, does anyone know the frequency for Piedmont?
>
>
155.61...they speak
infrequently. it's
very up lifed and
they mostly get calls
o on their cell phones...

the cops know everyone
in Piedmont and get
their calls by cell adn
call back to check.

it's just a radio
there to impress the
kids who want to be
come cops....

what a concept?

bill conduit
etc.

3164. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: marcus.akesson@no_spam_please.home.se
Message-ID: <37574320.298753504@wingate>
Source record: 117101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Wed, 26 May 1999 20:08:43 -0400, "Marc" <wr2i@access1.net> wrote:

(Please write under the quote)

>HT is a Motorola acronym from the old daysstanding for "HANDIE TALKIE" All
>of Motorolas' portables are referred to as an example model HT200, HT220,
>HT1000 etc;

GP300???

/Marcus

3165. Re: torn between scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: k9kz@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7inl3mjgo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 117102

> i have become infatuated with the icom r-2 by reading postings and
> surfing, particularly at the strong signals site.
>
> what scanner/scanners should i sell to buy r-2, or is what i have good
> enough?

I have also been eyeing the R2, but I'm skeptical because of no built in battery charging, 10 channels per second scanning, the type of antenna connector, and reported short battery life. I'm really having a difficult time deciding which scanner to get for around $300 give or take. Doesn't matter whether base or handheld. I really like my Pro 2027 but it doesn't have any frequency lockouts. The R2 certainly has a lot for the money it seems to me though.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3166. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@parg.org
Message-ID: <374F621A.2190995E@parg.org>
Source record: 117103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

ok guy, what ever you say.

I wonder how long befire "newsguy" stops allowing you to post
thru thier service???

Macaroon wrote:

> home.com is about to boot you. Hang on for a ride,

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3167. Re: San Diego City Police Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990528234704.23190.00006572@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 117104

Hi! It wasn't mentioned in the thread re: SDPD freqs.: just so you're aware - they're on a trunked system. Most San Diego county agencies are on a trunked system. So...while you can hear them on a conventional scanner, you won't be able to follow their conversations. Feel free to e-mail me with any questions. The site www.socal911.com was down for awhile; it is now back up!

>Subject: Re: San Diego City Police Freq
>From: robdon33@aol.com (ROBDON33)
>Date: 5/27/99 8:26 PM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <19990527232647.03525.00005562@ng-fb1.aol.com>
>
>>http://www.SoCal911.com/
>
>Haven't visited that site in a while...last I checked that site no longer
>exists.
>
>The San Diego Police Department frequencies are sort of easy. Their
>frequencies are in the 856-860 MHz range with .000, .025 and/or .050 as
>suffixes.
>
>May want to also try 854-856 as well, just in case you don't get all of them.
>
>I will have a full list of the SDPD frequencies shortly...if not tomorrow.
>
>RD

Laine S. Herman
http://expage.com/page/Radio911

3168. Re: Trunked Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990529000143.23190.00006577@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 117105

Hi! To monitor ANY trunked agency, there are several steps (just to confuse us listeners!):
1. Detemine TYPE of trunked system - Type 1 or type 2 - Usually found in frequency guides.
2. Determine if analog or digital system.
The manufacturer of their radios is a good clue. IF digital, you're out of luck. No scanner monitors digital signals. Hopefully, it's an analog system.
3. Assuming analog, put your radio into TRUNKED mode, following instructions. However, most manuals don't stress this carefully...BE SURE TRUNKED is flashing while entering individual freqs.
4. Enter 28 frequencies - yes, it's time consuming, but each one is part of the system.
You should hear "white noise" on several of the frequencies-that's the control channel and it changes day to day (from one freq. to another).
5. When all freqs. have been entered, you should be able to SEARCH for active ID groups, like 8988. Again, you MUST be in trunked mode. ID codes will display when a transmission is in progress.
6. If you are able to obtain a list of ID codes for your area, you may wish to manually enter them - much like entering the frequencies.
Good luck - e-mail with any questions.
>Subject: Trunked Channels
>From: rferg755@aol.com (RFerg755)
>Date: 5/28/99 12:49 PM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <19990528154955.13214.00006250@ng-fk1.aol.com>
>
>Can someone please help me? I don't understand Trunked Channels a bit. I
>have
>the 28 trunked channels for Charlotte, North Carolina. And I have the ID
>codes, but what are those? How can I get a certain police district. My
>police
>district code is 8988, What do I do with that? Thanks a lot.

Laine S. Herman
http://expage.com/page/Radio911

3169. Re: F.S. uniden bc235xlt.....$125.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990529000507.23190.00006581@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 117106

Hi! Just curious re: BC235XLT - how long have you owned it and condition?
I may be interested...thank you!

>Subject: F.S. uniden bc235xlt.....$125.00
>From: "cmb" <cmb@owc.net>
>Date: 5/28/99 2:22 PM Pacific Daylight Time
>Message-id: <7in1fvebm1@hirame.wwa.com>
>
>bc 235xlt 300 channel trunk tracker,box manual 2 rechargable batteries
>charger......$125.00
>
>thanks jack

Laine S. Herman
http://expage.com/page/Radio911

3170. National Emerg. Warning system Freq.`s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanmhz@aol.comscan4USA
Message-ID: <19990529003050.26270.00005987@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 117107

```
Regional net 1: 173.1875 rptr input
167.9750 rptr out
Regional net 2: 167.9750 simplex
Regional net 3: 169.8750 simplex
Regional net 4: 167.9250 simplex

Happy scanning.....................................USA

scanning USA.....................MHz
```

3171. Re: torn between scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990529011427.15579.00002243@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 117108

If you don't care about shortwave coverage in a scanner then the Sony SC1PC is a great buy for the money. I have the non-PC version and it works very, very well. More sensitive than a 3000XLT, but with less intermod and images.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

3172. Re: SCA mod for Pro 60?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: JWIGTON@kc.rr.com
Message-ID: <374f74f0.586577@news-server>
Source record: 117109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 28 May 1999 12:25:47 GMT, chiefjim@unix.nospam.asb.com (Jim Strand) wrote:

>On Fri, 28 May 1999 06:43:51 GMT, JWIGTON@kc.rr.com (wiggie) wrote:
>
>>The Pro-60 uses NFM only and not WFM.
>
>Radio Shack might like to differ with you as one button on the bottom
>row is marked: AM/FM/WFM

Please accept my apologies...it looks like crow is being
served on the menu today. I do not have a Pro-60 but have used one a
few time a while back. I should have referenced this but I thought
that my memory still served me well.
I cannot find much information on the Discriminator/Detector
IC that is used in the Pro-60. It is made by Toshiba and is used in
the newer Sony scanners also.
Sometimes it would be possible to tap the high side of the
squelch pot and get the signal before it is filtered.
Once again I must apologize for my mistake. The Pro-60 is out
of the realm (Don't have a Relm either) of my collection. I should go
back to the scanners that I know.

Wiggie

3173. Re: torn between scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rbulea@erienet.net>
Message-ID: <374F7F84.CA0@erienet.net>
Source record: 117110

k9kz@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > i have become infatuated with the icom r-2 by reading postings and
> > surfing, particularly at the strong signals site.
> >
> > what scanner/scanners should i sell to buy r-2, or is what i have good
> > enough?
>
> I have also been eyeing the R2, but I'm skeptical because of no built
> in battery charging, 10 channels per second scanning, the type of
> antenna connector, and reported short battery life. I'm really having a
> difficult time deciding which scanner to get for around $300 give or
> take. Doesn't matter whether base or handheld. I really like my Pro
> 2027 but it doesn't have any frequency lockouts. The R2 certainly has a
> lot for the money it seems to me though.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

Hello,

10 channels per second. Ha, that in itself should eliminate this scanner from anybodies consideration. That would be pure torture and no person, place, or thing should have to suffer like that. After that statement I really should say something positive. What you have is better. (I am refering to der springer's three scanners. The 2027 is probably better too.) If you really must spend $300.oo then you might want to be the proud owner of a Yupiteru MVT 7100 1000 channel, no gap full coverage scanner from Javiation at only $265.00 including shipping. Of course you will also have to suffer with excellent service and support.

Happy Scanning
Rick

3174. Need Freq.s for Lake Tahoe, NV/CA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: photographer@NOSPAM.cheerful.com
Message-ID: <374f82ce.1854496@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 117111

I will be visiting Lake Tahoe soon. Can someone help me with freq.s
for North Lake Tahoe area. Or is there a web page with this info.?

Thank you for your help.

Tim
photographer@NOSPAM.cheerful.com

3175. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will Sparks <willy@home.com>
Message-ID: <7io43a$551@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 117112
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

One of the nice things about Win95/NT/OS/2/Linux is that you don't have to write low level code to access the sound card. The drivers take care of it, and it should would on all types of sound cards. One of my biggest peeves is that most of the sound card software requires a SB16 or 64AWE sound card and doesn't support anything else since they use direct hardware calls.

Decoding RTTY doesn't need real-time processing. Sure, VB isn't quite up to snuff for it, but it does make a nice front end so you don't have to spend a lot of time writing C++ code. Use a DLL for all the signal processing. Buffers can take care of any processing lag, although decoding RTTY isn't exactly "intensive".

Mark J. Fine <fineware@erols.com> wrote in message
news:7iksor$o5o$1@autumn.news.rcn.net...
> George hit the nail on the proverbial head. The problem with signal
> processing in real time is that you need a real-time processor. Unless
your
> eating all of the processing time this can't be done reliably in Win9x.
>
> The second problem is that Win9x does not let you talk to the card at the
> hardware level, as well as to the DMA. You would need to do that to
> effectively perform near real-time capture and hold processes of any sound
> frames you're trying to deal with. Best bet there is to write a 16-bit
dll,
> which will allow you to do these things.
>
> 73,
>
> [* Mark J. Fine * FineWare * 11252 Cardinal Drive *]
> [* Remington, Virginia 22734-2032 * USA * 3834N 07751W *]
> [* fineware@erols.com * http://www.erols.com/fineware/ *]
> [* Smart R8 Control * SWBC Schedule Subscription Service *]
> [* Smart Lowe Ctrl * Smart Kenwood Ctrl * Smart NRD Ctrl *]
> [*SWBC Interval Signals * Smart Audio Control * TTY Reader*]
>
> George Privalov <george_privalov@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:eBodQzFq#GA.213@cpmsnbbsa05...
> >
> > Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote in message
> >
<5417119C35ACE747.297F3196266D03AE.8A9B850D2FF2B9FE@library-proxy.airnews.ne
> > t>...
> > :The problem with programs that use soundcards that decode radio info

```
can't
> > be built around visual basic
> > :as VB is so damned slow.
> >
> > Actually VB 6 is not that slow. Problem is in lack of support for
> > Multi-threading and callbacks that are required to fully exploit the
> > potential of mmsystem.dll API.
> >
> >
> > :Thus, soundcard decoder programs require the knowledge of real
programmers
> > (C++) which explains why they are so
> > :damned buggy, due to Windows needing thousands of lines of C++ code
just to
> > initialise a stupid program (being
> > :sarcastic but you C++ Windows programmers know exactly what I mean).
> >
> > Well, It's not that bad. But there is function of complexity of
> > implementation vs. size of the project. For VB it grows steeper
> > but VB starts at 0 value. C++ starts at some positive number (since you
> > will need bunch of to put "Hello World" on the screen") but grows slower
> > with size of the project. To make story short: smaller projects are
easier
> > to do at VB while large ones on C++.
> >
> > George
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
```

3176. Re: Lo-Jack Frequencys ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <OupVrhbq#GA.227@nih2naaf.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 117113

carolim30@aol.com (Carolim30) asked:
> Anyone firmiliar with Lo-Jack Output frequencys??? Higher end of Hi-Band??

173.075 MHz

3177. Re: Yaesu VX-5 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Kaherl <dougk@home.com>
Message-ID: <374FBDA7.7790FA79@home.com>
Source record: 117114

go here

http://www.icongrp.com/~sllewd/vx5rmain.html

Doug

Dan wrote:

> tcd wrote in message <374dea3e.657560@news.mindspring.com>...
> >Has anyone heard of any mods for the Yaesu VX-5? I noticed about 5
> >solder jumper pads on the main pcb when I installed the
> >barometer-altitude module.
>
> You can remove the solder balls from some of the pads to open up the
> transmit, but the US version of the radio will not do cell. If you download
> and use the EVE programming software, the radio can be modified with the
> software to go out of band without removing the solder balls.

--
Doug Kaherl
"Helping you take care of all your personal and business insurance needs."
Visit me at http://agent.allstate.com/62759
E-mail dougk@home.com or
E-mail dkaherl@juno.com

3178. Re: Ni Cad batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: s_c_h_u_s_t_e_r_@panix.com
Message-ID: <7iofmgmfa1@panix7.panix.com>
Source record: 117115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <19990529024854.01100.00001695@ng-cq1.aol.com>,
Shack23 <shack23@aol.com> wrote:
>tomcat4680@aol.com (TomCat4680) wrote:
>
>>I heard Ni Cad batteries were best for portable scanners. who makes em and
>>what
>>size do they come in?
>
>NICAD batteries come in just about all sizes. However, they are not all
>'CREATED EQUAL'. The best AA NICADS I ever used was called MILLENNIUM POWER
>CELLS, put out by a company in Fl called GATES ENERGY PRODUCTS. I still use a
>set of them in one of my hand held Amateur Radios. They are about 6 years old,
>and they last longer on ONE CHARGE than a set of BUNNY BATTERIES! Also, they
>can be fast charged or trickled. Try to find them, as they worth the
>search...Joey

Gates Energy Products is long gone - and any remaindered Millenium cells
on store shelves are likely to be so old that it does not make sense to
buy them - there are MUCH better products on the market now.

The assets of the company were acquired by Eveready. Rumor has it that the
newer AA cells (with the dimpled anode cap ... look familiar?) are the
Millenium formula.

3179. Lo-Jack Frequencys ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: carolim30@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990529041530.26263.00006216@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 117116

Anyone firmiliar with Lo-Jack Output frequencys??? Higher end of Hi-Band??

3180. cerco ic-t81

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Luca <luca1@freemail.it>
Message-ID: <7iogvorrp1@nslave1.tin.it>
Source record: 117117

luca1@freemail.it

3181. Re: Alinco DXJ10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Sargent <ke8wo@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <374FD10F.25192375@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117118

For the price, I think it is a good all-round receiver. Small size,
well built, lots of nice features and good performance. Features I
would improve would be scan rate and improved selectivity. I enjoy mine
as a walk-about radio when I might be tuning in anything from the DC to
daylight range.

LLKLODT wrote:
>
> Well I think I have narrowed it down to buy the Alinco DXJ10 wide band
> receiver. Anyone have any good or bad to say about it? Thanks for your help!
>
> LARRY :-)

3182. Re: BC 9000XLT & Cell Phone Comms.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <374fd039.293744@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 117119

radioscanner@mail.dotcom.fr wrote:

:....
:>
:>:(if i can sell it in my country i can sell it a mutch more than 300$,
:>:400$ easy)
:>
:>No you can't. Nobody has 300$, 400$.
:
:in fr.rec.radio.amateur i have just see a AR3000 for 1000$, when in a
:country a scanner is illegal you can sell it at the price you want.

In order to sell anything you need to put the $ sign BEFORE the number.
Like $300 or $400. OK?

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

3183. Re: Are mods possible for BC650XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <374fd045.305516@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 117120

rsscanner@hotmail.com wrote:

:Are there mods possibles for the uniden Bc650xlt?

There are many mods you can do to that radio. Of course if you want
the radio to still be working your are somewhat limited.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

3184. Need MDT Frequence for Elkhart Indiana

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: myname@rest.net
Message-ID: <374fd33a.2803863@news.gte.net>
Source record: 117121

Anyone found the frequence for the new MDT system in Elkhart?
Please post to this news group.

Bob

3185. Re: Question on discriminator output setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: DeWat Productions <drwatkins3@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7ionep$8pb$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 117122
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I use a RCA jack as the dis. output on my PRO2006. I would rather have used a 1/8" phone jack myself, so I switched. To my surprise, the 1/8" phone jack didn't work It appeared to put a heavy drain on the radio, the radio would no longer close squelch or scan. I then tried a different model 1/8" phone jack, and got the same nonworking results, so I went back to the RCA jack.... now no problems again. I can't understand this, a jack is merely a connector (I was only using a two pole jack, not the three pole open/close circuit model), but I couldn't make the phone jack work. Not telling you what will or will not work, just my experience.

Robert wrote in message ...
>I have a question. I have found the discriminator output on my scanner and
>want to know if I purchased the correct connector. The connector that I
>have are From Radio Shack Cat. No. 274-248A. Two-conductor 1/8" Phone
Jacks.
>Closed Circuit type. Will this part work. I just want to connect the dis
>tap to this part as well as ground it but when I look at it I dont know if
>it work work.. Can someone help??

3186. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <374fdc5d.3870950@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117123
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:54:29 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Keith Bennett wrote:
>>
>> I'm having problems with receiving the Isle of Wight monochrome TV
>> transmitter on Channel BBC-1 from this location. Has anybody based in
>> Holland any tips on the subject?
--

>> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
>Since when do TV station broadcast in one colo(u)r as the name
>Monochrome would imply.

Monochrome does not mean one colour! It means monoCHORMATIC!
On a grey scale from black to white!

>Heck, even Black and White is two colo(u)rs.

Yes, but we are talking about chrominance here, not "colours."
You don't seem to have learned the difference!

>By the way, the best Black and White is what is know as a Twistee cone.
>That is when they combine Vanilla Ice Cream with Chocolate Ice Crea.

Sigh - again, chocolate ice cream is BROWN, not BLACK!

Maybe you are colour blind. Though I rather suspect what we have here
is misperception of chromatic DENSITY!

3187. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark J. Fine" <fineware@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7iopgkjbj1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 117124
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Will Sparks <willy@home.com> wrote in message
> One of the nice things about Win95/NT/OS/2/Linux is that you don't have to
> write low level code to access the sound card. The drivers take care of it,

The problem with what you're saying is that the drivers are only meant for playback and recording in a certain format - NOT the kind of FFT processing that's required. This processing is also not available in the standard sound dll.

> Decoding RTTY doesn't need real-time processing. Sure, VB isn't quite up to
> snuff for it, but it does make a nice front end so you don't have to spend a
> lot of time writing C++ code. Use a DLL for all the signal processing.
> Buffers can take care of any processing lag, although decoding RTTY isn't
> exactly "intensive".

Disagree. It's a lot more complicated than you think. There's not just the decoding part that needs to be done here. There's also the issue of discriminating the desired tones from the rest of the clutter and noise that you're passing through there. You need FFTs to do this since zero-crossing techniques just don't cut it in a real signal environment.

Once that filtering is done, only then can you start decoding the signal. I agree that some buffering is required, but you only have so much buffer space for windowing an accurate capture and hold function. Sooner or later if the processing isn't fast enough, you will have a buffer overflow and will lose synchronization. I've done this with single-processor 486s, Pentiums and Pentium II machines. They all overflow at some point when trying to do this with the standard interfaces to a sound card.

Since we're not talking about true multi-processing operating systems/environments, the signal processing is too intense for the results to be refreshed to any Windows user interface (especially with VB). This may be a bad analogy, but the result is similar to getting dropouts on RealAudio. If you're dropping large chunks of data during the buffering process, what's the point.

The bottom line here is that sound cards were never designed to be true signal processing chips like the TMS series. If people want a real decoder in their machines, they need a different card that's going to do the extra processing for them rather than rely on their main processor to do it for them.

73,

[* Mark J. Fine * FineWare * 11252 Cardinal Drive *]
[* Remington, Virginia 22734-2032 * USA * 3834N 07751W *]

[* fineware@erols.com * http://www.erols.com/fineware/ *]
[* Smart R8 Control * SWBC Schedule Subscription Service *]
[* Smart Lowe Ctrl * Smart Kenwood Ctrl * Smart NRD Ctrl *]
[*SWBC Interval Signals * Smart Audio Control * TTY Reader*]

3188. What's a Mint Icom r-1 worth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Baker <gbakerx@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <374fecc3.0@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 117125

I have ran accross a "Like new" icom r-1 with box and charger.
I'm not as scanner guy so need to know what would be a fair price to sell it
for.
Thanks
Gary

3189. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Gregory L. Forster" <glf@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7iorht$j5h@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 117126
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley wrote:

> joseph_henry@my-dejanews.com wrote in message
> <7gptamqtt1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >The following comes from the Tandy Support site:
> >
> >
> >
> >"Modifying or tampering with the scanner's internal components can
> >invalidate the scanner's warranty and void your FCC authorization to
> >operate it. If your scanner is not operating as it should, take it to
> >your local RadioShack store for assistance."
> >
> >(LB/tc 4/9/98)
> >
> >
> >What is the legal basis for the FCC to authorize operating a scanner? Has
> the
> >FCC ever voided such authorization for an individual person?
> >
> >
> Sure they can, just the same as if you illegally modify Ham or CB equipment.
> The reason for Tandy's statement is simply for C.Y.A. purposes, so that if
> someone does tamper with their scanner and then uses it illegally, the
> individual is responsible, not Tandy.
>
> -Phil

Ham and CB are transmitting devices. They can also emit RFI. Scanners are receiving devices, just different frequencies the same as shortwave radios. I'm surprised the FCC doesn't regulate shortwaves either, or do they now?

3190. TRADE: Realistic Pro-2005 for Pro-2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ior7u$6nt$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117127

The Pro-2005 is in very good condition with the cellular, scan speed, and beep delete modifications done. Backlight works fully bright. No original box and copy of the manual.

Please e-mail if interested

3191. 200 Channel Relm Hs 200 Scanner For sale on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: matt2000mm@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990529110114.15146.00001395@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 117128

Go to http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=109668305
to view my auction

3192. Re: Trunked Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7iovhq$19p$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117129

One point missed by the other posts. You must have a trunking capable
scanner
to follow the trunk IDs. A standard scanner won't get it.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

RFerg755 wrote in message <19990528154955.13214.00006250@ng-fk1.aol.com>...
>Can someone please help me? I don't understand Trunked Channels a bit. I
have
>the 28 trunked channels for Charlotte, North Carolina. And I have the ID
>codes, but what are those? How can I get a certain police district. My
police
>district code is 8988, What do I do with that? Thanks a lot.

3193. Re: ICOM R2 Rs232 information?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ingra-Beton@t-online.de
Message-ID: <374FB109.A32B159B@t-online.de>
Source record: 117130
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.amateur,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Jan Bertens schrieb:
>
> Hi,
>
> The R2 can dump data to a computer using the clone option. I'm looking for a
> good description of the contents off that dump. I already found out some
> things myself but not all field are clear.
>
> i want to use the dump to creata a memory /search bank editor at my PC.
>
> Any help is welcome,
>
> Please only post to newsgroup, my e-mail is invalid.
>
> Jan Bertens

Why don't you use my Software.

--

Goran Vlaski (Ingra-Beton@t-online.de)
http://vlaski.virtualave.net/
http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/

3194. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <375006C2.29853FB3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 117131
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Will Sparks wrote:
>
> One of the nice things about Win95/NT/OS/2/Linux is that you don't have to
> write low level code to access the sound card.

DOS is conspicuous by its absence. Aren't there DOS drivers available
for soundcards? Are there standard DOS calls available for soundcards?
If not, could we come up with some? How is/was soundcard interfacing
handled under DOS?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

3195. Re: ||||| Is This The Rite Place ? |||||

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ip14mjth1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117132

Mike,

This should be the correct place although some responses may be of
no use. Just place these people in you filter and you will find that this
newsgroup is a much better place. Anyway I don't have the frequencies
but I did find this on the web.

http://www.fcc.gov/cib/eas/

If you locate the frequencies, I would be interested.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

n4zn amsat org (N4ZN) wrote in message
<7iltj3$9ua$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
>Is this the rite place for this question?
>
>Does anyone have frequencies for the U.S. Emergency Alert System?
>
>Do they have frequencies specified to certain areas or cities, or are
>they common nationwide?
>
>Perhaps tell me what, if anything heard there.
>
>Reply pref thru e-mail, but OK here to.
>TIA... N4ZN/Mike
>

3196. Uniden BC700A Info Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Glenn J. Torres" <radioman@gulfsouth.verio.net>
Message-ID: <01bea9e8$8582c800$e6fc00cc@default>
Source record: 117133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello,
I am looking for any websites which may have an on-line manual and/or review for this model scanner.Any info is appreciated.I have already tried the Uniden website to no avail.
Thanks,
Glenn

3197. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@parg.org
Message-ID: <3750128B.46CC7D@parg.org>
Source record: 117134

Then please re-post this message you claim to verify authenticity.

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Yes you did. When the above subject line appeared in the rec.radio.amateur
> NGs, it was your post and there was no "re:" preceding the title. With no

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3198. Re: BC 9000XLT & Cell Phone Comms.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990529123124.15584.00002445@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 117135

>In order to sell anything you need to put the $ sign BEFORE the number.
>Like $300 or $400. OK?
>

Maybe in the US, Barry, but it's kind of plain from the grammar and spelling in his post that he's not fluent (not VERY, anyway) in English/Americanese. Cut the guy some slack.
If you're going to help or add something to a thread, make it useful, not petty.
Mike

3199. Re: SCA mod for Pro 60?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990529123255.15584.00002447@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 117136

>Take it easy on yourself Wiggie, no lives were lost or animals harmed.
>

I don't know, my dog is acting funny ever since I read that post... :-)
Mike

3200. Indy 500 Frequencies Updated 5/29

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Burton <b.d.burton@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <7ip4g7$2r0c$1@news.netusa1.net>
Source record: 117137
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.indy,rec.radio.scanner

Indy fans,

Below is a link for the most recent Indy 500 scanner frequencies. The frequencies were updated from information I received at the track this morning 5/29. The file is in Microsoft Excel Win95 format. If you have any troubles downloading the file use the email link on my home page to send me an email and I will try to help you out.

http://www.netusa1.net/~bdburton

Enjoy,
Bryan

3201. PLECTRON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: rescue1234@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990530162341.19065.00002812@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 116888

Any know of parts and repairs for old plectrons. Need tone coils, or boards, and cyrstals.

Email me at rescue1234@aol.com

3202. Re: Where to get a very low power transmitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: tscottme <blahblah@blah.net>
Message-ID: <3751A3A9.C2E7F747@blah.net>
Source record: 116889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

C Crane sells a little FM transmitter that plugs into a 1/8 stereo
jack. It costs about $50. It is called the Otomek FX-200. I use it to
rebroadcast RealAudio from my PC to radios around the house.

--
Scott Methvin

"There is nothing in the Balkans worth the bones
of one Pomeranian Grenadier." Bismark
[revised]

3203. FS: AOR 8200 Wide range reciver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Connor <tconnor@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7is6tb$7bn$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 116890

Near mint condition/hardly used. AOR 8200 wide range all mode receiver (530KHz ~ 2040 MHz). Bought at Christmas time. I still have original box and manual. Comes with NiCads, A/C pwrsupply, CC8200 cable & software (cable was 125.00). Too complicated for my needs. Check out AOR web site for more details. Prepay m.o. /Cash only. tconnor@mindspring.com
$450.00

3204. Please Repost: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Evans <exp20@dpc.net>
Message-ID: <7is8nd$o6q$1@news.dpc.net>
Source record: 116891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Can someone repost the question about being denied boarding with HT
I am an Airline Agent and was interested in hearing this situation

MIKE

Marcus AAkesson wrote in message <37574320.298753504@wingate>...
>On Wed, 26 May 1999 20:08:43 -0400, "Marc" <wr2i@access1.net> wrote:
>
>(Please write under the quote)
>
>>HT is a Motorola acronym from the old daysstanding for "HANDIE TALKIE" All
>>of Motorolas' portables are referred to as an example model HT200, HT220,
>>HT1000 etc;
>
>GP300???
>
>/Marcus
>

3205. Re: old Regency scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry <dillon@desupernet.net>
Message-ID: <3751a4cb@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 116892

To all involved who help me resurrect this puppy: thanks a megahertz! The ACT-T16K is now working great, thanks to the helpful instructions from the group re: programming.

Best wishes and happy holiday!

3206. BP2500 Battery Pack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: tom@No_Spam.net
Message-ID: <3751a81c.4059250@news.xprt.net>
Source record: 116893
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can someone give me a tip on opening up one of these babies? I have a shorted cell in the pack and would like to rebuild it. I can see all the tabs on the pack and get all of them to pop loose. However there seems to be something else holding the halves from separating. It almost seems like there may be some glue holding it together or something. Has anybody successfully opened one of these without breaking it? Thanks in advance and happy scanning.

3207. What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7isbf0$5e2@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116894

Seems what Bill needs
now and forever this
thing is a
GOOD LAWYER.

anyone know one to
help bill?

he will have to fly
back to NYC, but he
does not know when.

I think it may be time
to begin thinking
of helping Bill and
his wife get there.

now, about a lawyer who
knows communication
law?

any suggestions...e-mail
to bill.

bill conduit
etc.

3208. Re: Where to buy SS tubing for discone radials?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <fhambrecht@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <7iscij$j8j$1@news1.alltel.net>
Source record: 116895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

>
> I am working with a friend to design a VHF/UHF receiving discone. The
> stainless steel rods we have tested (.093") are too droopy for
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

Good grief! Use aluminium tubing, it is lighter, stronger, cheaper, and works better than stainless steal.

If I were building a discone for VHF, I woul use copper screening for the skirt and hold it in position with a ring of coat hanger wire soldered to the copper screen.

73's Fred
w4jle@alltel.net

3209. DTMF Generation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael W. Dunham" <kb9mlh@mia.net>
Message-ID: <3751B3FD.3ABC6FAF@mia.net>
Source record: 116896

I am looking for some source code (C or PERL) for a DTMF generator that will run on an INTEL machine with a SoundBlaster installed. Please respond to kb9mlh@amsat.org

3210. Re: Any Used BC9000's out there?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Esposito <rjespo@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <vEi43.352$6i2.12800@news2.voicenet.com>
Source record: 116897

Yes, I have one for sale if you're intertested.

<radioscanner@mail.dotcom.fr> wrote in message
news:3751a905.2520605@news.giganews.com...
> On Thu, 27 May 1999 19:54:07 -0700, Pappy@sgi.net wrote:
>
> >++++ Sell it COD (cash on delivery) to be safe.
> >
> little history on french post (when they work)
>
> i have asked to my local post office , they reply me : (translation of
> course)
> me = is it possible to do a "contre remboursement"
> post = not possible , only professionals users
> me = a friend say me that possible , can you search ?
> post = i said ist impossible this is IMPOSSIBLE
>
> i go to mail to the french post at thier web adress
>
> bye+

3211. Re: Need VHF/UHF Discone Design Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "w.bos" <w.bos@tip.nl>
Message-ID: <7isd0omiv1@news.worldonline.nl>
Source record: 116898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

nero <nero_b@my-deja.com> schrijfbewerkingen: > Greetings,
>
Dear Nero,
Try to find a copy of the German "Rothammel antanna book" It describes
the design of discones. It seems that nobody knows that the impedance
(thus SWR) of the discone is reasonable constant over a 10:1 frequency
range (that depends very much on the sharpness of the point of the cone
just under the disk) and the distance between the disk and the cone point
The swr remains constant, but the radiation pattern not! At 2 times
the lower cutt-off frequency, the discone is looking to the ground, and
at higher frequencies the pattern is so much distorted, that a 6 dB
loss with respect to a vertical dipole is normal. That's the reason,that
professionals (discones are used for airfield direction finders) use
discones to 1.5 till 2 times the design frequency. For frequencies
above 500 Mhz you have to use solid metal discones, otherwise (with
aluminium rods) the loss becomes even greater. The length of the cone
doesn't have much influence on the impedance. It's is of no use to
give them different length's. For the bands you want to use the discones
it's better to make a discone for each band! Hope to have been of help,
regards, PA0WBK

> A friend and I are working together to design a VHF/UHF discone
> antenna. We are pretty much complete with the hub design and are
> working on the radials. The conventional wisdom is to use the radial
> length formulas based on the lowest desired frequency. Example:
> Designed for low of 100MHZ will have range up to 1000MHZ (100MHz x 10 =
> 1000MHZ). We have a picture of a Discone made by AOR (DA3000) that
> claims a coverage of 25MHz to 2GHZ (without a vertical element). This
> seems a bit hard to believe. They have an interesting design of 8
> elements per hub. 4 are cut to one length, 2 more for a longer length,
> and two more cut even longer.
>
> Our discone will have 12 radials per hub. We were considering using a
> similar idea and cutting the radials to 3 different lengths. This would
> allow 4 radials at each length. For example, these lengths may
> correspond to 2m band, 800mhz trunking, and 70cm band.
>
> My questions:
>
> Will the multiple lengths give better reponse at the desired
> frequencies?
>
> Will this reduce the response at non resonant frequencies?

>
> Is this a gimmick that will not really improve response at the tuned
> freqs. and will damage the broadband characteristics of a discone?
>
> Thanks to all for your input. nero
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3212. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark J. Fine" <fineware@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7isetr$r9s$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 116899
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

From: Shiva Nataraja <removals1@iname.com>
> Can you be more specific about the "speed issue?" Are you talking

Look, you can set the card to "record" and fill a buffer via the APIs. The way the APIs work, an asynchronous callback *message* (essentially and event message) is sent when the buffer is filled. Keep in mind that the MMSystem and MCI APIs were not designed for anything past the transfer of this data either to or from a file system. Also, depending upon what the computer is doing at the time (maybe you're also downloading a large file off the Internet, trying to access a newsgroup using OE5 <G>, or even processing the data, whatever) that message is not enough to ensure consistent processing of buffer chunks. If the processor is busy, more than one message can go unresponded, and you lose data. Different latency than you asked about, but nonetheless that's the way the API works. If the API were such that a specific routine were run synchronously after each buffer fill, that would be a different story.

> rather the algorithm, the implementation of that algorithm, the
> processing speed of the CPU, or some combination of these. As noted in

This is the other part of the problem, since they go hand in hand. Assume at this point that the buffer is essentially filled with signal levels in the time domain, ready to be used. You still have to convert that data to the frequency domain (FFT), optionally filter it, determine the levels about the region of the mark/space frequencies, and buffer that. Another process has to then synchronize and interpret the resulting mark/space stream. On top of that, all of this processing has to compete with other processes that the computer is doing (see above). On 486s, you barely have enough overhead just to perform and display a 4096-point FFT at an acceptable refresh rate. Even then, if you drop a chunk of data it doesn't matter since your eyes will filter that out.

> an earlier post, if the processing demands are too heavy for the main
> CPU to handle in real time, then some kind of coprocessor, whether in
> the form of a dedicated DSP chip or an SMP architecture with multiple
> CPUs, is pretty much a necessity for real time processing of the
> sampled data.

Agreed. That was my earlier argument when I mentioned that a true DSP card should be used. A sound card is *not* a true DSP, just a simple time-domain analog/digital converter. Perhaps the scheme of doing everything in software will work on a 500MHz Pentium III, but how many SWLs have one of those. Just not practical.

73,

[* Mark J. Fine * FineWare * 11252 Cardinal Drive *]
[* Remington, Virginia 22734-2032 * USA * 3834N 07751W *]
[* fineware@erols.com * http://www.erols.com/fineware/ *]
[* Smart R8 Control * SWBC Schedule Subscription Service *]
[* Smart Lowe Ctrl * Smart Kenwood Ctrl * Smart NRD Ctrl *]
[*SWBC Interval Signals * Smart Audio Control * TTY Reader*]

3213. Re: BP2500 Battery Pack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <3751C17B.8605CBB2@intsvc.com>
Source record: 116900
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well when I opened the one on my 3000xlt I encountered the same problem.
I found that Uniden added some glue to the inside of the case holding the
unit together. Just keep tugging and the glue will eventually break
loose.

"Tom G." wrote:

> Can someone give me a tip on opening up one of these babies? I have a
> shorted cell in the pack and would like to rebuild it. I can see all
> the tabs on the pack and get all of them to pop loose. However there
> seems to be something else holding the halves from separating. It
> almost seems like there may be some glue holding it together or
> something. Has anybody successfully opened one of these without
> breaking it? Thanks in advance and happy scanning.

--

Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com> ICQ # 19943355
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
Listing of all incident paging groups http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

The views expressed here are my own and not that of Incident Command Page
unless otherwise stated.

3214. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <%rj43.4516$Np1.233177@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116901

CEO, Author, President...how did that go?

He now gots the minions schlepping a lawyers second hand on the net?

What ARE the charges?

Thought he owned a communications company. CEO? President? Where are those connections?

He needs Conduit to schleep Lawyers on the Net?

What's the REAL story here?

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:7isbf0$5e2@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com...
>
>
> Seems what Bill needs
> now and forever this
> thing is a
> GOOD LAWYER.
>
> anyone know one to
> help bill?
>
> he will have to fly
> back to NYC, but he
> does not know when.
>
> I think it may be time
> to begin thinking
> of helping Bill and
> his wife get there.
>
> now, about a lawyer who
> knows communication
> law?
>
> any suggestions...e-mail
> to bill.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

3215. Re: ICOM R2 Rs232 information?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ingra-Beton@t-online.de
Message-ID: <37515A54.5C71439@t-online.de>
Source record: 116902
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.amateur,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Jan Bertens schrieb:
>
> I tried it but it's not what I'm looking for. With all respect it's too
> simple.

Well, there is nothing more you can do with the
IC-R2, just memory managment.

--

Goran Vlaski (Ingra-Beton@t-online.de)
http://vlaski.virtualave.net/
http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/

3216. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7isgfb$9u0@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116903

In <%rj43.4516$Np1.233177@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
>

>
>He needs Conduit to schleep Lawyers on the Net?
>
>What's the REAL story here?
>
>
i'm not schlepping
i'm not reporting...

that's what is needed
seeing as how
he has to go to
NYC to appear.

why so Negative?

people were asking about
him and it seems this is
the most obvious
need ... it was just
a FYI based on prior
postings...a good
lawyer seems to be
what is needed most.

I deducted this from
reading a few WWW sites
with the three or four
letters and other comments
based on this.

Legal Rep seems to be the
most problematical
situation with his
case...if you know
how, you know how to
read between the lines
and see that this is the
main problem.

finding a lawyer in communication
law. anyone know one ?

simple

again, why are you so negative?

bill conduit
etc.

3217. Re: cell trick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <7ish5s$75j$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116904

What is a cell repeater? My take is that if you hear a signal between
869 & 894 MHz, you are hearing the signal directly.
If you hear cellular calls between 896 and 915 MHz your receiver has a
sloppy front end, as opposed to a triple conversion.

Al

TomCat4680 wrote in message
<19990529191550.29566.00006475@ng-fg1.aol.com>...
>if you are 5 miles or less from a cell repeater you can hear calls between
896
>and 915 mhz

3218. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <t_j43.4610$Np1.236577@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116905

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote ...
> again, why are you so negative?

Trying to gather info.
Is that a negative?

Some facts don't add up.
Is it negative to ask for the truth?

CEO, President, Author and he doesn't have/know a lawyer?

What are the charges?

What are the REAL facts?

Why talk handouts if you/we don't know these basics?

Is it negative to question?

Why do some of these questions never get answered?

If Bill needs help why isn't HE asking for it?

Sa what?

3219. Re: Q: best place to buy unblocked scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: p35hp@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990530194441.15359.00002047@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 116906

>Q: best place to buy unblocked scanners
>From: Sputnik

Like the other guy said, can't say enough good things about Johanathon Clough at Javiation. Tell him he still has a satisfied customer in Kentucky. jim,

3220. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Sampson <ssampson@usa-site.net>
Message-ID: <Fqk43.3341$S4.21798@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 116907
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

There was a program called MDT that decoded Police Packet at 4800
baud at 850 - 860 MHz or so, and did it with the Multi-Media API for
the
sound card.

Basically he used a C module to get the speed he needed, and then
programmed everything else in Visual Basic.

Alas, there was a big late-night attack by police in several cities
to confiscate the software. I haven't heard if the process is
on-going,
but until new laws are written, police will confiscate contraband
first
and only say oops if you got enough money to make a Supreme Court
case. That along with Cell-Phone receivers, and CW radar receivers.

I did a search on MDT and most of the sites it was at are now gone.

The only remaining link I found was at Qualcomm and the San Diego
Police are scared to go in there:

http://people.qualcomm.com/ppomes/Crypto/MDT.html

But this is the original MDT message, and not useful for the Sound
Card software you need.

The U.S. is quickly becoming a clone of Communist China. It may be
that we stole the nuclear secrets from China, rather than the other
way
around! We're too stupid to let mere engineers design government
bombs :-)

Steve
PGP key attached below

Mark J. Fine wrote in message <7isetr$r9s$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>...
>From: Shiva Nataraja <removals1@iname.com>
>> Can you be more specific about the "speed issue?" Are you talking
>
>Look, you can set the card to "record" and fill a buffer via the APIs

- -----BEGIN PGP PUBLIC KEY BLOCK-----
Version: PGP 6.0.2

```
<encoded_portion_removed>
=Gxju
- -----END PGP PUBLIC KEY BLOCK-----

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGP 6.0.2

iQA/AwUBN1HRogyZMe8vsxwCEQI3lwCeLKhbeVukBS5mevPjgCGzzBEWGXkAn2iI
Nn7/KEzH6BjtidWTLqdUiJU7
=Gzys
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

3221. W.A.R.S/E-Comm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay MacNEIL <jmacneil@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <3751DAD2.1290@direct.ca>
Source record: 116908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio,scanner

Well here we are in the late 90s and our police dept has moved again to
the 800 mhz band.
As of May 99 the Vancouver police dept have switched over to the new
E-Comm radio system which uses GE/Ericsson EDACS on the following
frequencies.
1-866.0875
2-866.1375
3-866.3375
4-866.3875
5-866.5875
6-866.8375
7-866.8875
8-867.0875
9-867.1375
Note: data channel switches from week to week, last data channels were
866.3375 and 866.5875 mhz.
This is just the first phase of the E-Comm system which should have more
frequencies in operation in the near future.
The Vancouver police system is fully digital with no clear voice comms
being heard :-(
I have just seen an officer carrying what looks to be a EDACS LPE-200
radio so its safe to say that the system is fully operational.

3222. Re: Odd digital signal - any ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <79F58CF2B09FC3FA.00970F8E1861C01E.82CE9DA3632129F8@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116909

There are very many digital signals out there and there are very many digital formats also.
With so many formats and so many signals, and so many forms of encryption and so many encryption keys, it is impossible to keep up with what is what and who is sending it unless you have a schedule or can compare the signal to a known signal.
You can sit down and program a proprietary signal format also, thus adding to the unknown signals
out there.

3223. Re: morse code on police channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <A68DB4F1DBE01073.C86C99CE8D0E3999.F95B0370EDDF5449@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116910

All radio transmitters and radio networks are governed by the FCC, which requires
that a transmitter identify itself during every 'session' of conversation or every period
of time.
In police radio networks, instead of every police radio used in that network identifying
itself, the main
network transmitter (located usualy on top of a big tower at the main police headquarters,
and usualy the most
powerful transmitter) identifies it's call sign to represent the sub group of radio's under
its network, and itself.
The FCC allows either a morse code or a human voice transmission of a call sign/identifier
every specified minutes.
Since call signs are automated by a timer, and since generating beeps for morse code is
easer than generating voice (or playing
back recorded voice), morse code is opted as the choice for station identification at
defined intervals.

> Normally, they are the CWID for the radio system. They are supposed
> to id the station with their callsign.

3224. Re: Where to buy SS tubing for discone radials?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <3751F875.DB71B98B@gnc.net>
Source record: 116911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Aluminum tubing stronger than stainless steel? Better check that!
Also, unprotected aluminum (or copper) woouldn't last in my
coastal environment. I'm using the new Discone antenna from
Radio Shack. No complaints yet.

Robert

Fred Hambrecht wrote:

> >
> > I am working with a friend to design a VHF/UHF receiving discone. The
> > stainless steel rods we have tested (.093") are too droopy for
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.
>
> Good grief! Use aluminium tubing, it is lighter, stronger, cheaper, and
> works better than stainless steal.
>
> If I were building a discone for VHF, I woul use copper screening for the
> skirt and hold it in position with a ring of coat hanger wire soldered to
> the copper screen.
>
> 73's Fred
> w4jle@alltel.net

3225. Re: BC245 - Number of Talkgroup Memories?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-3005992230140001@k56-ip-74.theramp.net>
Source record: 116912
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7irrai$8hv$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>, "Ed" <emw28@aol.com> wrote:

> Has anyone heard how many talkgroup memories will be available with the new
> BC245? As most of us will agree, the 50 (5 banks of 10) with the 235 and
> 895 is not nearly enough with some of the larger trunked systems. It would
> be nice to have a MINIMUM of 10 banks of 10.
>
> Your thoughts?

From Rich Barnett's announcement:

"(There are now 10 Scan Lists of 10 channels each. That's 100 talkgroups per
trunking system, up from 50 in previous models.)"

I guess this makes it nice...

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**********g3mtnw**********

3226. Re: morse code on police channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-3005992228350001@k56-ip-74.theramp.net>
Source record: 116913

In article <19990530122408.02271.00004662@ng-cb1.aol.com>,
blackcatnv@aol.comYY (BlackCatNV) wrote:

> What is the purpose of the short bursts of morse code that appear on
police and
> other public frequencies that are otherwise voice channels?

To send out the callsign of the transmitter, as required by FCC regs (It must be broadcast at least once every 30 minutes on active channels, at the end of use on lightly used channels) If they use CW, then it must be 20 WPM or less.

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**********g3mtnw**********

3227. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <dDn43.4749$Np1.256912@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116914

So this wasn't a plea to find help to get Cheek and Cindy back to NY or to find a Lawyer?

Just cheerleader practice?

Pride? Integrity? Ego? CEO? Author? President? Publisher?

He needs third party help to get a lawyer?

Do you REALLY know what he needs?

What did 3 WWW sites really tell you?

What is last word from Cheek?

Is this just a fire drill?

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:7isofo$ibn@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com...
> In <t_j43.4610$Np1.236577@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
> <glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
> >
> >
> >BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote ...
> >> again, why are you so negative?
> >
>
>
> >If Bill needs help why isn't HE asking for it?
> >
> >Sa what?
> >
> again, fyi time
>
> cause he's BILL
> he's got pride
> and integrity
>
> i'm sure he will
> if it gets
> to that point
> he has a lot of
> people who
> i am sure who
> have let him
> know we will
> help....

>
> bill conduit
> etc.

3228. What software works with the Optoelectronics CX12?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Speedbaron <speedbaronGETMEOUT@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <V8o43.319$T7.39161@typhoon-sf.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 116915

I need a software that will run on Windows NT 4.0 and uses the CX12 to connect to my AOR8000.
Will the Advanced Radio Control for AR8000 from BuTel Software work with the CX12? This software runs on NT.
email: speedbaron@hotmail.com

3229. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <6BBE9968711159E7.AC9D8602A2B98B52.5A715ADAD093B9A6@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116916

bill and cindy should be able to exonerate themselves
without representation. 'course that's easy for me to say.
there is an awfully foul odor about this whole deal.

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> Seems what Bill needs
> now and forever this
> thing is a
> GOOD LAWYER.
>
> anyone know one to
> help bill?
>
> he will have to fly
> back to NYC, but he
> does not know when.
>
> I think it may be time
> to begin thinking
> of helping Bill and
> his wife get there.
>
> now, about a lawyer who
> knows communication
> law?
>
> any suggestions...e-mail
> to bill.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

3230. Re: Odd digital signal - any ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darryl <yeedarryl@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <928135300.12422@www.remarq.com>
Source record: 116917

I work for a water department and deal with wireless comms.
Most likely what you are hearing is telemetry data from/to
a RTU (Remote Telemetry Unit, slave side) or the CTU
(Central Telemetry Unit, master side). These systems are
used to transmit data like water level, PH, chlorine
residuals, water flow, security, etc. There are several
types of protocols used. Modbus protocol is the most widely
used. Do a search using your favorite search engine on the
word modbus and you will find info on the modbus protocol.

Darryl

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

3231. Re: Morse code over a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Crane <tedstowing@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3750A5E7.72436014@hotmail.com>
Source record: 116988
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

the sounds you are hearing are the equilivent to someone anouncing freq.
call signs twice an hour. Govt allows FCC ID's to be transmitted in code
twice an hour instead of human voice. (so I was told)

Ron & Sue Rosenbaum wrote:

> I live in Cambria County in Pennsylvania and have noticed morse code
> being sent over the ploice frequencies at night. I'm not sure if this
> happens over the daytime, as I'm not home. It will be sent over one
> frequency then over the other a few seconds later. It's clear as a bell
> so I'm assuming it's coming from the 911 center.Does anyone know why a
> 911 center would do this. I appriciate any help.
>
> Nicholas Rosenbaum
> FuzzieOne@yahoo.com

3232. Re: John Mackey, Federal Agent impersonator, Toilet Bowl Brush, and informant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7iqa41$i68$0@207.18.224.118>
Source record: 116989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Sat, 29 May 1999 19:35:03 GMT, jmackey@parg.org wrote:

>Blake, get a grip on reality. Those are "amateur radio license tests".
>I have NEVER said I "have 5 FCC licenses".
>

So, someone has snuck into the Dejanews archives and changed
the below? You are caught in yet ANOTHER lie.

>
>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 27 May 1999 09:15:16 GMT, jmackey@parg.org wrote:
>> Lie #1:
>>
>>
>> John's License Post: 4/22/99
>>
>> > Thirdly, you claim to have more FCC licenses than you can count one
>> > one hand. Please list those.
>>
>> Gladely Blake, they are a matter of public record!I have had my
>> Amateur
>> Radio Novice license (1983), my Amateur Radio Technician license
>> (1984), my Amateur Radio General License (1990), my Amateur Radio
>> Advanced license (1990), and my Amateur radio Extra class license
>> (1993).
>>
>> All these in addition to my General Radiotelephone License.
>>

3233. Re: VisualRadio for WiNRadio WR1500e

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: DiGitaal_DiSrupTer <superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <928018447.557052@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 116990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I tried, but it wouldn't work because it's made for the internal models.
That was awhile ago though, maybe they've programmed it for the externals.

DD

Patrick Barrett wrote in message <37485e8f.8503060@news-server>...
>Anyone tried the VisualRadio software with a WinRadio WR1500e?
>If so, is it any good?
>
>Pat
>

3234. FA: New Electronic Parts ICs Xtals and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Levent <levent@netlabs.net>
Message-ID: <3750C392.3805CC60@netlabs.net>
Source record: 116991

Hi All,

Lots of electronic components. More then 1650.00 $ Total value. 2000
items.
Thanks for viewing and good luck.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=108830550

73s

3235. Need VHF/UHF Discone Design Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: nero <nero_b@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7iqjdr$7vo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Greetings,

A friend and I are working together to design a VHF/UHF discone antenna. We are pretty much complete with the hub design and are working on the radials. The conventional wisdom is to use the radial length formulas based on the lowest desired frequency. Example: Designed for low of 100MHZ will have range up to 1000MHZ (100MHz x 10 = 1000MHZ). We have a picture of a Discone made by AOR (DA3000) that claims a coverage of 25MHz to 2GHZ (without a vertical element). This seems a bit hard to believe. They have an interesting design of 8 elements per hub. 4 are cut to one length, 2 more for a longer length, and two more cut even longer.

Our discone will have 12 radials per hub. We were considering using a similar idea and cutting the radials to 3 different lengths. This would allow 4 radials at each length. For example, these lengths may correspond to 2m band, 800mhz trunking, and 70cm band.

My questions:

Will the multiple lengths give better reponse at the desired frequencies?

Will this reduce the response at non resonant frequencies?

Is this a gimmick that will not really improve response at the tuned freqs. and will damage the broadband characteristics of a discone?

Thanks to all for your input. nero

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3236. Re: old Regency scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rbulea@erienet.net>
Message-ID: <3750C3ED.3322@erienet.net>
Source record: 116993

FD 347 wrote:
>
> >Regency ACT-T16K desktop scanner.
> >16-channel, with touchpad and of course, no manual. (Hey...whadya want for
> >5-bucks). Can't figure
> >out how to program it. There's audio (static) and it will move thru the
> >16-channels. A weather channel is set as priority, and I can't figure out
> >how to get it out of priority mode. One of the touch pads says "SP SS."
> >
> >Anyone have a clue as to how to set this thing up, assuming it's not dead?
> >It also has a place for a 9-volt battery, but I'm assuming that's to keep
> >the frequencies locked in when it's unplugged. The guy I bought it from did
> >have the weather station audio, but I can't get it now. Any help or web
> >site where a manual might be available greatly appreciated.
> >
>
> I have the same model scanner somewhere in my garage. If memory serves,
> priority mode is engaged and disengaged by pressing . (decimal point), 1, then
> enter. (It's been a long time.) The WX priority is similar except you change
> the 1 to a 9. The NOAA station must be programmed into location 20 for it to
> work. I doubt however that it will recognize todays alert tones.
>
> The SP and SS buttons are Search Program and Search Start. I'd have to take the
> radio out of storage and play with it to remember how to fully use it.

Hi to Larry and FD 347,

I have to thank both of you because you have taught me something about
my ACT T16K. Larry, I must have overpaid because I gave $15.oo for
mine. The owner thought it was broke cause it only got the weather
channel on all 16 channels. I thought it might be fun to play with even
if it was broke. Larry you have taught me that there is a 9 volt battery
in it. I had no clue. Looked at the plate, took it off and sure enough
there it was dead as can be. That explains why everytime I unplug it I
lose all memory. It defaults to the weather channel on all 16 channels
when I plug it back in. I am now confident that when I put a fresh
battery in it it will hold it's memory for a while. Thanks Larry. I
don't have a manual but figured it out. This is how to program it.

1: Press MA on the touchpad. (If it was scanning it will stop and a led
will light red)
2: Press the channel number under the led light that you want to program
(The red led light above the number will light).
3: Now press PR on the touchpad. (The frequency will display in the
window with whatever is already programed there. It will probably be

the weather channel on yours).
4: Enter on the touchpad the frequency that you want to program. If it is out of the frequency range of the radio you will get and e for error on the display. You will have to then press cl for clear and start over.
5: The frequency you entered should now be displayed in the window. You now must do the equivelent of entering the frequency by pressing the channel number (not on the touchpad but under the red led) that you want it in. This should be the same as the one you pressed after you pressed MA when you started.
6: When you do Step #5 you will see no difference anywhere but it did enter it. Now you are done. Just press SC (for scan) and you should start scanning again. Don't forget to set your squelch and volume.

Repeat this 16 times with your favorite frequencies and you will be all set to enjoy your new treasure.

Now for Mr. FD 347: Thank you also. I believe you might have a different scanner, like the 20 channel one because there is no location 20 on mine and there is no enter. I was able to enter a priority channel on channel 1 and channel 16. Now I just can't figure out how to deactivate it. Oh well, another challenge to work out, nevertheless I had no idea that this was available, thank you.

Happy Scanning
Rick

3237. Where to buy SS tubing for discone radials?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: nero <nero_b@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7iqjtj$83o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Greetings,

I am working with a friend to design a VHF/UHF receiving discone. The stainless steel rods we have tested (.093") are too droopy for unsupported use as radials. One of the commercial discones I have uses a .19" stainless steel tubing that seems much more rigid. The only source we have found for similar material is asking $14/6ft rod. Ouch!!! A 50MHZ discone with 12 radials/hubs gets real expensive. Anyone know of a more reasonable source for this kind of tubing?

Thanks for your help. nero

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3238. Re: old Regency scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7iqo8m$6lj@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116995

In <3750C3ED.3322@erienet.net> Rick <rbulea@erienet.net> writes:
>
>FD 347 wrote:
>>

I was able to enter a priority
>channel on channel 1 and channel 16. Now I just can't figure out how
to
>deactivate it. Oh well, another challenge to work out, nevertheless I
>had no idea that this was available, thank you.
>
>Happy Scanning
>Rick

YOu hit the location twice....try it.

press it twice.

still have not found that manual.

malo

bill conduit
etc.

3239. FS ar8000 service manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Warren Tate <spam@spaminator.com>
Message-ID: <7iqshk$258$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116996

FS ar8000 service manual in mint condition. send details to
armax99@earthlinkSPAM.net remove "SPAM" to send email.

3240. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pio@parg.org
Message-ID: <3751016F.3802A27A@parg.org>
Source record: 116997

No, at the time you sent that message it was 10:03, not 1:04.

tcrpe wrote:

> Make that 104.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3241. Re: John Mackey, Federal Agent impersonator, Toilet Bowl Brush, and informant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pio@parg.org
Message-ID: <37510230.94BE79B4@parg.org>
Source record: 116998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.firefighters

That is REALLY funny Blake, when the facts from "Dejanews" PROVE you WRONG, you claim the the dejanews archives are incorrect!!!

Apparently you either are a habitual liar or can't stand to be proven wrong!!

Blake Bowers wrote:

> So, someone has snuck into the Dejanews archives and changed
> the below? You are caught in yet ANOTHER lie.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3242. Re: Where to buy SS tubing for discone radials?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brother Paul <pwick@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7ir7fc$ct@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 116999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Consider staying with bar stock. Tubing is more rigid...until it is
bent
and then it stays bent.

nero wrote:
>
> Greetings,
>
> I am working with a friend to design a VHF/UHF receiving discone. The
> stainless steel rods we have tested (.093") are too droopy for
> unsupported use as radials. One of the commercial discones I have uses
> a .19" stainless steel tubing that seems much more rigid. The only
> source we have found for similar material is asking $14/6ft rod.
> Ouch!!! A 50MHZ discone with 12 radials/hubs gets real expensive.
> Anyone know of a more reasonable source for this kind of tubing?
>
> Thanks for your help. nero
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3243. Re: old Regency scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: fd347@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990530085856.11354.00005992@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 117000

>FD 347: Thank you also. I believe you might have a
>different scanner, like the 20 channel one because there is no location
>20 on mine and there is no enter.

It's more probable that the CMOS battery in my brain is failing and the radio has only 16 channels.

>I was able to enter a priority
>channel on channel 1 and channel 16. Now I just can't figure out how to
>deactivate it.

The priority channel 16 was for detecting the NOAA weather alert tone. (I don't think they use the same toning standard today as they did 20 years ago.) Channel 1 can be anything. I think to disable the priority scan you have to hit . (decimal point) 1 or 9.

Frank Raffa
Supv. Dispatcher FDNY
http://members.aol.com/fd347/

3244. Re: Yupi 7100 VS BC3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris Dale <chris.dale10@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <7irdhl$j42$1@nclient1-gui.server.virgin.net>
Source record: 117001

Had a 7100 and really pleased with it. Decided to upgrade to Yupy 9000 which was hopeless, suffered terrible pager breathrough right from 110Mhz to over 170Mhz. Got rid of that and now have an AOR8000. Wish I had kept my 7100 now... reason for upgrading was to get the alpha tagging of channels and dual VFO.
Bill wrote in message <7iq7g0caf1@news.indy.net>...
>Anyone owned both these able to compare the two? Which is better? Or is
>there something better than these two for similar price? Opinions please.
>Thanks!
>
>Bill K9KZ
>
>

3245. Re: Question on discriminator output setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <7iri50gsm1@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 117002
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

DeWat Productions (drwatkins3@erols.com) wrote:
: I use a RCA jack as the dis. output on my PRO2006. I would rather have used
: a 1/8" phone jack myself, so I switched. To my surprise, the 1/8" phone
: jack didn't work It appeared to put a heavy drain on the radio, the radio
: would no longer close squelch or scan. I then tried a different model 1/8"
: phone jack, and got the same nonworking results, so I went back to the RCA
: jack.... now no problems again. I can't understand this, a jack is merely a
: connector (I was only using a two pole jack, not the three pole open/close
: circuit model), but I couldn't make the phone jack work. Not telling you
: what will or will not work, just my experience.

: Robert wrote in message ...
: >I have a question. I have found the discriminator output on my scanner and
: >want to know if I purchased the correct connector. The connector that I
: >have are From Radio Shack Cat. No. 274-248A. Two-conductor 1/8" Phone
: Jacks.
: >Closed Circuit type. Will this part work. I just want to connect the dis
: >tap to this part as well as ground it but when I look at it I dont know if
: >it work work.. Can someone help??

I can't say how, without seeing what you did, but what you did was ground
the discriminator output to ground(if you put a capacitor in line, then
you grounded the output through the capacitor, thus an AC short only-less
likely to cause damage).
Either way, generally groundig a disc out does not "fry" anything-but it
sure does make your scanner work lousy :-)

P.S. closed circut jacks are NOT the right type.

3246. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.T. Tyson IV" <Tarkus@skantech.com>
Message-ID: <37513C1F.D7106410@skantech.com>
Source record: 117003
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Can't you just answer the guy's question instead of advertising your lack
of knowledge by nitpicking it to pieces?
He obviously meant monochrome as in BLACK & WHITE. Instead you give out with
all this BS about stations broadcasting in only one color ('scuse me, colour)
and ice cream and chromatic density and blah blah blah. Sheesh.
All you're doing is evading the question and making yourself look like a
jackass in the process.

GTT/Tarkus@skantech.com

Mobbsy wrote:

> In article <374fdc5d.3870950@news.earthlink.net>, sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com
> wrote:
>
> > On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:54:29 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> > <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Keith Bennett wrote:
> > >>
> > >> I'm having problems with receiving the Isle of Wight monochrome TV
> > >> transmitter on Channel BBC-1 from this location. Has anybody based in
> > >> Holland any tips on the subject?
> > --
> >
> > >> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> > >Since when do TV station broadcast in one colo(u)r as the name
> > >Monochrome would imply.
> >
> > Monochrome does not mean one colour! It means monoCHORMATIC!
> > On a grey scale from black to white!
> >
> > >Heck, even Black and White is two colo(u)rs.
> >
> > Yes, but we are talking about chrominance here, not "colours."
> > You don't seem to have learned the difference!
> >
> > >By the way, the best Black and White is what is know as a Twistee cone.
> > >That is when they combine Vanilla Ice Cream with Chocolate Ice Crea.
> >
> Ummmmm, what was the question again?
> > Sigh - again, chocolate ice cream is BROWN, not BLACK!
> >

> > Maybe you are colour blind. Though I rather suspect what we have here
> > is misperception of chromatic DENSITY!
> --
> A smile doesn't cost anything :)
>

3247. Re: Question on discriminator output setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bret <rf_freak65@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7irmplots1@news.iag.net>
Source record: 117004
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,
I Always use an RCA jack. When you remove a phono jack plug it shorts the two conductors in the jack together . When you short the dicsriminator pin to ground it usually affects the squelch and or scan. If you are dead set on using an 1/8 plug get an extra plug to place in the jack when you aren't using a hardware item on the discriminator output.
I hope this helps,
Bret
marc_k wrote in message <7iri50gsm1@alice.walrus.com>...
>DeWat Productions (drwatkins3@erols.com) wrote:
>: I use a RCA jack as the dis. output on my PRO2006. I would rather have used
>: a 1/8" phone jack myself, so I switched. To my surprise, the 1/8" phone
>: jack didn't work It appeared to put a heavy drain on the radio, the radio
>: would no longer close squelch or scan. I then tried a different model 1/8"
>: phone jack, and got the same nonworking results, so I went back to the RCA
>: jack.... now no problems again. I can't understand this, a jack is merely a
>: connector (I was only using a two pole jack, not the three pole open/close
>: circuit model), but I couldn't make the phone jack work. Not telling you
>: what will or will not work, just my experience.
>
>
>: Robert wrote in message ...
>: >I have a question. I have found the discriminator output on my scanner and
>: >want to know if I purchased the correct connector. The connector that I
>: >have are From Radio Shack Cat. No. 274-248A. Two-conductor 1/8" Phone
>: Jacks.
>: >Closed Circuit type. Will this part work. I just want to connect the dis
>: >tap to this part as well as ground it but when I look at it I dont know if
>: >it work work.. Can someone help??
>
>
>I can't say how, without seeing what you did, but what you did was ground
>the discriminator output to ground(if you put a capacitor in line, then
>you grounded the output through the capacitor, thus an AC short only-less

>likely to cause damage).
>Either way, generally groundig a disc out does not "fry" anything-but it
>sure does make your scanner work lousy :-)
>
>P.S. closed circut jacks are NOT the right type.
>

3248. Modification Albrecht (UNIDEN) Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mad Max <d.pfeiffer@westend.de>
Message-ID: <7irm7h$d23$1@swifty.westend.com>
Source record: 117005

Hi everyone!

Does anyone know, how to modify the Albrecht AE-60T Scanner?
It seems to be similar to some kind of UNIDEN-Scanner....see picture!

I would like to be able to change from AM to FM MANUALLY......not possible!
I would like to have a greater Bandwidth (for WX-Pics).................not
possible!
Are there any hidden funtions?
What is the "WX"-Poti on the Board for?

.....many questions, but are there answers?

Dirk

3249. Monmouth Cty, NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobs@icdc.com
Message-ID: <37535e7d.5913211@news2.icdc.com>
Source record: 117006
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Need Beach Patrol frequencies for Belmar, Bradley Beach, Avon, Spring Lake, Sea Girt and Ocean Grove. Any chance that you can help me out?

thanks....bob

Bob Schoenleber
Bucks County,PA
40 14' 44"N**74 50'47"W
"On the Delaware River"

3250. Re: Yupi 7100 VS BC3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11bb0c39be9eb5f49897c8@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 117007

You should have ordered one of the Bandercom modified MVT-9000m2.4's and your pager problem would likely have not occurred. It is far superior to all the AOR's and for that matter, other then not having the computer interface, is likely the best handheld out there PERIOD.

3251. TEXARKANA area frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: maria lemons <lemons.m@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7irnao$9aj$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117008

Need texarkana area frequencies, Mainly Bowie Co. S.O. "channel B"

3252. PRO-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: maria lemons <lemons.m@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7irnqn$d9g$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117009

Anybody out there have mods for the R.S. Pro-2026, I have the model without the inductor to clip.

3253. Re: old Regency scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: AY@doofus.mn
Message-ID: <375265db.55499501@news.doofus.mn>
Source record: 117010

In article <37507d57@news.desupernet.net>, "Larry"
<dillon@desupernet.net> wrote:

>Hi folks...
>
>Picked up a yard sale "goody" today...a Regency ACT-T16K desktop scanner.
>16-channel, with touchpad and of course, no manual. (Hey...whadya want for
>5-bucks). No, it doesn't work...at least I don't think so. Can't figure
>out how to program it. There's audio (static) and it will move thru the
>16-channels. A weather channel is set as priority, and I can't figure out
>how to get it out of priority mode. One of the touch pads says "SP SS."
>
>Anyone have a clue as to how to set this thing up, assuming it's not dead?
>It also has a place for a 9-volt battery, but I'm assuming that's to keep
>the frequencies locked in when it's unplugged. The guy I bought it from did
>have the weather station audio, but I can't get it now. Any help or web
>site where a manual might be available greatly appreciated.
>
Regency ACT-T-16K

PROGRAMMING
The switches on the touch panels are located under the number or let-
ters. The switches are operated by applying light pressure with a
fingerdirectly on the number or letters. A slight click will he felt
to indicate that the switch has operated.
When power is first applied, AlL 16 channels are
pre-programmed to 162.55 MHz, the primary frequency of the weather
bureau. Also an"E" will appear on the readout. The E may he removed by
touching CL, or it may he ignored. Touch PR and proceed with
programming. The frequency in any channel may he changed as desired.
Any frequency may he entered into channel 16 memory, however,
the Channel 16 Weather Alert feature will operate ONLY on a Weather
Bureau frequency.
Mobile telephone frequencies may be programmed into any
channel. A tone filter has been built into the receiver to prevent the
scanner from stopping on an inactive channel transmitting only a tone
signal.

PROGRAMMING CHANNEL FREQUENCIES

Touch PR
Touch desired channel number.
Touch CL
Enter the frequency by touching the numbers on the keyboard in the
proper order, be sure to enter the decimal point at the correct place.

Touch channel number.
If an ï¿½Eï¿½ appears on the readout, the frequency is invalid.
Touch CL and re-enter a valid frequency.
Repeat this procedure for each of the remaining channels to be programmed.
When all desired channels are programmed, touch SC. If necessary, adjust the Squelch Control to obtain proper scan action. The channels that are not to he scanned may be turned OFF by touching their channel numbers two times in rapid succession. This is necessay to eliminate stations such as the Weather Bureau which broadcast continuously. The channels may be turned back on by touching their number once. The scanner will immediately go to the selected channel, pause and then resume scanning.

PROGRAMMING CHANNEL 1 PRIORITY
The Priority feature for Channel 1 may be programmed ON or OFF as desired. When the Priority feature has been selected and the scanner is locked on another channel, Channel 1 will be sampled approximately once a second for activity. In this mode of operation, the scanner will switch to Channel 1 whenever a signal is received on Channel 1. With the Priority feature programmed OFF, Channel 1 will be scanned in sequence with the other channels.
The receiver must be in SCan mode or MAnual mode to enter or remove the Priority feature.
The scanner does not have to be on Channel 1 to enter or remove Priority, it maybe scanning or locked on any channel.
Any frequency in any band may be used as a Priority frequency in Channel 1. Make sure the desired frequency has been entered in Channel 1 before Channel 1 Priority is activated.

ENTER CHANNEL 1 ï¿½PRIORITYï¿½
Touch Keyboard number ï¿½1ï¿½
Touch decimal point.

REMOVE CHANNEL 1 ï¿½PRIORITYï¿½
Touch Keyboard number ï¿½1ï¿½.
Touch CL.

CHANNEL 16 ï¿½WEATHER ALERTï¿½
Channel 16 ï¿½Weather Alert" includes a tone circuit which is activated when the Weather Bureau transmits an alert tone to warn of severe weather conditions. Make sure the correct weather frequency for your area is entered in Channel 16.
The receiver must be in MAnual mode for proper ï¿½Weather Alertï¿½ operation. Also, it should be programmed to monitor an inactive channel (a channel with no transmissions).

ENTER CHANNEL 16 ï¿½WEATHER ALERTï¿½
Touch MA
Touch Channel Number of any inactive channel
Touch Keyboard number "2ï¿½
Touch decimal point

REMOVE ChANNEL 16 ï¿½WEATHER ALERTï¿½
Touch Keyboard number "2"
Touch CL.

PROGRAMMING SEARCH FREQUENCIES
Two frequencies are used in the Search mode. The rules for these frequencies are:

Both frequencies MUST be in the same band.
The START frequency MUST he lower than the STOP frequency.

Programming the Search frequencies has no effect on the frequencies that have been programmed into channels 1 and 2 memories for SCan or MAnual functions.

The frequencies that appear on the readout at the beginning of programming the SEARCH featureï¿½s START and STOP frequencies have no meaning. They may be removed by touching CL or simply ignored and the desired frequency written over them.

ENTER SEARCH ï¿½STARTï¿½ FREQUENCY
Touch SP
Touch CL.
Enter START (lower) frequency by touching the Keyboard numbers in the proper order. Be sure to inclttde the decimal point.
Touch Channel number 1
If an "E" appears on the readout, the START frequency is incorrect, or not within a band. Touch CL and reï¿½enter the correct frequency.

ENTER SEARCH ï¿½STOPï¿½ FREQUENCY
Touch Channel number "2"
Touch CL.
Enter STOP (higher) frequency by touching the Keyboard numbers in the proper order. Be sure to enter the decimal point.
Touch Channel number ï¿½2ï¿½.
If an ï¿½Eï¿½ appears on the readout:
The START and STOP frequencies are not in the same band.
The STOP frequency is lower than the START frequency.
Touch SS to start the search. If the readout immediately displays the START frequency and noise is heard, move the Squelch Control to the left just enough to stop the noise and touch SS again.
When an active cllannel is found, the Search will stop and the frequency will he displayed.
Touch SS to resume the search.
The search may he operated manually if desired.
Move the Squelch Control to the right until the Search stops. With the Squelch Control in this position, the Search frequency will move one step each time SS is touched.

3254. morse code on police channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: blackcatnv@aol.comYY
Message-ID: <19990530122408.02271.00004662@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 117011

What is the purpose of the short bursts of morse code that appear on police and other public frequencies that are otherwise voice channels?

3255. Monmouth Cty, NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobs@icdc.com
Message-ID: <375b688c.8488738@news2.icdc.com>
Source record: 117012

Need Beach Patrol frequencies for Belmar, Bradley Beach, Avon, Spring Lake, Sea Girt and Ocean Grove. Any chance that you can help me out?

thanks....bob

Bob Schoenleber
Bucks County,PA
40 14' 44"N**74 50'47"W
"On the Delaware River"

3256. BC245 - Number of Talkgroup Memories?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed <emw28@aol.com>
Message-ID: <7irrai$8hv$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 117013
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Has anyone heard how many talkgroup memories will be available with the new BC245? As most of us will agree, the 50 (5 banks of 10) with the 235 and 895 is not nearly enough with some of the larger trunked systems. It would be nice to have a MINIMUM of 10 banks of 10.

Your thoughts?

3257. Re: morse code on police channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7irrefiil0@207.18.224.117>
Source record: 117014

On 30 May 1999 16:24:08 GMT, blackcatnv@aol.comYY (BlackCatNV) wrote:

>What is the purpose of the short bursts of morse code that appear on police and
>other public frequencies that are otherwise voice channels?

Normally, they are the CWID for the radio system. They are supposed
to id the station with their callsign.

3258. FS: GE AM/FM/Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: BHL <philoxeny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7ir7pk$b1b$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117015
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Model: 2995A, AM/FM and 4 channel scanner from 150 to 174 Mhz. An older 70's type where you analog dial in the freq for each channel, click it in for each channel and then listen to the radio or the 4 channels scanning. Good shape and it is portable but not that small. You would take this on a picnic or to a gathering but would not want to tote it around if you were hiking. Will send .jpg's at your request. $30 shipped to your door.
Contact: BHL, philoxeny@hotmail.com

3259. FS: RS Pro-60 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: BHL <philoxeny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7ir7vv$b1s$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117016
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This model covers from 30 Mhz to 512 Mhz, 760 Mhz to 824 Mhz, 849 Mhz to 869 Mhz and 894 Mhz to 1000 Mhz. It has AM, NFM, and WFM modes so you can receive the FM broadcast band and sound for TV channels 2 thru 13. Not a scratch or blemish on it and it works perfectly. Comes with manual, stock rubber ducky and AC to DC power supply. $150 + $5 shipping. USPS money order please.
Contact : BHL
philoxeny@hotmail.com

3260. Re: BC245 - Number of Talkgroup Memories?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ARTHUR C BROWN <LOK-ART@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7irtbs$2q1i$1@newssvr01-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 117017
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I think it's going to be the same. I saw it some where but now I can't find it. So don't hold me to it. If I find it again I'll post it. I have it some where in my favorites file.

Have fun scanning!

Arthur

Ed <emw28@aol.com> wrote in message news:7irrai$8hv$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
> Has anyone heard how many talkgroup memories will be available with the new
> BC245? As most of us will agree, the 50 (5 banks of 10) with the 235 and
> 895 is not nearly enough with some of the larger trunked systems. It would
> be nice to have a MINIMUM of 10 banks of 10.
>
> Your thoughts?
>
>

3261. FS: RS Pro-60 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: BHL <philoxeny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7ir8p2$b49$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117018

This model covers from 30 Mhz to 512 Mhz, 760 Mhz to 824 Mhz, 849 Mhz to 869 Mhz and 894 Mhz to 1000 Mhz. It has AM, NFM, and WFM modes so you can receive the FM broadcast band and sound for TV channels 2 thru 13. Not a scratch or blemish on it and it works perfectly. Comes with manual, stock rubber ducky and AC to DC power supply. $150 + $5 shipping. USPS money order please.
Contact : BHL at
philoxeny@hotmail.com

3262. Q: best place to buy unblocked scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sputnik <sputnik@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <hUe43.349$6i2.11386@news2.voicenet.com>
Source record: 117019

anyone have a suggestion on where to buy unblocked scanners

3263. Re: best place to buy unblocked scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rillbar Nordchenk <frosping@ossinalt.net>
Message-ID: <7ir9fv$b8s$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 117020

The best place would be: http://www.javiation.co.uk/ Or you could try Durham in Canada but Javiation is better price even though they are in the UK. Very friendly people, even when you don't buy from them. They responded to my e mails within 2 hours.

Sputnik wrote in message ...
> anyone have a suggestion on where to buy unblocked scanners
>

3264. Re: ICOM R2 Rs232 information?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew Smith <Andy@g1jvy.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <w2UepEAtSXU3EwxY@g1jvy.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 117021
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.amateur,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7iptd0$q3f$1@news.casema.net>, Jan Bertens <jb@jb.com>
writes

>I tried it but it's not what I'm looking for. With all respect it's too
>simple.
>But you can tell me more about all the bits and bytes...

Have you tried v1.01 of Goran Vlaskis software, it sounds like you're
talking about 1.00. As far as I can see his software is about as
comprehensive as you could get.

PS I believe 1.01 does not read the correct duplex shift from the radio,
anyone confirm/deny this, I think Goran is looking into it for me ?

Andy.

andrew.smith@iname.com

3265. Re: ORBCOMM Satellites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jvpoll@dallas.net>
Message-ID: <37518290.EC18915A@dallas.net>
Source record: 117022
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I can give you the downlink beacon freq: 400.1 MHz

I can't give out the VHF Data freqs though ...

Jim

David Bate wrote:

> Can someone please e-mail me the uplink and downlink frequencies and
> channel ID's for the ORBCOMM system. Thanks..
>
> --
> David Bate [ICQ 9344489]
> Bowmanville, Ontario
> http://www3.sympatico.ca/david.bate/

3266. Re: ORBCOMM Satellites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jvpoll@dallas.net>
Message-ID: <37518292.BFD17E98@dallas.net>
Source record: 117023
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I can give you the downlink beacon freq: 400.1 MHz

I can't give out the VHF Data freqs though ...

Jim

David Bate wrote:

> Can someone please e-mail me the uplink and downlink frequencies and
> channel ID's for the ORBCOMM system. Thanks..
>
> --
> David Bate [ICQ 9344489]
> Bowmanville, Ontario
> http://www3.sympatico.ca/david.bate/

3267. Re: Odd digital signal - any ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jvpoll@dallas.net>
Message-ID: <37518228.20F87ED1@dallas.net>
Source record: 117024

I DF'd a UHF source that has gone awry after a lightning storm a
few years ago - it was a UHF transceiver on a water tank doing
telemetry service.

It would generate garbage in the UHF amatuer band in warm
weather so it took the first few warm days in spring to find
it finally ...

As to the specific data sent - don't know that ...

Jim

Mike Barnard wrote:

> A local water department is sending packet-like data bursts, and
> I'd love to know what the heck they're using to do so. The signal appears
> to be FSK (512 baud, 900+1500hz tones). I've never run across any other
> digital signal that uses these parameters; does anyone have any idea what
> this might be? My best guess is either telemetry or security, but I'm
> more interested in what format this might be or if anyone's seen
> equipment that generates a similar signal in use somewhere else.

3268. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375183C9.7A6E@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117025
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

THANKS FOR THE REAL SMART REMARK. I GUESS YOU ARE NOT ANY BETTER, EH?

G.T. Tyson IV wrote:
>
> Can't you just answer the guy's question instead of advertising your lack
> of knowledge by nitpicking it to pieces?
> He obviously meant monochrome as in BLACK & WHITE. Instead you give out with
> all this BS about stations broadcasting in only one color ('scuse me, colour)
> and ice cream and chromatic density and blah blah blah. Sheesh.
> All you're doing is evading the question and making yourself look like a
> jackass in the process.
>
> GTT/Tarkus@skantech.com
>
> Mobbsy wrote:
>
> > In article <374fdc5d.3870950@news.earthlink.net>, sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com
> > wrote:
> >
> > > On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:54:29 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> > > <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Keith Bennett wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> I'm having problems with receiving the Isle of Wight monochrome TV
> > > >> transmitter on Channel BBC-1 from this location. Has anybody based in
> > > >> Holland any tips on the subject?
> > > --
> > >
> > > >> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> > > >Since when do TV station broadcast in one colo(u)r as the name
> > > >Monochrome would imply.
> > >
> > > Monochrome does not mean one colour! It means monoCHORMATIC!
> > > On a grey scale from black to white!
> > >
> > > >Heck, even Black and White is two colo(u)rs.
> > >
> > > Yes, but we are talking about chrominance here, not "colours."
> > > You don't seem to have learned the difference!
> > >
> > > >By the way, the best Black and White is what is know as a Twistee cone.
> > > >That is when they combine Vanilla Ice Cream with Chocolate Ice Crea.

> > >
> > Ummmmm, what was the question again?
> > > Sigh - again, chocolate ice cream is BROWN, not BLACK!
> > >
> > > Maybe you are colour blind. Though I rather suspect what we have here
> > > is misperception of chromatic DENSITY!
> > --
> > A smile doesn't cost anything :)
> >

--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

3269. Re: Pro-26 Virtual Down Conversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jvpoll@dallas.net>
Message-ID: <37518425.FA882A66@dallas.net>
Source record: 117026

Anyway - the good stuff is now going top be on Digital ...

Over 60% penetration in the Dallas / Fort Worth AT&T Wireless
Service market alone ...

Analog - a losing proposition.

Jim

Rich Wells, N2MCA wrote:

> Phil Smalley wrote:
> > It simply amazes me how many people are willing to hose a perfectly good
> > radio just to listen to stupid cell phones.
>
> Well said, Phil. Most, if they are intent on "infringing" the
> law, should simply buy a larger antenna and listen to the image
> frequencies. They will quickly get bored without having had
> hacked their radio in the process.
>
> There is a LOT more excitement out there than cell...
>
> Strong Signals,
> Rich Wells N2MCA
> http://www.strongsignals.net/

3270. Re: TEXARKANA area frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <YBf43.36071$pj7.361436@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 117027

I think 154.815 is channel 2 (AKA ch B?). There is a frequency listed in
New Boston that is 155.640.

maria lemons <lemons.m@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:7irnao$9aj$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net...
> Need texarkana area frequencies, Mainly Bowie Co. S.O. "channel B"
>
>

3271. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375185CC.1DF8@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117028
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

YCedric wrote:
>
> On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:54:29 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> >Keith Bennett wrote:
> >>
> >> I'm having problems with receiving the Isle of Wight monochrome TV
> >> transmitter on Channel BBC-1 from this location. Has anybody based in
> >> Holland any tips on the subject?
> >> --
>
> >> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> >Since when do TV station broadcast in one colo(u)r as the name
> >Monochrome would imply.
>
> Monochrome does not mean one colour! It means monoCHORMATIC!
> On a grey scale from black to white!
>
> >Heck, even Black and White is two colo(u)rs.
>
> Yes, but we are talking about chrominance here, not "colours."
> You don't seem to have learned the difference!
>
> >By the way, the best Black and White is what is know as a Twistee cone.
> >That is when they combine Vanilla Ice Cream with Chocolate Ice Crea.
>
> Sigh - again, chocolate ice cream is BROWN, not BLACK!
>
> Maybe you are colour blind. Though I rather suspect what we have here
> is misperception of chromatic DENSITY!
OU CANNOT TELL ME THAT MY CHOCOLATE ICE CREAM IS BROWN.
I KNOW WHAT I SEWE.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

3272. Re: Where to get a very low power transmitter ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jvpoll@dallas.net>
Message-ID: <3751875B.173395FC@dallas.net>
Source record: 117029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Forget about the Ramsey junk ...

Try instead:

www.northcountryradio.com

For their AM88 and MPX96 AM (mono) and FM *stereo*
transmittters. These are good units - synthesized so no drift ...

Price: about 80 US dollars - but, you get what you pay for ...

Jim

Lynton@iname.com wrote:

> I need a really really low power transmitter, the kind to radiate a tiny
> signal onto the AM or FM band, thus can be picked up from a few feet.
> Radio Shack sells one but does anyone here know of any others, please
> post some details such as URLs.
>
> --== Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/ ==--
> ---Share what you know. Learn what you don't.---

3273. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37518CB6.5144@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117030
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>
> YCedric wrote:
> >
> > On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:54:29 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> > <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Keith Bennett wrote:
> > >>
> > >> I'm having problems with receiving the Isle of Wight monochrome TV
> > >> transmitter on Channel BBC-1 from this location. Has anybody based in
> > >> Holland any tips on the subject?
> > --
> >
> > >> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> > >Since when do TV station broadcast in one colo(u)r as the name
> > >Monochrome would imply.
> >
> > Monochrome does not mean one colour! It means monoCHORMATIC!
> > On a grey scale from black to white!
> >
> > >Heck, even Black and White is two colo(u)rs.
> >
> > Yes, but we are talking about chrominance here, not "colours."
> > You don't seem to have learned the difference!
> >
> > >By the way, the best Black and White is what is know as a Twistee cone.
> > >That is when they combine Vanilla Ice Cream with Chocolate Ice Crea.
> >
> > Sigh - again, chocolate ice cream is BROWN, not BLACK!
> >
> > Maybe you are colour blind. Though I rather suspect what we have here
> > is misperception of chromatic DENSITY!
> OU CANNOT TELL ME THAT MY CHOCOLATE ICE CREAM IS BROWN.
> I KNOW WHAT I SEE.
> --
> REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
```

3274. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37519004.2EB9@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117031
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES

Andrew Moss wrote:
>
> What is the 66.00 MHZ - 88.00 MHZ used for ??????
> Please tell me some freqs what it is used for.
>
> Thanks,
> Tell me soon

--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

3275. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37518F45.71E4@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Marcus AAkesson wrote:
>
> On Wed, 26 May 1999 20:08:43 -0400, "Marc" <wr2i@access1.net> wrote:
>
> (Please write under the quote)

WRITE RIGHT RITE
>
> >HT is a Motorola acronym from the old daysstanding for "HANDIE TALKIE" All
> >of Motorolas' portables are referred to as an example model HT200, HT220,
> >HT1000 etc;
>
> GP300???
>
> /Marcus

--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

3276. MORSE CODE AMND TALK GROUPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37519176.988@ameritech.net>
Source record: 117033
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Why is it that you cannot hear the Morse Code I.D.s even if you have all
the talk groups programmed into your scanner. Thanks for the help.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

3277. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: DrPepper@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <3753903f.6206744@news.iwvisp.com>
Source record: 117034
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 29 May 1999 13:40:57 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
<skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:

>The FCC regulates EVERYTHING that can radiate interference. They've forced
>electric shavers off the market until modified to not radiate excessively.

Now THERE'S a good one, , , , , , , ,

A shaver is nothing more than a modified spark gap transmitter
that does other things!

How the heck can you quiet THAT down?
;-}
73 de Ron wb6gki

Dr. Pepper
10 - 2 & 4
Good ALL the time
For utility radio information, goto:
http://www1.iwvisp.com/DrPepper/

3278. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Louis Sica, Jr. (AC0X)" <ac0x@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <ypg43.776$T9.111110@ptah.visi.com>
Source record: 117035
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom Fanning wrote in message <7irui1$7eb$1@uranium.btinternet.com>...
>I take it this will be 1200 baud packet.
>
>Also please Please PLEASE port your brilliant software to the PC - not
>necesarily Windows
>

I hope you mean for Chris to port Multimode to Linux and not DOS instead of Windows. Even if I may argue that he may do better porting it to Windows (if that is indeed practical), Linux is at least a current, viable, and very powerful operating system for the PC. DOS hasn't been a current O/S for 4 years now. Why do hams continue to write for it? Then again, there are some still writing programs for the Commodore 64, so I shouldn't be surprised.

3279. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <375195b1.9402946@news>
Source record: 117036
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 29 May 1999 16:57:38 -0400, "Mark J. Fine"
<fineware@erols.com> wrote:

>You're right, this could very easily turn into an OS war. Believe it or not,
>the Mac's (actually Motorola's) interrupt structure is a lot more efficient
>for these kinds of things. What you say is essentially how you would program
>a sound card if you were to use Window's built-in sound interface. However,
>Window's sound drivers are still tied back to the clunky IRQ scheme still
>underlying in DOS. This is part of the speed issue.

Can you be more specific about the "speed issue?" Are you talking
about *latency* (i.e. the amount of time required for a given sample
to wend its way through the various layers of the OS to reach the
user's application)? Or are you talking about the ability of the
multimedia APIs to deliver sampled data to the application at the same
rate as they are being sampled (e.g. the sound card is set to sample
at 44kHz, but samples only arrive at the application code at a lower
rate, like 38kHz)? If neither of these is the case, then how can you
claim that the API is the problem?

I submit that the true bottleneck is not the Windows APIs at all, but
rather the algorithm, the implementation of that algorithm, the
processing speed of the CPU, or some combination of these. As noted in
an earlier post, if the processing demands are too heavy for the main
CPU to handle in real time, then some kind of coprocessor, whether in
the form of a dedicated DSP chip or an SMP architecture with multiple
CPUs, is pretty much a necessity for real time processing of the
sampled data.

3280. Re: ICOM R2 Rs232 information?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Bertens <jb@jb.com>
Message-ID: <7is660$4ni$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 117037
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.amateur,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

My original question was info about the remote protocol, I'm not looking for software. So what all the bits mean that's what I want to know.

I know the software of Goran and I appreciate his efforts to give us his freeware software but I want to do much more and implement IC R2 support in some other software I wrote....

And yep the dup+/dup- is wrong.... But it sends it back ok it's only the presentation in the grid...

JB

Andrew Smith <Andy@g1jvy.demon.co.uk> wrote in message news:w2UepEAtSXU3EwxY@g1jvy.demon.co.uk...
> In article <7iptd0$q3f$1@news.casema.net>, Jan Bertens <jb@jb.com>
> writes
>
> >I tried it but it's not what I'm looking for. With all respect it's too
> >simple.
> >But you can tell me more about all the bits and bytes...
>
> Have you tried v1.01 of Goran Vlaskis software, it sounds like you're
> talking about 1.00. As far as I can see his software is about as
> comprehensive as you could get.
>
> PS I believe 1.01 does not read the correct duplex shift from the radio,
> anyone confirm/deny this, I think Goran is looking into it for me ?
>
> Andy.
>
> andrew.smith@iname.com

3281. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: mobbsy@ozemail.com.au
Message-ID: <mobbsy-3005990044080001@slsdn20p18.ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 117080
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <374fdc5d.3870950@news.earthlink.net>, sabbatai_zvi@hotmail.com
wrote:

> On Fri, 21 May 1999 18:54:29 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> >Keith Bennett wrote:
> >>
> >> I'm having problems with receiving the Isle of Wight monochrome TV
> >> transmitter on Channel BBC-1 from this location. Has anybody based in
> >> Holland any tips on the subject?
> >> --
>
> >> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> >Since when do TV station broadcast in one colo(u)r as the name
> >Monochrome would imply.
>
> Monochrome does not mean one colour! It means monoCHORMATIC!
> On a grey scale from black to white!
>
> >Heck, even Black and White is two colo(u)rs.
>
> Yes, but we are talking about chrominance here, not "colours."
> You don't seem to have learned the difference!
>
> >By the way, the best Black and White is what is know as a Twistee cone.
> >That is when they combine Vanilla Ice Cream with Chocolate Ice Crea.
>
Ummmmm, what was the question again?
> Sigh - again, chocolate ice cream is BROWN, not BLACK!
>
> Maybe you are colour blind. Though I rather suspect what we have here
> is misperception of chromatic DENSITY!
--
A smile doesn't cost anything :)

3282. Re: ICOM R2 Rs232 information?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Bertens <jb@jb.com>
Message-ID: <7iptd0$q3f$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 117081
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.amateur,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

I tried it but it's not what I'm looking for. With all respect it's too
simple.
But you can tell me more about all the bits and bytes...

Vlaski Goran <Ingra-Beton@t-online.de> wrote in message
news:374FB109.A32B159B@t-online.de...
> Jan Bertens schrieb:
> >
> > Hi,
> >
> > The R2 can dump data to a computer using the clone option. I'm looking
for a
> > good description of the contents off that dump. I already found out some
> > things myself but not all field are clear.
> >
> > i want to use the dump to creata a memory /search bank editor at my PC.
> >
> > Any help is welcome,
> >
> > Please only post to newsgroup, my e-mail is invalid.
> >
> > Jan Bertens
>
> Why don't you use my Software.
>
>
> --
> ---
> Goran Vlaski (Ingra-Beton@t-online.de)
> http://vlaski.virtualave.net/
> http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/
> ---

3283. Re: PRO-34 Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Knut Otterbeck <otterbeck@c2i.net>
Message-ID: <7ipudd$gj6$1@romeo.dax.net>
Source record: 117082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Do you happen to have the Service Manual for the Pro-2042?
I can send you a copy of the Pro-37 Manual (Same as Pro-34)
if you send me the Pro-2042 copy ?

Knut

Robert <rc123@gnc.net> wrote in message news:37504E25.8798664E@gnc.net...
> I'm looking for the keyboard matrix information for a PRO-34
> that is in the Service Manual (not an owners manual) . As an
> example, here's a link to an image of the keyboard matrix for
> a PRO-2042:
>
> http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/2042kbrd.JPG
>
> If you have a Service Manual for a PRO-34, I'm willing to make
> a deal for the info. Contact me directly at rc123@gnc.net
>
> Thanks,
>
> Robert
>

3284. Re: old Regency scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: fd347@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990529201941.20827.00007044@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 117083

>Regency ACT-T16K desktop scanner.
>16-channel, with touchpad and of course, no manual. (Hey...whadya want for
>5-bucks). Can't figure
>out how to program it. There's audio (static) and it will move thru the
>16-channels. A weather channel is set as priority, and I can't figure out
>how to get it out of priority mode. One of the touch pads says "SP SS."
>
>Anyone have a clue as to how to set this thing up, assuming it's not dead?
>It also has a place for a 9-volt battery, but I'm assuming that's to keep
>the frequencies locked in when it's unplugged. The guy I bought it from did
>have the weather station audio, but I can't get it now. Any help or web
>site where a manual might be available greatly appreciated.
>

I have the same model scanner somewhere in my garage. If memory serves, priority mode is engaged and disengaged by pressing . (decimal point), 1, then enter. (It's been a long time.) The WX priority is similar except you change the 1 to a 9. The NOAA station must be programmed into location 20 for it to work. I doubt however that it will recognize todays alert tones.

The SP and SS buttons are Search Program and Search Start. I'd have to take the radio out of storage and play with it to remember how to fully use it.

3285. Re: Ignore them all John Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pio@parg.org
Message-ID: <37508ABA.F121EDB5@parg.org>
Source record: 117084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thank you for your support. I've often suspected your ideas were the truth regarding the root of the problem.

gho wrote:

> They're only jealous of your superior knowledege of
> electronics and you command of the English language.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3286. Re: ...the screw who turned Bill In to Feds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pio@parg.org
Message-ID: <37508C4D.1DA071B8@parg.org>
Source record: 117085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Spunky - I am reading this post as a reply to a post from Blake Bowers. The words below & am I sure that many others share the same opinion regarding Blake. I am only curious to know exactly what specifically you are referring to when you said "I think you screwing Bill like you did was an attempt for you to have this,,,".

thanks!

Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:

> I think you screwing Bill like you did was an attempt for you to have this channel for yourself
> instead of having to share all the attention with Bill.
> I think we should all killfile you as a protest in your activity against Bill.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3287. Re: micro CCD Camera Transmitter ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <cK043.280$GT.347@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 117086

Aaron:

You might want to look in; alt.security

Bill Crocker

Aaron Ceraldi <ceraldi@albany.net> wrote in message
news:7iq1j8dgc1@news.monmouth.com...
> I saw a post on here a while back, and I visited the web site, of a
company
> that made a micro transmitter for ccd cameras it transmited on ch-6 -
ch-11
> I think, and it was like the size of a quarter, anyone know of the company
> and web site where it is, or a similer product, closest Iv found is
>
> http://www.action-electronics.com/ccd.htm
>
> makes a 2.4GHz transmitter and reciver package looks nice but kinda to
large
> for what I want to do.
>
> please e-mail me any info,
>
> Thanks,
> Aaron ceraldi,
> KNY2AGO

3288. FS-Unblocked UK Trident TR-4000 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: gg210301@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990529223415.18937.00002127@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 117087

Hand held 1000 channels, from .1-2059 MHZ. $400 shipped lower 48.

3289. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3752CA65.91BFD59A@usa.net>
Source record: 116838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Glenn-

You have hit on a valid point that keeps coming to the surface
again & again regarding Bill. People keep asking for the truth or
mentioning the truth regarding Bill. Then they are accused of
being negative and harrassed byt he Cheek-a-likes.

How pathetic they are.

Glenn wrote:

> Trying to gather info.
> Is that a negative?
>
> Some facts don't add up.
> Is it negative to ask for the truth?
>
> CEO, President, Author and he doesn't have/know a lawyer?
>
> What are the charges?
>
> What are the REAL facts?
>
> Why talk handouts if you/we don't know these basics?
>
> Is it negative to question?
>
> Why do some of these questions never get answered?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3290. Re: A Warning to ALL reads of this Board !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <x_z43.326$%a4.540@news.flash.net>
Source record: 116839

In article <928171597.14507@www.remarq.com>, slapsho7@hotmail.com says...
>
>I want to warn everyone about a very real problem with ALL
>these message boards.
>
>Often I'll see a post about one of these "illegal" or
>questionable topics:
>-Cell Equipment/Modifications
>-Software or Equipment to monitor Cell/Paging/MDT
>transmissions
>
>While posting messages on this board are fine I must warn
>EVERYONE about replying via email to one of these posts
>asking for information.
>
>I've seen several posts asking where to get MDT or Paging
>Service software. The person asking even usually posts the
>link to a now defunct website.
>
>There is a very real possibility that some of these
>individuals are members of the LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMUNITY
>trying to either entrap or gather information for possible
>future legal action!!!
>
>Once you reply to someone you don't know regarding one of
>these restricted topics there is a risk.
>
>Remember...It's not paranoia when they're really out to get
>you. Just ask Bill Cheek.
>
I can't agree more. It's very difficult when you can't trust
law enforcement or the government. I thought the police were
here to "serve and protect". Well I've in my life had to dial
911 twice, both time was a nightmare with dealing with 911. On
my RadiosOnLine you can view an article of my HORRIFIYING 911
experences. http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

When are we going to take back our Country making it the home of the brave,
land of the free again? Just look at all King Clinton (or is it
King Klinton?) has been trying to take away, he has totally trashed the
constitution.

Check our RadiosOnLine, The only Radio Web site with a copy of the
U.S. Constitution. Plus we have tons of UPTODATE radios info as well.

George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <---RadiosOnLine E-zine
--
_____
Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
_____

3291. FS REALISTIC PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: berta1s@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990531140351.23183.00007067@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 116840

LIKE NEW NO MODS WITH ANTENNA AND OWNER MANUAL IT IS 9.5 OUT OF 10

3292. New at this! Need Help with BC 147XLT pleeeeze

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: alstewart@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990531142306.05563.00003269@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 116841

I just bought a Uniden Bearcat BC 147XLT at a garage sale, but I don't know how to set the freqs I want to scan. Can anybody help? I have tried every button combo I can think of but nothing works. Thanks! alstewart@aol.com

3293. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7iukao$f9m$2@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 116842
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

ï¿½
>
>On 31 May 1999 17:31:25 -1000, maddison@power.connexus.net.au (David
>S. Maddison) wrote:
>
>>In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
wrote:
>>: WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE
SINCE
>>: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
>>
>>
>>I have read that the Nazis tried to popularise Megacycles or the
German
>>equivalent because they didn't want to use a unit named after a Jew,
>>Hertz.
>>
>>David Maddison

Why is there so many Nazis on a scanner news group and you never hear
from the Clan are they afraid of the Nazis?
KKK now that's a real American hate group for you!

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

3294. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7iujo7$f9m$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 116843
Cross-posted to:
soc.penpals,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

ï¿½
>
> Can't you just answer the guy's question instead of advertising your lack
>of knowledge by nitpicking it to pieces?
>He obviously meant monochrome as in BLACK & WHITE. Instead you give out with
>all this BS about stations broadcasting in only one color ('scuse me, colour)
>and ice cream and chromatic density and blah blah blah. Sheesh.
>All you're doing is evading the question and making yourself look like a
>jackass in the process.

He dosn't need to make himself look that way!

It's going to show itself nomatter what he says!!!!

If it looks like a duck, walks like a duck, quacks like a duck, it must be a "DUCK"!!

>
>GTT/Tarkus@skantech.com

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

3295. Problem w/ Pro 32

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Lippert <jlipper@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <375309C8.4A2C@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 116844

This scanner loses its frequencies on a regular basis. Has nothing to do with weak batteries, as it will happen when on the power supply. It may hold them for a day or a week, but one time when you turn it on, they are all gone. Any help would be appreciated. Thanks! Jon.

3296. Javiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: kpkeyes@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <7iuni4eak1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 116845
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I need a scanner to cover Us cell bands and full 900Mhz, If anyone has had any experience buying a European scanner (Mayber a Pro-26) please post. Thank You

Also, If anyone has a US scanner which is new or used to cover the above and is interested in a sale, please let me know.

Trevor Keyes
tkeyes@mediaone.net

3297. Re: Opinions: AOR AR16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@thebigdial.com
Message-ID: <3752e3da.17352201@news.idt.net>
Source record: 116846

I have the exact opposite opinion!
My AR16 picks up the shortwave bands
with no problem... with the suplied antenna.

The UHF & VHF comes in great!! I programmed
all the search ranges and memories using
ScanCat Gold SE for Windows.

I can slip this little thin in my pocket and
scan away...

I admit this isn't the greatest scanner in the
world but it fits a nice niche market for those
who already own a nice table top or large
handheld and just want to slip something in there pocket
when they visit boring aunt phyllis.

the included NiHyd batteries last a couple of days.

for $190 this radio is worth the money.

monty python

dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman) wrote:

>No. You have been misinformed. You can get free software for the R2, and
>the performance blows the AR16 away PERIOD. Sorry you didn't get the
>right info in time to make a more informed decision.

3298. restoring cellular freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.990531153027.13847A-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 116847

looking for info on restoring cellular freqs on a unidon model
bc 9000-xlt, if you have any info on how to get the
freqs back, please e-mail me back, don't read the news group on a daily
basis.

3299. Re: YOURE NOT GOING TO BELIEVE THIS! 9442

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: radioman@thebigdial.com
Message-ID: <3753e5bf.17837524@news.idt.net>
Source record: 116848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.food.fat-free,alt.fan.u2

youarethebiggestfuckingjerki'veeverseenonthisnewsgroup
youmakejohnmackielookhalfwayintelligentyoustupidcocksucking
moron.

monty

lnmvjg@sssdfdf.org wrote:

>
>joqctiocdpwwovuhzyfcwqquuszetddxj

3300. Re: ICOM R2 Rs232 information?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jens Stark <Jens@stark.net>
Message-ID: <3752eddc@news.ginko.net>
Source record: 116849
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.amateur,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Jan,

Mind sharing that info ?

There's always the possibility to edit the Vlaski software save files -
I thought about a "sort/delete dupes" program.
(For me, the software works great, thanks to the author :))

Jan Bertens <jb@jb.com> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
7isi1ahtv1@news.casema.net...
>
> > Well, there is nothing more you can do with the
> > IC-R2, just memory managment.
>
> That's right but I want to import data from other software, insert,delete
> channels, use the clipboard, sort the list,run the memories through some
> automatic bandplan etc. And again will all respect your software is too
> simple. It's ok for dumping to a file but I'm looking for more options.
> But I just received the info about the IC-R2 memory dump setup so tnx to
> everybody...
>
> Jan
>
>
> >
> >
> > --
> > --
> > Goran Vlaski (Ingra-Beton@t-online.de)
> > http://vlaski.virtualave.net/
> > http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/
> > --
>
>

3301. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3752d94c.4684833@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 116850
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

maddison@power.connexus.net.au (David S. Maddison) wrote:

>In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>: WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
>: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
>
>
>I have read that the Nazis tried to popularise Megacycles or the German
>equivalent because they didn't want to use a unit named after a Jew,
>Hertz.

You heard wrong.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3302. Is Sony's SC1-PC a good buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: 4lph420m3g4 <medtech@burgoyne.com>
Message-ID: <3752F059.26DEACC0@burgoyne.com>
Source record: 116851

Was wondering if anyone had any experience with Sony's SC1-PC handheld, good or bad. Has anyone had problems with it's serial PC interface or the softwarethat comes with it? Any input before my purchase would be appreciated.

3303. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <qsC43.6729$Np1.337487@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116852

Wow, mantra eh Bill. Your cute little writing style makes one think of third world education, immaturity or an avert avoidance of the subject.

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:7ito8q$agh@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com...
> In <dDn43.4749$Np1.256912@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
> <glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
> >
> >So this wasn't a plea to find help to get Cheek and Cindy back to NY
> or to
> >find a Lawyer?
> >
>
> it was more than
> you will admit to....

I have nothing to "admit" to, I asked you what you are muttering about. You come back with your beatnik-yuppie yammer rather than anything factual or of substance. Your prose is just so far out new old age, Conduit. WOW.

>
> an fyi

I am to admit to your fyi? Is this really MJB? One in the same?

> nothing more....

Yeah right, Bill. Nothing more.

> people willing
> what's the score
>
> reading the sites
> seeing the implications
> an idea gleaned
>
> sights now cleaned.
>

Utter nonsense. Do we snap our fingers when you are done Bill? Oh your prose is so deep. So deep it reads like nonsense but at least you avoid answering anything.

>
> why this negativity? it's
> your mantra...

Asking what you are mumbling about is negativity? Or is it my mantra? Which one farout-B?
Are you so South Cal you can't answer simple statements without the stupid Maynard T. wannabe impressions?

You talk about Cheek but post no facts.
You ask for help but say its fyi.

What's up?

3304. What does a CE-232 do??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: gho <ru@ghnet.com>
Message-ID: <3752F5B6.56DAB3F8@ghnet.com>
Source record: 116853

What does a CE-232 do??

3305. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: sdem4393 <sdem4393@euronet.be>
Message-ID: <7iusr8$lna@news3.euro.net>
Source record: 116854
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

HY,

no philisophic point of view but have a look at the frequency analyzer at
http://www.relisoft.com/#freebie
They take a sound from mic, perform FFT and display the spectrum on screen
in real time.
Works well on win95/98, source in c++ is available and program (exe) size is
70 K yes 70K !!

If anyone write a soundblaster prg wich can decode navtex
please send it to me.
Thank's
All the best
Stephan

3306. Re: Pro-2006 with FULL Opto456 FORSALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: gho <ru@ghnet.com>
Message-ID: <3752F505.66E05546@ghnet.com>
Source record: 116855

What all does the OPT456 board do??

Sputnik wrote:

> check out ebay LOADED Radio Shack Pro-2006 with opto456 board forsale

3307. Re: CE-232 available where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: gho <ru@ghnet.com>
Message-ID: <3752F599.A22927EE@ghnet.com>
Source record: 116856

What does this CE232 do?

Mel wrote:

> If you contact Robert at rc123@gnc.net he has CE232 kits, or he may even
> complete one for you, and even install it in your scanner for you as well if
> you want that.
>
> Rocinante wrote in message <37520117.7619222@netnews.worldnet.att.net>...
> >Bill Cheek's site is closed down (or changed?), so anyone know of
> >any other sites selling this interface?
> >
> >
> >

3308. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: skiptalker <skiptalker@skiptalkers.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7iuta1tvt1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 116857
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

and i always thought megacycles were rather large pushbikes you learn somthing new everyday

3309. Re: where are the MDT-related pages going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <YKC43.6785$Np1.339398@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You live in a cave??

The legality of MDT reception in United States is in question and it probably will be up to the courts to decide.

I have yet heard what the charges against Cheek are. Anyone really know?

His WWW site pretty much was a red flag waving in the face of the law. It stated the software to decode certain modes was on the Internet, and easily acquired. Dumb move #1. He did have a disclaimer saying he was not responsible for illegal blah blah blah. Reminiscent of the software pirates that post disclaimers saying their cracked copyrighted software is for educational purposes only. I also have read Bill included the software to decode MDT with the slicer he sold to 5 different people. Dumb moves number 2,3,4,5,6?

www.kmed70.freeserv.co.uk has moved I believe. Do a www.dejanews.com search on MDT and you should get many questions answered.

Glenn

CrACKeD <cracked@primenet.com> wrote in message news:7iuodi$n3d$1@nnrp03.primenet.com...
> shortly after the bill cheeks bust, the popular "MDT Monitor for Windows"
> site at www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Facility/7646 went blank, and
> only a link to another site at www.kmed70.freeserv.co.uk, hosting various
> digital-mode decoding software, is there. now, even this site is offline.
> does anyone know what's going on, or if any similar sites exist anymore?
>
> --
> #!/bin/perl -sp0777i<X+d*lMLa^*lN%0]dsXx++lMlN/dsM0<j]dsj
> $/=unpack('H*',$_);$_=`echo 16dio\U$k"SK$/SM$n\EsN0p[lN*1
> lK[d2%Sa2/d0$^Ixp"|dc`;s/\W//g;$_=pack('H*',/((..)*)$/)

3310. Re: Caution from Tandy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3752EFEA.B6122B9@ibm.net>
Source record: 116859
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

As a ham you should know that the FCC put the spark gap transmitters
off the air years ago. hi. They quiet it down by removing it from
the market just as they did with the amplifiers from around 25 to 60
mhz. :-)

KU4PT

DrPepper@iwvisp.com wrote:
>
> On Sat, 29 May 1999 13:40:57 -0700, "Skip Sanders"
> <skipsand@san.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >The FCC regulates EVERYTHING that can radiate interference. They've forced
> >electric shavers off the market until modified to not radiate excessively.
>
> Now THERE'S a good one, , , , , , , ,
>
> A shaver is nothing more than a modified spark gap transmitter
> that does other things!
>
> How the heck can you quiet THAT down?
> ;-}
> 73 de Ron wb6gki
>
> Dr. Pepper
> 10 - 2 & 4
> Good ALL the time
> For utility radio information, goto:
> http://www1.iwvisp.com/DrPepper/

3311. Re: What does a CE-232 do??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990531172053.24164.00009389@ng33.aol.com>
Source record: 116860

The CE-232 turns a 2006 (with a little modification) into a two way computer interface scanner. It will log hits , record hits in a number of different ways, auto program 400 channels in a couple of minutes..... And much much more. I have 3 2006's each with a CE 232. Plus I have a stand alone CE232 to Auto Program a bunch of my hand helds. Ira

3312. Re: Pager decoding on laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990531172722.24164.00009390@ng33.aol.com>
Source record: 116861

I have a 386-33 laptop that will not run any decoder programs. Im thinking they are just too slow. Wish it did work there is nothing else to do with the laptop.

3313. Re: CE-232 available where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.on.ca
Message-ID: <3754fe6a.25634361@news.cgocable.net>
Source record: 116862

http://www.comtronics.net/ is BIlls new site

On Mon, 31 May 1999 03:26:54 GMT, tregina@worldnet.att.net (Rocinante)
wrote:

>Bill Cheek's site is closed down (or changed?), so anyone know of
>any other sites selling this interface?
>
>

3314. Re: Isle of Wight TV transmitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: la8ak@online.no
Message-ID: <3752fdbc.354884698@news.online.no>
Source record: 116863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rrec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
it's easy, just delete the nonsense
```

3315. Cellphone pinout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Donald Robert Burke <donburke@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7iv1e2$nv7@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116864

I'm trying to find a pinout (levels and impedances would be nice too) for the 8 pin connector on my Motorola DPC 550 cellphone.

Any help appreciated

Don Burke
donburke@writeme.com

3316. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <37531149.372@sir.com>
Source record: 116865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:
>
> > ONE CLASS of HAM LICENSE is enough!
>
> Heck no it isn't.
> I'm for completely dumping code but there should be at least
> a division between VHF and HF classes.
> BTW, did you know that if you don't know what you are doing with
> ham transmitters, you can cause damage to your body?
> There is a reason for the licenses, so you don't fry yourself, give yourself
> brain tumors, etc.

What does that have to do with having more than one class of license?!
The ONE Ham license would require you know this information, and the
differences between using VHF and HF.

3317. F.S. Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Barnes <UserID@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <37532406.D4BDFB9B@pacbell.net>
Source record: 116866

This Pro-43 comes in original box with manual and antenna! It is in
great condition and has the cell-mod done, this scanner is Bad -ass and
is going to the first person with $250 bucks firm plus shipping C.O.D
Email me at Jwbarnes@pacbell.net
Thanks,
Sam Barnes

3318. Re: Javiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rbulea@erienet.net>
Message-ID: <37532947.5964@erienet.net>
Source record: 116867
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

kpkeyes@mindspring.com wrote:
>
> I need a scanner to cover Us cell bands and full 900Mhz, If anyone has had
> any experience buying a European scanner (Mayber a Pro-26) please post.
> Thank You
>
> Also, If anyone has a US scanner which is new or used to cover the above and
> is interested in a sale, please let me know.
>
> Trevor Keyes
> tkeyes@mediaone.net

Hi Trevor,

I have bought several scanners from Javiation (Including a pro 26). No problems. Excellent service with the best prices. I would recommend Javiation to everyone.

Rick

3319. Re: Is Sony's SC1-PC a good buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shaun Whitman <lstrain@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eiV4cY8q#GA.244@cpmsnbbsa03>
Source record: 116868

I have the non-PC version and I love it.
Great sensitivity with loud clear audio.
Nice service scan..normal emergency stuff also features FM radio, VHF/UHF TV.
300ch 25-1300(no cell)
Its a bargain at around $250(non-PC).

Shaun Whitman
shaun@railfan.net
Central Jersey Rails
http://JerseyRails.Railfan.net

4lph420m3g4 wrote in message <3752F059.26DEACC0@burgoyne.com>...
>Was wondering if anyone had any experience with Sony's SC1-PC handheld,
>good or bad. Has anyone had problems with it's serial PC interface or
>the softwarethat comes with it? Any input before my purchase would be
>appreciated.
>

3320. Re: FS REALISTIC PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <37534abd@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 116869

> LIKE NEW NO MODS WITH ANTENNA AND OWNER MANUAL IT IS 9.5 OUT OF 10

How much are you asking?

3321. Re: What does a CE-232 do??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: gho <ru@ghnet.com>
Message-ID: <37533248.75039477@ghnet.com>
Source record: 116870

Can you monitor the data cops send from their cars?

Pro43 wrote:

> The CE-232 turns a 2006 (with a little modification) into a two way computer
> interface scanner. It will log hits , record hits in a number of different
> ways, auto program 400 channels in a couple of minutes..... And much much
> more. I have 3 2006's each with a CE 232. Plus I have a stand alone CE232 to
> Auto Program a bunch of my hand helds. Ira

3322. Re: Trunked Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ivbvnpgt1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116871

I agree. No disrespect intended. I thought the point should be mentioned.
The original post did not metion whether he had a trunking scanner or not.
I have been using Trunker with my R8500 to discover new IDs while using
a BC235 for mobile operations.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <37525C06.5559@ameritech.net>...
>David Hitchner wrote:
>>
>> One point missed by the other posts. You must have a trunking capable
>> scanner
>> to follow the trunk IDs. A standard scanner won't get it.
>Yeahg but alot of standard scanners can be MODIFIED to
>following trunnked frequencies.
>>
>> David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
>> Baton Rouge, LA
>>
>> Baton Rouge Area Scanning
>> Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
>> -
>> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
>> Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies
>>
>> RFerg755 wrote in message
<19990528154955.13214.00006250@ng-fk1.aol.com>...
>> >Can someone please help me? I don't understand Trunked Channels a bit.
I
>> have
>> >the 28 trunked channels for Charlotte, North Carolina. And I have the
ID
>> >codes, but what are those? How can I get a certain police district. My
>> police
>> >district code is 8988, What do I do with that? Thanks a lot.
>
>--
>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;

>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3323. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <T0H43.7732$Np1.373690@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116872

So you are just adding to rumor and gossip. You are an FYI schlepping for Bill Cheek and you refuse to answer any of my questions or add any pertinent information.

FYI, your cute prose and little i's don't "get me hysterical" or "inflame" my "desire for
perfect order". I have no desire for perfect order and I am not the one acting like a child talking about passing gasses. Seems I have gotten to the prose guy? Child taunts? That characteristic is what turned so many against Bill Cheek and now what? You pretending to be him or just imitate him? Can't you even be original?

Thanks for the report lawyers cost money. Cheek knew that when he sent off the MDT software to the feds too. Most everyone knows that. Did you think the folks on this group were clueless to the cost of legal representation? But thanks for the FYI anyway. What an educator Bill. Summation FYI. You didn't just make that up did you? What the hell does it mean? Do you know what FYI means?

So Mr. FYI Conduit. What are the charges? What's up? What WWW pages? You keep posting Help Bill find a lawyer FYI's but don't give out any info. He doesn't have one? What's up Maynard T? Or you just going to keep posting FYI's with supposition and summation of nothing.

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:7iv7rl$epa@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com...
> In <qsC43.6729$Np1.337487@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
> <glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
> >
> >Wow, mantra eh Bill. Your cute little writing style makes one think of
> third
> >world education, immaturity or an avert avoidance of the subject.
>
> you are just mad because
> you cannot follow up with
> a better way to say "hello"
>
> as for my being in help for
> BiLL BIT...look again geek-neck.
>
> I just surmised what has been
> read on the WWW sites where the
> info is posted as to the plight
> the Cheek Guy is in.
>

> I mentioned it because it seems
> that the biggest expense he will
> have will be lawyers fees. Not
> airplane fees.
>
> that is what is known as an
> summation fyi....just so people
> understand that finding a lawyer
> who does this kind of thing can
> be very expensiive.
>
> and for this you get negative.
>
> you change context of what i
> put out. You get hysterical
> because of my small 'i's'
> which inflame your desire for
> perfect order.
>
> why are you so negative. What
> can you offer as a solution
> which will be construed as
> MAJOR input...not listening
> to your chorus of passing
> ass-gas profusely.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>
> >
>

3324. Help with Bearcat 2500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray Palleschi <arengravers@boston.sisna.com>
Message-ID: <3753417D.364D4ABA@boston.sisna.com>
Source record: 116873

I'm trying to replace the worn-out BNC connector on my BC2500 but I'm having problems removing the case back. I've removed the 4 screws (2 in battery compartment,2 halfway up back of radio) but the case back still won't come off. Am I missing another screw(s) someplace? From the top of the radio, the first 2-3 inches of the case will come loose, but it binds and won't move from the edge of the battery compartment top wall to the bottom (once the top is loose you can't move the bottom). If someone has a tech manual for the BC2500 and could fax or scan and email dissassembly instructions it would be GREATLY appreaciated.
Thanks in advance for any help...

Ray Palleschi

3325. Re: Problem w/ Pro 32

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: ed cifelli <ecifelli@cybertrails.com>
Message-ID: <375344CC.1C0E3A05@cybertrails.com>
Source record: 116874

All scanners have an internal batery of some sort. See if you can locate and external access to it and then replace it. I discovered one in the battery compartment of a handheld scanner that the customer was about ready to send in for repair. Saved him about $40. You may have to open the case and see if the battery is hidden on the board, Replace it and go from there. If it's a RS scanner they can get the battery for you. If they say they cant, write me direct with the catalog number of the radio and I will look it up in some the the books I have access to.

3326. Re: Q: best place to buy unblocked scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <37534F03.12295789@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 116875

There are several, but Javiation is the best as far as I am concerned.
Jonathan is very helpful! Customer Service A+++

Piero

3327. Re: Networked WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: DiGitaal_DiSrupTer <superwarbird@juno.com>
Message-ID: <928188695.904080@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 116876
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

yes, all you would need to do is go to the website where the networked Winradios are sending the audio. So, all you need is an internet account and a browser.
OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <375249AA.5C91@ameritech.net>...
>David S. Maddison wrote:
>>
>> On the WinRadio mailing list somebody made an interesting suggestion of
>> networking WinRadios over the 'Net. Each radio could be remotely
>> controlled and send out streamed audio data. This has interesting
>> possibilities of creating a huge virtual scanner that could receive
>> anything, anywhere. I would suggest that a number of WinRadios in every
>> city around the world could each be allocated a small portion of the
>> spectrum to scan, and people could go to the control web page and listen
>> into the bands they are interested in in various cities. There are real
>> possibilities there! It would also be excellent for dosnloading data off
>> weather satellites, each satellite would be in listening range of one of
>> the scanners and one could get a continuous data feed from each satellite.
>>
>> David Maddison
>Would this work for people that do not have WinRadio and just wan to
>listen to the radio transmissions?
>--
>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3328. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <azI43.8091$Np1.380867@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116877

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:7ivgkk$1sd@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com...
> In <T0H43.7732$Np1.373690@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
> <glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
> >
> >So you are just adding to rumor and gossip. You are an FYI schlepping
> for
> >Bill Cheek and you refuse to answer any of my questions or add any
> pertinent
> >information.
> >
> clueless is thee.
> you have no idea

You have yet to shed a light on it.

>
> what is it you are hiding
> from?

Nothing. Why are you hiding your intentions behind you typing that looks
like it came off a Vic-20 with your insults and vulgarity when questioned
about what are you doing.

> Look clueless: why not
> get off your kaibo seat
> and wipe your face and
> interact: give Bill a
> jingle or an e-mail and
> talk or e-with him.

I asked him long ago to quit sending me E-mail. The man lost it. I asked YOU
because you crawled up on your soap box in a public forum with your
schlepping that you now claim is FYI but you can' t discuss it. You spew
your FYI but can't back up a single word Conduit.

> why not do that?

Read above. Why not answer rather than schlep?

> I have, but that is
> private conversation
> and is not part of the
> pubic domain you swiil-
> down in.

You seem to be the swiller of the public domain. This is your thread. Wake up.

> as for copying the cheek-
> guy...give it a rest fellah.
> you really don't know what
> the fuc you are alleging here.

Alleging?? No it was an observation and a good comparison to boot. Where was I wrong. No place proven by your above immature response.

>
> you see, you don't know how
> long me and Bill have been
> friends. ?

What difference would that make? Long or short you mimic him quite well.

>
> >FYI, your cute prose and little i's don't "get me hysterical" or
> "inflame"
> >my "desire for
> >perfect order". I have no desire for perfect order and I am not the
> one
> >acting like a child talking about passing gasses. Seems I have gotten
> to the
> >prose guy? Child taunts?
>
> gee...thee can copy...but
> can you think?

Can you without copying Cheek? So far you haven't.

> You pretending to be him or just imitate him? Can't
> >you even be original?
> >
>
> did you ever think that maybe bill took
> lessons from me? No, of course not.

So you two are clones. Who first doean't really matter but at least you admit to being pretend twins. How cute!

> I've got e-mail from him that would see
> you chagrined into eternity of loss based
> on what concepts I brought to him as he
> laughed his ass off.

Before or after he asked you to Schlepp money and beg for free legal help?

>
> >Thanks for the report lawyers cost money. Cheek knew that when he sent
> off
> >the MDT software to the feds too. Most everyone knows that. Did you
> think

> >the folks on this group were clueless to the cost of legal
> representation?
>
> NO. I don't think 10 percent of the
> lurkers know how expensive a specialized
> lawyer is. They have no idea of what is
> happening by the FEDS....why do you think
> people are asking for information as to
> this bull-shit charge...?

What charge. What is it. I have asked you in each and every reply but you just spew your silly prose, call grade school names and pretend you are some beatnik with a Vic-20.

> No. I said his biggest problem will
> be finding a lawyer in communications
> law and does anyone know of one in this
> thinly practiced part of law. right.
>
>

So after al the years of experience, CEO, President, Author...blah, blah, blah he has you schlepping on the net for a Lawyer. BAWAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

This guys ego puts one more nail in the coffin of scanning and you are doing the Maynard G schlepp dance
for him.

Yea right Conduit.

3329. Re: ICOM R2 Rs232 information?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Bertens <jb@jb.com>
Message-ID: <7isi1ahtv1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 116918
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.amateur,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

> Well, there is nothing more you can do with the
> IC-R2, just memory managment.

That's right but I want to import data from other software, insert,delete channels, use the clipboard, sort the list,run the memories through some automatic bandplan etc. And again will all respect your software is too simple. It's ok for dumping to a file but I'm looking for more options. But I just received the info about the IC-R2 memory dump setup so tnx to everybody...

Jan

>
>
> --
> ---
> Goran Vlaski (Ingra-Beton@t-online.de)
> http://vlaski.virtualave.net/
> http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/
> ---

3330. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: gpc01@wraith.cs.uow.edu.au
Message-ID: <7isn0s$9d1$1@wyrm.its.uow.edu.au>
Source record: 116919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

fIlThY (not@home.com) wrote:

: Graham Philip Crowe wrote in message <7ig3fqlto1@wyrm.its.uow.edu.au>...

: >OK, the only way I can see a transmitter causing a fire is if the
: >electromagnetic waves induced a voltage in some conductor nearby which had
: >a nice complete current path except for a small airgap.

: Now you are starting to think!

: >The waves could
: >possibly, if it was set up just right, cause a spark there. This, I would
: >have thought would be very unlikely, especially since petrol pumps and
: >other equipment in that sort of potential atmosphere has to be designed by
: >law to specifically not have the potential to cause explosions from static
: >sparks or otherwise. I would have considered this far less of a risk than
: >bringing in a car with such sparking or hot components as a starter motor,
: >a distributer, a hot engine / exhaust system!!!

: How about you do radio theory and practice 101 - heat and extraeneous
: radiation are by products of radio TX. The microwave oven is just such a
: device that enhanses that which is normally considered a by-product..
: ....

Yes, but you are also bringing in a very hot engine ans exhaust system when you
go to fill up, I would have thought that this would be far more extreme than
what "heat and extraeneous radiation" is emitted from a phone (especially
as we are expected to hold those things up against our head). Why would very
slight radiation heating effects be more dangerous than a hot car??

: Oh and May I suggest that you take particular note of the voltage and
: current streams in an antenna system - This will give you an understanding
: about the way an antenna works and what happens in regard to efficiencies
: and by products!

I am aware that there are various forms of radiation emitted from a phone but
I still cannot see how current could be emitted. There is certainly a fair
amount of power put out but htis would all be in the form of electromagnetic
radiation, not electrical current. Yes there is current flowing in the
antenna, and the resultant waves induce a current in the receiving antenna
but there is no actual current passing between them!

: It makes the people who put them up seem as if thay have
: >no clue.

: They don't but lucky for them that those who made these regulations do! :-)

I am sorry, but I still cannot see the argument that mobile phones increase
the likelyhood of an explosion at a petrol station.

: >
: >deeeda (deeeda@bigpond.com.au) wrote:
: >: A Mobile Phone or transmitter can certainly spark an explosion around
: >: flammable fuels and gases.
: >: Ask your nearest service station console operator.One of their biggest
: >: headaches is customers filling up while on their mobile.
: >: Not only is it dangerous ,it is also illegal.Telstra know this but do not
: >: make much of an effort to tell the public.

--

Man will occasionally stumble over the truth,
but most of the time he will pick himself up and continue on.

3331. [Fwd: BC220/230XLT What can it do?]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George W. White" <deeply@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7isn78$8bj$0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 116920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I got a BC-220/230 XLT for Christmas.
I'm curious as to what this radio can be modded to do.
It has a date code on the bottom of OHIE

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet

3332. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7isofo$ibn@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116921

In <t_j43.4610$Np1.236577@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
>
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote ...
>> again, why are you so negative?
>

>If Bill needs help why isn't HE asking for it?
>
>Sa what?
>
again, fyi time

cause he's BILL
he's got pride
and integrity

i'm sure he will
if it gets
to that point
he has a lot of
people who
i am sure who
have let him
know we will
help....

bill conduit
etc.

3333. pro34 200ch 4sale australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: achelsea <achelsea@connect.net.au>
Message-ID: <7isork$r1q$1@perki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 116922

i have a realistic pro34 200 handheld channel scanner for sale
victoria/australia.
asking price $260 with aust.wide frequency book/rechargable
batteries/
good condition
programmed for police/fire etc.

this scanner can be modified to pick up mobile/cellular phone calls
although is it legal???

3334. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: harry <vk2vkg@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <7isric$7nb$1@news1.mpx.com.au>
Source record: 116923
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Megahertz is the correct term because you are expressing a frequency in
hertz not cycles. The megacycle is correct when you are talking about how
many cycles in a second.
OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <37519004.2EB9@ameritech.net>...
>WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
>IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
>
>
>
>
>
>Andrew Moss wrote:
>>
>> What is the 66.00 MHZ - 88.00 MHZ used for ??????
>> Please tell me some freqs what it is used for.
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Tell me soon
>
>--
>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

3335. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokebowl@hempseed.com
Message-ID: <3751f2b2.17551531@news.flash.net>
Source record: 116924

Bill CHeek needs the ChewBakka Defense..

anyone who watches southparks nows...

On 30 May 1999 21:44:00 GMT, myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

>
>
> Seems what Bill needs
> now and forever this
> thing is a
> GOOD LAWYER.
>
> anyone know one to
> help bill?
>
> he will have to fly
> back to NYC, but he
> does not know when.
>
> I think it may be time
> to begin thinking
> of helping Bill and
> his wife get there.
>
> now, about a lawyer who
> knows communication
> law?
>
> any suggestions...e-mail
> to bill.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

\

3336. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: fIlThY <not@home.com>
Message-ID: <Omn43.643$O3.3543@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 116925
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Absolutely agree!

Oh and obi------whatever get your hand off the shift key!

harry wrote in message <7isric$7nb$1@news1.mpx.com.au>...
>Megahertz is the correct term because you are expressing a frequency in
>hertz not cycles. The megacycle is correct when you are talking about how
>many cycles in a second.
>OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <37519004.2EB9@ameritech.net>...
>>WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
>>IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Andrew Moss wrote:
>>>
>>> What is the 66.00 MHZ - 88.00 MHZ used for ??????
>>> Please tell me some freqs what it is used for.
>>>
>>> Thanks,
>>> Tell me soon
>>
>>--
>>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
>
>

3337. CE-232 available where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: tregina@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <37520117.7619222@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116926

Bill Cheek's site is closed down (or changed?), so anyone know of
any other sites selling this interface?

3338. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: fIlThY <not@home.com>
Message-ID: <Kpn43.645$O3.3193@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 116927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Hey if you don't want to read books on antenna theory and radio
transmissions and incendiaries then hey I can't make you but then again it
only means that you choose to be ignorant

Graham Philip Crowe wrote in message <7isn0s$9d1$1@wyrm.its.uow.edu.au>...
>fIlThY (not@home.com) wrote:
>
>: Graham Philip Crowe wrote in message
<7ig3fqlto1@wyrm.its.uow.edu.au>...
>
>: >OK, the only way I can see a transmitter causing a fire is if the
>: >electromagnetic waves induced a voltage in some conductor nearby which
had
>: >a nice complete current path except for a small airgap.
>
>: Now you are starting to think!
>
>: >The waves could
>: >possibly, if it was set up just right, cause a spark there. This, I
would
>: >have thought would be very unlikely, especially since petrol pumps and
>: >other equipment in that sort of potential atmosphere has to be designed
by
>: >law to specifically not have the potential to cause explosions from
static
>: >sparks or otherwise. I would have considered this far less of a risk
than
>: >bringing in a car with such sparking or hot components as a starter
motor,
>: >a distributer, a hot engine / exhaust system!!!
>
>: How about you do radio theory and practice 101 - heat and extraeneous
>: radiation are by products of radio TX. The microwave oven is just such a
>: device that enhanses that which is normally considered a by-product..
>: ....
>
>Yes, but you are also bringing in a very hot engine ans exhaust system when
you
>go to fill up, I would have thought that this would be far more extreme
than
>what "heat and extraeneous radiation" is emitted from a phone (especially
>as we are expected to hold those things up against our head). Why would
very

>slight radiation heating effects be more dangerous than a hot car??
>
>: Oh and May I suggest that you take particular note of the voltage and
>: current streams in an antenna system - This will give you an
understanding
>: about the way an antenna works and what happens in regard to efficiencies
>: and by products!
>
>I am aware that there are various forms of radiation emitted from a phone
but
>I still cannot see how current could be emitted. There is certainly a fair
>amount of power put out but htis would all be in the form of
electromagnetic
>radiation, not electrical current. Yes there is current flowing in the
>antenna, and the resultant waves induce a current in the receiving antenna
>but there is no actual current passing between them!
>
>: It makes the people who put them up seem as if thay have
>: >no clue.
>
>: They don't but lucky for them that those who made these regulations do!
:-)
>
>I am sorry, but I still cannot see the argument that mobile phones increase
>the likelyhood of an explosion at a petrol station.
>
>: >
>: >deeeda (deeeda@bigpond.com.au) wrote:
>: >: A Mobile Phone or transmitter can certainly spark an explosion around
>: >: flammable fuels and gases.
>: >: Ask your nearest service station console operator.One of their biggest
>: >: headaches is customers filling up while on their mobile.
>: >: Not only is it dangerous ,it is also illegal.Telstra know this but do
not
>: >: make much of an effort to tell the public.
>
>
>
>
>--
>
>Man will occasionally stumble over the truth,
>but most of the time he will pick himself up and continue on.
>

3339. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <37550185.34496989@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 116928
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"harry" <vk2vkg@optusnet.com.au> wrote:

>Megahertz is the correct term because you are expressing a frequency in
>hertz not cycles. The megacycle is correct when you are talking about how
>many cycles in a second.

Your comment is incorrect.

"Hertz" means "cycles per second." Other than that, the only difference
is that Hertz is the newer term and has replaced cycles/second in the
literature. Many oldtimers still use "cycles" as shorthand for "cycles
per second."

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3340. Re: Blue Angels and Thunderbirds freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <Nmo43.642$l.335@news.flash.net>
Source record: 116929

In article <37501B8C.6F39@bellsouth.net>, dardvl@bellsouth.net says...
>
>Can anyone help me with Blue Angels and Thunderbirds frequencies in use
>so far this airshow season?
>So far for the Blues I have 263.350 275.350 and 345.900. Someone
>mentioned 141.850 for the Blues too. Would this be AM or NFM? I know
>141.850AM is used heavily by the Thunderbirds, but as of now that is the
>only frequency I have for them during this season.
> Thanx!
> Happy Scanning
> Mike
> Ft. Lauderdale, FL.

For a complete list of Current Blue Angels and T-Bird freqs check out Radios
OnLine at http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios We have the list.
George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <--RadiosOnLine Fastest Growing RadioEzine
--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

3341. Re: Where to buy SS tubing for discone radials?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: nero <nero_b@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7it6s1$su6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3751F875.DB71B98B@gnc.net>,
Robert <> wrote:
> Aluminum tubing stronger than stainless steel? Better check that!
> Also, unprotected aluminum (or copper) woouldn't last in my
> coastal environment. I'm using the new Discone antenna from
> Radio Shack. No complaints yet.

Robert,

I started with the new R/S discone. These have a reputation for the lower radials falling off. I used stainless steel split ring lock washers on the radials and the threads stayed tight. All was well for a year. Then the lower radials started coming loose at the crimp to the threads. In a slight wind you could see them all wobbling. Finally a bird strike took out 3 lower radials. Time to start over.

The our new discone will either be anodized on the aluminum parts or bead blasted and clear epoxy coated for weatherizing.

Thanks to all for the input, nero
>
> Robert
>
> Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>
> > >
> > > I am working with a friend to design a VHF/UHF receiving discone.
The
> > > stainless steel rods we have tested (.093") are too droopy for
> > Share what you know. Learn what you don't.
> >
> > Good grief! Use aluminium tubing, it is lighter, stronger, cheaper,
and
> > works better than stainless steal.
> >
> > If I were building a discone for VHF, I woul use copper screening
for the
> > skirt and hold it in position with a ring of coat hanger wire
soldered to
> > the copper screen.
> >
> > 73's Fred
> > w4jle@alltel.net
>

>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3342. Re: Need VHF/UHF Discone Design Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: nero <nero_b@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7it7fq$t9m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

w.bos,

Thank you for the helpful info. I will look for the book. I sure hope it is written in english. I took one semester of German language in middle school. Not enough for a technical book I'm sure.

nero

In article <7isd0omiv1@news.worldonline.nl>,
w.bos <w.bos@tip.nl> wrote:
> nero <nero_b@my-deja.com> schrijfbewerkingen: > Greetings,
> >
> Dear Nero,
> Try to find a copy of the German "Rothammel antanna book" It describes
> the design of discones. It seems that nobody knows that the impedance
> (thus SWR) of the discone is reasonable constant over a 10:1 frequency
> range (that depends very much on the sharpness of the point of the cone
> just under the disk) and the distance between the disk and the cone point
> The swr remains constant, but the radiation pattern not! At 2 times
> the lower cutt-off frequency, the discone is looking to the ground, and
> at higher frequencies the pattern is so much distorted, that a 6 dB
> loss with respect to a vertical dipole is normal. That's the reason,that
> professionals (discones are used for airfield direction finders) use
> discones to 1.5 till 2 times the design frequency. For frequencies
> above 500 Mhz you have to use solid metal discones, otherwise (with
> aluminium rods) the loss becomes even greater. The length of the cone
> doesn't have much influence on the impedance. It's is of no use to
> give them different length's. For the bands you want to use the discones
> it's better to make a discone for each band! Hope to have been of help,
> regards, PA0WBK
>
> > A friend and I are working together to design a VHF/UHF discone
> > antenna. We are pretty much complete with the hub design and are
> > working on the radials. The conventional wisdom is to use the radial
> > length formulas based on the lowest desired frequency. Example:
> > Designed for low of 100MHZ will have range up to 1000MHZ (100MHz x 10 =

> > 1000MHZ). We have a picture of a Discone made by AOR (DA3000) that
> > claims a coverage of 25MHz to 2GHZ (without a vertical element).
This
> > seems a bit hard to believe. They have an interesting design of 8
> > elements per hub. 4 are cut to one length, 2 more for a longer
length,
> > and two more cut even longer.
> >
> > Our discone will have 12 radials per hub. We were considering using
a
> > similar idea and cutting the radials to 3 different lengths. This
would
> > allow 4 radials at each length. For example, these lengths may
> > correspond to 2m band, 800mhz trunking, and 70cm band.
> >
> > My questions:
> >
> > Will the multiple lengths give better reponse at the desired
> > frequencies?
> >
> > Will this reduce the response at non resonant frequencies?
> >
> > Is this a gimmick that will not really improve response at the tuned
> > freqs. and will damage the broadband characteristics of a discone?
> >
> > Thanks to all for your input. nero
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Share what you know. Learn what you don't.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3343. Re: old Regency scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rbulea@erienet.net>
Message-ID: <375214CC.3DCE@erienet.net>
Source record: 116932

Alan Yeary wrote:
>
>> PROGRAMMING
> The switches on the touch panels are located under the number or let-
> ters. The switches are operated by applying light pressure with a
> fingerdirectly on the number or letters. A slight click will he felt
> to indicate that the switch has operated.
> When power is first applied, AlL 16 channels are
> pre-programmed to 162.55 MHz, the primary frequency of the weather
> bureau. Also an"E" will appear on the readout. The E may he removed by
>
Hey Al,

That was great info on the act16. I now feel as if I have full control
of the scanner thanks to your great instruction. Thank you.

Rick

3344. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <37522ea6.48566180@news>
Source record: 116933
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 30 May 1999 19:03:11 -0500, "Steve Sampson"
<ssampson@usa-site.net> wrote:

>The only remaining link I found was at Qualcomm and the San Diego
>Police are scared to go in there:
>
> http://people.qualcomm.com/ppomes/Crypto/MDT.html

No doubt it's because of all the guns Paul has. ;-) ;-)

BTW, there is additional decoding software (including MDT) available
at http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk/software.htm

3345. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: maddison@power.connexus.net.au
Message-ID: <37523acd.0@news.internex.net.au>
Source record: 116934
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
: WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES

I have read that the Nazis tried to popularise Megacycles or the German equivalent because they didn't want to use a unit named after a Jew, Hertz.

David Maddison

3346. Re: Where to buy SS tubing for discone radials?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <74347B866FAD0C1A.178EB92F99232A8A.BB26EDA2DC04D0E1@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Alot of ham stores/shops carry Aluminum tubing so you can make your own antennas.
I buy 4' solid stainless steel rods from my local Lowes, Home Depot or Payless Cashways.
Just make sure they have not been abused and bent as you want straight rods.

3347. Australian Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: AdrianM <jmueller@loom.net.au>
Message-ID: <01beab21$85665820$538722cb@jmueller>
Source record: 116936

hey guys, could anyone possibly help me in finding any websites which may contain info about frequencies in Sydney Australia? any help would be greatly appreciated!
thanku
Adrian

3348. Re: Denied Aircraft bording with HT - was this legal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: gpc01@wraith.cs.uow.edu.au
Message-ID: <7itfs7njm1@wyrm.its.uow.edu.au>
Source record: 116937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I will be going through that sort of book in the not too distant future but will not have time to in the next few weeks. I was just hoping that someone would be able to fill me in before then. I am not choosing to be ignorant, if I were, would I be asking questions as I have been??

BTW, where do you get those books on incendiaries??? They sound fun!

fIlThY (not@home.com) wrote:
: Hey if you don't want to read books on antenna theory and radio
: transmissions and incendiaries then hey I can't make you but then again it
: only means that you choose to be ignorant

: Graham Philip Crowe wrote in message <7isn0s$9d1$1@wyrm.its.uow.edu.au>...
: >fIlThY (not@home.com) wrote:
: >
: >: Graham Philip Crowe wrote in message
: <7ig3fqlto1@wyrm.its.uow.edu.au>...
: >
: >: >OK, the only way I can see a transmitter causing a fire is if the
: >: >electromagnetic waves induced a voltage in some conductor nearby which
: had
: >: >a nice complete current path except for a small airgap.
: >
: >: Now you are starting to think!
: >
: >: >The waves could
: >: >possibly, if it was set up just right, cause a spark there. This, I
: would
: >: >have thought would be very unlikely, especially since petrol pumps and
: >: >other equipment in that sort of potential atmosphere has to be designed
: by
: >: >law to specifically not have the potential to cause explosions from
: static
: >: >sparks or otherwise. I would have considered this far less of a risk
: than
: >: >bringing in a car with such sparking or hot components as a starter
: motor,
: >: >a distributer, a hot engine / exhaust system!!!
: >
: >: How about you do radio theory and practice 101 - heat and extraeneous
: >: radiation are by products of radio TX. The microwave oven is just such a
: >: device that enhanses that which is normally considered a by-product..
: >: ....
: >

: >Yes, but you are also bringing in a very hot engine ans exhaust system when
: you
: >go to fill up, I would have thought that this would be far more extreme
: than
: >what "heat and extraeneous radiation" is emitted from a phone (especially
: >as we are expected to hold those things up against our head). Why would
: very
: >slight radiation heating effects be more dangerous than a hot car??
: >
: >: Oh and May I suggest that you take particular note of the voltage and
: >: current streams in an antenna system - This will give you an
: understanding
: >: about the way an antenna works and what happens in regard to efficiencies
: >: and by products!
: >
: >I am aware that there are various forms of radiation emitted from a phone
: but
: >I still cannot see how current could be emitted. There is certainly a fair
: >amount of power put out but htis would all be in the form of
: electromagnetic
: >radiation, not electrical current. Yes there is current flowing in the
: >antenna, and the resultant waves induce a current in the receiving antenna
: >but there is no actual current passing between them!
: >
: >: It makes the people who put them up seem as if thay have
: >: >no clue.
: >
: >: They don't but lucky for them that those who made these regulations do!
: :-)
: >
: >I am sorry, but I still cannot see the argument that mobile phones increase
: >the likelyhood of an explosion at a petrol station.
: >
: >: >
: >: >deeeda (deeeda@bigpond.com.au) wrote:
: >: >: A Mobile Phone or transmitter can certainly spark an explosion around
: >: >: flammable fuels and gases.
: >: >: Ask your nearest service station console operator.One of their biggest
: >: >: headaches is customers filling up while on their mobile.
: >: >: Not only is it dangerous ,it is also illegal.Telstra know this but do
: not
: >: >: make much of an effort to tell the public.
: >
: >
: >
: >
: >--
: >
: >Man will occasionally stumble over the truth,
: >but most of the time he will pick himself up and continue on.

: >

--

Man will occasionally stumble over the truth,
but most of the time he will pick himself up and continue on.

3349. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: gpc01@wraith.cs.uow.edu.au
Message-ID: <7itgkgnjm2@wyrm.its.uow.edu.au>
Source record: 116938
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Actually, It's not. Hertz = "cycles per second" by definition. I have heard
of megacycles referring to such things as the expected lifespan of a switch.
eg "rated for 2 megacycles" means that it is expected to operate reliably
for 2 million on/off switchings. Hertz has been declared the standard SI unit
for frequency, although I don't know what standard the USA has adopted as
theyoften seem a bit behind the times in this area.

I personally have no objection to the term megacycles and everyone knows what
it means, It just seems silly to post something like this at someone who was
using the accepted standard. (Oh yeah, rip that damn caps lock key off!!!!)

OBI-WAN PEROGI (theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net) wrote:
: WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES

: Andrew Moss wrote:
: >
: > What is the 66.00 MHZ - 88.00 MHZ used for ??????
: > Please tell me some freqs what it is used for.
: >
: > Thanks,
: > Tell me soon

: --
: REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
: ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

--

Man will occasionally stumble over the truth,
but most of the time he will pick himself up and continue on.

3350. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375246AB.594C@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116939
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

harry wrote:
>
> Megahertz is the correct term because you are expressing a frequency in
> hertz not cycles. The megacycle is correct when you are talking about how
> many cycles in a second.
> OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <37519004.2EB9@ameritech.net>...
> >WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
> >IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >Andrew Moss wrote:
> >>
> >> What is the 66.00 MHZ - 88.00 MHZ used for ??????
> >> Please tell me some freqs what it is used for.
> >>
> >> Thanks,
> >> Tell me soon
> >
> >--
> >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
No, no. Listen. Hertz was a some blook's name from way back when.
I prefer cycles. That was the original term.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

3351. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375246DF.55E0@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116940
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

fIlThY wrote:
>
> Absolutely agree!
>
> Oh and obi------whatever get your hand off the shift key!
>
> harry wrote in message <7isric$7nb$1@news1.mpx.com.au>...
> >Megahertz is the correct term because you are expressing a frequency in
> >hertz not cycles. The megacycle is correct when you are talking about how
> >many cycles in a second.
> >OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <37519004.2EB9@ameritech.net>...
> >>WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
> >>IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Andrew Moss wrote:
> >>>
> >>> What is the 66.00 MHZ - 88.00 MHZ used for ??????
> >>> Please tell me some freqs what it is used for.
> >>>
> >>> Thanks,
> >>> Tell me soon
> >>
> >>--
> >>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> > >
> > >
Yes sir Mr. cCLEAN. :-) :>)
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

3352. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37524742.465E@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116941
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
>
> "harry" <vk2vkg@optusnet.com.au> wrote:
>
> >Megahertz is the correct term because you are expressing a frequency in
> >hertz not cycles. The megacycle is correct when you are talking about how
> >many cycles in a second.
>
> Your comment is incorrect.
>
> "Hertz" means "cycles per second." Other than that, the only difference
> is that Hertz is the newer term and has replaced cycles/second in the
> literature. Many oldtimers still use "cycles" as shorthand for "cycles
> per second."
>
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW
>
> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
Yeah well the old term is more scientifically correct.
The new term just is a way to give credit to some guy from long ago.
--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

3353. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375247BA.7365@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116942
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Bud (the original) wrote:
>
> On Mon, 31 May 1999 12:18:03 +1000, "harry" <vk2vkg@optusnet.com.au> wrote:
>
> >Megahertz is the correct term because you are expressing a frequency in
> >hertz not cycles. The megacycle is correct when you are talking about how
> >many cycles in a second.
>
> Mega-hurtz is what happens when Rush Limbaugh falls on you.
>
Oh man. I can't stand that Rush clown!!!! Wwhat a waste of airwaves.

> TO reply via e-mail, change the I in the address to an A.
> CA antispam laws went into effect Jan 1, 1999.
> Violators may be liable for both fines AND jail time for spamming this account.

--
REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

3354. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37524905.4799@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116943
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Graham Philip Crowe wrote:
>
> Actually, It's not. Hertz = "cycles per second" by definition. I have heard
> of megacycles referring to such things as the expected lifespan of a switch.
> eg "rated for 2 megacycles" means that it is expected to operate reliably
> for 2 million on/off switchings. Hertz has been declared the standard SI unit
> for frequency, although I don't know what standard the USA has adopted as
> theyoften seem a bit behind the times in this area.
>
> I personally have no objection to the term megacycles and everyone knows what
> it means, It just seems silly to post something like this at someone who was
> using the accepted standard. (Oh yeah, rip that damn caps lock key off!!!!)
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI (theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net) wrote:
> : WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
> : IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
>
> : Andrew Moss wrote:
> : >
> : > What is the 66.00 MHZ - 88.00 MHZ used for ??????
> : > Please tell me some freqs what it is used for.
> : >
> : > Thanks,
> : > Tell me soon
>
> : --
> : REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> : ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
>
> --
>
> Man will occasionally stumble over the truth,
> but most of the time he will pick himself up and continue on.
THE TRUTH OF THE MATTER IS that Megacycles was used before Megahertz cam
along. Hertz was some german dude that had somethhing to do with radio
waves. You are just acknowleding the guy everytime that yuo say
Megahertz, Hertz, TeraHertz, PicoHertz. From a scientif standpoint
Megacycles is more correct.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3355. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37524937.60CC@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116944
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

David S. Maddison wrote:
>
> In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> : WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
> : IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
>
> I have read that the Nazis tried to popularise Megacycles or the German
> equivalent because they didn't want to use a unit named after a Jew,
> Hertz.
>
> David Maddison
From what I read; the dude was a german.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3356. Re: Networked WinRadios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375249AA.5C91@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116945
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

David S. Maddison wrote:
>
> On the WinRadio mailing list somebody made an interesting suggestion of
> networking WinRadios over the 'Net. Each radio could be remotely
> controlled and send out streamed audio data. This has interesting
> possibilities of creating a huge virtual scanner that could receive
> anything, anywhere. I would suggest that a number of WinRadios in every
> city around the world could each be allocated a small portion of the
> spectrum to scan, and people could go to the control web page and listen
> into the bands they are interested in in various cities. There are real
> possibilities there! It would also be excellent for dosnloading data off
> weather satellites, each satellite would be in listening range of one of
> the scanners and one could get a continuous data feed from each satellite.
>
> David Maddison
Would this work for people that do not have WinRadio and just wan to
listen to the radio transmissions?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3357. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: dustcart <dustcart@globalnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7itivpsa1@gxsn.com>
Source record: 116946
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Welcome to anorakville

THE Dustcart

OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:375246AB.594C@ameritech.net...
> harry wrote:
> >
> > Megahertz is the correct term because you are expressing a frequency in
> > hertz not cycles. The megacycle is correct when you are talking about
how
> > many cycles in a second.
> > OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <37519004.2EB9@ameritech.net>...
> > >WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
> > >IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Andrew Moss wrote:
> > >>
> > >> What is the 66.00 MHZ - 88.00 MHZ used for ??????
> > >> Please tell me some freqs what it is used for.
> > >>
> > >> Thanks,
> > >> Tell me soon
> > >
> > >--
> > >REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
> No, no. Listen. Hertz was a some blook's name from way back when.
> I prefer cycles. That was the original term.
> --
> REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

3358. Re: BC245 - Number of Talkgroup Memories?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37525840.4737@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116947
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Rich Carlson wrote:
>
> In article <7irrai$8hv$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>, "Ed" <emw28@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > Has anyone heard how many talkgroup memories will be available with the new
> > BC245? As most of us will agree, the 50 (5 banks of 10) with the 235 and
> > 895 is not nearly enough with some of the larger trunked systems. It would
> > be nice to have a MINIMUM of 10 banks of 10.
> >
> > Your thoughts?
>
> From Rich Barnett's announcement:
>
> "(There are now 10 Scan Lists of 10 channels each. That's 100 talkgroups per
> trunking system, up from 50 in previous models.)"
>
> I guess this makes it nice...
>
> --
> **
> * Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *
> * Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
> ***************************g3mtnw*********************************
well that sure is nice
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3359. Re: Australian Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37525810.5015@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

AdrianM wrote:
>
> hey guys, could anyone possibly help me in finding any websites which may
> contain info about frequencies in Sydney Australia? any help would be
> greatly appreciated!
> thanku
> Adrian
Well just a suggestion. Did you try aus.radio.scanner yet?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3360. Re: Lo-Jack Frequencys ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37525A1D.2B94@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116949

Carolim30 wrote:
>
> Anyone firmiliar with Lo-Jack Output frequencys??? Higher end of Hi-Band??
If I remember correctly; the are listed somewhere in Police Call
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3361. Re: National Emerg. Warning system Freq.`s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37525A7F.4A3F@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116950

Scan MHZ wrote:
>
> Regional net 1: 173.1875 rptr input
> 167.9750 rptr out
> Regional net 2: 167.9750 simplex
> Regional net 3: 169.8750 simplex
> Regional net 4: 167.9250 simplex
>
> Happy scanning.....USA
>
> scanning USA.....MHz
Well thanks for the info but what is this National Emergency stuff and
what frequencies would be used in Norther Ohio/
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3362. Re: Lo-Jack Frequencys ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: jklein1050@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990531055035.18949.00002882@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 116951

Thanks Barry!

Don't happen to know freq for hidden bank
bait money transmitters(RAT units), or transmit freq for Pac-Tel tracking
system
that is similar to Lo-Jack ??

Thanks again, Joel

>Subject: Re: Lo-Jack Frequencys ??
>From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
>Date: 5/29/99 4:48 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <OupVrhbq#GA.227@nih2naaf.prod2.compuserve.com>
>
>carolim30@aol.com (Carolim30) asked:
>> Anyone firmiliar with Lo-Jack Output frequencys??? Higher end of Hi-Band??
>
>173.075 MHz
>
>
>
>
>
>

Jklein1050@aol.com

3363. Re: Trunked Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37525BC5.6069@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116952

Radio911 wrote:
>
> Hi! To monitor ANY trunked agency, there are several steps (just to confuse us
> listeners!):
> 1. Detemine TYPE of trunked system - Type 1 or type 2 - Usually found in
> frequency guides.
> 2. Determine if analog or digital system.
> The manufacturer of their radios is a good clue. IF digital, you're out of
> luck. No scanner monitors digital signals.

Well here is an interesting suggestion. Try putting your scanner in
trunk mode with all the frequencies for a digital system programmed into
it. You should see the talk groups even though you will not be able to
hear what they are saying.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3364. Re: Trunked Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37525C06.5559@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116953

David Hitchner wrote:
>
> One point missed by the other posts. You must have a trunking capable
> scanner
> to follow the trunk IDs. A standard scanner won't get it.
Yeahg but alot of standard scanners can be MODIFIED to
following trunnked frequencies.
>
> David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
> Baton Rouge, LA
>
> Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
> -
> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies
>
> RFerg755 wrote in message <19990528154955.13214.00006250@ng-fk1.aol.com>...
> >Can someone please help me? I don't understand Trunked Channels a bit. I
> have
> >the 28 trunked channels for Charlotte, North Carolina. And I have the ID
> >codes, but what are those? How can I get a certain police district. My
> police
> >district code is 8988, What do I do with that? Thanks a lot.

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3365. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ito8q$agh@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116954

In <dDn43.4749$Np1.256912@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
>
>So this wasn't a plea to find help to get Cheek and Cindy back to NY
or to
>find a Lawyer?
>

it was more than
you will admit to....

an fyi

nothing more....

people willing
what's the score

reading the sites
seeing the implications
an idea gleaned

sights now cleaned.

why this negativity? it's
your mantra...

bill conduit
etc.

3366. Re: Kill-File the Maggot of Mackey...the screw who turned Bill In to Feds...KILL-file him.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7itksf$iq1@sjx-ixn1.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116955

keep up the good work. for those of you
just tuning in....recently back from
vacation on a deep-cave trip....read on
and join us.
>
> it says it all.
>
> I for one will do as said.
> this vermin has made mockery
> of all that is right in America
> and you should file with your
> conscience the KILLing off of
> any further doings with this
> pile of bile-vile who eats with
> maggots.
>
> KILL-FILE him NOW. show the
> world you don't enjoy his act
> of major aggression upon the
> person of BIll Cheek in
> his arrest.
>
> KILL-FILE the Bile-one.
>
> That's John mackey at whatever
> he's about...or just don't
> read him.
>
> Don't Read HIM.
>
> a tribute to the memory of
> BILL Cheek.
>
> Stand tall and don't answer
> this bile-full of any more.
>
> Show courage and have some
> guts and quit this idiot from
> being important at the expense
> of Bill Cheek.
>
> You can do it. I know you can.
>

> Amount to sumething. Kill off
> the BILE-ONE....
>
> I am.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>
>

3367. Pager decoding on laptop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: mpaull@mpx.com.au
Message-ID: <7itsia$b4q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116956

Hi,

Is there any reason why pocflex won't run on a laptop? When i run the program it just locks up, solid. The only way to get control of the machine is to reset it.

The laptop is a 386SX-20, Vga, 2Meg memory (615k free in dos) with himem.sys loaded and dos in hi memory, one serial port, one lpt port.

I've run PD204 without any problems, except of course it has a 15 minute time limit on the shareware version :(

If pocflex is a no-go, is there are other dos pager decoding software around? Or even Win311 as the laptop has that installed too.

Any help appreciated.

Thanks

Mike

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3368. Re: Where to buy SS tubing for discone radials?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: dafouchey@home.com
Message-ID: <37526dd6.1406343@news>
Source record: 116957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 31 May 1999 05:31:44 GMT, nero <nero_b@my-deja.com> wrote:

I'm curious why you don't simply go with a solid galvanised sheet
metal cone & disc? Any heating & air conditioing shop should be able
to fabricate them for you at a modest cost. Like I said just
curious...

Dave
WA4EMR
Sterling Heights, MI

>In article <3751F875.DB71B98B@gnc.net>,
> Robert <> wrote:
>> Aluminum tubing stronger than stainless steel? Better check that!
>> Also, unprotected aluminum (or copper) woouldn't last in my
>> coastal environment. I'm using the new Discone antenna from
>> Radio Shack. No complaints yet.
>
>Robert,
>
>I started with the new R/S discone. These have a reputation for the
>lower radials falling off. I used stainless steel split ring lock
>washers on the radials and the threads stayed tight. All was well for a
>year. Then the lower radials started coming loose at the crimp to the
>threads. In a slight wind you could see them all wobbling. Finally a
>bird strike took out 3 lower radials. Time to start over.
>
>The our new discone will either be anodized on the aluminum parts or
>bead blasted and clear epoxy coated for weatherizing.
>
> Thanks to all for the input, nero
>>
>> Robert
>>
>> Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>>
>> > >
>> > > I am working with a friend to design a VHF/UHF receiving discone.
>The
>> > > stainless steel rods we have tested (.093") are too droopy for
>> > Share what you know. Learn what you don't.
>> >
>> > Good grief! Use aluminium tubing, it is lighter, stronger, cheaper,
>and

>> > works better than stainless steal.
>> >
>> > If I were building a discone for VHF, I woul use copper screening
>for the
>> > skirt and hold it in position with a ring of coat hanger wire
>soldered to
>> > the copper screen.
>> >
>> > 73's Fred
>> > w4jle@alltel.net
>>
>>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3369. FS: Realistic PRO-2006 Scanner - $335/obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: feliciaaw@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990531072010.23851.00006602@ng25.aol.com>
Source record: 116958

Nice Realistic PRO-2006 Scanner in the box, no book (at least I have not found it yet) looks new, works fine. From an uncles estate. $335/obo.

Thanks!

3370. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Blow <joe_bl0w_aust@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Hiu43.2857$PN5.9963@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 116959
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

I think the term "Dude" is scientifically incorrect because the word
"person" was used originally...

Just a joke of course, but shows what a load of crap this argument about
cycles and hertz is.

Haven't we got better things to do, Obi?

--
Joe Blow.

.

OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:37524937.60CC@ameritech.net...
snip>
From what I read; the dude was a german.
> --

3371. FS:bc800xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <fzu43.255$A4.1103@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 116960

```
i have a good bearcat 800xlt scanner ,does 800 megs
with manual
125.00 shipped
thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net
```

3372. Re: morse code on police channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <928153310.429.47@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 116961

In article <19990530122408.02271.00004662@ng-cb1.aol.com>, blackcatnv@aol.comYY (BlackCatNV) wrote:
>What is the purpose of the short bursts of morse code that appear on police and
>other public frequencies that are otherwise voice channels?

It is to ID their station callsign. Required by the FCC.

Tom

Tom Randall -- trandall@idsi.net
Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10 / QRP-L #1965
Member: AAVSO Solar Division

My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html

3373. Re: NYPD FREQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: s_c_h_u_s_t_e_r_@panix.com
Message-ID: <7itv56alm1@panix7.panix.com>
Source record: 116962
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <6681-3751446A-27@newsd-221.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
<RES2CUE@webtv.net> wrote:
>The NYPD seems to have juggled there frequencies and I can't find an
>accurate record of the new ones. Where I normally listen to the 104
>precint I get the 113. I've e-mailed clay irving of scanning reference
>and nothing. Please help,

See Charlie Hargrove's update page: http://www.n2nov.net

3374. TEXARKANA- BI-STATE C.J.C.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: maria lemons <lemons.m@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7itvrs$7s1$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116963

BI - STATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE CENTER

155.895
462.9625
854.9625 BOWIE CO. S.O. MAIN (TEXAS CENTRAL)
467.9625
468.0125
809.9625
810.2125
8552125
856.2375
858.2375
860.2375
811.2375
811.7125
158.745
453.175
813.2375
814.2375
815.2375
154.995
155.775
154.815
155.85
154.95
155.85
155.43 CHOATE
812.2375

3375. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Fanning <tom.f@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <7iu1b5$n6c$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 116964
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I meant to Linux, windows, dos or anything that runs on a PC

;)

--
Regards,
Tom
tom.f@btinternet.com
Louis Sica, Jr. (AC0X) <ac0x@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:ypg43.776$T9.111110@ptah.visi.com...
>
> Tom Fanning wrote in message <7irui1$7eb$1@uranium.btinternet.com>...
> >I take it this will be 1200 baud packet.
> >
> >Also please Please PLEASE port your brilliant software to the PC - not
> >necesarily Windows
> >
>
>
> I hope you mean for Chris to port Multimode to Linux and not DOS instead
of
> Windows. Even if I may argue that he may do better porting it to Windows
(if
> that is indeed practical), Linux is at least a current, viable, and very
> powerful operating system for the PC. DOS hasn't been a current O/S for 4
> years now. Why do hams continue to write for it? Then again, there are
some
> still writing programs for the Commodore 64, so I shouldn't be surprised.
>
>
>

3376. Pro-2006 with FULL Opto456 FORSALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sputnik <sputnik@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <IMw43.106$IJ3.14893@news3.voicenet.com>
Source record: 116965

check out ebay LOADED Radio Shack Pro-2006 with opto456 board forsale

3377. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37529F86.104E@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116966
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Joe Blow wrote:
>
> I think the term "Dude" is scientifically incorrect because the word
> "person" was used originally...
>
> Just a joke of course, but shows what a load of crap this argument about
> cycles and hertz is.
>
> Haven't we got better things to do, Obi?
>
> --
> Joe Blow.
>
> .
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:37524937.60CC@ameritech.net...
> snip>
> From what I read; the dude was a german.
> > --
Look here dude :-) :>) I just wanna set people straight. That is all
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3378. Re: CE-232 available where?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mel <mellow@simcoe.net>
Message-ID: <928162251.113.6@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 116967

If you contact Robert at rc123@gnc.net he has CE232 kits, or he may even complete one for you, and even install it in your scanner for you as well if you want that.

Rocinante wrote in message <37520117.7619222@netnews.worldnet.att.net>...
>Bill Cheek's site is closed down (or changed?), so anyone know of
>any other sites selling this interface?
>
>
>

3379. Re: Railroad train end car transmitters...freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3752AB27.33B3@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116968

Shaun Whitman wrote:
>
> All North American railroads who use radio end of train devices use 457.9375
> with the exception of Norfolk Southern. They use 161.115 for theirs.
> Remember, you won't hear much on these frequencies. If an active one is in
> the range of a couple of miles, you'll hear a beep every once in a while but
> that is it.
>
> Shaun Whitman
> shaun@railfan.net
> Central Jersey Rails
> http://JerseyRails.Railfan.net
>
> Toscano wrote in message <7iihk0$755@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>...
> >I saw these posted maybe a year and a half ago...
> >....anyone have the freqs?
Are all trains required to have this: passenger, freight, commuter,
light rail, heavy rail?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3380. POLISH FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3752AB66.DB1@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Does anyone have frequencies forthe country of Poland?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3381. WHAT DOES IT MEAN?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3752ABFA.71A4@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I know whar BC stands for:
Before Christ
Bear Cat (Is this genetically possible?)
But what does XLT mean???
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3382. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3752ADA2.41F8@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dan wrote:
>
> > Its hard to believe what people were bidding for scanners on eBay. Back
> in
> > November I bought a PRO-34 for $50.00 in a pawnshop. It was in mint
> condition.
> > I put it up on eBay and it received nearly 40 bids, top bid was $234.00.
> And
> > the guy paid!
> >
> > I still sell on eBay (not scanners) as well as Amazon. I make more on
> eBay
> > however Amazon is increasing nicely.
>
> Yeah, Amazon is still catching on, but I did very nicely selling a 2006
> there last month. The bidding got ridiculous in the final 15 minutes and I
> ended up getting $430.00 for a scanner I only paid $150.00 for to begin
> with. It, too, was in mint shape, but I never expected to see it go for that
> kind of money. The guy who won the auction sent me the money order that day
> and said he had been looking all over for a 2006 (like this was the last one
> on the face of the earth). All I did to it was clean it up with some 409
> cleaner, and take a couple of digital snapshots of it to put online. It's
> amazing what people will pay for stuff in a competitive situation like that.
> On the other hand, I had a 2004 (still have it) that is in nice shape that
> didn't even make reserve on eBay. There were 4 or 5 of them listed as the
> same time as mine, so the selection and poor timing on my part killed the
> sale. I ended up becoming attached to the scanner and it happily chugs away
> in my dining room.
> I gotta say that I feel more comfortable on Amazon as they require a credit
> card and don't hassle people about what is listed.
>
> Dan
Ah, another company listening to the cell phone lobby. What a pity.
Both of those scanners, I think, are too darn easy to modify to recieve
those naughty little group of frequencies. That is why the bidding went
the way it did. Plus with the 2006, you can instasll the G-Wiz board,
make it follow Edacs, Motorola, computer-control
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3383. Re: Where to buy SS tubing for discone radials?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <3EEAD94C6B93A2E0.E535C409EB86B7CB.110DCC9723E81762@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

The radio shack design is quite noisy in the RF department due to the crimping
of the ground radials to separate metal bullets with screw threads.
This is why I like the single peice tube design better, or better yet, solid radials.
Take the rubber caps off your tubes and they will play a song for you in high winds.
Sweet!

3384. Re: Where to buy SS tubing for discone radials?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <C888426ED8772CD7.1561C2870D1BCD1F.8D3F566C2945189E@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Odd as you say that since solid discones compared to radial discones are only a little more sensitive, making the pain of using solid materials and the construction of a solid discone not
worth it.

> I'm curious why you don't simply go with a solid galvanised sheet
> metal cone & disc? Any heating & air conditioing shop should be able
> to fabricate them for you at a modest cost. Like I said just
> curious...

3385. Re: Lo-Jack Frequencys ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <8767EFD803512B11.BE4029539E056C67.602D76B2B7AE6A89@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116974

> Don't happen to know freq for hidden bank
> bait money transmitters(RAT units), or transmit freq for Pac-Tel tracking
> system that is similar to Lo-Jack ??

Watch this guy be a heroin junky or a bank robber :)

3386. Re: Opinions: AOR AR16

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11bc5f6bf09e34429897ca@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116975
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

No. You have been misinformed. You can get free software for the R2, and the performance blows the AR16 away PERIOD. Sorry you didn't get the right info in time to make a more informed decision.

3387. Re: Trunked Channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11bc6058201d77879897cb@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116976

It sure would be nice if there was a "Master List" or "Bible" like book that told you all the necessary information proper setup of say a Optocom, for use with the provided frequency and ID info. Much like the Monitor America book is except info as well as procedure. For those that haven't done trunking before, and travel across the country, it is nearly impossible to determine all of this without spending innumerable time doing so.

3388. Re: Where to buy SS tubing for discone radials?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: raiar@slacc.com.nospam
Message-ID: <3752b65d.8515716@news.primary.net>
Source record: 116977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

I cobbed an old lid from a deepwell pot for the top, and used a sheet of aluminum flashing to make the cone. A plastic sewing thread spool cut in half forms the spacer and it works better than the RS Discone that fell apart that I copied the measurements from.

We built another on a 3/4 inch PVC tube using nothing more than aluminum window screen and a hula hoop for the bottom, it's about double the size of the RS Discone and trimmed for 6 meter transmit. We did replace the top screen with an aluminum panel from a discarded screen door bottom a number of years ago, as the screen sagged down.

TTUL - 73+ de Gary - KG&#65533;ZP

3389. Re: WHAT DOES IT MEAN?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <3752BC3B.2DAFA789@intsvc.com>
Source record: 116978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

XLT just means the model with the most features. Pretty much like
vehicles.

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:

> I know whar BC stands for:
> Before Christ
> Bear Cat (Is this genetically possible?)
> But what does XLT mean???
> --
> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

--

Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com> ICQ # 19943355
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
Listing of all incident paging groups http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

The views expressed here are my own and not that of Incident Command
Page unless otherwise stated.

3390. Nice Message Board and Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scrambler <LWMA@home.com>
Message-ID: <bcz43.13$ah1.149@news.rdc1.fl.home.com>
Source record: 116979

Just came across a nice message board dealing with all aspects of Radio.

Delphi has the Radio and Electronics Forum which though not
very trafficy as yet, has a nice interface and a "no noise" format,
and a nice capability to grow. Any advertisements are immediately deleted
as are flames.

Well moderated.

Home page at

http://www.delphi.com/electronic

message board at

http://www.delphi.com/electronic/messages

3391. Nice Message Board and Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scrambler <LWMA@home.com>
Message-ID: <bcz43.14$ah1.149@news.rdc1.fl.home.com>
Source record: 116980

Just came across a nice message board dealing with all aspects of Radio.

Delphi has the Radio and Electronics Forum which though not
very trafficy as yet, has a nice interface and a "no noise" format,
and a nice capability to grow. Any advertisements are immediately deleted
as are flames.

Well moderated.

Home page at

http://www.delphi.com/electronic

message board at

http://www.delphi.com/electronic/messages

3392. Lexington County, SC., and Philadelphia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Pinero <openbroadcast@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7iuiakjch1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116981

Hi, there are currently two controversies simmering in different parts of the country regarding public safety's move to closed broadcasting public safety systems. Specifically, these are Lexington County, SC., and Philadelphia, PA.

I maintain a website that watches developments like this closely and am putting the word out for people in these respective areas to sign up to the Calling All Citizens listserv in order to provide us with ground-level accounts of these confrontations as they unfold over time.

The listserv information and other details are all available at the link which appears in my signature.

Thanks.

Dave
--
==========
Open Broadcasting Website
http://members.aol.com/truth4000/page1.htm
==========

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3393. Scanner Multi-use Capability

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: rahicks@home.com
Message-ID: <rahicks-ya023580003105991107400001@news.whtrdg1.co.home.com>
Source record: 116982

I have a Pro-2038 mounted in my vehicle. I use it to moniter the police and highway patrol frequencies.

Is is possible to set it to also monitor police radar frequencies also? If so, can someone post the FCC authorized frequencies for radar detectors?

Thanks

Ray

rahicks@home.com

3394. Re: ICOM R-10 QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Miller <jfmilr@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7iufqi$he6$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 116983

Sorry for the bad news but the R10 scans either 1 bank or all banks not a combo of banks. I don't remember the method to set up the scanning for 1 bank. I keep mind scanning all banks and use my AR8200 for single banks and when I hear an important call.

v <FDNYE76L22@access1.net> wrote in message news:374fd46b@news.access1.net...
> Does anyone know how to scan just one bank at at time and how to link and
> unlink the banks?.....Thanks
>
>

3395. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <3752c0f4.2193972@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116984
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On 31 May 1999 17:31:25 -1000, maddison@power.connexus.net.au (David
S. Maddison) wrote:

>In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>: WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
>: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
>
>
>I have read that the Nazis tried to popularise Megacycles or the German
>equivalent because they didn't want to use a unit named after a Jew,
>Hertz.
>
>David Maddison
>

OK clowns listen up. Changing CPS (cycles per second) to HZ (For
Herts= CPS) was simply to 'honor'? Heinrich Hertz an early scientest.

Did it in the early '60's. I recall the fun we had with the new
daffynition. Around the shop, We referred to it as mega-ouches, (what
you say when it hertz.)

Big friggin deal, find an interesting nit to pick fer gawdsake...

Bored to tears... LCM

3396. A Warning to ALL reads of this Board !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B. M." <slapsho7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <928171597.14507@www.remarq.com>
Source record: 116985

I want to warn everyone about a very real problem with ALL
these message boards.

Often I'll see a post about one of these "illegal" or
questionable topics:
-Cell Equipment/Modifications
-Software or Equipment to monitor Cell/Paging/MDT
transmissions

While posting messages on this board are fine I must warn
EVERYONE about replying via email to one of these posts
asking for information.

I've seen several posts asking where to get MDT or Paging
Service software. The person asking even usually posts the
link to a now defunct website.

There is a very real possibility that some of these
individuals are members of the LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMUNITY
trying to either entrap or gather information for possible
future legal action!!!

Once you reply to someone you don't know regarding one of
these restricted topics there is a risk.

Remember...It's not paranoia when they're really out to get
you. Just ask Bill Cheek.

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

3397. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3752C8BB.1FB74D9B@usa.net>
Source record: 116986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Glenn-
These are EXCELLENT & valid points you are bringing up!.

Glenn wrote:

> CEO, Author, President...how did that go?
>
> He now gots the minions schlepping a lawyers second hand on the net?
>
> What ARE the charges?
>
> Thought he owned a communications company. CEO? President? Where are those
> connections?
>
> He needs Conduit to schleep Lawyers on the Net?
>
> What's the REAL story here?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3398. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-05-31 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3752CAC6.617B3FF5@usa.net>
Source record: 116987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

I thought you were talking about Bill Cheek but
OBVIOUSLY you aren't as your description below doesn't match
him.

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> cause he's BILL
> he's got pride
> and integrity

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3399. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dogbert <moneyrola@juno.com>
Message-ID: <3754827A.7AF522C8@juno.com>
Source record: 116688

Phil Smalley wrote:

>
> ......However, FAA reg's do require that passengers comply with ALL
> instructions
> given by the flight crew. So the moral is, if the cabin crew asks you to
> turn it off, then bite your tongue and do so, withouut raising a stink.
>
> -Phil

BULL!!!!

I say, if they tell you to turn it off, tell them to SHOVE OFF!!!

WHAT THEY GUNNA DO? HUH?
Not like there's COPS on the planes!!!!!!
If they doesn't like it, SCREW EM"

(jus' jokin' guy's, liten up)

Actually. reading Phil's original post, and the logic employed (it worked on my flight, good landing and take off, MUST be OK) reminded me of the old NW Airlines problem with the flight crew that blew a BAC WAY off the charts AFTER the landing. (and they did all the drinking pre-flight)

At their hearing (where they we sentenced to something like 50 lifetimes in butthole hell) the only defense offered was "take off was OK, flight went OK, landing was OK, we must not have been THAT BAD!

he he he

3400. Re: Where to buy SS tubing for discone radials?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "KF4FDJ (Mike in Ft. Myers, FL)" <seven77@gate.net>
Message-ID: <37548322.C1C608A3@gate.net>
Source record: 116689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Yeah, and add a styrofoam head, and gossamer wings, and it can be
disguised as an angel.

I have aluminum up all over the place. It gets a little dull and some
aluminum oxide, but lasts for years. Copper gets copper oxide on it,
but won't rot away unless you use fasteners other than copper or
stainless.

73, Mike

Dave Fouchey wrote:
> I'm curious why you don't simply go with a solid galvanised sheet
> metal cone & disc? Any heating & air conditioing shop should be able
> to fabricate them for you at a modest cost. Like I said just
> curious...

> >In article <3751F875.DB71B98B@gnc.net>,
> > Robert <> wrote:
> >> Aluminum tubing stronger than stainless steel? Better check that!
> >> Also, unprotected aluminum (or copper) woouldn't last in my
> >> coastal environment. I'm using the new Discone antenna from
> >> Radio Shack. No complaints yet.



3401. Re: WallingFord CT PD new Frequency ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7j202s$nq4$1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 116690

Per the FCC Database, Wallingford ("Attn: CHIEF DOUGLAS L DORTENZIO") has these freqs, license issued 1/22/99:

866.4875, 866.4625, 866.9625

Callsign WPLZ699...four transmitter sites. That do it for you? May not be trunked with only three freqs...

Harry Marnell, N6URU

http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

Bill wrote in message <37545dac.2030403@news.snet.net>...
>Anyone know Wallingford PD's new frequency ? Is it in the 800mhz ?
> Thanks,
> Bill

3402. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <3324184FC44FE6C1.AF19127797C7B2AF.37AD6F2C0E547FBA@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116691

> I say, if they tell you to turn it off, tell them to SHOVE OFF!!!
>
> WHAT THEY GUNNA DO? HUH?
> Not like there's COPS on the planes!!!!!!
> If they doesn't like it, SCREW EM"

If I see you on a plane with a scanner, I will jump on you, rip your batteries out and throw
them in the plane's toilet.
If you have something to say about you possibly risking my life by screwing with
the plane's electronics, I will tell you to SHOVE OFF and the proceed to beat the shit out of you while shoving your scanner up your ass.

3403. Re: Marine frequencies - Lake Erie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kirk Aubertine <AUBERTINE@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7j222u$5b3u$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 116692
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.navcen.uscg.mil/marcomms/vhf.htm

Hermit wrote in message <375398cf.47727454@news.erie.net>...
>
>I am looking for marine frequencies for Lake Erie. Sure would
>appreciate someone pointing me in the right direction.
>
>I live in Erie, PA about a half mile from the Lake. I can only
>imagine how interesting the listening can be especially this time of
>year.
>
>Thanks for your help.
>
>Dick
>
>

3404. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: tool <comicus@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3754914E.BB3B7319@usa.net>
Source record: 116693
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

You dumb stupid fuck!!!!!!
You brain dead ignorant one inch penised mouth breathing bigot!!!!

Not only does your bigotry show through but so does your ignorance.
The Hertz was dedicated well after your nazi friends were feeding
maggots like you should be.

3405. For Trade or Sale Pro 2032 Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <7j23q5cub1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a Pro 2032 base scanner for trade or sale. Cell and speed mod have been done.

The Pro 2032 covers:
VHF-Lo.....30-50 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
Ham.....50-54 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
VHF Aircraft.....108-136.975 MHz (in 25 kHz steps)
Government.....137-144 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
Ham.....144-148 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
VHF-Hi.....148-174 MHz (in 5 kHz steps)
Ham/Government.....380-450 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
UHF-Lo.....450-470 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
UHF-TV.....470-512 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
UHF Hi.....806-823.9375 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
UHF- Hi.....851-868.9375 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)
.....896-960 MHz (in 12.5 kHz steps)

Channels of Operation.....Any 200 Channels
.....in Any Band Combinations.
.....(20 Channels per bank, and 10 banks)
.....and 10 monitor channels.

The Pro 2032 looks just like the Pro 2006. The radio is in excellent shape, comes with antenna, no manual, AC power cord built into the radio. Can be computer controlled like the 2006, jack already installed.
Would like to trade for Bearcat 890XLT or similar base scanner with milair coverage.

Will sell for $175. Price includes shipping.

Thanks,

D. Schopp

3406. Airshow Frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Cira <dardvl@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <375495C8.31FA@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 116695

HI Y'all,
I was just wondering if anyone has any recent frequencies that might be used at the Hamilton International Airshow in Ontario Canada, as well as the Thunder Over Niagara in Niagara Falls New York, Rochester Airshow(the Blues'll be there), and the Toronto International Airshow. I'm doin a roadtrip in a few weeks up in the Southern Ontario region and'll be spending the rest of the summer there. Just hoping someone might be able to pass along some frequencies for the above airshows which I'll be attending. Thanx for all your help! It's all much appreciated!!
Happy Scanning!!
Mike
Ft. Lauderdale, FL

3407. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37549698.733E@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

1 Angry Man wrote:
>
> O©╫
> >
> >Sir wrote:
> >>
> >> Rich Mulvey wrote:
> >> >
> >> > On Fri, 7 May 1999 08:21:11 -0500, jpos <jpos@mobilemark.com> wrote:
> >> > >No one complains about having to learn how to use windows before they can
> >> > >surf the net....
> >> > >
> >> >
> >> > Considering that I was "surfing the net" before it was even
> >> > *possible* with MS-Windows, you might want to choose a different
> >> > analogy. Sigh.
> >>
> >> The best analogy would be having to learn Morse Code in order to use the
> >> internet, as Morse Code has as much to do with knowing how to operate
> >> and work the internet as it does with knowing how to operate and work a
> >> Ham radio.
> >I fail to see how knowing Morse Code will help you learn how to operate
> >a radio. I do not know Morse Code and can operate a C.B. Radio, FRS
> >Radio, Shortwave Radio, Scanner Radio...
>
> It's just another hoop to jump through!
> The old we had to do it so screw you, all you got to do it too, to make us
> happy old over-the-hill hams!
>
> >--
> >REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>
> You also need to stop eatin that NAZI food and switch to somthing healthy like
> ToFo or veggamite!
Excuse me bbut sauerkraut is Polish food and not nazi food.
Please take yuor dum witted insults somewhere else.
>
> --
> ==========
> "I would never belong to any group,

> that would have me as a member!"
> Grocho Marx
> ==========
> Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
> at least two, and they usually stink !!!
> ==========
> Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3408. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37549796.1CC4@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116697
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

tool wrote:
>
> You dumb stupid fuck!!!!!!
> You brain dead ignorant one inch penised mouth breathing bigot!!!!
>
> Not only does your bigotry show through but so does your ignorance.
> The Hertz was dedicated well after your nazi friends were feeding
> maggots like you should be.
Nice freaking newsgroup.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3409. Re: POLISH FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375496D7.38E6@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

```
Joe Blow wrote:
>
> Jesus.. That explains it all.
>
> YOU'RE POLISH!!!
>
> --
> Joe Blow.
> That does not answer my original question Einstein.
Thanks for nothing idiot.
> .
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:3752AB66.DB1@ameritech.net...
> > Does anyone have frequencies forthe country of Poland?
> > --
> > REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
```

3410. Re: 3000xlt battery problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Anlimc3 <anlimc3@net-connect.net>
Message-ID: <7j25k9bvj1@news.net-connect.net>
Source record: 116699

Are you sure its just a cordless phone pack. When I tore into my fathers 3000XLT battery pack it seemed like the cells totalled 6 volts and all the cordless phone cells I found at Radio Shack were 3.5 volt packs.

If you can dig up those Sanyo part numbers I would surely appreciate it because I had to pay for a completely new pack when all it needs is some new cells. I can handle the soldering.

3411. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <z6153.160$4r6.32900@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116700

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote ...
> In <2pZ43.7$4r6.4983@news.uswest.net> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
> writes:
> >
> >
>
>
> >>
> >>
>
> >
> >His WWW page and its egotistical remarks about MDT go against him.
> >
>
> this is very very low.

Low? No the truth. His ego and WWW page is why he is busted and not opto. i
don't care if you want tt worship Bill but use a little common sense.

> smack in the eye.
>
> we have the right to publish and
> to be egotistical or no. since
> when does the fuckin government assembled
> in their little porticos have the right
> to act as GOD.
>
> seems that what is occurring is that
> we are beginning to see that KING Bill and
> Queen Hillarity are helping government
> agencies take us back to the days of
> the Inquisition or earlier.

(snip)

Oh boy here comes the paranoid right wing ramblings. Were you wearing your
Army of Isreal when you wrote this rehtoric? Have you always had an anger
control problem? Have you ever disagreed or debated soemone without childish
insults and profanity? I haven't seen it on this NG but thought maybe you
had a WWW site for reference.

> in bill's case: well, he was too egotistical.

That's what I said...that's what always has gotten Bill in jams.

3412. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <D3153.157$4r6.31646@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116701

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com...
>
> me thinks the Glenn-guy knew all
> along bout the letters on
> that WWW site. enough
> posting was done along with
> him posting also...other
> ditties.

You mean you can think on your own? Prove it!

3413. Re: Where to find EVE SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <3754bd75@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 116702

Charlie Gast AB8DO <cgast@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:37545141.38043010@news.mindspring.com...
> Does anyone know where I can find eve software for the
> (re)prgramming of TC-8A,VX-5R,ect?

Charlie....

go to www.geol.com/vx5/

The latest version is eve170.zip. Be sure to also download a file there
called ver050.zip and unzip both files into the same directory.
EVE will not work with the ICOM, just the Yaesu FT50, VX1 and VX5.
Nice piece of software, and will unlock the VX-5 for extended transmit
without any physical modifications on the radio.

3414. Re: Where to find EVE SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <3754be06@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 116703

...
> Does anyone know where I can find eve software for the
> (re)prgramming of TC-8A,VX-5R,ect?

forgot to mention in my previous post that there is a good mailing list for the VX-5 and EVE at www.onelist.com

Just do a search for VX5 once you're there, and you can subscribe to either list. Lotsa good info by some knowledgeable folks there, including the author of EVE.

Dan

3415. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <1N253.203$4r6.48389@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116704

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote ...

You certainly have joined Cheek on taking the deep lunge Conduit.

WOW Maynard T!

3416. Trunked Mall of America Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: none <a@a.com>
Message-ID: <7j1ltqhnl1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 116705

Does anyone have any information on what is going on with the trunked system at mall of america in Minnesota. I used to be able to pick it up on my pro-91. I was there a few days ago and it couldn't find a data channel. Anybody know what's up?

Thanks

3417. Re: FCC Master Frequency Databases on CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net>
Message-ID: <3754C827.1FB65C4D@jps.net>
Source record: 116706
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Eric Force wrote:

> Howdy,
>
> I'm seeking individuals who would be interested in sharing the cost
> of obtaining the following WTB (Wireless Telecommunications Bureau)
> CD's from NTIS:
>
> Master Frequency Database (All Master Frequency Data Bases-Except
> Land Mobile from WTB) (on CD-ROM, Raw Data Only) ($500):
>
> http://www.ntis.gov/fcpc/cpn7621.htm
>
> Master Frequency Database, Land Mobile from WTB (Raw Data File) ($900)
> (2 CDs)
>
> http://www.ntis.gov/fcpc/cpn7620.htm
>
> In exchange, I would make a copy of all CD's obtained for everyone
> involved for only the cost of the Media (about $1.00 per CD) and the
> shipping ($3.00 for Priority Mail [to US addresses only]).
>
> If we could get 100 people interested, the cost should be about $20
> for each party for the 3 CDs plus shipping. My integrity can be
> evaluated at:
>
> http://cgi2.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewFeedback&userid=eforce@bellatlantic.net
>
> These CDs contain RAW Data only but I will be writing a DOS based search &
> retrieval application which I will share (for free) to all who participate.
>
> If interested, please email Eric Force at:
>
> Eric Force <eforce@bellatlantic.net>

Why would we want to do that if all we have to do is look the info up on the FCC database online?

3418. Re: HELP IDENTIFYING THIS DIGITAL MODE SIGNAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mad Max <d.pfeiffer@westend.de>
Message-ID: <7j11h4lc1@swifty.westend.com>
Source record: 116738

Sounds like ACARS to me!

Dirk

Jack schrieb in Nachricht ...
>I'm picking up this signal on 430.100 Mhz and I wonder what it is exactly.
>Anybody has a clue ?
>
>
>Jack
>
>
>

3419. Yaesu VR-500 Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curt Chambers <chambers@pubtool.com>
Message-ID: <7j12nmheq1@news.itis.com>
Source record: 116739

Anyone heard pricing or availability on the new Yaesu VR-500 yet?

chambers@pubtool.com

3420. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <CqU43.8683$Np1.460627@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116740

McCready <KlM@primenet.com> ...
>
>
> In article <5oM43.8476$Np1.416699@news.uswest.net>, "Glenn"
> <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
>
>
> > Facts? Like what you said? I quote "Seems what Bill needs now and
forever
> > this thing is a GOOD LAWYER."
> > and then " anyone know one to help bill?" later you type "any
> > suggestions...e-mail to bill." That is schlepping Bill.
>
> Actually, it doesn't mean that at all.

Actually it does come close. Don't confuse 'to Schleppe along with" which would mean the last in a group walking with schlepping which is usually interpeted as pulling something overweight or too large.

>Yiddish impaired?

Yes, I am a shygetz afterall. What do you expect?

> You need to learn what 'schlepping' means. Any clue?

Read above. Why, what is your interpretation? I expect a lesson with a Yiddish name like McCready. :-)

3421. Re: Odd digital signal - any ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <377f094a.7254406@news.erols.com>
Source record: 116741

On Sat, 29 May 1999 22:05:21 -0000, spamtrap@bleeding-head.com (Mike Barnard) wrote:

> A local water department is sending packet-like data bursts, and
>I'd love to know what the heck they're using to do so. The signal appears
>to be FSK (512 baud, 900+1500hz tones). I've never run across any other
>digital signal that uses these parameters; does anyone have any idea what
>this might be? My best guess is either telemetry or security, but I'm
>more interested in what format this might be or if anyone's seen
>equipment that generates a similar signal in use somewhere else.

You'd be amazed how much of that kind of thing is out there. And yes, it is telemetry. You are probably hearing a wide area Supervisory Control And Data Aquisition (SCADA) system. Many of these systems use protocols such as ModbusRTU. I should know, because I design such systems for a large utility.

The problem is this: Speeds and modulation have not been standardized in any way. For example, we use Bell 202 modems on 928/952 MHz radio systems, and a proprietary protocol known as QUICS IV. (This combination sucks in every way except one: it's so unusual that nobody is likely to intercept it).

The biggest problem with monitoring SCADA traffic, though, is that even when you have the protocol, modulation, and frequencies figured out, all you're going to get is something like RTU #33, analog input #7 changed to 1428, digital input #2 is on.

There is usually no intelligence telling you what each switch or analog reading is, what its scale or sense is, or other such things. If you enquire with your local water department about the point list (a list of each data source at each remote site) you'll discover this is often considered to be a security issue. They are not likely to distribute a point list for fear that you might build a transmitter and command things to operate.

Interception of SCADA signals is an interesting idea, though. If you were diligent, you probably could do it with a bit of effort. Bear in mind, however, if you were to release any of that information (remember radio secrecy!), chances are someone might get scared. Water companies usually have legal staff; and at least in our case, they have been known to get bored from time to time. I'd be very wary of a water company when they unleash the lawyers.

Further, ever since Oklahoma City, more and more federal money has been spent on reducing vulnerabilities of cities to terrorism. Water

utilities are no exception. I've even been surveyed on our security procedures to defend against HERF (look it up).

So if you do manage to decode this stuff, keep your mouth shut. The hobby doesn't need this kind of publicity. And to be honest, I would like to keep things simple at work. The last thing I need is yet another moronic security "expert" from some three letter agency scrutinizing me, my family, and my work.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3422. FS: AOR-3000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: xeon <xeon@ultinet.net>
Message-ID: <375416E3.F72769E9@ultinet.net>
Source record: 116742

FS: AOR-3000

Made by AOR. Here is a general description of this
receiver scans freq. from 0 Mhz to 2.03 Ghz.
It's all mode, search, free scan, clock, date,
alarm, sleep, and much more. And I have Proof that
it went to AOR to get a check up to replaced
lithium battery and to make sure that it on
frequency. The date of invoice is 3/5/99 with invoice number.
And this is there i ship it to:

AOR U.S.A.
20655 S. Western Ave. Suite # 112
Torrance CA 90501
Tel. 310-787-8615
(This info is for people who needs AOR address)

Good working CONDITION.

1) I have manual for it,
2) Mobil plug & Extra cord.
3) I am also including a RADIO SHACK 12 volt power supply.

All for $725.00
That Includes S & H And Insures 2 day air.
Will e-mail you and call you with
tracking number.
I'll ship anywhere in the USA ONLY.

Accept Prepaid (Postal) Money Order

If send a bank check you MUST wait 10 days
to clear!..

If you like you can e-mail me with your
telephone number and I'll gladly answer
any questions you have.

Xeon@ultinet.net

Xeon
73

3423. FS REALISTIC PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: berta1s@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990601134851.23813.00000183@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 116743

THIS ONE IS LIKE BRAND NEW WITH ANTENNA,AND MANUAL $300.00

3424. Re: Ham Radio Operator using police frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7j16ue$lb8$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116744

Jake Brodsky wrote in message <377e08a0.7084658@news.erols.com>...
>On Fri, 28 May 1999 16:26:40 -0400, "Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com>
>wrote:
>
> .
> .
> .
>
>>The article also refered to the 'scanner buff' as a 'volunteer ham
>>radio monitor'. This to me implys he may have been an 'OO'.
>>While I don't think the OO program is very well run, and the
>>OO's I've had personal contact with are pretty much duds,
>>they do carry some official weight.
>
>They sure do and that's all the more reason why I think he should have
>shut his mouth. Don't forget the principles of Radio Secrecy.
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"

Well... I'd look at it from the point that the individual as a HAM was
already involved in a problem with this individual. He now had what
'appeared' to be evidnece of a criminal act on this individuals part.
In that regard, I see nothing wrong with bringing information he already
had and his suspicions (and that's ALL they were at that time) to
the attention of the local police.

As to the secrecy issue, I don't believe that applies at all. There was
no personal gain here, other than to help with his enjoyment of the
scanning hobby. And in fact I don't believe any of the public service
frequencies could be held up for secrecy anymore. First, not with the
widespread availability of scanners and their acceptance into the
community. And secondly (actually to support the first) a NUMBER of
communities BROADCAST on their fire and police frequencies
in such a way that the public DOES understand what is going on,
and there is a PERCEPTION by the public service agencies that
this is the norm. Specifically, a lot of departments will announce
school closings or other items of a community interest.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

3425. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j18d3gou1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116745

Glenn wrote in message
>CEO, Author, President...how did that go?
>

Just fine probably when you consider the scale of things. I am the CEO of my own software company. Doesn't mean I'm rich though - still working a day job to pay the bills. The software company does occasionally supply some extra $$ but not enough to pay for a high priced attorney should the need arise - probably the case with Bill too.

>He now gots the minions schlepping a lawyers second hand on the net?
No, he simply doesn't know of any and wanted to know if we did.
>
>What ARE the charges?
This too is unclear, but as far as I can tell some judge in NYC thought decoding MDT was illegal. Knipschild posted MDT data on the web which violates the Communications Act of 1934, and I think the courts are trying to figure out if Bill should be charged as an accessory to that crime. As a result, Bill needs to appear in court to answer questions, and to do so without a lawyer is damn near suicide.

>
>Thought he owned a communications company. CEO? President? Where are those
>connections?

As I said above, all owning a company means is that you wandered into the coproration commission and filed for a license. It doesn't automatically make you rich.
>
>He needs Conduit to schleep Lawyers on the Net?
No, Mr. Conduit is doing so on his own, which I think is great. That's a true friend, in my opinion....
>
>What's the REAL story here?

That IS the real story. Cheeks letters are available for viewing on www.strongsignals.net and should provide some further insight.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3426. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j190u$h1p$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116746

bfwinstead wrote in message
<6BBE9968711159E7.AC9D8602A2B98B52.5A715ADAD093B9A6@library-proxy.airnews.ne
t>...
>bill and cindy should be able to exonerate themselves
>without representation. 'course that's easy for me to say.
>there is an awfully foul odor about this whole deal.

Maybe so, but this is more than a parking ticket or speeding citation. This
is serious crime, serious time kind of stuff and without a good attorney
they may be tricked into answering something that will incriminate them. A
public defender won't do because they haven't got a clue what MDT stands
for, let alone what a data slicer is or anything else. A good attorney
specializing in communications law however, would be able to make short work
of the government's so called "expert" (read: clueless) witnesses and get
Bill on the next plane back home scot-free.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3427. Re: Modification Albrecht (UNIDEN) Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j1985$h6d$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116747

Mad Max wrote in message <7irmci$d6t$1@swifty.westend.com>...
>.......SORRY about that.....In the first mail I forgot the Picture!
>
>
>
>Mad Max wrote:
>
>>Hi everyone!
>>
>>Does anyone know, how to modify the Albrecht AE-60T Scanner?
>>It seems to be similar to some kind of UNIDEN-Scanner....see picture!

What it appears that you have there is an 890XLT. I would try a Yahoo and Dejanews search on "890xlt" and see what you get.

Also look at http://www.uniden.com/docs/product/prdetail.cfm?product=BC890XLT to see what Uniden has to say about it.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3428. Re: restoring cellular freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason <jason@work.com>
Message-ID: <928262548.112.43@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 116748

```
--
Computer Technician <shinysideup@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:7j0k3q$rd1@newsops.execpc.com...
> dana wrote:
>
> > looking for info on restoring cellular freqs on a unidon model
> > bc 9000-xlt, if you have any info on how to get the
> > freqs back, please e-mail me back, don't read the news group on a daily

> Don't bother, I have several scanners that cover cell, it's as boring as
> hell. I > > basis.
>just don't see what the big deal over cell is.
>
> Bob
>
There's acctually nothing to restore because they were never there. There is
a downconverter mod that you can do to trick it into listening on 3XX.000
MHz range.
>
```

3429. 3000xlt battery problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jstawski@execpc.com
Message-ID: <7j19eo$ehc@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 116749

Any suggestions on reconditioning the battery pack on a 3000xlt?
I called AES and they wanted $60-some for a new battery...I thought
they were only $30-$40 when I bought it new there 4-5 years ago.
How about mail-order battery places that might have it cheaper?

J.P.

3430. Re: Ignore them all John Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j19j8hlo1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116750

gho wrote in message <3750704C.AF647FA3@ghnet.com>...
>They're only jealous of your superior knowledege of
>electronics and you command of the English language.
>
BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO
HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO
HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE
HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE HEE

Aw geez... stop it, your killing me here!!! Hee hee, ohh man, that was
funny.... Ha ha, wiping a tear... whew! Warn me next time, will ya? I hurt
myself falling out of my chair...

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3431. FCC License Data Sources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: billmc@agora.rdrop.com
Message-ID: <7j18vheot1@hermes.rdrop.com>
Source record: 116751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

[last changed 01-Apr-99]

The latest version of this file can be accessed via my web page at:
http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc

FCC License Data Sources
Bill McFadden
billmc@agora.rdrop.com

Changes preceeded by "|". Please e-mail corrections and additions to me.

INTRODUCTION

This is a list of FCC license data sources available to scanner hobbyists. The FCC master contains a wealth of data about every non-federal-government license in the United States (over three million records). Many of the sources listed contain a subset of this data, although some contain all or nearly all of it. Federal government licenses are not administered by the FCC, and the data is not available to the public.

Also available are subscription services from companies like ISI and CET. Since they charge by the minute, they are not really practical for the hobbyist and hence are not included in this list.

Prices are rounded to the nearest dollar and include shipping, if known.

Another listing of FCC databases has been compiled by Rich Wells at:
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/fccfrqdb.htm

----- WEB-SEARCHABLE DATABASES -----

You can search the FCC master for free from these web sites:

http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm

----- DOWNLOADABLE DATABASES -----

FCC

The database is also available from the FCC for free. It covers most services but not all. Sections can be downloaded, processed with a supplied Visual BASIC program, and viewed with Microsoft Access. The entire database is approximately 3 GB in size. See:

http://www.fcc.gov/oet/info/database/raeddf.html

SCANWARE ASSOCIATES

ScanWare Associates has developed a PC-based database program called the FCC Frequency Retrieval System. FCC data for all states is available, covering all services and all frequencies. However, it is not being updated frequently.

Scanware has chosen to discontinue developing this software, but the good news is that the software and data are now FREE! It is being hosted by the West Virginia Educational Network. See: http://www.wvsc.wvnet.edu/~fcc/index.html

----- PURCHASABLE DATA -----

BETTY BEARCAT

The Bearcat Radio Club sells FCC data in several forms. The Betty Bearcat series of regional directories covers US public service frequencies in 8 volumes. Also available is the Betty Bearcat CD-ROM that contains public service data for the entire US and a DOS-based database search program.

Betty Bearcat directories are $17 each, and the CD-ROM is $27. They are available from The Hobby Radio Stop at 937-299-6440 (info), 800-423-1331 (orders), or http://www.bearcat1.com/scanners.htm.

C.E.T.

CET sells records of all stations inside a 30-mile radius for $80. (Major cities cost extra.) A 70-mile search costs $178. The data is provided on floppy disks and is available in ASCII columnar and comma-delimited formats.

CET does not provide data for licenses that do not contain specific geographic coordinates (i.e., mobile-only systems) or specific operating frequencies (e.g., amateur radio).

To do the search, CET requires the latitude and longitude of the center of the 30-mile radius. I calculated mine from a USGS topographic map I obtained at a local nautical supply store. Alternatively, you can obtain the coordinates for your town from the US Census Bureau at http://www.census.gov/cgi-bin/gazetteer/. For my area, the server returned the coordinates of the main post office.

CET can be reached at 800-445-0297.

CSP TECHNOLOGIES

CSP Technologies sells FCC data on CD-ROM. ScannerBase covers the entire US and comes with a database search program.

ScannerBase used to cost $34 but is now being sold for $4 a copy while supplies last! CSP Technologies can be reached at 888-857-4240 (voice) or http://www.csp-tech.com/.

GROVE

Grove sells FCC data on CD-ROM and floppy. The CD-ROM covers the entire US and is available with or without mapping support. The mapping version brings up a map with the requested station plotted on it. The regular version is $104, and the mapping version is $174.

Floppies are available by state for $44 per state (add $10 for CA, FL, TX). The disk comes with a database search program. Grove Enterprises can be reached at 800-438-8155 (voice) or http://www.grove.net/.

MR. SCANNER

Mr. Scanner offers custom printouts of public service frequencies by county, or the entire FCC master for a city or town. Also available is public service data on floppy disk and a CD-ROM of all FCC data for the entire US. The floppy disks and CD-ROM come with a database search program.

County printouts are $4 each, and city printouts are $6 each. Floppies are $25 for the first state, and $10 for each additional state. The CD-ROM is $37. They are available from The Hobby Radio Stop at 937-299-6440 (info), 800-423-1331 (orders), http://www.bearcat1.com/mrscan.htm (printouts), or http://www.bearcat1.com/menu09.htm (disks).

PERCON

PerCon sells FCC data on CD-ROM. The Spectrum CD covers the entire US and comes with a simple database search program. Regional CDs cover subsets of the US but come with a more powerful database manager and provide more detail about each license. Also available is a Ham callsign and repeater database CD.

Spectrum costs $37, and the Ham and Repeater Database is $22. Regional CDs are $137 for the first CD, $102 each additional CD ($560 for the entire 6 CD set). Data is updated twice a year. PerCon can be reached at 716-386-6015 (voice), 716-386-6013 (fax), support@perconcorp.com, or http://www.perconcorp.com. The Spectrum database can be searched free of charge via Percon's WWW page.

POLICE CALL

This is probably the most cost-effective source of public service license information. The detail is limited, but the information that is there is often more useful than the FCC master. For example, an entry in Police Call that reads, "Portland Police, Net 3, North Precinct," reads, "Portland, City of" in the master. Police Call has been merged with its sister publication, Beyond Police Call, and now includes a limited selection of business listings.

Police call is available in 9 volumes covering different regions of the US. It can be purchased at Radio Shack stores for $13 per volume.

PRO DATA

Pro Data is a database manager program for license data. It does not come with its own data but can import data from APF, Percon, ScanWare, and UFDBF database files.

Pro Data costs $60. For more information, write to prodata1@gte.net, or visit http://home1.gte.net/prodata1/index.htm.

RADIOMAP SERVICE

Developed by long-time Usenet contributor Bob Parnass, this service provides a custom report of frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names for a specified area in any of the 50 states. Coverage areas of 4 to 256 square miles will be chosen by Bob depending on transmitter site density. Standard and deluxe reports are available. Both reports include a color plot with transmitter sites marked. The deluxe report adds a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area.

To create the report, Bob requires the latitude and longitude (or nearest street intersection) of the center location. Standard reports are $29.95, and deluxe reports are $34.95. Write to Bob Parnass at 2350 Douglas Rd, Oswego, IL, 60543; call him at 630-554-3839 between 6-10 PM | central time; or visit http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass.

APPENDIX

Here is table of data fields in the FCC master. Fields provided by various products are indicated by "#" or the field length, if known.

PERCON PERCON POLICE SCAN
DESCRIPTION SIZE CET GROVE SPECTRUM REGION CALL WARE CSP
Frequency (or Lower Limit) 16 11 12 16 16 # 11 #
Upper Frequency Limit 16 16 11
Callsign 10 8 10 10 10 # 10 #
Service Code 2 2 2 2 2 # 2 #
Licensee DBA Name 40 32 40 30 40 # 40 #
Licensee State 2 2 2 2 #
Licensee Zip 9 5 9 9 #
Licensee Address 35 30 35
Licensee City 20 15 12 20 20 #
Licensee Name 200 100
Applicant Phone 10 10
Transmitter Latitude 6 6 6 6 6 6 #
Transmitter Longitude 7 7 7 7 7 7 #
Transmitter Elevation 5 5 5 5 #
Transmitter Height 5 5 5 5
Transmitter HAAT 5 5 5
Transmitter Effective Height 5 5 5
TX Antenna Structure Height 5 5 5
Transmitter Address 80 80
Transmitter City 20 10 20 20 # 20 #
Transmitter County 30 10 20 20 30 30 #

```
Transmitter State 2 2 2 2 2 # 2 #
Class of Station 4 4 4 4 # 4 #
Type of Message Service 1 1
Area of Operation 1 1
Radius of Operation 4 4 4
Antenna Type 10 10
Antenna Elevation Angle 6 6
Antenna Azimuth 6 6
Antenna Polarization 3 3 3
Antenna Gain 4 4
Antenna Path Length 6 6
Antenna Beam Width 8 8
ERP Out 8 4 8 8
ERP Units 2 1 2
ERP Indicator 1 1
Power Out 8 4 8 8
Power Out Units 2 1 2
Power Out Indicator 1 1
Emissions 15 15 15
License Issue Date 6 6
License Expiration Date 6 4 6 #
Operation Begin Time 4 4
Operation End Time 4 4
Number of Vehicle Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4 #
Number of Portable Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Aircraft Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Marine Mobiles 4 4 4 4 # 4
Number of Pager Mobiles 5 4 5 5 5
Originating Database ID 1 1
Authorization Type 1 1
CP Authorization Number 2 2
Area of Operation Descript. 100 100
Paint & Lighting Specs 33 33
Special Conditions 100 100
TX Latitude Direction 1 1
TX Longitude Direction 1 1
Type of Last Contact 1 1
Date of Last Contact 6 6
Number of Units 4 4
Reverse Azimuth 6
Path Connection Latitude 6
Path Connection Longitude 7

CP = Construction Permit, ERP = Effective Radiated Power,
HAAT = Height Above Average Terrain

--
Bill McFadden billmc@agora.rdrop.com http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc
CAUTION: Don't look into laser beam with remaining eye.
```

3432. Re: 3000xlt battery problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray Palleschi <arengravers@boston.sisna.com>
Message-ID: <37542EB1.1AC795D@boston.sisna.com>
Source record: 116752

"J.P. Stawski" wrote:

> Any suggestions on reconditioning the battery pack on a 3000xlt?
> I called AES and they wanted $60-some for a new battery...I thought
> they were only $30-$40 when I bought it new there 4-5 years ago.
> How about mail-order battery places that might have it cheaper?
>
> J.P.

Try Scanner World in Albany, NY

Last time I bought one there it was in the $35-40 range

Ray

3433. Re: FS: Radio Shack PRO-64 400 Channel scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: mfunaro@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <375432ba.223748795@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116753

SOLD

On Tue, 01 Jun 1999 03:22:17 GMT, mfunaro@worldnet.att.net (Michael Funaro) wrote:

>Hello, I have for sale a Pro-64 400 channel hand held scanner for
>sale. It covers 29-54, 108-136, 137-174, 380-512, 806-824, 849-869,
>894-960. It is in perfect shape and I will ship for $120.
>If you have any questions please email me.
>Thanks, Mike
>mfunaro@worldnet.att.net

3434. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j1cno$2gt@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116754

In <5oM43.8476$Np1.416699@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
>
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP says...
>
>> I advised u to go read the
>> WWW sites that have
>> posted the information
>> you so desperately want
>> me to do for you...I
>> am not your servant and
>
>What sites?
cute. here we have this
guy who does not miss a
post by certain people
and so far there have
been about 8 postings
dealing with the WWW
sites this info gleaned
from and he says he
"does not know?" or
"does not know!"

cute.
r
>
>Facts? Like what you said? I quote "Seems what Bill needs now and
forever
>this thing is a GOOD LAWYER."
>
based on what i read on the WWW page
times a few, yes. My conclusion. I
went out and made a conclusion and then
surmised that this is where help maybe
needed the most: a lawyer in that area
of law.

did you miss something? others got the
idea. so where were you when your lights
went out on purpose?

and then " anyone know one to help bill?" later you type "any
>suggestions...e-mail to bill." That is schlepping Bill.

No it is just a suggestion to find the
lawyers who do this and send
those names to him.

Based on what I read on those
WWW sites pages....why post them
to NG when they can go to the
person who can utilize their
names directly. he don't read this
NG. That's why.

I drew a conclusion based on facts at
my disposal and came up with the above
after reading the WWW postings about
his situation.

You are trying to do what only you can
assume you are "smart" to want to do. No,
it's dumb to dumber a do. really dumb. The
answer was posted and WWW sites were given
and history was made. You just missed out.

And I am not going to be your servant
and do your work for you. There are ways.

if you cannot, then in time someone
will refer to them again...so pay
attention and maybe you will win
the prize when and if you are eligible.

>
>I have never seen the six or seven people Bill. I have never seen you.

Oh you are going way out of tune with reality here.
What a figment of your brain-stem to make a stupid
statement like this. Taking things and bringing
it to mirror what you want seen as.

six or seven people posted the www site referrents
and I even answered to it.

I
>have probably only seen 45 people tops that I have read on the net.
>
` Is not this oaf special. You really go off the
deep end of your mind-lessness, don't
you. where are you at when you made
this statement: in the toilet standing
up with answer in hand or what?

Just dumbfounds peole who can think right.

you are original in this mantra of yours

>After doing your Beatnik

what's so wrong with "click, click"? beat.

who is Sally Struthers...sounds like
a bimbo only you could pine over>>>>

>
>> I printed them out. so can you...
>
>But you don't know them and can't post them. What am i misisng here?
What
>are you missing?
>

Well one thing Missing is you. You are missing
what you are really missing. me, I am missing
not having to deal with being your servant.

ain't that special.

bill conduit
etc.
with an attitude

3435. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: levys@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <37543492.3653779@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116755

Right, and one other thing....If I'm not mistaken, Ford Crown Vics are made in Canada and GM stopped making Caprices in 1996.

-Larry

On Tue, 1 Jun 1999 07:41:13 -0600, dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman) wrote:

>I hate to break it to you but when the Crown Victoria's and Caprice's of
>the world are long gone and crunched up in a junk yard somewhere, the
>Volvo will still be on the road, running well with spewing less
>pollutants then the others ever did. Get over your hatred of foreign cars
>as the American one's still have a ways to go yet before they are what
>one would call "well made" and without defects. Just look at the regular
>recalls Ford, GM and Chrysler have each year. Some annoying, and some
>serious. Besides, a stock volvo will do 140mph without the exotic
>enhancements necessary to get a boulevard cruising, gas guzzler up to
>that speed.

http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Bluffs/3283/index.html

3436. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j1d3n$62n@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116756

In <7j18d3gou1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com> "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> writes:
>
>

>That IS the real story. Cheeks letters are available for viewing on
>www.strongsignals.net and should provide some further insight.
>
>-Phil
>

No kidding. To wit I had the
WWW site on my note pad all this
time and did not give it to the
GLENNguy...

gee...I must have will-power

I had it all the time..right
here. No label on it. i thought
it was http://www.miribundityisthee.org.

to think, I almost feel for your
baiting ... well, now u can join
those who relish in your schtick.

oh happy days

bill conduit
etc.

3437. Satellites, Yaesu, HF/VHF, Contesting, and Ham Radio Resources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7j1iu7ca1@nnrp2.deja.com>
Source record: 116757

Hello all,

Please check out my webpage.
It has info on:

Satellites, Satellite Tracking, HF & VHF, June VHF QSO Party,
My HF Rig reviews, Ham Radio Bulletin Message Board
for anything related to Ham Radio, and tons of Ham Radio
Resources.

The URL:

http://kq6mu.8m.com

Webmasters:

Please add me to your links section, in return I will add you to my
links page.

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU
DM04 Palmdale, CA USA

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3438. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Y3X43.8796$Np1.479070@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116758

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote ...
>
> Glenn wrote in message
>
(snip)

> >What ARE the charges?
> This too is unclear, but as far as I can tell some judge in NYC thought
> decoding MDT was illegal. Knipschild posted MDT data on the web which
> violates the Communications Act of 1934, and I think the courts are trying
> to figure out if Bill should be charged as an accessory to that crime.

That's what I thought Phil but mainly all I hear is the paranoia and
anti-gov rhetoric.

>As a
> result, Bill needs to appear in court to answer questions, and to do so
> without a lawyer is damn near suicide.

Yep, I would suspect so.

> >
> >Thought he owned a communications company. CEO? President? Where are
those
> >connections?
>
> As I said above, all owning a company means is that you wandered into the
> coproration commission and filed for a license. It doesn't automatically
> make you rich.

I just thought after the remarks Cheek made as to how important and
sucessful he was his resources and connections would be a bit deeper than
rec.radio.scanner. This certainly would be a humbling experience for anyone
but it really has to be trumatic to Cheek.

> >He needs Conduit to schleep Lawyers on the Net?
> No, Mr. Conduit is doing so on his own, which I think is great. That's a
> true friend, in my opinion....

Actually Mr. Conduit said he wasn't at all but you are correct and I never
doubted that friendship for a minute.

> >What's the REAL story here?
>
> That IS the real story. Cheeks letters are available for viewing on
> www.strongsignals.net and should provide some further insight.

Thanks Phil, I found three Cheek letters there. Your answer to the charges was what I was looking for and your summation is the same as his letters seem to imply. I felt when this began that Knipschild rolled on Cheek. What do you know about Cheek sending the MDT software to the undercover folks? That certainly could hurt.

Thanks for info Phil.

Glenn

3439. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <vbX43.8821$Np1.479909@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116759

Never mind Bill, Phil posted the link to Rich Wells WWW page.

snap! Snap! Snap! snAP! far out man!

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:7j1cno$2gt@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com...
> In <5oM43.8476$Np1.416699@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
> <glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
> >
> >
> >BAYCITVIDGRP says...
> >
> >> I advised u to go read the
> >> WWW sites that have
> >> posted the information
> >> you so desperately want
> >> me to do for you...I
> >> am not your servant and
> >
> >What sites?
> cute. here we have this
> guy who does not miss a
> post by certain people
> and so far there have
> been about 8 postings
> dealing with the WWW
> sites this info gleaned
> from and he says he
> "does not know?" or
> "does not know!"
>
> cute.
> r
> >
> >Facts? Like what you said? I quote "Seems what Bill needs now and
> forever
> >this thing is a GOOD LAWYER."
> >
> based on what i read on the WWW page
> times a few, yes. My conclusion. I
> went out and made a conclusion and then
> surmised that this is where help maybe
> needed the most: a lawyer in that area
> of law.
>

> did you miss something? others got the
> idea. so where were you when your lights
> went out on purpose?
>
> and then " anyone know one to help bill?" later you type "any
> >suggestions...e-mail to bill." That is schlepping Bill.
>
> No it is just a suggestion to find the
> lawyers who do this and send
> those names to him.
>
> Based on what I read on those
> WWW sites pages....why post them
> to NG when they can go to the
> person who can utilize their
> names directly. he don't read this
> NG. That's why.
>
> I drew a conclusion based on facts at
> my disposal and came up with the above
> after reading the WWW postings about
> his situation.
>
> You are trying to do what only you can
> assume you are "smart" to want to do. No,
> it's dumb to dumber a do. really dumb. The
> answer was posted and WWW sites were given
> and history was made. You just missed out.
>
> And I am not going to be your servant
> and do your work for you. There are ways.
>
> if you cannot, then in time someone
> will refer to them again...so pay
> attention and maybe you will win
> the prize when and if you are eligible.
>
>
>
> >
> >I have never seen the six or seven people Bill. I have never seen you.
>
> Oh you are going way out of tune with reality here.
> What a figment of your brain-stem to make a stupid
> statement like this. Taking things and bringing
> it to mirror what you want seen as.
>
> six or seven people posted the www site referrents
> and I even answered to it.
>

> I
> >have probably only seen 45 people tops that I have read on the net.
> >
> ` Is not this oaf special. You really go off the
> deep end of your mind-lessness, don't
> you. where are you at when you made
> this statement: in the toilet standing
> up with answer in hand or what?
>
> Just dumbfounds peole who can think right.
>
> you are original in this mantra of yours
>
> >After doing your Beatnik
>
> what's so wrong with "click, click"? beat.
>
> who is Sally Struthers...sounds like
> a bimbo only you could pine over>>>>
>
> >
> >> I printed them out. so can you...
> >
> >But you don't know them and can't post them. What am i misisng here?
> What
> >are you missing?
> >
>
> Well one thing Missing is you. You are missing
> what you are really missing. me, I am missing
> not having to deal with being your servant.
>
> ain't that special.
>
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
> with an attitude
>

3440. Problem with Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Fallin <fallin@gate.net>
Message-ID: <37544223.7CCC62E@gate.net>
Source record: 116760

Greetings,

Over the last weekend I was up in the Daytona Beach area. I looked through the Radio Shack "Blue Book" and found listings for Public Service freqs in Volusia County. The book indicated that a number of them were trunked ("T") freqs so I programmed them into my Pro-90 as I have with a number of other trunked systems. I even found 2 of them that were obviously digital data so I assumed that I had the correct setup. However, the radio continued to search all of the programmed channels without ever tracking or displaying IDs (as if it could not find the control channel).

Could it be that this system is not a Motorola system? I know it is not digital because if I leave trunk mode and just scan the bank conventionally, I hear conversations. Maybe the system is a type I system and I do not have the fleet/subfleet info.

I have had the same problem with the public service system in Boca Raton, FL. Both of these are listed as Trunked in the "Police Call" book but not on the TrunkTracker web site.

I have heard that the Radio Shack / Uniden radios work with Motorola type I and II systems only. Others like the Ericson and Nextel systems cannot be tracked.

Does anyone out there have any experience with either Boca or Volusia county?

Thank you in advance.

David

3441. Problem with Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Fallin <fallin@gate.net>
Message-ID: <3754422F.620AB68@gate.net>
Source record: 116761

Greetings,

Over the last weekend I was up in the Daytona Beach area. I looked through the Radio Shack "Blue Book" and found listings for Public Service freqs in Volusia County. The book indicated that a number of them were trunked ("T") freqs so I programmed them into my Pro-90 as I have with a number of other trunked systems. I even found 2 of them that were obviously digital data so I assumed that I had the correct setup. However, the radio continued to search all of the programmed channels without ever tracking or displaying IDs (as if it could not find the control channel).

Could it be that this system is not a Motorola system? I know it is not digital because if I leave trunk mode and just scan the bank conventionally, I hear conversations. Maybe the system is a type I system and I do not have the fleet/subfleet info.

I have had the same problem with the public service system in Boca Raton, FL. Both of these are listed as Trunked in the "Police Call" book but not on the TrunkTracker web site.

I have heard that the Radio Shack / Uniden radios work with Motorola type I and II systems only. Others like the Ericson and Nextel systems cannot be tracked.

Does anyone out there have any experience with either Boca or Volusia county?

Thank you in advance.

David

3442. Have you heard this one?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dad#1 <deadeye@javanet.com>
Message-ID: <375443DE.75181542@javanet.com>
Source record: 116762

I have a .wav recording of police computer to/from cruiser to station that I'm trying to learn how to decode, I've heard the officers refer to the system as the "packet cluster", does anyone recognize this? I'm sure its not MDT. What program to decode it? Baud? Anything or sugestions? I'd be happy to send it to anyone interested in hearing it.

3443. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j1het$kg1$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116763

Glenn wrote in message ...
>
>Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote ...
>> This too is unclear, but as far as I can tell some judge in NYC thought
>> decoding MDT was illegal. Knipschild posted MDT data on the web which
>> violates the Communications Act of 1934, and I think the courts are
trying
>> to figure out if Bill should be charged as an accessory to that crime.
>
>That's what I thought Phil but mainly all I hear is the paranoia and
>anti-gov rhetoric.

Well, it's not without some merit... the point is if Cheek gets convicted simply by supplying hardware then that sets a dangerous precedent. It says that the government can selectively prosecute small businesses whos' products are used in a crime, even though the seller had no knowledge of the buyers intent. So what happens when Joe Stupid decides to rob a bank while listening to his scanner he just bought at Radio Shack? Does this make Radio Shack an accessory to that crime? Or perhaps the people who write Police Call because they supplied the frequencies? It is downright rotten and unfair. Dozens of companies sell various flavors of the same circuit, yet only Bill was singled out. This is what has raised the red flags.

>
>>As a
>> result, Bill needs to appear in court to answer questions, and to do so
>> without a lawyer is damn near suicide.
>
>Yep, I would suspect so.
>
>I just thought after the remarks Cheek made as to how important and
>sucessful he was his resources and connections would be a bit deeper than
>rec.radio.scanner. This certainly would be a humbling experience for anyone
>but it really has to be trumatic to Cheek.

The bottom line is that he was totally blindsided by this, as were all of us. How do you prepare for something you can't see coming? Like I said, I own a company that I consider to be successful, not because of the bottom line, but because of its' potential. However, I would be up the creek if I needed to hire a specialised attorney for any reason. It just wouldn't happen.

>> >He needs Conduit to schleep Lawyers on the Net?
>> No, Mr. Conduit is doing so on his own, which I think is great. That's a
>> true friend, in my opinion....

>
>Actually Mr. Conduit said he wasn't at all but you are correct and I never
>doubted that friendship for a minute.

Bill doesn't see it as "schleping". He sees it as helping his friend in a time of need, I suspect. It's all a matter of interpretation which seems to be the focus, unfortunately. It's the actions, not the words, that make the man.
>
>> >What's the REAL story here?
>>
>> That IS the real story. Cheeks letters are available for viewing on
>> www.strongsignals.net and should provide some further insight.
>
>
>Thanks Phil, I found three Cheek letters there. Your answer to the charges
>was what I was looking for and your summation is the same as his letters
>seem to imply. I felt when this began that Knipschild rolled on Cheek. What
>do you know about Cheek sending the MDT software to the undercover folks?
>That certainly could hurt.

I'm not sure if he did or didn't, but the warrant said he did. You have to understand though, that these charges and this warrant were written by someone who knows less about scanners than a pig knows about pattycake. This means that a disk containing download links to the sites where such software is available might have been construed by these morons to be "included software". I suspect this is more likely the case.
>
>Thanks for info Phil.

Sure, no problem. Glad to help.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3444. Re: Problem with Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcardani@erols.com
Message-ID: <37544af2.32643101@news.tju.edu>
Source record: 116764

On Tue, 01 Jun 1999 16:27:15 -0400, David Fallin <fallin@gate.net>
wrote:

>Greetings,
>
>Over the last weekend I was up in the Daytona Beach area. I looked
>through the Radio Shack "Blue Book" and found listings for Public
>Service freqs in Volusia County. The book indicated that a number of
>them were trunked ("T") freqs so I programmed them into my Pro-90 as I
>have with a number of other trunked systems. I even found 2 of them
>that were obviously digital data so I assumed that I had the correct
>setup. However, the radio continued to search all of the programmed
>channels without ever tracking or displaying IDs (as if it could not
>find the control channel).
>
>Could it be that this system is not a Motorola system? I know it is not
>digital because if I leave trunk mode and just scan the bank
>conventionally, I hear conversations. Maybe the system is a type I
>system and I do not have the fleet/subfleet info.

Volusia uses Ericsson. There are 2 trunked systems - one is 13
channels the other is 14 channels, per an Ericson press release. Your
Pro 90 cannot decode the Ericsson EDACS system.

I'm not sure about Boca

Joe

3445. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7j1imlkff1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 116765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

ï¿½
>
>Sir wrote:
>>
>> Rich Mulvey wrote:
>> >
>> > On Fri, 7 May 1999 08:21:11 -0500, jpos <jpos@mobilemark.com> wrote:
>> > >No one complains about having to learn how to use windows before they can
>> > >surf the net....
>> > >
>> >
>> > Considering that I was "surfing the net" before it was even
>> > *possible* with MS-Windows, you might want to choose a different
>> > analogy. Sigh.
>>
>> The best analogy would be having to learn Morse Code in order to use the
>> internet, as Morse Code has as much to do with knowing how to operate
>> and work the internet as it does with knowing how to operate and work a
>> Ham radio.
>I fail to see how knowing Morse Code will help you learn how to operate
>a radio. I do not know Morse Code and can operate a C.B. Radio, FRS
>Radio, Shortwave Radio, Scanner Radio...

It's just another hoop to jump through!
The old we had to do it so screw you, all you got to do it too, to make us
happy old over-the-hill hams!

>--
>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

You also need to stop eatin that NAZI food and switch to somthing healthy like
ToFo or veggamite!

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

3446. Where to find EVE SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: cgast@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <37545141.38043010@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 116766

Does anyone know where I can find eve software for the
(re)prgramming of TC-8A,VX-5R,ect?

3447. Re: Problem with Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990601173830.11237.00010871@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 116767

yeap i live in daytona and they use the ericson system just about all the toewns do except the state pd enjoy jose

3448. Re: Looking For MN Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jrodew0569@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990601175022.18938.00003535@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 116768

according to the police call book the freqs are:

wright co fire 153.83,154.295,154.325
wright co police 155.13,155.37,155.475,155.97,
156.21
waverly city 158.775
mn state fire 154.295

i hope these help out

jeff

3449. Re: HELP IDENTIFYING THIS DIGITAL MODE SIGNAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <mbnet@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <bAY43.3713$fr1.93629@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 116769

I've tried WACARS on that channel without any results, so it's probably something else...I have to say it sounded similar to ACARS though!

Thanks anyways,

Jack

3450. Re: A Warning to ALL reads of this Board !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7ZY43.10404$%x.8667@wards>
Source record: 116770

>
> **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here
(tm) ****

you can get all the pager/mdt/etc decoding software here as it is not
illegal for me to post it (in UK)and I am most certainely no cop or nark....

http://listen.to/eavsdropper

or http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk

have fun :-)

eavsdropper

3451. Re: 3000xlt battery problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <Witch**Dr@usa0.0no0bulk0email0.net>
Message-ID: <37545C50.138E@usa0.0no0bulk0email0.net>
Source record: 116771

J.P. Stawski wrote:
>
> Any suggestions on reconditioning the battery pack on a 3000xlt?
> I called AES and they wanted $60-some for a new battery...I thought
> they were only $30-$40 when I bought it new there 4-5 years ago.
> How about mail-order battery places that might have it cheaper?

Just pop open the case and replace the batteries inside. If you can
solder two wires, your home. All it is is a cordless phone pack made by
Sanyo(the exact number escapes me). I've replaced mine once before and
it was a snap. Got the same battery configuration at a Rat Shack for $9.
GI Joes also sells the same one.

3452. Re: !!! Read This !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pinky & The Brain <odin@webspan.net>
Message-ID: <928275910.776.4@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 116772
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A Mind (like this one!) is a terrible thing...!

3453. Re: Modification Albrecht (UNIDEN) Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j1mt0$m4v$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116773

Mad Max wrote in message <7j1ls5nbl1@swifty.westend.com>...
>>
>>
>>What it appears that you have there is an 890XLT. I would try a Yahoo and
>>Dejanews search on "890xlt" and see what you get.
>
>
>I already did, but found nothing about Mods.
>Only thing I found (on the URL, you mentioned below....)is, that the 890xlt
>can receive 29 to 54 MHz, but not my scanner. Might be blocked somehow....

Ahhhh... Methinks you have an UBC-890xlt - the european version! If so then
you should have full cell capability already. Try this. Set the search
limits to 869 and 894 and press search. If the unit doesn't display an error
along the way then you undoubtedly have a UBC-890 clone. Not too bad... ;-)

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3454. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nospam@Spam.com
Message-ID: <37575d95.23436281@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 116774
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
wrote:

>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
>sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know of
>that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
>transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks
>in advance
>
>Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
>LOSER
>
>Thanks..
>
>Robert
>
Yes, it's quite easy to do, and I've even built them as part of some
honest testing and evaluation work. Great laboratory tool. Any
decent RF engineer can do it. Then I read your second paragraph.
With that attitude, you won't get my help. Don't bother with a
response. You'll be in the killfile before this posts.

Tony

3455. Re: Ham Radio Operator using police frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiobufff@aol.com.rfi.tvi
Message-ID: <19990601182824.20830.00008241@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 116775

You Go Mike!!!!

>Well... I'd look at it from the point that the individual as a HAM was
>already involved in a problem with this individual. He now had what
>'appeared' to be evidnece of a criminal act on this individuals part.
>In that regard, I see nothing wrong with bringing information he already
>had and his suspicions (and that's ALL they were at that time) to
>the attention of the local police.
>
>As to the secrecy issue, I don't believe that applies at all. There was
>no personal gain here, other than to help with his enjoyment of the
>scanning hobby. And in fact I don't believe any of the public service
>frequencies could be held up for secrecy anymore. First, not with the
>widespread availability of scanners and their acceptance into the
>community. And secondly (actually to support the first) a NUMBER of
>communities BROADCAST on their fire and police frequencies
>in such a way that the public DOES understand what is going on,
>and there is a PERCEPTION by the public service agencies that
>this is the norm. Specifically, a lot of departments will announce
>school closings or other items of a community interest.
>
>Mike Yetsko
>N1DVJ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Radio in the Bufff
Co-Ed Naked Radio
Support your local Oscillator

Please remove<.rfi.tvi>

http://members.aol.com/radiobufff/index.html

3456. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <2pZ43.7$4r6.4983@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116776

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote ...
>
> Glenn wrote in message ...
> >
> >Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote ...
> >> This too is unclear, but as far as I can tell some judge in NYC thought
> >> decoding MDT was illegal. Knipschild posted MDT data on the web which
> >> violates the Communications Act of 1934, and I think the courts are
> trying
> >> to figure out if Bill should be charged as an accessory to that crime.
> >
> >That's what I thought Phil but mainly all I hear is the paranoia and
> >anti-gov rhetoric.
>
>
> Well, it's not without some merit... the point is if Cheek gets convicted
> simply by supplying hardware then that sets a dangerous precedent. It says
> that the government can selectively prosecute small businesses whos'
> products are used in a crime, even though the seller had no knowledge of
the
> buyers intent.

His WWW page and its egotistical remarks about MDT go against him.

> So what happens when Joe Stupid decides to rob a bank while
> listening to his scanner he just bought at Radio Shack? Does this make
Radio
> Shack an accessory to that crime? Or perhaps the people who write Police
> Call because they supplied the frequencies? It is downright rotten and
> unfair. Dozens of companies sell various flavors of the same circuit, yet
> only Bill was singled out. This is what has raised the red flags.

I would say simply his attitude. Did opto post on their WWW about the MDT ability of their slicer? The others companies? I am not saying they are right to go after Bill, we don't have the facts but Bill certainly has not helped himself. With the Cell Lobby, Gingrichgate and the rest of the Feds attitude toward scanning Bill was quite foolish marketing the slicer the way he did. That marketing generated some sales he could have done without.

> >> >What's the REAL story here?
> >>
> >> That IS the real story. Cheeks letters are available for viewing on
> >> www.strongsignals.net and should provide some further insight.
> >
> >

> >Thanks Phil, I found three Cheek letters there. Your answer to the
charges
> >was what I was looking for and your summation is the same as his letters
> >seem to imply. I felt when this began that Knipschild rolled on Cheek.
What
> >do you know about Cheek sending the MDT software to the undercover folks?
> >That certainly could hurt.
>
>
> I'm not sure if he did or didn't, but the warrant said he did. You have to
> understand though, that these charges and this warrant were written by
> someone who knows less about scanners than a pig knows about pattycake.
This
> means that a disk containing download links to the sites where such
software
> is available might have been construed by these morons to be "included
> software". I suspect this is more likely the case.

Well, if he sent the software.....tsk, tsk.

Again, thanks for filling in some of the blanks.

3457. WallingFord CT PD new Frequency ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: hookit@snet.net
Message-ID: <37545dac.2030403@news.snet.net>
Source record: 116777

Anyone know Wallingford PD's new frequency ? Is it in the 800mhz ?
Thanks,
Bill

3458. Re: Scanner Multi-use Capability

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j1o0m$mh7$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116778

R. A. Hicks wrote in message ...
>I have a Pro-2038 mounted in my vehicle. I use it to moniter the police and
>highway patrol frequencies.
>
>Is is possible to set it to also monitor police radar frequencies also? If
>so, can someone post the FCC authorized frequencies for radar detectors?

The idea is neat, but the scanner just won't do it. The frequencies that
radar guns use is way, way above the upper limit of your scanners receive
range.

If you live in a state where the highway patrol uses mobile extenders, then
that would be the next best thing. Interesting idea though, I'm surprised
that no one has marketed such a beast.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3459. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j1ojg$cak@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116779

In <Y3X43.8796$Np1.479070@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
>

>
>> >He needs Conduit to schleep Lawyers on the Net?
>> No, Mr. Conduit is doing so on his own, which I think is great.
That's a
>> true friend, in my opinion....
>
gee...others have offered their
input and help also...I'm just
one of many who will help bill
when he needs it.

>Actually Mr. Conduit said he wasn't at all

...oh here we go, inventing
troubles that do not exist...
quote me exactly where I say
this about bill...please.

jerk.

but you are correct and I never
>doubted that friendship for a minute.
>

>
>Thanks Phil, I found three Cheek letters there. Your answer to the
charges
>was what I was looking for and your summation is the same as his
letters
>seem to imply.

me thinks the Glenn-guy knew all
along bout the letters on
that WWW site. enough
posting was done along with
him posting also...other
ditties.

bill conduit
etc.

>
>

3460. Re: restoring cellular freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.990601185321.17308J-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 116780

```
i don't listen to them either, i was looking for those for a friend that
don't have internet access. i have a couple of scanners around though.

On Tue, 1 Jun 1999, Computer Technician wrote:

> Date: Tue, 01 Jun 1999 07:30:36 -0500
> From: Computer Technician <shinysideup@hotmail.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Subject: Re: restoring cellular freqs?
>
> dana wrote:
>
> > looking for info on restoring cellular freqs on a unidon model
> > bc 9000-xlt, if you have any info on how to get the
> > freqs back, please e-mail me back, don't read the news group on a daily
> > basis.
>
> Don't bother, I have several scanners that cover cell, it's as boring as
> hell. I just don't see what the big deal over cell is.
>
> Bob
>
>
>
>
>
```

3461. FCC Master Frequency Databases on CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Force <eforce@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <375467F7.A4F37E9A@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 116781
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Howdy,

I'm seeking individuals who would be interested in sharing the cost
of obtaining the following WTB (Wireless Telecommunications Bureau)
CD's from NTIS:

Master Frequency Database (All Master Frequency Data Bases-Except
Land Mobile from WTB) (on CD-ROM, Raw Data Only) ($500):

http://www.ntis.gov/fcpc/cpn7621.htm

Master Frequency Database, Land Mobile from WTB (Raw Data File) ($900)
(2 CDs)

http://www.ntis.gov/fcpc/cpn7620.htm

In exchange, I would make a copy of all CD's obtained for everyone
involved for only the cost of the Media (about $1.00 per CD) and the
shipping ($3.00 for Priority Mail [to US addresses only]).

If we could get 100 people interested, the cost should be about $20
for each party for the 3 CDs plus shipping. My integrity can be
evaluated at:

http://cgi2.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewFeedback&userid=eforce@bellatlantic.net

These CDs contain RAW Data only but I will be writing a DOS based search &
retrieval application which I will share (for free) to all who participate.

If interested, please email Eric Force at:

Eric Force <eforce@bellatlantic.net>

3462. Re: Ignore them all John Mackey!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7j1q3ffap0@207.18.224.30>
Source record: 116782

On Tue, 1 Jun 1999 11:43:22 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>gho wrote in message <3750704C.AF647FA3@ghnet.com>...
>>They're only jealous of your superior knowledege of
>>electronics and you command of the English language.
>>

>Aw geez... stop it, your killing me here!!! Hee hee, ohh man, that was
>funny.... Ha ha, wiping a tear... whew! Warn me next time, will ya? I hurt
>myself falling out of my chair...
>

I sent the guy a bill for cleaning my keyboard and monitor! I read
that right after I took a swig of Diet Dr. Pepper, and it spewed
all over!

What a mess...

3463. Question RE: Airphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: joepwalker@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22274-37545F81-69@newsd-162.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 116783

I had a couple of questions regarding the airphones in the 400 and 800 mhz area:

(1) Paperwork I've read stated that the 400 mhz assignments are for private aircraft. Does that mean ALL private aircraft? In other words, does Joe Blow in a single engine cessna utilize the same 400 mhz freqs as say Donald Trump in his $$$ aircraft? I know that cell phones are not permitted on-board a commercial aircraft - is the same true for private aircraft? I have heard of a company I believe called AirCell who has been approved for a cell phone especially designed for use in an aircraft.

(2) Are the 800 mhz ground stations for commercial phone traffic (ie: GTE Airfone) duplex or simplex on their signal retransmission? Also, on 800 mhz, is there still the annoying carrier that the 400 mhz is supposed to carry?

Appreciate any info.

3464. Re: Lo-Jack Frequencys ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j1q6unbo1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116784

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <37535D45.5E3F@ameritech.net>...
>Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:
>>
>> > Don't happen to know freq for hidden bank
>> > bait money transmitters(RAT units), or transmit freq for Pac-Tel
tracking
>> > system that is similar to Lo-Jack ??
>>
>> Watch this guy be a heroin junky or a bank robber :)
>makes you wonder, huh?

Most bank robbers will steal a car and then use it to commit the crime. Hence the desire for Lojack freq's. Banks are starting to shy away from dye packs because they permanently damage the money, while the bag beepers allow the money to be recovered in tact and prove to be more effective in finding the robber(s). I know this from listening to countless robberies occur in the Phoenix area.

Most of these clowns get caught a few minutes after the crime. One was caught a few blocks away from the scene where the bag beeper led the police to a local strip club, where the robber was happily stuffing $100 bills in the g-strings of the amazed dancers.

However one guy almost got away during a robbery that I heard... The guy robbed a bank and quite literally vanished. The cops followed the bag beeper up to the truck of an an old man who was unfortunate enough to have been at the same red light as the robber, who tossed the device into the back of his truck. The cops were ready to color this one gone when they finally received an anonymous tip from none other than the guy's girlfriend, who was scared and didn't want to run anymore. This was HOURS after the crime occurred.

In the guys vehicle was the money, the weapon, a couple of very popular frequency guides and (count 'em) 4 scanners. Did this guy have the bag beeper frequency programmed in? I'd bet that he did. Scary.

So no, even though I know where you can obtain the bag beeper freq's and the lojack freq's, you won't get them from me. I think that anyone who offers or asks for such things in a public forum like this is an absolute fool. There's only one reason why someone would want to monitor these channels in my opinion. Maybe I'm wrong, but nonetheless...

All I can say is do a little research. Seek and ye shall find. That way, if your intent is to commit felonies with your scanner, you can't say I showed you how.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3465. Need info on Motorola Alert Monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: rnav1@aol.comQnospamQ
Message-ID: <19990601194455.15357.00002811@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 116785

Looking for the power cord pinout for model SP-2752551, also looking for a source of crystals. Need to recrystal my UHF Alert Monitor. Thanks...
rnav1@QnospamQaol.com (RNAV1)

3466. Re: New at this! Need Help with BC 147XLT pleeeeze

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marcus R. Matos" <raydr@minionsfromhell.com>
Message-ID: <iuJ43.1500$j7.6595@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 116786

MANUAL, frequency 1, limit, frequency 2, limit, search.

AL STEWART <alstewart@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990531142306.05563.00003269@ng-cn1.aol.com...
> I just bought a Uniden Bearcat BC 147XLT at a garage sale, but I don't
know how
> to set the freqs I want to scan. Can anybody help? I have tried every
button
> combo I can think of but nothing works. Thanks! alstewart@aol.com

3467. Re: Scanner Multi-use Capability

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marcus R. Matos" <raydr@minionsfromhell.com>
Message-ID: <0wJ43.1501$j7.5171@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 116787

You cannot pick up radar on a scanner, basically because radar is light.

R. A. Hicks <rahicks@home.com> wrote in message
news:rahicks-ya023580003105991107400001@news.whtrdg1.co.home.com...
> I have a Pro-2038 mounted in my vehicle. I use it to moniter the police
and
> highway patrol frequencies.
>
> Is is possible to set it to also monitor police radar frequencies also? If
> so, can someone post the FCC authorized frequencies for radar detectors?
>
> Thanks
>
> Ray
>
> rahicks@home.com

3468. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4zv@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <37774eae.605021155@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 116788
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 29 May 1999 17:19:34 -0500, David Feustel <feustel@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>This approach is not cheap, but very interesting.
>
>Buy a TI 'c6x01 eval kit ($999 or 1450, dependeing upon whether
>you buy the fixed point or floating point unit). The DSP eval card
>plugs into the PCI bus and is downloaded via the PCI bus in WinNT
>(I'm not sure whether it works with Win95/98 or not). Included is
>a C compiler, IDE, emulator and download software. The DSP card
>has line in, line out and mike in audio ports.

If you want sonething that really screams, try using FPGA. Xilinx
has an app note using a 4000 series part to implement a 16 tap FIR
that runs at 81 megasamples/second. That's equivalent to 1875 MIPS.
Woo boy! Analog Devices and Burr Brown have new A/D chips which
can handle those rates (12 bit resolution). There's an article in the
current issue of Circuit Cellar using AD and Xilinx parts to implement
a fully digital receiver for HF.

Gary
Gary Coffman KE4ZV | You make it |mail to ke4zv@bellsouth.net
534 Shannon Way | We break it |
Lawrenceville, GA | Guaranteed |

3469. FS: Radio Shack PRO-64 400 Channel scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: mfunaro@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <375351c0.166146017@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116789

Hello, I have for sale a Pro-64 400 channel hand held scanner for
sale. It covers 29-54, 108-136, 137-174, 380-512, 806-824, 849-869,
894-960. It is in perfect shape and I will ship for $120.
If you have any questions please email me.
Thanks, Mike
mfunaro@worldnet.att.net

3470. Re: Australian Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: achelsea <achelsea@connect.net.au>
Message-ID: <7ivkv7apd1@perki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 116790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

hi , i have an australian frequency book , which i could give you a few
frequencys i guess....if ya mail me...
just let me know what kinda frequencies ya looking for?
police etc?

> > hey guys, could anyone possibly help me in finding any websites which
may
> > contain info about frequencies in Sydney Australia? any help would be
> > greatly appreciated!
> > thanku
> > Adrian
> Well just a suggestion. Did you try aus.radio.scanner yet?
> --
> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3471. Re: Wanted Freq in D/FW, TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Lawrence Shapiro <skywan@flash.net>
Message-ID: <QVI43.810$%a4.970@news.flash.net>
Source record: 116791

Here are some of the Dallas area SKYWARN frequencies. Most of the storm spotters use the 1st one.

146.88 DALLAS COUNTY
146.66 CITY OF GARLAND
145.21 ADDISON AREA
145.31 CITY OF MESQUITE
146.72 CITY OF IRVING

If you want more go to http://www.w5fc.org and click on SKYWARN/RACES and they have more storm spotter freq's.

Dave Shapiro

REDBERD95 <redberd95@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:19990521134302.23716.00003104@ng-ci1.aol.com...
I am looking for scanner frequencies and aiport frequiences in the D/FW area.

Please email me directly with list or web sites.

Randy
redberd95@aol.com

3472. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37535D91.5324@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116792
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Curious George wrote:
>
> On 31 May 1999 17:31:25 -1000, maddison@power.connexus.net.au (David
> S. Maddison) wrote:
>
> >In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >: WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
> >: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
> > >
> > >
> > >I have read that the Nazis tried to popularise Megacycles or the German
> > >equivalent because they didn't want to use a unit named after a Jew,
> > >Hertz.
> > >
> > >David Maddison
> > >
>
> OK clowns listen up. Changing CPS (cycles per second) to HZ (For
> Herts= CPS) was simply to 'honor'? Heinrich Hertz an early scientest.
>
> Did it in the early '60's. I recall the fun we had with the new
> daffynition. Around the shop, We referred to it as mega-ouches, (what
> you say when it hertz.)
>
> Big friggin deal, find an interesting nit to pick fer gawdsake...
>
> Bored to tears... LCM
It is me bloomimng megacycles, mate; eh?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3473. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37535DD5.4436@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116793
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

1 Angry Man wrote:
>
> O©╣
> >
> >On 31 May 1999 17:31:25 -1000, maddison@power.connexus.net.au (David
> >S. Maddison) wrote:
> >
> >>In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
> wrote:
> >>: WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE
> SINCE
> >>: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
> >>
> >>
> >>I have read that the Nazis tried to popularise Megacycles or the
> German
> >>equivalent because they didn't want to use a unit named after a Jew,
> >>Hertz.
> >>
> >>David Maddison
>
> Why is there so many Nazis on a scanner news group and you never hear
> from the Clan are they afraid of the Nazis?
> KKK now that's a real American hate group for you!
>
> --
> ==
> "I would never belong to any group,
> that would have me as a member!"
> Grocho Marx
> ==
> Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
> at least two, and they usually stink !!!
> ==
> Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Now we are talking about two groups that just love each other.
Were are the Blues Brothers when you need them, eh?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3474. Re: John Mackey, Federal Agent impersonator, Toilet Bowl Brush, and informant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <375357e2.16805298@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 116794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

On 30 May 1999 03:08:49 GMT, bbowers@townsqr.com (Blake Bowers) wrote:

>On Sat, 29 May 1999 19:35:03 GMT, jmackey@parg.org wrote:
>
>>Blake, get a grip on reality. Those are "amateur radio license tests".
>>I have NEVER said I "have 5 FCC licenses".
>>
>
>So, someone has snuck into the Dejanews archives and changed
>the below? You are caught in yet ANOTHER lie.

Blake,

It's about as hard to catch Johnny in a lie as it is to get
rained on in Seattle. That boy wouldn't know the truth
if it hit him upside the head!

3475. Re: Lo-Jack Frequencys ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37535D45.5E3F@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116795

Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:
>
> > Don't happen to know freq for hidden bank
> > bait money transmitters(RAT units), or transmit freq for Pac-Tel tracking
> > system that is similar to Lo-Jack ??
>
> Watch this guy be a heroin junky or a bank robber :)
makes you wonder, huh?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3476. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37535E19.4AEF@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116796
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

1 Angry Man wrote:
>
> O©╣
> >
> >On 31 May 1999 17:31:25 -1000, maddison@power.connexus.net.au (David
> >S. Maddison) wrote:
> >
> >>In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
> wrote:
> >>: WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE
> SINCE
> >>: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
> >>
> >>
> >>I have read that the Nazis tried to popularise Megacycles or the
> German
> >>equivalent because they didn't want to use a unit named after a Jew,
> >>Hertz.
> >>
> >>David Maddison
>
> Why is there so many Nazis on a scanner news group and you never hear
> from the Clan are they afraid of the Nazis?
> KKK now that's a real American hate group for you!
Ttake your freaking blooming hate junk somewhere else.
There is no room for that here.
>
> --
> ==
> "I would never belong to any group,
> that would have me as a member!"
> Grocho Marx
> ==
> Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
> at least two, and they usually stink !!!
> ==
> Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3477. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37535E42.D67@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116797
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

skiptalker wrote:
>
> and i always thought megacycles were rather large pushbikes you learn
> somthing new everyday
And people thought that the internet couldn't be educational.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3478. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37535F66.5EAD@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116798
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

David S. Maddison wrote:
>
> In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> : David S. Maddison wrote:
> : >
> : > In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> : > : WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
> : > : IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
> : >
> : > I have read that the Nazis tried to popularise Megacycles or the German
> : > equivalent because they didn't want to use a unit named after a Jew,
> : > Hertz.
> : >
> : > David Maddison
>
> : From what I read; the dude was a german.
>
> You mean he couldn't be both Jewish and German at the same time?
I did not say that. I just said that he was one but not the other.
>
> David Maddison

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3479. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37535E7E.54C1@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116799

BurtonS961 wrote:
>
> I hear they are proposing a new unit of measere for TIME.
> It will be AVIS.
> Everyone knows Hertz is 1 over Avis.
That got me laughing so much, that it hertz, opps; I meant hurts.
>
> Burt Smith, BurtonS961@AOL.com

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3480. Re: Concorde

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37535EDD.5A61@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116800

Andrew Wilson wrote:
>
> If anyone can follow the Concorde (on VHF) (Speedbird 003) in it's
> decent into JFK could contact me and let me know.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Andy
> Andrew@yeomanspc.freeserve.co.uk
Brings to mind the one that posted the LOJACK question;
now doesn't it.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3481. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37536000.59B7@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116801

Choco Boco wrote:
>
> Arizona DPS (Highway Patrol) is currently testing 3 of the S70's and 2
> S90's. All five are painted like the regular DPS cars and look really
> slick. Wow. I was chatting with one of the officers about his test unit a
> few weeks ago (I was at Burger King and he was in the lot.) He was telling
> me that they are faster and more comfortable than the Crown Vic's and
> Caprice's. He also said that Volvo has agreed to buy them back when the
> cars get a 100,000 miles on them for a pre-arranged amount.
>
> Volvo has made a good move with that one. Besides, we all know that Volvo's
> are safer than the Crown Vics ever will be..

What is so slick about a freaking Volvo? They are forgien cars.
That alone makes them stink.
Crown Vics, or Caprice Clasics all the way.
>
> Choco

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3482. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375361BC.3A7B@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Dean Craft wrote:
>
> Sir wrote in message <37363938.123C@sir.com>...
> >Rich Mulvey wrote:
> >>
> >> On Fri, 7 May 1999 08:21:11 -0500, jpos <jpos@mobilemark.com> wrote:
> >> >No one complains about having to learn how to use windows before they
> can
> >> >surf the net....
> >> >
> >>
> >> Considering that I was "surfing the net" before it was even
> >> *possible* with MS-Windows, you might want to choose a different
> >> analogy. Sigh.
> >>
> >
> >The best analogy would be having to learn Morse Code in order to use the
> >internet, as Morse Code has as much to do with knowing how to operate
> >and work the internet as it does with knowing how to operate and work a
> >Ham radio.
>
> True enough; however, it has everything to do with the es-prit de corps of
> ham radio. Knowing that one has gone through, and survived, the identical
> trials and tribulations of his or her counterparts, builds a unique bond of
> dedication and purpose. The instant gratification crowd of today has no
> appreciation for that bond.
>
> Dean Craft -- W4IHK
I apprecaite Bond, James Bon
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3483. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37536213.2574@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Woofle wrote:
>
> On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 18:22:42 -0400, "The Conqueror"
> <joetheripper@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing requirements
> >if the military doesn't use it anymore and telegraphs are not that common. I
> >think because they stopped it for the marines, there's no point in using it
> >in ham radio either. And if you want to say it is for security, you are not
> >allowed to use codes when intended to obscure meaning.
> >
> >-Dan K3DRQ
> >
> >k3drq@qsl.net
> >
> >
>
> Now, LOOK. Haven't you watched the movie Independence Day?
> It was Morse Code that saved the world! :-)

WWhere in the movie is this???? It is becasue the yfigured out the
code; and it wasn't Morse Code either.
>
> >
> >

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3484. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3753629E.73EC@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Rich Mulvey wrote:
>
> On Fri, 7 May 1999 08:21:11 -0500, jpos <jpos@mobilemark.com> wrote:
> >No one complains about having to learn how to use windows before they can
> >surf the net....
> >
>
> Considering that I was "surfing the net" before it was even
> *possible* with MS-Windows, you might want to choose a different
> analogy. Sigh.
You must be talking about bulletin boards or usenet.
>
> - Rich
>
> --
> Rich Mulvey
> http://mulvey.dyndns.com
> Amateur Radio: aa2ys@wb2wxq.#wny.ny.usa

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3485. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37536303.74CA@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Sir wrote:
>
> Rich Mulvey wrote:
> >
> > On Fri, 7 May 1999 08:21:11 -0500, jpos <jpos@mobilemark.com> wrote:
> > >No one complains about having to learn how to use windows before they can
> > >surf the net....
> > >
> >
> > Considering that I was "surfing the net" before it was even
> > *possible* with MS-Windows, you might want to choose a different
> > analogy. Sigh.
>
> The best analogy would be having to learn Morse Code in order to use the
> internet, as Morse Code has as much to do with knowing how to operate
> and work the internet as it does with knowing how to operate and work a
> Ham radio.
I fail to see how knowing Morse Code will help you learn how to operate
a radio. I do not know Morse Code and can operate a C.B. Radio, FRS
Radio, Shortwave Radio, Scanner Radio...
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3486. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37536357.1E13@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Jim wrote:
>
> Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
>
> >The best analogy would be having to learn Morse Code in order to use the
> >internet, as Morse Code has as much to do with knowing how to operate
> >and work the internet as it does with knowing how to operate and work a
> >Ham radio.
>
> Now that sounds like a plan, a Morse code test to get on the internet
> would keep some of you no-code peckerwoods

I guess Morse Code helps with the insults, huh?

out of the DX newsgroup
> and about a half dozen other ones this 'discussion' doesn't belong in.
>
> Is it REALLY necessary to post this crap to every ham newsgroup there
> is ? Some of us have already learned Morse code, we aren't looking for
> any good reasons not to....
>
> 73, Jim KH2D

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3487. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3753638A.C7E@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Michael Young wrote:
>
> I think you're making a strong argument for maintaining the status quo.

Exactly the way I feel.
>
> Michael.
>
> Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote in message news:37363938.123C@sir.com...
> > Rich Mulvey wrote:
> > >
> > > On Fri, 7 May 1999 08:21:11 -0500, jpos <jpos@mobilemark.com> wrote:
> > > >No one complains about having to learn how to use windows before
> they can
> > > >surf the net....
> > > >
> > >
> > > Considering that I was "surfing the net" before it was even
> > > *possible* with MS-Windows, you might want to choose a different
> > > analogy. Sigh.
> >
> > The best analogy would be having to learn Morse Code in order to use
> the
> > internet, as Morse Code has as much to do with knowing how to operate
> > and work the internet as it does with knowing how to operate and work
> a
> > Ham radio.

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3488. Re: Morse code/Electroni Theory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375363F7.7895@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Mark Morgan wrote:
>
> On Sun, 9 May 1999 23:23:53 -0400, "Dean Craft" <w4ihk@mindspring.com>
> wrote:
>
> >True enough; however, it has everything to do with the es-prit de corps of
> >ham radio. Knowing that one has gone through, and survived, the identical
> >trials and tribulations of his or her counterparts, builds a unique bond of
> >dedication and purpose. The instant gratification crowd of today has no
> >appreciation for that bond.
>
> That is saying that it is a hazing ritual. If more of you wanted to be
> honest and call it that and defend it on that bais I'd have more
> resopect, I'd alos be on HF becuase the FCC would acted even sooner to
> end but..
>
> It is also incorrect and inaccurate to say that the trails of all
> people learning Code are indentical, as can be claerly seen by reading
> the claims of the ProCoders on the ease of learning code
> >
> >Dean Craft -- W4IHK
> >
> >
> >
>
> Mark Morgan
> kb9rqz.
>
> Privacy of unsolicted email is not assured
> Unsolictied email will be published forwared
> or ourwise disclosed as I see fit.
>
> sending unsolitcied Email will be
> deemed aceptance of these terms
Why should we have to learn Morse code and Electronic Theory? Is it
becasue all the old timers had to? That is about the only reason.
Status Quo
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3489. Re: Journalist seeks scanner fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3753648E.6BB8@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116809

1blueguitar@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> In article <372CCD40.F3C@mocknews.com>,
> David Jacobson <davidj@mocknews.com> wrote:
> > Do you listen to scanners a lot? Regularly? A journalist for a national
> > magazine wants to interview you about the fascination of combing the air
> > waves and listening in. Can be anonymous if you want. Call David
> > 415-386-2125 or contact him at newsfeatures@yahoo.com
> > Thank you.
> >
>
> Why would you want us to knowingly and willingly violate the law? It is
> illegal to discuss anything that you hear with anyone else. The exception
> would be if that person was present at the time and also heard the
> transmission. Being a journalist you should be well aware of this.
>
> As far as remaining "anonymous" that is a joke. It is not that difficult to
> figure out where and who someone is. Especially the government.
>
> Considering the hurry up and pass this bill attitude that Congress has
> towards HR514 (which IMO is a senseless bill to begin with) an article as
> you're proposing would be nothing more than another nail in the coffin of the
> scanning hobby. Congress has no interest whatsoever in what scanner
> enthusiasts have to say. (For details read "Congress Strikes Again" pg.8 May
> 99 PopComm) They would run with an article like this and use it to reinforce
> their position.
>
> BTW - what's with all the cross posting?
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
There is no cross-posting. Thre is only one newsgroup listed here.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3490. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37536501.13CD@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

...Morse Code.

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3491. MORE FREQUENCIES?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375369BB.1323@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Is it really neccessary to add new frequencies everytime a new users is
added to a trunked system. With a controller and talkgroups; you would
figure that it would not be neccessary.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3492. Re: Sydney Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: AdrianM <jmueller@loom.net.au>
Message-ID: <01beabeb$e9f89800$3b8722cb@jmueller>
Source record: 116812
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

any international help would be appreciated for frequencies in the Sydney Australia area!

AdrianM <jmueller@loom.net.au> wrote in article <01beabeb$76e47320$3b8722cb@jmueller>...
> hey guys
> i'm going to push for your help on this subject. I have just got back into
> scanning and purchased an outdoor discone antenna. i'm finding the
> reception to be fantastic, and am desperate to find some definative source
> of info about frequencies in the Sydney area. i would love it if there
> were some excellent websites out there, yet i am unable to find ANY, and
> seem to have checked out all the sites pertaining to Sydney. I don't want
> to go out and buy a book filled with 1000's of useless frequencies that are
> "registered" but hardly ever in use.......such as those police frequencies
> on HFand VLF (around 1.7mhz). i've never heard any police down there! so
> what i'm after are practical frequencies preferably on the net, but if
> there are any "good" practical books out there, then please suggest some.

> Finally, i'm wondering if anyone is trying to develop/ipdate a
> comprehensive webiste for the sydney region, and have a fairly complete
> listing of frequencies such as couriers, security firms, rugby league
> ground.coach frequencies, other sports venue frequencies, etc. I have
> successfully found the usually (police, ambo, GRN, aircraft, ham radio, cb
> radio, marine) but i'm looking for more......i know it may seem like i'm
> asking a lot, but hey, i'm just like every other scanner enthusiast who
> wants to hear more and more interesting things every day.........and after
> all the effort i went to to erect the 60 ft mast in my backyard, i want to
> at least be able to know where to look!!!
> i would appreciate any help on this matter, and if anyone has sufficient
> info regarding the freqs i'm after, don't hesitate to email me with the
> details.
> regards
> Adrian
>

3493. List of the rec.radio newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7ivt59$qkm@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 116813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.info,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

```
Archive-name: radio/personal-brief-introduction

In an effort help ensure a poster's article reaches the appropriate
audience, below is a list of the rec.radio newsgroups. In most cases,
a group's name will explain its purpose. Cross-posting should rarely
be necessary.

rec.radio.broadcasting (devoted to the broadcast industry; moderated)
rec.radio.cb
rec.radio.info (periodic informational bulletins; moderated)
rec.radio.scanner
rec.radio.shortwave
rec.radio.swap (for buying, selling, and trading; not a discussion group)

rec.radio.amateur.antenna
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors (tube-type communications equipment)
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc (packet, pactor and other digital modes)
rec.radio.amateur.dx (long-distance amateur communications)
rec.radio.amateur.equipment (questions, comments regarding commercially-
built amateur gear; not for buying/selling)
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew (devoted to home-building ham gear)
rec.radio.amateur.policy (issues include code, restructuring, local
restrictions in conflict with FCC R&R)
rec.radio.amateur.space (satellites, meteor scatter, EME)
rec.radio.amateur.misc (any topic not covered in the above groups)

(At least two other English language radio hierarchies exist, prefixed
with "uk" (United Kingdom), and "aus" (Australia), in place of "rec.")

Again, cross-posting should rarely be necessary. Many times, a long-
running thread results from a single cross-posted article, and the
contents of such a thread drift further and further away from being
"on-topic" in the various NGs that receive each and every followup.

For more detailed information, see "Guide to the Rec.radio Newsgroups"
posted on the 15th of each month.

Jeffrey Herman, KH6O
(rec.radio.amateur working-group)
```

3494. Re: John Mackey, Federal Agent impersonator, Toilet Bowl Brush, and informant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: wilrobnson@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990601022212.07946.00000018@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 116814

>Blake,
>
>It's about as hard to catch Johnny in a lie as it is to get
>rained on in Seattle. That boy wouldn't know the truth
>if it hit him upside the head!
>

Hey now, I must protest! I live in Seattle, and today it was beautiful out. It only rained a little and...Oh never mind, I see the point.

3495. FS: Rad Shk Pro 60 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: BHL <philoxeny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7ivbuq$61r$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 116815
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This model covers from 30 Mhz to 512 Mhz, 760 Mhz to 824 Mhz, 849 Mhz to 869 Mhz and 894 Mhz to 1000 Mhz. It has AM, NFM, and WFM modes so you can receive the FM broadcast band and sound for TV channels 2 thru 13. Not a scratch or blemish on it and it works perfectly. Comes with manual, stock rubber ducky and AC to DC power supply. $150 + $5 shipping. USPS money order please.
Contact : BHL
philoxeny@hotmail.com

3496. FS; GE 2995A AM/FM Radio and 4 channel Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: BHL <philoxeny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7ivc01$61u$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 116816
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Model: 2995A, AM/FM and 4 channel scanner from 150 to 174 Mhz. An older 70's type where you analog dial in the freq for each channel, click it in for each channel and then listen to the radio or the 4 channels scanning. Good shape and it is portable but not that small. You would take this on a picnic or to a gathering but would not want to tote it around if you were hiking. Will send .jpg's at your request. $30 shipped to your door.
Contact: BHL, philoxeny@hotmail.com

3497. Re: YOURE NOT GOING TO BELIEVE THIS! 9442

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37538895.8022EAD8@usa.net>
Source record: 116817

Hey Monty,
Speaking of being "halfwayintelligent", thought I would ask such a
person
likeYOU a question. Who is "johnmackie"?

Monty Python wrote:

> youmakejohnmackielookhalf

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3498. Blake Bowers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <375389D7.70965413@usa.net>
Source record: 116818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Since it has been pretty dry lately in the Pacific Northwest, I thank you
for your support George!

George Vetterle wrote:

> It's about as hard to catch Johnny in a lie as it is to get
> rained on in Seattle. That boy wouldn't

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3499. Re: FBI on Low Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990601032315.13193.00007541@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 116819

goto http://www.webzone.net/dshuffy/wsfbi.txt

3500. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j01kp$gss@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116820

In <azI43.8091$Np1.380867@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:

>> >
>> clueless is thee.
>> you have no idea
>
>You have yet to shed a light on it.
>

I advised u to go read the
WWW sites that have
posted the information
you so desperately want
me to do for you...I
am not your servant and
nor am I your wannabe...

so do it yourself .

you seem to be so smart and
true blue, chokingly i Hope.

why put Bill down...?

I know you and he had your
outshits', but you
seemed to deserve and enjoy
his offending you.

he did that by being Bill.
Just as I am being me. And
putting me down for alleged
child-like wording, is that
the best you can come on with
here.

question mark.

again, why so negative about
the Cheek Guy...?

schlepping is not me
bringing facts to bear
is what I see...and
you play like you have
never seen the six or

] seven people who have
posted the www sites
where the info you claim
to want is found...

so, continue this trite after
you find the WWW sites where
it is located for you to read.

I printed them out. so can you...

enjoy your moribundity.

it is thee...

honestly.

bill conduit
etc.

3501. Re: SCA mod for Pro 60?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: wtmerrit@softhome.net
Message-ID: <3753611f.1475450@news.bewellnet.com>
Source record: 116821
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

JWIGTON@kc.rr.com (wiggie) wrote:

>On Thu, 27 May 1999 07:54:22 -0400, "lyndx"
><ml488740@nassaulibrary.org> wrote:
>
>>Since this scanner covers the commercial FM band, I was wondering if there
>>is a way to modify it to receive SCA broadcasts?
>>Thanks,
>>lyndx
>>
>
>The Pro-60 uses NFM only and not WFM. Without looking closely at the
>specs for the filters, I would have to say no to the mod for SCA. You
>need a wider filter to pass all of the needed signal for your FSK
>decoder chip to process. Why bother modifying a scanner for this when
>you can usually modify a simple FM radio for this option.
>
>Wiggie
i saw this message and was wondering what is sca that was asked about

3502. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <5oM43.8476$Np1.416699@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116822

BAYCITVIDGRP says...

> I advised u to go read the
> WWW sites that have
> posted the information
> you so desperately want
> me to do for you...I
> am not your servant and

What sites? You brought them up but i should figure out what YOU are FYIing about?

Get real Bill.

> nor am I your wannabe...

That makes no sense at all Bill. More Maynard heavy stuff? So Cal Cool? What is it?

>
> schlepping is not me

Yes it is.....

> bringing facts to bear

Facts? Like what you said? I quote "Seems what Bill needs now and forever this thing is a GOOD LAWYER."
and then " anyone know one to help bill?" later you type "any suggestions...e-mail to bill." That is schlepping Bill. Not your fyi excuse. Play your prose, change the subject call me names you said it not me.

> is what I see...and
> you play like you have
> never seen the six or
>] seven people who have
> posted the www sites

I have never seen the six or seven people Bill. I have never seen you. I have probably only seen 45 people tops that I have read on the net.

After doing your Beatnik Sally Struthers Infocommercial for the Save the Cheeks butts it is odd you can't even answer a few simple questions.

> where the info you claim
> to want is found...

Info I CLAIM to want. What are you babling about? Seriously?

> I printed them out. so can you...

But you don't know them and can't post them. What am i misisng here? What are you missing?

> enjoy your moribundity.
>
> it is thee...
>
> honestly.

Oh wow that was sooooooooooooo deep Bill. Is this where one snaps his fingers in appreciation. So hip man! Your Maynard T is so ....

3503. Re: WHAT DOES IT MEAN?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: AmitaBAR <kinchung@cyberway.com.sg>
Message-ID: <37539432.0@news.cyberway.com.sg>
Source record: 116823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

BC - blur cock
OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:3752ABFA.71A4@ameritech.net...
| I know whar BC stands for:
| Before Christ
| Bear Cat (Is this genetically possible?)
| But what does XLT mean???
| --
| REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
| ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3504. Marine frequencies - Lake Erie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: hermit@erie.net
Message-ID: <375398cf.47727454@news.erie.net>
Source record: 116824
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for marine frequencies for Lake Erie. Sure would appreciate someone pointing me in the right direction.

I live in Erie, PA about a half mile from the Lake. I can only imagine how interesting the listening can be especially this time of year.

Thanks for your help.

Dick

3505. Re: POLISH FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Blow <joe_bl0w_aust@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <haN43.3233$PN5.11436@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 116825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Jesus.. That explains it all.

YOU'RE POLISH!!!

--
Joe Blow.

.

OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:3752AB66.DB1@ameritech.net...
> Does anyone have frequencies forthe country of Poland?
> --
> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3506. Re: restoring cellular freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Computer Technician <shinysideup@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7j0k3q$rd1@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 116826

dana wrote:

> looking for info on restoring cellular freqs on a unidon model
> bc 9000-xlt, if you have any info on how to get the
> freqs back, please e-mail me back, don't read the news group on a daily
> basis.

Don't bother, I have several scanners that cover cell, it's as boring as hell. I just don't see what the big deal over cell is.

Bob

3507. Re: Marine frequencies - Lake Erie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad <ka8tok@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7j0pgh$60p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116827
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <375398cf.47727454@news.erie.net>,
hermit@erie.net (Hermit) wrote:
>
> I am looking for marine frequencies for Lake Erie. Sure would
> appreciate someone pointing me in the right direction.
>
> I live in Erie, PA about a half mile from the Lake. I can only
> imagine how interesting the listening can be especially this time of
> year.
>
> Thanks for your help.
>
> Dick
>
>

All the marine band frequencies are the same.. there on VHF and Ch16 is
the emergency channel.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3508. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11bd90e1aa6030939897cf@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116828

I hate to break it to you but when the Crown Victoria's and Caprice's of the world are long gone and crunched up in a junk yard somewhere, the Volvo will still be on the road, running well with spewing less pollutants then the others ever did. Get over your hatred of foreign cars as the American one's still have a ways to go yet before they are what one would call "well made" and without defects. Just look at the regular recalls Ford, GM and Chrysler have each year. Some annoying, and some serious. Besides, a stock volvo will do 140mph without the exotic enhancements necessary to get a boulevard cruising, gas guzzler up to that speed.

3509. Re: Problem w/ Pro 32

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbirdsand@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990601095139.15935.00004510@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 116829

The pro -32 has 3 watch batteries underneath
the battery holder.You just slide the lower
cover from one side to the other,and it wiil
pop up.
Randy

3510. Re: Bill Cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: cojim@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990601100231.01082.00004237@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 116830

well mr.pushdeep@my-deja.com you must be speaking from experience when your jail friend pushdeep

3511. AOR-8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18502-3753E54D-22@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 116831

I have a AOR-8000 handheld receiver,Unit works fine.How much is it worth? I may sell it.

Thanks in advance!

Dave

3512. Re: FBI on Low Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David R. Ward" <wa1rd@home.com>
Message-ID: <z5S43.4089$mu5.2123@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 116832

Thanks, good info.

3513. Re: Javiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David R. Ward" <wa1rd@home.com>
Message-ID: <ibS43.4090$mu5.2159@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 116833
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here, Here! I completely agree. Jonathon is a good chap and frequent contributor here. Never 'ad a problem. 73

3514. Wanted: Motorola MITREK PL Element 127.3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: leongst@mail.northgrum.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11bd8af8ce260bee9896a4@news.northgrum.com>
Source record: 116834
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello!

Wanted: Motorola MITREK PL element: 127.3 Hz.

I believe that this is a ceramic substrate single inline package (SIP) that plugs into a PL board. I have 141.3 elements to trade if you need one.

Thanks very much for your help!

Steve KC6IJM

3515. Re: Problem w/ Pro 32

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Lippert <jlipper@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3754207F.57C2@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 116835

TBIRDSAND wrote:
>
> The pro -32 has 3 watch batteries underneath
> the battery holder.You just slide the lower
> cover from one side to the other,and it wiil
> pop up.
> Randy
Thanks to all who responded! I found them under the AA battery
compartment. Have a great day! Jon.

3516. Pro-67 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerbill@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3753f609.9982520@news.sprint.ca>
Source record: 116836

dose anyone no of any Mods for the pro-67 200ch scanner?

3517. Re: Ham Radio Operator using police frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <377e08a0.7084658@news.erols.com>
Source record: 116837

On Fri, 28 May 1999 16:26:40 -0400, "Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com>
wrote:

>I don't know if I read the same article you did.
>
>The 'scanning buff' was a 'ham' that had previously pretty much
>identified (but not absolutley) the individual responsible for some
>illegal conduct on the air. And from what I read in the article,
>all the ham did was notify police of the fact that a new 'event'
>seemed similar to a previous event, and the police investigated
>for 4 days.

The fact that he is an OO is irrelevant. He wasn't monitoring a ham
radio frequency. He was listening to a scanner. So I referred to him
as a scanner enthusiast.

Frankly, unless there were some kind of signal identification analysis
(for example, a PLL lockup signature or a DF fix), I'd have shut up
and done nothing.

>The article also refered to the 'scanner buff' as a 'volunteer ham
>radio monitor'. This to me implys he may have been an 'OO'.
>While I don't think the OO program is very well run, and the
>OO's I've had personal contact with are pretty much duds,
>they do carry some official weight.

They sure do and that's all the more reason why I think he should have
shut his mouth. Don't forget the principles of Radio Secrecy.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3518. Re: Blue Angels and Thunderbirds freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cliff Pettitt <Cliff@pettitt54.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7iv52e$lv8$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 116878

Try These
Blue Angels 141.1, 142.0, 142.65, 142.025, 143.0, 238.15 (PRI) & 143.6
Thunderbirds 143.85 (PRI) 236.55, 241.4, 141.85, 235.25
All these are AM
Have fun
B3spud
UK

Mike Cira wrote in message <37501B8C.6F39@bellsouth.net>...
>Can anyone help me with Blue Angels and Thunderbirds frequencies in use
>so far this airshow season?
>So far for the Blues I have 263.350 275.350 and 345.900. Someone
>mentioned 141.850 for the Blues too. Would this be AM or NFM? I know
>141.850AM is used heavily by the Thunderbirds, but as of now that is the
>only frequency I have for them during this season.
> Thanx!
> Happy Scanning
> Mike
> Ft. Lauderdale, FL.

3519. Re: Is Sony's SC1-PC a good buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerhead@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7ivb8d$pu1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116879

In article <3752F059.26DEACC0@burgoyne.com>,
4lph420m3g4 <medtech@burgoyne.com> wrote:
> Was wondering if anyone had any experience with Sony's SC1-PC
handheld,
> good or bad. Has anyone had problems with it's serial PC interface or
> the softwarethat comes with it? Any input before my purchase would be
> appreciated.
>
> It is an excellent buy when you consider what you are getting. You get a scanner that works great by its self plus computer control and programing plus percons fequency data base. I did have a couple of problems getting the PC interface up and running though. 1st problem was that serial connector supplied by Sony did not match serial connector on my PC. No problem, went to Radio Shack and bought an adapter, problem solved. 2nd problem was that the software couldn't find the serial port that the radio was pluged in to. This one was more frustrating but I ended up going in to my computers BIOS system and finding that the comport was disabled. An easy fix at that point just enabled the comport and now every thing works great!

Software? I have seen several postings from people bitching about the software that comes with the SC1-PC and I figure they are probably a lot more adept at computers and scanning than I am and maybe expect more. For me it works wonderfully and does almost every thing that it is supposed to do. I am very happy with it.

The only thing you might want to consider is that operating the scanner on its own is a little strange especialy if your used to the Uniden or Radio Shack models, but you can get used to it pretty quickly. Hope this helps with your decision. Have fun.....

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3520. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7iv7rl$epa@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116880

In <qsC43.6729$Np1.337487@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
>
>Wow, mantra eh Bill. Your cute little writing style makes one think of
third
>world education, immaturity or an avert avoidance of the subject.

you are just mad because
you cannot follow up with
a better way to say "hello"

as for my being in help for
BiLL BIT...look again geek-neck.

I just surmised what has been
read on the WWW sites where the
info is posted as to the plight
the Cheek Guy is in.

I mentioned it because it seems
that the biggest expense he will
have will be lawyers fees. Not
airplane fees.

that is what is known as an
summation fyi....just so people
understand that finding a lawyer
who does this kind of thing can
be very expensiive.

and for this you get negative.

you change context of what i
put out. You get hysterical
because of my small 'i's'
which inflame your desire for
perfect order.

why are you so negative. What
can you offer as a solution
which will be construed as
MAJOR input...not listening
to your chorus of passing
ass-gas profusely.

bill conduit
etc.

>

3521. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: burtons961@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990531205855.10855.00005897@ngol04.aol.com>
Source record: 116881

I hear they are proposing a new unit of measere for TIME.
It will be AVIS.
Everyone knows Hertz is 1 over Avis.

Burt Smith, BurtonS961@AOL.com

3522. Re: 29.4 miles with Talkabouts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990531210406.15943.00004391@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 116882

OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net wrote:

>Well that is all well and good but did you try Moonbounce with that?

Barry, that was going to be my next project. But so far, I haven't found anyone WILLING to take one of the CANS to the moon...Dangit, always something standing in the way of progress! Joey

3523. Looking For MN Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: bjfranske@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990531210418.08258.00002794@ng37.aol.com>
Source record: 116883

I'm looking for the following MN Frequencies:

Wright County Fire Main
Wright County Fire Truck To Truck 1
Wright County Fire Truck To Truck 2
MN State Fire Chan
Waverly,MN City
Wright County Police 1
Wright County Police 2
Wright County Police 3

Thanks,
Ben Franske
Ben.Franske@Bigfoot.Com

3524. Re: Antenna Brand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990531213749.15943.00004417@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 116884

no-email@spamsux.com (Todd wrote:

>Hi All,
>
>I'm in the market for a 800mhz directional yagi and am deciding
>between the following brands:
>
>Larsen, Comtelco, Antenex, and Cushcraft
>
>
>Any recommendations as to the 'best' brand? Please post replies to
>the group, no e-mail..
>
>Thanks!!

If Cushcraft has the kind of antenna you want, I think you can't go wrong with them. I have bought 3 Amateur Radio antennas from them, and they are very well made, and are easy to assemble. The price is right too....Joey

3525. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: maddison@power.connexus.net.au
Message-ID: <37534690.0@news.internex.net.au>
Source record: 116885
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
: David S. Maddison wrote:
: >
: > In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
: > : WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
: > : IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
: >
: > I have read that the Nazis tried to popularise Megacycles or the German
: > equivalent because they didn't want to use a unit named after a Jew,
: > Hertz.
: >
: > David Maddison

: From what I read; the dude was a german.

You mean he couldn't be both Jewish and German at the same time?

David Maddison

3526. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ivgkk$1sd@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116886

In <T0H43.7732$Np1.373690@news.uswest.net> "Glenn"
<glenn.hansen@usa.net> writes:
>
>So you are just adding to rumor and gossip. You are an FYI schlepping
for
>Bill Cheek and you refuse to answer any of my questions or add any
pertinent
>information.
>
clueless is thee.
you have no idea

what is it you are hiding
from?

Look clueless: why not
get off your kaibo seat
and wipe your face and
interact: give Bill a
jingle or an e-mail and
talk or e-with him.

why not do that?

I have, but that is
private conversation
and is not part of the
pubic domain you swiil-
down in.

as for copying the cheek-
guy...give it a rest fellah.
you really don't know what
the fuc you are alleging here.

you see, you don't know how
long me and Bill have been
friends. ?

>FYI, your cute prose and little i's don't "get me hysterical" or
"inflame"
>my "desire for
>perfect order". I have no desire for perfect order and I am not the
one
>acting like a child talking about passing gasses. Seems I have gotten
to the

>prose guy? Child taunts?

gee...thee can copy...but
can you think?
a memorex kind of guy dis
glenn-a-holic.

what a guy....

That characteristic is what turned so many against
>Bill Cheek and now what?

say, I welcome those who turned against
him. so what? You have to have a
brain to get it on with Bill...seems
you did not. Well, that mystery solved.

You pretending to be him or just imitate him? Can't
>you even be original?
>

did you ever think that maybe bill took
lessons from me? No, of course not.

I've got e-mail from him that would see
you chagrined into eternity of loss based
on what concepts I brought to him as he
laughed his ass off.

you have to have a brain to get along
with him. it has to be functioning.

sorry you missed the train...
the reality now is you lost the brain.

choo choo choo....

>Thanks for the report lawyers cost money. Cheek knew that when he sent
off
>the MDT software to the feds too. Most everyone knows that. Did you
think
>the folks on this group were clueless to the cost of legal
representation?

NO. I don't think 10 percent of the
lurkers know how expensive a specialized
lawyer is. They have no idea of what is
happening by the FEDS....why do you think
people are asking for information as to
this bull-shit charge...?

they are clueless too. No one is explaining to
them the under-cutting motives which lie some
place between here and China.

>But thanks for the FYI anyway. What an educator Bill. Summation FYI.

WEll, you are welcome. Thank you for
assenting. Thanks, I do teach. good
too. Yes, a summation FYI...it's what
I do. It's a DNA thing. It's my calling.

Yes, original. You see, I can even spell right.

You
>didn't just make that up did you?

what was that un-teachable one? did I make
you up? No, I'm good, but not that redundant.

What the hell does it mean? Do you know
>what FYI means?
>
Find Your Imagination.

you are lost without one...it just
whooooooooooooooooooooosssshes on by you....done

>So Mr. FYI Conduit. What are the charges? What's up?

I would think that this would be your chance
at creation...you know, coming alive.

What WWW pages?

Ok class, help the GLENN-boy
out here...i did not book-mark
it. Plenty mentioned the sites
to sight by.

You
>keep posting Help Bill find a lawyer FYI's but don't give out any
info. He
>doesn't have one?

No. I said his biggest problem will
be finding a lawyer in communications
law and does anyone know of one in this
thinly practiced part of law. right.

bill conduit

with an attitude

3527. Re: Is Sony's SC1-PC a good buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <YIH43.870$GT.936@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 116887

The Sony SC1-PC did not have the mechanical quality feel I expected from Sony. The control knobs almost had a worn-out feel to them... very loose. It's a little bulky, compared to most scanners today. The software is very limited, buy considering it's included in the deal, I guess it's acceptable. It performs well.

Bill Crocker

4lph420m3g4 <medtech@burgoyne.com> wrote in message news:3752F059.26DEACC0@burgoyne.com...
> Was wondering if anyone had any experience with Sony's SC1-PC handheld,
> good or bad. Has anyone had problems with it's serial PC interface or
> the softwarethat comes with it? Any input before my purchase would be
> appreciated.
>

3528. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <merlet@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <3755FDE5.A885ADDA@eudoramail.com>
Source record: 116538

Hmmmmmm, Didn't know that lurkers
were not allowed to come out and
have their say.

Bill has never helped me,
You'd know that if you read
my first post.

But that's OK, It seem to be
A trend to Blast anyone
That doesn't ride the same trail.

Sorry if I offended you Bill,
You seem easily offended.
Nevertheless, I found the answer
To my own question.

It a NG called: alt.fan.bill.cheek,
No Bill, I didn't start it,
I still don't know who the guy is,
Still don't want to know.

You and I can dictate the "rhythum"
Of this newsgroup.
I only use this group as a source of
Good information.

Don't you

question mark

paul
i.e.

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> In <37559BF1.1A72145B@eudoramail.com> "Paul L." <merlet@eudoramail.com>
> writes:
> >
> >How about someone creating a Bill Cheeks NewsGroup. That way we don't
> >have to download hundreds of useless posts. I don't know Bill, don't
> >want to know Bill, and don't want to know anything about bill. I do
> want
> >to read about scanners here. This Bill thing is getting old..... or
> >maybe I am. I just can't believe that so many people can get so upset
> by
> >this guy... I'm not for or against Bill, I'm just tired of seeing so

> >much of the NG being taken up by this topic. Man I hope this ends
> >soon....
> >
> HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A LURKER?
>
> How many times has Bill helped you
> with questions you have and things
> you needed to get fixed or
> even a new idea to be had?
>
> How many years has Bill been helping
> people with their scanning needs?
>
> How many people remember all that help
> and are pissed to helll what the FEDS
> are doing over an issue they understand
> NOT.
>
> question mark.
>
> say, the NG has it's own rhythum. You nor
> I or anyone dictates it's flow...you just
> go with it or change rivers.
>
> gee, it's not as if someone was mislabelling
> the postings and giving you what you
> absolutely don't want.
>
> you have a choice: to read or not to read.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>
>

3529. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <merlet@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <3755FE38.CEA982E4@eudoramail.com>
Source record: 116539

Hmmmmmm, Didn't know that lurkers
were not allowed to come out and
have their say.

Bill has never helped me,
You'd know that if you read
my first post.

But that's OK, It seem to be
A trend to Blast anyone
That doesn't ride the same trail.

Sorry if I offended you Bill,
You seem easily offended.
Nevertheless, I found the answer
To my own question.

It a NG called: alt.fan.bill.cheek,
No Bill, I didn't start it,
I still don't know who the guy is,
Still don't want to know.

You and I can dictate the "rhythum"
Of this newsgroup.
I only use this group as a source of
Good information.

Don't you

question mark

paul
i.e.

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> In <37559BF1.1A72145B@eudoramail.com> "Paul L." <merlet@eudoramail.com>
> writes:
> >
> >How about someone creating a Bill Cheeks NewsGroup. That way we don't
> >have to download hundreds of useless posts. I don't know Bill, don't
> >want to know Bill, and don't want to know anything about bill. I do
> want
> >to read about scanners here. This Bill thing is getting old..... or
> >maybe I am. I just can't believe that so many people can get so upset
> by
> >this guy... I'm not for or against Bill, I'm just tired of seeing so

> >much of the NG being taken up by this topic. Man I hope this ends
> >soon....
> >
> HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A LURKER?
>
> How many times has Bill helped you
> with questions you have and things
> you needed to get fixed or
> even a new idea to be had?
>
> How many years has Bill been helping
> people with their scanning needs?
>
> How many people remember all that help
> and are pissed to helll what the FEDS
> are doing over an issue they understand
> NOT.
>
> question mark.
>
> say, the NG has it's own rhythum. You nor
> I or anyone dictates it's flow...you just
> go with it or change rivers.
>
> gee, it's not as if someone was mislabelling
> the postings and giving you what you
> absolutely don't want.
>
> you have a choice: to read or not to read.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>
>

3530. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <merlet@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <3755FE94.7B38152B@eudoramail.com>
Source record: 116540

Hmmmmmm, Didn't know that lurkers
were not allowed to come out and
have their say.

Bill has never helped me,
You'd know that if you read
my first post.

But that's OK, It seem to be
A trend to Blast anyone
That doesn't ride the same trail.

Sorry if I offended you Bill,
You seem easily offended.
Nevertheless, I found the answer
To my own question.

It a NG called: alt.fan.bill.cheek,
No Bill, I didn't start it,
I still don't know who the guy is,
Still don't want to know.

You and I can dictate the "rhythum"
Of this newsgroup.
I only use this group as a source of
Good information.

Don't you

question mark

paul
i.e.

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> In <37559BF1.1A72145B@eudoramail.com> "Paul L." <merlet@eudoramail.com>
> writes:
> >
> >How about someone creating a Bill Cheeks NewsGroup. That way we don't
> >have to download hundreds of useless posts. I don't know Bill, don't
> >want to know Bill, and don't want to know anything about bill. I do
> want
> >to read about scanners here. This Bill thing is getting old..... or
> >maybe I am. I just can't believe that so many people can get so upset
> by
> >this guy... I'm not for or against Bill, I'm just tired of seeing so

> >much of the NG being taken up by this topic. Man I hope this ends
> >soon....
> >
> HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A LURKER?
>
> How many times has Bill helped you
> with questions you have and things
> you needed to get fixed or
> even a new idea to be had?
>
> How many years has Bill been helping
> people with their scanning needs?
>
> How many people remember all that help
> and are pissed to helll what the FEDS
> are doing over an issue they understand
> NOT.
>
> question mark.
>
> say, the NG has it's own rhythum. You nor
> I or anyone dictates it's flow...you just
> go with it or change rivers.
>
> gee, it's not as if someone was mislabelling
> the postings and giving you what you
> absolutely don't want.
>
> you have a choice: to read or not to read.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>
>

3531. Activate your KILL Filter!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Use the Kill Filter <Use_the_Kill_Filter@savethisnewsgroup.com>
Message-ID: <37560157.739E323B@savethisnewsgroup.com>
Source record: 116541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.news-admin.usenet

don't respond to this message

Mark "as read" and then ignore ALL messages in this thread.

Do NOT Reply!

3532. 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7j57aahcu1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 116542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Contrary to one post, I thought the segment was ok. Other than the guy acting like a goof, it didn't put us scanner users in general in a particularly bad light.

If the guy threatened and terrorized his neighbors he deserved the law coming down on him hard...probably harder than they did if all the allegations were true. I didn't catch whether they even charged him with any ECPA or similar state violations, did they? The charges shown on the screen were mostly standard criminal offenses.

Likewise, it properly alerted cordless phone users to either get a secure phone, or refrain from broadcasting personal information to the neighborhood...they could/should have issued the same warning to cellphone users.

I've been into monitoring for 30+ years and KNOW the kind of stuff that people put out on the monitorable (?) airwaves.

I didn't see anything wrong with the show.

Flame away if ya like...

Harry, N6URU

3533. SETI@home

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: LaLaS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7j5ean$124@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 116543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can any one explain to me what it is ,and if it's worth to download it
Here is small description of the program.

The search for EXTRATERRESTRIAL INTELLIGENCE

SETI@home actually consists of two parts: an "application"
and a "screensaver". The application does all the work: it
downloads blocks of data from through the Internet, performs
the number crunching on that data (looking for orderly
patterns that might be artificial), returns the results, and
gets another block of data. The application is represented
by a green radio telescope icon in the System Tray (normally
at the lower right of your screen). You can open the appli-
cation window by double-clicking on this icon.

3534. FS : Icom IC-R100 Communication Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jacko <no@itswrong.com>
Message-ID: <7j4rq1$4it5@indo-web.indosat.net.id>
Source record: 116544
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,
I'm from Indonesia
I have an ICOM IC-R 100 for sale
Excellent condition (95%)
Dimensions: 5.9 (W), 2.0 (H), 7.1 (D), 3.1 lb
100 kHz - 1856 MHz (Full Unblocked)
AM, FM, WFM
Mobile
100 Memories
20 Scan Edge Memories
RF Attenuator (20 dB)
Preamp (15 dB)
24 Hour Clock
AFC
Auto Noise Limiter
Keyboard Entry

Box, manual, car mounting, dc cord and cigarette lighter cord included
Sorry, antenna not included (I've lost the original whip antenna and wire antenna)

Cash or trade with ICOM IC-735 + Ext.Tuner or YAESE FT 757 GX II with Ext.Tuner
Please send your quotation to hongky@altavista.net

Thanks

3535. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <37557d38.5024327@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 116588
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

j.k.@gotmail.com (Jan Knutar) wrote:

>On Mon, 31 May 1999 04:04:11 GMT, glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen
>Quarnstrom) wrote:
>>"Hertz" means "cycles per second." Other than that, the only difference
>
>No, 1 Hz = 1 1/s. Hertz means "one per second" or just per second.

You are incorrect, or else your explanation is too muddled to
understand.

What I wrote is the correct definition.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3536. Discriminator output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3755A603.C6843D55@hotmail.com>
Source record: 116589

I just modded my BC235XLT to have a discriminator output, and I am having problems trying to find out if I did it right. My question is.

If I plug a set of headphones into the discriminator tap, am I supposed to hear any audio at all? (I don't hear anything)

Thanks for the help

3537. FLASH -- 20/20 TV Show Wednesday night -- wireless phone eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <3755B63B.945B6ED9@mich.com>
Source record: 116590

ABC News 20/20 Wednesday show, on tonight at 10PM Eastern time, will
have some sort of program involving interception of cordless or cellular
telephones.

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

3538. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j4d2g$n64@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116591

In <37559BF1.1A72145B@eudoramail.com> "Paul L." <merlet@eudoramail.com>
writes:
>
>How about someone creating a Bill Cheeks NewsGroup. That way we don't
>have to download hundreds of useless posts. I don't know Bill, don't
>want to know Bill, and don't want to know anything about bill. I do
want
>to read about scanners here. This Bill thing is getting old..... or
>maybe I am. I just can't believe that so many people can get so upset
by
>this guy... I'm not for or against Bill, I'm just tired of seeing so
>much of the NG being taken up by this topic. Man I hope this ends
>soon....
>
HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A LURKER?

How many times has Bill helped you
with questions you have and things
you needed to get fixed or
even a new idea to be had?

How many years has Bill been helping
people with their scanning needs?

How many people remember all that help
and are pissed to helll what the FEDS
are doing over an issue they understand
NOT.

question mark.

say, the NG has it's own rhythum. You nor
I or anyone dictates it's flow...you just
go with it or change rivers.

gee, it's not as if someone was mislabelling
the postings and giving you what you
absolutely don't want.

you have a choice: to read or not to read.

bill conduit
etc.

3539. CART Teams using PL ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mac Feely <mfeely@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <iaj53.1690$0c5.1215@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net>
Source record: 116592

I heard that the CART teams have PL codes to keep
people from hearing them talk to their drivers. I also
notice a couple of web site are listing PL code along
with their freqs.

Can anyone confirm this?

Thanks,

Mac
Remove Dos Equis to email

3540. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4aus1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602195339.05417.00000201@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 116593

The Chevy 2000 Impala is supposed to be a Lumina Body Style, with a souped up engine, suspension,etc, etc... Not sure if it'll be rear wheel drive or not.....

Jason

3541. Re: Problem with Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4aus1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602195749.05417.00000202@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 116594

City of Daytona is going to be on an extention of the County Ericcson EDACS system, but are still on VHF for now

155.070
154.785
154.770
154.040

I believe that Boca operates on a 800 conventional radio system on 855.XXXX range, they have about 10 or so channels, and are supposed to convert to trunking, if not already.. (Motorola that is)

Jason

3542. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755C5C5.AD2@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116595
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <37529F86.104E@ameritech.net>, OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltn
> kid@ameritech.net> writes
> >> Just a joke of course, but shows what a load of crap this argument about
> >> cycles and hertz is.
>
> I've heard of Hertz rent-a-car but didn't know they did cycles as well!
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
>
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
You mean that they don't rent Harley's--the best motorcycles in the
world?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3543. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755C70E.32F7@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116596
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

1 Angry Man wrote:
>
> O©╫
> >
> >Please, this kind of reaching for humor really hertz.
> >
> >>I've heard of Hertz rent-a-car but didn't know they did cycles as well!
>
> I've heard of mega-hertz is that the really large Hertz rental places like in
> New York City "NEW YORK CITY?!"
>
> NA NU NA NU!
>
> --
> ==
> "I would never belong to any group,
> that would have me as a member!"
> Grocho Marx
> ==
> Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
> at least two, and they usually stink !!!
> ==
> Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
try El-Paso
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3544. Re: Discriminator output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j4iro$mt0$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116597

SiD wrote in message <3755A603.C6843D55@hotmail.com>...
>I just modded my BC235XLT to have a discriminator output, and I am
>having problems trying to find out if I did it right. My question is.
>
>If I plug a set of headphones into the discriminator tap, am I supposed
>to hear any audio at all? (I don't hear anything)
>
>Thanks for the help

Yes. You should also hear static when there's no comm's being received, as if the squelch was left wide open. If you don't hear that, you didn't do it right.

I know where to tap it on an 895 but the 235 is a mystery to me....

Also, if your using a standard headphone jack for your discriminator out, you should switch to an RCA jack. The headphone jack will short the discriminator to ground which *might* hose up the radio, if left on long enough. Certainly can't be helping to prolong its' life any..

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3545. Re: CART Teams using PL ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j4ihjmss1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116598

Mac Feely wrote in message ...
>
>I heard that the CART teams have PL codes to keep
>people from hearing them talk to their drivers. I also
>notice a couple of web site are listing PL code along
>with their freqs.

OK, they do use PL codes but not so that they can't be monitored. They use them to keep other drivers from transmitting on their frequencies, and to eliminate any interference they might receive. You can monitor them without a CTCSS capable scanner just fine.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3546. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <A9CC2F57B3AF7EA3.C2BA9E720694B979.4C8C5D6E65717D2A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116599

very much disliked? by whom?
other than the recognized idiots in the newsgroup, most people
recognize Bill Cheek as a wealth of information and use him for
that purpose. if you want to get chummy with someone do not
choose Bill Cheek. please do not invent the public opinion of this,
or any other, newsgroup.

DShatzer1 wrote:

> It used to be that he was very much disliked on here.
>
> YOU HAVE A GREAT IDEA!!!

3547. Re: CART Teams using PL ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mac Feely <mfeely888@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <rnk53.1701$0c5.1009@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net>
Source record: 116600

Thanks Phil....

BTW - If I have a scanner with CTCSS will it clear up my reception?
I've been thinking about upgrading to a Relm HS200 from my BC220XLT....

Mac
++ Remove the Dos Equis to reply ++

Phil Smalley wrote in message <7j4ihjmss1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>Mac Feely wrote in message ...
>>
>>I heard that the CART teams have PL codes to keep
>>people from hearing them talk to their drivers. I also
>>notice a couple of web site are listing PL code along
>>with their freqs.
>
>
>OK, they do use PL codes but not so that they can't be monitored. They use
>them to keep other drivers from transmitting on their frequencies, and to
>eliminate any interference they might receive. You can monitor them without
>a CTCSS capable scanner just fine.
>
>-Phil
>
>-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
>-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>
>

3548. Re: 10 Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Thompson <tthomps@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7j4kr7$b3d$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 116601

Try

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/scanning_info/10codes.html

Tim

Gary Mitchell wrote in message <3755D0EF.8D1B6427@flash.net>...
>Is there a site that lists the 10 codes? I know there are several
different
>sets but I can't find a site that has any listed.
>Thanks
>GM
>

3549. Re: Great Lakes Shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Thompson <tthomps@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7j4l6u$e93$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 116602

Bob,

You can try this US Coast Guard site. It lists HF and VHF frequencies, as well as other relevant info.

http://www.navcen.uscg.mil/marcomms/

Tim

BColenso wrote in message <19990602173003.03655.00000208@ng-ck1.aol.com>...
>Hi all:
>
>I just signed on this NG. I belong to a few, so I know how they work.
>
>We have property in N.E. Michigan, just a few miles from Lake Huron. Is there
>a WEB site or book or something that I can look up frequencies used by
>freighters on the Great Lakes?
>
>
>Thanks for your help
>
>Bob
>KD8WU

3550. Mobil Speedpass RFI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spamfree <Spamfree@DELETETHIS.spamfree.net>
Message-ID: <7j4m2a$q8@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 116603

On what frequency does Mobil's Speedpass operate? Every time I pull up to a Mobil-enabled pump my radio gets a loud rhythmic noise (I'm usually listening to the local AM news station around 900... doesn't have nearly as much interference on FM stations). Just what is radiating, and why?

--
Friends don't let friends use e-bay
E-bay: proud sponsor of Al Gore's 2000 presidential campaign

3551. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <E05A25FC32D73467.FAF5F7100D47AC3B.876429C2BA057505@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116604

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> In <A9CC2F57B3AF7EA3.C2BA9E720694B979.4C8C5D6E65717D2A@lp.airnews.net>
> bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net> writes:
> >
> >very much disliked? by whom?
> >other than the recognized idiots in the newsgroup, most people
> >recognize Bill Cheek as a wealth of information and use him for
> >that purpose. if you want to get chummy with someone do not
> >choose Bill Cheek. please do not invent the public opinion of this,
> >or any other, newsgroup.
> >
> >
> >DShatzer1 wrote:
> >
> >> It used to be that he was very much disliked on here.
> >>
> >> YOU HAVE A GREAT IDEA!!!
> >
> I'm confused. Do you mean to say if you are
> one of the "recognized village idiots" who lurk
> on rec.radio.scanner, then if you want a chum,
> do not choose Bill Cheek?
>
> Or do you mean: if you are one assemblage of
> "village idiots" on this ng you had
> better not think of Bill Cheek as your
> friend and to just leave him alone?"
>
> hmmmm. decisions abound here?
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

perhaps i should have said "one of the 2-3 recognized idiots in the
newsgroup."
that way there's room for you, mackey and me.

3552. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <36060E0CA716C205.303E4B3942DD8694.A5B345367F000C69@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116605

> No Jim, elitist, or no other ATTITUDE will ever be the death of Ham radio.
> Filling it's ranks with a bunch of FOUL MOUTHED CB'ers would be it's CERTAIN
> DEATH!

Ummm sorry to bring this to your attention but just tune to 3.95mhz on a Saturday night and tell me how HAM's are better than CB'ers!?!
Most people who use CB radio don't know the difference between a varactor and a cow.
Those who do know the difference, are HAM's and from what I've heard, myself, are pretty trashy
and low class on the HAM bands too.
By the sound of it, the lower in frequency you go, the more trashy HAM's get, with the sweet spot at
80m.

3553. Re: 3000xlt battery problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@san.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6EI53.15$HT2.2529@newsr2.san.rr.com>
Source record: 116606

Well, for individual cells (she doesn't list a pack for the 3000, though she has packs for the 235's), you could see 'The Nicad Lady', at http://nicdlady.com/cell_pr.htm , as long as you know what cell you want.
---
wiggie <JWIGTON@kc.rr.com> wrote in message news:37559f2f.2573649@news-server...
> On Tue, 01 Jun 1999 19:40:57 GMT, jstawski@execpc.com (J.P. Stawski) wrote:
>
> >Any suggestions on reconditioning the battery pack on a 3000xlt?
> >I called AES and they wanted $60-some for a new battery...I thought
> >they were only $30-$40 when I bought it new there 4-5 years ago.
> >How about mail-order battery places that might have it cheaper?
> >
> >J.P.
>
> Here is a place that been around for a while, although I don't have any personal connection, nor have I done business with them. http://members.aol.com/~primecell/primecell.html. I have also added part of a past posting from Bob Parnass that would give you other options. http://members.aol.com/~primecell/primecell.html
>
> Wiggie
>
> On 20 Aug 1998 13:09:52 GMT, parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com (Bob Parnass, AJ9S) wrote:
>
> >In article <35DA1E0B.C2EA4D42@jps.net>, kf6dbh <jcssow@jps.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Does anyone know where I can buy a reasonably priced replacement battery
> > >for the Bearcat 3000XLT Scanner? I have called several places and
> > >either they are very high priced or out of stock.
> >
> >
> > Replacement NiCd battery packs and inserts for the
> > BC100XLT, BC200XLT, BC2500XLT, BC3000XLT, and other
> > scanners are available from Uniden. I've purchased them at
> > lower cost from:
> >
> >
> > 1. Mr. NiCd - E. H. Yost and Company, 2211-D Parview
> > Rd., Middleton, WI 53562. tel. (608)831-3443. email
> > ehyost@midplains.net

> >
> > 2. Battery-Tech Central, 2818 Southland Street SW, Cedar
> > Rapids, IA 52404-4141. tel. (800)267-3087 or
> > (319)364-0855. email rlassoc@inav.net
>

3554. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wm Trackler <tracklwl@sgi.net>
Message-ID: <3755D8D0.2C28A888@sgi.net>
Source record: 116607

>

That's a GegaHertz, eh?

> You mean that they don't rent Harley's--the best motorcycles in the
> world?

--

Wm Trackler
'79 450SLC 5.0 (107 026)

3555. TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755EBAB.A91@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116608
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

I was just wondering if anybody seen the news program about cordless phones. They mentioned some guy with a scanner was getting info on his neighbors and tapping into their voice mail boxes. No where did they mention the good that scanner people do. Very one sided. Very sensational type of newscast. What about Skywarn/Canwarn, helping police? What about listening to the Space Shuttle? Very porrly done.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3556. Re: Marine frequencies - Lake Erie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: mayermh <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7j4pev$lc0@dfw-ixnews8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116609
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Here's my marine bank.

Within the US, the most active marine channels are 16 (hailing/distress), 22 (USCG). Also 68 and 70 are popular for chatting off the hailing freq.

Ignore my channel numbers on the left hand edge - they are for my scanner.

BANK f Marine Radio, US Coast Guard, NFM unless noted

CH# FREQ TAG DESCRIPTION

f00 156.300 6intshp Ch. 6 - Intership, safety
f01 156.350 7 comml Ch. 7 - Commercial
f02 156.400 8 comml Ch. 8 - Commercial
f03 156.450 9 hailg Ch. 9 - Public hailing
f04 156.500 10comml Ch. 10 - Commercial
f05 156.550 11PrtOp Ch. 11 - Port operations
f06 156.600 12PrtOp Ch. 12 - Port operations
f07 156.650 13navig Ch. 13 - Navigational
f08 156.700 14PrtOp Ch. 14 - Port operations
f09 156.750 15envir Ch. 15 - Environmental
f10 156.800 16hailg Ch. 16 - Hailing and distress, USCG emergency
f11 156.850 17state Ch. 17 - State control
f12 156.900 18comml Ch. 18 - Commercial
f13 156.950 19comml Ch. 19 - Commercial
f14 157.000 20PrtOp Ch. 20 - Port operations
f15 157.050 21 USCG Ch. 21 - U.S. Coast Guard
f16 157.100 22 USCG Ch. 22 - U.S. Coast Guard, distress/emergency
f17 157.150 23 USCG Ch. 23 - U.S. Coast Guard
f18 161.800 24RaTel Ch. 24 - Radiotelephone
f19 161.850 25RaTel Ch. 25 - Radiotelephone
f20 161.900 26RaTel Ch. 26 - Radiotelephone
f21 161.950 27RaTel Ch. 27 - Radiotelephone
f22 162.000 28RaTel Ch. 28 - Radiotelephone
f23 156.275 65PrtOp Ch. 65 - Port operations
f24 156.325 66PrtOp Ch. 66 - Port operations
f25 156.375 67comml Ch. 67 - Port operations
f26 156.425 68noncm Ch. 68 - Noncommercial
f27 156.475 69noncm Ch. 69 - Noncommercial
f28 156.525 70noncm Ch. 70 - Noncommercial
f29 156.575 71noncm Ch. 71 - Noncommercial
f30 156.625 72noncm Ch. 72 - Noncommercial
f31 156.675 73PrtOp Ch. 73 - Port operations
f32 156.725 74PrtOp Ch. 74 - Port operations
f33 156.875 77comml Ch. 77 - Commercial

f34 156.925 78noncm Ch. 78 - Noncommercial
f35 156.975 79comml Ch. 79 - Commercial
f36 157.025 80comml Ch. 80 - Commercial
f37 157.075 81 USCG Ch. 81 - U.S. Coast Guard
f38 157.125 82 USCG Ch. 82 - U.S. Coast Guard
f39 157.175 83 USCG Ch. 83 - U.S. Coast Guard
f40 161.825 84RaTel Ch. 84 - Radiotelephone
f41 161.875 85RaTel Ch. 85 - Radiotelephone
f42 161.925 86RaTel Ch. 86 - Radiotelephone
f43 161.975 87RaTel Ch. 87 - Radiotelephone
f44 157.425 88comml Ch. 88 - Commercial
f45 287.800 AirSeaR U.S. Coast Guard - air/sea rescue (AM)
f46 381.800 USCGair U.S. Coast Guard - aircraft (AM)
f47 383.900 USCGsec U.S. Coast Guard - secondary (AM)
f48 237.900 USCGs&r U.S. Coast Guard - search and rescue (AM)

Kirk Aubertine wrote in message
<7j222u$5b3u$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>...
>http://www.navcen.uscg.mil/marcomms/vhf.htm
>
>
>Hermit wrote in message <375398cf.47727454@news.erie.net>...
>>
>>I am looking for marine frequencies for Lake Erie. Sure would
>>appreciate someone pointing me in the right direction.
>>
>>I live in Erie, PA about a half mile from the Lake. I can only
>>imagine how interesting the listening can be especially this time of
>>year.
>>
>>Thanks for your help.
>>
>>Dick
>>
>>
>
>

3557. SS tubing/Discone ... Materials/Noisy antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim <jvpoll@dallas.net>
Message-ID: <3755E9BC.9F4FA7A9@dallas.net>
Source record: 116610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

There might be one more factor to consider ...

If the materials are too far apart in the galvanic
series (Aluminum and Zinc are neighbors) the
materials will A) corrode (of course) and B) will
be noisy if used in full duplex mode.

I fought an Aluminun - steel connection for a week until
I changed antennas - then no more broadband white
noise when the transmitter was on the air ...

The repeater was 'quiet' into a dummy load - but I just
could not believe it was the antenna ...

Jim

KF4FDJ (Mike in Ft. Myers, FL) wrote:

> Yeah, and add a styrofoam head, and gossamer wings, and it can be
> disguised as an angel.
>
> I have aluminum up all over the place. It gets a little dull and some
> aluminum oxide, but lasts for years. Copper gets copper oxide on it,
> but won't rot away unless you use fasteners other than copper or
> stainless.
>
> 73, Mike
>
> Dave Fouchey wrote:
> > I'm curious why you don't simply go with a solid galvanised sheet
> > metal cone & disc? Any heating & air conditioing shop should be able
> > to fabricate them for you at a modest cost. Like I said just
> > curious...
>
> > >In article <3751F875.DB71B98B@gnc.net>,
> > > Robert <> wrote:
> > >> Aluminum tubing stronger than stainless steel? Better check that!
> > >> Also, unprotected aluminum (or copper) woouldn't last in my
> > >> coastal environment. I'm using the new Discone antenna from
> > >> Radio Shack. No complaints yet.
>
> __\/__
> | . / ^ _ \ .
> | |\| (o)(o) |/|
> ^ --- * ----------------.OOOo--oo--oOOO.------------------ *

> ||| | | * Mike Gilchrist - KF4FDJ ..... AMSAT Area Coordinator *
> |X| | | * P.O. Box 763 .... AMSAT member #31884 *
> [X]~~~|k| * Fort Myers, FL 33902 .... ARRL member #1781549 *
> / \ |f| * http://www.gate.net/~seven77 telephone 941.772.7907 *
> | | |4| * kf4fdj@amsat.org .... EL96ap *
> | P | |f| * I.S. Professional ..... CNA .... Computer Consultant *
> | 3 |=|d| * AO10, AO27, FO20, FO29, RS13, RS15, MIR, E Layer *
> | D | |j| * Bringing Oscar demos to the Lee County Schools. *
> |_____|=| | *
> /*X*\ | | * Black holes were created when God divided by zero. *
> ________|_|_____________________________Oooo._________________________
> .oooO ( )
> ( )) /
> \ ((_/
> _)

3558. Phone monitoring: TONIGHT on ABC's 20/20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7j4pfesdq1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 116611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

From the ABC Network webpage, on "20/20" TONIGHT:

"

Wednesday:
Chris Wallace reports on the case of man who listened in on his neighbors' phone calls and terrified a community. Plus, John Stossel examines the staggering costs of death penalty trials

3559. Re: FLASH -- 20/20 TV Show Wednesday night -- wireless phone eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <k3m53.7058$4b.169962@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 116612

I just finished watching the 20/20 report. They demonstrated how easy it is to intercept cordless phones. They interviewed a man that had been convicted of intercepting telephone communications.
I think someone should pass a law that makes it illegal to broadcast information about yourself and your family all over the neighborhood.

Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com> wrote in message news:3755B63B.945B6ED9@mich.com...
> ABC News 20/20 Wednesday show, on tonight at 10PM Eastern time, will
> have some sort of program involving interception of cordless or cellular
> telephones.
>
> Tim Tyler
> ttyler@mich.com
> http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

3560. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755ED78.28EE@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116613

Wm Trackler wrote:
>
>
>
> That's a GegaHertz, eh?
>
> You mean that they don't rent Harley's--the best motorcycles
> in the
> world?
>
> --
> ---------
>
> Wm Trackler
> '79 450SLC 5.0 (107 026)
> ---------
>
>
Gegahertz? I do not get it
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3561. Re: POLISH FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755EDD1.7B65@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Well what about those Polish frequencies?

1 Angry Man wrote:
>
> O©╫
> >
> >Joe Blow wrote:
> >>
> >> Jesus.. That explains it all.
> >>
> >> YOU'RE POLISH!!!
>
> <SNIP>
>
> No that's wrong, Jesus is Jewish the Pope is Polish!
> Don't knock the Polish people they're very smartpeople!
> It's the Germans that are stupid, they believed Hitler!
>
> Joe Blow! Go out in your front yard and count the
> number of cars you have up on blocks. It it's more
> than 2 your a redneck and that would explain your
> lack of understanding world history!
>
> ==
> "I would never belong to any group,
> that would have me as a member!"
> Grocho Marx
> ==
> Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
> at least two, and they usually stink !!!
> ==
> Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3562. Re: Sydney Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755F022.7E93@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116615
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Brad Granger wrote:
>
> This lazy sod should work out what the Search feature is for.
> Brad
>
> AdrianM wrote in message <01beabeb$e9f89800$3b8722cb@jmueller>...
> >any international help would be appreciated for frequencies in the Sydney
> >Australia area!
> >
> >AdrianM <jmueller@loom.net.au> wrote in article
> ><01beabeb$76e47320$3b8722cb@jmueller>...
> >> hey guys
> >> i'm going to push for your help on this subject. I have just got back
> >into
> >> scanning and purchased an outdoor discone antenna. i'm finding the
> >> reception to be fantastic, and am desperate to find some definative
> >source
> >> of info about frequencies in the Sydney area. i would love it if there
> >> were some excellent websites out there, yet i am unable to find ANY, and
> >> seem to have checked out all the sites pertaining to Sydney. I don't
> >want
> >> to go out and buy a book filled with 1000's of useless frequencies that
> >are
> >> "registered" but hardly ever in use.......such as those police
> >frequencies
> >> on HFand VLF (around 1.7mhz). i've never heard any police down there! so
> >> what i'm after are practical frequencies preferably on the net, but if
> >> there are any "good" practical books out there, then please suggest some.
> >>
> >> Finally, i'm wondering if anyone is trying to develop/ipdate a
> >> comprehensive webiste for the sydney region, and have a fairly complete
> >> listing of frequencies such as couriers, security firms, rugby league
> >> ground.coach frequencies, other sports venue frequencies, etc. I have
> >> successfully found the usually (police, ambo, GRN, aircraft, ham radio,
> >cb
> >> radio, marine) but i'm looking for more......i know it may seem like i'm
> >> asking a lot, but hey, i'm just like every other scanner enthusiast who
> >> wants to hear more and more interesting things every day.........and
> >after
> >> all the effort i went to to erect the 60 ft mast in my backyard, i want
> >to
> >> at least be able to know where to look!!!
> >> i would appreciate any help on this matter, and if anyone has sufficient

> >> info regarding the freqs i'm after, don't hesitate to email me with the
> >> details.
> >> regards
> >> Adrian
> >>
Instead of jumping on him, direct him to
aus.radio.scanner
See how easy that was?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3563. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755EFD3.4E36@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116616
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Donald Gray wrote:
>
> On 01 Jun 1999 19:04:58 PDT, tool , whilst taking time out from
> picking fluf from his belly button, and befor picking his nose, sat down,
> pondered on why he sat down, stood up to scratched his ass in vain hope of
> stirring his two brain cells into action, decided to issue forth with the sum
> total of his irradiate wisdom and knowledge and did present the atypical yank
> psyche to the world when he penned:
>
> >You dumb stupid fuck!!!!!!
>
> Another dumb yank who has the arrogance to think he is an intelligent one!
>
> >
> >You dumb stupid fuck!!!!!!
> >

>
> But not intelligent enough to know that html should not be posted in an ascii
> based group!
>
> Thank goodness we let 'em win the war of independance!
>
> >
> >You dumb stupid fuck!!!!!!
> >

> []
>
>
> (Hey Yank, try the following hats on and throw away the one that doesn't fit!)
>
> People would follow him anywhere, but only out of morbid curiosity.
>
> He is really not so much of a has-been, but more of a definite won't-be.
>
> When he opens his mouth, it seems that it is only to change feet.
>
> He would be out of his depth in a parking lot puddle.
>
> He has delusion of adequacy.
>
> I bet he sets low personal standards and then consistently fails to achieve

> them.
>
> He is depriving a village somewhere of an idiot.
>
> He would argue with a signpost.
>
> He has a knack for making strangers immediately.
>
> He brings a lot of joy whenever he leaves the room.
>
> When his IQ reaches 50, he should sell.
>
> If he were any more stupid, he'd have to be watered twice a week.
>
> If you give him a penny for his thoughts, you'd get change.
>
> If you stand close enough to comicus@usa.net, you can hear the oceans
>
> It's hard to believe that he beat out 20,000,000 other sperm.
>
> Some drink from the fountain of knowledge; he only gargled.
>
> Takes him 2 hours to watch 60 minutes.
>
>
>
> (Failed... 'cos you cant extract urine from excreta!)
>
> With sincere apologies ALL other yanks - some of which I am proud to call my
> friends.
> --
> Donald Gray
> Putting ODCOMBE on the Global Village Map
> http://www.odcombe.demon.co.uk/
>
> (Please replace the 'NOSPAM' with 'odcombe' in my email address)
> (IE: donald@odcombe.demon.co.uk - Thanks)
Thanks for that accurate historical perspective about leeting us win the
war. Funny after 223 years I thought that we won the blooming war, eh
bloke?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3564. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755EEF4.7EDE@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Rick KC2ESD wrote:
>
> And remember Luke, use the fork.
>
> 73 Rick KC2ESD
I will keep that in mind. By the way; can a fork make a good antenna/
What about an umbrella as a sattelite dish?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3565. Re: Problem with Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755EE17.67F7@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116618

I fail to see what the "Problem With trunking" is as per the Subject in
this post.

KE4AUS1 wrote:
>
> City of Daytona is going to be on an extention of the County Ericcson EDACS
> system, but are still on VHF for now
>
> 155.070
> 154.785
> 154.770
> 154.040
>
> I believe that Boca operates on a 800 conventional radio system on 855.XXXX
> range, they have about 10 or so channels, and are supposed to convert to
> trunking, if not already.. (Motorola that is)
>
> Jason

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3566. Re: Television news program about cordless phones.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755EE64.29D0@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116619

Wasn't that on 20/20 on A.B.C.?

John Medica - System Administrator wrote:
>
> I was just wondering if anybody seen the news program about cordless
> phones. They mentioned some guy with a scanner was getting info on
> his
> neighbors and tapping into their voice mail boxes. No where did they
> mention the good that scanner people do. Very one sided. Very
> sensational type of newscast. What about Skywarn/Canwarn, helping
> police? What about listening to the Space Shuttle? Very porrly done.
>
> I think the program was a Joke. For 1 No one controls airwaves (what
> you can, and can not listen to). 2nd of all not illegal to listen to
> cordless phones, cell phones as long you use it for personal use only.
>
> My 2 cents worth.
> John medica.
>
>
>
>
>
> --
>
> John Medica Listening Post
> System administrator Research/development
>
> www.listening-post.net/ http://members.icq.com/15137809
> Voice mail: (416)-653-0114 66 Goodwood Avenue
> Facsimile.: (416)-653-0114 Toronto Ontario, Canada
> M6E 1J3
> Email: Jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org
> ICQ: 15137809
>

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3567. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755EF47.1333@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116620

Wm Trackler wrote:
>
> But don't they deal only in MegaCycles?
>
>
> Richard Beban wrote:
>
> Please, this kind of reaching for humor really hertz.
>
> >I've heard of Hertz rent-a-car but didn't know they did
> cycles as well!
>
>
> --
> ---------
>
> Wm Trackler
> '79 450SLC 5.0 (107 026)
> ---------
>
>
Harleys and Titans are Megacycles.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3568. Re: Television news program about cordless phones.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <rlm53.7103$4b.173412@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 116621

header1Yes it is illegal. It is a violation of the Electronic Communication Privacy Act to monitor any type of private telephone call.

BTW, please don't post to the newsgroup in html format.

>John Medica - System Administrator <Jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in message
>I think the program was a Joke. For 1 No one controls airwaves (what you can, and can not listen to). >2nd of all not illegal to listen to cordless phones, cell phones as long you use it for personal use only.
>My 2 cents worth.
>John medica.

--
John Medica Listening Post
System administrator Research/development
www.listening-post.net/
http://members.icq.com/15137809
Voice mail: (416)-653-0114 66 Goodwood Avenue
Facsimile.: (416)-653-0114 Toronto Ontario, Canada
M6E 1J3
Email: Jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org
ICQ: 15137809

3569. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755F70F.782C@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116622
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
>
> glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) wrote:
>
> >maddison@power.connexus.net.au (David S. Maddison) wrote:
> >
> >>In aus.radio.scanner Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote:
> >>: maddison@power.connexus.net.au (David S. Maddison) wrote:
> >>
> >>: >In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >>: >: WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
> >>: >: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
> >>: >
> >>: >
> >>: >I have read that the Nazis tried to popularise Megacycles or the German
> >>: >equivalent because they didn't want to use a unit named after a Jew,
> >>: >Hertz.
> >>
> >>: You heard wrong.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>No I didn't. Reference to Hertz in "The Jewish connection" by M. Hirsh
> >>Goldberg, ISBN 0-553-10870-0 p.103 says "When Hitler came to power in
> >>Germany, universities were ordered to stop using the word "hertz" to label
> >>a unit of physical measurement."
> >
> >I dunno about Germany, but the term "Hertz" was not used in place of
> >megacycles/second until well after WW II.
>
> Here's some info from the web...
>
> http://www.knls.org/webfile/DXDEF1.HTML#Hertz
>
> The term cycles was changed to Hertz, in the 1970's in honor of Heinrich
> Hertz, a German scientist who first discovered radio waves in 1887.
> Older radio receivers and books may still use the term kilocycles and
> megacycles, abbreviated kc and mc, but today kiloHertz and megaHertz are
> abbreviated k, capital H, z, and m, capital H, z.
>
> and...
>
> http://www.electronics2000.com/radio/

>
> Radio signals are composed of many repeating waves of electromagnetic
> energy. The waves of the frequency is so high, that they have to be
> expressed in kilocycles (thousands of cycles) or megacycles (millions of
> cycles) per second! About 20 years ago it was decided to substitute
> Hertz (Hz) for "cycles per second", thereby honoring Heinrich Hertz, a
> 19th century physicist who pioneered the study of radio waves.
>
> --
> glenq@cyberhighway.net
> WB7DOW
>
> http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/
Why should I honor his but. It is cycles and that is final end of
thread
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3570. Re: Television news program about cordless phones.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Murray A. Inch" <minch@nospam.storm.ca>
Message-ID: <7j4shl$rl9$1@news.storm.ca>
Source record: 116623

John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net> wrote in message
news:rlm53.7103$4b.173412@news2.giganews.com...
> header1Yes it is illegal. It is a violation of the Electronic
Communication
> Privacy Act to monitor any type of private telephone call.

True for you in the US. BUT it's all legal here in Canada...just thought I
would point this out as the original post was from Toronto, Canada.
>
> BTW, please don't post to the newsgroup in html format.
>
> >John Medica - System Administrator <Jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in
> message
> >I think the program was a Joke. For 1 No one controls airwaves (what you
> can, and can not listen to). >2nd of all not illegal to listen to cordless
> phones, cell phones as long you use it for personal use only.
> >My 2 cents worth.
> >John medica.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> John Medica Listening Post
> System administrator Research/development
>
www.listening-post.net/
> http://members.icq.com/15137809
> Voice mail: (416)-653-0114 66 Goodwood Avenue
> Facsimile.: (416)-653-0114 Toronto Ontario, Canada
> M6E 1J3
> Email: Jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org
> ICQ: 15137809
>
>
>

3571. Re: Airshow Frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Murray A. Inch" <minch@nospam.storm.ca>
Message-ID: <7j4sn7rlc1@news.storm.ca>
Source record: 116624

Chris Mcdonald <cmcdonld@thezone.net> wrote in message
news:7j4n8d$tt1$1@nova.thezone.net...
> Goto industry canada's website(www.ic.gc.ca) and search for freq a
> list for ontairo. I can't rember where I found the copy that I have
> but it includes all stations freq, airports, private, cell, just about
> all licenced stationed(it dosen't include RCMP, Miltary and I'm not
> sure about provincal police)
> At the airshow they should be using the airports freq's.

Or even better check the Ontscan www.ontscan.com website aviation pages, I
believe that all the southern Ontario Airport freq's are on Ron Katz's
Website.

Adam

3572. Re: Trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755F698.2CFE@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116625

Jon Morgret wrote:
>
> Ok...could someone please explain to me what trunking is and how it works?
> I have a BC235XLT and just read in my local paper that the Sheriff
> department, which I listen to often, is going to a new trunking system. I
> know my scanner has the trunking capabilities, but am at a loss on how it
> works or how to use it. The instructions that came with are not very clear.
> Can anyone out there help a newer listener like myself? Thanks!
>
> Jon
First and foremost you will needto know what type of Trunking Sytem it
is--
Ericson, Motorola, Johnson? If it is a Motorola system; then you are in
luck, if not then you will have to buy a device from Optocomm. It hooks
up your computer. Your scanner will only work with a Motorola system.
If it is an Ericcson system then you will have to wait to the end of the
year for that scanner which will scan Motorla and Erriccson. If it is
any other system you are out of luck. Some systems will link one type
of trunked system with another and that way you can hear both systems.
After you figure that out and it is Motorola you will need frequencies.
Once you find ouit the frequencies from Police Call, or ameerican
Scannergram, or on your own then pick a bank in your scanner to program
them into.
First press Trunk and hold it until Trunk Bank andd all the numbers on
top start flashing; then choose one bank for the frequencies. Press
that number. This will stop it from flashing. now porgram in the
frequencies like you normally would. Once you are done press search.
This will make the scanner search for the control frequency. Once it
finds it; it will display a small little bar on top with the word trunk,
five bars in the middle and the word search abbbreviated as the word
srch on the bottom. The numbers that flash were the bars in the middle
are will be the talk groups. Pres enter to enter the talkgroups into
your scanner when they light up. Your scanenr will only hold fifty talk
groups divided into 5 groups of 10. These talkgroup banks work just
like the frequency banks on coventional scanners. You can delete
talkgroups put new ones in their place, lock them out, unlock them, scan
them. Of course if you already know what the talkgroups are then just
puit your scanner into search mode once you program in all the
frequencies. Then when you see the bars,etc; yuo can program them just
like you would a frequency. Remember though; Type Two talkgroups are
even numbered talk groups: 16,32,48,64,etc. Type One talk groups will
show up as even and uneven numbers: 16, 33, 41, 42, 52, 61, etc. With
Type One Talk groups you have to program in the fleet map. Without the
fleet map you might no be able to follow the whole conversation . You

cannot just program in any fleet map but the correct one.
Type One Talk groups will lok like this:
xxx-x or xxx-xx
While Type Two talk groups will look like this:
16, 32, 48, 64, etc.
Of course the fun is if you have a Hybird system. That is a combination
of Type One and Type Two.
If it is digital forget it. You will not be able to hear anything. You
can of course program in the frequencieas and as long as the digital
system is not encrypted then you will still see the talk groups light
up. Good luck in figuring out which talk group goes with which service
in this case.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3573. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755F7AC.1486@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

1 Angry Man wrote:
>
> >> >REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
> >>
> >> You also need to stop eatin that NAZI food and switch to somthing healthy like
> >> ToFo or veggamite!
>
> >Excuse me bbut sauerkraut is Polish food and not nazi food.
> >Please take yuor dum witted insults somewhere else.
> >
>
> You have a signature like the one below and then you say I should take my "dum witted" (your
> spelling) insults somewhere else? Kinda like the pot calling the kettel black ain't it?
>
> And any how since the Blitzkreg of 1939 it's German cause the NAZI's stole it like everything
> else Hitler could get his hands on!! And possession is 9/10 of the law!!
>
> >REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>
> --
> ==
> "I would never belong to any group,
> that would have me as a member!"
> Grocho Marx
> ==
> Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
> at least two, and they usually stink !!!
> ==
> Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Well Hilter can kiss my D U P A. Just becasue the freaking idiot stole
it doesn't make it German.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3574. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755F8D8.7B84@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Walt Davidson wrote:
>
> On Thu, 29 Apr 1999 19:05:50 -0500, Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:
>
> >What if you wanted to play the flute, but a law required that you learn
> >how to play the harp before you were allowed to play the flute?
> >
> >What if you didn't like the harp and only wanted to play the flute, and
> [crap snipped]
>
> Then I suggest you take up flute-playing as a hobby and forget about
> amateur radio.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: walt@ surf-zone.co.uk
Oh brother
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3575. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755F87D.23A@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Ham wrote:
>
> What do Ham Radio and The Marines have to do with each other ?
> Lets talk bottom line...CW is a twit filter. It maintains a certain
> level of operator competentancy.
> It may indeed be an outdated means of "seperating the men from the
> boys" but until a suitable replacement can be implemented,
> CW is it....JUST DO IT !!!
> Practice, practice practice !!!
> Hugh Duff, VA3TO Toronto
>
> "The Conqueror" <joetheripper@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing requirements
> >if the military doesn't use it anymore and telegraphs are not that common. I
> >think because they stopped it for the marines, there's no point in using it
> >in ham radio either. And if you want to say it is for security, you are not
> >allowed to use codes when intended to obscure meaning.
>
> >-Dan K3DRQ
>
> >k3drq@qsl.net
Compentency? What from Continoius Wave (C. W.). Yeah Right.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3576. Television news program about cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Medica - System Administrator <Jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <3755F999.91CB7BD5@sdf.lonestar.org>
Source record: 116629

header1Yes it is illegal. It is a violation of the Electronic
Communication
Privacy Act to monitor any type of private telephone call.

Scanner buff for 10 years, I think am aware the laws (Canada, Us)
and i agree with u. Canada not illegal listen to Cell phones, Cordless
phones
as long don't disclose the information to others.

40-41-42 Elizabeth II Capter 40 - (Bill C-109) Canadian law.

184.5 (1)
Every person who intercepts, by means of any electro-magnetic, acoustic,
mechanical or other device, maliciously or for gain, a radio-based
telephone
communication, if the originator of the communication or the person
intended by the originator of the communication to receive it is in
Canada, is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment
for the a term not exceeding five years.

193.1 (1)
Every person who wilfully uses or discloses a radio-based telephone
communications or who willfully discloses the existence of such a
communications is gulty of an indictable offence and liable to
imprisonment for a term not exceeding
two years.

BTW, please don't post to the newsgroup in html format.

>John Medica - System Administrator <Jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote in
message
>I think the program was a Joke. For 1 No one controls airwaves (what
you
can, and can not listen to). >2nd of all not illegal to listen to
cordless
phones, cell phones as long you use it for personal use only.
>My 2 cents worth.
>John medica.

3577. Re: New Cell Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755F91A.6862@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116630

Brad Granger wrote:
>
> k9kz@indy.net wrote in message <7g8l36gno1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
> >I just got my first cell phone. It is digital. Anyone know if my phone can
> be
> >heard on scanners and what frequency range? I didn't see any frequency info
> in
> >the literature. Are there any precautions I can take so that I won't be
> heard
> >on scanners? Thanks.
>
> What does "it is digital " mean? Is it GSM or CDMA? If it is GSM then it
> might operate on 940MHz in the New England area or on the 1900MHz PCS
> frequencies in other areas. Either way it is quite safe.

That is until someone cracks the code. It might be a while but some
hacker out there will do it.

The technology to
> descramble this mode is out of reach of all but government agencies and they
> really need to do it via a wiretap at the exchange anyway.
>
> CDMA is different, some systems do exist to crack it, but not generally
> available.
>
> Brad VK2QQ

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3578. Re: Where's that FCC (index) site ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755F974.77C9@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116631

Jim Heckler wrote:
>
> On 30 Apr 1999 05:08:51 GMT, leadenwah@aol.com (LEADENWAH) wrote:
>
> >A fellow has posted information on an FCC web site where you can get into their
> >frequency index online. I copied his posting from a while back, but that site
> >is either closed now or there was a typo in the posting.
> >
> >I would greatly appreciate any information on that site.
> >
> >Many thanks.
>
> This will take you to the frequency menu
>
> http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts
>
> Happy Scanning!!
http://www.fcc.gov
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3579. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755FA8D.7F19@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Gene Floyd wrote:
>
> Is this besides the fact that knowledge of CW is required by international treaty for
> access to certain frequencies? Or are you suggesting that the government simply ignore
> the treaties and make it's own rules?

Well what is so new with this one. You mean goverments actually obey
international law? Good one

>
> Spot wrote:
>
> > > I see no need to know CW. I think all the old coders are just scared
> > > that if they drop the code the will come out with a more diffcult exam
> > > for HF that you guys can't pass.
> >
> > The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to
> > become a HAM, so should everyone else".
> > This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of requirements
> > are very outdated.

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3580. Re: Television news program about cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755FA1D.6E28@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116633

Are you a radio system administator. Just curious.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3581. Re: Television news program about cordless phones.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: lisbon45@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23909-3755F072-1@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 116634

Yes it is 20/20 on ABC - their lead story is about the scanner guy -
their second story is about the plane crash at Little Rock and they play
2 or 3 ?fire radio transmissions in their story.

Zerg'sWebsite
http://www.geocities.com/capecanaveral/3327

3582. Re: G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755FB9F.3EC6@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpal

Lee Rothman wrote:
>
> Give me a break, don't compare Chevy's to a Mercedes please. Even if it
> has a feature or two.
Ford, Chevy, Olds, Pontiac beats any mercedes
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3583. Re: G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3755FBE3.15B0@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,

```
The NeXus wrote:
>
> Lee Rothman (dcisive@earthlink.net) hath said:
> : Give me a break, don't compare Chevy's to a Mercedes please. Even if it
> : has a feature or two.
> :
>
> Hey! There isn't anything wrong with a Chevy, I own one and it
> is the best truck on the road :)
>
> --
> ::::::::::::::::==|Posted by. The NeXus|==::::::::::::::::
> ::::::::::::==|http://www.ametro.net/nexus|==::::::::::::::
Yeah, you tell them. I have a Pontiac that is 22 years old and still
going and looks better then a freak mercedes.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
```

3584. Johnson County KS Fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jblockjr <jblockjr@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7j4v0b$m3t@sjx-ixn5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116637

Hello,

I have a question regarding the Johnson County KS fire system. I recently visited, and have been unable to determine the numbering system used. I would greatly appreciate it if anybody could provide me with any assistance regarding the Johnson County numbering system, or any information on station locations and apparatus assignments. Thanks much for any help.
--
Michael Block

3585. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j321t$jh6@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116638

In <1N253.203$4r6.48389@news.uswest.net> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
writes:
>
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote ...
>
>You certainly have joined Cheek on taking the deep lunge Conduit.
>

fine.

Whatever you say.

no matter

it's redundantly passe

fine.

Whatever you surmise

it's just your bullshit
\
wherever and to whoever upon it flies

I'm bored.

bill conduit
etc.

3586. Re: Sydney Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Granger <bgranger@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <37550e18.0@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 116639
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This lazy sod should work out what the Search feature is for.
Brad

AdrianM wrote in message <01beabeb$e9f89800$3b8722cb@jmueller>...
>any international help would be appreciated for frequencies in the Sydney
>Australia area!
>
>AdrianM <jmueller@loom.net.au> wrote in article
><01beabeb$76e47320$3b8722cb@jmueller>...
>> hey guys
>> i'm going to push for your help on this subject. I have just got back
>into
>> scanning and purchased an outdoor discone antenna. i'm finding the
>> reception to be fantastic, and am desperate to find some definative
>source
>> of info about frequencies in the Sydney area. i would love it if there
>> were some excellent websites out there, yet i am unable to find ANY, and
>> seem to have checked out all the sites pertaining to Sydney. I don't
>want
>> to go out and buy a book filled with 1000's of useless frequencies that
>are
>> "registered" but hardly ever in use.......such as those police
>frequencies
>> on HFand VLF (around 1.7mhz). i've never heard any police down there! so
>> what i'm after are practical frequencies preferably on the net, but if
>> there are any "good" practical books out there, then please suggest some.
>
>> Finally, i'm wondering if anyone is trying to develop/ipdate a
>> comprehensive webiste for the sydney region, and have a fairly complete
>> listing of frequencies such as couriers, security firms, rugby league
>> ground.coach frequencies, other sports venue frequencies, etc. I have
>> successfully found the usually (police, ambo, GRN, aircraft, ham radio,
>cb
>> radio, marine) but i'm looking for more......i know it may seem like i'm
>> asking a lot, but hey, i'm just like every other scanner enthusiast who
>> wants to hear more and more interesting things every day.........and
>after
>> all the effort i went to to erect the 60 ft mast in my backyard, i want
>to
>> at least be able to know where to look!!!
>> i would appreciate any help on this matter, and if anyone has sufficient
>> info regarding the freqs i'm after, don't hesitate to email me with the
>> details.

>> regards
>> Adrian
>>

3587. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: R&R Johnson <r_rjohns@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <cm953.3915$Fs4.121@news4.mia>
Source record: 116640

And if I'm not mistaken Ford is going to quit making the Crown Vic, but
Chevy is coming out with the 2000 Impala in a "police package" this fall.
So the choice is an untested US car or a well tested Foreign
car, how many Volvos are used overseas. Oh and I beleive Ford just
bought out Volvo... And the Germans just bought Chrysler.

RJ

Larry Levy wrote in message <37543492.3653779@news.earthlink.net>...
>
>Right, and one other thing....If I'm not mistaken, Ford Crown Vics are
>made in Canada and GM stopped making Caprices in 1996.
>
>-Larry
>
>On Tue, 1 Jun 1999 07:41:13 -0600, dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman)
>wrote:
>
>>I hate to break it to you but when the Crown Victoria's and Caprice's of
>>the world are long gone and crunched up in a junk yard somewhere, the
>>Volvo will still be on the road, running well with spewing less
>>pollutants then the others ever did. Get over your hatred of foreign cars
>>as the American one's still have a ways to go yet before they are what
>>one would call "well made" and without defects. Just look at the regular
>>recalls Ford, GM and Chrysler have each year. Some annoying, and some
>>serious. Besides, a stock volvo will do 140mph without the exotic
>>enhancements necessary to get a boulevard cruising, gas guzzler up to
>>that speed.
>
>http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Bluffs/3283/index.html
>

3588. FS PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: berta1s@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602083012.12633.00000018@ng39.aol.com>
Source record: 116641

LIKE NEW ,USED VERY LITTLE LIKE NEW $300.00

3589. Re: Lo-Jack Frequencys ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cgast@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <375525df.2753150@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 116642

Here is how you be findin the lojack freq. get a scout freq counter ,
rob the bank, and if you dont get shot in the head by a marksman guard
who is really tired of shooting at steel and paper targets then use
the coounter to find the freq then you can tell all the guys in prison
just before they beat you to a pulp and rape you what the nifty Lojack
freqs are!!

>
>>>> > Don't happen to know freq for hidden bank
>>>> > bait money transmitters(RAT units), or transmit freq for Pac-Tel
>>tracking
>>>> > system that is similar to Lo-Jack ??
>>>>
>>>> Watch this guy be a heroin junky or a bank robber :)
>>>makes you wonder, huh?
>>
>>Most bank robbers will steal a car and then use it to commit the crime.
>>Hence the desire for Lojack freq's. Banks are starting to shy away from dye
>>packs because they permanently damage the money, while the bag beepers allow
>>the money to be recovered in tact and prove to be more effective in finding
>>the robber(s). I know this from listening to countless robberies occur in
>>the Phoenix area.
>>
>>Most of these clowns get caught a few minutes after the crime. One was
>>caught a few blocks away from the scene where the bag beeper led the police
>>to a local strip club, where the robber was happily stuffing $100 bills in
>>the g-strings of the amazed dancers.
>>
>>However one guy almost got away during a robbery that I heard... The guy
>>robbed a bank and quite literally vanished. The cops followed the bag beeper
>>up to the truck of an an old man who was unfortunate enough to have been at
>>the same red light as the robber, who tossed the device into the back of his
>>truck. The cops were ready to color this one gone when they finally received
>>an anonymous tip from none other than the guy's girlfriend, who was scared
>>and didn't want to run anymore. This was HOURS after the crime occurred.
>>
>>In the guys vehicle was the money, the weapon, a couple of very popular
>>frequency guides and (count 'em) 4 scanners. Did this guy have the bag
>>beeper frequency programmed in? I'd bet that he did. Scary.
>>
>>So no, even though I know where you can obtain the bag beeper freq's and the
>>lojack freq's, you won't get them from me. I think that anyone who offers or
>>asks for such things in a public forum like this is an absolute fool.
>>There's only one reason why someone would want to monitor these channels in

>>my opinion. Maybe I'm wrong, but nonetheless...
>>
>>All I can say is do a little research. Seek and ye shall find. That way, if
>>your intent is to commit felonies with your scanner, you can't say I showed
>>you how.
>>
>
>ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
>Z Z Z Z Z Z Z z z z z Z z Z z Z z Z z Z
>
>Sorry.
>
>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>ANGER IS A GIFT.
><<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

3590. Re: Problem with Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bret <rf_freak65@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7j3a4v$q32$1@news.iag.net>
Source record: 116643

Hello,
Volusia County has a GE / Ericsson EDACS system and your PRO 90 will not track it. Supposedly the new BC245 T2 has this capability and it should be available this summer.
I hope this helps,
Bret
David Fallin wrote in message <37544223.7CCC62E@gate.net>...
>Greetings,
>
>Over the last weekend I was up in the Daytona Beach area. I looked
>through the Radio Shack "Blue Book" and found listings for Public
>Service freqs in Volusia County. The book indicated that a number of
>them were trunked ("T") freqs so I programmed them into my Pro-90 as I
>have with a number of other trunked systems. I even found 2 of them
>that were obviously digital data so I assumed that I had the correct
>setup. However, the radio continued to search all of the programmed
>channels without ever tracking or displaying IDs (as if it could not
>find the control channel).
>
>Could it be that this system is not a Motorola system? I know it is not
>digital because if I leave trunk mode and just scan the bank
>conventionally, I hear conversations. Maybe the system is a type I
>system and I do not have the fleet/subfleet info.
>
>I have had the same problem with the public service system in Boca
>Raton, FL. Both of these are listed as Trunked in the "Police Call"
>book but not on the TrunkTracker web site.
>
>I have heard that the Radio Shack / Uniden radios work with Motorola
>type I and II systems only. Others like the Ericson and Nextel systems
>cannot be tracked.
>
>Does anyone out there have any experience with either Boca or Volusia
>county?
>
>Thank you in advance.
>
>David
>

3591. Re: Pro-67 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: cowgoose@aol.comedian
Message-ID: <19990602090123.17461.00000020@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 116644

Not modifyable

3592. Re: 3000xlt battery problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <Witch**Dr@usa0.0no0bulk0email0.net>
Message-ID: <37553772.1E79@usa0.0no0bulk0email0.net>
Source record: 116645

Anlimc3 wrote:
>
> Are you sure its just a cordless phone pack. When I tore into my fathers
> 3000XLT battery pack it seemed like the cells totalled 6 volts and all the
> cordless phone cells I found at Radio Shack were 3.5 volt packs.

Yep. It's an odd combo, theres like 2 AA's and one 1/2 of an AA. Of
course they aren't really AA's but it's the same size and voltage. IIRC
the number is BP3000A or BP300A. It's a Sanyo pack. Some of the packs
actually have the tag on it but mine ripped when I pulled it out (it's
glued in).

3593. ?R-10 and/or R-11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wfm <wmerrell@parsley.epix.net>
Message-ID: <7j3din$8np$1@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 116646

Can someone tell me what the difference is
between the Optoelectronics R10 and the R11?
tia
bill

3594. Re: Lo-Jack Frequencys ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: scape3000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602100657.11259.00000064@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 116647

>Here is how you be findin the lojack freq. get a scout freq counter ,
>rob the bank, and if you dont get shot in the head by a marksman guard
>who is really tired of shooting at steel and paper targets then use
>the coounter to find the freq then you can tell all the guys in prison
>just before they beat you to a pulp and rape you what the nifty Lojack
>freqs are!!
>

These dude is on it.
It look's like he has been there
Good hunting Mr.
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
ANGER IS A GIFT.
<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

3595. ?Smallest Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: wfm <wmerrell@parsley.epix.net>
Message-ID: <7j3e1a$8np$4@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 116648

What scanner is physically the smallest?
tia
bill

3596. Re: ?R-10 and/or R-11?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary B <GARBRE@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <375548b8@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 116649

The 2 primary differences are that the r-11 is cell blocked (woopee!) but the r-11 does display the range in which the frequency is originating (i.e. 150-180 mHz). The r-10 only hears the nearfield transmitter, there's no frequency display of any kind. But of course it is cell capable so that makes it immediately worth big bucks for some reason...;-)

Gary

wfm <wmerrell@parsley.epix.net> wrote in message news:7j3din$8np$1@news1.epix.net...
> Can someone tell me what the difference is
> between the Optoelectronics R10 and the R11?
> tia
> bill

3597. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <QOhdOvAtAUV3EwPV@virgin.net>
Source record: 116650
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

In article <37529F86.104E@ameritech.net>, OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltn
kid@ameritech.net> writes
>> Just a joke of course, but shows what a load of crap this argument about
>> cycles and hertz is.

I've heard of Hertz rent-a-car but didn't know they did cycles as well!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]

http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/

3598. FS: Ramsey Speech Descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: abrock@maxinter.net
Message-ID: <7j3jtmnrg8@remarQ.com>
Source record: 116651

SS-70A descrambler/scrambler

Hook it up to your scanner speaker terminals and you're in business.

http://www.ramseyelectronics.com/scstore/hprod/ss70a.htm

$50 shipped

3599. FS BELDEN 9292 [RG-11] COAXIAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17183-375551B2-13@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 116652

250 foot spool Belden 9292 Duofoil CATV 14 AWG coaxial cable. $30.00 (I will sell shorter lengths) + shipping.

Thanks in advance!

Dave

3600. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: j.k.@gotmail.com
Message-ID: <37554351.7256140@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 116653
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Mon, 31 May 1999 04:04:11 GMT, glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen
Quarnstrom) wrote:
>"Hertz" means "cycles per second." Other than that, the only difference

No, 1 Hz = 1 1/s. Hertz means "one per second" or just per second.

-JK

3601. Re: ?Smallest Scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokedtofu@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602123453.08015.00000080@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Source record: 116654

Icom R2

3602. Re: Scanning at 35,000 ft.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j3n56$d86$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116655

Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote in message
<3324184FC44FE6C1.AF19127797C7B2AF.37AD6F2C0E547FBA@library-proxy.airnews.ne
t>...

>If I see you on a plane with a scanner, I will jump on you, rip your
batteries out and throw
them in the plane's toilet.
If you have something to say about you possibly risking my life by screwing
with
the plane's electronics, I will tell you to SHOVE OFF and the proceed to
beat the shit out
of you while shoving your scanner up your ass.

Pretty strong words for a waffle iron..... ;-)

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3603. Trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Morgret <jmorgret@iconnect.net>
Message-ID: <7j3nj0jjq1@news.iconnect.net>
Source record: 116656

Ok...could someone please explain to me what trunking is and how it works? I have a BC235XLT and just read in my local paper that the Sheriff department, which I listen to often, is going to a new trunking system. I know my scanner has the trunking capabilities, but am at a loss on how it works or how to use it. The instructions that came with are not very clear. Can anyone out there help a newer listener like myself? Thanks!

Jon

3604. Re: Marine frequencies - Lake Erie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Zook <lazpaz@teleweb.net>
Message-ID: <928325090.868183@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 116657
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Few years back I recorded a high speed boat chase on lake st.clair. You can hear alot!

Hermit <hermit@erie.net> wrote in message news:375398cf.47727454@news.erie.net...
>
> I am looking for marine frequencies for Lake Erie. Sure would
> appreciate someone pointing me in the right direction.
>
> I live in Erie, PA about a half mile from the Lake. I can only
> imagine how interesting the listening can be especially this time of
> year.
>
> Thanks for your help.
>
> Dick
>
>

3605. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: levys@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <375566b6.1965372@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116658

Hi, RJ:

Interesting..I didn't know about the Impala police package car.

I guess the CHP also tested some Camrys, but found them underpowered.

There is a L.A. Times article on the Volvo purchase that I have linked
from my website, but, I just tried it and it looks like the Times
charges for articles over seven days old. I've left the link there in
case anyone is interested in checking it out.

The URL to my page is :
http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Bluffs/3283/pd.html

-Larry

On Wed, 2 Jun 1999 08:21:43 -0400, "R&R Johnson"
<r_rjohns@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>And if I'm not mistaken Ford is going to quit making the Crown Vic, but
>Chevy is coming out with the 2000 Impala in a "police package" this fall.
>So the choice is an untested US car or a well tested Foreign
>car, how many Volvos are used overseas. Oh and I beleive Ford just
>bought out Volvo... And the Germans just bought Chrysler.
>
>
>RJ
>
>
>
>
>
>Larry Levy wrote in message <37543492.3653779@news.earthlink.net>...
>>
>>Right, and one other thing....If I'm not mistaken, Ford Crown Vics are
>>made in Canada and GM stopped making Caprices in 1996.
>>
>>-Larry
>>
>>On Tue, 1 Jun 1999 07:41:13 -0600, dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman)
>>wrote:
>>
>>>I hate to break it to you but when the Crown Victoria's and Caprice's of
>>>the world are long gone and crunched up in a junk yard somewhere, the
>>>Volvo will still be on the road, running well with spewing less
>>>pollutants then the others ever did. Get over your hatred of foreign cars

>>>as the American one's still have a ways to go yet before they are what
>>>one would call "well made" and without defects. Just look at the regular
>>>recalls Ford, GM and Chrysler have each year. Some annoying, and some
>>>serious. Besides, a stock volvo will do 140mph without the exotic
>>>enhancements necessary to get a boulevard cruising, gas guzzler up to
>>>that speed.
>>
>>http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Bluffs/3283/index.html
>>
>

http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Bluffs/3283/index.html

3606. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Beban <beban@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <tne53.2178$DI2.2105@cynws01.we.mediaone.net>
Source record: 116659
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Please, this kind of reaching for humor really hertz.

>I've heard of Hertz rent-a-car but didn't know they did cycles as well!

3607. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <37567759.1740305@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

And remember Luke, use the fork.

73 Rick KC2ESD

3608. Re: Have you heard this one?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <375a76a2.4194455@news.erols.com>
Source record: 116661

On Tue, 01 Jun 1999 16:34:38 -0400, Dad#1 <deadeye@javanet.com> wrote:

>I have a .wav recording of police computer to/from cruiser
>to station that I'm trying to learn how to decode, I've
>heard the officers refer to the system as the "packet
>cluster", does anyone recognize this? I'm sure its not MDT.
>What program to decode it? Baud? Anything or sugestions? I'd
>be happy to send it to anyone interested in hearing it.

Packet cluster? Hmm. I've heard that some smaller police departments
use amateur radio Terminal Node Controllers (TNC) for a dirt cheap MDT
substitute. This is a 1200 baud signal on Bell 202 modems running
AX.25 protocol. Try it. I'll bet that's what they're talking about.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3609. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602145317.28734.00000178@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 116662

If you want to sound like a drugged-out beatnik, that's your business, but at least learn what the words MEAN, and learn to SPELL them correctly. Nothing looks more foolish and pretentious than some moron spouting words and phrases of which he so obviously has no understanding.
> redundantly passe<
That IS redundant, fella.
>Whatever you surmise
>
>it's just your bullshit<
And here we have to REAL bill conduit. A wannabe poet who must, at last, use the only language he REALLY knows at all.
Mike

3610. freq GSM & DCS phone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JB <trayvou@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <7j3uoi$j27$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 116663

Please , can you give me the GSM and DCS phone mobile frequencies in Europe.
Thanks

trayvou@wanadoo.fr'

3611. Scanner Radio web site link exchange!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602153351.03657.00000198@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 116664

Exchanging web site links is a great way to increase traffic to your site.
If you have a Scanner Radio related web site and are interested in exchanging
links with our CTP Speech Inversion Descrambler/Signal Meter/Service Manual
site, email your url and title to us and place the following link on your site.
url -- http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html
title -- CTP Speech Inversion Descramblers
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

3612. 550-760 is UHF TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <375587D3.25743366@analon.com>
Source record: 116665

UHF Television starts at 470 and goes to about 820mhz this is channels 14-69
used to be 14-83 each channel is 6mhz wide with audio being at the top end
example 470-476 audio is at 475.75 use this formula and you can calculate the
audio for any other UHF TV channel in the USA

Phil Smalley wrote:

> conspiracy_theory@area51.com wrote in message
> >Because most government agencies use those frequencies knowing darn
> >well that most scanners don't receive in that range and the ones that
> >do, those scanner owners never tune in that area! Perfect for the
> >FBI/DEA/CIA/MIB...
> >
> >Its a conspiracy, don't be fooled.
>
> Bzzzt! Wrong!
>
> But thanks for playing....
>
> FBI: 162-167 Mhz DES encrypted 99.9% of the time
> DEA: 418-420 Mhz DES encrypted during raids, otherwise generally in the
> clear. Check here for Customs ops too.
> CIA: Anywhere from 162 to 420 MHz - rarely used. I have yet to hear anything
> from them.
> MIB: 1.2 to 6.9 terrahertz triple DES encrypted data transmission. Apple
> Powerbooks are used as MDTs because of their apparent compatibility with
> alien technology. Used only in the movies. (yes, it's a joke folks)
>
> -Phil

--

| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com
| | The RIGHT computer for you at an affordable price |
310-346-7404
| ---> Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
| Unix :) vi..my favorite text editor (: MS-Windows
:) :<
| Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
| if responding to a usenet msg, also e-mail also so I will check the grp
---

3613. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wm Trackler <tracklwl@sgi.net>
Message-ID: <37557C6D.DC49904C@sgi.net>
Source record: 116666

But don't they deal only in MegaCycles?

Richard Beban wrote:

> Please, this kind of reaching for humor really hertz.
>
> >I've heard of Hertz rent-a-car but didn't know they did cycles as well!

--

Wm Trackler
'79 450SLC 5.0 (107 026)

3614. Re: 3000xlt battery problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JWIGTON@kc.rr.com
Message-ID: <37559f2f.2573649@news-server>
Source record: 116667

On Tue, 01 Jun 1999 19:40:57 GMT, jstawski@execpc.com (J.P. Stawski) wrote:

>Any suggestions on reconditioning the battery pack on a 3000xlt?
>I called AES and they wanted $60-some for a new battery...I thought
>they were only $30-$40 when I bought it new there 4-5 years ago.
>How about mail-order battery places that might have it cheaper?
>
>J.P.

Here is a place that been around for a while, although I don't have any personal connection, nor have I done business with them. http://members.aol.com/~primecell/primecell.html. I have also added part of a past posting from Bob Parnass that would give you other options. http://members.aol.com/~primecell/primecell.html

Wiggie

On 20 Aug 1998 13:09:52 GMT, parnass@marconi.ih.lucent.com (Bob Parnass, AJ9S) wrote:

>In article <35DA1E0B.C2EA4D42@jps.net>, kf6dbh <jcssow@jps.net> wrote:
>
> >Does anyone know where I can buy a reasonably priced replacement battery
> >for the Bearcat 3000XLT Scanner? I have called several places and
> >either they are very high priced or out of stock.
>
>
> Replacement NiCd battery packs and inserts for the
> BC100XLT, BC200XLT, BC2500XLT, BC3000XLT, and other
> scanners are available from Uniden. I've purchased them at
> lower cost from:
>
>
> 1. Mr. NiCd - E. H. Yost and Company, 2211-D Parview
> Rd., Middleton, WI 53562. tel. (608)831-3443. email
> ehyost@midplains.net
>
> 2. Battery-Tech Central, 2818 Southland Street SW, Cedar
> Rapids, IA 52404-4141. tel. (800)267-3087 or
> (319)364-0855. email rlassoc@inav.net

3615. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j45ff$jbh@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116668

In <19990602145317.28734.00000178@ng-cc1.aol.com> gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) writes:
>
>If you want to sound like a drugged-out beatnik, that's your business, but at
>least learn what the words MEAN, and learn to SPELL them correctly. Nothing
>looks more foolish and pretentious than some moron spouting words and phrases
>of which he so obviously has no understanding.
>> redundantly passe<
>That IS redundant, fella.
>>Whatever you surmise
>>
>>it's just your bullshit<
>And here we have to REAL bill conduit. A wannabe poet who must, at last, use
>the only language he REALLY knows at all.
>Mike
>
go for it MIKEY

now, what lesson did you
have to impart

was it how to spell
or listen to your limitless farts

was it words you deem
inappropriate or more

gee MIKEY
I could give a shit
help yourself to the door.

Mikey...what are you
so troubled by?

i looked it over real clear
did not find any spelling
that looked queer.

Mikey...well, what can I say
it's just not to your liking
Mikey, hold on to your limitations, it's ok

bill conduit
etc.

3616. Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Paul L." <merlet@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <37559BF1.1A72145B@eudoramail.com>
Source record: 116669

How about someone creating a Bill Cheeks NewsGroup. That way we don't have to download hundreds of useless posts. I don't know Bill, don't want to know Bill, and don't want to know anything about bill. I do want to read about scanners here. This Bill thing is getting old..... or maybe I am. I just can't believe that so many people can get so upset by this guy... I'm not for or against Bill, I'm just tired of seeing so much of the NG being taken up by this topic. Man I hope this ends soon....

3617. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <PYg53.268$j7.30892@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116670

Oh far out!. Snap, snap, SNAP! SnAP!

cool Maynard T. Yeah! Snap, SNap<

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:7j45ff$jbh@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com...
> In <19990602145317.28734.00000178@ng-cc1.aol.com> gdfthr768@aol.com
> (Gdfthr768) writes:
> >
> >If you want to sound like a drugged-out beatnik, that's your business,
> but at
> >least learn what the words MEAN, and learn to SPELL them correctly.
> Nothing
> >looks more foolish and pretentious than some moron spouting words and
> phrases
> >of which he so obviously has no understanding.
> >> redundantly passe<
> >That IS redundant, fella.
> >>Whatever you surmise
> >>
> >>it's just your bullshit<
> >And here we have to REAL bill conduit. A wannabe poet who must, at
> last, use
> >the only language he REALLY knows at all.
> >Mike
> >
> go for it MIKEY
>
> now, what lesson did you
> have to impart
>
> was it how to spell
> or listen to your limitless farts
>
> was it words you deem
> inappropriate or more
>
> gee MIKEY
> I could give a shit
> help yourself to the door.
>
> Mikey...what are you
> so troubled by?
>
> i looked it over real clear

> did not find any spelling
> that looked queer.
>
> Mikey...well, what can I say
> it's just not to your liking
> Mikey, hold on to your limitations, it's ok
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

3618. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602170654.03930.00000183@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 116671

Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:

>This is exactly correct. Code should be eliminated, and there should be
>ONE
>class of license. The the elitist attitude will be the death of ham
>radio.
>It is very unfortunate.

No Jim, elitist, or no other ATTITUDE will ever be the death of Ham radio. Filling it's ranks with a bunch of FOUL MOUTHED CB'ers would be it's CERTAIN DEATH! If you or anyone else can't qualify for a ham license, don't expect the whole world to change it's rules to make it EASY for you. CB RADIO is the best argument of why MORSE CODE should REMAIN a requirement for a HIGHER LEVEL Ham license. After all, the FCC already practically GIVES AWAY the NO-CODE Technician 'LICENSE'. Don't you know that the reason a Ham License has to be EARNED, is to make sure the person OPERATING it knows what he/she is doing? Once again, look at CB radio. This is one of the few SERVICES where a license is not required, and the results of this are very easy to see. HAM OPERATORS don't want THEIR service turned into another CB WASTELAND. Morse Code is still required by international agreement for Amateur Radio stations transmitting below 30 Mhz. You cannot, and I cannot (and would not) change this. Those that will not put forth the small amount of effort required to qualify for a Ham license, can pout. or languish in their CB HOME until THIER attitude changes! Happy CBing...

3619. Re: Scanner mod books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <37559EAA.AE4CA9B4@gnc.net>
Source record: 116672

Check out this link:

http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/Books.html

Robert

MacMac wrote:

> Anybody know good place to by scanner modification books?
> Like Scanner Modifications in Radios/Tech modifications #10A
> Thanks

3620. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: dshatzer1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602171643.05228.00000272@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 116673

It used to be that he was very much disliked on here.

YOU HAVE A GREAT IDEA!!!

3621. Great Lakes Shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcolenso@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602173003.03655.00000208@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 116674

Hi all:

I just signed on this NG. I belong to a few, so I know how they work.

We have property in N.E. Michigan, just a few miles from Lake Huron. Is there a WEB site or book or something that I can look up frequencies used by freighters on the Great Lakes?

Thanks for your help

Bob
KD8WU

3622. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7j47b2$la3$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 116675
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

ï¿½
>
>Please, this kind of reaching for humor really hertz.
>
>>I've heard of Hertz rent-a-car but didn't know they did cycles as well!

I've heard of mega-hertz is that the really large Hertz rental places like in
New York City "NEW YORK CITY?!"

NA NU NA NU!

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

3623. Re: CERCO OPTOELECTRONICS "CUB"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Choco Boco <tumbs@meow.com>
Message-ID: <7j4816$jl8$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116676

Luca wrote in message <7j3asg$n4o$1@nslave1.tin.it>...
>
>
>

Her name is Luca, she lives on the second floor......

Yikes...

I give this post 2 tumbs up.

Meow

Choco

3624. Re: CERCO OPTOELECTRONICS "CUB"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j47t8$jl2$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116677

Luca wrote in message <7j3asg$n4o$1@nslave1.tin.it>...
>
>
>

??

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3625. Re: CERCO OPTOELECTRONICS "CUB"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Choco Boco <tumbs@meow.com>
Message-ID: <7j4858jlc1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116678

```
>>Luca wrote in message <7j3asg$n4o$1@nslave1.tin.it>...
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Her name is Luca, she lives on the second floor......
>
>
>Oh no.........................
>
>-Phil
>
>-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
>-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>
>

Yeah, but what if we can't get the chicken to wear the nylons? NARF!
```

3626. Re: CERCO OPTOELECTRONICS "CUB"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j482s$jl9$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116679

Choco Boco wrote in message <7j4816$jl8$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>
>Luca wrote in message <7j3asg$n4o$1@nslave1.tin.it>...
>>
>>
>>
>
>Her name is Luca, she lives on the second floor......

Oh no.....

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3627. Re: restoring cellular freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7j48jf$la3$2@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 116680

ï¿½ dana <dana@randomc.com> Wrote this about listening to Cell Phones:
>
>i don't listen to them either, i was looking for those for a friend that don't
>have internet access. i have a couple of scanners around though.

That's what they all say " It's not me I was askin' for someone else"!
Of course in the light of Bill Cheek and the now unlawfull listening top 10
list, it's best not to admit to anything! "As in" Who me? I don't own an
assault rifle, switchblade knife, scanner, or pack of cigarettes. Why do you
ask?

>
>On Tue, 1 Jun 1999, Computer Technician wrote:
>
>> Date: Tue, 01 Jun 1999 07:30:36 -0500
>> From: Computer Technician <shinysideup@hotmail.com>
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>> Subject: Re: restoring cellular freqs?
>>
>> dana wrote:
>>
>> > looking for info on restoring cellular freqs on a unidon model
>> > bc 9000-xlt, if you have any info on how to get the
>> > freqs back, please e-mail me back, don't read the news group on a daily
>> > basis.
>>
>> Don't bother, I have several scanners that cover cell, it's as boring as
>> hell. I just don't see what the big deal over cell is.
>>
>> Bob

I nominate Bob for President, he's smarter than the one we have now by far!!!!!

==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

3628. Re: POLISH FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7j49gv$la3$3@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 116681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

ï¿½
>
>Joe Blow wrote:
>>
>> Jesus.. That explains it all.
>>
>> YOU'RE POLISH!!!

<SNIP>

No that's wrong, Jesus is Jewish the Pope is Polish!
Don't knock the Polish people they're very smartpeople!
It's the Germans that are stupid, they believed Hitler!

Joe Blow! Go out in your front yard and count the
number of cars you have up on blocks. It it's more
than 2 your a redneck and that would explain your
lack of understanding world history!

==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

3629. Re: 3000xlt battery problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JWIGTON@kc.rr.com
Message-ID: <3756a5c0.4255673@news-server>
Source record: 116682

On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 06:53:54 -0700, Brian
<Witch**Dr@usa0.0no0bulk0email0.net> wrote:

>Anlimc3 wrote:
>>
>> Are you sure its just a cordless phone pack. When I tore into my fathers
>> 3000XLT battery pack it seemed like the cells totalled 6 volts and all the
>> cordless phone cells I found at Radio Shack were 3.5 volt packs.
>
>Yep. It's an odd combo, theres like 2 AA's and one 1/2 of an AA. Of
>course they aren't really AA's but it's the same size and voltage. IIRC
>the number is BP3000A or BP300A. It's a Sanyo pack. Some of the packs
>actually have the tag on it but mine ripped when I pulled it out (it's
>glued in).

If my memory serves me correctly, the batteries are the 2/3 AA size.
You can get the replacement batteries in either NiCads or NiMH.

Wiggie

3630. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602174216.03930.00000206@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 116683

OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>...Morse Code.

In reference to what would be the 'DEATH OF HAM RADIO'. No, Morse Code will never be the DEATH of this service. Amateur Radio has been around for right at 70 years, and until recently, ALL classes of Ham license required the 'dreaded' Morse Code. A few years ago, the FCC, being sick and tired of all the WHINING, mostly from CBers, came up with the NO-CODE Technician (Wife's) license. (FLAME SHIELDS ARE UP)...Now this is ok, but any farther reduction in requirements, and Ham licenses might as well be put into CRACKER-JACKS boxes! Ham Radio has SERVIVED all these years WITH Morse Code, (and in my opinion, BECAUSE of Morse Code), and it can continue to survive with it. So CBers, cry your eyes out, and when you get tired enough of, 'BREAKER BREAKER GOOD BUDDY', get you a Ham License the OLD FASHIONED way. EARN IT! .... Joey!!!!!!!!!

3631. Re: SCA mod for Pro 60?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JWIGTON@kc.rr.com
Message-ID: <3757b096.7029793@news-server>
Source record: 116684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 01 Jun 1999 04:28:21 GMT, wtmerrit@softhome.net (Todd Merritt) wrote:

>i saw this message and was wondering what is sca that was asked about

Instead of trying to explain it it would just be easier to point you in the direction of some websites that have already done a good job of doing so. Go to http://www.toltbbs.com/~bhammond/sca.htm or http://www.phlat.com/sca.html.

Wiggie

3632. Re: Great Lakes Shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rbulea@erienet.net>
Message-ID: <3755A92A.6B64@erienet.net>
Source record: 116685

BColenso wrote:
>
> Hi all:
>
> I just signed on this NG. I belong to a few, so I know how they work.
>
> We have property in N.E. Michigan, just a few miles from Lake Huron. Is there
> a WEB site or book or something that I can look up frequencies used by
> freighters on the Great Lakes?
>
> Thanks for your help
>
> Bob
> KD8WU

Hi Bob,

Try this http://www.oakland.edu/boatnerd
Excellent Great Lakes Freighter site. Has frequency list.

Rick

3633. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: donald@NOSPAM.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <3755acca.5288431@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 116686
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On 01 Jun 1999 19:04:58 PDT, tool , whilst taking time out from picking fluf from his belly button, and befor picking his nose, sat down, pondered on why he sat down, stood up to scratched his ass in vain hope of stirring his two brain cells into action, decided to issue forth with the sum total of his irradiate wisdom and knowledge and did present the atypical yank psyche to the world when he penned:

>You dumb stupid fuck!!!!!!

Another dumb yank who has the arrogance to think he is an intelligent one!

>
>You dumb stupid fuck!!!!!!
>

But not intelligent enough to know that html should not be posted in an ascii based group!

Thank goodness we let 'em win the war of independance!

>
>You dumb stupid fuck!!!!!!
>

[]

(Hey Yank, try the following hats on and throw away the one that doesn't fit!)

People would follow him anywhere, but only out of morbid curiosity.

He is really not so much of a has-been, but more of a definite won't-be.

When he opens his mouth, it seems that it is only to change feet.

He would be out of his depth in a parking lot puddle.

He has delusion of adequacy.

I bet he sets low personal standards and then consistently fails to achieve them.

He is depriving a village somewhere of an idiot.

He would argue with a signpost.

He has a knack for making strangers immediately.

He brings a lot of joy whenever he leaves the room.

When his IQ reaches 50, he should sell.

If he were any more stupid, he'd have to be watered twice a week.

If you give him a penny for his thoughts, you'd get change.

If you stand close enough to comicus@usa.net, you can hear the oceans

It's hard to believe that he beat out 20,000,000 other sperm.

Some drink from the fountain of knowledge; he only gargled.

Takes him 2 hours to watch 60 minutes.

(Failed... 'cos you cant extract urine from excreta!)

With sincere apologies ALL other yanks - some of which I am proud to call my friends.
--
Donald Gray
Putting ODCOMBE on the Global Village Map
http://www.odcombe.demon.co.uk/

(Please replace the 'NOSPAM' with 'odcombe' in my email address)
(IE: donald@odcombe.demon.co.uk - Thanks)

3634. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <XSgGFMAIoaV3Ewuj@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 116687
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

In article <7j47b2$la3$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>, 1 Angry Man
<1AngryMan@antisocial.com> writes
>ï¿½
>>
>>Please, this kind of reaching for humor really hertz.
>>
>>>I've heard of Hertz rent-a-car but didn't know they did cycles as well!
>
>I've heard of mega-hertz is that the really large Hertz rental places like in
>New York City "NEW YORK CITY?!"
>
>NA NU NA NU!

Wasn't Hertz van Rental a dutch painter?

Mike
--
M.J.Powell.

3635. Re: Modification Albrecht (UNIDEN) Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mad Max <d.pfeiffer@westend.de>
Message-ID: <7j1ls5nbl1@swifty.westend.com>
Source record: 116707

>
>
>What it appears that you have there is an 890XLT. I would try a Yahoo and
>Dejanews search on "890xlt" and see what you get.

I already did, but found nothing about Mods.
Only thing I found (on the URL, you mentioned below....)is, that the 890xlt
can receive 29 to 54 MHz, but not my scanner. Might be blocked somehow....

>
>Also look at
>http://www.uniden.com/docs/product/prdetail.cfm?product=BC890XLT to see
what
>Uniden has to say about it.
>
>-Phil
>
>-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
>-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>
>

3636. Re: HELP IDENTIFYING THIS DIGITAL MODE SIGNAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Randy Munro <rpmunro@wave.home.com>
Message-ID: <375475E3.27DE64B9@wave.home.com>
Source record: 116708

Hi Jack, it sounds like 9600 baud packet and it is in the 430-450 mhz amateur band......in my area I think its used as a packet backbone!

Randy

Jack wrote:

> I'm picking up this signal on 430.100 Mhz and I wonder what it is exactly.
> Anybody has a clue ?
>
> Jack
>
> Name: DIGITAL MODE.WAV.WAV
> DIGITAL MODE.WAV.WAV Type: WAV (audio/wav)
> Encoding: x-uuencode

3637. Re: Need info on Motorola Alert Monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jammin5107@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7j22v6$72e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116709

In article <19990601194455.15357.00002811@ng-fm1.aol.com>,
rnav1@aol.comQnospamQ (RNAV1) wrote:
> Looking for the power cord pinout for model SP-2752551, also looking
for a
> source of crystals. Need to recrystal my UHF Alert Monitor. Thanks...
> rnav1@QnospamQaol.com (RNAV1)
>

Check your local phone book, Authorized Motorola service centers.
They should be able help you out.I know about 15 years ago the crystals
were $20.00. The cord can't be more than $5.00
Or you could try G&G Communications They service just about anything
They have tons of parts,used radios,parts radios,ect.
www.iinc.com/ggcom/
Happy Hunting.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3638. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: maddison@power.connexus.net.au
Message-ID: <3754791a.0@news.internex.net.au>
Source record: 116710
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In aus.radio.scanner Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote:
: maddison@power.connexus.net.au (David S. Maddison) wrote:

: >In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
: >: WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
: >: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
: >
: >
: >I have read that the Nazis tried to popularise Megacycles or the German
: >equivalent because they didn't want to use a unit named after a Jew,
: >Hertz.

: You heard wrong.

No I didn't. Reference to Hertz in "The Jewish connection" by M. Hirsh
Goldberg, ISBN 0-553-10870-0 p.103 says "When Hitler came to power in
Germany, universities were ordered to stop using the word "hertz" to label
a unit of physical measurement."

David Maddison

3639. PRO-2035 Tips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <a9%43.631$b41.1087@news.flash.net>
Source record: 116711

Hello All:
I have a PRO-2035 Scanner and would like to know if there are any radio shops which can "tighten" up the selectivity of this scanner. While scanning the VHF Marine range (which is 50% of my monitoring) I get pagers and all kinds of crap in-between channels. Also, the sensitivity isn't as good as the good ole PRO-2006.

Side by side comparisons both the PRO-26 and PRO-2006 scanners both provide better selectivity and sensitivity.

George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <---RadiosOnLine, Only Radio WEB site with a copy of the U.S. Constitution....Read it before King Clinton Bans it!
--

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

3640. RE: DIGITAL CELL PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiotube@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990601212920.09179.00009593@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 116712

Hi,
I was wondering now that cell phones are changing from analog to digital will scanners still be able to listen in in them.
Mario

3641. Scanner mod help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnemtb@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990601214640.28131.00007482@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 116713

I want to make a mod to my 200 xlt,235 scanners so i can use them with the trunker program. I need some web sites where i can find this info. I was told Bill Cheek has one but i dont know the url. Any help would be nice. Thanks

3642. FS MX-Com Voice Scrambling Boards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: rnelson@farmtel.net
Message-ID: <37549068.9791744@news.mwci.net>
Source record: 116714

I have 2 MX-Com voice scrambling boards. They are model MX P1087.1
These boards were removed from 2-way radios and will scramble received
and transmitted audio.

These boards encode the audio so it sounds like 2 ducks talking to
each other. I also have and will included the data sheets for
reinstallation.

$ 100.00 for the pair including Priority Mail shipping to the lower 48
states.

Please reply via e-mail.

3643. Re: FS REALISTIC PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990601220546.11637.00001427@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 116715

ya right!!!

remove nospam to email

3644. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <377789d7.28598800@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 116716
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

maddison@power.connexus.net.au (David S. Maddison) wrote:

>In aus.radio.scanner Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote:
>: maddison@power.connexus.net.au (David S. Maddison) wrote:
>
>: >In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>: >: WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
>: >: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
>: >
>: >
>: >I have read that the Nazis tried to popularise Megacycles or the German
>: >equivalent because they didn't want to use a unit named after a Jew,
>: >Hertz.
>
>: You heard wrong.
>
>
>
>No I didn't. Reference to Hertz in "The Jewish connection" by M. Hirsh
>Goldberg, ISBN 0-553-10870-0 p.103 says "When Hitler came to power in
>Germany, universities were ordered to stop using the word "hertz" to label
>a unit of physical measurement."

I dunno about Germany, but the term "Hertz" was not used in place of
megacycles/second until well after WW II.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3645. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j24dv$h6r@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116717

In <2pZ43.7$4r6.4983@news.uswest.net> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
writes:
>
>

>>
>>

>
>His WWW page and its egotistical remarks about MDT go against him.
>

this is very very low.

says something about the
purveyor of words
in this thread coming
forth....a putrid
smack in the eye.

we have the right to publish and
to be egotistical or no. since
when does the fuckin government assembled
in their little porticos have the right
to act as GOD.

seems that what is occurring is that
we are beginning to see that KING Bill and
Queen Hillarity are helping government
agencies take us back to the days of
the Inquisition or earlier.

Every one listen up, the KING and
Queen speak: you listen and if you
disagree, off with your head.

Rights we took for granted are being
eroded in the name of "appropriateness"
...a new socialistic way of taking away
and giving all back to the "in power"

Look at what the elected dolts are
proposing: Guns. You buy and gun. you shoot
a people. you go to jail. And they sue
the maker for making the gun.

What about a kitchen KNIFE. same innuendo.
where do you draw the line.

remember: showing masturbation on tv is
inappropriate and jailable to those that
air it and those that perform it and
those that sell it and those that just
enjoy watching it. or child porno: you
pick up a mag and there are little
kids naked in frolic joy and you
get jailed. why? All you did was
LOOK.

in bill's case: well, he was too egotistical.

On JULY fourth...stay home. it's passed you
b by.

bill conduit
etc.

3646. FA: Pro 38 on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990601221929.13212.00008011@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 116718

goto http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=110437019

3647. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: maddison@power.connexus.net.au
Message-ID: <375499bb.0@news.internex.net.au>
Source record: 116719
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In aus.radio.scanner tool <comicus@usa.net> wrote:

: You dumb stupid fuck!!!!!!

Is this a self-referential statement?

: You brain dead ignorant one inch penised mouth breathing bigot!!!!

Is this a self-referential statement?

: Not only does your bigotry show through but so does your ignorance.
: The Hertz was dedicated well after your nazi friends were feeding
: maggots like you should be.

What bigotry would this be? What "nazi friends" would these be? How on earth could you construe what I said to be bigotry? I was stating an historical fact (at least, as stated in one source) and later provided a reference for it. And since I am Jewish, I could hardly be described as bigot in this context. Are you a first class moron or what?

You must be one of the most amazingly ignorant, stupid and illiterate individuals I have ever encountered (for a week or so, anyway).

BTW you should learn to include the text you are referring to and not post in HTML.

David Maddison

3648. Re: restoring cellular freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990601231218.28059.00005113@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 116720

dana-
You could search the room archives to find threads on this subject, the "trick" for hearing images of cell's on the 9000 have been posted here quite a few times.
Basically, you set the scanner to search the area between 300 MHz and 500 MHz. The default settings here (I believe) are AM mode and 12.5 kHz step. Simply change the mode to NFM, and the step size to 5 kHz. Then search. It's very easy, nothing involved as regards physical modification. Just a keyboard trick, and your mileage may vary.
I will agree with Bob, however, that cell-call monitoring, besides being (unenforceably) illegal, is about as interesting as watching paint dry. Just my opinion, but there are FAR more interesting comms to listen to than cell's.
Anyway, have fun, be safe, stay cool-
Mike

3649. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j27k1$5mq@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116721

In <z6153.160$4r6.32900@news.uswest.net> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
writes:
>
>
>BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote ...
>> In <2pZ43.7$4r6.4983@news.uswest.net> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
>> writes:
>> >
>> >>> >His WWW page and its egotistical remarks about MDT go against
him.
>> >
>>
>> this is very very low.
>
>
>Low? No the truth. His ego and WWW page is why he is busted and not
opto. i
>don't care if you want tt worship Bill but use a little common sense.
>
>
Oh give your catharsis a rest. Worship.
GET really stupid in your
fighting at windmills...

EGO is not a crime. Neither
is posting how to do what no
one can do without the directions
to. a maker was making them.

How did that fact get passed your confusion?

No one is worshipping his one=ness.
Bill was there for anyone over the years
offering help and succulence when needed
and one who is taken back by his givingness,
his being a TUFF guy in Vietnam
and had an office on Hotel Street
during leave...well, you just got
to like the guy because he was able
to like many people.

Are you listening? What a jerk. Gee, are
you running for office some place? Hide.

>> smack in the eye.
>>

>
>(snip)
>
>Oh boy here comes the paranoid right wing ramblings.

these must be yours. I am not a right-ringer.

never have been, never willfully.

totally wrong on your uplift.

Sorry, I am not Jewish either. that
would be a nice experience.
Anger control: gee, one has the gall
to assume what one cannot perceive
is real, now all of a sudden I am
angry...make up your mind.
what child insults: i was and still am
matching the insult to the
kharma of the giver...YOU.
profanity: what in the hell is that?
a right-wing self-defense mechanism
to purify one's bowell movements in
silence and unknowing...it's a sin
to say you are going to dump shit,
right. Or to let loose with a
droopy whizz.

Were you wearing your
>Army of Isreal when you wrote this rehtoric? Have you always had an
anger
>control problem? Have you ever disagreed or debated soemone without
childish
>insults and profanity? I haven't seen it on this NG but thought maybe
you
>had a WWW site for reference.
>
OH yes, it is thee. It's been divulged.
You got it and now you deny getting it.

make up your mind.

>
>> in bill's case: well, he was too egotistical.
>
>That's what I said...that's what always has gotten Bill in jams.
>
no, I was quoting you. You took the quote-marks off
to insinuate I was on your side.

it's a major premise: if you are insulted by Bill's
jamming, then you were insulted at birth. They
poked you with an ugly stick...it stuck.

he told the truth. that takes ego.

bill conduit
etc.

3650. Re: Lo-Jack Frequencys ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: scape3000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990601232621.18945.00003757@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 116722

>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

WHAT?
WHAT?
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
ANGER IS A GIFT.
<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

3651. Re: Lo-Jack Frequencys ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: scape3000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990601233327.18945.00003761@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 116723

>>> > Don't happen to know freq for hidden bank
>>> > bait money transmitters(RAT units), or transmit freq for Pac-Tel
>tracking
>>> > system that is similar to Lo-Jack ??
>>>
>>> Watch this guy be a heroin junky or a bank robber :)
>>makes you wonder, huh?
>
>Most bank robbers will steal a car and then use it to commit the crime.
>Hence the desire for Lojack freq's. Banks are starting to shy away from dye
>packs because they permanently damage the money, while the bag beepers allow
>the money to be recovered in tact and prove to be more effective in finding
>the robber(s). I know this from listening to countless robberies occur in
>the Phoenix area.
>
>Most of these clowns get caught a few minutes after the crime. One was
>caught a few blocks away from the scene where the bag beeper led the police
>to a local strip club, where the robber was happily stuffing $100 bills in
>the g-strings of the amazed dancers.
>
>However one guy almost got away during a robbery that I heard... The guy
>robbed a bank and quite literally vanished. The cops followed the bag beeper
>up to the truck of an an old man who was unfortunate enough to have been at
>the same red light as the robber, who tossed the device into the back of his
>truck. The cops were ready to color this one gone when they finally received
>an anonymous tip from none other than the guy's girlfriend, who was scared
>and didn't want to run anymore. This was HOURS after the crime occurred.
>
>In the guys vehicle was the money, the weapon, a couple of very popular
>frequency guides and (count 'em) 4 scanners. Did this guy have the bag
>beeper frequency programmed in? I'd bet that he did. Scary.
>
>So no, even though I know where you can obtain the bag beeper freq's and the
>lojack freq's, you won't get them from me. I think that anyone who offers or
>asks for such things in a public forum like this is an absolute fool.
>There's only one reason why someone would want to monitor these channels in
>my opinion. Maybe I'm wrong, but nonetheless...
>
>All I can say is do a little research. Seek and ye shall find. That way, if
>your intent is to commit felonies with your scanner, you can't say I showed
>you how.
>

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
Z Z Z Z Z Z Z z z z z Z z Z z Z z Z z Z

Sorry.

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
ANGER IS A GIFT.
<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<

3652. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7j299j$td9$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 116724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

>> >REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>>
>> You also need to stop eatin that NAZI food and switch to somthing healthy like
>> ToFo or veggamite!

>Excuse me bbut sauerkraut is Polish food and not nazi food.
>Please take yuor dum witted insults somewhere else.
>

You have a signature like the one below and then you say I should take my "dum witted" (your
spelling) insults somewhere else? Kinda like the pot calling the kettel black ain't it?

And any how since the Blitzkreg of 1939 it's German cause the NAZI's stole it like everything
else Hitler could get his hands on!! And possession is 9/10 of the law!!

>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

3653. FS: Realistic PRO-2022 $140

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: watsons@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990601234257.03865.00007970@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 116725

For Sale, Radio Shack PRO-2022 in very good condition, $130. Comes with photocopy of owners manual and original telescoping antenna. I will pay for UPS COD shipping to the lower 48 states buyer pays differance to anywhere else.

Specs for this scanner can be found with newsgroup searchs or I will supply them to interested buyers.

Please E-mail responses.

Scott

watsons@aol.com

3654. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <377b97e3.32195311@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 116726
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) wrote:

>maddison@power.connexus.net.au (David S. Maddison) wrote:
>
>>In aus.radio.scanner Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote:
>>: maddison@power.connexus.net.au (David S. Maddison) wrote:
>>
>>: >In aus.radio.scanner OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>>: >: WELL ACTUALLY I PREFER THE TERM MEGACYCLES. IT IS MORE ACCURATE SINCE
>>: >: IT HAS TO DO WITH THE CYCLES OF THE WAVES
>>: >
>>: >
>>: >I have read that the Nazis tried to popularise Megacycles or the German
>>: >equivalent because they didn't want to use a unit named after a Jew,
>>: >Hertz.
>>
>>: You heard wrong.
>>
>>
>>
>>No I didn't. Reference to Hertz in "The Jewish connection" by M. Hirsh
>>Goldberg, ISBN 0-553-10870-0 p.103 says "When Hitler came to power in
>>Germany, universities were ordered to stop using the word "hertz" to label
>>a unit of physical measurement."
>
>I dunno about Germany, but the term "Hertz" was not used in place of
>megacycles/second until well after WW II.

Here's some info from the web...

http://www.knls.org/webfile/DXDEF1.HTML#Hertz

The term cycles was changed to Hertz, in the 1970's in honor of Heinrich
Hertz, a German scientist who first discovered radio waves in 1887.
Older radio receivers and books may still use the term kilocycles and
megacycles, abbreviated kc and mc, but today kiloHertz and megaHertz are
abbreviated k, capital H, z, and m, capital H, z.

and...

http://www.electronics2000.com/radio/

Radio signals are composed of many repeating waves of electromagnetic
energy. The waves of the frequency is so high, that they have to be
expressed in kilocycles (thousands of cycles) or megacycles (millions of

cycles) per second! About 20 years ago it was decided to substitute Hertz (Hz) for "cycles per second", thereby honoring Heinrich Hertz, a 19th century physicist who pioneered the study of radio waves.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3655. 2040 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: IronHead <slipkid@kwiknet.net>
Message-ID: <_6253.124$J86.52520@typ11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 116727

is there anyone out there that knows if a 2040 can be modified in anyway?

3656. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: maddison@power.connexus.net.au
Message-ID: <3754b75f.0@news.internex.net.au>
Source record: 116728
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In aus.radio.scanner Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net> wrote:

[..]

: Here's some info from the web...

: http://www.knls.org/webfile/DXDEF1.HTML#Hertz

: The term cycles was changed to Hertz, in the 1970's in honor of Heinrich
: Hertz, a German scientist who first discovered radio waves in 1887.
: Older radio receivers and books may still use the term kilocycles and
: megacycles, abbreviated kc and mc, but today kiloHertz and megaHertz are
: abbreviated k, capital H, z, and m, capital H, z.

: and...

: http://www.electronics2000.com/radio/

: Radio signals are composed of many repeating waves of electromagnetic
: energy. The waves of the frequency is so high, that they have to be
: expressed in kilocycles (thousands of cycles) or megacycles (millions of
: cycles) per second! About 20 years ago it was decided to substitute
: Hertz (Hz) for "cycles per second", thereby honoring Heinrich Hertz, a
: 19th century physicist who pioneered the study of radio waves.

Perhaps Hertz units were used in Germany pre-WWII? This is worth
investigating.

David Maddison

3657. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: maddison@power.connexus.net.au
Message-ID: <3754bbde.0@news.internex.net.au>
Source record: 116729
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In aus.radio.scanner David S. Maddison <maddison@power.connexus.net.au> wrote:

[..]

: Perhaps Hertz units were used in Germany pre-WWII? This is worth
: investigating.

Ok. I now have the answer and it seems my claim that the Nazis prohibited the use of the term "Hertz" is quite explicable because that term WAS in use in Germany for some time before WWII.

The Oxford English Dictionary (the complete version) gives historical uses of the term Hertz. Pre-war uses are noted as follows:

1928 BBC Handbook: "Hertz - a term sometimes used to designate frequency..."

1934 Electrical Engineering (US) LIII "It was proposed by the Italian committee that the term Hertz be given to the unit of frequency...THE HERTZ IS USED ALMOST EXCLUSIVELY IN GERMAN TECHNICAL LITERATURE"

David Maddison

3658. Re: Where to buy SS tubing for discone radials?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <CEFB26617A4111A9.5F7692C927D081DB.96D6412B2EE57A28@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Or better, you could put arms on the cone's side and have it hold a candle like those little christmas ornaments with the candle's fire being a single christmas light.

> Yeah, and add a styrofoam head, and gossamer wings, and it can be
> disguised as an angel.

3659. Scanner Modification Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: drakkarr@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7j2k32$c0g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116731

1. How can I fix my scanner so I can pick up 174 - 406 MHz. (Military Freq)

2. Do anybody know the GA State Troopers freq. in the Atlanta Metro AREA

Thank you,

M. Cooper, Jr.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3660. Scanner Modification Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: drakkarr@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7j2kjn$c54$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116732

1. How can I fix my scanner so I can pick up 174 - 406 MHz.
(Military Freq)

2. Do anybody know the GA State Troopers freq. in the Atlanta Metro AREA

Thank you

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3661. FS : Icom R-100 Communication Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Post Man" <cmykprinting@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7j2kv6$c81$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116733

Hello,
I'm from Indonesia
I have an ICOM R-100 for sale
Excellent condition (95 %)
* Dimensions: 5.9 (W), 2.0 (H), 7.1 (D), 3.1 lb
* 100 kHz - 1856 MHz (Full Unblocked)
* AM, FM, WFM
* Mobile
* 100 Memories
* 20 Scan Edge Memories
* RF Attenuator (20 dB)
* Preamp (15 dB)
* 24 Hour Clock
* AFC
* Auto Noise Limiter
* Keyboard Entry

With box, manual, car mounting, power supply cord, cigarette lighter
cord
Sorry, antenna is not included (I've lost the original whhip antenna
and
the wire antenna)

Cash or trade with Icom IC-735 + Ext. HF Tuner or Yaesu FT 757 GX II +
Ext.
HF Tuner
Please send me your quotation to hongky@altavista.n

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3662. Scanner mod books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: MacMac <sforrest@soltec.net>
Message-ID: <01beaccb$69018b40$0bd194ce@default>
Source record: 116734

Anybody know good place to by scanner modification books?
Like Scanner Modifications in Radios/Tech modifications #10A
Thanks

3663. Re: Yupi 7100 VS BC3000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ray Colvill <rcolvill@es.co.nz>
Message-ID: <01beaccb$6f0e4fa0$ca814dd1@rcolvill->
Source record: 116735

My 7100 worked like a charm for 5 years.

For a beginner go for the last of the new ones.

I still have the 7100 light mod available if one wants to email me.

My new 9000M3 works even better all round.

I have no pager breakthrough in NZ, but a lot of stuff below 30 MHz
can now be heard on a good aerial.

Lee Rothman <dcisive@earthlink.net> wrote in article
<MPG.11bb0c39be9eb5f49897c8@news.earthlink.net>...
> You should have ordered one of the Bandercom modified MVT-9000m2.4's and
> your pager problem would likely have not occurred. It is far superior to
> all the AOR's and for that matter, other then not having the computer
> interface, is likely the best handheld out there PERIOD.
>
>

3664. Re: Question RE: Airphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <##VKPqMr#GA.227@nih2naaf.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 116736

joepwalker@webtv.net (Joe Walker) wrote:
> (1) Paperwork I've read stated that the 400 mhz assignments are for
> private aircraft. Does that mean ALL private aircraft? In other words,
> does Joe Blow in a single engine cessna utilize the same 400 mhz freqs
> as say Donald Trump in his $$$ aircraft?

Yep, totally egalitarian. Of course, Joe Blow might have a little more of
a problem coming up with the bucks for the installation.

> I know that cell phones are not permitted on-board a commercial aircraft
> - is the same true for private aircraft?

The FCC and the service providers get very uptight if you transmit from
altitude. The cell system assumes everyone is close to the ground and
depends on signal strength to determine what cell you're in. Transmitting
from 10,000 ft confuses the central computer and can screw up the channel
for a half dozen different cells.

> (2) Are the 800 mhz ground stations for commercial phone traffic (ie:
> GTE Airfone) duplex or simplex on their signal retransmission? Also, on
> 800 mhz, is there still the annoying carrier that the 400 mhz is
> supposed to carry?

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on ftp://oak.oakland.edu
as /pub/hamradio/docs/misc/scanner.faf

Air to Ground Telephone [22.521]

Ch Ground Airborne

Signaling 454.675 (459.675)
6 454.700 459.700
7 454.725 459.725
5 454.750 459.750
8 454.775 459.775
4 454.800 459.800
9 454.825 459.825
3 454.850 459.850
10 454.875 459.875
2 454.900 459.900
11 454.925 459.925
1 454.950 459.950
12 454.975 459.975

Transmissions are FM. Ground stations repeat the airborne
half of the conversation, and transmit a continuous tone when

idle. From one to four channels are assigned in a particular
area.
Service is used on private aircraft.

800 MHz Air to Ground Telephone [22.1107]

- - Airborne - -
Blk Ch 1 - Ch 29

10 894.0055 - 894.1735
9 894.2055 - 894.3735
8 894.4055 - 894.5735 Guard Band -
7 894.6055 - 894.7735 .x765-.x797
6 894.8055 - 894.9735
5 895.0055 - 895.1735 Control Channels -
4 895.2055 - 895.3735 .x813-.x973
3 895.4055 - 895.5735
2 895.6055 - 895.7735
1 895.8055 - 895.9735

Transmissions are AM (or AM/SSB), and converting to digital.
Channels are spaced 6 kHz apart. A specific block of 29 channels
is assigned to each geographical area. Ground frequencies are 45
MHz lower and do not echo the airborne half of the conversation.
Service is used on passenger airlines.

3665. FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <375506D5.C1DC684D@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 116737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,atl.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the scanner newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
Picking A Scanner/Receiver
Scanner Glossary
Scanner Model FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
FCC Frequency Databases
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Co-ax Cable
Receiver Range
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargeable Battery Tips
Radio Discussion Lists
Radio Modifications
Radio Shack On-line Manuals
Uniden On-line Manuals
AOR On-line Manuals
Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
Used Scanner Price Guide
Radio Monitoring Software
Receivers Covering Military Aero
Computer Control
*Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
*Do I Need An Amplifier?
My Web Page
Courtesy

*=Recently added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm

Happy reading!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

3666. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375743BA.1017@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116388
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

C. Johnson wrote:
>
> I wouldn't suppose it would be impossible to do. If you're listening to
> someone go into their voice mail, you can hear the tones they use for their
> password. Once you're in, you can do anything you want. I'm not an expert,
> but that's how I think it would work.
>
> EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:b6C53.1285$EU4.427@stones...
> >
> > Darren Mulligan <dmul3@excite.com> wrote in message
> > news:3756A178.42598A4F@excite.com...
> > > Their address is 2020@abc.com
> > >
> > > OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I was just wondering if anybody seen the news program about cordless
> > > > phones. They mentioned some guy with a scanner was getting info on
> his
> > > > neighbors and tapping into their voice mail boxes. No where did they
> > > > mention the good that scanner people do. Very one sided. Very
> > > > sensational type of newscast. What about Skywarn/Canwarn, helping
> > > > police? What about listening to the Space Shuttle? Very porrly done.
> > > > --
> > > > REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > > > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
> > >
> >
> > typical bloody media, thick as poop !, since when can you "tap" into a
> > voicemail system with a damm scanner ?. listen to it perhaps but
> impossible
> > to "use" it
> >
> > usual story
> >
> > gov, backed mis-information to get rid of scanners...
> >
> > **ck 'em
> >
> > eavsdropper
> >
> >
SO YOU HEAR THE TONES. BUT YOU WOULD HAVE TO KNOW WHAT TONES.

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3667. 3000xlt/2500xlt Carry Case on eBay!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: T <sp33d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <03061999201137441 1%sp33d@my-deja.com>
Source record: 116389
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a new genuine Uniden leather like scanner carry case with belt loop for sale on eBay at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=113302542

Thanks

3668. Re: need fcc freq url

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3757494C.5C97@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116390
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Famwiley wrote:
>
> My disk crashed , can someone e-mail me directly, the url for the fcc freq
> site.
>
> thanks
>
> kevin

http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

3669. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Kelnhofer <n2zxz@monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <37574755.5FE73B21@monmouth.com>
Source record: 116391

>
> > >
> SO YOU HEAR THE TONES. BUT YOU WOULD HAVE TO KNOW WHAT TONES.
> --
>

He probably had a DTMF decoder hooked up some where along the line to get the phone #'s. That would explain him getting the passwords.

3670. Re: USN Blue's freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37574BB3.2767@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116392
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Mike wrote:
>
> Does any one have the freqs for the Blues???
> Mike
> csacav@hotmail.com

Check out the following URL:

http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios/blue.htm

3671. Re: FCC Master Frequency Databases on CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jshaffer@mail.csrlink.net
Message-ID: <3757325a.335897295@news.csrlink.net>
Source record: 116393
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 03 Jun 1999 08:44:23 GMT, tom@No_Spam.net (Tom G.) wrote:

>Be careful, what you are talking about is illegal.

Why? Shouldn't the information be public-domain? If so, what's wrong with
charging the cost of media and shipping? The NTIS sure as hell doesn't need
$500 (or whatever it was) to provide a copy!

--
home page: http://woodstock.csrlink.net/~jshaffer

3672. Re: Canoga Park, CA Frq Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7j7jev$14f$1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 116394
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Canoga Park is smack in the middle of the City of Los Angeles' San Fernando Valley. They get their police service from LAPD and fire/EMS from LA City Fire.

LAPD: North of Roscoe Blvd is in Devonshire Division ("17--" units), dispatch frequency is 506.8875.

South of Roscoe is West Valley Division ("10--" units), disptach frequency is
506.7125 (shared with Van Nuys Division #9).

For car-to-car they use either their dispatch freq in simplex mode, or Rover 5, 507.2626. Also, they're now using some "Astro" frequencies in the 482-band for tactical stuff.

##########

LA City Fire Dept: Valley Dispatch "OCD" is on Channel 8, 858.4375. Fireground in the Valley is usually on Channel 3, 859.7625

Canoga Park is mostly in LAFD Battalion 17, with fire stations 72, 73, 84, 93, 100, 105 & 106.

LAFD Rescue Ambulance resources in Canoga Park would be "RA's" 87, 93, 100, & 105, plus EMS 3 (supervisor) out of 100's

Note that LAFD has 24 800-band frequencies but does NOT use trunking. Everything is on conventional repeaters. Now isn't that nice. (Ask Brian Humphrey at LAFD Public Affairs how they managed to do THAT!

LAFD homepage is www.lafd.org and they list all their frequencies at http://www.lafd.org/freqncy.htm

LAPD is at www.lapdonline.org

Harry Marnell, N6URU
and I'm at ~~~> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

Ed wrote in message <7j750k$84d$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>...
>Can anyone post the public safety frequencies for Canoga Park, CA? I am not
>sure if they have their own police agency and do their own dispatching or
>are dispatched by a sheriff's department.

3673. Re: FS:Uniden BC760xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: rusty <rusty@dccnet.com>
Message-ID: <37576D3E.42C2CE4D@dccnet.com>
Source record: 116395

Do you still have the scanner?
I am interested please let me know
Thanks
Russ

catdoc wrote:

> I have a used Uniden Bearcat 760xlt Scanner for sale...It was used only
> a short time and looks new..no bracket or manual..$100.00 plus
> shipping...

3674. frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kuahiwi <kuahiwi@ilhawaii.net>
Message-ID: <7j7que$526$1@nuhou.aloha.net>
Source record: 116396

Hello, Hello, Hello...

I need HPD frequencies for the Big Island of Hawaii!

Can anyone help me?

Mahalo,

<kuahiwi@altavista.com>

3675. bc235 discrominator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: porscheemb@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603161952.20819.00000130@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 116438

What does this discriminator look like etc??

3676. Re: Modification Albrecht (UNIDEN) Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mad Max <d.pfeiffer@westend.de>
Message-ID: <7j6mt5o1@swifty.westend.com>
Source record: 116439

Phil Smalley schrieb in Nachricht
<7j1mt0$m4v$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>Ahhhh... Methinks you have an UBC-890xlt - the european version! If so then
>you should have full cell capability already. Try this. Set the search
>limits to 869 and 894 and press search. If the unit doesn't display an
error
>along the way then you undoubtedly have a UBC-890 clone. Not too bad... ;-)
>
>-Phil
>
>-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
>-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>

OK...seems to me that you are right, but:

My scanner supports these frequencies (869 to 894) Here are the frequencies:

66 to 88 MHz
108 to 174 MHz
216 to 512 MHz
806 to 956 MHz

but it switches (depending on frequency) to FM or to AM automatically. I
would like to select the modes by myself..
me thinks the best solution is to sell this damn thing and buy a new Voodoo3
instead!

Dirk

3677. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7j6ouqajf1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Jim Dunnett wrote in message <3756bc4c.2850079@news.cwcom.net>...
>On Thu, 03 Jun 1999 03:56:48 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva
>Nataraja) wrote:
>
>Nonsense. Until the ITU removes the requirement, it stays.
>Individual administrations cannot remove it unilaterally.
>
>--
>Regards, Jim. | Plus je vis d'�trangers, plus

You are correct, but it's still a lie. All the ITU says is that the operator
has to show knowledge of CW. It doesn't say what speed. It doesn't say how. It doesn't say different classes.

Technically, "a dash is three times as long as a dot" would be enough to satisfy the ITU requirement.

The first step is the elimination of the different speeds of CW. That's going to happen, whether the CW freaks howl or not. So ask yourself, what happens to the CW subbands when the requirement becomes a single speed? Think they'll stay the same? Maybe, for a short while. But I'll bet they 'shrink' a little. Then more, then more.

All this CW / anti-CW argument is doing is fostering an us vs. them attitude, and the result is that when the CW requirements lighten up, then fade away, so will the subbands. And without a subband to protect it, new operators will fall off.

There will always be diehard CW freaks. There are a number of areas where CW is the only way to go. (And no, I'm not going to use that really
lame and BS argument of 'what if someone is in an accident and their mic is broken?' line. Hey, if frogs had wings, they wouldn't bang their ass on the ground when they hop either!) But without the 'fun' areas for
CW, it will begin a downward slide.

What's the answer? I sure don't know. But I DO feel that the surest way to accelerate the slide is to bicker and post BS arguments on CW being 'required' with implications that don't match facts. Or to state it is
a 'twit filter'. Or that it's required for those times you car runs off the road
and you only have CW to get through.

Mike
N1DVJ

3678. Electra Tigerscan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shaun Whitman <lstrain@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eImBHHgr#GA.328@cpmsnbbsa03>
Source record: 116441
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've been thinking about getting one just to keep around for when I don't feel like lugging around a bigger unit. For those who have or tried it, how is the reception on it. From the pics it looks like you get a stubby VHF antenna. I already read the review on StrongSignals.net, and it really cought my eye.
How does the sensitivity compare with say...a new uniden portable with the factory duck antenna?
I just want something to compare it to to make my decision.

Thanks,
Shaun Whitman
shaun@railfan.net
Central Jersey Rails
http://JerseyRails.Railfan.net

3679. Re: Television news program about cordless phones.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <RZB53.64$h14.209@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 116442

Murray A. Inch wrote in message <7j4shl$rl9$1@news.storm.ca>...
>
>True for you in the US. BUT it's all legal here in Canada...just thought I
>would point this out as the original post was from Toronto, Canada.

No, it is not legal in Canada. Canadians just insist on saying that it is.
Your federal government disagrees.

3680. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <7E1B063AE741D3CC.4DBFAACCF11D56FA.A4D602572CC44D09@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

> Nonsense. Until the ITU removes the requirement, it stays.
> Individual administrations cannot remove it unilaterally.

How much you wanna bet that code will be gone in less than 6months from the tests?

3681. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rudi Mulder <mulder36@xs4all.nl>
Message-ID: <7j6qfp$bd9$3@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 116444
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

M.J.Powell heeft geschreven in bericht ...
>In article <7j47b2$la3$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>, 1 Angry Man
><1AngryMan@antisocial.com> writes
>>&#65533;
>>>
>>>Please, this kind of reaching for humor really hertz.
>>>
>>>>I've heard of Hertz rent-a-car but didn't know they did cycles as well!
>>
>>I've heard of mega-hertz is that the really large Hertz rental places like
in
>>New York City "NEW YORK CITY?!"
>>
>>NA NU NA NU!
>
>Wasn't Hertz van Rental a dutch painter?
>
>Mike

think everything originated from Holland LOL
>--
>M.J.Powell.

3682. Re: Need MDT program.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <IaC53.1289$EU4.43@stones>
Source record: 116445

Emoney250 <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990602201039.05419.00000278@ng-co1.aol.com...
> My local police will be using MDT really soon and I need a lead on where
to get
> software for my PC (and my Pro-91) so that I may monitor MDT traffic. By
the
> way, they are using a Motorola trunked system.
>
>
> Thank you very much for your help

go to, http://listen.to/eavsdropper

or
http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk

regards

eavsdropper.....

3683. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <b6C53.1285$EU4.427@stones>
Source record: 116446
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Darren Mulligan <dmul3@excite.com> wrote in message
news:3756A178.42598A4F@excite.com...
> Their address is 2020@abc.com
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
> >
> > I was just wondering if anybody seen the news program about cordless
> > phones. They mentioned some guy with a scanner was getting info on his
> > neighbors and tapping into their voice mail boxes. No where did they
> > mention the good that scanner people do. Very one sided. Very
> > sensational type of newscast. What about Skywarn/Canwarn, helping
> > police? What about listening to the Space Shuttle? Very porrly done.
> > --
> > REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>

typical bloody media, thick as poop !, since when can you "tap" into a
voicemail system with a damm scanner ?. listen to it perhaps but impossible
to "use" it

usual story

gov, backed mis-information to get rid of scanners...

**ck 'em

eavsdropper

3684. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsteiner@isis.visi.com
Message-ID: <slrn7ldslm.pms.rsteiner@isis.visi.com>
Source record: 116447
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In article <7j6hcvdce1@nwanews.nwa.com>, Donna L. Kregel wrote:

>I agree completely with the other Stephen. Listening to police scanners for
>accident or emergency details is downright sick, in my estimation.

Wouldn't that depend on the reason he's listening? I beleive some people
use such things as information sources, not as forms of entertainment.

--
-Rich Steiner >>>---> rsteiner@visi.com >>>---> Bloomington, MN
Written online using slrn 0.9.5.4!
The Theorem Theorem: If If, Then Then.

3685. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: suranyi@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7j72n1$t88$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116448
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In article <7j6n2vcbc1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>,
"Bryan Stethem" <bstethem@attco.com> wrote:

> As for the purpose of this NG, I believe that the majority of us in
this
> group are
> here to learn and share information on how to do what you find so
> objectionable,
> BETTER.

I believe there is a clear miscommunication going on here, brought
upon by the mechanics of USENET.

I believe Donna came upon these posts in rec.travel.air, where
the majority of people are NOT in the group to learn how to use
scanners, but to discuss air travel.

You obviously came upon these posts in rec.radio.scanner, where that
IS the focus of conversation.

This is a side-effect of cross posting. Different groups of people
come to the same message from entirely different angles.

Ed Suranyi

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3686. FS: Motorola 900 MHZ Maxtrac High Power

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Derek Maxwell <maxwellw@comcat.com>
Message-ID: <928446662.033.8@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 116449

I have a Motorola Maxtrac 900Mhz High Power Unit. This radio covers 1 conventional channel, 8 trunked fleets with 7 subfleets each. I think it is the 35 watt model. If you aren't interested in buying, could you at least tell me what it is worth. It has a mic and a power cable but no mounting bracket. Thanks!

Derek Maxwell
maxwellw@comcat.com

3687. FS:Uniden BC235xlt Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: catdoc <catdoc@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3756F99D.1AAC8939@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 116450

I have a new Uniden Bearcat scanner for sale..Its New in the box...$!50.00 plus shipping...

3688. FS:Uniden BC760xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: catdoc <catdoc@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3756FB2D.DCA7FD58@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 116451

I have a used Uniden Bearcat 760xlt Scanner for sale...It was used only a short time and looks new..no bracket or manual..$100.00 plus shipping...

3689. Re: FS:Uniden BC235xlt Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dwarren2@n0.spam.rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <02D53.535$_U4.25768@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 116452

In article <3756F99D.1AAC8939@bellsouth.net>, catdoc <catdoc@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>I have a new Uniden Bearcat scanner for sale..Its New in the
>box...$!50.00 plus shipping...
>
Is the $50 or $150?

_
(_) Daniel Warren, RPh
_______// Marion NY
(________) Clinical Staff Pharmacist - Strong Memorial Hospital
\ / dwarren@frontiernet.net
| Rx |
/______\
(________)

3690. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <37571a68@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 116453
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

St Stephen Ames <stephen@stephenames.com> wrote in message
news:3756A5F8.3A84@stephenames.com...
> Why don't you become a volunteer fireman or paramedic if you are into
> the horrible tragedy involved in such disasters and put your morbid
> sense of curiousity to some good use...

What the hell do you think we own scanners for? Sure, I agree anything like
this is a tragedy, but that doesn't make us morbid.
It's a hobby we enjoy, be it good or bad things we hear.
Personally, I'd rather hear it first hand before the media twists the hell
out of it.

3691. Re: Television news program about cordless phones.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Medica - System Administrator <Jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org>
Message-ID: <375703AE.D2D5CCB2@sdf.lonestar.org>
Source record: 116454

Murray A. Inch wrote in message <7j4shl$rl9$1@news.storm.ca>...
>
>True for you in the US. BUT it's all legal here in Canada...just
thought I
>would point this out as the original post was from Toronto, Canada.

No, it is not legal in Canada. Canadians just insist on saying that it
is.
Your federal government disagrees.

Comment: Murray A. Inch

Whos right, and whos wrong! suggest you do some reading up!.

An act to amend the criminal code, the crown liability and proceeding
act
and the radiocommunication act.

Assented to 23rd june, 1993
40-41-42 Elizabeth II Chapter 40
Bill C-109 (12 pages).

Request the information from: Renouf
211 Rue Yonge street
Toronto, Ontario M5B 1M4

Phone: 416-363-3171
Fax: 416-363-5963

Ottawa 613-238-8985

John Medica - Listening Post.

3692. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603185132.14071.00000237@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 116455

removals1@iname.com (Shiva Nataraja wrote:

>Accroding to the TV news show I saw,
>this is the main reason why hazing still exists in the military, even
>though officially it is aginst regulations.

Ok, quote the REGULATIONS that CW is against! It is against lazy CB 'OPERATORS'
regulations, huh?

3693. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37570AAD.3300@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116456
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Darren Mulligan wrote:
>
> Their address is 2020@abc.com
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
> >
> > I was just wondering if anybody seen the news program about cordless
> > phones. They mentioned some guy with a scanner was getting info on his
> > neighbors and tapping into their voice mail boxes. No where did they
> > mention the good that scanner people do. Very one sided. Very
> > sensational type of newscast. What about Skywarn/Canwarn, helping
> > police? What about listening to the Space Shuttle? Very porrly done.
> > --
> > REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
We all should e-mail them and set the facts straight cause they make us
all look like the common crimibal when we know that we are good people.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3694. Re: G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j70uu$3iq@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpal

In <MPG.11c03bbc64a71fe69897d3@news.earthlink.net>
dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman) writes:
>
>Geez Obi-Wan, you must live in another universe. When comparing a
>"benchmark" here on earth, we use Mercedes as the pinnacle and Chevy
>Corvette as
>"run of the mill".
>

he he

3695. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603185544.14071.00000243@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 116458

Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote:

>All the ITU says is that the
>operator
>has to show knowledge of CW. It doesn't say what speed. It doesn't
>say how. It doesn't say different classes.

Sorry, but you are WRONG! It DOES say that you have to be able to TRANSMIT BY HAND KEY, AND RECEIVE BY EAR, TEXTS IN INTERNATIONAL MORSE CODE...THERE! (Breaker Breaker) Lol...

3696. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603190514.14071.00000249@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 116459

Spunky The Waffle Iron" <spunky@nospam.org wrote:

>From the looks of it, coders are the twits as they apparently have no =
>life, meaning
>that it's more of a twit holding pen.
>
>

Sour grapes, sour grapes...By 'NO LIFE', you mean they don't talk to YOU on channel 19? Well, if YOU have more of a LIFE than 'CODERS', why can they do so much MORE, and have a helluvah lot more fun than you can? 'Breaker Breaker'...

3697. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603185731.14071.00000246@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 116460

<@.> wrote:

>How much you wanna bet that code will be gone in less than 6months from =
>the tests?
>

What will YOU put up, a COBRA 19?? Lol lol lol lol lol...

3698. Re: Marine frequencies - Lake Erie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37570DBE.999@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116461
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bryan Stethem wrote:
>
> I am not sure about the other Great Lakes, but those of us in Lake Michigan
> have been notified by the Coast Guard that as of now
> Ch. 16 is for EMERGENCY USE ONLY. And Ch. 9 has been designated as the
> hailing channel. The reason given for this change was that there was just
> too much traffic on 16.
>
> Bryan Stethem
> Captain of "The Dinghy Blonde"
> Port of St. Joseph, Michigan
Well there was something about two many prank distress calls on the Lake
in the local paper today
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3699. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37570BC6.7ECC@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116462
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Rudi Mulder wrote:
>
> M.J.Powell heeft geschreven in bericht ...
> >In article <7j47b2$la3$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>, 1 Angry Man
> ><1AngryMan@antisocial.com> writes
> >>O©╫
> >>>
> >>>Please, this kind of reaching for humor really hertz.
> >>>
> >>>>I've heard of Hertz rent-a-car but didn't know they did cycles as well!
> >>
> >>I've heard of mega-hertz is that the really large Hertz rental places like
> in
> >>New York City "NEW YORK CITY?!"
> >>
> >>NA NU NA NU!
> >
> >Wasn't Hertz van Rental a dutch painter?
> >
> >Mike
>
> think everything originated from Holland LOL
> >--
> >M.J.Powell.
I beg to differ
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3700. Ham and scanner goodies for sale CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary B <GARBRE@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <37570159@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 116463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Howdy! Got some items for sale, always looking to trade, too, especially for some 6 m SSB gear...

1. Standard C156a 2m HT. See a photo and specs at:
http://www.stdradio.com/hanheld.html#156
Good condition with manual and AA battery pack, $75 + 5 to ship USPS 3-day.

2. Baycom BP-2 Multimode modem. Photo and specs at:
http://www.tigertronics.com/bp2info.htm
With manual and packet cable for the above radio and I believe it will fit Radio Shack and
some Icom radios too. $30 + $5 to ship USPS

3. Toshiba 2000x 386 Laptop- B&W screen, 1 MB ram (expandable) 40 MB hd. Not a Cray, but
worked well for DOS packet and logging programs. With power supply.
$25 + $10 to ship (heavy)

4. Bearcat BC 140. 10 channel scanner (some were expandable to 16 channel) VHF lo/hi UHF.
Scanner only for $15 + $5 to ship 3-day priority. Have too many scanners!

Take some or all! Or let me know what you have to trade (think 6m SSB!) All items will be shipped upon receipt of money order the same day well packed...

73,

Gary
KB3CGQ

3701. Need help looking for Mountain in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <37570d5b.30301912@news.hughes.net>
Source record: 116464

Hello,

We need some help trying to find a good mountain top in California
for the VHF QSO party coming up in about a week.
We are looking for a good spot in Los Angeles County,
San Bernadino County, Kern County, or
Ventura County. If you could give some suggestions,
we would greatly appreciate it!!!

Please send you suggestion to:

mikrounit@hughes.net

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU
DM04 Palmdale, CA

3702. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37570DFD.7A0C@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Jim Dunnett wrote:
>
> On Thu, 03 Jun 1999 03:56:48 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva
> Nataraja) wrote:
>
> >On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 23:37:33 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> ><theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Ham wrote:
> >>>
> >>> What do Ham Radio and The Marines have to do with each other ?
> >>> Lets talk bottom line...CW is a twit filter. It maintains a certain
> >>> level of operator competentancy.
> >>
> >>Compentency? What from Continoius Wave (C. W.). Yeah Right.
> >
> >Actually, the "competency" line is just a smoke screen.
> >
> >In actuality, CW is a high-tech form of HAZING - a painful rite of
> >initiation. The reason they don't do away with it is because current
> >"Ham clique" members figure "hey, *I* had to do it, so I'm gonna make
> >the newbies go though it, too!" Accroding to the TV news show I saw,
> >this is the main reason why hazing still exists in the military, even
> >though officially it is aginst regulations.
>
> Nonsense. Until the ITU removes the requirement, it stays.
> Individual administrations cannot remove it unilaterally.
>
> --
> Regards, Jim. | Plus je vis d'O©╬trangers, plus
> olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk | j'aimai ma patrie.
> jim%amadeus.softnet.co.uk |
> dynastic%cwcom.net | - Du Belloy 1725-1775.
> nordland%lineone.net |
> Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371
Oh yeah, that is why the freaking Leauge Of nations never worked.
Evey country for itself
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3703. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j72h5$4jg@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116466
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In <7j6n2vcbc1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net> "Bryan Stethem"
<bstethem@attco.com> writes:
>

>
>Your remark about "you learned well from the media sharks" is about as
far
>off the
>mark as you could get. I distrust the media as much as anyone. The
media
>does
>not think for me. That is why I do not rely on the media for my
>information. I can't
>tell you how far off the reporting is from what actually happened.

I cover breaking news with my ENG.

I'm a pro and that is that.

But what you say is so true. I cover with
video and get interviews about what has
been occurring since I arrived.

when I see the story on the wires or in the
newspaper or a reporter on tv reads it, I
am afghasted....I cannot believe I was there
based on what was reported.

And I alert the newspapers and tv stations
to this untruth and if it's not over for that
incident they then call the authorities
and get the real story.

One news agency has a WWW site...they ran a
story I covered and did interveiws. When I
saw what they put on their AIRING sum-up
on their BREAKING LOCAL NEWS menu...it just
was not true.

Next time I looked they had corrected it.

And they get their news reports from wire
services they pay dearly for.

News is not true if you just get it from
wire service reports. They pay these reporters

50K or more and they cannot get the facts right
due to no one wants to call the agencies up to
get the facts straight relying instead on the
wires.

...college students work at wire services putting
themselves through school. Journalism school.

oxymoron time.

bill conduit
etc

3704. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j721q$fga@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116467
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In <7j6hcvdce1@nwanews.nwa.com> "Donna L. Kregel"
<donna.kregel@nwa.com> writes:
>
>I think you missed the point, Bryan -
>
>I agree completely with the other Stephen. Listening to police
scanners for
>accident or emergency details is downright sick, in my estimation. I
see
>no difference between your actions and those of the soulless video
junkies
>that tape car accidents etc. Not only is it sick and of no use to
those who
>need help, it's also an incredible violation of privacy for those hurt
in
>the situation.
>
so the PRESS people are sick people who listen
to scanners for all sorts of stuff going
down daily. It's not a violation of privacy.
It's in the public interest to know what occurred.
where it occurred and why?

privacy only portends to when you are in your
house or behind closed doors in a private club
or others house or your place of business...

what is on the sidewalk or street is
public view and any thing video or still
taken is legal. you are in public view.

privacy is violated when cities put up criminal
catching tv cam's at each corner and watch YOU
from above...then do with that video what pleases
them just in case they see someone who is not working
and is just slumming...

grow up.

>From what I read, the other poster's point was that rather than
standing
>there and gawking, you either find a way to help, or get out of the
way, and
>give survivors and victim's families some privacy to work through the
shock

>and grief involved.
>
this is another good point: if you go to gawk,
stay away. That's what the police are there
for. Gawkering with a scanner in hand is harmless
voyerism...it harms no one.

but at a distance. at a crime scene and or accident
scene you are required by law to get back from the
activity of all emergency personnel. Period.

That is why cops have whistles and guns and clubs.

and the threat of arrest will do it every time.

>I have to let you off easy to some degree though - I'd say you learned
well
>from the media sharks. They set quite an example.
>
Media Sharks are doing their job. That is
what they do. It's a job. It's a life where
you follow events for which a story will
be written. Public right to know.

It happens on streets or sidewalks or
any public-view area, it's news. That
is how things get corrected.

the media gets it, people reach to it,
and changes are made.

Without the press you have dictatorship.

Someone does not get back when cop
says to and givesthe cop the finger,
cop uses his finger and pulls the
trigger. Is this what you enjoy????

>As for the purpose of this newsgroup, we're here to learn and share,
not
>chase ambulances.
>
actually no one chases them.
They go code 3 and to do that you get
a ticket for harassing or following
an emergency vehicle...unless you
are the PRESS.
we have our perks.

I usually get there most times
sometimes prior to ambulance
gets there. or when they do.

>Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com> wrote in message
>news:7j6bs0$1eo$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net...

bill conduit
etc.
with an attitude

3705. Re: FLASH -- 20/20 TV Show Wednesday night -- wireless phone eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37570D01.2B4B@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116468

We should all e-mai lthem and set the facts straight

R&R Johnson wrote:
>
> Maybe you should have to have a ham radio license to transmit with a
> cordless phone, how about Tech Plus or better.
>
> RJ
>
> Jake Brodsky wrote in message <375b91b5.1236188@news.erols.com>...
> >On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 18:54:51 -0400, Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com> wrote:
> >
> >>ABC News 20/20 Wednesday show, on tonight at 10PM Eastern time, will
> >>have some sort of program involving interception of cordless or cellular
> >>telephones.
> >
> >Saw it. It wasn't nice. Very little was said about how easy it is to
> >monitor the phones. All they did was to show a portable scanner
> >monitoring a cordless phone. Now the general public is scared of
> >anyone with a scanner. They had one brief (ten second) clip of a
> >reasonable use of a scanner and the rest of the 20 minute story was
> >all about some idiot who was convicted of harassing his neighbors
> >using a scanner.
> >
> >This is why our rights as radio listeners are being flushed away by
> >the likes of CTIA, Congress, and ABC news.
> >
> >
> >Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> >"Beware of the massive impossible!"

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3706. Re: G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37570F25.E65@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpal

Lee Rothman wrote:
>
> Geez Obi-Wan, you must live in another universe. When comparing a
> "benchmark" here on earth, we use Mercedes as the pinnacle and Chevy as
> "run of the mill".
I think that, no I know that, you got that in reverse. Please put that
post in forward.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3707. Re: G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37570D7D.4ED@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

You couldn't give me all the money in the world to drive a freaking
Mercedes. All those owners should eat their imported cars when they get
hungry

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
> In <MPG.11c03bbc64a71fe69897d3@news.earthlink.net>
> dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman) writes:
> >
> >Geez Obi-Wan, you must live in another universe. When comparing a
> >"benchmark" here on earth, we use Mercedes as the pinnacle and Chevy
> >Corvette as
> >"run of the mill".
> >
>
>
> he he

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3708. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j72m7$svs@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116471
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In <3756dd34.77218918@NNTP.interaccess.com> sarge@interaccess.com (Jim Heckler) writes:
>

>
>
>Please Donna, tell me, What do we do with all we learn and share?? Do
>
>
>I'm not flaming or being smark-alecky, but I really would like to know
>how you use your scanner.
>
How do you use your penis?

every one has one, so tell
us how YOU use it?

we need to learn. We need
to share.

all of us have one...so let
us share. you see, women
have them also.

scanning: we all have our
uses for them.

different strokes for different
folks. that can be true for
having a penis also.

bill conduit
etc

3709. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j72uh$t5r@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116472
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In <37571a68@news.oaktree.net> "Dan" <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net> writes:
>

>
>What the hell do you think we own scanners for? Sure, I agree
anything like
>this is a tragedy, but that doesn't make us morbid.
>It's a hobby we enjoy, be it good or bad things we hear.
>Personally, I'd rather hear it first hand before the media twists the
hell
>out of it.
>
plus it's always nice knowing
what is going on where you live.

why there are sixteen police
cars down the block and you cannot
get out...for your safety.

no one can get in too...so
you need to know why your
delivery of "oxygen" did not
get through.

then write a letter
explaining why you are
dead...no electric or
phone either.

your scanner is on batteries.

bill conduit
etc

3710. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "C. Johnson" <grneydblue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <j1E53.955$K2.29403@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 116473
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

I wouldn't suppose it would be impossible to do. If you're listening to someone go into their voice mail, you can hear the tones they use for their password. Once you're in, you can do anything you want. I'm not an expert, but that's how I think it would work.

EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote in message news:b6C53.1285$EU4.427@stones...
>
> Darren Mulligan <dmul3@excite.com> wrote in message
> news:3756A178.42598A4F@excite.com...
> > Their address is 2020@abc.com
> >
> > OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
> > >
> > > I was just wondering if anybody seen the news program about cordless
> > > phones. They mentioned some guy with a scanner was getting info on
his
> > > neighbors and tapping into their voice mail boxes. No where did they
> > > mention the good that scanner people do. Very one sided. Very
> > > sensational type of newscast. What about Skywarn/Canwarn, helping
> > > police? What about listening to the Space Shuttle? Very porrly done.
> > > --
> > > REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
> >
>
> typical bloody media, thick as poop !, since when can you "tap" into a
> voicemail system with a damm scanner ?. listen to it perhaps but
impossible
> to "use" it
>
> usual story
>
> gov, backed mis-information to get rid of scanners...
>
> **ck 'em
>
> eavsdropper
>
>

3711. Re: G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Polothon <polothon@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <7j73pt$6pn$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 116474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpal

Drugs will ruin your life son.

--
My Email Address Is Different Than The One Above In The "From:" Line! The
Real One Is Below!
Please send your replys to my email address also! Thank you!
polothon@bigfoot.com

NOTICE TO BULK EMAILER(S): Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5,
Subchapter II, 227, any and all unsolicited commercial e-mail sent to
this address is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount
of $500 US.

Regards,
Polothon
polothon@bigfoot.com
http://www.geocities.com/hollywood/hills/2222/
==
"Are you gonna bark all day, little doggie, or are ya gonna bite?"
Mr. Blonde; Michael Madsen
Reservoir Dogs; 1992
==
OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <3755FB9F.3EC6@ameritech.net>...
>Lee Rothman wrote:
>>
>> Give me a break, don't compare Chevy's to a Mercedes please. Even if it
>> has a feature or two.
>Ford, Chevy, Olds, Pontiac beats any mercedes
>--
>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3712. FS PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbmx18m@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603194230.13054.00000313@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 116475

LIKE NEW WITH ANTENNA ,MANUAL,DISPLAY IS BRIGHT 400 CHANNEL $300.00

3713. Canoga Park, CA Frq Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed <emw28@aol.com>
Message-ID: <7j750k$84d$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116476
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone post the public safety frequencies for Canoga Park, CA? I am not sure if they have their own police agency and do their own dispatching or are dispatched by a sheriff's department.

I appreciate any information.

3714. Re: Need MDT program.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603194656.02079.00000217@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 116477

thanks

3715. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas Jones <kd5boc@adisfwb.com>
Message-ID: <cEE53.116$Qc.691@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 116478
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

>>How much you wanna bet that code will be gone in less than >>6months from the tests?

Yeah, then the next thing that would happen is that the HAM bands would become a CB wasteland. Most CB'rs know enough to pass the tests, and when they do...The bands will be just like another CB band.

Tom

3716. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <375721B4.CF75AE1B@gnc.net>
Source record: 116479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I agree with your comments. I had read about this person in a past issue of Monitoring Times. Fortunately, 20/20 didn't seize the opportunity to generalize about the hobby, as they so often do. They did make an error in the end of the show saying "older phones can be listened to by a scanner..." What the didn't mention (didn't know), is most new phones can also be listened to. Unless it is digital/spred spectrum, it is just as easy to listen to the 900 MHz phones. They also didn't mention how easy it was to modify the PRO-34 (shown on TV) for cellular monitoring. Probably just as well they didn't mention these other things...

The guy definitely had a mental problem and needed to be locked up. As a closing comment, 20/20 said he applied for a job with the phone company when he was released! Oh wonderful!

Robert

Harry Marnell wrote:

> Contrary to one post, I thought the segment was ok. Other than the guy
> acting like a goof, it didn't put us scanner users in general in a
> particularly bad light.
>
> If the guy threatened and terrorized his neighbors he deserved the law
> coming down on him hard...probably harder than they did if all the
> allegations were true. I didn't catch whether they even charged him with
> any ECPA or similar state violations, did they? The charges shown on the
> screen were mostly standard criminal offenses.
>
> Likewise, it properly alerted cordless phone users to either get a secure
> phone, or refrain from broadcasting personal information to the
> neighborhood...they could/should have issued the same warning to cellphone
> users.
>
> I've been into monitoring for 30+ years and KNOW the kind of stuff that
> people put out on the monitorable (?) airwaves.
>
> I didn't see anything wrong with the show.
>
> Flame away if ya like...
>
> Harry, N6URU

3717. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike regish <mregish@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <cxF53.11650$AL5.48348@ndnws01.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 116480
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

I'm curious. Why do you feel the need to know about accidents and fires that are happening across town in the first place? In a world so full of bad news, why do you want more?

I don't even watch the news most nights.

--
mike regish
PP-ASEL
nospammregish@mediaone.net

Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com> wrote in message news:7j6n2vcbc1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net...

> Donna,
> I glad we live in the USA where we can all have our own opinions and
> have the right to disagree with each other. And I am going to disagree
> with you.
>
> I have had a scanner operating in my home for nearly 30 years non-stop.

3718. Re: Mac Multimode Program on PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: csmolinski@erols.com
Message-ID: <csmolinski-ya02408000R0306992105280001@news.erols.com>
Source record: 116481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.scanner

I'd be glad (as the author of MultiMode) to help get MultiMode running on PC's under Mac emulation.

Does it report any error messages when it starts up? Select About MultiMode... from the Apple menu, and click on the large icon - you'll get a screen with information about the sound input setup, and sound input capabilities of your particular machine.

Chris

In article <3756dfb1.3603043@news.netway.at>, hwabnig@netway.at (Helmut Wabnig) wrote:

> Download the demo version of the ARDI mac emulator from
>
> http://www.ardi.com/download.html
>
> then get the Multimode demo version from
>
> http://www.blackcatsystems.com/software/multimode.html
>
>
>
> This took me 30 minutes altogether, the programs are running,
> but Multimode does not recognize my Soundblaster Live under Windows.
> Have not yet installed the DOS drivers,
> because the SB Live DOS drivers are a pain in the ass.
>
> Please would you all try this out and report the results here?
> Does Multimode work on *your* PC?
>
>
> good luck,
>
>
> W.

--
Check out Black Cat Systems for radio (pirate, spy numbers) and Mac software. http://www.blackcatsystems.com

The Numbers Racket - a CD-ROM of Spy Numbers stations, info available at http://www.blackcatsystems.com/numbers

3719. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mac Feely <mfeely888@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <nLF53.2115$0c5.1428@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net>
Source record: 116482
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Sorry Donna, what you see, hear, and read in the media is not always accurate - hell, sometimes it's not close.

We had a police stand-off with a kidnap victim very near my house 2 years ago and I happened to be at home where I could see the coverage and listen to the police TAC freqs....

The media gave the 2 million people in the metro area information that was completely untrue. I won't say it was a lie (damn close), but it was certainly
based on their impression of the situation - and way off the mark.

Thanks to the media we all saw what was happening, but I was listening to the authorities - and the pictures without the radio transmission was very
deceiving.

Myself and anyone who listening on their scanner were the only people outside
the authorities that really knew what was going on. And I was able to calm several
people in the neighborhood down who were very upset

I'm an Ex-EMT and based on the news coverage I should have been down there working triage and sorting out bodies. Fact of the matter is, the situation was
covered as well as could be expected and instead of getting praise, the police
and EMT/Fire responders caught alot of flack and people were hysterical at level
that was unnecessary... I'd say monitoring that situation worked out pretty good
all around.

Donna L. Kregel wrote in message <7j6hcvdce1@nwanews.nwa.com>...
>I think you missed the point, Bryan -
>
>I agree completely with the other Stephen. Listening to police scanners for
>accident or emergency details is downright sick, in my estimation. I see
>no difference between your actions and those of the soulless video junkies
>that tape car accidents etc. Not only is it sick and of no use to those who
>need help, it's also an incredible violation of privacy for those hurt in
>the situation.

>
>From what I read, the other poster's point was that rather than standing
>there and gawking, you either find a way to help, or get out of the way,
and
>give survivors and victim's families some privacy to work through the shock
>and grief involved.
>
>I have to let you off easy to some degree though - I'd say you learned well
>from the media sharks. They set quite an example.
>
>As for the purpose of this newsgroup, we're here to learn and share, not
>chase ambulances.
>
>Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com> wrote in message
>news:7j6bs0$1eo$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net...
>>
>> >St Stephen Ames wrote in message <3756A5F8.3A84@stephenames.com>...
>> >Why don't you become a volunteer fireman or paramedic if you are into
>> >the horrible tragedy involved in such disasters and put your morbid
>> >sense of curiousity to some good use...
>>
>>
>> OK, so all of us who listen to scanners should either be a fireman or
>> paramedic
>> or we are (in your opinion) morbid curiousity seekers??? This is an
>idiotic
>> attitude
>> to express in this NG. Just what the hell do you think most of us use
>> scanners for?
>>
>> Bryan Stethem
>>
>>
>
>

3720. modification pro-25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jean-S&#65533;bastien Larouche <jess@saglac.qc.ca>
Message-ID: <zUF53.3627$gD6.10467@wagner.videotron.net>
Source record: 116483

je veux debarr&#65533; mon pro-25 de realistic
S.V.P. aidez-moi
jess@saglac.qc.ca

3721. Re: Television news program about cordless phones.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <h7G53.185$h14.487@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 116484

John Medica - System Administrator wrote in message
<375703AE.D2D5CCB2@sdf.lonestar.org>...
>Whos right, and whos wrong! suggest you do some reading up!.

Already done that. It's illegal. The language of the Criminal Code is
quite plain.

3722. I NEED HELP, CB RADIO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Antonio Plaza <aplaza@superlink.net>
Message-ID: <7j7baa$1pea$1@earth.superlink.net>
Source record: 116485

I'm trying to find an old friend who's a truck driver, I've lost contact with him, but I need to talk to him urgently about something
very important, he's name is Victor Sanchez, he's original from Colombia, 38 years old, about 5 or 6 feet tall and around 160 pounds
of weight, he's Hispanic.
Is there anyway that someone here could send a message using a radio with the same frequency or whatever the truck drivers use to help me find this guy?
The last time I heard from him, he was living in Texas, I believe somewhere around Galveston, but I'm not sure. It could be anywhere on Texas. His personal car is a 92,93 or 94
Geo Storm, light blue color, hatchback type. The only thing I have left are some pictures that I can e-mail on request, in two of them he's standing up right next to a black Kenworth truck, with the name MC Van Kampen Trucking Inc. on it, and truck number 559, Michigan license plate RM 2957. If you know Victor, please e-mail me with information on how to get back in touch with him, my e-mail is as follows: aplaza@superlink.net
Your cooperation regarding this matter is greatly appreciated, it is really important that I find him as soon as possible.
Thanks,
A. Plaza
aplaza@superlink.net

3723. Re: G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11c0e04d42c06f119897d7@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpal

Yeah, it is amazing when you look at the whole world from the perspective
of being upside down. I don't recommend it.

3724. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jeff Bishop, n8wb" <n8wb@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <7j7d16hbd1@denws02.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 116487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I am one of the people that enjoy working cw. However, I have to agree that it is an outdated mode of communication. The military does not even use the mode any longer.
The way I see it, either get rid of the requirement or limit the highest speed to around 10 wpm. Then make the written more technical. Get into circuit design. Don't just tell us what a diode does. Have the test reflect why it is in the circuit. I'm not saying have someone design a radio. After all, why would we build a radio when we can go down and buy an ADI rig for $150. But we do need to understand, for instance, why an antenna for one band is a particular length. Or what a compressor is used for in a SSB radio AND how to use it correctly. Or how about how to select the proper feedline for your antenna and why? These are things that are touched on but the test material does not really go into depth into why things are done the way that they are.
If people think that cw is a way to keep out the yahoo's, go down to 80 meters and listen around. It didn't work. I doubt that anything that the FCC would do to change the testing will keep poor operators off of the air. But if we are going to drop the cw requirement, we need to add something to the written portion of the test to take it's place. Perhaps even a higher percent pass requirement? 95%? Just a thought.

Jeff, n8wb

3725. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@mental.retard
Message-ID: <375f6cb8.5714017@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

No he didn't, delusional boy.

YOU, however, have had your access yanked several times. The last time was Sprintnet.

home.com will be next. It will be a shame for you to turn in your cable modem.

Retard.

Either shut up, or post on topic.

On Sat, 29 May 1999 19:38:06 GMT, jmackey@parg.org wrote:

>Wrong! the FACT that Bill had his internet access REVOKED due
>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>> >(Remember what happened to your buddy Bill after I made his provider aware of
>> >his actions!!!)
>>
>> Yea, nothing.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

3726. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@mental.retard
Message-ID: <37606d43.5852590@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

My god. You are so sad. That is just a link to you whining and bitching as usual.

Nothing to do with the hobby of scanning.

Once again, you have FAILED the challenge of providing a link to where you post on topic.

I'll see you again for challenge #74 very soon, retard boy.

Predictability is your strong point.

On Sat, 29 May 1999 19:47:51 GMT, jmackey@parg.org wrote:

>OK, FOOL, here is the link you were crying about saying you wanted.
>How inept you are, most people are capable of spending a few moments
>operating a search engine & find the information when given the author,
>subject, & date as YOU WERE GIVEN!
>
>http://x39.deja.com/[ST_rn=ps]/getdoc.xp?AN=468402606&CONTEXT=928006939.353370173&hitnum=0
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> Once again, you fail. You didn't read clearly. PROVIDE A DEJANEWS LINK. You
>> have now officially failed challenge #73 as predicted. You are nothing more
>> than a FOOL!!!
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

3727. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@mental.retard
Message-ID: <375d6c1d.5558582@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Once again, you post drivel and murder the English language - all through the holiday weekend. 12 posts is all you posted, but they were ALL off topic. Pretty pathetic and predictable of you, retard boy.

On Sat, 29 May 1999 03:36:54 GMT, jmackey@parg.org wrote:

>ok guy, what ever you say.
>
>> home.com is about to boot you. Hang on for a ride,
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

3728. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@mental.retard
Message-ID: <375e6c8f.5673099@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 29 May 1999 19:31:25 GMT, jmackey@parg.org wrote:

>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> On Thu, 27 May 1999 02:49:43 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>> >I never said I was "responsible for his arrest".
>>
>> Backpeddling again.
>
>NO, just STATING THE TRUTH!!!

You have no idea what truth is. You demented world has the best of you.

3729. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <37567AC6.F82331CF@IEEE.org>
Source record: 116492
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark West wrote:
> Please, please, please, just let DOS die.

Hey, I'm a microcontroller jock. I usually don't even have an
operating system.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

3730. 10 codes -- md and pa state police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: grimey7@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7j634dghf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116493

If anyone knows the Maryland State Police 10-codes, would you please send them my way. Also, I have the 10-codes for the Pennsylvania State Police along w/ many, many frequencies for Southcentral/Western PA and Allegany County Maryland. Thanks.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3731. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wa6vse@fast.net>
Message-ID: <7j607jhvd1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 116494
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:37567AC6.F82331CF@IEEE.org...
> Mark West wrote:
> > Please, please, please, just let DOS die.
>
> Hey, I'm a microcontroller jock. I usually don't even have an
> operating system.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

Cecil,

DOS isn't really an "operating system" ... it's more like
a non-reentrant interrrupt handling virus :-)

(Of course Win 9x isn't *much* better)

--
Carl - wa6vse
wa6vse@fast.net
http://www.users.fast.net/~wa6vse
NCI #1052
Join No Code International!
Hams for the 21st century.
Support the modernization of ham radio and help
to assure its survival into the 21st century!
http://www.nocode.org

3732. crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamoran@mail.goodnet.com
Message-ID: <Dxv53.6611$S4.33112@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 116495
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

A few years ago, i was having dinner and ther was an incident at LAX involving US-AIR and Skywest. naturally, i had my scanner with me like I always do.

There was activity on the TV and radio news frequencies, Airline company frequencies, air traffic control, airport operations, airport fire/safety, city fire/ems, and city police

now, I often have two scanners with me...this way I can monitor different sets of frequencies. In cases like air disasters, two come in handy.

--

JOHN/PHX jamoran@analon.com (buildmypc@analon.com)
Powerful Computers at affordable prices http://www.analon.com
800-873-5884
Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry I Love Unix!
vi..my favorite text editor (: 310-346-7404) X-Windows :)

+Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!+
+if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check group+

3733. Re: G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11c03bbc64a71fe69897d3@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpal

Geez Obi-Wan, you must live in another universe. When comparing a "benchmark" here on earth, we use Mercedes as the pinnacle and Chevy as "run of the mill".

3734. Re: FLASH -- 20/20 TV Show Wednesday night -- wireless phone eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <375b91b5.1236188@news.erols.com>
Source record: 116497

On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 18:54:51 -0400, Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com> wrote:

>ABC News 20/20 Wednesday show, on tonight at 10PM Eastern time, will
>have some sort of program involving interception of cordless or cellular
>telephones.

Saw it. It wasn't nice. Very little was said about how easy it is to monitor the phones. All they did was to show a portable scanner monitoring a cordless phone. Now the general public is scared of anyone with a scanner. They had one brief (ten second) clip of a reasonable use of a scanner and the rest of the 20 minute story was all about some idiot who was convicted of harassing his neighbors using a scanner.

This is why our rights as radio listeners are being flushed away by the likes of CTIA, Congress, and ABC news.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3735. KS/MO Column 0699

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: andy <andyb@qni.com>
Message-ID: <3756990B.B25A5D40@qni.com>
Source record: 116498

KS/MO Column 06-99

Open up some space on your hard drive, 'cause this one is a biggie. Of course, I yak a bunch at the startï¿½but it still has lots of good information for both sides of the state line. I had more to put in the column this month, but I simply had to stop somewhere. I guess that way the July column has a head start.

1. Storm frequencies for Wyandotte/Johnson Counties, KS
2. DEA is on the air
3. A REAL list of talkgroups for KCMO, Overland Park, and Prairie Village Ericsson systems
4. Overland Park on 866.5125 Mutual Aid this morning
5. KHP centralized dispatch taking more dispatch shifts
6. Quick lesson on trunking, simulcasting and multicasting
7. Some Fed stuff for the east part of KS, west part of MO
8. The find of the year! Shawnee Mission Baseball radio on local trunked system! ï¿½yawn
9. KHP Wichita question
10. Missouri Water Patrol question

My CE-232 computer interface blew up a few months ago. A CE-232 is a product offered by Commtronics Engineering (Bill Cheek.) Lois, my wife, got tired of me plodding around the house bearing a look of eternal gloom, and let me buy another kit. Just like my first one, it fired up the first time I lit it. But much to my dismay, after a couple of days my NEW interface crapped out! The curse words uttered by me immediately faded the paint on the walls and denuded all of my wife's house plants. I apparently destroyed a second unit.

After repainting the walls and buying new houseplants and poking blindly around the innards of the dead interfaces, I uninstalled the software, reinstalled it, and tried it again. Lo and behold, it worked! I hooked up the first CE-232ï¿½and IT worked as well! After talking with the application developers, it was determined that I have a unique software conflict within my computer system. It'll be easy to fix.

I told that whole story so I could plug the CE-232 and the ProTurbo software to all of you. I have NO personal or financial interest in either outfit. Simply, both products impressed me and I think everyone should be equally impressed.

The CE-232 works only with the PRO-2004,5,6 line of scanners. The thing obviously controls the scanner - autoprograming, unlimited number of frequency lists - a neat screen, etc., like all the other interfaces, so I won't go into details on that. What it does have that is unique is a very powerful "scripting" feature that allows one to write little programs for it. Scripts are very good for performing routine, redundant tasks like locking out all channels with a frequency of "zero"

or any other function requiring several zillion keystrokes...but that is just the beginning.
Imagine you are sitting by your scanner when a special frequency becomes active. Desperately, you begin burrowing through your very neat and orderly pile of lists in order to program a bank with related frequencies. Time is tickingï¿½good things are being missed on the radio. Once you get the correct list you still have to enter it. Beepï¿½beepï¿½beepï¿½beep. Minutes go by, and once you get the stuff entered (and lots of good traffic has past) you fumble for the recorder. "Where is that blankety-blank cord?!?!" More time passes. At last you get the recorder hooked up just as you hear the dispatcher say, "Officers advising everything is 10-4, clearing the air for normal radio traffic." Ever happen to you?
I wrote a script that does all of the above for you. It takes about 30 seconds for the script to go through its paces, and it is smart enough to do it WHILE YOU ARE GONE! You tell the interface which frequency will the be target, which list to enter when it becomes active, to start recording (which can be done digitallyï¿½no nasty old cassette machineï¿½see below,) and when to undo all of it and go back to normal scanning. It isn't restricted to one target frequency or frequency list. You can put in as many as you like.
The software I prefer is called ProTurbo. It is a Windows application, and works great. It has two features that stand out above all. The first is the digital recorder. Once a simple patch cord is run from the scanner to the computer's sound card, you can record traffic on the hard drive. Not all frequencies must be recorded, though. You have the option to select which frequencies are recorded, and which ones are not. Pro-Turbo creates a log of recorded frequencies and allows you to play back the whole recording session, or you can opt to listen to traffic from a particlular frequency. It is very agile system, and you can imagine the possibilties for it.
The second unique feature are the eight A/D (analog to digital) converters on board, which are essentially little voltmeters. They can measure a voltage from 0 to 5 volts with a resolution of 0-255ï¿½0 being no voltage and 255 being 5 volts. My old signal strength meter had 10 LEDs on itï¿½meaning a resolution of only 10. I prefer 255. The meters are cool little analog dials on the screen. Seven more converters exist for whatever you could desire to measure. The readings from all A/D converters can be logged to a file so you can check them out later.
The Pro-Turbo/CE-232 combination has gobs of other features. I suggest you check them out at the following web sites:
http://www.comtronics.net/
http://www.iaw.on.ca/~jabba/pro-turbo.htm
Pro-Turbo costs about $50, and a kit for a CE-232 runs about $99. The CE-232 kit has the parts to build the basic interface. You need to buy a box, wire, a handfull of computer cables/connectors, some miscellaneous things and stuff. I suppose you might have to put out about $40-50 dollars more if you have to get it all. The CE-232 installation is not for the faint of heartï¿½you essentially take the whole dang thing apart, solder a number of wires here and there, and put

it all back together again. The manual is extremely detailed, and every conceivable step in the process is included. With proper tools and patience, anyone could do it. You can ship your radio to Bill Cheek to have him install one, but it gets rather expensive that way. You decide. I love mine, and wouldn't be without it.

Last month I mentioned that anyone with frequencies for storm spotters and related agencies should send them in for this month's column since there was surely enough spring and summer left for bad weather. Within a day or two of mentioning that, tornadoes hammered parts of Oklahoma and Kansas. I'm sure glad I didn't ask for frequencies for volcano or earthquake activity!

All kidding aside, here are some freqs that Chuck sent in for the Wyandotte/Johnson County area.

1. 155.895 Wyandotte County
158.820 MERS (Metropolitan Emergency Radio System)
147.210 Wyandotte County RACES
145.470 Johnson County RACES (?)
"On 442.55 we have a 2 meter/440 remote base that we use to track the storms from the west. The rptr is on the Gibbs Water Tower at 51/ Gibbs Road in KCK. The BPU Amateur Radio Society sponsors it. Hence the call WR0BPU."

Thanks, Chuck.

I swiped the following list from Dr. Sm0ke's web site, but I think Dr. Sm0ke swiped it from one of the columns last years, so we are even. ;-)

County Frequency

Kansas

Johnson 145.470 -
Leavenworth 147.000 +
Jefferson 147.060 -(100.0) & 146.835 - zone 14 ARES
Jefferson 158.820 (100.0) Firecom, repeated
155.685 (100.0) E P, simplex (new listing)
Linn 147.285 +
Miami 147.360 +
Wyandotte 147.210 + 442.550 + (186.2)
Shawnee Radio Club 145.210 -

Missouri

County Frequency
Buchanan 146.850 -
Carroll 146.655 -
Cass 147.120 +
Chillicoth 147.225 + ...(Reception within 16 Co. area centered on Chillicothe)
Clay 146.790 -
Henry 147.195 +
Western Jackson 146.970 -

Eastern Jackso 146.700 -
Johnson 146.880 -
Lafayette 146.775 -
Macon 146.805 - ...Macon, Linn, Randolph, Adair, Knox, and Shelby
Counties
Platte 147.330 +
Pettis / Sedalia EM 154.100
Ra 147.375 +
City of Independence 145.310 -
City of Raytown 145.170 -
City of Belton 147.015 +
City of Belton 155.145 (EP)

For the Kansas City area on the Kansas side the list is as follows:

Johnson County EP 153.995 (151.4) repeated
Wyandotte County EP 155.895 (131.8) repeated
Miami County EP 39.640 simplex
Leavenworth County EP 155.820 (d114n) repeated
Douglas County EP 155.805 (107.2)repeated
Douglas County Skywarn 155.760 (107.2) Simplex
Douglas County Skywarn 155.160 (107.2) Simplex
Franklin County EP 155.835 (136.5) Repeated
Franklin County EOC 453.250 (94.8) Repeated (The EP freq is the primary
since they don't have
many UHF portables yet.)
Jefferson County EP 158.820 (100.0) repeated
Jefferson County EP 155.685 (100.0) simplex (new listing)
Civil Air Patrol 148.150 repeated
M.E.R.S. 158.820 (151.4) repeated

-
2. Bill checks in with a tidbit for you Fed listeners. "The DEA
repeater on 418.9000 - 156.7Hz (PL tone) is in use in the clear quite a
bit lately. I'm finally hearing more Fed communications. KC is talking
to 'St. Louis Base' on it. I wonder were that repeater is actually
located?"
I don't know either, Bill. Anyone out there have any ideas:

-
3. John sent an e-mail to me about last month's column and the listing
for KCMO EDACS system. My list was less than complete, due mainly to an
"operator assisted computer crash." I had been using ETrunker for a
long time, and had a respectable database of groups and IDs. In the
midst of re-installing Windows I failed to properly back up some of my
files. (Do you folks ever get tired of hearing that excuse? I get
tired of having to use it! You'd think I would eventually learn not to
mess with the computer till I cause it to crash.) Guess what file was
in that group. ETrunker files! Luckily I had an older archive, which
you saw last month.
To sum it up, John gave his complete list to me. Not only does it

include KCMO, but it has Overland Park and Prairie Village, too.
Thanks for the list, John.

Overland Park KS EDACS system talkgroups
10 ALL PD
11 PRIMARY
12 INFO
13 INFO-2
14 TLK-ARND
15 INTER-CO
16 SIS-1
17 TAC-1
18 TAC-2
19 OPFD
1A CTY-WIDE
1B EP
1C OP-M-AID
1D SIS-2
1E ADMIN
1F ERICSSON
21 ERICSSON

Prairie Village KS EDACS system talkgroups

Police Department
710 All call
711 PV DISP
712 PV CAR
713 PV-M-AID
714 PV TAC1
715 PV TAC2
716 PV STAFF

Public Works
720 All call
721 PV CITY
722 SYSTEM
723 PV CITY1
724 PV CITY2
725 PV CITY3
726 PV CITY4

Mission Hills
730 All call
731 MH CITY1
732 MH CITY2

Leawood Dispatch
740 All call
741 LW DISP

Kansas City MO EDACS system talkgroups

Admin
110 All call
111 TRAF OPS
112 DIST 1
113 DIST 2
114 DIST 3
115 DIST 4
116 DIST 5
117 DIST 6
118 ST ADM
119 TOW LOT

Public Works
120 All Call
121 PW DISP
122 PWOPS1
123 PWOPS2
124 PW PARKS

Solid Waste
130 All Call
131 SW SUPV
132 SW DIV
133 SW INSP

Engineering
140 All Call
141 ENGR 1
142 ENGR 2
143 ENGR 3

Operations
150 All Call
151 FIELDOPS
152 BLDG OPS

Motor
160 All Call
161 MOTOR

Parks/Rec
210 All Call
211 529 DIST 1
212 530 DIST 2
213 531 DIST 3
214 532 DIST 4
215 533 MTR EQIP
216 534 PARK OPS
217 535 BLDGSTRC

Golf Courses
220 All Call
221 SW CLUB

222 SW MAINT
223 BR CLUB
224 BR MAINT
225 MN CLUB
226 MN MAINT
227 HP CLUB
228 HP MAINT

Horticulture
230 All Call
231 HORT 1
232 BLVD SRV
233 HORT 2

Zoo
240 All Call
241 ANIMAL
242 SP SRVS
243 ZOO OPS1
244 ZOO OPS2
245 ZOO OPS3
246 SP EVENT
247 ZOO SRVS
248 ZOO SUPV

Culture
250 All Call
251 LIB MEM
252 WAT CEN
253 CULT ACT
254 RECS 1
255 RECS 2
256 PLANSRV1
257 PLANSRV2
258 SP SVOPS

Animal Control
310 All Call
311 FIELD
312 ENUM
313 SPEC GRP
314 ANMLSUPV
315 TACT 1
316 TACT 2
317 TACT 3

Health Department
320 All Call
321 FOOD
322 AIR QUAL
323 HAZ RES
324 ADMIN

325 COM ENV
326 HLTH OPS

Municipal Auditorium/Bartle Hall
330 All Call
331 MB DISP
332 MB SEC
333 MB OPS
334 MB PARK
335 MB SALES
336 MB COORD
337 CONVSUPV

Kemper Arena
340 All Call
341 KA DISP
342 KA SEC
343 KA OPS
344 KA PARK
345
346 KA COORD

Neighborhood Preservation
350 All Call
351 PRESERV
352 ENVIR
353 PROPERTY
354 NBR OPS

Municipal Corrections
360 All Call
361 GEN OP
362 ESCORT
363 COURT
364 COZ PRV
365 MCI SUPV
366 MCI TAC1
367 MCI TAC2
368 MCI TAC3
369 MCI TAC4
36A MCI TAC5

Codes Enforcement
370 All Call
371 CODESUPV
372 CODES 1
373 CODES 2
374 CODES 3
375 CODES 3
376 CODES 4

Fire Dispatch
410 All Call
411 FIREDISP
412 MARSHAL1
413 MARSHAL2
414 FIRTRNG1
415 FIRTRNG2
416 FIRTRNG3
417 MUT AID
418 COMM/J6
Tac 2
420 All Call Tac 2
421 TAC 2A
422 TAC 2B
423 TAC 2C
424 TAC 2D
425 TAC 2E
Tac 3
430 All Call Tac 3
431 TAC 3A
432 TAC 3B
433 TAC 3C
434 TAC 3D
435 TAC 3E
Tac 4
440 All Call Tac 4
441 TAC 4A
442 TAC 4B
443 TAC 4C
444 TAC 4D
445 TAC 4E
Tac 5
450 All Call Tac 5
451 TAC 5A
452 TAC 5B
453 TAC 5C
454 TAC 5D
455 TAC 5E
Tac 6
460 All Call Tac 6
461 TAC 6A
462 TAC 6B
463 TAC 6C
464 TAC 6D
465 TAC 6E
Command
470 All Call Command
471 COMMAND1
472 COMMAND2
473 COMMAND3
474 COMMAND4

475 COMMAND5
476 COMMAND6
477 COMMAND7
478 COMMAND8
Hazmat
480 All Call Hazmat
481 HAZMAT 1
482 HAZMAT 2
483 HAZMAT 3
484 HAZMAT 4
Emergency Preparedness
490 All Call Emergency Preparedness
491 EMPREP 1
492 EMPREP 2
493 EMPREP 3
494 EMPREP 4
495 EMPREP 5
496 EMPREP 6
497 EMPREP 7
498 EMPREP 8
499 MERS
Tac 7
4A0 All Call Tac 7
4A1 TAC 7A
4A2 TAC 7B
4A3 TAC 7C
4A4 TAC 7D
4A5 TAC 7E
Tac 8
4B0 All Call Tac 8
4B1 TAC 8A
4B2 TAC 8B
4B3 TAC 8C
4B4 TAC 8D
4B5 TAC 8E

Police Department
Patrol
510 All Call
511 CENTER
512 METRO
513 EAST
514 NOR/SOU
515 NORTH
516 SOUTH
517 TRAFFIC
518 RECORDCK
Special Operations
520 All Call
521

522 TRT 1
523 TRT 2
524 SP OPS 1
525 SP OPS 2
526 SP OPS 3
527 SP OPS 4
528 SP OPS 5
529 SP OPS 6
52A SP OPS 7
52B SP OPS 8
52C
52D MUT AID
52E TRT 3
Violent Crimes
530 All Call
531 PERSONS1
532 PERSONS2
533 PERSONS3
534 PERSONS4
Property Crimes
540 All Call
541 PROP 1
542 PROP 2
Command
580 All Call
581 COMNET 1
582 COMNET 2
583 COMNET 3
584 COMNET 4
585 COMNET 5
586 COMNET 6
587 COMNET 7
Lab
590 All Call
591 LAB 1
592 INV SRV
Radio Techs/Building Maint.
5A0 All Call
5A1 RADIO 1
5A2 RADIO 2
5A3 ERICSON1
5A4 ERICSON2
5A5 ERICSON3
5A6 ERICSON4
5A7 ERICSON5
5A8 ERICSON6
5A9 ERICSON7
5AA ERICSON8
5AB BM 1
5AC BM 2

Richards Gebauer
All Call 610
RG 1 611
RG 2 612

Aviation
KCI
610 All Call
611
612 Engineers
KCI
620 All call
621 KCI API
622
623 EMER 1
624 ARFF
Primary
630 All Call
631 OPS 1
632 FM 1
633 FM 2
634 FAC 1
635 FAC 2
636 GT 1
637 GT BUS
Downtown Airport
640 All Call
641 DT 1
642 DT 2
Richards Gebauer
651 RG 1
652 RG 2

John added, "This is an EDACS 3-4-4 architecture -- 3 bits for the agency and 4 for the fleet and talk groups. This means it has 8 Agencies with 16 Fleets each, having 16 talk groups per fleet. OP and PV are identical, and the talk group number assignments are identical (this is a city-wide talk group assignment plan so mutual aid is easier to program.)"
"EDACS could have other architectures, and I have seen different schemes programmed into radios that were shipped to us for repair. The only limitation is there are 11 bits to divide up among agency, fleet and talk groups. The 3-4-4 seems popular, but I remember 2-4-5 systems that allowed 32 talk groups in a fleet, but only four agencies. Refresh your binary number counting scheme and you can see how the A-F-G 11- bit schemes work."

Overland Park frequencies and channel assignment
01 811.9875 856.9875
02 812.9875 857.9875
03 813.9875 858.9875
04 814.9875 859.9875

05 815.9875 860.9875

Prairie Village frequencies and channel assignment
01 813.2375 858.2375
02 814.2375 859.2375
03 815.2375 860.2375
04 811.2375 856.2375
05 812.2375 857.2375

Kansas City frequencies and channel assignment, main system
01 811.2125 856.2125
02 812.2125 857.2125
03 813.2125 858.2125
04 814.2125 859.2125
05 815.2125 860.2125
06 811.4625 856.4625
07 812.4625 857.4625
08 813.4625 858.4625
09 814.4625 859.4625
10 815.4625 860.4625
11 811.2625 856.2625
12 812.2625 857.2625
13 813.2625 858.2625
14 814.2625 859.2625
15 815.2625 860.2625
16 811.7375 856.7375
17 812.7375 857.7375
18 813.7375 858.7375
19 814.7375 859.7375
20 815.7375 860.7375
21 811.4375 856.4375
22 812.4375 857.4375
23 813.4375 858.4375
24 814.4375 859.4375
25 815.4375 860.4375 Conventional

Kansas City frequencies and channel assignment, auxiliary system
01 821.0125 866.0125
02 821.5125 866.5125
03 822.0125 867.0125
04 822.5125 867.5125
05 823.0125 868.0125
06 866.0125 866.0125 Simplex
07 866.5125 866.5125 Simplex
08 867.0125 867.0125 Simplex
09 867.5125 867.5125 Simplex
10 868.0125 868.0125 Simplex

-
4. This morning, 05-23-99, I am hearing Overland Park dispatch on the
conventional mutual aid frequency of 866.5125. They were carrying on

with business as usual. Must have had a system crash on their Ericsson trunked system. Maybe they have someone like me over thereï¿½a guy who messes with the computer till it crashes!

---

5. We got a note from Dale, the KHP/KDOT guru, with a schedule for Salina dispatch to take over more shifts from dispatch centers elsewhere. Here it goes:

" This is central dispatch info as of 5-4-99. At present central dispatch has the Olathe, Chanute, Garden City, and Norton from 22:00 to 06:00 daily. (For what it is worth, I heard Salina take over Olathe at 2100 last week. Andy) They also have all shifts on the weekends for Norton and Chanute and do all dispatching for troop C."

"The following dates and actions will be taken by central dispatch."

6-5-99 weekend shifts at Troop A Olathe.
8-1-99 take over Troop D all shift 24/7
8-16-99 take 22:00 to 06:00 at Troop B Topeka.
9-4-99 take over troop H all shifts 24/7.
9-27-99 take over troop A Olathe all shifts 24/7.
10-18-99 take the 22:00 to 06:00 shift at troop F Wichita.
11-12-99 take troop E Garden City all shifts 24/7.
12-17-99 take troop B Topeka all shifts 24/7.
1-10-00 take troop F all shifts 24/7.

"Getting people hired at Salina will be the key to holding to these dates. The goal is to take over all operations by 1-1-00."

"On GPS (global positioning,) the little status buttons are not used for 10-44 (traffic stops.) This will be done by voice. The GPS will be used only for locating cars and showing where accidents are. GPS will move next to Troop H."

"If you are in western Ks. and want to monitor weather modification aircraft and radar ops try these:"

155.100/122.925 in sw ks
156.000/122.850 in nw ks.

"The new Garden City 800 system now has a phone patch on it."

"There is a new tower going up near Troy to fill a dead spot in that area for KDOT and KHP and a repeater will be added for EMS. I dont have any freq info for this though."

Great stuff, Dale. Keep it coming.

---

6. Bill wrote the following which should clarify some questions about multicasting an simulcasting. I'll quote his comments here:

"In Motorola words.....Simulcasting in trunking is multiple transmitter sites transmitting simulteanously. Linking two or more talkgroups is either patching - each group can hear each other - or multiselecting - the dispatcher transmitting on several talk groups at once. In multiselecting, each of the multiselected talk groups don't hear each other. When the PD is short of dispatchers they patch the West end with the Bonner Springs talkgroups. "

"By the way.....the KCK/BPU system is a 3 site simulcast system. The

receivers at each site vote the best audio and send it to the three transmitter sites at the same time. The audio amplitude and phasing is precisely matched and launched from each site at exactly the correct moment to reduce the distortion caused by multiple transmitters. Under some conditions the audio may sound distorted due to propagation or your location in relation to each site. This is called simulcast distortion and is the down side of simulcasting."
As usual, Bill has the good poop for us. Thanks, Bill.

---

7. This comes from Bob, who listens to about everythingï¿½including the Feds. Here is what he has discovered as of late:
"The EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) repeater on 165.4125 seems to be pretty active today. Normally it is either dead or I can't hear it out here in Hooterville."
"ATF (Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms) on 165.2875 was active this a.m. with a couple of agents carping about how lousy their radio system was. The repeater was beset with almost constant squawks of intermod, just as it is every time it's keyed up. They obviously need a new system. Somehow the intermod even breaks through the 100.0 Hz. c.t.c.s.s."

---

8. I was listening to a local Motorola trunked system the middle of May, and heard an interview between what sounded like a radio announcer and a baseball player or official. At the end of the interview, the announcer ended with "You are listening to Shawnee Mission Baseball on 'SMT Radio.' " I've never heard of SMT Radio, have you? It must be some sort of link from an on-site announcer to the studio.
The trunked system ,designated 1FE7 by the Trunker program, uses the following frequencies, and has lots of business type users on it.
851.0125, 851.2625, 852.2625, 852.3125, 853.0125, 853.8375, 855.3625, 855.6375, 855.8125, 857.6875, 858.7625, 859.6875, 861.0625, 862.0625, 862.8125, 863.0625, 864.0625, 865.0625,
865.9375
The talkgroup used was decimal 17476/hex 4444.

---

9. Mike lives near Winfield KS, and has a question about KHP in Wichita. Perhaps some of you out that way can help him out. Here is what he had to say:
"I HAVE BEEN TRYING TO FIND A FREQUENCY TO NO AVAIL. I LIVE IN THE WINFIELD AREA. I CAN HEAR THE WICHITA STATE DISPATCHERS TALKING TO THE TROOPERS IN MY AREA BUT CANNOT HEAR THE TROOPERS TALKING TO WICHITA STATE DISPATCHERS UNLESS THE TR0OPERS ARE VERY CLOSE TO MY HOUSE. I HAVE BEEN TOLD THAT WHEN THE TROOPERS TALK IT IS ON LOW BAND FREQUENCY AND THEN IT GOES TO A TOWER WHICH CONVERTS IT TO HIGH BAND AND THAT SENDS IT TO WHICITA STATE. IN ANY EVENT I WANT TO HEAR TROOPERS CONVERSING WITH WICHITA DISPATCHERS... THANK -YOU."

---

10. Another question from a reader. David needs the frequencies and channel numbers for the Missouri Water Patrol. Apparently they have a "private" channel they call "KC." Anyone out there have the answer for that?

That's it this month. I am sure this column set a record! My fingers are worn to the bone!

Andy

3736. abc story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: MattThurston <mattthurston@mciworld.com>
Message-ID: <01bead74$6109db00$397ffad0@default>
Source record: 116499

to anyone that saw that abc story on cordless phone eavesdropping did you notice the only uses of a scanner that they mentioned was police and cordless phones. sounds like someone wants them banned. according to them were all criminals. they said why would anyone want to listen to a scanner. yes why indeed....

3737. Need Schematic for Bearcat 800 XLT base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ah549@chebucto.ns.ca
Message-ID: <xrx53.45$c27.51@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 116500

Hi, I need a schematic for a Uniden Bearcat 800 XLT base scanner.
Anyone out there have one or a copy, will pay for it.

Thanks,
Homer

3738. Motorola GP300 Programming?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ant
Message-ID: <7j6842kat1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 116501

Need to program GP300 for VOX mode.

Any help, links on how this is done would be appreciated.

Cheers
Antony

3739. Re: FS:PRO-2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: khe1049@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603115059.25731.00000008@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 116502

I'm interested in buying it. Let me know on a method of payment and shipping. $
220 sounds fine to me.

3740. Visit Ham Radio's Only Truly Independent E-Source

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: drudgeryreport@iname.com
Message-ID: <9906031151222V.16424@weba6.iname.net>
Source record: 116503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

```
Visit Ham Radio's Only Truly Independent E-Source of News and Commentary, now gone National
!

http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Node/1269

--------------------------------------------------
Get free personalized email at http://www.iname.com
```

3741. Rubber duckie Antenae-Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: catboat333@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603120502.04055.00000023@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 116504

Why are attennas on hand-helds rubber coated-is it, as I suspect, to prevent ESD damage to sensitive front ends, or am I giving manufacturers far too much credit?

And-if so-do you run a risk using a non rubber such as a Watson-a reportedly fine antenna. Cappy Anderson

3742. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: St Stephen Ames <stephen@stephenames.com>
Message-ID: <3756A5F8.3A84@stephenames.com>
Source record: 116505
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Why don't you become a volunteer fireman or paramedic if you are into
the horrible tragedy involved in such disasters and put your morbid
sense of curiousity to some good use...

John Moran wrote:
>
> A few years ago, i was having dinner and ther was an incident at LAX
> involving US-AIR and Skywest. naturally, i had my scanner with me like I
> always do.
>
> There was activity on the TV and radio news frequencies, Airline company
> frequencies, air traffic control, airport operations, airport fire/safety,
> city fire/ems, and city police
>
> now, I often have two scanners with me...this way I can monitor different
> sets of frequencies. In cases like air disasters, two come in handy.
>
> --
>
> --
> JOHN/PHX jamoran@analon.com (buildmypc@analon.com)
> Powerful Computers at affordable prices http://www.analon.com
> 800-873-5884
> Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry I Love Unix!
> vi..my favorite text editor (: 310-346-7404) X-Windows :)
>
> +Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!+
> +if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check group+
> --

--
Till the next time,
St Stephen Ames
PP-ASEL(IR in progress)
My flying site: http://www.stephenames.com/flying/flying.html
----
"When my abilities equal my committment and desire,
I will be one hell of a pilot!"

3743. Re: Free software and items for the scanner hobbyist ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: crossfire@hackers-uk.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <37394bf8.2205387@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 116506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.ph.uk

Spam Spam Spammity Spam, Spammity Spammity Spam Spam.
Get the picture?
CF
While Fighting down pedophiles, saving numerous lives and having sex
with many women at once, a_alpha1@i.am (a_alpha1) Wrote:
>
> Looking for hard to get programs like winflex4 or DSP Blaster....or
>decoding programs for cordless phones...??
>
>Visit Moxina Mind Spring's "technical freebies " site for these and
>much more...!! (private site..no ads , no banners, no nada..)
>
>Wanna know how to pay for purchases on the web and use money orders
>and NOT get ripped off....email me for the info ...
>a_alpha1@i.am or a_alpha1@pacbell.net ....I'll tell
>you a fool proof way (personally used by myself) to keep from
>being ripped ...!!
>
>Moxina Mind Spring ..home of the TIgEas LAiR ...
>
>http://home.pacbell.net/kaliber/Index2.htm
>
>
>i.am/a_alpha1
>hey.to/a_alpha1
>Fast.to/a_alpha1
>all of these >The Abyss > Techno Freebies ...
>
>email to a_alpha1@i.am
> a_alpha1@pacbell.net
> a_alpha1@hey.to
>
>
>a_alpha1

If the cultivation of cannabis is illegal, then god is surely a criminal - Free Rob
Cannabis

3744. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7j6bs0$1eo$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 116507
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

>St Stephen Ames wrote in message <3756A5F8.3A84@stephenames.com>...
>Why don't you become a volunteer fireman or paramedic if you are into
>the horrible tragedy involved in such disasters and put your morbid
>sense of curiousity to some good use...

OK, so all of us who listen to scanners should either be a fireman or
paramedic
or we are (in your opinion) morbid curiousity seekers??? This is an idiotic
attitude
to express in this NG. Just what the hell do you think most of us use
scanners for?

Bryan Stethem

3745. Re: Marine frequencies - Lake Erie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7j6cqb$28h$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 116508
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am not sure about the other Great Lakes, but those of us in Lake Michigan have been notified by the Coast Guard that as of now
Ch. 16 is for EMERGENCY USE ONLY. And Ch. 9 has been designated as the hailing channel. The reason given for this change was that there was just too much traffic on 16.

Bryan Stethem
Captain of "The Dinghy Blonde"
Port of St. Joseph, Michigan

3746. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: R&R Johnson <r_rjohns@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <GCy53.4450$o56.1008@news1.mia>
Source record: 116509

Heard yesterday that the Impala is prob. front wheel drive, something most
agencies are trying to avoid. It would mean big bucks to train
how many thousands of officers to drive in pursuit situations.
KE4AUS1 wrote in message <19990602195339.05417.00000201@ng-co1.aol.com>...
>The Chevy 2000 Impala is supposed to be a Lumina Body Style, with a souped
up
>engine, suspension,etc, etc... Not sure if it'll be rear wheel drive or
>not.....
>
>
>Jason

3747. WTB 900 mhz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Cook <photovsn@interl.net>
Message-ID: <7j6csu$ua8$1@forge.sbt.net>
Source record: 116510

I am looking for a good 900mhz scanner.
I am familiar with the sportcat...
looking for similar features..
preferably with a band search....
i will pay a reasonble price...

jason cook
cook52601@netzero.net

3748. Re: FLASH -- 20/20 TV Show Wednesday night -- wireless phone eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: R&R Johnson <r_rjohns@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <fLy53.4464$o56.875@news1.mia>
Source record: 116511

Maybe you should have to have a ham radio license to transmit with a
cordless phone, how about Tech Plus or better.

RJ

Jake Brodsky wrote in message <375b91b5.1236188@news.erols.com>...
>On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 18:54:51 -0400, Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com> wrote:
>
>>ABC News 20/20 Wednesday show, on tonight at 10PM Eastern time, will
>>have some sort of program involving interception of cordless or cellular
>>telephones.
>
>Saw it. It wasn't nice. Very little was said about how easy it is to
>monitor the phones. All they did was to show a portable scanner
>monitoring a cordless phone. Now the general public is scared of
>anyone with a scanner. They had one brief (ten second) clip of a
>reasonable use of a scanner and the rest of the 20 minute story was
>all about some idiot who was convicted of harassing his neighbors
>using a scanner.
>
>This is why our rights as radio listeners are being flushed away by
>the likes of CTIA, Congress, and ABC news.
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3749. Re: Static buildup on mag-mount antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7j6dhd$5t7$1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 116512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

As long as you're not driving a Corvette or other fiberglass vehicle, it should cause you no problems at all...it's no doubt grounded thru the magnet mount to the body anyway -- or, thru the coax to the radio's ground (though THAT's a tenuous connection).

I've never had a problem in 30+ years with mag mounts.

Harry

Darren Mulligan wrote in message <3756A4B7.637AF7ED@excite.com>...
I will be going across the country and have chosen to use a magnet-mount antenna. I am wondering if there will be a static build-up problem, and if so, how to reduce it.

3750. FS: BC230XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: sharvey@thefuture.net
Message-ID: <7j6crk$2eb4@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116513
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Like new radio, includes 2 600 ma batteries, battery charger, wall adapter, soft vinyl case and scanner. Asking $130 shipped. Please email me if you are interested. Scott.

3751. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603134128.07271.00000031@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 116514

Spunky The Waffle Iron" <spunky@nospam.org> wrote:

>You coders are arrogant assholes who are cliquish, think your special =
>and need a big
>license to make up for your small, RF irradiated, sterile penis's.

Now now now... NASTY TALK is not going to make up for being STUPID! You (and the rest like you), are just upset because the only thing that YOU get IRRADIATED by, is CB SIGNALS! Lol lol lol lol...As I have explained, if you want something better, go to Radio Shack, buy you a book, study a while, (between BREAKER BREAKERS), and get you one of those Elcheapo Ham licenses. Even THAT is better than the CRAP you're using. And who knows, it might even make giving up the FILTHY LANGUAGE easier!?!? Of course, that is one thing you need to consider before attempting to get a Ham License. TRASHY talk, (which CBers seem adept at), is HIGHLY forwned apon by the Ham community. So, depending on how long you have been a CBer, it might be easier to just stay where you are...Your LOW CLASS talk won't be missed on the Ham Bands...BREAKER BREAKER...Lol lol lol

3752. Re: Mobil Speedpass RFI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Price <career1@home.com>
Message-ID: <3756C09A.A70C22A8@home.com>
Source record: 116515

Hi:

I can't answer your question, but it took me a while to figure it out.
Do you know how it works?

73
Alan
W1HYV

Spamfree wrote:
>
> On what frequency does Mobil's Speedpass operate? Every time I pull up to a
> Mobil-enabled pump my radio gets a loud rhythmic noise (I'm usually
> listening to the local AM news station around 900... doesn't have nearly as
> much interference on FM stations). Just what is radiating, and why?
>
> --
> Friends don't let friends use e-bay
> E-bay: proud sponsor of Al Gore's 2000 presidential campaign

3753. Beacat BC220 Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: CUSC <cusc@cuscorp.com>
Message-ID: <3756BFC7.8A6EA384@cuscorp.com>
Source record: 116516

I picked up an old Bearcat BC220 scanner at a hamfest. It is not the newer model BC220XLT. I am trying to fix it and I need schematics or a hardware manual.

Can anyone help?
Bob B.

3754. Re: SETI@home

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt Fuller <fee@global2000.net>
Message-ID: <6iz53.275$zX5.17568@typ42b.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 116517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This is probably considered off topic, but I'll post my proverbial pennies publicly incase anyone else was wondering as well. The Seti@Home software is a little package that analyzes data received by radio telescopes (I think Seta@Home uses signals "just" from the massive radio telescope at Arecibo, Puerto Rico) in the hope of finding radio signals emitted by inhabitants of other planets.. Right now that is our best hope for finding intelligent life elsewhere.. the thinking being that since we emit (and have been for over 50 years) strong radio signals, other advanced civilizations are or have done the same.. The program itself is fairly small, and you can set it to run only when you're not currently using your computer.. It downloads about 350k of data and analyzes it looking for something that just would not belong in a certain range the radio spectrum. It takes me (on a p166) about 75 hours to analyze one data packet, so it's not something overly exciting to sit down and watch, but it is interesting to think of the possibilities.. Right now there are about 500,000 users analyzing data, and they have already done a total of 50988730 hours 02 minutes 19.4 seconds (5820.63 years) worth of CPU time.
The software and much more information than I can provide (and probably more accurate, too.) can be found at
http://setiathome.ssl.berkeley.edu/index.html
Give it a shot if you haven't already.. Who knows.. it could be your system that finds something.. :)
Matt

LaLaS <m1956@execpc.com> wrote in message
news:7j5ean$124@newsops.execpc.com...
> Can any one explain to me what it is ,and if it's worth to download it
> Here is small description of the program.
>
> The search for EXTRATERRESTRIAL INTELLIGENCE
>
> SETI@home actually consists of two parts: an "application"
> and a "screensaver". The application does all the work: it
> downloads blocks of data from through the Internet, performs
> the number crunching on that data (looking for orderly
> patterns that might be artificial), returns the results, and
> gets another block of data. The application is represented
> by a green radio telescope icon in the System Tray (normally
> at the lower right of your screen). You can open the appli-
> cation window by double-clicking on this icon.
>
>
>

3755. Re: Rubber duckie Antenae-Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7j6eekffv1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 116518

Don't think it's so much the ESD as it is grounding out the conducting
element when it touches other conducting objects.

But what do I know

Harry

Catboat333 wrote in message
<19990603120502.04055.00000023@ng-fe1.aol.com>...
Why are attennas on hand-helds rubber coated-is it, as I suspect, to prevent
ESD damage to sensitive front ends, or am I giving manufacturers far too
much
credit?

And-if so-do you run a risk using a non rubber such as a Watson-a
reportedly
fine antenna. Cappy Anderson

3756. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7j6fct$r4t$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116519

Oh I don't know.... Shack23 seems to have his head up someplace.

I could say where... But he obviously hasn't listened on 20meters
lately. All general and above, and more foul mouthed than most
of CB.... But then, that's not saying much, I realize, for CB. But it
sure is saying a hell of a lot bad about HAM.

Mike

Shack23 wrote in message
<19990603134128.07271.00000031@ng-fo1.aol.com>...
>Even THAT is better than the CRAP you're using. And who knows, it might
even
>make giving up the FILTHY LANGUAGE easier!?!? Of course, that is one
thing you
>need to consider before attempting to get a Ham License. TRASHY talk,
(which
>CBers seem adept at), is HIGHLY forwned apon by the Ham community. So,
>depending on how long you have been a CBer, it might be easier to just
stay
>where you are...Your LOW CLASS talk won't be missed on the Ham
Bands...BREAKER
>BREAKER...Lol lol lol

3757. Re: Need Help w/EMI From Uncooperative Neighbor's House

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603141152.07271.00000041@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 116520

thx1138" <look@life.org wrote:

>My neighbor of which we share a common wall (duplex) recently
>purchased a "baby wipes heater". I am not joking.

In the first place, what in hades is a BABY WIPES HEATER????? Are you sure THAT is the name of the device? Anyway, any type of device that incorrectly generates RF energy, is subject to FCC scrutiny. I bet if you wrote a letter to the manufacturer of that thing, and told them your TV/RADIO reception is being destroyed by it, they might offer you a suggestion. (Although I'm not sure what it would be, lol). This might not help, but it couldn't hurt. Find out where your neighbor got that contraption, and try to get their address, or better yet, their Email address. If all else fails, you could take them to Civil Court with a nuisance suit! ... Good luck!

3758. SETI@home

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: LaLaS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7j6dfr$nsc@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 116521

Can any one explain to me what it is ,and if it's worth to download it
Here is small description of the program.

The search for EXTRATERRESTRIAL INTELLIGENCE

SETI@home actually consists of two parts: an "application"
and a "screensaver". The application does all the work: it
downloads blocks of data from through the Internet, performs
the number crunching on that data (looking for orderly
patterns that might be artificial), returns the results, and
gets another block of data. The application is represented
by a green radio telescope icon in the System Tray (normally
at the lower right of your screen). You can open the appli-
cation window by double-clicking on this icon.

3759. Re: Question about same frequency but different mode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603142251.07271.00000044@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 116522

Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote:

>I have a question. If I am listening to a transmission on a freq. lets say
>800.000MHZ i can hear a conversation fine but if I switch modes from FM to
>WFM I can hear a different conversation??!!?? Can someone explain to me how
>this can happen???
>Thanks
>
>Robert

The probable reason for what you described, is that when you are using NFM, you receive the signals ON that frequency. However, when you switch to WFM, you are probably receiving an ADJACENT signal, which is STRONGER than the one you are tuned to. And because of FM's CAPTURE EFFECT, it GRABS your receiver...Joey

3760. PRO-2029!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: porscheemb@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603143052.27204.00000053@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 116523

N E ONE KNOW ANY MODS FOR THIS SCANNER?
THANKS
PLEASE E_MAIL PORSCHEEMB@AOL.COM THANKS

3761. Re: Rubber duckie Antenae-Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603143210.07271.00000048@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 116524

catboat333@aol.com (Catboat333) wrote:

>Why are attennas on hand-helds rubber coated-is it, as I suspect, to prevent
>ESD damage to sensitive front ends, or am I giving manufacturers far too much
>credit?
>

ESD has NOTHING whatever to do with it. And yes, that is SURELY giving
manufacturers 'FAR TOO MUCH CREDIT'...Joey

3762. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donna L. Kregel" <donna.kregel@nwa.com>
Message-ID: <7j6hcvdce1@nwanews.nwa.com>
Source record: 116525
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

I think you missed the point, Bryan -

I agree completely with the other Stephen. Listening to police scanners for accident or emergency details is downright sick, in my estimation. I see no difference between your actions and those of the soulless video junkies that tape car accidents etc. Not only is it sick and of no use to those who need help, it's also an incredible violation of privacy for those hurt in the situation.

From what I read, the other poster's point was that rather than standing there and gawking, you either find a way to help, or get out of the way, and give survivors and victim's families some privacy to work through the shock and grief involved.

I have to let you off easy to some degree though - I'd say you learned well from the media sharks. They set quite an example.

As for the purpose of this newsgroup, we're here to learn and share, not chase ambulances.

Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com> wrote in message news:7j6bs0$1eo$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net...
>
> >St Stephen Ames wrote in message <3756A5F8.3A84@stephenames.com>...
> >Why don't you become a volunteer fireman or paramedic if you are into
> >the horrible tragedy involved in such disasters and put your morbid
> >sense of curiousity to some good use...
>
>
> OK, so all of us who listen to scanners should either be a fireman or
> paramedic
> or we are (in your opinion) morbid curiousity seekers??? This is an idiotic
> attitude
> to express in this NG. Just what the hell do you think most of us use
> scanners for?
>
> Bryan Stethem
>
>

3763. Re: Scanner mod books

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603143445.07271.00000049@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 116526

MacMac" <sforrest@soltec.net wrote:

>Anybody know good place to by scanner modification books?
> Like Scanner Modifications in Radios/Tech modifications #10A
> Thanks
>

Bill Cheek USED to COMPOSE them...Joey

3764. Req: Columbus OH freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc5dvr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603150504.13718.00000063@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 116527

am going to columbus in a few weeks. anybody have a good list of freqs for that area?? i have several conventional scanners as well as a trunktracker. thanks, chris, kc5dvr (also looking for 2mtr/70cm open repeaters)

3765. Re: Rubber duckie Antenae-Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11c080749d4130319897d5@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116528

You are not at risk with using a metal antenna such as a Watson or any other telescopic type. I have found, though, that when using a non-duckie or non-duckie antenna, clipping a 5ft hunk of wire onto the bnc or base portion of the antenna greatly improves the reception. Specifically on or below the insulator of the antenna shaft for frequencies below 100mhz and just above the insulator for frequencies above 100mhz. It is perhaps most dramatic when trying to monitor the 30-50mhz region and also the 118-136 aero band. Try this and I think you will be amazed. I have a setup of a 5ft wire and a large alligator clip that I take with me on the road. The next best thing to a roof antenna. Also for what it's worth, after trying nearly every BNC and SMA antenna out there, the Watson 881 SuperGainer antenna wins hands down. Several DB better gain than any Comet, Diamond, Premier or others I tried from 100-1000mhz across the board. The only thing is that from 30-50mhz the Radio Shack 20-006 center coiled telescopic antenna still rules. The trick is to collapse the entire area below the coil and extend all but the very top section above the coil for best results in that region. Good luck.

3766. Re: Req: Columbus OH freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: smokedtofu@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603154029.11923.00000067@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 116529

go to www.trunktracker.com and you will find what you are looking for.

3767. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <A3F4201B5D29B31C.753487BF0A6F5935.2C8ADEC4DB9C8787@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116530

> Now now now... NASTY TALK is not going to make up for being STUPID! You (and
> the rest like you), are just upset because the only thing that YOU get
> IRRADIATED by, is CB SIGNALS!

I'm a HAM and don't expect me to give you my call sign as we aren't on radio and I don't want
you dragging my opinions onto the radio.

3768. Re: FLASH -- 20/20 TV Show Wednesday night -- wireless phone eavesdropping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <C007ADC500C9BBD0.5F097E0190A00F25.63EAEAD0807D376D@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116531

Tech Plus?
Now who in the hell is going to use morse code with their cordless phone.
Make it a Tech or better.

3769. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
Message-ID: <01beadef$3f123760$5eca99ce@tmsevart.apexcorp.com>
Source record: 116532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote in article
<j8r53.7573$4b.192297@news2.giganews.com>...
> but if you're a crook
>
> credit card numbers
>
> calling card numbers
>
> or a thief
>
> know when your neighbors will not be home
> or on vacation

All sorts of "harmless" information can also be gathered which at the time
seems insignificant but can all be pieced together to give the whole
picture. People should be aware that such information as listed above
broadcast in the nieghborhood could make someone a target of crime.

That's why my cordless phone is a 900 MHZ digital spread-spectrum phone.
It is completely secure as far as scanner listeners are concerned.

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

3770. Mac Multimode Program on PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: hwabnig@netway.at
Message-ID: <3756dfb1.3603043@news.netway.at>
Source record: 116533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Download the demo version of the ARDI mac emulator from

http://www.ardi.com/download.html

then get the Multimode demo version from

http://www.blackcatsystems.com/software/multimode.html

This took me 30 minutes altogether, the programs are running,
but Multimode does not recognize my Soundblaster Live under Windows.
Have not yet installed the DOS drivers,
because the SB Live DOS drivers are a pain in the ass.

Please would you all try this out and report the results here?
Does Multimode work on *your* PC?

good luck,

W.

3771. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: dynastic@0spam.cwcom.net
Message-ID: <3756bc4c.2850079@news.cwcom.net>
Source record: 116534
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Thu, 03 Jun 1999 03:56:48 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva
Nataraja) wrote:

>On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 23:37:33 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
><theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>>Ham wrote:
>>>
>>> What do Ham Radio and The Marines have to do with each other ?
>>> Lets talk bottom line...CW is a twit filter. It maintains a certain
>>> level of operator competentancy.
>>
>>Compentency? What from Continoius Wave (C. W.). Yeah Right.
>
>Actually, the "competency" line is just a smoke screen.
>
>In actuality, CW is a high-tech form of HAZING - a painful rite of
>initiation. The reason they don't do away with it is because current
>"Ham clique" members figure "hey, *I* had to do it, so I'm gonna make
>the newbies go though it, too!" Accroding to the TV news show I saw,
>this is the main reason why hazing still exists in the military, even
>though officially it is aginst regulations.

Nonsense. Until the ITU removes the requirement, it stays.
Individual administrations cannot remove it unilaterally.

--
Regards, Jim. | Plus je vis d' trangers, plus
olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk | j'aimai ma patrie.
jim%amadeus.softnet.co.uk |
dynastic%cwcom.net | - Du Belloy 1725-1775.
nordland%lineone.net |
Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371

3772. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob <rmcauley@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3756DFB8.60ECF847@optonline.net>
Source record: 116535
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

"Donna L. Kregel" wrote:

> I think you missed the point, Bryan -
>
> I agree completely with the other Stephen. Listening to police scanners for
> accident or emergency details is downright sick, in my estimation. I see
> no difference between your actions and those of the soulless video junkies
> that tape car accidents etc. Not only is it sick and of no use to those who
> need help, it's also an incredible violation of privacy for those hurt in
> the situation.
>
> From what I read, the other poster's point was that rather than standing
> there and gawking, you either find a way to help, or get out of the way, and
> give survivors and victim's families some privacy to work through the shock
> and grief involved.
>
> I have to let you off easy to some degree though - I'd say you learned well
> from the media sharks. They set quite an example.

Donna, a few points to add...

First: It is human nature for people to be attracted to accidents or disasters and to want to get information about them. How often have you been caught in a traffic jam because people are "rubbernecking" an auto accident on a road or parkway? And when you finally get up to the accident, you mean to tell me that you *never* look at the accident scene?

As long as a person with a scanner does not use the information he receives from it to obtain personal gain, it is perfectly legal for him to use it. And the names of victims are *never* stated over emergency radios, so there is no privacy problem present at the accident scene.

I am a paid EMT in the New York City EMS system, and a volunteer firefighter on Long Island for seven years. While it is quite normal for people to be attratcted to accident scenes, *not* everyone can be an emergency responder. Not everyone is able to handle the stress of the incident, or even desires to have the skills to perform in such incidents.

Rob

3773. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7j6n2vcbc1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 116536
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Donna,
I glad we live in the USA where we can all have our own opinions and have the right to disagree with each other. And I am going to disagree with you.

I have had a scanner operating in my home for nearly 30 years non-stop. Am I a morbid person seeking thrills from others suffering? NO! Am I downright sick (your words) to listen in on police and fire radio traffic. NO I'm not. I have the right to monitor the professional people our tax dollars pay. I have the right to get my information on current events from a source other than a newspaper or TV news program that will tell me what they think I should know. In the area I live in a fatal car accident often makes the paper in about 3 or 4 days. The information is seldom accurate and never complete. The TV news programs around here are more interested in crap programming like "A New Tree Was Planted At The Senior Citizens Building" film at 11. If you want to know what that thick black cloud of smoke was to the east don't look in the paper or watch TV, get a scanner.

Frankly, your remark about listening to scanner traffic being "sick and of no use to those who need help" makes little sense to me. I'm at home after working 10 hours at my job and I hear about an accident across town. What am I supposed to do? Run out and see if I can help? You have made a very crucial error in assuming that I'm running to the scene and getting in the way. I'm not standing there gawking!!!!! I'm listening from my home! What part of this don't you understand. Find a way to help??????? Incredible violation of privacy ? I'll remember that the next time I happen across an accident scene and there are injured people who could benefit from my 10 years as a fireman with EMS training. I won't stop and help, as that might violate their privacy.

As for the purpose of this NG, I believe that the majority of us in this group are
here to learn and share information on how to do what you find so objectionable,
BETTER.

I can't stop an airplane from crashing in the field behind my house, I don't want
an airplane to crash behind my house, but, if an airplane crashes behind my house,
I'm going to hear how it was handled by the professionals I pay to handle it.

Your remark about "you learned well from the media sharks" is about as far off the
mark as you could get. I distrust the media as much as anyone. The media does
not think for me. That is why I do not rely on the media for my information. I can't
tell you how far off the reporting is from what actually happened. (if it's covered at
all) Who thinks for you??? You may want to consider a replacement! Why do I
get the feeling you use a bible for a mouse pad?

Donna, you may want to subscribe to a news group like
bleeding.heart.liberal.crybabies.news

Bryan Stethem
Still Listening After All These Years

>Donna L. Kregel wrote in message <7j6hcvdce1@nwanews.nwa.com>...
>I think you missed the point, Bryan -
>
>I agree completely with the other Stephen. Listening to police scanners for
>accident or emergency details is downright sick, in my estimation. I see
>no difference between your actions and those of the soulless video junkies
>that tape car accidents etc. Not only is it sick and of no use to those who
>need help, it's also an incredible violation of privacy for those hurt in
>the situation.
>
>From what I read, the other poster's point was that rather than standing
>there and gawking, you either find a way to help, or get out of the way, and
>give survivors and victim's families some privacy to work through the shock
>and grief involved.
>
>I have to let you off easy to some degree though - I'd say you learned well
>from the media sharks. They set quite an example.
>
>As for the purpose of this newsgroup, we're here to learn and share, not

```
>chase ambulances.
>
```

3774. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: sarge@interaccess.com
Message-ID: <3756dd34.77218918@NNTP.interaccess.com>
Source record: 116537
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 13:26:57 -0500, "Donna L. Kregel"
<donna.kregel@nwa.com> wrote:

- - - - - - - - - -BIG SNIP - - - - - - - - - - -

>
>As for the purpose of this newsgroup, we're here to learn and share, not
>chase ambulances.
- - - - - - - - - -MORE SNIP- - - - - - - - - - -

Please Donna, tell me, What do we do with all we learn and share?? Do
we just keep passing it around in a continuous wave of learning and
sharing? Do we even need scanners anymore?

WOW! This opens up a new world. No need to buy a scanner, just
subscribe to a news group and learn and share.

I also do not believe in "ambulance chasing". But it seems your
definition of it is not mine.

I'm not flaming or being smark-alecky, but I really would like to know
how you use your scanner.

3775. Need MDT program.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602201039.05419.00000278@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 116545

My local police will be using MDT really soon and I need a lead on where to get software for my PC (and my Pro-91) so that I may monitor MDT traffic. By the way, they are using a Motorola trunked system.

Thank you very much for your help.....

3776. Uniden BC235XLT Trunk-Tracker Scanner (300 programmable channels).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kenbo1999@NSyahoo.com
Message-ID: <3756c9b9.1000407@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 116546

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=112388681

3777. 10 Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Mitchell <gmitch@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3755D0EF.8D1B6427@flash.net>
Source record: 116547

Is there a site that lists the 10 codes? I know there are several different sets but I can't find a site that has any listed.
Thanks
GM

3778. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3781b319.18669355@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 116548

"Paul L." <merlet@eudoramail.com> wrote:

>How about someone creating a Bill Cheeks NewsGroup. That way we don't
>have to download hundreds of useless posts. I don't know Bill, don't
>want to know Bill, and don't want to know anything about bill. I do want
>to read about scanners here. This Bill thing is getting old..... or
>maybe I am. I just can't believe that so many people can get so upset by
>this guy... I'm not for or against Bill, I'm just tired of seeing so
>much of the NG being taken up by this topic. Man I hope this ends
>soon....

Kill filters are your friend. I seldom see any of those posts any more.
Here's another hint: Posting something like you just did is a sure way
to insure that the threads will continue. It'll end when all the people
who don't care to see it stop responding.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3779. Re: 10 Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kegan30317@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602205352.03660.00000331@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 116549

>
>Is there a site that lists the 10 codes? I know there are several different
>sets but I can't find a site that has

I don't know of a site. Your best option would be to ask someone from the
jurisdiction you are interested in. EMS, Police or Fire personnel should be
willing to help you out.

3780. Atlanta Ham Fest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: kegan30317@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602211250.03653.00000263@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 116550

Does anyone have any info on the upcoming Atlanta Ham Fest? Thx.

3781. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j4lqu$m4p@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116551

In <A9CC2F57B3AF7EA3.C2BA9E720694B979.4C8C5D6E65717D2A@lp.airnews.net>
bfwinstead <winstead@airmail.net> writes:
>
>very much disliked? by whom?
>other than the recognized idiots in the newsgroup, most people
>recognize Bill Cheek as a wealth of information and use him for
>that purpose. if you want to get chummy with someone do not
>choose Bill Cheek. please do not invent the public opinion of this,
>or any other, newsgroup.
>
>
>DShatzer1 wrote:
>
>> It used to be that he was very much disliked on here.
>>
>> YOU HAVE A GREAT IDEA!!!
>
I'm confused. Do you mean to say if you are
one of the "recognized village idiots" who lurk
on rec.radio.scanner, then if you want a chum,
do not choose Bill Cheek?

Or do you mean: if you are one assemblage of
"village idiots" on this ng you had
better not think of Bill Cheek as your
friend and to just leave him alone?"

hmmmm. decisions abound here?

bill conduit
etc.

3782. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j4lud$qe8@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116552

In <3781b319.18669355@news.cyberhighway.net> glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom) writes:
>
>
It'll end when all the people
>who don't care to see it stop responding.
>--
>glenq@cyberhighway.net

1. You.

be one with your suggestion.

bill conduit
etc.

3783. Re: Atlanta Ham Fest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: earl@airwolf.oit.gatech.edu
Message-ID: <7j4m59$rce@catapult.gatech.edu>
Source record: 116553

kegan30317@aol.com (Kegan30317) writes:

>Does anyone have any info on the upcoming Atlanta Ham Fest? Thx.

June 5th and 6th at the Cobb County Fairground. See:
http://www.saf.com/arc/atlfest.htm

--
Earl Barfield -- Operations Department / Information Technology
Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta Georgia, 30332
Internet: Earl.Barfield@oit.gatech.edu earl@prism.gatech.edu
earl@fantasy.gatech.edu earl@oit.gatech.edu

3784. Re: Airshow Frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: cmcdonld@thezone.net
Message-ID: <7j4n8d$tt1$1@nova.thezone.net>
Source record: 116554

Goto industry canada's website(www.ic.gc.ca) and search for freq a
list for ontairo. I can't rember where I found the copy that I have
but it includes all stations freq, airports, private, cell, just about
all licenced stationed(it dosen't include RCMP, Miltary and I'm not
sure about provincal police)
At the airshow they should be using the airports freq's.

3785. Re: 10 Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Mitchell <gmitch@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3755EFEC.AF5A4A72@flash.net>
Source record: 116555

Thanks, this was what I was looking for.

GM

3786. BNC connector question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602233112.13617.00000558@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 116556

i have a pro 25and in the manual it says it has a BNC connector. I looked up what it was on the net and it said you can use it to hook it up to your outside TV antenna to get excellent reception. does anyone know how to do this?do i need to buy extra parts? email response is preffered

3787. Re: mods for BC235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990602233332.17875.00000409@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 116557

so far there is only one mod
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/stuff/light.txt

-rabidfool@aol.com
-rabidfool@aol.com

3788. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3755fb8f.2191628@news>
Source record: 116558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 23:37:33 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Ham wrote:
>>
>> What do Ham Radio and The Marines have to do with each other ?
>> Lets talk bottom line...CW is a twit filter. It maintains a certain
>> level of operator competentancy.
>
>Compentency? What from Continoius Wave (C. W.). Yeah Right.

Actually, the "competency" line is just a smoke screen.

In actuality, CW is a high-tech form of HAZING - a painful rite of
initiation. The reason they don't do away with it is because current
"Ham clique" members figure "hey, *I* had to do it, so I'm gonna make
the newbies go though it, too!" Accroding to the TV news show I saw,
this is the main reason why hazing still exists in the military, even
though officially it is aginst regulations.

3789. Re: morse code on police channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.96.990603000346.10790F-100000@u1.abs.net>
Source record: 116559

On 30 May 1999, BlackCatNV wrote:

> What is the purpose of the short bursts of morse code that appear on police and
> other public frequencies that are otherwise voice channels?
>
>
FCC Regs require licensed stations to IDent ever so often. In the Public
Safety relm it is once every 30 min or after each transmission. This
IDent can be either voice or by CW.

We IDent with a CW Identifyer.....

Tim Wright - NCIC/LINK Terminal Agency Coordinator
Morehead State University Police
Morehead, Ky
Serving the Public in Emergency Communications since 1982
Retired Volunteer FireFighter 20 Years of Active Duty
Amateur Radio Operator Call: KD4OVM

The opinions expressed by me here are mine unless other wise stated and
are not the opinions of my Employer nor co-workers

3790. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37560DDE.F6C64098@usa.net>
Source record: 116560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

That is exactly what the newsgroup ALT.FAN.BILL.CHEEK was created
for a few years ago.

Paul L. wrote:

> How about someone creating a Bill Cheeks NewsGroup. That way we don't
> have to download hundreds of useless posts. I don't know Bill, don't
> want to know Bill, and don't want to know anything about bill. I do want
> to read about scanners here. This Bill thing is getting old..... or
> maybe I am. I just can't believe that so many people can get so upset by
> this guy... I'm not for or against Bill, I'm just tired of seeing so
> much of the NG being taken up by this topic. Man I hope this ends

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3791. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37560E6E.CA7E7ACA@usa.net>
Source record: 116561

While I am sure that you do fit into that catagory, please don't attempt
to include ME!

bfwinstead wrote:

> perhaps i should have said "one of the 2-3 recognized idiots in the
> newsgroup." that way there's room for you, mackey and me.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

3792. Re: Airshow Frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ao111@freenet.carleton.ca
Message-ID: <amckie-ya02408000R0306990102080001@news.ncf.carleton.ca>
Source record: 116562

In article <7j4sn7rlc1@news.storm.ca>, "Murray A. Inch"
<minch@nospam.storm.ca> wrote:

> Or even better check the Ontscan www.ontscan.com website aviation pages, I
> believe that all the southern Ontario Airport freq's are on Ron Katz's
> Website.

For aviation-related scanning in Canada, I highly recommend
http://www.interlog.com/~rollers/ Of course, of all the web sites
mentioned, none will have air show-specific frequencies. Common practice is
to "run" the air show over existing ATC frequencies [the airport's tower
will almost always retain jurisdiction over any flying at the field,
including a show] and then to add one or two discretionary frequencies for
air-to-ground comms (ie to pipe over the PA system or to co-ordinate
performers) -- the latter will not be in any official frequency guide but
may be repeated from year to year and therefore show up on a frequency
guide. And on top of that, performers (teams, especially, due to the need
to communicate amongst themselves) will pick one or more frequencies to use
during their shows. These are easier to find. For Hamilton, you'll want the
Thunderbirds and Snowbirds. Many online lists have their common frequencies
listed. Snowbirds were on 272.100 at almost all shows last year and at
Ottawa this year. The Thunderbirds use several.

-Alasdair

3793. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <0E8FDC03F1A7F6D7.6198F8D2B2B72BCB.A1B2EC9D2E47C0A7@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

> > What do Ham Radio and The Marines have to do with each other ?
> > Lets talk bottom line...CW is a twit filter.

From the looks of it, coders are the twits as they apparently have no life, meaning that it's more of a twit holding pen.

3794. Re: Television news program about cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <CC76EA8F40821493.99BCB2625CA47089.967EBECCF91DDDC0@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116564

Maybe they should unblock our scanners and just make it illegal to listen
to wireless phone conversations.
I for one feel violated when I get a shiny news scanner and some commision
has already made the manufacturer black out big blocks of frequencies because
the cell phone people complained that they couldn't deal their drugs without us listening
to them and turning them in.

3795. E-Trax Review

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9jig@theramp.net
Message-ID: <n9jig-0306990026080001@k56-ip-82.theramp.net>
Source record: 116565

E-Trax, by Joseph Cardani, is a DOS based utility for the OptoCom receiver that allows tracking of the popular EDACS trunking systems often used by Public Safety and business operations in the US. While not as numerous as the Motorola trunking systems, EDACS is a major player in the field. In the Chicago area the Illinois State Police and Will County operate EDACS systems, and Lake County will be installing one soon.

E-Trax currently operates only OptoCom receivers, but support for other radios such as the OS456 and Icoms are in the works. E-Trax requires regular DOS, not a DOS Window within Windows. It suggests a 486 or better. No modification to your radio is required. The Bit Banger feature now included on OptoComs is not required, although E-Trax should work with it with no problem.

I have been running E-Trax on a variety of computers, including:

IBM Pentium II, 233 MHz desktop (32 MB RAM)
Compaq Pentium 120 MHz laptop (32 MB RAM)
Compaq 486 DX4/100 tower (8MB RAM)
Winbook 486/100 laptop. (8MB RAM)

It ran well on each of these with no problems.

E-Trax, at first glance, seems complicated. Once you read the instructions and view the files, it becomes clearer and after using it for a couple days it all becomes clear, and I realized that it really is a simple and easy to use program. The instructions are easy to read and complete. An MS Word version is available on the E-Trax web page (Address listed below).

The program has several parts. The first is the actual program itself. This is less than 200K in size.

The second part is the Config file. This tells the computer what kind of radio and what com port is used. Other information is present here, and the manual tells you exactly how to edit this for proper operation.

The third part is the system file. This is the file that you edit to tell the radio the frequencies and other information on the system you are going to listen to. Several sample systems are available of you don't have the information needed for the systems you wish to listen to. Info on many EDACS systems are available on the E-Trax web page.

One of the system files included is the "Initial" file. This is used to figure out the correct Channel Order used by your system. This is important to have correct, since an incorrect order will provide false groups ID's. The manual tells you how to edit this with the frequencies

used by your system. The freqs can be found in Police Call, or on various web sites. You then run the program with the Initial file, and follow the instructions to decipher the correct channel order. A second scanner is used to verify the correct channel order. Most, but not all, EDACS systems use the freqs in ascending or descending order.

Once you have the correct channel order you can start listening to the system. The program shows you Group ID's (GID's) as they become active. By careful monitoring you can figure out what is what. Many times a unit will identify the group he is using ("22 to 44 on Car West"). If you are lucky enough t monitor a system during it's installation and testing phase the techs will often test each group and identify it for you. Once you figure out the use you can add a title to the Group, called a Tag.

A recent excursion to the Joliet area this week allowed me to identify 6 groups in a few minutes. On a busier day I could have done a lot more, but since this was Sunday, the only active groups were the Sheriff's Department's.

As you identify group ID's, write down the use, and you can edit the system file and add up to 1000 GID tags. This should keep you busy for a while. Once you identify the various groups you can add them to a scan list by editing the same file. You can keep several versions of the same system file with different scan lists to allow for different scanning strategies. Just be sure to name them differently. Being DOS, be sure to keep the names to 8 characters or less, and do not use a file extension (no ".txt" for example)

The program delivers a lot of information on the EDACS system you are listening to. The active frequency , talk group, the name of the group, type of group or conversation, what the control channel is, and status of the program. Talk groups that have not yet been identified are shown as such, so you will know to listen carefully and figure out the use.

The program allows you to monitor a system in several ways. One is to "Search" this is similar to searching on a regular scanner in that it will display any active group or call, and display the ID and Tag (if known). You can chose to track a single group or call, scan a pre-selected list with or without a scan delay, follow groups only, again with or without delay, or even individual calls only.

After a couple weeks of operation I found the program to be a reliable and efficient way to monitor an EDACS system. In fact it is the only way to track an EDACS system with a single radio, at least until the Uniden BC245 is available. While monitoring the Illinois State Police system I was able to track individual groups when an interesting call came out, and searched out new groups. I already had a majority of the most active groups ID's, and as new ones were found I tracked them until I figured out what it was used for. When I had several new groups identified I stopped the program and added them to the list of Group tags.

The only problems I had with the program were relatively minor, and are probably more preferences than complaints. I would prefer for the program to be able to run in a DOS Window so that other Windows programs could run at the same time. I would also like to see the "F-Key" functions on the screen to make it easier to select options. I found that the default yellow and blue on black screen was hard to see in bright sunlight in my van, an inverted screen color would make it easier to read.

There is no way to edit the system file with the program running, nor are there some advanced features such as hit counts or priority. Since the program was intended to be as simple as possible and use as little computer resources as possible, I do not consider these major deficiencies. Some of these features may be included in future versions. Also, some of these ideas may not be practical for a program of this nature.

I liked the overall layout of the display and the operation of the program. It was easy to edit the group tags and to switch between modes.

Other programs are believed to be available in the near future that will track EDACS systems. New versions of Scan-Star are rumored to allow simultaneous tracking of both EDACS and Motorola systems. Since E-Trax is limited to EDACS systems only it can be optimized for them and disregard any bloat needed for additional protocols.

E-Trax is a valuable resource for serious scanner users. If you have an EDACS system near you and an OptoCom, then E-Trax is worth the price. When this program supports some of the other radios such as the OS456 and PCR1000 then it will become even more desirable.

More information, including screen shots, is available on the E-Trax Information Page at:

http://www.erols.com/jcardani/e-trax.htm

E-Trax is available for about $89.95 from:

Grove Enterprises
P.O. Box 98, 7540 Hwy. 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902
1-800-438-8155

Lentini Communications
21 Garfield Street
Newington, CT 06111
1-800-666-0908

Optoelectronics, Inc
5821 NE 14th Avenue
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33334
1-800-327-5912

--
****
* Rich Carlson, N9JIG (n9jig@theramp.net) *

* Illinois Highways Page http://www.theramp.net/n9jig/home.html *
**********g3mtnw**********

3796. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <37561D3F.260D639C@home.com>
Source record: 116566

Do you still start your car with a hand crank?
Morse code should not be given preference over other modes.

Shack23 wrote:
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> >...Morse Code.
>
> In reference to what would be the 'DEATH OF HAM RADIO'. No, Morse Code will
> never be the DEATH of this service. Amateur Radio has been around for right at
> 70 years, and until recently, ALL classes of Ham license required the 'dreaded'
> Morse Code. A few years ago, the FCC, being sick and tired of all the WHINING,
> mostly from CBers, came up with the NO-CODE Technician (Wife's) license. (FLAME
> SHIELDS ARE UP)...Now this is ok, but any farther reduction in requirements,
> and Ham licenses might as well be put into CRACKER-JACKS boxes! Ham Radio has
> SERVIVED all these years WITH Morse Code, (and in my opinion, BECAUSE of Morse
> Code), and it can continue to survive with it. So CBers, cry your eyes out, and
> when you get tired enough of, 'BREAKER BREAKER GOOD BUDDY', get you a Ham
> License the OLD FASHIONED way. EARN IT! .... Joey!!!!!!!!!

3797. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: someone@flubnutz.org
Message-ID: <37871d5b.422823267@ajax.flubnutz.org>
Source record: 116567
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 22:42:51 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>I was just wondering if anybody seen the news program about cordless
>phones. They mentioned some guy with a scanner was getting info on his
>neighbors and tapping into their voice mail boxes. No where did they
>mention the good that scanner people do. Very one sided. Very
>sensational type of newscast. What about Skywarn/Canwarn, helping
>police? What about listening to the Space Shuttle? Very porrly done.

Rather than point out the "dangers" of scanners, they should have presented it
as an example of the dangers of using unencrypted cordless phones for "private"
communications.

That's how the media operates. You see even worse when they talk about the
Internet.

3798. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: someone@flubnutz.org
Message-ID: <37881dbe.422922440@ajax.flubnutz.org>
Source record: 116568
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 22:42:51 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>I was just wondering if anybody seen the news program about cordless
>phones. They mentioned some guy with a scanner was getting info on his
>neighbors and tapping into their voice mail boxes. No where did they
>mention the good that scanner people do. Very one sided. Very
>sensational type of newscast. What about Skywarn/Canwarn, helping
>police? What about listening to the Space Shuttle? Very porrly done.

To the folks at soc.penpals -- sorry, when I sent my previous post, I didn't
realize I was responding to the resident troll.

I've adjusted followups, and suggest everyone else in this thread do likewise.

3799. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j58ae$5ml@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116569

In <3755FDE5.A885ADDA@eudoramail.com> Paul <merlet@eudoramail.com>
writes:
>
>
> Don't you
>
> question mark
>
> paul
> i.e.

touche'

interesting premise.

but you may not have
ever had a reason to
ask for his help or
input or got off on
his humorous ways....

I laughed myself "major
head-ache" on most of his
super-fluid-postings...

I've not been that
full of cheer on the
net from No one but
Bill...well, I know
another guy who served
in Nam same as Bill and
both had their offices
when on R/R at the
Hubba-hubba club on
hotel street in Hono.

you just have to be in
the 3 percent bracket to
understand the Cheek GUY.

the 1 percent bracket to
go into uncontrollable
laughter...just thinking
about some of those
make me laugh. I have
to go into my files to

find them and it begins
again.

I'm a one percenter too.

how about you?

bill conduit
etc.

3800. FS:PRO-2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jesse Dosher <jdosher@u.washington.edu.removethis>
Message-ID: <BKp53.873$j7.98536@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 116570

I have a Pro-2042 I'd like to sell. It's about 6 months old and in good condition.

Some specs:

1000 channels
tunes from 25 MHz to 1.3 GHz
Very "flexible" radio, with a lot of great features and extras. If you're familiar with this scanner,
you'll know that, if not, and you're interested just fire me off an email.

I've used it for the "typical" stuff, plus I've successfully received MIR packet and SSTV [Slow Scan TV] downlinks, AO-27 Amateur Radio satellite downlinks, etc. It also tends to receive AirTouch analog phones - it hasn't been modified though.

$220 obo
Jesse
jdosher.remove@u.washington.edu [remove the .remove part]
206-527-6218 (Seattle area)

3801. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603030157.26919.00000337@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 116571

Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote:

>How silly would it be if other hobbies like Painting or woodworking
>would have certain special classes or groups in which some people could
>only use limited paints or types of wood then other people in the hobby?
>
>ONE CLASS of HAM LICENSE is enough!
>

PAINTING? WOODWORKING? What a ridiculous analogy! How would the KIND OF PAINT someone uses, or the type of WOOD someone saws, effect anyone else? But letting a pile of unqualified CBers loose on OUR ham bands would destroy it for everyone else! Incentive WOODWORKING? Lol lol...I sure hope you were joking!

3802. Come Touring in England ?Or/How to get lost the easy way!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <urDCnLAk3hV3Ew7i@virgin.net>
Source record: 116572
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,uk.misc,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Foreign visitors to England should be made aware that the authorities responsible for directional signs on our highways are a bunch of dickheads. I usually write down my route whilst consulting a road map and have the list on the dashboard, so I can keep a check that I am following the correct road numbers.
So yesterday we drove from Emsworth in Hampshire, following a route which would link us to the A34 and onto Oxford. Now at Oxford I wanted to leave the A34 and get onto the A423 ring road to link up with the A40(E). I was pretty sure which exit to take but confusion reigned as the sign off the exit ramp said it would lead onto the A4144. There was no mention of the A423 at all on the sign, though the A423 is one of the exits off the roundabout at the top of the ramp! Nearly to the top of the ramp some joker had painted on the road surface a directional turn for the A423. So why is there no mention of the A423 on the actual road sign preceeding the exit ramp?

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]

http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/

3803. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603032231.26919.00000340@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 116573

Pappy@sgi.net wrote:

>Guys, I have held my ticket (tech +) since 1974, I am now 60 yrs old and
>have attempted to upgrade to general four time in the past 10 yrs. Not
>being able to master the code, and with the influx of new hams into 2
>mtrs and 440 I have all but lost interest in ham radio. The thoery has
>never been a problem but that darn code......
>
>Last week I received an invitation to join the AARL with notice that
>they are promoting the Tech + to General via grandfathering them.
>Today, I joined the AARL only because they have opted to support this
>concept. I know that there numerous advanced and extra class people
>that do not support this but times must change. Just because I did
>something in my past, should I expect my juniors to follow my foot
>steps? NO. Open your eyes and make positive changes for your/our
>future. My concept of code is that it is and has been a declining art,
>if it's your choice to use code so be it. It just wasn't made for me.
>In that, so should I be restricted from using the HF bands? Why, when I
>know the thoery of radio electronics and the rules of the road.
>
>Have a wonderful day.............
>

Sorry Pappy, but yes, you should be restricted from using the main part of the HF range, because current rules, (including International agreement), require it. You already have a PIECE of 10 meters, more than you ever had before. And this was GIVEN to you! I am a General Class operator, and I took my 13 WPM code test in the presence of an FCC Engineer, and passed it. You have it EASY compared to to that! Be honest...How much REAL effort have you actually put into learning the Morse Code? I venture to say that the main reason you have not upgraded your license in all these years, is because you use 2 meters, and have probably never shot ONE dit or dah on the NOVICE segments you are allowed to use. Am I right? If so, why the complaining? I started out in 1975 as a Technician. (5 wpm code then). In 1979 I upgraded to General, because after 4900 cw contacts on the Novice segments, I KNEW MORSE CODE! Oh yes, I'm in my 40s. It does not take a great deal of intelligence to learn CW, just the WILL to do it....Joey P.S. I will delete without reading, any Email sent to me, as I am sure it would only be flames...

3804. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603033045.26919.00000342@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 116574

Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com wrote:

>Sorry to tell ya but the majority of Hams are no code techs.

If that is true, it is because even CBers can buy a book at Radio Shack, and remember a bunch of simple questions! Wow...I bet you NO-CODERS have a real sense of accomplishment after passing that BRAIN-BUSTER! Lol lol lol...Joey

P.S. All Email sent to me will be deleted, without being read...Flame via newsgroup ONLY!

3805. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <E8D70B2BE3F4D1E7.3A370FA3E91BC5DD.15EFA6BC7453DCEB@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> I've been into monitoring for 30+ years and KNOW the kind of stuff that
> people put out on the monitorable (?) airwaves.

Cordless phone users mostly talk about buying groceries, coming over to visit their parents, what they are going to do for the holiday's, make drug deal, break up and argue with
their boyfriend/girlfriend, gossip about other neighboards etc.
A bunch of boring stuff which makes tuning the dial into that range not worth the effort.

3806. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org>
Message-ID: <3DBE5321630ED76B.0E830C8E6F045B85.13EB1B14F4CA75EA@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116576

> If that is true, it is because even CBers can buy a book at Radio Shack, and
> remember a bunch of simple questions! Wow...I bet you NO-CODERS have a real
> sense of accomplishment after passing that BRAIN-BUSTER! Lol lol lol...Joey

You coders are arrogant assholes who are cliquish, think your special and need a big license to make up for your small, RF irradiated, sterile penis's.

3807. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <j8r53.7573$4b.192297@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 116577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

but if you're a crook

credit card numbers

calling card numbers

or a thief

know when your neighbors will not be home
or on vacation

You're right it is boring that is why I also don't listen to it
but I'm sure there are people who do, for a living!!!

Reinaga
Spunky The Waffle Iron <spunky@nospam.org> wrote in message
news:E8D70B2BE3F4D1E7.3A370FA3E91BC5DD.15EFA6BC7453DCEB@lp.airnews.net...
> I've been into monitoring for 30+ years and KNOW the kind of stuff that
> people put out on the monitorable (?) airwaves.

Cordless phone users mostly talk about buying groceries, coming over to
visit their
parents, what they are going to do for the holiday's, make drug deal, break
up and argue with
their boyfriend/girlfriend, gossip about other neighboards etc.
A bunch of boring stuff which makes tuning the dial into that range not
worth the effort.

3808. Re: 3000xlt battery problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tom@No_Spam.net
Message-ID: <37563e55.11200102@news.xprt.net>
Source record: 116578

I just went through this with my 3000XLT. I bought my batteries from
Digikey. http://www.digikey.com/A.DKS $2.78 X 5 = $13.90 + shipping.
They are rated at 1.2 volts 600 mah.

Cheers,
Tom

On Tue, 1 Jun 1999 21:35:22 -0500, "Anlimc3" <anlimc3@net-connect.net>
wrote:

>Are you sure its just a cordless phone pack. When I tore into my fathers
>3000XLT battery pack it seemed like the cells totalled 6 volts and all the
>cordless phone cells I found at Radio Shack were 3.5 volt packs.
>
>If you can dig up those Sanyo part numbers I would surely appreciate it
>because I had to pay for a completely new pack when all it needs is some new
>cells. I can handle the soldering.
>
>
>
>

3809. Re: FCC Master Frequency Databases on CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tom@No_Spam.net
Message-ID: <37563f8d.11511844@news.xprt.net>
Source record: 116579
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Be careful, what you are talking about is illegal.

On Tue, 01 Jun 1999 23:11:54 GMT, Eric Force <eforce@bellatlantic.net>
wrote:

>Howdy,
>
>I'm seeking individuals who would be interested in sharing the cost
>of obtaining the following WTB (Wireless Telecommunications Bureau)
>CD's from NTIS:
<Snip>
>In exchange, I would make a copy of all CD's obtained for everyone
>involved for only the cost of the Media (about $1.00 per CD) and the
>shipping ($3.00 for Priority Mail [to US addresses only]).
>
<Snip>

3810. Re: Discriminator output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37565266.3742EB08@ibm.net>
Source record: 116580

Maybe not as this is a very small signal. If you hook an audio
amplifier
to that tap you should hear audio similar to the unsquelched radio
speaker. It may sound somewhat distorted as this audio has not
processed. That is the reason of going to the tap in the first
place.

SiD wrote:
>
> I just modded my BC235XLT to have a discriminator output, and I am
> having problems trying to find out if I did it right. My question is.
>
> If I plug a set of headphones into the discriminator tap, am I supposed
> to hear any audio at all? (I don't hear anything)
>
> Thanks for the help

3811. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <00CF65FEBFEB099F.CE1CF5BFEA2F9715.0C6D0EF6C476B0F5@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

But I'm not a crook.
On the other hand, I called my brother up one day on my cordless phone and he asked me if I would take him out to get drugs.
A guy who was listening to me with his scanner called me up and told me I shouldn't be talking about crap like that on a cordless phone.
It really scared the crap out of me.

3812. Re: Radio shack 2032

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Montalbano <jrmont1@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7j5oqs$q29$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116582

See http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj to add computer control and IR remote control
to the 2032 and most other scanners very inexpensively.
John Medica - System Administrator wrote in message
<3755ECCB.9B03F723@sdf.lonestar.org>...
Looking for any mods for Radio shack Pro 2032. (Cell already restored).

Thanks John medica

3813. Re: morse code on police channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7j5p6u$bc6$0@207.18.224.130>
Source record: 116583

On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 00:06:32 -0400, Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
wrote:

>FCC Regs require licensed stations to IDent ever so often. In the Public
>Safety relm it is once every 30 min or after each transmission. This
>IDent can be either voice or by CW.

Chuckle. You might want to check on the bit about after each
transmission....

That could get rough..

34 to SO, 28. Kic441
So to 34, go ahead KIC441
TN Tag, 2H9R01 KIC441
34, TN Tag 2H9R01 comes back on a 68 Chevy, to Elvis Presley, in
Memphis KIC441
Thank you SO, we are 10-8 KIC441

3814. FS: R-7100/AH-7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <grassa@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <37566CF2.C95FFB1E@magicnet.net>
Source record: 116584

R-7100/AH-7000 both mint , no-blocks , $800 shipped

Bill
KE4ATS

3815. wanted; Relm scanner info.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: LeRoy Prosic <ve3lgp@tct.net>
Message-ID: <7j5siq$7u5@sabik.tct.net>
Source record: 116585

I am looking for a owners manual, and or any info. on a Relm MS200 scanner.
Please reply; www.ve3lgp@tct.net

3816. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark West <markw@wwncorp.net>
Message-ID: <yNu53.614$k6.14031@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 116586
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

W6RCecilA wrote in message <375006C2.29853FB3@IEEE.org>...
>Will Sparks wrote:
>>
>> One of the nice things about Win95/NT/OS/2/Linux is that you don't have
to
>> write low level code to access the sound card.
>
>DOS is conspicuous by its absence. Aren't there DOS drivers available
>for soundcards? Are there standard DOS calls available for soundcards?
>If not, could we come up with some? How is/was soundcard interfacing
>handled under DOS?
>--
>73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

Please, please, please, just let DOS die.

3817. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jabba@iaw.on.ca
Message-ID: <37567888.32448390@news.cgocable.net>
Source record: 116587

Its been out there for a long time alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 23:30:57 -0500, Darren Mulligan <dmul3@excite.com>
wrote:

>alt.fan.bill.cheek
>
>"Paul L." wrote:
>>
>> How about someone creating a Bill Cheeks NewsGroup. That way we don't
>> have to download hundreds of useless posts. I don't know Bill, don't
>> want to know Bill, and don't want to know anything about bill. I do want
>> to read about scanners here. This Bill thing is getting old..... or
>> maybe I am. I just can't believe that so many people can get so upset by
>> this guy... I'm not for or against Bill, I'm just tired of seeing so
>> much of the NG being taken up by this topic. Man I hope this ends
>> soon....

3818. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: j.k.@gotmail.com
Message-ID: <3757b27f.1174777@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 116288
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 22:24:06 GMT, glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen
Quarnstrom) wrote:

>j.k.@gotmail.com (Jan Knutar) wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 31 May 1999 04:04:11 GMT, glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen
>>Quarnstrom) wrote:
>>>"Hertz" means "cycles per second." Other than that, the only difference
>>
>>No, 1 Hz = 1 1/s. Hertz means "one per second" or just per second.
>
>You are incorrect, or else your explanation is too muddled to
>understand.
>
>What I wrote is the correct definition.

1
1 Hz = --------------
s

-JK

3819. Re: Frequencies for Sheriff Dept.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7j9cas$1p9$1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 116289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

LASO Dispatches Carson "16--" units on F9 484.1625 when you hear "beeping" on the frequency it means a car is transmitting...they don't usually have the repeater mode functioning except during pursuits and some emergency calls.

Carson units also use "L-Tac 5" for car-to-car, on 483.4625 or "A-Tac 4" on 487.1125

Harry

www.snowcrest.net/marnells

TJ_HILFIGER@webtv.net wrote in message <3180-37580E9B-21@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>...
Does anyone know the frequencies for the Carson Sheriff dept. Carson, California. Thanx for your help ahead of time.

3820. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <OYO67AAdVCW3EwUu@virgin.net>
Source record: 116290
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

In article <CxV53.215$pl3.4739@newreader.ukcore.bt.net>, D
<pickards@SPAMBLOCKzen.co.uk> writes
>please let this thread DIE!!!.
>And why is it cross posted on soc.penpals???
>Enjoy whatever makes you happy!!!
>
Dunno! Why is it cross-posted to soc.culture.serbia as well?

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]

http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/

3821. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: amadeus@0spam.netcomuk.co.uk
Message-ID: <37580706.10592029@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk>
Source record: 116291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 16:34:43 -0400, "Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com>
wrote:

>Jim Dunnett wrote in message <3756bc4c.2850079@news.cwcom.net>...
>>On Thu, 03 Jun 1999 03:56:48 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva
>>Nataraja) wrote:
>>
>>Nonsense. Until the ITU removes the requirement, it stays.
>>Individual administrations cannot remove it unilaterally.
>>
>>--
>>Regards, Jim. | Plus je vis d'trangers, plus
>
>
>You are correct, but it's still a lie. All the ITU says is that the
>operator
>has to show knowledge of CW. It doesn't say what speed. It doesn't
>say how. It doesn't say different classes.

That's right. Don't get the idea that I support morse as a
qualification for HF access, but that treaty obligation
remains until 2003, which is the earliest date at which
it can be discussed.

In the meantime a compromise speed of 5WPM has been reached
which should ease the pain somewhat until 2003.

As you say 'a knowledge of morse' - the ability to write
down the dot and dashes for A-Z and 0-9 would probably
satisfy that requirement!

--
Regards, Jim. | Plus je vis d'trangers, plus
amadeus%netcomuk.co.uk | j'aimai ma patrie.
dynastic%cwcom.net |
nordland%lineone.net | - Du Belloy 1725-1775.
|
Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371

3822. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: amadeus@0spam.netcomuk.co.uk
Message-ID: <375807e6.10816204@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk>
Source record: 116292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 22:18:19 -0400, "Jeff Bishop, n8wb"
<n8wb@mediaone.net> wrote:

>I am one of the people that enjoy working cw. However, I have to agree that
>it is an outdated mode of communication. The military does not even use the
>mode any longer.
>The way I see it, either get rid of the requirement or limit the highest
>speed to around 10 wpm. Then make the written more technical.

That is what is being done here. The speed has been set
at 5 WPM, and details of what should be included in a
technical exam to replace morse is under discussion.

The FCC will probably do much the same thing soon.

--
Regards, Jim. | Plus je vis d'�trangers, plus
amadeus%netcomuk.co.uk | j'aimai ma patrie.
dynastic%cwcom.net |
nordland%lineone.net | - Du Belloy 1725-1775.
|
Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371

3823. Re: TV antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j9gfjdcg1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116293

TomCat4680 wrote in message
<19990604145534.16922.00000438@ng-fz1.aol.com>...
>i have my pro 25 hooked up to my outdoor tv antenna. can i hook an amp up
to it
>without blowing it out? if so what kind? email response is preferred

The PRO-25 is a dual conversion unit, right?

If so, then an amp is probably a bad idea. Your best bet is mount the
antenna like this: | not like this: ----0----
| |
0--- |
| |
| |
|
and use a rotator to angle it towards your target.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3824. Re: Problem with Trunked Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j9g9odcb1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116294

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <3755EE17.67F7@ameritech.net>...
>I fail to see what the "Problem With trunking" is as per the Subject in
>this post.

Similar to the GE EDACS thread in which the subject made an abrupt left into
cars, right Obi?

Glass houses and rocks make a bad combo, friend....

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3825. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j9g4t$dc6$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116295
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Phil Smalley wrote in message <7j9atsbng1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>I can just imagine what'll happen when the "Big One" hits L.A. Two weeks
>solid of so-called "scientific analysis" and rehashing the "Oh my God, this
>is so great - er um, I mean terrible" shock videos for three weeks
straight.

Two weeks solid for three weeks straight?.... oops ;-)

But you get the idea, anyhow....

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3826. Re: Scanning Sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: phil@ourcapebreton.com
Message-ID: <37583e8d.744908@news.auracom.com>
Source record: 116296

http://www.auracom.com/~pharris

On Fri, 04 Jun 1999 11:10:27 GMT, av8tor@flash.net (Av8tor) wrote:

>In article <kbM53.4686$PN5.15003@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>, deeeda@bigpond.com.au
>says...
>>
>>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>>
>>------=_NextPart_000_00B3_01BEADF9.0B26B2A0
>>Content-Type: text/plain;
>> charset="iso-8859-1"
>>Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
>>
>>Please give me some URLs for scanning sites and radios,links etc.I also =
>>need URLs for Icom,Yaesu,Yupiteru,Uniden,Kenwood, Thanks
>>
>
>http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <--Radios OnLine. Lots of scanning/swl
>stuff as well as links.
>
>George
>http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios
>
>____
> Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
> Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
> -----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
>____
>

3827. Realistic PRO-2025

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Tortoishell" <g.tortoishell@siratc.u-net.com>
Message-ID: <PyX53.3782$T3.9022@newsr2.u-net.net>
Source record: 116297

I've been given a PRO-2025 scanner and would appreciate a little help! It has no instructions so could somebody provide an idiot's guide on how to drive it? The audio amp (TDA 1905) is duff - does anyone know if they're still available or if a pin-for-pin equivalent exists?

GT

3828. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j9i4u$dt4$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116298

Shack23 wrote in message <19990603134128.07271.00000031@ng-fo1.aol.com>...
<sniperoo>

Say Joey, just what is it about CBer's that bothers you so much? I'm not talking about the FEW jerko's that run around on their 1000 watt amplifiers pissing off truckers and inviting them to the nearest Circle K to get the "beating of their life", as I so often hear on my CB.

Do you think that EVERYONE who uses a CB is stupid? I beg to differ. I use mine when driving between AZ and California regularly to keep up on road conditions and swap jokes with the truck drivers. I'm a computer programmer, fluent in about a dozen or so programming languages, so I don't consider myself to be stupid. Am I missing something?

Granted, there are a few on CB who like to curse and insult people just for fun, as there are people who like to pick fights on usenet regularly. But they make up only about 10% of all CBer's by my estimation, the rest of us are decent folks who earn a decent living and KNOW how to communicate with others without using profanity or excessive power.

Should I desire to go out and get an amateur license, I doubt that it would be all that difficult for me to learn what I need to know. However, right at this moment, I see no need to go learn it - I have enough to remember already.

I do know that if insulting otherwise intelligent people just because they have chosen NOT to pursue their Tech license because they can't learn code is part of ham radio, then you can count me out. Alot of people say code will kill ham, alot of people say no code will kill ham. You want to know what will really kill ham? Hams that act like this, bickering and squabbling and carrying on like a bunch of juvenile boys pumped up on testosterone and ego. Hams who think that their license is membership to some elitist organization that shuns outsiders. That is what will kill ham. Be a little more open minded. If a CBer gets his no code license, congratulate him, don't put him down. Maybe he'll learn by your example how a ham is supposed to act and take the time to learn CW and radio theory, instead of hogging the airwaves (hee hee, and dare I say it? Usenet bandwidth?) with childish insults and horsecrap.

That's my story and I'm sticking to it. Flames will not be replied to, just laughed at and ignored.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3829. Re: CART Teams using PL ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j9iq4$e2n$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116299

Mac Feely wrote in message ...
>Thanks Phil....
>
>BTW - If I have a scanner with CTCSS will it clear up my reception?
>I've been thinking about upgrading to a Relm HS200 from my BC220XLT....
>
It will certainly cut down on intermod and image reception. Trouble with most CTCSS capable scanners on the market is that they don't search for the CTCSS tones in use - you have to know what they are already. That's one of the many things I like about the BC895xlt.. the tone search function is great. I use it whenever I enter new frequencies, even if the tone is listed, as they are usually listed incorrectly. I'd make sure the Relm has CTCSS search before buying one.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3830. Re: Looking for Satellite Phone Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <FeY53.284$1k.491@news2>
Source record: 116300

In article <3757996F.6F916B5D@postoffice.swbell.net>, kb5fas@swbell.net wrote:
>Had read that the Satellite Phone frequencies were in the this area:
>Receive 1525-1559 - Transmit 1626.5-1660.5 - Anyone know of the a
>breakdown of the "channels" if such exist as well as the location of the
>Satellite that covers North America - Thanks
>
from
http://www.iridium.com/english/tech/i_tech.html

The Iridium system will employ a combination of Frequency Division Multiple Access and Time Division Multiple Access (FDMA/TDMA) signals multiplexing to make the most efficient use of limited spectrum. The L-Band (1616-1626.5 MHz), serves as the link between the satellite and Iridium subscriber equipment. The Ka-Band (19.4-19.6 GHz for downlinks; 29.1-29.3 GHz for uplinks) serves as the link between the satellite and the gateways and earth terminals.

Based on this there won't be any "channels"...it'll be constantly changing.....

Fred

3831. Re: Scanner Modification Pro 90

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j9j22$e8a$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116301

drakkarr@my-deja.com wrote in message <7j2kjn$c54$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>1. How can I fix my scanner so I can pick up 174 - 406 MHz.
>(Military Freq)

You don't. It's simply not supported by the hardware. You'd do better
getting ahold of a BC3000xlt for that
>
>2. Do anybody know the GA State Troopers freq. in the Atlanta Metro AREA

154.6800 Georgia State Patrol base-to-car dual simplex; also GBI
12/93
154.8000 Georgia State Patrol car-to-base dual simplex
12/93
155.9100 Georgia State Patrol metro Atlanta
12/93
458.4875 Georgia State Patrol mobile extender (hand-held to vehicle)
03/91
155.4600 Georgia, State of exec. security (GBI ?) simplex
03/93
154.9050 Georgia, State of intra-system (simplex: car-to-car)
10/93
156.2250 Georgia, State of Metro Fugitive
02/94
155.3700 Georgia, State of sheriffs network statewide intersystem
03/93

Got these from http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/localfrqs/georgia/atlanta.frq
where there's probably many more lists to peruse...

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3832. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375858B5.79ED@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116302
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

M.J.Powell wrote:
>
> In article <7j8mo8$473$4@news1.xs4all.nl>, Rudi Mulder
> <mulder36@xs4all.nl> writes
> >
> >>>
> >>> think everything originated from Holland LOL
> >>> >--
> >>> >M.J.Powell.
> >>I beg to differ
> >
> >
> >
> >well begging is allowed :)
> >to differ in this, is to deny the truth
> >can't have that now can we :)
> >
> >i know it hurts a bit the truth but we all be soon a costumed to this LOL
> >
> >
> >RM
>
> A short note to say that I didn't write the above.
>
> Mike
> --
> M.J.Powell.
Correctio: Everybody originated from POLSKA
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3833. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375858E5.F63@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116303
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

M.J.Powell wrote:
>
> In article <7j8mo8$473$4@news1.xs4all.nl>, Rudi Mulder
> <mulder36@xs4all.nl> writes
> >
> >>>
> >>> think everything originated from Holland LOL
> >>> >--
> >>> >M.J.Powell.
> >>I beg to differ
> >
> >
> >
> >well begging is allowed :)
> >to differ in this, is to deny the truth
> >can't have that now can we :)
> >
> >i know it hurts a bit the truth but we all be soon a costumed to this LOL
> >
> >
> >RM
>
> A short note to say that I didn't write the above.
>
> Mike
> --
> M.J.Powell.
CORRECTION: EVERYBODY ORIGINATED FROM POLSKA
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3834. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37585919.4F3F@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116304
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

D wrote:
>
> please let this thread DIE!!!.
> And why is it cross posted on soc.penpals???
> Enjoy whatever makes you happy!!!
>
> D
>
> M.J.Powell wrote in message ...
> >In article <7j8mo8$473$4@news1.xs4all.nl>, Rudi Mulder
> ><mulder36@xs4all.nl> writes
> >>
> >>>>
> >>>> think everything originated from Holland LOL
> >>>> >--
> >>>> >M.J.Powell.
> >>>I beg to differ
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>well begging is allowed :)
> >>to differ in this, is to deny the truth
> >>can't have that now can we :)
> >>
> >>i know it hurts a bit the truth but we all be soon a costumed to this LOL
> >>
> >>
> >>RM
> >
> >A short note to say that I didn't write the above.
> >
> >Mike
> >--
> >M.J.Powell.
Enjoy what makes you happy and kill the crossposting? Contradiction?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3835. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37585937.CFF@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116305
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <CxV53.215$pl3.4739@newreader.ukcore.bt.net>, D
> <pickards@SPAMBLOCKzen.co.uk> writes
> >please let this thread DIE!!!.
> >And why is it cross posted on soc.penpals???
> >Enjoy whatever makes you happy!!!
> >
> Dunno! Why is it cross-posted to soc.culture.serbia as well?
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
>
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
Where in the world does it say that?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3836. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37585B1E.5F00@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

```
. wrote:
>
> > Yeah, then the next thing that would happen is that the HAM bands would
> > become a CB wasteland. Most CB'rs know enough to pass the tests, and when
> > they do...The bands will be just like another CB band.
>
> Bullcrap. Most CB users don't know the difference between their butt hairs and a dog.
AND HAMS ARE SO FREAKING PREFECT, HUH?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
```

3837. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37585B54.3D50@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Joe Blow wrote:
>
> All you people complaining about morse obviously never saw "Independance
> Day". If it hadn't been for morse the aliens would have destroyed mankind
> as we know it. Be very thankful to morse. <bg>
>
> --
> Joe Blow.
>
> (change jOe's "zero" to "oh" to reply)
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:37570DFD.7A0C@ameritech.net...
> > Jim Dunnett wrote:
> > >
> > > On Thu, 03 Jun 1999 03:56:48 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva
> > > Nataraja) wrote:
> > >
> > > >On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 23:37:33 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> > > ><theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >Ham wrote:
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>> What do Ham Radio and The Marines have to do with each other ?
> > > > >>> Lets talk bottom line...CW is a twit filter. It maintains a certain
> > > > >>> level of operator competentancy.
> > > > >>
> > > > >>Compentency? What from Continoius Wave (C. W.). Yeah Right.
> > > > >
> > > > >Actually, the "competency" line is just a smoke screen.
> > > > >
> > > > >In actuality, CW is a high-tech form of HAZING - a painful rite of
> > > > >initiation. The reason they don't do away with it is because current
> > > > >"Ham clique" members figure "hey, *I* had to do it, so I'm gonna make
> > > > >the newbies go though it, too!" Accroding to the TV news show I saw,
> > > > >this is the main reason why hazing still exists in the military, even
> > > > >though officially it is aginst regulations.
> > > >
> > > > Nonsense. Until the ITU removes the requirement, it stays.
> > > > Individual administrations cannot remove it unilaterally.
> > > >
> > > --

> > > Regards, Jim. | Plus je vis d'O©╬trangers, plus
> > > olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk | j'aimai ma patrie.
> > > jim%amadeus.softnet.co.uk |
> > > dynastic%cwcom.net | - Du Belloy 1725-1775.
> > > nordland%lineone.net |
> > > Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371
> > Oh yeah, that is why the freaking Leauge Of nations never worked.
> > Evey country for itself
> > --
> > REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
THAT IS STRANGE I NEVER SO ET TRY TO MORSE HOME.
I THOUGHT THAT HE PHONED HOME
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3838. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37585B86.41A5@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Mike Yetsko wrote:
>
> That's right!! We all saw it in a movie! It's GOTTA be true!
>
> Joe Blow wrote in message ...
> >All you people complaining about morse obviously never saw
> "Independance
> >Day". If it hadn't been for morse the aliens would have destroyed
> mankind
> >as we know it. Be very thankful to morse. <bg>
> >
> >--
> >Joe Blow.
> >
> >(change jOe's "zero" to "oh" to reply)
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> >news:37570DFD.7A0C@ameritech.net...
> >> Jim Dunnett wrote:
> >> >
> >> > On Thu, 03 Jun 1999 03:56:48 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva
> >> > Nataraja) wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 23:37:33 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> >> > ><theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > >>Ham wrote:
> >> > >>>
> >> > >>> What do Ham Radio and The Marines have to do with each other ?
> >> > >>> Lets talk bottom line...CW is a twit filter. It maintains a
> certain
> >> > >>> level of operator competentancy.
> >> > >>
> >> > >>Compentency? What from Continoius Wave (C. W.). Yeah Right.
> >> > >
> >> > >Actually, the "competency" line is just a smoke screen.
> >> > >
> >> > >In actuality, CW is a high-tech form of HAZING - a painful rite of
> >> > >initiation. The reason they don't do away with it is because

> current
> >> > >"Ham clique" members figure "hey, *I* had to do it, so I'm gonna
> make
> >> > >the newbies go though it, too!" Accroding to the TV news show I
> saw,
> >> > >this is the main reason why hazing still exists in the military,
> even
> >> > >though officially it is aginst regulations.
> >> >
> >> > Nonsense. Until the ITU removes the requirement, it stays.
> >> > Individual administrations cannot remove it unilaterally.
> >> >
> >> > --
> >> > Regards, Jim. | Plus je vis d'O©╫trangers, plus
> >> > olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk | j'aimai ma patrie.
> >> > jim%amadeus.softnet.co.uk |
> >> > dynastic%cwcom.net | - Du Belloy 1725-1775.
> >> > nordland%lineone.net |
> >> > Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371
> >> Oh yeah, that is why the freaking Leauge Of nations never worked.
> >> Evey country for itself
> >> --
> >> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
> >
> >
TRUTH FROM HOLLYWOOD. PLEAASE DO NOT MAKE ME LAUGH; I JUST ATE
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3839. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990604190917.15299.00000605@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 116309

Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com wrote:

>Say Joey, just what is it about CBer's that bothers you so much? I'm not
>talking about the FEW jerko's that run around on their 1000 watt amplifiers
>pissing off truckers and inviting them to the nearest Circle K to get the
>"beating of their life", as I so often hear on my CB.
>
>Do you think that EVERYONE who uses a CB is stupid? I beg to differ.

Phil... In the first place, I'm not going to flame you. CB radio, and it's users don't BOTHER me, because for one thing, I don't ever listen to it anymore. Yes, at one time, I TRIED to use this SERVICE to actually carry on personal communications with members of my family. I never involved myself with the nonsense that plagued each and every channel. After about 4 years, and many cursings, filthy talk to my family members, threats, etc., I threw all the equipment in the waste can. I really TRIED to use it, but I could not escape the GARBAGE HEADS that occupy this frequency range, (and plenty above and below, too)...I'm sure you are right that there are many GOOD, and SMART people on CB. I guess I was just unfortunate to try to use it in an area were the BAD ones greatly outnumber the good ones. Also, I don't expect, or want for that matter, EVERYONE to try for a Ham license. This would not be in the best interest of Ham radio. But I do not agree with you that their are only a FEW crummy CBer's...You very well know that it is an undisciplined, raucous bunch of people that seem to take pleasure in causing confusion, and animosity to everyone else. As I have said before, albeit maybe slightly acidic in tone, this is a very good example of what happens when everything is just GIVEN AWAY! The FCC could not afford to monitor this uncontrolable mob, so it just threw it to the wind. And look what happened to it after that. It just got 10 times worse, making it practically unusuable except for those engaged in all the havoc. This is why I am so against GIVING AWAY Ham tickets, with hardly any examination. If someone has to put forth some effort to get something, he/she sees it's value, and has more respect for the accomplishment. Morse Code is perceived by many to be a stumbling block for otherwise qualified individuals. And to SOME extent, it may have that effect. But at the same time, it shows how SERIOUS the person is about what he is attempting to do, and how that person will USE the privileges he is trying to EARN. It is the same old story. IF something is given away, it loses it's value. There...No Flames, Just FACT!...Joey

3840. pro-90 scanner for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Leo Koerzendoerfer <loki@enteract.com>
Message-ID: <37585EEF.6B66@enteract.com>
Source record: 116310

I have a Radio Shack pro-90 police scanner for sale.
$150 includes shipping in usa and ac adapter and
police scanner book by radio shack latest.
loki@enteract.com

3841. Outer Banks NC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Van Reynolds <vanr1@home.com>
Message-ID: <PgZ53.8292$5i7.5190@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 116311

I am looking for a up to date list of frequencies for the outer Banks of NC.
I have seen an old list from 94 I think it is posted many places, but I'm
looking for something more up to date.

Thanks for any help,
Van
http://members.home.net/vanr1/

3842. police broadcasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: MITBR <mitbr@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7j9oa8$3vs$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116312

> Hello folks!
> Its been awhile since my last posting. I have some news that some of you
> may not be aware of. If any of you are interested in putting your scanner
> broadcast live on the net FREE you now can using radio destiny. For
further
> info please visit my now newly designed police scanner webpage where you
> will also find an updated list of live police, fire, and noaa weather
radio
> feeds thankyou
> http://home.earthlink.net/~mitbr/Mi01000.htm
> mitbr
>
>

3843. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wooka <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <01beaee4$5ec99bc0$b447a7cf@hass.az1.aznet.net>
Source record: 116313

Shack23 <shack23@aol.com> wrote in article
<19990604190917.15299.00000605@ng-cq1.aol.com>...
> Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >Say Joey, just what is it about CBer's that bothers you so much? I'm not
> >talking about the FEW jerko's that run around on their 1000 watt
amplifiers
> >pissing off truckers and inviting them to the nearest Circle K to get
the
> >"beating of their life", as I so often hear on my CB.
> >
> >Do you think that EVERYONE who uses a CB is stupid? I beg to differ.
>
> Phil... In the first place, I'm not going to flame you. CB radio, and
it's
> users don't BOTHER me, because for one thing, I don't ever listen to it
> anymore. Yes, at one time, I TRIED to use this SERVICE to actually carry
on
> personal communications with members of my family. I never involved
myself
> with the nonsense that plagued each and every channel. After about 4
years, and
> many cursings, filthy talk to my family members, threats, etc., I threw
all the
> equipment in the waste can. I really TRIED to use it, but I could not
escape
> the GARBAGE HEADS that occupy this frequency range, (and plenty above and
> below, too)...I'm sure you are right that there are many GOOD, and SMART
> people on CB. I guess I was just unfortunate to try to use it in an area
were
> the BAD ones greatly outnumber the good ones. Also, I don't expect, or
want for
> that matter, EVERYONE to try for a Ham license. This would not be in the
best
> interest of Ham radio. But I do not agree with you that their are only a
FEW
> crummy CBer's...You very well know that it is an undisciplined, raucous
bunch
> of people that seem to take pleasure in causing confusion, and animosity
to
> everyone else. As I have said before, albeit maybe slightly acidic in
tone,
> this is a very good example of what happens when everything is just GIVEN
AWAY!

> The FCC could not afford to monitor this uncontrolable mob, so it just
threw it
> to the wind. And look what happened to it after that. It just got 10
times
> worse, making it practically unusuable except for those engaged in all
the
> havoc. This is why I am so against GIVING AWAY Ham tickets, with hardly
any
> examination. If someone has to put forth some effort to get something,
he/she
> sees it's value, and has more respect for the accomplishment. Morse Code
is
> perceived by many to be a stumbling block for otherwise qualified
individuals.
> And to SOME extent, it may have that effect. But at the same time, it
shows how
> SERIOUS the person is about what he is attempting to do, and how that
person
> will USE the privileges he is trying to EARN. It is the same old story.
IF
> something is given away, it loses it's value. There...No Flames, Just
> FACT!...Joey
>

Morse code is just an abstract mode that can only be seen and heard. It
cannot help you

build a HF rig, act courteous on the air, or shows how serious a person is
in getting into a

particular hobby such as this. Indeed, the code test does not test whether
a person is a bad

apple or not.

3844. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <1C24DC0AC1729396.5E75190060FECBB5.4164FA58D677D3B7@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116314

> Do you think that EVERYONE who uses a CB is stupid?

Yeah, pretty much, and I'm the guy who the jerk is fighting. :)

3845. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <470682DD0619A010.0A04E3BF5090C83E.1506A51B2EEBB969@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116315

> This is why I am so against GIVING AWAY Ham tickets, with hardly any
> examination.

Well, fine then, if you want to make our lives a living hell, at least make us go through hell for a purpose, not for useless morse code which is bunk crap that no one except idiots who are hard up for entertainment enjoy.

3846. Re: Scanning Sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: RDK <rdk@a.crl.com>
Message-ID: <37586EA9.60EF@a.crl.com>
Source record: 116316

Give Me, Give Me, Give Me, why cant you research like the rest of us?

3847. FS: Pro-2005 and Icom R71A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7j9r7fcmc1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116317

Both in excellent condition. Pro-2005 has the cellular, scan speed increase, and beep delete mods performed. Backlight is fully bright. R71A shortwave receiver has the PBT function and works perfectly. Later production model. Not a scratch on it! Very quiet with low noise figure. With box and manual. Both work perfectly in all functions.

Price is $750 plus shipping for both.

3848. Re: BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: joker535 <joker535@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7j9skq$4l3$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 116318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

yes national electronics is taking pre-orders for $209.95 + $9.00 s+h.

you can contact them at:

sales@national-electronics.com

I bought my 235 from them last christmas and I was impressed with the service and quick delivery. I am moving to a non-trunked area and will be selling my 235 with all of the original stuff, box, vehicle cord and glove leather case for $160.00 plus shipping from VA. if anyone is interested. Thanks

3849. Wanted: Oregon Search and Rescue frequencies!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgilmore1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8156-3758756F-31@newsd-291.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 116319

Does anyone have Oregon Search and Rescue Trunking Id's or frequencies?
I'm really wanting them. Thank you....e-mail me at
jgilmore1@webtv.net

3850. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <375837c8.11100861@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 116320

"." <@.> wrote:

>From the old HAM's perspective, they invented and own all the freq's between DC and light
>and damnit, they will make sure that all those freq's are completely empty before considering
>letting normal, modern people on.

Why would "normal" people even _want_ to be hams?

Now stop your infernal whining and go get your ticket if you really want
one, rather than whining about your betters. It's probably easier to
get a ham license these days than it is to get a driver's license in
many states.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

3851. Re: Have you heard this one?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: spamtrap@bleeding-head.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11c2611d3636a06a989681@news.crocker.com>
Source record: 116321

> Packet cluster? Hmm. I've heard that some smaller police departments
> use amateur radio Terminal Node Controllers (TNC) for a dirt cheap MDT
> substitute. This is a 1200 baud signal on Bell 202 modems running
> AX.25 protocol. Try it. I'll bet that's what they're talking about.

I live in the same town as Dad#1, and I've heard the packet bursts
he describes. It's definitely not Bell 202. He sent me his WAV file and
as far as I can tell, it's QPSK with a 2400 Hz carrier. I'm not 100% sure
of this, but when you zoom in on the waveform it certainly looks like it,
and it sounds like PSK, too. There might be an AM component as well,
making it more like trellis-coded modulation. I'm going to digitize the
output of my scanner's discriminator and sample it at a higher sampling
rate and see if that sheds any light on the situation.

3852. FS: Motorola Astro DES-XL Secure Module

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tech 55 <ST1A55@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <emIFf4vr#GA.356@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 116322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Motorola Astro DES-XL Secure Module
Model NTN 1153D $500.00 + Shipping

For more Info and pictures visit: http://www.channel21.com/radio/tech55/

E-mail At: ST1A55@msn.com
Thanks !!! Rich
```

3853. Re: BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Pemble <pemble@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7ja2jf$3sk$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What is the latest word on when the 245 will be available? Last I heard it
was going to be shown at Dayton (was it?) and hit retail market in July.

Anxious to get one.

Rick

AL <alan@netrox.net> wrote in message
news:alan-0406991348320001@ppp-09.mia-tc-2.netrox.net...
> I found a good price on pre-order for the bc245xlt. hamstation.com is
> taking orders at $225 plus about $6 for shipping. Did anyone find a better
> deal?
>
> --
> Pleae REPLY via E-MAIL

3854. FS: Motorola Astro DVP-XL Secure Module

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tech 55 <ST1A55@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uAR9FEwr#GA.384@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 116324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Motorola Astro DVP-XL Secure Module
Model NTN 1147B $500.00

For more Info and pictures visit: http://www.channel21.com/radio/tech55/

E-mail At: ST1A55@msn.com
Thanks !!! Rich

3855. Re: morse code on police channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.96.990604232209.14869A-100000@u1.abs.net>
Source record: 116325

On 3 Jun 1999, Blake Bowers wrote:

> On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 00:06:32 -0400, Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
> wrote:
>
>
> >FCC Regs require licensed stations to IDent ever so often. In the Public
> >Safety relm it is once every 30 min or after each transmission. This
> >IDent can be either voice or by CW.
>
> Chuckle. You might want to check on the bit about after each
> transmission....
>
> That could get rough..
>
> 34 to SO, 28. Kic441
> So to 34, go ahead KIC441
> TN Tag, 2H9R01 KIC441
> 34, TN Tag 2H9R01 comes back on a 68 Chevy, to Elvis Presley, in
> Memphis KIC441
> Thank you SO, we are 10-8 KIC441

Well since you were the one to push the wrong button let me quote directly from FCC Regs part 90.425 Station Identification so we can clear this situation up once and for all:

90.425 Station Identification.

Stations licensed under this part shall transmit identification in accordance with the following provisions:

(a) IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE. Except as provided for in paragraph (d) of this section, each station or system shall be identified by the transmission of the assigned call sign during each transmission or exchange of transmissions, or once every 15 minutes (30 minutes in the Public Safety and Special Emergency Radio Services) during periods of continuous operation. The call sign shall be transmitted by voice in the English language or by International Morse Code in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section.

I do believe we do operate in the Public Safety and/or Special Emergency Radio Service.

It is also required by FCC Regs that each station have their own copy of FCC Part 90 Regs. So where is yours? Get it and read it sometime.

Tim Wright - NCIC/LINK Terminal Agency Coordinator
Morehead State University Police
Morehead, Ky
Serving the Public in Emergency Communications since 1982
Retired Volunteer FireFighter 20 Years of Active Duty
Amateur Radio Operator Call: KD4OVM

The opinions expressed by me here are mine unless other wise stated and
are not the opinions of my Employer nor co-workers

3856. Re: morse code on police channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.96.990604234145.14869B-100000@u1.abs.net>
Source record: 116326

On Fri, 4 Jun 1999, Phil Smalley wrote:

>
> Blake Bowers wrote in message <7j5p6u$bc6$0@207.18.224.130>...
> >On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 00:06:32 -0400, Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
> >wrote:
> >
> >
> >>FCC Regs require licensed stations to IDent ever so often. In the Public
> >>Safety relm it is once every 30 min or after each transmission. This
^insert here the
words "series of" so sue me....sheesh

> >>IDent can be either voice or by CW.
> >
> >Chuckle. You might want to check on the bit about after each
> >transmission....
> >
> Here in Phoenix, it is broadcast via voice by the dispatcher usually
> whenever clearing an incident from one of the Chase channels:
>
> "Channel 3 will be clear of the traffic at 1234 AnyStreet back to channel 5
> at 14:39, KOA789"
>
> But you're right, it's not EVERY transmission.
>
> -Phil
>
> -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
> -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Wright - NCIC/LINK Terminal Agency Coordinator
Morehead State University Police
Morehead, Ky
Serving the Public in Emergency Communications since 1982
Retired Volunteer FireFighter 20 Years of Active Duty
Amateur Radio Operator Call: KD4OVM

The opinions expressed by me here are mine unless other wise stated and
are not the opinions of my Employer nor co-workers

3857. Re: WSM Traffic Frequency in Nashville, TN?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Stewart" <Jon.stewart@nashville.com>
Message-ID: <37589C9C.B0D7B352@nashville.com>
Source record: 116327

That is the best Frequency to use 450.650, He starts at 4 PM in the
afternoon for rush till around 6 PM.
I believe he starts in the morning around 7 Am

Jon Stewart
Antioch

3858. PRO-70

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tarun Tuli <tulit@rzsoft.com>
Message-ID: <3758A2CA.FBB0BDD4@rzsoft.com>
Source record: 116328

Does anyone have any mods for the PRO-70?

I picked one up today clearance from Radio Shack for about $30US.

Thanks.

3859. Help w/ uniden please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21896-37589B6A-115@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 116329

hi i just got a used BC 9000 XLT with no manual or ac adapter.
Programming it was easy enough but,
does anyone happen to know the requirment for a radio shack replacement
AC adapter? or perhaps what the uniden model number is and where i might
find it?
thanks for the help

3860. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21896-37589BF8-117@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 116330

flip him over...he's done

3861. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21900-37589D61-7@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 116331

you forgot "tiny"

3862. wtb: watkins johnson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spencer Bahner <spencer12345@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OJGWfcxr#GA.389@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 116332

If you have any watkins johnson gear for sale, send me an e-mail. Thanks.

Spencer Bahner N7UMO
spencer12345@msn.com

3863. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Burnett <burnett@quiknet.com>
Message-ID: <37585c8a.0@nntp.quiknet.com>
Source record: 116333
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Record the tones -- call your pager -- play back the tones -- look at your pagers display. (The rocket science class is next week :-|)

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <375743BA.1017@ameritech.net>...
>C. Johnson wrote:
>>
>> I wouldn't suppose it would be impossible to do. If you're listening to
>> someone go into their voice mail, you can hear the tones they use for
their
>> password. Once you're in, you can do anything you want. I'm not an
expert,
>> but that's how I think it would work.
>>
>> EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:b6C53.1285$EU4.427@stones...
>> >
>> > Darren Mulligan <dmul3@excite.com> wrote in message
>> > news:3756A178.42598A4F@excite.com...
>> > > Their address is 2020@abc.com
>> > >
>> > > OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > I was just wondering if anybody seen the news program about
cordless
>> > > > phones. They mentioned some guy with a scanner was getting info on
>> his
>> > > > neighbors and tapping into their voice mail boxes. No where did
they
>> > > > mention the good that scanner people do. Very one sided. Very
>> > > > sensational type of newscast. What about Skywarn/Canwarn, helping
>> > > > police? What about listening to the Space Shuttle? Very porrly
done.
>> > > > --
>> > > > REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>> > > > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>> > >
>> >
>> > typical bloody media, thick as poop !, since when can you "tap" into a
>> > voicemail system with a damm scanner ?. listen to it perhaps but
>> impossible
>> > to "use" it
>> >
>> > usual story

>> >
>> > gov, backed mis-information to get rid of scanners...
>> >
>> > **ck 'em
>> >
>> > eavsdropper
>> >
>> >
>SO YOU HEAR THE TONES. BUT YOU WOULD HAVE TO KNOW WHAT TONES.
>--
>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3864. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Blow <j0e_blow_aust@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <JNM53.4698$PN5.15268@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 116338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

```
All you people complaining about morse obviously never saw "Independance
Day". If it hadn't been for morse the aliens would have destroyed mankind
as we know it. Be very thankful to morse. <bg>

--
Joe Blow.

(change j0e's "zero" to "oh" to reply)
```

OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:37570DFD.7A0C@ameritech.net...
> Jim Dunnett wrote:
> >
> > On Thu, 03 Jun 1999 03:56:48 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva
> > Nataraja) wrote:
> >
> > >On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 23:37:33 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> > ><theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >>Ham wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>> What do Ham Radio and The Marines have to do with each other ?
> > >>> Lets talk bottom line...CW is a twit filter. It maintains a certain
> > >>> level of operator competentancy.
> > >>
> > >>Compentency? What from Continoius Wave (C. W.). Yeah Right.
> > >
> > >Actually, the "competency" line is just a smoke screen.
> > >
> > >In actuality, CW is a high-tech form of HAZING - a painful rite of
> > >initiation. The reason they don't do away with it is because current
> > >"Ham clique" members figure "hey, *I* had to do it, so I'm gonna make
> > >the newbies go though it, too!" Accroding to the TV news show I saw,
> > >this is the main reason why hazing still exists in the military, even
> > >though officially it is aginst regulations.
> >
> > Nonsense. Until the ITU removes the requirement, it stays.
> > Individual administrations cannot remove it unilaterally.
> >
> > --
> > Regards, Jim. | Plus je vis d'�trangers, plus
> > olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk | j'aimai ma patrie.
> > jim%amadeus.softnet.co.uk |

> > dynastic%cwcom.net | - Du Belloy 1725-1775.
> > nordland%lineone.net |
> > Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371
> Oh yeah, that is why the freaking Leauge Of nations never worked.
> Evey country for itself
> --
> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3865. Washington frquencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jride681@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990604054039.00849.00000414@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 116339

I have been looking for any cool frequencies that any of you have had fun with I have lots of frequencies to trade if you have any I dont I have freq.'s for bugs the kind the cops use. I have become bored with all the same busts and little traffic stops I need something exciting.
Dragon_98@hotmail.com

3866. Re: Discriminator output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: wapcutthispart@ica.net
Message-ID: <37579ec5.8613283@news>
Source record: 116340

Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net> wrote:

>Maybe not as this is a very small signal.

Incorrect. A 50-200 mV audio signal can certainly be heard with
headphones. I'd put a 1-10K resistor in series with the phones
to keep the scanner happy.

>If you hook an audio amplifier to that tap you should hear audio
>similar to the unsquelched radio speaker. It may sound somewhat
>distorted as this audio has not processed.

Excuse me? If it sounds distorted, it's going to make a lousy
source for a decoding application.

>SiD wrote:
>>
>> I just modded my BC235XLT to have a discriminator output, and I am
>> having problems trying to find out if I did it right. My question is.
>>
>> If I plug a set of headphones into the discriminator tap, am I supposed
>> to hear any audio at all? (I don't hear anything)

Well SiD, then you did something wrong...

...Andy

3867. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <4EB3214FA0485499.4DD39EC376408235.2D4A2C6223664FAA@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116341

Hey Shack23!!!
Lol, lol, lol, PLONK!!!

3868. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <C1645CFFF2C147AD.EC410DBBD9013AA8.6DE65107E810EB50@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

> All you people complaining about morse obviously never saw "Independance
> Day". If it hadn't been for morse the aliens would have destroyed mankind
> as we know it. Be very thankful to morse. <bg>

Ummm, if I remember, all they really had to do is pick up a mic.
I think the morse thing was just a plug from some HAM coding freak.

3869. Re: Electra Tigerscan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3757AA88.2DEB9144@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 116343
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Shaun Whitman wrote:
> How does the sensitivity compare with say...a new uniden portable with the
> factory duck antenna?

Should be comparable. Perhaps a slight edge to the Uniden due to
the larger and more efficient antenna.

I think the bigger concern might be that on the Uniden you can
opt for a different antenna. No such option on the Electra.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

3870. Re: SETI@home

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: yarpfaff@erols.com
Message-ID: <3757aeec.1411072@news.erols.com>
Source record: 116344

On 4 Jun 1999 00:27:10 GMT, dickh33923@aol.com (DickH33923) wrote:

>This has nothing to do with this NG. If you want to use your computer to
>chrunch numbers for someone else for nothing, go for it.
>
>> Can any one explain to me what it is ,and if it's worth to download it
>>Here is small description of the program.
>>
Actually, it does "scan" radio freqs. It just doesn't do it real
time.
You can get it at http://setiathome.ssl.berkley.edu
To reply to this message, replace "yar" with "ray"

3871. Re: Scanning Sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <DuO53.86$sU6.308@news.flash.net>
Source record: 116345

In article <kbM53.4686$PN5.15003@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>, deeeda@bigpond.com.au says...
>
>This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>
>------=_NextPart_000_00B3_01BEADF9.0B26B2A0
>Content-Type: text/plain;
> charset="iso-8859-1"
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
>
>Please give me some URLs for scanning sites and radios,links etc.I also =
>need URLs for Icom,Yaesu,Yupiteru,Uniden,Kenwood, Thanks
>

http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <--Radios OnLine. Lots of scanning/swl stuff as well as links.

George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------

3872. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: catboat333@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990604073954.27963.00000309@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 116346

You shouldn't be the one to send anyone any message with that saurkraut message. It's tired

3873. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7j8gpa$c64$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Actually, remember that the interference from the aliens was getting worse and worse and I suppose they were trying to say that it was so bad that only CW could get through.

. <@.> wrote in message ...
> All you people complaining about morse obviously never saw "Independance
> Day". If it hadn't been for morse the aliens would have destroyed mankind
> as we know it. Be very thankful to morse. <bg>

Ummm, if I remember, all they really had to do is pick up a mic.
I think the morse thing was just a plug from some HAM coding freak.

3874. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7j8glu$c3c$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116348

OK, I'll transmit the letter "E", and receive that by ear.

Satisfied? The requirements are.

And if it really was by ear, how would any deaf operators every qualify
for the treaty?

Shack23 wrote in message
<19990603185544.14071.00000243@ng-fp1.aol.com>...
>Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote:
>
>>All the ITU says is that the
>>operator
>>has to show knowledge of CW. It doesn't say what speed. It doesn't
>>say how. It doesn't say different classes.
>
>Sorry, but you are WRONG! It DOES say that you have to be able to
TRANSMIT BY
>HAND KEY, AND RECEIVE BY EAR, TEXTS IN INTERNATIONAL MORSE
CODE...THERE!
>(Breaker Breaker) Lol...

3875. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7j8gtp$c93$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Jim Griffin wrote in message <375748d9.6250561@news.nycap.rr.com>...
>I say replace cw with a requirement that the person know some form of
>digital communication in some detail. cw is just another form of
>digital comm, just slower than some others.
>-JG

No, not replace it, just don't RELY on it. You're right, CW is just another form
of communication. Why should that one form carry more weight than all others? Offer a technical test and a CHOICE of practical test, one of which
being CW.

Mike
N1DVJ

3876. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7j8gn8$c4e$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

That's right!! We all saw it in a movie! It's GOTTA be true!

Joe Blow wrote in message ...
>All you people complaining about morse obviously never saw
"Independance
>Day". If it hadn't been for morse the aliens would have destroyed
mankind
>as we know it. Be very thankful to morse. <bg>
>
>--
>Joe Blow.
>
>(change jOe's "zero" to "oh" to reply)
>
>
>
>
>OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote in message
>news:37570DFD.7A0C@ameritech.net...
>> Jim Dunnett wrote:
>> >
>> > On Thu, 03 Jun 1999 03:56:48 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva
>> > Nataraja) wrote:
>> >
>> > >On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 23:37:33 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
>> > ><theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>> > >
>> > >>Ham wrote:
>> > >>>
>> > >>> What do Ham Radio and The Marines have to do with each other ?
>> > >>> Lets talk bottom line...CW is a twit filter. It maintains a
certain
>> > >>> level of operator competentancy.
>> > >>
>> > >>Compentency? What from Continoius Wave (C. W.). Yeah Right.
>> > >
>> > >Actually, the "competency" line is just a smoke screen.
>> > >
>> > >In actuality, CW is a high-tech form of HAZING - a painful rite of
>> > >initiation. The reason they don't do away with it is because
current
>> > >"Ham clique" members figure "hey, *I* had to do it, so I'm gonna
make

>> > >the newbies go though it, too!" Accroding to the TV news show I
saw,
>> > >this is the main reason why hazing still exists in the military,
even
>> > >though officially it is aginst regulations.
>> >
>> > Nonsense. Until the ITU removes the requirement, it stays.
>> > Individual administrations cannot remove it unilaterally.
>> >
>> > --
>> > Regards, Jim. | Plus je vis d'�trangers, plus
>> > olympus%jimdee.prestel.co.uk | j'aimai ma patrie.
>> > jim%amadeus.softnet.co.uk |
>> > dynastic%cwcom.net | - Du Belloy 1725-1775.
>> > nordland%lineone.net |
>> > Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371
>> Oh yeah, that is why the freaking Leauge Of nations never worked.
>> Evey country for itself
>> --
>> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>
>

3877. Re: Rubber duckie Antenae-Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <3757c895.1258787@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 116351

On 3 Jun 1999 16:05:02 GMT, catboat333@aol.com (Catboat333) wrote:

>Why are attennas on hand-helds rubber coated-is it, as I suspect, to prevent
>ESD damage to sensitive front ends, or am I giving manufacturers far too much
>credit?
>
> And-if so-do you run a risk using a non rubber such as a Watson-a reportedly
>fine antenna. Cappy Anderson

Well, I have an old Pro-37 rubber duck that had ALL of its rubber coating chewed off by the previous owner of the scanner (nervous habit, I guess). I had to resolder the connection at the base of the antenna several times because it would break due to excessive flex in the antenna. Obviously, the rubber coating stiffens the antenna a lot. I have never broken a ducky that was wearing its rubber :-).

I doubt that you have anything to worry about when it comes to front-end damage from using a metal antenna. Radio Shack sells a metal telescoping antenna that works great on low-band. I live in Sacramento, CA and I once heard a Stockton CHP mobile on 42.720 with this antenna on a Pro-62. I also noticed better reception with that old Pro-37 antenna when I compared it to an identical, undamaged rubber duck. Strange.

Spamblock in effect. Reply in newsgroups.

3878. Re: abc story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Stewart <RF_junkie@excite.com>
Message-ID: <7j8kkt$n0f$1@news.iag.net>
Source record: 116352

Yep,
It sure seems like they want scanners to go the way of firearms in this nation. A three day wait on scanners and a NICS check. Some true dyed in the wool ignoramous of a "public official " will probably endorse such legislation and the truly ignorant public will buy into it lock stock and barrel. Ever noticed that television is nothing but a propaganda machine for a certain political agenda ? What exactly gives the media the right to portray themselves as knowledgeable on things they obviously know nothing about ? It is truly unfortunate that public stupidity has taken the place of personal responsibility in our society.

Off of my soapbox now thanks for reading,
Tom

MattThurston wrote in message <01bead74$6109db00$397ffad0@default>...
>to anyone that saw that abc story on cordless phone eavesdropping did you
>notice the only uses of a scanner that they mentioned was police and
>cordless phones. sounds like someone wants them banned. according to them
>were all criminals. they said why would anyone want to listen to a scanner.
>yes why indeed....
>

3879. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <3759d239.3726982@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 116353

On 4 Jun 1999 06:00:08 GMT, shack23@aol.com (Shack23) wrote:

>Lol lol lol lol...Sure gets you, doesn't it? I have another question for
>you...Can YOU get on (and transmit) on 3.95 mhz? No? Thats what I thought...As
>for the CB JOKES...That was not a JOKE! It just seems like the only one's
>REALLY complaining about MORSE CODE, are CB dummies, and NO BRAINE...uh, I
>mean, NO CODERS that want SOMETHING ELSE given to them.. Can you honestly say
>that if you knew MORSE CODE very well, that you would be on this NG kicking and
>scratching about it? And your use of filthy metaphors, (NASTY WORDS), only
>makes you look WORSE...Oh by the way, there is no such word as, CORNYNESS...Lol
>lol....Joey

This childish behavior = yet another reason why I regret going to the
trouble to get my General Class license 2 1/2 years ago. Oh well, I
guess the code knowledge is good for identifying public safety and
business band callsigns. I sure don't want to waste any time on ham
radio until people learn to grow up. I wouldn't want my tires slashed
just because I don't share the same elitist views as people like you.
And no, I'm not being paranoid. Several hams around here have had
their cars vandalized by other hams. I wish I had known about all this
nonsense before I got my license!

Spamblock in effect. Reply in newsgroups.

3880. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rudi Mulder <mulder36@xs4all.nl>
Message-ID: <7j8mo8$473$4@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 116354
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

>>
>> think everything originated from Holland LOL
>> >--
>> >M.J.Powell.
>I beg to differ

well begging is allowed :)
to differ in this, is to deny the truth
can't have that now can we :)

i know it hurts a bit the truth but we all be soon a costumed to this LOL

RM

3881. Re: A Warning to ALL reads of this Board !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike <mboyes@theramp.net>
Message-ID: <3757E1C9.32A0F008@theramp.net>
Source record: 116355

too bad we can not download your programs and use them in the Great USA. Where a teenager can get hold of a gun but we send people to jail for listening to a radio.

EAVSDROPPER wrote:

> >
> > **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here
> (tm) ****
>
> you can get all the pager/mdt/etc decoding software here as it is not
> illegal for me to post it (in UK)and I am most certainely no cop or nark....
>
> http://listen.to/eavsdropper
>
> or http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk
>
> have fun :-)
>
> eavsdropper

--
Best wishes Mike 1549119

3882. Re: abc story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike <mboyes@theramp.net>
Message-ID: <3757E3B7.FDE0F8BA@theramp.net>
Source record: 116356

MattThurston wrote:

> to anyone that saw that abc story on cordless phone eavesdropping did you
> notice the only uses of a scanner that they mentioned was police and
> cordless phones. sounds like someone wants them banned. according to them
> were all criminals. they said why would anyone want to listen to a scanner.
> yes why indeed....

All of us in the radio hobby weather it be a scanner, Ham Radio, CB Radio, etc.should start using our radios to help police,
I know I have read a few posts from police officers and or firemen that enjoy scanning. If you listen while in your car copy down that plte number the local police might be looking for and call if you see it. then ask the officers to post it in the paper
that a scanner was used for good instead of just listening to cellular or cordless phones. Just an Idea Post replies to the group.

--
Best wishes Mike 1549119

3883. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: rah@netcom.com
Message-ID: <rahFCt55F.Mr7@netcom.com>
Source record: 116357
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

BAYCITVIDGRP (myway@ix.netcom.com) wrote:

: so the PRESS people are sick people who listen
: to scanners for all sorts of stuff going
: down daily.

Oh no! When I was commuting, I used a scanner to listen to the
PRESS! What does that make me? :-)

Actually, I found that scanning the frequencies the traffic reporters
used gives you a *much* better take on the commute situation. It's
amazing what the on-air reports leave out.

For student pilots, using a scanner on ATC can give invaluable
insight into radio procedure.

As far as police & fire, well it is an accepted subset of the hobby,
but not one to my taste. If I hear a lot of activity in my neighborhood,
I might tune in briefly to make sure that there isn't a toxic cloud
blowing my way, but otherwise I don't bother.

I've been trained in disaster relief by the city and my company which
is why I stay out of the way and let the pros do the work. If they
need me, I'll know it.

If you want to know what's *really* sick, you should pick up a scanner
magazine someday. They actually discuss the best way to monitor
fast food outlets! Also not to my 'taste' heh.

Cheers,

Rick

--
Include "wombat" in Subject: line of mail sent to me [to override spamgard(tm)]

| Richard Hyde | RaH@netcom.com | This space intentionally left blank |

3884. Re: Mac Multimode Program on PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: hwabnig@netway.at
Message-ID: <3758e8a7.389638@news.netway.at>
Source record: 116358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.scanner

>
>To sort out whether it's Executor or Multimode,
>I would need a small MAC program for sound recording,
>can you recommend one, with URL to download?
>Or mail it to me.
>Would like to test first before asking the ARDI people.

I think it is the Executor emulator which prevents Multimode
from running: looks as if it supports only mono audio, not stereo.
Multimode requires a stereo card. Maybe a receive-only version
would do it.
Think have to wait for the next version of Executor.

Other MAC emulators require legit ownership of Mac ROM and
Mac Operating System, only Mac owners have it.
If you own a Mac, you may use those emulators on a PC.
To look for Mac emulators, see
http:/www.sysopt.com/reviews/macemu/index2.html

W.

3885. Re: Need Help w/EMI From Uncooperative Neighbor's House

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j8pt5$6da$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116359

Shack23 wrote in message <19990603141152.07271.00000041@ng-fo1.aol.com>...
>thx1138" <look@life.org wrote:
>
>>My neighbor of which we share a common wall (duplex) recently
>>purchased a "baby wipes heater". I am not joking.
>
>In the first place, what in hades is a BABY WIPES HEATER?????
It's a stupid miniature electric blanket who's sole purpose is to be wrapped around a box of baby wipes. My wife bought one and thinks it's wonderful. I have my doubts....

Are you sure THAT
>is the name of the device? Anyway, any type of device that incorrectly
>generates RF energy, is subject to FCC scrutiny. I bet if you wrote a
letter to
>the manufacturer of that thing, and told them your TV/RADIO reception is
being
>destroyed by it, they might offer you a suggestion. (Although I'm not sure
what
>it would be, lol).

There's probably something wrong with the unit. Mine generates no noise whatsoever - I generally run 2 PC's and 4 scanners at once, no interference on any of them.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3886. Re: What Bill Cheek Needs IS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <3757EB9C.2CBFC160@INVALID.com>
Source record: 116360

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> Mikey...well, what can I say
> it's just not to your liking
> Mikey, hold on to your limitations, it's ok
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

And that's all it boils down to. Whether or not it's toward your liking.

It's just a personal style and opinion.

bill's always posted this way and it's as unique as a handwritten
signature.

Post away bill! I don't always agree with your message, but I enjoy the
way you post.

regards.

3887. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: suranyi@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7j90gohve1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116361
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In article <3756dd34.77218918@NNTP.interaccess.com>,
sarge@interaccess.com (Jim Heckler) wrote:

> I'm not flaming or being smark-alecky, but I really would like to know
> how you use your scanner.

She probably doesn't have one. Nor do I. I came across this thread
in rec.travel.air, where I suspect MOST people don't have scanners.

I'm not disagreeing with you regarding your use of scanners; I really
don't care how you use them.

My point is that a lot of you have been assuming that she's reading
the newsgroup rec.radio.scanner, and are naturally confused when she
says things that are "anti-scanner". I think she, like myself, is
reading rec.travel.air, which is an entirely different audience.

Ed Suranyi

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3888. Re: Modification Albrecht (UNIDEN) Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j8snc$78m$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116362

Mad Max wrote in message <7j6mt5o1@swifty.westend.com>...

>OK...seems to me that you are right, but:
>
>My scanner supports these frequencies (869 to 894) Here are the
frequencies:
>
>66 to 88 MHz
>108 to 174 MHz
>216 to 512 MHz
>806 to 956 MHz
>
>but it switches (depending on frequency) to FM or to AM automatically. I
>would like to select the modes by myself..
>me thinks the best solution is to sell this damn thing and buy a new
Voodoo3
>instead!
>

There are always customers here in the US that would buy a cellular capable unit (but, a word of caution, it is illegal to sell a cellular capable scanner here). You could probably get between $150 to $200 US for it. Just don't use Ebay.

Good luck,

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3889. FS: BC 230XLT Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: sharvey@thefuture.net
Message-ID: <7j8s5k$nf2@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116363
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Like new radio, includes 2 600 ma batteries, battery charger, wall adapter, soft vinyl case and scanner. 200 channels, 100 ch/sec scan, 300 ch/sec search, covers all major bands. This is a cellular blocked unit with no mod that I know of. Asking $130 shipped. Please email me if you are interested. Scott.

3890. Re: freq GSM & DCS phone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: marcus.akesson@no_spam_please.home.se
Message-ID: <3757fbd3.449221736@wingate>
Source record: 116364

On Wed, 2 Jun 1999 21:03:57 +0200, "JB" <trayvou@wanadoo.fr> wrote:

>Please , can you give me the GSM and DCS phone mobile frequencies in Europe.

GSM900: Exact Frequencies can vary between different countries,
depending on earlier analog systems in use. The limits are soft, so
when the use of analog decreases, GSM gets more bandwith.

In Sweden:
890.0000 - 899.0000 NMT /GSM Telia
899.1000 - 903.9000 GSM Telia
904.1000 - 908.9000 GSM Comviq
909.1000 - 913.9000 GSM Europolitan

935.0000 - 960.0000 NMT/GSM Base ->Mobile
944.1000 - 948.9000 GSM Telia
949.1000 - 953.9000 GSM Comviq

DCS/(GSM1800) is on 1800MHz But I don't know the exact frequencies.

/Marcus

3891. Realistic PRO-25. Do you know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tostarteko <tost@rte.ko>
Message-ID: <3757FF5C.F5A61FE3@rte.ko>
Source record: 116365

Hi there

I have a Realistic PRO-25, do you know if there is any kind of
modification I could do to improve it?
Also I would appreciate any comments about it, since I am quite new in
the world of scanning.

Thanks mates.

Tostarteko, from the basque country

3892. Re: New Cell Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: marcus.akesson@no_spam_please.home.se
Message-ID: <3758fdfb.449773189@wingate>
Source record: 116366

On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 23:40:10 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Brad Granger wrote:
>>
>> k9kz@indy.net wrote in message <7g8l36gno1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>> >I just got my first cell phone. It is digital. Anyone know if my phone can
>> be
>> >heard on scanners and what frequency range? I didn't see any frequency info
>> in
>> >the literature. Are there any precautions I can take so that I won't be
>> heard
>> >on scanners? Thanks.
>>
>> What does "it is digital " mean? Is it GSM or CDMA? If it is GSM then it
>> might operate on 940MHz in the New England area or on the 1900MHz PCS
>> frequencies in other areas. Either way it is quite safe.
>
>That is until someone cracks the code. It might be a while but some
>hacker out there will do it.

The point is that there is no "THE CODE", the algorithm is known, but
the key is changed individually for every subscriber at every call
setup. So it will probably be quite a while, yes. The datastream in
itself is also quite complex, time division with frequency hopping to
add. Probably have to take a phone and disassemble and change the sw,
rather than using a conventional radio.

/Marcus

3893. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <fuT53.595$Hy4.607@wards>
Source record: 116367
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

> Use WinTone to decode tones
> > REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>

1) wintone is crap
2) you can get the tones of course but you CANNOT "use" the scanner to get into it !!

thats the way the media have no dounbt written it as usual

eavsdropper

3894. Re: Canoga Park, CA Frq Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <375804bc$0$2487@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 116368
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It's under LAPD's West Valley Division (10). For more info, see my site
with complete LA frequency info (including police, fire, air, and FBI):

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Hills/3266/

Ed <emw28@aol.com> wrote in message
news:7j750k$84d$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net...
> Can anyone post the public safety frequencies for Canoga Park, CA? I am
not
> sure if they have their own police agency and do their own dispatching or
> are dispatched by a sheriff's department.
>
> I appreciate any information.
>
>

3895. Re: SETI@home

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3758043c$0$2487@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 116369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I agree, it is very worthwhile. I have the program working at home and at work, and it doesn't get in the way at all, and it's nice to have the computer doing something productive when I'm not using it.

Matt Fuller <fee@global2000.net> wrote in message news:6iz53.275$zX5.17568@typ42b.nn.bcandid.com...
> This is probably considered off topic, but I'll post my proverbial pennies
> publicly incase anyone else was wondering as well. The Seti@Home software
> is a little package that analyzes data received by radio telescopes (I think
> Seta@Home uses signals "just" from the massive radio telescope at Arecibo,
> Puerto Rico) in the hope of finding radio signals emitted by inhabitants of
> other planets.. Right now that is our best hope for finding intelligent
> life elsewhere.. the thinking being that since we emit (and have been for
> over 50 years) strong radio signals, other advanced civilizations are or
> have done the same.. The program itself is fairly small, and you can set it
> to run only when you're not currently using your computer.. It downloads
> about 350k of data and analyzes it looking for something that just would not
> belong in a certain range the radio spectrum. It takes me (on a p166) about
> 75 hours to analyze one data packet, so it's not something overly exciting
> to sit down and watch, but it is interesting to think of the possibilities..
> Right now there are about 500,000 users analyzing data, and they have
> already done a total of 50988730 hours 02 minutes 19.4 seconds (5820.63
> years) worth of CPU time.
> The software and much more information than I can provide (and probably more
> accurate, too.) can be found at
> http://setiathome.ssl.berkeley.edu/index.html
> Give it a shot if you haven't already.. Who knows.. it could be your system
> that finds something.. :)
> Matt
>
>
> LaLaS <m1956@execpc.com> wrote in message
> news:7j5ean$124@newsops.execpc.com...
> > Can any one explain to me what it is ,and if it's worth to download it
> > Here is small description of the program.

> >
> > The search for EXTRATERRESTRIAL INTELLIGENCE
> >
> > SETI@home actually consists of two parts: an "application"
> > and a "screensaver". The application does all the work: it
> > downloads blocks of data from through the Internet, performs
> > the number crunching on that data (looking for orderly
> > patterns that might be artificial), returns the results, and
> > gets another block of data. The application is represented
> > by a green radio telescope icon in the System Tray (normally
> > at the lower right of your screen). You can open the appli-
> > cation window by double-clicking on this icon.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3896. Re: abc story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j93a3$998$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116370

MattThurston wrote in message <01bead74$6109db00$397ffad0@default>...
>to anyone that saw that abc story on cordless phone eavesdropping did you
>notice the only uses of a scanner that they mentioned was police and
>cordless phones. sounds like someone wants them banned. according to them
>were all criminals. they said why would anyone want to listen to a scanner.
>yes why indeed....
>

How absolutely ironic... the ABC station here in Phoenix uses a whole fleet of scanners, including 5 BC895xlt's. They know damn well why people want to listen....

Maybe it's so they can produce their own version of the "news" unhindered by those of us who know better.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3897. Re: Realistic PRO-25. Do you know it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j931t$97i$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116371

Tostarteko wrote in message <3757FF5C.F5A61FE3@rte.ko>...
>Hi there
>
>I have a Realistic PRO-25, do you know if there is any kind of
>modification I could do to improve it?
>Also I would appreciate any comments about it, since I am quite new in
>the world of scanning.

I had one of these....

Dual conversion, 100 channels I believe.

Stopped working one week after I bought it. Sent it in for service, then 1 year later it crapped again. Tossed in the junkpile, then later sold at garage sale for $5, as is.

It was OK, but no BC235, to be sure. I hunted around for mods back then and didn't find any.

Probably not what you wanted to hear, but at least now you know.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3898. MS1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: alan@netrox.net
Message-ID: <alan-04069913504400001@ppp-09.mia-tc-2.netrox.net>
Source record: 116372

Many have recommended this as an excellent 800mhz handheld scanner
antenna. Anyone know where to get it and/or the name of the manufacturer?

--
Pleae REPLY via E-MAIL

3899. Re: Scanning Sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Welch <tomwelch@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <37581180.6745A13D@swbell.net>
Source record: 116373

As a starting point, the best and most comprehensive site
dedicated to scanning is Rich Well's http://www.strongsignals.net

deeeda wrote:

> Please give me some URLs for scanning sites and radios,links etc.I
> also need URLs for Icom,Yaesu,Yupiteru,Uniden,Kenwood, Thanks

--
Take Special Care,
Tom Welch, Online Editor
http://www.moneywords.com

3900. BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: alan@netrox.net
Message-ID: <alan-0406991348320001@ppp-09.mia-tc-2.netrox.net>
Source record: 116374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I found a good price on pre-order for the bc245xlt. hamstation.com is taking orders at $225 plus about $6 for shipping. Did anyone find a better deal?

--
Pleae REPLY via E-MAIL

3901. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <96BE43DAC7CF4B38.612E7673409CAAA7.AE04EC6AC8A40075@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Oh yeah boy, in this modern day and age, only CW can get through ;)

3902. Re: NOT RELATED TO -> G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j959a$9v7$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <37570D7D.4ED@ameritech.net>...
<crap deleted>

Silly me, here I thought if I clicked on this message I'd get to read
something about EDACS monitoring - a subject I am interested in - and not
"My car is better than YOUR car", which I could really care less about.

My mistake, I guess.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3903. Re: Discriminator output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j95tu$a51$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116377

Andrew Porrett wrote in message <37579ec5.8613283@news>...
>Excuse me? If it sounds distorted, it's going to make a lousy
>source for a decoding application.

Well, I don't know about that... My 895 sounds a bit distorted if I play the discriminator audio thru the PC speakers, but the source lets the PC decode MDT and Flex like a champ! I have a 2026 that I also have tapped, and it is nowhere near as good as the 895.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3904. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <AB8FB4AA99F7CDCF.34C041F623785CCE.870EE7F3FEE3B006@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116378

Yeah, alot of trash out there on the HAM bands.
HAM used to be honorable, now it's just a bunch of freq's dominated by a bunch
of elitist trailer trash assholes who forget that the FCC controls them and the freq's they are on.
From the old HAM's perspective, they invented and own all the freq's between DC and light
and damnit, they will make sure that all those freq's are completely empty before considering
letting normal, modern people on.

> This childish behavior = yet another reason why I regret going to the
> trouble to get my General Class license 2 1/2 years ago.

3905. Re: morse code on police channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j964d$a71$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116379

Blake Bowers wrote in message <7j5p6u$bc6$0@207.18.224.130>...
>On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 00:06:32 -0400, Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
>wrote:
>
>
>>FCC Regs require licensed stations to IDent ever so often. In the Public
>>Safety relm it is once every 30 min or after each transmission. This
>>IDent can be either voice or by CW.
>
>Chuckle. You might want to check on the bit about after each
>transmission....
>
Here in Phoenix, it is broadcast via voice by the dispatcher usually
whenever clearing an incident from one of the Chase channels:

"Channel 3 will be clear of the traffic at 1234 AnyStreet back to channel 5
at 14:39, KOA789"

But you're right, it's not EVERY transmission.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3906. TV antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990604145534.16922.00000438@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 116380

i have my pro 25 hooked up to my outdoor tv antenna. can i hook an amp up to it without blowing it out? if so what kind? email response is preferred

3907. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <QZa3nCAtsBW3EwH6@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 116381
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

In article <7j8mo8$473$4@news1.xs4all.nl>, Rudi Mulder
<mulder36@xs4all.nl> writes
>
>>>
>>> think everything originated from Holland LOL
>>> >--
>>> >M.J.Powell.
>>I beg to differ
>
>
>
>well begging is allowed :)
>to differ in this, is to deny the truth
>can't have that now can we :)
>
>i know it hurts a bit the truth but we all be soon a costumed to this LOL
>
>
>RM

A short note to say that I didn't write the above.

Mike
--
M.J.Powell.

3908. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: D <pickards@SPAMBLOCKzen.co.uk>
Message-ID: <CxV53.215$pl3.4739@newreader.ukcore.bt.net>
Source record: 116382
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

please let this thread DIE!!!.
And why is it cross posted on soc.penpals???
Enjoy whatever makes you happy!!!

D

M.J.Powell wrote in message ...
>In article <7j8mo8$473$4@news1.xs4all.nl>, Rudi Mulder
><mulder36@xs4all.nl> writes
>>
>>>>
>>>> think everything originated from Holland LOL
>>>> >--
>>>> >M.J.Powell.
>>>I beg to differ
>>
>>
>>
>>well begging is allowed :)
>>to differ in this, is to deny the truth
>>can't have that now can we :)
>>
>>i know it hurts a bit the truth but we all be soon a costumed to this LOL
>>
>>
>>RM
>
>A short note to say that I didn't write the above.
>
>Mike
>--
>M.J.Powell.

3909. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990604151044.04046.00000600@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 116383

"." <@.> wrote:

>I'm a HAM and don't expect me to give you my call sign as we aren't on =
>radio and I don't want
>you dragging my opinions onto the radio.
>

Hey, I never said you were not a Ham. People can talk on CB, and still be a Ham operator...I just can't understand why anyone would use CB, if they have Ham licenses...But everybody to their own...I would not expect you to give your call sign on the NG, as this is not the place for it. This is the place to ARGUE about differing opinions...Actually, if people DIDN'T argue, no one would read this stuff...Joey

3910. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Donna L. Kregel" <donna.kregel@nwa.com>
Message-ID: <7j98ibcka1@nwanews.nwa.com>
Source record: 116384
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

> She probably doesn't have one. Nor do I. I came across this thread
> in rec.travel.air, where I suspect MOST people don't have scanners.

Exactly - no scanner here. Actually, I'm an airline employee and trained volunteer. In the case of an air accident, I am ready to go within 2 hours to assist families and survivors. Part of the training discusses how media, lawyers etc. go too far with invading the privacy of the families, as well as giving premature conclusions on the issues. And no - I don't read the scanner newsgroup. In fact, I didn't even notice that it was a cross post. Now I understand the avalanche of flame mail and nasty responses.

Thank you Ed! :)

3911. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: john lidstrom <john@lidstrom.com>
Message-ID: <37582F21.425F1696@lidstrom.com>
Source record: 116385
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

If anyone makes a radio like this, it is probably Wulfsberg (Wolfsburg??), They make EXPENSIVE radios for aircraft and military folks. If you're rich you can have on. If you're like me, you read the newsgroups and smile at the Morons.
J

Robert wrote:

> This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
> be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
> sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know of
> that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
> transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks
> in advance
>
> Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
> LOSER
>
> Thanks..
>
> Robert

3912. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7j9atsbng1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116386
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Donna L. Kregel wrote in message <7j98ibcka1@nwanews.nwa.com>...
>> She probably doesn't have one. Nor do I. I came across this thread
>> in rec.travel.air, where I suspect MOST people don't have scanners.
>
>I'm an airline employee and trained
>volunteer. In the case of an air accident, I am ready to go within 2 hours
>to assist families and survivors. Part of the training discusses how
media,
>lawyers etc. go too far with invading the privacy of the families, as well
>as giving premature conclusions on the issues.

This is exactly the point. A scanner listener is far better informed than those who rely upon the media to find out what is going on. In my opinion the mass media in general are the sickos who get off on disaster and human suffering. During the L.A. riots, they even took a more pro-active role in the mayhem by broadcasting every bit of it live, turning common thugs and criminals into freakin' celebrities. That is truly disgusting in my opinion. I can just imagine what'll happen when the "Big One" hits L.A. Two weeks solid of so-called "scientific analysis" and rehashing the "Oh my God, this is so great - er um, I mean terrible" shock videos for three weeks straight.

Most of us listen to the scanner not so much to be at the scene of an incident (unless that is our job, as an EMT, freelance video journalist, etc.) but to know what places to avoid. Mine is invaluable in the time saved by avoiding accidents and the subsequent rubber-neckers during my daily commute.

>And no - I don't read the
>scanner newsgroup. In fact, I didn't even notice that it was a cross post.
>Now I understand the avalanche of flame mail and nasty responses.

As for the flame mail and nasty responses, most of it probably came from the usual bunch of retards that seem to frequent this group only to pick a fight. You can pay it no mind, most likely - just consider the source, as I often do.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

3913. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Newps <newps@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <37582E26.60693888@mcn.net>
Source record: 116387
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Bryan Stethem wrote:
>
> >St Stephen Ames wrote in message <3756A5F8.3A84@stephenames.com>...
> >Why don't you become a volunteer fireman or paramedic if you are into
> >the horrible tragedy involved in such disasters and put your morbid
> >sense of curiousity to some good use...
>
> OK, so all of us who listen to scanners should either be a fireman or
> paramedic
> or we are (in your opinion) morbid curiousity seekers??? This is an idiotic
> attitude
> to express in this NG. Just what the hell do you think most of us use
> scanners for?
>
> Bryan Stethem

Ames can't be bothered now, he's out busting more altitudes.

3914. help frequecy italian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: giordano de marchi <giordanomezza@cyberg.it>
Message-ID: <375702E9.DE1E2815@cyberg.it>
Source record: 116397

cerco frequenze militari hf-vhf-uhf-shf

3915. WTB: Power supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7j724j$b2m@sjx-ixn4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116398

Need a 20 amp 13.8VDC power supply, working condition, looks are unimportant.

THANKS!

3916. Re: Come Touring in England ?Or/How to get lost the easy way!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barrie Shepherd <barries@cwcom.net>
Message-ID: <3757125a.0@news1.mcmail.com>
Source record: 116399
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,uk.misc,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

While I sympathise with you problem - You are coming from the position of the UK having, in general, excellent road signs. Try to compare it to other countries some of which only number Motorways, not B and C roads, some only use local names and some don't bother at all. I spent a lot of time in NSW Australia and believe me the UK system is a dream. Some of their Motorways change number three or four times without any warning. If it were not for the fact that there are not so many roads one could get horribly lost - and out there if you miss a turning it may be 100Km before a) you realise it and b) you can get round your mistake!!

BS

Keith Bennett wrote in message ...
>Foreign visitors to England should be made aware that the authorities
>responsible for directional signs on our highways are a bunch of
>dickheads.

3917. WSM Traffic Frequency in Nashville, TN?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: robtlloyd@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7j79pdvev1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116400

Anyone know the freq uses by WSM for their traffic plane in Nashville,
TN?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3918. Re: G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <pME53.156$1k.309@news2>
Source record: 116401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <MPG.11c03bbc64a71fe69897d3@news.earthlink.net>, dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman) wrote:
>Geez Obi-Wan, you must live in another universe. When comparing a
>"benchmark" here on earth, we use Mercedes as the pinnacle and Chevy as
>"run of the mill".
>

.guess that leaves Fords and that other car company "up the creek"????

3919. Re: SETI@home

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: dickh33923@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603202710.27964.00000095@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 116402

This has nothing to do with this NG. If you want to use your computer to chrunch numbers for someone else for nothing, go for it.

> Can any one explain to me what it is ,and if it's worth to download it
>Here is small description of the program.
>
>The search for EXTRATERRESTRIAL INTELLIGENCE
>
>SETI@home actually consists of two parts: an "application"
>and a "screensaver". The application does all the work: it
>downloads blocks of data from through the Internet, performs
>the number crunching on that data (looking for orderly
>patterns that might be artificial), returns the results, and
>gets another block of data. The application is represented
>by a green radio telescope icon in the System Tray (normally
>at the lower right of your screen). You can open the appli-
>cation window by double-clicking on this icon.
>

3920. Re: FCC Master Frequency Databases on CD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <_mF53.168$1k.309@news2>
Source record: 116403
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It depends on what is written on the CD or accompanying documents that indicate a copyright. Normally any and all government documents or data are public domain since tax money was used to purchase it and title 17 USC decrees it so, however if there was a contractor involved as the middle man then there could be a copyright involved. Just like Percom does on their disk, you can't legally copy it because of the copyright and their extraction program and software is copyrighted but the actual frequency data is not copyrightable since it's source is the federal government FCC database. Check out title 17 USC and you'll find that the government documents (works) are not copyrightable:
TITLE 17 - COPYRIGHTS
CHAPTER 1 - SUBJECT MATTER AND SCOPE OF COPYRIGHT

Sec. 105. Subject matter of copyright: United States Government works

Copyright protection under this title is not available for any work of the United States Government, but the United States Government is not precluded from receiving and holding copyrights transferred to it by assignment, bequest, or otherwise.

so since the FCC is the one that compiles the frequency database, the information contained in that database is not copyrightable by the government and anyone buying that database also cannot copyright it since it is not their original work to copyright, although the software they create to search, display and print it CAN be copyrightable........enjoy.....

Fred

In article <37563f8d.11511844@news.xprt.net>, tom@No_Spam.net (Tom G.) wrote:
>Be careful, what you are talking about is illegal.
>
>On Tue, 01 Jun 1999 23:11:54 GMT, Eric Force <eforce@bellatlantic.net>
>wrote:
>
>>Howdy,
>>
>>I'm seeking individuals who would be interested in sharing the cost
>>of obtaining the following WTB (Wireless Telecommunications Bureau)
>>CD's from NTIS:
><Snip>
>>In exchange, I would make a copy of all CD's obtained for everyone
>>involved for only the cost of the Media (about $1.00 per CD) and the
>>shipping ($3.00 for Priority Mail [to US addresses only]).
>>
><Snip>

3921. Re: FS:Uniden BC760xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <19990603204810.18210.00000344@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 116404

Where are you ? I am in reno nevada I will take it as long as its working and not DOA>.

john

remove nospam to email

3922. WTB: Heath Home Sitter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: r1c1w@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603211221.27966.00000227@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 116405

Want to buy one or two Home Sitter house monitors that Heath made back in the early 90's. Must be in working condition. If you have one for sale please quote a price. Thanks.

Bob

3923. Re: Static buildup on mag-mount antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: lee585@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7j7e9std1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I've never had a known static problem and I've worked the world with
various mag mounts. The key to mobile installations is grounding. If
you achieve a "good" ground then all is well. If not, then you will
have problems with transmitting (and watch that computer in the car!!).
If you are just receiving then no problem and the car roof makes a
great groundplane.

In article <3756A4B7.637AF7ED@excite.com>,
Darren Mulligan <dmul3@excite.com> wrote:
> I will be going across the country and have chosen to use a magnet-
mount
> antenna. I am wondering if there will be a static build-up problem,
and
> if so, how to reduce it.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3924. Re: Need help looking for Mountain in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <375a2c7e.2291768@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116407

On Thu, 03 Jun 1999 23:20:41 GMT, mikrounit@hughes.net (KQ6MU) wrote:

>Hello,
>
>We need some help trying to find a good mountain top in California
>for the VHF QSO party coming up in about a week.
>We are looking for a good spot in Los Angeles County,
>San Bernadino County, Kern County, or
>Ventura County. If you could give some suggestions,
>we would greatly appreciate it!!!
>

I hope you don't come around where I live!!!

>Please send you suggestion to:
>
>mikrounit@hughes.net
>
>Thanks & 73,
>
>Mike Green KQ6MU
>DM04 Palmdale, CA

3925. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Lextor@earthlink.com
Message-ID: <37592bf9.2159022@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116408

On 3 Jun 1999 13:31:13 -0500, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
wrote:

>
>
>Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote in article
><j8r53.7573$4b.192297@news2.giganews.com>...
>> but if you're a crook
>>
>> credit card numbers
>>
>> calling card numbers
>>
>> or a thief
>>
>> know when your neighbors will not be home
>> or on vacation
>
>All sorts of "harmless" information can also be gathered which at the time
>seems insignificant but can all be pieced together to give the whole
>picture. People should be aware that such information as listed above
>broadcast in the nieghborhood could make someone a target of crime.
>
>That's why my cordless phone is a 900 MHZ digital spread-spectrum phone.
>It is completely secure as far as scanner listeners are concerned.

Your really sure about this? I know it must be right if its posted
here.

> ----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
>------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

3926. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j79al$rdi@sjx-ixn8.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116409
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In <cxF53.11650$AL5.48348@ndnws01.ne.mediaone.net> "mike regish"
<mregish@mediaone.net> writes:
>
>I'm curious. Why do you feel the need to know about accidents and
fires that
>are happening across town in the first place? In a world so full of
bad
>news, why do you want more?
>
>I don't even watch the news most nights.
>
>--
>mike regish
>PP-ASEL
>nospammregish@mediaone.net

Different Folks for Different Folks.

see the PENIS reference.

bill conduit
etc.

3927. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7j7a2k$kbl@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116410
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In <nLF53.2115$0c5.1428@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net> "Mac Feely"
<mfeely888@ameritech.net> writes:
>
>Sorry Donna, what you see, hear, and read in the media is not always
>accurate - hell, sometimes it's not close.
>
>We had a police stand-off with a kidnap victim very near my house 2
years
>ago and I happened to be at home where I could see the coverage and
listen
>to the police TAC freqs....

the MEDIA listen to scaners
at the ASSIGNMENT DESK too...
at least that is what they
tell me. But who listens.

that is the problem?

bill conduit
etc.

3928. Which is better BC8500 or PRO-2032 ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: sky444@mint.net
Message-ID: <37572c91.87913657@news.mint.net>
Source record: 116411

Any opinions on which scanner is better of the two

Bearcat BC 8500 xlt or

PRO-2032 Rad Shack
My HomePage:
http://members.mint.net/sky444/index.html

My EBay Page:
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/sky444/

3929. SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DUDES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: scape3000@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603220055.13726.00000328@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 116412

Are you looking for local information well you need to subscribe to socalscan

@ www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/socalscan

We are in LA, OC, SB, KERN, RIBERSIDE, SD, COUNTYS

3930. Re: restoring cellular freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: lee585@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7j7glq$1mp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116413

Yep, cellular is boring and will hold your attention for about 10
minutes. There are 4 main types of conversations. The first is kids
asking parents for money, then wives/husbands lieing to each other. I
heard a few drug exchanges once but that was years ago and haven't been
back since. It's not going to matter much longer anyway-you are
already missing the digital half of cellular and when they go voice
encryption then it all goes..... www.leeselect.com new radio retailer

In article <Pine.GSO.3.96.990531153027.13847A-100000@cluster2>,
dana <dana@randomc.com> wrote:
> looking for info on restoring cellular freqs on a unidon model
> bc 9000-xlt, if you have any info on how to get the
> freqs back, please e-mail me back, don't read the news group on a
daily
> basis.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3931. FS Pro 43 w/full coverage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: rhys@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <37573856.14669060@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FS: Pro-43 - full coverage. Works perfectly but would not win any beauty contests. Previous owner probably wore on his belt for some time.

By many folks lights, the best handheld scanner ever made. A pleasure to use with excellent coverage and sensitivity.

Price $175 + shpg

3932. FS: Pro 67 excellent cond

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: rhys@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3757394d.14916255@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FS: Pro 67 excellent condition. Top of the line, triple conversion,
newer model not modable for cell coverage.

Price $150 + shpg

Larry Wolken N3OJD

3933. 235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: porscheemb@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990603224532.20821.00000249@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 116416

WHER EIS THE DISCRIMINATOR??

3934. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: pascal@nycap.rr.com
Message-ID: <375748d9.6250561@news.nycap.rr.com>
Source record: 116417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 22:18:19 -0400, "Jeff Bishop, n8wb"
<n8wb@mediaone.net> wrote:

>I am one of the people that enjoy working cw. However, I have to agree that
>it is an outdated mode of communication. The military does not even use the
>mode any longer.
>The way I see it, either get rid of the requirement or limit the highest
>speed to around 10 wpm. Then make the written more technical. Get into
>circuit design. Don't just tell us what a diode does. Have the test reflect
>why it is in the circuit. I'm not saying have someone design a radio. After
>all, why would we build a radio when we can go down and buy an ADI rig for
>$150. But we do need to understand, for instance, why an antenna for one
>band is a particular length. Or what a compressor is used for in a SSB radio
>AND how to use it correctly. Or how about how to select the proper feedline
>for your antenna and why? These are things that are touched on but the test
>material does not really go into depth into why things are done the way that
>they are.
>If people think that cw is a way to keep out the yahoo's, go down to 80
>meters and listen around. It didn't work. I doubt that anything that the FCC
>would do to change the testing will keep poor operators off of the air. But
>if we are going to drop the cw requirement, we need to add something to the
>written portion of the test to take it's place. Perhaps even a higher
>percent pass requirement? 95%? Just a thought.
>
>Jeff, n8wb
>
>
I say replace cw with a requirement that the person know some form of
digital communication in some detail. cw is just another form of
digital comm, just slower than some others.
-JG

3935. Re: WSM Traffic Frequency in Nashville, TN?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: flyboy@airnet.net
Message-ID: <37574699.354618898@news2.airnet.net>
Source record: 116418

Try 450.650. Richard Thomas, who flies the plane and reports, works
for Metro Networks, so there may be some other frequencies he uses.
The 450.650 is the WSM air frequency.

Nick

On Fri, 04 Jun 1999 01:22:59 GMT, robtlloyd@my-deja.com wrote:

>Anyone know the freq uses by WSM for their traffic plane in Nashville,
>TN?
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3936. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: unclebob@ripco.com
Message-ID: <7j7irb$sn0$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 116419

C. Johnson (grneydblue@yahoo.com) wrote:
: I wouldn't suppose it would be impossible to do. If you're listening to
: someone go into their voice mail, you can hear the tones they use for their
: password. Once you're in, you can do anything you want. I'm not an expert,
: but that's how I think it would work.

I once heard a neighbor access his bank account, tones and all! Not too
bright on his part. He's lucky I was honest.

--
* *

Uncle Bob.............unclebob@ripco.com

3937. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: stuart@easyparts.com
Message-ID: <37574feb.19228849@news.webtronics.net.au>
Source record: 116420
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Simply record the tones and play them back down the phone at the
appropriate prompt - relatively easy - of course you need to know
which neighbor you have the password for... the same method can also
be used to access phone banking services - I have a keyring that has
the appropriate sequence on it to call MY voicemail, enter the login
password and playback any waiting messages, rather than entering it
all in on the phone one digit at a time... in much the same way my
mobile phone will dial either home or it's voicemail, wait for a
second or two, and then enter the sequence to access the mail,
automatically...

The contact manager software I use can send tones too - just hit a
button while holding the handset next to the speaker, and it dials out
for me... just makes life a little easier...
OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>C. Johnson wrote:
>>
>> I wouldn't suppose it would be impossible to do. If you're listening to
>> someone go into their voice mail, you can hear the tones they use for their
>> password. Once you're in, you can do anything you want. I'm not an expert,
>> but that's how I think it would work.
>>
>> EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:b6C53.1285$EU4.427@stones...
>> >
>> > Darren Mulligan <dmul3@excite.com> wrote in message
>> > news:3756A178.42598A4F@excite.com...
>> > > Their address is 2020@abc.com
>> > >
>> > > OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > I was just wondering if anybody seen the news program about cordless
>> > > > phones. They mentioned some guy with a scanner was getting info on
>> his
>> > > > neighbors and tapping into their voice mail boxes. No where did they
>> > > > mention the good that scanner people do. Very one sided. Very
>> > > > sensational type of newscast. What about Skywarn/Canwarn, helping
>> > > > police? What about listening to the Space Shuttle? Very porrly done.
>> > > > --
>> > > > REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>> > > > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>> > >
>> >

>> > typical bloody media, thick as poop !, since when can you "tap" into a
>> > voicemail system with a damm scanner ?. listen to it perhaps but
>> impossible
>> > to "use" it
>> >
>> > usual story
>> >
>> > gov, backed mis-information to get rid of scanners...
>> >
>> > **ck 'em
>> >
>> > eavsdropper
>> >
>> >
>SO YOU HEAR THE TONES. BUT YOU WOULD HAVE TO KNOW WHAT TONES.
>--
>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

3938. Re: SETI@home

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990604001436.24871.00000328@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 116421

>
>This has nothing to do with this NG. If you want to use your computer to
>chrunch numbers for someone else for nothing, go for it.
>

Ho-hum, a little touchy. Okay, so maybe this is not rec.radioastronomy.seti but
it is at least in the ballpark of radio monitoring... I've seen far less
topical posts in this room to get so uselessly ticked off like DickH here...
Mike

3939. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: mga707@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7j7ph7$485$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116422
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In article <Dxv53.6611$S4.33112@news.goodnet.com>,
jamoran@mail.goodnet.com (John Moran) wrote:
> A few years ago, i was having dinner and ther was an incident at LAX
> involving US-AIR and Skywest. naturally, i had my scanner with me like
I
> always do.
(snip)

"A few years ago..."! Try February 1, 1991! Since when is 8 1/2 years
ago "a few"?

Mike

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3940. Beacon Freq for ISS & Hubble Telescope

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb5fas@postoffice.swbell.net
Message-ID: <37577AB8.D96ED788@postoffice.swbell.net>
Source record: 116423

Anyone know what the beacon and/or telemetry frequencies are for the International Space Station and Hubble Telescope? Thanks

3941. Re: Activate your KILL Filter!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ices1185@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990604011201.04054.00000402@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 116424

why not?????

>
>don't respond to this message
>

3942. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <9B3B4FE3E367B552.BC0ADF9399AA26D3.2B20C2D4886C0354@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116425

I thought CB was dead except for a bunch of hicks in the boondocks and for blacks in the inner
cities yelling "cumon DX land, dx land, dx land"

> Ok, quote the REGULATIONS that CW is against! It is against lazy CB 'OPERATORS'
> regulations, huh?

3943. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <810CA4E508F7B38C.472DC8D8079508D0.FF6941D94DDEC424@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116426

Is this all you can do is give CB jokes?
I haven't touched a CB since 1976.
I am a HAM.
With your cornyness and general asshole nature, you belong on 3.95 USB if
you aren't there already.

> What will YOU put up, a COBRA 19?? Lol lol lol lol lol...

3944. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <23615838222B6F80.B2702C082D7126E0.15239AD47297D9D0@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I just thought of something that might get the coders to advance into the "modern" age.
We can give them some Commadore VIC20's and a 300baud modem to transmit over radio.
It will be just as effective as morse code but more modern and more useful. ;)

3945. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <37C0DD4FABA72CE0.95FAE690FF2EC9D8.C0A3068DCE0B2E3F@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

> Yeah, then the next thing that would happen is that the HAM bands would
> become a CB wasteland. Most CB'rs know enough to pass the tests, and when
> they do...The bands will be just like another CB band.

Bullcrap. Most CB users don't know the difference between their butt hairs and a dog.

3946. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <1E1CBBEA9B816B73.D9B8A3705B1AEE81.8DEE1AD156A9B403@library-proxy.airnews.net>
Source record: 116429

> >All the ITU says is that the
> >operator
> >has to show knowledge of CW. It doesn't say what speed. It doesn't
> >say how. It doesn't say different classes.

Cool! I have knowlege of CW!
I KNOW that CW is worthless, outdated and that a bunch of old arrogant farts still like to do it as picking up a mic is too easy and they like pain.

3947. Re: Req: Columbus OH freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc5dvr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990604013325.27184.00000352@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 116430

i should have thought of that, duh! thanks for the help, chris

3948. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990604020008.14079.00000360@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 116431

"." <@.> wrote:

>Is this all you can do is give CB jokes?
>I haven't touched a CB since 1976.
>I am a HAM.
>With your cornyness and general asshole nature, you belong on 3.95 USB =
>if
>you aren't there already.

Lol lol lol lol...Sure gets you, doesn't it? I have another question for you...Can YOU get on (and transmit) on 3.95 mhz? No? Thats what I thought...As for the CB JOKES...That was not a JOKE! It just seems like the only one's REALLY complaining about MORSE CODE, are CB dummies, and NO BRAINE...uh, I mean, NO CODERS that want SOMETHING ELSE given to them.. Can you honestly say that if you knew MORSE CODE very well, that you would be on this NG kicking and scratching about it? And your use of filthy metaphors, (NASTY WORDS), only makes you look WORSE...Oh by the way, there is no such word as, CORNYNESS...Lol lol....Joey

3949. Re: Television news program about cordless phones.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37576ba2.9033744@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 116432

John Medica - System Administrator <Jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:

:This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

Why? Can you fix it?

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

3950. Re: Television news program about cordless phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37576c2a.9168928@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 116433

John Medica - System Administrator <Jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org> wrote:

:
:--------------DDFDE050C278EF75E3601319
:Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
:Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Please don't post in HTML.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

3951. Re: Mac Multimode Program on PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: hwabnig@netway.at
Message-ID: <37597714.1072159@news.netway.at>
Source record: 116434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 03 Jun 1999 20:11:48 GMT, hwabnig@netway.at (Helmut Wabnig)
wrote:

>Download the demo version of the ARDI mac emulator from
>
>http://www.ardi.com/download.html
>
>then get the Multimode demo version from
>
>http://www.blackcatsystems.com/software/multimode.html
>

Some details:
Install the emulator first, all automatic.
Then run it and make a new folder.. file/newfolder name it eg.
Multimode.
then grab the downloaded multimode.hqx file and copy it
into that new folder
then start 'Stuffit' in the emulator which will expand the hqx file.
Thats all, folks. The program runs!

I think, the emulator needs the DOS soundcard drivers,
to check if they work use any DOS game, eg Commander Keen,
or other jump-and-run game.
With my SB Live there is no sound input to Multimode,
but I do not have the DOS drivers installed. Will try my old SB16.
ARDI says, the sound drivers in the emulator are rather basic.

W.

3952. Re: Need Help w/EMI From Uncooperative Neighbor's House

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: dyemiola@infoave.et
Message-ID: <7j7u9ngeq1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 116435

>thx1138" <look@life.org wrote:
>
>>My neighbor of which we share a common wall (duplex)
recently
>>purchased a "baby wipes heater". I am not joking.
>

You might want to have your neighbor check for a safety
recall on his unit.

In the "product recalls" section of the latest Consumer
Digest Reports yearly compendium, there is a recall listed
for one brand of these baby wipes heaters, evidently the
gadget overheats, melts its controller, and either catches
fire or becomes a electric shock hazard.

If you are interested, I can go to the library Monday and get
the specific brand and model info for you.

Dan Yemiola

3953. Looking for Satellite Phone Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb5fas@postoffice.swbell.net
Message-ID: <3757996F.6F916B5D@postoffice.swbell.net>
Source record: 116436

Had read that the Satellite Phone frequencies were in the this area:
Receive 1525-1559 - Transmit 1626.5-1660.5 - Anyone know of the a
breakdown of the "channels" if such exist as well as the location of the
Satellite that covers North America - Thanks

3954. Re: Mac Multimode Program on PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: hwabnig@netway.at
Message-ID: <3759978f.1890870@news.netway.at>
Source record: 116437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 04 Jun 1999 07:02:11 GMT, hwabnig@netway.at (Helmut Wabnig)
wrote:
Posted to rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.scanner
>
>>Download the demo version of the ARDI mac emulator from
>>
>>http://www.ardi.com/download.html
>>
>>then get the Multimode demo version from
>>
>>http://www.blackcatsystems.com/software/multimode.html
>>

Still trying....

Commander Keen works now, that means, DOS drivers are ok.
Installed Executor Win95/98 version and Executor Win31/DOS version,
both versions do not record sound with Multimode on my system.
Sound output from Multimode is ok, can hear the keyclick
when clicking the buttons, speaker sysbeep also works.
Multimode gives an error message:

"Unfortunately your Macintosh is lacking some essential
hardware or software and can't run Multimode properly
Does not support stereo
Transmit mode disabled"
When clicking the Apple menu, only the startup splash opens,
saying 'not registered'. No icon/info about system components.
Clicking on that window terminates the program with an error.

To sort out whether it's Executor or Multimode,
I would need a small MAC program for sound recording,
can you recommend one, with URL to download?
Or mail it to me.
Would like to test first before asking the ARDI people.

W.

3955. Aircraft HT FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David R. Ward" <wa1rd@home.com>
Message-ID: <kT%53.7929$mu5.3073@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 116189

I have for sale - a Narco Avionics NT-830 handheld NAV/COM transceiver. 720 COM channels from 118.000 to 135.975 MHz and a 200 channels NAV receiver covering 108 to 117.95 MHz. BNC antenna, nominal 2 watts out. 10 memories, direct entry keypad. 2 NiCad battery packs, 1 quick charge hand stand charger, 1 wall
charger and an excellent manual. This unit works very well and is very rugged. It has been on many flights so it shows some wear, but no tear. Nothing broken and I list the condition as "good." $225 shipped lower 48.

3956. High Pitch Whistle on BC 100XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark A. Kuehl" <mark@kuehl.com>
Message-ID: <c9063.30$Jh1.3303@typhoon2.gnilink.net>
Source record: 116190

I have a Bearcat BC100XL which works fine except that when ever it is broadcasting there is a high pitched screech in the background...loud enough to be annoying...does anyone know what might be causing this and how to have it fixed?

Thanks

3957. 235 DIS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: porscheemb@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990604225345.27187.00000613@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 116191

WILL ANYONE ACTUALLY TAP THE DISCRIMOINATOR FOR ME FOR THE BC235XLT/? I WILL
PAY THEM
THANKS e-mail me

3958. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990604230045.16926.00000631@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 116192

Wooka" <hass@aznet.net wrote:

>Morse code is just an abstract mode that can only be seen and heard.

It is true that it can only be seen and heard...But how else can VOICE communications be perceived?

> It
>cannot help you
>
>build a HF rig, act courteous on the air, or shows how serious a person is
>in getting into a
>
>particular hobby such as this.

Who said anything about BUILDING RIGS? That takes technical expertise that has NOTHING to do with MORSE CODE, OR VOICE..
But Morse Code most certainly DOES show if a person is SERIOUS about getting into the Amateur Radio hobby, because if he/she were not, would they put themselves through that kind of TORMENT? Lol...See? But I will agree with the last part of your statement, that it does not show if the person will be a good operator. But, if someone puts THAT much effort into it, (learning Morse), they are not as likely to do anything to cause them to lose what they worked so hard to get! Right? Does that make sense to you?....Joey

3959. Re: TV antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990605000553.24857.00000503@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 116193

i think it is im not sure

3960. Race frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: gobi99@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990605002020.05910.00000481@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 116194

Anyone know the race and track frequencies used at Colorado National Speedway?

3961. state police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7ja8lfola1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 116195

Where can I find additional frequency listings for the VA State Police?

Charlie

3962. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3758b0d7.13433078@news>
Source record: 116196
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 09:32:31 -0400, "Carl R. Stevenson"
<wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:

>DOS isn't really an "operating system" ... it's more like
>a non-reentrant interrrupt handling virus :-)
>
>(Of course Win 9x isn't *much* better)

Of course not - Win 9x is just a pretty wrapper around DOS. ;-)

3963. WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3758af0c.12974646@news>
Source record: 116197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A word of caution: If you value your credit card information, do NOT sign up for a subscription to Popular Communications magazine via the WWW signup form at https://pocono.railfan.com:443/popcomm/popsub.html. Even though they claim that the signup form is "secure", the data you submit is apparently SENT VIA UNSECURE EMAIL to the subscription address! If you were thinking of subscribing, I strongly suggest that you use another means.

3964. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3758b2e4.13958060@news>
Source record: 116198

On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 15:02:41 -0600, "Paul L." <merlet@eudoramail.com>
wrote:

>How about someone creating a Bill Cheeks NewsGroup.

Good idea. Suggest we call it alt.mackey.flames.die.die.die ;-)

3965. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <3758B922.286995A7@home.com>
Source record: 116199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Thats a big TEN - FOUR Good Buddy!

Thomas Jones wrote:
>
> >>How much you wanna bet that code will be gone in less than >>6months from
> the tests?
>
> Yeah, then the next thing that would happen is that the HAM bands would
> become a CB wasteland. Most CB'rs know enough to pass the tests, and when
> they do...The bands will be just like another CB band.
>
> Tom

3966. Re: Marine frequencies - Lake Erie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: RIEVES <figurethisoneout@nonet.com>
Message-ID: <01beaf7b$ce4020e0$54aac0d8@default>
Source record: 116200
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For a start go to the following links http://www.oakland.edu/boatnerd/
http://www.oakland.edu
--
PLEASE REPLY TO THE GROUP OR
rrieves01@sprynet.com

3967. Re: Help w/ uniden please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: nexus@ametro.net
Message-ID: <7jaf8k$cd4$1@shell.ametro.net>
Source record: 116201

Typecast@webtv.net hath said:
: hi i just got a used BC 9000 XLT with no manual or ac adapter.
: Programming it was easy enough but,
: does anyone happen to know the requirment for a radio shack replacement
: AC adapter? or perhaps what the uniden model number is and where i might
: find it?
: thanks for the help
:

You may find the information your looking for in the owners
manual.. Since you don't have one why not go to Uniden's
website and download an exact copy of the Owners Manual in
the Adobe Acrobat PDF format?

You can find it here:
http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/supportdetail.cfm?item=BC9000XLT

--
nexus@ametro.net, http://www.ametro.net/nexus
http://the.ml.org, Mississippi Lite Organization
us.undernet.org #mississippichat (nick BADjimmy)

3968. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990605021358.14907.00000932@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 116202

"." <@.> wrote:

>Well, fine then, if you want to make our lives a living hell, at least =
>make us go through
>hell for a purpose, not for useless morse code which is bunk crap that =
>no one except idiots
>who are hard up for entertainment enjoy.
>

If you HATE Morse Code as much as you seem to, why do you call it ENTERTAINMENT? Also it is not US that are MAKING YOU GO THROUGH anything! No one asked you to apply for a ham license...WE didn't make the rules, and we are not the ones keeping it the way it is. (Although it should stay that way). If you are a Ham operator, (No CODER), and you don't want to learn Morse, just continue talking on the local repeater, and stop complaining. Oh yes, your NAME CALLING only supports what I have been saying about the CB Heritage...Breaker Breaker...Joey

3969. Re: BP2500 Battery Pack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3758D354.D9D643C1@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yeah there is alot of that yellow stretchy glue. Carefully & slowly pry the case open until you can slip something to hold it open like a small screwdriver. Then use a knife carefully (!) to slowly slice through the stretched glue. As you cut more & more of the glue, the case will open up more.

I used some batt's from DigiKey p/n P235T, 2/3 AF, 600mah. Cheaper than shelling out to Uniden & fun too! Also converted another case to use AAA cells. You have probably seen that in the Emails here on the newsgroup.

But with the memory drain problem, I don't use the BC2500 much as you can't trust the battery pack if it has been sitting around for awhile.

Don
--
-
CAKE001 - CASF024 - CA6CYF - KHN3161
Location: N33d42m W117d59m UTC -7hrs
Grid Square: DM13AQ
Scanners: Air-8,AR2001,AR900,BC50,
BC101,BC142,BC20/20,BC2500,
BC9000,FRG9600,HP100,HX1000,
HX1500,Pro26,Pro2004/5/6,
Pro2045,Pro2046,R10FM,R532,
R7000,Scout 40,SX200N.
Software: Deltacomm v3.9, Probe v3.0,
ScanCat Gold-SE, ScanStar
Shortwave: DC777,DX160,DX394,ICF2010,
R70, R71A, R1000.
Antennas: 7 Random wires, 6 Discones,
5 Ground planes, 3 Mag-mts,
3 Coax loops, LA-1, Scanner
Beam,X-dipole,2 HF Helical.

3970. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Hamer <kenhamer@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3758AD53.B78@ibm.net>
Source record: 116204
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Donna L. Kregel wrote:
>
> I think you missed the point, Bryan -
>
> I agree completely with the other Stephen. Listening to police scanners for
> accident or emergency details is downright sick, in my estimation. I see
> no difference between your actions and those of the soulless video junkies
> that tape car accidents etc. Not only is it sick and of no use to those who
> need help, it's also an incredible violation of privacy for those hurt in
> the situation.

Do you read the details of accidents or emergencies in the newspaper, or watch them on TV? If so, what's the difference? If not, how does this make the scanner listener any different than the millions who do read or watch the news, other than the time factor?

Ken H

3971. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jao9l$4b0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116205
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

When you approached PopComm with this information, what was their reply to you?

Reminds me of the story that hit the California media this past week, where our State will be selling "intimate secrets" to all-comers.

If I remember correctly, PopComm subscriptions can be acquired with a simply postcard that's included in every copy - and they'll bill you.

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3972. Re: where are the MDT-related pages going?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3758D704.7873A2F2@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Supposedly MDT is only restricted when it is encrypted. Just because the signal is "digital" doesn't mean it is encrypted. Obviously one shouldn't repeat/post or whatever what they "hear".

I want to know why that Bozo back east posted 92 pages of his local PD MDT traffic. Like graffitti, the point or meaning is lost on everyone else not involved!

Don
--
-
CAKE001 - CASF024 - CA6CYF - KHN3161
Location: N33d42m W117d59m UTC -7hrs
Grid Square: DM13AQ
Scanners: Air-8,AR2001,AR900,BC50,
BC101,BC142,BC20/20,BC2500,
BC9000,FRG9600,HP100,HX1000,
HX1500,Pro26,Pro2004/5/6,
Pro2045,Pro2046,R10FM,R532,
R7000,Scout 40,SX200N.
Software: Deltacomm v3.9, Probe v3.0,
ScanCat Gold-SE, ScanStar
Shortwave: DC777,DX160,DX394,ICF2010,
R70, R71A, R1000.
Antennas: 7 Random wires, 6 Discones,
5 Ground planes, 3 Mag-mts,
3 Coax loops, LA-1, Scanner
Beam,X-dipole,2 HF Helical.

3973. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jaooh$4em$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116207

In article <7icstlsov1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
jammin5107@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> It seems eBay has removed all scanners with cell capabily.

If this is true: What a mature, gutsy, and responsible, business
decision eBay has made.

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

3974. keysfile.asc (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mshannon@fusionsites.com
Message-ID: <3758ceb1.93688526@news.istep.com>
Source record: 116208
Body handling: Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: keysfile.asc

```
[Inline uuencoded payload not displayed: keysfile.asc]
```

3975. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7janop$3f1@sjx-ixn10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116209
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In <3758AD53.B78@ibm.net> Ken Hamer <kenhamer@ibm.net> writes:
>
>Donna L. Kregel wrote:
>>
>> I think you missed the point, Bryan -
>>
>> I agree completely with the other Stephen. Listening to police
scanners for
>> accident or emergency details is downright sick, in my estimation.
I see
>> no difference between your actions and those of the soulless video
junkies
>> that tape car accidents etc. Not only is it sick and of no use to
those who
>> need help, it's also an incredible violation of privacy for those
hurt in
>> the situation.
>
>Do you read the details of accidents or emergencies in the newspaper,
or
>watch them on TV? If so, what's the difference? If not, how does
this
>make the scanner listener any different than the millions who do read
or
>watch the news, other than the time factor?
>
>Ken H

He's a 14 year old who
has difficulty with
things beyond under
his mother's skirt...
his residence.

bill conduit
etc.

3976. Re: morse code on police channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.96.990605045032.26618A-100000@u1.abs.net>
Source record: 116210

On 3 Jun 1999, Blake Bowers wrote:

> On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 00:06:32 -0400, Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
> wrote:
>
>
> >FCC Regs require licensed stations to IDent ever so often. In the Public
> >Safety relm it is once every 30 min or after each transmission. This
> >IDent can be either voice or by CW.
>
> Chuckle. You might want to check on the bit about after each
> transmission....
>
> That could get rough..
>
> 34 to SO, 28. Kic441
> So to 34, go ahead KIC441
> TN Tag, 2H9R01 KIC441
> 34, TN Tag 2H9R01 comes back on a 68 Chevy, to Elvis Presley, in
> Memphis KIC441
> Thank you SO, we are 10-8 KIC441

Well since you were the one to push the wrong button let me quote directly from FCC Regs part 90.425 Station Identification so we can clear this situation up once and for all:

90.425 Station Identification.

Stations licensed under this part shall transmit identification in accordance with the following provisions:

(a) IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE. Except as provided for in paragraph (d) of this section, each station or system shall be identified by the transmission of the assigned call sign during each transmission or exchange of transmissions, or once every 15 minutes (30 minutes in the Public Safety and Special Emergency Radio Services) during periods of continuous operation. The call sign shall be transmitted by voice in the English language or by International Morse Code in accordance with paragraph (b) of this section.

I do believe we do operate in the Public Safety and/or Special Emergency Radio Service.

It is also required by FCC Regs that each station have their own copy of FCC Part 90 Regs. So where is yours? Get it and read it sometime.

Tim Wright - NCIC/LINK Terminal Agency Coordinator
Morehead State University Police
Morehead, Ky
Serving the Public in Emergency Communications since 1982
Retired Volunteer FireFighter 20 Years of Active Duty
Amateur Radio Operator Call: KD4OVM

The opinions expressed by me here are mine unless other wise stated and
are not the opinions of my Employer nor co-workers

3977. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dougb@railfan.com
Message-ID: <3758ed50.345096171@news.visi.com>
Source record: 116211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 05 Jun 1999 05:07:43 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva Nataraja) wrote:

>
>A word of caution: If you value your credit card information, do NOT
>sign up for a subscription to Popular Communications magazine via the
>WWW signup form at https://pocono.railfan.com:443/popcomm/popsub.html.
>Even though they claim that the signup form is "secure", the data you
>submit is apparently SENT VIA UNSECURE EMAIL to the subscription
>address! If you were thinking of subscribing, I strongly suggest that
>you use another means.
>

I am the webmaster for popular-communications.com and I would like to respond to this gentleman's comments. First, as you can see from the URL,which begins with https, it is an address on a secure webserver. If you go to the order page, you'll notice that your web browser does display the lock symbol (Netscape) or the key symbol (IE) indicating a secure connection.

The gentleman who posted above is corrected that the store software e-mails your order, but the e-mail box is on the same physical server as the secure webserver. No one on the internet has access to that mail box. The mail never actually leaves the machine on which the secure webserver runs.

When there are orders in that mail box, they are dumped to a printer that is connected to the 'pocono' server and faxed to Hicksville for processing.

I hope this information is helpful.

Doug Bailey
webmaster@popular-communications.com

3978. Electra Tiger Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: GARR <garr@apexmail.com>
Message-ID: <eZWjbizr#GA.201@rpc1285.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 116212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

For those of us who have purchased the Tiger Scan has anyone found a way to put a belt clip on it?

Thanks

3979. Re: Electra Tiger Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: lf686@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990605071404.14077.00000997@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 116213

>For those of us who have purchased the Tiger Scan has anyone found a way to
>put a belt clip on it?

Well it would be pretty easy to buy one of the metal stick on units. They have an adhesive that holds forever, but I personally hate to "stick" anything on my radios. I found a thin nylon cell phone pouch at Meijers for $7.00 made by Samsonite. It fits pretty good and holds the unit securely. I would imagine you could find an appropriate sized "Pouch" at AES as well.

Regards .. Larry

3980. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: dougb@railfan.com
Message-ID: <375906c9.351618251@news.visi.com>
Source record: 116214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 05 Jun 1999 08:48:53 GMT, Clint Bradford
<clintbrad4d@earthlink.net> wrote:

>When you approached PopComm with this information, what was their reply
>to you?
>
No one approached me about this. Please see my reply to the original
poster.

Doug Bailey
webmaster@popular-communications.com

3981. Re: state police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: maria lemons <lemons.m@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7jb20p$4ej$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116215

Try this Chuck.
http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/procmenu?\0\FCC\SPECTRUM\SPECTR
UM\SPECTRUM
Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com> wrote in message
news:7ja8lfola1@autumn.news.rcn.net...
> Where can I find additional frequency listings for the VA State Police?
>
> Charlie
>
>

3982. FS: Magellan GPS 300 NIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <37590EAB.E927835A@bv.net>
Source record: 116216
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcguyver.vcf

I have a NIB Magellan GPS300 for sale, if you want it, I'm asking $110 shipped, let me know if interetsed

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

3983. Re: BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffl@dynasty.net
Message-ID: <06863.76$9J.1417@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 116217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <7ja2jf$3sk$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Rick Pemble"
<pemble@earthlink.net> wrote:
>What is the latest word on when the 245 will be available? Last I heard it
>was going to be shown at Dayton (was it?) and hit retail market in July.
>
>Anxious to get one.
>
>
>Rick
>
>AL <alan@netrox.net> wrote in message
>news:alan-0406991348320001@ppp-09.mia-tc-2.netrox.net...
>> I found a good price on pre-order for the bc245xlt. hamstation.com is
>> taking orders at $225 plus about $6 for shipping. Did anyone find a better
>> deal?
>>
>> --
>> Pleae REPLY via E-MAIL
>
>No it was NOT shown at DAYTOn,but as far as I know still around JULY 1st.

THE HAM STATION
>

3984. BC3000XLT can use AA batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990605081953.01949.00000674@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 116218

Convert your BC2500XLT or BC3000XLT battery case to use AA or AAA batteries.
See how at the below urls.

AA conversion:
http://members.aol.com/scanshack/2500aa.htm
AAA conversion:
http://members.aol.com/scanshack/2500bat.htm

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

3985. For Sale: Yeasu FT-51R handheld 2 Meter/440 transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jeffery A. Purtle" <jpurtle@home.com>
Message-ID: <375917F5.6DC4D7E@home.com>
Source record: 116219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.misc,relcom.radio.ham

```
For Sale:
Yeasu FT-51R
5 watt dual band handheld transceiver
receives 110-180 Mhz and 420-470 Mhz
transmits 140-174 Mhz and 420-470 Mhz
original box, manual, antenna, additional antenna, adapter, etc.
works fine, in good condition
Asking $300

Call or email Jeff Purtle, AC6IW:
jpurtle@home.com
(864) 292-3532 in Greenville, South Carolina USA
```

3986. Re: BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: an@x.net
Message-ID: <an-0506990840360001@ppp-23.mia-tc-2.netrox.net>
Source record: 116220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <alan-04069913483200001@ppp-09.mia-tc-2.netrox.net>,
alan@netrox.net wrote:

> I found a good price on pre-order for the bc245xlt. hamstation.com is
> taking orders at $225 plus about $6 for shipping. Did anyone find a better
> deal?
My error....the price was $230+ $6 shipping at hamstation. I spoke to them
via phone
>

--
remove the 1,2 & 3 from email address to reply via email

3987. For Sale: Kantronics KamPlus Packet Modem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jeffery A. Purtle" <jpurtle@home.com>
Message-ID: <37591F31.C36FFC53@home.com>
Source record: 116221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.misc,relcom.radio.ham

```
For Sale:
Kantronics KamPlus Packet Modem
Original Box and Manuals
Cables set-up for a Yeasu handlheld and a Yeasu FT-900 (hf transceiver)

Works great
Asking $300

Call or email Jeff Purtle, AC6IW:
jpurtle@home.com
(864) 292-3532 in Greenville, South Carolina USA
```

3988. Re: Electra Tiger Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shaun Whitman <lstrain@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <up4$dY1r#GA.386@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 116222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Electra is making a carrying case for it which will include a belt clip on
it.

Shaun Whitman
shaun@railfan.net
Central Jersey Rails
http://JerseyRails.Railfan.net

GARR wrote in message ...
>For those of us who have purchased the Tiger Scan has anyone found a way to
>put a belt clip on it?
>
>Thanks
>
>

3989. Re: morse code on police channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7jb93u$d3p$0@207.18.224.118>
Source record: 116223

On Fri, 4 Jun 1999 23:36:15 -0400, Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
wrote:

>> >FCC Regs require licensed stations to IDent ever so often. In the Public
>> >Safety relm it is once every 30 min or after each transmission. This
>> >IDent can be either voice or by CW.
>>
>> Chuckle. You might want to check on the bit about after each
>> transmission....

>Well since you were the one to push the wrong button let me quote directly
>from FCC Regs part 90.425 Station Identification so we can clear this
>situation up once and for all:
>

Yep, that clears it up. Thanks for seeing your error and agreeing
with us! Most people won't ever admit they are wrong.

3990. what freq does the nextels run on?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Thomas <cisstu01@admin.aurora.edu>
Message-ID: <3758d3bd@news.aurora.edu>
Source record: 116224

can someone tell me alittle bit more about nextell?
does the twoway portion operate in dif range of reqs then
then cellular or vice versa or is it almost like trunking?
and info relating to how they work freq range covered.
the radios are quite nice but the salesman dont know jack shit
about the area they cover freqs used and inportant things,
us radio guys like:)

3991. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37593659.636700@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 116225
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

tool <comicus@usa.net> wrote:

:
:--------------2F8ABEC32E99FEA82CB1719C
:Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
:Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
:
:You dumb stupid fuck!!!!!!
:You brain dead ignorant one inch penised mouth breathing bigot!!!!

Why? What has he done? Did he post to an aus. newsgroup from the
US, or did he use HTML in the newsgroup? In either case your annoyance
would be justified.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

3992. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37593663.646598@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 116226
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"harry" <vk2vkg@optusnet.com.au> wrote:

:This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

Why? Is your newsreader broken?

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

3993. Operation manual for the Opto 535

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOTNOV8 <notnov8@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <0Fa63.2337$F7.251152@typhoon-sf.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 116227

Does anyone have a copy of the operations manual for the Opto 535? Would appreciate the help.

notnov8@recyclermail.com

3994. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37593667.650982@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 116228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

thekit@san.rr.com (Bud (the original)) wrote:

:MAckey LOVES to lie, in fact it's a complusion with him. He KNOWs, from
:reading the newsgroups, that all the equipment that was seized, except for
:one computer, was returned shortly after the arrest. He also knows that
:there are NO State charges filed, and no evidence that there ever will be,
:as the Federal charges will probably be dropped before any trial, due to the
:law saying you CAN listen to any Law Enforcement or Government freqs that
:aren't scrambled, and digital encoding is NOT encryption.

It is impossible to make laws to determine what you can listen to.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

3995. digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Preferred Customer"
Message-ID: <g6b63.162$U51.10034@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 116229

when depts. go digital how can we monitor them anyone know.

3996. FS PRO-2021

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: pstringy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990605111633.13188.00001093@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 116230

200 channel Radio Shack scanner. 12v or 110 with mounting bracket and manual. Never used mobil and is in very good condition. Covers 29-512 Mhz. $85 shipped.

3997. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Bennett <trojanhorse@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <VnfiWCAFFUW3Ew07@virgin.net>
Source record: 116231
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

In article <37585937.CFF@ameritech.net>, OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnk
id@ameritech.net> writes
>Keith Bennett wrote:
>>
>> In article <CxV53.215$pl3.4739@newreader.ukcore.bt.net>, D
>> <pickards@SPAMBLOCKzen.co.uk> writes
>> >please let this thread DIE!!!.
>> >And why is it cross posted on soc.penpals???
>> >Enjoy whatever makes you happy!!!
>> >
>> Dunno! Why is it cross-posted to soc.culture.serbia as well?
>>
>> --
>> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
>> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
>> trojan.horse@virgin.net
>> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
>>
>> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
>Where in the world does it say that?
Up there! Just underneath where it says:
>>Enjoy whatever makes you happy!!!

--
From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
trojan.horse@virgin.net
[Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]

http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/

3998. pro-2004 backlight?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net>
Message-ID: <Ync63.111$5y3.277@news.flash.net>
Source record: 116232

need to find the backlight for my pro-2004...anyone know a source?
tnx john

3999. Wanted: freqs for a bicycle race in Philly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken <somebody@somewhere.com>
Message-ID: <7jbj6b$j51$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116233

I'm going to watch the US Professional Cycling Championship in Philadelphia
tomorrow. Does anyone know how to find out the frequencies that the
officials will be using?
--
Ken
overlyk@earthlink.net

4000. Re: Looking for Satellite Phone Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Doug Kaherl <dougk@home.com>
Message-ID: <3759560F.D336EA99@home.com>
Source record: 116234

http://members.home.net/dougk/sat.htm

There are the amateur sat. freq.

Doug K8DK

kb5fas@postoffice.swbell.net wrote:

> Had read that the Satellite Phone frequencies were in the this area:
> Receive 1525-1559 - Transmit 1626.5-1660.5 - Anyone know of the a
> breakdown of the "channels" if such exist as well as the location of the
> Satellite that covers North America - Thanks

--
Doug Kaherl
"Helping you take care of all your personal and business insurance needs."
Visit me at http://agent.allstate.com/62759
E-mail dougk@home.com or
E-mail dkaherl@juno.com

4001. Downl.PCR1000u.a.Prgr.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: shulten <shulten@rhein-zeitung.de>
Message-ID: <7jblcc$2js$1@news.rhein-zeitung.DE>
Source record: 116235

Hallo Freaks.
Habe meine Page updatet.Ev.findet Ihr einige Progr.
Viel Spa&#65533; Sigi shulten@rz-online.de http://besu.ch/hulti-brothers

4002. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: D <pickards@SPAMBLOCKzen.co.uk>
Message-ID: <n9d63.663$pl3.14198@newreader.ukcore.bt.net>
Source record: 116236
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I'LL SAY IT AGAIN
KILL THE THREAD
I'M ON THE SCANNING NG AND THIS IS PISSING ME OFF!!!

Bye!!!

Sorry for the caps

D
(obi...take you CAPS LOCK OFF)
Barry O'Grady wrote in message <37593663.646598@genenews.acay.com.au>...
>"harry" <vk2vkg@optusnet.com.au> wrote:
>
>:This is a multi-part message in MIME format.
>
>Why? Is your newsreader broken?
>
>Barry
>==========
>Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
>Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

4003. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7jbmojikm4@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 116237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

ï¿½
>
>> > What do Ham Radio and The Marines have to do with each other ?
>> > Lets talk bottom line...CW is a twit filter.
>
>From the looks of it, coders are the twits as they apparently have no =
>life, meaning
>that it's more of a twit holding pen.
>

It is not a filter or a holding pen, morse code is just what is says it is "The Code invented by S. Morse" as in Morse's Code! If Groucho Marx had invented it we would be argue about Marx Code!

And is it a filter? "NO" because stupid jerks and MENSA members can both learn code and pass the test!

What we need instead of Morse Code testing is an intelligence test, in other words Are you smart enough to use a Radio on the Ham bands using a little commen sense? If not no license! Come to think of it that wouldn't be such a bad idea for New Reader Programs either! Mmmm.........

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

4004. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7jbm0jikm3@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 116238
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

ï¿½
>
>tool <comicus@usa.net> wrote:
>
>:
>:--------------2F8ABEC32E99FEA82CB1719C
>:Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>:Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>:
>:You dumb stupid f**k!!!!!!
>:You brain dead ignorant one inch p****ed mouth breathing bigot!!!!
>
>Why? What has he done? Did he post to an aus. newsgroup from the
>US, or did he use HTML in the newsgroup? In either case your annoyance
>would be justified.
>
>Barry

No Barry he's just a 3 alarm jerk. Just another example of the old saying
around computer circles "Garbage in Garbage out!"

>==========
>Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
>Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

4005. Re: Static buildup on mag-mount antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7jbnbpikm5@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 116239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ï¿½
>
>I will be going across the country and have chosen to use a magnet-mount
>antenna. I am wondering if there will be a static build-up problem, and
>if so, how to reduce it.
>

-- The problem with "mag mounts" is they have no ground except what they get
with the magnet! An old ham (who is actually younger than I am) said run a
ground wire from the frame of the car to the pl-259 connector after it's
connected to the radio using a heater hose clamp to attach the wire. I have
used this method and it also has the added benefit of less noise and a better
receive.
"73"
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

4006. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Black" <black@blue.orb>
Message-ID: <01beaf7c$224f9940$LocalHost@none>
Source record: 116240
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Ralph Burnett <burnett@quiknet.com> wrote in article
<37585c8a.0@nntp.quiknet.com>...

> Record the tones -- call your pager -- play back the tones -- look at your
> pagers display. (The rocket science class is next week :-|)

Beautiful in its simplicity. [sniff]

BTW, that soc.penpals group is (was) still included in the list.

4007. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990605135852.09345.00000759@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 116241

jammin5107@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>> It seems eBay has removed all scanners with cell capabily.

Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net wrote:

>If this is true: What a mature, gutsy, and responsible, business
>decision eBay has made.

Bull! If it WAS made, It was an IMmature, NO guts, IRresponsible, STUPID
decision...

One more entity bowing to BIG BROTHER. Why should private businesses watch out for BIG BROTHER'S interests? Is this company, or any other, getting PAID to do this? I don't think so! I find it strange that all this crap about modifying scanners being so illegal, and yet, you can still find PLENTY of information on how to do it on AMATEUR RADIO PACKET BULLETIN BOARDS...If all this is SO ILLEGAL, why do AMATEUR'S, and their ORGANIZATIONS (including ARRL) publish this info? Some Americans are unique in that they seem to enjoy having their freedoms REMOVED. Well, listen up..They ARE being removed piecemeal...Enjoy!
... Joey

4008. Re: Help w/ uniden please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990605140633.09345.00000761@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 116242

nexus@ametro.net (The NeXus) wrote:

>You may find the information your looking for in the owners
>manual.. Since you don't have one why not go to Uniden's
>website and download an exact copy of the Owners Manual in
>the Adobe Acrobat PDF format?

If it is already on their Website, you may be in luck...But if you need any other technical assistance, and hope to get it through Email, DON'T HOLD YOUR BREATH! I wasted 4 Emails, and quite a bit of aggravation trying to get some help from them, and I never even got a REPLY! Good luck...Joey

4009. scanner buff in knoxville,tn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: troger1@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <37596D61.4DDCC67D@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 116243

To All,

I would like to get together with a scanner listener in the Knoxville,
TN area. To trade ideas and thoughts and freqs and info.

Thanx,
Tim Rogers

4010. Bearcat 210 Owner's Manual???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: evenen2ya@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990605152612.07144.00000964@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 116244

Does ANYONE know where I can download a text file of operating instructions for the Bearcat 210 scanner? Thank you.

Westernart@aol.com

4011. Antennas @ NASCAR race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <375970D2.9AEB10F0@analon.com>
Source record: 116245
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Whenever I go to a race at PIR (Phoenix International Raceway) nea Goodyear, I take several antennas. In addition to a flexible rubber duck that works good over most frequencies, I also take a high gain telescopic antenna that's good for bringing in the weak signals. In additon to the car frequencies, I monitor the TV production frequencies, Track control, security, and also the local Police and Sheriff frequencies.

track control and race operations usually are on the same 464mhz frequencies. The TV and radio operations usually are on 450 or 455mhz frequencies. The Sheriff uses 460mhz frequencies.

JOHN

After the race, I did not believe what I heard on an 870mhz frequency. Someone ws making airline reservations and gave their name, address, and credit card number right over the air. I will not give out any sensitive informaiton on a radiotelephone of any kind... I call back using a land line!

Jack O wrote:

> I recently attended a NASCAR race, and had trouble picking up one of
> the cars. My PRO64 scanner stopped on the frequency, and I could hear
> it very faintly, but it was kind of "scratchy", and sounded something
> like when you have a loose speaker wire. What could cause this?
>
> All of the other drivers came in well. I admit that NASCAR racing is
> not the best environment for scanners, with well over 50 radios
> broadcasting in a very small area, but I did pretty well except for
> that one car.
>
> Another question. Are those stubby racing antennas tuned to any
> particular frequency range? At the race, I tried no antenna, a
> rubber-duck, and even a paper clip. The paper clip won!
>
> Thanks,
> Jack
> --
> Please remove the "NOSPAM" when replying by e-mail.

--

| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com |
| The RIGHT computer for you at an affordable price |
| ---> Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry <--- |
| Unix :) 310-346-7404 vi..my favorite text editor |

```
| (: MS-Windows ):< | |
| Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
| if responding to a usenet msg, also e-mail also so I will check the grp
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

4012. Re: New Cell Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: JA Moran <john@analon.com>
Message-ID: <375970DB.6BA93CB7@analon.com>
Source record: 116246

check the box or the lit that came with the radiotelephone... I prefer to use
radio telephoime because that's what these things are....radios that make
phone
calls

PCS uses 1900mhz frequencies... cell 869-896 and 826-849mhz

JOHN

k9kz@indy.net wrote:

> I just got my first cell phone. It is digital. Anyone know if my phone can be
> heard on scanners and what frequency range? I didn't see any frequency info in
> the literature. Are there any precautions I can take so that I won't be heard
> on scanners? Thanks.
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

--

| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com |
| The RIGHT computer for you at an affordable price |
| ---> Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry <--- |
| Unix :) 310-346-7404 vi..my favorite text editor |
| (: MS-Windows):< | |
| Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
| if responding to a usenet msg, also e-mail also so I will check the grp
----

4013. Re: state police frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Van Reynolds <vanr1@home.com>
Message-ID: <Mif63.8483$5i7.5309@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 116247

Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com> wrote in message
news:7ja8lfola1@autumn.news.rcn.net...
> Where can I find additional frequency listings for the VA State Police?
>
> Charlie
>
Try the State listing on my page at http://members.home.net/vanr1/

Hope this helps,
Van

4014. FS: AOR 1000 and WTB: Icom R-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: J <j@jk.com>
Message-ID: <375980C7.EC1333E@jk.com>
Source record: 116248

I have a AOR 1000 for sale. Asking $150. The only problem is that the
actual frequency that it receives is 5 kHz above the displayed
frequency.
Also, would like to find an Icom R-1
73.
jlong@christendom.edu

4015. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jc3s1$fd6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116249

>>If this is true: What a mature, gutsy, and responsible, business
>>decision eBay has made.

> Bull!

Sorry to see that you disrespect the law so much. Where were you when
the ECPA was being drafted and discussed?

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4016. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jc413$ff9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3758ed50.345096171@news.visi.com>,
dougb@railfan.com (Doug Bailey) wrote:

> I hope this information is helpful.

Thanks, Doug, for your first-hand knowledge and reply.

I expect the original poster will quickly apologize for not knowing the facts of the matter and demeaning your service...

But I won't hold my breath.

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4017. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Crazy George <munsch@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <375988bc@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 116251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Barry & others:
I have been out of touch for almost a month and wondered what was happening on the Cheek case. But I must point out that your final statement is flat wrong. "They" can and do indeed make laws about what one can listen to, and then you have to spend your money to fight them through court and get them declared unconstitutional. Ain't democracy wonderful?

--
Crazy George

4018. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
Message-ID: <01beaf83$c0379fc0$51ca99ce@tmsevart.apexcorp.com>
Source record: 116252

Lethal Lextor <Lextor@earthlink.com> wrote in article
<37592bf9.2159022@enews.newsguy.com>...
> On 3 Jun 1999 13:31:13 -0500, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
> wrote:

> >All sorts of "harmless" information can also be gathered which at the
time
> >seems insignificant but can all be pieced together to give the whole
> >picture. People should be aware that such information as listed above
> >broadcast in the nieghborhood could make someone a target of crime.
> >
> >That's why my cordless phone is a 900 MHZ digital spread-spectrum phone.

> >It is completely secure as far as scanner listeners are concerned.
>
> Your really sure about this? I know it must be right if its posted
> here.
>

I'm not sure if you mean about the information that can be gathered or
about my cordless phone. As far as the information that can be gathered, I
think it goes without saying that the general public don't think of their
cordless phones as radios which are transmitting their conversation all
over the nieghborhood. Therefore, conversations can be quite candid.

As far as the security of my digital spread-spectrum phone, I am completely
convinced of its security as far as scanners are concerned. I have
listened to the signal on my scanners and it sounds like a steady stream of
static. The signal is also very weak, due to it being spread-spectrum,
that without the squelch almost all the way open my scanner will miss it.
The phone, however, has a range of about a couple hundered yards or so. So
as far as the common scanner user is concerned, the phone is 100% secure.
I wouldn't use it otherwise.

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

4019. Buying a brand new new scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <37599acc.12965581@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 116253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi,

Is it a good idea to buy a first run of a new model like a 245XLT?
Or should i wait after awhile because the later runs of the model may
have improvements and fix any bugs if any.

4020. Re: 235 DIS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37599379.72B3F377@hotmail.com>
Source record: 116254

Texas2Way did my 235XLT, and it works great! Their prices are pretty good to..

www.texas2way.com

PorscheEMB wrote:

> WILL ANYONE ACTUALLY TAP THE DISCRIMOINATOR FOR ME FOR THE BC235XLT/? I WILL
> PAY THEM
> THANKS e-mail me

4021. West Mifflin Pa. Police Ch6 ????????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: n3taw@aol.comAntiSpam
Message-ID: <19990605191756.04747.00000950@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Source record: 116255

West Mifflin Pa. police switch over to channel 6.
It is one that they are not Licensed for. I searched
the police band on my bc760 and my bc220 xlt,
but cannot locate them. May be someone in my
area knows what is going on and can help me.

PS I also talked to one of the officers and he tells
me it is just another freq. that can be picked up
by scanning.

Thanks

Mark (n3taw)

4022. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: csmolinski@erols.com
Message-ID: <csmolinski-ya02408000R0506992013250001@news.erols.com>
Source record: 116256

In article <7jc3s1$fd6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Clint Bradford
<clintbrad4d@earthlink.net> wrote:

> >>If this is true: What a mature, gutsy, and responsible, business
> >>decision eBay has made.
>
> > Bull!
>
> Sorry to see that you disrespect the law so much. Where were you when
> the ECPA was being drafted and discussed?

Not where it mattered. Unfortately he, like the rest of us, was not one of the 535 members of congress wined, dined, and bribed by the cellular phone lobby.

Chris

--
Check out Black Cat Systems for radio (pirate, spy numbers) and Mac software. http://www.blackcatsystems.com

The Numbers Racket - a CD-ROM of Spy Numbers stations, info available at http://www.blackcatsystems.com/numbers

4023. FS: Reduced Motorola Astro DVP-XL Secure Module

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tech 55 <ST1A55@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OrGOXJ7r#GA.317@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 116257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Motorola Astro DVP-XL Secure Module
Model NTN 1147B $350.00

For more Info and pictures visit: http://www.channel21.com/radio/tech55/

E-mail At: ST1A55@msn.com
Thanks !!! Rich
```

4024. FS: Reduced Motorola Astro Saber DES-XL Secure Module

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tech 55 <ST1A55@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uSMO8J7r#GA.202@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 116258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Motorola Astro DES-XL Secure Module
Model NTN 1153D $350.00 + Shipping

For more Info and pictures visit: http://www.channel21.com/radio/tech55/

E-mail At: ST1A55@msn.com
Thanks !!! Rich
```

4025. Re: FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Hatfield <tedh@ccms.net>
Message-ID: <3759BE62.5B58E0B8@ccms.net>
Source record: 116259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,atl.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

NOTE TO ALL SCANNERS USERS
FEEL FREE TO DISREGARD ALL OR ANY OF THIS IT YOU NEWS GROUP TO ASK WHAT
YOU WANT TO

"Rich Wells, N2MCA" wrote:

> To All New Scanner Users,
> If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
> a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
> asked on the scanner newsgroups.
>
> Topics include :
> Look Before You Post
> Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
> Picking A Scanner/Receiver
> Scanner Glossary
> Scanner Model FAQ
> Scanner Dealers FAQ
> Frequently Asked Frequencies
> FCC Frequency Databases
> CTCSS & DCS
> What's Illegal
> Cellular-capable Scanners
> Trunked Radio Systems
> Co-ax Cable
> Receiver Range
> Your Scanner On A Plane
> Frequency Counters
> Magazines & Newsletters
> Rechargeable Battery Tips
> Radio Discussion Lists
> Radio Modifications
> Radio Shack On-line Manuals
> Uniden On-line Manuals
> AOR On-line Manuals
> Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
> Used Scanner Price Guide
> Radio Monitoring Software
> Receivers Covering Military Aero
> Computer Control
> *Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
> *Do I Need An Amplifier?
> My Web Page
> Courtesy

>
> *=Recently added topics
>
> To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm
>
> Happy reading!
>
> Strong Signals,
> Rich Wells N2MCA
> http://www.strongsignals.net/

4026. Looking for Idaho Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Ang <boxcars98@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <28j63.19$Et6.11095@newsgate.direct.ca>
Source record: 116260

I'll be spending some vacation time in the Salmon, Idaho area next week.
Does anyone have some frequency info for local law enforcement, Salmon PD,
Lemhi County Sheriff, Idaho State Police, etc. Local
fire/highways/conservation/forestry would be great,too.

Thanks alot.

Paul
boxcars98@yahoo.com

4027. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <CFED5224D954B71F.8309F962A200B05E.3C9088273045D535@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

> If not no license! Come to think of it that wouldn't be such a
> bad idea for New Reader Programs either! Mmmm.........

Hey yeah, then all newsreaders could do is block all morse coders.

4028. Re: BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: joker535 <joker535@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7jcg2m$es6$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 116262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

national electronics is taking pre-orders at $209.95 + $9.00 s/h.

sales@national-electronics.com

4029. Re: SETI@home

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: john drake <drox@jps.net>
Message-ID: <3759D1B9.74557FA9@jps.net>
Source record: 116263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

LaLaS wrote:

> Can any one explain to me what it is ,and if it's worth to download it
> Here is small description of the program.
>
> The search for EXTRATERRESTRIAL INTELLIGENCE
>
> SETI@home actually consists of two parts: an "application"
> and a "screensaver". The application does all the work: it
> downloads blocks of data from through the Internet, performs
> the number crunching on that data (looking for orderly
> patterns that might be artificial), returns the results, and
> gets another block of data. The application is represented
> by a green radio telescope icon in the System Tray (normally
> at the lower right of your screen). You can open the appli-
> cation window by double-clicking on this icon.

SETI is Search for ExtraTerrestrial Intelligence. They have been
searching
the radio spectrum for signals from outer space which might indicate
intelligent
life elsewhere in the universe. They have been doing this since the late
'50s I
believe. I think the movie 'contact' was based on them. By downloading
the program you help them analyze their data on your pc when your are not
using it.
Neat screen saver shows 3d graph of program running. check it out.

4030. tricks for the pro 90?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: JP <brontebear@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3759d417@diana.idirect.com>
Source record: 116264

--
Brontebear@yahoo.com

does anyone know any key tricks for the radio shack pro 90 300 channel portable trunk tracker???

please email thanks

4031. FS: Icom R10 (Unblocked)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin <Kevin4321@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <mOk63.9195$S4.40954@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 116265

New in box.
RX .5-1300 no holes!
Comes with Nicads, charger, beltclip, and hand strap.
$400 firm

Kev-

4032. FA: RS Pro2037 800Mhz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <3759E01D.348ED010@intsvc.com>
Source record: 116266
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=114103995

Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com> ICQ # 19943355
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
Listing of all incident paging groups http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

The views expressed here are my own and not that of Incident Command
Page unless otherwise stated.

4033. Re: morse code on police channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.3.96.990605230345.10445A-100000@u1.abs.net>
Source record: 116267

On 5 Jun 1999, Blake Bowers wrote:

> On Fri, 4 Jun 1999 23:36:15 -0400, Tim Wright TAC <twright@u1.abs.net>
> wrote:
>
>
> >> >FCC Regs require licensed stations to IDent ever so often. In the Public
> >> >Safety relm it is once every 30 min or after each transmission. This
> >> >IDent can be either voice or by CW.
> >>
> >> Chuckle. You might want to check on the bit about after each
> >> transmission....
>
> >Well since you were the one to push the wrong button let me quote directly
> >from FCC Regs part 90.425 Station Identification so we can clear this
> >situation up once and for all:
> >
>
> Yep, that clears it up. Thanks for seeing your error and agreeing
> with us! Most people won't ever admit they are wrong.
>

Well if I'm wrong Ok.....I just didn't say enough in the first post.
so I'm not really wrong just didn't supply 100% of the info required to be
clear on the topic My Bad.

NEXT TOPIC......

How many scanners is too many for one person to own?
Next time on Jerry Springer

Tim Wright - NCIC/LINK Terminal Agency Coordinator
Morehead State University Police
Morehead, Ky
Serving the Public in Emergency Communications since 1982
Retired Volunteer FireFighter 20 Years of Active Duty
Amateur Radio Operator Call: KD4OVM

The opinions expressed by me here are mine unless other wise stated and
are not the opinions of my Employer nor co-workers

4034. Re: Help w/ uniden please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <375A0E22.DFF0E658@intsvc.com>
Source record: 116268

Uniden should have the listing available on thier website or you can look on the back of the scanner where the adapter plugs in. there should be a voltage rating probably 12V and a polarity indicator showing weather the tip needs to be a positive or negative.

Typecast@webtv.net wrote:

> hi i just got a used BC 9000 XLT with no manual or ac adapter.
> Programming it was easy enough but,
> does anyone happen to know the requirment for a radio shack replacement
> AC adapter? or perhaps what the uniden model number is and where i might
> find it?
> thanks for the help

--

Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com> ICQ # 19943355
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
Listing of all incident paging groups http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

The views expressed here are my own and not that of Incident Command Page unless otherwise stated.

4035. SOUTHLAKE,TX EMS CODES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bonchakrs@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990604202911.00640.00000783@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 116334

Have 10-codes and signals available for anyone who wants them. Send E-Mail.

4036. Decode digital with modem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: tang4@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7ja2kqtvo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116335

Is it possible or feasible to use a computer modem
for digital/analog conversion for scanner
listening?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4037. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <375a64df.21369122@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 116336
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

j.k.@gotmail.com (Jan Knutar) wrote:

>On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 22:24:06 GMT, glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen
>Quarnstrom) wrote:
>
>>j.k.@gotmail.com (Jan Knutar) wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 31 May 1999 04:04:11 GMT, glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen
>>>Quarnstrom) wrote:
>>>>"Hertz" means "cycles per second." Other than that, the only difference
>>>
>>>No, 1 Hz = 1 1/s. Hertz means "one per second" or just per second.
>>
>>You are incorrect, or else your explanation is too muddled to
>>understand.
>>
>>What I wrote is the correct definition.
>
> 1
>1 Hz = --------------
> s
>
>-JK

Whatever. Nevertheless, what I wrote is the correct definition, and is
far easier for the average person to understand than any mathematical
representation.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

4038. AR8200 Software ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck Bird <bird@whouse.com>
Message-ID: <7ja1db$ql7$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 116337

Hi,

I just got my 8200 with interface. I downloaded AOR software latest version but is not very good software.

Any suggestions for other software ?

I found Radiomanager, ARC8200, scancat but did not have time to give them a good review myself.

I'm looking for good software to setup/organize the 1000 channels and maybe some remote scan control but setup is important because 8200 will be in my car most of the time.

Hopefully some of you already have an opinion about these packages or other suggestions?

Tnx,

Chuck, CA

4039. Re: F.S. Pro-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: scumortz@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990606034815.05915.00000889@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 116089

ok i want it ,how and when?

4040. Re: ABC News/Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: NOmikexSPAM@swbell#.net
Message-ID: <3yq63.888$1Z6.126651@typhoon01.swbell.net>
Source record: 116090
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

George W. White wrote:
>
> One thing I can't stand is misrepresentation of facts.
> That ABC News story coupled with the news stories of that
> idiot in NY posting MDT data on his website sure make things look bad
> for scanner
> owners. I have yet to see a good story in which a scanner owner was
> portrayed in a good light.
> Back before all the crap with cellphones and all, I remember something
> that
> happened to me back in 1984......walking around town in broad daylight
> with my scanner.
>
> I had a NJ police officer tell me he was going to "confiscate my
> scanner".
> I politely informed him he couldn't do it and not be sued.
> His attitude was less than professional.
>
> I then politely informed him, that since his department's communications
> were not encrypted
> and that they were a public agency, put in place by the public, to serve
> the public, and the fact
> I was not using the scanner in the commission of a crime or felony, that
> siezure of my radio
> would then be considered theft since it would have been taken without my
> permission.
> Then I told him, he personally and his department would be facing a
> lawsuit for defamation of
> character and harrasment. I then directed him to look up the
> Communications Act of 1934.
> He made some a remark he could take the radio and "investigate to see if
> it was stolen."
> After producing a recipt, I told him look, either arrest me or leave me
> alone, make your choice.
> He handed me back my radio and said "keep out of trouble."
> I said back, are you implying that I get IN trouble? He looked at his
> partner, and I said, WELL?!?!?!
> I turned around and walked away, but not before overhearing:
> "That guy must be a fucking lawyer or something...."
> I must say that was the only bad experience I had with police.
> Now, some 16 years later, that cop and I are very good friends.
> The majority of cops I've met over the years in various states I've
> lived in have been polite

> courteous, and some have even given me a few good frequencies.
>
> My scanning hobby has lead me to pursue taking a CPR and basic first aid
> class (both of which
> I passed last week) and I am currently looking into becoming an EMT.
> If there are any EMT's that read this newsgroup, how difficult is it to
> become one??
>
> --Deeply Shrouded And Quiet

I have to agree with the "low life" portraial of scanner owners. I think the NEWS sharks "play-up" to the GOV'T & FCC's desire to squelch ALL receivers NOT restricted as THEY think they should be. The gov't LIKES absoulte control. I like to know where the cops are! (you'll know where the best donut shops are!!) NO, seriously, you do have the right to listen to ANY frequency unless the gov't forgot about the FCC COMMUNICATIONS ACT of 1934 ! I know dozens of "upstanding" people monitoring with scanners who HELP POLICE and FRIE DEPARTMENTS!

Some years ago, I monitored a shooting at a salvage yard, was in my car and knew the area, the sheriff and deputies. They were short of "lookouts" to watch the approx. 10 roads and rail-road tracks leaving the area. I offered to help and was promptly deputized AND given a small 38, since the suspect had shot the yard owner and was considered "armed & dangerous"! It turned out there were 2 guys doing the shooting and one we helped "nab" that night. (the canine dogs were with us too!)

I used to help the cops QUITE often, since they don't know the "ins and outs" of alot of areas. I was 16 - 18 yrs old then. When with the fire department (volunteer), scanners in vehicles and at home were the ONLY way to get the fire fighters to the scene at that time! And the costs of the scanners and accessories were proudly borne by the owners!!

I was in the FD for another 10 years (about 1985) and scanners were STILL
preferred by alot or personnel over the Plectrons, Federal & Motorola pagers.

As with anything, there will be a few XXXX types that abuse the right, so lets go after THEM with "gusto", instead of blaming the "general public" and making ALL suffer!!

Mike Meers
mikex@swbell.net

--

(Please remove NO, SPAM and # from my email addr to reply)

4041. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <fHq63.852$_U4.27532@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 116091

Clint Bradford wrote in message <7jc3s1$fd6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>
>>>If this is true: What a mature, gutsy, and responsible, business
>>>decision eBay has made.
>
>> Bull!
>
>Sorry to see that you disrespect the law so much. Where were you when
>the ECPA was being drafted and discussed?
>
> - Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details

If you would pay attention to the details of how ECPA was passed into law, and how its successor TDDRA was also passed, and how scanner hobbyists have been consitently railroaded by congresspersons who are bought and paid for by cellular industry campaign contributions, and how the cellular industry promised Congress that they would soon provide encryption for their customers (a lie - they haven't done it yet and it's been 13 years), you wouldn't make the above statement or ask the above question.

Citizens had no say in the law. And yes, we do disrespect laws that violate our rights to equal representation. The Constitution guarantees that (the 14th Amendment) and it is supposed to be the supreme law of the land (Article VI). ECPA was not passed in a Constitutional manner, it is unenforceable, and deserves disrespect.

73 de Dave, NF2G
A.S.C.J.

4042. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: RickHit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <375a3a50.2251554@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 02 Jun 1999 23:46:21 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Gene Floyd wrote:
>>
>> Is this besides the fact that knowledge of CW is required by international treaty for
>> access to certain frequencies? Or are you suggesting that the government simply ignore
>> the treaties and make it's own rules?
>
>Well what is so new with this one. You mean goverments actually obey
>international law? Good one
>
>
>
>
>>
>> Spot wrote:
>>
>> > > I see no need to know CW. I think all the old coders are just scared
>> > > that if they drop the code the will come out with a more diffcult exam
>> > > for HF that you guys can't pass.
>> >
>> > The problem is, is the old HAMS think "Well, we had to go through hell to
>> > become a HAM, so should everyone else".
>> > This sums up why alot of people aren't becoming HAMS as indeed alot of requirements
>> > are very outdated.

Another nice thing about CW is that you can under stand what the
Radio men were saying when you watch the movie "TITANIC".

Remember, use the fork, always.

73 Obi Wan Rick KC2ESD

4043. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <NLr63.107$jm.210@news.flash.net>
Source record: 116093

In article <fHq63.852$_U4.27532@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>, dave@nf2g.com says...

>If you would pay attention to the details of how ECPA was passed into law,
>and how its successor TDDRA was also passed, and how scanner hobbyists have
>been consitently railroaded by congresspersons who are bought and paid for
>by cellular industry campaign contributions, and how the cellular industry
>promised Congress that they would soon provide encryption for their
>customers (a lie - they haven't done it yet and it's been 13 years), you
>wouldn't make the above statement or ask the above question.
>
>Citizens had no say in the law. And yes, we do disrespect laws that violate
>our rights to equal representation. The Constitution guarantees that (the
>14th Amendment) and it is supposed to be the supreme law of the land
>(Article VI). ECPA was not passed in a Constitutional manner, it is
>unenforceable, and deserves disrespect.
>
>73 de Dave, NF2G
>A.S.C.J.
>

I couldn't agree more!!!

If people were really conserned about having their cell phone calls private,
then why in the hell do people talk on their phones in public?!?

It ticks me off everytime in a restraunt when the persons phone next to you
rings and they spend the next 30 minutes talking on it. Come on, if he really
were conserned with having a private conversation he wouldn't be talking in
public! What next, do we have to turn our ears off everytime we see a person
talking on a cell phone??? You wait, that'll be next!

I've written an editorial on eBay and cell phones on RadiosOnLine,
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios Scroll to the bottom of the editorials.

RadiosOnLine <-- The only radio website with a copy of the Constitution,
please read it before King Klinton bans it too!

http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios
-

_____
Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
_____

4044. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rudi Mulder <mulder36@xs4all.nl>
Message-ID: <7jdi49$1t7$1@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 116094
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

M.J.Powell heeft geschreven in bericht ...
>In article <7j8mo8$473$4@news1.xs4all.nl>, Rudi Mulder
><mulder36@xs4all.nl> writes
>>
>>>>
>>>> think everything originated from Holland LOL
>>>> >--
>>>> >M.J.Powell.
>>>I beg to differ
>>
>>
>>
>>well begging is allowed :)
>>to differ in this, is to deny the truth
>>can't have that now can we :)
>>
>>i know it hurts a bit the truth but we all be soon a costumed to this LOL
>>
>>
>>RM
>
>A short note to say that I didn't write the above.
>
>Mike
>--
>M.J.Powell.

i know Mike

obi wrote beg to differ
sorry for confusion

greets RM

4045. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <2D3149C6F3D68543.98028293570E1F85.050BBC974BAAECE9@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Another nice thing about CW is that you can under stand what the
> Radio men were saying when you watch the movie "TITANIC".

Oh well now that little tidbit makes it all worth while, huh?

4046. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: lpdavies@bc.seflin.org
Message-ID: <7jdmcf$ev2@nntp.seflin.org>
Source record: 116096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

When I was 14 - 16, I had to
spend 5 hrs a day on subways
and buses commuting to the
Bronx (NYC) for H.S. As I
studied / dozed, I was fully
convinced that every motorman
and driver was a ham... The
air-brakes were constantly
singing CW. (As were the steam
pipes at home, the passing auto
horns, sparrows, etc. It was a
grand time!)

GL
--
Paul W2SYF/4 Ft Lauderdale
"Heisenberg may have slept here... "
Leslie Paul Davies
lpdavies@bc.seflin.org

4047. Asbury Pk fire freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: redm1video@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990606093317.09787.00000045@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 116097

Looking for the correct freq and pl tone for Asbury Park Fire Dept.

4048. Re: FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rik Rasmussen <rik@vnet.net>
Message-ID: <yTu63.216$jF.76616@ralph.vnet.net>
Source record: 116098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,atl.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

Or, prove you are an idiot and ignore a great source for information.

If you do choose to post to the newsgroup be sure to type in ALL CAPS, spell incorrectly, and use poor grammar so we have the challenge of deciphering your message. Oh, one more thing, always cross post to many news groups, this way more people will be exposed to your intelligence.

> NOTE TO ALL SCANNERS USERS
> FEEL FREE TO DISREGARD ALL OR ANY OF THIS IT YOU NEWS GROUP TO ASK WHAT
> YOU WANT TO
>
> "Rich Wells, N2MCA" wrote:
>
> > To All New Scanner Users,
> > If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
> > a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
> > asked on the scanner newsgroups.

Rik Rasmussen
WA4BAN

4049. Bearcat 760 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ifex <efix@bart.nl>
Message-ID: <7jdtv5$63m$1@freyja.bart.nl>
Source record: 116099

My european Bearcat 760 XLT starts at 66 Mhz. The US-model strarts at 29 Mhz. Does anubody knows how to restore these frequencies?

4050. Talladega County, Ala.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daines Plantagenet Hornsby <plantagenet@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7je1iuvfh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116100

A June 6th article in the Talladega Daily Home stated:

"Talladega County Association of Volunteer Fire Departments is not happy because the Emergency Management Agency has only provided 50 percent of VFD members with the digital, state-of-the-art 800 MHz radios that other emergency responders have been given to use."

"On May 25, the Talladega and Sylacauga police departments gave up primary use of the radios,which were funded through the Chemical Stockpile Emergency Preparedness Program. That leaves the VFD Association members wondering where the radios are and why they can't use them."

What's going on here? Is Talladega county converting to a digital system for police and fire?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4051. Re: Tips dealing with police....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <%nv63.98$tr.723@nntp1>
Source record: 116101

Found nothing at that URL.

Paul

<nl5y4yu1@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:7jcgog$ip1$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> THere is a great website I found with tips on how to deal with police
> and traffic tickets.... those of you who like scanners may find it
> helpful...
>
> go to: http://hoemtown.aol.com/firecraftr
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4052. Re: Tips dealing with police....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: JuTravler <?@no.net>
Message-ID: <7je08gqjc1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116102

He misspelled it. Try : http://hometown.aol.com/firecraftr

Paul <pwolsko@nac.net> wrote in message...
> Found nothing at that URL.
>
> Paul
>
>
> <nl5y4yu1@my-deja.com> wrote in message...
> > THere is a great website I found with tips on how to deal with police
> > and traffic tickets.... those of you who like scanners may find it
> > helpful...
> >
> > go to: http://hoemtown.aol.com/firecraftr
> >
> >
>

4053. Re: Tips dealing with police....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7je2g2fmo0@207.18.224.68>
Source record: 116103

On Sun, 06 Jun 1999 00:52:34 GMT, nl5y4yu1@my-deja.com wrote:

More mindless drivel, trying to sell cheap books on how to get
away with crap.

Sheesh!
>THere is a great website I found with tips on how to deal with police
>and traffic tickets.... those of you who like scanners may find it
>helpful...
>
>go to: http://hoemtown.aol.com/firecraftr
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4054. Atlanta Traffic Copter Freqs. Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: George Joslyn <grj2@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <375A8A36.729AB08F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 116104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone have the Freq. for WGST/Keith Kalland's Traffic Helicopter
in Atlanta?
An old frequency I had no longer works, and I'm looking for the
replacement--thanks!!

George Joslyn

4055. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: j1aguiar@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990606112144.01114.00001739@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 116105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
>Subject: Re: Another nice thing about CW.
>From: lpdavies@bc.seflin.org (Leslie Paul Davies)
>Date: 6/6/99 7:34 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <7jdmcf$ev2@nntp.seflin.org>
>
> When I was 14 - 16, I had to
> spend 5 hrs a day on subways
> and buses commuting to the
> Bronx (NYC) for H.S. As I
> studied / dozed, I was fully
> convinced that every motorman
> and driver was a ham... The
> air-brakes were constantly
> singing CW. (As were the steam
> pipes at home, the passing auto
> horns, sparrows, etc. It was a
> grand time!)
>
>GL
>--
>Paul W2SYF/4 Ft Lauderdale
>"Heisenberg may have slept here... "
>Leslie Paul Davies
>lpdavies@bc.seflin.org
>

Watch it Paul, your leaving your self wide open.I know what you mean about
sounds that are actually almost sending some kind of message.However I would
not be willing to tell anyone that story for obvious reasons. HI J1
```

4056. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7je7j0$188$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116106

In article <fHq63.852$_U4.27532@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>,
"D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

>> Citizens had no say in the law.

You are an ineffective citizen if you truly believe that.

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4057. Re: Odd digital signal - any ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan T. Faricy" <ryan@faricy.net>
Message-ID: <375A98B6.666C715@faricy.net>
Source record: 116107

You clearly missed what he said in his post, Spunky.

Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:

> There are very many digital signals out there and there are very many digital formats
> also.
> With so many formats and so many signals, and so many forms of encryption and so many
> encryption keys, it is impossible to keep up with what is what and who is sending it
unless
> you have a schedule or can compare the signal to a known signal.
> You can sit down and program a proprietary signal format also, thus adding to the unknown
signals
> out there.

4058. Re: cell trick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan T. Faricy" <ryan@faricy.net>
Message-ID: <375A99A1.12E80B56@faricy.net>
Source record: 116108

What is a cell repeater? All cellular telephone calls are made through full-duplex repeaters.

"Al, N2NKB" wrote:

> What is a cell repeater? My take is that if you hear a signal between
> 869 & 894 MHz, you are hearing the signal directly.
> If you hear cellular calls between 896 and 915 MHz your receiver has a
> sloppy front end, as opposed to a triple conversion.

4059. Re: FS ICOM R-2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Joel M. Rose" <joelr@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <375A9C13.F9C490AB@gwis.com>
Source record: 116109

is this unit unblocked? where are you located?

Kc8ewq wrote:

> I got it as a gift and I already have one. I never took the radio out of the
> box.
> The radio also comes with a brand new Diamond srh-519 sma antenna which
> costs $35 and a Maha MH-C204F rapid charger for AAA or AA nicads/nmhd
> batterys. The cost of this plus 4 nmhd AA cells was $30. I am asking $200
> for the whole package. Remember this radio is brand new and was never used.
> I will pay shipping, if interested please email me.
>
> Thanks
>
> Tony

--

thoughts to live by......

"Our country has killed far more children in Kosovo than Colorado"

joelr/n8jr brecksville,OH
mailto:joelr@gwis.com
The Briarhop Corners Page:http://w3.gwis.com/~joelr/gear.htm
... -.-

4060. Re: FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <375A9E7B.3485611F@INVALID.com>
Source record: 116110

Ted Hatfield wrote:

> NOTE TO ALL SCANNERS USERS
> FEEL FREE TO DISREGARD ALL OR ANY OF THIS IT YOU NEWS GROUP TO ASK WHAT
> YOU WANT TO
>
> "Rich Wells, N2MCA" wrote:
>
> > To All New Scanner Users,
> > If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled

What's the point of your post Ted? It's common knowlege that you can disregard anything you read. What's your beef Ted? Have you got something better to contribute than Rich? Why are you attacking Rich's posts?

4061. MS1000 scanner ant.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: alan@netrox.net
Message-ID: <alan-0606991219400001@ppp-20.mia-tc-3.netrox.net>
Source record: 116111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Many have recommended this as an excellent 800mhz handheld scanner
antenna. Anyone know where to get it and/or the name of the manufacturer?

--
remove the 1,2 & 3 from email address to reply via email

4062. Re: Atlanta Traffic Copter Freqs. Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <eKSNmxDs#GA.305@nih2naae.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 116112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

George Joslyn <grj2@earthlink.net> asked for:
> ... the Freq. for WGST/Keith Kalland's Traffic Helicopter in Atlanta?

From: ftp://oak.oakland.edu/pub/hamradio/docs/misc/atlanta.freq
or CompuServe HamNet Forum Lib 2 ATL-AR.ZIP

Freq.	Name	Usage	PL	Note	rcvd
450.3125	(Metro Traffic ?)	Traffic reports		141.3 WKHX, 146.2 WSB	07/98
450.3875	Metro Traffic Report	Traffic copter		141.3 WXIA, WKHX, Y-106,	07/98
450.5875	WCNN-AM	(Traffic report) (inactive ?)			03/93
161.7600	WGGA-AM	Traffic reports			08/89
161.6400	WGST-AM & WPCH-FM	Traffic rpt [F2]		helicopter - K.Kal	10/98
450.9250	WSB-AM	Traffic plane			07/98
450.2500	WSB-AM	Cox Brdcst "Traffic Center"		107.2 no repeater	07/98
450.3500	WSTR-FM	air traffic rpt		173.8 Star-94 FM	07/98
161.7300	WZGC-FM	Traffic copter		Metro Traffic	10/96

4063. Older scanners that can be cell modded

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990606131129.23664.00001653@ng34.aol.com>
Source record: 116113

Remember the good old days prior to the "enhanced" version scanner. When you could get more frequency for your money.
If you forget which models were expandable then visit the below url to get a memory jog.

http://members.aol.com/noobody/scan3.htm

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

4064. What scanner to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Conn <SteveConn@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <ezokn3As#GA.371@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 116114

I want to purchase a scanner that covers all bands and will work via a rs232 port on my computer

Steve

4065. Re: FBI on Low Band?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Markj <markj@got.net>
Message-ID: <375AAC57.14AAD4EA@got.net>
Source record: 116115

LEADENWAH wrote:

> Could the news story have used " file footage ", stock video which is played
> when real footage of the scene is not available ?
>
> TV news frequently tosses in anything which looks good during the voice over.
>
> As such coverage goes, it could even have been security guards using CB
> handhelds.

The FBI *did* use lowband during the "Freeman Standoff" in Montana a few years(1995?) back. I have access to HOURS of the standoff footage...Low band! 30mhz to 50mhz.

This was because of mutual aid reasons with the military and local agencies. It only take a phone call to DC and a truck full of various radios soon arrives.

Ever see a the WHCA Ryder truck on a Presidential visit?

markj
"in the biz"

4066. Re: What scanner to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jan Bertens <bertens@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <7jeam7$3of$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 116116

Base or portable?

Portable:

AOR 8000 / AOR 8200

Icom R2 /R100 (r2 has limited 232 options)

Base:

Icom 8500 / AOR 5000

Steve Conn <SteveConn@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:ezokn3As#GA.371@cpmsnbbsa02...
> I want to purchase a scanner that covers all bands and will work via a
rs232
> port on my computer
>
> Steve
>
>

4067. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jee8e$3bj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116117

In article <375A24EC.495C8608@jps.net>,
David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net> wrote:

> First of all who really gives a rats [sic] ass...

The previous 14 posters, obviously. (And must you resort to swearing to make your point?)

> Second, How does the FCC plan on restricting the sale and use of these
> scanners? Are they going to go over to every persons house that has a
> scanner and check to see if the scanner is receiving cellular? I don't
> think so!

A ridiculous statement. You need to review what the law is - and just exactly what the FCC's involvement is in the situation.

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4068. Re: FS ICOM R-2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: kc8ewq@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990606134943.23241.00001191@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 116118

The radio is sold.

Tony

4069. Mobile scanner antenna recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Phipps <sphipps@clandjop.com>
Message-ID: <7je9g4$289u@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 116119

Hi all,

Can anyone recommend a good mobile scanner antenna? I'm starting to get tired of my limited range Radio Shack windshield mount antenna.

Thanks,
Steve
sphipps@clandjop.com

4070. FS: Yupiteru MVT-9000 No gaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <Tez63.4412$D_5.99043@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 116120
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

For sale this excellent scanner from Yupiteru which has Full coverage from
530KHz - 2039MHz.
overall condition of the radio is good. on the scale I give it 8.5 of 10.
8.5 due to the fact of small scratches on the radio. I am very picky
though, to you you may not even notice the small blemishes. Radio has Never
been dropped. For what I spent on it believe I would never want to.
this is not the M3 model
it is the 2 generation of the 9000 the one's which have the better
shielding.
included are the nicad batteries
carrying strap
original box
scanner of course
rubber antenna
any anything else that came with the radio but forgot of it
I will even throw in the ac adapter that I bought
and I will toss in a professional built data slicer also known as a hamcom
interface with jvfax/hamcom software that I purchased through the internet
from mfj enterprises

this is an excellent radio that is great but need the cash to drop in an
integra engine to my civic =)
and my local police went digital =(so what use is it now???

remember I am located in U.S. therefor no customs checks and no tax verses
if you were to buy it from out of the country.

this scanner is about 3 months old

I will take the best offer that I receive via email.
serious inquiries only please.

please visit pages below for more info or email me if you have any
questions.

http://kymi.iwn.fi/isoveli/revm9000.htm

http://www.radioworld.ca/swscan/scanpric.htm

--
Robert Reinaga
reinaga@cnmnetwork.com

4071. Re: Written decoding software for sound cards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@crosslink.net
Message-ID: <375abbca.7292932@news.crosslink.net>
Source record: 116121
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 05 Jun 1999 05:08:53 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva Nataraja)
wrote:

>On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 09:32:31 -0400, "Carl R. Stevenson"
><wa6vse@fast.net> wrote:
>
>>DOS isn't really an "operating system" ... it's more like
>>a non-reentrant interrrupt handling virus :-)
>>
>>(Of course Win 9x isn't *much* better)
>
>Of course not - Win 9x is just a pretty wrapper around DOS. ;-)

With one VERY SIGNIFICANT improvement - it's 32 bit. And that can make
a difference - especially when the application itself is written for
32 bit environment.

4072. Re: Emergency Monitoring-Why not built-in monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: zeno@magicnet.net
Message-ID: <zeno-0606991553040001@pm62-35.magicnet.net>
Source record: 116122
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <7jd0rh$48i$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>, 1AngryMan@antisocial.com (1 Angry Man) wrote:

> WGN channel 9 Chicago IL "Go Cubs"!!!!
I watched the 3 stooges on WGN Chanel 9 in the mid 60s. I can still picture that yellow number 9 on the channel indicator on the black and white TV set. Oh the memories....

4073. Re: FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: JWIGTON@kc.rr.com
Message-ID: <375ae162.12251771@news-server>
Source record: 116123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ted,
Maybe you should take the time and read through some of the
info that Rich Wells has taken the time to post to these newsgroups
and to set up on his website. I value Rich's information and opinions
over most articles in national publications because I know who it is
coming from and it is free of any corporation influence. If many of
these newbies would take the time to read these articles and figure
out how to use www.deja.com and Boolean searches on the internet, many
of their answers would already be available to them.

Wiggie

On Sat, 05 Jun 1999 19:18:43 -0500, Ted Hatfield <tedh@ccms.net>
wrote:

>NOTE TO ALL SCANNERS USERS
>FEEL FREE TO DISREGARD ALL OR ANY OF THIS IT YOU NEWS GROUP TO ASK WHAT
>YOU WANT TO
>
>"Rich Wells, N2MCA" wrote:
>
>> To All New Scanner Users,
>> If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
>> a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
>> asked on the scanner newsgroups.
>>
>> Topics include :
>> Look Before You Post
>> Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
>> Picking A Scanner/Receiver
>> Scanner Glossary
>> Scanner Model FAQ
>> Scanner Dealers FAQ
>> Frequently Asked Frequencies
>> FCC Frequency Databases
>> CTCSS & DCS
>> What's Illegal
>> Cellular-capable Scanners
>> Trunked Radio Systems
>> Co-ax Cable
>> Receiver Range
>> Your Scanner On A Plane
>> Frequency Counters
>> Magazines & Newsletters

>> Rechargeable Battery Tips
>> Radio Discussion Lists
>> Radio Modifications
>> Radio Shack On-line Manuals
>> Uniden On-line Manuals
>> AOR On-line Manuals
>> Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
>> Used Scanner Price Guide
>> Radio Monitoring Software
>> Receivers Covering Military Aero
>> Computer Control
>> *Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
>> *Do I Need An Amplifier?
>> My Web Page
>> Courtesy
>>
>> *=Recently added topics
>>
>> To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to
>>
>> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm
>>
>> Happy reading!
>>
>> Strong Signals,
>> Rich Wells N2MCA
>> http://www.strongsignals.net/

4074. Re: FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Welch <tomwelch@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <375AEBAD.A4A49651@swbell.net>
Source record: 116124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Wiggie:

As a casual scanner user, I once held Rich Wells in high regard
for the effort he made on his website and thought of him as
free from corporate influence, until I offered an opinion on
the high number of AOR8200s for sale on newsgroups and
auction sites. Rich Wells made it a point to use his website
to attack me personally, even after admitting the AOR8200
might have performance problems and not very user friendly,
Rich Wells is just another corporate goon!

Tom Welch
http://www.moneywords.com

wiggie wrote:

> Ted,
> Maybe you should take the time and read through some of the
> info that Rich Wells has taken the time to post to these newsgroups
> and to set up on his website. I value Rich's information and opinions
> over most articles in national publications because I know who it is
> coming from and it is free of any corporation influence. If many of
> these newbies would take the time to read these articles and figure
> out how to use www.deja.com and Boolean searches on the internet, many
> of their answers would already be available to them.
>
> Wiggie
>
> On Sat, 05 Jun 1999 19:18:43 -0500, Ted Hatfield <tedh@ccms.net>
> wrote:
>
> >NOTE TO ALL SCANNERS USERS
> >FEEL FREE TO DISREGARD ALL OR ANY OF THIS IT YOU NEWS GROUP TO ASK WHAT
> >YOU WANT TO
> >
> >"Rich Wells, N2MCA" wrote:
> >
> >> To All New Scanner Users,
> >> If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
> >> a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
> >> asked on the scanner newsgroups.
> >>
> >> Topics include :
> >> Look Before You Post

> >> Don't Ask What The Best Scanner Is
> >> Picking A Scanner/Receiver
> >> Scanner Glossary
> >> Scanner Model FAQ
> >> Scanner Dealers FAQ
> >> Frequently Asked Frequencies
> >> FCC Frequency Databases
> >> CTCSS & DCS
> >> What's Illegal
> >> Cellular-capable Scanners
> >> Trunked Radio Systems
> >> Co-ax Cable
> >> Receiver Range
> >> Your Scanner On A Plane
> >> Frequency Counters
> >> Magazines & Newsletters
> >> Rechargeable Battery Tips
> >> Radio Discussion Lists
> >> Radio Modifications
> >> Radio Shack On-line Manuals
> >> Uniden On-line Manuals
> >> AOR On-line Manuals
> >> Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
> >> Used Scanner Price Guide
> >> Radio Monitoring Software
> >> Receivers Covering Military Aero
> >> Computer Control
> >> *Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
> >> *Do I Need An Amplifier?
> >> My Web Page
> >> Courtesy
> >>
> >> *=Recently added topics
> >>
> >> To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to
> >>
> >> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm
> >>
> >> Happy reading!
> >>
> >> Strong Signals,
> >> Rich Wells N2MCA
> >> http://www.strongsignals.net/

--
Take Special Care,
Tom Welch, Online Editor
http://www.moneywords.com

4075. Re: Tips dealing with police....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7jeqb2$b46@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116125

In <%nv63.98$tr.723@nntp1> "Paul" <pwolsko@nac.net> writes:
>
>Found nothing at that URL.
>
>Paul
>
>>
>> go to: http://hoemtown.aol.com/firecraftr
>>
>>
>
don't bother...was not all that
informative. The guy is
selling booklets and he's using
this as a tease....

info he's putting out is
basic common sense: get
alongside a big rig to
avoid being radared

don't always run speed in lane
1,2. That's where the cops
usually point their gun

rain cannot be falling if a
gun is to work right...

etc.

forget this site. it
will cost u money for
stuff any cop will tell
you....

bill conduit
etc.

4076. Question about Ground Plane Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: batwanger@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990606175020.29264.00001179@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 116126

I have a Ground plane antenna. I think model 20-014, Its worked great in the Attic
for nearly 2 years, Well my new Residence doesn't have a Attic, so I was thinking
about standing the antenna up in a corner up as high as the raised ceiling will allow, But how will the lack of the 3 horizontal planes affect general reception?
In a variety of ranges?
Also what effect would flat white paint on the antenna have on performance?
Thanks for any help.
David

4077. Re: Tips dealing with police....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <375AE335.F9B4A1BC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 116127

I found this on the web page, and found it interesting..

3.) Remember, that RADAR and LASER is not supposed to be used in bad
weather. If your ticket
was issued in such weather and the cop used LASER or RADAR, you probably
could win the case
as this is a good defense.

Is this true, can someone please explain it?

4078. Re: Tips dealing with police....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: pat@no-spamrwing.com
Message-ID: <7jes9gemn1@sparky.wolfe.net>
Source record: 116128

In article <%nv63.98$tr.723@nntp1>, Paul <pwolsko@nac.net> wrote:
>Found nothing at that URL.

>> go to: http://hoemtown.aol.com/firecraftr

The hometown is mispelled (the 'm' and 'e' were transposed). Correct
the spelling and it works.

Pat M/HW

--
#include <std.disclaimer.h> Pat Myrto (pat at rwing dot ORG) Seattle WA
Waiting periods are only a step. Registration is only a step. The prohibition
of firearms is the goal."
- Janet Reno, December 10th, 1993 [Associated Press]

4079. FS: OLD hand-held scanners $10.00 ea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Strand <w6ibl@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <01beb06c$d7fea920$99c2ead1@arrc>
Source record: 116129

For Sale: Midland 13-903B UHF crystal-controlled scanner w/leather case.
Uses 10.7 crystals and is powered by 4 "AA" batteries.
$15.00

Midland 13-904 VHF crystal-controlled scanner
$10.00

Regency ACTR 10 H/L/U 10 Channel crystal-controlled
scanner circa 9/73
$35.00

All these radios work fine. I may even have a crystal you might need.
Scanners have telescoping antennas and rubber ducks, but no
AC
supply. A generic 6-volt wall-wart will work okay (center conductor
pos.)

Price does not include shipping. Payment via money order.

John e-mail: w6ibl@netxn.com

4080. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <E5E573735E9E215D.EB338127CC2FD64F.A31103FBE294572E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> Watch it Paul, your leaving your self wide open.I know what you mean about
> sounds that are actually almost sending some kind of message.However I would
> not be willing to tell anyone that story for obvious reasons. HI J1

The guy studied CW so much that he got psychotic and started hearing CW from things which weren't there. :)

4081. Re: Atlanta Traffic Copter Freqs. Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: 505 <mm505@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jf13n$l92$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 116131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

WSB AM/FM and Metro Traffic are pretty much all together.

455.2500 is the repeater output for WSB (aka Traffic Center). This frequency is the most active in the AM & PM rush. You will find Captain Herb & Jason Durden there, as well as the "Skyplane". As you will notice, this frequency IS inverted on the in/out. 450.2500 IS the input side. Herb and Jason are in the same helicopter (N2AQ owned by Helicopters Inc).

450.3875 is the repeater output for Metro Traffic. You will find Mike Oliver and who ever the MTC reporter of the day (who is also the "Skyplane reporter - N6555D which is owned by Aviation Atlanta).

463.900 is the repeater output for Total Traffic. You will find the "Traffic Tracker" units on this frequency. You will also hear TT talking to Keith Kalland on this frequency, but 99% of the time, you will find Keith responding to TT on 161.640 (a UHF/VHF duplex type mode). (The Total Traffic helicopter, as I was informed, is the former Georgia Pacific Long or Jet Ranger - white in color).

These are really the only 4 frequencies you will need to listen to, as the others are used to feed the radio stations with the live/taped feeds.

Michael Martin
Metro Traffic 505

Metro Atlanta Trunking Systems
www.mindspring.com/~mm505

George Joslyn wrote in message <375A8A36.729AB08F@earthlink.net>...
:Does anyone have the Freq. for WGST/Keith Kalland's Traffic Helicopter
:in Atlanta?
:An old frequency I had no longer works, and I'm looking for the
:replacement--thanks!!
:
:George Joslyn
:
:

4082. BC200 audio/squelch chip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
Message-ID: <01beb051$60c4c3a0$55ca99ce@tmsevart.apexcorp.com>
Source record: 116132
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone tell me which chip is the audio/squelch chip in the BC200XLT?
Any help appreciated.

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

4083. GRE Super Converter II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: N4UJF <brockway@planttel.net>
Message-ID: <375B1E6E.7ECB@planttel.net>
Source record: 116133

Converts 810-912 mhz down to 410-512 mhz. Not cellular blocked.

http://auctions.yahoo.com/auction/5009041

4084. Re: pro-2004 backlight?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jim H. P." <JHPock@DLS.net>
Message-ID: <375B27C2.CEF837B1@DLS.net>
Source record: 116134

No, but if you find a source. Let me know too..

JHP

4085. Re: Question RE: Airphones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Joel M. Rose" <joelr@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <375B33F8.6F24AA75@gwis.com>
Source record: 116135

the 459 mhz assignments are for flite-fones used by private or corporatel aircraft for business or personal communications by crew or passengers, not for air traffic control,,,the flite-fones used on air carriers by passengers who pay a fee to make a "phone call" are mostly in the 900 mhz range

Joe Walker wrote:

> I had a couple of questions regarding the airphones in the 400 and 800
> mhz area:
>
> (1) Paperwork I've read stated that the 400 mhz assignments are for
> private aircraft. Does that mean ALL private aircraft? In other words,
> does Joe Blow in a single engine cessna utilize the same 400 mhz freqs
> as say Donald Trump in his $$$ aircraft? I know that cell phones are not
> permitted on-board a commercial aircraft - is the same true for private
> aircraft? I have heard of a company I believe called AirCell who has
> been approved for a cell phone especially designed for use in an
> aircraft.
>
> (2) Are the 800 mhz ground stations for commercial phone traffic (ie:
> GTE Airfone) duplex or simplex on their signal retransmission? Also, on
> 800 mhz, is there still the annoying carrier that the 400 mhz is
> supposed to carry?
>
> Appreciate any info.

--

thoughts to live by......

"Our country has killed far more children in Kosovo than Colorado"

joelr/n8jr brecksville,OH
mailto:joelr@gwis.com
The Briarhop Corners Page:http://w3.gwis.com/~joelr/gear.htm
... -.-

4086. Case for 3000xlt/2500xlt on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: T <sp33d@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <06061999201316230 0%sp33d@my-deja.com>
Source record: 116136
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Case for 3000xlt/2500xlt on eBay

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=113302542

4087. Regency TurboScan 800 help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: barry <btuttleman@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <375B3751.361@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116137

need to know the power requirements for the ac transformer for this
model? also, where can i get a manual or copy thereof?? and finally,
what is the overall consensus on this model - good, bad or otherwise.
please post replies, or email.
tnx,
barry
carson city, nevada

4088. Re: trunktracker programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <375B4DC6.2CC22C14@intsvc.com>
Source record: 116138

First question, yes you can program a TT so you only hear the talkgroups you want. If you already know the talkgroup ID's it makes it easier (don't have to go searching for them). After the scanner finds the data channel hit MAN and that will take you to the first channel in the 1st scan list. Enter in the talkgroup you want and press E. After you enter in your talkgroups you can scan them two differnt ways, 1 scan the scan lists that you have programed or let it search all of the available talkgroups for that system, you will have to lockout all of the unwanted TG's thou. I prefer to just scan from the scan lists.

Second question, yes you can run software to give trunk tracking capabilities to a non trunk tracking scanner. I believe you need to use two scanners for this project, and one with a discriminator tap to monitor the data channel. After all of that mess I would think it would be easier to just buy a new trunk tracking scanner.

MattThurston wrote:

> i have two questions. can you program a trunktracker so you only hear
> certain talkgroups. and if you have a computer controlled receiver without
> trunktracker capabilities, can you run software to give it trunktracking
> ability? thanks

--

Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com> ICQ # 19943355
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.icp-az.org
Listing of all incident paging groups http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

The views expressed here are my own and not that of Incident Command Page unless otherwise stated.

4089. San Bernardino forest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Grzeskiewicz <johnradio@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <375B662C.ABD5CFE5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 116139

Anybody got tone codes for San Bernardino National Forest. It sure is
nice to filter out the unwanted com!!
--
John

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE
The information contained in this electronic message is confidential
and/or privileged.
This message is intended only for the individual(s) named in the header.
If the reader
of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified
that any review,
dissemination, forwarding or copying of this message or the information
contained herein
is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please
immediately notify
the sender by e-mail identifying the message by subject title and
destroy the message
in all forms, electronic and printed.

4090. Tips dealing with police....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: nl5y4yu1@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7jcgog$ip1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116269

THere is a great website I found with tips on how to deal with police and traffic tickets.... those of you who like scanners may find it helpful...

go to: http://hoemtown.aol.com/firecraftr

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4091. Re: BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: dwarren2@n0.spam.rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <tCj63.799$_U4.27158@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 116270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <7j9skq$4l3$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>, "joker535" <joker535@mindspring.com>
wrote:
>yes national electronics is taking pre-orders for $209.95 + $9.00 s+h.
>
>you can contact them at:
>
>sales@national-electronics.com
>
>I bought my 235 from them last christmas and I was impressed with the
>service and quick delivery. I am moving to a non-trunked area and will be
>selling my 235 with all of the original stuff, box, vehicle cord and glove
>leather case for $160.00 plus shipping from VA. if anyone is interested.
>Thanks

Little steep, I just paid $140 incuding shipping for one.

_
(_) Daniel Warren, RPh
_______// Marion NY
(________) Clinical Staff Pharmacist - Strong Memorial Hospital
\ / dwarren@frontiernet.net
| Rx |
/______\
(________)

4092. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3759eecd.2479738@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 116271
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:

:They have to be type accepted for spectral purity..
:
:Not for frequency coverage... And the RCI radios would pass for frequency
:coverage because out of the box they only cover the legal 10 meter ham band.
:
:
:Idiot.
:
:
:
:
:Toll Free
:Asstrodome City
:
:Smoking areas in restaurants are like peeing areas in swimming pools!!
:
:
:
:Stanley wrote in message <3742CBA9.E29334E2@bigfoot.com>...
:>
:>
:>Barry O'Grady wrote:
:>
:>> :They are
:>> :both 10 meter radios which can be converted to broadcast on 11 meters as
:>> :well. They are illegal to use in the U.S. even on 10 meters because they
:>> :are NOT type accepted for use in the U.S. because they are for EXPORT.
:>>
:>> They can be used legally on 10 metres by licensed amateurs because there
:>> is no type approval required for amateur radio. I can legally build and
:>> use a 10 metre transmitter on FM from 29 to 29.7 MHz up to 120 watts.
:>>
:>> :(I love mine)
:>>
:>> They can be fun.
:>>
:>> Barry
:>
:>Sorry but you are wrong. Commercially produced radios do have to be type
:>excepted to use in the amateur band in the US. Only home-brew equipment
:>does not have to be type excepted, but even home-brew equipment have to

:>comply with FCC specs. Get yourself a copy of Part 95, it's all there.

If they were type excepted then they would be illegal to use for anything.

:>--
:>Stanley

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

4093. Re: Emergency Monitoring-Why not built-in monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3759eebd.2463313@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 116272
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

:Kenneth Allan Mitchell wrote:
:>
:> Why not designate channel 9 with tone 9 ("99") or channel 9 with tone
:> 11 ("911") for an emergency coordination/calling channel. This
:> information would need to be diseminated so everyone, including search
:> & rescue and public safety entities, will recognize the channel and
:> possibly monitor/use the channel during such missions.
:>
:> Just a thought.
:>
:> --
:> Kenneth Allan Mitchell
:> mailto:nokones@ix.netcom.com
:Channel 9 is a CB channel not an FRS channel

Correction! Channel 9 is a TV station.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

4094. Re: what freq does the nextels run on?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990606001140.04055.00001073@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 116273

read up on the technology of nextel an www.nextel.com you will see that the digital signals use encrypted algorithms!!. For local repeater frequencies for nextel, just use the FCC Frequency Database.....

4095. Need MDT help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990606001317.04055.00001074@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 116274

Can someone point me to a source on the web that would help me use my scanner (and recommend software) with my computer to monitor MDT traffic?

4096. Re: National Emerg. Warning system Freq.`s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan McFerrin <amcferrin@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7jcv0d$977$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116275

They are the LEERN & Interzone frequencies. Specifically, I don't know
which ones are used in your area, but the one's licensed statewide are:
154.935, 154.680, 155.370, 155.470 (Nationwide calling)
Central OH uses 154.935 & also the Cincy area.

And for 800 MHz, 866.5125, 867.0125, 867.5125, 868.0125, 868.5125. Although
OSP has not officially started using them, most cities using 800 MHz program
them into their radios.

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <37525A7F.4A3F@ameritech.net>...
>Scan MHZ wrote:
>>
>> Regional net 1: 173.1875 rptr input
>> 167.9750 rptr out
>> Regional net 2: 167.9750 simplex
>> Regional net 3: 169.8750 simplex
>> Regional net 4: 167.9250 simplex
>>
>> Happy
scanning.....USA
>>
>> scanning USA.....MHz
>Well thanks for the info but what is this National Emergency stuff and
>what frequencies would be used in Norther Ohio/
>--
>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4097. Re: Req: Columbus OH freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan McFerrin <amcferrin@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7jcv0f$977$2@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116276

Go here first: www.qsl.net/wa8pyr

Tom is a dispatcher in the Columbus area and has a very extensive
trunktracker list as well as conventional frequencies.

KC5DVR wrote in message <19990604013325.27184.00000352@ng-cn1.aol.com>...
>i should have thought of that, duh! thanks for the help, chris

4098. Re: Antennas @ NASCAR race

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David P (D)" <pickards@SPAMBLOCKzen.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3kn63.871$pl3.18870@newreader.ukcore.bt.net>
Source record: 116277
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>> I recently attended a NASCAR race, and had trouble picking up one of
>> the cars. My PRO64 scanner stopped on the frequency, and I could hear
>> it very faintly, but it was kind of "scratchy", and sounded something
>> like when you have a loose speaker wire. What could cause this?
>>
>> All of the other drivers came in well. I admit that NASCAR racing is
>> not the best environment for scanners, with well over 50 radios
>> broadcasting in a very small area, but I did pretty well except for
>> that one car.
>>
>> Another question. Are those stubby racing antennas tuned to any
>> particular frequency range? At the race, I tried no antenna, a
>> rubber-duck, and even a paper clip. The paper clip won!
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Jack

The Scratchy noise you were talking about is prolly cos you have it on the
wrong mode.
Try, NFM or even AM?

David P

4099. Re: cell trick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David P (D)" <pickards@SPAMBLOCKzen.co.uk>
Message-ID: <%mn63.872$pl3.18912@newreader.ukcore.bt.net>
Source record: 116278

cell calls don't go simplex.
they all go thru repeaters

Al, N2NKB wrote in message <7ish5s$75j$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>...
>What is a cell repeater? My take is that if you hear a signal between
>869 & 894 MHz, you are hearing the signal directly.
>If you hear cellular calls between 896 and 915 MHz your receiver has a
>sloppy front end, as opposed to a triple conversion.
>
>Al
>
>TomCat4680 wrote in message
><19990529191550.29566.00006475@ng-fg1.aol.com>...
>>if you are 5 miles or less from a cell repeater you can hear calls between
>896
>>and 915 mhz
>
>

4100. Re: Emergency Monitoring-Why not built-in monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7jd0rh$48i$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 116279
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ï¿½
>
>RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
>:Kenneth Allan Mitchell wrote:
>:>
>:> Why not designate channel 9 with tone 9 ("99") or channel 9 with tone
>:> 11 ("911") for an emergency coordination/calling channel. This
>:> information would need to be diseminated so everyone, including search
>:> & rescue and public safety entities, will recognize the channel and
>:> possibly monitor/use the channel during such missions.
>:>
>:> Just a thought.
>:>
>:> --
>:> Kenneth Allan Mitchell
>:> mailto:nokones@ix.netcom.com
>:Channel 9 is a CB channel not an FRS channel
>
>Correction! Channel 9 is a TV station.

WGN channel 9 Chicago IL "Go Cubs"!!!!

>
>Barry
>==========
>Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
>Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

4101. ABC News/Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "George W. White" <deeply@serv.net>
Message-ID: <7jd1u5$a51$0@199.201.191.2>
Source record: 116280
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

One thing I can't stand is misrepresentation of facts.
That ABC News story coupled with the news stories of that
idiot in NY posting MDT data on his website sure make things look bad
for scanner
owners. I have yet to see a good story in which a scanner owner was
portrayed in a good light.
Back before all the crap with cellphones and all, I remember something
that
happened to me back in 1984......walking around town in broad daylight
with my scanner.

I had a NJ police officer tell me he was going to "confiscate my
scanner".
I politely informed him he couldn't do it and not be sued.
His attitude was less than professional.

I then politely informed him, that since his department's communications
were not encrypted
and that they were a public agency, put in place by the public, to serve
the public, and the fact
I was not using the scanner in the commission of a crime or felony, that
siezure of my radio
would then be considered theft since it would have been taken without my
permission.
Then I told him, he personally and his department would be facing a
lawsuit for defamation of
character and harrasment. I then directed him to look up the
Communications Act of 1934.
He made some a remark he could take the radio and "investigate to see if
it was stolen."
After producing a recipt, I told him look, either arrest me or leave me
alone, make your choice.
He handed me back my radio and said "keep out of trouble."
I said back, are you implying that I get IN trouble? He looked at his
partner, and I said, WELL?!?!?!
I turned around and walked away, but not before overhearing:
"That guy must be a fucking lawyer or something...."
I must say that was the only bad experience I had with police.
Now, some 16 years later, that cop and I are very good friends.
The majority of cops I've met over the years in various states I've
lived in have been polite
courteous, and some have even given me a few good frequencies.

My scanning hobby has lead me to pursue taking a CPR and basic first aid class (both of which
I passed last week) and I am currently looking into becoming an EMT.
If there are any EMT's that read this newsgroup, how difficult is it to become one??

--Deeply Shrouded And Quiet

4102. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: mjh@oberon.ark.com
Message-ID: <375a153c.12588956@news.ark.com>
Source record: 116281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 03 Jun 1999 20:45:40 -0400, Robert <rc123@gnc.net> wrote:
They did make an
>error in the end of the show saying "older phones can be listened to by
>a scanner..." What the didn't mention (didn't know), is most new phones
>can also be listened to. Unless it is digital/spred spectrum, it is just as
>easy to listen to the 900 MHz phones.

Digital spread spectrum is not immune from monitoring. All that is
required is a digital spread spectrum phone and knowledge of the
servicemans key pad sequence for testing the tx and rx of the phone.
This essentially turns your phone into a scanning receiver.

4103. HELP EVERYBODY (New binary scanner group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <EJo63.2090$EU4.1022@stones>
Source record: 116282
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just to let you know that I heard about the possibility of a new binary scanner group being setup !.

Please go to the alt.config and show your support if you like for the making of a new BINARY scanner newsgroup, I myself am not the person who put it forward and I am not connected with him but I have been asking for one of these for ages and I know quite a few of you out there have aswell. It can only help make our hobby more informed and interesting, so pop along as soon as you can to ALT.CONFIG and see the posting for the binary scanner group and reply to it with your support

thanks a bundle everybody

Eavsdropper

--
EAVSDROPPERS SCANNER SOFTWARE SITE FOR THE ENTHUSIAST

HTTP://LISTEN.TO/EAVSDROPPER
OR
HTTP://WWW.EAVSDROPPER.DABSOL.CO.UK

4104. Re: Need MDT help.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <kLo63.2091$EU4.1095@stones>
Source record: 116283

Emoney250 <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990606001317.04055.00001074@ng-fe1.aol.com...
> Can someone point me to a source on the web that would help me use my
scanner
> (and recommend software) with my computer to monitor MDT traffic?

you can get the software here,

http://listen.to/eavsdropper

or
http://www.eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk

please also go to alt.config newsgroup and show your support for the
proposal of a new BINARY scanner newsgroup....thanks

eavsdropper

4105. Re: Ranger RCI 2970 for sale trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Phillip M. Bergner" <president@amateurweather.net>
Message-ID: <375A1FFB.C1F1DF3A@amateurweather.net>
Source record: 116284
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Barry O'Grady wrote:

> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
>
> :They have to be type accepted for spectral purity..
> :
> :Not for frequency coverage... And the RCI radios would pass for frequency
> :coverage because out of the box they only cover the legal 10 meter ham band.
> :
> :
> :Idiot.
> :
> :
> :
> :
> :Toll Free
> :Asstrodome City
> :
> :Smoking areas in restaurants are like peeing areas in swimming pools!!
> :
> :
> :
> :Stanley wrote in message <3742CBA9.E29334E2@bigfoot.com>...
> :>
> :>
> :>Barry O'Grady wrote:
> :>
> :>> :They are
> :>> :both 10 meter radios which can be converted to broadcast on 11 meters as
> :>> :well. They are illegal to use in the U.S. even on 10 meters because they
> :>> :are NOT type accepted for use in the U.S. because they are for EXPORT.
> :>>
> :>> They can be used legally on 10 metres by licensed amateurs because there
> :>> is no type approval required for amateur radio. I can legally build and
> :>> use a 10 metre transmitter on FM from 29 to 29.7 MHz up to 120 watts.
> :>>
> :>> :(I love mine)
> :>>
> :>> They can be fun.
> :>>
> :>> Barry
> :>
> :>Sorry but you are wrong. Commercially produced radios do have to be type

> :>excepted to use in the amateur band in the US. Only home-brew equipment
> :>does not have to be type excepted, but even home-brew equipment have to
> :>comply with FCC specs. Get yourself a copy of Part 95, it's all there.
>
> If they were type excepted then they would be illegal to use for anything.
>
> :>--
> :>Stanley
>
> Barry
> ==========
> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

OK, so we say Part 95 rules?? The amateur radio rules are part 97. CB is part 95, SUBPART D. Just making sure this is clarified to avoid confusion. Amateur specs would be in Part 97. Quite a difference there.

Thanks,
Phil Bergner, KG9OB
President, SSOSSC
IT Certified HTML Programmer

4106. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375A23FB.6BAD@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116285
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Keith Bennett wrote:
>
> In article <37585937.CFF@ameritech.net>, OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnk
> id@ameritech.net> writes
> >Keith Bennett wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <CxV53.215$pl3.4739@newreader.ukcore.bt.net>, D
> >> <pickards@SPAMBLOCKzen.co.uk> writes
> >> >please let this thread DIE!!!.
> >> >And why is it cross posted on soc.penpals???
> >> >Enjoy whatever makes you happy!!!
> >> >
> >> Dunno! Why is it cross-posted to soc.culture.serbia as well?
> >>
> >> --
> >> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> >> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> >> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> >> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
> >>
> >> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/
> >Where in the world does it say that?
> Up there! Just underneath where it says:
> >>Enjoy whatever makes you happy!!!

I try to remember that
>
> --
> From the ORIGINAL Trojan Horse!
> Please note that correct e-mail address is:-
> trojan.horse@virgin.net
> [Copyright 1999 by Trojan Horse]
>
> http://members.home.net/magickal1/soc/

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4107. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net>
Message-ID: <375A24EC.495C8608@jps.net>
Source record: 116286

Clint Bradford wrote:

> >>If this is true: What a mature, gutsy, and responsible, business
> >>decision eBay has made.
>
> > Bull!
>
> Sorry to see that you disrespect the law so much. Where were you when
> the ECPA was being drafted and discussed?
>
> - Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
> http://www.clintbradford.com
>

First of all who really gives a rats ass if cell capable scanners can be sold on EBAY or not! If the sellers REALLY want to sell them they will. EBAY or no EBAY!

Second, How does the FCC plan on restricting the sale and use of these scanners? Are they going to go over to every persons house that has a scanner and check to see if the scanner is receiving cellular? I don't think so!

David Leeper

4108. Re: FS PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: scumortz@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990606034407.05915.00000888@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 116287

i readdy to buy how and when ?

4109. Re: FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <375C4434.AE52C32B@ibm.net>
Source record: 116039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley wrote:
> You have got to be kidding me here.

Actually, I think he is quite serious. I thought we were having a mature discussion on my message board, only to find out I'm some kind of bully by personally attacking him...

> All Rich said is that a lot of people went out and bought one of
> these $600 beasts not knowing jack squat about basic scanning, let
> alone the very complicated AR8200.

Well, I hope I wasn't so "harsh!" ;-)

Tom was trying to convey that the only reason people were returning AR8200s was because they were, to paraphrase, a piece of crap (or nowhere near worthy of the $550+ price tag).

I said that I could understand that point of view but that I felt there was more to this issue. Like the AR8000, I felt that many users rushed out to get one simply because they had to have the best and heeded none of the warnings about "getting in over their head". This is a serious piece of equipment and therefore quite complicated. It's not your typical Uniden model that you poke in a few frequencies and press SCAN.

No matter what you say, you can't convey to some people how complicated these radios are.

So they buy them, get frustrated because they can't learn everything in one day, and put it up for sale. Instead of heeding the warnings, they learn the hard way.

> Bottom line is, a bunch of Joe Newbies bought radios that aren't for
> them! Then when they couldn't figure out how to use them in five
> minutes or less, they got rid of them.

I would consider that the abridged version ;-)

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

4110. need advise of how to fix broken chip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <hCX63.7557$D_5.208005@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 116040
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ok here is what I know. a friend tried to do the dis tap to his scanner. I guess he Saudered the wrong pin. When he tried to remove it the pin broke off!!! So on the side of the chip where the pin broke off is the metal. Its there but you can barely see it. and the board where the pin should be can be seen. I put a wire touching from the chip to the board and it works. Sound is restored. Question Since the chip cannot be saudered what should he do. The wire can be saudered to the board but not the chip. Is there any type of substance that is like "gum" I guess that can be fitted between the two contacts that conducts electricity??? I think he is screwed...
And do you think a professional could fix it?? Any help and tips would be greatly appreciated.

Reinaga

--
Robert Reinaga
reinaga@cnmnetwork.com

4111. need advise of how to fix broken chip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <ICX63.7558$D_5.207982@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 116041
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ok here is what I know. a friend tried to do the dis tap to his scanner. I guess he Saudered the wrong pin. When he tried to remove it the pin broke off!!! So on the side of the chip where the pin broke off is the metal. Its there but you can barely see it. and the board where the pin should be can be seen. I put a wire touching from the chip to the board and it works. Sound is restored. Question Since the chip cannot be saudered what should he do. The wire can be saudered to the board but not the chip. Is there any type of substance that is like "gum" I guess that can be fitted between the two contacts that conducts electricity??? I think he is screwed... And do you think a professional could fix it?? Any help and tips would be greatly appreciated.

Reinaga

--
Robert Reinaga
reinaga@cnmnetwork.com

4112. need advise of how to fix broken chip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <oCX63.7559$D_5.208025@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 116042
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ok here is what I know. a friend tried to do the dis tap to his scanner. I guess he Saudered the wrong pin. When he tried to remove it the pin broke off!!! So on the side of the chip where the pin broke off is the metal. Its there but you can barely see it. and the board where the pin should be can be seen. I put a wire touching from the chip to the board and it works. Sound is restored. Question Since the chip cannot be saudered what should he do. The wire can be saudered to the board but not the chip. Is there any type of substance that is like "gum" I guess that can be fitted between the two contacts that conducts electricity??? I think he is screwed...
And do you think a professional could fix it?? Any help and tips would be greatly appreciated.

Reinaga

--
Robert Reinaga
reinaga@cnmnetwork.com

4113. Re: need advise of how to fix broken chip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marcus R. Matos" <raydr@minionsfromhell.com>
Message-ID: <g4Y63.946$h14.3632@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 116043
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Take the chip number and go to radio shack. Shouldn't cost more than $8.
They won't have it in stock but they'll ship it to ya in like a week.

Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote in message
news:hCX63.7557$D_5.208005@news2.giganews.com...
> Ok here is what I know. a friend tried to do the dis tap to his scanner.
I
> guess he Saudered the wrong pin. When he tried to remove it the pin broke
> off!!! So on the side of the chip where the pin broke off is the metal.
> Its there but you can barely see it. and the board where the pin should
be
> can be seen. I put a wire touching from the chip to the board and it
works.
> Sound is restored. Question Since the chip cannot be saudered what
should
> he do. The wire can be saudered to the board but not the chip. Is there
> any type of substance that is like "gum" I guess that can be fitted
between
> the two contacts that conducts electricity??? I think he is screwed...
> And do you think a professional could fix it?? Any help and tips would be
> greatly appreciated.
>
> Reinaga
>
> --
> Robert Reinaga
> reinaga@cnmnetwork.com
>
>
>
>

4114. Re: Urban Legend or Wild Imagination? You be the judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marcus R. Matos" <raydr@minionsfromhell.com>
Message-ID: <73Y63.945$h14.3635@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 116044

I don't get it?

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7jhec2$1kr$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com...
> Saw this in Alt.2600 which is good for mindless entertainment every now
and
> then.
>
> Make sure you have no soda or anything in your mouth that might splatter
all
> over your computer when you laugh, and then click on the link:
> http://www.angelfire.com/biz3/xcomm0/pro.html
>
> These guys ARE kidding, right????
>
> You gotta see it. That's all I can say. I can't believe anyone would be
dumb
> enough to fall for this. Then again......
>
> Oh well, just go look at it. Good for a laugh if you're Monday is going
> anything like mine has.
>
> --
> -Phil
>
> -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
> -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>
>

4115. Re: Tips dealing with police....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jhirh$3a3$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116045

jeff wrote in message <7jhbjmdvn1@autumn.news.rcn.net>...
>
>>3.) Remember, that RADAR and LASER is not supposed to be used in bad
>>weather. If your ticket
>> was issued in such weather and the cop used LASER or RADAR, you probably
>>could win the case
>> as this is a good defense.
>>
>>Is this true, can someone please explain it?
>>
>>
>WRONG!
>

I used to think I was safe also if the CHP was going down the opposite side of the freeway too, that is until my car stalled on Highway 14 one day and an officer gave me a ride to the nearest payphone. He took the opportunity to demonstrate the on-board radar, which tracked cars in front of, behind and coming towards the car in the opposite direction *while the cruiser was in motion!* Yipes....

Did I mention it was raining intermittantly that day? Didn't seem to affect the radar....

One could always drive the speed limit to avoid a speeding ticket.... Not very fun or high tech, but it works 100% of the time.

Naaah, that's too easy.
-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4116. Re: need advise of how to fix broken chip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990607192127.24866.00001445@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 116046

Im sure a professional could replace the chip. However, there is an epoxy that is conductive. Check your local radio electronics parts place. Ive also seen it in catalogues like Digikey and Mouser.

4117. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <Doggtired@pcm.net>
Message-ID: <375C5487.2136084D@pcm.net>
Source record: 116047
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting,misc.emergency.services,alt.med.ems

As a former volunteer firefighter for 10 years, and a current paramedic, I think that your last comment was less than accurate and less than fair. Please dont associate other peoples morbid curiousities and desire to be nosy with those of people who have a duty to respond and deal with incidents. I actually bought my first scanner a few months ago.. to listen to tower talk and figure out what things are like in my area before I start taking lessons.

I can tell by your post that you have no respect for volunteer firefighters and medics. You must be so very lucky to live in an area that has adequate resources to provide you paid fire and EMS 24 hours a day 7 days a week. 90% of the surface area of the USA is just the opposite and rely on people doing a professional job for free.

And yes, we have some ya-hoos... but then again, just about everyplace and everything does too. If you really loathe volunteers, then you better hope you never have to land off the airport or have an emergency that prevents you from making it to the city limits of a major metropolitan area, because thats who is going to show up.

St Stephen Ames wrote:

> Why don't you become a volunteer fireman or paramedic if you are into
> the horrible tragedy involved in such disasters and put your morbid
> sense of curiousity to some good use...
>
> John Moran wrote:
> >
> > A few years ago, i was having dinner and ther was an incident at LAX
> > involving US-AIR and Skywest. naturally, i had my scanner with me like I
> > always do.
> >
> > There was activity on the TV and radio news frequencies, Airline company
> > frequencies, air traffic control, airport operations, airport fire/safety,
> > city fire/ems, and city police
> >
> > now, I often have two scanners with me...this way I can monitor different
> > sets of frequencies. In cases like air disasters, two come in handy.
> >
> > --
> >
> > ---
> > JOHN/PHX jamoran@analon.com (buildmypc@analon.com)
> > Powerful Computers at affordable prices http://www.analon.com
> > 800-873-5884

> > Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry I Love Unix!
> > vi..my favorite text editor (: 310-346-7404) X-Windows :)
> >
> > +Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!+
> > +if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check group+
> > ---
>
> --
> Till the next time,
> St Stephen Ames
> PP-ASEL(IR in progress)
> My flying site: http://www.stephenames.com/flying/flying.html
> --
> "When my abilities equal my committment and desire,
> I will be one hell of a pilot!"

4118. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Barry L. Geipel" <bgeipel@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <01beb13e$c9fad420$5dc9bbc0@mauser>
Source record: 116048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> > Considering that I was "surfing the net" before it was even
> > *possible* with MS-Windows, you might want to choose a different
> > analogy. Sigh.
> You must be talking about bulletin boards or usenet.
> >

Many of us were been using the Internet for years before MS-Windows could
even begin
to talk to the Internet using UNIX. Telnet, ftp, NFS, gopher, Archie and
hundreds of Internet apps were
online long before MS-Windows even knew what TCP/IP was! Remember that
Mosaic (Netscape)
was first written as an X-Window app!

Barry - AD6HR

4119. AR1000-PROgrammit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990607193431.24866.00001449@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 116049

ANyone out there using PROgrammit and the serial interface for programming thier AOR 1000? I have everything made and working in manual mode. but it errors out when I try to Auto Program with it. ANy help would be appreciated. Thanks, Ira cooper

4120. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Richard R. Plourde (Dick)" <rplourde@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7jhljn$g23$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Marv Luse wrote in message <375BD836.F75508B4@dimensional.com>...
:Jeffrey Herman wrote:
:
:> In article
<E5E573735E9E215D.EB338127CC2FD64F.A31103FBE294572E@lp.airnews.net>,
:> . <@.> wrote:
:> >The guy studied CW so much that he got psychotic and started hearing CW
=
:> >from things which weren't there. :)
:>
:> Oh, the items ("things" as you say), are there, and they can make
:> noices that sound like code. E.g., my washing machine sends Ds:
:> -.. -.. -.. -.. et cetera while it's running.
:>
:> 73, Jeff KH6O
:
:My coffee maker sends "Paul is dead" backwards. :-)
:
:73,
:
:Marv
:AB0IO
:

How about Beethoven's V ..._ =:->8

--
73 de Dick, N1SJM
http://home.att.net/~rplourde/
http://www.qsl.net/n1sjm/
```

4121. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7jhlu6$1ud@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116051
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In <375d5292.2201773@news.sausage.com.au> craig@pacific.net.sg (Craig Welch) writes:
>

>Now why would that be?
>The media listen to scanners just as you do ...
>
>-- Craig

I'm a media videographer.

they just do. it's strange how they do it, but only in tv news does this occur. they read and read what the wires say and use that as "facts".

yet, after I get away and bring my video in to editors and see what the wires are saying, it's not where I was when there.

it's a different world . Was I at that location, checking the exact time and location?

you have to understand how tv news is played and who are the players who gather it. usually college students ....

interns. they screw up and move on when their time is up and collect their "grade"...How Special.

TV NEWS is immediate. The more immediate it is the more happy the producers are. Guess what suffers. question mark.

NEWS authenticity. Accuracy.

When I do my sound bites of witnesses and officials to get the official story, what

comes out on the airing before
I get to the stations is very
different than what i am told...

facts are never fluid...they
get stale real fast and the
news readers are just too too
lazy to change them as they come
on with new info.

just get used to it. In tv land.

all they want is the money made
off tv advertising in the news
hours.....at some stations it's
3 and 1/2 hour of news in the
morning. Non-stop.

Listen to News radio...they
seem to do a better job.

HINT: lots of TV stations
use what they hear on News Radio
airings for their readers...and
most of the time Radio is right
on the money...but they make big
mistakes too.

IMMEDIACY is a non-fact item: it
guarantees things are going to be
wrong.

Read a newspaper. Your source
of accurate news reporting 98
percent of time...unless they
get their facts from the wires.

bill conduit
etc.

4122. Re: need advise of how to fix broken chip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jhn0h$4je$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116052
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Robert wrote in message ...
>Ok here is what I know. a friend tried to do the dis tap to his scanner.
I
>guess he Saudered the wrong pin. When he tried to remove it the pin broke
>off!!!

How in the hell did he do that?!?!

Never mind, I bet I don't want to know....

So on the side of the chip where the pin broke off is the metal.
>Its there but you can barely see it. and the board where the pin should be
>can be seen. I put a wire touching from the chip to the board and it
works.
>Sound is restored. Question Since the chip cannot be saudered what should
>he do. The wire can be saudered to the board but not the chip. Is there
>any type of substance that is like "gum" I guess that can be fitted between
>the two contacts that conducts electricity??? I think he is screwed...

Does your pal have some superglue? If you hold the wire so that contact is restored, and then drop a little superglue on the connection, it should hold, provided the scanner doesn't get bounced around too much. Then add a couple more drops for strength. The key will be to make sure you maintain contact while the glue sets, so make your bonehead friend hold it in place for a good five minutes. ;-) Just be careful not to glue your hand to the chip and/or wire, or you'll really give them something to talk about at the emergency room....

Of course a professional would do a much better job, replacing the broken chip with a new one. Trouble is I would think such a repair would cost you at least $100 in labor alone, since he/she will remove the chip pin by pin, then remove all the old solder from the pads, then resolder the new chip in place and reassemble the radio. These folks usually work by the hours, so you can tack on a few more $$$ if he or she needs to crack open a handheld and disassemble half of it to get to the broken chip.

Tell me. Was this a new, still under warranty radio? If so, smack your pal upside the head for me, would ya? Thanks...

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4123. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375C614C.24A7@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116053
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

```
Rudi Mulder wrote:
>
> M.J.Powell heeft geschreven in bericht ...
> >In article <7j8mo8$473$4@news1.xs4all.nl>, Rudi Mulder
> ><mulder36@xs4all.nl> writes
> >>
> >>>>
> >>>> think everything originated from Holland LOL
> >>>> >--
> >>>> >M.J.Powell.
> >>>I beg to differ
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>well begging is allowed :)
> >>to differ in this, is to deny the truth
> >>can't have that now can we :)
> >>
> >>i know it hurts a bit the truth but we all be soon a costumed to this LOL
> >>
> >>
> >>RM
> >
> >A short note to say that I didn't write the above.
> >
> >Mike
> >--
> >M.J.Powell.
>
> i know Mike
>
> obi wrote beg to differ
> sorry for confusion
>
> greets RM
You are weak Darth
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
```

4124. Re: FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <375C6076.642BBF41@megsinet.net>
Source record: 116054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Rich Wells, N2MCA" wrote:
Hello Rich,

Your scanner reviews are great. Keep up the good work. It
must consume a lot of time and energy!

One correction -- manufacturers don't get to approve
my Scanner Equipment reviews in Monitoring Times.

> ... I have almost no
> contact with the manufacturers. If you knew anything about
> what you claim to, you'd know that most manufacturers don't
> think too much of my reviews since I can be overly critical
> and it peeves them to no end since they don't get a chance
> to 'approve' them like they do for the magazines.
>
> Rich Wells N2MCA
> http://www.strongsignals.net/

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

4125. Re: Emergency Monitoring-Why not built-in monitors?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375C64C0.147C@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116055
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

1 Angry Man wrote:
>
> O©╣
> >
> >RADIO MAN <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
> >
> >:Kenneth Allan Mitchell wrote:
> >:>
> >:> Why not designate channel 9 with tone 9 ("99") or channel 9 with tone
> >:> 11 ("911") for an emergency coordination/calling channel. This
> >:> information would need to be diseminated so everyone, including search
> >:> & rescue and public safety entities, will recognize the channel and
> >:> possibly monitor/use the channel during such missions.
> >:>
> >:> Just a thought.
> >:>
> >:> --
> >:> Kenneth Allan Mitchell
> >:> mailto:nokones@ix.netcom.com
> >:Channel 9 is a CB channel not an FRS channel
> >
> >Correction! Channel 9 is a TV station.
>
> WGN channel 9 Chicago IL "Go Cubs"!!!!
>
> >
> >Barry
> >==========
> >Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> >Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
>
> --
> ==
> "I would never belong to any group,
> that would have me as a member!"
> Grocho Marx
> ==
> Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
> at least two, and they usually stink !!!
> ==
> Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
All sports stinks. They get paid to much to have fun

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4126. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375C651A.C73@ameritech.net>
Source record: 116056
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Ralph Burnett wrote:
>
> Record the tones -- call your pager -- play back the tones -- look at your
> pagers display. (The rocket science class is next week :-|)
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <375743BA.1017@ameritech.net>...
> >C. Johnson wrote:
> >>
> >> I wouldn't suppose it would be impossible to do. If you're listening to
> >> someone go into their voice mail, you can hear the tones they use for
> their
> >> password. Once you're in, you can do anything you want. I'm not an
> expert,
> >> but that's how I think it would work.
> >>
> >> EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote in message
> >> news:b6C53.1285$EU4.427@stones...
> >> >
> >> > Darren Mulligan <dmul3@excite.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:3756A178.42598A4F@excite.com...
> >> > > Their address is 2020@abc.com
> >> > >
> >> > > OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
> >> > > >
> >> > > > I was just wondering if anybody seen the news program about
> cordless
> >> > > > phones. They mentioned some guy with a scanner was getting info on
> >> his
> >> > > > neighbors and tapping into their voice mail boxes. No where did
> they
> >> > > > mention the good that scanner people do. Very one sided. Very
> >> > > > sensational type of newscast. What about Skywarn/Canwarn, helping
> >> > > > police? What about listening to the Space Shuttle? Very porrly
> done.
> >> > > > --
> >> > > > REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >> > > > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
> >> > >
> >> >
> >> > typical bloody media, thick as poop !, since when can you "tap" into a
> >> > voicemail system with a damm scanner ?. listen to it perhaps but
> >> impossible
> >> > to "use" it

> >> >
> >> > usual story
> >> >
> >> > gov, backed mis-information to get rid of scanners...
> >> >
> >> > **ck 'em
> >> >
> >> > eavsdropper
> >> >
> >> >
> >SO YOU HEAR THE TONES. BUT YOU WOULD HAVE TO KNOW WHAT TONES.
> >--
> >REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
Well I don't get it. What is it supposed to do?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4127. Re: Urban Legend or Wild Imagination? You be the judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jhnmm$4r5$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116057

Marcus R. Matos wrote in message <73Y63.945$h14.3635@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>...
>I don't get it?

ummm.... OK.....

Well alright, here is the exact text off of the site, typos and all:
"Pro 91 Cellular Analyzer:

The Pro-91 is a handheld self-containd unit. The Pro-91 is housed
inside a Pro-91 Radio Shack scanner wich gives it a very unique
design. This unit will record the esn/min and other useful technical
information. Holds up to 1000 records in memory. Plus this unit
has a some special features. Includes: Detachable flexible antenna,
belt clip, rechargable batteries, charger/power adapter and leather
case."

along with it they posted a picture of the Pro-91 OBVIOUSLY scanned out of
the RS catalog. They didn't even bother to alter the picture to "show" this
marvelous device. There's no prices, no technical specs, nothing. That's it.

You mean to tell me I'm the only one who found this website even mildly
hilarious??? I had to hold the desk to stay in the chair!

What if I were to tell you that the Pro-91 isn't cellular capable? AT ALL???

Now do you get it?

Hmmm... maybe I'm just too easily amused. Perhaps I should email these folks
and <snicker> ask them how I can <ha ha> make my Pro-91 into a <ho ho>
"cellular analyzer" version....not.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4128. Re: Urban Legend or Wild Imagination? You be the judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marcus R. Matos" <raydr@minionsfromhell.com>
Message-ID: <XGZ63.1667$_U4.29082@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 116058

OMG hahah now I'm laughing.
That IS the scanner I was looking at in RS. This guy says it holds "1000 records", yet the picture itself says (semi clearly) "150 Channel Direct Entry".

Wow.

Marcus R. Matos <raydr@minionsfromhell.com> wrote in message news:0FZ63.1665$_U4.29055@newsr1.twcny.rr.com...
> Oh, I understand now *smirk*.
> I guess I've just seen too many of these sites to see it your way.
> Plus..., I know nothing about that scanner.
>
> Wait...I just now got back from radio shack. (was buying a component
> tester).
> They have those out on display.... 150 channels, trunk tracker, $129 I
> think? They also had a 200 chan one with alot less features for like $199.
I
> may be wrong with prices, cuz i don't really pay much attention to Radio
> Shack scanners.
> Hmm....
> Let's email this guy and see how much he wants for it?
>
> Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:7jhnmm$4r5$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com...
> >
> > Marcus R. Matos wrote in message
> <73Y63.945$h14.3635@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>...
> > >I don't get it?
> >
> >
> > ummm.... OK.....
> >
> > Well alright, here is the exact text off of the site, typos and all:
> > "Pro 91 Cellular Analyzer:
> >
> > The Pro-91 is a handheld self-containd unit. The Pro-91 is housed
> > inside a Pro-91 Radio Shack scanner wich gives it a very unique
> > design. This unit will record the esn/min and other useful technical
> > information. Holds up to 1000 records in memory. Plus this unit
> > has a some special features. Includes: Detachable flexible antenna,
> > belt clip, rechargable batteries, charger/power adapter and leather
> > case."
> >
> > along with it they posted a picture of the Pro-91 OBVIOUSLY scanned out

of
> > the RS catalog. They didn't even bother to alter the picture to "show"
> this
> > marvelous device. There's no prices, no technical specs, nothing. That's
> it.
> >
> > You mean to tell me I'm the only one who found this website even mildly
> > hilarious??? I had to hold the desk to stay in the chair!
> >
> > What if I were to tell you that the Pro-91 isn't cellular capable? AT
> ALL???
> >
> > Now do you get it?
> >
> > Hmmm... maybe I'm just too easily amused. Perhaps I should email these
> folks
> > and <snicker> ask them how I can <ha ha> make my Pro-91 into a <ho ho>
> > "cellular analyzer" version....not.
> >
> > -Phil
> >
> > -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
> > -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4129. Re: Urban Legend or Wild Imagination? You be the judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marcus R. Matos" <raydr@minionsfromhell.com>
Message-ID: <0FZ63.1665$_U4.29055@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 116059

Oh, I understand now *smirk*.
I guess I've just seen too many of these sites to see it your way.
Plus..., I know nothing about that scanner.

Wait...I just now got back from radio shack. (was buying a component
tester).
They have those out on display.... 150 channels, trunk tracker, $129 I
think? They also had a 200 chan one with alot less features for like $199. I
may be wrong with prices, cuz i don't really pay much attention to Radio
Shack scanners.
Hmm....
Let's email this guy and see how much he wants for it?

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7jhnmm$4r5$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com...
>
> Marcus R. Matos wrote in message
<73Y63.945$h14.3635@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>...
> >I don't get it?
>
>
> ummm.... OK.....
>
> Well alright, here is the exact text off of the site, typos and all:
> "Pro 91 Cellular Analyzer:
>
> The Pro-91 is a handheld self-containd unit. The Pro-91 is housed
> inside a Pro-91 Radio Shack scanner wich gives it a very unique
> design. This unit will record the esn/min and other useful technical
> information. Holds up to 1000 records in memory. Plus this unit
> has a some special features. Includes: Detachable flexible antenna,
> belt clip, rechargable batteries, charger/power adapter and leather
> case."
>
> along with it they posted a picture of the Pro-91 OBVIOUSLY scanned out of
> the RS catalog. They didn't even bother to alter the picture to "show"
this
> marvelous device. There's no prices, no technical specs, nothing. That's
it.
>
> You mean to tell me I'm the only one who found this website even mildly
> hilarious??? I had to hold the desk to stay in the chair!
>
> What if I were to tell you that the Pro-91 isn't cellular capable? AT
ALL???

>
> Now do you get it?
>
> Hmmm... maybe I'm just too easily amused. Perhaps I should email these
folks
> and <snicker> ask them how I can <ha ha> make my Pro-91 into a <ho ho>
> "cellular analyzer" version....not.
>
> -Phil
>
> -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
> -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>
>
>
>
>

4130. Re: pro-2004 backlight?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brother Paul <pwick@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7jhpeg$fti@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 116060

"Jim H. P." wrote:
>
> No, but if you find a source. Let me know too..
>
> JHP

Put some juice in that dim bulb!
Radio Shack has 'em. But not in the regular catalog.
Ask for the parts catalog, or the store manager can get it for you.

4131. Re: Urban Legend or Wild Imagination? You be the judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill McCrory <wmccrory@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <375C74AA.24F8@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Source record: 116061

Phil,

What exactly is there about the device in this link that you find
unbelievable? Before you answer, why don't you show the link to the
fraud investigators at your two local cellular carriers. They might
give you some interesting insight into ESN-grabbing techniques.

Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> Saw this in Alt.2600 which is good for mindless entertainment every now and
> then.
>
> Make sure you have no soda or anything in your mouth that might splatter all
> over your computer when you laugh, and then click on the link:
> http://www.angelfire.com/biz3/xcomm0/pro.html
>
> These guys ARE kidding, right????
>
> You gotta see it. That's all I can say. I can't believe anyone would be dumb
> enough to fall for this. Then again......
>
> Oh well, just go look at it. Good for a laugh if you're Monday is going
> anything like mine has.
>
> --
> -Phil
>
> -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
> -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4132. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <928810002.418.24@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 116062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes. Shave and a haircut, also. It doesn't help much at faster speeds,
though.

Richard R. Plourde (Dick) <rplourde@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:7jhljn$g23$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net...
> How about Beethoven's V ..._ =:->8

4133. Re: Speeding; was "Tips dealing with police.."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7ji1ur$8md$1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 116063

>One could always drive the speed limit to avoid a speeding ticket.... Not
>very fun or high tech, but it works 100% of the time.
>
>Naaah, that's too easy.
>-Phil

Not 100% of the time. Don't forget about the "basic speed law," at least in California.
Many speeding tickets are written as violations of 22350 CVC, which says:

"22350. No person shall drive a vehicle upon a highway at a speed greater than is reasonable or prudent having due regard for weather, visibility, the traffic on, and the surface and width of, the highway, and in no event at a speed which endangers the safety of persons or property."

NO reference at all there to speed limits, which are addressed in 22348, 22349, 22352 etc.

Which means you can be cited for going, say, 25 in a 55 zone if weather or other conditions make it unsafe. OTOH, theoretically, anyway, you COULD try to defend a speed above the posted limit, under 22351 (b) which says,

(b) The speed of any vehicle upon a highway in excess of the prima facie speed limits in Section 22352 or established as authorized in this code is prima facie unlawful unless the defendant establishes by competent evidence that the speed in excess of said limits did not constitute a violation of the basic speed law at the time, place and under the conditions then existing."

Lots of luck on THAT one though.

Also, since their job and training is to observe and deal with vehicle operation and speed, day in and day out, most California courts recognize CHP officers as "expert witnesses" as to a vehicle's speed, whether or not their observation is supported by their radar gun's reading.

Harry Marnell, N6URU
~~~> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells
~~~

4134. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net>
Message-ID: <375CA1A9.1136DCFC@jps.net>
Source record: 116064

Shack23 wrote:

> Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net wrote:
>
> >Sorry to see that you disrespect the law so much. Where were you when
> >the ECPA was being drafted and discussed?
> >
>
> DISRESPECT???? Hold on a minute here...Would you RESPECT ANY LAW, regardless of
> it's consequences? How many of your freedoms would have to be snatched away by
> YOUR GOVERNMENT, on behalf of rich, power hungy industries, like CELLULAR
> TELEPHONE? Don't you know THEY are the ones that got all that PRIVACY CRAP
> started, because they were lying to their customers about CELL PHONE CALL
> PRIVACY? By now, they would have been entangled in a mound of legal battles,
> had they not RAILROADED that GARBAGE through the necessary legal channels.
> Would you support a law that was diametrical to the US CONSTITUTION, like
> removing your RIGHT to HAVE AND BARE ARMS, just because a bunch of stupid
> lobbyist, (and their money), managed to get it THROUGH? Where does one draw the
> line, and challenge this willy-nilly, piecemeal removal of ALL our rights?
> Thank God we still have AT LEAST a PORTION of the Constitution intact, such as
> the part allowing me to write this. When ALL of it is gone, what then? Oh, and
> to answer your question about where I was when the ECPA was being DRAFTED...
> Like you, and everyone else, I was sitting here helpless, as the powers that
> be brought us down one more notch..OBEYING the law is one thing, RESPECTING it
> is another! ... Joey

AMEN Bro!
One again Clint is off base as usual!
Hay Joey! I know it's hard to do some times but just try to ignore Clint's babbling. It does no good to try and talk sense to him.

David Leeper

4135. european scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: sharding@well.com
Message-ID: <sharding-0706992222490001@juliet.dogcow.org>
Source record: 116065

I have a friend travelling in Europe (at least Germany and France; possibly elsewhere also) in a few weeks. I'm wondering if there are any good scanners available in Europe that aren't available in the US that I should consider having him pick up. I'm in the market for a new scanner soon anyway, so I thought this might be a good opportunity to get something I wouldn't otherwise have access to. Is it even legal to buy a scanner in Europe and bring it to the US? I'm never clear on the FCC regs....

Thanks.

sean

--
Sean Harding sharding@well.com |"art may imitate life
http://gladstone.uoregon.edu/~sharding/ | but life imitates t.v."
Consulting: http://www.efn.org/~seanh/ | --ani difranco

4136. Freq and PL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Grzeskiewicz <johnradio@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <375CA797.9B348BE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 116066

Does anybody have frequencies and PL's for LAPD, LASO, LA County Fire, etc.?

Or if you know how I can find in a database (free or for sale), please send me an e-mail.

Thank you.
--
John Grzeskiewicz

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE
The information contained in this electronic message is confidential and/or privileged.
This message is intended only for the individual(s) named in the header. If the reader
of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review,
dissemination, forwarding or copying of this message or the information contained herein
is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify
the sender by e-mail identifying the message by subject title and destroy the message
in all forms, electronic and printed.

4137. Wanted: Oregon Search And Rescue freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgilmore1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20487-375CAA34-31@newsd-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 116067

Who has the Oregon Search and Rescue trunked id's or frequencies? I am really interested in there top searches.

4138. Pro-2050 (radioshack) any tips??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgilmore1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20487-375CAA91-33@newsd-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 116068

Does anyone know any special tricks or functions for the RadioShack
Pro-2050 base scanner?

4139. Re: BNC connector question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgilmore1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20487-375CACED-35@newsd-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 116069

Usually the BNC connector is connected to the scanner by taking off the mobile antenae and affixing the outside lead-in on it.

4140. Trunked Id search database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgilmore1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20487-375CB518-43@newsd-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 116070

Does the FCC (or anybody else) have a trunked Id search database for various services and businesses in the Oregon, USA area?

4141. Re: european scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: sharding@well.com
Message-ID: <sharding-0706992357440001@juliet.dogcow.org>
Source record: 116071

In article <375CBBFA.A494862F@less.net>, Robert <spam@less.net> wrote:

> seized and your buddy would probably be fined. Considering
> what bad press the cellular scanners are getting lately, I have
> a feeling that if he did get caught (however unlikely), he would be

Yeah...I'm not really that interested in the cellular capability anyway;
that's easy enough to get domestically if I really wanted it. I just
figured that there might be some nice model that wouldn't otherwise be
available (or would be significantly cheaper). However, I don't think it's
really worth the risk...

sean

--
Sean Harding sharding@well.com |"art may imitate life
http://gladstone.uoregon.edu/~sharding/ | but life imitates t.v."
Consulting: http://www.efn.org/~seanh/ | --ani difranco

4142. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <kb9lpk-3@foxvalley.net>
Message-ID: <375CA124.5E91C826@foxvalley.net>
Source record: 116072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Greg Baker wrote:
>
> What about Tech Plus license holders?
>
> Sir wrote in message <373B7360.5E20@sir.com>...
> >Michael Young wrote:
> >>
> >> I have great news for you! You CAN become a ham without learning Morse
> >> code. Looking at FCC Part 97, you can qualify for a Technician's license
> >> with only a written procedure and absolutely minimal theory test. No
> >> Morse code test!
> >>
> >> It seems your wish has been granted, and quite some time ago at that.
> >
> >The technician license does NOT allow you to use ANY of the Ham bands
> >below 6 meters. Thus you DO have to pass a Morse Code test if you want
> >to be a HAM without restrictions. A technician license is like a
> >fishing license that only allows you to fish in a small fraction of
> >small lakes. Only you don't have to know Morse Code for the fishing
> >license with no restricions on lakes.

Who cares about what the military uses we are not the military
and by the way a few special forces still are taught it guess who?

Some people still collect and use old HF gear older than most of
us. Still sounds good on the bands so are they obsolete beyond
use? Get real and quit beating a dead horse issue. Remember and
respect your roots! After all without amateur radio opertors/developers
where would communications be today,so let us all enjoy the NOS... ok!
--
The Box said" Win95,Win98,NT 4.0 or better"
So I installed Linux ! #;)
73 de David kb9lpk <><

e-mail:kb9lpk-3@foxvalley.net
homepage:http://users.foxvalley.net/~kb9lpk-3

Remember: You have the right to remain silent.
Anything you say will be misquoted, and then used againts you!

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

4143. jax florida mdt freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: milguy11@aol.com
Message-ID: <AGF63.50$bq.40431@ratbert.tds.net>
Source record: 116140

would like the pd's mdt freq and type (if known)...

thx -- pls respond via email -- i may miss a reply here to the group

d

4144. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <375b37a6.40947004@news>
Source record: 116141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 05 Jun 1999 08:48:53 GMT, Clint Bradford
<clintbrad4d@earthlink.net> wrote:

>When you approached PopComm with this information, what was their reply
>to you?

Do you work on the weekends?

I figure they don't, either.

>If I remember correctly, PopComm subscriptions can be acquired with a
>simply postcard that's included in every copy - and they'll bill you.

My point exactly.

4145. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <375b3812.41055387@news>
Source record: 116142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 05 Jun 1999 09:33:23 GMT, dougb@railfan.com (Doug Bailey)
wrote:

>On Sat, 05 Jun 1999 05:07:43 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva Nataraja)
>wrote:
>
>>
>>A word of caution: If you value your credit card information, do NOT
>>sign up for a subscription to Popular Communications magazine via the
>>WWW signup form at https://pocono.railfan.com:443/popcomm/popsub.html.
>>Even though they claim that the signup form is "secure", the data you
>>submit is apparently SENT VIA UNSECURE EMAIL to the subscription
>>address! If you were thinking of subscribing, I strongly suggest that
>>you use another means.
>>
>
>I am the webmaster for popular-communications.com and I would like to
>respond to this gentleman's comments. First, as you can see from the
>URL,which begins with https, it is an address on a secure webserver.
>If you go to the order page, you'll notice that your web browser does
>display the lock symbol (Netscape) or the key symbol (IE) indicating a
>secure connection.

No one is disputing that.

>The gentleman who posted above is corrected that the store software
>e-mails your order, but the e-mail box is on the same physical server
>as the secure webserver. No one on the internet has access to that
>mail box. The mail never actually leaves the machine on which the
>secure webserver runs.

In that case, it might be a good idea if you said this somewhere on
your web site (preferably on the submission form, so it cannot be
missed). As currently implemented, (would be) customers have no way
of knowing this.

In any case, thanks for clearing that up.

4146. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7jfdsf$pse@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 116143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <E5E573735E9E215D.EB338127CC2FD64F.A31103FBE294572E@lp.airnews.net>,
. <@.> wrote:
>The guy studied CW so much that he got psychotic and started hearing CW =
>from things which weren't there. :)

Oh, the items ("things" as you say), are there, and they can make
noices that sound like code. E.g., my washing machine sends Ds:
-.. -.. -.. -.. et cetera while it's running.

73, Jeff KH6O

4147. trunktracker programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: MattThurston <mattthurston@mciworld.com>
Message-ID: <01beaf19$0d989820$a27ffad0@default>
Source record: 116144

i have two questions. can you program a trunktracker so you only hear certain talkgroups. and if you have a computer controlled receiver without trunktracker capabilities, can you run software to give it trunktracking ability? thanks

4148. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <375B45A3.1CA0E8BA@INVALID.com>
Source record: 116145

Shiva Nataraja wrote:

> >On Sat, 05 Jun 1999 05:07:43 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva Nataraja)
> >wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>A word of caution: If you value your credit card information, do NOT
> >>sign up for a subscription to Popular Communications magazine via the
> >>WWW signup form at https://pocono.railfan.com:443/popcomm/popsub.html.
> >>Even though they claim that the signup form is "secure", the data you
> >>submit is apparently SENT VIA UNSECURE EMAIL to the subscription
> >>address! If you were thinking of subscribing, I strongly suggest that
> >>you use another means.
> >>
> >
> >I am the webmaster for popular-communications.com and I would like to
> >respond to this gentleman's comments. First, as you can see from the
> >URL,which begins with https, it is an address on a secure webserver.
> >If you go to the order page, you'll notice that your web browser does
> >display the lock symbol (Netscape) or the key symbol (IE) indicating a
> >secure connection.
>
> No one is disputing that.
>
> >The gentleman who posted above is corrected that the store software
> >e-mails your order, but the e-mail box is on the same physical server
> >as the secure webserver. No one on the internet has access to that
> >mail box. The mail never actually leaves the machine on which the
> >secure webserver runs.
>
> In that case, it might be a good idea if you said this somewhere on
> your web site (preferably on the submission form, so it cannot be
> missed). As currently implemented, (would be) customers have no way
> of knowing this.
>
> In any case, thanks for clearing that up.

"I'm terribly sorry" would have been a more appropriate response Shiva. How many potential customers do you think you denied "Popular Communications" with your unsubstantiated allegation?

(Reposted in its entirety, decide for yourself.)

4149. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <JwJ63.1005$_U4.28520@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 116146

Clint Bradford wrote in message <7je7j0$188$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <fHq63.852$_U4.27532@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>,
> "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>
>>> Citizens had no say in the law.
>
>You are an ineffective citizen if you truly believe that.

Bullshit. Do you even know how the government works? Not what they teach
in High School Civics Class, but how it REALLY operates?

"Effective citizens" have tons of money, or they aren't heard.

4150. Need your Scanner Modified or Unblocked 4 cell ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: dethmetalv@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990607031200.18218.00002262@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 116147

If you are looking for a tech to modify your scanner,
E-Mail me with the make, model and first 4 digits of your serial number of your scanner and i will reply to you with a price.
If interested after a quote, we can make arrangments to ship radio to be modified.
Any questions..??..Ask away

dethmetalv@aol.com

4151. Re: PRO-70

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mel Larsen <mland@cland.net>
Message-ID: <375B7A6D.512DA062@cland.net>
Source record: 116148

Tarun,

I don't have the MODS you seek. But where in these United States can
one find the 70 for $30.00????? The best I have heard is $59.95.
Should All RS stores honor the $30.00? Is there a bulletin out on it?

Thanks for any info you can share.

Mel
mland@cland.net

Tarun Tuli wrote:

> Does anyone have any mods for the PRO-70?
>
> I picked one up today clearance from Radio Shack for about $30US.
>
> Thanks.

4152. Trade WinRadio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean Ledger <dean.ledger@port.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <375B7FD5.7DF7F9CE@port.ac.uk>
Source record: 116149

Due to upgrade I have a WinRadio1000i for trade for a UHF/VHF base or handheld or HF set?

Dean

4153. manual wanted,pro 2036

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9pug@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7jg5abjul1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116150

In need of a printed or e-mailed manual for a pro 2036. will pay for printing and postage, or would appreciate info on where to download "COMPLETE" manual, not pieces like at RS home page. thanks, greg...

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4154. Re: What scanner to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <375B99C9.7B85FF0C@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 116151

Steve Conn wrote:
> I want to purchase a scanner that covers all bands and will work via a rs232
> port on my computer

Stop by my Computer Control page:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/compctrl.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

4155. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <YRM63.51$up4.171@news.flash.net>
Source record: 116152

In article <JwJ63.1005$_U4.28520@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>, dave@nf2g.com says...
>
>Clint Bradford wrote in message <7je7j0$188$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>>In article <fHq63.852$_U4.27532@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>,
>> "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> Citizens had no say in the law.
>>
>>You are an ineffective citizen if you truly believe that.
>
>
>Bullshit. Do you even know how the government works? Not what they teach
>in High School Civics Class, but how it REALLY operates?
>
>"Effective citizens" have tons of money, or they aren't heard.
>
Very Well Put.....

Take back the Country before King Klinton re-writes the Constitution....

Everytime King Klinton says "Its for the good of the children" I grab for my
wallet cause I know it gonna cost me more money or less freedoms.

http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine, Home of the first Radio
website with a copy of the U.S. Constitution (pre King Klinton days).

George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

--
____
Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
____

4156. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: install <install@install.com>
Message-ID: <7jg5o5$gd0$1@news1.mpx.com.au>
Source record: 116153

>"I'm terribly sorry" would have been a more appropriate response Shiva.
How
>many potential customers do you think you denied "Popular Communications"
>with your unsubstantiated allegation?

Who gives a rats ??
dont EVER use your CC on the net AT ALL EVER!!!!!!!!!!!

4157. Re: trunktracker programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <375B9B70.C5D6D788@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 116154

MattThurston wrote:
> i have two questions. can you program a trunktracker so you only hear
> certain talkgroups.

Yes. Their called scan lists. Current generation TrunkTrackers from
Uniden have 5 scan lists per bank. Each scan list can hold 10 IDs.
Once you have programmed the IDs, you put the scanner in trunked
scan mode and can then choose which of the 5 scan lists get scanned.

> and if you have a computer controlled receiver without
> trunktracker capabilities, can you run software to give it trunktracking
> ability?

Yes, you can use TRUNKER (Motorola), ETRUNK (EDACS) or TrunkTrac.
But you need two receivers; one to tap the discriminator to
decode the control channel via software, while the other is used
to tune the frequency to follow the desired transmission.

Try http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/dfw/digital.htm
http://www.scannermaster.com/prod03.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

4158. Re: 10 codes -- md and pa state police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: cray2141@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7jgalclbv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116155

Maryland State Police (MSP) uses the standard APCO 10 signals. Go to
the following address
http://www.owlnet.rice.edu/~ecarlson/scanner/apco.html.

Happy scanning.

In article <7j634dghf1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
grimey7@my-deja.com wrote:
> If anyone knows the Maryland State Police 10-codes, would you please
> send them my way. Also, I have the 10-codes for the Pennsylvania State
> Police along w/ many, many frequencies for Southcentral/Western PA and
> Allegany County Maryland. Thanks.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4159. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "HIDDEN TRUTH"
Message-ID: <375ba971.565035@news.total.net>
Source record: 116156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
a �crit dans le message (Re: Morse code)...

>Thats a big TEN - FOUR Good Buddy!

>Thomas Jones wrote:

>> >>How much you wanna bet that code will be gone in less than >>6months from
>> the tests?

>> Yeah, then the next thing that would happen is that the HAM bands would
>> become a CB wasteland. Most CB'rs know enough to pass the tests, and when
>> they do...The bands will be just like another CB band.
>> Tom

80m and 20m are more of the garbages compared to CB bands here.

well, I don't hear anything on CB radios. so what do you say ??

4160. Re: Discriminator output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: wapcutthispart@ica.net
Message-ID: <375bb287.1760620@news>
Source record: 116157

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote:

>Andrew Porrett wrote in message <37579ec5.8613283@news>...
>>Excuse me? If it sounds distorted, it's going to make a lousy
>>source for a decoding application.

>Well, I don't know about that... My 895 sounds a bit distorted if I
>play the discriminator audio thru the PC speakers, but the source
>lets the PC decode MDT and Flex like a champ! I have a 2026 that I
>also have tapped, and it is nowhere near as good as the 895.

Phil, I'll leave it to you to figure out what part of the
audio chain you're overloading...

...Andy

4161. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jgncbpsf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>>When you approached PopComm with this information, what was their
>>reply to you?

> Do you work on the weekends?

Yes, I happen to.

I find it amusing that people LOVE to scream "bloody murder" for perceived wrongs in newsgroups...BEFORE actually contacting the source and seeing what the true situation is.

This thread is a PERFECT example of someone NOT knowing what they were talking about, yet spewing false accusations regarding PopComm anyway.

I would like to think that either you or I would have waited until we had first-hand information BEFORE we slammed anyone in public.

At least, that's how I operate online. I could be wrong.

- Clint Bradford

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4162. Re: SETI@home

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: foxcat@???chesco.com
Message-ID: <foxcat-0706991020080001@192.168.0.1>
Source record: 116159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"There is no extraterrestrial life out there. If there was, we'd be
sending them foreign aid."
-- Jay Leno

4163. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jgnhi$pu4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116160

In article <375B45A3.1CA0E8BA@INVALID.com>,
allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com> wrote:

> "I'm terribly sorry" would have been a more appropriate...

Naw...people would rather rant and scream BEFORE knowing the facts, no matter who is hurt in the process. It's human nature, I guess.

I am reminded daily by others' actions that it takes no I.Q. test to access the Internet.

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4164. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jgnof$q18$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116161

In article <JwJ63.1005$_U4.28520@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>,
"D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

> "Effective citizens" have tons of money, or they aren't heard.

I have been involved in politics for over twenty years. I have seen pending legislation affected by as little as a few letters from constituents.

And you are correct - "bigger" issues require more, well, let's call it "emphasis." That's when I will support lobbying groups and organization who have the clout to effect change.

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4165. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marv Luse <mwluse@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <375BD836.F75508B4@dimensional.com>
Source record: 116162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> In article <E5E573735E9E215D.EB338127CC2FD64F.A31103FBE294572E@lp.airnews.net>,
> . <@.> wrote:
> >The guy studied CW so much that he got psychotic and started hearing CW =
> >from things which weren't there. :)
>
> Oh, the items ("things" as you say), are there, and they can make
> noices that sound like code. E.g., my washing machine sends Ds:
> -.. -.. -.. -.. et cetera while it's running.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

My coffee maker sends "Paul is dead" backwards. :-)

73,

Marv
AB0IO

4166. Uniden Bearcat 80XLT or sportscat??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: firebird@gate.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11c5a6619d9f13e998968e@news.gate.net>
Source record: 116163

x:no-archive:yes

I am thinking of buying either a Uniden hand held
800mhz scanner. Which is the better the 80xlt or the
sportscat for just normal scanning? I'm not particularly
interested in sports channels. Also I noticed that the
frequencies on th xlt jump from 500 something to 800mhz.
Why is that? One more thing, can the xlt be modified like
I hear so much about? By a newbie that is. :)

--

Old Quote; "Necessity is the plea for every infringment of
human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
of slaves."

New Quote; "For the children, is the plea for every infringment
of human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
of slaves."

E. Vigilance 1998

4167. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <928767814.077.38@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 116164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Buddy, if you really do hear the "voices", you would know they don't
like it when you make fun of them. Beware! Yes, mine do Morse code, too,
but usually just jabber on in what I think is Cantonese.

Michael.

Marv Luse <mwluse@dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:375BD836.F75508B4@dimensional.com...
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> > In article
<E5E573735E9E215D.EB338127CC2FD64F.A31103FBE294572E@lp.airnews.net>,
> > . <@.> wrote:
> > >The guy studied CW so much that he got psychotic and started
hearing CW =
> > >from things which weren't there. :)
> >
> > Oh, the items ("things" as you say), are there, and they can make
> > noices that sound like code. E.g., my washing machine sends Ds:
> > -.. -.. -.. -.. et cetera while it's running.
> >
> > 73, Jeff KH6O
>
> My coffee maker sends "Paul is dead" backwards. :-)
>
> 73,
>
> Marv
> AB0IO
>

4168. any ny area traffic helicpter freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990607112031.14075.00001986@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 116165

hi, looking for some metro traffic freq, such as the helicopters calling base..kevin

4169. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: S <shoover@spam-awaycolumbus.rr.com>
Message-ID: <DJR63.11282$6y2.132837@storm.twcol.com>
Source record: 116166

there are tons of scanners on ebay for sale.....if they yank them you'll
know , as they stop every "illegal" auction they can find on their site....

Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:7jaooh$4em$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <7icstlsov1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> jammin5107@my-dejanews.com wrote:
> > It seems eBay has removed all scanners with cell capabily.
>
> If this is true: What a mature, gutsy, and responsible, business
> decision eBay has made.
>
> - Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
> http://www.clintbradford.com
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4170. Re: Outer Banks NC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Kendall <kendall@vt.edu>
Message-ID: <375BE7E8.83965E98@vt.edu>
Source record: 116167

Try this searchable database, courtesy of the FCC:

http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

You can search the data in several different ways; I've found it useful
in the past.

Van Reynolds wrote:
>
> I am looking for a up to date list of frequencies for the outer Banks of NC.
> I have seen an old list from 94 I think it is posted many places, but I'm
> looking for something more up to date.
>
> Thanks for any help,
> Van
> http://members.home.net/vanr1/

4171. Re: FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <375BEDD5.7711248@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 116168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Tom Welch wrote:
> As a casual scanner user, I once held Rich Wells in high regard
> for the effort he made on his website and thought of him as
> free from corporate influence, until I offered an opinion on
> the high number of AOR8200s for sale on newsgroups and
> auction sites.

You did not offer an opinion Tom. You tried to make others
believe you were stating facts.

> Rich Wells made it a point to use his website
> to attack me personally

There's a good laugh! I invite EVERYONE who wants to stop
by and read our discussion on the AR8200. Point your
browsers to:

http://www.strongsignals.net/wwwboard

and look under the 'AR8200' thread started on 06/03/99.

Just because others don't hold your viewpoints doesn't
mean they're on someone's payroll. And the discussing
of viewpoints is hardly what I would call a personal
attack (more like an persecution complex I think). And
it's fine if you personally want to despise the 8200.
Just don't expect everyone else to as well.

> even after admitting the AOR8200
> might have performance problems and not very user friendly,
> Rich Wells is just another corporate goon!

That will be the day. Especially since I have almost no
contact with the manufacturers. If you knew anything about
what you claim to, you'd know that most manufacturers don't
think too much of my reviews since I can be overly critical
and it peeves them to no end since they don't get a chance
to 'approve' them like they do for the magazines.

Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

4172. Re: SETI@home

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kamal <kamals@mpowernet.com>
Message-ID: <375BEF37.4918B139@mpowernet.com>
Source record: 116169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

heh...

The SIGINT possibilities for software like this are pretty dandy

4173. Re: Question about Ground Plane Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: someone@kmail.ksc.nasa.gov
Message-ID: <7jgtgc$o7r@niven.ksc.nasa.gov>
Source record: 116170

In article <19990606175020.29264.00001179@ng-fl1.aol.com>, batwanger@aol.com says...
>
>I have a Ground plane antenna. I think model 20-014, Its worked great in the
>Attic
>for nearly 2 years, Well my new Residence doesn't have a Attic, so I was
>thinking
>about standing the antenna up in a corner up as high as the raised ceiling
will
>allow, But how will the lack of the 3 horizontal planes affect general
>reception?
>In a variety of ranges?

Quite a bit, though you may find it still adequate. The GP radials not only determine the 'take off angle' ie gain, but also the counterpoise by which the antenna is resonant. In lay terms, it will be to some extent more numb across the band.

>Also what effect would flat white paint on the antenna have on performance?

None.

>Thanks for any help.
>David

4174. Motorola GP300 Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ant
Message-ID: <7jgusjkdl1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 116171

Need to program GP300, any info appreciated.

Have got details on programming lead - but still can't get manual (have tried Motorola site)

Where can I get the Motorola programming software.

Cheers
Ant

4175. Re: Bearcat 210 Owner's Manual???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David A. Lovell" <electra@tcon.net>
Message-ID: <375c21d4@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 116172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Evenen2ya <evenen2ya@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990605152612.07144.00000964@ng-ci1.aol.com...
> Does ANYONE know where I can download a text file of operating
instructions for
> the Bearcat 210 scanner? Thank you.
>
> Westernart@aol.com

Well, it's not on the net, but we'd be happy to make a reprint for you
for the bargain price of $12.95 prepaid, shipped same day US mail :)

Hope that helps.

Sincerely,

David A. Lovell, W9DAG
RF Eng./Pres.
Electra Corporation
www.electracorp.com
electra@tcon.net
"Makers of TigerScan" - from the originators of scanning monitors

4176. 1999 June VHF Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <375c08b4.5016608@news.hughes.net>
Source record: 116173

Hello All,

June 12 is just this weekend!!
Don't forget about the contest.
All bands 50 MHz and above!!
The biggest VHF Contest of the year!!
I am looking to make skeds for meteor scatter
during the contest.
For more info on the contest, or to make a sked
GOTO the URL or E-mail me.

URL:

http://kq6mu.8m.com

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU
DM04 Palmdale, CA USA
mikrounit@hughes.net

4177. IRISH FREQ WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: nailer <noelo@esatclear.ie>
Message-ID: <7jh1fv$8gi$1@fraggle.esatclear.ie>
Source record: 116174

I WOULD BE GRATEFULL IF SOMEONE COULD SEND ME SOME IRISH FREQ'S
NOELO@ESATCLEAR.IE

4178. AOR3000 $400.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990607141001.24121.00001478@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 116175

UNIT CAN BE PICKED UP IN NORTHERN NJ
OR PREPAYMENT OF A U.S. POSTAL MONEY ORDER
U SHIP OR PICK UP. THIS IS THE 3000 MODEL NOT THE 3000A
400 CH NO GAPS
EMAIL
ALSO A ICOM 2410H DUAL BANDER 275.00

THANKS FOR READING

4179. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jh1uvqvl1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116176
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Keith wrote in message <375b6358.2835683@192.108.254.3>...
>The original author's concern are correct. To protect data in the mailbox
it
>needs to be encrypted. All anyone has to do is gain root access to this
>machine
>set up a program to copy the email file and they will be able to obtain all
>information on that server.
>
>see
>http://www.rootshell.org
>
>There are may so called secure sites that are hacked everyday.

Doug,

What Keith says is right, I too have seen this happen quite a bit - look
what happened to EBay not so long ago....

To say that your site is "totally secure" is dangerous, I too have told my
clients their sites were secure (back in the days of my inexperienced youth)
and they got hacked anyhow. Nothing can be considered "totally secure", not
even the US mail.

However, I do have an idea which can help you. If you feed the emails to an
encryption algorithm, that will add a whole new level of security, since it
would be very difficult to determine where the numbers were stored and what
they were. Then, you could download the messages locally and decrypt them on
your offline PC, to ensure no one has access to the decrypted emails, and
process them as normal.

You could even put out some "bait" files using one of those so called
"credit card generators" that would contain a bunch of bogus card numbers,
so if someone did hack the system, they'd probably take the "bait" and
unwittingly alert the credit card companies of their existance when they
tried to use them. I'm sure as an authorized merchant you guys get a list of
stolen card #'s to watch for, this would be perfect for the "bait". ;-)

Best of luck on the site.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4180. WTB:Motorola 4500/4800 ETACS cell phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marcus R. Matos" <raydr@minionsfromhell.com>
Message-ID: <V8U63.901$h14.3681@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 116177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

WTB:Motorola 4500/4800 ETACS cell phone

Please let me know if you can provide with any information.
(email me please)

4181. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <375C0F3D.AE3EC8B4@IEEE.org>
Source record: 116178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Marv Luse wrote:
> My coffee maker sends "Paul is dead" backwards. :-)

My Mother's stomach pump plays "The Lion Sleeps Tonight".
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA http://www.bigfoot.com/~w6rca

4182. Re: 10 codes -- md and pa state police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: martyrip <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <375C0775.6ABD@ptd.net>
Source record: 116179

@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's from Marty (Smiley)
___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE FREQUENCY PLAN

CHANNEL MOBILE TX MOBILE RX PL TONE DISPLAY USE
01 154.755 154.755 186.2 PSP MOB. MOBILE/MOBILE
02 154.950 155.580 186.2 CH.A CH.A
03 155.910 155.670 186.2 CH.B CH.B
04 155.850 155.505 186.2 CH.C CH.C
05 158.910 154.665 186.2 CH.D CH.D
06 154.905 154.695 186.2 CH.E CH.E
07 154.830 154.920 186.2 CH.F CH.F
08 155.445 155.445 186.2 CH.G CH.G
09 155.475 155.475 C.Sq NEPF National Emerg.
10 154.755 159.210 186.2 RPTR1 Car Repeater
11 159.210 154.755 123.0 RPTR2 Base Repeater
12 155.460 155.460 186.2 TAC-1 Tactical 1
13 151.490 151.490 186.2 TAC-2 Tactical 2
14 154.950 154.950 186.2 MON A Ch.A Talk Around
15 155.910 155.910 186.2 MON B Ch.B Talk Around
16 155.850 155.850 186.2 MON C Ch.C Talk Around
17 158.910 158.910 186.2 MON D Ch.D Talk Around
18 154.905 154.905 186.2 MON E Ch.E Talk Around
19 154.830 154.830 186.2 MON F Ch.F Talk Around
20
21 156.015 158.760 151.4 ADMIN-1 With Phone
22 156.015 158.760 123.0 ADMIN-2 Without Phone
23 156.195 159.045 179.9 RPT 1 3 Turnpike Rpt.ODD*
24 156.225 159.075 179.9 RPT 2 4 Turnpike Rpt.EVEN*
25 159.000 159.000 C.SQ. PTCSMX Turnpike Sx.
26 158.835 158.835 C.Sq. PEMA Emerg. Management
155.580 155.790 186.2 CH.A Old CH.A
156.150 154.695 186.2 Ch.E Old CH.E
155.790 155.790 123.0 TAC-3 Tactical 3 Not Confirmed
156.150 156.150 123.0 TAC EAST Tactical East
154.905 154.905 123.0 TAC WEST Tactical West
159.030 159.030 123.0 TAC DES Tactical Des**
453.075 458.075 123.0 New Portables?W. PA (2/13/98)
Channels 27 - 32 Contain LOCAL AND/OR Other State VHF agency Frequencies.
Use VARIES by LOCATION.

* Tone on TX ONLY
** If, DES scrambling present, NO PL presnt, PL & DES are not compatible.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE
COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION
STATION CHANNEL ASSIGNMENT

LOCATION CHANNEL COUNTY
Troop A
A1 Greensburg (HQ) 154.920B/154.830M F Wesmoreland Co.
A2 Ebensburg 154.665B/158.910M D Cambria Co.
A3 Indiana 154.695B/154.905M E Indiana Co.
A4 Kiski Valley 155.580B/154.950M A Wesmoreland Co.
A6 Somerset 155.580B/154.950M A Somerset Co.

Troop B
B1 Washington (HQ) 154.665B/158.910M D Washington Co.
B2 Belle Vernon 154.695B/154.905M E Fayette Co.
B3 Findlay 155.670B/155.910M B Alleghney Co.
B4 Uniontown 155.670B/155.910M B Fayette Co.
B5 Waynesburg 155.505B/155.850M C Greene Co.
B6 Pittsburgh Barracks CLOSED 8/96) Alleghney Co.

Troop C
C1 Punxsutawney (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Jefferson Co.
C2 Shippensville 155.670B/155.910M B Clarion Co.
C3 Clearfield 155.670B/155.910M B Clearfield Co
C4 DuBois 155.505B/155.850M C Clearfield Co.
C5 Kane 155.670B/155.910M B McKean Co.
C6 Ridgeway 154.920B/154.830M F Elk Co.
C7 Tionesta 155.670B/155.910M B Forest Co.
C? Mt. Jewell 155.670B/155.910M B McKean Co.

Troop D
D1 Butler (HQ) 154.665B/158.910M D Butler Co.
D2 Kittanning 155.505B/155.850M C Armstrong Co.
D3 Mercer 154.695B/154.905M E Mercer Co.
D4 Beaver 155.505B/155.850M C Beaver Co.
D6 New Castle 155.505B/155.850M C Lawrence Co.

Troop E
E1 Erie (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Erie Co.
E2 Corry 154.920B/154.830M F Erie Co.
E3 Seneca 155.580B/154.950M A Venange Co.
E4 Girard 154.665B/158.910M D Erie Co.
E5 Meadville 155.670B/155.910M B Crawford Co.
E6 Warren 155.580B/154.950M A Warren Co.

Troop F
F1 Montoursville(HQ) 154.665B/158.910M D Lycoming Co.
F2 Coudersport 155.580B/154.950M A Potter Co.
F3 Emporium 154.665B/158.910M D Cameron Co.
F4 Lamar 155.580B/154.950M A Clinton Co.
F5 Mansfield 155.670B/155.910M B Tioga Co.
F6 Milton 155.580B/154.950M A Union Co.
F7 Selinsgrove 155.580B/154.950M A Snyder Co.

F8 Stonington 155.580B/154.950M A Northumbererland Co.

Troop G
G1 Holidaysburg (HQ) 154.695R/154.905M E Blair Co. (Rpt.)
G2 Bedford 155.505B/155.850M C Bedford Co.
G3 Hutningdon 154.665B/158.910M D Huntingdon Co.
G4 Lewistown 154.920B/154.830M F Mifflin Co.
G5 McConnellsburg 155.505B/155.850M C Fulton Co.
G7 Rockview 155.505B/155.850M C Center Co.
G8 Phillipsburg 155.505B/155.850M C Clearfield Co.
G? Milesburg 154.665B/158.910M D Center Co.

Troop H
H1 Harrisburg (HQ) 155.670B/155.910M B Dauphin Co.
H2 Carlisle 154.665R/158.910M D Cumberland Co. (Rpt.)
H3 Chambersburg 155.670B/155.910M B Frankin Co.
H4 Lykens 155.580B/154.950M A Dauphin Co.
H5 Newport 155.445B/155.445M G Perry Co.
H6 Gettysburg 155.580B/154.950M A Adams Co.
H7 York 155.505B/155.850M C York Co.
H? Hershey (Academy) 155.670B/155.910M B Dauphin Co.

Troop J
J1 Lancaster (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Lancaster Co.
J2 Avondale 155.670B/155.910M B Chester Co.
J3 Embreeville 155.445B/155.445M G Chester Co.
J5 Eprhata 155.670B/155.910M B Lancaster Co.Temporarily located
155.580B/154.950M A Lancaster HQ

Troop K
K1 Philadelphia (HQ) 155.670B/155.910M B Philadelphia Co.
K2 Media 155.505B/155.850M C Delaware Co.
K3 Skippack 155.670B/155.910M B Montgomery Co.

Troop L
L1 Reading (HQ) 154.695R/154.905M E* Berks Co. (Rpt.)
L2 Jonestown 155.505B/155.850M C Lebanon Co.
L3 Frackville 155.505B/155.850M C Schuylkill Co.
L4 Hamburg 154.695R/154.905M E Berks Co. (Rpt.)
L7 Schuylkill Haven 155.505B/155.850M C Schuylkill Co.

Troop M
M1 Bethlehem (HQ) 155.580B/154.950M A Northampton Co.
M2 Dublin 155.505B/155.850M C Bucks Co.
M3 Trevose 155.580B/154.950M A Bucks Co.
M5 Fogelsville 155.670B/155.910M B Lehigh Co.
M6 Belfast 155.580B/154.950M A Northampton Co.

Troop N
N1 Hazleton (HQ) 155.670B/155.910M B Luzerne Co.
N2 Bloomsburg 155.670B/155.910M B Columbia Co.
N3 Fern Ridge 155.670B/155.910M B Monrose Co.

N4 Lehighton 155.505B/155.850M C Carbon Co.
N6 Swiftwater 155.670B/155.910M B Monror Co.

Troop P
P1 Wyoming (HQ) 155.505B/155.850M C Luzerne Co.
P2 Dushore 155.505B/155.850M C Sullivan Co.
P4 Shickshinny 155.505B/155.850M C Luzerne Co.
P5 Towanda 155.505B/155.850M C Brandford Co.
P6 Tunkhannock 155.505B/155.850M C Wyoming Co.

Troop R
R1 Dunmore (HQ) 155.445B/155.445M G Lackawanna Co.
R3 Honesdale 155.505B/155.850M C Wayne Co.
R4 Blooming Grove 155.580B/154.950M A Pike Co.
R5 Gibson 155.580B/154.950M A Susquehanna Co.

Note: Rpt. denotes that the channel is operated in REPEATER mode versus the normal full duplex.

Troop S - Interstate Patrols
Eliminated 5/17/97
All Interstate/Highway patrols are now operated by the barracks in the area.

Troop T (See Turnpike)

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE TEN CODE LIST
10-1 SIGNAL WEAK 10-26 ESTIMATED ARRIVAL TIME
10-2 SIGNAL GOOD 10-27 LICENSE/PERMIT INFORMATION
10-3 STOP TRNSMITTING 10-28 OWNERSHIP INFORMATION
10-4 AFFIRMATIVE (OK) 10-29 RECORDS CHECK
10-5 RELAY TO_____ 10-30 DANGER/CAUTION
10-6 BUSY 10-31 PICK-UP
10-7 OUT OF SERVICE AT HOME 10-32 ____UNITS REQUESTE
10-8 IN SERVICE 10-34 TIME CHECK
10-9 REPEAT YOUR MESSAGE 10-37 INTOXICATED PERSON
10-10 NEGATIVE 10-40 ADVISE IF_____AVAILABLE FOR CALL
10-11 ____ON DUTY 10-42 DOES NOT CONFORM TO REGULATIONS
10-12 STAND-BY 10-43 ADVISE OF ROAD/WEATHER CONDITIONS
10-13 EXISTING CONDITIONS 10-44 ACKNOWLEDGE
10-14 MESSAGE/INFORMATION 10-45 VEHICLE ACCIDENT
10-15 MESSAGE DELIVERED 10-46 DETAINING SUBJECT
10-16 REPLY TO MWSSAGE 10-47 NEED AMBULANCE
10-17 EN ROUTE *10-71 IBM SERVICE REQUESTED
10-18 URGENT *10-72 ELECTRICAL SERVICE REQUESTER
10-19 IN CONTACT *10-73 PLUMBING SERVICE REQUESTER
10-20 LOCATION *10-74 RESERVATIONS REQUESTED
10-21 TELEPHONE_____IMMEDIATELY 10-88 HAVE PACKAGE FOR_____
10-22 DISREGARD 10-89 ____IS CALLING YOU
10-23 ARRIVED AT SCENE 10-97 RADIO CHECK
10-24 ASSIGNMENT SIGNAL 33 HELP ME QUICK
10-25 RETURN TO_____

10-99 EMERGENCY AT THIS STATION-ALL UNITS COPY-INTERFERING STATIONS STAND-BY
* NOT USE BY NON-TURNPIKE UNITS

PENNSYLVANIA STATE POLICE STATUTE CODES
601 DRIVING WITHOUT LICENSE 3731 DRUNK DRIVING
2501 CRIMINAL HOMICIDE 3732 HOMICIDE BY VEHICLE
2502 MURDER 3925 RECEIVING STOLEN PROPERTY
2701 SIMPLE ASSAULT 3926 THEFT OF SERVICES
2702 AGGRAVATED ASSAULT 4101 FORGERY
2705 RECKLESSLY ENDANGERING 4105 BAD CHECKS
2706 TERRORISTIC THREATS 4106 FORGED CREDIT CARDS
2709 HARASSMENT 4304 ENDANGERING WELFARE OF CHILDREN
2901 KIDNAPPING 4905 FILING FALSE POLICE REPORT
3121 RAPE 4912 IMPERSONATING A POLICE OFFICER
3122 STATUTORY RAPE 5104 RESISTING ARREST
3125 CORRUPTION OF MINORS 5121 ESCAPE FROM CUSTODY
3126 INDECENT ASSAULT 5501 RIOT
3127 INDECENT EXPOSURE 5503 DISORDERLY CONDUCT
3301 ARSON 5504 HARASSMENT BY COMMUNICATIONS
3304 CRIMINAL MISCHIEF 5505 PUBLIC DRUNKENNESS
3306 OPENING FIRE HYDRANTS 5506 LOITERING OR PROWLING AT NIGHT
3502 BURGLARY 5513 LIIEGAL GAMBLING
3503 CRIMINAL TRESPASS 5902 PROSTITUTION
3701 ROBBERY 6308 UNDERAGE DRINKING
3714 RECKLESS DRIVING 6501 LITTERING
(STATUTE CODES SELDOM USED)

Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission
The PA. TURNPIKE is divided into five districts stating at the Ohio line eastward to the New Jersey line. This area encompasses Dist. 1 through 4. The Northeast Extension of the turnpike is District 5. Each district is served by an alternating frequency as listed below.

159.045/156.195 (TX PL 179.9)(RX on tone) Dist. 1,3 & 5
159.075/156.225 (TX PL 179.9)(RX on tone) Dist. 2 & 4
159.000 PL C.SQ Mobile to Mobile, Radar, Aircraft
154.755 Mobile to Mobile, Radar, Aircraft
155.460 PL186.2 PSP Tac 1
151.490 PL186.2 PSP Tac 2
453.150/458.150 C.SQ Tunnels
453.300/458.300 C.SQ Tunnels

District 1 159.045 District 4 159.075
Exit 1 Gateway (Ohio Line) 1.3mp Exit 23 Downingtown 312.0mp
Exit 2 Beaver Valley 12.8mp Exit 24 Valley Forge 326.0mp
Exit 3 Perry Highway 28.4mp Exit 25 Norristown (NE Ext.) 333.1mp
Exit 4 Butler Vaalley 39.1mp Exit 25aMid-Couty (I-476)
Exit 5 Allegheny Valley 47.5mp Exit 26 Fort Washington 339.5mp
Exit 6 Pittsburgh 56.5mp Exit 27 Willow Grove 342.9mp
Exit 7 Irwin 67.4mp Exit 28 Philadelphia 351.3mp
Exit 8 New Stanton 75.5mp Exit 29 Delaware Valley 357.7mp

Exit 9 Donegal 90.7mp Exit 30 Bridge (New Jersey) 359.0mp

District 2 159.075 District 5 159.045
Exit 10 Somerset 109.9mp Exit 31 Lansdale A10.3mp
Exit 11 Bedford 145.5mp Exit 32 Quakertown A23.9mp
Exit 12 Breezewood 162.9mp Exit 33 Lehigh Valley A37.2mp
Exit 13 Fort Littleton 179.5mp Exit 34 Mahoning Valley A55.7mp
Exit 14 Willow Hill 188.6mp Exit 35 Pocono A74.7mp
Exit 36 Wilkes-Barre A85.3mp
District 3 159.045 Exit 37 Wyomimg Valley A94.6mp
Exit 15 Blue Mountain 201.3mp Exit 38 Scranton (Terminus) A110.3mp
Exit 16 Carlisle 226.3mp
Exit 17 Gettysburg Pike 236.1mp
Exit 18 Harrisburg West 242.0mp
Exit 19 Harrisburg East 247.4mp
Exit 20 Lebanon/Lancaster 266.4mp
Exit 21 Reading/Lancaster 285.5mp
Exit 22 Morantown 298.0mp

PA. TURNPIKE UNITS
150-series are Ambulances 200-series are tow trucks
300-series are State Police 2000-series are highway maintenace

Police & Maint/Work Crews use same channels on.
PSP Troop T handles patrols on the turnpike. ALL Troop T dispatch
is done from Harrisburg. System is linked via microwave.

Pennsylvania Attorney General's Office

154.950 (F-1) -Drug Enforcement Mobile-to Mobile
155.445R/XXX.XXX (F-2) -Drug Enforcement Dispatch
155.490 (F-3) -Drug Enforcement Regional (CLEAN)
156.090 (F-4) -Drug Enforcement Special Operations
460.200/465.200 179.9 Department of Justice North Repeaters (F1)
460.475/465.475 179.9 Department of Justice South Repeaters (F2)

Use depends on if one of the channels has been assigned to local agencies.
Western PA is primarily 460.475

Pennsylvania State Police Liquor Control Board Detail>

158.7600R/156.0150 151.4 F-1 (Phone Patch Capable)
158.7600R/156.0150 123.0 F-2 (No Phone Patch)
453.1375R/458.1375 Harrisburg
45.3000 Simplex Portables (Old System)

Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency (PEMA)

PEMA uses 5 channels:
453.525/458.525 Main Repeater
453.350/458.350 Mobile Repeater
453.525 Simplex

453.350 Simplex
158.835 VHF
45.300 (Old System)
PEMA uses DIFFERING CTCSS (PL) tones for various counties main
repeater as follows:

Allegheny Co. 210.7
Armstrong Co. 103.5
Carbon Co. 136.5
Clearfield Co. 179.9
Fayette Co. 118.8
Indiana Co. 136.5
Lawrence Co. 156.7
Washington Co. 156.7
Schuylkill Co. 210.7 rpt. tx 179.9 Input
Somerset Co. 136.5

PL is NOT used on 453.350 the mobile repeater or simplex.

169.425 PEMA Rain Gauges
169.500 PEMA Rain Gauges
169.525 PEMA Rain Gauges
170.275 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.025 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.085 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.125 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.850 PEMA Rain Gauges
171.875 PEMA Rain Gauges
412.625 PEMA Rain Gauges

Rain Gauges are scattered throughout the state, and transmit
data on the above
frequency back to the local EMA office. At that point it's
collected on a
computer using IFLOWS software, and relayed to PEMA HQ in HBG via
Ku band VSAT
satellite system.

PEMA also utilizes the Ku band satellite system known as SEVAN
to send
messages to local EMA offices regarding events around the state,
and weather
information from the NOAA Weather Wire.

SEVAN also provides for a voice channel to all EMA agencies,
and a video
channel from PEMA HQ.

Pennsylvania State Capitol Police
453.300R/458.300 PL192.8 Ch.1
453.100R/458.100 PL192.8 Ch.2

Pennsylvania State Senate Secuity
453.550R/458.550 PL156.7

Pennsylvania State Prisons
All use PL203.5
45.160 Statewide
453.300R/458.300 Mahanoy Twp. Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.300R/458.300 Somerset, Somerset Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Bellefonte, Centre Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Camp Hill, Cumberland Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Dallas, Luzerne Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Graterford Montgomery Co. Pa.
453.325R/458.325 Pittsburgh-Western Pennitentary
453.450R/458.450 Camp Hill, Cumblerland Co. Pa.
453.450R/458.450 Cresson Cambria Co. Pa.
453.500R/458.500 Somerset, Somerset Co. Pa.
453.600R/458.600 Bellefonte, Centre Co. Pa.
453.625R/458.625 Graterford Montgomery Co. Pa.
453.675R/458.675 Mahanoy Twp. Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.725R/458.725 Albion Erie Co. Pa.
453.825R/458.825 Cambridge Springs Womens Prison Crawford Co.
453.825R/458.825 Coal Shamokin Northumberland Co. Pa.
453.825R/458.825 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa.
453.875R/458.875 Camp Hill, Cumblerland Co. Pa.
453.875R/458.875 Coal Shamokin Northumberland Co. Pa.
453.925R/458.925 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa. (temporary)
453.975R/458.975 Frackville, Schuylkill Co. Pa. (temporary)

PA. DEPARTMENT OF ENIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP) and
PA. DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR)
151.175 SIMPLEX F-1 PL103.5
151.295 SIMPLEX F-2 PL103.5
151.385 SIMPLEX F-3 PL103.5
151.400 SIMPLEX F-4 PL103.5
151.160 SIMPLEX F-5 PL103.5
151.445 SIMPLEX F-6 PL103.5
151.460 SIMPLEX F-?
159.285 SIMPLEX F-7 PL103.5 "COMPACT" (Air-Air)
159.330 SIMPLEX F-8 PL103.5
151.175/159.225 F-9 Dist. 1,2,7,9,10,11
151.295/159.360 F-10 Dist. 6,13,12,15,20
151.385/159.420 F-11 Dist. 4,16,17,18,19
151.400/159.345 F-12 Dist. 3,5,8,14
172.275 ?????
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR) RADIO IDENTIFIERS
DIST. IDENTIFIER BASE DIST. IDENTIFIER BASE
1 ALPHA FAYETTEVILLE 11 LIMA SCRANTON
2 BRAVO MCCONNELLSBURG 12 MIKE SOUTH WILLIAMSPORT
3 CHARLIE BLAIN 13 NOVEMBER EMPORIUM

4 DELTA LIGONIER 14 PAPA WARREN
5 ECHO HUNTINGDON 15 ROMEO COUDERSPORT
6 FOXTROT EBENSBURG 16 SIERRA WELLSBORO
7 GOLF MIFFINBURG 17 TANGO BUCKTOWN
8 HOTEL CLARION 18 VICTOR CRESSONA
9 JULIETTE CLEARFIELD 19 WHISKEY STROUDSBURG
10 KILO RENOVO 20 XRAY BLOOMSBURG

DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR) FOREST FIRE READYNESS CODES:
Code-1 Forest Fire danger threat low
Code-2 Forest Fire danger threat moderate
Code-3 Forest Fire danger threat high

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP)
Mobile Relay Frequency Data Mach 1, 1987
TX.FREQ RX.FREQ TX.PL RX.PL CALL SIGN SITE COUNTY
151.175R/159.255 103.5/141.3 KWI669 BIG FLAT CUMBERLAND
151.175R/159.255 114.8/114.8 KVN571 RATTLESNAKE CENTRE
151.175R/159.255 114.8/103.5 KDY285 ROCKTON CLEARFIELD
151.175R/159.255 114.8/127.3 KQN935 TAMARACK CLINTON
151.175R/159.255 114.8/156.7 KQN936 RAINSARES CLINTON
151.175R/159.255 156.7/88.5 KFZ745 ELK MT. SUSQUEHANNA
151.175R/159.255 156.7/173.8 KUO922 FREELAND LUZERNE
151.175R/159.255 103.5/110.9 KLS512 TUSCARORA FULTON
151.175R/159.255 103.5/136.5 KWI698 KINTON KNOB BEDFORD
151.175R/159.255 114.8/192.8 KZF969 BEAVER SNYER

151.295R/159.360 141.3/141.3 KVN570 BLUE KNOB BEDFORD
151.295R/159.360 141.3/156.7 KFO902 LOWER YODER CAMBRIA
151.295R/159.360 114.3/94.8 KVN642 HEMINGWAY LYCOMING
151.295R/159.360 173.8/173.8 KTI717 BOOT JACK ELK
151.295R/159.360 173.8/192.8 KUJ546 BROOKS CAMERON
151.295R/159.360 173.8/136.5 KUJ548 PROSPECT McKEAN
151.295R/159.360 114.8/110.9 KNDV312 SOLAR MT.
151.295R/159.360 173.8/88.5 KVN641 TAMARACK CLINTON
151.295R/159.360 114.8/103.5 KDX454 FREELAND LUZERNE
151.295R/159.360 114.8/114.8 KDX455 NORTH MT. SULLIVAN
151.295R/159.360 114.8/127.3 KDX453 BOYERS NORHTUMBERLAND
151.295R/159.360 141.3/186.2 WQD459 PINE CLEARFIELD

151.385R/159.420 127.3/103.5 KQO251 BEAR CAVE WESTMORELAND
151.385R/159.420 127.3/127.3 KQO253 PONDFIELD FAYETTE
151.385R/159.420 127.3/156.7 KQO252 NEGRO MT. SUMERSET
151.385R/159.420 88.5/110.9 KVV850 HEMINGWAY LYCOMING
151.385R/159.420 103.5/88.5 KLU444 WELSH HILL LANCASTER
151.385R/159.420 103.5/94.8 KQL752 FLINT HILL LEHIGH
151.385R/159.420 103.5/173.8 KFX266 ELLENDALE DAUPHIN
151.385R/159.420 103.5/114.8 KNHM323 BEAR'S HEAD SCHUYLKILL
151.385R/159.420 103.5/156.7 KDX447 HIGH KNOB PINE
151.385R/159.420 103.5/103.5 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX (AP on=9 LD access=9? off=X

Telephone Line=XXX-XXX-XXXX)
151.385R/159.420 103.5/103.5 KFI584 POHOPOCO MONROE (AP on=9 LD access=9 off=X Telephone Line=717-646=5851)
151.385R/159.420 88.5/88.5 WNBJ427 QUARRY TIOGA
151.385R/159.420 88.5/100.0 KNDV312 CEDAR MT. TIOGA
151.385R/159.420 88.5/136.5 KZF970 KELLOGG BRADFORD
151.385R/159.420 103.5/186.2 KNBT576 WEXFORD ALLEGHENY
151.385R/159.420 127.3/141.3 WQD460 BALD KNOB SOMERSET
151.385R/159.420 103.5/192.8 WQD458 PHILADELPHIA PHILADELPHIA
151.385R/159.420 103.5/186.2 WHN497 BLACK EDDY BUCKS

151.400R/159.345 156.7/156.7 KQU276 BIG KNOB PERRY
151.400R/159.345 156.7/192.8 KTU287 LITTLE FLAT CENTER
151.400R/159.345 156.7/94.8 KTU258 JACKS MT. HUNTINGTON
151.400R/159.345 103.5/103.5 KSQ442 FRYBURG CLARION
151.400R/159.345 103.5/114.8 KWV591 GIBBS HILL McKEAN
151.400R/159.345 103.5/127.3 KSZ840 TOWNVILLE CRAWFORD
151.400R/159.345 103.5/156.7 KXA325 NEW CASTLE LAWRENCE
151.400R/159.345 103.5/136.5 WQD462 WATERFORD ERIE
151.400R/159.345 103.5/173.8 WQD461 PENN VIEW INDIANA
151.400R/159.345 103.5/141.3 WNHA827 ERIE ERIE
Also State Parks and some Fish Comm. units use DEP & DCNR Freqs.

Pennsylvania Fish Commission
45.040 PL 136.5 F-1 Admin
44.960 PL 136.5 F-2 Simplex
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5 F-3
44.700 PL 136.5 F-?
45.440 PL 136.5 F-?
45.000 PL 136.5 F-?
42.600 PL 136.5 F-10
Also use Game Comm. Freqs.
Also see DEP & DCNR some Fish Comm. Units use DCNR VHF Freqs.

On All Repeater outputs PL are 136.5 Fish Commission uses DIFFERING input
CTCSS (PL) tones for various area F-3 repeater
as follows:
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/141.3 KNIS318 MOUNT PENN BERKS (AP on=2206* off=# Telephone Line=610-XXX-XXXX)
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/136.5 WNJB200 BOWMANS HILL BUCKS
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/186.2 KNIH869 PETERS MOUNTAIN DAUPHIN
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/167.9 KNIS319 CLARKS KNOB FRANKLIN
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/141.3 WNKE680 KITTANNING JEFFERSON
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/218.1 WNBV865 HOLT WOOD LANCASTER
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/156.7 WNKE680 LITTLE GAP LEHIGH
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/173.8 KNIH867 PENOBSCOT MOUNTAIN LUZERNE
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/192.8 WNAG965 SHAFFERS PATH LYCOMING
44.960R/45.300 PL 136.5/???.? WNAG966 HIGH KNOB PIKE

Northwest Region.
Box 349 (1281 Otter Street), Franklin, PA 16323; 814-437-5774.
F?? Butler F?? Lawrence

F?? Clarion F?? Mercer
F?? Crawford F?? Venango
F?? Erie F?? Warren
F?? Forest

Southwestern Region
RD 2, Box 39 (Lake Somerset), Somerset, PA 15501; 814-445-8974.
F27 Supervisor F36 Armstrong
F28 Asst. Supervisor F37 Indiana
F29 Reserved F38 Westmoreland
F30 Beaver F39 Fayette
F31 Washington F40 Cambria
F32 Greene F41 Somerset
F33 Allegheny, South F42 Three Rivers
F34 Allegheny, North
F35 Allegheny, East

Northcentral Region.
Box 187 (Fishing Creek Road), Lamar, PA 16848; 717-726-6056.
F?? Cameron F?? McKean
F?? Centre F?? Northumberland (W.ofRt.147)
F?? Clearfield F?? Potter
F?? Clinton F?? Snyder
F?? Elk F?? Tioga
F?? Jefferson F?? Union
F?? Lycoming

Southcentral Region.
1704 Pine Road, Newville, PA 17241; 717-486-7087.
F56 Supervisor F63 Juniata / Mifflin
F57 Asst. Supervisor F64 Perry
F58 Reserved F65 Cumberland
F59 Blair F66 Adams
F60 Bedford F67 York
F61 Huntingdon F85 Daulphin
F62 Franklin / Fulton F86 Lebanon

Northeast Region.
Box 88 (Main Road), Sweet Valley, PA 18656; 717-477-5717.
F77 Luzerne F?? Montour
F78 Carbon F?? Wyoming
F?? Bradford F?? Lackawanna
F?? Sullivan F?? Susquehanna
F?? Columbia F?? Wayne
F?? Northumberland (E. of Rt.147) F?? Pike
F?? Monroe

Southeast Region.
Box 8 (Brubaker Valley Road), Elm, PA 17521; 717-626-0228.
F87 Lancaster F97 Montgomery South
F89 Schuylkill F?? Northampton
F90 Berks West F?? Delaware

F91 Chester F?? Bucks
F92 Lehigh F?? Philadelphia
F96 Berks East & Montgomery North

Pennsylvania Game Commission

44.640 PL 141.3 F-1 Northeast District Dallas
44.840 PL 141.3 F-2 Common Channel
44.880 PL 141.3 F-3 Mob. to Mob.
44.920 PL 141.3 F-4 Southcentral District Huntington
44.760 PL 141.3 F-5 Southeast District Reading
45.000 PL 141.3 F-6 Southwest District Ligonier
44.680 PL 141.3 F-? Northcentral District Avis
44.720 PL 141.3 F-? Mob. to Mob.
44.800 PL 141.3 F-? Northwest District Frankin
151.370/159.360 Old link freq

100-serice Northwest Region P.O. Box 31, Franklin 16323 Venango Co. Pa
1-800-533-6764 Howard Harshaw, Director
44.800 PL 141.3 F-? Northwest District Frankin
Counties Served
1XX Butler 1XX Jefferson
1XX Clarion 1XX Lawrence
1XX Crawford 1XX Mercer
1XX Erie 1XX Venango
1XX Forest 1XX Warren

200-serice Southwest Region P.O. Box A, Ligonier 15658 Westmoreland Co. Pa.
1-800-243-8519 Harry Richards, Director
45.000 PL 141.3 F-6 Southwest District Ligonier
Counties Served
215 Allegheny 226 Indiana
216 Allegheny 227 Indiana
217 Armstrong 228 Somerset North
218 Armstrong 229 Somerset Central
219 Beaver 230 Somerset South
220 Cambria North 231 Washington
221 Cambria South 232 Washington
222 Fayette 233 Westmoreland
223 Fayette 234 Westmoreland
224 Greene 235 Westmoreland
225 Greene

300-serice Northcentral Region Avis,P.O. Box 5038, Jersey Shore 17740 Clinton Co. Pa.
1-800-422-7551 Henry Stankewich, Director
44.680 PL 141.3 F-? Northcentral District Avis
Counties Served
3XX Cameron 326 Elk
317? Centre 3XX Lycoming
318? Centre 3XX McKean
319? Centre 3XX Potter

3XX Clearfield 3XX Tioga
3XX Clinton 340 Union

400-serice Southcentral Region P.O. Box 537,Huntingdon 16652 Huntington Co. Pa.
1-800-422-7554 Willis Sneath, Director
44.920 PL 141.3 F-4 Southcentral District Huntington
Counties Served
414 Adams 425 Franklin
415 Adams 426? Fulton
416 Adams 427 XXXXX
417 Bedford 428? Huntingdon
418 XXXXXX 429 XXXXX
419? Blair 430 Juniata
420 XXXXXX 431 XXXXX
421 XXXXXX 4?? Mifflin
422 Cumberland West 4?? Perry
423 Cumberland East 434 XXXXX
424 Franklin 435 Snyder

500-serice Northeast Region P.O. Box 220, Dallas 18612 Luzerne Co. Pa.
1-800-228-0789 Barry Warner, Director
44.640 PL 141.3 F-1 Northeast District Dallas
Counties Served
5XX Bradford 530 Northumberland
5XX Carbon 5XX Pike
520 Columbia 5XX Sullivan
5XX Lackawanna 536? Susquehanna
5XX Luzerne 538? Susquehanna
527 Monroe 5XX Wayne
528 Monroe 5XX Wyoming
529 Montour

600-serice Southeast Region RD 2, Box 2584, Reading 19605 Berks Co.
1-800-228-0791 Barry Moore, Director 610-926-3136
44.760 PL 141.3 F-5 Southeast District Reading
Counties Served
614 Berks Northwest 626 Lancaster South
615 Berks Northeast 627 Lebanon
616 Berks South 628 Lehigh
617 Bucks North 629 Montgomery North
618 Bucks South 630 Montgomery & Phila.
619 Chester North 631 Northampton
620 Chester South 632 Schuylkill West
621 Dauphin North 633 Schuylkill East
622 Dauphin South 634 York North
623 Delaware 635 York Southwest
624 Lancaster North 636 York Southeast
625 Lancaster Central
? Are Not Confirmed

Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT)

PennDOT used an old half-duplex (base/mobile) system similar to PSP, but recently acquired several new frequencies and seems to be using simplex on them. The list below represents all frequencies assigned to PennDOT.

47.040
47.060
47.080
47.140
47.160
47.180
47.200
47.280
47.300
47.380

Listed below is the new system plan.
47.040B/47.200M F-B6? Dist. 5=Berks 192.8hz
47.040 Simplex F-? Mobile-Mobile Dist. 5=Schuylkill-127.3hz, Berks-192.8hz
47.160 Pocono East Mt PocOno
47.180 Lehigh Garaga
47.200 Simplex F-B5 Mobile-Mobile Dist. 5=167.9hz
47.300 Bucks Montgomery
Listed below is the old system plan.

47.300B/47.380M 146.2 F-1 Dist. 1,3,6,7,9,11
47.040B/47.200M 136.5 F-? Dist. 2,5,12
47.060B/47.080M 156.7 F-? Dist. 4,8,10
47.280 Simplex 167.9 F-2 Eastern Dist.3,4,5,6,7 & 8
47.140 Simplex 167.9 F-2 Western Dist.1,2,9,10,11 & 12
47.160 Simplex xxx.x F-? Dist. 1
47.180 Simplex 127.3 F-?

Listed is the old system district / Pl Tone chart.
Dist.1=
Dist. 2=
Dist. 3=136.5hz
Dist. 4=156.7hz
Dist. 5=146.2hz
Dist. 6=127.3hz
Dist. 7=127.3hz
Dist. 8=156.7hz
Dist. 9=
Dist. 10=
Dist. 11=
Dist. 12=

151.010 Simplex 110.9 PennDOT Motor Carrier Enforcement
151.100 ???
159.195 ???

Links:
452.4500+ 127.3hz Mill Hall (Rainsares Mtn.), Clinton Co.
453.0625+ 127.3hz Jim Thorpe, Carbon Co.

453.6125+ 127.3hz Pottsville (2nd. Mtn.), Schuylkill Co.-Repeats 47.200 136.5hz, 167.9hz
453.9625+ 156.7hz Carlisle, Cumberland Co.

PennDOT Districts

1 - Crawford, Erie, Forest, Mercer, Venago, Warren
2 - Cameron, Centre, Clearfield, Clinton, Elk, Juniata, Potter, Mckean,Mifflin
3 - Bradford, Columbia, Lycoming, Montour, Northumberland, Snyder,
Sullivan,Tioga, Union
4 - Lackawanna, Luzerne, Susquehanna, Pike, Wayne, Wyoming
5 - Berks, Carbon, Lehigh, Monroe, Northampton, Schuylkill
6 - Bucks, Chester, Deleware, Montgomery
7 - Philadelphia
8 - Adams, Cumberland, Dauphin, Franklin, Lancaster, Lebanon, Perry, York
9 - Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Fulton, Huntingdon, Somerset
10 - Armstrong, Butler, Clarion, Indiana, Jefferson
11 - Allegheny, Beaver, Lawrence
12 - Fayette, Greene, Washington, Westmoreland

PennDOT Codes:
Code 4=OK

State Hospitals
453.150/458.150 Hamburg State Center - Hamburg
453.225/458.225 Mayview State Hospital - Bridgeville
453.375/458.375 Wernersville State Hospital - Wernersville
453.575/458.575 Mayview State Hospital - Bridgeville
453.375/458.375 Western Center - Canonsburg

Send addition or changes
To martyrip@ptdprolog.net
OR

4183. Re: ABC News/Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7jh4m7bgp1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 116180
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

VERY, VERY well put, in my arrogant opinion.

Harry Marnell, N6URU
~~~> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

VladDaCat wrote in message

>>As with anything, there will be a few XXXX types that abuse the right,
>>so lets go after THEM with "gusto", instead of blaming the "general
>>public" and making ALL suffer!!
>
>I've seen quite a few of them right here. With nice quotes like:
>
>"Can somebody help me find cordless phone frequencies" [Like these
frequencies
>are difficult to find on the W3]
>
>"Can somebody tell me how to build a device that would let me talk to the
>people that I hear on a cordless phone?" [Why? Do you want to scare the
>people off the phone so that they will never use it again?]
>
>And then there are those people who get offended when they tell their
neighbor
>that they heard the neighbor say such-'n-such, and the neighbor goes
ballistic.
> What are these people thinking?
>
>I am a Ham operator, and do listen to my scanner from time to time. But I
>think that there are certain transmissions which should not be listened to
not
>so much from a legal standpoint but from the standpoint of technical
morality.
>I can understand listening in on police raids and things like that, because
>these things are in the public sphere, and sometimes I like to know where
my
>tax dollars are going. Phone conversations, on the other hand, should be
none
>of my concern.
>
>I respect these people's want of privacy because I also want privacy.
Besides,
>what would I gain from listening to my 16-year-old neighbor having phone
sex
>with her boyfriend or someone making a call to her dying mother? I have a
~~~

>life, and do not need to live vicariously through the experiences of those
who
>live around me. [Truthfully, I wouldn't want to live their lives even if I
did
>not have one of my own.]
>
>If you are really curious about signals, then build a signal generator with
>various amounts of transmission power and use that to test. Or just take a
>class in physics and figure it out mathematically. I would heartily
suggest
>the latter course of action, but I also understand that most people do not
want
>to learn, they just want to do.
>
>The news media does have a habit of grabbing on to the darker element of
any
>group, but have we actually presented anything that would say contrary to
their
>claim? I'm sure that there are one or two people who have used a scanner
for
>the greater good of the community, but not very many. All of us have
probably
>used a scanner for some form of good, but the public only cares about what
>helps them. The number of these bad seeds far exceeds that of those who
know
>better. Even I myself have done things with a scanner that I know that I
>should not have (back in my college days, but who didn't when they were in
>college). I've matured since then and understand that there are some
things of
>which there is no point, and this is one of them. Terrorizing my neighbors
>isn't going to help me get that promotion at work, and it certainly isn't
going
>to make my wife happy.
>
>I guess that the big question is: what are we going to do about it? This
>isn't a question of government want of power (unless you are a regular
listener
>to "The Hour of Courage" or some other such short-wave radio show), but a
>matter of too many downs and not enough ups to justify lack of regulation.
We
>need to create some ups. What can we do?
>P. Barajas (N9USH)
>*****

4184. FS: GE 2995A AM/FM/4 Ch. Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Amstar Foschinste <omuxy@whimsit.net>
Message-ID: <7jgh5j$3vg$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 116181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Model: 2995A, AM/FM and 4 channel scanner from 150 to 174 Mhz. An older 70's type where you analog dial in the freq for each channel, click it in for each channel and then listen to the radio or the 4 channels scanning. Good shape and it is portable but not that small. You would take this on a picnic or to a gathering but would not want to tote it around if you were hiking. Will send .jpg's at your request. $30 shipped to your door.
Contact: BHL, philoxeny@hotmail.com

4185. Re: Discriminator output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jh6s6ske1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116182

Andrew Porrett wrote in message <375bb287.1760620@news>...
>Phil, I'll leave it to you to figure out what part of the
>audio chain you're overloading...

;-)

I think I know what you're referring to, but the mic input is set very low,
as is the speaker volume. Nonetheless, the audio sounds distorted -
"funny" - probably because there is no filtering occuring. I think this the
"distortion" the original poster was talking about. The original question
was "Should I hear something?" to which the answer was "Yes, but it'll sound
funny".

I probably should have clarified that in the first place.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4186. Re: digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jh7ebsqb1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116183

Preferred Customer <@gte.net> wrote in message ...
>when depts. go digital how can we monitor them anyone know.
>

You'll have two choices:

1. Wait for a company to market a digital scanner. Price TBD, but a conversation I had on the APCO25 mailing list shows that there definitely is a market for such a goodie amongst the public safety agencies themselves, who would rather spend < $1000 on a receiver than $3000+ on a console for interagency comm's. I think a full fledged APCO 25 compliant digital receiver should sell well for about $950 to $1000 per unit.

or

2. Go out and purchase a digital radio and program it for RECEIVE ONLY on the freq's you want to monitor. Price $1000-$3000 dollars + programming costs.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4187. Re: FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jhalhfg1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Tom Welch wrote in message <375AEBAD.A4A49651@swbell.net>...
>Wiggie:
>
>As a casual scanner user, I once held Rich Wells in high regard
>for the effort he made on his website and thought of him as
>free from corporate influence, until I offered an opinion on
>the high number of AOR8200s for sale on newsgroups and
>auction sites.
You have got to be kidding me here.

Rich Wells made it a point to use his website
>to attack me personally, even after admitting the AOR8200
>might have performance problems and not very user friendly,
Get me a shovel....

All Rich said is that a lot of people went out and bought one of these $600 beasts not knowing jack squat about basic scanning, let alone the very complicated AR8200.

Many of us are play the comical role of Tim Allen on Home Improvement, who upon buying the latest techno-gadget promptly fling the manual over our shoulder and grunt "Manuals are for illiterate losers, augh, augh, augh!" and proceed to screw the darned thing up beyond belief, then after spending hours trying to *skim* the manual (nope, we haven't learned yet...) to find the magic keystrokes that will restore the thing to normal we give up in disgust. Now that $600 radio is a very expensive paperweight and all of a sudden there is that empty feeling in the pit of your gut that you just blew HOW MUCH MONEY???? So you sell.

My father in law owns the predecessor to the 8200, the 8000. He has had that thing for about 4 years now, and he is not the Tim Allen type. In fact, he is more the opposite extreme, careful and meticulous to where nothing gets touched until the manual section for that part has been studied. Here is someone who has read the manual front and back 20 times over, easy, and he is still discovering features in that radio - features that aren't in the manual, I might add. He's been into scanning since the 60's, and even has one of the first issues of Police Call ever made. Not a newbie, by a long shot. I value his opinion over anyone else I have ever met when it comes to scanning (and dealing with his daughter<g>) and he highly recommends the AOR radios, owning both the 8000 handheld and the 2500 (I think that't the one) base model. It is a scanner for the true die hard scannist. Not for Joe Newbie who "wants to hear cell phones".

Bottom line is, a bunch of Joe Newbies bought radios that aren't for them! Then when they couldn't figure out how to use them in five minutes or less,

they got rid of them.

>Rich Wells is just another corporate goon!
>
Right.... and I'm the Spruce Goose, clearing for takeoff.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4188. Re: Tips dealing with police....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeff <reddog5@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7jhbjmdvn1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 116185

>3.) Remember, that RADAR and LASER is not supposed to be used in bad
>weather. If your ticket
> was issued in such weather and the cop used LASER or RADAR, you probably
>could win the case
> as this is a good defense.
>
>Is this true, can someone please explain it?
>
>
WRONG!

4189. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7jhcr7$97k@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 116186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Marv Luse <mwluse@dimensional.com> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>> Oh, the items ("things" as you say), are there, and they can make
>> noices that sound like code. E.g., my washing machine sends Ds:
>> -.. -.. -.. -.. et cetera while it's running.

>My coffee maker sends "Paul is dead" backwards. :-)

Been drinking a bit too much of that coffee, Marv? =:o

73, Jeff KH6O

4190. Urban Legend or Wild Imagination? You be the judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jhec2$1kr$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 116187

Saw this in Alt.2600 which is good for mindless entertainment every now and then.

Make sure you have no soda or anything in your mouth that might splatter all over your computer when you laugh, and then click on the link: http://www.angelfire.com/biz3/xcomm0/pro.html

These guys ARE kidding, right????

You gotta see it. That's all I can say. I can't believe anyone would be dumb enough to fall for this. Then again......

Oh well, just go look at it. Good for a laugh if you're Monday is going anything like mine has.

--
-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4191. Trenton Ontario Airshow Frequencies Anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: cam35pilot@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990607175549.14079.00002386@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 116188

Just wondering if any of our Canadian friends knew the frequencies for this week's airshow. Thanks.
Rich

4192. BC 160 - looking for info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al & Lo <alXlo@valinet.com>
Message-ID: <375D7C61.70828E94@valinet.com>
Source record: 115939

I've just resurrected a bearcat 160 that was given to me, I'm looking
for the freq. range covered by it and some instructions for it.
(any value as an antique? HE He he he!) Thank you,
Al

--
Please remove the X From allo to reply, it cuts the junk mail by 85%
Thank you

4193. Pro 2004 - S meter Mod.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al & Lo <alXlo@valinet.com>
Message-ID: <375D7CAA.869C5274@valinet.com>
Source record: 115940

Anyone know where I could find Information about adding a signal
strenth meter to my 2004? Or if it can even be done?
thanks, Al

--
Please remove the X From allo to reply, it cuts the junk mail by 85%
Thank you

4194. Re: Mods for RS Pro 2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jk2u1$1h5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115941

In article <374EF851.DD0121BF@jps.net>,
dw.leeper@juno.com wrote:
> Anyone know of any mods for the Radio Shack Pro 2037?

No.

See: http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/base.html

It's a workhorse, GRE-manufactured unit based on the PRO-62.

--
- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4195. Re: Hamster wheel as antenna??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sharon & Kevin <sharkev@enter.net>
Message-ID: <375d8c6a@news3.enter.net>
Source record: 115942

D'ont waste your time with small hamster wheels . Do it the right way and
get the largest parrot cage you find. This will out perform even the
Monitenna antenna from Channel Master. Happy
Scanning!!!!!!!!!~~~~~~~~~~Nebin~~~~~~~~~
Awesome1 <firebird@gate.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.11c72e4044f4510598968f@news.gate.net...
> x:no-archive:yes
>
> Well I get the bc80xlt and am curious as to different
> thing that can be used as outdoor antennas. Say one slid a
> hamster cage down onto a telescope TV antenna. Then
> mounted this on the side of the house,
> then attached this by a wire from the antenna to an antenna
> that you stick on glass that then has another sticky part
> that mounts inside, that then attaches to the radio??
> Would that increase the receptivity? Thoughts? Thanks
>
>
>
> --
>
> Old Quote; "Necessity is the plea for every infringment of
> human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
> of slaves."
>
> New Quote; "For the children, is the plea for every infringment
> of human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
> of slaves."
>
> E. Vigilance 1998

4196. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7jk2f4$rj5$2@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 115943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

ï¿½

>A neighbor once, after months of torture, intimated voices emanated from
>her washing machine.
<SNIP>
>
>73, Mike

I once had an aunt in South Bend IN that had a wood stove with picture wire to steady the stove pipe that played WLS from Chicago they would hear the old WLS barndance program at night! Drove them nuts cause at the time they didn't own a radio and didn't have electricity to run one either!

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

4197. Re: Cobra 2000 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7jk1du$rj5$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 115944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ï¿½
>
>. I have for sale 1 Cobra 2000 base station CB with the speaker.
>Radio has been modified to cover from 26.815 Mhz to 28.045 Mhz. Radio
>transmits 20+ watts, clarifier has been opened. I have received several
>reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from me.
>I am not real technical so beyond what I have told you, I don't know
>anything else about the radio.
>I would rate this radio as an 8 out of 10. No scratches ect that I was able
>to find. Also included is the original manual, original 1 year warranty
>certificate, copy of service manual.
>From what I have seen and heard, the 2000 is one of the top of the line most
>sought after radios that was ever on the market in its day. After using it
>for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
>Price is $250 obo + shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order.
>References
>available upon request.
>Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this
>fine rig.

You should list your radio on alt.over.priced.cb NG or maybe the
rec.chicken.channel.crap NG

My point is, if their such good radios why did Cobra quit making them?

BTW they are only running $175 for perfect and $125 for scratched & dented!

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

4198. Re: Cobra 2000 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <daves@oztech.com>
Message-ID: <7jk343$3ev$1@news1.alltel.net>
Source record: 115945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

this i would have to see....

Dave
KG5XR
Daves Radio Electronics
Since 1972
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html

>My point is, if their such good radios why did Cobra quit making them?
>
>BTW they are only running $175 for perfect and $125 for scratched & dented!
>
>
>--
>=======================================
>"I would never belong to any group,
>that would have me as a member!"
>Grocho Marx
>=======================================
>Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
>at least two, and they usually stink !!!
>=======================================
>Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
>

4199. Re: BC 200XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
Message-ID: <01beb1f8$0310f000$95ca99ce@tmsevart.apexcorp.com>
Source record: 115946

RustyHound <rustyhound@aol.com> wrote in article
<19990517010904.11225.00002290@ng-fp1.aol.com>...
> What is the antenna impedance for the 200xlt?
> TIA-rv
>

I really don't think it matters too much. I've used various types of coax with the 200XLT & other scanners, and they still work. But as a general rule I normally use 52 Ohm RG58. I have also used 75 Ohm TV coax. It's a different story when you're using a transmitter and want to match the impedance for a good SWR. With a receiver it doesn't matter that much.

Tom

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

4200. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7jk3ff$fts@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115947
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In <rahFD0KFp.HzH@netcom.com> rah@netcom.com (Richard Hyde) writes:
>
>Craig Welch (craig@pacific.net.sg) wrote:
>
>: Now why would that be?
>: The media listen to scanners just as you do ...
>
>During the Loma Prieta earthquake here in California, the media
>showed a) the collapsed section of the Bay bridge, The collapsed
>Cypress Structure freeway, and a couple of burning buildings in
>the SF Marina district.
>What incentive does the media have to report *anything* accurately
>and objectively? Even the best reporters are forced to suck up
>ratings from the bottom of the pond.
>
>Dont get me started :-)
>
>Cheers,
>
>Rick

I was doing video on the
Oakland side of the
Earthquake where the
CYPRESS freeway collapsed.

did you know that most tv stations
were off the air for a while...?

KRON had a back-up system and
was the only one on for that time.

electricity was out.

the media was hitting at whatever
info people were calling in
to the stations's that could
get through. for four hours
it was touch and go.

by air time they had their choppers
up and were doing aerials of
all adn still could not get it
edited.

Radio was the only honest media
source then...those that were still
on air.

don't bat the media around then,
it was a very very horrifying
situation had you actually
been there.

communication was not up to snuff.

GEE, I wonder why?

bill conduit
etc.

even police communications were
down...with fire. on the EAST
bay side. SF similar.

4201. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <1vh73.1127$h14.4409@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 115948

Clint Bradford wrote in message <7jjesbood1@nnrp1.deja.com>...

>>>Well, my scanner is going to stay right where it is, along with my
>>>guns...
>
>Alarmist...non-sensical...off-topic...
>
>I thought we were talking about the sale of scanners that have been
>deemed illegal to manufacture...how one equates this to "guns" is
>beyond rational comprehension.

Bzzzt. You flunked another exam. Now go to the Dejanews archives and study
the topic, and come back when you are prepared.

4202. Re: Urban Legend or Wild Imagination? You be the judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <1vh73.1128$h14.4409@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 115949

Mike Yetsko wrote in message <7jj3d2$kf1$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>But I would have to ask, if this guy really did do this, would he be
>that dumb to try to advertise it on the internet?

Good point. In fact, it doesn't matter whether he has such a device for sale or not. The mere offer to sell a device that will capture cellular subscriber information is a Federal crime in the United States.

4203. Re: New RadioShack 2m Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rafman <rafman@kerrlake.com>
Message-ID: <375DAC0C.5B5ACB08@kerrlake.com>
Source record: 115950
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio,phl.scanner

Really? A good thing would at least receive outside the boringest ham band, 2 meters... Too much $$$ & no competion to $99 Alinco DJ-190's with 40 memories & 138-174 coverage.

Must be new to radio, welcome!

RAFman

Tom@astro.net wrote:
>
> They should have stuck with the 202, it's one of the best HTs out there. Why
> mess with a good thing?
>
> 73,
> Tom
>
> Tom Randall -- trandall@idsi.net
> Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
> Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10
> Member: AAVSO Solar Division
>
> My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html
>

4204. Re: Speeding; was "Tips dealing with police.."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7jka8ukjj1@207.18.224.28>
Source record: 115951

On Mon, 7 Jun 1999 20:15:30 -0700, "Harry Marnell"
<marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote:

>Also, since their job and training is to observe and deal with vehicle
>operation and speed, day in and day out, most California courts recognize
>CHP officers as "expert witnesses" as to a vehicle's speed, whether or not
>their observation is supported by their radar gun's reading.
>
In most states, and certainly for a Federal Officer, the
"certification" process for someone to be quailified
on the RADAR point out clearly that you have
got to visually estimate the speed of the vehicle,
and THEN, use the Radar.

One of the phases of certification is actually going
down the road with an instructor, and saying
outloud the visual estimate, then the radar is turned on.

Is that what happens in real life, well, not all the
time.

4205. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375DACB7.5FB3@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115952
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

```
Rudi Mulder wrote:
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI heeft geschreven in bericht <375C614C.24A7@ameritech.net>...
> >Rudi Mulder wrote:
> >>
> >> M.J.Powell heeft geschreven in bericht ...
> >> >In article <7j8mo8$473$4@news1.xs4all.nl>, Rudi Mulder
> >> ><mulder36@xs4all.nl> writes
> >> >>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>> think everything originated from Holland LOL
> >> >>>> >--
> >> >>>> >M.J.Powell.
> >> >>>I beg to differ
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>well begging is allowed :)
> >> >>to differ in this, is to deny the truth
> >> >>can't have that now can we :)
> >> >>
> >> >>i know it hurts a bit the truth but we all be soon a costumed to this
> LOL
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>RM
> >> >
> >> >A short note to say that I didn't write the above.
> >> >
> >> >Mike
> >> >--
> >> >M.J.Powell.
> >>
> >> i know Mike
> >>
> >> obi wrote beg to differ
> >> sorry for confusion
> >>
> >> greets RM
> >You are weak Darth
>
> no just polite
> try it out maybe it will bring you back on the right path to heavenly force
```

> oneday too
> all should be in the right context
> to deny truth is to deny life to deny this means your dead :))
>
> are you in denial OBI??
>
> rm the holier then hollow shallow person some are :)
>
> BUT STILL LEARNING THE HARD WAY
I NEVER DENY ANYTHING. AFTER ALL I AM THE GREAT OBI
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4206. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjensen647@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990608195704.18629.00001279@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 115953

>A word of caution: If you value your credit card information, do NOT
>sign up for a subscription to Popular Communications magazine via the
>WWW signup form at https://pocono.railfan.com:443/popcomm/popsub.html.
>Even though they claim that the signup form is "secure", the data you
>submit is apparently SENT VIA UNSECURE EMAIL to the subscription
>address! If you were thinking of subscribing, I strongly suggest that
>you use another means.

How is this any less secure than using your credit card at the local
stop-and-rob and the kid behind the counter steals your credit card number and
expiration date after you walk out the door?

4207. Re: Upper and Lower Sideband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375DB166.5F52@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115954
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

The_Scanman wrote:
>
> i have no experience in scanning usb/lsb but my guess is youll ehar usb/lsb
> CB channels...
>
> hope this helps
>
> -JH
>
> Possums wrote in message <375b5ccc.0@news.highway1.com.au>...
> >The scanner I am using allows you to scan in USB and LSB modes. But the
> >question I am asking is what will I hear on a frequency that is using
> >usb/lsb if I am not set to the correct mode (say if I was on AM or FM)
> >What would I hear? or would it just pass it by completely?
> >I dont use these modes much and I was wondering if I was missing out.
> >
> >Thanks for any help.
> >
> >
> >
> >
Greetings from the States.
Upper and Lower Side band can be used on H.F. and V.H.F. and maybe
U.H.F. I guess it all depends on what country you are. Side band is
usually used in conjuction with A.M.. A.M. contains a carrier wave and
two side bands-upper and lower. Now here is the situation:
You are transmitting on 27.4050 Megacycles in A.M. mode.
(27.4050 or Channel 40 is the Upper limit of the Citizens' Band in the
States.) and you are using 5 watts. The Channel is clear and there is
only you and your friend on the air. All of a sudden you get an inkling
to raise your power but you know that Linear Amplifiers arre illegal.
So what do you do, you switch to sideband. It doesn't matter if it is
lower or upper. Now, all of a sudden you are transmitting farther. How
did this happen? Well this is do to the fact that by transmitting in
sideband, you have instructed your radio to quit transmitting one side
band and the carrier wave but you are still trasmitting at 5 watts.
Well that signal will go farther becasue it does not " weigh " as much.
Same thing works for the H.F. bands and V.H.F.. Now because you ar
listening to this on a scanner, you have to fiddle around with the
clarifying nob to adjust the voice. If you do not do this then you will
get what sounds like a scrambled voice. If you get it just right, then
you will have succeded in hearing a normal voice. Remember though a
sideband signal is much narrower then a regular A.M. signal.

There is also a method called Amplitude Comparnded Side Band which is used in the 220-222 Megacycle range in the States and at one time; I read or heard about Independent sideband. Independent Sideband, from what I understand, lets you transmit/recieve to different signals at the same time. Well somebody that know more then I will be able to tell you better. Also it seems that most Mores Code signals are in sideband. Why? I do not know. Well I am not a Rocket Scientist. Hopes this helps. I am not a Radio Enginner this is just based on what I read in books, magazines, and on; of course, the internet/www.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4208. Re: Upper and Lower Sideband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375DB338.2933@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115955
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>
> The_Scanman wrote:
> >
> > i have no experience in scanning usb/lsb but my guess is youll ehar usb/lsb
> > CB channels...
> >
> > hope this helps
> >
> > -JH
> >
> > Possums wrote in message <375b5ccc.0@news.highway1.com.au>...
> > >The scanner I am using allows you to scan in USB and LSB modes. But the
> > >question I am asking is what will I hear on a frequency that is using
> > >usb/lsb if I am not set to the correct mode (say if I was on AM or FM)
> > >What would I hear? or would it just pass it by completely?
> > >I dont use these modes much and I was wondering if I was missing out.
> > >
> > >Thanks for any help.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> Greetings from the States.
> Upper and Lower Side band can be used on H.F. and V.H.F. and maybe
> U.H.F. I guess it all depends on what country you are. Side band is
> usually used in conjuction with A.M.. A.M. contains a carrier wave and
> two side bands-upper and lower. Now here is the situation:
> You are transmitting on 27.4050 Megacycles in A.M. mode.
> (27.4050 or Channel 40 is the Upper limit of the Citizens' Band in the
> States.) and you are using 5 watts. The Channel is clear and there is
> only you and your friend on the air. All of a sudden you get an inkling
> to raise your power but you know that Linear Amplifiers arre illegal.
> So what do you do, you switch to sideband. It doesn't matter if it is
> lower or upper. Now, all of a sudden you are transmitting farther. How
> did this happen? Well this is do to the fact that by transmitting in
> sideband, you have instructed your radio to quit transmitting one side
> band and the carrier wave but you are still trasmitting at 5 watts.
> Well that signal will go farther becasue it does not " weigh " as much.
> Same thing works for the H.F. bands and V.H.F.. Now because you ar
> listening to this on a scanner, you have to fiddle around with the
> clarifying nob to adjust the voice. If you do not do this then you will

> get what sounds like a scrambled voice. If you get it just right, then
> you will have succeded in hearing a normal voice. Remember though a
> sideband signal is much narrower then a regular A.M. signal.
> There is also a method called Amplitude Comparnded Side Band which is
> used in the 220-222 Megacycle range in the States and at one time; I
> read or heard about Independent sideband. Independent Sideband, from
> what I understand, lets you transmit/recieve to different signals at the
> same time. Well somebody that know more then I will be able to tell you
> better. Also it seems that most Mores Code signals are in sideband.
> Why? I do not know. Well I am not a Rocket Scientist. Hopes this
> helps. I am not a Radio Enginner this is just based on what I read in
> books, magazines, and on; of course, the internet/www.
> --
> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4209. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375DB3B9.17AE@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115956
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> Thats a big TEN - FOUR Good Buddy!
>
> Thomas Jones wrote:
> >
> > >>How much you wanna bet that code will be gone in less than >>6months from
> > the tests?
> >
> > Yeah, then the next thing that would happen is that the HAM bands would
> > become a CB wasteland. Most CB'rs know enough to pass the tests, and when
> > they do...The bands will be just like another CB band.
> >
> > Tom
There are only a few C.B. operators out there that spoilt it for the
rest of us. Well all would not want to be like the Hams, talking about
their antennas all the time
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4210. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375DB3DC.35F4@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115957
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>
> Jim Wayda wrote:
> >
> > Thats a big TEN - FOUR Good Buddy!
> >
> > Thomas Jones wrote:
> > >
> > > >>How much you wanna bet that code will be gone in less than >>6months from
> > > the tests?
> > >
> > > Yeah, then the next thing that would happen is that the HAM bands would
> > > become a CB wasteland. Most CB'rs know enough to pass the tests, and when
> > > they do...The bands will be just like another CB band.
> > >
> > > Tom
> There are only a few C.B. operators out there that spoilt it for the
> rest of us. Well all would not want to be like the Hams, talking about
> their antennas all the time
> --
> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
CQ CQ calling CQ CQ< ten fer
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
```

4211. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375DB299.5185@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115958
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

1 Angry Man wrote:
>
> O©╣
> >
> >tool <comicus@usa.net> wrote:
> >
> >:
> >:----------2F8ABEC32E99FEA82CB1719C
> >:Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> >:Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> >:
> >:You dumb stupid f**k!!!!!!
> >:You brain dead ignorant one inch p****ed mouth breathing bigot!!!!
> >
> >Why? What has he done? Did he post to an aus. newsgroup from the
> >US, or did he use HTML in the newsgroup? In either case your annoyance
> >would be justified.
> >
> >Barry
>
> No Barry he's just a 3 alarm jerk. Just another example of the old saying
> around computer circles "Garbage in Garbage out!"
Actually the correct term is G.I.G.O..
>
> >==========
> >Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> >Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo
>
> --
> ==
> "I would never belong to any group,
> that would have me as a member!"
> Grocho Marx
> ==
> Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
> at least two, and they usually stink !!!
> ==
> Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4212. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <375DB306.6D24@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Well now. Did you know that there are two different types of Morse
Codes:
American and International. how they differ; I don't know.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4213. TOTAL NEWBIE QUESTION.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob <rcy@YoungVisions.com>
Message-ID: <375DD2D8.E78D42EB@YoungVisions.com>
Source record: 115960
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

sorry to inconvenience you all but I was wondering something....

I have a cheap little Pro-36 which I use all of the time... I realize however that I am missing a lot with this type of
device. I would like to purchase a large full featured scanner that will let me scan the largest number of freq.
possible and as quickly as possible. I would like to interface this scanner with a PC and log information on any
channels the scanner picks up a signal on. I would like to record freq., time, date, strength of signal etc. I would
like to let this run for a week or so eventually put the info into a spreadsheet type program for analysis.

Is there a scanner and software package that does this sort of thing? I really know little about what current systems
can do.

Last but not least, I often pick up what is obviously data (modem type sound) on my scanner and was wonder how hard is
it to decode this type of info. I would imagine it is very tedious and difficult, but is it possible realistically to
capture a high quality recording of a couple minutes of data transmission and decode it?

Sorry to bother you all, if you could give me any info and hardware/software recommendations, or at least point me to
where I can find the answers to these questions I would appreciate it,

Thanks,
Rob

4214. Re: Radio Raft decoding software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: csmolinski@erols.com
Message-ID: <csmolinski-ya02408000R0806992108390001@news.erols.com>
Source record: 115961

In article <vo273.1020$h14.4124@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>, "D Stark"
<dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

> I've gotten it working, but the shareware version is practically unusable
> with all decoded text going to the little 9-character scrolling window at
> the upper left corner of the screen. I think the crippleware should be
> modified so I can at least see how well it works before sending $$$ off to
> register it.

At which point, most people wouldn't register it.

73's
Chris

--
Check out Black Cat Systems for radio (pirate, spy numbers) and Mac
software. http://www.blackcatsystems.com

The Numbers Racket - a CD-ROM of Spy Numbers stations, info available at
http://www.blackcatsystems.com/numbers

4215. the ar dept of corrections freqz??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jms54dls <jms54dls@gateway.net>
Message-ID: <7jkfhv$7uq$1@news.laserlink.net>
Source record: 115962

any body in the state of Arkansas knows the freq. of the AR dept of correction ??

4216. Re: Need BC100 battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kitty Pickle <KittyPickle@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uf6oQohs#GA.187@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 115963

If you have a dead battery pack, open it, remove the old batteries, and replace them with NiCad AA's with solder tabs. Quick, easy, and if you get batteries from a surplus house, cheap.
Hope this helps,
George

Paul L wrote in message <7jjqu2$l22$1@newshost.lanl.gov>...
>Where can I find a battery for a BC100?
>
>

4217. Re: BC200 audio/squelch chip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Cooper <rlcooper@annap.infi.net>
Message-ID: <7jkhm4$hd9$1@nw003t.infi.net>
Source record: 115964
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

IC5 is the audio amp and IC401 is the IF chip.

4218. looking for Handheld scanner:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: ALBERT M FURLOW <ALBEHAM@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7jkkll$se0$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 115965

Hello to all that read this, I am looking for a good handheld scanner that covers 25 MHz to 900 MHz. including cell.. I need it priced around 150 to $200.

I am a NASCAR freak.. AL :)

4219. Re: what freq does the nextels run on?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Roher <mroher@fwi.com>
Message-ID: <928897375.800.90@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115966

Emoney250 <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990606001140.04055.00001073@ng-fe1.aol.com...
> read up on the technology of nextel an www.nextel.com you will see that
the
> digital signals use encrypted algorithms!!. For local repeater
frequencies for
> nextel, just use the FCC Frequency Database.....

4220. Re: Cobra 2000 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB WOLF <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jkldm$6o$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Have you ever thought about going to Anger Management classes ??

1 Angry Man <1AngryMan@antisocial.com> wrote in message
news:7jk1du$rj5$1@dagobah.blueriver.net...
> ~
> >
> >. I have for sale 1 Cobra 2000 base station CB with the speaker.
> >Radio has been modified to cover from 26.815 Mhz to 28.045 Mhz. Radio
> >transmits 20+ watts, clarifier has been opened. I have received several
> >reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from
me.
> >I am not real technical so beyond what I have told you, I don't know
> >anything else about the radio.
> >I would rate this radio as an 8 out of 10. No scratches ect that I was
able
> >to find. Also included is the original manual, original 1 year warranty
> >certificate, copy of service manual.
> >From what I have seen and heard, the 2000 is one of the top of the line
most
> >sought after radios that was ever on the market in its day. After using
it
> >for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
> >Price is $250 obo + shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order.
> >References
> >available upon request.
> >Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this
> >fine rig.
>
> You should list your radio on alt.over.priced.cb NG or maybe the
> rec.chicken.channel.crap NG
>
> My point is, if their such good radios why did Cobra quit making them?
>
> BTW they are only running $175 for perfect and $125 for scratched &
dented!
>
>
> --
> ==
> "I would never belong to any group,
> that would have me as a member!"
> Grocho Marx
> ==

> Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
> at least two, and they usually stink !!!
> ======================================
> Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
>

4221. Re: Coax question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <375DE329.3E72E7CE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115968
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

RG/11 is low loss 75 ohm coax used for cable tv. It is to cable tv as to what RG-8 is to the radio world. I recommend to use a match of some type like a balun, to match it ot 50 ohm

"feilerm@iea.com" wrote:

> I received a good quantity of coax (over 300 feet) marked Comm\Scope
> network cable 5901 RG11\U type E82833 14AWG.
> Is this stuff any good for use as a scanner feed line? The price was
> right (free, leftover from the guy who wired our hospitals monitoring
> system). I have heard that impedance mismatch or poor shielding can
> cause significant signal loss,etc... Shoud I use it or get invest in
> something else?
> Thanks in advance everyone.

4222. MDT LA Co Fire ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <375DE1A3.A6035E95@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115969

does anyone know the mdt the la county fire uses and what frequencies
they are on?

4223. Re: morse code on police channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <375DE3B9.90580A2D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115970

the public safety repeaters are required to ID by morse of voice every hour. But what you could be hearing is Motorola quick call, can you describe it better.

BlackCatNV wrote:

> What is the purpose of the short bursts of morse code that appear on police and
> other public frequencies that are otherwise voice channels?

4224. Re: AR1000-PROgrammit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <375DE53E.58455CDB@gnc.net>
Source record: 115971

Glad to hear you figured it out. I still need to modify my PRO-2042 to
accept this interface. I'll really enjoy programming those 1000 channels
without ever pushing a button again!

Robert

Pro43 wrote:

> I got the interface up and running. Apparently I missed the part in the notes
> stating you cannot use BANK 0. Once I realized this it worked as advertised. I
> made the serial interface and it sure was nice to watch 100 channels get auto
> ptrogrammed into that unit without mre having to touch it:+).Ira

4225. Re: AR1000-PROgrammit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <375DE5F4.63649B51@gnc.net>
Source record: 115972

Glad to hear you figured it out. I still need to modify my PRO-2042 to accept this interface. I'll really enjoy programming those 1000 channels without ever pushing a button again!

Robert

Pro43 wrote:

> I got the interface up and running. Apparently I missed the part in the notes
> stating you cannot use BANK 0. Once I realized this it worked as advertised. I
> made the serial interface and it sure was nice to watch 100 channels get auto
> ptrogrammed into that unit without mre having to touch it:+).Ira

4226. Re: Emergency? How about 121.5 MHz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <375DF12E.983AA87D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115973
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner

There is also a new EPIRB Frequency in the UHF Band at 406 Mhz,
WB6SUB Former submarine radioman.

Keith wrote:

> On Thu, 27 May 1999 23:02:13 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> >Al Gerharter wrote:
> >>
> >> Good question...
> >>
> >> > >
> >> > >Do we have any pilots who can comment on whether this is practical/legal/etc?
> >> >
> >> > Practical? Yes. Legal......not sure.
> >> >
> >>
>
> >> CFIAMI
> >Isn't there also something called an E.P.I.R.B.? What frequency does
> >this operate on?
>
> >Also what is the marine H.F. emergency frequency?
> 2182 KHz 24/7 by USCG
>
> >Is there a H.F. Aeronautical frequency?
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> ---
> http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/ham_swl_radio/
> ---
> If all the personal computers in the world 260 million were put to
> work on a single PGP-encrypted message, it would still take an
> estimated 12 million times the age of the universe, on average,
> to break a single message. --William Crowell, Deputy Director, National Security Agency,
March 20,1997.

4227. Re: Morse code over a scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <375DF1B5.CC8F0E77@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115974
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The FCC (Uncle Charlie) Requires that the repeaters identify every hour. This is one of the only acceptable id methods allowed by the FCC. It;s cheap and works well. 73's Trevor Former SUB radioman

Ron & Sue Rosenbaum wrote:

> I live in Cambria County in Pennsylvania and have noticed morse code
> being sent over the ploice frequencies at night. I'm not sure if this
> happens over the daytime, as I'm not home. It will be sent over one
> frequency then over the other a few seconds later. It's clear as a bell
> so I'm assuming it's coming from the 911 center.Does anyone know why a
> 911 center would do this. I appriciate any help.
>
> Nicholas Rosenbaum
> FuzzieOne@yahoo.com

4228. Re: Talkgroup IDs for HL&P (Harris Co. TX) trunk?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <375DF2D1.550E7541@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115975

yeah friend, this is a great place to start. (BTW: let me know if you
don't have ability to go to this site, an let me know how it goes)
http://www.trunktracker.com/states/data8.html

Greg Bretting wrote:

> Does anyone have a listing of the talkgroup IDs on the Motorola
> Smartzone II trunk (003C) used by Houston Lighting & Power (Entergy)?
> I'ts kind of hard to decipher just by listening since they normally use
> their own location references instead of street names, subdivisions,
> etc.
>
> Thanks in advance...
>
> Greg
>
> --
> "A computer lets you make more mistakes faster than any invention
> in human history with the possible exceptions of handguns and
> tequila."
> Mitch Ratliffe, _Technology Review_ April, 1992

4229. Re: Lo-Jack Frequencys ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <375DF7AD.4FC3F45@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115976

yes 173.075 nb FM

Carolim30 wrote:

> Anyone firmiliar with Lo-Jack Output frequencys??? Higher end of Hi-Band??

4230. Re: FS:bc800xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <375DF753.935FFFBB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115977

if you dont have any takers here...I recommend rec.radio.swap
73's

Monte Keller wrote:

> i have a good bearcat 800xlt scanner ,does 800 megs
> with manual
> 125.00 shipped
> thanks
> monte k9dzd@iquest.net

4231. Re: cell trick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <375DF70E.6047618F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115978

IF you have any questions on cell cites I built them for 2 1/2 years for GTE Mobilnet, Airtouch, US West Cellular, PACBell, Sprint PCS.
A Cell cite is a computer controlled multi channel repeater. The mother site controls all the sites in the system. For instance you make a call..\
the system checks to make shure you phone id number and your transmitter signiture checks out with it's own records and the same time it is assigning you a Hex channel number with a transmit and receive pair 45 mhz apart, the lower is the phone transmit the higher is the cell transmit. if your phone checks out ok, if places the call. During the call the site see's that your power on you phone needs to be incresed. So on the date channel that the phone is allways listening too, even when the phone is sitting there in your seat in standby. So your phone increses it's power. But another site is seeing your signal stronger, so it will then set up a handoff with another site and another HEX channel. And when it sees it is the proper time, it hands it off to another site. I hope you see how complex of a system, but what a simple idea.

And when you are hearing a cell conversation on a frequency above 896 it is probably a internal product of the scanner itself. Here is how you can figure it out. (Any to frequencys will produce in a mix the 2 original freqs and the sum and the difference) IF(ie. 21.4 Mhz) - the original freq on your scanner, and it will probably fall in one of the cell sites hex channel outputs.
Trevor Harris Former Sub Radioman, 73's brother

"Al, N2NKB" wrote:

> What is a cell repeater? My take is that if you hear a signal between
> 869 & 894 MHz, you are hearing the signal directly.
> If you hear cellular calls between 896 and 915 MHz your receiver has a
> sloppy front end, as opposed to a triple conversion.
>
> Al
>
> TomCat4680 wrote in message
> <19990529191550.29566.00006475@ng-fg1.aol.com>...
> >if you are 5 miles or less from a cell repeater you can hear calls between
> 896
> >and 915 mhz

4232. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <375DF7DE.E31D39E6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115979

well we all have our faults...hi hi

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:

> Choco Boco wrote:
> >
> > Arizona DPS (Highway Patrol) is currently testing 3 of the S70's and 2
> > S90's. All five are painted like the regular DPS cars and look really
> > slick. Wow. I was chatting with one of the officers about his test unit a
> > few weeks ago (I was at Burger King and he was in the lot.) He was telling
> > me that they are faster and more comfortable than the Crown Vic's and
> > Caprice's. He also said that Volvo has agreed to buy them back when the
> > cars get a 100,000 miles on them for a pre-arranged amount.
> >
> > Volvo has made a good move with that one. Besides, we all know that Volvo's
> > are safer than the Crown Vics ever will be..
>
> What is so slick about a freaking Volvo? They are forgien cars.
> That alone makes them stink.
> Crown Vics, or Caprice Clasics all the way.
> >
> > Choco
>
> --
> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4233. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <375DF874.E8A6BB3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

the military still teaches it. The reason that they still want to teach it is that in a nuclear wasteland, it is theorized that CW will be the only method that will work. If you don't hear the dih you will hear the static "beat" silent. I hope this make sense. 73's brother
Trevor Harris, Former SUB Radioman

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:

> Woofle wrote:
> >
> > On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 18:22:42 -0400, "The Conqueror"
> > <joetheripper@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing requirements
> > >if the military doesn't use it anymore and telegraphs are not that common. I
> > >think because they stopped it for the marines, there's no point in using it
> > >in ham radio either. And if you want to say it is for security, you are not
> > >allowed to use codes when intended to obscure meaning.
> > >
> > >-Dan K3DRQ
> > >
> > >k3drq@qsl.net
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Now, LOOK. Haven't you watched the movie Independence Day?
> > It was Morse Code that saved the world! :-)
>
> WWhere in the movie is this???? It is becasue the yfigured out the
> code; and it wasn't Morse Code either.
> >
> > >
> > >
>
> --
> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4234. FS trunking scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: JFW <lebear@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <7jkve8isg1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 115981

For sale Radio Shack pro-2066 mobile trunking scanner, $140.

E-mail lebear@cyberhighway.net

4235. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wooka <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <375E0B07.12C8DDD3@aznet.net>
Source record: 115982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Trevor Harris wrote:

> the military still teaches it. The reason that they still want to teach it is that
> in a nuclear wasteland, it is theorized that CW will be the only method that will
> work. If you don't hear the dih you will hear the static "beat" silent. I hope
> this make sense. 73's brother
> Trevor Harris, Former SUB Radioman
>

Do you know any studies that point to radiation hindering radio communications?

> OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>
> > Woofle wrote:
> > >
> > > On Mon, 26 Apr 1999 18:22:42 -0400, "The Conqueror"
> > > <joetheripper@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >I was just wondering why they still keep the Morse code testing requirements
> > > >if the military doesn't use it anymore and telegraphs are not that common. I
> > > >think because they stopped it for the marines, there's no point in using it
> > > >in ham radio either. And if you want to say it is for security, you are not
> > > >allowed to use codes when intended to obscure meaning.
> > > >
> > > >-Dan K3DRQ
> > > >
> > > >k3drq@qsl.net
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Now, LOOK. Haven't you watched the movie Independence Day?
> > > It was Morse Code that saved the world! :-)
> > >
> > WWhere in the movie is this???? It is becasue the yfigured out the
> > code; and it wasn't Morse Code either.
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > --
> > REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4236. Re: Urban Legend or Wild Imagination? You be the judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <928822634.680.35@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115989

Hey Phil, You ready to order your Pro 91 Cellular Analyzer? only $2700!

I got curious and had to ask the guy about it. I asked him how it differed
from a regular scanner. I recieved a quick response.
Here was his reply:

(quote)
Hi,

The Pro-91 differs from a regular scanner becuse it is a cellular scanner.
It records the esn/min from cellular phones. It also has a special startac
feature that allowes you to program a startac with the scanner.
The Pro-91 is a Radio Shack Pro-91 scanner that has been reprogramed to
intercept cellular frequences.

The price for this item is $2700

If you have any questions please email us.
(end quote)

Too bad he can't sell it on Ebay!

4237. Re: AR1000-PROgrammit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <spam@less.net>
Message-ID: <375CB749.B0C288FE@less.net>
Source record: 115990

Ira,

I ran into the same problem when I ran John's latest version of
the PROgramit software. I could operate each button via the interface
while in the manual mode but the scanner would error out when downloading
banks of frequencies. Seemed to me that the interface was operating beyond
the scanners ability to take in the data. So... I changed the delay factor
(determines how long a key is held down).

Select "Settings", then "Configure Active Scanner". Next select "Port" and
change the delay factor. I bumped mine up to 10000. After that, it worked
perfectly once again. You may need to adjust this figure depending on
your setup. I tested this on a PRO-2026 using a 486 80MHz computer.
Hope that helps,

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Pro43 wrote:

> ANyone out there using PROgrammit and the serial interface for programming
> thier AOR 1000? I have everything made and working in manual mode. but it
> errors out when I try to Auto Program with it. ANy help would be appreciated.
> Thanks, Ira cooper

4238. Re: My Pro 2021 does'nt work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <spam@less.net>
Message-ID: <375CB89C.8A2CBC0E@less.net>
Source record: 115991

Jim,

Did you check the voltage regulator? Common problem with
that era of scanner.

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Jimtown54 wrote:

> I need help on my Pro 2021 Scanner. When I turn it on nothing happens. It has
> power to the Transformer. Power comes out. Power to the on off switch. Power
> from the switch to the circuit board. From there I am lost. Can anybody help
> me. Thanks So Much. Jim

4239. Re: european scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <spam@less.net>
Message-ID: <375CBBFA.A494862F@less.net>
Source record: 115992

Sean,

It isn't legal to bring non FCC approved equipment back into
the U.S.A. I recently visited England for two weeks and "considered"
the same idea. If he gets stopped during a search and if (big if) the
inspector knows what the new radio is capable of, it would be
seized and your buddy would probably be fined. Considering
what bad press the cellular scanners are getting lately, I have
a feeling that if he did get caught (however unlikely), he would be
made an example of. Hope he isn't a good buddy if he gets
caught! And no, I didn't buy a non-US scanner. The risk was
too high for me.

Disclaimers are now showing up on European scanner websites:

"IMPORTANT NOTE FOR POTENTIAL CUSTOMERS
NOT RESIDENT IN THE UNITED KINGDOM..."

http://www.javiation.co.uk/

Sean Harding wrote:

> I have a friend travelling in Europe (at least Germany and France;
> possibly elsewhere also) in a few weeks. I'm wondering if there are any
> good scanners available in Europe that aren't available in the US that I
> should consider having him pick up. I'm in the market for a new scanner
> soon anyway, so I thought this might be a good opportunity to get
> something I wouldn't otherwise have access to. Is it even legal to buy a
> scanner in Europe and bring it to the US? I'm never clear on the FCC
> regs....
>
> Thanks.
>
> sean
>
> --
> Sean Harding sharding@well.com |"art may imitate life
> http://gladstone.uoregon.edu/~sharding/ | but life imitates t.v."
> Consulting: http://www.efn.org/~seanh/ | --ani difranco

4240. Re: Freq and PL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <Five_hole@the_grid.net>
Message-ID: <375CC041.55E2575E@the_grid.net>
Source record: 115993

John Grzeskiewicz wrote:
>
> Does anybody have frequencies and PL's for LAPD, LASO, LA County Fire,
> etc.?
>
> Or if you know how I can find in a database (free or for sale), please
> send me an e-mail.
>

http://www.socal911.com

4241. Re: need advise of how to fix broken chip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <7jiho8$4ka$1@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 115994
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Marcus R. Matos (raydr@minionsfromhell.com) wrote:
: Take the chip number and go to radio shack. Shouldn't cost more than $8.
: They won't have it in stock but they'll ship it to ya in like a week.

: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote in message
: news:hCX63.7557$D_5.208005@news2.giganews.com...
: > Ok here is what I know. a friend tried to do the dis tap to his scanner.
: I
: > guess he Saudered the wrong pin. When he tried to remove it the pin broke
: > off!!! So on the side of the chip where the pin broke off is the metal.
: > Its there but you can barely see it. and the board where the pin should
: be
: > can be seen. I put a wire touching from the chip to the board and it
: works.
: > Sound is restored. Question Since the chip cannot be saudered what
: should
: > he do. The wire can be saudered to the board but not the chip. Is there
: > any type of substance that is like "gum" I guess that can be fitted
: between
: > the two contacts that conducts electricity??? I think he is screwed...
: > And do you think a professional could fix it?? Any help and tips would be
: > greatly appreciated.
: >
: > Reinaga
: >
: > --
: > Robert Reinaga
: > reinaga@cnmnetwork.com
: >
: >
: >
: >

Two other methods...

1.carefully chip off a bit of the plastic case on the IC, and then solder
a wire to the exposed stub.

2.use a conductive ink pen(I like the Circut Works one myself) to extend
the pin stub enough to work with(the ink CAN be soldered to if neccessary,
but it's tricky to do).
A variant that should work well is conductive epoxy, or other conductive
glue.

personally, if #1 is not a option, then I'd replace the IC, unless it was costly or rare.

4242. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rudi Mulder <mulder36@xs4all.nl>
Message-ID: <7jijr0$i5u$2@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 115995
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

OBI-WAN PEROGI heeft geschreven in bericht <375C614C.24A7@ameritech.net>...
>Rudi Mulder wrote:
>>
>> M.J.Powell heeft geschreven in bericht ...
>> >In article <7j8mo8$473$4@news1.xs4all.nl>, Rudi Mulder
>> ><mulder36@xs4all.nl> writes
>> >>
>> >>>>
>> >>>> think everything originated from Holland LOL
>> >>>> >--
>> >>>> >M.J.Powell.
>> >>>I beg to differ
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>well begging is allowed :)
>> >>to differ in this, is to deny the truth
>> >>can't have that now can we :)
>> >>
>> >>i know it hurts a bit the truth but we all be soon a costumed to this
LOL
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>RM
>> >
>> >A short note to say that I didn't write the above.
>> >
>> >Mike
>> >--
>> >M.J.Powell.
>>
>> i know Mike
>>
>> obi wrote beg to differ
>> sorry for confusion
>>
>> greets RM
>You are weak Darth

no just polite
try it out maybe it will bring you back on the right path to heavenly force
oneday too
all should be in the right context

to deny truth is to deny life to deny this means your dead :))

are you in denial OBI??

rm the holier then hollow shallow person some are :)

BUT STILL LEARNING THE HARD WAY

4243. help to find site on ebay that list ham radio items sold for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: hrudy1@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7jipti$h3c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115996

Some time ago I seen a site on ebay that had a list of ham radios that had been sold on ebay. It was listed by manufacture & model. It listed the # of that model solded. And the high and low price. Can anybody tell me how to find this site on ebay?
Please send email to hrudy@lgcy.com
Thank You
73
KA7YWO
Howard Rudy

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4244. Re: ABC News/Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <4AB8A0D01054FAAC.4149DB16F9E03CF8.BC76772F5359117A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 115997
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Frequency, AM and FM modulation are all products and natural acts of nature, thus we all have a right to listen to any frequency we please.
If people want their information not to be considered free speech, then they need to conceal it using modern
day encryption.

> You do NOT have the right to listen to any frequency. The Communications Act of 1934 does
> not give you that right.

4245. Re: Urban Legend or Wild Imagination? You be the judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marcus R. Matos" <raydr@minionsfromhell.com>
Message-ID: <qZ573.2268$_U4.29365@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 115998

I was just running here to post the price, looks like you beat me bastard.
Can you believe the nerve this guy has? I feel like reporting him for fraud.
Especially for wanting to charge $2700 for something that costs $130.
Amazing!

K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net> wrote in message
news:928822634.680.35@news.remarQ.com...
> Hey Phil, You ready to order your Pro 91 Cellular Analyzer? only $2700!
>
> I got curious and had to ask the guy about it. I asked him how it differed
> from a regular scanner. I recieved a quick response.
> Here was his reply:
>
> --
--
> ------------------
> (quote)
> Hi,
>
> The Pro-91 differs from a regular scanner becuse it is a cellular scanner.
> It records the esn/min from cellular phones. It also has a special
startac
> feature that allowes you to program a startac with the scanner.
> The Pro-91 is a Radio Shack Pro-91 scanner that has been reprogramed to
> intercept cellular frequences.
>
> The price for this item is $2700
>
> If you have any questions please email us.
> (end quote)
> --
--
> ---------------------------------------
>
> Too bad he can't sell it on Ebay!
>
>

4246. Re: Speeding; was "Tips dealing with police.."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <71ACA65E2AACEFFA.3DDA40E1B911DC4E.C5286A50E23F942E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 115999

Who in the heck drives the speed limit except a bunch of losers who drive in the fast lane, bitching about how everyone is trying to run them over?
Nooo, don't tell me, you have to be a HAM as your logic is based on ideals instead of cold hard reality that people have to function and might feel a need to hurry.

> >One could always drive the speed limit to avoid a speeding ticket.... Not
> >very fun or high tech, but it works 100% of the time.

4247. Re: Speeding; was "Tips dealing with police.."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <375CF062.2A62B43E@netxn.com>
Source record: 116000

I knew a guy that was cited for CVC 22350 unsafe speed several years ago, he was cited for 80 in a 35mph zone at 4 in the morning. He went to court and fought the ticket and won. He called the city and got traffic counts for the road where he was stopped and cited and along with the traffic counts he did, he was able to convince the judge that it was safe to go 80mph in the 35 zone.

The judge liked the work he had done and the cop couldn't prove that it was unsafe so out went the ticket. The cop couldn't beleive it and actually told the guy he was impressed with his work.

Where there's a will ... there's a way ...

Mike Derryberry

Harry Marnell wrote:
>
> >One could always drive the speed limit to avoid a speeding ticket.... Not
> >very fun or high tech, but it works 100% of the time.
> >
> >Naaah, that's too easy.
> >-Phil
>
> Not 100% of the time. Don't forget about the "basic speed law," at least in
> California.
> Many speeding tickets are written as violations of 22350 CVC, which says:
>
> "22350. No person shall drive a vehicle upon a highway at a speed
> greater than is reasonable or prudent having due regard for weather,
> visibility, the traffic on, and the surface and width of, the
> highway, and in no event at a speed which endangers the safety of
> persons or property."
>
> NO reference at all there to speed limits, which are addressed in 22348,
> 22349, 22352 etc.
>
> Which means you can be cited for going, say, 25 in a 55 zone if weather or
> other conditions make it unsafe. OTOH, theoretically, anyway, you COULD try
> to defend a speed above the posted limit, under 22351 (b) which says,
>
> (b) The speed of any vehicle upon a highway in excess of the prima
> facie speed limits in Section 22352 or established as authorized in
> this code is prima facie unlawful unless the defendant establishes by
> competent evidence that the speed in excess of said limits did not
> constitute a violation of the basic speed law at the time, place and

> under the conditions then existing."
>
> Lots of luck on THAT one though.
>
> Also, since their job and training is to observe and deal with vehicle
> operation and speed, day in and day out, most California courts recognize
> CHP officers as "expert witnesses" as to a vehicle's speed, whether or not
> their observation is supported by their radar gun's reading.
>
> Harry Marnell, N6URU
> ~~~> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

4248. Re: Urban Legend or Wild Imagination? You be the judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <375CF249.A7113F62@netxn.com>
Source record: 116001

$2700 for that piece of crap ??? Now that is a laugh ... I would love
to meet that guy in person and look at this modified Pro 91 and see how
he "modified" it to turn it into Cellular Analyzer ...

Oh well ... there's a sucker born every minute ... he'll probably sell
some ...

Mike Derryberry

K patterson wrote:
>
> Hey Phil, You ready to order your Pro 91 Cellular Analyzer? only $2700!
>
> I got curious and had to ask the guy about it. I asked him how it differed
> from a regular scanner. I recieved a quick response.
> Here was his reply:
>
> ---
> ---
> (quote)
> Hi,
>
> The Pro-91 differs from a regular scanner becuse it is a cellular scanner.
> It records the esn/min from cellular phones. It also has a special startac
> feature that allowes you to program a startac with the scanner.
> The Pro-91 is a Radio Shack Pro-91 scanner that has been reprogramed to
> intercept cellular frequences.
>
> The price for this item is $2700
>
> If you have any questions please email us.
> (end quote)
> ---
> ---
>
> Too bad he can't sell it on Ebay!

4249. Re: Discriminator output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: wapcutthispart@ica.net
Message-ID: <375d02c3.94910@news>
Source record: 116002

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote:

>Andrew Porrett wrote in message <375bb287.1760620@news>...
>>Phil, I'll leave it to you to figure out what part of the
>>audio chain you're overloading...

>;-)

>I think I know what you're referring to, but the mic input is set
>very low, as is the speaker volume.

You've got the mic input set very low because the discriminator audio level is much higher than you'd get from a mic. I have to wonder if the sound card can properly handle that input, even with the gain turned down. Just for laughs, why don't you try sticking a 10-1 voltage divider between the discriminator and the mic input and see how it sounds? If nothing else, you can take satisfaction in having a proper impedance match.

>Nonetheless, the audio sounds distorted - "funny" - probably because
>there is no filtering occuring. I think this the "distortion" the
>original poster was talking about.

OK, so: "filtered audio minus filtering" equals clean audio, (AKA "distorted" filtered audio)?

I'm getting the hang of this...

>The original question was "Should I hear something?" to which the
>answer was "Yes, but it'll sound *funny*".

I just checked the discriminator tap on one of my 43's with my old Realistic LV-10 stereo headphones (150 ohm elements, 300 ohms total series resistance, plus 0.1 blocking cap in scanner, no extra amplifier); The audio level is about right for comfortable listening - not faint at all, and is not distorted, but does have considerable high end (hiss); CTCSS and low speed trunk handshake data and disconnect tones come through quite clearly, so OK, I'll accept "*funny*" as the technical description...

BTW, for those who want to try this at home, be kind to your scanner and add a K or two of series resistance between the discriminator and the 'phones.

>I probably should have clarified that in the first place.

No fun in that.

...Andy

4250. BC800XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: steve roach <stevero@inetone.net>
Message-ID: <375D0AE7.F92B900C@inetone.net>
Source record: 116003

Just got a bc800xlt w no manuals. Could someone point me to a web site or other location to get operators manual.

Thanks Steve

4251. Re: HELP EVERYBODY (New binary scanner group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: RICK <radiwave@deltaforce.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7jj3cj$9he$4@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 116004
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

How will a binary group help us or am I just missing the point ???

EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote in message
news:EJo63.2090$EU4.1022@stones...
> Just to let you know that I heard about the possibility of a new binary
> scanner group being setup !.
>
> Please go to the alt.config and show your support if you like for the
making
> of a new BINARY scanner newsgroup, I myself am not the person who put it
> forward and I am not connected with him but I have been asking for one of
> these for ages and I know quite a few of you out there have aswell. It can
> only help make our hobby more informed and interesting, so pop along as
soon
> as you can to ALT.CONFIG and see the posting for the binary scanner group
> and reply to it with your support
>
> thanks a bundle everybody
>
> Eavsdropper
>
> --
> EAVSDROPPERS SCANNER SOFTWARE SITE FOR THE ENTHUSIAST
>
> HTTP://LISTEN.TO/EAVSDROPPER
> OR
> HTTP://WWW.EAVSDROPPER.DABSOL.CO.UK
>
>

4252. Re: automatic toll collection frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7jj2sgjro1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116005

I know, some people get up in arms over anyone showing the slightest technical interest. EVERYONE who ask gotta be out to rip it off, right?

Just like the people who INSIST cell phones are private, and argue if you declare otherwise! I had a friend who was told by his cell carrier
that the phones were secure and COULDN'T BE OVERHEARD! And he was about to talk about something sensitive on the way home from work when he called me. I told him no, and rigged an experiment to prove him wrong, with a sixpack of Sam Adams as the wager.

I had him announce his office number (with voice mail) on the cell call, and ask anyone who's listening to call and leave a message. Well, he did, then said he'll call and make sure the mailbox was empty. He hung up and didn't call back for a LONG time. Seems he couldn't get through to his office, the line was BUSY. When he finally got through, he had a NUMBER of messages. Just imaging how many people called, got a busy, and didn't try again!

That six pack tasted sweet.

Mike

Paul Cuni wrote in message <375d67f6.177448917@news.earthlink.net>...
>Before you take out your flame throwers I have a good reason for
>needing to know this. I want to see how much shielding my ezpass is
>getting in my glove compartment when I'm using fastlane and vice
>versa. Along with the Maine toll collector too on the dash.
>
>So whats the frequency kenneth?
>---------
>Try my web page at http://www.paulcuni.com
>

4253. Re: need advise of how to fix broken chip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis Breeden <d.breeden@mci.com>
Message-ID: <375D1478.DEEC42FB@mci.com>
Source record: 116006
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Don't just try any glue. Check at a really good electronics parts supplier and get some electrically conductive epoxy glue. Allied, Newark or other commercial parts supplier either have it or point you in the right direction.

However, it would be better to just buy a new chip and replace it. You may want to consider putting it in a socket.

4254. Re: Urban Legend or Wild Imagination? You be the judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7jj3d2$kf1$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116007

While this could be a very legitimate product, what are the odds?

Just remember, some of the scanners that are out there have
incredible potential, but the processors are 'locked up'. If someone
were to have original source....

Or even, time on their hands and a mission goal.

It's not out of the realm of posssibility. Probability is another story
altogether.

But I would have to ask, if this guy really did do this, would he be
that dumb to try to advertise it on the internet?

Mike Yetsko

K patterson wrote in message <928822634.680.35@news.remarQ.com>...
>Hey Phil, You ready to order your Pro 91 Cellular Analyzer? only $2700!
>
>I got curious and had to ask the guy about it. I asked him how it
differed
>from a regular scanner. I recieved a quick response.
>Here was his reply:
>
>--

>------------------
>(quote)
>Hi,
>
>The Pro-91 differs from a regular scanner becuse it is a cellular
scanner.
>It records the esn/min from cellular phones. It also has a special
startac
>feature that allowes you to program a startac with the scanner.
>The Pro-91 is a Radio Shack Pro-91 scanner that has been reprogramed to
>intercept cellular frequences.
>
>The price for this item is $2700
>
>If you have any questions please email us.
>(end quote)
>--

>---------------------------------------
>

>Too bad he can't sell it on Ebay!
>
>

4255. Re: Trunked Id search database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <375D14DF.4F08@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116008

Joseph Gilmore wrote:
>
> Does the FCC (or anybody else) have a trunked Id search database for
> various services and businesses in the Oregon, USA area?

Check out the following URLs:

http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/or.html
http://home.att.net/~wwhitby/or.htm

4256. Re: Speeding; was "Tips dealing with police.."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7jj692$emp@sjx-ixn6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116009

In <375CF062.2A62B43E@netxn.com> Mike Derryberry
<mderryberry@netxn.com> writes:
>

notice who does not post
hardly?

if we keep it up we
will rid him of himself.

bill conduit
etc.

4257. WTB PRO-2036 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: greggolson@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990608102043.25727.00001872@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 116010

Looking for "like new" condition Pro-2036 scanner in box with all original accessories. CTCSS tone board a plus and cell modification not important. Thank you very much!

Gregg

Please respond to: GREGGOLSON@aol.com

4258. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: "KF4FDJ (Mike in Ft. Myers, FL)" <seven77@gate.net>
Message-ID: <375D2994.AB706AD7@gate.net>
Source record: 116011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

A neighbor once, after months of torture, intimated voices emanated from her washing machine. She was genuinely afraid to use the device, and was certain it, or her house was haunted.

A little investigative work, and a choke on a 75 ohm feedline which was radiating, cured her curse. There must have been enough oxidation in the motor coupling to rectify, and in some way the "bowl" of the washing machine was working like a speaker.

She sleeps better now. Not a CW story, but "voices" none the less.

73, Mike

Michael Young wrote:
>
> Buddy, if you really do hear the "voices", you would know they don't
> like it when you make fun of them. Beware! Yes, mine do Morse code, too,
> but usually just jabber on in what I think is Cantonese.

```
__\/__
| . / ^ _ \ .
| |\| (o)(o) |/|
^ --- * -----------------.OOOo--oo--oOOO.------------------- *
|||  | | * Mike Gilchrist - KF4FDJ ..... AMSAT Area Coordinator *
|X|  | | * P.O. Box 763 ................ AMSAT member #31884 *
[X]~~~|k| * Fort Myers, FL 33902 ....... ARRL member #1781549 *
/ \  |f| * http://www.gate.net/~seven77 telephone 941.772.7907 *
| |  |4| * kf4fdj@amsat.org ............................ EL96ap *
| P  | |f| * I.S. Professional ..... CNA .... Computer Consultant *
| 3  |=|d| * AO10, AO27, FO20, FO29, RS13, RS15, MIR, E Layer *
| D  | |j| * Bringing Oscar demos to the Lee County Schools. *
|_____|=| | * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
/*X*\  | | * Black holes were created when God divided by zero. *
________|_|_______________________________Oooo._________________________
.oooO ( )
( ) ) /
\ ( (_/
\_)
```

4259. Would like any informations about Motorola MDT at 9600 & 91200 bps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: intercepteur@geocities.com
Message-ID: <01beb1bc$621ba720$5714f5cf@client>
Source record: 116012

Hi, i would like any informations about Motorola MDT
at 9600 & 19200 bps...

Please contact me by e-mail. Thanks

e-mail : intercepteur@geocities.com

4260. FS: Yupiteru MVT-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <43a73.9217$D_5.263072@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 116013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

For sale this excellent scanner from Yupiteru which has Full coverage from
530KHz - 2039MHz.
overall condition of the radio is good. on the scale I give it 8.5 of 10.
8.5 due to the fact of small scratches on the radio. I am very picky
though, to you the blemishes my not even be noticeable. Radio has Never
been dropped. For what I spent on it believe I would never want to. this is
not the M3 model
it is the 2 generation of the 9000 the one's which have the better
shielding. Not the M2 though. The one that is CE certified.
included are the nicad batteries
carrying strap
original box
scanner of course
rubber antenna
super smiley II antenna **Great for 400Mhz. like police but sucks for
800Mhz**
any anything else that came with the radio but forgot of it
I will even throw in the ac adapter that I bought
and I will toss in a professional built data slicer also known as a hamcom
interface with jvfax/hamcom software that I purchased through the internet
from mfj enterprises

this is an excellent radio that is great but need the cash to drop in an
integra engine to my civic =)
and my local police went digital =(so what use is it now???

remember I am located in U.S. therefor no customs checks and no tax verses
if you were to buy it from another country
this scanner is about 3 months old

I will take the best offer that I receive via email.
serious inquiries only please.

please visit pages below for more info or email me if you have any
questions.

http://kymi.iwn.fi/isoveli/revm9000.htm

http://www.radioworld.ca/swscan/scanpric.htm

--
Robert Reinaga
reinaga@cnmnetwork.com

4261. Cobra 2000 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sam Wolinsky <jces9033@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7jj9e6rcr1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

. I have for sale 1 Cobra 2000 base station CB with the speaker.
Radio has been modified to cover from 26.815 Mhz to 28.045 Mhz. Radio
transmits 20+ watts, clarifier has been opened. I have received several
reports of good signal/audio from stations that are 30+ miles away from me.
I am not real technical so beyond what I have told you, I don't know
anything else about the radio.
I would rate this radio as an 8 out of 10. No scratches ect that I was able
to find. Also included is the original manual, original 1 year warranty
certificate, copy of service manual.
From what I have seen and heard, the 2000 is one of the top of the line most
sought after radios that was ever on the market in its day. After using it
for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
Price is $250 obo + shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order.
References
available upon request.
Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this
fine rig.

4262. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: rah@netcom.com
Message-ID: <rahFD0KFp.HzH@netcom.com>
Source record: 116015
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Craig Welch (craig@pacific.net.sg) wrote:

: Now why would that be?
: The media listen to scanners just as you do ...

During the Loma Prieta earthquake here in California, the media showed a) the collapsed section of the Bay bridge, The collapsed Cypress Structure freeway, and a couple of burning buildings in the SF Marina district. Granted, each one was a tragedy in it's own right, but the media used those shots over and over again to made it appear that the whole damn region had been shaken to the ground and set afire.

My wife and I were out of town on that day and had to infer from what the media *didn't* say, that our friends and house and pets were most likely fine.

What incentive does the media have to report *anything* accurately and objectively? Even the best reporters are forced to suck up ratings from the bottom of the pond.

Dont get me started :-)

Cheers,

Rick

--
Include "wombat" in Subject: line of mail sent to me [to override spamgard(tm)]

| Richard Hyde | RaH@netcom.com | This space intentionally left blank |

4263. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jjesbood1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116016

In article <19990608020306.13053.00002651@ng-bh1.aol.com>,
shack23@aol.com (Shack23) wrote:

>>Well, my scanner is going to stay right where it is, along with my
>>guns...

Alarmist...non-sensical...off-topic...

I thought we were talking about the sale of scanners that have been
deemed illegal to manufacture...how one equates this to "guns" is
beyond rational comprehension.

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4264. Kendall County (TX) 900 System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Jordan <drjordan@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7jjhqg$q05$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anybody have any details about the new Kendall County Texas 900 system?
TIA!

-DrJ-

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4265. Kendall County (TX) 900 System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Jordan <drjordan@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7jjhva$q2m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116018

Anybody have any details about the new Kendall County Texas 900 system?
TIA!

-DrJ-

(Sorry if this duplicates...)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4266. Loking for a cheep hand-deld trunk tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: radio_rookie@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7jjjo4$qv4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116019

I was wondering if anyone had a trunk tracker
that they are looking to get rid of fairly cheep.
I am new to this and was looking for a cheep
trunk tracker to get started.

James

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4267. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990608125011.09794.00001048@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 116020

>and then, what IS the purpose of you having a scanner ?
>.....entertainment ?
>or perhaps "infotainment" ?
>
What is the purpose of your asking such an obviously provocative question in a room dedicated to scanners?
Ignoring the attitude,I will submit that there are plenty of good reasons for using a scanner, besides the uses already posted by those working in the emergency/public safety field.
-A fascination with radio communications of any type (most radio hobbyists are fans of every type of radio service, scanning, shortwave, ham, CB, satellite, etc.)
-Concern for our own and our neighbors' safety
-Hearing news as it happens
-Some folks like to hear drivers and pit crews during auto races, others like to listen to comm's from railroads and/or airports-airshows. A perfectly good reason to own a scanner.
-Two-way business dealers get great use from scanners to find which freq's are available, tone codes being used, and other area-specific info important to the two-way comm. business.
-A big one for me is: When I hear a siren in my area, I want to know if it's a policeman or a fireman or an ambulance, where it's headed and why. It's MY neighborhood, and if the unit passed my house, I want to know why.
-Weather awareness and safety, plain and simple. I can recieve all of the NOAA Weather Service 24 hour stations in my region, and also scan the amateur freq's for the volunteer SkyWarn/stormspotters in my immediate area as well as farther away, to get a real grip on the changing and dynamic status of weather in my area, the tornado-prone Midwest. This alone is worth the price of admission...
To paraphrase Richard Barnett, a writer at Monitoring Times magazine:
"...we just want to know what's going on around us. We're taking a proactive approach in learning of this information almost firsthand.
We're not waiting for the TV news or the paper the next day...."
When I listen to an EMT trying to do his or her job, it is a cathartic experience. It puts me in touch with these everyday heroes, makes me pray for them and with them as they save lives, and make MY neighborhood and city a better, safer place to live and work.
I would also say that while curiosity is a driving factor in entering ANY aspect of the radio hobby, curiosity is human nature, and there are many FAR more shallow and disruptive businesses and "hobbies" than scanning, which have made a lot of dough appealing to human nature.
Give me radio any day, over a phone, a TV or a paper, if you want immediacy and humanity with your public awareness.
Mike

4268. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990608124904.14082.00002688@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 116021

"Richard R. Plourde (Dick)" <rplourde@worldnet.att.net wrote:

>How about Beethoven's V ..._ =:->8

Hey Dick, I guess all these people might as well have fun WITH morse code, as they will NEVER get a REAL Ham license WITHOUT it! ... :>>>> X 25

4269. FS: AR8000 complete package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: swiley@starstream.net
Message-ID: <375d495f.346511925@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 116022

Here it is, the whole enchilada:

AR8000 US Bandplan, FULL COVERAGE, never been opened for modification

OPTO Optolinx computer interface

OPTO Scout 3.0 with Reaction Tune & Cable
If you've never used or heard of reaction tune, it's real nice.
Basically your scanner, in conjunction with the frequency counter,
automatically tunes to any transmission in the area. It all fits on
your belt and under your jacket. Walking through an airport or
lounging at the resort will never be the same. Of course just driving
down the road can get interesting too.

OPTO 800MHz bandpass filter

OPTO Antenna Pack #2 (five antennas for all freqs)

Diamond Multiband rubber ducky antenna

Full Leather case

An external AUDIO DATA DECODING INTERFACE from the UK when it was
still cool to get those things. I have tested it and it works, but
decoding the faster data rates (2400baud+) will require the user to do
the discriminator output mod on the AR8000

A few SMT and through hole FM wireless transmitters with mics, small,
button cell powered, look like little bugs so dont step on them

All packaged in a nice thin laptop computer carry case

All manuals I can find will be included, which may be all of them

1st $950 prepaid money order only, contact me via email.
I pay shipping.
Pictures of the kit available if necessary.
Selling complete package only.
Located in northern california

swiley@starstream.net

4270. Re: GRE Super Converter II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990608130637.14082.00002701@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 116023

N4UJF <brockway@planttel.net wrote:

>GRE Super Converter II
>Converts 810-912 mhz down to 410-512 mhz. Not cellular blocked.
>
I have one of those gadgets, and I would not part with it because they are HARD to come by. Mine is the SUPER CONVERTER II 8001...You know, the LITTLE one. You didn't state if you had that one, or the BASE model...Either way, I hope you are not SELLING it because you are TIMID about OWNING it, as it is NOT illegal to POSSESS it, only to use it to receive CELL PHONE CALLS. (Thats a bunch of hog crap too). I use mine to convert my local police frequencies (800 mhz band) to my 'OLD' scanner.. :) In case others don't know, RAMSEY ELECTRONICS, (RAMSEY KITS) sells a kit version of an 800 to 400 converter similar in performance to the SUPER CONVERTERS. Price is in the $40s. The GRE SUPER CONVERTERS have fallen to BIG BROTHER, just like DECENT scanners have...(Ever noticed that the ones you can buy now are JUNK compared to the 'OLD' ones)?
... Joey

4271. Re: My Pro 2021 does'nt work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990608131835.14073.00002987@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 116024

Jimtown54 wrote:

>> I need help on my Pro 2021 Scanner. When I turn it on nothing happens. It
>has
>> power to the Transformer. Power comes out. Power to the on off switch.
>Power
>> from the switch to the circuit board. From there I am lost. Can anybody
>help
>> me. Thanks So Much. Jim

Robert <spam@less.net wrote:

> Did you check the voltage regulator? Common problem with
>that era of scanner.

Did you check RADIO SHACK? They still sell scanners...Joey

4272. Re: need advise of how to fix broken chip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990608134335.14073.00002999@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 116025

Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com wrote:

>Ok here is what I know. a friend tried to do the dis tap to his scanner. I
>guess he Saudered the wrong pin. When he tried to remove it the pin broke
>off!!! So on the side of the chip where the pin broke off is the metal.
>Its there but you can barely see it. and the board where the pin should be
>can be seen. I put a wire touching from the chip to the board and it works.
>Sound is restored. Question Since the chip cannot be saudered what should
>he do. The wire can be saudered to the board but not the chip. Is there
>any type of substance that is like "gum" I guess that can be fitted between
>the two contacts that conducts electricity??? I think he is screwed...
>And do you think a professional could fix it?? Any help and tips would be
>greatly appreciated.
>
>Reinaga

On the contrary Robert, a wire CAN be SOLDERED to that broken chip pin. I know, because I have done it for others. Forget about 'GUM', and 'GLUE', that crap won't conduct current, (probably at high frequencies) good enough to work. All that could do is hopefully, help hold the wire in place. First, firmly grasp your kitchen sink spigot, as to GROUND any static charge you may possess. Then all you have to do, is take a very sharp pointed knife, or box cutter, and, (with scanner unplugged of course), carefully scrape some of the chip body material away from the broken pin. Only a small amount need be removed. Then take a small, low wattage, sharp pointed soldering pencil, and QUICKLY apply a tiny dot of solder to the broken pin. With the wire already soldered into the circuit board, QUICKLY put another dot of solder on the other end of the wire. Position this wire against the broken chip pin, and QUICKLY TAP it with the soldering pencil, and if you were careful, it is fixed! Permanently! I know this works, as I've done it at least 5 times, with no strike-outs... Good luck... Joey

4273. Freqs of Interest in DC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <375D88BB.1E6A@sgi.net>
Source record: 116026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Traveling to DC for a week........

Are there particular frequencies of interest in Washington DC you would suggest listening too?

Local - Federal ?

4274. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <8D0C467B283BF2CB.E0FFB0387836151E.169FCCB8B31797AD@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yeah, my grandparents used to have a house next to a HAM and my grandparents had a lightbulb in a lamp that talked
everytime the HAM keyed up.
Also, I had a HAM right down the street who just two years ago was making my soundcard talk.
Someone must have complained about him as he and his tower were gone less than a month after he was talking through my soundcard.

4275. Re: What scanner to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: JOHN SINGER <jsinger1@nycap.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Sgd73.775$h6.32128@typhoon.nycap.rr.com>
Source record: 116028

```
You might want to check your web site it is not working giving bad
url's on every selection
Rich Wells, N2MCA <n2mca@strongsignals.net> wrote in message
news:375B99C9.7B85FF0C@strongsignals.net...
> Steve Conn wrote:
> > I want to purchase a scanner that covers all bands and will work via a
rs232
> > port on my computer
>
> Stop by my Computer Control page:
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/compctrl.htm
>
> Strong Signals,
> Rich Wells N2MCA
> http://www.strongsignals.net/
```

4276. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: .
Message-ID: <F78CDA41AE6A29D0.9ECFFAAF4CD0CE21.0B368F59C6AA48A4@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 116029

Hey guy, with your stupid, arrogant, elitist comment below, why the hell do you think anyone would want
to talk to you in the first place, less get a "real" HAM license?

> Hey Dick, I guess all these people might as well have fun WITH morse code, as
> they will NEVER get a REAL Ham license WITHOUT it! ... :>>>> X 25

4277. Re: Icom IC-7T

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: holford@cnwl.igs.net.nospam
Message-ID: <7jjou0cft1@news.igs.net>
Source record: 116030
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.scanner

In article <375941d9.0@ganymede.datanet.hu>, tpierre@freemail.c3.hu says...
>
>I am looking for a manual/schematic for a Icom IC-T7A
>Transceiver.
>Anybody got any ideas or know anything about this radio?
>
>

I've got two, great little radios.
ICOM dealers sell manuals with schematics.

Dave

4278. free magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7jjp18$n1m$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 116031
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Monitoring Times and Popular Communications from 1996, 1997 & 1998, you just pay for shipping. They weigh about 35 pounds.

Mike Dockery
Parker, CO
303-840-0737

4279. Hamster wheel as antenna??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: firebird@gate.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11c72e4044f4510598968f@news.gate.net>
Source record: 116032

x:no-archive:yes

Well I get the bc80xlt and am curious as to different
thing that can be used as outdoor antennas. Say one slid a
hamster cage down onto a telescope TV antenna. Then
mounted this on the side of the house,
then attached this by a wire from the antenna to an antenna
that you stick on glass that then has another sticky part
that mounts inside, that then attaches to the radio??
Would that increase the receptivity? Thoughts? Thanks

--

Old Quote; "Necessity is the plea for every infringment of
human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
of slaves."

New Quote; "For the children, is the plea for every infringment
of human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
of slaves."

E. Vigilance 1998

4280. e-bay listings some pass them by

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanman <scan@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jj1ld$1vl$1@athena.netset.com>
Source record: 116033

If you look close at e-bay scanner/uhv-vhf Rx's you will see some slide by them.post them as the Freq ranges they cover and don't put cell cabpable in the listing.
There are a few listed now.
scanman

4281. Re: Hamster wheel as antenna??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: swiley@starstream.net
Message-ID: <375d6a85.354999203@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 116034

I would just wrap my house in aluminum foil and then hook up some
wires to that. Let's see any redneck TV antenna top that one.

On Tue, 8 Jun 1999 14:43:00 -0400, firebird@gate.net (Awesome1) wrote:

>x:no-archive:yes
>
> Well I get the bc80xlt and am curious as to different
>thing that can be used as outdoor antennas. Say one slid a
>hamster cage down onto a telescope TV antenna. Then
>mounted this on the side of the house,
>then attached this by a wire from the antenna to an antenna
>that you stick on glass that then has another sticky part
>that mounts inside, that then attaches to the radio??
>Would that increase the receptivity? Thoughts? Thanks
>
>
>
>--
>
> Old Quote; "Necessity is the plea for every infringment of
>human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
>of slaves."
>
> New Quote; "For the children, is the plea for every infringment
>of human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
>of slaves."
>
> E. Vigilance 1998

4282. Need BC100 battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul L <merlet@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <7jjqu2$l22$1@newshost.lanl.gov>
Source record: 116035

Where can I find a battery for a BC100?

4283. Re: FS: AR8000 complete package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: swiley@starstream.net
Message-ID: <375d6eb3.356069049@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 116036

The unit also includes the rechargeable batteries, charger, and the battery cover is the one which includes the slot for the reaction tune cable, which is an aftermarket item as well.

4284. N.Y.C. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: co111 <co111@pouch.com>
Message-ID: <NAe73.111$g2.13092@breeze>
Source record: 116037
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

T.V., raido, Local - Federal
Thanks.

4285. Re: e-bay listings some pass them by

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jk230$16q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 116038

In article <7jj1ld$1vl$1@athena.netset.com>,
"scanman" <scan@yahoo.com> wrote:
> If you look close at e-bay...

I am not in the market for a "cellular-capable" unit. I own a couple
myself. One was "modded," the PRO-43 is not.

Those who really desire to monitor transmissions they aren't supposed to
will always find their wares.

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4286. Re: Tips dealing with police....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: desdave@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990607202810.01586.00000257@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 116073

> He took the opportunity
>to demonstrate the on-board radar, which tracked cars in front of, behind
>and coming towards the car in the opposite direction *while the cruiser was
>in motion!* Yipes....

As a California resident, I must agree. The CHP (and surely others to follow) use the radar mounted on the dash and it can indeed pickup moving vehcles (in inclement weather BTW) vehicles moving the other direction--weather the cruiser is stopped or rolling.

Ontario, CA motor officers are also employing a new radar system. Its a variation of the "point and shoot" method, with a twist... The officer has a "sight gauge" on the radar that acquires the vehicles with the help of a laser. EXTREMELY accurate <grins> up to 2000 ft. They have not lost a traffic case in over 6 months when that radar was used. It has also cut down on the number of people who try to fight radar cases with lame excuses as "It wasnt me that you got on radar", or "Hey, doesnt radar get screwed up in the rain?" <wink,wink/nudge, nudge>

Dave

{~}:-l desdave@aol.com::::Got a Wife in Chino!::::desdave@aol.com l-:{~}

4287. automatic toll collection frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPAMpcuni@geocities.com
Message-ID: <375d67f6.177448917@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 116074

Before you take out your flame throwers I have a good reason for needing to know this. I want to see how much shielding my ezpass is getting in my glove compartment when I'm using fastlane and vice versa. Along with the Maine toll collector too on the dash.

So whats the frequency kenneth?

Try my web page at http://www.paulcuni.com

4288. FS PRO26 and BC860XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eugene Protas <protas@flash.net>
Message-ID: <375C6AE1.874A3B25@flash.net>
Source record: 116075
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

For Sale:

Pro26 - 200 Channel handheld scanner. 500mhz - 1.3ghz. Receives all modes - am/fm/wfm. Wide fm mode permits reception of broadcast fm and television audio. Virtual Downconversion permits full 800mhz coverage. Excellent condition. $195 plus shipping from Houston, TX

Uniden BC 860XLT - 100 Channel base scanner. Like new condition. 800mhz coverage (cell blocked). $90 plus shipping.

4289. FS: Pro-2004,BC200XLT,Pro-46,BC700A,Pro-91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: macoletta@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990607215431.07275.00001734@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 116076

Scanners For Sale
Pro-2004, BC200XLT, Pro-46, BC700A and Pro-91
4 of the 5 are cell modified.
Go to the below URL for the details.

http://members.aol.com/macoletta/forsale/scanners.htm

Thanks very much !

4290. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990607222215.16934.00001806@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 116077

Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net wrote:

>Sorry to see that you disrespect the law so much. Where were you when
>the ECPA was being drafted and discussed?
>

DISRESPECT???? Hold on a minute here...Would you RESPECT ANY LAW, regardless of it's consequences? How many of your freedoms would have to be snatched away by YOUR GOVERNMENT, on behalf of rich, power hungy industries, like CELLULAR TELEPHONE? Don't you know THEY are the ones that got all that PRIVACY CRAP started, because they were lying to their customers about CELL PHONE CALL PRIVACY? By now, they would have been entangled in a mound of legal battles, had they not RAILROADED that GARBAGE through the necessary legal channels. Would you support a law that was diametrical to the US CONSTITUTION, like removing your RIGHT to HAVE AND BARE ARMS, just because a bunch of stupid lobbyist, (and their money), managed to get it THROUGH? Where does one draw the line, and challenge this willy-nilly, piecemeal removal of ALL our rights? Thank God we still have AT LEAST a PORTION of the Constitution intact, such as the part allowing me to write this. When ALL of it is gone, what then? Oh, and to answer your question about where I was when the ECPA was being DRAFTED... Like you, and everyone else, I was sitting here helpless, as the powers that be brought us down one more notch..OBEYING the law is one thing, RESPECTING it is another! ... Joey

4291. My Pro 2021 does'nt work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimtown54@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990607231733.26839.00001696@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 116078

I need help on my Pro 2021 Scanner. When I turn it on nothing happens. It has power to the Transformer. Power comes out. Power to the on off switch. Power from the switch to the circuit board. From there I am lost. Can anybody help me. Thanks So Much. Jim

4292. Re: pro-2004 backlight?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01beb15e$c2067d80$2a3c4ad1@godzirra>
Source record: 116079

RS no longer has 'em in their special order system called RSU.
This has long been known for about a year or so now...........
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

4293. Radio Raft decoding software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack <mbnet@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <rb173.4619$zn6.69951@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 116080

Has anyone in this ng had any luck getting that program running with a data slicer ? It seems to be an interesting data decoder but I couldn't get it working...unlike trunker, pocflex, winflex, mdt monitor ,etc.

You can download this program from this site :

http://perso.wanadoo.fr/radioraft/index.htm

Jack.

4294. Re: Asbury Pk fire freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlink94222@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990608004816.13719.00002440@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 116081

The last freqs. I had were 154.430 Mhz which is also Monmouth Fire #1.
158.760 Mhz & 155.88 Mhz. I can't verify if any of them are still in use by
APFD but it's a start. Good luck.

>Subject: Asbury Pk fire freq?
>From: redm1video@aol.com (Redm1video)
>Date: 6/6/99 9:33 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <19990606093317.09787.00000045@ng-xa1.aol.com>
>
>Looking for the correct freq and pl tone for Asbury Park Fire Dept.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4295. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Kendall <wkendall@usol.com>
Message-ID: <375CC911.28B8@usol.com>
Source record: 116082
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Bryan Stethem wrote:
>
> >St Stephen Ames wrote in message <3756A5F8.3A84@stephenames.com>...
> >Why don't you become a volunteer fireman or paramedic if you are into
> >the horrible tragedy involved in such disasters and put your morbid
> >sense of curiousity to some good use...
>
> OK, so all of us who listen to scanners should either be a fireman or
> paramedic
> or we are (in your opinion) morbid curiousity seekers??? This is an idiotic
> attitude
> to express in this NG. Just what the hell do you think most of us use
> scanners for?
>
> Bryan Stethem

Ummmm.....my grammar is not so good anymore...
do we pick just one of the choices ?

and then, what IS the purpose of you having a scanner ?
.....entertainment ?
or perhaps "infotainment" ?

jim

4296. Re: Whats the latest on Cheek..?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7jiagc$lts@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116083

In <orpo-0706992130040001@i48-19-18.pdx.du.teleport.com> orpo@aol.com (yargo) writes:
>
>x-no-archive: yes
>POS(84p098yusRP*g
>
>Has he written any more letters? Whats up with his case? thanks

he is well and
will get back to
me when he can
to bring me up to
date...

bill conduit
etc.
what I know is not for publication here now

4297. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7jiaje$p60@dfw-ixnews12.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 116084
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

In <375CC911.28B8@usol.com> Jim Kendall <wkendall@usol.com> writes:
>

>Ummmm.....my grammar is not so good anymore...
>do we pick just one of the choices ?
>
>and then, what IS the purpose of you having a scanner ?
>.....entertainment ?
>or perhaps "infotainment" ?
>
>jim

If you have to ask
you will never be
willing to know
and accept what is....

bill conduit
etc.

4298. Re: Radio Raft decoding software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <vo273.1020$h14.4124@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 116085

Jack wrote in message ...
>Has anyone in this ng had any luck getting that program running with a data
>slicer ? It seems to be an interesting data decoder but I couldn't get it
>working...unlike trunker, pocflex, winflex, mdt monitor ,etc.

I've gotten it working, but the shareware version is practically unusable with all decoded text going to the little 9-character scrolling window at the upper left corner of the screen. I think the crippleware should be modified so I can at least see how well it works before sending $$$ off to register it.

4299. Re: eBay no longer listing (cell capable scanners)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990608020306.13053.00002651@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 116086

David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net wrote:

>AMEN Bro!
>One again Clint is off base as usual!
>Hay Joey! I know it's hard to do some times but just try to ignore Clint's
>babbling. It does no good to try and talk sense to him.
>
>David Leeper

Well David, the guy may well be BRAINWASHED, or maybe he is a GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL, posing as a MILD MANNERED NEWSGROUP POSTER...I don't know, but I don't go along with this pointy headed, alligator tear, no-brain GARBAGE that is eroding every single freedom, and activity we have ever known...Where will it stop? I suppose next, they may try to tell us WHO will live in our homes with us!?!? Well, my scanner is going to stay right where it is, along with my guns and other belongings, until I decide otherwise. And no RADIO POLICE, or anyone else is going to remove them! Also, none of these GOVERNMENT PUPPETS will ever alter my thinking...Have a good day, Joey

4300. Re: ABC News/Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: mshannon@fusionsites.com
Message-ID: <375ca556.12605290@news.istep.com>
Source record: 116087
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

NO, seriously, you do have the right to
>listen to ANY frequency unless the gov't forgot about the FCC
>COMMUNICATIONS ACT of 1934 !

You blast those who give the scanning community a bad name and then turn around and make an irresponsible statement like the above, which some impressionable person might actually believe. You do NOT have the right to listen to any frequency. The Communications Act of 1934 does not give you that right.

I offered to help and was promptly deputized AND given a small
.38

Yeah, right. The cops deputize and arm a civillian teenager.

Can you say "Reality Check"?
-----BEGIN PGP PUBLIC KEY BLOCK-----
Version: 4.0 Business Edition

mQCNAjdYvJIAAAIEAMq3FelAoT7gnQoKJpMZaVaXHpQfDDV4tFR8s8TZXoyEAMxA
zgCuzd3TOWDoBnZyOD41sJXqPEORzuoiu3zQWvNT/SmWzyckifFsAwcCdndusdH5
+//khevt3r8DkRzMXYcAlNWrolJUutbLLSIo8doYITtvZg4xtSoYTc/WnumtAAUR
tBttc2hhbm5vbnBncEBmdXNpb25zaXRlcy5jb20=
=Hw1J
-----END PGP PUBLIC KEY BLOCK-----

4301. decoder for pro 25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990608021723.03818.00001381@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 116088

anyone know where i can find a decoder for a pro 25? how bout a discriminator.
also what are the price ranges?
email response is preferred

4302. Re: SO CAL: Public Safety Helicopter Airshow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <375ebabf$0$2480@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 115839
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This sounds really neat, Brian, thanks for the heads-up. Would you happen to have a list of the event freqs? Also, though I am familiar with the area, I don't know that park very well. Is the entrance to it on Woodley?

Brian Humphrey <brian.humphrey@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:7jm8av$uv8$1@newssvr01-int.news.prodigy.com...
> The men and women of the LAFD cordially invite you to:
>
> American Heroes Air Show
> Saturday June 12, 1999
> Hansen Dam Sports Complex
> San Fernando Valley area of Los Angeles, California
>
> This is a FREE event for the entire family.
>
> Joining the LAFD air fleet will be public safety aviation teams from
> throughout the West. Along with numerous Law Enforcement, Fire and EMS
> helicopters and their crews, a wide variety of military and Federal agencies
> will offer a (rare) up-close and personal opportunity to examine their
> aircraft and converse with their staff.
>
> Again, it is FREE and all are cordially invited. Don't forget your camera
> (and your scanner)!!
>
> If you need more info, simply follow the Airshow information link on the
> LAFD homepage at:
>
> http://www.lafd.org
>
> The American Heroes Airshow is a privately organized event supported by the
> City of Los Angeles and the Department of Recreation & Parks.
>
> Stay Safe!
>
> Brian Humphrey
> Firefighter/Paramedic
> Public Information Officer
> Los Angeles Fire Department
>
> LAFD website: http://www.lafd.org
>

>
>
>

4303. plectrons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rescue1234@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609151250.17042.00002646@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 115840

seeking help with plectrons, parts and serice
Richard Marth
Healthlink MTS
Wayne Co. Emergency Management ARES/RACES Public Service/Events Coordinator
Brownstown Twp Fire
MI-1 DMAT

4304. Re: Canadian Airforce F-18 freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609153224.00649.00001535@ng62.aol.com>
Source record: 115841

try 277.100 or 245.750 for the snowbirds

4305. latest list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: PAUL WORDEN <WORDY@pworden.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7jmfk4$21v$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 115842

can any one tell me were to get the latest list of UK frequencies and if possible can it be down loaded onto my PC.

4306. Re: Another nice thing about CW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609155236.03825.00002203@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 115843

"." <@.> wrote:

>Hey guy, with your stupid, arrogant, elitist comment below, why the hell =
>do you think anyone would want
>to talk to you in the first place, less get a "real" HAM license?

Heh heh heh heh...Lets not get upset here now...I'm glad that you think of REAL Ham operators as being ELITE! Shows that you at least know where YOU stand. Oh, by the way, I talk to lots of people, (HAM OPERATORS), and I really don't care to talk to CBer's anyway. Get it? ... Breaker Breaker...

4307. Discriminator Tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: paulbryan@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609160727.15514.00002599@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 115844

On the widely distributed document by Bill Cheek, he instructs the installation of a 2.2uF - 4.7uF tantalum capacitor on the discriminator output pin prior to an rca jack on rear panel. On the widely disributed schematics for a HamComm type interface(data slicer), the first component is usually a 0.1uF capacitor. My question is, should both capacitors be installed, or just one? Thanks for responding to the newsgroup.

4308. F/S: GRE CONVERTER 9001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: casper@superlink.net
Message-ID: <7jmhmi$1dc4$2@earth.superlink.net>
Source record: 115845

Hello everyone!

I have a GRE 9001 converter for sale.
It is made for base receivers.
The unit is new and has not been used.
Box and all accessaries are included.

Again this unit is NEW. No scratches and
is in perfect condition.

All my radios has the 800 mhz portion
so I'd like to sell this device.

$110.00 includes shipping + insurance.

Please send e-mail for pic and info.

Thank you all.

Luciano

4309. Alpha Display Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan R. Tourge" <ryant@superior.net>
Message-ID: <375ecbbf@nebula.superior.net>
Source record: 115846

Can anyone recommend a good Alphanumeric display scanner?

Thanks,

Ryan

4310. FS: Radio Gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: oldgear@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609164332.15516.00002914@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 115847

I have the following Radio, and electronic equipment for sale. Will consider trades for other Electronic or computer related EQ. All working last time tested unless noted. Shipping is extra. Please reply to my email address. Thanks
EJ (KC5EPI)

All Tube Items:

Tempo-1: All Tube Ham Transceiver with Power Supply. Covers 80-10 meters. Looks good and works well. $200

Pearce Simpson: Guardian 23- All tube CB Transceiver/with mobile power cord and mounting bracket. Looks and works Good. $100

Channel Master: Tube Stereo Receiver (Model 6612) and matching amp (Model 6601) Looks good and plays on FM only. AM not working. $125

Non Tube Items:

Marantz Model 2220B: 25 year old Stereophonic Receiver, with gyro-touch tuning, blue dial and optional real wood case. This unit is in excellent condition, both in looks and operation I would rate a 9.7. Also have original manual, in excellent condition. $250

AR Inc: Stereo Amp, All solid state. $100

Traynor: Group Two B- Audio Amp Head. High/ Low misc. in puts. Master volume, volume, bass, treble and tone shaper controls. All solid state $125

Swan 14X DC Power Supply Module: No way to test but was told it was working OK. As is $50.

Siltronix Model 90-6 VFO: Excellent condition with three position crystal switch $75.

PRO 2003 Scanner: Radio Shack 50 channel(30-512 Mhz.) Digital Scanner with FM broadcast band included. Have two, one is working the other is a complete non working unit. $150 for both.

Bearcat 250 Scanner: Have two. One working and one for parts. $75 for both.

Kenwood MC 50 Mic: Works OK and comes complete with cable and input jack. $50

4311. Re: Alpha Display Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <FBA73.283$tr.1850@nntp1>
Source record: 115848

Yes, BC 9000XLT.

Paul

Ryan R. Tourge <ryant@superior.net> wrote in message
news:375ecbbf@nebula.superior.net...
> Can anyone recommend a good Alphanumeric display scanner?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Ryan
>
>

4312. RS PRO-2042 features

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <pwolsko@nac.net>
Message-ID: <nFA73.284$tr.1937@nntp1>
Source record: 115849

Anyone know of any undocumented features of the RS PRO-2042?

--
Paul
Lat 40.948611, Lon -74.661414
Drake R8B, RS PRO-2042

4313. ICOM IC-R 8500 SOFTWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dshatzer1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609171837.16934.00002615@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 115850

For sale on ebay

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=115844968

4314. Re: Freqs of Interest in DC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Need2Know <rothschp@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7jmqbs$12q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <375D88BB.1E6A@sgi.net>,
Pappy@sgi.net wrote:
> Traveling to DC for a week........
>
> Are there particular frequencies of interest in Washington DC you would
> suggest listening too?
>
> Local - Federal ?
>

Try this URL, it is designed for visitors to DC a little while ago:
http://www.hyattsvillevfd.org/chm/guide.htm

You will get lots of action on the local (MPD: Metropolitan Police Dept) dispatch channels, so much that the scanner will tend to stay on one channel. Also lots on the DCFD dispatch channel. The federal channels tend to be a lot quieter, Park Police and Secret Service Uniform Division are some of the more active ones. Don't expect much from organizations like FBI and DEA; their headquarters may be here, but most of their field operations are not. Of course all the usual frequency sources are good for DC, such as
http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/DC/dcfreqs.html
http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/localfrqs/dc/dc.html

Happy scanning
--Peter--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4315. Cell phone box hooked to scanner??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: firebird@gate.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11c8af20bc9d1a8a989692@news.gate.net>
Source record: 115852

x:no-archive:yes

Ok it's been two days now and something strange is happening
to me. I feel the need for more power. Some type of frequency
magnet, so to speak. There appears to be some signals that have
escaped my grasp. However with your help, possibly I can build,
from aluminum foil and other bits and peices, of this and that, the
frequency vacum that I so desperately covet. Along those lines
I have a motorola black box that someone gave me. I am told it is
a cell phone component of some sort. The model number is 51866A
and the S/N is 650DPSC647Y. Is there anyway this can become hooked
up to the scanner? Can I do anything with it at all. All I have is
the box. It has a coax cable mount and a 25 pin male socket. Thanks
--

Old Quote; "Necessity is the plea for every infringment of
human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
of slaves."

New Quote; "For the children, is the plea for every infringment
of human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
of slaves."

E. Vigilance 1998

4316. Re: F/S: GRE CONVERTER 9001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609180648.16932.00002757@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 115853

casper@superlink.net (Luciano) wrote:

>Hello everyone!
>
>I have a GRE 9001 converter for sale.
>It is made for base receivers.
>The unit is new and has not been used.
>Box and all accessaries are included.
>
>Again this unit is NEW. No scratches and
>is in perfect condition.
>
>All my radios has the 800 mhz portion
>so I'd like to sell this device.
>
>$110.00 includes shipping + insurance.

A SLIGHT increase over the original price, but I don't blame you...These things are hard to find now. I have one of the PORTABLE versions, the 8001, and I am KEEPING MINE! Good luck...Joey...

4317. FS: Pro-51 & Pro-67

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: x-mike@micratek.com-x
Message-ID: <375eeb16.21028625@news1.uncensored-news.com>
Source record: 115854

See the Pro-51 (starting bid $90) at:
http://auctions.amazon.com/exec/varzea/ts/auction-glance/Y01X2487021X3986542

See the Pro-67 (starting bid $140) at:
http://auctions.amazon.com/exec/varzea/ts/auction-glance/Y03X6914475X0384654

or write me. Be sure to remove the spamblock in the address.

Mike

{}{}{}{} Posted via Uncensored-News.Com, http://www.uncensored-news.com {}{}{}{}
{}{}{}{}{}{} Only $7.95 A Month, - The Worlds Uncensored News Source {}{}{}{}{}{}
{}{}{}{}{}{}{}{} Four News Servers with a BINARIES ONLY Server {}{}{}{}{}{}{}{}

4318. Cordless phone --> Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marcus R. Matos" <raydr@minionsfromhell.com>
Message-ID: <PXB73.1350$h14.5496@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 115855
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for a way to turn my cordless phone into a 47MHz scanner.
WAIT! Put your lighters away. Here's the deal:

It is a Southwestern Bell FF667 47MHz 25CH phone.

I have two other cordless phones, which are in use, and this phone does not get along with the others.

This phone will not turn itself on unless it recieves an "OK" from the base. I want to get rid of that. I believe it queries the base on 10.240MHz, because both the phone and the base have that crystal in it. The handset also has a 3.579545 crystal.

The handset has a "channel" button on it. What I want is this:
Say my mom is on one of the cordless phones. I would like to turn this one on, keep hitting "channel" until it hits the right frequency, and join in the conversation.

Does anyone know if this is possible? Anyone else interested in doing this? Let me know please. Thanks

4319. Re: HELP EVERYBODY (New binary scanner group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609184832.16932.00002778@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 115856

EAVSDROPPER" <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote:

>Just to let you know that I heard about the possibility of a new binary
>scanner group being setup !.
>
>Please go to the alt.config and show your support if you like for the making
>of a new BINARY scanner newsgroup, I myself am not the person who put it
>forward and I am not connected with him but I have been asking for one of
>these for ages and I know quite a few of you out there have aswell. It can
>only help make our hobby more informed and interesting, so pop along as soon
>as you can to ALT.CONFIG and see the posting for the binary scanner group
>and reply to it with your support
>
>thanks a bundle everybody
>
>Eavsdropper

What exactly do you mean by, 'BINARY'...What does this have to do with
scanning? I thought BINARY was a number system, used mainly in computer
terminology...Joey

4320. Re: HELP EVERYBODY (New binary scanner group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jmrrmsgd1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115857

Shack23 wrote in message <19990609184832.16932.00002778@ng-fz1.aol.com>...
>EAVSDROPPER" <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote:
>
>>Just to let you know that I heard about the possibility of a new binary
>>scanner group being setup !.
>>
>>Please go to the alt.config and show your support if you like for the
making
>>of a new BINARY scanner newsgroup, I myself am not the person who put it
>>forward and I am not connected with him but I have been asking for one of
>>these for ages and I know quite a few of you out there have aswell. It can
>>only help make our hobby more informed and interesting, so pop along as
soon
>>as you can to ALT.CONFIG and see the posting for the binary scanner group
>>and reply to it with your support
>>
>>thanks a bundle everybody
>>
>>Eavsdropper
>
>What exactly do you mean by, 'BINARY'...What does this have to do with
>scanning? I thought BINARY was a number system, used mainly in computer
>terminology...Joey

The only use I could see for a binary scanner group is that it would be an accepted place to post zip files containing freq's, programs, etc. I think however, that this proposed scanner group is for image scanners only, not radio scanning. I could be wrong however....

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4321. Re: Alpha Display Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jms0d$sk0$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115858

Paul wrote in message ...
>Yes, BC 9000XLT.
>
>Paul

That is a good one, probably the best as it will let you store a much larger alphatag than the others.

There's also the MS200 by Relm, and the AR8000/8200 series scanners.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4322. Re: Coax question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609190427.16925.00002788@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 115859

not@home.now (Curious George) wrote:

'SNIP'
>The mismatch is only germain to
>transmitters, the recever won't mind. The balun will cause more loss
>than the mismatch.
>

A mismatch effects BOTH receive, AND transmit. But the mismatch between 50 and 75 ohms, like you said, won't matter much. The idea is, that it causes STANDING WAVES, both on transmit, AND receive, but the benefits of using VERY LOW LOSS coax, (like RG-11/U), outweight the effects of the mismatch...This is especially true if it is the type with the FOIL COVERING, along with the wire braid...This is the type cable operators use...Joey

4323. Re: Mobile scanner antenna recommendations?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609190834.16925.00002789@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 115860

Steve Phipps" <sphipps@clandjop.com wrote:

>Hi all,
>
>Can anyone recommend a good mobile scanner antenna? I'm starting to get
>tired of my limited range Radio Shack windshield mount antenna.
>
>Thanks,
>Steve

I had one of those RS WINDSHIELD antennas, and that was the WORSE antenna I ever tried to use. Might as well use one INSIDE the car! ... Joey

4324. Re: New RadioShack 2m Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609191552.16925.00002794@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 115861

Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote:

'SNIP'

>No, you can't modify the HTX-202 or 404. (But you CAN modify the
>242!!)
>

Hey Mike, I have an HTX-212, the forerunner of the '242. I wonder if IT can be modified? I can't find any data on this. It would seem that it could, because it is practically identical to the '242...Thanks...

4325. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wooka <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <375EFD8B.935BB704@aznet.net>
Source record: 115862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Michael Young wrote:

> Wooka <hass@aznet.net> wrote in message
> news:375E0B07.12C8DDD3@aznet.net...
> >
> >
> > Trevor Harris wrote:
> >
> > > the military still teaches it. The reason that they still want to
> teach it is that
> > > in a nuclear wasteland, it is theorized that CW will be the only
> method that will
> > > work. If you don't hear the dih you will hear the static "beat"
> silent. I hope
> > > this make sense. 73's brother
> > > Trevor Harris, Former SUB Radioman
> > >
> >
> > Do you know any studies that point to radiation hindering radio
> communications?
>
> You're trolling, right? You're really one of the know-code crowd in
> disguise, trying to discredit the movement to dummify.
>
> Michael.

Actually Mr. Young, I'm opposed to internationally mandated code testing. Just go look up that
statement that the FCC made that says the treaty does not specify what speed you need to learn
the code at. You need to know the code, but not the speed at which it is sent. I gathered this information from http://www.arrl.org/news/restructuring/98-143/nprm.html.

4326. antenna formula???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: LaLaS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7jn4le$dv6@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 115863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello there
Is any one give me the formula to calculate the length of antenna wire
in AM and FM mode ??
especially in the range 220.000 mhz - 440.000mhz
Thanks in advance
Happy scanning

4327. air show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: LaLaS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7jn5u6$dv6@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 115864
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Now it's a summer time and the AIR SHOW going on in the airports
Lot of National Guard helicopters and C-130 and some Jet's showing .
What is the freq. those bird's are using ???
I am from south central Wisconsin and there is one coming up this sunday
in WATERTOWN WI.,and i will like to monitor this planes ?????
Thanks
Happy scanning

4328. motorola radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7jn60qdtf1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 115865

What frequency range would a motorola radius GP350 be opearting in if the atenna was short and fat?

Charlie

4329. Re: motorola radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shaun Whitman <lstrain@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uoQ4Hlus#GA.105@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 115866

It depends. Motorola makes replacement stubby antennas for both VHF and UHF versions.
Do you have a model number?

Shaun Whitman
shaun@railfan.net
Central Jersey Rails
http://JerseyRails.Railfan.net

Charlie Brookhart wrote in message <7jn60qdtf1@autumn.news.rcn.net>...
>What frequency range would a motorola radius GP350 be opearting in if the
>atenna was short and fat?
>
>Charlie
>
>

4330. Re: motorola radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charlie Brookhart <cbrookha@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7jn9q8$jl0$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 115867

It's actually the radius gp300 that I am trying to find the frequencies for. Most likely, I'm not going to be able to get the radio and look at the serial number. If I were able to, would I find it on the back? I thought that you could tell what frequency a radio was using by looking at the atenna?

Charlie

4331. Re: Alpha Display Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <g0G73.666$_m4.28695@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 115868

What about the Racing Electronics 2000? I saw an advertisement for this in a magazine and wondered if anyone had reviewed it. It appears to be a promising scanner. It has computer programing, alpha-numeric display and PL/DPL compatibility.

4332. Re: Discriminator Tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: PIRAN MOHAZZABI <p.mohazzabi@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7jna6i$226$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115869

Paulbryan <paulbryan@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990609160727.15514.00002599@ng-cc1.aol.com...
> On the widely distributed document by Bill Cheek, he instructs the
installation
> of a 2.2uF - 4.7uF tantalum capacitor on the discriminator output pin
prior to
> an rca jack on rear panel. On the widely disributed schematics for a
HamComm
> type interface(data slicer), the first component is usually a 0.1uF
capacitor.
> My question is, should both capacitors be installed, or just one? Thanks
for
> responding to the newsgroup.

Just One only, I am using 1 Microfrad 16vdc using in my scanner and works
very good.

4333. Modifications for Alinco DJ-X10J Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb5fas@postoffice.swbell.net
Message-ID: <375F4A47.CD23542@postoffice.swbell.net>
Source record: 115870

Anyone know of a source for modification of the Alinceo DJ-X10T Wide Band receiver to give it FULL coverage? (ie: 800) - Thanks

4334. What's the BEST scanner on the market today?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob <rcy@YoungVisions.com>
Message-ID: <375F326F.25AA0E36@YoungVisions.com>
Source record: 115871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I was wondering I could get some of your opinions on what scanner you thought was the best on the market today.
Looking for one with most current features, widest freq. range, fastest scan rate etc.
Price not a factor.

Thanks

4335. Re: BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Wooten <john@cybersurfers.net>
Message-ID: <375F336A.43B9E948@cybersurfers.net>
Source record: 115872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hey Dan where did you get it for that price?

John W
john@cybersurfers.net

Dan W wrote:
>
> In article <7j9skq$4l3$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>, "joker535" <joker535@mindspring.com>
wrote:
> >yes national electronics is taking pre-orders for $209.95 + $9.00 s+h.
> >
> >you can contact them at:
> >
> >sales@national-electronics.com
> >
> >I bought my 235 from them last christmas and I was impressed with the
> >service and quick delivery. I am moving to a non-trunked area and will be
> >selling my 235 with all of the original stuff, box, vehicle cord and glove
> >leather case for $160.00 plus shipping from VA. if anyone is interested.
> >Thanks
>
> Little steep, I just paid $140 incuding shipping for one.
>
> _
> (_) Daniel Warren, RPh
> _______// Marion NY
> (________) Clinical Staff Pharmacist - Strong Memorial Hospital
> \ / dwarren@frontiernet.net
> | Rx |
> /______\
> (________)
>

4336. Transmit on Fast Food Drive-Thru?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jedi_force@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21546-375F37DE-44@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115873
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is there a way to transmit on cordless fast food places like McDonalds or Taco Bell or Jack in the Box? If there is, whats the freqs to transmit?
Thanks!

4337. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rudi Mulder <mulder36@xs4all.nl>
Message-ID: <7jl300$3v0$3@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 115874
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

```
>>>>
>> >> >>>> think everything originated from Holland LOL
>> >> >>>> >--
>> >> >>>> >M.J.Powell.
>> >> >>>I beg to differ
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>well begging is allowed :)
>> >> >>to differ in this, is to deny the truth
>> >> >>can't have that now can we :)
>> >> >>
>> >> >>i know it hurts a bit the truth but we all be soon a costumed to
this
>> LOL
>> >> >>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>RM
>> >> >
>> >> >A short note to say that I didn't write the above.
>> >> >
>> >> >Mike
>> >> >--
>> >> >M.J.Powell.
>> >>
>> >> i know Mike
>> >>
>> >> obi wrote beg to differ
>> >> sorry for confusion
>> >>
>> >> greets RM
>> >You are weak Darth
>>
>> no just polite
>> try it out maybe it will bring you back on the right path to heavenly
force
>> oneday too
>> all should be in the right context
>> to deny truth is to deny life to deny this means your dead :))
>>
>> are you in denial OBI??
>>
>> rm the holier then hollow shallow person some are :)
```

>>
>> BUT STILL LEARNING THE HARD WAY
>I NEVER DENY ANYTHING. AFTER ALL I AM THE GREAT OBI

So this means your guilty as charged then Obi cant deny that now can we LOL

wow outsmarted you are now huh hahahahahahah.

Any chance your gonna change the capitals into normal fonts? neighbours start t complain of all the shouting in my house when i collect my mail :)

my mind thinks my hands type still it doesn't make sense when i reread it :)

RM

4338. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: kh2d@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7jngic$u9d$1@brokaw.wa.com>
Source record: 115875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Wooka <hass@aznet.net> wrote:

> Actually Mr. Young, I'm opposed to internationally mandated code testing.

Actually, Mr. Wookie, I'm opposed to reading your oppositions to Morse code testing in the DX newsgroup and a half dozen other unrealated newsgroups. If you can't respect Usenet's structure, don't expect everybody else to respect your opinions......

REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY was created specifically for your oppositions. Go use it.

73, Jim KH2D

4339. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg & Lisa Blanchard <glblanchard@4dcomm.com>
Message-ID: <375F4AB2.5E38@4dcomm.com>
Source record: 115876

>> What is so slick about a freaking Volvo? They are forgien cars.
>> That alone makes them stink.
>> Crown Vics, or Caprice Clasics all the way.

> Yeah, right... and where was all the stuff in your computer made???
==========

You REALLY might want to see now owns Volvo:

http://headlines.yahoo.com/Full_Coverage/Business/Ford_Buys_Volvo

4340. Re: How did I kill my Bearcat 210XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7jkg6m$7n9@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115889

In <__i73.4154$AO1.13750226@firenze.visi.net> donmarsh@visi.net (Don Marshall) writes:
>
>I simply forgot - nothing was coming over the scanner when I shut down
>the computer for the evening,

why not just disconnect the power cord.

why not look for any batteries that
may or may not be part of it...

probably not, but it never hurts to look.

when powering up, why not look for any
reset button on the xlt or a special
configuration that allows it to reset....

i don't have my manual handy...but i
have a 210xlt...let's see, which one
is it here...

on back of it no reset button.

but there is this black plastic
protuberance...what can this be?

maybe?

on front: well, let's see: no
reset button , gee.

try leaving power off for a few hours
and place it underneath a triangular
edifice you can make with coat hangers....

place it inside the triangle and that
should do it...for sure///so they
promise at the carnival shows....

bill conduit
etc.

4341. Re: Cobra 2000 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: dinod@deltanet.com
Message-ID: <7jkgki$j7m$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

$250.00 is a great price! You're an idiot.

I'm sure that they are no longer being made because Uniden was
dropped as the chassis builder.

"Thats just MY opinion...I could be wrong"

Dino

1AngryMan@antisocial.com says...

>You should list your radio on alt.over.priced.cb NG or maybe the
>rec.chicken.channel.crap NG
>
>My point is, if their such good radios why did Cobra quit making
>them?
>
>BTW they are only running $175 for perfect and $125 for scratched
>& dented!

4342. Re: Cobra 2000 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <upplus-NOSPAM-@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <375DC92A.5562D0BA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 115891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> BTW they are only running $175 for perfect and $125 for scratched & dented!
>
> --
> ==
> "I would never belong to any group,
> that would have me as a member!"
> Grocho Marx
> ==
> Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
> at least two, and they usually stink !!!

I thought opinions are like assholes. Everybody has one
and NOBODY wants to look at the other one!

> ==
> Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

But you probably knew that (-: ^^^^^^
--

Unit SIX-NINE Cheasapeake Bay
Have you heard me lately? I will respond with a QSL card. Send to:
P.O. Box 32863 Baltimore, MD 21282

4343. Re: ABC News/Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Solarpower1watt@Juno.com
Message-ID: <928894525.561.12@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115892
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>Frequency, AM and FM modulation are all products and natural acts of =
>nature, thus we all have a right to listen to any frequency we please.

Even if the above were true, how the hell do you draw a legal conclusion
like the one you just stated? Our laws are man made, not mother nature based.

Ed
I'm still trying to find the "Mother Nature talk show." What freq is that
on?

REPLY INFO:
==========
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "watt" from my return address.
==========

4344. Re: How did I kill my Bearcat 210XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: lf686@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990608224825.14075.00002804@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 115893

>What have I done? How can I achieve salvation from what
>appears to be a flat case of murder of the 210XLT. Help would be
>appreciated as someone's got to watch our local sheriff!

Hi Don,

The 210's were notorious for the power transformer primary opening. Try hooking up a 12 volt dc source to the dc input and see if your scanner doesn't come back to life.

Larry

4345. Sportcat Factory Setting Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: clausen <mclausen@portup.com>
Message-ID: <WMk73.2677$T24.210524@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 115894

Is there a way to zero out a Sportcat (erase memory)
Matt

4346. Re: My Pro 2021 does'nt work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <375DE8ED.431804AB@gnc.net>
Source record: 115895

Joey,

Newer doesn't always equal better. But now you mention it, remember to throw away YOUR toys when they quit. It's us sparky geeks that are getting all the "broken" electronic toys for free because today's disposable society is too lame to try to fix it. Give the guy credit, at least he's trying. Food for thought.

Shack23 wrote:

> Did you check RADIO SHACK? They still sell scanners...Joey

4347. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <375dea06.4604270@news>
Source record: 115896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 07 Jun 1999 15:10:11 GMT, Clint Bradford
<clintbrad4d@earthlink.net> wrote:

>I find it amusing that people LOVE to scream "bloody murder" for
>perceived wrongs in newsgroups...BEFORE actually contacting the source
>and seeing what the true situation is.

And now that we know the true situation, it seems that my concerns
were justified. Or have you forgotten the incident where Kevin Mitnick
hacked into Netcom and was able to download a file of Netcom
customers' credit card info, which was stored in cleartext online?
Hopefully the webmaster, who we now know reads this group, will take a
lesson from history and NOT store his customers' credit card info
unencrypted in an online system.

>This thread is a PERFECT example of someone NOT knowing what they were
>talking about, yet spewing false accusations [...]

>At least, that's how I operate online. I could be wrong.

Oh, the irony...

4348. Re: ABC News/Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <375dec8d.5251589@news>
Source record: 115897
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 06 Jun 1999 03:43:50 -0700, NOmikexSPAM@swbell#.net wrote:

>George W. White wrote:
>>
>> One thing I can't stand is misrepresentation of facts.
>> That ABC News story coupled with the news stories of that
>> idiot in NY posting MDT data on his website sure make things look bad
>> for scanner owners.

And it's definitely a DELIBERATE misrepresentation of facts, too - ABC news itself makes extensive use of scanners in their daily news gathering activities. I guess they don't mind if the whole world thinks their news department is a bunch of emotionally disturbed social misfits. Apparently there is no sacrifice too great for the Almighty Nielsen Gods...

>I have to agree with the "low life" portraial of scanner owners. I think
>the NEWS sharks "play-up" to the GOV'T & FCC's desire to squelch ALL
>receivers NOT restricted as THEY think they should be.

Wouldn't it be ironic if the boneheads in the news media end up shooting themselves in their collective feet by provoking legislators to outlaw sanners, thus hindering their own ability to gather news?

4349. Re: Coax question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <375ded0e.56509379@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115898
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 08 Jun 1999 20:44:43 -0700, Trevor Harris
<wb6sub@earthlink.net> wrote:

>RG/11 is low loss 75 ohm coax used for cable tv. It is to cable tv as to
>what RG-8 is to the radio world. I recommend to use a match of some type
>like a balun, to match it ot 50 ohm
>
>"feilerm@iea.com" wrote:
>
>> I received a good quantity of coax (over 300 feet) marked Comm\Scope
>> network cable 5901 RG11\U type E82833 14AWG.
>> Is this stuff any good for use as a scanner feed line? The price was
>> right (free, leftover from the guy who wired our hospitals monitoring
>> system). I have heard that impedance mismatch or poor shielding can
>> cause significant signal loss,etc... Shoud I use it or get invest in
>> something else?
>> Thanks in advance everyone.
>
>

RG-8 is only marginally good to about 500 mhz. The RG-11 is good to
around 950mhz.
Use the RG-11 _without_ the balun. The mismatch is only germain to
transmitters, the recever won't mind. The balun will cause more loss
than the mismatch.

Somewhere I hav a Mass of data from a fidonet discussion years back, I
find it I post it.

good signals... LCM

4350. Re: How did I kill my Bearcat 210XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609004158.18338.00002382@ng66.aol.com>
Source record: 115899

donmarsh@visi.net (Don Marshall) wrote:

>I simply forgot - nothing was coming over the scanner when I shut down
>the computer for the evening, so it was left on, not a usual
>occurance.
>
>I remembered this morning what I'd done, but there were no lights, no
>scan. no sound, nothing. I've checked the plug, cord, switches,
>everything, but the little bugger is deader than the perverbial
>doornail. What have I done? How can I achieve salvation from what
>appears to be a flat case of murder of the 210XLT. Help would be
>appreciated as someone's got to watch our local sheriff!

Don, you did nothing wrong. It died of OLD AGE!

4351. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <375DF48D.76066208@usa.net>
Source record: 115900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Barry O'Grady wrote:

> :MAckey LOVES to lie, in fact it's a complusion with him.

I have never told a lie in my entire adult life.

> :reading the newsgroups, that all the equipment that was seized, except for
> :one computer, was returned shortly after the arrest. He also knows that

I never said anything different from this.

> :there are NO State charges filed,

I never said there were.

> :as the Federal charges will probably be dropped before any trial,

Now YOU are making SPECULATIONS, Bud!!!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

4352. Re: Cobra 2000 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609023522.24868.00002248@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 115901

$ 25.99

4353. Re: Hamster wheel as antenna??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <voo73.1912$tU2.65360@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 115902

your wasting you time. what I do is disconnect a spark plug wire and
connect it to you scanner antenna input. You will get the best reception
ever. I once herd police from over two states away like this. Good Luck!!!
Sharon & Kevin <sharkev@enter.net> wrote in message
news:375d8c6a@news3.enter.net...
> D'ont waste your time with small hamster wheels . Do it the right way and
> get the largest parrot cage you find. This will out perform even the
> Monitenna antenna from Channel Master. Happy
> Scanning!!!!!!!!!~~~~~~~~~~Nebin~~~~~~~~~
> Awesome1 <firebird@gate.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.11c72e4044f4510598968f@news.gate.net...
> > x:no-archive:yes
> >
> > Well I get the bc80xlt and am curious as to different
> > thing that can be used as outdoor antennas. Say one slid a
> > hamster cage down onto a telescope TV antenna. Then
> > mounted this on the side of the house,
> > then attached this by a wire from the antenna to an antenna
> > that you stick on glass that then has another sticky part
> > that mounts inside, that then attaches to the radio??
> > Would that increase the receptivity? Thoughts? Thanks
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> >
> > Old Quote; "Necessity is the plea for every infringment of
> > human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
> > of slaves."
> >
> > New Quote; "For the children, is the plea for every infringment
> > of human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
> > of slaves."
> >
> > E. Vigilance 1998
>
>

4354. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tomas <tomas@greatergood.com>
Message-ID: <375E1D66.7426B2C7@greatergood.com>
Source record: 115903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Very good points:

"Jeff Bishop, n8wb" wrote:

> Then make the written more technical. Get into
> circuit design. Don't just tell us what a diode does. Have the test reflect
> why it is in the circuit.

...

> If people think that cw is a way to keep out the yahoo's, go down to 80
> meters and listen around. It didn't work. I doubt that anything that the FCC
> would do to change the testing will keep poor operators off of the air. But
> if we are going to drop the cw requirement, we need to add something to the
> written portion of the test to take it's place. Perhaps even a higher
> percent pass requirement? 95%? Just a thought.

Part of the entry-fee for me, when I joined (and that was not too long ago) the ranks of the Ham community, was that several Hams had to hear me send, as well as test my ability to copy, for the sole reason that I had to demonstrate the technical ability to operate on the air. At that time, morse was used as a way to gauge that. The written was the other part of that assessment.

I agree with you that we should have some better technical depth, but also, I think, we should explore the idea of adding something that would encourage / guide new-to-the-hobby folks to better operating skills. If you remember, the typical cw exchange actually demonstrated proper sending etiquette. So if we eliminate such subtle promotion of good operation, then let's put something in the
written that tests / illustrates good operating principles.

Thoughts?

Tomas

--

NW7US - http://hfradio.org - online map creation, propagation, swap-and-shop, dx news, and more.
Need a home page? Ask me about putting your page at http://hfradio.org.

http://GreaterGood.com - - - - Shopping that changes the world...
http://YourSchoolShop.com ... Online fund-raising for your school!
http://AlumniPlus.com .... Shop and give to your Alma Mater.
Tomas Hood - Senior Technology Administrator Voice (206) 268-5439
FAX (206) 268-5454 Pager (206) 998-3805

"If everyone is thinking alike then somebody is not thinking..."
-- George S. Patton

4355. Re: Upper and Lower Sideband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: The_Scanman <wombe_raider@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <928920452.109530@bell.nelsonbay.com>
Source record: 115904
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

LOL sorry.....look at the newsgroup name.....pay particular attn to
the AUS section of the name the AUS section means that this is an Australian
Newsgroup.....although anyone and everyone is welcome to join in....share
or ask information it would be my guess they would be talking about
Australia NOT the UK

-JH

David P (D) wrote in message ...
>urm... SSB CB is illegal in the UK isnt it?
>or aren't we talking about the uk?
>
>I haven't been following this thread!
>
>DavidP
>OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <375DB338.2933@ameritech.net>...
>>OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>>>
>>> The_Scanman wrote:
>>> >
>>> > i have no experience in scanning usb/lsb but my guess is youll ehar
>usb/lsb
>>> > CB channels...
>>> >
>>> > hope this helps
>>> >
>>> > -JH
>>> >
>>> > Possums wrote in message <375b5ccc.0@news.highway1.com.au>...
>>> > >The scanner I am using allows you to scan in USB and LSB modes. But
>the
>>> > >question I am asking is what will I hear on a frequency that is using
>>> > >usb/lsb if I am not set to the correct mode (say if I was on AM or
>M)
>>> > >What would I hear? or would it just pass it by completely?
>>> > >I dont use these modes much and I was wondering if I was missing out.
>>> > >
>>> > >Thanks for any help.
>>> > >
>>> > >
>>> > >
>>> > >
>>> Greetings from the States.

>>> Upper and Lower Side band can be used on H.F. and V.H.F. and maybe
>>> U.H.F. I guess it all depends on what country you are. Side band is
>>> usually used in conjuction with A.M.. A.M. contains a carrier wave and
>>> two side bands-upper and lower. Now here is the situation:
>>> You are transmitting on 27.4050 Megacycles in A.M. mode.
>>> (27.4050 or Channel 40 is the Upper limit of the Citizens' Band in the
>>> States.) and you are using 5 watts. The Channel is clear and there is
>>> only you and your friend on the air. All of a sudden you get an inkling
>>> to raise your power but you know that Linear Amplifiers arre illegal.
>>> So what do you do, you switch to sideband. It doesn't matter if it is
>>> lower or upper. Now, all of a sudden you are transmitting farther. How
>>> did this happen? Well this is do to the fact that by transmitting in
>>> sideband, you have instructed your radio to quit transmitting one side
>>> band and the carrier wave but you are still trasmitting at 5 watts.
>>> Well that signal will go farther becasue it does not " weigh " as much.
>>> Same thing works for the H.F. bands and V.H.F.. Now because you ar
>>> listening to this on a scanner, you have to fiddle around with the
>>> clarifying nob to adjust the voice. If you do not do this then you will
>>> get what sounds like a scrambled voice. If you get it just right, then
>>> you will have succeded in hearing a normal voice. Remember though a
>>> sideband signal is much narrower then a regular A.M. signal.
>>> There is also a method called Amplitude Comparnded Side Band which is
>>> used in the 220-222 Megacycle range in the States and at one time; I
>>> read or heard about Independent sideband. Independent Sideband, from
>>> what I understand, lets you transmit/recieve to different signals at the
>>> same time. Well somebody that know more then I will be able to tell you
>>> better. Also it seems that most Mores Code signals are in sideband.
>>> Why? I do not know. Well I am not a Rocket Scientist. Hopes this
>>> helps. I am not a Radio Enginner this is just based on what I read in
>>> books, magazines, and on; of course, the internet/www.
>>> --
>>> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>>> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>>
>>--
>>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>
>

4356. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <928921845.692.49@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Wooka <hass@aznet.net> wrote in message
news:375E0B07.12C8DDD3@aznet.net...
>
>
> Trevor Harris wrote:
>
> > the military still teaches it. The reason that they still want to
teach it is that
> > in a nuclear wasteland, it is theorized that CW will be the only
method that will
> > work. If you don't hear the dih you will hear the static "beat"
silent. I hope
> > this make sense. 73's brother
> > Trevor Harris, Former SUB Radioman
> >
>
> Do you know any studies that point to radiation hindering radio
communications?

You're trolling, right? You're really one of the know-code crowd in
disguise, trying to discredit the movement to dummify.

Michael.

4357. Re: Yaesu FT-50R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <375e393e.791325@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 115906

"Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com> wrote:

:Subject: Yaesu FT-50R Guide V 1.1
:From: childers@garlic.com (Bill Childers)
:
:
:The (In)Complete Guide to the Yaesu FT-50 Version 1.1
:
:Compiled by Bill Childers, KE6VVW
:
:Disclaimer & Other Nonsense
:The information in this document is a guide to the FT-50 ONLY.

<snip>

:Introduction
:The Yaesu FT-50 is perhaps the most unique VHF/UHF Handheld
:manufactured today.

I know this came directly from the web page, but it is about time
it was corrected.

The word "unique" means only one of. Thus it is impossible for
something to be "most unique." It is either unique or it is not.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

4358. Re: Upper and Lower Sideband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: The_Scanman <wombe_raider@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <928925618.32636@bell.nelsonbay.com>
Source record: 115907
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

LOL sorry.....look at the newsgroup name.....pay particular attn to
the AUS section of the name the AUS section means that this is an Australian
Newsgroup.....although anyone and everyone is welcome to join in....share
or ask information it would be my guess they would be talking about
Australia NOT the UK

-JH

David P (D) wrote in message ...
>urm... SSB CB is illegal in the UK isnt it?
>or aren't we talking about the uk?
>
>I haven't been following this thread!
>
>DavidP
>OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <375DB338.2933@ameritech.net>...
>>OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>>>
>>> The_Scanman wrote:
>>> >
>>> > i have no experience in scanning usb/lsb but my guess is youll ehar
>usb/lsb
>>> > CB channels...
>>> >
>>> > hope this helps
>>> >
>>> > -JH
>>> >
>>> > Possums wrote in message <375b5ccc.0@news.highway1.com.au>...
>>> > >The scanner I am using allows you to scan in USB and LSB modes. But
>the
>>> > >question I am asking is what will I hear on a frequency that is using
>>> > >usb/lsb if I am not set to the correct mode (say if I was on AM or
>M)
>>> > >What would I hear? or would it just pass it by completely?
>>> > >I dont use these modes much and I was wondering if I was missing out.
>>> > >
>>> > >Thanks for any help.
>>> > >
>>> > >
>>> > >
>>> > >
>>> Greetings from the States.

>>> Upper and Lower Side band can be used on H.F. and V.H.F. and maybe
>>> U.H.F. I guess it all depends on what country you are. Side band is
>>> usually used in conjuction with A.M.. A.M. contains a carrier wave and
>>> two side bands-upper and lower. Now here is the situation:
>>> You are transmitting on 27.4050 Megacycles in A.M. mode.
>>> (27.4050 or Channel 40 is the Upper limit of the Citizens' Band in the
>>> States.) and you are using 5 watts. The Channel is clear and there is
>>> only you and your friend on the air. All of a sudden you get an inkling
>>> to raise your power but you know that Linear Amplifiers arre illegal.
>>> So what do you do, you switch to sideband. It doesn't matter if it is
>>> lower or upper. Now, all of a sudden you are transmitting farther. How
>>> did this happen? Well this is do to the fact that by transmitting in
>>> sideband, you have instructed your radio to quit transmitting one side
>>> band and the carrier wave but you are still trasmitting at 5 watts.
>>> Well that signal will go farther becasue it does not " weigh " as much.
>>> Same thing works for the H.F. bands and V.H.F.. Now because you ar
>>> listening to this on a scanner, you have to fiddle around with the
>>> clarifying nob to adjust the voice. If you do not do this then you will
>>> get what sounds like a scrambled voice. If you get it just right, then
>>> you will have succeded in hearing a normal voice. Remember though a
>>> sideband signal is much narrower then a regular A.M. signal.
>>> There is also a method called Amplitude Comparnded Side Band which is
>>> used in the 220-222 Megacycle range in the States and at one time; I
>>> read or heard about Independent sideband. Independent Sideband, from
>>> what I understand, lets you transmit/recieve to different signals at the
>>> same time. Well somebody that know more then I will be able to tell you
>>> better. Also it seems that most Mores Code signals are in sideband.
>>> Why? I do not know. Well I am not a Rocket Scientist. Hopes this
>>> helps. I am not a Radio Enginner this is just based on what I read in
>>> books, magazines, and on; of course, the internet/www.
>>> --
>>> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>>> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>>
>>--
>>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>
>

4359. s-meter on the bc 890xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: theedge35@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7jll6s$hj6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115908

where do i hook up an s-meter in a bc890xlt

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4360. Re: Upper and Lower Sideband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan <jonathan_batch@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7jlilr$698$1@spruce.ukc.ac.uk>
Source record: 115909
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

The_Scanman <wombe_raider@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:928925618.32636@bell.nelsonbay.com...
> LOL sorry.....look at the newsgroup name......pay particular attn
to
> the AUS section of the name the AUS section means that this is an
Australian
> Newsgroup......although anyone and everyone is welcome to join in....share
> or ask information it would be my guess they would be talking about
> Australia NOT the UK

But the post was cross posted, so thats not immediately apparent when you're
reading the post on news:alt.radio.scanner.uk

Jonathan.

> David P (D) wrote in message ...
> >urm... SSB CB is illegal in the UK isnt it?
> >or aren't we talking about the uk?
> >
> >I haven't been following this thread!

4361. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Woody <woody@tangon.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7VJlaGA+3kX3Ew6V@tangon.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 115910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <375E1D66.7426B2C7@greatergood.com>, Tomas
<tomas@greatergood.com> writes
>Very good points:
[snip]

>Part of the entry-fee for me, when I joined (and that was not too long ago) the
>ranks of the Ham community, was that several Hams had to hear me send, as well
>as test my ability to copy, for the sole reason that I had to demonstrate the
>technical ability to operate on the air. At that time, morse was used as a way
>to gauge that. The written was the other part of that assessment.
>
>I agree with you that we should have some better technical depth, but also, I
>think, we should explore the idea of adding something that would encourage /
>guide new-to-the-hobby folks to better operating skills. If you remember, the
>typical cw exchange actually demonstrated proper sending etiquette. So if we
>eliminate such subtle promotion of good operation, then let's put something in
>the
>written that tests / illustrates good operating principles.

Interesting comment - that in the US the Morse test is seen as a means
of showing technical ability.

Over here in the UK the reason for the Morse test as a means of going
from a Class B (50MHz and above) to Class A (HF/all bands) licence was
that some HF bands were/are shared with marine, and also that a 'Ham'
(we prefer to use the term 'Amateur') could be listening on any band,
and in either instance could hear a Morse distress call. He/she should
be able to read that message and pass it on to the relevant authorities
- although Heaven knows who would take any notice or be able to handle
it!

With the ITU scrapping the Morse requirement in a few years time we are
heavily engaged in a debate over the alternative means of permitting
access to the HF bands - some say the need to hold a Class B for some
years plus be supervised in operation over a period by a Class A
licencee should be enough, others (and the current trend) advocate a
Morse test at 5wpm send and receive. You can imagine the anger that
this latter suggestion is causing in the established Class A fraternity
who had to send and receive (and much more tightly than in the US) at
12wpm!!

I like the Japanese idea: retain the Morse test but at zero wpm!

--
Andrew W.

Harrogate, UK
woody@tangon.demon.co.uk
g1uxp@gb7cym (Class B, and proud of it!)

4362. Good Ol Pro 43 dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Keene <jxkeene@keenetech.com>
Message-ID: <OKb2Q7ms#GA.348@nih2naae.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 115911

My favorite old scanner, my Pro43 just died.

Should I have it fixed, or would this cost more than its worth ??

Where do I send it ??? Radio Shack I presume ... anybody got a phone number or address.

4363. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@need.help
Message-ID: <37654f26.82869350@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The retarded jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
>Barry O'Grady wrote:
>
>> :MAckey LOVES to lie, in fact it's a complusion with him.
>
>I have never told a lie in my entire adult life.

2 things wrong with this statement. First - it's another lie. Second, you're a child.

>> :there are NO State charges filed,
>
>I never said there were.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Another lie in the same post.

Once again, you post drivel that is irrelevant to scanning. Always have, always will. You couldn't even provide a dejanews link. How predictable and laughable of you.

4364. Canadian Airforce F-18 freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hatman <pro2006@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7jlqnsjkk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115913

Does anyone have airshow freq's for Canadian F-18 demo team out of 4
Wing Cold Lake, also any freq's for the Navy Leapfrogs Parachute team?
Thx.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4365. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: marcus.akesson@no_spam_please.home.se
Message-ID: <376062c4.868790755@wingate>
Source record: 115914

On 5 Jun 1999 13:46:45 -0500, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
wrote:

>As far as the security of my digital spread-spectrum phone, I am completely
>convinced of its security as far as scanners are concerned. I have
>listened to the signal on my scanners and it sounds like a steady stream of
>static. The signal is also very weak, due to it being spread-spectrum,
>that without the squelch almost all the way open my scanner will miss it.
>The phone, however, has a range of about a couple hundered yards or so. So
>as far as the common scanner user is concerned, the phone is 100% secure.
>I wouldn't use it otherwise.

Nothing is 100% secure. The phone is probably some sort of Direct
sequence SS, wich in itself is a lot less secure than frequency
hopping. So, with the right hardware, it's probably not that hard.
There's probably no encryption in the system, just a (for now)
unusual modulation.

/Marcus

4366. RE2000 Alpha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdcuddington@mmm.com
Message-ID: <7jlnjt$j7b$1@magnum.mmm.com>
Source record: 115915

Has anybody purchased this scanner? I have read Rich Wells reviews, I am just curious.

Cudd

Opinions expressed herein are my own and may not represent those of my employer.

4367. Re: New RadioShack 2m Amateur

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7jlocg$h7u$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115916
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,triangle.radio,phl.scanner

Well, you obviously didn't own one....

The 202 was NOT designed to work outside of the HAM bands
on purpose. It was (undoubtedly) a 'management' decision. NO!
Not some BS of HAM vs public safety or other BS. The decision
was a strict tradeoff of the fact that Ft Worth (downtown) is one of
the worst intermod alleys in the US. Probably second only to the
128 loop around Boston. The decision was wide band coverage
vs good HAM performance. Since the radio was decided to be
an 'entry level' device (hey, budding HAMs are going to go to RS
to get started, but then branch out. Don't you think their management
realizes that fact?) they went with HAM performance.

As a cheap entry level radio, available on impulse buy at over 7000
retail stores in the US, and discounted on a REGULAR basis, it
was a winner, a bigger winner than RS expected.

No, you can't modify the HTX-202 or 404. (But you CAN modify the
242!!)

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

rafman wrote in message <375DAC0C.5B5ACB08@kerrlake.com>...
>
>Really? A good thing would at least receive outside the boringest ham
>band, 2 meters... Too much $$$ & no competion to $99 Alinco DJ-190's
>with 40 memories & 138-174 coverage.
>
>Must be new to radio, welcome!
>
>RAFman
>
>Tom@astro.net wrote:
>>
>> They should have stuck with the 202, it's one of the best HTs out
there. Why
>> mess with a good thing?
>>
>> 73,
>> Tom
>>
>> Tom Randall -- trandall@idsi.net
>> Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
>> Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10

>> Member: AAVSO Solar Division
>>
>> My Astronomy/Ham radio site:
http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html
>>

4368. WTB: Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9nuq@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609093724.24873.00002224@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 115917

I'm looking for a portable scanner that does the complete job.
Please state condition, model, cost and shipping.

Roberto
N9NUQ

4369. FS: pro 46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <Lbu73.28$A4.206@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 115918

```
i have a good pro-46 for sale..does 800mhz (cell blocked)
with nicads ,charger,copy of manual
will ship for 110.00
or might trade on base scanner with 800 mhz

monte k9dzd@iquest.net
```

4370. FA FRG 9600 ENDS Soon !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scanman <scan@yaho.com>
Message-ID: <7jlsgp$7of$2@athena.netset.com>
Source record: 115919

Yaesu FRG 9600 For Sale see
60 - 905 Mhz
Auction ends soon !

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=113518508

4371. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: WebMonkey <click@below.com>
Message-ID: <375E77A6.7F29@below.com>
Source record: 115920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Michael Young wrote:
>
> Wooka <hass@aznet.net> wrote in message
> news:375E0B07.12C8DDD3@aznet.net...
> >
> >
> > Trevor Harris wrote:
> >
> > > the military still teaches it. The reason that they still want to
> teach it is that
> > > in a nuclear wasteland, it is theorized that CW will be the only
> method that will
> > > work. If you don't hear the dih you will hear the static "beat"
> silent. I hope
> > > this make sense. 73's brother
> > > Trevor Harris, Former SUB Radioman
> > >
> >
> > Do you know any studies that point to radiation hindering radio
> communications?
>
> You're trolling, right? You're really one of the know-code crowd in
> disguise, trying to discredit the movement to dummify.
>
> Michael.

anyone see the adam-12 episode where malloy got shot? his radio mic
was smashed and the only way he could communicate was to 'key' the
radio on and off. he sent a bit of morse code, was cool.

morse code is pretty cool, you don't need a radio to use it.
a mirror and sunlight works too.

anyway, i thought i might throw this in. my repeater is
voice id heavy, i was asked to add more morse code id
in the mix by my friend. after this suggestion,
i made a few rotating bulletins with morse code followed
by a voice counterpart to assist a tech ham in getting some
copy practice right from my repeater. it appears to have
helped alot. upgrade in sight =]
--
A.C. Curtis
KBï¿½WLF

53.45 and 442.45, 77.0Hz enc/dec [multi-cast repeater system]
mailto:demoman@rollanet.org
http://www.rollanet.org/~demoman

4372. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@mail.com
Message-ID: <37606fd5.5423674@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115921

On Wed, 09 Jun 1999 12:51:46 GMT, marcus.akesson@home.se (Marcus AAkesson) wrote:

>On 5 Jun 1999 13:46:45 -0500, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
>wrote:
>
>
>>As far as the security of my digital spread-spectrum phone, I am completely
>>convinced of its security as far as scanners are concerned. I have
>>listened to the signal on my scanners and it sounds like a steady stream of
>>static. The signal is also very weak, due to it being spread-spectrum,
>>that without the squelch almost all the way open my scanner will miss it.
>>The phone, however, has a range of about a couple hundered yards or so. So
>>as far as the common scanner user is concerned, the phone is 100% secure.
>>I wouldn't use it otherwise.
>
>Nothing is 100% secure. The phone is probably some sort of Direct
>sequence SS, wich in itself is a lot less secure than frequency
>hopping. So, with the right hardware, it's probably not that hard.
>There's probably no encryption in the system, just a (for now)
>unusual modulation.

You don't have a clue about what spread spectrum is based on your reply.

It has NOTHING to do with frequency hopping (which is not secure at all).
There is no such thing as "direct sequence" spread spectrum.

In a nutshell, spread spectrum works by taking the audio to be transmitted and breaks it up over the "spectrum" and transmits each portion over a different frequency simultaneously. Most spread spectrum phones are transmitting on 25 different freqs AT THE SAME TIME. Nothing like a 25 channel phone that only uses 1 at a time.

4373. Re: What is the most poplular FRS channel in places like Disneyworld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: aa2as@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609111414.18617.00001540@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 115922

the least crowded

4374. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <37608ff1.1251916@news.erols.com>
Source record: 115923

On Wed, 09 Jun 1999 13:48:59 GMT, no@mail.com (Tank) wrote:

>You don't have a clue about what spread spectrum is based on your reply.

Judging from the ignorance displayed below, neither do you.

>It has NOTHING to do with frequency hopping (which is not secure at all).
>There is no such thing as "direct sequence" spread spectrum.

Look it up. Direct sequence spread spectrum does exist. In fact,
it's quite popular. Basically, you take a signal, modulate it
(amplitude, phase, or both) with a very fast repeating digital
pattern. This modulation is what spreads the signal. The receiving
end applies this same digital pattern to despread the signal.

>In a nutshell, spread spectrum works by taking the audio to be transmitted and
>breaks it up over the "spectrum" and transmits each portion over a different
>frequency simultaneously. Most spread spectrum phones are transmitting on 25
>different freqs AT THE SAME TIME. Nothing like a 25 channel phone that only
>uses 1 at a time.

Umm, not exactly. Frequency hopping is where you hop from channel to
channel at a very rapid rate; however, you're only using one channel
at a time.

/* Anti-Flame mode on */

Look, before you start posting, please check your facts. The noise
level on this news group is quite high as it is. Those who think they
know it all are annoying the rest of us who really do.

/* Anti-Flame mode off */

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

4375. Re: Pro 2004 - S meter Mod.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "G.Singer" <deltacom@bwn.net>
Message-ID: <375E949D.D5421087@bwn.net>
Source record: 115924

Al,
Yes it can be done, do a search for 2004 mods. It uses a cheap VOM,
either analog or digital. However the major drawback is that it only
works on WFM.
Gerry

Al & Lo wrote:
>
> Anyone know where I could find Information about adding a signal
> strenth meter to my 2004? Or if it can even be done?
> thanks, Al
>
> --
> Please remove the X From allo to reply, it cuts the junk mail by 85%
> Thank you

--

Gerry Singer KC0CAT
Regional Director W.A.R.N. Weather Awareness Resource Network
Loc:40 09 38N 103 12 50W Grid Square DN80jd N/E Colorado
Pro 2042 W/Optocom 535 MX7000 Pro67 Pro2004 DX390 W/MFJ 784
R5000 W/MFJ VersaTunerII Azden PCS2000 HTX-242 Alinco DJ191
Icom H16 and U16 Yaesu VX 5R President Lincoln Grant XL
.....and lots of antennas!
Scanner,Weather and Ham Radio web site
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/5137

4376. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: rah@netcom.com
Message-ID: <rahFD2IKy.LFJ@netcom.com>
Source record: 115925
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

BAYCITVIDGRP (myway@ix.netcom.com) wrote:
: In <rahFD0KFp.HzH@netcom.com> rah@netcom.com (Richard Hyde) writes:

: did you know that most tv stations
: were off the air for a while...?

Yes.

: by air time they had their choppers
: up and were doing aerials of
: all adn still could not get it
: edited.

: Radio was the only honest media
: source then...those that were still
: on air.

: don't bat the media around then,
: it was a very very horrifying
: situation had you actually
: been there.

We took refuge in Monterey, since traffic prevented us from getting
back to the Bay area. I don't doubt that the media was pretty
excited, but every time I saw the Marina, and the Cypress, and
the Bay bridge, I wanted to shout "what about the REST of the area!"

Bat the media around? I'd like to, but they were just doing what
they always do - reporting what they saw as "news" rather than
trying to provide an objective overview of the entire situation.

Cheers,

Rick

--
Include "wombat" in Subject: line of mail sent to me [to override spamgard(tm)]

| Richard Hyde | RaH@netcom.com | This space intentionally left blank |

4377. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: geneseib@ccp.com
Message-ID: <7jm9ff$q76$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115926
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

> Why don't you become a volunteer fireman or paramedic if you are into
> the horrible tragedy involved in such disasters and put your morbid
> sense of curiousity to some good use...

I believe what one hears on a scanner is much less morbid and much more professional than what one sees every night on the TV news. It is much less intrusive and disruptive to quietly monitor a scanner than to shove a TV camera into a victim's face or block emergency traffic by trying to go to the scene. Often what is heard on a scanner is more accurate and educational than information filtered through the media. I think every pilot out there has an interest in accidents that happen, not because they are morbid, but because they want to learn and avoid the mistakes of others.

Gene
--

_____
http://www.ccp.com/~geneseib/plane.html
TriPacer N8943D
http://pad39a.com/aviation/

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4378. Re: ABC News/Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tomas Hood - NW7US <nw7us@hfradio.org>
Message-ID: <375E9E59.9864B64C@hfradio.org>
Source record: 115927
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> Wouldn't it be ironic if the boneheads in the news media end up
> shooting themselves in their collective feet by provoking legislators
> to outlaw sanners, thus hindering their own ability to gather news?

It would *almost* be worth losing our scanner freedoms over, eh?

--
please visit http://www.hfradio.org - create online equidistant,
azimuth maps, check propagation, read dx news, and more. Ask about
placing YOUR home page at http://hfradio.org

World-Wide Communications via Amateur Radio : 122.32W : 47.61N :
10x56526 : CW/SSB - DX hunter : Seattle

==
Pursuant to US Code, Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter II, '227,
any and all unsolicited COMMERCIAL E-mail sent to this address
is subject to a download and archival fee in the amount of $500
US. E-mailing denotes acceptance of these terms

4379. Re: Upper and Lower Sideband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David P (D)" <pickards@SPAMBLOCKzen.co.uk>
Message-ID: <lpx73.39470$pl3.50704@newreader.ukcore.bt.net>
Source record: 115928
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

DUH! LOL . sorry YOU need to look at the news group name pay special ATTN to
the UKUKUKUKUK part of scanner. It's also cross posted to rec.radio.scanner
and most of the other ones...

David
The_Scanman wrote in message <928925618.32636@bell.nelsonbay.com>...
>LOL sorry.....look at the newsgroup name.....pay particular attn to
>the AUS section of the name the AUS section means that this is an
Australian
>Newsgroup.....although anyone and everyone is welcome to join in....share
>or ask information it would be my guess they would be talking about
>Australia NOT the UK
>
>
>-JH
>
>
>David P (D) wrote in message ...
>>urm... SSB CB is illegal in the UK isnt it?
>>or aren't we talking about the uk?
>>
>>I haven't been following this thread!
>>
>>DavidP
>>OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <375DB338.2933@ameritech.net>...
>>>OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>>>>
>>>> The_Scanman wrote:
>>>> >
>>>> > i have no experience in scanning usb/lsb but my guess is youll ehar
>>usb/lsb
>>>> > CB channels...
>>>> >
>>>> > hope this helps
>>>> >
>>>> > -JH
>>>> >
>>>> > Possums wrote in message <375b5ccc.0@news.highway1.com.au>...
>>>> > >The scanner I am using allows you to scan in USB and LSB modes. But
>>the
>>>> > >question I am asking is what will I hear on a frequency that is
using
>>>> > >usb/lsb if I am not set to the correct mode (say if I was on AM or

>>M)
>>>> > >What would I hear? or would it just pass it by completely?
>>>> > >I dont use these modes much and I was wondering if I was missing
out.
>>>> > >
>>>> > >Thanks for any help.
>>>> > >
>>>> > >
>>>> > >
>>>> > >
>>>> Greetings from the States.
>>>> Upper and Lower Side band can be used on H.F. and V.H.F. and maybe
>>>> U.H.F. I guess it all depends on what country you are. Side band is
>>>> usually used in conjuction with A.M.. A.M. contains a carrier wave and
>>>> two side bands-upper and lower. Now here is the situation:
>>>> You are transmitting on 27.4050 Megacycles in A.M. mode.
>>>> (27.4050 or Channel 40 is the Upper limit of the Citizens' Band in the
>>>> States.) and you are using 5 watts. The Channel is clear and there is
>>>> only you and your friend on the air. All of a sudden you get an
inkling
>>>> to raise your power but you know that Linear Amplifiers arre illegal.
>>>> So what do you do, you switch to sideband. It doesn't matter if it is
>>>> lower or upper. Now, all of a sudden you are transmitting farther.
How
>>>> did this happen? Well this is do to the fact that by transmitting in
>>>> sideband, you have instructed your radio to quit transmitting one side
>>>> band and the carrier wave but you are still trasmitting at 5 watts.
>>>> Well that signal will go farther becasue it does not " weigh " as much.
>>>> Same thing works for the H.F. bands and V.H.F.. Now because you ar
>>>> listening to this on a scanner, you have to fiddle around with the
>>>> clarifying nob to adjust the voice. If you do not do this then you
will
>>>> get what sounds like a scrambled voice. If you get it just right, then
>>>> you will have succeded in hearing a normal voice. Remember though a
>>>> sideband signal is much narrower then a regular A.M. signal.
>>>> There is also a method called Amplitude Comparnded Side Band which is
>>>> used in the 220-222 Megacycle range in the States and at one time; I
>>>> read or heard about Independent sideband. Independent Sideband, from
>>>> what I understand, lets you transmit/recieve to different signals at
the
>>>> same time. Well somebody that know more then I will be able to tell
you
>>>> better. Also it seems that most Mores Code signals are in sideband.
>>>> Why? I do not know. Well I am not a Rocket Scientist. Hopes this
>>>> helps. I am not a Radio Enginner this is just based on what I read in
>>>> books, magazines, and on; of course, the internet/www.
>>>> --
>>>> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>>>> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>>>

>>>--
>>>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>>>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>>
>>
>
>

4380. Re: ABC News/Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7jm7m3$5nn$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115929
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Tomas Hood - NW7US wrote in message <375E9E59.9864B64C@hfradio.org>...
>> Wouldn't it be ironic if the boneheads in the news media end up
>> shooting themselves in their collective feet by provoking legislators
>> to outlaw sanners, thus hindering their own ability to gather news?
>
>It would *almost* be worth losing our scanner freedoms over, eh?

You really think that would happen?? Just like the assault weapons
ban, it EXEMPTS the entertainment industry...

The media would probably campaign for an exemption, and get it.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

4381. SO CAL: Public Safety Helicopter Airshow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian Humphrey <brian.humphrey@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7jm8av$uv8$1@newssvr01-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 115930
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The men and women of the LAFD cordially invite you to:

American Heroes Air Show
Saturday June 12, 1999
Hansen Dam Sports Complex
San Fernando Valley area of Los Angeles, California

This is a FREE event for the entire family.

Joining the LAFD air fleet will be public safety aviation teams from throughout the West. Along with numerous Law Enforcement, Fire and EMS helicopters and their crews, a wide variety of military and Federal agencies will offer a (rare) up-close and personal opportunity to examine their aircraft and converse with their staff.

Again, it is FREE and all are cordially invited. Don't forget your camera (and your scanner)!!

If you need more info, simply follow the Airshow information link on the LAFD homepage at:

http://www.lafd.org

The American Heroes Airshow is a privately organized event supported by the City of Los Angeles and the Department of Recreation & Parks.

Stay Safe!

Brian Humphrey
Firefighter/Paramedic
Public Information Officer
Los Angeles Fire Department

LAFD website: http://www.lafd.org

4382. Re: Hamster wheel as antenna??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jm7s4mbk1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115931

Awesome1 wrote in message ...
>x:no-archive:yes
>
> Well I get the bc80xlt and am curious as to different
>thing that can be used as outdoor antennas. Say one slid a
>hamster cage down onto a telescope TV antenna. Then
>mounted this on the side of the house,
>then attached this by a wire from the antenna to an antenna
>that you stick on glass that then has another sticky part
>that mounts inside, that then attaches to the radio??
>Would that increase the receptivity? Thoughts? Thanks
>
>

You could hook some wire up to the awning of your double wide, I
suppose.....

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

>
>--
>
> Old Quote; "Necessity is the plea for every infringment of
>human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
>of slaves."
>
> New Quote; "For the children, is the plea for every infringment
>of human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
>of slaves."
>
> E. Vigilance 1998

4383. Re: Urban Legend or Wild Imagination? You be the judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jm8aemck1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115932

Mike Yetsko wrote in message <7jj3d2$kf1$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>While this could be a very legitimate product, what are the odds?
>
>Just remember, some of the scanners that are out there have
>incredible potential, but the processors are 'locked up'. If someone
>were to have original source....
>
>Or even, time on their hands and a mission goal.
>
>It's not out of the realm of posssibility. Probability is another story
>altogether.
>
>But I would have to ask, if this guy really did do this, would he be
>that dumb to try to advertise it on the internet?
>

I have my doubts concerning the technical skills of someone who cannot
spell. Enough said.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4384. Re: Would like any informations about Motorola MDT at 9600 & 91200 bps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jm7u9mca1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115933

intercepteur@geocities.com wrote in message
<01beb1bc$621ba720$5714f5cf@client>...
>
>Hi, i would like any informations about Motorola MDT
>at 9600 & 19200 bps...

So would I, but Motorola is a bit touchy about these things.....
>
>Please contact me by e-mail. Thanks
>
>e-mail : intercepteur@geocities.com
>

I would, except that I have nothing new to tell you.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4385. Re: Loking for a cheep hand-deld trunk tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jma1jmvd1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115934

radio_rookie@my-deja.com wrote in message <7jjjo4$qv4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>I was wondering if anyone had a trunk tracker
>that they are looking to get rid of fairly cheep.
>I am new to this and was looking for a cheep
>trunk tracker to get started.

They really don't get any cheaper than the Pro 91 which can be had brand new for $199 at RS, or if you're resourceful, can be purchased online for less. I have one, and it's a great little radio - perfect for portable use. I take it everywhere, and it has the added advantage of using AA's instead of a proprietary pack, so if you're at an airshow or whatever and the battery goes dead, you just pop in some alkalines and keep running.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4386. Re: Sportcat Factory Setting Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Danny R. Barnes" <danny315@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <375EAB25.9E800C63@prodigy.net>
Source record: 115935

Uniden SportCat 150 Undocumented Features

I found an undocumented Uniden Sportcat 150 feature (Great scanner by the way). Before you turn it on press and hold the WX and L/O keys. Turn it on and it shows the voltage left in the battery. When you are in this mode pressing the number keys brings up numbers you can adjust. Press the DC/Bank button does things too. If anyone knows what this is please Email me at afowler@juno.com. I tried Uniden customer support. It is always busy.

With the SportCat 150 the battery icon appears after holding the L\O and WX keys and turning the scanner on. If I remember correctly, if the scanner is plugged in it should read 1.72. If you do not have it plugged in it should read 1.66 if it is fully charged. If your scanner reads 1.45 or lower, your battery is running extremely low and needs recharging.

Power on with...
WX and L/O -View voltage left in battery.
2, 9, MAN -Clears all memories.
2, 9, L/O -Illumination Diagnostic.
2, 9, PRI - Puts test freq. in channels 1-21.
2, 9, SCAN - Puts in more test freq.

I think that this is not the end. The WX and L/O feature has more options that could be useful. Let's all get our heads together and find some more tests modes.

--This was compiled by EugeneD@aol.com on 7\15\97.
--Please send me any more info that you may find.
73's

Subj.: Emergency battery mod
Date: 20 May 1997 07:48:58 EDT

I've found a way to power the Sportcat (SC) with a standard nine-volt alkaline battery. The hardest part is finding a plug similar to the battery plug. I took one from an old cordless phone battery. I had to do a little trimming but it fits great. Parts needed: 1- plug to fit battery input in Sportcat with leads, 1-9 v battery connector with leads and 1-7805 5 volt regulator. Connect the black lead from the SC battery plug to the black lead of the 9v battery connector then solder them to the center (ground) leg of the 7805 regulator. Solder the 9v connectors red lead to the input leg of the 7805. Solder the red lead of SC battery plug to the output leg of the 7805. I used hot melt glue to insulate the solder connections. Plug the new adapter into the SC battery connector

and plug the other end to a 9v battery and you're ready to roll. I don't know how long it lasts but, when you're on the road its easy to find another 9v at any mini mart. Ira

clausen wrote:
>
> Is there a way to zero out a Sportcat (erase memory)
> Matt

4387. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlackKojak <bk43@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jma4e$8sd$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115936
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Let's hope that spelling and punctuation are not requirements to pass any
future test, at least in your case, eh?

Jeff

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <375DB3B9.17AE@ameritech.net>...
>Jim Wayda wrote:
>>
>> Thats a big TEN - FOUR Good Buddy!
>>
>> Thomas Jones wrote:
>> >
>> > >>How much you wanna bet that code will be gone in less than >>6months
from
>> > the tests?
>> >
>> > Yeah, then the next thing that would happen is that the HAM bands would
>> > become a CB wasteland. Most CB'rs know enough to pass the tests, and
when
>> > they do...The bands will be just like another CB band.
>> >
>> > Tom
>There are only a few C.B. operators out there that spoilt it for the
>rest of us. Well all would not want to be like the Hams, talking about
>their antennas all the time
>--
>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4388. Re: Upper and Lower Sideband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Young <nobody@all.org>
Message-ID: <928951689.114.50@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115937
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

As a community service from your local radio amateur:

AM is the acronym for amplitude modulation. For voice transmissions, the radio frequency (RF) carrier wave is modulated with the audio frequency wave form. This is one of the oldest, and most direct ways to get your voice from here to there.

Modulating the carrier means to mix the audio signal with the RF carrier. Mixing two waveforms produces two additional frequencies: one each at the sum and difference of the two waveforms. The carrier is not actually changed by mixing. Thus, mixing a 1 kHz audio signal with a 1 MHz carrier generates two additional signals: the upper sideband at 1.001 MHz, and the lower sideband at 0.999 MHz. Both sidebands carry the same information. The carrier, being unchanged, contains no information. SSB transmitters filter out the redundant sideband and carrier, and passes only one sideband to the RF power amplifier. This has two very desirable effects on the transmitted waveform. First, it is much narrower, and makes better use of the available RF bandwidth. Second, because it is narrower, the same input power to the amplifier results in a stronger output signal.

Reception is made a little more complicated by removing some of the information. Normally, simply filtering and rectifying an AM transmission is enough to recover the audio waveform. An SSB receiver injects a pseudo-carrier, from the BFO, into the signal. Again, sum and difference frequencies are generated by mixing the two signals. By carefully choosing the BFO frequency, the difference signal approximates the original transmitted audio signal.

CW is an unmodulated carrier, pulsed on and off by Morse code keying. Thus, the transmitted waveform is a pure tone, in theory requiring only the narrowest of RF bandwidth. The sharp rise and fall times from keying generates harmonics, but these are more or less easily filtered out. On the receiving end, the pulsed signal is mixed with a pseudo-carrier, again from the BFO. The resulting difference waveform is what you hear as Morse code on the radio.

I hope this helps. It doesn't directly answer the original question about scanning, but perhaps there is enough information here to reasonably infer what you need to know.

Michael.

4389. Re: Upper and Lower Sideband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlackKojak <bk43@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jmaft$9a5$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115938
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

As you can see, this thread is being cross-posted to hell and gone.
As is this reply!
Ohhhhhhhhh......Hellllllllllllllllllllll.....Son-of-a-bitch..........

David P (D) wrote in message ...
>urm... SSB CB is illegal in the UK isnt it?
>or aren't we talking about the uk?
>
>I haven't been following this thread!
>
>DavidP
>OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <375DB338.2933@ameritech.net>...
>>OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>>>
>>> The_Scanman wrote:
>>> >
>>> > i have no experience in scanning usb/lsb but my guess is youll ehar
>usb/lsb
>>> > CB channels...
>>> >
>>> > hope this helps
>>> >
>>> > -JH
>>> >
>>> > Possums wrote in message <375b5ccc.0@news.highway1.com.au>...
>>> > >The scanner I am using allows you to scan in USB and LSB modes. But
>the
>>> > >question I am asking is what will I hear on a frequency that is using
>>> > >usb/lsb if I am not set to the correct mode (say if I was on AM or
>M)
>>> > >What would I hear? or would it just pass it by completely?
>>> > >I dont use these modes much and I was wondering if I was missing out.
>>> > >
>>> > >Thanks for any help.
>>> > >
>>> > >
>>> > >
>>> > >
>>> Greetings from the States.
>>> Upper and Lower Side band can be used on H.F. and V.H.F. and maybe
>>> U.H.F. I guess it all depends on what country you are. Side band is
>>> usually used in conjuction with A.M.. A.M. contains a carrier wave and
>>> two side bands-upper and lower. Now here is the situation:

>>> You are transmitting on 27.4050 Megacycles in A.M. mode.
>>> (27.4050 or Channel 40 is the Upper limit of the Citizens' Band in the
>>> States.) and you are using 5 watts. The Channel is clear and there is
>>> only you and your friend on the air. All of a sudden you get an inkling
>>> to raise your power but you know that Linear Amplifiers arre illegal.
>>> So what do you do, you switch to sideband. It doesn't matter if it is
>>> lower or upper. Now, all of a sudden you are transmitting farther. How
>>> did this happen? Well this is do to the fact that by transmitting in
>>> sideband, you have instructed your radio to quit transmitting one side
>>> band and the carrier wave but you are still trasmitting at 5 watts.
>>> Well that signal will go farther becasue it does not " weigh " as much.
>>> Same thing works for the H.F. bands and V.H.F.. Now because you ar
>>> listening to this on a scanner, you have to fiddle around with the
>>> clarifying nob to adjust the voice. If you do not do this then you will
>>> get what sounds like a scrambled voice. If you get it just right, then
>>> you will have succeded in hearing a normal voice. Remember though a
>>> sideband signal is much narrower then a regular A.M. signal.
>>> There is also a method called Amplitude Comparnded Side Band which is
>>> used in the 220-222 Megacycle range in the States and at one time; I
>>> read or heard about Independent sideband. Independent Sideband, from
>>> what I understand, lets you transmit/recieve to different signals at the
>>> same time. Well somebody that know more then I will be able to tell you
>>> better. Also it seems that most Mores Code signals are in sideband.
>>> Why? I do not know. Well I am not a Rocket Scientist. Hopes this
>>> helps. I am not a Radio Enginner this is just based on what I read in
>>> books, magazines, and on; of course, the internet/www.
>>> --
>>> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>>> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>>
>>--
>>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>
>

4390. Scanner is not working. Houston and College Station.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: ahmedj@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7jkbje$4j8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115983

Hi, I have a radio shack scanner, bought it from ebay. Its max frequency is 512mhz. The problem is that it cannot recieve nay fun stuff, like police and fire, actually it only recieves like tow trucks and some times utility workers. WHy doesn't it? is it because police and fire have changed their frequencies or something? Can someone tell me a place to find frequencies for Houston and College Station.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4391. yupiteru 7000 or AOR2700

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick <d_nick@bogfoot.com>
Message-ID: <S2i73.4629$T3.10298@newsr2.u-net.net>
Source record: 115984

I am buying a 2ndhand scanner, wither the Yupiteru MVT 7000 or the AOR 2700.
can anyone advise me as to any significant points to help me decided which
one to get.

thanks,

Nick

ps, please copy any replies direct to me at d_nick@bigfoot.com

4392. Re: AR1000-PROgrammit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990608203128.07273.00002264@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 115985

I got the interface up and running. Apparently I missed the part in the notes stating you cannot use BANK 0. Once I realized this it worked as advertised. I made the serial interface and it sure was nice to watch 100 channels get auto ptrogrammed into that unit without mre having to touch it:+).Ira

4393. Re: Upper and Lower Sideband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David P (D)" <pickards@SPAMBLOCKzen.co.uk>
Message-ID: <nNi73.39264$pl3.46987@newreader.ukcore.bt.net>
Source record: 115986
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

urm... SSB CB is illegal in the UK isnt it?
or aren't we talking about the uk?

I haven't been following this thread!

DavidP
OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <375DB338.2933@ameritech.net>...
>OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>>
>> The_Scanman wrote:
>> >
>> > i have no experience in scanning usb/lsb but my guess is youll ehar
usb/lsb
>> > CB channels...
>> >
>> > hope this helps
>> >
>> > -JH
>> >
>> > Possums wrote in message <375b5ccc.0@news.highway1.com.au>...
>> > >The scanner I am using allows you to scan in USB and LSB modes. But
the
>> > >question I am asking is what will I hear on a frequency that is using
>> > >usb/lsb if I am not set to the correct mode (say if I was on AM or
M)
>> > >What would I hear? or would it just pass it by completely?
>> > >I dont use these modes much and I was wondering if I was missing out.
>> > >
>> > >Thanks for any help.
>> > >
>> > >
>> > >
>> > >
>> Greetings from the States.
>> Upper and Lower Side band can be used on H.F. and V.H.F. and maybe
>> U.H.F. I guess it all depends on what country you are. Side band is
>> usually used in conjuction with A.M.. A.M. contains a carrier wave and
>> two side bands-upper and lower. Now here is the situation:
>> You are transmitting on 27.4050 Megacycles in A.M. mode.
>> (27.4050 or Channel 40 is the Upper limit of the Citizens' Band in the
>> States.) and you are using 5 watts. The Channel is clear and there is
>> only you and your friend on the air. All of a sudden you get an inkling
>> to raise your power but you know that Linear Amplifiers arre illegal.

>> So what do you do, you switch to sideband. It doesn't matter if it is
>> lower or upper. Now, all of a sudden you are transmitting farther. How
>> did this happen? Well this is do to the fact that by transmitting in
>> sideband, you have instructed your radio to quit transmitting one side
>> band and the carrier wave but you are still trasmitting at 5 watts.
>> Well that signal will go farther becasue it does not " weigh " as much.
>> Same thing works for the H.F. bands and V.H.F.. Now because you ar
>> listening to this on a scanner, you have to fiddle around with the
>> clarifying nob to adjust the voice. If you do not do this then you will
>> get what sounds like a scrambled voice. If you get it just right, then
>> you will have succeded in hearing a normal voice. Remember though a
>> sideband signal is much narrower then a regular A.M. signal.
>> There is also a method called Amplitude Comparnded Side Band which is
>> used in the 220-222 Megacycle range in the States and at one time; I
>> read or heard about Independent sideband. Independent Sideband, from
>> what I understand, lets you transmit/recieve to different signals at the
>> same time. Well somebody that know more then I will be able to tell you
>> better. Also it seems that most Mores Code signals are in sideband.
>> Why? I do not know. Well I am not a Rocket Scientist. Hopes this
>> helps. I am not a Radio Enginner this is just based on what I read in
>> books, magazines, and on; of course, the internet/www.
>> --
>> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
>
>--
>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4394. FS Cell capable scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: macoletta@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990608205508.09353.00002141@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 115987

```
For Sale:
Prices include shipping & insurance in US
Cell capable:
Pro-2004 $225
Pro-46 $125
BC700A $120
----------------------
Trunk Tracking:
Pro-91 $150

http://members.aol.com/macoletta/forsale/scanners.htm

For more detailed information go to above URL.
```

4395. How did I kill my Bearcat 210XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-09 00:00:00 UTC
From: donmarsh@visi.net
Message-ID: <__i73.4154$AO1.13750226@firenze.visi.net>
Source record: 115988

I simply forgot - nothing was coming over the scanner when I shut down the computer for the evening, so it was left on, not a usual occurance.

I remembered this morning what I'd done, but there were no lights, no scan. no sound, nothing. I've checked the plug, cord, switches, everything, but the little bugger is deader than the perverbial doornail. What have I done? How can I achieve salvation from what appears to be a flat case of murder of the 210XLT. Help would be appreciated as someone's got to watch our local sheriff!

4396. Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7jphlg$bs@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115689

Ok...

JOHN MACKEY bio:

Multnomah County Community and Family Services
Information Section...

Desk Phone: 503-306-5875
extension: 27298

johnmackey@co.multnomah.or.us

h/w.multnomah.lib.or.us

His Supervisor to speak with to find
out how he is due to a severe brain
hemmoraghe is

Lolenzo T. Poe
503-248=3691
Lolenzo.t.poe@co.multnomah.or.us

you may want to offer your condolences or
well-wishes by any of the above means.

this is strictly an FYI passing of the
infomation derived by people who want
the Mackey to be blessed and sanctified
by your attention and flowers and candy
and any other items deemed "helpful" to
him.

you can pray with him or for him or
in lieu of him.

I am sure you will be rewarded eulogistically
by knowing that your saviour is just that close
to your bleeding hearts. for HIM.

Rise and pray....

4397. ? on Scan Beam Antenna, Mounting, Feedline, Amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Coan <jcoan@alumni.duke.edu>
Message-ID: <37603886.9B481F0D@alumni.duke.edu>
Source record: 115690

I am looking for opinions of the only scanner beam antenna I've seen advertised, the one from Grove. It appears to be a log periodic design. I am looking for something with decent gain and wide coverage for public service frequencies. What about this one, what is the competition?

I plan on using a TV mounting kit to attach at the corner of the chimney -- probably something from the Shack. Any opinions on these? In general, how tall a mast (above chimney top) should I be looking for?

What about signal amplifiers on the antenna? Are such animals made which are broad banded enough to work? Also, I would like to be able to transmit on my beam on 2 meters and 440 MHz. Any signal amplifiers have bypass capability so that a five watt signal won't fry them?

And, rotators.... I assume something from Radio Shack made for TV antennas should do the trick?

For feedline, I am probably looking at Belden 8877 over RG-8. Opinions? Do these beam antennas come with SO 239 connectors? How, in general, are the connectors shielded from moisture?

If anybody wishes to offer an opinion I would be happy to hear it. Or, if you can point me to a web site with the needed information that would be great.

TNX ES 73 John

4398. BC-3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: birdfan1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990610192904.01168.00000548@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 115691

Are you able to select the operating mode on any frequency in the BC-3000XLT?

4399. Clearing memory on a 235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dwarren2@n0.spam.rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <88Y73.2732$_U4.31071@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 115692

Just bought a used 235. Is there a way to clear the previosu owner's settings

_
(_) Daniel Warren, RPh
_______// Marion NY
(________) Clinical Staff Pharmacist - Strong Memorial Hospital
\ / dwarren@frontiernet.net
| Rx |
/______\
(________)

4400. Re: motorola radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Hoerz <khoerz@accessus.net>
Message-ID: <37604f92.0@news.accessus.net>
Source record: 115693

You don't want the serial number, you want the model number and it is under the battery on that radio. Depending on the usage, you can have a stubby antenna on either VHF or UHF. I use both a VHF and UHF MT-1000 and both have almost identical stubby antennas on them.

Kurt

4401. Re: air show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jpj9l$qe1$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115694
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

LaLaS wrote in message <7jn5u6$dv6@newsops.execpc.com>...
>Now it's a summer time and the AIR SHOW going on in the airports
>Lot of National Guard helicopters and C-130 and some Jet's showing .
>What is the freq. those bird's are using ???
>I am from south central Wisconsin and there is one coming up this sunday
>in WATERTOWN WI.,and i will like to monitor this planes ?????
>Thanks
>Happy scanning
>

Check this link:
http://www.airnav.com/cgi-bin/airport-info?RYV

It's the only airport they show for Watertown, so if this is where your
airshow will be, you'll hear alot of action on the frequencies listed here.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4402. Re: digital standards from Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jpj1rqdr1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115695

Mark Elie wrote in message <3760413d.0@newsfeed1.cybertours.com>...
>I just discovered paper on a Canadian web site
>(http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/pics/sf/rss135.pdf) that seems to specify
>standards for "digital scanner receivers". This suggests to me, that such
>scanners exist or are in the planning stages. Does anyone else in the NG
>have any comment or other news?

Hmm.... sounds interesting!

As I've said before, there certainly is a market for it. I'm almost certain that there are companies out there secretly working diligently to be the first to market a digital APCO 25 compliant scanner.

The problem is that the industry is so hush-hush about anything new. No one wants to let the others know what they're working on. The result is rumors and speculation on our part, some true some not. But this document tells me that it's indeed happening, or is expected to happen soon.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4403. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <37605514.6426@sir.com>
Source record: 115696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> >> Sir wrote in message <373B7360.5E20@sir.com>...
> >> >The technician license does NOT allow you to use ANY of the Ham bands
> >> >below 6 meters.
>
> Which only amounts to 3.75 MHz, less than 1% of all amateur spectrum.

A quick look at the US AMATEUR BANDS chart in the ARRL HANDBOOK shows
technicians can use only 6 out of 15 bands.

> >> >Thus you DO have to pass a Morse Code test if you want
> >> >to be a HAM without restrictions. A technician license is like a
> >> >fishing license that only allows you to fish in a small fraction of
> >> >small lakes.
>
> Those "small lakes" are over 99% of our total alloted spectrum.

If your going to use drugs, you MUST SHARE!

4404. Apologies in advance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: MacDaddy <Miteel@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7jplokhrt1@news.ycc.yale.edu>
Source record: 115697

I'm sorry to ask, but I'm looking for the software and how to make the cable to the PC for the RS Pro-64.

4405. Re: Cordless phone --> Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <929063349.944.58@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115698
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Many phones can be put in a test mode. This may not work on all, but it worked on both of mine.

Disconnect the battery, press and hold the # and * buttons as you reconnect the battery. If it works on yours, you should be able to step through the channels by hitting the "channel" button.

Marcus R. Matos wrote in message ...
>I'm looking for a way to turn my cordless phone into a 47MHz scanner.
>WAIT! Put your lighters away. Here's the deal:
>
>It is a Southwestern Bell FF667 47MHz 25CH phone.
>
>I have two other cordless phones, which are in use, and this phone does not
>get along with the others.
>
>This phone will not turn itself on unless it recieves an "OK" from the
base.
>I want to get rid of that. I believe it queries the base on 10.240MHz,
>because both the phone and the base have that crystal in it. The handset
>also has a 3.579545 crystal.
>
>The handset has a "channel" button on it. What I want is this:
>Say my mom is on one of the cordless phones. I would like to turn this one
>on, keep hitting "channel" until it hits the right frequency, and join in
>the conversation.
>
>Does anyone know if this is possible? Anyone else interested in doing this?
>Let me know please. Thanks
>
>
>

4406. Re: Transmit on Fast Food Drive-Thru?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <929063996.127.42@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115699
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Fill out a job application.

jedi_force@webtv.net wrote in message
<21546-375F37DE-44@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>...
Is there a way to transmit on cordless fast food places like McDonalds
or Taco Bell or Jack in the Box? If there is, whats the freqs to
transmit?
Thanks!

4407. Re: Apologies in advance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <376065A5.56CA6BAA@globe-net.net>
Source record: 115700

John's PROgramit software can do the programming for you nicely.
The information on how to build the cable (very simple) is also on
his website. I just checked his website and it was temporarily down
but should be back up soon:

http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj/index.html

If you need any help with building the cable, I can help you with
that also.

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

MacDaddy wrote:

> I'm sorry to ask, but I'm looking for the software and how to make the cable
> to the PC for the RS Pro-64.

4408. Re: digital standards from Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <P7_73.1535$h14.6799@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 115701

Mark Elie wrote in message <3760413d.0@newsfeed1.cybertours.com>...
>I just discovered paper on a Canadian web site
>(http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/pics/sf/rss135.pdf) that seems to specify
>standards for "digital scanner receivers". This suggests to me, that such
>scanners exist or are in the planning stages. Does anyone else in the NG
>have any comment or other news?

If any are being planned, the makers are keeping it quiet. The Canadian
government intends to require a permit for any individual who wishes to
possess or operate a digital radio receiver. Details are on my website
(which also has the text of RSS135 in HTML format).

http://nf2g.com/scannist/canada_index.html click on Laws & Regs

>I have attached the full text of the article. (saved in .pdf format)

Shouldn't do that here. This is not a "binaries" group.

4409. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Shrader <dshrader@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <376070A4.36F87296@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Yes!!!

Nuclear X-rays and Prompt Gamma pulses create a very broadband Electromagnetic Pulse (EMP) that easily exceeds 50,000 volts per meter (50,000 times 80meters/2 (half wave) equals 4,000,000 million volts across your 80 meter dipole). By the way, that is 42 billion watts in 377 ohm atmosphere across your antenna!!!!

During the 50's when Above Ground Nuclear testing was being performed, the STARFISH event, 700 miles from Hawaii, turned off the power grid in Hawaii. That was in the days of Vacuum Tubes...what happens today in the solid state world?

Extensive studies and tests have been performed in this area...nuclear weapons x-ray and gamma radiation will kill your radio and most everything else.

>
> >
>
> Do you know any studies that point to radiation hindering radio communications?
>

4410. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <37607058.AA2@sir.com>
Source record: 115703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

David P (D) wrote:
>
> just quickly.
> morse code is a very corse mode!
>
> only joking. I myself like CW

Who knows, I might have liked it too, if it hadn't been shoved down my throat. I had such a bad taste in my mouth from having to learn it for no good or logical reason, that I never used it on the air and now don't even remember anything other than SOS.

Kind of like how something as enjoyable as sex can be disliked and even hated when you are raped and it is forced opon you.

4411. Help with new Trunk Tracker.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ruff-Cut <sharkman@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7jpvcl$5r6@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 115704

I just purchased a new Trunk Tracker from Uniden. I acquired the local police frequencies that operate on the new system. So far I have received only one weak signal. The standard frequencies (460MHz) come through rather well. The department is about 15-20 miles away. Shouldn't the 800MHz signal be stronger than the older frequencies? The system used is a standard Motorola(not type II). The scanner claims to use the type II setting as a default. Do I have to adjust my scanner to receive standard Trunked Systems? The manual is a little confusing.

The other thing I wondered was why my three year old Uniden SportCat could receive some cell phones(it was cell-blocked) and this new scanner receives none. They both cover the same frequencies.

If any of you have some suggestions,it would be greatly appreciated.

Ruff-Cut

4412. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37608421.2E64@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115705
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Rudi Mulder wrote:
>
> >>>>
> >> >> >>>> think everything originated from Holland LOL
> >> >> >>>> >--
> >> >> >>>> >M.J.Powell.
> >> >> >>>I beg to differ
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>well begging is allowed :)
> >> >> >>to differ in this, is to deny the truth
> >> >> >>can't have that now can we :)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>i know it hurts a bit the truth but we all be soon a costumed to
> this
> >> LOL
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>RM
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >A short note to say that I didn't write the above.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >Mike
> >> >> >>--
> >> >> >M.J.Powell.
> >> >>
> >> >> i know Mike
> >> >>
> >> >> obi wrote beg to differ
> >> >> sorry for confusion
> >> >>
> >> >> greets RM
> >> >You are weak Darth
> >>
> >> no just polite
> >> try it out maybe it will bring you back on the right path to heavenly
> force
> >> oneday too
> >> all should be in the right context
> >> to deny truth is to deny life to deny this means your dead :))
> >>
> >> are you in denial OBI??

> >>
> >> rm the holier then hollow shallow person some are :)
> >>
> >> BUT STILL LEARNING THE HARD WAY
> >I NEVER DENY ANYTHING. AFTER ALL I AM THE GREAT OBI
>
> So this means your guilty as charged then Obi cant deny that now can we LOL
>
> wow outsmarted you are now huh hahahahahahah.
>
> Any chance your gonna change the capitals into normal fonts? neighbours
> start t complain of all the shouting in my house when i collect my mail :)
>
> my mind thinks my hands type still it doesn't make sense when i reread it :)
>
> RM

HEY RUDI'S NEIGHBOURS:

C R A N K U P T H E V O L U M E & R I P T H E
N O B O F F!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4413. Re: What's the BEST scanner on the market today?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan McFerrin <amcferrin@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7jq0fuqsc1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I know! How about that PRO-91???

Oh I'm sorry, still getting a kick out of it...

Rob wrote in message <375F326F.25AA0E36@YoungVisions.com>...
>I was wondering I could get some of your opinions on what scanner you thought was the best on the market today.
>Looking for one with most current features, widest freq. range, fastest scan rate etc. Price not a factor.
>
>Thanks
>

4414. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <kO%73.911$xA.66604@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message ...

> >So here you go stirring up the pot again.
>
> What was asked was simple, this:
>
> Leave the "troubled One" alone
> and he will be fulfilled.
>
> keep him out of the equation
> and he will honor our wishes.
>
> how is that for succinctness?

Then why Maynard T did you start a new thread about Mackey?

Practice what you preach poem-boy. Don't start threads.

Mackey rubbed off on you or are you doing a Cheek there Cal Cool?

4415. Re: automatic toll collection frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37608937.59D4@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Paul Cuni wrote:
>
> Before you take out your flame throwers I have a good reason for
> needing to know this. I want to see how much shielding my ezpass is
> getting in my glove compartment when I'm using fastlane and vice
> versa. Along with the Maine toll collector too on the dash.
>
> So whats the frequency kenneth?
> ---------
> Try my web page at http://www.paulcuni.com
Well my name is not Kenneth, so how would I know, dude?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4416. Re: Help with old Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Bob Parnass, AJ9S" <parnass@megsinet.net>
Message-ID: <376092E1.8621AC7F@megsinet.net>
Source record: 115709

I don't have a BC210XLT schematic.
Many scanners are protected from reverse polarity by an internal diode
shunted from the +12 vdc to ground.

That diode may now be a short circuit (crispy critter) in your
scanner.

Henry wrote:
>
> I'm in need of some help with an old Bearcat 210 XLT Scanner (Radio), it
> was in
> excellent condition. The operative word is WAS, a friend had borrowed it
> and hooked it
> up with reverse voltage. Using d.c. in he had the positive and negative
> reversed, he said it
> just when pop then nothing. Any suggestions ??? I have looked but can
> find no obvious
> fuses or burnt out components. Is their a way to bring this little old
> scanner back to life.
>
> Any help would be appreciated,
>
> Thanks,
>
> Henry

--
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@megsinet.net

Locate transmitter sites in your area with a color RadioMapTM.
see http://www.megsinet.com/parnass

4417. Re: Wal-Mart Freq. Wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Danny R. Barnes" <danny315@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <37609513.5BA6B318@prodigy.net>
Source record: 115710

Some stores use handheld marine radios.

Paul L wrote:
>
> Does anyone have the freq. for those hand helds used in Wal-Marts or do they
> different for each location?

4418. Technical question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: LaLaS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7jq89t$dvo@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 115711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello there
I have a question about transceiver i pickup from action
It's a GENERAL ELECTRIC transceiver the fire dep. in MINNESOTA use
before going to a different system
It's a General Electric model MASTR II,10 channel transceiver operate on
155.00mhz to 155.900mhz.I have the all system ,the unit the cables the
connectors the speaker the all works and i can connect it to a 12V and
use it
My question is ,can i use the output amplifier to boost up my handheld
transceiver ???
and if i do what modification i have to do???,and can anyone have
schematics for it ??
Is this system have good preamplifier to use for my scanners
For the output amplifier they use 4 2N6082 transistor ,and i don't
know the output power is?.For the channels the use little modules look's
like a mach box 10 of them ,one for each channel,and each one of them
have different freq.
Can i use this unit for my hobby after i modify????
thanks a lot in advance
LaLaS

4419. Re: free magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7jrhtq$1p3$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115712
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thanks to all that responded, the magazines have been spoken for.

Mike

4420. Re: HELP EVERYBODY (New binary scanner group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <nobody@home.here>
Message-ID: <375FA8CC.7A7DAEFF@home.here>
Source record: 115713
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well, we could share our home brew frequency database programs and control programs for computer controlled radios. Or mabey post schematics or pictures of vintage radios. As always with Usenet, if you ain't interested don't subscribe.

RICK wrote:
>
> How will a binary group help us or am I just missing the point ???
>

4421. Re: looking for Handheld scanner:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <375F56E4.153FACA2@gnc.net>
Source record: 115789

This link is a good one to print out while shopping at yard sales, pawn shops,
and hamfests:

http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/mods.html

I bought a PRO-34 for $150 a little while ago on EBAY that would
do what you mentioned... though listening to cellular would be illegal. ;-)

You can find NASCAR frequencies at this link:

http://www.netnerd-inc.com/joe/index3.html

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

ALBERT M FURLOW wrote:

> Hello to all that read this, I am looking for a good handheld scanner that
> covers 25 MHz to 900 MHz. including cell.. I need it priced around 150 to
> $200.
>
> I am a NASCAR freak.. AL :)

4422. FS trunking scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: JFW <lebear@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <7jnohc$hf9$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 115790

For sale Radio Shack pro-2066 mobile trunking scanner, $140. Sorry, no trades.
E-mail lebear@cyberhighway.net

4423. Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: gilbertwa@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990610033349.26262.00002146@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 115791

Brand new in-box Best offer

Gilbertwa@aol.com

4424. FS: Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: sharvey@thefuture.net
Message-ID: <7jnofm$2t5m@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115792
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a Pro-2006 in excellent shape for sale. Includes radio, box, manual and telescoping antenna. Works great just selling to get another radio. Will ship for $260. Please email me if you are interested. Scott.

4425. FS:UK:commtel COM510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Graham Arthurs <gdk15@dial.pipex.com>
Message-ID: <7jnu39$gv1$1@lure.pipex.net>
Source record: 115793
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

New and hardly used. Comes with case and manual.
Offers around ?150 (150 GB pounds)

j.g@virgin.net or 07771 652552

4426. FS:UK: Commtel COM510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: J Gardner <j.g@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <7jnubvhbl1@lure.pipex.net>
Source record: 115794
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Covers 0.5 to 1.3GB without gaps.

New and hardly used. Comes with case and manual.
Offers around £150 (150 GB pounds)

j.g@virgin.net or 07771 652552

4427. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7jnv9i$c2h@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 115795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

>> Sir wrote in message <373B7360.5E20@sir.com>...
>> >The technician license does NOT allow you to use ANY of the Ham bands
>> >below 6 meters.

Which only amounts to 3.75 MHz, less than 1% of all amateur spectrum.

>> >Thus you DO have to pass a Morse Code test if you want
>> >to be a HAM without restrictions. A technician license is like a
>> >fishing license that only allows you to fish in a small fraction of
>> >small lakes.

Those "small lakes" are over 99% of our total alloted spectrum.

Jeff KH6O

4428. Re: DL Inflight Incident tonight.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jamoran@mail.goodnet.com
Message-ID: <lvL73.1004$kN5.1876@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 115796
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner

Monitor Delta Airlines Atlanta Radio frequencies and you will often hear
about stuff like this I get them on 129.55 Mhz (AM modulation)

JOHN

maryanne kehoe (atlsvo@webtv.net) wrote:
:]I was listening to the 11PM news tonight on WSB here in Atlanta (Ch.2)
:]and they reported that a Delta flight that originated here in Atlanta,
:]bound for the UK made an unscheduled stop in Bangor, Maine, after a
:]passenger attempted to get into the cockpit, demanding to "be serverd
:]more beer".
:]
:]Local authorities took the man off the plane and is now in jail,
:]awaiting a hearing tomorrow morning.
:]
:]The news report here said that the guy was 32 years old, but did not
:]provide any further details. Any rta'ers up in that area that can
:]provide further info.
:]
:]
:]
:]
:]
:]Maryanne
:]
:]
:]
:]....who thought that Firnemann (sp?) guy was on the loose tonight,
:]untill I heard the age of the clown in the incident tonight.
:]

--

JOHN/PHX jamoran@analon.com (buildmypc@analon.com)
Powerful Computers at affordable prices http://www.analon.com
800-873-5884
Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry I Love Unix!
vi..my favorite text editor (: 310-346-7404) X-Windows :)

+Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!+
+if responding to a usenet post also e-mail also so I will check group+

4429. FSale: GE 2995A AM/FM/4 ch. Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: BHL <philoxeny@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7jn9tj$74l$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 115797
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Model: 2995A, AM/FM and 4 channel scanner from 150 to 174 Mhz. An older 70's type where you analog dial in the freq for each channel, click it in for each channel and then listen to the radio or the 4 channels scanning. Good shape and it is portable but not that small. You would take this on a picnic or to a gathering but would not want to tote it around if you were hiking. Will send .jpg's at your request. $30 shipped to your door.
Contact: BHL, philoxeny@hotmail.com

4430. Re: Discriminator Tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: cray2141@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7jo83k$fj7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115798

In article <19990609160727.15514.00002599@ng-cc1.aol.com>,
paulbryan@aol.com (Paulbryan) wrote:
> On the widely distributed document by Bill Cheek, he instructs the
installation
> of a 2.2uF - 4.7uF tantalum capacitor on the discriminator output pin
prior to
> an rca jack on rear panel. On the widely disributed schematics for a
HamComm
> type interface(data slicer), the first component is usually a 0.1uF
capacitor.
> My question is, should both capacitors be installed, or just one?
Thanks for
> responding to the newsgroup.
>

Just use one depending on which decoder you want to build. By the way,
I built the 2 level decoder using the 0.1uF capacitor and built the
Bill Cheek 4 level decoder using the 2.2uF. I found that they both
work well on my Pro 2006.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4431. Re: Alpha Display Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <375F9A9B.CBD2CBB9@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 115799

Phil Smalley wrote:
> There's also the MS200 by Relm, and the AR8000/8200 series scanners.

And RE2000Alpha, IC-R10 and MVT-9000.

Go to my Scanner Features page and look under Alpha-tags for
a more comprehensive listing:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/features.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

4432. WTB: scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <zKN73.73$A4.365@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 115800

```
im looking for a base scanner that covers 800 megs( no cell)
thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net
```

4433. Re: CANADIAN SCANNER DEALERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimd7002@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990610085453.10414.00000073@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 115801

>Anyone know of a dealer in the niagra falls/toronto area that sells scanner
>radio's... Any help would be appreciated.....Thanks.....Respond to
>group.

They do have Radio Shacks in Niagra Falls and in Toronto. Last I checked, they sell LOTS of scanners....

Jim D.

4434. Re: Freq for FL, St. Pete, Pinellas County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <375FC2E8.B0B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115802
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Cheech wrote:
>
> Anyone have a listing of scanner frequencies for Pinellas county in Florida,
> specifically Pinellas Park, St. Petersburg, Clearwater, etc. Thanks in
> advance.
>
> Cheech

Check out the following URLs:

http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/fl/pinellas.txt
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/fl/stpeter.txt
http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/fl.html#Pinellas
http://home.att.net/~wwhitby/fl.htm

4435. Re: Coax question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3763bd72.1927668@news.erols.com>
Source record: 115803

On 9 Jun 1999 23:04:27 GMT, shack23@aol.com (Shack23) wrote:

> not@home.now (Curious George) wrote:
>
>'SNIP'
>>The mismatch is only germain to
>>transmitters, the recever won't mind. The balun will cause more loss
>>than the mismatch.

At VHF and UHF this is particularly true. Baluns are mostly used for
HF transmitting applications.

>A mismatch effects BOTH receive, AND transmit. But the mismatch between 50 and
>75 ohms, like you said, won't matter much.

That's a 2:1 SWR, a return loss of roughly 10 dB. Under a worst case,
assuming all reflected power is lost, this would reduce your signal
power by roughly one tenth or 0.1 dB. This is not enough power to
make a difference unless you were transmitting and didn't want to deal
with the heat created by 1/10 of the transmitted power.

>The idea is, that it causes STANDING
>WAVES, both on transmit, AND receive, but the benefits of using VERY LOW LOSS
>coax, (like RG-11/U), outweight the effects of the mismatch...This is
>especially true if it is the type with the FOIL COVERING, along with the wire
>braid...This is the type cable operators use...Joey

Standing waves are irrelevant for an application such as this. This
is all about loss. They only matter to the extent that SWR problems
result in a loss of receiver energy. In the vast majority of cases, a
mismatch won't create a noticeable loss in received signal strength.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

4436. Re: Freq for FL, St. Pete, Pinellas County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cheech <cheechmgr@nospam.hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fPP73.138$L_1.7350@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 115804
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just what I needed. Thanks!!!

Cheech

Robert H. Eisner wrote in message <375FC2E8.B0B@worldnet.att.net>...
>Cheech wrote:
>>
>> Anyone have a listing of scanner frequencies for Pinellas county in
Florida,
>> specifically Pinellas Park, St. Petersburg, Clearwater, etc. Thanks in
>> advance.
>>
>> Cheech
>
>Check out the following URLs:
>
> http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/fl/pinellas.txt
> http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/fl/stpeter.txt
> http://www.trunkscanner.com/states/fl.html#Pinellas
> http://home.att.net/~wwhitby/fl.htm

4437. FS-Unblocked AR-2700 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: gg210301@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990610100819.03112.00000027@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 115805

In excellent condition with the manual, charger and nicads. $200

4438. FS mobile trunking scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: JFW <lebear@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <7johgcaem1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 115806

For sale Radio Shack Pro-2066 mobile trunking scanner, $140. Sorry, no trades.
E-mail: lebear@cyberhighway.net

4439. Re: FS: Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Joel M. Rose" <joelr@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <375FD473.78E1FB1E@gwis.com>
Source record: 115807
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

hi...if this is unblocked, i may be interested,,,,,
jr

Scott Harvey wrote:

> I have a Pro-2006 in excellent shape for sale. Includes radio, box,
> manual and telescoping antenna. Works great just selling to get
> another radio. Will ship for $260. Please email me if you are
> interested. Scott.

--

thoughts to live by......

"Our country has killed far more children in Kosovo than Colorado"

joelr/n8jr brecksville,OH
mailto:joelr@gwis.com
The Briarhop Corners Page:http://w3.gwis.com/~joelr/gear.htm
... -.-

4440. Re: Transmit on Fast Food Drive-Thru?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Joel M. Rose" <joelr@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <375FD4BA.D3ABA2D9@gwis.com>
Source record: 115808
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

you can do it two ways....easiest is "overpower" in the output freqs in the 154 mhz range..or try the inputs which are in the 171 and 173mhz range..

jedi_force@webtv.net wrote:

> Is there a way to transmit on cordless fast food places like McDonalds
> or Taco Bell or Jack in the Box? If there is, whats the freqs to
> transmit?
> Thanks!

--

thoughts to live by......

"Our country has killed far more children in Kosovo than Colorado"

joelr/n8jr brecksville,OH
mailto:joelr@gwis.com
The Briarhop Corners Page:http://w3.gwis.com/~joelr/gear.htm
... -.-

4441. Re: BC800XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: kut7bh@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990610122545.01168.00000218@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 115809

>Subject: BC800XLT
>From: steve roach <stevero@inetone.net>
>Date: Tue, 08 June 1999 08:21 AM EDT
>Message-id: <375D0AE7.F92B900C@inetone.net>
>
>Just got a bc800xlt w no manuals. Could someone point me to a web site
>or other location to get operators manual.
>
>Thanks Steve

Steve,
An owner's manual for your BC800XLT (great scanner, by the way, except for its susceptibility to strong signal overload) may be available at the Online Store at http://www.uniden.com. Although the BC800XLT has been out of production for a few years, you may still be able to order a copy of the owner's manual. Just be sure to enter the model number of the scanner in the "comments" section of the Uniden web site order form.

73,
David

4442. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tennessee Breeze <crevere@cafes.net>
Message-ID: <375FEB28.5E5E79BB@cafes.net>
Source record: 115810

Does anyone have police frequencies for the Winchester-Tullahoma Tenn areas?

4443. FS PRO-2005 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "csc@smartt.com" <csc@smartt.com>
Message-ID: <37604FD5.1D4A@smartt.com>
Source record: 115811

For Sale

Realistic PRO-2005 scanner.

Very good condition.

Complete with manual, telescopic antenna, original box and packaging.

$250 US plus shipping.

Please reply to csc@smartt.com if interested.

4444. Help with old Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Henry <hdarr@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <375FF165.C56F2DCC@mindspring.com>
Source record: 115812

I'm in need of some help with an old Bearcat 210 XLT Scanner (Radio), it was in
excellent condition. The operative word is WAS, a friend had borrowed it and hooked it
up with reverse voltage. Using d.c. in he had the positive and negative reversed, he said it
just when pop then nothing. Any suggestions ??? I have looked but can find no obvious
fuses or burnt out components. Is their a way to bring this little old scanner back to life.

Any help would be appreciated,

Thanks,

Henry

4445. Re: Transmit on Fast Food Drive-Thru?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net>
Message-ID: <375FDBA8.907DA8E6@jps.net>
Source record: 115813
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

jedi_force@webtv.net wrote:

> Is there a way to transmit on cordless fast food places like McDonalds
> or Taco Bell or Jack in the Box? If there is, whats the freqs to
> transmit?
> Thanks!

Here are some freqs. un use for some of the fast food establishments.
Different freqs are used at different locations.

Name Frequencies
==========

Arbys 457.550 154.570

Burger King 30.840 154.570 457.60 467.825

Dairy Queen 30.840 154.57

Hardees 30.840 154.570 35.020 154.600

Kentucky Fried Chicken 30.840 154.570 457.600 460.8875
462.750 465.8875

McDonalds 30.840 154.570 31.000
35.020 154.600
33.140 151.895
151.715 151.775 154.700 169.445
457.550 467.775

Taco Bell 457.5375 457.5500 460.8875 461.0375
461.0875 461.5375 468.3875 469.9625
464.9625 466.0375 466.0875 466.5375

Wendys 460.8875 461.0875 465.8875 466.087

In-N-Out Burger

154.5150, 154.5400, 154.5700, 457.5375, 460.88750, 461.0875, 461.5375,
462.1625, 462.7625, 465.88750, 466.08750, 466.53750, 467.16250, 467.88750,
468.23750, 468.38750, 468.46250

4446. Re: Alpha Display Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jos6siam1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115814

Rich Wells, N2MCA wrote in message <375F9A9B.CBD2CBB9@strongsignals.net>...
>And RE2000Alpha, IC-R10 and MVT-9000.
>
>Go to my Scanner Features page and look under Alpha-tags for
>a more comprehensive listing:
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/features.htm
>

Oh... See I knew I'd forget to list some...

Thanks Rich!

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4447. HELP need info on rovering in VHF Contest from CA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <375ff4ea.1604807@news.hughes.net>
Source record: 115815

Hello,

This is Mike, KQ6MU.
My plans have changed for the contest.
I was going to go up with a friend on a mountaintop, but
now I may be going ROVER in California.
I would like to get some pointers and hints on where to set up
my antennas for the following grids:

DM03, DM13, DM23, DM24, DM25, DM05, & DM15.

These are the order of which that I will be traveling through these
girds for this weekend's VHF QSO Party!!!
Maybe someone out there could give me good places, such
as a park or an empty lot\field, to set up my radios and antennas.
Please tell me what city to go in.
I don't think that we will be able to go on mountain peaks, but ridges
are ok too. 2 reasons: too much time to get to a mountaintop, plus
a Ford Escort is the vehicle that I will be in.

If you can help me, please E-mail me:

mikrounit@hughes.net

I would greatly appreciate it!!!
Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU
DM04 Palmdale, CA USA

4448. Programmable scanners for computers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Laughlin III <kerhop@oz.net>
Message-ID: <375ff9bf@news2.foxinternet.net>
Source record: 115816
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Some time ago, I recall reading about programmable scanners for computers. It was software driven to select frequencies and (despite the debate regarding this) it had the capability to monitor a frequency and capture/record what is heard for review later. It was able to monitor multiple frequencies and kept each WAV file associated with the channel it was heard on. Are these still available on the market?

4449. Re: HELP EVERYBODY (New binary scanner group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <mike@nospam.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <4NV8xAAMI$X3EwL4@g7hid.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 115817

In article <7jmrrmsgd1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>, Phil Smalley
<ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> writes

(...)

>The only use I could see for a binary scanner group is that it would be an
>accepted place to post zip files containing freq's, programs, etc.

Right..

> I think
>however, that this proposed scanner group is for image scanners only, not
>radio scanning. I could be wrong however....

Wrong..

alt.binaries.radio-scanner

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
Email: Replace 'nospam' with 'g7hid' : don't fix it !

4450. Belgium freq.list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: pjcarels <pjcarels@zeelandnet.nl>
Message-ID: <376007b1$0$10136@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 115818

see http://www.geocities.com/Broadway/Wing/6246/scanja5/

4451. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: seek@help.retard
Message-ID: <3763e429.88363372@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 10 Jun 1999 04:16:23 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Macaroon, you always like to call "lie" yet you can never post ANYTHING
>to support your accusation of lie!

I don't have to. All anyone has to do is read your drivel. It's self
explanatory and loaded with back-peddling and direct lies.

>You, MACAROON, are the LAST person who can complain about people
>being off topic as you have NEVER posted anything on topic!!!!

Once again, you mental midget, NOT UNDER THIS ID. I know you'll never
understand that and are incapable of doing so, but it needs repeating.

>If you want
>to say you have then post the dejanews link to your on-topic post for us
>all to see!

Nice try. You've failed 73 challenges of mine in doing exactly that. The day
you complete one of them, I'll consider listening to your mental spew.

>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Another lie in the same post.
>>
>> Once again, you post drivel that is irrelevant to scanning. Always have,
>> always will. You couldn't even provide a dejanews link. How predictable and
>> laughable of you.

4452. Re: HELP EVERYBODY (New binary scanner group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jp2di$l4m$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115820

Mike Burgess wrote in message <4NV8xAAMl$X3EwL4@g7hid.demon.co.uk>...
>
>Wrong..
>
>alt.binaries.radio-scanner
>

Ah! In that case then, I stand corrected!

I don't get any of the binary groups here at work, so I couldn't check the original message (I could have used Dejanews, but that damn thing is so slow.... Just didn't feel like it - my bad.)

Sound like a good idea...

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4453. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don W6JL <W6JL@ixpres.com>
Message-ID: <37600D01.1CFF@ixpres.com>
Source record: 115821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> >> Sir wrote in message <373B7360.5E20@sir.com>...
> >> >The technician license does NOT allow you to use ANY of the Ham bands
> >> >below 6 meters.
>
> Which only amounts to 3.75 MHz, less than 1% of all amateur spectrum.
>
> >> >Thus you DO have to pass a Morse Code test if you want
> >> >to be a HAM without restrictions. A technician license is like a
> >> >fishing license that only allows you to fish in a small fraction of
> >> >small lakes.
>
> Those "small lakes" are over 99% of our total alloted spectrum.
>
> Jeff KH6O

...and these "small lakes" are the least utilized, with vast empty spaces, even on 70 CM. And in demand commercially. Hence, the most vulnerable to being lost.
The HF ham bands are heavily utilized on a world-wide simultaneous-use basis, and provide for non-channelized, unlimited time one-on-one QSO's without using a shared infrastructure like repeaters, and using a small fraction of the bandwidth. They are also the least sought after for commercial use, hence we have actually gained more bands over the years (12, 17, 30 meters).
It is understandable then that many of us consider HF operation an essential (for some of us the only desirable) ham radio spectrum in which to operate.

73,
Don, W6JL

4454. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7jp2t5$2g3@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <3763e429.88363372@enews.newsguy.com> seek@help.retard (Macaroon)
writes:

>>>
>>> Once again, you post drivel that is irrelevant to scanning. Always
have,
>>> always will. You couldn't even provide a dejanews link. How
predictable and
>>> laughable of you.
>

Hello Mrs. Macarroni:

Say, can u see
by the red eye
so vividly

could you,
would you
can you,
shall you,
hopefully quit this
bit?

the process was working so swell.
Kindly refrain from this expanse of
nothingness to attain.
If you stay quiet, it goes away.

Notice how quiet it has been for the last
few weeks...please be quiet so the rest of
the NG no longer be "infected" by you
know who....in memory of the Cheek Guy.

bill conduit
etc.

4455. Wal-Mart Freq. Wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul L <merlet@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <7jp3uh$5en$1@newshost.lanl.gov>
Source record: 115823

Does anyone have the freq. for those hand helds used in Wal-Marts or do they different for each location?

4456. Re: Upper and Lower Sideband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick <nick@i.hate.spam>
Message-ID: <7jp4gr$qg9$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 115824
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

BlackKojak wrote in message <7jmaft$9a5$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>As you can see, this thread is being cross-posted to hell and gone.
>As is this reply!

Yeah and can we stop posting 5Kb of quoted text with our 2-liners please ?

4457. Re: RS PRO-2042 features

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37601421$0$2482@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 115825

Back when I lived on top of a tall hill, I was able to pick up cell images
on the Pro-2042 in the 1100 mHZ range.

Paul <pwolsko@nac.net> wrote in message news:nFA73.284$tr.1937@nntp1...
> Anyone know of any undocumented features of the RS PRO-2042?
>
> --
> Paul
> Lat 40.948611, Lon -74.661414
> Drake R8B, RS PRO-2042
>
>

4458. Re: Wal-Mart Freq. Wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jp52q$m1s$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115826

Paul L wrote in message <7jp3uh$5en$1@newshost.lanl.gov>...
>Does anyone have the freq. for those hand helds used in Wal-Marts or do
they
>different for each location?
>
>

Try these:
Wal-Mart - 151.6250 467.7625
467.7500 467.7750
467.8000 467.8250
467.8500 467.8750
467.9000

Got the above info at: http://www.webzone.net/dshuffy/wscompanies.htm so
credit is given where due. ;-)

Also try 154.5700 and 154.6000 (My own personal finds here in Phoenix)

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4459. Re: Wal-Mart Freq. Wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37601976.AEA@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115827

Paul L wrote:
>
> Does anyone have the freq. for those hand helds used in Wal-Marts or do they
> different for each location?

Check out the following article which was posted to the group back on
January 16th of 1997. It contains the frequencies for all Wal-Mart
stores nationwide.

http://x37.deja.com/[ST_rn=ps]/getdoc.xp?AN=210455359&CONTEXT=929044613.58589295&hitnum=0

4460. Re: Programmable scanners for computers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990610161321.18802.00000158@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 115828

Yes these are still around. WinRadio is one and Icom makes another. You can find ads in Monitoring times and Pop Comm. magazines if not on the net.

4461. Re: Programmable scanners for computers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Kilgore <kilgore@dev.tivoli.com>
Message-ID: <37601072.4D734CFF@dev.tivoli.com>
Source record: 115829
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Check out Listening Post at www.lpcomm.com.

Jeff

Frank Laughlin III wrote:
>
> Some time ago, I recall reading about programmable scanners for computers.
> It was software driven to select frequencies and (despite the debate
> regarding this) it had the capability to monitor a frequency and
> capture/record what is heard for review later. It was able to monitor
> multiple frequencies and kept each WAV file associated with the channel it
> was heard on. Are these still available on the market?

4462. Re: What's the BEST scanner on the market today?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11c9cc84d6eda25a9897e6@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I would have to say, without hesitation, the Optocom by Optoelectronics. This of course assumes that you have a computer and don't have a problem with using it as a computer controlled unit. It has superior sensitivity, intermod rejection, build quality and last but not least the greatest amount of upgradability so you won't be buying an obsolete unit. Optoelectronics has already added a "bitbanger" circuit for better Windows software compatibility and EDACS use. It scan speed is REAL FAST and not just fast but accurate. Also soon they will have a internal plug in "frequency counter" for it as well. I have had nearly all that is out there over the last several years and this unit is TOPS!

4463. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: mking@sisna.com
Message-ID: <37601fa3.22693671@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 115831

test
My email and webpage:

http://www.cc.utah.edu/~mk4522

mking@sisna.com

4464. Cabling question....?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: mking@sisna.com
Message-ID: <37601fdf.22754078@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 115832

I have a cone antenna and I want to get good cable for it but that
won't have alot of dB loss ...Radio shack only has like RG-58 and that
has a dB loss of 4.2. Is there a national store that has a selection
of better cable and if you would recommend what type of cable I should
get and how much it might cost....thanks in advance
My email and webpage:

http://www.cc.utah.edu/~mk4522

mking@sisna.com

4465. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: marcus.akesson@no_spam_please.home.se
Message-ID: <37662423.983829722@wingate>
Source record: 115833

On Wed, 09 Jun 1999 13:48:59 GMT, no@mail.com (Tank) wrote:

>On Wed, 09 Jun 1999 12:51:46 GMT, marcus.akesson@home.se (Marcus AAkesson)
>wrote:

>>Nothing is 100% secure. The phone is probably some sort of Direct
>>sequence SS, wich in itself is a lot less secure than frequency
>>hopping. So, with the right hardware, it's probably not that hard.
>>There's probably no encryption in the system, just a (for now)
>>unusual modulation.
>
>You don't have a clue about what spread spectrum is based on your reply.

Really...

>It has NOTHING to do with frequency hopping (which is not secure at all).

As used in many military and civilian high-security comm systems it is
one of the most secure transmission methods around, especially with
proprietary, often changed hopping sequences.

>There is no such thing as "direct sequence" spread spectrum.

Go tell that to Aironet and Lucent, they seem to need Your infinite
wisdom since their products obviously exist!

From http://www.wavelan.com/about/wavelan.html

"WaveLAN wireless communication is based on a radio transmission
method called Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum (DSSS) Radio Frequency
(RF) technology. WaveLAN products are developed in accordance with US,
European and Japanese regulations for radio transmission, using the
915 MHz and 2.4 GHz frequency band for Wide Band Data Systems. "

I suggest You read up a bit, for example the 802.11 Wlan standard. It
defines two substandards, DSSS Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum and
Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum.

>In a nutshell, spread spectrum works by taking the audio to be transmitted and
>breaks it up over the "spectrum" and transmits each portion over a different
>frequency simultaneously. Most spread spectrum phones are transmitting on 25
>different freqs AT THE SAME TIME. Nothing like a 25 channel phone that only
>uses 1 at a time.

From a digital phone, You havn't got any audio to transmit, just a
stream of digital data. How do You get that data across a wide
frequency band? Go look it up.

I'm not positively saying that this phone uses the excact same
modulation as defined as direct sequence, but the ttechnology is
similar, and its definitely not "100% secure"

So, i stand by my original statement:

>>So, with the right hardware, it's probably not that hard.
>>There's probably no encryption in the system, just a (for now)
>>unusual modulation.

/Marcus

4466. Re: Wal-Mart Freq. Wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>"@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <37601747.1CA5DADD@qsl.net>
Source record: 115834

"Robert H. Eisner" wrote:
>
> Paul L wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone have the freq. for those hand helds used in Wal-Marts or do they
> > different for each location?
>
> Check out the following article which was posted to the group back on
> January 16th of 1997. It contains the frequencies for all Wal-Mart
> stores nationwide.
>
>
http://x37.deja.com/[ST_rn=ps]/getdoc.xp?AN=210455359&CONTEXT=929044613.58589295&hitnum=0

Our local Wal-Mart Super Center uses a frequency not listed on the
national list. Our local is 151.995 MHz so if you have a scanner that
can search for active frequencies you might check out all the business
bands just in case!
--

"73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
<'}}}>< <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}>< <'}}}><
E-Mail me at: kb9tmp<AT>@qsl.net
Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
Church URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/
Wonder Valley Camp URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in2/wvc

4467. Re: BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: dwarren2@n0.spam.rochester.rr.com
Message-ID: <a%W73.2717$_U4.31965@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 115835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <375F336A.43B9E948@cybersurfers.net>, John Wooten
<john@cybersurfers.net> wrote:
>Hey Dan where did you get it for that price?
>
>John W
> john@cybersurfers.net
>
>Dan W wrote:
>>
>> In article <7j9skq$4l3$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>, "joker535"
> <joker535@mindspring.com> wrote:
>> >yes national electronics is taking pre-orders for $209.95 + $9.00 s+h.
>> >
>> >you can contact them at:
>> >
>> >sales@national-electronics.com
>> >
>> >I bought my 235 from them last christmas and I was impressed with the
>> >service and quick delivery. I am moving to a non-trunked area and will be
>> >selling my 235 with all of the original stuff, box, vehicle cord and glove
>> >leather case for $160.00 plus shipping from VA. if anyone is interested.
>> >Thanks
>>
>> Little steep, I just paid $140 incuding shipping for one.

I was referring to the 235xlt

_
(_) Daniel Warren, RPh
_______// Marion NY
(________) Clinical Staff Pharmacist - Strong Memorial Hospital
\ / dwarren@frontiernet.net
| Rx |
/______\
(________)

4468. Re: F/S: GRE CONVERTER 9001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: casper@superlink.net
Message-ID: <7jpemp$2kcm$1@earth.superlink.net>
Source record: 115836

It is indeed an increase. However, the asking price includes express overnite
shipping & insurance. If it seems too much, $95.00 will get it to you
2 Day Priority mail.
Thanks for the insight Joey.

Luciano

In article <19990609180648.16932.00002757@ng-fz1.aol.com>, shack23@aol.com
(Shack23) wrote:

> casper@superlink.net (Luciano) wrote:
>
> >Hello everyone!
> >
> >I have a GRE 9001 converter for sale.
> >It is made for base receivers.
> >The unit is new and has not been used.
> >Box and all accessaries are included.
> >
> >Again this unit is NEW. No scratches and
> >is in perfect condition.
> >
> >All my radios has the 800 mhz portion
> >so I'd like to sell this device.
> >
> >$110.00 includes shipping + insurance.
>
> A SLIGHT increase over the original price, but I don't blame you...These
things
> are hard to find now. I have one of the PORTABLE versions, the 8001, and I am
> KEEPING MINE! Good luck...Joey...

4469. Interested in Assembled PROgramit??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Montalbano <jrmont1@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7jpf8p$d9d$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115837

PROgramit is an inexpensive computer interface that is compatible with almost any scanner. My WEB pages at http://www.qsl.net/ka2pyj describe how to build and install one for yourself. The project has been active for over three years now, and although over 300 people have built PROgramit and a few new ones are built each week, I suspect there are people who have visited the site and decided that they were not capable of building and/or installing the interface on their own.

In order to determine the interest level, I am offering assembled and tested boards to anyone who orders between now and July 15th, 1999. Pricing is:

$59.95 for the parallel version assembled and tested
$69.95 for the serial version assembled and tested.

Price includes SW and shipping.

Contact me at jrmont1@worldnet.att.net for further details.

If installation is your problem, let's discuss.

John M.
KA2PYJ

4470. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7jph51$86m@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <orpo-10069915120200001@i48-12-14.pdx.du.teleport.com> orpo@aol.com
(yargo) writes:
>
>x-no-archive: yes
>a[9w486p98yu
>
>In article <7jp2t5$2g3@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>,
>myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
>
>
>> Hello Mrs. Macarroni:
>>
>> Say, can u see
>> by the red eye
>> so vividly
>
>
>So here you go stirring up the pot again.

What was asked was simple, this:

Leave the "troubled One" alone
and he will be fulfilled.

keep him out of the equation
and he will honor our wishes.

how is that for succinctness?

bill conduit
etc.

4471. Miami FL 800 mhz system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: N6RHL@mho.net
Message-ID: <375f12d2.174830026@news.mho.net>
Source record: 115877
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I recently visited the palm beach/miami Fl area on vacation. While there I toted along my Pro-90 for trunk tracking. I was able to track most of the dept in which I programmed, however I have one question regarding the Miami system. Knowing that this system was a type 1 system, I took the advice of the freq list that I had with me and programmed the fleetmap to 14 and 6 i believe it was (i don't have my notes with me right now). Anyhow, I entered the fleet map as the freq list indicated and I was able to program police and fire Trunk ID until I got to the 100-11 for the pd.. Each time I entered anything about 100-11 such as 11, 12 etc.. it would enter 000-1 instead. I was not able to monitor anything above the 100-11 on the pd subfleet. all of the 000-x and 400-x (i think it was 400 or 300 for the FD) without a problem. However I could not get anything to program above 100-11.. Can someone that is familiar with the system tell me what I was doing wrong... I will be heading back there in about 6 months and would like to have it figured out by then.

Please reply via private email since I do not usually read the newgroups. Thanks, Dave. private email n6rhl@mho.net

Thanks...

Dave...

4472. CANADIAN SCANNER DEALERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <19990609212759.28196.00001453@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 115878

Anyone know of a dealer in the niagra falls/toronto area that sells scanner radio's... Any help would be appreciated.....Thanks.....Respond to group.....TV
SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

4473. Re: Sportcat Factory Setting Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbirdsand@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990609214507.27964.00002524@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 115879

On mine you can press" 0" then" enter."
Randy

4474. Re: the ar dept of corrections freqz??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7jn7cm$4n2$0@208.207.68.39>
Source record: 115880

On Tue, 8 Jun 1999 20:18:56 -0500, "jms54dls" <jms54dls@gateway.net>
wrote:

>any body in the state of Arkansas knows the freq. of the AR dept of
>correction ??

I know they are still on lowband, but slated for upgrade when the
State Troopers get their new digital system. That of course, may
be a number of years, they are just now stumping for the bond
issue.

The Warden and tac team of each facility also has radios on the local
Law enforcement channels, the Motorola M1225 being the radio of
choice. In addition to that, they have 800 Mhz capability on the
state troopers trunking system.

4475. Re: Upper and Lower Sideband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3761246f.9798415@news.gate.net>
Source record: 115881
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 9 Jun 1999 18:02:33 +1000, "The_Scanman" <wombe_raider@yahoo.com> wrote:

*LOL sorry.....look at the newsgroup name.....pay particular attn to
*the AUS section of the name the AUS section means that this is an Australian
*Newsgroup.....although anyone and everyone is welcome to join in....share
*or ask information it would be my guess they would be talking about
*Australia NOT the UK
****

May I suggest if you want to keep from answering inquiiries from other neww groups, then quit cross posting your messages. Not only did you post you measage to aus.radio.scanner you posted it to alt.radio.scanner.uk as well as rec.radio.cb from where I have read your mesaage. Before you criticize one for strange inquiries, you should relaize where your message is being posted to. If you post to multiple groups you may get replies that may not be in the full thread that you intended for.

Regards
James

****
*
*-JH
*
*
*David P (D) wrote in message ...
*>urm... SSB CB is illegal in the UK isnt it?
*>or aren't we talking about the uk?
*>
*>I haven't been following this thread!
*>
*>DavidP
*>OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <375DB338.2933@ameritech.net>...
*>>OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
*>>>
*>>> The_Scanman wrote:
*>>> >
*>>> > i have no experience in scanning usb/lsb but my guess is youll ehar
*>usb/lsb
*>>> > CB channels...
*>>> >
*>>> > hope this helps
*>>> >
*>>> > -JH

*>>> >
*>>> > Possums wrote in message <375b5ccc.0@news.highway1.com.au>...
*>>> > >The scanner I am using allows you to scan in USB and LSB modes. But
*>the
*>>> > >question I am asking is what will I hear on a frequency that is using
*>>> > >usb/lsb if I am not set to the correct mode (say if I was on AM or
*>M)
*>>> > >What would I hear? or would it just pass it by completely?
*>>> > >I dont use these modes much and I was wondering if I was missing out.
*>>> > >
*>>> > >Thanks for any help.
*>>> > >
*>>> > >
*>>> > >
*>>> > >
*>>> Greetings from the States.
*>>> Upper and Lower Side band can be used on H.F. and V.H.F. and maybe
*>>> U.H.F. I guess it all depends on what country you are. Side band is
*>>> usually used in conjuction with A.M.. A.M. contains a carrier wave and
*>>> two side bands-upper and lower. Now here is the situation:
*>>> You are transmitting on 27.4050 Megacycles in A.M. mode.
*>>> (27.4050 or Channel 40 is the Upper limit of the Citizens' Band in the
*>>> States.) and you are using 5 watts. The Channel is clear and there is
*>>> only you and your friend on the air. All of a sudden you get an inkling
*>>> to raise your power but you know that Linear Amplifiers arre illegal.
*>>> So what do you do, you switch to sideband. It doesn't matter if it is
*>>> lower or upper. Now, all of a sudden you are transmitting farther. How
*>>> did this happen? Well this is do to the fact that by transmitting in
*>>> sideband, you have instructed your radio to quit transmitting one side
*>>> band and the carrier wave but you are still trasmitting at 5 watts.
*>>> Well that signal will go farther becasue it does not " weigh " as much.
*>>> Same thing works for the H.F. bands and V.H.F.. Now because you ar
*>>> listening to this on a scanner, you have to fiddle around with the
*>>> clarifying nob to adjust the voice. If you do not do this then you will
*>>> get what sounds like a scrambled voice. If you get it just right, then
*>>> you will have succeded in hearing a normal voice. Remember though a
*>>> sideband signal is much narrower then a regular A.M. signal.
*>>> There is also a method called Amplitude Comparnded Side Band which is
*>>> used in the 220-222 Megacycle range in the States and at one time; I
*>>> read or heard about Independent sideband. Independent Sideband, from
*>>> what I understand, lets you transmit/recieve to different signals at the
*>>> same time. Well somebody that know more then I will be able to tell you
*>>> better. Also it seems that most Mores Code signals are in sideband.
*>>> Why? I do not know. Well I am not a Rocket Scientist. Hopes this
*>>> helps. I am not a Radio Enginner this is just based on what I read in
*>>> books, magazines, and on; of course, the internet/www.
*>>> --
*>>> REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
*>>> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
*>>

*>>--
*>>REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
*>>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
*>
*>
*

4476. Re: Upper and Lower Sideband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3762265d.10291775@news.gate.net>
Source record: 115882
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Very nice desciption Micheal. On addition that I could make that really clouds the waters but is wuite true. Most CBer think that SSB is a another form of modulation. It is not. It is AM or amplitude modulation. The rest of your post very concisely describes how the transmitter removes the carrier and unwanted sideband.

regards
James

On Wed, 9 Jun 1999 13:07:52 -0500, "Michael Young" <nobody@all.org> wrote:

*As a community service from your local radio amateur:
*
*AM is the acronym for amplitude modulation. For voice transmissions, the
*radio frequency (RF) carrier wave is modulated with the audio frequency
*wave form. This is one of the oldest, and most direct ways to get your
*voice from here to there.
*
*Modulating the carrier means to mix the audio signal with the RF
*carrier. Mixing two waveforms produces two additional frequencies: one
*each at the sum and difference of the two waveforms. The carrier is not
*actually changed by mixing. Thus, mixing a 1 kHz audio signal with a 1
*MHz carrier generates two additional signals: the upper sideband at
*1.001 MHz, and the lower sideband at 0.999 MHz. Both sidebands carry the
*same information. The carrier, being unchanged, contains no information.
*SSB transmitters filter out the redundant sideband and carrier, and
*passes only one sideband to the RF power amplifier. This has two very
*desirable effects on the transmitted waveform. First, it is much
*narrower, and makes better use of the available RF bandwidth. Second,
*because it is narrower, the same input power to the amplifier results in
*a stronger output signal.
*
*Reception is made a little more complicated by removing some of the
*information. Normally, simply filtering and rectifying an AM
*transmission is enough to recover the audio waveform. An SSB receiver
*injects a pseudo-carrier, from the BFO, into the signal. Again, sum and
*difference frequencies are generated by mixing the two signals. By
*carefully choosing the BFO frequency, the difference signal approximates
*the original transmitted audio signal.
*
*CW is an unmodulated carrier, pulsed on and off by Morse code keying.
*Thus, the transmitted waveform is a pure tone, in theory requiring only
*the narrowest of RF bandwidth. The sharp rise and fall times from keying

*generates harmonics, but these are more or less easily filtered out. On
*the receiving end, the pulsed signal is mixed with a pseudo-carrier,
*again from the BFO. The resulting difference waveform is what you hear
*as Morse code on the radio.
*
*I hope this helps. It doesn't directly answer the original question
*about scanning, but perhaps there is enough information here to
*reasonably infer what you need to know.
*
*Michael.
*

4477. Re: What's the BEST scanner on the market today?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jngcv$896$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <375F326F.25AA0E36@YoungVisions.com>,
Rob <rcy@YoungVisions.com> wrote:
> ...what's the BEST scanner?

The one that covers your region's frequencies you want to hear.

Seriously. What are your requirements? Do a little homework, and see what frequencies are being used by the folks/departments/agencies YOU want to monitor.

THEN start looking for scanners!

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4478. Re: CHP running Volvo S90s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Solarpower1watt@Juno.com
Message-ID: <928987314.796.21@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115884

```
>> What is so slick about a freaking Volvo? They are forgien cars.
>> That alone makes them stink.
>> Crown Vics, or Caprice Clasics all the way.
>> >

Yeah, right... and where was all the stuff in your computer made???

Ed

REPLY INFO:
============================================================
Return address modified to prevent automatic SPAM response.
TO REPLY: remove the "watt" from my return address.
============================================================
```

4479. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <375F3D67.910A5B0B@usa.net>
Source record: 115885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Macaroon, you always like to call "lie" yet you can never post ANYTHING
to support your accusation of lie!

You, MACAROON, are the LAST person who can complain about people
being off topic as you have NEVER posted anything on topic!!!! If you want
to say you have then post the dejanews link to your on-topic post for us
all to see!

Macaroon wrote:

> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Another lie in the same post.
>
> Once again, you post drivel that is irrelevant to scanning. Always have,
> always will. You couldn't even provide a dejanews link. How predictable and
> laughable of you.

4480. Re: Transmit on Fast Food Drive-Thru?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990610004347.26848.00002839@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 115886

check out this link
http://exo.com/~rbarron/fast.html

4481. Re: What's the BEST scanner on the market today?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob <rcy@YoungVisions.com>
Message-ID: <375F4E10.6CED7C6B@YoungVisions.com>
Source record: 115887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Do you think the "Icom PCR-1000" would be a suitable substitute? You don't get 1300-2000Mhz or the scope but you do save
$6,000.00 US. This is assuming you have a quick computer to run the scanner....

"Bud (the original)" wrote:

> On Wed, 09 Jun 1999 22:35:11 -0500, Rob <rcy@YoungVisions.com> wrote:
>
> >I was wondering I could get some of your opinions on what scanner
> >you thought was the best on the market today.
> >Looking for one with most current features, widest freq. range,
> >fastest scan rate etc. Price not a factor.
>
> Icom R-9000, IF you can find one. But at $6K plus, it's not something
> everyone would have.
>
> Plus, it lacks the ability to trunk-track.
>
> TO reply via e-mail, change the I in the address to an A.
> CA antispam laws went into effect Jan 1, 1999.
> Violators may be liable for both fines AND jail time for spamming this account.

4482. Re: What's the BEST scanner on the market today?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-10 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@gnc.net>
Message-ID: <375F5559.EBE09CB0@gnc.net>
Source record: 115888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Check out this link:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm

What works for you may not work for me. Do you have
an EDACS or Motorola Trunked system in your area? Do
you listen to military aircraft? Do you want computer
controlled? This question is impossible to answer, except
to say you need to be more specific about your ideal radio's
requirements before a specific model can be mentioned.

Another thing to keep in mind is no one scanner can do
everything well. Always a compromise. That's why many
of us have multiple scanners. The link above is very
informative. I've learned a lot from Rich.

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Rob wrote:

> I was wondering I could get some of your opinions on what scanner you thought was the
best on the market today.
> Looking for one with most current features, widest freq. range, fastest scan rate etc.
Price not a factor.
>
> Thanks

4483. Re: National Emerg. Warning system Freq.`s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3761AFF3.6205@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115639

Alan McFerrin wrote:
>
> They are the LEERN & Interzone frequencies. Specifically, I don't know
> which ones are used in your area, but the one's licensed statewide are:
> 154.935, 154.680, 155.370, 155.470 (Nationwide calling)
> Central OH uses 154.935 & also the Cincy area.
>
> And for 800 MHz, 866.5125, 867.0125, 867.5125, 868.0125, 868.5125. Although
> OSP has not officially started using them, most cities using 800 MHz program
> them into their radios.
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <37525A7F.4A3F@ameritech.net>...
> >Scan MHZ wrote:
> >>
> >> Regional net 1: 173.1875 rptr input
> >> 167.9750 rptr out
> >> Regional net 2: 167.9750 simplex
> >> Regional net 3: 169.8750 simplex
> >> Regional net 4: 167.9250 simplex
> >>
> >> Happy
> scanning.....USA
> >>
> >> scanning USA.....MHz
> >Well thanks for the info but what is this National Emergency stuff and
> >what frequencies would be used in Norther Ohio/
> >--
> >REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
There are a few 800 systems in use here already:

Cleveland-DIGITAL
Parma/Brooklyn (& Linndale ?) GE
Southwest burbs--Type II
Lake and Geauga Counties--Type I
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4484. Re: National Emerg. Warning system Freq.`s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3761B0AF.25AF@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115640

Alan McFerrin wrote:
>
> They are the LEERN & Interzone frequencies. Specifically, I don't know
> which ones are used in your area, but the one's licensed statewide are:
> 154.935, 154.680, 155.370, 155.470 (Nationwide calling)
> Central OH uses 154.935 & also the Cincy area.
>
> And for 800 MHz, 866.5125, 867.0125, 867.5125, 868.0125, 868.5125. Although
> OSP has not officially started using them, most cities using 800 MHz program
> them into their radios.
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <37525A7F.4A3F@ameritech.net>...
> >Scan MHZ wrote:
> >>
> >> Regional net 1: 173.1875 rptr input
> >> 167.9750 rptr out
> >> Regional net 2: 167.9750 simplex
> >> Regional net 3: 169.8750 simplex
> >> Regional net 4: 167.9250 simplex
> >>
> >> Happy
> scanning.....USA
> >>
> >> scanning USA.....MHz
> >Well thanks for the info but what is this National Emergency stuff and
> >what frequencies would be used in Norther Ohio/
> >--
> >REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4485. Re: Wal-Mart Freq. Wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <3761B3EB.778072B@globe-net.net>
Source record: 115641

Not true. Visit this link:

http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/aviation&marine/pubcst.html

Robert

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:

> Well that is against the law dude
>
> Danny R. Barnes wrote:
> >
> > Some stores use handheld marine radios.
> >
> > Paul L wrote:

4486. Re: MORSE CODE AMND TALK GROUPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3761B2B6.D549D51F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115642
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

they are transmitted but only when the repeater id's the control channel
will not say to the radio that the channel is in use to your trunk tracker
won't stop on it
I hope you understand this.

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:

> Why is it that you cannot hear the Morse Code I.D.s even if you have all
> the talk groups programmed into your scanner. Thanks for the help.
> --
> REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

4487. Bay County, Fl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael <paracop@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <3761A706.56A48E0E@sprynet.com>
Source record: 115643

Does anyone have the 10 code list for Bay County S/O, Panama City, and Panama City Beach PD? I am new to the area and really need these. Any help would be appriciated.
Michael

4488. Re: Wal-Mart Freq. Wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3761B511.426F@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115644

Robert wrote:
>
> Not true. Visit this link:
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/aviation&marine/pubcst.html
>
> Robert
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>
> > Well that is against the law dude
> >
> > Danny R. Barnes wrote:
> > >
> > > Some stores use handheld marine radios.
> > >
> > > Paul L wrote:
Dude,
That little message was nice but what you don't understand is that the
html link mentions the " PUBLIC SWITCHED NETWORK ". That measn that it
is okay to use the radios for PHONE CALLS. I am sure that they had
shipowners in mind when that HTTML link was posted.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4489. Re: Need help looking for Mountain in California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3761B40D.8DF3542A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115645

Onyx Peak is great. Not a great deal of transmitter up there and fairly
easy access. Got any questions give me a call for directions
IT is only a couple miles from Big Bear.
760-630-1606

Lethal Lextor wrote:

> On Thu, 03 Jun 1999 23:20:41 GMT, mikrounit@hughes.net (KQ6MU) wrote:
>
> >Hello,
> >
> >We need some help trying to find a good mountain top in California
> >for the VHF QSO party coming up in about a week.
> >We are looking for a good spot in Los Angeles County,
> >San Bernadino County, Kern County, or
> >Ventura County. If you could give some suggestions,
> >we would greatly appreciate it!!!
> >
>
> I hope you don't come around where I live!!!
>
> >Please send you suggestion to:
> >
> >mikrounit@hughes.net
> >
> >Thanks & 73,
> >
> >Mike Green KQ6MU
> >DM04 Palmdale, CA

4490. Re: Bill Cheeks NG, now that's an idea.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3761B003.11893332@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115646

It is getting old. Some people just need to get a life and get on with thiers.

"Paul L." wrote:

> How about someone creating a Bill Cheeks NewsGroup. That way we don't
> have to download hundreds of useless posts. I don't know Bill, don't
> want to know Bill, and don't want to know anything about bill. I do want
> to read about scanners here. This Bill thing is getting old..... or
> maybe I am. I just can't believe that so many people can get so upset by
> this guy... I'm not for or against Bill, I'm just tired of seeing so
> much of the NG being taken up by this topic. Man I hope this ends
> soon....

4491. Re: 10 Code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3761B097.8283B4CB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115647

Where do you live Gary. I can help you out. It just depends where you live. Not all 10 codes are the same. One place might use one 10 code different from others.

Gary Mitchell wrote:

> Is there a site that lists the 10 codes? I know there are several different
> sets but I can't find a site that has any listed.
> Thanks
> GM

4492. Re: MORSE CODE AMND TALK GROUPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3761B665.69@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115648
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Trevor Harris wrote:
>
> they are transmitted but only when the repeater id's the control channel
> will not say to the radio that the channel is in use to your trunk tracker
> won't stop on it
> I hope you understand this.
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>
> > Why is it that you cannot hear the Morse Code I.D.s even if you have all
> > the talk groups programmed into your scanner. Thanks for the help.
> > --
> > REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> > ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP
Not really. please post again
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4493. Re: TELEVISION NEWS PROGRAM ABOUT CORDLESS PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3761B6A3.6779@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115649

Catboat333 wrote:
>
> You shouldn't be the one to send anyone any message with that saurkraut
> message. It's tired
I will send anything I want, Mr. Censor.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4494. Re: what freq does the nextels run on?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3761B597.1C54731F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115650

they operate in the cell phone band. While working at one of thier sites
I looked at the radio station license and it was for freq's in the cell
phone band. But keep in mind it is in digital.

Brad Thomas wrote:

> can someone tell me alittle bit more about nextell?
> does the twoway portion operate in dif range of reqs then
> then cellular or vice versa or is it almost like trunking?
> and info relating to how they work freq range covered.
> the radios are quite nice but the salesman dont know jack shit
> about the area they cover freqs used and inportant things,
> us radio guys like:)

4495. Re: wtd indash sanner by kenwood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: GARY B <gary.breunerNS@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01beb468$34ab69c0$ccecaacf@default>
Source record: 115651
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,slac.rec.ham_radio

Kable Guy,

I believe the radio you're looking for is the Kenwood RZ-1. Good luck finding one, because they're (in my opinion) in rare quantities. Be prepared to pay a premium for the rig when you do find it...

Cheers,

Gary kb3cgq

kable guy minn <n0mnb@minn.net> wrote in article <7jrjiegbn1@news.minn.net>...
> looking for in dash scanner that kenwood built a while ago. can't rem the
> model number like "1000" something it looke just like a regular
> car radio that went in the dash..
> thanks for any help
> n0mnb@minn.net
>
>
>
>

4496. Schematic for Lafayette HA-45

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gregg <fluffy96@nr.infi.net>
Message-ID: <3761C1C1.9A1@nr.infi.net>
Source record: 115652

Trying to find a schematic for this radio--a 6 channel VHF low band xtal receiver (mobile). This was a switchable receiver, not a scanner, from the early 70's. Audio section is cooked (some moron had a bolt replacing the fuse).
thanks, GD, N2UUP

4497. Accidently Listening to MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Grimaldi <sgrimaldi@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3761C7CE.7BB7D648@erols.com>
Source record: 115653

After reading about the Bill & Cindy Cheek incident, I would like to make sure that I never even tune in a MDT frequency. Trouble is, I believe that the freqs are not pre-blocked by the scanner manufacturer. Could someone be so kind as to inform me of MDT Freqs in my area? I live in Fairfax County Virginia near the town of Fairfax. I believe all I have to worry about will be VA state Police, Fairfax County, and the city of Fairfax. I will put an immediate Lockout on those Freqs as well as warn all my friends. Please help as I am trying to protect the well being of myself and my family.
Peace.
Steve.

4498. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Schaffner <vansno1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3761C894.36D02E20@hotmail.com>
Source record: 115654

> any one have a fbi frequency list for cleveland ohio?

4499. Re: Modifications for Alinco DJ-X10J Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Sargent <ke8wo@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3761CD7D.866C7424@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115655

Oddly enough, my DJX10T received all of the 800 band right out of the box. Unfortunately, as I was messing with it and getting the computer link set up, I reset the radio and bye-bye full 800 mhz coverage. This leads me to suspect that there is some way that it could be restored.

However, these units do receive cell images very well in the upper 1400 mhz range. So it is not an issue with me on my unit.

kb5fas@postoffice.swbell.net wrote:
>
> Anyone know of a source for modification of the Alinceo DJ-X10T Wide
> Band receiver to give it FULL coverage? (ie: 800) - Thanks

4500. Re: Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <44l83.777$gT.52458@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115656

Hey Maynard T, your excuses for your behavior are only equaled by Macro
John-Bowl himself.
If YOU believe what you type you must be John-Bowl.
Poem away there So. Cal Cool. Oh Wow! Snap snap snap!

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:7jse84$2rn@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com...
> In <19990611131138.13166.00000270@ng-cd1.aol.com> gdfthr768@aol.com
> (Gdfthr768) writes:
> >
> >>
> >> Just an FYI, public need to know kind
> >> of post. Public information.
> >
> >Sure it is, creep.
> >Apparently, you're not above anything, let alone any "crime against
> humanity".
> >What an asshole.
> >Mike
>
> Mike...please.
>
> it is an FYI post so people can
> be one with him and his sorrow
> and commisserate with him in
> a more personal way...to be
> one with him as those who are
> like him will relish. Being
> able to speak with him in his
> hour of need a special communication
> which no NG can give forth.
>
> bereavement is personal. It should
> be shared with those that want to and
> do so quietly and with great thought
> for their saviour.
>
> His memory is special to those who
> so far have called me PUNK and Creep
> and more...this is the culmination of
> all that is right with this post.
> to allow all the Creeps and punks and
> indifferents in this world to
> share with their saviour their

> bereavement....
>
> it allows the NG to go on and
> not be made part of their sorrow.
> it's the least one can do .....
>
>

4501. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <h7l83.786$gT.52825@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

John, you are still the main cause of disruptions on this NG with a record of 350+ off topic garbage posts in one month. This is typical Macro-Mackey spewing, go away MJB, you are nothing but a liar.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37615DC5.E8F8DFCA@usa.net...
> Glenn I agree with you totally. It would be VERY NICE if Bill Conduit
> would practice what he preaches & STOP being the main cause of trouble
> on this NG.
>
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message ...
>
> SNIP
>
> > > Then why Maynard T did you start a new thread about Mackey?
> > >
> > > Practice what you preach poem-boy. Don't start threads.
>
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

4502. Re: new police scanner chat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3761F65C.2E7095FF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115658

When I try to go to that page I get an error 404, I would like to come joint your chat but this prevents me. Thought you would like to know

MITBR wrote:

> Hello fellow scanner enthusiasts!!
> Remember the Policescanner .com chat site before it was sold to broadcast
> .com . Well I have set up a chat site on my web page that uses the same
> concept as policescanner .com did, java on the web site and through mirc as
> well. I am presently looking for operators to monitor the room and to
> generate an interest in resuming the great fellowship the old chat was for
> all us scanner folks. If interested please visit my web page for further
> information, and feel free to use my chat room. Comments and suggestions are
> always appreciated
> http://home.earthlink.net/~mitbr/Mi01000.htm
> thank you mitbr

4503. Radio Raft decoding software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kapou <kapou@cybercable.fr>
Message-ID: <376038BA.983217B3@cybercable.fr>
Source record: 115714

What kind of PC's do you have ? If it's a K6-2, stop getting bored with
the proggie, it won't work on it...
5I've got the same problem... I've written to the autor, and here is
what he said : "I don't understand, this problem seems to arrive with
1/10 PC's in England, and 1/100 in France...")
The software normally works with a data slicer, or a simple hamcomm
serial interface.

Cheers.

4504. Re: Clearing memory on a 235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: birdfan1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990610202757.10408.00000536@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 115715

Try pressing "2,9 and MAN" all together when turning it on.

Bird Fan1

4505. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David P (D)" <pickards@SPAMBLOCKzen.co.uk>
Message-ID: <J5Z73.249$YX3.6793@newreader.ukcore.bt.net>
Source record: 115716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

just quickly.
morse code is a very corse mode!

only joking. I myself like CW

D

4506. Watson Super Gainer Antenna in Diamond disguise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: digirato@att.net
Message-ID: <37696463.17643240@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115717

I ordered a Watson Super Gainer from CCrane and received an antenna marked RH771 on the package and on the antenna. Isn't that a Diamond designation? Do they make them for Watson?

After researching slowly and carefully, reading Rich Wells' and Lee Rothman's recommendations, I finally decided to get a Watson. It fits the description and seems to work very well on my Yupi M3.3.

Did I get what I should have?

Thanks guys,
Digirato

4507. Re: CANADIAN SCANNER DEALERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Me <me@spam.com>
Message-ID: <hsZ73.573$26.33905@typhoon.mbnet.mb.ca>
Source record: 115718

JimD7002 <jimd7002@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990610085453.10414.00000073@ng-cg1.aol.com...
> >Anyone know of a dealer in the niagra falls/toronto area that sells
scanner
> >radio's... Any help would be appreciated.....Thanks.....Respond
to
> >group.
>
> They do have Radio Shacks in Niagra Falls and in Toronto. Last I checked,
they
> sell LOTS of scanners....
>
> Jim D.

Try Radio World on Steeles. If you want a better deal though, you can get a
bearcat 895xlt for 360.00 at Comtelco (40 channel cb) in Winnipeg.

You can reach radio world at www.radioworld.ca and 40 Channel CB at
http://www.cyberspc.mb.ca/~comtelco

4508. Re: Modifications for Alinco DJ-X10J Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: cowgoose@aol.comedian
Message-ID: <19990610223057.07376.00000307@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 115719

The scanner is not modifiable, but cellular comes in crystal clear between 1420.00-1446.00Mhz

4509. FS Pro-2004 $225 total shipped & insured in US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: macoletta@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990610225552.12248.00000206@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 115720

FS Pro-2004 $225 total shipped & insured in US

See the below URL for details, review and picture.
http://members.aol.com/macoletta/forsale/scanners.htm

4510. FS Pro-91 Trunk Tracker $150 total shipped & insured in US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: macoletta@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990610225654.12248.00000207@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 115721

FS Pro-91 Trunk Tracker $150 total shipped & insured in US

See the below URL for details, review and picture.
http://members.aol.com/macoletta/forsale/scanners.htm

4511. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: DrHardy69@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <37618071.108168644@news.uia.net>
Source record: 115722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

And you would be amazed at how often they do talk about the
sale/purchase/use of drugs. What a bunch of idiots.

On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 03:18:09 -0500, "Spunky The Waffle Iron"
<spunky@nospam.org> wrote:

>Cordless phone users mostly talk about buying groceries, coming over to visit their
>parents, what they are going to do for the holiday's, make drug deal, break up and argue
with
>their boyfriend/girlfriend, gossip about other neighboards etc.
>A bunch of boring stuff which makes tuning the dial into that range not worth the effort.

4512. Re: air show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: chuck@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <376282bc.108756347@news.uia.net>
Source record: 115723
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I recently attended the "Planes of Fame" airshow in Chino, CA (CNO)
and everything was done on the usual tower frequency. They also
utilized the CNO clearence delivery freq to coordinate with inbound
show participants.

On Wed, 09 Jun 1999 08:58:34 -0500, LaLaS <m1956@execpc.com> wrote:

>Now it's a summer time and the AIR SHOW going on in the airports
>Lot of National Guard helicopters and C-130 and some Jet's showing .
>What is the freq. those bird's are using ???
>I am from south central Wisconsin and there is one coming up this sunday
>in WATERTOWN WI.,and i will like to monitor this planes ?????
>Thanks
>Happy scanning

4513. Re: any ny area traffic helicpter freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <376483fb.109075661@news.uia.net>
Source record: 115724

I worked for Metro in L.A. Just about all radio traffic reports are
fed in the 450-450.9975 or 455-455.9975 range. Off air operations are
almost always on the same channel.

On 7 Jun 1999 15:20:31 GMT, ksk63521@aol.com (KSK 635 21) wrote:

>hi, looking for some metro traffic freq, such as the helicopters calling
>base..kevin

4514. FS: Pro26 200 Ch. Handheld & BC860XLT 100 Ch. Base Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eugene Protas <protas@flash.net>
Message-ID: <376083F8.4ED7983F@flash.net>
Source record: 115725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

For Sale:

Pro26 - 200 Channel handheld scanner. 500mhz - 1.3ghz. Receives all modes -
am/fm/wfm. Wide fm mode permits reception of broadcast fm and television audio.
Virtual Downconversion permits full 800mhz coverage. Excellent condition. $195 plus
shipping from Houston, TX

Uniden BC 860XLT - 100 Channel base scanner. Like new condition. 800mhz coverage
(cell blocked). $90 plus shipping.

4515. Re: Watson Super Gainer Antenna in Diamond disguise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: digirato@att.net
Message-ID: <37608c0a.5140790@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115726

Sorry for answering my own message, but see the response I got from C.Crane below. I already responded to them stating that suprise substitutions are not at all ok with me.

Is the Watson Super Gainer really unavailable? Is the Diamond RH-771 really equivalent to it? (the packing label and antenna are both marked RH771). I guess it's possible but wonder what you guys think.

****
Thank you for your reply regarding your order number XXXXXX.

The Watson Super Gainer is no longer available. We are currently, substituting the Watson with our CCSuper gainer scanner antenna. The manufacturers packing labels are incorrect, but the product is of the same quality. The antennas are manufactured specifically for B. Crane [sic] Company, Inc.

If you have any further questions, please feel free to ... yada, yada yada

Sincere thanks,

XXXX
B. Crane [sic] Company, Inc.
****

At 07:24 PM 6/10/99 -0500, you wrote:
>I ordered a Watson Super Gainer. Why did I get a Diamond RH-771?

On Fri, 11 Jun 1999 01:20:36 GMT, xxxxxxxxxx wrote:
>I ordered a Watson Super Gainer from CCrane and received an antenna
>marked RH771 on the package and on the antenna. Isn't that a Diamond
>designation? Do they make them for Watson?
>
>After researching slowly and carefully, reading Rich Wells' and Lee
>Rothman's recommendations, I finally decided to get a Watson. It fits
>the description and seems to work very well on my Yupi M3.3.
>
>Did I get what I should have?
>
>Thanks guys,
>Digirato

4516. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <37609f00.115993278@news.uia.net>
Source record: 115727
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It's jerks like you that get onto a frequency without license or
anyone's permission and think you're cleverly funny. You are a loser
and you should rot in your own feces.

On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
wrote:

>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
>sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know of
>that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you know
>transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say... Thanks
>in advance
>
>Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my life
>LOSER
>
>Thanks..
>
>Robert
>

4517. Re: Rubber duckie Antenae-Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <37619f9a.116147537@news.uia.net>
Source record: 115728

Durability baby!

On 3 Jun 1999 16:05:02 GMT, catboat333@aol.com (Catboat333) wrote:

>Why are attennas on hand-helds rubber coated-is it, as I suspect, to prevent
>ESD damage to sensitive front ends, or am I giving manufacturers far too much
>credit?
>
> And-if so-do you run a risk using a non rubber such as a Watson-a reportedly
>fine antenna. Cappy Anderson

4518. Re: Help with new Trunk Tracker.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Kelnhofer <n2zxz@monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <37609F7B.9192B843@monmouth.com>
Source record: 115729

>

The manual is confusing. I recently purchased the BC895xlt. You need to program the fleet map into the scanner. Otherwise it defaults to a Type II Motorola system. There are a whole bunch of fleet maps listed in the back of the manual. You will need to find out what map the Dept. you wish to listen to uses.

4519. Pro-37 help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: rhoenes@nimbus.temple.edu
Message-ID: <7jq709$d74$1@cronkite.ocis.temple.edu>
Source record: 115730

I've lost my manual and can't remember how to scan between
two frequencies. Thanks in advance for any help.

4520. Re: F/S: GRE CONVERTER 9001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990611021909.26721.00000345@ng33.aol.com>
Source record: 115731

casper@superlink.net (Luciano) wrote:

>> >Hello everyone!
>> >
>> >I have a GRE 9001 converter for sale.
>> >It is made for base receivers.
>> >The unit is new and has not been used.
>> >Box and all accessaries are included.
>> >
>> >Again this unit is NEW. No scratches and
>> >is in perfect condition.
>> >
>> >All my radios has the 800 mhz portion
>> >so I'd like to sell this device.
>> >
>> >$110.00 includes shipping + insurance.

>Shack23 wrote:

>> A SLIGHT increase over the original price, but I don't blame you...These
>things
>> are hard to find now. I have one of the PORTABLE versions, the 8001, and I
>am
>> KEEPING MINE! Good luck...Joey...

>casper@superlink.net (Luciano) wrote:

>It is indeed an increase. However, the asking price includes express overnite
>shipping & insurance. If it seems too much, $95.00 will get it to you
>2 Day Priority mail.
>Thanks for the insight Joey.
>
I guess I must have MISSED the part about the "EXPRESS OVERNITE SHIPPING" in your original post there, Luciano. I thought all it said was "110.00 includes shipping + insurance"...Hummm...Guess I didn't read it right, huh? I also guess YOU MISSED what I sais about NOT BLAMING YOU, also!?!? I may be wrong, but it seemed like you got a bit POed about what I said, although I can't understand why, as I JUSTIFIED YOUR ASKING PRICE! I mean, I could have said something like, you can buy a kit form of a converter that does the same thing as that from Ramsey Electronics, for about 45 bucks, but I DIDN'T! As I said before, I DON'T BLAME YOU...Heck, try for 200.00...I wouldn't BLAME YOU FOR THAT EITHER! Oh, you're quite welcome about the INSIGHT, and because of your NICE reply, I offered MORE FREE insight...

4521. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dogbert@mindless.com
Message-ID: <3760b575.525653530@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115732
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
wrote:

>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig.
[snip]

Using such a radio would be illegal.

4522. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <%a383.4926$_m4.94369@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 115733
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

look. drop this shit already. Its old. As stated before I just Wanted to
know if such a radio existed. Did I ever say that I wanted to buy one so
that I may harass everyone in the damned world.. NO!! so back the fuck off
already.
Chuck Rowe <Chuck@la-radio.com> wrote in message
news:37609f00.115993278@news.uia.net...
> It's jerks like you that get onto a frequency without license or
> anyone's permission and think you're cleverly funny. You are a loser
> and you should rot in your own feces.
>
>
> On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
> wrote:
>
> >This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has
to
> >be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
> >sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know
of
> >that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you
know
> >transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say...
Thanks
> >in advance
> >
> >Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> >neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my
life
> >LOSER
> >
> >Thanks..
> >
> >Robert
> >
>

4523. Re: F/S: GRE CONVERTER 9001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Hejkal <garyhejk@freewwweb.com>
Message-ID: <3760C5AF.2F319225@freewwweb.com>
Source record: 115734

Yeah, Ramsey does have a kit to build one. Too bad it doesn't work!
Ok, so I only know that the one i built won't. (And I know RF electronics and high reliability soldering (mil spec)).
Btw, I also have and am keeping a portable GRE converter.
GH

Shack23 wrote:

> casper@superlink.net (Luciano) wrote:
>
> >> >Hello everyone!
> >> >
> >> >I have a GRE 9001 converter for sale.
> >> >It is made for base receivers.
> >> >The unit is new and has not been used.
> >> >Box and all accessaries are included.
> >> >
> >> >Again this unit is NEW. No scratches and
> >> >is in perfect condition.
> >> >
> >> >All my radios has the 800 mhz portion
> >> >so I'd like to sell this device.
> >> >
> >> >$110.00 includes shipping + insurance.
>
> >Shack23 wrote:
>
> >> A SLIGHT increase over the original price, but I don't blame you...These
> >things
> >> are hard to find now. I have one of the PORTABLE versions, the 8001, and I
> >am
> >> KEEPING MINE! Good luck...Joey...
>
> >casper@superlink.net (Luciano) wrote:
>
> >It is indeed an increase. However, the asking price includes express overnite
> >shipping & insurance. If it seems too much, $95.00 will get it to you
> >2 Day Priority mail.
> >Thanks for the insight Joey.
> >
> I guess I must have MISSED the part about the "EXPRESS OVERNITE SHIPPING" in
> your original post there, Luciano. I thought all it said was "110.00 includes
> shipping + insurance"...Hummm...Guess I didn't read it right, huh? I also guess
> YOU MISSED what I sais about NOT BLAMING YOU, also!?!? I may be wrong, but it
> seemed like you got a bit POed about what I said, although I can't understand

> why, as I JUSTIFIED YOUR ASKING PRICE! I mean, I could have said something
> like, you can buy a kit form of a converter that does the same thing as that
> from Ramsey Electronics, for about 45 bucks, but I DIDN'T! As I said before, I
> DON'T BLAME YOU...Heck, try for 200.00...I wouldn't BLAME YOU FOR THAT EITHER!
> Oh, you're quite welcome about the INSIGHT, and because of your NICE reply, I
> offered MORE FREE insight...

4524. West central Ill Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Hejkal <garyhejk@freewwweb.com>
Message-ID: <3760C801.9F5BEA7E@freewwweb.com>
Source record: 115735

Hi all!
I'm looking for frequecies for Madison County Illonios area.
Police , fire , EMS, etc.
Thanks for any help!

GH

4525. Re: What's the BEST scanner on the market today?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3760D93F.BA24D75B@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 115736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Lee Rothman wrote:
> I would have to say, without hesitation, the Optocom by Optoelectronics.

While the OC is a great receiver, I don't think I could personally recommend one for what appears to be a first time buyer. I also have a problem with doing so because of the $500 price tag (even though the original appender stipulated 'price not a factor', most new users I talk with seem to think you can get a top-of-the-line unit for $200-$250).

For these reasons, I would recommend a more 'traditional' scanner. Such a unit will be easier to operate, not require a computer to use, not involve as much expense and still expose them to a broad experience of what the hobby is about.

Once they have played with this one a bit, decide they like the hobby and want to invest more, know more about scanning, scanners and features, I wouldn't have a problem recommending the OptoCom for those "power users" who want the best bang for the buck that they can get!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

4526. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.990611054144.22830D-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 115737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

the 75 meter band already sounds like that, and thanks to "k4zdh it has
cleaned up a little, but the 2 meter band also sounds like that because
of all the truckers on there.

On Sat, 5 Jun 1999, Jim Wayda wrote:

> Date: Sat, 05 Jun 1999 05:43:44 GMT
> From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur, rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
> aus.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner.uk
> Subject: Re: Morse code
>
> Thats a big TEN - FOUR Good Buddy!
>
> Thomas Jones wrote:
> >
> > >>How much you wanna bet that code will be gone in less than >>6months from
> > the tests?
> >
> > Yeah, then the next thing that would happen is that the HAM bands would
> > become a CB wasteland. Most CB'rs know enough to pass the tests, and when
> > they do...The bands will be just like another CB band.
> >
> > Tom
>
>

4527. Re: Cabling question....?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3760DBCB.F10FE832@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 115738

mking@sisna.com wrote:
> I have a cone antenna and I want to get good cable for it but that
> won't have alot of dB loss ...Radio shack only has like RG-58 and that
> has a dB loss of 4.2.

Radio Shack also carries RG-6 which is typically used for cable
TV. This will work just fine for you, is cheap and low loss. You'll
probably just need to buy some F-type to BNC or PL-259 adapters.

RS typically offers it in boxed 25 & 50 foot lengths in both white
and black jackets (last I knew).

For any more info on co-ax see my Co-ax page:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/co-ax.htm

Good luck!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

4528. Re: Apologies in advance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3760DC73.5FCDDEE6@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 115739

MacDaddy wrote:
> I'm sorry to ask, but I'm looking for the software and how to make the cable
> to the PC for the RS Pro-64.

Check out my PRO-64 page links:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/pro-64.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

4529. Re: Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7jqnng$lmh@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115740

In <orpo-10069921291900001@i48-12-10.pdx.du.teleport.com> orpo@aol.com (yargo) writes:

>> Ok...
>>
>> JOHN MACKEY bio:
>
>What a creep. First you tell everybody to ignore this guy, then you don't
>do that yourself, then you POST THE GUYS PERSONAL INFORMATION! What
>purpose could this POSSIBLY serve if not to cause trouble?
>You should have your ass whipped, punk.

It came to me in a "voice" that
the idiot was in need of
spiritual help and we, his
favorite NG, could be of
major help to him in his need
for our prayers and offerings
of condolence and eternal
pity.

it's not personal info...it's
as he would have wanted it had
he survived ....just info to
send condolences to whoever now
reads his email.

it's an FYI...

That's Multnomah County Community
and Family Services...Information Dept.
His desk phone: 503-306=5875 ext 27298
john mackey@co.multnomah.or.us

h/w:multnomah.lib.or.us

Lolenzo T Poe his supervisor to express
condolences to and sorry to see him go.
503=248=3691
Lolenzo.t.poe@co.multnomah.or.us

Now we owe him our prayers and hope
he will be met with kindness to the
place he will go when he gets there
after the funeral is held.

It's the least we can do. He's given so much
of himself to this Ng that not to will
be a crime against humanity. I think
we are above that.

Just an FYI, public need to know kind
of post. Public information.

4530. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dougb@railfan.com
Message-ID: <3760f3c5.144252247@news.visi.com>
Source record: 115741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 09 Jun 1999 04:20:28 GMT, removals1@iname.com (Shiva Nataraja)
wrote:

[snipped]

Actually, I think a good point has been made. We now encrypt the
orders, decrypt them for printing and delete them.

Regards,
Doug Bailey

4531. FS Base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Esposito <rjespo@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <_M683.76$tK4.12208@news2.voicenet.com>
Source record: 115742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Uniden BC9000XLT in mint condition, complete with user
manual and in original carton.

$280 includes shipping

4532. FS Pro-46 $125 total shipped & insured in US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: macoletta@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990611082550.00160.00000540@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 115743

FS Pro-46 $125 total shipped & insured in US

See the below URL for details and picture.
http://members.aol.com/macoletta/forsale/scanners.htm

4533. Re: Watson Super Gainer Antenna in Diamond disguise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11caaed0a9c4fbb69897e7@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115744

I can't believe that a reputable company would play that game. NO NO NO, a Diamond IS NOT a Watson. You need to return it and get a hold of Glenn at Scanners Unlimited (one of the only U.S. dealers for Watson) and order it from him. Forget the Diamond. I tried one and the Watson blew it away with all due respect. The Diamond isn't a bad antenna, in fact one of the better ones. Just not up to the Watson. Check it out. His number is (650) 573-1624

4534. Re: What's the BEST scanner on the market today?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Talus01@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5720-3760F300-1@newsd-212.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115745

In My opinion the Radio Shack PRO-2050 800MHz Trunktracker is one of the best as it has three hundred cannels...triple conversion... is a trunktracker... and operates as a mobile with adapter. It scans at 50 ch per sec. Searches at up to 300 steps a sec. Its range is 29-54 MHz, 108-174 MHz, 406-512 MHz,and of course..... 806-956 MHz. Plus it has an okay service search.....not as good a service search as Uniden BC -700A, But still a good one.

4535. Re: Wal-Mart Freq. Wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <37610481.882615@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 115746

On Thu, 10 Jun 1999 23:48:19 -0500, "Danny R. Barnes"
<danny315@prodigy.net> wrote:

>Some stores use handheld marine radios.
>
>Paul L wrote:
>>
>> Does anyone have the freq. for those hand helds used in Wal-Marts or do they
>> different for each location?

Just went there last night, and I noticed that they were using Kenwood
FRS radios on channel 14 (467.7125 MHz). They used to use Motorola
business-band handhelds on 151.625 or 154.570.

Spamblock in effect. Reply in newsgroups.

4536. FS Pro-2004 $210, Pro-91 $140 shipped & insured

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: macoletta@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990611084921.02453.00000259@ng36.aol.com>
Source record: 115747

FS Pro-2004 $210 total shipped & insured in US (Cell modded)
FS Pro-91 $140 total shipped & insured in US
FS Pro-46 $125 total shipped & insured in US (Cell modded)
FS BC700A $120 total shipped & insured in US (Cell modded)

See the below URL for details, review and picture.
http://members.aol.com/macoletta/forsale/scanners.htm

4537. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: hnlute@optcamel.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11caae811412dd1a9896b7@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115748
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
In article <%a383.4926$_m4.94369@news2.giganews.com>,
reinaga@cnmnetwork.com says...
> look. drop this shit already. Its old. As stated before I just Wanted to
> know if such a radio existed. Did I ever say that I wanted to buy one so
> that I may harass everyone in the damned world.. NO!! so back the fuck off
> already.
> Chuck Rowe <Chuck@la-radio.com> wrote in message
> news:37609f00.115993278@news.uia.net...
> > It's jerks like you that get onto a frequency without license or
> > anyone's permission and think you're cleverly funny. You are a loser
> > and you should rot in your own feces.
> >
> >
> > On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has
> to
> > >be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
> > >sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know
> of
> > >that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you
> know
> > >transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say...
> Thanks
> > >in advance
> > >
> > >Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> > >neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my
> life
> > >LOSER
> > >
> > >Thanks..
> > >
> > >Robert
> > >
> >
>
>
>
Haven't you gotten a bloody answer yet? As a teacher, I specialize in
answers of all kinds...here's one.
```

Yes...here's another...No, also there is Maybe, Somewhat, Ouch! and my favorite...What!
The USAF, Army, Navy, Marines, CIA, et al...incl.. Air Force One all have jamming equipment which pretty much does what you are looking for.
Collins Radio makes the wonderful stuff, possibly on the surplus market one day.
friend,
Lute

--

Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
****
e-mail to: optcamel@ix.netcom.com OR hnlute@optcamel.com
****
Optimum Camel Companies, Ltd.
Howard & Kelly Lute
402 Marina Blvd.
Suisun City, CA 94585
Tele:707-428-4787 Fax:707-428-4633
LSF 3610
****
Our Family Web Site:
http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/camel.html
****
Lute's Pirate Radio Romp Web Page:
http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/camel2.html
****
e-mail to: optcamel@ix.netcom.com OR kalute@optcamel.com
****

4538. Re: Watson Super Gainer Antenna in Diamond disguise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990611095359.18909.00000672@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 115749

>From: dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee

>I can't believe that a reputable company would play that game. NO NO NO,
>a Diamond IS NOT a Watson.

Don't be too sure about that. I have a Diamond RH77CA and a Watson W-881 (Super Gainer) and they are virtually identical in construction, fit, finish--in fact in every way except the Watson is about 1/4 inch longer, certainly not enough to make the difference that you claim. I haven't investigated it but I will go out on a limb and say they two are so close (even in packaging) they were made by the same company or by a company under license to make the near-identical thing.

4539. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: holford@cnwl.igs.net.nospam
Message-ID: <7jr6p2$ch3$1@news.igs.net>
Source record: 115750
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Often what is heard on a scanner is more accurate and
>educational than information filtered through the media. I think every
>pilot out there has an interest in accidents that happen, not because
>they are morbid, but because they want to learn and avoid the mistakes
>of others.
>
>Gene
>--
>_____________________________
That's what accident reports are for.
They provide an objective, unexcited analysis of what went wrong and why.
No media or scanner report can be relied upon to tell you what went wrong,
they just provide the excitement of the moment.

Dave

4540. Re: Technical question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7jrckb$o7s$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 115751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <7jq89t$dvo@newsops.execpc.com>, m1956@execpc.com says...
>
>Hello there
>I have a question about transceiver i pickup from action
>It's a GENERAL ELECTRIC transceiver the fire dep. in MINNESOTA use
>before going to a different system
>It's a General Electric model MASTR II,10 channel transceiver operate on
>155.00mhz to 155.900mhz.I have the all system ,the unit the cables the
>connectors the speaker the all works and i can connect it to a 12V and
>use it
>My question is ,can i use the output amplifier to boost up my handheld
>transceiver ???
>and if i do what modification i have to do???,and can anyone have
>schematics for it ??
>Is this system have good preamplifier to use for my scanners
>For the output amplifier they use 4 2N6082 transistor ,and i don't
>know the output power is?.For the channels the use little modules look's
>like a mach box 10 of them ,one for each channel,and each one of them
>have different freq.
>Can i use this unit for my hobby after i modify????
>thanks a lot in advance
>LaLaS
>
>
I use a the VHF output section from a Motorola VHF {150-174} radio as a
"linear" for my 2Mtr HT {Icom IC-2AT and a Tempo S1}. The TR (Transmit-
Receive} relay was a little wierd. If you have a ham radio, and if you
have the manuals for the GE equipment, you should be able to find the input
to the output stage. In my Motorola gear it is a RCA female connector and
the rest of the radio drives this point with 2 to 3 watts. My 1.5W macthces
nicely. GE may well require less or more power. Less power(more sensitive)
will require a resistive pad to reduce the output of your radio. More would
require another stage of amplification and while "do-able" most likely
willnot be worth the time and energy.
Most modern solidstate RF PA(power amplifiers) are by nature rather broad
banded and a 155 to 160 amp should cover down to 144. But no guarenty.
You might be better off to sell the GE gear and buy something more readily
used in your hobby.
This all presumes that you are a HAM and the the "transciever" in question
is a 144-148 ham rig. If you have a FRS or a "cb" then you have a nice door
stop. I quess you could also use it with one of the itenerite HTs that
a lot of building suplly/sams club/ ect sell. OF course it would be illegal
and you could get fined big time.

Good luck.

4541. Re: What's the BEST scanner on the market today?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jre9u$g8h$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115752

Talus01@webtv.net wrote in message
<5720-3760F300-1@newsd-212.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
>In My opinion the Radio Shack PRO-2050 800MHz Trunktracker is one of the
best as it has three hundred cannels...triple conversion... is a
trunktracker... and operates as a mobile with adapter. It scans at 50
ch per sec. Searches at up to 300 steps a sec. Its range is 29-54
MHz, 108-174 MHz, 406-512 MHz,and of course..... 806-956 MHz. Plus it
has an okay service search.....not as good a service search as Uniden BC
-700A, But still a good one.

I would think that the BC895 would be an even better choice, because you get
all of the above (except service search) plus CTCSS decoding, CTCSS search,
and PC control (which when properly manipulated, gives you a very nice
"service search", among many other things like alpha tagging, unattended
logging of search hits, the ability to alternate between trunked and
conventional mode automatically, etc.). I mean, what fun is it to punch in
300 channels and up to 500 talkgroups by hand?? Yuck...

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4542. Re: Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990611131138.13166.00000270@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 115753

>
> Just an FYI, public need to know kind
> of post. Public information.

Sure it is, creep.
Apparently, you're not above anything, let alone any "crime against humanity".
What an asshole.
Mike

4543. Re: Miami FL 800 mhz system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jrht9hin1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115754
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dave,

Here is the information I could find on it, hopefully this will help:

From the Trunked Systems Homepage by Lindsay Blanton, florida section:
http://web2.airmail.net/lblant1/trunked/fl.htm

==========
City of Miami FL - Trunked Radio System
Motorola Type I analog
Single Site system, KNGR376
System ID = 151A
==========

Fleetmap:
b0 = S13
b4 = S4
b5 = S4
b6 = S4
b7 = S11

21 Channels (asterisk is control channels):
854.9625*
855.2125*
855.4625*
855.7125*
856.2125
856.4625
856.7125
856.9625
857.4625
857.7125
857.9625
858.2125
858.7125
858.9625
859.2125
859.4625
859.7125
859.9625
860.2125
860.4625
860.7125

POLICE - Blocks 0 and 6

000-0 Police FLEETWIDE mode
000-1 Police North
000-2 Police TAC 1 North Tactical
000-3 Police Central/Downtown
000-4 Police TAC 2 Central Tactical
000-5 Police West
000-6 Police TAC 3 West Tactical
000-7 Police South
000-8 Police TAC 4 South Tactical
000-9 Police Information
000-10 Police Car To Car
000-11 Police Special Ops 1
000-12 Police Special Ops 2
000-13 Police Special Ops 3
000-14 Police Phone patch (dispatcher assisted)
000-15 Police CH 15

600-0 Police FLEETWIDE mode
600-1 Police SWAT
600-2 Police Homicide/CIS
600-3 Police Mutual Aid/Admin
600-4 Police K-9
600-5 Police Training
600-6 Police Task Force 1
600-7 Police Robbery Unit
600-8 Police Traffic
600-9 Police Task Force 3/IA
600-10 Police Auto TAC
600-11 Police Aviation
600-12 Police Task Force 2
600-13 SPARE 1
600-14 SPARE 2
600-15 SPARE 3

FIRE - Blocks 4 and 5

400-0 Fire FLEETWIDE
400-1 Fire A - MEDCOM
400-2 Fire B - Jackson Memorial Hospital
400-3 Fire C - Rescue Dispatch
400-4 Fire D - Rescue Car-to-Car
400-5 Fire E - Fire Dispatch
400-6 Fire F - Central
400-7 Fire G (TAC 7) - Hazmat
400-8 Fire H (TAC 8) - Airport
400-9 Fire I (TAC 9)
400-10 Fire J (TAC 10) - Nelrin
400-11 Fire K (TAC 11) - DCSTA

400-12 Fire L (TAC 12) - TF-1
400-13 Fire M - Car-to-Car
400-14 Fire N - Phone patch (dispatcher assisted)
400-15 Fire P - Spare

500-0 Fire FLEETWIDE
500-1 Fire Prevention
500-2 Fire B & Z
500-3 Fire Training
500-4 Fire Admin
500-5 Fire Rescue
500-6 Fire
500-7 Fire Air Rescue
500-8 Fire
500-9 Fire Finance
500-10 Fire Station 13
500-11 Fire DOC
500-12 Fire CDS 1
500-13 Fire CDS 2
500-14 Fire CDS 3
500-15 Spare

LOCAL GOVERNMENT - Block 7

700-0 Local Government FLEETWIDE mode
700-1 Local Government
700-2 Public Works
700-3 Local Government
700-4 Local Government
700-5 Local Government
700-6 Parks/Recreation
700-7 Local Government
700-8 Solid Waste
700-9 Local Government
700-10 Local Government
700-11 Local Government
700-12 Orange Bowl Special Events
700-13 Local Government
700-14 Local Government
700-15 Local Government

701-0 Local Government FLEETWIDE mode
701-1 Local Government
701-2 Local Government
701-3 Local Government
701-4 Local Government
701-5 Local Government
701-6 Local Government
701-7 Local Government
701-8 Local Government

701-9 Local Government
701-10 Local Government
701-11 Local Government
701-12 Local Government
701-13 Local Government
701-14 Local Government
701-15 Local Government

From the "South Florida Trunking Guide"
Brian "The Scanner Dude" Cathcart
http://www.qsl.net/ke4pmj

==========

Just print this out and take it with you next time you go, and you'll be set.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4544. Re: Modifications for Alinco DJ-X10J Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: nickwb4sqi@wwd.net
Message-ID: <7jrn7nnka1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115755

In article <375F4A47.CD23542@postoffice.swbell.net>,
kb5fas@swbell.net wrote:
> Anyone know of a source for modification of the Alinceo DJ-X10T Wide
> Band receiver to give it FULL coverage? (ie: 800) - Thanks
>

Only the J, K and E versions are unblocked, the T version is firmware blocked, only a CPU change will enable the full 800 band. Try for images though, you should be able to find them somewhere at 2 x second IF up from 869 or down from 869.

Nick

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4545. wtd indash sanner by kenwood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: kable guy minn <n0mnb@minn.net>
Message-ID: <7jrjiegbn1@news.minn.net>
Source record: 115756
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,slac.rec.ham_radio

looking for in dash scanner that kenwood built a while ago. can't rem the
model number like "1000" something it looke just like a regular
car radio that went in the dash..
thanks for any help
n0mnb@minn.net

4546. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rudi Mulder <mulder36@xs4all.nl>
Message-ID: <7jrjuv$nd5$9@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 115757
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

>
>HEY RUDI'S NEIGHBOURS:
>
>C R A N K U P T H E V O L U M E & R I P T H E
>N O B O F F!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

great men in blue are here now i showed them your postingsand they sure will
contact you my FRIEND

RM :))

4547. Re: Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37615C0C.86545395@usa.net>
Source record: 115758

Bill Conduit -

What a FOOL you are!

Now you are posting my father's work information to some how put a
squeeze
on me!!!!!!!!

HAHAHAHA

Ask Blake how long after he made a prank call to my Dad's office it took

for them to track it down to BLAKE'S PERSONAL HOME TELEPHONE!!!!

You are SOOO foolish it is pathetic!

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> Ok...
>
> JOHN MACKEY bio:
>
> Multnomah County Comm

SNIP
----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

4548. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37615DC5.E8F8DFCA@usa.net>
Source record: 115759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn I agree with you totally. It would be VERY NICE if Bill Conduit would practice what he preaches & STOP being the main cause of trouble on this NG.

Glenn wrote:

> BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message ...

SNIP

> Then why Maynard T did you start a new thread about Mackey?
>
> Practice what you preach poem-boy. Don't start threads.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

4549. Re: Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37615E9C.3165D2C8@usa.net>
Source record: 115760

Gdfthr-

Bill Conduit (BAYCITVIDGRP) has long been a cause of problems on this NG
& other NG's. He likes to start trouble here & make racist comments on other
NG's.
Your comment of "What an asshole" is very much on target for him.

Gdfthr768 wrote:

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> > Just an FYI, public need to know kind
> > of post. Public information.
>
> Sure it is, creep.
> Apparently, you're not above anything, let alone any "crime against humanity".
> What an asshole.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

4550. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37615F44.5AB27DD9@usa.net>
Source record: 115761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Macaroon wrote:

> I don't have to. All anyone has to do is read your drivel. It's self
> explanatory and loaded with back-peddling and direct lies.

I've always told the truth & offered supporting evidence, you haveoffered NOTHING
but lies & harassment on this NG. You have NEVER
made on on-topic post!

> >You, MACAROON, are the LAST person who can complain about people
> >being off topic as you have NEVER posted anything on topic!!!!
>
> NOT UNDER THIS ID. I know

Correct, you have never made an on-topic post on this NG.
----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

4551. Pro-2004 @ $210 and $30 off second scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: macoletta@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990611151335.00165.00000833@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 115762

Purchase the Pro-2004 and you can have any one of the other scanners $30 lower than the listed price. This offer ends Sunday 13 June @ 9PM Mountain Time.

See the below URL for details:
http://members.aol.com/macoletta/forsale/scanners.htm

4552. Re: Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37615FB2.6A49C306@usa.net>
Source record: 115763

Yargo-

You certainly are correct about Bill Conduit (BAYCITVIDGRP). He is a creep
who hides behind his computer because he is a coward.

yargo wrote:

> myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
> > JOHN MACKEY bio:
>
> What a creep. First you tell everybody to ignore this guy, then you don't
> do that yourself, then you POST THE GUYS PERSONAL INFORMATION! What
> purpose could this POSSIBLY serve if not to cause trouble?
> You should have your ass whipped, punk.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

4553. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <a9d83.7502$_m4.105221@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 115764
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Look thanks for the reply but as stated eariler i was never looking for such
a device I just wanted to know if one existed.
Get over it already. I just wanted to know!!!

Haven't you gotten a bloody answer yet? As a teacher, I specialize in
> answers of all kinds...here's one.
> Yes...here's another...No, also there is Maybe, Somewhat, Ouch! and my
> favorite...What!
> The USAF, Army, Navy, Marines, CIA, et al...incl.. Air Force One all have
> jamming equipment which pretty much does what you are looking for.
> Collins Radio makes the wonderful stuff, possibly on the surplus market
> one day.
> friend,
> Lute

Howard N. Lute <hnlute@optcamel.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.11caae811412dd1a9896b7@nntp.ix.netcom.com...
> In article <%a383.4926$_m4.94369@news2.giganews.com>,
> reinaga@cnmnetwork.com says...
> > look. drop this shit already. Its old. As stated before I just Wanted
to
> > know if such a radio existed. Did I ever say that I wanted to buy one
so
> > that I may harass everyone in the damned world.. NO!! so back the fuck
off
> > already.
> > Chuck Rowe <Chuck@la-radio.com> wrote in message
> > news:37609f00.115993278@news.uia.net...
> > > It's jerks like you that get onto a frequency without license or
> > > anyone's permission and think you're cleverly funny. You are a loser
> > > and you should rot in your own feces.
> > >
> > >
> > > On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it
has
> > to
> > > > >be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am
pretty
> > > > >sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you
know

> > of
> > > >that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that
you
> > know
> > > >transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say...
> > Thanks
> > > >in advance
> > > >
> > > >Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> > > >neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in
my
> > life
> > > >LOSER
> > > >
> > > >Thanks..
> > > >
> > > >Robert
> > > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> --
>
> Electronics Instructor, Terrible Mechanic, Worse Plumber!
> **
> e-mail to: optcamel@ix.netcom.com OR hnlute@optcamel.com
> **
> Optimum Camel Companies, Ltd.
> Howard & Kelly Lute
> 402 Marina Blvd.
> Suisun City, CA 94585
> Tele:707-428-4787 Fax:707-428-4633
> LSF 3610
> **
> Our Family Web Site:
> http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/camel.html
> **
> Lute's Pirate Radio Romp Web Page:
> http://pw1.netcom.com/~optcamel/camel2.html
> **
> e-mail to: optcamel@ix.netcom.com OR kalute@optcamel.com
> **
>

4554. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3761600B.4AC6EB65@usa.net>
Source record: 115765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

OK, so according to Bill Conduit, if we all leave him alone he
will be fulfilled. Then will he leave?

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> Leave the "troubled One" alone
> and he will be fulfilled.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

4555. Re: Pro-37 help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <376162BB.C5722DD1@globe-net.net>
Source record: 115766

Radio Shack has the owners manuals for most of their scanners
online, including the one for your scanner:

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.htm

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

"Richard l. Hoenes" wrote:

> I've lost my manual and can't remember how to scan between
> two frequencies. Thanks in advance for any help.

4556. Digital Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Christian <nomail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <7jro1o$8pn$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 115767

Does anybody known if a digital scanner exists. Some of the local police I listen to have gone from analog to digital.

4557. ICOM R75 Receiver - Who had one and how do they like it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeff.adams@gscxyz.gte.com
Message-ID: <376162d5.401955431@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com>
Source record: 115768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I posted this question a week or two ago and only one person
responded. Anyone else out there bought this radio yet? Anyone
preparing a review for publication (if so, where and when)? Anyone who
has one, please post your thoughts, and if you have other receivers,
how it compares to them.

Thank you,

======CORRECT EMAIL: remove the xyz====================================
| Jeff.Adams@gscxyz.gte.com) | GTE Electronic Systems Division |
| 650-966-2122 | Mountain View, CA U.S.A. |
| Views expressed are mine and do not necessarily reflect the official |
| position of GTE or any of its subsidiaries |
==

4558. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jrpni$km3$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <37615DC5.E8F8DFCA@usa.net>...
>Glenn I agree with you totally. It would be VERY NICE if Bill Conduit
>would practice what he preaches & STOP being the main cause of trouble
>on this NG.

It's a shame too, because it was really starting to work, John. You went from 30 lame off topic posts a day to less than 5. Only two people decided to not join in the ban and reply to you. It was beautiful.

Then Bill flung the proverbial barn door wide open again, for reasons that I am not yet certain. Perplexing, but then Bill makes a point of making himself somewhat of a mystery here.

I don't understand it either, but nonetheless, Bill's point is valid and (now) proven: If you are ignored, you shut up. Period. You rely on us to provide a purpose for your idiot existance.

Tell us how you've never told a lie on this NG, John. Tell us all again for the fifteen-thousandth time. Do you really think that just because you say it over and over it becomes the truth? Here's a reality check, John "If I say it, it is truth" Mackey:

Lie #1:
I have NEVER said I "have 5 FCC licenses".

John's License Post: 4/22/99

> Thirdly, you claim to have more FCC licenses than you can count one
> one hand. Please list those.

Gladely Blake, they are a matter of public record!I have had my Amateur Radio Novice license (1983), my Amateur Radio Technician license (1984), my Amateur Radio General License (1990), my Amateur Radio Advanced license (1990), and my Amateur radio Extra class license (1993). All these in addition to my General Radiotelephone License.

Lie #2:
If I am obsesed with that person, when why is it that
everyone else is always wanting to talk about him??

When was the last time you saw ME initiate any discussion about him?

John's initiation of a Bill Cheek thread:

Subject: How to contact Bill Cheek??
Date: 1999/04/04
Author: jmackey <jmackey@usa.net>

Posting History

Does anyone know what jail or prison Bill was taken to upon his arrest by the
federal investigators so we may send him cards & letters? This way, he will
know we are all thinking of him!

Lie #3:
I do NOT "post OVER 350 offtopic posts a month" as you claim.

Dejanews search from 1/1/99 to present:

Messages 1-100 of about 2200 matches

2200 divided by 6 months = 366 messages per month. Do the math.

Lie #4:
> That's the wrong John Mackey. This one's only claim to fame is being the
top
> off-topic poster on usenet with thousands of off-topic messages across

Oh no, I could NEVER try to hold that title. Bill Cheek won that title
severaltimes over according to information POSTED by YOU. Remember the
"reality
check"
post where YOUR OWN DATA showed that Cheek posted several hundred more posts
on
usenet than me!?!?!?!?!

Below is an abbreviated qoute:

Date | Mackey | Cheek |

TOTALS | 2139 | 2348 |

Current Totals for Cheek and Mackey 1999:

Mackey: About 2200 listed this year
Cheek: About 1303 listed this year

Estimated on topic content
Cheek: 60%
Mackey: 0.99%

Does this look familiar? Now you know who it came from. Took me a whole 10
minutes of my time to gather this information and pass it on to Blake.
You've been had, Johnnie. The gig is up.

Now that you have been proven beyond a doubt to be a liar, maybe now you'll
have the sense to shut your yammering yap and hang your head in eternal
shame, but I seriously doubt it. And to me, it really doesn't matter,
because I am perfectly happy to ignore you, as I have been doing just fine
with the exception of this post.

Have a nice day John. And since you're so concerned with usenet bandwith (yeah RIGHT!) you need not reply to this post, as I will never again read anything with your name on it. And I heartily encourage everyone to do the same. Enjoy the silence John, you've earned it.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4559. Re: Digital Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chipster <ss@mc.net>
Message-ID: <110619991506349783%ss@mc.net>
Source record: 115770

No such device exists and I know of no plans for such anytime soon.

In article <7jro1o$8pn$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>, Christian
<nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> Does anybody known if a digital scanner exists. Some of the local police I
> listen to have gone from analog to digital.

4560. Repair necessary for AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Cotter <bjc@advantage.com>
Message-ID: <929135526.34029@nnrp.gt.ca>
Source record: 115771

Does anyone have a recommendation for where one can get an AR8000 repaired in Vancouver, BC? My cleaning lady snapped the antenna off my radio and I can see that the solder joint is now broken on the board inside.

Any recommendations would be much appreciated.

Barry

4561. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: porscheemb@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990611172347.10039.00000456@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 115772

CALLED A WOLFSBURG RADIO MILITARY USE TEM SAME WITH MEDICAL HELOS

4562. BC60XLT-1 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: 11sacraig@dtgnet.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11cb32b78950cf6498969b@news.dtgnet.com>
Source record: 115773
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know of any mods for the BC60XLT-1?
please remove 11 in reply to address or use
sacraig@dtgnet.com

4563. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
Message-ID: <01beb43c$caf76180$1fd69dd0@tmsevart.apexcorp.com>
Source record: 115774

Really, you're all missing the point of my original post. What I meant was that my cordless phone is 100% secure as far as average scanner listeners are concerned. Anyone who really wants to and has the technology & know-how, can demodulate the digital signal from my phone. But as far as the average scanner user like you & me or Joe Blow down the street, we aren't going to be able to. In other words, this equipment isn't readily available on the consumer market as are scanners. I seriously doubt anyone in my neighborhood has the technical ability or equipment to listen in on my phone. It would be far easier to to a wire tap from the box on the corner. However, the 46 MHZ phones that are scrambled are a little more secure than normal cordless phones, but Ramsey sells a speech-inversion scrambler/descrambler which I believe will descramble these phones. So it would be easy to listen in to these, but digital/spread spectrum is another matter.

Tom

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

4564. new police scanner chat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: MITBR <mitbr@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7js031$420$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115775

Hello fellow scanner enthusiasts!!
Remember the Policescanner .com chat site before it was sold to broadcast .com . Well I have set up a chat site on my web page that uses the same concept as policescanner .com did, java on the web site and through mirc as well. I am presently looking for operators to monitor the room and to generate an interest in resuming the great fellowship the old chat was for all us scanner folks. If interested please visit my web page for further information, and feel free to use my chat room. Comments and suggestions are always appreciated
http://home.earthlink.net/~mitbr/Mi01000.htm
thank you mitbr

4565. Re: any ny area traffic helicpter freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wanda.Hickey@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <37618cc7.229609@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115776

On 7 Jun 1999 15:20:31 GMT, I got a note from ksk63521@aol.com (KSK 635 21) :

>hi, looking for some metro traffic freq, such as the helicopters calling
>base..kevin
Metro 450.8125
Shadow452.975
450.8375
453.000
450'4125

4566. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: marcus.akesson@no_spam_please.home.se
Message-ID: <37639dab.1080477935@wingate>
Source record: 115777

On 11 Jun 1999 14:01:24 -0500, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
wrote:

>
>Really, you're all missing the point of my original post. What I meant was
>that my cordless phone is 100% secure as far as average scanner listeners
>are concerned. Anyone who really wants to and has the technology &
>know-how, can demodulate the digital signal from my phone. But as far as
>the average scanner user like you & me or Joe Blow down the street, we
>aren't going to be able to. In other words, this equipment isn't readily
>available on the consumer market as are scanners. I seriously doubt anyone
>in my neighborhood has the technical ability or equipment to listen in on
>my phone. It would be far easier to to a wire tap from the box on the
>corner. However, the 46 MHZ phones that are scrambled are a little more
>secure than normal cordless phones, but Ramsey sells a speech-inversion
>scrambler/descrambler which I believe will descramble these phones. So it
>would be easy to listen in to these, but digital/spread spectrum is another
>matter.

Agreed, I was probably just nitpicking (sp?)

Keep talking!

/Marcus

4567. Dade County Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Silverman <scubaguy@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7js632$7rc$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 115778

I need to know what scanner will trunk an ericison system. Where I used to live I could trunk with my PRO-91. I can not get it to trunk the erickson system here in Miami-Dade County, (not city of Miami).

If anyone knows of a way to do it with what I have, please let me know.

Thanks in advance.
Ted

4568. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3761A5D6.228D@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115779
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

Rudi Mulder wrote:
>
> >
> >HEY RUDI'S NEIGHBOURS:
> >
> >C R A N K U P T H E V O L U M E & R I P T H E
> >N O B O F F!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> great men in blue are here now i showed them your postingsand they sure will
> contact you my FRIEND
>
> RM :))
Yo G-Money, that's not blue but the " Mrn In Black ".
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4569. Re: F/S: GRE CONVERTER 9001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: casper@superlink.net
Message-ID: <7js8ccest1@earth.superlink.net>
Source record: 115780

Thank you all.
The Converter has been sold.

Luciano

4570. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3761AA0E.61A@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Ham bands in the States (H.F. and L.W. not included):

6 METERS

2 METERS

1 1/4 METERS

70 CENTIMETERS

33 CENTIMETERS

23 CENTIMETERS

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4571. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: RIEVES <figurethisoneout@nonet.com>
Message-ID: <01beb464$962e5260$0596c0d8@default>
Source record: 115782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

DAMN YOUR GOOD!!!!!!

<BIG SNIP>

4572. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3761AB3B.672F@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115783
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.cb.,rec.radio.shortwave

C.B. Radio used to be the old 11 Meter Ham band in the Shortwave portion
of the Radio band but during the 60's this changed.

Ther is now a new sort of like C.B. band in the 467 Megacycle range.

It is called (F)amily (R)adio (S)ervice. This is the band that C.B.
Radio originally started out on but do to lack of range was not popular.

C.B. is an Amlitude Modulation Service, with Sideband availabl on better
models.

FRS is a Frequency Modulated service with no sideband. Range is usually
2 to 4 miles. Radios are much smaller and I have only seen handhelds,
no bases or moblies.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4573. Re: Wal-Mart Freq. Wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3761AC5A.4045@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115784

Well that is against the law dude

Danny R. Barnes wrote:
>
> Some stores use handheld marine radios.
>
> Paul L wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone have the freq. for those hand helds used in Wal-Marts or do they
> > different for each location?

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4574. Re: ABC News/Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3761AE3A.1D32@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115785
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

NOmikexSPAM@swbell#.net wrote:
>
> George W. White wrote:
> >
> > One thing I can't stand is misrepresentation of facts.
> > That ABC News story coupled with the news stories of that
> > idiot in NY posting MDT data on his website sure make things look bad
> > for scanner
> > owners. I have yet to see a good story in which a scanner owner was
> > portrayed in a good light.
> > Back before all the crap with cellphones and all, I remember something
> > that
> > happened to me back in 1984......walking around town in broad daylight
> > with my scanner.
> >
> > I had a NJ police officer tell me he was going to "confiscate my
> > scanner".
> > I politely informed him he couldn't do it and not be sued.
> > His attitude was less than professional.
> >
> > I then politely informed him, that since his department's communications
> > were not encrypted
> > and that they were a public agency, put in place by the public, to serve
> > the public, and the fact
> > I was not using the scanner in the commission of a crime or felony, that
> > siezure of my radio
> > would then be considered theft since it would have been taken without my
> > permission.
> > Then I told him, he personally and his department would be facing a
> > lawsuit for defamation of
> > character and harrasment. I then directed him to look up the
> > Communications Act of 1934.
> > He made some a remark he could take the radio and "investigate to see if
> > it was stolen."
> > After producing a recipt, I told him look, either arrest me or leave me
> > alone, make your choice.
> > He handed me back my radio and said "keep out of trouble."
> > I said back, are you implying that I get IN trouble? He looked at his
> > partner, and I said, WELL?!?!?!
> > I turned around and walked away, but not before overhearing:
> > "That guy must be a fucking lawyer or something...."
> > I must say that was the only bad experience I had with police.
> > Now, some 16 years later, that cop and I are very good friends.

> > The majority of cops I've met over the years in various states I've
> > lived in have been polite
> > courteous, and some have even given me a few good frequencies.
> >
> > My scanning hobby has lead me to pursue taking a CPR and basic first aid
> > class (both of which
> > I passed last week) and I am currently looking into becoming an EMT.
> > If there are any EMT's that read this newsgroup, how difficult is it to
> > become one??
> >
> > --Deeply Shrouded And Quiet
> I have to agree with the "low life" portraial of scanner owners. I think
> the NEWS sharks "play-up" to the GOV'T & FCC's desire to squelch ALL
> receivers NOT restricted as THEY think they should be. The gov't LIKES
> absoulte control. I like to know where the cops are! (you'll know where
> the best donut shops are!!) NO, seriously, you do have the right to
> listen to ANY frequency unless the gov't forgot about the FCC
> COMMUNICATIONS ACT of 1934 ! I know dozens of "upstanding" people
> monitoring with scanners who HELP POLICE and FRIE DEPARTMENTS!
> Some years ago, I monitored a shooting at a salvage yard, was in my car
> and knew the area, the sheriff and deputies. They were short of
> "lookouts" to watch the approx. 10 roads and rail-road tracks leaving
> the area. I offered to help and was promptly deputized AND given a small
> 38, since the suspect had shot the yard owner and was considered "armed
> & dangerous"! It turned out there were 2 guys doing the shooting and one
> we helped "nab" that night. (the canine dogs were with us too!)
> I used to help the cops QUITE often, since they don't know the "ins and
> outs" of alot of areas. I was 16 - 18 yrs old then. When with the fire
> department (volunteer), scanners in vehicles and at home were the ONLY
> way to get the fire fighters to the scene at that time! And the costs of
> the scanners and accessories were proudly borne by the owners!!
> I was in the FD for another 10 years (about 1985) and scanners were
> STILL
> preferred by alot or personnel over the Plectrons, Federal & Motorola
> pagers.
> As with anything, there will be a few XXXX types that abuse the right,
> so lets go after THEM with "gusto", instead of blaming the "general
> public" and making ALL suffer!!
> Mike Meers
> mikex@swbell.net
> --
> (Please remove NO, SPAM and # from my email addr to reply)
he one thhing not mentioned is the fact that REPORTS use SCANNERS to get
new information. That makes them just as criminal minded. Who knows if
they do not use SCANNERS to listen to phone calls?
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4575. Re: National Emerg. Warning system Freq.`s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3761AF2A.764D@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115786

Alan McFerrin wrote:
>
> They are the LEERN & Interzone frequencies. Specifically, I don't know
> which ones are used in your area, but the one's licensed statewide are:
> 154.935, 154.680, 155.370, 155.470 (Nationwide calling)
> Central OH uses 154.935 & also the Cincy area.
>
> And for 800 MHz, 866.5125, 867.0125, 867.5125, 868.0125, 868.5125. Although
> OSP has not officially started using them, most cities using 800 MHz program
> them into their radios.
>
> OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote in message <37525A7F.4A3F@ameritech.net>...
> >Scan MHZ wrote:
> >>
> >> Regional net 1: 173.1875 rptr input
> >> 167.9750 rptr out
> >> Regional net 2: 167.9750 simplex
> >> Regional net 3: 169.8750 simplex
> >> Regional net 4: 167.9250 simplex
> >>
> >> Happy
> scanning.....USA
> >>
> >> scanning USA.....MHz
> >Well thanks for the info but what is this National Emergency stuff and
> >what frequencies would be used in Norther Ohio/
> >--
> >REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
> >ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!
I have heard of 154.9350 being used in the Cleveland Area.
Some of the suburbs are using the 800 deal.
I wonder how a subub can commumicate with Cleveland now that the city is
all DIGITAL. They must have seperate LEERN radios, I guess.
Ohio is switching to something called M.A.R.C.S.. It is supposed to be
a Statewide 800 system. If digital or not I do not know

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4576. Re: 550-760 is UHF TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3761AFA4.2A5EF09F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115787

you are not wrong. Many federal agencies and local use frequecies in those areas because of the lack of people looking there. Just because it is not in thier usual band doen't mean it it not used. For example DEA uses 418 Mhz and around that area. But you can find them on many other bands like 40 Mhz, 163-174, and sometimes in very wierd bands like the new one alocated for public safety in the 700 Mhz band. Phil is incorrect.
Trevor Harris
Commercial Communication Tech, Electronics Tech, Former Submarine Radioman.

JA Moran wrote:

> UHF Television starts at 470 and goes to about 820mhz this is channels 14-69
> used to be 14-83 each channel is 6mhz wide with audio being at the top end
> example 470-476 audio is at 475.75 use this formula and you can calculate the
> audio for any other UHF TV channel in the USA
>
> Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> > conspiracy_theory@area51.com wrote in message
> > >Because most government agencies use those frequencies knowing darn
> > >well that most scanners don't receive in that range and the ones that
> > >do, those scanner owners never tune in that area! Perfect for the
> > >FBI/DEA/CIA/MIB...
> > >
> > >Its a conspiracy, don't be fooled.
> >
> > Bzzzt! Wrong!
> >
> > But thanks for playing....
> >
> > FBI: 162-167 Mhz DES encrypted 99.9% of the time
> > DEA: 418-420 Mhz DES encrypted during raids, otherwise generally in the
> > clear. Check here for Customs ops too.
> > CIA: Anywhere from 162 to 420 MHz - rarely used. I have yet to hear anything
> > from them.
> > MIB: 1.2 to 6.9 terrahertz triple DES encrypted data transmission. Apple
> > Powerbooks are used as MDTs because of their apparent compatibility with
> > alien technology. Used only in the movies. (yes, it's a joke folks)
> >
> > -Phil
>
> --
> ---
> | JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com) http://www.analon.com
> | | The RIGHT computer for you at an affordable price |

> 310-346-7404
> | ---> Unix, Computing and making good Chemistry
> | Unix :) vi..my favorite text editor (: MS-Windows
> :) :<
> | Don't send junk/spam mail, That Stuff goes straight to the bit bucket!<
> | if responding to a usenet msg, also e-mail also so I will check the grp
> --

4577. Re: Odd digital signal - any ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-11 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3761AE56.B2185E31@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115788

it is telemitry. they use it to indicate items like water flow from a well,
or level of a water tank.

Mike Barnard wrote:

> A local water department is sending packet-like data bursts, and
> I'd love to know what the heck they're using to do so. The signal appears
> to be FSK (512 baud, 900+1500hz tones). I've never run across any other
> digital signal that uses these parameters; does anyone have any idea what
> this might be? My best guess is either telemetry or security, but I'm
> more interested in what format this might be or if anyone's seen
> equipment that generates a similar signal in use somewhere else.

4578. Poor ebay.com, the new UI is off again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990612085308.00166.00000831@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 115539

Poor Ebay.com ......frustrations galour !
06/09/99 - 07:12:44 Ebay announces their new User Interface (UI) and system look. They put it on-line for all to enjoy with hopes of gaining more of the green stuff and higher stock prices.
06/09/99 - 18:24:02 Ebay announces their system is not working correctly. The next 61 system messages explain what they are doing to get ebay.com back on-line. Hard crash... I bet there are many very frustrated customers. Do I hear the sound of stocks falling ?
06/11/99 - 17:37:01 A letter is posted from the Ebay big wigs spilling their emotions to their customers... please love us !!!!!!!!
Next are 11 more system messages...... until .....
06/12/99 - 05:16:38 Ebay announces they are backing out the new UI, but want to make sure you, the customer, understand it was not that new UI that brought the system crashing down ...... ya right .... Ebay, ever hear of testing and quality control ? WOW over 3 days of no ebay ..... how can life go on ?
Now I guess they (Ebay.com) feel a bit of the frustration as did/do the scanner owners who have had their scanner sales pulled from ebay for bogus reasons or so I have read on this newsgroup.
If you want to read the numerous system messages Ebay.com has placed for all to view about the past few days of frustration go to the below URL:

http://calculus.ebay.com/aw/announce.shtml

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

4579. Re: Mdt montioring in LA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <37625AFA.F3FFDFEA@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 115540

No, but I bet those gentlemen getting out of the black van and
walking to your door would be more than happy to assist you.

Our freedom is slipping away.

Michael Panman & January Riley wrote:
>
> In light of what happened with Bill cheek, is anyone still monioring
> mdts. If so does anyone know of any to monitor in LA?

4580. Re: Programmable scanners for computers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Christopher A. Brubaker" <rmichris@early.com>
Message-ID: <7jtioi$470$1@blimpy2.early.com>
Source record: 115541
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, what you are inquiring about is possible. WinRadio makes a scanner
that installs in your computer. All controls are on screen. Many functions
are available by using optional software packages. Check out the web site
at http://www.winradio.com.

Chris

Jeff Kilgore <kilgore@dev.tivoli.com> wrote in message
news:37601072.4D734CFF@dev.tivoli.com...
> Check out Listening Post at www.lpcomm.com.
>
> Jeff
>
> Frank Laughlin III wrote:
> >
> > Some time ago, I recall reading about programmable scanners for
computers.
> > It was software driven to select frequencies and (despite the debate
> > regarding this) it had the capability to monitor a frequency and
> > capture/record what is heard for review later. It was able to monitor
> > multiple frequencies and kept each WAV file associated with the channel
it
> > was heard on. Are these still available on the market?

4581. FS-New unblocked Icom R-2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: ka4993gg@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990612102241.14224.00001046@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 115542

Also comes with unopened Icom leather carrying case. $290 shipped

4582. info on ar 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Baxter <danbax@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7jttmo$2t$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115543

I would like to know what the going price for a ar 8000 with opto scout jack installed is right now

4583. Re: ICOM R75 Receiver - Who had one and how do they like it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: McAllister Bryant <bryantm1@us.ibm.com>
Message-ID: <7jtv6r$10g8$1@rtpnews.raleigh.ibm.com>
Source record: 115544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I have one of the R75's from Grove (004). I only have it hooked to a Sony AN-1 at the time but it seems to be a very good radio. It is taking a bit to get used to the menu but that is because I am used to a R7A. I do not have any of the extra filters or DSP unit yet so I do not know how they work. I will say that it is a keeper. The two weeks that I have had it have been very good. I plan on a more detailed review at sometime in the near future but have not had time.

ShortWave Magazine just released the second review by John Wilson (designer of the Lowe 150 and 225) and he is very impressed with the radio. The second review, which came out about a week ago had very good things to say.

More to follow.

Bryant

Jeff Adams wrote in message <376162d5.401955431@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com>...
>I posted this question a week or two ago and only one person
>responded. Anyone else out there bought this radio yet? Anyone
>preparing a review for publication (if so, where and when)? Anyone who
>has one, please post your thoughts, and if you have other receivers,
>how it compares to them.
>
>Thank you,
>
>======CORRECT EMAIL: remove the xyz====================================
>| Jeff.Adams@gscxyz.gte.com) | GTE Electronic Systems Division |
>| 650-966-2122 | Mountain View, CA U.S.A. |
>| Views expressed are mine and do not necessarily reflect the official |
>| position of GTE or any of its subsidiaries |
>==

4584. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Martin <dwmartin@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <01beb222$77883540$LocalHost@ns.idirect.com>
Source record: 115545
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Yup, thats exactly why I listen. I also love to know what kind of vermin
live down the road et.c

> and then, what IS the purpose of you having a scanner ?
> .....entertainment ?
> or perhaps "infotainment" ?
>
> jim
>

4585. Re: Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37628AC9.88EC83DC@usa.net>
Source record: 115546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

OK Blake, I'll be more PRECISE for you.

Ask Blake how long after he made the phone call to my Dad's office (where he said nothing & immediately hung up) it took to track that hang up call as coming
from Blake's home phone.

Blake received a call back in a matter of minutes & he denied making the call, blaming it on him15 yr old son!!!!

Are you happy now Blake?

Blake Bowers wrote:

> On Fri, 11 Jun 1999 18:51:41 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >Ask Blake how long after he made a prank call to my Dad's office it took
>
> John, don't start with the lies again. I NEVER made a PRANK call
> to your office. I mean, the one you say is your dad's.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

4586. Yaesu VX-1R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: GEORGE 2 <georgeph@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <V1w83.1827$ll5.14482@server1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 115547

For Sale Yaesu VX-1R
Condition 9.5 (only because it is used) No marks or scratches
Firmware A102, UNblocked in freeband mode.
Includes box and literature, Lith-Ion battery, charger,antenna and belt clip.
Also included Yaesu Leather case and AA battery adapter.
$200.00 + Shipping
Please reply via email
Thanks, George

4587. Re: ICOM R75 Receiver - Who had one and how do they like it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bj&#65533;rn Danielsson <bjorndan@telia.com>
Message-ID: <p4w83.143$26.186570752@newsb.telia.net>
Source record: 115548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I got one too.
I also have the DSP and a 3.3 kHz filter.
It is a very good radio compared to my old Icom R72.
Better audio than R72.
It has been tested in Sweden by a Dx-er and he says the same too, it is a very good buy.
The DSP works very good, The auto-notch really eats the tones. THe Noice reduction works well too.
The 2-stage preamp works om Mediumwave too.

73 Bj&#65533;rn Danielsson , Sweden
Jeff Adams <jeff.adams@gscxyz.gte.com> skrev i diskussionsgruppsmeddelandet:376162d5.401955431@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com...
> I posted this question a week or two ago and only one person
> responded. Anyone else out there bought this radio yet? Anyone
> preparing a review for publication (if so, where and when)? Anyone who
> has one, please post your thoughts, and if you have other receivers,
> how it compares to them.
>
> Thank you,
>
> ======CORRECT EMAIL: remove the xyz====================================
> | Jeff.Adams@gscxyz.gte.com) | GTE Electronic Systems Division |
> | 650-966-2122 | Mountain View, CA U.S.A. |
> | Views expressed are mine and do not necessarily reflect the official |
> | position of GTE or any of its subsidiaries |
> ==

4588. Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael F Carrotto <MFCARRO@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01beb4f4$d031d820$25fa48a6@default>
Source record: 115549

What would be the best source for scanner frequencies

4589. PRO 2006 NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: gilbertwa@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990612125954.19628.00000604@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 115550

Still in Box, not a scratch, brand new, never used, best offer

GilbertWa@aol.com

4590. Re: Hamster wheel as antenna??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: firebird@gate.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11cc5dc7778ca55989697@news.gate.net>
Source record: 115551

In article <17050-37621C17-1@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Typecast@webtv.net says...
> yeap...you hook that thar thing up to a runnin car motor, and youze can
> hear that cellular stuff...as long as you dont have a katalitick
> converter on it.
>
>
Is dat cuz da kat wud et the hamester?
--

Old Quote; "Necessity is the plea for every infringment of
human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
of slaves."

New Quote; "For the children, is the plea for every infringment
of human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
of slaves."

E. Vigilance 1998

4591. FA: NEW Bird wattmeter (watt meter) 4304A 500W

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bidemail@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990612134055.28696.00000286@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 115552

FA: NEW Bird wattmeter (watt meter) 4304A 500W

Brand new in box, never used, Bird Wattmeter Model 4304A. Allows reading from 25-1000 MHz on five power range settings at 5, 15, 50, 150, and 500 watts. (original price $500) Includes 500 watt element.

For auction on ebay with picture ends 6-13-99

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=112973280

4592. Re: Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <KZw83.1010$N3.66398@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

SO WHO CARES?

This has nothing to do with scanners Toilet-Bowl, so take it to
alt.fan.john.mackey toilet boy where your crap belongs.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37628AC9.88EC83DC@usa.net...
> OK Blake, I'll be more PRECISE for you.
>
> Ask Blake how long after he made the phone call to my Dad's office (where
he
> said nothing & immediately hung up) it took to track that hang up call as
> coming
> from Blake's home phone.
>
> Blake received a call back in a matter of minutes & he denied making
> the call, blaming it on him15 yr old son!!!!
>
> Are you happy now Blake?
>
>
>
> Blake Bowers wrote:
>
> > On Fri, 11 Jun 1999 18:51:41 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> > >Ask Blake how long after he made a prank call to my Dad's office it
took
> >
> > John, don't start with the lies again. I NEVER made a PRANK call
> > to your office. I mean, the one you say is your dad's.
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

4593. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <h6x83.130$tm.8881@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 115554
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3760b575.525653530@news.earthlink.net>, dogbert@mindless.com wrote:
>On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
>wrote:
>
>>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
>>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig.
>[snip]
>
>Using such a radio would be illegal.
>
>
>
>

Actually such equipment exists and is available and it's not illegal. The primary users of such equipment are those business that have a need to test consumer electronic equipment, medical electronic equipment, military electronic equipment...etc. for ElectroMagnetic Compatibility and ElectroMagnetic Interference or as we know it EMC/EMI. The transmitter and receivers used to do the measurements generally operate from 14khz to 40ghz and are continuously tunable and can generate a fieldstrength of 200v/m. Go down to the local library and check out these two magazines: Evaluation Engineering and Compliance Engineering. Both have articals and advertisements citing the equipment you are looking for...Price and availablity of the equipment will be up to the individual manufacturers.
.................enjoy.................

Fred

4594. Re: Transmit on Fast Food Drive-Thru?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Nowlan <patrickn@idir.net>
Message-ID: <M5x83.824$m93.8145@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 115555
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

any CB will do this if it's close enough.

K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net> wrote in message
news:929063996.127.42@news.remarQ.com...
> Fill out a job application.
>
> jedi_force@webtv.net wrote in message
> <21546-375F37DE-44@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>...
> Is there a way to transmit on cordless fast food places like McDonalds
> or Taco Bell or Jack in the Box? If there is, whats the freqs to
> transmit?
> Thanks!
>
>
>

4595. Re: Hamster wheel as antenna??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <PGx83.8457$G01.126799@news3.giganews.com>
Source record: 115556

no really though. Connecting the antenna jack to the spark plug will let you convert your analog scanner to a Digital scanner !!!!! no joke. My police are digital and after doing the mod I can now hear them. Oh right!!!!

Awesome1 <firebird@gate.net> wrote in message news:MPG.11cc5dc7778ca55989697@news.gate.net...
> In article <17050-37621C17-1@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> Typecast@webtv.net says...
> > yeap...you hook that thar thing up to a runnin car motor, and youze can
> > hear that cellular stuff...as long as you dont have a katalitick
> > converter on it.
> >
> >
> Is dat cuz da kat wud et the hamester?
> --
>
> Old Quote; "Necessity is the plea for every infringment of
> human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
> of slaves."
>
> New Quote; "For the children, is the plea for every infringment
> of human liberty. It is the argument of tyrants, it is the creed
> of slaves."
>
> E. Vigilance 1998

4596. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <BCx83.8217$_m4.130487@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 115557
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks for the info. That is all that I needed to know. I wanted no more
than information. To know if such existed. Thanks.

Reinaga
<tos-tng@startrekmail.com> wrote in message
news:h6x83.130$tm.8881@news.erinet.com...
> In article <3760b575.525653530@news.earthlink.net>, dogbert@mindless.com
wrote:
> >On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it
has to
> >>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig.
> >[snip]
> >
> >Using such a radio would be illegal.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Actually such equipment exists and is available and it's not illegal. The
> primary users of such equipment are those business that have a need to
test
> consumer electronic equipment, medical electronic equipment, military
> electronic equipment...etc. for ElectroMagnetic Compatibility and
> ElectroMagnetic Interference or as we know it EMC/EMI. The transmitter
and
> receivers used to do the measurements generally operate from 14khz to
40ghz
> and are continuously tunable and can generate a fieldstrength of 200v/m.
Go
> down to the local library and check out these two magazines: Evaluation
> Engineering and Compliance Engineering. Both have articals and
advertisements
> citing the equipment you are looking for...Price and availablity of the
> equipment will be up to the individual manufacturers.
> ....enjoy.............
>
> Fred

4597. Re: Mdt montioring in LA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <dJx83.8219$_m4.130925@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 115558

actually it was a black suburban, with black tinted windows and lots of
antennas on top.
Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
news:37625AFA.F3FFDFEA@hiwaay.net...
> No, but I bet those gentlemen getting out of the black van and
> walking to your door would be more than happy to assist you.
>
> Our freedom is slipping away.
>
> Michael Panman & January Riley wrote:
> >
> > In light of what happened with Bill cheek, is anyone still monioring
> > mdts. If so does anyone know of any to monitor in LA?

4598. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: ksmith@best.com
Message-ID: <3762b07a$0$213@nntp1.ba.best.com>
Source record: 115559
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <37582F21.425F1696@lidstrom.com>, john lidstrom <john@lidstrom.com> wrote:
>If anyone makes a radio like this, it is probably Wulfsberg (Wolfsburg??),
> They
>make EXPENSIVE radios for aircraft and military folks.

The San Jose PD has one of these radios in their helicopter. Read about it
here:

http://www.sjpd.org/airsupport.html

4599. Re: Motorola GP300 Programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: fierfightr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990612153935.07374.00001114@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 115560

Take the radio to an authorized Motorola Dealer. They will programm the radio for a nominla fee. Unless you plan on spending several weeks signing software contracts and countelss amount of money to obtain the RSS software, cables, and RIB it just isn't worth it. Buy a scanner ti scan...and a transciever to talk. Motorola has done a great job in keeping it's software out of the general public's hands.

Mark

4600. Re: BC200 audio/squelch chip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: fierfightr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990612154249.07374.00001115@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 115561

try TK-10421M-2
The chip type is IC-401 the RF in pin is 20, the scan pin is 16, and audio pin
is 11
Mark

4601. Re: Info on Dolphin Tetra anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: duncan@g8knf.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <374c65a5.2340223@news.globalnet.co.uk>
Source record: 115562
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

On Sun, 23 May 1999 22:39:17 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

Large Snip>>>>>>

>I was just curious. Since we have the Trunk Tracker, here in the
>States, is there a Tetra Tracker for other parts of the world?
>Although I fail to see way they put the word Dolphin in the name.

So far I am not aware of either a Tetra decoder or anyone working on
one yet. It is a fully digital system using 25khz channels.

Dolphin is a trade name of the operator, ultimately owned by a
canadian telecoms/cable co..... TIW??? something like that.

Duncan
E17

UK

>--
>REMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
>ESPECIALLY WHEN YOUR ON A DATE. BURP

4602. Re: Lo-Jack Frequencys ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: duncan@g8knf.freeserve.co.uk
Message-ID: <3762b57e.11942356@news.globalnet.co.uk>
Source record: 115563

On Tue, 08 Jun 1999 22:12:19 -0700, Trevor Harris
<wb6sub@earthlink.net> wrote:

>yes 173.075 nb FM
>
>Carolim30 wrote:
>
>> Anyone firmiliar with Lo-Jack Output frequencys??? Higher end of Hi-Band??
>
>

Yep, In the US it's 173.075

Any idea what freq is used in the UK?

Duncan
London

4603. Tower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: texrag@pop.flash.net
Message-ID: <3762BFCC.5C66D03E@pop.flash.net>
Source record: 115564

Been trying to find a place to buy a used or new 20' to 30' tower to
put up in the west Texas area for scanning and TV broadcast.
Have a place between Leakey and Rocksprings in west Texas and would
like to install an antennae so I don't get to board.
Any and all help will be greatly appreciated.
Thanks Jimmy

4604. freq. tex dps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Sanders <jdsand@flash.net>
Message-ID: <Njz83.315$sz6.1002@news.flash.net>
Source record: 115565

does anyone have the freq. of texas highway patrol that u can hear both sides of the conversation...i live in dallas, tnx john

4605. Re: Digital Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Darren L. North" <northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net>
Message-ID: <3762c87f@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 115566

Chipster <ss@mc.net> wrote in message news:110619991506349783%ss@mc.net...
> No such device exists and I know of no plans for such anytime soon.
>
>
>In article <7jro1o$8pn$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>, Christian
><nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
>> Does anybody known if a digital scanner exists. Some of the local police
I
>> listen to have gone from analog to digital.

If you've got the cash, why not just go out and buy a digital radio, such as an ASTRO or something? Just a suggestion, until something better comes along.

4606. Re: Wal-Mart Freq. Wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Darren L. North" <northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net>
Message-ID: <3762c982@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 115567

Pursuit10851 <inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not> wrote in message
news:37610481.882615@news.pacbell.net...
>On Thu, 10 Jun 1999 23:48:19 -0500, "Danny R. Barnes"
><danny315@prodigy.net> wrote:
>
>>Some stores use handheld marine radios.
>>
>>Paul L wrote:
>>>
>>> Does anyone have the freq. for those hand helds used in Wal-Marts or do
they
>>> different for each location?
>
>Just went there last night, and I noticed that they were using Kenwood
>FRS radios on channel 14 (467.7125 MHz). They used to use Motorola
>business-band handhelds on 151.625 or 154.570.
>
>
>
>Spamblock in effect. Reply in newsgroups.

Is that legal? I know they claim to be family-oriented, however I thought
that businesses were not permitted to use those freqs! Just curious!

4607. Re: motorola radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Darren L. North" <northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net>
Message-ID: <3762ca3d@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 115568

Shaun Whitman <lstrain@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:uoQ4Hlus#GA.105@cpmsnbbsa02...
>It depends. Motorola makes replacement stubby antennas for both VHF and UHF
>versions.
>Do you have a model number?
>
>Shaun Whitman
>shaun@railfan.net
>Central Jersey Rails
>http://JerseyRails.Railfan.net
>
>Charlie Brookhart wrote in message <7jn60qdtf1@autumn.news.rcn.net>...
>>What frequency range would a motorola radius GP350 be opearting in if the
>>atenna was short and fat?
>>
>>Charlie
>>
>>
>
>

Why not get a frequency counter? If you use one of those, it doesn't matter
what the antenna looks like on the radio. Also, I guess you have checked
FCC listings for the entity you wish to monitor.

4608. Re: Alpha Display Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Darren L. North" <northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net>
Message-ID: <3762ca86@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 115569

John Habbinga <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net> wrote in message
news:g0G73.666$_m4.28695@news2.giganews.com...
>What about the Racing Electronics 2000? I saw an advertisement for this in
a
>magazine and wondered if anyone had reviewed it. It appears to be a
>promising scanner. It has computer programing, alpha-numeric display and
>PL/DPL compatibility.
>
>

Who makes it, and how much? Never heard of it!

4609. Re: Alpha Display Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: firebuff66@aol.comspam
Message-ID: <19990612172952.00710.00000772@ng33.aol.com>
Source record: 115570

Is and HS200 by relm
A version they made for racing elec.
Too much money right now. its over $100 more than a normal HS200

4610. Re: Repair necessary for AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Marcus R. Matos" <raydr@minionsfromhell.com>
Message-ID: <yyA83.1724$h14.8392@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 115571

Just fix it yourself. I broke mine off my BC205XLT, and while it was a huge pain unsoldering the metal covers and stuff off of it, it wasn't too hard to repair.

And if you have no tools at all for repairing it, you can get everything you need at Radio Shack for less than $15.

Barry Cotter <bjc@advantage.com> wrote in message news:929135526.34029@nnrp.gt.ca...
> Does anyone have a recommendation for where one can get an AR8000 repaired
> in Vancouver, BC? My cleaning lady snapped the antenna off my radio and I
> can see that the solder joint is now broken on the board inside.
>
> Any recommendations would be much appreciated.
>
> Barry

4611. Re: Transmit on Fast Food Drive-Thru?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <3762D886.20E1E587@globe-net.net>
Source record: 115572
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Not really. If the PL tone isn't detected, their receiver won't open the squelch no matter how much unregulated RF that noise box generates. ;-)

Patrick Nowlan wrote:

> any CB will do this if it's close enough.

4612. remanufactured uniden radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: hockeyst@concentric.net
Message-ID: <hockeyst-1206991809350001@ts003d23.sag-mi.concentric.net>
Source record: 115573

***Please E-mail response directly if possible as I do not always have
news capability

Has anyone tired the uniden "like new" remanufactured units
They come with a 90 day warrenty and are certified to meet specs when they
leave the factory. The savings are about 60%. does this sound like
something worth trying.

thanks in advance

sean in micigan

4613. Re: FS-New unblocked Icom R-2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Denny Alford <kdalford@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3762DCEF.D48DBFEB@mindspring.com>
Source record: 115574

Please contact me ... I am interested.

502-926-0866 (After 8:30PM CST)

KA4993GG wrote:

> Also comes with unopened Icom leather carrying case. $290 shipped

4614. Will TE slot cards work to eliminate carrier tones on LSB & USB on a AR8200?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jagxr@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <7junm0$34d$1@nntp5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 115575

please answer via e-mail

4615. Re: remanufactured uniden radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: w6jrf@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990612184702.03732.00000979@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 115576

Hello Sean; I bought a BC-235XLT via the "remanufactured" route from Uniden and I have absolutely no complaints. The radio arrived packaged just like they look in the store and other than a small decal on the back, it was impossible to tell that it had been in and out of the factory twice. Delivery was very prompt and when I had a question regarding programming, they readily responded. I think you would be pleased with their service. 73's Bob, W6JRF

4616. PRO-60 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Miller <mcmiller@netboise.com>
Message-ID: <37630185.901009AB@netboise.com>
Source record: 115577

I have a excellent, like new Radio Shack PRO-60
portable scanner for sale 25-956 mhz continuous (
except cell). Leather case (soft type)
charger/ac adapter, manual, box, antenna. Will
sell and ship for
$130 from zip 83713.

e-mail or call
208-938-3103
John Miller
AK7DX/SWL

4617. Re: ICOM R75 Receiver - Who had one and how do they like it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeff.adams@gscxyz.gte.com
Message-ID: <3763e167.499893518@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com>
Source record: 115578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 12 Jun 1999 11:43:48 -0400, "McAllister Bryant"
<bryantm1@us.ibm.com> wrote:

>I have one of the R75's from Grove (004). I only have it hooked to a Sony
>AN-1 at the time but it seems to be a very good radio. It is taking a bit
>to get used to the menu but that is because I am used to a R7A. I do not
>have any of the extra filters or DSP unit yet so I do not know how they
>work. I will say that it is a keeper. The two weeks that I have had it
>have been very good. I plan on a more detailed review at sometime in the
>near future but have not had time.
>
>ShortWave Magazine just released the second review by John Wilson (designer
>of the Lowe 150 and 225) and he is very impressed with the radio. The
>second review, which came out about a week ago had very good things to say.

Thanks for the info. I broke down and bought one yesterday. Two things
so far bother me a little bit:

1) It would appear that the synchronous detector only works on AM? The
manual is not all that great (or, I'm just not very experienced with
SW radios...).

2) Also, it appears that the "scan" function can't be made to work
like a standard scanner. There is no mode (at least from what I can
tell reading the manual, I haven't played with it on the radio yet)
where it will stay on a frequency until the transmission is complete.
The manual says it will move off the transmission in 10 seconds
regardless of whether someone is still talking or not.

Can anyone confirm or deny these two issues? Any other features that
seem unusual to you?

Thanks in advance,
Jeff Adams

======CORRECT EMAIL: remove the xyz====================================
| Jeff.Adams@gscxyz.gte.com) | GTE Electronic Systems Division |
| 650-966-2122 | Mountain View, CA U.S.A. |
| Views expressed are mine and do not necessarily reflect the official |
| position of GTE or any of its subsidiaries |
==

4618. Re: ICOM R75 Receiver - Who had one and how do they like it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeff.adams@gscxyz.gte.com
Message-ID: <3764e265.500147634@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com>
Source record: 115579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 12 Jun 1999 16:40:53 GMT, "Bjï¿½rn Danielsson"
<bjorndan@telia.com> wrote:

>I got one too.
>I also have the DSP and a 3.3 kHz filter.
>It is a very good radio compared to my old Icom R72.
>Better audio than R72.
>It has been tested in Sweden by a Dx-er and he says the same too, it is a
>very good buy.
>The DSP works very good, The auto-notch really eats the tones. THe Noice
>reduction works well too.
>The 2-stage preamp works om Mediumwave too.
>
>73 Bjï¿½rn Danielsson , Sweden

Thank you very much for your posts. Sounds like I ought to get the DSP
unit (which is what you said in your first post :-)). Can you comment
on my questions in my other post in this thread (regarding the sync
detector and scanning)?

Thanks very much,

======CORRECT EMAIL: remove the xyz====================================
| Jeff.Adams@gscxyz.gte.com) | GTE Electronic Systems Division |
| 650-966-2122 | Mountain View, CA U.S.A. |
| Views expressed are mine and do not necessarily reflect the official |
| position of GTE or any of its subsidiaries |
==

4619. Re: remanufactured uniden radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: kegan30317@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990612190517.28209.00000986@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 115580

SEAN WROTE:

>Has anyone tired the uniden "like new" remanufactured units
>They come with a 90 day warrenty and are certified to meet specs when they
>leave the factory. The savings are about 60%. does this sound like
>something worth trying.
>
>

You can expect like new performance from the reconditioned radios. One note on the pricing however. If they are offering you 60% of SUGGESTED retail it may not be as great a deal as you think. For example, if a given dealer (ScannerWorld, Grove, etc) offers the same product NEW for say 35% off SUGGESTED retail, your actual savings on buying reconditioned is 25%. Now, 25% is still a significant savings, but not quite as head turning as the 60% you mentioned initially. The radios (new or reconditioned) will work equally well, but with the new you will likely get a longer warranty. Whether this is worth the difference in price is your call. Hope that helps.

4620. Durham Region Radio Group Moved!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Bate <davebate@home.com>
Message-ID: <r3C83.6529$Yr2.94533@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 115581
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The Durham Region Radio Group has moved to
http://members.home.net/davebate/.

Scanner info for:

Durham Region
-Clarington
-Oshawa
-Northumberland County
-Hastings County
Ontario Provincial Police

Military, Maritime, Shortwave, Satellites, Weather and more.

--
David Bate
Bowmanville, Ontario
ICQ #9344489
http://members.home.net/davebate/

4621. Re: Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7juqnvcci0@207.18.224.79>
Source record: 115582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Sat, 12 Jun 1999 16:23:22 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>OK Blake, I'll be more PRECISE for you.

Just tell the truth John. That's all. I realize that is
very difficult for you.

>
>Ask Blake how long after he made the phone call to my Dad's office (where he
>said nothing & immediately hung up) it took to track that hang up call as
>coming
>from Blake's home phone.

Caller ID is nothing new. The lie you made is about me supposedly
harrassing you. I hung up on VOICEMAIL. As I explained on the
phone to you later that evening, many people hang up on voicemail.

No harrassment as you lied about.
>
>Blake received a call back in a matter of minutes & he denied making
>the call, blaming it on him15 yr old son!!!!
>
No John, you are lying again. You asked me if I had another person in
my house, and I replied only my son...

You are a filthy liar John, and you know it. It still seems strange
that your fathers voice and yours, are identical. From a 30 something
punk, to a retired military officer in his 70's, you would certainly
expect the voices to be at least a little different, not exaclty
alike.

BTW, you never explained why the first time you called, why instead of
saying hello at first, you said "what did you find out on Mackey", an
obvious attempt to make me think that you were an aquaintenance of
mine.

Ya friggin loon...
>
>
>
>Blake Bowers wrote:
>
>> On Fri, 11 Jun 1999 18:51:41 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>> >Ask Blake how long after he made a prank call to my Dad's office it took
>>
>> John, don't start with the lies again. I NEVER made a PRANK call

>> to your office. I mean, the one you say is your dad's.
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

4622. Re: remanufactured uniden radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <7jv39a$n2k$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115583

I wouldn't be surprised if many returns were due to customers that
could not program their scanners, and the receivers might have been
fine. After all, we all have heard about people that have blinking clocks
on their VCR's. Reference: rec.fun.lobotomy

sean wrote in message ...
>***Please E-mail response directly if possible as I do not always have
>news capability
>
>Has anyone tired the uniden "like new" remanufactured units
>They come with a 90 day warrenty and are certified to meet specs when they
>leave the factory. The savings are about 60%. does this sound like
>something worth trying.
>
>
>thanks in advance
>
>sean in micigan

4623. Re: What's the BEST scanner on the market today?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11ccbf54d649e3e49897ea@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sorry dude, you are talking about the WRONG kind of scanner. These are "radio frequency scanners" not optical scanners.

4624. Re: Digital Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Schmidt <bryschmidt@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <7jv44rost1@usenet46.supernews.com>
Source record: 115585

>
> If you've got the cash, why not just go out and buy a digital radio, such as
> an ASTRO or something? Just a suggestion, until something better comes
> along.
>
>

Would this be a viable option, due they actually scan or do you have to moniter 1 channel at a time? where would I find one? what about software to program it?

Thanks,
Bryan

4625. BC 235 XLT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Satan <Satan@river.styx>
Message-ID: <eiF83.471$gr5.73228@feed.centuryinter.net>
Source record: 115586

Does anyone know a Mod. for the battery function????????

4626. NEW Discone Antenna at Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Honeycutt <k3458@leo.infi.net>
Message-ID: <37631FB3.F916226C@leo.infi.net>
Source record: 115587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello Everyone,

Please take a quick look at the Scanner / Ham Radio Discone Antenna that
I have listed at eBay Auction. It is brand new in the box.

Follow this link to the listing

Thank you for your time,
David Honeycutt

4627. Re: Mdt montioring in LA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <37632518.1CEC0C96@hiwaay.net>
Source record: 115588

Sorry, I stand corrected. Hehehe! :)

Bruce

Robert wrote:
>
> actually it was a black suburban, with black tinted windows and lots of
> antennas on top.
> Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs@hiwaay.net> wrote in message
> news:37625AFA.F3FFDFEA@hiwaay.net...
> > No, but I bet those gentlemen getting out of the black van and
> > walking to your door would be more than happy to assist you.
> >
> > Our freedom is slipping away.
> >
> > Michael Panman & January Riley wrote:
> > >
> > > In light of what happened with Bill cheek, is anyone still monioring
> > > mdts. If so does anyone know of any to monitor in LA?

4628. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Spunky The Waffle Iron"
Message-ID: <801202AAC61C977D.70D7A284A310CAD1.695BA408541E3C5A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 115589
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I think there are a few HAM radio's out there which can transmit from about 50Khz - 60Mhz. If not, then a simple mod or two would probably enable this.

4629. Re: You have a maid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17051-376347EB-21@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115590

If I could afford a maid...I'd buy a new scanner.
(kidding!)

4630. PRO-90 Any Fixes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marge <danno@primeline.com>
Message-ID: <7k52s4$oor@news.primeline.com>
Source record: 115591

Recently purchased a Realistic Trunk Tracker Pro-90....Any known fixes to unlock full ranges.....

4631. FS PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: berta1s@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990611201807.29434.00000646@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 115659

LIKE NEW WITH EVERYTHING THAT COMES WITH IT UNBLOCKED B/O OVER $270.00

4632. scanner recorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: cukr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990611203002.08999.00000754@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 115660

Hello:
I have some questions for anyone using scanner recorder.
I have downloaded and installed scanner recorder. It works great! But I do have 1 question and 1 problem with it. I hope that someone can help me.
1) The version that I downloaded is supposed to have allow for a user defined threshhold. Can anyone cue me as to how this threshold is defined and where the settings are for it?
2) The program works great on my handhelds with their portable antennas. But when I connect my outside mounted discone I get lots of ground noise. This does not occur when the discone only is connected to the scanners. It only happens when the discone and the patch cord to my computer are both connected. Any suggestions?

If any one is interested in the program this the url
www.davee.com

I like the program! I would really like to be able to use it with my outside antenna.
Thanks for your help.
Strong signals and happy listening
Leslie

4633. NEW PRO 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: gilbertwa@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990611205116.13171.00000471@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 115661

Still in box,never used,not a single scratch, best offer

Gilbertwa@aol.com

4634. Re: Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7jse84$2rn@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115662

In <19990611131138.13166.00000270@ng-cd1.aol.com> gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) writes:
>
>>
>> Just an FYI, public need to know kind
>> of post. Public information.
>
>Sure it is, creep.
>Apparently, you're not above anything, let alone any "crime against humanity".
>What an asshole.
>Mike

Mike...please.

it is an FYI post so people can
be one with him and his sorrow
and commisserate with him in
a more personal way...to be
one with him as those who are
like him will relish. Being
able to speak with him in his
hour of need a special communication
which no NG can give forth.

bereavement is personal. It should
be shared with those that want to and
do so quietly and with great thought
for their saviour.

His memory is special to those who
so far have called me PUNK and Creep
and more...this is the culmination of
all that is right with this post.
to allow all the Creeps and punks and
indifferents in this world to
share with their saviour their
bereavement....

it allows the NG to go on and
not be made part of their sorrow.
it's the least one can do .....

4635. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7jseqf$go1@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <7jrpni$km3$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com> "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> writes:
>

>It's a shame too, because it was really starting to work, John. You
went
>from 30 lame off topic posts a day to less than 5. Only two people
decided
>to not join in the ban and reply to you. It was beautiful.
>
>Then Bill flung the proverbial barn door wide open again, for reasons
that I
>am not yet certain. Perplexing, but then Bill makes a point of making
>himself somewhat of a mystery here.
>
>I don't understand it either, but nonetheless, Bill's point is valid
and
>(now) proven: If you are ignored, you shut up. Period. You rely on us.

this was my reason. I wanted to show
how the silence can work wonders with
this flout. this mindless=glut.

My reason was as Phil made out: notice how
he comes on when people post, no
matter what. The mystery was that
there is no better way to get him
silenced than silence itself.

note that I stated his bereavement, his
condolences are to be sent to that address
or number.

finally people can direct their postings
to HIM personally and not on the NG. that
was my idea. that the funeral is alive and
well..

See how he comes back. I was waiting for that
til I posted this. Now that he's been pricked
a bit...now is the time to Leave him alone.

I'll either repost or do another. See how we
can rid the NG of this miscreant
claiming to be all things to his

little group of whoever's.

they can now communicate with him
direct and his daddy will forward
all matter to him...but his daddy
will get to know Johnny better. He
will.

that's it ...

Now, the idea is is to quietly go on into
the night and leave his "few" alive with
their own images.. No more the NG. If
it's really wanted...it works.

bill conduit
etc.

4636. Re: Digital Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990611220117.08951.00000953@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 115664

There are currenly no digital compatible scanners on the market. A decoder that would have allowed listening to Motorola ASTRO communications was very close to being introduced but has been put on hold pending a resolution of the Bill Cheek case. It really isn't safe to market one now that the government has apparently taken the position that all digital communications are protected under law simply by virtue of being digital.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

4637. Re: Coax question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990611220656.25963.00000439@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 115665

frussle@erols.com (Jake Brodsky) wrote:

>Standing waves are irrelevant for an application such as this. This
>is all about loss. They only matter to the extent that SWR problems
>result in a loss of receiver energy. In the vast majority of cases, a
>mismatch won't create a noticeable loss in received signal strength.

Jake, first of all, I am not attempting to ARGUE with you about LOSS VS SWR, or anything else. However, SWR is directly related to signal LOSS, however insignificant the LOSS may be. Also, it depends on other factors, like how much LOSS you are willing to accept, etc. The higher the operating frequency, the more pronounced the effect of SWR. Also, once again, RECEIVE, especially at VHF and HIGHER, is adversely effected by SWR, and hence, LOSS. This LOSS, both transmit and receive, dependes not only on the mismatch between the antenna and the coax, but by the TYPE of coax used. The poorer the quality of the coax, the higher the loss caused by SWR. But like I said, it really depends on what the individual operator is willing to accept. A 1.5 to 1 SWR (along with the inherent loss it causes) may be completely acceptable at 27 mhz. But The same SWR at 146 mhz, may be totally UNACCEPTABLE. This is because the COAX, at that frequency, exhibits much more LOSS, due to SWR, AND LINE LENGTH. Again, no argument intended, as this is material I learned in Engineering School. I am sorry if you either do not agree, or take it the WRONG way...Joey...

4638. Mackey Post...Explosion Ensues...Back to Silence as An Answer...true.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7jsfb8$5f5@dfw-ixnews11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115666

I posted the info to make
sure that all who see him
as their saviour have a
address to send him their
condolences and bereavement
at passing.

note how I worded it. funeral
in progess.

what i wanted to say was
by posting the FYI he came
to life. Note how he lay
in his coffin until a few
"non-believers' began to
incantate against the idea.

But no matter what, the
idea was valid as
to "we post, he comes
alive" and we all have
to go through the crap
if we so choose to read."

What happened was expected. Same
drivel from him and a few more
name-callings typical of this
fecal-wonderment ona stick.

Now that we see what silence
can do, and now we see the
totality of his genus, the
time is right for the idea
of SILENCE to just do what
he does not want done...

I propose that from this post and a few more
later from others that we do our part and
allow him his due. Silence is the answer.

those who post to this guy on NG, maybe
some of us could e=mail them to remind
them of the problem with the one who is
in the casket. asking them to
desist rocking the =dead=

anyway...let's just continue the answer to this matter at hand and relish in the fact that "we control him". he needs us to live. we don't need him and therefore we win.

Silence.

bill conduit
etc.

4639. Re: Cordless phone --> Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990611222829.25963.00000453@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 115667

Marcus R. Matos" <raydr@minionsfromhell.com wrote:

>I'm looking for a way to turn my cordless phone into a 47MHz scanner.
>WAIT! Put your lighters away. Here's the deal:
>
>It is a Southwestern Bell FF667 47MHz 25CH phone.
>
>I have two other cordless phones, which are in use, and this phone does not
>get along with the others.
>
>This phone will not turn itself on unless it recieves an "OK" from the base.
>I want to get rid of that. I believe it queries the base on 10.240MHz,
>because both the phone and the base have that crystal in it. The handset
>also has a 3.579545 crystal.
>
>The handset has a "channel" button on it. What I want is this:
>Say my mom is on one of the cordless phones. I would like to turn this one
>on, keep hitting "channel" until it hits the right frequency, and join in
>the conversation.
>
>Does anyone know if this is possible? Anyone else interested in doing this?
>Let me know please. Thanks
>

Marcus, this is not a FLAME, but I will clarify (hopefully) a couple of things. First, your HANDSET does not query the base unit at 10.240 mhz. That is probably the RECEIVE IF AMPLIFIER FILTER crystal. Second, the 3.579545 mhz crystal, is the REFERENCE OSCILLATOR for the DTMF (tone dialer) chip in the handset. That is only used to generate TOUCH TONES. Another problem with what you are trying to do, is that the SECURITY CODES are probably entirely different in the HANDSET you are trying to modify. If so, it is IMPOSSIBLE to make it do what you want. Modern cordless phones, even the OLD 46/49 mhz ones, use a tiny microprocessor to carry out instructions. It would be easier for you to try to BUY a REPLACEMENT handset for your MOM's phone, than to pursue what you are attempting...Joey

4640. Need St. Louis & Illinois Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: todane@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3765d2a4.9220380@news.accessus.net>
Source record: 115668
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone have St. Louis and East Illinois (Belleville area), police and fire freq's? Or know of a good site to get them? Thanks!

4641. AOR3000/SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990611234530.29159.00000830@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 115669

THANKS THE SCANNER HAS BEEN SOLD

4642. LOOK at this ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: innella@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990612004321.05649.00000541@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 115670

I just got a uniden SC150y.
Can someone tell me all the cool shit I'm going
to be listening to. I want to know it all ! I am really
stoked. I WILL NEVER GET TIRED OF THIS !!!

4643. Re: POLISH FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: doright@rcmp.ca
Message-ID: <3761e7df.444103@news.nikoma.de>
Source record: 115671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio,scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

On Sun, 23 May 1999 23:52:52 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
<theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:

>Does anybody have any frequencies for Poland?

Tak, mam.

Your purported e-mail address appears to be inoperable! Send your
valid e-mail address to me at Gli¿½wny Centrum Szpiegowski
101.32589@germanynet.de and I'll forward them to you.

Na razie,

zero zero siedem i pi¿½l

4644. Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.96.990612011340.25898D-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 115672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

who would want to anyway!!

On Mon, 7 Jun 1999, Shiva Nataraja wrote:

> Date: Mon, 07 Jun 1999 03:09:25 GMT
> From: Shiva Nataraja <removals1@iname.com>
> Reply-To: Newsgroup
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner
> Subject: Re: WARNING: Do Not Subscribe to Popular Communications Magazine via Their Web-Based Signup Form!
>
> On Sat, 05 Jun 1999 08:48:53 GMT, Clint Bradford
> <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >When you approached PopComm with this information, what was their reply
> >to you?
>
> Do you work on the weekends?
>
> I figure they don't, either.
>
> >If I remember correctly, PopComm subscriptions can be acquired with a
> >simply postcard that's included in every copy - and they'll bill you.
>
> My point exactly.
>
>
>

4645. Re: Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7jsqk5$c6a$0@207.18.224.107>
Source record: 115673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alf.fan.john.mackey

On Fri, 11 Jun 1999 18:51:41 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Ask Blake how long after he made a prank call to my Dad's office it took
>
John, don't start with the lies again. I NEVER made a PRANK call
to your office. I mean, the one you say is your dad's.

4646. WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: k952@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2813-3761E9AD-105@newsd-123.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115674

Hi All,

I just have to ask why anyone would want to listen too a cell communication?

1. they suck !!!!
2. They Suck !!!!!
3. THEY SUCK !!!!!!

Can anyone add to my list ?

Save your money if you have to listen to someone talk on a phone try 43,44,46,49, Mhz.

73 Chuck

4647. Re: MHZ,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rudi Mulder <mulder36@xs4all.nl>
Message-ID: <7jss6e$rr1$3@news1.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 115675
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,soc.penpals

OBI-WAN PEROGI heeft geschreven in bericht <3761A5D6.228D@ameritech.net>...
>Rudi Mulder wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >HEY RUDI'S NEIGHBOURS:
>> >
>> >C R A N K U P T H E V O L U M E & R I P T H E
>> >N O B O F F!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>> great men in blue are here now i showed them your postingsand they sure
will
>> contact you my FRIEND
>>
>> RM :))
>Yo G-Money, that's not blue but the " Mrn In Black ".

i must be colourblind then it seems blue to me LOL

4648. Re: Pro-37 help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mel Larsen <mland@cland.net>
Message-ID: <37620200.C0237458@cland.net>
Source record: 115676

Richard:

This might work for you. Choose a channel to use for your searching, even if it has a frequency programmed. Go to that channel via MANUAL to approach it. Hit PROGRAM, enter your lowest frequency, then hit the arrow pointing UP. It should start searching upward. At any time you want to reverse the direction of the search, just use the opposite arrow. To return the former frequency of that channel, just enter the frequency numbers and hit ENTER.

Hope this works for you.

Mel

"Richard l. Hoenes" wrote:

> I've lost my manual and can't remember how to scan between
> two frequencies. Thanks in advance for any help.

4649. Re: Accidently Listening to MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <qKo83.7536$G01.104245@news3.giganews.com>
Source record: 115677

oh keith just give him the freq so he can save his family =)
Keith <spambox@teleport.com> wrote in message
news:3765e69c.3129261@192.108.254.3...
> On Fri, 11 Jun 1999 22:37:02 -0400, Steve Grimaldi <sgrimaldi@erols.com>
wrote:
>
> >After reading about the Bill & Cindy Cheek incident, I would like to
> >make sure that I never even tune in a MDT frequency. Trouble is, I
> >believe that the freqs are not pre-blocked by the scanner manufacturer.
> >Could someone be so kind as to inform me of MDT Freqs in my area? I
> >live in Fairfax County Virginia near the town of Fairfax. I believe all
> >I have to worry about will be VA state Police, Fairfax County, and the
> >city of Fairfax. I will put an immediate Lockout on those Freqs as well
> >as warn all my friends. Please help as I am trying to protect the well
> >being of myself and my family.
>
> As long as you don't decode these frequencies you have nothing to worry
about.
>
>
>
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> --
> http://www.teleport.com/~kew www.teleport.com/~kew/ham_swl_radio/
> --
> If all the personal computers in the world 260 million were put to
> work on a single PGP-encrypted message, it would still take an
> estimated 12 million times the age of the universe, on average,
> to break a single message. --William Crowell, Deputy Director, National
Security Agency, March 20,1997.
>

4650. Mdt montioring in LA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael Panman & January Riley <jarmep@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <37621624.6FF04742@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115678

In light of what happened with Bill cheek, is anyone still monioring mdts. If so does anyone know of any to monitor in LA?

4651. Re: Hamster wheel as antenna??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17050-37621C17-1@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115679

yeap...you hook that thar thing up to a runnin car motor, and youze can hear that cellular stuff...as long as you dont have a katalitick converter on it.

4652. Re: Good Ol Pro 43 dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17047-37621CF7-5@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115680

i think we should hold a wake for it...

4653. Re: How did I kill my Bearcat 210XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17049-37621E36-1@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115681

were you verbally abusive to your scanner?
perhaps you hurt its feelings. you might be a victim of drive by
jamming. (try different power sources and resetting it)

4654. Re: Radio Raft decoding software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Francois Guillet <guillet.francois@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <7jt9qo$bq0$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 115682

Please note that the dysfunctionning of RadioRaft with K6 AMD is not
systematic.
Many K6 PC's work. The bug is depending on the motherboard (not yet cured).

Greetings

Francois F6FLT@TheOffice.net
http://ww.TheOffice.net/RadioRaft/

_____
Kapou wrote in his message <376038BA.983217B3@cybercable.fr>...
What kind of PC's do you have ? If it's a K6-2, stop getting bored with
the proggie, it won't work on it...
5I've got the same problem... I've written to the autor, and here is
what he said : "I don't understand, this problem seems to arrive with
1/10 PC's in England, and 1/100 in France...")
The software normally works with a data slicer, or a simple hamcomm
serial interface.

Cheers.

4655. Re: Ham Radio Operator using police frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17047-376225B2-7@newsd-101.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115683

I'm surprised at you gentlemen. Just because it's printed in the press it must be all fact? Ha! You are scanner owners aren't you?

As of today he still hasn't been charged with a crime. Probably because they don't have any evidence. This man built a successful business with many employees only to make a few racial comments on the police bands, so he could lose it all? I don't think so. RAILROAD ALERT

4656. Re: ABC News/Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Brown <sydptu@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <rfr83.250$fR5.2344@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 115684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

>> > One thing I can't stand is misrepresentation of facts.
>> > That ABC News story coupled with the news stories of that
>> > idiot in NY posting MDT data on his website sure make things look bad
>> > for scanner

More cross posting CRAP......

4657. Re: HELP EVERYBODY (New binary scanner group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: RICK <radiwave@deltaforce.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7jtfe7$ij6$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 115685
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

OK so I did not realise you could do all that with a binary group and now appreciate why you and all the others think it is a good idea to set it up.

I thought binary groups where for pictures only.

you all have my support for the setting up of the group.

RICK

Mr. Clem <nobody@home.here> wrote in message news:375FA8CC.7A7DAEFF@home.here...
> Well, we could share our home brew frequency database programs and
> control programs for computer controlled radios. Or mabey post
> schematics or pictures of vintage radios. As always with Usenet, if you
> ain't interested don't subscribe.
>
> RICK wrote:
> >
> > How will a binary group help us or am I just missing the point ???
> >

4658. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ivan Ivanovich <iivanovich@home.in.nome.com>
Message-ID: <u0dS2NMt#GA.244@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 115686

I wonder the following about your response:

1. Why do you care?
2. What is in it for you?
3. Why waste the data restating the same dribble others have posted?

C W wrote in message <2813-3761E9AD-105@newsd-123.bryant.webtv.net>...
Hi All,

I just have to ask why anyone would want to listen too a cell
communication?

1. they suck !!!!
2. They Suck !!!!!
3. THEY SUCK !!!!!!

Can anyone add to my list ?

Save your money if you have to listen to someone talk on a phone try
43,44,46,49, Mhz.

73 Chuck

4659. Re: Accidently Listening to MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ivan Ivanovich <iivanovich@home.in.nome.com>
Message-ID: <#egAPSMt#GA.115@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 115687

This is the ultimate in Political correctness, he should serve as an example of how the Clinton Adminstration wants us to respond.

Pres Clinton will be awarding him the Red Banner Order of Clinton medal for his heroic efforts to comply with the letter of the law.

Hats off to you comrade.

Steve Grimaldi wrote in message <3761C7CE.7BB7D648@erols.com>...
>After reading about the Bill & Cindy Cheek incident, I would like to
>make sure that I never even tune in a MDT frequency. Trouble is, I
>believe that the freqs are not pre-blocked by the scanner manufacturer.
>Could someone be so kind as to inform me of MDT Freqs in my area? I
>live in Fairfax County Virginia near the town of Fairfax. I believe all
>I have to worry about will be VA state Police, Fairfax County, and the
>city of Fairfax. I will put an immediate Lockout on those Freqs as well
>as warn all my friends. Please help as I am trying to protect the well
>being of myself and my family.
>Peace.
>Steve.
>

4660. Re: Mackey Post...Explosion Ensues...Back to Silence as An Answer...true.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-12 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990612081941.00533.00001571@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 115688

>myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP)

>Silence is the answer.

That's right.
Why don't you follow your own advice
rather than posting
off-topic
messages that are solely
intended to cause trouble?

4661. Re: Yaesu FT-50R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <376329c1.7882970@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 115439

"Dan" <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net> wrote:

:
:[illegible] <sgrimanis@yahoo.com> wrote in message
:news:7iet7m$du2$1@newssrv.otenet.gr...
:> Does anyone know how can i unlock the yaesu FT-50R so that it transmits
:on
:> 400-450Mhz.
:
:go to www.qrz.com/mods
:
:It explains how to do it there in one of the FT-50 files. Involves taking
:the radio apart, removing the keypad and removing a solder ball.
:I'm only passing this info along and do not recommend transmitting on
:frequencies that you are not licensed to operate on.
:Dan

There is no need to open up the radio if you have the ADMS software
and cable.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

4662. Re: Accidently Listening to MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3763fb25.10709149@news>
Source record: 115440

On Sat, 12 Jun 1999 04:49:12 GMT, spambox@teleport.com (Keith) wrote:

>On Fri, 11 Jun 1999 22:37:02 -0400, Steve Grimaldi <sgrimaldi@erols.com> wrote:
>
>>After reading about the Bill & Cindy Cheek incident, I would like to
>>make sure that I never even tune in a MDT frequency. Trouble is, I
>>believe that the freqs are not pre-blocked by the scanner manufacturer.
>>Could someone be so kind as to inform me of MDT Freqs in my area? I
>>live in Fairfax County Virginia near the town of Fairfax. I believe all
>>I have to worry about will be VA state Police, Fairfax County, and the
>>city of Fairfax. I will put an immediate Lockout on those Freqs as well
>>as warn all my friends. Please help as I am trying to protect the well
>>being of myself and my family.
>
>As long as you don't decode these frequencies you have nothing to worry about.

In that case, better tell him the decoding algorithms NOT to use so
that he never accidentally decodes any of the signals.

4663. Pro 2050 Daytime Static

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: RDF <deweyrf@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <9dT83.1835$qO5.168851@typhoon01.swbell.net>
Source record: 115441
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

RS Pro-2050
outside antenna
Night signals are clean and crisp on trunk tracking
Day signals are noisy with alot of static (popping & crackling) !
Any suggestions for cleaning up the daytime static....
Area is DFW, Tx.

Thanx,
Raymond

--
"If humanity cannot live with the dangers and responsibilites
inherent in freedom, it will probably turn to authoritarianism."
Eric Fromm - Escape From Freedom

4664. Re: Transmit on Fast Food Drive-Thru?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37640669.3EC6@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115442
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Please order me a Big Macif you can

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4665. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Frank A. Adrian" <fadrian@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <rHT83.4085$N3.210534@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Wow! This is really getting surrealistic. Even most no-coders know how to send and recognize SOS (That's why they could use it in the show "believably". LA cops AFAIK, don't receive Morse training). Besides that, (a) it was a stupid plot device, (b) Martin Milner still can't act, and (c) TV shows are probably not a really great input to base federal communication policy upon.

WebMonkey <click@below.com> wrote in message news:375E77A6.7F29@below.com...
> Michael Young wrote:
> >
> > Wooka <hass@aznet.net> wrote in message
> > news:375E0B07.12C8DDD3@aznet.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Trevor Harris wrote:
> > >
> > > > the military still teaches it. The reason that they still want to
> > teach it is that
> > > > in a nuclear wasteland, it is theorized that CW will be the only
> > method that will
> > > > work. If you don't hear the dih you will hear the static "beat"
> > silent. I hope
> > > > this make sense. 73's brother
> > > > Trevor Harris, Former SUB Radioman
> > > >
> > >
> > > Do you know any studies that point to radiation hindering radio
> > communications?
> >
> > You're trolling, right? You're really one of the know-code crowd in
> > disguise, trying to discredit the movement to dummify.
> >
> > Michael.
>
> anyone see the adam-12 episode where malloy got shot? his radio mic
> was smashed and the only way he could communicate was to 'key' the
> radio on and off. he sent a bit of morse code, was cool.
>
> morse code is pretty cool, you don't need a radio to use it.
> a mirror and sunlight works too.
>
> anyway, i thought i might throw this in. my repeater is

> voice id heavy, i was asked to add more morse code id
> in the mix by my friend. after this suggestion,
> i made a few rotating bulletins with morse code followed
> by a voice counterpart to assist a tech ham in getting some
> copy practice right from my repeater. it appears to have
> helped alot. upgrade in sight =]
> --
> A.C. Curtis
> KB�WLF
> 53.45 and 442.45, 77.0Hz enc/dec [multi-cast repeater system]
> mailto:demoman@rollanet.org
> http://www.rollanet.org/~demoman

4666. Re: 550-760 why locked out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37640751.7FEE@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115444

conspiracy_theory@area51.com wrote:
>
> >>i understand the rationale for locking out cellular (but don't agree).
> >>why is the 550-760 range locked out on some scanners?
> >>wray kephart
>
> Because most government agencies use those frequencies knowing darn
> well that most scanners don't receive in that range and the ones that
> do, those scanner owners never tune in that area! Perfect for the
> FBI/DEA/CIA/MIB...
>
> Its a conspiracy, don't be fooled.
Ah MIB?
There is supposedto be a new PUBLIC SAFETY frequency in tha area
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4667. Re: G.E. EDACS Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <376407AF.7282@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

tos-tng@startrekmail.com wrote:
>
> In article <MPG.11c03bbc64a71fe69897d3@news.earthlink.net>, dcisive@earthlink.net (Lee Rothman) wrote:
> >Geez Obi-Wan, you must live in another universe. When comparing a
> >"benchmark" here on earth, we use Mercedes as the pinnacle and Chevy as
> >"run of the mill".
> >
>
> .guess that leaves Fords and that other car company "up the creek"????
Fords and GMs only. Chrysler flew the coop
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4668. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>"@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3763F3A9.EFD11572@qsl.net>
Source record: 115446

Tom@astro.net wrote:
>
> In article <2813-3761E9AD-105@newsd-123.bryant.webtv.net>, k952@webtv.net (C W) wrote:
> >Hi All,
> >
> >I just have to ask why anyone would want to listen too a cell
> >communication?
> >
> >1. they suck !!!!
> >2. They Suck !!!!!
> >3. THEY SUCK !!!!!!
> >
> >Can anyone add to my list ?
>
> Yes, I'll add that they suck too.
>
> Everyone seems to need a cellphone today. It's the newest fad I guess. Didn't
> need them before, need them now. Amazing! Slaves to trends and fads I guess.
>
> Tom

-- Worst part is the same ones who HAVE to have a cell phone are the
same ones who would "NEVER" talk on a ham or cb radio! I wonder what
they think that "phone" is?
Seems to me that the lack of wires and the telltale antenna means "IT'S
A RADIO"!

"73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
<'}}}>< <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}>< <'}}}><
E-Mail me at: kb9tmp<AT>@qsl.net
Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
Church URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/
Wonder Valley Camp URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in2/wvc

4669. Re: Ohio State Patrol Post 22

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <37640A70.4A19@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115447

pushjeep@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> Does anyone know if the OSP Post 22 near Sandusky has changed frequencys ?
> 44.98 & 44.82 seem quiet. Or did my scanner die on the low band ??
>
> -----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
Well they could be testing that new M.A.R.C.S. statewide radio system
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4670. Re: Centre County, PA 800 MHZ Radio System - Did The Engineers Pull A Boner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: desdave@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990613155334.25814.00000897@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 115448

>now say that the
>engineers did all of their signal testing in the fall and winter when
>there were
>no leaves on the trees. Now that there is foliage, the signal levels
>have changed
>causing the interference. Does this sound possible or is it a bunch of
>BS?

800mhz is a very tricky band. The system that I listen to in San Bernardino CA has trouble (I mean big trouble) transmitting indoors. To the point where the guys are calling in their stuff to the dispatcher on the phone.

My pro-91 reception changes from inch to inch when I am indoors and frequently losses the control channel causing me to believe that 800 mhz does not belong in the city.

NEXT UP: Trunked systems that use VHF and/UHF. Its possible, and qould alleviate the above mentioned trouble.

{~}:-l desdave@aol.com::::Got a Wife in Chino!::::desdave@aol.com l-:{~}

4671. Re: Accidently Listening to MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: htaiii <htaiii@azalea.net>
Message-ID: <37640F07.59B9C4F3@azalea.net>
Source record: 115449

Just found this NG. What is this "MDT" stuff all about?
Excuse me if I just asked a really dumb question.

Howard (KB7OUY)

Steve Grimaldi wrote:
>
> After reading about the Bill & Cindy Cheek incident, I would like to
> make sure that I never even tune in a MDT frequency. Trouble is, I
> believe that the freqs are not pre-blocked by the scanner manufacturer.
> Could someone be so kind as to inform me of MDT Freqs in my area? I
> live in Fairfax County Virginia near the town of Fairfax. I believe all
> I have to worry about will be VA state Police, Fairfax County, and the
> city of Fairfax. I will put an immediate Lockout on those Freqs as well
> as warn all my friends. Please help as I am trying to protect the well
> being of myself and my family.
> Peace.
> Steve.

4672. PRO-2026 with cell.150.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nation_aliste@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <37640e0f.32793696@news.concepta.com>
Source record: 115450

very good condition,manual no box

4673. PRO-34 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: htaiii <htaiii@azalea.net>
Message-ID: <37641190.BB235A7B@azalea.net>
Source record: 115451

Question for the group:
I am running a RS PRO-34 scanner-only using the stock
rubber ducky antenna. I keep it here right next to my
computer. Am inside of a brick house.
Does anyone have a clue of what the normal receiving
range would be for this setup?
I can normally rcv traffic up to 60-75 miles away.
BTW, the terrain here is rolling flatlands-NE Oklahoma.

4674. Re: Pro 2050 Daytime Static

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <Barry@Adair.net>
Message-ID: <UsU83.4114$f73.3448@news.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 115452
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I haven't had the problem since I installed my antenna about 20 foot up, and
RG8U coax
RDF <deweyrf@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:9dT83.1835$qO5.168851@typhoon01.swbell.net...
> RS Pro-2050
> outside antenna
> Night signals are clean and crisp on trunk tracking
> Day signals are noisy with alot of static (popping & crackling) !
> Any suggestions for cleaning up the daytime static....
> Area is DFW, Tx.
>
> Thanx,
> Raymond
>
>
> --
> "If humanity cannot live with the dangers and responsibilites
> inherent in freedom, it will probably turn to authoritarianism."
> Eric Fromm - Escape From Freedom
>
>

4675. Re: Centre County, PA 800 MHZ Radio System - Did The Engineers Pull A Boner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <376410e4.17580375@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 115453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 13 Jun 1999 10:33:02 -0500, Captain Dunzel <Dunzel@Hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Centre County, PA installed a new 800 trunked radio system and has been
>online since January 1999. Everything appeared to work fine at the start
>
>but now there is a problem with the radio system. Radios are having
>trouble
>"hitting" the towers and communication is getting difficult from the
>interference
>this is producing. The representatives from the County now say that the
>engineers did all of their signal testing in the fall and winter when
>there were
>no leaves on the trees. Now that there is foliage, the signal levels
>have changed
>causing the interference. Does this sound possible or is it a bunch of
>BS?
>
>
>
This is very possible.
A area in Clearfield county that tested good in the winter is now
pretty much dead.
This theory was not made up by the county or Motorola.
Company 11 and 12 came up with this theory as far as i know.
Also, from what i hear, there is no conventional tower in that area
that can be used.

BTW, what type of encryption is used on this system?
There is a beep before and after the transmission which is all static.
Can a computer program decode these?

What company do you belong to Captain Dunzel?
I assume that since you used the word captain, you're from Clearfield
county?

4676. Re: What's the BEST scanner on the market today?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@nospam.com
Message-ID: <37641548.6556044@NEWS.SMARTT.COM>
Source record: 115454
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Uh.... zero pages per minute. Non-OCR compatable.

On Sun, 13 Jun 1999 00:30:51 GMT, hotister@my-deja.com wrote:

>uh.. how fast is this Optocom by Optoelectronics? Could you please give
>me a rough idea? Also, if i want to use not really as a copier but just
>for document archive, would the software come with is simply save all
>the document as a tiff file (and don't try to OCR- it) and then even
>automatically compress the tiff file?? What I really need is such a
>product that would allow me to archive documents/articles quickly
>(without printing out, but save as a jpge image or some smaller size of
>image files)
>
>any comments?
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4677. Grand Rapids, Mi. frequencies.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <mrrussel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <376411A0.C37@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115455

Newbie looking for frequencies in and around Grandville, Wyoming,
Jenison areas. Also for those of you using a Radio Shack PRO-91 150
Channel willing to offer hints or any help in it's operation.
--

Mike in Grand Rapids

To respond via E-mail
Delete R-E-M-O-V-E in Reply-to: and send to:
mrrussel@worldnet.att.net

4678. Re: new police scanner chat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barry Adair <Barry@Adair.net>
Message-ID: <tzU83.4117$f73.3169@news.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 115456

I did not have a problem only no one there
Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3761F65C.2E7095FF@earthlink.net...
> When I try to go to that page I get an error 404, I would like to come
joint
> your chat but this prevents me. Thought you would like to know
>
> MITBR wrote:
>
> > Hello fellow scanner enthusiasts!!
> > Remember the Policescanner .com chat site before it was sold to
broadcast
> > .com . Well I have set up a chat site on my web page that uses the same
> > concept as policescanner .com did, java on the web site and through
mirc as
> > well. I am presently looking for operators to monitor the room and to
> > generate an interest in resuming the great fellowship the old chat was
for
> > all us scanner folks. If interested please visit my web page for
further
> > information, and feel free to use my chat room. Comments and suggestions
are
> > always appreciated
> > http://home.earthlink.net/~mitbr/Mi01000.htm
> > thank you mitbr
>
>

4679. Re: Centre County, PA 800 MHZ Radio System - Did The Engineers Pull A Boner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <376415b3.18811749@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 115457

On 13 Jun 1999 19:53:34 GMT, desdave@aol.com (Dave Bucholtz) wrote:

>NEXT UP: Trunked systems that use VHF and/UHF. Its possible, and qould
>alleviate the above mentioned trouble.
>

Maybe, but it's too late for the government to buy another radio
system, when they just paid millions and millions of dollars on the
800 radio system.

4680. Re: My scanner crapped out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7k15e4$j1c@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115458
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In <ePS83.3401$m3.1805421@homer.alpha.net> "Sluggo"
<Sluggo@kickass.com> writes:
>
>I turned on (or should I say, tried to turn on?) my BC760XLT this
morning
>and it doesn't work! To be more specific, the display is blank but
lights
>up, I get no beeps whatsoever from any of the buttons, and the squelch
and
>volume still work. Anyone have a guess what the problem is?? Is
there a
>reset switch anywhere, or would that be too easy?? I don't want to
send it
>in unless that's a last resort. Please reply to this group if you can
help
>out. Thanks.
>
>
Check your power source....especially if it's
one of those with those
transformers in them...they
go out....

try working it off a 12 volt battery

or in your car...hook it up
and see what happens...

bill conduit
etc.

4681. Re: NYPD Slang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <37641816.26C43EA7@intsvc.com>
Source record: 115459

Well Phoenix PD uses the same term in the same situation at times, it means Emergency Deployment Procedures. What all they do I am not sure, but I have heard it many times on the scanner.

cray2141@my-deja.com wrote:

> Can someone tell me what does "EDP" mean when used by the NYPD
> regarding a barricade situation.
>
> Thanks in advance for the info.
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

--
Charles Simmont - ICP509 Dispatcher & National Coordinator
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp.html
Notification Systems webpage http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

The opinions and views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated.

4682. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: RDK <rdk@a.crl.com>
Message-ID: <37640D01.472A@a.crl.com>
Source record: 115460

JCoke wrote:
>
> What does "K" mean when ending a transmission?

> 'K' means acknowledge.

4683. Value of a Pro 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: RDK <rdk@a.crl.com>
Message-ID: <37640D64.1652@a.crl.com>
Source record: 115461

Whats the value of a used Pro 43 radio shack handheld scanner?

4684. Re: Centre County, PA 800 MHZ Radio System - Did The Engineers Pull A Boner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Darren L. North" <northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net>
Message-ID: <376417af@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 115462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Captain Dunzel <Dunzel@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3763CE53.90A2DEC3@Hotmail.com...
>Centre County, PA installed a new 800 trunked radio system and has been
>online since January 1999. Everything appeared to work fine at the start
>
>but now there is a problem with the radio system. Radios are having
>trouble
>"hitting" the towers and communication is getting difficult from the
>interference
>this is producing. The representatives from the County now say that the
>engineers did all of their signal testing in the fall and winter when
>there were
>no leaves on the trees. Now that there is foliage, the signal levels
>have changed
>causing the interference. Does this sound possible or is it a bunch of
>BS?
>
>
>

It's very possible, seeing as how 800 mhz signals don't mix well with
foliage. Is that the Penn State system that you are talking about, or is
that something else. I have heard that the PSU system works quite well, as
long as you're close to State College or on the campus. Is the rest of the
county being added to this system, or did they start a new one from scratch?
I make several trips to the area each year, so I guess I'll need to make
some changes in my scanner programming.

4685. Re: NYPD Slang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <3764fd26.4761206@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 115463

"jeff" <reddog5@erols.com> wrote:

>
>Kegan30317 wrote in message
><19990613092444.29439.00001241@ng-cm1.aol.com>...
>>>
>>>Can someone tell me what does "EDP" mean when used by the NYPD
>>
>>Emotionally Disturbed Person (next stop - Bellvue)
>
>Or Every Day People ;)

Naw.

"Eat Donuts, People."

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

4686. Re: Digital Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Darren L. North" <northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net>
Message-ID: <376419fa@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 115464

Bryan Schmidt <bryschmidt@mediaone.net> wrote in message
news:7jv44rost1@usenet46.supernews.com...
>>
>> If you've got the cash, why not just go out and buy a digital radio, such
>as
>> an ASTRO or something? Just a suggestion, until something better comes
>> along.
>>
>>
>
>Would this be a viable option, due they actually scan or do you have to
>moniter 1 channel at a time? where would I find one? what about software to
>program it?
>
>Thanks,
>Bryan
>
>

Well, that's the hard part. Yes, it will scan, that's no problem. You'll need to one of two things, either buy and RIB, programming cable, and RSS for the model that you buy or take it to a technician or someone else that has the tools to program it and have them do it for you. Not just anyone can go into a Motorola shop and get a radio programmed with your local public safety freqs., although if you wanted them programmed for receive only (no TX), then they might do that for you. I've had that done before and never had any problems, until I asked for TX capability on some of the channels, aside from the ones that I was licensed to use, but you should be OK with RX only. Of course, about the time you do all of this, someone will come out with a digital scanner for about half the cost of what you just went through!

4687. looking for mods for a Bearcat sc150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Huff <huffti@lincs.net>
Message-ID: <929307921.11938@www.remarq.com>
Source record: 115465

I am looking for mods for the bearcat sc150 anyone know
where I can find them?

Tim

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

4688. Re: Centre County, PA 800 MHZ Radio System - Did The Engineers Pull A Boner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren North <northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net>
Message-ID: <37641b35@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 115466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

DDT <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:376410e4.17580375@news2.penn.com...
>On 13 Jun 1999 10:33:02 -0500, Captain Dunzel <Dunzel@Hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Centre County, PA installed a new 800 trunked radio system and has been
>>online since January 1999. Everything appeared to work fine at the start
>>
>>but now there is a problem with the radio system. Radios are having
>>trouble
>>"hitting" the towers and communication is getting difficult from the
>>interference
>>this is producing. The representatives from the County now say that the
>>engineers did all of their signal testing in the fall and winter when
>>there were
>>no leaves on the trees. Now that there is foliage, the signal levels
>>have changed
>>causing the interference. Does this sound possible or is it a bunch of
>>BS?
>>
>>
>>
>This is very possible.
>A area in Clearfield county that tested good in the winter is now
>pretty much dead.
>This theory was not made up by the county or Motorola.
>Company 11 and 12 came up with this theory as far as i know.
>Also, from what i hear, there is no conventional tower in that area
>that can be used.
>
>
>BTW, what type of encryption is used on this system?
>There is a beep before and after the transmission which is all static.
>Can a computer program decode these?
>
>What company do you belong to Captain Dunzel?
>I assume that since you used the word captain, you're from Clearfield
>county?
>
>
>
>

PSU and State College Borough use DVP encryption, or at least they used to. No way to decode it, unless you have a radio with the same key loaded into it. If you know someone, maybe they'd let you "borrow" their keyloader for an hour or something. Otherwise, you're pretty much screwed.

4689. Re: Ohio State Patrol Post 22

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jwilkers@netcom.com
Message-ID: <jwilkersFDAAx8.3xF@netcom.com>
Source record: 115467

OBI-WAN PEROGI (theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net) wrote:
: Well they could be testing that new M.A.R.C.S. statewide radio system

MARCS is not currently active... last I heard they still hadn't decided
on a company to go with for the system. Cost overruns are frustrating
the authorities. The two sets of frequencies I last checked out were empty.
--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger
Supporting two-way radio for the family of the modern era. See my website
for more info on the Family Radio Service (FRS)

4690. Searching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: BlueHawk <blue_hawk83@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <929309954.318.88@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115468

I'm searching for some freqs in Woodstock Georga....
any help would be Great....
be in that area Monday....
thanks....

4691. Re: Centre County, PA 800 MHZ Radio System - Did The Engineers Pull A Boner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <376423d5.22430115@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 115469
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 13 Jun 1999 16:51:49 -0400, "Darren L. North"
<northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net> wrote:

>

>It's very possible, seeing as how 800 mhz signals don't mix well with
>foliage. Is that the Penn State system that you are talking about, or is
>that something else.

It's something else.
It's a county wide system that we're talking about.

>I make several trips to the area each year, so I guess I'll need to make
>some changes in my scanner programming.

The State College PD went to the new system also.
Both systems share the same frequencies.
There are two control channels, one for county wide and one for PSU.

4692. Re: Centre County, PA 800 MHZ Radio System - Did The Engineers Pull A Boner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <3764250e.22743314@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 115470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 13 Jun 1999 17:06:52 -0400, "Darren North"
<northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net> wrote:

>PSU and State College Borough use DVP encryption, or at least they used to.
>No way to decode it, unless you have a radio with the same key loaded into
>it. If you know someone, maybe they'd let you "borrow" their keyloader for
>an hour or something. Otherwise, you're pretty much screwed.
>

The encryption is on a Motorolla Type 2 system.
I don't know if it is a different type than what you mentioned.

4693. FS Pro-2004 - $190 shipped & insured

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: macoletta@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990613174523.08576.00001390@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 115471

Radio Shack Pro-2004 300 Channel Base Scanner, has had 825-845 & 869-894 MHz restoration mod done to it. $190 includes priority mail & insurance.
Radio Shack Pro-91 150 Channel Trunk Tracker handeld. $135 includes priority mail & insurance.
Uniden BC700A 50 Channel Base/Mobile, has had full 800 MHz frequency expansion mod done to it. $115 includes priority mail and insurance.
For more details and pictures see below URL:
http://members.aol.com/macoletta/forsale/scanners.htm

Thanks !

4694. NEED HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: spawn911@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25379-37642DB6-73@newsd-243.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115472

i need help finding some trunked frequency for polk county florida if you can help me then email me hear at SPAWN323@HOTMAIL.COM

4695. Re: Weird radio transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: plugnickle <plugnickle@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <m2X83.12$A3.188021248@news.bayou.com>
Source record: 115473

I'm sort of jumpy about opening attachements, no thanks.

Steve

Joseph Gilmore <jgilmore1@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22263-37641875-81@newsd-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Can anyone tell me what kind of transmission this is. It's coming from
> my scanner in all types of frequencies, be it in the 150Mhz or 450Mhz
> range. I have supplied a recording of the transmission.
>
>

4696. Re: Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7k1do2$j19$0@207.18.224.10>
Source record: 115474
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Sun, 13 Jun 1999 17:51:16 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>> Just tell the truth John. That's all. I realize that is
>> very difficult for you.

>father reports that the male voice later claimed that the only other person in
>the
>house was his son and said or suggested it must have been the son that made the
>call.
>
Your memory is bad John. I never said anything like that.

>
>> No harrassment as you lied about.
>
>You should read the Oregon Revised Statutes, there has been a report takenby the
>Shrf. Dept. !
>
Prove to all of us that this is not a lie. Give us a report number,
name of the deputy, etc.

Otherwise, it is just another lie.

>
>> You are a filthy liar John, and you know it. It still seems strange
>
>No Blake, YOU LIED when you denied making the call!
>
How do you know that John? Third party information is VERY
unreliable John....

4697. Clearing memory on PRO-91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Miller <mcmiller@netboise.com>
Message-ID: <37645FEB.23CA826C@netboise.com>
Source record: 115475

Can anybody tell me how to clear the memory of the
RS PRO-91 ?
Since it's made by Uniden for RS, I assumed you
just use the standard Uniden memory clearing
method:
press: manual 2 and 9 and turn on. This model
will not do that.

Thanks,

John

4698. HELP! BC145xl died diagram avail.?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al & Lo <alXlo@valinet.com>
Message-ID: <3764468D.95EE2B12@valinet.com>
Source record: 115476

I have a BC145xl that died on me. upon opening it up I found a cooked resistor on the board right after the power cord socket. I need a diagram to find the value and replace it. Any one have one, know where to find one on line? anything? I use it to monitor the local fire/police/amb so as to keep my 2004 free for the "fun stuff"(was that a satillite or a UFO?) Thak you in advance, Al

--
Please remove the X From allo to reply, it cuts the junk mail by 85%
Thank you

4699. Re: Weird radio transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Hitchner <hitchner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7k1ikb$7u3$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115477

Sounds like pagers.

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

Joseph Gilmore wrote in message
<22263-37641875-81@newsd-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
Can anyone tell me what kind of transmission this is. It's coming from
my scanner in all types of frequencies, be it in the 150Mhz or 450Mhz
range. I have supplied a recording of the transmission.

4700. Re: Weird radio transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas J. Buckner Jr." <tbuckner@ricochet.net>
Message-ID: <929320773.038.35@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115478

Sounds like the paging system that AT&T uses to me.
Joseph Gilmore <jgilmore1@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22263-37641875-81@newsd-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Can anyone tell me what kind of transmission this is. It's coming from
> my scanner in all types of frequencies, be it in the 150Mhz or 450Mhz
> range. I have supplied a recording of the transmission.
>
>

4701. Re: need advise of how to fix broken chip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jml@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <loY83.1053$e74.62326@dfw-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 115479
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

there is a substance that is conductive & liquid that might work ... but i think that the best thing to do is to replace the chip ... i have however been able in the past to scrape the epoxy from the chip & get enough tin to solder a fine wire to & make a connection ... this is very delicate work & you need a very fine iron tip .... but ive done it many times ..
Robert wrote in message ...
>Ok here is what I know. a friend tried to do the dis tap to his scanner.
I
>guess he Saudered the wrong pin. When he tried to remove it the pin broke
>reinaga@cnmnetwork.com
>
>
>
>
>
>

4702. Macomb County Michigan - Weather

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Suszko <gsuszko@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <37645D11.E9EF83B0@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115480

After experiencing some nasty weather in the last several days, are there frequencies for Macomb County MI that are used exclusively to monitor threatening weather?

Amateur is fine.
Thanks,

4703. Re: Javiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Dean <idean@purdue.edu>
Message-ID: <37645F33.2699A18B@purdue.edu>
Source record: 115481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"David R. Ward" wrote:
>
> Here, Here! I completely agree. Jonathon is a good chap and frequent
> contributor here. Never 'ad a problem. 73

With the Pound at .62 of a dollar, this sounds like
a good time to buy. I have been thinking of buying
a AR8200 from him. Will I have a problem getting it
back into the States?

4704. FS: REALISTIC PRO-60 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: DT614 <na>
Message-ID: <37645154.0@news.busprod.com>
Source record: 115482

I have a Realistic Pro-60 800 Mhz. Hand held scanner for sale. It is in very good condition and works great. $125.00 which includes 48 state shipping and handling.U.S.Postal Money orders only! No trades or COD's. E-mail if interested. radiousa@busprod.com

4705. Re: NYPD Slang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Dean <idean@purdue.edu>
Message-ID: <37645E3E.456017F7@purdue.edu>
Source record: 115483

> >>
> >>Can someone tell me what does "EDP" mean when used by the NYPD
> >
> >Emotionally Disturbed Person (next stop - Bellvue)
>
> Or Every Day People ;)

In NYC, emotionally disturbed people = everyday people
The fucking city is NUTS!!

4706. E-Mail discussion lists?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Laughlin III <kerhop@oz.net>
Message-ID: <376461b1@news2.foxinternet.net>
Source record: 115484

I've already checked the List of Lists, and Intercept Northwest's homepage. Does anyone know of email lists one can subscribe to discuss scanner frequencies found, tips and tricks for usage, and general scanner talk? Preferably one for the Seattle area if it exists. Thanks!

4707. 3000 xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: joker535 <joker535@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7k1nh9bbc1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 115485

If I was to buy a brand new BC-3000xlt scanner, would it be able to be modified for full 800mhz band coverage? If so where would I find this mod? Thanks in advance.

4708. Re: 3000 xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jbstout <jbstout@iland.net>
Message-ID: <929325982.605.53@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115486

Nope
joker535 wrote in message <7k1nh9bbc1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>...
>If I was to buy a brand new BC-3000xlt scanner, would it be able to be
>modified for full 800mhz band coverage? If so where would I find this mod?
>Thanks in advance.
>
>
>
>

4709. Re: remanufactured uniden radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor <ke4qjk@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <d1_83.5208$CG.133854@typ31b.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 115487

Where does one buy remanufactured radios?

Timmins <timmins@penn.com> wrote in message
news:zFL83.2885$m93.13611@newsfeed.slurp.net...
: In my experience- refurbished radios sem to work Just a little
better than
: new - as they have the benefit of having been thru the factory
twice
: ....
: Bill, N3DDY
: Three BC200XLT's (Refurb) MR-8100 (Refurb), and sevral others
as well
: (refurb)
: sean <hockeyst@concentric.net> wrote in message
:
news:hockeyst-1206991809350001@ts003d23.sag-mi.concentric.net...
: > ***Please E-mail response directly if possible as I do not
always have
: > news capability
: >
: > Has anyone tired the uniden "like new" remanufactured units
: > They come with a 90 day warrenty and are certified to meet
specs when they
: > leave the factory. The savings are about 60%. does this sound
like
: > something worth trying.
: >
: >
: > thanks in advance
: >
: > sean in micigan
:
:
:

4710. Re: looking for mods for a Bearcat sc150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37646256.66A60BA8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 115488

I don't think there are any worth doing on that radio!

Tim Huff wrote:

> I am looking for mods for the bearcat sc150 anyone know
> where I can find them?
>
> Tim
>
> **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

4711. Re: Weird radio transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: plugnickle <plugnickle@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nE_83.18$A3.171005952@news.bayou.com>
Source record: 115489

```
Darren Mulligan <dmul3@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:37643BFB.2F94361E@bigfoot.com...
> plugnickle wrote:
> >
> > I'm sort of jumpy about opening attachements, no thanks.
> >
> > Steve
> >
snip
> sounds cannot give a virus

Yes, but opening attachements can.

>
> --
> "Good resturants don't have neon beer signs in the window."
> mailto:dmul3@bigfoot.com
> ICQ# 41116335
>
```

4712. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <37649108.838B0318@netxn.com>
Source record: 115490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Shhhhhhhhh .... we don't want to wake the sleeping moron ... let's just
let the sleeping idiot sleep .... it's been nice and quiet lately ...

Mike Derryberry

Phil Smalley wrote:
>
> jmackey@usa.net wrote in message <37615DC5.E8F8DFCA@usa.net>...
> >Glenn I agree with you totally. It would be VERY NICE if Bill Conduit
> >would practice what he preaches & STOP being the main cause of trouble
> >on this NG.
>
> It's a shame too, because it was really starting to work, John. You went
> from 30 lame off topic posts a day to less than 5. Only two people decided
> to not join in the ban and reply to you. It was beautiful.
>
> Then Bill flung the proverbial barn door wide open again, for reasons that I
> am not yet certain. Perplexing, but then Bill makes a point of making
> himself somewhat of a mystery here.
>
> I don't understand it either, but nonetheless, Bill's point is valid and
> (now) proven: If you are ignored, you shut up. Period. You rely on us to
> provide a purpose for your idiot existance.
>
> Tell us how you've never told a lie on this NG, John. Tell us all again for
> the fifteen-thousandth time. Do you really think that just because you say
> it over and over it becomes the truth? Here's a reality check, John "If I
> say it, it is truth" Mackey:
>
> Lie #1:
> I have NEVER said I "have 5 FCC licenses".
>

4713. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wooka <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <376494AF.5524CB87@aznet.net>
Source record: 115491

Tom@astro.net wrote:

> In article <tdY83.691$_n2.1213@news.flash.net>, av8tor@flash.net (Av8tor) wrote:
> >In article <929277741.511.62@news.remarQ.com>, Tom@astro.net says...
> >>
> >>In article <2813-3761E9AD-105@newsd-123.bryant.webtv.net>, k952@webtv.net (C
> >W) wrote:
> >>>Hi All,
> >>>
> >>>I just have to ask why anyone would want to listen too a cell
> >>>communication?
> >>>
> >>>1. they suck !!!!
> >>>2. They Suck !!!!!
> >>>3. THEY SUCK !!!!!!
> >>>
> >>>Can anyone add to my list ?
> >>
> >> Yes, I'll add that they suck too.
> >>
> >>Everyone seems to need a cellphone today. It's the newest fad I guess. Didn't
> >>need them before, need them now. Amazing! Slaves to trends and fads I guess.
> >>
> >>Tom
> >>
> >
> >The next fad are these new FRS radios. While at the shore house this weekend
> >(Ocean City, MD) I saw at least 5 different people talking on these. You wait
> >and see, these are the next set of frequencies which will be banned from our
> >scanners....
>
> But at least FRS users don't have to pay rediculous talk time charges. Got
> to give them that much. No monthly fees either. So the range is lousy, for
> those who use them it's apparently enough. I can see some FRS users coming
> into ham radio in the future, wanting more range...
>
> 73,
> Tom
>
> >Besure the check out the latest activity on RadiosOnLine E-Zine
> >http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios
>
> >George
> >www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <--RadiosOnLine, Source of Tons of Radio Stuff!

>
> Tom Randall -- trandall@idsi.net
> Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
> Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10 / QRP-L #1965
> Member: AAVSO Solar Division
>
> My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html

Getting into amateur radio as no-code techs, and being stone-walled by the code :().

4714. Japan Radio Company JRC-515

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: james coburn <confused@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <7k2668sab1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 115492
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thinking About Selling My Stock NRD-515.
Anybody out there need one of these?
Mine is in perfect working order. Looks excelent.
With BOX and Manual.
Any offers? Now before I get flamed for not putting a price... I have NO idea what this radio is worth. I am really putting this out there to see if there is any interest. If there is interest then market forces will prevail.

Thanks for taking the time to read this.

73 de w6jhc
James

4715. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net>
Message-ID: <37649F0A.79AC6F49@jps.net>
Source record: 115493
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Woofle wrote:

> On Sat, 12 Jun 1999 17:47:11 GMT, tos-tng@startrekmail.com wrote:
>
> >In article <3760b575.525653530@news.earthlink.net>, dogbert@mindless.com wrote:
> >>On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
> >>wrote:
> >>
> >>>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
> >>>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig.
> >>[snip]
> >>
> >>Using such a radio would be illegal.
> >>
> >>
> >Actually such equipment exists and is available and it's not illegal. The
> >primary users of such equipment are those business that have a need to test
> >consumer electronic equipment, medical electronic equipment, military
> >electronic equipment...etc. for ElectroMagnetic Compatibility and
> >ElectroMagnetic Interference or as we know it EMC/EMI. The transmitter and
> >receivers used to do the measurements generally operate from 14khz to 40ghz
> >and are continuously tunable and can generate a fieldstrength of 200v/m. Go
> >down to the local library and check out these two magazines: Evaluation
> >Engineering and Compliance Engineering. Both have articals and advertisements
> >citing the equipment you are looking for...Price and availablity of the
> >equipment will be up to the individual manufacturers.
> >.................enjoy.............
> >
> >Fred

There are also radios like that that are in use by public safety. Primarily used in helos. They need to have the ability to communicate with any police and/or fire agency regardless of the freq. their on. All they do is dial in the desired freq. and talk away. It's not illegal either. It's called MUTUAL AID.

David Leeper

4716. Hamfest NJ June 19th

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: mirage <mirage@eclipse.net>
Message-ID: <7k2hbrphp1@news.eclipse.net>
Source record: 115494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hammmfest
Saturday June 19th
Dunellen NJ
Columbia Park
Off Washington Ave
5 minute walk from NJ Transit
Train Station
The best in CNJ
see
www.w2qw.org
Doug

4717. CW Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@ktb.net>
Message-ID: <3764A25E.936DFE82@ktb.net>
Source record: 115495

Can anyone recommend a cheap, good CW decoder using a Hamcomm-type slicer? I don't need 50 modes. Just something to decode ID's.

4718. Re: What's the BEST scanner on the market today?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: hotister@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7juu3rkla1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

uh.. how fast is this Optocom by Optoelectronics? Could you please give me a rough idea? Also, if i want to use not really as a copier but just for document archive, would the software come with is simply save all the document as a tiff file (and don't try to OCR- it) and then even automatically compress the tiff file?? What I really need is such a product that would allow me to archive documents/articles quickly (without printing out, but save as a jpge image or some smaller size of image files)

any comments?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4719. Re: Help with old Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsscanner@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3762ff8a.92176196@news.quebectel.com>
Source record: 115593

On Thu, 10 Jun 1999 13:09:58 -0400, Henry <hdarr@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>I'm in need of some help with an old Bearcat 210 XLT Scanner (Radio), it
>was in
>excellent condition. The operative word is WAS, a friend had borrowed it
>and hooked it
>up with reverse voltage. Using d.c. in he had the positive and negative
>reversed, he said it
>just when pop then nothing. Any suggestions ??? I have looked but can
>find no obvious
>fuses or burnt out components. Is their a way to bring this little old
>scanner back to life.
>
>Any help would be appreciated,
>
>Thanks,
>
>Henry
>
>
>

Check the diode at the entry of the power in your radio.

Mike

4720. Re: How did I kill my Bearcat 210XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsscanner@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3762ff0a.92048514@news.quebectel.com>
Source record: 115594

On Wed, 09 Jun 1999 00:58:34 GMT, donmarsh@visi.net (Don Marshall)
wrote:

>I simply forgot - nothing was coming over the scanner when I shut down
>the computer for the evening, so it was left on, not a usual
>occurance.
>
>I remembered this morning what I'd done, but there were no lights, no
>scan. no sound, nothing. I've checked the plug, cord, switches,
>everything, but the little bugger is deader than the perverbial
>doornail. What have I done? How can I achieve salvation from what
>appears to be a flat case of murder of the 210XLT. Help would be
>appreciated as someone's got to watch our local sheriff!
>

Try to press clear and 0 at the same and open the scanner while doing
this.

Mike

4721. Re: Help with old Bearcat Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsscanner@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3763006d.92403901@news.quebectel.com>
Source record: 115595

On Thu, 10 Jun 1999 13:09:58 -0400, Henry <hdarr@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>I'm in need of some help with an old Bearcat 210 XLT Scanner (Radio), it
>was in
>excellent condition. The operative word is WAS, a friend had borrowed it
>and hooked it
>up with reverse voltage. Using d.c. in he had the positive and negative
>reversed, he said it
>just when pop then nothing. Any suggestions ??? I have looked but can
>find no obvious
>fuses or burnt out components. Is their a way to bring this little old
>scanner back to life.
>
>Any help would be appreciated,
>
>Thanks,
>
>Henry
>
>
>

Check the diode at the entry of the power in your radio.

Mike

4722. Re: How did I kill my Bearcat 210XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsscanner@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <37630066.92396211@news.quebectel.com>
Source record: 115596

On Wed, 09 Jun 1999 00:58:34 GMT, donmarsh@visi.net (Don Marshall) wrote:

>I simply forgot - nothing was coming over the scanner when I shut down
>the computer for the evening, so it was left on, not a usual
>occurance.
>
>I remembered this morning what I'd done, but there were no lights, no
>scan. no sound, nothing. I've checked the plug, cord, switches,
>everything, but the little bugger is deader than the perverbial
>doornail. What have I done? How can I achieve salvation from what
>appears to be a flat case of murder of the 210XLT. Help would be
>appreciated as someone's got to watch our local sheriff!
>

Try to press clear and 0 at the same and open the scanner while doing this.

Mike

4723. A silly question about ScannerRecorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: desdave@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990612210757.01895.00000909@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 115597

...If there was a help file on it, id read it. Instead I must bug all of you.

I just d/l'ed the software, and it seems to be a great idea... but how do you hook your scanner up to it? Do you just "mic" your speaker, or do you run it from the "aux out" jack of your scanner to the line in -or- mic in on your computer.

Help!

email me personally if you have help for me.

Dave

{~}:-l desdave@aol.com::::Got a Wife in Chino!::::desdave@aol.com l-:{~}

4724. Re: remanufactured uniden radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbirdsand@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990613001917.26252.00001311@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 115598

I purchased a BC-3000 xlt that was
remanufactured.I have had no problem
whatsoever.
Randy

4725. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: sphinx@world.std.com
Message-ID: <FD91F3.31x@world.std.com>
Source record: 115599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <375E0B07.12C8DDD3@aznet.net>, Wooka <hass@aznet.net> wrote:
>
>Do you know any studies that point to radiation hindering radio communications?
>
It's not the radiation directly, it's the effect of the radiation on the
ionosphere that disrupts communications. As I recall, HF "skip" propagation
is gonzo for many hours after a nuclear blast, but the effect should clear
up in 24 hours or so and you can go back to DXing... if you still have
a power source.

I don't recall any references offhand but if you really wanted references
instead of just confirmation that the effect exists, e-mail me and I will
see if I can find some.

-John S., W3IKG

4726. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ryan T. Faricy" <ryan@faricy.net>
Message-ID: <376339FE.FF588903@faricy.net>
Source record: 115600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

You missed a BUNCH, including many microwave and light "bands".

OBI-WAN PEROGI wrote:
>
> Ham bands in the States (H.F. and L.W. not included):
>
> 6 METERS
>
> 2 METERS
>
> 1 1/4 METERS
>
> 70 CENTIMETERS
>
> 33 CENTIMETERS
>
> 23 CENTIMETERS

4727. Re: Tower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Spunky The Waffle Iron"
Message-ID: <716ECFA286F32526.E91F45560266BFE0.4A1EF6F21FE0E8B8@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 115601

Can you go to radio shack and buy a 36' mast and anchor it up against the highest part of your house?
Alot cheaper than a tower ($60) and you can make it telescope up and down instead of having to climb a tower.

4728. Re: F/S: GRE CONVERTER 9001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990613031624.18800.00001165@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 115602

Shack23 wrote:

>I mean, I could have said something
>> like, you can buy a kit form of a converter that does the same thing as
>that
>> from Ramsey Electronics, for about 45 bucks.

>Gary Hejkal <garyhejk@freewwweb.com> wrote:

>Yeah, Ramsey does have a kit to build one. Too bad it doesn't work!
>Ok, so I only know that the one i built won't. (And I know RF electronics and
>high
>reliability soldering (mil spec)).
>Btw, I also have and am keeping a portable GRE converter.
>GH

Sorry to hear that your Ramsey converter kit didn't make the grade, Gary...If the kit had a defunct part, it wouldn't matter HOW careful you were, building it...And unfortunately, with these tiny parts, you just have to go on FAITH, AND skill. I have built MANY kits, (including the Ramsey converter) and put together roomfuls of projects from scratch.
Some worked, some didn't. But that converter worked great! As a matter of fact, it works just as good as my GRE 8001. Guess I was lucky...I have built other Ramsey Kits, like the little 2 meter power amp for about 35 bucks...That worked good to. I plan to keep both of those converters though, as they come in handy to get the local POLICE band... :) ... Joey

4729. Re: My Pro 2021 does'nt work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990613034727.18800.00001168@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 115603

Robert <rc123@gnc.net wrote:

>Joey,
>
>Newer doesn't always equal better. But now you mention it, remember
>to throw away YOUR toys when they quit. It's us sparky geeks that
>are getting all the "broken" electronic toys for free because today's
>disposable society is too lame to try to fix it. Give the guy credit,
>at least he's trying. Food for thought.

Robert, who said anything about BETTER? I don't think the newer scanners are as GOOD as the old ones. But unfortunately, when scanners GO SOUTH, they usually stay there! Heck, even if you HAVE it 'REPAIRED', all they do is swap out a circuit board. And that is because they HAVE IT to swap out, most people don't. And then it is likely to cost more than the unit is worth... There is nothing wrong with TRYING to fix something, as I have fixed HUNDREDS of electronic devices. (Thousands, if you count my business pursuits). Scanners are full of CHIPS, and the average 'JOE' is simply not equipped to tackle sush work, (usually). Even if someone KNEW which CHIP was bad, (and it usually IS one of the chips) getting one from the Company is difficult, and EXPENSIVE! And then there is the problem of removing the old one, and installing the new one, without Murphy's Law coming into effect. But besides all this, couldn't you tell my post about Radio Shack was in JEST? Gosh, I don't want the guy to through his radio away! Joey

4730. Re: Schematic for Lafayette HA-45

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990613035429.18800.00001170@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 115604

Gregg <fluffy96@nr.infi.net> wrote:

>Trying to find a schematic for this radio--a 6 channel VHF low band xtal
>receiver (mobile). This was a switchable receiver, not a scanner, from
>the early 70's. Audio section is cooked (some moron had a bolt
>replacing the fuse).
>thanks, GD, N2UUP

If you don't fix that radio, it works great at keeping your fan from blowing the papers off your desk...P.S. That was kinda stupid for someone to replace a fuse with a BOLT...

4731. Re: remanufactured uniden radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timmins <timmins@penn.com>
Message-ID: <zFL83.2885$m93.13611@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 115605

In my experience- refurbished radios sem to work Just a little better than new - as they have the benefit of having been thru the factory twice
.....
Bill, N3DDY
Three BC200XLT's (Refurb) MR-8100 (Refurb), and sevral others as well (refurb)
sean <hockeyst@concentric.net> wrote in message news:hockeyst-1206991809350001@ts003d23.sag-mi.concentric.net...
> ***Please E-mail response directly if possible as I do not always have
> news capability
>
> Has anyone tired the uniden "like new" remanufactured units
> They come with a 90 day warrenty and are certified to meet specs when they
> leave the factory. The savings are about 60%. does this sound like
> something worth trying.
>
>
> thanks in advance
>
> sean in micigan

4732. Icom IC7100 Scanner For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: nikee@aztec.asu.edu
Message-ID: <7k012l$8p7$1@news.asu.edu>
Source record: 115606

Icom IC 7100 receiver. 25 mghz to 2 gig with no gaps. like new
used very little still in orig box.
email at nikee@aztec.asu.edu for more info.

Make Offer

--

4733. NYPD Slang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: cray2141@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7k04a1umq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115607

Can someone tell me what does "EDP" mean when used by the NYPD
regarding a barricade situation.

Thanks in advance for the info.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4734. Re: freq. tex dps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom <tomc3@zzz.airmail.net>
Message-ID: <A1194F5FBF14A993.D993E7C1467BD146.E3DF89A5861AF82F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 115608

Texas Department of Public Safety

(B=base R=repeater M=mobile)

channel frequency use

1 155.460 B/R 154.680 M Garland, Mineral Wells, Sherman, Abilene
2 155.460 M
3 154.950 M Intercity car-to-car/car-to-base
4 155.370 B 154.950 M Intercity base-to-base/base-to-car
5 155.505 M
6 155.505 R 154.920 M Criminal Law Enforcement
7 155.445 M
8 155.445 B/R 154.695 M Wichita Fls, Brownwood, Sulphur Sprs
9 159.210 M Car-to-Car
11 - 17 159.210 R 154.665 M remote area repeaters - see below
18? 159.090 R 154.935 M Criminal Law Enforcement
? 159.090 M
19 - 31 various Local sheriff, police, etc.
29 155.445 R 154.695 M Dallas North Tollway (D/FW area only?)
30 155.445 M Dallas North Tollway (D/FW area only?)
31? 157.350 M Dallas North Tollway (D/FW area only?)
32 157.350 R 151.130 M Dallas North Tollway (D/FW area only?)
32 155.475 M nationwide police mutual aid (other areas ?)

channel PL tone repeater location (dispatch office)

1 - 2 162.2
3 - 4 none
5 - 10 162.2
8 162.2 Hurst (Garland)
11 107.2 Canton (Tyler), Crosbyton (Childress/Lubbock)
12 110.9 Vernon (Childress), Denton (Sherman)
13 118.8 Waxahachie (Waco)
14 123.0 Decatur (Wichita Falls), Loraine (Abilene)
15 127.3
16 136.5 Benjamin (Childress), Paris (Sulphur Sprs)
17 141.3 Throckmorton (Mineral Wells/Abilene/Wichita Falls)
29 - 30 107.2 Dallas North Tollway
31 - 32 162.2 Dallas North Tollway

John Sanders wrote:
>
> does anyone have the freq. of texas highway patrol that u can hear both
> sides of the conversation...i live in dallas, tnx john

Unfortunately, in Dallas 155.46 isn't a repeater.
I think this list is still current... I haven't verified it in a while

Tom

--
email address is faked to kill spam
(remove the three z's)
tomc3 at airmail dot net

4735. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <929277741.511.62@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115609

In article <2813-3761E9AD-105@newsd-123.bryant.webtv.net>, k952@webtv.net (C W) wrote:
>Hi All,
>
>I just have to ask why anyone would want to listen too a cell
>communication?
>
>1. they suck !!!!
>2. They Suck !!!!!
>3. THEY SUCK !!!!!!
>
>Can anyone add to my list ?

Yes, I'll add that they suck too.

Everyone seems to need a cellphone today. It's the newest fad I guess. Didn't need them before, need them now. Amazing! Slaves to trends and fads I guess.

Tom

4736. Re: NYPD Slang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kegan30317@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990613092444.29439.00001241@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 115610

>
>Can someone tell me what does "EDP" mean when used by the NYPD

Emotionally Disturbed Person (next stop - Bellvue)

4737. Re: ABC News/Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3763B325.4860@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115611
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Peter Brown wrote:
>
> >> > One thing I can't stand is misrepresentation of facts.
> >> > That ABC News story coupled with the news stories of that
> >> > idiot in NY posting MDT data on his website sure make things look bad
> >> > for scanner
>
> More cross posting CRAP......

--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4738. Re: A silly question about ScannerRecorder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: cukr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990613092553.07588.00001240@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 115612

Hello:
Right or wrong I am running a patch cord directly from the earphone jack to the line in input. It seems to work. Hope this helps.
If I can help please don't hesitate to contact me.
Leslie
>Subject: A silly question about ScannerRecorder
>From: desdave@aol.com (Dave Bucholtz)
>Date: Sat, 12 June 1999 09:07 PM EDT
>Message-id: <19990612210757.01895.00000909@ng-fw1.aol.com>
>
>...If there was a help file on it, id read it. Instead I must bug all of
>you.
>
>I just d/l'ed the software, and it seems to be a great idea... but how do
>you
>hook your scanner up to it? Do you just "mic" your speaker, or do you run
>it
>from the "aux out" jack of your scanner to the line in -or- mic in on your
>computer.
>
>Help!
>
>email me personally if you have help for me.
>
>Dave
>
>
>{~}:-l desdave@aol.com::::Got a Wife in Chino!::::desdave@aol.com l-:{~}
>
>

4739. Re: POLISH FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: OBI-WAN PEROGI <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3763B387.6CCD@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio,scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Dudley Doright wrote:
>
> On Sun, 23 May 1999 23:52:52 -0400, OBI-WAN PEROGI
> <theoldbrookltnkid@ameritech.net> wrote:
>
> >Does anybody have any frequencies for Poland?
>
> Tak, mam.
>
> Your purported e-mail address appears to be inoperable! Send your
> valid e-mail address to me at GlO©╬wny Centrum Szpiegowski
> 101.32589@germanynet.de and I'll forward them to you.
>
> Na razie,
>
> zero zero siedem i pO©╬l
My e-mail address is:
theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net.
Trust me it works.
--
REMEMBER LUKE, THE SAUREKRAUT WILL ALWAYS BE WITH YOU;
ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE ON A DATE. BURP!!!!

4740. FS: pro-46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <kIO83.238$A4.916@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 115614

```
i have a pro 46 portable scanner,with nicads,charger,and copy of manual
covers to 956Mhz
price 100.00 shipped

thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net
```

4741. Re: Accidently Listening to MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: rhenry@hereintown.net
Message-ID: <376375eb.97325939@news.hereintown.net>
Source record: 115615

On Sat, 12 Jun 1999 07:13:06 -0400, "Ivan Ivanovich"
<iivanovich@home.in.nome.com> wrote:

>This is the ultimate in Political correctness, he should serve as an example
>of how the Clinton Adminstration wants us to respond.
>
>Pres Clinton will be awarding him the Red Banner Order of Clinton medal for
>his heroic efforts to comply with the letter of the law.

It's just a shame the CUMander in chief doesn't have to obey the same
laws that the rest of us so. (ie.) Tell the truth when under oath.

"Every time [President Bush] talks about trust it makes chills run up and
down my spine. The very idea that the word 'trust' could ever come out of
his mouth after the way he has trampled on the truth is a travesty of the
American political system." -Bill Clinton, 1992

Roger Henry

4742. aircraft scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: hockeyst@concentric.net
Message-ID: <hockeyst-1306991034190001@ts011d48.sag-mi.concentric.net>
Source record: 115616

***Please E-mail response directly if possible as I do not always have
news capability

anyone tell me what the cheapest scanner I can get that will get aircraft band

thanks in advance

sean in michigan

4743. F.S. Bearcat 855 XLT with mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb2rev@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990613104112.17285.00001152@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 115617

a nice clean 855 xlt with 100 channels and unblocked. has manual $75.00 plus shipping

4744. F.S. Bearcat 210 XL 18 channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb2rev@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990613104433.17285.00001153@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 115618

a very nice 210xl with a bright display,ant,power cord. $35.00 plus shipping

4745. Re: NYPD Slang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jcoke@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <3765c96e.2352838@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 115619

What does "K" mean when ending a transmission?

4746. Re: NYPD Slang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Spunky The Waffle Iron"
Message-ID: <E515822857D73A3C.E0FB3BD572FF119A.AB513523EDB5231E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 115620

Maybe it means "ok"?

> What does "K" mean when ending a transmission?

4747. FS: Yeasu FT-51R + extras for $300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jeffery A. Purtle" <jpurtle@home.com>
Message-ID: <3763DCA5.5751FDA@home.com>
Source record: 115621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.packet,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.misc

For Sale:
Yeasu FT-51R
5 watt dual band handheld transceiver
receives 110-180 Mhz and 420-470 Mhz
transmits 140-174 Mhz and 420-470 Mhz
alpha numeric labels for each memory channel
sub audible tone encode and decode stored in each memory
can be set-up to act as a mini repeater
computer programmable

original box, manual, antenna, adapter, etc.
works fine, in good condition

additional items include: a MFJ 2m/440 quarter wave telescoping antenna,

an MFJ 2m/440 magmount, and a Yeasu mobile drop charger/power supply.

Asking $300

Call or email Jeff Purtle, AC6IW:
jpurtle@home.com
(864) 292-3532 in Greenville, South Carolina USA

4748. FS: Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <7k0pjm$472$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: Icom R2 I bought new 6/1/99 from Lentini, with box, manual, antenna, and SMA to BNC adapter. R2 doesn't fit my needs. $170

Bill K9KZ

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4749. Re: NYPD Slang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <3763DE97.FA5D875D@accesscom.com>
Source record: 115623

It's a carry over from CW. K means over or over to you meaning your turn to transmit.

Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:
>
> Maybe it means "ok"?
>
> > What does "K" mean when ending a transmission?

4750. Notification of new Scanning binary newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: d.j@cableinet.co.uk
Message-ID: <MPG.11cdf4594ecc813998970a@news.cableinet.co.uk>
Source record: 115624
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi there,

just to let you all know a new group has been created fro the posting of software, pictures, sounds and any other files relationg to the hobby of scanning.

The name of the group is alt.binaries.radio-scanner, please request your news admins to add it to their feeds ASAP!!!

Thanks and hope to see you there soon

4751. Re: PRO 2006 NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990613125821.12842.00000823@ng37.aol.com>
Source record: 115625

```
>Subject: PRO 2006 NEW
>From: gilbertwa@aol.com (Gilbert Wa)
>Date: Sat, 12 June 1999 12:59 PM EDT
>Message-id: <19990612125954.19628.00000604@ng-cd1.aol.com>
>
>Still in Box, not a scratch, brand new, never used, best offer
>
>GilbertWa@aol.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

!
```

4752. Wanted: Review resource for ICOM scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: McAllister Bryant <bryantm1@us.ibm.com>
Message-ID: <7k0o1l$13vi$1@rtpnews.raleigh.ibm.com>
Source record: 115626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Hi,

I would like to find a shortwave or scanner web page that reviews the ICOM 7000, 7100 and 8500 receivers. OR, what impressions do the groups have of those radios.

Also, if any of those are available on the used market, please email me.

Thanks,

Bryant

4753. Re: Mdt montioring in LA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: resqr551 <resqr551@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7k0pk2$1qa$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 115627

2 shoots center torso, if target doesn't drop move up to bridge of nose and
double tap again...

>>
>> actually it was a black suburban, with black tinted windows and lots of
>> antennas on top.

>> > No, but I bet those gentlemen getting out of the black van and
>> > walking to your door would be more than happy to assist you.
>> >
>> > Our freedom is slipping away.
>> >
>> > > In light of what happened with Bill cheek, is anyone still monioring
>> > > mdts. If so does anyone know of any to monitor in LA?

4754. Re: NYPD Slang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeff <reddog5@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7k0q1e$i9o$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 115628

Kegan30317 wrote in message
<19990613092444.29439.00001241@ng-cm1.aol.com>...
>>
>>Can someone tell me what does "EDP" mean when used by the NYPD
>
>Emotionally Disturbed Person (next stop - Bellvue)

Or Every Day People ;)

4755. Re: Mackey Post...Explosion Ensues...Back to Silence as An Answer...true.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3763EFB1.B02DA92F@usa.net>
Source record: 115629

MAlexder, excellent advice you gave. But Bill Conduit lives for causing trouble.

MAlexder wrote:

> >myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP)
>
> >Silence is the answer.
>
> That's right.
> Why don't you follow your own advice
> rather than posting
> off-topic
> messages that are solely
> intended to cause trouble?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

4756. WTB Motorola HandSet Hangup Bracket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevewfd@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990613134923.25119.00000849@ng40.aol.com>
Source record: 115630

I am looking for Motorola OLD-part # 01-858465 - NEWER # 01-00858465
Hang-up bracket for heavy duty telephone TYPE handset
NEW FROM THEM, DEALER COST WAS $32.00
I am looking for 10-12 of them.

PLEASE E-MAIL DIRECT TO stevewfd@aol.com

Stephen Wolf Commissioner
Woodmere Fire District

4757. Re: Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3763F0E3.D514957@usa.net>
Source record: 115631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Blake Bowers wrote:

> On Sat, 12 Jun 1999 16:23:22 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> >OK Blake, I'll be more PRECISE for you.
>
> Just tell the truth John. That's all. I realize that is
> very difficult for you.
>
> >
> >Ask Blake how long after he made the phone call to my Dad's office (where he
> >said nothing & immediately hung up) it took to track that hang up call as
> >coming
> >from Blake's home phone.
>
> Caller ID is nothing new. The lie you made is about me supposedly
> harrassing you. I hung up on VOICEMAIL. As I explained on the
> phone to you later that evening, many people hang up on voicemail.

Blake, I only know that 417-257-2777 came up on the list with a call durationof 1
Min. That phone number comes back on the (www.infospace.com)
reverse look up as being listed to "Blake Bowers (& two other people), 6281
County Road 9800, West Plains, MO 65775". My Father reports he called that
number back & the male voice that answered the phone claimed to not know of
anyone named "Mackey" and denied making the previous mentioned call. My
father reports that the male voice later claimed that the only other person in
the
house was his son and said or suggested it must have been the son that made the
call.

> No harrassment as you lied about.

You should read the Oregon Revised Statutes, there has been a report takenby the
Shrf. Dept. !

> You are a filthy liar John, and you know it. It still seems strange

No Blake, YOU LIED when you denied making the call!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

4758. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: girotec <girotec@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7k0r42opk1@nclient3-gui.server.virgin.net>
Source record: 115632
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I thought this was alt.radio.pirate, Chuck Rowe, I am a jerk that likes to
get on a frequency without a license. If you dont like reading posts like
this then use the uk.radio.amateur newsgroup instead.
So fuck your dead grandmother you stuck up prick.
Chuck Rowe <Chuck@la-radio.com> wrote in message
news:37609f00.115993278@news.uia.net...
> It's jerks like you that get onto a frequency without license or
> anyone's permission and think you're cleverly funny. You are a loser
> and you should rot in your own feces.
>
>
> On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
> wrote:
>
> >This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has
to
> >be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
> >sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know
of
> >that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you
know
> >transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say...
Thanks
> >in advance
> >
> >Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> >neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my
life
> >LOSER
> >
> >Thanks..
> >
> >Robert
> >
>

4759. mode for bearcat sc150??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Huff <huffti@lincs.net>
Message-ID: <929296512.10984@www.remarq.com>
Source record: 115633

I am looking for mods for the bearcat sc150 anyone know
where I can find them?

Tim

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

4760. Re: remanufactured uniden radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sean Harding <sharding@well.com>
Message-ID: <7k0rgn$gp2$1@pith.uoregon.edu>
Source record: 115634

sean <hockeyst@concentric.net> wrote:

> Has anyone tired the uniden "like new" remanufactured units

I got a cordless phone (the exs9800) that way, and I'm very happy with it.

sean

--
Sean Harding sharding@well.com |"art may imitate life
http://gladstone.uoregon.edu/~sharding/ | but life imitates t.v."
Consulting: http://www.efn.org/~seanh/ | --ani difranco

4761. VR-500 Avail in Japan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: n2ss@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3763f5d1.86286010@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 115635

Are they available yet at Akihabara? I will be in Tokyo next month and would like to pick one up. Thanks, Tony

4762. My scanner crapped out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sluggo <Sluggo@kickass.com>
Message-ID: <ePS83.3401$m3.1805421@homer.alpha.net>
Source record: 115636
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I turned on (or should I say, tried to turn on?) my BC760XLT this morning and it doesn't work! To be more specific, the display is blank but lights up, I get no beeps whatsoever from any of the buttons, and the squelch and volume still work. Anyone have a guess what the problem is?? Is there a reset switch anywhere, or would that be too easy?? I don't want to send it in unless that's a last resort. Please reply to this group if you can help out. Thanks.

4763. FA-AOR 8200 scanner-computer package

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: gg210301@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990613143112.11890.00001098@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 115637

Please check out the pictures at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=116996572

4764. Re: 123.025!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-13 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37632970.7801764@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 115638

wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com (-) wrote:

:In article <19990523234820.18115.00002642@ng-ce1.aol.com>,
:PorscheEMB <porscheemb@aol.com> wrote:
:>i will think!
:>Thanks!
:>
:
:get an alinco DJ-C1 2 meter credit card transceiver.
:it'll receive 123.025 and i paid $42 at dayton hamfest (tax included)
:i think they're around $69.00 from american electronics supply - cleveland?
:got to use an earplug or a speaker/mic. this is a coool little radio.
:it's the size of a playing card and about 1/4" thick.
:if you want to transmit - well look at a type accepted radio - although
:alot of these "ham" radios will convert into all sorts of bands . . .
:but that's another story.

Would you get someone to translate that to english please?

:
:
:xxxx
:
:

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

4765. Re: ICOM R75 Receiver - Who had one and how do they like it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bumologist@pipeline.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11cf185e7cf0e1c39896f1@news.pipeline.com>
Source record: 115339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3763e167.499893518@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com>,
jeff.adams@gscxyz.gte.com says...
> On Sat, 12 Jun 1999 11:43:48 -0400, "McAllister Bryant"
> <bryantm1@us.ibm.com> wrote:
>
> >I have one of the R75's from Grove (004). I only have it hooked to a Sony
> >AN-1 at the time but it seems to be a very good radio. It is taking a bit
> >to get used to the menu but that is because I am used to a R7A. I do not
> >have any of the extra filters or DSP unit yet so I do not know how they
> >work. I will say that it is a keeper. The two weeks that I have had it
> >have been very good. I plan on a more detailed review at sometime in the
> >near future but have not had time.
> >
> >ShortWave Magazine just released the second review by John Wilson (designer
> >of the Lowe 150 and 225) and he is very impressed with the radio. The
> >second review, which came out about a week ago had very good things to say.
>
> Thanks for the info. I broke down and bought one yesterday. Two things
> so far bother me a little bit:
>
> 1) It would appear that the synchronous detector only works on AM? The
> manual is not all that great (or, I'm just not very experienced with
> SW radios...).

>>>>>UHHH,what else would you want it to work on?It's a sych AM detector!
Maybe you don't understand what it is...
>
> 2) Also, it appears that the "scan" function can't be made to work
> like a standard scanner. There is no mode (at least from what I can
> tell reading the manual, I haven't played with it on the radio yet)
> where it will stay on a frequency until the transmission is complete.
> The manual says it will move off the transmission in 10 seconds
> _regardless_ of whether someone is still talking or not.

>>>>>>Don't know about that,I only played with one a few minutes,but most
SW radios "scanner"functions are pretty lame at best.Icom always does
some weird function totally wrong,so you are probably correct..
>
> Can anyone confirm or deny these two issues? Any other features that
> seem unusual to you?
>
> Thanks in advance,
> Jeff Adams
>

> ======CORRECT EMAIL: remove the xyz====================================
> | Jeff.Adams@gscxyz.gte.com) | GTE Electronic Systems Division |
> | 650-966-2122 | Mountain View, CA U.S.A. |
> | Views expressed are mine and do not necessarily reflect the official |
> | position of GTE or any of its subsidiaries |
> ==
> >>>>>It seems like apretty decent radio,but it's no 545!

Barry

4766. Re: FS: pro-46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: caladan1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614135656.01331.00001571@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 115340

>i have a pro 46 portable scanner,with nicads,charger,and copy of manual
>covers to 956Mhz
>price 100.00 shipped

would you take 80.00 for it shipped?
Andy

4767. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <7Lc93.44$eI.6498@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Same old Maynard T crap.

You have been the one to spew constantly throughout the shunning of Toilet Boy.

You have learned well from Bill, you are so So. Cal Cool.

Snap snap snap!

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:7k3872$m7m@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com...
> In <376608f1.2760903@enews.newsguy.com> you@stupid.retard (Macaroon)
> writes:
>
>
> >> the process was working so swell.
> >> Kindly refrain from this expanse of
> >> nothingness to attain.
> >> If you stay quiet, it goes away.
> >
> >Shall I lead from your fine example?
> '
> I had a point to make.
>
> If you really can read, you
> may get an inkling of what was
> at the top of the page...
>
> ...my example was what it
> was...it's working. so
> keep it going and the NG
> wins.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.
>
> this address you give is a phony

4768. Re: Macomb County Michigan - Weather

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614165812.11091.00000664@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 115342

try the NOAA weather freqs 162.475, 162.55, 162.5, 162.525, 162.4, and 162,425

4769. Re: CW Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@ktb.net>
Message-ID: <376571EB.C085CCDA@ktb.net>
Source record: 115343

Ralph Mowery wrote:
>
> What is wrong with the hamcomm program ?? If you already have the
> decoder ?
$30. All I need is CW.

> There are also some out that will decode the cw whith just the sound
> card. Don't have the urls handy, but you should be able to search
> for them.
Yes. I know of those. I will try one if there is not a slicer program.
> Glenn Mitchell wrote:
> >
> > Can anyone recommend a cheap, good CW decoder using a Hamcomm-type
> > slicer? I don't need 50 modes. Just something to decode ID's.

--

Remove NULL from email address to reply.

4770. Re: NEED HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <37657ED2.99C49D78@globe-net.net>
Source record: 115344

http://junior.apk.net/~mikef/polk.txt

spawn911@webtv.net wrote:

> i need help finding some trunked frequency for polk county florida if
> you can help me then email me hear at
> SPAWN323@HOTMAIL.COM

4771. Leather Cases FS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications <dat2comm@toad.net>
Message-ID: <GAf93.12$Li1.182515@news-read1.qis.net>
Source record: 115345

I have four (4) New Leather Swivel type cases that are a good fit for the Uniden BC230, BC235, etc. These were made by Maxon for the CP-0500 series radios.

Asking $10.00 plus possible shipping.

--
Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
dat2comm@toad.net or dat2comm@juno.com
(410) 360-6894

4772. Re: wtd indash sanner by kenwood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Walter G. Bowman" <walter_bowman@hp.com>
Message-ID: <376587FA.6778@hp.com>
Source record: 115346
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,slac.rec.ham_radio

kable guy minn wrote:
>
> looking for in dash scanner that kenwood built a while ago. can't rem the
> model number like "1000" something it looke just like a regular
> car radio that went in the dash..
> thanks for any help
> n0mnb@minn.net

Shinwa also makes a similar unit. Same size but I think it has a broader freq range than the RZ-1. It comes with a wireless remote but it doesn't have the video output. I know of one for sale but it's around the 325.00 range.

Don.

4773. Re: LOOK at this ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7k41hddta1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115347

INNELLA wrote in message <19990612004321.05649.00000541@ng-fm1.aol.com>...
>I just got a uniden SC150y.
>Can someone tell me all the cool s**t I'm going
>to be listening to. I want to know it all ! I am really
>stoked. I WILL NEVER GET TIRED OF THIS !!!
>

OK, but I'm not sure what the question is exactly?

If you get a copy of Police Call, that is a good start - just find the data for your area and plug it in to the scanner.

Another excellent source for scanner fuel is the web - pick a search engine and type in "scanner frequencies" to see what I mean.

Eventually, you'll outgrow the scancat (150 channels is barely adequate for most metro areas, and the interferance a dual conversion scanner receives will drive you nuts in urban areas) and want to step up to a better unit. You may also later on want computer control and trunking capability. Maybe later you'll be ready to try decoding some digital signals you receive. I've been scanning for over 12 years now and I still don't "know it all" - I probably never will!

Check out this site: http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm This is a new users section that I highly recommend you read, and the rest of the site is extremely helpful as well. You'll-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
want to watch Rich's product reviews as well, for reference when you're ready to upgrade from the scancat.

4774. Re: 3000 xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: verrando <verrando@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <1D8C3767F2F9D35A.9FF16964A511AF8C.AA8BC835211FA8B1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 115348

There is (or was) a "virtual unlock" of 800 mhz by
Cellular Security Group in Gloucester, MA. Its a
down conversion of the freqs to the 360-380 mhz
band and involves modifiying the attenuator button.
They charge $99 and it works very well.

4775. Re: LOOK at this ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7k42a3$e5s$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115349

Phil Smalley wrote in message <7k41hddta1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>You'll-Phil
>

(Sorry, accidentally clicked "Post" on my news reader too soon) What I was
going to add is: "you'll want to refer to this site when you're ready to
upgrade to a better radio than the scancat."

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

-

4776. Pro2021 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614192920.12792.00002099@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 115350

Anyone have the schematic or parts list for this radio? I sure could use a copy. Checked out RS's site but no schematic and incomplete parts list (surprise).R-143 blew in the radio Im working on and it is so fried I cannot read the color codes. So I at least need the value of R-143. However, I am pretty sure my problem doesnt end there. Thanks for any and all help. Please respond to my e-mail address. THanks, Ira Cooper

4777. FS: PRO 57 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: caladan1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614195631.27070.00000748@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 115351

This is an excellent little 10 channel scanner. It would make a great back up.
I works great and looks as good. I am only asking 30.00 + shipping. I do
have a pwer cord for it and its antenna.
Thanks for the read,
Andy

4778. I'm looking for info on current packet radio set-ups..56k especially

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rob <rcy@YoungVisions.com>
Message-ID: <37659F50.650344BB@YoungVisions.com>
Source record: 115352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital

I'm new to the whole packet radio scene and I am trying to get some more info on the technology. I have done the usual
search engine thing but I haven't come up with a whole lot of info.

I'm looking for current lists of recommended equipment, general info about AX.25, info on clubs/groups in CHICAGOLAND
area, satellite freqs, repeater freqs... etc.

Please post any links you may have to the news group

Thanks for all of your help

4779. FS Radio Shack Pro 62 200 Channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Topaz <topaz@webcom.com>
Message-ID: <37659E3C.4EFD@webcom.com>
Source record: 115353

```
Radio Shack 200 channel PROgramable 62 (11A4)
Excellent conditon (elecrically and cosmetics)
Comes with NiCads, charger, and instructions.

$100 plus postage

contact topaz@webcom.com
```

4780. fs scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: JFW <lebear@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <7k47cm$fl3$1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 115354

For sale, Radio Shack pro-2066 mobile trunking scanner. I paid the full $220 for it new; it is about 6 months old and has been used as a base unit, so it's in fine shape. Everything is included except the original manual, which somehow turned up missing, I replaced it with a download from the RS website.
Must sell- make me a fair offer. (Please no trades.)

e-mail
lebear@cyberhighway.net

4781. Question ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: medic13 <medic13@accesscable.net>
Message-ID: <7k49gnpku1@nntp3.uunet.ca>
Source record: 115355

I am planning on buying a mobile and base trunk tracking scanner in the near future. What I would like to know if anyone can answer this question for me. Any suggestion for a mobile and portable scanners that has Motorola Astro Digital (Type II) capabiltilies for listening ?
Please reply directly to my email address: ems477@accesscable.net
Thanks!!!

Tom

4782. Re: E-Mail discussion lists?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Ang <boxcars98@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <sQh93.3517$Et6.312134@newsgate.direct.ca>
Source record: 115356

Frank Laughlin III wrote in message <376461b1@news2.foxinternet.net>...
>I've already checked the List of Lists, and Intercept Northwest's homepage.
>Does anyone know of email lists one can subscribe to discuss scanner
>frequencies found, tips and tricks for usage, and general scanner talk?
>Preferably one for the Seattle area if it exists. Thanks!
>
>
>

Frank,

I believe there once was an NWSCAN-L mailing list but I don't know if it still exists or not. I tried looking for it on the L-Soft website and couldn't locate it. I'd be interested in knowing if you find one or not. I live in the Vancouver, B.C. area and am getting back into monitoring Northwest Washington.

Cheers,

Paul
boxcars98@yahoo.com

4783. Re: ICOM R75 Receiver - Who had one and how do they like it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Welch <tomwelch@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3765AEBD.982AA8AC@swbell.net>
Source record: 115357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Icom R75 Receiver -- My 2 Cents

I've seen the ads and description @ Grove and Universal,
but aren't you just better buying a Drake R8B. It seems after
putting down your money for a basic R75, you need to buy
a lot of extras which quickly add up to some major bucks.

I would like to see a side-by-side comparision with the
Drake R8B which has a 1000 memory positions, I
think!

Tom Welch, Online Editor
WWW.MONEYWORDS.COM -> IT Business Skills Builder
Hours -> 10:30AM - 2:30PM Central Time
tomwelch@swbell.net
v2v 210.737.7022
335 West Olmos
San Antonio, Texas 78212-1959 USA

4784. Re: BC-855-XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Garry W. Watts" <gwatts@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3765B2F8.5CED@ameritech.net>
Source record: 115358
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Robert J.N. Speirs wrote:
>
> I know where I may purchase a re-conditioned Uniden Bearcat BC-855-XLT
> radio scanner for C$200. Good deal?

Personally I think its a rip off... They only sold for around 150.00
new!

Just sold one for 75.00 used about 7 or 8 yrs old

Got luck

garry

4785. Re: Weird radio transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgilmore1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25705-3765A9F2-24@newsd-291.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115359

I am not like all of those stupid freaks that have nothing to do than make viruses and ruin other peoples stuff. I have better things to do than that. Also if everyone else heard it without any problem, then you shouldn't worry about. And, I probably could not make a virus with webtv anyway! Thanks to all that responded, I really appreciate it.

--Joe

4786. WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgilmore1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25709-3765AF0C-1@newsd-291.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115360

I am new to scanning and have been noticing a lot of postings on Bill Cheek, who is he and is he any importance to me or the enjoyment of scanning?

4787. Radioshack Pro-2050 ??tips??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgilmore1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25703-3765ADE1-82@newsd-291.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115361

I own a Radioshack Pro-2050 base trunking scanner. It works great and holds 300 channels!!! Does anyone have pro's or con's on this scanner??

4788. WANTED: Oregon Search & Rescue Tunking ID's -or- frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgilmore1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25703-3765AD75-81@newsd-291.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115362

I am looking for Oregon's Search and rescue Trunking Id's and
frequencies. Can anyone tell me them or where to find them?

4789. Laptop for sale $100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary B <GARBRE@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <37654d3f@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 115363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Just in time for field day...

Compaq 486/25
12 megs ram
210 mb HD
14.4 k internal modem
Mono screen
currently runs win 95
with battery and charger

$100 + 10 shipping CONUS

Gary kb3cgq

4790. RS Pro-2056 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary B <GARBRE@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <37654d46@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 115364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

RS pro-2056
Great condition
Box, manual, power cords, mobile mount
Plus windshield mount
service search + aircraft
this is the same scanner as a BC-350a

$50 + $5 shipping

gary kb3cgq

4791. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????news:alt.fan.bill.cheek

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Mendenhall <PMendenhall@accessone.com>
Message-ID: <3765AD2C.28154108@accessone.com>
Source record: 115365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheeck

Joseph Gilmore wrote:
>
> I am new to scanning and have been noticing a lot of postings on Bill
> Cheek, who is he and is he any importance to me or the enjoyment of
> scanning?

click here: ---> news:alt.fan.bill.cheek <---<

4792. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: WebMonkey <demoman@rollanet.org>
Message-ID: <3765DC2E.7C8D@rollanet.org>
Source record: 115366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Frank A. Adrian wrote:
>
> Wow! This is really getting surrealistic. Even most no-coders know how to
> send and recognize SOS (That's why they could use it in the show
> "believably". LA cops AFAIK, don't receive Morse training). Besides that,
> (a) it was a stupid plot device, (b) Martin Milner still can't act, and (c)
> TV shows are probably not a really great input to base federal communication
> policy upon.
>
what, no comment on morse usage on my repeater..... =]

> WebMonkey <click@below.com> wrote in message news:375E77A6.7F29@below.com...
> > Michael Young wrote:
> > >
> > > Wooka <hass@aznet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:375E0B07.12C8DDD3@aznet.net...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Trevor Harris wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > the military still teaches it. The reason that they still want to
> > > teach it is that
> > > > > in a nuclear wasteland, it is theorized that CW will be the only
> > > method that will
> > > > > work. If you don't hear the dih you will hear the static "beat"
> > > silent. I hope
> > > > > this make sense. 73's brother
> > > > > Trevor Harris, Former SUB Radioman
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Do you know any studies that point to radiation hindering radio
> > > communications?
> > > >
> > > You're trolling, right? You're really one of the know-code crowd in
> > > disguise, trying to discredit the movement to dummify.
> > >
> > > Michael.
> >
> > anyone see the adam-12 episode where malloy got shot? his radio mic
> > was smashed and the only way he could communicate was to 'key' the
> > radio on and off. he sent a bit of morse code, was cool.
> >
> > morse code is pretty cool, you don't need a radio to use it.

> > a mirror and sunlight works too.
> >
> > anyway, i thought i might throw this in. my repeater is
> > voice id heavy, i was asked to add more morse code id
> > in the mix by my friend. after this suggestion,
> > i made a few rotating bulletins with morse code followed
> > by a voice counterpart to assist a tech ham in getting some
> > copy practice right from my repeater. it appears to have
> > helped alot. upgrade in sight =]
> > --
> > A.C. Curtis
> > KBï¿½WLF
> > 53.45 and 442.45, 77.0Hz enc/dec [multi-cast repeater system]
> > mailto:demoman@rollanet.org
> > http://www.rollanet.org/~demoman

--
A.C. Curtis
KBï¿½WLF
53.45 and 442.45, 77.0Hz enc/dec [multi-cast repeater system]
mailto:demoman@rollanet.org
http://www.rollanet.org/~demoman

4793. Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: sd@rth.com
Message-ID: <3765EDD0.D8C82098@rth.com>
Source record: 115367

If they do could they post it.

thank you

4794. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Lkm93.659$el.71951@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> ...
>Macaroon writes:
> >
>
> >If you believe this, congratulations. Retard boy will never just go
> away
> >unless he gets hit by a truck. He enjoys trolling too much. His lack
> of
> >knowledge shines through by his consistent ability to post off topic.
> >
>
> Not belief. Facts.
>
> You omit him
> you win.
> you cancel him
> you win.
> he retorts
> nothing inputted

So now you add loser to your credentials Maynard T.

> it's not him, it's us.
> we create him by giving
> him what he lacks.

No, you do So. Ca Cool.

>
> quit it and he cannot survive.
> Listen to the silence.
> it's working even if you
> won't...

I get it. You ARE talking to yourself. Internal babble. Well babble anyway.

Snap Snap Snap Snap!

4795. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <3764469b.355544321@news.uia.net>
Source record: 115496
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

OK well then to answer your question, NO. They aren't available
because they're illegal. I do however find it hard to believe that
someone would inquire about such a thing with no interest in buying
it. But hey, you sound like an upststanding citizen (he said
sarcastically). I'm sure you would never operate on a frequency you
were not supposed to be on now would you? Keep your pirate bullshit
in the pirate groups asshole.

Pirates are people who can't pass the ham test or get a job in radio.
Did somebody say wannabe?

On Fri, 11 Jun 1999 00:56:12 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
wrote:

>look. drop this shit already. Its old. As stated before I just Wanted to
>know if such a radio existed. Did I ever say that I wanted to buy one so
>that I may harass everyone in the damned world.. NO!! so back the fuck off
>already.
>Chuck Rowe <Chuck@la-radio.com> wrote in message
>news:37609f00.115993278@news.uia.net...
>> It's jerks like you that get onto a frequency without license or
>> anyone's permission and think you're cleverly funny. You are a loser
>> and you should rot in your own feces.
>>
>>
>> On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has
>to
>> >be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am pretty
>> >sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that you know
>of
>> >that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that you
>know
>> >transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say...
>Thanks
>> >in advance
>> >
>> >Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
>> >neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in my
>life
>> >LOSER

>> >
>> >Thanks..
>> >
>> >Robert
>> >
>>
>

4796. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <376549a1.356318378@news.uia.net>
Source record: 115497
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Very well written. As a member of the media/aviation communities I
couldn't agree more.

>>--
>>_____________________________
>That's what accident reports are for.
>They provide an objective, unexcited analysis of what went wrong and why.
>No media or scanner report can be relied upon to tell you what went wrong,
>they just provide the excitement of the moment.
>
>Dave

4797. Re: NEW Discone Antenna at Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <37664d59.357271069@news.uia.net>
Source record: 115498

How does this antenna match up to the one RS sells for about $30.
That's the one I bought and it's OK but I'm looking for something
better. Would it work OK as an attic antenna?

On Sat, 12 Jun 1999 23:04:19 -0400, David Honeycutt
<k3458@leo.infi.net> wrote:

>Hello Everyone,
>
>Please take a quick look at the Scanner / Ham Radio Discone Antenna that
>I have listed at eBay Auction. It is brand new in the box.
>
> Follow this link to the listing
>
>Thank you for your time,
>David Honeycutt

4798. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <tdY83.691$_n2.1213@news.flash.net>
Source record: 115499

In article <929277741.511.62@news.remarQ.com>, Tom@astro.net says...
>
>In article <2813-3761E9AD-105@newsd-123.bryant.webtv.net>, k952@webtv.net (C
W) wrote:
>>Hi All,
>>
>>I just have to ask why anyone would want to listen too a cell
>>communication?
>>
>>1. they suck !!!!
>>2. They Suck !!!!!
>>3. THEY SUCK !!!!!!
>>
>>Can anyone add to my list ?
>
> Yes, I'll add that they suck too.
>
>Everyone seems to need a cellphone today. It's the newest fad I guess. Didn't
>need them before, need them now. Amazing! Slaves to trends and fads I guess.
>
>Tom
>

The next fad are these new FRS radios. While at the shore house this weekend
(Ocean City, MD) I saw at least 5 different people talking on these. You wait
and see, these are the next set of frequencies which will be banned from our
scanners....

Besure the check out the latest activity on RadiosOnLine E-Zine
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <--RadiosOnLine, Source of Tons of Radio Stuff!

--

_____
Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
_____

4799. Re: Help with new Trunk Tracker.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuck@la-radio.com
Message-ID: <37675f01.361791544@news.uia.net>
Source record: 115500

Type II is indeed the default. I have the RS PRO-90 and had to change one of my trunk banks to follow a non Motorola II system. It's really not that difficult because most of the systems operate on a standard plan. If they don't it's difficult to find out enough information about them anyway. Regarding the weak signal issue, 20 miles shouldn't be too far away. Is the transmitter located behind hills, mountains or dense trees? That would do it.

On Thu, 10 Jun 1999 22:25:12 -0500, "Ruff-Cut" <sharkman@execpc.com> wrote:

> I just purchased a new Trunk Tracker from Uniden. I acquired the
>local police frequencies that operate on the new system. So far I have
>received only one weak signal. The standard frequencies (460MHz)
>come through rather well. The department is about 15-20 miles away.
>Shouldn't the 800MHz signal be stronger than the older frequencies?
>The system used is a standard Motorola(not type II). The scanner claims
>to use the type II setting as a default. Do I have to adjust my scanner to
>receive standard Trunked Systems? The manual is a little confusing.
>
> The other thing I wondered was why my three year old Uniden SportCat
>could receive some cell phones(it was cell-blocked) and this new scanner
>receives none. They both cover the same frequencies.
>
> If any of you have some suggestions,it would be greatly appreciated.
>
>Ruff-Cut
>

4800. Re: 3000 xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990613220836.22854.00001294@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 115501

>From: "joker535" <joker535@mindspring.com>
>Date: Sun, 13 June 1999 09:52 PM

>
>If I was to buy a brand new BC-3000xlt scanner, would it be able to be
>modified for full 800mhz band coverage?<<

Nope.

>>If so where would I find this mod?<<

Nowhere, man.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

4801. MDT Freq's for CHP & SBDO/LA County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <3768651e.363356612@news.uia.net>
Source record: 115502

Does anyone have MDT Frequencires for CHP. I'm particularly interested in Inland Empire stuff. I would also like to get a hold of MDT info for San Bernardino County cities such as Chino, Chino Hills and Ontario. Anything from L.A. would be cool too.

4802. FS: PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: chainsaw@softhome.net
Message-ID: <37676690.39036143@news.istar.ca>
Source record: 115503

FS: PRO-43 full meal deal,works as new,
case has some scratches.
$200.00 US + shipping.
Scanner hails from Canada.

4803. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom@astro.net
Message-ID: <929327412.236.82@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115504

In article <tdY83.691$_n2.1213@news.flash.net>, av8tor@flash.net (Av8tor) wrote:
>In article <929277741.511.62@news.remarQ.com>, Tom@astro.net says...
>>
>>In article <2813-3761E9AD-105@newsd-123.bryant.webtv.net>, k952@webtv.net (C
>W) wrote:
>>>Hi All,
>>>
>>>I just have to ask why anyone would want to listen too a cell
>>>communication?
>>>
>>>1. they suck !!!!
>>>2. They Suck !!!!!
>>>3. THEY SUCK !!!!!!
>>>
>>>Can anyone add to my list ?
>>
>> Yes, I'll add that they suck too.
>>
>>Everyone seems to need a cellphone today. It's the newest fad I guess. Didn't
>>need them before, need them now. Amazing! Slaves to trends and fads I guess.
>>
>>Tom
>>
>
>The next fad are these new FRS radios. While at the shore house this weekend
>(Ocean City, MD) I saw at least 5 different people talking on these. You wait
>and see, these are the next set of frequencies which will be banned from our
>scanners....

But at least FRS users don't have to pay rediculous talk time charges. Got
to give them that much. No monthly fees either. So the range is lousy, for
those who use them it's apparently enough. I can see some FRS users coming
into ham radio in the future, wanting more range...

73,
Tom

>Besure the check out the latest activity on RadiosOnLine E-Zine
>http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

>George
>www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <--RadiosOnLine, Source of Tons of Radio Stuff!

Tom Randall -- trandall@idsi.net
Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10 / QRP-L #1965

Member: AAVSO Solar Division

My Astronomy/Ham radio site: http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html

4804. Re: Career women lose sex drive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990613225945.27735.00001466@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 115505

Did you hear this on a scanner? Or did you accidentally post to the WRONG room?

4805. Re: NYPD Slang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: fd347@aol.compostpile
Message-ID: <19990613230049.27070.00000494@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 115506

>In NYC, emotionally disturbed people = everyday people
> The fucking city is NUTS!!

Hey! I resemble that remark!

Frank Raffa
Supv. Dispatcher FDNY
http://members.aol.com/fd347/

4806. Re: FS: Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: walt <salmaniw@home.com>
Message-ID: <37647175.5B129E4E@home.com>
Source record: 115507
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

"Joel M. Rose" wrote:
>
> hi...if this is unblocked, i may be interested,,,,,
> jr

Hello to the newsgroup! You folks may be interested in my mint 2006. I listed it on eBay this morning at the following:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=116961953

I welcome questions about this fabulous set.

4807. Re: HELP EVERYBODY (New binary scanner group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Grail <stewartjon@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7k1upresh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115508
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Most Usenet Groups do not allow the posting of binary files into the
message base so normally a binary group is used to post specialized
software for people, like trunk-tracker or say ACARS.

In article <7jj3cj$9he$4@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>,
"RICK" <radiwave@deltaforce.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> How will a binary group help us or am I just missing the point ???
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4808. Re: MDT Freq's for CHP & SBDO/LA County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <37697923.368482032@news.uia.net>
Source record: 115509

Keith,

Got that one thanks but that site can be delayed by 5-10 minutes
sometimes. Thanks for the reply!

On Mon, 14 Jun 1999 03:20:19 GMT, spambox@teleport.com (Keith) wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Jun 1999 02:15:59 GMT, mailme@rizzap.com (Poster Boy) wrote:
>
>>Does anyone have MDT Frequencires for CHP. I'm particularly
>>interested in Inland Empire stuff. I would also like to get a hold
>>of MDT info for San Bernardino County cities such as Chino, Chino
>>Hills and Ontario. Anything from L.A. would be cool too.
>
>You can read CHP's MDT real time right on the net!
>
>http://cad.chp.ca.gov/

4809. Re: Career women lose sex drive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <w9%83.4156$GT.6418@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 115510

Maybe they were implying it was the blocked spectrum of the female frequency! :)

Bill Crocker

Gdfthr768 <gdfthr768@aol.com> wrote in message news:19990613225945.27735.00001466@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> Did you hear this on a scanner? Or did you accidentally post to the WRONG room?

4810. FS Radio Shack Scanner Pro 2006 with cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: walt <salmaniw@home.com>
Message-ID: <3764819E.52517C33@home.com>
Source record: 115511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For those interested, I have a mint Pro 2006 base scanner, arguably one of the best scanners ever produced, cellular restored, with enhanced scanning speeds. Visit my site on eBay at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=116961953

Questions are welcomed to: salmaniw@home.com

4811. Re: Clearing memory on PRO-91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614010902.15975.00001459@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 115512

page 68 for my pro-91 manual says : turn scanner on while holding 2 and 9 buttons. Let the word 'clear' flash for full 8 seconds before turning scanner off again.

4812. Re: Centre County, PA 800 MHZ Radio System - Did The Engineers Pull A Boner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren North <northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net>
Message-ID: <3764901c@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 115513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

DDT <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:376423d5.22430115@news2.penn.com...
>On Sun, 13 Jun 1999 16:51:49 -0400, "Darren L. North"
><northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net> wrote:
>
>>
>
>
>>It's very possible, seeing as how 800 mhz signals don't mix well with
>>foliage. Is that the Penn State system that you are talking about, or is
>>that something else.
>
>It's something else.
>It's a county wide system that we're talking about.
>
>
>>I make several trips to the area each year, so I guess I'll need to make
>>some changes in my scanner programming.
>
>The State College PD went to the new system also.
>Both systems share the same frequencies.
>There are two control channels, one for county wide and one for PSU.
>
>
>

Thanks for the info. Also, from speaking with some area police officers, I understand that the county is forming a county-wide drug task force. Any info on this, especially freqs? thanks!

4813. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: dogbert@mindless.com
Message-ID: <376491f0.778742342@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115514
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 12 Jun 1999 17:47:11 GMT, tos-tng@startrekmail.com wrote:

>In article <3760b575.525653530@news.earthlink.net>, dogbert@mindless.com wrote:
>>On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it has to
>>>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig.
>>[snip]
>>
>>Using such a radio would be illegal.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>Actually such equipment exists and is available and it's not illegal. The
>primary users of such equipment are those business that have a need to test
>consumer electronic equipment, medical electronic equipment, military
>electronic equipment...etc. for ElectroMagnetic Compatibility and
>ElectroMagnetic Interference or as we know it EMC/EMI. The transmitter and
>receivers used to do the measurements generally operate from 14khz to 40ghz
>and are continuously tunable and can generate a fieldstrength of 200v/m. Go
>down to the local library and check out these two magazines: Evaluation
>Engineering and Compliance Engineering. Both have articals and advertisements
>citing the equipment you are looking for...Price and availablity of the
>equipment will be up to the individual manufacturers.
>.................enjoy.................
>
>Fred

I know. In fact, I've been doing some work with EMI and EMC folks a
few months back, and they have some nice broadband equipment. Don't
know if you could exactly pick any modulation scheme you wanted, but
I suppose you could build that part if not.

Direct digital synthesis and mixing could conceivably give you not
only very broadband capabilities, but the ability to jump from one
frequency to another almost instantly for some spread-spectrum
capabilities as well.

My point was to tweak the twit who took offense before any was
offered, calling us names and prejudging our answers before anyone

even responded to his question. If you read the other posts here, it's pretty obvious the majority of folks here don't think he deserves a serious answer with such a snotty attitude. This is a pretty nice newsgroup, and folks are generally very eager to help on any technical matter, so maybe this fellow should change his handle and come back with a new attitude, or at least ask the question again in a kinder, gentler post. :)

-- Woofle

4814. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7k247t$iba@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <37649108.838B0318@netxn.com> Mike Derryberry
<mderryberry@netxn.com> writes:
>
>Shhhhhhhhh .... we don't want to wake the sleeping moron ... let's
just
>let the sleeping idiot sleep .... it's been nice and quiet lately ...
>
>Mike Derryberry
>

We can do it
We can do it if we try
We can do it if we don't do it
We can, what a high.

bill conduit
etc.

4815. Re: MDT Freq's for CHP & SBDO/LA County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: desdave@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614014946.07537.00003904@ng62.aol.com>
Source record: 115516

Check www.socal911.com for the Berdoo 800 mhz MDT info. its on the front page right now.

{~}:-l desdave@aol.com::::Got a Wife in Chino!::::desdave@aol.com l-:{~}

4816. Re: restoring cellular freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: "dont remember"@giveup.com
Message-ID: <3764981b.4366801@news.blkbox.com>
Source record: 115517

On Fri, 04 Jun 1999 03:20:33 GMT, lee585@my-deja.com wrote:

>Yep, cellular is boring and will hold your attention for about 10
>minutes. There are 4 main types of conversations. The first is kids

i have heard some interesting conversations on both cellular and
cordless. there are still 10s of thousands of analog users in
the houston area to listen to. and they continue to sell analog
phones.

4817. Re: Icom R2 - Sold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <7k2810$qt0$1@news.indy.net>
Source record: 115518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The R2 is spoken for.
Bill K9KZ

>For Sale: Icom R2 I bought new 6/1/99 from Lentini, with box, manual,
>antenna, and SMA to BNC adapter. R2 doesn't fit my needs. $170
>
>Bill K9KZ
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4818. Re: Cobra 2000 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kenny McCormick <enforcer@inficad.com>
Message-ID: <7k2cmlbep1@news.inficad.com>
Source record: 115519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

-much deleted for brevity- After using it
>>for a brief time, I could see why as it is an excellent radio.
>>Price is $250 obo + shipping costs, prepaid via USPS money order.
>>References
>>available upon request.
>>Please contact me at jces9033@hotmail. com if you are interested in this
>>fine rig.
>
>You should list your radio on alt.over.priced.cb NG or maybe the
>rec.chicken.channel.crap NG

which is where, perhaps, you belong.

>My point is, if their such good radios why did Cobra quit making them?

Why did Chevrolet quit building rear-wheel-drive Impalas?
Because the market dried up, and even though the cars were arguably the best ones in their class, the layperson was into front-wheel-drive cars and the good ol' bulletproof Impala went the way of the dinosaur. In the world of CB, the market is for better or worse gone. With people not willing to pay for a Cadillac when the Yugos were prominent, they were discontinued. It's called capitalism.

>BTW they are only running $175 for perfect and $125 for scratched & dented!

Yeah, where? They're running $250-350 out here.

Intimidator/350 in Mesa

4819. Re: Woodstock Ga.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <#tVdWmjt#GA.201@ntdwwaaw.compuserve.com>
Source record: 115520
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"BlueHawk" <blue_hawk83@hotmail.com> wrote:
> I'm searching for some freqs in Woodstock Georga....

Freq. Name Srvc Usage PL
-------- ------------------- ------ --------------- -----
156.2400 Woodstock, City of Police dispatch 141.3
159.1050 Cherokee Cnty Police dispatch 141.3
154.2200 Cherokee County Fire dispatch 141.3
159.4200 Cherokee County Emrgny EMS dispatch 141.3

4820. Re: Wal-Mart Freq. Wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614070222.01906.00001511@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 115521

Our local walmarty just switched from 151.625 to 154.570

4821. Re: Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614070405.01906.00001512@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 115522

>What would be the best source for scanner frequencies

1. The search button on your scanner
2. A Percon CD-ROM
3. A scanner club in your area

4822. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7k2s6t$dn0$0@207.18.224.88>
Source record: 115523
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 13 Jun 1999 23:19:54 -0700, David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net>
wrote:

>> >>>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig.

>There are also radios like that that are in use by public safety. Primarily used in
>helos. They need to have the ability to communicate with any police and/or fire
>agency regardless of the freq. their on. All they do is dial in the desired freq.
>and talk away. It's not illegal either. It's called MUTUAL AID.
>

Actually, the radios used for public safety, and helicopters in public
safety, still follow the accepted band plans, ie 150-174, 450-470,
etc.

In fact, they are seperate radios for each band. They may be tied
to one control head, but they are seperate.

You have to buy the band you need. Try dialing 88.0 into one, and you
will find it just won't go.

4823. Re: Woodstock Ga.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: rerando <no-spam>
Message-ID: <3765004e.59737352@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 115524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Some additional freqs in the county/city

Cherokee Co Sheriff Primary dispatch 158.7300
" 151.3100
" 154.9500
" 155.5650 shared
" 154.7400 shared
" 159.6300 shared
" 154.9350 shared
" 154.9050 shared
" 155.3700 shared
Woodstock PD 154.6500
Holly Springs PD 151.2500
Cherokee EMS 155.2050

if you wish to reply to my email address remove the "<no-spam>" from
the address

Roger

On Mon, 14 Jun 1999 03:43:24 -0400, F Barry Mulligan
<70035.140@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>"BlueHawk" <blue_hawk83@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> I'm searching for some freqs in Woodstock Georga....
>
> Freq. Name Srvc Usage PL
> -------- -------------------- ------ ---------------- -----
> 156.2400 Woodstock, City of Police dispatch 141.3
> 159.1050 Cherokee Cnty Police dispatch 141.3
> 154.2200 Cherokee County Fire dispatch 141.3
> 159.4200 Cherokee County Emrgny EMS dispatch 141.3

4824. Purchased RE2000 Alpha

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdcuddington@mmm.com
Message-ID: <7k2v5hjpa1@magnum.mmm.com>
Source record: 115525

Took the plunge this weekend and bought the RE 2000 ALPHA from Racing Electronics to replace my Uniden BC100 XLT. Very nice scanner. For the race track the tone codes are great. Absolutley no interference. I realy like the alpha display. It takes a little time to get use to not seeing the numbers scan by or the banks being searched thru.

Cudd

Opinions expressed herein are my own and may not represent those of my employer.

4825. Re: Here's JOHNNY! Go for it. Get him to attend your needs? Mackey Time.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@stupid.retard
Message-ID: <3765080f.2535193@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115526

On Fri, 11 Jun 1999 19:02:36 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Gdfthr-
>
>Bill Conduit (BAYCITVIDGRP) has long been a cause of problems on this NG
>& other NG's.

Wrong. YOU are the only cause of the problems in this and other scanning
groups.

4826. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: NO SPAM <NOSPAM@mail.rsccd.org>
Message-ID: <376513E1.F7E73832@mail.rsccd.org>
Source record: 115527
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

David Leeper wrote:
[snip]

> There are also radios like that that are in use by public safety. Primarily used in
> helos. They need to have the ability to communicate with any police and/or fire
> agency regardless of the freq. their on. All they do is dial in the desired freq.
> and talk away. It's not illegal either. It's called MUTUAL AID.

Those radios are limited to the public safety and aircraft bands.
They don't transmit on FM BCB or TV bands, etc. Also, they don't
transmit WBFM for example.

> David Leeper

4827. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: NO SPAM <NOSPAM@mail.rsccd.org>
Message-ID: <37651443.F63EF326@mail.rsccd.org>
Source record: 115528
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Blake Bowers wrote:
[snip]

> You have to buy the band you need. Try dialing 88.0 into one, and you
> will find it just won't go.

To be honest, the freq 88.0 is not a legitimate frequency for even an
FM BCB transmitter.

4828. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: NO SPAM <NOSPAM@mail.rsccd.org>
Message-ID: <37651502.87397B0A@mail.rsccd.org>
Source record: 115529
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Chuck Rowe wrote:
[snip]

> Pirates are people who can't pass the ham test or get a job in radio.
> Did somebody say wannabe?

And how did you come up with that brilliant concept? Would you mind
explaining what pirate radio has to do with hams?????

Enquiring minds want to know!!!

<ROTFL>

4829. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@stupid.retard
Message-ID: <3767091c.2803643@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 11 Jun 1999 19:05:25 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> I don't have to. All anyone has to do is read your drivel. It's self
>> explanatory and loaded with back-peddling and direct lies.
>
>I've always told the truth

Never have, never will. That's another like right there from your defective mouth.

>& offered supporting evidence,

Nope. You've failed to 73 times prior.

>you haveoffered NOTHING but lies & harassment on this NG. You have NEVER
>made on on-topic post!

Why do you take the exact words I say about you and just throw them back? Is it because you have nothing valid to say?

Once again, you post off-topic spew to further enhance the truth I speak about you.

Nice try, mental case.

4830. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@stupid.retard
Message-ID: <376608f1.2760903@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 10 Jun 1999 19:15:49 GMT, myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

>In <3763e429.88363372@enews.newsguy.com> seek@help.retard (Macaroon)
>writes:
>
>>>>
>>>> Once again, you post drivel that is irrelevant to scanning. Always
>have,
>>>> always will. You couldn't even provide a dejanews link. How
>predictable and
>>>> laughable of you.
>>
>
>
> Hello Mrs. Macarroni:
>
> Say, can u see
> by the red eye
> so vividly
>
> could you,
> would you
> can you,
> shall you,
> hopefully quit this
> bit?

No.

>
> the process was working so swell.
> Kindly refrain from this expanse of
> nothingness to attain.
> If you stay quiet, it goes away.

Shall I lead from your fine example?

4831. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7k3872$m7m@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <376608f1.2760903@enews.newsguy.com> you@stupid.retard (Macaroon)
writes:

>> the process was working so swell.
>> Kindly refrain from this expanse of
>> nothingness to attain.
>> If you stay quiet, it goes away.
>
>Shall I lead from your fine example?
'
I had a point to make.

If you really can read, you
may get an inkling of what was
at the top of the page...

...my example was what it
was...it's working. so
keep it going and the NG
wins.

bill conduit
etc.

this address you give is a phony

4832. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor172@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7k3bo5tdg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115533

> >
> >The next fad are these new FRS radios. While at the shore house this
weekend
> >(Ocean City, MD) I saw at least 5 different people talking on these.
You wait
> >and see, these are the next set of frequencies which will be banned
from our
> >scanners....
>
> But at least FRS users don't have to pay rediculous talk time
charges. Got
> to give them that much. No monthly fees either. So the range is lousy,
for
> those who use them it's apparently enough. I can see some FRS users
coming
> into ham radio in the future, wanting more range...
>
> 73,
> Tom
>

Either they'll get the license, or lobby congress to all FRS users more
frequencies, more power, and to be private!

Besure the check out the latest activity on RadiosOnLine E-Zine
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <--RadiosOnLine, Source of Tons of Radio
Stuff!
>
> Tom Randall -- trandall@idsi.net
> Amateur Radio - KB2SMS
> Mt. Beacon Amateur Radio Club / ARRL / 10-10 / QRP-L #1965
> Member: AAVSO Solar Division
>
> My Astronomy/Ham radio site:
http://www1.mhv.net/~trandall/welcome.html
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4833. Re: looking for mods for a Bearcat sc150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Huff <huffti@lincs.net>
Message-ID: <929376404.17031@www.remarq.com>
Source record: 115534

I am looking for a cell mod.

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

4834. San Antonio Military Base Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: snow100566@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614123631.05657.00001473@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 115535

We have recently Moved to the San Antonio, Texas area and I am looking for frequencies to the Military Base's here. If any of you know them, I would be very grateful to you if you would share them.
Thanks,
DL Shaw

4835. Anyone use the Toshiba SX2258 cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: bsims@nospam.com
Message-ID: <37692e70.12361255@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115536

I'm interested to know if it's a "real" spread spectrum phone as advertised.

I know the Panasonic 2.4Ghz phone is a farce, and I'm just wondering if anyone has this model and has, in fact, tried to pick it up on a scanner.

Anything else you like/dislike about this phone?

4836. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@stupid.retard
Message-ID: <37672d34.12045373@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 14 Jun 1999 15:47:46 GMT, myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

>In <376608f1.2760903@enews.newsguy.com> you@stupid.retard (Macaroon)
>writes:
>
>
>>> the process was working so swell.
>>> Kindly refrain from this expanse of
>>> nothingness to attain.
>>> If you stay quiet, it goes away.
>>
>>Shall I lead from your fine example?
>'
> I had a point to make.
>
> If you really can read, you
> may get an inkling of what was
> at the top of the page...

My god. I'm beginning to think you are even more cranially deficient than the
king of all loony toons.

>
> ...my example was what it
> was...it's working. so
> keep it going and the NG
> wins.

If you believe this, congratulations. Retard boy will never just go away
unless he gets hit by a truck. He enjoys trolling too much. His lack of
knowledge shines through by his consistent ability to post off topic.

> bill conduit
> etc.
>
> this address you give is a phony

Naw! How many hours did it take you to figure that out?

4837. Re: CW Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-14 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3765336F.F3FBA43B@ibm.net>
Source record: 115538

What is wrong with the hamcomm program ?? If you already have the decoder ?

There are also some out that will decode the cw whith just the sound card. Don't have the urls handy, but you should be able to search for them.

Glenn Mitchell wrote:
>
> Can anyone recommend a cheap, good CW decoder using a Hamcomm-type
> slicer? I don't need 50 modes. Just something to decode ID's.

4838. Re: Centre County, PA 800 MHZ Radio System - Did The Engineers Pull A Boner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren North <northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net>
Message-ID: <37670c20@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 115289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

DDT <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:3765ac32.12415033@news2.penn.com...
>On Mon, 14 Jun 1999 01:25:12 -0400, "Darren North"
><northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>>
>>
>>Thanks for the info. Also, from speaking with some area police officers,
I
>>understand that the county is forming a county-wide drug task force. Any
>>info on this, especially freqs? thanks!
>>
>>
>Nope.
>I have heard training exercises (military type sounding or swat) on
>the county wide system between different agencies.
>Maybe this was them?
>This system has some sort on encrption at times.
>So, i'm not sure we'll be able to hear them.
>Their trunk id while training was 2000.
>

OK...thanks for the info

4839. FS: BC760XLT for parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: barry <btuttleman@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37670F54.6901@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115290

for sale:
Bearcat BC760XLT's for parts/rejuvenation(?): i have four units, all
are missing the volume knob, one is missing the LCD, they look complete
otherwise. will consider offers, or forward via email your request for
parts. (no AC transformers)
tnx,
barry
carson city, nevada

4840. Re: How secure are "digital" 900Mhz phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Redmond <dredmond@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <37670F9B.710568C8@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 115291

scannerhead@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <376763d9.9626944@enews.newsguy.com>,
> jhalver44@aol.com (Jimmy) wrote:
> > Can these be listened to? If not, what do you think it would take to
> listen
> > in? Will we see scanners capable of it within 5 years?
> >
> > I want to buy a new cordless, but really don't want anyone listening
> in.
> >
>
> Stay away from Panasonic!!!!!!!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

Try any of the V-Tech digital 900mzh. I sell the V-Techs and have had very good luck with this brand. They are reasonably priced and have a low "out-of-box failure" rate. I own their Alpha Platinum and you can't pick it up on any scanner. We use the sets in large plant areas that normally have a lot of electrical static that usually render a regular cordless useless.

--

Dave Redmond
Hermitage, Tn
dredmond@bellsouth.net

4841. Any Ship to Shore Freq. for NY Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ernesto L Tirado <et5@is2.nyu.edu>
Message-ID: <N2F93.22$E7.885@typhoon.nyu.edu>
Source record: 115292

I would like to know if anyone has any Ship to Shore Operator Freq.
Thanks Ernie

4842. Re: Laptop for sale $100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgilmore1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25850-376713B8-35@newsd-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115293

Why are you selling it? Would you go lower in price?

4843. Re: FS Radio Shack Scanner Pro 2006 with cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay Gee <twothguy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <15061999224217851 8%twothguy@yahoo.com>
Source record: 115294

Shack23 <shack23@aol.com> wrote:

>- walt <salmaniw@home.com wrote:
>-
>- >For those interested, I have a mint Pro 2006 base scanner, arguably
>- >one of the best scanners ever produced, cellular restored, with enhanced
>- >scanning speeds.
>-
>- Why on EARTH would you want to SELL that scanner? I used to own one, and I
>- have
>- KICKED myself for ever getting rid of it...Joey

What is "cellular restored"?

I also own a Pro 2006. I would like to use it to it's highest
capabilities.

Jay Gee

4844. Re: How secure are "digital" 900Mhz phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Stark <starkr001@nospam.hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <376712aa.0@news.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 115295

Okay, I'll bite, what's wrong with Panasonic?

--
-Rick

> Stay away from Panasonic!!!!!!!!

4845. Re: How secure are "digital" 900Mhz phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
Message-ID: <37669772@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 115296

The ConQueror wrote in message <7k5vhsqvi1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <376763d9.9626944@enews.newsguy.com>,
> jhalver44@aol.com (Jimmy) wrote:
>> Can these be listened to? If not, what do you think it would take to
>listen
>> in? Will we see scanners capable of it within 5 years?
>>
>> I want to buy a new cordless, but really don't want anyone listening
>in.
>>
>If the person has an older scanner (like my RS Pro-66) or an illegally
>modified one (I heard theyre easy to modify) you are capable of being
>listened to. The new ones have Cellular and PCS blocked.
>--

No, digital cordless phones are not capable of being monitored by a scanner,
modified or otherwise. You may be able to hear the digital signal, but
without decoding equipment you won't be able to do anything with it.

Tom

------------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
-------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

4846. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <wcH93.823$q%.55441@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115297

What is the street address where you live, UPS won't deliver to PO Boxes
John.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37669C82.52316F50@usa.net...
> Send ($10 for B/W or $20 for color) and an SASE to me & I will send you
>
> back a personaly autographed 8x10 picture of me!
>
> PO Box 1586
> Beaverton, Oregon 97075
>
> sd@rth.com wrote:
>
> > If they do could they post it.
> > thank you
>

4847. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <BjH93.841$q%.56134@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Tony is correct Joseph, draw you own conclusions. Regardless what one thinks of Cheek be it jackass, mackey-part2 or Conduit on reds he has "been very generous providing private and personal help to those asking questions here". Mackey has done nothing at all worth mentioning, EVER.

Tony <Nospam@Spam.com> wrote in message news:3766ad82.509443203@client.news.psi.net...
>
> Joseph, I suggest you withold drawing any conclusions until you've
> read a number of posts from both persons, seen what their
> contributions are, and draw your own conclusions. Jmackey establishes
> his own reputation with posts like this, which serve to neither
> provide specific information, nor improve the knowledge base of our
> interests. Bill Cheek has been very generous providing private and
> personal help to those asking questions here - at least to me and many
> others here. Jmackey has never answered any of my posts containing
> questions.
>
> Tony
>
> On Tue, 15 Jun 1999 18:37:04 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> >Bill Cheek is a man who lives near San Diego. He used to be active on
> >Fidonet but then had to leave due to reasons we won't speak of now. He
> >then became active on this NG & the internet but was again the cause of
> >much
> >trouble. Various problems with Mr. Cheek's action's resulted in Peter
> >Laws
> >kicking him off of the "Scan-L" mailing list. More similar problems with
> >
> >Mr. Cheek caused him to have his internet access revoked by his Cable
> >company
> >ISP last summer. Recently he was briefly held by federal authorities and
> >has
> >been charged in federal courts with various illegal actions in regards to
> >the use
> >of scanners. He is the owner & operator of a company called "Comtronics"
> >&
> >has produced a few books based on information he has complied from
> >various
> >sources.
> >
> >

> >Joseph Gilmore wrote:
> >
> >> I am new to scanning and have been noticing a lot of postings on Bill
> >> Cheek, who is he and is he any importance to me or the enjoyment of
> >> scanning?
> >
> >
>

4848. Scanner Recorder Help Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Watkins <vwatkins@wt.net>
Message-ID: <7k76k9dfb1@sys14.hou.wt.net>
Source record: 115299

I have the problem resolved.
Everything is working great!

Victor,
KB5AKO

4849. Scanner Recorder Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Watkins <vwatkins@wt.net>
Message-ID: <7k711h$a5p$1@sys14.hou.wt.net>
Source record: 115300

I have installed Scanner Recorder 1.4.1 and am pleased with this application. I am placing my computer microphone beside the speaker on the Pro 2006, and the audio seems to be ok on playback. No problem with this arrangement. Works great!

I would like to be able to use a cord from my record jack on the Pro 2006 and into the line level input on the sound card, so that I can decrease the volume level to zero. I don't want to have the audio at an audible level that would disturb others in close proximity. Is this arrangement possible? I am not having any luck so far. I can see a very slight deflection on the graph, but it is not enough.

I am using a mono plug on the sound card side into the line level input. Is there a possibility that I may need to use a stereo plug instead? Are the pins shorting out with a mono plug, or what? Should I be using the speaker jack instead?

Any and all assistance will be greatly appreciated!

I'll keep browsing the archives with Deja and maybe I'll find some additional assistance that I need.

Victor,
KB5AKO

4850. What is the latest on BC245xlt Release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: BubbaJoe <Bubba@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7k6j7p$5f1$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115301

What is the latest word on when the new edacs tracker will be available?
Last I heard was July. But, they were to show it a Dayton and didn't --
sounds like a slip in schedule.

waiting to get one.

cheers

rick

4851. For Trade Pro 51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <7k5oaiarv1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Pro 51, 200 channel hand held scanner that I would like to trade for a digital, portable SW radio with ssb capability.

The Pro 51 has a date code of 10A5. It comes with antenna, belt clip and box. No manual. Scanner is in excellent shape. It uses 4 x AA batteries. Excellent image reception between 896 and 910 mHz. The Pro 51 also has fire, weather, and marine band search. The Pro 51 covers:

Band coverage:.....12 bands plus weather channels
Frequency range:.....9 to 29.7 MHz (10 meter amateur band)
29.7 to 50 MHz (VHF low band)
50 to 54 MHz (6 meter amateur band)
108 to 136.975 MHz (Aircraft band)
137 to 144 MHz (Military land mobile)
144 to 148 MHz (2 meter amateur band)
148 to 174 MHz (VHF high band)
406 to 420 MHz (Federal government land mobile)
420 to 450 MHz (70-cm amateur band)
450 to 470 MHz (UHF standard band)
470 to 512 MHz (UHF "T" band)
806 to 956 MHz (Public service except cellular band)
Weather service channels.....162.400 MHz 162.500 MHz
162.425 MHz 162.525 MHz
162.450 MHz 162.550 MHz
162.475 MHz

Thanks,

D. Schopp

4852. Re: 3000 xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990614201428.11451.00000088@ng62.aol.com>
Source record: 115369

>From: "verrando" <verrando@airmail.net>
>Date: Mon, 14 June 1999 07:07 PM

>There is (or was) a "virtual unlock" of 800 mhz by
>Cellular Security Group in Gloucester, MA. Its a
>down conversion of the freqs to the 360-380 mhz
>band and involves modifiying the attenuator button.
>They charge $99 and it works very well.

Such a modification would be illegal under federal law and could subject the company to severe penalties. I believe Cellular Security Group no longer offers this service.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

4853. FS: Scanners and Shortwave Radio (DX398, BC860XLT, Pro2037)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eugene Protas <protas@flash.net>
Message-ID: <376598C6.3CFB18B6@flash.net>
Source record: 115370
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

For Sale:

DX 398 Shortwave Radio - Excellent condition - Includes soft case and manual. 212 preprogrammed shortwave stations. Up to 306 total memory positions. $150 plus shipping from Houston, TX

Uniden BC860XLT 100 Channel Base Model Scanner - Like new condition. 800mhz coverage (cell blocked). Only $90 plus shipping.

Radio Shack Pro2037 200 Channel triple conversion base model scanner - Clean condition. 800mhz coverage (less cellular). Triple conversion circuitry virtually eliminates interference, non volatile memory. Only $125 plus shipping.

4854. WTB AOR 8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: berta1s@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614201519.12792.00002125@ng35.aol.com>
Source record: 115371

LOOKING TO GET ONE A MODEL EMAIL ME YOUR PRICE

4855. Re: Buying a brand new new scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614203531.10460.00001926@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 115372

nobody@nowhere.com (DDT) wrote:

>Is it a good idea to buy a first run of a new model like a 245XLT?
>Or should i wait after awhile because the later runs of the model may
>have improvements and fix any bugs if any.

That may be a good point, but the best thing to do is buy a GOOD USED scanner. Pawnbrokers, and YARD or GARAGE sales are good sources of these. I have picked up some NICE items from these places. (I like the older scanners anyway).

4856. Re: Career women lose sex drive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614204358.10460.00001931@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 115373

Greg Dean <ikdean@purdue.edu wrote:

:CLIP:

>Nearly half our readers say their sex life is good. Happy
>career women actually feel lustier because a stimulating job
>makes you feel like being stimulating in bed. So maybe that's
>the answer - change your job."

Yeah, they should change their JOB to BAREFOOT AND PREGNANT!

4857. Re: FS Pro-2004 - $190 shipped & insured

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614205148.10460.00001934@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 115374

macoletta@aol.com (Macoletta) wrote:

>Radio Shack Pro-2004 300 Channel Base Scanner, has had 825-845 & 869-894 MHz
>restoration mod done to it. $190 includes priority mail & insurance.
>Radio Shack Pro-91 150 Channel Trunk Tracker handeld. $135 includes
>priority
>mail & insurance.
>Uniden BC700A 50 Channel Base/Mobile, has had full 800 MHz frequency
>expansion
>mod done to it. $115 includes priority mail and insurance.

I wish I lived near you, I would buy that 2004 TODAY! ... Joey

4858. Re: FS Radio Shack Scanner Pro 2006 with cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614205624.10460.00001935@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 115375

walt <salmaniw@home.com wrote:

>For those interested, I have a mint Pro 2006 base scanner, arguably
>one of the best scanners ever produced, cellular restored, with enhanced
>scanning speeds.

Why on EARTH would you want to SELL that scanner? I used to own one, and I have
KICKED myself for ever getting rid of it...Joey

4859. FS BC760XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: summitrt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614210420.16905.00000225@ng-bz1.aol.com>
Source record: 115376

100 channel Bearcat/Uniden scanner with BNC connector, low band thru 800 coverage. Later model, unknown if full 800 is available. Radio and antenna only.
$115. Excellent condition.

Bob

4860. Re: Loking for a cheep hand-deld trunk tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614205842.10460.00001937@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 115377

Subject: Loking for a cheep hand-deld trunk tracker:

cheAp...

4861. Re: 20/20 show: cordless phone monitor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <3765ab38.267014897@news2.ziplink.net>
Source record: 115378

On Wed, 09 Jun 1999 12:51:46 GMT,
marcus.akesson@no_spam_please.home.se (Marcus AAkesson) wrote:

>On 5 Jun 1999 13:46:45 -0500, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
>wrote:
>
>
>>As far as the security of my digital spread-spectrum phone, I am completely
>>convinced of its security as far as scanners are concerned. I have
>>listened to the signal on my scanners and it sounds like a steady stream of
>>static. The signal is also very weak, due to it being spread-spectrum,
>>that without the squelch almost all the way open my scanner will miss it.
>>The phone, however, has a range of about a couple hundered yards or so. So
>>as far as the common scanner user is concerned, the phone is 100% secure.
>>I wouldn't use it otherwise.
>
>Nothing is 100% secure. The phone is probably some sort of Direct
>sequence SS, wich in itself is a lot less secure than frequency
>hopping. So, with the right hardware, it's probably not that hard.
>There's probably no encryption in the system, just a (for now)
>unusual modulation.
>
>/Marcus

Frequency hopping isn't terribly painful though, you just program all
possible channels into tne unit, or use a spectrum display
unit to follow the conversation.

Getting DSS equipment is a different story. Probably would be easier
to make something out of an existing DSS phone.....

Even so at the end, joe scanner user is not going to bother going
through the trouble when 90% of all the other targets are
fully analogue.

-Mike

4862. Re: 550-760 why locked out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <zSh93.45$26.3506@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 115379

In article <37640751.7FEE@ameritech.net>, theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net wrote:
>conspiracy_theory@area51.com wrote:
>>
>> >>i understand the rationale for locking out cellular (but don't agree).
>> >>why is the 550-760 range locked out on some scanners?
>> >>wray kephart
>>
>> Because most government agencies use those frequencies knowing darn
>> well that most scanners don't receive in that range and the ones that
>> do, those scanner owners never tune in that area! Perfect for the
>> FBI/DEA/CIA/MIB...
>>
>> Its a conspiracy, don't be fooled.
>Ah MIB?
>There is supposedto be a new PUBLIC SAFETY frequency in tha area

define most government agencies. about the only thing you'll find in that band is wireless mics, NFL field communications(encrypted) and tracking bugs, and the existing UHF TV channels. Other than that as far as the "new public safety band" you can forget that until all the VHF TV channels go digital, then look out for the new all "digital" public service bands.

4863. Re: Another nice thing about CW. <<< THIS THREAD BLOWS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: drgrant@ziplink.net
Message-ID: <3766ac59.267303101@news2.ziplink.net>
Source record: 115380

On 9 Jun 1999 19:52:36 GMT, shack23@aol.com (Shack23) wrote:

>"." <@.> wrote:
>
>>Hey guy, with your stupid, arrogant, elitist comment below, why the hell =
>>do you think anyone would want
>>to talk to you in the first place, less get a "real" HAM license?
>
>Heh heh heh heh...Lets not get upset here now...I'm glad that you think of REAL
>Ham operators as being ELITE! Shows that you at least know where YOU stand. Oh,
>by the way, I talk to lots of people, (HAM OPERATORS), and I really don't care
>to talk to CBer's anyway. Get it? ... Breaker Breaker...

Waste of bandwidth. This is a scanner newsgroup, dammit, and has
almost nothing to do with amateur, license requirements or whether ham
or CB is better, or wether the people arguing here are acting like 2
year olds or not.

-Mike

4864. Re: Centre County, PA 800 MHZ Radio System - Did The Engineers Pull A Boner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: nobody@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <3765ac32.12415033@news2.penn.com>
Source record: 115381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Jun 1999 01:25:12 -0400, "Darren North"
<northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net> wrote:

>>
>
>Thanks for the info. Also, from speaking with some area police officers, I
>understand that the county is forming a county-wide drug task force. Any
>info on this, especially freqs? thanks!
>
>
Nope.
I have heard training exercises (military type sounding or swat) on
the county wide system between different agencies.
Maybe this was them?
This system has some sort on encrption at times.
So, i'm not sure we'll be able to hear them.
Their trunk id while training was 2000.

4865. FS Radio Shack Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: walt <salmaniw@home.com>
Message-ID: <3765BA83.FDEB4834@home.com>
Source record: 115382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry for the confusion, everyone re my earlier posting last night.
eBay in all of it's wisdom, yanked the auction without any warning
due to it's reference to cellular frequencies (this mint unit is
cellular restored and has a 15% increase in it's hyperscan scan speed).
No one to contact at eBay, so I've relisted it, with any reference to
cellular carefully removed. Please visit the auction at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=117731930

Please feel free to contact me regarding any questions!

.........Walter Salmaniw, Victoria, BC DXer.

(salmaniw@home.com)

4866. FS Voice Scrambling Boards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: rnelson@farmtel.net
Message-ID: <3765c14d.283735@news.mwci.net>
Source record: 115383

MX-Com voice scrambling boards removed from 2-way radios.

These boards will encode and decode audio. It uses simple voice inversion which is similar to some of the Motorola scrambled cordless telephones. The scrambled audio sounds like a duck quacking.

2 boards with installation instructions.

$ 60 for the pair including shipping to the lower 48 states.

Please reply via e-mail

4867. Re: tricks for the pro 90?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990614230311.25825.00001632@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 115384

http://www.trunktracker.com

-rabidfool@aol.com

4868. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7k4gcj$mdn@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <37672d34.12045373@enews.newsguy.com> you@stupid.retard (Macaroon)
writes:
>

>If you believe this, congratulations. Retard boy will never just go
away
>unless he gets hit by a truck. He enjoys trolling too much. His lack
of
>knowledge shines through by his consistent ability to post off topic.
>

Not belief. Facts.

You omit him
you win.
you cancel him
you win.
he retorts
nothing inputted

it's not him, it's us.
we create him by giving
him what he lacks.

quit it and he cannot survive.
Listen to the silence.
it's working even if you
won't...

bill conduit
etc.

4869. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7k4gfn$mg0@sjx-ixn9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115386

In <25709-3765AF0C-1@newsd-291.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
jgilmore1@webtv.net (Joseph Gilmore) writes:
>
>I am new to scanning and have been noticing a lot of postings on Bill
>Cheek, who is he and is he any importance to me or the enjoyment of
>scanning?
>
Go to any search engine and
type in HIS name....
he has a www site.

finis
bill conduit
etc.

4870. Re: FS: Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3765d59e.3266489@news>
Source record: 115387
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 14 Jun 1999 03:05:29 GMT, walt <salmaniw@home.com> wrote:

>Hello to the newsgroup! You folks may be interested in my mint 2006. I
>listed it on eBay this morning at the following:
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=116961953
>
>I welcome questions about this fabulous set.

I have one: How long do you think it will last before eBay pulls the
auction because it's a *gasp!!* Cellular-capable scanner? ;-)

4871. Re: Laptop for sale $100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3765D356.42056A1F@flash.net>
Source record: 115388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Does it have a mouse and if so where?

>

> Just in time for field day...
>
> Compaq 486/25
> 12 megs ram
> 210 mb HD
> 14.4 k internal modem
> Mono screen
> currently runs win 95
> with battery and charger
>
> $100 + 10 shipping CONUS
>
> Gary kb3cgq

4872. Re: Weird radio transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: kissmerch@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3766dde1.547071@news.zoomnet.net>
Source record: 115389

For that matter, you can't make a .wav file with webtv, either.
Hmmm....

On Mon, 14 Jun 1999 18:18:42 -0700 (PDT), jgilmore1@webtv.net (Joseph Gilmore) wrote:

>I am not like all of those stupid freaks that have nothing to do than
>make viruses and ruin other peoples stuff. I have better things to do
>than that. Also if everyone else heard it without any problem, then you
>shouldn't worry about. And, I probably could not make a virus with webtv
>anyway! Thanks to all that responded, I really appreciate it.
>
>--Joe

4873. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <3765e0f5.6170080@news>
Source record: 115390

On Mon, 14 Jun 1999 00:42:01 GMT, av8tor@flash.net (Av8tor) wrote:

>The next fad are these new FRS radios. While at the shore house this weekend
>(Ocean City, MD) I saw at least 5 different people talking on these. You wait
>and see, these are the next set of frequencies which will be banned from our
>scanners....

I doubt it. FRS doesn't have an enormous lobby with big $$$ financial
backing like the cellular radio industry does. Also, none of the FRS
manufacturers have bullshitted the public into having an expectation
of privacy when using FRS.

The real danger is having the FRS band go the way of the Citizens
Band... :(

4874. FS BC Scanners 560XLT & 20/20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: webmedics@aol.comspambloc
Message-ID: <19990615013437.28687.00001781@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 115391

I've got a mobile scanner, it's the 16 channel 560XLT, basic, but very compact.

I've also got a vintage Bearcat 20/20. This one has two banks of 10 frequencies, with an A/B slide switch (factory) that will access a second pair of channels.

I'd like to get $50 for the 560, and make me an offer on the 20/2
The difference between Genius and Stupidity is Genius has its limits. Albert Einstein

4875. FS BC 890XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: webmedics@aol.comspambloc
Message-ID: <19990615013548.28687.00001782@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 115392

Like it says, one BC 890XLT includes 800 but not cellular, does CTCSS.

First offer over $175 and I'll pay priority shipping to you.
The difference between Genius and Stupidity is Genius has its limits. Albert Einstein

4876. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wooka <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <376605FC.5193C722@aznet.net>
Source record: 115393

Shiva Nataraja wrote:

> On Mon, 14 Jun 1999 00:42:01 GMT, av8tor@flash.net (Av8tor) wrote:
>
> >The next fad are these new FRS radios. While at the shore house this weekend
> >(Ocean City, MD) I saw at least 5 different people talking on these. You wait
> >and see, these are the next set of frequencies which will be banned from our
> >scanners....
>
> I doubt it. FRS doesn't have an enormous lobby with big $$$ financial
> backing like the cellular radio industry does. Also, none of the FRS
> manufacturers have bullshitted the public into having an expectation
> of privacy when using FRS.
>
> The real danger is having the FRS band go the way of the Citizens
> Band... :(

Or "growing up" to become a service like amateur radio: minus studying for a test

4877. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Hejkal <garyhejk@freewwweb.com>
Message-ID: <37660994.1C1B33A6@freewwweb.com>
Source record: 115394

Would anyone really want one?
GH

sd@rth.com wrote:

> If they do could they post it.
>
> thank you

4878. FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <37662011.B98F6DC3@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 115395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

To All New Scanner Users,
If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
asked on the scanner newsgroups.

Topics include :
Look Before You Post
"What's The Best Scanner?"
Picking A Scanner/Receiver
Scanner Glossary
Scanner Model FAQ
Scanner Dealers FAQ
Frequently Asked Frequencies
FCC Frequency Databases
CTCSS & DCS
What's Illegal
Cellular-capable Scanners
Trunked Radio Systems
Co-ax Cable
Receiver Range
Your Scanner On A Plane
Frequency Counters
Magazines & Newsletters
Rechargeable Battery Tips
Radio Discussion Lists
Radio Modifications
Radio Shack On-line Manuals
Uniden On-line Manuals
AOR On-line Manuals
*Electra/Bearcat/Regency Manuals
Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
Used Scanner Price Guide
Radio Monitoring Software
Receivers Covering Military Aero
Computer Control
*Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
*Do I Need An Amplifier?
My Web Page
Courtesy

*=Recently added topics

To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm

Happy reading!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

4879. FS: Icom R-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <grassa@magicnet.net>
Message-ID: <3766289E.38BEC9B5@magicnet.net>
Source record: 115396

Mint R-7100 , AH-7000 , straight through......$800

Bill

4880. FS:RADIO SHACK PRO 2022 WITH CELLULAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrmike2u <mrmike2u@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <Puq93.535$1a1.46961@elnws01>
Source record: 115397

IF INTERESTED PLEASE FOLLLOW THIS LINK TO EBAY.... LOW RESERVE

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=117676980

4881. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <376735a9.9709714@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 115398

On Sun, 13 Jun 1999 14:08:41 -0400, WW - KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>"@qsl.net>
wrote:

>-- Worst part is the same ones who HAVE to have a cell phone are the
>same ones who would "NEVER" talk on a ham or cb radio! I wonder what
>they think that "phone" is?
>Seems to me that the lack of wires and the telltale antenna means "IT'S
>A RADIO"!
>____
>
> "73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
> <'}}}>< <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}>< <'}}}><
> E-Mail me at: kb9tmp<AT>@qsl.net
> Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
>Church URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/
>Wonder Valley Camp URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in2/wvc
>____

Yes it's a radio, but it has some advantages over ham and CB that I
and many others are willing to PAY for because we value our privacy
(compared to ham and CB radio) and freedom of speech. You can talk
about whatever you want and use as much profanity as you want without
breaking any FCC rules. You don't have to worry about malicious
interference. You don't have to identify every 10 minutes. You don't
have to pay for a P.O. box to avoid having your home address spread
all over the internet via callsign servers (if you are a licensed
ham). You don't have to worry about CC&R's and interference to your
neighbors' home electronics. You don't have to deal with the mentally
unstable "stalker" types who will DF you just to find out where you
live (and then harass you if they are so inclined). Equipment costs
are MUCH lower with cellular, especially with all the "free phone"
deals out there. Finally, unlimited night and weekend calling plans
cut way down on airtime costs.

Spamblock in effect. Reply in newsgroups.

4882. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@stupid.retard
Message-ID: <3769304b.1659206@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On 15 Jun 1999 03:13:23 GMT, myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:

>In <37672d34.12045373@enews.newsguy.com> you@stupid.retard (Macaroon)
>writes:
>>
>
>>If you believe this, congratulations. Retard boy will never just go
>away
>>unless he gets hit by a truck. He enjoys trolling too much. His lack
>of
>>knowledge shines through by his consistent ability to post off topic.
>>

> it's not him, it's us.

Speak for yourself. You don't speak for me.

The problem lies with numb nuts. You are a close 2nd.

4883. BC60XLT Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: hockeyst@concentric.net
Message-ID: <hockeyst-1506991020290001@ts016d36.sag-mi.concentric.net>
Source record: 115400

Does anyone know if this radio BC60XLT-1 can receive the aircraft band and if not what would be the cheapest radio I could get that would either used or new

thanks
sean

4884. How secure are "digital" 900Mhz phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jhalver44@aol.com
Message-ID: <376763d9.9626944@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115401

Can these be listened to? If not, what do you think it would take to listen in? Will we see scanners capable of it within 5 years?

I want to buy a new cordless, but really don't want anyone listening in.

4885. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <otu93.84$WV.8825@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115402

Walk up to a horse from behind and you know what God used as a mold for
Mackey.

<sd@rth.com> wrote in message news:3765EDD0.D8C82098@rth.com...
> If they do could they post it.
>
> thank you
>

4886. Re: How secure are "digital" 900Mhz phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: The ConQueror <joetheripper@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7k5vhsqvi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115403

In article <376763d9.9626944@enews.newsguy.com>,
jhalver44@aol.com (Jimmy) wrote:
> Can these be listened to? If not, what do you think it would take to
listen
> in? Will we see scanners capable of it within 5 years?
>
> I want to buy a new cordless, but really don't want anyone listening
in.
>
If the person has an older scanner (like my RS Pro-66) or an illegally
modified one (I heard theyre easy to modify) you are capable of being
listened to. The new ones have Cellular and PCS blocked.
--
The ConQueror

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4887. Re: Transmit on Fast Food Drive-Thru?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Patrick Nowlan <patrickn@idir.net>
Message-ID: <Bcv93.962$B04.6178@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 115404
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
the coil in their microphone acts like an antenna and picks up the RF
Patrick Nowlan <patrickn@idir.net> wrote in message
news:M5x83.824$m93.8145@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> any CB will do this if it's close enough.
>
>
> K patterson <kandtp@fiai.net> wrote in message
> news:929063996.127.42@news.remarQ.com...
> > Fill out a job application.
> >
> > jedi_force@webtv.net wrote in message
> > <21546-375F37DE-44@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>...
> > Is there a way to transmit on cordless fast food places like McDonalds
> > or Taco Bell or Jack in the Box? If there is, whats the freqs to
> > transmit?
> > Thanks!
> >
> >
> >
>
```

4888. BC 895xlt: Pros and Cons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <7k6305shq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115405

I would like to hear opinions on the BC895xlt. Would this be a good time to buy one. I mean is there a replacement on the horizon? Pros and cons please. Is there a better radio for a similar price? Thanks!!!!

Bill K9KZ

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4889. For Sale Commodore 64 Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick <ringo@sbt.net>
Message-ID: <7k5v9civb1@forge.sbt.net>
Source record: 115406

I have a few Commodore 64's and a few 128's , a monitor and two modified 1541 floppy drives and THOUSANDS of old hacker programs ham programs. It also has a modem and mouse you pretty much name it..

I am asking $100.00 for it ALL plus shipping

Good easy to get up on packet

Nick Miller
Miller Communications
ringo@sbt.net Please Contact me..
via e-mail

4890. Re: The best way to ADVERTISE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990615124800.16568.00000048@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 115407

>This advert is viewed by over 60 people an hour!! That's over 1 400 people a
>day!! And over 10 000 people a week!!!
>Now, isn't that the best advertising?? - this ad was put onto over 13 000
>news groups!!!

And annoyed 13000 newsgroups. It's a BAD way to advertise, Justin. It only ends up irritating people when you indiscriminately post ads (read "junk mail) in an untold number of groups. It's also rather screwy to be encouraging others to do the same.
Mike

4891. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990615131210.16568.00000054@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 115408

>Yes it's a radio, but it has some advantages over ham and CB that I
>and many others are willing to PAY for because we value our privacy
>(compared to ham and CB radio) and freedom of speech.

It seems to me hams talk about anything they want to these days...

>You can talk
>about whatever you want and use as much profanity as you want without
>breaking any FCC rules.

Well, boy, THAT'S an incentive if I ever heard one... You mean they don't let one use profanity on the ham bands? Could've fooled me...

> You don't have to worry about malicious
>interference.

Maybe not..

> You don't have to identify every 10 minutes.

Big deal.

>You don't
>have to pay for a P.O. box to avoid having your home address spread
>all over the internet via callsign servers (if you are a licensed
>ham).

I've heard many people quite stupidly giving out sensitive info (credit card #'s, phone numbers, addresses, etc.) over their phones, cordless and cellular, not on any scanner but just being in the same room with them. This is privacy? Right...

>You don't have to worry about CC&R's and interference to your
>neighbors' home electronics.

Tell that to anyone with a baby monitor who hears their neighbors' cordless phones coming in right over it...

> You don't have to deal with the mentally
>unstable "stalker" types who will DF you just to find out where you
>live (and then harass you if they are so inclined).

ANYONE with a receiver capable of hearing wireless phones of any type can hear you, it is not hard at all to do. Most of them don't, but you're fooling yourself if you think your cellphone is secure or private. Security may be the company line, but it ain't the truth.

> Equipment costs
>are MUCH lower with cellular, especially with all the "free phone"

>deals out there.

Moot point. If you want to compare the cost of initial startup, sure cellular is cheaper than an HF rig or even a 2 mtr handheld. But then compare what you've paid in cell charges to what it costs to talk around the world on HF, after say, three years...

> Finally, unlimited night and weekend calling plans
>cut way down on airtime costs.

Hams have night and weekend calling plans, too. It's called propagation, and while it is not as reliable as a good ol' "private cell phone" it is a hell of a lot cheaper, and far more fun.
Don't get me wrong, wireless is wireless, and every type has it's advantages.Hams use their stuff for hobby and for fun, and many also for public service and emergency management. Most cell users have business and convenience needs which are served very well by such a system. But don't think of cordless and cellular phones as more than what they are: a wireless intentional radiator for purposes of communication. And as such, comm's on cellular as just as susceptible to reception and "eavesdropping" as any other radio service, all U.S. laws from the cellular industry lobbyists to the contrary.
Mike

4892. Re: ICOM R75 Receiver - Who had one and how do they like it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeff.adams@gscxyz.gte.com
Message-ID: <3768977f.406418689@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com>
Source record: 115409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 14 Jun 1999 20:39:10 -0500, Tom Welch <tomwelch@swbell.net>
wrote:

>Icom R75 Receiver -- My 2 Cents
>
>I've seen the ads and description @ Grove and Universal,
>but aren't you just better buying a Drake R8B. It seems after
>putting down your money for a basic R75, you need to buy
>a lot of extras which quickly add up to some major bucks.
>
>I would like to see a side-by-side comparision with the
>Drake R8B which has a 1000 memory positions, I
>think!

I thought about that before buying the R75. By the time you add two
more filters (at, say, $150 each) and the DSP option at $120 (and
maybe these prices are high), that's $420 + $800 = $1,220, or more
than an R8B. But the thing is the price of entry is only $800, so, if
you're like me, that's attractive. The 100 memory problem is _VERY_
annoying, but I suppose I could hook up a computer... Oh, the other
reason I went with the R75 is that it's smaller and can be easily
powered by 12V (maybe the R8B can be also, I don't recall).

======CORRECT EMAIL: remove the xyz====================================
| Jeff.Adams@gscxyz.gte.com) | GTE Electronic Systems Division |
| 650-966-2122 | Mountain View, CA U.S.A. |
| All opinions are mine and not my employer or internet access provider. |
==

4893. Re: How secure are "digital" 900Mhz phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37669890$0$2485@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 115410

Please know what you are talking about before you post. The person was asking about digital 900 mHZ cordless phones, not cellular or PCS phones. No scanners are able to monitor digital 900 mHZ cordless phones OR PCS phones. That's the whole point of making it digital!!!! (besides the alleged clearer reception) BTW, PCS is not blocked (to my knowledge). Doesn't matter much, you won't be able to decode the conversations.

The ConQueror <joetheripper@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:7k5vhsqvi1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <376763d9.9626944@enews.newsguy.com>,
> jhalver44@aol.com (Jimmy) wrote:
> > Can these be listened to? If not, what do you think it would take to
> listen
> > in? Will we see scanners capable of it within 5 years?
> >
> > I want to buy a new cordless, but really don't want anyone listening
> in.

> >
> If the person has an older scanner (like my RS Pro-66) or an illegally
> modified one (I heard theyre easy to modify) you are capable of being
> listened to. The new ones have Cellular and PCS blocked.
> --
> The ConQueror
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4894. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37669C82.52316F50@usa.net>
Source record: 115411

Send ($10 for B/W or $20 for color) and an SASE to me & I will send you

back a personaly autographed 8x10 picture of me!

PO Box 1586
Beaverton, Oregon 97075

sd@rth.com wrote:

> If they do could they post it.
> thank you

4895. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37669E9E.9B0A9ACB@usa.net>
Source record: 115412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Cheek is a man who lives near San Diego. He used to be active on Fidonet but then had to leave due to reasons we won't speak of now. He then became active on this NG & the internet but was again the cause of much trouble. Various problems with Mr. Cheek's action's resulted in Peter Laws kicking him off of the "Scan-L" mailing list. More similar problems with

Mr. Cheek caused him to have his internet access revoked by his Cable company ISP last summer. Recently he was briefly held by federal authorities and has been charged in federal courts with various illegal actions in regards to the use of scanners. He is the owner & operator of a company called "Comtronics" & has produced a few books based on information he has complied from various sources.

Joseph Gilmore wrote:

> I am new to scanning and have been noticing a lot of postings on Bill
> Cheek, who is he and is he any importance to me or the enjoyment of
> scanning?

4896. Re: remanufactured uniden radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Andrew J. Leary" <ajleary@snet.net>
Message-ID: <7k63om$lu@news1.snet.net>
Source record: 115413

Victor wrote in message ...
>Where does one buy remanufactured radios?

You can order them from Uniden directly. The appropriate info should be
available at http://www.uniden.com ...

Later,

Andrew
ajleary@snet.net

4897. Re: BC-3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Andrew J. Leary" <ajleary@snet.net>
Message-ID: <7k62c0$sq1@news1.snet.net>
Source record: 115414

Bird fan1 wrote in message <19990610192904.01168.00000548@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
>Are you able to select the operating mode on any frequency in the
BC-3000XLT?

Yes, you can select the receive mode for any frequency that the radio is
capable of receiving.

Later,

Andrew
ajleary@snet.net

4898. Keypad tricks for clearing channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdcuddington@mmm.com
Message-ID: <7k670c$qg1$1@magnum.mmm.com>
Source record: 115415

I seem to remember there was a keypad trick to reset all the channels on the Uniden BC 100 XLT. Is this true. Would someone please post it if it exists. Any other key pad tricks for this scanner.

Thanks

Mike

Opinions expressed herein are my own and may not represent those of my employer.

4899. Freqs for Navy Blue Angels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdcuddington@mmm.com
Message-ID: <7k6742$qg8$1@magnum.mmm.com>
Source record: 115416

The Blue Angels are coming to Michigan this weekend. ANyone have the freqs they typically use?

Thanks

Mike

Opinions expressed herein are my own and may not represent those of my employer.

4900. CB Power AMP 800Watts $150.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cyba Nonymous <CybaAnonymous21@nym.alias.net>
Message-ID: <19990615185724.23116.qmail@nym.alias.net>
Source record: 115417

I have 8 left and will pay for shipping. Please Email for more
details.

4901. Re: NYPD Slang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990615151204.01488.00000197@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 115418

Yes, The aided is the Person PD is helping hence "Aiding"

Mike

4902. FS: ### 6-channel VHF Portable... CHEAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: pdfdisp@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990615151259.14662.00000341@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 115419

For Sale: Vertex (Yaesu) FTH-2009 VHF Portable Radio.

6 channels (rotary switch selector)
5 watt or 1 watt output (switch selectable)
Lapel mic jack
Programmable Scanning functions
CTCSS/DCS capable
Deluxe drop-in charger (worth $70 alone!)
Original manufacturer's Programming software and programming cable

Radio is in excellent shape. I've seen them selling new for $289, plus $70 for the charger, and $100 for the programming kit - so package is worth over $450! Only looking for $250/OBO, plus shipping. MUST SELL ASAP!! Picture available via email on request.

RADIO IS UP FOR AUCTION ONLINE AT http://auctions.yahoo.com/auction/5199766

Please email PDFDISP@aol.com for more info. Thanks!

4903. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clint Bradford <clintbrad4d@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7k6dli$15b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115420

In article <25709-3765AF0C-1@newsd-291.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
jgilmore1@webtv.net (Joseph Gilmore) wrote:
> I am new to scanning and have been noticing a lot of postings on Bill
> Cheek, who is he and is he any importance to me or the enjoyment of
> scanning?

Bill and Cindy Cheek have demonstrably performed more for the enjoyment of our hobby than anyone else.

Theirs is a long history ofproviding first-rate information and modifications for many scanners. Not just "open up the freqs" type of mods, but mods ranging from simple audio improvement circuits to the addition of banks of channels to scanners that were a little lacking. And, of course, their computer interface circuits.

Due to the fact that they published a regular newsletter stuffed full of news notes and industry inside info and tips and techniques and have done so much for the enjoyment of scanning...they have gathered detractors. Detractors with chips on their shoulders - for whatever reasons. Envy immediately comes to mind. Whatever.

Anyway, read all the replies to your post. Filter out the emotional ones and dismiss the ranting. There's plenty of ways you can independently discover the "true" story behind what Bill & Cindy have done for the hobby.

- Clint Bradford, ATTENTION to Details
http://www.clintbradford.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4904. Re: Laptop for sale $100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7k68vq$6in$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Don Bertone wrote in message <3765D356.42056A1F@flash.net>...
>Does it have a mouse and if so where?

I had the Contura 486/25 which suceeded this model. The "mouse" is really a little trackball that is integrated into the case. It's a neat design, but you have to keep the trackball immaculately clean or it will skip - the mouse will not move accurately. The nice thing about this computer is that it's small. Mine weighed less than 7 pounds.

It was a fun toy while it lasted, but the ribbon cable inside leading up to the display broke, making mine useless. I opted for the Toshiba 2150CDT instead of fixing it.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4905. Re: How secure are "digital" 900Mhz phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7k68fi$6em$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115422

Jimmy wrote in message <376763d9.9626944@enews.newsguy.com>...
>Can these be listened to? If not, what do you think it would take to
listen
>in? Will we see scanners capable of it within 5 years?
>
>I want to buy a new cordless, but really don't want anyone listening in.

The truly digital 900Mhz phones are about as secure as you can get (You have to be careful though, some phones say they are digital but aren't).

A scanner will still pick up the frequency, but it will just sound like white noise - static. For someone to sucessfully monitor your phone, they will have to be able to run the signal your are transmitting through a decoding circuit (or program, if such a thing existed) and then from there to a vocoder to convert the digital data back to voice. And this is only if the signal is encoded and NOT encrypted. If it is encrypted as well, then our phone voyeur will also need to know the "key" or code to decrypt the signal before processing it.

Because listening to any wireless phone is now illegal, I don't think you'll find anything that can do what I have described above available to the general public. Of course, the government is exempt from it's own law, and could legally purchase such devices, if they were available. Isn't that nice of your Uncle Sam? ;-)

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4906. FS: ### 4-channel VHF Portable... CHEAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: pdfdisp@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990615151354.14662.00000342@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 115423

For Sale: Maxon SP-120 VHF Portable Radio... Only 4 months old!!!

4 channels (rotary switch selector)
2 watt output
148-174 MHz frequency range
Lapel mic jack
Multiple Scanning functions
CTCSS/DCS capable
AC plug-in charger
Radio comes programmed to your specs

Original manufacturer's Programming software also included. Get complete details and specs at Maxon's official site:
http://www.maxonusa.com/specsht1.htm#SP-120

Radio is in excellent shape. It's been used very infrequently over the 4 months that I've owned it. Selling just because I don't need it anymore. They sell new for $220, plus $80 for the programming software, so package is worth $300. Looking to get $180 plus shipping. MUST SELL ASAP!! Picture available via email on request.

RADIO IS UP FOR AUCTION ONLINE AT http://auctions.yahoo.com/auction/4985633

Please email PDFDISP@aol.com for more info. Thanks!

4907. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nospam@Spam.com
Message-ID: <3766ad82.509443203@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 115424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Joseph, I suggest you withold drawing any conclusions until you've read a number of posts from both persons, seen what their contributions are, and draw your own conclusions. Jmackey establishes his own reputation with posts like this, which serve to neither provide specific information, nor improve the knowledge base of our interests. Bill Cheek has been very generous providing private and personal help to those asking questions here - at least to me and many others here. Jmackey has never answered any of my posts containing questions.

Tony

On Tue, 15 Jun 1999 18:37:04 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Bill Cheek is a man who lives near San Diego. He used to be active on
>Fidonet but then had to leave due to reasons we won't speak of now. He
>then became active on this NG & the internet but was again the cause of
>much
>trouble. Various problems with Mr. Cheek's action's resulted in Peter
>Laws
>kicking him off of the "Scan-L" mailing list. More similar problems with
>
>Mr. Cheek caused him to have his internet access revoked by his Cable
>company
>ISP last summer. Recently he was briefly held by federal authorities and
>has
>been charged in federal courts with various illegal actions in regards to
>the use
>of scanners. He is the owner & operator of a company called "Comtronics"
>&
>has produced a few books based on information he has complied from
>various
>sources.
>
>
>Joseph Gilmore wrote:
>
>> I am new to scanning and have been noticing a lot of postings on Bill
>> Cheek, who is he and is he any importance to me or the enjoyment of
>> scanning?
>
>

4908. digital scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: XXX <martin@young-world.com>
Message-ID: <7k6bbg$s7d$1@orudios.magnet.at>
Source record: 115425

Sorry, ... my English ist not so good!. Exists
digital scanner? Yes or no? Is ist possible to hear mobile telephone GSM ???

Thanks !!

Martin

4909. Re: PRO-90 Any Fixes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990615163057.11866.00000199@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 115426

sorry but what you want to do is impossible. The hardware is not even in the scanner, so there is nothing to be unlocked. There are NO CEEL MODS for this scanner. The only public known mod, is the light one.
Do a search on dejanews, for PRO-90. i posted the mod somewhere on this NG, but dont have the link handy now.

-rabidfool@aol.com

4910. ScannerBase XE Free Frequency Database Software released and other CSP announcements.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Matt G <mattg@nospam.csp-tech.com>
Message-ID: <X4z93.12$Z.206@nntp1>
Source record: 115427
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

CSP Technologies has released the ScannerBase XE beta. This product has all the features of ScannerBase but utilizes compressed database files that can be downloaded from our Web site and does not require the ScannerBase CD-ROM. The FCC data files are current as of 6/1/99.
This product is FREE. See our site www.csp-tech.com for details.

Also...
Visit our site www.csp-tech.com if you are interested in receiving the ScannerBase frequency database CD-ROM (normally $29.95+$3.95 S/H) for just the $3.95 S/H charge. Once you have the CD you can download version 2.02 of the program which has many new features and is also free. Version 2.02 fixes a bug with indexing in previous 32 bit versions.

4911. FS BC9000 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Esposito <rjespo@voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <Ljz93.2$6X5.243@news2.voicenet.com>
Source record: 115428
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

500 ch. Uniden Scanner in mint condition, includes installed
CTCSS tone board, user manual, original carton.

$280.00 shipped (US)

4912. Re: Freqs for Navy Blue Angels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <sXz93.435$IY1.641@news.flash.net>
Source record: 115429

In article <7k6742$qg8$1@magnum.mmm.com>, mdcuddington@mmm.com says...
>
>The Blue Angels are coming to Michigan this weekend. ANyone have the freqs
>they typically use?
>
>
>Thanks
>
>
>Mike
>

I have both the Blue Angel and Thunderbird freqs on RadiosOnLine
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios These are confirmed active this year.

George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <--RadiosOnLine Ezine with CURRENT Frequencies!

--

_____
Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
_____

4913. Re: Value of a Pro 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: nyceguy@NOSPAMjps.net
Message-ID: <3768d8f7.60636030@news.uncensored-news.com>
Source record: 115430

On Sun, 13 Jun 1999 12:58:28 -0700, RDK <rdk@a.crl.com> wrote:

>Whats the value of a used Pro 43 radio shack handheld scanner?

Ohh man.. that is the worst scanner ever made.. IN fact its soo bad, I
will take it off your hands for $20...

OK OK OK... You got me.. I am full of it.....

The PRO 43, depending on condition, and depending on capabilities can
be worth a lot. Might I suggest going to auction and seeing what
comparable models go for.

Kevin

{}{}{} Posted via Uncensored-News.Com, http://www.uncensored-news.com {}{}{}
{}{}{}{} Only $7.95 A Month, - The Worlds Uncensored News Source {}{}{}{}
{}{}{}{}{} Four News Servers with a BINARIES ONLY Server {}{}{}{}{}

4914. Wideband scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alex <aturner@netunlimited.net>
Message-ID: <7k6jds$us6$1@news.netunlimited.net>
Source record: 115431

I'm thinking of buying eith the ICOM R10,the AOR 8200B or the Alinco model,though I can't find the model number. I would like comments from any that have used any of these and in particular whether the AOR warrants a price of $150 or so over the other two.

Thanks
Alex
aturner@netunlimited.net

4915. Re: Weird radio transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgilmore1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27379-3766D691-53@newsd-291.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115432

My webtv is a Plus....It has a mic plug on the back panel, and allows me to record 30 second recordings on these postings, or any e-mail or message boards for that matter. I don't appreciate being blamed for something I would never do, like making a virus. I'm not stupid and don't tell me what I can do with my machine! I'm sorry for getting angry and posting rude messages, but I'm just trying to defend my position. Also, can really care less if you do or don't listen to the recording, beings how I wouldn't want your help now anyway.

4916. Re: MORSE CODE AND TALK GROUPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7k6o7m$bc5$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115433
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I think I can help on this:

Lets say you have programmed in this imaginary trunked system:

Ch. 1 856.1000 (voice)
Ch. 2 856.2000 (voice)
Ch. 3 856.3000 (voice)
Ch. 4 856.4000 (voice)
Ch. 5 856.5000 (data)

When you set your trunktracker in trunked mode on this system, the radio sits on channel 5 waiting for the data stream to indicate a unit is transmitting. Once a user of the system initiates a transmission, the scanner reads the user ID (Talkgroup) and the channel information from the data stream, then switches to the appropriate channel

So let's say that the repeater on channel 1 pipes up with the ID while your scanner is listening to the data on channel 5. The repeater isn't a user of the system, so it doesn't have an ID assigned to it. And, since no user is actually transmitting on channel 1, the scanner doesn't switch to channel 1, so you don't hear the ID.

Also, you will not hear it if it goes out on the data channel because the scanner mutes the speaker while it waits for an ID. Besides, if a morse ID went out over the data channel, then your scanner (and all the radios on that system) would temporarily "lose" the data channel, so most agencies don't send ID's on the designated data channel while it's in use.

That should clear it up, I hope.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4917. Re: BC 895xlt: Pros and Cons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Redmond <dredmond@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3766E961.14A1EBB2@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 115434

Bill wrote:

> I would like to hear opinions on the BC895xlt. Would this be a good
> time to buy one. I mean is there a replacement on the horizon? Pros and
> cons please. Is there a better radio for a similar price? Thanks!!!!
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

I've had my BC895XLT for about 4 months and have not had a problem.

Check out http://www.strongsignals.net/ and click on the upper bar where
is says Radios.
This is a good site for information on just about any scanner.

--

Dave Redmond
Hermitage, Tn
dredmond@bellsouth.net

4918. E.D.A.C.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick <ringo@sbt.net>
Message-ID: <7k6r3d$o6h$1@forge.sbt.net>
Source record: 115435

I want any info on Ericcson E.D.A.C.S. I can find out from freqs. to data control..

Nick Miller
Miller Communications
ringo@sbt.net

4919. Re: Laptop for sale $100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Randa <randas@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7k6t39$74c$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 115436
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Is the mouse from KY..???

Don Bertone wrote in message <3765D356.42056A1F@flash.net>...
>Does it have a mouse and if so where?
>
>>
>
>> Just in time for field day...
>>
>> Compaq 486/25
>> 12 megs ram
>> 210 mb HD
>> 14.4 k internal modem
>> Mono screen
>> currently runs win 95
>> with battery and charger
>>
>> $100 + 10 shipping CONUS
>>
>> Gary kb3cgq
>

4920. Re: Weird radio transmission

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <aKD93.71$KJ3.7006@iagnews.iagnet.net>
Source record: 115437

It sounds like it could be a paging service.
Joseph Gilmore wrote in message
<22263-37641875-81@newsd-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
Can anyone tell me what kind of transmission this is. It's coming from
my scanner in all types of frequencies, be it in the 150Mhz or 450Mhz
range. I have supplied a recording of the transmission.

4921. Re: BC 895xlt: Pros and Cons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-15 00:00:00 UTC
From: Darren North <northd@cvnSPAMSUCKS.net>
Message-ID: <37670a3e@news.desupernet.net>
Source record: 115438

Jeff Adams <jeff.adams@gscxyz.gte.com> wrote in message
news:3766fd87.432539468@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com...
>On Tue, 15 Jun 1999 17:37:10 GMT, Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:
>
>>I would like to hear opinions on the BC895xlt. Would this be a good
>>time to buy one. I mean is there a replacement on the horizon? Pros and
>>cons please. Is there a better radio for a similar price? Thanks!!!!
>
>I've had one for 6 or 8 months and it works very well. But be aware
>that at some point in time, Uniden is likely to announce the 895
>replacement. I.E., they have already announced the 245, the next
>generation trunk tracking handheld (replaces the 235). So the 895 is
>ripe for replacement. The question is, when?
>
>======CORRECT EMAIL: remove the xyz====================================
>| Jeff.Adams@gscxyz.gte.com) | GTE Electronic Systems Division |
>| 650-966-2122 | Mountain View, CA U.S.A. |
>| All opinions are mine and not my employer or internet access provider. |
>==

My thoughts exactly. Also, there is no alpha display on this unit and the
CTCSS is just that, no DCS, and it takes a while to scroll through all the
tones, until the scanner will be able to decode the right one. Hopefully,
with the next model, it can pick up where it left off, and add DCS and an
alpha readout. Aside from that, it's a nice scanner for $200.

4922. Trade Pro 51 Scanner for SW Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <7k8via$f65$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I have a Pro 51, 200 channel hand held scanner that I would like to trade for a digital, portable SW radio with ssb capability.

The Pro 51 has a date code of 10A5. It comes with antenna, belt clip and box.

No manual. Scanner is in excellent shape. It uses 4 x AA batteries. Excellent image reception between 896 and 910 mHz. The Pro 51 also has fire, weather, and marine band search.
The Pro 51 covers:

Band coverage:.....12 bands plus weather channels
Frequency range:.....9 to 29.7 MHz (10 meter amateur band)
29.7 to 50 MHz (VHF low band)
50 to 54 MHz (6 meter amateur band)
108 to 136.975 MHz (Aircraft band)
137 to 144 MHz (Military land mobile)
144 to 148 MHz (2 meter amateur band)
148 to 174 MHz (VHF high band)
406 to 420 MHz (Federal government land mobile)
420 to 450 MHz (70-cm amateur band)
450 to 470 MHz (UHF standard band)
470 to 512 MHz (UHF "T" band)
806 to 956 MHz (Public service except cellular band)
Weather service channels.....162.400 MHz 162.500 MHz
162.425 MHz 162.525 MHz
162.450 MHz 162.550 MHz
162.475 MHz

Thanks,

D. Schopp

4923. Need Schematic for Bearcat 800 XLT base scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: ah549@chebucto.ns.ca
Message-ID: <BOQ93.143$rc3.2702@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 115239

Hi, I need a schematic for a Uniden Bearcat 800 XLT base scanner.
Anyone out there have one or a copy, will pay for it.

Thanks,
Homer

4924. Re: iCOM R2 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nospam@Spam.com
Message-ID: <3768d841.585921593@client.news.psi.net>
Source record: 115240

On Wed, 16 Jun 1999 13:56:23 GMT, jlogo@NOSPAM.zipworld.com.au (John Logothetis) wrote:

>I have been looking to upgrade from my UBC3000XLT scanner to
>something with a wider frequency coverage and smaller size. From
>reviews I have read (On strongsignals and other www sites), the iCOM
>R2 looks like a good choice. My only immediate concerns with this
>scanner are its apparent (from observation) slow scanning speed and
>cumbersome operation. Would anyone like to comment on how they find
>their iCOM R2's performance, particularly in the areas of sensitivity,
>usability and value for money.

Sensitivity - very good.

Usability - fair, due in part to poor documentation, and in part to so few keys. However, as I get used to it, it's getting better.

Value for Money - I'm quite happy.

Get the Diamond SMA3 antenna right away, it'll make a BIG difference in performance. A computer cable interface and software is mandatory, and there are several out there.

Tony

4925. Re: How secure are "digital" 900Mhz phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: s_c_h_u_s_t_e_r_@panix.com
Message-ID: <7k8m60$3cs$1@news.panix.com>
Source record: 115241

In article <37670F9B.710568C8@bellsouth.net>,
Dave Redmond <dredmond@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>scannerhead@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>> In article <376763d9.9626944@enews.newsguy.com>,
>> jhalver44@aol.com (Jimmy) wrote:
>> > Can these be listened to? If not, what do you think it would take to
>> listen
>> > in? Will we see scanners capable of it within 5 years?
>> >
>> > I want to buy a new cordless, but really don't want anyone listening
>> in.
>> >
>>
>> Stay away from Panasonic!!!!!!!!
>
>Try any of the V-Tech digital 900mzh. I sell the V-Techs and have had very
>good luck with this brand. They are reasonably priced and have a low
>"out-of-box failure" rate. I own their Alpha Platinum and you can't pick it
>up on any scanner. We use the sets in large plant areas that normally have
>a lot of electrical static that usually render a regular cordless useless.

When the Tropez first came out, someone posted to this newsgroup that he
was able to monitor "in the clear" audio on his scanner a few feet from
the base set. The transmitter had some local oscillator frequencies which
resulted in unscrambled radiation that could easily be monitored; the
poster even listed the frequencies. I would not rely upon one of these
devices for absolute security until trying it myself.

4926. Re: Radio Shack Frequency Counter - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Price <career1@home.com>
Message-ID: <3767DDC5.D122A790@home.com>
Source record: 115242

It does not respond to anything other than very, very strong signals.
Return it, I did.
Alan
W1HYV

Dunn's Chophouse wrote:
>
> I just bought a Radio Shack freq counter. The salesman demonstrated it
> by holding an FRS xcvr next to it and transmiting. I thought that if I
> connected it to my Scantenna, it might catch some nearby signals, but it
> did not, that I could tell. (A couple of frequencies were briefly
> displayed in the 120-170 Mhz range, but the unit would not hold them.)
> I'll try to use a broadband amp as a next step, but maybe I'm wasting my
> time in that the counter is for close work (in the range of meters)
> exclusively. Any comments?
>
> --
> -
> --
> ---
> ----Dunn's Chophouse
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4927. Operating Scanner Near Computers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <3767dfba.220690395@news.uia.net>
Source record: 115243

I recently bought a RS TrunkTracker base model. When I installed it in my den it worked great. Reception was adequate at both low freq's (39MHz) and high freq's (800MHz). When I took the scanner into the rom where the computers are I picked up a lot of interference and reception was substantially degraded.

My question is, is there an easy way to isolate the scanner from this undesired radiation coming from the computers? Would an external antenna placed away from the computer equipment do the trick?

Thanks in advance!

4928. WTT: Realistic PRO-2050 TrunkTracker for Uniden BC890XLT or equivalent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Josh Long <jlong@christendom.edu>
Message-ID: <3767E662.9CDFD5AD@christendom.edu>
Source record: 115244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a one month old Realistic PRO-2050 TrunkTracker (300 channels) in perfect condition that I'd like to swap for a Uniden BC-890XLT or something similar to it. Any offers. 73.

4929. FS: PRO 90 TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Speedbaron <speedbaronGETMEOUT@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TAS93.40$B74.15544@typhoon-sf.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 115245

RadioShack PRO90 Trunk Tracker (mint condition) ..... $200 o.b.o

email: speedbaron@hotmail.com

4930. Re: Operating Scanner Near Computers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Spunky The Waffle Iron"
Message-ID: <8B90D0B16A1FD979.796EFE8FD9F7DF4F.EFB0F5E61D8E56B1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 115246

No, because your power supply in your computer and your monitor in general generate too much electromagnetic trash.

| I recently bought a RS TrunkTracker base model. When I installed it
| in my den it worked great. Reception was adequate at both low freq's
| (39MHz) and high freq's (800MHz). When I took the scanner into the
| rom where the computers are I picked up a lot of interference and
| reception was substantially degraded.

4931. Re: Want to see Porkbutt get his NAL? Vote NOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7k8ts2$73i$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115247

N0VFP wrote in message <19990615230602.15055.00000031@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
>Want to see Porkbutt get his NAL? Vote NOW!

Who is porkbutt, and what is a NAL?

I'm just curious. I take it Mr. "Porkbutt" did something nasty? If so can you post what that was?

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4932. Re: FS: PRO 90 TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <3767FBFD.AC9698E9@fnal.gov>
Source record: 115248

Speedbaron wrote:

> RadioShack PRO90 Trunk Tracker (mint condition) ..... $200 o.b.o
>

Weren't they just on sale at RS for $199??? (Or was that the Pro91?)

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov
BC100, BC235, Pro90, Pro2038

4933. Re: iCOM R2 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scanman <wild1413@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <edq#48Cu#GA.185@cpmsnbbsa05>
Source record: 115249

The R2 is Great!! I don't think the scanning speed is slow at all!
I really like its compactness and I am still using the original antenna. The only time I change to Radio Shack's telescoping antenna is for AM stations or shortwave which I hardly listen to. I also have Radio Shacks 20 ft. wind up wire shortwave antenna which does great. Its good on batteries, sounds great especially through the sony ear bud headphones. Would'nt sell it for nothing.

You really have to read the manual and spend some time with it. I spent 2-3 days with it before I started to be useful with it. Now everytime I use it and work with the manual I discover more and more it can do and I am happier. Good luck.

John Logothetis wrote in message
<3767aa4e.116550000@news.zipworld.com.au>...
>I have been looking to upgrade from my UBC3000XLT scanner to
>something with a wider frequency coverage and smaller size. From
>reviews I have read (On strongsignals and other www sites), the iCOM
>R2 looks like a good choice. My only immediate concerns with this
>scanner are its apparent (from observation) slow scanning speed and
>cumbersome operation. Would anyone like to comment on how they find
>their iCOM R2's performance, particularly in the areas of sensitivity,
>usability and value for money.
>
>
>--
>Remove "NOSPAM" when replying via E-mail

4934. How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7k8ur7$ese@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 115250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed March 20, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

HOW DO YOU FIND THESE SCANNER FREQUENCIES?

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this article are his own.]

I am often asked, "How do you find these frequencies?"
This article answers the question in various sections:

Books
Magazines
Government Records
Web Sites
Federal Radio Stations
Radio Clubs
Do Your Own Sleuthing
What Makes Station Identification Difficult?
Examine the FCC License on Premise
Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment
Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band
Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage
How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example
Summary
Addresses

Books

The most convenient source of fire and police frequencies is the Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and

larger book stores for under $13. Police Call Plus is basically a computer printout of FCC license information in the fire, police, local government, and conservation services in two lists: by licensee name within state, and by frequency. A few pages of local airport and nonsensitive federal government frequencies are included. Selected business frequencies are listed, too, but without callsigns and other details.

I highly recommend Richard Barnett's book, Monitor America, published by Scanner Master Corp. A 3rd edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. It is crammed full of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, national park, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. The information was compiled mainly from members of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now defunt. Monitor America contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call Plus, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Scanner Master also publishes regional frequency guides for Illinois, Florida, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and other states.

Aeronautical frequencies are covered in the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written by Bob Coburn, W1JJO. Although most of the information is about civilian aviation, Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP. The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides (P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053). The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency Directory and frequency guides for several New England states. Some of these books are available through Radio Shack, too.

The longstanding source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range. Since the US government no longer offers frequency information for its own stations, and has never published sensitive frequencies, most of the information in Kneitel's book has been collected from listeners over the years. It

is certainly not complete, nor 100% accurate, but is a good book for this difficult to obtain information.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Magazines

Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times and the sensationalistic Popular Communications magazines.

Government Records

Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

These lists contain license information for the industrial (e.g. Illinois Armored Car, Pinkerton's Security, Joe's Towing, etc.), highway maintenance, commercial broadcast, aviation, common carrier, and maritime services, as well as for police and fire. For more info call NTIS at 703-487-4630.

Back in "the good old days," Grove Enterprises sold copies of some FCC microfiche files, and this was much cheaper than buying directly from NTIS. Grove no longer sells microfiche, but sells information on CDROM for $49 instead.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on both floppy disks and CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100.

The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $30 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. However, Public Safety license information contained in the Winter 1996 Spectrum doesn't appear as up to date as in the 1997 Police Call Plus.

Spectrum works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments. One can try restricted sample searches of the Spectrum CD for free via PerCon's web page at

http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm .

Companies have appeared which are "plugged into" the FCC licensing system and they sell computer time allowing on-line file access. They also sell paper copies of FCC information. Washington Radio Reports is one example. A monthly publication, it lists license applications made to the FCC. A few members of my scanner club subscribe and share the information with me.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Web Sites

If you have access to the World Wide Web, you can visit dozens of sites with local frequency lists. You can look up civilian aero frequencies for specific airports at: http://www.airnav.com/airports/.

Federal Radio Stations - Not Licensed by FCC

Since federal government radio stations are not licensed by the FCC, they are not listed in FCC microfiche. In 1981, a group of 60 radio hobbyists split a $1300 fee, and obtained 80 microfiche cards of 'sanitized' information about federal government radio stations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). (See "The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away", by Richard Prelinger, in Monitoring Times, July 1982.)

Only 12 of the 21 information fields for each station were furnished. Fields like "Remarks", which indicate the exact usage of a channel (e.g. "Sky Marshall's Net"), and "Bureau", indicating agency subdivision (e.g. TAC within the USAF), were withheld. These 80 pages of microfiche were sold by Grove Enterprises for $25, but are no longer available from that source. Private entrepreneurs have been known to ask $125 or more for a set! In a step backward, the US Government insists it will no longer release this type of information - it is now 'classified'. (See "AFIO and the FOIA", by Bob Grove, in Monitoring Times, September 1982.)

For a reason unknown to this author, the government released a 1984 vintage set of frequencies allocated to the FAA. Perhaps this was a mistake, because the information is marked 'unclassified', but all fields are furnished, including some which indicate security related usage. Grove sold this set of 33 microfiche cards for about $13.

Two way radio equipment formerly used by the armed forces often appears for sale at hamfests. Less often, Secret Service and FBI radios appear there from time to time, too. When you see such equipment, look over the radio for a frequency label and write down the frequencies stamped on the crystals or channel elements. Make note of any service or property tags which specify the agency or military base which used the equipment.

Radio Clubs

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than a pile of magazines.

Since the early 1960s, I had been an amateur radio operator, and belonged to amateur radio clubs, but I never realized there were any scanner clubs! In 1983, I joined the world's largest scanner club, the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now out of business. There are several regional scanner clubs, spun off from the former RCMA, which hold regular meetings.

Another club which prints sensitive federal frequencies is the All Ohio Scanner Club. I enjoy its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, which is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of product reviews and scanning tips.

More information is available on the WWW page http://aosc.rpmdp.com and from:

All Ohio Scanner Club
50 Villa Road
Springfield, OH 45503

Do Your Own Sleuthing

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio,

or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

What Makes Station Identification Difficult?

In most instances, FCC rules require radio users to identify their operations with FCC assigned call letters. Police and fire departments, especially those with trained radio dispatchers, seem particularly conscientious about station identification. Like commercial broadcasters, many of these stations identify on the hour and the half hour.

Some repeater stations have Morse code identification circuits which transmit call letters on a periodic basis, insuring compliance with FCC rules.

On the other hand, over 75% the industrial radio stations monitored within the last year ignore the FCC regulation, making it difficult for a listener to identify a station. Some stations may operate for years using the nondescriptive "base to mobile 2" or "Joe to base" protocol. One rung up the hierarchy are stations that identify using something like "Acme base to 107", giving the listener a clue for his log. If call letters are given, they are often rendered unintelligible by operators who fail to enunciate. The failure to identify is more likely due to sloppiness, rather than any attempt to hide station identity.

While not regulated by the FCC, federal government radio stations vary in the extent to which they identify their transmissions. Some federal stations do not have call letters. A nearby paging transmitter periodically transmits a voice recording announcing, "This is the Army Joliet Ammunition Plant." What more could a listener ask for?

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy. I use two modified Radio Shack CTR-75 recorders, a discontinued model.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at

the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

If you don't have a VOX recorder, consider a device like the NiteLogger II tape recorder controller, made by Benjamin Michael Industries. It connects simply between scanner and recorder and contains sound activation circuitry to trigger the recorder.

The following examples illustrate techniques I've used to derive new frequency information.

Examine the FCC License on Premise

I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the Bell Labs security office and the guard shack at Waste Management's Greene Valley Landfill in Naperville.

Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment

Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find Dymo or P-Touch tape labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret.

- At the annual Glenview Naval Air Station open house, I examined a military manpack radio being used by dispensary paramedics. The radio's tuning dial was set at 34.15 MHz.

- The Illinois Army National Guard displayed two armored personnel carriers at the local county fair, each equipped with VHF-FM and HF-SSB transceivers.

In addition to a tuning control (VFO), the VHF-FM radio had a set of channel select pushbuttons, much like those in a car radio. I asked a guardsman a few questions about the radio, and he demonstrated the channel preset feature. A panel above the channel pushbuttons was labeled with the frequencies: 32.055, 34.45, 35.35, 40.55, and 40.60 MHz.

- An Army National Guard UH1 helicopter was displayed at the Marseilles armory "open house". The public was

permitted to climb aboard, and observe the instrumentation and radio gear. A channel plate on the instrument cluster listed over a dozen frequencies.

Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement. In New Jersey, a radio technician/hobbyist called to service a transmitter in a county building, noticed a new unattended repeater installation in the same room. Being curious about what frequency this repeater was on, he opened the access door to copy the frequencies from the radio's crystals. It turns out that this radio belonged to the US Secret Service, and opening the access door could have activated a "tamper alarm"!

The tech was skating on thin ice. He had nobody's permission to tamper with that equipment.

Another source of frequency information is as close as your nearest Radio Shack store. Some Radio Shack stores make a local frequency list available to assist their scanner customers. Be sure to ask. Stores located in shopping malls almost always know the mall security frequencies. I often check the frequencies programmed into the floor model scanners, too.

Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band

You can often determine a transmitter's frequency band by the size and type of antenna used.

The Police Call Plus book contains sketches of antennas. Antenna catalogs are crammed full with antenna pictures and specifications and they are usually free. Contact Antenna Specialists (216-349-8400), Cushcraft (603-627-7877), Tessco (800-472-7373), Maxrad, Larsen Electronics (800-426-1656), and other companies in the land mobile equipment business.

Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. Some models, including the Radio Shack PRO-2035, ICOM R7000/R7100, Bearcat 2500XLT, and Bearcat 250, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and

store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, another spectrum analyzer connected to a receiver IF stage, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example

While scanning the industrial frequencies in the 150 MHz range, a van driver was overheard communicating with "base" while driving around my town. The stations involved never used FCC call signs -- this would have made life a lot easier for me, and legal for them! (one may use FCC license microfiche, described earlier, to identify stations using call letters.) Transmissions were short and infrequent, so it was decided to tape record all transmissions on this frequency for several days to determine the station's identity.

During daylight hours, a modified Regency K500 scanner was left tuned to the target frequency, connected to a cheap tape recorder through a home built interface. Using a carrier operated relay, the tape interface supplied power to the recorder only during radio transmissions, so a day's worth of traffic could be compressed into a 45 minute tape.

Each day, the tape was played back and notes on names, locations, and activities mentioned during the day's transmissions were taken. The van driver appeared to be making daily stops at a local bank and two shopping malls. A Walgreen's store seemed to be the only stop at one mall. A few times, "base" ordered the van "back to the Training Center." There were frequent references to "guests checking out", "dropping a guest off", and "instructor[s] missing a class". At times, "base" spoke with "security", who must have been using a walkie-talkie as his signals weren't strong enough to hear.

Was this a hotel? Calls to the three local hotels revealed that none provides shuttle bus service to the shopping malls. A call to the Walgreen's, inquiring about bus service to the store, drew another blank. During my shopping trips, I began to pay closer attention to vans with antennas driving through the parking lots.

I was leaving the mall one day, when a week's effort paid off. A maroon and white van, equipped with a VHF-Hi

antenna, was dropping shoppers off at Walgreen's. A sign on the van's door read:

XYZ Central Training Center (XYZ is a pseudonym for the actual licensee name.)
Lisle, Illinois

I watched the driver pick up a microphone, and listened to him on my portable scanner checking back with his "base".

All the pieces fit: the "guests", the "classes", the "instructors". Mystery solved; I had been monitoring the customer training center for a large computer manufacturer. The training center has hotel rooms and dining facilities to accommodate students from out of state. As a convenience, shuttle van service is provided to local shopping malls.

Summary

Through books, government records, and radio clubs, scanner listeners can make use of frequency information compiled by others. Two-way radio users often fail to identify their transmissions properly, making it more difficult for listeners to know who they are monitoring. By examining radio equipment labeling, and monitoring and taping transmissions, scanner enthusiasts can unearth new information.

Addresses

Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902.
tel (828)837-9200
order line (800)438-8155

Official Scanner Guides
P.O. Box 525-NS
Londonderry, NH 03053.
tel (603)432-2615
order line (800)351-7226

Scan America
430 Garner Drive,
Suffield, OH 44260-1557

Scanner Master,
PO Box 428
Newton Highlands, MA 02161.
telephone 1-800-722-6701.

--

```
================================================================================
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
```

4935. Buying a Used Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7k8urg$esf@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 115251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed January 5, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

BUYING A USED SCANNER RADIO

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article discusses the purchase of used scanner radios
and includes these topics:

1. Motivation for buying a used scanner
2. A used scanner may be a broken scanner - where to get
it fixed
3. Evolution of the scanner
4. Obtaining crystals
5. Keyboard programmable scanners

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

Anybody with enough money can buy a brand new scanner, but
you can save lots of money if you get a good deal on a used
scanner. Hamfests are probably the best place to find used
radios, but you must be familiar with the equipment.
Hamfests are repleat with older radios you won't see in
today's catalogs.

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. I purchase
most of my receivers at hamfests or horsetrade with other

radio hobbyists.

A Used Scanner May be a Broken Scanner

Getting a bargain is not without some risk. I have had sellers look me square in the eye and tell me their radio worked fine -- when it really didn't.

Buying a used portable scanner is riskier than buying a mobile or base model. Portable scanners are subject to more physical abuse and many have been dropped. If a radio has been dropped, the laws of probability dictate that the first point of impact was probably a corner, so be sure to examine each corner for evidence of trauma.

Scanners used in mobile service are subject to vibration, dust, and temperature extremes. This shouldn't dissuade you from buying a used mobile scanner, but be aware of possible complications.

You should have some recourse if the radio you buy turns out to be defective. For hints on fixing older Bearcat base scanners, see "Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine.

If you can't fix the radio yourself, you can pay to have the manufacturer or a service clinic repair it for you. Radio Shack repairs scanners, and Uniden can repair newer Bearcat models but often refuses to service older models, claiming they are "unrepairable" and carving the letter "U" on the cabinet.

G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts and crystals for several older models. They usually don't repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation, (317)326-4419, is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 251 N. 300 W. Greenfield, IN 46140-8496.

Evolution of the Scanner

It helps to understand some scanner history before shopping for a used scanner. You will likely see radios from many vintages at a hamfest, and should avoid buying early units unless you are a scanner collector.

One of the earliest ancestors to the scanner was the converter. Manufactured by Tompkins (Tuneaverter), Petersen, Bearcat (Lil Tiger), Midland, and others, converters were made to operate in conjunction with AM radios. Then came wide band monitor receivers, in both tunable and crystal control models, like the Radio Shack PRO-2B. Truthfully, converters and tuneable FM receivers are interesting but don't work well by today's standards.

While tuneable receivers were in vogue, solid state technologies supplanted tubes. Better performing, narrow band crystal controlled units, like the Sonar FR-105, followed. These units did not scan, rather channel selection was accomplished using a simple rotary switch. Sonar even made a 24 channel unit, model FR-2513, in which crystals were held in a rotary "turret."

The earliest scanners, like the 1968 vintage Bearcat BCH, BCL, and BCU models, did not provide individual channel lockout capability. Electra didn't use the term "scanner" and instead called these innovative radios "business receivers." Other models, like the SBE Sentinel, employed a "Channel 1 Bypass" switch so a user could lockout channel 1.

The first scanners came in single band models, followed by multiband models. As two-way radio users started to populate the 450 - 470 MHz band, consumers were forced to pay a premium for UHF scanner coverage. Some multiband scanners, like the Electra's Bearcat III, required an optional circuit board for each band.

There were scanner mutations, designs which never evolved -- odd combinations of AM broadcast receivers with VHF-FM receive capability, like the flamboyant turquoise Sonar Sentry FR-103 portables, Electra's Jolly Roger, and GE's Surveyor series (see US patent 4,011,515, granted 3/8/77). Lafayette Radio Electronics offered a few CB transceivers with VHF receiver capability. These poor performers didn't interest consumers of that era and you should avoid these models unless you are a scanner collector.

The first programmable (crystal-less) scanners were difficult to program. Users had to look up frequencies in a code book and tediously program the information into the scanner in binary form. Some models, like the Tennelec MCP-1 (see US patent 3,961,261, granted 6/1/76), Bearcat BC-101 (see US patent 4,179,662, granted 8/4/78), and Radio Shack COMP 100, resembled Altair or PDP-8 computers, with a row of 16 or so toggle switches. Instead of toggle switches, the Regency WHAMO-10 was programmed by breaking teeth from metal combs. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. The GRE-manufactured SBE Optiscan (and its Sears clone) required poking or covering a series of holes in

plastic cards which were then inserted into a slot on the front panel.

Keyboard Programmable Scanners

Both Bearcat and Regency, as well as Radio Shack offer some good models. I would avoid the Bearcat 100, and older scanners made by AOR, JIL, Fox, Tennelec, and Robyn.

Scanner features often differ not only by model but by manufacturer. For instance, most Radio Shack and Bearcat programmables allow enable/disable of the delay function on a per-channel basis. Older Regency units permit the delay to be enabled/disabled only globally, that is, for all the channels at one time.

All the older Radio Shack scanners were made by General Research Electronics (GRE). Uniden began making scanner models for Radio Shack in the late 1980s, and now supplies about half the Radio Shack scanners. The older GRE-made models scan a bit slowly and have a higher level of synthesizer noise. Most have too much hysteresis in the operation of the squelch control, but this can be fixed completely by replacing one resistor. Good, detailed shop manuals are available for Radio Shack units for $5 - $12.

In the name of cost cutting, some models have done away with the concept of a "channel bank", i.e. the ability to select/deselect a group of channels at a time. The bank concept was a good one. It may be inconvenient to operate a 30 channel scanner without banks (e.g. Regency MX3000, HX1000) if you operate the way many scanner hobbyists do.

If you want to buy an American-made scanner, you will have to buy an old model. Until the mid 1980s, rivals Regency and Electra (Bearcat) built most of the scanners in their Indiana and Puerto Rican factories. Uniden, a large Japanese electronics company, purchased the scanner product lines of both competitors and moved production to Asia.

My favorite VHF/UHF receivers are the the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2005 and PRO-2006, and the ICOM R7000, R7100, and R8500. The ICOM models are more "communications receiver" than a conventional scanner.

For portable use, I prefer Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT and older Radio Shack PRO-43.

Obtaining Crystals

If you do purchase a crystal controlled scanner or monitor receiver, you will probably want to buy more crystals to

cover local frequencies.

Scanner crystals may be ordered from your local Radio Shack store or from one of the companies below. Be sure to specify the operating frequency you want and the brand and model of scanner.

Some companies may ask you to send a schematic of the scanner or require more detailed information, like series or parallel resonance, load capacitance, etc.

Electra Corporation stocks crystals for the Bearcat scanners:

Electra Corporation
11915 E. Washington St.
Cumberland, IN 46229
Phone 317-894-3229

Crystals for Bearcat and other models are available from:

American Crystal Co.
1623 Central Ave.
Kansas City, KS 66102
tel. 913-342-5493

Bomar Crystal Company
201 Blackford Ave.
Middlesex, NJ 08846
tel. 908-356-7787

Cal Crystal Lab Inc.
1142 N. Gilbert St.
Anaheim, CA 92801
tel. 714-991-1580

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

G & G Communications
9247 Glenwood Drive
LeRoy, NY 14482.
tel. 716-768-8151

Jan Crystals
Box #-6017
Fort Myers, FL 33911
tel. 941-936-2397

Monitor Crystal Svc
124 W Walnut St Watseka, IL 60970
tel. 815-432-5296

Short product summaries for over 70 scanner models appear in
a separate article, entitled "Scanner Radio Review Briefs."
For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

--

==========

Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

4936. Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7k8ur1$esd@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 115252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

Last changed: June 16, 1999. |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Introduction to Scanning
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTES: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

This introduction is intended for people new to the
scanning hobby and is oriented to scanning in the USA.
High level overviews of the following topics are presented:

Why Scanning?
Is Scanning Legal?
What Scanner Should I Buy?
What is a CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature?
How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?
Scanner Antennas
Coaxial Cable Feedlines
Where Can I Buy A Scanner?
Modifying Your Scanner
Scanner Repair Shops
Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?
Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work
How Can I Use Equipment to Uncover New Frequencies?
Scanner Clubs and Mailing Lists

Why Scanning?

Every day and night, scanner hobbyists are entertained by
what they overhear on their radios. Police cars, fire
engines, ambulances, airplanes, armored cars, trains,

taxis, and buses are all equipped with radios and you can listen to them. You can monitor the local sheriff and fire departments to hear about events "as they happen," before the news reporters hear about them. Hostage dramas, bank robberies, car crashes, chemical spills, neighbor and domestic disputes, tornado sightings are all fair game. In a single afternoon, you can hear a high speed police chase, Drug Enforcement agents on a sting operation, and undercover FBI agents as they stakeout a suspect.

How about listening to a team of G-men protect the | president while transmitting in the 167 MHz range?

Baby monitor intercoms are actually transmitters and you can hear them between 49.67 and 49.99 MHz.

Stay ahead of road conditions by listening to highway road crews, snow plows, and traffic helicopter pilots. Many midwesterners monitor the state police and and county sheriff to learn of approaching tornados long before warnings are broadcast on TV and commercial radio. Take your scanner to sporting events and listen to race car drivers, football coaches, etc., in the 151, 154, and 468 MHz ranges.

Monitor the everyday hustle and bustle of businesses, from cable TV repair crews tracking down pirate descrambler boxes, to security guards at your nuclear power plant or mall security guards chasing a shoplifter.

Is Scanning Legal?

In the United States, scanning from your home or at work is perfectly legal in most situations. The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 made it illegal to listen to mobile phones, common carrier paging, and a few other types of communication. Public law 103-414, signed Oct. 25, 1994, amended the law (Title 18 USC, Part I, chapter 119, sections 25110 and 2511) and outlaws listening to cordless phones, too, but many scanners cover these frequencies.

It is illegal for companies to sell or import into the USA recently manufactured scanners which cover or can be easily modified to cover the cellular phone frequencies, but sales by private individuals will still be allowed.

The rules define "readily altered by the user" as "clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor and/or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer."

Speaking of privacy, federal law also requires you to keep what you hear to yourself and not use the information you hear on your scanner for personal gain.

Be aware that several states have laws pertaining to scanning while in your car. Indiana restricts some portable scanners. A few states have enacted their own laws against listening to cordless phones. You can find out about these restrictions in a paperback book, Listeners Lawbook, compiled by Frank Terranella, Esq. available for $9.95 + shipping from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902.

What Scanner Should I Buy?

Radio Shack and Uniden (maker of Bearcat, Regency, and Cobra brands) offer a wide choice of scanners. Radio Shack scanners are actually manufactured by both GRE (General Research Electronics) and by Uniden. Personally, I don't recommend Trident nor many of the AOR brand scanners, although the AR8000 and AR3000 have good reputations and the AR5000 works well.

Programmable (synthesized) units have replaced crystal controlled models as they don't require crystals and usually have a keypad that permits you to store frequencies into channels. Programmables are now so cheap it doesn't make sense to buy a crystal unit as your main scanner unless you get it for under $45 or so.

You can get a battery operated hand held scanner, a bigger "base" scanner which is powered from an AC outlet, or a mobile scanner which connects to your auto's electrical system. There are tradeoffs -- base and mobile scanners have larger displays and almost always provide more audio than portables, and some portables are more prone to interference when connected to outdoor antennas than base models. But when severe weather knocks out the power in your home, there's nothing like having a battery operated scanner to monitor the power utility and police frequencies!

Make sure your first scanner has a "search" feature, which allows it to search all the frequencies between two frequency limits of your choosing. Beware of low cost programmables which can't search. Some of the newer models, like the Uniden SportCat and Radio Shack PRO-2046, allow searching between limits, but the limits are factory programmed and cannot be altered.

Get a model which covers the 800 MHz band. Usage of the 800 - 950 MHz band is growing fast and you will miss out on the action unless your scanner covers this band.

If you're not sure whether you'll like scanning, don't want to spend much money, a 50 channel scanner will do. In general, the more channels and banks, the better.

Most of the action takes place on frequencies between 30 and 1000 MHz, so don't be misled by scanner models boasting coverage from 3 to 2000 MHz. There's currently not much to monitor in the 1000 - 2000 MHz range.

If you are interested in receiving short wave, that is, signals in the 3 - 30 MHz range, it's best to get a short wave radio specifically designed for that purpose. Although some scanners receive the short wave band, their performance in that range is often second rate. The $2000 Icom R8500 and AOR AR5000 models are exceptions.

Deluxe scanners can be controlled and/or downloaded by a personal computer, a feature which appeals to a small but growing number of scanner owners.

Almost all low and mid-priced scanners are prone to receiving images -- receiving the same signal erroneously on two or more frequencies. With a few exceptions, images are unwelcome due to the interference they cause, e.g., hearing aircraft transmissions while the scanner is tuned to the local police frequency. Premium quality scanners use "up conversion" circuitry, a scheme which greatly reduces, but does not eliminate, image reception.

Currently, the more popular scanners include the discontinued Radio Shack PRO-2006 base/mobiles, the Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT (a/k/a BC205XLT), BC220XLT, BC230XLT, and Radio Shack PRO-43 and PRO-60 portables. A number of owners report problems with the Icom R1 portable and the older Uniden/Bearcat 8500XLT base and 2500XLT portable. The Uniden BC9000XLT base and BC3000XLT portable work very well and are my current favorites.

Looking for value? At $180 discount price, the 100 channel Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT base is a good value and available at most Wal-Mart stores. The RELM HS200 portable performs very well is an excellent value at its $250 street price.

Considered by many as the best scanner ever made, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2006 was replaced by the 1000 channel PRO-2035, which was replaced by the PRO-2042. The portable Radio Shack PRO-64 and PRO-60 are good performers. All five models employ up conversion and are made for Radio Shack by GRE (General Research Electronics).

CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature

Upscale scanners can be optioned with a subaudible tone decoding squelch circuit. There are two types: CTCSS

(Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System) and DCS (Digital Coded Squelch). In simple terms, this feature lets your scanner ignore signals you don't want to hear.

Most land mobile two way radios, except trunked systems, transmit either CTCSS or DCS signals subaudibly along with the voice information. U.S. military field radios employ wider deviation and a 150 Hz CTCSS tone, not supported by most scanners or CTCSS decoders.

There are over 40 different CTCSS codes and you can program a specific CTCSS or DCS code into each memory channel. Using a CTCSS or DCS decoder permits your scanner to open the squelch only if the transmission has a code which matches the code you program.

CTCSS and DCS decoding offers several advantages:

1. Ignore unwanted signals on shared frequencies: It is common for several users to share the same frequency, e.g., my county sheriff uses the same frequency as Chicago Police, located about 60 miles away. I want to hear my sheriff's transmissions but don't want the scanner to stop on or listen to Chicago Police transmissions. This is especially a problem when radio propagation is good, e.g., during a "band opening," when reception range is temporarily increased.

2. Combat intermodulation interference: Helps keep out intermod and image interference from strong pagers and other signals which are not transmitted with a matching code.

3. Ignore scrambled transmissions: Many federal law enforcement agencies (FBI, Secret Service, etc) and some local police agencies employ digital voice scrambling, which sounds like white noise and is annoying to hear. The CTCSS or DCS code is sent only when the station is transmitting unscrambled, or "in the clear." You can program federal frequencies along with the proper code into your decoder-equipped scanner so you won't have to listen to the scrambled mess and only hear their transmissions when they are unscrambled.

CTCSS also goes by various tradenames: Motorola's PL (Private Line), GE's Channel Guard, and RCA's Quiet Channel. Motorola uses the tradename DPL (Digital Private Line) for its digital coded squelch.

How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?

There are a few ways to determine the CTCSS or DCS code transmitted by a station:

1. Use an outboard CTCSS/DCS reader which must be attached to the discriminator output circuitry inside the scanner. The reader has an LCD or LED display which indicates the code when a station transmits. I use a CSI model CD-1 Communications Decoder Unit, an an excellent reader which sells new for about $200. (Connect Systems Inc., Ventura, CA, 800-545-1349, www.connectsystems.com).
2. Many of the ScannerMaster guides, as well as scanner club newsletters, print the CTCSS codes used by transmitting stations.
3. On some scanners equipped with the CTCSS squelch, you can program into memory the frequency of interest. Then you "tune" slowly through all the different CTCSS and/or DCS codes until the squelch opens and you hear the dispatcher talking. This is a slow process and the station has to keep transmitting during it.
4. A slow, brute force method is to program the same frequency but a different CTCSS code into several channels and see where your scanner stops.
5. In some cases, I've actually examined the walkie-talkies I want to monitor and found the CTCSS code printed on a label on or inside the radio.

Scanner Antennas

All scanners come with a built in antenna, permitting reception up to about 20 miles or so.

Portable scanners are supplied with a helical (spring shaped) antenna, covered by rubber. The rubberized antennas furnished with current models are too stiff and place stress on the antenna jack. Older scanners to be supplied with more flexible antennas. I prefer these alternative antennas for use with portable scanners:

1. The Icom FA-1433B dual band flex antenna, a thin and very flexible rubber antenna, is slightly longer than a stock heliflex but provides better performance.
2. The Austin Condor is a 12" long rubberized antenna available for about $30. While considerably longer than the stock antenna and the FA-1443B, the Condor is very flexible and provides VHF-high band reception superior to both. It is not designed to cover the VHF-low band, but will allow reception there at least as good as shorter antennas. The Austin Condor is available from Grove Enterprises.
3. The "Thin-Stick" is an 18 inch telescoping antenna for extended range. It is made in USA by Smiley Antenna Co., Inc. and is designed to cover both the 2 meter

and 220 MHz bands, but its variable length means it can be adjusted for optimum performance on other bands. What makes the Thin-Stick different from an ordinary telescoping antenna is the spring between the antenna and the connector portions. The spring absorbs most of the impact if the antenna collides with an object. The street price is about $17 and it is available from Amateur Electronic Supply, Milwaukee and other retailers.

Outdoor antennas, like the Channel Master 5094A (available from Amateur Electronic Supply) or Antenna Specialists AV-801 (recently discontinued), can extend reliable reception to 50 miles or more, depending on terrain. If you do use an outdoor antenna, be sure to disconnect and ground it during storms and when not in use to avoid a lightning hazard. Discone and ground plane type antennas can be more prone to cause scanner damage due to static charge buildup because they are not at "DC ground."

Coaxial Cable Feedlines

If your antenna installation requires more than 50 feet of feedline, use RG213/U or high quality RG8/U coaxial cable. RG213/U, e.g., Belden type 8267, has a non-contaminating jacket and will last longer. Each has an outer diameter of about 13/32". Similarly sized Belden 9913 cable and clones have lower attenuation but are difficult to bend, require special connectors, and can accumulate moisture inside because they are hollow.

If you must use a small diameter cable for long runs, use RG6/U. Avoid RG58/U (7/32" OD) due to its losses at high frequencies. RG8/X (1/4" OD) is suitable for short patch cords.

Where Can I Buy A Scanner?

Almost every community has at least one Radio Shack store, and you can find scanners there. Be sure it is on sale, as Radio Shack list prices are high and their scanners are offered at reduced prices by mail order discounters.

Discount chain stores like Wal-Mart, Best Buy, K-Mart, Service Merchandise, and Circuit City sell scanners, but carry just a few models. Department stores, like Sears Roebuck and Montgomery Wards, sometimes offer low end scanners, although at high prices.

The best buys on new scanners are from reputable mail order radio dealers, for example:

- Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200. Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155. Web page is http://www.grove-ent.com

- National Electronics (formerly National Tower Company), PO Box 15417, Shawnee Mission, KS 66215. Telephone (800)762-5049, (913)888-8864. Web page is http://www.sound.net/~ne/

- The Ham Station, 220 N. Fulton Ave., Evansville, IN 47719-0522. Telephone (800)729-4373, (812)422-0231.

- Scanner World (Albany, NY). Telephone (518)436-9606.

- Amateur Electronics Supply (Milwaukee, WI). Telephone (800)558-0411. Web page is http://www.aesham.com

Beware of inflated "shipping and handling" charges and be sure to include these when comparison shopping.

Used scanners may be found at hamfests, flea markets, garage sales, or listed in the classified advertisement section of your newspaper.

Modifying Your Scanner

The term "mod" is often used as shorthand for "modification." If you are handy with a soldering iron, you may be interested in modifying ("modding") your scanner to add features or enhance its performance. Be warned this usually voids your warranty and current models are difficult to service due to the small, delicate surface mount components. By federal regulation, new scanner models cannot be easily modified to tune the cellular phone bands.

The term "mod" has been narrowly used by some people to mean a change which permits a scanner to receive cellular phone frequencies. This definition is far too restrictive as there are several ways one could modify a scanner, e.g., changes to improve audio quality, adding an S-meter, expanding the number of channels, changing the earphone jack to accept stereo headphones, etc.

Modification article files can be copied from several ftp sites including the /pub/hamradio/mods directory at:

garfield.catt.ncsu.edu
oak.oakland.edu (IP address 141.210.10.117)

Modification articles posted on Usenet seem to have a life of their own. Frequently, they are plagiarized from the Internet and compiled by book, CDROM, and magazine publishers, sometimes reworded, then sold. Hobbyists then

copy the modifications from the books, CDROMs, and magazines back onto the Internet or BBSs (bulletin board systems)!

Grove Enterprises and other companies advertise a warranted, modification for fee service.

For information on "virtual downconverter" modifications, see Steve Donnell's article in January 1995 RCMA Scanner Journal or February 1996 Monitoring Times. Reprints of articles from Monitoring Times are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from:

Grove Enterprises,
7540 Highway 64 West,
Brasstown,
NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200.
Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155.

I don't get any part of this reprint fee.

Scanner Repair Shops

Is your scanner broken? Aside from sending the scanner back to the manufacturer for repair, here is one company which repairs scanners:

- G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) - (www.iinc.com/ggcomm or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com) This family owned company repairs scanners and pagers and stocks parts for several older models. G & G cannot usually repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. G & G sells and sometimes buys old scanners and parts, too. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482.

Repair tips for Bearcat and Radio Shack scanners are discussed in two other FAQ articles.

Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?

To avoid chaos, the FCC licenses two-way radio users and assigns them specific frequencies. Groups of frequencies are allocated to specific types of users, so you won't usually find fire departments using the same frequencies as taxi drivers, for example.

Scanner enthusiasts can obtain frequency information from several sources, including books, government microfiche records, or other listeners.

Books: The most convenient source of fire, police, and local government frequencies is Gene Hughes' Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins

Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores. The newest editions contain abbreviated business listings, too, although callsigns and other details are listed only for local government and public safety licensees.

I also recommend the book, Monitor America, published by SMB Publishing (now known as Scanner Master Publishing), and available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. A 1100 page 3rd edition is now available. Monitor America contains several pages of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, federal government, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. It contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Uniden has published several regional directories using the "Betty Bearcat" name, although there are much better directories available from Scanner Master (Newton Highlands, MA, tel. (508)655-6300) for some regions.

The most readily available source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Commercial Magazines: Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times (tel. 828-837-9200, www.grove.net) and the sensationalistic Popular Communications, (tel. 516-681-2922). National Scanning (NatScan) is a national scanner magazine published bimonthly and is affiliated with Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club. Early issues disappointed experienced scanner hobbyists, but it now has a good column on scanner modifications and has greatly improved its coverage of east coast frequencies since merging with NESN (North East Scanner News). The best scanner frequency

lists are often found in club publications, not commercial magazines, and are discussed later.

Government Records: Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The high cost of buying government records limits their appeal to hardcore enthusiasts. You can write for a catalog of FCC Master Frequency Database items to the NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100. The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for $29 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. The Spring 1995 edition works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments.

Grove enterprises sells FCC license information on CDROM for $100. In the 1995 edition, fields are different than PerCon's Spectrum CDROM but the data appears to be of the same vintage. The licensee name and licensee city are used instead of the DBA (doing business as) name and transmitter city which makes query results confusing.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $5.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work

When you try listening to a frequency for the first time, you'll want to know who you're hearing.

Although FCC rules require radio systems to identify their operations with their assigned call letters either automatically or verbally, most ignore the regulation. This often makes it difficult to know who is transmitting. Moreover, many radios are now being placed in service illegally, without first obtaining the required FCC license.

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and try to determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

You can examine the FCC license on premise. I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the mall security office or company guard shack. You can examine the labels on radio equipment. Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret. Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement, however.

How Can I Use Equipment
to Uncover New Frequencies?

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. A few models, like the ICOM R7000/R7100, and R1, and older Bearcat 250 and Regency K500, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the

scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy, a Radio Shack CTR-82 will do. It's best to use a shielded, attenuating cable to feed the scanner audio into the recorder rather than relying on the recorder's internal microphone.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

Are There Any Scanner Clubs or Mailing List?

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than any pile of magazines.

Peter Laws administers an electronic mail list read by over 700 hobbyists devoted to scanners and scanning. It's called "scan-l" and for more information, read:

http://www.wildstar.net/~plaws/scan/scan-l.txt

Clay Irving <clay@panix.com> administers a scanner electronic mailing list, called "scanning." To subscribe, send an Email message to: majordomo@lists.panix.com

In the body of the Email message, put: subscribe scanning

All Ohio Scanner Club

A smaller club is the All Ohio Scanner Club. Its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio and the Northeastern states, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of good product reviews and scanning tips.

Annual dues are $18.50 and more information is available from:

Dave Marshall, Managing Editor
All Ohio Scanner Club,
50 Villa Road,
Springfield, OH 45503.

Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) is the foremost club for scanner radio hobbyists in the northern Illinois area. CARMA was formed from the Chicago chapter of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), and we have been meeting since the early 1980s.

Many CARMA members are active in ham radio and GMRS, but the club is devoted to scanning and scanners.

Meetings are held on Saturdays, many at area restaurants, about 6 times a year. Although lunch starts at noon, the meetings begin officially at 1 PM and often run until about 4 PM. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for free. Meetings consist of a quick review of club business, sometimes a special presentation, and a "round table" discussion/question & answer session. Large quantities of information and frequency lists are often distributed in the form of free handouts. Members often sell radio equipment at the meetings, too.

In addition to regular meetings, there are two CARMA picnic/field days during which members meet at a park, erect antennas, eat, and operate scanners from battery power. CARMA members take organized tours of various communications facilities in the area.

Club meeting dates and times are published in the CARMA newsletter, published 6 times a year. You can obtain an electronic subscription to the CARMA Newsletter. For more info, see:

http://www.TheRamp.net/shabec/carma.htm

The club's mailing address is:

CARMA
P.O. Box 2681
Glenview, IL 60025

All 1999 meetings (except August) will be held on Saturdays at Phillies Pizza, 6300S. Kingery Highway, located in the shopping center at the corner Rt. 83 and 53rd St. in Willowbrook, IL,

The 1999 meeting schedule is: April 3, June 5 (picnic at Argonne National Labs), August 7, October 2, and December 4.

The CARMA Picnic will be June 5th, 1999 at the Argonne National Labs Picnic Pavilion, Cass Avenue, south of I-55 in or around Darien or Lemont. The picnic will start whenever someone arrives. The regular meeting will be in the Pavilion at 1:00 PM. If the weather is totally frightful we also have a room inside the Laboratory building available for us.

The Picnic is BYOE (Bring your own everything) If you want to eat or drink, bring it with you, as well as your BBQ or six pack.

--

==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

4937. FAQ: Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7k8urr$esj@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 115253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.info

Last changed: February 17, 1999

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Add a Plectron or Motorola Alert
Desktop Monitor Receiver
to Your Scanner Collection

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance
squad members came to rely on their crystal controlled,
transistor Plectron and Motorola desktop monitor receivers.
They have now been replaced by battery operated portable
pagers, and the desktop models are often available at
hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough
condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better
suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola crystal controlled receivers are
excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies --
a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400
channel programmable scanner. They are desktop models
which can be powered from 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper
mobile cord. The audio quality of a Plectron P1, Plectron
700 series, and Motorola Alert Monitor is far better than
any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity, image
rejection, and intermod immunity is outstanding when
aligned properly.

Models and Frequency Coverage

The Plectron and Motorola Alert monitors are single band
receivers: VHF-low, VHF-high, or UHF. No single Plectron
or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz

band, so there were versions optimized for each portion of the VHF-low band. There were different versions to cover low and high "splits" in the VHF-high band, too. For example, low band R8000s come in 30 - 35, 35 - 39, 39 - 49, and 49 - 54 MHz versions. There are two VHF high band versions of the 700 and R8000: 148 - 158 and 158 - 175 MHz.

VHF-low band Motorola Alert monitors come in two splits: 30 - 42 and 42 - 54 MHz.

Although UHF versions of the 700 series were made, they are somewhat rare and coverage is limited to 470 MHz and below.

Plectron made several models with dozens of different options. Both the original P1 and later 700 series are suitable for restoration, although I prefer the newer 700 models. The 8000 series were even newer and very desireable, but they are difficult to find at hamfests. The Plectron 500 "economy" series is less desirable.

The P1 series have black cabinets with a blue-green and silver color scheme used on the front panel. They were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch), Patrol (carrier squelch only), and Sentry (tone only) models. The Sentry models lack a carrier squelch and therefore are of little use to hobbyists except for scavenging parts. Model number and frequency information appears on a gummed label on the rear panel:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol Sentry

_____
25-54 R19 R15 R17
148-174 R20 R16 R18
450-470 R23 R21 R22

Table 1. Plectron P1 models

The R700 series have black cabinets with brown front panels and are slightly smaller than the P1 receivers. R700s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
25-54 R719 R715
148-174 R720 R716
450-470 R723 R721

Table 2. Plectron 700 models

The R8000 series are much smaller than the P1 and R700 and are housed in metal cabinets of various colors. The R8000s use the same MC3357 IF/detector/squelch IC used in crystal

scanners, but their crystals are not interchangeable with ordinary scanners. R8000s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

30-54 R8190 R8150
148-174 R8200 R8160

Table 3. Plectron 8000 models

The Plectron FM Receiver/Recorder is a collector's item. It is essentially a 700 series Chief receiver with a built in cassette tape recorder. The recorder is carrier activated and can tape transmissions while the receiver is unattended. A connector on the rear panel allows for all kinds of remote control possibilities.

The tape recorder inside the Receiver/Recorder employs two rubber belts. The Projector Recorder Belt Company makes replacement belts which work fine, though they may not be the original equipment:

1. PRB SCA8.6 (218 mm)
2. PRB SCQ 2.5 (64 mm)

I use two Plectron P1s and four R700s in my living room, seven R700s down the basement, and several Plectrons and Alert Monitors stored in reserve. The basement Plectrons are turned on automatically when someone walks downstairs. Power to them is controlled by a modified Radio Shack Safe House infrared motion detector, originally sold as an intrusion alarm.

When a rescue squad member from Missouri advertised a number of Plectrons on USENET, I bought them -- all 20+ of them. I cleaned, fixed, and restored each one. After recrystalling them on local frequencies, I distributed them to members of my scanner club who were glad to get them.

Crystals

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency.

The best source for crystals is:

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

You need not bother with calculating the crystal fundamental frequency. Merely supply International with the exact receiver model number, printed on the rear label, and the frequency you want to monitor. They will perform the necessary calculations.

Crystals for Plectrons

The Plectron P1 series contain two crystals. The second local oscillator crystal is 11.155 MHz regardless of band. On VHF high band (148 - 175 MHz), the P1 series employ this formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) + 10.7) / 4

or

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) - 10.7) / 4

On VHF low band (25 - 54 MHz), the P1 series employ this formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) + 10.7)

or

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) - 10.7)

There are two crystals (Y1, Y2) used in the Plectron R700 series, one for each local oscillator. The crystal formulas are:

RF Range (MHz) Y1 (MHz) Y2 (MHz)
_____
25-29 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
29-32.6 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
32.5-35 (f-10.7)/2 10.245
35-41 (f-10.7)/2 11.155
41-47 (f-10.7)/2 11.155 (use 10.245 MHz
for Y2 on 44.62 MHz)
47-54 (f-10.7)/3 11.155
148-158 (f-10.7)/9 11.155
158-175 (f-10.7)/9 10.245
450-470 (f-10.7)/18 11.155

The economy Plectron 500 series use this scheme for the first local oscillator crystal:

RF Range (MHz) Crystal (MHz)

---

25 - 35 (f+10.7)
35 - 54 (f-10.7)
148 - 174 (f-10.7)/4

Most Plectron 500s use an 11.155 MHz second local oscillator crystal. However, a 10.245 MHz crystal is used instead for receivers in these ranges: 33.4 - 33.78, 44.62, and 158.78 - 158.82 MHz.

Power Cords

AC power cords for both types of receivers use unique connectors and are scarce. I published the pinout for both Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor receivers in June 1998 Monitoring Times magazine.

You can buy the proper replacement power cords but it's much cheaper to drill a hole on the rear panel and solder on a permanent a power cord. Make sure you use a grommet in the hole to prevent the metal chassis from chafing the line cord.

Many Plectrons and Alert Monitors were equipped with an internal NiCd battery pack, intended to power the receiver if the AC power fails. It's unusual to find a used monitor receiver with the NiCd pack still capable of holding a charge. More often, the batteries have died and the series charging resistor has overheated and burned. Be sure to remove the dead batteries as they often leak.

Squelch and Minimum Volume Setting

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Both Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers are designed purposely to have a minimum volume setting which is still audible. The intent was to prevent firemen from turning the volume down completely, forgetting it was down, then missing an important call.

This "feature" was usually implemented by having a fixed value resistor in series between one end of the volume control and ground. In the R15X5, R14X5, R15X5, and R16X5, a 47 ohm resistor, designated R624, was inserted in series with the violet wire leading from the volume control.

You could decrease the lowest volume setting by decreasing the value of this fixed resistor. Alternatively, you could replace the resistor with a jumper wire to completely

silence the receiver at minimum setting of the volume control.

Tone Alert Feature

I have not used the Plectron tone alerting features so I cannot address that except to say that the special coils and capacitors for the dual tone decoders vary depending on the tone frequencies and are somewhat difficult to obtain.

Repair and Replacement Parts

Repair service and replacement parts for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Weber Electronics
PO Box 212
5138 Laurel Ln.
Broad Run, VA 20137
telephone (540) 347-7760
email: weber@citizen.infi.net

You can also obtain Plectron repairs and parts from:

Northeast Communications
4 Oak Ridge Rd
Newfoundland NJ 07435
201-697-8804

Alert Monitor parts are available from Motorola. Phone (800)972-3226.

Plectron SM-series Scanning Receivers

Plectron also sold an SM series of scanners, manufactured in Japan by the same folks who made Craig (division of Pioneer) scanners. The SM series are consumer-grade models and are included in this article for the sake of completeness. They are crystal controlled radios and feature priority scan and a separate trimmer capacitor for each channel to net the crystals on frequency.

Band Frequency Limits

VHF-low 30 - 50
VHF-high 150 - 174
UHF 450 - 470 MHz

Table 4. Plectron SM Model Band Limits

Model UHF VHF-high VHF-low

SM-301 X X X
SM-302 X X

SM-303 X X
SM-304 X X

Table 5. Plectron SM Models

The crystals used in the SM series are quite different from
the crystals used in the conventional single channel
Plectron monitors. Here are the crystal formulas:

VHF low band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = receive freq + 10.7 MHz

VHF high band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz)/3

UHF band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz) /
10

Other crystal specifications for the SM series are:

CR 25/U holder
3rd overtone
20 pF load capacitance
parallel resonant
max series resistance 40 ohm
max drive 2 mW

Plectron Alternatives

Too bad Plectron is out of business. If you wish to
purchase a new receiver with "Plectron-like" signaling
capabilities, contact Reach Electronics:

Reach Electronics
1311 West Pacific
Lexington, NE 68850
(308) 324-6661
(800) 445-0007
FAX: (308) 324-4985

Reach sells tone & voice pagers, Alert monitors, and
ENCODERS. They also do contract manufacturing.

I currently have no Plectrons or Motorola Alert Monitors
for sale. I'm hoarding them. :-)

--

==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

4938. Improve the Squelch in Your Monitor Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7k8us8$esm@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 115254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

last changed June 11, 1998

IMPROVE THE SQUELCH IN YOUR MONITOR RECEIVER

Copyright 1988 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: The first version of this article appeared in the RCMA Newsletter, December 1988. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The squelch control in some FM monitor receivers is difficult to use because of too much hysteresis. The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language defines hysteresis as the:

o "Failure of a property changed by an external agent to return to its original value when the cause of the change is removed."

It's like having play in a car's steering wheel, or backlash in a gear set. Too much hysteresis in a squelch circuit forces one to keep the squelch at a tighter setting, missing weaker signals when scanning or searching. The squelch hysteresis problem was first addressed in a 1984 article about the Radio Shack PRO-24 crystal controlled scanner.

Why do engineers design these circuits with any hysteresis? Basically, hysteresis prevents weak signals from "popping" the squelch open and closed rapidly, which would be very annoying to the listener. A squelch circuit with hysteresis requires a stronger signal to open the squelch than it does to keep it open.

Models cursed with too much hysteresis include the Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT and 760XLT, Radio Shack PRO-2003, PRO-2004, and others. Don't despair, the fix is simple for most radios. I've successfully reduced the squelch hysteresis by replacing a single resistor.

Hysteresis is usually implemented by providing feedback from the squelch gate input to output, through a resistor. The higher the resistor value, the less feedback there is, and less hysteresis. My modification involves replacing the "stock" resistor with a higher value resistor, as identified in the table below.

This technique does not apply to some of the newer Uniden models, because the squelch hysteresis is partially determined by firmware inside the processor IC.

This article cannot provide step-by-step instructions for each radio. I always encourage radio hobbyists to purchase the service manuals for their equipment. The most useful sections of the manual are the schematics and printed circuit diagrams. Good manuals will also describe the theory of operation and alignment procedures, and contain a complete parts list.

If your radio has too much hysteresis, and is not listed in the table, study the service manual. Motorola MC3357, MC3359, and MC3361 integrated circuits, which contain IF and squelch circuitry, are popular in scanners. In these receivers, look for the hysteresis resistor to be connected to these ICs.

Notes

1. The author cannot furnish modification information for other radios.

2. Don't attempt this, or any other internal modification, unless you are skilled in electronic servicing.

3. Make sure your receiver is disconnected from any power source before opening the cabinet.

4. If space permits, you can replace the stock resistor with a higher value variable resistor ("trimpot") and adjust to suit.

Table 1. Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers

Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers		
Receiver Model No.	Res-istor Value	Stock Comments
Radio Shack PRO-24	R35	33K replace with 220K. Located between pins 12 and 14

	of MC3357 (IC1)
Radio Shack PRO-2002	R197 47K try 220K.
Radio Shack PRO-2004	R148 47K remove R148
	or replace with 220K.
	On linear board,
	between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC2)
Radio Shack PRO-2006	R152 33K try 100K or higher.
Radio Shack PRO-2022	R91 33K replace 33K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	on IC-1 MC3361
Radio Shack PRO-2035	100K replace 100K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC-2)
Electra/Bearcat 220	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 20/20	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 250	R66 2.2M may be ok as is
Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT	R58 22K replace with 6.8K
	or other value.
	(select value for
	the particular radio.)
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT	R91 860K replace with 2.2M.
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Plectron P1	R81 180K may be ok as is
Plectron 700	R96 180K replace with 560K
_____	_____

References

1. "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

2. "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

3. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

4. US patent 4,947,456, "Scanning Radio Receiver," granted August 7, 1990 to Noel D. Atkinson, William B. Ahlemeyer, and Ben F. McCormick, inventors. Assigned to Uniden America Corporation.

5. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 Scanner --
How Does It Compare with the PRO-2006?," by Bob
Parnass AJ9S, Monitoring Times, January 1995.

--

==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

4939. Repair Tips for Radio Shack Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7k8usf$esn@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 115255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: January 5, 1999.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1997 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

Radio Shack has been selling scanners and monitor receivers
under the Realistic and Radio Shack labels for over 30
years. Most of them were manufactured by General Research
Electronics of Tokyo, though many newer models are
manufactured by Uniden.

The date of manufacture is usually printed on a gummed
label on the scanner as well as the cardboard box. A code
of 12A5, means the scanner was made in the 12th month of
1975, 1985, or 1995, for example.

There's another way to date Radio Shack scanners
manufactured by Uniden. The first digit of the long serial
number is usually the last digit of the year of
manufacture.

Another way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine
the 4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most
recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. Service manuals for most Radio Shack scanners sold through mid-1997 may be ordered through your local Radio Shack store.

If Radio Shack doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.hwsams.com/sams_model_new.html

Parts lists for recent and many older models are available on line at: http://support.tandy.com. Parts may be ordered through Tandy National Parts, telephone (800)442-2425 or (817)870-5600, or through your local Radio Shack store

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

GRE-Built Base Models: Dim Backlight

The backlight in many base models, including the PRO-2022, PRO-2004, and PRO-2005/6 is implemented by an electroluminescent panel, which grows dim with use.

The EL panel used in the PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 is designated EL-501, and is identified by Radio Shack part #10800050. A new EL panel can be ordered through your Tandy National parts (see above) or local Radio Shack store and sent to your home. Radio Shack no longer sells replacement EL panels for the PRO-2004.

Replacing the backlight is an operation which requires care and patience. Purchase a service manual, and study the exploded parts diagrams as you read the following steps.

Top and bottom cabinet pieces must be removed, then the speaker unplugged from the main board. The front panel assembly must be removed from the chassis by removing 4 flat head screws, 2 on each side. You need not disconnect all the wiring harnesses, though you might have to unplug one so it won't get in the way of a hot soldering iron.

Unsolder the bare ground wire soldered to a large shield on the back of the front panel, located near the back of the squelch control. Two ground braids must be temporarily disconnected by removing the screws which fasten them to the chassis. After removing the volume and squelch knobs, you must remove 8 small screws which fasten the plastic front panel to the diplay board.

The old EL panel is fastened by a bead of glue and two foil type leads soldered to the display board. It's easier to cut the two leads from the old EL panel before unsoldering. A sharp knife should be used cut away the glue. The old EL panel can then be slid gently out from behind the LCD display.

The new EL panel can be installed by reversing the process, though I found that it is not necessary to glue the new panel. The two foil leads must be soldered using a minimum of heat. Reassemble the pieces in reverse order of disassembly.

GRE-Built Portables: Worn Out Keypads

The keypad on late model GRE-made Radio Shack portables, e.g., PRO-39 and PRO-43, can become unreliable after prolonged use. The best repair is to replace the rubber keypad instead of trying to restore contacts using chemicals. A replacement rubber keypad may be ordered inexpensively through Tandy National Parts or your local Radio Shack Store.

PRO-43: Loss of Audio

Early PRO-43s were built with a 200 mW speaker (mfr's part #EAS-3P123A). The voice coils in some of these speakers have opened, resulting in lack of audio output, though audio is still available from the earphone jack. A more capable 500 mW speaker is available through Tandy as replacement (EAS-3P127).

PRO-43: Freezup

If you attempt to lock out all 20 channels in a memory bank, then scan only that bank, your PRO-43 will freeze up and be placed into a catatonic state. Use the procedure documented in the PRO-43 user manual to fully reset the scanner. This will "break the trance," but all the memory channels will be cleared.

PRO-2004: Dead

If your PRO-2004 is completely dead, check R235, a 1 ohm, 1 watt resistor mounted vertically on the main board.

PRO-7A: Channels 1 - 4 or 5 - 8 Dead

If the first or last 4 channels in your 8 channel PRO-7A crystal scanner are not working and the lamps for those channels won't light, replace IC4 or IC5 (type 7400A).

--

```
================================================================================
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)
```

4940. FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7k8uso$eso@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 115256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed June 16, 1999 |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article contains product review summaries for more than
70 old and new scanner radios and monitor receivers.

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.

Introduction

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. This article
describes a few of the FM receivers in my collection, and
other models I've tested. It is not meant to be complete.

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

I have published full length reviews and modifications of
these scanner models in Monitoring Times magazine:

Mar 1986 - Uniden/Bearcat BC800XLT
Mar 1987 - Radio Shack PRO-2004

Oct 1990 - Radio Shack PRO-2006
Jan 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2035 vs. Radio Shack PRO-2006
Feb 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-62 Portable
Mar 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Apr 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT Portable
May 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT
Jun 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT & Radio Shack PRO-2036
Jul 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2037
Aug 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT
Sep 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable
Oct 1995 - AOR AR-2700 Portable
Nov 1995 - selected AOR AR-8000 measurements
Dec 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2040
Jan 1996 - Plectron & Motorola Alert Monitors
Feb 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2042
Mar 1996 - Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners
May 1996 - Compendium of Scanner IFs
Apr 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT, BC230XLT Portable
Jun 1996 - modifications & tips for the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Jul 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT-10 mobile
Aug 1996 - BMI Nitelogger II tape recorder activator
Sep 1996 - PRO-2022 modifications
PRO-2042 baseband audio tap
Oct 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2046 mobile
Nov 1996 - Build a wooden mobile radio mount
Dec 1996 - AOR AR5000
Jan 1997 - ICOM R8500
Feb 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-2045
Mar 1997 - ICOM IC-R10
Apr 1997 - RELM HS-200 portable
May 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual downconversion mod.
Jun 1997 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1970s
Jul 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC235XLT portable Trunktracker
Aug 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-64 portable
Sep 1997 - Stridsberg Engineering receiver multicoupler,
G/Wiz board for EDACS trunked scanning
Oct 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-67
Nov 1997 - Sporty's JD-100 Air Scan
Dec 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT Trunktracker
Jan 1998 - Test Equipment Bargains
Feb 1998 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1980s and Beyond
Mar 1998 - RELM MS-200 mobile
Apr 1998 - RCA RP-6150 portable
May 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-2050 base Trunktracker
Jun 1998 - Opto DC442 CTCSS/DCS/DTMF display
Jul 1998 - Stub and Par Electronics intermod rejection filters
Aug 1998 - Sony SC1PC portable
Sep 1998 - Orange Book of Scanner Repair
Tone Out Testing (2-tone generators)
Monitoring ACSB (amplitude compandored side band)

Oct 1998 - AOR AR8200 portable
Nov 1998 - Alinco DJ-X10T portable
Dec 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-91 portable Trunktracker
Jan 1999 - AOR AR7000
Feb 1999 - Radio Shack PRO-2066 mobile Trunktracker
Mar 1999 - ICOM RS-8500 software for controlling the IC-R8500
Apr 1999 - ICOM IC-R2 portable scanner |
Jun 1999 - Mini-Circuits ZFSC-4-1 4-way passive splitter |
Jul 1999 - Racing Electronics RE2000 portable |

Note: Reprints of Monitoring Times articles are available for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902, tel. (800)438-8155. I don't receive any part of this reprint fee.

ALINCO

DJ-X10T: 1998 vintage. Rugged construction - metal back. Multimode frequency coverage 0.1 to 2000 MHz (minus cellular bands). 20 selectable step sizes from 0.05 to 500 kHz. Knob atop the radio clicks when rotated and serves as a VFO knob, channel selector, and menu navigation control. Several battery pack options for NiCd and alkaline battery cases. Furnished with an EBP-37N 4.8 V, 700 mAH NiCd pack and a sturdy 11 hour drop in charging stand. Loaded with firmware features and complicated to use. Two VFOs and 1200 channels in 30 banks of 40 channels each. Memory banks are cryptically designated, though you can scan combinations of banks. Each memory channel can be programmed with the frequency, an 8 character label, attenuator, a skip (lockout) flag, and mode (WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW, AUTO). Very slow 6 ch/sec scan rate. Rescan delay is about 1 second and cannot be disabled or changed. Auto Memory Write stores up to 40 frequencies but does not check for dupes. 20 linkable search banks with labels. Up to 50 frequencies per search bank can be locked out. Digital clock with on/off timer. Battery saver can be disabled. Ability to scan or search for signals above a selectable threshold or channels with a specific mode. Band scope 7 or 40 steps wide. In short, 30 - 1000 MHz sensitivity is good, few birdies and little intermod. WFM broadcast reception very good. The DJ-X10T squelch can be set to one of 10 levels. We had to keep the squelch set to SQ3 or higher, otherwise it opens at inappropriate times, especially when the battery saver is active. The DJ-X10T instruction manual does not specify the IF (intermediate frequency) scheme. Filters inside the DJ-X10T imply 0.455, 10.7, and 45 MHz IFs. Our tests indicate a first IF of 736.25 or 275.45 MHz, depending on the frequency to which the DJ-X10T is tuned. Our DJ-X10T hears images of cellular phone signals clearly in the 1419.9

- 1444.9 MHz range, 550.9 MHz above their actual frequency. For a full review, including technical test results, see Monitoring Times, November 1998.

AOR

AR2700: 1995 vintage. 500 channel portable covering 500 kHz to 1300 MHz. Lots of good features, e.g., 10 linkable search banks, lighted keypad, per-channel attenuator, S-meter, battery meter, optional voice recorder and speech inversion descrambler. Global rescan and pause delays, but no auto store, data skip, nor battery saver. Internally generated noise results in broad birdies on AR2700 tested. Insensitive carrier operated (vs. noise operated) squelch stays closed on signals unless they are moderately strong, causing scanner to skip transmissions of interest. IFs are 287.55/749.25, 58.05, 10.7/0.455 MHz. See "The AOR AR-2700 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October and November 1995.

AR5000: 1996 vintage. approx. 30 kHz - 2600 MHz coverage super receiver. Good sensitivity throughout. AM, SSB, CW, FM detectors. Frequency readout to 1 Hz. More features than any other radio. DTMF reader and RS-232 interface included. Multiple settings for IF and AF selectivity, attenuator, AGC, deemphasis, etc. DB9 RS232C connector. Negatives include low contrast LCD display and difficult user interface. NFM squelch closes slowly, causing noise burst at end of each NFM transmission. Electromechanical relays click at various frequency boundaries, including 400 MHz, producing a clickety-clack noise -- annoying while scanning banks of mixed band frequencies. Great radio, otherwise. See "AOR's AR5000 All-in-One Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

AR8200: 1998 vintage. S/N 550004 tested. Portable, high end "super" scanner covers 0.1 - 2040 MHz (minus cellular in USA version). Not a scanner for beginners. Many of the settings are made through the use of menus. Lots of features. 4 AA batteries, steel belt clip, plug-in AM antenna. 1,000 conventional channels in 20 banks, 10 temporary channels, one priority channel. Each memory channel may be programmed with frequency, label, mode, step, step-adjust, offset, attenuator enable, noise limiter, AFC, and write protect. Multi bank scanning. You can enable a 0 - 9 second rescan delay with each scan group, but not per channel. 40 pairs of search limits with labels. Offset capability for monitoring repeater input frequency. LCD display easy to read. Display and keypad backlit using green LEDs. The band scope. Shortwave reception stone deaf

on shortwave when fitted with the supplied antenna or a 19" whip. Seriously overloaded on shortwave by a 132 foot long dipole unless we use the built-in attenuator. Supplied "dorsal fin" antenna for AM BCB. Scans slowly (14 channels/s). Requires more current. Audio quality is slightly above other portable scanners. Computer port. One slot for a single plug-in option: voice inversion descrambler, CTCSS search, 256 frequency tone eliminator, voice recorder and playback, or extended (slow) memory. See AOR AR8200 review by Bob Parnass,in Monitoring Times, October 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

ICOM

IC-R10: late 1996 vintage (serial number 01048 tested). Shirt pocket size multimode is powered by 4 AA penlight cells. Covers 0.5 to 1300 MHz (minus cellular). NFM, WFM, USB, LSB, AM, and CW detectors included. IF bandwidths are fixed. No 30 kHz bandwidth for satellite or FM military monitoring. Battery saver circuit, with selectable duty cycle. Single VFO and 1000 memory channels. There are 900 memory channels divided into 18 banks of 50 channels each. Another bank of 100 channels used to hold unique frequencies found during an auto store operation. 20 pairs of search limits. Up to 100 frequencies may be locked out during a search and stored in a final bank of 100 channels which is cleared before subsequent auto store searches. Each channel can be programmed with the detector mode (e.g., AM, USB), a tuning step size, an attenuator enable flag, and an 8 character label. CI-V computer interface requires extra cost hardware. 7 segment S-meter. Noise blanker. Good sensitivity. Lighted keypad and display, but keystroke sequences are cumbersome.

Good radio hardware in a handy sized package, but scanning performance unimpressive. Very slow memory scanning at only 6 channels/second. Searches at about 16 steps/second, with little or no worthwhile improvement using the "Signal Navigator" feature in the R10 I tested. Rescan delay cannot be disabled. Can only scan one memory bank or all banks, not a combination of banks. No squelch for SSB or CW modes. See "ICOM IC-R10 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1997, for review which includes quantitative test results.

R-7000: 1986 vintage. At about $1000, this was once the top VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Memory can be expanded to 198 channels by adding simple switch to pin 19 of memory IC8. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on

conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB allows reception of new amplitude compandored sideband (ACSB) stations. S-meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control interface for tape recorder. Noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Searches and scans slowly but can be sped up to about 12 cps by adding a resistor. Too big for permanent mobile use, but too nice to leave alone in the car. If you don't want to spend $1000, get a Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 instead.

R-7100: 1992 vintage. At about $1300, this is the Cadillac of VHF/UHF receivers. 900 channel, multi mode continuous coverage from 25-2000 MHz. The radio RF/IF/AF portions of the R7100 are similar to the older R7000, but the R7100 is constructed using surface mount parts. Both the R7000 and R7100 are much more immune to intermod than the Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT and the portable PRO-43. The firmware in the R7100 is much more sophisticated. 9 banks of 100 channels. Further, you can associate each channel with 1 of 10 groups. Many possible ways to scan. 10 pairs of search limits. Search and store ("memory write") scan mode lets you store 100 frequencies instead of the 20 in R7000. Another R7100 improvement is that you can program up to 100 channels for the R7100 to skip while searching. R7100 has 2 VFOs ("windows"). Both the R7000 and R7100 scan slowly compared with PRO-2004 and PRO-2006, and that's a drawback. Both the R7100 and R7000 employ a noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Scanning a mixture of VHF and UHF frequencies serenades the ICOM user with a very annoying clickety-clack, clickety-clack! For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit. This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3 on the schematic.

R8500: 1996 vintage. 100 kHz - 2000 MHz coverage. 20 banks of 40 channels although bank sizes can be changed. 100 Auto store and 100 Skip memories but that can be increased by reallocating channels from the conventional scan banks. Fixed and programmable step sizes. Relays clickey-clack while scanning across band boundaries. Triple conversion, First IF is 48.8, 778.7, or 266.7 MHz, depending on band. Second and third IFs are 10.7 and 0.455 MHz. Weak to moderate cellular images starting near 1106 MHz. Rugged construction. External power supply. DB25 RS232C connector. Audio peak filter. Ok scanning and great searching performance. See "ICOM R8500 Receiver," by Bob

Parnass, in Monitoring Times, January 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

UNIDEN/BEARCAT AND ELECTRA/BEARCAT

Bearcat III (Electra): 1976 vintage. 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Requires optional front-end circuit board for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands, but only 2 boards can be installed within the radio at the same time. Two crystal filters. No aircraft band coverage nor rescan delay. Front mount speaker sounds good. Strong local oscillator radiation often causes interference with other scanners in the same house.

Bearcat IV (Electra): 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Newer version of Bearcat III but contains front-end circuitry for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. Better selectivity than Bearcat III or 12 due to having three instead of two 10.8 MHz IF filters. No aircraft band coverage. Front mount speaker sounds good. No rescan delay.

Bearcat 5 (Electra): 1979 vintage. Eight channel economy base model covering VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. No track tuning. Black plastic cabinet.

Bearcat 6 (Electra): Six channel VHF-low and VHF-high bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 8 (Electra): Eight channel VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 12 (Electra): 1979 vintage. One of the last decent crystal controlled scanners. 10 channels. Variable scan speed up to 20 ch/sec. Single delay on/off switch. Front mount speaker sounds good. Manual contains schematic. Single conversion, 10.8 MHz IF. Selectivity is poorer than programmable models, like the 300, allowing adjacent channel interference. Covers VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands but no aircraft band coverage. Crystal positions must be arranged by band.

Bearcat 15 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Actually made for Electra by competitor GRE (General Research Electronics). The only Bearcat crystal controlled base/mobile scanner offering 118 - 136 MHz AM aircraft band. 10 channels.

BC101 (Electra): 1975 vintage. First Bearcat synthesized unit. 16 channels, no priority. Frequency programmed in binary by setting toggle switches on front panel after looking up code in code book. No frequency readout. Uses custom IC for CPU, now discontinued, so factory authorized

service is no longer available. I have four of these units. DC operation requires optional mobile power supply.

BC100 (Electra): First programmable portable scanner. Be prepared for at least one repair in the first year. Early units, with threaded antenna connector, have high frequency of repair, particularly LCD readout, keyboard, and battery holder. No battery backup. Poor case design in early units caused battery to disconnect from radio, resetting microprocessor and clearing memories. No priority channel or aircraft band. Some people swear by the BC100, others swear at them.

BC100XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Very good 100 channel portable with 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Generous coverage of conventional bands, including commercial aircraft, but no 800 MHz. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on 550 mAH NiCd battery pack.

BC200XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Also sold as BC205XLT through Scanner World. Very good 200 channel version of BC100XLT portable scanner but includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on NiCd battery pack.

BC210 (Electra): 1979 vintage. First Bearcat scanner with a keyboard and numeric display. LED readout. See US patents 4,092,594, granted 5/30/78 and 4,114,103, granted 9/12/78 for further insight.

BC220 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 20 channels. Reasonable number of features but 20 channels doesn't seem enough. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC220XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Very good 200 portable scanner which is a smaller successor to the BC200XLT. Includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Service Search, including WX. Up to 10 frequencies can be locked out during conventional search, 20 frequencies from Service Search. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs, with images 21.6 MHz away. 4 cell BP-120 internal NiCd battery pack. Marketed as BC230XLT with two BP-120 battery packs and CRX-120 dual charger. See "The BC220XLT/BC230XLT Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1996.

BC20/20 (Electra): 1981 vintage. Similar to BC220 but with 40 channels and different CPU circuitry. A maximum of 20 channels can be scanned at one time. Reasonable number of features. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC230XLT (Uniden): See BC220XLT.

BC235XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Portable with 300 channels in 10 banks. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Very fast scan and search rates. Scans 64 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Service search. Data skip. Triple conversion, 254.4, 10.85, 0.45 MHz IFs. Excellent image rejection and intermod immunity. Fairly good audio. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, July 1997 or an on-line version at http://www.grove-ent.com for a review which includes quantitative test results.

BC250 (Electra): 1976 vintage. 50 channel model, rich in features, but lacks aircraft band and 144-146 MHz. Search and Store feature extremely useful for finding federal frequencies. Clock. High frequency of repair. Power transistors not heat sinked adequately, causing heat damage to surrounding components and circuit board. Failure of Q204 on the feature board known to cause odd display readings. Digital circuitry very sensitive to glitches caused by static and AC line spikes. Avoid 1978 or earlier vintage units. All BC250s use custom ICs (e.g., IC6, a divider chip, mfd. by Exar), which are now discontinued, so factory service is no longer available from Uniden.

BC260 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Super heavy duty metal cabinetry and lit controls, aimed at mobile use for firemen, police, etc. Few frills, only 16 channels, no aircraft, but generous coverage of federal bands omitted in the older Bearcat scanners. Good sensitivity. Lots of audio. Good internal construction. Backlit keyboard allows operation in the dark, but the keyboards on some units require high pressure to operate. Brightness control for display and keyboard, but multiplexor circuitry for vacuum fluorescent display produces audible whine which may be annoying in a quiet room. Backlighting may fail in some units due to poor contact on connector used to fasten light panel to front circuit board. 9 volt regulator transistor Q28 (TIP29) may fail, causing blank display while leaving audio intact.

Method of connecting an external speaker is awkward.

BC300 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 50 channel top of the line scanner. Service Search feature contains 11 ROM banks of preprogrammed channels See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. Switching power supply failure noted in early units due to insufficient capacitance - component value was changed in newer units. Schematics show at least 100 components changed between earliest and later units. Preset squelch pot, mounted internally on circuit board, misadjusted in new units - adjustment usually required after burn-in period. Good sensitivity. Built in clock. I leave it on 24 hours a day. This is a favorite. See US patent 4,409,688, granted 11/11/83 for further insight.

BC350 (Electra): 1981 vintage. 50 channels in 5 banks. Includes aircraft. Used to be Bearcat's top of the line, overpriced scanner but never very popular. Dual use keyboard and display allowed 8 text characters to be associated with each channel, a feature clumsily implemented, and awkward to use. Units plagued with various hardware problems including bad memory ICs and short life power transformers. Firmware bugs without cures. The BC300 is a much better scanner than the BC350, and at a lower price.

BC760XLT (Uniden): Also sold as BC950XLT through Scanner World. Small, mobile scanner supplied with mounting bracket and wall wart AC power supply. Some of the keys are backlit. 100 channels in 5 banks. Service search. Earlier version has Motorola type antenna jack and AA battery backup. Later version has BNC antenna jack and internal lithium battery. Can be fitted with optional CTCSS decoder. Hyper sensitive and easily overloaded by strong signals, especially when used with outdoor antenna in base applications. Conventional 10.8 MHz IF prone to images. Television audio heard in some places.

BC800XLT (Uniden): 1985 vintage. 40 channels in two banks. Covers 806-912 MHz, as well as of vhf, uhf, and aircraft bands. Receives 10 meter fm and all of 6 meters, as well as federal portions of vhf and uhf bands. Fewer birdies on vhf-lo band than other scanners. Scans and searches very fast. Clean, robust audio output. Extremely sensitive, but very prone to overload by strong signals when connected to outdoor antenna. Too much play (hysteresis) in squelch adjustment - can be improved by changing one resistor. Positive terminal in memory backup battery holder installed backwards in early units, allowing memory loss when scanner unplugged from AC outlet. Tunes in increments of 12.5 KHz on 800 MHz band, whereas cellular telephones are on 30 KHz

channels. See "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

BC860XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. 100 channel table top unit. Can lock out 10 channels during search. Good performer except for images. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC860XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, May 1995.

BC890XLT (Uniden): Same as Radio Shack PRO-2036. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Scans and searches fast. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IF -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

BC895XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Base with 300 channels in 10 banks. Covers military air band, but other frequency coverage is limited compared with the BC9000XLT. Predetermined AM and NFM modes not selectable. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. Weather alert siren. Built-in CTCSS decoder and tone finder. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Test unit scans 85 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Triple conversion, 380.8 or 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz IFs. 800 MHz images in commercial and military air bands attenuated over 35 dB. For technical test results and a review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1997.

BC9000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. High quality base using up-conversion. 500 channels in twenty banks. Up to 250 channels can be programmed with alpha labels. Tuning knob. Selectable AM, NFM, WFM modes. Optional CTCSS decoder. Very fast scan and search. Can lock out 50 channels during search. Delay and attenuator independently programmable for each channel. Effective Auto Store feature stores unique frequencies. Good RF section, but not as robust as PRO-2004/5/6. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, 0.455 MHz. One urban user, located within a couple of blocks of several high power transmitters, reports severe desense problems. For a full review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1995. For tips and modification information, see my column in June 1996 Monitoring Times. See US patents 4,947,456, granted

8/7/90, 5,163,161, granted 11/10/92 and 5,212,817, granted 5/18/93 for further insights into fast scanning and searching implementation.

BC2500XLT (Uniden): 400 channel portable with tuning knob. Plagued by intermod and images. Early units drained batteries quickly even while turned off.

BC3000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Excellent 400 channel portable with 20 banks. Up conversion. Very fast scan, search, and intelligent Auto Store. Mode, attenuator, and delay settings programmable for each channel. 50 frequencies can be locked out during search. Larger speaker than PRO-26 produces better, crisper audio. NiCd pack provides about 5 hours between charges. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1995 and "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

REGENCY

TMR series: First generation crystal scanners. Come in all varieties of band coverage. Models with both UHF and VHF bands must use separate antennas for each band (disadvantage in mobile installations, but can be overcome by connecting two front ends via a capacitor). Not sensitive enough to cover the entire 30 - 50 MHz range in a single model. There three versions of VHF-low band coverage depending on the part of the 30 - 50 MHz band covered (LL, LM. and LH). TMR scanners use unsocketed incandescent bulbs for channel indicators which require periodic replacement. Easy to crystal: Radio Shack crystals work well. TMRs usually $2 and up at hamfests, often in poor condition. Don't pay more than $50, even if mint. Front ends must be tuned for selected portions within the bands for best sensitivity. Wide IF selectivity troublesome in urban/suburban areas. Primitive digital scanning circuitry may become confused at times. but power off/on restores sanity. Replace aging capacitors in the scanning circuits. You can find a TMR8H eight channel high band unit most often.

TME series: The base versions of the TMR models. Both the TME and TMR models share many common circuits but the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common TME model is the TME8 H/LM eight channel dual band scanner in a metal cabinet with wood coloring.

ACTR series: Replaced the Regency TMR units. By the time Regency made the ACTR units, they no longer offered different models for different parts of the 30 - 50 MHz band. Look for the later ACT series, e.g., ACTR-106 (10

channel tri band) or ACTR20/6 (20 channel tri band), used light emitting diodes for channel indicators instead of incandescent bulbs. Available in 1 to 20 channel models - most are multi band receivers. Somewhat wide selectivity. Not all that bad a deal if cheap.

ACTE series: The base versions of the ACTR series. The ACTE and ACTR circuitry is similar, although the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common ACTE model is the ACTE8 H/L eight channel dual band scanner in a black metal cabinet.

WHAMO-10: Regency's first synthesized scanner. Appearance more like a crystal scanner, with a single LED per channel. User has to break off teeth on a metal 'comb' for each channel according to a code book. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. External frequency control unit DFS-5K optional. UHF VCO reference oscillator drifts on some units. Soldered sheet metal shields around some circuitry make access to some components difficult for servicing. Comb sockets prone to bad connections after moderate use. Not recommended due to maintenance difficulties.

K500: Nice wood-like cabinet. 40 channel model with every feature Regency could dream of in one scanner, except aircraft band. Idle tone bypass feature for mobile phone stations works about 50% of the time. Weather alert feature. Service Search in several banks. Search and Store facility not implemented as well as BC250, but better than none. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Can be programmed out of band. Reasonable performance, but sensitivity could be better. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

K100: Bare bones version of the K500. 10 channels, no priority feature. Same wood-like cabinet and reasonable performance as K500. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

M400: 30 channel replacement for K500. Service Search, but no aircraft. Easily programmable out of band. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Backlit keyboard good for night viewing and mobile use but generates RFI into nearby SW receivers. A favorite.

MX3000: 30 channel replacement for M400, but basic features only. Nice lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers. Easily programmable out of band, but no aircraft. All 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Good first scanner.

M100: 10 channel unit. Same as MX3000 except different color and fewer channels. Nicely lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers.

HX1000: Good, fairly rugged, 30 channel handheld synthesized unit. Generous out of band coverage but no AM aircraft coverage. Built by Azden. Very sensitive on UHF, but annoying audio hiss leaks through speaker when squelched. Belt clip chintzy, but can be directly replaced with better clip from Kenwood TR2600A. Like the MX3000, all 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Low discount price makes this best choice for programmable portable.

HX650/H604: 6 channel crystal portable. Likely made by Sanyo. Same as Fannon and Bearcat Thin Scan units, (except that Bearcat has 10.8 MHz IF frequency, and is harder to get crystals for), but scans faster. Small size and common crystals (available at Radio Shack), make this 1st choice for bare bones portable scanner.

Z60: base/mobile sporting 60 channels, but 10 of them are for FM broadcast only. Built in alarm clock, priority, and memory which requires no battery backup. Covers 30 - 50, 88 -108, 118-136, 144-174, 440-512 MHz. Notice that it's not spec'd to cover some important federal bands: 136 - 144 and 406 - 440.

RCA

RP-6150: 1997 vintage. No frills, good performing portable. 200 channels in 10 banks. No military aircraft frequency coverage. Triple conversion and a flexible battery arrangement. Lacks duplicate channel detection, search skip, and empty channel lockout features of newer designs. Our sample isn't super sensitive at 470 - 512 MHz and has some intermod around the VHF railroad channels but is generally more resistant to image problems than the BC230XLT. For technical test results and a review, see "The RCA RP-6150 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1998.

RELM

HS200: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel portable. 10 banks. Built-in CTCSS and DCS decoder. Lighted keypad. Duplicate channel detection. 100 frequencies can be locked out from search. Very good audio and strong front end. Excellent internal shielding. Global rescan delay. Covers CB. Fixed modes and step sizes. IFs are 280.2 and 0.45 MHz, and perhaps one in between. See "The RELM HS-200 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1997, for a review which includes quantitative

test results.

MS200: 1998 vintage. Made in USA. 200 channel mobile with alpha labels. CTCSS and DCS decoding squelch. Programmable via PC using supplied software. Low contrast, LCD display. Keypad backlit for night programming. Rear panel tape audio jack. Test unit had lots of intermod on VHF-high band. IFs are 280 and 0.45 MHz. See "The RELM MS-200 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1998, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

RADIO SHACK

PRO-24: 1983 vintage. Only 4 channels in this crystal controlled portable. Covers the three basic bands, but no aircraft. Easy to obtain batteries and crystals. Characteristic Radio Shack squelch problem, fixable by changing one resistor. All-plastic case larger than Bearcat Thin Scan and clones. See "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

PRO-26: 1995 vintage. (Not to be confused with a simple 1985 crystal controlled portable bearing the same model number.) Very good portable made by for Radio Shack by Uniden. 200 channels, very fast scan/search. Good display. Covers all TV channels, but spectrum adjacent to cellular phone band is censored which prevents monitoring parts of some trunked systems (e.g., IL State Police district 4). Up to 50 frequencies can be skipped during search. Limited image rejection causes 929 MHz paging interference in 170 MHz range. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, and 5.5/0.455 MHz. Audio suffers from small speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

PRO-30: 16 channel programmable portable with aircraft band. Good frequency coverage. Extra controls on top allow control of SCAN, MANUAL, and PRIORITY functions while worn on belt. Good belt clip. Low audio output. Plastic case prone to break at BNC antenna connector under severe use, vs. metal frame in Regency HX1000. High price, no discounts or sales yet. I had 6 or 7 PRO30s, having to return them several times during the 1 year warranty, although other owners have had little or no trouble. Troubles included oscillation in IF stage, no UHF band reception, case broken around base of antenna connector, etc.

PRO-34: Portable scanner with 200 channels and 800 MHz coverage. Ten "monitor" channels. Operates from AA cells. Slow scanning, low audio output, and chintzy plastic case detract from an otherwise good performance. No decent

leather case available from Radio Shack. If you need a portable with 800 MHz, get a Bearcat 200XLT. If you can't get a 200XLT, get a PRO-34.

PRO-43: Very good portable made by GRE. First Radio Shack portable with up conversion, and 222 MHz ham and 225 - 400 MHz military air band coverage. 200 channels, single search range. Small, convenient size. Muffled audio. Speakers in early units known to fail and more powerful speakers were used in later units. 800 MHz band coverage fully restorable in early units by removing diode. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. IF frequencies are specified at 608.005 - 611.2 MHz, 48.5 MHz, and 455 kHz.

PRO-52: 8 channel VHF-Lo/Hi base unit. No UHF band or provision for mobile operation. Good little scanner despite limited frequency coverage and Spartan lack of frills. Crisp squelch action and good audio, helped by a front mounted, vertical speaker.

PRO-60: 1995 vintage. Very good portable made by GRE. 200 channels, single search range. Similar features and same IFs as the PRO-43 it replaced but the PRO-60 has wider frequency coverage and crisper audio. Covers 75 MHz and commercial FM broadcast bands. Improved Direct search can be stepped manually in single steps. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Railfans take note -- the PRO-60 and PRO-43 are subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. Draws 100 mA while scanning. See "The Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, September 1995.

PRO-62: 1994 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Similar to PRO-43 but no military air band, different upper frequency limit, and slightly different IFs. Selectable AM/NFM modes. Better intermod immunity than PRO-43. See "The Radio Shack PRO-62," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1995.

PRO-64: 1997 vintage. Good portable 400 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-62 and has many more features. Selectable AM/NFM modes but fixed step sizes. 10 search banks which can be cascaded. Auto store saves unique frequencies found during a search. Frequencies can be loaded using a computer but optional interface kit not yet available. Can recharge Radio Shack's optional, monolithic NiCd pack, but not AA cells within the scanner. IFs are 257.5, 21.4, and 0.455 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-64," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-67: 1997 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-51 and has better image rejection and super fast scanning. Service Search lacks police frequencies. Fixed AM/NFM modes and step sizes. Can lock out up to 20 frequencies during a search. Can recharge AA cells within the scanner. Frequencies adjacent to the cellular phone bands censored so our PRO-67 will not receive 868.9625 or 823.9625 MHz. Intermediate Frequencies are 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-67," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-91: 1998 vintage. Portable made by Uniden for Radio Shack. 150 channels in 5 banks. Can selectively follow conversations in 800 MHz Motorola trunked radio systems. Censors 823.975 - 823.95 and 894.0125 - 896.1 MHz covered by the BC235XLT. Powered by four individual AA alkaline or NiCd batteries. Power save feature. Program key. One priority channel/bank. One search bank. Direct search. Service search omits many police freqs (inc. 460 - 460.5 MHz). While connected to an outdoor antenna, our PRO-91 experiences intermodulation interference in the 161 MHz range from a paging transmitter on 158.1 MHz. IFs near 380.7, 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. See PRO-91 review by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

PRO-2001: Early, single bank 16 channel programmable. Reasonable coverage of the 3 traditional bands, minus aircraft band. LED digital display as well as an LED per channel. Mechanical lockout switch for each channel. Delay is either on or off for all channels at a time. High synthesizer noise level. Troublesome plated through holes on digital board in some units renders radio virtually unfixable. Could never get mine to work more than a few days in a row; always another bad connection. Some owners have no trouble.

PRO-2003: Radio Shack's 1986 top of line. 50 channels + 10 FM commercial broadcast band channels. Includes aircraft. Good frequency coverage and functionality, but at a high price. Poor human engineering: difficult to read keyboard makes the PRO-2003 hard to operate unless in a well lit room. Keyboard label coloring improved on newer units. Rather slow scan rate and high price. Although there are provisions for 12VDC operation, the cabinet shape and lack of mounting bracket makes mobile operation impractical. Scan rate only 8 channels/sec vs. 15/sec in Regency and Bearcat. Causes RFI: Plastic case permits scanner to radiate signals into nearby receivers.

PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner for 1987. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan. Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

PRO-2005: 1989 vintage. Essentially a size reduced PRO-2004 with surface mount components and 400 channels. Some people think the small knobs, smaller display, and plastic cabinet are a setback from the 2004. The smaller size, real rubber keyboard, and vertical front panel make it easer to use mobile, although the keyboard is not backlit. More sensitive than the PRO-2004 but 800 MHz signals leak through into the commercial aero band. Highly recommended. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2005 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, June 1989, and RCMA Journal, August 1989.

PRO-2006: 1990 vintage. Essentially the same as PRO-2005 but scans faster. Probably the best scanner made to date. Highly recommended. See "The Realistic PRO-2006," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1990.

PRO-2021: Base/mobile scanner. 200 channels in 10 banks, LCD display and raised rubber keys. Lots of memory but scans too slowly and lacks 800 MHz. Ten "monitor" channels. Radio Shack seemed to have an overstock of 2021s as they were on sale for such a long time. Close out price dipped to about $200, which made it a nice scanner for beginners.

PRO-2022: Base/mobile scanner. Like the PRO-2021 but includes the 800 MHz band. Somewhat prone to intermod in the 460 MHz range. Cellular images throughout the 847.6 - 869 MHz range. See September 1996 Monitoring Times for modifications.

PRO-2035: 1995 vintage wide coverage base made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Poor dynamic range. 10 linkable search banks. Dumb Auto Store feature stores the same frequencies over and over. Wastes time scanning empty channels. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2035 - How Does It Compare With the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass,

AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, January 1995.

PRO-2036: Same as Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Scans and searches fast. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2037: 1994 vintage. Triple conversion all plastic base scanner made by GRE. Home version of the PRO-62 portable but with more intermod. 200 channels in 10 banks, one search bank, selectable AM and FM. Uses up conversion circuitry but inadequate front end filtering still allows images, though not as bad as in 10.7 or 10.8 MHz IF circuits. Crisp, respectable audio given the top mounted speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2037," by Bob Parnass, in July 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2040: late 1995 vintage 100 channel base made by Uniden. Fair performance, but minimal image rejection. Local oscillator harmonics account for spurious responses, e.g., reception of cellular telephone calls in the 460 MHz UHF-high band. Scans over 40 channels/sec. Can lock out up to 50 channels from search. "Soft" on/off power switching via keypad. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2040," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1995.

PRO-2042: 1996 version of the wide coverage PRO-2035 base with improved firmware and amber display. Made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. NFM selectivity is still a problem but improved over PRO-2035. 10 linkable search banks. Smart Auto Store feature stores the unique frequencies. Can lock out up to 200 frequencies from search. Query facility indicates if and in what channel a frequency is programmed. Scan skips over empty channels automatically. Can sort memories by frequency within a given bank. IFs are 609 - 612, 58.05, and 10.7/0.455 MHz. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Overall, a top grade scanner and second only to Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2042," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1996.

PRO-2045: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel base/mobile made for Radio Shack by Uniden. Tuning/channel selector knob. 10 banks, selectable attenuator, and rescan delay. CTCSS-capable with optional board. Great Auto Store. Weather alert. Scans and searches fast. Can lock out 50 frequencies during search. Step sizes fixed but AM and NFM modes are selectable. IFs are 380.7, 10.7, and 0.455 MHz.

Images on VHF-high band despite high 1st IF. Some intermod on review unit. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2045," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2046: 1996 vintage 100 channel mobile made by Uniden with fairly good performance. Descendent of the BC760XLT but no CTCSS option available. Ten banks of ten channels. Scans memory at about 34 channels/sec. Service search, direct search, and band search. Preset search limits by band and user cannot program the search limits. Image rejection is minimal, as one would expect from a scanner with IFs of 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. 10 Monitor memory channels. Can lock out up to 20 channels from a search. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2046," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2050: 1998 vintage 300 channel Motorola trunk system tracking base made by Uniden. Tight front end. Excellent performance. Chassis-less, plastic construction. Squelch and volume knobs too close and Manual key is hard to find. IFs are 380.7 (approx.), 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. AM and NFM modes are factory set and not selectable. 300 channels in 10 banks and a 2 second rescan delay may be programmed on a per channel basis. Query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Our PRO-2050 scans at 78 channels/sec., skipping over empty channels. 10 priority channels. Up to 50 frequencies may be locked out from limit search. A single pair of limits may be programmed for a limit search. No Direct key or direct search facility. Factory preprogrammed frequencies for police, fire/emergency, commercial air, public service, and weather, but not marine. See May 1998 Monitoring Times for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SONY

SC1PC: 1998 vintage. Good RF and audio performance. Covers 25 - 1300 MHz, minus cellular phone. Selectable step size and mode. Computer control and downloading with software and PC cable provided. Powered by ordinary AA batteries. Provided CDROM includes both the scanner control program and the multi million record PerCon FCC license database. 10 banks ("pages" in SONY parlance) of 30 channels each. Per-channel 2 second rescan delay. Unlike the ICOM IC-R10, you can scan any combination of memory banks. You can lock out ("skip") memory channels from scanning. The frequency stored in a skipped channel will also be skipped during searches. One priority channel checked every 5 seconds. SONY's limit search is restricted to one pair of search

limits, though computer control expands this to 20 linkable pairs. Band search lets you search through 17 bands programmed at the factory. Service search. A cache, termed Intelligent Memory, stores the last 10 unique frequencies that were active for longer than 5 seconds each. IFs (in MHz) are 600 (below 370 MHz), 232.5 (above 370 MHz), 45.0, and 0.455. See "The SONY SC1PC," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1998, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SPORTYS

JD-100: 1997 vintage. Limited 20 channel scanner which covers civil and military air bands only. Good audio. Large display shows frequency only out to 2 digits. Slow scanning and odd keystroke sequences. No key press confirmation beep. Cannot lock out channels from memory scan. Powered by AA batteries. Battery saver circuit. See "Sportys JD-100 Air Scan," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, November 1997.

CRAIG (division of PIONEER)

4530: Japanese 10 channel crystal controlled 3 band unit. Also available under Plectron name but in different cabinet. No aircraft band. Deluxe features like priority, trimmer capacitors for netting each channel, front panel speaker, and rugged metal cabinet make this unit a winner. Channel lockout slide switches have finite life. Replacing burned out incandescent channel lamps not fun. Grab a 4530 if you find one in good condition.

SONAR

Sonar made several crystal controlled scanners and channelized monitor receivers which shared the same RF circuitry. Each radio was housed in the same size metal case and worked on both 120 VAC and 12 VDC. The models differed in the number of channels, the band coverage, and whether individual channels could be locked out.

Although they used a 10.7 MHz first IF, Sonar radios require parallel resonant crystals different from the common series resonant crystals used in Regency and Radio Shack scanners. Some Radio Shack crystals will work in Sonar units, but more often they oscillate a few kHz off frequency and are unsuitable unless changes are made to the oscillator circuitry. Therefore, beginners should avoid these radios. Typical Sonar squelch has long time constant causing a long noise burst at the end of each transmission.

FR-104, FR-105: 6 channel monitor receivers covering 25 - 50 MHz or 150 - 175 MHz. Manual channel selection, i.e., no

scanning. Early models are identified by an 11 pin connector on the rear and they could be used with an optional NiCd battery pack and charger which bolted to the rear panel. Later models used Cinch Jones connectors with flat contacts. Optional tone decoder board.

FR-2512, FR-2513: 24 channel versions of the FR-104 and FR-105 monitor receivers. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning.

FR-2514, FR-2515: 8 channel scanners. Channel 1 priority. Recommend you avoid these models as there is no way to lockout a channel from the scan sequence.

FR-2526, FR-2526, FR-2528: 10 channel scanners covering various combinations of 3 bands. Each channel could be locked out. Channel 1 priority.

Sonar Model	#chan- nels	VHF low	VHF high	UHF scans?	lock- outs?
FR104	6	X		no	
FR105	6	X		no	
FR2512	24	X		no	
FR2513	24	X		no	
FR2514	8	X		yes	no
FR2515	8	X		yes	no
FR2516	10	X		yes	yes
FR2517	10	X		yes	yes
FR2525	10	X		yes	yes
FR2526	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2527	10	X	X	yes	yes
FR2528	10	X	X	yes	yes

Table 1. Summary of Sonar base/mobile monitor and scanner receivers

TENNELEC

Manufactured the first synthesized scanners. See US patents 3,961,261 and 3,962,644, granted 6/1/76 and 6/8/76 respectively. Company went out of business several years ago. Schematics and parts difficult to obtain. Radios reputed to be poor performers. Got my MS-2 and MCP-1 basket cases for free and sometimes regret taking them. Not worth fixing unless you have access to DTL/RTL chips and circuit diagrams.

Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their transistor Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers. They have now been

replaced by portable pagers, and are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola Alert crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel programmable scanner. These radios are fixed channel units and do not scan. They can be powered form 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord.

The audio quality on a Plectron P1 or 700 series is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity and intermod immunity is outstanding if aligned properly. Better image rejection than most scanners (see article by Bob Parnass in Monitoring Times, January 1996 for comparison). The Plectron 500 series is less desirable. The Motorola Alert monitors are pretty good, although the audio lacks bass.

No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, and there were versions optimized for each portion of the band. There were different "split" models to cover segments of VHF-hi band, too. Although UHF versions were made, they are somewhat rare.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency. Too, the P1 and R700 require different crystals.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Repair service for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Woodson Electronics
Plectron Place
P.O. Box 960
Imboden, Arkansas 72434

telephone 1-(501)869-7985

Some replacement parts are currently available from Federal Signal in University Park, IL, phone (800)524-3021. For more information, see "Add a Crystal Receiver to Your Arsenal," by Bob Parnass, January 1996 Monitoring Times.

Custom Color Map & Report
of Transmitter Sites Near You

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 8-1/2" by 11" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $4.00, I will include a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard

or $34.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram.
Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with
center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or
latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas
Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central
time. For a brochure, send SASE.

How to Obtain Copies of Patents

Printed copies of US patents may be purchased for $3 each,
but you must know the patent number. Credit card orders are
taken over the telephone by the Assistant Commissioner for
Patents at (703)305-4350. Alternatively, you can mail a
check or money order, made payable to "The Assistant
Commissioner for Patents" to

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

For more information about the US Patent and Trademark
Office, call their automated information message system
toll-free at (800)786-9199.

There are various patent servers on the world wide web,
including IBM's server:

http://patent.womplex.ibm.com

--

==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

4941. Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7k8urm$esh@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 115257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: February 27, 1999.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1993 - 1999 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
but views expressed in this article are his own.]

Introduction

The original Bearcat scanner line was manufactured by
Electra Company, a division of Masco Corp of Indiana. In
the mid 1980s, Uniden, a Japanese company, bought out the
Bearcat scanner line and Uniden's first Bearcat scanner was
the BC800XLT model.

Most of the models discussed in this article are
base/mobile units made by Electra during the 1970s and
1980s although some of the capacitor failures occur in the
Uniden BC800XLT, too.

Electra stamped all of its scanners with a manufacturing
date code on the rear of the cabinet. The code is comprised
of a single character (C = Cumberland, Indiana, P = Puerto
Rico), followed by four digits denoting year and week the
radio was built. For example, "P8422" denotes the radio was
made in the Puerto Rico factory during the 22nd week of
1984.

One way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine the
4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most

recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation sells crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea) for some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. Electra Corporation is located at 11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229. Phone 317-894-3229.

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. To order a user manual for an Electra/Bearcat scanner from Uniden, call (800)235-3874 extension 2553. You can also download an electronic copy of the user manual for recent Bearcat models from the Uniden web site:

http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/support.htm

Some schematic diagrams may be obtained from Uniden's parts department, (800)297-1023. Uniden currently charges a flat rate of $54 for scanner repairs. They will repair and return "out of warranty" items without an estimate unless the repair charges exceed the flat rate charges.

The phone number for repair is (800)297-1023, too. I recommend you call repair before sending the broken scanner. Items for repair via UPS (include receipt if still under warranty) are usually sent to:

Uniden America Corp.
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
P.O. Box 95002
Fort Worth, TX 76155

If Uniden doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.hwsams.com/sams_model_new.html

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

Common Construction

Most of the classic, metal-cased Bearcat base/mobile models were built using two printed circuit boards:

1. a "radio board" containing the synthesizer, RF, IF, and audio stages, and

2. a "feature board" containing the microprocessor controller and memory circuitry which gave each model its own personality.

Although there are various vintage radios boards, they all have similar circuitry.

Bad Solder Joints Common

Before addressing specific symptoms, circuit boards in the malfunctioning scanner should be inspected for poor solder joints.

The Electra/Bearcat BC350, BC300, BC250, BC220, BC20/20, BC211, BC210, and BC210XL models were hand assembled, and every one I've serviced had several connections that were either soldered poorly, or not soldered at all.

Resoldering joints on the ribbon cable connecting the RF and keyboard logic circuit boards in a BC250 attenuated the microprocessor/synthesizer hash noise noticeably.

A Bearcat 20/20 was experiencing periodic loss of memory on some, but not all channels. When the problem occurred, the frequencies on some channels would be completely changed. On other channels, the frequency would still be intact, but the channel would be locked out, and the delay toggled from "on" to "off". Some channels were not affected.

The 2 "AA" memory backup batteries, and their holder, tested good. Much time was spent tracing logic, heating and cooling components, and making voltage measurements.

One of the secondary leads from the power transformer was connected to the main circuit board through a hole drilled through foil traces on both the top and bottom sides of the board. A close examination revealed that this lead had been soldered only on the top of the board - the bottom side had never been soldered.

Soldering the lead on both sides of the board solved the memory loss problem.

Matt Roberds fixed a BC220 which experienced memory loss if power was removed by resoldering the positive wire to 2-AA battery holder.

As built, the BC220 logic board is grounded only through its mounting screws. In the BC220, Matt recommends adding real wire ground jumpers from the main board to the feature (logic) board to fix grounding problems.

Symptom: Blank Display

The BC300 scanner, and several other Bearcat models, employ a switching type power supply stage to generate plus and minus voltages in excess of 20 volts DC. When this switcher fails to function, the display goes blank, but the squelch control appears to work, and white noise can be heard in the speaker.

In almost a dozen of the BC300 and BC800XLT scanners I've fixed, C98, a capacitor in series with the primary of the switching transformer failed, causing the output of the supply to drop below the level needed to power the display. The switching transformer is mounted on the RF circuit board, and is much, much smaller than the main power transformer, which is usually fastened to the metal chassis. See March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine for BC800XLT capacitor locations and repair information.

The 22uF/16V capacitor used for C98 in early BC300s was marginal, and was replaced with a 47uF/25V capacitor in later units.

Jim Craig replaced a 22uF/35V C98 capacitor to repair his BC210XW.

Paul Grohe replaced a failed C98 with a low ESR (equivalent series resistance), high frequency, switching regulator grade capacitor, e.g. a Panasonic HF series capacitor. He also recommends adding a 0.1 ceramic capacitor in parallel with C98.

I replaced the 22 uF capacitor in the switching power supply stage of a BC210XL which caused the same symptom. Other capacitors in the switcher stage have failed. C114, a 4.7 uF/35V tantalum capacitor failed in at least one BC250, causing the display to blank.

A more sinister problem affects the switcher in earlier models. The switching supply stage in the BC250 and original BC210 is driven by a clock signal derived from a custom Exar NC57902 divider integrated circuit (designated IC6 in the BC250 scanner). I've seen this divider IC fail in several BC250s, causing a blank display (except for a decimal point in the BC250's rightmost digit). This custom IC is no longer available from Uniden.

Failure of IC9, the 9 volt NJM78M09A regulator in a BC800XLT is another cause of a blank display.

Symptom: Invalid Frequency Displayed

A common Bearcat 250 malady is manifested by an invalid frequency displayed on the readout. This condition is temporarily "cured" by unplugging the AC line cord from the wall, then replugging it. This condition is symptomatic of

a power supply problem in which Q204, a Texas Instruments TIP-29 located on the feature circuit board, fails.

A Philips ECG291 will work as a substitute for the TIP-29. Don't try a Radio Shack substitute, it hasn't worked. See Martin Toomajian's article, "Bearcat 250 Erratic Display Cure", in January 1987 Monitoring Times.

A similar problem in the Bearcat 20/20 was discussed previously in the section on bad solder joints.

Matt Roberds repaired the Montgomery Wards version of a BC220 and contributed these insights:

"I did add some heat-sinks, but you have to be careful what you do with the TIP29 on the logic board. I added a long, flat heatsink to it and immediately created a whine in the audio. I didn't use an insulator, as this was a temporary first-try. As far as I can tell, the heatsink was radiating noise from the logic board into the front end on the radio board, which ended up being just below the heatsink. Bypassing the TIP29 (a voltage regulator) with disc ceramics didn't work; using a smaller heatsink and an insulator fixed the problem."

"There is also a 220 ohm 1/4 watt resistor on the logic board. It feeds the TIP29 from the main +16v supply. It looked a little crispy. I pulled one end and measured the current through it and it was about 30-35 mA. This works out to right at 0.2-0.25 watt dissipation. I didn't have a higher-wattage resistor, so I substituted 2 470-ohm resistors in parallel."

Symptom: Squelch Won't Eliminate White Noise

Most Uniden/Bearcat base/mobile scanners feature an AUTO squelch position, actuated by rotating the squelch knob fully counter clockwise. The BC350 used a separate pushbutton switch for this purpose. These scanners use a flimsy potentiometer (designated R81 in BC300s) internally mounted on the RF circuit board, to set the level of signal required to open the squelch when in the AUTO position. This pot also has an effect on the squelch action in the non-AUTO mode, and determines at which point the squelch knob must be positioned in order to silence the radio.

Although the potentiometer is adjusted at the factory, changes in component values due to aging often necessitate readjustment of this internal pot. Misadjustment of this pot has been the cause of "no squelch" complaints in two BC300s and a BC250 I fixed.

Another squelch failure is due to a blown transistor that acts as the electronic switch in the squelch circuit. I replaced this transistor in only one BC300, so I don't know if this is a common problem.

Symptom: Scanner Completely Dead

In Bearcat scanners using an internal power supply (e.g., BC350, BC250, etc.), the main power transformer is connected directly to the AC line. Since the on/off switch is on the secondary side of the transformer, current flows in the primary as long as the AC line cord is plugged into an active AC outlet. These transformers contain an internal circuit breaker, not visible without unwinding (destroying) the transformer. The internal breaker is known to fail prematurely in a batch of Bearcat power transformers.

If your scanner is completely dead, check the primary of this transformer for an open circuit condition.

Symptom: Keyboard Bounce

After much use, the Chromerics keyboards in Bearcat scanners start to wear out. The first sign of trouble is usually keyboard bounce on the most frequently used key, e.g., the MANUAL key. Replacement keyboards are usually available from UNIDEN, but replacement requires dexterity, as one must take care not to tear the flat, flexible strip connecting the keyboard to the logic board.

Symptom: Keyboard Completely Unresponsive

The keyboard matrix is "scanned" by the microprocessor. Another problem is when none of the keys seems to function; the receiver just keeps scanning in spite of key depressions. I found this condition in a BC210XL scanner owned by a heavy smoker. Perhaps nicotine smoke was to blame, as the resistance between two input port pins on the microprocessor was down to about 1000 ohms, fooling the microprocessor into believing that a key was stuck in the "down" position. Scraping the circuit board between the two pins with an X-Acto knife fixed the problem.

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable
Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to

switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail.

BC9000XLT: Loss of Sensitivity

John Ward has fixed two BC9000XLTs which suffered from "low sensitivity." He fixed the solder joint where the antenna jack connects to the circuit board. In both cases the tab from the board was on the opposite side of the jack from where the little blob of solder applied at the factory was located.

It was an intermittent problem that depended upon the position of the antenna cable - if the weight of the cable placed tension on the jack in one direction contact was made and the radio worked fine. If the cable placed tension on the jack in the opposite direction contact was lost and so was reception. The gap was barely noticeable.

Other Problems

Complaints of low audio output and occasional microphonics in three Uniden/Bearcat 800XLTs were caused by a bad 47 ufd electrolytic capacitor in series between the external speaker jack and audio amplifier.

Andy Domonkos reports he often uses a tape recorder connected to a Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. RL-1, the carrier activated relay inside the BC890XLT wore out. Andy found the identical relay sold at Radio Shack (part #275-232) and says the BC9000XLT uses the same relay, too.

Replacement Bulbs for BC760XLT

The Uniden BC760XLT's SCAN, MAN, PRI, and HOLD buttons are illuminated by "grain of wheat" type incandescent bulbs. Gary Saffer reports that the bulbs and buttons are located on a small printed circuit board which sits behind the radio's face plate. Gary unsoldered the burned out bulbs in his radio and replaced them with 12 volt Radio Shack bulbs (#272-1092c). They should last a long time when powered by the 8 VDC supplied by the BC760XLT.

Open Resistor in BC140

Robert Casey fixed his deaf, 16 channel Bearcat BC140 scanner. A 10 ohm resistor had opened that fed a circuit that generates 22V for the varactor diode tuner circuits. Without the 22V, there was no tuning of the local oscillator and front end, and no reception. What he did was compare voltages to a second working BC140.

Sources for Replacement NiCd Battery Packs

Replacement NiCd battery packs and inserts for the BC100XLT, BC200XLT, BC2500XLT, BC3000XLT, and other scanners are available from Uniden. I've purchased them at lower cost from:

1. Mr. NiCd - E. H. Yost and Company, 2211-D Parview Rd., Middleton, WI 53562. tel. (608)831-3443. email ehyost@midplains.net

2. Battery-Tech Central, 2818 Southland Street SW, Cedar Rapids, IA 52404-4141. tel. (800)267-3087 or (319)364-0855. email rlassoc@inav.net

Batteries Plus has stores across they country and some have rebuilt Uniden NiCd packs for under $20.

--

==========
Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

4942. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <7k8us2$esk@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 115258
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 1999 - NORTHERN ILLINOIS
last updated May 10, 1999

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Rick D. Wilson, Tom Harker, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

JUNE 1999

Jun 6 (Sun), Princeton, IL - Starved Rock RC. Bureau County Fairgrounds. Exit 56 from I-80. Admission $6 at gate. Talk-in 146.955, -600, PL103.5 Hz. info: Frank Carraro, KF9NZ, PO Box 198, Leonore, IL 61332/ 815-856-3773. http://www.qsl.net/w9mks/ E-mail: w9mks@qsl.net. (Usually an excellent hamfest. Unlimited space outdoor flea market, some indoor space, though few big name commercial vendors. Crude restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

Jun 13 (Sun), Wheaton, IL - Dupage County Fairgrounds. Six Meter Club of Chicago. Gates open 7 AM, buildings 8 AM. Tickets $6 at the gate. No booze. Recorded InfoLine: 312-442-4961. VE testing 9 - 11 AM only. Call InfoLine to preregister for testing. info: Joseph Gutwein, WA9RIJ, 7109 Blackburn Ave., Downers Grove, IL 60516-3925. tel. 630-963-4922.

Jun 13 (Sun), Orland Park, IL Giant Computer Show & Sale (see below). orland Park Civic Center.

JULY 1999

Jul 11 (Sun), Peotone, IL. Kankakee Area Radio Society. Will County fairgrounds. Admission $5 at the gate. Take I-57 to Peotone Exit 327 (east). 146.94 MHz (-600) talk-in. info: Don Kerouac, K9NR, 6311 East Flora St., Kankakee, IL 60901. tel. 815-939-7548, E-mail: k9nr@juno.com. http://www.geocities.com/capecanaveral/hangar/5711 For Reserved Tables and Advanced Tickets, send check (payable to KARS) and S.A.S.E. to: CHIP MOORE, K9IOC, 289 S. Euclid Ave. Bradley, IL 60915, E-Mail: chipm@megsinet.net or to BILL DESALVO, W9OQW, 403 Birch Dr., Shorewood, IL 60431. 815-744-5099.

Jul 18 (Sun), Sugar Grove, IL. Fox River Radio League. Waubonsee Community College. For tables, tickets, and information: contact N9UZC James E. Von Olnhausen, n9uzc@amsat.org, (630)-879-3042, FRRL, Box 673, Batavia, IL 60510-0673. http://www.frrl.org/hamfest.html (good, small to midsized hamfest. Easy parking. Modern restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

AUGUST 1999

Aug 7 (Sat) - Quincy, IL. Western Illinois Amateur Radio Club Ham Radio and Computer SWAPFEST 1999. 8:00AM to 2:00PM. The Eagles Alps Grounds (3737 North 5th Street) 4.5 miles north of downtown Quincy with easy access from US Highway 24. Admission $3.00 at the Gate ($2.50 in advance) per person, under 12 - Free. ARRL VEC testing for all license classes at 12:30PM. Indoor Flea Market space available for $5.00 which includes one 5' table. Outdoor tailgating space - Free. Talk-in on 147.63/147.03 MHz. Plenty of convenient parking available. Lunch will be served on the grounds. Children's playground area. For additional information contact N9JF, jfunk@adams.net

Aug 8 (Sun), Peotone, IL - Hamfesters Radio Club. Will County fairgrounds. info: Dave Brasel, NF9N, 6933 West 110th St., Worth, IL 60482. E-mail: nf9n@aol.com. tel. 708-448-0580 (Usually an excellent hamfest - AJ9S).

Aug 14 (Sat), Wheaton, IL - Giant Computer show (see below). Dupage County Fairgrounds.

Aug 29 (Sun), Woodstock, IL. Tri-County Radio Group. McHenry County fairgrounds. Talk-in: 146.52 Simplex. info: Bob Grosse, N9KXG, PO Box 3107, Skokie, IL 60077-6107 E-mail: tcrg@hotmail.com, http://quality-enterprises.com/tcrg/. Tickets: Advance With Double Stub $4.00 - At Gate $5.00 Single Stub - $6.00 With Double Stub . Exhibit Building: 8:00 A.M. - 3:00 P.M. Table Rental: $14.00 For 8' Table. Flea Market: 6:00 A.M. - 3:00 P.M. No Additional Charge For Flea Market Space Info (708) 944-0500. Fax (847) 658-1678. (fairly good, small to mid sized hamfest - AJ9S).

SEPTEMBER 1999

Sept 25, 26 (Sat & Sun), Grayslake, IL Chicago FM Club. Lake County fairgrounds. info: Mike Brost, WA9FTS, 5127 North Monterey Ave., Norridge, IL 60656-3245. tel. 708-457-0966 (the biggest and best hamfest in northern IL - AJ9S)

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5 admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am - 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows are usually held at the Orland Park Civic Center, 147th St. & LaGrange Rd., Orland Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fairgrounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admission is $6. Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer expo. The event is held on designated Saturdays from 9:30 AM to 3:00 PM. This show features computers, supplies, games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors, diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables, expansion boards, services, etc. Lake County Fairgrounds, Route 120 (Belvidere Rd.) & Route 45, in Main Exhibit Hall Building #1=9720,000 sq. feet. For a show map, exhibitor info, and discount coupon, visit the web site at http://www.ccxpo.com.

--

==========

Copyright 1999, Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com
Locate & identify transmitters in your area with a color RadioMap(tm)

4943. Re: FS: PRO 90 TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7k8vuk$7n5$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115259

Rick Colombo wrote in message <3767FBFD.AC9698E9@fnal.gov>...
>Speedbaron wrote:
>
>> RadioShack PRO90 Trunk Tracker (mint condition) ..... $200 o.b.o
>>
>
>Weren't they just on sale at RS for $199??? (Or was that the Pro91?)

It was the PRO-91. The PRO 90 lists for $299 normally, and when it goes on sale it usually sells for $249 I think.... not sure.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4944. Re: Operating Scanner Near Computers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <3769056a.230339474@news.uia.net>
Source record: 115260

OK that makes sense but I've worked in places where the scanners are surrounded by computers and the scanner reception is still good. How are they getting such good reception?

On Wed, 16 Jun 1999 14:21:44 -0500, "Spunky The Waffle Iron" <@.> wrote:

>No, because your power supply in your computer and your monitor in general generate too much electromagnetic trash.
>
>| I recently bought a RS TrunkTracker base model. When I installed it
>| in my den it worked great. Reception was adequate at both low freq's
>| (39MHz) and high freq's (800MHz). When I took the scanner into the
>| rom where the computers are I picked up a lot of interference and
>| reception was substantially degraded.
>

4945. New Version of MacHam Released

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: tim@neudecker.org
Message-ID: <1dti0b3.trt0sx1uutlqiN@[206.215.174.214]>
Source record: 115261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.neudecker.org/~macham

Macintosh Owners - There is a Call Sign database made just for you. Not a copy of a PC program but a program made on the Macintosh for the Macintosh.

MacHam is CD-ROM based and sells for $30 (includes shipping in the USA and local tax if needed).

MacHam will run on any macintosh with a 68020 or better processor and a CDROM drive and 10megs of RAM.

Free Downloadable Demo Available

For details visit

http://www.neudecker.org/~macham

4946. Re: FS: PRO 90 TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <376a05e5.230463050@news.uia.net>
Source record: 115262

Pro-90's were intro'ed at $199 but have since gone up to $299.

On Wed, 16 Jun 1999 14:33:17 -0500, Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
wrote:

>Speedbaron wrote:
>
>> RadioShack PRO90 Trunk Tracker (mint condition) ..... $200 o.b.o
>>
>
>Weren't they just on sale at RS for $199??? (Or was that the Pro91?)
>
>Rick Colombo@fnal.gov
>BC100, BC235, Pro90, Pro2038

4947. Using BC895XLT as Cross-Band Repeater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: kt4ht@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990616162454.25677.00000489@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 115263

Anyone ever use the BC895XLT to make a one-waycross-band repeater? I figure that you can transmit using an HT to the BC895XLT, then use the AUX jack relay to key another 2 meter rig, and the line-out to the rig's audio input.

As long as you are in receiving range of a repeater, and transmit range of the BC895XLT, this should work.

Anyone ever try this?

4948. Re: Pro-2009

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: m <shinysideup@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7k94c2$6ee@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 115264
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yea, clip diode number 9. I think or was it 6?

Robert J.N. Speirs wrote:

> Can a Pro-2009 be modified?

4949. Frequency WebCatcher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: GB <spamblok@block.net>
Message-ID: <7k94vj$i0d$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 115265
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

Are you also spending a lot of time typing over those frequencies from websites to get them in your database or radiocontrol software. Stop typing...

A new program called "WebCatcher" will make things much easier. WebCatcher will scan any website and filter out all frequencies shown on that website. The result is available at the Windows Clipboard so you can export to any Wordprocessor, Spreadsheet, Database or radio control software that supports the clipboard. Both IE and Netscape are supported.

Check it out (with demo) at:

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/9163/impdata.html

GB

4950. Baltimore PD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrg1std@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990616173511.20182.00000401@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 115266

Is Baltimore PD still using the 453 radio system or the 800 system ?

4951. Re: Decent AR8200 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andy Thompson <at@usa.net>
Message-ID: <7k95h1iin1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 115267
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I use a program called ARC8200. It does not have sound recording option but can log data and has a nice spectrum analyser option.

And the memory manager is just splendid. The software is easy to use and has a natural touch with very clever options..

Site with info is and demo:

http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/9163/arc.html

Andy T.

Neal Bedwell <neal.bedwell@virgin.net> wrote in message news:7k8lgu$se9$1@nclient5-gui.server.virgin.net...
> Greetings All
>
> I have just bought an AR8200. I am very pleased with the radio itself but,
> like many others from the sound of it, I am disappointed in the software
> supplied for it by AOR.

4952. Re: NEED A SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7k95lo$9ho$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115268

Bull Dog wrote in message <4137-3767DE11-13@newsd-113.bryant.webtv.net>...
I need a scanner to help pass the time. I do not mean to poor mouth but
I have chronic kidney failure and several other health problems that
prevent me from working or even getting out of the house. I had a
scanner and it has quit, miss it very bad. I just don't have the money
to replace it, just a fact I am not proud of but the truth. Anyone who
has a old scanner that still works and you don't use it anymore could
make a old disable person very happy if you wanted to send it to me.
Thanks and God bless you all.

Dennis,

In light of your condition, you might have better luck getting a local comm
shop to donate the time needed to repair the old one. Worth a try.

Also call around to the various pawn shops and thrift stores in your area.
You might be surprised to hear how cheaply some of them will sell a scanner,
and most will let you haggle the price a bit...

Good luck,
-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4953. Re: Laptop for sale $100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
Message-ID: <3767d5d4@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 115269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Phil Smalley wrote in message <7k68vq$6in$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>
>Don Bertone wrote in message <3765D356.42056A1F@flash.net>...
>>Does it have a mouse and if so where?
>
>
>I had the Contura 486/25 which suceeded this model. The "mouse" is really a
>little trackball that is integrated into the case. It's a neat design, but
>you have to keep the trackball immaculately clean or it will skip - the
>mouse will not move accurately. The nice thing about this computer is that
>it's small. Mine weighed less than 7 pounds.

I have one of these, and love it. It is nice & small. It has a floppy drive that plugs into the PCMCIA slot. I also use a mouse connected to the serial port, which is much easier to use than the trackball. You are right, the trackball is particularly bad about skipping when it gets dirty, which is one reason I got a serial mouse for it. Luckily, the software is set up to detect the mouse, if not there it uses the trackball. I wish the screen were color instead of monochrome, but it does the job I use it for. BTW, mine's not for sale.

Tom

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

4954. Re: MORE ON THE MIND CONTROL CRIME IN THE CLEVELAND AREA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
Message-ID: <3767d6e6@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 115270

CAPaliwoda wrote in message
<19990524213431.08832.00007822@ng-ch1.aol.com>...
>MORE ON THE MIND CONTROL CRIME IN THE CLEVELAND AREA:
>_____
>TYPE OF RADIATION USED FOR MIND CONTROL
>

blah blah blah....

Watch out for those black helicopters, too!

I am a police dispatcher by profession, so hearing this is nothing new to
me. Just don't make any sudden movements around these people!

I don't drink to have a good time, I drink to stop the voices in my head...

Tom

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

4955. Re: Best Freq CD ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <37683cb6@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 115271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Kingfish <king_fish@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:7k8eegmgu1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> After having purchased the latest edition of "Police Call" I've decided
to
> look for a good frequency reference on CD-ROM. I've noticed that there are
> several "brands" out there, including CD-ROMs by Mr. Scanner, Grove
> Enterprises, Betty Bearcat and some others.
>
> Has anyone done a comparison of these? Which one is the best for
general
> scanner frequencies?
>

Percon, without a doubt is the best I've used.

Dan

4956. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al & Lo <alXlo@valinet.com>
Message-ID: <376823C9.E1774807@valinet.com>
Source record: 115272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill has been very helpful to me, he takes the time to answer questions
others may consider "NEWBIE"
That's Good enough for me

--
Please remove the X From allo to reply, it cuts the junk mail by 85%
Thank you

4957. WBT: med. dudy antenna rotor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jesse Dosher <jdosher@u.washington.edu.removethis>
Message-ID: <hzV93.2679$Ma1.39370@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115273
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,seattle.forsale.misc

I'm looking for a, mast mount antenna rotor for a few small to medium ham antennas. If anyone has a spare that's going unused I'd be interested in it. thanks. Preferably in the Seattle area so we don't have to deal with shipping.

Jesse Dosher, KD7DLV
206-527-6218
jdosher@u.washington.edu.remove [remove the ".remove" part]

4958. Re: MORE ON THE MIND CONTROL CRIME IN THE CLEVELAND AREA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7k98hpaeg1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115274

Tom Sevart wrote in message <3767d6e6@news5.newsfeeds.com>...
>
>Watch out for those black helicopters, too!
>
>I am a police dispatcher by profession, so hearing this is nothing new to
>me. Just don't make any sudden movements around these people!
>
>I don't drink to have a good time, I drink to stop the voices in my head...

A friend of mine works at LAPD as a civilian volunteer. His duties usually involve answering the phone, and as a result, he usually handles calls from any of 3 different "Laser Ladies" who claim that the police helicopter is shining laser beams thru their homes and bodies, and the "F--k You" lady who calls once a day to ask for an officer by name, then tell that officer "f--k you" and hang up.

What a wonderful world we live in.... ;-)

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4959. Re: Want to see Porkbutt get his NAL? Vote NOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Kendrick <n7nt@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <37682AD3.A3BDFA34@primenet.com>
Source record: 115275

Phil Smalley wrote:

> Who is porkbutt,

I don't know, but one or two candidates come to mind.

> and what is a NAL?

This is a Notice of Apparent Liability, the FCC's new name for a fine.

> I'm just curious. I take it Mr. "Porkbutt" did something nasty? If so can
> you post what that was?

Yes, inquiring minds would like to know.

4960. Re: BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeff.adams@gscxyz.gte.com
Message-ID: <37692914.509223985@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com>
Source record: 115276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What's the best rumor for when the 895 replacement is due out? If the
235 is being replaced with the 245, it seems it would just be a matter
of time for the 895 replacement to come on the scene...???

======CORRECT EMAIL: remove the xyz====================================
| Jeff.Adams@gscxyz.gte.com) | GTE Electronic Systems Division |
| 650-966-2122 | Mountain View, CA U.S.A. |
| All opinions are mine and not my employer or internet access provider. |
==

4961. Re: Want to see Porkbutt get his NAL? Vote NOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <ZgW93.248$U6.1846@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 115277

Richard Kendrick wrote in message <37682AD3.A3BDFA34@primenet.com>...

>Yes, inquiring minds would like to know.

As long as whatever he did had something to do with scanning. If it's some ham radio conflict, then keep it in those newsgroups.

73 de Dave, NF2G

4962. Re: BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7k9bp5bcm1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 115278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jeff Adams wrote in message <37692914.509223985@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com>...
>What's the best rumor for when the 895 replacement is due out?

Logic would dictate it will be released when it's ready to be released. <g>

Seriously, if the companies themselves won't tell us, what makes you think anyone else will?

If I did know when/if/where it was to be released, I'd probably not be able to tell you anyhow without being sued.

Just be patient and wait....

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

4963. W.T.B. Pro 43 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Donald Banks <k5drb@cei.net>
Message-ID: <avW93.2$99.163064838@news.cei.net>
Source record: 115279

I would like to buy a Pro 43 Scanner made by Realistic. If anyone has one for sale would you please contact me.

Thanks,

Donald

4964. Re: Radio Shack Frequency Counter - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <376834b7$0$2479@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 115280

Yeah, it's junk as far as what scannists are interested in. You'd be better
off with an Optoelectronics Scout or Cub.

Alan Price <career1@home.com> wrote in message
news:3767DDC5.D122A790@home.com...
> It does not respond to anything other than very, very strong signals.
> Return it, I did.
> Alan
> W1HYV
>
> Dunn's Chophouse wrote:
> >
> > I just bought a Radio Shack freq counter. The salesman demonstrated it
> > by holding an FRS xcvr next to it and transmiting. I thought that if I
> > connected it to my Scantenna, it might catch some nearby signals, but it
> > did not, that I could tell. (A couple of frequencies were briefly
> > displayed in the 120-170 Mhz range, but the unit would not hold them.)
> > I'll try to use a broadband amp as a next step, but maybe I'm wasting my
> > time in that the counter is for close work (in the range of meters)
> > exclusively. Any comments?
> >
> > --
> > -
> > --
> > ---
> > ----Dunn's Chophouse
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4965. Re: FS: PRO 90 TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37683519$0$2488@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 115281

They often have them down to $150 at Radio Shack when they have a sale.
I'll give you $100 for a good used one, as the BC 245 is coming out soon.

Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov> wrote in message
news:3767FBFD.AC9698E9@fnal.gov...
> Speedbaron wrote:
>
> > RadioShack PRO90 Trunk Tracker (mint condition) ..... $200 o.b.o
> >
>
> Weren't they just on sale at RS for $199??? (Or was that the Pro91?)
>
> Rick Colombo@fnal.gov
> BC100, BC235, Pro90, Pro2038
>

4966. FS antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chuckk <chuckk@kerrlake.com>
Message-ID: <3768382A.3F2EE068@kerrlake.com>
Source record: 115282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Dual band fiberglass Base antenna 144-148 440-450
6db on 2 8db on 440 200 watts 98.5 inches
NEW $75

Dual band mobile NMO mount 144-148 440-450
3db on 2 5db on 440 200 watts 38 inches

NEW $21

Dual band mobile NMO mount 150-158 450-470
3db on 2 5db on 440 200 watts center load

NEW $21

Chuckk
KB2AHZ
804-372-0614

4967. What is the best home based antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Duffield <duffield@jomax.net>
Message-ID: <929579213.172.71@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115283

I have an Icom IC-R7100. What is the best broad band antenna for base station use.

4968. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <376840F0.702CB22E@INVALID.com>
Source record: 115284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Clint Bradford wrote:
>
> > Bill and Cindy Cheek have demonstrably performed more for the enjoyment
> > of our hobby than anyone else.
>
> I agree with this totally!
>
> > Theirs is a long history of providing first-rate information and
> > modifications for many scanners.
>
> "First Rate"?!?!?!?!?! Like when he said to use a metallic toolto adjust a
> RF oscillator!!!! Any first term engineering student knows that
> is wrong!!!
>

Aw jeeez. Here he goes with that mental "metalic tool" bit again. You'll get used to this bit after a while. Loony boy starts ranting every now and then about this "metalic tool" bit like it'll bring western civilization to its knees or something.

He read it in his Police Call book and he's been ranting and raving about it for years. Totally loony. Goes off on this obscure reference over and over and over and over: "SQUAWK!!!.....mETALIC tOol!.....SQUAWK!...RF OsCIllator......SQUAWK!!!!!". It used to be funny. But after you see him go into this "fit" about 20 or 30 times, you just shake your head.

Mackey's a friggin' loon.

> > Detractors with chips on their shoulders - for whatever
> > reasons. Envy immediately comes to mind. Whatever.
>
> Perhaps those detractors you mention are those that have beenwronged in the
> past by him??
>
> > Anyway, read all the replies to your post. Filter out the emotional ones
> > and dismiss the ranting. There's plenty of ways you can
>
> Are you now suggesting he ignore your post?

See what I mean? He works in a facility that specializes in "disturbed" people. His father has worked there and got him the job so he can keep an eye on him. And it's cheaper than admitting him.

No sh*t.

Total loon.

A friggin' loon.

4969. Re: RS PRO radio scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zermol Schrechpente <folsbun@rospick.net>
Message-ID: <7k905m$8od$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 115285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a regular Pro-26 and it tunes just fine between 512 and 518. Where did you get your info. It is wrong. It is cell blocked but you can buy a European CPU chip, install it and you have total coverage from 25 to 1300 Mhz with no gaps at all. The CPU chip is 100 pins and requires special equipment and knowledge to install but there are places that will do it for you. The chip alone is $60. The area between 520 and 760 is largely UHF TV stations and you can receive their sound. Some military aircraft here too and wireless mics. I like my Pro-26 and Pro-2045 both better than my old 2006 because of features. The "data" feature is reallly nice. If data and not voice is on a freq. it just skips through it and doesn't lock on and make you listen to all the racket. The 2006 is the least sensitive of all those mentioned. They are all triple conversion units and if you want more sensitivity, try a dual conversion but you will get more intermod.

Robert J.N. Speirs wrote in message ...
>The Realistic PRO-2004 base model radio scanner offers 25-520 and 760-
>1300 MHz frequencies and 300 channels.
>
>The Realistic PRO-2005/2006 base model radio scanner offers 25-520 and
>760-1300 MHz frequencies and 400 channels.
>
>The Realistic PRO-26 handheld radio scanner offers 25-512 and 518-1300
>MHz frequencies and 200 channels.
>
>Although the PRO-26 offers less channels (200 channels is quite
>sufficient), it offers greater frequency range:
>
>PRO-2004/2005/2006 offer 512-518 MHz, not 520-760 MHz.
>PRO-26 does not offer 512-518 MHz, yet offers 520-760 MHz.
>
>Either may be purchase unblocked.
>
>Everytime I have asked a person whether to purchase a base or a handheld
>model, I have been told to purchase a base model because better reception
>and more sensitivity.
>
>Does a base model provide better reception and more sensitivity solely if
>an exterior antenna is used? In other words, if I used a PRO-2004/5/6 and
>a PRO-26 in my home, solely with their own attached attennas, no
>exterior, would they perform almost equally well.
>
>The PRO-26 offers a greater frequency range. Which range is more
>active/interesting: 512-518 or 520-760 MHz?

4970. iCOM IC-R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: CFA3@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23426-37688C8B-127@newsd-152.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115286

What is the word on this unit. I have one, I bought used. I understand it is not well liked. Talk to me. Please

4971. Re: iCOM R2 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11d1b4d56f1822f19897ef@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115287

Actually, if you read the reviews that have been done, the R2 is the "most sensitive" scanner available on the market right now. Second would be the MVT-7100 Yupiteru. I hear things on the R2 others don't even know are there. Especially the AOR and Bearcat scanners.

4972. Re: What is the best home based antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11d1fa0d36ceff5d9897f2@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115288

My personal favorite is the "Monitenna" by Channel Master. I have owned nearly every reputable discone and active type (Diamond) antenna around and have found that the Monitenna offers the highest gain from 27-1000mhz PERIOD. The fact it is only $50.00 with 50ft of 100% shielded RG-6 is a real bonus. You can spend more and you will only get less. I got mine form Scanners Unlimited in San Carlos, CA. (650) 573-1624

4973. Re: How secure are "digital" 900Mhz phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerhead@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7k6r76$620$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115303

In article <376763d9.9626944@enews.newsguy.com>,
jhalver44@aol.com (Jimmy) wrote:
> Can these be listened to? If not, what do you think it would take to
listen
> in? Will we see scanners capable of it within 5 years?
>
> I want to buy a new cordless, but really don't want anyone listening
in.
>

Stay away from Panasonic!!!!!!!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

4974. Re: Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: xxxphilj@ecc-uky.campuscwix.net
Message-ID: <7k6pqgcbo1@news.campuscwix.net>
Source record: 115304

The local Radio Shack in your area, maybe.

In article <01beb4f4$d031d820$25fa48a6@default>, MFCARRO@prodigy.net
says...
>
>What would be the best source for scanner frequencies

4975. Portland Maine Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Bessey <io30031@nlis.net>
Message-ID: <01beb790$9be98a60$876bb3d1@tony>
Source record: 115305
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for frequencies in the Greater Portland Maine area. Interested
in all material (i.e.. amusements, police, public service, etc.)

--
Tony Bessey
io30031@nlis.net

4976. Re: BC 895xlt: Pros and Cons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeff.adams@gscxyz.gte.com
Message-ID: <3766fd87.432539468@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com>
Source record: 115306

On Tue, 15 Jun 1999 17:37:10 GMT, Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:

>I would like to hear opinions on the BC895xlt. Would this be a good
>time to buy one. I mean is there a replacement on the horizon? Pros and
>cons please. Is there a better radio for a similar price? Thanks!!!!

I've had one for 6 or 8 months and it works very well. But be aware
that at some point in time, Uniden is likely to announce the 895
replacement. I.E., they have already announced the 245, the next
generation trunk tracking handheld (replaces the 235). So the 895 is
ripe for replacement. The question is, when?

======CORRECT EMAIL: remove the xyz====================================
| Jeff.Adams@gscxyz.gte.com) | GTE Electronic Systems Division |
| 650-966-2122 | Mountain View, CA U.S.A. |
| All opinions are mine and not my employer or internet access provider. |
==

4977. FS:Uniden Bearcat BC220XLT Handheld Scanner Item #116391884

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shawn Marks <sem@greenepa.net>
Message-ID: <37670E79.B88AF223@greenepa.net>
Source record: 115307

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=116391884

Uniden Bearcat BC220XLT Handheld Scanner 200 Channel. This scanner is in

great condition. Scanner includes power supply, belt clip, battery,
owner's manual, frequency guide. Features are as follows: 200 Channels,
12 Bands including Aircraft & 800 MHz, Twin Turbo Search and Scan Modes,

Preprogrammed Service Search, Unique Data Skip, Programmable Search
Mode, Full Frequency LCD Display, Rechargeable Ni-Cd Battery. Good Luck
to all bidders. Winner pays $8.00 Shipping, Handling, & Insurance.
Seller will ship within one business day of receiving money order.

smarks72

4978. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7k72m9$ooj@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

>
>On Tue, 15 Jun 1999 18:37:04 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
>>Bill Cheek is a man who lives near San Diego.

why not just make known how you
are biased against bill cheek....

boy did your hate for bill
speak loudly here

what have you done that bill
was able to do for others?

gee...what a guy?
... ass-gas is thee.

bill conduit
etc.

4979. Re: Wideband scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990615225931.17801.00000001@ng-bz1.aol.com>
Source record: 115309

Buy the R-10

4980. Want to see Porkbutt get his NAL? Vote NOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: n0vfp@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990615230602.15055.00000031@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 115310

Want to see Porkbutt get his NAL? Vote NOW!

http://homestead.deja.com/user.n0vfp/Porkbuttvote.html

LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4
Athens, Alabama EM64
ATS 909, DX302, DX390 - TINYTENNA for SW
ICOM 706 for 2m and 6m FM and SSB
WEB PAGES:
http://members.tripod.com/~N0VFP
http://www.erols.com/imageinn/dwm/

4981. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Daniel Martin <dwmartin@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <01beb7a6$fcd31a60$LocalHost@ns.idirect.com>
Source record: 115311
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>
> In fact, they are seperate radios for each band. They may be tied
> to one control head, but they are seperate.
>
> You have to buy the band you need. Try dialing 88.0 into one, and you
> will find it just won't go.

I once saw a hand held radio by either Icom or Yeasu that would easliy tx
from 2m to at least 470, continiously . The guy used it as an extended
garage door opener. , If I remember correctly, he could tx around 300 mhz.

DAn

4982. Re: NYPD Slang

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: fd347@aol.compostpile
Message-ID: <19990615233214.27488.00000032@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 115312

>what does "aided" mean?
>As in "the aided doesn't want to press charges." Is it just the opposite of
>"suspect,"

"Aided" is an injured person. It could be the complainant or the perp. The term is probably derived from "first aid". Also, a card that the officer must fill out if someone needs EMS or hospitalization is called an "aided" card.

Frank Raffa
Supv. Dispatcher FDNY
http://members.aol.com/fd347/

4983. FEMA alert freqs questions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7k76k1$2sh@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115313

In last week's issue of TIME magazine, in the back of the mag is an ad for an "All Hazards Alert Radio." It receives the standard NOAA freqs but also claims to receive FEMA and disaster freqs. My questions are:

Which freqs does FEMA use that I could monitor for "alert" purposes?

Anyone know what else this radio scans for?

THANKS!

4984. FS: Bearcat 200xlt Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dominic Dambro <deep_grv@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <yvG93.3610$Pz5.19@news2.mco>
Source record: 115314

I have a cellular-restored Uniden Bearcat BC 200xlt for sale. $200.00 or best offer. Please respond by e-mail.

deep_grv@bellsouth.net

4985. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37673FB8.3E17B338@usa.net>
Source record: 115315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Macaroon wrote:

> On 14 Jun 1999 15:47:46 GMT, myway@ix.netcom.com(BAYCITVIDGRP) wrote:
> >> the process was working so swell.
> >> Kindly refrain from this expanse of
>
> If you believe this, congratulations. Retard boy will never just go away
> unless he gets hit by a truck. He enjoys trolling too much. His lack of
> knowledge shines through by his consistent ability to post off topic.

TRY IT!!!! Simply try ignoring Bill Conduit & see. If not, then you
can always rent a truck & try your other plan on him!!! <VBG>

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

4986. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37673E64.313FBEBB@usa.net>
Source record: 115316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:

> >On Tue, 15 Jun 1999 18:37:04 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >>Bill Cheek is a man who lives near San Diego.
>
> why not just make known how you
> are biased against bill cheek....

I am not giased against Bill Cheek, infact I happen to like the man.Remember, I was one of FIRST to suggest we raise money for his defense fund when he ran into recent legal problems with the feds!

> boy did your hate for bill
> speak loudly here

Tell me, what exactly did I say "here" that would show a hate for Bill?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

4987. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376740F2.F83DC13C@usa.net>
Source record: 115317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Clint Bradford wrote:

> Bill and Cindy Cheek have demonstrably performed more for the enjoyment
> of our hobby than anyone else.

I agree with this totally!

> Theirs is a long history of providing first-rate information and
> modifications for many scanners.

"First Rate"?!?!?!?!?! Like when he said to use a metallic toolto adjust a RF oscillator!!!! Any first term engineering student knows that is wrong!!!

> Detractors with chips on their shoulders - for whatever
> reasons. Envy immediately comes to mind. Whatever.

Perhaps those detractors you mention are those that have beenwronged in the past by him??

> Anyway, read all the replies to your post. Filter out the emotional ones
> and dismiss the ranting. There's plenty of ways you can

Are you now suggesting he ignore your post?

4988. Re: Wideband scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerfreq@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23209-37673AD6-131@newsd-143.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115318

Buy the iCOM R-10 !!!!!

4989. Re: Laptop for sale $100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <V%H93.14988$G01.398846@news3.giganews.com>
Source record: 115319

Lower in price. 100 Bucks sounds fair to me.
Joseph Gilmore <jgilmore1@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25850-376713B8-35@newsd-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Why are you selling it? Would you go lower in price?
>

4990. handheld amp question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990616033930.26160.00000109@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 115320

is there a way to amplify a portable scanner with a telescoping antenna? i have
a pro 25.
email response is preferred

4991. Motorola SLK280s $95

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <376781D5.6484@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.outdoors.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

I have two top of the Motorola Line of FRS radios for sale. They
feature scanning of all 14 channels and 38 PL Tones, about two mile
range.
These come with the NiMH rechargeable batteries, two wall chargers
and a copy of the manual. These radios are in new condition. $190
for both shipped.
For more information check out the link.
http://www.mot.com/LMPS/RPG/NA/portables/talkabout/talkabout_280_color.html

4992. New Uniden??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: binfordv@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <376761a0.3288308@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115322

Anyone heard anything about the scanner that Uniden was coming out
with that will track Erricson/GE 800 MHz?
I thought it was scheduled to be available in June?

4993. Re: Radio Raft decoding software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kapou <kapou@cybercable.fr>
Message-ID: <376771A5.1292E0DC@cybercable.fr>
Source record: 115323

Ok, toutes mes excuses pour cette erreur, mais alors puis-je savoir sur quelles cartes mï¿½res le programme ne fonctionne pas ? Est-ce systï¿½matique sur les P5A de chez Asus? J'ai cherchï¿½ une solution en essayant plusieurs configurations ï¿½ partir du bios, et malheureusement, aucun succï¿½s...

Meilleures 73's.

4994. Sewer System Monitor ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jwschn@ntr.net>
Message-ID: <376772CE.FFF738DB@ntr.net>
Source record: 115324

Our local sewer system is having some type of RF device installed at
various stations. I suppose for transmitting alarm conditions and othe
data. Anyone have info on this such as freqs, etc.
John

4995. Re: Does anyone have a picture of John Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Midnight Stalker <"Midnight Stalker"@footstepsatmidnight.com>
Message-ID: <37676621.F0F79E1A@footstepsatmidnight.com>
Source record: 115325
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcment,alt.true-crime,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.alien.vampire.flonk.flonk.flonk

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> Send ($10 for B/W or $20 for color) and an SASE to me & I will
> send you back a personaly autographed 8x10 picture of me!
>
> PO Box 1586
> Beaverton, Oregon 97075
>
> sd@rth.com wrote:
>
> > If they do could they post it.
> > thank you
--
--
Oregon DMV Records

DMV is required by Oregon law to maintain vehicle and driver records.
These records are considered public records and are available by
making a request and paying a fee.

However, there are some circumstances where certain information
contained in DMV records cannot be disclosed.

This does not mean that DMV records are no longer available to the
public. DMV records are available with or without personal information.
Only the personal information contained in the record is protected.
Customers can still obtain records even if they don't qualify for
personal information, but the records they receive will be "sanitized"
to remove all personal information other than their own.

Any customer can purchase a sanitized record.

Oregon's Privacy Law

On September 13, 1997 Oregon's Record Privacy Law went into effect.
The purpose of the law is to make personal information contained in
DMV records private.

This protects Oregon citizens from having personal information in their
DMV records given out to individuals not authorized to receive it.

Personal Information is:

1.Driver license, driver permit, or identification card number
2.Name

3.Address
4.Telephone Number

What Records Are Available From DMV?
Please see the Fee Schedule for a list of records provided by DMV
and their fees.

How a Customer Can Order a Sanitized Record From DMV Headquarters

Customers can order a sanitized record from DMV Headquarters.
Sanitized records do not contain personal information unless the
person making the request is requesting their own information.

When you send in a written request for a sanitized record, you must
indicate the type of record you are requesting, where to send the
record, make a note in the request that you understand that the
personal information contained in the record will be omitted,
and enclose the correct fee.

You must include enough information in your request for DMV to
identify the correct record. This includes VIN number,
license plate number, and owner information for vehicle records;
and name, date of birth, address, and drivers license number for
driver records.

The request can be mailed to:
DMV Headquarters,
Attn: Record Services,
1905 Lana Ave. NE,
Salem, OR, 97314.

How a Customer Can Order Their Own Record From DMV Headquarters

Customers can request their own records from DMV. If you are
requesting a record that contains another person's personal
information as well as your personal information, (such as a vehicle
title history) your personal information will be included,
but the other person's will not. Remember that you must qualify
for personal information, and if you do not, records are sent
without personal information.

When ordering your own record from DMV headquarters, you must indicate
the type of record you are requesting, enclose the correct fee,
and include enough information in your request for DMV to identify
the correct record.

Requests For Records Containing Personal Information

Records containing personal information may be ordered using an
Request for Information Form.

Entities who are eligible to receive personal information must submit
a request to DMV Headquarters and must qualify each time information
is requested. This is done by completing a Request For Information Form,

submitting any required documentation proving that you qualify for
personal information, and enclosing the record fee.

Please call Customer Assistance at 503-945-5000 with any questions
regarding a Request For Information Form.

http://www.odot.state.or.us/dmv/records.htm

http://www.odot.state.or.us/dmv/index.html

John Mackey rents a Post Office Box. You can now obtain the
address for John Mackey's residence:

Subject: US Postal Service March 25, 1999 Postal Bulletin # 21994
"Commercial Mail Receiving Agency Mail (CMRA) Delivery Procedures
Revised.

Issue: On June 24, 1999 two million US Citizens who receive their mail
at a CMRA will be forced to provide, to both the CMRA and the
US Postal Service, significant private personal information or choose
to forgo their Rights to receive mail delivery.

If the box holder is using the address for doing business these
regulations authorize the disclosure of box holders'
"personal information" to anyone who asks for it,
not just law enforcement, not someone with a warrant,
* but anyone who asks for it!

* This information includes the personï¿½s home address, phone number
and ID data.

Prior to October 24, 1999 two million Citizens will be forced
to notify everyone that may ever send them mail in the future that
all future mail MUST be addressed using a "PMB" designator in front
of the CMRA customerï¿½s box number.

This designator must be on a separate line. If the mail does not have
the correct designator the Postal Service will refuse to deliver the
mail!

Why these regulations MUST be overturned immediately!

1) These regulations put millions of people in physical danger.
Stalkers can now easily find their victims.
Any businessperson can be harassed or worse, attacked at their family
home.

2) These regulations violate the "privacy" of millions of American.

On June 24, millions of Americans are forced to surrender their
Private Information.

This includes the copying of a picture ID and a "Traceable Number" ID.

Employees of both the CMRA and Postal Service will have access to
private data.

The USPS is forcing CMRAs to collect data that the USPS is forbid
from collecting.

5) These regulations are illegal.
Violate the Privacy Act, Postal Service Privacy Regulations (39

8) Who do these regulations hurt most?
Law abiding citizens who will be forced to relinquish their Rights
to privacy.
and Stalking Victims.

Identity theft criminals now have unprecedented access to private data.

10) Will the CMRA or the USPS be liable when;

A stalker attacks his victim after getting information from a CMRA?

Thousands of identities are cloned when personal records are stolen
en-mass.

"Commercial Mail Receiving Agency Mail (CMRA) Delivery Procedures
Revised:

http://www.postalwatch.org/points.htm

15.Why must the CMRAs supply quarterly lists of customers?

We do not believe a quarterly list is unduly burdensome to CMRAs.
We eliminated the requirement for CMRAs to immediately notify the
Postal Service of customers who have terminated their relationships,
and believe a quarterly basis will allow us to adequately monitor
CMRA compliance and to determine potential fraudulent activity.

The annual submission of the updated list of CMRA customers is
inadequate because the average customer turnover rate at CMRAs is
significant and recurrent.

16.What will happen to the CMRAs and how will the USPS enforce
it if the CMRAs:

Do not verify two forms of photo ids?

The local postmaster has the authority to suspend mail delivery to
a CMRA if it is not in full compliance with postal regulations.
The postmaster must notify the CMRA in writing of violations and
allow the CMRA reasonable time to comply. If the CMRA fails to comply,
the postmaster, with concurrence from a higher levelauthority,
will suspend mail delivery.

20.Are national credit cards and birth certificates considered
valid identification?

As stated on PS Form 1583, two types of identification are required, one must contain a photograph of the addressee(s). Acceptable means of identification include: driver's license; armed forces, government or recognized corporate identification card; passport or alien registration card or other credential showing the applicant's signature and a serial number or similar information that is traceable to the bearer. Other credentials considered valid identification include:

lease agreements, mortgage, deed, voter registration card or university identification. These forms of identification would have at least a name,
address, and in some instances a photo. Credit cards, birth certificates,
or social security cards do not contain enough information to confirm a person's identity.

What all of this means is that, if you can't get John Mackey's Residence address from the DMV, you can get John Mackey's address And SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER from the United States Postal Service (USPS) or from the company that operates John Mackey's Post Office Box(CMRA)

http://www.usps.gov/feedback/cmra_faq.htm

Stalking the stalkers is now Fun and Games, thanks to the United States Postal Service! Have You gone POSTAL lately?!

4996. Re: BC60XLT Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zermol Schrechpente <folsbun@rospick.net>
Message-ID: <7k7bnk$15d$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 115326

That unit will not do aircraft. You want the 112 to 136 Mhz AM band. Just look at the specs of the radio you are interested in to see if it covers that band. For used equipment, go to the Uniden or Radio Shack sites and click on that scanner to get the specs.

sean wrote in message ...
>Does anyone know if this radio BC60XLT-1 can receive the aircraft band and
>if not what would be the cheapest radio I could get that would either used
>or new
>
>thanks
>sean

4997. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: inpursuit@thespeedlimit.not
Message-ID: <37679c62.8251768@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 115327

On 15 Jun 1999 17:12:10 GMT, gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:

(snip)

>I've heard many people quite stupidly giving out sensitive info (credit card
>#'s, phone numbers, addresses, etc.) over their phones, cordless and cellular,
>not on any scanner but just being in the same room with them. This is privacy?
>Right...
>
Well, I'm not one of those people since I am a scanner hobbyist after
all, and I know my conversations on cordless and cellular are not
secure.
>>You don't have to worry about CC&R's and interference to your
>>neighbors' home electronics.
>
>Tell that to anyone with a baby monitor who hears their neighbors' cordless
>phones coming in right over it...
>
We were talking about CELLULAR, weren't we?
>> You don't have to deal with the mentally
>>unstable "stalker" types who will DF you just to find out where you
>>live (and then harass you if they are so inclined).
>
>ANYONE with a receiver capable of hearing wireless phones of any type can hear
>you, it is not hard at all to do. Most of them don't, but you're fooling
>yourself if you think your cellphone is secure or private. Security may be the
>company line, but it ain't the truth.
>
See my response to your comment about sensitive info given out over
wireless phones. However, since the cordless and cell bands don't have
the "radio police" that are so prevalent on the ham bands, the chances
of someone trying to DF my cellular conversation are slim to none.
Besides, they would have to hear the weak .6 watt signal from my
flip-phone in order to DF me.
>> Equipment costs
>>are MUCH lower with cellular, especially with all the "free phone"
>>deals out there.
>
>Moot point. If you want to compare the cost of initial startup, sure cellular
>is cheaper than an HF rig or even a 2 mtr handheld. But then compare what
>you've paid in cell charges to what it costs to talk around the world on HF,
>after say, three years...
>
Not everyone out there in radioland gives a rat's ass about worldwide
communication (myself included).

>> Finally, unlimited night and weekend calling plans
>>cut way down on airtime costs.
>
>Hams have night and weekend calling plans, too. It's called propagation, and
>while it is not as reliable as a good ol' "private cell phone" it is a hell of
>a lot cheaper, and far more fun.
>Don't get me wrong, wireless is wireless, and every type has it's
>advantages.Hams use their stuff for hobby and for fun, and many also for public
>service and emergency management. Most cell users have business and convenience
>needs which are served very well by such a system. But don't think of cordless
>and cellular phones as more than what they are: a wireless intentional radiator
>for purposes of communication. And as such, comm's on cellular as just as
>susceptible to reception and "eavesdropping" as any other radio service, all
>U.S. laws from the cellular industry lobbyists to the contrary.
>Mike

You're not telling me anything I don't already know. I am a licensed ham, but I no longer use that service because I prefer cellular and FRS. I never said that cellular conversations were secure from eavesdropping. If you read my original post more carefully you would see why I said cellular is more private than ham and CB. The most obvious reason is the lack of a callsign that people can use to identify you (and get your home address if you don't have a P.O. box).

By the way, I think the ECPA and the TDDRA are a load of crap, and I don't like the CTIA's lies about privacy either, so don't think I'm on the side of Congre$$ or the cellular providers. After all, I make sure they don't get rich off me because I never use any more than the 20 free peak time minutes every month, and I can talk for free during off-peak hours and weekends.

Spamblock in effect. Reply in newsgroups.

4998. Re: Value of a Pro 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: java1joe@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990616092555.29000.00000144@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 115328

A PRO - 43
Is a really good scanner.it can be changed to pick up other frequencies .

4999. Re: E.D.A.C.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <oHN93.182$U6.1705@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 115329

Nick wrote in message <7k6r3d$o6h$1@forge.sbt.net>...
>I want any info on Ericcson E.D.A.C.S. I can find out from freqs. to data
>control..
>
> Nick Miller
> Miller Communications
> ringo@sbt.net
>

If I were you I would hope that none of my customers ever reads newsgroups.

5000. Re: FEMA alert freqs questions...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <oHN93.183$U6.1705@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 115330

Toscano wrote in message <7k76k1$2sh@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>...
>In last week's issue of TIME magazine, in the back of the
>mag is an ad for an "All Hazards Alert Radio." It receives
>the standard NOAA freqs but also claims to receive FEMA and
>disaster freqs. My questions are:
>
>Which freqs does FEMA use that I could monitor for "alert"
>purposes?
>
>Anyone know what else this radio scans for?

It's probably one of the new "digital" weather alert radios that can receive
any sort of hazard warning broadcast over NOAA frequencies as part of the
nationwide Emergency Alert System (EAS).

5001. Re: The best way to ADVERTISE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Stethem <bstethem@attco.com>
Message-ID: <7k8a00$p29$1@ffx2nh5.news.uu.net>
Source record: 115331

The best way to lose your ACCOUNT.............

Just do like I do and go here http://spamcop.net/ and follow
the instructions to send a complaint to his ISP and mail service!!!
It works really well. News groups are not the place for this crap.
Come to think of it, there is no place for this crap.

--
Bryan Stethem
South Bend, IN
bstethem@attco dot com
(anti-spam measure in effect)

"Even if you're on the right track, if you stand still you'll get run over
by the next train."

Gdfthr768 wrote in message <19990615124800.16568.00000048@ng-fy1.aol.com>...
>>This advert is viewed by over 60 people an hour!! That's over 1 400 people
a
>>day!! And over 10 000 people a week!!!
>>Now, isn't that the best advertising?? - this ad was put onto over 13 000
>>news groups!!!
>
>And annoyed 13000 newsgroups. It's a BAD way to advertise, Justin. It only
>ends up irritating people when you indiscriminately post ads (read "junk
mail)
>in an untold number of groups. It's also rather screwy to be encouraging
others
>to do the same.
>Mike

5002. iCOM R2 opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: jlogo@NOSPAM.zipworld.com.au
Message-ID: <3767aa4e.116550000@news.zipworld.com.au>
Source record: 115332

I have been looking to upgrade from my UBC3000XLT scanner to something with a wider frequency coverage and smaller size. From reviews I have read (On strongsignals and other www sites), the iCOM R2 looks like a good choice. My only immediate concerns with this scanner are its apparent (from observation) slow scanning speed and cumbersome operation. Would anyone like to comment on how they find their iCOM R2's performance, particularly in the areas of sensitivity, usability and value for money.

Remove "NOSPAM" when replying via E-mail

5003. Best Freq CD ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kingfish <king_fish@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7k8eegmgu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

After having purchased the latest edition of "Police Call" I've decided to look for a good frequency reference on CD-ROM. I've noticed that there are several "brands" out there, including CD-ROMs by Mr. Scanner, Grove Enterprises, Betty Bearcat and some others.

Has anyone done a comparison of these? Which one is the best for general scanner frequencies?

Thanks for the info.

The Kingfish

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5004. FS: Pro-34 in Great Cond WITH Cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <danr@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <3767B4F4.B05E8718@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 115334

This radio has full cellular coverage and performs well! This radio is in like new condition.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=116212939

Dan

5005. Radio Shack Frequency Counter - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dunn's Chophouse <desiredusernamenetma@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7k8g8t$ne0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115335

I just bought a Radio Shack freq counter. The salesman demonstrated it by holding an FRS xcvr next to it and transmiting. I thought that if I connected it to my Scantenna, it might catch some nearby signals, but it did not, that I could tell. (A couple of frequencies were briefly displayed in the 120-170 Mhz range, but the unit would not hold them.) I'll try to use a broadband amp as a next step, but maybe I'm wasting my time in that the counter is for close work (in the range of meters) exclusively. Any comments?

--
-
--

----Dunn's Chophouse

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5006. FS. AOR 8000 Unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Speedbaron <speedbaronGETMEOUT@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IOP93.139$563.40879@typhoon-sf.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 115336

1) AOR 8000 Unblocked, charger, battery and manuel.
2) Optoelectronic Model CX12 Computer interface

All for $550 o.b.o
email: speedbaron@hotmail.com

5007. Mobile Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: fireftrjef@aol.com.no.spam
Message-ID: <19990616121752.12184.00000282@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 115337

I would like to install a scanner in my truck, and I am interested in which type of antenna to buy based on other experiences...I'm either going with a glass mount antenna or I will use the am/fm radio antenna w/ the coupler. How do these antennas do for reception? Any help would be appreciated...Thanks.

5008. Decent AR8200 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-16 00:00:00 UTC
From: Neal Bedwell <neal.bedwell@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <7k8lgu$se9$1@nclient5-gui.server.virgin.net>
Source record: 115338
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Greetings All

I have just bought an AR8200. I am very pleased with the radio itself but, like many others from the sound of it, I am disappointed in the software supplied for it by AOR.

Has anyone had any experiences of using the AR8200 with alternative [preferably better !] software?. I am particularly interested in a facility which would allow automatic, unattended frequency searches resulting in not only the active frequencies being noted for later recall, but several seconds of audio from each transmission automatically recorded also. I know that AOR's own software claims to posses this feature, but it is far too unreliable, crashing my computer regularly. I really need something that is stable enough to be able to leave on for a day or two unattended and then playback all activity over that time period.

Can anyone recommend any good software for this. Overall "whistles and bells" are not important as long as it has the above feature and, most important of all it is *reliable*. Price is not too much of an issue as long as the software is good enough to be worth the money.

Many thanks for any advice anyone can offer.
(Please respond by email if possible).

Regards

Neal Bedwell

5009. FS: Misc. R adio Gear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: oldgear@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990617153258.17086.00000764@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 115139

I have the following Radio, and electronic equipment for sale. Will consider trades for other Electronic or computer related EQ. All working last time tested unless noted. Shipping is extra. Please reply to my email address. Thanks
EJ (KC5EPI)

All Tube Items:

Tempo-1: All Tube Ham Transceiver with Power Supply. Covers 80-10 meters. Looks good and works well. $200. Will trade for a Pro 2004,5 or 6 scanner.

Pearce Simpson: Guardian 23- All tube CB Transceiver/with mobile power cord and mounting bracket. Looks and works Good. $100. Willing to trade for a Siltronix 1011C or D in good condiction.

Channel Master: Tube Stereo Receiver (Model 6612) and matching amp (Model 6601) Looks good and plays on FM only. AM not working. $125. Willing to trade for an 800 cell ready scanner.

Non Tube Items:

Marantz Model 2220B: 25 year old Stereophonic Receiver, with gyro-touch tuning, blue dial and optional real wood case. This unit is in excellent condition, both in looks and operation I would rate a 9.7. Also have original manual, in excellent condition. $250. Will trade for a Pro 2006 Scanner.

AR Inc: Stereo Amp, All solid state. $100

Traynor: Group Two B- Audio Amp Head. High/ Low misc. in puts. Master volume, volume, bass, treble and tone shaper controls. All solid state $125

Swan 14X DC Power Supply Module: No way to test but was told it was working OK. As is $50.

Siltronix Model 90-6 VFO: Excellent condition with three position crystal switch $75.

PRO 2003 Scanner: Radio Shack 50 channel(30-512 Mhz.) Digital Scanner with FM broadcast band included. Have two, one is working the other is a complete non working unit. $150 for both or trade for portable 800 scanner that can receive Cell. Will trade for a Pro 2004, 5 0r 6.

Bearcat 250 Scanner: Have two. One working at times and one for parts. $50 for both.

5010. Re: iCOM IC-R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Josh Long <jlong@christendom.edu>
Message-ID: <Fhca3.1440$jK5.249058@typ11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 115140

I had one for a while, but didn't like it because of the complexity of the controls. It received fine as far as I used it.

Jim Wayda wrote:

> The R1 suffers from extreme intermod. It is probably the worst scanner
> ever made.
> The only redeeming quality is the small size.
>
> "C.F.A.3" wrote:
> >
> > What is the word on this unit. I have one, I bought used. I understand
> > it is not well liked. Talk to me. Please

5011. WTB: used scanner in Phila.area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bt8061@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990617163630.03948.00000507@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 115141

Looking to buy used full coverage handheld scanner in Phila.

Please email specifics.

5012. Re: BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7kbmpg$42f@dfw-ixnews4.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <7k9bp5bcm1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com> "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> writes:
>
>
>Jeff Adams wrote in message
<37692914.509223985@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com>...
>>What's the best rumor for when the 895 replacement is due out?
>
>Logic would dictate it will be released when it's ready to be
released. <g>
>
>Seriously, if the companies themselves won't tell us, what makes you
think
>anyone else will?
>
>If I did know when/if/where it was to be released, I'd probably not be
able
>to tell you anyhow without being sued.
>
>Just be patient and wait....
>
>-Phil
>
>-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
>-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

name your radio to be Godot.....

patient and waiting.

deep PHIL...click click click.

bill conduit
etc.

5013. Re: FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7kbu2k$6aa$5@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 115143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

ï¿½
>
>To All New Scanner Users,
> If you are new to the radio monitoring hobby, I have compiled
>a FAQ containing a collection of topics which are frequently
>asked on the scanner newsgroups.
>
> Topics include :
> Look Before You Post
> "What's The Best Scanner?"
> Picking A Scanner/Receiver
> Scanner Glossary
> Scanner Model FAQ
> Scanner Dealers FAQ
> Frequently Asked Frequencies
> FCC Frequency Databases
> CTCSS & DCS
> What's Illegal
> Cellular-capable Scanners
> Trunked Radio Systems
> Co-ax Cable
> Receiver Range
> Your Scanner On A Plane
> Frequency Counters
> Magazines & Newsletters
> Rechargeable Battery Tips
> Radio Discussion Lists
> Radio Modifications
> Radio Shack On-line Manuals
> Uniden On-line Manuals
> AOR On-line Manuals
> *Electra/Bearcat/Regency Manuals
> Handheld Scanner Antenna Review
> Used Scanner Price Guide
> Radio Monitoring Software
> Receivers Covering Military Aero
> Computer Control
> *Multiple Scanners And One Antenna
> *Do I Need An Amplifier?
> My Web Page
> Courtesy
>
> *=Recently added topics

>
> To see this FAQ, point your web browsers to
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/new_user.htm
>
> Happy reading!
>
>Strong Signals,
>Rich Wells N2MCA
>http://www.strongsignals.net/

-- Rich
Yours has to be the best scanner site on the WWW !!!
Thanks for all the great info and here's a pat on the back for you, bad thing
is it has to be self administered :)
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5014. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@stupid.FOOL!
Message-ID: <376b7192.949956@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115144

On Thu, 17 Jun 1999 10:09:05 -0700, frodo@fullcircle.net (BBBaggins) wrote:

>x-no-archive: yes
>fa'p94y098auroi
>
>
>In article <3768A57D.3ADE3BA7@netcom.com>, Bill Conduit <myway@netcom.com>
>wrote:
>
>> yes...just received one today.
>
>
>'Atta boy, CONDUIT. Ignore the problem and it'll go away. Your words, pal.

Did you expect something else from the #2 genius on the group?

5015. Pro 2021 battery ind. ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jamey Ahlgrim <jlahlgrim@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37698356.67939934@hotmail.com>
Source record: 115145

Can anyone tell me how to disable that annoying battery indicator for the RS Pro 2021 (Besides putting a battery in it). I really don't care if their is a battery in it or not. I was just wondering if what I'm asking can be done. Thanks in advance.

5016. Re: Best Freq CD ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7kbvbb$6aa$8@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 115146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ï¿½&p
>
> After having purchased the latest edition of "Police Call" I've decided to
>look for a good frequency reference on CD-ROM. I've noticed that there are
>several "brands" out there, including CD-ROMs by Mr. Scanner, Grove
>Enterprises, Betty Bearcat and some others.
>
> Has anyone done a comparison of these? Which one is the best for general
>scanner frequencies?
>
> Thanks for the info.
>
>The Kingfish
>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

--
The best "CD" is to go to the FCC Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Search
engine at http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts and
look up the frequencies yourself for free!!
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5017. Re: RS PRO radio scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7kbuun$6aa$7@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 115147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<<<SNIP>>>
>Everytime I have asked a person whether to purchase a base or a handheld
>model, I have been told to purchase a base model because better reception
>and more sensitivity.
>
>Does a base model provide better reception and more sensitivity solely if
>an exterior antenna is used? In other words, if I used a PRO-2004/5/6 and
>a PRO-26 in my home, solely with their own attached attennas, no
>exterior, would they perform almost equally well.
<<<<SNIP>>>>

--
I use a handheld and a base at home both Uniden and the handheld picks up
better than the table top model, both using an outside discone antenna.

The only way a table top model would give better reception than the handheld is
because they usally have a better stock antenna than the handheld's "rubber
duck"!!

Best reception would be with something other than a RS!

==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5018. Re: looking for mods for a Bearcat sc150

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7kbvhq$6aa$9@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 115148

ï¿½&p
>
>I am looking for a cell mod.
Listen to cell phones and get caught and the only "cell" your going to have is
a 6' by 8' one in Sing Sing!
>
>
>**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm)
****

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5019. Re: Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7kc1dh$6aa$13@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 115149

ï¿½&p
>
>>What would be the best source for scanner frequencies
>
>1. The search button on your scanner
>2. A Percon CD-ROM
>3. A scanner club in your area

Also the FCC WTB search engine at
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5020. Re: MORE ON THE MIND CONTROL CRIME IN THE CLEVELAND AREA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7kc064$6aa$11@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 115150

<<SNIP>>
>What a wonderful world we live in.... ;-)
>
>-Phil
>
>-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
>-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

--
Just because your paranoid, doesn't mean their not all out to get you! ;)
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5021. Re: The best way to ADVERTISE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7kbvpf$6aa$10@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 115151

```
--The three best ways to advertise is
1 Telephone
2 Telegraph
3 Tell a woman!
=======================================
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
=======================================
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
=======================================
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
```

5022. Re: restoring cellular freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7kc1ic$6aa$14@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 115152

```
>i have heard some interesting conversations on both cellular and
>cordless. there are still 10s of thousands of analog users in
>the houston area to listen to. and they continue to sell analog
>phones.

--
Listen to phone calls and the only "cell" you'll have to listen to is your 6'
by 8' one at Sing Sing!
=======================================
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
=======================================
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
=======================================
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
```

5023. Re: Operating Scanner Near Computers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7kc0h7$6aa$12@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 115153

> I recently bought a RS TrunkTracker base model. When I installed it
> in my den it worked great. Reception was adequate at both low freq's
> (39MHz) and high freq's (800MHz). When I took the scanner into the
> rom where the computers are I picked up a lot of interference and
> reception was substantially degraded.

Operating a scanner near a commuter is no problem as long as the commuter
doesn't work maintenance for the 3 Mile Island power station!

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5024. Automated Radio Recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John W. Barron" <j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <376993C8.FB22E384@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115154

Does anyone know whether or not a radio tape recorder that will turn itself on and off based on a pre-set clock? It would be best if it had auto-reverse, also.
Using this, it would be possible to put a 60 minute program on tape at any hour of the day or night. Strange as it may seem, I can't locate a source for such an instrument.

Can anyone help?

--
John W. Barron
j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net
PCS Mobile Phone: 919-272-2384
NAWCC Member # 105930

Avoyelles Parish (LA) Web Page:
http://www.mindspring.com/~jwbarron/avoyeles.htm

5025. Re: Brighton, Hamburg, Pinckney frequencies wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <v0ha3.970$D5.3473@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 115155

Mike Cuddington wrote in message <7kb12f$73i$1@magnum.mmm.com>...
>Looking for Livingston County Fire,Ambulance,Police, DNR frequencies

None of those towns are in Livingston County, at least not in New York.

If you're looking for Livingston County, NY freqs, visit the NF2G Scannist
Pages at:
http://nf2g.com/scannist/index.html

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

5026. Re: iCOM IC-R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <7kc6rjcih1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 115156

Mine works fine too. Always has. It's gotten enough use I 'wore-out' two
sets of internal ni-cads over the years.

Clay

Josh Long wrote in message ...
>I had one for a while, but didn't like it because of the complexity of the
>controls. It received fine as far as I used it.
>
>Jim Wayda wrote:
>
>> The R1 suffers from extreme intermod. It is probably the worst scanner
>> ever made.
>> The only redeeming quality is the small size.
>>
>> "C.F.A.3" wrote:
>> >
>> > What is the word on this unit. I have one, I bought used. I understand
>> > it is not well liked. Talk to me. Please
>

5027. Re: Decent AR8200 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Smith <bs@BSartist.com>
Message-ID: <7kcb5a$8ck$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115157
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I've tried a few of the ones available and I also use the ARC8200. It's currently $75 but in my opinion was well worth it. I think you'll get at least $75 more worth of use from the radio.

The author is very responsive in fixing and adding features. If you can part with the $75, go for it.

Bill -n2asa

Andy Thompson wrote in message <7k95h1iin1@news.casema.net>...
>I use a program called ARC8200. It does not have sound recording option but
>can log data and has a nice spectrum analyser option.
>
>And the memory manager is just splendid. The software is easy to use and
has
>a natural touch with very clever options..
>
>Site with info is and demo:
>
>http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/9163/arc.html
>
>Andy T.
>
>

5028. Re: Automated Radio Recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <3769AFF9.52C88879@accesscom.com>
Source record: 115158

Why not use a VCR? It's got a clock and can record up to 6 hours.

Ed

"John W. Barron" wrote:
>
> Does anyone know whether or not a radio tape recorder that will turn
> itself on and off based on a pre-set clock? It would be best if it had
> auto-reverse, also.
> Using this, it would be possible to put a 60 minute program on tape at
> any hour of the day or night. Strange as it may seem, I can't locate a
> source for such an instrument.
>
> Can anyone help?
>
> --
> John W. Barron
> j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net
> PCS Mobile Phone: 919-272-2384
> NAWCC Member # 105930
>
> Avoyelles Parish (LA) Web Page:
> http://www.mindspring.com/~jwbarron/avoyeles.htm

5029. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Smith <bs@BSartist.com>
Message-ID: <7kcbg4$a6d$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115159

Interesting ethical paradox...

Consider this:

Cell calls are almost certainly going to be someone you don't know -
complete anonymity.

Cordless (freqs you mention below) are usually people who live near you and
that you probably know.

I would postulate that, in general, you would be more sleazy listening to
the frequencies you suggest.

C W wrote in message <2813-3761E9AD-105@newsd-123.bryant.webtv.net>...
Hi All,

I just have to ask why anyone would want to listen too a cell
communication?

1. they suck !!!!
2. They Suck !!!!!
3. THEY SUCK !!!!!!

Can anyone add to my list ?

Save your money if you have to listen to someone talk on a phone try
43,44,46,49, Mhz.

73 Chuck

5030. Re: AR8200 Software ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Smith <bs@BSartist.com>
Message-ID: <7kcclk$g8g$1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115160

I mentioned in another post that if money is not an object then your only choice should be ARC8200. It's $75 and for me was worth it. It's quality code and the author is responsive to fixes and also enhancements. Also, there are some usability features that don't exist in the other ones that make bank management much easier (like autofill, rich cut and paste support (e.g. you don't just cut and paste text - you cut and paste the whole channel object. You can copy the entire bank table and paste into Excel etc.)) He seems to have gotten most things right. Another example: When you save a bank to a file in some of the other programs, the bank letter is saved with it. You have to do gymnastics with the file if you want to upload to a different bank at a later time. With ARC8200, you specify the bank at upload time so can put it where you want.

That just names a few of my pet things. You'll probably have your own. One catch is this: I think this software shines the more you actually use it to manage your radio. The demo version probably doesn't let you really use it enough to live with it and get a full sense of the benefit. I basically took the good press, combined with some basic playing around and punted the $75 hoping it would be worth it. For me, I found it was.

After coming off of a terrible experience getting completely ripped off for sh*t ADMS software upgrade for my FT-50 from RT Systems and getting completely ignored when I reported obvious non-trivial problems to them several times, I am also refreshed by ARC8200 author's apparent pride in his code and willingness to fix things quickly and accurately.

Bill - n2asa

Chuck Bird wrote in message <7ja1db$ql7$1@news.casema.net>...
>Hi,
>
>I just got my 8200 with interface. I downloaded AOR software latest version
>but is not very good software.
>
>Any suggestions for other software ?
>
>I found Radiomanager, ARC8200, scancat but did not have time to give them a
>good review myself.
>
>I'm looking for good software to setup/organize the 1000 channels and maybe
>some remote scan control but setup is important because 8200 will be in my
>car most of the time.
>
>Hopefully some of you already have an opinion about these packages or other
>suggestions?

>
>Tnx,
>
>Chuck, CA
>
>

5031. Re: Automated Radio Recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shaun Whitman <lstrain@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eUze7ZTu#GA.51@cpmsnbbsa05>
Source record: 115161

It's easy to do with a VCR...
Just get a cable with a 1/8" phone plug at one end and an RCA male plug at the other. Connect it at the A/V input on the back of the unit and then set the VCR to record on the A/V channel.

Shaun Whitman
shaun@railfan.net
Central Jersey Rails
http://JerseyRails.Railfan.net

Ed Tobiasz wrote in message <3769AFF9.52C88879@accesscom.com>...
>Why not use a VCR? It's got a clock and can record up to 6 hours.
>
>Ed
>
>"John W. Barron" wrote:
>>
>> Does anyone know whether or not a radio tape recorder that will turn
>> itself on and off based on a pre-set clock? It would be best if it had
>> auto-reverse, also.
>> Using this, it would be possible to put a 60 minute program on tape at
>> any hour of the day or night. Strange as it may seem, I can't locate a
>> source for such an instrument.
>>
>> Can anyone help?
>>
>> --
>> John W. Barron
>> j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net
>> PCS Mobile Phone: 919-272-2384
>> NAWCC Member # 105930
>>
>> Avoyelles Parish (LA) Web Page:
>> http://www.mindspring.com/~jwbarron/avoyeles.htm

5032. FS: Saber 3 Everything Included!!..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: xeon <xeon@ultinet.net>
Message-ID: <3769C0CF.E3B9EA0F@ultinet.net>
Source record: 115162

FS: Saber 3 Everything Included!!..

Radio
Saber 3 uhf 120ch*
5D core
H/pwr
non secure
Model # H44SAk7139CN
band spread = 440-470
comes w/1 brand-new batt Motorola, 1 used (7.2v @ 1800 ma)
2 ant. short and long.
Operating Manual for Saber 3
belt clip.
Laptop 486/25 mhz 12 ram 210 HD
the shape of the radio is in very
good shape I'll give it a (9 out of 10).

4 light "Smart" Changer
motorola NTN4734A
GOOD WORKING CONDITION!!

Keyloader (New)
GOOD WORKING CONDITION!!

Rib box (New in the box w/manual)
Power Switch Power-On
Low Battery
Rechargeable Battery
Data Transfer LED's
Runs Eight Hours with a full charge
GOOD WORKING CONDITION!!

Laptop 486/25 mhz 12 ram 210 HD
Compaq LTE Lite
I got a serial, a parallel,
a VGA, and a mouse port.
Plus I got the case for it too.
And a mouse too.
GOOD WORKING CONDITION!!

All for $750.00 FIRM

You paid for S&H And Insures.

I'll e-mail you and call you with
tracking number.
I'll ship anywhere in the USA ONLY.

Accept Prepaid (Postal) Money Order

If send a bank check you MUST wait 10 days
to clear!..

WILL NOT BRAKE UP THE SET
SOLD AS A SET And don't ask

If you like you can e-mail me with your
telephone number and I'll gladly answer
any questions you have.

Xeon@ultinet.net

Xeon
73
Look down

*****When you turn it on******
Unit has and ERR 105

UNIT IS IN A SABER 2 HOUSING
It has a FULL KEYPAD under housing
Just put FULL KEYPAD HOUSING on radio and
it will be a SABER 3.

5033. FS PRO-2006 full Bill Cheeks mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: carljan <carljan@voyager.net>
Message-ID: <7kcfk4$pk@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 115163

This scanner is in very good condition comes with antenna and manual. It has the Bill Cheeks mod which turns it into 16 PRO-2006s a total of 6400 channels in 16 blocks of 400 it has also been modified to recieve cellular. Serious offers please. Carl.

5034. Re: restoring cellular freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: xman Charlie <xman@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <7kcc4u$1lg1@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115164

Been listening to cellular for many years. Great little hobby. Realistic PRO-39 hand held unit. Keep it running everytime I'm using the cmp, next to the tv thats on.

Your scanner pal, Charlie

1 Angry Man <1AngryMan@antisocial.com> wrote in message news:7kc1ic$6aa$14@dagobah.blueriver.net...
>
> >i have heard some interesting conversations on both cellular and
> >cordless. there are still 10s of thousands of analog users in
> >the houston area to listen to. and they continue to sell analog
> >phones.
>
> --
> Listen to phone calls and the only "cell" you'll have to listen to is your 6'
> by 8' one at Sing Sing!
> ==
> "I would never belong to any group,
> that would have me as a member!"
> Grocho Marx
> ==
> Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
> at least two, and they usually stink !!!
> ==
> Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
>

5035. Cable TV: Strong Pager Signals cause interference to Cable Channels.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: JasonK <JasonK@verio.net>
Message-ID: <3769C674.8A8AD6CB@verio.net>
Source record: 115165
Cross-posted to: rec.video.cable-tv,rec.radio.scanner

The source of the herringbone pattern interference is caused
by high power transmitters that broadcast pager signals.

I used to have the same problem with (cable) channel 19,
which has a frequency range from 150-156 MHZ.

I realized that the interfering signal was originating from
Outside of the cable system. I purchased a scanner radio,
and searched between 150-156 MHz and found a
Extremely powerful pager signal broadcasting at 152.540 MHz.

The Cable Ch. 19 Video Carrier is at 151.250 MHz,
and the pattern of the interference matched the pager tones.

What are the frequencies for cable channel 68?

Are there any pager frequencies near Ch. 68?

Locate the pager transmitters in your area, click here:
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/state_county.hts

Enter your state and county, search the paging and radiotelephone
service, click on WTB Paging Database.

PJM wrote:
>
> A cable person came last night and said he had no idea
> why I had a Herringbone on the upper channels-he checked
> the pole and put on a new splitter-he also disconnected
> the neighbors cable at the pole to see if anything changed.
>
> He said he would turn it over to maintenance.
>
> he also said I had an ac hum and when the ground was removed the
> hum disappeared-so I left it off. He said he would order me an
> electric isolator for the pole.
>
> He said the herringbone on 68 the MSG channel could never be
> repaired because there was usually a station that bleeded
> through.
>
> Can anybody help?

5036. Re: Whats happening to the 746-764 & 776-794 frequncy bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wooka <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <3769E630.B1A6800D@aznet.net>
Source record: 115166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.commercial-lmr

> read the complete text here:
> http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireless/Notices/1999/fcc99097.txt
>
> Is the FCC going to reallocate these frequencies used by UHF TV
> stations to Public Safety? Can our scanners tune to these frequencies?

Many continous coverage scanners receive 25-1.3 MHZ with no gaps (celluar is blocked though).

5037. Whats happening to the 746-764 & 776-794 frequncy bands?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: JasonK <JasonK@verio.net>
Message-ID: <3769CA0D.8C5CC0F@verio.net>
Source record: 115167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.commercial-lmr

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C.

WT Docket No. 99-168

In the Matter of Service Rules for the 746-764 and 776-794 MHz Bands,
and Revisions to Part 27 of theCommission's Rules

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Adopted: May 13, 1999; Released : June 3, 1999

Comments Due: July 19, 1999
Reply Comments Due: August 13, 1999

By the Commission:

3. In the Reallocation Report and Order, adopted December 31, 1997,
we implemented the specific spectrum management decisions enacted by
Section 3004 of the Balanced Budget Act of 1997.

We added the Fixed and Mobile allocation to the Broadcasting
allocation in the 746-806 MHz band.

We designated channels 60-62 and 65-67 for commercial use,
and designated channels 63, 64, 68, and 69 for the exclusive
use of public safety.

We also declined to adopt additional protections for low-power TV
and TV translator stations beyond those adopted in the DTV Proceeding.

We stated that no new applications will be considered for the provision
of analog TV service in channels 60-69, but that current applicants,
at a later date, would be afforded an opportunity to amend their
applications to seek channels below 60.

We subsequently denied petitions that sought reconsideration of our
decision to grant no new licenses for TV service on these channels,
and the decision to provide no additional protection to low-power TV
and TV translator stations.
(snip)

read the complete text here:
http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireless/Notices/1999/fcc99097.txt

Is the FCC going to reallocate these frequencies used by UHF TV
stations to Public Safety? Can our scanners tune to these frequencies?

5038. Re: Airport Control Tower Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Pemble <pemble@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7kccd9$4u$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115168

Air traffic - tower, departure/arrival control, etc. is simplex, i.e. both parties are on the same frequencies. Understand that the airport is on the ground talking to airplanes up in the air, and the airplanes are talking down to the ground. This means your antenna (and antenna pattern) will make the difference here -- it is easier to be on the ground and hear aircraft than to hear another ground station (tower).

Good rule of thumb for antennas, the higher the better. Determine how far the airport is from your house, and what is in between you (hills, tall buildings, etc). This should get you a good idea on how high your antenna needs to be to 'see' the airport.

Point of reference, I am about 6 miles from Melbourne airport, and my antenna (discone) is up 25 feet. I can hear the air traffic controllers fine, but they are about a medium signal strength -- much less than most approaching aircraft. When I am mobile, I can only hear ATC when I am within about 3-4 miles of the airport (mobile antenna).

cheers

rick

Mark White <markwhite@home.com> wrote in message
news:nY7a3.779$0B1.927@news.rdc2.occa.home.com...
> I am trying to monitor the control tower at a local airport on the
published
> frequency of 133.1. I seem to be only getting the pilot side of the
> conversation. I assume the tower responds on some standard offset
frequency.
> If so, what is the offset and it is the same for ground control (129.45)?
>
> Thanks in advance
> Mark
>
>

5039. Re: MORE ON THE MIND CONTROL CRIME IN THE CLEVELAND AREA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7kavn4$i31$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115169

I wasn't going to respond, but....

About 1988 or abouts, one of the electronic magazines had an article
to do aim a laser at a window, and use the interference from the
reflection
to recover audio. In reality, the system worked quite well, IF you put
a
piece of foil tape on the window as a target AND got on the direct
reflected
beam.

This was actually a hobbiest outgrowth of what the Russians did with
microwaves for years at the US embasy in Moscow (in that case by
aiming at a metal shield in a conference room) or near a naval base
in Florida years ago.

On another note, since this WAS from Cleveland....

Years ago a Radio Shack customer had a Model II computer that
kept blowing out cards. The machine would work fine, but kept
blowing cards when it was returned to the customer. Swap the
machine and the new machine would start blowing out. So the tech
went to the customers location. No problem with power. Stumped.
Until the meter probe dropped onto the metal chair and the VOM
went nuts. Turned out that right next door in the next office was a
lab that had some kind of industrial maser setup that was serviced
a while back, right when the problems started. The shielding was
not in place correctly....

Spunky The Waffle Iron <@.> wrote in message
<47396DB8ADB41928.9F9B21D78C3F638C.3866C69E3E476D7D@lp.airnews.net>...
| A friend of mine works at LAPD as a civilian volunteer. His duties
usually
| involve answering the phone, and as a result, he usually handles calls
from
| any of 3 different "Laser Ladies" who claim that the police helicopter
is
| shining laser beams thru their homes and bodies.

See, when people speak, air compresses and expands, which causes windows
to vibrate enough
to where a sensitive laser and detector can be used to hear what a
person is saying inside.
Maybe the laser ladies just did a little too much LSD (Figures for LA),
or maybe they are onto something.

5040. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: inigo@montoya.net
Message-ID: <3765ac91.6110321@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115170

>I just have to ask why anyone would want to listen too a cell
>communication?

The same reason grandma and grandpa used to listen to the telephone party line. I don't need to monitor cell phones. I can go to the mall and just sit there and listen. You'd be surprised what people talk about. Nothing perverted or blackmailable, but it's just interesting to watch people and listen to them. Same goes for cellular calls. Because it's there.

5041. Re: Baltimore PD ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: cray2141@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7k9fd7$4ak$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115190

The PD is still using the 453 frequencies, but the FD is now using the 800 Mhz system. The PD is supposedly to start soon. Anyone have any idea when?

In article <19990616173511.20182.00000401@ng-fv1.aol.com>, mrg1std@aol.com (MrG1stD) wrote:
> Is Baltimore PD still using the 453 radio system or the 800 system ?
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5042. Re: Best Freq CD ROM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerhead@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7k9inq$5dn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <7k8eegmgu1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Kingfish <king_fish@my-deja.com> wrote:
> After having purchased the latest edition of "Police Call" I've
decided to
> look for a good frequency reference on CD-ROM. I've noticed
that there are
> several "brands" out there, including CD-ROMs by Mr. Scanner, Grove
> Enterprises, Betty Bearcat and some others.
>
> Has anyone done a comparison of these? Which one is the best for
general
> scanner frequencies?
>
> Thanks for the info.
>
> The Kingfish
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.
>

ScannerBase is another option to look at. The cd-rom is free and I
think I paid around 4 bucks for shipping so there is not much to lose
for trying it. Search engine is really easy to use. Unfortunatly records
are over a year old and last I checked they didn't have an updated
version available. Percon is probably the best for the $$, they update
their records monthly.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5043. Percon & FCC database searches, are they down?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: intermod58@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990616202411.10458.00000397@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 115192

I can't get the Percon & FCC database search to work. Are they down? Can someone search a callsign for me? I need information current as of May 99.

5044. Re: How secure are "digital" 900Mhz phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerhead@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7k9jrj$5pe$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115193

In article <376712aa.0@news.hawaii.rr.com>,
"Rick Stark" <starkr001@nospam.hawaii.rr.com> wrote:
> Okay, I'll bite, what's wrong with Panasonic?
>
> --
> -Rick
>
> > Stay away from Panasonic!!!!!!!!
>
>
I have one of their 2.4Gig/900MHz digital cordless phones and it
transmits analog from handset to base. My scanners pick it up loud and
clear. Not too secure.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5045. Re: BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeff.adams@gscxyz.gte.com
Message-ID: <376847b4.517063908@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com>
Source record: 115194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 16 Jun 1999 16:25:27 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
<ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote:

>Jeff Adams wrote in message <37692914.509223985@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com>...
>>What's the best rumor for when the 895 replacement is due out?
>
>Logic would dictate it will be released when it's ready to be released. <g>
>
>Seriously, if the companies themselves won't tell us, what makes you think
>anyone else will?
>
>If I did know when/if/where it was to be released, I'd probably not be able
>to tell you anyhow without being sued.
>
>Just be patient and wait....

But I want my toy NOW!!!!

OK, I feel better now :-) Yes, I suppose you're right, but, what the
heck, this is USENET... Isn't that what we do here is speculate
endlessly about all kinds of things we have no control over? :-)

Well, let me try this instead: What was the timing when the 235 and
895 came out? Not that past history is any guarantee of future
performance of course, but, I figure it's worth asking.

======CORRECT EMAIL: remove the xyz====================================
| Jeff.Adams@gscxyz.gte.com) | GTE Electronic Systems Division |
| 650-966-2122 | Mountain View, CA U.S.A. |
| All opinions are mine and not my employer or internet access provider. |
==

5046. Re: W.T.B. Pro 43 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Anderson <jander@home.com>
Message-ID: <37684CE4.8924B4C@home.com>
Source record: 115195

Donald Banks wrote:
>
> I would like to buy a Pro 43 Scanner made by Realistic. If anyone has one
> for sale would you please contact me.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Donald

I'll sell you mine for 125.00, but it's missing a knob and their are no
accessories. Other than that it in good shape and works very well.
--
The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly

Good: Your hubby and you agree, no more kids
Bad: You can't find your birth control pills
Ugly: Your daughter borrowed them

5047. FS AOR AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: GEORGE 2 <georgeph@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <63Y93.2937$ll5.21645@server1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 115196

AR8000 9.5 out of 10 (only because it is used) no scratches on case or display.
Comes with orig manual, leather case, nicad batteries and charger/ac adapter,
cable to connect to opto scout, antenna and belt clip. UNblocked.
Serious offers only reply by email georgeph@adelphia.net
Thanks, George

5048. BC9000XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: johnrm@home.com
Message-ID: <376859ad.159115969@24.2.9.59>
Source record: 115197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone know the RS part number of their 5v relay that replaces
the "Record" relay in a Bearcat BC9000XLT?
Thanks, John.

5049. FS: Icom R7000 Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: cozyoak@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990616221650.12836.00000393@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 115198

Considered by many to be the top of the line VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Cellular is NOT blocked. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB, AM, NFM & WFM allows reception of most everything you want to listen to. S-meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control interface for tape recording.

IC-R7000 SPECIFICATIONS

Frequency Coverage 25. MHz to 2000 MHz. "with a gap between 1000 MHz & 1025 MHz".
Mode: Upper & Lower Sideband, AM, wide FM, narrow FM
Memory Channels: 99
Tuning Step Increment: - 0.1, 1, 5, 10, 12.5, or 25 kHz.
Power Requirement (U.S.A): 117 Volt AC
Usable Temperature Range: +14ï¿½F ~ +140ï¿½F
Dimensions: 11.3(W) x 4.3(H) x 10.9(D) in
Weight: 17.6 lbs.

The R7000 has (6) seperate scanning functions:
1. Priority Scan
2. Programmed Scan
3. Selected Memory Scan
4. Selected Mode Memory Scan
5. Memory Channel Scan
6. Auto-Write Memory Scan

The receiver comes with the original box and styrafoam packing, and owners manual. I will also throw in the book "The Scanner Listener's Handbook" from Tiare Publications. Everything is in EXCELLENT condition and has been in a non-smoking environment. The radio was hardly ever used, everything in my area has gone trunked so I listen to my bearcat trunktracker instead. So I decided to sell the R7000. Serial number on the radio is "12508".

I'm asking $750 plus shipping cost. If you have any questions I will be very happy to answer them. Contact me at the following.

email --> cozyoak@aol.com
phone --> 503-618-8784 (jim)

5050. Re: FS Pro-2004 - $190 shipped & insured

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37685d8d.1832261@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 115199

shack23@aol.com (Shack23) wrote:

:macoletta@aol.com (Macoletta) wrote:
:
:>Radio Shack Pro-2004 300 Channel Base Scanner, has had 825-845 & 869-894 MHz
:>restoration mod done to it. $190 includes priority mail & insurance.
:>Radio Shack Pro-91 150 Channel Trunk Tracker handeld. $135 includes
:>priority
:>mail & insurance.
:>Uniden BC700A 50 Channel Base/Mobile, has had full 800 MHz frequency
:>expansion
:>mod done to it. $115 includes priority mail and insurance.
:
:I wish I lived near you, I would buy that 2004 TODAY! ... Joey

And then do the mod to give it 400 channels?

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

5051. Re: 3000 xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37685d98.1842781@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 115200

joker535 wrote in message <7k1nh9bbc1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>...
:If I was to buy a brand new BC-3000xlt scanner, would it be able to be
:modified for full 800mhz band coverage? If so where would I find this mod?
:Thanks in advance.

You should buy the UBC version.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

5052. Re: Value of a Pro 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: W9FL <w9fl@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <7k9p7p$8j2@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 115201

RDK <rdk@a.crl.com> wrote:
>Whats the value of a used Pro 43 radio shack handheld scanner?

$175-$250 U.S. Dollars

5053. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37687503.675F0921@usa.net>
Source record: 115202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

thanks!! I see that you don't say anything to say that I am wrong, only
that you don't like hearing that piece of truth!

allsafe wrote:

> Aw jeeez. Here he goes with that mental "metalic tool" bit again. You'll get
> used to this bit after a while.

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5054. Re: Macomb County Michigan - Weather

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: bcolenso@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990617011246.02093.00000395@ng39.aol.com>
Source record: 115203

The NOAA freq here in SE Michigan is 162.55 MHz.

Ham radio *Sky Warn* for Wayne County is 145.33 MHz, and Oakland County is 147.180 MHz.

I don't know the freq for Macomb County Sky Warn, but I will post it when I find out.

Bob
KD8WU

5055. RE: Plectron FAQ & REACH radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: tede911@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990617011632.14948.00000817@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 115204

In his FAQ about PLECTRON receivers, Bob Parnass wrote:

"If you wish to purchase a new receiver with "Plectron-like"
signaling capabilities, contact Reach Electronics:

Reach Electronics
1311 West Pacific
Lexington, NE 68850
(308) 324-6661
(800) 445-0007
FAX: (308) 324-4985

Reach sells tone & voice pagers, Alert monitors, and
ENCODERS. They also do contract manufacturing."

What kills me Bob is that 'Reach' charges so much for these radios!
I mean, I am SURE they are quality sets but they are like $300+ a pop,
and this for a one or two channel radio? Yes, you probably get what
you pay for but I could guarantee this company that if they dropped their
price under $100, people would be grabbing them up faster than they
could make them.

My 2-cents

Ted

5056. Re: Operating Scanner Near Computers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <37688912.1666A09B@globe-net.net>
Source record: 115205

Older monitors and even some of the new cheaper ones are the
biggest RF noise generators. With your computer system running,
turn off your monitor to see if that is the source. If so, you can
add ferrites to the video cord, check the grounds, and move
your scanner away from the source. My computer controlled
scanner sits on a shelf above my two computers -n- two
monitors. I get very little interference. However, at work I have
a PRO-90 sitting on a window ledge. My computer sits
opposite with my chair in the middle. If I'm not directly sitting
between the monitor and the radio, the scanner occasionally
will stop with lots of white noise. If I move between the
scanner and monitor or turn the monitor off, the noise fully
disappears. The newer scanners have plastic cases rather
than the metal ones of the days past. Another
option would be to buy some adhesive backed RF copper
foil and put it inside the scanner case and attach it to the
scanners ground plane. This would help eliminate RF
interference from entering the case. If you need a source
of this product, I can look up the P/N of the product
we use.

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Poster Boy wrote:

> OK that makes sense but I've worked in places where the scanners are
> surrounded by computers and the scanner reception is still good. How
> are they getting such good reception?
>
> On Wed, 16 Jun 1999 14:21:44 -0500, "Spunky The Waffle Iron" <@.>
> wrote:
>
> >No, because your power supply in your computer and your monitor in general generate too
much electromagnetic trash.
> >
> >| I recently bought a RS TrunkTracker base model. When I installed it
> >| in my den it worked great. Reception was adequate at both low freq's
> >| (39MHz) and high freq's (800MHz). When I took the scanner into the
> >| rom where the computers are I picked up a lot of interference and
> >| reception was substantially degraded.
> >

5057. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick <ringo@sbt.net>
Message-ID: <7ka1q4fvh1@forge.sbt.net>
Source record: 115206
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

It seems to me that jmackey is a moron and needs to quit posting stupid
things
I've already seen his retarded postings on other news groups and no one
wants anything to do with this fool.

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:7k72m9$ooj@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com...
>
> >
> >On Tue, 15 Jun 1999 18:37:04 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >
> >>Bill Cheek is a man who lives near San Diego.
>
> why not just make known how you
> are biased against bill cheek....
>
> boy did your hate for bill
> speak loudly here
>
> what have you done that bill
> was able to do for others?
>
> gee...what a guy?
> ... ass-gas is thee.
>
> bill conduit
> etc.

5058. Freq needed for Moffett Field Air Show June 19 & 20

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: rogerc@garlic.com
Message-ID: <929598689.954.5@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115207

Anyone know of the freq that will be used at this air show.

Please post!!!!

Roger
Bucks Unlimited
http://www.garlic.com/~rogerc/bucks2.htm

5059. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <37688bb5.4961761@news>
Source record: 115208

On Tue, 15 Jun 1999 23:09:30 -0700, "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
wrote:

>What is the street address where you live, UPS won't deliver to PO Boxes

Actually, you can get it from the Post Office in Beaverton. According
to USPS regulations, if someone uses their PO Box to solicit to the
public (and a newsgroup post certainly qualifies), then the
information becomes public record and is available to anyone who asks.

5060. Re: Operating Scanner Near Computers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <37688e43.5616213@news>
Source record: 115209

On Wed, 16 Jun 1999 20:14:58 GMT, mailme@rizzap.com (Poster Boy)
wrote:

>OK that makes sense but I've worked in places where the scanners are
>surrounded by computers and the scanner reception is still good. How
>are they getting such good reception?

Maybe you need better computer equipment - my scaners sit right next
to my computer and still work fine.

5061. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Conduit <myway@netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3768A57D.3ADE3BA7@netcom.com>
Source record: 115210

yes...just received one today.

there it was .... some ass in clownsuit....

what to do with it?

any suggestions. it's not autographed.
but the sender says this is him ... having
to do with porg org or something....

bill conduit
etc.

sd@rth.com wrote:

> If they do could they post it.
>
> thank you

5062. Re: MORE ON THE MIND CONTROL CRIME IN THE CLEVELAND AREA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
Message-ID: <376885dd@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 115211

>A friend of mine works at LAPD as a civilian volunteer. His duties usually
>involve answering the phone, and as a result, he usually handles calls from
>any of 3 different "Laser Ladies" who claim that the police helicopter is
>shining laser beams thru their homes and bodies, and the "F--k You" lady
who
>calls once a day to ask for an officer by name, then tell that officer
"f--k
>you" and hang up.
>
>What a wonderful world we live in.... ;-)

Tell me about it. One of my favorite calls was the drunk guy that called us & said that someone stole his house... That alone would have been funny enough, but a couple days later he called again & said they brought it back....

We had a call from a lady similar to the one who wrote the above post. She claimed that the motel room she was staying in was hazardous to her health because electromagnetic waves were coming from her cable box and her mattress. She said she was suing the CIA and they were after her... in fact she thought the officers we sent down to talk to her were CIA agents because, as she said, "the CIA has been known to infiltrate police departments." I later asked the officers if the CIA pays good money.

Once as a reserve officer, I and another officer transported a man to the local mental hospital. When we pulled in the drive, he started singing "They're Coming to Take Me Away HA HA!" I nearly lost it.

Tom

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

5063. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3768B664.2596CE27@usa.net>
Source record: 115212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Macaroon wrote:

> >> I don't have to. All anyone has to

Why is it that YOU, MACAROON, don't believe that you have to
uphold the same level of integrity, honesty, & scrutiny that the
others on this NG have to hold?

> Why do you take the exact words I say about you and just throw them back? Is
> it because you have nothing valid to say?

What's wrong, you can't handle being presented with the truth of yourself?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5064. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3768B6A3.6C48793D@usa.net>
Source record: 115213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

I salvaged this NG from an evil menace. THAT should be worth a medal!

Glenn wrote:

> here". Mackey has done nothing at all worth mentioning, EVER.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5065. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3768B7ED.AF764BB2@usa.net>
Source record: 115214

that's OK, just send it via the US postal service.

Glenn wrote:

> What is the street address where you live, UPS won't deliver to PO Boxes

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5066. Re: Radio Shack Frequency Counter - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990617050555.28986.00000802@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 115215

> I just bought a Radio Shack freq counter. The salesman demonstrated it by holding an FRS xcvr next to it and transmiting. I thought that if I connected it to my Scantenna, it might catch some nearby signals, but it did not, that I could tell. (A couple of frequencies were briefly displayed in the 120-170 Mhz range, but the unit would not hold them.) I'll try to use a broadband amp as a next step, but maybe I'm wasting my time in that the counter is for close work (in the range of meters) exclusively. Any comments?

-It's an excellent counter with good performance.

-It doesn't have a "hold" feature, the frequency will remain displayed only as long as the signal is active. Modifications have been published to add a (manually activated) hold button to freeze the display.

-If it's responding to an FRS signal - 1/4 watt maximum - I'd say that's a good sign that the counter is working and is at least reasonably sensitive.

-These things work by responding to the most powerful, local signal it detects over the back round noise level. Using an antenna with some gain in the same band as your target helps, as does reducing the noise level, either by filtering or moving to a rural environment, (or, tip- an underground parking garage or basement for both you and the target).

-Using a higher or more sensitive antenna will help, but yes, these things are designed for "close" work. I have read FM broadcast stations for several miles in rural environments, using a mobile antenna.

-Using either a broadband preamp or a better antenna will also amplify the back round noise level, so this can end up working against you, especially in noisy, urban environments.

-If you are hooked up to the scantenna and a fire engine transmits down the block it should read it. Bigger cities = more radios transmitting = high back round noise level = shorter range. It will respond very poorly to AM signals, like those from aircraft (120-136.975).

-My favorite scenario: "This counter is junk, I want to return it, it won't even read a cordless phone". Cordless phones use 2 transmitters, one in the base and one in the handset. This will confuse the counter. In addition, both are very low power transmitters and hard to read even when just one is on. Remember - the strongest, local signal above the back round noise level is what gets read. If you stand in between two 100 watt transmitters on two different frequencies the counter isn't going to read anything.

-Using the R/S counter I have read 5 watt handheld transmitters from a full city block away (in a rural environment). I have taken that exact same counter to the downtown Chicago lakefront and been unable to read a 5 watt handheld at

20 feet. It's all a matter of backround noise. I have had an opto scout hooked up to mobile antenna in a van and driven through hundreds of small towns. Invaribly, if there is an FM broadcast transmitter in town then that is all the opto will display within a mile or so of the transmitter site. (19 inch mag mount 1/4 wave 2 meter whip antenna).

-I have seen the R/S units outperform Opto's time after time in side by side tests on VHF, UHF and 800 Mhz. No arguing, the optos have more gee whiz features, many of which are very, very useful. I especially like the concealable one that looks like a pager and the opto scout. But if all you want is a sturdy, hot performing counter with a great display and field replaceable batteries the R/S is hard to beat. I got into a lot of flame wars with people over this, others swear their optos outperform the R/S units. I can only speak from my personal experience. I suspect a lot of this is just shack bashing by folks who don't take the time to realize the counter is made by GRE - same folks who built the 2004/2005/2006 radios.

-Dont forget to compare prices either.

5067. Re: MORE ON THE MIND CONTROL CRIME IN THE CLEVELAND AREA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Spunky The Waffle Iron"
Message-ID: <47396DB8ADB41928.9F9B21D78C3F638C.3866C69E3E476D7D@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 115216

```
| A friend of mine works at LAPD as a civilian volunteer. His duties usually
| involve answering the phone, and as a result, he usually handles calls from
| any of 3 different "Laser Ladies" who claim that the police helicopter is
| shining laser beams thru their homes and bodies.
```

See, when people speak, air compresses and expands, which causes windows to vibrate enough to where a sensitive laser and detector can be used to hear what a person is saying inside. Maybe the laser ladies just did a little too much LSD (Figures for LA), or maybe they are onto something.

5068. What are the favorite songs of your local mobile police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Spunky The Waffle Iron"
Message-ID: <0C338F12A618D5A0.71A72117A3170BFD.0F4AA4580AD34115@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 115217

I hear alot of music, from Rock and Roll to Kenny G in the background while our local police is talking
on their radio's.
One officer apparently has the 'best of the 80's' CD collection as all day, every day, when he keys up, I always
hear "Oh Mickey", "Don't you Love me Baby" or Gary Numan's "In cars" playing.
What songs do your local police play in their police cars?
Funny as I thought all policemen were backwards jerks who are usualy dumb hicks or tough or militant guys who get their kicks
screwing people over for a living.

5069. Re: BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3768CB57.4F5E51B9@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 115218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jeff Adams wrote:
> But I want my toy NOW!!!!

Go to your room and don't come out until the 895 succesor is here! ;-)

> Well, let me try this instead: What was the timing when the 235 and
> 895 came out? Not that past history is any guarantee of future
> performance of course, but, I figure it's worth asking.

The 235 was out about the middle of May 1997. 895 came out mid
September. Delta of 4 months. Not sure I would count on that
this time! ;-)

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

5070. Re: New Uniden??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3768CCAE.BAA31C7F@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 115219

Mark wrote:
> Anyone heard anything about the scanner that Uniden was coming out
> with that will track Erricson/GE 800 MHz?
> I thought it was scheduled to be available in June?

The BC245XLT and the last "solid" date was first week in July.
See my Trunked Radio Tracking Scanners page:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/trunk.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

5071. 911news

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: nmetsy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990617062917.18002.00000703@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 115220

Hello,

I would like to invite you to join the 911news mailing list.

The description of this mailing list is:

For the posting of fires and breaking news in the United States and Canada.

You can join this list by going to the following web page:

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe.cgi/911news

If you do not wish to join this list, please ignore this message.

5072. Re: Mobile Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3768CECF.EA0C5B9B@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 115221

Jeff Harris wrote:
> I would like to install a scanner in my truck, and I am interested in which
> type of antenna to buy based on other experiences...I'm either going with a
> glass mount antenna

I think you will find any glass-mount model a big disappointment for anything but the strongest of local signals.

> or I will use the am/fm radio antenna w/ the coupler.

Keep in mind that you are cutting your signal strength in half (at least).

Most hobbyists are happy with either the Radio Shack magnet mount all-band model (I have two) and the MON/MONR line made by Antenna Specialists (sold by a large number of dealers like Scanners Unlimited and Scanner World.)

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

5073. Frequencies for Phila., PA Area???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: ral007@voicenet.com
Message-ID: <3768d7a8.1618663@netnews.voicenet.com>
Source record: 115222

My battery in my scanner went dead enough even to lose the programed frequencies.

Any list or WWW site that has the Phila. frequencies would be appreciated.

Thanks in advance.

5074. 900MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Sieboldt <lidebt2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7kan8o$5e1@dfw-ixnews9.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115223

The following was posted to a email list that I belong to and there are ten different answers coming back.

Snip:
A digitized 900 mgh phone CAN NOT be monitored as it is digital just like the digital cell phones on the market, which CAN NOT be monitored. You can find either digital or analog 900 mgh phones on the market. To be sure of total privacy, buy the digitized 900 meg phone.

Is there are legal or illegal way that you can listen with a scanner to a digital 900 MHz phone?

Thanks,

--

Rick Sieboldt
Long Island Debt Recovery
Ronkonkoma, N.Y. 11779
516-244-9038
Fax: 516-244-7098
Pager: 516-948-2504
Email: lidebt2@ix.netcom.com
http://frontpage.inet-images.com/lidebt/
ICQ# 28299970
Member of: JJCA, NAIS, NJN & JCN

This email communication is not intended as and should not be interpreted as legal advice or a legal opinion. Don't not act or rely upon this information in this communication without seeking the advice of an attorney.

5075. Airnav

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Goura <goura@altavista.net>
Message-ID: <3768F1AB.286D@altavista.net>
Source record: 115224

I'm looking for a serial number for Airnav

5076. WTB a portable PRO ? scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9nuq@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990617090832.03509.00000556@ng69.aol.com>
Source record: 115225

I want to get into scanning and would like to purchase a scanner that I can take with me around. Please state the model, condition, cost plus shipping to Chgo.

Roberto
N9NUQ

5077. Re: Frequencies for Phila., PA Area???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Piero Sassu <n3fvg@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3768FC19.EF34EA48@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 115226

Try....
http://dolphin.upenn.edu/~pwatch/map.html
http://users.erols.com/jcardani/sepascan1.htm
http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/Pennsylvania/montgomery.html

This should keep you busy, enjoy....Piero

5078. FS: BC-2500XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: wfm <wmerrell@parsley.epix.net>
Message-ID: <g87a3.48$KU1.6437@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 115227

```
BC-2500XLT in very good condition. Includes two
new battery packs, original box and manual.
CAN be modified for the forbidden (cell) band.
$225.00, plus shipping.
bill
```

5079. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <t87a3.122$uo1.8120@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Always hiding. always lying.

Lying about your job, licenses, ability, family and even heritage.

Gosh John-bowl. EVERYTHING you say and do is a lie.

You WHOLE life is a lie.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:3768B7ED.AF764BB2@usa.net...
> that's OK, just send it via the US postal service.
>
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > What is the street address where you live, UPS won't deliver to PO Boxes
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

5080. Re: iCOM IC-R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com>
Message-ID: <376906B6.39B5F06@home.com>
Source record: 115229

The R1 suffers from extreme intermod. It is probably the worst scanner
ever made.
The only redeeming quality is the small size.

"C.F.A.3" wrote:
>
> What is the word on this unit. I have one, I bought used. I understand
> it is not well liked. Talk to me. Please

5081. Icom PCR-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: "www.javaradio.com" <nejtack@ingenspam.nu>
Message-ID: <376907dc@news.sto.telegate.se>
Source record: 115230

Hello Radio Friends.

There is an Icom PCR-1000 all mode receiver online 24 hours on the internet.
Have you seen it? It is fully controllable with your browser.

Imagine tuning a receiver on the other side of the world.
It is mindboggling.

The URL is http://www.javaradio.com

5082. Airport Control Tower Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark White <markwhite@home.com>
Message-ID: <nY7a3.779$0B1.927@news.rdc2.occa.home.com>
Source record: 115231

I am trying to monitor the control tower at a local airport on the published frequency of 133.1. I seem to be only getting the pilot side of the conversation. I assume the tower responds on some standard offset frequency. If so, what is the offset and it is the same for ground control (129.45)?

Thanks in advance
Mark

5083. Brighton, Hamburg, Pinckney frequencies wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdcuddington@mmm.com
Message-ID: <7kb12f$73i$1@magnum.mmm.com>
Source record: 115232

Looking for Livingston County Fire,Ambulance,Police, DNR frequencies

Thanks

Mike

Opinions expressed herein are my own and may not represent those of my employer.

5084. Re: Airport Control Tower Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrg1std@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990617111213.20251.00000559@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 115233

Mark,

The tower will use the same freq. You have to be pretty close to hear the tower. Usually within a few miles. To get the airport freqs. try www.airnav.com.

Bill

5085. Re: What are the favorite songs of your local mobile police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
Message-ID: <37692872@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 115234

Spunky The Waffle Iron <@.> wrote in message
<0C338F12A618D5A0.71A72117A3170BFD.0F4AA4580AD34115@lp.airnews.net>...
>I hear alot of music, from Rock and Roll to Kenny G in the background while
our local police >is talking
>on their radio's.

>What songs do your local police play in their police cars?

Our patrol cars just have standard AM/FM radios, no tape or CD. In a
previous dispatch job at a sheriff's department where things were a little
more relaxed at 3 AM, it was common to hear bits of music come over the
radio anonymously. Especially if it was "I Fought the Law."

>Funny as I thought all policemen were backwards jerks who are usualy dumb
hicks or tough or >militant guys who get their kicks
>screwing people over for a living.

I'm sorry you feel this way. True, there are some jerks out there who love
the power they have. However, one complaint to their supervisor usually
keeps them in line. But most cops are ordinary Joes (and Joann's) that are
trying to make a living doing a hard job.

Nobody loves a cop until a criminal is at the door.

Tom "respect my authoritay!" Sevart

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

5086. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7kbag1$evm@dfw-ixnews12.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115235

In <frodo-1706991009060001@i48-14-04.pdx.du.teleport.com>
frodo@fullcircle.net (BBBaggins) writes:
>
>x-no-archive: yes
>fa'p94y098auroi
>
>
>In article <3768A57D.3ADE3BA7@netcom.com>, Bill Conduit
<myway@netcom.com>
>wrote:
>
>> yes...just received one today.
>
>
>'Atta boy, CONDUIT. Ignore the problem and it'll go away. Your
words, pal.

did not write it...
somone else saw
my e-mail and
did not know of
my position...he's
been away ... so
he put it in thinking
it was funny...but
yes, someone did send
me an alleged pic...

I'm abiding by my words...
no peep from my friend who
i've asked not to....no more.

plus no more from my
person as stated.

flail away...I deserve it.

bill conduit
etc.

5087. Re: Airnav

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <_jaa3.1649$w61.362@stones>
Source record: 115236

Goura <goura@altavista.net> wrote in message
news:3768F1AB.286D@altavista.net...
> I'm looking for a serial number for Airnav

what you are looking for was posted in alt.binaries.cracks a couple of days
ago, search back and get it :-)

eavsdropper

5088. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@mental.FOOL!
Message-ID: <3775295b.5228144@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> >> I don't have to. All anyone has to
>
>Why is it that YOU

[snip]

Just 4 today? You are running behind, retard. Better post some more
off-topic drivel to get back up to par.

>> Why do you take the exact words I say about you and just throw them back? Is
>> it because you have nothing valid to say?
>
>What's wrong, you can't handle being presented with the truth of yourself?

You watch too many movies, mental boy.

Truth isn't MY problem, toilet boy.

Stay off this NG until you post something relevant to scanning.

5089. Re: Macomb County Michigan - Weather

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-17 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <37694A58.56BAD30C@mich.com>
Source record: 115238

Try 147.200 for Macomb Skywarn.

Also 442.150 for the regional Skywarn net which provides the direct weather
intel interface to the National Weather Service.

BColenso wrote:

> The NOAA freq here in SE Michigan is 162.55 MHz.
>
> Ham radio *Sky Warn* for Wayne County is 145.33 MHz, and Oakland County is
> 147.180 MHz.

Do ya mean 147.140 (the SMART-ass repeater) for Oakland County?

> Bob
> KD8WU

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

5090. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376A8924.7412ED0A@usa.net>
Source record: 115089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

That is stull better than YOU. Heck, you have brought so much shame to yourself that you are afraid to use your REAL identity on this NG!!

Macaroon wrote:

> Just 4 today? You are

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5091. Re: iCOM IC-R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mglowe@iastate.edu
Message-ID: <7ke154$eq4$1@news.iastate.edu>
Source record: 115090

In article <7kc6rjcih1@news1.fast.net>,
Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net> wrote:
>Mine works fine too. Always has. It's gotten enough use I 'wore-out' two
>sets of internal ni-cads over the years.
>
>Clay
>
>Josh Long wrote in message ...
>>I had one for a while, but didn't like it because of the complexity of the
>>controls. It received fine as far as I used it.
>>
>>Jim Wayda wrote:
>>
>>> The R1 suffers from extreme intermod. It is probably the worst scanner
>>> ever made.
>>> The only redeeming quality is the small size.

Now thats just not true. Though it does have a tarnished
reputation, it is a good scanner. Not the best but a good scanner
nevertheless. My only problem with it is that batteries are too
expensive and I have not found a third party vendor that sale internal
batteries anyone found a vendor?

-Michael

>>>
>>> "C.F.A.3" wrote:
>>> >
>>> > What is the word on this unit. I have one, I bought used. I understand
>>> > it is not well liked. Talk to me. Please
>>>
>>>
>>>

--
Michael G. Lowe | US Mail: 123-B University Village
Student Consultant | Ames, Iowa 50010-8892
| USA
Phone: (515) 292-0834 | Internet: mglowe@iastate.edu

5092. MIND CONTROL HARASSMENT CASE IN CALIFORNIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: capaliwoda@netzero.net
Message-ID: <199906181807.UAA03352@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 115091
Cross-posted to: alt.journalism,alt.news-media,alt.activism,alt.activism.community,alt.x-files,rec.radio.scanner

6-16-1999

MIND CONTROL HARASSMENT CASE IN CALIFORNIA

We talk about people undergoing unconscionable Hell at the hands of vicious and perverted criminals who have gotten ahold of mind control equipment! I found an interesting case of one California woman which demonstrates how bad it can get (reprinted below) on the world wide web. It did get that bad (and worse) in my case too. Female victims are invariably raped by these criminal types. Many of the persons getting ahold of this equipment are undoubtedly nothing more than common criminals. Unfortunately, it has gotten so bad that there is no way out except a public information effort followed by a strenuous attempt to ferret out these illegal controllers, developing the best detection efforts which technology and ingenuity allow, and trying to disarm abusive users wherever they might be found. Statutes on law-books and well-prepared police units as well as a psychologically prepared public, who do not deny the existence of the technology, are a necessity to grapple with these criminal elements. Medicating and institutionalizing their victims as the only current solution is like adding insult to injury, as well as a form of unjust character assassination. Medication will help control victims' anxiety, but of what value is this if their tormentors remain at large, waiting to latch onto other prey in a serial fashion, going free as a bird after having inflicted the torments of the damned on their innocent prey, due to public denial that the technology even exists?

I got the article below at the site of Anathema Research at http://www.io.com/~hambone/ (link on "Mind Control Letters" page) --home page of Doc Hambone devoted to para-political, conspiracy, and fringe culture topics, including mind control. There is the uncomplimentary and misleading implication that mind control is only fringe culture. There are postings there which the Doc had to present apologetically, as being too far out, but sandwiched in between these are apparently legitimate beefs like the one below. I found myself represented there too. I am personally completely sincere and have a legitimate gripe. I think the Doc is ultimately filling a very valuable

niche. The open mind is always better than the narrow, closed one when it comes to arriving at Truth. There is the other extreme of gullibility. I guess there is a happy middle course to steer. We live in an age with many amazing technological advances, including the computer I am processing these words on to transmit on an incredible communications network. DARPA originally intended the Internet as a defense nerve network, and it is essentially serving its original purpose now.

In the context of gruesome crimes such as the one delineated below, I have also wondered about the scenario provided in the Abemarf post in the alt.mindcontrol newsgroup: "Coming SOON--the Psycho-Civilized Police State!!!" (author Abemarf, alt.mindcontrol---Friday, May 21, 1999 6:00 AM), in which the crime picture is deliberately intensified as a preface to a military takeover in the guise of restoring social order, using agents provocateurs. It describes a public being conditioned to accept fascism. It starts:

_____
PSYOPS

By Glenn Krawczyk

PO Box 1540 Burleigh Heads, Qld 4220 Australia

In 1994, the Strategic Studies Institute (SSI) of the US Army War College (Carlisle Barracks, Pennsylvania) produced a paper entitled, "The Revolution in Military Affairs and Conflict Short of War"....

_____

You have to wonder why anyone would want to commit some of these senseless crimes to begin with. Hardly anyone believes that there would be any motive to commit some of these cruel acts. One thing that happens to victims is that they are usually fed a lot of bull by their victimizers, and they partly assimilate this in their distraught states. Additionally, what they hear is embellished by the powers of their own runaway imaginations. All of this helps to undermine credibility and distort what really happened.

Well, anyway, what follows is the experience of the California woman I referred to. I didn't edit anything (except for one or two spelling errors). Many victimizers do get quite coarse and sleazy. Mine did also. It is no reflection on the victim's integrity. Cornered victims are trapped. You might as well be stalled in an indefinitely stuck elevator cage with a psychopath. It really wrecks

your quality of life and induces traumatic stress syndrome. After you undergo it, there is the additional stress of being accused as crazy for even making the claim that it happened. Is there anything to the fact that victims seem to cluster around a certain age group (corresponding to a period in history of unusually heavy experimentation)? She is about as old as I am.

There are some interesting words about HAARP, Tesla technology, and the Los Alamos lab (which has been in the news lately).

MICROWAVE TECHNOLOGY HARASSMENT

My name is Tannie Lee Braziel. My WWW site is "Amazon Web World" at http://www.amazonco.com. My personal E-mail address is tbraziel@amazonco.com. I own and operate Amazon Paralegal Services (legalsvc@amazonco.com), 1680 North Vine Street, Suite 214, Hollywood, CA 90028 (Voice: (213) 465-1255; Fax: (213) 465-0937). I am a member of the Hollywood Chamber of Commerce, Hollywood, CA. On March 3, 1996, I sent a letter to the Federal Communications Commission which follows the end of this letter, and discusses the "voices" and other technological harassments that persons unknown to me have employed to abuse me for years.

Finally, in December, 1996, I received a response from the Federal Communications Commission indicating that it does not handle the type of complaint which I presented.

Included among those to whom I sent copies of my letter in March are the U.S. Justice Department, The Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Los Angeles Police Commission.

I also sent a copy to the National Organization of Women; and its then president, Tammy Bruce, invited me to speak on this subject on her radio show on KFI in Los Angeles, California.

On April 13, 1996, I did in fact appear on her show, identifying myself and my business name and location. As a result, several listeners telephoned my office and provided me with facts which established that I am an "involuntary experimentee" of a government-created operation known as "H.A.A.R.P." (High-Frequency Atmospheric Auroral Research Project) which is supposedly based in Alaska, and is used as an electronic mind-control device against American citizens.

I have since gathered much written information regarding "H.A.A.R.P." and other prior mind-control projects such as "MKULTRA", "ARTICHOKE" and "PANDORA" which have been

used by the Central Intelligence Agency against the people of the United States since post-World War II.

I have also located published testimonies of other survivors of electronic mind control in "Whistle Blowers", edited and compiled by Elizabeth Russell-Manning with Cheryl Welsh (Flatland Books, San Francisco, CA; (707) 964-8326; Code No. WHBL).

Recently, I accessed and captured information from the "Up ParaScope" website (http://www.parascope.com) regarding Nikola Tesla, which, when combined with the material already in my possession on him, clarified his important role in the "H.A.A.R.P." Project and other various, and prior, government mind-control projects.

Nikola Tesla was a scientist who began his career work just prior to 1900. He pioneered alternating current, created fluorescent light and the arc lamp, invented the radio and developed the logic circuits used in modern computers. Over 700 patents were registered in his name and he was an important shaper of current technological achievements.

The efforts of his later years included wireless transmission of power, thought recorders, and death rays.

Tesla's main career pursuit was the wireless transmission of energy. He solved the problem that transmission of power through air over long distances would result in a significant loss of energy. Instead of sending energy using air as a medium, he sent energy through the ground, because he found that the ground, if charged highly enough, could become the conductor itself; and the whole earth could be transformed into an electric transmitter.

Some of Tesla's inventions had military applications, among which was automaton technology he used to produce remote-controlled boats and submarines. He hoped for a contract with the U.S. military, but the government refused his offers.

Then, Tesla invented the death ray, a particle accelerator and outgrowth of his magnifying transformer. The death ray focused its energy output into a thin beam so concentrated that it would not scatter, even over great distances.

On the night of June 30, 1908, Tesla tested the death ray while Robert Peary was making his second attempt to reach the North Pole. Tesla notified Peary's expedition that he would contact them, and that they should report to him anything unusual they might witness.

Tesla aimed his death ray across the Atlantic toward the arctic, to a spot which he calculated was west of Peary's expedition.

On June 30, 1908, a massive explosion devastated Tunguska, a remote area of Siberia, destroying 500,000 acres instantly. Audible from 620 miles away, it was the most powerful explosion in human history. Scientists believe it was caused by a meteorite or comet fragment, although no such objects were found. However, it seems obvious that Tesla's death ray had overshot its intended target at the North Pole and destroyed Tunguska.

In 1943, Tesla died in New York City at age 87. The U.S. government, through the Federal Bureau of Investigation, ordered the Office of Alien Property to seize all of Tesla's papers and possessions, although he had been an American citizen since 1891.

Reportedly, in 1957, the Russians were performing Tesla-like technology experiments using microwaves aimed at various U.S. government facilities and personnel; and the Pentagon retaliated with top-secret Tesla-based projects of its own, the most famous of which is the "H.A.A.R.P." Project.

Many scientific researchers believe that "H.A.A.R.P." is a communications and surveillance network and a mass mind-control device.

Mind-control operations utilize "directed-energy technology" described as "non-lethal defense" which targets and attacks human beings with microwave energy for experimentation -- or elimination -- purposes, and includes the capabilities of sending sound analogs ("voices") and mental images, and of reading a person's thoughts through brainwave translation.

The "voices" were conceived in a lightning bolt. In 1956, two geophysicists, Holzer and Deal, noticed that naturally-occurring electromagnetic (EM) signals in the auditory range were tossed off by thunderstorms. With little variation, most of the EM bursts were metered at 25 to 130 cycles per second, with a very low attenuation rate. Therefore, lightning discharges could be picked up anywhere in the world as "magnetic noise" on the ELF radio dial.

Not only does the U.S. government have this technological capability, but private industry does also.

On November 16 and 17, 1993, the Los Alamos National Laboratory, located in Los Alamos, New Mexico, sponsored a secret conference on non-lethal defense at the Columbia Inn in Columbia, Maryland. Invited guests and speakers were,

among others, U.S. Attorney General Janet Reno, "brass" members of the U.S. military branches, and private industry researchers, who discussed various aspects of "directed-energy technology" including "voice synthesis."

Los Alamos National Laboratory (http://www.lanl.gov) is located in northern New Mexico. It is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy. It also provides access for other federal agencies to highly specialized or unique facilities, services or technical expertise. The majority of such work is for the U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force and Marines.

Some of the activities of Los Alamos National Laboratory are new technology system assessments, nuclear weapon development, high-energy-density science and applications, atmospheric and near-space environment technology, global change and climate dynamics, bio-science, applications of defense technology to the bio-mechanical modeling of the human body, techniques for non-invasive functional imaging of the human brain, including neuroscience, low-temperature physics, computer science, electrical engineering and state-of-the-art signal processing.

A private industrial firm known as ASM Labs of Marionville, Missouri manufactures a product which it calls the "Mongoose fiber-optic cable system" in which analog audio signals travel as light and, the company claims, are immune from interference.

Further, my research materials indicate that, along with government and private industry, the Los Angeles Police Department -- specifically, its "Special Intelligence Section" also has this technology; and that that department "has an established history of civil and human rights abuses under conspiratorial circumstances."

On August 13, 1991, the Napa Sentinel, of Napa, California, published an article by Harry V. Martin and David Caul which stated that:

"California has been in the forefront of mind-control experimentation. Government experiments also were conducted in the Haight-Ashbury District in San Francisco at the height of the Hippy reign. "In 1974, Senator Sam Erwin -- of Watergate fame -- headed a U.S. Senate Subcommittee on Constitutional Rights studying the subject of 'individual rights and the Federal role in behavior modification.' Though little publicity was given to this committee's investigation, Senator Erwin issued a strong condemnation of the federal role in mind control. That condemnation, however, did not halt mind control experiments -- they just

received more circuitous funding.

"The Department of Health, Education and Welfare and the U.S. Army have admitted mind control experiments. Many deaths have occurred.

"In tracing the steps of government mind control experiments, the trail leads to legal and illegal usages, usage for covert intelligence operations, and experiments on innocent people who were unaware that they were being used."

On November 8, 1991, the Napa Sentinel published another article by Harry V. Martin and David Caul which stated:

"Thirty years ago, Allen Frey [a biophysicist at General Electric's Advanced Electronics Center, Cornell University and a contractor for the Office of Naval Research] discovered that microwaves of 300 to 3,000 megahertz could be 'heard' by people, even if they were deaf, if pulsed at a certain rate. Appearing to be originating just in back of the head, the sound boomed, clicked, hissed or buzzed, depending upon the frequency. Later research has shown that the perception takes place just in front of the ears.
"Walter Reed Army Institute of Research [under the code name "Project PANDORA"] refined the technique so that the microwaves could transmit understandable spoken words. In fact, one of its researchers, Dr. Joseph C. Sharp, was himself the subject of an experiment in which pulsed microwave audiograms, or the microwave analog of the sound vibration of spoken words, were delivered to his brain in such a way that he was able to understand the words that were spoken.

"Military and undercover uses of such a device might include driving a subject crazy with inner voices in order to discredit him, or conveying undetectable instructions to a programmed assassin."

I have learned two important facts from my research data:
1. In 1953, the United States signed the "Nuremberg Code" and pledged with the international community of nations to respect human rights and to prohibit experimentations on captive populations without full and free consent. That same year, the Central Intelligence Agency began its mind-control projects, and, with the U.S. Navy and U.S. Army, targeted specific groups of people for experimentation who were not able to resist -- prisoners, mental patients, foreigners, ethnic minorities, sex deviants, the terminally ill, children and U.S. military personnel and prisoners of war, thus violating the Nuremberg Code.

2. Pursuant to U.S. Executive Order No. 12333, dated December 4, 1981, the national Department of Defense is legislatively prohibited from conducting psychological experiments against U.S. citizens.

Further, I have conceived of three possible adjunctive facts:

1. The "H.A.A.R.P. Project may be based in New Mexico instead of Alaska.

2. The "hum" experienced and complained of by residents of Roswell, New Mexico may be caused by "H.A.A.R.P." activity at Los Alamos National Laboratory.

3. Spontaneous combustion of humans may result from increased body temperature during microwave experimentation.

My life is a struggle both day and night. The men who are participating in this activity against me assault every part of my body with their voices constantly, especially with sexual assault to my private parts. In public restrooms, they even bounce their voices off other women's bodies if I am not in motion. When I touch any part of my body, or an object touches any part of it, or as my clothing moves against it, these men attach to the movement the amplified sounds of their voices, or they employ licking, stroking, vibrating, trembling, grabbing, pushing, rubbing or rustling sensations; or a poking sensation, similar to the touch of a finger or the tip of a tongue; or a pressing sensation, as with a hand. Almost always, they attach the sounds of their voices to any movement that I make, or to any object that I touch, pick up or put down, or handle. Further, they usually "voice-over" my television or radio programs to be sure that I can hear only them.

Often, some part of my body will become very warm, as though a heat lamp is being shined on that part of it.

Occasionally, I feel a blast of energy against the left or the right side of my head, which I believe to be a blast of microwave energy, in that I can feel warm air move against my head in waves, I can hear a loud "wind" sound, and I become extremely dizzy and nauseous.

When I visit a restroom, and when I shower or dress, they assault my private parts, especially between my legs, so intensely, it is as if I am being raped; and I must actually wrestle against the sounds.

Many women in Los Angeles are unaware that while they were at Ballys Health Spa in Hollywood, California, or while they were in a public restroom somewhere, they were spied on, listened to and their bodies were criticized as they

were naked and engaged in intimate feminine activity -- because I was there. It is obvious, of course, that it probably occurs when I'm not there -- and probably occurs everywhere, all the time.

These men tell me that they "own" me and my body, and everything I have; that they have taken away all of my rights and my relationship with Jesus Christ; and that they have sold subscriptions to millions of men who also participate in seeing and hearing me, and bouncing their voices against my body parts.

They tell me, "We've made you into a whore, and it doesn't matter what we do to you." "We're using you as a 'scapegoat' for our sins." "We want you to think we've sold you into slavery." "We want you to do what we tell you to do." "We just want to keep raping you, with nothing you can do about it." "We don't want you to have nothing." "You're not a woman, anyway, you ugly bitch." "I wouldn't do this to an animal -- but you're lower than an animal, you damn dog." "We've never had so much trouble getting rid of a nigger."

"You were the guinea pig for what we could do to other women. We've raped so many other women using sound devices..." "We want you to think it's a pleasure." "We want you to think it's legal." "We're men, and part of your 'punishment' is to be naked in front of millions of men." "You have no idea how much money is involved. I'm an agent for the government and we make up our deficit selling pictures of you, you ugly bitch."

When I pray or read the Bible, they scream blasphemies so loudly, I can hardly maintain my concentration.

I will appreciate any and all assistance you can provide to me in my quest to remove these "voices" and other related harassment from my life.

Please consider that the signals which transmit these "voices" to me may be hidden from normal detection.

Please consider that the frequency at which the signals are sent may be changed from time to time to avoid detection.

Please consider that the signals may be transmitted in GIGAHERTZ instead of MEGAHERTZ.

Finally, please consider also that the signals may not be transmitted through the air, but through the ground.

Thank you for your response.

tbraziel@amazonco.com

From amazon@netcom.com Mon Mar 4 17:45:19 1996 Date: Sun, 3 Mar 1996 15:23:09 -0800 (PST) From: Amazon Paralegal Services Reply to: tbraziel@amazonco.com To: fccinfo@fcc.gov Cc: web@usdoj.gov Subject: Invasion Of Privacy Transmissions My name is Tannie Lee Braziel. I am a 50-year-old black female. My street address is 1680 North Vine Street, Suite 214, Hollywood, California 90028. My telephone number is (213) 465-1255. My fax number is (213) 465-0937. My Internet domain name is "amazonco.com".

I am self-employed at the above address as Amazon Paralegal Services and Amazon Publishing Enterprises, which I have owned and operated since 1986. I have worked in the legal profession as a legal secretary and paralegal since 1968. I am an alumnus of U.C.L.A. (1975) with a bachelor's degree in English.

For approximately the last five (5) years, since 1991, I have been stalked and terrorized electronically, and my civil rights have been violated, by people who broadcast verbal transmissions to me wherever I go, in and out of doors (hereafter referred to as "the voices").

The voices are male and belong to men who see and hear me -- and speak to me -- through the air. They also speak to me through motorized devices, my television, the rustling of my clothing against my body, the sounds of food in my mouth when I eat, running water, any papers I pick up and handle, any door which I or anyone near me closes or slams, and virtually any sound which is made anywhere on me or near me.

Some of the voices belong to white men and some belong to black men. They plague and torture me with constant insults to my person and my race. They blaspheme God, Jesus Christ, and the Holy Spirit. They insult my church, my deceased mother and the rest of my family.

The voices demand that I close down my business. They threaten me with violence to me and my family if I don't do so, or if I expose what they are doing to me.

Furthermore, anyone who is physically near me is subjected to an invasion of their privacy by the same people, which includes the women's locker room of Bally's Holiday Spa in Hollywood, California which I visit daily, and where I have met entertainment personalities, a Los Angeles County deputy district attorney, and a Los Angeles City policewoman.

An even more horrific aspect of these men's intrusion into my life is that, somehow, they can read my mind. They actually speak my thoughts with me as I think them, regardless of the brevity of the thought. They tell me that I "send signals" which they are able to translate into speech and hear.

I believe that these men are using the airwaves by some type of satellite tracking and/or scanning activity which enables them to stalk me and communicate with me constantly. I believe they may be reading my mind through some type of computerized "brainwave-to-speech" translation activity, similar to the "text-to-speech" device and software which I have installed on my own computer.

Sometimes, the voices tell me that they're the police, that they're giving me "police protection" and that they are communicating with me to "keep in contact" with me. Sometimes they claim to be "God".

In California, Penal Code Section 646.9(a) provides that "[a]ny person who willfully, maliciously, and repeatedly follows or harasses another person and who makes a credible threat with the intent to place that person in reasonable fear of his or her safety, or the safety of his or her immediate family, is guilty of the crime of stalking ...."

California Penal Code Section 422 describes terrorist threat as "[a]ny person who willfully threatens to commit a crime which will result in death or great bodily injury to another person, with the specific intent that the statement is to be taken as a threat, even if there is no intent of actually carrying it out ...."

California Penal Code Section 422.6 prohibits any person, whether or not acting under color of law, from using force or threat of force to willfully injure, intimidate, interfere with, oppress or threaten any other person's free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured by state or U.S. constitutional laws.

My constitutional rights are being violated constantly by these men, including my right to personal privacy. I am dehumanized and degraded constantly by such common acts as bathing or using the toilet.

I have sustained substantial losses of personal property as a result of the mental and emotional abuse which these men have inflicted upon me during the last five years. The day of my mother's funeral on August 27, 1993 will always include the horror of these men's insults of her before she was even laid in her grave. They have vilified her memory on a constant basis ever since then, and even claim that

they have eaten her body.

In light of the recent revelations about Mark Furhrman, a Los Angeles Police Department detective who was involved in the O.J. Simpson case, and his extreme hatred for black people, it has occurred to me that the men who torment me may be policemen who share his opinions.

The voices have told me that "Voorhees" and "Kruger" are names of authority figures involved in the stalking activity against me. Perhaps "Voorhees" and "Kruger" are policemen.

Therefore, I am concerned not only for myself and my family, but for other black people who might be enduring similar experiences, but who are unable or unwilling to seek help. Further, I am concerned that some people may have been injured or killed while trying to avoid or eliminate such electronic intrusions into their lives.

Recently, I heard a female caller on a radio show complain of "noises" which she could hear in her home, and which she thought sounded like "voices."

On October 9, 1995, I watched a television program on UHF Channel 40 ("This Week In Bible Prophecy") which discussed the ability of a satellite to read a newspaper over one's shoulder, the ability to track a person because his "I.D." is attached to a certain frequency, and the ability to monitor by computer.

I am a member of the Church of Christ. I attend the Southside congregation at 1655 W. Manchester, Los Angeles where the minister is my cousin, Carl Baccus.

I am the mother of a 25-year-old daughter who was formerly in the officers training program of the United States Navy until spring, 1995 when she opted for early release.

I can provide numerous personal and business references who will certify my intelligence, integrity and sanity.

I cannot describe here the complete horror of this entire experience, because I cannot communicate the vicious hatred which these men convey to me through their speech and sound effects.

However, I have maintained a "diary" of many of the quotations from these men. Due to the lewd nature of the quotations, I am transmitting them by fax machine directly to Office of Engineering and Technology.

I request your investigation of these transmissions to me so that the persons responsible can be apprehended. I am

desperate to again live my life enjoying the same peace and privacy which is assured to and common to all citizens of the United States of America.

Please respond as soon as possible. Thank you in advance for your courteous attention to this matter.

tbraziel@amazonco.com [INSERTED BELOW ARE SELECTED QUOTATIONS FROM THE "DIARY" MENTIONED ABOVE.]

"White people want you to close down your business."

"You're just a nigger."

"Think I'm God!"

"You're nothing but an animal. It doesn't matter what we do to you."

"We don't want you getting anything out of that life of yours."

"We want you to have nothing for your whole life."

"I don't like the person you are."

"We don't want you to be a Christian. We don't want you praying to God."

"Get out of there. Get out of that office."

"Think I'm the owner of your business."

"We think you're stupid anyway."

"I could just bust your head open."

"I want you listening to 'I am God!' 'til you lose your mind."

"You're the stupidest bitch in the world."

"Black women aren't allowed to have a business like yours, you ugly bitch."

"We don't want you getting up in the world."

"See, Miss Braziel, these cops have it in for you. We want you to close down that business."

"Prisons are full of set-up bitches like you. Those women turn 'em into dikes, and they die and go to hell."

"Chew on your cud, you ugly bitch."

"I'm thinking about just killing you."

"We don't even want you to know what your name is, Tannie."

"Amazon, if someone was telling me to close down my business, I'd be gone already."

"I want you to be a whore so bad."

"I want you standing on the street corner, whoring and calling me God."

"I want your God to worship me."

"I want you layin' up f------ your God."

"Furhman ain't nothin' compared to what we've got goin' on. We've been killin' those niggers one by one."

"In this country, I am God."

"You're gonna die."

"I don't care what I do to you. You're just a nigger anyway."

"We're out here to ruin your life."

"I've made so much money of your vagina -- your hole."

"We want this nigger to do as she's told."

"A dog like you is nothin' but entertainment to us."

"We don't want a dog like you to ever benefit from that brain of yours."

"I killed your mother to drive you crazy."

"To me, colored people aren't human."

"A lot of cattlemen own a piece of you."

"White people own you."

"I've turned you into a whore."

"We don't want a nigger like you even eating."

"The police don't want you there."

"That position you're in is reserved for white people."

"Your rights have been taken away from you."

"I am the damn Lord."

"We're using you as an example."

"White people don't want your business to continue."

"I like to look up women's asses, and I want to keep doin' it."

"Women like you have been eliminated so many times."

"Why don't you become a cop and get set up to be murdered by cops themselves?"

"I've made so much money off your naked body."

"Ain't no black woman at the forefront of nothin'."

"You deserve it."

"What does your body mean?"

"I want you to think that what we're doin' is legal."

WHEN I VISIT BALLY'S HOLIDAY HEALTH SPA:

"You're not wanted here!"

"Get out of here!"

"We don't want you bathing. We want you to wake up tomorrow in the same condition you're in now."

"I have a right to look in on these women."

"Dogs like these deserve to be looked in on."

"These kind of women deserve to be spied on."

"These fat whores --."

When I visit a restroom, these men bounce their voices off the private parts of my body -- and off the tissue paper while I'm using it between my legs.

When I shower, these men scream at me through the flowing water, and bounce their voices off my body as I wipe it with a towel.

When a situation arises in which I'm looking for a lost item, or for directions to a location, or reading, or in any other inherently stressful situation, these men scream repetitively, "I AM GOD!" or "GET OUT OF HERE!" or they make lewd statements about me or my daughter, or my deceased mother; or they make any other statement which they apparently believe will debilitate my concentration -- or state of mind -- so that I will be able to hear only them, and think of only them.

These men ring tones in my ears, and flash small red and white lights around me while demanding that I act or not act in various situations, such as my purchases at a store, my meals, my baths, my work, and my telephone calls.

tbraziel@amazonco.com

_____
NetZero - We believe in a FREE Internet. Shouldn't you?
Get your FREE Internet Access and Email at
http://www.netzero.net/download/index.html

5093. Icom R-7000 With Extra's For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Allen <FAllen-IDC@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <01beb9b5$8bb274a0$d1804b0c@default>
Source record: 115092

I have a Icom R7000 VHF/UHF Receiver plus Extra's for sale. The Icom R-7000 covers 25-1999.999MHz, in all modes. It comes with the box, manual, and service manual, and is in excellent condition. It also includes the TV-R7000 ATV Unit, AH7000 Omni Antenna, with 50 feet of coax with "N" connectors, the Novex 7100 VLF/HF converter which extends the range of the 7000 down to 20 KHz, the Novex R-7000 Rack Mount unit with the external speaker, and the ICOM SP-3 External Speaker. Price is $950.00 shipped via Fedex 3 day Economy. Please e-mail for a picture. Thanks Fred.

fallen-idc@worldnet.att.net

5094. CONDITIONED RESPONSES VS "NEW" BRAIN TECHNOLOGY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: capaliwoda@netzero.net
Message-ID: <199906181817.UAA04308@mail.replay.com>
Source record: 115093
Cross-posted to: alt.journalism,alt.news-media,alt.activism,alt.activism.community,rec.radio.scanner

CONDITIONED RESPONSES VS "NEW" BRAIN TECHNOLOGY

With a behind the scenes view, it is obvious that the public is literally "conditioned" with an automatic response with what has probably been a continuous process of reverse media and other types of propaganda to automatically reject any complaint in which a victim claims to be "hearing voices". They are conditioned to perceive this as a "classic" pathological symptom (with a "classic" description--which has made its way into "classic" textbooks) which could not possibly have any foundation in fact. This is your "textbook" symptom, in other words. The technology has been around so long now (albeit in the wrong hands--probably since the 1960's) that there has actually been time to rewrite the textbooks and reform medical curricula to adapt to the power plan. It has become a closed system, with goon squads eliminating witnesses. From behind the scenes, from the vantage point of knowing about the capability to produce microwave hearing effects which has been in existence for almost, if not in excess of, thirty years (carefully concealed from public knowledge except for one or two books and press releases), the whole thing savors of a carefully orchestrated public relations conspiracy. How common were those cases of victims reporting "hearing voices" attributable to this source? What percentage were results of genuine aberration or perhaps drug usage, and what percentage reflects this now sinister appearing scheme to influence the minds of members of the populace from behind the scenes? What is the extent of collaboration on the part of segments of the psychiatric profession? How many of them were witting? I have personally documented to my own satisfaction the existence of brain control technology for 26 solid years, along with the conspiracy to hide it. I have kept journals on this phenomenon for that length of time--saved newsclippings and books hoping to find vindication for what I now know to be fact. The public is conditioned like Pavlov's dog to automatically reject the possibility of such a thing actually existing, and this poses a maximal danger to society as it falls prey to a very sinister scheme to control it from behind the scenes.

The reasons government and the media would engage in the scheme of concealment is partly the use of force which is

intrinsic in the brain control itself--the ability to neutralize thought, literally, with electronic disruption. Also, the powers that be are aware of the dangers of said technology, should it fall into the wrong hands. However, the abuse I have experienced at the mercy of some of these operators indicate that the technology is already in some very wrong hands--the worst conceivable, in fact.

The closest I have ever seen to a public revelation of what this technology can do was a 1976 Associated Press newspaper release (printed in the Cleveland Plain Dealer November 22, 1976, p. 9-A) entitled "Mind-bending Soviet radio waves reported". Now that was over two decades ago, and the stuff is still secret, which frightens me (with regard to possible inroads against privacy which may have been achieved since then). Part of the text of that release follows:

"A newly declassified U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency report says extensive Soviet research into microwaves might lead to methods of causing disoriented human behavior, nerve disorders or even heart attacks. 'Soviet scientists are fully aware of the biological effects of low-level microwave radiation which might have offensive weapons application', says the report....
The Pentagon agency's report, distributed within the governmentlast March, said one biological effect that could offer antipersonnel uses is the phenomenon known as 'microwave hearing'. 'Sounds and possibly even words which appear to be originating (within the head) can be induced by signal modulation at very low average power densities', the study said....
The report was compiled by Ronald L. Adams of the Army surgeon general's office and Dr. R.A. Williams of Batelle Laboratories, Columbus [Ohio]."

Although microwaves could be utilized, the penetrability of the signal (capable of being received in subterranean places like the transit entranceway beneath Cleveland's Terminal Tower complex and certainly not blockable by anything so flimsy as a few sheets of tinfoil) leads me personally to suspect the use of high-energy (thus ionizing) radiation--something more like an X-ray. I am, however, no expert on these things.

See also at the end of this report a copy of a 1962 paper by Allan Frey, made available by Alex Constantine on Usenet recently (alt.mindcontrol, 4-15-99, under heading "1962 Monograph/RF Brain Transmissions"). This is reposted to counter accusations by certain people that the technology for brain control could not possibly exist at the time I

claimed it did. It describes a parallel exploration of the effects of electromagnetic radiation, particularly for sound simulation, in the United States.

Also of interest:
http://www.totse.com/files/FA014/ibmmndcn.htm
The above is a web page with what purports to be an IBM Corp. internal memo about a neural implant chip tested by IBM. I cannot personally ascertain its legitimacy.

One of the very earliest publications dealing with the Soviet interest in the phenomenon was PSYCHIC DISCOVERIES BEHIND THE IRON CURTAIN by Sheila Ostrander and Lynn Schroeder, which first came out May, 1970 (Prentice-Hall). Since then, the technology has found its way to other countries--most notably our own. It is difficult to believe that we do not have a mirror program which duplicates anything the other side has. The main reason to be given for not developing technology in this direction overtly is that the medium used is a health hazard sure to be condemned by health authorities like the FDA, and hence would be blackballed as illegal. It has clearly made its way into so-called black projects, however.

Even earlier than this (written up in LIFE magazine in September, 1962) there were rumors that the neurophone invented by G. Patrick Flanagan in the United States (author of the book PYRAMID POWER, 1973, De Vorss & Co.) could effect a telepathic transfer (but this machine was not wireless).

There is regular censorship and denial by government and mainstream news-media. Fear of proliferation and subsequent increases in abuse are probable rationales, as well as the fact that there is no public admission that hazardous radiation would be used as a communications medium, this being inherently illegal. At very low levels of intensity, the perils might not be that great. I seem to have survived heavy bombardment nowhere near any safe range (on purpose) nearly intact. I wish that socially acceptable restriction of this technology would make disclosure unnecessary. If complaint to authorities brought a reasonable resolution of the problem, instead of what looks from here like either gross indefinite neglect or unforgivable collusion, it would not be necessary. However, as things stand, the price of silence is incredible extremes of abuse, against which no steps are ever taken. It is galling that someone could undergo 26 years of torture and human rights abuses in the United States of America, which touts itself all the time as the freest country in the world, yet there is barely a whisper of the situation

anywhere, despite perpetual complaining by the victim. Why should any underclass of people be living lives of the damned in modern America? It certainly goes against the much repeated clause that all men are created equal and are endowed with certain unalienable rights, to have a thriving slave traffic in the United States whose perpetrators never face any indictment. In likelihood, the crime would have been solved long ago had there been any degree of publicity. In their behalf, it might be stated that criminal elements could be overwhelming police. In this case, shouldn't the public be informed so that all concerned can reinforce the fight against crime? Why should the country be overwhelmed by an internal enemy and no defenses be brought against it, due to secrecy? It could continue to grow in potency and to overcome internal opposition, with dire consequences.

An eavesdropping capability is essential to intercepting misuse. One note of hope is that the weapon can to some extent serve as its own means of detection--that is, it can be used to detect illegal operators by being able to see through walls.

While surfing the net looking for signs other persons have experienced the same type of problem I have as a victim, I have come across the following interesting web sites, which you could also look into:

http://www.angelfire.com/nj/jhgraf

http://www.cs.virginia.edu/~alb/ugly/ugly.html

http://www.mk.net/~mcf/

http://members.aol.com/AYu5565448/index.htm
(Does not speak perfect English, but this former Taiwan Defense Dept. employee really does know something.)

http://members.tripod.com/~FreeThought/

http://tis.eh.doe.gov/ohre/
(U.S. government human radiation experimentation site)

Information site for victims of illegal mind control experimentation: http://www.aches-mc.org

Freedom of Thought Foundation:
http://www.azstarnet.com/~freetht/

The Boycott Brazil home page depicts the plight of avowed victim John Lambros, and underlines the potential of this technology for abuse. His particular incident occurred outside the United States, but analogous abuses are occurring within the U.S. It is at:
http://members.aol.com/BrazilByct/index.html

^^

1962 ALLAN FREY PAPER FOLLOWS:

1962 Journal of Applied Physiology 17(4) pages 689-692.

ALLAN H. FREY

General Electric Advanced Electronics Center
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York

Frey, Allan H., Human Auditory system response to modulated electromag-
netic energy. J. Appl. Physiol. 17(4): 689-692. 1962.

Note: The intent of this paper is to bring a new phenomena to the attention of physiologists. Using extremely low average power densities of electromagnetic energy, the perception of sounds was induced in normal and deaf humans. The effect was induced several hundred feet from the antenna the instant the transmitter was turned on, and is a function of carrier frequency and modula- tion. Attempts were made to match the sounds induced by electromagnetic energy and acoustic energy. The closest match occurred when the acoustic amplifier was driven by the rf transmitter's modulator. Peak power density is a critical factor and, with acoustic noise of approximately 80 db, a peak power density of approximately 275 mw / rf is needed to induce the perception at carrier freq- uencies 125 mc and 1,310 mc. The average power density can be at rf as low as 400 _u_w/cm2. The evidence for the various positive sites of the electromagnetic energy sensor are discussed and locations peripheral to the cochlea are ruled out.

Received for publication 29 September 1961.

A significant amount of research has been conducted with the effects of radio-frequency (rf) energy on organisms (electro- magnetic energy between 1 kc and ** Gc). Typically, this work has been concerned with determining damage resulting from body temperature increase. The average power densities used have been on the order of 0.1-t w/cm2 used over many minutes to several hours.

In contrast, using average power densities measured in microwatts per square centimeter, we have found that ****r effects which are transient, can be induced with rf energy. Further, these effects occur the instant the transmit- ter is turned on. With appropriate modulation, the perception of different sounds can be induced in physically deaf, as well as normal, in human subjects at a distance of inches up to thousands of feet from the transmitter. With somewhat different transmission parameters, you can induce the perception of severe buffeting of the head, without such apparent vestibular symptoms as dizziness or nausea. Changing transmitter parameters down, one can induce a "pins-and-needles" sensation.

Experimental work with these phenomena may yield information on auditory system functioning and, more generally, in the nervous system function. For example, this energy could possibly be used as a tool to explore nervous system coding, possibly using Neider and Neff's procedures (1), and for stimulating the nervous system without the damage caused by electrodes.

Since most of our data have been obtained of the "rf sound" and only the visual system has previously been shown to respond to electromagnetic energy, this paper will be concerned only with the auditory effects data. As a further restriction, only data from human subjects will be reported, since only this data can be discussed meaningfully at the present time. The long series of studies we performed to ascertain that we were dealing with a biological significant phenomena (rather than broadcasts from sources such as loose fillings in the teeth) are summarized in another paper (2), which also reports on the measuring instruments used in this work.

The intent of this paper is to bring this new phenomenon to the attention of physiologists. The data reported are intended to suggest numerous lines of experimentation and indicate necessary experimental controls. Since we are dealing with a significant phenomenon, we decided to explore the effects of a wide range of transmitter parameters to build up the body of knowledge which would allow us to generate hypotheses and determine what experimental controls would be necessary. Thus, the numbers given are conservative; they should not be considered precise, since the transmitters were never located in ideal laboratory environments. Within the limits of our measurements, the orientation of the subject in the rf field was of little consequence.

Most of the transmitters used to date in the experimentation have been pulse modulated with no information placed on the signal. The rf sound has been described as being a buzz, clicking, hiss, or knocking, depending on several transmitter parameters, i.e., pulse width and pulse-repetition rate (PRF). The apparent source of these sounds is localized by the subjects as being within, or immediately behind the head. The sound always seems to come from within or immediately behind the head no matter how the subjects twists or rotates in the rf field.
Our early experimentation, preformed using transmitters with very short square pulses and high pulse-repetition rates, seemed to indicate that we were dealing with harmonics of the PRF. However, our later work has indicated that this is not the case; rather, the rf sound appears to be incidental modulation envelope on each pulse, as shown in Fig 1. Some difficulty was experienced when the subjects tried to match the rf sound to ordinary audio. They reported that it was not possible to satisfactorily match the rf sound to a sine wave or to white noise. An audio amplifier was connected to a variable bypass filter and pulsed by the transmitter pulsing mechanism. The subjects, when allowed to control the filter, reported a fairly satisfactory match. The subjects were fairly well satisfied with all frequencies below 5-kc audio were eliminated and the high- frequency audio was extended as much as possible. There was, however, always a demand for more high-frequency components. Since our

tweeter has a rather good high-frequency response, it is possible that we have shown an analogue of visual phenomenon in which people see farther into the ultraviolet range when the lenses is eliminated from the eye. In other words, this may be a demons- tration that the mechanical transmission system of the ossicles cannot respond to as high a frequency as the rest of the auditory system. Since the rf bypasses the ossicle (a bone in the inner ear ed.) system and the audio given the subject for matching does not, this may explain the dissatisfaction of our subjects in the matching.

FIG. 1. Oscilloscope representation of transmitter output over time (pulse-modulated).



FIG. 2. Audiogram of deaf subject (otosclerosis) who had a "normal" rf sound threshold.



TABLE 1. Transmitter parameters

Trans- mitter	Frequency, mc	Wave- length, cm	Pulse Width, _u_sec	Pulses Sec.	Duty Cy.
A	1,310	22.9	6	244	.0015
B	2,982	10.4	1	400	.0004

C 425 70.6 125 27 .0038
D 425 70.6 250 27 .007
E 425 70.6 500 27 .014
F 425 70.6 1000 27 .028
G 425 70.6 2000 27 .056
H 8,900 3.4 2.5 400 .001

FIG. 3. Attenuation of ambient sound with Flent antinoise stopples (collated from Zwislocki (3) and Von Gierke (4).

TABLE 2. Theshold for perception of rf sound (ambient noise level 70-90 db).Peak

Transmitter	Avg Power mc	Peak Power mw, cm2	Peak Electric Field Density, mw, cm2	Magnetic Field amp. v cm	Duty Cy. turns, m
A	1,310	.0015	0.4	267	14 4
B	2,982	.0004	2.1	5,250	63 17
C	425	.0038	1.0	263	15 4
D	425	.007	1.9	271	14 4
E	425	.014	3.2	229	13 3
F	425	.028	7.1	254	14 4

FIG. 4. Threshold energy as a function of frequency of electromagnetic energy (ambient noise level 70-90 db).



FIG. 5. Microwave power distribution in a forehead model neglecting resonance effects and considering only first reflections (from Nieset et al. (5), modified).



FIG. 6. Area most sensitive to electromagnetic energy (shaded portion).

* * * * * * * * *

At one time in our experimentation with deaf subjects there seemed to be a clear relationship between the ability to hear audio above 5 kc and the ability to hear rf sounds. If a subject could hear above 5 kc, either by bone or air conduction, then he could hear the rf sounds. For example, the threshold of the subject whose audio gram appears in Fig. 2 was the same average power density as our normal subjects. Recently, however, we have found people with a notch around 5 kc who do not perceive the rf sounds generated by at least one of our transmitters.

THRESHOLDS

As shown in Table 1, we have used a fairly wide range of transmitter parameters. We are currently experimenting with transmitters that radiate energy at frequencies below 425 mc, and are using different types of modulation, e.g., pulse-repetition rates as low as 3 and 4/sec. In the experimentation reported in this section, the ordinary noise level was 70-90 db (measured with a General Radio Co. model 1551-B sound level meter.) In order to minimize the rf energy used in the experimentation, subjects wore Flent antinoise ear stoppers whenever measurements were made. The ordinary noise attenuation of the Flents is indicated in Fig. 3. Although the rf sounds can be heard without the use of Flents, eventhough they have an ambient noise evel of 90 db, it appears that the ambient noise to some extent "masked" the rf sound.

Table 2 gives the thresholds for the perception of the sounds. It shows fairly clearly that the critical factor in the perception of the rf sound is the peak power density, rather than the average power density. The relatively high value for transmitter B was expected and will be discussed below. Transmitter G has been omitted from the table since the 20-mw/cm2 reading for it can be considered only approximate. The field-strength-measuring instruments used in that experiment did not read high enough to give an accurate reading. The energy from transmitter H was not perceived, even when the peak power density was as high as 25 w/cm2.

When the threshold energy is plotted as a function of the rf energy (Fig. 4), a curve is obtained which is suggestive of the curve of penetration of rf energy into the head. Figure 5 shows the calculated penetration, by frequency of rf energy, into the head. Our data indicate that the calculated penetration curve may well be accurate at the higher frequencies but the penetration at the lower frequencies may be greater than that calculated on this model.

As previously noted, the thresholds were obtained in a high ambient noise environment. This is an unusual situation as compared to obtaining thresholds of regular audio sound. One recent experimentation leads us to believe that, if the ambient noise level were not so high, these threshold fields strengths would be much lower. Since one purpose of this paper is to suggest experiments, it might be appropriate to theories as to what the rf sound threshold might be if we assumed that the subject is in an anechoic chamber. It is also assumed that there is no transducer noise. Given: As a threshold for the rf sound, a peak power density of 275 mw/cm2

determined in an ambient noise environment of 80 db. Earplugs attenuate the ambient noise 30 db.
If: 1 mw/cm2 is set equal to o db, then 275 mw/cm2 is equal to 24 db.
Then: We can reduce the rf energy 50 db to -26 db as we reduce the noise level energy from 50 db to o db. We found that -26 db rf energy is approximately 3 _u_w/cm2.
Thus: If an anechoic room, rf sound could theoretically be induced by a peak power density of 3 _u_w/cm2 measured in free space. Since only 10% of this energy is likely to penetrate the skull, the human auditory system and a table radio may be one order of magnitude apart in sensitivity to rf energy.

RF DETECTOR IN AUDITORY SYSTEM

One possibility that seems to have been ruled out in our experimentation is that of a capacitor-type effect with the tympanic membrane and oval window acting as plates of a capacitor. It would seem possible that these membranes, acting as plates of a capacitor, could be set in motion by rf energy. There are, however, three points of evidence against this possibility. First, when one rotates a capacitor in an rf field, a rather marked change occurs in the capacitor as a function of its orientation in the field. When our subjects rotate or change the positions of their heads in the field, the loudness of the rf sound does not change appreciably. Second, the distance between these membranes is rather small, compared with the wavelengths used. As a third point, we found that one of our subjects who has otosclerosis xheard the rf sound.

Another possible location for the detecting mechanism is in the cochlea. We have explored this possibility with nerve-deaf people, but the results are inconclusive due to factors such as tinnitus. We are currently exploring this possibility with animal preparations.

The third likely place for the detection mechanism is the brain. Burr and Mauro (6) presented evidence that indicates that there is an electrostatic field about neurons. Morrow and Sepiel (7) presented evidence that indicates the existence of a magnetic field about neurons. Becker (personal communication) has done some work indicating that there is longitudinal flow of charged carriers in neurons. Thus, it is reasonable to suspect that possibly the electromagnetic field could interact with neuron fields. As yet, evidence of this possibility is inconclusive. The strongest point against it is that we have not found visual effects although we have searched for them. On the other hand, we have obtained other nonauditory effects and have found that the sensitive area for detecting rf sounds is a region over the temporal lobe of the brain. One can shield, with a 2-in.2 piece of fly screen, a portion of the stippled area shown in Fig. 6 and completely cut off the rf sound.
Another possibility should also be considered. There is no good reason to assume that there is only one detector site. On the contrary, the work of Jones et al. (8), in which they placed electrodes in the ear and electrically stimulated the subject, is sufficiently relevant to suggest the possibility of more than one detector site. Also, several sensations

have been elicited with properly modulated electromagnetic energy. It is doubtful that all of these can be attributed to one detector.

As mentioned earlier, the purpose of this paper is to focus the attention of physiologists on an unusual area and stimulate additional work on which interpretations can be based. Interpretations have been deliberately omitted from this paper since additional data are needed before a clear picture can emerge. It is hoped that the additional exploration will also result in an increase in our knowledge of nervous system functions.

REFERENCES:

1.Neider, P.C. and W.D. Neff. Science 133: 1010, 1961. 2.Frey, A.H. Aero Space Med. 32: 1140, 1961. 3.Zwislocki, J. Noise Control 4:42, 1958. 4.Von Gierke, H. Noise Control 2:37, 1956. 5.Nifset, R., Pinneo R. Baus J. Fleming, & R. McAfee. Ann. Rept. USAF Rome Air
Development Command, TR-61-65, 1961.
6.Burr, H., & J. Seipel, J. Wash Acad. Sci. 21: 455, 1949. 7.Morrow, R., & J. Seipel. J. Wash. Acad. Sci. 30: 1, 1969. 8.Jones, R.C., S.S. Stevens, & M.H. Laurie. J. Acoust. Sci. Am. 12: 281, 1940.

_____
NetZero - We believe in a FREE Internet. Shouldn't you?
Get your FREE Internet Access and Email at
http://www.netzero.net/download/index.html

5095. Re: Cable TV: Strong Pager Signals cause interference to Cable Channels.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: somebody@austin.rr.com
Message-ID: <376a8bba.363552542@news-server>
Source record: 115094
Cross-posted to: rec.video.cable-tv,rec.radio.scanner

The frequency range for channel 68 (EIA) is 486-492 MHz. The
interference you see on channel 68 is, most likely, caused by a UHF TV
station broadcasting on channel 16 or 17. UHF off-air frequencies are
2 MHz off of the cable designated (EIA) frequencies. This means that
one UHF station can interfere with two cable channels.

Hope this helps.

TB

On Thu, 17 Jun 1999 23:09:25 -0500, JasonK <JasonK@verio.net> wrote:

>The source of the herringbone pattern interference is caused
>by high power transmitters that broadcast pager signals.
>
>I used to have the same problem with (cable) channel 19,
>which has a frequency range from 150-156 MHZ.
>
>I realized that the interfering signal was originating from
> Outside of the cable system. I purchased a scanner radio,
>and searched between 150-156 MHz and found a
>Extremely powerful pager signal broadcasting at 152.540 MHz.
>
>The Cable Ch. 19 Video Carrier is at 151.250 MHz,
>and the pattern of the interference matched the pager tones.
>
>What are the frequencies for cable channel 68?
>
>Are there any pager frequencies near Ch. 68?
>
>Locate the pager transmitters in your area, click here:
>http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/state_county.hts
>
>Enter your state and county, search the paging and radiotelephone
>service, click on WTB Paging Database.
>---
>
>
>PJM wrote:
>>
>> A cable person came last night and said he had no idea
>> why I had a Herringbone on the upper channels-he checked
>> the pole and put on a new splitter-he also disconnected
>> the neighbors cable at the pole to see if anything changed.

>>
>> He said he would turn it over to maintenance.
>>
>> he also said I had an ac hum and when the ground was removed the
>> hum disappeared-so I left it off. He said he would order me an
>> electric isolator for the pole.
>>
>> He said the herringbone on 68 the MSG channel could never be
>> repaired because there was usually a station that bleeded
>> through.
>>
>> Can anybody help?
>--------

5096. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ke32q$sd8@dfw-ixnews12.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115095

In <376b7192.949956@enews.newsguy.com> you@stupid.FOOL! (Macaroon)
writes:
>
>On Thu, 17 Jun 1999 10:09:05 -0700, frodo@fullcircle.net (BBBaggins)
wrote:
>
>>x-no-archive: yes
>>fa'p94y098auroi
>>
>>
>>In article <3768A57D.3ADE3BA7@netcom.com>, Bill Conduit
<myway@netcom.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>> yes...just received one today.
>>
>>
>>'Atta boy, CONDUIT. Ignore the problem and it'll go away. Your
words, pal.
>
>Did you expect something else from the #2 genius on the group?

Maybe if some of you anal-rung
assholes who come on with all
this bull shit would get yourself
a viable e-mail address then maybe
people could send you e-mail stuff
and avoid the posting on NG

you create the problem

because: you hate being honest
you think you are special
you think being unapproachable is cool
you don't think much of people on the NG

bill conduit
etc.

5097. F.S.Uniden BC. 800XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: kcoin@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990618143144.27929.00000944@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 115096

Uniden BC 800XLT Base scanner for sale. This scanner came FACTORY
with 800mhz. cellular frequency's with no modifications necessary. It is in
Excell. condition .
$150. + Shp.
This Equipment is Guarantee as Described or your Money Back Minus Shipping
Ken 815-478-5109 kcoin@aol.com

5098. Re: Brighton, Hamburg, Pinckney frequencies wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <376A919B.1D421167@mich.com>
Source record: 115097

Geez, what a smooth segway to advertise his site...

Mike is looking for freqs for Livingston County, Michigan, in the United States of America.

D Stark wrote:

> Mike Cuddington wrote in message <7kb12f$73i$1@magnum.mmm.com>...
> >Looking for Livingston County Fire,Ambulance,Police, DNR frequencies
>
> None of those towns are in Livingston County, at least not in New York.
>
> If you're looking for Livingston County, NY freqs, visit the NF2G Scannist
> Pages at:

> 73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

5099. Re: Airport Control Tower Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bud Turner <vvatc@whidbey.net>
Message-ID: <929732802.900.55@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115098

The tower will be on the same freq as the aircraft. How far from the transmitter are you? Tower frequency transmitters are very low powered (sometimes as little as 5 watts) because they are line of sight and do not need to transmit very far. Try using an external antenna made specifically for the VHF aircraft band. Let me know if you have any questions about what you hear!
--
73,
Bud
N1ROE
"Radar contact. Where is he?"
Mark White wrote in message ...
>I am trying to monitor the control tower at a local airport on the published
>frequency of 133.1. I seem to be only getting the pilot side of the
>conversation. I assume the tower responds on some standard offset frequency.
>If so, what is the offset and it is the same for ground control (129.45)?
>
>Thanks in advance
>Mark
>
>

5100. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <vsya3.63059$uo1.177162@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115099

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:7ke32q$sd8@dfw-> >

> >Did you expect something else from the #2 genius on the group?
>
>
> Maybe if some of you anal-rung
> assholes who come on with all
> this bull shit would get yourself
> a viable e-mail address then maybe
> people could send you e-mail stuff
> and avoid the posting on NG
>

Give it up Maynard T, now you are beginning to lie like #1.

E-mail or not you play your rhyme game looking for the same thing MJB does.

You are just twisting in the wind as usual.

Are you him? One has to wonder at times.

You are just so So. Cal. Cool. Oh Wow Man!

SNAP SNAP SNAP!

5101. Re: Sporadic E skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <376AB205.BE7AFE78@fnal.gov>
Source record: 115100

jimmoats@yahoo.com wrote:

> Just before noon local time today,I heard the Kansas Highway Patrol via
> sporadic E skip.
> The transmitter was located in the SW part of the state,as I heard the
> SW KHP callsign KDD 947 from the regional dispatch center in Garden
> City.
> The dispatcher put out a broadcast regarding a stolen car in Dodge City
> 73,Jim Moats.
>

Was this just a State-wide broadcast? Or are you saying that you're in New
Jersey?

--

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov
BC100, BC235, Pro90, Pro2038

5102. Re: MORE ON THE MIND CONTROL CRIME IN THE CLEVELAND AREA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8bza3.2655$w61.624@stones>
Source record: 115101

1 Angry Man <1AngryMan@antisocial.com> wrote in message
news:7kc064$6aa$11@dagobah.blueriver.net...
> <<SNIP>>
> >What a wonderful world we live in.... ;-)
> >
Nice sentiment :-)

but even a paranoid has enemies

eavsdropper

5103. Maffett Airshow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <376A91D7.E24C537A@accesscom.com>
Source record: 115102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Listening for flying display frequencies. Any news appreciated

Airport Communications

CTAF: 119.55
ATIS: 283.000
MOFFETT GROUND: 121.850
336.400
MOFFETT TOWER: 119.550
353.200
340.200
BAY APPROACH: 120.100
134.500
BAY DEPARTURE: 121.300
CLEARANCE DELIVERY: 380.800
ALCP: 349.400
BASE OPS: 251.700
EMERG: 121.500
243.000
PMSV: 341.300
RDR: 300.400
325.200
328.400
363.600
SFA: 134.100

Scheduled Flying Displays

Sean Tucker Challenger II
Wayne Handley Oracle Turbo-Raven
Rocky Hill Super Stearman
Amelia Reid Cessna 150
Robin Reid Fairchild KR-21
Stoli Migs
F/A-18
A-10

5104. PRO 91 Trunker 4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: WO <xwalter@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <376ac3c7@nemo.idirect.com>
Source record: 115103
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radio Shack PRO91 150 programmable TRUNKTRAKER:

29-54
108-136.975
137-174
406-512
806-824
851-868
896-956

5 banks of 30
50 ch/sec scan search speed
Comes with original manual

Does not suit my needs.

Cost 350.00 new 1 MONTH OLD

OPEN TO OFFERS...

--
A little knowledge and a vivid imagination is enough to drive anyone crazy.

5105. MilAir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: birdfan1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990618180159.25659.00002041@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 115104

What's the cheapest way to get MilAir?

Thanks

Bird Fan1

5106. Re: MilAir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com
Message-ID: <376acb0b.4530226@news.buffnet.net>
Source record: 115105

On 18 Jun 1999 22:01:59 GMT, birdfan1@aol.com (Bird fan1) wrote:

>What's the cheapest way to get MilAir?

Join the U.S. Air Force.

5107. PRO 2056 PRICE REDUCED!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary B <GARBRE@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <376abbfb@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 115106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

RS pro-2056
Great condition
Box, manual, power cords, mobile mount
Plus windshield mount
service search + aircraft
this is the same scanner as a BC-350a

WAS $50
NOW $40 + $5 shipping

gary kb3cgq

5108. Re: MORE ON THE MIND CONTROL CRIME IN THE CLEVELAND AREA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7kek9u$7ui$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 115107

>Nice sentiment :-)
>
>but even a paranoid has enemies
>
>eavsdropper
>
>

My point exactly!

>Just because your paranoid, DOESN'T mean their NOT all out to get you!

The double negative means that they are ALL out to get him. Even if he is
paranoid! Woo Hoo paranoia's fun! Ain't it? :)
--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5109. Frequency Counter, which to buy??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <merlet@eudoramail.com>
Message-ID: <7kek81$4s3$1@santaclara.santafe.edu>
Source record: 115108

I'm convinced I need a freq. counter but know nothing to little about them. I'd like a protable one. I'm trying to catch some frequencies that I can't find in books. Most are police, security guards at casinos, stuff like that. I saw some at ebay.com but don't know enough to plunk my money down. What should I look for and what kind of prices should I expect? A used one would be ok/nice.

Thanks for the advice.
Paul

Merlet Guide to Web Site Creation
http://www.merlet.com/

5110. Bearcat UBC 3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike wentworth <mikewent@inetw.net>
Message-ID: <1_Aa3.240$df5.120220@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 115109

I'm looking to purchase a UBC 3000XLT. If anyone has one that they want to get rid of let me know.

5111. Re: Automated Radio Recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John W. Barron" <j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <376AE0BA.8F6991E9@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115110

I can't carry a VCR around with me like I can a Walkman!

Ed Tobiasz wrote:

> Why not use a VCR? It's got a clock and can record up to 6 hours.
>
> Ed
>
> "John W. Barron" wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone know whether or not a radio tape recorder that will turn
> > itself on and off based on a pre-set clock? It would be best if it had
> > auto-reverse, also.
> > Using this, it would be possible to put a 60 minute program on tape at
> > any hour of the day or night. Strange as it may seem, I can't locate a
> > source for such an instrument.
> >
> > Can anyone help?
> >
> > --
> > John W. Barron
> > j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net
> > PCS Mobile Phone: 919-272-2384
> > NAWCC Member # 105930
> >
> > Avoyelles Parish (LA) Web Page:
> > http://www.mindspring.com/~jwbarron/avoyeles.htm

--
John W. Barron
j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net
PCS Mobile Phone: 919-272-2384
NAWCC Member # 105930

Avoyelles Parish (LA) Web Page:
http://www.mindspring.com/~jwbarron/avoyeles.htm

5112. Re: Automated Radio Recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John W. Barron" <j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <376AE115.39B79FF4@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115111

I agree. But, if I want to listen to Click & Clack from PRS while I am walking, I need a long cord for my VCR. Besides, its heavy! :>)

Thanks for the response.

Shaun Whitman wrote:

> It's easy to do with a VCR...
> Just get a cable with a 1/8" phone plug at one end and an RCA male plug at
> the other. Connect it at the A/V input on the back of the unit and then set
> the VCR to record on the A/V channel.
>
> Shaun Whitman
> shaun@railfan.net
> Central Jersey Rails
> http://JerseyRails.Railfan.net
>
> Ed Tobiasz wrote in message <3769AFF9.52C88879@accesscom.com>...
> >Why not use a VCR? It's got a clock and can record up to 6 hours.
> >
> >Ed
> >
> >"John W. Barron" wrote:
> >>
> >> Does anyone know whether or not a radio tape recorder that will turn
> >> itself on and off based on a pre-set clock? It would be best if it had
> >> auto-reverse, also.
> >> Using this, it would be possible to put a 60 minute program on tape at
> >> any hour of the day or night. Strange as it may seem, I can't locate a
> >> source for such an instrument.
> >>
> >> Can anyone help?
> >>
> >> --
> >> John W. Barron
> >> j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net
> >> PCS Mobile Phone: 919-272-2384
> >> NAWCC Member # 105930
> >>
> >> Avoyelles Parish (LA) Web Page:
> >> http://www.mindspring.com/~jwbarron/avoyeles.htm

--
John W. Barron
j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net

PCS Mobile Phone: 919-272-2384
NAWCC Member # 105930

Avoyelles Parish (LA) Web Page:
http://www.mindspring.com/~jwbarron/avoyeles.htm

5113. Re: Dade County Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: an@x.net
Message-ID: <an-1806992115380001@ppp-20.mia-tc-3.netrox.net>
Source record: 115112

In article <7js632$7rc$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Ted Silverman"
<scubaguy@mindspring.com> wrote:

> I need to know what scanner will trunk an ericison system. Where I used to
> live I could trunk with my PRO-91. I can not get it to trunk the erickson
> system here in Miami-Dade County, (not city of Miami).
>
> If anyone knows of a way to do it with what I have, please let me know.
>
> Thanks in advance.
> Ted

The new BC245 coming out in July will trunk track both systems. Found it
for a good price at National Electronics on the web.Also, be SURE to get
the S. Fl trunking guide by Brian Cathcart, scannerdude@juno.com It is
absolutely a great/accurate guide to scanning trunked systems here.

5114. Re: FS antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <376AF01E.C0B29C74@netdoor.com>
Source record: 115113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Do you still have the 2mtr/440 ant for 21.00

Butch

Chuckk wrote:

> Dual band fiberglass Base antenna 144-148 440-450
> 6db on 2 8db on 440 200 watts 98.5 inches
> NEW $75
>
> Dual band mobile NMO mount 144-148 440-450
> 3db on 2 5db on 440 200 watts 38 inches
>
> NEW $21
>
> Dual band mobile NMO mount 150-158 450-470
> 3db on 2 5db on 440 200 watts center load
>
> NEW $21
>
> Chuckk
> KB2AHZ
> 804-372-0614

5115. best scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: alan@netrox.net
Message-ID: <alan-1806992132200001@ppp-20.mia-tc-3.netrox.net>
Source record: 115114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have seeen an antenna designated MS1000 mentioned as one of the best 800mhz handheld scanner antennas. Anyone knbow who makes it or if there is a better one for the handhelds?

5116. Re: Automated Radio Recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <376B0059.4DFF9E68@accesscom.com>
Source record: 115115

That wasn't specified in the question. You asked if there was a recorder
that will turn itself on and off based on a pre-set clock. Next time be
more accurate and precise with your question..

Ed

"John W. Barron" wrote:
>
> I can't carry a VCR around with me like I can a Walkman!
>
> Ed Tobiasz wrote:
>
> > Why not use a VCR? It's got a clock and can record up to 6 hours.
> >
> > Ed
> >
> > "John W. Barron" wrote:
> > >
> > > Does anyone know whether or not a radio tape recorder that will turn
> > > itself on and off based on a pre-set clock? It would be best if it had
> > > auto-reverse, also.
> > > Using this, it would be possible to put a 60 minute program on tape at
> > > any hour of the day or night. Strange as it may seem, I can't locate a
> > > source for such an instrument.
> > >
> > > Can anyone help?
> > >
> > > --
> > > John W. Barron
> > > j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net
> > > PCS Mobile Phone: 919-272-2384
> > > NAWCC Member # 105930
> > >
> > > Avoyelles Parish (LA) Web Page:
> > > http://www.mindspring.com/~jwbarron/avoyeles.htm
>
> --
> John W. Barron
> j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net
> PCS Mobile Phone: 919-272-2384
> NAWCC Member # 105930
>
> Avoyelles Parish (LA) Web Page:
> http://www.mindspring.com/~jwbarron/avoyeles.htm

5117. Iowa City: University of Iowa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <376B00BF.DC5EC706@hotmail.com>
Source record: 115116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can someone please post frequencies of the University of Iowa Police (public safety on campus). I'm looking specifically for frequencies used in bar busts, DUIs, or even MDT. Any information is appreciated!

Thanks

5118. Re: anybody know freq. for new 14ch.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <376B1173.7AFC@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115117

MikeyinMN wrote:
>
> anybody know the 14 frquencies for the new 14ch.2 mile range walkie talkies
> that are new on the market?
> if so please drop me an e-mail as well as a group reply,thank you.

The FRS frequencies are allocated as follows:

1. 462.5625
2. 462.5875
3. 462.6125
4. 462.6375
5. 462.6625
6. 462.6875
7. 462.7125
8. 467.5625
9. 467.5875
10. 467.6125
11. 467.6375
12. 467.6625
13. 467.6875
14. 467.7125

Bob

5119. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <nWFa3.109859$uo1.231372@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

When somebody posts a question and Bill answers with the correct answer, why would the guy ask anyone else. Cheek responded to 95% of radio shack scanner questions which is about 100 a month, and many other scanner related questions. In comparison and giving you more credit due you may have posted something related to scanners 6 times in the last 3 years but hold the record for 350 off topic posts in a month. The post below is just another fine example or some of your best..

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote .....
> Did you try asking anyone else?
>
>
>
> Al & Lo wrote:
>
> > Bill has been very helpful to me, he takes the time to answer questions
>
> SNIP
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5120. Re: MilAir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <LXFa3.109860$uo1.231479@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 115119

Steal a radio out of an airplane belonging to the Air Force?

Registered Monitor KNY2VS <kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com> wrote in message
news:376acb0b.4530226@news.buffnet.net...
> On 18 Jun 1999 22:01:59 GMT, birdfan1@aol.com (Bird fan1) wrote:
>
> >What's the cheapest way to get MilAir?
>
> Join the U.S. Air Force.
>

5121. Re: PRO 91 Trunker 4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Brundridge <amp226@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OuKwzhfu#GA.178@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 115120
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

WO <xwalter@idirect.com> wrote in message news:376ac3c7@nemo.idirect.com...

>
> Cost 350.00 new 1 MONTH OLD
>
> OPEN TO OFFERS...

$350 new? (I think it goes for $199 at RS when on sale)

5122. unblock Pro-91?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Brundridge <amp226@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <Ov0vDqfu#GA.398@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 115121

do any mods for the Pro-91 exist? I'd like to unblock it but so far I am told it in not possible to unblock this radio.

Advice?

5123. Re: best scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11d4bcb988c6a4159897f7@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

AS hard as it is to believe, I have tried all of the Comet, Diamond and Premier antennas only to find that the Watson 881 "SuperGainer" is the best from 100-1000mhz. Most of all I was surprised at it's superior performance in the 800mhz range considering that it is overall 16" length but is loaded to accommodate all band centers across.

5124. FS ar 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Baxter <danbax@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7kema7ehg1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115123

I have decided to sell my ar 8000 not because I diont like it but because I need the money condition is a 9 and comes with opto jack, 2 computer cables and the original box it is 6 months old but only used a couple of times. all freqs unblocked. I am asking 425.00 I pay shipping.
Dan

5125. Pro 2050 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: n3wnc@qsl.net
Message-ID: <7kcf5b$6g9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115171
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If anyone knows of a mod for the Pro 2050 please e-mail me!
Bill Marcus, N3WNC
n3wnc@qsl.net

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5126. Guide to the rec.radio Newsgroups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <7kcbr7$2et@news.Hawaii.Edu>
Source record: 115172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Archive-name: radio/personal-intro

This message describes the rec.radio.amateur.*, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.info, and rec.radio.swap newsgroups, as well as their Internet mailing list counterparts and complements. It is intended to serve as a guide for the new reader on what to find where. Questions and comments may be directed to Jeffrey Herman, KH6O, jeffreyh@hawaii.edu, or Paul Schleck, K3FU, pschleck@novia.net.

This message was last changed on 14 March, 1998.

History
=======

Way back when, before there was a Usenet, the Internet hosted a mailing list for hams, called (appropriately enough) INFO-HAMS. Ham radio discussions were held on the mailing list, and sent to the mailboxes of those who had signed up for it. When the Usenet software was created, and net news as we now know it was developed, a newsgroup was created for hams: net.ham-radio. The mailing list and the newsgroup were gatewayed together, eventually.

Over the years, as the net grew, the volume of discussion became progressively higher. First one by one, and then as part of two reorganizations, what was once one group became many. In the process, developments elsewhere on the net were reflected in the groups as they were created, most notably the change to place all of the ham radio groups in one hierarchy.

The collection of newsgroups continues to grow as more people join the net, and as more topics of discussion gain volume, I expect to see more groups be created as well. This follows what is happening on the rest of the net.

Nearly all of the radio newsgroups have corresponding mailing lists, the notable exception being rec.radio.swap. There are also a few mailing lists that don't have newsgroups.

The Current Groups
==================

It's important to post messages to the group that's appropriate for them, and not to the groups that aren't. The whole idea of having different newsgroups is so that folks who aren't interested in, say, homebrewing, don't have to wade through messages about homebrewing on the way to read about Field Day. Posting appropriately is just good etiquette.

The rec.radio.amateur.misc group is the catchall. It is what rec.ham-radio was renamed to during the first major reorganization. Any message that's not more appropriate in one of the other groups belongs here, from contesting to DX to ragchewing on VHF to information on becoming a ham.

The group rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc is for discussions related to (surprise!) digital amateur radio. This doesn't have to be the common two-meter AX.25 variety of packet radio, either; some of the most knowledgeable folks in radio digital communications can be found here, and anything in the general area is welcome. The name was changed to emphasize this, and to encourage discussion not only of other text-based digital modes, such as AMTOR, RTTY, and Clover, but things like digital voice and video as well. The former group, rec.radio.amateur.packet, was removed on September 21st, 1993. It is obsolete, and you should use .digital.misc instead (or the appropriate new mailing list, mentioned below). The group has .misc as part of the name to allow further specialization if the users wish it, such as .digital.tcp-ip.

The swap group is rec.radio.swap. This recognizes a fact that became evident shortly after the original group was formed: Hams don't just swap ham radio gear, and other folks besides hams swap ham equipment. If you have radio equipment, or test gear, or computer stuff that hams would be interested in, here's the place. Equipment wanted postings belong here too. Discussions about the equipment generally don't; if you wish to discuss a particular posting with the buyer, email is a much better way to do it, and the other groups, especially .equipment and .homebrew, are the place for public discussions. There is now a regular posting with information on how to go about buying and selling items in rec.radio.swap; please refer to it before you post there. To answer a frequently asked question: No, there is no mailing list that goes along with this group. If you can't read Usenet news directly, you're out of luck.

The group rec.radio.amateur.policy was created as a place for all the discussions that seem to drag on interminably about the many rules, regulations, legalities, and policies that surround amateur radio, both existing and proposed. Recent changes to the Amateur Radio Rules (FCC Part 97) have finally laid to rest the Great Usenet Pizza Autopatch Debate - it's now legal to order a pizza on the autopatch, if you're not in the pizza business - as well as complaints about now-preempted local scanner laws hostile to amateurs, but plenty of discussion about what a bunch of rotten no-goodniks the local frequency coordinating body is, as well as the neverending no-code debate, may still be found here.

The group rec.radio.cb is the place for all discussion about the Citizens' Band radio service. Such discussions have been very inflammatory in rec.ham-radio in the past; please do not cross-post to both rec.radio.cb and rec.radio.amateur.* unless the topic is genuinely of interest to both hams and CBers - and very few topics are.

The rec.radio.info group is just what its name implies: it's the place where informational messages from across rec.radio.* may be found, regardless of where else they're posted. As of this writing, information posted to the group includes Cary Oler's daily solar progagation bulletins, ARRL

bulletins, the Frequently Asked Questions files for the various groups, and radio modification instructions. This group is moderated, so you cannot post to it directly; if you try, even if your message is crossposted to one of the other groups, your message will be mailed to the moderator, who is currently David Dodell, WB7TPY. The email address for submissions to the group is rec.radio.info-moderator@primenet.com. Inquires and other adminis-trivia should be directed to rec.radio.info-request@primenet.com. For more information about rec.radio.info, consult the introduction and posting guidelines that are regularly posted to that newsgroup.

The groups r.r.amateur.antenna, .boatanchors, .dx, .equipment, .homebrew, and .space are for more specialized areas of ham radio: discussions about antennas, the older tube based amateur, military, and commercial gear, commercially-made equipment, homebrewing, and amateur radio space operations. The .equipment group is not the place for buying or selling equipment; that's what rec.radio.swap is for. Similarly, the .space group is specifically about amateur radio in space, such as the OSCAR program and SAREX, the Shuttle Amateur Radio EXperiment; other groups cover other aspects of satellites and space. Homebrewing isn't about making your own alcoholic beverages at home (that's rec.crafts.brewing), but rather construction of radio and electronic equipment by the amateur experimenter. The .dx group is devoted to long distance amateur communications. Each of these subgroups has its own charter and FAQ; please refer to the archives to find these documents.

Except for rec.radio.swap and rec.radio.cb, all of these newsgroups are available by Internet electronic mail in digest format; send a mail message containing "help" on a line by itself to listserv@ucsd.edu for instructions on how to use the mail server.

All of the groups can be posted to by electronic mail, though, by using a gateway at the University of Texas at Austin. To post a message this way, change the name of the group you wish to post to by replacing all of the '.'s with '-'s - for example, rec.radio.swap becomes rec-radio-swap - and send to that name@cs.utexas.edu (rec-radio-swap@cs.utexas.edu, for example). You may crosspost by including multiple addresses as Cc: entries (but see below). This gateway's continued availability is at the pleasure of the admins at UT-Austin, and is subject to going away at any time - and especially if forgeries and other net.abuses become a problem. You have been warned.

Mailing Lists

In addition to the mailing lists that mirror the Usenet newsgroups, there also are a few that stand alone. These cover specific areas of ham radio, and discussion is focused on just those areas. PLEASE BE SURE TO SAVE THE WELCOME MESSAGE YOU RECEIVE AFTER SUBSCRIBING -- IT WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFO REGARDING UNSUBSCRIBING!

The cq-contest mailing list is for discussions of contesting in ham radio. To join, send an email to cq-contesting-request@contesting.com.

The DX mailing list covers the finer points of DXing. This one is also joined by mailing "subscribe" on a line by itself, this time to dx-request@unbc.edu.

There's also a VHF mailing list, for VHF operators of the weak signal persuasion. You can join this one be sending "subscribe vhf" on a line by itself to vhf-request@w6yx.stanford.edu.

The QRP-L list is devoted to building and operating gear at low-power outputs. To subscribe, send an email to listproc@lehigh.edu and only write: subscribe qrp-l Your Name.

The TopBand e-list is devoted to all areas of operation on 160m. To subscribe, send an email to majordomo@contesting.com and only write: subscribe topband and follow the instructions you'll be sent.
This site, contesting.com, also hosts a couple dozen other ham-related e-lists. Use the above address, and only write: lists to get the entire index.

The Boatanchors e-list is devoted to the older tube-based ham, military, and commercial communications gear. To subscribe, send an email to: listserv@listserv.tempe.gov and only write: subscribe boatanchors

For homebuilding transmitters and receivers using tube technology, there is the Glowbugs e-list; send an email to: majordomo@piobaire.mines.uidaho.edu and only write: subscribe glowbugs <your@address.here>

The VHF DX discussion list can be accessed by sending an email to: majordomo@blacksheep.org and only writing: subscribe VHF-DX-discuss.

We now have a list devoted to amateur radio history. To subscribe, send an email to: ham-radio-history-subscribe@egroups.com - leave the body of the message blank.

Qth.net hosts over 100 ham-related e-lists, including lists devoted to each state, manufacturer, mode, band - too many to state here. Send an email to majordomo@qth.net and only write: lists to get the complete index.

For one of the most complete indexes of email lists, see the excellent web site http://pw2.netcom.com/~ac6v/pageae.html

Please do NOT send subscription requests to the mailing lists themselves; that doesn't work very often, and is very annoying to those on the list. Also, please keep your electronic mail address current with any mailing lists you subscribe to, as dealing with returned email is a nuisance for the person maintaining the mailing list.

A Few Words on Crossposting
==========

Please do not crosspost messages to two or more groups unless there is genuine interest in both groups in the topic being discussed, and when you do, please include a header line of the form "Followup-To: group.name" in your article's

headers (before the first blank line). This will cause followups to your article to go to the group listed in the Followup-To: line. If you wish to have replies to go to you by email, rather than be posted, use the word "poster" instead of the name of a group. Such a line appears in the headers of this article.

One of the few examples of productive cross-posting is with the rec.radio.info newsgroup. To provide a filtered presentation of information articles, while still maintaining visibility in their home newsgroups, the moderator strongly encourages cross-posting. All information articles should be submitted to the rec.radio.info moderator so that he may simultaneously cross-post your information to the appropriate newsgroups. Most newsreaders will only present the article once, and network bandwidth is conserved since only one article is propagated. If you make regular informational postings, and have made arrangements with the moderator to post directly to the group, please cross-post as appropriate.

--
Jeffrey Herman, KH6O, and your friendly rec.radio.amateur working-group
jeffreyh@hawaii.edu
--
Fingerprint Classification Services: A personal copy of your inked finger impressions utilizing the Henry Classification Formula.

5127. Re: FS: Saber 3 Everything Included!!..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: aci6@aol.com.net.htm
Message-ID: <19990618002117.22952.00000909@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 115173

What has this to do with scanners?

Commercial band radios belong in taxicabs!!

CAUTION: Altered email address. Do not auto reply.
To reply via email, remove everything after .com in the listed address.

5128. San Fransisco Bay Area Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimrubin@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <jimrubin-1806990130420001@user-2ive3g4.dialup.mindspring.com>
Source record: 115174

Greetings Fellow ScannerHeads,

I am travelling to the San Fransisco Bay area in July (staying in Oakland) and am having little or No Joy finding frequencies. I know so far that both San Fransisco and Oakland are G.E. /Ericsson trunked systems (except for BART and CALTRANS). I was unable to gain access to the Bay Area Scanner Enthusiasts web site : http://www.baseclub.org/ for some reason. Can someone supply me with frequencies (both Motorola analog trunked and conventional vhf/uhf) for the area? Or at least point me in the direction of some web sites or other places to get these freq's? Thanks in advance.

Happy Scanning,

Jim

5129. Re: Want to see Porkbutt get his NAL? Vote NOW!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990618023514.22999.00001189@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 115175

>As long as whatever he did had something to do with scanning. If it's some
>ham radio conflict, then keep it in those newsgroups.
>

Agreed, but asking Lloyd to stay either on-topic or even mildly up to date is like asking Charles Manson to head the Department of Mental Health... just doesn't work! :-)
Mike

5130. Re: Airport Control Tower Monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: czerwona.siodemka@redseven.de
Message-ID: <376bf11e.12133314@news.CIS.DFN.DE>
Source record: 115176

On Thu, 17 Jun 1999 14:52:03 GMT, "Mark White" <markwhite@home.com>
wrote:

>I seem to be only getting the pilot side of the
>conversation.

The tower transmits on the same frequency but there are apparently
too many obstacles (buildings, hills, etc) in the way. You can
hear the planes because their signals are making near-line-of-site
contact with your scanner. I live around 15 miles from a major
airport. Tower reception varies from zero to very strong, depending
on my location. From a hilltop on the edge of town (20 miles from the
airport) I can even hear planes at the gate going through preflight
checks with apron and ground control. Planes in the air come in loud
and clear from as far away as 100 miles or more (my estimate).

5131. Re: Free software and items for the scanner hobbyist ....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David P (D)" <pickards@SPAMBLOCKzen.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Cqma3.41$N63.431@newreader.ukcore.bt.net>
Source record: 115177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.ph.uk

Hang on. Isn't that what the new binarie NG is for? For swapping progs
etc...

--
[)/\\/|[) :oP

a_alpha1 wrote in message <372419db.54990232@news.pacbell.net>...
>
> Looking for hard to get programs like winflex4 or DSP Blaster....or
>decoding programs for cordless phones...??
>
>Visit Moxina Mind Spring's "technical freebies " site for these and
>much more...!! (private site..no ads , no banners, no nada..)
>
>Wanna know how to pay for purchases on the web and use money orders
>and NOT get ripped off....email me for the info ...
>a_alpha1@i.am or a_alpha1@pacbell.net ....I'll tell
>you a fool proof way (personally used by myself) to keep from
>being ripped ...!!
>
>Moxina Mind Spring ..home of the TIgEas LAiR ...
>
>http://home.pacbell.net/kaliber/Index2.htm
>
>
>i.am/a_alpha1
>hey.to/a_alpha1
>Fast.to/a_alpha1
>all of these >The Abyss > Techno Freebies ...
>
>email to a_alpha1@i.am
> a_alpha1@pacbell.net
> a_alpha1@hey.to
>
>
>a_alpha1

5132. Re: New Uniden??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: binfordv@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3769f895.807560@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115178

Thank to everyone for the information on this scanner. Two counties
and one city here in Central VA switched this year to Ericsson so the
old scanner has been pretty quite!
On Thu, 17 Jun 1999 06:23:42 -0400, "Rich Wells, N2MCA"
<n2mca@strongsignals.net> wrote:

>Mark wrote:
>> Anyone heard anything about the scanner that Uniden was coming out
>> with that will track Erricson/GE 800 MHz?
>> I thought it was scheduled to be available in June?
>
>The BC245XLT and the last "solid" date was first week in July.
>See my Trunked Radio Tracking Scanners page:
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/trunk.htm
>
>Strong Signals,
>Rich Wells N2MCA
>http://www.strongsignals.net/

5133. AOR AR1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alain Star <AlainStar@altern.org>
Message-ID: <7kcuq8slp1@news.worldcom.ch>
Source record: 115179
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hey, I am the owner of a AR1500 handheld scanner, but I have lost the manual, does anybody have it?

Thank you very much.

mailto:AlainStar@altern.org

5134. Re: San Fransisco Bay Area Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <376A030E.F3DF41E9@netxn.com>
Source record: 115180

Try these sites ... one of them should hopefully have something
worthwhile ... Good luck ...

www.geocities.com/cape canaveral/6298
www.qsl.net/kf6kdd/

Mike Derryberry

James Rubin wrote:
>
> Greetings Fellow ScannerHeads,
>
> I am travelling to the San Fransisco Bay area in July (staying in Oakland)
> and am having little or No Joy finding frequencies. I know so far that
> both San Fransisco and Oakland are G.E. /Ericsson trunked systems (except
> for BART and CALTRANS). I was unable to gain access to the Bay Area
> Scanner Enthusiasts web site : http://www.baseclub.org/ for some reason.
> Can someone supply me with frequencies (both Motorola analog trunked and
> conventional vhf/uhf) for the area? Or at least point me in the direction
> of some web sites or other places to get these freq's? Thanks in advance.
>
> Happy Scanning,
>
> Jim

5135. Re: AOR AR1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <5PNVmGBQSga3EwzL@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 115181
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7kcuq8slp1@news.worldcom.ch>, Alain Star
<AlainStar@altern.org> writes

Hi,

>Hey, I am the owner of a AR1500 handheld scanner, but I have lost the
>manual, does anybody have it?

You can download the basic operating information for the AR1500 from the
AOR (UK) Web site at:

http://www.demon.co.uk/aor/

Hope that helps, have fun.
Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiaton.co.uk

5136. Re: Cable TV: Strong Pager Signals cause interference to Cable Channels.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve <steve.glendening@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3769D43C.21D84CB7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115182
Cross-posted to: rec.video.cable-tv,rec.radio.scanner

Nope, Thats called ingress. Close but no cigar!

JasonK wrote:
>
> The source of the herringbone pattern interference is caused
> by high power transmitters that broadcast pager signals.
>
> I used to have the same problem with (cable) channel 19,
> which has a frequency range from 150-156 MHZ.
>
> I realized that the interfering signal was originating from
> Outside of the cable system. I purchased a scanner radio,
> and searched between 150-156 MHz and found a
> Extremely powerful pager signal broadcasting at 152.540 MHz.
>
> The Cable Ch. 19 Video Carrier is at 151.250 MHz,
> and the pattern of the interference matched the pager tones.
>
> What are the frequencies for cable channel 68?
>
> Are there any pager frequencies near Ch. 68?
>
> Locate the pager transmitters in your area, click here:
> http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/state_county.hts
>
> Enter your state and county, search the paging and radiotelephone
> service, click on WTB Paging Database.
> ---
>
> PJM wrote:
> >
> > A cable person came last night and said he had no idea
> > why I had a Herringbone on the upper channels-he checked
> > the pole and put on a new splitter-he also disconnected
> > the neighbors cable at the pole to see if anything changed.
> >
> > He said he would turn it over to maintenance.
> >
> > he also said I had an ac hum and when the ground was removed the
> > hum disappeared-so I left it off. He said he would order me an
> > electric isolator for the pole.
> >
> > He said the herringbone on 68 the MSG channel could never be

> > repaired because there was usually a station that bleeded
> > through.
> >
> > Can anybody help?
> --------

5137. Re: Cable TV: Strong Pager Signals cause interference to Cable Channels.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <ebq15hXu#GA.269@nih2naae.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 115183

JasonK <JasonK@verio.net> asked:
> What are the frequencies for cable channel 68?
> Are there any pager frequencies near Ch. 68?

Cable channel 68 runs from 484 to 490 MHz, with the video carrier at
485.250. This overlaps the broadcast TV channel 16 at 482-488 MHz. If an
external signal is leaking into the cable system it may be a broadcaster
or a mobile radio service. 482-488 MHz is allocated for Land Mobile use
in the Boston, Dallas/Ft. Worth, Detroit, and San Franciso/Oakland areas.

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on ftp://oak.oakland.edu
as /pub/hamradio/docs/misc/scanner.faf

Television [73.603]

Ch Range Video Audio

2 54 - 60 55.250 59.750
3 60 - 66 61.250 65.750
4 66 - 72 67.250 71.750
5 76 - 82 77.250 81.750
6 82 - 88 83.250 87.750
7 174 - 180 175.250 179.750
8 180 - 186 181.250 185.750
9 186 - 192 187.250 191.750
10 192 - 198 193.250 197.750
11 198 - 204 199.250 203.750
12 204 - 210 205.250 209.750
13 210 - 216 211.250 215.750
14 470 - 476 471.250 475.750 }
15 476 - 482 477.250 481.750 } Range for Ch. N
.. ... - ... . } Low = 386 + 6*N
68 794 - 800 795.250 799.750 } High = 392 + 6*N
69 800 - 806 801.250 805.750 }

Note the gaps between chs 4/5, 6/7, and 13/14.
Freqs in the 470-512 MHz range (Ch 14-20) may be assigned to
Public Service mobile use in major metro areas. No transmitters
are allowed on 608-614 MHz (Ch 37).
Each TV channel is 6 MHz wide. The video carrier is 1.25 MHz
above the start of the range. The audio carrier is 0.25 MHz below
the end of the range. Television audio is wideband FM.
Some TV stations may be offset +/- 10 kHz.

Cable TV (IRC) [76.605]
[EIA IS-132]
Ch MHz
2 - 13 54 - 216 (same as broadcast VHF)
14 - 22 120 - 174 Mid band Ch. A-I
23 - 36 216 - 300 Super band J-W
37 - 53 300 - 402 Hyper band AA-QQ
54 - 64 402 - 468 " "
65 - 94 468 - 648 (Ultra band)
95 - 99 90 - 120 Low band A5-A1
100 -125 648 - 804 (Ultra band)

5138. Re: FS: PRO 90 TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: kegan30317@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990618091012.01831.00001171@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 115184

Someone writes:

>RadioShack PRO90 Trunk Tracker (mint condition) ..... $200 o.b.o
>

or brand new at Radio Shack (with warranty) for $199.99

5139. Re: AOR AR1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <376A68C1.7CDF3313@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 115185
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Alain Star wrote:
> Hey, I am the owner of a AR1500 handheld scanner, but I have lost the
> manual, does anybody have it?

http://www.demon.co.uk/aor/manuals.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

5140. Sporadic E skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimmoats@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7kdvjc$n7i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115186

Just before noon local time today,I heard the Kansas Highway Patrol via sporadic E skip.
The transmitter was located in the SW part of the state,as I heard the SW KHP callsign KDD 947 from the regional dispatch center in Garden City.
The dispatcher put out a broadcast regarding a stolen car in Dodge City
73,Jim Moats.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5141. WTT: MFJ1278 Multi-mode TNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steven KB9HGI <kb9hgi@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <376a7cd2.0@news.accessus.net>
Source record: 115187
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.packet,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello All,
I have for trade a MFJ 1278 Multimode TNC Has 10 modes and dual ports. Includes all the cables, software and manuals. Has some scratches on top of case but works well.

I would like to trade this for some sort of 10m fm radio, 2meter radio or a kenwood DG-5 Digital display for my kenwood ts-520s. You may send email me other offers you have for trade. Lets make a swap! E-mail me today! kb9hgi@qsl.net

--
Steven R. Baker
Amateur Radio KB9HGI
---===Quincy, IL===---
Ham Going Insane!

www.qsl.net/kb9hgi

5142. Belgium scannerfrequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-18 00:00:00 UTC
From: news <pjcarels@zeeland>
Message-ID: <376a80e4$0$11325@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 115188

See http://www.geocities.com/Broadway/Wing/6246/scanja5/index.html

5143. Re: RS PRO radio scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <376B2344.8F9B7E14@globe-net.net>
Source record: 115039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Your comment of "Best reception would be with something other
than a RS!" and "I use a handheld and a base at home both Uniden"
leads me to believe you don't know who makes RS scanners!
Better check that one again. ;-)

Robert

1 Angry Man wrote:

> I use a handheld and a base at home both Uniden and the handheld picks up
> better than the table top model, both using an outside discone antenna.
>
> The only way a table top model would give better reception than the handheld is
> because they usally have a better stock antenna than the handheld's "rubber
> duck"!!
>
> Best reception would be with something other than a RS!

5144. Re: PRO 2056 PRICE REDUCED!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jon Lippert <jlipper@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <376B54D9.635B@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 115040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Gary B wrote:
>
> RS pro-2056
> Great condition
> Box, manual, power cords, mobile mount
> Plus windshield mount
> service search + aircraft
> this is the same scanner as a BC-350a
>
> WAS $50
> NOW $40 + $5 shipping
>
> gary kb3cgq

--
Somebody ought to grab this up. I have one and use it regularly to search for frequencies to store in my 200 channel scanner. It is a good scanner, and that is a good price. Jon.

5145. Re: Wacky Mackey Rants On!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990619022649.11253.00001690@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 115041

>GET REAL YOU LOOSER!!

I think you should all get real looser, you're too tight and tense in here.
Unless you meant "loser", in which case, enough said.
I think this whole thing is a load of horseshit, a child's grudge match fought by loosers... I mean "losers"....
Mike

5146. Re: MIND CONTROL HARASSMENT CASE IN CALIFORNIA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990619022901.11253.00001691@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 115042

WHAT is this far too long diatribe doing in here?
AOL had to truncate it for length (not that AOL's any winner of an outfit, but come on!)
Mike

5147. com PCR-1000... anyone know if the DSP decodes EDIACS Digital Trunking signal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Olin <ronolin@home.com>
Message-ID: <oPHa3.11804$LQ4.15964@news.rdc1.bc.wave.home.com>
Source record: 115043

I am very interested if the UT-106 DSP will "clean up" the digital signal that Ericsson Trunking Systems use? I realize that the PCR-1000 unit can scan EDIACS systems when using E-Trax... Anyone out there have any luck? Also... would like to know if anyone has had any luck decoding MDT Transmissions VIA the program MDT (Which is illegal in North America) and I found at Eavesdroppers ?

5148. Question about monitoring Military Satellites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb5fas@postoffice.swbell.net
Message-ID: <376BA006.5CF9B0E5@postoffice.swbell.net>
Source record: 115044

A couple of questions regarding the FLTSATCOM & other similar military satellites:

(1) Is there a carrier frequency for each one? If not - how do ground troops/stations locate the satellite they wish to use?

(2) What is the output power of most of these satellites?

(3) I am assuming the mode is AM - is this correct?

(4) Finally.....I'm using an Alinco DJ-X10 and 220 mhz boomer beam (10 db gain). Any chance of hearing them with this beam or is a pre-amp also something I'll have to have as well.

All help is appreciated.

5149. Re: Notification of new Scanning binary newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: sydptu@yahoo.com.au
Message-ID: <XaMa3.833$jW.5657@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 115045
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>The name of the group is alt.binaries.radio-scanner, please request your
>news admins to add it to their feeds ASAP!!!
>
>Thanks and hope to see you there soon

It looks like it's not around yet....

5150. pro2006 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Martin <jim4897@athenet.net>
Message-ID: <929802200.665.42@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115046

If the pro 2006 was so good why don,t they just remake it?

--
Jim Martin
jim4897@athenet.net
http://www.athenet.net/~jim4897/

5151. #1 Promax portable Antenna Outperforms!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: TKD <rt12@montrose.net>
Message-ID: <01beba63$bea0f220$45c385cc@default>
Source record: 115047
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This portable compact scanner antenna will outperform most stock and
discone large outdoor antennas!!
check it out ! works on all types of scanners!
see
http://flashdistributor.com
Order on secure web site!

5152. Re: Question about monitoring Military Satellites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990619111845.23408.00001095@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 115048

If you're interested in military monitoring then you should join join MilCom, the e-mail listserver
dedicated to military monitoring.

To join:

Send an e-mail message to: majordomo@qth.net
In the subject put: milcom
In the text area put: subscribe milcom

That's all there is to it. You should start receiving messages within a few hours.
To post a message to the list members address it to milcom@qth.net
We have members all over the country, in Europe and Japan.
For help finding frequencies in your area, or other information on military monitoring, just post a message stating your location
and requesting frequency help and you should get some answers.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

5153. Life Flight freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jgarrettRRR@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <376bb5b5.398641@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 115049

Does any one have Life Flight freqs. for Atlanta area???

thanks Julian

5154. Trying to buy a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <376BBE55.812636B0@home.com>
Source record: 115050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Am having no luck at winning a bid on a Pro2004,5 or 6 on Ebay.I am looking for a reasonable price at which to buy one.If you have one in good,clean condition and willing to sell one-please let me know.Even would consider a Bearcat 9000xlt also.thanks

5155. Re: #1 Promax portable Antenna Outperforms!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7kggicuom1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 115051
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ï¿½
>
>This portable compact scanner antenna will outperform most stock and
>discone large outdoor antennas!!
>check it out ! works on all types of scanners!
>see
>http://flashdistributor.com
>Order on secure web site!
>

Sure, if you want to spend $40+ dollars on a wire dipole antenna that you can get the plans for off the internet for free and spend $5 or less to make go ahead! I've got some ocean front property here in Indiana I'd like to sell you!

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5156. Re: PRO 91 Trunker 4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: gbrumley@micoks.net
Message-ID: <7kgm98$ve0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115052
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <376ac3c7@nemo.idirect.com>,
"WO" <xwalter@idirect.com> wrote:
> Radio Shack PRO91 150 programmable TRUNKTRAKER:
>
> 29-54
> 108-136.975
> 137-174
> 406-512
> 806-824
> 851-868
> 896-956
>
> 5 banks of 30
> 50 ch/sec scan search speed
> Comes with original manual
>
> Does not suit my needs.
>
> Cost 350.00 new 1 MONTH OLD
>
> OPEN TO OFFERS...
>
> --
> A little knowledge and a vivid imagination is enough to drive anyone
crazy.
>
> I'm looking for a new scanner to replace my RS PRO-60. The PRO-91 was
one of my choices. I was wondering why the PRO-91 didn't suit your
needs. Is the something about the unit that is not obvious from the
specs?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5157. Need access to police databases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Pinero <openbroadcast@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7kgmtm$vj8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115053

Hi! I am developing a site that showcases "live incident" pages in the framework of the Open Broadcasting campaign (see URL in sig). These are pages which update the community on live incidents ranging from traffic conditions to full-blown chit-chat between police MDTs (which California posts on the web). I believe these sites are excellent examples of compensantory solutions for public safety agencies that close their usual police communications off from the public by switching to digital radio systems. I want to spotlight such sites to model them, and hopefully spur the imaginations of tech-whizzes at other police departments who stumble on it.

Please respond via e-mail.

To get an idea of the site URLs I'm looking for, visit the initial entries at:

http://home.tampabay.rr.com/mercury/teletype/

Thanks everyone!

Dave
--
==========
Open Broadcasting Website
http://members.aol.com/truth4000/page1.htm
==========

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5158. Re: Life Flight freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>"@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <376BBE40.1023F043@qsl.net>
Source record: 115054

Julian Garrett wrote:
>
> Does any one have Life Flight freqs. for Atlanta area???
>
> thanks Julian

Julian
In the USA there are 3 national medical frequencies for interagency use.
They are 155.260, .340, .400 MHz you might want to try those first.
The local LifeLine for Louisville uses the 155.400MHz frequency. Or you
might want to check out the FCC Wireless Communications Bureau search
engine for their frequency. You can search by state and/or frequency at
http://gullfoss.fcc.gov:8080/cgi-bin/ws.exe/beta/genmen/index.hts
--
To Reply Remove The Extra <AT> From The Spam Proof Address

"73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
<'}}}>< <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}>< <'}}}><
E-Mail me at: kb9tmp<AT>@qsl.net
Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
Church URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/
Wonder Valley Camp URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in2/wvc

5159. WalMart Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7kglto$1d5$2@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 115055

To the person who wanted the WalMart frequencies this is HOT of the internet
website of the FCC WTB Bureau. All Frequencies are in MHz
151.955
151.715
464.375
469.375
461.025
466.025
464.750
464.600
469.750
469.600
--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5160. Re: unblock Pro-91?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Schuitema's <hondamx@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <PwRa3.3130$jK5.385605@typ11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 115056

I have taken mine apart and I notice a half-dollar size glob of black epoxy on the back of the circuit board. Let's say that if I could find a way to remove this epoxy, would anything under it be able to be changed to allow a wider span of freqs? They are obviously coveing SOMETHING or it wouldn't be there!

Jon Brundridge wrote in message ...
>do any mods for the Pro-91 exist? I'd like to unblock it but so far I am
>told it in not possible to unblock this radio.
>
>Advice?
>
>
>

5161. Re: unblock Pro-91?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <JJRa3.5337$En5.199320@news3.giganews.com>
Source record: 115057

try burning the epoxy off.

reinaga
The Schuitema's <hondamx@iserv.net> wrote in message
news:PwRa3.3130$jK5.385605@typ11.nn.bcandid.com...
> I have taken mine apart and I notice a half-dollar size glob of black
epoxy
> on the back of the circuit board. Let's say that if I could find a way to
> remove this epoxy, would anything under it be able to be changed to allow
a
> wider span of freqs? They are obviously coveing SOMETHING or it wouldn't
be
> there!
>
>
> Jon Brundridge wrote in message ...
> >do any mods for the Pro-91 exist? I'd like to unblock it but so far I am
> >told it in not possible to unblock this radio.
> >
> >Advice?
> >
> >
> >
>
>

5162. FS PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: berta1s@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990619150211.05373.00001804@ng38.aol.com>
Source record: 115058

THIS ONE IS LIKE NEW WITH ANTEENA, MANUAL,NO MODS DISPLAY IS BRIGHT $300.00

5163. Re: WalMart Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ruth and Bill Jr." <db9834@dragonbbs.com>
Message-ID: <376BE9C6.21E41F85@dragonbbs.com>
Source record: 115059

Our store is also on 151.625

1 Angry Man wrote:

> To the person who wanted the WalMart frequencies this is HOT of the internet
> website of the FCC WTB Bureau. All Frequencies are in MHz
> 151.955
> 151.715
> 464.375
> 469.375
> 461.025
> 466.025
> 464.750
> 464.600
> 469.750
> 469.600
> --
> ==
> "I would never belong to any group,
> that would have me as a member!"
> Grocho Marx
> ==
> Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
> at least two, and they usually stink !!!
> ==
> Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5164. HOOD Blimps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: birdfan1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990619150739.01390.00002592@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 115060

I'm looking for the frequencies that the hood blimps use in the NorthShore Ma. area.

Please reply by E-Mail.
Thanks

Birdfan1

5165. Re: Trying to buy a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <376BEDCB.FF486468@ibm.net>
Source record: 115061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

bruce wrote:
> Am having no luck at winning a bid on a Pro2004,5 or 6 on Ebay.I am
> looking for a reasonable price at which to buy one.If you have one in
> good,clean condition and willing to sell one-please let me know.Even
> would consider a Bearcat 9000xlt also.thanks

Check out some of the larger dealers which carry a wide
assortment of used models like AES, Grove, HRO and
Universal. Check my Scanner Dealers FAQ for web links:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/dealers.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

5166. Re: PRO 91 Trunker 4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: desdave@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990619153300.23955.00000290@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 115062

>Cost 350.00 new

I got mine a month ago for 169.00...

...Trying to make a profit, are we?

Dave

{~}:-l desdave@aol.com::::Got a Wife in Chino!::::desdave@aol.com l-:{~}

5167. WTB Pro-62

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: pstringy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990619154544.08650.00000535@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 115063

Good condition with manual. E-mail with price.

5168. Re: Question about monitoring Military Satellites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <376BF6F0.10F244A5@mich.com>
Source record: 115064

kb5fas@postoffice.swbell.net wrote:

> A couple of questions regarding the FLTSATCOM & other similar military
> satellites:
>
> (1) Is there a carrier frequency for each one? If not - how do ground
> troops/stations locate the satellite they wish to use?

Some have beacons, but users know where on the horizon the satellite
should be.

> (2) What is the output power of most of these satellites?

It's related to the uplink power/dBs of signal received by the
transponder.

> (3) I am assuming the mode is AM - is this correct?

No.

> (4) Finally.....I'm using an Alinco DJ-X10 and 220 mhz boomer beam (10
> db gain). Any chance of hearing them with this beam

Yes. But more & more traffic is digital DAMA mode, which tends to blend
in with the noise floor unless you've got a pretty good signal on the
transponder. A good portion of the non-secure, analog voice traffic (such
as the Joint Task Force - Full Accounting) is that way because it was
mandated so for political considerations.

Mental Ward spammed ya with his MILCOM advertisement. MILCOM is OK if
you've got a lot of free time & a broad interest in military comms, but it
often has a very high signal to noise ratio due to an inept or non-existent
list administrator to issue & enforce net discipline.

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

5169. Re: PRO 91 Trunker 4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Toronto, Canada" <xwalterx@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <376bfd7b@nemo.idirect.com>
Source record: 115065
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ya in the US, not in Canada.!

--
A little knowledge and a vivid imagination is enough to drive anyone crazy.
<nospam@iname.com> wrote in message
news:376b00aa.22016757@news.newsguy.com...
> On Fri, 18 Jun 1999 18:02:14 -0400, "WO" <xwalter@idirect.com> wrote:
>
> >Radio Shack PRO91 150 programmable TRUNKTRAKER:
> >
> >29-54
> >108-136.975
> >137-174
> >406-512
> >806-824
> >851-868
> >896-956
> >
> >5 banks of 30
> >50 ch/sec scan search speed
> >Comes with original manual
> >
> >Does not suit my needs.
> >
> >Cost 350.00 new 1 MONTH OLD
> >
> >OPEN TO OFFERS...
>
> .....On sale at Radio Shack for $199, every 2 months.

5170. Re: Question about monitoring Military Satellites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: justmrzor@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14891-376BFA7C-19@newsd-132.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115066

i tried subscribing to milcom but no luck

5171. Re: pro2006 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <376C0F40.5D554740@globe-net.net>
Source record: 115067

Do you remember how much it cost new then? One of
its biggest benefits is it is easy to modify. Under the new
FCC regulations, they can't make one like that again.
Besides, if it can't trunktrack these days and can't be modified,
I don't want it. The '57 Chevy was good too, not permitted
to make them like that again either. :-(

Robert

James Martin wrote:

> If the pro 2006 was so good why don,t they just remake it?
>
> --
> Jim Martin
> jim4897@athenet.net
> http://www.athenet.net/~jim4897/

5172. Need EDACS Freq's.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scanner Man AZ <nospam@email.com>
Message-ID: <0IUa3.41235$Fz2.5416@news.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 115068
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Could someone please help me with this. I am looking for EDACS frequencies for Arizona. I just got the G/Wiz modification on my scanner and would like to test it out. Metro Phoenix Area is preferred, but I will take what I can get.

Thank you in advance.

Scanner Man AZ

"If scanners are outlawed, only outlaws would have scanners"

5173. Help W/ LA Security Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2644-376C1F10-77@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 115069

Hello,
Does anyone have a site for los angeles security guard frequencies?
I have a few, but can find a good list of them.
Any help appreciated.

PS. I am not a crook

5174. Re: Trying to buy a scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990619192050.10460.00001841@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 115070

>From: bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
>Date: Sat, 19 June 1999 11:51 AM
>
>Am having no luck at winning a bid on a Pro2004,5 or 6 on Ebay.I am
>looking for a reasonable price at which to buy one.

Bruce, what do you consider a "reasonable price?" You're after some of the most popular used scanners on the market, with even the Pro-2004 often bringing $250.00 and up.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

5175. Re: Automated Radio Recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: xman Charlie <xman@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <7kh5q6$2ccl@enews5.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115071

The Chinese have several models of these ready for production.

A small walkman like auto recorder to cd r device. It will revolutionize the
market.

your scanner pal, Charlie

Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com> wrote in message
news:376B0059.4DFF9E68@accesscom.com...
> That wasn't specified in the question. You asked if there was a recorder
> that will turn itself on and off based on a pre-set clock. Next time be
> more accurate and precise with your question..
>
> Ed
>
> "John W. Barron" wrote:
> >
> > I can't carry a VCR around with me like I can a Walkman!
> >
> > Ed Tobiasz wrote:
> >
> > > Why not use a VCR? It's got a clock and can record up to 6 hours.
> > >
> > > Ed
> > >
> > > "John W. Barron" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Does anyone know whether or not a radio tape recorder that will turn
> > > > itself on and off based on a pre-set clock? It would be best if it
had
> > > > auto-reverse, also.
> > > > Using this, it would be possible to put a 60 minute program on tape
at
> > > > any hour of the day or night. Strange as it may seem, I can't
locate a
> > > > source for such an instrument.
> > > >
> > > > Can anyone help?
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > John W. Barron
> > > > j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net
> > > > PCS Mobile Phone: 919-272-2384
> > > > NAWCC Member # 105930
> > > >

> > > > Avoyelles Parish (LA) Web Page:
> > > > http://www.mindspring.com/~jwbarron/avoyeles.htm
> >
> > --
> > John W. Barron
> > j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net
> > PCS Mobile Phone: 919-272-2384
> > NAWCC Member # 105930
> >
> > Avoyelles Parish (LA) Web Page:
> > http://www.mindspring.com/~jwbarron/avoyeles.htm

5176. Icom IC-R100 forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsmtmn@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990619193411.22990.00001970@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 115072

Icom IC-R100 Communications Receiver forsale

500KHz-1.8GHz
With 800 MHz*not blocked*.

Memory channels 100
Priority channels 1
Scan edge channels 20 (for 10 scan ranges)
AM, FM wide FM
Comes with mobile bracket, manual, DC power cable with noise filter (CP-11).

Owned by non-smoker and radio had been mounted in a vehicle. In good condition.

Dan
rsmtmn@aol.com
(651) 423-5796

5177. Re: Regency TurboScan 800 help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsmtmn@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990619194154.22990.00001973@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 115073

I have a complete owners service manual, and also a complete service manual. There are many mods that can be done to enhance the Regency Turbo Scan (TS/2).

Nice radio if you live in the deep suburbs or don't plan to use an outdoor antenna. The radio has almost NO Front End. But, the scan rate of 50-75 channels per second is a nice feature.

rsmtmn@aol.com

5178. Re: pro2006 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul McCarron <w1hzy@cybertours.com>
Message-ID: <376C2A6F.DE89EDB1@cybertours.com>
Source record: 115074

It still is made, it just can't be sold in the US, thanks to your wonderful congress. Comtel still markets it in the rest of the FREE world!

James Martin wrote:

> If the pro 2006 was so good why don,t they just remake it?
>
> --
> Jim Martin
> jim4897@athenet.net
> http://www.athenet.net/~jim4897/

5179. WTB: pro-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <nZWa3.185$y4.382@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 115075

```
im looking for a nice PRO-2045 scanner
thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net
```

5180. Re: Need access to police databases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <376C4A5B.83D247CD@netxn.com>
Source record: 115076

Nice idea, but I know of no department that is going to someone access
to their database that does not work for them. You could really reek
havoc on a department with that kind of access.

If the different departments don't want it, it ain't gonna happen ...

Just my 2cents ...

Mike Derryberry

David Pinero wrote:
>
> Hi! I am developing a site that showcases "live incident" pages in the
> framework of the Open Broadcasting campaign (see URL in sig). These
> are pages which update the community on live incidents ranging from
> traffic conditions to full-blown chit-chat between police MDTs (which
> California posts on the web). I believe these sites are excellent
> examples of compensantory solutions for public safety agencies that
> close their usual police communications off from the public by
> switching to digital radio systems. I want to spotlight such sites to
> model them, and hopefully spur the imaginations of tech-whizzes at
> other police departments who stumble on it.
>
> Please respond via e-mail.
>
> To get an idea of the site URLs I'm looking for, visit the initial
> entries at:
>
> http://home.tampabay.rr.com/mercury/teletype/
>
> Thanks everyone!
>
> Dave
> --
> ==
> Open Broadcasting Website
> http://members.aol.com/truth4000/page1.htm
> ==
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5181. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bob <rockct@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7kg468$5mf$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115077
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

```
Atually, he could use a microwave oven to xmit up on thse freqs. he could
just stick his little peanut shaped head in it and turn it on. mankind will
be better off.
David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net> wrote in message
news:37649F0A.79AC6F49@jps.net...
> Woofle wrote:
>
> > On Sat, 12 Jun 1999 17:47:11 GMT, tos-tng@startrekmail.com wrote:
> >
> > >In article <3760b575.525653530@news.earthlink.net>,
dogbert@mindless.com wrote:
> > >>On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert" <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
> > >>wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether it
has to
> > >>>be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig.
> > >>[snip]
> > >>
> > >>Using such a radio would be illegal.
> > >>
> > >
> > >Actually such equipment exists and is available and it's not illegal.
The
> > >primary users of such equipment are those business that have a need to
test
> > >consumer electronic equipment, medical electronic equipment, military
> > >electronic equipment...etc. for ElectroMagnetic Compatibility and
> > >ElectroMagnetic Interference or as we know it EMC/EMI. The transmitter
and
> > >receivers used to do the measurements generally operate from 14khz to
40ghz
> > >and are continuously tunable and can generate a fieldstrength of
200v/m. Go
> > >down to the local library and check out these two magazines: Evaluation
> > >Engineering and Compliance Engineering. Both have articals and
advertisements
> > >citing the equipment you are looking for...Price and availablity of the
> > >equipment will be up to the individual manufacturers.
> > >.................enjoy.............
> > >
> > >Fred
```

>
> There are also radios like that that are in use by public safety.
Primarily used in
> helos. They need to have the ability to communicate with any police and/or
fire
> agency regardless of the freq. their on. All they do is dial in the
desired freq.
> and talk away. It's not illegal either. It's called MUTUAL AID.
>
> David Leeper
>
>

5182. FS: PRO-2004, UNBLOCKED,400 CHANNEL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB WOLF <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7kh7hefig1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115078
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FS: PRO-2004, MODS INCLUDE; CELLULAR UNBLOCKED, 400 CHANNEL, and HYPERSCAN.

- 25 MHz thru 1300 MHz
- AM/FM
- Full Cellular Coverage
- Bright Display
- Excellent Sensitivity
- Very Clean
- Original Operator's Manual Included

BEST OFFER OVER $ 225 + Shipping from 45373.

Please Email me direct at bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net

THANK YOU !

-ERIC

5183. Scanner Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: RB <rayjr@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <376C0B60.2D48D624@earthlink.net>
Source record: 115079

Greetings:
I am in the market for a top of the line scanner. I don't want to miss anything :))

I was hoping to get some feedback as to what to look for in a scanner and any model that you could recommend.

Thanks,

Ray

5184. Re: Radio Shack Frequency Counter - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <376C8BCF.709C0F71@netxn.com>
Source record: 115080

Nice attitude Squeeze ... many of the people here are here to learn ...
not everyone is a know-it-all like you ...

Mike

Squeeze the Weeze wrote:
>
> The RS unit is a Freq counter, NOT a nearfield reciever.
> A piece of TEST EQUIPMENT, dumbass. Glad YOU
> found out the difference.
> --
> -skweezieweezie
>
> ` X o'
> -----ooo-0-ooo-----
> ~

5185. For Sale or Trade Pro 51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <7kgkpp$6he$1@holly.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

For Sale: Pro 51, 200 channel hand held scanner, $100. Or will trade for portable, digital SW radio.

The Pro 51 has a date code of 10A5. It comes with antenna, belt clip and box.

No manual. Scanner is in excellent shape. It uses 4 x AA batteries.
The Pro 51 also has fire, weather, and marine band search.

The Pro 51 covers:
29to 29.7 MHz (10 meter amateur band)
29.7 to 50 MHz (VHF low band)
50 to 54 MHz (6 meter amateur band)
108 to 136.975 MHz (Aircraft band)
137 to 144 MHz (Military land mobile)
144 to 148 MHz (2 meter amateur band)
148 to 174 MHz (VHF high band)
406 to 420 MHz (Federal government land mobile)
420 to 450 MHz (70-cm amateur band)
450 to 470 MHz (UHF standard band)
470 to 512 MHz (UHF "T" band)
806 to 956 MHz (Public service except cellular band)
Weather service channels.....
162.400 MHz 162.500 MHz
162.425 MHz 162.525 MHz
162.450 MHz 162.550 MHz
162.475 MHz

Thanks,

D. Schopp

5186. Re: iCOM IC-R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: AY@doofus.mn
Message-ID: <376ce816.84862309@news.doofus.mn>
Source record: 115124

In article <7ke154$eq4$1@news.iastate.edu>, mglowe@iastate.edu
(Michael G Lowe) wrote:

>Now thats just not true. Though it does have a tarnished
>reputation, it is a good scanner. Not the best but a good scanner
>nevertheless. My only problem with it is that batteries are too
>expensive and I have not found a third party vendor that sale internal
>batteries anyone found a vendor?
>
>-Michael

This is the same battery that the ICOM model IC-2SAT uses.
E.H.Yost/Batteries America lists them for $22.50 + 5.50 shipping.

http://www.mrnicd-ehyostco.com/newpage2.htm

ELKEL, in Quebec lists them for $99 Canadian.
http://www.elkel.qc.ca/Liste/ico_acc.html

5187. IC-R1 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: philrobj@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990618215108.07640.00001367@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 115125

I recently bought a used IC-R1. Does anybody know where I can find a copy of the owner's manual? I'm too cheap to buy one from Icom, and the controls are kind of cryptic/hard to use. An e-mail would be appreciated. Thanks
Tigers87@aol.com

5188. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376AF9E4.2565DAFE@usa.net>
Source record: 115126

Excellent observation you point out regarding Bill Conduit. He provides
a living defination to the saying "do as I say, not as I do". He has been
a part of nearly every (if not every) flame or conflict to on this NG
for the last few years.

Perhaps if we ignore BILL CONDUIT he will go away!!!!

BBBaggins wrote:

> 'Atta boy, CONDUIT. Ignore the problem and it'll go away. Your words, pal.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5189. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376AFA3B.156A6798@usa.net>
Source record: 115127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Glenn, I have NEVER lied on this NG. EVerything I have said is
true. My personal data is verifiable, yet you refuse the truth.

How pathetic you are.

Glenn wrote:

> Always hiding. always lying.
>
> Lying about your job, licenses, ability, family

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5190. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376AFA71.36380BD2@usa.net>
Source record: 115128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

What are you Nick, the "village idiot"???

Nick wrote:

> It seems to me that jmackey is a moron and needs to quit posting stupid
> things
> I've already seen his retarded postings on other news groups and no one
> wants anything to do with this fool.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5191. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376AFA9F.C3C2A26@usa.net>
Source record: 115129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Did you try asking anyone else?

Al & Lo wrote:

> Bill has been very helpful to me, he takes the time to answer questions

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5192. CARROLL COUNTY MD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: bigdaddy00@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <376af97d.6553611@news.flash.net>
Source record: 115130

I am looking for the system ID's of the westminster,hampstead and carroll county sheriffs office, so I can lock the others out like the volunteer firefighters who just chat back and fourth all night..
anyone can help?

\

5193. Re: Does anyone have a picture of John Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376AFC87.64A0C611@usa.net>
Source record: 115131
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Williarsch-

How very true your statments are!!!!!!

williarsch@nandomail.com wrote:

> Once again the Cheek-A-Likes reveal themselves to be cowardly sneaks
> just like their hero. The anonymous "Midnight Stalker" tries to
> incite people to persecute Mackey. Then they expect people to rise to
> Cheek's defense when he's arrested, Ho, ho!
>
> They say Mackey is obsessed with Cheek, yet they spend countless hours
> of harassment trying to silence Mackey. Cheek's arrest was chickens come
> home to roost, and the Cheek-A-Likes are in disarray.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5194. Re: BC245XLT price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scanner Man AZ <nospam@email.com>
Message-ID: <o0Ea3.41137$Fz2.5285@news.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 115132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jeff Adams <jeff.adams@gscxyz.gte.com> wrote in message
news:376847b4.517063908@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com...
> On Wed, 16 Jun 1999 16:25:27 -0700, "Phil Smalley"
> <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Jeff Adams wrote in message <37692914.509223985@wlbr.iipo.gtegsc.com>...
> >>What's the best rumor for when the 895 replacement is due out?
> >
{{{SNIP!}}}

Word has it that the replacement will not be out untill late 99 early 2000.
Other than that, I haven't heard any details.

5195. anybody know freq. for new 14ch.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikeyinmn@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990618233025.12184.00001932@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 115133

anybody know the 14 frquencies for the new 14ch.2 mile range walkie talkies
that are new on the market?
if so please drop me an e-mail as well as a group reply,thank you.

5196. Re: iCOM IC-R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <7kf4s9$is5$1@news1.fast.net>
Source record: 115134

Yes -- my latest set is from EH Yost for about $25 or so [connector
included].

Clay

Michael G Lowe wrote in message <7ke154$eq4$1@news.iastate.edu>...
[snip
>Now thats just not true. Though it does have a tarnished
>reputation, it is a good scanner. Not the best but a good scanner
>nevertheless. My only problem with it is that batteries are too
>expensive and I have not found a third party vendor that sale internal
>batteries anyone found a vendor?
>
>-Michael

5197. Wacky Mackey Rants On!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: allsafe <Nuh-uhh!@INVALID.com>
Message-ID: <376B1C0C.F077A6F8@INVALID.com>
Source record: 115135
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> Williarsch-
>
> How very true your statments are!!!!!!
>
> williarsch@nandomail.com wrote:
>
> > Once again the Cheek-A-Likes reveal themselves to be cowardly sneaks
> > just like their hero. The anonymous "Midnight Stalker" tries to
> > incite people to persecute Mackey. Then they expect people to rise to
> > Cheek's defense when he's arrested, Ho, ho!
> >
> > They say Mackey is obsessed with Cheek, yet they spend countless hours
> > of harassment trying to silence Mackey. Cheek's arrest was chickens come
> > home to roost, and the Cheek-A-Likes are in disarray.

You know, Bill Cheek quit posting regularly quite a while ago. I believe it was last year. Wasn't it loony boy?

(insert inane macro SQUAWK from loony boy here)

Yeah.....but it was *last* year.

And here ya are bad mouthing him continually on this NG. A damn near daily event from what I gather.

Oh....and don't give me any of that "I onlyspeaktruth soproduce PROOF orbeshown a LIAR" crap. You and I both know that you'll post anything in the worst possible light to generate animosity and hostile responses. That's what you're here for. Not radio, or scanning, or anything like that; it's your mission to annoy the world and you think you've found some sort of anti-christ in Bill Cheek to justify your obsessive rantings. That's your friggin' mission.

OK...great. Go for it.

However, I only wish to point out that it's going to get harder and harder to maintain your delusion of some sort of righteous battle being played out here.

You see.....the guy you *think* you're arguing with quit posting to this NG regularly last year. Get it? Last *year*.

You gotta figure out something else to rant about now;

...because you're starting to look *really* weird.

You friggin' loon.

5198. Ravinia Park Music Festival Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: unclebob@ripco.com
Message-ID: <7kf5u6$g5m$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 115136

Any frequencies available for the park grounds and Highland Park, IL
Police?

--
* *

Uncle Bob.............unclebob@ripco.com

5199. Re: Wacky Mackey Rants On!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376B24F8.EEEBEBFA@usa.net>
Source record: 115137
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

allsafe -

I wrote a short polite message of SEVEN WORDS in length. YOU
responded with a tirade belly-aching that was over 100 words, taking up
more than one screen. Then you have the NERVE to talk about my
"obsessive ranting"!!!!!!!

GET REAL YOU LOOSER!! You have brought yourself
so much shame that you can't even use your real identity & must use a FAKE
ID here on usenet!!!!!!!!

allsafe wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> > Williarsch-
> > How very true your statments are!!!!!!
>
>
> to justify your obsessive rantings. That's your f

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5200. Re: Brighton, Hamburg, Pinckney frequencies wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-19 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <CsFa3.1106$D5.4281@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 115138

Tim Tyler wrote in message <376A919B.1D421167@mich.com>...
>Geez, what a smooth segway to advertise his site...
>
>
> Mike is looking for freqs for Livingston County, Michigan, in the
United
>States of America.

And if he had specified the state, I would not have responded. We have a Town of Brighton (Monroe County, which borders Livingston), a Town of Hamburg (Erie County), and a Pinckney Township, I think, but I don't recall offhand where it is.

By the way, there are no for-pay information or services at the NF2G Scannist Pages (except my wife's crafting business, which is not at all reasonably connected to the main topic of NF2G.COM).

73 de Dave, NF2G, NYSING-01

5201. Re: HOOD Blimps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: comint430@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990619235014.26162.00002048@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 114889

>I'm looking for the frequencies that the hood blimps use in the NorthShore
>Ma.
>area.

I often see the blimps in the Baltimore area. If you see them try the local ATC frequencies. If you can find out where they are moored and can stop by they are usually pretty willing to talk to you. I have talked to the crews of MetLife, Goodyear, and Fuji. Also if you can get the tail numbers you might try a site at www.airnav.com for further info on the airship. There is also a site dedicated to airships but I dont remember the URL.

I hope this has been a help to you.

73,
Tony Kobylski
comint430@aol.com

5202. Re: Radio Shack Frequency Counter - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01bebadb$371b6920$d83c4ad1@godzirra>
Source record: 114890

The RS unit is a Freq counter, NOT a nearfield reciever.
A piece of TEST EQUIPMENT, dumbass. Glad YOU
found out the difference.
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

5203. Re: Life Flight freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: fireftrjef@aol.com.no.spam
Message-ID: <19990620021134.20250.00001682@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 114891

Check those frequencies, and also check the business frequencies too...like the trauma center here uses 463.900 for their "flightcom"...this frequency is used for the pilots and nurses getting their info and giving ETA and position reports.

5204. Re: Help W/ LA Security Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: data@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7khpuo$d8f$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114892

In article <2644-376C1F10-77@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>, Typecast@webtv.net wrote:
>Hello,
>Does anyone have a site for los angeles security guard frequencies?
>I have a few, but can find a good list of them.
>Any help appreciated.
>

>PS. I am not a crook

That's what they all say!

5205. Re: PRO 91 Trunker 4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mel Larsen <mland@cland.net>
Message-ID: <376C97AB.94B057A2@cland.net>
Source record: 114893
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey G! Just wondering ..... is the 91 the "Racer?" What is it that wasn't to your liking? Do you have a min $ that you expect or would require? Would appreciate a note. Thanks,

mel

gbrumley@micoks.net wrote:

> In article <376ac3c7@nemo.idirect.com>,
> "WO" <xwalter@idirect.com> wrote:
> > Radio Shack PRO91 150 programmable TRUNKTRAKER:
> >
> > 29-54
> > 108-136.975
> > 137-174
> > 406-512
> > 806-824
> > 851-868
> > 896-956
> >
> > 5 banks of 30
> > 50 ch/sec scan search speed
> > Comes with original manual
> >
> > Does not suit my needs.
> >
> > Cost 350.00 new 1 MONTH OLD
> >
> > OPEN TO OFFERS...
> >
> > --
> > A little knowledge and a vivid imagination is enough to drive anyone
> crazy.
> >
> > I'm looking for a new scanner to replace my RS PRO-60. The PRO-91 was
> one of my choices. I was wondering why the PRO-91 didn't suit your
> needs. Is the something about the unit that is not obvious from the
> specs?
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5206. Re: Operating Scanner Near Computers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tom@No_Spam.net
Message-ID: <376c976a.52062667@news.xprt.net>
Source record: 114894

Usually the noise problems associated with scanners and computers is
generated by the monitor.

On 17 Jun 1999 23:31:51 GMT, 1AngryMan@antisocial.com (1 Angry Man)
wrote:

>> I recently bought a RS TrunkTracker base model. When I installed it
>> in my den it worked great. Reception was adequate at both low freq's
>> (39MHz) and high freq's (800MHz). When I took the scanner into the
>> rom where the computers are I picked up a lot of interference and
>> reception was substantially degraded.
>
>Operating a scanner near a commuter is no problem as long as the commuter
>doesn't work maintenance for the 3 Mile Island power station!
>
>--
>=======================================
>"I would never belong to any group,
>that would have me as a member!"
>Grocho Marx
>=======================================
>Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
>at least two, and they usually stink !!!
>=======================================
>Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
>

5207. Re: HOOD Blimps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tom@No_Spam.net
Message-ID: <376c9bc6.53179143@news.xprt.net>
Source record: 114895

These links may help.

http://www.panix.com/clay/scanning/frequencies/blimps.shtml
http://junior.apk.net/~mikef/blimpfil.txt
http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/generalfrqs/sports/gyblimp.frq
http://www.megsinet.net/~muddskip/blimps.htm

>>I'm looking for the frequencies that the hood blimps use in the NorthShore
>>Ma.
>>area.
>

5208. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376C9DEB.5AABF55E@usa.net>
Source record: 114896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Glenn wrote:

> When somebody posts a question and Bill answers with the correct answer, why
> would the guy ask anyone else. Cheek responded

Perhaps to make sure it is the CORRECT answer.

> In comparison and giving you more credit due you may have posted
> something related to scanners 6 times in the last 3 years but hold the

I have posted FAR more on-topic messages than 6. Perhaps you gotconfused with
YOUR OWN posting history?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5209. Re: anybody know freq. for new 14ch. (Family Radio Service)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Gunderson <MGunderson@zero-net.com>
Message-ID: <376C829C.D7920D10@zero-net.com>
Source record: 114897

MikeyinMN wrote:
>
> anybody know the 14 frquencies for the new 14ch.
> 2 mile range walkie talkies that are new on the market?
> if so please drop me an e-mail as well as a group reply,thank you.

Information about Family Radio Service can be found at:

news:alt.radio.family

news:us.misc.family-radio

http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html

5210. Re: Gdfthr Rants On!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376C9E35.A310697F@usa.net>
Source record: 114898

Yea, "HORSEHIT", like YOU trying to act like a SPELLING EXPERT.

Gdfthr768 wrote:

> I think you should all get real looser, you're too tight and tense in here.
> Unless you meant "loser", in which case, enough said.
> I think this whole thing is a load of horseshit, a child's grudge match fought

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5211. Re: Question about monitoring Military Satellites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Granger <bgranger@one.net.au>
Message-ID: <376ca215.0@pink.one.net.au>
Source record: 114899

kb5fas@postoffice.swbell.net wrote in message
<376BA006.5CF9B0E5@postoffice.swbell.net>...
>A couple of questions regarding the FLTSATCOM & other similar military
>satellites:
>
>(1) Is there a carrier frequency for each one? If not - how do ground
>troops/stations locate the satellite they wish to use?

The Pacific satellite retransmits SUN-FM from the Philipines.

>(2) What is the output power of most of these satellites?

Dunno

>(3) I am assuming the mode is AM - is this correct?

FM for the Pacific bird.

>(4) Finally.....I'm using an Alinco DJ-X10 and 220 mhz boomer beam (10
>db gain). Any chance of hearing them with this beam or is a pre-amp also
>something I'll have to have as well.

I can hear them hear in Sydney, 33° south, using a whip antenna on an Icom
R10 and an old AOR 2000, but they are noisy. More gain would help me a lot.
Signal are much stronger as one heads north from here.

Brad VK2QQ

5212. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <zG1b3.111274$uo1.367254@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 114900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote ...
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > When somebody posts a question and Bill answers with the correct answer,
why
> > would the guy ask anyone else. Cheek responded
>
> Perhaps to make sure it is the CORRECT answer.

Cheek isn't known for smoking repeaters and power supplies, you are John,
and no I have not seen Bill post bad information in the last 5 or 6 years.
Out of thousands a couple were disputed. Guess by who? Yea you John. The
reality of it is this John, I would take Cheeks advise on scanners over
yours ANY day and so would hundreds of others.

> > In comparison and giving you more credit due you may have posted
> > something related to scanners 6 times in the last 3 years but hold the
>
> I have posted FAR more on-topic messages than 6. Perhaps you gotconfused
with
> YOUR OWN posting history?

Nope, not confused AT ALL nor are the 25 or 30 others that agree with me.
Not bogus people hiding behind AOL or DeJaNews accounts like your supporters
but people that have been on this NG and Fido for years. They all agree
about this with me John. You don't contribute SQUAT! Never have never will.
You are hardly worth cleaning off the bottom of my boot, pissant.

5213. Decoding digital cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Olive <olive@2.sbbs.se>
Message-ID: <376CA9CB.6FFE10DD@2.sbbs.se>
Source record: 114901
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I need some equipment or PC software that makes it possible
to decode the digital transmissions from digital cordless
phones and digital cellular phones (GSM)into voice audio.I know
that has been done,and I have a scanner that can handle the frequencys.

5214. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: semtex50@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990620050935.01367.00002821@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 114902

>Back then, tube
>technology (which was pretty much 'voltage' amps and high
>impedance) wasn't as protected as a lot of todays technology.

As I understand it modern electronics are thousands of time more vulnerable to EMP than olde rtube type technologies.

> was told a ground busrt doesn't yield near the EMP of an air burst.
>But that's not to say it still won't knock out tons of equipment.

High altitude can cover more area with EMP as i understand it. Several large high altitude bursts could probably wipe out most of the non protected electronics in the US.
>Today the power grid is
>probably
>LESS sensitive to a massive overload.

Probaly more vulnerable do to computer control and a more "interdependent" grid system.
I am not an expert but I have read a little on the subject. quite interesting

5215. Looking for good mobile scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: joerg@access1.net
Message-ID: <376CADAE.2FDA@access1.net>
Source record: 114903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking for a good magnet foot car antenna for my 25-1300MHz hand held scanner. Use it mostly in 30-500MHz range, in remote locations with weak signals.
I have tried the Radio Shack 25-1300 magnet base Antenna for $29.95, but was not satisfied. Any recommendations ? Any opinions on radio antenna splitters ?

Thanks a lot in advance! Happy Fathers Day...

Joerg

5216. Icom IC-R 8500 Discrim Output.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom <tom@price515.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7kieup$fp1$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 114904
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi People,
Being of limited Techno skills I would appreciate any advise regarding the discriminator for the Icom IC-R8500.
In the manual there is reference to Data communications. It says to get output for high speed data (9600 bps) an internal jumper plug has to be adjusted converting the AGC output into the AF direct detector output, 200 mVrms/4.7k ohms.
Is this just a clever way of saying "Get your discrim output here". Or am I totally on the wrong track and about to blow myself up?!!
I need the output for trunked radio .
Will this be sufficient?
T.I.A Tom.

5217. Radio Scanning in Greece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: vtsa@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7kii2b$fd7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114905
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi to all scanner enthusiasts! I 'm a newbie into scanning and I would greatly appreciate if anyone could
help me with the following three questions:

1. What is the best URL for a newbie to start looking into scanning info?
2. I got an ICOM PCR1000. Is the antenna with it any good or I have to buy a new antenna? I am able to pick up
some radio-taxi calls but I haven't managed yet to pick up the local airport conversations.
3. Does anybody out there know any frequencies list in Greece ?

Thank you very much in advance!

V.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5218. Re: What is the latest on BC245xlt Release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: binfordv@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <376cbf4e.3728184@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114906

You and me both!
I ask this same question last week and the first of July still seems
to be the "schedule".
On Tue, 15 Jun 1999 18:14:46 -0400, "BubbaJoe" <Bubba@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>What is the latest word on when the new edacs tracker will be available?
>Last I heard was July. But, they were to show it a Dayton and didn't --
>sounds like a slip in schedule.
>
>waiting to get one.
>
>
>cheers
>
>rick
>
>
>
>

5219. Radio Scanning in Greece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: vtsa@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7kiiae$ff4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114907

Hi to all scanner enthusiasts! I 'm a newbie into scanning and I would greatly appreciate if anyone could
help me with the following three questions:

1. What is the best URL for a newbie to start looking into scanning info?
2. I got an ICOM PCR1000. Is the antenna with it any good or I have to buy a new antenna? I am able to pick up
some radio-taxi calls but I haven't managed yet to pick up the local airport conversations.
3. Does anybody out there know any frequencies list in Greece ?

Thank you very much in advance!

V.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5220. Re: comtel-225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <376CC80E.4DF2DDE9@ibm.net>
Source record: 114908

Larry Dalton wrote:
> Hello, Has anyone purchased a comtel-225 Scanner ,how good a receiver
> are they . I'm thinking about buying one

Larry,
Have you read my review? If interested, stop by my site below!

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

5221. Re: Scanner Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <376CC8CF.50495761@ibm.net>
Source record: 114909

RB wrote:
> I am in the market for a top of the line scanner. I don't want to miss
> anything :))
>
> I was hoping to get some feedback as to what to look for in a scanner
> and any model that you could recommend.

Top of the line would run you about $6000 for an Icom IC-R9000.
Next step down would be the ARO AR-5000+3 or Icom IC-R8500 for
around $2000.

Still interested in "top of the line"?

You should probably do more research and decide which scanner
is best for all of YOUR needs. Stop by my Picking A Scanner/
Reciever page for help in doing just that:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/pickrecv.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

5222. Re: 895XLT Problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joel Michael Sturm <jsturm@home.com>
Message-ID: <d_4b3.480$36.19928@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 114910

Yessir.

That seemed to have helped.

Joel
TBIRDSAND <tbirdsand@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990619203859.07111.00002035@ng-fs1.aol.com...
> You need to be in the trunked mode
> when you hold down the L/O button
> to unlock the talkgroups.I've found that
> mine will only lockout 100 talkgroups
> in the whole radio,not 100 in every
> bank,so you should go into every
> trunked bank,in the trunk mode and
> holdown L/O.It's also possible that
> other banks were once programmed with
> some talkgroups locked out.
> Hope this helped.
> Randy

5223. Northstar Dispatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: billy <billhoward1@home.com>
Message-ID: <376CDB83.1D9A2F77@home.com>
Source record: 114911

Hello:

Can anyone e-mail me with the Northstar Dispatch Freq. in New Jersey.Thanks for the help..

ALl the best
Bill

5224. Re: PRO 91 Trunker 4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Toronto, Canada" <xwalterx@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <376ce7ba@nemo.idirect.com>
Source record: 114912

You seem to forget that people live in Canada as well.....Eh!

Cheers

--
A little knowledge and a vivid imagination is enough to drive anyone crazy.
Dave Bucholtz <desdave@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990619153300.23955.00000290@ng-ci1.aol.com...
> >Cost 350.00 new
>
> I got mine a month ago for 169.00...
>
> ...Trying to make a profit, are we?
>
> Dave
>
>
> {~}:-l desdave@aol.com::::Got a Wife in Chino!::::desdave@aol.com l-:{~}
>

5225. Re: Scanner Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Kellison KA8TOK <KA8TOK@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <376CE7DF.CA7A2C45@four-way.net>
Source record: 114913
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: KA8TOK.vcf

top of the line costs about 900- 1000.00 dollars.. how much are you
willing to spend?

RB wrote:

> Greetings:
> I am in the market for a top of the line scanner. I don't want to miss
> anything :))
>
> I was hoping to get some feedback as to what to look for in a scanner
> and any model that you could recommend.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Ray

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

5226. Re: Automated Radio Recording

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <376ce9d5.7671502@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 114914

"John W. Barron" <j.w.barron@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

:Does anyone know whether or not a radio tape recorder that will turn
:itself on and off based on a pre-set clock?

That does not make sense. I think you left some words out.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

5227. Re: What is the latest on BC245xlt Release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffl@dynasty.net
Message-ID: <QZ5b3.1668$fi5.10780@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 114915

In article <7k6j7p$5f1$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "BubbaJoe" <Bubba@hotmail.com>
wrote:
>What is the latest word on when the new edacs tracker will be available?
>Last I heard was July. But, they were to show it a Dayton and didn't --
>sounds like a slip in schedule.
>
>waiting to get one.
>
>
>cheers
>
>rick
>
>
>Yes the 1st of JULY is still on track for delv.

Jeff
THE HAM STATION
>

5228. Re: pro2006 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <376d0b6d@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 114916

Paul McCarron <w1hzy@cybertours.com> wrote in message
news:376C2A6F.DE89EDB1@cybertours.com...
> It still is made, it just can't be sold in the US, thanks to your
> wonderful congress. Comtel still markets it in the rest of the FREE
> world!

Please correct me if I am wrong, but the Comtel 205 was no longer available
as of mid-April. (This is from Javiation's web site).

Dan

5229. How do you hook to the decrim pin in scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bh420 <Bh420@cyberweb.org>
Message-ID: <7kisiegcj1@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 114917

Hello,
exactly how do you hook to the descrimintor output? thru a cap a resistor??
any help will be much appreciated
email me @ Bh420@cyberweb.org
pls

5230. FS: Yupiteru MVT-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7kit0lcgn1@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114918

Mint condition. Only a few months old. With original box and manual. Not a scratch on this radio! With all accessories. Selling to purchase new trunktracker.

Price is $400 shipped in US

5231. Re: Scanner Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>"@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <376CE694.652A306E@qsl.net>
Source record: 114919

RB wrote:
>
> Greetings:
> I am in the market for a top of the line scanner. I don't want to miss
> anything :))
>
> I was hoping to get some feedback as to what to look for in a scanner
> and any model that you could recommend.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Ray

Ray
You need to check out the Strong Signals Resource Page. Rich Wells has
it all! The URL is http://www.strongsignals.net/ Rich has info on just
about everything that concerns scanning!
--
To Reply Remove The Extra <AT> From The Spam Proof Address

"73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
<'}}}>< <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}>< <'}}}><
E-Mail me at: kb9tmp<AT>@qsl.net
Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
Church URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/
Wonder Valley Camp URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in2/wvc

5232. Re: Radio Scanning in Greece

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7kiuus$t3t$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 114920
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article vtsa@my-deja.com, you think you said....
>
>Hi to all scanner enthusiasts! I 'm a newbie into scanning and I would
>greatly appreciate if anyone could
>help me with the following three questions:
>
>1. What is the best URL for a newbie to start looking into scanning
>info?

Go to the Strong Signals Resource Page
the URL is http://www.strongsignals.net/

<snip> of questions with answers at
the Strong Signals Resource Page!

>Thank you very much in advance!
>
>V.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5233. Re: Decoding digital cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robin Abrol <rabrol@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7kj0mt$u6m$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 114921
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>I need some equipment or PC software that makes it possible
>to decode the digital transmissions from digital cordless
>phones and digital cellular phones (GSM)into voice audio.I know
>that has been done,and I have a scanner that can handle the frequencys.
>
I would also be interested in this. BTW, which frequencies are used in the
UK?

Robin

5234. Re: #1 Promax antenna !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: hap@tscnet.com
Message-ID: <376e073d.80124482@localhost>
Source record: 114922
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On 20 Jun 1999 02:38:08 GMT, "TKD" <rt12@montrose.net> wrote:

>see
>http://www.flashdistributor.com
>Reposted!

Still a $40 1/4 wave wire. Build your own for $5...

5235. Re: pro2006 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: petrow@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7kj698kkn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114923

The 2006 can trunk track if equipped with a CE-232 or OS456. Uniden trunk trackers certainly are not easily modified. What scanner fits your criteria?

In article <376C0F40.5D554740@globe-net.net>,
Robert <rc123@globe-net.net> wrote:
> Do you remember how much it cost new then? One of
> its biggest benefits is it is easy to modify. Under the new
> FCC regulations, they can't make one like that again.
> Besides, if it can't trunktrack these days and can't be modified,
> I don't want it. The '57 Chevy was good too, not permitted
> to make them like that again either. :-(
>
> Robert
>
> James Martin wrote:
>
> > If the pro 2006 was so good why don,t they just remake it?
> >
> > --
> > Jim Martin
> > jim4897@athenet.net
> > http://www.athenet.net/~jim4897/
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5236. Pro-66 Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: The ConQueror <joetheripper@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7kj77lksr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114924
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know any RadioShack Pro-66 Mods? Its an old model I got a few years back.
--
The ConQueror

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5237. FS: PRO 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB WOLF <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7kj53namc1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114925
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FS: PRO-2004, MODS INCLUDE; CELLULAR UNBLOCKED, 400 CHANNEL, and HYPERSCAN.

- 25 MHz thru 1300 MHz
- AM/FM
- Full Cellular Coverage
- Bright Display
- Excellent Sensitivity
- Very Clean
- Original Operator's Manual Included

$ 225 + Shipping from 45373.

Please Email me direct at bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net

THANK YOU !

-ERIC

5238. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376D1C90.AF32A916@usa.net>
Source record: 114926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Glenn wrote:

> <jmackey@usa.net> wrote ...
> > Perhaps to make sure it is the CORRECT answer.
>
> SNIP
> and no I have not seen Bill post bad information in the last 5 or 6 years.

SNIP

Then you have not read his posts or you don't have the technical
ability to understand the discussions.

> You are hardly worth cleaning off the bottom of my boot, pissant.

Then WHY do you keep coming on to this NG talking your STUPIDITY to me &
making a public FOOL of yourself?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5239. *** scanner newbie in need of a manual *** RadioShack Pro-2040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Baba Orum <babaorum@matuvu.com>
Message-ID: <8DEB84ED7babaorummatuvucom@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 114927

I recently went to Radio Shack and they had (on clearance) the base Pro-2040

but, without manual, power supply and antenna

I have all but the manual

Can you help me figure out how it works

5240. Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: JC <j.c.w.@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7kj80l$l9q@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 114928
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi

Does anyone have National or Local (for Northwest Columbus, Ohio)
frequencies for the Target Store chain?

Thanks!

JC
j.c.w.@ix.netcom.com

5241. Re: Gdfthr Rants On!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990620133816.10712.00001251@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 114929

>Yea, "HORSEHIT", like YOU trying to act like a SPELLING EXPERT.
>
>

No, Li'l Johnny, I spelled 'horseshit' correctly.
I find it very difficult to believe that a whining, ranting, raving imbecile
such as you has the brass nuts to accuse ANYONE else of "ranting on..."..
No wonder most of the people in here are so sick of you, you're a "looser"...
Mike

5242. Re: Scanner Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <376D2685.41E7007C@intsvc.com>
Source record: 114930

Well what kind of frequencies will you be monitoring? If there are any 800 MHz Trunked systems in your area that you would want to listen to, it would be wise to purchase a trunk tracker scanner. If not than a basic scanner would probably do fine. How many channels do you want, what features are you looking for? Might want to take a look at Unidens website, might give you a few ideas. http://www.uniden.com

RB wrote:

> Greetings:
> I am in the market for a top of the line scanner. I don't want to miss
> anything :))
>
> I was hoping to get some feedback as to what to look for in a scanner
> and any model that you could recommend.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Ray

--
Charles Simmont - ICP509 Dispatcher & National Coordinator
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp.html
Notification Systems webpage http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

The opinions and views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated.

5243. Re: Gdfthr Rants On!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990620134051.10712.00001252@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 114931

P.S.
I AM glad, however, that you decided to put my name on the subject header...
I'd never seen it on the boards before. I guess I've made an impact on you!

5244. 2006 vs 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <danr@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <376D2817.7042D5E3@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 114932

Can someone describe to me what the differences between the Realistic Pro-2006 and 2005 are? They appear VERY similar. Why did the 2006 replace the 2005, given how close they are in appearence and specs? And what are the main differences?

Is the 2005 just as good a radio as the 2006 is?

Please reply by email, or in the newsgroup AND by email.

Thanks in advance for your help!
Dan

5245. Re: Northstar Dispatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tonyk048@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990620135405.13181.00001897@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 114933

northstar helicopter is disptached by rems at university hospital in newark nj on 155 .295 with a tone of 85.4. rems alerts them to the location,county town and nature of call . after they liftoff they contact the town to see if landing zone is set up and futher information or updates. this freq. is also used for als dispatch in newark, and parts of essex ,hudson and bergen counties and is quite busy

5246. Looking for live incident websites...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Pinero <openbroadcast@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7kjev3$n6f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114934

Hi! I am developing a site that showcases "live incident" pages in the framework of the Open Broadcasting campaign (see URL in sig). These are pages which update the community on live incidents ranging from traffic conditions to full-blown chit-chat between police MDTs (which California posts on the web). I believe these sites are excellent examples of compensantory solutions for public safety agencies that close their usual police communications off from the public by switching to digital radio systems. I want to spotlight such sites to model them, and hopefully spur the imaginations of tech-whizzes at other police departments who stumble on it.

Please respond via e-mail.

To get an idea of the site URLs I'm looking for, visit the initial entries at:

http://home.tampabay.rr.com/mercury/teletype/

Thanks everyone!

Dave
--
==========
Open Broadcasting Website
http://members.aol.com/truth4000/page1.htm
==========

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5247. Re: *** scanner newbie in need of a manual *** RadioShack Pro-2040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: birdfan1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990620145332.25661.00003137@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 114935

I got mine with used with out a manual.
Just go to http://www.support.tandy.com and click on the button thad say
manuals for scanners. Then scroll down to scanners. Then click on Pro-2040

Bird fan1

>I recently went to Radio Shack and they >had (on clearance) the base Pro-
>2040
>
>but, without manual, power supply and antenna
>
>
>I have all but the manua

5248. Re: Gdfthr Rants On!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376D3AA6.720CB908@usa.net>
Source record: 114936

It's easy to please those with simple minds.

Gdfthr768 wrote:

> I AM glad, however, that you decided to put my name on the subject header...

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5249. Re: Gdfthr Rants On!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376D3ADC.EF92E1F2@usa.net>
Source record: 114937

Thank you, thank you!

Gdfthr768 wrote:

SNIP

> such as you has the brass nuts to

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5250. Re: 2006 vs 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbirdsand@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990620145749.28995.00001892@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 114938

As far as I know,the only difference is
scan and search speeds.The 2006
is a lot faster.
Randy

5251. Re: Icom IC-R 8500 Discrim Output.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: pat@no-spamrwing.com
Message-ID: <7kjfrj$79n$1@sparky.wolfe.net>
Source record: 114989
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <7kieup$fp1$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>,
Tom <tom@price515.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>Hi People,
>Being of limited Techno skills I would appreciate any advise regarding the
>discriminator for the Icom IC-R8500.
>In the manual there is reference to Data communications. It says to get
>output for high speed data (9600 bps) an internal jumper plug has to be
>adjusted converting the AGC output into the AF direct detector output, 200
>mVrms/4.7k ohms.
>Is this just a clever way of saying "Get your discrim output here". Or am I
>totally on the wrong track and about to blow myself up?!!

Nope.

It is exactly what it says. You should have the schematics handy,
check 'em out, it confirms it. Its discriminator output BEFORE
de-emphasis, so it should be what you want. Level is approx line
level, if the docs are correct. Nothing is gonna turn to smoke...

Only real use of the existing IF and AGC outputs on the 8500 are for
that TeeVee accessory thingy, the IF out is 10.7 and has 9vdc riding on
it (to power the thingy), so its not an equivilant of a general output
455khz IF. Unless you have other plans for the AGC out, it makes sense
to switch the jumper. I did when I opened the radio up to turn that
bellaring beep down a bit (its LOUD). Note it only provides output in
FM mode. No AF (or agc) output when in AM, SSB, etc. modes.

>I need the output for trunked radio .
>Will this be sufficient?

Never tried it. Might just be easier (and a lot simpler) to just use a
trunk tracker for that particular application, since you usually listen
to a well-located powerful repeater and use the 8500 for more demanding
reception situations.... no added hdwe and possibly a computer involved...

Let us know how it works out in your project...

Pat M/HW

>T.I.A Tom.
>
>
>

--
#include <std.disclaimer.h> Pat Myrto (pat at rwing dot ORG) Seattle WA

Waiting periods are only a step. Registration is only a step. The prohibition of firearms is the goal."
- Janet Reno, December 10th, 1993 [Associated Press]

5252. Re: How do you hook to the decrim pin in scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <376D38EB.C755D531@ibm.net>
Source record: 114990

Usually by a capacitor in the range of 1 to 20 mfd. The value is not too critical as the main thing you want to do is isolate the circuit. A larger value will work and a smaller value may work depending on the external circuit.

5253. Re: pro2006 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <376D4AEA.B9048757@globe-net.net>
Source record: 114991

My original comment should have said "or" rather than "and".
I only buy scanners now that can be modified or can trunktrack.
The 2006 CAN be modified and it CAN trunktrack, so I want it.
Many of the new receivers today can't trunktrack or be modified,
so I have no use for them. The BC245XLT will be added to my
collection shortly because it can trunktrack without any other
equipment.. I also own a PRO-34, 2042 -w- OS535, a 2026,
and a 2005 -w- CE-232. These all can either be modified and/or
trunktrack. If the 2006 were to be released again today, meeting
the new FCC guidelines, you wouldn't be able to modify it for
trunktracking or anything else, so I wouldn't want the new version.

Hope that clarifies my earlier comments.

Robert

petrow@my-deja.com wrote:

> The 2006 can trunk track if equipped with a CE-232 or OS456. Uniden
> trunk trackers certainly are not easily modified. What scanner fits
> your criteria?
>
> In article <376C0F40.5D554740@globe-net.net>,
> Robert <rc123@globe-net.net> wrote:
> > Do you remember how much it cost new then? One of
> > its biggest benefits is it is easy to modify. Under the new
> > FCC regulations, they can't make one like that again.
> > Besides, if it can't trunktrack these days and can't be modified,
> > I don't want it. The '57 Chevy was good too, not permitted
> > to make them like that again either. :-(
> >
> > Robert
> >

5254. Re: 2006 vs 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <376D4D3C.ACC18BBA@globe-net.net>
Source record: 114992

Bob Parnass did a review of both scanners. The review for
the 2006 explains the difference between the 2005 and 6:

http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/mods/pro2005.review
http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/mods/pro2006.review

One other detail not mentioned in the review due to the
timeline, is I believe the later 2006's could have the
backlight turned off rather than dimmed.

Both are excellent radios. I haven't found a 2006 at a
reasonable cost yet so I bought a 2005 at a hamfest for
$150.00. Scan speed isn't as important when you live
in an area with high traffic. Besides, who only uses 1
scanner! ;-)

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

Dan Rollman wrote:

> Can someone describe to me what the differences between the Realistic
> Pro-2006 and 2005 are? They appear VERY similar. Why did the 2006
> replace the 2005, given how close they are in appearence and specs? And
> what are the main differences?
>
> Is the 2005 just as good a radio as the 2006 is?
>
> Please reply by email, or in the newsgroup AND by email.
>
> Thanks in advance for your help!
> Dan

5255. frequency database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: allscan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7kjnntpnt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114993

Does anyone have a good frequency database program or know where i can get one for free. Please e-mail response to allscan@yahoo.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5256. Re: Gdfthr Rants On!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990620164959.12749.00002296@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 114994

>It's easy to please those with simple minds.
>

I think I heard that "insult" back in, oh, must have been second grade? You
can't expect to make any impact with this stuff.
As I said earlier, I'm done with your "horseshit", and refuse to continue it.
You're a prick, plain and simple, everyone knows it, and I'm done with you now.
Bye-
Mike

5257. Re: Icom IC-R 8500 Discrim Output.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom <tom@price515.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7kjkku$i6f$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 114995
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks Pat,
Definitely a reply I can get my teeth into. Unfortunately I have not got a schematic as I bought the radio s/h, but in general it would be beyond my understanding anyway.
As for the option of a trunk tracker you could well be right as I have spent many an hour trying to get to grips with the Trunker software freely available on the net to no avail , mainly due to my ignorance of tampering with Auto.Exe. Bat files etc. Still thats another story.
As for the Discriminator output .. As good as resolved !!

Cheers, Tom.

>Pat Myrto wrote in message <7kjfrj$79n$1@sparky.wolfe.net>...
>>In article <7kieup$fp1$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>,
>>Tom <tom@price515.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>>>Hi People,
>>>Being of limited Techno skills I would appreciate any advise regarding
the
>>>discriminator for the Icom IC-R8500.
>>>In the manual there is reference to Data communications. It says to get
>>>output for high speed data (9600 bps) an internal jumper plug has to be
>>>adjusted converting the AGC output into the AF direct detector output,
200
>>>mVrms/4.7k ohms.
>>>Is this just a clever way of saying "Get your discrim output here". Or am
>I
>>>totally on the wrong track and about to blow myself up?!!
>>
>>Nope.
>>
>>It is exactly what it says. You should have the schematics handy,
>>check 'em out, it confirms it. Its discriminator output BEFORE
>>de-emphasis, so it should be what you want. Level is approx line
>>level, if the docs are correct. Nothing is gonna turn to smoke...

>>Only real use of the existing IF and AGC outputs on the 8500 are for
>>that TeeVee accessory thingy, the IF out is 10.7 and has 9vdc riding on
>>it (to power the thingy), so its not an equivilant of a general output
>>455khz IF. Unless you have other plans for the AGC out, it makes sense
>>to switch the jumper. I did when I opened the radio up to turn that
>>bellaring beep down a bit (its LOUD). Note it only provides output in
>>FM mode. No AF (or agc) output when in AM, SSB, etc. modes.

>>>I need the output for trunked radio .
>>>Will this be sufficient?

>>Never tried it. Might just be easier (and a lot simpler) to just use a
>>trunk tracker for that particular application, since you usually listen
>>to a well-located powerful repeater and use the 8500 for more demanding
>>reception situations.... no added hdwe and possibly a computer
involved...

>>Let us know how it works out in your project...
>>
>>Pat M/HW
>>
>>>T.I.A Tom.

>>--
>>#include <std.disclaimer.h> Pat Myrto (pat at rwing dot ORG)
Seattle
>WA
>>Waiting periods are only a step. Registration is only a step. The
>prohibition
>>of firearms is the goal."
>> - Janet Reno, December 10th, 1993 [Associated Press]

5258. Re: Loking for a cheep hand-deld trunk tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zerothehero2000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26329-376D5331-84@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 114996

I just got a Uniden BC235XLT Trunk Tracker handheld scanner from Grove Electronics.

It cost $217 to my door via second day air. Base price was $199. Unit was brand new, and came with two batteries, battery charger, AC adapter etc... They might have some left.

5259. Re: Frequency Counter, which to buy??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zerothehero2000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26331-376D510C-60@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 114997

Try Ramsey Electronics, they make a couple of counters that are fairly decent. The model i have cost $120, covers 1mhz thru 2.8ghz, and has good sensitivity. More bang for the buck than Optoelectronics.

5260. MVT7100 discriminator output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mario Cordina <Mario_Cordina@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7kjm1m$s80$1@news.maltanet.net>
Source record: 114998

Hi people,
Does anyone knows how to get a discriminator output from the yupiteru
MVT-7100??
If you have any information please send me an e-mail
my e-mail address is mario_cordina@hotmail.com

Thanks,
Mario Cordina 9H5MR

5261. BC 890 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: GARY B <garbre@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <01bebb5d$32f19b80$d8ecaacf@default>
Source record: 114999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Excellent condition Bearcat BC 890 for sale
200 channel
800 mhz
See specs at:
http://www.uniden.com/docs/product/prdetail.cfm?product=BC890XLT

Will sell for $150 shipped CONUS or best offer

Gary kb3cgq

5262. Re: Gdfthr Rants On!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376D6F95.44F52421@usa.net>
Source record: 115000

Gdfthr768 wrote:

> >It's easy to please those with simple minds.
>
> I think I heard that "insult" back in, oh, must have been second grade?

Yes, I'm sure you have heard that insult before.

> As I said earlier, I'm done with your "horseshit", and refuse to continue it.

Good, then leave we don't need people who's only goal is to be a troublemaker here on this NG.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5263. Re: What is the best home based antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Bo <jbollit@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <376D7472.B3477318@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 115001

****
I too, second Lee's statement. The best value for the money for an
omni-directional antenna.

Jim
******

Lee Rothman wrote:

> My personal favorite is the "Monitenna" by Channel Master. I have owned
> nearly every reputable discone and active type (Diamond) antenna around
> and have found that the Monitenna offers the highest gain from 27-1000mhz
> PERIOD. The fact it is only $50.00 with 50ft of 100% shielded RG-6 is a
> real bonus. You can spend more and you will only get less. I got mine
> form Scanners Unlimited in San Carlos, CA. (650) 573-1624

5264. Re: Operating Scanner Near Computers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: xman Charlie <xman@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <7kjq6l$98r@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115002

My bc895xlt sits under my viewsonic 17ea monitors.

Go see the picture of it at: http://extra.newsguy.com/~mike9str/

It works fine like it is.

Tom G. <tom@No_Spam.net> wrote in message
news:376c976a.52062667@news.xprt.net...
> Usually the noise problems associated with scanners and computers is
> generated by the monitor.
>
> On 17 Jun 1999 23:31:51 GMT, 1AngryMan@antisocial.com (1 Angry Man)
> wrote:
>
> >> I recently bought a RS TrunkTracker base model. When I installed it
> >> in my den it worked great. Reception was adequate at both low freq's
> >> (39MHz) and high freq's (800MHz). When I took the scanner into the
> >> rom where the computers are I picked up a lot of interference and
> >> reception was substantially degraded.
> >
> >Operating a scanner near a commuter is no problem as long as the commuter
> >doesn't work maintenance for the 3 Mile Island power station!
> >
> >--
> >=======================================
> >"I would never belong to any group,
> >that would have me as a member!"
> >Grocho Marx
> >=======================================
> >Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
> >at least two, and they usually stink !!!
> >=======================================
> >Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
> >
>

5265. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: xman Charlie <xman@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <7kjqef$9c9@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115003

We all like a good old snitch, eh?

D. P. Roberts <inigo@montoya.net> wrote in message
news:3765ac91.6110321@news.earthlink.net...
> >I just have to ask why anyone would want to listen too a cell
> >communication?
>
> The same reason grandma and grandpa used to listen to the telephone
> party line. I don't need to monitor cell phones. I can go to the
> mall and just sit there and listen. You'd be surprised what people
> talk about. Nothing perverted or blackmailable, but it's just
> interesting to watch people and listen to them. Same goes for
> cellular calls. Because it's there.
>

5266. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Dan K." <danka2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7kk0sg$c71$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115004
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

They are 461.6625, 469.0625, 467.7625 (J Dot bussiness Freq) 467.800, and
467.925 (Blue Star bussiness Freq.) You may want to put them in a bank in
your scanner and scan them. One store could use multiple freq. But they do
use UHF according to how small there handheld antennas are.
JC wrote in message <7kj80l$l9q@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>...
>Hi
>
>Does anyone have National or Local (for Northwest Columbus, Ohio)
>frequencies for the Target Store chain?
>
>Thanks!
>
>JC
>j.c.w.@ix.netcom.com
>
>

5267. Re: Brighton, Hamburg, Pinckney frequencies wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charley Harp <charp@htonline.com>
Message-ID: <929925908.004.31@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 115005

Mike Cuddington wrote in message <7kb12f$73i$1@magnum.mmm.com>...
>Looking for Livingston County Fire,Ambulance,Police, DNR frequencies

Hi, Mike

I was hoping someone else would respond to your original posting with better information than this, but not hearing anyone else speak up, here's what I listen to up here in Hartland.

First the bad news: About two years ago Livingston County accepted an offer from the State of Michigan to use (for a price) the state-wide 800 MHz digital Motorola Astro system, which cannot be received on any presently available consumer grade receiver equipment. All police agencies in the county abandoned their previous analog frequencies.

The conversion to 800 MHz cost every household in the county about $100 for new radio equipment. The 800 MHz system doesn't work well so we will all soon be paying another $30 per household to install a tower to improve the state's repeater network. I for one don't have much confidence that this will be the end of the story.

A little good news: Fire and EMS communications still use mostly their previous analog frequencies, although the 800 MHz system is available to them and they have a limited amount of 800 MHz radio equipment to use. And, just recently, I've noticed some interesting car-to-car traffic on some of the old police frequencies, so maybe there's still some hope.

These are the pre-conversion frequencies programmed into my scanners:

01. 155.055 11. 42.64 21. 155.055 31. 155.895
02. 37.10 12. 42.68 22. 154.355 32. 156.24
03. 37.14 13. 42.74 23. 154.40 33. 158.895
04. 37.22 14. 151.25 24. 154.77 34. 159.06
05. 37.30 15. 153.83 25. 154.89 35. 154.695
06. 37.38 16. 153.875 26. 155.22 36. 159.405
07. 42.18 17. 154.01 27. 155.34 37. 453.825
08. 42.48 18. 154.22 28. 155.37 38. 462.975
09. 42.58 19. 154.28 29. 155.775 39. 463.175
10. 42.62 20. 154.295 30. 155.865 40. 146.68

All the 30- and 40-MHz MSP frequencies are now mostly silent.
Some of the 150-MHz inter-agency frequencies are used as before.

These frequencies are still much in use:

146.68 Livingston Amateur Radio Klub (with Skywarn)
151.25 Huron-Clinton Metroparks

151.625 Several itinerants (including GMPG test drivers)
154.01 Fire #1 -- Central Dispatch
154.22 Fireground
154.40 Fireground
154.295 Fireground
155.22 School buses (Howell and Hartland)
156.24 Livingston County Road Service
462.55 Community repeaters
462.975 Livingston County Ambulance Service

If you've picked up any additional frequencies down your way, I'd appreciate a posting. Not many scanner enthusiasts have the same opportunity you do of monitoring Hell up close and personal!

Good listening!

Charley, N8MQL Charley_Harp@alum.calberkeley.org

5268. F.S. RS#20-014 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Drake <drox@jps.net>
Message-ID: <376e09ff@news1.jps.net>
Source record: 115006

I have the discontinued Radio Shack roof mount all-band ground plane antennas for sale. These are new units, displayed in last catalog I have at $39.95 and are much sturdier than my Monitenna and Scantenna. The elements resemble aluminum tent poles instead of the flimsy rolled narrow guage sheet aluminum one usually sees in this price range. They also make great attic antennas if you have the space. As with any rooftop antenna it is a good idea to assemble nuts and bolts with Loctite to prevent loosening by wind action. I am selling these postage paid for $22.95 while they last.
Rich Wells has a survey of several base antennas including this model at his site www.strongsignals.net/htm/rev_bant.htm

To purchase send Postal money order to:

john drake
536 grand ave.#134
oakland
ca. 94610

5269. FS: Several Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <376D8E48.3FCC46A@pacbell.net>
Source record: 115007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Radio #1

Radio Shack Pro 57
10 Channel Desktop Scanner
Needs Antenna
Has Power Adapter
Works Well
Good Cosmetic Condition

$15 + Actual Shipping & COD If Required
---------------------------------
Radio #2

Radio Shack Pro 2010
20 Channel Desktop Scanner
Needs Antenna
Has Power Cable
Works Well
Fair Cosmetic Condition

$20 + Actual Shipping & COD If Required
---------------------------------
Radio #3

Uniden Bearcat BC-140
10 Channel Desktop Scanner
Has Antenna
Has Power Adapter
Works Well
Good Cosmetic Condition

$15 + Actual Shipping & COD If Required
---------------------------------
Radio #4

Uniden Bearcat BC-55XLT
10 Channel Portable Scanner
Has Antenna
Works Well
Good Cosmetic Condition

$20 + Actual Shipping & COD If Required
---------------------------------
Radio #5
```

Uniden Bearcat Electra BC-100
16 Channel Portable Scanner
Has Original Uniden Rubber Duckie Antenna
No Charger - Using AA's Now - Includes Original Ni-Cads
Works Well
Very Good Cosmetic Condition

$25 + Actual Shipping & COD If Required

Radio #6

Radio Shack Pro 30
16 Channel Portable Scanner
Has Antenna
Works Well
Fair Cosmetic Condition - Scan Button Missing

$20 + Actual Shipping & COD If Required

Radio #7

Radio Shack Pro 46
Not Modified
200 Channel Portable Scanner
Has Antenna
Needs Work On External Charger/Power Plugs
Good Cosmetic Condition
As Is - Was Working Until Plugs Failed

$35 + Actual Shipping & COD If Required

Radio #8

Radio Shack Pro 64
400 Channel
Has Antenna
Includes Fitted Radio Shack Leather Case
Includes Original Box & Documentation
Works Well
Excellent Cosmetic Condition

$125 + Actual Shipping & COD If Required

Shipping From Oakland, California

Payment: Cash, Money Order Or Certified Cashiers Check, Add $5 For COD
Or Prepay & Save On COD Charges. Several Internet references available.

Email please ---> mailto: wirehead@pacbell.net

Thanks to everyone in advance!

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

5270. Re: F.S. RS#20-014 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: CeeJay <schatten21@pdq.net>
Message-ID: <_lib3.269$8F2.4739@news3.ispnews.com>
Source record: 115008

Yeah Bill they are the same ones for $4.99.
I bought three in Houston

Hey John I'll sell the group the 2 I have left for what I paid for them plus shipping and handling.

Don't think we are all in the dark ages. You are not the only one who can find good deals and I won't even price gouge anyone.

John Drake wrote in message <376e09ff@news1.jps.net>...
> I have the discontinued Radio Shack roof mount all-band ground plane
>antennas for sale. These are new units, displayed in last catalog I have
at
>$39.95 and are much sturdier than my Monitenna and Scantenna. The elements
>resemble aluminum tent poles instead of the flimsy rolled narrow guage
sheet
>aluminum one usually sees in this price range. They also make great attic
>antennas if you have the space. As with any rooftop antenna it is a good
>idea to assemble nuts and bolts with Loctite to prevent loosening by wind
>action. I am selling these postage paid for $22.95 while they last.
> Rich Wells has a survey of several base antennas including this model at
>his site www.strongsignals.net/htm/rev_bant.htm
>
> To purchase send Postal money order to:
>
> john drake
> 536 grand ave.#134
> oakland
> ca. 94610
>
>
>

5271. Re: F.S. RS#20-014 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rbulea@erienet.net>
Message-ID: <376DB626.2D35@erienet.net>
Source record: 115009

CeeJay wrote:
>
> Yeah Bill they are the same ones for $4.99.
> I bought three in Houston
>
> Hey John I'll sell the group the 2 I have left for what I paid for them plus
> shipping and handling.
>
> Don't think we are all in the dark ages. You are not the only one who can
> find good deals and I won't even price gouge anyone.
>
> John Drake wrote in message <376e09ff@news1.jps.net>...
> > I have the discontinued Radio Shack roof mount all-band ground plane
> >antennas for sale. These are new units, displayed in last catalog I have
> at
> >$39.95 and are much sturdier than my Monitenna and Scantenna. The elements
> >resemble aluminum tent poles instead of the flimsy rolled narrow guage
> sheet
> >aluminum one usually sees in this price range. They also make great attic
> >antennas if you have the space. As with any rooftop antenna it is a good
> >idea to assemble nuts and bolts with Loctite to prevent loosening by wind
> >action. I am selling these postage paid for $22.95 while they last.
> > Rich Wells has a survey of several base antennas including this model at
> >his site www.strongsignals.net/htm/rev_bant.htm
> >
> > To purchase send Postal money order to:
> >
> > john drake
> > 536 grand ave.#134
> > oakland
> > ca. 94610
> >
> >
> >

I also have about 8 of them and two incomplete ones for parts. Got them
on clearance also. One is mounted on a tower mast and feeds my trusty
2042 and my 2045. If I wasn't fortunate enough to find these I would
gladly pay $22.95 shipped. I wouldn't bother to ship mine for $30.00
let alone $22.95. Not worth the hassle. Remember that no matter how
cheap (I mean inexpensive) the antennae is, the cableing can be quite an
expense. Don't use a good ant. with bad cable. Hope John sells all his
antennaes and those of us who already have them, well we should be
enjoying them.

Happy scanning to all
Rick

5272. Re: WANTED: Oregon Search & Rescue Tunking ID's -or- frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Brian & Danette (The Preston's)" <bjpind@televar.com>
Message-ID: <376DBF8A.BDD46BDD@televar.com>
Source record: 115010

Which part of Oregon are you asking about.

I am very familiar with the Klamath Falls / Lakeview frequencies.

Let me know.

Brian J. Preston
Moses Lake, WA

5273. Re: BC 235 update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <376DCF2D.556E8061@intsvc.com>
Source record: 115011

Well I had one a 235xlt and believe it was a newer one. I was told that the newer ones were modified a bit and to reduce interference or some BS. I sent it back after a week of trying to monitor frequencies that I could hear with any other scanner. Could have been a defective unit or just a really screwed up design.

BBBaggins wrote:

> x-no-archive: yes
> {W($y 08urf8ghu
>
> I own one of the first 235's and am not happy with the receiver. I am
> right in middle of the 800mhz system here and reception is still scratchy,
> and breaks up quite a bit. Yes, I have tried several antennas. Is there
> an updated 235 planned, one with a better receiver? Thanks.....

--
Charles Simmont - ICP509 Dispatcher & National Coordinator
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp.html
Notification Systems webpage http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

The opinions and views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated.

5274. Re: best scanner antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: theedge35@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7khc4p$5h1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 115082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <alan-18069921322000001@ppp-20.mia-tc-3.netrox.net>,
alan@netrox.net (AL) wrote:
> I have seeen an antenna designated MS1000 mentioned as one of the best
> 800mhz handheld scanner antennas. Anyone knbow who makes it or if
there is
> a better one for the handhelds?
>
>The antenna that comes with your scanner is all that you need
for 800mhz.
dealers sell antennas to you that you don't need to buy.
If you live in a city that one is all you need.
But if you're in the burbs i then would buy an antenna
to mount outside of your home.
800mhz is for short range line of sight uses
thats way there are some many cells sites needed
800mhz range is about 20 miles more or less for
the land area
theEDGE35

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5275. 895XLT Problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joel Michael Sturm <jsturm@home.com>
Message-ID: <IfWa3.1$36.151@news2.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 115083

My 895 is a factory repack. I've programmed it in about five banks so far, with two of the banks assigned to trunked systems.

Let's suppose I'm listening to a trunked set of frequencies.

Let us then suppose that I want to lock out one of the talk groups, say "96".

If I press L/O, as per instructions, I get the signal "FULL".

I have not locked out any other frequencies, but, of course, the unprogrammed frequencies seem to b automatically locked out from the factory.

Let's suppose, then, that I try to unlock all. The instructions say to press L/O until two beeps are heard. I never hear two beeps. I only hear one. The instructions say then to press "E" to unlock all. I have tried pressing "E" both after and while I press L/O. What ever I do, there seems to be no change, and I cannot lock out any talk groups in trunked mode.

Am I missing something I should be doing, or do I have a defective unit?

Thanks in advance for the help.

Joel Sturm

5276. Re: E.D.A.C.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <avWa3.133$vf.11364@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 115084

In article <oHN93.182$U6.1705@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>Nick wrote in message <7k6r3d$o6h$1@forge.sbt.net>...
>>I want any info on Ericcson E.D.A.C.S. I can find out from freqs. to data
>>control..
>>
>> Nick Miller
>> Miller Communications
>> ringo@sbt.net
>>
>
>
>If I were you I would hope that none of my customers ever reads newsgroups.
>
>
>

Selecting the serach option in IE5, I plugged in "EDACS" and found 977
hits....try it, you'll like it........

5277. Re: 895XLT Problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: tbirdsand@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990619203859.07111.00002035@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 115085

You need to be in the trunked mode
when you hold down the L/O button
to unlock the talkgroups.I've found that
mine will only lockout 100 talkgroups
in the whole radio,not 100 in every
bank,so you should go into every
trunked bank,in the trunk mode and
holdown L/O.It's also possible that
other banks were once programmed with
some talkgroups locked out.
Hope this helped.
Randy

5278. #1 Promax antenna !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: TKD <rt12@montrose.net>
Message-ID: <01bebac5$98b56160$37c385cc@default>
Source record: 115086
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

see
http://www.flashdistributor.com
Reposted!

5279. Re: 895XLT Problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: W9FL <w9fl@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <7khkdv$6k9@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 115087

"Joel Michael Sturm" <jsturm@(removethis)home.com> wrote:
>My 895 is a factory repack. I've programmed it in about five banks so far,
>with two of the banks assigned to trunked systems.
>
>Let's suppose I'm listening to a trunked set of frequencies.
>
>Let us then suppose that I want to lock out one of the talk groups, say
>"96".
>
>If I press L/O, as per instructions, I get the signal "FULL".
>
>I have not locked out any other frequencies, but, of course, the
>unprogrammed frequencies seem to b automatically locked out from the
>factory.
>
>Let's suppose, then, that I try to unlock all. The instructions say to press
>L/O until two beeps are heard. I never hear two beeps. I only hear one. The
>instructions say then to press "E" to unlock all. I have tried pressing "E"
>both after and while I press L/O. What ever I do, there seems to be no
>change, and I cannot lock out any talk groups in trunked mode.
>
>Am I missing something I should be doing, or do I have a defective unit?
>
>Thanks in advance for the help.
>
>Joel Sturm
>
>

You need to reset your scanner. I had the same problem, called Uniden
Service, and they told me how to do it.

Turn off the scanner. Press and hold "Manual","2", and "9". Turn the
scanner on, while holding the three buttons down. Don't hold buttons more
than 5 seconds. Scanner will display "Uniden" or all Zeros.

Don't do this any more often then absolutly necessary, as I was told an
over-voltage is applied to the CPU to reset it. You can "fry" your scanner
if you abuse this.

5280. comtel-225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-20 00:00:00 UTC
From: Larry Dalton <larrydalton@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <01bebac7$80a667c0$8c6c15d0@kf4lku>
Source record: 115088

Hello, Has anyone purchased a comtel-225 Scanner ,how good a receiver are they . I'm thinking about buying one , THANKS E-Mail kf4lku@nbank.net

5281. FS: AOR 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Speedbaron <speedbaronGETMEOUT@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <YCEb3.433$Rj1.89868@typhoon-sf.snfc21.pbi.net>
Source record: 114839

AOR 8000 UNblocked in mint condition.
Orig manual, antenna, nicad batteries and charger/ac, belt clip.
Optoelectronic Model CX12 that can be used with the ScanCat Software

Reason for selling: I have two AOR 8000, just sell one of them.

Serious offers only reply by email: speedbaron@hotmail.com

Thanks,

5282. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <6AEb3.423$8l1.27344@news3.giganews.com>
Source record: 114840
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

actually we use 151.965 as Chan 1
and 151.625 as chan 2

chan 1 for all calls pertaining to store
chan 2 is for stock room only and if you want to alert other team members of
a code red or fine ass chick =)

security also uses a freq. in the 100Mhz range don't remember the freq.
though.
could get it if needed.

BTY we use Motorola spirit pro sv120 with the ctcss (think that's what it
is) board installed

reinaga

Darren Mulligan <dmul3@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:376EFA70.17DCD7A2@bigfoot.com...
> David Leeper wrote:
> >
> > "B. M." wrote:
> >
> > > Target uses both UHF and VHF frequencies depending on the
> > > state and location.
> > >
> > > In California they use 151.965 for example.
> > >
> > > **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start
Here (tm) ****
> >
> > They must be doing it illegally then. I don't see Target listed in the
FCC database.
> > Their parent company Mervyns is listed and they, according to the data,
use UHF.
>
> I see it in a percon search.
>
> 467.7625 TARGET STORES T740 WPIJ893 IB
> --
> "Good restaurants don't have neon beer signs in the window."
> mailto:dmul3@bigfoot.com
> ICQ# 41116335
>

5283. Re: Frequency Counter, which to buy??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <QREb3.71627$_m4.509277@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 114841

I have an Optoelectronics Techtoyz Microcounter for sale
excellent working condition
email me your best price.
highest price takes it.
selling due to the fact that i am selling my scanner due to the fact that my
local PD went digital. =)
hope you got that sentence

reinaga
B. M. <slapsho7@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:929955100.3253@www.remarq.com...
> More bang for the buck ?!?
>
> Optoelectronics (http://www.optoelectronics.com) makes a
> unit that will capture and record 100 frequencies as well as
> decoding DCS (Digital Coded Squelch), CTCSS, and DTMF !!!
> It will also "Reaction Tune" several scanners (ICOM, AOR,
> etc) and interface with a computer for downloading of data.
>
> All that for $399. Not bad if you ask me. Remember...you
> get what you pay for.
>
> P.S. They also have several units for under $200 that are
> very good as well.
>
>
>
> **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here
(tm) ****

5284. Re: FS: Uniden BC3000XLT handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <376F1A2D.C140D621@intsvc.com>
Source record: 114842

Does anyone still wonder why people use Ebay to sell their stuff? $65 for a 3000xlt, come on please!

JTWard01 wrote:

> Joshua R. Adams <atticusja@aol.com> wrote:
> >> I'm selling my Unident BC-3000XLT handheld scanner. (snip)
> >I'll take the best offer that I receive by email.
>
> > Mentor <mentor@ccms.net>, wrote:
> "This may seem like a lame offer for that nice machine but I'm willing to offer
> about $65 for it if you can cover insured shipping."<
>
> Lame is right! Only $65 for a radio that sells for $329, plus a $25 case?
> Maybe $165 might be a starting point, but I got $200 for my BC3000XLT when I
> sold it a couple months ago.
>
> John T. Ward
> Brandon, Florida
> USA
> "If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

--
Charles Simmont - ICP509 Dispatcher & National Coordinator
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp.html
Notification Systems webpage http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

The opinions and views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated.

5285. FS UV 800 receive converter $50

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: VE7SEZ <tkpoustie@NOSPAMbc.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <ixFb3.312$qd5.613020@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 114843
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

UV800 receive converter, a small black box which when connected in line to your scanner's antenna jack converts 800 mhz signals down for reception in the the 400 mhz range. $50 + shipping.

Tim VE7SEZ
tkpoustie@bc.sympatico.ca

5286. AOR8000 4 SALE W/ACC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990621090013.06504.00001892@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 114939

AOR8000 NO GAPS FOR SALE WITH THE FOLLOWING:

MANUAL
LEATHER CASE
RECHARGEABLE BATTERIES
RECHARGER
WALL ADAPTER

$450.00
NO TRADES

CAN BE PICKED UP IN NORTHERN NJ
OR WILL SHIP UPON RECEIVING A US POSTAL MONEY ORDER ONLY

THANKS

5287. Re: F.S. RS#20-014 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary_Jacek@trap.bc.sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <7orb3.7$qd5.129957@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 114940

Anyone in Vancouver BC can find one of these for $12.99CA
at the Metrotown RS nearest to Sears. They have one left.
I've got the other two.

5288. FS: Uniden BC3000XLT handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: atticusja@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990621113322.12137.00002240@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 114941

I'm selling my Unident BC-3000XLT handheld scanner. It receives from 25-550 and 760-1300 MHz, less cellular. 400 memory channels, 100 channel per second Turboscan. Automatic storage of search-discovered frequencies. It's in very good condition, comes with the manual (english only), AC adaptor, belt clip and p.o.s.-rubber ducky type antenna. I'll also give you a carrying case, and an extendable antenna which does much better than the factory one. Really a super machine. I'll take the best offer that I receive by email.

atticusja@aol.com

5289. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick <ringo@sbt.net>
Message-ID: <7klnks$un9$1@forge.sbt.net>
Source record: 114942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

nope
<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:376AFA71.36380BD2@usa.net...
> What are you Nick, the "village idiot"???
>
>
> Nick wrote:
>
> > It seems to me that jmackey is a moron and needs to quit posting stupid
> > things
> > I've already seen his retarded postings on other news groups and no one
> > wants anything to do with this fool.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

5290. FRG-100 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dl6mee@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <376e24b9.16097106@fw-rob>
Source record: 114943

Hi readers,

after eight months of testing the German version, my program is now
available in english, too.

It is a freeware memory managing software with frequency database for
the Yaesu FRG-100. It offers automatic receiver-recognition, simple
operation and an "open" database in the dBase IV - format.

The system requirements are:

IBM-compatible PC (486 or higher),
Operating System Windows 95,
32 MB system memory,
12 MB free on harddisk.

You can download the program (four diskettes) at the following URL:

http://www.qsl.net/dl6mee

Please report any errors, especially concerning the translation, to:

mailto:dl6mee@yahoo.com

5291. FRG-100 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dl6mee@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <376decc5.1773039@fw-rob>
Source record: 114944

Hi readers,

after eight months of testing the German version, my program is now
available in english, too.

It is a freeware memory managing software with frequency database for
the Yaesu FRG-100. It offers automatic receiver-recognition, simple
operation and an "open" database in the dBase IV - format.

The system requirements are:

IBM-compatible PC (486 or higher),
Operating System Windows 95,
32 MB system memory,
12 MB free on harddisk.

You can download the program (four diskettes) at the following URL:

http://www.qsl.net/dl6mee

Please report any errors, especially concerning the translation, to:

mailto:dl6mee@yahoo.com

5292. FRG-100 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: dl6mee@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <376a4ab1.606672@fw-rob>
Source record: 114945

Hi readers,

after eight months of testing the German version, my program is now
available in english, too.

It is a freeware memory managing software with frequency database for
the Yaesu FRG-100. It offers automatic receiver-recognition, simple
operation and an "open" database in the dBase IV - format.

The system requirements are:

IBM-compatible PC (486 or higher),
Operating System Windows 95,
32 MB system memory,
12 MB free on harddisk.

You can download the program (four diskettes) at the following URL:

http://www.qsl.net/dl6mee

Please report any errors, especially concerning the translation, to:

mailto:dl6mee@yahoo.com

5293. "Family Frequencies" please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: none@present.com
Message-ID: <376f70dc.59591879@newsserver.epix.net>
Source record: 114946

Does anyone have a list of the so called Family Freqs for the low power radios that RS and others are selling?

Thanks!!

5294. Re: any owners of the Optoelectronics Explorer or R11 out there?????? Any feedback good or bad appreciated Than

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jklein1050@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990621130741.22849.00002662@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 114947

As far as I am comcerned my R-11 is a useless piece of JUNK, however all of the other Opto products I own are GREAT!
Jklein1050@aol.com

5295. FS:Uniden Bearcat 30 channel scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: russcolemn <russcolemn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <376E7156.BF2F2588@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114948

I'll sale my scanner for $50 to the first person who e-mails me.

5296. Re: antenna formula???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: m4colt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990621133608.01989.00002322@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 114949

For FM a quick guide would be the following constants divided by the freq in MHz. The result is expressed in feet.

1/4 wave 234
1/2 wave 468
Full wave 936

Polypro

5297. FS: PC radio and scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: cleve e massey <cmassey@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <AD5131BA67570954.A8825C99F4385E41.E8206D518B04D976@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 114950

I have the following for sale...

WINRADIO...first edition PC card radio...receives all the public safety and cell freqs...with manual and box...used very little...these sell for $400 new...get this one for $325.00

Pro-2044...29-54/108-138/140-170/400-512...these ranges are not absolutely correct but the usual low-air-high-UHF stuff...used very little...with wall wart power supply and manual...$40.00

Pro-2026...same freqs. as above, but with 800mhz...it can be modified for cell but I don't think I ever got around to it...do not have the bracket or manual, but easy to use...this is the RS clone of the Uniden 760XLT!!! Asking $100.00

TRIDENT...this is an odd bird I got in a swap...a radar detector and scanner...it scans pre-programmed stuff only and you choose the state...have the power cord and manual...I never tried the radar part but the scanner works here at the house...$25.00

Shipping is negotiable...on some of these I would have to charge you the shipping or make nothing...I usually ship UPS-COD...you have a cashier's check or money order ready...no hassle!!!

e-mail me with questions...

cleve

5298. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376E818E.262917B@usa.net>
Source record: 114951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I post many on-topic messages. Certainly more than YOU could ever
imagine since YOU have NEVER posted on-topic!!!

Macaroon wrote:

> Post on topic at least once, will ya

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5299. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376E81D1.E3DADA1@usa.net>
Source record: 114952

But the topic was not about me, it was about Bill Conduit. Why do
you constantly feel the need to re-direct conversations of other topics
back to me???

Macaroon wrote:

> On Sat, 19 Jun 1999 01:54:35 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >Excellent observation you point out regarding Bill Conduit.
>
> Same observation that has been made about you for years,

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5300. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376E81FF.D608A02A@usa.net>
Source record: 114953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

It sure was tough competing against YOU for that title!

Macaroon wrote:

> you've already got that distinct honor.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5301. Re: What's on 29.620?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376E8092.304DBDF0@usa.net>
Source record: 114954

Those freqs are for repeater OUTPUTS on 10 meter FM Amateur Radio systems. Satellite communications for 10 meters are lower in the band on SSB rather than FM. The two other standard repeater outputs are 29.64 & 29.68.

H. Fuselier wrote:

> I've been picking up international amateur radio conversations on
> 29.620 and 29.660 MHZ. Are these through a repeater or satellite?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5302. Re: What's on 29.620?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376E80C9.E91F9375@usa.net>
Source record: 114955

Last time I checked, the Sioux City repeater was not operational.

alf sauve wrote:

> For 29.62 here are SOME of the repeater locations.
>
> LA
> Denver
> Miami
> Rockford, IL
> Sioux City

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5303. Re: F.S. RS#20-014 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rbulea@erienet.net>
Message-ID: <376E7D3B.75D9@erienet.net>
Source record: 114956

Gary_Jacek@trap.bc.sympatico.ca wrote:
>
> Anyone in Vancouver BC can find one of these for $12.99CA
> at the Metrotown RS nearest to Sears. They have one left.
> I've got the other two.

Hi group,

I found that when the stores had one or two left they were opened with missing parts. It can be opened if all the parts are there. For those of you who may find one like this be sure to check all the parts.

They are:
10 different mast sections
1 plastic hardware bag sealed with loose parts and 2 other sealed parts bags it.
One angle bracket which is loose in the box (This is the one usually missing).
One set of instructions.

If something is missing you can offer a buck or two to get it off their hands and hope the next store has another incomplete one with the part you need.

Good Luck
Rick

5304. FS: Antenna Switches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lewitzky <johnl@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <376E809B.7136093C@ptd.net>
Source record: 114957

Daiwa CS-201 2 Position Antenna Switch, covers up to 600MHz, accepts PL-259 connectors. In like new condition $ 20 each. (have 2).

Alpha Delta-4 4 Position Antenna Switch, covers up to 500MHz, accepts PL-259 connectors. In like new condition $ 55 each. (have 2)

Take all 4 for $ 140. Includes shipping/insurance in the continental U.S. NO COD's--US Postal Money Orders only.

Thanks,

John
N3ZQJ

5305. What happened to the NYPD??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Uncle <steven@banet.net>
Message-ID: <376E8595.31EEDC37@banet.net>
Source record: 114958
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

i haven't been listening to the scanner for the past few weeks and
thought i would take it along with me on a fathers day picnic. all my
NYPD freqs were scrambled !!!!
dose anyone know what happened? and what the new freqs are??
my transit pd freqs were ok, will they go next?
any help is appreciated

steven

5306. Re: Any Ship to Shore Freq. for NY Area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrcrispyjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990621145339.20250.00002336@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 114959

all the ship to shore freq that i know are 161.800 161.850 161.950 161.900 162.200 161.825 161.875 161.975 any questions e mail me at scrubtites@aol.com good luck

5307. Re: "Family Frequencies" please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Gunderson <MGunderson@zero-net.com>
Message-ID: <376E6C84.8A989876@zero-net.com>
Source record: 114960

Snapper Henry wrote:
>
> Does anyone have a list of the so called Family Freqs for the low
> power radios that RS and others are selling?
>
> Thanks!!

Information about Family Radio Service can be found at:

news:alt.radio.family

news:us.misc.family-radio

http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html

5308. Re: 900MHz ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrcrispyjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990621144952.20250.00002333@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 114961

if you find the answer to the question about mointoring the digital frequencys
please inform me but as of now i have been trying for the last year and found
no such technology i believe the next wave of scanners are going to be digital
within 10 years. iam at scrubtites@aol.com

5309. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <S7wb3.6$CZ2.730@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 114962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote ...
> I have NEVER posted on-topic!!!

Well duh dumbo!

5310. Re: F.S. RS#20-014 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990621153546.10569.00003051@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 114963

>Hey John I'll sell the group the 2 I have left for what I paid for them plus
>shipping and handling.

Hey I would but that if you are serious!
-rabidfool@aol.com

5311. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Klwb3.14$CZ2.1992@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 114964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,mackey.is.a.fool.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote ...
> Glenn wrote:
>
> SNIP
> > and no I have not seen Bill post bad information in the last 5 or 6
years.
> SNIP
>
> Then you have not read his posts or you don't have the technical
> ability to understand the discussions.

Wrong on both accounts, but at least you are consistent.

You seemed to have snipped out your technical abilities there Toilet-boy.

Smoked repeaters, smoked power supplies and what is your occupation? Bed pan changer now flying a welfare desk? Step up ehh? Daddy got you the job?

At least I have the ability to tell polarity on radio and power supply plugs and leads. You're a holder of how many FCC licenses there John-Bowl??

5312. world of oulaws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: robert brown <brown.robert.d@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7km688$3ee$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114965

Does any one any Woo driver radio frequency. If you do please post them or e-mail them to me

5313. for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Cook <photovsn@interl.net>
Message-ID: <7km6ki$v7f$1@forge.sbt.net>
Source record: 114966

Uniden SC150Y SportCat 100 ch scanner with 800mhz(less cell)
12 band 10 banks of 10 channels.
has band search and illuminated display
Brand new June 7th,1999
Paid 149.95
asking $110 or trade for a base or mobile with cell mod

5314. for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Cook <photovsn@interl.net>
Message-ID: <7km6lt$v7i$1@forge.sbt.net>
Source record: 114967

Uniden SC150Y SportCat 100 ch scanner with 800mhz(less cell)
12 band 10 banks of 10 channels.
has band search and illuminated display
Brand new June 7th,1999
Paid 149.95
asking $110 or trade for a base or mobile with cell mod

5315. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Butch Magee <butchkay@netdoor.com>
Message-ID: <376E9FA7.8644B80C@netdoor.com>
Source record: 114968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

> I think the tube rigs will stand a better chance of survival from EMP than
> transistors. I recall some of the engineers and physicists betting on the
> survivability of each. On the tests I was part of none of the electronics
> sufferred, but then we didn't hit 'em with 4-500 amps either.

Butch

> >-John S., W3IKG
>
> My understanding was that a nuclear explosion in free space yeilded
> mostly EMP or hugh ammounts of energy as an electromagnetic pulse.
> This was supposedly the idea behind the Soviet plan for a high
> altitude burst over the US of a single (or a few widly spaced) warheads
> prior to a main attack. It may also have been influenced by the fact
> that
> felt a single projectile could be 'talked through' before the US went to
> a retaliate mode. In any case, the EMP was supposedly able to burn
> out a lot of electrical systems for hundreds of miles. Even car
> alternators
> and such.
>
> But technology has changed a lot since the 60's. Back then, tube
> technology (which was pretty much 'voltage' amps and high
> impedance) wasn't as protected as a lot of todays technology. Would
> most devices bite the big one? Probably, but they would also be a lot
> of survivabilty that you wouldn't expect. Today the power grid is
> probably
> LESS sensitive to a massive overload. The technology for handling
> 'events' such as lightning strikes is much improved. In that regard
> alone
> it might be a neat idea to just contact a power company and ask them
> how they have changed since the 60's.
>
> I was told a ground busrt doesn't yield near the EMP of an air burst.
> But that's not to say it still won't knock out tons of equipment.
>
> Mike

5316. Re: Ham Radio Operator using police frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <37719ea5.16356342@news.erols.com>
Source record: 114969

On Mon, 21 Jun 1999 01:48:02 GMT, barryo@fastlink.com.au (Barry O'Grady) wrote:

>frussle@erols.com (Jake Brodsky) wrote:
>
>:The fact that he is an OO is irrelevant.
>
>What is an OO?

It's a volunteer organization of ham radio operators. It stands for Official Observer. It was meant for the purposes of self enforcement. In the old days, when constructing a clean and stable radio was still an art, an OO could notify a radio operator that his rig was radiating harmonics or other such awful stuff. OO stations could also send you a note on improper operating behavior. These notes were meant to be a friendly helping hand to keep you away from being ticketed by the FCC (which they used to do in those days).

These days, the OO group is largely a bunch of self appointed RF cops. I'm a bit concerned about the noises I'm hearing of the FCC using the OO group for tracking down miscreants. It was never intended that way.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

5317. Re: FS: Uniden BC3000XLT handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mentor <mentor@ccms.net>
Message-ID: <210619991603268674%mentor@ccms.net>
Source record: 114970

In article <19990621113322.12137.00002240@ng-cq1.aol.com>, Joshua R.
Adams <atticusja@aol.com> wrote:

> I'm selling my Unident BC-3000XLT handheld scanner. It receives from 25-550
> and 760-1300 MHz, less cellular. 400 memory channels, 100 channel per second
> Turboscan. Automatic storage of search-discovered frequencies. It's in very
> good condition, comes with the manual (english only), AC adaptor, belt clip
> and
> p.o.s.-rubber ducky type antenna. I'll also give you a carrying case, and an
> extendable antenna which does much better than the factory one. Really a
> super
> machine. I'll take the best offer that I receive by email.
>
> atticusja@aol.com

This may seem like a lame offer for that nice machine but I'm willing
to offer about $65 for it if you can cover insured shipping. Wanna
talk? Please e mail me at mentor@ccms.net.

thanks,

Jeff

5318. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>"@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <376E9754.5F4E7BB1@qsl.net>
Source record: 114971
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Dan K." wrote:
>
> They are 461.6625, 469.0625, 467.7625 (J Dot bussiness Freq) 467.800, and
> 467.925 (Blue Star bussiness Freq.) You may want to put them in a bank in
> your scanner and scan them. One store could use multiple freq. But they do
> use UHF according to how small there handheld antennas are.
> JC wrote in message <7kj80l$l9q@dfw-ixnews6.ix.netcom.com>...
> >Hi
> >
> >Does anyone have National or Local (for Northwest Columbus, Ohio)
> >frequencies for the Target Store chain?
> >
> >Thanks!
> >
> >JC
> >j.c.w.@ix.netcom.com
> >
> >

The Target store in Bloomington Indiana uses 151.625 MHz.

--
To Reply Remove The Extra <AT> From The Spam Proof Address

"73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
<'}}}>< <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}>< <'}}}><
E-Mail me at: kb9tmp<AT>@qsl.net
Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
Church URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/
Wonder Valley Camp URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in2/wvc

5319. Need list of all freqs for ANALOG 900 mhz handheld phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mentor <mentor@ccms.net>
Message-ID: <210619991619336852%mentor@ccms.net>
Source record: 114972

I have been out of the scanning loop for some time, but I was recently inspired by friend's scanner purchase to get my old and trusty Realistic Patrolman Pro-2026 out of the closet and press it into service. However, back in the day when I was more into scanning, 900 mhz handheld analog phones where barely in use at all. So I'd now appreciate any info on where I could find the list of frequencies these use, or better yet, just the plain list itself.

I also need to know how many frequencies they use or have designated to them and what frequencies are allocated to a specific manufacturer, if any.

Thanks for any help and please also e mail me your rresponse in case I don't get to check back here in time.

Jeff
mentor@ccms.net

5320. WTB: Uniden 100 channel handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mentor <mentor@ccms.net>
Message-ID: <21061999162642270 1%mentor@ccms.net>
Source record: 114973

Like the the header says, I am looking for a 100 channel handheld scanner in good shape, prefferably Uniden. Will pay about $65 bucks (you pick up insured shipping) and maybe a little more if you have a car adapter for it or some special extras.

Thanks,

Jeff
mentor@ccms.net

5321. Special info for Austin, TEXAS scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mentor <mentor@ccms.net>
Message-ID: <210619991634391352%mentor@ccms.net>
Source record: 114974

I had heard a rumour that APD was going to be using a trunked system by the first of the year, so I just got done calling APD's public relations office so I could get the word straight from the pig's mouth. I was told in no uncertain terms that ALL city of Austin frequencies (yep-utilities, airport cops, street crews and all law enforcement) where going to go trunked between 2001 and 2002.

There you have it, just thought I'd dispel any misinformation out there and spread the word.

Mentor@ccms.net

5322. Re: F.S. RS#20-014 antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiobufff@aol.com.rfi.tvi
Message-ID: <19990621180613.29980.00002097@ng63.aol.com>
Source record: 114975

I got 4

Radio in the Bufff
Co-Ed Naked Radio
Support your local Oscillator

Please remove<.rfi.tvi>

http://members.aol.com/radiobufff/index.html

5323. AOR 8200 and PC Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mac Feely <mfeely888@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <ZCzb3.12324$J4.7975@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net>
Source record: 114976

I'm looking at buying an AOR 8200B. Can you
download freqs and alpha tags with the PC Interface?
I've read it's a bitch to do it on the keypad.

Can anyone share their experience with 8200B?
I've been using a 220XLT and I'm looking to upgrade.

Thanks

Mac

Remove the two XX to reply

5324. Re: You should all see Lisa Eck's response to my Warning d.b.a. lzt9@mail.pcspower.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: catboat333@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990621191122.25799.00002528@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 114977

Boy do I sympathize with you. I sold a radio & heard the litany of BS directed my way by E-mail & over this forum.

Mine Was a form of it" didn't work & needed fixing." I am a non practiceing attorney but was not ready for a whining simpering fool who was a big baby with unrealistic expectations.

Actually, in case like yours or mine, there is not much you can do but listen to the non relevant abuse.
The scary thing about the mini-version of the big lie is that there are enough people who will believe it &, more unfortunately, politicians who pander to it.

5325. Re: Decoding digital cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7kmhgu$q75$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114978
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Robin Abrol wrote in message <7kj0mt$u6m$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>
>>I need some equipment or PC software that makes it possible
>>to decode the digital transmissions from digital cordless
>>phones and digital cellular phones (GSM)into voice audio.I know
>>that has been done,and I have a scanner that can handle the frequencys.
>>
>I would also be interested in this. BTW, which frequencies are used in the
>UK?

Such activity is illegal here in the US so I can only speculate but....

I've spent some time pondering just how it could be done.I think it would be much easier to use Method One: Modify an existing digital phone. Once you hacked a phone, you could probably then take it to the next step and try to crack the protocol, unless it's encrypted. If it's encrypted than forget it. The target phones reset the encryption keys each time the phone is placed in the cradle. Even if you cracked it by sheer luck, as soon as Joe Public hangs up the phone you get to start all over again. You'll have to use Method Two.

Method two requires a good working knowledge of the protocol in use, so you could sample the data coming in and bounce it against a key generation algorithm until you got a valid preamble sequence (This, BTW is how, in theory, one could decrypt DES encryption). All digital radio's need a preamble sequence so they know where each data bit starts and stops, this is their Achilles' Heel. Even so, there are millions of possible combinations. It would probably take a day or two of sheer number crunching to come up with a valid key sequence, and that would be using an intelligent algorithm, not sheer brute force. Not impossible, but for the average scannist, not really worth pursuing anyway. Unless you're in the business of selling secrets to unfriendly nations, I probably wouldn't bother trying. I mean, can you imagine waiting 2 days (or more) just to hear "Hi, you've reached 555-1212. We're not home right now, blah, blah, blah..."? No, method two has much better uses in other more interesting bands. I'll leave what they might be to your collective imaginations.... ;-)

But I can only speculate, as I said. Let us know how it goes in non-FCC land.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5326. Help finding a fire freq. in Oregon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: ned <movieman@geocities.com>
Message-ID: <7kmgvhmii1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114979

Recently Washington County, Oregon switched over to 800 mHz trunked. But due to the fact that there a lot of calls in the coast range mountains they are always switching over to the "State Net" Does anyone know the freqs. for the Northwest Oregon State Net?

Please respond to monjay@sprintmail.com also

5327. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net>
Message-ID: <376E9E8B.A7D9C049@jps.net>
Source record: 114980
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"B. M." wrote:

> Target uses both UHF and VHF frequencies depending on the
> state and location.
>
> In California they use 151.965 for example.
>
> **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

They must be doing it illegally then. I don't see Target listed in the FCC database.
Their parent company Mervyns is listed and they, according to the data, use UHF.

5328. Re: "Family Frequencies" please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charley Harp <charp@htonline.com>
Message-ID: <930009261.240.23@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 114981

From SCANNER.FAF (frequently asked frequencies) found at

http://oak.oakland.edu/pub/hamradio/docs/misc/

FRS - Family Radio Service [95.627]

Ch Freq Ch Freq

1 462.5625 8 467.5625
2 462.5875 9 467.5875
3 462.6125 10 467.6125
4 462.6375 11 467.6375
5 462.6625 12 467.6625
6 462.6875 13 467.6875
7 462.7125 14 467.7125

The FRS was authorized on 06 June 96. It uses FM with a peak
deviation of +/- 2.5 kHz. Maximum power is 0.5w ERP.
Channels 1 - 7 are shared with GMRS.

Check out the FAF!

Charley, N8MQL Charley_Harp@alum.calberkeley.org

Snapper Henry wrote in message <376f70dc.59591879@newsserver.epix.net>...
>Does anyone have a list of the so called Family Freqs for the low
>power radios that RS and others are selling?

5329. Re: Decoding digital cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: davem@groucho.cs.ubc.ca
Message-ID: <7kmk8t$bfq@groucho.cs.ubc.ca>
Source record: 114982
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> writes:

>I've spent some time pondering just how it could be done.I think it would be
>much easier to use Method One: Modify an existing digital phone. Once you
>hacked a phone, you could probably then take it to the next step and try to
>crack the protocol, unless it's encrypted. If it's encrypted than forget it.
>The target phones reset the encryption keys each time the phone is placed in
>the cradle. Even if you cracked it by sheer luck, as soon as Joe Public
>hangs up the phone you get to start all over again. You'll have to use
>Method Two.

GSM is encrypted over the air. If you're interested in on specific call,
I suppose you could record it as it happened and then take however long
you need to break the key for decoding it. But it might take a very long
time. This isn't practical for casual listening.

However, I think that the other digital cellular standards are not
encrypted. Once you manage to reprogram a phone, you have everything
you need to listen. Not that it's going to be easy to decode and then
modify the phone's operating software - but if you can do it there is
no further security.

Dave

5330. PA State Police - Turnpike - New Antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa3ysu@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <376ecf4e.2568250@news.erols.com>
Source record: 114983
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Today I noticed that all of the PSP patrol cars (8 that I saw) at the Pocono Barracks on the Turnpike are sporting what appear to be UHF antennas in the center of the rear drivers side trunk. They also had 2 other antennas trunk-center mounted - 1 appeared to be 800 Mhz cellular and the other appeared to be VHF.

All communications seem to still be taking place on the 159.045 repeater between the Pocono Partol cars and both "Harrisburg" and "Network Control".

Anyone know what these antennas may be? Could they be for the UHF repeater at the Lehigh Tunnel?? Or for something else?? Thoughts??

Though this is the first I have noticed them (and I travel this route at least 1-2 times a month), it is possible that they have been there for some time and I just did not see them.

WA3YSU

5331. Screw-on BNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jack Painter <jfpco@norfolk.infi.net>
Message-ID: <376ED44E.2D563B71@norfolk.infi.net>
Source record: 114984

Does anyone know where to obtain a custom-type connector to adapt any standard coax (PL-259, BNC, etc) to a _small_ threaded BNC-type antenna mount? I have given up on the parent company for Humminbird Radios - they built or assembled a one-of-a-kind connector to the short loaded-whip antenna on their hand-held radiotelephones. Makes it impossible to connect to a base or mobile antenna. The company refused to even provide me with the drawing-specifications of the (recessed, and hard to get to) connector on the handheld. Too bad, it's a quality tranceiver, but L.O.S. only.

Jack

--
MZï¿½

5332. Roseville CA Trunk System Frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John in Roseville CA <nospam@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <CrBb3.1831$bd3.211562@alfalfa.thegrid.net>
Source record: 114985

```
Roseville CA Trunk System

Frequencys

867.2375
867.9625
868.4625
868.6875
868.8750

Talk Groups

16 Police
1616 Fire
4816
4880
4944
6416 Bus
8048

* Fire also still uses 154.040
* 155.565 is not used now (was police)
```

5333. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: WW - KB9TMP <"kb9tmp<AT>"@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <376ED07F.4BADF8CD@qsl.net>
Source record: 114986
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

David Leeper wrote:

>
> They must be doing it illegally then. I don't see Target listed in the FCC database.
> Their parent company Mervyns is listed and they, according to the data, use UHF.

That's really hard to believe that a big business would not follow the
letter of the law and do something unlawfully! :O

Say it isn't so Dave! ;)

--
To Reply Remove The Extra <AT> From The Spam Proof Address

"73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
<'}}}>< <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}>< <'}}}><
E-Mail me at: kb9tmp<AT>@qsl.net
Home Page: http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
Church URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in/orleanschristian/
Wonder Valley Camp URL: http://www.angelfire.com/in2/wvc

5334. Re: What's on 29.620?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Larson <ricklarson@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <930015625.745.12@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 114987

The repeater on 29.62 is actually at Hinton,Ia. and is subtone access.
It is a split site set-up with the transmitter being a 100 watt Micor low
split unit.
During band openings world wide communications are possible.
Local range on ground wave is approx. 80 miles reliable.
Much sporadic skip is now occuring giving us coast to coast coverage.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:376E80C9.E91F9375@usa.net...
> Last time I checked, the Sioux City repeater was not operational.
>
>
> alf sauve wrote:
>
> > For 29.62 here are SOME of the repeater locations.
> >
> > LA
> > Denver
> > Miami
> > Rockford, IL
> > Sioux City
>
> SNIP
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

5335. Re: antenna ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: clarkm@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <clarkm-2106992151120001@falcon.martin.home>
Source record: 114988

In article <7kl8n4$h60$1@news.inc.net>, "SHAWN" <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote:

>I am looking to put together a antenna for the 150 to 160 mhz. range,what is
>the best?

I'm looking to do the same. I'm looking at both a ground plane and a
yagi. The ground plane is omni directional and the yagi isn't.

A quarter wave ground plane for your frequency range has a 48 cm whip,
mounted vertical and connected to the coax center conductor. At the base
of the whip are four ground plane radials, also 48 cm long, mounted
horizontally, connected to the shield.

A yagi is more involved.

--
Clark Martin
Redwood City, CA, USA
Macintosh / Internet Consulting
cmartin@pacbell.net

"I'm a designated driver on the Information Super Highway"

5336. Re: Gdfthr Rants On!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990620201841.25664.00003305@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 115012

>From: jmackey@usa.net

>Good, then leave we don't need people who's only goal is to be a troublemaker
>here
>on this NG.
>

Since you are nothing more than a vulgar leech, whose life is so barrenly pathetic that you have nothing better to do than drag your mangy, scanky, butt into this newsgroup with the sole purpose of causing trouble, we all can be sure that you speak from experience! Yes, you lying, obssessive, hypocritical fool, you are an expert on troublemaking. Alas, you don't know squat about radios, especially scanners, so all you can offer is trouble.

Run along Toilet Boy and get ready to flush. I need to take a crap.

5337. Pro-58 - Can it be modified at all?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: poofbutt@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <376d871b.6997211@news.gte.net>
Source record: 115013

Hi Guys!

This was given to me recently and I am between decent scanners. I get by okay with this one (Police is my primary listening interest), I'd like to know if this little critter can be modified at all. ie:

- Can I get more memory channels from it?

- Can it cover more than stock limited range? (ie: I cannot listen to local 220 mhz ham bands currently).

- Can I add a freq <range> search?

Thanks - Ed

5338. FS: AOR AR-950 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Strand <w6ibl@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <01bebb7e$339308a0$0ec2ead1@arrc>
Source record: 115014

AR-950 Scanner. Excellent condition. Never mobile. Full coverage.
$200.00 plus shipping from 93308 (money order).
John

5339. FS Pro90 w/mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: rabidfool@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990620211318.03836.00002513@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 115015

I am have a pro-90 for sale. Comes with orig box and manuals, and is in good condition. Only scratches are on rubber 'duckie' antenna, but not deep and affect performance in no way. This pro-90 has had the 'light mod' done to it by myself. The 'light mod' makes it so that the backlight stay on the entire time the scanner is on. Only takes away about 1/4 battery life, wich is still around 6 hours. This scanner also comes with padded holder, ac charger cable, along with battery. due to the mod being done $200 (same from Rs when on sale, but this has mod)

-rabidfool@aol.com
-rabidfool@aol.com
-rabidfool@aol.com

5340. Re: Ham Radio Operator using police frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <376d99b6.2597173@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 115016

frussle@erols.com (Jake Brodsky) wrote:

:The fact that he is an OO is irrelevant.

What is an OO?

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

5341. Re: Gdfthr Rants On!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376DA382.DFBBB3C3@usa.net>
Source record: 115017

MAlexder -
I didn't see "Gdfthr" use any vulgarity as you mention. But anyway, all
I can say is "give him hell" as the statements you have made to "Gdfthr"
(as I qouted below) are well founded & well placed towards him.

MAlexder wrote:

> Since you are nothing more than a vulgar leech, whose life is so barrenly
> pathetic that you have nothing better to do than drag your mangy, scanky, butt
> into this newsgroup with the sole purpose of causing trouble, we all can be

SNIP

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5342. FS PRO 2006 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: walt <salmaniw@home.com>
Message-ID: <376DB4EB.7650384E@home.com>
Source record: 115018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Just a final reminder of my mint, 2006, fully restored for 800 MHz, and
with enhanced scan speed 15% above the hyperscan rate. All manuals, and
original box. It's on eBay, and finishes Moday just after 1900 PDT.
So please have a look. It's looking for a good home! Thanks.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=117731930

.....Dr. Walt Salmaniw, Victoria, BC. (salmaniw@home.com)

5343. Wanted: Bearcat RR freq Xtals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jason Togyer <jtogyer.notforspam@westol.com>
Message-ID: <376DBD35.9363C94@westol.com>
Source record: 115019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner

Wanted: A source for RR frequency (160 MHz) xtals for a Bearcat (10.8 MHz IF) scanner. Most are no longer available from RatShack and one Bomar xtal would exceed the value of the radio (a Bearcat III) which I want to donate to our non-profit model train club.

Needed: 160.230, 160.320, 160.800, 160.995, 161.070 and others!

If you have a source for these, or if you have them in your junk box and don't need them, please email at jtogyer(at)westol(dot)com. I can compensate you and pay for shipping.

Thank you!!
------
73 de Jason Togyer KB3CNM
McKeesport, Pa., USA

"Television expands the imagination 27 inches."
-- Stan Freberg, American satirist and broadcaster

Hey! Get to the point -- McKees' Point, that is -- and a dozen other Mon Valley attractions via the Tube City home page, sponsored by McKeesport Model Railroad Club. Point your browser to http://www.dementia.org/~jt3y/mckhome.htm.

P.S.: Please remove ".notforspam" from my address to reply. Thank you!

5344. Sport Cat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: toecapp@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990621003624.26265.00002196@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 115020

Does anyone know how to modify a Sportcat?

5345. Re: BC 235 update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: w6jrf@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990621003826.01036.00001610@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 115021

Greetings! I too have a BC-235 and I can tell you that even with an outside antenna, 800 trunking on our Motorola system breaks up. Fact of the matter is, it breaks up when your using the Motorola portables that are designed for the system. One of the wizards who was responsible for the purchase of our system told us that "with any new technology, there are trade offs" and system noise was one of the "trades offs" he was refering to. I am assuming that non-trunked reception is OK on your radio. If it is, and you have an opportunity to listen to your scanner while in close proximity to one of the assigned radios on your system, you may be surprised to find that the noise is on the system, not your radio. If rather, in fact, noise is present in both trunking and non-trunking modes, then you have a defective radio. Good Luck......Bob, W6JRF

5346. Re: Radio Shack Frequency Counter - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Squeeze the Weeze <none@spammer.now>
Message-ID: <01bebba2$c8202b00$4cf3eecd@godzirra>
Source record: 115022

My response was NOT to the original post. Take
a closer look. If you cant see the entire thread, download
it. As for the "know it all" comment, Thanks!
I've never claimed to, but since you have
so titled me, I humbly accept.
--
-skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

5347. Thunderbirds @ Hamilton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: amckie@ottawa.com
Message-ID: <amckie-ya02408000R2106990150280001@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 115023

Just to add to the collective wisdom, here's what I heard at the Thunderbirds air demo this past weekend:

141.850 - Team Lead
322.950 - Solos
235.250 - Ops
413.025 - Maintenance

The #5 pilot (lead solo) was absolutely crazy. First he sang over the radio (poorly, but with good humor) as the team taxied out. Then most of his commands to the opposing solo sounded like screams, hoots and hollers. He sounded remarkably like a doped-up Grover from Sesame Street. They obviously enjoy their work.

-A

5348. You should all see Lisa Eck's response to my Warning d.b.a. lzt9@mail.pcspower.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: webmedics@aol.comspambloc
Message-ID: <19990621022959.17895.00000425@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 115024

My apologies for not responding sooner, but I was dealing with an emergency and was not available.
I appreciate your concern over your purchase, but I regret to inform you that the description was accurate. It even gives approximate dimensions of the package and explains the key pads, etc. I explained what the controls were, and if you had wanted more information, then you should have asked.
I would question your practices, as you insisted on "COD" when it was not listed as a payment option, them waited for a very long time until sending payment. You sent two different addresses, one of which was not deliverable by UPS.

Just because I use a shopping mall for my UPS service should not reflect on my business practices. I use the UPS shipper that is the most cost effective and convenient for me with my schedule.

Mail fraud is a serious crime, but no more serious if using a postal money order. They are not "government funds", just a government instrument. Just because you are not happy with a purchase does not constitute mail fraud. I took one of the items to my atty, and he can see no problems with the description or the manner in how it was listed. He insists that it is a case of you not liking the item, or attempting to get something for nothing. I would urge you to read the description again carefully, and then understand your error.

As far as "getting alot of email", I call your bluff! You have prob been conversing with one individual in which the problem was an honest error and he was refunded his money. In your case, you have been attempting to get the box for free since the beginning. I do not appreciate your threatening tone, and I certainly will not react to your novice attempt at scaring me! You purchased what was listed, and I would appreciate it very much if you stop this harrassment.

Thanks for reading, and have a great day.
The difference between Genius and Stupidity is Genius has its limits. Albert Einstein

5349. wanted: frequency list for lasvegas area...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: RenderMan <brad.haines@shaw.wave.ca>
Message-ID: <j1lb3.8275$g02.18425@news.rdc1.ab.wave.home.com>
Source record: 115025

specifically the hotels.

i'm planning to vacation there this summer and would like to poke around the airwaves and see what's goin on.

please send lists too therenderman@home.com

thanks in advance

5350. wanted: las vegas frequency lists...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: RenderMan <brad.haines@shaw.wave.ca>
Message-ID: <Hdlb3.8293$g02.18459@news.rdc1.ab.wave.home.com>
Source record: 115026

specifically, the casino's and hotels. i'm planning a vacation there and want to poke around the aiwaves there.

please send lists to: therenderman@home.com
thanks in advance

5351. wanted: las vegas frequency lists...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: RenderMan <brad.haines@shaw.wave.ca>
Message-ID: <39lb3.8291$g02.17496@news.rdc1.ab.wave.home.com>
Source record: 115027

specifically, the casino's and hotels. i'm planning a vacation there and want to poke around the aiwaves there.

please send lists to: therenderman@home.com
thanks in advance

5352. For Sale Pro 2022 Base Scanner Full Cell!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: orbitone@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <376def5c.19743573@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 115028

have a radio shack 200 ch base scanner gets it all!!!!
no gap's in the 800 mhz area.. Mint cond scanner price is 150.00
plus shipping this is a great scanner looks alot like a pro 2006
scanner email me in so cal...

5353. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B. M." <anonymous@web.remarq.com>
Message-ID: <929954671.3245@www.remarq.com>
Source record: 115029
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Target uses both UHF and VHF frequencies depending on the
state and location.

In California they use 151.965 for example.

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

5354. Re: Frequency Counter, which to buy??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: "B. M." <slapsho7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <929955100.3253@www.remarq.com>
Source record: 115030

More bang for the buck ?!?

Optoelectronics (http://www.optoelectronics.com) makes a
unit that will capture and record 100 frequencies as well as
decoding DCS (Digital Coded Squelch), CTCSS, and DTMF !!!
It will also "Reaction Tune" several scanners (ICOM, AOR,
etc) and interface with a computer for downloading of data.

All that for $399. Not bad if you ask me. Remember...you
get what you pay for.

P.S. They also have several units for under $200 that are
very good as well.

**** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****

5355. What's on 29.620?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: zydeco1@eatel.net
Message-ID: <376e0455.4708062@news.eatel.net>
Source record: 115031

I've been picking up international amateur radio conversations on
29.620 and 29.660 MHZ. Are these through a repeater or satellite?
Thanks for the information.

HF

5356. Re: 2006 vs 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <376e4205@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 115032

> As far as I know,the only difference is
> scan and search speeds.The 2006
> is a lot faster.
> Randy

Yep. The 2006 uses a different CPU chip and a 12 Mhz clock oscillator compared to the 7.37 Mhz clock used in the 2004 and 2005. The difference resulted in the 63% faster scan and search speeds. Then the backlight switch came along in the later versions of the 2006 rather than the dimmer arrangement. You can crank up the speed another 16% by clipping D-503 in a 2006, and by 25% in a 2005 by adding a diode in the empty D-501 slot on a 2005. If the scan speed difference isn't that big of an issue, you can usually get a 2005 for a lot less money than a 2006.

Dan

5357. antenna ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHAWN <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <7kl8n4$h60$1@news.inc.net>
Source record: 115033

I am looking to put together a antenna for the 150 to 160 mhz. range,what is the best?

5358. Re: What's on 29.620?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: alf sauve <alf.sauve@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7klaim$g9b$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 115034

Repeaters. With the current sunspot cycle, 10meters (29mhz) and 6m (50mhz) will be providing all sorts of global communications. One way to identify the repeater location is to listen for the ID (usually cw) and to look up the call sign at www.qrz.com.

For 29.62 here are SOME of the repeater locations.

LA
Denver
Miami
Rockford, IL
Sioux City
New Orleans
Memphis

Alf - W4ADS

H. Fuselier wrote in message <376e0455.4708062@news.eatel.net>...
> I've been picking up international amateur radio conversations on
>29.620 and 29.660 MHZ. Are these through a repeater or satellite?
> Thanks for the information.
>
>HF
>

5359. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@stupid.FOOL!
Message-ID: <377020aa.4005015@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 18 Jun 1999 17:53:31 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>That is stull better than YOU.

"I know you are but what am I?"

Childhood antics are very becoming to you.

And only 8 over the weekend. Are you getting slower in your advanced
retardation?

Post on topic at least once, will ya numb nuts?

>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> Just 4 today? You are
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

5360. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@stupid.FOOL!
Message-ID: <376f2065.3935988@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115036

On Sat, 19 Jun 1999 01:54:35 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Excellent observation you point out regarding Bill Conduit.

Same observation that has been made about you for years, toilet boy.

>Perhaps if we ignore BILL CONDUIT he will go away!!!!

Doesn't work for you, why should it work for retard #2 ?

>
>BBBaggins wrote:
>
>> 'Atta boy, CONDUIT. Ignore the problem and it'll go away. Your words, pal.
>
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

5361. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@stupid.FOOL!
Message-ID: <37712108.4098207@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 115037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Sorry, numb nuts, you've already got that distinct honor.

On Sat, 19 Jun 1999 01:56:56 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>What are you Nick, the "village idiot"???
>
>
>Nick wrote:
>
>> It seems to me that jmackey is a moron and needs to quit posting stupid
>> things
>> I've already seen his retarded postings on other news groups and no one
>> wants anything to do with this fool.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

5362. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-21 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7klbms$q3a$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 115038

Semtex50 wrote in message
<19990620050935.01367.00002821@ng-ch1.aol.com>...
>>Back then, tube
>>technology (which was pretty much 'voltage' amps and high
>>impedance) wasn't as protected as a lot of todays technology.
>
>As I understand it modern electronics are thousands of time more
vulnerable to
>EMP than olde rtube type technologies.

Unprotected solid state electronics are VERY sensitive to static and
overvoltage protection. However in the 60's, solid state design was
quite crude.

>> was told a ground busrt doesn't yield near the EMP of an air burst.
>>But that's not to say it still won't knock out tons of equipment.
>
>High altitude can cover more area with EMP as i understand it. Several
large
>high altitude bursts could probably wipe out most of the non protected
>electronics in the US.
>>Today the power grid is
>>probably
>>LESS sensitive to a massive overload.
>
>Probaly more vulnerable do to computer control and a more
"interdependent" grid
>system.

>I am not an expert but I have read a little on the subject. quite
interesting

If you've been reading, you should know that prior to the late 60's or
early 70's, almost all lightning research was based on high voltage
discharge scenarios. Researchers just couldn't understand why what
they were doing didn't apply to reality. Then the University of PA got
loan of a locomotive to use as a generator and started doing test at
only hundreds of thousands of volts, but that hundreds of thousands of
amps with super clean and fast rise times. As a result, almost
everything
that was 'assumed' as fact about lightning from the 30's on was
re-written, especially with how it interacts with the power grid.

With the grid even more critical today, more heavily loaded, and more
solid coverage, outages aren't near what they used to be. And this is
not an idle observation. I used to work for PP&L (Pa Power and Light).

Back in the summer of 1972 I was a 'junior engineer' with them, and for my summer job I was updating maps (manually back then) and doing load figures. I learned how all the devices on the grid worked, like OCRs, and how they handle lightning hits. After that I was also cognizant of looking for the cycling in thunderstorms. But you know, I don't see NEAR the problems now (as a consumer) that I used to back then. I don't know what the power companies are doing now, but it's obviously different, and much better.

Mike Yetsko
N1DVJ

5363. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7ko50k$28p$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114789

Semtex50 wrote in message
<19990622010050.11728.00003386@ng-cg1.aol.com>...
>>With the grid even more critical today, more heavily loaded, and more
>>solid coverage, outages aren't near what they used to be.
>
>Wasn't there a huge multi-state outage in the west last year? If I
remember
>right it was ONE failure that brought down the power for a huge section
of the
>western United States. It may have been two years ago. In any case I
really
>admire the power crews who go out in in the middle of the night in
>thunderstorms and ice storms to get the power back on. Always keep the
local
>power crews frequencies in your scanner, lots of action in bad weather
and you
>may even get an idea when your power will come back on.
>later.......

True, but how many times does that happen? And it's usually load
related.

Back in the 70's, when I learned how the system worked, I'd see
practical
evidence of that knowledge almost every single thunderstorm. Now...

Mike
N1DVJ

5364. Re: Ham Radio Operator using police frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7ko5kf$2ss$1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114790

Jake Brodsky wrote in message <37719ea5.16356342@news.erols.com>...
>
>>What is an OO?
>
>It's a volunteer organization of ham radio operators. It stands for
>Official Observer. It was meant for the purposes of self enforcement.
>In the old days, when constructing a clean and stable radio was still
>an art, an OO could notify a radio operator that his rig was radiating
>harmonics or other such awful stuff. OO stations could also send you
>a note on improper operating behavior. These notes were meant to be a
>friendly helping hand to keep you away from being ticketed by the FCC
>(which they used to do in those days).
>
>These days, the OO group is largely a bunch of self appointed RF cops.
>I'm a bit concerned about the noises I'm hearing of the FCC using the
>OO group for tracking down miscreants. It was never intended that
>way.
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"

The OO organization is still active, and while there is some good that comes out of it, you're probably right in your assessment. I've seen some real toads as OOs.

In fact, I got a warning for failure to ID. I had gone out of range of the
repeater, and the assinine OO was listening ONLY on the repeater, so he COULDN'T know if I sent an ID or not. I believe I did, on the frequency "I" was transmitting on, NOT on the repeater output. The OO just couldn't understand the fact that I'm only responsible for sending an ID on the frequency I'm transmitting on. (At least, that's how I read the rules.) RF cops. Actually, RF Cop wanabees.

But that's not to say all OOs are like this. ANY organization tends to reflect a cross section, even if it is weighted. And there are a number of competant OOs out there. But then, you won't notice the guys who do thier job quietly and efficiently, right?

As to the FCC, I don't think they will rely on the OO for enforcement, but OO reports may trigger enforcement or at least investigative action by the FCC. In which case, if it does go to court, the OO had better have is act together.

Mike
N1DVJ

5365. Ordering from RadioWorld (Canada)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: lagniappe <lagniappe@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7koam7$c0u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114791
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I linked to their home page via the StrongSignals vendor list. They advertise that they guarantee delivery of unblocked scanners in the US. I emailed them and asked specifically what this meant, and the reply was that they assume all customs risk, and that they would replace any confiscated merchandise at their expense.

Is it really that simple? In order to make such a statement, it seems that they are pretty sure that their shipments will not be scrutinized.

Has anyone ordered from them? What did you buy, and what was your experience?

best regards,

Marty Jones

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5366. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: anti@spam.mail
Message-ID: <3776a0b4.11642367@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.scanner

"Spunky The Waffle Iron" <@.> wrote:

>BILL IS A GUY WHO IS TALKED ABOUT TOO MUCH IN HERE.

Bill who?

5367. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <376fb67c$0$2488@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 114793
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't think they have to be listed in FCC database if they are using itinerant frequencies, which is what 151.965 is. They have to register with the FCC, but the freq is so low power and so commonly used that it would be pointless to list the thousands of users for each state. Rest assured, Target is not transmitting illegally.

David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net> wrote in message news:376E9E8B.A7D9C049@jps.net...
> "B. M." wrote:
>
> > Target uses both UHF and VHF frequencies depending on the
> > state and location.
> >
> > In California they use 151.965 for example.
> >
> > **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****
>
> They must be doing it illegally then. I don't see Target listed in the FCC database.
> Their parent company Mervyns is listed and they, according to the data, use UHF.
>
>

5368. Amsterdam Police Scanner on www

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: PI6ALK <pi6alk@tss-telecom.nl>
Message-ID: <7kofm3$avr@news3.euro.net>
Source record: 114794

Repeated requests to mention the URL again:

http://www.tss-telecom.nl/pi6alk

5369. Ham Radio Resources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mikrounit@hughes.net
Message-ID: <376fca99.1797224@news.hughes.net>
Source record: 114795

My webpage has info on:

Satellites, Satellite Tracking, Yaesu, FT-847
FT-50R, HF/VHF/UHF, Contesting, Real Audio Links,
Ham Radio Resource Links, Ham Radio Message Board,
plus more!

Check it out, URL:

http://kq6mu.8m.com

Webmasters:

Please add me to your links section, in return
I can add you to my links page.

Thanks & 73,

Mike Green KQ6MU
DM04 Palmdale, CA

5370. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376FCCC5.B84364C@usa.net>
Source record: 114796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

You were a STRONG CHOICE for that title, FOOL!

Macaroon wrote:

> It wasn't even a challenge in the first place,

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5371. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376FCDD5.FB5DA2E5@usa.net>
Source record: 114797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn wrote:

> But the fact is I do know polarity and you don't. You have smoked repeaters
> and power supplies by pure ignorance and I haven't.

I have never done such a thing. I did witnes one occasion when I plugged
into a system that a teenager reverse wired causing some problems. But
that was nothing of my doing.

> Still seem to be dodging what you do for a living

What does that have to do with anything?? If we discuss waht I do for aliving
then we would need to discuss what YOU do for a living also.

> How many FCC licenses?

At this time I hold TWO valid operator licenses from the FCC. I have passed4
others. How many do YOU hold?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5372. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376FCE6B.A52C80D6@usa.net>
Source record: 114798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Correct. So then WHY do you keep bringing up his name?

Macaroon wrote:

> Bill Cheek is not the topic of this NG.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5373. Re: HOOD Blimps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Lawson <ablaze@sonic.net>
Message-ID: <376FCA10.6AEC3EE8@sonic.net>
Source record: 114799

Forgive me, but what is the Hood Blimp?
the Skipinator

Jeff wrote:

> if you find or get the frequency of the hood blimp, please let me know...it
> come over my house in peabody daily.
> thanks.
> Jeff

5374. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376FCE22.AFD322BA@usa.net>
Source record: 114800

You are!

Macaroon wrote:

> because nobody else is swallowing your spew.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5375. Oklahoma City Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken Davis <kend@NOSPAMfrontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <7kok3e$1me0$1@node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 114801

All,

I'll be in Oklahoma City, OK later this week. Can someone provide or point me to a web site with OKC police frequencies. I'm assuming they're on a trunked system. Reply to newsgroup or via email.

Thanks,

Ken

5376. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: j.k.@gotmail.com
Message-ID: <376fc98b.61728671@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 114802
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 19 Jun 1999 08:56:57 -0400, "bob" <rockct@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Atually, he could use a microwave oven to xmit up on thse freqs. he could
>just stick his little peanut shaped head in it and turn it on. mankind will
>be better off.

How exactly would it affect him.
I have a friend who Microwaved a fly for a few minutes at 800 watts.
He opened up the door and the fly flew out.

-JK

5377. Re: Decoding digital cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: j.k.@gotmail.com
Message-ID: <376fca00.61845123@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 114803
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 20 Jun 1999 10:43:55 +0200, Olive <olive@2.sbbs.se> wrote:

>digital cellular phones (GSM)into voice audio.I know
>that has been done,and I have a scanner that can handle the frequencys.
>

Not a chance with the GSM. You'd be better of trying to tap them at
the relay station.

-JK

5378. Mall and Store Security Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Henry <hdarr@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <376FD6C4.AC7B3FC5@mindspring.com>
Source record: 114804

I had a list of the frequencies used by security
and maintenance for all the Philadelphia-Bucks
County Pa. area Malls and Shopping Centers, I
believe it was posted here a year ago.

However I recently went to look for it and canï¿½t
find it.

If anyone could repost that list or a new list of freqï¿½s
for the local Phila/Bucks Pa. Malls, Shopping Centers,
and Stores, I sure would appreciate it.

I remember Franklin Mills was 464.4125 and
I think Neshaminy Mall was 464.475 but thatï¿½s
about all I can recall.

Thanks,

Henry

5379. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <376FDC43.A7CCFC95@accesscom.com>
Source record: 114805
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

See FCC Rule section 90.113.

Station authorization required.
No radio transmitter shall be operated in the services governed by this part except under and in accordance with a proper authorization granted by the commission.

151.925 is listed in part 90.35 and needs a license.

Also, since 151.925 is an itinerant frequency, see the following definition under section 90.7.

Itinerant operation. Operation of a radio station at unspecified locations for varying periods of time.

The confusion stems from not having to have this frequency coordinated by an approved FCC coordinator.

Ed

Glenn Highcove wrote:
>
> I don't think they have to be listed in FCC database if they are using
> itinerant frequencies, which is what 151.965 is. They have to register with
> the FCC, but the freq is so low power and so commonly used that it would be
> pointless to list the thousands of users for each state. Rest assured,
> Target is not transmitting illegally.
>
> David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net> wrote in message
> news:376E9E8B.A7D9C049@jps.net...
> > "B. M." wrote:
> >
> > > Target uses both UHF and VHF frequencies depending on the
> > > state and location.
> > >
> > > In California they use 151.965 for example.
> > >
> > > **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here
> (tm) ****
> >
> > They must be doing it illegally then. I don't see Target listed in the FCC
> database.
> > Their parent company Mervyns is listed and they, according to the data,
> use UHF.
> >

> >

5380. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <oWQb3.370$S73.23465@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 114806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.mackey.is.a.loser

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote...
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > But the fact is I do know polarity and you don't. You have smoked
repeaters
> > and power supplies by pure ignorance and I haven't.
(lie #1)
> I have never done such a thing. I did witnes one occasion when I plugged
> into a system that a teenager reverse wired causing some problems. But
> that was nothing of my doing.

Yeah yeah, as usual blame it on somebody else. What problem did YOU obseve liar-John? You obseserved after YOU plugged it in a Micor and power supply burning up. Nobody with an ounce of brains would be plugging stuff into a power supply if the weren't CERTAIN of the polarity especially ham radio stuff where morons like you don't have a clue.

> > Still seem to be dodging what you do for a living
>
> What does that have to do with anything??

Plenty, why are you ashamed of changing bed pans?

>If we discuss waht I do for aliving
> then we would need to discuss what YOU do for a living also.

Any time pal. I am not ashamed of what I do John-Bowl, why do you hide what you do??

> > How many FCC licenses?

> At this time I hold TWO valid operator licenses from the FCC. I have
passed4
> others. How many do YOU hold?

At this moment I am holding three FCC licenses. I have passed 6 FCC tests. So what John-Bowl. It doesn't mean squat. Yours mean even less. You are a liar and know nothing about scanners. Take the pills Chief-John-Bowl. Take all the pills, find more and take them. You can do it John-Bowl. End the pain!

5381. Re: Radio Shack Frequency Counter - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990622150120.20248.00002866@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 114807

> Modifications have been
>> published to add a (manually activated) hold button to freeze the
>> display.
>
> Any direction to such a modification would be appreciated.

From: David Stark
To: All Msg #559, Jan-04-94 18:25:00
Subject: RS Freq. Counter HOLD modification

Evidently the Radio Shack PRO-200x scanners are not the only easily modifiable equipment available. RS seems to be establishing itself as a "hacker's paradise" as they introduce still another type of equipment that is amenable to user modification.

* Forwarded from "rec.radio.scanner"
* Originally by Galen Watts
* Originally to All
* Originally dated 4 Jan 1994, 15:32

From: galen@picea.CFNR.ColoState.EDU (Galen Watts)
Organization: College of Natural Resources, Colo. State Univ.

How to add a hold function to the Radio Shack RF Frequency Counter:

Ground TP 17. In the schematic, TP17 is pin one of U3, connected to +5V thru a 47k resistor. On the circuit board, TP17 is on the display side, just right of the lower-right corner of the IC. Grounds are about 1/4 inch below and to the right, the three non-tinned points in the large area of metal. TP17 is the tinned pad next to a SM resistor marked '473' for 47k.

I rigged a momentary switch to ground TP17 thru a 1k resistor (you never can tell) and it works just fine! I drilled a small hole to mount the switch just above the plastic depression so I could push the button with my right thumb. A small toggle switch would fit if you want to hold longer.

Galen, KF0YJ

--- GEcho 1.00
* Origin: NF2G / KNY2DJ / NESN NY-RC02 / KYR-7128 (1:2613/333.2)

-Note from Ted: This mod does work. I did quite a few of them for customers back when I had my radio shop here in Chicago. Used to use a radio shack push on / push off miniature pushbutton switch. I was told by an engineer that it's unwise to leave it in the new "hold" mode for more than a few minutes (not hours). The hard part is drilling through the flipping steel case to mount the switch. This thing is built like Fort Knox, I swear it's case hardened steel,

amazingly tough. Have fun and be careful. Good old Dave Stark and the Fido net, I do miss those bygonne days. Mods will no doubt void the FCC type acceptance/approval (what ever, nit pickers!) / big deal, who cares.

"I'll just get my electric drill and these tungsten carbide bits and a hammer and we'll start modifying your delicate radio equipment..."

(Never failed to generate that great look of shock on the customers faces - great fun, especially when they'd hear that old Black and Decker drill start whirring away!)

Happy Scanning - Ted

5382. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com>
Message-ID: <376FDE35.F153B7FE@accesscom.com>
Source record: 114808
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Oops 151.625 not 151.925, slippery fingers.

Ed Tobiasz wrote:
>
> See FCC Rule section 90.113.
>
> Station authorization required.
> No radio transmitter shall be operated in the services governed by this
> part except under and in accordance with a proper authorization granted
> by the commission.
>
> 151.925 is listed in part 90.35 and needs a license.
>
> Also, since 151.925 is an itinerant frequency, see the following
> definition under section 90.7.
>
> Itinerant operation. Operation of a radio station at unspecified
> locations for varying periods of time.
>
> The confusion stems from not having to have this frequency coordinated
> by an approved FCC coordinator.
>
> Ed
>
> Glenn Highcove wrote:
> >
> > I don't think they have to be listed in FCC database if they are using
> > itinerant frequencies, which is what 151.965 is. They have to register with
> > the FCC, but the freq is so low power and so commonly used that it would be
> > pointless to list the thousands of users for each state. Rest assured,
> > Target is not transmitting illegally.
> >
> > David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net> wrote in message
> > news:376E9E8B.A7D9C049@jps.net...
> > > "B. M." wrote:
> > >
> > > > Target uses both UHF and VHF frequencies depending on the
> > > > state and location.
> > > >
> > > > In California they use 151.965 for example.
> > > >
> > > > **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here
> > (tm) ****

> > >
> > > They must be doing it illegally then. I don't see Target listed in the FCC
> > database.
> > > Their parent company Mervyns is listed and they, according to the data,
> > use UHF.
> > >
> > >

5383. FREE ScannerBase XE software and State Freq Databases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eric Force <eforce@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <376FDE99.72039C8F@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 114809
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thought you all would be interested in a super deal (FREE) from CSP Technologies.
Check out:

http://www.csp-tech.com/sbxe_latest.htm

Regards,

Eric

Eric Force <eforce@bellatlantic.net>

5384. SSB Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <7kp0ralcu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114810

Are there any scanners that do an excellent job with ham SSB selectivity, stability, audio, etc... price range approx. $500 or under. Tnx!!!

Bill K9KZ

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5385. WTB Pro 2006 with OPTO456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Williamson <rick.williamson@pss.boeing.com>
Message-ID: <376FE6D2.EE9A8F91@pss.boeing.com>
Source record: 114811

Looking for a 2006 in good condition (doesn't have to be new, but must be working) with the Optoelectronics 456 board and the documentation if possible. I'm thinking in the $350 area. E-mail me at ricanjan@gte.net with what you have.

Thanks!

Rick
Seattle

5386. FS:Trade - Scanners & HTs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: PIO <scanware@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7kot0t$6fm$0@216.39.134.13>
Source record: 114812

Hey Jeff:

Wanna trade that TrunkTracker for some older technology?

If I were in NYC I would go for a BC9000....just my opinion.

Here's what I have to trade:

Uniden BC9000XLT - Like new, in box with manual - $300.

Uniden BC9000XLT w/ CTCSS module - Like new, in box with manual - $350.

I also have a number of handheld amateur HTs if you're interested.
If you're wondering why I'm selling off this stuff, I've been out of work for almost 7 weeks and need to pay bills.

Gene McAvoy / KG7XD
ScanWare Associates
Silverdale, WA 98383-1743

Phone: 360.692.3992
Pager: 360.405.7070
Fax: 360.698.8207
Email: scanware@hotmail.com
Web: http://www.oz.net/~gmcavoy/fire_ems.html

From: JEFFG1949 <jeffg1949@aol.com>
Subject: FS:UNIDEN BC895XLT(TRUNKTRACKER)
Date: Tuesday, June 22, 1999 12:11 AM

FOR SALE UNIDEN BC895XLT NEW IN THE BOX. $200.00 INCLUDES SHIPPING. UPON RECEIPT OF A U.S. POSTAL MONEY ORDER. FELLAS THIS ONE WAS TOO COMPLICATED FOR ME TO FIGURE OUT. I GUESS I WONT BE A TRUNKTRACKER AFTER ALL.
JEFF(JEFFG1949@AOL.COM)
MY NYC PAGER # 1-917-571-0844. THANKS

5387. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3770018f$0$2481@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 114813
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry, I should have been more specific in what I said. If you read further down in my post, I say that they *do* have to register with the FCC. Having worked for Radio Shack for a short time and having sold these radios, I am well aware of that fact. However, that does not necessarily mean that the online FCC databases have to list them. So I'm not disagreeing with you -- On the contrary, I'm reaffirming what you say.

I'm just speculating that perhaps it would require far too much work or database space on their part (the FCC or any private company with an FCC database, such as Percon) to list the thousands and thousands of users (just for one state) of various itinerant frequencies. This is not verified fact, just my humble opinion (the database part).

Ed Tobiasz <edt@accesscom.com> wrote in message
news:376FDE35.F153B7FE@accesscom.com...
> Oops 151.625 not 151.925, slippery fingers.
>
> Ed Tobiasz wrote:
> >
> > See FCC Rule section 90.113.
> >
> > Station authorization required.
> > No radio transmitter shall be operated in the services governed by this
> > part except under and in accordance with a proper authorization granted
> > by the commission.
> >
> > 151.925 is listed in part 90.35 and needs a license.
> >
> > Also, since 151.925 is an itinerant frequency, see the following
> > definition under section 90.7.
> >
> > Itinerant operation. Operation of a radio station at unspecified
> > locations for varying periods of time.
> >
> > The confusion stems from not having to have this frequency coordinated
> > by an approved FCC coordinator.
> >
> > Ed
> >
> > Glenn Highcove wrote:
> > >
> > > I don't think they have to be listed in FCC database if they are using
> > > itinerant frequencies, which is what 151.965 is. They have to
register with

> > > the FCC, but the freq is so low power and so commonly used that it
would be
> > > pointless to list the thousands of users for each state. Rest
assured,
> > > Target is not transmitting illegally.
> > >
> > > David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net> wrote in message
> > > news:376E9E8B.A7D9C049@jps.net...
> > > > "B. M." wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Target uses both UHF and VHF frequencies depending on the
> > > > > state and location.
> > > > >
> > > > > In California they use 151.965 for example.
> > > > >
> > > > > **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions
Start Here
> > > (tm) ****
> > > >
> > > > They must be doing it illegally then. I don't see Target listed in
the FCC
> > > database.
> > > > Their parent company Mervyns is listed and they, according to the
data,
> > > use UHF.
> > > >
> > > >

5388. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick <ringo@sbt.net>
Message-ID: <7kp1i0$i4r$1@forge.sbt.net>
Source record: 114814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

I am right here jmackey I've been busy on my UNIX machine I don't have the
time of day for windows...
Macaroon <you@are.mental> wrote in message
news:37756b3a.1848054@enews.newsguy.com...
> It wasn't even a challenge in the first place, toilet boy!
>
> You sure won. Hands down!
>
>
> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> >It sure was tough competing against YOU for that title!
> >
> >Macaroon wrote:
> >
> >>Sorry, numb nuts, you've already got that distinct honor.
> >>
> >>What are you Nick, the "village idiot"???
> >
> >Nick wrote:
> >
> >> It seems to me that jmackey is a moron and needs to quit posting stupid
> >> things
> >> I've already seen his retarded postings on other news groups and no one
> >> wants anything to do with this fool.

5389. FS: Trunk Tracker Pro-91 Hand Held

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Monfeli <rmonfeli@dconn.com>
Message-ID: <930089533.488.33@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 114815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In like new condition, hardly used, 150 channels. Comes with Manual, antenna, AC wall adapter and 4 ni-cads, yes real batteries can be used in this one, a great buy. $145.00 includes shipping in US.
Ron M

5390. Montgomery Co., Pa.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Smiley <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3770072C.7202@ptd.net>
Source record: 114816

I'm looking for updated info on Montgomery Co. Pa.

@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's From Smiley
___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

MONTGOMERY COUNTY LAW ENFORCEMENT

Montgomery County 800 mhz Type II Trunked Frequencies

Freq Chan Voice Control

868.7625 01 x x
867.3750 02 X X
867.2625 03 X X
867.1375 04 X X
866.8875 05 X
866.6375 06 X
866.2625 07 X

NOTE: Only the above frequencies need to be entered into the Uniden
Trunk Tracker to follow this system.

Montgomery County 800 Mhz National Conventional Frequencies

Freq Chan Use Tone

866.0125 R CALLING National Calling Repeater 156.7
866.5125 R TAC-1 National TAC-1 Repeater 156.7
867.0125 R TAC-2 National TAC-2 Repeater 156.7
867.5125 R TAC-3 National TAC-3 Repeater 156.7
868.0125 R TAC-4 National TAC-4 Repeater 156.7

Montgomery County 800 Mhz Tactical Channels (Non-Trunked)

Freq Chan Use

866.0375 TAC-A On Scene Simplex
866.4125 TAC-B On Scene Simplex
867.6500 TAC-C On Scene Simplex
867.7625 TAC-D On Scene Simplex
868.7125 TAC-E On Scene Simplex

Montgomery County 800 Mhz MDT/Future TRS Frequencies

Freq

851.3625
854.9625
855.2375 MDT Transmissions
855.9375
856.7375

Montgomery County Type II Trunked Talkgroup Assignments

MOTO UNIDEN User
TG ID

POLICE DISPATCH TALKGROUPS:

065 1616 COUNTY NORTH-CENTRAL DISPATCH
North Wales Boro PD 49
Upper Gwynedd PD 51
Lansdale 53
Towamencin PD 59

067 1648 COUNTY SOUTH DISPATCH
Detectives 13
County Coroner 14
Montgomery Co. Sheriff 19
Rockledge 31
Jenkintown 33
West Conshohocken 38
Conshohocken 42
Ambler 43
Whitpain Twp. 46
East Norriton Twp. 48
North Wales 49
Bridgeport 54
West Norriton 62

069 1680 COUNTY SOUTHWEST DISPATCH
Upper Providence Twp. 68
Collegeville 72
U Perkiomen Valley PD 83
(Pennsburg/E Greenville/
Red Hill)
Limerick Twp. 84
Royersford 86
Lower Pottsgrove Twp. 88
New Hanover Twp. 89
Douglass Twp. 91
Upper Pottsgrove Twp. 92
West Pottsgrove Twp. 96
Spring City 99

06B 1712 COUNTY NORTHWEST DISPATCH
Hatfield Twp. 55
Lower Salford Twp. 61
Franconia Twp. 63

Souderton 65
Telford 67
Lower Frederick Twp. 73

06D 1744 COUNTY DATA (All Zones)

06F 1776 COUNTY SECURE

REGIONAL AND TACTICAL TALKGROUPS:

071 1808 REGION 1 (Secondary)

073 1840 REGION 2 (Secondary)

075 1872 REGION 3 (Secondary)

077 1904 REGION 4 (East Zone Police Secondary)

079 1936 REGION 5

07B 1968 TAC 1

07D 2000 TAC 2

07F 2032 TAC 3

081 2064 TAC 4

083 2096 CONSHOHOCKEN POLICE (SECONDARY)

085 2128 COUNTYWIDE CAR-TO-CAR

087 2160 CID DETECTIVES (Channel 1)

089 2192 CID DETECTIVES (Channel 2 - Encrypted)

08B 2224 COUNTY SHERIFF

08D 2256 ABBINGTON POLICE DISPATCH

08F 2288 CHELTENHAM POLICE DISPATCH

091 2320 UPPER DUBLIN POLICE DISPATCH

093 2352 LOWER GWYNEDD POLICE DISPATCH

095 2384 HATBORO POLICE DISPATCH

097 2416 HORSHAM POLICE DISPATCH

099 2448 LANSDALE POLICE DISPATCH
Upper Gwynedd Twp. 51
Lansdale 53
Towamencin Twp. 59

09B 2480 LOWER MERION POLICE DISPATCH

09D 2512 UPPER MERION POLICE DISPATCH

09F 2544 LOWER MORELAND POLICE DISPATCH

0A1 2576 UPPER MORELAND POLICE DISPATCH

0A3 2608 MONTGOMERY TWP. POLICE DISPATCH

0A5 2640 NORRISTOWN POLICE DISPATCH

0A7 2672 PLYMOUTH POLICE DISPATCH

0A9 2704 POTTSTOWN POLICE DISPATCH

0AB 2736 LOWER PROVIDENCE POLICE DISPATCH

0AD 2768 SPRINGFIELD POLICE DISPATCH

0AF 2800 WEST NORITON POLICE DISPATCH

0B1 2832 WHITEMARSH POLICE DISPATCH

0B3 2864 WHITPAIN POLICE DISPATCH

0B5 2896 LOCAL DETECTIVES

0B7 2928 COUNTY PRISON

0B9 2960 SPARE

FIRE AND EMS TALKGROUPS:

0BB 2992 FIRE DISPATCH

0BD 3024 FIRE 1

0BF 3056 FIRE 2

0C1 3088 FIRE 3

0C3 3120 FIRE 4

0C5 3152 FIREGROUND 1

0C7 3184 FIREGROUND 2

0C9 3216 FIREGROUND 3

0CB 3248 FIREGROUND 4

0CD 3280 EMS DISPATCH

0CF 3312 EMS 1

0D1 3344 EMS 2

0D3 3376 EMS 3

0D5 3408 EMS 4

0D7 3440 EMS COUNTYWIDE 1

0D9 3472 EMS COUNTYWIDE 2

0DB 3504 EMS COUNTYWIDE 3

0DD 3536 EMS COUNTYWIDE 4

0DF 3568 PUBLIC SAFETY COORDINATION

MISCELLANEOUS TALKGROUPS:

0E1 3600 PUBLIC SAFETY DIVISION MANAGEMENT

0E3 3632 COUNTY COURTHOUSE SECURITY (Dist 21,23)

0E5 3664 LGS COORDINATION

0E7 3696 COUNTY COMMUNICATIONS

0E9 3728 NORTH WALES WATER AUTHORITY

0EB 3760 COUNTY PARKS

0ED 3792 COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS

0EF 3824 COUNTYWIDE EMERGENCY 1

0F1 3856 COUNTYWIDE EMERGENCY 2

0F3 3888 COUNTYWIDE EMERGENCY 3

0F5 3920 COUNTYWIDE EMERGENCY 4

0F7 3952 COUNTYWIDE EMERGENCY 5

NOTE: The Trunk Tracker does not need to be programmed with a fleet map.
Since the system is Type II, it automatically uses the default.
Montgomery County 800 mhz Type II Trunked Frequencies

Montgomery County Police Districts

Dist Municipality/Service Dist Municipality/Service
13 Montgomery Co. CID Detectives 58 Valley Forge National Historic Park
14 Montgomery Co. Coroner 59 Towamencin
15 Montgomery Co. Communications 61 Lower Salford Twp.
19 Montgomery Co. Sheriff 62 West Norriton
25 Lower Moreland Twp. 63 Franconia Twp.
26 Cheltenham Twp. 64 Worcester Twp.
27 Bryn Athyn 65 Souderton
28 Springfield Twp. 66 Lower Providence Twp.
29 Abbington Twp. 67 Telford
31 Rockledge 68 Upper Providence Twp.
32 Whitemarsh 69 Salford Twp.
33 Jenkintown 71 Upper Salford Twp.
34 Lower Merion Twp. 72 Collegeville
35 Upper Moreland Twp. 73 Lower Frederick Twp.
36 Narberth 74 Trappe
37 Hatboro 75 Upper Frederick Twp.
38 West Conshohocken 76 Perkiomen Twp.
39 Horsham 77 Green Lane

41 Upper Dublin Twp. 78 Skippack
42 Conshohocken 79 Marlborough
43 Ambler 81 Red Hill
44 Plymouth Twp. 82 Schwenksville
45 Lower Gwynedd Twp. 83 Pennsburg
46 Whitpain Twp. 84 Limerick
47 Montgomery 85 East Greenville
48 East Norriton Twp. 86 Royersford
49 North Wales 87 Upper Hanover Twp.
51 Upper Gwynedd Twp. 88 Lower Pottsgrove Twp.
52 Norristown 89 New Hanover
53 Lansdale 91 Douglass Twp.
54 Bridgeport 92 Upper Pottsgrove Twp.
55 Hatfield Twp. 94 Pottstown
56 Upper Merion Twp. 96 West Pottsgrove
57 Hatfield Borough 99 Spring City

MUNICIPALITES

Montgomery County Municipalities

Freq Municipality Tone (CTCSS or DCS)

460.025 R Abbington Twp. Dispatch D-047/023
460.175 R Abbington Twp. Secondary D-047/023
460.100 R Cheltenham Twp. Dispatch D-047/023
460.175 R Cheltenham Twp. Secondary D-047/023
500.9375 R Hatboro Dispatch 136.5
460.525 R Lower Merion Twp. Dispatch 131.8
460.275 R Lower Merion Twp. Secondary 131.8
460.500 R Lower Moreland Twp. Dispatch D-047/023
155.61 R Montgomery Twp. Dispatch 123.0
154.955 R Montgomery Twp. Secondary 123.0
501.0625 R Norristown Dispatch 85.4
501.1125 R Norristown Secondary 85.4
453.875 R Plymouth Twp. Dispatch 156.7
155.835 R Pottstown Dispatch 156.7
154.845 R Springfield Twp. Dispatch 131.8
460.550 R Upper Dublin Twp. Dispatch D-047/023
154.815 R Upper Merion Twp. Dispatch 131.8
460.050 R Upper Moreland Twp. Dispatch D-047/023
153.965 R West Norriton Twp. Dispatch 107.2
45.40 Whitemarsh Twp. Dispatch 136.5
45.62 Whitemarsh Twp. Dispatch 136.5
453.675 R Whitpain Twp. Dispatch 156.7

D-047/023 displays DCS positive/negative values

MONTGOMERY COUNTY FIRE AND EMS

Montgomery County Fire Low Band Frequencies

Freq Chan Use
33.70 01 Fire Dispatch
33.66 02 Hailing Channel
33.60 03 Fire Police TAC
33.76 04 Fire TAC 4
33.58 05 Fire TAC 5
33.54 06 Fire TAC 6
33.46 07 Fire TAC 7

Montgomery County Fire High Band Frequencies

Freq Chan Use Tone
154.130 01 Fire Dispatch 136.5
154.280 02 Hailing Channel 136.5
153.830 03 Fire TAC 3 (portables)

154.370 01 Norristown Fire Dispatch 136.5
154.325 02 Norristown Fireground 136.5

Montgomery County EMS Frequencies

Freq Chan Use
46.02 01 EMS Dispatch
45.92 02 EMS Response
45.96 03 Hospital Notifications

Montgomery County Fire Stations

Sta Company Name Sta Company Name
01 Glenside Fire Co 55 Mont Clare Fire Co
02 La Mott Fire Co 56 Lincoln Fire Co
03 Elkins Park Fire Co 57 Stowe Fire Co
04 Cheletenham Fire Co 58 Sanatoga Fire Co
05 Ogonz Fire Co 59 Ringing Hill Fire Co
07 Wissahickon Fire Co 61 Norriton Fire Engine Co
08 Huntingdon Valley Fire Co 62 North Penn Fire Co of North Wales
09 Rockledge Fire Co 63 Oaks Fire Co
10 Willow Grove Fire Co 64 Telford Dive Unit
11 Bryn Athyn Fire Co 65 Pennsburg Fire Co
12 Colmar Fire Co 66 Perkiomen Twp. Fire Co
15 Horsham Fire Co 67 Gilbertsville Fire Co
17 Hatfield Fire Co 68 Sassamansville Fire Co
21 Penn Wynne/Overbrook Hills Fire Co 69 Pottstown Fire Department
22 Belmont Hills Fire Co 69A Goodwill Fire Co of Pottstown
23 Bryn Mawr Fire Co 69B Philadelphia S.F.E. Co of Pottstown
24 Gladwyne Fire Co 69C Empire Hook and Ladder Co
25 Merion Fire Co 69D North End Fire Co of Pottstowe
26 Narberth Fire Co 71 Red Hill Fire Co
27 Norristown Fire Department 72 Tylersport Fire Co
27A Norris Hose Fire Co 73 Schwenksville Fire Co
27B Montgomery Hose Co 74 Perseverence Fire Co (Souderton)
27C Humane Hose Co OF Norristown 75 Telford Fire Co

27D Fairmount Fire Co No. 2 76 Towamencin Fire Co
27E Hanock Fire Co 77 Trappe Fire Co
28 Union Fire Association 78 Upper Salford Fire Co
29 Barren Hill Fire Co 79 Upper Pottsgrove Fire Co
31 Bridgeport Fire Co No. 1 80 Upper Gwynedd Township Fire Co
32 Goodwill Fire Co of Bridgeport 82 Wyndmoor Fire Co
33 Centre Square Fire Co 83 Worcester Fire Co
34 Collegeville Fire Co 84 Humane Fire Co (Royersford)
35 Conshohocken Fire Co No. 2 85 Friendship H &L Co (Royersford)
36 Washington Fire Co 86 Skippack Fire Co
37 New Hanover Twp. Fire Co 87 Upper Frederick Fire Co
38 East Greenville Fire Co 88 Fort Washington Fire Co
39 George Clay Fire Co 89 Harleysville Fire Co
41 North Penn Goodwill Service 95 Enterprise of Hatboro Fire Co
42 Green Lane Fire Co 99 Naval Air Station at Willow Grove
43 Plymouth Fire Co No. 1 100 Abington Fire Co
44 Harmonville Fire Co 200 McKinley Fire Co
45 Spring Mill Fire Co 300 Weldon Fire Co
46 Jefferson Fire Co 400 Edge Hill Fire Co
47 King of Prussia Fire Co 500 Roslyn Fire Co
48 Swedeland Fire Co 600 Flourtown Fire Co
49 Swedesburg Fire Co 700 Oreland Fire Co
51 Linfield Fire Co 850 Independent Fire Co (Jenkintown)
52 Lower Frederick Fire Co 860 Pioneer Fire Co (Jenkintown)
53 Lower Providence Fire Co 1240 Fairmount Fire Co (Lansdale)
54 Limerick Fire Co 1250 Fairmount Fire Co (Lansdale)

Montgomery County EMS Squads

Station Squad Name

10 Upper Morland Twp. Fire Dept. QRS
33 Centre Square Fire Co. QRS
62 North Penn Fire Co. QRS
80 Upper Gwynedd Twp. QRS
82 Wyndmoor Fire Co. QRS
95 Phoenixville Hospital ALS (Chester Co.)
151 Grandview Hospital ALS (Berks Co.)
301 Montgomery County SPCA
302 A.C.S. Ambulance
303 Montgomery County Office of Emergency Preparedness
304 Montgomery Hospital Paramedics
305 Montgomery County Emergency Services
307 Pleasant Valley Community Ambulance (Conshohocken)
308 Plymouth Community Ambulance
311 Springfield Community Ambulance
313 Vol. Medical Services Corps. of Narberth
316 Goodwill Fire Co. Ambulance of Brigeport
317 Lafayette Ambulance Squad Inc.
318 Whitemarsh Community Ambulance
321 West Norriton Ambulance Squad

322 Lower Providence CC Ambulance
324 Trappe Fire Co. Ambulance
325 Friendship Fire Co. Ambulance of Royersford
327 Lincon Fire Co. Ambulance
328 Community Ambulance of Green Lane
329 Goodwill Fire Co. Ambulance of Pottstown
331 Lower Fredrick Regional Ambulance
332 Gilbertsville Area Community Ambulance
336 Skippack Emergency Medical Service, Inc.
339 Souderton Community Ambulance
344 Harleysville Community Ambulance
345 Vol. Medical Service Corps. of Lansdale
346 North Penn Medical Transport
347 Merck Company Ambulance
349 Med. Response Ambulance Service
351 Community Ambulance Association of Ambler
351QRS Upper Dublin PD QRS
352 Horsham Fire Co. Ambulance
353 Second Alarmers Rescue Squad of Willow Grove
354 Enterprise Fire Co. Ambulance of Hatboro
355 Bryn Athyn Fire Co. Ambulance
357 BLS Ambulance Transport
358 Cheltenham Township Ambulance
361 Rohm and Hass Ambulance
364 Allied Medical Ambulance
369 Upper Perkiomen Valley Ambulance
371 Sheppard Ambulance
375 Second Alarmers Transport Service Inc.
396 Burlhome First Aid Squad Inc. (Philadelphia)
505 Bally Community Ambulance (Berks Co.)
2000 Greater Philadelphia Search and Rescue (GPSAR)

MUNCIPALITIES

Local Company "Private" Fire Frequencies

Freq Company

33.68 Centre Square Fire Co.
154.445 Cheltenham Hook & Ladder Co.
852.4625 Colmar Fire Co.
33.76 Conshohocken Fire Company No. 2
153.77 Edge Hill Fire Company No. 1
154.445 Elkins Park Fire Co.
46.00 Enterprise Fire Co./Ambulance
154.19 Fairmount Fire Co.
154.40 Fairmount Fire Co.
33.76 George Clay Steam Fire Engine
33.84 George Clay Steam Fire Engine
33.94 Gilbertsville Fire Co.

151.685 Harleysville Community Fire Co.
33.50 Harmonville Fire Company No. 1
153.77 Horsham Fire Co.
458.90 Horsham Fire Co.
154.445 Lamott Fire Co.
33.84 Lower Merion Fire Dispatch
33.42 Lower Merion Fireground
33.76 Lower Merion Fireground
33.76 Lower Providence Fire Dist.
151.775 Lower Providence Fire Dist.
154.25 Lower Providence Fire Dist.
154.31 Lower Providence Fire Dist.
154.34 Lower Providence Fire Dist.
156.075 Lower Providence Fire Dist.
33.84 Merion Fire Co.
33.84 Narberth Fire Co.
154.34 Norco Fire Co.
154.445 Norco Fire Co.
154.37 Norristown Fire Department F-1 Dispatch
154.325 Norristown Fire Dept. F-2
155.28 Norristown Fire Dept. F-3
159.165 Norristown Fire Dept.
154.445 Ogontz Fire Co.
154.205 Oreland Fire Dept.
33.84 Penn Wynne Fire Co.
33.76 Pennsburg Fire Company No. 1
154.385 Philadelphia Steam Fire Engine
33.76 Plymouth Fire Co.
33.44 Pottstown Fire Dist.
154.07 Rockledge Volunteer Fire Co.
153.77 Roslyn Fire Co.
154.07 Roslyn Fire Co.
33.94 Sassamansville Fire Co.
33.54 Swedesburg Fire Co.
153.77 Upper Moreland Fire Dist.
154.07 Upper Moreland Fire Dist.
154.37 Upper Moreland Fire Dist.
154.445 Upper Moreland Fire Dist.
156.075 Upper Moreland Fire Dist.
33.64 West Point Volunteer Fire Co.
153.83 Wyndmoor Hose Company No. 1

~~~~~~
MONTGOMERY CO. TEN CODE
~~~~~~
10-0 Cantion 10-50 Accident
10-1 Unable to copy-change location 10-51 Request Wrecker
10-2 Signal good 10-52 Request Ambulance
10-3 Stop transmitting 10-53 Road blocked
10-4 Acknowledgment (OK) 10-54 Livestock on highway

10-5 Relay to 10-55 Intoxicated driver
10-6 Busy - unless urgent 10-56 Intoxicated pedestrian
10-7 Out of service 10-57 Hit & Run
10-8 In service 10-58 Direct traffic
10-9 Repeat 10-59 Convoy or escord
10-10 Fight in progress 10-60 Squad in vicinity
10-11 Dog case 10-61 Personnel in area
10-12 Stand by (stop) 10-62 Reply to message
10-13 Weather-road report 10-63 Prepare make written copy
10-14 Prowler report 10-64 Message for local delivery
10-15 Civil disturbance 10-65 Net message assignment
10-16 Domestic problem 10-66 Message cancellation
10-17 Meet complainant 10-67 Clear for message
10-18 Complete assignment quickly 10-68 Dispatch information
10-19 Return to..... 10-69 Message received
10-20 Location 10-70 Fire alarm
10-21 Call by phone 10-71 Advise nature of fire
10-22 Disregard 10-72 Report progress on fire
10-23 Arrived at scene 10-73 Smoke report
10-24 Assignment completed 10-74 Negative
10-25 Report in person (meet)..... 10-75 In contact with.....
10-26 Detaining subjeck, expedite 10-76 En route
10-27 Drivers license information 10-77 ETA (Eatimated Time Arrival)
10-28 Vehicle registration infomation 10-78 Need assistance
10-29 Check for wanted 10-79 Notify coroner
10-30 Unnecessary use of radio 10-80 Chase in progress
10-31 Crime in progress 10-81 Breathalyzer report
10-32 Man with a gun 10-82 Reserve lodging
10-33 EMERGENCY 10-83 Work school xing at.....
10-34 Riot 10-84 If meeting....advine ETA
10-35 Major crime alert 10-85 Delayed due to.....
10-36 Correct time 10-86 Officer/operator on duty
10-37 (Investigate) suspicious vehicle 10-87 Pickup/distribute checks
10-38 Stopping suspicious vehicle 10-88 Present telephone No. of....
10-39 Urgent - use light, siren 10-89 Bomb threat
10-40 Silent run - no light, siren 10-90 Bank alarm at.....
10-41 Begin Tour Of Duty 10-91 Pick up prisoner/subject
10-42 End Tour Of Duty 10-92 Improperly parked vehicle
10-43 Information 10-93 Blockade
10-44 Request permission to leave 10-94 Drag racing
10-45 Animal carcase at..... 10-95 Prisoner/subject in custody
10-46 Assist motorist 10-96 Mental subject
10-47 Emergency road repair at..... 10-97 Check (Test) Signal
10-48 Traffic standard repair 10-98 Prison/jail indicated
10-49 Traffic light out at..... 10-99 Wanted/stolen indicated

---

FROM JOSEPH CARDANI,(jcardani@erols.com)
South Jersey Column Editor
The Scanner Club

P.O. BOX 62
Gibbstown, NJ 08027

Web Page http://www.erols.com/jcardani/sepascan.htm

Send addition or changes
To martyrip@ptdprolog.net

5391. FS: MINT OptoCom with E-Trax (GE/EDACS) software!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <danr@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <37701451.961B8D6@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 114817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=121464755

5392. Bethlehem City, Pa.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Smiley <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3770063B.4277@ptd.net>
Source record: 114818

Looking fror Bethlehem City update.

@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's From Smiley
___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

Last updated: 12/10/98
System: Bethlehem, City of
County: Northampton
Type: II
Use: Bethlehem Police, Fire, EMS & Public Works Departments
Old Site
Freq Voice Control
855.9625 X X
854.9875 X X
854.6625 X X
852.5875
852.1375

New Site
Freq Voice Control
868.8000 x x
868.5500 x x
867.8250 x x
867.0750 x x
866.8000
866.1375
Use the 860.xxxx MHz frequencies to hear all communications.

For best results program these frequencies into your scanner from
highest to lowest.

Bethlehem City 800 Mhz National Conventional Frequencies
Freq Chan Use Tone
866.0125 R CALLING National Calling Repeater 156.7
866.5125 R TAC-1 National TAC-1 Repeater 156.7
867.0125 R TAC-2 National TAC-2 Repeater 156.7
867.5125 R TAC-3 National TAC-3 Repeater 156.7
868.0125 R TAC-4 National TAC-4 Repeater 156.7

TRUNKTRACKER CODES
Talkgroup ID #'s:
MOTO UNIDEN User
TG ID
145 - Fire Dept. Dispatch
00B 176 - Bethlehem Landfill

011 272 - Public Works
019 400 - Building Inspectors
528 - Municipal golf course
560 - Ambulance/Hospital Communications - St. Luke's Hospital
592 - Ambulance/Hospital Communications - Muhlenberg Hospital Center
027 624 - EMS Dispatch
02B 688 - Special Events 2 (used mainly by the police dept.)
02D 720 - Police NCIC (License plate checks, Wants/Warrants checks, etc.)
02F 752 - EMS Administration
033 816 - Moravian College Campus Security 1
848 - Moravian College Campus Security 2
037 880 - Police TAC
03D 976 - Fireground
978 - Fireground (Radio Panic trip)
041 1040 - Fire Hazmat
1104 - Fire Investigations
049 1168 - Fire Special Ops.
04B 1200 - Parking Authority Dispatch
04D 1232 - Police Dispatch
04F 1264 - Police Special Ops.
151 1296 - Police Investigations
053 1328 - Special Events 1
059 1424 - Police Traffic
1488 - ?????????????
06B 1712 - Parking Authority Maint
06D 1744 - Lehigh University Campus Security
073 1840 - Lehigh University Campus Security TAC
07B 1968 - Musikfest to city police
07F 2032 - Bethlehem Area School District Security 1
083 2096 - Bethlehem Area School District Security 2
389 16016 - Streets Dept.
7D1 32016 - Water Dept.
085 48016 - Sewer Dept.
64016 - ?????????

What to listen for
Here is some information that might be useful while listening to
Bethlehem's trunked radio system.

EMS units are dispatched on either an alpha, bravo, charlie, or delta
response to a call. A delta response is the most rapid response, an alpha
response is the most cautious.

Moravian College campus security, Lehigh University campus security,
and Bethlehem Area School District security all use Bethlehem's trunked
radio system.

Here is a list of unit numbers you will hear, and what department uses
them:
100's and 200's - Police Department (Unit 200 is the Comm. Center)

100's, 200's, 300's - Fire Department
400's - Parking Authority
500's - Moravian College Campus Security
700's - Paramedics
800's - Lehigh University Campus Security
900's - Bethlehem Area School District Security

Bethlehem's Radio Codes
Here is a list of radio codes used in Bethlehem.
Last Updated: 7/19/98

10-1 - End of shift/Out of service
10-2 - Clear
10-3 - On scene / Busy
10-4 - Message received / "Yes"
10-6 - Responding (fire dept.)
10-7 - On scene (fire dept.)
10-8 - Fire Out (fire dept.)
10-9 - En route to base
10-10 - Repeat last transmission
10-11 - Registration check
10-12 - Call _____ by phone
10-13 - Fire Scene Secured
10-18 - False Alarm
10-20 - Give current location
10-33 - Correct Time
10-39 - Cancel Message
10-42 - Prepare to copy information
10-44 - Going to Gas Pump
10-45a - Airport Alert
10-45b - Airport Bomb alert
10-55 - Clear the air
10-99 - Officer Needs Assistance

Code Index of Offenses

0100 - Criminal Homicide
0200 - Rape
0300 - Robbery
0400 - Assault
0500 - Burglary
0600 - Larceny
0700 - Auto Theft
0800 - Other Assaults
0900 - Arson and Bombing
1000 - Forgery and Counterfeiting
1100 - Fraud
1200 - Embezzlement
1300 - Stolen Property
1400 - Malicious Mischief

1500 - Weapons (Carrying & Possession)
1600 - Prostitution
1700 - Sex Offense
1800 - Narcotic Drug Law Violations
1900 - Gambling
2000 - Offenses Against Family & Children
2100 - Driving Under Influence
2200 - Liquor Law Violations
2300 - Drunkenness
2400 - Disorderly conduct
2500 - Vagrancy
2600 - Other Offenses

Code Index of Incidents

01 - Alarm, Bank 32 - Missing Person
02 - Alarm, Business 33 - Noise complaint
03 - Ambulance Call 34 - Open Door (Business)
04 - Assist Person 35 - Open Door (Other)
05 - BB Guns 36 - Parking Complaint
06 - Bicycle 37 - Property Found
07 - Mini-Bikes 38 - Property Lost
08 - Bicycles (Other) 39 - Runaway
09 - Cave In-Holes 40 - Sick Person
10 - Check Building 41 - Speeding
11 - Check Person 42 - Suicide Attempted
12 - Check Vehicles 43 - Suicide
13 - Check Youths 44 - Suspicious Act
14 - Death (Accidental) 45 - Suspicious Person
15 - Death (Sudden) 46 - Transport
16 - Dog Bites 47 - Vehicle Abandoned
17 - Dog (Other) 48 - Vehicle Disabled
18 - Animal (Other) 49 - Vehicle Towed
19 - Domestic Problems 50 - Warrant - Bench
20 - Escape (Hospital) 51 - Warrant - Criminal
21 - Escape (Prison) 52 - Warrant - Traffic
22 - Escort (Funeral) 53 - Warrant - AWOL
23 - Escort (Vehicle) 54 - Wire Down
24 - Escort (Other) 55 - Truancy
25 - Fire Call 56 - Accident
26 - Gas Leak 57 - Accident with Injury
27 - Health Hazard 58 - Attempt to Serve Warrant
28 - Injured Person 59 - Bomb/ Suspicious Package Found
29 - Juvenile Referral 60 - DUI Withdrawal
30 - Lost Person 61 - Drought Violation
31 - Miscellaneous 62 - Assist to Unlock Vehicle
63 - License Seizure

5393. FS Uniden BC760 Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: stevev@donet.com
Message-ID: <377017f2.10289573@news.donet.com>
Source record: 114819

Original owner (non Smoker), complete with the original box ,manuals, AC adapter, with the original CTCSS board installed. This unit has never been installed in a car and is like factory new. I need a base station instead. $175.00 + shipping stevev@donet.com

5394. Re: SSB Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: gerr1919 <gerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <377018F8.669F@gte.net>
Source record: 114820

Hello Bill, I listen to 75 meters lower ssb all the time on my
Yupiteru MVT7100 with gret audio and clarity, it is great..Gerr1919
>
> Are there any scanners that do an excellent job with ham SSB
> selectivity, stability, audio, etc... price range approx. $500 or
> under. Tnx!!!
>
> Bill K9KZ
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5395. Re: Decoding digital cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Skaggs <skaggs@twave.net>
Message-ID: <b_Ub3.5803$jK5.615322@typ11.nn.bcandid.com>
Source record: 114821
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,
I have been poundering the same questions. Does anyone have schematics
for a digital cordless?

Olive wrote in message <376CA9CB.6FFE10DD@2.sbbs.se>...
>I need some equipment or PC software that makes it possible
>to decode the digital transmissions from digital cordless
>phones and digital cellular phones (GSM)into voice audio.I know
>that has been done,and I have a scanner that can handle the frequencys.
>
>
>

5396. ????????Maybe Another Cheek-a-like blunder in Mackeys Hometown of Sioux City???????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Larson <ricklarson@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <930093479.194.28@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 114822

There was absoluetely no evidence that any cheek-a-like supplied equipment or software in this case.
http://www.siouxcityjournal.com/LocalNews/pleads.html

Former juvenile court officer pleads guilty

The former chief juvenile court officer for the 3rd Judicial District pleaded guilty Monday to bank fraud and secret interception of a cellular phone communication.
Daniel J. Conway III, 57, of Sioux City entered his pleas in U.S. District Court in Sioux City. Conway had been charged with the crimes Friday.

U.S. District Judge Mark W. Bennett accepted his pleas along with a plea agreement between the federal government and Conway. Details of the agreement, signed by Conway on May 20, were not released.

From March 14, 1995, through May 30, 1996, Conway began obtaining money from state funds and began using it for his own expenses. The amount obtained reached $19,351, according to court documents.

Conway was drawing on funds established in 1993 in a credit union, funded in part by Woodbury County through the Iowa Department of Human Services. The account had been set up to buy items for juveniles.

Conway obtained blank checks, signed the name of the person authorized to sign the checks or directed that person to endorse a blank check. Conway then made the checks payable to himself.

On May 24, 1996, Conway intercepted a cellular phone conversation between two individuals connected with Juvenile Court Services. One of the people involved in the phone conversation later was fired.

Conway was chief juvenile officer from 1986 through 1996. During that time, major innovative programs for at-risk youths were implemented. He then served as vice president of public relations for Boys and Girls Home and Family Services through October 1997.

That month, an audit done for the county revealed that Juvenile Court Services was being investigated for potential misappropriations and questionable expenditures of county money. The investigation and a state audit had been ordered by the judges of the 3rd District.

The state audit, released Oct. 29, 1997, found questionable, unauthorized and/or fraudulent transactions made from April 1, 1993, through Nov. 30, 1996. The audit said that $835,000 had been paid to the Sanford Center for special-needs juveniles without proper documentation. The audit also found

$19,427 in fraudulent expenditures had been traced to Conway's personal checking account.

Bank fraud carries a maximum penalty of 30 years in prison or a $1 million fine or both. The cellular phone call interception call carries a maximum penalty of a $5,000 fine.

Conway's sentencing was scheduled for Sept. 15.

5397. Re: BC 235 update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: W9FL <w9fl@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <7kp739$4ng@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 114823

frodo@fullcircle.net (BBBaggins) wrote:
>x-no-archive: yes
>{W($y 08urf8ghu
>
>I own one of the first 235's and am not happy with the receiver. I am
>right in middle of the 800mhz system here and reception is still scratchy,
>and breaks up quite a bit. Yes, I have tried several antennas. Is there
>an updated 235 planned, one with a better receiver? Thanks.....

I have two trunked systems in my area that I listen to. The County-wide system, and the local City system. There is noise like you describe on the County-wide system, even when I drive past the transmit antenna site. The local City system is clean. I get the same result on three different models of trunk-tracking scanners. It is just something with the system. Your BC 235 is probably ok.

The City system gets noisey at about 15 miles away, on my mobile, or on my BC 235. I bought a cell antenna from Radio Shack, and now I can receive the City system from greater distances.

Perhaps you are expecting too much from the rubber duck on the 235.

Tom

5398. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Thomas Brockdorf <tbrock@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7kp7to$ohi@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 114824

<chris_grant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:7kmoog$r47$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I am new at this, just picked up a pair of 70cm ADI's. I can hear al the
> police bands but I can not transmit to them(I know this is illegal, but
> its just an intelectual question). Does is have to do with CTCSS
> encoding or is there some other kind of filter they use to prvent this
> sort of "interference"? DSP? Some sort of chip only police radio's have?
> Thanks in advance for any info.
>
> radiohead
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

The radios are made to only transnit in certain frequency ranges. There are lots of ham radios that can receive all kinds of frequencies, but not transmit on all of them.
You are better off not being able to do this. It would only get you in big trouble.

Tom

5399. Re: WHY CELL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <7kpd55ifk1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114825

D. P. Roberts wrote in message <3765ac91.6110321@news.earthlink.net>...
>>I just have to ask why anyone would want to listen too a cell
>>communication?

Because they haven't figured out how to connect a "real" antenna to the
46/49 MHz band!
Al

5400. Re: HOOD Blimps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: mayermh <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7kpd5q$7uf@dfw-ixnews5.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 114826

I can help you out with the Goodyear blimp (rather, blimp[s] - there are 7) frequencies (I work at Goodyear in Akron). However, I have nothing for you on the Hood blimp - doesn't ring a bell.

Mike

> if you find or get the frequency of the hood blimp, please let me know...it
> come over my house in peabody daily.
> thanks.
> Jeff
>

5401. Lincolnwood Mall (Chicago)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3770394C.7FE266EC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 114827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Does anyone have frequencies for Lincolnwood Mall in North Chicago?
(actually, I think it's in a suburb just north of Chicago) Maybe you
CARMA people can help me out on this question.

Thanks for the help!

5402. microwave ovens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: uhflink@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <uhflink-2206991951590001@dhcp9.mc01.dsl.azstarnet.com>
Source record: 114828
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

j.k.@gotmail.com (Jan Knutar) wrote:
> On Sat, 19 Jun 1999 08:56:57 -0400, "bob" <rockct@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Atually, he could use a microwave oven to xmit up on thse freqs. he could
> >just stick his little peanut shaped head in it and turn it on. mankind will
> >be better off.
>
> How exactly would it affect him.
> I have a friend who Microwaved a fly for a few minutes at 800 watts.
> He opened up the door and the fly flew out.
>
> -JK

A microwave oven is a 600-900 watt, 2450 MHz radio transmitter. It would cook your brain if you could get the interlock defeated so it would start up with a head in it.

You can have wonderful fun with a green grape in a microwave oven, if you slice it in half the long way. Lay the two half-grapes in the oven flat side up, with the two ends touching each other, and cook it for 10 seconds.

You will observe that sparks fly between the two half-grapes.

The way it works is that each half of the grape is 1/4 wave long, so the two halves form a 1/2 wave dipole antenna. The point where they touch receives a couple kilovolts of electricity, so it arcs over.

--Huffy

5403. Icom ICR7100 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Duffield <duffield@jomax.net>
Message-ID: <930105596.001.102@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 114829

I have a new condition ICR7100 for sale on Ebay. No Blocks! The address is listed below.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=121613610

5404. Re: Question about monitoring Military Satellites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Geoff Smith <bogart@pdq.net>
Message-ID: <FOXb3.678$8F2.18425@news3.ispnews.com>
Source record: 114830

what do the beacons sound like?
Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com> wrote in message
news:376BF6F0.10F244A5@mich.com...
> kb5fas@postoffice.swbell.net wrote:
>
> > A couple of questions regarding the FLTSATCOM & other similar military
> > satellites:
> >
> > (1) Is there a carrier frequency for each one? If not - how do ground
> > troops/stations locate the satellite they wish to use?
>
> Some have beacons, but users know where on the horizon the satellite
> should be.
>
> > (2) What is the output power of most of these satellites?
>
> It's related to the uplink power/dBs of signal received by the
> transponder.
>
> > (3) I am assuming the mode is AM - is this correct?
>
> No.
>
> > (4) Finally.....I'm using an Alinco DJ-X10 and 220 mhz boomer beam (10
> > db gain). Any chance of hearing them with this beam
>
> Yes. But more & more traffic is digital DAMA mode, which tends to blend
> in with the noise floor unless you've got a pretty good signal on the
> transponder. A good portion of the non-secure, analog voice traffic
(such
> as the Joint Task Force - Full Accounting) is that way because it was
> mandated so for political considerations.
>
> Mental Ward spammed ya with his MILCOM advertisement. MILCOM is OK if
> you've got a lot of free time & a broad interest in military comms, but it
> often has a very high signal to noise ratio due to an inept or
non-existent
> list administrator to issue & enforce net discipline.
>
> --
> Tim Tyler
> ttyler@mich.com
> http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/
>

>

5405. Question for trunk tracker owners!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jose from down the way <jets1961@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <377050B3.BAD8EF99@hotmail.com>
Source record: 114831

When using the trunk tracker scanner can you program the 10 or 20 channels to the -45Mhz input frequency? More specifically can the data channel be programmed to order the receiver to the input channel as opposed to the output channel? 866.000-45.000=821.000 If not I guess itï¿½s a good idea to hang onto the old Pro 43!

Best Regards, Joe

5406. FS: RS PRO-2004 $ 200 + Shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB WOLF <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7kpjceehk1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114832
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FS: PRO-2004, MODS INCLUDE; CELLULAR UNBLOCKED, 400 CHANNEL, and
INCREASED SCAN RATE.

- 25 MHz thru 1300 MHz
- AM/FM
- Full Cellular Coverage
- Bright Display
- Excellent Sensitivity
- Very Clean
- Original Operator's Manual Included

BEST OFFER OVER $ 200 + Shipping from 45373.

Please Email me direct at bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net

THANK YOU !

-ERIC

5407. Re: WTB Pro 2006 with OPTO456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <37706ced@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 114833

Rick Williamson <rick.williamson@pss.boeing.com> wrote in message
news:376FE6D2.EE9A8F91@pss.boeing.com...
> Looking for a 2006 in good condition (doesn't have to be new, but must
> be working) with the Optoelectronics 456 board and the documentation if
> possible. I'm thinking in the $350 area. E-mail me at ricanjan@gte.net
> with what you have.
>
> Thanks!

Don't want to burst your bubble, Rick, but if you can find someone willing to part with a 2006 with the full blown 456 board, you're looking closer to $425-450 based on what I've seen them going for. They've even bought over $500 on eBay (if they don't get canceled first!).
Since the full 456 board is no longer being produced, people are either hanging on to them or selling them for a premium price. If you find one for $350, don't take another breath, snag it before someone else does. With bare 2006's going for right at $300 these days, that would be a steal. Good luck in your search!

Dan

5408. RS Canada to not stock scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jose from down the way <jets1961@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <377054CF.80A05326@hotmail.com>
Source record: 114834

I saw a message at http://www.fleetnet.mb.ca/ on this page,
http://www.fleetnet.mb.ca/info/radio_shack.html that says that RS Canada
will no longer stock scanners. Is this true in the States and can anyone
confirm Canada. If true they should fire the retail manager who made the
decision. Are they nuts to give away million in business each year and
we don't EPA here in Canada.

Joe

5409. Uniden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Silverman <scubaguy@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7kplff$bj0$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 114835
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I called Uniden Customer NO-service today after no response to my e-mails to ask who carries their products in my area.

I pressed "0" for operator. My position in the cue started at 7. is slowly went down but at one time it actually went up one number. After 27 minutes, the recording stated that my cue position is zero!!! I kept getting that for one hour before I hung up.

I thought that if someone is first cue, that would mean that they are the next one to be taken care of.

5410. WTB: Handheld Trunk Tracker Scanner or.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas G. Tamura" <ttamura@aloha.net>
Message-ID: <7kq1em$a9r$1@nuhou.aloha.net>
Source record: 114836

I am looking for a used Trunk Tracker Scanner, either a Uniden or Radio Shack model. I just sent my BC235XLT in for servicing and need something until I get my scanner back from repair. I will also consider a high end "traditional" scanner (such as a BC3000XLT or RS PRO-64) or a wide-range handheld scanner/receiver. Something I can use in conjunction with my BC235XLT (when I get it back) would make more sense, but I will take anything I can get at the moment.

Please reply to me privately.

Thanks for your time and hope to hear from some of you real soon.

Mahalo, Thomas

ttamura@aloha.net

5411. Re: HOOD Blimps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Watkins <vwatkins@wt.net>
Message-ID: <7kp597$v9$1@sys14.hou.wt.net>
Source record: 114837

Is this similar to the Goodyear blimp?

Victor

Jeff wrote in message <19990621210807.08639.00001850@ng-fa1.aol.com>...
>if you find or get the frequency of the hood blimp, please let me know...it
>come over my house in peabody daily.
>thanks.
>Jeff
>

5412. New district map Belgium police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: news <pjcarels@zeeland>
Message-ID: <376eb665$0$7271@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 114844

see http://www.geocities.com/Broadway/Wing/6246/scanja5/index.html

5413. why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris_grant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7kmoog$r47$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114845

I am new at this, just picked up a pair of 70cm ADI's. I can hear al the police bands but I can not transmit to them(I know this is illegal, but its just an intelectual question). Does is have to do with CTCSS encoding or is there some other kind of filter they use to prvent this sort of "interference"? DSP? Some sort of chip only police radio's have? Thanks in advance for any info.

radiohead

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5414. PA State Police - Turnpike - New Antennas???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa3ysu@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3770d285.3390899@news.erols.com>
Source record: 114846

Today I noticed that all of the PSP patrol cars (8 that I saw) at the Pocono Barracks on the Turnpike are sporting what appear to be UHF antennas in the center of the rear drivers side trunk. They also had 2 other antennas trunk-center mounted - 1 appeared to be 800 Mhz cellular and the other appeared to be VHF.

All communications seem to still be taking place on the 159.045 repeater between the Pocono Partol cars and both "Harrisburg" and "Network Control".

Anyone know what these antennas may be? Could they be for the UHF repeater at the Lehigh Tunnel?? Or for something else?? Thoughts??

Though this is the first I have noticed them (and I travel this route at least 1-2 times a month), it is possible that they have been there for some time and I just did not see them.

WA3YSU

5415. Re: Radio that can send to all frequencys just lilke a scanner that can receive all frequencys

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris_grant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7kmqhgrnp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114847
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Amen my brother, if I want to broadcast on any band I choose,
reguardless of its legality, thats my fucking decision. And nobody short
of the police have the right to impose limitations on my freedom of
choice. Besides not all broadcasts on police bands are sinister in
nature. What if a burgular cut my phone lines(not too uncommon), and I
need to reach the police? Not everybody has/can afford a cell phone, but
a ham radio operating in the police band could provide that neccessary
communication. Better to be judged by 10 than carried by six. Or
something like that.

Radioheader

In article <7k0r42opk1@nclient3-gui.server.virgin.net>,
"girotec" <girotec@hotmail.com> wrote:
> I thought this was alt.radio.pirate, Chuck Rowe, I am a jerk that
likes to
> get on a frequency without a license. If you dont like reading posts
like
> this then use the uk.radio.amateur newsgroup instead.
> So fuck your dead grandmother you stuck up prick.
> Chuck Rowe <Chuck@la-radio.com> wrote in message
> news:37609f00.115993278@news.uia.net...
> > It's jerks like you that get onto a frequency without license or
> > anyone's permission and think you're cleverly funny. You are a
loser
> > and you should rot in your own feces.
> >
> >
> > On Sat, 22 May 1999 11:21:36 -0700, "Robert"
<reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >This is my question. Is there any radio that can transmit whether
it has
> to
> > > >be modified or not on all frequencies. i.e. 1000Hz to 2Gig. I am
pretty
> > > >sure there is not, in that case would you recommend a radio that
you know
> of
> > > >that lets you transmit on most of the band or just that radio that
you
> know

> > >transmits the most. Hope you understand what I am trying to say...
> Thanks
> > >in advance
> > >
> > >Anyone trying to tell me that this is illegal... I DON'T CARE
> > >neither should you what I do is my business don't get involved in
my
> life
> > >LOSER
> > >
> > >Thanks..
> > >
> > >Robert
> > >
> >
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5416. Re: What happened to the NYPD??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: rocky178@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990621203402.23148.00003473@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 114848

PD is not scrambled or encrypted only for special communications. They are in the process of changing over to to freqs in the 482.mhz range.

Mike KC2CYE

5417. Re: "Family Frequencies" please? thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: none@present.com
Message-ID: <376edb9a.86922273@newsserver.epix.net>
Source record: 114849

On Mon, 21 Jun 1999 19:49:40 -0400, "Charley Harp"
<charp@htonline.com> wrote:

>From SCANNER.FAF (frequently asked frequencies) found at
>
>http://oak.oakland.edu/pub/hamradio/docs/misc/

Thanks Charley!

5418. Re: unblock Pro-91?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <o2Bb3.308$vf.25832@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 114850

In article <376C6C8A.2FAB04D3@bigfoot.com>, Darren Mulligan <dmul3@bigfoot.com> wrote:
>Robert wrote:
>>
>> try burning the epoxy off.
>>
>> reinaga
>> The Schuitema's <hondamx@iserv.net> wrote in message
>> news:PwRa3.3130$jK5.385605@typ11.nn.bcandid.com...
>> > I have taken mine apart and I notice a half-dollar size glob of black
>> epoxy
>> > on the back of the circuit board. Let's say that if I could find a way to
>> > remove this epoxy, would anything under it be able to be changed to allow
>> a
>> > wider span of freqs? They are obviously coveing SOMETHING or it wouldn't
>> be
>> > there!
>> >
>> >
>> > Jon Brundridge wrote in message ...
>> > >do any mods for the Pro-91 exist? I'd like to unblock it but so far I am
>> > >told it in not possible to unblock this radio.
>> > >
>> > >Advice?
>> > >
>> > >
>> > >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>Put a sharp blade underneath it and slowly chip off the epoxy. I got all
>of it off all my radios, all it covers on mine are screws. They feel a
>need to block me out of frequecies I don't want to listen to.

.as you peel or burn off the epoxy make sure you don't disturbe all the tiny
little wires that connect the microchip to the circuirboard. This is the same
cheap way they assemble calculators. They take the actual microchip and epoxy
it to the circuit board then connect it to the traces with very fine wires.
This elimiantes putting the chip in a carrier and then soldering it to the
board or putting it in a socket..the drawback is there is NO repair once it
goes bad, the advantage is it's very cheap. You just toss the circuit card and
put a new one in...of course if you damage it while screwin' with it it's your
loss.......enjoy.....

5419. Re: anybody know freq. for new 14ch.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: rwjeffa@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990621211126.08639.00001855@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 114851

14 channel family radios

462.5625
.5875
.6125
.6375
.6625
.6875
.7125
467.5625
.5875
.6125
.6375
.6625
.6875
.7125

5420. Re: Decoding digital cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7kmp7jcng1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 114852
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article Dave Martindale, you think you said....
>
>"Phil Smalley" <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> writes:
>
>>I've spent some time pondering just how it could be done.I think it would be
>>much easier to use Method One: Modify an existing digital phone. Once you
>>hacked a phone, you could probably then take it to the next step and try to
>>crack the protocol, unless it's encrypted. If it's encrypted than forget it.
>>The target phones reset the encryption keys each time the phone is placed in
>>the cradle. Even if you cracked it by sheer luck, as soon as Joe Public
>>hangs up the phone you get to start all over again. You'll have to use
>>Method Two.
>
>GSM is encrypted over the air. If you're interested in on specific call,
>I suppose you could record it as it happened and then take however long
>you need to break the key for decoding it. But it might take a very long
>time. This isn't practical for casual listening.
>
>However, I think that the other digital cellular standards are not
>encrypted. Once you manage to reprogram a phone, you have everything
>you need to listen. Not that it's going to be easy to decode and then
>modify the phone's operating software - but if you can do it there is
>no further security.
>
> Dave

Wouldn't it have been easier to tell him to get a LIFE instead?
--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5421. Re: HOOD Blimps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: rwjeffa@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990621210807.08639.00001850@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 114853

if you find or get the frequency of the hood blimp, please let me know...it
come over my house in peabody daily.
thanks.
Jeff

5422. Re: "Family Frequencies" please?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: toecapp@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990621223145.07141.00002737@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Source record: 114854

```
Family Radio Service

462.5625----FMRS (FAMILY RADIO SERVICE - CH 1)
462.5875----FMRS (FAMILY RADIO SERVICE - CH 2)
462.6125----FMRS (FAMILY RADIO SERVICE - CH 3)
462.6375----FMRS (FAMILY RADIO SERVICE - CH 4)
462.6625----FMRS (FAMILY RADIO SERVICE - CH 5)
462.6875----FMRS (FAMILY RADIO SERVICE - CH 6)
462.7125----FMRS (FAMILY RADIO SERVICE - CH 7)
467.5625----FMRS (FAMILY RADIO SERVICE - CH 8)
467.5875----FMRS (FAMILY RADIO SERVICE - CH 9)
467.6125----FMRS (FAMILY RADIO SERVICE - CH 10)
467.6375----FMRS (FAMILY RADIO SERVICE - CH 11)
467.6625----FMRS (FAMILY RADIO SERVICE - CH 12)
467.6875----FMRS (FAMILY RADIO SERVICE - CH 13)
467.7125----FMRS (FAMILY RADIO SERVICE - CH 14)
```

5423. Re: FS: Uniden BC3000XLT handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990621223233.22954.00003114@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 114855

Joshua R. Adams <atticusja@aol.com> wrote:
>> I'm selling my Unident BC-3000XLT handheld scanner. (snip)
>I'll take the best offer that I receive by email.

> Mentor <mentor@ccms.net>, wrote:
"This may seem like a lame offer for that nice machine but I'm willing to offer
about $65 for it if you can cover insured shipping."<

Lame is right! Only $65 for a radio that sells for $329, plus a $25 case?
Maybe $165 might be a starting point, but I got $200 for my BC3000XLT when I
sold it a couple months ago.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

5424. WTB : Uniden 760 XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dconti2@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <376ef725.24455406@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114856
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Looking to purchase a Uniden 760 XLT mobile scanner with the CTCSS tone board. If you have one you no longer use, or an extra one you want to get rid of, shoot me an E-Mail...

Dan

5425. Req: Cincinnati Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Lawrence H. Zielasko" <lzielasko@home.com>
Message-ID: <3gDb3.1000$4p2.825@news.rdc1.ne.home.com>
Source record: 114857

I'm heading back home to Cincinnati for a much needed leave. Was wondering
if anyone has an up-to-date listing of frequencies for the Queen City area.

TIA...

5426. antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: lippy4@gte.net
Message-ID: <cvDb3.939$Xr2.47348@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 114858

does anyone know of a antenna from England that fits onto a hanheld scanner
that is a circle or rectangle and about 4 inches.

5427. Re: Screw-on BNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: pat@no-spamrwing.com
Message-ID: <7kn1v9$c4c$1@sparky.wolfe.net>
Source record: 114859

In article <376ED44E.2D563B71@norfolk.infi.net>,
Jack Painter <jfpco@norfolk.infi.net> wrote:
>Does anyone know where to obtain a custom-type connector to adapt any
>standard coax (PL-259, BNC, etc) to a _small_ threaded BNC-type antenna
>mount?

Probably a TNC connector - looks just like a BNC, but its threaded instead
using the bayonet type sleeve to secure it. Most likely a TNC male to
BNC female adaptor is what you are looking for.

Occasionally found on specialized types of gear. I've even seen one
that employed a _reverse_ thread to prevent hooking standard stuff to it.

It could be, if its a REALLY tiny (connector and everything about 1/4 inch
in diameter) an SMC (?) connector - often seen for low power UHF internal
interconnections, etc. in quality/precise type UHF gear.

Check them out at a well-stocked parts dealer.

Hope this helps...

Pat M/HW

>Jack
>
>--
>MZ
>
>

--
#include <std.disclaimer.h> Pat Myrto (pat at rwing dot ORG) Seattle WA
Waiting periods are only a step. Registration is only a step. The prohibition
of firearms is the goal."
- Janet Reno, December 10th, 1993 [Associated Press]

5428. Re: Screw-on BNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: aci6@aol.com.net.htm
Message-ID: <19990622002231.10455.00003487@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 114860

It could be the unit is not type accepted for use with an external antenna.

I suppose you have tried an SMA connector?

You *could* take it to a radio shop to see if they could/would change out the connector for you.

Regards,

Bill

CAUTION: Altered email address. Do not auto reply.
To reply via email, remove everything after .com in the listed address.

5429. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: aci6@aol.com.net.htm
Message-ID: <19990622001900.10455.00003485@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 114861

When you have the proper license, you get to transmit. Otherwise, you don't.

The radios you mentioned are ham rigs??

If so, and you are a ham, you should be able to answer your own question. If so, and you are not a ham, why buy the rigs in the first place? A similar question would apply if they are commercial band rigs . . .

Bill

PS Guys, you do remember the recent thread on this very subject . . . Let's not have a repeat, OK?

CAUTION: Altered email address. Do not auto reply.
To reply via email, remove everything after .com in the listed address.

5430. Re: Morse code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: semtex50@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990622010050.11728.00003386@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 114862

>With the grid even more critical today, more heavily loaded, and more
>solid coverage, outages aren't near what they used to be.

Wasn't there a huge multi-state outage in the west last year? If I remember right it was ONE failure that brought down the power for a huge section of the western United States. It may have been two years ago. In any case I really admire the power crews who go out in in the middle of the night in thunderstorms and ice storms to get the power back on. Always keep the local power crews frequencies in your scanner, lots of action in bad weather and you may even get an idea when your power will come back on.
later.......

5431. Re: How secure are "digital" 900Mhz phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: THE YOUGURT FLAVORED YODA <theoldbbrooklynkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <376F181E.3C6F@ameritech.net>
Source record: 114863

They said that the sound barrier would never be broken. Well wait to
you see what happens when someone cracks the code to digital phones.

Dave Redmond wrote:
>
> scannerhead@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > In article <376763d9.9626944@enews.newsguy.com>,
> > jhalver44@aol.com (Jimmy) wrote:
> > > Can these be listened to? If not, what do you think it would take to
> > listen
> > > in? Will we see scanners capable of it within 5 years?
> > >
> > > I want to buy a new cordless, but really don't want anyone listening
> > in.
> > >
> >
> > Stay away from Panasonic!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Share what you know. Learn what you don't.
>
> Try any of the V-Tech digital 900mzh. I sell the V-Techs and have had very
> good luck with this brand. They are reasonably priced and have a low
> "out-of-box failure" rate. I own their Alpha Platinum and you can't pick it
> up on any scanner. We use the sets in large plant areas that normally have
> a lot of electrical static that usually render a regular cordless useless.
>
> --
>
> Dave Redmond
> Hermitage, Tn
> dredmond@bellsouth.net

5432. Old mac software for ar8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: utahjeep@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990622012725.29712.00001779@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 114864

Hey all. I am interested in any basic program to control my ar 8k with an old Macintosh computer. I mean Old. It need not be fancy. I've tried several windows program: Radio Manager, Wayne Phare's 8K, AOR's official software. None of them are as usefull as they could be. Surely it shouldn't be difficult to make a decent but basic Mac program. Any advice or dirrection is much appreciated. Thanks, Aaron

5433. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376F26D7.799DA88D@usa.net>
Source record: 114865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

How PATHETIC YOU are, GLENN HANSEN, that the only way you know
to argue is to change someone's words. The REAL message I said was:

"I post many on-topic messages. Certainly more than YOU could ever
imagine since YOU have NEVER posted on-topic!!!"

Glenn wrote:

> <jmackey@usa.net> wrote ...
> > I have NEVER posted on-topic!!!
>
> Well duh dumbo!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5434. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376F275A.36114771@usa.net>
Source record: 114866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.scanner

Glemm -
with the way you conduct youself here on usenet with your constant
lies & flames, it is ghard to imagine that you would know
simple technical things such as polarity.

Glenn wrote:

> At least I have the ability to tell polarity on radio and power supply plugs
> and leads. You're a holder of h

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5435. Re: What's on 29.620?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <376F27C1.FAD7AFCD@usa.net>
Source record: 114867

Rick -

Since Glen died, is it actually still on the air?

Last I knew, some one put it BACK to Carrier SQ AFTER I went to the
site & installed a factory PL board. (that was about 2 or 3 years ago)

Rick Larson wrote:

> The repeater on 29.62 is actually at Hinton,Ia. and is subtone access.
> It is a split site set-up with the transmitter being a 100 watt Micor low
> split unit.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5436. FS:UNIDEN BC895XLT(TRUNKTRACKER)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffg1949@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990622031152.17236.00000701@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 114868

FOR SALE UNIDEN BC895XLT NEW IN THE BOX. $200.00 INCLUDES SHIPPING. UPON
RECEIPT OF A U.S. POSTAL MONEY ORDER. FELLAS THIS ONE WAS TOO COMPLICATED FOR
ME TO FIGURE OUT. I GUESS I WONT BE A TRUNKTRACKER AFTER ALL.
JEFF(JEFFG1949@AOL.COM)
MY NYC PAGER # 1-917-571-0844. THANKS

5437. Discriminator Tap Yupi 7000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Biddle <sbiddle@ihug.co.nz>
Message-ID: <376F38C7.261BE9B9@ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 114869

Does anybody out there know where the discriminator point is on a Yupi 7000?

Thanks..

5438. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <rYGb3.1202$M_2.71938@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 114870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.alt.mackey.is.a.moron

But the fact is I do know polarity and you don't. You have smoked repeaters and power supplies by pure ignorance and I haven't.

Your reputation as knowing nothing is intact John-Bowl.

Still seem to be dodging what you do for a living there Bed-pan changer. How come, you say you have nothing to hide.

BAWAHAHAHAHAHA! Keep chirping there Smokey-John-Bowl

How many FCC licenses?

BAwahahahahahahaha!

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:376F275A.36114771@usa.net...
> Glemm -
> with the way you conduct youself here on usenet with your constant
> lies & flames, it is ghard to imagine that you would know
> simple technical things such as polarity.
>
>
> Glenn wrote:
>
> > At least I have the ability to tell polarity on radio and power supply
plugs
> > and leads. You're a holder of h
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

5439. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <80Hb3.1213$M_2.73635@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 114871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote...

>The REAL message I said was: "I could NEVER posted on-topic!!!"

We Know John Bowl, we know.

5440. Re: AOR AR1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alain Star <AlainStar@altern.org>
Message-ID: <7knihdrsd1@news.worldcom.ch>
Source record: 114872
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks to everybody.

5441. Re: AOR 8200 and PC Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: GB <GB@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <7knqbf$7sa$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 114873

> I'm looking at buying an AOR 8200B. Can you
> download freqs and alpha tags with the PC Interface?
> I've read it's a bitch to do it on the keypad.

Yep, software can do it. The best 8200 software is avialable at
http://arc.mypage.org

Forget the freeware from AOR..

5442. Re: Old mac software for ar8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: GB <GB@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <7knqe2$7ui$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 114874

The mac software is not available anymore. Because of decreasing interest
the website was closed.
The best AR8000 can be found at http://arc.mypage.org (for
win3.1/95/98/NT/2000)

Utahjeep <utahjeep@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990622012725.29712.00001779@ng-ci1.aol.com...
> Hey all. I am interested in any basic program to control my ar 8k with
an old
, Aaron

5443. Winflex requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Barker <rbarker@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <a7Kb3.2897$x3.587475@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 114875

I want to hook a computer up using the Winflex software. What are the requirements. It doesn't seem to be working on a 486 4MG RAM notebook computer.

5444. Re: Discriminator Tap Yupi 7000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <b2P3wvByP3b3EwsW@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 114876

In article <376F38C7.261BE9B9@ihug.co.nz>, Steve Biddle
<sbiddle@ihug.co.nz> writes

Hi,

>Does anybody out there know where the discriminator point is on a Yupi
>7000?

On the underside of the RF Board (back half). IC2 (MC3361) Pin 9.

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

5445. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: caladan1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990622073638.22954.00003297@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 114877

>Maybe the San Jose, CA police department is not interested in hearing what
>you
>have to say? I will forward a copy of this post to the San Jose Police Dept
>and
>the FCC in case they have reports of malicious interference. Of course, you
>would never do that would you?

I see that the dumb a##es run aroud in here too. Coming in here from the
rec.radio.cb NG this is a familiar site. I feel for you guys. This kind of
crap is rampant in there.
Well I wish ya all the best of luck with your
jerk
Not the "real" Andy AMR 30

5446. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@are.mental
Message-ID: <37756b3a.1848054@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

It wasn't even a challenge in the first place, toilet boy!

You sure won. Hands down!

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>It sure was tough competing against YOU for that title!
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>>Sorry, numb nuts, you've already got that distinct honor.
>>
>>What are you Nick, the "village idiot"???
>
>Nick wrote:
>
>> It seems to me that jmackey is a moron and needs to quit posting stupid
>> things
>> I've already seen his retarded postings on other news groups and no one
>> wants anything to do with this fool.

5447. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@are.mental
Message-ID: <37706ab0.1710512@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Mon, 21 Jun 1999 18:10:15 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>I post many on-topic messages.

Sorry, retard, Bill Cheek is not the topic of this NG.

5448. Re: Does anyone have a picture of Mackey?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@are.mental
Message-ID: <37716ad2.1744504@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114880

On Mon, 21 Jun 1999 18:11:21 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>But the topic was not about me, it was about Bill Conduit.

Nice attempt at a diversion, mental boy. Hope you are fooling yourself,
because nobody else is swallowing your spew.

5449. Re: What is the latest on BC245xlt Release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Cassetty <rcassetty@stny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <IPKb3.1856$U6.6734@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 114881

If you are going to get one contact national electronics they are taking pre-orders for $209.95 plus $9 s/h. I bought my 235 there and was very happy with the service. I believe you can contact them at sales@national-electronics.com

5450. Re: Req: Cincinnati Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: dickh33923@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990622075852.16122.00002675@ng36.aol.com>
Source record: 114882

http://www.siscom.net/~mmeece/monix.html

>I'm heading back home to Cincinnati for a much needed leave. Was wondering
>if anyone has an up-to-date listing of frequencies for the Queen City area.

5451. Re: Radio Shack Frequency Counter - Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dunn's Chophouse <desiredusernamenetma@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7ko1h2$886$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114883

In article <19990617050555.28986.00000802@ng-cj1.aol.com>,
tandkmoran@aol.com (TandKMoran) wrote:

>
> -It doesn't have a "hold" feature, the frequency will remain displayed
> only as long as the signal is active. Modifications have been
> published to add a (manually activated) hold button to freeze the
> display.

Any direction to such a modification would be appreciated.

Thanks for your informative response to my original message; it's been
saved and printed!

--
-
--

----Dunn's Chophouse

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5452. Minnesota Radio Monitoring Page - Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed <emw28@aol.com>
Message-ID: <7ko0h5nqk1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114884
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Please be advised that many updates have been made to the Minnesota Radio Monitoring Page, including the addition of several local and county police agencies, as well as a very detailed Media section, MSP Airport and Ham Radio sections.

If anyone has an interest in monitoring in Minnesota or will be visiting anytime soon, please feel free to stop by and check the page out. It can be found at http://www.scanfan.com

Thanks very much.

Minnesota Radio Monitoring Page
http://www.scanfan.com

5453. Re: Old mac software for ar8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <L5JDT+Bqb4b3Eweb@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 114885

In article <19990622012725.29712.00001779@ng-ci1.aol.com>, Utahjeep
<utahjeep@aol.com> writes

>Hey all. I am interested in any basic program to control my ar 8k with an old
>Macintosh computer. I mean Old. It need not be fancy. I've tried several

There were two MAC packages for the AR8000 that I am aware of - neither
I'm afraid are available anymore although it might be worth checking
with Howard Bornstein at Design EQ just to see if he has anything left.

MacScan8000
http://www.designeq.com

AR8000 Toolkit
http://www.mich.com/~eddy/works/

Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

5454. Re: PA State Police - Turnpike - New Antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe+@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <376F8E43.8E488911@pitt.edu>
Source record: 114886
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The UHF antenna that you noticed is used for the UHF extender aka mobile repeater. The Troopers now have UHF portables that they use while out of the vehicle, the mobile repeater allows them to use the VHF system through the mobile radio. I don't know what frequency the portables are on however. -Dan- KE3UC

WA3YSU wrote:
>
> Today I noticed that all of the PSP patrol cars (8 that I saw) at the
> Pocono Barracks on the Turnpike are sporting what appear to be UHF
> antennas in the center of the rear drivers side trunk. They also had
> 2 other antennas trunk-center mounted - 1 appeared to be 800 Mhz
> cellular and the other appeared to be VHF.
>
> All communications seem to still be taking place on the 159.045
> repeater between the Pocono Partol cars and both "Harrisburg" and
> "Network Control".
>
> Anyone know what these antennas may be? Could they be for the UHF
> repeater at the Lehigh Tunnel?? Or for something else?? Thoughts??
>
> Though this is the first I have noticed them (and I travel this route
> at least 1-2 times a month), it is possible that they have been there
> for some time and I just did not see them.
>
> WA3YSU

5455. FS PRO-46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <cxMb3.312$y4.891@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 114887

i have a good PRO-46 hand held scanner..covers 800 meg (no cell)
modifiable
with nicads., ac adapter/charger, and copy of manual

85.00 shipped

thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net

5456. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-22 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Spunky The Waffle Iron"
Message-ID: <6368FED3F8E477B6.B011527BF10843EA.D482D23DE384A556@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 114888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.scanner

BILL IS A GUY WHO IS TALKED ABOUT TOO MUCH IN HERE.

5457. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: aci6@aol.com.net.htm
Message-ID: <19990623173947.03836.00004027@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 114640

Great! Another anonymous poster. Just what we need . . .

CAUTION: Altered email address. Do not auto reply.
To reply via email, remove everything after .com in the listed address.

5458. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: none <fullemailbox@domain.com>
Message-ID: <37715917.77EA@domain.com>
Source record: 114641
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

Well I just knew that it had to happen sooner or later.
That is the way it has always been. Somebody builds a security device
and somebody manages to crack it.

matts wrote:
>
> According to yesterdays "The Australian"
> http://technology.news.com.au/techno/4221778.htm
>
> fIlThY <not@home.com> wrote in message
> news:wGPb3.794$D57.5804@ozemail.com.au...
> > Hey is it true that someone has cracked the GSM digital algorithm ?
> >
> > Someone mentioned it to me today and I want some more info.
> >
> > Cheers
> >
> > fIlThY
> >
> >

5459. Re: FS: Yaesu VX-1R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <377149F4.B9419F4D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 114642

The warranty card will not do much good with the MARS/CAP mod done..

John Lewitzky wrote:

> VX-1R as new in original box with warranty card. Includes case,
> cigarette lighter adapter and ADMS software. Radio has MARS/CAP mode
> done and receives the entire 800MHz band. $ 200 includes shipping and
> insurance in the continental U.S.
>
> No COD's, Postal Money Orders only. Thanks.
>
> John
> N3ZQJ

5460. Re: FS: Uniden BC3000XLT handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7krm8sjbr1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114643

Charles Simmont wrote in message <376F1A2D.C140D621@intsvc.com>...
>Does anyone still wonder why people use Ebay to sell their stuff? $65 for a
>3000xlt, come on please!

While I do agree with you, you have to remember what PT Barnum said - can't
blame the guy for trying....

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5461. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: tos-tng@startrekmail.com
Message-ID: <Hadc3.370$dE.14221@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 114644
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

In article <37715917.77EA@domain.com>, fullemailbox@domain.com wrote:
>Well I just knew that it had to happen sooner or later.
>That is the way it has always been. Somebody builds a security device
>and somebody manages to crack it.
>
>
>
>
>matts wrote:
>>
>> According to yesterdays "The Australian"
>> http://technology.news.com.au/techno/4221778.htm
>>
>> fIlThY <not@home.com> wrote in message
>> news:wGPb3.794$D57.5804@ozemail.com.au...
>> > Hey is it true that someone has cracked the GSM digital algorithm ?
>> >
>> > Someone mentioned it to me today and I want some more info.
>> >
>> > Cheers
>> >
>> > fIlThY
>> >
>> >
Thought you'd never ask:

Description of GSM Security Features
The security aspects of GSM are detailed in GSM Recommendations 02.09, "Security Aspects," 02.17, "Subscriber Identity Modules," 03.20, "Security Related Network Functions," and 03.21, "Security Related Algorithms". Security in GSM consists of the following aspects: subscriber identity authentication, subscriber identity confidentiality, signaling data confidentiality, and user data confidentiality. The subscriber is uniquely identified by the International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI). This information, along with the individual subscriber authentication key (Ki), constitutes sensitive identification credentials analogous to the Electronic Serial Number (ESN) in analog systems such as AMPS and TACS. The design of the GSM authentication and encryption schemes is such that this sensitive information is never transmitted over the radio channel. Rather, a challenge-response mechanism is used to perform authentication. The actual conversations are encrypted using a temporary, randomly generated ciphering key (Kc). The MS identifies itself by means of the Temporary Mobile Subscriber Identity (TMSI), which is issued by the network and may be changed periodically (i.e. during hand-offs) for

additional security.

The security mechanisms of GSM are implemented in three different system elements; the Subscriber Identity Module (SIM), the GSM handset or MS, and the GSM network. The SIM contains the IMSI, the individual subscriber authentication key (Ki), the ciphering key generating algorithm (A8), the authentication algorithm (A3), as well as a Personal Identification Number (PIN). The GSM handset contains the ciphering algorithm (A5). The encryption algorithms (A3, A5, A8) are present in the GSM network as well. The Authentication Center (AUC), part of the Operation and Maintenance Subsystem (OMS) of the GSM network, consists of a database of identification and authentication information for subscribers. This information consists of the IMSI, the TMSI, the Location Area Identity (LAI), and the individual subscriber authentication key (Ki) for each user. In order for the authentication and security mechanisms to function, all three elements (SIM, handset, and GSM network) are required. This distribution of security credentials and encryption algorithms provides an additional measure of security both in ensuring the privacy of cellular telephone conversations and in the prevention of cellular telephone fraud.

Authentication
The GSM network authenticates the identity of the subscriber through the use of a challenge-response mechanism. A 128-bit random number (RAND) is sent to the MS. The MS computes the 32-bit signed response (SRES) based on the encryption of the random number (RAND) with the authentication algorithm (A3) using the individual subscriber authentication key (Ki). Upon receiving the signed response (SRES) from the subscriber, the GSM network repeats the calculation to verify the identity of the subscriber. Note that the individual subscriber authentication key (Ki) is never transmitted over the radio channel. It is present in the subscriber's SIM, as well as the AUC, HLR, and VLR databases as previously described. If the received SRES agrees with the calculated value, the MS has been successfully authenticated and may continue. If the values do not match, the connection is terminated and an authentication failure indicated to the MS.

The calculation of the signed response is processed within the SIM. This provides enhanced security, because the confidential subscriber information such as the IMSI or the individual subscriber authentication key (Ki) is never released from the SIM during the authentication process.

Signaling and Data Confidentiality
The SIM contains the ciphering key generating algorithm (A8) which is used to produce the 64-bit ciphering key (Kc). The ciphering key is computed by applying the same random number (RAND) used in the authentication process to the ciphering key generating algorithm (A8) with the individual subscriber authentication key (Ki). As will be shown in later sections, the ciphering key (Kc) is used to encrypt and decrypt the data between the MS and BS. An additional level of security is provided by having the means to change the ciphering key, making the system more resistant to eavesdropping. The ciphering key may be changed at regular intervals as required by network design and security considerations.

Ciphering Key Generation Mechanism
In a similar manner to the authentication process, the computation of the ciphering key (Kc) takes place internally within the SIM. Therefore sensitive information such as the individual subscriber authentication key (Ki) is never revealed by the SIM.

Encrypted voice and data communications between the MS and the network is accomplished through use of the ciphering algorithm A5. Encrypted communication is initiated by a ciphering mode request command from the GSM network. Upon receipt of this command, the mobile station begins encryption and decryption of data using the ciphering algorithm (A5) and the ciphering key (Kc).

Subscriber Identity Confidentiality
To ensure subscriber identity confidentiality, the Temporary Mobile Subscriber Identity (TMSI) is used. The TMSI is sent to the mobile station after the authentication and encryption procedures have taken place. The mobile station responds by confirming reception of the TMSI. The TMSI is valid in the location area in which it was issued. For communications outside the location area, the Location Area Identification (LAI) is necessary in addition to the TMSI.

(and now the good stuff)

Key Length
This section focuses on key length as a figure of merit of an encryption algorithm. Assuming a brute-force search of every possible key is the most efficient method of cracking an encrypted message (a big assumption), Table 1 shown below summarizes how long it would take to decrypt a message with a given key length, assuming a cracking machine capable of one million encryptions per second.

Table 1 Brute-force key search times for various key sizes Key length in bits and the Time required to test all possible keys
32 40 56 64 128

1.19 hours 12.7 days 2,291 years 584,542 years 10.8 x 10^24 years

The time required for a 128-bit key is extremely large; as a basis for comparison the age of the Universe is believed to be 1.6x10^10 years. An example of an algorithm with a 128-bit key is the International Data Encryption Algorithm (IDEA). The key length may alternately be examined by determining the number of hypothetical cracking machines required to decrypt a message in a given period of time.

Table 2 Number of machines required to search a key space in a given time Key length in bits
1 day 1 week 1 year
40 13 2 -
56 836,788 119,132 2,291
64 2.14x10^8 3.04x10^6 584,542
128 3.9x10^27 5.6x10^26 10.8x10^24

A machine capable of testing one million keys per second is possible by today's standards. In considering the strength of an encryption algorithm, the value of the information being protected should be taken into account. It is generally accepted that DES with its 56-bit key will have reached the end of its useful lifetime by the turn of the century for protecting data such as banking transactions. Assuming that the A5 algorithm has an effective key length of 40 bits (instead of 64), it currently provides adequate protection for information with a short lifetime. A common observation is that the "tactical lifetime" of cellular telephone conversations is on the order of weeks.

The technical details of the encryption algorithms used in GSM are closely held secrets. The algorithms were developed in Britain, and cellular telephone manufacturers desiring to implement the encryption technology must agree to non-disclosure and obtain special licenses from the British government. Law enforcement and Intelligence agencies from the U.S., Britain, France, the Netherlands, and other nations are very concerned about the export of encryption technology because of the potential for military application by hostile nations. An additional concern is that the widespread use of encryption technology for cellular telephone communications will interfere with the ability of law enforcement agencies to conduct surveillance on terrorists or organized criminal activity.

A disagreement between cellular telephone manufacturers and the British government centering around export permits for the encryption technology in GSM was settled by a compromise in 1993. Western European nations and a few other specialized markets such as Hong Kong would be allowed to have the GSM encryption technology, in particular the A5/1 algorithm. A weaker version of the algorithm (A5/2) was approved for export to most other countries, including central and eastern European nations. Under the agreement, designated countries such as Russia would not be allowed to receive any functional encryption technology in their GSM systems. Future developments will likely lead to some relaxation of the export restrictions, allowing countries who currently have no GSM cryptographic technology to receive the A5/2 algorithm.

Conclusion
The security mechanisms specified in the GSM standard make it the most secure cellular telecommunications system available. The use of authentication, encryption, and temporary identification numbers ensures the privacy and anonymity of the system's users, as well as safeguarding the system against fraudulent use. Even GSM systems with the A5/2 encryption algorithm, or even with no encryption are inherently more secure than analog systems due to their use of speech coding, digital modulation, and TDMA channel access.

you can find it all at (pictures too).....
http://www3.l0pht.com/~oblivion/blkcrwl/cell/gsm/gsm-secur/gsm-secur.html

5462. Re: WTB: Pro-60 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: gerr1919 <gerr1919@gte.net>
Message-ID: <377168EF.7139@gte.net>
Source record: 114645

I just hate that when somebody uses the word CHEAP. That is one way
to end up getting a bad buy.....Gerr
>
> On 23 Jun 1999 17:51:23 GMT, birdfan1@aol.com (Bird fan1) wrote:
>
> >I'm looking for a cheap Pro-60 scanner in good condition.
> >
> >
> If it is in good condition don't expect it to be too cheap.
>
> **********
> delete "nospam" for e-mail reply
> **********

5463. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mcinnis@babe01.austin.ibm.com
Message-ID: <7krgjt$1bsc$1@ausnews.austin.ibm.com>
Source record: 114646

In article <37703fe2.5216075@genenews.acay.com.au>, barryo@fastlink.com.au (Barry O'Grady) writes:
|> chris_grant@my-deja.com wrote:
|>
|> :I am new at this, just picked up a pair of 70cm ADI's. I can hear al the
|> :police bands but I can not transmit to them(I know this is illegal, but
|> :its just an intelectual question). Does is have to do with CTCSS
|> :encoding or is there some other kind of filter they use to prvent this
|> :sort of "interference"? DSP? Some sort of chip only police radio's have?
|> :Thanks in advance for any info.
|>
|> Many 70cm Tranceivers have a wide band receiver but for obvious reasons
|> are disabled from transmitting outside the amateur band.
|>
|> Barry
|> ==========
|> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
|> Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

Also, even apart from questions of legality, proper usage, etc., it's easier to build a receiver that works on a wide frequency range than to build a transmitter that works on a wide frequency range. This is especially true in the VHF/UHF range and for FM.

5464. Re: Bethlehem City, Pa.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sharon & Kevin <sharkev@enter.net>
Message-ID: <37716e0c@news3.enter.net>
Source record: 114647

This is why I luv this group!!!!!!!~~~~~~NEBIN IN BETHLEHEM~~~~~~~~~~~~
SANK U MAN~~~~~~~~~~
Smiley <martyrip@ptd.net> wrote in message news:3770063B.4277@ptd.net...
> Looking fror Bethlehem City update.
>
> @ @ N3PTU
> ^ 73's From Smiley
> ___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net
>

> Last updated: 12/10/98
> System: Bethlehem, City of
> County: Northampton
> Type: II
> Use: Bethlehem Police, Fire, EMS & Public Works Departments
> Old Site
> Freq Voice Control
> 855.9625 X X
> 854.9875 X X
> 854.6625 X X
> 852.5875
> 852.1375
>
> New Site
> Freq Voice Control
> 868.8000 x x
> 868.5500 x x
> 867.8250 x x
> 867.0750 x x
> 866.8000
> 866.1375
> Use the 860.xxxx MHz frequencies to hear all communications.
>
> For best results program these frequencies into your scanner from
> highest to lowest.
>
> Bethlehem City 800 Mhz National Conventional Frequencies
> Freq Chan Use Tone
> 866.0125 R CALLING National Calling Repeater 156.7
> 866.5125 R TAC-1 National TAC-1 Repeater 156.7
> 867.0125 R TAC-2 National TAC-2 Repeater 156.7
> 867.5125 R TAC-3 National TAC-3 Repeater 156.7

> 868.0125 R TAC-4 National TAC-4 Repeater 156.7
>
> TRUNKTRACKER CODES
> Talkgroup ID #'s:
> MOTO UNIDEN User
> TG ID
> 145 - Fire Dept. Dispatch
> 00B 176 - Bethlehem Landfill
> 011 272 - Public Works
> 019 400 - Building Inspectors
> 528 - Municipal golf course
> 560 - Ambulance/Hospital Communications - St. Luke's Hospital
> 592 - Ambulance/Hospital Communications - Muhlenberg Hospital
Center
> 027 624 - EMS Dispatch
> 02B 688 - Special Events 2 (used mainly by the police dept.)
> 02D 720 - Police NCIC (License plate checks, Wants/Warrants checks,
etc.)
> 02F 752 - EMS Administration
> 033 816 - Moravian College Campus Security 1
> 848 - Moravian College Campus Security 2
> 037 880 - Police TAC
> 03D 976 - Fireground
> 978 - Fireground (Radio Panic trip)
> 041 1040 - Fire Hazmat
> 1104 - Fire Investigations
> 049 1168 - Fire Special Ops.
> 04B 1200 - Parking Authority Dispatch
> 04D 1232 - Police Dispatch
> 04F 1264 - Police Special Ops.
> 151 1296 - Police Investigations
> 053 1328 - Special Events 1
> 059 1424 - Police Traffic
> 1488 - ?????????????
> 06B 1712 - Parking Authority Maint
> 06D 1744 - Lehigh University Campus Security
> 073 1840 - Lehigh University Campus Security TAC
> 07B 1968 - Musikfest to city police
> 07F 2032 - Bethlehem Area School District Security 1
> 083 2096 - Bethlehem Area School District Security 2
> 389 16016 - Streets Dept.
> 7D1 32016 - Water Dept.
> 085 48016 - Sewer Dept.
> 64016 - ?????????
> ---
--
>
> What to listen for
> Here is some information that might be useful while listening to
> Bethlehem's trunked radio system.

>
> EMS units are dispatched on either an alpha, bravo, charlie, or delta
> response to a call. A delta response is the most rapid response, an alpha
> response is the most cautious.
>
> Moravian College campus security, Lehigh University campus security,
> and Bethlehem Area School District security all use Bethlehem's trunked
> radio system.
>
> Here is a list of unit numbers you will hear, and what department uses
> them:
> 100's and 200's - Police Department (Unit 200 is the Comm. Center)
> 100's, 200's, 300's - Fire Department
> 400's - Parking Authority
> 500's - Moravian College Campus Security
> 700's - Paramedics
> 800's - Lehigh University Campus Security
> 900's - Bethlehem Area School District Security
> ---
>
> Bethlehem's Radio Codes
> Here is a list of radio codes used in Bethlehem.
> Last Updated: 7/19/98
>
> 10-1 - End of shift/Out of service
> 10-2 - Clear
> 10-3 - On scene / Busy
> 10-4 - Message received / "Yes"
> 10-6 - Responding (fire dept.)
> 10-7 - On scene (fire dept.)
> 10-8 - Fire Out (fire dept.)
> 10-9 - En route to base
> 10-10 - Repeat last transmission
> 10-11 - Registration check
> 10-12 - Call _______ by phone
> 10-13 - Fire Scene Secured
> 10-18 - False Alarm
> 10-20 - Give current location
> 10-33 - Correct Time
> 10-39 - Cancel Message
> 10-42 - Prepare to copy information
> 10-44 - Going to Gas Pump
> 10-45a - Airport Alert
> 10-45b - Airport Bomb alert
> 10-55 - Clear the air
> 10-99 - Officer Needs Assistance
>
> Code Index of Offenses
>
> 0100 - Criminal Homicide

> 0200 - Rape
> 0300 - Robbery
> 0400 - Assault
> 0500 - Burglary
> 0600 - Larceny
> 0700 - Auto Theft
> 0800 - Other Assaults
> 0900 - Arson and Bombing
> 1000 - Forgery and Counterfeiting
> 1100 - Fraud
> 1200 - Embezzlement
> 1300 - Stolen Property
> 1400 - Malicious Mischief
> 1500 - Weapons (Carrying & Possession)
> 1600 - Prostitution
> 1700 - Sex Offense
> 1800 - Narcotic Drug Law Violations
> 1900 - Gambling
> 2000 - Offenses Against Family & Children
> 2100 - Driving Under Influence
> 2200 - Liquor Law Violations
> 2300 - Drunkenness
> 2400 - Disorderly conduct
> 2500 - Vagrancy
> 2600 - Other Offenses
>
> Code Index of Incidents
>
> 01 - Alarm, Bank 32 - Missing Person
> 02 - Alarm, Business 33 - Noise complaint
> 03 - Ambulance Call 34 - Open Door (Business)
> 04 - Assist Person 35 - Open Door (Other)
> 05 - BB Guns 36 - Parking Complaint
> 06 - Bicycle 37 - Property Found
> 07 - Mini-Bikes 38 - Property Lost
> 08 - Bicycles (Other) 39 - Runaway
> 09 - Cave In-Holes 40 - Sick Person
> 10 - Check Building 41 - Speeding
> 11 - Check Person 42 - Suicide Attempted
> 12 - Check Vehicles 43 - Suicide
> 13 - Check Youths 44 - Suspicious Act
> 14 - Death (Accidental) 45 - Suspicious Person
> 15 - Death (Sudden) 46 - Transport
> 16 - Dog Bites 47 - Vehicle Abandoned
> 17 - Dog (Other) 48 - Vehicle Disabled
> 18 - Animal (Other) 49 - Vehicle Towed
> 19 - Domestic Problems 50 - Warrant - Bench
> 20 - Escape (Hospital) 51 - Warrant - Criminal
> 21 - Escape (Prison) 52 - Warrant - Traffic
> 22 - Escort (Funeral) 53 - Warrant - AWOL

> 23 - Escort (Vehicle) 54 - Wire Down
> 24 - Escort (Other) 55 - Truancy
> 25 - Fire Call 56 - Accident
> 26 - Gas Leak 57 - Accident with Injury
> 27 - Health Hazard 58 - Attempt to Serve Warrant
> 28 - Injured Person 59 - Bomb/ Suspicious Package Found
> 29 - Juvenile Referral 60 - DUI Withdrawal
> 30 - Lost Person 61 - Drought Violation
> 31 - Miscellaneous 62 - Assist to Unlock Vehicle
> 63 - License Seizure
>

5465. FS PRO 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: V <"FD NYE76L22"@access1.net>
Message-ID: <377100b8@news.access1.net>
Source record: 114648

Works fine,with extra antenna,power supply and a set of nicads.
125.00 ups included.
fdnye76L22@access1.net

5466. Re: What is the latest on BC245xlt Release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990623195453.08072.00000561@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 114649

I was told by the Bearcat Radio club that it will be out at the end of June.

==========
Dwayne

I didn't do it!
Nobody seen me do it!
You can't prove anything!

5467. Re: Airnav 3.1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <KHec3.2232$U6.7959@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 114650

EAVSDROPPER wrote in message ...
>dont reccomend it anyway, just get wacars it does the map plotting aswell
>and takes up a LOT less space..the database functions in airnav are really
>only of use for real "enthusiasts"

Hmm. My experience with WACARS has been very disappointing. So far, it has never decoded a single complete transmission, and the map has never shown anything at all. I've left the program running for hours at a time and gotten nothing but garbage on the screen. KRACARS, on the other hand, has been about 90% reliable, although it has none of the fancy functions of WACARS. I'm running both on a 233 MHz Pentium with lots of RAM, so I should have enough power, especially when WACARS is the only application running.

5468. WTB - ICOM R-10 unblocked

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike wentworth <mikewent@inetw.net>
Message-ID: <vHfc3.767$df5.375539@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 114651

Hello,
I'm looking to purchase an ICOM R-10 unblocked in mint condition.
If anyone has one they want to sell please let me know.
Thanks, Mike

5469. Re: Need access to police databases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim@usa.net
Message-ID: <3771BE2D.2604@usa.net>
Source record: 114652

Frankly David, you have no business in there. The information that can be obtained is "CONFIDENTIAL" and that's just what is means. That's like me digging to your wallet and reading your social security number and the host of other "CONFIDENTIAL" information about YOU. For Get It.....

David Pinero wrote:
>
> Hi! I am developing a site that showcases "live incident" pages in the
> framework of the Open Broadcasting campaign (see URL in sig). These
> are pages which update the community on live incidents ranging from
> traffic conditions to full-blown chit-chat between police MDTs (which
> California posts on the web). I believe these sites are excellent
> examples of compensantory solutions for public safety agencies that
> close their usual police communications off from the public by
> switching to digital radio systems. I want to spotlight such sites to
> model them, and hopefully spur the imaginations of tech-whizzes at
> other police departments who stumble on it.
>
> Please respond via e-mail.
>
> To get an idea of the site URLs I'm looking for, visit the initial
> entries at:
>
> http://home.tampabay.rr.com/mercury/teletype/
>
> Thanks everyone!
>
> Dave
> --
> ==========
> Open Broadcasting Website
> http://members.aol.com/truth4000/page1.htm
> ==========
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5470. Re: Need access to police databases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <NOgc3.2312$D5.8659@newsr1.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 114653

Jim@usa.net wrote in message <3771BE2D.2604@usa.net>...
>Frankly David, you have no business in there. The information that can
>be obtained is "CONFIDENTIAL" and that's just what is means. That's
>like me digging to your wallet and reading your social security number
>and the host of other "CONFIDENTIAL" information about YOU. For Get
>It.......

Did you look at the site? Various police agencies are deliberately placing
their CAD and radio traffic online for public consumption. Mr. Pinero is
not talking about "hacking" into confidential police information.

5471. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <37719F16.D0BDF10@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114654

He is a San Diego resident who has been a great contributer to the scanning/radio hobby.

(This comment is about the FED's) again the Feds have forgot that it is a Government by the people for the people,....NOT a government by the Government for the government agains't the people. Just my opinion. Less government is the best government. The constitution was written to keep the government limited on it's ability to hold back it's people so they stay the hell out of our way! They keep forgeting that.

Joseph Gilmore wrote:

> I am new to scanning and have been noticing a lot of postings on Bill
> Cheek, who is he and is he any importance to me or the enjoyment of
> scanning?

5472. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <37719FD4.74F48AA7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

yeah...I would rather raise money for his defense fund...than our commander and cheat, idiotic, lying, deceitfull president. Just wanted to add this comment. At least the Fed's haven't taken away that right yet.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

> BAYCITVIDGRP wrote:
>
> > >On Tue, 15 Jun 1999 18:37:04 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> > >>Bill Cheek is a man who lives near San Diego.
> > >
> > why not just make known how you
> > are biased against bill cheek....
>
> I am not giased against Bill Cheek, infact I happen to like the
> man.Remember, I was one of FIRST to suggest we raise money for his
> defense fund when he ran into recent legal problems with the
> feds!
>
> > boy did your hate for bill
> > speak loudly here
>
> Tell me, what exactly did I say "here" that would show a hate for Bill?
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5473. Re: WANTED: Oregon Search & Rescue Tunking ID's -or- frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3771A09E.2AB7107D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114656

Yes it is 30160. To check out any other id's go to this page
http://www.trunktracker.com/states/data8.html

Joseph Gilmore wrote:

> I am looking for Oregon's Search and rescue Trunking Id's and
> frequencies. Can anyone tell me them or where to find them?

5474. Kyberz/Wrights Lake Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3771A268.B7B1297B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114657

I am going to Wrights Lake, which is 10 Miles North East from Kyberz California in a week. I am interested in detailed Forest , Police, and Fire freqs for that area. And if you have them please put in Pls and transmit into the repeaters.

Trevor Harris SDSO RACES

5475. Re: Screw-on BNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Donnell <sdonnell@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <3771A644.570B424A@nh.ultranet.com>
Source record: 114658

Jack Painter wrote:

> Does anyone know where to obtain a custom-type connector to adapt any
> standard coax (PL-259, BNC, etc) to a _small_ threaded BNC-type antenna
> mount?

Is this connector actually a coaxial design?? From your description it sounds like it might be a TNC(Threaded Navy(?) Connector) These are quite common in Cell phones and some other Commercial radios(including Marine radios?) They are mechacanically more reliable than BNCs. If this is the case, you can get TNC/BNC adaptors at RS and other places.

Steve

WA1YKL

5476. FS: PRO-2004 $ 200 + Shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB WOLF <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7ks8qudad1@fir.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114659
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FS: PRO-2004,

MODS INCLUDE; CELLULAR UNBLOCKED, TOTAL 400 CHANNELS, and INCREASED
SCAN RATE.

- 25 MHz thru 1300 MHz
- AM/FM
- Full Cellular Coverage
- Bright Display
- Excellent Sensitivity
- Very Clean
- Original Operator's Manual Included

$ 200 + Shipping from 45373.

Please Email me direct at bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net

THANK YOU !

-ERIC

5477. Re: What is the latest on BC245xlt Release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: DAVE MAYFIELD <kb9bn@revealed.net>
Message-ID: <37719D55.22E987AA@revealed.net>
Source record: 114660

I ment that $12.00 is a rip off for shipping, at best the shipping will cost them $4.00

Alx4443 wrote:

> JAMES QUOTE:
> >Their price is so far the best I have seen $220.00 free shipping.
> >Grove is $229.00 plus $12.00 shipping (Rip Off) and Scanner World is going
> >to sell them for $219.00 plus $10.00 shipping. Global is taking orders...."
>
> What a stupid & harsh thing to say, that Grove is a "rip off" on this
> item.(I don't work there & never bought from them) Let me get this
> straight....You seemed to have researched the item well , and found that Grove
> is within 21 bucks of the lowest price you found in the entire world on this
> "over $220" item, and that qualifies as a "rip-off"???? Ten to 21bucks off
> the 2 best prices in the world is a "RIP OFF"?? Give me a break.

5478. Best price on BC-245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: DAVE MAYFIELD <kb9bn@revealed.net>
Message-ID: <37719ECD.27E06@revealed.net>
Source record: 114661

I spent two hours on the phone today. The best price I found so far was from Global Wireless, they are taking pre-orders at $220.00 FREE shipping. They also said they would match anyones price. Here is what I found, after several calls only three dealers even could give me a price...

Grove $229.95 plus $12.00 shipping (Seems like a heck of a lot for shipping)
Scanner World $219.95 plus $10.00 shipping (again this is a lot for shipping)
Global Wireless $220.00 FREE shipping

Their web page is at http://www.gwltd.com/gw phone is 309-762-6010
I bought my BC-235 from them and also several parts for my C-band satellite system, they have always been great to work with....

73 Jim KB9BNR

5479. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <npic3.1452$3C3.52878@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 114662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote what he does best......

Thanks for the public confession John-Bowl.

John says he .....

(snip)
> keeps bringing up his name & pposts NOTHING but off-topic messages.

Yes you do John, constantly.

(snip)
> NEVER made an on-topic post on this NG yet!! NEVER!!!!

Yes, most all know that after an average of 350 posts a month for over 3 years.

(snip)
> ENJOY being publicly slammed???

Duh? Your only friends are the ones you make up and have AOL or DeJaNews accounts.

(snip)
>always have to try to get the last word in

With the number of posts (300+ a month) you can't help it.

Now that you have told your sorry story AGAIN....post something about scanners. Try!

5480. Re: world of oulaws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: CeeJay <schatten21@pdq.net>
Message-ID: <_lic3.803$l3.31219@news12.ispnews.com>
Source record: 114663

This series does not allow radios in cars during any event.

robert brown wrote in message <7km688$3ee$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>...
>Does any one any Woo driver radio frequency. If you do please post them or
>e-mail them to me
>
>

5481. FS: Icom R-1 wideband miniature receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David B. Rogers" <dr7zyq@nidlink.com>
Message-ID: <3771B8D9.31AB@nidlink.com>
Source record: 114664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Icom R-1 wide band (100kHz to 1300MHz) receiver

Modes: AM, narrow FM, wideband FM

100 memories

Selectable tuning steps: .5, 5, 8, 9, 12.5, 20, 25, 30, 50 kHz

Includes the BP-90 battery pack which takes nicads or alkalines, belt clip, case which fits with BP-90, antenna, a.c. adapter, manual and original box.

Excellent condition.

$200.00 plus $9.00 USPS priority insured shipping to ConUS.

Thanks,

David, WA7ZYQ

208-245-2070

5482. FS: Icom R-1 wideband miniature receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David B. Rogers" <dr7zyq@nidlink.com>
Message-ID: <3771B9C0.64B1@nidlink.com>
Source record: 114665

Icom R-1 wide band (100kHz to 1300MHz) receiver

Modes: AM, narrow FM, wideband FM

100 memories

Selectable tuning steps: .5, 5, 8, 9, 12.5, 20, 25, 30, 50 kHz

Includes the BP-90 battery pack which takes nicads or alkalines, belt clip, case which fits with BP-90, antenna, a.c. adapter, manual and original box.

Excellent condition.

$200.00 plus $9.00 USPS priority insured shipping to ConUS.

Thanks,

David, WA7ZYQ

208-245-2070

5483. Re: IC-R1 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Denny Alford <kdalford@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3771AFBD.C981277D@mindspring.com>
Source record: 114666

Contact Icom America's web site. There is a support number there, and they are quite helpful with providing manuals (or "copies" if the originals are out of print).

http://www.icomamerica.com/

Prices are nominal.

Philrobj wrote:

> I recently bought a used IC-R1. Does anybody know where I can find a copy of
> the owner's manual? I'm too cheap to buy one from Icom, and the controls are
> kind of cryptic/hard to use. An e-mail would be appreciated. Thanks
> Tigers87@aol.com

5484. Carbon County, Pa.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Smiley <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <377026F0.77B6@ptd.net>
Source record: 114740

Looking to ID unit 51 and 52 in Carbon County.

@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's From Smiley
___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

CARBON COUNTY TELE-COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION
CARBON COUNTY POLICE
F-1 154.800R/156.03 127.3 KNIK451 POLICE
F-2 154.965 127.3 WNXD234 POLICE CAR TO CAR
F-3 155.730 123.0 KYU434 POLICE

CARBON COUNTY POLICE UNITS
1-2 LEHIGHTON 70-72 KIDDEN TWP.
11 WEISSPORT 80-83 JIM THORPE
20-21 EAST PENN TWP. 90-91 NESQUEHONING
30-31 MAHONING TWP. 101-102 LANSFORD
40-41 FRANKLING TWP. 103-104 SUMMIT HILL
51-52 ?????????????????? 176-181 TAMAQUA (Schuylkill Co)
57-58 COALDALE (Schuylkill Co.) 971-972 WEATHERY
60-62 PALMERTON 991-992 BEAVER MEDOWS

NEW UHF FIRE RADIO SYSTEM FREQUENCIES
DESCRIPTION MOB. & PL BASE & PL
F-1 FIRE DISPATCH 465.1750 127.3 460.1750 127.3
F-2 P.E.M.A.R.S. 458.5250 136.5 453.5250 136.5
F-3 CARBON GOV'T 458.7250 118.8 453.7250 103.5
F-4 CARBON TRANSPORTATION 457.7750 118.8 452.7750 103.5
F-5 CARBON FIRE GROUND NORTH 458.5875 127.3 458.5975 127.3
F-6 CARBON FIRE GROUND CENTRAL 453.5875 127.3 453.5875 127.3
F-7 CARBON FIRE GROUND SOUTH 458.1000 127.3 458.1000 127.3
F-8 CARBON SPLINTER - 1 453.8875 127.3 453.8875 127.3
F-9 CARBON SPLINTER - 2 458.8875 127.3 458.8875 127.3
F-10 CARBON SPLINTER - 3 453.6875 127.3 453.6875 127.3
F-11 NESQUEHONING SPLINTER - 4 453.1875 127.3 453.1875 127.3
F-12 NESQUEHONING SPLINTER - 5 453.6675 127.3 453.6675 127.3
CARBON COUNTY PAGING CH. 453.1000

CARBON CO. FIRE ZONES

FIRE GROUND - SOUTH 458.1000 127.3
DISTRICT 1 - AQUASHICOLA
OFFICERS 101,102,103,104,105,106,107,108,109,110
MOBILES 111,131,132,141,151

PORTABLES 191,192,193,194,195

DISTRICT 2 - BOWMANSTOWN
OFFICERS 201,202,203,204,205,206,207,208,209,210
MOBILES 211,231
PORTABLES 291,292,293,294,295

DISTRICT 3 - EAST PENN
OFFICERS 301,302,303,304,305,306,307,308,309,310
MOBILES 314,328,336,345,357
PORTABLES 391,392,393,394,395

DISTRICT 4 -FRANKLIN
OFFICERS 401,402,403,404,405,406,407,408,409,410
MOBILES 411,431,441,442
PORTABLES 491,492,493,494,495

DISTRICT 5 - LEHIGHTON
OFFICERS 501,502,503,504,505,506,507,508,509,510
MOBILES 512,514,523,551,575
AMBULANCE 561,562,563,564,565,566,568 Captain,569 Co-Captain
PORTABLES 591,592,593,594,595

DISTRICT 6 - MAHONING
OFFICERS 601,602,603,604,605,606,607,608,609,610
MOBILES 611,615,631,632,641,642
AMBULANCE 663,664
PORTABLES 691,692,693,694,695

DISTRICT 7 - PALMERTON
OFFICERS 701,702,703,704,705,706,707,708,709,710
MOBILES 711,712,713,714,721,741,742
AMBULANCE 761,762,764
PORTABLES 791,792,793,794,795

DISTRICT 8 - PARRYVILLE
OFFICERS 801,802,803,804,805,806,807,808,809,810
MOBILES 814,831
PORTABLES 891,892,893,894,895

DISTRICT 9 - TRACHSVILLE
OFFICERS 901,902,903,904,905,906,907,908,909,910
MOBILES 911,912,932,941,942,951
PORTABLES 991,992,993,994,995

FIRE GROUND - CENTRAL 453.5875 127.3PL
DISTRICT 11 - JIM THORPE
OFFICERS 1101,1102,1103,1104,1105,1106,1107,1108,1109,1110
MOBILES 1112,1114,1115,1125,1134,1151,1152
AMBULANCE 1161,1162
PORTABLES 1191,1192,1193,1194,1195

DISTRICT 12 - PENN FOREST
OFFICERS 1201,1202,1203,1204,1205,1206,1207,1208,1209,1210
MOBILES 1211,1216,1231,1236,1245,1251
AMBULANCE 1262
PORTABLES 1291,1292,1293,1294,1295

DISTRICT 13 - NESQUEHONING
OFFICERS 1301,1302,1303,1304,1305,1306,1307,1308,1309
MOBILES 1310,1312,1313,1321,1344,1351
AMBULANCE 1361,1362
PORTABLES 1391,1392,1393,1394,1395

DISTRICT 14 - SUMMIT HILL
OFFICERS 1401,1402,1403,1404,1405,1406,1407,1408,1409,1410
MOBILES 1411,1421,1431,1441,1451
PORTABLES 1491,1492,1493,1494,1495

DISTRICT 15 - LANSFORD
OFFICERS 1501,1502,1503,1504,1505,1506,1507,1508,1509
MOBILES 1510,1512,1521,1541,1551
AMBULANCE 1561,1562,1563,1564 Mobile Support Unit
PORTABLES 1591,1592,1593,1594,1595

DISTRICT 16 - ALBRIGHTSVILLE
OFFICERS 1601,1602,1603,1604,1605,1606,1607,1608,1609
MOBILES 1611,1632,1641
PORTABLES 1691,1692,1693,1694,1695

DISTRICT 17 - LAKE HARMONY
OFFICERS 1701,1702,1703,1704,1705,1706,1707,1708,1709,1710
MOBILES 1712,1713,1771
AMBULANCE 1761,1762
PORTABLES 1791,1792,1793,1794,1795

FIRE GROUND - NORTH 458.5875 127.3PL
DISTRICT 18 - KIDDER
OFFICERS 1801,1802,1803,1804,1805,1806,1807,1808,1809,1810
MOBILES 1811,1831,1832
PORTABLES 1891,1892,1893,1894,1895

DISTRICT 19 - LEHIGH/LAUSANNE
OFFICERS 1901,1902,1903,1904,1905,1906,1907,1908,1909,1910
MOBILES 1911,1912,1931,1951
PORTABLES 1991,1992,1993,1994,1995

DISTRICT 20 - WEATHERLY
OFFICERS 2001,2002,2003,2004,2005,2006,2007,2008,2009,2010
MOBILES 2011,2012,2013,2031,2051
AMBULANCE 2063,2064
PORTABLES 2091,2092,2093,2094,2095

DISTRICT 21 - BEAVER MEADOWS
OFFICERS 2101,2102,2103,2104,2105,2106,2107,2108,2109,2110
MOBILES 2111,2112

PORTABLES 2191,2192,2193,2194,2195

DISTRICT 29 - TRESCKOW
OFFICERS 2901,2902,2903,2904,2905,2906,2907,2908,2909,2910
MOBILES 2911,2931
PORTABLES 2991,2992,2993,2994,2995

Numbering System for Fire:
00-09-Chiefs and/or Offices
10-19-Engines
20-29-Aerials
30-39-Tankers
40-49-Brush Trucks
50-59-Rescues
60-69-Ambulances
70-79-Specialty Pieces
80-89-Fire Police
90-99-??????????

01 UNITS ARE FIRE CHIEFS NUNBER IN 60'S ARE AMB. UNITS
LEHIGHTON - 501 Fire Chief Lansford -1561 Amb.

155.205 156.7 Carbon Co. E.M.S.
155.340 156.7 Carbon Co. E.M.S.

POLICE CODES EFFECTIVE 12/01/82
10-1 SIGNAL WEAK - RECEIVING POORLY 10-50 STRUCTURE FIRE
10-2 SIGNAL GOOD - RECEIVING WELL 10-51 BRUSH FIRE
10-3 STOP TRANSMITTING - EXCEPT EMERENCY 10-52 CAR OR FLAMABLE FIRE
PEOPLE PRESENT 10-60 BURGLARY
10-4 OKAY 10-61 ROBBERY
10-5 RELAY TO _____ 10-62 SHOPLIFTING OR THEFT
10-6 BUSY 10-63 BANK OR AUTOMATIC ALARM
10-7 OUT OF SERVICE 10-64 VANDALISM
10-8 IN SERVICE 10-65 ASSAULT
10-9 REPEAT MESSAGE 10-66 BOMB THREAT
10-12 VISITORS PRESENT 10-67 PERSON WITH WEAPON
10-15 PRISONER IN CUSTODY 10-68 STOLEN VEHICLE
10-16 PICK UP PRISONER 10-70 DOG CASE
10-17 PICK UP _____ 10-71 DEAD ANIMAL IN ROAD
10-19 RETUNN TO YOUR STATION 10-72 FIGHT IN PROGRESS
10-20 WHAT IS YOUR LOCATION 10-73 REPORT OF PROWLER
10-21 CALL BY PHONE 10-74 DOMESTIC PROBLEM
10-22 DISREGARD - CANCELL 10-75 SUICIDE ATTEMPT
10-23 STAND - BY 10-76 CALL CORONER
10-25 CONTACT - REPORT OR MEET _____ 10-77 CORPSE - LOCATION
10-26 GENERAL BROADCAST 10-78 MISSING PERSON
10-27 OPERATOR'S LICENSE INFORMATION 10-79 DRUNK PERSON
10-28 OWERSHIP REGISTRATION CHECK 10-80 BUILDING CHECK
10-29 RECORDS - WANTED CHECK 10-81 STOPPING VEHICLE
10-30 DANGER - CAUTION 10-82 IN PURSUIT

10-33 HELP QUICK - ASSIST OFFICER 10-83 ASSISTING MOTORIST
10-36 TIME CHECK 10-84 TRAFFIC LIGHT PROBLEM
10-37 BREATHILIZER REQUEST 10-86 ASSIST
OFFICE ON DUTY 10-87 ESCORT
10-41 ON DUTY 10-88 MENTAL CASE
10-42 OFF DUTY 10-89 ____IS CALLING YOU
10-43 NATIONAL WEATER SERVICE BROADCAST 10-90 ARRIVED AT SCENE
10-44 HUMAN - HIT AND RUN 10-91 CALL HOME
10-45 AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENT 10-95 ODSCENE OR THREATENING CALL
10-46 AUTOMOBILE HIT AND RUN 10-97 RECEPTION OR RADIO CHECK
10-47 SEND AMBULANCE 10-98 REPORT TO DISPATCHER
10-48 SEND WRECKER
10-49 HOLDING SUSPECT - RUSH REPLY

CARBON COUNTY EMS CODES
CODE INCIDENT CODE INCIDENT
1 CARDIAC 12 UNKNOWN PROBLEM
2 STROKE 13 AT ONCE TRANSPORT
3 MATERNITY 14 INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT
4 FRACTURE 15 GUN SHOT
5 OVERDOSE 16 ELECTRICAL SHOCK
6 RESPIRATORY 17 UNCONSCIOUS
7 CODE BLUE 18 DROWNING
8 MENTAL PATIENT 19 POISONING
9 DIABETIC 20 LACERATION
10 EPILEPTIC 21 BURN
11 HEMMORRHAGE 22 HEAD INJURY
(A) ADULT (C) CHILD

Send addition or changes
To martyrip@ptdprolog.net

5485. FS Pro-43 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank <frankl@home.com>
Message-ID: <37703CF3.63E74AF6@home.com>
Source record: 114741

RS Pro-43 scaner (30-54MHz, 118-194MHz, 220-512MHz,
806-999.9875MHz), Tandy Manual and 2 AA battery packs.

Sell for $205 shipped continental US.
Payment in advance by U.S.Postal Service Money Order.
Contact Frank, KE6WOE, 760-941-9174, or
email frankL@home.com with questions. No trades please.

5486. Ebay got ya down ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990622220851.08650.00002586@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 114742

Tired of ebay.com canceling your scanner auctions on the last day without even warning you. If so you might want to try this link http://www.eboneyard.com It's a FREE Radio Listing Service in a nice web page format. Pictures, crossposting and more. There is even a separate category for scanners. Give it a try, you've got nothing to lose and a sale to gain !

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

5487. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37703fe2.5216075@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 114743

chris_grant@my-deja.com wrote:

:I am new at this, just picked up a pair of 70cm ADI's. I can hear al the
:police bands but I can not transmit to them(I know this is illegal, but
:its just an intelectual question). Does is have to do with CTCSS
:encoding or is there some other kind of filter they use to prvent this
:sort of "interference"? DSP? Some sort of chip only police radio's have?
:Thanks in advance for any info.

Many 70cm Tranceivers have a wide band receiver but for obvious reasons
are disabled from transmitting outside the amateur band.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

5488. Re: Oklahoma City Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: gutsyme <tayger1@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <37704AAF.E12691C0@netscape.net>
Source record: 114744
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: tayger1.vcf

Ken Davis wrote:

> All,
>
> I'll be in Oklahoma City, OK later this week. Can someone provide or point
> me to a web site with OKC police frequencies. I'm assuming they're on a
> trunked system. Reply to newsgroup or via email.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Ken

The bulk of OKC traffic in 158.7 to 159.1 mHz, not trunked. 158.970, 159.030, 159.070, 159.090. Fire is on 453.150, 453.250, 453.350, 453.600 and 155.055.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

5489. Re: SSB Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David R. Ward" <wa1rd@home.com>
Message-ID: <LpYb3.4542$y92.2672@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 114745

My little Icom IC-R10 does all modes on ALL its frequencies (.5 to 1.5gig).
Brand new they are only $375ish.

5490. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <37705aed.2790482@news>
Source record: 114746
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 21 Jun 1999 13:20:28 -0700, David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net>
wrote:

>"B. M." wrote:
>
>> Target uses both UHF and VHF frequencies depending on the
>> state and location.
>>
>> In California they use 151.965 for example.

>They must be doing it illegally then. I don't see Target listed in the FCC database.

You must not have a very good extraction of the database, or you're
not searching it correctly. My copy of the PerCon Spectrum CD-ROM
contains a whole bunch of entries for Target Stores.

>Their parent company Mervyns is listed and they, according to the data, use UHF.

IIRC, the Dayton-Hudson Company is the parent of both Target and
Mervyn's.

5491. Re: PA State Police - Turnpike - New Antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <37705ccd.3270963@news>
Source record: 114747
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 22 Jun 1999 09:23:15 -0400, "D. Ruhe" <ruhe+@pitt.edu> wrote:

>The UHF antenna that you noticed is used for the UHF extender aka mobile
>repeater. The Troopers now have UHF portables that they use while out of
>the vehicle, the mobile repeater allows them to use the VHF system
>through the mobile radio. I don't know what frequency the portables are
>on however. -Dan- KE3UC

One way to find out would be to get yourself pulled over and then hold
your Opto Scout up to the officer's shoulder while he's distracted by
looking at your license. Anyone brave enough to try it? ;-)

5492. Re: RS Canada to not stock scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: aci6@aol.com.net.htm
Message-ID: <19990623000528.23091.00002506@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 114748

Sounds like another one of those "Post Office to charge for email messages"
things to me . . .

Bill

CAUTION: Altered email address. Do not auto reply.
To reply via email, remove everything after .com in the listed address.

5493. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <37706344.4925367@news>
Source record: 114749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 22 Jun 1999 08:59:27 -0500, "Spunky The Waffle Iron" <@.>
wrote:

>BILL IS A GUY WHO IS TALKED ABOUT TOO MUCH IN HERE.

Clearly you don't believe that, as you're still talking about him. ;-)

5494. Need Carnival's Tropicale Cruise Ship Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4wis@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990623002703.07136.00003153@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Source record: 114750

Looking for frequencies for Carnival's cruise ship "Tropicale".

5495. Re: FS: AOR 8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: scasko@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990623004045.11024.00002172@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 114751

>AOR 8000 UNblocked in mint condition.
>Orig manual, antenna, nicad batteries and charger/ac, belt clip.
>Optoelectronic Model CX12 that can be used with the ScanCat Software
>
>Reason for selling: I have two AOR 8000, just sell one of them.
>
>Serious offers only reply by email: speedbaron@hotmail.com
>

Where are you located?

5496. Re: Portland Maine Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: ordie@banet.net
Message-ID: <37708188@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 114752
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.li.net/~j4dice/frequencies/Maine/
Tony Bessey wrote in message <01beb790$9be98a60$876bb3d1@tony>...
>I am looking for frequencies in the Greater Portland Maine area. Interested
>in all material (i.e.. amusements, police, public service, etc.)
>
>
>--
>Tony Bessey
>io30031@nlis.net

5497. Re: Help W/ LA Security Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12628-37708CD6-17@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 114753

hey...it worked for nixon didnt it?

5498. Re: Lincolnwood Mall (Chicago)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990623051623.16907.00001062@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 114754

they were on 461.1125, there are also some 464 mhz in-house security freqs for some of the stores inside

5499. Re: Question about monitoring Military Satellites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <3770A9A3.8277678B@mich.com>
Source record: 114755

Whirring

Geoff Smith wrote:

> what do the beacons sound like?
> Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com> wrote in message
> news:376BF6F0.10F244A5@mich.com...
> > kb5fas@postoffice.swbell.net wrote:
> >
> > > A couple of questions regarding the FLTSATCOM & other similar military
> > > satellites:
> > >
> > > (1) Is there a carrier frequency for each one? If not - how do ground
> > > troops/stations locate the satellite they wish to use?
> >
> > Some have beacons, but users know where on the horizon the satellite
> > should be.
> >
> > > (2) What is the output power of most of these satellites?
> >
> > It's related to the uplink power/dBs of signal received by the
> > transponder.
> >
> > > (3) I am assuming the mode is AM - is this correct?
> >
> > No.
> >
> > > (4) Finally.....I'm using an Alinco DJ-X10 and 220 mhz boomer beam (10
> > > db gain). Any chance of hearing them with this beam
> >
> > Yes. But more & more traffic is digital DAMA mode, which tends to blend
> > in with the noise floor unless you've got a pretty good signal on the
> > transponder. A good portion of the non-secure, analog voice traffic
> (such
> > as the Joint Task Force - Full Accounting) is that way because it was
> > mandated so for political considerations.
> >
> > Mental Ward spammed ya with his MILCOM advertisement. MILCOM is OK if
> > you've got a lot of free time & a broad interest in military comms, but it
> > often has a very high signal to noise ratio due to an inept or
> non-existent
> > list administrator to issue & enforce net discipline.
> >
> > --
> > Tim Tyler

> > ttyler@mich.com
> > http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/
> >
> >

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

5500. FS: Yaesu VX-1R

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lewitzky <johnl@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3770CAE0.B82DD1D2@ptd.net>
Source record: 114756

VX-1R as new in original box with warranty card. Includes case, cigarette lighter adapter and ADMS software. Radio has MARS/CAP mode done and receives the entire 800MHz band. $ 200 includes shipping and insurance in the continental U.S.

No COD's, Postal Money Orders only. Thanks.

John
N3ZQJ

5501. FS: Delorme GPS and software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Lewitzky <johnl@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3770CED4.A85EF8C@ptd.net>
Source record: 114757

Delorme Tripmate GPS and Street Atlas USA V/4.0 $75 includes shipping in the continental U.S. For additional information please go to: www.delorme.com

The Tripmate is the previous version of the Earthmate GSP they are now selling.

No COD's, US Postal Money Orders Only.

Thanks,

John
N3ZQJ

5502. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@are.retarded
Message-ID: <3778c4d9.4418447@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Once again, they hypocrite speaks!

YOU are the one bringing up his name. Either post on topic or stay out of this group.

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Correct. So then WHY do you keep bringing up his name?
>
>>Macaroon wrote:
>>
>>I post many on-topic messages.
>>
>>>Sorry, retard, Bill Cheek is not the topic of this NG.
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

5503. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@are.retarded
Message-ID: <377bc551.4538713@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

Thank you for confirming that you won the title. Toilet boy.

On Tue, 22 Jun 1999 17:43:25 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>You were a STRONG CHOICE for that title, FOOL!
>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>It wasn't even a challenge in the first place, toilet boy!
>
>You sure won. Hands down!
>>
>>
>>jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>>It sure was tough competing against YOU for that title!
>>>
>>>Macaroon wrote:
>>>
>>>>Sorry, numb nuts, you've already got that distinct honor.
>>>>
>>>>What are you Nick, the "village idiot"???
>>>>
>>>>Nick wrote:
>>>
>>>> It seems to me that jmackey is a moron and needs to quit posting stupid
>>>> things
>>>> I've already seen his retarded postings on other news groups and no one
>>>> wants anything to do with this fool.

5504. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@are.retarded
Message-ID: <377cc5c9.4658069@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114760
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 22 Jun 1999 17:47:58 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
>Glenn wrote:
>
>> But the fact is I do know polarity and you don't. You have smoked repeaters
>> and power supplies by pure ignorance and I haven't.
>
>I have never done such a thing.

Once again, you lie, assbag. It was less than a year ago when you admitted to such things. Too many people know about it for you to deny it.

5505. Airnav 3.1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Goura <goura@altavista.net>
Message-ID: <3770D1F1.4683@altavista.net>
Source record: 114761

Any registration number for airnav 3.1?

5506. Re: microwave ovens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7kql9vdvt1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114762
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Huffy wrote in message ...
>j.k.@gotmail.com (Jan Knutar) wrote:
>> On Sat, 19 Jun 1999 08:56:57 -0400, "bob" <rockct@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Atually, he could use a microwave oven to xmit up on thse freqs. he
could
>> >just stick his little peanut shaped head in it and turn it on.
mankind will
>> >be better off.
>>
>> How exactly would it affect him.
>> I have a friend who Microwaved a fly for a few minutes at 800 watts.
>> He opened up the door and the fly flew out.
>>
>> -JK
>
>A microwave oven is a 600-900 watt, 2450 MHz radio transmitter. It
would
>cook your brain if you could get the interlock defeated so it would
start
>up with a head in it.
>
>You can have wonderful fun with a green grape in a microwave oven, if
you
>slice it in half the long way. Lay the two half-grapes in the oven flat
>side up, with the two ends touching each other, and cook it for 10
>seconds.
>
>You will observe that sparks fly between the two half-grapes.
>
>The way it works is that each half of the grape is 1/4 wave long, so
the
>two halves form a 1/2 wave dipole antenna. The point where they touch
>receives a couple kilovolts of electricity, so it arcs over.
>
>--Huffy

There was a web site dedicated to this kind of stuff. The first thing
I remember seeing was the PopTart BlowTorch posting. Some
guy defeated the kickout on a toaster and turned it into a mini-forge
with a PopTart.

Impressive. Totally stupid. But fascinating.

The web page had a bunch of other neat things to do like grapes in the microwave, and other things that I can't remember now. I don't have the URL handy, and I don't even know if it exist anymore, but I'm sure if you're REALLY interested you could find it.

Mike

5507. Re: HOOD Blimps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7kql2tdpf1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114763

Victor Watkins wrote in message <7kp597$v9$1@sys14.hou.wt.net>...
>Is this similar to the Goodyear blimp?
>
>Victor
>
>Jeff wrote in message <19990621210807.08639.00001850@ng-fa1.aol.com>...
>>if you find or get the frequency of the hood blimp, please let me
know...it
>>come over my house in peabody daily.
>>thanks.
>>Jeff

Yeah.

Big

Fat

Long

Floats in the sky

Only has the name HOOD on it (for Hood Dairies, a biggie here
in New England.)

Mike

5508. Cellular Restored Scanners Wanted to Buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: passkey@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990623090221.13966.00002293@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 114764

What have you? Condition? Age? Price? Arrangements to Purchase? Email repsonses direct to Passkey@aol.com

5509. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <3770d9ff.6610731@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 114765

caladan1@aol.com (CALADAN 1) wrote:

:>Maybe the San Jose, CA police department is not interested in hearing what
:>you
:>have to say? I will forward a copy of this post to the San Jose Police Dept
:>and
:>the FCC in case they have reports of malicious interference. Of course, you
:>would never do that would you?
:
:I see that the dumb a##es run aroud in here too. Coming in here from the
:rec.radio.cb NG this is a familiar site.

Do you have a url for that site?

:I feel for you guys. This kind of crap is rampant in there.
:Well I wish ya all the best of luck with your jerk
:Not the "real" Andy AMR 30

I'm sure he is glad of that.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

5510. WTB: Programming Cable for Alinco DJ-X10 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb5fas@postoffice.swbell.net
Message-ID: <377105BC.B954CA0@postoffice.swbell.net>
Source record: 114766

Looking for anyone that might have the programming cable for the Alinco DJ-X10 All Mode Hand Held Receiver they might wish to part with. Let me know - Thanks

5511. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@spam
Message-ID: <3771e490.3860059@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114767

Great. Another "net cop". Just what we need.

spambox@teleport.com (Keith) wrote:

>On Tue, 22 Jun 1999 01:26:50 GMT, chris_grant@my-deja.com
> <7kmoog$r47$1@nnrp1.deja.com> wrote:
>
>>12.72.54.204
>Hostname: 204.sanjose-01-02rs16rt.ca.dial-access.att.net
>IP Address: 12.72.54.204
>
>Maybe the San Jose, CA police department is not interested in hearing what you
>have to say? I will forward a copy of this post to the San Jose Police Dept and
>the FCC in case they have reports of malicious interference. Of course, you
>would never do that would you?

5512. Trade for TT Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <7kqqaepau1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a DX 394 tabletop SW radio that I would like to trade for a handheld trunk tracker scanner.

DX 394 is in excellent shape, come with manual, telescoping antenna, and box. DX 394 covers 150KHz to 30,000 kHz. It has AM, USB,LSB, CW1 and CW2 modes. Selectable step sizes from 10 kHz to 0.1 kHz. Lighted display, signal strength indicator, 160 memories, timer, sleep timer, dual clocks, tuning knob.

Will also consider selling the DX 394.

Thanks,

D.Schopp

5513. Re: PA State Police - Turnpike - New Antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Plants <plplants@sgi.net>
Message-ID: <Zm6c3.314$QU6.67971@news.sgi.net>
Source record: 114769
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Try 453.075

Shiva Nataraja <removals1@iname.com> wrote in message
news:37705ccd.3270963@news...
> On Tue, 22 Jun 1999 09:23:15 -0400, "D. Ruhe" <ruhe+@pitt.edu> wrote:
>
> >The UHF antenna that you noticed is used for the UHF extender aka mobile
> >repeater. The Troopers now have UHF portables that they use while out of
> >the vehicle, the mobile repeater allows them to use the VHF system
> >through the mobile radio. I don't know what frequency the portables are
> >on however. -Dan- KE3UC
>
> One way to find out would be to get yourself pulled over and then hold
> your Opto Scout up to the officer's shoulder while he's distracted by
> looking at your license. Anyone brave enough to try it? ;-)
>

5514. Re: FS Uniden BC760 Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: basia10249@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990623112845.23143.00004465@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 114770

i have a pro-2040 /pro 2041 both are base sets would you be
interested in a trade one for one .

5515. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <CY7c3.38$rv3.1818@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 114771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.mackey.is.a.donkey

If it happened yesterday in front of thousands Bed-Pan-John would deny it.

Macaroon <you@are.retarded> wrote in message
news:377cc5c9.4658069@enews.newsguy.com...
> On Tue, 22 Jun 1999 17:47:58 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > >Glenn wrote:
> > >
> > >> But the fact is I do know polarity and you don't. You have smoked
repeaters
> > >> and power supplies by pure ignorance and I haven't.
> > >
> > >I have never done such a thing.
>
> Once again, you lie, assbag. It was less than a year ago when you
admitted to
> such things. Too many people know about it for you to deny it.
>

5516. Huntington Beach, CA Police Helicopter Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7kr3ma$kg7$1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 114772
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Larry Dighera wrote:
>Does anyone know the Huntington Beach, CA Police Helicopter
>Frequencies?
>
>Do they transmit video too?

All Orange County Police 'copters may use 45.30 (PL 146.2), the "Regional air net" frequency.

HBPD's copters "Regional 1, 2 & 3," use the regular HBPD freq, 460.100 & "Orange South", 460.200.

Transmit video? Wouldn't be surprised....anyone??

ALSO, the "HBPD Radio Scanner" will soon be added, according to their homepage, http://www.hbpd.org/

harry
~~> www.snowcrest.net/marnells/index2.html

5517. DX 394 FS or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <7kr3pgnvc1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

DX 394 is in excellent shape, come with manual, telescoping antenna, and box.
DX 394 covers 150KHz to 30,000 kHz. It has AM, USB,LSB, CW1 and CW2 modes. Selectable step sizes from 10 kHz to 0.1 kHz. Lighted display, signal strength indicator, 160 memories, timer, sleep timer, dual clocks, tuning knob.

$140 includes shipping.

Or will trade for a handheld trunk tracker scanner.

Thanks,

D.Schopp

5518. Re: Airnav 3.1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Yy8c3.4162$w61.1857@stones>
Source record: 114774

Goura <goura@altavista.net> wrote in message
news:3770D1F1.4683@altavista.net...
> Any registration number for airnav 3.1?

same as v 3.0 look in alt.binaries.cracks

dont reccomend it anyway, just get wacars it does the map plotting aswell
and takes up a LOT less space..the database functions in airnav are really
only of use for real "enthusiasts"

seeya

eavsdropper

5519. Re: What is the latest on BC245xlt Release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: James Mayfield <kb9bnr@revealed.net>
Message-ID: <37710922.6BDFDBB9@revealed.net>
Source record: 114775

I talked to Dave at Global Wirless http://www.gwltd.com/gw on Monday, they will have them in July sometime. Uniden cant say for sure when, just July sometime. Their price is so far the best I have seen $220.00 free shipping. Grove is $229.00 plus $12.00 shipping (Rip Off) and Scanner World is going to sell them for $219.00 plus $10.00 shipping. Global is taking orders now, I placed my order on Moday, I cant wait for it.

73 Jim

BubbaJoe wrote:

> What is the latest word on when the new edacs tracker will be available?
> Last I heard was July. But, they were to show it a Dayton and didn't --
> sounds like a slip in schedule.
>
> waiting to get one.
>
> cheers
>
> rick

5520. ??4phunscanning??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: wfm <wmerrell@parsley.epix.net>
Message-ID: <jZ8c3.187$RZ1.55801@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 114776

Anyone know what happened to the 4phunscanning (or
something like that) that use to be at onelise.com.
All of a sudden I stopped getting mail and a search
of onelist shows nothing.
tia
bill

5521. WTB: Pro-60 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: birdfan1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990623135123.01379.00004919@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 114777

I'm looking for a cheap Pro-60 scanner in good condition.

Please E-Mail me.

Thanks
Birdfan1

5522. Re: Decoding digital cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7kr7kscoq1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114778
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

1 Angry Man <1AngryMan@antisocial.com> wrote in message
>Wouldn't it have been easier to tell him to get a LIFE instead?

In most cases yes, but in the case of digital monitoring, there actually is a technological challenge to face, unlike the snip a diode, clip a resistor world of analog cellular monitoring. If I were to solve that puzzle, yes, I'd feel a sense of accomplishment about that.

If this guy wants to do it to be a snoop, then yeah, he needs a life. But if he's trying to do it just to prove it can be done, I say go for it. That's what scanning is all about - striving to learn more about all facets of radio.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5523. PRO-39 for SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: SLOPPYouth <sloppyouth@zebra.net>
Message-ID: <37713fc2.0@monster.zebra.net>
Source record: 114779
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

HEY EVERYONE!
I'm taking bids for my Pro-39 in perfect condition...receives all fequencies..cell unblocked...200 channel programmable scanner! All interested buyers e-mail me with an offer! TWO(2) antennaes included as well as plug-in-wall DC adapter...great package!

-Jeremy

5524. TCI - LBI-NJ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: cabletek73@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990623142910.23784.00001556@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 114780

Ok folks,

Aside from hiding out with a freq counter, might anyone know or have a listing for TCI cable in Long Beach Island NJ?

They are still using what looks like VHF size antennas, nothing like nextel or anything.

Thanks

5525. Re: Kiwi Filters Web site????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr.Mean" <MrMean@Badco.com>
Message-ID: <37712CDA.B5E9D567@Badco.com>
Source record: 114781
Cross-posted to: alabama.birmingham.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I assume you mean "Kiwa" ?

www.kiwa.com

mirage wrote:

> I was wondering if anyone knew their website
> Doug

5526. Any virtual downconvertor mod for the BC 235???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <7krcmt$hmn@nntpb.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 114782

anyone??

5527. Re: Uniden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7krf9b$h9r$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114783
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ted Silverman wrote in message <7kplff$bj0$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>...
>I called Uniden Customer NO-service today after no response to my e-mails
to
>ask who carries their products in my area.
>
>I pressed "O" for operator. My position in the cue started at 7. is slowly
>went down but at one time it actually went up one number. After 27
minutes,
>the recording stated that my cue position is zero!!! I kept getting that
>for one hour before I hung up.
>
>I thought that if someone is first cue, that would mean that they are the
>next one to be taken care of.

Did you check the phone book? Look under Communications Equipment Sales and
Service. They'll usually have nice big yellow pages ads, but at worst,
you'll have a list of phone #'s to call and inquire.

I've spoken to Unidens' customer support before and I got right in. I guess
it's a matter of when you call.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5528. Icom R2 Programming "language"???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trail Boss <jlochey@idesign.com>
Message-ID: <L8bc3.735$825.5029@client>
Source record: 114784

Does anyone know the language for programming the Icom R2? I'd like to write a Visual Basic program to control it...

Thanks,

John
jlochey@idesign.com

5529. Re: microwave ovens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: gjs@prophet.pharm.pitt.edu
Message-ID: <7krgcjcdh2@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu>
Source record: 114785
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <uhflink-22069919515900001@dhcp9.mc01.dsl.azstarnet.com>, uhflink@yahoo.com (Huffy) wrote:
>j.k.@gotmail.com (Jan Knutar) wrote:
>> On Sat, 19 Jun 1999 08:56:57 -0400, "bob" <rockct@earthlink.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Atually, he could use a microwave oven to xmit up on thse freqs. he could
>> >just stick his little peanut shaped head in it and turn it on. mankind will
>> >be better off.
>>
>> How exactly would it affect him.
>> I have a friend who Microwaved a fly for a few minutes at 800 watts.
>> He opened up the door and the fly flew out.
>>

Probably too small or was in a low radiation area.

>> -JK
>
>A microwave oven is a 600-900 watt, 2450 MHz radio transmitter. It would
>cook your brain if you could get the interlock defeated so it would start
>up with a head in it.

The rating system changed some years ago. The same ranges were rated less.

>
>You can have wonderful fun with a green grape in a microwave oven, if you
>slice it in half the long way. Lay the two half-grapes in the oven flat
>side up, with the two ends touching each other, and cook it for 10
>seconds.
>
>You will observe that sparks fly between the two half-grapes.
>
>The way it works is that each half of the grape is 1/4 wave long, so the
>two halves form a 1/2 wave dipole antenna. The point where they touch
>receives a couple kilovolts of electricity, so it arcs over.

I used to like to see Ho Ho's put in the microwave, unfortunately are no longer incased in foil wrap.
greg

5530. Re: AOR 8200 and PC Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: GB <GB@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <7krimmrps1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 114786

http://arc.mypage.org for AR8200 software. And yes you can download freq's
and alpha tags

Mac Feely <mfeely888@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:ZCzb3.12324$J4.7975@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net...
> I'm looking at buying an AOR 8200B. Can you

5531. Re: What is the latest on BC245xlt Release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: alx4443@aol.comet-d42
Message-ID: <19990623172426.08263.00002954@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 114787

JAMES QUOTE:
>Their price is so far the best I have seen $220.00 free shipping.
>Grove is $229.00 plus $12.00 shipping (Rip Off) and Scanner World is going
>to sell them for $219.00 plus $10.00 shipping. Global is taking orders...."

What a stupid & harsh thing to say, that Grove is a "rip off" on this item.(I don't work there & never bought from them) Let me get this straight....You seemed to have researched the item well , and found that Grove is within 21 bucks of the lowest price you found in the entire world on this "over $220" item, and that qualifies as a "rip-off"???? Ten to 21bucks off the 2 best prices in the world is a "RIP OFF"?? Give me a break.

5532. Need SAMS SD-7 and SD-13 (have others to trade)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: aci6@aol.com.net.htm
Message-ID: <19990623174709.03836.00004028@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 114788

Hello Everyone,

I have the following SAMS SD series (scanner servicing manuals) for trade:

SD-1
SD-3
SD-4 (two of these)
SD-11

All are in very good condition.

I will trade them (one for one) for SD-7 and SD-13 in like condition.

Once I find these two volumes, any of the above that are left will be for sale.

Please reply via email.

Thanks,

Bill

CAUTION: Altered email address. Do not auto reply.
To reply via email, remove everything after .com in the listed address.

5533. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-23 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3770347A.5D89E93F@usa.net>
Source record: 114838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Glenn wrote:

> Yeah yeah, as usual blame it on somebody else.

If I was specifically trying to blame somebody as you state, I would have mentionedthier name. I stated facts, then & now. You have only stated your ranting & raving.

When was the last time you made an on-topic post???

> burning up. Nobody with an ounce of brains would be plugging stuff into a
> power supply if the weren't CERTAIN of the polarity especially ham radio

If you were hooking up a piece of equipment to a commercially made powersupply, wouldn't you expect the red to be positive and the black to be negative??

I did expect the red to be positive & the black to be negative. Now if you are saying
that such expectations are not "with an ounce of brains" then you are calling a LOT of people with your statement!!!

> > then we would need to discuss what YOU do for a living also.
>
> Any time pal. I am not ashamed of

How come you have never mentioned it?

> At this moment I am holding three FCC licenses.

I was speaking about OPERATORS licenses, Glenn!But anyway, Glenn, what are the 3 licenses you have "at this moment"?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5534. freqs needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Wetherford <gaucho2@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <7kupk1opa1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 114540

does anyone know where I can find freqs for the Sierra Vista-Ft Huachuca Az area? I'm trying to get back into scanning but the freqs I have seem to be out of date.
thanks a lot

5535. ScanList question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: huey <huey@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <3772EBB5.EAEDEF3@NoSpam.com>
Source record: 114541

I just bought a BC-235XLT without a manual.
Can someone explain to me what a scanlist is?
I live in Vancouver, WA.
I hope I have the correct frequencies programmed in
or have them all programmed in. Info from Radio Shack.
Does someone have the frequencies that they are sure
worked for Portland/Vancouver?
I hear a lot of bus/meter reader shit that I want to avoid,
how do I do that?

thanks

5536. Re: Best price on BC-245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: an@x.net
Message-ID: <an-2406992258330001@ppp-19.mia-tc-5.netrox.net>
Source record: 114542

In article <37719ECD.27E06@revealed.net>, kb9bnr@revealed.net wrote:

> I spent two hours on the phone today. The best price I found so far was
> from Global Wireless, they are taking pre-orders at $220.00 FREE
> shipping. They also said they would match anyones price. Here is what I
> found, after several calls only three dealers even could give me a
> price...
>
> Grove $229.95 plus $12.00 shipping (Seems like a heck of a lot for
> shipping)
> Scanner World $219.95 plus $10.00 shipping (again this is a lot for
> shipping)
> Global Wireless $220.00 FREE shipping
>
> Their web page is at http://www.gwltd.com/gw phone is 309-762-6010
> I bought my BC-235 from them and also several parts for my C-band
> satellite system, they have always been great to work with....
>
> 73 Jim KB9BNR

national-electronics.com quoted a price of 209.95+9.00 shipping

5537. Squelch question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ricky Nickolson <rnick@entercomp>
Message-ID: <3772e858.0@newsman.viper.net>
Source record: 114543

When searching with my old trusty bearcat 160 scanner (I know, I know, but I can't afford anything else right now!) in the 45.000 to 49.000 range, with the squelch button pressed as high as it will go, it keeps stopping on "noise". Is this actual transmissions flooding this frequency range, or might there be a problem with the radio. It doesn't seem to be a problem when searching higher frequencies, just this range...

thanks for any help.

Ricky.

5538. Re: Squelch question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <37730114.29500222@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114544

It is most likely called a "Birdie". This is a internal self generated signal/noise. If you look in you scanner man., you will most likely find that the frequency that is stopping on is listed in the scanner manual. You can't change this, it is a inherit problem with the design. Any other questions just e-mail me.
WB6SUB - Trevor

Ricky Nickolson wrote:

> When searching with my old trusty bearcat 160 scanner (I know, I know, but I
> can't afford anything else right now!) in the 45.000 to 49.000 range, with
> the squelch button pressed as high as it will go, it keeps stopping on
> "noise". Is this actual transmissions flooding this frequency range, or
> might there be a problem with the radio. It doesn't seem to be a problem
> when searching higher frequencies, just this range...
>
> thanks for any help.
>
> Ricky.

5539. Re: wanted: frequency list for lasvegas area...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <37730415.C4A2F655@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114545

Here is a good site to start chewing on;
http://www.trunktracker.com/states/data8.html

and if you don't have the ability to search let me know, I can find this site I found at one time for Nevada.

RenderMan wrote:

> specifically the hotels.
>
> i'm planning to vacation there this summer and would like to poke around the
> airwaves and see what's goin on.
>
> please send lists too therenderman@home.com
>
> thanks in advance

5540. Re: ScanList question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Ang <boxcars98@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <oCDc3.835$G81.93938@newsgate.direct.ca>
Source record: 114546

huey wrote in message <3772EBB5.EAEDEF3@NoSpam.com>...
>I just bought a BC-235XLT without a manual.
>Can someone explain to me what a scanlist is?
>I live in Vancouver, WA.
>I hope I have the correct frequencies programmed in
>or have them all programmed in. Info from Radio Shack.
>Does someone have the frequencies that they are sure
>worked for Portland/Vancouver?
>I hear a lot of bus/meter reader shit that I want to avoid,
>how do I do that?
>
>thanks
>

Point your browser here:

http://ucs.orst.edu/~varineb/port.htm

for Portland/Vancouver freqs. Courtesy Brian Varine's Homepage (an
excellent PacNW scanning resource, BTW).

Paul

5541. FS: AOR AR3000A Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "James A. Schnoor" <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <7ku5d7$3so$1@news.mr.net>
Source record: 114547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have the above for sale. It has FULL coverage from 100 kHz to 2036 mHz.
This radio comes with the original box, AC/DC power cords, manual, etc.
Used exclusively by a non-smoker in a home. $800 + shipping. Jim
AA0FJ

5542. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <HaFc3.4841$3C3.199639@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 114548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote ...
> When confronted with the truth
> simply try to change the facts
> been doing so many, many times
> on this NG.

...and you have been doing it for many many years John-Bowl.

Your confession is heart warming.

So much I am now going to ignore you on all NGs except alt.fan.john.mackey
again.

5543. Re: Decoding digital cordless phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7ks35v$f7f$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 114667
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article Phil Smalley, you think you said....
>
>
>1 Angry Man <1AngryMan@antisocial.com> wrote in message
>>Wouldn't it have been easier to tell him to get a LIFE instead?
>
>
>In most cases yes, but in the case of digital monitoring, there actually is
>a technological challenge to face, unlike the snip a diode, clip a resistor
>world of analog cellular monitoring. If I were to solve that puzzle, yes,
>I'd feel a sense of accomplishment about that.
>
>If this guy wants to do it to be a snoop, then yeah, he needs a life. But if
>he's trying to do it just to prove it can be done, I say go for it. That's
>what scanning is all about - striving to learn more about all facets of
>radio.
>
>-Phil
>
>-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
>-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

I remember in his post he said he "NEEDS" a program to decode it.
That keyword in itself usally denotes "WANTS" just like when you tell your wife
you NEED a new scanner when all you really have is an overwhelming WANT for it
:)

--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5544. Re: FS:Trade - Scanners & HTs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990623212550.15906.00003220@ng67.aol.com>
Source record: 114668

what's leftin regards to equipment. I'm in new jersey and have a intrest

5545. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37719410.E8B7B27C@usa.net>
Source record: 114669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

No, Macaroon, YOU are the one who keeps bringing up his name &
pposts NOTHING but off-topic messages.

You, MACAROON, have NEVER made an on-topic post on this
NG yet!! NEVER!!!!

Why don't you just give it up. Do you ENJOY being publicly
slammed???

Why do you always have to try to get the last word in?

Macaroon wrote:

> Once again, they hypocrite speaks!
>
> YOU are the one bringing up his name. Either post on topic or stay out of
> this group.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5546. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: com@com.com
Message-ID: <3771943b.451971@news.netspace.net.au>
Source record: 114670
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

No one did ask and especially not for 200 lines of data on GSM thats
for sure

On Wed, 23 Jun 1999 22:26:18 GMT, tos-tng@startrekmail.com wrote:

>In article <37715917.77EA@domain.com>, fullemailbox@domain.com wrote:
>>Well I just knew that it had to happen sooner or later.
>>That is the way it has always been. Somebody builds a security device
>>and somebody manages to crack it.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>matts wrote:
>>>
>>> According to yesterdays "The Australian"
>>> http://technology.news.com.au/techno/4221778.htm
>>>
>>> fllThY <not@home.com> wrote in message
>>> news:wGPb3.794$D57.5804@ozemail.com.au...
>>> > Hey is it true that someone has cracked the GSM digital algorithm ?
>>> >
>>> > Someone mentioned it to me today and I want some more info.
>>> >
>>> > Cheers
>>> >
>>> > fllThY
>>> >
>>> >
>Thought you'd never ask:
>
>Description of GSM Security Features
>The security aspects of GSM are detailed in GSM Recommendations 02.09,
>"Security Aspects," 02.17, "Subscriber Identity Modules," 03.20, "Security
>Related Network Functions," and 03.21, "Security Related Algorithms". Security
>in GSM consists of the following aspects: subscriber identity authentication,
>subscriber identity confidentiality, signaling data confidentiality, and user
>data confidentiality. The subscriber is uniquely identified by the
>International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI). This information, along with
>the individual subscriber authentication key (Ki), constitutes sensitive
>identification credentials analogous to the Electronic Serial Number (ESN) in
>analog systems such as AMPS and TACS. The design of the GSM authentication and
>encryption schemes is such that this sensitive information is never

>transmitted over the radio channel. Rather, a challenge-response mechanism is
>used to perform authentication. The actual conversations are encrypted using a
>temporary, randomly generated ciphering key (Kc). The MS identifies itself by
>means of the Temporary Mobile Subscriber Identity (TMSI), which is issued by
>the network and may be changed periodically (i.e. during hand-offs) for
>additional security.
>
>The security mechanisms of GSM are implemented in three different system
>elements; the Subscriber Identity Module (SIM), the GSM handset or MS, and the
>GSM network. The SIM contains the IMSI, the individual subscriber
>authentication key (Ki), the ciphering key generating algorithm (A8), the
>authentication algorithm (A3), as well as a Personal Identification Number
>(PIN). The GSM handset contains the ciphering algorithm (A5). The encryption
>algorithms (A3, A5, A8) are present in the GSM network as well. The
>Authentication Center (AUC), part of the Operation and Maintenance Subsystem
>(OMS) of the GSM network, consists of a database of identification and
>authentication information for subscribers. This information consists of the
>IMSI, the TMSI, the Location Area Identity (LAI), and the individual
>subscriber authentication key (Ki) for each user. In order for the
>authentication and security mechanisms to function, all three elements (SIM,
>handset, and GSM network) are required. This distribution of security
>credentials and encryption algorithms provides an additional measure of
>security both in ensuring the privacy of cellular telephone conversations and
>in the prevention of cellular telephone fraud.
>
>Authentication
>The GSM network authenticates the identity of the subscriber through the use
>of a challenge-response mechanism. A 128-bit random number (RAND) is sent to
>the MS. The MS computes the 32-bit signed response (SRES) based on the
>encryption of the random number (RAND) with the authentication algorithm (A3)
>using the individual subscriber authentication key (Ki). Upon receiving the
>signed response (SRES) from the subscriber, the GSM network repeats the
>calculation to verify the identity of the subscriber. Note that the individual
>subscriber authentication key (Ki) is never transmitted over the radio
>channel. It is present in the subscriber's SIM, as well as the AUC, HLR, and
>VLR databases as previously described. If the received SRES agrees with the
>calculated value, the MS has been successfully authenticated and may continue.
>If the values do not match, the connection is terminated and an authentication
>failure indicated to the MS.
>
>The calculation of the signed response is processed within the SIM. This
>provides enhanced security, because the confidential subscriber information
>such as the IMSI or the individual subscriber authentication key (Ki) is never
>released from the SIM during the authentication process.
>
>
>Signaling and Data Confidentiality
>The SIM contains the ciphering key generating algorithm (A8) which is used to
>produce the 64-bit ciphering key (Kc). The ciphering key is computed by

>applying the same random number (RAND) used in the authentication process to
>the ciphering key generating algorithm (A8) with the individual subscriber
>authentication key (Ki). As will be shown in later sections, the ciphering key
>(Kc) is used to encrypt and decrypt the data between the MS and BS. An
>additional level of security is provided by having the means to change the
>ciphering key, making the system more resistant to eavesdropping. The
>ciphering key may be changed at regular intervals as required by network
>design and security considerations.
>
>Ciphering Key Generation Mechanism
>In a similar manner to the authentication process, the computation of the
>ciphering key (Kc) takes place internally within the SIM. Therefore sensitive
>information such as the individual subscriber authentication key (Ki) is never
>revealed by the SIM.
>
>Encrypted voice and data communications between the MS and the network is
>accomplished through use of the ciphering algorithm A5. Encrypted
>communication is initiated by a ciphering mode request command from the GSM
>network. Upon receipt of this command, the mobile station begins encryption
>and decryption of data using the ciphering algorithm (A5) and the ciphering
>key (Kc).
>
>Subscriber Identity Confidentiality
>To ensure subscriber identity confidentiality, the Temporary Mobile Subscriber
>Identity (TMSI) is used. The TMSI is sent to the mobile station after the
>authentication and encryption procedures have taken place. The mobile station
>responds by confirming reception of the TMSI. The TMSI is valid in the
>location area in which it was issued. For communications outside the location
>area, the Location Area Identification (LAI) is necessary in addition to the
>TMSI.
>
>(and now the good stuff)
>
>Key Length
>This section focuses on key length as a figure of merit of an encryption
>algorithm. Assuming a brute-force search of every possible key is the most
>efficient method of cracking an encrypted message (a big assumption), Table 1
>shown below summarizes how long it would take to decrypt a message with a
>given key length, assuming a cracking machine capable of one million
>encryptions per second.
>
>
>Table 1 Brute-force key search times for various key sizes Key length in bits
>and the Time required to test all possible keys
> 32 40 56 64 128
>
> 1.19 hours 12.7 days 2,291 years 584,542 years 10.8 x 10^24 years
>
>

>The time required for a 128-bit key is extremely large; as a basis for
>comparison the age of the Universe is believed to be 1.6x10^10 years. An
>example of an algorithm with a 128-bit key is the International Data
>Encryption Algorithm (IDEA). The key length may alternately be examined by
>determining the number of hypothetical cracking machines required to decrypt a
>message in a given period of time.
>
>
>Table 2 Number of machines required to search a key space in a given time Key
>length in bits
> 1 day 1 week 1 year
>40 13 2 -
>56 836,788 119,132 2,291
>64 2.14x10^8 3.04x10^6 584,542
>128 3.9x10^27 5.6x10^26 10.8x10^24
>
>A machine capable of testing one million keys per second is possible by
>today's standards. In considering the strength of an encryption algorithm, the
>value of the information being protected should be taken into account. It is
>generally accepted that DES with its 56-bit key will have reached the end of
>its useful lifetime by the turn of the century for protecting data such as
>banking transactions. Assuming that the A5 algorithm has an effective key
>length of 40 bits (instead of 64), it currently provides adequate protection
>for information with a short lifetime. A common observation is that the
>"tactical lifetime" of cellular telephone conversations is on the order of
>weeks.
>
>The technical details of the encryption algorithms used in GSM are closely
>held secrets. The algorithms were developed in Britain, and cellular telephone
>manufacturers desiring to implement the encryption technology must agree to
>non-disclosure and obtain special licenses from the British government. Law
>enforcement and Intelligence agencies from the U.S., Britain, France, the
>Netherlands, and other nations are very concerned about the export of
>encryption technology because of the potential for military application by
>hostile nations. An additional concern is that the widespread use of
>encryption technology for cellular telephone communications will interfere
>with the ability of law enforcement agencies to conduct surveillance on
>terrorists or organized criminal activity.
>
>A disagreement between cellular telephone manufacturers and the British
>government centering around export permits for the encryption technology in
>GSM was settled by a compromise in 1993. Western European nations and a few
>other specialized markets such as Hong Kong would be allowed to have the GSM
>encryption technology, in particular the A5/1 algorithm. A weaker version of
>the algorithm (A5/2) was approved for export to most other countries,
>including central and eastern European nations. Under the agreement,
>designated countries such as Russia would not be allowed to receive any
>functional encryption technology in their GSM systems. Future developments
>will likely lead to some relaxation of the export restrictions, allowing

>countries who currently have no GSM cryptographic technology to receive the
>A5/2 algorithm.
>
>Conclusion
>The security mechanisms specified in the GSM standard make it the most secure
>cellular telecommunications system available. The use of authentication,
>encryption, and temporary identification numbers ensures the privacy and
>anonymity of the system's users, as well as safeguarding the system against
>fraudulent use. Even GSM systems with the A5/2 encryption algorithm, or even
>with no encryption are inherently more secure than analog systems due to their
>use of speech coding, digital modulation, and TDMA channel access.
>
>you can find it all at (pictures too).....
>http://www3.l0pht.com/~oblivion/blkcrwl/cell/gsm/gsm-secur/gsm-secur.html
>

5547. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37719478.87E8C83B@usa.net>
Source record: 114671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Then resent the proof of this admission you claim to have with enough information to verify authenticity thru Dejanews, or be found to be a liar!!

Macaroon wrote:

> Once again, you lie, assbag. It was less than a year ago when you admitted to

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5548. AMA Camel Pro motorcycle series

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <19990623221657.23290.00000037@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 114672

Looking for AMA Camel Pro motorcycle series radio freqs.
Thanks,
Greg

5549. Re: Just ignore the clown act...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1AngryMan@antisocial.com
Message-ID: <7ks5c7$fu4$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 114673

In article Phil Smalley, you think you said....
<SNIP>

>Mike,
>
>Here, take a look at who you're dealing with. He's a clown. Simply laugh at
>the silly clown and then move on with your day. He's a circus act, in town
>for a limited engagement.
>
>Ignore him. It's been proven that John is only here to get the attention he
>can't get at home. Don't give him the satisfaction.
>
>-Phil
>
>-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
>-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

Phil
The Subject of this is "Just ignore the clown act"
Does that mean John's "ACTING" and he's not really a "CLOWN"?
Please clarify this statement.
Inquiring minds want to know!
--
==========
"I would never belong to any group,
that would have me as a member!"
Grocho Marx
==========
Opinions are like armpits, everybody has
at least two, and they usually stink !!!
==========
Send Email to 1AngryMan@antisocial.com

5550. AOR AR1000 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mark Peterson <jmarkp@home.com>
Message-ID: <37719626.5EF03E0C@home.com>
Source record: 114674

AOR AR1000 is a handheld scanner covering the range .5-1300 MHz
continuous. Reception modes are AM, FM, WFM selectable
independently of the frequency received.

Scanning steps can be programmed from 5 to 955 KHz. Ten banks of memory
(100 channels each) gives a total of 1000 channels.
Search modes and scan modes include lockout and selectable resume. LCD
display shows frequencies and mode parameters.

Controls include volume, squelch, attenuator, and earphone jack. Power
is supplied from either four internal AA cells (nicads provided), or
external 11-15V DC supply. Unit is 100% functional and cosmetically
excellent. Accessories include Copy of manual, AA nicads, soft
case, rubber duck, and telescoping antenna, wrist strap, belt clip,
power adapter, and original box. Buyer to pay actual shipping.

Asking $225.00

make inquires to:

jmarkp@home.com

5551. Re: Help finding a fire freq. in Oregon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: garretw@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990624002754.08077.00000834@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 114675

Try scanning 151.16-151.500 for Oregon Forestry frequencies.

5552. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3771B57A.60B92B83@usa.net>
Source record: 114676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

When you used the words "cheat, idiotic, lying, deceitfull" were
you talking about Bill Cheek or Bill Clinton?

Trevor Harris wrote:

> yeah...I would rather raise money for his defense fund...than our
> commander and cheat, idiotic, lying, deceitfull president. Just wanted to

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5553. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3771B5FD.A0B2220D@usa.net>
Source record: 114677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

Are there two Bill Cheek's that are scanner hobby geeks living
in the San Diego area? We're talking about the one who wrote the books
& caused all the problems on this NG.

Trevor Harris wrote:

> He is a San Diego resident who has been a great contributer to the
> scanning/radio hobby.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5554. Syntor 800Mhz Trunked Mobile 2-Way w/Maxtrac, Mostar & More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: yet2b@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7ksj9stgh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.emergency.service,alt.emergency.services.dispatcher

Hi folks,

At the following site (incl. photos):

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=122218261

the following is up for bid:

5 Motorola Syntor X2 (800Mhz Trunked FM Two-Way Radio)*
2 Motorola Syntor X (800Mhz Trunked FM Two-Way Radio)*
1 Motorola Syntor X Owner's Manual (factory-direct new copy)
1 Motorola Syntor X/X2/X3 Instruction Manual plus Revision (new)*
1 Maxtrac 35W 10-Fleet Trunked Mobile Unit w/Scan*
1 Maxtrac Operating Instructions Book (new)*
1 Mostar 15W 20-Channel Subfleet Trunked Mobile Unit*
1 Mostar Owner's Manual (new)*
1 Mostar Laminated Operater's Reference Guide 6x8 (new)
2 Motorola Desktop Mics*
1 Base Power Supply 110V Input w/14.2 VDC Output
1 Box of Wire Harnesses
1 Master Key to All Radio Locks

"...all of the above was in excellent working condition when it was uninstalled. These items are, in our estimation, clean. They are not greasy or grimey and have been gently wiped down. There is no reason to our knowledge that any of the wires should be missing, but we cannot guarantee that they are all there. In one picture, there is a wire harness nicely coiled, but the rest are together and uncoiled in a box ready for shipping. Also note that 2 of the hand-held microphones are new and unused in their boxes. Winner will need to obtain his own mounting brackets which I've been told is nothing to be concerned about.

A lot like this can cost a lot of time and money to locate piece by piece, and have installed bit by bit. Here it is all at once at a fraction of after-market costs. Winner is responsible for shipping (we will attempt to locate the least expensive possible, and are open to requests.) Winner pays with Money Order, Bank Check or Personal Check, allowing reasonable time for check to clear. While we do not understand the technological inner workings of these items, we are open to, and will try to answer, your basic questions. Thank you."

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=122218261
(w/photos)

Have a nice day,

E

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5555. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris_grant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7ksjv2$tm3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114679

In article <19990622001900.10455.00003485@ng-ca1.aol.com>,
aci6@aol.com.net.htm (Bill) wrote:
> When you have the proper license, you get to transmit. Otherwise, you
don't.
So "Bill" I guess there is some magic switchboard in the sky that
determines if your licencse is valid or not? I don't think so, but maybe
your correct(Highly doubt it)Since I can transmit to the same model at
the same freq. this kind of rules out your hypotheisis doesn't it? I a
no EE major nor I am experienced in ham radio operation, but simple
deductive reasoning would kind of suggest some sort of filter mech. the
police radios have which I lack. All I asked for was a simple
explaination, not a snooty,"this is a ham-experienced only discussion
group and you are obviously a novice, so I will not even grant you the
common courtesy of a rational response" kind of bullshit answer. If you
don't have anything constuctive to say then shut the fuck up.

Have a nice day

Grant

>

> The radios you mentioned are ham rigs??
>
> If so, and you are a ham, you should be able to answer your own
question. If
> so, and you are not a ham, why buy the rigs in the first place? A
similar
> question would apply if they are commercial band rigs . . .
>
> Bill
>
> PS Guys, you do remember the recent thread on this very subject . . .
Let's not
> have a repeat, OK?
>
> CAUTION: Altered email address. Do not auto reply.
> To reply via email, remove everything after .com in the listed
address.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5556. Need Johnson Space Center Freq's!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: C7605@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <7ksdpq$ff7$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114680

Need freq's for Johnson Space Center in Houston going there for a tour in
a couple days. Please email them to me.
Thanks,
Bill

5557. Re: What is the latest on BC245xlt Release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7ksi1u$6k6@dfw-ixnews3.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 114681

In <37719D55.22E987AA@revealed.net> DAVE MAYFIELD <kb9bn@revealed.net>
writes:
>
>I ment that $12.00 is a rip off for shipping, at best the shipping
will cost them
>$4.00
>

that is onerous.

there is the scanner price.
there is the postage fee
there is the box cost
there is the packer cost
there is the lift it cost
there is the "dog peed on box" cost
there is bookkeeping cost.
there is getting it out of bldg cost.
there is packing matter cost.
there is mailing label or crayola print cost
there is tape on box around it cost
there is putting the statement and a catalogue
in the box cost
there is weighing the box cost
there is wiping snots off the box cost
there is depreciation costs on machinery

and what other costs they never really
spell out. question mark.

bill conduit
etc.

5558. Re: Airnav 3.1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Goura <goura@altavista.net>
Message-ID: <3771CF1E.7F87@altavista.net>
Source record: 114682

It works.
Thanks a lot.

EAVSDROPPER wrote:
>
> Goura <goura@altavista.net> wrote in message
> news:3770D1F1.4683@altavista.net...
> > Any registration number for airnav 3.1?
>
> same as v 3.0 look in alt.binaries.cracks
> eavsdropper

5559. Re: microwave ovens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: M Weiss <mweiss@XSPAMjavanet.com>
Message-ID: <7ksija$3en$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 114683
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>>slice it in half the long way. Lay the two half-grapes in the oven flat
>>side up, with the two ends touching each other, and cook it for 10
>>seconds.
>>
>>You will observe that sparks fly between the two half-grapes.
>>
>>The way it works is that each half of the grape is 1/4 wave long, so
>the
>>two halves form a 1/2 wave dipole antenna. The point where they touch
>>receives a couple kilovolts of electricity, so it arcs over.
>>
>>--Huffy
>
>There was a web site dedicated to this kind of stuff. The first thing
>I remember seeing was the PopTart BlowTorch posting. Some
>guy defeated the kickout on a toaster and turned it into a mini-forge
>with a PopTart.
>
>Impressive. Totally stupid. But fascinating.
>
>The web page had a bunch of other neat things to do like grapes in
>the microwave, and other things that I can't remember now. I don't
>have the URL handy, and I don't even know if it exist anymore, but
>I'm sure if you're REALLY interested you could find it.
>
>Mike
>

A number of years ago, some woman of rare ignorance level, somehow managed
to coax a poodle into her microwave oven, ostensibly to dry after a bath.
The poodle exploded, at least that what the news stories said about the
incident.
That has to be the most stupid act of ignorance ever conceived-- either
that, or the woman was mentally ill.

Mark
The "Peg-legged" Bass Pig

^ ^
_o^O-/ \
(oo)_ ?)
_/)
_

Build your own FM radio station! Details at:
http://members.tripod.com/~AMN92
To reply, remove XSPAM from address.

5560. Scanners & S/W Receiver For Sale Or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5IDX <W5IDX@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ksk1k$7np$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

For Sale Or Trade

Desk Tops
DX-394 Digital S/W All Mode Receiver 175.00 Shipped
Pro 2039 200 Channel 135.00 Shipped
Pro 2030 80 Channel 95.00 Shipped

Hand Helds
Pro 46 100 Channel 100.00 Shipped
Pro 90 300 Channel Trunk Tracker 225.00 Shipped

Prices Include Packaging & Shipping In The Continental U.S.

--
W5IDX@worldnet.att.net

5561. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@spam.com
Message-ID: <3774e469.5444461@news.idirect.com>
Source record: 114685
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 02:13:57 GMT, com@com.com (.com) wrote:

>No one did ask and especially not for 200 lines of data on GSM thats
>for sure

I didn't mind reading it - I did however mind that you quoted
everything needlessly...

N.

5562. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3771F24E.64D19C09@usa.net>
Source record: 114686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Once again, GLENN HANSEN, you have proven that the only way you can
defend youself in an arguement is to lie or change the facts!!

Glenn wrote:
lies & mis-qoutes snipped, leaving nothing else.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5563. Re: PA State Police - Turnpike - New Antennas??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: wa3ysu@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <37721452.2095784@news.erols.com>
Source record: 114687
Cross-posted to: phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks for all the replies, both through the list and direct!

It appears that the UHF whips on all of the Turnpike Pocono Barracks patrol cars are indeed for use with mobile extenders linked to either Turnpike F1 or F2 (159.075 & 159.045). It also looks like the extenders are licensed by the Turnpike Commission at each Barracks' location on 458.5625 under callsign WNYR682. Mystery solved!

WA3YSU

On Mon, 21 Jun 1999 23:57:46 GMT, wa3ysu@nospam.hotmail.com (WA3YSU) wrote:

>Today I noticed that all of the PSP patrol cars (8 that I saw) at the
>Pocono Barracks on the Turnpike are sporting what appear to be UHF
>antennas in the center of the rear drivers side trunk. They also had
>2 other antennas trunk-center mounted - 1 appeared to be 800 Mhz
>cellular and the other appeared to be VHF.
>
>All communications seem to still be taking place on the 159.045
>repeater between the Pocono Partol cars and both "Harrisburg" and
>"Network Control".
>
>Anyone know what these antennas may be? Could they be for the UHF
>repeater at the Lehigh Tunnel?? Or for something else?? Thoughts??
>
>Though this is the first I have noticed them (and I travel this route
>at least 1-2 times a month), it is possible that they have been there
>for some time and I just did not see them.
>
>WA3YSU

5564. Re: world of oulaws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: dubbin1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990624071323.08063.00000793@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 114688

They have had them in every Outlaw race that I've been to.
>This series does not allow radios in cars during any event.
>

==========
Dwayne

I didn't do it!
Nobody seen me do it!
You can't prove anything!

5565. Advanced orders on BC-245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: advanspec@aol.comburger
Message-ID: <19990624083434.27922.00003505@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 114689

We are now taking Advance orders on the new BC-245XLT Motorola/GE trunktracker scanner. Each prepaid order will get FREE shipping (UPS/48 states) & also get a free optional 12V lighter/charger for the scanner!! The price is $228.
Sorry no C.O.D's. Visit our website, call or stop in!!
Advanced Specialties
114 Essex Street
Lodi, NJ. 07644
1-800-926-9426 1-201-VHF-2067
http://home.att.net/~advancedspec/advanced.htm
Tues-Fri 10-6 Sat 10-2 PM Eastern

5566. FS Alinco DJ-X10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: spoiler1 <spoiler1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <37722751.61736D48@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114690

FS Alinco DJ-X10 wide band communications receiver. Only 3 1/2 months old mint condition. Comes with original box, manual, 2 nicads, 1 AA battery case, registered
copy of programing software & cable, and "pouch brand" radio pouch.
Great radio
I have just become bored with it. asking $275.00 for all including shipping in Con US.
E-mail spoiler1@earthlink.net if interested.

Regards

Gary

5567. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990624090425.11259.00004224@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 114691

1. Most frequencies, including amateur and police / public safety require a license to transmit on.
2. Most systems, including police repeaters, require permission or authorization to use or access
3. Modified amateur radios aren't type accepted for use on commercial or public safety frequencies. In fact, once they have been modified they arent even type accepted for amateur radio use anymore.
4. Commercial and Public Safety frequency allocations employ equipment whose type acceptance includes much more stringent standards (frequency calibration, stability, deviation, etc) than those for the amateur radio service. The primary reason for this are interference concerns. Interference on public safety frequencies is likely to have far more serious consequences than interference on amateur radio frequencies, generally speaking.
5. I can just about guarantee you that a modified amateur radio transceiver which is used to transmit out of band is sending out RF at other frequencies in addition to what is displayed / tuned in on the rig at the time. This can cause some very nasty interference situations.

>All I asked for was a simple
>explaination...

-Understand that the concept of making unauthorized transmissions on Police frequencies is a real "hot button" topic, so dont be so suprised by the flames which have ensued. To say the least - it's not a good idea. There has even been a case of a licensed ham getting into hot water for making an apparently justified radio transmission on a public safety frequency (to request ems for an accident victim). In theory, your amateur radio license permits you to make emergency transmissions out of band in life or death / serious injury / major property loss situations. In practice the authorities consider this permissible only when there was no other way to get help in a timely manner, and sometimes, not even then.

Happy Scanning - Ted

5568. Re: Need access to police databases

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: jimd7002@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990624092859.21784.00000162@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 114692

>Jim@usa.net SPEWED in message <3771BE2D.2604@usa.net>...
>>Frankly David, you have no business in there. The information that can
>>be obtained is "CONFIDENTIAL" and that's just what is means. That's
>>like me digging to your wallet and reading your social security number
>>and the host of other "CONFIDENTIAL" information about YOU. For Get
>>It.......

Jim Dude...

It's quite obvious that you never even went to the site and checked this out. You're just going off on Dave for no good reason. Geez, at least check out what you're talking about before you start ripping someone down. Do you feel that people with scanners shouldn't listen in case someone's credit card number gets broadcast from a traffic accident? HA HA HA

Jim D.

5569. Re: Uniden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Silverman <scubaguy@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7ktdcf$3ib$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 114693
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The yellow pages here says to see radio communications. I did and called all
with in a area of about 20 miles from my house. no luck.

Phil Smalley wrote in message <7krf9b$h9r$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>
>Ted Silverman wrote in message <7kplff$bj0$1@nntp1.atl.mindspring.net>...
>>I called Uniden Customer NO-service today after no response to my e-mails
>to
>>ask who carries their products in my area.
>>
>>I pressed "0" for operator. My position in the cue started at 7. is
slowly
>>went down but at one time it actually went up one number. After 27
>minutes,
>>the recording stated that my cue position is zero!!! I kept getting that
>>for one hour before I hung up.
>>
>>I thought that if someone is first cue, that would mean that they are the
>>next one to be taken care of.
>
>Did you check the phone book? Look under Communications Equipment Sales and
>Service. They'll usually have nice big yellow pages ads, but at worst,
>you'll have a list of phone #'s to call and inquire.
>
>I've spoken to Unidens' customer support before and I got right in. I guess
>it's a matter of when you call.
>
>-Phil
>
>-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
>-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>
>
>
>

5570. FS- PRO-2006, MINT IN BOX!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike wentworth <mikewent@inetw.net>
Message-ID: <LNqc3.2262$df5.405070@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 114694

For Sale
Realistic Pro 2006 scanner in mint condition. With original Box and
Paperwork.
$350.00 plus shipping.
Thank You,
mikewent@inetw.net

5571. Re: Airnav 3.1..threats from AIRNAV ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ldrc3.2820$BS6.2393@wards>
Source record: 114695

Goura <goura@altavista.net> wrote in message
news:3771CF1E.7F87@altavista.net...
> It works.
> Thanks a lot.
>

Well here we go again, I mention when asked in a newgroup where to find a crack file and this software companys threaten me with legal proceedings AGAIN !. This may or may not be real as it was via Hotmail...the usual cowards route for threats !.

------COPY----------

<acrbb@hotmail.com>
Just to advise you that people at airnavsystems.com will prosecute you for giving AirNav cracks info to newsgroups. Pay attention to that as they already have detailed info on you. I am trying to convince them not to do that...

-----COPY---------------

Well here is my response to them AGAIN !.

When the consumer has the protection (full) under the sales of goods and services act for s*itty software or software that crashes your system and is full of bugs then I will not support cracking any more. As it stands we havve bugger all rights if you (the author) sell us software which is NOT fit for it's purpose ie. does not do exactly what it claims, crashes or locks up your system etc.

I have heard all the excuses about other software /drivers etc are the cause but that is NOT good enough. It is time for the industry to smack their heads together and agree upon more standard format for making software so you do not get these problems with drivers hardware and the like.

Why should the consumer keep putting up with rubbish !.

I am not a cracker/patcher/hacker/coder or anything, if somebody asks me in a newsgroup if I have seen a file on the net I will tell them, this is NOT illegal in any shape or form so if they want to take me to court please let them as they will look very silly !

if a person come up to me in the street and asked me where the nearest bank is and then went and robbed it would I have been breaking the law ?...NO ! same thing.

trying to CENSOR me as before just makes me more determined.

EAVSDROPPERS SCANNER SOFTWARE SITE FOR THE ENTHUSIAST

HTTP://LISTEN.TO/EAVSDROPPER
OR
HTTP://WWW.EAVSDROPPER.DABSOL.CO.UK

5572. Re: PRO-43 SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9nuq@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990624104952.11020.00002629@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 114696

T

5573. Re: What is the latest on BC245xlt Release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9nuq@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990624105821.11020.00002631@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 114697

I'm planning on purchasing a used scanner. Should I save a few more pennies and buy this BC-245XLT. I have no knowledge of what is good or bad, but I've notice what seems to be a price war on this scanner. What's gives?

Roberto
N9NUQ

5574. Re: WTB Pro 2006 with OPTO456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Williamson <rick.williamson@pss.boeing.com>
Message-ID: <37724546.595FEAF@pss.boeing.com>
Source record: 114698

Yeah, I guess they have gone up a bit!

Rick

Dan wrote:
>
> Rick Williamson <rick.williamson@pss.boeing.com> wrote in message
> news:376FE6D2.EE9A8F91@pss.boeing.com...
> > Looking for a 2006 in good condition (doesn't have to be new, but must
> > be working) with the Optoelectronics 456 board and the documentation if
> > possible. I'm thinking in the $350 area. E-mail me at ricanjan@gte.net
> > with what you have.
> >
> > Thanks!
>
> Don't want to burst your bubble, Rick, but if you can find someone willing
> to part with a 2006 with the full blown 456 board, you're looking closer to
> $425-450 based on what I've seen them going for. They've even bought over
> $500 on eBay (if they don't get canceled first!).
> Since the full 456 board is no longer being produced, people are either
> hanging on to them or selling them for a premium price. If you find one for
> $350, don't take another breath, snag it before someone else does. With
> bare 2006's going for right at $300 these days, that would be a steal. Good
> luck in your search!
>
> Dan

5575. FS PRO-41

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Cook <photovsn@interl.net>
Message-ID: <7ktin2$kh0$1@forge.sbt.net>
Source record: 114699

I have a PRO-41 on ebay.
This handheld 10 channel 10 band radio works well.
no reserve price.

bidding starts at $20.00

here is the item link :

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=122103971

any questions?
jasperpso@hotmail.com

5576. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <%ksc3.2346$3C3.107643@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 114700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey,alt.mackey.is.an.idiot

When asked what he does when confronted with the truth John-Bowl says

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote ...
> lie or change the facts!!

We know Mackey-loon.......but thanks for the honesty.

5577. Re: FS- PRO-2006, MINT IN BOX!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike wentworth <mikewent@inetw.net>
Message-ID: <lYsc3.2441$df5.410345@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 114701

I would take $300.00 for this scanner.Plus shipping
mike wentworth <mikewent@inetw.net> wrote in message
news:LNqc3.2262$df5.405070@news1.atlantic.net...
> For Sale
> Realistic Pro 2006 scanner in mint condition. With original Box and
> Paperwork.
> $350.00 plus shipping.
> Thank You,
> mikewent@inetw.net
>
>

5578. Can I increase sensitivity - opto <-Read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: wrh@cbemg.cb.lucent.com
Message-ID: <7ktoao$3cn@nntpb.cb.lucent.com>
Source record: 114702

i have an optoelectronics 1300H/A counter.
how can i increase sensitivity on this so i can pick
freq. further away?
right now i need to be within' 10 feet of a transmitter
at 1 watt output, closer on smaller x-mitters.
i think the scout? and r10 can catch freq. much further.

anyone?

thanks
billh

:wq

5579. Willow Run Airshow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phantom <Phantom4@aol.com>
Message-ID: <377265F2.4D433FDA@aol.com>
Source record: 114703
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi:

Does anyone have the frequencies the Blue Angels and other flight teams for the air show at the Willow Run Airport, in Ypsilanti, Michigan this weekend? Also, any public safety freqs. would be appreciated as well.

Thanks!

Roger

5580. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@needs.help
Message-ID: <377b60e0.5040093@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 02:05:43 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>No, Macaroon, YOU are the one who keeps bringing up his name

Nice try, mental case. You are the instigator. Always have been, always will be. Period.

>
>Why do you always have to try to get the last word in?

Once again, the hypocrite speaks!!!

>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> Once again, they hypocrite speaks!
>>
>> YOU are the one bringing up his name. Either post on topic or stay out of
>> this group.
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

5581. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@needs.help
Message-ID: <377c6126.5110307@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 08:47:49 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Once again, GLENN HANSEN, you have proven that the only way you can
>defend youself in an arguement is to lie or change the facts!!

You, toilet boy, are the king of all liars. You don't have the right to judge anyone else.

Post on topic for once in your life or just walk in front of a train.

5582. BC-245XLT?? What new features?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: des <desmond@spamless.com>
Message-ID: <37726B87.BA137FAB@spamless.com>
Source record: 114706

BC-245XLT?? What new features that the BC-235XLT
doesn't have?

5583. Re: Airnav 3.1..threats from AIRNAV ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ktqr0$bf4$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114707

EAVSDROPPER wrote in message ...
>
>Well here we go again, I mention when asked in a newgroup where to find a
>crack file and this software companys threaten me with legal proceedings
>AGAIN !. This may or may not be real as it was via Hotmail...the usual
>cowards route for threats !.

I am a software developer myself, so I share a rather unique perspective on it. As far as I am aware, you have done nothing wrong. The person or person(s) who made the crack available are the ones bearing legal responsibility for their action, NOT you. Had you posted the crack itself or put it up on your site, then you would be liable, but not by just telling someone where to get it.

Even then, there's very little that can be done, this kind of thing is difficult to fight. Sure, they can sue the crap out of the author of the crack, but that still can't make up for the possible lost revenue. So what's a developer to do?

The answer is simple - don't make software that can be "registered" on-line by entering some obscure code! If someone wants to buy it, you give them a registered copy in the mail. Easy. No more cracks. (just warez... ;-))

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5584. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@needs.help
Message-ID: <378061f4.5315654@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 04:28:17 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>When you used the words "cheat, idiotic, lying, deceitfull" were
>you talking about Bill Cheek or Bill Clinton?

John Mackey.

5585. Re: WHO IS BILL CHEEK?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: mackey@needs.help
Message-ID: <377d616b.5179156@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

yawn

You've failed countless dejanews challenges by me, toilet boy. You never will
get it right.

Until you provide me with a dejanews link from ONE of my 73 challenges, you
can make all the requests you want from me and they will all go ignored.

Smoke and mirrors, retard. That's all you are.

Thu, 24 Jun 1999 02:07:27 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Then resent the proof of this admission you claim to have with enough information
>to verify authenticity thru Dejanews, or be found to be a liar!!
>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> Once again, you lie, assbag. It was less than a year ago when you admitted to
>> such things. Too many people know about it for you to deny it.
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

5586. Re: microwave ovens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Hoult <mudshark@ricochet.net>
Message-ID: <37725E4D.E010E757@ricochet.net>
Source record: 114710
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> A number of years ago, some woman of rare ignorance level, somehow managed
> to coax a poodle into her microwave oven, ostensibly to dry after a bath.
> The poodle exploded, at least that what the news stories said about the
> incident.
> That has to be the most stupid act of ignorance ever conceived-- either
> that, or the woman was mentally ill.

Either that, or you believe every urban legend you hear!

> Build your own FM radio station! Details at:
> http://members.tripod.com/~AMN92
> To reply, remove XSPAM from address.

You website is very cool - wish I had known about it before I built my station!
Every one should visit, if they haven't done so already!

5587. Re: Best price on BC-245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <377271EC.A6DFA3B@ibm.net>
Source record: 114711

DAVE MAYFIELD wrote:
> Global Wireless $220.00 FREE shipping

What kind of "free" shipping is this? Buy your radio and then get it 2 weeks later? Or priority air overnight? Or something in between?

What if I've found a place that has the radio for $210 and $10 UPS 3-day ground shipping?

Is yours a better deal because it is "FREE" shipping.

I should also think it a good idea to check into the actual pricing involved in shipping a handheld radio 2 or 3 day UPS/FedEx...as well as paying for the insurance to replace the item should it get lost or damaged in transit. Having done this, I don't think you'd be so quick to label a paltry $10 or $12 as outrageous or inflated. It seems to be right in line for the many handheld radios I've shipped in my time.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

5588. Re: Just ignore the clown act...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ktrrr$bq3$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114712

1 Angry Man <1AngryMan@antisocial.com> wrote in message
<7ks5c7$fu4$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>...
>The Subject of this is "Just ignore the clown act"
>Does that mean John's "ACTING" and he's not really a "CLOWN"?
>Please clarify this statement.
>Inquiring minds want to know!

Yes. John is only "acting" like an idiot. He knows exactly what he's doing.
Take a look at the posts. Each one is carefully engineered for maximum
flame-factor.

The only way to win his game is not to play (say... where have I heard that
one before.... ;-))

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5589. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: aci6@aol.com.net.htm
Message-ID: <19990624140549.18044.00002503@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 114713

Hello "Grant",

Thanks for your reply to my response to your post. It has given me another 'shot' at you . . .

First, given your initial question, I am not surprised at your inability to express yourself in a civil manner. It seems that ignorance, frustration and incivility go well together. Given the time that elapsed between my post and your reply, you should have been able to do better . . .

As far as "snooty" goes, that's your impression. Is it because you didn't like my reply? Guess, what? I didn't like your question! Why? There are two reasons:

1. You are obviously a novice and you have no business with one, let alone two, ham (or other) transceivers that require a LICENSE to use.

2. Even if you did have the transceivers "legitimately", the fact that you know you cannot "transmit" to the police means that you have tried to do so and failed . . .

Given this, it is my opinion that you have absolutely no business with the knowledge of how to do so or why you were unable to do so.This is especially true since, there are only three very simple reasons that you would have failed. Since you were unable to discover the reason(s) on your own, my opinion would seem to be well supported.

And, just how "constructive" would it be if you were able to "transmit" to the police??

And, as far as a "rational response" goes . . . Yours wasn't.

Nice day? Yes, it is always a nice day when I have such an opportunity . . .

Yo' Buddy,

"Bill"

CAUTION: Altered email address. Do not auto reply.
To reply via email, remove everything after .com in the listed address.

5590. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: hc@hc.ch
Message-ID: <377471aa.967578@news.netway.at>
Source record: 114714
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 02:13:57 GMT, com@com.com (.com) wrote:

>No one did ask and especially not for 200 lines of data on GSM thats
>for sure
>
Didn't ask, but am highly interested.
Thanks for your extensive detailed information.

The point which is overlooked mostly when talking about
sniffing GSM is,
that a receiving-only station does not have to be authenticied.
Just set it up to follow the frequency hops of a particular
bearer.
The crypt key is sent once during connection initialization,
you can then record the data or decypher on the fly?

What else is needed? Do I miss something?
remember: not participating in the conversation,
only receiving it, what are the requirements?
How is the frequency hopping communicated between
base station and mobile?
A handheld phone needs to be re-programmed,
certain devices use the 80C166 processor,
for example, running at about 25 Mhz.
Difficulties arise when the ONE-CHIP devices
will be the only available on the market,
and the I and Q signals are no longer accessible....
Just my 5 cents,
hi,
hc.

5591. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Nash <dspace9@waverider.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7kttc8$196$2@nclient3-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 114715
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

Thats why the goverments went for the A5 algorithm ?

So they could monitor the phone's if they needed to without so much trouble

steve nash

5592. extended memory mod pro64/2041

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph Kamenetsky <ffequities@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#gLx3Gnv#GA.256@cpmsnbbsa05>
Source record: 114716

Can anyone point me in the right direction to get instructions on making the extended memory mod for the Pro 64 or pro 2041. I would like to get the maximum possible. Is it a difficult mod. Please e-mail me directly as I can't always get to the NG. Thank you in advance

5593. Re: BC-245XLT?? What new features?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: hap@tscnet.com
Message-ID: <37727f61.5401625@localhost>
Source record: 114717

On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 09:31:51 -0800, des <desmond@spamless.com> wrote:

>BC-245XLT?? What new features that the BC-235XLT
>doesn't have?

See Strong Signals: http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/trunk.htm

Hope that helps.

5594. Re: Screw-on BNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <3772747A.489FA6AF@ibm.net>
Source record: 114718

The TNC is does not have anything to do with the navy. It is
Threaded and I forgot the names of the NC but it is for two mens
names similuar to Neal, Coleman.

Steve Donnell wrote:
>
> Jack Painter wrote:
>
> > Does anyone know where to obtain a custom-type connector to adapt any
> > standard coax (PL-259, BNC, etc) to a _small_ threaded BNC-type antenna
> > mount?
>
> Is this connector actually a coaxial design?? From your description it
> sounds like it might be a TNC(Threaded Navy(?) Connector) These are quite
> common in Cell phones and some other Commercial radios(including Marine
> radios?) They are mechacanically more reliable than BNCs. If this is the
> case, you can get TNC/BNC adaptors at RS and other places.
>
> Steve
>
> WA1YKL

5595. Re: Uniden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ku3cd$e8d$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114719
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ted Silverman wrote in message <7ktdcf$3ib$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>...
>The yellow pages here says to see radio communications. I did and called
all
>with in a area of about 20 miles from my house. no luck.

That's odd? Where do you live? I might be able to find a dealer for you...

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5596. customs frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "matt.thurston" <matt.thurston@mciworld.com>
Message-ID: <Kywc3.1049$gE5.189948@PM01NEWS>
Source record: 114720

Would anyone know the frequencies that US Customs uses at Philadelphia International Airport, thanks.

5597. Re: Uniden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Silverman <scubaguy@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7ku50edbi1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 114721
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

South Miami, Florida.

Phil Smalley wrote in message <7ku3cd$e8d$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>
>Ted Silverman wrote in message <7ktdcf$3ib$1@nntp6.atl.mindspring.net>...
>>The yellow pages here says to see radio communications. I did and called
>all
>>with in a area of about 20 miles from my house. no luck.
>
>
>That's odd? Where do you live? I might be able to find a dealer for you...
>
>-Phil
>
>-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
>-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>
>

5598. Re: Uniden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ku895fpe1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114722
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ted Silverman wrote in message <7ku50edbi1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>...
>South Miami, Florida.
>

Don't know how close these guys are, but worth looking into...

http://www.lescodistributing.com/

They have a location in Miami:
8810 NW 24 Terr.
Miami, Florida 33172
(305) 593 6131 phone
(305) 593 1338 fax
Contact: John Sabin - General Manager

Many thanks to Rich Wells, at www.strongsignals.net where I found the above
link!

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5599. Re: customs frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ku8dsfpj1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114723

matt.thurston wrote in message ...
>Would anyone know the frequencies that US Customs uses at Philadelphia
>International Airport, thanks.
>

Not off hand - they change them constantly.

Does your scanner have search functionality? If so, look in the 162-173
range - that's where they reside here in Phoenix.

If you have mil-air, they have freq's there also. You just have to find 'em.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5600. My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <7kud84$jp8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114724

I have been researching the many different scanners that are on the market lately. I really like the BC895xlt and the BC9000xlt base scanners. I think it would be a fine scanner indeed it Uniden would combine the best features of the 895xlt and the 9000xlt into one new scanner. And throw in the trunk tracking capabilities of the new 245xlt. Price it around $200 and it would be a real winner.

Being a ham I would also like to see an HT with wide band rx, and reasonable power output (more than the Q7A). What I'd really like to see is Rich Wells designing a couple of scanners, handheld and base. That would be interesting to me. I sometimes wonder if the manufacturers consult hobbyists at all. They seem to be a little slow at adding features and a little quick at leaving out the really needed features. For instance the search lockouts on the BC895xlt (20). Hopefully on the BC895xlt replacement Uniden will have at least 50 lockouts.

So what's my point? Every time I get interested in a new scanner model, the closer I look the less perfect it looks. I have been so dissapointed that I've seriously considered the higher priced handhelds, the MVT-9000 and the AR8200. But it seems even they have some serious flaws. I don't mind paying the price if I REALLY enjoy the radio. Just thinking out loud and still searching.

Bill K9KZ

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5601. Re: Airnav 3.1..threats from AIRNAV ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <BNxc3.2954$BS6.2407@wards>
Source record: 114725

>
> I am a software developer myself, so I share a rather unique perspective
on
> it. As far as I am aware, you have done nothing wrong. The person or
> person(s) who made the crack available are the ones bearing legal
> responsibility for their action, NOT you. Had you posted the crack itself
or
> put it up on your site, then you would be liable, but not by just telling
> someone where to get it.
>
> Even then, there's very little that can be done, this kind of thing is
> difficult to fight. Sure, they can sue the crap out of the author of the
> crack, but that still can't make up for the possible lost revenue. So
what's
> a developer to do?
>
> The answer is simple - don't make software that can be "registered"
on-line
> by entering some obscure code! If someone wants to buy it, you give them a
> registered copy in the mail. Easy. No more cracks. (just warez... ;-))
>
> -Phil
>
Hi Phil , I am surprised and pleased with your occupation at your rational
and pleasant response it is a refreshing change and helps to open sensible
debate on the matter.

One thing I would make clear before I am mis-interpreted is that first, I do
understand the problems that authors and manafactures have in making
software that will not clash with others installed on a system, and also
that I do not wish any ill-will upon the same people. I see your point about
online reg programmes but as you say warez would then become the norm so
removing online reg and shareware would not serve much use to authors.

I also have it that making a crack file is NOT illegal nor is distributing
it, it is only using it in conjunction with the programme that is the
illegal act (as far as i know).

But let us address the Main issue here, When a consumer purchases a software
item he/she has no guarantees that the software will not crash his machine,
destroy other software or damage the machine in other ways and for that
matter work in the way in which it was intended, this in itself to me anyway
is a crime to the consumer.

What is seriously needed is some platform/hardware//programming languages that are industry standard and can better work in harmoy with each other thus allowing the authors to have a better idea of their programmes interaction with other hardware/software on a system
If this was to be the case and then the consumer may get some kind of guarantees or warranty then fine !, buy it !. but until this happens cracking etc will never even slow down let alone be stopped, I have spoken electronically with many crackers in my time and the majority have this same opinion and many even tell me that this is the exact reason why they do it (some of course just dont want to pay).

If something like the above could happen then more would buy and authors could make better products with the extra revenue.

just my opinion of course :-)

regards

eavsdropper

5602. Re: Best price on BC-245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <3772AA9A.B03A49B0@globe-net.net>
Source record: 114726

National-Electronics has a lower price. Not by much but I'd rather
save it than pay it. They are selling the BC245XLT for $218.95,
which includes shipping. Follow this link for more info:

http://www.national-electronics.com/unbc.htm

I have never ordered from this company before now. I read
in this NG a week ago about their low price. I ordered two
yesterday. ;-)

Robert

DAVE MAYFIELD wrote:

> I spent two hours on the phone today. The best price I found so far was
> from Global Wireless, they are taking pre-orders at $220.00 FREE
> shipping. They also said they would match anyones price. Here is what I
> found, after several calls only three dealers even could give me a
> price...
>
> Grove $229.95 plus $12.00 shipping (Seems like a heck of a lot for
> shipping)
> Scanner World $219.95 plus $10.00 shipping (again this is a lot for
> shipping)
> Global Wireless $220.00 FREE shipping
>
> Their web page is at http://www.gwltd.com/gw phone is 309-762-6010
> I bought my BC-235 from them and also several parts for my C-band
> satellite system, they have always been great to work with....
>
> 73 Jim KB9BNR

5603. Re: Willow Run Airshow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: av8tor@flash.net
Message-ID: <pYxc3.321$M7.912@news.flash.net>
Source record: 114727
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <377265F2.4D433FDA@aol.com>, Phantom4@aol.com says...
>
>Hi:
>
>
>Does anyone have the frequencies the Blue Angels and other flight teams
>for the air show at the Willow Run Airport, in Ypsilanti, Michigan this
>weekend? Also, any public safety freqs. would be appreciated as well.
>
>
>Thanks!
>
>
>Roger

Check out RadiosOnLine EZine, there you'll find the latest Blue Angel and
Thunderbird frequencies.
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios

George
www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-- RadiosOnLine Ezine for HF, VHF, UHF And
American Freedoms!

--

_____
Do You Enjoy Going To The CASINOS and Winning?
Check our web site for the Secrets of the Slots!
-----> http://www.licensed4fun.com <------
_____

5604. Pro 2026 Discriminator Question....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ku9pvgak1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114728

Not that I am a newbie to scanning, but maybe someone out there has done this:

I have found a tap point on the PRO-2026 (unfortunately, it's at home and I'm at work so I can't recall where exactly the point was) and hooked up a RCA cable to it, grounding it at the solder point for the -12V ground off of the power cord. I didn't use a capacitor or anything, just hooked it straight in.

Now comes the question. When the rca cable isn't connected the scanner works just fine, at least for an old 2026 ;-). But, when the tap is connected, the volume gets REAL quiet. At least half of what it was before the connection. What's more, the decoding success rate on this thing is less than 20% - trunker won't even decode anything on it. My 895 on the other hand does superbly, achieving 98% or better on trunker, with the same kind of direct, no-cap connection.

So I'm just curious if anyone else out there has any suggestions on getting this little guy up to par... I hate having my 895 sit around on some dopey data channel all night, when I have another less sophisticated scanner doing nothing.

Thanks,
--
-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5605. Re: Winflex requirements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <19990624181252.23801.00000436@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 114729

I KNOW A GUY WHO IS USING IT ON A 486-66MHZ WITH 16 MEG OF RAM...HE SAYS ITS SLOW....BUT IT WORKS WELL...TV...HE IS ALSO USING WIN 95.
SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

5606. Re: What is the latest on BC245xlt Release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <3772DF95.6D6E@sgi.net>
Source record: 114730

Well Sir, it seems that everyone has there own opinion, which is
expected.

N9nuq wrote:
>
> I'm planning on purchasing a used scanner. Should I save a few more pennies
> and buy this BC-245XLT. I have no knowledge of what is good or bad, but I've
> notice what seems to be a price war on this scanner. What's gives?
>
> Roberto
> N9NUQ

5607. Re: Ohio State Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: none <fullemailbox@domain.com>
Message-ID: <3772B577.56CC@domain.com>
Source record: 114731

pushjeep@my-dejanews.com wrote:
>
> In article <37134BCD.4343@erienet.net>,
> rbulea@erienet.net wrote:
> > Lenore & Frank wrote:
> > >
> > > I am looking for the frequency for the Ohio State Patrol post 47 in
> > > Elyria Ohio.
> >
> > Lenore $ Frank
> > Jeffrey was correct with his frequencies of 155.445 and 465.55. 155.445
> > is the tactical frequency and it takes quite a while to hear something
> > here. 465.55 is also one of theirs and I monitor it regularly but very
> > rarely hear anything. The most common is 155.685. This is the Turnpike
> > patrol. It is so busy that sometimes I lock it out. 45.020 is the
> > airplane that they use for speeders. You will hear activity here on a
> > nice sunny Sunday afternoon. They are usually stopping cars on the
> > Turnpike or I-90 between Amherst and Elyria. Several other ones are
> > 44.74 which is HP Elyria Base, 44.86 HP Elyria Mobile, 45.100 HP
> > Special. Another Turnpike one is 155.790 but not very active around
> > here. Also 465.375 and 465.525 are used occasionly.
> >
> > Happy Scanning
> > Rick
> >
>
> The frequency of 465.55 is the link channel used between the patrol car and
> the hand held radio the officer carries. You have to be very close to
> apatrol car to hear this (1/4 Mile or so). Also 155.685 & 155.79 are used on
> alternate towers along the turnpike. Both cary the same audio. Have Fun.
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
155.7900 is very active in Cuyahoga County section of the turnpike

5608. Re: Req: Cincinnati Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: kd4ykv2@fuse.net
Message-ID: <3773b0fc.92302231@nntp.fuse.net>
Source record: 114732

On Tue, 22 Jun 1999 03:18:23 GMT, "Lawrence H. Zielasko"
<lzielasko@home.com> wrote:

>I'm heading back home to Cincinnati for a much needed leave. Was wondering
>if anyone has an up-to-date listing of frequencies for the Queen City area.

Check out my page at http://home.fuse.net/rwtrue00
I think you'll find everything you need.

Randy True
kd4ykv2@fuse.net
KOI Tri-State Scanner Page
http://home.fuse.net/rwtrue00
Amateur Call KD4YKV

5609. Wanted: Broken motorola cordless phone part...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: MacUser@Penn.com
Message-ID: <MacUser-ya023580002406992033310001@news.penn.com>
Source record: 114733
Cross-posted to: alt.cellular,alt.cellular.motorola,alt.cellular-phone-tech,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Not sure where to find this, so I'm hoping you folks who read these groups might be a good source for either the part itself or leads that will get me there. (I've tried Motorola, and they were very helpful, but don't have the part I need. They discontinued these phones several months ago.)

I need a "pivotal desk stand" that will fit a Motorola model 5525 cordless phone. Motorola made several cordless models, but I think they all pretty much fell into two sizes, a narrow unit and a wide-body. The 5525 is the wider of the two (or more if there are more). It has a numeric keypad and a speakerphone option on the base.

The pivotal desk stand attaches to the back of the phone and pivots one way or the other to either allow you to mount the phone on the wall or to set it on a desktop. Without one, the phone won't mount securely on the wall and flops back and forth on the desk. Not good. ;-)

The part I'm looking for would be about five and half inches wide where it connects to the back of the phone. Dark gray or black would be ideal, as that would match the phone, and those were the most common colors anyway.

I'm thinking it is logical that the handset part of the phone is the most vulnerable to damage and that somebody out there has one of these that is broken, but has a good desk stand on the back of the base.

I'm interested in the whole phone, just the base, or just the desk stand part, whatever is most convenient.

If you've got one, drop me a note!

--
Dave Shaffer
MacUser@Penn.com

5610. Re: Rubber duckie Antenae-Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Paul J. Zawada" <zawada@no.spam>
Message-ID: <3772D1B7.1D29BB2D@no.spam>
Source record: 114734

Catboat333 wrote:

> Why are attennas on hand-helds rubber coated-is it, as I suspect, to prevent
> ESD damage to sensitive front ends, or am I giving manufacturers far too much
> credit?
>
> And-if so-do you run a risk using a non rubber such as a Watson-a reportedly
> fine antenna. Cappy Anderson

Rubber ducks are built that way mainly for convenience. After all, a 'duck is simply a coil with a rubber cover. Look at your standard table-top or portable (non-hand-held) scanners... Most come with a standard telescopic antenna.

The first secret to really pulling in the signals on a hand-held is ditching the 'duck and using something else. Most scanners are supplied with 'ducks because telescopic antennas can be a bit unwieldy, especially in close confines like inside an automobile...

Once upon a time, you had the choice of a telescopic or rubber duck antenna when you bought your Motorola HT. (e.g. HT-220, MT-500) radio manufacturers stopped offering the telescopic antennas years ago because most folks preferred the convenience of a 'duck. Unfortunately, most people don't realize you take a serious performance hit, especially with "stubby ducks".

--zawada

zawada at ieee dot or

5611. Re: Best price on BC-245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <3772D1F4.68B290A8@globe-net.net>
Source record: 114735

It is important to know the shipping cost because companies like CEI
(http://www.usascan.com/) charge $19 to ship the same scanner. So
they may initially seem cheaper than someone else but if you don't pay
attention, they slip the shipping charge in when you place your order.
Now, do you think $19 is reasonable to ship a handheld scanner?
That's $10 more than the company I mentioned. Any extra money
that isn't important to you, please send it my way!

OBTW, it hasn't been released yet so no one has it in stock, including
Uniden... and stop shouting. ;-)

Robert

N8PPZ73 wrote:

> WHO REALLY CARES ABOUT THIS SHIPPING???? THE BIG QUESTION IS DO THEY HAVE IT
> IN STOCK?????
>
> Grego
> Grego, N8PPZ
> Watching from "THE SPOT" at Wright Patterson Air Force Base
> "Official SPOT of the new millinium"

5612. Re: microwave ovens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: M Weiss <mweiss@XSPAMjavanet.com>
Message-ID: <7kul4u$21j$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 114736
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Kevin Hoult wrote in message <37725E4D.E010E757@ricochet.net>...
>> A number of years ago, some woman of rare ignorance level, somehow
managed
>> to coax a poodle into her microwave oven, ostensibly to dry after a bath.
>> The poodle exploded, at least that what the news stories said about the
>> incident.
>> That has to be the most stupid act of ignorance ever conceived-- either
>> that, or the woman was mentally ill.
>
>Either that, or you believe every urban legend you hear!

I heard it through a major media outlet, such as the local paper or on
television, not sure which.

>> Build your own FM radio station! Details at:
>> http://members.tripod.com/~AMN92
>> To reply, remove XSPAM from address.
>
>You website is very cool - wish I had known about it before I built my
station!
>Every one should visit, if they haven't done so already!
>

Thanks. It's more of a concept site, as I didn't target the content toward
the general public originally. When this material was scribbled on paper, it
was for my personal notes, as I worked on breadboarding conceptuals. The
site could be MUCH better, if I chose to devote a lot of time to retargeting
the content, but for now, I went with the notion that some information was
better than no information. Bear in mind that all that content was created
between 1979-82. Solid state electronics was pretty new to me then, as up to
that time I had worked exclusively with vacuum tube designs. Maybe that was
good, as it caused me to keep my designs simple.

Mark
The "Peg-legged" Bass Pig

^ ^
_o^O-/ \
(oo)_ ?)
_/)
_

Build your own FM radio station! Details at:
http://members.tripod.com/~AMN92
To reply, remove XSPAM from address.

5613. Re: microwave ovens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sir <sir@sir.com>
Message-ID: <3772DED3.6E26@sir.com>
Source record: 114737
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> That has to be the most stupid act of ignorance ever conceived-- either
> that, or the woman was mentally ill.

Actually, that is one of those stories that never happened. Like the one about the woman who came home and her dog was choking on something that turned out to be some fingers and called the police who found a scared burglar hiding in a closet in her home. I can't remember any of the others at the moment but perhaps someone else here does. I think there is a book that has all these. If you think about it, you really can't fit a poodle or cat (the story sometimes uses a cat instead of a poodle) in a microwave without really hurting it or breaking it's legs.

Oh I remember a few of the other ones, George Washington and the Cherry Tree, and the girl who was told by her mother that her little brother had what she didn't because sometimes the doctor forgets to cut it off when you are born at the hospital, and later the mother hears screaming and runs upstairs to find that the girl cut her brothers penis off with a scissors.

5614. Re: Need Johnson Space Center Freq's!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC5ZRQ <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <S4Bc3.19496$8l1.142390@news3.giganews.com>
Source record: 114738

Try http://members.xoom.com/houstonscan/nasajsc.htm these are a little outdated but they are worth programing into your scanner. I think NASA has a 400 MHz trunked radio system. If you can find out anything about what is out there I would like to know.
John Habbinga - www.houstonscan.com

William DeVore <C7605@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:7ksdpq$ff7$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net...
> Need freq's for Johnson Space Center in Houston going there for a tour in
> a couple days. Please email them to me.
> Thanks,
> Bill
>

5615. Re: microwave ovens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-24 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert F. Golaszewski" <mildred@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7kupfl$1aik$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 114739
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sir <sir@sir.com> wrote in message news:3772DED3.6E26@sir.com...
> > That has to be the most stupid act of ignorance ever conceived-- either
> > that, or the woman was mentally ill.
>
> Actually, that is one of those stories that never happened. Like the
> one about the woman who came home and her dog was choking on something
> that turned out to be some fingers and called the police who found a
> scared burglar hiding in a closet in her home. I can't remember any of
> the others at the moment but perhaps someone else here does. I think
> there is a book that has all these. If you think about it, you really
> can't fit a poodle or cat (the story sometimes uses a cat instead of a
> poodle) in a microwave without really hurting it or breaking it's legs.

Actually, many cats would easily fit in a large microwave. My cat
would certainly fit in mine. Since he is dry, I won't try at the moment. ;)
--

-Robert F. Golaszewski

(If any of my posts are found to contain an error, they come with a double
your money back guarantee.)

Visit http://third-plateau.lycaeum.org/cgi/anyboard/board/index.html
for the best general interest site on the Net about
DXM (dextromethorphan) used recreationally.

5616. Re: Rubber duckie Antenae-Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: LAJerry@excite.com
Message-ID: <3772d6a1.2775192@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114549

On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 20:47:52 -0400, "Paul J. Zawada" <zawada@no.spam>
wrote:

>
>The first secret to really pulling in the signals on a hand-held is ditching the
>'duck and using something else. Most scanners are supplied with 'ducks because
>telescopic antennas can be a bit unwieldy, especially in close confines like
>inside an automobile...

Any recommendations for replacements? I have a Radio Shack telescopic
but saw a review of the Watson W889 (think that's correct) which is
jointed and which collapses to look like a duck.

Thanks

5617. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: W9FL <w9fl@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <7kunp3$gb1@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 114550

chris_grant@my-deja.com wrote:
>In article <19990622001900.10455.00003485@ng-ca1.aol.com>,
> aci6@aol.com.net.htm (Bill) wrote:
>> When you have the proper license, you get to transmit. Otherwise, you
>don't.
>So "Bill" I guess there is some magic switchboard in the sky that
>determines if your licencse is valid or not? I don't think so, but maybe
>your correct(Highly doubt it)Since I can transmit to the same model at
>the same freq. this kind of rules out your hypotheisis doesn't it? I a
>no EE major nor I am experienced in ham radio operation, but simple
>deductive reasoning would kind of suggest some sort of filter mech. the
>police radios have which I lack. All I asked for was a simple
>explaination, not a snooty,"this is a ham-experienced only discussion
>group and you are obviously a novice, so I will not even grant you the
>common courtesy of a rational response" kind of bullshit answer. If you
>don't have anything constuctive to say then shut the fuck up.
>
>Have a nice day
>
>Grant
>
>
>>
>
>
>> The radios you mentioned are ham rigs??
>>
>> If so, and you are a ham, you should be able to answer your own
>question. If
>> so, and you are not a ham, why buy the rigs in the first place? A
>similar
>> question would apply if they are commercial band rigs . . .
>>
>> Bill
>>
>> PS Guys, you do remember the recent thread on this very subject . . .
>Let's not
>> have a repeat, OK?
>>
>> CAUTION: Altered email address. Do not auto reply.
>> To reply via email, remove everything after .com in the listed
>address.
>>
>

>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

Grant,

It is not a "filter", or "CTCSS" that keeps you from transmitting on the Police frequencies. Most radios are run by a microprocessor nowdays. The firmware is programed only for transmit on certain frequencies. They also do not have the necessary values of electronic componants to allow transmission in the police frequencies. Even if you changed the electronics, the firmware program would not allow transmission.

It is also not a good idea to swear at people who are offering a reply to your question.

Tom

5618. Re: What is the latest on BC245xlt Release date?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: W9FL <w9fl@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <7kuot9$gb1@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 114551

n9nuq@aol.com (N9nuq) wrote:
>I'm planning on purchasing a used scanner. Should I save a few more pennies
>and buy this BC-245XLT. I have no knowledge of what is good or bad, but I've
>notice what seems to be a price war on this scanner. What's gives?
>
>Roberto
>N9NUQ

I would save the extra pennies, and get the BC245. This is a trunking
scanner. More and more areas are going to trunking systems. If you buy a
non-trunking scanner, you probably would be sorry in the future.
The reason for the concern about price is that this is a new model, not
out yet. Everyone wants to know what we will have to pay for this one. It
apparently should be available for purchase in the next couple of weeks,
or so.

Tom

5619. BUZZARD PEAK 145.460 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: PDWK66A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <7kur47mlg1@newssvr01-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 114552

RE: BUZZARD PEAK 145.460 MHz

I used to monitor the BUZZARD PEAK 2m repeater on 145.460 MHz since whenever there was an earthquake in Southern California, hams from all over would get on that repeater and report what they felt, and within a few minutes, you would have a pretty good idea of the epicenter and reports on any damage.

This repeater is listed in the 1997 Southern California ScanFan (6th Ed.), but is NOT listed in the 1998 Repeater Location Guide.

I tried to raise the repeater this weekend, but had no success.

On Wednesday, June 23, 1999 around 9:30 pm local, I heard a computerized voice announce essentially the following:

"K6PYP and KC6OKA - Southern California Dept. of Veterans' Affairs Emergency SHARES Repeater."

I tried again to raise anyone on this frequency, but again no success.

Does anyone on here know what happened to the BUZZARD PEAK repeater and what this Dept. of VA Emergency SHARES Repeater is?

Thanks and 73 de JOHN, KC6NWJ

E-mail: kc6nwj@juno.com

5620. F/S Shortwave Radio and Base Scanners - Great Prices - Just Reduced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Eugene Protas <protas@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3772EFB9.E9C00C15@flash.net>
Source record: 114553
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

For Sale:

DX 398 Shortwave Radio - Excellent condition - Includes soft case and manual. 212 preprogrammed shortwave
stations. Up to 306 total memory positions. $150 includes shipping to anywhere in Continental US

Uniden BC860XLT 100 Channel Base Model Scanner - Like new condition. 800mhz coverage (cell blocked).
Only $85 includes shipping.

Radio Shack Pro2037 200 Channel triple conversion base model scanner - Clean condition. 800mhz
coverage (less cellular). Triple conversion circuitry virtually eliminates interference, non volatile memory. Only
$120 includes shipping.

5621. Re: Willow Run Airshow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "j u n k m a i l 2"@home.com
Message-ID: <FxCc3.2170$qd.1922@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 114554
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've put up a page for freqs I've gathered over the last several years at
Willow Run's airshow. http://tom.howley.com/airshow/

Tom

>Does anyone have the frequencies the Blue Angels and other flight teams
>for the air show at the Willow Run Airport, in Ypsilanti, Michigan this
>weekend? Also, any public safety freqs. would be appreciated as well.

5622. Re: Uniden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike <Jerryharker@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <01bebeb4$79ba5d40$2aa8fdd1@default>
Source record: 114555
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Phil and Ted,
Lesco is a distributor to dealers. This company does not sell to the
public, as I found that one in my area does only sell to private dealers
such as CB dealers and other communication dealers in the area. Mike

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote in article
<7ku895fpe1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>...
>
> Ted Silverman wrote in message <7ku50edbi1@nntp8.atl.mindspring.net>...
> >South Miami, Florida.
> >
>
>
> Don't know how close these guys are, but worth looking into...
>
> http://www.lescodistributing.com/
>
> They have a location in Miami:
> 8810 NW 24 Terr.
> Miami, Florida 33172
> (305) 593 6131 phone
> (305) 593 1338 fax
> Contact: John Sabin - General Manager
>
>
> Many thanks to Rich Wells, at www.strongsignals.net where I found the
above
> link!
>
> -Phil
>
> -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
> -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>
>
>

5623. Re: ScanList question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990624235420.23091.00003382@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 114556

Go to the RadioShack web site and download the manual for the Pro-90
scanner. It's identical to the 235XLT.
Basically, think of scan lists in the same way you think of banks in a
conventional scanner. Instead of storing frequencies in a bank, you store
talkgroup IDs in a scan list. That way you only have to listen to those you
want.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

5624. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David R. Ward" <wa1rd@home.com>
Message-ID: <C6Dc3.5170$y92.3154@news.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 114557

And Bill - if some manufacturer would just build a really good one (how about some hobbyists as a focus group?) imagine the money they would make... It just can't be that hard to do. Are any manufacturers listening?
WA1RD

5625. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37730601.5107D527@usa.net>
Source record: 114558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

When confronted with the truth you simply try to change the facts
& people's statements as you have been doing so many, many times
on this NG.

Glenn wrote:

> When asked what he does when confronted with the truth

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5626. Re: Squelch question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: LAJerry@excite.com
Message-ID: <377305a7.14822389@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114559

On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 22:17:17 -0500, "Ricky Nickolson"
<rnick@entercomp> wrote:

>When searching with my old trusty bearcat 160 scanner (I know, I know, but I
>can't afford anything else right now!) in the 45.000 to 49.000 range, with
>the squelch button pressed as high as it will go, it keeps stopping on
>"noise". Is this actual transmissions flooding this frequency range, or
>might there be a problem with the radio. It doesn't seem to be a problem
>when searching higher frequencies, just this range...

I am not a techie, but I think that scanners which rely on
synthesizing frequencies have what are popularly called "birdies" --
noise as you describe. Even triple conversion units will have this.
The manual with one scanner I owned even listed these frequencies.

On the other hand, I sometimes get noise like this on a frequency
which is otherwise fine. Strange thing--when I used a mobile antenna
it would not appear, but with the ducky it did. (This was on 160.650.)
I was not able to get it to do this all the time.

Jerry in Los Angeles
MP 155 on BNSF San Bernardino Subdivision

5627. Re: microwave ovens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: M Weiss <mweiss@XSPAMjavanet.com>
Message-ID: <7kv1tg$98c$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 114560
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Sir wrote in message <3772DED3.6E26@sir.com>...
>> That has to be the most stupid act of ignorance ever conceived-- either
>> that, or the woman was mentally ill.
>
>Actually, that is one of those stories that never happened. Like the
>one about the woman who came home and her dog was choking on something
>that turned out to be some fingers and called the police who found a
>scared burglar hiding in a closet in her home. I can't remember any of
>the others at the moment but perhaps someone else here does. I think
>there is a book that has all these. If you think about it, you really
>can't fit a poodle or cat (the story sometimes uses a cat instead of a
>poodle) in a microwave without really hurting it or breaking it's legs.
>
>Oh I remember a few of the other ones, George Washington and the Cherry
>Tree, and the girl who was told by her mother that her little brother
>had what she didn't because sometimes the doctor forgets to cut it off
>when you are born at the hospital, and later the mother hears screaming
>and runs upstairs to find that the girl cut her brothers penis off with
>a scissors.

Gee, didn't that last one really happen? Or was Lorena Bobbitt just another
"urban legend"? <g>

Mark
The "Peg-legged" Bass Pig

^ ^
_o^O-/ \
(oo)_ ?)
_/)
_

Build your own FM radio station! Details at:
http://members.tripod.com/~AMN92
To reply, remove XSPAM from address.

5628. Re: DIGITAL CELL PHONES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37731100.1474862@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 114561

radiotube@aol.com (RADIO TUBE) wrote:

:Hi,
:I was wondering now that cell phones are changing from analog to digital will
:scanners still be able to listen in in them.
:Mario

No.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

5629. Re: Squelch question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: aci6@aol.com.net.htm
Message-ID: <19990625020644.03950.00005092@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 114562

Hello Ricky,

Sometimes, power lines generate noise.

Other possibilities are:

* Spurious signals from transmitters on other frequencies.

* Atmospheric noise.

* Appliances, fluorescent lights, computers, scanners (yes, scanners!!) or other devices nearby.

* It might even be a cordless phone with some type of encryption.

You can get an idea if it is due to birdies, as others have suggested, by trying a different scanner in the same location on the same frequencies at the same time. If both do it on the same frequency (and both aren't BC-160s), then that signal most likely isn't a birdie. If the other scanner doesn't stop on the noise, chances are the noise is being generated within your BC-160. (Of course, it could also be that the other scanner is too "deaf" to receive whatever these signals are!!!!)

One other thing you might try is to see if it does it with no antenna. If it doesn't, chances are the noise isn't being generated from within your scanner.

In any case, good luck with it. I have an old BC-160 that I use almost everyday and it still works well. I use mine on UHF and on programmed frequencies, so I haven't really had the opportunity to run across this problem.

Regards,

Bill

CAUTION: Altered email address. Do not auto reply.
To reply via email, remove everything after .com in the listed address.

5630. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <37731c7d.4416504@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 114563

chris_grant@my-deja.com wrote:

:In article <19990622001900.10455.00003485@ng-ca1.aol.com>,
: aci6@aol.com.net.htm (Bill) wrote:
:> When you have the proper license, you get to transmit. Otherwise, you
:don't.
:So "Bill" I guess there is some magic switchboard in the sky that
:determines if your licencse is valid or not? I don't think so, but maybe
:your correct(Highly doubt it)Since I can transmit to the same model at
:the same freq. this kind of rules out your hypotheisis doesn't it? I a
:no EE major nor I am experienced in ham radio operation, but simple
:deductive reasoning would kind of suggest some sort of filter mech. the
:police radios have which I lack.

Now we find that you can transmit on police bands, which is not what you
said before. It is likely that you need to set the right CTCSS code on
your radio.

:Grant

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

5631. WTT/Swap BC 890 + BC 560

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: webmedics@aol.comspambloc
Message-ID: <19990625023806.18157.00002662@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 114564

I've got a Bearcat Uniden 560XLT and a Bearcat Uniden 890XLT that I would like to offer in trade.

I'm looking for a BC 895XLT, with or without cell mods.

Both of mine for just one of yours, can't beat that, I'll pay shipping too!
The difference between Genius and Stupidity is Genius has its limits. Albert Einstein

5632. Re: Pro 2026 Discriminator Question....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: marc_k@walrus.com
Message-ID: <7kv860$d6a$1@alice.walrus.com>
Source record: 114565

Phil Smalley (ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com) wrote:
: Not that I am a newbie to scanning, but maybe someone out there has done
: this:

: I have found a tap point on the PRO-2026 (unfortunately, it's at home and
: I'm at work so I can't recall where exactly the point was) and hooked up a
: RCA cable to it, grounding it at the solder point for the -12V ground off of
: the power cord. I didn't use a capacitor or anything, just hooked it
: straight in.

: Now comes the question. When the rca cable isn't connected the scanner works
: just fine, at least for an old 2026 ;-). But, when the tap is connected, the
: volume gets REAL quiet. At least half of what it was before the connection.
: What's more, the decoding success rate on this thing is less than 20% -
: trunker won't even decode anything on it. My 895 on the other hand does
: superbly, achieving 98% or better on trunker, with the same kind of direct,
: no-cap connection.

: So I'm just curious if anyone else out there has any suggestions on getting
: this little guy up to par... I hate having my 895 sit around on some dopey
: data channel all night, when I have another less sophisticated scanner doing
: nothing.

: Thanks,
: --
: -Phil

: -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
: -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

Either put a capacitor or a resistor in line with the discriminator
output-you are loading the discriminator output too heavily-which is why
things get "quiet" when you try to use it.

5633. Re: Airnav 3.1..threats from AIRNAV ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <37732c98.15551857@news>
Source record: 114566

On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 22:54:16 +0100, "EAVSDROPPER"
<ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote:

>What is seriously needed is some platform/hardware//programming languages
>that are industry standard and can better work in harmoy with each other
>thus allowing the authors to have a better idea of their programmes
>interaction with other hardware/software on a system
>If this was to be the case and then the consumer may get some kind of
>guarantees or warranty then fine !, buy it !. but until this happens
>cracking etc will never even slow down let alone be stopped

As a professional software developer, I can assure you (and Phil and
others can back me up on this) what you want is almost impossible. The
complexity of today's personal computer systems is already immense,
and each day it becomes even *more* so (Microsoft alone seems to come
out with a new "technology" and a corresponding API on a monthly
basis). Each day new and more complex hardware hits the market, more
and more software developers of all skill levels release their
programs to an ever-expanding market of end users - it's physically
impossible to test each and every possible combination to screen out
harmful interactions. The best you can ever hope for is that the
software company will refund your money if the program won't work
properly on your system. How you deal with this reality is, of course,
up to you. ;-)

As for cracks and piracy, until the day that all software is given
away for free, there will always be crackers and pirates.

5634. Re: Airnav 3.1..threats from AIRNAV ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al & Lo <alxlo@valinet.com>
Message-ID: <377339D5.28EC3109@valinet.com>
Source record: 114567

or get a Mac ; }

--
Please remove the X From allo to reply, it cuts the junk mail by 85%
Thank you

5635. Icom T8A info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "D. F. Cimperman, Jr." <cimperman@tusco.net>
Message-ID: <7kvgvp$3ph$1@news2.ee.net>
Source record: 114568

I just got an Icom T8A radio, Is there any commands, or mods to open up the transmit, or even just the recieve range of the radio. I'd like to open it up to rec the local OSP, and FD dispatch freq in low band. Any one have any info they can pass along?

Dave

5636. Switzerland - Lugano Tower Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: nospam@anytime.com
Message-ID: <377553f9.11165685@news.tinet.ch>
Source record: 114569

Hello,

if anyone from Switzerland around here, what's the Lugano tower
frequency ?

Thanks

Rob

5637. Re: Best price on BC-245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications <dat2comm@toad.net>
Message-ID: <t4Jc3.3866$Li1.6631457@news-read1.qis.net>
Source record: 114570

I have delt with National. They are OK. No problems.

--
Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
dat2comm@toad.net or dat2comm@juno.com
(410) 360-6894
Robert wrote in message <3772AA9A.B03A49B0@globe-net.net>...
>National-Electronics has a lower price. Not by much but I'd rather
>save it than pay it. They are selling the BC245XLT for $218.95,
>which includes shipping. Follow this link for more info:
>
>http://www.national-electronics.com/unbc.htm
>
>I have never ordered from this company before now. I read
>in this NG a week ago about their low price. I ordered two
>yesterday. ;-)
>
>Robert
>
>DAVE MAYFIELD wrote:
>
>> I spent two hours on the phone today. The best price I found so far was
>> from Global Wireless, they are taking pre-orders at $220.00 FREE
>> shipping. They also said they would match anyones price. Here is what I
>> found, after several calls only three dealers even could give me a
>> price...
>>
>> Grove $229.95 plus $12.00 shipping (Seems like a heck of a lot for
>> shipping)
>> Scanner World $219.95 plus $10.00 shipping (again this is a lot for
>> shipping)
>> Global Wireless $220.00 FREE shipping
>>
>> Their web page is at http://www.gwltd.com/gw phone is 309-762-6010
>> I bought my BC-235 from them and also several parts for my C-band
>> satellite system, they have always been great to work with....
>>
>> 73 Jim KB9BNR
>

5638. Re: Airnav 3.1..threats from AIRNAV ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <zbKc3.4739$w61.2234@stones>
Source record: 114571

>
> As a professional software developer, I can assure you (and Phil and
> others can back me up on this) what you want is almost impossible.

that i can understand but only the developers and manafacturers are to blame
for this for not getting it toether in the first place :-(

The
> complexity of today's personal computer systems is already immense,
> and each day it becomes even *more* so (Microsoft alone seems to come
> out with a new "technology" and a corresponding API on a monthly
> basis).

Microsoft.....arggggghhhhhh !

Each day new and more complex hardware hits the market, more
> and more software developers of all skill levels release their
> programs to an ever-expanding market of end users - it's physically
> impossible to test each and every possible combination to screen out
> harmful interactions. The best you can ever hope for is that the
> software company will refund your money if the program won't work
> properly on your system. How you deal with this reality is, of course,
> up to you. ;-)
>

Shiva I agree with everything you have said above , but at the end of the
day it should NOT be the consumers problem, if all the smart ass peeops who
make all this thought first instead of rushing about trying to out-do each
other it would not be in this mess with all this conflicting of
soft/hardware, but as you say it is all so bogged down now it would take a
lifetime to stabilise it all.

> As for cracks and piracy, until the day that all software is given
> away for free, there will always be crackers and pirates.
>

You are probably right there, I would never begrudge a person for trying to
make a livig out of computers but this problem of legal rights with
software/hardware will HAVE to be addressed sooner or later and god help
them when it is because it will probably kill of the little guy !

I do not have the answers unfortunately, but until the answeres pop
up......on it goes i suppose

best of luck to ya !!

eavsdropper

5639. Re: Airnav 3.1..threats from AIRNAV ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8cKc3.4741$w61.2230@stones>
Source record: 114572

Al & Lo <alxlo@valinet.com> wrote in message
news:377339D5.28EC3109@valinet.com...
> or get a Mac ; }
>
> --
> Please remove the X From allo to reply, it cuts the junk mail by 85%
> Thank you
>
> ....erm.....NO ! :-)

windoze systen is enough hassle why add to it :-)

eavsdropper

5640. FS: Pro-2006+OS456

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: fa419@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7kvrt7fis1@alexander.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 114573

For sale:
Realistic Pro-2006 scanner, installed Optoelectronics OS-456 and
Scanstar Professional software (for IBM PC) version 3.44

All one owner, bought on 9/14/94. I have the original receipts for
all three.

Included:
- Original owners manual for all three as well as Realistic
Service manual for 2006.
- Serial cable for connection of PC to OS456/2006 and original telescoping
antenna.

Pro-2006 is in perfect working and cosmetic condition, backlight
hardly used and in perfect condition because the unit was almost
always computer controlled.

$500.00 plus shipping/handling/insurance for all

Michael Staples

5641. Re: Icom R2 Programming "language"???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vlaski Goran <Ingra-Beton@t-online.de>
Message-ID: <3773786E.B15AF2CC@t-online.de>
Source record: 114574

Trail Boss schrieb:
>
> Does anyone know the language for programming the Icom R2? I'd like to
> write a Visual Basic program to control it...

You can not control it :(, just memory managment, a
freeware Software you can find on my page.

--

Goran Vlaski (Ingra-Beton@t-online.de)
http://vlaski.virtualave.net/
http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/

5642. Programmable 2-way radios F/S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: W8EMS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25504-37737360-9@newsd-121.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 114575

I have the following items for sale. All are clean and in good condtion. Selling to purchase new equipment so not interested in trades.

1. 16 Channel programmable RELM VHF 25 watt mobile. Model 256-NB (New version) Covers 146 to 159 Mhz as tuned, recently serviced. Radio is user programmable with switch on rear of rig. $175.00

2. Pair of R/S VHF 2 channel HT's on 154.600. Second channel empty. (crystal radios) Think the output is 2 watts. Have one wall charger. $100.00

3. RELM Microcom 16 channel UHF HT 440 - 470 Mhz. 1 or 4 watts out, scan, programmable PL, priority. Radio is user programmable with internal switch. $150.00

Will program and/or provide programming instructions for radios. All prices are plus shipping by priority mail. Payment by postal money perfered. Please e-mail replies.

.....
E-mail: W8EMS@webtv.net
EMS web page: http://www.angelfire.com/oh2/W8EMS
(updated: June 23, 1999.)

5643. Re: SSB Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7kvu5m$b98$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 114576

In article <7kp0ralcu1@nnrp1.deja.com>, k9kz@indy.net says...
>
>Are there any scanners that do an excellent job with ham SSB
>selectivity, stability, audio, etc... price range approx. $500 or
>under. Tnx!!!
>
>Bill K9KZ
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Share what you know. Learn what you don't.
The Icom PCR1000 does a good job from 100KHz to 1300MHz on
AM/FM/USB/LSB/NFM/WFM. If you scan discrete frequencies (as opposed to
scaning from say 2MHz to 3MHz)[like maritime or aero channels]most
stations are close enough to same frequency that it works very well.
Sometimes people will sound a little "weird" because they are not
"netted" to the proper frequency. But if you are scanning a range (ie 2
to 3MHz)like the HF ham bands, then it just won't work very well because
there is no "AFC" in the LSB/USB modes. With the PCR1000 there is a
"voice squelch mode" that almost will stop the scan on frequency.
It will sometimes stop the scan at apoint where you can understand the
"voice", but never to where it is comfortable to listen to.
The PCR100 may have all these features as a somewhhat lower cost.
Some of the 2mtr ops around here operate on the "same" frequency day
after day, and I have those freqs plugged in to the "ham" bank.
I hope this makes sense.

5644. Re: ScanList question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Silverman <scubaguy@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7l00ud$it8$1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 114577

www.uniden.com then see if they have the manual on line. I downloaded one
there for the 150.

huey wrote in message <3772EBB5.EAEDEF3@NoSpam.com>...
>I just bought a BC-235XLT without a manual.
>Can someone explain to me what a scanlist is?
>I live in Vancouver, WA.
>I hope I have the correct frequencies programmed in
>or have them all programmed in. Info from Radio Shack.
>Does someone have the frequencies that they are sure
>worked for Portland/Vancouver?
>I hear a lot of bus/meter reader shit that I want to avoid,
>how do I do that?
>
>thanks
>

5645. Re: Squelch question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill & Lisa McCrory <wmccrory@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <37738EE0.2BFC@postoffice.pacbell.net>
Source record: 114578

Ricky:

Here's a quick test to determine if the "signal" is internally or externally generated: Disconnect the antenna. If the signal is still there, it's internally generated. If the signal goes away, it's externally generated.

Bill WD4HSE

5646. Re: Squelch question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: we@bulldog.org
Message-ID: <7l03s0$cn7$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 114579

In article <37738EE0.2BFC@postoffice.pacbell.net>,
wmccrory@postoffice.pacbell.net says...
>
>Ricky:
>
>Here's a quick test to determine if the "signal" is internally or
>externally generated: Disconnect the antenna. If the signal is still
>there, it's internally generated. If the signal goes away, it's
>externally generated.
>
>Bill WD4HSE
>
Er...I hate to disagree, but that is not always the case.
I have a homebrew LED clock from the early 70s'. It used a 3.58 color
burst crystal as the refference. the 41 harmonic fall on 146.76.
And it is stong enough to break the squelch on most of my VHF radios
(IC-28A,IC-2AT,IC-u2AT,S1,Pro2004,Pro34) with the antenna disconected.
Now by moving any of these radios 10' away the "signal" goes away.
I can hear this little beast at least 1000 away. And the oscillator is
a comercial "Part 15 type accepted" device. The harmonics from 100MHZ
to 400MHZ are much stronger than the fundamental.
My PCR1000 does not "hear" it with the antenna disconnected.
I had the clock before I got my first VHF gear and had never even heard
the 3.579545MHz signal while SWLing. It took about a week to figure out
why the local 146.76 repeater had a weak carrier there all the time, and
a soft little audio whistle in the background during quite spots.
I have seen modems nearly as bad, they can be recieved all over the
VHF/UHF even with the antenna disconected.
One day when the AC mains went down, and the "signal" went away, I
realized that was something in my area. Only took a few minutes atfer
the AC came back up. I first killed my house mains and when it went
away, started checking breaker by breaker. When the power to my radio
room went down so did the signal. My radio was on a backup supply, but
I had not gotten around to putting the clock on a backup battery.
Good hunting!

5647. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <377992a0.7597904@news.erols.com>
Source record: 114580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 19:31:09 +0100, "Steve Nash"
<dspace9@waverider.co.uk> wrote:

>Thats why the goverments went for the A5 algorithm ?
>
>So they could monitor the phone's if they needed to without so much trouble

Naw. If they wanted to monitor phones they can just as easily go the
central office and insert the appropriate monitors there.

Of course, espionage is another game altogether. Using weak
encryption on export telecommunications products is standard policy of
the USA.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

5648. Reno Air Race Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mac Feely <mfeely@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <xWMc3.16008$J4.8832@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net>
Source record: 114581

I'm headed to the Reno Air Races in September....
Does anyone know where I can get the freqs???

5649. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <377a9483.8080842@news.erols.com>
Source record: 114582

On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 22:59:21 GMT, Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:

>I have been researching the many different scanners that are on the
>market lately. I really like the BC895xlt and the BC9000xlt base
>scanners. I think it would be a fine scanner indeed it Uniden would
>combine the best features of the 895xlt and the 9000xlt into one new
>scanner. And throw in the trunk tracking capabilities of the new
>245xlt. Price it around $200 and it would be a real winner.
>
>Being a ham I would also like to see an HT with wide band rx, and
>reasonable power output (more than the Q7A). What I'd really like to
>see is Rich Wells designing a couple of scanners, handheld and base.
>That would be interesting to me. I sometimes wonder if the
>manufacturers consult hobbyists at all. They seem to be a little slow
>at adding features and a little quick at leaving out the really needed
>features. For instance the search lockouts on the BC895xlt (20).
>Hopefully on the BC895xlt replacement Uniden will have at least 50
>lockouts.
>
>So what's my point? Every time I get interested in a new scanner model,
>the closer I look the less perfect it looks. I have been so
>dissapointed that I've seriously considered the higher priced
>handhelds, the MVT-9000 and the AR8200. But it seems even they have
>some serious flaws. I don't mind paying the price if I REALLY enjoy the
>radio. Just thinking out loud and still searching.

Hmmm. Like any other engineering design, a scanner is nothing more
than a compromise between receiver performance, power consumption,
size, cost, complexity, and so on.

Where you draw those lines is a matter of marketing. If you want a
unit with no compromises, expect complexity, expense, and not a whole
lot of portability.

I think the best ideas I've seen yet are the PC based scanners. A
substantial fraction of the price of any radio is the case, knobs,
speaker, buttons, processor, and so on. I look forward to seeing
scanners drop in price and/or improve performance while keeping prices
reasonable.

Of course, the portable scanners will never go away. If you want to
monitor NASCAR or even the traffic at a local airport you'll need to
be portable.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

5650. Scanning tech. discussion list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin Inscoe <inscoe@iag.net>
Message-ID: <7l07i0orb1@news.iag.net>
Source record: 114583

New list for discussing the technical side of scanning such as
programming, logging, frequency database, etc..

http://www.inscoe.org/scantech

~kevin

5651. Re: What are the favorite songs of your local mobile police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: harold swift <hswift@dol.net>
Message-ID: <3773A776.8ED55503@dol.net>
Source record: 114584

Braking The Law and Dirty Deeds Done Dirt Cheap Get keyed up all the time
in MD PA and DEL

Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:

> I hear alot of music, from Rock and Roll to Kenny G in the background while our local police is talking
> on their radio's.
> One officer apparently has the 'best of the 80's' CD collection as all day, every day, when he keys up, I always
> hear "Oh Mickey", "Don't you Love me Baby" or Gary Numan's "In cars" playing.
> What songs do your local police play in their police cars?
> Funny as I thought all policemen were backwards jerks who are usualy dumb hicks or tough or militant guys who get their kicks
> screwing people over for a living.

5652. Re: What's on 29.620?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: harold swift <hswift@dol.net>
Message-ID: <3773A9AC.8F68B33A@dol.net>
Source record: 114585

People talking out of band
KB3DCH

H. Fuselier wrote:

> I've been picking up international amateur radio conversations on
> 29.620 and 29.660 MHZ. Are these through a repeater or satellite?
> Thanks for the information.
>
> HF

5653. BC 9000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: arcane <arcane@esatclear.ie>
Message-ID: <7l0dt2$2e1$1@fraggle.esatclear.ie>
Source record: 114586

A few days ago I was looking for tricks to run on on the buttons of the bc 9000.xlt....however sent me the mail could u PLEASE send them again cause I ended up formatting D.C without getting a print I would be eternally grateful.
many tanx....

5654. Re: What's on 29.620?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Kilgore <kilgore@dev.tivoli.com>
Message-ID: <3773BB3D.840984CC@dev.tivoli.com>
Source record: 114587

These are 10-meter FM repeater outputs. 10-meter FM activity is on the
following frequencies:

29.600 - FM simplex

Repeater inputs/outputs:
29.520/29.620
29.540/29.640
29.560/29.660
29.580/29.680

73,
Jeff, KC1MK

harold swift wrote:
>
> People talking out of band
> KB3DCH
>
> H. Fuselier wrote:
>
> > I've been picking up international amateur radio conversations on
> > 29.620 and 29.660 MHZ. Are these through a repeater or satellite?
> > Thanks for the information.
> >
> > HF

5655. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@ktb.net>
Message-ID: <3773C6A1.2A7009E1@ktb.net>
Source record: 114588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Jake Brodsky wrote:
>
> On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 19:31:09 +0100, "Steve Nash"
> <dspace9@waverider.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >Thats why the goverments went for the A5 algorithm ?
> >
> >So they could monitor the phone's if they needed to without so much trouble
>
> Naw. If they wanted to monitor phones they can just as easily go the
> central office and insert the appropriate monitors there.
>
> Of course, espionage is another game altogether. Using weak
> encryption on export telecommunications products is standard policy of
> the USA.
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"
ftp://www.2600.com/pub/oth/1998/041498.ra
An interview with the Smartcard Developers Association telling how they
cracked GSM.
Cryptoheads may find this interesting.

5656. scanner newbie needs info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Kranz <jkranz@cimedia.com>
Message-ID: <3773CC53.32086764@cimedia.com>
Source record: 114589

Hi all,

I'm creating a traffic reports web site in Hampton Roads, Virginia (SE shore). I'm looking to buy scanners to monitor police, fire and rescue info, but I have no idea where to begin.

I went to http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/pickrecv.htm from a previous post on this list. And that's helped me a lot.

But I'm still wondering about scrambled signals and whether or not the police around here DO scramble their signals. If they do, is there technology available to unscramble that stuff for public consumption?

Also, is there a web site available with a glossary that translates police/cb speak?

Thanks for any help y'all can give!

Best,
- Jon

--
Don't get stuck in a rush. Check our traffic alerts!
http://www.gohamptonroads.com/partners/traffic/alerts.html

5657. Re: What are the favorite songs of your local mobile police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Watkins <vwatkins@wt.net>
Message-ID: <7l0isbmud1@sys14.hou.wt.net>
Source record: 114590

Would you please restrict your posts to more constructive topics.
I am sure that I speak for a majority who feel the same as I do.
This group is related to enhancing and/or conveying ones scanner
knowledge and skills for participants of the group. If you want to
bash Cops, go somewhere else. It isn't appreciated here.

Deputy V. Watkins

harold swift wrote in message <3773A776.8ED55503@dol.net>...
>Braking The Law and Dirty Deeds Done Dirt Cheap Get keyed up all the
time
>in MD PA and DEL
>
>Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:
>
>> I hear alot of music, from Rock and Roll to Kenny G in the background
while our local police is talking
>> on their radio's.
>> One officer apparently has the 'best of the 80's' CD collection as all
day, every day, when he keys up, I always
>> hear "Oh Mickey", "Don't you Love me Baby" or Gary Numan's "In cars"
playing.
>> What songs do your local police play in their police cars?
>> Funny as I thought all policemen were backwards jerks who are usualy dumb
hicks or tough or militant guys who get their kicks
>> screwing people over for a living.
>

5658. Re: BUZZARD PEAK 145.460 MHz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <ttyler@mich.com>
Message-ID: <3773CF17.19A313F2@mich.com>
Source record: 114591

John Porter wrote:

> RE: BUZZARD PEAK 145.460 MHz
>
>
> I tried to raise the repeater this weekend, but had no success.

Maybe it's running a CTCSS, or even DCS.

> On Wednesday, June 23, 1999 around 9:30 pm local, I heard a computerized
> voice announce essentially the following:
>
> "K6PYP and KC6OKA - Southern California Dept. of Veterans' Affairs
> Emergency SHARES Repeater."
>
> Does anyone on here know what happened to the BUZZARD PEAK repeater and
> what this Dept. of VA Emergency SHARES Repeater is?

Taking a guess: It's simply a 'private' ham radio repeater (maybe at a VA-controlled antenna site) set up for use by hams during disasters. Since it might be for use by the Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service (RACES, which is administered by FEMA, which is a National Communications System participating agency, which is a member of the SHAred RESources program...), or it is to be used by amateur radio operators assigned to Disaster Medical Assistance Teams, part of the National Medical Disaster System, of which the Veteran's Administration is one of the lead agencies, and the VA is also a member of SHARES...

So if either of the two guesses are correct, they're kinda taking quite a bit of 'artistic license' to call it a VA emergency SHARES repeater. However, if you just caught a 'special' DVR track normally reserved for use during emergencies, it makes sense because it'd probably scare some of the idiots ("Uh, is there a net in progress?") away from using the repeater.

--
Tim Tyler
ttyler@mich.com
http://www.mich.com/~ttyler/

5659. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7l0jqo$9p8$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114592

Bill wrote in message <7kud84$jp8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>I have been researching the many different scanners that are on the
>market lately. I really like the BC895xlt and the BC9000xlt base
>scanners. I think it would be a fine scanner indeed it Uniden would
>combine the best features of the 895xlt and the 9000xlt into one new
>scanner. And throw in the trunk tracking capabilities of the new
>245xlt. Price it around $200 and it would be a real winner.

I agree on all counts and I'd be willing to bet that there is such a beast being considered as we speak... But I doubt you'd see it for around $200 right off - the 895 I currently use cost me $340 brand new, purchased one month after the radio hit store shelves. (I might add that I still consider it the best $340 I ever spent, even though the same radio costs $200 or less today)

>What I'd really like to
>see is Rich Wells designing a couple of scanners, handheld and base.
>That would be interesting to me. I sometimes wonder if the
>manufacturers consult hobbyists at all.

Some are starting to realize the benefit of consulting with hobbyists. The 895xlt is just such a radio - designed based upon input from some of the scanner industry's top hobbyists and professionals. But, as another poster mentioned, there is always a compromise between features and price. They have to try and appeal to the largest segment of the scanner market. That means keeping the designs simple and prices low for novice users, and then adding in features to attract the advanced and professional users. It's a very delicate balance, and I think the 895 pulled it off rather well.

>So what's my point? Every time I get interested in a new scanner model,
>the closer I look the less perfect it looks. I have been so
>dissapointed that I've seriously considered the higher priced
>handhelds, the MVT-9000 and the AR8200. But it seems even they have
>some serious flaws. I don't mind paying the price if I REALLY enjoy the
>radio. Just thinking out loud and still searching.

The best thing to do is check the reviews that Rich Wells and others do on these new radios, check around for user input, and see what comes up on the horizon. Maybe even purchase a radio, try it out, then send it back, until you find "the one". I've owned at least a dozen scanners over the years and the 895 is, in my opinion, the best radio you can get for less than $300 today. When the 245 comes out, it may take over that spot for me, but we'll just have to wait and see. But, that's half the fun, isn't it? ;-)

Good luck. When you find that perfect scanner, let us know.
-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5660. Re: Pro 2026 Discriminator Question....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7l0jv1$9pb$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114593

marc_k wrote in message <7kv860$d6a$1@alice.walrus.com>...

>Either put a capacitor or a resistor in line with the discriminator
>output-you are loading the discriminator output too heavily-which is why
>things get "quiet" when you try to use it.

Ahhhh... OK.

Any recommendations on which to use, and what kind?

Thanks for the tip!

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5661. Re: Switzerland - Lugano Tower Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: amckie@ottawa.com
Message-ID: <amckie-ya02408000R2506991508500001@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 114594

In article <377553f9.11165685@news.tinet.ch>, nospam@anytime.com wrote:

> if anyone from Switzerland around here, what's the Lugano tower
> frequency ?

120.250 is one that I know of.

-A

5662. FS Pro-43 Scanner (Reduced)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank <frankl@home.com>
Message-ID: <3773DE39.A9AA2029@home.com>
Source record: 114595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

RS Pro-43 scaner (30-54MHz, 118-194MHz, 220-512MHz,
806-999.9875MHz), Tandy Manual and 2 AA battery packs.

Sell for $195 shipped continental US.
Payment in advance by U.S.Postal Service Money Order.
Contact Frank, KE6WOE, 760-941-9174, or
email frankL@home.com with questions. No trades please.

5663. Icom Q7 shortwave/HF problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Curt Phillips W4CP <cphillips@engineer.com>
Message-ID: <7l0sc5$g4k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

OK, I've "opened up" my Icom Q7A to the shortwave frequencies using Goran Vlaski's excellent software (http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski) to program in some shortwave frequencies into Q7 memory locations. However, the results haven't been as good as I would have hoped. First, I was under the impression that the receiver was essentially the same as that in the R-2 (even an ICOM rep at HAMCOM said so), but it seems pretty deaf. The stations that normally boom in here in Houston (HCJB, RadioHavana, WWCR) can barely be heard. I'm running a 19" aftermarket SMA antenna for it (what, a shortwave antenna needs to be longer? :-) I don't mind rigging a longer antenna, but I was wondering if this was the same with the R-2?

Also, even though I can program shortwave frequencies into the memories using the computer, when I try to do the memory-to-VFO swap, the Q7 "bombs" back into one of its regular frequency ranges (usually aircraft, probably because that's AM also). Therefore, I can't readily "tune around" the shortwave bands, trying to find stations that are strong enough to blast through my monster antenna. :-)

Here I am, paid over $150 for this radio, and it won't really do DC-to-daylight. What a disappointment! :-)

Anyway, I do really like the radio, but I'd like it better if I could solve these little problems.

Any input would be appreciated. After all, all I'm looking for as an AR8000 with 2 meter/440 MHz transmit capability in a case the size of a pack of cigarettes for less that $180. (Trunk tracking would be nice, but we won't go there right now. :-)

73,
========= Opinions expressed are solely those of the author ==========
Curt Phillips, CEM W4CP | If some computers crash because of the Y2K
Engineer / Writer |date situation it will be incredibly horrible
Houston, Texas [WOW #120]|and cause unprecedented ruination because...
Surfing the net @ 10Mbps | COMPUTERS HAVE NEVER CRASHED BEFORE!
=== cphillips@engineer.com === [Copyright 1999 All rights reserved] ===

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5664. Re: Best price on BC-245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brett - N7OLQ <brett.miller_nospam_@intel.com>
Message-ID: <7l0om7$l3e@news.or.intel.com>
Source record: 114597

Me too. I bought a BC895 from them. No problems.

--
Brett - N7OLQ
96 - XR400, 90 - DR350
http://www.grundlesoftware.com/xr400
brett(dot)miller(at)intel(dot)com

Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications <dat2comm@toad.net> wrote in message
news:t4Jc3.3866$Li1.6631457@news-read1.qis.net...
> I have delt with National. They are OK. No problems.
>
> --
> Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
> Owner
> DAT2 Communications
> dat2comm@toad.net or dat2comm@juno.com
> (410) 360-6894

5665. I NEED N E SAN DIEGO FREQ~!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: porscheemb@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990625164211.13956.00003721@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 114598

Can n e one send me ne freq for san diego thanks!!!!

5666. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11dd88b8a3f20698989804@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114599

Well, to make it short and sweet. I would make a unit that had a custom color active LCD screen (like the new Windows CE handheld computer units) and build in hardware logic that would accommodate trunking all systems as well as an accurate log scale signal strength meter. Complete and accurate (not the AOR type crashware) software control with on board 2000 channel memory, 20 space alpha tagging, accurate and fast bandscope, 1.9mv sensitivity across the band with a variable rate attenuator from 30-2000mhz. A heavily multi-shielded internal structure with a magnesium alloy external case. All switches would have action and feel of a precision Swiss watch. Super high quality multi bandpass filtration at each band juncture according to the U.S. Bandplan. A "no brainer" approach to programming that was "logical". All this in a large handheld unit that would use a rechargeable lithium battery with an average life of 6 to 8 continuous hours between charges at half volume. Believe it or not, this is no pipe dream. All it would take is a top flight company (like Yupiteru or Icom) to develop and market it. I would like to see it for under $2000.00. I don't find that unrealistic if a company would eat the development costs. Yeah, right huh?

5667. Re: BC 9000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990625170541.09812.00001018@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 114600

Uniden Bearcat 9000XLT - Keyboard tricks
(By Jeff Goldman, WA1UDB, with additional credits to Dave Rodriguez, Jeff Zeman and Bob Parnass)

By holding down the 2, 9 & DLY keys as you turn the 9000XLT on, you enter a sort of test
mode. To do a "keycheck test" you can hit any key or turn the VFO knob either direction and the
alpha display will tell you what key you're touching or which direction you're turning the VFO
knob.
At this point, if you momentarily hit LOCK (to the right of the VFO knob) and one other button
various things will happen:
LOCK & C - REPLACES ALL MEMORIES w/1300MHz and alpha-tags channels 1-
250 with CH 00-249 in the display. Again, this will wipe out your memories!
LOCK & D - Checksum and Firmware Version Number.
LOCK & E - LCD Display Test.
LOCK & PROGRAM - Runs a short, continously-repeating demonstration program incorporated specifically for showing at the January, 1995, Consumer Electronics Show in Las
Vegas. It also sounds attention tones as the message scrolls on the display! (Note that the word
"scanner" is spelled "Scaner" in the demo program; it was too late to change this booboo that
originated in Japan.)
The last three tricks will not wipe out memory. Only LOCK/C will do that.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

5668. Re: iCOM IC-R1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: pwguth@erinet.com
Message-ID: <3773f61a.90592359@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 114601

On Thu, 17 Jun 1999 14:30:56 GMT, Jim Wayda <jwayda@home.com> wrote:

>The R1 suffers from extreme intermod. It is probably the worst scanner
>ever made.
>The only redeeming quality is the small size.

Yes, the intermod is bad in areas such as mine with lots of radio
traffic. I have found, however, that this radio is particularly good
for listening to aircraft frequencies. Also, even with just the rubber
antenna mounted on it, I get WWV time without difficulty.

5669. Re: SSB Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: justmrzor@webtv.net
Message-ID: <235-3773F1F7-79@newsd-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 114602

```
ar 8000/8200
icom r10
alinco dj x10 will
```

5670. Re: SSB Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <BVSc3.3218$BS6.2694@wards>
Source record: 114603

john mcclure <justmrzor@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:235-3773F1F7-79@newsd-131.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> ar 8000/8200
> icom r10
> alinco dj x10 will
>

The AR5000 +3 is the best that I have used..i got one

eavsdropper

5671. PRO 2005- BATT LIGHT AND BEEP WON'T STOP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al & Lo <alxlo@valinet.com>
Message-ID: <377401BF.818C171A@valinet.com>
Source record: 114604

My 2005 batt light and beep won't quit! I put in a new Batt. (the old
one was fine) and tested at theboard (PC-1) there is 9V there.
Any ideas? hints? clues? Its driving me crazy (beep-beep-beep.......)
Thanks, Al
--
Please remove the X From allo to reply, it cuts the junk mail by 85%
Thank you

5672. Icom HT problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Mehnert <rmehnert@pgtc.net>
Message-ID: <kqTc3.87$ez.3865@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 114605

I have an Icom IC-W21AT hand held transciever. The lowest row of buttons on the front panel are completely inoperative, I think these membrane switches are bad / worn. Can anyone recommend a reputable place to send this transciever to get it repaired? Thanks in advance.
R. Mehnert
KE6MWC

5673. Re: What are the favorite songs of your local mobile police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al & Lo <alxlo@valinet.com>
Message-ID: <377403C3.4AE3B7EC@valinet.com>
Source record: 114606

Actually this thread was intresting to me, I had wondered the same. You can't monitor for very long without hearing some background noise and sometimes it's more intresting than the transmission it's carried with. Just my two pennies to rub together, Al

--
Please remove the X From allo to reply, it cuts the junk mail by 85%
Thank you

5674. BC 890 PRICE REDUCED!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary B <GARBRE@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <3773dbe2@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

OK, How about an 890 XLT Bearcat with antenna and power adapter shipped to your home for $125?

Rig is 200 channel
800 mhz
Weather alert
Excellent condition

Gary B kb3cgq

5675. Re: Icom Q7 shortwave/HF problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ghs521@aol.comiamnot
Message-ID: <19990625190137.25920.00003999@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 114608

>I'm running a 19" aftermarket SMA antenna for it (what, a shortwave
>antenna needs to be longer? :-) I don't mind rigging a longer antenna, but
>I was wondering if this was the same with the R-2?

I get excellent results with my R2 using a dual band (2m/440) antenna.
Greg

5676. FS:PRO 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: ian smith <zorgon@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <3774225F.3EC0E5CA@nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 114609

hello
i have for sale 1 realistic pro-43 scanner it has the date code 10a3 and
is in new condition. this radio has never been opened after purchase
from radio shack
and is not modifyed for anything . it comes with
car adapter
wall charger
nicads
scanner frequency listing for atlantic canada
please make offer
will ship anywhere u.s.a or canada
price is usd
reply to zorgon@nbnet.nb.ca

5677. FS New 250 Ft.spool Belden 9292(RG-11)CATV coax

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22786-377410FD-91@newsd-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 114610

FS 250 Ft. spool Belden 9292 Duo-foil CATV coaxial cable. Cable is new,$30.00 + Shipping.

Thanks in advance.

Dave

5678. Pro-2026 Tone Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marty <martyd@surfnetinc.com>
Message-ID: <37741727.6E44@surfnetinc.com>
Source record: 114611

Is there a mod or anyway to add a tone squelch board to the Pro-2026?

Thanks

5679. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <_IVc3.90592$_m4.787032@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 114612

the overnights don't use the walkies from 10 to 6 am. They just overhead
page. In the day from 7 am till 11pm we do use the walkies.

so the only time they would be deaf is from 10 to 7am.

TomCat4680 <tomcat4680@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990625202034.18000.00005717@ng-fr1.aol.com...
> i used to work at Target and 3rd shift(10 PM to 8 AM or 8 AM to 11 PM in
> December) doesnt use walkie talkies
>
> just thought u might wanna know

5680. Re: microwave ovens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: NO SPAM <NOSPAM@mail.rsccd.org>
Message-ID: <37742693.80B4ADCE@mail.rsccd.org>
Source record: 114613
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Huffy wrote:
[snip]

> A microwave oven is a 600-900 watt, 2450 MHz radio transmitter. It would
> cook your brain if you could get the interlock defeated so it would start
> up with a head in it.

> You can have wonderful fun with a green grape in a microwave oven, if you
> slice it in half the long way. Lay the two half-grapes in the oven flat
> side up, with the two ends touching each other, and cook it for 10
> seconds.

> You will observe that sparks fly between the two half-grapes.

> The way it works is that each half of the grape is 1/4 wave long, so the
> two halves form a 1/2 wave dipole antenna. The point where they touch
> receives a couple kilovolts of electricity, so it arcs over.

Your nonsense sounds hokey to me.

> --Huffy

5681. Re: What are the favorite songs of your local mobile police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Spunky The Waffle Iron"
Message-ID: <EA7A3F1E85F6D5E8.2F2DB1BF2690788B.6C920E273D4083E8@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 114614

Tough, free speech.

| Would you please restrict your posts to more constructive topics.

5682. Re: BC 3000XLT for sale (new)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrmike2u <mrmike2u@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <w5Xc3.693$1a1.70243@elnws01>
Source record: 114615

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=12233
6766

<jasonchef67@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:7l17gqjra1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Just a heads up for anyone looking. I ended up with two 3000xlt's so Im
> selling one. It is brand new in box with everything. I posted it on
> eBay and it is currently going for 107.50 - which is a crime. I was
> hoping to get alot more for it. So if anyone's interested go to
> ebay.com, register and bid on item number 122336766 Search the item
> number if you cant find it. This is not an advertisement for eBay. I
> just wanna give you guys a heads up on a great deal for the 3000, new
> in the box, not used and I'd like to fetch more than 100 bucks for it.
> Hell I paid over 300 for it. Perhaps we can help each other.
>
> Thanks,
> Mike-North Jersey.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5683. FA: Optoelectronics OptoCom For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <danr@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <37743C98.5EF30C19@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 114616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

This unit is in MINT Condition... hardly used. The complete package,
which retails for $499, PLUS the "E-Trax" GE/EDACS TrunkTracking
Software (costs $89). The whole package is as new, with all paperwork,
manuals, warranty cards, accessories, disks, software licenses, etc.

Please see
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=121464755
if interested.

Thanks,
Dan

5684. Re: What are the favorite songs of your local mobile police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bryan Schmidt <bryschmidt@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <7l1ebm$9ko$1@usenet43.supernews.com>
Source record: 114617

How is this cop bashing???

Victor Watkins <vwatkins@wt.net> wrote in message
news:7l0isbmud1@sys14.hou.wt.net...
> Would you please restrict your posts to more constructive topics.
> I am sure that I speak for a majority who feel the same as I do.
> This group is related to enhancing and/or conveying ones scanner
> knowledge and skills for participants of the group. If you want to
> bash Cops, go somewhere else. It isn't appreciated here.
>
> Deputy V. Watkins
>
>
>
>
> harold swift wrote in message <3773A776.8ED55503@dol.net>...
> >Braking The Law and Dirty Deeds Done Dirt Cheap Get keyed up all the
> time
> >in MD PA and DEL
> >
> >Spunky The Waffle Iron wrote:
> >
> >> I hear alot of music, from Rock and Roll to Kenny G in the background
> while our local police is talking
> >> on their radio's.
> >> One officer apparently has the 'best of the 80's' CD collection as all
> day, every day, when he keys up, I always
> >> hear "Oh Mickey", "Don't you Love me Baby" or Gary Numan's "In cars"
> playing.
> >> What songs do your local police play in their police cars?
> >> Funny as I thought all policemen were backwards jerks who are usualy
dumb
> hicks or tough or militant guys who get their kicks
> >> screwing people over for a living.
> >
>
>

5685. Re: BC 3000XLT for sale (new)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrmike2u <mrmike2u@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <g7Xc3.694$1a1.70170@elnws01>
Source record: 114618

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=12233
6766+

HERES THE LINK.....

<jasonchef67@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:7l17gqjra1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Just a heads up for anyone looking. I ended up with two 3000xlt's so Im
> selling one. It is brand new in box with everything. I posted it on
> eBay and it is currently going for 107.50 - which is a crime. I was
> hoping to get alot more for it. So if anyone's interested go to
> ebay.com, register and bid on item number 122336766 Search the item
> number if you cant find it. This is not an advertisement for eBay. I
> just wanna give you guys a heads up on a great deal for the 3000, new
> in the box, not used and I'd like to fetch more than 100 bucks for it.
> Hell I paid over 300 for it. Perhaps we can help each other.
>
> Thanks,
> Mike-North Jersey.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5686. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37743E5C.76B7BFB2@ibm.net>
Source record: 114619

Lee Rothman wrote:
> Well, to make it short and sweet. I would make a unit that had a
> custom color active LCD screen
[snip]
> unit that would use a rechargeable lithium battery with an average
> life of 6 to 8 continuous hours between charges at half volume.

A handheld with an active, color LCD that runs for 6-8 hours? I think that lithium cell would be rather large, no? Probably talking about a radio about the size of the current generation Sonys, at least?

> I would like to see it for under $2000.00.

Yikes! I don't think you'll sell too many of those! Therein lies the problem. Too many hobbyists can't justify that kind of expense for a single radio. Many seem to want the $200-$300 radios and I think the manufacturers are twiddling with the magical $600 price range and walking the thin line. No matter how good of a radio it was, I think you'd have a hard time convincing people to pony up $2000 for it. Even if you could convince them it's worth it, would they still be tempted to buy it? Or would they rather buy a $500 AR8200/MVT-9000 with a $11000 AR3000 and still have some change?

I think we can all agree what a nice radio would consist of, and therefore the kind of costs it would necessitate. But I don't think any manufacturer would dare try to make it fly for fear of the consequences; few radio sales => Chapter 11.

Anybody know if Bill Gates is into scanning? ;-)

> I don't find that unrealistic if a company would eat
> the development costs. Yeah, right huh?

Exactly...oh well, back to real life...

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

5687. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <nospam@trash.net>
Message-ID: <7l1f0m$pf8$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114620

I have come to realize that there is no perfect scanner. I have an
ICOM R8500 that I love. But one major flaw is that fact that you
can only scan one back or all banks. Not a mix of banks. What
were they thinking?! Although computer control gets around this
I don't want to have my computer on all the time.

Give me an R8500 where you can pick and choose banks and supports
Motorola and EDACS trunking. That would be the scanner to have!

David M. Hitchner - KD5EIS - dmhitchner@att.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://home.att.net/~hitchner
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Remove "dm" from E-Mail Address Above for Replies

Bill wrote in message <7kud84$jp8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>I have been researching the many different scanners that are on the
>market lately. I really like the BC895xlt and the BC9000xlt base
>scanners. I think it would be a fine scanner indeed it Uniden would
>combine the best features of the 895xlt and the 9000xlt into one new
>scanner. And throw in the trunk tracking capabilities of the new
>245xlt. Price it around $200 and it would be a real winner.
>
>Being a ham I would also like to see an HT with wide band rx, and
>reasonable power output (more than the Q7A). What I'd really like to
>see is Rich Wells designing a couple of scanners, handheld and base.
>That would be interesting to me. I sometimes wonder if the
>manufacturers consult hobbyists at all. They seem to be a little slow
>at adding features and a little quick at leaving out the really needed
>features. For instance the search lockouts on the BC895xlt (20).
>Hopefully on the BC895xlt replacement Uniden will have at least 50
>lockouts.
>
>So what's my point? Every time I get interested in a new scanner model,
>the closer I look the less perfect it looks. I have been so
>dissapointed that I've seriously considered the higher priced
>handhelds, the MVT-9000 and the AR8200. But it seems even they have
>some serious flaws. I don't mind paying the price if I REALLY enjoy the
>radio. Just thinking out loud and still searching.
>
>Bill K9KZ
>

>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5688. Re: Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37743F22.F06AED78@ibm.net>
Source record: 114621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Chris Reed wrote:
> I live in St Helens it's in Merseyside, England. According to
> http://www.btinternet.com/~ukscanning/ Tandy have stopped selling
> scanners. So I am looking for shops (local) that sell scanners.
> Anyone know??

See my Scanner Dealers FAQ:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/dealers.htm

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

5689. John Mackey: A man with a Gun!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Stern <WStern@verio.net>
Message-ID: <377426D4.C82E3642@verio.net>
Source record: 114622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On your scanner, you've heard those "man with a gun" calls.
Could John Mackey be a "Man with a Gun"?!

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> OK, I'll bite, so HOW does all this apply to ME?
>
> This is especially interesting since I have had conceiled (sic)
> weapon permits in the past
> in another state!

He can't even spell "concealed" correctly!

A Summary of Current Federal Gun Laws

The Associated Press, June 19, 1999

http://www.nytimes.com/aponline/w/AP-Gun-Laws.html

Summary of current federal gun laws:

--Use of a firearm in a violent or drug-trafficking crime is punishable by a mandatory prison sentence of up to 20 years. A second conviction, if the firearm is a machine gun or is equipped with a silencer, brings life imprisonment.

--People over 21 may acquire handguns from licensed dealers; those over 18 may buy rifles and shotguns.

--Firearms and ammunition cannot be owned by: people convicted of crimes punishable by more than one year in prison (except state misdemeanors punishable by two years or less); fugitives; drug users; the mentally incompetent; illegal aliens; citizens who have renounced their citizenship; the dishonorably discharged; persons under restraining orders; and those convicted of domestic violence.

--Sale of a firearm by a federally licensed dealer must be documented by a federal form that identifies the buyer and records the make, model, and serial number. Dealers must notify the federal Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms when they sell multiple handguns to individuals within a five-day period.

--Prohibited firearms include semiautomatic rifles equipped with detachable magazines and certain other features. Similar

guidelines are imposed on handguns and shotguns.

5690. Re: Squelch question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ricky <billc@whitehouse.gov>
Message-ID: <377439c6.0@newsman.viper.net>
Source record: 114623

Thanks to all for the replies! I was reading my post, and think that I may have been misleading...It isn't one or two frequencies that the scanner stops on, it is more frequencies than not in this range! I did try it with the antenna unplugged, and that stopped it, so I am suspecting outside interference from my computers as being the culprit in this problem. Also, my scanner is located about 3 feet from where the power meter is on the outside of the house. It may be a bad combination that is the problem, but this frequency range seems to be the only affected one. Strange, but I will play with it and see.

Also, Bill, I don't have the product manual for this scanner. Would it be possible for you to give me the frequency ranges of this scanner?

Again, thanks to all for your help!!

Ricky.

Bill <aci6@aol.com.net.htm> wrote in message
news:19990625020644.03950.00005092@ng-ce1.aol.com...
| Hello Ricky,
|
| Sometimes, power lines generate noise.
|
| Other possibilities are:
|
| * Spurious signals from transmitters on other frequencies.
|
| * Atmospheric noise.
|
| * Appliances, fluorescent lights, computers, scanners (yes, scanners!!) or
| other devices nearby.
|
| * It might even be a cordless phone with some type of encryption.
|
| You can get an idea if it is due to birdies, as others have suggested, by
| trying a different scanner in the same location on the same frequencies at
the
| same time. If both do it on the same frequency (and both aren't BC-160s),
then
| that signal most likely isn't a birdie. If the other scanner doesn't stop
on
| the noise, chances are the noise is being generated within your BC-160.
(Of
| course, it could also be that the other scanner is too "deaf" to receive
| whatever these signals are!!!!)

|
| One other thing you might try is to see if it does it with no antenna. If
it
| doesn't, chances are the noise isn't being generated from within your
scanner.
|
| In any case, good luck with it. I have an old BC-160 that I use almost
everyday
| and it still works well. I use mine on UHF and on programmed frequencies,
so I
| haven't really had the opportunity to run across this problem.
|
| Regards,
|
| Bill
|
| CAUTION: Altered email address. Do not auto reply.
| To reply via email, remove everything after .com in the listed address.

5691. Re: FRS Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Stern <WStern@verio.net>
Message-ID: <37742F00.9C95FE4E@verio.net>
Source record: 114624
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

SAScheffey wrote:
>
> Are there any mods to increase the power output on the FRS radios?
> Also, if the max output legally set at 1/2 watt, then how does the
> 5 mile FRS go further than the 2 mile FRS'?

The 5-mile radios are really GMRS Radios that * Require a License *
to operate. Why modify a FRS radio when a stock GMRS radio
already has the increase in power. Many GMRS Radios are advertised
as FRS radios because they include the FRS frequencies in addition
to the GMRS frequencies.

Kenwood Freetak XL has 2 watts and 15 channels.

http://www.swscommunications.com/freexl.htm

Motorola Talkabout Distance DPS Features:

10 Channels
2 Watts of Power
38 CTCSS Codes
Removable Belt Clip
Removable antenna
Talk Range Up to 5 Miles
Nicad Battery and Charger
Built in VOX (Voice Activated Transmission)
Available in 3 Colors (Grey, Yellow and Camo)

http://www.swscommunications.com/dps.htm

GMRS Radio Information

http://www.dougweb.com/gmrs.html

http://www.provide.net/~prsg/

http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/prs/genmbl.html

5692. Re: John Mackey: A man with a Gun!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al & Lo <alxlo@valinet.com>
Message-ID: <37744C63.20F1BAA1@valinet.com>
Source record: 114625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Firearms and ammunition cannot be owned by: people
convicted of crimes punishable by more than one year in prison
(except state misdemeanors punishable by two years or less);
fugitives; drug users; >>>>the mentally incompetent;<<<<< illegal
aliens;
citizens who have renounced their citizenship; the dishonorably
discharged; persons under restraining orders; and those
convicted of domestic violence.

--
Please remove the X From allo to reply, it cuts the junk mail by 85%
Thank you

5693. Re: Icom HT problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Mehnert <rmehnert@pgtc.net>
Message-ID: <S1Yc3.241$ez.9373@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 114626

Thanks for the advice.
R. Mehnert

5694. Re: What are the favorite songs of your local mobile police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Spunky The Waffle Iron"
Message-ID: <2159951189C918AC.9D138DD92BBFFFB4.60802D3005AE169E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 114627

Ignore him, he's a deputy and wants to take away our opinions along with our rights to say our opinions.

| How is this cop bashing???

5695. Re: Anyone know if its illegal to bring an US FRS radio into Canada?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <boxcars98@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <_VYc3.21$qK2.10946@newsgate.direct.ca>
Source record: 114628

dwarren@canada.com wrote in message <377a00cc.55222228@news.sprint.ca>...
>I was looking at buying a Motorola 280 SLK but wasn't sure about any
>problems bringing it into Canada?
>
>Would I have to leave it with them i.e. they would confiscate it?
>
>I know its not a scanner question but you guys seem to know the most
>about this kind of stuff.
>
>
>Would it have to be sneaked into Canada?
>
>

I wouldn't think so. As far as I know pretty well all radio equipment is duty free. Just show up at the border with a receipt and they'll send you in to pay GST on it (sorry, can't get around that). I've bought a few scanners at hamfests in the U.S. and have never had a problem bringing them back across the border. I doubt it would be any different for a transceiver.

If you really want to know for absolutely sure, get out your telephone directory, go to the government pages, and look up the number for Canada Customs Border Services in your local area. You may have to sit on hold for while, but at least you'll get the info straight from the horse's mouth.

Hope this helps.

Good luck,

Paul

5696. UHF Weather ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Peter Foley <pfoley@ussa.org>
Message-ID: <930374478.383.18@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 114629

Does anyone know of any weather info broadcast in the UHF range ? or any other info broadcasts in the UHF range.

Please let me know at pfoley@ussa.org

5697. Re: FRS Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net>
Message-ID: <377475ED.E2B2D702@jps.net>
Source record: 114630
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

William Stern wrote:

> <snip>

> Many GMRS Radios are advertised as FRS radios because they include the
> FRS frequencies in addition to the GMRS frequencies.

Ya and that's misleading to the customer. That makes them think they're buying an FRS radio when its really not. Then they end up using the GMRS freqs. too thinking that they don't need a license.

> Motorola Talkabout Distance DPS Features:

<snip>
This radio IS NOT an FRS radio.

> http://www.swscommunications.com/dps.htm

Nor is this radio listed at this URL.

David Leeper
KAE6619

5698. FS AOR 3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Littleton <paull@mauigateway.com>
Message-ID: <37748CE9.7187@mauigateway.com>
Source record: 114631

For Sale AOR3000A Communications Receiver, radio in perfect condition, has 110 volt power supply and 12 volt connector, manual included, no original box. $900. shipped USA

5699. FS Trunktracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Littleton <paull@mauigateway.com>
Message-ID: <37748DB0.31B6@mauigateway.com>
Source record: 114632

For Sale Uniden 235XLT Trunktracker 300 ch. scanner, in perfect condition, all manuals, original box, extra battery pack, $190.00 shipped USA

5700. ethernet card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-25 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Dark Lord of the Sith <vader55@banet.net>
Message-ID: <3773F8D2.22623AFC@banet.net>
Source record: 114633
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

i'm not to technologically minded about computers (or scanners for that manner), so this may be the stupidest question you've ever heard. the other day i noticed that the ethernet adapter card that came with my laptop had the same type of connectors as my scanner, bnc i believe. my scanner ant. fits right onto the card. so is there any potential here, or am i way off base?

please don't flame me
thanks in advance
P.S. am i supposed use HTML messages?

The Dark Lord of the Sith

5701. Re: Icom HT problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37742E4E.A6A8DB26@usa.net>
Source record: 114440

Icom does excellent repair service in Bellevue, Washington.

Richard Mehnert wrote:

> I have an Icom IC-W21AT hand held transciever. The lowest row of
> buttons on the front panel are completely inoperative, I think these
> membrane switches are bad / worn. Can anyone recommend a rep-
> utable place to send this transciever to get it repaired? Thanks in
> advance.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5702. Wanted ICOM IC-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: loup1952@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990625223113.18283.00004273@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 114441

Let me know condition and price. Thanks.

5703. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: blythedevnull@ntrnet.net
Message-ID: <7l1egm$tt0$1@netra-news.ntrnet.net>
Source record: 114442

On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 22:59:21 GMT, Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:
>So what's my point? Every time I get interested in a new scanner model,
>the closer I look the less perfect it looks. I have been so
>dissapointed that I've seriously considered the higher priced
>handhelds, the MVT-9000 and the AR8200. But it seems even they have
>some serious flaws. I don't mind paying the price if I REALLY enjoy the
>radio. Just thinking out loud and still searching.

Every time a new base or mobile scanner is introduced I eagerly review the specs looking for the one feature that I so desperatley desire: multiple antenna connectors. In this day and age we have terrific wideband scanners that are hamstrung by a single measly antenna connector. When I buy a scanner that covers 25-1200 Mhz I want at least 2 and preferably 3 antenna inputs. I should be able to program the desired antenna along with the frequency for each channel. Sure, there are some pretty good multi-band antennas out there, but nothing compares to having multiple antennas optimized for discrete bands. The old Shinwa SR-001 had this feature, but it never took off in the marketplace, and Uniden seems content to leave well enough alone in this area.

Just my 2 cents worth.

Marshall Blythe

---> remove 'devnull' from my email address to reply <---

5704. Re: I NEED N E SAN DIEGO FREQ~!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "I St.John" <SDWolf@home.com>
Message-ID: <37745A65.661448D2@home.com>
Source record: 114443

Also take a look at:

http://sandiegotrunk.webjump.com/trunk.html
http://www.angelfire.com/sd/scanner/

And if you live in San Diego, I would consider purchasing "Southern
California ScanFan" which can be found at Ham Radio Outlet.

Ian

> Check out:
>
> http://www.socal911.com/
>
> Happy scanning,
> David
>
> In article <19990625164211.13956.00003721@ng-fq1.aol.com>,
> porscheemb@aol.com (PorscheEMB) wrote:
> > Can n e one send me ne freq for san diego thanks!!!!

5705. Re: John Mackey: A man with a Gun!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Spunky The Waffle Iron"
Message-ID: <B9C98F51A0B3C965.6B7EB8503B9C0C01.0D365BDEF6399269@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 114444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well, there goes the right to bear arms by most of the people in the scanner and shortwave newsgroups then
as I've noticed there is alot of extremism (including me).

| Firearms and ammunition cannot be owned by: people
| convicted of crimes punishable by more than one year in prison
| (except state misdemeanors punishable by two years or less);
| fugitives; drug users; >>>>the mentally incompetent;<<<<< illegal
| aliens;
| citizens who have renounced their citizenship; the dishonorably
| discharged; persons under restraining orders; and those
| convicted of domestic violence.

5706. Trunk Tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ricky <billc@whitehouse.gov>
Message-ID: <3774564b.0@newsman.viper.net>
Source record: 114445

Sorry to be so ignorant...but what exactly is "trunk tracking"?? The ability of a scanner to become mobile? As in mount it in a car or something?....

Thanks.

Ricky.

5707. Info On Mobile Data Terminals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Buonincontri <stunod@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <37746856.30EB171D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114446

I am looking for more info for the Motorola 7100CP Mobile Data Terminal. Is it a hand held or vehicle mount. Is it capable of MDC-4800? Info like that.. A pic would be great...

Thanks all in advance...

5708. Re: FAQ: New Scanner Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: madhacker@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <379b70e8.33528374@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 114447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,triangle.radio

On Tue, 15 Jun 1999 05:42:41 -0400, "Rich Wells, N2MCA"
<n2mca@strongsignals.net> wrote:

> *Do I Need An Amplifier?

5709. Re: Trunk Tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990626024913.20610.00000730@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 114448

www.trunktracker.com will help

5710. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit You NEED PL TONE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: BILL@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <379d788b.35483707@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 114449

You Need To have the right PL Code Or They May Be In DPL Buy Scaner
master and look up the frequency you want then just Enjoy

On Tue, 22 Jun 1999 04:12:58 GMT, spambox@teleport.com (Keith) wrote:

>On Tue, 22 Jun 1999 01:26:50 GMT, chris_grant@my-deja.com
> <7kmoog$r47$1@nnrp1.deja.com> wrote:
>
>>12.72.54.204
>Hostname: 204.sanjose-01-02rs16rt.ca.dial-access.att.net
>IP Address: 12.72.54.204
>
>Maybe the San Jose, CA police department is not interested in hearing what you
>have to say? I will forward a copy of this post to the San Jose Police Dept and
>the FCC in case they have reports of malicious interference. Of course, you
>would never do that would you?

5711. Re: Trunk Tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: bkc@tpg.com.au
Message-ID: <377581c8.12991023@syd-news.tpg.com.au>
Source record: 114450

Though no expert
i beleive it is the ability of a scanner or other radio to followw a
message that uses a system of changing frequencies to avoid a
conversation being followed by people like well like other people
certainly not me or you
regards bc

On Sat, 26 Jun 1999 00:18:40 -0500, "Ricky" <billc@whitehouse.gov>
wrote:

>Sorry to be so ignorant...but what exactly is "trunk tracking"?? The ability
>of a scanner to become mobile? As in mount it in a car or something?....
>
>Thanks.
>
>Ricky.
>
>
>

5712. FS Icom IC-R7100 NEW!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Duffield <duffield@jomax.net>
Message-ID: <930384510.985.78@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 114451

I have a new condition Icom IC-R7100 for sale. It can be seen at the address listed below:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=121613610

duffield@jomax.net

5713. Frequencies in IRELAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rory O' Brien <roryob@indigo.ie>
Message-ID: <dT0d3.554$C4.469@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 114452

Hello,

ANy kind soul out there with any frequency listings in Ireland??

Please post....

5714. Re: Trunk Tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Henry Everson <HEverson@Hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37747FDD.9E1A6E15@Hotmail.com>
Source record: 114453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hew Packard wrote:
>
> Though no expert
> i beleive it is the ability of a scanner or other radio to followw a
> message that uses a system of changing frequencies to avoid a
> conversation being followed by people like well like other people
> certainly not me or you
> regards bc
>
> On Sat, 26 Jun 1999 00:18:40 -0500, "Ricky" <billc@whitehouse.gov>
> wrote:
>
> >Sorry to be so ignorant...but what exactly is "trunk tracking"?? The ability
> >of a scanner to become mobile? As in mount it in a car or something?....
> >
> >Thanks.
> >
> >Ricky.

I went to http://www.askjeeves.com and I asked
"what is a Trunked Radio System?" and found this:

Bote's Answers to Frequently Asked Questions
About Motorola Trunked Systems

http://pages.prodigy.net/bote/trunkfaq.html

5715. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: catboat333@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990626063240.08062.00002521@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 114454

In this search for the "perfect scanner", wouldn't you want a built in module that allowed you to point the built in laser at people house window and demodulate the window's vibrations into conversation that could be then recorded on the built in microcassette recorder(much like the Sanegan or Sony SWR).

Additionally-it would be absolutely required that they supply a readable, inteligible users manual or hire Rich Wells to do it for them.

5716. Re: PRO 2005- BATT LIGHT AND BEEP WON'T STOP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <nobody@home.here>
Message-ID: <3774CC0F.A76A5926@home.here>
Source record: 114455

My 2006 did the same thing. Turned out to be a loose battery connection.
The fix was to tighten up the connectors with a pair of needlenose
pliers so the 9 volt battery snaps into place.

Al & Lo wrote:
>
> My 2005 batt light and beep won't quit! I put in a new Batt. (the old
> one was fine) and tested at theboard (PC-1) there is 9V there.
> Any ideas? hints? clues? Its driving me crazy (beep-beep-beep.......)
> Thanks, Al
> --
> Please remove the X From allo to reply, it cuts the junk mail by 85%
> Thank you

5717. White's Eagle II SL Metal Detector For Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: skanman@cwix.com
Message-ID: <3774D6B6.89A2E05F@cwix.com>
Source record: 114456

This metal detector was top of the line
a few years back. Unit is in mint
condition. Easy to use with cpu and LCD
screen. Comes with BlueMx Deep Scan 950
coil,manuals, 2 battery
packs,charger,hand shovel.
Easy to use and programmable. Very
accurate depth gauge up to 13 1/2
inches.

Looking to trade for:
Icom PCR1000
WinRadio and Digital Suite
Icom7100
Icom7000
Opto products
AOR8200
AOR8000
Spectrum Analyzer
Or other electronic goodies

5718. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11de9128779eff8b989809@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114457

Hey Rich, I was just dreaming about my ultimate radio. I would be willing to save a year for it. I am sure there are more folks in the world that would belly up to the bar for such a ultimate radio. Oh well, I won't loose sleep over it as it ain't going to happen anyway. But it is fun to dream.

5719. Pro 43 for salle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: james coburn <confused@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <7l2qa4qon1@nnrp02.primenet.com>
Source record: 114458
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro 43 for sale.
Works very well.
Looks ok
New keypad plus extra new keypad.
copy of manual.
Split shipping.
$185.00

James

5720. Re: Pro 43 for salle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3774FB46.A3C9CEC2@flash.net>
Source record: 114459
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I liked your movie " In Like Flint"

james coburn wrote:

> Pro 43 for sale.
> Works very well.
> Looks ok
> New keypad plus extra new keypad.
> copy of manual.
> Split shipping.
> $185.00
>
> James

5721. BC245XLT Smartscanner software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave McCormick <dmccormick1@gte.net>
Message-ID: <nW6d3.325$Lh3.10452@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 114460

Smartscanner software is now available on the Uniden 245 page:
http://www.uniden.com/docs/product/prdetail.cfm?product=BC245XLT#software

Check it out,

Dave K9DV

5722. Pro-2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Isom Fontenot <ifont@centuryinter.net>
Message-ID: <37750259.E518497B@centuryinter.net>
Source record: 114461

I would appreciate any opinion on a Radio Shack TrunkTracker PRO 2050.
To be used where the terrain is flat.

5723. DO NOT REPLY TO THIS MESSAGE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Troll <Troll@trollbait.com>
Message-ID: <3774E703.4BA5A546@trollbait.com>
Source record: 114462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

This is just Troll Bait!

End this thread!]

Do NOT Reply!

5724. Re: FS Icom IC-R7100 NEW!!! NO, you have an auction FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: McAllister Bryant <bryantm1@us.ibm.com>
Message-ID: <7l301u$uc8$1@rtpnews.raleigh.ibm.com>
Source record: 114463

Please use the correct syntax FA when you have an Item on Ebay. It is not
for sale, it is for auction.

Gary Duffield wrote in message <930384510.985.78@news.remarQ.com>...
>I have a new condition Icom IC-R7100 for sale. It can be seen at the
>address listed below:
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=121613610
>
>duffield@jomax.net
>
>

5725. FS: Trunk Tracker Pro-91 HH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ron Monfeli <rmonfeli@dconn.com>
Message-ID: <930415579.779.11@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 114464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

Used very little and in excellent condition. Comes with manual, antenna, 4 ni-cads, AC adapter. $140 including shipping in US.
Ron M

5726. Re: unblock Pro-91?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: darocck@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990626133557.12557.00001832@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 114465

keep workin on it.

5727. Scanner Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Timmins <timmins@penn.com>
Message-ID: <Wk8d3.1219$Uf7.6060@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 114466

Anyone know of a source for used Scanner crystals???? Or a place where they trade????

Thank you for reading...

Bill, N3DDY

5728. MVT-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ROBERT A. REID" <rreid@mnsinc.com>
Message-ID: <377512D2.1638C6FA@mnsinc.com>
Source record: 114467

Hello Bill,
I read ur posting "My Idea of a Good Scanner" in the newsgroup
"rec.radio.scanner".
I have been considering the purchase of a MVT-9000, i am not interested
in computer control, however i am looking for the best wide band
RECEIVER, which i feel this one is.
I am looking for performance on the HF amateur bands as well as VHF/UHF
scanning.
I would be very interested in hearing what u feel r "some serious flaws"
with this radio.

73, Bob (WX3G)
Vienna, Va.

5729. My idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: huey <huey@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <3775199B.D7B37C1@NoSpam.com>
Source record: 114468

My idea of a Good Scanner would be a scanner that had
a memory capacity of over 50,000.
Had a card (that could be updated via a downloaded
internet file) that had ALL of my local Police, Fire, etc.
frequencies on it. INCLUDING the trunktracking freqs.
Had a retractable rubber duck antenna (Like a switchblade)
NO BNC shit.
Was MUCH more user friendly.
Had a little solar panel on it that would charge the batteries
or at least help keep them from discharging so quickly.
Had a DROP IN charger.

5730. Re: What's on 29.620?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <377524EF.2490638@usa.net>
Source record: 114469

You better check again. The 10 meter Amateur radio band in
the US goes from 28.000 MHz to 29.700 MHz.

Yes, I am also a licensed amateur radio operator (for 15 years).

harold swift wrote:

> People talking out of band
> KB3DCH
>
> H. Fuselier wrote:
>
> > I've been picking up international amateur radio conversations on
> > 29.620 and 29.660 MHZ. Are these through a repeater or satellite?
> > Thanks for the information.
> >
> > HF

--
----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5731. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mmcginley@STOPSPAMkscable.com
Message-ID: <mmcginley-2606991405530001@wks-211-113.kscable.com>
Source record: 114470

O For crying out loud !

I'm sick and tired of this code Vs. Nocode debate/flamewar
I fought and clawed to get my 5WPM and failed several times at the testing centers, But i never gve up and guess what... i finally got it and i am glad i did

If you take the code requirment out of amatuer radio you take the challenge out of it and the pride that goes with the knowlage that you actually did it. and the ham bands will become nothing more than a expensive version of 11 meters and if that happend we all lose.

Those of you that were in collage and were offered a membership in a house (Not sure how to spell it) got subjected to some initation rite...but after it was all over you had a certian kinship with the other members. the code is really no differnt... without it...where's the pride in acomplishing a diffult task (Not that it's that hard)

But then again... who want's to work for somthing anymore ?

If i can get the code you can too.

it's a hassle but it's worth it

Have a nice one all

E-Mail Encouraged...Flames put out with HALON(tm)

Mike - N0JVT

--

NOTE: The characters STOPSPAM have been added after the @ sign
in the eMail to prevent spam eMails. Please remove before sending
your reply

The Box said" Win95,Win98,NT 4.0 or better"
So I Threw it out and bought a Macintosh ! :-)

5732. Radio-Guys.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: conococurt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990626153252.26170.00002744@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 114471

Has anyone delt with Radio-guys.com? They have the Relm MS-200 for $169.95
But no returns or exchange,only factory warranty. Their web address
is,www.radio-guys.com/relm/ms200.htm. Are they good people to do business with?
Thanks,Curt.

5733. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <377532D8.FCA73D12@ibm.net>
Source record: 114472

Marshall Blythe wrote:
> Every time a new base or mobile scanner is introduced I eagerly review
> the specs looking for the one feature that I so desperatley desire:
> multiple antenna connectors.

The cheapest currently made model would be the IC-R100 I believe.
Doubt you will ever see it on a Uniden model.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

5734. Re: Icom HT problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Joel M. Rose" <joelr@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <37753368.6EDB7C1B@gwis.com>
Source record: 114473

i cant find anyone to touch these except icom and theyre veeeeery
expensive....minimum charge is 90 bucks

Richard Mehnert wrote:

> I have an Icom IC-W21AT hand held transciever. The lowest row of
> buttons on the front panel are completely inoperative, I think these
> membrane switches are bad / worn. Can anyone recommend a rep-
> utable place to send this transciever to get it repaired? Thanks in
> advance.
> R. Mehnert
> KE6MWC

--

thoughts to live by......

"Our country has killed far more children in Kosovo than Colorado"

joelr/n8jr brecksville,OH
mailto:joelr@gwis.com
The Briarhop Corners Page:http://w3.gwis.com/~joelr/gear.htm
... -.-

5735. Realistic Pro-2020 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: pgeorge402@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990626162215.23116.00005488@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 114474

I recently acquired a Realistic (Radio Shack) Pro-2020 scanner. It has a "hum" only when something is being received. If anyone out there has this model I am interested in knowing if this is normal. I remember reading somewhere that a certain amount of noise is normal in some of the ealier models of Radio Shack programmable scanners. Thanks.

P.G.

5736. CART - Indy racing frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill McCall <mccallw@franklin.edu>
Message-ID: <37753591.DB8180E7@franklin.edu>
Source record: 114475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.indy

I'm going to my first CART race in two years and my freq list is very old. Can anyone update me before tommorow? -Thanks to anyone who can.

Bill

5737. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Empelol@of.China.cn
Message-ID: <3778352e.14367001@news.netway.at>
Source record: 114476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 25 Jun 1999 11:12:49 -0700, Glenn Mitchell <gmitch@ktb.net>
wrote:

>
>
>Jake Brodsky wrote:
>>
>> On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 19:31:09 +0100, "Steve Nash"
>> <dspace9@waverider.co.uk> wrote:
>>
>> >Thats why the goverments went for the A5 algorithm ?
>> >
>> >So they could monitor the phone's if they needed to without so much trouble
>>
>> Naw. If they wanted to monitor phones they can just as easily go the
>> central office and insert the appropriate monitors there.
>>
>> Of course, espionage is another game altogether. Using weak
>> encryption on export telecommunications products is standard policy of
>> the USA.
>>
>> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>> "Beware of the massive impossible!"
>ftp://www.2600.com/pub/oth/1998/041498.ra
>An interview with the Smartcard Developers Association telling how they
>cracked GSM.
>Cryptoheads may find this interesting.

?
GSM has a master key, only known to the provider
and to the user SIM card, isn't it?

Crypting uses a stream cipher.

I mean, following a conversation in real time is impossible,
cracking by brute force may be possible, but how long
will it take?

E.

5738. Regency M100 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: pgeorge402@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990626163008.11262.00005383@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 114477

Does anyone have operating instructions for the Regency Touch M100 Scanner?

5739. FS: PRO 2004 Last Call Before it goes to Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB WOLF <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7l3e18$d75$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114478
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FS: PRO-2004

Mods include;

- Cell Unblocked
- additional 100 channels - total of 400.
- Increased scan rate

Features are;

- 25 MHz thru 1300 MHz
- AM/FM
- Full Cellular Coverage
- Bright Display
- Excellent Sensitivity

- Very Clean
- Original Operator's Manual Included

$ 200 + Shipping from 45373.

Please Email me direct at bigbadwolf1@earthlink.n

Your welcome to review my Ebay feedbacks here;

http://cgi2.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewFeedback&userid=bigbadwolf1

THANK YOU !

-ERIC

5740. Re: PRO 2004 Last Call Before it goes to Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB WOLF <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7l3eeldtc1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114479
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I just noticed that my Email address in the original posting is incomplete!

Here is my full Email address below;

mailto:bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net

Sorry for any inconveniences.

-ERIC

BB WOLF <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:7l3e18$d75$1@oak.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> FS: PRO-2004
>
> Mods include;
>
> - Cell Unblocked
> - additional 100 channels - total of 400.
> - Increased scan rate
>
> Features are;
>
> - 25 MHz thru 1300 MHz
> - AM/FM
> - Full Cellular Coverage
> - Bright Display
> - Excellent Sensitivity
>
> - Very Clean
> - Original Operator's Manual Included
>
> $ 200 + Shipping from 45373.
>
> Please Email me direct at bigbadwolf1@earthlink.n
>
> Your welcome to review my Ebay feedbacks here;
>
> http://cgi2.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewFeedback&userid=bigbadwolf1
>
> THANK YOU !
>
> -ERIC
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>

5741. Re: What's on 29.620?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: hswift@dol.net
Message-ID: <3775480E.BC09BCA4@dol.net>
Source record: 114480

Thanks for the information But I have heard people there with made up call signs 73's

harold swift wrote:

> People talking out of band
> KB3DCH
>
> H. Fuselier wrote:
>
> > I've been picking up international amateur radio conversations on
> > 29.620 and 29.660 MHZ. Are these through a repeater or satellite?
> > Thanks for the information.
> >
> > HF

5742. Casual user questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: scribex <scribex@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7l3kgj$8rj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114481

Scanner listening has piqued my interest lately after being put on hold for X-number of years. It's an interest, not a burning passion, so I can't justify going to for a world-beater radio with all the bells and whistles. I don't wish to become a master HAM radio technician or electrical engineer to set it up and operate it.

I'm after relatively comfortable, stable listening within a medium-sized city. Mainly, I'm interested in police, fire, emergency response and maybe aircraft, for occasional listening when the mood strikes or when something's going on. (And get this: I don't give a hoot about eavesdropping on other people's cell phone gab, so I won't be adding yet another noxious message to this newsgroup asking how to modify my wood-bodied Atwater-Kent, or what have you, to do that. Relieved? I thought so).

I could go with hand-held (with AC power option) or base. I hope to keep the price, used most likely, between $50 and $125, preferably closer to the $50 end.

I would appreciate your thoughts and recommendations about radios you've had experience with personally. And if you're recommending one that requires a separately purchased antenna, I would appreciate your mentioning which antenna and how much it would cost.

Thanks

scribex

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5743. Kantronics Interface][terminal unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cameron Russell <radioman10@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <OUbd3.1256$gr5.216769@feed.centuryinter.net>
Source record: 114482

Hello

Recently I encountered a deal where I got a Kantronics Inteface][terminal unit. If you could, I would greatly appreciate any information you might have on this, including how to connect it to your computer and where to get software?

faithfully yours,

cameron

5744. Re: What are the favorite songs of your local mobile police?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cameron Russell <radioman10@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <W%bd3.1257$gr5.216806@feed.centuryinter.net>
Source record: 114483

I'm not really one of those equal rights-politcal frredom people.....

but who exactly died and made Vic the kind of rrs ?

5745. Re: TEST - Don't bother to read . . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cameron Russell <radioman10@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <G5cd3.1258$gr5.216954@feed.centuryinter.net>
Source record: 114484

HA
<takinshots@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:7l32t5$3ut$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Only a test.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5746. Re: Pro-2026 Mod Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Reg gie <reggie1@freeuk.com>
Message-ID: <avcd3.1027$GA4.38838@nnrp3.clara.net>
Source record: 114485
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

sure there is a mod for the 2026. it's all done with diodes and a switch.Give me a while and I'll see if I can sought out the PCB wiring
Steve Nash <dspace9@waverider.co.uk> wrote in message
7l0gm8cdb1@nclient3-gui.server.ntli.net...
Hi, Im after a Mode Mod for the above radio so I can use AM on all the Bands not just airband...

steve nash

5747. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: amadeus@0spam.netcomuk.co.uk
Message-ID: <3775271d.4923907@nntp.netcomuk.co.uk>
Source record: 114486
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 19:31:09 +0100, "Steve Nash"
<dspace9@waverider.co.uk> wrote:

>
>Thats why the goverments went for the A5 algorithm ?
>
>So they could monitor the phone's if they needed to without so much trouble

Why would they bother to do so when they can tap the
landline side of any conversation at will? Much easier
than messing around with radios and crypto.

--
Regards, Jim. | EATING OUT:
amadeus%netcomuk.co.uk | The Edinburgh Dining Guide
dynastic%cwcom.net | Information on the capital's finest food
nordland%lineone.net |
| http://www.spidacom.co.uk/EDG/
Pgp key: pgpkeys.mit.edu:11371

5748. Re: Kantronics Interface][terminal unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <cwcd3.3487$qd.2377@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 114487

Have you looked here; http://www.kantronics.com

Bill Crocker

Cameron Russell <radioman10@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:OUbd3.1256$gr5.216769@feed.centuryinter.net...
> Hello
>
> Recently I encountered a deal where I got a Kantronics
nteface][terminal
> unit. If you could, I would greatly appreciate any information you might
> have on this, including how to connect it to your computer and where to
get
> software?
>
>
> faithfully yours,
>
> cameron
>
>

5749. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Cycd3.3488$qd.2452@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 114488

Congratulations Michael! Now you need to take the same approach to
upgrading from that MAC of yours, and getting a real computer! :)
Sorry... couldn't resists!

Bill Crocker

Michael W. McGinley <mmcginley@STOPSPAMkscable.com> wrote in message
news:mmcginley-2606991405530001@wks-211-113.kscable.com...
> O For crying out loud !
>
> I'm sick and tired of this code Vs. Nocode debate/flamewar
> I fought and clawed to get my 5WPM and failed several times at the testing
> centers, But i never gve up and guess what... i finally got it and i am
> glad i did
>
> If you take the code requirment out of amatuer radio you take the
challenge
> out of it and the pride that goes with the knowlage that you actually did
it.
> and the ham bands will become nothing more than a expensive version of 11
meters
> and if that happend we all lose.
>
> Those of you that were in collage and were offered a membership in a house
> (Not sure how to spell it) got subjected to some initation rite...but
> after it was all over you had a certian kinship with the other members.
> the code is really no differnt... without it...where's the pride in
> acomplishing a diffult task (Not that it's that hard)
>
>
> But then again... who want's to work for somthing anymore ?
>
> If i can get the code you can too.
>
> it's a hassle but it's worth it
>
> Have a nice one all
>
> E-Mail Encouraged...Flames put out with HALON(tm)
>
> Mike - N0JVT
>
> --
>
> NOTE: The characters STOPSPAM have been added after the @ sign

> in the eMail to prevent spam eMails. Please remove before sending
> your reply
>
> The Box said" Win95,Win98,NT 4.0 or better"
> So I Threw it out and bought a Macintosh ! :-)

5750. Re: Realistic Pro-2020 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: radiobufff@aol.com.rfi.tvi
Message-ID: <19990626190034.28984.00004631@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 114489

I have 3, one on the supplied wall wart and one on the 12v batts in the shack
and the third in the car, none of them hum, try a different power source, maybe
the wall cube went south

>I recently acquired a Realistic (Radio Shack) Pro-2020 scanner. It has a
>"hum"
>only when something is being received. If anyone out there has this model I
>am
>interested in knowing if this is normal. I remember reading somewhere that a
>certain amount of noise is normal in some of the ealier models of Radio Shack
>programmable scanners. Thanks.
>
>P.G.
>
>
>
>
>
>

Radio in the Bufff
Co-Ed Naked Radio
Support your local Oscillator

Please remove<.rfi.tvi>

http://members.aol.com/radiobufff/index.html

5751. Cape Hatteras Lighthouse Relocation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ed Lynch <lynchs@erols.com>
Message-ID: <7l3mlm$o1s$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 114490

I'm sure most of you know but for those who don't, the Cape Hatteras Lighthouse is being moved this summer. The move is because the base / foundation has being ravaged over the years by winter and summer storms, has developed a "floating foundation"....erosion because of ocean encroachment, etc. Anyway, the move is about 2,900 LF to the southwest and is expected to last through mid-July.

Besides it being pretty neat to watch, there are of course some pretty good radio comms to be heard. I believe the prime contractor is International Chimney Movers of NY with a few sub-contractors thrown in....Expert House Movers of Va.. Beach was one.

Frequencies that I heard during the 1 hour period I was there on Saturday are:

154.5700 Contractor
154.5800 Contractor
154.1400 Contractor
164.7250 (103.5) Hatteras Island Park Rangers
163.0750 Park Rangers (?)
415.0125 (103.5) I think that this was closer to Bodie Island Lighthouse

If you're in the area, I definitely recommend giving up an hour or so to watch.

Ed Lynch
Chesapeake, VA.

5752. WTB- ICOM R-2 UNBLOCKED!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike wentworth <mikewent@inetw.net>
Message-ID: <D9dd3.121$x44.45613@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 114491

I'm looking for an Icom R-2 Unblocked in mint condition.
If anyone has one they want to sell please let me know.
Thank You, mikewent14@hotmail.com

5753. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Davidson <joe_davidson@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eNJI7wCw#GA.274@cpmsnbbsa02>
Source record: 114492

I am a ham of 23 years... I came from 11 Meters... My wife has been a ham as long as I have... Knowing what I know now... I would have used this money
to buy cell phones and pay for the service for 20 years!!!

As for expensive CB... have you listened to 75 meters or 20 meters lately....
I hear the same language there that I hear on Channel 19 .. or worse.

Lets face it!! Hams are so complacent that the FCC will knock on their door and cite them before they will know that they have lost all their frequencies!!!

It is a dead hobby.... it just hasn't smelled its own carrion yet!!

I can go places and talk to people on the Internet that i use to fight to talk
to on HF... I have 200 countries confirmed on HF... Could do that in a week on internet...

Hams WILL lose their spectrum... bit by bit... because they won't clean up their
act not polince their own!!

Oh well... street corner preaching!!

Fare well ... and find a new hobby!!
Michael W. McGinley <mmcginley@STOPSPAMkscable.com> wrote in message news:mmcginley-2606991405530001@wks-211-113.kscable.com...
> O For crying out loud !
>
> I'm sick and tired of this code Vs. Nocode debate/flamewar
> I fought and clawed to get my 5WPM and failed several times at the testing
> centers, But i never gve up and guess what... i finally got it and i am
> glad i did
>
> If you take the code requirment out of amatuer radio you take the challenge
> out of it and the pride that goes with the knowlage that you actually did it.
> and the ham bands will become nothing more than a expensive version of 11 meters
> and if that happend we all lose.
>
> Those of you that were in collage and were offered a membership in a house
> (Not sure how to spell it) got subjected to some initation rite...but

> after it was all over you had a certian kinship with the other members.
> the code is really no differnt... without it...where's the pride in
> acomplishing a diffult task (Not that it's that hard)
>
>
> But then again... who want's to work for somthing anymore ?
>
> If i can get the code you can too.
>
> it's a hassle but it's worth it
>
> Have a nice one all
>
> E-Mail Encouraged...Flames put out with HALON(tm)
>
> Mike - N0JVT
>
> --
>
> NOTE: The characters STOPSPAM have been added after the @ sign
> in the eMail to prevent spam eMails. Please remove before sending
> your reply
>
> The Box said" Win95,Win98,NT 4.0 or better"
> So I Threw it out and bought a Macintosh ! :-)

5754. Re: My idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sharon & Kevin <sharkev@enter.net>
Message-ID: <377566b3@news3.enter.net>
Source record: 114493

Well then that probably means that you won't want to buy my old one with the crystals in it, right???
huey <huey@NoSpam.com> wrote in message news:3775199B.D7B37C1@NoSpam.com...
> My idea of a Good Scanner would be a scanner that had
> a memory capacity of over 50,000.
> Had a card (that could be updated via a downloaded
> internet file) that had ALL of my local Police, Fire, etc.
> frequencies on it. INCLUDING the trunktracking freqs.
> Had a retractable rubber duck antenna (Like a switchblade)
> NO BNC shit.
> Was MUCH more user friendly.
> Had a little solar panel on it that would charge the batteries
> or at least help keep them from discharging so quickly.
> Had a DROP IN charger.
>

5755. F. S. BC9000 xlt scanner mint

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: cbvarmint@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990626195859.08069.00002927@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 114494

Uniden 9000 xlt mint bought new 3 mo ago.Maybe has 3 hrs of use.Just lost intrest.I have box paper work antenna, power supply,everything as new.Shipped UPS to lower 48 states. Right to your house 275.00. Payable by money order,with same day ship.

5756. Re: ethernet card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Kellison KA8TOK <KA8TOK@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <3775896C.865959CF@four-way.net>
Source record: 114495
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: KA8TOK.vcf

Way off base.. Ethernet is a NETworking card that allows another computer with an Ethernet card to communicate to one another thru 50 ohm cable(BNC).. It's not for scanning.

The Dark Lord of the Sith wrote:

> i'm not to technologically minded about computers (or scanners for that
> manner), so this may be the stupidest question you've ever heard. the
> other day i noticed that the ethernet adapter card that came with my
> laptop had the same type of connectors as my scanner, bnc i believe. my
> scanner ant. fits right onto the card. so is there any potential here,
> or am i way off base?
>
> please don't flame me
> thanks in advance
> P.S. am i supposed use HTML messages?
>
> The Dark Lord of the Sith

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

5757. FS: Cheap RS scanners!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: cleve e massey <cmassey@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <7l41lp$q41@library1.airnews.net>
Source record: 114496

I have the following for sale...I usually ship UPS-COD...you pay shipping, I pay the COD costs...you have cashier's check or money order ready for delivery person...no hassle on either end...

RS Pro-2044...this is a base scanner...covers the usual bands up to 512 mhz!!! With wall wart power supply and antenna...used very little in excellent condition...asking $45.00

RS Pro-2066...this is a mobile scanner...do not have the mounting bracket or manual, but not hard to operate...in good condition...covers the usual bands to 900mhz...it is an older version of the UNIDEN 706xlt if you want to see what one looks like...it can be modded for cell reception.

Any further questions, please e-mail me direct...

thanks...

cleve

5758. Re: FS: Cheap RS scanners!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: cleve e massey <cmassey@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <2FFBA80912FE0A72.6C752BC0D4448AB2.0C8DFC41E09D3F97@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 114497

Sorry...want $100 for the Pro-2066

tnx...cleve

In article <7l41lp$q41@library1.airnews.net>, "cleve e massey"
<cmassey@airmail.net> wrote:

> I have the following for sale...I usually ship UPS-COD...you pay shipping, I
> pay the COD costs...you have cashier's check or money order ready for
> delivery person...no hassle on either end...
>
> RS Pro-2044...this is a base scanner...covers the usual bands up to 512
> mhz!!! With wall wart power supply and antenna...used very little in
> excellent condition...asking $45.00
>
> RS Pro-2066...this is a mobile scanner...do not have the mounting bracket or
> manual, but not hard to operate...in good condition...covers the usual bands
> to 900mhz...it is an older version of the UNIDEN 706xlt if you want to see
> what one looks like...it can be modded for cell reception.
>
> Any further questions, please e-mail me direct...
>
> thanks...
>
> cleve

5759. Re: Kantronics Interface][terminal unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cameron Russell <radioman@centuryinter.net>
Message-ID: <yggd3.1275$gr5.218863@feed.centuryinter.net>
Source record: 114498

thanks but i tried the obvious first.....any other takers? (I think it's outdated. my model)

-cameron
Bill Crocker wrote in message ...
>Have you looked here; http://www.kantronics.com
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>
>
>Cameron Russell <radioman10@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:OUbd3.1256$gr5.216769@feed.centuryinter.net...
>> Hello
>>
>> Recently I encountered a deal where I got a Kantronics
>nteface][terminal
>> unit. If you could, I would greatly appreciate any information you might
>> have on this, including how to connect it to your computer and where to
>get
>> software?
>>
>>
>> faithfully yours,
>>
>> cameron
>>
>>
>
>

5760. Re: Anyone know if its illegal to bring an US FRS radio into Canada?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul <boxcars98@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Omgd3.185$qK2.43450@newsgate.direct.ca>
Source record: 114499

Ke4wis wrote in message <19990626214924.06505.00004005@ng-fo1.aol.com>...
>I don't believe the question was related to duty. He's asking about the
>legality of using a FRS transceiver in Canada.

Sorry. I didn't catch the last part of the subject line in the original
post.

Nevermind.

Paul :-)

5761. Re: Family for sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al & Lo <alxlo@valinet.com>
Message-ID: <37759671.A615BBA5@valinet.com>
Source record: 114500

Will sell for cash or trade for "Dream Scanner Pro xlt 2000"
I'm just kiddin', really!

--
Please remove the X From allo to reply, it cuts the junk mail by 85%
Thank you

5762. Taste of Chicago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: SiD <SiD122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37758CF0.C11A1B6F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 114501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm looking for frequencies used in Taste of Chicago..

Thanks!

5763. PRO-91 FS $130

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Miller <mcmiller@netboise.com>
Message-ID: <3775CFDE.CA2DABD5@netboise.com>
Source record: 114502

I have a excellent PRO-91 for sale. New
manual. Date code of 2A8 (Feb 1998)

No charger, or belt clip.....I'm looking

John

5764. Re: Anyone know if its illegal to bring an US FRS radio into Canada?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Leeper <dwleeper@jps.net>
Message-ID: <3775B47C.16F72C91@jps.net>
Source record: 114503

Ke4wis wrote:

> He's asking about the legality of using a FRS transceiver in Canada.

My guess would be yes as our use of the FRS freqs. there are not that same as they are here. I believe this to be true with most if not all radio services here in the US.

5765. Scanner Trivia (please bear with me...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Judd <scout@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <8Uid3.213$qK2.47512@newsgate.direct.ca>
Source record: 114504

I became a scanner convert sometime around 1977 or '78, after seeing a television commercial for a Bearcat scanner.

The commercial featured this kinda milquetoast-looking guy eating a sandwich and listening to his scanner, imagining that he's riding along in the backseat of a police squad car enroute to a "211 in progress" (or something equally as nail-biting as that). Totally corny, I realize, but it really captured my 14 year old imagination at the time (not that I was a milque-toast or anything, mind you). I knew that I, too, must own one of these tickets to non-stop, action-packed adventure. The fact that my local PD in small-town Utah had maybe one "211 in progress" a year never entered my 14 year old brain.

Shortly thereafter I became keenly interested in cars, girls and beer (in no particular order) and never actually owned a scanner until 1984, but the seed was sown by that commercial, nevertheless.

Anyway, the trivia question is: Does anyone know who the character actor was who portrayed the scanner listener in the commercial? I'm not really looking for a name, but I'm about 87%sure it was the same guy who played in an early-70s sitcom called "The Chicago Teddy Bears", and I'm wondering if anyone else remembers and can confirm my happy memory for me.

Extra points if you can tell me what model of Bearcat he was listening to. I've got my money on the Bearcat III.

There will be no grand prize issued, but I will send an e-mail to Uniden requesting that a minature representation of our winners face be affixed to the end of each knob on the base model of the soon-to-be-released TrunkTracker II. How's that for posterity?

Yeah, I know, you're probably thinking I have far too much time on my hands, but hey, questions like this plague me from time to time. Not to mention those voices...

Paul Judd VE7VKG
Maple Ridge, B.C.

P.S. Anyone remember if there have been any other TV commercials for scanners?

5766. BC 3000XLT for sale (new)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jasonchef67@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7l17gqjra1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114634

Just a heads up for anyone looking. I ended up with two 3000xlt's so Im selling one. It is brand new in box with everything. I posted it on eBay and it is currently going for 107.50 - which is a crime. I was hoping to get alot more for it. So if anyone's interested go to ebay.com, register and bid on item number 122336766 Search the item number if you cant find it. This is not an advertisement for eBay. I just wanna give you guys a heads up on a great deal for the 3000, new in the box, not used and I'd like to fetch more than 100 bucks for it. Hell I paid over 300 for it. Perhaps we can help each other.

Thanks,
Mike-North Jersey.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5767. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990625202034.18000.00005717@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 114635

i used to work at Target and 3rd shift(10 PM to 8 AM or 8 AM to 11 PM in December) doesnt use walkie talkies

just thought u might wanna know

5768. Re: I NEED N E SAN DIEGO FREQ~!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: dtork@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7l1d7rleq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114636

Check out:

http://www.socal911.com/

Happy scanning,
David

In article <19990625164211.13956.00003721@ng-fq1.aol.com>,
porscheemb@aol.com (PorscheEMB) wrote:
> Can n e one send me ne freq for san diego thanks!!!!
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5769. amp question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: tomcat4680@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990625205835.12105.00004503@ng33.aol.com>
Source record: 114637

what kind of connectors does a radio shack scanner amplifier (part number 200-0041) have. also how much does it cost
email response is preferred

5770. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37742D6A.C68CD8A9@usa.net>
Source record: 114638
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Macaroon wrote:

> Nice try, mental case. You are the instigator. Always have

OK, tell ONE time in, say the last 30 days, than I have instigatedanything. Be specific.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5771. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-26 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37742DDE.DF9B9300@usa.net>
Source record: 114639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Macaroon wrote:

> You don't have the right to judge anyone else.

Neither do you! At least I can truthfully say I have never lied on this NG.

> Post on topic for once in your life or just walk in front of a train.

I have posted on-topic MANY times. You have NEVER posted on-topic in your life on this NG!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5772. Re: PRO 2005- BATT LIGHT AND BEEP WON'T STOP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990627101200.12834.00004133@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 114340

-Good suggestion on checking the battery wiring connections. If that doesnt work try a hard reset of the cpu. (Turn the radio off, hold in the CPU reset button, turn the radio on, then off, then release the button.) Note: say bye bye to your programmed memories naturally, they will erase. My 2004 did this once and it took a reset to make it stop.

beep, beep, beep, beep.....

Happy scanning - Ted

>My 2005 batt light and beep won't quit! I put in a new Batt. (the old
>one was fine) and tested at theboard (PC-1) there is 9V there.

5773. Re: Taste of Chicago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990627102532.12834.00004138@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 114341

>I'm looking for frequencies used in Taste of Chicago..

CPD: 460.250, 460.350, 460.525, 460.150 (the zone)
CFD: 462.950, 462.975, 465.525
PARK DIST: 151.190, 151.295
OPS: 469.550

Last year I heard various food ops on 151.625, 154.570 and 154.600. Search UHF too, 461-470, lots of rental radios running around

You might try these for CFD ems too:

458.025
458.075
458.125
458.175

Metro Fair & Expo Authority is somewhat involved (the folks who run Navy pier):

AGENCY RADIOS:
1 452.5375 Rptr D621 Mechanics
1 452.5375 Smx D261 Alternate F1 (Some Radios)
2 461.1125 Rptr D261 Entertainment / Ferris Wheel
3 451.9875 Rptr D261 Housekeeping, Grounds
4 453.0500 Rptr 107.2 East Control - MFEA Security
4 453.0500 Smx 127.3 Alternate F4 (Some Radios)
5 462.0500 Rptr D331 Food Services & Bars
5 461.8250 Rptr 110.9 Alternate F5 (Some Radios)
6 464.9250 Rptr D251 McCormick Property Management / Navy Pier Trolleys / Pier Security
7 462.1625 Rptr D261 Building Engineers and Electricians
8 SCAN SCAN Scan List
9 453.0500 Smx 127.3 Simplex on Channel 4 (Some Radios may be Rptr)
10 453.8500 Rptr 127.3 North Central
11 452.5375 Smx D261 Simplex on Channel 1 (Some Radios may be Rptr)
12 Unknown
13 464.2875 Rptr D261 South Central Control

Have fun at 'Da Taste! - Ted

5774. Re: Kantronics Interface][terminal unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <mTqd3.3993$qd.2510@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 114342

Check the following...

rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc

I think you'll find some knowledgeable people on the subject there!

Good luck,
Bill Crocker

Cameron Russell <radioman10@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:OUbd3.1256$gr5.216769@feed.centuryinter.net...
> Hello
>
> Recently I encountered a deal where I got a Kantronics
nteface][terminal
> unit. If you could, I would greatly appreciate any information you might
> have on this, including how to connect it to your computer and where to
get
> software?
>
>
> faithfully yours,
>
> cameron
>
>

5775. Help: Radio Freqs for Rochester, MN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: corsair09@home.com
Message-ID: <377595c7.6387922@24.2.1.71>
Source record: 114343

Help:

Does anyone have a good list of scanner freq's for Rochester,
MN and the surrounding area? New to scanning, and looking for help.
Please reply via email, as I can't read this news group very often!

Yep, I know that Radio Shack USUALLY has these, but my local on is
less than helpful.

Thanks for your time!

Corsair, The Mostly Harmless

****
http://members.home.net/corsair09
****

5776. Re: Live cellular phone audio on internet for all to hear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <radiodj@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37763f59@grouper.exis.net>
Source record: 114344

Thanks for the link.

But as for cell calls on the net: He's just
hurting the rest of us who enjoy the hobby
privately. In every apple barrel, you'll
find a worm.

Appreciate the info.

scanshack@aol.communicate (ScanShack) writes: > The Wired Digital web media service
reports:
> Scanner hobbyist puts live cellular phone audio on internet for all to hear.
> A linked URL to the story can be found at the below site.
>
> Visit The SCAN SHACK
> A FREE scanner information web page.
> Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
> virtual down conversion info & more at:
>
> http://members.aol.com/scanshack
>
> Happy Scanning !

5777. Mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: billy <billhoward1@home.com>
Message-ID: <37764127.4BE37FB0@home.com>
Source record: 114345

Hello:

Still looking to buy a used mobile rig, Like a 750 bearcat.. Please e-mail..

Thanks
Bill

5778. Re: PRO 2005- BATT LIGHT AND BEEP WON'T STOP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al & Lo <alxlo@valinet.com>
Message-ID: <377649BD.842C54C5@valinet.com>
Source record: 114346

The Batt. connections are good to the board and I tried the hard reset,
Heck it loses all mem. every time I unplug it anyway
But thanks for the Ideas guys

--
Please remove the X From allo to reply, it cuts the junk mail by 85%
Thank you

5779. Re: Pro-2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: George Colina <gcolina@cape.com>
Message-ID: <7l5gor$se9$1@smaug.cape.com>
Source record: 114347

Hi Isom: I purchased one a couple weeks agp and works very well.
I am 2.4 miles from a simulcast site and the quality is outstanding.
Another
radio site about 30 ,iles away is understandable scratchy and hard to
hear. Above all don't use the supplied antenna; find a cell phone antenna
with a right angle BNC connector; much better. Try to get the A suffix
version.
George Colina

Isom Fontenot wrote in message <37750259.E518497B@centuryinter.net>...
>I would appreciate any opinion on a Radio Shack TrunkTracker PRO 2050.
>To be used where the terrain is flat.

5780. POCSAG NEED HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: mking <mking@indigo.ie>
Message-ID: <JZrd3.667$C4.506@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 114348
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

HI FOLKS
i need help in pocsag cant find a program in English
also how does it work
post to newsgroup or email me
mking@indigo.ie
thanx
Luther

5781. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mark C (addr in .sig)" <postmaster@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <7l5j0h$qj6$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 114349
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

budgie wrote in message <3776190b.2485960@news.cantech.net.au>...
>On Sat, 26 Jun 1999 21:30:10 GMT, amadeus@0spam.netcomuk.co.uk (Jim
>Dunnett) wrote:
>>Why would they bother to do so when they can tap the
>>landline side of any conversation at will? Much easier
>>than messing around with radios and crypto.
>maybe because a lot of what they are after is mobile to mobile?

So, if from Perth (Australia, as opposed to Scotland, but the difference is irrelevant), you use your mobile to call someone in Adelaide, on their mobile, your tiny little handset transmits your voice all the way to their handset?

Uh no. It goes through the land network (okay, with the exception of some expanses, where it might go microwave again)...

5782. Re: Pro-2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Isom Fontenot <ifont@centuryinter.net>
Message-ID: <37765402.9979D98A@centuryinter.net>
Source record: 114350

George Colina wrote:
>
> Hi Isom: I purchased one a couple weeks agp and works very well.
> I am 2.4 miles from a simulcast site and the quality is outstanding.
> Another
> radio site about 30 ,iles away is understandable scratchy and hard to
> hear. Above all don't use the supplied antenna; find a cell phone antenna
> with a right angle BNC connector; much better. Try to get the A suffix
> version.
> George Colina
>
> Isom Fontenot wrote in message <37750259.E518497B@centuryinter.net>...
> >I would appreciate any opinion on a Radio Shack TrunkTracker PRO 2050.
> >To be used where the terrain is flat.

Hi George:
Thanks for the information. I purchased mine in May. The closest radio
site is about 20 miles. I have no trouble hearing those frequencies
programmed on my PRO-51, but, not on the Trunk tracker. In trunking
mode it searches but finds nothing. The scanning of local police works
fine.
Radio Shack told me the PRO-51 was more sensitive than Pro-2050 and
that I should get a roof top antenna.
Maybe all this heat, humidity here in South Louisiana may have
something to do with it.

5783. Re: Pro-2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Isom Fontenot <ifont@centuryinter.net>
Message-ID: <37765671.2BB1696B@centuryinter.net>
Source record: 114351

Isom Fontenot wrote:
>
> George Colina wrote:
> >
> > Hi Isom: I purchased one a couple weeks agp and works very well.
> > I am 2.4 miles from a simulcast site and the quality is outstanding.
> > Another
> > radio site about 30 ,iles away is understandable scratchy and hard to
> > hear. Above all don't use the supplied antenna; find a cell phone antenna
> > with a right angle BNC connector; much better. Try to get the A suffix
> > version.
> > George Colina
> >
> > Isom Fontenot wrote in message <37750259.E518497B@centuryinter.net>...
> > >I would appreciate any opinion on a Radio Shack TrunkTracker PRO 2050.
> > >To be used where the terrain is flat.
>
> Hi George:
> Thanks for the information. I purchased mine in May. The closest radio
> site is about 20 miles. I have no trouble hearing those frequencies
> programmed on my PRO-51, but, not on the Trunk tracker. In trunking
> mode it searches but finds nothing. The scanning of local police works
> fine.
> Radio Shack told me the PRO-51 was more sensitive than Pro-2050 and
> that I should get a roof top antenna.
> Maybe all this heat, humidity here in South Louisiana may have
> something to do with it.

A question: When searching in the trunking mode, and, if I, turn the
squelch off or counter clock, shouldn't I hear that hissing noise?
Because I don't hear anything.

5784. Digital Voice Fallacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: c9super@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7l5o0crje1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114352

As the following article indicates, one of the arguments for converting to digital voice and/or encryption is to thwart sophisticated criminals by not allowing them to hear they are under surveillance.

A criminal does not need to know whats being said about him, just that he's under surveillance or his house is about to be raided.

By monitoring the signal strength of input frequencies, the use of frequency recorders(i.e. scout) and increasingly less expensive spectrum analyzers, one can easily tell if "someone is in the area" by studying the pattern of transmissions.

Obviously, Motorola won't tell city ofiicials that fact. They'll find out after they've spent millions of taxpayer dollars.

http://www.citypaper.net/articles/062499/feat.coverstory.shtml

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5785. FS: AT&T 3810 CP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <%Lsd3.4015$qd.2636@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 114353

FOR SALE:

AT&T Model 3810 Cellular Phone

Needs battery, $25.00 shipped within U.S.

Bill Crocker

5786. Re: FS: Cheap RS scanners!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rich Wells <wellsr@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <37765C70.C9156656@ibm.net>
Source record: 114354

Rick wrote:
> cleve e massey wrote:
> >
> > RS Pro-2066...this is a mobile scanner...do not have the mounting bracket or
> > manual, but not hard to operate...in good condition...covers the usual bands
> > to 900mhz...it is an older version of the UNIDEN 706xlt if you want to see
> > what one looks like...it can be modded for cell reception.
>
> Do you know something I don't? I don't think this can be modified for
> cell. Please share your method with all the 2066 owners that wish they
> could modify their scanners but can't.

I think Cleve meant to say the PRO-2026...not the PRO-2066 which is
Radio Shack's latest TrunkTracking mobile unit.

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

5787. San Diego County Sheriffs Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: hartsell@altavista.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11e00010827ea442989684@news3.cts.com>
Source record: 114355

Would anyone know if the the San Diego County Sheriff's frequencies haved moved from 453.xxx?

5788. Re: MVT-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11e00b70becc562698980b@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114356

Yes, in fact I feel very strongly that the 9000 is the "ONLY" competent wide band receiver especially in the HF region. As even the newest of the breed of PC controlled fall flat in this area as well. Forget the AR8200 as it is all but deaf hear and not to swift in the vhf-uhf either. I would certainly opt for the Bandercom modified units as they are considerably improved over the stock units.

5789. Re: Wanted ICOM IC-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: carl45365@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7l5rmi$sk7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114357

In article <19990625223113.18283.00004273@ng-ft1.aol.com>,
loup1952@aol.com (Loup1952) wrote:
> Let me know condition and price. Thanks.
>Re: Wanted ICOM IC-9000
There is one posted on Ebay. Don't know anything about it though!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5790. Re: citizen finds police radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John L. Wilkerson Jr." <jwilkers@netcom13.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7l5p8s$r1g@dfw-ixnews7.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 114358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In rec.radio.scanner Jeff Woodard <j.c.w.@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
: That was absolutely hilarious! I heard him say "I'm just tryin' to return
: this here ray dee o, I'm not tryin' to be a smart ass, I don't want to
: steeeel it, I'm just a campin - and I want to return it"

: Then the dispatcher would call "Control to the gentleman who found the
: radio..."

: It was very funny!

: Jeff

Yeah.. I half expected him to say "10-4 good buddy" a few times :)

--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger
Supporting two-way radio for the family of the modern era. See my website
for more info on the Family Radio Service (FRS)

5791. F.S. Bearcat UBC 9000XLT AS NEW.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: carl45365@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7l5su8sva1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114359

I have an as NEW Bearcat UBC 9000XLT I would like to sell. Used for about 6 or 8 hours.Comes with everything that the radio came with new plus an A/C adapter I bought from Uniden to power the unit with. YES it is UNBLOCKED. $325.00+ shipping costs. Method of shipping is your choice. Email me with questions-carl45365@my-deja.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5792. Re: UHF Weather ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: none <fullemailbox@domain.com>
Message-ID: <377669D8.53A3@domain.com>
Source record: 114360

```
Mark Jarvis wrote:
>
> 162.4 MHz - 162.5 MHz
>
> MJ
> --
> ***************************************************************************
> *Mark R. Jarvis Currently: 5150 *
> *Meteorology Student Email: Mrj2122@garnet.acns.fsu.edu *
> *Florida State University Mjarvis@huey.met.fsu.edu *
> * *
> * *
> * "What is understood needs not be discussed." -Loren Adams \/|-| *
> ***************************************************************************
UHF? Those frequencies are for VHF.
```

5793. Re: Kantronics Interface][terminal unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cameron Russell <radioman10@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5Ztd3.1300$gr5.224239@feed.centuryinter.net>
Source record: 114361

Well thank you bill!

-cameron
Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:mTqd3.3993$qd.2510@news.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Check the following...
>
> rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
>
> I think you'll find some knowledgeable people on the subject there!
>
> Good luck,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> Cameron Russell <radioman10@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:OUbd3.1256$gr5.216769@feed.centuryinter.net...
> > Hello
> >
> > Recently I encountered a deal where I got a Kantronics
> nteface][terminal
> > unit. If you could, I would greatly appreciate any information you
might
> > have on this, including how to connect it to your computer and where to
> get
> > software?
> >
> >
> > faithfully yours,
> >
> > cameron
> >
> >
>
>

5794. Re: UHF Weather ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: none <fullemailbox@domain.com>
Message-ID: <37766AF7.6A50@domain.com>
Source record: 114362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

The frequencies that weather radios recieve are in the mid VHF range.
Right around 162 Megacycles. There might be some weather radio links
in the UHF range, though. I know this becasue ther is one in the
Cleveland Ohio area. I think that a link is where a message is
transmitted on a frequency to a transmission site and then from the site
it is broadcast to the general public.

Peter Foley wrote:
>
> Does anyone know of any weather info broadcast in the UHF range ? or any
> other info broadcasts in the UHF range.
>
> Please let me know at pfoley@ussa.org

5795. Re: Airnav 3.1..threats from AIRNAV ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Barry Burke Jr." <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <37761D7B.E5F24424@snet.net>
Source record: 114363

Al & Lo wrote:
>
> or get a Mac ; }
>

Then you'll have very little niche market software to run in the first
place and
won't have to worry about shareware anymore! =8^)

--
****
Barry Burke Jr. Middletown, Connecticut, USA
nospam@snet.net is my REAL email address!

To reply, do not edit it, simply click "reply"

****

5796. FS: ICOM PCR-100 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <dpud3.4041$qd.2580@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 114364

FOR SALE:

ICOM PCR-100 computer controlled scanner radio.

Like new, original box, manuals, software, registration card!

ONLY: $175.00 shipped within the U.S.

Bill Crocker

5797. Scanners for sale or trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: texcomm13@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990627151636.12471.00000769@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 114365

I have For sale The following Scanners. Most are in very good condition. The Prices are fair. I will ship Promptly upon receipt of a U.S. Postal Money order. No COD. Please do not ask About any mods or cellular Capabilities, Most People on the NG's Know the possibilities. Please direct any particular questions to me Via Email. I am located very close to Houston Texas. Shipping will be discussed.

Also, I might be interested in a trade, I have an interest in Power Tools.

Thank you
David

1. BC855xlt $100.00
2. BC9000xlt $250.00
3. Pro 2045 $ 125.00
4. Pro 2042 w/OS535, Probe 4.0 $450.00
5. Pro 2005* $150.00
6. Pro 23.* $ 50.00
7. Pro 26 w/Euro. logic board $ 300.00
8. Pro 39 $175.00
9. Pro 51 $ 125.00
10. Sony SC PC1 Wave hawk w/ software $ 240.00

* these units are 100% functional, but appearance is not quite perfect, showing their age.

5798. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Good_Ideas@bargain.prices.org
Message-ID: <NNud3.51$WP6.235500@news.bctel.net>
Source record: 114366

Especially for handheld scanners that are concealed in a pocket, it is difficult to know what frequency you hear a particular intercept on. A guy wearing headphones and glancing into his pocket frequently is bound to attract attention.

How about an enunciator feature that will "say" the frequency/alpha tag of the transmission after the squelch closes but before it opens again?

For example, a Pro-43 goes something like:

"Bravo 2 Two In Custody chtt"

Our Improved scanner goes something like:

"Bravo 2 Two In Custody chtt ONE TWO THREE POINT FOUR FIVE V P D DISPATCH"

You should have the option of enunciating the Freq, the Alpha Tag or both.

If the squelch opens before enunciation completes, enunciation is cut short. On more advanced/expensive receivers, subsequent transmissions are stored in memory (like a skip-free portable CD player) until enunciation completes and then played back. Advanced models would require an Analog/Digital and Digital/Analog converter and memory but this technology is already on the shelf in other consumer-grade products.

Come to think of it, the Opto Scout would be more useful with this feature and a headphone jack.

5799. Re: ethernet card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <G0vd3.95044$_m4.939127@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 114367
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

but that wasn't his question.

his question was

can anything be done with the scanner and the card

i am sure he already knew what the card was for...

reinaga

Brad Kellison KA8TOK <KA8TOK@four-way.net> wrote in message
news:3775896C.865959CF@four-way.net...
> Way off base.. Ethernet is a NETworking card that allows another computer
> with an Ethernet card to communicate to one another thru 50 ohm
> cable(BNC).. It's not for scanning.
>
> The Dark Lord of the Sith wrote:
>
> > i'm not to technologically minded about computers (or scanners for that
> > manner), so this may be the stupidest question you've ever heard. the
> > other day i noticed that the ethernet adapter card that came with my
> > laptop had the same type of connectors as my scanner, bnc i believe. my
> > scanner ant. fits right onto the card. so is there any potential here,
> > or am i way off base?
> >
> > please don't flame me
> > thanks in advance
> > P.S. am i supposed use HTML messages?
> >
> > The Dark Lord of the Sith
>

5800. Re: citizen finds police radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990627152619.16897.00003419@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 114368

A few years ago a couple of guys stole two Moto 800MHz radios from a parked rec. dept. truck in Lakeland, Florida. In addition to the parks&rec. department the radios were also programmed for the police and fire talkgroups.
When the burglary was reported the dispatchers were able to "flag" the IDs of the two radios so that if they were used to transmit a warning would show up on the dispatch console and the radios would be on their own talkgroup where they wouldn't distrupt anyone else.
Sure enough, a couple of days later, a dispatcher hears "breaker, breaker, can anybody hear me?" and the warning flag pops up next to the radio ID on the screen.
Well, the dispatcher plays along, pretending to be another CBer and not acting or talking like a police dispatcher.
The crooks, it turned out, had gotten their car stuck in the sand of an orange grove and were using the radios to call for help. They gave the dispatcher a telephone number for "Uncle Henry" and told her right where they were located so Uncle Henry could come with his four-wheeler and pull them out of the sand.
Needless to say, it wasn't Uncle Henry who showed up!
After arresting the two (too bad terminal stupidity isn't a criminal offense) the cops searched the car and found it was full of stolen stuff from a string of burglaries.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

5801. Re: BC 9000xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.comnospam>
Message-ID: <377677c2@news5.newsfeeds.com>
Source record: 114369

And as I mentioned before, by holding down manual, 2, & 9, then turning on the unit clears all memory channels.

Tom

----------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeeds.com The Largest Usenet Servers in the World!
------== Over 73,000 Newsgroups - Including Dedicated Binaries Servers ==-----

5802. Re: Scanners for sale or trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <mrvd3.95114$_m4.947745@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 114370

i have a Milwaukee drill cat # 0222-1
older drill but works good..
real powerful
has like a 30 foot cord
interested in trade for anything

let me know

reinaga

Texcomm13 <texcomm13@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990627151636.12471.00000769@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> I have For sale The following Scanners. Most are in very good
condition.
> The Prices are fair. I will ship Promptly upon receipt of a U.S. Postal
> Money order. No COD. Please do not ask About any mods
> or cellular Capabilities, Most People on the NG's Know the
possibilities.
> Please direct any particular questions to me Via Email. I am located
very
> close to Houston Texas. Shipping will be discussed.
>
> Also, I might be interested in a trade, I have an interest in Power
Tools.
>
> Thank you
> David
>
> 1. BC855xlt $100.00
> 2. BC9000xlt $250.00
> 3. Pro 2045 $ 125.00
> 4. Pro 2042 w/OS535, Probe 4.0 $450.00
> 5. Pro 2005* $150.00
> 6. Pro 23.* $ 50.00
> 7. Pro 26 w/Euro. logic board $ 300.00
> 8. Pro 39 $175.00
> 9. Pro 51 $ 125.00
> 10. Sony SC PC1 Wave hawk w/ software $ 240.00
>
>
> * these units are 100% functional, but appearance is not quite
perfect,
> showing their age.
>
>

>

5803. Re: Live cellular phone audio on internet for all to hear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <377683fe.4519669@news>
Source record: 114371

On 27 Jun 1999 11:12:25 -0500, Dan <radiodj@hotmail.com> wrote:

>But as for cell calls on the net: He's just
>hurting the rest of us who enjoy the hobby
>privately. In every apple barrel, you'll
>find a worm.

On the contrary - IMHO it's a good thing. As news of this spreads, people might once and for all WAKE UP to the fact that cellular radio calls are out there for anyone to hear, and begin to DEMAND truly secure, truly private wireless communications. As long as the issue of monitoring stays swept under the rug, the cellular carriers won't be motivated to do anything more than lobby for ineffective and unenforcable legislation like the ECPA.

I'm sorry if this ruins your private little hobby of eavesdropping on other peoples' phone calls, but in the long run the majority of us will benefit from more secure communications.

5804. Re: End of Thread!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <37769014.7614932@news>
Source record: 114372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

On Sun, 27 Jun 1999 00:07:30 -0500, Troll <Troll@trollbait.com> wrote:

>End this Thread NOW!

End what thread?

5805. WTB Sony SC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: birdfan1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990627190712.01117.00007434@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 114373

I'm looking for a Sony SC1 in good condition.
Please reply by E-Mail.

Thanks
Birdfan1

5806. Re: AT&T 3810 CP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <0lyd3.4086$qd.2712@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 114374

Oops... sorry, wrong newsgroup.

Bill

5807. Scanner Radio web site link exchange!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990627192236.05080.00001066@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 114375

Anyone interested in swapping scanner radio site links, please email me with your url and site title. As soon as our link is active on your site we will add yours the same day.
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html
http://members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

5808. Re: Live cellular phone audio on internet for all to hear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: xman Charlie <xman@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <7l6bbg$rlk@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114376

Everbody loves a good ole snitch, eh??

5809. FS Alinco DJ-X10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: spoiler1 <spoiler1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3776B712.6C6B2003@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114377

FS Alinco DJ-X10 wide band communications receiver. Only 3 1/2 months
old mint condition. Comes with original box, manual, 2 nicads, 1 AA
battery case, registered
copy of programing software & cable, and "pouch brand" radio pouch.
Great radio
I have just become bored with it. asking $275.00 for all including
shipping in Con US.
E-mail spoiler1@earthlink.net if interested.

Regards

Gary

5810. Re: Digital Voice Fallacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Wood <majorwood@navix.net>
Message-ID: <7l6mqg$9302@iac7.navix.net>
Source record: 114378

>By monitoring the signal strength of input frequencies, the use of
>frequency recorders(i.e. scout) and increasingly less expensive spectrum
>analyzers, one can easily tell if "someone is in the area" by studying
>the pattern of transmissions.
Yea, but most criminals are idiots. I seriously doubt that any of the bad guys will ever bother with a signal strength meter. The fact of the matter is, MOST crooks don't even use scanners. The whole issue is so overblown by Motorola and the cops. They want you to believe every crook from the mob all the way down to a shoplifter spends ever waking moment listening to police transmissions. That way, they can justify the multi-million dollar scams they are pulling on taxpayers like us by purchasing these new systems. The REAL reason the cops want secure radios is so the public can't get wind of all their screw ups and illegal activities. And Motorola? They don't give a rats ass about anything other than $. I should know - I used to work for them. - MW

5811. Re: Airnav 3.1..threats from AIRNAV ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al & Lo <alxlo@valinet.com>
Message-ID: <3776E2ED.B10985DC@valinet.com>
Source record: 114379

And that's a fact! trust me!

--
Please remove the X From allo to reply, it cuts the junk mail by 85%
Thank you

5812. Tennelec Memory Scan-MS 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Jim H. Pock" <JHPock@dls.net>
Message-ID: <3776E229.5205A93D@dls.net>
Source record: 114380

I just picked up a Tennelec Memory Scan-MS 2 scanner. It doesn't have a tuner or crystals. It looks to be at least 20 years old. Does anyone have any information on it, like how to tune it in.

From what I see, it works in the Mhz 30 to 50 range.

Let me know..

Thanks;
Jim P.

5813. Re: UHF Weather ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Homer B." <homer.bombino@imail.com>
Message-ID: <7l6odpiur1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 114381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

Peter,

When I used to live in Miami, I lived near the NOAA Huricane center. As I found, they have a UHF link frequency, which they microwave to the main VHF transmitter site. NOAA uses 416.375 Mhz at this site, however I know that this frequency is not intended for broadcast and only the remote link to the transmitter tower.

I know of no UHF weather transmitters which are part of any standards by NOAA. Even the new Severe Weather Alert Station (like S.A.M.E. recievers) still use the 10 VHF transmitter frequencies.

Hope this helps...

Homer B.
KD4PXF

none wrote in message <37766AF7.6A50@domain.com>...
>The frequencies that weather radios recieve are in the mid VHF range.
>Right around 162 Megacycles. There might be some weather radio links
>in the UHF range, though. I know this becasue ther is one in the
>Cleveland Ohio area. I think that a link is where a message is
>transmitted on a frequency to a transmission site and then from the site
>it is broadcast to the general public.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Peter Foley wrote:
>>
>> Does anyone know of any weather info broadcast in the UHF range ? or any
>> other info broadcasts in the UHF range.
>>
>> Please let me know at pfoley@ussa.org

5814. Re: FS: Cheap RS scanners!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rbulea@erienet.net>
Message-ID: <3776EB79.1C0E@erienet.net>
Source record: 114382

Rich Wells wrote:
>
> Rick wrote:
> > cleve e massey wrote:
> > >
> > > RS Pro-2066...this is a mobile scanner...do not have the mounting bracket or
> > > manual, but not hard to operate...in good condition...covers the usual bands
> > > to 900mhz...it is an older version of the UNIDEN 706xlt if you want to see
> > > what one looks like...it can be modded for cell reception.
> >
> > Do you know something I don't? I don't think this can be modified for
> > cell. Please share your method with all the 2066 owners that wish they
> > could modify their scanners but can't.
>
> I think Cleve meant to say the PRO-2026...not the PRO-2066 which is
> Radio Shack's latest TrunkTracking mobile unit.
>
> Strong Signals,
> Rich Wells N2MCA
> http://www.strongsignals.net/

Whew----Thanks for the clarification. A mod would have been nice.

Rick

5815. Re: MVT-9000 FS w/case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Typecast@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24236-3776EDF8-72@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 114383

I am selling mine for $375 delivered to you via UPS.
The radio is about 6 months old in excellent condition. It includes the
Yupiteru soft case, and DC power cord.
K6DHL

5816. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Liquorice <newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <nyyfbegfarkhfqrzbapbhx.fe0kxr1.pminews@localhost>
Source record: 114384
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 27 Jun 1999 12:29:27 GMT, budgie wrote:

>> Why would they bother to do so when they can tap the landline side of any
>> conversation at will? Much easier than messing around with radios and
>> crypto.
>
> maybe because a lot of what they are after is mobile to mobile?

What has that got to do with it? The call will still go through a switch
(exchange) somewhere and that is where any official taps are applied anyway.

You don't think that a mobile to mobile call is totally RF from one handset
to the other when they can be 100's of miles apart do you?

--
Cheers newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk
Dave. Remove "spam" for valid email.

5817. Discriminator Tap UNIDEN 3000 xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: LM <lars.mortensen@email.dk>
Message-ID: <7l3jjr$7po$1@news.cybercity.dk>
Source record: 114505

Does anybody know where the discriminator point is on a Uniden Beacat 3000
xlt ? (FM) - i have seen it on the web - but is it safe to solder on the
(very small)
chip ?
lars.mortensen@email.dk

5818. Philadelphia Protester's Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Pinero <openbroadcast@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7l3ufrbig1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114506

Today, the City Paper, a weekly newspaper in Philadelphia, took a terrific look at the consequences of police communications moving to closed broadcasting systems. Many of the concerns are those of the Open Broadcasting cause I post so frequently about in these groups. The story can be (currently) read in full at the following URL:

http://www.citypaper.net/articles/062499/feat.coverstory.shtml

Parties interested in protesting against this action can now utilize the Philadelphia Closed Broadcasting Response Resource Page located at:

http://home.tampabay.rr.com/mercury/truth4000/action/philadelphia/philadelphia.htm

The page features preconfigured templates for e-mail via the E-The People service, as well as links to and contact information for government officials and key elements of the local media there.

Anyone concerned or curious about the move of police radio systems to closed digital systems which are largely unmonitorable by the public should visit the Open Broadcasting web site indicated in the sig of this message. For the first time in the history of this cause, a resource has been established to empower such concerned parties of Philadelphia and elsewhere to let their concerns be known. Philadelphia has not tipped yet, the cement of policy has not been poured. Now is the time to use the resources at the Philadelphia Closed Broadcasting Response Resource Page to challenge yet another blind implementation of a closed system. With the hallmark of today's media attention, you can make a difference NOW. DO it! Even if you don't live in Philadelphia, a closed system is coming to you soon.

Dave

--
==========
Open Broadcasting Website
http://members.aol.com/truth4000/page1.htm
==========

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5819. Re: FS: PRO 2004 Last Call Before it goes to Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Bertone <dbertone@flash.net>
Message-ID: <377574B0.3403827A@flash.net>
Source record: 114507
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Does it have the new keypad overlay to show the 40 channels per bank?

Need any Motorola flip phone batteries as part trade?

5820. Re: Anyone know if its illegal to bring an US FRS radio into Canada?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: ke4wis@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990626214924.06505.00004005@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 114508

I don't believe the question was related to duty. He's asking about the legality of using a FRS transceiver in Canada.

5821. frequencies of automated toll collectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPAMMpcuni@geocities.com
Message-ID: <3775870f.214005675@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114509

the following web page has them all

http://www.ettm.com/vendors_avi.html

Try my web page at http://www.paulcuni.com

5822. Re: UHF Weather ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: mrj2122@garnet.acns.fsu.edu
Message-ID: <7l45km$9fj$2@news.fsu.edu>
Source record: 114510

162.4 MHz - 162.5 MHz

MJ
--
****
*Mark R. Jarvis Currently: 5150 *
*Meteorology Student Email: Mrj2122@garnet.acns.fsu.edu *
*Florida State University Mjarvis@huey.met.fsu.edu *
* *
* *
* "What is understood needs not be discussed." -Loren Adams \/|-| *
****

5823. >>>>>Schematic and Software for FT-840 CAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jerrys@adept.net
Message-ID: <37799c74.2339232@news.adept.net>
Source record: 114511

Looking for schematic and software for FT-840 CAT.
Anybody got anything out there?

Thanks, Jerry K4FJK
jerrys@adept.net

5824. Re: What's on 29.620?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <3775A00D.EA0C81B9@usa.net>
Source record: 114512

So what is that supposed to mean?

hswift@dol.net wrote:

> Thanks for the information But I have heard people there with made up call
> signs 73's

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5825. Re: FS: Cheap RS scanners!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick <rbulea@erienet.net>
Message-ID: <3775A249.5F79@erienet.net>
Source record: 114513

cleve e massey wrote:
>
> RS Pro-2066...this is a mobile scanner...do not have the mounting bracket or
> manual, but not hard to operate...in good condition...covers the usual bands
> to 900mhz...it is an older version of the UNIDEN 706xlt if you want to see
> what one looks like...it can be modded for cell reception.
>
> Any further questions, please e-mail me direct...
>
> thanks...
>
> cleve

cleve,

Do you know something I don't? I don't think this can be modified for
cell. Please share your method with all the 2066 owners that wish they
could modify their scanners but can't.

Thanks
Rick

5826. Re: microwave ovens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <01bec052$f5bb59e0$5f685ed1@oemcomputer>
Source record: 114514
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I remember working in the food industry in the summer of 1970 and we got this new Litton? "Radar Range" oven, with the black screened door WITHOUT the glass - guess they didn't figure the radiation would harm us(?!) at first. Anyway, the door screen was designed with even rows of small round holes, and we threw all sorts of stuff in and watched it explode. The funniest thing I saw was a cook place an egg in it, wondering how long it took to hard-boil, and he watched it cook with his face a few inches from the door until it BLEW, and when he turned around, we were hysterical at the sight of a thousand little yellow dots on his face in the same even rows as the door screen. I'll never forget the look ON his face or the look OF his face at that moment!

--
Dennis
djmr26@bestweb.net
Putnam Co NY

5827. Re: Casual user questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Kelnhofer <n2zxz@monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <3775A352.AFD17DF8@monmouth.com>
Source record: 114515

>

I own the Bearcat BC60XLT-1 as a supplement to my trunk tracker. It got it at Wal-Mart for around $100. It has 30 channel and does well in a suburban area. IT does not do Aircraft. It Also has no 800 mhz capability at all so you'll need to make sure your local Public Safety agencies are in it's range. It is also a hand held but does all right with the stock antenna. I add a speaker through the speaker jack though for better voice quality.
Good luck.
BTW it's pretty simple to program.

5828. Re: microwave ovens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmweber@goodnet.com
Message-ID: <3776a8fa.1526802@news.goodnet.com>
Source record: 114516
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 27 Jun 1999 04:09:19 GMT, "Dennis" <djmr26@bestweb.net> wrote:

>I remember working in the food industry in the summer of 1970 and we got
>this new Litton? "Radar Range" oven, with the black screened door WITHOUT
>the glass - guess they didn't figure the radiation would harm us(?!) at
>first. Anyway, the door screen was designed with even rows of small round
>holes, and we threw all sorts of stuff in and watched it explode. The
>funniest thing I saw was a cook place an egg in it, wondering how long it
>took to hard-boil, and he watched it cook with his face a few inches from
>the door until it BLEW, and when he turned around, we were hysterical at
>the sight of a thousand little yellow dots on his face in the same even
>rows as the door screen. I'll never forget the look ON his face or the look
>OF his face at that moment!
The glass provides zilch radiation shielding. It is a pretty good
dieletectric. The theory is that as long as the holes in the screen
are appreciably smaller than 1/4 wave, very little microwave energy
can in fact escape. F is about 2450Mhz, so the wave length is about
12.cm, 1/4 wave is 3 cm, so the holes need to be on the order of 3mm
or less, that is about 1/8th of an inch...

5829. Re: microwave ovens

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert F. Golaszewski" <mildred@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <7l4aeg$18qe$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 114517
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

James Matthew Weber <jmweber@goodnet.com> wrote in message
news:3776a8fa.1526802@news.goodnet.com...

> The glass provides zilch radiation shielding. It is a pretty good
> dieletectric. The theory is that as long as the holes in the screen
> are appreciably smaller than 1/4 wave, very little microwave energy
> can in fact escape. F is about 2450Mhz, so the wave length is about
> 12.cm, 1/4 wave is 3 cm, so the holes need to be on the order of 3mm
> or less, that is about 1/8th of an inch...

Correct. The glass is there so if something does blow up in a
microwave, it doesn't get shot against the room (or, in someone's face.)
Radiation protection is provided by the screen, not the glass.
--

-Robert F. Golaszewski

(If any of my posts are found to contain an error, they come with a double
your money back guarantee.)

Visit http://third-plateau.lycaeum.org/cgi/anyboard/board/index.html
for the best general interest site on the Net about
DXM (dextromethorphan) used recreationally.

5830. Re: Realistic Pro-2020 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <01bec059$d9a8e720$5f685ed1@oemcomputer>
Source record: 114518

I've got the same thing on an even older PRO-2002, and I don't remember it being there earlier in its life - I was thinking maybe it's getting old and ready to blink out on me, but it's done it for a coupla years now with no further problems. It could be that I have it next to my bed now, listening in the quiet when I go to bed.

(Having posted this, I'm sure when I go to bed tonite, it'll be dead!)

--
Dennis
djmr26@bestweb.net
Putnam Co NY

PGeorge402 <pgeorge402@aol.com> wrote in article
<19990626162215.23116.00005488@ng-xa1.aol.com>...
> I recently acquired a Realistic (Radio Shack) Pro-2020 scanner. It has a
"hum"
> only when something is being received. If anyone out there has this model
I am
> interested in knowing if this is normal. I remember reading somewhere
that a
> certain amount of noise is normal in some of the ealier models of Radio
Shack
> programmable scanners. Thanks.
>
> P.G.
>

5831. Re: My idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: LaLaS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7l4b4h$l1s@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 114519

Well then you have to by a TOYOTA??????????????????????

huey wrote:

> My idea of a Good Scanner would be a scanner that had
> a memory capacity of over 50,000.
> Had a card (that could be updated via a downloaded
> internet file) that had ALL of my local Police, Fire, etc.
> frequencies on it. INCLUDING the trunktracking freqs.
> Had a retractable rubber duck antenna (Like a switchblade)
> NO BNC shit.
> Was MUCH more user friendly.
> Had a little solar panel on it that would charge the batteries
> or at least help keep them from discharging so quickly.
> Had a DROP IN charger.

5832. Looking for Montgomery,AL frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John F." <soudnmn@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3775B7B5.78EE215@aol.com>
Source record: 114520

I just moved to this God forsaken town and can't find any web sites which show anything but fast-food frequencies for Montgomery. I know there must be SOMETHING more interesting than that.

Thanks for the info.

Bored in AL,
Soundmn

5833. Re: Cape Hatteras Lighthouse Relocation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: czerwona.siodemka@redseven.de
Message-ID: <3777b4cc.4222196@news.CIS.DFN.DE>
Source record: 114521

On Sat, 26 Jun 1999 19:09:18 -0400, "Ed Lynch" <lynchs@erols.com>
wrote:

>If you're in the area, I definitely recommend giving up an hour or so to
>watch.

Isn't this something like watching the grass grow?

5834. citizen finds police radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: "John L. Wilkerson Jr." <jwilkers@netcom10.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7l4eg1$on0@dfw-ixnews10.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 114522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am currently listening to my local sheriff dept. Seems a guy found a lost police radio and is talking to sheriff dispatch.

They remotely disabled the radio and now are working to re-enable it so they can tell the guy where to go and give it back.

The guy at first was funny.....

"Hey I found a little black ray-dee-o.... hello... hello"

They got the radio reactivated and are directing him where to go to return it now.

Some deputy will get into trouble for losing his radio and some citizen will get thanked for doing his good deed for the day.

--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger
Supporting two-way radio for the family of the modern era. See my website for more info on the Family Radio Service (FRS)

5835. AirNav

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: LaLaS <m1956@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <7l4e0u$l1s@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 114523

Hello there all
I am trying to install the AirNav 3.1 program in my computer,but in the mettle of the installation i get and " The program has perform an illegal operation on ..... " and i can't run the program
Anyone know what is the problem ??????
Or anyone has the same problem and how can you fix it ????
Thanks a lot
PaPaS

5836. End of Thread!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Troll <Troll@trollbait.com>
Message-ID: <3775B192.DB2D4CBC@trollbait.com>
Source record: 114524
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Troll Bait Alert!

Do NOT Reply to this message.

End this Thread NOW!

Do NOT Reply!

5837. Re: Pro-2026 Mod Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Nash <dspace9@waverider.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7l4too$3g5$3@nclient3-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 114525
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

thanks reg for that one

steve nash
Reg gie wrote in message ...
sure there is a mod for the 2026. it's all done with diodes and a switch.Give me a while and I'll see if I can sought out the PCB wiring
Steve Nash <dspace9@waverider.co.uk> wrote in message 7l0gm8cdb1@nclient3-gui.server.ntli.net...
Hi, Im after a Mode Mod for the above radio so I can use AM on all the Bands not just airband...

steve nash

5838. FS: PRO-2030

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <lhnd3.761$y4.1913@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 114526

i have a radio shack pro-2030..base scanner works great..without manual and
antenna(will include rubber duck antenna which i use,
manual available online..will ship for 80.00
thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net

5839. Re: Looking for Montgomery,AL frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Victor Healey <fourphun@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <MTnd3.4160$ZW6.413@news3.atl>
Source record: 114527

Montgomery, Alabama

463.0000----AMBULANCE (MONTGOMERY
AMBULANCE SERVICES)
463.0250----AMBULANCE (MONTGOMERY AMBULANCE SERVICES)
463.0500----AMBULANCE (MONTGOMERY AMBULANCE SERVICES)
463.0750----AMBULANCE (MONTGOMERY AMBULANCE SERVICES)
463.1000----AMBULANCE (MONTGOMERY AMBULANCE SERVICES)
463.1250----AMBULANCE (MONTGOMERY AMBULANCE SERVICES)
463.1500----AMBULANCE (MONTGOMERY AMBULANCE SERVICES)
463.1750----AMBULANCE (MONTGOMERY AMBULANCE SERVICES)
DISCONTINUED
154.4300----FIRE (MONTGOMERY FIRE DEPT)
154.3850----FIRE (MONTGOMERY FIRE DEPT)
460.5000----POLICE (MONTGOMERY POLICE DEPT - DISPATCH)
460.0250----POLICE (MONTGOMERY POLICE DEPT - MISC TRAFFIC)
460.2000----POLICE (MONTGOMERY POLICE DEPT - CAR/CAR)
460.3500----POLICE (MONTGOMERY POLICE DEPT - CAR/CAR)
155.5200----POLICE (MONTGOMERY POLICE DEPT - VICE AND NARC)
155.5650----POLICE (MONTGOMERY POLICE DEPT - VICE AND NARC)

==========

System: Montgomery County AL
County: Montgomery
Type: G.E. Ericsson (Requires Trunktracker Software or BC 245XLT to monitor)
Use: Montgomery County agencies and Montgomery City Police

Frequencies:
Logical Channel Numbering:

856.2625 (1) 857.2625 (2) 858.2625 (3) 859.2625 (4) 860.2625 (5)

Police Operations:
Police Montgomery Police (Patch to UHF Ch #1) 10-023
Sheriff Montgomery Co. Sheriff (Administration) 02-042
Sheriff Montgomery Co. Sheriff (Baliffs) 02-122
Sheriff Montgomery Co. Sheriff (Patch to State PD) 14-022
Sheriff Montgomery Co. Sheriff (Patrol) 02-041
Sheriff Montgomery Co. Sheriff (SOPS) 02-102
Sheriff Montgomery Co. Sheriff (Special Events) 02-062
Sheriff Montgomery Co. Sheriff (Special Events #3) 02-143
Sheriff Montgomery Co. Sheriff (Tactical) 02-044
Sheriff Montgomery Co. Sheriff (Tac #3) 02-101
Sheriff Montgomery Co. Sheriff (Warrant Service) 02-082

==========

John F. <soudnmn@aol.com> wrote in message news:3775B7B5.78EE215@aol.com...
> I just moved to this God forsaken town and can't find any web sites
> which show anything but fast-food frequencies for Montgomery. I know
> there must be SOMETHING more interesting than that.
>
> Thanks for the info.
>
> Bored in AL,
> Soundmn
>

5840. Re: site updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve Nash <dspace9@waverider.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7l5219$4dh$1@nclient3-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 114528
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Let's get this sorted out for once and all..I have said this before these are newsgroups. All im doing is posting on a Newsgroup If it upset's you that much then just dont download the post it's easy and simple... I not being funny or nasty at all. I just trying to get the maximum out of the site with the least amount of cost to myself and if im wrong in wanting that then Im sorry. But at then end of the day it's up to the user not the read the post after all the group is not moderated in any way and if one post yestersday is classed as "spamming" then we might as well just not read the NG's at all.I could of stuck a password on the site and made everyone join the mailing list to get the password to access the site but why push people into a corner it's not fair..Im not out to upset people and I dont think I am. If people want to get upset about it then it show's how small minded they really are..

Steve Nash
http://waverider.co.uk/~dspace9

5841. Re: Scanner Trivia (please bear with me...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: K Patterson <kandtp@fiai.net>
Message-ID: <930485800.267.6@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 114529

Don Adams , the guy from Get Smart, did ads for BC for a few years.
Could that be who you are thinking of?
Paul Judd wrote in message <8Uid3.213$qK2.47512@newsgate.direct.ca>...
>I became a scanner convert sometime around 1977 or '78, after seeing a
>television commercial for a Bearcat scanner.
>
>The commercial featured this kinda milquetoast-looking guy eating a
sandwich
>and listening to his scanner, imagining that he's riding along in the
>backseat of a police squad car enroute to a "211 in progress" (or something
>equally as nail-biting as that). Totally corny, I realize, but it really
>captured my 14 year old imagination at the time (not that I was a
>milque-toast or anything, mind you). I knew that I, too, must own one of
>these tickets to non-stop, action-packed adventure. The fact that my local
>PD in small-town Utah had maybe one "211 in progress" a year never entered
>my 14 year old brain.
>
>Shortly thereafter I became keenly interested in cars, girls and beer (in
no
>particular order) and never actually owned a scanner until 1984, but the
>seed was sown by that commercial, nevertheless.
>
>Anyway, the trivia question is: Does anyone know who the character actor
was
>who portrayed the scanner listener in the commercial? I'm not really
>looking for a name, but I'm about 87%sure it was the same guy who played in
>an early-70s sitcom called "The Chicago Teddy Bears", and I'm wondering if
>anyone else remembers and can confirm my happy memory for me.
>
>Extra points if you can tell me what model of Bearcat he was listening to.
>I've got my money on the Bearcat III.
>
>There will be no grand prize issued, but I will send an e-mail to Uniden
>requesting that a minature representation of our winners face be affixed to
>the end of each knob on the base model of the soon-to-be-released
>TrunkTracker II. How's that for posterity?
>
>Yeah, I know, you're probably thinking I have far too much time on my
hands,
>but hey, questions like this plague me from time to time. Not to mention
>those voices...
>
>Paul Judd VE7VKG
>Maple Ridge, B.C.

>
>P.S. Anyone remember if there have been any other TV commercials for
>scanners?
>
>
>

5842. Re: site updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Stuart woodcock <astroboard@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37762627.7DE761DE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 114530
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

leyland police is 452.425 not preston as u have it on your site...apart from that great source of information and freq's

stu

5843. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: budgie <budgie@NOWHERE.cantech.net.au>
Message-ID: <3776190b.2485960@news.cantech.net.au>
Source record: 114531
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,phl.scanner

On Sat, 26 Jun 1999 21:30:10 GMT, amadeus@0spam.netcomuk.co.uk (Jim
Dunnett) wrote:

>On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 19:31:09 +0100, "Steve Nash"
><dspace9@waverider.co.uk> wrote:
>
>>
>>Thats why the goverments went for the A5 algorithm ?
>>
>>So they could monitor the phone's if they needed to without so much trouble
>
>Why would they bother to do so when they can tap the
>landline side of any conversation at will? Much easier
>than messing around with radios and crypto.

maybe because a lot of what they are after is mobile to mobile?

Peter
PERTH - WESTERN AUSTRALIA
Remove the NOWHERE from reply address

5844. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ivan Ivanovich <iivanovich@home.in.nome.com>
Message-ID: <eBubV#Jw#GA.346@cpmsnbbsa03>
Source record: 114532

Joe Davidson wrote in message ...
>I am a ham of 23 years... I came from 11 Meters... My wife has been a ham
>as long as I have... Knowing what I know now... I would have used this
>money
>to buy cell phones and pay for the service for 20 years!!!
>

Does this imply you were (are) one of thoses type who makes every
irrelevent phone call on a
local repeater phone patch? If so that was not intention of phone
patches originally. Where I live we
we have a local Doctor and his wife (both hams) amongst others clutter
the local repeater with very
questionable i.e. conducting business activies over the phone patch.

>As for expensive CB... have you listened to 75 meters or 20 meters
>lately....
>I hear the same language there that I hear on Channel 19 .. or worse.
>

It happens in all services including Cellular. The same rules apply to
Police, Fire, Cellular, PCS, etc.

>Lets face it!! Hams are so complacent that the FCC will knock on their
>door and cite them before they will know that they have lost all their
>frequencies!!!
>

The FCC's complacency started all the problems on 75 and CB. Back in the
70's when the FCC budget
wacked by GAO. When I started in ham radio in '68 the problem was not as
bad as it is now.

>It is a dead hobby.... it just hasn't smelled its own carrion yet!!
>
>I can go places and talk to people on the Internet that i use to fight to
>talk
>to on HF... I have 200 countries confirmed on HF... Could do that in a
>week on internet...
>

So what is the point, I can pick up my telephone to do the same thing.
Not as introverted and isolated as
the Internet. However, the phone bill would be so large to not only fund
Ham equipment purchases but a
building to house it in.

>
>Hams WILL lose their spectrum... bit by bit... because they won't clean up
>their
>act not polince their own!!

Hams will lose spectrum only because "Money Talks and Bull Shit Walks".
Learned this lesson after working
5 years in DC under Regan adminstration. Thanks to Media hype of PCS and
other technologies of the future
Spectrum Auctions are large amount financial icome for FCC.
>
>Oh well... street corner preaching!!
>
>Fare well ... and find a new hobby!!

Did you?

<<<< Deleted stuff >>>>

Ivan Ivanovich

5845. Re: citizen finds police radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Woodard <j.c.w.@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <7l58mb$pa9@dfw-ixnews21.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 114533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

That was absolutely hilarious! I heard him say "I'm just tryin' to return this here ray dee o, I'm not tryin' to be a smart ass, I don't want to steeeel it, I'm just a campin - and I want to return it"

Then the dispatcher would call "Control to the gentleman who found the radio..."

It was very funny!

Jeff

> I am currently listening to my local sheriff dept. Seems a guy found a
> lost police radio and is talking to sheriff dispatch.
>
> They remotely disabled the radio and now are working to re-enable it so
> they can tell the guy where to go and give it back.
>
> The guy at first was funny.....
>
> "Hey I found a little black ray-dee-o.... hello... hello"
>
> They got the radio reactivated and are directing him where to go to
> return it now.
>
> Some deputy will get into trouble for losing his radio and some citizen
> will get thanked for doing his good deed for the day.
>
>
>
> --
> John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com
>
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
> Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger
> Supporting two-way radio for the family of the modern era. See my website
> for more info on the Family Radio Service (FRS)
>

5846. Live cellular phone audio on internet for all to hear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: scanshack@aol.communicate
Message-ID: <19990627092631.20598.00001145@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 114534

The Wired Digital web media service reports:
Scanner hobbyist puts live cellular phone audio on internet for all to hear.
A linked URL to the story can be found at the below site.

Visit The SCAN SHACK
A FREE scanner information web page.
Frequency restoration mods with illustrations,
virtual down conversion info & more at:

http://members.aol.com/scanshack

Happy Scanning !

5847. FS: pro 2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <DQpd3.770$y4.1959@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 114535

ihave for sale a nice pro -3037 base type scanner,triple conversion,
not sure i can find the manual,but it has the telescoping antenna and power
cord
will ship for 105.00
thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net

5848. FS: GP68 VHF w/SPeakermic & earmic/Rapid chgr

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <377628E2.4BD8AB9C@bv.net>
Source record: 114536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.equipment,comp.forsale.computers
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcguyver.vcf

I have a GP68 user programmable VHF 20 channel portable with speakermic, ear boom mic, moto rapid charger, battery, antenna, all boxes and documentation for sale, I will sell package for $350 shipped..

ALSO: Opto OPTOLINX with box, PS & cables - $75.00

Wavecom Jr 2.4GHz video transmitter pair w/ PS's - $125

Rabbit 900MHz video transmitters w/ PS's - $70

Maxtech 14" color VGA monitor - $75 plus shipping

FORA time/date generator (VTG-22) $125

4" color LCD monitor w/PS and cables $150

10 channel video sequential switcher - $125

Reveal TV500 video capture card with all cables - $75.00

2 - 64MB 72 pin, 60ns EDO simms, new - $130 pair

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

5849. Re: SSB Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <37762A6C.DD328E02@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 114537

Also the FRG-9600, LSB, USB, 100 channels, 60 - 905 mHz.

Don
--
-
CAKE001 - CASF024 - CA6CYF - KHN3161
Location: N33d42m W117d59m UTC -7hrs
Grid Square: DM13AQ
Scanners: Air-8,AR2001,AR900,BC50,
BC101,BC142,BC20/20,BC2500,
BC9000,FRG9600,HP100,HX1000,
HX1500,Pro26,Pro2004/5/6,
Pro2045,Pro2046,R10FM,R532,
R7000,Scout 40,SX200N.
Software: Deltacomm v3.9, Probe v3.0,
ScanCat Gold-SE, ScanStar
Shortwave: DC777,DX160,DX394,ICF2010,
R70, R71A, R1000.
Antennas: 7 Random wires, 6 Discones,
5 Ground planes, 3 Mag-mts,
3 Coax loops, LA-1, Scanner
Beam,X-dipole,2 HF Helical.

5850. Re: AirNav

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <X2qd3.5459$w61.2846@stones>
Source record: 114538

LaLaS <m1956@execpc.com> wrote in message
news:7l4e0u$l1s@newsops.execpc.com...
> Hello there all
> I am trying to install the AirNav 3.1 program in my computer,but in the
> mettle of the installation i get and " The program has perform an
> illegal operation on ..... " and i can't run the program
> Anyone know what is the problem ??????
> Or anyone has the same problem and how can you fix it ????
> Thanks a lot
> PaPaS
>

and they wonder why cracks are aboput ;-)

eavsdropper

5851. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-27 00:00:00 UTC
From: tandkmoran@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990627100805.12834.00004129@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 114539

-Rich Wells REALLY needs to have a desired feature section on his web page where we can submit our ideas. After a few months he sends copies to radio shack, uniden, gre, yupiteru, alinco, icom, yaseu and kenwood and anyone else who wants to please us (relm? regency?)

Okay, I wont be tooooooooo greedy...

-Handheld w/4-6 disposable AA batteries & charging circuit, comes w/nickel metal hydrides or lithium cells
-2000 memories in 20 banks of 100
-.5Mhz -to- 2000 Mhz
-NAM/WAM/NFM/WFM/USB/LSB modes
-10 linkable search banks
-1000 lockouts from search
-Optional auto hold in search mode
-Individually selectable delays on memories
-Programmable step sizes from .05 Khz to 100 Khz
-Doesn't default freq steps in search (If searching 461.0125 to 461.9875 in 25 Khz steps it stays on the interstitials)
-CTCSS/DPL receive and detect/display
-S Meter
-I dont really need a color display (would be cool though) but I do need an easy to read display that works from above or below (wide angles & big figures)
-Alpha Tags for memories and talk groups
-Scrolling menu system for most functions
-Moto/EDACS/Johnson Trunking
-Backlit keypad and display w/on/off switch
-Conversion scheme and performance like the yupi's
-100 steps searched or memories scanned per second
-Entering zero in memory auto locks it out from scan
-Lockout review in scan and search, selective restore
-Water resistant / shock resistant belt carry case
-service search like some of the bearcats
-auto program empty memories in selected banks from search (one of each detected active, no re-writes, including PL/DPL tone activity)
-2 way computer interface port (I'd settle for civ though)
-Data skip feature that really worked
-Drop in rapid desk top charger / operating stand, like a convertacom, with it's own antenna and audio jacks
-Audio quality as good or better than the yupi 7100
-Tone control
-Discriminator jack
-Heavy duty (or sealed) type keypad
-Metal chassis or at least a metal frame
-Extra scan and manual buttons on the top of the radio

-detented tuning knob w/good feel and sturdy mechanism
-Reprogrammable default mode and step sizes for different bands
-Dual VFO's
-Separate bank of 20 priority memories

The funny thing is - just about every one of these features, or a part of them, have been made available at one time or another on some radio. Some folks say the perfect radio will never be made - you wouldn't ever need to buy a new one! Don't pooh pooh some of the more outlandish suggestions that have been made here - have you seen the latest generation of palm tops? - pretty astounding stuff in packages a great deal smaller than our radios at even cheaper prices! The newest high end palm pilots have amazing displays.

I'd pay $800-1000 for a handheld with the above features, provided it delivered excellent selectivity and sensitivity, which is all I really want, anyway!

Happy Scanning - Ted

5852. SCANNER Capability: How do you test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "H. Molenhuis" <milhouse@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <7l8vh7$jn9$1@news.auaracom.net>
Source record: 114190

From: H. Molenhuis <milhouse@bigfoot.com>
Subject: ??TESTING SCANNER
Date: Wednesday, June 16, 1999 17:14

I was listening the other day to airband on a cheap department store radio
and it was picking up lots of air traffic and it was coming in loud and
clear.

However my Bearcat 3000 scanner was not????
It was picking up some traffic but not near what this other radio could.

How do you know if your scanner is doing all it should be able to??

5853. WTT: Goodies for Code Quick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary B <GARBRE@sisna.com>
Message-ID: <3777ccd4@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 114191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Code Quick tapes and/or CD-ROM package wanted.
Here's what I have to trade (No Money :-()

BC 147 XLT scanner with manual, power adapter, antenna (Nice condition)
or
Cushcraft Ringo Ranger 2 m antenna (AR-2)

Tired of the tapes? Sick of the code? Turn that package you were wanting to
burn into valuable equipment!

Gary B kb3cgq
None of my radios smell like smoke...

5854. Re: Live cellular phone audio on internet for all to hear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Clem" <nobody@home.here>
Message-ID: <37779D0F.999BC349@home.here>
Source record: 114192

Shiva Nataraja wrote:
> On the contrary - IMHO it's a good thing. As news of this spreads,
> people might once and for all WAKE UP to the fact that cellular radio
> calls are out there for anyone to hear, and begin to DEMAND truly
> secure, truly private wireless communications. As long as the issue of
> monitoring stays swept under the rug, the cellular carriers won't be
> motivated to do anything more than lobby for ineffective and
> unenforcable legislation like the ECPA.

Unfortunately, congress will only see this as a need for them to
regulate the internet.

5855. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn Highcove <highcove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3777da20$0$2481@news.sanjose1.level3.net>
Source record: 114290

Hi Phil,

I noticed your very positive comments about the 895. I own the Pro 2050, 60, 90, 2042 (I like scanners). I was thinking about getting the 895, but was not sure if I could justify buying it to myself (and my wife). I was wondering if you could post your likes/dislikes about this model. I know it's being sold for a very nice price right now, and the trunking is not really an issue with me, as LA (where I live) is EDACS anyway. Personally, I loved my Pro 90 so much (because of other features), I bought the 2050, which is basically the base version of the 90. Does the 895 have other features that make it a good buy (and does it have good reception)?

Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com> wrote in message news:7l0jqo$9p8$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com...
>
> Bill wrote in message <7kud84$jp8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >I have been researching the many different scanners that are on the
> >market lately. I really like the BC895xlt and the BC9000xlt base
> >scanners. I think it would be a fine scanner indeed it Uniden would
> >combine the best features of the 895xlt and the 9000xlt into one new
> >scanner. And throw in the trunk tracking capabilities of the new
> >245xlt. Price it around $200 and it would be a real winner.
>
> I agree on all counts and I'd be willing to bet that there is such a beast
> being considered as we speak... But I doubt you'd see it for around $200
> right off - the 895 I currently use cost me $340 brand new, purchased one
> month after the radio hit store shelves. (I might add that I still consider
> it the best $340 I ever spent, even though the same radio costs $200 or less
> today)
>
>
> >What I'd really like to
> >see is Rich Wells designing a couple of scanners, handheld and base.
> >That would be interesting to me. I sometimes wonder if the
> >manufacturers consult hobbyists at all.
>
> Some are starting to realize the benefit of consulting with hobbyists. The
> 895xlt is just such a radio - designed based upon input from some of the
> scanner industry's top hobbyists and professionals. But, as another poster
> mentioned, there is always a compromise between features and price. They
> have to try and appeal to the largest segment of the scanner market. That
> means keeping the designs simple and prices low for novice users, and then
> adding in features to attract the advanced and professional users. It's a

> very delicate balance, and I think the 895 pulled it off rather well.
>
> >So what's my point? Every time I get interested in a new scanner model,
> >the closer I look the less perfect it looks. I have been so
> >dissapointed that I've seriously considered the higher priced
> >handhelds, the MVT-9000 and the AR8200. But it seems even they have
> >some serious flaws. I don't mind paying the price if I REALLY enjoy the
> >radio. Just thinking out loud and still searching.
>
> The best thing to do is check the reviews that Rich Wells and others do on
> these new radios, check around for user input, and see what comes up on
the
> horizon. Maybe even purchase a radio, try it out, then send it back, until
> you find "the one". I've owned at least a dozen scanners over the years
and
> the 895 is, in my opinion, the best radio you can get for less than $300
> today. When the 245 comes out, it may take over that spot for me, but
we'll
> just have to wait and see. But, that's half the fun, isn't it? ;-)
>
> Good luck. When you find that perfect scanner, let us know.
> -Phil
>
> -=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
> -=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

5856. Re: Bearcat UBC-3000 battery (source or what to replace with)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "I St.John" <SDWolf@home.com>
Message-ID: <3777DB58.602C23D6@home.com>
Source record: 114291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

Look here: They make a battery insert for the BC3000 in NiCad ($19) and NiMH ($25), they also have the complete battery pack (a 1000 mAh NiMH battery pack will run you $43).

http://www.mrnicd-ehyostco.com/

-Ian

5857. Re: San Diego Sheriff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "I St.John" <SDWolf@home.com>
Message-ID: <3777DBD6.54868B45@home.com>
Source record: 114292

All of the SDSO talk groups on the new 800 trunking system are digital, so there is no current way to listen in on them.

-Ian

PISTOLERO del San Marcos wrote:

> The SD Sheriff just went to 800MHZ trunked frequencies, andh I've not
> been able to find them. Anyone know which ones?
>
> Thanks,
> --
> San Marcos Pistolero
> Linea de Fuego, USPSA/IPSC

5858. Update for Carbon Co.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Smiley <martyrip@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3777CBDC.13AB@ptd.net>
Source record: 114293

```
@ @ N3PTU
^ 73's From Smiley
\___/ Email martyrip@ptdprolog.net

CARBON COUNTY TELE-COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION
CARBON COUNTY POLICE
F-1 154.800R/156.03 127.3 KNIK451 POLICE
F-2 154.965 127.3 WNXD234 POLICE CAR TO CAR
F-3 155.730 123.0 KYU434 POLICE
--------------------------------------------------------------------------
CARBON COUNTY POLICE UNITS
1-3.LEHIGHTON 70-73.KIDDEN TWP.
11.WEISSPORT 80-83.JIM THORPE
20-21~EAST PENN TWP. 90-92.NESQUEHONING
30-31.MAHONING TWP. 101-104.LANSFORD
40-41~FRANKLING TWP. 176-181.TAMAQUA (Schuylkill Co)
51-52.PALMERTON 301-302.SUMMIT HILL
57-58.COALDALE (Schuylkill Co.) 971-972.WEATHERY
60-62 ???? 991-992.BEAVER MEDOWS
--------------------------------------------------------------------------

NEW UHF FIRE RADIO SYSTEM FREQUENCIES
DESCRIPTION MOB. & PL BASE & PL
CARBON COUNTY PAGING CH. FIRE & AMBULANCE 453.1000 C.Sq
F-1 FIRE DISPATCH 465.1750 127.3 460.1750 127.3
F-2 P.E.M.A.R.S. 458.5250 136.5 453.5250 136.5
F-3 CARBON GOV'T 458.7250 118.8 453.7250 103.5
F-4 CARBON TRANSPORTATION 457.7750 118.8 452.7750 103.5
F-5 CARBON FIRE GROUND NORTH 458.5875 127.3 458.5975 127.3
F-6 CARBON FIRE GROUND CENTRAL 453.5875 127.3 453.5875 127.3
F-7 CARBON FIRE GROUND SOUTH 458.1000 127.3 458.1000 127.3
F-8 CARBON SPLINTER - 1 453.8875 127.3 453.8875 127.3
F-9 CARBON SPLINTER - 2 458.8875 127.3 458.8875 127.3
F-10 CARBON SPLINTER - 3 453.6875 127.3 453.6875 127.3
F-11 NESQUEHONING SPLINTER - 4 453.1875 127.3 453.1875 127.3
F-12 NESQUEHONING SPLINTER - 5 453.6675 127.3 453.6675 127.3

CARBON CO. FIRE ZONES

FIRE GROUND - SOUTH 458.1000 127.3
DISTRICT 1 - AQUASHICOLA
OFFICERS 101,102,103,104,105,106,107,108,109,110
MOBILES 111,131,132,141,151
PORTABLES 191,192,193,194,195
```

DISTRICT 2 - BOWMANSTOWN
OFFICERS 201,202,203,204,205,206,207,208,209,210
MOBILES 211,231
PORTABLES 291,292,293,294,295

DISTRICT 3 - EAST PENN
OFFICERS 301,302,303,304,305,306,307,308,309,310
MOBILES 314,328,336,345,357
PORTABLES 391,392,393,394,395

DISTRICT 4 -FRANKLIN
OFFICERS 401,402,403,404,405,406,407,408,409,410
MOBILES 411,431,441,442
PORTABLES 491,492,493,494,495

DISTRICT 5 - LEHIGHTON
OFFICERS 501,502,503,504,505,506,507,508,509,510
MOBILES 512,514,523,551,575
AMBULANCE 561,562,563,564,565,566,568 Captain,569 Co-Captain
PORTABLES 591,592,593,594,595

DISTRICT 6 - MAHONING
OFFICERS 601,602,603,604,605,606,607,608,609,610
MOBILES 611,615,631,632,641,642
AMBULANCE 663,664
PORTABLES 691,692,693,694,695

DISTRICT 7 - PALMERTON
OFFICERS 701,702,703,704,705,706,707,708,709,710
MOBILES 711,712,713,714,721,741,742
AMBULANCE 761,762,764
PORTABLES 791,792,793,794,795

DISTRICT 8 - PARRYVILLE
OFFICERS 801,802,803,804,805,806,807,808,809,810
MOBILES 814,831
PORTABLES 891,892,893,894,895

DISTRICT 9 - TRACHSVILLE
OFFICERS 901,902,903,904,905,906,907,908,909,910
MOBILES 911,912,932,941,942,951
PORTABLES 991,992,993,994,995

FIRE GROUND - CENTRAL 453.5875 127.3PL
DISTRICT 11 - JIM THORPE
OFFICERS 1101,1102,1103,1104,1105,1106,1107,1108,1109,1110
MOBILES 1112,1114,1115,1125,1134,1151,1152
AMBULANCE 1161,1162
PORTABLES 1191,1192,1193,1194,1195

DISTRICT 12 - PENN FOREST
OFFICERS 1201,1202,1203,1204,1205,1206,1207,1208,1209,1210
MOBILES 1211,1216,1231,1236,1245,1251
AMBULANCE 1262

PORTABLES 1291,1292,1293,1294,1295

DISTRICT 13 - NESQUEHONING
OFFICERS 1301,1302,1303,1304,1305,1306,1307,1308,1309
MOBILES 1310,1312,1313,1321,1344,1351
AMBULANCE 1361,1362
PORTABLES 1391,1392,1393,1394,1395

DISTRICT 14 - SUMMIT HILL
OFFICERS 1401,1402,1403,1404,1405,1406,1407,1408,1409,1410
MOBILES 1411,1421,1431,1441,1451
PORTABLES 1491,1492,1493,1494,1495

DISTRICT 15 - LANSFORD
OFFICERS 1501,1502,1503,1504,1505,1506,1507,1508,1509
MOBILES 1510,1512,1521,1541,1551
AMBULANCE 1561,1562,1563,1564 Mobile Support Unit
PORTABLES 1591,1592,1593,1594,1595

DISTRICT 16 - ALBRIGHTSVILLE
OFFICERS 1601,1602,1603,1604,1605,1606,1607,1608,1609
MOBILES 1611,1632,1641
PORTABLES 1691,1692,1693,1694,1695

DISTRICT 17 - LAKE HARMONY
OFFICERS 1701,1702,1703,1704,1705,1706,1707,1708,1709,1710
MOBILES 1712,1713,1771
AMBULANCE 1761,1762
PORTABLES 1791,1792,1793,1794,1795

FIRE GROUND - NORTH 458.5875 127.3PL
DISTRICT 18 - KIDDER
OFFICERS 1801,1802,1803,1804,1805,1806,1807,1808,1809,1810
MOBILES 1811,1831,1832
PORTABLES 1891,1892,1893,1894,1895

DISTRICT 19 - LEHIGH/LAUSANNE
OFFICERS 1901,1902,1903,1904,1905,1906,1907,1908,1909,1910
MOBILES 1911,1912,1931,1951
PORTABLES 1991,1992,1993,1994,1995

DISTRICT 20 - WEATHERLY
OFFICERS 2001,2002,2003,2004,2005,2006,2007,2008,2009,2010
MOBILES 2011,2012,2013,2031,2051
AMBULANCE 2063,2064
PORTABLES 2091,2092,2093,2094,2095

DISTRICT 21 - BEAVER MEADOWS
OFFICERS 2101,2102,2103,2104,2105,2106,2107,2108,2109,2110
MOBILES 2111,2112
PORTABLES 2191,2192,2193,2194,2195

DISTRICT 29 - TRESCKOW
OFFICERS 2901,2902,2903,2904,2905,2906,2907,2908,2909,2910
MOBILES 2911,2931
PORTABLES 2991,2992,2993,2994,2995

Numbering System for Fire:
00-09-Chiefs and/or Offices
10-19-Engines
20-29-Aerials
30-39-Tankers
40-49-Brush Trucks
50-59-Rescues
60-69-Ambulances
70-79-Specialty Pieces
80-89-Fire Police
90-99-Portables

01 UNITS ARE FIRE CHIEFS NUNBER IN 60'S ARE AMB. UNITS
LEHIGHTON - 501 Fire Chief Lansford -1561 Amb.

155.205 156.7 Carbon Co. E.M.S.
155.340 156.7 Carbon Co. E.M.S.

POLICE CODES EFFECTIVE 12/01/82
10-1 SIGNAL WEAK - RECEIVING POORLY 10-50 STRUCTURE FIRE
10-2 SIGNAL GOOD - RECEIVING WELL 10-51 BRUSH FIRE
10-3 STOP TRANSMITTING - EXCEPT EMERENCY 10-52 CAR OR FLAMABLE FIRE
PEOPLE PRESENT 10-60 BURGLARY
10-4 OKAY 10-61 ROBBERY
10-5 RELAY TO _____ 10-62 SHOPLIFTING OR THEFT
10-6 BUSY 10-63 BANK OR AUTOMATIC ALARM
10-7 OUT OF SERVICE 10-64 VANDALISM
10-8 IN SERVICE 10-65 ASSAULT
10-9 REPEAT MESSAGE 10-66 BOMB THREAT
10-12 VISITORS PRESENT 10-67 PERSON WITH WEAPON
10-15 PRISONER IN CUSTODY 10-68 STOLEN VEHICLE
10-16 PICK UP PRISONER 10-70 DOG CASE
10-17 PICK UP _____ 10-71 DEAD ANIMAL IN ROAD
10-19 RETUNN TO YOUR STATION 10-72 FIGHT IN PROGRESS
10-20 WHAT IS YOUR LOCATION 10-73 REPORT OF PROWLER
10-21 CALL BY PHONE 10-74 DOMESTIC PROBLEM
10-22 DISREGARD - CANCELL 10-75 SUICIDE ATTEMPT
10-23 STAND - BY 10-76 CALL CORONER
10-25 CONTACT - REPORT OR MEET _____ 10-77 CORPSE - LOCATION
10-26 GENERAL BROADCAST 10-78 MISSING PERSON
10-27 OPERATOR'S LICENSE INFORMATION 10-79 DRUNK PERSON
10-28 OWERSHIP REGISTRATION CHECK 10-80 BUILDING CHECK
10-29 RECORDS - WANTED CHECK 10-81 STOPPING VEHICLE
10-30 DANGER - CAUTION 10-82 IN PURSUIT
10-33 HELP QUICK - ASSIST OFFICER 10-83 ASSISTING MOTORIST
10-36 TIME CHECK 10-84 TRAFFIC LIGHT PROBLEM
10-37 BREATHILIZER REQUEST 10-86 ASSIST

OFFICE ON DUTY 10-87 ESCORT
10-41 ON DUTY 10-88 MENTAL CASE
10-42 OFF DUTY 10-89 ____IS CALLING YOU
10-43 NATIONAL WEATER SERVICE BROADCAST 10-90 ARRIVED AT SCENE
10-44 HUMAN - HIT AND RUN 10-91 CALL HOME
10-45 AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENT 10-95 ODSCENE OR THREATENING CALL
10-46 AUTOMOBILE HIT AND RUN 10-97 RECEPTION OR RADIO CHECK
10-47 SEND AMBULANCE 10-98 REPORT TO DISPATCHER
10-48 SEND WRECKER
10-49 HOLDING SUSPECT - RUSH REPLY

CARBON COUNTY EMS CODES
CODE INCIDENT CODE INCIDENT
1 CARDIAC 12 UNKNOWN PROBLEM
2 STROKE 13 AT ONCE TRANSPORT
3 MATERNITY 14 INDUSTRIAL ACCIDENT
4 FRACTURE 15 GUN SHOT
5 OVERDOSE 16 ELECTRICAL SHOCK
6 RESPIRATORY 17 UNCONSCIOUS
7 CODE BLUE 18 DROWNING
8 MENTAL PATIENT 19 POISONING
9 DIABETIC 20 LACERATION
10 EPILEPTIC 21 BURN
11 HEMMORRHAGE 22 HEAD INJURY
(A) ADULT (C) CHILD

Send addition or changes
To martyrip@ptdprolog.net

5859. Re: Wintone PRO Beta 2 Now available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <tyler@NOSPAMnightowl.net>
Message-ID: <u7Rd3.17$bG2.466753@news1.i1.net>
Source record: 114294
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote in message
news:k7Od3.5779$w61.3044@stones...
>
> <rsmerker@steaksandwich.com> wrote in message
> news:7l88h3lld1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > To all tone decoding enthusiasts!
> >
> > WintonePRO Beta 2 is now being made available to select testers!
> > We are interested in folks who want to put the software through
> > the wringer, and tell us what you think!
> >
> > WintonePRO features :
> >
> > * Software Decoding with your Sound Card, No other Hardware required
> > * DTMF, CTCSS QuickCall I&II capable
> > * User definable single and double tone sets - Define your own!
> > * Record sound squelched Compressed Audio, in as little as 6MB/Hr
> > * Suite of visual audio spectrum analyzing tools
> > * Fully Windows 95,98,NT compatible
> > * Plug-In Support for RedShift CE-232 Scanner Control Software
> >
> > Requirements :
> >
> > * You must have a Pentium or Faster CPU
> > * Windows compatible sound card
> > * HAM Radio, Scanner, or another audio input source
> > * Usage experience with Wintone 2.0 Helpful, but not required
> >
>
>
> does it crash/freeze up as bad as wintone 2 does ?
>
> eavsdropper

Funny it's never crashed or froze on me in the last year.

Todd

>
>

5860. Older Hand Held FS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications <dat2comm@toad.net>
Message-ID: <EjRd3.3949$Li1.8669800@news-read1.qis.net>
Source record: 114295

4 Channel UHF Xtal Hand Held Scanner.

Made for Craig, but looks like it may be made by Regency.

Works great!. Just needs battery holder contacts cleaned and
new AA batteries.

Has 461.075 in Channel 1 and 461.425 in Channel 2.

Used standard 10.7 if xtals.

Best Offer incl.. Shipping to ContUSA

Contact:

Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
dat2comm@toad.net or dat2comm@juno.com
410-360-6894

--
Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
dat2comm@toad.net or dat2comm@juno.com
(410) 360-6894

5861. Radio items up for grabs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990628170802.23763.00003294@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 114296

Follow one of these links & follow the users other items links on the page.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=124131756

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=124002190

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=124004748

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=124006225

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=124003659

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=124007448

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=123987228

5862. BC210XL FS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications <dat2comm@toad.net>
Message-ID: <1PRd3.3951$Li1.8684194@news-read1.qis.net>
Source record: 114297

Used but in good shape Bearcat 210XL scanner.

Make Offer!

--
Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
dat2comm@toad.net or dat2comm@juno.com
(410) 360-6894

5863. BC210 FS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications <dat2comm@toad.net>
Message-ID: <s%Rd3.3958$Li1.8690315@news-read1.qis.net>
Source record: 114298

Also have a BC210 (not XL) in fair shape. Works good.

Make offer!

--
Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
dat2comm@toad.net or dat2comm@juno.com
(410) 360-6894

5864. 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Carole & Herb <mcvso@bright.net>
Message-ID: <7l8pq8$496$1@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 114299

I went to the Uniden web site last night and looking for a shipdate on the 245 and found the smartscanner software download. Does this mean that the July ship dates that I have heard could be real?

5865. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Liquorice <newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <nyyfbegfarkhfqrzbapbhx.fe2h2u0.pminews@localhost>
Source record: 114300
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 28 Jun 1999 17:57:45 +0800, Joe Blow wrote:

> Of course it does't go RF from mobile to mobile, BUT it stays in digital
> format from exchange to exchange. ie it doesn't revert to analog, fed
> along microwave links or cable or fibre and then reconverted back to
> digital for the final short RF part.

True the UK has fully digital trunk network and has had for many a year now.
But the digits over the air interface are not the same as the digits over
the trunk network. The former may be encrypted the later are not. Digits do
not make a secure link.

--
Cheers newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk
Dave. Remove "spam" for valid email.

5866. Re: Screw-on BNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: marcus.akesson@no_spam_please.home.se
Message-ID: <377af185.85846991@wingate>
Source record: 114301

On Thu, 24 Jun 1999 14:10:03 -0400, Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
wrote:

>The TNC is does not have anything to do with the navy. It is
>Threaded and I forgot the names of the NC but it is for two mens
>names similuar to Neal, Coleman.

BNC: Bayonet Neill Concelman
TNC Threaded Neill Concelman

Mr Neill and Mr Concelman worked at Bell Labs and they also invented
(respectively) the N and C connector.

The "British Naval-" thing seems to be a popular version, where does
that come from? Extensive use on British ships?

/Marcus

5867. Re: Radio items up for grabs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990628181231.12504.00002912@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 114302

>Follow one of these links & follow the users other items links on the page.
>

At the risk of being labeled a "netcop" or some other such drivel, might I suggest:
1) Not posting an auctioned item as "up for grabs", it is very misleading (not a big deal but not very efficient); rather, use the "FA" term;
2) Finding out WHAT room your are posting ads in before posting them. The ads/links you post are strictly CB items, but they're posted in a scanner room.
3) If you are selling items of CB nature, it would do you a LOT more good to try strictly in CB areas, you'll have more takers and less people like me questioning your tactics! :-)
Mike

5868. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: JERRY-SKYWARN #65-05 <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3777F38E.29A8@ameritech.net>
Source record: 114303
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Dave Liquorice wrote:
>
> On Mon, 28 Jun 1999 17:57:45 +0800, Joe Blow wrote:
>
> > Of course it does't go RF from mobile to mobile, BUT it stays in digital
> > format from exchange to exchange. ie it doesn't revert to analog, fed
> > along microwave links or cable or fibre and then reconverted back to
> > digital for the final short RF part.
>
> True the UK has fully digital trunk network and has had for many a year now.
> But the digits over the air interface are not the same as the digits over
> the trunk network. The former may be encrypted the later are not. Digits do
> not make a secure link.
>
> --
> Cheers newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk
> Dave. Remove "spam" for valid email.
Well what about the digits on your hand? Aare they secure?

5869. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990628181337.12504.00002913@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 114304

Why is this in a scanner room?

5870. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990628183228.22722.00001189@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 114305

>From: jmackey@usa.net

>You are VERY correct here with your statement!!! I totally
>support your ideas.

Terrific! I'm thrilled to know that you're finally going to stop posting hundreds of off-topic posts here each month. It is also great to know that you're going to start practicing what you have long said you were going to do. No more lying, impersonating, carrying on personal vendettas, obssessing about others who are more capable and knowledgeable than you about radios, no more making false accusations to various police departments around the country, no more computer trespassing, no more lies. It is great to hear, John that you have decided to change your ways.

5871. Re: Live cellular phone audio on internet for all to hear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: JERRY-SKYWARN #65-05 <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3777FC18.2BDF@ameritech.net>
Source record: 114306

xman Charlie wrote:
>
> Everbody loves a good ole snitch, eh??
probably a web page designed to catch ECPA 1986 violators.
can' tbe for real

5872. Re: Live cellular phone audio on internet for all to hear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: JERRY-SKYWARN #65-05 <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3777FC8F.6910@ameritech.net>
Source record: 114307

Well that is nothing. I read in a local paper that a neighbor was
listening in on another neighbor using one of those parabbolic dish
things. Ain't that something?

Shiva Nataraja wrote:
>
> On 27 Jun 1999 11:12:25 -0500, Dan <radiodj@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >But as for cell calls on the net: He's just
> >hurting the rest of us who enjoy the hobby
> >privately. In every apple barrel, you'll
> >find a worm.
>
> On the contrary - IMHO it's a good thing. As news of this spreads,
> people might once and for all WAKE UP to the fact that cellular radio
> calls are out there for anyone to hear, and begin to DEMAND truly
> secure, truly private wireless communications. As long as the issue of
> monitoring stays swept under the rug, the cellular carriers won't be
> motivated to do anything more than lobby for ineffective and
> unenforcable legislation like the ECPA.
>
> I'm sorry if this ruins your private little hobby of eavesdropping on
> other peoples' phone calls, but in the long run the majority of us
> will benefit from more secure communications.

5873. Re: Digital Voice Fallacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: JERRY-SKYWARN #65-05 <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3777FAF2.2219@ameritech.net>
Source record: 114308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well what about all them visits to the doughnut shops?
Next time I am in the area put a call out that you are going to the
doughnut shop and I will meet you there for a few.
Especially those chocolate ones

I an a riot. I crack myself up with my humor. he he ha ha

Keep up the good work

Sluggo wrote:
>
> I sadly hope that you don't REALLY believe that cops want digital radios so
> that the public doesn't get wind of "all their screwups and illegal
> activities." That seems to imply that ALL the other cops on the frequency
> must be covering up the BS from the few who might be screwups. I'm used to
> that kind of stereotyping, but I still hate hearing it. I'm really
> beginning to understand what a member of a minority group must sometimes
> feel, because as soon as my uniform goes on I become one: laughed at,
> scorned, called names, stared at, assaulted, blamed for problems, etc. And
> I'm nothing more than an average guy trying his hardest to be fair, make a
> living for my family, and come home at the end of my shift!
> Ok, I'm off my soapbox now. First of all, 95% of cops I know (and work
> with, if you haven't figured it out yet) don't even have a clue whether
> they're on VHF, UHF, 800, trunked, analog, digital or whatever. But what
> many of us do know is that some of our radios SUCK. Many systems are
> outdated, have poor and spotty coverage, and are sometimes subject to going
> down at very bad times. Preventive Maintenance? What's that??? That would
> cost money, we'll just fix the system when it breaks, who cares whether the
> lives of the cops, citizens, whoever, is at risk. I'm not saying that a
> digital system will alleviate all those problems, and I could really care
> less if Joe Scanner is listening to us going to a call. But I do think about
> it regularly. Sometimes I hope the bad guys have one and are gone before we
> get there. Other times there's that typical paranoid cop voice in my head
> saying "What if they have a scanner AND they're still here waiting to blow
> me away so that they can finish and make off with their (fill in the
> blank)?" Very, very rare a bad guy has a scanner, but you come across them
> just enough to make you really think about what you said on the radio as you
> are en route to a call.
> Here's a nice example that really made us do a double take. We were
> getting ready to serve a search warrant of the apartment of a drug dealer.
> After we were inside and searching the house, we noticed that everytime we
> keyed up one of our portables something was vibrating, like a pager or
> something. Turns out this jamoke managed to obtain some sort of vibrating,
> wideband receiver. Any transmitter of high power in the area, or of low

> power within a few feet would set this damn thing off (i.e. portables, body
> wires, etc.) But thanks to being an idiot, the guy lives a block from the
> antenna towers at the PD, so the silly thing was vibrating contantly.
> Anyway, definitely an isolated example, and not really a scanner, but one of
> those "things that make you go hmmmm".
> I'm not against scanners, I know few cops who are against them. I own
> several myself, have an amateur radio license, and feel enjoy meeting people
> into the hobby. I've had many more good encounters with scanner listeners
> than bad ones.
> Whew, ok, just had to vent a little, I really hate being (implicitly)
> accused of trying to cover up my, and everyone else's, screwups and illegal
> activities, whatever those may be.
> Let's see what kind of flame war this stirs up, though not my intention.
>
> -John
>
> "Email address definitely not real...get over it. "
>
> Yes, there's always a chance that a bad guy might have a scanner, but
> any department with even a little bit of creativity can find workarounds if
> that is a major concern.
> Major Wood <majorwood@navix.net> wrote in message
> news:7l6mqg$9302@iac7.navix.net...
> > >By monitoring the signal strength of input frequencies, the use of
> > >frequency recorders(i.e. scout) and increasingly less expensive spectrum
> > >analyzers, one can easily tell if "someone is in the area" by studying
> > >the pattern of transmissions.
> > Yea, but most criminals are idiots. I seriously doubt that any of the bad
> > guys will ever bother with a signal strength meter. The fact of the matter
> > is, MOST crooks don't even use scanners. The whole issue is so overblown
> by
> > Motorola and the cops. They want you to believe every crook from the mob
> all
> > the way down to a shoplifter spends ever waking moment listening to police
> > transmissions. That way, they can justify the multi-million dollar scams
> > they are pulling on taxpayers like us by purchasing these new systems. The
> > REAL reason the cops want secure radios is so the public can't get wind of
> > all their screw ups and illegal activities. And Motorola? They don't give
> a
> > rats ass about anything other than $. I should know - I used to work for
> > them. - MW
> >
> >

5874. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: JERRY-SKYWARN #65-05 <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3777FCBB.3B4D@ameritech.net>
Source record: 114309

```
... will be Morse Code
```

5875. Re: End of Thread!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: JERRY-SKYWARN #65-05 <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <3777FBCC.F25@ameritech.net>
Source record: 114310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.john.mackey

Shiva Nataraja wrote:
>
> On Sun, 27 Jun 1999 00:07:30 -0500, Troll <Troll@trollbait.com> wrote:
>
> >End this Thread NOW!
>
> End what thread?
I have a loss thread in my jeans?

5876. FS: (6) SCANNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: BB WOLF <bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7l8ujm$4pb$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114311
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

6 Scanners For Sale

3 - PLECTRONS ALERT Scanners from Fire Department

TENNETRAC II - 12 Channels. Currently has 10 crystals works good.

RS PRO 16A - 16 Channels, no crystals, but does work good. (with crystals of course)

REGENCY - 10 Channels, no power cord, unchecked.

First $ 75 + shipping (from 45373) Takes all 6.

Please Email me directly at bigbadwolf1@earthlink.net

Thank you,

-ERIC

5877. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mike regish <mregish@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <coTd3.1331$kL2.103563@ndnws01.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 114312
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Would you mind removing rec.aviation.piloting from the groups you are
posting this to?

Thank you.
--
mike regish
PP-ASEL
nospammregish@mediaone.net

Poster Boy <mailme@rizzap.com> wrote in message
news:377bea10.184074367@news.uia.net...

> Aaah yes... the old "If a tree falls and no one hears it" argument!
>
>
> On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 20:59:35 -0400, "mike regish"
> <mregish@mediaone.net> wrote:
>
> >I'm curious. Why do you feel the need to know about accidents and fires
that
> >are happening across town in the first place? In a world so full of bad
> >news, why do you want more?
> >
> >I don't even watch the news most nights.
>

5878. BC350a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Tommy Starr"
Message-ID: <7l8snk$hgg4@medusa.bresnan.net>
Source record: 114313

I'm trying to find any and all mods for this scanner.. any help would be greatly appreciated
Tommy Starr

5879. FS: A Few Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <37780D9A.D83BCA75@pacbell.net>
Source record: 114314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
Radio #1

Radio Shack Pro 57
10 Channel Desktop Scanner
Needs Antenna
Has Power Adapter
Works Well
Good Cosmetic Condition

$15 + Actual Shipping & COD If Required
---------------------------------
Radio #2

Radio Shack Pro 2010
20 Channel Desktop Scanner
Needs Antenna
Has Power Cable
Works Well
Fair Cosmetic Condition

$20 + Actual Shipping & COD If Required
---------------------------------
Radio #5

Uniden Bearcat Electra BC-100
16 Channel Portable Scanner
Has Original Uniden Rubber Duckie Antenna
No Charger - Using AA's Now - Includes Original Ni-Cads
Works Well
Very Good Cosmetic Condition

$25 + Actual Shipping & COD If Required
---------------------------------
Radio #6

Radio Shack Pro 30
16 Channel Portable Scanner
Has Antenna
Works Well
Fair Cosmetic Condition - Scan Button Missing

$20 + Actual Shipping & COD If Required
---------------------------------
Shipping From Oakland, California
```

Payment: Cash, Money Order Or Certified Cashiers Check, Add $5 For COD
Or Prepay & Save On COD Charges. Several Internet references available.

Email please ---> mailto: wirehead@pacbell.net

Thanks to everyone in advance!

There are two major products that came out of Berkeley: LSD & UNIX.
We do not believe this to be a coincidence ....

While you're spamming me, don't forget to include these folks:

admin@loopback, $LOGIN@localhost, $LOGNAME@localhost,
$USER@localhost, $USER@$HOST, -h1024@localhost, root@mailloop.com
root@localhost, postmaster@localhost, admin@localhost, abuse@localhost
Chairman Reed Hundt: rhundt@fcc.gov
Commissioner James Quello: jquello@fcc.gov
Commissioner Susan Ness: sness@fcc.gov
Commissioner Rachelle Chong: rchong@fcc.gov
US Postal Service: customer@email.usps.gov
Fraud Watch: fraudinfo@psinet.com
Pyramid Schemes: pyramid@ftc.gov
Federal Trade Commission: consumerline@ftc.gov
net-abuse@nocs.insp.irs.gov

5880. Re: FS:PRO 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Johanson <johanson@sprintmail.com>
Message-ID: <377810C2.2ED1575E@sprintmail.com>
Source record: 114315

Would you like to trade for an HF/SW Receiver plus cash?

David Johanson
johanson@sprintmail.com
KC6TYF

ian smith wrote:

> hello
> i have for sale 1 realistic pro-43 scanner it has the date code 10a3 and
> is in new condition. this radio has never been opened after purchase
> from radio shack
> and is not modifyed for anything . it comes with
> car adapter
> wall charger
> nicads
> scanner frequency listing for atlantic canada
> please make offer
> will ship anywhere u.s.a or canada
> price is usd
> reply to zorgon@nbnet.nb.ca

5881. Re: Philadelphia Protester's Page (this one's long...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7l92us$53v$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114316

David Pinero wrote in message <7l3ufrbig1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>
>
>Today, the City Paper, a weekly newspaper in Philadelphia, took a
>terrific look at the consequences of police communications moving to
>closed broadcasting systems. Many of the concerns are those of the
>Open Broadcasting cause I post so frequently about in these groups.
>The story can be (currently) read in full at the following URL:
>
>http://www.citypaper.net/articles/062499/feat.coverstory.shtml
>

That was one hell of a story....

Rumor has it that such a system is in the planning stages here in Phoenix also.

Let me tell you some things that I gleaned by reading between the lines on this one:

This "new" system that Big M is selling to Philadelphia is hardly new. It is the ASTRO system, an APCO Project 25 compliant system developed by Motorola in conjunction with the APCO25 steering committee. It's been out for quite a while now, and yes, it's been prone to failure in urban areas.

The reason for this is simple. On a conventional system, if there is interference on the system, the human ear can still decipher what's being said, even in heavy adjacent channel interference (which could happen inside a steel structure). On a digital system though, the voice is converted into a data stream and separated into packets. These packets must all be a certain length, and contain a set sequence of numbers, called a frame synch. Introduce noise into that system and the frame synch is lost. Without the valid frame synch, the system stays closed and the transmission is never heard. Analog trunked radios already suffer from this, despite Motorola's impressive bit interleave and error correction technology. Introduce digital signals, DES encryption and narrower band splits, and there's a real problem there. Forget about a drug dealer monitoring your system, how about one setting up a low power analog transmitter in an adjacent channel. Or even worse, a wide band signal that slops over the whole range. You're 51 million dollar radio system is 100% useless in that area, allowing the criminals to pick off cops at random knowing full well they can't call for help. Nice. Did ya stop to ponder THAT one commish?

In the case of the Philly system, I would hope that these people realize what they are doing, especially in the case of block watchers. These folks are there because YOUR AGENCY ISN'T DOING ENOUGH TO PROTECT THEM!!! Hello?

Anybody out there??? Here in Phoenix, the block watchers aren't viewed as a bunch of vigilantes "driving around in their cars, trying to get involved in crime-fighting." They are a valuable part of the Phoenix PD. I have never been looked at like "Oh you're one of THOSE people" when I've provided information because I heard the call on my scanner and saw the guy they were looking for duck into an alley. In my neighborhood, the police appreciate any and all the help they receive from scanner listeners, and our police would never badmouth anyone who cares about his or her community enough to help in this manner. I find the comments of Mr. James absolutely appalling. Nothing like telling people who VOLUNTEER their time to help the police "thanks for nothing, get lost". Is the commissioner's post an elected one? If so, then you Philadelphia voters know what to do....

There is definite interest in the Project 25 committee for scanner manufacturers to develop an APCO 25 compliant scanner, to ease interagency communications. Many agencies rely on scanners to provide them advance warning of a situation developing in the next town. I have yet to see a highway patrol or sheriff's vehicle that doesn't have a scanner in it. These folks need to learn the value of scanning as a whole and not use the very rare instance of a crook having a scanner as an excuse to encrypt all police transmissions.

Philadelphia should consider this fact when building the new system and leave the traffic open (unencrypted) so that other agencies with APCO compliant radio systems and (when they come out) compatible scanning receivers can monitor these transmissions. As was said in the story, they should only encrypt the sensitive information like drug raids in progress and so on. This is a more secure system, because you can re-key the involved radios by hand, and then go to the scene and use them, giving the element of surprise. A fully encrypted radio system would require them to use OTAR (Over The Air Rekeying), which could be a problem in two ways. For one thing, if an officer's radio receives interference (again, very likely in an urban area) than an invalid key could be sent to that radio, effectively shutting it down for that officer. It's probably a simple matter of turning it off and then on again to reset it, but what if the officer has no idea it's happened? Not good....

The other problem is just the nature of OTAR itself. It's no different than those magnetic "hide-a-key" things. Very easy for someone who knows what they're looking for to find. And once they have found it (Not too difficult when you think about how a digital system really works. Do a dejanews search for apco25_scanner@hotmail.com and read what he said about it.) guess what? They have everything they need to intercept the new key as it is sent out, making the system completely open. Anyone who knows the protocol and is a fairly competent PC programmer can crack the encryption methods used by the ASTRO system. And just how difficult it is for someone to acquire Motorola's protocol information? Remember POCFLEX? Enough said... If it goes full encrypted, it is much more vulnerable to being cracked, because this information will be broadcast with *every* transmission sent.

Another thing that Philly could do to emliminate the rift between the police and it's citizens would be to simulcast routine traffic over the old repeater system - why not?? It's not like it's doing anything, and should the system completely fail, they have a backup! That would allow them to use their new system, control what the media/public has access to and most importantly, NOT have to get involved with programming special radios for the press! Anyone with a scanner is all set - geez, I'm not even a city official, and I just solved their problem right there for free. Where's my medal? ;-)

Hopefully Philadelphia will do the right thing here. I'll be closely watching the details (and yes, I'm sending a copy of this very post to the protest page, Dave. Thanks for sharing this with us!)

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5882. Scanner Cases FS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications <dat2comm@toad.net>
Message-ID: <vNUd3.3968$Li1.8780409@news-read1.qis.net>
Source record: 114317

Leather Holster Cases for Scanners

Available with Fixed Belt Loop, or Swivel Belt Loop

Models available for: Price:

ACE/AR 1000 Series $15.00
Uniden Bearcat BC-50XLT $13.00
Uniden Bearcat BC-70XLT $14.50
Uniden Bearcat BC-120XLT/BC220 Series $15.00 Incl 235/245
Uniden Bearcat BC-3000XLT $15.00
Uniden Bearcat BC-60/80XLT $15.00
Uniden Bearcat BC-100XLT/200XLT $16.50

--
Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
dat2comm@toad.net or dat2comm@juno.com
(410) 360-6894

5883. Re: UHF Weather ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Dubenezic <bob1414@nji.com>
Message-ID: <58Vd3.16120$4e1.138516@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 114318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

IT'S MEGAHERTZ

--
Bob D

5884. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7l97av$6ac$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114319

Glenn Highcove wrote in message
<3777da20$0$2481@news.sanjose1.level3.net>...
>Hi Phil,
>
> I noticed your very positive comments about the 895. I own the Pro
>2050, 60, 90, 2042 (I like scanners). I was thinking about getting the
895,
>but was not sure if I could justify buying it to myself (and my wife). I
>was wondering if you could post your likes/dislikes about this model. I
>know it's being sold for a very nice price right now, and the trunking is
>not really an issue with me, as LA (where I live) is EDACS anyway.
>Personally, I loved my Pro 90 so much (because of other features), I bought
>the 2050, which is basically the base version of the 90. Does the 895 have
>other features that make it a good buy (and does it have good reception)?

I think the 895 is a great radio. Trunktracking, built-in CTCSS, mil air, pc control, etc, etc. You can't beat it Add a discriminator tap and you add a whole new realm of scanning, as it works great for data decoding as well.

I did have a couple of dislikes, but they're really trivial in the grand scheme of things. Firstly, the radio itself is huge, making mobile mounting somewhat cumbersome, and it didn't ship with the PC cable, which bothered me. In my opinion, putting a normal rs-232 9-pin serial port on the back would've been a better idea than the 5 pin connector they used. So, if you want to control it via PC, be prepared to buy a seperate cable for it.

The only problem I've ever had is sometimes the CTCSS doesn't kick in quite right, and you have to turn the CTCSS mode off and then on again. (I've been informed that this was an early firmware glitch and the newer ones don't do that, so you shouldn't have this problem.) Other than that, it's outperformed all my other scanners by a mile. Plus the ability to load frequencies via computer in a few seconds makes it a top pick, in my opinion. Sure, there's better radios on the market, but you'll spend enough for 2 895's if you get one. For the under $300 market this is my pick (At least until I see a 245 - then I might change my mind!) ;-)

Hope that helped,
-Phil

5885. CometSSB7mobielantenne gezocht

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Drs.A.M.A.Treutel" <haphap@stad.dsl.nl>
Message-ID: <3777B89C.15FD@stad.dsl.nl>
Source record: 114320
Cross-posted to: alt.nl.radio.zendamateur.gelicentieerd,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner

Ik zoek een Comet SSB7 mobielantenne.
Dit is een vrij lange antenne voor 2 en 70 .
Wie weet een (winkel of) importeur in Nederland ,
-Behalve Fa. Schaart- (.... :-(Belgie of evt. Duitsland ? ?
Groeten, PietHap
haphap@stad.dsl.nl

5886. Re: Radio items up for grabs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Auction6@webtv.net
Message-ID: <981-37782F6A-26@newsd-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 114321

What else would you expect from an AOL user? Please, no comments about Webtv users. I'm fully aware that I just opened a can of worms.

You wrote:
>At the risk of being labeled a "netcop" or some other such drivel, might I suggest:
1) Not posting an auctioned item as "up for grabs", it is very misleading (not a big deal but not very efficient); rather, use the "FA" term;
2) Finding out WHAT room your are posting ads in before posting them. The ads/links you post are strictly CB items, but they're posted in a scanner room.
3) If you are selling items of CB nature, it would do you a LOT more good to try strictly in CB areas, you'll have more takers and less people like me questioning your tactics! :-)
Mike

5887. hi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: francis z <fez@ns.pivot.net>
Message-ID: <37783362.4E73@ns.pivot.net>
Source record: 114322

can the 80xlt be usde for track trunkin in a small city>

what about the 5 priority

5888. Re: 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ted Silverman <scubaguy@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7l91phmso1@nntp3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 114323

That is when Uniden told me it will be released in response to an email I sent them.

Carole & Herb wrote in message <7l8pq8$496$1@cletus.bright.net>...
>I went to the Uniden web site last night and looking for a shipdate on the 245 and
>found the smartscanner software download. Does this mean that the July ship dates that
>I have heard could be real?
>
>

5889. Need BC200XLT Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian & Kathy <fentiman@island.net>
Message-ID: <3778415E.692FBA09@island.net>
Source record: 114324

I need a schematic/parts list for the a/n scanner. There is a problem in the power input cct that was likey caused by reversing the input polarity. It looks like a transistor two over from the regulator is blown. Does anyone have any info on this cct/part ?

Brian

5890. Yellowstone National Park Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: &#65533;
Message-ID: <3778414e@news1.us.ibm.net>
Source record: 114325

Does anyone have any frequencies for Yellowstone National Park...repeater locations...coverage areas...services by frequency ?

Thanks !

5891. Articles about public safety communications in major cities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu>
Message-ID: <7l9fda$9vh$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 114326

I am beginning work on a project comparing the communications systems of major East Coast cities. I am trying to determine how spending and technology affect the efficiency of communications systems. The plan is to visit approximately a dozen cities from Boston to Miami, and analyze the police and fire radio systems in each. Hopefully, I will be able to tour communications centers, ride along with police, and interview some of the radio technicians, all in an effort to see how well the public is being served by the radio systems in place.

I will complete this project in the summer of 2000. Currently, I am doing research (choosing the cities, finding information about communications systems, etc.). I am in search of articles on the Internet pertaining to public safety radio systems. These could include articles about funding and technology. Anything related to the radio systems would help. (By the way, I have already seen the excellent article from CityPaper about Philadelphia's proposed digital trunked system.)

I'm excited about this project both for its relation to the scanning hobby, and its uniqueness. I also believe the information I obtain could be useful to public safety planners and officials. I would greatly appreciate any assistance. Thank you.

David Schoenberger
dtscho@wm.edu

5892. Re: CB info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Irvin Laskoe <ilaskoe@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7l98lu$8q9$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 114327

Considering the name of this group, you will probably have much better
response sending these posts to another.
Just a thought.....

Big Mac wrote in message <19990627234239.20355.00005179@ng-fu1.aol.com>...
>Check out this site:
>Big Mac's CB Web
Site
>A web site full of CB information!

5893. Re: 900 Megahertz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wooka <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <377842D9.98E5919B@aznet.net>
Source record: 114328

MacMac wrote:

> Anybody know of a good , scanner that is 900Mhz capable. I'm knew so
> please excuse ignorance. If you know of one please feel free to email
>
>
> Thankyou

For starters, what are you going to use it for? If you like ham radio, the band is from 902-928.

5894. BC200XLT equivalent?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "S. Foote" <shepten@blarg.net>
Message-ID: <377832F3.CCB370FB@blarg.net>
Source record: 114329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a 200XLT which I am perfectly happy with except for the battery situation. So, I'm shopping for a scanner approximately equivalent to it that will take regular store-bought batteries. Any suggestions?

5895. Re: WHAT did I hear????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: gadi <gadi@xxspam.com>
Message-ID: <37784951.43BD457@xxspam.com>
Source record: 114330

It was Amateur Packet Radio.

Josh Long wrote:

> I'm in northern VA and I tuned into 145.090 Mhz and after listening for a
> few minutes heard a brief transmission that sounded like a data burst of
> some sort at 2332 and again at 2337 ET. I checked the 2 meter band
> allocation list and 145.090 MHz is allocated for "simplex and weak signals,"
> so I kind of doubt that this was a satellite.

5896. BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael <paracop@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <37783547.D9790724@sprynet.com>
Source record: 114331

Does anyone know af any software that I can use to hook up the 895XLT to my computer and log events of control it from the PC? And what is the estimated cost of such an item?

5897. Re: Yellowstone National Park Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <37784D92.FE486A69@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114332

North/West of Park Repeater
166.375 Repeat (input166.975

East/South of Park
165.5875 Repeater (input 164.800)

Park Operations
167.150 Repeater (input 163.125)

National Park SMA
172.525

I Think this will help.

"ï¿½" wrote:

> Does anyone have any frequencies for Yellowstone National Park...repeater
> locations...coverage areas...services by frequency ?
>
> Thanks !

5898. Re: 900 Megahertz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <37784EA0.11751C47@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114333

I think the trunk tracker win's in that department too.

MacMac wrote:

> Anybody know of a good , scanner that is 900Mhz capable. I'm knew so
> please excuse ignorance. If you know of one please feel free to email
>
>
> Thankyou

5899. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3778504D.E5429F4F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114334

Keep in mind there are just a few bugs out here in the wood work. There are only a few bad apples in this bin, don't let it chase you away
Trevor Harris
Former Sub Radioman/Present Day Communications Tech

Weiser Ambulance District wrote:

> Man! I thought the radio hobby was supposed to be fun and create a sense
> of comradeship among the members of the fraternity who share the common
> interests. However, after reading some of the crap posted here and the
> answers to it I'm ashamed to admit that I'm a ham radio operator and, yes,
> that I have a couple of scanners.
> What happened to "friendly" hams and civility? We've condemned the CB
> operators for years for their behavior but we seem to have lowered
> ourselves to that level from what I've read here. And, no, I don't believe
> the no-code licenses are to blame....it's the lousy attitudes and superior
> God-like demeanor of some in the hobby who feel that, as the saying goes,
> their fecal matter lacks an aromatic quality.
> How about acting like mature men and women [boys and girls???] and treating
> each other with at least a little respect? Is that so difficult?

5900. Re: citizen finds police radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3778517C.91809B1E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Most public safety people will get time off without pay or a pay deduction or
both. I bet that all parties involved are happy.

"John L. Wilkerson Jr." wrote:

> I am currently listening to my local sheriff dept. Seems a guy found a
> lost police radio and is talking to sheriff dispatch.
>
> They remotely disabled the radio and now are working to re-enable it so
> they can tell the guy where to go and give it back.
>
> The guy at first was funny.....
>
> "Hey I found a little black ray-dee-o.... hello... hello"
>
> They got the radio reactivated and are directing him where to go to
> return it now.
>
> Some deputy will get into trouble for losing his radio and some citizen
> will get thanked for doing his good deed for the day.
>
> --
> John L. Wilkerson Jr. jwilkers@netcom.com
> http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
> Wilky5 on AOL Instant Messenger
> Supporting two-way radio for the family of the modern era. See my website
> for more info on the Family Radio Service (FRS)

5901. Re: I NEED N E SAN DIEGO FREQ~!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <wb6sub@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <37785279.E56A42FD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114336

North east Sheriff office or San Diego Police?

PorscheEMB wrote:

> Can n e one send me ne freq for san diego thanks!!!!

5902. Re: Question About LE Mobile Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Harry Marnell <marnells@snowcrest.net>
Message-ID: <7l9lj4$26f$1@mail.snowcrest.net>
Source record: 114337
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ok, here's my possibly-faulty understanding of how it works:

In California, the CHP uses these "mobile extenders" statewide. The car "dispatch" radios are 2-frequency simplex in the 42MHz and 39 MHz band. The portable radios use 154.905 simplex, which are received by the VHF High-band radio in the car. So, yes, it's a third frequency, in that sense.

When the officer gets out of the car and/or activates the extender, all messages received on the low-band radio are retransmitted from the car at 3/10 watt on 154.905. For officer safety reasons, the car's transmitter "samples" the frequency every second or so when transmitting, so if the officer needs to call in, his portable will preempt the "repeater" in the car and go through to the dispatcher.

Also, early on they had trouble with heterodyning and terrible interference (in both directions) if several units were out at the same location. Every car suddenly became a repeater station on the same frequency. This was solved by using a tone burst when activating the extender. As each additional officer activated his extender, his/her car radio received the tone and became the default repeater for all portables in range. I believe this is done with a combination of single-tone burst and PL tones, but I'm not sure exactly how it is accomplished. Nor do I know how the reverse is accomplished when units leave a scene.

Clear as mud?

Harry Marnell, N6URU
~~~> http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells

Paul wrote in message <3777c834.1938244@news.mindspring.com>...
>I know that law enforcement officers use mobile repeaters to relay
>their HT signals to the repeater. What I want to know is- Doesn't this
>require the HTs to transmit on a third frequency, to be received by
>the mobile radio and then retransmitted to the repeater? If this
>question doesn't make since tell me and I will try to rephrase it
>some.
>
>Paul
~~~

5903. Re: San Diego County Sheriff's Department

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Turner <John_Turner@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <37784B32.F9408A4B@earthlink.com>
Source record: 114338
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: i_boris.gif, i_eye.gif

PISTOLERO del San Marcos wrote:
>
> The SD Sheriff just went to 800MHZ trunked frequencies, and
> I've not been able to find them. Anyone know which ones?
>
> Thanks,
> --
> San Marcos Pistolero
> Linea de Fuego, USPSA/IPSC

I St.John wrote:
>
> All of the SDSO talk groups on the new 800 trunking system
> are digital, so there is no current way to listen in on them.
>
> -Ian

Ok, it's digital, but is it Encrypted?

San Diego County Sheriff's Department's URL:
http://www.co.san-diego.ca.us/cnty/cntydepts/safety/sheriff/

San Diego County Sheriff's Department, just like the City of
Philadelphia, doesnï¿½t want neighborhood watches using
scanners for "vigilante efforts,"

> Perhaps scrambling police conversations protects the public
from cerebral criminals, but other public safety measures will
be curtailed when communications go digital.

Just ask volunteers in any of Philadelphiaï¿½s 600 neighborhood town
watch groups that have contributed to the cityï¿½s falling crime rate.

"Town watch groups are the eyes and ears of the police department,"
says Sam Ricks, an active member of a town watch group in the 12th
police district (encompassing the Kingsessing, Elmwood and Paschall
communities). "If the police clip off our ears, our role is greatly
diminished."

Just as the media depend on scanners, so do the approximately 15,000
neighborhood town watch volunteers in Philadelphia.

On a regular basis, Ricks says, he and fellow volunteers successfully
foil criminal offenders based on information gleaned over a scanner.

"We donï¿½t follow police on scanners," he says. "We generate 911 calls."

Philadelphia officials express little sympathy for Ricks and his cohorts. The city doesnï¿½t want neighborhood town watches using scanners for "vigilante efforts," anyway, says Joseph James, a deputy commissioner in the Department of Public Property.

"We donï¿½t need people driving around in their cars, trying to get involved in crime-fighting."

(read the complete article here:)

http://www.citypaper.net/articles/062499/feat.coverstory.shtml

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

5904. Re: San Diego County Sheriffs Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Truth Seeker <"Truth Seeker"@prosperity.com>
Message-ID: <37784E33.13F58E79@prosperity.com>
Source record: 114339

John Hartsell wrote:
>
> Would anyone know if the the San Diego County Sheriff's
> frequencies haved moved from 453.xxx?

Mike Derryberry wrote:
>
> They have gone 800 trunked ... see www.socal911.com
> for more info ...
>
> Mike

Read the news about here:
---

800 MHz Transition Team

Exciting changes lie ahead for the San Diego Sheriffï¿½s Department.
Along with many other city and county agencies, the Sheriffï¿½s
Department will at last be able to communicate throughout the entire
region using a new Motorola 800 MHz radio system.

The project, called the Regional Communications System (RCS),
includes the City of Carlsbad, many of the fire protection districts,
and numerous city agencies. What is most exciting about the RCS is the
ability for field units to be able to talk to each other,
regardless of where they are in the county.

http://www.co.san-diego.ca.us/cnty/cntydepts/safety/sheriff/patrol/800mhz/800mhz_1.html

5905. FS Pro-50

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: pstringy@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990627203859.02426.00001464@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 114385

Very good condition. Needs a new antenna. $25 shipped.

5906. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com
Message-ID: <3777cd4c.15861061@news.buffnet.net>
Source record: 114386

On Sun, 27 Jun 1999 09:18:56 -0400, "Ivan Ivanovich"
<iivanovich@home.in.nome.com> wrote:

> The FCC's complacency started all the problems on 75 and CB. Back in the
>70's when the FCC budget
> wacked by GAO. When I started in ham radio in '68 the problem was not as
>bad as it is now.

This is, unfortunately, quite true. All the regulations in the world
aren't going to mean diddly squat unless either (1) those subject to
said regulations voluntarily comply with same, or (2) there is
sufficient enforcement to deter would-be violators.

The thing is, the problem isn't just on 75m, I hear the same garbage
on 20 and on 40, and these aren't no-code techs on HF. Run the
callsigns through a callsign server on the Internet and you'll find
out that they're General and Advanced and Extra Class licensees, who
are running a full KW right next to the rebroadcast shuttle comms from
WA3NAN and their language is every bit as bad as anything you'll find
on CB. If the code is supposed to keep people like that from getting
onto the ham bands, somebody apparently forgot to tell these guys.

> So what is the point, I can pick up my telephone to do the same thing.
>Not as introverted and isolated as
> the Internet. However, the phone bill would be so large to not only fund
>Ham equipment purchases but a
> building to house it in.

The point is that if one simply wishes to communicate with persons in
other countries, there's no need to waste one's time learning Morse.
All you need to do is download mIRC or Internet Phone. I'll have
already communicated with 200 countries in the time it takes the next
guy to learn the code. All it takes is a local call to my ISP. So this
is no longer a valid selling point for ham radio, or for the code
requirement either. This is 1999, not 1899.

> Hams will lose spectrum only because "Money Talks and Bull Shit Walks".
>Learned this lesson after working
> 5 years in DC under Regan adminstration. Thanks to Media hype of PCS and
>other technologies of the future
> Spectrum Auctions are large amount financial icome for FCC.

And large segments of unused spectrum, caused by general disinterest
on the part of non-hams due to general snobbishness on the part of
code-geek hams, provides an excellent source of material to be
auctioned to someone who might actually make use of it.

73 DE KNY2VS

5907. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com
Message-ID: <3778d458.17664836@news.buffnet.net>
Source record: 114387

On Sat, 26 Jun 1999 19:34:33 -0400, "Joe Davidson"
<joe_davidson@email.msn.com> wrote:

>As for expensive CB... have you listened to 75 meters or 20 meters
>lately....
>I hear the same language there that I hear on Channel 19 .. or worse.

Something everyone seems to forget: Channel 19 on CB is the nationally agreed upon truckers' channel. These guys aren't radio hobbyists, they're professional drivers. They're not out on the road to activate grid squares - they're out there earning a living.

>Hams WILL lose their spectrum... bit by bit... because they won't clean up
>their
>act not polince their own!!

Hams have already started losing their spectrum...checked out what USED to be the bottom part of the 1-1/4 meter band lately? You won't find hams there anymore, you'll find United Parcel Service instead.

But it has niothing to do with any failure to police the ranks, and eveerything to do with the fact that portions of the radio spectrum that were underused got reallocated. Plain and simple, there wasn't enough ham activity there to warrant allowing the amateur community to retain its allocation.

And this is what will eventually cause the death of ham radio if things don't change. Someone earlier in this thread remarked that if you take the code out of ham radio, you take the challenge out of it. I think that's utter nonsense...as if there was no challenge in working someone 5000 miles away on USB on HF? If it were all that easy, awards like DXCC wouldn't mean much, would they?

No, there are plenty of other modes and plenty of other challenges available in ham radio...and none of them have anything to do with learning some antiquated mode of communications that was invented over 200 years ago for the sole purpose of sending messages over a hardwire telegraph line. It is the failure of the amateur community to keep up with the times that is causing people to turn elsewhere, and it is this that will ultimately cause the death of ham radio...not the potty-mouths on 75 meters.

After all, the 11 meter band (CB) still sounds pretty busy to me, it doesn't look like the potty-mouths there have caused any lack of interest in the band!

>Fare well ... and find a new hobby!!

I have...I monitor military comms on HF, VHF, and UHF. Nothing there that's going to shock anyone's sensibilities, unless the thought of listening to real-world warfare as NATO fighters "splash" a MiG-21 over Kosovo is too much for someone to consider...

73 DE KNY2VS

5908. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris_grant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7l6ni4$4sv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114388

In article <19990624140549.18044.00002503@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
aci6@aol.com.net.htm (Bill) wrote:
> Hello "Grant",
>
> Thanks for your reply to my response to your post. It has given me
another
> 'shot' at you . . .
>
> First, given your initial question, I am not surprised at your
inability to
> express yourself in a civil manner. It seems that ignorance,
frustration and
> incivility go well together. Given the time that elapsed between my
post and
> your reply, you should have been able to do better . . .

Well some of us have real lives and don't spend as much time infront of
our little electronic replacment for a friend. As far as incivility goes
, I treat people with the same respect they offer to me, so who do you
think initiated the snide comments?

>
> As far as "snooty" goes, that's your impression. Is it because you
didn't like
> my reply? Guess, what? I didn't like your question! Why? There are two
reasons:
>
Damn strait I didn't like your reply, I was asking for a simple
explaination of the mechanism employed to selectively filter out
transmitions, not some bullshit attept to preach radio ethics. If you
don't like my question, fine, don't respond. You act like this ham radio
hobby is some sort of elite old boys club and novices are not wellcome.
Well were you born and expert at it, knowing every little detail of
radio ethics and opperation. I am willing to bet the answer is no. But
hey I am the ignorant one here.

> 1. You are obviously a novice and you have no business with one, let
alone two,
> ham (or other) transceivers that require a LICENSE to use.
>
> 2. Even if you did have the transceivers "legitimately", the fact that
you know
> you cannot "transmit" to the police means that you have tried to do so
and
> failed . . .

>
> Given this, it is my opinion that you have absolutely no business with
the
> knowledge of how to do so or why you were unable to do so.This is
especially
> true since, there are only three very simple reasons that you would
have
> failed. Since you were unable to discover the reason(s) on your own,
my opinion
> would seem to be well supported.
>
> And, just how "constructive" would it be if you were able to
"transmit" to the
> police??
>
> And, as far as a "rational response" goes . . . Yours wasn't.
And this was?
Look I am not going to get into a flame war because I have much better
things to do with my time. So this is the last time I am going to repond
to you, you obviously have nothing constructive to say. So see youo
later billy boy.
>
> Nice day? Yes, it is always a nice day when I have such an opportunity

Ya I bet you spend your whole day doing these things instead of enjoying
a real life.
>
> Yo' Buddy,
>
> "Bill"
>
> CAUTION: Altered email address. Do not auto reply.
> To reply via email, remove everything after .com in the listed
address.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5909. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: chris_grant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7l6okd$58k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114389

In article <7kunp3$gb1@newsops.execpc.com>,
W9FL <w9fl@arrl.net> wrote:
> chris_grant@my-deja.com wrote:
> >In article <19990622001900.10455.00003485@ng-ca1.aol.com>,
> > aci6@aol.com.net.htm (Bill) wrote:
> >> When you have the proper license, you get to transmit. Otherwise,
you
> >don't.
> >So "Bill" I guess there is some magic switchboard in the sky that
> >determines if your licencse is valid or not? I don't think so, but
maybe
> >your correct(Highly doubt it)Since I can transmit to the same model
at
> >the same freq. this kind of rules out your hypotheisis doesn't it? I
a
> >no EE major nor I am experienced in ham radio operation, but simple
> >deductive reasoning would kind of suggest some sort of filter mech.
the
> >police radios have which I lack. All I asked for was a simple
> >explaination, not a snooty,"this is a ham-experienced only discussion
> >group and you are obviously a novice, so I will not even grant you
the
> >common courtesy of a rational response" kind of bullshit answer. If
you
> >don't have anything constuctive to say then shut the fuck up.
> >
> >Have a nice day
> >
> >Grant
> >
> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >> The radios you mentioned are ham rigs??
> >>
> >> If so, and you are a ham, you should be able to answer your own
> >question. If
> >> so, and you are not a ham, why buy the rigs in the first place? A
> >similar
> >> question would apply if they are commercial band rigs . . .
> >>
> >> Bill
> >>

> >> PS Guys, you do remember the recent thread on this very subject . .
.
> >Let's not
> >> have a repeat, OK?
> >>
> >> CAUTION: Altered email address. Do not auto reply.
> >> To reply via email, remove everything after .com in the listed
> >address.
> >>
> > >
> > >
> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >Share what you know. Learn what you don't.
>
> Grant,
>
> It is not a "filter", or "CTCSS" that keeps you from transmitting on
the
> Police frequencies. Most radios are run by a microprocessor nowdays.
The
> firmware is programed only for transmit on certain frequencies. They
also
> do not have the necessary values of electronic componants to allow
> transmission in the police frequencies. Even if you changed the
> electronics, the firmware program would not allow transmission.
>
> It is also not a good idea to swear at people who are offering a reply
to
> your question.
>
> Tom
>
I agree with you Tom and I was probably out of line using profanity. But
what this guy offered was not a reply but a lecture on radio ethics,
with the assumption that my intent was to break the law. All I wanted to
know was why I could only transmit to certain radios, which by the way
were not mod.ed to broadcast or recceive illegal bands, thats how they
functioned out of the box. It does transmit on said freq. b/c I can
receive on the other radio, so I do beleive that some sort of filter is
at work here. If my profanity offended you I am sorry, but the tone of
"bill's" message offended me, but maybe he doesn't know any better.

>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5910. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <3779e392.182411391@news.uia.net>
Source record: 114390
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Let me make sure I understand you here. Are you to have us believe
that you NEVER pay any attention to the TV news when there's an air
disaster? You just flip on Nickelodeon is that it? What, you watch
the weather report and the whacky "finally tonight" story and shut the
TV off and go back to monitoring NOAA?

Give me a personal break!!! Listening to the scanner is ALL about
hearing accident or emergency details. Maybe where you live these
things don't happen very often but where I live they are a part of
every day life.

I agree that "ambulance chasing" and standing in the way of rescue
workers is stupid but that's not what responsible scanner enthusiasts
do. Sitting at home monitoring an incident as it unfolds and maybe
getting a more accurate depiction of the story does not make someone
sick and morbid.

As for the "media sharks" you refer to, you can continue to call them
that as long as you refuse to watch stories that involve car
accidents. plane crashes and personal injury or death.

Yes we're here to share and learn and I think the only thing we
learned from this whole thread is NOTHING about the original question.
We also got to see a bunch of uptight know-it-all people share thier
views of how we should all conduct ourselves.

On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 13:26:57 -0500, "Donna L. Kregel"
<donna.kregel@nwa.com> wrote:

>I think you missed the point, Bryan -
>
>I agree completely with the other Stephen. Listening to police scanners for
>accident or emergency details is downright sick, in my estimation. I see
>no difference between your actions and those of the soulless video junkies
>that tape car accidents etc. Not only is it sick and of no use to those who
>need help, it's also an incredible violation of privacy for those hurt in
>the situation.
>
>From what I read, the other poster's point was that rather than standing
>there and gawking, you either find a way to help, or get out of the way, and
>give survivors and victim's families some privacy to work through the shock
>and grief involved.
>
>I have to let you off easy to some degree though - I'd say you learned well
>from the media sharks. They set quite an example.

>
>As for the purpose of this newsgroup, we're here to learn and share, not
>chase ambulances.
>

5911. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <377bea10.184074367@news.uia.net>
Source record: 114391
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Aaah yes... the old "If a tree falls and no one hears it" argument!

On Thu, 3 Jun 1999 20:59:35 -0400, "mike regish"
<mregish@mediaone.net> wrote:

>I'm curious. Why do you feel the need to know about accidents and fires that
>are happening across town in the first place? In a world so full of bad
>news, why do you want more?
>
>I don't even watch the news most nights.

5912. Re: crash frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <377ceb53.184397045@news.uia.net>
Source record: 114392
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.aviation.piloting

Well I hope you learned that not everyone with a scanner is "sick and
morbid".

On Fri, 4 Jun 1999 14:14:53 -0500, "Donna L. Kregel"
<donna.kregel@nwa.com> wrote:

>> She probably doesn't have one. Nor do I. I came across this thread
>> in rec.travel.air, where I suspect MOST people don't have scanners.
>
>Exactly - no scanner here. Actually, I'm an airline employee and trained
>volunteer. In the case of an air accident, I am ready to go within 2 hours
>to assist families and survivors. Part of the training discusses how media,
>lawyers etc. go too far with invading the privacy of the families, as well
>as giving premature conclusions on the issues. And no - I don't read the
>scanner newsgroup. In fact, I didn't even notice that it was a cross post.
>Now I understand the avalanche of flame mail and nasty responses.
>
>
>Thank you Ed! :)
>

5913. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <7l704n$7i0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114393

All I can say Ted is WOW! Even at $800 I bet a lot of folks would rake up the bread for a rig like that. Actually the AR8200 is getting close to that price.
Bill K9KZ

>
> Okay, I wont be tooooooo greedy...
>
> -Handheld w/4-6 disposable AA batteries & charging circuit, comes w/nickel
> metal hydrides or lithium cells
> -2000 memories in 20 banks of 100
> -.5Mhz -to- 2000 Mhz
> -NAM/WAM/NFM/WFM/USB/LSB modes
> -10 linkable search banks
> -1000 lockouts from search
> -Optional auto hold in search mode
> -Individually selectable delays on memories
> -Programmable step sizes from .05 Khz to 100 Khz
> -Doesn't default freq steps in search (If searching 461.0125 to 461.9875 in 25
> Khz steps it stays on the interstitials)
> -CTCSS/DPL receive and detect/display
> -S Meter
> -I dont really need a color display (would be cool though) but I do need an
> easy to read display that works from above or below (wide angles & big figures)
> -Alpha Tags for memories and talk groups
> -Scrolling menu system for most functions
> -Moto/EDACS/Johnson Trunking
> -Backlit keypad and display w/on/off switch
> -Conversion scheme and performance like the yupi's
> -100 steps searched or memories scanned per second
> -Entering zero in memory auto locks it out from scan
> -Lockout review in scan and search, selective restore
> -Water resistant / shock resistant belt carry case
> -service search like some of the bearcats
> -auto program empty memories in selected banks from search (one of each
> detected active, no re-writes, including PL/DPL tone activity)
> -2 way computer interface port (I'd settle for civ though)
> -Data skip feature that really worked
> -Drop in rapid desk top charger / operating stand, like a

convertacom, with
> it's own antenna and audio jacks
> -Audio quality as good or better than the yupi 7100
> -Tone control
> -Discriminator jack
> -Heavy duty (or sealed) type keypad
> -Metal chassis or at least a metal frame
> -Extra scan and manual buttons on the top of the radio
> -detented tuning knob w/good feel and sturdy mechanism
> -Reprogrammable default mode and step sizes for different bands
> -Dual VFO's
> -Separate bank of 20 priority memories
>
> The funny thing is - just about every one of these features, or a
part of them,
> have been made available at one time or another on some radio. Some
folks say
> the perfect radio will never be made - you wouldn't ever need to buy
a new one!
> Don't pooh pooh some of the more outlandish suggestions that have
been made
> here - have you seen the latest generation of palm tops? - pretty
astounding
> stuff in packages a great deal smaller than our radios at even
cheaper prices!
> The newest high end palm pilots have amazing displays.
>
> I'd pay $800-1000 for a handheld with the above features, provided it
delivered
> excellent selectivity and sensitivity, which is all I really want,
anyway!
>
> Happy Scanning - Ted
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5914. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <7l704v$7i2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114394

All I can say Ted is WOW! Even at $800 I bet a lot of folks would rake up the bread for a rig like that. Actually the AR8200 is getting close to that price.
Bill K9KZ

>
> Okay, I wont be tooooooo greedy...
>
> -Handheld w/4-6 disposable AA batteries & charging circuit, comes w/nickel
> metal hydrides or lithium cells
> -2000 memories in 20 banks of 100
> -.5Mhz -to- 2000 Mhz
> -NAM/WAM/NFM/WFM/USB/LSB modes
> -10 linkable search banks
> -1000 lockouts from search
> -Optional auto hold in search mode
> -Individually selectable delays on memories
> -Programmable step sizes from .05 Khz to 100 Khz
> -Doesn't default freq steps in search (If searching 461.0125 to 461.9875 in 25
> Khz steps it stays on the interstitials)
> -CTCSS/DPL receive and detect/display
> -S Meter
> -I dont really need a color display (would be cool though) but I do need an
> easy to read display that works from above or below (wide angles & big figures)
> -Alpha Tags for memories and talk groups
> -Scrolling menu system for most functions
> -Moto/EDACS/Johnson Trunking
> -Backlit keypad and display w/on/off switch
> -Conversion scheme and performance like the yupi's
> -100 steps searched or memories scanned per second
> -Entering zero in memory auto locks it out from scan
> -Lockout review in scan and search, selective restore
> -Water resistant / shock resistant belt carry case
> -service search like some of the bearcats
> -auto program empty memories in selected banks from search (one of each
> detected active, no re-writes, including PL/DPL tone activity)
> -2 way computer interface port (I'd settle for civ though)
> -Data skip feature that really worked
> -Drop in rapid desk top charger / operating stand, like a

convertacom, with
> it's own antenna and audio jacks
> -Audio quality as good or better than the yupi 7100
> -Tone control
> -Discriminator jack
> -Heavy duty (or sealed) type keypad
> -Metal chassis or at least a metal frame
> -Extra scan and manual buttons on the top of the radio
> -detented tuning knob w/good feel and sturdy mechanism
> -Reprogrammable default mode and step sizes for different bands
> -Dual VFO's
> -Separate bank of 20 priority memories
>
> The funny thing is - just about every one of these features, or a
part of them,
> have been made available at one time or another on some radio. Some
folks say
> the perfect radio will never be made - you wouldn't ever need to buy
a new one!
> Don't pooh pooh some of the more outlandish suggestions that have
been made
> here - have you seen the latest generation of palm tops? - pretty
astounding
> stuff in packages a great deal smaller than our radios at even
cheaper prices!
> The newest high end palm pilots have amazing displays.
>
> I'd pay $800-1000 for a handheld with the above features, provided it
delivered
> excellent selectivity and sensitivity, which is all I really want,
anyway!
>
> Happy Scanning - Ted
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5915. My little site abt. German police radio...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ralph A. Schmid, DG5MEC" <ralph.schmid@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <686.848T2750T4016458ralph.schmid@gmx.net>
Source record: 114395
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi!

If you`re looking for some facts about German police and rescue VHF radio you can have a look at my homepage. Nothing special (just some frequencies, channel tables, PICs from the radios used in .de), but I think there is not very much available about this on the web in English. In .de we often can hear foreign countries on our 4m bands VHF relais (75/85 MHz), perhaps also abroad sometimes German police radio can be received...

--
regards - ralph mailto:ralph.schmid@gmx.net
Ralph Armin Schmid personal homepage: http://www.bos-pages.de
D-08523 Plauen Cellphone +49-161-3631223

All abt. Motorola GSM: http://www.tele-servizi.com/Janus/motpages.html

5916. WTB: Pro-2042 with PROgrammit and OS535 installed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <G6Dd3.11283$5i7.7124@news.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 114396
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Please email me if you have one for sale.

Don Stegner

dstegner@home.com

5917. HELP: Need Info for MDT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Buonincontri <stunod@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <37770299.49520A9D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am looking for more info for the Motorola 7100CP Mobile Data Terminal.
I tried Motorola's Web site with no luck. I need to know is it a hand
held or vehicle mount? Is it capable of MDC-4800? Info likethat.. A pic
would be great...

Thanks all in advance...

Keith: the site didn't work.... but thanks..

5918. snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Weiser Ambulance District <wad@cyberhighway.net>
Message-ID: <01bec12c$65d481a0$1413a1d1@default>
Source record: 114398

Man! I thought the radio hobby was supposed to be fun and create a sense of comradeship among the members of the fraternity who share the common interests. However, after reading some of the crap posted here and the answers to it I'm ashamed to admit that I'm a ham radio operator and, yes, that I have a couple of scanners.
What happened to "friendly" hams and civility? We've condemned the CB operators for years for their behavior but we seem to have lowered ourselves to that level from what I've read here. And, no, I don't believe the no-code licenses are to blame....it's the lousy attitudes and superior God-like demeanor of some in the hobby who feel that, as the saying goes, their fecal matter lacks an aromatic quality.
How about acting like mature men and women [boys and girls???] and treating each other with at least a little respect? Is that so difficult?

5919. Re: Huntington Beach, CA Police Helicopter Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: William Stern <WStern@verio.net>
Message-ID: <377703C4.D25B798E@verio.net>
Source record: 114399

Larry Dighera wrote:
>
> Does anyone know the Huntington Beach, CA Police Helicopter
> Frequencies?

Harry Marnell wrote:
> >
> > All Orange County Police 'copters may use 45.30 (PL 146.2),
> > the "Regional air net" frequency.
> >
> > HBPD's copters "Regional 1, 2 & 3," use the regular HBPD freq,
> > 460.100 & "Orange South", 460.200.

Were there riots in Huntigton Beach on Independance Day,
(Fourth of July), several years ago?

5920. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37772009.621CD202@usa.net>
Source record: 114400

You are VERY correct here with your statement!!! I totally
support your ideas.

I have worked long & hard with other individuals to try to make
this NG a safe & positive place.

But, believe me as there is supporting evidence from Dejanews,
this NG was FAR worse at one time. When I first arrived on this NG a little
more than 2 years ago I found this NG is complete turmoil & an all out flame
war. There was one certain individual who was causing a lot of problems
here & trying to get others kicked off their ISP. Myself & others worked
very hard to improve the situation here, which took 2 years until last
summer when the problem individual lost his internet access by his ISP.
However, some problems still remain as his supporters still cause problems
here at times.

Hang in there. This NG has improved & will continue to get better
with time!

Weiser Ambulance District wrote:

> Man! I thought the radio hobby was supposed to be fun and create a sense
> of comradeship among the members of the fraternity who share the common
> interests. However, after reading some of the crap posted here and the
> answers to it I'm ashamed to admit that I'm a ham radio operator and, yes,
> that I have a couple of scanners.
> What happened to "friendly" hams and civility? We've condemned the CB
> operators for years for their behavior but we seem to have lowered
> ourselves to that level from what I've read here. And, no, I don't believe
> the no-code licenses are to blame....it's the lousy attitudes and superior
> God-like demeanor of some in the hobby who feel that, as the saying goes,
> their fecal matter lacks an aromatic quality.
> How about acting like mature men and women [boys and girls???] and treating
> each other with at least a little respect? Is that so difficult?

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5921. Motorola SLK 280 FRS HT $85

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <ck5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <37774C5A.5682@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 114401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I have one new SLK 280 $85 This has 14 channels 38 PL tones and scan.
Takes 3 AAs. $85. Thank you, Chris

5922. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <37772FD7.56E4E8D8@home.com>
Source record: 114402

Good job John-we are so proud to have you around.A saint you are! NOT

jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>
> You are VERY correct here with your statement!!! I totally
> support your ideas.
>
> I have worked long & hard with other individuals to try to make
> this NG a safe & positive place.
>
> But, believe me as there is supporting evidence from Dejanews,
> this NG was FAR worse at one time. When I first arrived on this NG a little
> more than 2 years ago I found this NG is complete turmoil & an all out flame
> war. There was one certain individual who was causing a lot of problems
> here & trying to get others kicked off their ISP. Myself & others worked
> very hard to improve the situation here, which took 2 years until last
> summer when the problem individual lost his internet access by his ISP.
> However, some problems still remain as his supporters still cause problems
> here at times.
>
> Hang in there. This NG has improved & will continue to get better
> with time!
>
> Weiser Ambulance District wrote:
>
> > Man! I thought the radio hobby was supposed to be fun and create a sense
> > of comradeship among the members of the fraternity who share the common
> > interests. However, after reading some of the crap posted here and the
> > answers to it I'm ashamed to admit that I'm a ham radio operator and, yes,
> > that I have a couple of scanners.
> > What happened to "friendly" hams and civility? We've condemned the CB
> > operators for years for their behavior but we seem to have lowered
> > ourselves to that level from what I've read here. And, no, I don't believe
> > the no-code licenses are to blame....it's the lousy attitudes and superior
> > God-like demeanor of some in the hobby who feel that, as the saying goes,
> > their fecal matter lacks an aromatic quality.
> > How about acting like mature men and women [boys and girls???] and treating
> > each other with at least a little respect? Is that so difficult?
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

5923. Re: POCSAG NEED HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bandit <scannerman@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <377740d4@royan.d-n-a.net>
Source record: 114403
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

I dont believe it!

--

****
>Please visit my homepage at www.move.to/maynooth
>See the XJ Owners Picture Page at the URL below
>www.welcome.to/xj-pictures
>NAME: Steven E-MAIL: Bandit@dna.ie
>BIKE: '82 XJ-750j FROM: Dublin, Ireland.
>See my webcam at www.fly.to/banditcam
>Please be sure to drop by the Yamaha XJ Owners website at
>http://www.ucs.mun.ca/~t56blt/xj750.html Thank you.
>Please Do NOT e-mail me SPAM or Junk Mail. Thank you.
>**********
*
mking <mking@indigo.ie> wrote in message
news:JZrd3.667$C4.506@news.indigo.ie...
> HI FOLKS
> i need help in pocsag cant find a program in English
> also how does it work
> post to newsgroup or email me
> mking@indigo.ie
> thanx
> Luther
>
>

5924. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Blow <j0e_blow_aust@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <NEHd3.17100$PN5.44391@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 114404
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Of course it does't go RF from mobile to mobile, BUT it stays in digital format from exchange to exchange. ie it doesn't revert to analog, fed along microwave links or cable or fibre and then reconverted back to digital for the final short RF part.

--
Joe Blow.

(change jOe's "zero" to "oh" to reply)

Dave Liquorice <newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk> wrote in message news:nyyfbegfarkhfqrzbapbhx.fe0kxr1.pminews@localhost...
> On Sun, 27 Jun 1999 12:29:27 GMT, budgie wrote:
>
> >> Why would they bother to do so when they can tap the landline side of any
> >> conversation at will? Much easier than messing around with radios and
> >> crypto.
> >
> > maybe because a lot of what they are after is mobile to mobile?
>
> What has that got to do with it? The call will still go through a switch
> (exchange) somewhere and that is where any official taps are applied anyway.
>
> You don't think that a mobile to mobile call is totally RF from one handset
> to the other when they can be 100's of miles apart do you?
>
> --
> Cheers newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk
> Dave. Remove "spam" for valid email.
>
>
>

5925. Re: San Diego County Sheriffs Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <37774664.5D1947BE@netxn.com>
Source record: 114405

They have gone 800 trunked ... see www.socal911.com for more info ...

Mike

John Hartsell wrote:
>
> Would anyone know if the the San Diego County Sheriff's frequencies haved
> moved from 453.xxx?

5926. FOR SALE: Icom R7000 / R71A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: maoreschak@ucdavis.edu
Message-ID: <7l7ne4eos1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114406

Icom R7000 with:
SP-3 External Speaker
TV-R7000 TV/ATV Receive Adapter
Manual

$450 + Shipping COD

Icom R71A with:
SP-3 External Speaker
CR-64 High Stability Crystal
FL-32A CW Narrow Filter
Manual

$450 + Shipping COD

Mike Oreschak
Rocklin, California
maoreschak@ucdavis.edu
916-630-1934

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5927. Scanner converters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: oldgear@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990628070138.12508.00002849@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 114407

Where can I purchase a converter that will convert the 400 to 800Mhz and would like any comments on their operation. Are they as good as the real thing.
Thanks

5928. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <HVId3.4199$qd.2745@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 114408

Believe it or not, it used to be a lot worse! Sad.

Bill Crocker

Weiser Ambulance District <wad@cyberhighway.net> wrote in message
news:01bec12c$65d481a0$1413a1d1@default...
> Man! I thought the radio hobby was supposed to be fun and create a sense
> of comradeship among the members of the fraternity who share the common
> interests. However, after reading some of the crap posted here and the
> answers to it I'm ashamed to admit that I'm a ham radio operator and, yes,
> that I have a couple of scanners.
> What happened to "friendly" hams and civility? We've condemned the CB
> operators for years for their behavior but we seem to have lowered
> ourselves to that level from what I've read here. And, no, I don't
believe
> the no-code licenses are to blame....it's the lousy attitudes and superior
> God-like demeanor of some in the hobby who feel that, as the saying goes,
> their fecal matter lacks an aromatic quality.
> How about acting like mature men and women [boys and girls???] and
treating
> each other with at least a little respect? Is that so difficult?
>

5929. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: budgie <budgie@NOWHERE.cantech.net.au>
Message-ID: <37775e86.2039639@news.cantech.net.au>
Source record: 114409
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 27 Jun 1999 21:46:39 +0100 (BST), "Dave Liquorice"
<newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk> wrote:

>On Sun, 27 Jun 1999 12:29:27 GMT, budgie wrote:
>
>>> Why would they bother to do so when they can tap the landline side of any
>>> conversation at will? Much easier than messing around with radios and
>>> crypto.
>>
>> maybe because a lot of what they are after is mobile to mobile?
>
>What has that got to do with it? The call will still go through a switch
>(exchange) somewhere and that is where any official taps are applied anyway.
>
>You don't think that a mobile to mobile call is totally RF from one handset
>to the other when they can be 100's of miles apart do you?

No, I'm not quite that daft :-)

If mobile me rings fixed Freddy and he's a permanent tap, then they
get it all. It's rather difficult to put a wire tap on a dynamically
allocated digital inter-exchange circuit between base stations via the
switched net or otherwise.

Let's just say that where I work they have the gear to intercept
off-air and keep track of certain users that way. "Taps" are for wire
services only.

Peter
PERTH - WESTERN AUSTRALIA
Remove the NOWHERE from reply address

5930. Re: Scanner converters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: richard@nospam.com
Message-ID: <37776b14.84955056@172.16.1.166>
Source record: 114410

GRE

On 28 Jun 1999 11:01:38 GMT, oldgear@aol.com (OLD GEAR) wrote:

>Where can I purchase a converter that will convert the 400 to 800Mhz and would
>like any comments on their operation. Are they as good as the real thing.
>Thanks

5931. Pro-7 Tech Manual Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: N6RHL@mho.net
Message-ID: <37776d5c.122979891@news.mho.net>
Source record: 114411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I need the tech manual for a Realistic Pro-7 scanner. The unit needs to be realigned badly. Does anyone have one and I will gladly pay for reproduction cast and shipping.

Please contact me via email at N6RHL@mho.net

Thanks, Dave

5932. FS AR8200+Jav232+memory card $650

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joseph Wilson <71035.3506@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <u3GioYWw#GA.141@nih2naaf.prod2.compuserve.com>
Source record: 114412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For sale:

AR8200 scanner with leather case, JAV 232 interface from
Javiation, 4,000 channel memory card, all for $650 shipped

--

5933. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7l7ugrevv1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114413

Oh Geesh, another diatribe from an 'expert' with the I did you can too
bull hockey.

Some HAM bands already sound WORSE than channel 19 on a
saturday night when the bars close. And guess what? They are
predominately in the GENERAL and above access areas!

Grow up Michael....

As to your frat analogy... BFD! I wouldn't want to join a frat that
hazed
it's pledges either, just like you do....

If you want a sense of accomplishment, I'll give you one. First throw
out the code, then put something REAL in as a test. Design me a
dual modulus prescaler for a digital PLL to give automatic repeater
offsets. What? Can't do it? Then you're not a REAL HAM, you're
just an appliance operator! Go back to CB where you belong, or at
least stay off VHF and above.

Sound assinine? You bet it does. It's the same damn drivel you posted,
just flipped around.

Mike
N1DVJ

Michael W. McGinley wrote in message ...
>I'm sick and tired of this code Vs. Nocode debate/flamewar
>I fought and clawed to get my 5WPM and failed several times at the
testing
>centers, But i never gve up and guess what... i finally got it and i am
>glad i did
>
>If you take the code requirment out of amatuer radio you take the
challenge
>out of it and the pride that goes with the knowlage that you actually
did it.
>and the ham bands will become nothing more than a expensive version of
11 meters
> and if that happend we all lose.
>
>Those of you that were in collage and were offered a membership in a
house
>(Not sure how to spell it) got subjected to some initation rite...but
>after it was all over you had a certian kinship with the other members.
>the code is really no differnt... without it...where's the pride in

>acomplishing a diffult task (Not that it's that hard)
>
>
>But then again... who want's to work for somthing anymore ?
>
>If i can get the code you can too.
>
>it's a hassle but it's worth it
>
>Mike - N0JVT

5934. Icom PCR-100 news list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan B <kd4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <377784B4.B943178A@webdeals.net>
Source record: 114414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
There is a new list for Icom PCR-100 users now.
It is through "ONElist" Email community.
You can sign on at this page:
http://www.onelist.net/register.cgi?listname=iCOM%5FPCR%5F100

ICOM PCR-100 Computer controlled receiver!
```

5935. Re: Casual user questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ham Op <jason@work.com>
Message-ID: <930579937.570.48@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 114415

I've had a BC 350XLT ($100 @ WalMart) for 5 years now
Great scanner for the beginner. Just press the button for the service you
want to listen to

Jason KE4KZY

http://www.gulftel.com/bsfire

Bill Kelnhofer <n2zxz@monmouth.com> wrote in message
news:3775A352.AFD17DF8@monmouth.com...
>
>
> >
>
> I own the Bearcat BC60XLT-1 as a supplement to my trunk tracker. It got it
> at Wal-Mart for around $100. It has 30 channel and does well in a suburban
> area. IT does not do Aircraft. It Also has no 800 mhz capability at all so
> you'll need to make sure your local Public Safety agencies are in it's
> range. It is also a hand held but does all right with the stock antenna. I
> add a speaker through the speaker jack though for better voice quality.
> Good luck.
> BTW it's pretty simple to program.
>
>

5936. Re: MVT-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <7l869n$kk5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114416

Hi Lee, I came across a very interesting comment by Jonathan Clough. I was very surprised when I found it.
Bill K9KZ

Jonathan Wrote:
If you can live without the Alpha numerical screen on the MVT-9000 then the MVT-7200 is worth looking at - "better" set IMO...

Hope thats of some help.
Cheers,
/--
-\
| Jonathan Clough

> Yes, in fact I feel very strongly that the 9000 is the "ONLY"
competent
> wide band receiver especially in the HF region. As even the newest of
the
> breed of PC controlled fall flat in this area as well. Forget the
AR8200
> as it is all but deaf hear and not to swift in the vhf-uhf either. I
> would certainly opt for the Bandercom modified units as they are
> considerably improved over the stock units.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5937. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: al <al145@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7l81sk$11sc@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114417

zw(uçi¢»b¢zÞ☐☐ÞuÐ¸4(

5938. Discriminator Tap for Pro 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: cray2141@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7l879m$l30$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114418

Is there anyone who has mounted a jack on the pro 43 to tap the discriminator? If so, what size jack did you use, and where did you mount it? Any help would be appreciated. Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5939. Airnav 3.1 - serial don't work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hellraiser <Hellybaby@demon.com>
Message-ID: <930583771.26707.0.nnrp-02.c2de4101@news.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 114419

Hi,

I just used the serial for Airnav 3.0 on v3.1, doesn't work, tells me that the Registration code and personal id dont match (or something like that).

The code I used was the Azrael [PC] one, ID 069AZR, and reg.code of 692939861. Is this wrong?

Failing that, anyone got a serial that works? Thanks!

5940. Re: FS AR8200+Jav232+memory card $650

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: skanman@cwix.com
Message-ID: <3777951D.6A61FFD8@cwix.com>
Source record: 114420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Would you trade for a White's Metal Detector? This unit cost me over
$900 new.
Unit is in mint condition. Model is a EAGLE II SL
Let me know

Joseph Wilson wrote:

> For sale:
>
> AR8200 scanner with leather case, JAV 232 interface from
> Javiation, 4,000 channel memory card, all for $650 shipped
>
> --
>

5941. Wintone PRO Beta 2 Now available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: rsmerker@steaksandwich.com
Message-ID: <7l88h3lld1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

To all tone decoding enthusiasts!

WintonePRO Beta 2 is now being made available to select testers!
We are interested in folks who want to put the software through
the wringer, and tell us what you think!

WintonePRO features :

* Software Decoding with your Sound Card, No other Hardware required
* DTMF, CTCSS QuickCall I&II capable
* User definable single and double tone sets - Define your own!
* Record sound squelched Compressed Audio, in as little as 6MB/Hr
* Suite of visual audio spectrum analyzing tools
* Fully Windows 95,98,NT compatible
* Plug-In Support for RedShift CE-232 Scanner Control Software

Requirements :

* You must have a Pentium or Faster CPU
* Windows compatible sound card
* HAM Radio, Scanner, or another audio input source
* Usage experience with Wintone 2.0 Helpful, but not required

If you are interested, please email us at wintonebeta@steaksandwich.com.
You will recieve a confirmation email giving you a URL.

Please note, that due to an unfortunate ISP incident, our previous
tester database was deleted. If you had contacted us before, please
email us again, so we can get you put back in the list!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5942. Re: Digital Voice Fallacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Sluggo <Sluggo@kickass.com>
Message-ID: <NLMd3.1516$WH3.559326@homer.alpha.net>
Source record: 114422

I sadly hope that you don't REALLY believe that cops want digital radios so that the public doesn't get wind of "all their screwups and illegal activities." That seems to imply that ALL the other cops on the frequency must be covering up the BS from the few who might be screwups. I'm used to that kind of stereotyping, but I still hate hearing it. I'm really beginning to understand what a member of a minority group must sometimes feel, because as soon as my uniform goes on I become one: laughed at, scorned, called names, stared at, assaulted, blamed for problems, etc. And I'm nothing more than an average guy trying his hardest to be fair, make a living for my family, and come home at the end of my shift!
Ok, I'm off my soapbox now. First of all, 95% of cops I know (and work with, if you haven't figured it out yet) don't even have a clue whether they're on VHF, UHF, 800, trunked, analog, digital or whatever. But what many of us do know is that some of our radios SUCK. Many systems are outdated, have poor and spotty coverage, and are sometimes subject to going down at very bad times. Preventive Maintenance? What's that??? That would cost money, we'll just fix the system when it breaks, who cares whether the lives of the cops, citizens, whoever, is at risk. I'm not saying that a digital system will alleviate all those problems, and I could really care less if Joe Scanner is listening to us going to a call. But I do think about it regularly. Sometimes I hope the bad guys have one and are gone before we get there. Other times there's that typical paranoid cop voice in my head saying "What if they have a scanner AND they're still here waiting to blow me away so that they can finish and make off with their (fill in the blank)?" Very, very rare a bad guy has a scanner, but you come across them just enough to make you really think about what you said on the radio as you are en route to a call.
Here's a nice example that really made us do a double take. We were getting ready to serve a search warrant of the apartment of a drug dealer. After we were inside and searching the house, we noticed that everytime we keyed up one of our portables something was vibrating, like a pager or something. Turns out this jamoke managed to obtain some sort of vibrating, wideband receiver. Any transmitter of high power in the area, or of low power within a few feet would set this damn thing off (i.e. portables, body wires, etc.) But thanks to being an idiot, the guy lives a block from the antenna towers at the PD, so the silly thing was vibrating contantly. Anyway, definitely an isolated example, and not really a scanner, but one of those "things that make you go hmmmm".
I'm not against scanners, I know few cops who are against them. I own several myself, have an amateur radio license, and feel enjoy meeting people into the hobby. I've had many more good encounters with scanner listeners than bad ones.
Whew, ok, just had to vent a little, I really hate being (implicitly) accused of trying to cover up my, and everyone else's, screwups and illegal

activities, whatever those may be.
Let's see what kind of flame war this stirs up, though not my intention.

-John

"Email address definitely not real...get over it. "

Yes, there's always a chance that a bad guy might have a scanner, but
any department with even a little bit of creativity can find workarounds if
that is a major concern.
Major Wood <majorwood@navix.net> wrote in message
news:7l6mqg$9302@iac7.navix.net...
> >By monitoring the signal strength of input frequencies, the use of
> >frequency recorders(i.e. scout) and increasingly less expensive spectrum
> >analyzers, one can easily tell if "someone is in the area" by studying
> >the pattern of transmissions.
> Yea, but most criminals are idiots. I seriously doubt that any of the bad
> guys will ever bother with a signal strength meter. The fact of the matter
> is, MOST crooks don't even use scanners. The whole issue is so overblown
by
> Motorola and the cops. They want you to believe every crook from the mob
all
> the way down to a shoplifter spends ever waking moment listening to police
> transmissions. That way, they can justify the multi-million dollar scams
> they are pulling on taxpayers like us by purchasing these new systems. The
> REAL reason the cops want secure radios is so the public can't get wind of
> all their screw ups and illegal activities. And Motorola? They don't give
a
> rats ass about anything other than $. I should know - I used to work for
> them. - MW
>
>

5943. Re: why can I hear the police but not transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: aci6@aol.com.net.htm
Message-ID: <19990628115145.23951.00003774@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 114423

Hello Chris,

I am happy to hear that you have ended your comments in this thread. If that is the case, these will be my last also . . .

My main question still goes unanswered:

If you didn't intend to use the info gained (in the answer to your original question) to "transmit to the police", then why had you already tried it and failed???

You DO realize that, by simply "transmitting" from one of your transceivers to the other on the police frequency, you have broken the law? Oh, I suppose no one will care since, more than likely, no responsible party heard you do so. But, remember this: ignorance is no excuse! My purpose, in my replies to your question, was to discourage you from doing so, AGAIN!! You see, the "radio hobby" has already suffered enough from guys like you . . . It doesn't matter if you are a Ham or not - when you are caught (and, you will be caught) interfering with public service traffic, the headline will read: "Ham Radio Operator fined for Police interference" or something like that. Even though you may be correct in describing yourself as "the ignorant one here", you pale in comparison to the ignorance of the media!! To them, more than one gun is a "cache" and everyone with a radio is a "Ham Operator."

I do have a suggestion - Spend a little time reading about radio. Once you do, you will be surprised at what you can learn. And, once you have done that, you just may be able to answer your own question.
However, as with anything worthwhile, ethics are important. From your actions and comment ("attept (sic) to preach radio ethics"), you don't seem to care about such. Perhaps, in time you will. Until you do, I hope and pray that you will not become a Ham because we already have too many like you in our ranks . . . You see, there are those of us who cherish and revere the privileges and opportunities afforded us by our Ham licenses. And, we don't want a bunch of clowns lousing up our little playground . . . You, sir, are, currently, a clown - Grow up, learn to handle responsibility, grow a little "wisdom" and quit stealing from those of us who have paid our dues.

And, one more thing - When someone calls your hand for being or doing wrong, admit it and learn from the experience instead of moaning and groaning that you were mistreated . . . Remember, YOU wrote: "But hey I am the ignorant one here." Now, go out and do something about it - Indeed, YOU have much to learn!!

Good luck,

Bill

CAUTION: Altered email address. Do not auto reply.
To reply via email, remove everything after .com in the listed address.

5944. Re: BC245 - Number of Talkgroup Memories?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <3777adcb.49116856@news.uia.net>
Source record: 114424
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It will have the capability of storing 100 ID's. I'm not sure if
that's 10 groups of 10 or what.

On Sun, 30 May 1999 12:09:01 -0500, "Ed" <emw28@aol.com> wrote:

>Has anyone heard how many talkgroup memories will be available with the new
>BC245? As most of us will agree, the 50 (5 banks of 10) with the 235 and
>895 is not nearly enough with some of the larger trunked systems. It would
>be nice to have a MINIMUM of 10 banks of 10.
>
>Your thoughts?
>

5945. Re: Wintone PRO Beta 2 Now available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <k7Od3.5779$w61.3044@stones>
Source record: 114425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

<rsmerker@steaksandwich.com> wrote in message
news:7l88h3lld1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> To all tone decoding enthusiasts!
>
> WintonePRO Beta 2 is now being made available to select testers!
> We are interested in folks who want to put the software through
> the wringer, and tell us what you think!
>
> WintonePRO features :
>
> * Software Decoding with your Sound Card, No other Hardware required
> * DTMF, CTCSS QuickCall I&II capable
> * User definable single and double tone sets - Define your own!
> * Record sound squelched Compressed Audio, in as little as 6MB/Hr
> * Suite of visual audio spectrum analyzing tools
> * Fully Windows 95,98,NT compatible
> * Plug-In Support for RedShift CE-232 Scanner Control Software
>
> Requirements :
>
> * You must have a Pentium or Faster CPU
> * Windows compatible sound card
> * HAM Radio, Scanner, or another audio input source
> * Usage experience with Wintone 2.0 Helpful, but not required
>

does it crash/freeze up as bad as wintone 2 does ?

eavsdropper

5946. Re: Icom Q7 shortwave/HF problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Vlaski Goran <Ingra-Beton@t-online.de>
Message-ID: <3777B6D5.9FBFD9AA@t-online.de>
Source record: 114426
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Curt Phillips W4CP schrieb:
> to program in some shortwave frequencies into Q7 memory locations. However,
> the results haven't been as good as I would have hoped. First, I was under
> the impression that the receiver was essentially the same as that in the R-2
> (even an ICOM rep at HAMCOM said so), but it seems pretty deaf. The stations

I have compared a IC-R2 with the IC-Q7 from 0.5 up to 30MHz,
I have not noticed any difference, tehnicaly I thing that the
IC-Q7 misses a filter or something like that, but sensitivity
is the same ...

--

Goran Vlaski (Ingra-Beton@t-online.de)
http://vlaski.virtualave.net/
http://www2.crosswinds.net/frankfurt/~vlaski/

5947. Bearcat UBC-3000 battery (source or what to replace with)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: markrsmith@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7l8hp9psj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

I have a several year old UBC3000 scanner. I like the scanner, especially the multi-priority feature. However, the battery life of the BP2500 is terrible (3 hours at best, and they don't last for many cycles) and they are really expensive now. For $50-60 per battery, I might as well find a new scanner.

So, I have several questions:

1) Does anyone have a source for BP2500 batteries (new or reconditioned) for less than the $50-60 I've seen?

2) I'd really rather have a scanner with better battery life. Any scanners using NiMH or LiON batteries? I use the 3000 mostly to listen to my local police, fire and EMS by sitting on channel 1 with priority set on (the priorities for each bank are the local freqs), and then scan some or all banks when I want to hear more than just the locals. I carry it around the house a lot, so I want portable. Any recommendations for a replacement to the 3000? Where should I buy it?

Thanks for your help.

Mark Smith
Hamilton, NJ

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5948. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@lose.fool
Message-ID: <3777ad0e.3670812@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> Nice try, mental case. You are the instigator. Always have
>
>OK, tell ONE time in, say the last 30 days, than I have instigatedanything. Be
>specific.

Hey, loony, when you complete one of MY challenges to YOU, then you can
question me.

Until then, post on topic or stay out of this NG!!

5949. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: you@lose.fool
Message-ID: <3778b44d.5526818@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>
>
>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> You don't have the right to judge anyone else.
>
>Neither do you! At least I can truthfully say I have never lied on this
>NG.

You are in denial. Seek help.

Too bad YOU are the only one believing your mental spew.

>
>
>> Post on topic for once in your life or just walk in front of a train.
>
>
>I have posted on-topic MANY times.

yawn. You've never EVER been able to prove it. It's been years now.

Go away, little boy.

5950. Re: Pro-2026 Tone Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7l8dohrlp1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114430

Marty wrote in message <37741727.6E44@surfnetinc.com>...
>Is there a mod or anyway to add a tone squelch board to the Pro-2026?
>

No, not if you're referring to the board for the 760xlt. It won't work with the 2026. It's too bad too, because that radio could really use a tone board to cut interference.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5951. Re: SSB Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <377ebc43.6497088@news.erols.com>
Source record: 114431

On Tue, 22 Jun 1999 21:57:03 GMT, Bill <k9kz@indy.net> wrote:

>Are there any scanners that do an excellent job with ham SSB
>selectivity, stability, audio, etc... price range approx. $500 or
>under. Tnx!!!

Though you won't find it at the price you mentioned, see this month's
QST review of the AOR 7000B. Their verdict: For a scanner which
covers the bandwidth that it does, the AOR 7000B is quite good. Lots
of bells and whistles too. However, if you're serious about SW or MW
listening, get a radio dedicated to that task.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

5952. Re: Pro-2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7l8emqrtp1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114432

Isom Fontenot wrote in message <37765671.2BB1696B@centuryinter.net>...

>A question: When searching in the trunking mode, and, if I, turn the
>squelch off or counter clock, shouldn't I hear that hissing noise?

>Because I don't hear anything.

Nope. Trunktrackers mute their audio in trunked mode unless they are
receiving a transmission. If you listen really closely or with headphones,
you will hear, very faintly, the data channel buzzing away while it waits
for a transmission...

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

5953. Re: Scanner converters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <377fbf93.7345334@news.erols.com>
Source record: 114433

On 28 Jun 1999 11:01:38 GMT, oldgear@aol.com (OLD GEAR) wrote:

>Where can I purchase a converter that will convert the 400 to 800Mhz and would
>like any comments on their operation. Are they as good as the real thing.

If you can scan in the areas of TV channel 3 or 4, why don't you use a
cable TV set top box? They're pretty good and they're cheap.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

5954. 800 Mhz Mobile antennas FS:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kurt Fritsch DAT2 Communications <dat2comm@toad.net>
Message-ID: <IGPd3.3947$Li1.8617644@news-read1.qis.net>
Source record: 114434

I have 2 or 3 Maxrad MUF8003 antennas. These are new, never used. They would make great mobile antennas for your Trunk Trackers.

Asking $10.00 each incl. shipping to ContUSA.

--
Kurt Fritsch WA3TOY
Owner
DAT2 Communications
dat2comm@toad.net or dat2comm@juno.com
(410) 360-6894

5955. Re: Tennelec Memory Scan-MS 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob Starnes <rastarnesNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3777CC70.CF0B63E1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 114435

"Jim H. Pock" wrote:
>
> I just picked up a Tennelec Memory Scan-MS 2 scanner. It doesn't have a
> tuner or crystals. It looks to be at least 20 years old. Does anyone
> have any information on it, like how to tune it in.
>
> From what I see, it works in the Mhz 30 to 50 range.
>
> Let me know..
>
> Thanks;
> Jim P.

I seem to remember that these scanners were programed with a punch
card, sorry don't have any more info than that.

** Please remove "NOSPAM" from my address to reply.

5956. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Price <career1@home.com>
Message-ID: <3777CE31.E0816708@home.com>
Source record: 114436

I can't give you an A on spelling, but you are 100% right on the code.

73
Alan
W1HYV

"Michael W. McGinley" wrote:
>
> O For crying out loud !
>
> I'm sick and tired of this code Vs. Nocode debate/flamewar
> I fought and clawed to get my 5WPM and failed several times at the testing
> centers, But i never gve up and guess what... i finally got it and i am
> glad i did
>
> If you take the code requirment out of amatuer radio you take the challenge
> out of it and the pride that goes with the knowlage that you actually did it.
> and the ham bands will become nothing more than a expensive version of 11 meters
> and if that happend we all lose.
>
> Those of you that were in collage and were offered a membership in a house
> (Not sure how to spell it) got subjected to some initation rite...but
> after it was all over you had a certian kinship with the other members.
> the code is really no differnt... without it...where's the pride in
> acomplishing a diffult task (Not that it's that hard)
>
> But then again... who want's to work for somthing anymore ?
>
> If i can get the code you can too.
>
> it's a hassle but it's worth it
>
> Have a nice one all
>
> E-Mail Encouraged...Flames put out with HALON(tm)
>
> Mike - N0JVT
>
> --
>
> NOTE: The characters STOPSPAM have been added after the @ sign
> in the eMail to prevent spam eMails. Please remove before sending
> your reply
>
> The Box said" Win95,Win98,NT 4.0 or better"

> So I Thrɐw it out and bought a Macintosh ! :-)

5957. Re: Discriminator Tap for Pro 43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: pro43@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990628155053.02428.00001689@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 114437

I used pin line sockets mounted in the side of my 43 for disc output. These are very small and pretty easy to melt into the side of the case. I have used other jacks also but the type I used isnt easy to find. I got a 1/8" mini phone jack from an old mini tape recorder. It fit stacked on top of the power input& charger jacks. I like the pin lines better though. Ira

5958. San Diego Sheriff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: michael.burke@sandiegoca.ncr.com
Message-ID: <3777d11e.1946959@nntp-server.sandiegoCA.NCR.com>
Source record: 114438

The SD Sheriff just went to 800MHZ trunked frequencies, andh I've not
been able to find them. Anyone know which ones?

Thanks,
--
San Marcos Pistolero
Linea de Fuego, USPSA/IPSC

5959. Testing, thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-28 00:00:00 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990628160951.10460.00006767@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 114439

http://cgi3.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=tramd300@aol.com

5960. Re: Scanner converters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: "My 2 sense ...." <me@LA>
Message-ID: <01bec1c2$d0197ca0$2c4801cc@default>
Source record: 114193

http://www.ramseyelectronics.com/cgi-bin/SoftCart.exe/scstore/Hframeset.htm?E+scstore

Ramsey Electronics has one in kit form or fully assembled.....

5961. Re: Looking for live incident websites...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul I Carden <p.carden2@gte.net>
Message-ID: <AoUd3.1515$WG.33690@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 114194

When I went to the site listed in your message I ended up at the RealPlayer Site and keep getting ads for a Travel Firm , even when I attempted to access the NYPD radio site ????

5962. Re: 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: hap@tscnet.com
Message-ID: <377815e9.77998565@localhost>
Source record: 114195

On Mon, 28 Jun 1999 17:32:53 -0400, "Carole & Herb" <mcvso@bright.net>
wrote:

>I went to the Uniden web site last night and looking for a shipdate on the 245 and
>found the smartscanner software download. Does this mean that the July ship dates that
>I have heard could be real?
>
Most of dealers think so...

.... Hap

5963. 900 Megahertz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: MacMac <sforrest@soltec.net>
Message-ID: <01bec1dd$847759a0$03d194ce@default>
Source record: 114196

Anybody know of a good , scanner that is 900Mhz capable. I'm knew so please excuse ignorance. If you know of one please feel free to email

Thankyou

5964. Re: Tennelec Memory Scan-MS 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: nr3nde@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990628221745.11038.00004666@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 114197

> just picked up a Tennelec Memory Scan-MS 2 scanner. It doesn't have a
>tuner or crystals. It looks to be at least 20 years old. Does anyone
>have any information on it, like how to tune it in.

It tunes low high and uhf to 512 mhz

dane

5965. Re: BC350a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990628230410.12477.00001512@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 114198

none

5966. WHAT did I hear????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: pville411@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990628232201.09538.00002806@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 114199

Hello. I was scanning around the 140-150 range about an hour ago when i stumbled on an interesting signal. I heard it on 145.090mhz, and it was a sort of flick going at exactly one second intervals. I was listening on a RS20-176A antenna mounted in my attic and a RSPro-90 handheld attached to it, so reception was fuzzy, but the flick was very pronounced. Also, there was some noise that sounded like it could be the faint remnants of some FSK or other data transmission--it happened at irregular intervals over the one second marks. I heard it starting at around 2200 ET (when i turned my scanner on) and it was practically gone one hour later. I live outside Philadelphia, Pa.
Do you know what this could have been? I've read about low-flying satellites making similar noise, but i'm not sure if this is on the right freq. However, i'd love to find out, so any knowledge is greatly appreciated. thanks,

matt

5967. Re: WHAT did I hear????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Josh Long <joshlong@rma.edu>
Message-ID: <HbXd3.166$go4.20155@news.cwix.com>
Source record: 114200

I'm in northern VA and I tuned into 145.090 Mhz and after listening for a few minutes heard a brief transmission that sounded like a data burst of some sort at 2332 and again at 2337 ET. I checked the 2 meter band allocation list and 145.090 MHz is allocated for "simplex and weak signals," so I kind of doubt that this was a satellite.

5968. Re: WHAT did I hear????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: dowden@clowder.com
Message-ID: <377944a4.76197427@news.netwiz.net>
Source record: 114201

On 29 Jun 1999 03:22:01 GMT, pville411@aol.com (Pville411) wrote:

>Hello. I was scanning around the 140-150 range about an hour ago when i
>stumbled on an interesting signal. I heard it on 145.090mhz, and it was a sort
>of flick going at exactly one second intervals. I was listening on a RS20-176A
>antenna mounted in my attic and a RSPro-90 handheld attached to it, so
>reception was fuzzy, but the flick was very pronounced. Also, there was some
>noise that sounded like it could be the faint remnants of some FSK or other
>data transmission--it happened at irregular intervals over the one second
>marks. I heard it starting at around 2200 ET (when i turned my scanner on) and
>it was practically gone one hour later. I live outside Philadelphia, Pa.
>Do you know what this could have been? I've read about low-flying satellites
>making similar noise, but i'm not sure if this is on the right freq. However,
>i'd love to find out, so any knowledge is greatly appreciated. thanks,
>
> matt

You're in the middle of the 2 meter ham band. Years ago hams used
drum fax machines that sound exactly like what you're describing.
That may have been it.

Or it could have been something else... ???

Tony

5969. Re: Radio items up for grabs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: gdfthr768@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629004159.28957.00005775@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 114202

>What else would you expect from an AOL user?

Well, I'm not sure how you mean this...
I just think the guy would have a better chance of getting his dollar for his goods if he sold in a room that matched his interest, that's all. :-)
Mike

5970. Re: WHAT did I hear????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <lcYd3.3414$U6.15009@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 114203

Pville411 wrote in message <19990628232201.09538.00002806@ng-fg1.aol.com>...
>reception was fuzzy, but the flick was very pronounced. Also, there was
some
>noise that sounded like it could be the faint remnants of some FSK or other

Sounds like APRS - Automated Position Reporting System. It's a ham radio operation that uses packet mode to give regular position reports that can be plotted on a map by anyone with the relevant APRS software.

73 de Dave, NF2G

5971. Re: Tennelec Memory Scan-MS 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990629005400.01126.00008497@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 114204

>Subject: Tennelec Memory Scan-MS 2

>I just picked up a Tennelec Memory Scan-MS 2 scanner. It doesn't have a
>tuner or crystals. It looks to be at least 20 years old. Does anyone
>have any information on it, like how to tune it in.

There should be programming buttons on the front.... they are programmed in
BINARY..... the first four digits select the
BAND..... I forget what they are now...
but as an example 0000 might be low band
0010 might be high band and 0100 might be UHF.... in all you need 16 digits to
program one frequency..... lotta work
by todays standards..... but when they first came out in 1975 or 1976 they were
neat!
Bought one new back then.... around $300
as I recall..... seems to me they were only 16 channels tho.....

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

5972. Re: My Idea of a Good Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <k9kz@indy.net>
Message-ID: <7l9s00$8do$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114205

Phil,
That is quite a compliment to the 895xlt. I was recently ready to fork over the money for one and then I started hearing rumors of a new model to replace the 895. Question: Do you ever miss the WFM on your 895? Also, do you find 20 search lockouts sufficient? After reading Rich's info I really got interested in the 895.

In reality, scanner enthusiasts have had a lot to be thankful lately I'd say. If they come out with a base model with the features of the new 245xlt I may grab one considering the good reputation of the 895xlt.
Bill K9KZ

> the 895 I currently use cost me $340 brand new, purchased one
> month after the radio hit store shelves. (I might add that I still consider
> it the best $340 I ever spent, even though the same radio costs $200 or less
> today)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

5973. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: LAJerry@excite.com
Message-ID: <3777ab1a.917049@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114206

On Mon, 28 Jun 1999 11:22:15 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
wrote:

>Believe it or not, it used to be a lot worse! Sad.
>
Yes, I remeber those days and that is one of the reasons I did not
continue to subscribe to this group. It is better now than it was not
so long ago.

If it's any consolation, I used to subscribe to some religious
newsgroups and things were no better there. Perhaps people did not use
foul language, but the same arrogance and snottiness which may be seen
here at times can be seen in those groups also.

5974. BUZZARD PEAK 145.460 MHz UPDATE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: PDWK66A@prodigy.com
Message-ID: <7l9ngl$23ga$1@newssvr01-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 114207

RE: BUZZARD PEAK 145.460 MHz - UPDATE

I received two informative responses to my post about the BUZZARD PEAK
repeater:

#1

The W6FXN repeater was taken down just before Richard LaBelle became
a silent key.
Neither K6PYP or KC6OKA are coordinated to the frequency pair by TASMA.
The earthquake net is now found on the Flint Peak repeater on
147.705 (-) MHz.
73's
Karl N6BVU
Editor, Repeater Location Guide

#2

From: K6PYP
Subject: Re: BUZZARD PEAK 145.460 MHz
Sent On: 06/26 08:11 PM ET

The W6FXN repeater was turned over to us .. and we moved it to the VA in
West
LA. then TASMA never answered our request to change of everything??/
We are now in the Hollywood hills and will be a back up system for the VA
in
Emerg times.... out pl is 131.6 and when Mexico is not jamming us you can
get
in.

Thanks Scott K6PYP

****

So, I guess those responses answered my question!

73 de JOHN, KC6NWJ

pdwk66@prodigy.com

kc6nwj@juno.com

5975. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Liquorice <newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <nyyfbegfarkhfqrzbapbhx.fe2m671.pminews@localhost>
Source record: 114208
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 28 Jun 1999 18:13:34 -0400, JERRY-SKYWARN #65-05 wrote:

>> Digits do not make a secure link.
>
> Well what about the digits on your hand? Aare they secure?

<Needless quoting of entire article trimmed>

No they are not, most people of the world understand hand/finger gestures
though the interpretation can vary wildly for the same gesture.

I also don't think they would survive a close encounter with an axe...

--
Cheers newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk
Dave. Remove "spam" for valid email.

5976. Re: SCANNER Capability: How do you test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990629035243.05699.00002860@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 114209

>How do you know if your scanner is doing all it should be able to??
>

By doing just what you did, compare it to another radio (assuming you
don't have test bench equipment, of course).
Radio performance does degrade over the years. I didn't realize how badly
my 9000XLT was performing until I hooked a new Pro-2045 to the same antenna and
scanned some of the same frequencies. The RS2045 heard a ton of stuff the
9000XLT was missing. The 9000XLT is now at Uniden for servicing.
I also had a 3000XLT that I thought was doing okay, but after I replaced
it with a new Sony SC1 I realized that the 3000XLT was missing a lot. That
radio, too, has been returned to Uniden for service by its new owner.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

5977. Re: Digital Voice Fallacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <37788F90.91E0A93B@netxn.com>
Source record: 114210

Sluggo ... while I agree with most of what you said, cops do need a way to have secure communications. I was a news photographer years ago and went with the local police and sheriff's dept on several different raids and guess what I saw at some of these homes ??? Yes, it was a scanner, just what wasn't needed or wanted. Thankfully both departments at the time had special low power surveilance frequencies. Now they both use encryption on special things like that ...

I (and most scanner hobbiests, I think) don't really care is a department goes digital, just as long as we can listen to them. There is no need to go digital and encrypted all of the time. It is legal to listen to an un-encrypted digital system. We just need digital caplable scanners made, and I suspect that we will have them somewhere down the road.

You are right, most cops don't know if they are on UHF or VHF or 800 or Trunked, analog or digital and don't care. The cops I know just want a radio that works when they need it.

Just my 2cents ...

Mike

Sluggo wrote:
>
> I sadly hope that you don't REALLY believe that cops want digital radios so
> that the public doesn't get wind of "all their screwups and illegal
> activities." That seems to imply that ALL the other cops on the frequency
> must be covering up the BS from the few who might be screwups. I'm used to
> that kind of stereotyping, but I still hate hearing it. I'm really
> beginning to understand what a member of a minority group must sometimes
> feel, because as soon as my uniform goes on I become one: laughed at,
> scorned, called names, stared at, assaulted, blamed for problems, etc. And
> I'm nothing more than an average guy trying his hardest to be fair, make a
> living for my family, and come home at the end of my shift!
> Ok, I'm off my soapbox now. First of all, 95% of cops I know (and work
> with, if you haven't figured it out yet) don't even have a clue whether
> they're on VHF, UHF, 800, trunked, analog, digital or whatever. But what
> many of us do know is that some of our radios SUCK. Many systems are
> outdated, have poor and spotty coverage, and are sometimes subject to going
> down at very bad times. Preventive Maintenance? What's that??? That would
> cost money, we'll just fix the system when it breaks, who cares whether the
> lives of the cops, citizens, whoever, is at risk. I'm not saying that a
> digital system will alleviate all those problems, and I could really care
> less if Joe Scanner is listening to us going to a call. But I do think about
> it regularly. Sometimes I hope the bad guys have one and are gone before we

> get there. Other times there's that typical paranoid cop voice in my head
> saying "What if they have a scanner AND they're still here waiting to blow
> me away so that they can finish and make off with their (fill in the
> blank)?" Very, very rare a bad guy has a scanner, but you come across them
> just enough to make you really think about what you said on the radio as you
> are en route to a call.

Big sniparoo ....

5978. Re: MVT7100 discriminator output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jonathan Clough <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <$GhEkBAyFJe3Ewi2@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 114211

In article <7kjm1m$s80$1@news.maltanet.net>, Mario Cordina
<Mario_Cordina@hotmail.com> writes

>Does anyone knows how to get a discriminator output from the yupiteru
>MVT-7100??

You can take the discriminator from Pin 9 of the TA31136 IC.
Cheers,
JC
http://www.javiation.co.uk

5979. Re: Articles about public safety communications in major cities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Nick Fury <NFury@shield.net.org.com>
Message-ID: <7la6i8rva1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 114212

David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu> wrote in message
news:7l9fda$9vh$1@autumn.news.rcn.net...

SNIPPED

> (By the way,
> I have already seen the excellent article from CityPaper about
> Philadelphia's proposed digital trunked system.)

First strike against you, that "excellent article" was heavily
biased and contained a series of factual errors being perpetuated
by the anti Motorola crowd (ie. scanner enthusiasts who will be
locked out of monitoring ASTRO digital systems).

For instance the article ERRONEOUSLY pointed the finger at Motorola
for the Kansas City fiasco. The truth of the matter (had the so called
"journalist" bothered to check his facts), was the system in place at
KC was a GE/Ericsson EDACS system. Motorola was NOT awarded the bid at
all (their price came in way above what the city could afford)

However, therein was the problem, the city had 'champagne taste, with
a beer budget'. The outside consultant (Sachs/Freeman Associates) was
accused of writing 'faulty' specifications. The city decided to ignore
their advice, the advice of Motorola, the advice of GE/Ericsson, and
insisted on a certain configuration.....this configuration had very
poor signal strength and the coverage contours did not afford the city
the "expected" coverage parameters....they were warned in other words,
that what they wanted wouldn't work ! But yet, they chose to go ahead
and implement the Ericsson system.

Do you want detailed parameters ? the original specs called for a 33db
signal loss to account for foilage, buildings, hills, etc...this would
equate to a 95% coverage area. Instead KC opted to reduce the margins
to 21.5 db loss factor, causing nothing but horrendous coverage issues.

There were MORE factual errors in that article, but I won't bother
going into them, suffice it to say the author appears to have NOT
done his homework. "Excellent article" my ass ! (more like a "lets
spread lies so maybe we can convince people I know my shit and all
digital systems suck" propaganda piece)

5980. Re: Articles about public safety communications in major cities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Turner <John_Turner@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <37788B83.EE64094E@earthlink.com>
Source record: 114213

David Schoenberger wrote:
>
> I am beginning work on a project comparing the communications systems of
> major East Coast cities. I am trying to determine how spending and
> technology affect the efficiency of communications systems. The plan is to
> visit approximately a dozen cities from Boston to Miami, and analyze the
> police and fire radio systems in each. Hopefully, I will be able to tour
> communications centers, ride along with police, and interview some of the
> radio technicians, all in an effort to see how well the public is being
> served by the radio systems in place.
>
> I will complete this project in the summer of 2000. Currently, I am doing
> research (choosing the cities, finding information about communications
> systems, etc.). I am in search of articles on the Internet pertaining to
> public safety radio systems. These could include articles about funding and
> technology. Anything related to the radio systems would help. (By the way,
> I have already seen the excellent article from CityPaper about
> Philadelphia's proposed digital trunked system.)
>
> I'm excited about this project both for its relation to the scanning hobby,
> and its uniqueness. I also believe the information I obtain could be useful
> to public safety planners and officials. I would greatly appreciate any
> assistance. Thank you.
>
> David Schoenberger
> dtscho@wm.edu

San Diego may be outside of the area of your project, but here it is!
--

800 MHz Transition Team

Exciting changes lie ahead for the San Diego Sheriffï¿½s Department.
Along with many other city and county agencies, the Sheriffï¿½s
Department will at last be able to communicate throughout the entire
region using a new Motorola 800 MHz radio system.

The project, called the Regional Communications System (RCS),
includes the City of Carlsbad, many of the fire protection districts,
and numerous city agencies. What is most exciting about the RCS is the
ability for field units to be able to talk to each other,
regardless of where they are in the county.

http://www.co.san-diego.ca.us/cnty/cntydepts/safety/sheriff/patrol/800mhz/800mhz_1.html

Executive Summary

The RCS Project involves the construction of a regional public safety/public service wireless radio network that will serve as the primary wireless voice and data communication link for over 80 local, county, and state governmental agencies throughout the 4,500 square miles of the County of San Diego.

A total of 43 separate radio microwave repeater sites using over 153 frequency pairs will be included throughout the County to achieve the required radio coverage.

The project will also replace the Sheriffï¿½s Department primary and backup communications dispatch centers with a new building (primary center only), furnishing, computer software, hardware and ancillary equipment.

Project costs of $83 million will fund the following components: radio backbone/microwave, County public safety user equipment, County local government user equipment, Sheriffï¿½s communications center facility, equipment, computer-aided dispatch system upgrade, backup communications center facility upgrade and equipment, a mobile data system and an automatic vehicle location system.

The voice and mobile radio system should be ready for beneficial use by January 1998. Communications Dispatch Center should be ready for occupancy in January 1998. All components of the project are expected to be complete by October 1998. Over 7,000 users are projected to be on the system by the end of 1998.

The RCS will support growth to over 12,000 users, the maximum over the 15 to 20 year system lifespan.

http://www.co.san-diego.ca.us/rcs/

http://www.co.san-diego.ca.us/rcs/overview/index.html

5981. Re: WHAT did I hear????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: pville411@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629072734.16738.00006150@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 114214

Thank you all for your prompt responses. I appreciate your help.
Cheers
matt

5982. Re: Articles about public safety communications in major cities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bbowers@townsqr.com
Message-ID: <7lab4k$m1a$0@207.18.224.96>
Source record: 114215

On Mon, 28 Jun 1999 23:42:19 -0400, "David Schoenberger"
<dtscho@mail.wm.edu> wrote:

>technology. Anything related to the radio systems would help. (By the way,
>I have already seen the excellent article from CityPaper about
>Philadelphia's proposed digital trunked system.)
>
>I'm excited about this project both for its relation to the scanning hobby,
>and its uniqueness. I also believe the information I obtain could be useful
>to public safety planners and officials. I would greatly appreciate any
>assistance. Thank you.
>

Can I ask what your qualifications are for such a project? (Not
saying you need qualifications, but if you are going to present it
in the manner that you state, it would be nice to have some kind
of technical/engineering training to back up your paper)

I wonder about this, as it already is apparent that at least your
objectivity is in question. The supposed "excellent" CityPaper
article was a onesided, misinformed, out and out incorrect
presentation of innuendo and lies.

You might want to start out your research by checking out those
so-called "facts" brought out in that article.

5983. Re: WHAT did I hear????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: alf sauve <alf.sauve@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7lab7b$9mm$1@nntp4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 114216

The lower half of 145MHz is used, in a lot of the US, for amateur radio FM repeater outputs. The stations are typically centered every 10KHz on the odd number. (e.g., 145.07, 145.09, 145.11, 145.15, etc) While there no mandate, typically the lower 5 (145.01, .03, .05, .07, .09) are used for amateur radio packet radio.
Packet is a wireless, ham radio version of the Internet. The flick you heard were probably bursts of digital transmissions. Do a search on "ham radio" and "packet" to find more information about the mode. In order to decode these, you can buy a TNC (terminal node controller) from a company like MFJ and hook it between your radio and your computer. Then you could monitor the data/messages being sent. APRS (Automatic Positioning Radio Service) use packet radio and GPS periodically transmit a stations position. This allows hams to track the position of their mobile friends and has been used in public service events to track important vehicles such as the ambulance or the event coordinator. Of course in the commercial sector the ability to track fleet vehcile or have planes/boats automatically report their position has tremendous applications.

Alf

Pville411 wrote in message <19990628232201.09538.00002806@ng-fg1.aol.com>...
>Hello. I was scanning around the 140-150 range about an hour ago when i
>stumbled on an interesting signal. I heard it on 145.090mhz, and it was a
sort
>of flick going at exactly one second intervals. I was listening on a
RS20-176A
>antenna mounted in my attic and a RSPro-90 handheld attached to it, so
>reception was fuzzy, but the flick was very pronounced. Also, there was
some
>noise that sounded like it could be the faint remnants of some FSK or other
>data transmission--it happened at irregular intervals over the one second
>marks. I heard it starting at around 2200 ET (when i turned my scanner on)
and
>it was practically gone one hour later. I live outside Philadelphia, Pa.
>Do you know what this could have been? I've read about low-flying
satellites
>making similar noise, but i'm not sure if this is on the right freq.
However,
>i'd love to find out, so any knowledge is greatly appreciated. thanks,
>
> matt

5984. Low band skip 6/26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: DA <mapman@nh.ultranet.com>
Message-ID: <3778B52B.F41D7884@nh.ultranet.com>
Source record: 114217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Skip was going all day here in New Hampshire. Some stations were walked
on by others.

Here's what I monitored:
freq EST note
42.62 0953 pd checks; 'Batavia' heard
42.26 1013 various comms
42.84 1024 various
42.1 1128 NY lic. check
42.1 1239 '22-Marksburg' woman dispatcher pd; '723' did not
catch 1st num., 10-4; '16-d' did num. begin with Fr...?
42.62 1243 various comms
42.26 1245 various

DA
Newmarket, NH

5985. Re: WHAT did I hear????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7lacns$6hm$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114218

Packet Radio..

It's in a number of areas, and I'm not really a packet person, but if I
remember this right, on 20Kz spacing, there are packet 'channels'
at 145.010, 030, 050, 070 , and 090. There also was (still is?)
TCP/IP packet on 144.910, 930, 950 970, and 990.

This is not the ONLY area to find packet, but the biggest concentration
of it is here.

There is also APRS packet, I believe 144.590???

All these sound like quick modem burst.

Mike
N1DVJ

Pville411 wrote in message
<19990628232201.09538.00002806@ng-fg1.aol.com>...
>Hello. I was scanning around the 140-150 range about an hour ago when
i
>stumbled on an interesting signal. I heard it on 145.090mhz, and it
was a sort
>of flick going at exactly one second intervals. I was listening on a
RS20-176A
>antenna mounted in my attic and a RSPro-90 handheld attached to it, so
>reception was fuzzy, but the flick was very pronounced. Also, there
was some
>noise that sounded like it could be the faint remnants of some FSK or
other
>data transmission--it happened at irregular intervals over the one
second
>marks. I heard it starting at around 2200 ET (when i turned my scanner
on) and
>it was practically gone one hour later. I live outside Philadelphia,
Pa.
>Do you know what this could have been? I've read about low-flying
satellites
>making similar noise, but i'm not sure if this is on the right freq.
However,
>i'd love to find out, so any knowledge is greatly appreciated. thanks,
>
> matt

5986. Re: Philadelphia Protester's Page (this one's long...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <7lacao$66k$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114219

You've missed a very basic concept. Philladelphia. The city that makes it's own laws.

I don't want to blast any individual officers from Phily, as I've know a few,
but with ANY big city the bad sometimes get overlooked, and promoted.

Philly could not stand the light of day!

Just one example. Philly tried to illegally overrule state law with regards
to firearms. In the past, sheriff issued licenses to carry were by law valid
everywhere in the state. But the local sheriff office where I lived at the
time posted a BIG warning not to carry in Philly unless you went to the
Philly police and got one from them as well. In DIRECT VIOLATION of
state law at the time.

And if you think they were Gestapo with regards to firearms, you should
hear what they did to scanner people!

Mike

5987. Re: Yellowstone National Park Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: rhavedx@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629083925.12231.00001597@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 114220

The July issue of Monitoring Times magazine has an article on scanning Yellowstone as well as a pretty good list of frequencies. Pick up a copy and check it out, I think it will help.
Ron

5988. Re: Question About LE Mobile Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul
Message-ID: <3778bfd1.65337077@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 114221
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thank you Harry,

Informative! Now when the officers talk through the extender, his signal goes from VHF high band, to what, the repater input freq.? Of the two VHF low bands you mentioned, one was the input frequency, right?

Paul

5989. Re: Question About LE Mobile Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul
Message-ID: <3778c47c.66532169@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 114222
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote:

>Ok, here's my possibly-faulty understanding of how it works:
>
>In California, the CHP uses these "mobile extenders" statewide. The car
>"dispatch" radios are 2-frequency simplex in the 42MHz and 39 MHz band. The
>portable radios use 154.905 simplex, which are received by the VHF High-band
>radio in the car. So, yes, it's a third frequency, in that sense.

Oh man I just realized that you said SIMPLEX, meaning no repeaters
(outside of the mobile relay aforementioned).

Soooo, how the mobile relays would work in a repeater system? The
HT's would have to have a freq. of their own, to activate the mobile
extender, which would then simultaneously transmit on the repeater
input freq., correct? Chances are the HT's would transmit in a
different band, allowing for crossband transmissions so that the
patrol car could use a single combined antenna to both TX and RX at
the same time. Is this close? Please tell me if I'm close or way off
somewhere.

Thanks,
Paul

5990. Re: Digital Voice Fallacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3780c501.3129825@news.erols.com>
Source record: 114223

On Tue, 29 Jun 1999 02:19:12 -0700, Mike Derryberry
<mderryberry@netxn.com> wrote:

>Sluggo ... while I agree with most of what you said, cops do need a way
>to have secure communications. I was a news photographer years ago and
>went with the local police and sheriff's dept on several different raids
>and guess what I saw at some of these homes ??? Yes, it was a scanner,
>just what wasn't needed or wanted. Thankfully both departments at the
>time had special low power surveilance frequencies. Now they both use
>encryption on special things like that ...

While I agree with you on this, secure communications in a public
service is still dangerous. I think there ought to be regulations
that if such systems are used, that all secure communications be
recorded and kept on file for at least a year. These recordings
should be made available to both prosecution and defense when trial
comes up.

The bottom line: If and when encryption is used by police, it had
better be for tactical purposes only. The public interest will not be
served unless the contents of those communications are revealed.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

5991. Re: Philadelphia Protester's Page (this one's long...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3781c948.4225630@news.erols.com>
Source record: 114224

The problem is the City of Philadelphia. Where else can you find cops who will fire-bomb a whole city block just to get at one house of whackos?

I'd say there's a much bigger problem in the city than just monitoring by scanner. I've also heard rumors (which I can't confirm) that radio antenna space on towers is practically organized crime. Any attempt at dealing down from the asking price is almost a guarantee that someone will damage your feedline, antenna, or radio gear on a regular basis.

Hey, they didn't make up the phrase "Keystone Cops" in a vacuum...

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

5992. Re: Digital Voice Fallacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: amckie@ottawa.com
Message-ID: <amckie-ya02408000R2906991007370001@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 114225

In article <37788F90.91E0A93B@netxn.com>, Mike Derryberry
<mderryberry@netxn.com> wrote:

> no need to go digital and encrypted all of the time. It is legal to
> listen to an un-encrypted digital system. We just need digital caplable
> scanners made, and I suspect that we will have them somewhere down the
> road.

Not sure about this, but isn't digital transmission by definition
"encrypted?" I suppose you could say that a digital receiver would no more
decrypt the transmission than an analogue one does a regular transmission,
but if the feds are cracking down on MDT receivers, then they must consider
digital to be, for legal purposes, encrypted, right?

What does everyone else think?

-A

5993. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: budgie <budgie@NOWHERE.cantech.net.au>
Message-ID: <3778d32e.5906964@news.cantech.net.au>
Source record: 114226
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 27 Jun 1999 21:46:39 +0100 (BST), "Dave Liquorice"
<newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk> wrote:

>On Sun, 27 Jun 1999 12:29:27 GMT, budgie wrote:
>
>>> Why would they bother to do so when they can tap the landline side of any
>>> conversation at will? Much easier than messing around with radios and
>>> crypto.
>>
>> maybe because a lot of what they are after is mobile to mobile?
>
>What has that got to do with it? The call will still go through a switch
>(exchange) somewhere and that is where any official taps are applied anyway.

When you consider that trunk circuits between cellular base stations
are demand assigned, you will realise the folly in what you just said,
and the futilty of attempting to tap Fat Freddy's mobile call to Nasty
Neville's mobile at any point other than off-air.

That's WHY Fat Freddy calls Nasty Nev mobile to mobile, to make it
HARD for the good guys.

As for official taps versus the other ones, that is defiinitely a
story with two sides.

>You don't think that a mobile to mobile call is totally RF from one handset
>to the other when they can be 100's of miles apart do you?

Do I sound quite that stupid? Read the above before jumping in too
quickly now.

BTW, the gear's out there to do it.
Peter
PERTH - WESTERN AUSTRALIA
Remove the NOWHERE from reply address

5994. Re: Trunk Tracking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ricky <billc@whitehouse.gov>
Message-ID: <3778b43b.0@newsman.viper.net>
Source record: 114227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks to all for the replies...think that I've got it now!

Ricky

Henry Everson <HEverson@Hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:37747FDD.9E1A6E15@Hotmail.com...
| Hew Packard wrote:
| >
| > Though no expert
| > i beleive it is the ability of a scanner or other radio to followw a
| > message that uses a system of changing frequencies to avoid a
| > conversation being followed by people like well like other people
| > certainly not me or you
| > regards bc
| >
| > On Sat, 26 Jun 1999 00:18:40 -0500, "Ricky" <billc@whitehouse.gov>
| > wrote:
| >
| > >Sorry to be so ignorant...but what exactly is "trunk tracking"?? The
ability
| > >of a scanner to become mobile? As in mount it in a car or
something?....
| > >
| > >Thanks.
| > >
| > >Ricky.
|
| I went to http://www.askjeeves.com and I asked
| "what is a Trunked Radio System?" and found this:
|
| Bote's Answers to Frequently Asked Questions
| About Motorola Trunked Systems
|
| http://pages.prodigy.net/bote/trunkfaq.html

5995. Re: FS-Realistic Pro-2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: sww <swwesq@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3778D6FB.58411F93@usa.net>
Source record: 114228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,dfw.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Isn't that price a little high? I bought a 2006 with computer control and
software for the same.

Cliff-remove X to reply wrote:

> This unit is in pristine condition. I am the original owner. It has
> the cell mod and the speed mod. It has seen very little use (maybe 10
> hours) since I bought it new. It has been in it's original box and
> put on the top shelf of my closet for the past 4 years. I did not use
> it much because I also obtained a Pro43 around the same time. I have
> the antenna and manual also. I am asking $400.00, OBO. This includes
> delivery to anywhere in the contiguous United States.
>
> I require payment in advance in the form of a U.S. Postal Service
> money Order. Will ship immediately upon receipt.
>
> ***remove X to reply***

5996. Re: 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: chainsaw@softhome.net
Message-ID: <3778d83c.3185339@news.istar.ca>
Source record: 114229

Has/will the audio been improved on the 245XLT ?
As in louder ??

Thanks

On Tue, 29 Jun 1999 00:40:30 GMT, hap@tscnet.com (Hap) wrote:

>On Mon, 28 Jun 1999 17:32:53 -0400, "Carole & Herb" <mcvso@bright.net>
>wrote:
>
>>I went to the Uniden web site last night and looking for a shipdate on the 245 and
>>found the smartscanner software download. Does this mean that the July ship dates that
>>I have heard could be real?
>>
>Most of dealers think so...
>
>.... Hap

5997. Need info for Yupiteru MVT 7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: rollers@interlog.com
Message-ID: <rollers-2906991028160001@209-20-7-228.dialin.interlog.com>
Source record: 114230

Hello all,

I wonder if someone knows if it is possible to modify the MVT 7100 so the delay function while in scan or search modes are disabled? The 7100 has a permanent delay setting of 2 seconds with the delay function OFF, and a delay time of 4 seconds with the delay option ON. I believe this 2 second delay was incorporated because of a Uniden patent on delay functions?? Is it possible to modify the 7100 so there is no delay time at all?

Thanks in advance

Pete.

5998. Re: Digital Voice Fallacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <378ed930.8298557@news.erols.com>
Source record: 114231

On Tue, 29 Jun 1999 14:06:03 GMT, amckie@ottawa.com (Alasdair M. McKie) wrote:

>In article <37788F90.91E0A93B@netxn.com>, Mike Derryberry ><mderryberry@netxn.com> wrote:
>
>> no need to go digital and encrypted all of the time. It is legal to >> listen to an un-encrypted digital system. We just need digital caplable >> scanners made, and I suspect that we will have them somewhere down the >> road.
>
>Not sure about this, but isn't digital transmission by definition >"encrypted?" I suppose you could say that a digital receiver would no more >decrypt the transmission than an analogue one does a regular transmission, >but if the feds are cracking down on MDT receivers, then they must consider >digital to be, for legal purposes, encrypted, right?

Depends on whether you're talking to a lawyer or an engineer. In the engineering world, the term "encrypted" means that you're using a mathematical algorithm with the purpose of making the message nearly impossible to decrypt without a key. PGP is an encryption system.

Encoding is where you use a one for one substitution of symbols. ASCII is a code. BAUDOT is a code. MDT is coded too. One form of coding is Modulation. Four state FSK (as used in MDT) is a code.

To an engineer, MDT is a coded system --not encrypted.

Now, if you're a lawyer, you read the definition in several dictionaries and find the one which makes your case. You twist it all over the place in favor of your client, and then you make policy and judgement all over it.

Frankly, intercepting signals is a challenge I can't ignore. I'll try it just because it's a challenge. Of course if you ask me what I'm doing I'll tell you it doesn't work. I like to keep folks guessing.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

5999. Re: Question About LE Mobile Repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3790e066.10144415@news.erols.com>
Source record: 114232
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 29 Jun 1999 13:12:05 GMT, Paul wrote:

>"Harry Marnell" <marnells@snowcrest.net> wrote:
>
>>Ok, here's my possibly-faulty understanding of how it works:
>>
>>In California, the CHP uses these "mobile extenders" statewide. The car
>>"dispatch" radios are 2-frequency simplex in the 42MHz and 39 MHz band. The
>>portable radios use 154.905 simplex, which are received by the VHF High-band
>>radio in the car. So, yes, it's a third frequency, in that sense.
>
>Oh man I just realized that you said SIMPLEX, meaning no repeaters
>(outside of the mobile relay aforementioned).
>
>Soooo, how the mobile relays would work in a repeater system? The
>HT's would have to have a freq. of their own, to activate the mobile
>extender, which would then simultaneously transmit on the repeater
>input freq., correct? Chances are the HT's would transmit in a
>different band, allowing for crossband transmissions so that the
>patrol car could use a single combined antenna to both TX and RX at
>the same time. Is this close? Please tell me if I'm close or way off
>somewhere.

Close enough. I use them at work. My employer is a water and sewer utility. Often, state police and utilities need a system which is robust enough to survive a major, area-wide disaster. The nice thing about simplex low band VHF is that it doesn't depend on anything to communicate from A to B. Even as robust as Cellular Telephone or trunking networks can be, there's nothing like a stone simple direct simplex radio.

The handheld radio is also useful where a mobile unit is close to a dead area, such as a manhole. A worker who is by himself can take a radio with him in to the manhole and his vehicle can relay a call for help if he gets in trouble.

Our cars and trucks are equipped with 100 watt transmitters. This system works fairly well. However, sometimes we need more range. In cases like that, our dispatch center has a talk around channel pair which just "happens" to have an audio leak from receiver network to the transmitters. All repeated transmissions are monitored and controlled by the dispatch center. Their audio overrides the mobile units. It's sort of like a "third-party" relay system --not a repeater. We get away with this because our dispatchers are there

24x7x365.

Those are the "repeaters" you hear. It's all legal. It's merely
obscure because the FCC doesn't advertise the regulations.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

6000. Re: Wintone PRO Beta 2 Now available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk>
Message-ID: <eA5e3.4379$BS6.3626@wards>
Source record: 114233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

> > does it crash/freeze up as bad as wintone 2 does ?
> >
> > eavsdropper
>
> Funny it's never crashed or froze on me in the last year.
>
> Todd
>

Wintone did nothing but that on a pentium 133 with 50mb ram...in the bin it went !

to greedy on resources for what it does

eavsdropper
>
> >
> >
>
>

6001. Re: Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: lvalley@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629120105.07946.00002852@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 114234

internet searches will gerneally result in good sources as well, I have been able to find state and local frequencies for my area with no problem

6002. listening to aircraft in europe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Keith Scheuring <Keith.Scheuring@AlliedSignal.com>
Message-ID: <3778E7FE.72FF6E4F@AlliedSignal.com>
Source record: 114235

Someone I work with will be in the Czech Republic on business and was wondering how he could listen to aircraft there and elsewhere in europe. Will any scanner that can get the aircraft band work or is a special scanner needed...

Thanks in advance,

6003. 900mhz Cordless Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: js <ajs@interaccess.com>
Message-ID: <930674647.314.91@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 114236

Can anyone provide me with a list of 900mhz cordless phone freq's?

I assume, like the older 43-50mhz models, the analog 900mhz models can be monitored--correct??

6004. Portland, OR frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdf@teleport.com
Message-ID: <3778f9ed.72907548@news.teleport.com>
Source record: 114237

I'm in the Portland, OR area and am interested in what
frequencies are used by the various services around
here (emergency, the Hillsboro airport, PDX, etc.).
Are any of them trunked or is a conventional scanner
sufficient to get them? Does the Washington County
sheriff's department use similar radio setups (conventional
vs trunked) as the Portland and Tigard police departments?

Thanks.

6005. Re: listening to aircraft in europe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: frussle@erols.com
Message-ID: <3795fb6f.17066057@news.erols.com>
Source record: 114238

On Tue, 29 Jun 1999 08:36:30 -0700, Keith Scheuring
<Keith.Scheuring@AlliedSignal.com> wrote:

>Someone I work with will be in the Czech Republic on business and was
>wondering how he could listen to aircraft there and elsewhere in europe.
>Will any scanner that can get the aircraft band work or is a special
>scanner needed...

Thanks to the nature of aircraft (they cross borders easily), if you
have an aircraft scanner, it will work the same pretty much no matter
where you go. Nearly all ICAO (International Civil Aviation
Organization) countries have reserved navigation and communications
frequencies between 108 and 137 MHz.

Have fun!

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

6006. Bill Cheek's 4-level FSK Decoder Plans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: cray2141@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7lb4d0$o7a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114239

Anybody out there ever make the 4 level decoder with Bill Cheek's plans using the two trimmer pots rather than the 4.7k resistors? What do the two trim pots do and when do you know when to adjust them?

Thanks in advance for the reply

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

6007. BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Herb Gragg <mcvscspamhater@bright.net>
Message-ID: <7lb07k$2eo$1@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 114240

I am new to scanning and have a question about the BC245. I see mention of third party software that can be used to control the scanner by computer. What would this software be and where would one find it?

6008. Re: Articles about public safety communications in major cities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7lb0pb$oe2$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114241

Nick Fury wrote in message <7la6i8rva1@news.monmouth.com>...

>There were MORE factual errors in that article, but I won't bother
>going into them, suffice it to say the author appears to have NOT
>done his homework. "Excellent article" my ass ! (more like a "lets
>spread lies so maybe we can convince people I know my shit and all
>digital systems suck" propaganda piece)

Perhaps. Perhaps not.

Digital Radio systems in general are a new and not so exact science. The question isn't the technical nature of these systems, although that is a concern, hopefully the system will be designed to allow an analog failsoft should the system go down for whatever reason.

People said the same things about trunking systems when they came out. Now we have scanners capable of following these systems. I'm sure a digital scanner is on the horizon as well.

The issue at hand, and the reason why this article is a good one, is the perception of the Police department that they have to have a $51 million encrypted digital radio system. A good friend of mine who is now retired from the two-way business after 30 years of success, summed it up like this:

"These new digital systems are ridiculous. If the police chief went to the city council and told them the police needed ferrari's and porsche's to do their jobs, they'd throw him out on his ass. But when the chief asks for one of these new whiz-bang mega-million dollar radio systems, the city council blindly whips out their checkbook and writes him a blank check for the whole thing, when the current radio system is already more than they'll ever need."

Amen. And who pays for these systems? You and me, everytime we pay sales tax for anything. These cities whine and cry to the federal government for money to hire new cops, but have no problem paying for their proverbial Ferrari of a radio system with their own taxpayer dollars.

Maybe the article wasn't technically accurate, but the overal gist of the article is right on. Personally, I have nothing against a well planned, digital radio system, but it needs to be open, in other words, not encrypted (except when encryption is necessary, like when conducting raids, etc.) And the whole attitude shown by the deputy commissioner towards his block watchers just sucks. Clue these guys in on this new system, provide them with radio equipment so they can continue to help their community, dammit! Is that so difficult a thing to do? After all THEY are the ones who are paying for this technological beast.... right?

I stand behind my original comments - the article was done quite well, despite the technical errors and omissions you mentioned. If Motorola wants to build public safety systems for use in this country then they'd better make it a point to make it work. Flawlessly.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

6009. Re: Portland, OR frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37791011.C3877EB9@usa.net>
Source record: 114242

Tigard is on the Washington County system, which is a different
system than the Portland/Mult Cnty system.

Send me a private e-mail & I'll pull some info together for you.

Mark Ferris wrote:

> I'm in the Portland, OR area and am interested in what
> frequencies are used by the various services around
> here (emergency, the Hillsboro airport, PDX, etc.).
> Are any of them trunked or is a conventional scanner
> sufficient to get them? Does the Washington County
> sheriff's department use similar radio setups (conventional
> vs trunked) as the Portland and Tigard police departments?\

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

6010. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37790EDD.EF5CAF71@usa.net>
Source record: 114243

Now, MALEXDER, IF you would STOP your "lying, impersonating,
carrying on personal vendettas, obssessing about others who are more
capable and knowledgeable than you about radios" then this NG
would truly be a better place.

MAlexder wrote:

> Terrific! I'm thrilled to know that you're finally going to stop posting

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

6011. Re: New Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629141605.11251.00006915@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 114244

no

6012. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <37791119.AD178B4@usa.net>
Source record: 114245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hey Macaroon, here is another example of ONE of my MANY on-topic posts
in this NG. But I imagine you'll whine again saying you need a deja link or
some
foolishness like that!

From: jmackey@usa.net
Organization: PARG Online services - User: John Mackey
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: What's on 29.620?
Date: Sat, 26 Jun 1999 19:00:39 GMT

You better check again. The 10 meter Amateur radio band in
the US goes from 28.000 MHz to 29.700 MHz.
Yes, I am also a licensed amateur radio operator (for 15 years).

harold swift wrote:
> People talking out of band
> KB3DCH
> H. Fuselier wrote:
> > I've been picking up international amateur radio conversations on
> > 29.620 and 29.660 MHZ. Are these through a repeater or satellite?
> > Thanks for the information.

Macaroon wrote:

> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
> >I have posted on-topic MANY times.
>
> *yawn*. You've never EVER been able to prove it. It's been years now.

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

6013. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <377911A3.5BF14304@usa.net>
Source record: 114246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have forwarded you SEVERAl of my on-topic posts but you still deny them.
You have proven yourself NOT to be trustworthy!

From: jmackey@usa.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: What's on 29.620?
Date: Sat, 26 Jun 1999 19:00:39 GMT

You better check again. The 10 meter Amateur radio band in
the US goes from 28.000 MHz to 29.700 MHz.
Yes, I am also a licensed amateur radio operator (for 15 years).

harold swift wrote:
> People talking out of band
> KB3DCH
> H. Fuselier wrote:
> > I've been picking up international amateur radio conversations on
> > 29.620 and 29.660 MHZ. Are these through a repeater or satellite?
> > Thanks for the information.

Macaroon wrote:

> Hey, loony, when you complete one of MY challenges to YOU, then you can
> question me.
>
> Until then, post on topic or stay out of this NG!!

----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

6014. Re: Legal advice from Bill Cheek (Thanks, Bill!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jmackey@usa.net
Message-ID: <377912FF.6404DEA@usa.net>
Source record: 114247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

This is really FUNNY!!!!! ESPECIALLY the part I
qouted below since now he is complaining about being
busted & not knowing the laws!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>"Well, Bubba, read my lips....the FCC has rules in their books that
>can hold YOU accountable if you tell someone it's legal to do
>something that isn't, or otherwise lead or guide or aid or abet
>someone else in breaking their rules. ,,,,,,,,,,,, Don't believe me?
>Go ask a lawyer, pal. I *dare* you."

williarsch@nandomail.com wrote:

> Bill Cheek said:
>
> "You see..................
>
> "Nothing happens. Nothing at all happens, sometimes for years.
> You get lulled into a false sense of security, like now, because
> nothing happens. And when some asshole like me pipes in to advocate
> a bit of caution, your pride is injured and so you run off at the
> mouth all the more.....leading others into thinking it's okay.
>
> "And then one day some poor sap gets caught, and not only does
> it rear into the public eye, but things go hawg wild when the idiot
> snivels and whines that "Rich Wells" and "Robert Blake"
> did it and said there was nothing wrong with it.
>
> "Well, Bubba, read my lips....the FCC has rules in their books that
> can hold YOU accountable if you tell someone it's legal to do
> something that isn't, or otherwise lead or guide or aid or abet
> someone else in breaking their rules.
>
> "I'm pretty sure there are LAWS on the books to that effect, as well,
> but I know for sure.....absolutely certain.....that the FCC has
> such rules and actively enforces them.
>
> "The brass tacks of it all is that you're fostering. promoting, and
> 'fessing up to blatantly illegal acts, and you could well find yourself
> in hot water for your very shaky, thinly veneered bravado.
>
> "You use criminal acts in your argument with me....which automatically
> puts you on the losing side. It only goes downhill from there.
>
> "Don't believe me? Go ask a lawyer, pal. I *dare* you."

> "Well, Bubba, read my lips....the FCC has rules in their books that
> can hold YOU accountable if you tell someone it's legal to do
> something that isn't, or otherwise lead or guide or aid or abet
> someone else in breaking their rules.

> "Don't believe me? Go ask a lawyer, pal. I *dare* you."

> +---+
> | Bill Cheek ~ COMMtronics Engineering ~ World Scanner Report |
> | PO Box 262478 ~ San Diego, CA 92196-2478 |
> | Busn-Voice: (619) 578-9247 (1:30pm-5:30pm, PDT) FAX: anytime |

http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

6015. Re: BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: lee585@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7lb85spqa1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114248

In article <7lb07k$2eo$1@cletus.bright.net>,
"Herb Gragg" <mcvscspamhater@bright.net> wrote:
> I am new to scanning and have a question about the BC245. I see
mention of
> third party software that can be used to control the scanner by
computer.
> What would this software be and where would one find it?
>
> The BC245XLT Freq. Transfer software is on Uniden.com now you can
download it and try it out...
www.uniden.com/docs/product/prdetail.cfm?product=BC245XLT#software
Also, scanner control software available from futurescanning.com don't
know if they have the 245XLT software yet but be sure they are working
on it... Hope these help and if you are looking for a 245XLT give us a
shout:
Lee Electronics
www.LeesElect.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

6016. Re: 900mhz Cordless Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: lee585@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7lb8kr$q0q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114249

In article <930674647.314.91@news.remarQ.com>,
"js" <ajs@interaccess.com> wrote:
> Can anyone provide me with a list of 900mhz cordless phone freq's?
>
> I assume, like the older 43-50mhz models, the analog 900mhz models
can be
> monitored--correct??
>
> Yep, go visit Dusty, he has them all!
www.webzone.net/dshuffy/wsindex2.htm

That's Dusty Wacky or Wacky Dusty, can't keep it straight...

Lee Electronics
www.LeesElect.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

6017. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ian Robert Walker <Ian@newbrain.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <MtXn+GA3NRe3EwnN@newbrain.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 114250
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3778d32e.5906964@news.cantech.net.au>, budgie <budgie@NOWHER
E.cantech.net.au> writes
>On Sun, 27 Jun 1999 21:46:39 +0100 (BST), "Dave Liquorice"
><newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk> wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 27 Jun 1999 12:29:27 GMT, budgie wrote:
>>
>>>> Why would they bother to do so when they can tap the landline side of any
>>>> conversation at will? Much easier than messing around with radios and
>>>> crypto.
>>>
>>> maybe because a lot of what they are after is mobile to mobile?
>>
>>What has that got to do with it? The call will still go through a switch
>>(exchange) somewhere and that is where any official taps are applied anyway.
>
>When you consider that trunk circuits between cellular base stations
>are demand assigned, you will realise the folly in what you just said,
>and the futilty of attempting to tap Fat Freddy's mobile call to Nasty
>Neville's mobile at any point other than off-air.

The call will go through a Mobile Switch, even if it then goes back to
the same Base Transmitting Site.

>That's WHY Fat Freddy calls Nasty Nev mobile to mobile, to make it
>HARD for the good guys.

Not very hard, if the good guys know the number of either of them then
they only need to have all calls to or from them copied to a monitoring
site.
--
Ian Walker G8ILZ on packet as G8ILZ

Pluralitas non est ponenda sine neccessitate

6018. Re: FS-Realistic Pro-2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew Thomas <andy@atsoftware.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7lb4fo$493$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 114251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,dfw.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

sww <swwesq@usa.net> wrote in message news:3778D6FB.58411F93@usa.net...
> Isn't that price a little high? I bought a 2006 with computer control and
> software for the same.

I am obvoiusly missing out here!

I have a pro-2006 but with NO mods to it - would any1 be so bold as to let
me know just what I could do with it (computer control sounds like fun).

cheers
Andy

6019. Re: 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: lee585@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7lb9ee$q9q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114252

In article <7l8pq8$496$1@cletus.bright.net>,
"Carole & Herb" <mcvso@bright.net> wrote:
> I went to the Uniden web site last night and looking for a shipdate
on the 245 and
> found the smartscanner software download. Does this mean that the
July ship dates that
> I have heard could be real?
>
> We were told last week that they are "on the way" to our distributor.
Whatever that means, no one is quite sure. The owner and buyer for the
distributor (largest in U.S.) was just in China or Japan visiting
Uniden. I guess you could say they have some inside info maybe??!!
There are a lot of rumors, we will post facts when we get them.
Lee Electronics
www.LeesElect.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

6020. Re: BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: lee585@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7lb9st$qg2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114253

In article <37783547.D9790724@sprynet.com>,
Michael <paracop@sprynet.com> wrote:
> Does anyone know af any software that I can use to hook up the 895XLT
to
> my computer and log events of control it from the PC? And what is the
> estimated cost of such an item?
>
Check out RadioMax from www.futurescanning.com This software controls
and also records audio-works with the 895XLT. They sell a cable for
the 895XLT. We are not affiliated with them.

Lee Electronics
www.LeesElect.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

6021. can anyone recommend a good repair shop in N.Y.C

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: GOODWRENCH#3 <MALIBU454@HOTMAIL.COM>
Message-ID: <7lb5jj$u4q$1@newssvr04-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 114254

hello, I have a realistic pro-35 portable.
It scans and picks up frequencies for about 10 minutes. then it
continues scanning, but it does not pick up any frequencies.

I have taken it to the local radio shack for repairs 2 times,
it functions properly for about 2 months, then it starts
with the same problem again. can anybody please recommend agood repair shop
in N.Y.C.

I live in the Bronx, any inf. or advice would be appreciated
I'm tired of getting ripped off by radio shack
--
JAY
thank you

--
JAY

6022. Re: Wintone PRO Beta 2 Now available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: skanman@cwix.com
Message-ID: <37791E62.E6BC8591@cwix.com>
Source record: 114255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Has anybody recived a response from this company? I have sent them an email
and havn't received the URL.

rsmerker@steaksandwich.com wrote:

> To all tone decoding enthusiasts!
>
> WintonePRO Beta 2 is now being made available to select testers!
> We are interested in folks who want to put the software through
> the wringer, and tell us what you think!
>
> WintonePRO features :
>
> * Software Decoding with your Sound Card, No other Hardware required
> * DTMF, CTCSS QuickCall I&II capable
> * User definable single and double tone sets - Define your own!
> * Record sound squelched Compressed Audio, in as little as 6MB/Hr
> * Suite of visual audio spectrum analyzing tools
> * Fully Windows 95,98,NT compatible
> * Plug-In Support for RedShift CE-232 Scanner Control Software
>
> Requirements :
>
> * You must have a Pentium or Faster CPU
> * Windows compatible sound card
> * HAM Radio, Scanner, or another audio input source
> * Usage experience with Wintone 2.0 Helpful, but not required
>
> If you are interested, please email us at wintonebeta@steaksandwich.com.
> You will recieve a confirmation email giving you a URL.
>
> Please note, that due to an unfortunate ISP incident, our previous
> tester database was deleted. If you had contacted us before, please
> email us again, so we can get you put back in the list!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

6023. Re: BC 235 update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rick Colombo <colombo@fnal.gov>
Message-ID: <37791D4F.E3549105@fnal.gov>
Source record: 114256

> >
> >I own one of the first 235's and am not happy with the receiver. I am
> >right in middle of the 800mhz system here and reception is still scratchy,
> >and breaks up quite a bit.

I've noticed this too, sometimes it's so hard to hear that I put the radio up to my ear only to be blasted by the dispatcher saying "10-9?". (It's not just the radio.)

--

Rick Colombo@fnal.gov
BC100, BC235, Pro90, Pro2038

6024. GRE Scanner Web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: oldgear@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629154920.12481.00001710@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 114257

Can anyone point me in the direction of the GRE Scanner web site or a site with all there available products.

Thanks

6025. Re: Digital Voice Fallacy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Derryberry <mderryberry@netxn.com>
Message-ID: <37792647.25F8872A@netxn.com>
Source record: 114258

Sad but true ... excellent answer ...

Mike

Jake Brodsky wrote:
>
> On Tue, 29 Jun 1999 14:06:03 GMT, amckie@ottawa.com (Alasdair M.
> McKie) wrote:
>
...snip...
> >
> >Not sure about this, but isn't digital transmission by definition
> >"encrypted?" I suppose you could say that a digital receiver would no more
> >decrypt the transmission than an analogue one does a regular transmission,
> >but if the feds are cracking down on MDT receivers, then they must consider
> >digital to be, for legal purposes, encrypted, right?
>
> Depends on whether you're talking to a lawyer or an engineer. In the
> engineering world, the term "encrypted" means that you're using a
> mathematical algorithm with the purpose of making the message nearly
> impossible to decrypt without a key. PGP is an encryption system.
>
> Encoding is where you use a one for one substitution of symbols.
> ASCII is a code. BAUDOT is a code. MDT is coded too. One form of
> coding is Modulation. Four state FSK (as used in MDT) is a code.
>
> To an engineer, MDT is a coded system --not encrypted.
>
> Now, if you're a lawyer, you read the definition in several
> dictionaries and find the one which makes your case. You twist it all
> over the place in favor of your client, and then you make policy and
> judgement all over it.
>
> Frankly, intercepting signals is a challenge I can't ignore. I'll try
> it just because it's a challenge. Of course if you ask me what I'm
> doing I'll tell you it doesn't work. I like to keep folks guessing.
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

6026. Re: 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <377925e5.87849846@news.uia.net>
Source record: 114259

I called National Electronics today and they san another couple weeks.

On Mon, 28 Jun 1999 17:32:53 -0400, "Carole & Herb" <mcvso@bright.net>
wrote:

>I went to the Uniden web site last night and looking for a shipdate on the 245 and
>found the smartscanner software download. Does this mean that the July ship dates that
>I have heard could be real?
>

6027. BC235 vs BC245

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: mdf@teleport.com
Message-ID: <377926c2.84386380@news.teleport.com>
Source record: 114260

I'm looking to pick up a new scanner and had pretty much
decided on the BC235xlt when I stumbled across the 245.
Looking at the best web prices I could find, there is only a
$30 difference between the two ($220 for the 245 vs $190 for the 235).
The only plus I can see for the 235 over the 245 is that it comes
standard with two battery packs vs only one for the 245.

Other than having to have one RIGHT NOW, is there any reason to buy
a 235 over a 245?

Thanks.

6028. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <Hjae3.720$cp6.24980@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 114261

What a scumbag you are Mackey-bowl. Not a single thing you said about Alexander is true yet he never spoke an untrue word about you. You are a jerk supreme John-Bowl. Give it a rest for a few months.

<jmackey@usa.net> wrote in message news:37790EDD.EF5CAF71@usa.net...
> Now, MALEXDER, IF you would STOP your "lying, impersonating,
> carrying on personal vendettas, obssessing about others who are more
> capable and knowledgeable than you about radios" then this NG
> would truly be a better place.
>
>
> MAlexder wrote:
>
> > Terrific! I'm thrilled to know that you're finally going to stop posting
>
>
> ----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
> http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>
>

6029. Re: FS-Realistic Pro-2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <3779511e@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 114262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,dfw.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>I am obvoiusly missing out here!
>
>I have a pro-2006 but with NO mods to it - would any1 be so bold as to let
>me know just what I could do with it (computer control sounds like fun).
>
>cheers
>Andy

Add an Opto-456 Lite board for the ulitmate in computer controlled
scanning. I recommed using Probe 5.0 as the software of choice with the Opto
board. Check out www.optoelectronics.com
It's once of the best combinations around. Also check out Steve Hancock's
site at:

http://home.ptd.net/~pro2006/index.html

has a lot of good info on the 2006, Probe, and other scanning software.

Dan

6030. Re: FS-Realistic Pro-2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <3779519c@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 114263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,dfw.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

sww wrote in message <3778D6FB.58411F93@usa.net>...
>Isn't that price a little high? I bought a 2006 with computer control and
>software for the same.
>
>
Not if someone is willing to fork out the cash. There *are* better deals,
but then again, I sold one a while back on Amazon's auction for $430.00.
Depends on who is in the market and how much they're willing to pay.

6031. FS: Video transmitter (2.4GHz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <37793FA2.6529337D@bv.net>
Source record: 114264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.video
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcguyver.vcf

I have a pair of WavecomJR 2.4GHz video transmiters with power supplies.

They work fine, I just have too many units. They have video & audio inputs, video/audio and RF video outputs. I will sell for $130 plus shipping...

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

6032. BEWARE Re: Wintone PRO Beta 2 Now available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: say07blue@aol.com
Message-ID: <37793fb3.309700@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 114265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

Please Remember the "Wintone Buyer Beware" messages that were posted about a year ago in these groups. This guy doesn't give you the serial number when you pay for the program. I paid about a year ago to have the program sent to me on disk and haven't received it YET. I did email the guy and he did send me the serial number but I never receive what I originally paid for and that was a registered copy to be sent to me on a disk. I do feel luck though because I know other people had this problem and never got their serial number for the program.

On Mon, 28 Jun 1999 15:41:51 -0500, "Todd" <tyler@NOSPAMnightowl.net> said something remotely sorta kinda like:

>
>EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote in message
>news:k7Od3.5779$w61.3044@stones...
>>
>> <rsmerker@steaksandwich.com> wrote in message
>> news:7l88h3lld1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>> > To all tone decoding enthusiasts!
>> >
>> > WintonePRO Beta 2 is now being made available to select testers!
>> > We are interested in folks who want to put the software through
>> > the wringer, and tell us what you think!
>> >
>> > WintonePRO features :
>> >
>> > * Software Decoding with your Sound Card, No other Hardware required
>> > * DTMF, CTCSS QuickCall I&II capable
>> > * User definable single and double tone sets - Define your own!
>> > * Record sound squelched Compressed Audio, in as little as 6MB/Hr
>> > * Suite of visual audio spectrum analyzing tools
>> > * Fully Windows 95,98,NT compatible
>> > * Plug-In Support for RedShift CE-232 Scanner Control Software
>> >
>> > Requirements :
>> >
>> > * You must have a Pentium or Faster CPU
>> > * Windows compatible sound card
>> > * HAM Radio, Scanner, or another audio input source
>> > * Usage experience with Wintone 2.0 Helpful, but not required
>> >
>>
>>

>> does it crash/freeze up as bad as wintone 2 does ?
>>
>> eavsdropper
>
>Funny it's never crashed or froze on me in the last year.
>
>Todd
>
>
>>
>>
>

6033. FREQUENCIES IN DURHAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Andrew <andy@stargate80.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7lbgerhae1@news4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 114266

Does anyone have any decent scanning frequencies around the Durham area,
anything of interest really ?

6034. Re: GSM - Cracked?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Liquorice <newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <nyyfbegfarkhfqrzbapbhx.fe4dbp0.pminews@localhost>
Source record: 114267
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 29 Jun 1999 14:14:57 GMT, budgie wrote:

>> What has that got to do with it? The call will still go through a switch
>> (exchange) somewhere and that is where any official taps are applied
>> anyway.
>
> When you consider that trunk circuits between cellular base stations
> are demand assigned, you will realise the folly in what you just said,

No folly, the switch *must* know who it is routing to whome, easy enough for it to also T off all calls from Fat Freedy or all calls to Nasty Nev to The Men In Black. OK It's not a physical case of croc clips on a strowger frame but the effect is the same.

> and the futilty of attempting to tap Fat Freddy's mobile call to Nasty
> Neville's mobile at any point other than off-air.

This strikes me as even more futile as you need to know where Fat Freddy is, and keep up with him, probably within a mile or so. Then of course the handset is frequency agile and will hop channels as and when... It's still far far easier to apply the tap in the switch.

--
Cheers newspam@nexus.demon.co.uk
Dave. Remove "spam" for valid email.

6035. Re: BC235 vs BC245

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990629181703.26294.00005541@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 114268

>
>Other than having to have one RIGHT NOW, is there any reason to buy
>a 235 over a 245?
>
>

No, and there are LOTS of reasons to buy the 245XLT over the 235XLT.

1. the 245XLT will do trunking and conventional scanning at the same time, the 235XLT will not.

2. the 245XLT can be computer controlled, the 235XLT cannot.

3. the 245XLT will track both Motorola and GE/Ericsson trunked systems, the 235XLT only tracks Moto systems.

4. the 245XLT will track trunked systems on VHF, UHF, 800 and 900MHz - the 235XLT only does 800MHz systems.

There are plenty more reasons, but these are the major ones. Order your 245XLT now and get on a waiting list. The radios should be available by mid-July.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

6036. Re: Anyone know if its illegal to bring an US FRS radio into Canada?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard Blackburn <rb170473@cosd.fedex.com>
Message-ID: <37794CDE.B6DBC51@cosd.fedex.com>
Source record: 114269

Try alt.family.radio. They covered this topic in some depth, including
letters from appropriate Canadian authorities. As I remember you can
own the radio, but cannot use it since the frequencies were not set
aside by the Canadian Gov't for public use.

dwarren@canada.com wrote:
>
> I was looking at buying a Motorola 280 SLK but wasn't sure about any
> problems bringing it into Canada?
>
> Would I have to leave it with them i.e. they would confiscate it?
>
> I know its not a scanner question but you guys seem to know the most
> about this kind of stuff.
>
> Would it have to be sneaked into Canada?

6037. Re: Radio items up for grabs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Auction6@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29614-377952A3-67@newsd-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 114270

I apologize. I did not realize you were an AOL user too. I was referring to him. I completely agree with you. It irritates me to no end to see a header that reads "For Sale" and I find that is an auction when I open the post. On top of that, this idiot is trying to push CB's on a group of scanner listeners.

Group: rec.radio.scanner Date: Tue, Jun 29, 1999, 4:41am (CDT+5) From: gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) Re: Radio items up for grabs...
What else would you expect from an AOL user?
Well, I'm not sure how you mean this...
I just think the guy would have a better chance of getting his dollar for his goods if he sold in a room that matched his interest, that's all. :-) Mike

6038. Re: 900mhz Cordless Phones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brad Kellison KA8TOK <KA8TOK@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <37796132.11BCCF14@four-way.net>
Source record: 114271
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: KA8TOK.vcf

Yes Analog 900 Mhz phone can be monitored..
http://www.radiotelephone.net/amateur/cordless.htm
is where you get the freqs.

js wrote:

> Can anyone provide me with a list of 900mhz cordless phone freq's?
>
> I assume, like the older 43-50mhz models, the analog 900mhz models can be
> monitored--correct??

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

6039. BC235XLT antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Laura Q <lauraq@funtv.com>
Message-ID: <iDde3.148$VX3.9728@news.connectnet.com>
Source record: 114272

Well, my BC235XLT whip antenna finally broke, as I've heard has happened to many units.

It's out of warranty, so I'm looking for any ideas for repairing the BNC connector.

Take care,
Laura

6040. Re: WTB Sony SC1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Shaun Whitman <lstrain@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#9IzsMpw#GA.196@cpmsnbbsa03>
Source record: 114273

You can buy the SC1 new for $259.99 or the SC1-PC for $319.99 from Scanners Unlimited. I bought my SC1 from them and had fast and courteous service. They are online at: http://www.best.com/~scanner/

Shaun Whitman
shaun@railfan.net
Central Jersey Rails
http://JerseyRails.Railfan.net

Bird fan1 wrote in message <19990627190712.01117.00007434@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
>I'm looking for a Sony SC1 in good condition.
>Please reply by E-Mail.
>
>Thanks
>Birdfan1

6041. Re: WHAT did I hear????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Euro
Message-ID: <5206C05644666A99.A5DD9C183791FC4A.7614482DD1CEE364@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 114274

If you picked up a satellite then you would have to downshift in frequency to keep tracking it due to doppler shifting.
Also, the satellite would probably be listenable for something like 5-10 minutes instead of the hour you report.
Probably just someone throwing packets.

6042. Frequencies for Oakville, ON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37797E5D.32FF3F72@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114275

I am going up to Oakville, Ontario for the July 4th weekend. Can anyone give me a list of freqs for Oakville and Missisauga? Police, fire and ambulance. Thanks in advance. Would also appreciate any milair freqs - I will be taking a BC895XLT with me.

6043. Re: GRE Scanner Web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: KC5ZRQ <habbinga@hub.ofthe.net>
Message-ID: <RNfe3.111$Sn3.9490@news3.giganews.com>
Source record: 114276

I don't believe GRE sells scanners. They build scanners that are sold by RadioShack, Optoelectronics, etc. such as the Pro-43 or the Optocom. I believe GRE does have a website, but I don't remember the URL. When I visited their site there wasn't much to see anyhow. There were a few scanner accessories that had the GRE name on them, but I don't know if any are still being sold. Check with www.grove-ent.com to see if they are still selling GRE accessory type products.

> Can anyone point me in the direction of the GRE Scanner web site or a site with
> all there available products.
> Thanks

6044. Re: Wintone PRO Beta 2 Now available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Todd <tyler@NOSPAMnightowl.net>
Message-ID: <jXfe3.53$sm3.750198@news1.i1.net>
Source record: 114277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

EAVSDROPPER <ian@eavsdropper.dabsol.co.uk> wrote in message
news:eA5e3.4379$BS6.3626@wards...
>
> > > does it crash/freeze up as bad as wintone 2 does ?
> > >
> > > eavsdropper
> >
> > Funny it's never crashed or froze on me in the last year.
> >
> > Todd
> >
>
> Wintone did nothing but that on a pentium 133 with 50mb ram...in the bin
it
> went !
>
> to greedy on resources for what it does
>
> eavsdropper

Weird, I have a pentium 133 with only 16mb of ram and it has run just fine,
so not being a computer genius I won't even tread any further.

Todd
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

6045. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Information Matrix <dziemba@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <37799076.6A8DCBD4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 114278
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

David Leeper wrote:

> "B. M." wrote:
>
> > Target uses both UHF and VHF frequencies depending on the
> > state and location.
> >
> > In California they use 151.965 for example.
> >
> > **** Posted from RemarQ - http://www.remarq.com - Discussions Start Here (tm) ****
>
> They must be doing it illegally then. I don't see Target listed in the FCC database.
> Their parent company Mervyns is listed and they, according to the data, use UHF.

Actually mervyns is a sister store...take it from me, I use to be a "team menber",
brainwashed too I might add....

d

6046. Re: UHF Weather ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: JERRY-SKYWARN #65-05 <theoldbrooklynkid@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <377990AF.3F68@ameritech.net>
Source record: 114279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

Bob Dubenezic wrote:
>
> IT'S MEGAHERTZ
>
> --
> Bob D
IT'S MEGACYCLES

6047. Re: FS-Realistic Pro-2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: bigjtx@excite.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11e357e1494345299896a3@news.swbell.net>
Source record: 114280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,dfw.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

$430 for a Pro-2006? Hell I'll have to put my Pro-60 up for sale!

In article <3779519c@news.oaktree.net>, n9drm@nospam.tcon.net says...
>
> sww wrote in message <3778D6FB.58411F93@usa.net>...
> >Isn't that price a little high? I bought a 2006 with computer control and
> >software for the same.
> >
> >
> Not if someone is willing to fork out the cash. There *are* better deals,
> but then again, I sold one a while back on Amazon's auction for $430.00.
> Depends on who is in the market and how much they're willing to pay.
>
>
>

6048. FS: Pro-2005 400ch scanner w/ FULL 800

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan Rollman <danr@azstarnet.com>
Message-ID: <3779B968.5D505DE6@azstarnet.com>
Source record: 114281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Sale:

Realistic Pro-2005 400 channel scanner. Just like the Pro-2006, only slightly slower scan speed. Radio is in good condition cosmetically and electronically. Works well. Mod to restore full 800 MHz as it was designed has been performed. $275 obo.

Dan/KC7ANQ
danr@azstarnet.com

6049. Re: Frequency Counter, which to buy??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Zerothehero2000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <344-3779B2D7-121@newsd-111.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 114282

I have built several Ramsey kits, among them an FM radio receiver, Microwave movement detector, Radar and some others. But, Ramsey does not offer their frequency counters as kits as far as i know. $120, delivered to the door prebuilt.

6050. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-29 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <I8je3.448$jW6.44278@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 114283

BAYCITVIDGRP <myway@ix.netcom.com> wrote ...
>
> hey hey hey....glenn of Repute-maybe

Hey hey Maynard T

Go preach your hypocricy and poetic crap someplace else ie; blow it out your rear Bill.

If little John-Bowl deciedes to make a public lie about Mr. Alexander or anybody else it is only right for a few to refute his bullsh*t rather than some ill informed to think it might be true.

(snip)

>
> so give your umbilicord a rest and
> give birth to another baby
> with a brain....

Wow Maynard, that is so heavy and, uhh , deep! What do you mean Maynard So. Cal Cool? Snap! Snap! Snap! You are so....far out Maynard T.

6051. Re: Analogue Mobile Phone Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Will Sparks <willy@home.com>
Message-ID: <7lf070$ovg@journal.concentric.net>
Source record: 114040

Hey, someone actually realized (realised?) that Europe uses a different set
of frequencies.

jason dupont <hutch@radar14.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:7l961ijdb1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk...
> 917mhz to 945mhz
>
> Chris Reed <djfaith@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3774DE08.BEA753AB@hotmail.com...
> > I know there are few companies who stil make analogue mobile phones but
> > does anyone have any frequencies for any of them ??
> >
> > Reply to newsgroup or
> > djfaith@hotmail.com
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Chris.
>
>

6052. BC245's SHIP !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: g chatten <gchatten@advdig.com>
Message-ID: <377A970F.64185DF1@advdig.com>
Source record: 114041
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

15:00 CST St. Louis, MO. USA

BC245's just got loaded on the truck in Texas from Uniden Corporation.

Advanced Digital will be shipping customer orders as early as Friday of this
week; and no later than Tuesday of next week (Monday the carriers are only
accepting priority-overnight shipments due to the holiday).

As expected, we received 70% of what was ordered, so I would expect most

dealers to be out of stock almost immediately; with the balance from Uniden
currently unknown. . .

Best Regards,

G. Chatten
ADS

http://www.advdig.com
sales@advdig.com

6053. BC245'S SHIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: g chatten <gchatten@advdig.com>
Message-ID: <377A9822.D49A991B@advdig.com>
Source record: 114042

15:00 CST St. Louis, MO. USA

BC245's just got loaded on the truck in Texas from Uniden Corporation.

Advanced Digital will be shipping customer orders as early as Friday of this
week; and no later than Tuesday of next week (Monday the carriers are only
accepting priority-overnight shipments due to the holiday).

As expected, we received 70% of what was ordered, so I would expect most

dealers to be out of stock almost immediately; with the balance from Uniden
currently unknown. . .

Best Regards,

G. Chatten
ADS

http://www.advdig.com
sales@advdig.com

6054. BC245's SHIP TO DEALERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: g chatten <gchatten@advdig.com>
Message-ID: <377AB685.8014310C@advdig.com>
Source record: 114043

15:00 CST St. Louis, MO.

Advanced Digital Systems http://www.advdig.com
received word from Uniden that shipments to dealers were released
today. ADS expects to begin shipping pre-orders to customers this
Friday, or at the latest next Tuesday (due to the Monday holiday).

For more information please visit our web site: http://www.advdig.com

SALES
A.D.S.

6055. BC245'S SHIP TO DEALERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: g chatten <sales@advdig.com>
Message-ID: <377AB72E.8C166702@advdig.com>
Source record: 114044

15:00 CST St. Louis, MO.

Advanced Digital Systems http://www.advdig.com
received word from Uniden that shipments to dealers were released
today. ADS expects to begin shipping pre-orders to customers this
Friday, or at the latest next Tuesday (due to the Monday holiday).

For more information please visit our web site: http://www.advdig.com

SALES
A.D.S.

6056. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com
Message-ID: <377f51a9.3332242@news.buffnet.net>
Source record: 114090

On 30 Jun 1999 02:10:49 GMT, shack23@aol.com (Shack23) wrote:

>Is your computer still SMOKING Mike? I said something aalong these lines, and I
>got flamed unmercifully! My gosh, I never would have believed that with the
>creation of the NO-CODE license, would come such a clamor from people wanting
>FREE licenses. I have even read posts from people that think that ANY

Hope my replies to your posts aren't seen by you as flaming, Joey, as that's not my intent...but...free license? No, not here, anyway.

As I mentioned in an earlier post, I've already passed a test of the type the VEs administer to would-be no-code techs. It wasn't administered by VEs, it was unofficial, I had two members of the local ham radio club do this to prove a point to them. Reason: The VEs associated with this club won't administer a test unless you first attend their classes for two months...which are held starting at 7 PM every Tuesday night...and I don't get out of work until 7 PM and couldn't possibly be thre until 7:30 even if I skipped dinner to do it, which after a 12-hour work day I'm not about to do. To demonstrate the stupidity of this to two members of this club I had them give me a no-code written test unofficially, and had it been a real test I'd have my Tech ticket now.

If I ever did get a ham ticket, my sole reason for wanting to do so would be to get on 6 meters, which I can already do without the code. Where I live, I don't have room on this small suburban lot to do much of anything antenna-wise anyway, but on 6 meters a decent antenna isn't much bigger than a TV antenna. Unfortunately, in order to do that, I have to deal with the local ham club and their snotty attitude towards potential and current no-code licensees. Interestingly enough, some of these clods are Advanced-class licensees who ten years ago were on the local BBSes asking ME questions about constructing antennas for HF. In fact, I was enjoying radio as a hobby before a few of these clowns were even born.

Twelve years ago, when I was 29, I gave a 14-year old kid on a BBS (gave him for free) the plans for a tunable preselector that I'd built myself. Now today I'm 41, this person is 26 and is one of the VEs who won't test techs unless they attend the club's classes first, which means joining the group, paying their monthly dues, and putting up with all the politics that I do not have time for being the father of three kids aged 11 and under. All this because of Morse code...what a load of elephant droppings.

So, thanks, but no thanks, I'll continue to enjoy my SWL and scanning activities along with a smattering of AM BCB DX'ing thrown in for an

occasional change of pace. But when I run into this Advanced VE here on the Internet and he wants the plans for the active antenna I'm currently working on building, I hope for his sake that he has a large jar of petroleum jelly handy, for reasons that should be readily discernable upon a few moments of reflection.

73 DE KNY2VS

>examination is 'UNCONSTITUTIONAL'!!!! Believe that? I took, and passed a 5 WPM
>CW test 26 years ago. Four years later, I passed a 13 WPM code test in the FACE
>of an FCC AGENT! And people nowadays THINK THEY have it tough! Im telling you,
>back then, that 13 test was ONLY given by the FCC. And they kinda make you
>nervous. Not exactly like being at GRANDMA'S DINNER TABLE...They didn't SMILE,
>or offer you ANY encouragement! And those that failed, didn't get any, "SORRY,
>MAYBE YOU WILL GET IT NEXT TIME" crap. These people that refuse to learn Morse,
>are not serious about getting a better Ham License. let them stay where they
>are! ... Joey

6057. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Phil Smalley <ziggy7445@scanner.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7ldoa0$7kq$1@phxtst22.phx1.aro.allied.com>
Source record: 114091

...this stupid thread.

I'm serious, the way everyone's been carrying on in here, you've probably chased away hundreds of people who were considering becoming hams.

I know that if this is how I'll be treated for getting a no-code license than you can keep the whole damn thing. What a way to make friends, take someone who just spend a big wad of his/her hard earned money buying into the amateur radio HOBBY (that's what it is, remember?) and treat them like crap unless they go all out and get the Ultimate License and half a house full of gear. Way ta go, geniuses...That'll keep new blood coming in for years to come... not.

Wake up people. Ham radio is a hobby, not a social club. Whether you have a no code license and a $200 HT, or spend thousands on equipment and have a fistful of licenses, you are all hobbyists in the same hobby, in it for the same reason. It's supposed to be fun, remember? Take the fun away, and it becomes nothing more than an unnecessary expense, and pretty soon your gear will end up on ebay or rec.radio.swap. Judging from the amount of postings in these two forums alone, I'd say alot more people are getting out than coming in.

Food for thought.

-Phil

-=Anti Spam Measure In Effect=-
-=Remove the word "scanner" to reply=-

6058. Re: WHAT did I hear????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com
Message-ID: <3780588a.5093954@news.buffnet.net>
Source record: 114092

On Wed, 30 Jun 1999 09:35:23 -0400, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

>Unproto (un-protocol or non-connected) packets have not been deemed to
>constitute a "broadcast" in a sense that would violate Part 97. Similar
>regulatory theory as is applied to code practice and ARRL bulletins.

You can add to that list the various beacons used to test for HF
propagation such as those operated by NCDXF.IARU on 20, 17, 15, 12,
and 10 meters, as well as the transmissions from K1MAN on 20, 40, and
80 meters in connection with the ARRA/IAN, and WA3NAN's retransmission
of live space shuttle audio on several frequencies during space
shuttle missions.

Certainly the above are not two-way comms with other radio amateurs,
but they are nonetheless permitted, primarily because they provide a
service of one kind or another to the amateur community and/or to
hobby radio in general.

73 DE KNY2VS

6059. BC60XLT-1 MANUAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: caladan1@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990630160457.29980.00005753@ng63.aol.com>
Source record: 114093

I just purchased one of the above used and need a manual. If anyone can Help
I would appreciate it very much.
Thank you very much
Andy

6060. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <37857753.9225594@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 114094

kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com (Registered Monitor KNY2VS) wrote:

>the FCC question pool, and I did pass the tes...had they been VE's, I
>suppose I would have a ham license now, but I don't want one.

Then what are you whining about?

>I made no comments whatsoever about the degree of difficulty involved
>in learning Morse. I merely stated that I refused to waste my time
>learning about a method of communication that was invented over 200
>years ago

What a terrible waste of time it must have been for you to learn
English, and how frustrating it must have been for you to have to learn
to type before you could use a computer.

>Well, in my own case, any time spent praticing Morse would have to be
>taken away from my SWL and scanning activities, which time is already
>to little for me to be willing to even consider it.

Bullshit. In the time you've wasted reading and posting to this thread,
you could have learned several letters of the code.

>than a ham. The reason I don't want a ham license is that, quite
>frankly, I have zero interest in Morse as a method of communication, I

Lots of hams feel that way too. Nobody forces us to use it.

>haven't they?). However, given the attitude of numerous hams towards
>Tech licensees, well...I'd just as soon not have to associate with
>that lot. Thre are a lot of Extra, Advanced, and General class hams
>who seem to feel Techs aren't REAL hams at all...and yet, while many

And there are far more who don't feel that way. This is a specious
argument. In fact, if all the people who've used this excuse would
actually get a ham ticket, they could all hang out with each other and
never have to interact with all those allegedly cranky old hams who
actually took the trouble to get a license when it involved some actual
work.

>of these same hams can chatter away at 20 or 30 WPM morse, ask them to
>sit down in front of a computer and install Windows 98 and a
>compendium of packet radio software, and their eyes glaze over.

Another canard you'd have a difficult time proving. Not that it's
relevant to anything at all. It's just another straw man to let you
pretend that learning Morse is preventing you from getting a ham ticket.

>Given the current state of communications technology, I'd say the
>ability to set up packet software is a heck of a lot more relevant to
>modern hamming than pounding brass...so just who are the REAL hams?

The folks like me who did the work required to get a ham license. It's
far easier now than it was then, of course.

>The bottom line is this...Morse may have been great 30 years ago, but

Morse sucked 30 years ago.

>with the advent of laptop computers that are smaller than your
>telephone book and pack more computing power than mainframes of 30
>years ago, I think it's safe to say that the future of ham radio, if
>any, does NOT rest with CW. Continuing to insist that it does will

It never did, and nobody's saying that. Another straw man.

>only cause more and more people to turn away from ham radio, and as
>the number of hams on HF decreases accordingly, the amount of
>bandwidth allocated to the service will decrease accordingly until
>there's nothing left.

Here's a huge clue, kid. Morse code is NOT what's causing the demise of
ham radio. You could give out free ham tickets at every Radio Shack in
the country, and ham radio would still be declining over the long term.

>At that point, if you and I are still around to see it, you can
>explain to me why retaining the code requirement was in the best
>interests of the hobby...I'll be the guy listening to Navy, Air Force,
>and Coast Guard comms on HF, VHF, and UHF while laughing my fanny off
>at the hams who choked to death the goose that laid their golden egg.

No, you'll be the guy who was too damn lazy to spend a few minutes a day
to get a ham ticket. I'll be the guy who can do everything you are
doing, in addition to having every privilege allowed to hams. Even if I
don't even use ham radio any more, I will have the knowledge that I did
it because I wanted to, not because somebody gave it to me. I'll also
have all the memories of the things I've learned, the people I've
helped, the clubs and comm systems I've helped to develop, and last but
not least, all the good friends I've made. I'll be the one laughing.

Stay away from ham radio, kid. We don't need whiners like you in our
hobby. We have more than enough of those already.

>73 DE KNY2VS

What a pretentious sig. A ham wannabee who tries to get a bit of
reflected glory by using a "call sign" that looks like a ham call.
Pathetic.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

6061. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <377d6a9c.5970038@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 114095

kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com (Registered Monitor KNY2VS) wrote:

>Perhaps the capacity of a moron's brain to spout drivel is directly
>proportional to the size of said moron's audience...

Ah, the irony...
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

6062. 900 MHz Spread Spectrum Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: lee585 <lee585@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <7le33k$r1h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114096

Anyone out there know about Spread Spectrum phones? My question is:
How secure (to a point) are the 900 MHz Digital Spread Spectrum
phones? We use one routinely for business and I assume they are
reasonably secure to all but the most advanced?? Again, to a point
(not including the Govt.) I realize. Any comments are welcome.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

6063. Re: R2 cell images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <2Cve3.4708$qd.3590@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 114097

You can set the steps to anything you want.

Bill Crocker

JohnT <blade@telerama.com> wrote in message
news:377adc22.3469697@news.telerama.com...
> On 30 Jun 1999 00:44:19 GMT, burtons961@aol.com (BurtonS961) wrote:
>
> >A while back someone posted the band edge freqs for cell image
monitoring. I
> >let it go by and lost it. Could someone repeat them for me?
> >Thanks much,
> >Burt
> >Burt Smith, BurtonS961@AOL.com
>
> If you look in the June 99 issue of monitoring times.
>
> recieved F=(display F * 1.5) + 133.35
>
> so 490 to 510 Mhz with steps of 20
>
> Kind of strange how the 30 khz steps are steped down to 20 khz steps.
> Is this a bug or a feature?
>

6064. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990630170337.22725.00002360@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 114098

>From: glenq@cyberhighway.net (Glen Quarnstrom)

>>Perhaps the capacity of a moron's brain to spout drivel is directly
>>proportional to the size of said moron's audience...
>
>Ah, the irony...

Ah, the irony, indeed.

6065. Test Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: deanntx@tyler.net
Message-ID: <377aa21b.34318927@news.tyler.net>
Source record: 114099

This Is just a test, Sorry

6066. Southern Link - Radio / Telephone Frq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony DiPasquale <Tony-D@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <377A85D9.DA23D7F@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 114100

Does anyone out there know the frequencies that a used by the southern link radio/Telephones used in the Atlanta, GA area. I have been told that they are 800 MHZ but I have not been able to get the exact freq. Please advise.

Tony

6067. Re: UHF Weather ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skip Lawson <ablaze@sonic.net>
Message-ID: <377A8A3D.D690E535@sonic.net>
Source record: 114101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

Do you mean Megacycles/sec?

JERRY-SKYWARN #65-05 wrote:

> Bob Dubenezic wrote:
> >
> > IT'S MEGAHERTZ
> >
> > --
> > Bob D
> IT'S MEGACYCLES

6068. Freqs for Ft McCoy, WI ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ope1canoli@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990630171613.18284.00005857@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 114102

Im headed up to Ft McCoy for 2 weeks and was wondering if anyone would know where I might get some freqs for the area. I have the county freqs but Im specifically looking for the Federal Police freqs on Ft McCoy. They dont have an MP company there, but instead use federal officers for protective services. Any help you can give would be appreciated.

Thanks

Tom Montgomery

6069. No-Code Liberation Army

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: No-Code Liberation Army <ncla@angelfire.com>
Message-ID: <7le7cjsss1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114103

Due to a large number of CODED Amateurs whining about being "left out" I have changed the NCLA (No-Code Liberation Army) web site at http://www.angelfire.com/nc/ncla/ to allow anyone to join! That way you coded ones won't feel the pain of being on the outside like the nocoders feel now because of you!

Join the No-Code Liberation Army - For a RADIO FREE EARTH!
Email us at ncla@angelfire.com OR Visit us on the web at http://www.angelfire.com/nc/ncla/

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

6070. Re: Decoding Pages manually?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pville411@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990630173155.11209.00000302@ng-xb1.aol.com>
Source record: 114104

Thanks, jeff, for your response. It all makes sense and it's what i originally figured, but i have one question--what is the FSK converter (data slicer) for? While i'm relatively new to digital communications, it has been my understanding that FSK is an audio tone modulated to different frequencies, each one representative of a piece of information (the information it represents is dependent on the protocol). Am I correct? If so, can't I use a spectrogram to plot the different freq. tones and their durations, and then link each to its meaning? I know this would be tedious, but is it theoretically possible?

6071. Re: UHF Weather ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ham Op <jason@work.com>
Message-ID: <930779319.558.61@news.remarQ.com>
Source record: 114105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

It's all the same people. Megahertz and megacycles are the same things. We use MHz mostly here in the states whereas megacycles seems to be a common term in Europe.

Jason Bishop
KE4KZY
http://www.gulftel.com/bsfire

Skip Lawson <ablaze@sonic.net> wrote in message news:377A8A3D.D690E535@sonic.net...
> Do you mean Megacycles/sec?
>
> JERRY-SKYWARN #65-05 wrote:
>
> > Bob Dubenezic wrote:
> > >
> > > IT'S MEGAHERTZ
> > >
> > > --
> > > Bob D
> > IT'S MEGACYCLES
>

6072. Re: We will rid ARS of "CODE"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bubba Joe <bubbajoesmith@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7le44s$nl9$1@birch.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114106

10-4 there good buddy, let's fuck ARS up too!!! Nuke Society, Arm the Schools! kill all decency, Clinton Rules!

<ncla@angelfire.com> wrote in message news:7ldrgr$no8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> To all who believe that CODE is the salvation of Amateur Radio. We are
> declaring war on you! The newly formed terrorist group called the NCLA -
> No-Code Liberation Army is here. To learn more about us please visit our
> home
> page at http://www.angelfire.com/nc/ncla/ or email us at
> ncla@angelfire.com .
>
> CB'ers, FreeBanders, and No-Code Technicians Welcome Here!
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

6073. Scanner's Casnner's Scanner's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <deanntx@tyler.net>
Message-ID: <377AB0EF.ADC15689@tyler.net>
Source record: 114107

Well if you are into Scanner's, CB's, Ham Gear or whatever type
of
ccommunications, CB City is the place for you.
We have a great message board that is chalked full of stuff
like that,
as well as a lot of used merchandise listed on the website.
There is
also all kinds of useful information on all kinds of stuff.
There is a
section devoted to Modifications as well.
There is a chat room for you to chat with others that have the
same
interest as you, A call sign lookup. Top News Headlines, A
mailing list
with over 300 members that you can post messages to if you have
something for sale, trade or just looking for something.

cbcity.com

You will be glad that you did.

Dean
CB City Manager

6074. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <reinaga@cnmnetwork.com>
Message-ID: <NNwe3.101400$_m4.1174629@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 114108
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

get caught doing other things on the local network besides reading your
mail. =)
for example you can clock in from a computer. You just need to know the
program.
now. lets say i connect to a machine in a different time zone. I have
access to all programs on that computer. Guess what. Run the clock in and
out program and clock out. And 4 hours later than what you really left.

but if they find out
they will quickly let you go.

reinaga
Shiva Nataraja <removals1@iname.com> wrote in message
news:377a31c9.5231416@news...
> On Tue, 29 Jun 1999 21:35:18 -0600, Information Matrix
> <dziemba@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Actually mervyns is a sister store...take it from me, I use to be a "team
menber",
> >brainwashed too I might add....
>
> Really? I hear it's pretty tough to escape from these cults - tell us
> about your experiences. ;-)
>
> NOTE: SPAM shields at 100%, Captain!

6075. Re: FS-Realistic Pro-2006 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <377abb6d@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 114109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,dfw.forsale,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Big John <bigjtx@excite.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.11e357e1494345299896a3@news.swbell.net...
>
> $430 for a Pro-2006? Hell I'll have to put my Pro-60 up for sale!
>

Yep....and it happened in the last 10 minutes of the auction. I had some
serious contenders on that one. This guy wanted it so bad he could taste it.
It looked brand new, and I hated to sell it, but I already have one with a
456 board and needed the cash to buy some new ham toys.
Scanners tend to sell at premium prices on the auctions if you have good
photos and are up-front with the description.
Take a look on eBay and see what the Pro-60's have been going for and that
will give you an idea of what yours might be worth.

Dan

6076. Re: PRO 2006 Backlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n9drm@nospam.tcon.net>
Message-ID: <377abc2e@news.oaktree.net>
Source record: 114110

Robert <rc123@globe-net.net> wrote in message
news:377A4F82.3F750AAE@globe-net.net...
> You're lucky, the part is still available for the PRO-2005 & 2006.
> The part number is 10800050 ELECTROLUMINESCENT, LCD.
> This can be ordered directly from 1-800-843-7422 (Radio Shack)
> I have a webpage showing the basics of the backlight (EL) replacement:

I've done a few of them, and it takes longer to get the radio apart then to change the EL panel. They're right at 30 bucks and if you're not afraid to dig into the scanner, go for it, or let someone like Robert do it for you should you not feel so inclined! I wish there was a source for the 2004 EL's!

Dan

6077. BC-245 ICOM R2 YAESU VX5 YAESU FT100 YAESU VX1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: james coburn <confused@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <7le7dk$7ph$1@nnrp03.primenet.com>
Source record: 114111
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/BC245XLT
Is a not so new devoted to the new Bearcat BC 245 Trunking scanner. If you have one of these or are thinking of buying one.(I know nobody has one YET) Come join us. We have over 99 users so far.

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/Ft90
Is a new list devoted to the new Micro micro mobile radio from Yaesu the FT-90. 73 users.

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/ft2600
Is a new List devoted to the new radio from Yaesu the FT-2600. GREAT RADIO. 16 users.

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/YAESUVX5
Has over 650 users so far. Come join us if you are interested in the VX5 a super cool little radio!!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/YaesuVX1
Has about 123 users If you have a VX1 this is the place to be!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/icomr2
Is the nerve center of everything that has to do with the ICOM R2. If you have a R2 Come on and join us we need you !!! This is a great radio with a great following of over 254 users on this mail list!!

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/FT100
So Far we only have 310 users! Not to bad. If you are even thinking about one of these units come join us. Join Us and get the MOD!! We may all learn somthing!!!

73 de W6JHC
James

6078. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <378f8399.12368162@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 114112

"Euro" <@.> wrote:

>Ignore Shack23.
>He thinks he is elite and is very arrogant.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>He's one of those snooty people who get off downtalking everyone including his fellow HAMs.
>Everytime someone says something he doesn't like he accuses them of being a CB'er.
>His pathology is so predictable we all could write a book on him.
>This is the kind of guy we all go after when the code is dropped, ok guys?

No you won't. You're a coward, and most likely not even a ham.

>BTW, I'm an advanced class so don't give me any lip, mr. general class
^^

Well, this one sure pegs my Irony Meter.

I'm an Extra, so I guess you're out of luck, huh, punk?

Post your call sign, you anonymous liar.

--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

6079. Re: We will rid ARS of "CODE"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: glenq@cyberhighway.net
Message-ID: <379083e7.12445481@news.cyberhighway.net>
Source record: 114113

ncla@angelfire.com wrote:

>To all who believe that CODE is the salvation of Amateur Radio. We are
>declaring war on you! The newly formed terrorist group called the NCLA -
>No-Code Liberation Army is here. To learn more about us please visit our
>home
>page at

[spam deleted]

>CB'ers, FreeBanders, and No-Code Technicians Welcome Here!

Yawn.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

6080. WTB A/C ADAPTER FOR BC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ksk63521@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990630195920.11564.00002091@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 114114

HI ALL IM LOOKING FOR A SPARE A/C HOUSE CORD FOR THE 9000XLT
CAN BE NEW OR USED.......KEVIN

6081. Re: Decoding Pages manually?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@ibm.net>
Message-ID: <377AA482.B5921634@ibm.net>
Source record: 114115

There are many ways to send digital data. Do a search on some ham radio pages for a program called Hamcom. That is where the interface circuit comes from that is heard so much about here. It is just an op amp running at full gain so that you get a bunch of pulses out of a signal. It just converts the small signals to the levels required of the rs232 input of a serial port.

Some of the FSK just switches between 2 tones and does it several times for each symble to be displayed. It might go something like this where the 1 is one tone and the 0 is another. 1100011 1110001 YOu then look up the places where the pulses match this in a table and convert to the symble repersented by the sequence. Some are varitable length and sometimes there will be just a long sequence with out any breaks. Depends on the code. Most are published somewhere.

If you had a storage scope, this could be done very easy for one character at a time for some systems. There are some programs out , maybe the hamcom program has this display, I have seen so many that the capabilities of each run togeter, that has this type of display as an option.

Pville411 wrote:
>
> Thanks, jeff, for your response. It all makes sense and it's what i originally
> figured, but i have one question--what is the FSK converter (data slicer) for?
> While i'm relatively new to digital communications, it has been my
> understanding that FSK is an audio tone modulated to different frequencies,
> each one representative of a piece of information (the information it
> represents is dependent on the protocol). Am I correct? If so, can't I use a
> spectrogram to plot the different freq. tones and their durations, and then
> link each to its meaning? I know this would be tedious, but is it
> theoretically possible?

6082. Re: R2 cell images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Vecchiola <jimkr2t@vramp.net>
Message-ID: <377AB3AB.B8629346@vramp.net>
Source record: 114116

Roughly 490 MHz to 510 MHz

KR2T

BurtonS961 wrote:
>
> A while back someone posted the band edge freqs for cell image monitoring. I
> let it go by and lost it. Could someone repeat them for me?
> Thanks much,
> Burt
> Burt Smith, BurtonS961@AOL.com

6083. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Euro
Message-ID: <A021CC03DFD9C664.A31446AAF37B6E1A.D7426EB0FA12FE13@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 114117

```
| Post your call sign, you anonymous liar.

Nope, not gonna do it.
As far as you being an Extra, that and 30cents will make enough for a phone call
to someone who gives a rat's ass.
```

6084. FS: Uniden BC80XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Thomas G. Tamura" <ttamura@aloha.net>
Message-ID: <7lee92$k8v$1@nuhou.aloha.net>
Source record: 114118

I have a Uniden Bearcat BC80XLT for sale. It is in very good condition cosmetically, showing only light marks from light use over the past 6 month. It was used in congunction with my BC235XLT to scan the other non-trunked frequencies. It comes complete with antenna, earphone, manual, ac adapter and belt clip already installed. It is working as it did when I bought it brand new some 6 months ago. I have a photo I can e-mail you if you would like.

This scanner has 50 programmable channels in 5 banks and does cover the 800MHZ band.

This unit normally sells for about $130 and I am asking $75 and this includes shipping to your door (or PO box.)

Please e-mail me privately if you are interested.

Mahalo, Thomas
ttamura@aloha.net

6085. Re: No-Code Liberation Army

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Wooka <hass@aznet.net>
Message-ID: <377ABBEE.315E4F8E@aznet.net>
Source record: 114119

Keith wrote:

> On Wed, 30 Jun 1999 22:57:29 GMT, No-Code Liberation Army <ncla@angelfire.com>
> <7le7cjsss1@nnrp1.deja.com> wrote:
>
> >Due to a large number of CODED Amateurs whining about being "left out" I
> >have changed the NCLA (No-Code Liberation Army) web site at
> >http://www.angelfire.com/nc/ncla/ to allow anyone to join! That way you
> >coded ones won't feel the pain of being on the outside like the nocoders
> >feel now because of you!
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> You can not eliminate morse code as a communication mode, silly.
> In 2002 the requirement for code on 30 MHz and below will be removed
> and you will be happy. This year there will be put into place a General Class
> License with a 5 WPM requirement.
> The other problem is that you encourage operating on any frequency without a
> license which is just plan stupid.
> It is not ham operators fault that this treaty exists, it was because at one
> time morse code was an essentials communication mode. That no longer is the
> case so it will be removed.
> BTW, do you know who started the no-code technician class? It was ham radio
> operators not CBers or any other group.
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> --
> http://www.teleport.com/~kew http://www.teleport.com/~kew/ham_swl_radio/
> --

I wonder who that country is that allows a no-coder to get on HF with some restrictions? ;)

6086. Info on ICOM R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: spoiler1 <spoiler1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <377AC063.6E586CF4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 114120

Hi all
Am contemplating buying a ICOM R2 I have been looking for information and haven't found much other than the tech specs at the ICOM site. I have a couple of questions
if someone that currently owns one would be willing to answer for me.

1. I know there are 8 banks of 50 can they be linked or can you selectively scan more than one bank at a time?

2. Does it accept a standard 1/8 earphone directly without an adapter?

3. Is it tedious to program without the computer software?

4. Can you enter Alpha tags?

5. Are you happly with the unit?

Thanks in advance

73 Gary
KC5UWA

6087. FS: GRE Converter 9001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: newswatcher@monmouth.com
Message-ID: <newswatcher-3006992144320001@bg-tc-ppp887.monmouth.com>
Source record: 114121

Greetings!

Selling a boxed new converter for base receivers
from GRE. Purchased in 1994 and never used it.
It's still sitting in closet.

$80.00 + $5.00 shipping.

--
Jonathan
newswatcher@monmouth.com

6088. Re: BC-245 Shipping Friday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <kHze3.4060$U6.21152@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 114122

Keith wrote in message <3782bad6.14578401@192.108.254.3>...
>According to a dealer the BC-245 Scanner receiver will be shipped July 2 and
>July 5 to dealers.

Lemme see.... Friday, July 2 is a holiday for some American businesses. Monday, July 5 is the "official" observance date of Independence Day for most businesses because the Fourth falls on Sunday this year.

I'm not sure I believe that Uniden is going to spend the holiday weekend shipping scanners. There may not be much commercial shipping capacity available.

6089. Re: Decoding Pages manually?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <kHze3.4061$U6.21152@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 114123

Pville411 wrote in message <19990630173155.11209.00000302@ng-xb1.aol.com>...
>Thanks, jeff, for your response. It all makes sense and it's what i
originally
>figured, but i have one question--what is the FSK converter (data slicer)
for?

In most cases, the "converter" simply adjusts voltage levels from the radio signal levels to the computer serial port levels. Level converter circuits generally include some filtering so the signal is as "clean" as possible when the computer gets it.

>While i'm relatively new to digital communications, it has been my
>understanding that FSK is an audio tone modulated to different frequencies,

That describes AFSK (AUDIO Frequency Shift Keying). In true FSK, the frequency of the carrier itself is changed to represent different digital values (0 and 1 in basic digital modes).

73 de Dave, NF2G

6090. Re: Info on ICOM R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: dcisive@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <MPG.11e4788c3ed755af989812@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114124

Well the long and short of it is that the R2 is the "most sensitive" scanner currently available on the market. Even more so then the venerable MVT-7100. I does have a touch of intermod in the 450-490mhz range, but is virtually eliminated with the attenuator on. You can and will hear things with the R2 that many other don't even know is there. You can't beat the size and yes it uses a standard 1/8th inch plug as well. Once you figure out the programming sequence, it is as easy as pie, although the stock instructions don't make it quite that easy. All in all a incredible buy for the price and a must have in the shack.

6091. Re: Southern Link - Radio / Telephone Frq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Michael <paracop@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <377AC577.A2206ED7@sprynet.com>
Source record: 114125

They are digital so it won't do you any good. I have the same problem.
Mike

Tony DiPasquale wrote:
>
> Does anyone out there know the frequencies that a used by the southern
> link radio/Telephones used in the Atlanta, GA area. I have been told
> that they are 800 MHZ but I have not been able to get the exact freq.
> Please advise.
>
> Tony

6092. Re: BC-245 Shipping Friday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: an@x.net
Message-ID: <an-3006992245070001@ppp-44.mia-tc-1.netrox.net>
Source record: 114126

In article <kHze3.4060$U6.21152@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>, "D Stark"
<dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

> Keith wrote in message <3782bad6.14578401@192.108.254.3>...
> >According to a dealer the BC-245 Scanner receiver will be shipped July 2
> and
> >July 5 to dealers.
>
>
> Lemme see.... Friday, July 2 is a holiday for some American businesses.
> Monday, July 5 is the "official" observance date of Independence Day for
> most businesses because the Fourth falls on Sunday this year.
>
> I'm not sure I believe that Uniden is going to spend the holiday weekend
> shipping scanners. There may not be much commercial shipping capacity
> available.

Uniden C.S. dept, yesterday said that Aug is the target for shipping the units.

6093. Re: PRO 2006 Backlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <377AD8BE.23052F0D@globe-net.net>
Source record: 114127

Don't give up hope for a PRO-2004 backlight just yet. I'm
working on making a composite backlight using EL material
from Limelight nightlights. Since I don't own a 2004 (yet),
I need exact dimensions, or better yet, a dead EL from one.
Make ya a heck of a deal if someone can send me one. ;-)

Please reply directly if you have one available to rc123@gnc.net
Robert

Dan wrote:

> Robert <rc123@globe-net.net> wrote in message
> news:377A4F82.3F750AAE@globe-net.net...
> > You're lucky, the part is still available for the PRO-2005 & 2006.
> > The part number is 10800050 ELECTROLUMINESCENT, LCD.
> > This can be ordered directly from 1-800-843-7422 (Radio Shack)
> > I have a webpage showing the basics of the backlight (EL) replacement:
>
> I've done a few of them, and it takes longer to get the radio apart then to
> change the EL panel. They're right at 30 bucks and if you're not afraid to
> dig into the scanner, go for it, or let someone like Robert do it for you
> should you not feel so inclined! I wish there was a source for the 2004
> EL's!
>
> Dan

6094. Re: BC-245 ICOM R2 YAESU VX5 YAESU FT100 YAESU VX1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Kevin P. Inscoe" <kevin@inscoe.org>
Message-ID: <377AD944.327CCF24@inscoe.org>
Source record: 114128
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

james coburn wrote:
>
> http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/BC245XLT
> Is a not so new devoted to the new Bearcat BC 245 Trunking scanner. If you
> have one of these or are thinking of buying one.(I know nobody has one YET)
> Come join us. We have over 99 users so far.

Not to burst your bubble but there is already a list established
sometime ago at T2@onelist.com

http://www.onelist.com/subscribe/T2

There is also edacs & edacsglobal on onelist as well.

~kevin
--
Kevin P. Inscoe Family - Unix System Engineer & Specialist
Deltona, FL Itinerary at http://www.inscoe.org/where
e-mail: kevin [at] inscoe [dot] org FAX: 407-805-0002
http://www.inscoe.org 28.9492N 81.1955W
http://www.inscoe.org/comp http://www.inscoe.org/radio

6095. Re: Scanner Trivia (please bear with me...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Paul Judd <scout@direct.ca>
Message-ID: <j0Be3.1479$qK2.163493@newsgate.direct.ca>
Source record: 114129

K Patterson wrote in message <930485800.267.6@news.remarQ.com>...
>Don Adams , the guy from Get Smart, did ads for BC for a few years.
>Could that be who you are thinking of?

Naw, I saw Max get his nose crunched in the doors during the ending credits innumerable times as a youth. I would have recognized him for sure.

I didn't know he did commercials for Bearcat. I never happened to catch one. When was that?

Paul

6096. Re: Portland, OR frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <Witch*Dr0@usa0.no0bulk0email0.0net>
Message-ID: <377ADAE5.547@usa0.no0bulk0email0.0net>
Source record: 114130

Mark Ferris wrote:
>
> I'm in the Portland, OR area and am interested in what
> frequencies are used by the various services around
> here (emergency, the Hillsboro airport, PDX, etc.).
> Are any of them trunked or is a conventional scanner
> sufficient to get them? Does the Washington County
> sheriff's department use similar radio setups (conventional
> vs trunked) as the Portland and Tigard police departments?

Portland is on Multnomah County's 800MHz Smartzone sysem. Tigard is on
Washington County's 800 Trunked system.

For all the info you'll need (TG's, Freqs, etc.) head over to my web
page
http://www.osu.orst.edu/~varineb/scanner.htm <------------This site
will be dead in a few months
http://members.tripod.com/~WitchDr/scanner.htm <-----------Mirror site
until my Aracnet account comes online.

6097. Re: Bearcat UBC-3000 battery (source or what to replace with)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <377ADF67.C51D35A6@intsvc.com>
Source record: 114131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner

There are a couple options, you can grab you local yellow pages and look under Batteries for a area business that sells replacement inserts for battery packs. You can usually expect to pay about $15-$20 for the insert and will probably have to do some soldering work (not that complicated). The store may be willing to do all of the work for you for a fee if you aren't too handy with a soldering iron. There is a company on the internet "Primecell" that sells replacement inserts, including the NiMiH, for a reasonable price. The only drawback is they are a bit slow with the shipping process. But either way, you can replace the battery in your 3000xlt without it costing a fortune.

markrsmith@my-deja.com wrote:

> I have a several year old UBC3000 scanner. I like the scanner,
> especially the multi-priority feature. However, the battery life of the
> BP2500 is terrible (3 hours at best, and they don't last for many
> cycles) and they are really expensive now. For $50-60 per battery, I
> might as well find a new scanner.
>
> So, I have several questions:
>
> 1) Does anyone have a source for BP2500 batteries (new or
> reconditioned) for less than the $50-60 I've seen?
>
> 2) I'd really rather have a scanner with better battery life. Any
> scanners using NiMH or LiON batteries? I use the 3000 mostly to listen
> to my local police, fire and EMS by sitting on channel 1 with priority
> set on (the priorities for each bank are the local freqs), and then scan
> some or all banks when I want to hear more than just the locals. I
> carry it around the house a lot, so I want portable. Any
> recommendations for a replacement to the 3000? Where should I buy it?
>
> Thanks for your help.
>
> Mark Smith
> Hamilton, NJ
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

--
Charles Simmont - ICP509 Dispatcher & National Coordinator
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp.html
Notification Systems webpage http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

The opinions and views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated.

6098. Re: BC-245 Shipping Friday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <377ADFD9.BF525CEF@globe-net.net>
Source record: 114132

I wouldn't put too much faith in anything you hear from Uniden.
They have a reputation for not being "accurate" with their information.
Same company that told us they weren't working on an EDACS
scanner! I've got two BC245XLTs on order (I'm not greedy, got dad one
for father's day, didn't you?). When they arrive, I'll tell the world!

Robert

AL wrote:

> Uniden C.S. dept, yesterday said that Aug is the target for shipping the units.

6099. Re: Articles about public safety communications in major cities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu>
Message-ID: <7leo0kdvu1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 114133

Thanks for the article. It was helpful.

Dave

John Turner <John_Turner@earthlink.com> wrote in message
news:37788B83.EE64094E@earthlink.com...
>
> San Diego may be outside of the area of your project, but here it is!
> --
>
> 800 MHz Transition Team
>
> Exciting changes lie ahead for the San Diego Sheriff's Department.
> Along with many other city and county agencies, the Sheriff's
> Department will at last be able to communicate throughout the entire
> region using a new Motorola 800 MHz radio system.
>
> The project, called the Regional Communications System (RCS),
> includes the City of Carlsbad, many of the fire protection districts,
> and numerous city agencies. What is most exciting about the RCS is the
> ability for field units to be able to talk to each other,
> regardless of where they are in the county.
>
>
http://www.co.san-diego.ca.us/cnty/cntydepts/safety/sheriff/patrol/800mhz/80
0mhz_1.html

6100. Re: Articles about public safety communications in major cities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu>
Message-ID: <7leo4j$f3b$1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 114134

I meant that it was an excellent article because it explained many of the problems facing cities these days, and it explained in layman's terms the technology involved in some of today's most advanced systems.

Dave

Nick Fury <NFury@shield.net.org.com> wrote in message
news:7la6i8rva1@news.monmouth.com...
>
> David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu> wrote in message
> news:7l9fda$9vh$1@autumn.news.rcn.net...
>
>
> SNIPPED
>
>
> > (By the way,
> > I have already seen the excellent article from CityPaper about
> > Philadelphia's proposed digital trunked system.)
>
>
> First strike against you, that "excellent article" was heavily
> biased and contained a series of factual errors being perpetuated
> by the anti Motorola crowd (ie. scanner enthusiasts who will be
> locked out of monitoring ASTRO digital systems).

6101. Re: can anyone recommend a good repair shop in N.Y.C

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Simmont <csimmont@intsvc.com>
Message-ID: <377AE102.9A40C14A@intsvc.com>
Source record: 114135

Well I have yet to find a good radio repair shop local, the only one I would recommend happens to be in NY, G & G Communications (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/). They are a bit slow as far as getting time to look at your radio, but last time I talked to Jerry he said he averages about a month backlog. I have sent scanners to them and had them returned with no bill if they couldn't repair them, and also had work done on other items that was reasonable.

GOODWRENCH#3 wrote:

> hello, I have a realistic pro-35 portable.
> It scans and picks up frequencies for about 10 minutes. then it
> continues scanning, but it does not pick up any frequencies.
>
> I have taken it to the local radio shack for repairs 2 times,
> it functions properly for about 2 months, then it starts
> with the same problem again. can anybody please recommend agood repair shop
> in N.Y.C.
>
> I live in the Bronx, any inf. or advice would be appreciated
> I'm tired of getting ripped off by radio shack
> --
> JAY
> thank you
>
> --
> JAY

--
Charles Simmont - ICP509 Dispatcher & National Coordinator
Incident Command Page of Arizona http://www.axtek.com/n7qyk/icp.html
Notification Systems webpage http://www.intsvc.com/csimmont

The opinions and views expressed are my own and not that of ICP unless otherwise stated.

6102. Re: FS AR8200+Jav232+memory card $650

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Greg Dean <ikdean@purdue.edu>
Message-ID: <377AE7E1.78AB7998@purdue.edu>
Source record: 114136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have you sold it yet?

Joseph Wilson wrote:
>
> For sale:
>
> AR8200 scanner with leather case, JAV 232 interface from
> Javiation, 4,000 channel memory card, all for $650 shipped
>
> --
>

6103. Re: Articles about public safety communications in major cities

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Schoenberger <dtscho@mail.wm.edu>
Message-ID: <7leoc4heg1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 114137

I don't really have any technical qualifications for this project. The project doesn't claim to be a highly technical account anyway. See an earlier reponse of mine to this thread about my use of the word "excellent" in regard to the Philadelphia article.

Dave

Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:7lab4k$m1a$0@207.18.224.96...

> Can I ask what your qualifications are for such a project? (Not
> saying you need qualifications, but if you are going to present it
> in the manner that you state, it would be nice to have some kind
> of technical/engineering training to back up your paper)
>
> I wonder about this, as it already is apparent that at least your
> objectivity is in question. The supposed "excellent" CityPaper
> article was a onesided, misinformed, out and out incorrect
> presentation of innuendo and lies.
>
> You might want to start out your research by checking out those
> so-called "facts" brought out in that article.

6104. Re: seaside

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <Witch*Dr0@usa0.no0bulk0email0.0net>
Message-ID: <377AE872.4ECF@usa0.no0bulk0email0.0net>
Source record: 114138

lippy4@gte.net wrote:
>
> does anyone know the frequencies for Seaside, Or police and fire

Check http://www.osu.orst.edu/~varineb/scanner.htm

Look at Clatsop County freqs.

6105. PRO-91 FS $120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Miller <mcmiller@netboise.com>
Message-ID: <377B062B.C0E720B7@netboise.com>
Source record: 114139

I have a very perfect PRO-91 Trunk-Tracker portable for sale. Date code 2A8 (feb 98) New manual, new rubber keypad.

$120 shipped.
Uses 4 AA batterys.

Thanks,

John

6106. BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ck279@torfree.net
Message-ID: <FE47q6.I3o.0.sheppard@torfree.net>
Source record: 114140

It's on the uniden web site.

Goto www.uniden.com and look for links to BC245XLT under scanner category
--

Philip Tong
hytong@iname.com ck279@torfree.net ck279@freenet.toronto.on.ca
Toronto, Ontario, CANADA

6107. Re: R2 cell images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <klee3.4485$qd.3206@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 114141

Burt:

The frequency range to avoid is between; 490 ~ 515 MHz!

Bill Crocker

BurtonS961 <burtons961@aol.com> wrote in message
news:19990629204419.15622.00004655@ng-ft1.aol.com...
> A while back someone posted the band edge freqs for cell image monitoring.
I
> let it go by and lost it. Could someone repeat them for me?
> Thanks much,
> Burt
> Burt Smith, BurtonS961@AOL.com

6108. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629221049.20610.00002304@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 114142

mmcginley@STOPSPAMkscable.com (Michael W. McGinley) wrote:

>O For crying out loud !
>
>I'm sick and tired of this code Vs. Nocode debate/flamewar
>I fought and clawed to get my 5WPM and failed several times at the testing
>centers, But i never gve up and guess what... i finally got it and i am
>glad i did
>
>If you take the code requirment out of amatuer radio you take the challenge
>out of it and the pride that goes with the knowlage that you actually did it.
>and the ham bands will become nothing more than a expensive version of 11
>meters
> and if that happend we all lose.
>
>Those of you that were in collage and were offered a membership in a house
>(Not sure how to spell it) got subjected to some initation rite...but
>after it was all over you had a certian kinship with the other members.
>the code is really no differnt... without it...where's the pride in
>acomplishing a diffult task (Not that it's that hard)
>
>
>But then again... who want's to work for somthing anymore ?
>
>If i can get the code you can too.
>
>it's a hassle but it's worth it
>
>Have a nice one all
>
>E-Mail Encouraged...Flames put out with HALON(tm)
>
>Mike - N0JVT

Is your computer still SMOKING Mike? I said something aalong these lines, and I got flamed unmercifully! My gosh, I never would have believed that with the creation of the NO-CODE license, would come such a clamor from people wanting FREE licenses. I have even read posts from people that think that ANY examination is 'UNCONSTITUTIONAL'!!!! Believe that? I took, and passed a 5 WPM CW test 26 years ago. Four years later, I passed a 13 WPM code test in the FACE of an FCC AGENT! And people nowadays THINK THEY have it tough! Im telling you, back then, that 13 test was ONLY given by the FCC. And they kinda make you nervous. Not exactly like being at GRANDMA'S DINNER TABLE...They didn't SMILE, or offer you ANY encouragement! And those that failed, didn't get any, "SORRY, MAYBE YOU WILL GET IT NEXT TIME" crap. These people that refuse to learn Morse,

are not serious about getting a better Ham License. let them stay where they are! ... Joey

6109. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629221849.20610.00002306@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 114143

Joe Davidson" <joe_davidson@email.msn.com wrote:

>I am a ham of 23 years... I came from 11 Meters... My wife has been a ham
>as long as I have... Knowing what I know now... I would have used this
>money
>to buy cell phones and pay for the service for 20 years!!!
>
>As for expensive CB... have you listened to 75 meters or 20 meters
>lately....
>I hear the same language there that I hear on Channel 19 .. or worse.
>
>Lets face it!! Hams are so complacent that the FCC will knock on their
>door and cite them before they will know that they have lost all their
>frequencies!!!
>
>It is a dead hobby.... it just hasn't smelled its own carrion yet!!
>
>I can go places and talk to people on the Internet that i use to fight to
>talk
>to on HF... I have 200 countries confirmed on HF... Could do that in a
>week on internet...
>
>
>Hams WILL lose their spectrum... bit by bit... because they won't clean up
>their
>act not polince their own!!
>
>Oh well... street corner preaching!!
>
>Fare well ... and find a new hobby!!
>Michael W. McGinley

COMPLACENT? DEAD HOBBY? Let me ask you something...Do you still hold a valid Ham license? I ask this, because it is unusual to hear an ACTIVE Ham so BITTER. Did some 75 meter JERK use some COLORFUL METAPHORS to you, or your wife? Something sure must have TURNED YOU OFF to Ham radio. You sound like you went to Wayne Greene's School of GLOOM AND DOOM! ... Joey

6110. Re: San Diego County Sheriff's Department

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "I St.John" <SDWolf@home.com>
Message-ID: <377986C9.ECB168C8@home.com>
Source record: 114144

John Turner wrote:

I St.John wrote:

> >
> > All of the SDSO talk groups on the new 800 trunking system
> > are digital, so there is no current way to listen in on them.
> >
> > -Ian
>
> Ok, it's digital, but is it Encrypted?

Yes and no. Some people have told me that the system is encrypted, and some have told me it is only digital. When we were trained on the new radio system we were told some talk groups are digital, some talk groups are digital & encrypted, and some of the talk groups are in the clear. I believe that all of the county's law enforcement dispatch and tactical talk groups are digital and encrypted. We were even told that some of our talk groups were secure from other agencies on the system, and used unique encryption keys. I'm not sure about the various law enforcement command & tactical talk groups as every law enforcement radio on the system has them. All of the mutual aid command & tactical talk groups are in the clear, as (I guess) several departments on the system did not want to pay the extra money for digital or encryption capable radios. If a major incident erupts, most of the communications will most likely be switched to the mutual aid talk groups to allow coordination between agencies (fire, police, medical, etc).

-Ian

6111. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629225707.20610.00002323@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 114145

kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com (Registered Monitor KNY2VS) wrote:

>CLIP<

>The point is that if one simply wishes to communicate with persons in
>other countries, there's no need to waste one's time learning Morse.
>All you need to do is download mIRC or Internet Phone. I'll have
>already communicated with 200 countries in the time it takes the next
>guy to learn the code. All it takes is a local call to my ISP. So this
>is no longer a valid selling point for ham radio, or for the code
>requirement either. This is 1999, not 1899.
>

The first thing I will ask you is, are you a Ham operator? This sounds like something a CBer would write, that is FRUSTRATED because of the MORSE REQUIREMENT to get a HIGHER class Ham license. Is this the case? Are you fuming because the WHOLE WORLD still requires MORSE for Hams to communicate on the HF bands? I fully believe that with all the whimpering and pouting from would be Hams, if the FCC 'COULD', it WOULD throw out morse code as a requirement. But the fact is, THEY CAN'T!!!! Get it? They CANNOT! You might as well face it, as long as the WORLD community wants MORSE, WE will have it too. I personally don't see what is so stinking difficult about it. I learned it when I was 10 YEARS OLD! I could do 15 WPM (receive) when I was 14. You learned to TYPE, didn't you? But then, THAT was voluntary. CW is a REQUIREMENT for anything except an entry level Ham license. If you can learn to TYPE, you can learn CW. If people would spend as much time PRACTICING morse code, as they do BITCHING about it, they would have their licenses before they knew it. MORSE, MORSE, MORSE...I am one of those 'CODE GEEK HAMS', and I don't want to see one Cycle of those UNUSED frequencies go to the likes of CBers, or Multimillion Dollar companies like UPS. I am not just addressing YOU, but everyone that uses MORSE CODE as an excuse to remain CB. Just remember, the FCC might MODIFY the code requirement, but they WON'T dispose of it. Those waiting on that, might as well have 'CB' tattooed on their forearms...Joey

Flames Ignored...

6112. Re: BC235XLT antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <19990629230038.20247.00005666@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 114146

Laura,

Replace it with a Watson, either the Regular-Gainer or the Super-Gainer.
Both will outperform a stock Uniden or RadioShack rubber ducky.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"If voting really mattered Congress would make it illegal."

6113. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629231324.20610.00002333@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 114147

kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com (Registered Monitor KNY2VS) wrote:

>CLIP<

>Hams have already started losing their spectrum...checked out what
>USED to be the bottom part of the 1-1/4 meter band lately? You won't
>find hams there anymore, you'll find United Parcel Service instead.

You are right about United Parcel Service getting 220 to 222 mhz. But you forgot to mention the REAL reason this spectrum was REALLOCATED. It wasn't JUST because of lack of interest by the Ham community, but because of UPS'S MONEY! UPS BOUGHT that stinking frequency range! Ever heard the old adage, MONEY TALKS, BULL#$%$ WALKS? And this POLICING THE RANKS...By this do you mean, reporting someone you hear cursing to the FCC? What else can ANYONE do? You can't go to their house and tear down their antenna, snatch them out of their house and BEAT them, etc...So it really boils down to FCC enforcement, which, unfortunately is lacking...

>CLIP<

>CLIP<

Off Soap box...

6114. Re: Wintone PRO Beta 2 Now available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: not@home.now
Message-ID: <377a8b1b.56542846@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 114148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 29 Jun 1999 19:28:46 GMT, skanman@cwix.com wrote:

>Has anybody recived a response from this company? I have sent them an email
>and havn't received the URL.
>
>rsmerker@steaksandwich.com wrote:
>
That pretty much sums up their customer service attitude...

Bummer... LCM

6115. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629231759.20610.00002337@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 114149

gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:

>Why is this in a scanner room?

This is not a ROOM!

6116. Re: Frequency Counter, which to buy??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629233239.20610.00002341@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 114150

Zerothehero2000@webtv.net (Damon Breeden) wrote:

>Try Ramsey Electronics, they make a couple of counters that are fairly
>decent. The model i have cost $120, covers 1mhz thru 2.8ghz, and has
>good sensitivity. More bang for the buck than Optoelectronics.

Hey, I have been looking for someone ELSE that built something from Ramsey. I like their stuff too. I have buit their little 2 meter power amp kit, and I tuned it up with a bird, and threw it on the floor of my car. It has been working GREAT there for over 3 years. By the way, being that you built that Freq counter, can you tell me the frequency of the timebase crystal it uses? Thanks, Joey

6117. Re: For Sale Commodore 64 Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629232644.20610.00002339@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 114151

Nick" <ringo@sbt.net wrote:

>I have a few Commodore 64's and a few 128's , a monitor and two modified
>1541 floppy drives and THOUSANDS of old hacker programs ham programs. It
>also has a modem and mouse you pretty much name it..
>
>I am asking $100.00 for it ALL plus shipping
>
> Good easy to get up on packet

COMMODORE 64s??????? I thought the EPA outlawed those things years ago, because
they were CLOGGING the Nation's landfills! I see you 'HID' yours...

6118. Re: FS Pro-2004 - $190 shipped & insured

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: shack23@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629234128.20610.00002342@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 114152

barryo@fastlink.com.au (Barry O'Grady) wrote:

Subject: Radio Shack Pro-2004 for sale.

>And then do the mod to give it 400 channels?

That and other things...I used to own one of these, and I would like to have another. I think it was a unique radio...Joey

6119. Re: Legal advice from Bill Cheek (Thanks, Bill!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: geovet@thevision.net
Message-ID: <3779a04e.4045320@news.thevision.net>
Source record: 114153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.fan.bill.cheek

On Tue, 29 Jun 1999 18:33:14 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>This is really FUNNY!!!!! ESPECIALLY the part I
>qouted below since now he is complaining about being
>busted & not knowing the laws!!!!!!!!!!!!!
<SNIP>

John, is williarsch one of *your* sock puppets or are you one of
his?

6120. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: myway@ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <7lc94i$m80@dfw-ixnews11.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 114154

In <Hjae3.720$cp6.24980@news.uswest.net> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
writes:
>
>What a scumbag you are Mackey-bowl. Not a single thing you said about
>Alexander is true yet he never spoke an untrue word about you. You are
a
>jerk supreme John-Bowl. Give it a rest for a few months.

hey hey hey....glenn of Repute-maybe

you come down on me for "ooops" post
and now after a month you keep saying
the same thing over and over and over
again...not to any hearable melody
either.

Nothing changes with you either.

so give your umbilicord a rest and
give birth to another baby
with a brain....

quit being trite
improve the world
overnight. Quit.

bill conduit
etc.

6121. mods for 2041 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Thackett <solo5745@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7lcak0$8o9$1@ash.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 114155

any one have modifcations for radio shack 2041 base scanner

6122. Re: MVT-9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Have Blue <mainsail01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <19990630073746.65779.qmail@hotmail.com>
Source record: 114156

Hi Rob,

Nice pick up. But before buying it I strongly reccomend you to give a close look to the new model (9000 MKII). The Mark II version has a descrambler feature on it and the scanner is now all silver gray (but this is irrelevant). Furthermore, check also the mods made by Bandercom. I have a Yupi 9000 with a Discriminator Output, scan rate enhanced to 45 freq/sec, flashing LED on top panel that informs when squelch is open (for nite ops) or scanner is idle (steady light).
It's the best handheld ever. The only lack is the duckie antenna. It sucks. Only good in the 900 Mhz range.
All the best and good buy.

Kevin

>From: "ROBERT A. REID" <rreid@mnsinc.com>
>Reply-To: <rec.radio.scanner@list.deja.com>
>To: <rec.radio.scanner@list.deja.com>
>Subject: MVT-9000
>Date: Sat, 26 Jun 1999 13:50:10 -0400
>
>Hello Bill,
>I read ur posting "My Idea of a Good Scanner" in the newsgroup
>"rec.radio.scanner".
>I have been considering the purchase of a MVT-9000, i am not interested
>in computer control, however i am looking for the best wide band
>RECEIVER, which i feel this one is.
>I am looking for performance on the HF amateur bands as well as VHF/UHF
>scanning.
>I would be very interested in hearing what u feel r "some serious flaws"
>with this radio.
>
> 73, Bob (WX3G)
> Vienna, Va.
>
>
>
> >
>

_____
Get Free Email and Do More On The Web. Visit http://www.msn.com

6123. Re: Yellowstone National Park Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: SPAMSUCS@seeabove.com
Message-ID: <MPG.11e3a365718cf71f9896ae@news.fast.net>
Source record: 114157

Pick up a copy of of the latest Monitoring Times (July 99) Scanning Yellowstone is the feature article.

Mark - XL

In article <37784D92.FE486A69@earthlink.net>, wb6sub@earthlink.net says...
> North/West of Park Repeater
> 166.375 Repeat (input166.975
>
> East/South of Park
> 165.5875 Repeater (input 164.800)
>
> Park Operations
> 167.150 Repeater (input 163.125)
>
> National Park SMA
> 172.525
>
> I Think this will help.
>
> "" wrote:
>
> > Does anyone have any frequencies for Yellowstone National Park...repeater
> > locations...coverage areas...services by frequency ?
> >
> > Thanks !
>
>
>

6124. Re: R2 cell images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: blade@telerama.com
Message-ID: <377adc22.3469697@news.telerama.com>
Source record: 114158

On 30 Jun 1999 00:44:19 GMT, burtons961@aol.com (BurtonS961) wrote:

>A while back someone posted the band edge freqs for cell image monitoring. I
>let it go by and lost it. Could someone repeat them for me?
>Thanks much,
>Burt
>Burt Smith, BurtonS961@AOL.com

If you look in the June 99 issue of monitoring times.

recieved F=(display F * 1.5) + 133.35

so 490 to 510 Mhz with steps of 20

Kind of strange how the 30 khz steps are steped down to 20 khz steps.
Is this a bug or a feature?

6125. Re: GRE Scanner Web site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Wells, N2MCA" <n2mca@strongsignals.net>
Message-ID: <3779E08E.9F1C9FE1@strongsignals.net>
Source record: 114159

KC5ZRQ wrote:
> I don't believe GRE sells scanners.

Actually, they do. Over in Europe under their own name. Current modes include the PSR-239, PSR-244 and PSR-250.

Try http://www.gre.co.jp/index_e.html

Strong Signals,
Rich Wells N2MCA
http://www.strongsignals.net/

6126. FS: 1000 Watt (24VDC powered) INVERTER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mitchell Katz <mcguyver@bv.net>
Message-ID: <3779E9FB.C12E99E6@bv.net>
Source record: 114160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.equipment,rec.video
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: mcguyver.vcf

I have a Tripp_lite 1000 WATT AC inverter with dual fused outlets, large commercial unit works fine, accepts 24VDC input, outputs 115VAC @ 60Hz - sell for $300 obo

Photo upon request

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

6127. Pro-2006 Discriminator Output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: keyarena@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7lcvog$bu4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114161

Can ANYONE provide a webpage or FTP site that give
(detailed) info on how to go about making a
dicriminator output for the Radio Shack Pro-2006
model???

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

6128. FS:Bearcat Uniden BC175XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kgirard@mindex.com
Message-ID: <377a0017.3365647@news.mindex.com>
Source record: 114162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have forsale a Bearcat Uniden BC175XL. I am not sure how old the unit is has i got it second hand. But it looks almost new. I like to get $50.00 for it.

Thanks

6129. Decoding Pages manually?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: pville411@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990630073929.05086.00002023@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 114163

I was wondering if it is possible to decode an pager protocol with just a reciever, a spectrogram program, and a copy of the protocol. I've been looking around on the net (halfheartedly) for a clear description of any of the standard US paging protocol, but i haven't been able to find one useful enough. Is decoding impossible (extremely difficult) without a program like POC 32? I appreciate your help very much.
take care,
matt

6130. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <377ff90f.2610577@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Tue, 29 Jun 1999 18:27:26 GMT, jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>I have forwarded you SEVERAl of my on-topic posts but you still deny them.

I just checked my mail. Nothing there, toilet boy. You know the drill and how to try and prove something. Your word is meaningless here.

>You have proven yourself NOT to be trustworthy!

Nice try. All smoke and mirrors. That's all you have ever been and all you will ever be.

>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> Hey, loony, when you complete one of MY challenges to YOU, then you can
>> question me.
>>
>> Until then, post on topic or stay out of this NG!!

6131. Re: Is it true that Bill Cheek is under arrest of ECPA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <377ef8b3.2517860@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Hey Macaroon, here is another example of ONE of my MANY on-topic posts
>in this NG.

You should know better, toilet boy. No Deja link, no deal. You are such a
predictable FOOL!!

Now, get back to cleaning out the bedpans. The place is beginning to smell.

>Macaroon wrote:
>
>> jmackey@usa.net wrote:
>> >I have posted on-topic MANY times.
>>
>> *yawn*. You've never EVER been able to prove it. It's been years now.

6132. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: <>
Message-ID: <3780f97a.2718109@enews.newsguy.com>
Source record: 114166

jmackey@usa.net wrote:

>Now, MALEXDER, IF you would STOP your "lying, impersonating,

You are a hypocritical loser, retard child. All you do is lie and post
off-topic. Nothing more.

>
>MAlexder wrote:
>
>> Terrific! I'm thrilled to know that you're finally going to stop posting
>
>
>----------== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==---------
>http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own
>

6133. FS: Pro-2006 w/OS456: $450

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: fa419@cleveland.Freenet.Edu
Message-ID: <7ld1apdfg1@pale-rider.INS.CWRU.Edu>
Source record: 114167

For sale:
Realistic Pro-2006 scanner, installed Optoelectronics OS-456 and
Scanstar Professional software (for IBM PC) version 3.44

All one owner, bought on 9/14/94. I have the original receipts for
all three.

Included:
- Original owners manual for all three as well as Realistic
Service manual for 2006.
- Serial cable for connection of PC to OS456/2006 and original telescoping
antenna.

Pro-2006 is in perfect working and cosmetic condition, backlight
hardly used and in perfect condition because the unit was almost
always computer controlled.

$450.00 plus shipping/handling/insurance for all

Michael Staples

6134. Re: Decoding Pages manually?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeffrey Broome <jlbroome@BUTIDONTLIKESPAMworldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <377A09FF.7A8BD5B2@BUTIDONTLIKESPAMworldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114168

Pville411 wrote:
>
> I was wondering if it is possible to decode an pager protocol with just a
> reciever, a spectrogram program, and a copy of the protocol. I've been looking
> around on the net (halfheartedly) for a clear description of any of the
> standard US paging protocol, but i haven't been able to find one useful enough.
> Is decoding impossible (extremely difficult) without a program like POC 32? I
> appreciate your help very much.
> take care,
> matt

I would be willing to bet that the developers of these programs (POC32,
PD-204, Semasoft, pocflex, winflex, etc.) spent many hours decoding the
protocols by hand as they were debugging their code. So, Yes, it is
possible and probably very tedious.

All you really need is something to translate the FSK RF data to 1's and
0's (like a scanner with a data slicer), detailed knowledge of the
protocol, and enough time and energy to convert all of the 1's and 0's
into pager messages.

I would also be willing to bet that, whether you use a computer to
display messages, a modified pager to display messages, or hand decode
the messages, all of these activities would be considered illegal in the
U.S. (if you used the information for your own gain, or redistributed
the information to others).

jeffrey broome
jlbroome@worldnet.att.net

6135. Re: Screw-on BNC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <377a0dc1.4792313@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 114169

SMA.

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

6136. Re: WHAT did I hear????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: barryo@fastlink.com.au
Message-ID: <377a0e91.4999798@genenews.acay.com.au>
Source record: 114170

"D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

:Pville411 wrote in message <19990628232201.09538.00002806@ng-fg1.aol.com>...
:>reception was fuzzy, but the flick was very pronounced. Also, there was
:some
:>noise that sounded like it could be the faint remnants of some FSK or other
:
:
:Sounds like APRS - Automated Position Reporting System. It's a ham radio
:operation that uses packet mode to give regular position reports that can be
:plotted on a map by anyone with the relevant APRS software.

Sounds like broadcasting which is illegal for hams.

:73 de Dave, NF2G

10-4 good buddy

Barry
==========
Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

6137. PRO 2006 Backlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert Greene <Greene.Robert@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7ld353$av2$1@bgtnsc01.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114171

I recall seing a post about this some time ago, but now I need the info and can't seem to find the posting.
My several year old PRO 2006 backlight is very dim - I can only read the display with a direct light on the screen. Is there a replacement available? the unit has been is almost constant operation for several years, but in my new location, the ambient lighting is different - hence the problem.
Thanks in advance.....

6138. Re: fast food freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <377A1D74.257B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114172
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Vinny P wrote:
>
> what are they thanks
>
> Vinny P
>
> Mesquite,Tx

Check out the following URL:

http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/fastfood.txt

6139. Re: WHAT did I hear????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <M5pe3.3863$U6.19201@newsr2.twcny.rr.com>
Source record: 114173

Barry O'Grady wrote in message <377a0e91.4999798@genenews.acay.com.au>...
>:Sounds like APRS - Automated Position Reporting System. It's a ham radio
>:operation that uses packet mode to give regular position reports that can
be
>:plotted on a map by anyone with the relevant APRS software.
>
>Sounds like broadcasting which is illegal for hams.

Unproto (un-protocol or non-connected) packets have not been deemed to
constitute a "broadcast" in a sense that would violate Part 97. Similar
regulatory theory as is applied to code practice and ARRL bulletins.

de Dave, NF2G

6140. FS on EBay RS Pro 26 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: tjp@erinet.com
Message-ID: <377b224d.42022004@nntp2.erinet.com>
Source record: 114174

Follow the link below if interested:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=124758106

tjp#erinet.com

Change # to @ to respond.

6141. Re: Anyone have Target store freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: removals1@iname.com
Message-ID: <377a31c9.5231416@news>
Source record: 114175
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 29 Jun 1999 21:35:18 -0600, Information Matrix
<dziemba@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Actually mervyns is a sister store...take it from me, I use to be a "team menber",
>brainwashed too I might add....

Really? I hear it's pretty tough to escape from these cults - tell us
about your experiences. ;-)

NOTE: SPAM shields at 100%, Captain!

6142. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com
Message-ID: <377b295a.30336851@news.buffnet.net>
Source record: 114176

On 30 Jun 1999 02:57:07 GMT, shack23@aol.com (Shack23) wrote:

>The first thing I will ask you is, are you a Ham operator? This sounds like
>something a CBer would write, that is FRUSTRATED because of the MORSE
>REQUIREMENT to get a HIGHER class Ham license. Is this the case? Are you fuming
>because the WHOLE WORLD still requires MORSE for Hams to communicate on the HF
>bands? I fully believe that with all the whimpering and pouting from would be
>Hams, if the FCC 'COULD', it WOULD throw out morse code as a requirement. But

I am neither a CB operator nor a ham operator, I am a scanner monitor
and SWL...although to prove a point to a couple of hams here in the
area, I did let a couple of local hams with whom I am friends
administer a written test which they did by plucking questions from
the FCC question pool, and I did pass the tes...had they been VE's, I
suppose I would have a ham license now, but I don't want one.

>the fact is, THEY CAN'T!!!! Get it? They CANNOT! You might as well face it, as
>long as the WORLD community wants MORSE, WE will have it too. I personally

I'm aware of the current ITU agreements upon which the requirements of
Part 97 are based. I'm also aware that technically, the FCC has
already violated those agreements by issuing CB licenses back in the
era of 1958 thru 1977 to persons who then proceeded to operate on HF
frequencies without having demonstrated any knowledge of Morse
whatsoever...a practice which continues today without licensing.

>don't see what is so stinking difficult about it. I learned it when I was 10
>YEARS OLD! I could do 15 WPM (receive) when I was 14. You learned to TYPE,
>didn't you? But then, THAT was voluntary. CW is a REQUIREMENT for anything
>except an entry level Ham license. If you can learn to TYPE, you can learn CW.

I made no comments whatsoever about the degree of difficulty involved
in learning Morse. I merely stated that I refused to waste my time
learning about a method of communication that was invented over 200
years ago for the sole purpose of sending messages over a hardwire
telegraph system.

It also occurs to me that, if I were a ham operator, I ceertainly
don't think that I'd be too interested in sending messages in dits and
dahs at 5 words per minute when there are certainly more efficient
ways to send messages - the use of the human voice among them along
with various digital modes.

I did not waste my time learning how to drive a horse-drawn carriage
before getting a license to drive a car, and for the same reasons, if
I ever do decide to get a ham license, I will not waste any time
learning Morse, either.

>If people would spend as much time PRACTICING morse code, as they do BITCHING
>about it, they would have their licenses before they knew it. MORSE, MORSE,
>MORSE...I am one of those 'CODE GEEK HAMS', and I don't want to see one Cycle
>of those UNUSED frequencies go to the likes of CBers, or Multimillion Dollar
>companies like UPS. I am not just addressing YOU, but everyone that uses MORSE
>CODE as an excuse to remain CB. Just remember, the FCC might MODIFY the code
>requirement, but they WON'T dispose of it. Those waiting on that, might as well
>have 'CB' tattooed on their forearms...Joey

Well, in my own case, any time spent praticing Morse would have to be taken away from my SWL and scanning activities, which time is already to little for me to be willing to even consider it.

As for unused spectrum going to the likes of CBers, well, once again, judging from some of the stuff I've heard on 20m, 40m, and 80m, that's already happened...and as for UPS, that's also already happened with a portion of the spectrum that was formerly a part of 1.25 meters.

With regard to using Morse as an excuse to remain CB, I don't. Nor do I use it as an excuse to remain an SWL and scanner enthusiast rather than a ham. The reason I don't want a ham license is that, quite frankly, I have zero interest in Morse as a method of communication, I feel it is obsolete and its capabilities are exceeded by a number of other more modern methods of communication, and thus my only interest in a ham ticket would be the no-code tech (oh yes, by the way, the FCC has indeed DISPOSED of the code for that particular license class, haven't they?). However, given the attitude of numerous hams towards Tech licensees, well...I'd just as soon not have to associate with that lot. Thre are a lot of Extra, Advanced, and General class hams who seem to feel Techs aren't REAL hams at all...and yet, while many of these same hams can chatter away at 20 or 30 WPM morse, ask them to sit down in front of a computer and install Windows 98 and a compendium of packet radio software, and their eyes glaze over.

Given the current state of communications technology, I'd say the ability to set up packet software is a heck of a lot more relevant to modern hamming than pounding brass...so just who are the REAL hams?

The bottom line is this...Morse may have been great 30 years ago, but with the advent of laptop computers that are smaller than your telephone book and pack more computing power than mainframes of 30 years ago, I think it's safe to say that the future of ham radio, if any, does NOT rest with CW. Continuing to insist that it does will only cause more and more people to turn away from ham radio, and as the number of hams on HF decreases accordingly, the amount of bandwidth allocated to the service will decrease accordingly until there's nothing left.

At that point, if you and I are still around to see it, you can explain to me why retaining the code requirement was in the best interests of the hobby...I'll be the guy listening to Navy, Air Force, and Coast Guard comms on HF, VHF, and UHF while laughing my fanny off at the hams who choked to death the goose that laid their golden egg.

73 DE KNY2VS

6143. Re: WHAT did I hear????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Spamfree <Spamfree@DELETETHIS.spamfree.net>
Message-ID: <7ldf1o$d3t@chronicle.concentric.net>
Source record: 114177

Broadcasting? No more than running model aircraft on the amateur bands...

--
Friends don't let friends use e-bay
E-bay: proud sponsor of Al Gore's 2000 presidential campaign

Barry O'Grady <barryo@fastlink.com.au> wrote in message
news:377a0e91.4999798@genenews.acay.com.au...
> "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>
> :Pville411 wrote in message
<19990628232201.09538.00002806@ng-fg1.aol.com>...
> :>reception was fuzzy, but the flick was very pronounced. Also, there was
> :some
> :>noise that sounded like it could be the faint remnants of some FSK or
other
> :
> :
> :Sounds like APRS - Automated Position Reporting System. It's a ham radio
> :operation that uses packet mode to give regular position reports that can
be
> :plotted on a map by anyone with the relevant APRS software.
>
> Sounds like broadcasting which is illegal for hams.
>
> :73 de Dave, NF2G
>
> 10-4 good buddy
>
> Barry
> ==========
> Please send me an email copy if you reply to this post.
> Web page: http://www.acay.com.au/~barryo

6144. Re: Pro-2006 Discriminator Output

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: cray2141@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <7ldiio$jp1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114178

Check out this page:

http://home.ptd.net/~pro2006/

Also look at Bill Cheek's web site under FAQ:

http://www.comtronics.net/scandata.txt

In article <7lcvog$bu4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
keyarena@my-deja.com wrote:
> Can ANYONE provide a webpage or FTP site that give
> (detailed) info on how to go about making a
> dicriminator output for the Radio Shack Pro-2006
> model???
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Share what you know. Learn what you don't.
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

6145. Re: POCSAG NEED HELP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ssb.auvicom.nl" <ardan@REMOVEauvicom.nl>
Message-ID: <7ldic0$ac9$1@news.casema.net>
Source record: 114179
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

http://ssb.auvicom.nl

Daar kan je het vinden

mking heeft geschreven in bericht ...
>HI FOLKS
>i need help in pocsag cant find a program in English
>also how does it work
>post to newsgroup or email me
>mking@indigo.ie
>thanx
>Luther
>
>

6146. E-bay: proud sponsor of Al Gore's 2000 presidential campaign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tony Kottnik <TKottnick@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <377A30A8.2E528EF3@sprynet.com>
Source record: 114180

tjp@erinet.com wrote:
>
> Follow the link below if interested:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=124758106

Friends don't let friends use e-bay
E-bay: proud sponsor of Al Gore's 2000 presidential campaign

6147. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com
Message-ID: <377c4989.1252119@news.buffnet.net>
Source record: 114181

On 30 Jun 1999 03:13:24 GMT, shack23@aol.com (Shack23) wrote:

>You are right about United Parcel Service getting 220 to 222 mhz. But you
>forgot to mention the REAL reason this spectrum was REALLOCATED. It wasn't JUST
>because of lack of interest by the Ham community, but because of UPS'S MONEY!
>UPS BOUGHT that stinking frequency range!

I seem to recall two things when this happened...number one, that UPS's response to the FCC's Proposed Notice Of Rulemaking was actually received by FCC *after* the supposed deadline for comments to said notice, and number two, that the FCC stated that a primary reason for going ahead with the reallocation despite the objections of the amateur radio community was "widespread disuse of the frequencies in question" or words to that effect.

Today there is a similar situation in many areas on 6 meters, and utilization of the amateur radio allocations above 900 MHz is sparse or in some cases nonexistent. And these are bands that are fair game for no-code licensees!

On the other hand, the current situation for operating privileges for the various license classes seems kind of screwed up to me anyway. On 40 and 80 a Novice can work the world using...what is it, 75 watts max on CW? Yet the same novice cannot pick up a 500 milliwatt HT and talk to the guy down the street on 2 meters. Makes sense...NOT!

>TALKS, BULL#$%$ WALKS? And this POLICING THE RANKS...By this do you mean,
>reporting someone you hear cursing to the FCC? What else can ANYONE do? You
>can't go to their house and tear down their antenna, snatch them out of their
>house and BEAT them, etc...So it really boils down to FCC enforcement, which,
>unfortunately is lacking...

Yes, this is exactly what I mean, Joey. FCC's Compliance and Information Bureau (CIB) is down to just ONE remaining HF manned monitoring station. The network of HF monitoring stations is still equipped, but everything is remoted from the Columbia Operations Center in Maryland (during business hours anyway...at other times CIB's Communications and Crisis Management Center at FCC HQ in Washington, DC takes over). There's sometimes just one person on duty here, and that's a lot of territory for one person to cover, regardless of how well-equipped he or she is.

The FCC does, however, still respond to complaints. You may not want to go to someone's house and tear down their antenna and so forth, but a local ham club could most certainly hit the bricks with the appropriate RDF equipment, locate the offending party, perhaps get the

ARRL's section coordinator and Official Observers and whatever other self-policing machinery the amateur community has at its disposal, and then go to the FCC with a mountain of evidence and let CIB take it from there. It would be one way of getting such buttheads off the air, or at least provide a deterrent for would-be offenders to think about before firing up the tools of their nefarious trade.

73 DE KNY2VS

6148. Re: PRO 2006 Backlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Robert <rc123@globe-net.net>
Message-ID: <377A4F82.3F750AAE@globe-net.net>
Source record: 114182

You're lucky, the part is still available for the PRO-2005 & 2006.
The part number is 10800050 ELECTROLUMINESCENT, LCD.
This can be ordered directly from 1-800-843-7422 (Radio Shack)
I have a webpage showing the basics of the backlight (EL) replacement:

http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/Backlight.html

If you'd like to have this replaced but don't want to risk damaging your
radio, I offer these type services also:

http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/Services.html

Robert

Robert Greene wrote:

> I recall seing a post about this some time ago, but now I need the info and
> can't seem to find the posting.
> My several year old PRO 2006 backlight is very dim - I can only read the
> display with a direct light on the screen. Is there a replacement available?
> the unit has been is almost constant operation for several years, but in my
> new location, the ambient lighting is different - hence the problem.
> Thanks in advance.....

6149. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com
Message-ID: <377e50ec.3142912@news.buffnet.net>
Source record: 114183

On 30 Jun 1999 02:18:49 GMT, shack23@aol.com (Shack23) wrote:

>Something sure must have TURNED YOU OFF to Ham radio. You sound like you went
>to Wayne Greene's School of GLOOM AND DOOM! ... Joey

Wayne Greene? That name sounds familiar...I think that back in the
1970's he used to publish a magazine I used to read - perhaps he even
still does? I think I may even have written him a letter some 20 years
ago, if I recall correctly. How about refreshing my memory a bit?

73 DE KNY2VS

6150. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com
Message-ID: <37825b48.5795486@news.buffnet.net>
Source record: 114184

On 30 Jun 1999 00:10:28 GMT, W9FL <w9fl@arrl.net> wrote:

>I just ignore the garbage. The radio newsgroups are very interesting, and
>you can still gain a lot of knowledge. I have been reading them for a
>couple of years now. You can pretty much tell when someting is worth
>reading or not, by the subject. I don't understand why people behave the
>way they do on the newsgroups. I don't think people would behave this way
>in person.

That's why I download headers first, cull out the garbage threads,
then download the bodies of only the messages I actually want to read.
On some days I might get 200 headers and only read 5 messages. After
you've been around awhile you learn to spot the spammers and the
morons from the subject headers and the phony addresses they use to
try to conceal their real identities.

I think the anonymity plays a big part...they type a phony address
into the options setup in their NNTP client, then figure that since
nobody knows their true identity, they have carte blanche to indulge a
lifelong fantasy of being able to act like a complete imbecile and get
away with it.

>I would never let myself get sucked into this kind of behavior. Some of
>these people have been fighting back and forth for a long time. I used to
>see some of them going back and forth on Fido-net. Some of the same ones
>that are here now.

I used to read a few Fight-O-Net echos myself, some years back, and I
have to agree with you. Some of the names I see in various newsgroups
belong to many of the same people I remember from Fido, and in many
cases, they're even bigger knotheads here than they were on Fido.

Perhaps the capacity of a moron's brain to spout drivel is directly
proportional to the size of said moron's audience...however, I'm sure
that's a topic better suited to a different group...wouldn't want The
Moderator to flame me for posting off-topic, now, would I? ;-)

73 DE KNY2VS

6151. Yupiteru MVT-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: oldgear@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990630142030.12754.00007766@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 114185

Where is the best and cheapest place to purchase the Yupiteru MVT-7100 scanner in Canada.

Thanks

6152. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: Euro
Message-ID: <76FB7AC20E81F3C2.676996D3A0F03119.DE796DC474628B89@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 114186

Ignore Shack23.
He thinks he is elite and is very arrogant.
He's one of those snooty people who get off downtalking everyone including his fellow HAMs.
Everytime someone says something he doesn't like he accuses them of being a CB'er.
His pathology is so predictable we all could write a book on him.
This is the kind of guy we all go after when the code is dropped, ok guys?
BTW, I'm an advanced class so don't give me any lip, mr. general class Trash23.

| >The first thing I will ask you is, are you a Ham operator? This sounds like
| >something a CBer would write, that is FRUSTRATED because of the MORSE
| >REQUIREMENT to get a HIGHER class Ham license.

6153. We will rid ARS of "CODE"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: ncla@angelfire.com
Message-ID: <7ldrgr$no8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 114187

To all who believe that CODE is the salvation of Amateur Radio. We are declaring war on you! The newly formed terrorist group called the NCLA - No-Code Liberation Army is here. To learn more about us please visit our home
page at http://www.angelfire.com/nc/ncla/ or email us at ncla@angelfire.com .

CB'ers, FreeBanders, and No-Code Technicians Welcome Here!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Share what you know. Learn what you don't.

6154. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com
Message-ID: <377a465e.440945@news.buffnet.net>
Source record: 114188

On 30 Jun 1999 03:17:59 GMT, shack23@aol.com (Shack23) wrote:

> gdfthr768@aol.com (Gdfthr768) wrote:
>
>>Why is this in a scanner room?
>
>This is not a ROOM!

I let this one slide the first time through, but...correct, Joey! The term "room" here on the Internet is generally used to refer to realtime discussions such as those conducted in the AOL chat "rooms" or on IRC (Internet Relay Chat...though most knowledeable IRC users refer to them as "channels" rather than "rooms".

With respect to the relevancy of discussing this issue in a scanner-related Usenet newsgroup, the six scanners that I currently have in my shack are collectively capable of receiving all or part of six different amateur radio bands: 10m, 6m, 2m, 1.25m, 70cm, and 35cm.

It's worth noting that only one of those bands - 10m - requires potential users thereof to demonstrate any proficiency in Morse code. The other 5 bands can also be worked with a no-code Technician class license. The remaining HF bands are covered by my two HF receivers, but that's a topic for rec.radio.shortwave - and in any event, this is at least a radio-related topic which makes it a lot more relevant than the porno spam I seem to get along with the legitimate posts here in this newsgroup.

I will commend gdfthr768@aol.com for having the cojones to put up with AOL's clumsy interface to the newsgroups which they shove down their customers' throats in lieu of a real NNTP client...but also, he should be reminded that this (fortunately) is not Fight-O-Net...and there are far worse evils here to gripe about than discussing ham radio in a newsgroup whose topic is the scaners I can use to receive all or parts of half a dozen ham bands. :-)

73 DE KNY2VS

6155. Re: Death of Ham Radio will be...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: kny2vs@military-net.zzn.com
Message-ID: <377d4ea8.2563388@news.buffnet.net>
Source record: 114189

On 30 Jun 1999 02:57:07 GMT, shack23@aol.com (Shack23) wrote:

>YEARS OLD! I could do 15 WPM (receive) when I was 14. You learned to TYPE,
>didn't you? But then, THAT was voluntary. CW is a REQUIREMENT for anything
>except an entry level Ham license. If you can learn to TYPE, you can learn CW.

Come to think of it...a test of typing proficiency might make a better test of fitness for participation in amateur activities than a test of CW proficiency, particularly if one's interests in ham radio lie more in the area of packet radio than that of pounding brass.

So...if you want a license to use CW, you get a code test. If you want a license to work RTTY, you get a typing test. If you want a license to work phone, you get tested on your ability to speak and to understand the spoken word. If you want to work packet radio using your computer, we'll test your ability to connect the appropriate hardware to, and install the necessary software on, a computer. If you want a license so you can talk through an amateur radio satellite using your HT, we'll test your ability to install and use a suitable satellite tracking program...and so on for various activities that hams engage in. At least then the testing would be relevant in that the potential licensee would be tested on skills that are relative to his or her intended use of the spectrum allocated to the pursuit of such interests.

73 DE KNY2VS

6156. Scanners For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: W5IDX <W5IDX@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7Ib75g$50l$1@bgtnsc03.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 114284
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

For Sale Or Trade

Desk Tops
DX-394 Digital S/W All Mode Receiver 175.00 Shipped
Pro 2039 200 Channel 135.00 Shipped
Pro 2030 80 Channel 95.00 Shipped

Hand Helds
Pro 46 100 Channel 100.00 Shipped
Pro 90 300 Channel Trunk Tracker 225.00 Shipped

Prices Include Packaging & Shipping In The Continental U.S

Also Have A Few More Coming Soon.

--
W5IDX@worldnet.att.net

6157. FS 760XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: summitrt@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629200552.20203.00007881@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 114285

Clean 760XLT with BNC connector. Latter unit will not open up to cell phone band. Radio only. $100. 9 out of 10 condition.

Clean 760 XLT with Motorola connector, this has full 800. Radio only $175.

Bob

6158. Re: snotty attitudes and posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: W9FL <w9fl@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <7lbn9k$fm0@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 114286

"Weiser Ambulance District" <wad@cyberhighway.net> wrote:
>Man! I thought the radio hobby was supposed to be fun and create a sense
>of comradeship among the members of the fraternity who share the common
>interests. However, after reading some of the crap posted here and the
>answers to it I'm ashamed to admit that I'm a ham radio operator and, yes,
>that I have a couple of scanners.
>What happened to "friendly" hams and civility? We've condemned the CB
>operators for years for their behavior but we seem to have lowered
>ourselves to that level from what I've read here. And, no, I don't believe
>the no-code licenses are to blame....it's the lousy attitudes and superior
>God-like demeanor of some in the hobby who feel that, as the saying goes,
>their fecal matter lacks an aromatic quality.
>How about acting like mature men and women [boys and girls???] and treating
>each other with at least a little respect? Is that so difficult?
>

I just ignore the garbage. The radio newsgroups are very interesting, and
you can still gain a lot of knowledge. I have been reading them for a
couple of years now. You can pretty much tell when someting is worth
reading or not, by the subject. I don't understand why people behave the
way they do on the newsgroups. I don't think people would behave this way
in person.

I would never let myself get sucked into this kind of behavior. Some of
these people have been fighting back and forth for a long time. I used to
see some of them going back and forth on Fido-net. Some of the same ones
that are here now.

Tom

6159. Re: WHAT did I hear????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: W9FL <w9fl@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <7lbobt$fm0@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 114287

pville411@aol.com (Pville411) wrote:
>Hello. I was scanning around the 140-150 range about an hour ago when i
>stumbled on an interesting signal. I heard it on 145.090mhz, and it was a sort
>of flick going at exactly one second intervals. I was listening on a RS20-176A
>antenna mounted in my attic and a RSPro-90 handheld attached to it, so
>reception was fuzzy, but the flick was very pronounced. Also, there was some
>noise that sounded like it could be the faint remnants of some FSK or other
>data transmission--it happened at irregular intervals over the one second
>marks. I heard it starting at around 2200 ET (when i turned my scanner on) and
>it was practically gone one hour later. I live outside Philadelphia, Pa.
>Do you know what this could have been? I've read about low-flying satellites
>making similar noise, but i'm not sure if this is on the right freq. However,
>i'd love to find out, so any knowledge is greatly appreciated. thanks,
>
> matt

Matt,

What you heard was Ham radio Packet. It is a digital form of
communications. The frequency of 145.09 Mhz, is used around the country.
Also common is 145.01, 145.03, 145.05, 145.07, 145.51, and others.
APRS is on 145.39. Do a search on packet, you will find a ton of
information.

Tom

6160. R2 cell images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: burtons961@aol.com
Message-ID: <19990629204419.15622.00004655@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 114288

A while back someone posted the band edge freqs for cell image monitoring. I let it go by and lost it. Could someone repeat them for me?
Thanks much,
Burt
Burt Smith, BurtonS961@AOL.com

6161. Re: BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 1999-06-30 00:00:00 UTC
From: W9FL <w9fl@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <7lbol5$fm0@newsops.execpc.com>
Source record: 114289

Michael <paracop@sprynet.com> wrote:
>Does anyone know af any software that I can use to hook up the 895XLT to
>my computer and log events of control it from the PC? And what is the
>estimated cost of such an item?

go to http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/software.htm